

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

New England District Concord, Massachusetts

Former LO-58 Nike Battery Launch Site Formerly Used Defense Site (FUDS) Caribou, Aroostook County, Maine

> Contract No. W91236-05-D-0036 Task Order No. 0036 DCN: MEFUDS36-061710-AABU

FINAL BOREHOLE HYDROPHYSICS AND GEOPHYSICS REPORT

JUNE 2010



01M-0007

FINAL

BOREHOLE HYDROPHYSICS AND GEOPHYSICS REPORT FORMER LO-58 NIKE BATTERY LAUNCH SITE FORMERLY USED DEFENSE SITE (FUDS) CARIBOU, AROOSTOOK COUNTY, MAINE

Contract No. W91236-05-D-0036 Task Order No. 036 DCN: MEFUDS36-061710-AABU

Prepared for:

U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS NEW ENGLAND DISTRICT

696 Virginia Road Concord, Massachusetts 01742-2751

Prepared by:

WESTON SOLUTIONS, INC.

43 Constitution Drive, Suite 2 West Bedford, New Hampshire 03110

June 2010

Work Order No. 03886.184.001



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section

Page

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY ES-1						
1.	INTRODUCTION					
	1.1	SCOP	E OF WORK AND PURPOSE	1-1		
	1.2	LIMI	FING CONDITIONS AND METHODOLOGY USED	1-1		
	13	REPO	PRT ORGANIZATION	1_2		
-	1.5			1 2		
2.	LO-58 SITE GEOLOGIC, GEOPHYSICAL, AND HYDROPHYSICAL INVESTIGATIONS AND RESULTS					
	2.1	LO-58 SITE GEOLOGIC, GEOPHYSICAL, AND HYDROPHYSICAL INVESTIGATIONS				
		2.1.1	Site Description	2-1		
		2.1.2	Geologic Investigation	2-3		
		2.1.3	Geophysical and Hydrophysical Investigations	2-3		
			2.1.3.1 Geophysical Investigation	2-4		
			2.1.3.2 Hydrophysical Investigation	2-4		
			2.1.3.3 Wire-Line Straddle Packer Testing/Groundwater Sampling	g2-7		
			2.1.3.4 Data Quality Objectives	2-9		
			2.1.3.5 Well Repair Activities	2-10		
			2.1.3.0 Investigation-Derived waste	2-10		
	2.2	LO-58	LO-58 SITE GEOLOGIC, GEOPHYSICAL, AND HYDROPHYSICAL			
		KESU	T 1	2-10		
		2.2.1	Topography	2-10		
		2.2.2	Soll and Overburden Geology	2-11		
			2.2.2.1 Soll Description	2-11		
			2.2.2.2 Overburden Geology	2-11		
		223	Bedrock Geology	2-12		
		2.2.5	2 2 3 1 Lithology	2-13		
			2.2.3.1 Brailorogy	2-14		
		224	Bedrock Hydrogeology	2-16		
		2.2	2.2.4.1 Depth to Bedrock Groundwater			
			2.2.4.2 Bedrock Groundwater Flow Velocity and Transmissivity	2-17		
			2.2.4.3 Bedrock Fracture Interconnectivity	2-19		
			2.2.4.4 Bedrock Groundwater Horizontal Gradients	2-25		
			2.2.4.5 Bedrock Groundwater Vertical Gradients	2-26		



TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

Section Page 2.2.5.1 2.2.5.2 3. 3.1 3.2 3.3 4. LO-58 SITE RECOMMENDATIONS4-1 5.



LIST OF FIGURES

Title

- Figure 1-1 Site Location Map
- Figure 2-1 Site Plan
- Figure 2-2 Overburden Thickness Map
- Figure 2-3 Bedrock Surface Elevation Map
- Figure 2-4 Bedrock Geologic Map
- Figure 2-5 LO-58 Site Stereonets of Bedding and Joints/Faults
- Figure 2-6 Bedrock Potentiometric Surface Map
- Figure 2-7 Concentration Trends in Analytical Results for Well DW-1
- Figure 2-8 Degradation Rate for Chlorinated Solvents for Well DW-1
- Figure 2-9 Groundwater Parameter Trends for Wells DW-1 and DW-2

LIST OF TABLES

Title

Table 2-1 Well Construction Summary
Table 2-2 Summary of Bedrock Groundwater Elevations, April through May 2008
Table 2-3 Summary of Drinking Water Analytical Results
Table 2-4 Summary of Deionized Water Analytical Results
Table 2-5 Summary of Groundwater Parameters Measured During Wire-Line Straddle Packer Low-Flow Sampling
Table 2-6 Summary of Drinking Water Well Wire-Line Straddle Packer Sampling Analytical Results



LIST OF APPENDICES (ON COMPACT DISK)

- APPENDIX A ELECTRONIC FILES: RAW AND PROCESSED HYDROPHYSICAL AND GEOPHYSICAL DATA; LABORATORY ELECTRONIC DATA DELIVERABLE; ADR-REVIEWED FILES; PACKER TEST MONITORING DATA; AND PRESSURE TRANSDUCER DATA
- APPENDIX B HYDROPHYSICS[™] AND GEOPHYSICAL LOGGING RESULTS INCLUDING HYDROPHYSICS[™] AND GEOPHYSICAL LOG MONTAGE PLOTS
- APPENDIX C WESTON FIELD RECORDS INCLUDING: EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION RECORDS AND GROUNDWATER LOW-FLOW SAMPLING RECORDS
- APPENDIX D WESTON VALIDATED ANALYTICAL RESULTS MAY 2008 ON COMPACT DISK
- APPENDIX E WESTON PRESSURE TRANSDUCER DATA SUMMARIES AND CARIBOU, MAINE PRECIPITATION RECORDS, MAY 2008



LIST OF ACRONYMS

AMAC	Adult Multiple Alternative Center
amsl	above mean sea level
Analytics	Analytics Analytical Laboratories, LLC
bgs	below ground surface
BHG	Borehole Hydrophysics and Geophysics
BOH	Bureau of Health
C.G.	Certified Geologist
CENAE	United States Army Corps of Engineers, New England District
cis-1,2-DCE	cis-1,2-dichloroethylene
COLOG	COLOG, Division of Layne Christensen Company
COPC	contaminants of potential concern
CSM	Conceptual Site Model
DBCP	1,2-dibromo-3-chloropropane
DO	dissolved oxygen
DQO	data quality objectives
DRO	diesel-range organics
EDB	1,2-ethylene dibromide
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
FEC	fluid electrical conductivity
ft	foot/feet
ft/day	feet per day
ft²/day	square feet per day
FUDS	Formerly Used Defense Sites
gpm	gallons per minute
GRO	gasoline-range organics
HPL	hydrophysical logging
LO-58 Site	LO-58 Nike Battery Launch Site, Caribou, Maine
Maine HETL	Maine Health and Environmental Testing Laboratory
MCL	Maximum Contaminant Limits
MDEP	Maine Department of Environmental Protection
Maine MEG	Maine Maximum Exposure Guidelines
MGS	Maine Geologic Survey
μg/L	micrograms per liter
ORP	oxidation-reduction potential



LIST OF ACRONYMS (Concluded)

OTV	Optical Televiewer
PDT	project delivery team
RL	reporting limit
SOW	Statement of Work
TCE	trichloroethylene
ТСР	1,2,3-trichloropronane
TestAmerica	TestAmerica Laboratories, Inc.
U.S.	United States
USDA	U.S. Department of Agriculture
USFWS	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
VFW	Veterans of Foreign Wars
VOC	volatile organic compound
VSP	Vertical Seismic Profiling
WESTON®	Weston Solutions, Inc.
WSP	wire-line straddle packer

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This Borehole Hydrophysics and Geophysics Report (BHG Report) was prepared by Weston Solutions, Inc. (WESTON[®]) to document field activities and interpretations for the former LO-58 Nike Battery Launch Site (LO-58 Site) in Caribou, Maine, which is one of several Formerly Used Defense Sites in northern Aroostook County, Maine. This BHG Report has been prepared for the United States (U.S.) Army Corps of Engineers, New England District (CENAE) in accordance with the Statement of Work (SOW) issued by CENAE to WESTON on 28 March 2007 (CENAE, 2007). In accordance with the SOW, the investigation included on-site geological observations, borehole geophysical and hydrogeophysical logging, well rehabilitation and maintenance, temporary water services, well repair, groundwater sampling and sample management. WESTON's geologic, geophysical, and hydrophysical investigations at the LO-58 Site were conducted in May 2008. The purpose of the investigation was to gather site-specific geologic information using geologic, geophysical, and hydrophysical methods to update and expand the bedrock groundwater dataset. The geophysical investigations were performed on five bedrock monitoring wells (MW-01 through MW-05) and two drinking water wells; DW-1, the Adult Multiple Alternative Center Well, and DW-2, the Veterans of Foreign Wars Well at the LO-58 Site, and hydrophysical investigations were performed on two drinking water wells; DW-1 and DW-2.

The following conclusions can be made from the geological, geophysical, and hydrophysical data collected and interpreted by WESTON during the latest investigation at the LO-58 Site.

Bedrock Geology

- The LO-58 Site is located on the northwest face of a rock-cored drumlin, which was subject to glacial action approximately 12,000 years ago. Vertical seismic profiling did not identify acoustically-incompetent bedrock at the LO-58 Site. Thus, no significant areas of weathered bedrock were identified.
- Despite conflicting references, bedrock beneath the LO-58 Site is the Silurian Spragueville Formation which comprises interbedded pelite and limestone and/or dolostone rocks of Silurian age. The nearest contact with another geologic unit, the



Silurio-Ordivician Carys Mills Formation, is located approximately 900 feet (ft) northwest of the LO-58 Site.

- The Chapman Synclinorium was formed during the first deformational or compressional phase of the Acadian Orogeny which occurred during the lower to middle Devonian Period, and resulted in a major, single, and steeply dipping north-south cleavage in the bedrock.
- The bedrock bedding at the LO-58 Site strikes North 70° East and dips 12° East, as well as a foliation striking North 5° West and dipping 78° West, but varies significantly locally due to folding in two directions: the major folds are broad to tight with axes oriented North 30° East, parallel to the axis of the Chapman Synclinorium; the fold axes are also folded broadly on North 20° West axes.
- Three joint sets are present in the local bedrock: a near vertical set striking North 45° East and dipping 80° West which is associated with the Acadian Orogeny; another steeply-dipping set striking North 45° East and dipping 85° East, which is roughly perpendicular to the first; and a shallowly-dipping set of sheeting joints that is roughly parallel to the ground surface and bedding and decreases in frequency with depth. The near-vertical sets of joints, particularly the North 45° East and dipping 85° East set, are often filled with calcite. The upper 60 ft of bedrock have similar fracturing characteristics at drinking water well locations DW-1 and DW-2. However, the deeper bedrock (below approximately 70 ft) surrounding well DW-2 contains very few sheeting fractures and the aperture and water-bearing potential of the steeper fractures are not as significant, resulting in different fracturing characteristics which, by nature of its depth, do not appear in the bedrock surrounding well DW-1.
- Neither the available geologic literature nor the lack of local and regional observations of karst topography indicate that the limestone of the Spragueville Formation is subject to solution cavities.

Bedrock Hydrogeology

- The orientation, length, width, and interconnectedness of joints in the bedrock beneath the LO-58 Site exert significant control over both groundwater flow direction and contaminant distribution within groundwater.
- Bedrock groundwater elevations range from approximately 569 to 537 ft above mean sea level (amsl) on 30 April and 564 to 531 ft amsl on 21 May 2008.
- The hydrophysical logs for well DW-1 indicate that ambient horizontal flow occurs within the borehole. The volumetric flow rate observed in the DW-1 wellbore for the depth intervals 27.3 to 31.7, 34.6 to 35.0, 40.4 to 48.6, 49.0 to 50.2, and 52.7 to 53.6 ft below ground surface (bgs) are 0.085, 0.011, 0.14, 0.018, and 0.058 gallons



per minute (gpm), respectively. These flow rates equate to Darcy velocities or specific discharges of groundwater in the aquifer of 2.84, 3.91, 2.53, 2.28, and 9.56 ft per day, respectively.

- During production testing, seven inflow zones were identified from the well DW-1 hydrophysical logs at 27.3 to 31.7, 34.6 to 35.0, 37.4 to 38.4, 40.4 to 48.6, 49.0 to 50.2, 52.7 to 53.6, and 54.4 to 58.1 ft bgs with flow rates ranging of 0.207, 0.195, 0.745, 2.00, 0.416, 1.65, and 0.838 gpm, respectively. The logs indicate the interval 40.4 to 48.6 and 52.7 to 53.6 ft bgs dominated flow during pumping, producing 3.65 gpm or 60 percent of the total flow. The transmissivity calculations for well DW-1 indicate that the intervals 40.4 to 48.6 and 52.7 to 53.6 ft bgs exhibited the highest transmissivities of approximately 129 and 111 square feet per day (ft²/day), respectively.
- The hydrophysical logs for well DW-2 indicate ambient vertical flow occurring within the borehole. Formation water migrates downward within the fluid column beginning near the base of casing and at 31 ft.
- Four inflow zones were identified from the well DW-2 hydrophysical logs at 19.5 to 19.6, 30.4 to 31.6, 38.2 to 41.8, and 44.9 to 51.4 ft bgs at rates of 0.026, 0.297, 0.016, and 0.074 gpm, respectively. The combined inflow of 0.413 gpm of these four intervals is observed to migrate vertically downward through the borehole based on the migration of the center of mass of the area under the curve. Groundwater exits the borehole at depths of 96.4 to 97.0 and 189.5 to 191.0 ft bgs at rates of 0.370 and 0.185 gpm, respectively.
- In well DW-2, a fluid electrical conductivity anomaly was observed at the base of the borehole at 280 ft. This early increase in mass is not the result of ambient flow, as over the course of the ambient flow characterization, no additional groundwater entered the borehole at this depth. As such, this water-bearing interval is not considered to produce groundwater to the borehole under ambient conditions.
- During production testing, 11 inflow zones were identified from the DW-2 hydropysical logs, ranging in flow from 0.005 to 5.69 gpm, with the dominant inflow zone at 30.4 to 31.6 ft, producing 5.69 gpm or 90 percent of the total formation production rate. The transmissivity calculations for well DW-2 indicate that the interval 30.4 to 31.6 ft bgs exhibited the highest transmissivity of approximately 216 ft²/day.
- Based on the observations from the synoptic potentiometric head measurements, WESTON concludes that the dominant north-south fracturing present in bedrock creates strong north-south anisotropy in the groundwater flow. Further, at well DW-1, the shallower fractures do not appear to have any interconnectivity with fractures in other wells, while the deeper fracture intervals are interconnected with fractures that reach as far as monitoring wells MW-01, MW-03, and MW-05. Synoptic



potentiometric head measurements did not identify bedrock fracture interconnections beyond well DW-2.

