#### AMENDMENT #7 TO THE VERMONT IN-LIEU FEE PROGRAM INSTRUMENT

WHEREAS, the approved Ducks Unlimited, Inc. Vermont In-lieu Fee Program instrument, was signed by Ducks Unlimited on January 6, 2011. Amendment #1 was signed by Ducks Unlimited on March 9, 2015 and the Corps on January 30, 2015. Amendment #2 was signed by Ducks Unlimited on June 10, 2015 and the Corps of June 29, 2015. Amendment #3 was signed by Ducks Unlimited on November 11, 2016, and the Corps of October 19, 2016. Amendment #4 was signed by Ducks Unlimited on February 11, 2019, and the Corps on February 5, 2019. Amendment #5 was signed by Ducks Unlimited on March 26, 2020, and the Corps on March 5, 2020. Amendment #6 was signed by Ducks Unlimited on January 7, 2021, and the Corps on December 7, 2020.

#### NOW THEREFORE,

This amendment modifies the instrument to include the Willoughby Lake Road compensatory mitigation site in the St. Francois Service Area off Willoughby Lake Road in Barton, Vermont.

1. The mitigation plan includes the preservation, re-establishment and rehabilitation of wetlands and preservation of uplands to compensate for authorized impacts to waters of the United States in the St. Francois Service Area for which payments into the DU-VT-ILF program were made in lieu of the permittees doing their own mitigation. The project is located on a 243.6-acre parcel and will produce approximately 23.394 wetland credits. This mitigation plan is described in the attached plan tilted "Mitigation Plan for Willoughby Lake Road: St. Francois Service Area" and dated "March 10, 2021".

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned have caused this amendment to be duly executed.

DocuSigned by: Mck Wiley Nick Wiley COO, Program Sponsor Ducks Unlimited, Inc.

Tammy R. Turley

Tammy R. Turley Chief, Regulatory Division

4/12/2021 Date

9 Apr 2021

Date

# Mitigation Plan for Willoughby Lake Road: St. Francois Service Area File Number: NAE-2019-03250

**Prepared by:** Ducks Unlimited Vermont In-Lieu Fee Program





**To be considered by:** United States Army Corps of Engineers and The Interagency Review Team

New England District 11 Lincoln Street Room 210 Essex Junction, VT 05452

New England District Regulatory Division 696 Virginia Road Concord, MA 01742-2751

Date: March 10, 2021

Photo: UVM-SAL May 31, 2019

Table of Contents         1. Introduction	4
1.1 Objectives	6
2. Site Selection	6
2.1 Site Description	6
3. Site Protection Instrument	9
4. Determination of Credits	10
5. Baseline Ecological Characteristics	13
5.1 Historic and Existing Plant Communities, Including Wetlands	13
5.2 Reference Communities	17
5.3 Cultural Resources	20
5.4 Site Land Use History, Including Structures	20
5.5 Soil Descriptions	22
5.6 Animal and Plant Species Including Endangered Species	24
6. Mitigation Work Plan	28
6.1 Geographic Boundaries	28
6.2 Sources of Water, Connections to Existing Waters and Upland Runoff	28
6.3 Invasive Species	29
6.4 Construction Methods, Timing and Sequencing	30
6.5 Grading Plan, Including Elevations and Slopes of Substrate	34
6.6 Methods for Establishing Desired Plant Community	34
6.7 Soil Management and Erosion Control Measures	37
7. Maintenance Plan	37
8. Performance Standards	38
8.1 Post-Construction As-Built Releases 10% of Credits When:	39
8.2 First Interim Goal Anticipated to Coincide with Year 1 Monitoring Releases 20% of Restoration and Rehabilitation Credits When:	39
8.3 Second Interim Goal Anticipated to Coincide with Year 3 Monitoring Releases 20% of Restoration & Rehabilitation Credits When:	39
8.4 Third Interim Goal Anticipated to Coincide with Year 5 Monitoring Releases 20% of Restoration & Rehabilitation Credits When:	40
8.5 Final Goal Releases 30% of Restoration & Rehabilitation Credits at The End of the 10- Year Monitoring Period	40
8.6 Wetland Hydrology and Hydric Soils	47
9. Monitoring Requirements	47
9.1 Monitoring Report Requirements	47

9.2 Reporting Schedule	.48
10. Long-term Management Plan, Including Financial Arrangements	.49
11. Adaptive Management Plan, Including Addressing Invasive Species Control	.50
12. Financial Assurances	.52
References	.53

# List of Figures

Figure 1. Site Location and Service Area	5
Figure 2. View of the Site	7
Figure 3. Site Conservation Context	8
Figure 4. Historical Aerial Photos from Willoughby Lake Road.	21
Figure 5. Soils Map	23
Figure 6. Monitoring Well Data.	29

# List of Tables

Table 1. Credit Generation	12
Table 2. Wildlife and Plant Species Identified	25
Table 3. Planting List	36
Table 4. Credit Release & Reporting Schedule	42
Table 5. Anticipated Long-term Management Needs Through all Phases	50

# List of Appendices

Appendix A. Deed Restriction (Notice of Mitigation Requirements)	55
Appendix B. Conservation Easement Boundary	
Appendix C. Wetland Delineation Report	61
Appendix D. Mitigation Work Plan	149
Appendix E. Threatened and Endangered Species Review	154
Appendix F. Budget	180



#### 1. Introduction

The Ducks Unlimited (DU) mission focuses on protecting and restoring wetland resources critical to sustaining North America's waterfowl populations. DU utilizes a scientific approach to prioritize its conservation and mitigation activities. At a high level, conservation priorities are identified by a team of international biologists made up of waterfowl and conservation experts spanning government, academia, and NGO sectors as described in the North American Waterfowl Management Plan (NAWAMP; United States Fish and Wildlife Service 1986, 2012). DU's applied version of this plan, The International Conservation Plan identifies portions of Vermont as priority landscapes for waterfowl conservation (Ducks Unlimited, 2005, 2019). Furthermore, the northeastern United States and adjacent Canada support an estimated 7.6 million breeding waterfowl, 2.7 million wintering waterfowl, and four to five million migrating waterfowl. Providing a high-quality compensatory mitigation option in New England is therefore a priority for Ducks Unlimited.

DU established the Vermont In-Lieu Fee Program (DU-VT ILF Program) to provide a thirdparty compensatory mitigation option for unavoidable wetland impacts in this priority landscape. DU has developed a suite of GIS-planning tools to aide in the identification of wetland restoration and protection opportunities within these Service Areas following techniques described by Hunter et al. 2012 and Raney and Leopold 2018. DU's top-down prioritization of landscapes and significant wetland features within those landscapes enables DU to identify priority areas for wetland conservation and mitigation activities on a watershed-scale. DU thoroughly evaluated wetland restoration opportunities in the St. Francois Service Area (SA) (Figure 1) prior to coordinating the selection of this Site with the IRT.

To date DU has sold 8.08 credits in the St. Francois Service Area. This plan describes the 243.60-acre Site known as the Willoughby Lake Road Site located in the Town of Barton, Orleans County, Vermont. This Site is proposed as an ILF Site for compensatory mitigation in the St. Francois Service Area (HUC 011100). The SA boundaries are shown in Figure 1. The site supports wildlife listed as species of greatest conservation need (SGCN) by the Vermont Wildlife Action Plan (Vermont Wildlife Action Plan Team, 2015). Great blue heron, ruffed grouse, bobolink, and peregrine falcon are all medium priority SGCN identified on site. This



mitigation plan has been prepared and will be implemented by DU in accordance with the "U.S. Army Corps of Engineers New England District Compensatory Mitigation Guidance" (USACE, 2010). A Mitigation Plan is submitted for public comment followed by Interagency Review Team review for potential approval. Because of the Site's potential to satisfy far more credits than have been sold, a phased approach to credit production has been developed.





The St. Francois ILF Service Area (HUC 011100) shown in dark gray. Approximate coordinates Latitude: 44°47'4.16"N and Longitude: 72°6'49.82"W. The Site is accessed from Hunt Lane and Willoughby Lake Road.

# 1.1 Objectives

The primary goal of the Willoughby Lake Road ILF Site (hereafter: Site) is to provide wetland reestablishment, rehabilitation, and preservation to compensate for wetland loss. More specifically this Site provides an opportunity to:

- Preserve a wildlife connective corridor,
- Provide breeding habitat for waterfowl,
- Preserve and improve flood attenuation capacity,
- Preserve upland buffer on the Site to preserve water quality in the watershed, specifically to Lord Brook, and a second unnamed tributary to the nearby Willoughby River,
- Potentially provide passive recreational opportunities.

#### 2. Site Selection

#### 2.1 Site Description

The Site is 243.60-acres and lies within the larger 243.90-acre property protected by a deed restriction (Appendix A) and WAT for use as an ILF Site (Figure 2; Appendix B). The Site is directly to the north of Vermont Route 16 (Willoughby Lake Road) at the intersection with Hunt Lane in the town of Barton in Orleans County (Figure 2; 44°47'4.16"N, 72°6'49.82"W). Evidence of past clearing and drainage for agriculture indicate suitable conditions exist to reestablish wetlands in northern portions of the property and in the large field on the eastern portion of the property near Willoughby Lake Road. The Site has a mixture of forested wetlands and uplands with 142-acres of agricultural fields. Hydrological conditions are described further in Section 5.2, in the Wetland Delineation Report (Appendix C), and are shown in the Work Plan (Appendix D).

The Site lies within close proximity to several protected recreational areas surrounding Lake Willoughby and the Willoughby River, including Willoughby Falls Wildlife Management Area, Willoughby State Forest, and Vermont Land Trust Easements (Figure 3). The Willoughby River has the potential to be given an Outstanding Resource Waters (ORW) designation by the State of Vermont, and this site further protects the associated drainage. Willoughby River tributaries flow through the property along eastern and western boundaries (Figure 2).



#### Figure 2. View of the Site.

The 243.60-acre Site (red outline; easement area) is located within a 243.90-acre property (black outline) owned by Wetlands America Trust (WAT). Two areas are excluded from the easement for parking and are accessed from Hunt Lane and Willoughby Lake Road. Phase 2 areas are shown in light blue hashing, all other areas within the red site boundary are included in Phase 1.



**Figure 3. Site Conservation Context.** The Site lies near a Vermont Land Trust easement, as local recreation area, and other preserved lands exist near Lake Willoughby.



This Site directly preserves a large northern white cedar swamp, which is a rare community type

in Vermont.

Specifically, the objectives of this plan are to:

- re-establish 3.79 acres of palustrine emergent (PEM) wetlands
- re-establish 1.08 acres of palustrine scrub-shrub (PSS) wetlands
- re-establish 5.88 acres of palustrine forested (PFO) wetlands
- re-establish 14.09 acres of PFO/upland mosaic
- rehabilitate 2.60 acres of PFO wetlands
- rehabilitate 30.44 acres of upland buffer
- rehabilitate 10.09 acres of PFO/upland buffer
- preserve 23.37 acres of PEM wetlands
- preserve 0.09 acres of PEM/upland mosaic
- preserve 0.75 acres of PSS wetlands
- preserve 66.28 acres of PFO wetlands
- preserve 84.86 acres of upland buffer

Methods utilized to achieve these objectives are described in the "Mitigation Work Plan" in Section 6 of this document.

# **3. Site Protection Instrument**

The Site is owned by Wetlands America Trust, Inc. (WAT) a wholly owned, Accredited Land-Trust and wholly owned subsidiary of DU. Ownership of the Mitigation Site by WAT meets the site protection requirements of 332.7(a)(1).

WAT will record a U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) approved Conservation Easement (CE) in the land records of Orleans County upon transfer of the property to the Long-term Steward (Steward). Concurrent with filing the CE, a USACE-approved Long-Term Management Plan (LTMP) will be recorded to the Deed in the land records of Orleans County. As part of the LTMP and CE requirements, the property will remain perpetually as undeveloped property as a natural area for the preservation of aquatic resources on the Site; language to this effect will be recorded to the Deed. Efforts to prevent unauthorized access and Site degradation will be the main emphasis of the LTMP. The LTMP will outline anticipated Steward obligations. It is anticipated that the Site will be self-sustaining with minimal management inputs required by the Steward aside from normal fee-title monitoring to ensure the Site remains in a protected state.

DU will also set aside endowment funds for long-term management and protection as well as an endowment for Conservation Easement monitoring (Described further in Section 7).

# 4. Determination of Credits

Mitigation activities may be phased depending on project funding levels. The majority of the preservation acreage, as well as the re-establishment and rehabilitation activities in the northcentral and northwest portions of the Site are included in Phase 1 (Figure 2; Appendix D). Phase 2 will encompass wetland re-establishment and rehabilitation and upland buffer rehabilitation in a hay field at the southern end of the Site accessed from Willoughby Lake Road. Prior to Phase 2 restoration activities, those areas will be hayed in late summer or early fall following grassland bird breeding. This project phasing approach will enable continued benefits to species of greatest conservation need (e.g., bobolink).

Based on established credit ratios used in the New England District, this Site is expected to produce 23.394 credits (Table 1, Appendix D). Ecological lift will be achieved with the restoration of hydrology, establishment, survival and healthy growth of and plant and tree species, and control of invasive plant species. Consistent with the program Instrument and New England We propose a 2:1 credit ratio for the re-established emergent, scrub-shrub, and forested wetlands, and 10:1 for the rehabilitated forested wetlands. Forested wetland rehabilitation entails reestablishing forested cover in existing degraded wet meadow areas on the Site; based on similar topographic settings in this watershed, these areas were likely to have historically been forested wetlands. The 10:1 ratios requested for PFO rehabilitation and PFO/Upland Mosaic Rehabilitation, and Upland Buffer Rehabilitation reflects underlying costs required to re-plant trees. It is anticipated that following cessation of agricultural activities, regular having, and following reintroduction of microtopography through rough disking, that many of the areas designated as Upland Buffer and or Upland Mosaic will revert to wetlands. Historical tilling and agricultural practices have facilitated drainage. Mitigation Activities are described in Table 1, and target species planting/seeding lists provided in Table 3 is based on site and nearby reference communities Table 2 and Baseline Communities described in section 5.



The preservation of wetlands and riparian lands has been identified as a key to reducing nutrient loading in Lake Memphremagog Watershed (Memphremagog Study Advisory Group, 2019). There are two large forested wetlands on the property dominated by northern white cedar and balsam fir spanning 66.28 acres. Additionally, there are several smaller emergent and scrubshrub wetlands. Several uncommon plant species were found in emergent wetlands including rattlebox (*Crotalaria sagittalis*), tradescant aster (*Symphyotrichum tradescantii*), hooded lady's tresses (*Spiranthes romanzoffiana*), ragged-fringed-orchid (*Platanthera lacera*), and northern green orchid (*P. aquilonis*). These wetlands do contribute to important, watershed scale functions; flood-storage value, carbon sequestration, habitat for wildlife (including species of greatest conservation need), and a role in denitrification. Specifically, wetlands at this Site provide a significant riparian buffer to the waters of Lord Brook and the Willoughby River. Due to the quality and important functions of these wetlands, we propose a credit production ratio of 20:1 to preserve existing wetlands.

The agricultural fields present have been hayed regularly and include non-native species that provide limited ecological value. A 10:1 credit-ratio is proposed for upland buffer rehabilitation A 15:1 preservation ratio is planned for upland buffer areas where planting is not proposed (Appendix D, Planting Plan) – but we note that such areas are expected to revert to forested uplands. By performing both active and passive forest recovery, the project will achieve some passive restoration, and a variety of successional stages, which should contribute to greater plant diversity and enhanced wildlife usage. Wetlands and streams without intact upland buffers typically suffer lower plant diversity, more invasive species, greater nutrient and sediment inputs, and higher near-surface temperatures. Given the importance of forested buffers to Lord Brook and the Willoughby River Floodplain, a 15:1 ratio for upland buffer preservation has been applied to the 84.86 acres of upland buffer area.



**Table 1. Credit Generation** The program sponsor anticipates the Site will generate 23.394 credits based on the following ratios and acreages. Credit ratios are from the DU-VT-ILF Program Instrument and follow guidance from the New England District USACE.

Mitigation Activity	Action / Description	Ratio (Acres: Credits)	Acres	Credits Generated
	Pre-Construction			
PEM Preservation	Preservation, may result in succession to forested condition	20:1	23.37	1.169
PSS Preservation	Preservation	20:1	0.75	0.038
PEM/Upland Mosaic Preservation	Preservation, may result in succession to forested condition	20:1	0.09	0.005
Upland Buffer Preservation	Preservation, is expected to result in succession to forested condition	15:1	84.86	5.657
PFO Preservation	Preservation, no change in successional state anticipated	20:1	66.28	3.314
		Pre	eservation Total	10.183
	Phase 1			
PEM Re-establishment	Excavation and grading, basin contouring, removal and replacement of topsoil; seeding/planting	2:1	1.32	0.660
PFO/Upland Mosaic Rehabilitation	Planting trees; this area is rocky and has microtopograhy	10:1	10.09	1.009
PFO Re-establishment	Rough disking to introduce microtropgraphy, seeding, planting	2:1	5.28	2.640
Upland Buffer Rehabilitation	Rough disking to introduce microtropgraphy, seeding, planting	10:1	26.88	2.688
			Phase 1 Total	6.997
	Phase 2			
PEM Re-establishment	Excavation and grading, basin contouring, removal and replacement of topsoil; seeding/planting	2:1	2.47	1.235
PSS Re-establishment	Excavation and grading, basin contouring, removal and replacement of topsoil; seeding/planting	2:1	1.08	0.540
PFO Re-establishment	Excavation and grading, basin contouring, removal and replacement of topsoil; seeding/planting	2:1	0.6	0.300
PFO/Upland Mosaic Re-establishment	Heavy disking to reintroduce microtopgoraphy; tile line disruption	4:1	14.09	3.523
PFO Rehabilitation	Rehabbing PEM to PFO. Heavy disking to reintroduce microtopography, tile line disruption, seeding, planting trees	10:1	2.6	0.260
Upland Buffer Rehabilitation	Rough disking to introduce microtropgraphy, seeding, planting	10:1	3.56	0.356
			Phase 2 Total	6.214
			Total	23.394

Ducks Unlimited, Inc.

Provided performance standards are achieved, the credit release schedule will include:

- 50% of the credits associated with preservation will be released upon approval of this Instrument Amendment and execution of a USACE-approved Deed Restriction.
- 50% of the credits associated with preservation will be released upon execution of a USACE-approved Conservation Easement.
- 10% of the credits for re-establishment and rehabilitation in Phase 1 will be released at as-built production and approval by USACE.
- 20% of the credits for re-establishment and rehabilitation in Phase 1 will be released after successfully meeting the first interim performance standards;
- 20% of the credits for re-establishment and rehabilitation in Phase 1 will be released after successfully meeting the second interim performance standards;
- 20% of the credits for re-establishment and rehabilitation in Phase 1 will be released after successfully meeting the third interim performance standards;
- 30% of the credits for re-establishment and rehabilitation in Phase 1 will be released when the USACE signs off on the project, a Long Term Management Plan is executed, and all other conditions of the permit and site plan have been completed which is planned for the tenth year of monitoring.
- 10% of the credits for re-establishment and rehabilitation in Phase 2 will be released at as-built production and approval by USACE.
- 20% of the credits for re-establishment and rehabilitation in Phase 2 will be released after successfully meeting the first interim performance standards;
- 20% of the credits for re-establishment and rehabilitation in Phase 2 will be released after successfully meeting the second interim performance standards;
- 20% of the credits for re-establishment and rehabilitation in Phase 2 will be released after successfully meeting the third interim performance standards;
- 30% of the credits for re-establishment and rehabilitation in Phase 2 will be released when the USACE signs off on the project, a Long Term Management Plan is executed, and all other conditions of the permit and site plan have been completed which is planned for the tenth year of monitoring.

Actual credits may be adjusted following post-construction monitoring as-builts, and annual monitoring reports.

# 5. Baseline Ecological Characteristics

#### 5.1 Historic and Existing Plant Communities, Including Wetlands

The Site has a history of use as agricultural land dating back to the earliest available aerial imagery (at least the 1960's). These practices include installation of at least one tile line, removal of microtopgraphic variation both of which enhance runoff, and haying practices that further enhance evaporation and drying. Vegetation communities were surveyed in July 2019, and are further described in the wetland delineation report in Appendix C. Here we provide a brief



summary of the plant communities and provide photographs of current Site conditions, as well as reference communities that have been used to guide restoration design and species plantings in proposed restoration and rehabilitation wetlands. Existing emergent wetlands within the agricultural fields are dominated by fox sedge (*Carex vulpinoidea*) and green bulrush (*Scirpus atrovirens*), but appear to have historically occurred as forested wetlands prior to agriculture. The upland portions of the hay fields are dominated by perennial rye grass (*Lolium perenne*) and sweet vernal grass (*Anthoxanthum odoratum*). The forested wetlands are dominated by balsam fir (*Abies balsamea*) and northern white cedar (*Thuja occidentalis*), with fringed sedge (*Carex crinita*) and sensitive fern (*Onoclea sensibilis*) in the understory.

#### Willoughby Lake Road Current Conditions Photos:

Drone photography by UVM, May 2019



Facing northeast with forested Wetland 13 in the center.





Facing southeast towards Lake Willoughby. The eastern hayfield is to the left.



Facing north towards the Willoughby River. Hunt Lane is on the right.





Facing east with Hunt Lane in the center.



Facing north towards the northwestern corner of the Site.



#### **5.2 Reference Communities**



Northern White Cedar Swamp – a conifer dominated wetland community of conservation concern in Vermont and the northeast serves as an on-site reference community for forested wetland reestablishment and rehabilitation areas. Characteristic species include: *Thuja occidentalis, Abies balsamea, Betula alleghaniensis, Cornus canadensis, Onoclea sensibilis, Osmunda regalis, O. claytonii, Impatiens capensis, Lysimachia quadrifolia, Coptis trifolia, Maianthemum canadense, M. stellatum.* Several of these species are commercially available, some of the more diminutive species (e.g., *Coptis trifolia, Mainthemum canadense*) are well established on site, and are likely to naturally reestablish in restored wetlands. These swamps are characterized by pronounced microtopgraphic variation, and variable water table depth and levels of peat/muck accumulation (Podniesinski and Leopold 1998, Vermont Fish and Wildlife 2016). In a study of high-quality northern white cedar swamps Raney et al. 2014 found water table depths across two growing seasons to be fairly consistent, and among 44 locations measured in three cedar swamps, average water table depth was 3.94 inches with a standard deviation of 2.47 inches. Based on field investigations, similarly wet conditions exist on site, as well as wetland areas with deeper average water-table depth. Wetland restoration and rehabilitation activities will add microtopography that has been lost due to agricultural practices. Water-levels in these wetlands are variable but are often saturated near the surface for a duration sufficient for peat or muck to accumulate.





Canopy gaps within the forested Northern White Cedar Swamp.

The role of canopy gaps play in maintaining plant species diversity has long been recognized as an important factor in the persistence of rare or uncommon plant species (e.g., Anderson and Leopold 2002; Scanga 2014). Constructed basins planned as part of this project are expected to provide similar habitat conditions to canopy gaps within forested wetlands, especially early in their development. Several small canopy gaps exist within the Northern White Cedar Swamp, this example occurs on a 4% slope, and appears to be maintained as open by high water-tables. Soils in this and other openings were dominated by muck, with a water table 8" from the surface. The pictured gap was 80 ft by 20 ft wide. As planned, the Mitigation Site will incorporate areas planned as PEM which are likely to function similarly to canopy gaps. Moreover, all constructed basins will be surrounded by tree-plantings.

Plant species encountered along the margins of this gap include: *Abies balsamea, Betula alleghaniensis, Thuja occidentalis.* Herbaceous, emergent, and shrubs in the gap included: *Carex vulpinoidea, Onoclea sensibilis, Lysimachia ciliata, Platanthera aquilonis, Rubus pubescens, Ranunculus septriontalis, Thelypteris palustris, Osmunda claytonii, O. regalis, Spirea tomentosa, Carex crinita, Iris versicolor. Platanthera aquilonis is an orchid species that is sporadic on the site, but is more typical in open vs. closed canopy settings.* 





**Nearby Reference Communities** 

Tiered open wetlands on slopes similar to those proposed in Units 1 and 2 are encountered in wetlands across the road from the project site. The top right panel shows the "stair-step" arrangement of wetlands similar to those proposed in constructed units on site.

These tiered wetlands are the result of beaver activity, have steep side slopes >6:1, deeper pockets surrounded by shallower fully vegetated areas where hydrology is derived from surface runoff. Deeper areas are dominated by Sparganium americanum and Typha latifolia. Species composition in shallower areas included: Scirpus atrovirens, Eupatorium maculatum, Rubus pubescens, Spirea tomentosa, Carex crinita, Onoclea sensibilis, Carex stricta, Carex intumescens, Betula populifolia, Juncus effusus. Proposed constructed basins follow a similar spatial arrangement, and target hydroperiods are similar to this reference. Several of the species encountered are commercially available and are included in the planting plan in Table 3. Based on vegetation encountered, and hydroperiods observed, we anticipate in average years these reference communities maintain small areas of standing water throughout the growing season. Similar isolated pocket wetlands, were likely more prevalent historically. Based on heavy soils encountered in proposed Unit 1 and 2, DU expects some isolated pockets of standing water to occur in the constructed basins under typical growing season conditions following construction.

# **5.3 Cultural Resources**

A request for a cultural and historic resources review was submitted to the Vermont Division for Historic Preservation and a response is pending; the results will be provided to USACE.

#### 5.4 Site Land Use History, Including Structures

There are no structures on the property. The fields have been maintained for agriculture since at least 1964 (Figure 4). From 1999-2019 the fields were primarily used for hay production, although according to interviews with neighbors there has been some row cropping of corn. On-Site reviews in October 2018 and July 2019 provided evidence of previous hydrological modifications, including ditching and tile drainage. Many of the fields appear to have historically supported more extensive wetlands prior to drainage activities.



#### Figure 4. Historical Aerial Photos from Willoughby Lake Road.

The property has been pretty stable in terms of landuse since 1964. New fields had been cleared in the northeast and northwest corners of the parcel, while the forested wetland in the center of the property has grown.



#### 5.5 Soil Descriptions

Based on the Soil Survey of Orleans County, VT (USDA Official Soil Series Descriptions) the soil series mapped on-Site include Buckland loam, Cabot silt loam, and Peru fine sandy loam (Dixifield). A soils map is provided in Figure 5. Soil conditions in the field deviate from the mapped soil series in some forested wetland areas where high water tables have promoted peat and muck accumulation. Agreement between mapped soil units are greater in agricultural fields as opposed to forested wetlands areas. Additionally, soil borings were taken throughout areas potentially suitable for restoration work. Descriptions of soil borings are provided in the work plans for the Site in Appendix D. Overall soils appear suitable for basin construction, as soils tend heavier in their clay content in the areas identified for constructed wetlands.

**17B-Buckland loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes.** The Buckland component makes up 84 percent of the map unit. The natural drainage class is moderately well drained. Water movement in the most restrictive layer is moderately low. This component is on hills on glaciated uplands. The parent material consists of loamy lodgment till derived from mica schist and/or loamy lodgment till derived from phyllite. Depth to a root restrictive layer, densic material, is 20 to 40 inches.

This area corresponds to well-drained upland fields.

**47B-Cabot silt loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes.** The Cabot component makes up 83 percent of the map unit. The natural drainage class is poorly drained. Water movement in the most restrictive layer is low. This component is on mountains on glaciated uplands, hills on glaciated uplands. The parent material consists of loamy lodgment till derived from mica schist and/or loamy lodgment till derived from limestone. Depth to a root restrictive layer, densic material, is 6 to 20 inches.

These soils cover the majority of the property, and cover areas mapped as open agricultural fields, forested, emergent and scrub-shrub wetlands. Based on soil pits taken along with wetland delineation datapoints, many of the PFO areas identified on site as Cabot Silt Loam actually contain peat and muck soil inclusions over mineral soils.

This is the dominant soil type throughout much of the site including all areas planned for restoration. Forested wetland areas despite being mapped as Cabot silt loam consistently have developed muck and peat deposits.

**15C-Peru fine sandy loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes.** The Dixfield component makes up 81 percent of the map unit. The natural drainage class is moderately well drained. Water movement in the most restrictive layer is moderately low. This component is on hills, mountains. The parent material consists of loamy basal till. Depth to a root restrictive layer, densic material, is 20 to 36 inches.

This area corresponds to a well-drained hayfield in the southeast corner of the site.





#### Figure 5. Soils Map.

Restoration activities will occur in Cabot silt loam. Forested areas include unmapped muck and peat inclusions.

250 500

1,000



#### 5.6 Animal and Plant Species Including Endangered Species

While no federally listed species were observed, forested portions of the Site potentially contain roosting habitat for northern long-eared bat (*Myotis septentrionalis*) (Appendix E). DU will consult with the USFWS to ensure that this project will not negatively affect any listed species that may be present. DU does not plan to cut any trees as part of this project as it might have an adverse impact on bat species. We anticipate that the restored wetlands and upland forest will improve foraging and roosting opportunities for bat species present. Vermont species of greatest conservation need (SGCN) have been observed on the Site by DU staff during Site visits; including great blue heron, ruffed grouse, bobolink, peregrine falcon, rattlebox, and tradescant aster. A full list of species observed at the property is provided in Table 2.



# Table 2. Wildlife and Plant Species Identified

Species	Common Name	<b>Conservation Status</b>	Notes
	Birds		
Agelaius phoeniceus	red-winged blackbird		
Ardea herodias	great blue heron	Medium Priority SGCN	
Bonasa umbellus	ruffed grouse	Medium Priority SGCN	
Cathartes aura	turkey vulture		
Colaptes auratus	northern flicker		
Corvus brachyrhynchos	American crow		
Corvus corax	common raven		
Cyanocitta cristata	blue jay		
Dolichonyx oryzivorus	bobolink	Medium Priority SGCN	
Dryocopus pileatus	pileated woodpecker		
Falco columbarius	merlin		
Falco peregrinus	peregrine falcon	Medium Priority SGCN	
Maleagris gallopavo	wild turkey		
Melospiza melodia	song sparrow		
Passerina cyanea	indigo bunting		
Poecile atricapillus	black-capped chickadee		
Sayornis phoebe	eastern phoebe		
Sialia sialis	eastern bluebird		
Sphyrapicus varius	yellow-bellied sapsucker		
Spinus tristis	American goldfinch		
Spizelloides arborea	American tree sparrow		
Turdus migratorius	American robin		
Zonotrichia albicollis	white-throated sparrow		
	Plants		
Abies balsamea	balsam fir		
Acer pensylvanicum	striped maple		
Acer rubrum	red maple		
Agrostis scabra	rough bent grass		
Alnus incana	speckled alder		
Anthoxanthum odoratum	sweet vernal grass		
Betula alleghaniensis	yellow birch		
Betula papyrifera	paper birch		
Carex comosa	bearded sedge		
Carex crinita	fringed sedge		
Carex flava	yellow-green sedge		
Carex granularis	limestone meadow sedge		
Carex lupulina	hop sedge		
Carex rostrata	swollen beaked sedge		
Carex scoparia	pointed broom sedge		
Carex vulpinoidea	fox sedge		

Ducks Unlimited, Inc.			
Species	Common Name	<b>Conservation Status</b>	Notes
Chelone glabra	white turtlehead		
Circaea alpina	enchanter's nightshade		
Cirsium arvense	Canada thistle		invasive
Coptis trifolia	threeleaf goldthread		
Crotalaria sagittalis	rattlebox	Medium Priority SGCN	
Dactylis glomerata	orchardgrass	·	
Dryopteris intermedia	evergreen wood fern		
Eleocharis palustris	common spike-rush		
Epipactis hellborine	weed orchid		invasive
Equisetum arvense	field horsetail		
Eragrostis hypnoides	teal love grass		
Euthamia graminifolia	flat-top goldentop		
Eutrochium maculatum	spotted joe pye weed		
Festuca rubra	red fescue		
Fragaria virginiana	Virginia strawberry		
Fraxinus nigra	black ash		
Galium aparine	stickywilly		
Galium mollugo	false baby's breath		
Geranium maculatum	spotted crane's-bill		
Geum rivale	purple avens		
Glvceria striata	fowl manna grass		
Impatiens capensis	iewelweed		
Iuncus articulatus	joint-leaf rush		
luncus effusus	soft rush		
Iuncus tenuis	path rush		
Krigia virginica	Virginia dwarf-dandelion		
Larix laricina	tamarack		
Leucanthemum vulgare	ox-eye daisy		
Lolium perenne	perennial rye grass		
Lychnis flos-cuculi	ragged-robin		
Lycopus americanus	American water horehound		
Maianthemum canadense	false lily-of-the-valley		
Monotropa uniflora	Indianpipe		
Myosotis scorpioides	true forget-me-not		
Onoclea sensibilis	sensitive fern		
Osmunda claytoniana	interrupted fern		
Osmunda regalis	royal fern		
Phalaris arundinacea	reed canary grass		invasive
Phleum pratense	timothy		
Picea glauca	white spruce		
Picea rubens	red spruce		
Pinus strobus	eastern white pine		
	=		

(2)

Species	Common Name	<b>Conservation Status</b>	Notes
Plantago lanceolata	English plantain		
Plantago major	common plantain		
Platanthera aquilonis	northern green orchid		
Platanthera lacera	ragged-fringed-orchid		
Populus balsamifera	balsam poplar		
Prunella vulgaris	common selfheal		
Pteridium aquilinum	bracken fern		
Ranunculus acris	tall buttercup		
Rubus pubescens	dwarf raspberry		
Rumex crispus	curly dock		
Scirpus atrovirens	green bulrush		
Scirpus cyperinus	woolgrass		
Solidago canadensis	Canada goldenrod		
Solidago rugosa	wrinkleleaf goldenrod		
Spiraea alba	white meadowsweet		
Spiranthes romanzoffiana	hooded lady's tresses		
Stellaria media	common chickweed		
Symphyotrichum ericoides	white heath American-aster		
Symphyotrichum tradescantii	tradescant aster	Medium Priority SGCN	
Taraxacum officinale	common dandelion		
Thelypteris noveboracensis	New York fern		
Thelypteris palustris	eastern marsh fern		
Thuja occidentalis	northern white-cedar		
Tilia americana	basswood		
Tiarella cordifolia	heart-leaf foamflower		
Trientalis borealis	starflower		
Trifolium pratense	red clover		
Trifolium repens	white clover		
Typha latifolia	broad-leaf cattail		
Vicia sativa	garden vetch		

(2)

# 6. Mitigation Work Plan

# 6.1 Geographic Boundaries

The geographic boundaries of the Site correspond to the 243.60-acre area to be placed under a conservation easement (red-line) as depicted in Figure 2, and in Appendix B. Two small areas are excluded from the easement for parking. The Site lies to the north of Willoughby Lake Road (Vermont Route 16) in Barton.

#### 6.2 Sources of Water, Connections to Existing Waters and Upland Runoff

The predominantly gently sloping terrain also includes several flat benches that remain wet due to the accumulation of surface water and groundwater inputs. Soil pits were dug in October 2018 and July 2019 for wetland delineation data points (Appendix C) and as part of the design work (Appendix D). Pits dug in the vicinity of Mitigation Units 1 and 2 (Phase 1) exhibited oxidized rhizospheres within 0"- 7" of the surface and saturated soils within 0" - 23" of the surface. Pits dug in the vicinity of Mitigation Unit 3 (Phase 2) exhibited oxidized rhizospheres within 0"- 13" of the surface and saturated soils within 0" - 23" of the surface. Pits dug in the vicinity of Mitigation Unit 3 (Phase 2) exhibited oxidized rhizospheres within 0"- 13" of the surface and saturated soils within 0" - 32" of the surface, and is consistent with hydrology data obtained from July 2019 – August 2020 a(Figure 6). The water table was observed in Mitigation Unit 3 at data points DPW1 (3"), DPU8 (16"), and soil boring 10 (27"). These site characteristics support the plan to utilize a combination of drain tile disruption, shown in Appendix D, grading, and basin construction to restore and maintain wetland hydrology to these areas. Similar "stair-step" wetlands have been identified nearby reference communities described in Section 5. A groundwater monitoring well installed in July of 2019 shown in Appendix D, suggests the proposed shallow grading proposed will lower the surface elevation relative to groundwater position, while the basin should enable improved retention of runoff.