- The fracture characteristics of the shallow bedrock surrounding the borehole at well DW-1, and the number of those water-bearing fractures that are high-angle fractures, results in an interconnected network of fractures around the well that have pressure-equilibrated outside the influence of the borehole. The weak differential potentiometric head that exists between the fractures results in primarily horizontal groundwater flow within the well.
- In well DW-2, the fracture characteristics of the shallow bedrock are similar to those surrounding the borehole at well DW-1. However, although there are high-angle fractures identified in the deeper bedrock surrounding well DW-2, their aperture and water-bearing potential are not as significant as those in the shallow bedrock, and the upper and lower portions of the well have substantially different potentiometric heads. The relatively strong differential potentiometric head that exists between the upper and middle fractures results in vertical groundwater flow from the middle fractures to the upper fractures within the well.
- In drinking water well DW-1, the peak toluene and gasoline-range organics (GRO) concentrations were in shallow bedrock fractures, the peak chlorinated solvent concentrations were in the middle bedrock fractures, and the peak diesel-range organics (DRO) concentrations were in the deepest bedrock fractures; this pattern of contamination indicates that the well may be impacted with hazardous substances from three separate sources at the LO-58 Site. Further, the gradual increase or decrease in contaminant concentrations at successive depth intervals demonstrates that the uppermost and deepest fractures may be interconnected, and that concentration gradients exist between them. However, the slight variations in contaminant concentrations and the relatively small number of measurement points were found to be inadequate to make statistically significant conclusions.
- The ratio of cis-1,2-dichloroethylene (cis-1,2-DCE) to trichloroethylene (TCE) was compared between shallower and deeper intervals of well DW-1 in order to assess possible greater TCE degradation and distance from the TCE source. The slight increase in cis-1,2-DCE to TCE ratios with depth were found to be statistically insignificant.
- A trace concentration of cis-1,2-DCE was detected in well DW-2. Based on the lack of concentration gradients between adjacent fractures, these results demonstrate the lack of interconnection between adjacent fractures in this well. Further, the trace detection of cis-1,2-DCE in the second deepest (187.9 to 192.2 ft bgs) depth interval, with no detectable TCE, supports two hypotheses:



- 1. There is a small, but nonetheless significant deep bedrock connection between wells DW-1 and DW-2, or a connection to a common source that is not detectable in the synoptic potentiometric head measurements.
- 2. The detection of cis-1,2-DCE but no TCE at substantial depth and distance from the presumed source area suggests that TCE degradation appears nearly complete.
- The overall bedrock groundwater horizontal potentiometric gradients at the LO-58 Site are north-northwesterly beneath the eastern portion of the Site, northerly beneath the northern portion of the Site, and northwesterly beneath the western portion of the Site, generally consistent with topography.

Bedrock Groundwater Quality

- The analytical results for drinking water well DW-1 were consistent with previous analytical results for this well. Laboratory analytical results from the wire-line straddle packer (WSP) sampling of drinking water well DW-1 indicate the presence of chloroform, cis-1,2-DCE, TCE, toluene, GRO, and DRO in one or more samples collected from DW-1, and generally have increasing or decreasing concentration trends with depth. None of the volatile organic compounds (VOC) were detected above their Maine Maximum Exposure Guidelines (Maine MEG) or the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Maximum Concentration Limits (MCL) for drinking water. However, GRO or DRO concentrations in five samples exceeded their 50 micrograms per liter (µg/L) Maine MEG.
- In well DW-1, the peak toluene and GRO concentrations are in shallow bedrock fractures, the peak chlorinated solvent concentrations are in the middle bedrock fractures, and the peak DRO concentrations are in deep bedrock fractures; this pattern of contamination indicates that the well may be impacted with hazardous substances from three separate and increasingly distant sources at the LO-58 Site.
- The analytical results for drinking water well DW-2 were generally consistent with previous analytical results, with one anomaly. Laboratory analytical results from the WSP sampling of drinking water well DW-2 indicate the presence of cis-1,2-DCE, toluene, and DRO in one or more samples collected. None of the VOCs were detected above their Maine MEGs or EPA MCLs for drinking water. However, GRO or DRO concentrations in five samples exceeded their applicable 50 µg/L Maine MEG. The one anomaly in the well DW-2 analytical results is the detection of a trace concentration of cis-1,2-DCE in the sample collected from the second deepest (187.9 to 192.2 ft bgs) depth interval. Chlorinated solvents have not previously been detected in samples collected from this well. However, the detection of cis-1,2-DCE is likely due to the focused nature of the sampling at the single fracture zone;



consistent with previous analytical results, subsequent sampling of the well did not detect cis-1,2-DCE, likely due to dilution by water from other fractures within the well borehole. Finally, the cis-1,2-DCE detection at the second deepest interval provides evidence of the extent of chlorinated solvent impacts to bedrock groundwater.

The geological, geophysical, and hydrophysical data collected as part of the LO-58 Site investigation has eliminated many of the data gaps regarding groundwater flow and the nature and extent of groundwater impacts from the LO-58 Site. As part of the next task required under the *SOW*, WESTON will update the Conceptual Site Model for the LO-58 Site.

SECTION 1

INTRODUCTION



1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 SCOPE OF WORK AND PURPOSE

This Borehole Hydrophysics and Geophysics Report (BHG Report) was prepared by Weston Solutions, Inc. (WESTON[®]) to document field activities and interpretations for the former LO-58 Nike Battery Launch Site (LO-58 Site) in Caribou, Maine, which is one of several Formerly Used Defense Sites (FUDS) in northern Aroostook County, Maine (Figure 1-1). This BHG Report has been prepared for the United States (U.S.) Army Corps of Engineers, New England District (CENAE) in accordance with the Statement of Work (SOW) issued by CENAE to WESTON on 28 March 2007 (CENAE, 2007). In accordance with the SOW, WESTON provided field logistical support to the borehole hydrogeophysics team, including providing all well rehabilitation and maintenance services, temporary water services, well repair, field sampling and sample management, and water to support hydrophysical logging (HPL). WESTON also procured a laboratory with a successful track record for providing staged electronic data deliverables required by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers FUDS guidance (CENAE, 2007). Finally, as called for in the SOW, electronic files containing raw and processed data collected during the geologic, geophysical, and hydrophysical investigation are included on a compact disk in Appendix A. This compact disk also includes an electronic copy of the BHG Report.

1.2 LIMITING CONDITIONS AND METHODOLOGY USED

This BHG Report was prepared according to the specifications provided in the *SOW*. As such; the BHG Report is a description of the activities and findings of the 2008 investigation at the LO-58 Site, to be used to assist the project delivery team (PDT) in planning, interpreting data, and communicating. The BHG Report provides additional geological, hydrogeological, and geophysical data that will be used to update the Conceptual Site Model (CSM) for the LO-58 Site. The target audience is the PDT (CENAE, 2007).



The information within the BHG Report was gathered primarily during the May 2008 field activities, supplemented as necessary by available documents at the Maine Geologic Survey (MGS) offices, and interviews and previous investigations conducted at the LO-58 Site. The information included in the BHG Report is limited by the quality of the previous investigations and the material which was available for review. In addition, current site conditions are based on the date of site investigation, the date of file reviews performed, and the information which was available for review. Site conditions noted in this report cannot be guaranteed to cover future activities or uses of the LO-58 Site.

1.3 REPORT ORGANIZATION

This BHG Report describes the activities and findings of the 2008 investigation at the LO-58 Site. Section 2 of the BHG Report summarizes the activities and results of the geological, geophysical, and hydrophysical investigations conducted by WESTON in 2008 to fulfill the requirements of the *SOW*. Section 3 of the BHG Report presents the conclusions of the WESTON 2008 LO-58 Site investigation. Section 4 of the BHG Report presents recommendations for further work to address hydrogeological data gaps that remain for the LO-58 Site following the 2008 WESTON investigation. Section 5 of the BHG Report includes the references cited to support the BHG Report for the LO-58 Site.

SECTION 2

LO-58 SITE GEOLOGIC, GEOPHYSICAL, AND HYDROPHYSICAL INVESTIGATIONS AND RESULTS



2. LO-58 SITE GEOLOGIC, GEOPHYSICAL, AND HYDROPHYSICAL INVESTIGATIONS AND RESULTS

WESTON's geologic, geophysical, and hydrophysical investigations at the LO-58 Site were conducted in May 2008. The purpose of the investigation was to gather site-specific geologic information using geologic, geophysical, and hydrophysical methods to update and expand the bedrock groundwater dataset. The investigations were directed by Mr. Joseph Schmidl, a State of Maine Certified Geologist (C.G.) (Certificate Number GE436). The investigations relied heavily on the work of WESTON's subcontractor COLOG, a division of Layne Christensen Company (COLOG), which summarized the results of the geophysical and hydrophysical investigations in the *HydroPhysicsTM and Geophysical Logging Results*, which is included in Appendix B (COLOG, 2009).

2.1 LO-58 SITE GEOLOGIC, GEOPHYSICAL, AND HYDROPHYSICAL INVESTIGATIONS

2.1.1 Site Description

The LO-58 Site is a 17-acre parcel of land located at 253 Van Buren Road (Route 1) in Caribou, Aroostook County, Maine (Figure 2-1). The LO-58 Site is owned by the Lister-Knowlton Post #9389 Veterans of Foreign Wars (VFW) and is identified by the City of Caribou Assessor's Office as Map 14, Lot 50 (WESTON, 2007a). The entrance to the LO-58 Site from Van Buren Road is located at latitude 46° 52′ 55″ North and longitude 68° 0′ 38″ West (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 2008). The magnetic declination at Caribou, Maine is 18° 12′ West; however, for the purposes of this report, geophysical data based on compass readings were adjusted by 20° West (National Geophysical Data Center, 2009).

The property was acquired from the Town of Caribou in 1955 by the U.S. Government for the construction of a Nike missile launching facility. The LO-58 Site was deactivated by the Department of Defense in 1966 and following its decommissioning as a military facility in 1969, the LO-58 Site was conveyed to the City of Caribou and used for storage of municipal property. In 1970, the property was purchased by the current owner, the Lister-Knowlton VFW Post #9389 (WESTON 2007a). Several components of the former launch site have since been

deconstructed, including the subsurface portion of the Former Launcher Area, which was closed by filling with soil in 1994, and the aboveground portion of the Former Warhead Building was demolished in spring 2007, leaving only the concrete building pad in place.

The LO-58 Site is provided drinking water from two separate bedrock water supply wells. The VFW Building, which is the former barracks building, is provided potable drinking water from a 6-inch-diameter, 284-foot (ft) deep bedrock well, in which the pump is set at 62.5 ft below ground surface (bgs). The well is designated DW-2 and is located approximately 100 ft west of the building in the parking area. The well is situated in a 4-ft by 4-ft concrete vault beneath the parking area and access to the wellhead is acquired through a manhole (WESTON, 2007a). A chlorine-based water-softening and bacterial treatment system has been installed on the water supply to address hardness and elevated bacteria levels which have been reported in the water supply; no other treatment system has been part of this system. The treatment system is located in a utility room located in the eastern corner of the building (WESTON, 2009).

The Adult Multiple Alternative Center (AMAC) facility, which is located in the former Generator Building, is provided potable drinking water from a 6-inch-diameter, 58-ft deep bedrock water supply well designated DW-1, in which the pump is set at 50 ft bgs. Well DW-1 was installed in 1994 and is located approximately 25 ft east of the building. Prior to that date, potable water for the building came from well DW-2. The well was installed following a break in the water line which could not be repaired between the VFW and AMAC buildings. A point-of-entry activated carbon water filtration system was installed and is monitored by the Maine Department of Environmental Protection (MDEP) to remove any contaminants which are present in the well DW-1 water supply. Historically, concentrations of trichloroethylene (TCE) in drinking water from the well have exceeded the applicable MDEP action level of 2.5 micrograms per liter (μ g/L) [Bureau of Health (BOH), 2000; WESTON, 2007a].

WESTON installed five bedrock groundwater monitoring wells (MW-01 through MW-05) in October 2000. The bedrock monitoring well installations were performed using air-hammer drilling techniques. The wells were installed at the site to evaluate the nature and extent of groundwater contamination at the site, as well as to determine the direction of groundwater flow in the local bedrock water-bearing zone (WESTON, 2007b). Table 2-1 summarizes the

construction details for the two drinking water wells and the five bedrock monitoring wells at the LO-58 Site.

2.1.2 Geologic Investigation

The geologic investigation included background research among available geologic references, observation, and characterization of exposed bedrock at the LO-58 Site; measurement of bedrock features, including bedding planes, fold axes, and fractures; and the measurement of water levels in five bedrock monitoring wells and two bedrock drinking water wells during geophysical and hydrophysical investigations. The geologic investigation was conducted by Mr. Joseph Schmidl, C.G. The results of the geological investigation are presented in Subsection 2.2 of this BHG Report.

2.1.3 Geophysical and Hydrophysical Investigations

The geophysical and hydrophysical investigations were performed on the five bedrock monitoring wells (MW-01 through MW-05) and the two drinking water wells (DW-1 the AMAC Well, and DW-2 the VFW Well) at the LO-58 Site. Table 2-1 summarizes the construction details for these wells. In advance of the geophysical and hydrophysical investigations, pressure transducers were installed in each of the seven on-site bedrock wells. The synoptic potentiometric head measurement data from the pressure transducers were used to identify potential hydraulic interconnections between wells. The results of this investigation are summarized in Subsection 2.2.4.3. In addition, WESTON measured synoptic water levels in the seven bedrock wells at the beginning and end of the geophysical and hydrophysical investigations. Table 2-2 summarizes the bedrock groundwater potentiometric elevation data obtained, which is further discussed in Subsection 2.2.4.1.



2.1.3.1 Geophysical Investigation

The geophysical investigation included downhole geophysical logging of five bedrock monitoring wells and two bedrock drinking water wells at the LO-58 Site. The geophysical logging included the ten techniques listed in Table 1 of the *SOW* (CENAE, 2007):

- Natural Gamma Logs.
- Three-Arm Caliper Logs.
- Fluid Electrical Conductivity (FEC) Logs (temperature, dissolved oxygen (DO), specific conductance, SHE-corrected oxidation-reduction potential (ORP), hydrogen ion concentration).
- 8-, 16-, 32-, and 64-inch Normal Resistivity Logs.
- Single Point Resistance/Spontaneous Potential/Current Logs.
- Induction Logs.
- Vertical Seismic Profiling (VSP).
- Acoustic Televiewer Logs.
- Optical Televiewer (OTV) Logs.
- Full Wave Form Sonic Logs.

The geophysical investigation was conducted by Mr. Greg Bauer of COLOG, with the assistance of COLOG's subcontractor Mr. Mark Blackey of Geophysical Applications, Inc., who performed the VSP task. The results of the geophysical investigation were presented in the *HydroPhysicsTM and Geophysical Logging Results* (COLOG, 2009), which is included in Appendix B. The pertinent results have been incorporated into Subsection 2.2 of this BHG Report.

2.1.3.2 Hydrophysical Investigation

The hydrophysical investigation included HPL of two bedrock drinking water wells at the LO-58 Site. The HPL included ambient flow characterization, pumping flow characterization, and wire-line straddle packer (WSP) testing techniques listed in Table 1 of the *SOW*. The hydrophysical investigation was conducted by Mr. Greg Bauer of COLOG (COLOG, 2009). The results of the hydrophysical investigation were presented in the *HydroPhysicsTM* and



Geophysical Logging Results (COLOG, 2009), which is included in Appendix B. The pertinent results have been incorporated into Subsection 2.2 of this BHG Report.

In order to conduct the geophysical and hydrophysical investigations in drinking water wells DW-1 and DW-2, the pumps and associated piping were removed from the wells, and the AMAC and VFW Buildings were supplied with temporary potable water supplies. WESTON collected drinking water samples before (8 May), during (15 May), and following (21 May) the use of each temporary water supply to ensure that the temporary supply for each building met MDEP drinking water standards. The water samples were submitted to TestAmerica Laboratories, Inc. (TestAmerica) and Analytics Analytical Laboratories, LLC (Analytics) for analysis for volatile organic compounds (VOC) by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Method 524.2 (Analytics, 2008a; 2008b; TestAmerica, 2008a). Table 2-3 summarizes the results of these analyses, and shows that the trace VOCs detected in the temporary potable water supplies were within Maine Maximum Exposure Guidelines (Maine MEG) and EPA Maximum Contaminant Limit (MCL) standards (BOH, 2000; EPA, 2003).

However, as noted in an 11 June 2008 letter from CENAE to the VFW, samples collected on 8 May from the supplied water before it went through the VFW system contained bromodichloromethane, bromoform, dibromochloromethane, compounds which are formed as by-products of drinking water chlorination. The Caribou Utilities District treats its water with sodium hypochlorite for disinfection. The sample of supplied water collected after going through the VFW system contained TCE and dibromochloromethane. The difference in concentration of the compound dibromochloroemethane from 1.3 μ g/L to 3.1 μ g/L in the samples from the supplied water before and after the VFW system, respectively, indicated that the chlorination byproduct concentration was increasing.

Since the VFW system includes a chlorination system and the alternate water supply provided is also chlorinated, the result was over-chlorination of the drinking water. As a result of the above, the VFW chlorination system was taken off-line and the system flushed to reduce concentrations of compounds resulting from the over chlorination. The chlorination system remained off-line while the alternate water supply was being used. Samples were collected again on 15 May after the system was flushed and indicated the presence of the following chlorination byproduct



compounds: dibromochloromethane, bromodichloromethane, and bromoform. The concentrations were all below the more conservative of the MCL and Maine MEGs. Tetrachloroethene was not detected in the sample collected on 15 May.

A final sample was collected on 22 May once the VFW was taken off the alternate water supply and reconnected to its own well and before restarting the chlorination system. Toluene was the only compound detected at a concentration of 1.0 μ g/L, below the EPA MCL of 1,000 μ g/L. Toluene has not been detected in the well previously and may be a laboratory contaminant. The VOC concentrations will continue to be monitored as part of the existing drinking water monitoring program (CENAE, 2008).

In addition, the HPL of drinking water wells DW-1 and DW-2 required the well boreholes to be flushed with deionized water generated on-site from the potable water source; this water was similarly tested before its use to ensure that no contamination was introduced to the drinking water wells. The water samples were submitted to TestAmerica and Analytics for VOC analysis by EPA Method 524.2; the initial sample, collected on 5 May 2008, was also analyzed for 1,2-ethylene dibromide (EDB), 1,2-dibromo-3-chloropropane (DBCP), and 1,2,3-trichloropronane (TCP) by EPA Method 504.1; further analysis by EPA Method 504.1 was not performed because of the favorable initial results (Analytics, 2008a; 2008c; 2008d; 2008e; 2008f). During initial testing of the deionized water and before the water was introduced to either drinking water well, it was discovered that the deionization process was introducing tetrahydrofuran at concentrations greater than the Maine MEG of 70 µg/L (There is no EPA MCL for tetrahydrofuran.) (BOH, 2000; EPA, 2003). Through iterative sampling, the source of the contamination was traced to a newly-recharged deionized water filtration unit, and the problem was eliminated with the replacement of the filtration unit. The replacement filtration unit initially contained chloroform above the Maine MEG but below the EPA MCL, but this condition quickly passed following continued flushing, and did not recur, as documented by subsequent samples (BOH, 2000; EPA, 2003). Table 2-4 summarizes the water analytical results for the deionized water and associated samples of influent water, and shows that the trace VOCs detected in the deionized water were below Maine MEGs and EPA MCLs (BOH, 2000; EPA, 2003).



2.1.3.3 Wire-Line Straddle Packer Testing/Groundwater Sampling

WESTON performed WSP sampling at both of the drinking water wells in May 2008 as part of the geophysics program designed to expand site characterization. WESTON retained the services of COLOG to perform WSP sampling on the two drinking water wells DW-1 and DW-2. Based on the results of the HPL investigation described in Subsection 2.1.3.2, the highest-producing zones in each well were targeted for WSP testing, with the objective of distributing sampling points along the entire length of the borehole to the extent possible. The zones targeted for WSP testing were first isolated and sampled utilizing low-flow methodology for VOCs, gasoline-range organics (GRO), and diesel-range organics (DRO). The groundwater parameters measured to confirm equilibrium conditions were achieved during low-flow sampling are summarized in Table 2-5, and the <u>Groundwater Sampling Logs</u> and equipment calibration records for these sampling activities are included in Appendix C. After collecting the samples, each zone was tested for transmissivity and hydraulic conductivity.

The same WSP (including the integral pump) was used to sample drinking water well DW-2 and well DW-1. Drinking water well DW-2 was sampled first, from the shallowest to the deepest interval, as it was expected to be the least contaminated, having historically lower groundwater contaminant concentrations and being farther from the known sources of contamination. The WSP was not decontaminated between sample intervals, as the WSP was lowered through the shallower intervals to reach the deeper intervals, and thus, could not be protected from cross-contamination between intervals. Following sampling the six depth intervals of well DW-2, the WSP (including the integral pump) was decontaminated before use in drinking water well DW-1. Equipment rinsate blank sample RB-051808-01 was collected from the WSP following its decontamination. The detection of DRO in the equipment rinsate sample indicates that the equipment decontamination was not entirely successful. Following decontamination of the WSP, drinking water well DW-1 was sampled, this time from the deepest to the shallowest interval. The detection of DRO in the first depth interval of well DW-1 sampled at a concentration three times that detected in the equipment rinsate blank suggests that, although the decontamination of the WSP was not entire successful, it was not likely to result in false positive results of this concentration level in the samples collected from well DW-1.

The groundwater samples were submitted to TestAmerica and Analytics for analysis for VOCs by EPA Method 524.2, EDB, DBCP, and TCP by EPA Method 504.1, GRO by the Maine Health and Environmental Testing Laboratory (Maine HETL) Method 4.1.17 and DRO by Maine HETL Method 4.1.25 (Analytics, 2008g; TestAmerica, 2008b). The analytical results were validated according to EPA Region 1 functional guidelines and were found to be useable, as qualified. Table 2-6 lists the zones targeted in each well and the laboratory analytical results from the WSP sampling, and the data validation records are included in Appendix D.

The analytical results for drinking water well DW-1 were consistent with previous analytical results for this well, when the difference between previous point-of-entry sampling and 2008 WSP sampling methodologies are accounted for. Laboratory analytical results from the WSP sampling of drinking water well DW-1 indicate the presence of chloroform, cis-1,2-dichloroethylene (cis-1,2-DCE), TCE, toluene, GRO, and DRO in one or more samples collected from well DW-1, as shown in Table 2-6 and discussed further in subsequent sections. None of the VOCs were detected above their applicable or EPA MCLs for drinking water (BOH, 2000; EPA, 2003). However, GRO or DRO concentrations in three samples exceeded their 50 µg/L Maine MEG. The groundwater quality implications of these data are further discussed in Subsection 2.2.5.

The analytical results for drinking water well DW-2 were generally consistent with previous analytical results, when the difference between previous point-of-entry sampling and 2008 WSP sampling methodologies are accounted for. Laboratory analytical results from the WSP sampling of drinking water well DW-2 indicate the presence of cis-1,2-DCE, toluene, and DRO in one or more samples collected from DW-2; chlorinated solvents had not previously been detected in samples collected from this well. None of the VOCs were detected above their applicable Maine MEGs or EPA MCLs for drinking water (BOH, 2000; EPA, 2003). However, DRO concentrations in two samples, the shallowest and deepest intervals, exceeded their applicable 50 µg/L Maine MEG (There is no EPA MCL for DRO.) (BOH, 2000; EPA, 2003). The groundwater quality implications of these data are further discussed in Subsection 2.2.5.



2.1.3.4 Data Quality Objectives

The data quality objectives (DQO) for the investigation were described in Sections 3 and 5 of the June 2007 *Final Management Work Plan, Borehole Hydrogeophysics and Conceptual Site Model Development at the Former Nike Battery LO-58 and Well Investigation at the Former Loring Air Force Base Communications Annex, Formerly Used Defense Sites Caribou and Perham, Maine* (WESTON, 2007a). The DQOs for laboratory reporting limits (RL) were included in Table 5-1 of the *Final Management Work Plan.* In general, the laboratory RLs met the DQOs, with the notable exception of the vinyl chloride RLs for samples analyzed by EPA Method 524.2 in Report Numbers 61245 (RL equals 10 μ g/L), 61287 (RL equals 2 μ g/L), 61307 (RL equals 2 μ g/L), 61408 (RL equals 0.5 μ g/L), 125508 (RL equals 0.5 μ g/L), and 125630 (RL equals 0.5 μ g/L) (Analytics, 2008b; 2008c; 2008e; TestAmerica, 2008a, 2008b).

The analytical results were validated according to EPA *Region 1 Data Validation Functional Guidelines* and were found to be useable, as qualified (EPA, 1996). The validated analytical results, including the <u>Data Review Checklist</u> for each data report, are included on Appendix D. The data validator identified a relatively small number of issues among the analytical results, which led to the following data qualifications:

- The concentrations and detection limits for methylene chloride in samples analyzed by EPA Method 524.2 from Report Number 61245 were estimated, because calibration quality control criteria were not met.
- The result for tetrahydrofuran in sample COLOGDI-050808 analyzed by EPA Method 524.2 from Report Number 61276 was estimated, as the result was above the calibration range.
- The positive results below the action level for DRO in samples analyzed by Maine HETL Method 4.1.25 from Report Number 61399 were estimated, because of detection of DRO in rinsate blank sample RB-051808-01. Professional judgment was used in not qualifying the results as not detected.
- The result for toluene in samples LS58DW1-0508-051, LS58DW2-0508-189, and LS58DW2-0508-265 analyzed by EPA Method 524.2 from Report Number 125630 was qualified as not detected, because of the detection of toluene in rinsate blank sample RB-051808-01.

Of the identified issues, only the possible DRO and toluene cross-contamination potentially impact the interpretation of the groundwater analytical results. For the purposes of this BHG Report, WESTON has considered the qualified results as usable and has interpreted the results accordingly.

2.1.3.5 Well Repair Activities

During the reinstallation of the pumps in the drinking water wells following the geophysical and hydrophysical investigations, repairs were made to the pumps and ancillary equipment. For drinking water well DW-1, the repairs were limited to the replacement of a centralizer which was found to be broken when removed from the well. For drinking water well DW-2, the repairs included the replacement of a plastic fitting which was worn by use, the installation of a pitless adapter, and the extension of the well casing by approximately 4 ft in order to meet current installation standards. The repairs were performed by WESTON's subcontractor St. Pierre and Sons, a Maine-licensed plumber.

2.1.3.6 Investigation-Derived Waste

Investigation-derived waste generated during the geophysical and hydrophysical investigations included purge water from the two drinking water wells, detergent-water solutions and rinse water used for equipment decontamination, and non-hazardous solid waste, such as used personal protective equipment, plastic tubing, and cardboard boxes. In accordance with industry standards, purge water was discharged to the ground surface in the vicinity of the well from which it was purged (since its infiltration does not adversely impact the formation from which it came), decontamination fluids were discharged to the ground surface, and non-hazardous solid waste was double-bagged and disposed of with municipal solid waste.

2.2 LO-58 SITE GEOLOGIC, GEOPHYSICAL, AND HYDROPHYSICAL RESULTS

2.2.1 Topography

Consistent with the typical location of Nike missile batteries, the LO-58 Site is located on a topographic high, east of Van Buren Road (see Figures 1-1 and 2-1). The LO-58 Site is located



on the northwest face of a rock-cored drumlin. Elevations at the LO-58 Site vary by approximately 60 ft, from approximately 540 ft above mean sea level (amsl) at the former Barracks Building which is located at the bottom of the hill near Van Buren Road, to approximately 600 ft amsl at the former Launcher Area which is situated at the topographic high for the property (WESTON, 2007a).

2.2.2 Soil and Overburden Geology

2.2.2.1 Soil Description

Based on the *Aroostook County Soil Survey, Northeastern Part* [U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA), 2008a], soils at the LO-58 Site are primarily mapped as Caribou gravelly loam, with slopes varying from 0 to 15 percent. Caribou soils are well drained soils formed on loamy till plains and ridges and have moderate permeability (USDA, 2008b).

2.2.2.2 Overburden Geology

Based on the *Surficial Geologic Map of Maine* (MGS, 1985a), overburden underlying the property is primarily glacial till consisting of a heterogeneous mix of sand, silt, clay, and stones, with local occurrences of boulders and was deposited directly by glacial ice. The till is generally massive, but may contain beds and lenses of variably washed and stratified sediments. Subsurface investigations performed at the LO-58 Site have generally confirmed these mapped subsurface conditions, although no inclusions of washed or stratified sediments have been noted. Site-specific observations document that overburden thickness at the LO-58 Site varies depending on location and ranges from 0 ft bgs at the former Launcher Area to approximately 16 ft bgs near the former Test Building. Bedrock outcrops are present along the southern edge of the former Launcher Area (WESTON, 2007a). Figure 2-2 presents an isopach map of overburden thickness at the LO-58 Site.