#### Figure 6. Monitoring Well Data.

Location is shown in Appendix D. Examining over a years' worth of hydrology data indicated the water-table was only near the surface intermittently following rainfall events during the growing season, suggesting that efforts to impede drainage, i.e., add microtopography to slow overland water-flow and hold water in depressions longer should aid in retention of hydrology.

#### **6.3 Invasive Species**

Upon acquisition, the Site had invasive plant species present that are typical in much of the St. Francois Service Area, including reed canary grass (*Phalaris arundinacea*), and a few observed purple loosestrife stems (*Lythrum salicaria*) with the latter hand pulled. Active management will be required to achieve performance standards for invasive plant and native plant diversity goals. Reed canary grass was restricted primarily to the wetter portions of the hayfields along Willoughby Lake Road and Hunt Lane corresponding to the Phase 2 Area (Wetlands 2, 3, 5, 6, 8, and 16), and also in the western portion of Wetland 9. Spot spraying of reed canary grass will be necessary in Phase 1 areas, whereas it is anticipated that broadcast spraying may be needed in conjunction with tilling in Phase 2 areas. An aquatic-safe, broad-spectrum herbicide will be applied to reed canary grass by a certified pesticide applicator in accordance with all state and federal regulations. More details on establishing the desired plant community are provided in Section 6.6.





Reed canary grass in Wetland 2 (left) and Wetland 6. Reed canary grass will be sprayed during construction activities and seeded to a native plant mix.

Following construction activities, DU staff will monitor and adaptively manage invasive species on the property through hand pulling, mechanical removal, and herbicide applications. Other appropriate methods for control will be evaluated as invasive species are encountered. Routine inspections in early spring and summer (May through mid-July) will be used to determine invasive species presence and abundance. DU will perform regular, routine monitoring of invasive plants during Site monitoring visits, typically four to six visits will occur between April and October. By visiting sites in late summer and early fall, control needs can be identified in advance of the following growing season.

#### 6.4 Construction Methods, Timing and Sequencing

#### Phase 1

Construction and planting of Phase 1 will occur following approval of this mitigation plan on a timeline sufficient to satisfy credits sales. Final earthwork adjustments and planting of Phase 1 will occur no later than June 30 of the year following construction, or by an USACE-approved extension date. Phase 1 hydrological restoration plans (Appendix D) include constructing two wetland complexes (14 interconnected basins) in lower fields through grading and excavation in areas of heavier soils conducive to wetland formation.

Prior to any grading or placement of fill, topsoil will be stripped and stockpiled from the work area. Once cut and fills are completed, the stockpiled topsoil will be spread across all disturbed areas. The Phase 1 basins will encompass 1.32-acres of PEM. Maximum basin depth will be

# Ducks Unlimited, Inc.

between 1 and 3.25 feet. The side slopes of the basins will be no greater than 4:1 to 6:1 and will be constructed with more gradual slopes where possible. These slopes are consistent with other wetlands on site. Following final grading and stabilization, a total of 13 root-wads will be installed in reestablishment areas using material on site.

A tile drain is present in one of the lower field and is shown in (Appendix D). Disruption of this tile line in two locations (page 3 Appendix D) will be completed during Phase 1 to further enhance hydrology. Tile disruption, grading, and basin construction will be completed on the Site using a tracked excavator and bulldozer. PFO reestablishment of 5.28-acres will be achieved in the northernmost hayfield near Unit 1, through up-slope tile line disruption, cessation of mowing, rough disking (microtopography reintroduction), seeding and planting of hydrophytes (Table 3; shown in Appendix D, page 12, 17). This PFO reestablishment area is borderline wetland and is likely being maintained as an upland simply by upslope-drainage tile and periodic mowing regime, and reducing runoff rate through microtopography reintroduction along with seeding is anticipated to return the site to a wetland condition. Surrounding areas in similar topographic position are already dominated by PFO wetlands. Soils will be left loose to facilitate wetland plant establishment, and this area will be planted with coniferous tree species listed in Table 3.

An exploratory tile search will also be performed in Unit 3 in the Phase 2 reestablishment areas. The field in the Phase 2 area will be mowed, roughly disked to reintroduce microtopograhy, and seeded to hydrophytes and planted to tree species indicated in Table 3. This field appears to be maintained as upland in part due to drying and evaporation facilitated by regular mowing. Planting and seeding of plant communities identified in the Planting Plan (Appendix D) corresponds with species identified in Table 3. Seeding of restored wetland areas will begin following completion of construction. Upland areas disturbed during construction activities, including all access roads, will be stabilized with an upland seed mix. Shrub and tree plantings may be delayed following construction to synchronize planting with optimum planting conditions, early spring or fall. Planting of shrubs and trees will occur no later than June 30 of the year following construction.



**Phase 1 Unit 1.** This unit is located in the northwest corner of the Site. Construction plans include three irregularly shaped basins in this field. Excavation of 2-3 feet



**Phase 1 Unit 2.** Bordered on two sides by a forested wetland, plans for this unit include the excavation of several small basins with conifers planted across the remaining area.



#### Phase 2

Hydrological and soils investigations suggested Phase 2 areas likely once supported a forested wetland similar to the larger northern white cedar swamp on the property. There is a large ditch present in the NE corner of the field that may present an outlet for tile line if present (no tile line has been observed). We will include a tile-search/disruption as part of Unit 3 construction activities to be performed in Phase 2. This phase will be implemented as credit needs arise or as funding levels allow, whichever comes first. Phase 2 will include the creation one wetland complex (five shallow basins) encompassing 3.14 acres in the southeastern hay field near Willoughby Lake Road. Maximum basin depth will range from 1 to 3.25 feet. Basin side slopes will be no greater than 6:1 and will be constructed with more gradual slopes where possible. Soil borings taken in these areas found loam and clay loams suitable for basin construction and retention of precipitation and runoff. Following final grading and stabilization, a total of 9 root-wads will be installed in reestablishment areas using material on site

DU anticipates approximately 40% of the PFO/Upland Mosaic Reestablishment areas to the east of Unit 3 will revert to wetlands following rough disking (microtopography reintroduction) and seeding to hydrophytes. Credit production in this zone will be dependent on acreage that reverts to wetland, credit totals may be adjusted downward to reflect upland buffer preservation if necessary.



**Phase 2 Construction Area.** Pictured prior to hay cutting. This is the area just to the north of Willoughby Lake Road along the eastern side of the property. DU plans to maintain this area as grassland habitat for species of conservation concern such as Bobolinks which were observed on site in July 2018 and 2019.



**Phase 2 Construction Area.** Pictured post-hay cut in October 2018. Silt loam soils appear to be suitable for wetland restoration activities. Areas of high water-table suggest this area may have contained some forested wetlands similar to those found elsewhere on the property. In addition to the excavation of basins, surrounding areas will be disked to create microtopography and encourage the establishment of hydrophytes. Wetland seed mix will be broadcast throughout graded and disked areas in this field and trees and shrubs will be planted. See Appendix D for planting plan.

# 6.5 Grading Plan, Including Elevations and Slopes of Substrate

Grading with finished elevations are shown in the plan and profile pages of Appendix D. These include tile drain exploration and disruption, grading, and basin construction to collect and retain groundwater and surface runoff. Slopes shall not exceed 4:1 in the basins. Final grading will leave the topsoil in a loose condition conducive to broadcast seeding. The erosion and sediment control plan in Appendix D outlines the stormwater best management practices that will be used.

#### 6.6 Methods for Establishing Desired Plant Community

Establishing the desired plant community will be achieved by active and passive means. During the wetland delineation, some hydrophytic vegetation was observed in the agricultural fields, providing evidence of a hydrophytic seed bank that may reestablish following hydrological restoration. All reestablished and rehabilitated wetland areas will be broadcast with a wetland seed mix containing species of variable shade tolerance (Table 3). Species selection was formulated following a review of "Native Vegetation for Lakeshores, Streamsides, and Wetland Buffers" (Vermont Department of Environmental Conservation, 1994). The planting plan in



Appendix D reflects a goal to reestablish multiple wetland cover types (i.e., PEM, PSS, PFO). Disturbed upland areas will be planted to a mixture of cool season and native grasses in order to prevent erosion, while increasing the diversity of the plant community.


#### Table 3. Planting List

Target Area	Common Name	Scientific Name	Wetland Indicator Status	Number or % by Weight	Propagule Type	Quantity/Acre	
	mud plantain	Alisma subcordatum	OBL	8%			
	swamp milkweed	Asclepias incarnata	OBL	5%			
	sensitive fern	Ononclea sensibilis	FACW	3%			
	bristly sedge	Carex comosa	OBL	5%			
	nodding sedge	Carex crinita	OBL	5%			
	hop sedge	Carex lupulina	OBL	5%			
	tussock sedge	Carex stricta	OBL	5%			
All	fox sedge	Carex vulpinoidea	OBL	5%	wetland		
Disturbed Wetland	steeplebush	Spirea tomentosa	FACW	10%	seed mix % by	3 lbs seed	
Areas	Canada wildrye	Elymus canadensis	FACU	12%	weight	iniziare, acre	
	riverbank wildrye	Elymus riparius	FACW	5%			
	soft rush	Juncus effusus	OBL	2%			
	Blue flag iris	Iris versicolor	OBL	5%			
	Pennsylvania smartweed	Polygonum pensylvanicum	FACW	5%			
	joe pye weed	Eupatorium maculatum	OBL	5%			
	rice cutgrass	Leersia oryzoides	OBL	10%			
	blue vervain	Verbena hastata	FACW	5%			
	speckled alder	Alnus incana	FACW	50	> 18" bare	sum to ≥300 stems/acre	
	steeplebush	Spirea tomentosa	FACW	50			
PSS	red osier dogwood	Cornus sericea	FACW	50			
155	silky dogwood	Cornus amomum	FACW	50	root/potted		
	winterberry	Ilex verticillata	FACW	50			
	nannyberry	Viburnum lentago	FAC	50			
	gray birch	Betula populifolia	FAC	25			
Conifer	balsam fir	Abies balsamea	FAC	60			
Mix (PFO)	red maple	Acer rubrum	FAC	60			
PFO	white spruce	Picea glauca	FACU	50	> 18" bare root/potted	sum to <u>&gt;</u> 300 stems/acre	
Mosaic, Upland	eastern white pine	Pinus strobus	FACU	25			
Buffer)	northern white cedar	Thuja occidentalis	FACW	50			
	eastern hemlock	Tsuga canadensis	FACU	30			
	upland bentgrass	Agrostis perennans	FACU	30%			
All	big bluestem	Andropogon gerardii	FACU	20%	upland		
Disturbed Upland	Canada wildrye	Elymus canadensis	FACU	10%	seed mix % bv	36 lbs seed mixture/acre	
Areas	annual ryegrass	Lolium multiflorum	FACU	30%	weight		
	witchgrass Panicum virgatum		FAC	10%			

\**Exact species composition subject to commercial availability.* Species composition is based on wetland species assemblages observed on site and nearby reference communities. Reference communities are detailed in Appendix E.

#### 6.7 Soil Management and Erosion Control Measures

All slopes, soils, substrates, and constructed features within and adjacent to the work Site will follow stabilization protocols described in the Willoughby Lake Road Erosion and Sediment Control Plan (Appendix D). DU will obtain all necessary permits (e.g., stormwater) prior to construction.

#### 7. Maintenance Plan

DU will take appropriate measures after initial construction to ensure continued Site maturation. DU will be responsible for monitoring and maintenance activities. Monitoring will occur regularly throughout the growing season from approximately May through September of each year. Inspections include but are not limited to: Site hydrology, plant community development, percent cover and presence of invasive species, and functioning of basins. Maintenance activities may be triggered by:

- During yearly monitoring (Section 9), management concerns (e.g., deer herbivory, unauthorized motor vehicle use, dumping) and appropriate adaptive management strategies will be developed and implemented as necessary. These include but are not limited to erection of fencing, placement of barriers to prohibit unauthorized motor vehicle use, and contacting local authorities. Plant community management may take on the form of mechanical removal, mowing, herbicide application or another appropriate form to control invasive plant species.
- Unforeseen environmental conditions may affect the success of the project, but their effects can generally be managed through early detection. Flooding, drought, invasive species, Site degradation, erosion, and vandalism are examples of some adverse conditions that can be managed.
- Routine maintenance checks, for example, on plant, survival health, growth and vigor, number of stems surviving, unwanted plant species, trash, herbivores, and areas with chronic erosion.
- Deer herbivory will be monitored, but in general densities are not very high compared to other parts of Vermont. Supplemental plantings, fencing, etc. may be required as adaptive management techniques.

- Supplemental plantings may be added to meet performance standards, especially to overcome adverse weather conditions early within the Site establishment phases.
- Corrective measures may include adding or removing plants as conditions warrant, modifying local topography to ensure wetland hydrology, and additional mulching and seeding as needed.
- Routine basin erosion checks. Any eroded areas will be repaired and reseeded.
- Routine checks of signs and associated maintenance will be performed.
- Estimated costs for monitoring and reporting are provided in Appendix F.

#### 8. Performance Standards

Success within the ILF Site is based on achieving perpetual site protection and meeting the USACE criteria for the three parameters described in the "Corps of Engineers Wetlands Delineation Manual" (Environmental Laboratory, 1987), with the current Corps of Engineers' Northcentral and Northeast Regional Supplement (USACE, 2012). These parameters require sufficient:

- 1. *wetland hydrology* to support adequate
- 2. *hydrophytic vegetation*, ultimately forming
- 3. *hydric soils*, all of which describe a functioning wetland.

Pre-construction project milestones include final site plan approval, filing of a deed restriction, and execution of a Conservation Easement. Half of the total preservation credits will be released after final site plan approval and filing of a USACE-approved Deed Restriction (Appendix A), and the other half of preservation credits will be released after executing a USACE-approved Conservation Easement (Table 4 and Appendix B).

Construction will be phased according to funding levels, and will be completed in accordance with approved plans and specifications in the permit. The soils on the Site will be stabilized and any non-biodegradable erosion controls will be removed. All areas with soil disturbance will have at least 80% vegetative cover by the end of the first full growing season following construction and at least 90% by the end of the second full growing season following construction. Four wood duck boxes will be installed (two per unit for units 1 and 2).



The performance standards criteria described below will be monitored over a ten-year term that begins following the submittal of a post-construction as-built; the monitoring term includes three interim goals, and the final success criteria. When met, each interim goal would release 20% of the total remaining credits (i.e., credits remaining following mitigation plan and as-built approval). The final 30% of remaining credits would be released after the final vegetative goals have been met, a USACE approved long-term management plan has been approved, and all other obligations and performance standards set forth in the instrument amendment and permit have been met. If areas of the ILF Site are not meeting full performance criteria at the end of the 10-year monitoring period, the project sponsor may request that the areas be evaluated for partial credit release at a lower credit ratio, a modification to the instrument amendment may be requested, and/or additional corrective action/monitoring may be required. It is important to note that the first two options will only be considered in the event that all efforts to meet standards and obligations have been exhausted (including corrective action).

#### 8.1 Post-Construction As-Built Releases 10% of Credits When:

• USACE Approves the As-Built Report

## **8.2** First Interim Goal Anticipated to Coincide with Year 1 Monitoring Releases 20% of Restoration and Rehabilitation Credits When:

- PEM, PSS and PFO areas shall have at least 50% relative coverage by native perennial hydrophytes.
- PSS and PFO areas shall have at least 150 native shrubs/trees per acre, and those stems will display normal and healthy growth, free of disease and pests.
- ≥10% of the aerial coverage of the vegetation in credit producing areas will consist of the plant species identified by the USACE in the "New England District Compensatory Mitigation Guidance Appendix K: Invasive and other Unacceptable Plant Species" (USACE, 2016).
- Upland buffer rehabilitation and mosaic areas have at least 50% relative coverage by woody species.
- Upland buffer rehabilitation and mosaic areas shall have at least 150 native shrubs/trees per acre, and those stems will display normal and healthy growth, free of disease and pests

## **8.3** Second Interim Goal Anticipated to Coincide with Year 3 Monitoring Releases 20% of Restoration & Rehabilitation Credits When:

- PEM, PSS and PFO areas shall have at least 60% relative coverage by native perennial hydrophytes.
- PSS and PFO areas shall have at least 200 native shrubs/trees per acre, and those stems will display normal and healthy growth, free of disease and pests.

- ≥10% of the aerial coverage of the vegetation in credit producing areas will consist of the plant species identified by the USACE in the "New England District Compensatory Mitigation Guidance - Appendix K: Invasive and other Unacceptable Plant Species" (USACE, 2016).
- Upland buffer rehabilitation and mosaic areas have at least 60% relative coverage by woody species.
- Upland buffer rehabilitation and mosaic areas shall have at least 200 native shrubs/trees per acre, and those stems will display normal and healthy growth, free of disease and pests.

# 8.4 Third Interim Goal Anticipated to Coincide with Year 5 Monitoring Releases 20% of Restoration & Rehabilitation Credits When:

- A wetland delineation confirmed by the USACE indicates total wetland area meets or exceeds acreages proposed.
- PEM, PSS and PFO areas shall have at least 70% relative coverage by native perennial hydrophytes.
- PSS and PFO areas shall have at least 250 native shrubs/trees per acre, and those stems will display normal and healthy growth, free of disease and pests.
- ≥10% of the aerial coverage of the vegetation in credit producing areas will consist of the plant species identified by the USACE in the "New England District Compensatory Mitigation Guidance Appendix K: Invasive and other Unacceptable Plant Species" (USACE, 2016).
- Upland buffer rehabilitation and mosaic areas have at least 70% relative coverage by woody species.
- Upland buffer rehabilitation and mosaic areas shall have at least 250 native shrubs/trees per acre, and those stems will display normal and healthy growth, free of disease and pests.

#### 8.5 Final Goal Releases 30% of Restoration & Rehabilitation Credits at The End of the 10-Year Monitoring Period

- A wetland delineation confirmed by the USACE indicates total wetland area meets or exceeds acreages proposed.
- PEM, PSS and PFO areas shall have at least 80% relative coverage by native perennial hydrophytes.
- PSS and PFO areas shall have at least 300 native shrubs/trees per acre, and those stems will display normal and healthy growth, free of disease and pests.
  - PSS zones will have at least half of the stems growing as shrub species.
  - PFO zones will have at least half of the stems growing as tree species. Trees shall be 7' 10' high or > 3" DBH.
- ≥10% of the aerial coverage of the vegetation in credit producing areas will consist of the plant species identified by the USACE in the "New England District Compensatory Mitigation Guidance Appendix K: Invasive and other Unacceptable Plant Species" (USACE, 2016).
- Upland buffer rehabilitation and mosaic areas have at least 80% relative coverage by woody species.
  - Upland buffer rehabilitation and mosaic areas 300 native shrubs/trees per acre, and those stems will display normal and healthy growth, free of disease and pests.

- Upland buffer rehabilitation and mosaic areas have at least half of the stems growing as tree species. Trees shall be 7' 10' high or > 3" DBH.
- A Corps approved Long-Term Management Plan and Conservation Easement have been executed and funded.
- All other obligations and performance standards set forth in the instrument amendment and permit have been met

#### Table 4. Credit Release & Reporting Schedule

Timeline	<b>Reporting Schedule</b>	Percentage	Type of Credit	Performance Standards	Credits
Pre-Construction	N/A	50%	Preservation credit	USACE approves Willoughby Lake Road Mitigation Plan ILF Amendment and USACE- approved Deed Restriction is executed	5.0917
		50%	Preservation credit	Conservation Easement is executed.	5.0917

<u>Sub-total</u> <u>10.1833</u>

#### Phase 1: Restoration and Rehabilitation of 43.57 acres of Wetlands & Uplands\*

Year 0	N/A	10%	Restoration & Rehabilitation	USACE approves post-construction as-built.	0.6997
Year 1 or 1 <sup>st</sup> Interim Goals	First Monitoring Report: Phase 1	20%	Restoration & Rehabilitation	<ol> <li>PEM, PSS, PFO areas have at least 50% relative cover by native perennial hydrophytes.</li> <li>PSS and PFO areas have at least 150 native shrubs/trees per acre, and stems will be healthy, free of disease and pests.</li> <li>&lt;10% aerial coverage of vegetation in credit producing areas will consist of plant species identified by New England District Compensatory Mitigation Guidance Appendix K as invasive and unacceptable species.</li> <li>Upland buffer rehabilitation and mosaic areas will have at least 50% relative cover by woody species.</li> <li>Upland buffer rehabilitation and mosaic areas will have at least 150 native shrubs/trees per acre, and stems will be healthy, free of disease &amp; pests.</li> </ol>	1.3994



Timeline	<b>Reporting Schedule</b>	Percentage	Type of Credit	Performance Standards	Credits
Year 3 or 2 <sup>nd</sup> Interim Goals	Second Monitoring Report: Phase 1	<ul> <li>20% Restoration &amp; Rehabilitation</li> <li>20% Restoration &amp; Rehabilitation</li> <li>2. PSS and PFO areas have at least 200 native shrubs/trees per acre, and stems will be healthy, free of disease and pests.</li> <li>3. &lt;10% aerial coverage of vegetation in credit producing areas will consist of plant species identified by New England District Compensatory Mitigation Guidance Appendix K as invasive and unacceptable species.</li> <li>4. Upland buffer rehabilitation and mosaic areas will have at least 60% relative cover by woody species.</li> <li>5. Upland buffer rehabilitation and mosaic areas will have at least 200 native shrubs/trees per acre, and stems will be healthy, free of disease &amp; pests.</li> </ul>		1.3994	
Year 5 or 3 <sup>rd</sup> Interim Goals	Third Monitoring Report: Phase 1	20%	Restoration & Rehabilitation	<ol> <li>PEM, PSS, PFO areas have at least 70% relative cover by native perennial hydrophytes.</li> <li>PSS and PFO areas have at least 250 native shrubs/trees per acre, and stems will be healthy, free of disease and pests.</li> <li>&lt;10% aerial coverage of vegetation in credit producing areas will consist of plant species identified by New England District Compensatory Mitigation Guidance Appendix K as invasive and unacceptable species.</li> <li>Upland buffer rehabilitation and mosaic areas will have at least 70% relative cover by woody species.</li> <li>Upland buffer rehabilitation and mosaic areas will have at least 250 native shrubs/trees per acre, and stems will be healthy, free of disease &amp; pests.</li> </ol>	1.3994

Timeline	<b>Reporting Schedule</b>	Percentage	Type of Credit	Performance Standards	Credits
Year 7	Fourth Monitoring Report: Phase 1	none	noneRestoration & RehabilitationNote: no performance standards are associated with this monitoring event but DU will provide a summary of project performance as it relates to 		0
Year 10 or Final Goals	Final Monitoring Report: Phase 1	30%	Restoration & Rehabilitation	<ol> <li>Wetland delineation confirmed by USACE indicates total wetland area meets or exceeds acreages proposed in Phase 1.</li> <li>PEM, PSS&lt; and PFO areas have at least 80% relative cover by native perennial hydrophytes.</li> <li>PSS and PFO areas have at least 300 native shrubs/trees per acre, and stems display normal growth, free of disease &amp; pests. PSS zones have at least half of stems growing as shrub species. PFO zones have at least half of stems growing as tree species. Trees are 7'-10' high or &gt;3" DBH.</li> <li>Long Term Management Plan is executed, and all other conditions of permit and Mitigation Site Plan have been completed.</li> </ol>	2.0991

<u>Sub-total</u> <u>6.997</u>

Timeline	<b>Reporting Schedule</b>	Percentage	Type of Credit	Performance Standards	Credits
Year 0	N/A	10%	Restoration & Rehabilitation	Construction is completed and USACE approves as-built.	0.6214
Year 1 or 1 <sup>st</sup> Interim Goals	First Monitoring Report	20%	Restoration & Rehabilitation	<ol> <li>PEM, PSS, PFO areas have at least 50% relative cover by native perennial hydrophytes.</li> <li>PSS and PFO areas have at least 150 native shrubs/trees per acre, and stems will be healthy, free of disease and pests.</li> <li>&lt;10% aerial coverage of vegetation in credit producing areas will consist of plant species identified by New England District Compensatory Mitigation Guidance Appendix K as invasive and unacceptable species.</li> <li>Upland buffer rehabilitation and mosaic areas will have at least 50% relative cover by woody species.</li> <li>Upland buffer rehabilitation and mosaic areas will have at least 150 native shrubs/trees per acre, and stems will be healthy, free of disease &amp; pests.</li> </ol>	1.2428
Year 3 or 2 <sup>nd</sup> Interim Goals	Second Monitoring Report	20%	Restoration & Rehabilitation	<ol> <li>PEM, PSS, PFO areas have at least 60% relative cover by native perennial hydrophytes.</li> <li>PSS and PFO areas have at least 200 native shrubs/trees per acre, and stems will be healthy, free of disease and pests.</li> <li>&lt;10% aerial coverage of vegetation in credit producing areas will consist of plant species identified by New England District Compensatory Mitigation Guidance Appendix K as invasive and unacceptable species.</li> <li>Upland buffer rehabilitation and mosaic areas will have at least 60% relative cover by woody species.</li> <li>Upland buffer rehabilitation and mosaic areas will have at least 200 native shrubs/trees per acre, and stems will be healthy, free of disease &amp; pests.</li> </ol>	1.2428
Year 5 or 3 <sup>rd</sup> Interim Goals	Third Monitoring Report	20%	Restoration & Rehabilitation	1. PEM, PSS, PFO areas have at least 70% relative cover by native perennial hydrophytes.	1.2428

### Phase 2: Restoration and Rehabilitation of 24.4 acres of wetlands & uplands\*

Timeline	<b>Reporting Schedule</b>	Percentage	Type of Credit	Performance Standards	Credits
				2. PSS and PFO areas have at least 250 native shrubs/trees per acre, and stems will be healthy, free of disease and pests.	
			3. <10% aerial coverage of vegetation in credit produc areas will consist of plant species identified by New E District Compensatory Mitigation Guidance Appendix invasive and unacceptable species.		
			4. Upland buffer rehabilitation and mosaic areas will have at least 70% relative cover by woody species.		
				5. Upland buffer rehabilitation and mosaic areas will have at least 250 native shrubs/trees per acre, and stems will be healthy, free of disease & pests.	
Year 7	Fourth Monitoring Report	none	Restoration & Rehabilitation	Note: no performance standards are associated with this monitoring event but DU will provide a summary of project performance as it relates to Year 10 standards.	0
				1. Wetland delineation confirmed by USACE indicates total wetland area meets or exceeds acreages proposed in Phase 1.	
Year 10 or Final Goals	Final Monitoring Report	30%	Restoration & Rehabilitation	<ol> <li>2. PEM, PSS&lt; and PFO areas have at least 80% relative cover by native perennial hydrophytes.</li> <li>3. PSS and PFO areas have at least 300 native shrubs/trees per acre, and stems display normal growth, free of disease &amp; pests. PSS zones have at least half of stems growing as shrub species. PFO zones have at least half of stems growing as tree species. Trees are 7'-10' high or &gt;3" DBH.</li> <li>4. Long Term Management Plan is executed, and all other conditions of permit and Mitigation Site Plan have been completed.</li> </ol>	1.8642

Sub-total 6.214

\*If construction is not phased, all combined Phase 1 and 2 credit will be released according to the percentages and milestones described in Phase 1.

\*\*Credit releases are anticipated to coincide with a given year, but they may deviate based on performance. If planting is delayed, a separate notification of complete planting will be provided to the USACE aside from the As-built; As-builts will be submitted by February of the year following construction activities.

#### 8.6 Wetland Hydrology and Hydric Soils

The wetland reestablishment areas have soil saturation and/or evidence of inundation water height measurements during five out of the ten growing seasons but not exceeding the hydrology appropriate for the proposed wetland type. A wetland delineation shall be performed by the fifth monitoring year. To demonstrate that the requisite wetland hydrology has been established/restored, the wetlands on the site must be inundated (flooded or ponded) or the water table is  $\leq 12$  inches below the soil surface for  $\geq 10\%$  of the growing season (based on Newport, Vermont, 126 days) or  $\geq 12.6$  consecutive days at a minimum frequency of 5 years in 10 ( $\geq 50\%$ probability). Performance towards this metric will be determined using an analysis of monitoring well data recorded in five representative reestablishment areas and compared to a minimum of two on-site reference locations. Well locations will be clearly marked on monitoring plans submitted. Any combination of inundation or shallow water table is acceptable in meeting the 12.6-day minimum requirement. Short-term monitoring data may be used to address the frequency requirement if the normality of rainfall occurring prior to and during the monitoring period each year is considered.

#### 9. Monitoring Requirements

#### 9.1 Monitoring Report Requirements

Annual Site monitoring will begin after construction is completed and will continue for ten (10) years for each phase. Monitoring reports will be submitted as outlined in Table 4. Monitoring locations are shown in Appendix D. Monitoring reports will include:

- A survey drawing of the mitigation area, delineated wetlands. Monitoring stations and/or transect locations, vegetation communities, and planting zones will also be identified on the drawings. Drawings will include overlays to show pre-construction conditions and temporal changes in the hydrology and vegetative community.
- Color photographs corresponding to photopoint location map showing all representative areas of each cover type within the mitigation site.

- A plant species list providing Wetland Indicator Status and strata (herb, shrub, tree).
   Dominant plants will be highlighted, and the percent of the aerial cover noted. Plants introduced through seeding or planting will be indicated.
- Water depth and the date of measurement from fixed locations within the wetland will be recorded. These sample points and data-logger locations will be plotted on the survey drawings.
- A list of wildlife species observed using the Site.
- Methodologies used to control invasive vegetation (e.g., *Phalaris arundinacea*, *Lythrum salicaria*).
- A quantitative assessment of monitoring data and a summary of whether or not the goals of the mitigation project are being met, and if necessary, a plan with an implementation timetable to correct any deficiencies.

#### 9.2 Reporting Schedule

Monitoring reports, including an As-Built Report will be submitted no later than February 28 and will describe conditions in the prior growing season (Table 4). The As-Built Report will be submitted following the completion of construction and planting and will include a detailed contour map and any deviations from the construction plans. Each report cover sheet shall indicate the year and report number. All reports described in this section will be submitted to the Vermont IRT, and to the New England District, 11 Lincoln Street, Room 210, Essex Junction, VT 05452 and to the New England District Regulatory Division, 696 Virginia Road, Concord, MA 01742-2751. All monitoring, reporting, requests and adaptive management implementation will be the responsibility of DU, its subcontractors, or assigns. Measures requiring additional soil manipulation or changes in hydrology will be undertaken only after written approval from the New England District Engineer has been received.

#### 10. Long-term Management Plan, Including Financial Arrangements

Ducks Unlimited will retain Long Term Management responsibilities for the site and serve as the Long-Term Steward (LT Steward). The responsibilities of the LT Steward are outlined in Table 5 and will be further described in a LTMP subject to USACE approval. LTMP responsibilities will begin when the final performance standards outlined in Section 8 are signed off on by USACE or site closure has occurred. It is anticipated the long-term management phase will commence approximately 10 years following construction. At that time, The LT Steward shall implement the LTMP, managing and monitoring the Site to preserve its habitat and conservation values. The LTMP will be structured to enable project phasing as identified herein. At the start of the long-term management phase, the LT Steward will update the baseline Site conditions described in the LTMP to reflect current conditions. During the long-term management phase, the Site will be monitored at least annually by the LT Steward, and identification of threats to the Sites' conservation values will trigger adaptive management actions to maintain the integrity of the Site. The responsibilities of the LT Steward include prevention of unauthorized use, dumping, as well as adaptive management of invasive plant species, and maintenance of signage designating the area as a protected area.

DU will retain funds for a long-term stewardship endowment and maintain it as a non-wasting endowment to cover costs of annual monitoring, management of invasive species as needed, regular maintenance of signs, prevention of dumping, unauthorized use, and any other requirements of the LTMP. Anticipated long-term management activities for the entire Site and their costs are identified in Table 5. At a conservative 4.0% annual growth, we estimate \$4,342 will be available annually for maintenance and adaptive management based on a \$108,541.63 endowment. The final endowment amount is subject to approval by the USACE and shall reflect anticipated costs to carry-out the USACE-approved LTMP. The USACE will be consulted if changes to the LT Steward or the LTMP are proposed.

#### Table 5. Anticipated Long-term Management Needs Through all Phases.

The final endowment amount will be subject to approval by USACE and subject to approval of a Long-Term Management Plan.

Anticipated Management Activity*	Monitoring Method	Annual Monitoring Total	Action	Action Frequency	Action Cost	Annual Action Total
Invasive Species	Visual inspection	\$100	Herbicide spraying and hand pulling	1/year	\$1,000	\$1,100
Trash Removal/Prevention of Unauthorized Access	Visual Inspection	\$200	Prevent access for dumping	1/year	\$500	\$700
Maintaining posted signs	Visual Inspection	\$200	Replace/repair signs	1/year	\$100	\$300
Erosion	Visual Inspection \$100		Stabilize with appropriate materials (rock, plantings, etc.)	Every 3 years	\$500	\$267
Animal damage	Visual inspection	\$75	Relocate/eliminate animals and repair damage	Every 2 years	\$700	\$425
Terrafirma Insurance						\$50
Contingency (including inflation)		\$1,500				\$1,500

\$4,342 4.0%

\$108,541.63

#### 11. Adaptive Management Plan, Including Addressing Invasive Species Control

Every wetland site has its own unique characteristics that should be addressed with an adaptive management plan for long-term viability. Unforeseen environmental conditions can also affect a wetland's viability. Flooding, prolonged drought, invasive species, site degradation (i.e., trash dumping, illegal logging, unauthorized motor vehicle use), erosion and vandalism are examples of some adverse conditions that with early detection and proper management can be overcome. Regular monitoring of the Site will ensure adaptive management activities are implemented as new information is gathered. Completion of the regular maintenance activities outlined in

Section 7 such as invasive species control and trash removal during routine monitoring trips will reduce the need for larger intervention. DU will regularly review the status of this Site to confirm that performance standards in Section 8 are being achieved and adequate hydrology and hydrophytic plant cover has become established and is progressing along a trajectory to meet final performance standards. After construction, DU will conduct a minimum of two monitoring visits during each growing season to evaluate the progress of the Site relative to the performance standards outlined in Section 8. Additional visits may be required if remedial action is needed.

Monitoring visits include, observing water levels, evaluating the plant community development through vegetation monitoring (i.e., woody stem counts, invasive species cover), inspecting basins for erosion, evaluating herbivory, and looking for any damage to the Site. Data collected during these visits will be summarized in the monitoring reports outlined in Section 9.1 and compared against the performance standards specified in Section 8. If any repairs or maintenance items are needed, DU will utilize adaptive management to address the issue(s).

When monitoring indicates that a performance standard is not being met, the causes for failure will be evaluated to determine if simply more time is needed or whether a remedial action may be required. Remedial action to help the Site meet the performance standard shall be taken as soon as practicable once an issue has been identified. Remedial actions may include, but are not limited to, seeding or planting to replace dead plants, planting of new species better suited to the site, non-native plant control, and erosion control measures. Invasive species control methods include, but are not limited to, spraying, hand pulling, and mechanical removal. DU staff will be regularly monitoring the Site throughout the growing season to minimize the possibility for basin failure. Remedial actions requiring earth movement or changes in hydrology will not be implemented without approval from USACE.