Based on the results of previous environmental investigations, the contaminants of potential concern (COPC) attributable to releases from the LO-58 Site are VOCs associated with fuel and chlorinated solvents associated with missile maintenance (WESTON, 2000). The VOCs associated with fuel include benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, xylene, naphthalene, and



trimethylbenzenes. Based on historical information, the primary chlorinated solvent associated with missile maintenance is TCE, which has also been detected in on-site soils, although the concentrations of TCE detected were sufficiently low that a clear soil source of TCE has not been identified (WESTON, 2001). Tetrachloroethylene, commonly present in trace concentrations in solvent-grade TCE, as well as cis-1,2-DCE and chloromethane, breakdown products of TCE, are also COPCs for the LO-58 Site, due to their detection in on-site soils (WESTON, 2000).

The COPCs associated with fuel have been detected in soil and soil vapor samples associated with former underground storage tanks located near the Former Missile Assembly and Test Building, as well as soils in the vicinity of the Former Launcher Area and Former Fueling Platforms, and south-southeast of the AMAC Building at the LO-58 Site (WESTON, 2000). The COPCs associated with missile maintenance (specifically, TCE) have been detected at soil sample locations SB-13 which is northwest and downslope of the Former Launcher Area, and SB-34 which is immediately southwest of the AMAC Building. In addition, TCE was detected in soil vapor samples associated with soils in the vicinity of the Former Launcher Area and south-southeast of the AMAC Building at the LO-58 Site (WESTON, 2000).

The COPCs attributable to releases from the LO-58 Site are both volatile and susceptible to both aerobic and anaerobic degradation with the degradation rates of the chlorinated solvents substantially lower than those of the fuel-related VOCs. Further, the solubility of the COPCs in water is relatively low. Due to these characteristics, mobility of the COPCs is moderate, and the attenuation factors for the COPCs at the LO-58 Site are moderate to high. In the context of the BHG Report, the presence of dissolved VOCs in groundwater at the LO-58 Site comprises evidence of a release to the environment from the previously mentioned soil source(s), and the groundwater analytical data serve to quantify the extent of the release.

2.2.2.3 Overburden Hydrogeology

Subsurface investigations into overburden groundwater at the LO-58 Site have indicated that there is little or no saturated thickness in the overburden (WESTON, 2007b). WESTON concludes that surface water that infiltrates the overburden percolates downward until coming in contact with the bedrock surface. At the bedrock surface, groundwater flows along the surface of



the bedrock beneath the LO-58 Site until reaching a point of infiltration, such as a fracture or a weathered bedrock zone (WESTON, 2007b).

2.2.3 Bedrock Geology

As noted above, the depth to bedrock at the LO-58 Site varies depending on location ranging from 0 ft bgs at the former Launcher Area to approximately 16 ft bgs near the former Test Building (WESTON, 2007b). Figure 2-3 presents a contour map of bedrock elevations at the LO-58 Site. Observation of the bedrock surface can be made in the vicinity of the former Launcher Area, and previous soil boring records indicate that there is little or no weathered bedrock at the overburden-bedrock interface. This is consistent with the geologic history of the LO-58 Site which indicates that any weathered bedrock at the site would have been eroded during the final Wisconsin glacial advance, and that there has been insufficient time for appreciable bedrock weathering during the subsequent 12,000 years. This condition is to be expected on the northwest face of a rock-cored drumlin which was subject to glacial action approximately 12,000 years ago. Vertical seismic profiling did not identify acoustically-incompetent bedrock at the LO-58 Site (COLOG, 2009). No rock quality designation data are available for any of the bedrock wells at the LO-58 Site. Finally, a notable linear depression in the bedrock surface is present between locations SB-22 and SB-43 which may be indicative of a fracture zone.

2.2.3.1 Lithology

Based on the 1:500,000-scale *Bedrock Geologic Map of Maine*, bedrock underlying the property is mapped as the Siluro-Ordivician Carys Mills Formation, and the datalayer used to generate Figure 1 of the *SOW* used this reference (MGS, 1985b). However, based on the 1:62,500-scale *Geologic Map of the Caribou and Northern Presque Isle Quadrangles, Maine*, bedrock beneath the LO-58 Site is mapped as the Silurian Spragueville Formation (MGS, 1985c). To reconcile the conflicting references, WESTON relies on the smaller-scale map which not only provides greater control but used more recent data from outcrops at the LO-58 Site to determine the contact boundary between the Spragueville and Carys Mill Formations; thus, WESTON concludes the bedrock beneath the LO-58 Site is part of the Spragueville Formation, as depicted in Figure 2-4.

The Spragueville Formation comprises interbedded pelite and limestone and/or dolostone rocks of Silurian age (MGS, 1985b). This formation is weakly metamorphosed and contains local occurrences of prehnite and pumpellyite. The Spragueville Formation contains distinctive, rounded nodules resulting from bioturbation (Lopez, 2003). The Spragueville Formation is interpreted as submarine fan sediments that are closely related to the older Carys Mills Formation (Lopez, 2003).

Observations of bedrock in outcrops at the LO-58 Site confirm that the local bedrock is gray, "nubbly", interbedded, weakly metamorphosed mudstone and limestone. The bedding surfaces are clearly visible in the rock, both in outcrops and in OTV logs of boreholes, and contain the "nubbly" bioturbation features associated with the Spragueville Formation (Lopez, 2003). Consistent with the available information regarding the thickness and extent of the Spragueville Formation, no geologic contacts were encountered on or beneath the LO-58 Site. The nearest contact with another geologic unit, the Silurio-Ordivician Carys Mills Formation, is located approximately 900 ft northwest of the LO-58 Site (MGS, 1985c).

2.2.3.2 Bedrock Fabric

Based on the *Geologic Map of the Caribou and Northern Presque Isle Quadrangles, Maine* and other geologic references (MGS, 1985b; 1985c; Lopez, 2003), bedrock underlying the property is located on the east limb of the Chapman Synclinorium. The axis of the synclinorium trends north-northeast and dips to north. The Chapman Synclinorium was formed during the first deformational or compressional phase of the Acadian Orogeny, which occurred during the lower to middle Devonian Period, and resulted in a major, single, and steeply dipping north-south cleavage in the bedrock (Lopez, 2003).

The *Geologic Map of the Caribou and Northern Presque Isle Quadrangles, Maine* identifies the bedrock bedding at the LO-58 Site as striking North 70° East and dipping 12° East as well as a foliation striking North 5° West and dipping 78° West (MGS, 1985c). Site-specific observations, from both bedrock outcrops and OTV logs, indicate that the local bedrock is folded in two directions: the major folds are broad to tight with axes oriented North 30° East, parallel to the axis of the Chapman Synclinorium; the fold axes are also folded broadly on North 20° West axes. Three joint sets are present in the local bedrock: a near vertical set striking North 45° East and

dipping 80° West, which is associated with the Acadian Orogeny; another steeply-dipping set striking North 45° East and dipping 85° East, which is roughly perpendicular to the first; and a shallowly-dipping set of sheeting joints that is roughly parallel to the ground surface and bedding and decreases in frequency with depth (Billings, 1972; COLOG, 2009). The near-vertical sets of joints, particularly the North 45° East and dipping 85° East set, are often filled with calcite.

The planar features in bedrock that are intercepted by drinking water wells DW-1 and DW-2 were measured by COLOG and plotted as tadpoles on the geophysical logs as well as plotted onto Schmidt stereonets. Figure 2-5 presents a stereonet plot of bedding planes and measured joints. The stereonet plots for well DW-1 show two clusters of data; one for the low-angle features (near-horizontal joints and bedding) which has about 90° of variability from North 45° West to North 45° East, dipping West, and a second pair of steeply dipping features (near-vertical joints) which are further grouped in two clusters, one at North 25° West and a smaller cluster at North 65° West, both dipping East. The feature ranks (ranked from 0 for fractures with minimum flow capacity to 5 for fractures with maximum flow capacity) indicate that both the low angle and steeply-dipping features contain members where significant flow is present (COLOG, 2009). The stereonet plots for well DW-2 are appropriately more complicated, inasmuch as they represent a greater length of bedrock borehole data. The primary data cluster for well DW-2 is centered on steeply-dipping features (near-vertical joints) oriented North 45° East and dipping East which has approximately 45° of lateral spread. The feature rank plot reveals that there are a small number of features which do not appear on the contour plot due to low frequency. Within these data are a set of steeply-dipping features (North 45° West to North 45° East, with a slight concentration around North 45° East, dipping West); there are relatively few low-angle features in this dataset (COLOG, 2009).

The upper 60 ft of bedrock have similar fracturing characteristics at drinking water well locations DW-1 and DW-2. However, the deeper bedrock (below approximately 70 ft) surrounding well DW-2 contains very few sheeting fractures and the aperture and water-bearing potential of the steeper fractures are not as significant, resulting in different fracturing characteristics which, by nature of its depth, do not appear in the bedrock surrounding well DW-1.

Further, as noted in Subsection 2.2.3, Figure 2-3 indicates a linear depression in the bedrock surface is present between locations SB-22 and SB-43 which may be indicative of a fracture zone. The orientation of the linear depression is approximately North 70° West, which is nearly parallel with the North 65° West cluster of joints noted in the geophysical log of well DW-1, supports the hypothesis that the feature is a fracture zone.

2.2.4 Bedrock Hydrogeology

As noted in Subsection 2.2.3, there are no significant deposits of weathered bedrock at the LO-58 Site, and overburden groundwater is assumed to discharge directly from the glacial till overburden to competent bedrock. Groundwater flow through bedrock at the LO-58 Site is solely via fracture flow; the mudstone and limestone beneath the site are not reported to have any primary porosity. In addition, although solution cavities are common in certain limestone deposits, neither the available geologic literature nor the lack of local and regional observations of karst topography indicate that the limestone of the Spragueville Formation is subject to solution cavities (MGS, 1985b). Thus, it may be concluded that the orientation, length, width, and interconnectedness of joints in the bedrock beneath the LO-58 Site exert significant control over both groundwater flow direction and contaminant distribution within groundwater (Freeze & Cherry, 1979).

2.2.4.1 Depth to Bedrock Groundwater

Figure 2-6 depicts the bedrock groundwater elevation contours for May 2008. Bedrock groundwater depths were measured in each of the five monitoring wells at the LO-58 Site on 30 April 2008, upon installation of the pressure transducers, and on 21 May 2008, upon the retrieval of the same. Bedrock groundwater depths were measured in drinking water wells DW-02 and DW-01 at the LO-58 Site on 5 and 6 May 2008, respectively, upon installation of the pressure transducers, and on 21 May 2008, upon the retrieval of the same. The first groundwater depths for the drinking water wells were measured shortly following their shut down and the removal of their pumps and associated piping and are not considered to represent equilibrium conditions; thus, only the 21 May 2008 groundwater depth data are considered usable for the calculation of groundwater flow directions (see Subsections 2.2.4.4 and 2.2.4.5). Table 2-2



summarizes the groundwater depth data and groundwater elevation calculations; note that the elevation measurements for the drinking water wells are estimated from available maps and are not the result of a precise survey, and the groundwater elevations calculated for those wells are considered approximate. Rainfall was very limited between 30 April and 21 May 2008, which is reflected in the roughly 10 ft drop in water levels across the LO-58 Site during this period. Bedrock groundwater elevations range from approximately 569 to 537 ft amsl on 30 April and 564 to 531 ft amsl on 21 May 2008. Figure 2-6 depicts the groundwater elevations measured on 21 May 2008.

2.2.4.2 Bedrock Groundwater Flow Velocity and Transmissivity

The hydrophysical logs at ambient conditions for drinking water wells DW-1 and DW-2 provide the data required to calculate volumetric flow rates and specific discharge rates for the bedrock fractures examined. The hydrophysical logs under production conditions for drinking water wells DW-1 and DW-2 provide the data required to calculate interval-specific inflow rates. Finally, an estimation of transmissivity of the fractures at each well can be made using an equation after Hvorslev, assuming steady-state radial flow in an unconfined aquifer. By applying the HPL results under the two pressure conditions (ambient and production conditions), the interval specific transmissivity can be calculated for each identified water producing interval. The specific calculations, formulae, and references for the methodology are included in the *HydroPhysicsTM and Geophysical Logging Results*, which is included as Appendix B to this BHG Report (COLOG, 2009).

Beyond the measurements and calculations performed within drinking water wells DW-1 and DW-2, WESTON has obtained precipitation records from the Caribou Airport for the period that the pressure transducers were in place, and notes that precipitation of greater than 0.5-inches occurred on 8 and 20 May 2009. WESTON has summarized the precipitation records in a table in Appendix E. Comparison of these records to the pressure transducer data summaries also included in Appendix E indicate that there appears to have been a fairly rapid (approximately 6 hours) response in wells DW-1 and DW-2 to the rainfall event on 8 May, where a slight increase in potentiometric elevation was noted. However, a similar response was not noted to the 20 May rainfall event, in part due to interference by pumping activities at well DW-1.
The relatively rapid response is consistent with the relatively thin overburden deposits at the LO-58 Site.

AMAC Well (DW-1)

The hydrophysical logs for well DW-1 illustrate significant change at several intervals throughout the length of the borehole. These dramatic changes in the FEC profiles with respect to time are associated with ambient horizontal flow occurring within the borehole. Numerical modeling of the reported field data suggests the volumetric flow rate observed in the wellbore for the depth intervals 27.3 to 31.7, 34.6 to 35.0, 40.4 to 48.6, 49.0 to 50.2, and 52.7 to 53.6 ft bgs are 0.085, 0.011, 0.14, 0.018, and 0.058 gallons per minute (gpm), respectively. Correcting for convergence of flow at the wellbore and factoring the length of the interval, these flow rates equate to Darcy velocities or specific discharges of groundwater in the aquifer of 2.84, 3.91, 2.53, 2.28, and 9.56 feet per day (ft/day), respectively (COLOG, 2009).

During production testing, seven inflow zones were identified from the well DW-1 hydrophysical logs, at 27.3 to 31.7, 34.6 to 35.0, 37.4 to 38.4, 40.4 to 48.6, 49.0 to 50.2, 52.7 to 53.6, and 54.4 to 58.1 ft bgs with flow rates ranging of 0.207, 0.195, 0.745, 2.00, 0.416, 1.65, and 0.838 gpm, respectively. The logs indicate the interval 40.4 to 48.6 and 52.7 to 53.6 ft bgs dominated flow during pumping, producing 3.65 gpm or 60 percent of the total flow. The transmissivity calculations for well DW-1 indicate that the intervals 40.4 to 48.6 and 52.7 to 53.6 ft bgs exhibited the highest transmissivities of approximately 129 and 111 square feet per day (ft²/day), respectively (COLOG, 2009).