If USACE in consultation with the IRT, determines that the site (or any portion thereof) is failing to make satisfactory progress towards meeting any performance goal within the monitoring period, DU must develop a remedial action plan to correct the deficiencies, or alternately a reduction of credits may be levied against underperforming areas. In the prior case, the remedial action plan shall be submitted to the IRT within three months of receipt of written notification of deficiencies from USACE. Remedial action plans may include suggested modifications to



improve hydrology (e.g., regrading, addition of water control structures, ditch plugs, groundwater dams), and or additional plantings. The IRT shall in a timely manner provide written acceptance of the submitted plan or a modified plan acceptable to the IRT. The IRT-accepted remedial action plan (as submitted by DU or as modified by the IRT) will then be returned to DU and DU shall implement the measures specified in the remedial action plan within six months or along a timeline as otherwise provided in the remedial action plan. Once the monitoring period is over, the completed wetland will be managed by the long-term steward and managed only as needed and specified in a USACE approved Long-Term Management Plan.

#### **12. Financial Assurances**

If required by USACE, financial assurances for the construction and performance of the Site will be provided by DU in the form of a performance bond. The financial assurances will extend sufficient financial resources to completely cover the cost of construction and replanting of the project if necessary, to achieve success. In the project budget (Appendix F) we estimate construction, planting and associated staffing costs for Phase 1 at \$372,929.46. Financial assurances shall be phased out once determined the USACE determines construction was successful. The financial assurances will not be called upon unless DU has exhausted the existing project budget, including all money set aside for contingency, wetland maintenance, or other available funding resources for correction.

#### References

Anderson, KL, Leopold DJ 2002. The role of canopy gaps in maintaining vascular plant diversity in a forested wetland in New York State. Journal of the Torrey Botanical Society.

Ducks Unlimited, Inc. 2005, 2019. International Conservation Plan. Memphis, TN.

- Environmental Laboratory, 1987. Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual (Technical Report Y-87-1) U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, MS.
- Hunter, E.A., Raney, P.A., Gibbs, J.P., and Leopold, D.J. 2012. Improving wetland mitigation Site identification through community distribution modeling and a patch-based ranking scheme. Wetlands 32:841–850
- Memphremagog Study Advisory Group. 2019. Study of Nutrient Loading and Impacts in Lake Memphremagog – Preliminary Report Draft. Available online at <u>https://ijc.org/Sites/default/</u> <u>files/2019-11/11-25-2019%20Nutrient%20Loading%20Memphremagog%20Report%20</u> <u>DRAFT.pdf.</u> Accessed Feb 2020.
- Raney, P.A., Leopold, D.J. 2018. Fantastic wetlands and where to find them: Modeling rich fen distribution in New York State with maxent. Wetlands. 38, 81-93.
- Scanga, S.E. (2014) Population dynamics in canopy gaps: Non-linear response to variable light regimes by an understory plant. Plant Ecology 215:927-935.
- Soil Survey Staff, Natural Resources Conservation Service, United States Department of Agriculture. Web Soil Survey. Available online at <u>http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/</u>. Accessed Feb 2014.
- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. 2010. New England District Compensatory Mitigation Guidance. Retrieved from <u>http://www.nae.usace.army.mil/Portals/74/docs/regulatory/Mitigation/CompensatoryMitigationGuidance.pdf</u>.
- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. 2012. Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Northcentral and Northeast Region (Version 2.0). Retrieved from <u>https://www.mvp.usace.army.mil/Portals/57/docs/regulatory/Website%20Organization/N</u> <u>orthcentral%20and%20Northeast%20Regional%20Supplement.pdf</u>
- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. 2016. New England District Compensatory Mitigation Guidance. Retrieved from: <u>https://www.nae.usace.army.mil/portals/74/docs/regulatory/Mitigation/2016\_New\_Engla</u> nd Compensatory Mitigation Guidance.pdf
- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. 2016. Northcentral and Northeast 2016 Regional Wetland Plant List. Retrieved from <u>http://wetland-plants.usace.army.mil/nwpl\_static/data/DOC/lists\_2016/Regions/pdf/reg\_NCNE\_2016v1.pdf</u>

United States Fish and Wildlife Service. 1986. North American Waterfowl Management Plan.

Department of the Interior, Washington, D.C., USA.

- United States Fish and Wildlife Service. 2012. North American Waterfowl Management Plan. Department of the Interior, Washington, D.C., USA.
- Vermont Department of Environmental Conservation. 1994. Native Vegetation for Lakeshores, Streamsides, and Wetland Buffers. Vermont Agency of Natural Resources, Waterbury, VT.
- Vermont Wildlife Action Plan Team. 2015. Vermont Wildlife Action Plan 2015. Vermont Fish and Wildlife Department. Montpelier, VT. <u>http://www.vtfishandwildlife.com</u>
- Vermont Fish and Wildlife Department. 2016. Synonymy of Vermont Natural Community Types with National Vegetation Classification Associations Natural Heritage Inventory.



Appendix A. Deed Restriction (Notice of Mitigation Requirements)



RECORD AND RETURN TO: WETLANDS AMERICA TRUST, INC. ONE WATERFOWL WAY MEMPHIS, TENNESSEE 38120

#### NOTICE OF MITIGATION REQUIREMENTS

- 1.1 <u>Fee-Title Ownership</u>. By [Warranty Deed], recorded on [date], in the land records of [County] County, [State], at [Book] [###], [Page] [###], WETLANDS AMERICA TRUST, INC., a supporting organization of Ducks Unlimited, Inc., and a non-profit corporation organized under the laws of the District of Columbia, with an address of One Waterfowl Way, Memphis, Tennessee 38120 ("Land Trust"), acquired in fee simple approximately [Acres (###)] acres, more or less, of real property ("Property") located in [County] County, [State], and more particularly described in Exhibit A.
- 1.2 <u>In-Lieu Fee Program</u>. **DUCKS UNLIMITED, INC.**, a non-profit corporation organized under the laws of the District of Columbia, with an address of One Waterfowl Way, Memphis, Tennessee 38120, operates a Vermont In-Lieu Fee Program ("**Program**") to provide a third-party, compensatory mitigation option for unavoidable impacts to waters of the United States (including both wetlands, streams, and other aquatic resources). The Program instrument was approved on January 6, 2011, by the Army Corps of Engineers under authority established by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act and Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act (New England District).
- 1.3 <u>Restoration Project</u>. Ducks Unlimited, Inc., has developed and implemented a wetland restoration plan ("**Project**") to restore and protect the wetland and associated upland habitat located on the Property in order to provide wetland mitigation credits under the Program. The Program instrument was amended on [Date] to incorporate the Project, and activities onsite will be limited to those described therein.
- 1.4 Long-Term Protection. In accordance with requirements of the Program, Ducks Unlimited, Inc., shall establish long-term protection of the Project through the Land Trust's transfer of title to, or through real-estate instruments such as conservation easements held by, entities such as non-profit conservation organizations or federal, tribal, state, or local resource agencies.
- 1.5 <u>Notice Requirements</u>. The Land Trust agrees to notify the <u>NEW ENGLAND DISTRICT</u> ENGINEER OF THE U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS, with an address of <u>11</u> Lincoln Street Room 201, Essex Junction, VT 05452 ("District Engineer"), at least sixty

(60) days in advance of transferring ownership of the Property. The Land Trust, and its successors and assigns, hereby agrees to be bound by the requirements of the Program to ensure the long-term protection of the Project as described in Section 1.4. In the event title to the Property is transferred to an entity other than those described in Section 1.4, the Land Trust hereby agrees that its transfer of ownership shall be contingent upon recording at or prior to the transfer of ownership of the Property a conservation easement or other protection instrument that is approved by the District Engineer to ensure long-term protection of the Project.

1.6 <u>Termination</u>. The requirements of this Notice must be satisfied at or prior to the time of transfer of title from the Land Trust to another entity. This Notice shall automatically expire upon such transfer.

In witness whereof Wetlands America Trust, Inc., has set its hand and seal this \_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_

, 20	
By:	Witness:
Printed Name:	Witness:
Title:	
State of TENNESSEE County of SHELBY	
On this day of personally appeared before	, 20,,,
Mitigation Requirements, which he ack	nowledged he is authorized to sign as the
of WETLANDS A	AMERICA TRUST, INC.
In witness whereof I hereunto set	my hand and official seal.
	D 11

(Seal)

20

Notary Public Printed Name: \_\_\_\_\_\_ My Commission Expires: \_\_\_\_\_\_



### EXHIBIT A LEGAL DESCRIPTION

{to be inserted prior to execution}



## Appendix B. Conservation Easement Boundary

Appendix B. Conservation Easement Boundary



#### **Appendix C. Wetland Delineation Report**

#### **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

Ducks Unlimited, Inc. (DU) investigated Site conditions at the Willoughby Lake Road Site in October 2018 and July 2019. The Site is located at Latitude: 44°47'4.16"N and Longitude: 72°6'49.82"W, off of Vermont Route 16 (Willoughby Lake Road) and Hunt Lane in the Town of Barton, Orleans County, Vermont. The Site is located in DU's St. Francois Service Area, which lies within the St. Francois River Basin 6-digit HUC (HUC 011100).

#### 2.0 METHODS

On-site data collection and wetland boundary delineation of the 243.90-acre property was performed by DU between October 10, 2018 and July 23 and 24 of 2019. The boundaries were delineated following the protocols outlined in the United States Army Corps of Engineers' (USACE) 1987 "Wetland Delineation Manual" and data were collected on the "Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineations Manual: Northcentral and Northeast Region (Version 2.0)" (Regional Supplement). A routine on-site determination was performed as specified in Section D of Chapter IV of the 1987 Delineation Manual. Prior to the delineation survey, the property was walked to identify general topography, drainage patterns, major plant communities, and potential areas of disturbance. Climatic/hydrologic conditions were typical for the time of year.

#### **3.0 RESULTS**

Normal circumstances were present at the times of data collection. The most prevalent type of wetland delineated at the Mitigation Site was palustrine forested (PFO, 66.28 acres) wetlands.

#### Wetland Delineation Map and Datasheets:



Wetland Name	Wetland Type	Wetland Acres	Datapoints	Latitude (N)	Longitude (W)
W-1	PEM	0.09	DPW3	44°47'8.219"	72°6'12.195"
W-2	PEM	0.23	DPW14	44°47'4.754"	72°6'15.375"
W-3	PEM	0.14	DPW2	44°47'7.086"	72°6'16.552"
W-4	PEM	0.15	DPW1	44°47'6.486"	72°6'21.044"
W-5	PEM	0.96	DPW4	44°47'9.963"	72°6'19.189"
W-6	PEM	0.79	DPW5	44°47'17.02"	72°6'19.612"
W-7	PEM	0.67	DPW20	44°47'11.104"	72°6'15.154"
W-8	PEM/UPL Mosaic	10.18	DPW6	44°47'11.457"	72°6'25.452"
W O	DEM	14.01	DPW11	44°47'32.246"	72°6'51.728"
VV-9	PEM	14.81	DPW12	44°47'22.865"	72°6'37.801"
W-10	PEM	2.65	DPW9	44°47'35.405"	72°7'2.121"
W-11	PEM	2.62	DPW8	44°47'40.219"	72°7'3.66"
W-12	PEM	0.75	DPW10	44°47'30.102"	72°7'1.781"
			DPW13	44°47'31.836"	72°6'38.211"
W-13	PFO	47.29	DPW15	44°47'15.261"	72°6'31.153"
			DPW19	44°47'36.528"	72°6'56.753"
W 14	DEO	18.00	DPW16	44°47'11.319"	72°7'5.103"
vv-14	rtu	10.99	DPW18	44°47'35.267"	72°7'6.513"
W-15	PSS	0.75	DPW17	44°47'32.389"	72°7'9.04"
W-16	PEM	2.78	DPW7	44°47'11.503"	72°6'55.36"

Table 1. Delineated Wetlands at the Mitigation Site



**DPW3** Wetland 1 is a small, emergent wetland along the eastern edge of the parcel. Common spike-rush (*Eleocharis palustris*), pointed broom sedge (*Carex scoparia*), and green bulrush (*Scirpus atrovirens*) are dominant. Pictured July 23, 2019.



**DPW14** Wetland 2 is a linear wetland dominated by *Typha latifolia* and reed canary grass (*Phalaris arundiancea*). Due to it's proximity to a road culvert, hydrological modifications are not planned for this area. Pictured Otober 10, 2018.



**DPW2** Wetland 3 is an emergent wetland dominated by rough bent (*Agrostis scabra*), soft rush (*Juncus effusus*), and woolgrass (*Scirpus cyperinus*). Pictured July 23, 2019.



**DPW1** Wetland 4 is found in a large hay field to the south of Willougby Lake Rd. There is a high-water table that has created hydric soil conditions, and some hydrophytes. It is likely this field once contained a large forested wetland similar to Wetland 13 prior to clearing for agriculture. Photograph is from October 2018.



**DPW4** Wetland 5 is an emergent wetland dominated by fox sedge (*Carex vulpinoidea*), red clover (*Trifolium pratense*), and tall buttercup (*Ranunculus acris*). Several of the small, emergent wetlands in this eastern hay field will be planted to native hydrophytes. Pictured July 23, 2019.



**DPW5** Wetland 6 is an emergent wetland dominated by reed canary grass (*Phalaris arundinacea*) and fox sedge (*Carex vulpinoidea*). Pictured July 23, 2019.



**DPW20** A PEM wetland has formed in a drainage area, it is dominated by reed canary grass. This area is slated to be overplanted with a conifer tree mix to shade out the reed canary grass.



Wetland 8

**DPW6** View looking south through wetland 8. Wetland 8 was a mosaic of upland and emergent wetland habtiat, with 60% as wetlands.



**DPW11** Wetland 9 is the largest emergent wetland, spreading across the lower fields in the north-central portion of the property. Fox sedge (*Carex vulpinoidea*) and reed canary grass (*Phalaris arundinacea*) are dominant in this area. Pictured July 23, 2019.



**DPW12** Work plans incude basin construction adjacent to this area that's dominated by hop sedge (*Carex lupulina*) and bearded sedge (*Carex comosa*). Pictured July 14, 2018.



**DPW9** Work plans incude basin construction adjacent to this emergent wetland that's dominated by fox sedge (*Carex vulpinoidea*). Pictured July 23, 2019.



Wetland 11

**DPW8** Located in the northeast corner of the property, PEM Wetland 11 is dominated by fox sedge (*Carex vulpinoidea*) and false baby's breath (*Galium mollugo*). Pictured October 10, 2018.



**DPW10** Wetland 12 is a linear wetland dominated by jewelweed (*Impatiens capensis*) and broadleaf cattail (*Typha latifolia*). Pictured July 23, 2019.



**DPW13** Wetland 13 is a large conifer dominated wetland with dense areas of northern white cedar (*Thuja occidentalis*), balsam fir (*Abies balsamea*) as dominants.

Wetland 13



**DPW15** Understory was dominated by sensitive fern (*Onoclea sensiblis*) beech fern (*Phegopteris connectilis*).



**DPW19** Northern white cedar swamp with fern-dominated understory. Sensitive fern and interrupted fern (*Osmunda claytoniana*) were among the dominant species. Balsam fir (*Abies balsamea*) was among the domintant trees.
Wetland 14



**DPW16** Wetland 14 was diverse with sedges and fern species. Fringed sedged (*Carex crinita*) composed close to 30% cover, sensitive fern was again a dominant species.



**DPW18** Black ash (*Fraxinus nigra*) and ostrich fern (*Matteuccia struthiopteris*) dominated this portin of this forested wetland.



**DPW17** Was a diverse scrub-shrub fen habitat. Calciphiles were indentified including *Geum rivale, Carex flava*.



**DPW7** Wetland 16 is linear, flowing westward from Hunt Lane to PFO Wetland 14. Fox sedge (*Carex vulpinoidea*), green bulrush (*Scirpus atrovirens*), rattlebox (*Crotalaria sagittalis*), and timothy (*Phleum pratense*) are dominant. Pictured July 14, 2018.

**Streams and Linear Aquatic Resource Features** 



Lord Brook, a tributary to the Willoughby River along the Western property boundary. Beaver had recently dammed up this channel.



A small rocky stream on the Eastern property border is a tributary to the Willoughby River.

## **Upland Datapoints**



**DPU1** This portion of the eastern hay field is dominated by red clover (*Trifolium pretense*), ragged robin (*Lychnis flos-cuculi*), sweet vernal grass (*Anthoxanthum odoratum*), and garden vetch (*Vicia sativa*). Hydrology will be restored to this area through basin construction.



**DPU2** The hay field along Hunt Lane is dominated by sweet vernal grass (*Anthoxanthum odoratum*) and English plantain (*Plantago lanceolata*). This area will be planted to northern hardwood species.



**DPU3** This area is dominated by timothy (*Phleum pratense*) and sweet vernal grass (*Anthoxanthum odoratum*). This field will be planted to a conifer mix.



**DPU4** This area is dominated by tall buttercup (*Ranunculus acris*). This field will be planted to a conifer mix.



**DPU5** This area is dominated by sweet vernal grass (*Anthoxanthum odoratum*). Basin construction will restore hydrology in this field.



**DPU6** Perennial rye grass (*Lolium perenne*) is the dominant species. This area will be planted to a conifer mix.



**DPU7** Perennial rye grass (*Lolium perenne*) is the dominant species. This area will be tilled and seeded with a wetland mix, then planted with a conifer mix.



**DPU8** Perennial rye grass (*Lolium perenne*) and reed canary grass (*Phalaris arundinacea*) are the dominant species. This area is slated to be overplanted with a conifer tree mix to shade out the reed canary grass.



**DPU9** Well-drained upland area dominated by fern species.

Datasheets:

Project/Site: Willoughby Lake Road	City/County: Orleans	County Sampling Date: 7/23/19
Applicant/Owner: Ducks Unlimited		State: VT Sampling Point: DPU1
Investigator(s): J. Fraser, E. Farley	Section, Tow	nship, Range: Town of Barton
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Hillslope	Local relief (concave, conve	., none): <u>None</u> Slope %: <u>3</u>
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR R	Lat: 44°47'12.104"N Long:	72°6'23.819"W Datum: WGS84
Soil Map Unit Name: <u>Cabot silt loam (72)</u>		NWI classification: None
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typic	cal for this time of year? Yes X	No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed? Are "Norm	al Circumstances" present? Yes X No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic? (If needed	explain any answers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling point locati	ons, transects, important features, etc.
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes	No X Is the Sampled Ar	3a

Hydric Soil Present?	Yes X	No	within a Wetland? Yes No X	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No X	If yes, optional Wetland Site ID:	
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures h Field has been cut for hay.	ere or in a s	eparate report.)		

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)		
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is require	ed; check all that apply)		Surface Soil Cracks (B6)		
Surface Water (A1)	Water-Stained Leaves (B9)		Drainage Patterns (B10)		
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Fauna (B13)		Moss Trim Lines (B16)		
Saturation (A3)	Marl Deposits (B15)		Dry-Season Water Table (C2)		
Water Marks (B1)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)		Crayfish Burrows (C8)		
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Ro	oots (C3)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)		
Drift Deposits (B3)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)		
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soil	s (C6)	Geomorphic Position (D2)		
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		Shallow Aquitard (D3)		
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7	) Other (Explain in Remarks)	Microtopographic Relief (D4)			
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B		FAC-Neutral Test (D5)			
Field Observations:					
Surface Water Present? Yes	No X Depth (inches):				
Water Table Present? Yes	No X Depth (inches):				
Saturation Present? Yes	No X Depth (inches):	Wetlan	nd Hydrology Present? Yes No X		
(includes capillary fringe)					
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mo	nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	ections), if a	available:		
Remarks:					

Sampling Point: DPU1

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30 )	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet:
1.       2.				Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:0(A)
3.       4.				Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata:4(B)
5.           6.				Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:0.0% (A/B)
7.				Prevalence Index worksheet:
		=Total Cover		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:15)				OBL species 0 x 1 = 0
1				FACW species 0 x 2 = 0
2.				FAC species 5 x 3 = 15
3.				FACU species 103 x 4 = 412
4.				UPL species 5 x 5 = 25
5.				Column Totals: 113 (A) 452 (B)
6.				Prevalence Index = B/A = 4.00
7.				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
		=Total Cover		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 )				2 - Dominance Test is >50%
1. Trifolium pratense	30	Yes	FACU	3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 <sup>1</sup>
2. Lychnis flos-cuculi	25	Yes	FACU	4 - Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting
3. Anthoxanthum odoratum	20	Yes	FACU	data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
4. Vicia sativa	20	Yes	FACU	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain)
5. Ranunculus acris	5	No	FAC	The discrete set of the di
6. Lolium perenne	4	No	FACU	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
7. Phleum pratense	4	No	FACU	Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
8. Crotalaria sagittalis	3	No	UPL	Tree Marchards 2 in (7.2 and) an array in
9. Krigia virginica	2	No	UPL	diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
10.				Contract have been been been the open in DDU
11				and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
12.				Harb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless
	113	=Total Cover		of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30)				Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in
1		·		height.
2.				Hydrophytic
3				Vegetation
4				Present? Yes No X
		=Total Cover		
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separ	ate sheet.)			

L

Profile Desc	cription: (Describe	to the de	pth needed to docı	ument ti	he indica	tor or co	onfirm the absence o	f indicators.)
Depth	Matrix		Redo	x Featur	es			
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type <sup>1</sup>	Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture	Remarks
0-7	10YR 2/2	99	10YR 5/8	1	С	Μ	Loamy/Clayey	Prominent redox concentrations
7-18	10YR 4/1	97	10YR 5/8	3	С	M	Loamy/Clayey	Prominent redox concentrations
					_	_		
					—		·	
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=C	oncentration, D=Dep	etion, RN	I=Reduced Matrix, M	/IS=Mas	ked Sand	Grains.	<sup>2</sup> Location: P	'L=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Histosol Histosol Histic Eş Black Hi Hydroge Stratified X Depleted Thick Da Sandy M Sandy R Sandy R Stripped Dark Su	(A1) bipedon (A2) stic (A3) stic (A3) an Sulfide (A4) d Layers (A5) d Below Dark Surface ark Surface (A12) Mucky Mineral (S1) Bleyed Matrix (S4) Bedox (S5) Matrix (S6) rface (S7) f hydrophytic yegetat	e (A11)	Polyvalue Belo MLRA 149B Thin Dark Suffa High Chroma S Loamy Mucky I Loamy Gleyed X Depleted Matri Redox Dark Su Depleted Dark Redox Depress Marl (F10) (LR	w Surfac ) ace (S9) Sands (S Mineral Matrix ( x (F3) urface (F Surface sions (F8 <b>R K, L</b> )	ce (S8) (I ) (LRR R (F1) (LRF (F1) (LRF (F1) (LRF (F7) (F7) 8)	LRR R, , MLRA 1 R K, L) R K, L)	149B) 2 cm Mu Coast Pi S cm Mu Polyvalu Thin Dar Iron-Mar Nesic Sj Red Par Very Sha Other (E	ark (A10) (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B) rairie Redox (A16) (LRR K, L, R) toky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R) te Below Surface (S8) (LRR K, L) rk Surface (S9) (LRR K, L) rganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R) nt Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149B) podic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B) ent Material (F21) allow Dark Surface (F22) Explain in Remarks)
Restrictive Type: Depth (ii	Layer (if observed):						Hydric Soil Prese	nt? Yes <u>X</u> No
Remarks: This data for Version 7.0,	m is revised from No 2015 Errata. (http://v	rthcentral ww.nrcs.	and Northeast Regi usda.gov/Internet/FS	ional Su 3E_DOC	pplemen CUMENT	t Version S/nrcs14	2.0 to include the NR( 2p2_051293.docx)	CS Field Indicators of Hydric Soils,

Project/Site: Willoughby Lake Road	City/County: Orleans County Sampling Date: 7/23/19
Applicant/Owner: Ducks Unlimited	State: VT Sampling Point: DPU2
Investigator(s): J. Fraser, E. Farley	Section, Township, Range: Town of Barton
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Hillslope	Local relief (concave, convex, none): None Slope %: 3
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR R Lat: 44°4	47'15.786"N Long: 72°6'57.359"W Datum: WGS84
Soil Map Unit Name: Buckland loam (57)	NWI classification: None
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this ti	time of year? Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrologysigni	nificantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrologynatu	urally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map sho	owing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes Yes Yes	No X No X No X	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes No X If yes, optional Wetland Site ID:
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedu Field has been cut for hay.	res here or in a	separate report.)	

Wetland Hydrology Indicat	tors:				Secondary Indicators (min	imum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minimun	n of one is requir	ed; check all	that apply)		Surface Soil Cracks (I	B6)
Surface Water (A1)		Water-	Stained Leaves (B9)		Drainage Patterns (B1	10)
High Water Table (A2)		Aquati	c Fauna (B13)		Moss Trim Lines (B16	5)
Saturation (A3)		Marl D	eposits (B15)		Dry-Season Water Ta	ble (C2)
Water Marks (B1)		Hydrog	gen Sulfide Odor (C1)		Crayfish Burrows (C8)	)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	)	Oxidize	ed Rhizospheres on Living F	Roots (C3)	Saturation Visible on A	Aerial Imagery (C9)
Drift Deposits (B3)		Preser	nce of Reduced Iron (C4)		Stunted or Stressed F	Plants (D1)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)		Recen	t Iron Reduction in Tilled So	ils (C6)	Geomorphic Position	(D2)
Iron Deposits (B5) Thin Muck Surface (C7)				Shallow Aquitard (D3)		
Inundation Visible on Ae	Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Other (Explain in Remarks)				Microtopographic Reli	ef (D4)
Sparsely Vegetated Cor	Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)				FAC-Neutral Test (D5	)
Field Observations:						
Surface Water Present?	Yes	No X	Depth (inches):			
Water Table Present?	Yes	No X	Depth (inches):			
Saturation Present?	Yes	No X	Depth (inches):	Wetlar	nd Hvdroloav Present?	Yes No X
(includes capillary fringe)				, ,		
Describe Recorded Data (st	ream gauge, mo	nitorina well.	aerial photos, previous insp	ections), if	available:	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	5 5 ,	5,		,,		
Remarks:						

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet:
1.       2.				Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:0(A)
3 4				Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>2</u> (B)
5.           6.				Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)
7				Prevalence Index worksheet:
		=Total Cover		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 )				OBL species 0 x 1 = 0
1				FACW species 0 x 2 = 0
2.				FAC species 10 x 3 = 30
3.				FACU species 82 x 4 = 328
4.				UPL species 15 x 5 = 75
5.				Column Totals: 107 (A) 433 (B)
6.				Prevalence Index = $B/A = 4.05$
7.				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
		=Total Cover		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5)				2 - Dominance Test is >50%
1. Anthoxanthum odoratum	40	Yes	FACU	3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 <sup>1</sup>
2. Plantago lanceolata	30	Yes	FACU	4 - Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting
3. Crotalaria sagittalis	15	No	UPL	data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
4. Ranunculus acris	10	No	FAC	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain)
5 Vicia sativa	6	No	FACU	( ( ) ) () _ ( ) () _ (
6 Trifolium pratense	5	No	FACU	Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
7 Taraxacum officinale	1	No	FACU	Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
8	<u> </u>			
9.				<b>Tree</b> – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
10 11				<b>Sapling/shrub</b> – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
12	107	=Total Cover		<b>Herb</b> – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
<u>Woody Vine Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>30</u> ) 1.				<b>Woody vines</b> – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.
2.				
3.				Hydrophytic
4.				Vegetation Present? Yes No X
		=Total Cover		
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a sepa	rate sheet.)			
	,			

Imatinx         Imatinx           (inches)         Color (moist)         %           0-21         10YR 4/3         100	Color (moist)       %       Type1       Loc2	Texture       Remarks         Sandy
O-21         10YR 4/3         100           0-21         10YR 4/3         100	Color (molst)       70       Type       Loc	Sandy Sandy
0-21         10YR 4/3         100	Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.	Sandy
1       Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=         Hydric Soil Indicators:       Histosol (A1)         Histoc Epipedon (A2)       Black Histic (A3)         Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)       Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.	2Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM= Hydric Soil Indicators: Histosol (A1) Histic Epipedon (A2) Black Histic (A3) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.	2Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM= Hydric Soil Indicators: Histosol (A1) Histic Epipedon (A2) Black Histic (A3) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.	2Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM= Hydric Soil Indicators: Histosol (A1) Histic Epipedon (A2) Black Histic (A3) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.	2Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM= Hydric Soil Indicators: Histosol (A1) Histic Epipedon (A2) Black Histic (A3) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains. Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR R, MLRA 149B)	2Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM= Hydric Soil Indicators: Histosol (A1) Histic Epipedon (A2) Black Histic (A3) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.	<sup>2</sup> Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM= Hydric Soil Indicators: Histosol (A1) Histic Epipedon (A2) Black Histic (A3) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.	2Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM= Hydric Soil Indicators: Histosol (A1) Histic Epipedon (A2) Black Histic (A3) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains. Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR R, MLRA 149B)	<sup>2</sup> Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM= Hydric Soil Indicators: Histosol (A1) Histic Epipedon (A2) Black Histic (A3) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.	<sup>2</sup> Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM= Hydric Soil Indicators: Histosol (A1) Histic Epipedon (A2) Black Histic (A3) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains. Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR R, MLRA 149B)	<sup>2</sup> Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM= <b>Hydric Soil Indicators:</b> Histosol (A1) Histic Epipedon (A2) Black Histic (A3) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains. Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR R, MLRA 149B)	<sup>2</sup> Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM= <b>Hydric Soil Indicators:</b> Histosol (A1) Histic Epipedon (A2) Black Histic (A3) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains. Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR R, MLRA 149B)	<sup>2</sup> Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM= <b>Hydric Soil Indicators:</b> Histosol (A1) Histic Epipedon (A2) Black Histic (A3) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains. Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR R, MLRA 149B)	<sup>2</sup> Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM= <b>Hydric Soil Indicators:</b> Histosol (A1) Histic Epipedon (A2) Black Histic (A3) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains. Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR R, MLRA 149B)	<sup>2</sup> Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM= <b>Hydric Soil Indicators:</b> Histosol (A1) Histic Epipedon (A2) Black Histic (A3) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains. Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR R, MLRA 149B)	<sup>2</sup> Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM= <b>Hydric Soil Indicators:</b> Histosol (A1) Histic Epipedon (A2) Black Histic (A3) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains. Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR R, MLRA 149B)	<sup>2</sup> Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM= <b>Hydric Soil Indicators:</b> Histosol (A1) Histic Epipedon (A2) Black Histic (A3) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains. Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR R, MLRA 149B)	<sup>2</sup> Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil Indicators: Histosol (A1) Histic Epipedon (A2) Black Histic (A3) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR R, MLRA 149B)	Location. PL-Pore Lining, M-Matrix.
Histosol (A1) Histic Epipedon (A2) Black Histic (A3) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR R, MLRA 149B)	Indiantana fan Duahlamatia Ukuluja Calla <sup>3</sup> .
Histosol (A1) Histic Epipedon (A2) Black Histic (A3) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	MLRA 149B)	Indicators for Problematic Hydric Solis":
Histic Epipedon (A2) Black Histic (A3) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	MILKA 149B)	2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
Black Histic (A3) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)		Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (LRR K, L, R)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, MLRA 149E	) $5 \text{ cm}$ Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R)
	High Chroma Sands (S11) (LRR K, L)	Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR K, L)
Stratified Layers (A5)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (LRR K, L)	Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR K, L)
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)	Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 1498
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Mesic Spodic (TA6) ( <b>MLRA 144A, 145, 149B</b> )
Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Red Parent Material (F21)
Sandy Redox (S5)	Redox Depressions (F8)	Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
Stripped Matrix (S6)	Marl (F10) ( <b>LRR K, L</b> )	Other (Explain in Remarks)
Dark Surface (S7)		
<sup>3</sup> Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and we	land hydrology must be present, unless disturbe	d or problematic.
Restrictive Layer (if observed):		
Type		
туре.		

Project/Site: Willoughby Lake Road	City/County: Orleans County Sampling Date: 7/24/19
Applicant/Owner: Ducks Unlimited	State: VT Sampling Point: DPU3
Investigator(s): J. Fraser, E. Farley	Section, Township, Range: Town of Barton
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Hillslope	Local relief (concave, convex, none): None Slope %: 4.5
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR R Lat: 44°47'27.724	4"N Long: <u>72°7'2.327"W</u> Datum: <u>WGS84</u>
Soil Map Unit Name: Cabot silt loam (72)	NWI classification: None
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of ye	ear? Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrologysignificantly	disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrologynaturally pro	blematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing	sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No X	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes No X If yes, optional Wetland Site ID:
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No X	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No X	
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedu Field has been cut for hay.	ires here or in a	separate report.)	

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:					Secondary Indicators (min	nimum of two required)	
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)					Surface Soil Cracks (B6)		
Surface Water (A1)		Drainage Patterns (B10)					
High Water Table (A2)		Moss Trim Lines (B16	5)				
Saturation (A3)		Marl De	eposits (B15)		Dry-Season Water Ta	ıble (C2)	
Water Marks (B1)		Hydrog	jen Sulfide Odor (C1)		Crayfish Burrows (C8)	)	
Sediment Deposits (B2)		Saturation Visible on A	Aerial Imagery (C9)				
Drift Deposits (B3)		Presen	ice of Reduced Iron (C4)		Stunted or Stressed P	Plants (D1)	
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)		Recent	t Iron Reduction in Tilled Soi	ils (C6)	Geomorphic Position	(D2)	
Iron Deposits (B5)		Thin M	uck Surface (C7)		Shallow Aquitard (D3)	)	
Inundation Visible on Aer	ial Imagery (B7	)Other (	(Explain in Remarks)		Microtopographic Reli	ief (D4)	
Sparsely Vegetated Conc	ave Surface (B	8)			FAC-Neutral Test (D5	5)	
Field Observations:							
Surface Water Present?	Yes	No X	Depth (inches):				
Water Table Present? Yes No X Depth (inches):							
Water Table Present?	Yes	NO X	Depth (inches):				
Water Table Present? Saturation Present?	Yes Yes	No <u>X</u> No X	Depth (inches):	Wetlan	d Hydrology Present?	Yes No X	
Water Table Present? Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes Yes	No <u>X</u> No <u>X</u>	Depth (inches): Depth (inches):	Wetlan	nd Hydrology Present?	YesNoX	
Water Table Present? Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stre	Yes Yes eam gauge, moi	No <u>X</u> No <u>X</u> nitoring well,	Depth (inches): Depth (inches): aerial photos, previous insp	Wetlan ections), if a	ad Hydrology Present? available:	Yes <u>No X</u>	
Water Table Present? Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stre Remarks:	Yes Yes eam gauge, moi	No <u>X</u> No <u>X</u> nitoring well,	Depth (inches): Depth (inches): aerial photos, previous insp	Wetlan ections), if a	<b>nd Hydrology Present?</b> available:	Yes <u>No X</u>	
Water Table Present? Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stree Remarks:	Yes Yes eam gauge, mor	No <u>X</u> No <u>X</u> nitoring well,	Depth (inches): Depth (inches): aerial photos, previous insp	Wetlan ections), if a	ad Hydrology Present?	Yes <u>No X</u>	
Water Table Present? Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stre Remarks:	Yes Yes eam gauge, moi	No X No X nitoring well,	Depth (inches): Depth (inches): aerial photos, previous insp	Wetlan ections), if a	ad Hydrology Present?	Yes <u>No X</u>	
Water Table Present? Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stre Remarks:	Yes Yes eam gauge, moi	No <u>X</u> No <u>X</u> nitoring well,	Depth (inches): Depth (inches): aerial photos, previous insp	Wetlan ections), if a	nd Hydrology Present? available:	Yes No X	
Water Table Present? Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stre Remarks:	Yes Yes eam gauge, mor	No <u>X</u> No <u>X</u> nitoring well,	Depth (inches): Depth (inches): aerial photos, previous insp	Wetlan	nd Hydrology Present? available:	Yes <u>No X</u>	
Water Table Present? Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stre Remarks:	Yes Yes eam gauge, moi	No X No X nitoring well,	Depth (inches): Depth (inches): aerial photos, previous insp	Wetlan ections), if a	available:	Yes <u>No X</u>	
Water Table Present? Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stre Remarks:	Yes Yes eam gauge, moi	No <u>X</u> No <u>X</u> nitoring well,	Depth (inches):	Wetlan ections), if a	ad Hydrology Present? available:	Yes <u>No X</u>	
Water Table Present? Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stre Remarks:	Yes Yes	No X No X nitoring well,	Depth (inches):	Wetlan ections), if a	available:	Yes <u>No X</u>	
Water Table Present? Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stree Remarks:	Yes Yes eam gauge, moi	No X No X nitoring well,	Depth (inches):	Wetlan ections), if a	available:	Yes <u>No X</u>	
Water Table Present? Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stre Remarks:	Yes Yes eam gauge, moi	No <u>X</u> No <u>X</u> nitoring well,	Depth (inches):	Wetlan ections), if a	available:	Yes <u>No X</u>	

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30 )	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet:
1.       2.				Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:0 (A)
3 4		·		Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>2</u> (B)
5.       6.				Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>0.0%</u> (A/B)
7				Prevalence Index worksheet:
		=Total Cover		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 )				OBL species 15 x 1 = 15
1				FACW species 2 x 2 = 4
2				FAC species <u>6</u> x 3 = <u>18</u>
3				FACU species 80 x 4 = 320
4				UPL species x 5 =
5				Column Totals: 103 (A) 357 (B)
6				Prevalence Index = B/A =3.47
7				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
		=Total Cover		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5)				2 - Dominance Test is >50%
1. Phleum pratense	40	Yes	FACU	3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 <sup>1</sup>
2. Anthoxanthum odoratum	20	Yes	FACU	4 - Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting
3. Carex vulpinoidea	15	No	OBL	data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
4. Cirsium arvense	6	No	FACU	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain)
5. Solidago canadensis	6	No	FACU	<sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and watland hydrology must
6. Vicia sativa	6	No	FACU	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
7. Ranunculus acris	3	No	FAC	Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
8. Solidago rugosa	3	No	FAC	<b>Trop</b> Woody plants 3 in (7.6 cm) or more in
9. Carex scoparia	2	No	FACW	diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
10. Festuca rubra	2	No	FACU	<b>Sapling/shrub</b> – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH
12				
12.	103	=Total Cover		<b>Herb</b> – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
Woody Vine Stratum         (Plot size:30)           1.		. <u> </u>		<b>Woody vines</b> – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.
2				
3				Vegetation
4				Present? Yes No X
		=Total Cover		
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a sepa	rate sheet.)			