VFW Well (DW-2)

The hydrophysical logs for well DW-2 also illustrate significant change at several intervals throughout the length of the borehole. These dramatic changes in the FEC profiles with respect to time are associated with ambient vertical flow occurring within the borehole. Formation water migration as a result of downward vertical flow within the fluid column is indicated by the increase in FEC over time, beginning near the base of casing and at 31 ft. Numeric modeling of the reported field data suggests groundwater enters the wellbore at 19.5 to 19.6, 30.4 to 31.6,



38.2 to 41.8, and 44.9 to 51.4 ft bgs at rates of 0.026, 0.297, 0.016, and 0.074 gpm, respectively. The combined inflow of 0.413 gpm of these four intervals is observed to migrate vertically downward through the borehole based on the migration of the center of mass of the area under the curve. The modeling suggests groundwater exits the borehole at depths of 96.4 to 97.0 and 189.5 to 191.0 ft bgs, at rates of 0.370 and 0.185 gpm, respectively. Evidence for these outflow zones is observed in the logs for well DW-2, where the velocity of the water slows within the borehole ("downstream" of an outflow zone), and a change in slope, or truncation, of the FEC log is observed. The flow rates are based on the rate of increase of mass at their respective intervals. Of particular note is the FEC anomaly observed at the base of the borehole at 280 ft. This early increase in mass is not the result of ambient flow. Notice the mass at this depth, or area under the curve, does not increase with time but instead disperses. During removal of the plumbing at the conclusion of the emplacement, groundwater was momentarily allowed to enter the borehole at this depth near the bottom of the borehole. Over the course of the ambient flow characterization however, no additional groundwater entered the borehole at this depth. As such, this water-bearing interval is not considered to produce groundwater to the borehole under ambient conditions (COLOG, 2009).

During production testing, 11 inflow zones were identified from the DW-2 hydropysical logs, ranging in flow from 0.005 to 5.69 gpm, with the dominant inflow zone at 30.4 to 31.6 ft, producing 5.69 gpm, or 90 percent of the total formation production rate. The transmissivity calculations for well DW-2 indicate that the interval 30.4 to 31.6 ft bgs exhibited the highest transmissivity of approximately 216 ft²/day (COLOG, 2009).

2.2.4.3 Bedrock Fracture Interconnectivity

Three sources of data collected during May 2008 provide information regarding the interconnectivity of bedrock fractures: synoptic potentiometric head measurements from the five bedrock monitoring wells and two drinking water wells, the results of ambient and pumping HPL flow characterization, and the results of WSP groundwater sampling.



Synoptic Potentiometric Head Observations

Potentiometric head measurements were collected via data water level transducers installed in the monitoring and drinking water wells and programmed to record measurements at 1-minute intervals. The transducers were installed prior to commencing any work activities at the LO-58 Site and remained in each well location until after the completion of work. WESTON recorded the times of the beginning and ends of significant events, such as pump removal and well purging, and compared these times to observed changes in potentiometric head elevation in nearby wells. Charts illustrating the water table elevations at the LO-58 Site during the May 2008 field program are included in Appendix E. WESTON also created a log which documents the major field activity start and stop times for each location which is also included in Appendix E, which was used as an interpretation aide by cross-referencing site activities with potentiometric head fluctuations.

The most notable change in water table elevation, not including the start/stop and removal of the transducers themselves, occurred on 14 May 2008, during the production testing of DW-1. Data measurements collected from MW-01, MW-03, and MW-05 indicate that when production testing began in DW-1, potentiometric head elevations decreased almost immediately in each of the three wells. As expected, after the completion of production testing in DW-1, the potentiometric head elevations rebounded to the static level. The same relationship was observed in these wells during the transmissivity and hydraulic conductivity testing performed in DW-1 with the WSP on 19 and 20 May 2008. The strongest relationship during the transmissivity and hydraulic conductivity evaluation was observed when the 41.2- to 51.9-ft and the 51-ft to 58.1-ft intervals were isolated with the WSP system. A slightly weaker correlation between the three monitoring wells and DW-1 was observed during the isolation of the 33.75- to 38.5-ft interval also produced less than half the yield of the two deeper intervals. No other significant bedrock fracture interconnectivity was noted within the synoptic potentiometric head measurements.

As noted in Subsections 2.2.3 and 2.2.3.2, Figure 2-3 indicates a linear depression in the bedrock surface is present between locations SB-22 and SB-43 which has been interpreted as the expression of a fracture zone. The orientation of the linear depression is approximately North 70°



West, and includes wells DW-1 and MW-01. Based on the observations from the synoptic potentiometric head measurements, WESTON concludes that the North 65 to 70° West fracturing present in bedrock creates strong anisotropy in the groundwater flow in the vicinity of well DW-1. Further, at well DW-1, the shallower fractures do not appear to have any interconnectivity with fractures in other wells, while the deeper fracture intervals are interconnected with fractures that reach as far as monitoring wells MW-01, MW-03, and MW-05.

Flow Characterization Observations

Ambient HPL testing identified primarily horizontal flow across well DW-1 and downward vertical flow within the fluid column in well DW-2. The direction of groundwater flow across a well is an indicator of the interconnectedness of the individual fractures within the well.

A quantitative analysis of the fracture densities and relative apertures (ranks) was conducted which is documented in Tables A-1 and A-2 included in Appendix A. The overall fracture (ranks 1 to 5) density in well DW-1 is 0.67 fractures per foot (fractures/ft), and the overall fracture density in well DW-2 is 0.57 fractures/ft. These calculations indicate that the overall fracture density in well DW-1 is only 15 percent greater than the overall fracture density in well DW-2. Further, there are a total of six rank 3 and 4 fractures in well DW-2 (only two of which are located in the uppermost 58 ft) compared to a total of six rank 3 (but no rank 4) fractures in well DW-1, which also supports a conclusion that the bedrock at wells DW-1 and DW-2 are similarly fractured. Considering fractures (ranks 1 to 5), the high-angle fracture density in well DW-1 is 0.26 fractures/ft, and the high-angle fracture density in well DW-2 is 0.43 fractures/ft. These calculations demonstrate that the high-angle fracture density in well DW-1 is only 60 percent that of well DW-2 which may be due to well DW-2 extending into deeper bedrock than well DW-1. When high-angle fracture density in the top 58 ft of both wells is compared, the high-angle fracture density is identical, but the well DW-1 fractures tend to be larger.

The fractured bedrock surrounding the borehole in DW-1, and the number of those water-bearing fractures that are high-angle fractures, results in an interconnected network of fractures around



the well that have pressure-equilibrated outside the influence of the borehole. The weak differential potentiometric head that exists between the fractures results in primarily horizontal groundwater flow within the well. In well DW-2, the fracture characteristics of the shallow bedrock are similar to those surrounding the borehole at well DW-1. However, although there are some high-angle fractures identified in the deeper bedrock surrounding well DW-2, their aperture and water-bearing potential are not as significant, and the upper and lower portions of the well have substantially different potentiometric heads. The relatively strong differential potentiometric head that exists in well DW-2 between the upper and middle fractures results in vertical groundwater flow from the upper fractures to the middle fractures within the well (COLOG, 2009).

Wire-Line Straddle Packer Sampling Observations

The migration of groundwater is often much more complicated in fractured bedrock than in porous media, and the inconsistencies in trends observed in the discussion of the following groundwater analytical results may well be due to such complications. As described in Subsection 2.2.5.1, summarized in Table 2-6, and depicted in Figure 2-7, toluene and GRO were detected in drinking water well DW-1 in four of the five depth intervals tested, with concentrations decreasing with depth from the shallowest to deeper intervals, not detected in the second deepest interval, but appearing again in the deepest interval. This pattern of concentrations suggests that the primary source of these substances is proximal to the shallowest bedrock fractures, and that there is very limited communication between the uppermost fractures and the deepest fractures tested, but perhaps not in the vicinity of well DW-1. Thus, the shallow concentrations, in combination with the pressure transducer data, suggest that the primary source of the toluene and GRO contamination is proximal to the well, and that the deeper source of the toluene and GRO contamination may be from a more distant source.

Conversely, DRO was only detected in the middle interval (41.2 to 51.9 ft bgs) of drinking water well DW-1 and the deepest interval (56.6 to 58.0 ft bgs) where it was greatest. The deep concentrations suggest that the primary source of the DRO contamination is distal to this well; a separate source from the toluene and GRO detected in shallow bedrock.



The concentrations of cis-1,2-DCE and TCE detected in drinking water well DW-1 are relatively low, ranging from a few times the detection limit to just below the detection limit, which makes any conclusions regarding these data tentative. The concentrations of cis-1,2-DCE and TCE in drinking water well DW-1 increased from the shallowest interval to the middle interval (41.2 to 51.9 ft bgs) and decreased in the intervals below the middle interval. Of further interest is the ratio of cis-1,2-DCE to TCE between different intervals, as depicted in Figure 2-8. A higher cis-1,2-DCE to TCE ratio would indicate a relatively higher concentration of daughter to parent compound and imply greater degradation and distance from the TCE source. The slight increases in cis-1,2-DCE to TCE ratios with depth shown in Figure 2-8 were found to be statistically insignificant.

In drinking water well DW-1, the peak toluene and GRO concentrations were in shallow bedrock fractures, the peak chlorinated solvent concentrations were in the middle bedrock fractures, and the peak DRO concentrations were in deep bedrock fractures; this pattern of contamination indicates that the well may be impacted with hazardous substances from three separate sources at the LO-58 Site. Further, the gradual increase or decrease in contaminant concentrations at successive depth intervals demonstrates that the adjacent fractures are interconnected, and that concentration gradients exist between adjacent fractures. However, the slight variations in contaminant concentrations and the small number of measurement points were found to be inadequate to make statistically significant conclusions.

The laboratory analytical results (also summarized in Table 2-6) from the WSP sampling of drinking water well DW-2 indicate the presence of cis-1,2-DCE, toluene, and DRO in one or more samples collected. The detection of cis-1,2-DCE was anomalous for well DW-2 as chlorinated solvents had not previously been detected in samples collected from this well. Refer to Subsection 2.2.5.2 for a discussion of the likely reason for this anomalous result. None of the VOCs were detected above their Maine MEGs or EPA MCLs for drinking water (BOH, 2000; EPA, 2003). However, DRO concentrations in samples collected from the shallowest (16.0 to 20.0 ft bgs) and deepest (265.0 to 284.0 ft bgs) depth intervals exceeded the 50 µg/L Maine MEG (There is no EPA MCL for DRO.) (BOH, 2000; EPA, 2003).



In addition, groundwater parameters collected during sample collection, summarized in Table 2-5 and depicted in Figure 2-9, may provide further evidence of the nature of groundwater flow at the LO-58 Site. First, there appears to be a inverse relationship between pH and temperature. In both drinking water wells DW-1 and DW-2, temperatures were lowest and pHs were highest in the deepest intervals, although comparably low pH and the second lowest temperatures are noted in the shallowest interval of well DW-1 as well. However, the opposite relationship was not as clear in either well. In drinking water well DW-1, the highest temperatures are associated with the second lowest pHs (in the 33.8 to 38.5 ft interval), but equally low pH is associated with mid-range temperatures in the 51.0 to 58.1 ft interval. In drinking water well DW-2, second highest temperature and lowest pH was noted in the third deepest interval (37.0 to 41.7 ft bgs), while the highest temperatures occurred in the shallowest interval, associated with mid-range pH values. Refer to Subsection 2.2.5.2 for further discussion of these results. High temperature and low pH may indicate shallow, short flow paths from surface water infiltration, and low temperature and high pH are typically found in deeper, longerflow paths from areas of groundwater recharge. The deepest intervals in both wells support the longest flow path conclusion, while the shallowest intervals do not, emphasizing the complexity of groundwater flow through fractured bedrock. However, the slight variations in groundwater parameters shown in Figure 2-9 were found to be statistically insignificant.

Second, DO and ORP results collected during sample collection were also assessed. In drinking water well DW-1, DO and ORP were lowest in the middle interval (41.2 to 51.9 ft bgs), which corresponded to the highest concentration of dissolved chlorinated solvents. In drinking water well DW-2, DO and ORP were lowest in the second deepest interval (187.5 to 192.2 ft bgs), which corresponded to the only detection of dissolved chlorinated solvents in this well. Refer to Subsection 2.2.5.2 for further discussion of these results. Typically, DO and ORP values decrease as a result of the consumption of oxygen (an electron receptor) during aerobic biodegradation of petroleum hydrocarbons, such as DRO and GRO (which are electron donors). As aerobic biodegradation processes consume available oxygen, bacteria are forced to shift toward reductive chlorination which allows the biodegradation of chlorinated solvents (which are less efficient electron receptors) (Wedemeier, et al., 1997). It is suspected that the general lack of DO and ORP reduction is due to the relatively low DRO and GRO concentrations detected in groundwater which provide insufficient carbon load to reduce the DO and ORP in the

groundwater. The lower DO and ORP values noted in the middle depth interval of well DW-1 and second deepest interval of well DW-2 may be indicative of higher contaminant concentrations in an upgradient area where substantial biodegradation has occurred. However, the slight variations in groundwater parameters shown in Figure 2-9 were found to be statistically insignificant. Refer to Subsection 2.2.5.2 for further discussion of these results.

2.2.4.4 Bedrock Groundwater Horizontal Gradients

In porous media aquifers, the vertical and horizontal vectors comprising the gradient, as determined by water table elevations, is fairly constant near and between wells. For this reason, overburden groundwater horizontal gradients can often be clearly defined and depicted graphically. However, in fractured bedrock aquifers, water-bearing fractures penetrated by wells can have similar to nearly opposite local flow vectors or directions depending on fracture orientation, recharge zone locations, ambient or pumping conditions, and fracture interconnectivity. Because of the complex, anisotropic flow systems in bedrock aquifers, it is difficult to make specific statements regarding groundwater horizontal gradients without comprehensive, site-specific data such as that collected using HPL methods.

Figure 2-6 depicts the overall bedrock groundwater horizontal potentiometric gradients for May 2008. The overall bedrock groundwater horizontal potentiometric gradients at the LO-58 Site are north-northwesterly beneath the eastern portion of the site, northerly beneath the northern portion of the site, and northwesterly beneath the western portion of the site, generally consistent with topography. Seasonal variations in groundwater elevations have not been noted at the LO-58 Site (WESTON, 2007a).