Profile Desc	cription: (Describe	to the dep	oth needed to docu	ument t	he indica	ator or co	onfirm the absence of in	dicators.)	
Depth	Matrix		Redo	x Featur	res				
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type <sup>1</sup>	Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture	Remarks	
0-12	10YR 3/3	100					Loamy/Clayey		
12-21	10YR 4/2	96	10YR 4/6	4	С	Μ	Loamy/Clayey	Prominent redox con	centrations
		· ·							
		· ·							
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=Co	oncentration, D=Dep	letion, RM	=Reduced Matrix, N	/IS=Mas	ked Sand	l Grains.	<sup>2</sup> Location: PL=F	ore Lining, M=Matrix	
Hydric Soil	Indicators:						Indicators for P	roblematic Hydric S	Soils <sup>3</sup> :
Histosol	(A1)	-	Polyvalue Belo	w Surfa	ce (S8) (	LRR R,	2 cm Muck (	A10) ( <b>LRR K, L, ML</b> I	RA 149B)
Histic Ep	oipedon (A2)		MLRA 149B	5)			Coast Prairie	e Redox (A16) ( <b>LRR</b>	<b>K, L, R</b> )
Black Hi	stic (A3)	-	Thin Dark Surf	ace (S9	) (LRR R	, MLRA 1	149B) 5 cm Mucky	Peat or Peat (S3) (L	RR K, L, R)
Hydroge	n Sulfide (A4)	-	High Chroma S	Sands (S	611) ( <b>LRI</b>	R K, L)	Polyvalue B	elow Surface (S8) ( <b>Ll</b>	RR K, L)
Stratified	l Layers (A5)		Loamy Mucky	Mineral	(F1) ( <b>LR</b>	R K, L)	Thin Dark S	urface (S9) ( <b>LRR K,</b> I	L)
Depleted	d Below Dark Surface	e (A11)	Loamy Gleyed	Matrix (	(F2)		Iron-Mangar	nese Masses (F12) ( <b>L</b>	.RR K, L, R)
Thick Da	ark Surface (A12)	-	Depleted Matri	x (F3)			Piedmont Fl	oodplain Soils (F19)	(MLRA 149B)
Sandy M	lucky Mineral (S1)	•	Redox Dark Su	urface (F	-6)		Mesic Spodi	c (TA6) ( <b>MLRA 144A</b>	, 145, 149B)
Sandy G	Bleved Matrix (S4)	-	Depleted Dark	Surface	, (F7)		 Red Parent	Material (F21)	, ,
Sandy R	edox (S5)	So Reday Depressions (F8)							
Stripped	Matrix (S6)	-	Marl (E10) (I B		0)		Other (Expla	in in Remarks)	
Dark Su	rface (S7)	•		ιτι, <b>Ε</b> )				in in Remarks)	
<sup>3</sup> Indicators o	f hydrophytic vegetat	tion and we	etland hydrology mi	ust be pi	resent, ur	nless dist	urbed or problematic.		
Restrictive	Layer (if observed):								
Type:									
Depth (ir	nches):						Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No <u>X</u>
Remarks:									
This data for	m is revised from No	orthcentral	and Northeast Reg	ional Su	pplemen	t Version	2.0 to include the NRCS I	Field Indicators of Hy	dric Soils,
Version 7.0,	2015 Errata. (http://v	www.nrcs.u	isda.gov/Internet/F3	SE_DOU	JUMENT	S/nrcs14	2p2_051293.docx)		

Project/Site: Willoughby Lake Road	City/County: Orleans County Sampling Date: 7/24/19
Applicant/Owner: Ducks Unlimited	State: VT Sampling Point: DPU4
Investigator(s): P Raney, E. Farley	Section, Township, Range: Town of Barton
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Hillslope Loca	al relief (concave, convex, none): None Slope %: 3
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR R Lat: 44°47'33.453"N	Long: <u>72°6'51.956"W</u> Datum: <u>WGS84</u>
Soil Map Unit Name: Cabot silt loam (72)	NWI classification: None
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year?	Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrologysignificantly dist	urbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrologynaturally problem	natic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sa	mpling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes <u>X</u>	No	Is the Sampled Area           within a Wetland?         Yes         NoX           If yes, optional Wetland Site ID:
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	NoX	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	NoX	
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures	s here or in a s	eparate report.)	

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:	Secondary Indicators (min	imum of two required)				
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is require	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)					
Surface Water (A1)	Drainage Patterns (B10)					
High Water Table (A2)	Moss Trim Lines (B16	)				
Saturation (A3)	Saturation (A3) Marl Deposits (B15)					
Water Marks (B1)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)				
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Ro	oots (C3)	Saturation Visible on A	Aerial Imagery (C9)		
Drift Deposits (B3)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		Stunted or Stressed P	lants (D1)		
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils	s (C6)	Geomorphic Position (	(D2)		
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		Shallow Aquitard (D3)			
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7	) Other (Explain in Remarks)		Microtopographic Relie	ef (D4)		
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (E	38)		FAC-Neutral Test (D5)	)		
Field Observations:						
Surface Water Present? Yes	No X Depth (inches):					
Water Table Present? Yes						
Saturation Present? Yes	No X Depth (inches):	Wetlan	d Hydrology Present?	Yes No X		
Saturation Present? Yes (includes capillary fringe)	No X Depth (inches):	Wetlan	d Hydrology Present?	Yes <u>No X</u>		
Saturation Present? Yes (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mo	No X Depth (inches):	Wetlan ctions), if a	d Hydrology Present?	Yes No X		
Saturation Present? Yes (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mo	No X Depth (inches):	Wetlan	d Hydrology Present? available:	Yes NoX		
Saturation Present? Yes (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mo	No X Depth (inches):	Wetlan	d Hydrology Present? available:	Yes <u>No X</u>		
Saturation Present? Yes (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mo Remarks:	No X Depth (inches):	Wetlan ctions), if a	d Hydrology Present? available:	Yes No X		
Saturation Present? Yes (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mo Remarks:	No X Depth (inches):	Wetlan	d Hydrology Present? available:	YesNoX		
Saturation Present? Yes (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mo Remarks:	No X Depth (inches):	Wetlan	d Hydrology Present? available:	YesNoX		
Saturation Present? Yes (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mo Remarks:	No X Depth (inches):	Wetlan	d Hydrology Present? available:	Yes <u>No X</u>		
Saturation Present? Yes (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mo Remarks:	No X Depth (inches):	Wetlan ctions), if a	d Hydrology Present? available:	Yes <u>No X</u>		
Saturation Present? Yes (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mo Remarks:	No X Depth (inches):	Wetlan ctions), if a	d Hydrology Present? available:	Yes <u>No X</u>		
Saturation Present? Yes (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mo Remarks:	No X Depth (inches):	Wetlan	d Hydrology Present? available:	YesNoX		
Saturation Present? Yes (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mo Remarks:	No X Depth (inches):	Wetlan	d Hydrology Present? available:	YesNoX		
Saturation Present? Yes (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mo Remarks:	No X Depth (inches):	Wetlan ctions), if a	d Hydrology Present? available:	Yes <u>No X</u>		

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30 )	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet:
1.       2.				Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:(A)
3 4				Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata:1(B)
5 6				Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>100.0%</u> (A/B)
7				Prevalence Index worksheet:
		=Total Cover		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 )				OBL species 2 x 1 = 2
1				FACW species 0 x 2 = 0
2.				FAC species 65 x 3 = 195
3.				FACU species 37 x 4 = 148
4.				UPL species 0 x 5 = 0
5.				Column Totals: 104 (A) 345 (B)
6.				Prevalence Index = $B/A = 3.32$
7				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
		=Total Cover		1 - Ranid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 )				$\frac{X}{2}$ - Dominance Test is >50%
1. Ranunculus acris	60	Yes	FAC	3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 <sup>1</sup>
2. Vicia sativa	20	No	FACU	4 - Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting
3. Solidago canadensis	10	No	FACU	data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
4. Euthamia graminifolia	5	No	FAC	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain)
5. Phleum pratense	5	No	FACU	
6. Carex vulpinoidea	2	No	OBL	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
7. Stellaria media	2	No	FACU	Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
8.				
9.				diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
10				Sanling/shruh – Woody plants less than 3 in DBH
11				and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
12			,	Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless
	104	=Total Cover		of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
Woody Vine Stratum         (Plot size:30)           1.				<b>Woody vines</b> – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.
2				
3				Hydrophytic Vegetation
4.				Present? Yes X No
		=Total Cover		
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a sepa	rate sheet.)			

Profile Desc	ription: (Describe	to the de	oth needed to docu	ument t	he indica	tor or co	onfirm the absence o	f indicators.)		
Depth	Matrix		Redo	x Featur	res					
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type <sup>1</sup>	Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture	Remarks		
0-12	10YR 4/2	100					Loamy/Clayey			
12-20	10YR 5/1	97	10YR 4/6	3	С	Μ	Loamy/Clayey	Prominent redox concentrations		
		·								
							·			
		·								
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=Co	oncentration, D=Dep	letion, RM	=Reduced Matrix, N	/IS=Mas	ked Sand	l Grains.	<sup>2</sup> Location: P	L=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.		
Hydric Soil	Indicators:		Debaselus Deb	0.6			Indicators fo	or Problematic Hydric Soils <sup>3</sup> :		
Histosol	(A1)		Polyvalue Belo	w Surfa	ce (S8) (I	LRR R,	2 cm Mu	ICK (A10) (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)		
Histic Ep	opedon (A2)		MLRA 149B	)			Coast Pr	rairie Redox (A16) ( <b>LRR K, L, R</b> )		
Black Hi	stic (A3)		Thin Dark Surf	ace (S9	) (LRR R,	, MLRA 1	149B) <u>5 cm Mu</u>	icky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R)		
Hydroge	n Sulfide (A4)		High Chroma S	Sands (S	611) ( <b>LRF</b>	R K, L)	Polyvalu	ie Below Surface (S8) ( <b>LRR K, L</b> )		
Stratified	l Layers (A5)		Loamy Mucky	Mineral	(F1) ( <b>LRI</b>	R K, L)	Thin Dar	rk Surface (S9) ( <b>LRR K, L</b> )		
Depleted	Below Dark Surface	e (A11)	Loamy Gleyed	Matrix (	(F2)		Iron-Mar	nganese Masses (F12) ( <b>LRR K, L, R</b> )		
Thick Da	ark Surface (A12)		Depleted Matri	x (F3)			Piedmor	nt Floodplain Soils (F19) ( <b>MLRA 149B</b> )		
Sandy M	lucky Mineral (S1)		Redox Dark Su	urface (F	-6)		Mesic S	podic (TA6) ( <b>MLRA 144A, 145, 149B</b> )		
Sandy G	leyed Matrix (S4)		Depleted Dark	Surface	e (F7)		Red Par	d Parent Material (F21)		
Sandy R	edox (S5)		Redox Depress	sions (F	8)		Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)			
Stripped	Matrix (S6)		 Marl (F10) ( <b>LR</b>	R K. L)	,		Other (E	xplain in Remarks)		
Dark Su	rface (S7)			, ,				, ,		
3										
Restrictive	aver (if observed):	lion and w	eliand hydrology mi	ust be pi	resent, ur	iless dist	urbed or problematic.			
Туре:										
Depth (ir	nches):						Hydric Soil Preser	nt? Yes No X		
Remarks:										
This data for	m is revised from No	orthcentral	and Northeast Reg	ional Su	pplement	Version	2.0 to include the NRC	CS Field Indicators of Hydric Soils,		
Version 7.0,	2015 Errata. (http://v	www.nrcs.u	usda.gov/Internet/FS	SE_DOO	CUMENT	S/nrcs14	2p2_051293.docx)			

Project/Site: Willoughby Lake Road	City/County: Orleans County Sampling Date: 7/24/19
Applicant/Owner: Ducks Unlimited	State: VT Sampling Point: DPU5
Investigator(s): P Raney, E. Farley	Section, Township, Range: Town of Barton
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Hillslope Local re	elief (concave, convex, none): None Slope %: 3
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR R Lat: 44°47'23.492"N	Long: 72°6'37.16"W Datum: WGS84
Soil Map Unit Name: Cabot silt loam (72)	NWI classification: None
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year?	Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrologysignificantly disturbed	ed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrologynaturally problemati	ic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing samp	oling point locations, transects, important features, etc.
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No X	Is the Sampled Area
Hydric Soil Present? Yes X No	within a Wetland? Yes No X
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes X No	If yes, optional Wetland Site ID:
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.) Field has been cut for hay.	

welland nyurology mulcalors.			Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is require	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)		
Surface Water (A1)	Water-Stained Leaves (B9)		Drainage Patterns (B10)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Fauna (B13)		Moss Trim Lines (B16)
Saturation (A3)	Marl Deposits (B15)		Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
Water Marks (B1)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)		Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	x Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Ro	oots (C3)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Drift Deposits (B3)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils	s (C6)	Geomorphic Position (D2)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		Microtopographic Relief (D4)
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8	3)		FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:			
Surface Water Present? Yes	No X Depth (inches):		
Water Table Present? Yes	No X Depth (inches):		
Saturation Present? Yes	No X Depth (inches):	Wetlan	d Hydrology Present? Yes X No
(includes capillary fringe)			
(includes capillary initige)			
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mon	itoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	ctions), if a	available:
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mon	itoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	ctions), if a	available:
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mon	itoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	ctions), if a	available:
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mon	itoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	ctions), if a	available:
Remarks:	itoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	ctions), if a	available:
Remarks:	itoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	ctions), if a	available:
Remarks:	itoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	ctions), if a	available:
Remarks:	itoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	ctions), if a	available:
Remarks:	itoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	ctions), if a	available:
Remarks:	itoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	ctions), if a	available:
Remarks:	itoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	ctions), if a	available:
Remarks:	itoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	ctions), if a	available:

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30 )	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet:
1.       2.				Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:0(A)
3.       4.				Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata:1(B)
5				Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:0.0% (A/B)
7				Prevalence Index worksheet:
		=Total Cover		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 )				OBL species 15 x 1 = 15
1				FACW species 0 x 2 = 0
2.				FAC species 13 x 3 = 39
3.				FACU species 99 x 4 = 396
4.				UPL species 0 x 5 = 0
5.				Column Totals: 127 (A) 450 (B)
6.				Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.54
7.				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
		=Total Cover		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5)				2 - Dominance Test is >50%
1. Anthoxanthum odoratum	70	Yes	FACU	3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 <sup>1</sup>
2. Phleum pratense	15	No	FACU	4 - Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting
3. Carex vulpinoidea	10	No	OBL	data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
4. Trifolium pratense	10	No	FACU	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain)
5. Ranunculus acris	8	No	FAC	
6. Scirpus atrovirens	5	No	OBL	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
7. Rumex crispus	3	No	FAC	Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
8. Galium mollugo	2	No	FACU	
9. Prunella vulgaris	2	No	FAC	diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
10. Stellaria media	2	No	FACU	5 ( <i>"</i> 5 5
11.				Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
12	127	=Total Cover		<b>Herb</b> – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
<u>Woody Vine Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>30</u> ) 1.				<b>Woody vines</b> – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.
2.				
3.				Hydrophytic Vegetation
4.				Present? Yes No X
		=Total Cover		
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a sepa	rate sheet.)			1
	,			

Profile Desc	ription: (Describe	to the dep	oth needed to docu	ument th	ne indica	tor or c	onfirm the absence o	f indicators.)
Depth	Matrix		Redo	x Featur	es1	. 2		
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Туре	Loc	Texture	Remarks
0-11	10YR 2/2	97	5YR 4/4	3	С	PL	Loamy/Clayey	Prominent redox concentrations
11-20	10YR 5/2	95	5YR 5/8	5	С	M	Loamy/Clayey	Prominent redox concentrations
				_	_	_		
					_		·	
							<u> </u>	
					_		·	
						Croine	<sup>2</sup> l continu	
	Indicators:			/13-11/1851	keu Sano	i Grains.	Indicators f	or Problematic Hydric Soils <sup>3</sup>
Histosol Histic Ep Black Hi Hydroge Stratified X Depleted Thick Da Sandy M Sandy G Sandy R Stripped Dark Su	(A1) pipedon (A2) stic (A3) n Sulfide (A4) d Layers (A5) d Below Dark Surface ark Surface (A12) lucky Mineral (S1) sileyed Matrix (S4) tedox (S5) Matrix (S6) rface (S7) f hydrophytic vegetat	e (A11) ion and w	Polyvalue Belo MLRA 149B Thin Dark Surf High Chroma S Loamy Mucky Loamy Gleyed Depleted Matri Redox Dark Su Depleted Dark Redox Depress Marl (F10) (LR	w Surfac jace (S9) Sands (S Mineral ( Matrix ( x (F3) urface (F Surface sions (F <b>R K, L</b> )	ce (S8) ( ) ( <b>LRR R</b> (11) ( <b>LRF</b> (F1) ( <b>LRF</b> (F1) ( <b>LRF</b> (F1) ( <b>LRF</b> (F7) 3) essent, ur	LRR R, , MLRA <sup>,</sup> R K, L) R K, L)	149B) 2 cm Mu Coast Pr 5 cm Mu Polyvalu Thin Dar Iron-Mar Piedmor Mesic Sp Red Par Very Sha Other (E	uck (A10) ( <b>LRR K, L, MLRA 149B</b> ) rairie Redox (A16) ( <b>LRR K, L, R</b> ) ucky Peat or Peat (S3) ( <b>LRR K, L, R</b> ) ie Below Surface (S8) ( <b>LRR K, L</b> ) rk Surface (S9) ( <b>LRR K, L</b> ) inganese Masses (F12) ( <b>LRR K, L, R</b> ) int Floodplain Soils (F19) ( <b>MLRA 149B</b> ) podic (TA6) ( <b>MLRA 144A, 145, 149B</b> ) rent Material (F21) allow Dark Surface (F22) Explain in Remarks)
Restrictive	Layer (if observed):							
Type: Depth (ir	nches):						Hydric Soil Preser	nt? Yes <u>X</u> No
Remarks: This data for Version 7.0,	m is revised from No 2015 Errata. (http://w	rthcentral	and Northeast Reg usda.gov/Internet/F	ional Su SE_DOC	pplemen CUMENT	t Version S/nrcs14	2.0 to include the NR( 2p2_051293.docx)	CS Field Indicators of Hydric Soils,

Project/Site: Willoughby Lake Road	City/County: Orleans County Sampling Date: 10/10/18
Applicant/Owner: Ducks Unlimited	State: VT Sampling Point: DPU6
Investigator(s): J. Fraser, P. Raney	Section, Township, Range: Town of Barton
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Hillslope	Local relief (concave, convex, none): None Slope %: 2.5-3.0
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR R Lat: 44°47'3	2.462"N Long: 72°6'56.326"W Datum: WGS84
Soil Map Unit Name: Cabot silt loam (72)	NWI classification: None
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time	of year? Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrologysignification	antly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrologynaturall	y problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map show	ing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes Yes Yes	No X No X No X	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes No X If yes, optional Wetland Site ID:
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedu Field has been cut for hay.	res here or in a	separate report.)	

Wetland Hydrology Indica	Wetland Hydrology Indicators:					nimum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minimu	<u>m of one is requir</u>	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)				
Surface Water (A1)		Drainage Patterns (B10)				
High Water Table (A2)		Moss Trim Lines (B16	6)			
Saturation (A3)		Marl D	eposits (B15)		Dry-Season Water Ta	able (C2)
Water Marks (B1)		Hydrog	gen Sulfide Odor (C1)		Crayfish Burrows (C8	)
Sediment Deposits (B2	)	Oxidiz	ed Rhizospheres on Living F	Roots (C3)	Saturation Visible on	Aerial Imagery (C9)
Drift Deposits (B3)		Preser	nce of Reduced Iron (C4)		Stunted or Stressed F	Plants (D1)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)		Recen	t Iron Reduction in Tilled So	oils (C6)	Geomorphic Position	(D2)
Iron Deposits (B5)		Thin M	luck Surface (C7)		Shallow Aquitard (D3)	)
Inundation Visible on A	erial Imagery (B7	) Other	(Explain in Remarks)		Microtopographic Reli	ief (D4)
Sparsely Vegetated Co	ncave Surface (B	8)			FAC-Neutral Test (D5	5)
Field Observations:						
Surface Water Present?	Yes	No X	Depth (inches):			
Water Table Present?	Yes	No X	Depth (inches):			
Saturation Present?	Yes	No X	Depth (inches):	Wetlar	nd Hydrology Present?	Yes No X
(includes capillary fringe)			· · · /			
Describe Recorded Data (s	tream gauge, mo	nitoring well,	aerial photos, previous insp	pections), if	available:	
Remarks:						

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30 )	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet:
1.       2.				Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:0 (A)
3				Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)
5				Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>0.0%</u> (A/B)
7				Prevalence Index worksheet:
		=Total Cover		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 )				OBL species 0 x 1 = 0
1				FACW species 0 x 2 = 0
2.				FAC species 0 x 3 = 0
3.				FACU species 83 x 4 = 332
4.				UPL species 10 x 5 = 50
5.				Column Totals: 93 (A) 382 (B)
6.				Prevalence Index = $B/A = 4.11$
7.				Hvdrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
		=Total Cover		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5)				2 - Dominance Test is >50%
1 Lolium perenne	50	Yes	FACU	$3 - Prevalence Index is \leq 3.0^{1}$
2 Geranium maculatum	15	<u> </u>	FACU	4 - Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting
3 Tarayacum officinale	10	No	FACU	data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
	10	No		Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain)
5. Vicio sotivo	8	No		
6			TACO	<sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
7				Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
8				<b>Tree</b> – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
10.				<b>Sapling/shrub</b> – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3 28 ft (1 m) tall
12				
	93	=Total Cover		<b>Herb</b> – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
Woody Vine Stratum         (Plot size:30)           1.				<b>Woody vines</b> – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.
2.				
3.				Hydrophytic
4.				Present? Yes No X
		=Total Cover		
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a sepa	arate sheet.)			•
	,			

Profile Desc	ription: (Describe	to the dep	oth needed to docu	ument th	ne indica	tor or co	onfirm the absence o	f indicators.)	
Depth	Matrix		Redox	k Featur	es				
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type <sup>1</sup>	Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture	Rema	arks
0-17	10YR 4/3	97	10YR 3/6	3	С	PL	Loamy/Clayey	Distinct redox o	concentrations
17-23	5YR 4/1	85	7.5YR 4/6	15	С	M	Loamy/Clayey	Prominent redox	concentrations
							·		
							·		
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=Co	oncentration, D=Dep	etion, RM	=Reduced Matrix, N	1S=Masł	ked Sand	Grains.	<sup>2</sup> Location: P	PL=Pore Lining, M=M	atrix.
Hydric Soil I	ndicators:						Indicators for	or Problematic Hyd	ric Soils <sup>3</sup> :
Histosol	(A1)		Polyvalue Belo	w Surfac	ce (S8) (	LRR R,	2 cm Mu	uck (A10) ( <b>LRR K, L,</b>	MLRA 149B)
Histic Ep	ipedon (A2)		MLRA 149B	)			Coast Pr	rairie Redox (A16) ( <b>L</b>	.RR K, L, R)
Black His	stic (A3)		Thin Dark Surfa	ace (S9)	(LRR R	, MLRA 1	149B) 5 cm Mu	ucky Peat or Peat (S	3) ( <b>LRR K, L, R</b> )
Hvdroge	n Sulfide (A4)		High Chroma S	, Sands (S	, 11) ( <b>LR</b>	R K. L)	,Polvvalu	e Below Surface (S8	3) ( <b>LRR K. L</b> )
Stratified	Llavers (A5)		Loamy Mucky I	Mineral (	(F1) ( <b>I R</b>	RKI)	Thin Dar	rk Surface (S9) (I RE	2 K I)
 Depleted	Below Dark Surface	e (A11)	Loamy Gleved	Matrix (I	(* *) ( <b>=</b> • (* F2)	, _/	Iron-Mar	nganese Masses (F1	$2) (\mathbf{I} \mathbf{R} \mathbf{R} \mathbf{K} \mathbf{I} \mathbf{R})$
Thick Da	rk Surface (A12)	,,,,,,	Depleted Matrix	x (F3)	-)		Piedmor	nganoee maeeee (P	( <b>MI RA 149B</b> )
Sandy M	lucky Mineral (S1)		Bedox Dark Su	irface (F	6)		Mesic St	nodic (TA6) ( <b>MI RA</b> '	144A 145 149B)
Gandy M	leved Matrix (S1)		Neolox Bark Od	Surface	(F7)		Nesic Of Red Par	ent Material (E21)	1447, 140, 1400)
Sandy D			Depieted Dark	Sunace	(17)				
Sanuy R	edox (SS)		Mart (E40) (LB)		<b>)</b>		Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)		
Stripped Dark Sur	face (S7)		Mari (F10) ( <b>LR</b>	R K, L)			Other (E	xplain in Remarks)	
<sup>3</sup> Indiaatora at	budrophytic vocatet	ion and w	atland bydrology mu	uat ha pr	ocont u	aloog digt	urbad or problematic		
Restrictive L	-ayer (if observed):		eliand hydrology mu	ist be pr	esent, ui				
Type:									
Depth (ir	nches):						Hydric Soil Preser	nt? Yes	<u>No X</u>
Remarks:									
This data for Version 7.0,	m is revised from No 2015 Errata. (http://v	rthcentral /ww.nrcs.u	and Northeast Regi usda.gov/Internet/FS	onal Su SE_DOC	pplemen CUMENT	t Version S/nrcs14	2.0 to include the NR0 2p2_051293.docx)	CS Field Indicators o	f Hydric Soils,

Project/Site: Willoughby Lake Road	City/Cou	unty: Orleans County	Sampling Date: 10/10/18				
Applicant/Owner: Ducks Unlimited		State: VT	Sampling Point: DPU7				
Investigator(s): J. Fraser, P. Raney	Section, Township, Range: Town of Barton						
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Hillslope	Local relief (cor	icave, convex, none): None	Slope %: 3.0				
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR R	Lat: <u>44°47'6.688"N</u>	Long: 72°6'18.698"W	Datum: WGS84				
Soil Map Unit Name: <u>Cabot silt loam (72)</u>	·	NWI classification:	None				
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typic	al for this time of year?	Yes X No (If no, e	explain in Remarks.)				
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances" prese	ent? Yes X No				
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any answers in	Remarks.)				
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling p	oint locations, transects, im	portant features, etc.				
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes	No X Is the	Sampled Area					

Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No X	within a Wetland?     Yes     No     X       If yes, optional Wetland Site ID:
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes X	No	
Remarks: (Explain alternative proced Field has been cut for hay.	ures here or in a s	eparate report.)	

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:	Wetland Hydrology Indicators:					
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is requir		Surface Soil Cracks (B6)				
Surface Water (A1)	_	Drainage Patterns (B10)				
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Fauna (B13)		Moss Trim Lines (B16)			
X Saturation (A3)	Marl Deposits (B15)		? Dry-Season Water Table (C2)			
Water Marks (B1)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)		Crayfish Burrows (C8)			
Sediment Deposits (B2)	X Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Ro	ots (C3)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)			
Drift Deposits (B3)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)			
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils	(C6)	Geomorphic Position (D2)			
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)	_	Shallow Aquitard (D3)			
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7	) Other (Explain in Remarks)	_	Microtopographic Relief (D4)			
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (E	38)		FAC-Neutral Test (D5)			
Field Observations:						
Surface Water Present? Yes	No X Depth (inches):					
Water Table Present? Yes X	No Depth (Inches): 16					
Water Table Present?     Yes     X       Saturation Present?     Yes     X	No Depth (inches): 16 No Depth (inches): 12	Wetland	Hydrology Present? Yes X No			
Water Table Present?     Yes     X       Saturation Present?     Yes     X       (includes capillary fringe)	No Depth (inches): No Depth (inches):	Wetland	Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No			
Water Table Present?       Yes       X         Saturation Present?       Yes       X         (includes capillary fringe)	No Depth (inches): No Depth (inches): nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspec	Wetland	Hydrology Present? Yes X No			
Water Table Present?       Yes       X         Saturation Present?       Yes       X         (includes capillary fringe)       X         Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, model)	No Depth (inches): <u>16</u> No Depth (inches): <u>12</u> nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspec	Wetland	Hydrology Present? Yes X No			
Water Table Present?       Yes       X         Saturation Present?       Yes       X         (includes capillary fringe)	No Depth (inches): <u>16</u> No Depth (inches): <u>12</u> nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspec	Wetland tions), if av	Hydrology Present? Yes X No			
Water Table Present?       Yes       X         Saturation Present?       Yes       X         (includes capillary fringe)	No Depth (inches): <u>16</u> No Depth (inches): <u>12</u> nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspec	Wetland tions), if av	Hydrology Present? Yes X No			
Water Table Present?       Yes       X         Saturation Present?       Yes       X         (includes capillary fringe)       Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mo         Remarks:       Remarks:	No Depth (inches): <u>16</u> No Depth (inches): <u>12</u> nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspec	Wetland tions), if av	Hydrology Present? Yes X No			
Water Table Present?       Yes       X         Saturation Present?       Yes       X         (includes capillary fringe)       Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mo         Remarks:       Remarks:	No Depth (inches): <u>16</u> No Depth (inches): <u>12</u> nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspec	Wetland tions), if av	Hydrology Present? Yes X No			
Water Table Present?       Yes       X         Saturation Present?       Yes       X         (includes capillary fringe)	No Depth (inches): <u>16</u> No Depth (inches): <u>12</u> nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspec	Wetland tions), if av	Hydrology Present? Yes X No			
Water Table Present?       Yes       X         Saturation Present?       Yes       X         (includes capillary fringe)	No Depth (inches): <u>16</u> No Depth (inches): <u>12</u> nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspec	Wetland tions), if ava	Hydrology Present? Yes X No			
Water Table Present?       Yes       X         Saturation Present?       Yes       X         (includes capillary fringe)	No Depth (inches): <u>16</u> No Depth (inches): <u>12</u> nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspec	Wetland tions), if ava	Hydrology Present? Yes X No			
Water Table Present?       Yes       X         Saturation Present?       Yes       X         (includes capillary fringe)	No Depth (inches): <u>16</u> No Depth (inches): <u>12</u> nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspec	Wetland tions), if av	Hydrology Present? Yes X No			
Water Table Present?       Yes       X         Saturation Present?       Yes       X         (includes capillary fringe)	No Depth (inches): <u>16</u> No Depth (inches): <u>12</u> nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspec	Wetland tions), if av	Hydrology Present? Yes X No			
Water Table Present?       Yes       X         Saturation Present?       Yes       X         (includes capillary fringe)	No Depth (inches): <u>16</u> No Depth (inches): <u>12</u> nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspec	Wetland tions), if av	Hydrology Present? Yes X No			

<u>Tree Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>30</u> )	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet:
1.       2.				Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:0 (A)
3 4				Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)
5 6				Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>0.0%</u> (A/B)
7				Prevalence Index worksheet:
		=Total Cover		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 )				OBL species 0 x 1 = 0
1				FACW species 0 x 2 = 0
2				FAC species 0 x 3 = 0
3				FACU species 95 x 4 = 380
4.				UPL species 5 x 5 = 25
5.				Column Totals: 100 (A) 405 (B)
6.				Prevalence Index = B/A = 4.05
7.				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
		=Total Cover		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5)				2 - Dominance Test is >50%
1. Lolium perenne	85	Yes	FACU	3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 <sup>1</sup>
2. Geranium maculatum	5	No	FACU	4 - Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting
3. Kriaja virginica	5	No	UPL	data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
4 Plantago major	5	No	FACU	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain)
5				
6				Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
7				Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
8				
9.				<b>Tree</b> – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
10.				Sanling/shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in DBH
11				and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
12				Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless
	100	=Total Cover		of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30)				Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				height.
2				Hydrophytic
3				Vegetation
4.				Present? Yes <u>No X</u>
		= lotal Cover		
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a sepa	rate sheet.)			