The complexity of the bedrock groundwater horizontal potentiometric gradients is illustrated by the results of synoptic potentiometric head measurements performed by WESTON in May 2008. The location of drinking water well near the center of the LO-58 Site monitoring network is nearly ideal for the characterization of bedrock groundwater horizontal potentiometric gradients and flow directions, as it is uniquely surrounded by other bedrock groundwater monitoring points. As described in Subsection 2.2.4.3, synoptic potentiometric head measurements during pumping of drinking water well DW-1 and three bedrock monitoring wells, MW-01, MW-03, and MW-05 showed strong responses indicating that these four locations are along the same



groundwater flow path. In contrast, during the same synoptic potentiometric head measurement period, there was no observable response at drinking water well DW-2, which is located to the west, and either hydraulically-downgradient or cross-gradient of well DW-1. Although the May 2008 overall bedrock groundwater horizontal potentiometric gradient suggest a potential connection between wells DW-1 and DW-2, the contemporaneous synoptic potentiometric head measurements (which represent actual, rather than theoretical conditions, and thus bear much greater weight) indicate the contrary.

As noted previously, ambient HPL testing identified primarily horizontal flow across well DW-1 at least in the proximity of the well. The moderately-fractured rock surrounding the borehole in DW-1, and the number of those water-bearing fractures that are high-angle fractures, results in an interconnected network of fractures around the well that have pressure-equilibrated outside the influence of the borehole. The weak differential potentiometric head that exists between the fractures results in primarily horizontal groundwater flow within the well (COLOG, 2009).

2.2.4.5 Bedrock Groundwater Vertical Gradients

Hydrophysical, pressure transducer, and groundwater parameter data collected from drinking water wells DW-1 and DW-2 provides information regarding the variations in hydraulic head between individual fracture zones at the LO-58 Site which can be used to document bedrock groundwater vertical potentiometric gradient.

Drinking Water Well DW-1 Results

The fractured rock surrounding the borehole in DW-1, and the number of those water-bearing fractures that are high-angle fractures, results in an interconnected network of fractures around the well that have pressure-equilibrated outside the influence of the borehole. This has resulted in weak differential potentiometric head between the fractures which results in primarily horizontal groundwater flow within the well (COLOG, 2009). The exception to this general statement is the shallowest depth interval of well DW-1 which has temperature/pH and pressure transducer data which indicate that it appears to be isolated from the fractures immediately below it.

Additional vertical groundwater flow information can be obtained from groundwater quality data. The concentrations of cis-1,2-DCE and TCE increased from the shallowest interval to the middle interval (41.2 to 51.9 ft bgs) and decreased in the intervals below the middle interval. However, the slight variations in contaminant concentrations were found to be inadequate to make statistically significant conclusions. These data indicate downward migration through bedrock.

Drinking Water Well DW-2 Results

In well DW-2, the fracture characteristics of the shallow bedrock are similar to those surrounding the borehole at well DW-1. However, although there are high-angle fractures identified in the deeper bedrock surrounding well DW-2, their aperture and water-bearing potential are not as significant as those in shallow bedrock, and the upper and lower portions of the well have substantially different potentiometric heads. Table DW-2:4 in the *HydroPhysicsTM and Geophysical Logging Results* indicates that the differential head, the difference between ambient and production pressure (converted to ft), gradually increases with depth, with the deepest fracture interval (265.0 to 284.0 ft bgs) having a pressure head of approximately 130 ft. The relatively strong differential potentiometric head that exists between the upper and middle fractures results in vertical groundwater flow from the middle fractures to the upper fractures within the well (COLOG, 2009).

2.2.5 Bedrock Groundwater Quality

As described in Subsection 2.1.3.3 and summarized in Tables 2-5 and 2-6, WESTON performed WSP sampling at drinking water wells DW-1 and DW-2 in May 2008 in order to obtain detailed, and fracture zone-specific, data regarding groundwater quality and contaminant distribution. The following discussion of the vertically-segregated bedrock groundwater analytical results integrates bedrock hydrogeology conclusions from Subsection 2.2.4 to make additional conclusions regarding bedrock groundwater quality and its implications regarding the fate and transport of groundwater contaminants beneath the LO-58 Site. As noted in Subsection 2.2.4.3, typically DO and ORP values decrease as a result of the consumption of oxygen (an electron receptor) during aerobic biodegradation of petroleum hydrocarbons, such as DRO and GRO

(which are electron donors). As aerobic biodegradation processes consume available oxygen, bacteria are forced to shift toward reductive chlorination which allows the biodegradation of chlorinated solvents (which are less efficient electron receptors) (Wedemeier, et al., 1997).

2.2.5.1 Drinking Water Well DW-1 Results

Trace concentrations of chloroform (near the RL and two orders of magnitude below its Maine MEG) were detected in three of the five intervals tested and do not appear to result from the known releases of hazardous materials at the LO-58 Site (BOH, 2000). Toluene and GRO were detected in a pattern of concentrations that indicates that the primary sources of these substances are the shallowest bedrock fractures, and that there is some communication between these fractures and the second and third uppermost fractures tested. Thus, the shallow concentrations, in combination with the pressure transducer data, indicate that the primary source of the toluene and GRO contamination is proximal to the well, and that the source of the toluene and GRO contamination detected in the deepest testing interval may be from a more distant source. Conversely, the pattern of DRO concentrations indicate that the primary source of the DRO contamination is distal to the well; a separate source from the toluene and GRO detected in shallow bedrock.

The concentrations of cis-1,2-DCE and TCE detected in drinking water well DW-1 are relatively low, ranging from a few times the detection limit to just below the detection limit, making statistically significant conclusions impossible. However, the apparent pattern of concentrations of cis-1,2-DCE and TCE suggests that the source of chlorinated solvents in this well may be in the middle fracture zone, and that the dissolved chlorinated solvents may be degrading as they migrate downward through bedrock fractures.

The peak toluene and GRO concentrations are in shallow bedrock fractures, the peak chlorinated solvent concentrations are in the middle bedrock fractures, and the peak DRO concentrations are in deep bedrock fractures; this pattern of contamination suggests that the well is impacted with hazardous substances from three separate and increasingly distant sources at the LO-58 Site.

It is suspected that the general lack of DO and ORP reduction in groundwater at the LO-58 Site (see Table 2-5) is due to the relatively low DRO and GRO concentrations detected in



groundwater, which provide insufficient carbon load to reduce the DO and ORP in the groundwater. The lowest DO and ORP values noted in well DW-1 may be indicative of higher contaminant concentrations in an upgradient source area where substantial biodegradation has occurred.

Because the DO and ORP results do not appear to be reduced by aerobic DRO/GRO degradation, another source of organic material must be found to account for the reduced DO and ORP results. One potential source which is both proximal to drinking water well DW-1 and associated with chlorinated solvents is the septic system for the AMAC Building. WESTON hypothesizes that the organic loading (non-hazardous) in the septic system may be the source of the anaerobic conditions which could facilitate TCE biodegradation. However, the slight variations in contaminant concentrations were found to be inadequate to make statistically significant conclusions.

2.2.5.2 Drinking Water Well DW-2 Results

The concentration of DRO detected in the sample collected from the shallowest depth interval of well DW-2 was particularly high (1,050 μ g/L) and is interpreted to represent a release from a proximal source; drinking water well DW-2 is located in a parking area, which could be the source of the DRO.

The one anomaly in the well DW-2 analytical results is the detection of a trace concentration of cis-1,2-DCE in the sample collected from the second deepest (187.9 to 192.2 ft bgs) depth interval. Chlorinated solvents have not previously been detected in samples collected from this well. However, the detection of cis-1,2-DCE is likely due to the focused nature of the sampling at the single fracture zone; consistent with previous analytical results, subsequent sampling of the well did not detect cis-1,2-DCE, likely due to dilution by water from other fractures within the well borehole. Finally, the cis-1,2-DCE detection at the second deepest interval provides evidence of the extent of chlorinated solvent impacts to bedrock groundwater.

As noted above, it is suspected that the general lack of DO and ORP reduction in groundwater at the LO-58 Site (see Table 2-5) is due to the relatively low DRO and GRO concentrations detected in groundwater which provide insufficient carbon load to reduce the DO and ORP in the



groundwater. In drinking water well DW-2, the relatively low DO and ORP results in the second deepest (187.9 to 192.2 ft bgs) depth interval correlate well with the 1,2-DCE detection in this depth interval (as shown in Tables 2-5 and 2-6, the depth interval with the highest ratio of cis-1,2-DCE/TCE shows the greatest degree of biodegradation and also has the lowest DO/ORP), and support the conclusion that biodegradation of TCE is occurring. Further, the relatively low DO and ORP results in this depth interval and provide a mechanism for the biodegradation of TCE to 1,2-DCE. Based on the apparent isolation of the shallow and deepest sampling intervals of drinking water well DW-2 by the second deepest interval, it appears that the DRO detected in the deepest interval of well DW-2 is from an even more distal source. However, the slight variations in contaminant concentrations were found to be inadequate to make statistically significant conclusions; therefore, WESTON hypothesizes that source of 1,2-DCE in well DW-2 may be the same as the source for well DW-1, possibly, the septic system for the AMAC Building.

SECTION 3

LO-58 SITE CONCLUSIONS



3. LO-58 SITE CONCLUSIONS

As discussed in greater detail in Section 2, the following conclusions can be made from the geological, geophysical, and hydrophysical data collected and interpreted by WESTON during the latest investigation at the LO-58 Site.

3.1 BEDROCK GEOLOGY

- The LO-58 Site is located on the northwest face of a rock-cored drumlin which was subject to glacial action approximately 12,000 years ago. Vertical seismic profiling did not identify acoustically-incompetent bedrock at the LO-58 Site. Thus, no significant areas of weathered bedrock were identified.
- Despite conflicting references, bedrock beneath the LO-58 Site is the Silurian Spragueville Formation which comprises interbedded pelite and limestone and/or dolostone rocks of Silurian age. The nearest contact with another geologic unit, the Silurio-Ordivician Carys Mills Formation, is located approximately 900 ft northwest of the LO-58 Site.
- The Chapman Synclinorium was formed during the first deformational or compressional phase of the Acadian Orogeny, which occurred during the lower to middle Devonian Period, and resulted in a major, single, and steeply dipping north-south cleavage in the bedrock.
- The bedrock bedding at the LO-58 Site strikes North 70° East and dips 12° East, as well as a foliation striking North 5° West and dipping 78° West, but varies significantly locally due to folding in two directions: the major folds are broad to tight with axes oriented North 30° East, parallel to the axis of the Chapman Synclinorium; the fold axes are also folded broadly on North 20° West axes.

Three joint sets are present in the local bedrock: a near vertical set striking North 45° East and dipping 80° West which is associated with the Acadian Orogeny; another steeply-dipping set striking North 45° East and dipping 85° East which is roughly perpendicular to the first; and a shallowly-dipping set of sheeting joints that is roughly parallel to the ground surface and bedding and decreases in frequency with depth. The near-vertical sets of joints, particularly the North 45° East and dipping 85° East set, are often filled with calcite. The upper 60 ft of bedrock have similar fracturing characteristics at drinking water well locations DW-1 and DW-2. However, the deeper bedrock (below approximately 70 ft) surrounding well DW-2 contains very few sheeting fractures and the aperture and water-bearing potential of the steeper fractures are not as significant, resulting in different fracturing characteristics, which, by nature of its depth, do not appear in the bedrock surrounding well DW-1.



• Neither the available geologic literature nor the lack of local and regional observations of karst topography indicate that the limestone of the Spragueville Formation is subject to solution cavities.

3.2 BEDROCK HYDROGEOLOGY

- The orientation, length, width, and interconnectedness of joints in the bedrock beneath the LO-58 Site exert significant control over both groundwater flow direction and contaminant distribution within groundwater.
- Bedrock groundwater elevations range from approximately 569 to 537 ft above mean sea level (amsl) on 30 April and 564 to 531 ft amsl on 21 May 2008.
- The hydrophysical logs for well DW-1 indicate that ambient horizontal flow occurs within the borehole. The volumetric flow rate observed in the DW-1 wellbore for the depth intervals 27.3 to 31.7, 34.6 to 35.0, 40.4 to 48.6, 49.0 to 50.2, and 52.7 to 53.6 ft below ground surface (bgs) are 0.085, 0.011, 0.14, 0.018, and 0.058 gallons per minute (gpm), respectively. These flow rates equate to Darcy velocities or specific discharges of groundwater in the aquifer of 2.84, 3.91, 2.53, 2.28, and 9.56 ft per day, respectively.
- During production testing, seven inflow zones were identified from the well DW-1 hydrophysical logs at 27.3 to 31.7, 34.6 to 35.0, 37.4 to 38.4, 40.4 to 48.6, 49.0 to 50.2, 52.7 to 53.6, and 54.4 to 58.1 ft bgs with flow rates ranging of 0.207, 0.195, 0.745, 2.00, 0.416, 1.65, and 0.838 gpm, respectively. The logs indicate the interval 40.4 to 48.6 and 52.7 to 53.6 ft bgs dominated flow during pumping, producing 3.65 gpm or 60 percent of the total flow. The transmissivity calculations for well DW-1 indicate that the intervals 40.4 to 48.6 and 52.7 to 53.6 ft bgs exhibited the highest transmissivities of approximately 129 and 111 square feet per day (ft²/day), respectively.
- The hydrophysical logs for well DW-2 indicate ambient vertical flow occurring within the borehole. Formation water migrates downward within the fluid column beginning near the base of casing and at 31 ft.
- Four inflow zones were identified from the well DW-2 hydrophysical logs at 19.5 to 19.6, 30.4 to 31.6, 38.2 to 41.8, and 44.9 to 51.4 ft bgs at rates of 0.026, 0.297, 0.016, and 0.074 gpm, respectively. The combined inflow of 0.413 gpm of these four intervals is observed to migrate vertically downward through the borehole based on the migration of the center of mass of the area under the curve. Groundwater exits the borehole at depths of 96.4 to 97.0 and 189.5 to 191.0 ft bgs, at rates of 0.370 and 0.185 gpm, respectively.
- In well DW-2, a fluid electrical conductivity anomaly was observed at the base of the borehole at 280 ft. This early increase in mass is not the result of ambient flow, as over the course of the ambient flow characterization, no additional groundwater



entered the borehole at this depth. As such, this water-bearing interval is not considered to produce groundwater to the borehole under ambient conditions.