Profile Desc	Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)									
Depth	Matrix		Redox	x Featur	es					
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type <sup>1</sup>	Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture	Remarks		
0-13	10YR 3/2	98	10YR 3/6	2	С	PL	Loamy/Clayey	Prominent redox concentrations		
13-20	7.5YR 4/2	97	7.5YR 4/4	3	С	М	Loamy/Clayey	Distinct redox concentrations		
20-39	7.5YR 3/2	98	7.5YR 4/4	2	С	M	Loamy/Clayey	Distinct redox concentrations		
							·			
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=Co	oncentration, D=Depl	etion, RM	=Reduced Matrix, N	/IS=Masl	ked Sand	Grains.	<sup>2</sup> Location: Pl	L=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.		
Hydric Soil	Indicators:						Indicators for	or Problematic Hydric Soils <sup>3</sup> :		
Histosol	(A1)		Polyvalue Belo	w Surfa	ce (S8) (	LRR R,	2 cm Mu	ck (A10) ( <b>LRR K, L, MLRA 149B</b> )		
Histic Ep	pipedon (A2)		MLRA 149B	)			Coast Pr	airie Redox (A16) ( <b>LRR K, L, R</b> )		
Black Hi	stic (A3)		Thin Dark Surfa	, ace (S9)	) ( <b>LRR R</b>		149B) 5 cm Mu	cky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R)		
Hydroge	n Sulfide (A4)		High Chroma S	Sands (S	, , 611) (LRI	, R.K.L.)	Polyvalue	e Below Surface (S8) (LRR K. L)		
Privatoge			l opmy Mucky l	Minoral J			Toiyvaid	k Surface (SQ) (LPR K L)		
	Layers (AJ)	( )				<b>Υ Ν, Ε</b> )				
	Below Dark Surface	e (ATT)	Loamy Gleyed	Matrix (	FZ)		Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R)			
Thick Da	ark Surface (A12)		Depleted Matri	x (F3)			Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) ( <b>MLRA 149B</b> )			
Sandy M	lucky Mineral (S1)		Redox Dark Su	urface (F	-6)		Mesic Spodic (TA6) ( <b>MLRA 144A, 145, 149B</b> )			
Sandy G	leyed Matrix (S4)		Depleted Dark	Surface	: (F7)		Red Parent Material (F21)			
Sandy R	edox (S5)		Redox Depress	sions (F8	8)		Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)			
Stripped	Matrix (S6)		Marl (F10) ( <b>LR</b>	R K, L)			Other (Explain in Remarks)			
Dark Su	rface (S7)			. ,						
<sup>3</sup> Indicators o	f hydrophytic vegetat	ion and w	etland hydrology mu	ust be pr	esent, ur	nless dist	urbed or problematic.			
Restrictive I	Layer (if observed):									
Type:										
Depth (ir	nches):						Hydric Soil Presen	nt? Yes <u>No X</u>		
Remarks:										
This data for	m is revised from No	rthcentral	and Northeast Regi	ional Su	pplemen		2.0 to include the NRC	S Field Indicators of Hydric Soils,		
		ww.mcs.u	usua.yov/miemei/Fo			3/11/05/14	2pz_051295.000x)			

Project/Site: Willoughby Lake Road	City/County: Orleans County	Sampling Date: 10/10/18			
Applicant/Owner: Ducks Unlimited	State: VT	Sampling Point: DPU8			
Investigator(s): J. Fraser, P. Raney	Section, Township, Range: Town of Barton				
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Hillslope	Local relief (concave, convex, none): None	Slope %: 3.0			
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR R Lat: 4	4°47'3.492"N Long: 72°6'15.438"W	Datum: WGS84			
Soil Map Unit Name: Cabot silt loam (72)	NWI classification:	None			
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this	s time of year? Yes X No (If no, e	xplain in Remarks.)			
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrologysig	gnificantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" prese	nt? Yes X No			
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrologyna	aturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in	Remarks.)			
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map s	howing sampling point locations, transects, imp	portant features, etc.			

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes Yes Yes	No X No X No X	Is the Sampled Area         No X           within a Wetland?         Yes No X           If yes, optional Wetland Site ID:
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedu Field has been cut for hay.	res here or in a	separate report.)	

Wetland Hydrology Indica	tors:		Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)			
Primary Indicators (minimur	n of one is requir		Surface Soil Cracks (B6)			
Surface Water (A1)			Drainage Patterns (B10)			
High Water Table (A2)			Moss Trim Lines (B16	6)		
Saturation (A3)		Marl D	eposits (B15)		Dry-Season Water Ta	able (C2)
Water Marks (B1)		Hydrog	gen Sulfide Odor (C1)		Crayfish Burrows (C8	)
Sediment Deposits (B2	)	Oxidize	ed Rhizospheres on Living R	oots (C3)	Saturation Visible on	Aerial Imagery (C9)
Drift Deposits (B3)		Preser	nce of Reduced Iron (C4)		Stunted or Stressed F	Plants (D1)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)		Recent	t Iron Reduction in Tilled Soi	ls (C6)	Geomorphic Position	(D2)
Iron Deposits (B5)		Thin M	luck Surface (C7)		Shallow Aquitard (D3)	)
Inundation Visible on A	erial Imagery (B7	) Other (	(Explain in Remarks)		Microtopographic Reli	ief (D4)
Sparsely Vegetated Co	ncave Surface (B	8)			FAC-Neutral Test (D5	5)
Field Observations:						
Surface Water Present?	Yes	No X	Depth (inches):			
Water Table Present?	Yes	No X	Depth (inches):			
Saturation Present?	Yes	No X	Depth (inches):	Wetlar	nd Hydrology Present?	Yes No X
(includes capillary fringe)			,			
Describe Recorded Data (st	ream gauge, mo	nitoring well,	aerial photos, previous insp	ections), if	available:	
		<b>U</b>		,.		
Remarks:						

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30 )	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet:
1.       2.				Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:1 (A)
3				Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>2</u> (B)
5.           6.				Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:50.0% (A/B)
7				Prevalence Index worksheet:
		=Total Cover		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 )				OBL species 0 x 1 = 0
1.				FACW species 25 x 2 = 50
2.				FAC species 0 x 3 = 0
3.				FACU species 66 x 4 = 264
4.			,	UPL species $0 \times 5 = 0$
5.				Column Totals: 91 (A) 314 (B)
6.				$\frac{1}{2}$ Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.45
7.				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
		=Total Cover		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 )				2 - Dominance Test is >50%
1 Lolium perenne	60	Yes	FACU	$3 - $ Prevalence Index is $< 3.0^{1}$
2 Phalaris arundinacea	25	Ves	FACW	4 - Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting
2. Thatans aranamacca	20	No	EACU	data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
				Droblemetic Llydrophytic (Corotation <sup>1</sup> (Cyplain)
4. <u>Vicia sativa</u>				
5.     Geranium maculatum       6.		No	FACU	<sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
7				Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
8				<b>Tree</b> – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
10				<b>Sapling/shrub</b> – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
12.	91	=Total Cover		<b>Herb</b> – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
<u>Woody Vine Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>30</u> ) 1.				<b>Woody vines</b> – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.
2.				
3.				Hydrophytic
4				Vegetation Present? Yes No X
		=Total Cover		
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a sepa	rate sheet.)			
	,			

Profile Desc	Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)									
Depth	Matrix		Redo	x Featur	es					
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type <sup>1</sup>	Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture	Remarks		
0-13	10YR 2/2	100					Loamy/Clayey			
13-23	10YR 2/1	98	7.5YR 3/4	2	С	PL	Loamy/Clayey	Prominent redox concentration		
23-27	5YR 4/1	99	5YR 4/4	1	С	M	Loamy/Clayey	Distinct redox concentrations		
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=Co	oncentration, D=Depl	etion, RM	=Reduced Matrix, M	/IS=Masl	ked Sand	d Grains.	<sup>2</sup> Location: PL	.=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.		
Hydric Soil I	ndicators:						Indicators for	r Problematic Hydric Soils <sup>3</sup> :		
Histosol	(A1)		Polyvalue Belo	w Surfa	ce (S8) (	LRR R,	2 cm Muc	k (A10) ( <b>LRR K, L, MLRA 149B</b> )		
Histic Ep	ipedon (A2)		MLRA 149B	)			Coast Pra	airie Redox (A16) ( <b>LRR K, L, R</b> )		
Black His	stic (A3)		Thin Dark Surf	ace (S9)	) (LRR R	, MLRA 1	149B)5 cm Muc	ky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L,		
Hydroge	n Sulfide (A4)		High Chroma S	Sands (S	611) ( <b>LRI</b>	R K, L)	Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR K, L)			
Stratified	Lavers (A5)		Loamv Muckv	Mineral	(F1) ( <b>LR</b>	R K. L)	Thin Dark	Surface (S9) (LRR K. L)		
 Depleted	Below Dark Surface	e (A11)	Loamv Gleved	Matrix (	F2)	. ,	Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR K. L. R)			
Thick Da	rk Surface (A12)	( )	 Depleted Matri	x (F3)	,		Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MI RA 149B)			
Sandy M	ucky Mineral (S1)		Bedox Dark Si	rface (F	6)		Mesic Spodic (TA6) (MI RA 144A 145 149B)			
Sandy G	loved Matrix (S4)		Nonloted Dark	Surface	(E7)		Red Parent Material (E21)			
Sandy B					( [ <i>[ ]</i>		Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)			
	euox (SS)		Redox Depress		0)		Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)			
Stripped	Matrix (S6)		Mari (F10) ( <b>LR</b>	R K, L)			Other (Ex	plain in Remarks)		
Dark Sur	face (S7)									
<sup>3</sup> Indicators of	hydrophytic vegetat	ion and w	etland hydrology mu	ust be pr	esent, u	nless dist	urbed or problematic.			
Restrictive L	ayer (if observed):									
Type:							Ukuduja Caji Duagan			
Depth (Ir							Hydric Soll Present	t? Yes <u>NO X</u>		
Remarks:										
This data for	m is revised from No	rthcentral	and Northeast Regi	ional Su	pplemen	t Version	2.0 to include the NRC	S Field Indicators of Hydric Soils,		
version 7.0, A	2015 Errata. (http://w	/ww.nrcs.u	usda.gov/internet/Fa	SE_DOC		S/nrcs14	2p2_051293.docx)			

Project/Site: Willough	nby Lake Ro	bad		City/County: Orleans	County		Sampling Date:	7-23-2019
Applicant/Owner:	Ducks Unlir	nited			State:	VT	Sampling Point:	DPU9
Investigator(s): Patric	k Raney			Section, Tov	vnship, Range: <mark>-</mark>	Town of	Barton	
Landform (hillside, terr	ace, etc.):	Hillslope	Local	relief (concave, conve	x, none): <u>None</u>		Slope	%: 2.0
Subregion (LRR or ML	RA): LRR	R I	_at: 44°47'08.79"	Long:	72°06'58.29		Datum:	WGS84
Soil Map Unit Name:	Buckland ve	ery fine sandy loan	1		NWI classif	fication:	None	
Are climatic / hydrologi	c conditions	on the site typical	for this time of year?	Yes X	No	(If no, e	explain in Remarks	s.)
Are Vegetation	, Soil	, or Hydrology	significantly distur	bed? Are "Norm	al Circumstance	es" pres	ent? Yes <u>X</u>	No
Are Vegetation	, Soil	, or Hydrology	naturally problema	atic? (If needed	, explain any an	swers ir	Remarks.)	
SUMMARY OF FI		<ul> <li>Attach site n</li> </ul>	nap showing sam	pling point locati	ions, transed	cts, im	portant featur	es, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No X	Is the Sampled Area         No X           within a Wetland?         Yes No X           If yes, optional Wetland Site ID:
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No X	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No X	
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedu Well-drained forested upland.	ires here or in a	separate report.)	

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is requ		Surface Soil Cracks (B6)				
Surface Water (A1)	urface Water (A1) Water-Stained Leaves (B9)			Drainage Patterns (B10)		
High Water Table (A2)	High Water Table (A2) Aquatic Fauna (B13)			Moss Trim Lines (B16)		
Saturation (A3)	Marl Deposits (B15) Dry-Season Water Table (C2)			ble (C2)		
Water Marks (B1)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) Crayfish Burrows (C8)			)		
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) Saturation Visible on Aerial Imager			Aerial Imagery (C9)		
Drift Deposits (B3)	) Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)			Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)		
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soil	Geomorphic Position (D2)				
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7) Shallow Aquitard (D3)					
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (E	7) Other (Explain in Remarks)	ks) Microtopographic Relief (D4)				
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface	(B8)	FAC-Neutral Test (D5)				
Field Observations:						
Surface Water Present? Yes	No X Depth (inches):					
Water Table Present? Yes	No X Depth (inches):					
Saturation Present? Yes	No X Depth (inches):	Wetland	d Hydrology Present?	Yes No X		
(includes capillary fringe)			, .,			
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, m	onitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	ctions), if a	vailable:			
		,,				
Remarks:						
Upland datapoint.						

	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Denvinence Technologie (
<u>Tree Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>30</u> )	% Cover	Species?	Status	Dominance Test worksneet:
1. Populus balsamitera	5	<u>No</u>	FACW	Number of Dominant Species
2. Abies balsamea	60	Yes	FAC	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:(A)
3.     Acer rubrum       4.	5	No	FAC	Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata:(B)
5 6.				Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
7.				Prevalence Index worksheet:
	70	=Total Cover		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 )				OBL species 0 x 1 = 0
1. Abies balsamea	5	Yes	FAC	FACW species 7 x 2 = 14
2.				FAC species 89 x 3 = 267
3.		·		FACU species $0   x 4 = 0$
4.		. <u> </u>		UPL species 55 x 5 = 275
5.				Column Totals: 151 (A) 556 (B)
6.		·		Prevalence Index = $B/A = 3.68$
7.				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
	5	=Total Cover		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5)				2 - Dominance Test is >50%
1. Dennstaedtia punctilobula	55	Yes	UPL	3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 <sup>1</sup>
2. Thelvpteris noveborascens	10	No	FAC	4 - Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting
3 Abies balsamea	5	No	FAC	data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
4 Fauisetum arvense	4	No	FAC	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain)
5 Onoclea sensibilis	2	No	FACW	
6				'Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
7		·		Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
8		·		Seminorio el vegetatori entata.
9				<b>Tree</b> – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height
10		·		
11.				<b>Sapling/shrub</b> – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
12.		·		
	76	=Total Cover		of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30 )		•		Weedu vince All weedu vince greater than 2.29 ft in
1.				height.
2.				
3.				Hydrophytic Verentition
4.		·		Present? Yes No X
		=Total Cover		
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a sepa	rate sheet.)	•		
	,			

	Matrix		Redo	x Featur	res				
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type <sup>1</sup>	Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture	Remarks	
0-18	7 5YR 5/6	100					Loamy/Clavey	hit restrictive layer at 18"	
	1.011(0/0						Louiny/olayoy		
		<u> </u>				. <u> </u>			
		<u> </u>							
		<u> </u>							
		<u> </u>				·			
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=Conc	centration, D=Deple	etion, RM=	Reduced Matrix, N	/IS=Mas	ked Sand	l Grains.	<sup>2</sup> Location: F	PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.	
Hydric Soil Ind	licators:						Indicators f	or Problematic Hydric Soils <sup>3</sup> :	
Histosol (A	1)	_	Polyvalue Belo	w Surfa	ce (S8) (l	LRR R,	2 cm Mu	uck (A10) ( <b>LRR K, L, MLRA 149B</b> )	
Histic Epipe	edon (A2)		MLRA 149B	5)			Coast P	rairie Redox (A16) ( <b>LRR K, L, R</b> )	
Black Histic	c (A3)	_	Thin Dark Surf	ace (S9	) (LRR R	, MLRA 1	<b>49B</b> ) 5 cm Mu	ucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R)	
Hydrogen S	Sulfide (A4)	_	High Chroma S	Sands (S	611) ( <b>LRF</b>	R K, L)	Polyvalu	ue Below Surface (S8) ( <b>LRR K, L</b> )	
Stratified La	ayers (A5)	_	Loamy Mucky	Mineral	(F1) ( <b>LRI</b>	R K, L)	Thin Da	rk Surface (S9) ( <b>LRR K, L</b> )	
Depleted B	elow Dark Surface	(A11)	Loamy Gleyed	Matrix (	(F2)		Iron-Mai	nganese Masses (F12) ( <b>LRR K, L, R</b> )	
Thick Dark	Surface (A12)	_	Depleted Matri	x (F3)			Piedmor	nt Floodplain Soils (F19) ( <b>MLRA 149B</b> )	
Sandy Muc	ky Mineral (S1)	_	Redox Dark Su	urface (F	-6)		Mesic S	podic (TA6) ( <b>MLRA 144A, 145, 149B</b> )	
Sandy Gley	/ed Matrix (S4)	-	Depleted Dark	Surface	e (F7)		Red Par	rent Material (F21)	
Sandy Red	ox (S5)	-	Redox Depres	sions (F	8)		Very Sh	allow Dark Surface (F22)	
Stripped Ma	atrix (S6)	-	Marl (F10) ( <b>LR</b>	R K, L)			Other (E	Explain in Remarks)	
Dark Surfac	ce (S7)								
<u>_</u>									
<sup>3</sup> Indicators of hy	ydrophytic vegetati	on and we	etland hydrology mu	ust be pr	resent, ur	iless dist	urbed or problematic.		
Restrictive Lay	/er (if observed):								
Туре:									
	(a)						Hydric Soil Proso	nt? Van Na V	
Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30 )	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet:					
--	---------------------	----------------------	---------------------	---					
1.       2.				Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:2 (A)					
3 4				Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>2</u> (B)					
5 6				Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>100.0%</u> (A/B)					
7				Prevalence Index worksheet:					
		=Total Cover		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:					
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 )				OBL species 25 x 1 = 25					
1				FACW species 25 x 2 = 50					
2				FAC species x 3 =63					
3.				FACU species 6 x 4 = 24					
4.				UPL species 0 x 5 = 0					
5.				Column Totals: 77 (A) 162 (B)					
6.				Prevalence Index = $B/A = 2.10$					
7.				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:					
		=Total Cover		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation					
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 )				X 2 - Dominance Test is >50%					
1. Impatiens capensis	25	Yes	FACW	X 3 - Prevalence Index is $\leq 3.0^1$					
2. Typha latifolia	25	Yes	OBL	4 - Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting					
3. Ranunculus acris	15	No	FAC	data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)					
4 Futhamia graminifolia	3	No	FAC	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain)					
5 Rumex crispus	3	No	FAC						
6 Phleum protense		No	FACU	Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must					
		No		Definitions of Vagetation Strate:					
				Demittions of vegetation Strata.					
9			FACU	<b>Tree</b> – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.					
10 11.				<b>Sapling/shrub</b> – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.					
12.									
	77	=Total Cover		<b>Herb</b> – All nerbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.					
Woody Vine Stratum         (Plot size:30)           1.				<b>Woody vines</b> – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.					
2									
3.				Hydrophytic					
4.				Present? Yes X No					
		=Total Cover							
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a sena	rate sheet )			1					

Project/Site: Willoughby Lake Ro	Id City/County: Orleans County Sampling Date: 7-23-2						-23-2019	
Applicant/Owner: Ducks Unlin	nited			State:	VT S	Sampling Point:	DPW15	
Investigator(s): Patrick Raney	Section, Township, Range: Town of Barton							
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.):	Flat	Local reli	ef (concave, convex	, none): None		Slope	%: 1	
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR	R Lat:	44°47'15.261"	Long:	72°6'31.153"		Datum: V	VGS84	
Soil Map Unit Name: Buckland ve	ery fine sandy loam			NWI classifi	cation: Pl	FO		
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions	on the site typical for	this time of year?	Yes X	No	(If no, expl	lain in Remarks.	)	
Are Vegetation, Soil	, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed	d? Are "Norma	al Circumstance	s" present'	? Yes X	No	
Are Vegetation, Soil	, or Hydrology	naturally problematic	? (If needed,	explain any ans	wers in Re	emarks.)		
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.								
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes X	No	Is the Sampled Are	a				
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes X	No	within a Wetland?	Yes	X N	lo		
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes X	No	If yes, optional Wetl	and Site ID: W	Vetland 13			

Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.)

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)		
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is require	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)				
Surface Water (A1)	Water-Stained Leaves (B9)		Drainage Patterns (B10)		
X High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Fauna (B13)		Moss Trim Lines (B16)		
X Saturation (A3)	Marl Deposits (B15)		Dry-Season Water Table (C2)		
Water Marks (B1)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)		Crayfish Burrows (C8)		
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Re	oots (C3)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)		
Drift Deposits (B3)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)		
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soil	s (C6)	Geomorphic Position (D2)		
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		Shallow Aquitard (D3)		
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		Microtopographic Relief (D4)		
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (Bi	8)		X FAC-Neutral Test (D5)		
Field Observations:					
Surface Water Present? Yes	No X Depth (inches):				
Water Table Present? Yes X	No Depth (inches): 8				
	,				
Saturation Present? Yes X	No Depth (inches): 0	Wetlan	d Hydrology Present? Yes X No		
Saturation Present? Yes X (includes capillary fringe)	No Depth (inches): 0	Wetland	d Hydrology Present? Yes X No		
Saturation Present?       Yes       X         (includes capillary fringe)	No Depth (inches): nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	Wetland	d Hydrology Present? Yes X No No		
Saturation Present?       Yes       X         (includes capillary fringe)	No Depth (inches): nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	Wetland ections), if a	d Hydrology Present? Yes X No		
Saturation Present? Yes X (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor	No Depth (inches): nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	Wetland	d Hydrology Present? Yes X No		
Saturation Present? Yes X (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor Remarks:	No Depth (inches):	Wetland	d Hydrology Present? Yes X No		
Saturation Present? Yes X (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor Remarks:	No Depth (inches):	Wetland	d Hydrology Present? Yes X No		
Saturation Present?       Yes       X         (includes capillary fringe)	No Depth (inches): nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	Wetland	d Hydrology Present? Yes X No		
Saturation Present? Yes X (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor Remarks:	No Depth (inches):	Wetland	d Hydrology Present? Yes X No		
Saturation Present? Yes X (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor Remarks:	No Depth (inches):	Wetland	d Hydrology Present? Yes X No		
Saturation Present? Yes X (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor Remarks:	No Depth (inches): nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	Wetland	d Hydrology Present? Yes X No		
Saturation Present? Yes X (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor Remarks:	No Depth (inches):	Wetland	d Hydrology Present? Yes X No		
Saturation Present? Yes X (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor Remarks:	No Depth (inches): nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	Wetland	d Hydrology Present? Yes X No		
Saturation Present? Yes X (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor Remarks:	No Depth (inches): 0	Wetland	d Hydrology Present? Yes X No		

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30 )	Absolute % Cover	Dominant	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet
1 Ricco ruboro	<u> </u>	<u>Species:</u>		Dominance rest worksheet.
	5	No		Number of Dominant Species
2. Abies baisainea				$\frac{1}{3}$
3.         Thuja occidentalis           4.	00	res		Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>3</u> (B)
5 6				Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)
7.				Prevalence Index worksheet:
	90	=Total Cover		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 )				OBL species 15 x 1 = 15
1. Thuja occidentalis	40	Yes	FACW	FACW species 165 x 2 = 330
2.				FAC species 15 x 3 = 45
3.				FACU species 17 x 4 = 68
4.				UPL species 0 x 5 = 0
5.				Column Totals: 212 (A) 458 (B)
6.				Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.16
7.				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
	40	=Total Cover		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5)				X 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
1. Onoclea sensibilis	45	Yes	FACW	X 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 <sup>1</sup>
2. Phegopteris connectilis	12	No	FACU	4 - Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting
3. Mvosotis scorpioides	5	No	OBL	data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
4. Equisetum fluviatile	8	No	OBL	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain)
5. Ranunculus hispidus	10	No	FAC	
6. Carex exilis	2	No	OBL	Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
7				Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
8.				
9.				Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
10				
11.				Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
12				
	82	=Total Cover		<b>Herb</b> – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3 28 ft tall
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30 )				
1				Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height
2				noight.
3				Hydrophytic
4				Vegetation Present? Yes X No
		=Total Cover		
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separ	rate sheet )			
	ate encoury			

Depth	Matrix		Redo	x Featur	es			-
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type <sup>1</sup>	Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture	Remarks
0.19	10VP 2/1	100					Mueley Boot	
0-10	101K 2/1	100					Mucky Feat	
		·						
		·						
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=C	oncentration, D=Dep	letion, RM	=Reduced Matrix, N	//S=Mas	ked Sand	l Grains.	<sup>2</sup> Location: PL=	Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil	Indicators:						Indicators for	Problematic Hydric Soils <sup>3</sup> :
_x_Histosol	(A1)	_	Polyvalue Belo	ow Surfa	ce (S8) (l	LRR R,	2 cm Muck	(A10) ( <b>LRR K, L, MLRA 149B</b> )
Histic Ep	oipedon (A2)		MLRA 149B	3)			Coast Prai	rie Redox (A16) ( <b>LRR K, L, R</b> )
Black Hi	stic (A3)		Thin Dark Surf	face (S9)	) (LRR R	, MLRA 1	<b>49B</b> ) 5 cm Muck	xy Peat or Peat (S3) ( <b>LRR K, L, R</b> )
Hydroge	n Sulfide (A4)		High Chroma	Sands (S	611) ( <b>LRF</b>	R K, L)	Polyvalue	Below Surface (S8) ( <b>LRR K, L</b> )
Stratified	d Layers (A5)		Loamy Mucky	Mineral	(F1) ( <b>LRI</b>	R K, L)	Thin Dark	Surface (S9) ( <b>LRR K, L</b> )
Depleted	d Below Dark Surface	e (A11)	Loamy Gleyed	l Matrix (	F2)		Iron-Manga	anese Masses (F12) ( <b>LRR K, L, R</b> )
Thick Da	ark Surface (A12)		Depleted Matr	ix (F3)			Piedmont I	Floodplain Soils (F19) ( <b>MLRA 149B</b> )
Sandy M	lucky Mineral (S1)		Redox Dark S	urface (F	6)		Mesic Spo	dic (TA6) ( <b>MLRA 144A, 145, 149B</b> )
Sandy G	Bleyed Matrix (S4)		Depleted Dark	Surface	e (F7)		Red Paren	t Material (F21)
Sandy R	Redox (S5)		Redox Depres	sions (F	8)		Very Shall	ow Dark Surface (F22)
Stripped	Matrix (S6)		Marl (F10) ( <b>LR</b>	RR K, L)			Other (Exp	lain in Remarks)
Dark Su	rface (S7)							
3								
Indicators of	f hydrophytic vegetat	tion and we	etland hydrology m	ust be pr	esent, ur	iless dist	urbed or problematic.	
Restrictive	Layer (if observed):							
Type:								
Depth (ir	nches):						Hydric Soil Present	? Yes <u>X</u> No
Remarks:								
This data for	m is revised from No	orthcentral	and Northeast Reg	ional Su	pplemen	Version	2.0 to include the NRCS	Field Indicators of Hydric Soils,
Version 7.0,	2015 Errata. (http://v	ww.nrcs.u	Isda.gov/Internet/F	SE_DOC	JUMENT	S/nrcs14	2p2_051293.docx)	

Project/Site: Willoughby Lake Ro	pad	City/County: Orleans County Sampling Date: 7-23							
Applicant/Owner: Ducks Unlin	nited			State:	VT	Sampling Point:	DPW16		
Investigator(s): Patrick Raney		Section, Township, Range: Town of Barton							
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.):	Flat	Local re	elief (concave, conve	ex, none): <u>None</u>		Slope	%: 1		
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR	R Lat:	44°47'11.319"	Long:	72°7'5.103"		Datum: \	NGS84		
Soil Map Unit Name: Buckland ve	ery fine sandy loam			NWI classifi	ication: F	۶FO			
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions	on the site typical for	this time of year?	Yes X	No	(If no, exp	plain in Remarks.	.)		
Are Vegetation, Soil	, or Hydrology	significantly disturbe	ed? Are "Norn	nal Circumstance	s" presen	t? Yes X	No <u> </u>		
Are Vegetation, Soil	, or Hydrology	naturally problemati	c? (If needed	d, explain any ans	swers in R	emarks.)			
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS -	SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.								
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes X	No	Is the Sampled A	rea					
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes X	No	within a Wetland	? Yes	<u> </u>	No			
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes X	No	If yes, optional We	etland Site ID: V	Vetland 14	4			

Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.)

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)		
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is require	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)				
Surface Water (A1)	Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	Drainage Patterns (B10)			
X High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Fauna (B13)		Moss Trim Lines (B16)		
X Saturation (A3)	Marl Deposits (B15)		Dry-Season Water Table (C2)		
Water Marks (B1)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)		Crayfish Burrows (C8)		
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Ro	oots (C3)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)		
Drift Deposits (B3)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)		
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils	s (C6)	Geomorphic Position (D2)		
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		Shallow Aquitard (D3)		
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		Microtopographic Relief (D4)		
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B	3)		X FAC-Neutral Test (D5)		
Field Observations:					
Surface Water Present? Yes	No X Depth (inches):				
Water Table Present? Yes X	No Depth (inches): 7				
Saturation Present? Yes X	No Depth (inches):	Wetlan	d Hydrology Present? Yes X No		
Saturation Present? Yes X (includes capillary fringe)	No Depth (inches): 0	Wetlan	d Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No		
Saturation Present?       Yes         (includes capillary fringe)         Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor	No Depth (inches): No Depth (inches): nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	Wetlan	d Hydrology Present? Yes X No		
Saturation Present? Yes X (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor	No Depth (inches): No Depth (inches):	Wetlan	d Hydrology Present? Yes X No		
Saturation Present? Yes X (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor	No Depth (inches):	Wetlan	d Hydrology Present? Yes X No		
Saturation Present?     Yes     X       (includes capillary fringe)     Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor       Remarks:	No Depth (inches):	Wetlan	d Hydrology Present? Yes X No Available:		
Saturation Present?     Yes     X       (includes capillary fringe)	No Depth (inches):	Wetlan	d Hydrology Present? Yes X No Available:		
Saturation Present?     Yes     X       (includes capillary fringe)     Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor       Remarks:	No Depth (inches):	Wetlan	d Hydrology Present? Yes X No		
Saturation Present?     Yes       Saturation Present?     Yes       (includes capillary fringe)       Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor       Remarks:	No Depth (inches):	Wetlan	d Hydrology Present? Yes X No Available:		
Saturation Present?     Yes       Saturation Present?     Yes       (includes capillary fringe)       Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor       Remarks:	No Depth (inches): 0	Wetlan	d Hydrology Present? Yes X No Available:		
Saturation Present?     Yes     X       (includes capillary fringe)     Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor       Remarks:	No Depth (inches): 0	Wetlan ctions), if a	d Hydrology Present? Yes X No Available:		
Saturation Present?     Yes X       (includes capillary fringe)       Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor       Remarks:	No Depth (inches): 0	Wetlan ctions), if a	d Hydrology Present? Yes X No Available:		
Saturation Present?     Yes X       (includes capillary fringe)       Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor       Remarks:	No Depth (inches): 0	Wetlan	d Hydrology Present? Yes X No Available:		
Saturation Present?     Yes X       (includes capillary fringe)       Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor       Remarks:	No Depth (inches): 0	Wetlan	d Hydrology Present? Yes X No		

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30 )	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet:
1. Alnus incana	5	No	FACW	Number of Dominant Species
2. Abies balsamea	60	Yes	FAC	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 4 (A)
3. Thuja occidentalis	10	No	FACW	Total Number of Dominant
4.				Species Across All Strata: <u>4</u> (B)
5				Percent of Dominant Species
6				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)
7				Prevalence Index worksheet:
	75	=Total Cover		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 )				OBL species 28 x 1 =28
1. Alnus incana	25	Yes	FACW	FACW species 88 x 2 = 176
2				FAC species 72 x 3 = 216
3				FACU species 10 x 4 = 40
4				UPL species 0 x 5 = 0
5				Column Totals: 198 (A) 460 (B)
6				Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.32
7				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
	25	=Total Cover		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 )				X 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
1. Onoclea sensibilis	30	Yes	FACW	X_3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 <sup>1</sup>
2. Impatiens capensis	5	No	FACW	4 - Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting
3. Carex crinita	28	Yes	OBL	data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
4. Galium boreale	7	No	FAC	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain)
5. Carex grayi	5	No	FACW	<sup>1</sup> Indiastors of hydric coil and watland hydrology must
6. Circaea alpina	4	No	FACW	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
7. Acer pensylvanicum	3	No	FACU	Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
8. Alnus incana	4	No	FACW	Tree Weedy plants 2 in (7.6 cm) or more in
9. Maianthemum canadense	7	No	FACU	diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
10. Trientalis borealis	5	No	FAC	Senting/obrub Woody plants loss than 2 in DPH
11.				and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
12.				Herb All berbasseus (non woody) plants, regardless
	98	=Total Cover		of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30 )				Weedu vince All weedu vince greater than 2.29 ft in
1.				height.
2.				
3.				Hydrophytic Manatalian
4.				Present? Yes X No
		=Total Cover		
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separ	ate sheet.)			
	,			

Profile Desc	ription: (Describe	to the dep	oth needed to docu	ument ti	he indica	tor or co	onfirm the absence o	of indicators.)
Depth	Matrix		Redo	x Featur	es			
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type <sup>1</sup>	Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture	Remarks
0-20	10YR 5/6	100					Mucky Sand	
<u> </u>								
1		<u> </u>					2	
'Type: C=Co	ncentration, D=Depl	etion, RM	=Reduced Matrix, N	/IS=Masl	ked Sand	l Grains.	<sup>2</sup> Location: F	PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil I	ndicators:						Indicators f	for Problematic Hydric Soils <sup>3</sup> :
<u>x</u> Histosol (	(A1)		Polyvalue Belo	w Surfa	ce (S8) (I	LRR R,	2 cm M	uck (A10) ( <b>LRR K, L, MLRA 149B</b> )
Histic Ep	ipedon (A2)		MLRA 149B	)			Coast F	Prairie Redox (A16) ( <b>LRR K, L, R</b> )
Black His	stic (A3)		Thin Dark Surf	ace (S9)	) (LRR R	, MLRA 1	<b>49B</b> ) 5 cm M	ucky Peat or Peat (S3) ( <b>LRR K, L, R</b> )
Hydroger	n Sulfide (A4)		High Chroma S	Sands (S	611) ( <b>LRF</b>	R K, L)	Polyvalı	ue Below Surface (S8) ( <b>LRR K, L</b> )
Stratified	Layers (A5)		Loamy Mucky	Mineral	(F1) ( <b>LRI</b>	<b>R K, L</b> )	Thin Da	ark Surface (S9) ( <b>LRR K, L</b> )
Depleted	Below Dark Surface	e (A11)	Loamy Gleyed	Matrix (	F2)		Iron-Ma	nganese Masses (F12) ( <b>LRR K, L, R</b> )
Thick Da	rk Surface (A12)		Depleted Matri	x (F3)			Piedmo	nt Floodplain Soils (F19) ( <b>MLRA 149B</b> )
X Sandy M	ucky Mineral (S1)		Redox Dark Su	urface (F	6)		Mesic S	Spodic (TA6) ( <b>MLRA 144A, 145, 149B</b> )
Sandy G	eyed Matrix (S4)		Depleted Dark	Surface	(F7)		Red Pa	rent Material (F21)
Sandy Re	edox (S5)		Redox Depress	sions (F8	B)		Very Sh	nallow Dark Surface (F22)
Stripped	Matrix (S6)		Marl (F10) ( <b>LR</b>	R K, L)			Other (E	Explain in Remarks)
Dark Sur	face (S7)							
<sup>3</sup> Indicators of	hydrophytic vegetat	ion and w	etland hydrology mι	ust be pr	resent, ur	nless dist	urbed or problematic.	
Restrictive L	ayer (if observed):							
Туре:								
Depth (in	ches):						Hydric Soil Prese	ent? Yes X No
Remarks:								
This data form	n is revised from No	rthcentral	and Northeast Reg	ional Su	pplement	Version	2.0 to include the NR	CS Field Indicators of Hydric Soils
Version 7.0, 2	2015 Errata. (http://w	/ww.nrcs.u	usda.gov/Internet/FS	SE_DOC	UMENT	S/nrcs14	2p2_051293.docx)	,

Project/Site: Willoughby Lake Ro	oad	City/County: Orleans County Sampling Date: 7-23-20						
Applicant/Owner: Ducks Unli	mited		State:	VT Sampling Point: DPW17				
Investigator(s): Patrick Raney		Section, Township, Range: Town of Barton						
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.):	Flat	Local relief (co	oncave, convex, none): <u>None</u>	Slope %: 1				
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR	<mark>≀R</mark> Lat:	44°47'32.389"	Long: 72°6'31.153"	Datum: WGS84				
Soil Map Unit Name: Buckland v	ery fine sandy loam		NWI classifica	ation: PSS				
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions	s on the site typical for	this time of year?	Yes X No (If	f no, explain in Remarks.)				
Are Vegetation, Soil	, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances"	present? Yes X No				
Are Vegetation, Soil	, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any answ	ers in Remarks.)				
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.								
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Hydric Soil Present?	Yes X Yes X	No Is th No with	e Sampled Area in a Wetland? Yes	X No				
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes X	No If ye	s, optional Wetland Site ID: We	etland 15				

Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.)