- During production testing, 11 inflow zones were identified from the DW-2 hydropysical logs, ranging in flow from 0.005 to 5.69 gpm, with the dominant inflow zone at 30.4 to 31.6 ft, producing 5.69 gpm or 90 percent of the total formation production rate. The transmissivity calculations for well DW-2 indicate that the interval 30.4 to 31.6 ft bgs exhibited the highest transmissivity of approximately 216 ft²/day.
- Based on the observations from the synoptic potentiometric head measurements, WESTON concludes that the dominant north-south fracturing present in bedrock creates strong north-south anisotropy in the groundwater flow. Further, at well DW-1, the shallower fractures do not appear to have any interconnectivity with fractures in other wells, while the deeper fracture intervals are interconnected with fractures that reach as far as monitoring wells MW-01, MW-03, and MW-05. Synoptic potentiometric head measurements did not identify bedrock fracture interconnections beyond well DW-2.
- The fracture characteristics of the shallow bedrock surrounding the borehole at well DW-1, and the number of those water-bearing fractures that are high-angle fractures, results in an interconnected network of fractures around the well that have pressure-equilibrated outside the influence of the borehole. The weak differential potentiometric head that exists between the fractures results in primarily horizontal groundwater flow within the well.
- In well DW-2, the fracture characteristics of the shallow bedrock are similar to those surrounding the borehole at well DW-1. However, although there are high-angle fractures identified in the deeper bedrock surrounding well DW-2, their aperture and water-bearing potential are not as significant as those in shallow bedrock, and the upper and lower portions of the well have substantially different potentiometric heads. The relatively strong differential potentiometric head that exists between the upper and middle fractures results in vertical groundwater flow from the middle fractures to the upper fractures within the well.
- In drinking water well DW-1, the peak toluene and GRO concentrations were in shallow bedrock fractures, the peak chlorinated solvent concentrations were in the middle bedrock fractures, and the peak DRO concentrations were in the deepest bedrock fractures; this pattern of contamination indicates that the well may be impacted with hazardous substances from three separate sources at the LO-58 Site. Further, the gradual increase or decrease in contaminant concentrations at successive depth intervals demonstrates that the uppermost and deepest fractures may be interconnected, and that concentration gradients exist between them. However, the slight variations in contaminant concentrations and the relatively small number of measurement points were found to be inadequate to make statistically significant conclusions.



- The ratio of cis-1,2-DCE to TCE was compared between shallower and deeper intervals of well DW-1 in order to assess possible greater TCE degradation and distance from the TCE source. The slight increase in cis-1,2-DCE to TCE ratios with depth were found to be statistically insignificant.
- A trace concentration of cis-1,2-DCE was detected in well DW-2. Based on the lack of concentration gradients between adjacent fractures, these results demonstrate the lack of interconnection between adjacent fractures in this well. Further, the trace detection of cis-1,2-DCE in the second deepest (187.9 to 192.2 ft bgs) depth interval, with no detectable TCE, supports two hypotheses:
 - 1. There is a small, but nonetheless significant deep bedrock connection between wells DW-1 and DW-2, or a connection to a common source, that is not detectable in the synoptic potentiometric head measurements.
 - 2. The detection of cis-1,2-DCE but no TCE at substantial depth and distance from the presumed source area suggests that TCE degradation appears nearly complete.
- The overall bedrock groundwater horizontal potentiometric gradients at the LO-58 Site are north-northwesterly beneath the eastern portion of the Site, northerly beneath the northern portion of the Site, and northwesterly beneath the western portion of the Site, generally consistent with topography.

3.3 BEDROCK GROUNDWATER QUALITY

- The analytical results for drinking water well DW-1 were consistent with previous analytical results for this well. Laboratory analytical results from the WSP sampling of drinking water well DW-1 indicate the presence of chloroform, cis-1,2-DCE, TCE, toluene, GRO, and DRO in one or more samples collected from DW-1,. None of the VOC were detected above their Maine MEG or the EPA MCL for drinking water. However, GRO or DRO concentrations in five samples exceeded their 50 µg/L Maine MEG.
- In well DW-1, the peak toluene and GRO concentrations are in shallow bedrock fractures, the peak chlorinated solvent concentrations are in the middle bedrock fractures, and the peak DRO concentrations are in deep bedrock fractures; this pattern of contamination indicates that the well may be impacted with hazardous substances from three separate and increasingly distant sources at the LO-58 Site.

The analytical results for drinking water well DW-2 were generally consistent with previous analytical results with one anomaly. Laboratory analytical results from the WSP sampling of drinking water well DW-2 indicate the presence of cis-1,2-DCE, toluene, and DRO in one or more samples collected. None of the VOCs were detected above their Maine MEGs or EPA



MCLs for drinking water. However, GRO or DRO concentrations in five samples exceeded their applicable 50 μ g/L Maine MEG. The one anomaly in the well DW-2 analytical results is the detection of a trace concentration of cis-1,2-DCE in the sample collected from the second deepest (187.9 to 192.2 ft bgs) depth interval. Chlorinated solvents have not previously been detected in samples collected from this well. However, the detection of cis-1,2-DCE is likely due to the focused nature of the sampling at the single fracture zone; consistent with previous analytical results, subsequent sampling of the well did not detect cis-1,2-DCE, likely due to dilution by water from other fractures within the well borehole. Finally, the cis-1,2-DCE detection at the second deepest interval provides evidence of the extent of chlorinated solvent impacts to bedrock groundwater.

SECTION 4

LO-58 SITE RECOMMENDATIONS



4. LO-58 SITE RECOMMENDATIONS

The geological, geophysical, and hydrophysical data collected as part of the LO-58 Site investigation has eliminated many of the data gaps regarding groundwater flow and the nature and extent of groundwater impacts from the LO-58 Site. Based on the availability of data regarding groundwater flow and the nature and extent of groundwater impacts at most likely groundwater targets at the LO-58 Site, bedrock drinking water wells DW-1 and DW-2, CENAE recommends no additional environmental investigations to address the minor outstanding data gaps.

As part of the next task required under the *SOW* (CENAE, 2007), WESTON will update the CSM for the LO-58 Site. As the LO-58 Site CSM will assess all potential migration pathways, it may identify data gaps not strictly related to the hydrogeology of the site which may result in additional recommendations for further investigative activities.

SECTION 5

REFERENCES



5. REFERENCES

Analytics (Analytics Environmental Laboratory, LLC). 2008a. Analytical Data Report Number 61276, Revision 0, RE: MEFUDS-LO-58. 9 May.

Analytics. 2008b. Analytical Data Report Number 61408, Revision 0, RE: MEFUDS-LO-58. 9 June.

Analytics. 2008c. Analytical Data Report Number 61245, Revision 0, RE: MEFUDS. 8 May.

Analytics. 2008d. RE: Analytical Results Case Narrative, ME FUDS Project Number 125361, Analytics Number 61274. 27 May.

Analytics. 2008e. Analytical Data Report Number 61287, Revision 0, RE: MEFUDS. 12 May.

Analytics. 2008f. Analytical Data Report Number 61307, Revision 0, RE: MEFUDS. 13 May.

Analytics. 2008g. RE: Analytical Results Case Narrative, ME FUDS LO-58 Project, Analytics Number 61399. 17 June.

Billings, Marland P. 1972. Structural Geology, Third Edition. Prentice-Hall, Inc.

Bureau of Health. 2000. Maine Department of Human Services <u>Maximum Exposure Guidelines</u> for Drinking Water. January 20.

CENAE (United States Army Corps of Engineers, New England District). 2007. Statement of Work, Borehole Hydrogeophysics and Conceptual Site Model Development, Former Nike Battery LO-58 and well Investigation at the Former Loring Air Force Base Communications Annex, Formerly Used Defense Sites, Caribou and Perham, Maine. Finalized by CENAE on 19 March.

CENAE. 2008. Letter from Carol Ann Charette, PMP to Doug Michaud, Commander, VFW Post 9389, RE: Drinking water Sampling Results. 11 June.

COLOG, Division of Layne Christensen Company. 2009. *HydroPhysicalTM and Geophysical* Logging Results, Former Nike Battery Launch Site LO-58, Maine Formerly Used Defense Sites (FUDS), Caribou, Maine. 12 January.

EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). 1996. *Region l Data Validation Functional Guidelines*. Office of Environmental Measurement and Evaluation. Revised December.

EPA. 2003. National Primary Drinking Water Standards, EPA 816-F-03-016. June.

Freeze, R.A., & J.A. Cherry. 1979. Groundwater. Englewood Cliffs, N.J.: Prentice Hall.

Lopez, Geraldine A. 2003. Stratigraphy and Ichnology of the Deep-Water Early Silurian Spragueville Formation, Northeastern Maine, USA. Masters Thesis, Boston College. August.

MGS (Maine Geologic Survey). 1985a. *Surficial Geologic Map of Maine*. Maine Department of Conservation.

MGS. 1985b. Bedrock Geologic Map of Maine. Maine Department of Conservation.

MGS. 1985c. *Geologic Map of the Caribou and Northern Presque Isle Quadrangles, Maine.* Maine Geologic Survey, Department of Conservation, Open-File Number 87-2.

National Geophysical Data Center. 2009. Estimated Value of Magnetic Declination, Latitude 46.89° North, Longitude 68.01° West, 15 May 2008. Obtained from www.ngdc.noaa.gov/geomagmodels/struts/calcDeclination. 16 January.

TestAmerica (TestAmerica Laboratories, Inc.). 2008a. Laboratory Project No. 28000, Case MEFUDS; SDG 125508. 21 May.

TestAmerica. 2008b. Laboratory Project No. 28000, Case MEFUDS; SDG 125630. 30 May.

USDA (U.S. Department of Agriculture). 2008a. Aroostook County, Maine Soil Survey, Northeastern Part. Accessed via National Cooperative Soil Survey, Web Soil Survey 2.0. 29 July.

USDA. 2008b. *Caribou Gravelly Loam, Official Series Description*, Accessed via National Cooperative Soil Survey. 29 July.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2008. *LO-58 Site NWI Wetlands*. Obtained from the USFWS Wetlands Geodatabase, <u>http://wetlandsfws.er.usgs.gov/imf/imf.jsp?site=NWI CONUS</u>. 30 July.

Wedemeier, T.H., et al. 1997. Overview of the Technical Protocol for Natural Attenuation of Chlorinated Aliphatic Hydrocarbons in Ground Water Under Development for the U.S. Air Force Center for Environmental Excellence, from the Proceedings of the Symposium on Natural Attenuation of Chlorinated Solvents in Ground Water, EPA/540/R-97/504. May.

WESTON (Weston Solutions, Inc.) 2000. "Final Preliminary Site Investigation Report, Preliminary Site Investigation at the Former Loring AFB Defense Area, Nike LO-58 Launch Area, Caribou, Maine". Contract No. DACA31-96-D-0006, Task Order 18. 28 June.

WESTON. 2001. "Final Addendum to the Preliminary Site Investigation Report at the Former Loring AFB Defense Area, Nike LO-58 Launch Are, Caribou, Maine". Contract No. DACA31-96-D-0006, Task Order 18. 24 October.

WESTON. 2007a. Final Management Work Plan, Borehole Hydrogeophysics and Conceptual Site Model Development at the Former Nike Battery LO-58 and Well Investigation at the Former Loring Air Force Base Communications Annex, Formerly Used Defense Sites Caribou and Perham, Maine. 27 June.

WESTON. 2007b. Site Historical Report, Five DERP-FUDS, Northern Aroostook County, Maine. November.



WESTON. 2009. <u>Telephone Conversation Record</u> between Joseph Schmidl, C.G. (WESTON) and Fred Ormezzani (VFW Post 9389), Re: Water Treatment System at VFW Post 9389. 7 April.

FIGURES









LEGEND				
DESCRIPTION	SYMBOL	DESCRIPTION		
WELL LOCATION	CB	CATCH BASIN		
WATER SUPPLY WELLS		MAJOR CONTOUR		
SOIL BORING LOCATION		OVERHEAD ELECTRIC LINE		
SANITARY SEWER MANHOLE	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	TREE LINE		
UTILITY POLE	560	BEDROCK ELEVATION CONTOUR LINE (FT AMSL)		
FENCE				

SOLUTIONS. NEW HAMPSH	DEPARTMENT NEW ENGLA CORPS OF CONCORD, MA	DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY NEW ENGLAND DISTRICT CORPS OF ENGINEERS CONCORD, MASSACHUSETTS		
DATE	des. eng.	DATE	W.O. NO.	
JUL 2009	JS	JUL 2009	03886.184.001	
DATE	SCALE	REVISION	FIGURE NO.	
JUL 2009	AS SHOWN		2-3	









From HydroPhysicsTM and Geophysical Logging Results, 2009.





Figure 2-7

Concentration Trends in Analytical Results for Well DW-1 Maine Formerly Used Defense Site LO-58 Borehole Hydrophysics and Geophysics Report



i - i





Degradation Rate for Chlorinated Solvents for Well DW-1 Maine Formerly Used Defense Site LO-58 Borehole Hydrophysics and Geophysics Report



Groundwater Parameter Trends for Wells DW-1 and DW-2 Maine Formerly Used Defense Site LO-58 Borehole Hydrophysics and Geophysics Report



G:\PROJECTS\03886184\001\Reports\LO-58 Site\FinalGeophys\Figures\Figure2-7.xlsx

L.
TABLES



Well Construction Summary Maine Formerly Used Defense Site LO-58 Borehole Hydrophysics and Geophysics Report

Well ID	MW-01	MW-02	MW-03	MW-04	MW-05	DW-1	DW-2
Ground Elevation (ft amsl)	577.3	587.6	567.5	603.4	575.9	571	546.5
Protective/Steel Casing Elevation (ft amsl)	578.96	590.13	571.07	605.84	575.88	573	539.5
Top of Inner Casing Elevation (ft amsl)	578.79	589.36	570.63	605.45	575.72	na	na
Casing Stickup, construction log (ft)	1.66	2.53	3.57	2.44	-0.02	na	na
Casing Stickup, measured (ft)	1.66	2.53	3.57	2.44	-0.02	2.4	-6*
Well Total Depth, construction log (ft bmp)	142	62	47	82	82	na	na
Well Total Depth, measured (ft bmp)	143.1	61.6	47.85	82.7	77.8	58.1	284
Casing Diameter (inches)	2	2	2	2	2	6	6
Screened Interval Elevation (ft amsl)	435.69 to 445.69	527.76 to 537.76	521.78 to 531.78	522.75 to 532.75	497.92 to 507.92	514.9 to 563	524.5 to 255.5
Casing Bottom Elevation (ft amsl)	435.69	527.76	521.78	522.75	497.92	514.9	255.5
Depth to Water (ft bmp)	28.27	34.32	20.10	40.82	25.32	25.92	8.86
Groundwater Elevation (ft amsl)	550.52	555.04	550.53	564.63	550.4	547.08	530.64

Notes:

na = not available

Elevations for well DW-1 and DW-2 are approximate and not the result of a precise survey.

ft bmp = feet below measuring point

ft amsl = feet above mean sea level

Groundwater depth measurements were made on 21 May 2008.