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)				
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is require	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)				
Surface Water (A1)	Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	Drainage Patterns (B10)			
X High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)			
X Saturation (A3)	Marl Deposits (B15)		Dry-Season Water Table (C2)		
Water Marks (B1)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)		Crayfish Burrows (C8)		
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Ro	ots (C3)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)		
Drift Deposits (B3)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)		
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils	s (C6)	Geomorphic Position (D2)		
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		Shallow Aquitard (D3)		
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		Microtopographic Relief (D4)		
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8	3)		X FAC-Neutral Test (D5)		
Field Observations:					
Surface Water Present? Yes	No X Depth (inches):				
Water Table Present? Yes X	No Depth (inches): 8				
Saturation Present? Yes X	No Depth (inches): 0	Wetlan	d Hydrology Present? Yes X No		
Saturation Present? Yes X (includes capillary fringe)	No         Depth (inches):         0	Wetlan	d Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No		
Saturation Present? Yes X (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mon	No Depth (inches): itoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	Wetlan ctions), if a	d Hydrology Present? Yes X No		
Saturation Present? Yes X (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mon	No Depth (inches): itoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	Wetlan ctions), if a	d Hydrology Present? Yes X No		
Saturation Present? Yes X (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mon	No Depth (inches): itoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	Wetlan ctions), if a	d Hydrology Present? Yes X No		
Saturation Present? Yes X (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mon Remarks:	No Depth (inches): itoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	Wetlan	d Hydrology Present? Yes X No		
Saturation Present? Yes X (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mon Remarks:	No Depth (inches): itoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	Wetlan	d Hydrology Present? Yes X No		
Saturation Present? Yes X (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mon Remarks:	No Depth (inches): itoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	Wetlan	d Hydrology Present? Yes X No		
Saturation Present? Yes X (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mon Remarks:	No Depth (inches): itoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	Wetlan	d Hydrology Present? Yes X No		
Saturation Present? Yes X (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mon Remarks:	No Depth (inches): itoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	Wetlan	d Hydrology Present? Yes X No		
Saturation Present? Yes X (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mon Remarks:	No Depth (inches): 0	Wetlan	d Hydrology Present? Yes X No		
Saturation Present? Yes X (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mon Remarks:	No Depth (inches): 0 itoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	Wetlan	d Hydrology Present? Yes X No		
Saturation Present? Yes X (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mon Remarks:	No Depth (inches): 0 itoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	Wetlan	d Hydrology Present? Yes X No		
Saturation Present? Yes X (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mon Remarks:	No Depth (inches): 0 itoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	Wetlan	d Hydrology Present? Yes X No		

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet:
1. Picea rubens	5	No	FACU	
2. Abies balsamea	5	No	FAC	Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 3 (A)
3. Thuja occidentalis	80	Yes	FACW	, , ,, , ,, , ,, , ,, , ,, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
4.				Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)
5.				Dereent of Dominant Species
6.				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)
7.				Prevalence Index worksheet:
	90	=Total Cover		Total % Cover of:Multiply by:
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:15)				OBL species 15 x 1 = 15
1. Thuja occidentalis	40	Yes	FACW	FACW species 165 x 2 = 330
2				FAC species 15 x 3 =45
3				FACU species 17 x 4 =68
4				UPL species 0 x 5 = 0
5				Column Totals: 212 (A) 458 (B)
6				Prevalence Index = B/A =2.16
7				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
	40	=Total Cover		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5)				X 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
1. Onoclea sensibilis	45	Yes	FACW	X 3 - Prevalence Index is $\leq 3.0^{1}$
2. Phegopteris connectilis	12	No	FACU	4 - Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting
3. Myosotis scorpioides	5	No	OBL	data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
4. Equisetum fluviatile	8	No	OBL	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain)
5. Ranunculus hispidus	10	No	FAC	<sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
6. <i>Carex exilis</i>	2	No	OBL	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
7				Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
8				<b>Tree</b> – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in
9				diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
10				Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH
11				and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
12				Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless
	82	=Total Cover		of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30 )				Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in
1				height.
2				Hydrophytic
3				Vegetation
4				Present?
		=Total Cover		
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a sepa	rate sheet.)			

Profile Desc	ription: (Describe	to the dep	oth needed to docu	ument t	he indica	tor or co	onfirm the absence of i	ndicators.)		
Depth	epth Matrix		Redo	x Featu	res					
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type <sup>1</sup>	Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture	Remarks		
0-18	10YR 2/1	100					Mucky Peat			
1							2			
'Type: C=Co	oncentration, D=Depl	etion, RM	=Reduced Matrix, N	/IS=Mas	ked Sand	Grains.	<sup>2</sup> Location: PL=	Pore Lining, M=Matrix.		
Hydric Soil I	ndicators:				(00) (1		Indicators for	Problematic Hydric Soils":		
<u>x</u> Histosol	(A1)		Polyvalue Belo	w Surfa	ice (S8) ( <b>I</b>	_RR R,	2 cm Mucl	(A10) ( <b>LRR K, L, MLRA 149B</b> )		
Histic Ep	pipedon (A2)		MLRA 149B	)			Coast Pra	rie Redox (A16) ( <b>LRR K, L, R</b> )		
Black His	stic (A3)		Thin Dark Surf	ace (S9	) (LRR R,	MLRA	149B)5 cm Mucl	(y Peat or Peat (S3) ( <b>LRR K, L, R</b> )		
Hydroge	n Sulfide (A4)		High Chroma S	Sands (S	S11) ( <b>LRF</b>	R K, L)	Polyvalue	Below Surface (S8) (LRR K, L)		
Stratified	l Layers (A5)		Loamy Mucky	Mineral	(F1) ( <b>LRF</b>	R K, L)	Thin Dark	Surface (S9) ( <b>LRR K, L</b> )		
Depleted	Below Dark Surface	e (A11)	Loamy Gleyed	Matrix (	(F2)		Iron-Mang	anese Masses (F12) ( <b>LRR K, L, R</b> )		
Thick Da	rk Surface (A12)		Depleted Matri	x (F3)			Piedmont	Floodplain Soils (F19) ( <b>MLRA 149B</b> )		
Sandy M	lucky Mineral (S1)		Redox Dark Su	urface (F	-6)		Mesic Spo	dic (TA6) ( <b>MLRA 144A, 145, 149B</b> )		
Sandy G	leyed Matrix (S4)		Depleted Dark	Surface	e (F7)		Red Parer	nt Material (F21)		
Sandy R	edox (S5)		Redox Depres	sions (F	8)		Very Shall	ow Dark Surface (F22)		
Stripped	Matrix (S6)		Marl (F10) ( <b>LR</b>	<b>R K, L</b> )			Other (Exp	blain in Remarks)		
Dark Sur	face (S7)									
2										
<sup>°</sup> Indicators of	hydrophytic vegetat	ion and w	etland hydrology mu	ust be p	resent, ur	iless dist	urbed or problematic.			
Restrictive L	ayer (if observed):									
Туре:										
Depth (ir	nches):						Hydric Soil Present	? Yes <u>X</u> No		
Remarks:										
This data for	m is revised from No	rthcentral	and Northeast Reg	ional Su	pplement	Version	2.0 to include the NRCS	Field Indicators of Hydric Soils,		
Version 7.0,	2015 Errata. (http://w	/ww.nrcs.u	usda.gov/Internet/FS	SE_DO	CUMENT	S/nrcs14	2p2_051293.docx)			

Project/Site: Willoughby Lake Road		City/County: Orleans County Sampling Date: 7-23-2019					
Applicant/Owner: Ducks Unlimited	l	State:	VT Sampling Point: DPW18				
Investigator(s): Patrick Raney Section, Township, Range: Town of Barton							
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Fla	t Local r	elief (concave, convex, none): None	Slope %:				
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR R	Lat: <u>44°47'35.267"</u>	Long: 72°7'6.513"	Datum: WGS84				
Soil Map Unit Name: Cabot Silt Loam		NWI classific	ation: PFO				
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on t	he site typical for this time of year?	Yes X No (	If no, explain in Remarks.)				
Are Vegetation, Soil, or	Hydrologysignificantly disturk	ed? Are "Normal Circumstances	" present? Yes X No				
Are Vegetation, Soil, or	Hydrologynaturally problema	tic? (If needed, explain any answ	<i>w</i> ers in Remarks.)				
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.							
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes X No	Is the Sampled Area					

Hydric Soil Present?	Yes X	No	within a Wetland?     Yes X     No       If yes, optional Wetland Site ID:     Wetland 14
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes X	No	
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedu	ures here or in a se	eparate report.)	

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:		-	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)		
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is require	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)				
Surface Water (A1)	Drainage Patterns (B10)				
High Water Table (A2)		Moss Trim Lines (B16)			
X Saturation (A3)	Marl Deposits (B15)		? Dry-Season Water Table (C2)		
Water Marks (B1)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)		Crayfish Burrows (C8)		
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Ro	ots (C3)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)		
Drift Deposits (B3)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	_	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)		
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils	- (C6)	Geomorphic Position (D2)		
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)	-	Shallow Aquitard (D3)		
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7	Other (Explain in Remarks)	-	Microtopographic Relief (D4)		
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B	8)	-	X FAC-Neutral Test (D5)		
Field Observations:					
Surface Water Present? Yes	No X Depth (inches):				
Water Table Present? Yes X	No Depth (inches): 16				
		nd Hydrology Present? Yes X No			
Saturation Present? Yes X	No Depth (inches): 10	Wetland	Hydrology Present? Yes X No		
Saturation Present? Yes X (includes capillary fringe)	No Depth (inches): 10	Wetland	Hydrology Present? Yes X No		
Saturation Present?     Yes     X       (includes capillary fringe)       Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, more	No Depth (inches): 10	Wetland	Hydrology Present? Yes X No		
Saturation Present?       Yes       X         (includes capillary fringe)	No Depth (inches):10	Wetland	Hydrology Present? Yes X No		
Saturation Present? Yes X (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor	No Depth (inches): 10	Wetland	Hydrology Present? Yes X No		
Saturation Present?       Yes       X         (includes capillary fringe)	No Depth (inches):	Wetland	Hydrology Present? Yes X No		
Saturation Present? Yes X (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor Remarks:	No Depth (inches):	Wetland	I Hydrology Present? Yes X No		
Saturation Present?       Yes       X         (includes capillary fringe)	No Depth (inches):	Wetland	I Hydrology Present? Yes X No		
Saturation Present?       Yes       X         (includes capillary fringe)	No Depth (inches):	Wetland	Hydrology Present? Yes X No Vailable:		
Saturation Present?       Yes       X         (includes capillary fringe)	No Depth (inches):	Wetland	Hydrology Present? Yes X No		
Saturation Present?       Yes       X         (includes capillary fringe)	No Depth (inches):	Wetland	Hydrology Present? Yes X No ///		
Saturation Present? Yes X (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor Remarks:	No Depth (inches):	Wetland	I Hydrology Present? Yes X No		
Saturation Present? Yes X (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor Remarks:	No Depth (inches): nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	Wetland	I Hydrology Present? Yes X No		
Saturation Present? Yes X (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor Remarks:	No Depth (inches): nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	Wetland	Hydrology Present? Yes X No vailable:		

	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Deminente Technologie et
<u>Tree Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>30</u> )	% Cover	Species?	Status	Dominance Test worksheet:
1. Fraxinus nigra	60	Yes	FACW	Number of Dominant Species
2. Populus tremuloides	10	No	FACU	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:4 (A)
<ol> <li><u>Abies balsamea</u></li> <li>4.</li> </ol>	5	No	FAC	Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 4 (B)
5.				
6.				Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>100.0%</u> (A/B)
7				Prevalence Index worksheet:
	75	=Total Cover		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 )				OBL species 30 x 1 = 30
1				FACW species 78 x 2 = 156
2				FAC species 40 x 3 =120
3.				FACU species 10 x 4 = 40
4.				UPL species 0 x 5 = 0
5.				Column Totals: 158 (A) 346 (B)
6.				Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.19
7.				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
		=Total Cover		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
<u>Herb Stratum</u> (Plot size: 5 )				X 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
1. Impatiens capensis	18	Yes	FACW	X 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 <sup>1</sup>
2. Chelone glabra	10	No	OBL	4 - Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting
3. Eutrochium maculatum	20	Yes	OBL	data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
4. Matteuccia struthiopteris	30	Yes	FAC	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain)
5. Equisetum arvense	5	No	FAC	
6.				Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
7.				Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
8.				
9.				diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
10.				
11.				and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
12.				
	83	=Total Cover		<b>Herb</b> – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30 )				Weedwainee Allweedwainee meeter them 2.20 ft in
1.				height.
2.				
3.				Hydrophytic
4				Vegetation Present? Yes X No
		=Total Cover		
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separ	ate sheet )			

Profile Desc	ription: (Describe	to the de	pth needed to docu	ument tl	he indica	tor or co	onfirm the absence of	f indicators.)		
Depth	 Matrix		Redo	x Featur	es			,		
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type <sup>1</sup>	Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture	Remarks		
0-14	10YR 2/1	100					Mucky Peat			
14-20	10YR 6/1	90	7.5YR 6/8	10	С	М		Prominent redox concentrations		
		·								
		·								
		·								
		·								
		·								
		·								
1		. <u> </u>					2			
Type: C=Co	oncentration, D=Dep	letion, RM	Reduced Matrix, N	/IS=Mas	ked Sand	Grains.	Location: Pl	L=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.		
Hydric Soll			Dobwoluo Polo	w Surfa	aa (S9) (I					
	(AI)			w Suna v	ce (56) (I	LKK K,		$\operatorname{CK}(A10)(\mathbf{LRR},\mathbf{L},\mathbf{M}\mathbf{LRA},\mathbf{149D})$		
Black Hi	stic ( $\Delta$ 3)		Thin Dark Surf	) ace (SQ)		MI RA 1	Loast Prairie Redox (A16) (LRR K, L, R)			
Hydroge	n Sulfide (A4)		High Chroma S	Sands (S	(LIXIX IX) (1 RE	R I )	Polyvalue	e Below Surface (S8) (I RR K I )		
Stratified	1 avers (A5)		Loamy Mucky	Mineral	(F1) ( <b>ER</b>	<b>RKI</b> )	Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR K, L)			
Depleter	Below Dark Surface	e (A11)	Loamy Gleved	Matrix (	(* *) ( <b>=</b> : (* F2)	, _/	Iron-Man	ganese Masses (F12) (IRR K I R)		
Thick Da	ark Surface (A12)		Depleted Matri	x (F3)	/		Piedmon	t Floodplain Soils (F19) ( <b>MLRA 149B</b> )		
Sandv M	luckv Mineral (S1)		Redox Dark Su	urface (F	6)		Mesic Sp	oodic (TA6) ( <b>MLRA 144A. 145. 149B</b> )		
Sandy G	Bleved Matrix (S4)		Depleted Dark	Surface	(F7)		Red Pare	ent Material (F21)		
Sandy R	ledox (S5)		Redox Depressions (F8)				Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)			
Stripped	Matrix (S6)		Marl (F10) (LR	R K, L)			Other (E)	xplain in Remarks)		
Dark Su	rface (S7)									
_										
<sup>3</sup> Indicators of	f hydrophytic vegetat	tion and w	etland hydrology mι	ust be pr	resent, ur	nless dist	urbed or problematic.			
Restrictive I	Layer (if observed):									
Type:										
Depth (ir	nches):						Hydric Soil Presen	nt? Yes <u>X</u> No		
Remarks:										
This data for	m is revised from No	orthcentral	and Northeast Reg	ional Su	pplement	Version	2.0 to include the NRC	S Field Indicators of Hydric Soils,		
Version 7.0,	2015 Errata. (http://v	www.nrcs.	usda.gov/Internet/FS	SE_DOC	CUMENT	S/nrcs14	2p2_051293.docx)			

Project/Site: Willo	ughby Lake	∍ Road		City/Coun	ity: Orleans	s County			Sampling Date: 7	7-23-2019
Applicant/Owner:	Ducks l	Jnlimited				Sta	ate:	VT	Sampling Point:	DPW19
Investigator(s): Pa	Patrick Raney Section, Township, Range: Town of Barton									
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Flat				Local relief (concave, convex, none): None					Slope '	%: 1
Subregion (LRR or	MLRA): L	_RR R	Lat:	44°47'36.528"	Long:	72°6'56.753	3"		Datum: _V	NGS84
Soil Map Unit Name	e: <u>Cabot S</u>	silt Loam				NWI cla	assifi	ication	: PFO	
Are climatic / hydro!	logic condit	ions on the site typic	al for	this time of year?	Yes X	No		(lf no,	explain in Remarks.	.)
Are Vegetation	, Soil	, or Hydrology		_significantly disturbed?	Are "Norr	nal Circumst:	ance	s" pres	sent? Yes X	No
Are Vegetation	, Soil	, or Hydrology		_naturally problematic?	(If needed	d, explain any	y ans	wers i	n Remarks.)	
SUMMARY OF	FINDING	S – Attach site	maŗ	showing sampling po	int locat	tions, tran	sec	ts, in	nportant feature	es, etc.
Hydrophytic Veget	tation Prese	ent? Yes	х	No Is the S	ampled A	rea				

Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes X No Yes X No Yes X No	within a Wetland?     Yes X     No       If yes, optional Wetland Site ID:     Wetland 13
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedu	res here or in a separate report.)	

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)	
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is require	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)			
Surface Water (A1)	Drainage Patterns (B10)			
High Water Table (A2)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)			
X Saturation (A3)	? Dry-Season Water Table (C2)			
Water Marks (B1)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)			
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Ro	oots (C3)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)	
Drift Deposits (B3)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)	
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils	s (C6)	Geomorphic Position (D2)	
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		Shallow Aquitard (D3)	
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		Microtopographic Relief (D4)	
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B	8)		X FAC-Neutral Test (D5)	
Field Observations:				
Surface Water Present? Yes	No X Depth (inches):			
Water Table Present? Ves X				
Saturation Present? Yes X	No Depth (inches): 12	Wetlan	d Hydrology Present? Yes X No	
Saturation Present? Yes X (includes capillary fringe)	No         Depth (inches):         20           No         Depth (inches):         12	Wetland	d Hydrology Present? Yes X No	
Saturation Present?     Yes       (includes capillary fringe)       Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor	No Depth (inches): nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	Wetland	d Hydrology Present? Yes X No	
Saturation Present?       Yes       X         (includes capillary fringe)	No Depth (inches): No Depth (inches): nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	Wetland	d Hydrology Present? Yes X No	
Saturation Present? Yes X (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor	No Depth (inches): No Depth (inches): nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	Wetland	d Hydrology Present? Yes X No	
Saturation Present?     Yes     X       (includes capillary fringe)     Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor       Remarks:	No Depth (inches): nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	Wetland	d Hydrology Present? Yes X No Available:	
Saturation Present?     Yes     X       (includes capillary fringe)     Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor       Remarks:	No Depth (inches):	Wetland	d Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u>vailable</u> :	
Saturation Present?       Yes X         (includes capillary fringe)         Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor         Remarks:	No Depth (inches): No Depth (inches): nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	Wetland	d Hydrology Present? Yes X No	
Saturation Present?       Yes X         (includes capillary fringe)         Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor         Remarks:	No Depth (inches): No Depth (inches): nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	Wetland	d Hydrology Present? Yes X No	
Saturation Present?       Yes X         (includes capillary fringe)         Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor         Remarks:	No Depth (inches): <u>12</u>	Wetland	d Hydrology Present? Yes X No Available:	
Saturation Present?       Yes X         (includes capillary fringe)         Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor         Remarks:	No Depth (inches): <u>12</u>	Wetland	d Hydrology Present? Yes X No Available:	
Saturation Present?       Yes X         (includes capillary fringe)         Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor         Remarks:	No Depth (inches): <u>12</u>	Wetland	d Hydrology Present? Yes X No	
Saturation Present?       Yes X         (includes capillary fringe)         Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor         Remarks:	No Depth (inches): <u>12</u> nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	Wetland	d Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No available:	
Saturation Present?       Yes X         (includes capillary fringe)         Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor         Remarks:	No Depth (inches): <u>12</u> nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	Wetland	d Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u></u> available:	

Troo Stratum (Plot size: 30)	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
1 Thuja occidentalis	20	Yes	FACW	Dominance rest worksheet.
2 Ahies halsamea	35	Yes	FAC	Number of Dominant Species
3 Populus balsamifera	15	Yes	FACW	
4	10			Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 8 (B)
5				Percent of Dominant Species
6				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>87.5%</u> (A/B)
7				Prevalence Index worksheet:
	70	=Total Cover		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 )				OBL species 0 x 1 = 0
1. Populus tremuloides	20	Yes	FACU	FACW species 89 x 2 = 178
2. Abies balsamea	32	Yes	FAC	FAC species 89 x 3 = 267
3				FACU species28 x 4 =112
4				UPL species 0 x 5 = 0
5				Column Totals: 206 (A) 557 (B)
6				Prevalence Index = B/A =2.70
7				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
	52	=Total Cover		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 )				X 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
1. Osmunda claytoniana	20	Yes	FAC	X 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 <sup>1</sup>
2. Pteridium aquilinum	5	No	FACU	4 - Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting
3. Circaea alpina	5	No	FACW	data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
4. Onoclea sensibilis	28	Yes	FACW	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain)
5. Rubus pubescens	20	Yes	FACW	<sup>1</sup> Indiastors of hydric coil and watland hydrology must
6. Abies balsamea	2	No	FAC	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
7. Populus tremuloides	3	No	FACU	Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
8. Thuja occidentalis	1	No	FACW	<b>Trop</b> Weady plants 3 in (7.6 cm) or more in
9.				diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
10.				Serling/shruh Wasdy plants loss than 2 in DDU
11.				and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
12.				Herb All berbasseus (non woody) planta regardless
	84	=Total Cover		of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30 )				Weady visco All weady visco greater than 2.29 ft in
1.				height.
2.				
3.				Hydrophytic Vegetation
4.				Present? Yes X No
		=Total Cover		
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separ	ate sheet.)			,

## SOIL

Profile Desc	ription: (Describe	to the dep	oth needed to docu	ument th	ne indica	tor or c	onfirm the absence of i	ndicators.)		
Depth	Matrix		Redox	x Featur	es					
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type <sup>1</sup>	Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture	Remarks		
0-10	7.5YR 4/2	85	5YR 5/8	15	С	М	Mucky Peat			
10-18	5YR 5/1	90	5YR 6/4	10	С	М	Mucky Loam/Clay	Distinct redox concentrations		
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=Co	oncentration, D=Dep	letion, RM	=Reduced Matrix, N	/IS=Masl	ked Sand	l Grains.	<sup>2</sup> Location: PL=	Pore Lining, M=Matrix.		
Hydric Soil I	ndicators:						Indicators for	Problematic Hydric Soils <sup>3</sup> :		
<u>x</u> Histosol	(A1)		Polyvalue Belo	w Surfa	ce (S8) (I	_RR R,	2 cm Muck	(A10) ( <b>LRR K, L, MLRA 149B</b> )		
X Histic Ep	olpedon (A2)		MLRA 149B	) (CO)			<u>?</u> Coast Prai	rie Redox (A16) ( <b>LRR K, L, R</b> )		
	SLIC (A3) n Sulfido (A4)		Ligh Chroma S	ace (59) Sondo (S			149B) 5 cm Muck	Relew Surface (SS) (LRR K, L, R)		
Hydrogel				Minoral /	(E1) (LRF	<b>K K, L)</b>		Surface $(S0)$ ( <b>LRR R, L</b> )		
	l Below Dark Surface	- (Δ11)	Loamy Gleved	Matrix (	(F1) ( <b>ERI</b> F2)	<b>、 Γ., Ε</b> )	Iron-Mang	Surface $(39)$ (LKK K, L)		
Thick Da	rk Surface (A12)	5 (/ (11)	X Depleted Matrix	x (F3)	- 2)		Piedmont I	Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149B)		
Sandy M	lucky Mineral (S1)		Redox Dark Su	urface (F	6)		Mesic Spo	dic (TA6) ( <b>MLRA 144A, 145, 149B</b> )		
Sandy G	leyed Matrix (S4)		Depleted Dark	Surface	, (F7)		Red Paren	t Material (F21)		
Sandy R	edox (S5)		Redox Depress	sions (F8	3)		Very Shall	ow Dark Surface (F22)		
Stripped	Matrix (S6)		Marl (F10) ( <b>LR</b>	<b>R K, L</b> )			Other (Explain in Remarks)			
Dark Sur	face (S7)									
<sup>3</sup> Indicators of	hydrophytic vegetat	ion and w	etland hydrology mu	ust be pr	esent, ur	less dis	turbed or problematic.			
Restrictive L	_ayer (if observed):									
Type:										
Depth (ir	iches):						Hydric Soil Present	? Yes <u>X</u> No		
Remarks:										
This data for	m is revised from No 2015 Errota (http://w	orthcentral	and Northeast Regi	ional Su	pplement	Versior	1 2.0 to include the NRCS	Field Indicators of Hydric Soils,		
	2015 Enata. (http://v	www.mcs.u				5/110314	izpz_001290.000x)			

Project/Site: Willoughby Lake Road			/	Sampling Date: 7-7	24-2019				
Applicant/Owner:	Ducks	Unlimited				State:	VT	Sampling Point:	DPW20
Investigator(s): P	atrick Rane	зу			Section, To <sup>ب</sup>	wnship, Range:	Town of	f Barton	
Landform (hillside	, terrace, et	ic.): Flat		Local re	elief (concave, conve	x, none): <u>conca</u>	ve	Slope %	o: <u>3</u>
Subregion (LRR o	r MLRA):	LRR R	Lat:	44°47'11.104"	Long:	72°6'15.154"		Datum: <u>W</u>	GS84
Soil Map Unit Nan	ne: <u>Cabot</u>	Silt Loam				NWI classi	fication	none	
Are climatic / hydr	ologic cond	litions on the site typic	al for	this time of year?	Yes X	No	(lf no,	explain in Remarks.)	
Are Vegetation	, Soil	, or Hydrology		significantly disturb	ed? Are "Norm	nal Circumstance	es" pres	sent? Yes <u>X</u> N	o
Are Vegetation	, Soil	, or Hydrology		_naturally problemat <sup>i</sup>	ic? (If needed	ל, explain any an	iswers i	n Remarks.)	
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.									
Hydrophytic Veg	etation Pres	sent? Yes	X	No	Is the Sampled A	rea			

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:		Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is require	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	
Surface Water (A1)	Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	Drainage Patterns (B10)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)
X Saturation (A3)	Marl Deposits (B15)	? Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
Water Marks (B1)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roo	ots (C3) Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Drift Deposits (B3)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils	(C6) Geomorphic Position (D2)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	) Other (Explain in Remarks)	Microtopographic Relief (D4)
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B	8)	X FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:		
Surface Water Present? Yes	No X Depth (inches):	
Water Table Present? Yes X	No Depth (inches): 18	
Saturation Present? Yes X	No Depth (inches): 2	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes X No
(includes capillary fringe)		
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor	nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if available:
Remarks:		

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30 )	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet:
1.       2.				Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:2 (A)
3 4				Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>3</u> (B)
5 6				Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>66.7%</u> (A/B)
7				Prevalence Index worksheet:
		=Total Cover		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 )				OBL species 30 x 1 = 30
1				FACW species 37 x 2 =74
2.				FAC species 13 x 3 = 39
3.				FACU species 15 x 4 = 60
4.				UPL species 0 x 5 = 0
5.				Column Totals: 95 (A) 203 (B)
6.				Prevalence Index = $B/A = 2.14$
7			,,	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
		=Total Cover		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 )				X 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
1 Onoclos sonsibilis	25	Voc		$X_{2}^{2}$ Borning holds is $\leq 3.0^{1}$
		Vee		A Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting
	15	res		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
3. Juncus ettusus	10	NO	OBL	
4. Euthamia graminifolia	8	No	FAC	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation' (Explain)
5. Ranunculus acris	5	No	FAC	<sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
6. Phalaris arundinacea	12	No	FACW	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
7. Solidago altissima	15	Yes	FACU	Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
8. Carex flava	5	No	OBL	<b>Tree</b> – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in
9				diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
10				Sanling/shruh – Woody plants less than 3 in DBH
11				and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
12.				Harb All borboccus (non woody) planta, regardless
	95	=Total Cover		of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30 )				
, 1.				woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.
2				
3				Hydrophytic
			·	Vegetation
4				Present? Yes <u>×</u> No
		= I otal Cover		
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a sepa	rate sheet.)			

Profile Desc	ription: (Describe	to the dep	th needed to docu	ument tl	he indica	ator or c	onfirm the absence of	indicators.)
Depth	Matrix		Redo	x Featur	es			
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type <sup>1</sup>	Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture	Remarks
0-12	10YR 3/2	90	5YR 5/6	10	С	m	Mucky Loam/Clay	
12-18	10YR 5/1	100						
		<u> </u>	<u>.</u>					
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=Co	ncentration D=Dep	letion RM:	=Reduced Matrix M	/S=Mas	ked Sand	Grains	<sup>2</sup> Location: PL	=Pore Lining M=Matrix
Hydric Soil I	ndicators:						Indicators fo	r Problematic Hydric Soils <sup>3</sup> :
Histosol	(A1)		Polyvalue Belo	w Surfa	ce (S8) (	LRR R,	2 cm Muc	ck (A10) ( <b>LRR K, L, MLRA 149B</b> )
Histic En	ipedon (A2)	-	MLRA 149B	)	() (	,	? Coast Pra	airie Redox (A16) (LRR K. L. R)
Black His	stic ( $\Delta$ 3)		Thin Dark Surf	/ ace (S9)			149B) 5 cm Muc	$\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} $
	a  Sulfide (A4)	-	Ligh Chroma	auc (UU) Sanda (S				Polow Surface (SS) (LPR K, L)
		-					Foiyvalue	
Stratified	Layers (A5)					<b>R N, L</b> )		
X Depleted	Below Dark Surface	e (A11)	Loamy Gleyed	Matrix (	F2)		Iron-Mang	ganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R)
Thick Da	rk Surface (A12)	-	Depleted Matri	x (F3)			Piedmont	t Floodplain Soils (F19) ( <b>MLRA 149B</b> )
Sandy M	ucky Mineral (S1)	-	X Redox Dark Su	urface (F	<sup>-</sup> 6)		Mesic Sp	odic (TA6) ( <b>MLRA 144A, 145, 149B</b> )
Sandy G	leyed Matrix (S4)		Depleted Dark	Surface	(F7)		Red Pare	ent Material (F21)
Sandy R	edox (S5)		? Redox Depress	sions (Fa	8)		Very Sha	llow Dark Surface (F22)
Stripped	Matrix (S6)	•	Marl (F10) ( <b>LR</b>	R K, L)			Other (Ex	plain in Remarks)
Dark Sur	face (S7)	-		, ,			、	, ,
<sup>3</sup> Indicators of	hydronhytic vegetat	ion and we	atland hydrology m	ist he nr	esent ur	nless dis	turbed or problematic	
Restrictive L	aver (if observed):		siana nyarology me		coont, a	1000 010		
Type:								
Depth (in	iches):						Hydric Soil Present	t? Yes <u>X</u> No
Remarks:								
This data for	n is revised from No	orthcentral	and Northeast Regi	ional Su	pplemen	t Versior	2.0 to include the NRC	S Field Indicators of Hydric Soils,
Version 7.0,	2015 Errata. (http://w	ww.nrcs.u	sda.gov/Internet/FS	SE_DOO	CUMENT	S/nrcs14	l2p2_051293.docx)	



information is at the users sole risk. By using any of this material, data and information, user agrees that Ducks Jnlimited, Inc. is not responsible for their use of the material, data and information or the results thereof.



			-				
T INDEX							
OVER SHEET STIMATED QUANTITIES, SPECIFICATIONS, & NOTES ITE PLAN VETLAND DELINEATION - OVERALL VETLAND MITIGATION UNIT 1 PLAN AND CROSS SECTION VETLAND MITIGATION UNIT 2 PLAN VETLAND MITIGATION UNIT 3 PLAN ROSS SECTIONS AND DETAILS ROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL PLAN - 1 ROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL PLAN - 2		COVER SHEET		WILLOUGHBY LAKE ROAD	MILIGALION SLIE PADTON ODLEANS COLINTY VT		
ROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL DETAILS AND NOTES	By	ERT					
LANTING PLAN - UNIT 1 LANTING PLAN - UNIT 2	Date	08/13/20					
LANTING PLAN - UNIT 3 LANTING DETAILS AND NOTES REDIT GENERATION - OVERALL REDIT GENERATION - UNIT 1 REDIT GENERATION - UNIT 2 REDIT GENERATION - UNIT 3 IONITORING PLAN - 1	Revisions	ISSUED FOR PERMIT REVIEW					
10NITORING PLAN - 2	Revision Sheet	0 ALL					
FOR PERMIT	30 DF	0003337	CAE 2-DU-FIG	) FILE 01-COV	VER SHE	ET.dwc	]
ARCADIS U.S., INC.	BL DR SU BI(	AWN RVEY	BY: K ED BY IST: J JUN		ر را 20		
ARCADIS One Lincoln Center		PF	ROJEC		MBER:		

ARCADIS Project No. 30003337

18.0103039

110 West Fayette Street, Suite 300 Syracuse NY 13202 Tel: 315.446.9120

US-VT-47-2 GLARO-VT1-004-1

ET)

INLIMI

## **CONSTRUCTION NOTES:**

- BID ITEMS INCLUDED IN THE TABLE BELOW ARE DESCRIBED IN DETAIL IN THE STANDARD CONSTRUCTION SPECIFICATIONS FOR WETLAND RESTORATION, PROVIDED WITH THE BID DOCUMENTS. CONSTRUCTION NOTES HEREIN ARE NOT ALL INCLUSIVE; REFER TO THE STANDARD CONSTRUCTION SPECIFICATIONS.
- ELEVATIONS PROVIDED IN THESE PLANS ARE REFERENCED TO THE NORTH AMERICAN VERTICAL DATUM OF 1988 (NAVD88), FEET. 2.
- HORIZONTAL COORDINATES PROVIDED IN THESE PLANS ARE REFERENCED TO THE VERMONT STATE PLANE COORDINATE SYSTEM, NORTH AMERICAN DATUM OF 1983 (NAD83), U.S. SURVEY FEET.
- CONTRACTOR SHALL UTILIZE SAME VERTICAL AND HORIZONTAL COORDINATE SYSTEMS AS REFERENCED IN THE PLANS.
- BID ITEM FOR MOBILIZATION SHALL INCLUDE THE SUPPLY OF ALL LABOR, MATERIAL AND EQUIPMENT TO TRANSPORT ALL NEEDED LABOR, MATERIAL AND EQUIPMENT TO AND FROM A PROJECT SITE TO SUCCESSFULLY COMPLETE THAT PROJECT AS SHOWN ON THE PLANS OR DESCRIBED BY THE ENGINEER. REFER TO MOBILIZATION SPECIFICATION 201.
- SITE PREPARATION BID ITEM SHALL INCLUDE STRIPPING BENEATH CONSTRUCTED TOPOGRAPHY. TOPSOIL SHALL BE STOCKPILED AND REPLACED OVER COMPLETED CONSTRUCTED TOPOGRAPHY. BID ITEM SHALL INCLUDE LEVELING AND DRAGGING OR DISK PRIOR TO PLACEMENT OF SEED MIX. BID ITEM SHALL ALSO INCLUDE ANY TREE/BRUSH CLEARING WITHIN CONSTRUCTION AREA. REFER TO SITE PREPARATION SPECIFICATION 202.
- BID ITEM FOR CONSTRUCTED TOPOGRAPHY SHALL INCLUDE ALL WORK REQUIRED TO EXCAVATE THE CONSTRUCTED 7. TOPOGRAPHY AREAS, HAULING AND SPREADING MATERIALS, AND SHAPING OF SLOPES TO THE LINES AND GRADES AS SHOWN ON THE PLANS. THE ESTIMATED QUANTITY FOR CONSTRUCTED TOPOGRAPHY IS THE IN-PLACE BORROW QUANTITY FROM THE SCRAPE AREAS BASED ON PRE- AND POST- EXCAVATION SURVEYS AND DOES NOT ACCOUNT FOR SHRINKAGE DUE TO COMPACTION. PLACEMENT AND COMPACTION OF EXCAVATED MATERIALS CONSIDERED INCIDENTAL TO THE CONSTRUCTED TOPOGRAPHY ITEM. ALL FILL MATERIAL SHALL BE PLACED IN LOOSE LIFTS NOT MORE THAN APPROXIMATELY TWELVE (12) INCHES IN THICKNESS AND COMPACTED TO A FIRM NON-YIELDING CONDITION. REFER TO CONSTRUCTED TOPOGRAPHY SPECIFICATION 205 FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION.
- THE TILE DRAIN LOCATION BID ITEM IS FOR THE EXPLORATION OF EXISTING TILES (SPECIFICATION 312). EXCAVATION SHALL BE 8. PERFORMED AS SHOWN ON THE PLANS, AND ALL TILES FOUND SHALL BE REPORTED TO THE ENGINEER BY THE CONTRACTOR. THE PAYMENT FOR THIS LINE ITEM IS BASED ON LINEAR FEET OF EXPLORATION AS NOTED ON THE PLANS. EXPLORATION EXCAVATIONS SHALL BE BACKFILLED WITH ORIGINAL SOILS AND TAMPED FIRM AFTER EXPLORATION.
- 9. THE TILE REMOVAL BID ITEM IS FOR THE REMOVAL OF EXISTING TILES (SPECIFICATION 312). REMOVAL OF TILE SHALL BE PERFORMED AS SHOWN ON THE PLANS AND SHALL ABIDE BY SPECIFICATION 312.23. ALL TILE MATERIAL SHALL BE REMOVED FROM THE SITE. THE PAYMENT FOR THIS LINE ITEM IS BASED ON LINEAR FEET OF TILE REMOVED; DUCKS UNLIMITED WILL PAY FOR ACTUAL LINEAR FEET OF TILE REMOVED.
- 10. THE BID ITEM FOR SOIL EROSION AND POLLUTION CONTROL SHALL INCLUDE THE SUPPLY, INSTALLATION AND MAINTENANCE OF THE EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROLS SHOWN AND DESCRIBED ON THE DESIGN DRAWINGS AND ANY ADDITIONAL CONTROLS (E.G., TEMPORARY SEEDING) NEEDED TO MAINTAIN COMPLIANCE WITH VERMONT STATE REGULATIONS UNTIL VEGETATION IS FULLY ESTABLISHED, REPAIR OF ANY DAMAGES RESULTING FROM EROSION OR SEDIMENTATION DURING THIS TIME PERIOD, AND REMOVAL OF TEMPORARY EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROLS IMMEDIATELY FOLLOWING FULL VEGETATIVE ESTABLISHMENT. EXACT LOCATION AND QUANTITY MAY VARY DEPENDING UPON ACTUAL SITE CONDITIONS. EROSION CONTROL MEASURES SHALL BE INSTALLED PRIOR TO THE START OF WORK AND WILL BE MAINTAINED UNTIL FINAL STABILIZATION OF THE SITE. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BID A LUMP SUM FOR SOIL EROSION AND POLLUTION CONTROL. IT IS THE CONTRACTOR'S RESPONSIBILITY TO INSTALL, INSPECT AND MAINTAIN ALL BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES REQUIRED TO PREVENT SILT AND POLLUTION RUNOFF FROM THE WORK AREA. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PREPARE AND MAINTAIN ON-SITE ALL REQUIRED INSPECTION FORMS AND UP-TO-DATE DOCUMENTATION ACCORDING TO THE PERMIT REGULATIONS.
- BID ITEM SEEDING AND MULCHING "UPLAND SEED MIX" SHALL INCLUDE THE EQUIPMENT AND LABOR REQUIRED TO APPLY SEED, 11. MULCH, AND FERTILIZER, AS REQUIRED BY THE DESIGN DOCUMENTS; AND ESTABLISHMENT OF A UNIFORM, PERENNIAL VEGETATIVE COVER WITH A DENSITY OF SEVENTY (70) PERCENT, INCLUDING RESEEDING, REMULCHING, AND/OR REFERTILIZING OF BARE AREAS, AS NEEDED. CONTRACTOR SHALL ALSO SUPPLY THE MULCH AND FERTILIZER ONLY; DU WILL SUPPLY THE UPLAND SEED MIX. APPROVED MULCH SHALL BE APPLIED TO ALL AREAS SEEDED AS DIRECTED BY THE DU FIELD ENGINEER. REFER TO SHEETS 12-16 AND DU SPECIFICATION 402 FOR ADDITIONAL NOTES AND REQUIREMENTS. PAYMENT WILL BE BASED ON PLANNED ACRES.
- BID ITEM SEEDING AND MULCHING THE PEM, PSS & PFO WETLAND AREAS SHALL INCLUDE THE EQUIPMENT AND LABOR REQUIRED 12. TO APPLY SEED AND MULCH, AS REQUIRED BY THE DESIGN DOCUMENTS; AND ESTABLISHMENT OF A UNIFORM, PERENNIAL VEGETATIVE COVER, INCLUDING RESEEDING, AND/OR REMULCHING OF BARE AREAS, AS NEEDED. CONTRACTOR SHALL ALSO SUPPLY THE MULCH AND ANNUAL OR WINTER RYEGRASS COVER CROP (SEE NOTE 1 ON SHEET 16). DU TO PROVIDE THE PEM, PSS AND PFO WETLAND SEED MIXES. REFER TO SHEETS 12-16 AND DU SPECIFICATION 402 FOR ADDITIONAL NOTES AND REQUIREMENTS. PAYMENT WILL BE BASED ON PLANNED ACRES.
- 13. BID ITEM FOR PLANTING PREPARATION - HEAVY DISKING SHALL INCLUDE THE MATERIAL, EQUIPMENT, AND LABOR REQUIRED TO TILL THE AREAS INDICATED ON THE PLANS. REFER TO THE PLANTING PLANS (DRAWINGS 12-16) FOR ADDITIONAL NOTES, REQUIREMENTS, AND SPECIFIED AREAS. PAYMENT WILL BE BASED ON PLANNED ACRES.
- 14. BID ITEM FOR WOODY DEBRIS SHALL INCLUDE THE EQUIPMENT AND LABOR REQUIRED TO CUT NATIVE TREES, REMOVE ROOTWADS, AND PLACEMENT OF COARSE WOOD AND ROOTWADS WITHIN THE WETLAND MITIGATION UNITS AT THE DIRECTION OF THE ENGINEER, PAYMENT FOR THIS LINE ITEM WILL BE BASED ON THE PAY QUANTITY LISTED ON THIS SHEET.

NOTICE: Construction site safety is the sole responsibility of the contractor. Ducks Unlimited, Inc. shall not assume any responsibility for the safety of the work performed, persons engaged in the work, nearby structures, or of other persons on-site.

This material, data and information is the property of Ducks Unlimited, Inc. It may not be used or reproduced for any purpose without the prior written consent of an authorized agent of Ducks Unlimited, Inc. Ducks Unlimited, Inc. makes no representation or warranty of any kind regarding this material, data and information, including, but not limited to, the accuracy of the material, data and information or its suitability for any purpose. All use of the material, data and nformation is at the users sole risk. By using any of this material, data and information, user agrees that Ducks Unlimited, Inc. is not responsible for their use of the material, data and information or the results thereof.

## SPECIFICATIONS

- 101 GENERAL CONDITIONS 102 SUPPLEMENTAL CONDITIONS 201 MOBILIZATION 202 SITE PREPARATION 203 EXCAVATION 205 CONSTRUCTED TOPOGRAPHY
- 312 TILE DRAIN LOCATION AND REMOVAL
- 401 SOIL EROSION AND POLLUTION CONTROL
- 402 SEEDING AND MULCHING
- 404 TRAFFIC MAINTENANCE AND CONTROL

ESTIMATED QUANTITIES								
SPEC. #	ITEM	UNIT	QUANTITY (PHASE 1)	QUANTITY (PHASE 2)				
201	MOBILIZATION	LS	1	1				
202	SITE PREPARATION	CY-P	3,130	6,160				
205	CONSTRUCTED TOPOGRAPHY	CY-P	4,640	8,350				
312	TILE DRAIN LOCATION	LF-EXP	200	1,100				
312	TILE DRAIN REMOVAL	LF	40	-				
401	SOIL EROSION AND POLLUTION CONTROL	LS	1	1				
402	SEEDING AND MULCHING - UPLAND SEED MIX	AC-P	2.0	3.8				
402	SEEDING AND MULCHING - WETLAND SEED MIX: PEM, PSS & PFO	AC-P	6.6	16.4				
403	PLANTING PREPARATION - HEAVY DISKING	AC-P	4.7	12.2				
-	WOODY DEBRIS	EACH	13	9				

BENCHMARK	NORTHING (FT)	EASTING (FT)	ELEVATION (FT)
TBM 1	833121.57	1742786.41	1259.44
TBM 2	834617.78	1740153.18	1239.90
ТВМ 3	835768.39	1740602.61	1185.89

- 1. HOLD PRE-CONSTRUCTION MEETING.
- 2. NOTIFY SEDIMENT CONTROL INSPECTOR 24 HOURS PRIOR TO START OF CONSTRUCTION.
- 3. COMPLETE MOWING IN ALL WORK AREAS.
- 6. INSTALL PERIMETER CONTROLS, NOTIFY SEDIMENT CONTROL INSPECTOR, AND OBTAIN APPROVAL BEFORE PROCEEDING FURTHER.
- 8. PERFORM SITE PREPARATION WORK AND CLEARING, STOCKPILE TOPSOIL TO BE USED LATER. CLEAR TOPSOIL ONLY FROM IMMEDIATE WORK AREA (UNIT) TO BE GRADED TO MINIMIZE TOTAL DISTURBED AREAS AT ANY GIVEN TIME. 9. COMPLETE REGRADING OF CONSTRUCTED TOPOGRAPHY AREAS.
- WOOD.

- INSPECTOR.



403 PLANTING PREPARATION - HEAVY DISKING

## SEQUENCE OF CONSTRUCTION:

- 4. INSTALL STABILIZED CONSTRUCTION ACCESS.
- 5. PERFORM GRUBBING REQUIRED FOR INSTALLATION OF PERIMETER CONTROLS.
- 7. COMPLETE TILE EXPLORATION AND REMOVE DRAINAGE TILES.
- 10. INSTALL ROOTWADS, SPREAD TOPSOIL BACK ACROSS ALL DISTURBED AREAS AND PLACE COARSE

11. COMPLETE SEEDING AND STABILIZATION AS ALLOWED BY SITE CONDITIONS AND TIME OF YEAR. 12. NOTIFY SEDIMENT CONTROL INSPECTOR AFTER COMPLETION OF PLANTING AND STABILIZATION. OBTAIN APPROVAL TO REMOVE TEMPORARY SEDIMENT AND EROSION CONTROL FOR PROJECT AFTER ESTABLISHMENT OF AT LEAST 70% UNIFORM DENSITY OF PERMANENT VEGETATION. 13. CONTRACTOR WILL REMOVE EROSION CONTROL MEASURES UPON APPROVAL FROM DESIGNATED

NOTE: NOTIFY THE ENGINEER IMMEDIATELY OF ANY SEDIMENT AND EROSION CONTROL CONCERNS THAT ARISE (E.G., INADEQUATE MEASURES, DAMAGED MEASURES, MAJOR STORM EVENTS, OFF-SITE EROSION OR SEDIMENTATION POTENTIALLY ASSOCIATED WITH SITE ACTIVITIES).

				ISSI					
			Sheet	ALL					
			Revision	0					
	FOR PERMIT								
		300033 DES DR/ SUI	SIGNI AWN	ED BY	- ESTIP ONS, & ': NW (D ': JT	MATED ( NOTES.	QUANTI dwg	TIES,	
				BIOLOGIST: JF (DU) DATE: JUNE 2020					
<b>S</b> State	ARCADIS Project No. 30003337	ARCADIS One Lincoln Center 110 West Fayette Street, Suite 300 Svracuse NY 13202	PROJECT NUMBER: US-VT-47-2						
VT	Tel: 315.446.9120			GLA	٩RO	-VT	1-00	4-2	

111

Ο

REGION/ ARD, BLDG. 1 48130

ATLANTIC I NEWMAN BOULEVA

KES/ 7322 DE

ш

SШ

TE OT

Ηž

STIMATED QUANT ECIFICATIONS, &

ப் ட

E ROAD TE UNTY, '

A Z N

BY AN:

RL IG/

NO EIO

WILL( M RTON,

RT

I⊲

ЧNУ

TT)

-

\_

7





SOIL BORING #1 ELE 0"-5" CLAY LOAM (10Y 5"-18" CLAY LOAM (10' 18"-26" CLAY LOAM (10' 26"-36" SILTY CLAY LO' 36" HAND AUGER REF DEPTH TO WATER: 32

SOIL BORING #2 ELEV 0"-7" SILT LOAM (10YR 7"-23" LOAM (2.5YR 5/1 23" HAND AUGER REF DEPTH TO WATER: SA

SOIL BORING #3 ELEV 0"-7" SILTY CLAY LOAM 7"-33" LOAM (10YR 4/1; 33"-34" LOAM (10YR 4/ 34" HAND AUGER REF DEPTH TO WATER: SA

SOIL BORING #4 ELEY 0"-3" SILTY CLAY LOAM 3"-14" CLAY LOAM (10' 14"-30" CLAY LOAM (10' 30" HAND AUGER REF DEPTH TO WATER: W

SOIL BORING #5 ELE 1"-10" CLAY LOAM (10` 10"-24" SANDY CLAY L 24" HAND AUGER REF

SOIL BORING #6 ELEV 0"-7" SILTY CLAY LOAM 7"-16" LOAM (2.5YR 5/2 16"-32" SANDY CLAY L 32" HAND AUGER REF DEPTH TO WATER: SA

SOIL BORING #7 ELEY 0"-8" SILTY CLAY LOAN 8"-26" LOAM (10YR 5/1 26"-34" CLAY LOAM (2. 34" HAND AUGER REF DEPTH TO WATER: SC

SOIL BORING #8 ELE 0"-10" LOAM (10YR 4/1 10"-26" SANDY CLAY L 26"-28" SANDY CLAY L 28" HAND AUGER REF

SOIL BORING #9 ELE 0"-8" CLAY LOAM (10Y 8"-17" SANDY LOAM (0 17"-32" SANDY LOAM (0 32"-33" WHITE COARS 33"-36" SANDY LOAM (0 36" HAND AUGER REF DEPTH TO WATER: SA

SOIL BORING #10ELE 0"-8" SILTY CLAY LOAN 8"-22" CLAY LOAM (5Y 22"-24" WHITE COARS 24"-33" CLAY LOAM (2 33"-34" WHITE COARS 34"-36" CLAY LOAM (2 36" HAND AUGER REF DEPTH TO WATER: SA

SOIL BORING #11ELE 0"-8" SILTY CLAY LOAN 8"-14" SANDY CLAY LO 14"-36" CLAY LOAM (2. 36" HAND AUGER REF DEPTH TO WATER: SA

SOIL BORING #12ELE 0"-7" SILTY CLAY LOAI 7"-9" SILTY CLAY LOAI 9"-24" LOAM (2.5YR 5/ 24"-30" SILT LOAM (2.5 30"-36" CLAY LOAM (7 36" HAND AUGER REF DEPTH TO WATER: SA

BORINGS WERE PROC WERE MADE DURING TEST DATA. CONDITIC DESCRIBED ON THESI

300



			-						
2 V. <u>1167.76</u> (R 3/1) [TOPSOII YR 4/4; REDOX 0 YR 4/2; REDO 0 YR 4/2; REDO 0 AM (2.5YR 4/1; USAL 2 INCHES. V. 1185.34	L] ~5% 10 YR 5/6) X ~5% 10 YR 4/6) REDOX ~10% 10YR 4/4 ~10% 10YR 3/	2)			T	م 5			
R 3/2; REDOX ~5 1; REDOX ~20% FUSAL ATURATION OB	5% 7.5YR 4/4) [TOPSOIL] 5 2.5 YR 4/5) SERVED AT 23 INCHES.				AN 48130				
<u>V. 1183.04</u> M (10YR 2/1) [T0 I; REDOX ~20% /1)	OPSOIL] 10YR 4/6)		7		FER, MICHIG		TT	5	)
USAL	SERVED AT 33 INCHES.			ES/A			(	S	)
<u>.V. 1178.71</u> M (10YR 2/1) [T( YR 4/3; REDOX 0YR 4/1; REDOX FUSAL ATER IN HOLE	DPSOIL] ~2% 10YR 5/6) K ~30% 10YR 4/3) AT 22 INCHES.			EAT LAK		1	1	Y Y	
<u>V. 1199.55</u> YR 2/2) LOAM (5Y 5/1; R FUSAL	EDOX ~25% 10YR 3/6)				1	4	Ż		
<u>V. 1198.12</u> M (10YR 2/2) [T( 2; REDOX ~2% <sup>-</sup> _OAM (5Y 5/1; R <sup>_</sup> USAL	OPSOIL] 10YR 4/6) EDOX ~20% 10YR 3/4)								
ATURATION OB	SERVED AT 18 INCHES.								
M (10YR 3/1) [T( ; REDOX ~25% .5YR 5/1; REDO -USAL	OPSOIL] 10YR 3/6) X ~25% 75YR 4/4 ~25% GLAY 1 4/N) NOT SATURATED AT 34 INCHES							5	
<u>V. 1225.79</u> I; REDOX ~10% LOAM (10YR 5/2 LOAM (5Y 5/1; R FUSAL	5YR 3/4) [TOPSOIL] ; REDOX ~20% 10YR 3/6) EDOX ~40% 10YR 4/4)			N		AKE ROAD	SITE	COUNTY,	
V. <u>1260.88</u> (R 3/2; REDOX ~ GRAY 1 4/N; REI (~30% 10YR 4/4 E SAND (~30% 10YR 4/4 FUSAL	-5% 5YR 4/6) [TOPSOIL] DOX ~10% 10YR 4/6) ~30% 10YR 5/2 ~30% 5YR 5/2 ~10% 1 ~30% 10YR 5/2 ~30% 5YR 5/2 ~10% 1	0YR 2/2) 0YR 2/2)		STTF PL	] - - - )	-OUGHBY L/	<b>11TIGATION</b>	I, ORLEANS	
ATURATION OB <u>V. 1231.29</u> M (10YR 3/1) [T( ′ 4/1; REDOX ~5	SERVED AT 33 INCHES. OPSOIL] % 10YR 4/6)					MILI	2	<b>3ARTON</b>	
SE SAND 1.5YR 4/1; REDO SE SAND	X ~20% 2.5YR 3/6)								
2.5YR 4/1; REDO FUSAL ATURATION OB	X ~20% 2.5YR 3/6) SERVED AT 26 INCHES. GROUNDWA	TER OBSERVED AT 27 INCHES.	By	ERT					
<u>.V. 1244.57</u> M (10YR 2/1) [T( OAM (2.5YR 5/1; .5YR 4/1; REDO FUSAL ATURATION OB	DPSOIL] REDOX ~5% 2.5YR 4/4) X ~15% 2.5YR 4/4) SERVED AT 32 INCHES.		Date	08/13/20					
<u>V. 1256.88</u> M (10YR 3/2) [T( M (10YR 3/2; RE 1; REDOX ~20%	DPSOIL] EDOX ~2% 7.5YR 3/4) 9 2.5YR 4/4) ( ~20% 2.5YR 4/4)			REVIEW					
GRESSED WITH	X ~25% 7.5YR 3/4) SERVED AT 30 INCHES. I A HAND AUGER. MATERIAL CLASSII ACE EXPLORATION AT THE SITE AND	FICATIONS AND OBSERVATIONS	Revisions	SUED FOR PERMIT					
ONS BETWEEN SE PLANS.	LOCATIONS EXPLORED MAY DIFFER	FROM THE CONDITIONS		ISS					
0	GRAPHIC SCALE 150 300 600	1200	on Sheet	ALL					
	1 inch = 300 feet		Revisi	0					
_	FOR PERMIT			300033	CA 37-DU-F	D FIL	E:	AN.dwg	
	GARCA	DIS Design & Consultan for natural and huilt constra	<b>cy</b> DE	SIGN	IED B	Y: NW	/F		
¥*	ARCADIS	S U.S., INC.	DF	KAWN JRVEY	BY: ED B	кD Y: JT			
S. I.I.			BI	ULOG	uST:	JF (D DATE: NE 20	U) : : :20		
s	ARCADIS Project No.	ARCADIS One Lincoln Center 110 West Favette Street. Suite 300		Р	ROJE	CT NU	IMBEF 7-2	R:	
State VT	30003337	Syracuse NY 13202 Tel: 315 446 9120		GL	ARC	)-VT	1-00	4-3	



			FICE
	(10.0' INTERVAL)		OF OF
	EXISTING GRADE MINOR CONTOUR (2.0' INTERVAL)		
	EXISTING STONE WALL		
	EXISTING FENCE LINE		RE(
	PROPERTY LINE		
	CONSERVATION EASEMENT BOUNDARY (COINCIDENT WITH PROPERTY LINE EXCEPT AT EXCLUSION AREAS)		LANT
	RIGHT OF WAY	/ ~D	AT/
	EDGE OF GRAVEL		ES/
	EDGE OF WATER		AK
	EXISTING UTILITY POLE		
	EXISTING BUILDING		EA
	EXISTING MONITORING WELL		GR
	UPLAND DATA POINT		1
	WETLAND DELINEATION DATA POINT		
$\square$	EXISTING DELINEATED WETLAND		
	EXISTING TREE LINE		
	EXISTING DRAINAGE TILE		

CLASSIFICATION	ACREAGE (AC)	DATA POINT
PEM	0.09	DPW3
PEM	0.23	DPW14
PEM	0.14	DPW2
PEM	0.15	DPW1
PEM	0.96	DPW4
PEM	0.79	DPW5
PEM	0.67	DPW20
PEM/UPL MOSIAC	10.18	DPW6
PEM	14.81	DPW11, DPW12
PEM	2.65	DPW9
PEM	2.62	DPW8
PEM	0.75	DPW10
PFO	47.29	DPW13, DPW15, DPW19
PFO	18.99	DPW16, DPW18
PSS	0.75	DPW17
PEM	2.78	DPW7

		GKEAL LAKES/ALLAN ILC REGIONAL UFFICE	DEXTER, MICHIGAN 48130 (734) 623-2000 www.ducks.org			CHIMIND SYDDIA	
•			OVERALL	WILLOUGHBY LAKE ROAD	MITIGATION SITE	BARTON, ORLEANS COUNTY, VT	
	By	ERT					
	Date	08/13/20					
	su	AIT REVIEW					
	Revisio	ISSUED FOR PERN					
	Sheet Revision	ALL ISSUED FOR PERV					
	Revision Sheet Revision	0 ALL ISSUED FOR PERI					
	Revision Sheet Revision	0 ALL ISSUED FOR PERI	CA DU-Fig C	D FIL 04 - We erall.dv	E: tland Do	elineatio	Don -
	2018 Sheet Revision Sheet Revision		CA DU-Fig C OV ED BY BY: I ED BY IST:	D FIL D4 - We erall.dv (: NW (CD (: JT JF (D DATE:	E: tland Dryg /F U)	elineatio	on -



information is at the users sole risk. By using any of this material, data and information, user agrees that Ducks Unlimited, Inc. is not responsible for their use of the material, data and information or the results thereof.





information is at the users sole risk. By using any of this material, data and information, user agrees that Ducks Unlimited, Inc. is not responsible for their use of the material, data and information or the results thereof.















This material, data and information is the property of Ducks Unlimited, Inc. It may not be used or reproduced for any purpose without the prior written consent of an authorized agent of Ducks Unlimited, Inc. Ducks Unlimited, Inc. makes no representation or warranty of any kind regarding this material, data and information, including, but not limited to, the accuracy of the material, data and information or its suitability for any purpose. All use of the material, data and information is at the users sole risk. By using any of this material, data and information, user agrees that Ducks Unlimited, Inc. is not responsible for their use of the material, data and information or the results thereof.

MICHAEL HIGGINS Professional Engineer's No. 018.0103039

fessional Engineer's Name

<ul> <li>EXISTING GRADE MAJOR CONTOUR (10.0' INTERVAL)</li> <li>EXISTING GRADE MINOR CONTOUR (2.0' INTERVAL)</li> <li>EXISTING STONE WALL</li> <li>EXISTING FENCE LINE</li> <li>PROPERTY LINE</li> <li>CONSERVATION EASEMENT BOUNDARY (COINCIDENT WITH PROPERTY LINE EXC AT EXCLUSION AREAS)</li> <li>RIGHT OF WAY</li> <li>EDGE OF GRAVEL</li> <li>EDGE OF WATER</li> <li>EXISTING BUILDING</li> <li>EXISTING TREE LINE</li> <li>PROPOSED FINAL GRADE CONTOUR (2.</li> <li>STABILIZED CONSTRUCTION ENTRANC (SEE DETAIL 2 ON SHEET 11)</li> </ul>	CEPT O' INTERVAL)		GREAL LAKES/ALLANTIC REGIONAL UPPICE	DEXTER, MICHIGAN 48130 (734) 623-2000 www.ducks.org		CITER IN IN INTER	
<ul> <li>SILTTENCE (SEE DETAIL 1 ON SHEET 11)</li> <li>PROPOSED ACCESS ROUTE</li> </ul>					WILLOUGHBY LAKE ROAD		
SION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL MATERIAL QUANTITIE         E 1 SILT FENCE       TOTAL LENGTH = 1,740 LF         E 2 SILT FENCE       TOTAL LENGTH = 635 LF         AREAS DISTURBED BY THE CONTRACTOR THAT A         IHE PLANS (SUCH AS FOR STAGING OR ACCESS)         SENED TO A DEPTH OF AT LEAST 6-INCHES AND SO AND MULCH AT END OF USE, AT NO ADDITIONA	ARE NOT DENOTED SHALL BE STABILIZED WITH AL COST.	Revisions Date By	ISSUED FOR PERMIT REVIEW 08/13/20 ERT				
GRAPHIC SCALE 0 100 200 400 1 inch = 200 feet FOR PERMIT GARCAS ARCADIS Project No. 30003337	800         DIS         Design & Consultancy         for natural and         built assets         IS U.S., INC.	300 BIC DR DR DR	ITY 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	CAE DU-Fig 09 Control I ED BY: K ED BY: K ED BY: IST: J D JUN ROJEC US-V	D FILE D FILE D FILE D FILE D FILE D T NUF T NUM T NUM T -47	) 20 1BER: 2-2	Sediment

![](_page_136_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_136_Figure_1.jpeg)

\_\_\_\_\_ 

\_\_\_\_ · \_\_

![](_page_136_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_136_Picture_9.jpeg)

	EXISTING GRADE MAJOR CONTOUR (10.0' INTERVAL) EXISTING GRADE MINOR CONTOUR (2.0' INTERVAL) EXISTING STONE WALL EXISTING FENCE LINE PROPERTY LINE CONSERVATION EASEMENT BOUNDARY (COINCIDENT WITH PROPERTY LINE EXI AT EXCLUSION AREAS) RIGHT OF WAY EDGE OF GRAVEL EXISTING UTILITY POLE EXISTING BUILDING EXISTING DELINEATED WETLAND EXISTING TREE LINE PROPOSED FINAL GRADE CONTOUR (2. STABILIZED CONSTRUCTION ENTRANC (SEE DETAIL 2 ON SHEET 11)	CEPT O' INTERVAL)		GREAT LAKES/ATLANTIC REGIONAL OFFICE 7322 NEWMAN BOULEVARD, BLDG. 1 DEXTER MICHIGAN 48130	(734) 623-2000 www.ducks.org	UTINI INI INI TED	
$\rightarrow$ $\rightarrow$	PROPOSED ACCESS ROUTE						
				EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL PLAN - 2	WILLOUGHBY LAKE ROAD	BARTON, ORLEANS COUNTY, VT	
			á 	s/20 ER			
EROSION AN PHASE 1 SILT PHASE 2 SILT NOTE: 1. ANY AREAS THE PLANS DEPTH OF A END OF USE	D SEDIMENT CONTROL MATERIAL QUANT         FENCE       TOTAL LENGTH = 1,740         FENCE       TOTAL LENGTH = 635         DISTURBED BY THE CONTRACTOR THA         (SUCH AS FOR STAGING OR ACCESS) S         T LEAST 6-INCHES AND STABILIZED W         AT NO ADDITIONAL COST.	ITIES LF LF T ARE NOT DENOTED ON SHALL BE LOOSENED TO A ITH SEED AND MULCH AT		ISSUED FOR PERMIT REVIEW 08/12			
200	<b>GRAPHIC SCALE</b> 0 100 200 400	800	++ ייי ער	ALL			
				0			
	FOR PERMIT				┑┥┥┑┥	┝┍┥┑	
Signed: 08/13/2020	ARCADIS Project No.	ARCADIS One Lincoln Center 110 West Favette Street Suite 30	tancy [	DESIGNED DESIGNED DRAWN BY SURVEYED BIOLOGIST	CAD FIL Fig 10 - Erc ntrol Plan - BY: NV BY: NV BY: JT BY: JT T: JF (D DATE JUNE 2 JECT NU S-VT-4	E: Ision and Sec 2.dwg VF VF DU) : 020 JMBER: 17-2	// // // // // // // // // // // // //
nal Engineer's No. State 03039 VT	30003337	Syracuse NY 13202 Tel: 315.446.9120		GLAR	O-VT	1-004-1	0

WOVEN WIRE FENCE (SEE NOTE #1) SEE NOTE #3 FOR POST SPACING NOT TO SCALE FILTER CLOTH 16" MIN EMBED 6"MIN FLOW FLOW WOVEN WIRE FILTER CLOTH FLOW (UPSLOPE OF WIRE AND POST) \_\_\_\_\_FLOW\_\_ FLOW EMBED FILTER FLOW CLOTH 6" MIN POST DETAIL -- 4" J-HOOK SEGMENT CONSTRUCTION SPECIFICATIONS 1. WOVEN WIRE REINFORCED FENCE IS REQUIRED WITHIN 100' UPSLOPE OF RECEIVING WATERS WHEN THE PROJECT FALLS UNDER A CONSTRUCTION STORMWATER PERMIT. WOVEN WIRE SHALL BE A MIN. 14 GAUGE WITH A 6" MAX. MESH OPENING. 2. FILTER CLOTH SHALL BE EITHER FILTER X, MIRAF1100X, STABILINKA T140N OR APPROVED EQUIVALENT. 3. POST SPACING FOR WIRE-BACKED FENCE SHALL BE 10' MAXIMUM. FOR FILTER-CLOTH FENCE, WHEN ELONGATION IS >50%, POST SPACING SHALL NOT EXCEED 4' AND WHEN ELONGATION IS <50%, POST SPACING SHALL NOT EXCEED 6'. 4. WOVEN WIRE FENCE IS TO BE FASTENED SECURELY TO FENCE POSTS WITH WIRE TIES. FILTER CLOTH IS TO BE FASTENED SECURELY TO WOVEN WIRE FENCE WITH TIES SPACED EVERY 24" AT TOP AND MID SECTION. 5. WHEN TWO SECTIONS OF FILTER CLOTH ADJOIN EACH OTHER THEY SHALL BE OVER-LAPPED BY 6" AND FOLDED. 6. MAINTENANCE SHALL BE PERFORMED AS NEEDED AND MATERIAL REMOVED WHEN SEDIMENT REACHES HALF OF FABRIC HEIGHT. 7. J-HOOK SILT FENCE SEGMENTS SHALL BE USED WHEN SILT FENCE CANNOT BE INSTALLED ALONG THE CONTOUR. J-HOOKS SHALL ANGLE UP-SLOPE AT LEAST 20 FEET AND SHALL BE INSTALLED AT NO GREATER THAN APPROXIMATELY 100-FOOT SPACING. J-HOOKS SHALL BE A MINIMUM OF 10' LONG. ADAPTED FROM DETAILS PROVIDED BY: NEW YORK STATE SILT FENCE DEC ORIGINALLY DEVELOPED BY USDA-NRCS VERMONT DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION NOTES: REFER TO "THE VERMONT STANDARDS & SPECIFICATIONS FOR EROSION PREVENTION & SEDIMENT CONTROL -2006- "FROM THE VT AGENCY OF NATURAL RESOURCES FOR ADDITIONAL GUIDANCE. SILT FENCE (1) NOT TO SCALE NOTICE: Construction site safety is the sole responsibility of the contractor. Ducks Unlimited, Inc. shall not assume any responsibility for the safety of the work performed, persons engaged in the work, nearby structures, or of other persons on-site. This material, data and information is the property of Ducks Unlimited, Inc. It may not be used or reproduced for any purpose without the prior written consent of an authorized agent of Ducks Unlimited, Inc. Ducks Unlimited, Inc. makes no representation or warranty of any kind regarding this material, data and information, including, but not limited to,

9. PERIODIC INSPECTION AND NEEDED MAINTENANCE SHALL BE PROVIDED ACCORDING TO PERMIT REQUIREMENTS.

ADAPTED FROM DETAILS PROVIDED BY: NEW YORK STATE DEC ORIGINALLY DEVELOPED BY USDA-NRCS VERMONT DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION

NOTES: REFER TO "THE VERMONT STANDARDS & SPECIFICATIONS FOR EROSION PREVENTION & SEDIMENT CONTROL -2006- "FROM THE VT AGENCY OF NATURAL RESOURCES FOR ADDITIONAL GUIDANCE.

the accuracy of the material, data and information or its suitability for any purpose. All use of the material, data and information is at the users sole risk. By using any of this material, data and information, user agrees that Ducks Unlimited, Inc. is not responsible for their use of the material, data and information or the results thereof.

SEDIMENT EROSION AND CONTROL NOTES:

![](_page_137_Figure_11.jpeg)

2. LENGTH- NOT LESS THAN 50' (EXCEPT ON A SINGLE RESIDENCE LOT WHERE A 30' MINIMUM LENGTH APPLIES).

3. THICKNESS- NOT LESS THAN 8".

4. WIDTH- 12' MINIMUM, BUT NOT LESS THAN THE FULL WIDTH AT POINTS WHERE INGRESS OR EGRESS OCCURS. 24' IF SINGLE ENTRANCE TO SITE.

5. GEOTEXTILE MUST BE PLACED OVER THE ENTIRE AREA PRIOR TO PLACING STONE.

6. SURFACE WATER- ALL SURFACE WATER FLOWING OR DIVERTED TOWARD CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCES SHALL BE PIPED BENEATH THE ENTRANCE. IF PIPING IS IMPRACTICAL, A MOUNTABLE BERM WITH 5:1 SLOPES WILL BE PERMITTED.

7. MAINTENANCE - THE ENTRANCE SHALL BE MAINTAINED IN A CONDITION WHICH WILL PREVENT TRACKING OR FLOWING OF SEDIMENT ONTO PUBLIC RIGHTS-OF-WAY, ALL SEDIMENT SPILLED, DROPPED, WASHED OR TRACKED ONTO PUBLIC RIGHTS-OF-WAY MUST BE REMOVED IMMEDIATELY.

8. WHEN WASHING IS REQUIRED, IT SHALL BE DONE ON AN AREA STABILIZED WITH STONE AND WHICH DRAINS INTO AN APPROVED SEDIMENT TRAPPING DEVICE.

> STABILIZED CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCE

# STABILIZED CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCE 2

![](_page_137_Picture_22.jpeg)

1. REFER TO SHEET 2 FOR SEQUENCE OF CONSTRUCTION. ALL EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES SHALL COMPLY WITH VERMONT REGULATIONS AND THE VERMONT STANDARDS AND SPECIFICATIONS FOR EROSION PREVENTION & SEDIMENT CONTROL. PLACEMENT AND INSTALLATION TECHNIQUES TO BE APPROVED BY THE DUCKS UNLIMITED ENGINEER PRIOR TO INSTALLATION.

				GREAT LAKES/ATLANTIC REGIONAL OFFICE	DEXTER, MICHIGAN 48130		1	UTTED IN INTED	
			ate By	3/20 ERT EROSION AND SEDIMENT	CONTROL DETAILS AND NOTES	WILLOUGHBY LAKE ROAD	MITIGATION SITE	BARTON, ORLEANS COUNTY, VT	
			vision Sheet Revisions Da	0 ALL ISSUED FOR PERMIT REVIEW 08/1:					
			Revis						
T		Design & Consultancy	300	003337- Cor	DU-Fig htrol Det	D FIL 11 - Erc ails and Y: NV	E: osion ar Notes.	nd Sedir .dwg	nent
		ARCADIS One Lincoln Contor	DF	JRVEY OLOG	BY: ED B IST: JU ROJE	KD Y: JT JF (D DATE NE 2 CT NU		R:	
-	ARCADIS Project No. 30003337	110 West Fayette Street, Suite 300 Syracuse NY 13202 Tel: 315.446.9120		GLA	US- ARO	-VT-4	17-2 1 <b>-</b> 00	4-1 <i>°</i>	1

![](_page_138_Figure_0.jpeg)

		•===			
	March 1 to November 1	36 lbs / acre	TBD	TBD	straw (2 tons / acre)
Note 1)	March 1 to November 1	3 lbs / acre	NA	NA	NA
	March 1 - Oct 1	30 lbs / acre	NA	NA	straw (2 tons / acre)
l Mix	Oct 1 - Nov 1	100 lbs / acre	NA	NA	straw (2 tons / acre)

rea	Acreage (Phase 1)	Acreage (Phase 2)
	1.32	2.47
	0	1.08
<	2.02	3.84
	42.25	17.29

![](_page_139_Figure_1.jpeg)

This material, data and information is the property of Ducks Unlimited, Inc. It may not be used or reproduced for any purpose without the prior written consent of an authorized agent of Ducks Unlimited, Inc. Ducks Unlimited, Inc. makes no representation or warranty of any kind regarding this material, data and information, including, but not limited to, the accuracy of the material, data and information or its suitability for any purpose. All use of the material, data and information is at the users sole risk. By using any of this material, data and information, user agrees that Ducks Unlimited, Inc. is not responsible for their use of the material, data and information or the results thereof.

![](_page_139_Figure_3.jpeg)

WETLAND MITIGATION UNIT 1 (PHASE 1)

![](_page_139_Picture_6.jpeg)

30

LEGEND        1160       EXISTING GRADE MAJOR CONTOUR (5.0' INTERVAL)          EXISTING GRADE MINOR CONTOUR (1.0' INTERVAL)          EXISTING GRADE MINOR CONTOUR (1.0' INTERVAL)          EXISTING TREE LINE          PROPOSED FINAL GRADE CONTOUR (1.0' INTERVAL)		Ľ	e	
UPLAND SEED MIX CONIFER MIX LIMITS OF WETLAND SEED MIX (SEE NOTES 1 & 2) SNOWMOBILE TRAIL MONITORING ACCESS PATH	WILLOUGHBY LAKE ROAD	MITIGATION SITE	ARTON, ORLEANS COUNTY, VT 🧮 🧧	
NOTES: 1. PFO AREAS TO RECEIVE BOTH CONIFER MIX AND WETLAND SEED MIX. 2. PEM AREAS TO RECEIVE WETLAND SEED MIX ONLY.				
GRAPHIC SCALE 30 0 15 30 60 120 1 inch = 30 feet 3/2020 FOR PERMIT 3/2020 GARCADIS U.S., INC. ame ARCADIS U.S., INC.	CAD FIL Fig 13 - Plant D BY: NM FY: KD D BY: JT ST: JF (D DATE JUNE 2 OJECT NI	LE: ting Plan WF 	- Unit 1.	.dwg

![](_page_140_Figure_0.jpeg)

NOTICE: Construction site safety is the sole responsibility of the contractor. Ducks Unlimited, Inc. shall not assume any responsibility for the safety of the work performed, persons engaged in the work, nearby structures, or of other persons on-site.

This material, data and information is the property of Ducks Unlimited, Inc. It may not be used or reproduced for any purpose without the prior written consent of an authorized agent of Ducks Unlimited, Inc. Ducks Unlimited, Inc. makes no representation or warranty of any kind regarding this material, data and information, including, but not limited to, the accuracy of the material, data and information or its suitability for any purpose. All use of the material, data and information data and information is at the user action of the material of the material. information is at the users sole risk. By using any of this material, data and information, user agrees that Ducks Unlimited, Inc. is not responsible for their use of the material, data and information or the results thereof.

![](_page_140_Picture_5.jpeg)

50

			GREAT LAKES/ATLANTIC REGIONAL OFFICE 7322 NEWMAN BOULEVARD, BLDG. 1 DEXTER, MICHIGAN 48130 (734) 623-2000 www.ducks.org	DUCKS UNLIMITED
	ND         160       EXISTING GRADE MA         (5.0' INTERVAL)         EXISTING GRADE MI         (1.0' INTERVAL)         EXISTING GRADE MI         (1.0' INTERVAL)         EXISTING TREE LINE         160 PROPOSED FINAL GR         + +         PEM (SEE NOTE 2)         UPLAND SEED MIX         CONIFER MIX         IMITS OF WETLAND         SNOWMOBILE TRAIL         MONITORING ACCES	AJOR CONTOUR NOR CONTOUR ADE CONTOUR (1.0' INTERVAL) SEED MIX (SEE NOTES 1 & 2) S PATH	PLANTING PLAN - UNIT 2	MITIGATION SITE
NOTES: 1. PFO AREAS 2. PEM AREAS	TO RECEIVE BOTH CONIFER MIX A TO RECEIVE WETLAND SEED MIX	ND WETLAND SEED MIX. ONLY.	Revisions     Date     By       ISSUED FOR PERMIT REVIEW     08/13/20     ERT	
50 0 3/2020	GRAPHIC SCALE 25 50 100 1 inch = 50 feet FOR PERMIT GARCA ARCAD	200 Design & Consultant for natural and built assets IS U.S., INC.	Image: Second state sta	LE: ting Plan - Unit 2.dwg WF T DU) E:
ame GINS o. State VT	ARCADIS Project No. 30003337	ARCADIS One Lincoln Center 110 West Fayette Street, Suite 300 Syracuse NY 13202 Tel: 315.446.9120	JUNE 2 PROJECT N US-VT- GLARO-VT	2020 UMBER: 47-2 1-004-14

![](_page_141_Figure_0.jpeg)

			REAT LAKES/ATLANTIC REGIONAL OFFICE	7322 NEWMAN BOULEVARD,BLDG. 1 DEXTER, MICHIGAN 48130 (734) 623-2000 www.ducks.org	Λ	UCKS UNLIMITED	
	D         50       —         50       —         50       —         EXISTING GRADE MA (5.0' INTERVAL)         EXISTING GRADE MI (1.0' INTERVAL)         X       EXISTING FENCE LIN PROPERTY LINE         PROPERTY LINE         CONSERVATION EAS WITH PROPERTY LINE         S0       PROPOSED GRADE FINCE         Y       PEM (SEE NOTE 2)         PSS (SEE NOTE 3)         UPLAND SEED MIX         CONIFER MIX         LIMITS OF WETLAND         HEAVY DISKING (SEI         SNOWMOBILE TRAIL	AJOR CONTOUR NOR CONTOUR IE EMENT BOUNDARY (COINCIDENT E EXCEPT AT EXCLUSION AREAS) INAL CONTOUR (1.0' INTERVAL)		FLANTING FLAN - UNIT 3	WILLOUGHBY LAKE ROAD	BARTON, ORLEANS COUNTY, VT	
			Date By By				
OTES: PFO AND PFO SEED MIX. PEM AREAS T PSS AREAS T HEAVY DISKI OTHERWISE	VUPLAND MOSAIC TO RECEIVE BO O RECEIVE WETLAND SEED MIX O O RECEIVE WETLAND SEED MIX A NG WILL NOT OCCUR WITHIN LIN INDICATED.	OTH CONIFER MIX AND WETLAND ONLY. AND PSS PLANTINGS. 4ITS OF GRADING UNLESS	Revisions ISSUED FOR DEPMIT REVIEW				
0	GRAPHIC SCALE	200	Sheet				
	1 inch = 50 feet		Revision				
	FOR PERMIT						
	<b>GARCA</b> ARCAD	Design & Consultanc for natural and built assets	30003337 DESIC DRAW SURV BIOLC	CA -DU-Fig 15 GNED BY /N BY: H EYED BY DGIST: [ JUN	D FILE: - Planting ': NWF <d (: JT JF (DU) DATE: NE 2020</d 	Plan - Unit :	3.dwg
State VT	ARCADIS Project No. 30003337	ARCADIS One Lincoln Center 110 West Fayette Street, Suite 300 Syracuse NY 13202 Tel: 315.446.9120	GI	PROJECUS-	ст NUMI VT-47- ·VT1-(	<sup>3ER:</sup> ·2 )04-15	

NOTES: WETLAND SEEDING (PEM, PSS, & PFO)

- 1. DUCKS UNLIMITED WILL PROVIDE THE WETLAND SEED MIX (MATERIAL SEED) FOR THE THE PEM, PSS, AND PFO AREAS TO BE SEEDED. THE APPLICATION RATE IS 3 LB/AC. IN DISTURBED AREAS (AREAS REQUIRING GRADING), THE SEED MIX PROVIDED BY DU SHALL BE MIXED WITH ANNUAL RYE GRASS SEED AT A RATE OF 30 LB/AC. IN UNDISTURBED AREAS, THE SEED MIX MAY BE MIXED WITH SAWDUST OR OTHER INERT FILLER APPROVED BY THE ENGINEER FOR EASE OF APPLICATION. DU WILL NOT PROVIDE THE RYE GRASS SEED OR INERT FILLER.
- 2. UNDISTURBED CONIFER MIX AREAS, WHERE SHOWN ON SHEET 12, SHALL BE PREPARED BY HEAVY DISKING. A MINIMUM OF 6 INCHES OF SURFACE SOIL SHALL BE IN A LOOSE CONDITION. A MINIMUM OF TWO PASSES (PERPENDICULAR TO EACH OTHER) WITH A CHISEL PLOW, HEAVY OFFSET DISK, OR OTHER APPROVED TILLAGE EQUIPMENT IS REQUIRED; ADDITIONAL PASSES MAY BE NECESSARY TO ACHIEVE THE DESIRED SURFACE ROUGHNESS. ANY EQUIPMENT MOVEMENT OVER SEEDED AREAS SHOULD BE MINIMIZED TO THE EXTENT PRACTICABLE. MULCH WILL NOT BE REQUIRED FOR AREAS RECEIVING ONLY DISKING UNLESS ORDERED BY THE ENGINEER. REFER TO CONSTRUCTION NOTES ON SHEET 2.
- 3. UNDER ITEM 402 FOR PEM, PSS, PFO AND PFO MOSAIC AREAS, THE CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR PREPARING THE SOIL SURFACE, PROVIDING THE ANNUAL RYE GRASS SEED, BROADCASTING ALL SEED MATERIAL, AND STABILIZING DISTURBED SURFACES WITH MULCH (MULCH 2 TONS PER ACRE). REFER TO DU SPECIFICATION 402 FOR ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS. MULCH WILL NOT BE REQUIRED FOR AREAS RECEIVING ONLY HEAVY DISKING UNLESS ORDERED BY THE ENGINEER. WETLAND SEED MIX MUST BE BROADCAST; A NO-TILL DRILL WILL NOT BE ALLOWED FOR APPLICATION OF WETLAND SEED MIX.
- 4. ALSO REFER TO SEEDING SUMMARY ON SHEET 12 AND CONSTRUCTION NOTES ON SHEET 2 FOR ADDITIONAL SEEDING INFORMATION.
- 5. ALL AREAS REQUIRING GRADING SHALL BE FINISHED TO THE GRADES SHOWN ON THE PLANS WITH TOPSOIL AS SPECIFIED, AND A MINIMUM OF 6 INCHES OF SURFACE SOIL SHALL BE IN A LOOSE CONDITION.

NOTICE: Construction site safety is the sole responsibility of the contractor. Ducks Unlimited, Inc. shall not assume any responsibility for the safety of the work performed, persons engaged in the work, nearby structures, or of other persons on-site.

This material, data and information is the property of Ducks Unlimited, Inc. It may not be used or reproduced for any purpose without the prior written consent of an authorized agent of Ducks Unlimited, Inc. Ducks Unlimited, Inc. makes no representation or warranty of any kind regarding this material, data and information, including, but not limited to, the accuracy of the material, data and information or its suitability for any purpose. All use of the material, data and information is at the users sole risk. By using any of this material, data and information, user agrees that Ducks Unlimited, Inc. is not responsible for their use of the material, data and information or the results thereof.

## SEED MIXES/TREE PLANTINGS

Target Area	Common Name	Scientific Name	Wetland Indicator Status	Number or % by Weight	Propagule Type	Quantity/Acre
	mud plantain	Alisma subcordatum	OBL	8%		
	swamp milkweed	Asclepias incarnata	OBL	5%		
	sensitive fern	Ononclea sensibilis	FACW	3%		
	bristly sedge	Carex comosa	OBL	5%		
	nodding sedge	Carex crinita	OBL	5%		
	hop sedge	Carex lupulina	OBL	5%		
	tussock sedge	Carex stricta	OBL	5%		
	fox sedge	Carex vulpinoidea	OBL	5%		
All Disturbed Wetland Areas	steeplebush	Spirea tomentosa	FACW	10%	wetland seed mix % by weight	3 lbs seed mixture/acre
	Canada wildrye	Elymus canadensis	FACU	12%		
	riverbank wildrye	Elymus riparius	FACW	5%		
	soft rush	Juncus effusus	OBL	2%	_	
	Blue flag iris	Iris versicolor	OBL	5%		
	Pennsylvania smartweed	Polygonum pensylvanicum	FACW	5%		
	joe pye weed	Eupatorium maculatum	OBL	5%		
	rice cutgrass	Leersia oryzoides	OBL	10%		
	blue vervain	Verbena hastata	FACW	5%		
	speckled alder	Alnus incana	FACW	50		
	steeplebush	Spirea tomentosa	FACW	50		
DCC	red osier dogwood	Cornus sericea	FACW	50	> 18" bare	sum to ≥300
P55	silky dogwood	Cornus amomum	FACW	50	root/potted	stems/acre
	winterberry	llex verticillata	FACW	50		
	nannyberry	Viburnum lentago	FAC	50		
	gray birch	Betula populifolia	FAC	25		
	balsam fir	Abies balsamea	FAC	60		
Conifer Mix (PEO	red maple	Acer rubrum	FAC	60		
PFO Mosaic, Upland	white spruce	Picea glauca	FACU	50	> 18" bare root/potted	sum to ≥300 stems/acre
Buffer)	eastern white pine	Pinus strobus	FACU	25		,
	northern white cedar	Thuja occidentalis	FACW	50		
	eastern hemlock	Tsuga canadensis	FACU	30		
	upland bentgrass	Agrostis perennans	FACU	30%		
	big bluestem	Andropogon gerardii	FACU	20%		
All Disturbed Upland Areas	Canada wildrye	Elymus canadensis	FACU	10%	upland seed mix % by weight	36 lbs seed mixture/acre
	annual ryegrass	Lolium multiflorum	FACU	30%	, , ,	
	switchgrass	Panicum virgatum	FAC	10%		

NOTES:

- 1. ALL WOODY PLANTINGS WILL BE AT LEAST 18 INCHES IN HEIGHT. SEEDLINGS WILL BE A MIXTURE OF BARE ROOT PLANTS (75%) AND POTTED (25%).
- 2. PLANTING OF WETLAND AND UPLAND TREES AND SHRUBS WILL BE INCLUDED IN A SEPARATE CONTRACT. TREE PLANTINGS SHALL NOT BE PLACED WITHIN THE LIMITS OF THE SNOWMOBILE TRAIL AND MONITORING PATH.
- 3. WETLAND SEED MIXES PROVIDED SHALL BE MIXED WITH ANNUAL RYE SEED AT A RATE OF 30 LB/AC.

![](_page_142_Picture_19.jpeg)

		GKEAL LAKES/ALLAN I LO KEGIONAL UFFICE	DEXTER, MICHIGAN 48130 (734) 623-2000 www.ducks.org				
	By the second se	<sup>D</sup> ERT PLANTING DETAILS AND NOTES		WILLOUGHBY LAKE ROAD	MITIGATION SITE	BARTON, ORLRANS COUNTY, VT	
	Revisions Date	ISSUED FOR PERMIT REVIEW 08/13/20					
су	Revision Sheet		CA DU-Fig No ED BY	D FIL 16 - Pla botes.dw 7: NW	E: anting [ g	Details a	and
	DR/ SUI BIC	AWN I RVEYE DLOGI PR	3Y: I D BY ST: JUI OJEC US-	KD JF (D DATE: NE 20 CT NU VT-4 -VT1	U) )20 IMBEI 7-2	२: 4-16	

FOR PERMIT

![](_page_142_Picture_25.jpeg)

![](_page_142_Picture_26.jpeg)

ARCADIS ARCADIS Project No. 30003337

One Lincoln Center 110 West Fayette Street, Suite 300 Syracuse NY 13202 Tel: 315.446.9120

![](_page_143_Figure_0.jpeg)
NOTICE: Construction site safety is the sole responsibility of the contractor. Ducks Unlimited, Inc. shall not assume any responsibility for the safety of the work performed, persons engaged in the work, nearby structures, or of other persons on-site.

This material, data and information is the property of Ducks Unlimited, Inc. It may not be used or reproduced for any purpose without the prior written consent of an authorized agent of Ducks Unlimited, Inc. Ducks Unlimited, Inc. makes no representation or warranty of any kind regarding this material, data and information, including, but not limited to, the accuracy of the material, data and information or its suitability for any purpose. All use of the material, data and information is at the users sole risk. By using any of this material, data and information, user agrees that Ducks Unlimited, Inc. is not responsible for their use of the material, data and information or the results thereof.

## LEGEND



## WETLAND MITIGATION UNIT 1 (PHASE 1)

# Signed: 08/13/2020 essional Engineer's Name MICHAEL HIGGINS Professional Engineer's No. 018.0103039

NOTE:

- — — —1160 - — — -	EXISTING GRADE MAJOR CONTOUR (5.0' INTERVAL)
	EXISTING GRADE MINOR CONTOUR (1.0' INTERVAL)
1175	PROPOSED FINAL GRADE CONTOUR (1.0' INTERVAL)
uuu	EXISTING TREE LINE
= $=$ $=$	SNOWMOBILE TRAIL
	MONITORING ACCESS PATH



FICE

OFI

REGIONAL

LAKES/ATLANTIC I 7322 NEWMAN BOULEVAL DEXTER, MICHIGAN 4

ROAD

MILL

CREDIT GENERATION UNIT 1

OUGHBY LAKE ROAD ITIGATION SITE ORLEANS COUNTY,

RTON,

EL)

INLIMIT

S

## **CREDIT GENERATION**

PEM REESTABLISHMENT AREA
PEM PRESERVATION AREA
PFO REESTABLISHMENT AREA
PFO PRESERVATION AREA
UPLAND BUFFER REHABILITATION AREA
UPLAND BUFFER PRESERVATION AREA

1. REFER TO CREDIT GENERATION - OVERALL DRAWING (SHEET 17) FOR CREDIT GENERATION AREAS.





## WETLAND MITIGATION UNIT 2 (PHASE 1)

NOTICE: Construction site safety is the sole responsibility of the contractor. Ducks Unlimited, Inc. shall not assume any responsibility for the safety of the work performed, persons engaged in the work, nearby structures, or of other persons on-site.

This material, data and information is the property of Ducks Unlimited, Inc. It may not be used or reproduced for any purpose without the prior written consent of an authorized agent of Ducks Unlimited, Inc. Ducks Unlimited, Inc. makes no representation or warranty of any kind regarding this material, data and information, including, but not limited to, the accuracy of the material, data and information or its suitability for any purpose. All use of the material, data and information is at the users sole risk. By using any of this material, data and information, user agrees that Ducks Unlimited, Inc. is not responsible for their use of the material, data and information or the results thereof.

_		_		_
				-
$\subseteq$	×	~	_	×
_			_	
_			_	







- 1160 — — —	EXISTING GRADE MAJOR CONTOUR (5.0' INTERVAL)	OFFI
	EXISTING GRADE MINOR CONTOUR (1.0' INTERVAL)	NAL
——————————————————————————————————————	EXISTING FENCE LINE	<b>DIC</b> DG.
	PROPERTY LINE	<b>AEC</b> RD,BI 8130 ducks
	CONSERVATION EASEMENT BOUNDARY (COINCIDENT WITH PROPERTY LINE EXCEPT AT EXCLUSION AREAS)	NTIC F BOULEVAR CHIGAN 4 000 www.c
–1160———	PROPOSED FINAL GRADE CONTOUR (1.0' INTERVAL)	<b>TLAI</b> EWMAN TER, MI 623-20
uu	EXISTING TREE LINE	57/A 322 N DEX (734)
	SNOWMOBILE TRAIL	К 73
===	MONITORING ACCESS PATH	Γ
		GREAT

PEM REESTABLISHMENT AREA
PEM PRESERVATION AREA
PSS REESTABLISHMENT AREA
PFO REESTABLISHMENT AREA
PFO PRESERVATION AREA
PFO/UPLAND MOSAIC REESTABLISHMENT ARE
PFO/UPLAND MOSAIC REHABILITATION AREA
PFO REHABILITATION AREA
UPLAND BUFFER REHABILITATION AREA
UPLAND BUFFER PRESERVATION AREA

7		GKEAL LAKES/ALLAN I LO REGIONAL UFFICE 7322 NEWMAN BOULEVARD, BLDG. 1	DEXTER, MICHIGAN 48130 (734) 623-2000 www.ducks.org				
						5	
		CKEULI GENEKALIUN -	UNII 3	WILLOUGHBY LAKE ROAD	MITIGATION SITE	BARTON, ORLEANS COUNTY, '	
	By	ERT					
	Date	08/13/20					
	Revisions	ISSUED FOR PERMIT REVIEW					
	ו Sheet	ALL					
	Revision	0			┩┩┍		
	30003	3337-DI	CA J-Fig 20	D FIL ) - Cred 3.dwg	E: lit Gene	ration -	Unit
y	DES DR/ SUI BIC	SIGNI AWN RVEYI DLOGI	ED BY BY: I ED BY IST:	': NW	/F U)		
		PF		NE 20 CT NU VT-4	020 IMBEF 7-2	२:	
		GLA	RO-	·VT1	-004	4-20	



NOTICE: Construction site safety is the sole responsibility of the contractor. Ducks Unlimited, Inc. shall not assume any responsibility for the safety of the work performed, persons engaged in the work, nearby structures, or of other persons on-site.

This material, data and information is the property of Ducks Unlimited, Inc. It may not be used or reproduced for any purpose without the prior written consent of an authorized agent of Ducks Unlimited, Inc. Ducks Unlimited, Inc. makes no representation or warranty of any kind regarding this material, data and information, including, but not limited to, the accuracy of the material, data and information or its suitability for any purpose. All use of the material, data and information is at the users sole risk. By using any of this material, data and information, user agrees that Ducks Unlimited, Inc. is not responsible for their use of the material, data and information or the results thereof.



## LEGEND

	EXISTING GRADE MAJOR CONTOUR (10.0' INTERVAL) EXISTING GRADE MINOR CONTOUR (2.0' INTERVAL) EXISTING STONE WALL EXISTING FENCE LINE PROPERTY LINE CONSERVATION EASEMENT BOUNDAP (COINCIDENT WITH PROPERTY LINE EXAT EXCLUSION AREAS) RIGHT OF WAY EDGE OF GRAVEL EDGE OF GRAVEL EDGE OF WATER EXISTING UTILITY POLE EXISTING BUILDING PEM UPLAND SEED MIX CONIFER MIX FUTURE PHOTO POINT LOCATION	2.0' INTERVAL)		GREAT LAKES/ATLANTIC REGIONAL OFFICE 7322 NEWMAN BOULEVARD, BLDG. 1 DEXTER, MICHIGAN 48130	(734) 623-2000 www.ducks.org	UTICKS I INI INITED	
	EXISTING TREE LINE SNOWMOBILE TRAIL						
	MONITORING ACCESS PATH			MONITORING PLAN - 1	WILLOUGHBY LAKE ROAD	MITIGATION SITE BARTON, ORI FANS COUNTY, VT	
			ß	T T			
			Date	08/13/20 E			
			Revisions	ISSUED FOR PERMIT REVIEW			
200	GRAPHIC SCALE	800	Sheet	ALL			
	1 inch = 200 feet		Revision	0			
Signed: 08/13/2020	FOR PERMIT						
NO. 0780103038	<b>ARCA</b> ARCAE	Design & Consultancy for natural and built assets	3000 DE DR SU BIO	SIGNED RVEYED OLOGIST	KD BY: NW KD BY: JT JF (DI DATE: JNE 20	U)	- 1.dwg
Engineer's No. State 39 VT	ARCADIS Project No. 30003337	ARCADIS One Lincoln Center 110 West Fayette Street, Suite 300 Syracuse NY 13202 Tel: 315.446.9120		PROJ US GLAR(	ест NU 5-VT-4 D-VT1	мвек: 7-2 -004-2	21





uuu MW-1 🗭



200

018.0103039

	EXISTING GRADE MAJOR CONTOUR (10.0' INTERVAL) EXISTING GRADE MINOR CONTOUR (2.0' INTERVAL) EXISTING STONE WALL EXISTING FENCE LINE PROPERTY LINE CONSERVATION EASEMENT BOUNDARY (COINCIDENT WITH PROPERTY LINE EXCEPT AT EXCLUSION AREAS) RIGHT OF WAY EDGE OF GRAVEL EXISTING UTILITY POLE EXISTING BUILDING PEM PSS UPLAND SEED MIX CONIFER MIX FUTURE PHOTO POINT LOCATION PROPOSED FINAL GRADE CONTOUR (2.0' IN			GKEAL LAKES/ALLANTIC REGIONAL OFFICE	DEXTER, MICHIGAN 48130 (734) 623-2000 www.dhicks.ord			" DUCKS UNLIMITED	
V-1 (	EXISTING MONITORING WELL SNOWMOBILE TRAIL MONITORING ACCESS PATH			MONITORING PLAN - 2		WILLOUGHBY LAKE ROAD	MITIGATION SITE	BARTON, ORLEANS COUNTY, VT	
			By	ERT					
			Date	08/13/20					
			Revisions	ISSUED FOR PERMIT REVIEW					
200   <b></b>	GRAPHIC SCALE	800	Sheet	ALL					
	1 inch = 200 feet		Revision	0			┥┍┥┍┥		
3/2020	FOR PERMIT	DIS Design & Consultancy for natural and built assets	3000 DE DR SU BIC	SIGN AWN RVEY DLOG	CA U-Fig 2: ED BY BY: I ED BY IST: I JUI	D FILL 2 - Monit 2 - Monit 2 - MONIT 2 - MONIT 2 - MONITE 2 - MONI	E: toring Pl F J)	an - 2.d	//g
o. State VT	ARCADIS Project No. 30003337	ARCADIS One Lincoln Center 110 West Fayette Street, Suite 300 Syracuse NY 13202 Tel: 315.446.9120		PI GLA	NOJEC US- NRO-	CT NU VT-4 ∙VT1	мвек 7-2 -004	: -22	

### Appendix E. Threatened and Endangered Species Review



### United States Department of the Interior

FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE New England Ecological Services Field Office 70 Commercial Street, Suite 300 Concord, NH 03301-5094 Phone: (603) 223-2541 Fax: (603) 223-0104 http://www.fws.gov/newengland



In Reply Refer To: Consultation Code: 05E1NE00-2020-SLI-1413 Event Code: 05E1NE00-2020-E-04054 Project Name: Willoughby Lake Road Mitigation Site February 14, 2020

Subject: List of threatened and endangered species that may occur in your proposed project location, and/or may be affected by your proposed project

To Whom It May Concern:

The enclosed species list identifies threatened, endangered, proposed and candidate species, as well as proposed and final designated critical habitat, that may occur within the boundary of your proposed project and/or may be affected by your proposed project. The species list fulfills the requirements of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) under section 7(c) of the Endangered Species Act (Act) of 1973, as amended (16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*).

New information based on updated surveys, changes in the abundance and distribution of species, changed habitat conditions, or other factors could change this list. Please feel free to contact us if you need more current information or assistance regarding the potential impacts to federally proposed, listed, and candidate species and federally designated and proposed critical habitat. Please note that under 50 CFR 402.12(e) of the regulations implementing section 7 of the Act, the accuracy of this species list should be verified after 90 days. This verification can be completed formally or informally as desired. The Service recommends that verification be completed by visiting the ECOS-IPaC website at regular intervals during project planning and implementation for updates to species lists and information. An updated list may be requested through the ECOS-IPaC system by completing the same process used to receive the enclosed list.

The purpose of the Act is to provide a means whereby threatened and endangered species and the ecosystems upon which they depend may be conserved. Under sections 7(a)(1) and 7(a)(2) of the Act and its implementing regulations (50 CFR 402 *et seq.*), Federal agencies are required to utilize their authorities to carry out programs for the conservation of threatened and endangered species and to determine whether projects may affect threatened and endangered species and/or designated critical habitat.

A Biological Assessment is required for construction projects (or other undertakings having similar physical impacts) that are major Federal actions significantly affecting the quality of the human environment as defined in the National Environmental Policy Act (42 U.S.C. 4332(2) (c)). For projects other than major construction activities, the Service suggests that a biological evaluation similar to a Biological Assessment be prepared to determine whether the project may affect listed or proposed species and/or designated or proposed critical habitat. Recommended contents of a Biological Assessment are described at 50 CFR 402.12.

If a Federal agency determines, based on the Biological Assessment or biological evaluation, that listed species and/or designated critical habitat may be affected by the proposed project, the agency is required to consult with the Service pursuant to 50 CFR 402. In addition, the Service recommends that candidate species, proposed species and proposed critical habitat be addressed within the consultation. More information on the regulations and procedures for section 7 consultation, including the role of permit or license applicants, can be found in the "Endangered Species Consultation Handbook" at:

### http://www.fws.gov/endangered/esa-library/pdf/TOC-GLOS.PDF

Please be aware that bald and golden eagles are protected under the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act (16 U.S.C. 668 *et seq.*), and projects affecting these species may require development of an eagle conservation plan (http://www.fws.gov/windenergy/ eagle\_guidance.html). Additionally, wind energy projects should follow the wind energy guidelines (http://www.fws.gov/windenergy/) for minimizing impacts to migratory birds and bats.

Guidance for minimizing impacts to migratory birds for projects including communications towers (e.g., cellular, digital television, radio, and emergency broadcast) can be found at: http://www.fws.gov/migratorybirds/CurrentBirdIssues/Hazards/towers/towers.htm; http://www.towerkill.com; and http://www.fws.gov/migratorybirds/CurrentBirdIssues/Hazards/towers/correntBirdIssues/Hazards/towers/comtow.html.

We appreciate your concern for threatened and endangered species. The Service encourages Federal agencies to include conservation of threatened and endangered species into their project planning to further the purposes of the Act. Please include the Consultation Tracking Number in the header of this letter with any request for consultation or correspondence about your project that you submit to our office.

Attachment(s):

Official Species List

## **Official Species List**

This list is provided pursuant to Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act, and fulfills the requirement for Federal agencies to "request of the Secretary of the Interior information whether any species which is listed or proposed to be listed may be present in the area of a proposed action".

This species list is provided by:

### New England Ecological Services Field Office

70 Commercial Street, Suite 300 Concord, NH 03301-5094 (603) 223-2541

### **Project Summary**

Consultation Code:	05E1NE00-2020-SLI-1413
Event Code:	05E1NE00-2020-E-04054
Project Name:	Willoughby Lake Road Mitigation Site
Project Type:	LAND - RESTORATION / ENHANCEMENT
Project Description:	Excavating 19 shallow potholes (~4 acres total) and planting 82 acres of trees and shrubs to restore and enhance wetlands and adjacent upland communities. All work will be done in existing hay fields. No tree cutting is planned for this project.

Project Location:

Approximate location of the project can be viewed in Google Maps: <u>https://www.google.com/maps/place/44.78951808149607N72.11174285061043W</u>



Counties: Orleans, VT

### **Endangered Species Act Species**

There is a total of 1 threatened, endangered, or candidate species on this species list.

Species on this list should be considered in an effects analysis for your project and could include species that exist in another geographic area. For example, certain fish may appear on the species list because a project could affect downstream species.

IPaC does not display listed species or critical habitats under the sole jurisdiction of NOAA Fisheries<sup>1</sup>, as USFWS does not have the authority to speak on behalf of NOAA and the Department of Commerce.

See the "Critical habitats" section below for those critical habitats that lie wholly or partially within your project area under this office's jurisdiction. Please contact the designated FWS office if you have questions.

1. <u>NOAA Fisheries</u>, also known as the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), is an office of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration within the Department of Commerce.

### Mammals

NAME	STATUS
Northern Long-eared Bat Myotis septentrionalis	Threatened
No critical habitat has been designated for this species.	
Species profile: <u>https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/9045</u>	

### **Critical habitats**

THERE ARE NO CRITICAL HABITATS WITHIN YOUR PROJECT AREA UNDER THIS OFFICE'S JURISDICTION.

Appendix F. Budget Shaded items would be Includes Phase 1 construction and Site-wide land acquisition and protection costs. Light Gray are actuals.

Activity	Unit Cost	Quantity (Vears)	Total Cost	Notes
Activity	Cint Cost	(Itals)	Total Cost	TUCS
Land Purchase	\$320,606.00	1	\$320,606	243.9-acres
Land Purchase Acquisition repayment to WAT				
for access to unencumbered acquisition capital			\$13,360	(6.5 % annually) Actual
				Annually; will apply for
Taxes (Years)	\$6,534.86	3	\$19,605	tax exempt status
				Includes survey, title
				search and other closing
Closing Cost per Transaction	\$37,504.00	1	\$37,504	costs
	¢(4,207,2)	1	¢(4.207	Costs to identify and
Site Identification & Land Acquisition	\$64,307.36	1	\$64,307	secure the site
				topographic surveys
				engineering design.
				associated travel, plan
Mitigation Plan (Site visit IRT, DEC,				development and
permitting)	\$246,963.00	1	\$246,963	revisions.
				Includes Construction
				Management and well
Phase 1 Construction and Planting Staff Cost	\$81,033.46	1	\$81,033	logger install
				Coarse woody debris
Phase 1 Construction and Planting Costs	\$301,390.50	1	\$301,391	added as bid item
				Assuming an as-built, and
Monitoring	\$16,544.78	10	\$165,448	6 monitoring reports.
Adaptive Management	\$10,146.88	10	\$101,469	
Long Term Protection Endowment (CE)	\$65,000.00	1	\$65,000	
				Amount subject to Long-
Long Term Stewardship Endowment (SE)	\$108,541.61	1	\$108,542	term steward approval
Financial Assurances	\$18,547.56	1	\$18,548	If required by USACE
Phase 1 Subtotal			\$1,543,775	
Contingency (10%)			\$154,377	
Total Through Phase 1			\$1,698,152	