* = Following fieldwork, well casing was raised 4 ft to meet current construction guidelines; current stickup is -2 ft.

Hriff



Summary of Bedrock Groundwater Elevations, April through May 2008 Maine Formerly Used Defense Site LO-58 Borehole Hydrophysics and Geophysics Report

	Ground	Measuring Point	Depth t (ft b	o Water omp)	Groundwat (ft a	er Elevation msl)
Well ID	Elevation (ft amsl)	Elevation (ft amsl)	30-Apr-2008	21-May-2008	30-Apr-2008	21-May-2008
MW-01	577.3	578.79	16.95	28.27	561.84	550.52
MW-02	587.6	589.36	19.83	34.32	569.53	555.04
MW-03	567.5	570.63	9.95	20.10	560.68	550.53
MW-04	603.4	605.45	25.36	40.82	580.09	564.63
MW-05	575.9	575.72	14.93	25.32	560.79	550.40
DW-1	571	573	18.87	25.92	554.1	547.1
DW-2	546.5	539.5	2.54	8.86	537.0	530.7

Notes:

Elevations for well DW-01 and DW-02 are approximate, and not the result of a precise survey. Groundwater depth for well DW-01 reported for 30 April 2008 was measured on 6 May 2008. Groundwater depth for well DW-02 reported for 30 April 2008 was measured on 5 May 2008. ft bmp = feet below measuring point.

ft amsl = feet above mean sea level.

Ĭ



Summary of Drinking Water Analytical Results Maine Formerly Used Defense Site LO-58 Borehole Hydrophysics and Geophysics Report

Station Name Field Sample ID	Maine Maximum Exposure Guideline	EPA Maximum Contaminant	Maine Action	VFW Spigot VFW-SPIGOT	VFW Tap VFW-TAP	AMAC Tap AMAC-TAP	VFW Tap VFW-TAP-051508	VFW Tap VFW-TAP-052108	AMAC Tap 052108
Sample Date	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	5/8/2008	5/8/2008	5/8/2008	5/15/2008	5/21/2008	5/21/2008
Volatile Organic Compour	nds by EPA N	lethod 524.2 (µg/L)						
Bromodichloromethane	6	NE	3	0.4 J	2.2	0.5 U	1.1	0.5 U	0.5 U
Bromoform	44	NE	22	2.4	3.6	0.5 U	6	0.5 U	0.5 U
Chloroform	70	80	35	0.5 U	4.9	0.5 U	0.69	0.5 U	0.5 U
Dibromochloromethane	4	NE	2	1.3	3.1	0.5 U	3.5	0.5 U	0.5 U
Toluene	1,400	1,000	500	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	1.0	0.5 U
Trichloroethene	5**	5	2.5	0.4 J	3.4	0.5 U	0.26 J	0.5 U	0.5 U

Notes:

NE = Standard not established.

Bold = Substance Detected

EPA = United States Environmental Protection Agency

VFW = Veterans of Foreign Wars

AMAC = Adult Multiple Alternative Center

* =The action level used by the State of Maine is one-half the Maine Maximum Exposure Guideline or EPA Maximum Contaminant Level, whichever is lower.

** = the 1992 Maine Maximum Exposure Guideline of 5 μg/L remains the "relevant and appropriate ", and supercedes the 2008 Maine Maximum Exposure Guideline of 32 μg/L. Pink Highlight = Result exceeds the State of Maine action level.

 μ g/L = Micrograms per liter (parts per billion)

J = Quantitation approximate.

U = Not detected.

Summary of Deionized Water Analytical Results Maine Formerly Used Defense Site LO-58 Borehole Hydrophysics and Geophysics Report

Station Name	Maine Maximum	EPA Maximum	Maine	VFW - Colog DI	VFW - Spigot	CFD - Colog DI	Colog DI - Old Tank	Colog DI - Culligan Tank w/ Carbon Filter	Colog DI - Culligan Tank
Field Sample ID Sample Date	Exposure Guideline (ug/L)	Contaminant Limit (ug/L)	Action Level* (ug/L)	CologDI-050508 5/5/2008	VFW-SPIGOT 5/8/2008	CologDI-050508 5/8/2008	COLOGDI-051108 5/11/2008	01 DI & C 5/12/2008	011360T DI only 5/12/2008
Volatile Organic Compour	nds by EPA M	lethod 524.2 (µg/L)					•	
Acetone	6,300	NE	3,150	5 U	5 U	5 U	26	105	2 U
Bromodichloromethane	6	NE	3	0.5 U	0.4 J	0.5 U	2 U	2 U	2 U
Bromoform	44	NE	22	0.5 U	2.4	0.5 U	2 U	2 U	2 U
Chlorobenzene	47**	100	23.5	0.5	0.5 U	0.6	2 U	2 U	2 U
Chloroform	70	80	35	0.3 J	0.5 U	0.5 U	86	2 U	2 U
Dibromochloromethane	4	NE	2	0.5 U	1.3	0.5 U			
Methyl ethyl ketone (MEK)	170**	NE	85	5 U	5 U	14	14	10 U	10 U
n-Propylbenzene	NE	NE	NE	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	2 U	2 U	2 U
Tetrahydrofuran	70	NE	35	85 D	2.5 U	96 J	40	5 U	5 U
Trichloroethene	5**	5	2.5	0.5 U	0.4 J	0.5 U	2 U	2 U	2 U
1,2-Ethylene Dibromide, 1	,2-Dibromo-3	-Chloropropan	ne, and 1,2,3	3-Trichloropronane by E	PA Method 504.1 (µg	/L)			
No analytes detected	NE	NE	NE						

Notes:

F.H

EPA = United States Environmental Protection Agency

NE = Standard not established.

J = Quantitation approximate.

U = Not detected.

VFW = Veterans of Foreign Wars

* =The action level used by the State of Maine is one-half the Maine Maximum Exposure Guideline or EPA Maximum Contaminant Level, whichever is lower.

** = the 1992 Maine Maximum Exposure Guideline for this substance remains the "relevant and appropriate ", and supercedes the higher 2008 Maine Maximum Exposure Guideline.

µg/L = Micrograms per liter (parts per billion)

Bold = Substance detected.

Blue Highlight = Exceeds Maine Maximum Exposure Guideline.

D = Result from dilution analysis.

-- = Not analyzed

Summary of Groundwater Parameters Measured During Wire-Line Straddle Packer Low-Flow Sampling Maine Formerly Used Defense Site LO-58 Borehole Hydrophysics and Geophysics Report

Well		DW - 1 (AMAC Well)													
Depth Interval (feet below ground surface)	24	4.98 to 33.1	5	3	33.75 to 38.5		4	41.2 to 51.9		51 to 58.1			56.6 to 58.1		
Time	15:53	15:58	16:03	11:45	11:50	11:55	18:00	18:05	18:10	9:15	9:20	9:25	18:57	19:02	19:07
Purge Rate (Milliliters/minute)	100	100	100	120	120	120	720	720	720	1,000	1,000	1,000	90	90	90
Temperature (Degrees Centigrade) [3%]	13.16	13.06	13.04	16.44	16.44	16.44	15.42	15.37	15.58	14.26	14.26	14.33	11.87	11.85	11.84
Specific Conductivity (Microsiemens/ centimeter) [3%]	438	439	440	454	463	466	433	434	433	458	458	461	422	424	426
pH (standard units) [+/-0.1]	7.34	7.33	7.33	7.17	7.17	7.17	7.35	7.34	7.32	7.16	7.17	7.18	7.36	7.36	7.36
Oxidation/Reduction Potential/Eh ² (millivolts) [+/-10]	70.1	72.9	75.0	15.9	15.3	14.5	1.8	-4.8	-2.1	106.2	106.1	104.2	215.0	214.8	214.6
Dissolved Oxygen (Milligrams/ Liter) [10%]	8.50	8.46	8.48	8.65	8.49	8.48	5.29	5.43	5.34	7.30	7.26	7.32	10.00	9.93	9.90
Turbidity (Nephelometric Turbidity Units) [10%]	10.27	9.79	9.86	3.80	3.50	3.90	6.48	6.37	6.31	12.00	11.00	10.00	16.00	14.00	15.00

Well									DW - 2 (V	FW Well)								
Depth Interval (feet below ground surface)		16.0 to 20.0)	28.5 to 32.5			37.0 to 41.7		94.5 to 98.5		187.9 to 192.2			265.0 to 284.0				
Time	15:21	15:26	15:31	18:15	18:20	18:25	12:04	12:09	12:14	15:19	15:24	15:29	18:43	18:48	18:53	21:39	21:44	21:49
Purge Rate (Milliliters/minute)	44	45	44	5,909	5,909	5,909	800	800	800	750	750	750	700	700	700	600	600	600
Temperature (Degrees Centigrade) [3%]	18.48	19.11	18.87	8.78	8.72	8.76	17.29	17.08	17.09	12.66	12.42	12.58	9.16	9.15	9.14	7.84	7.79	7.77
Specific Conductivity (Microsiemens/ centimeter) [3%]	429	425	430	448	450	450	444	446	451	435	435	436	428	428	429	227	225	224
pH (standard units) [+/-0.1 unit]	7.68	7.68	7.67	7.62	7.61	7.61	7.39	7.39	7.39	7.43	7.42	7.42	7.71	7.70	7.70	8.26	8.28	8.30
Oxidation/Reduction Potential/Eh ² (millivolts) [+/-10 units]	162.9	162.1	162.1	126.7	123.4	122.3	178.0	178.7	178.7	195.6	195.1	192.6	141.2	141.0	140.5	119.4	117.6	116.4
Dissolved Oxygen (Milligrams/ Liter) [10%]	9.45	9.28	9.33	11.60	11.59	11.58	9.15	9.17	9.16	9.82	9.84	9.72	3.37	3.16	2.97	7.16	7.03	6.95
Turbidity (Nephelometric Turbidity Units) [10%]	50.00	51.00	52.00	<1	<1	<1	5.50	5.80	5.30	79.00	66.50	47.20	47.00	45.00	44.00	103.60	102.40	101.30
Nataa																		

Eri

Notes: AMAC = Adult Multiple Alternative Center VFW = Veterans of Foreign Wars

WESTER



Summary of Drinking Water Well Wire-Line Straddle Packer Sampling Analytical Results Maine Formerly Used Defense Site LO-58 Borehole Hydrophysics and Geophysics Report

Well	Maine Maximum	EPA Maximum	Maine				DW-1		
Field Sample ID	Exposure	Contaminant	Action	LS58DW1-0508-29	LS58DW1-0508-34	LS58DW1-0508-34E	LS58DW1-0508-41	LS58DW1-0508-51	LS58DW1-0508-56
Sample Date	Guideline	Limit	Level*	5/20/2008	5/20/2008	5/20/2008	5/19/2008	5/19/2008	5/18/2008
Depth Interval (ft bgs)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(water) 24.98 to 33.15	33.75	i to 38.5	41.2 to 51.9	51.0 to 58.1 (bottom)	56.6 to 58.1 (bottom)
Volatile Organic Compoun	ds by EPA M	ethod 524.2 (µ	ıg/L)						
Benzene	6	5	2.5	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U
Chloroform	70	80	35	0.52	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.24 J	0.5 U	0.34 J
cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene	70	70	35	0.5 U	0.44 J	0.45 J	1.2	0.96	0.52
Toluene	1,400	1,000	500	120 D	25	22	12	0.5 U	22
Trichloroethylene	5**	5	2.5	1.8	2.5	2.5	3.4	3.1	2
1,2-Ethylene Dibromide, 1,	2-Dibromo-3-	Chloropropan	e, and 1,2,3	3-Trichloropronane by E	PA Method 504.1 (µg/l	_)			
No analytes detected									
Gasoline Range Organics	by the Maine Health and Environmental Testing Laboratory Method 4.1.17 (μg/L)								
Gasoline Range Organics	50	NE	NE	156	24	23	14	10 U	27
Diesel Range Organics by	the Maine He	alth and Envir	onmental 1	Festing Laboratory Meth	od 4.1.25 (µg/L)				
Diesel Range Organics	50	NE	NE	50 U	50 U	50 U	51 J ¹	50 U	350 J ¹

Well	Maine Maximum	EPA Maximum	Maine				DW-2		
Field Sample ID	Exposure	Contaminant	Action	LS58DW2-0508-16	LS58DW2-0508-28.5	LS58DW2-0508-37	LS58DW2-0508-94.5	LS58DW2-0508-189	LS58DW2-0508-265
Sample Date	Guideline	Limit	Level*	5/16/2008	5/16/2008	5/17/2008	5/17/2008	5/17/2008	5/17/2008
Depth Interval (ft bgs)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	16.0 to 20.0	28.5 to 32.5	37.0 to 41.7	94.5 to 98.5	187.9 to 192.2	265 to 284.0 (bottom)
Volatile Organic Compounds by EPA Method 524.2 (μg/L)									
Benzene	6	5	2.5	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U
Chloroform	70	80	35	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U U
cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene	70	70	35	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.23 J	0.5 U
Toluene	1,400	1,000	500	2.4	0.5 U	0.5 U	5.5	2.3 U ¹	0.79 U ¹
Trichloroethylene	5**	5	2.5	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U
1,2-Ethylene Dibromide, 1,	2-Dibromo-3-	Chloropropan	e, and 1,2,3	-Trichloropronane by E	PA Method 504.1 (µg/L	.)			
No analytes detected									
Gasoline Range Organics	by the Maine	Health and En	vironmenta	al Testing Laboratory M	ethod 4.1.17 (µg/L)				
Gasoline Range Organics	50	NE	25	10 U	10 U	10 U	10 U	10 U	10 U
Diesel Range Organics by	the Maine He	alth and Envir	onmental T	esting Laboratory Meth	od 4.1.25 (µg/L)				
Diesel Range Organics	50	NE	25	1,050	50 U	50 U	50 U	50 U	80 J ¹

Notes:

HAN

NE = standard not established

 $\mu g/L$ = micrograms per liter (parts per billion)

ft bgs = feet below ground surface

Bold = substance detected

D = Result from dilution analysis

J = Quantitation approximate

 J^1 = Diesel range organics quantitation approximate due to detection in rinsate blank

U = substance not detected at the listed detection limit

Blue Highlight = Exceeds Maine Maximum Exposure Guideline

EPA = United States Environmental Protection Agency

U¹ = Toluene qualifiued as not detected due to detection in rinsate blank

* =The action level used by the State of Maine is one-half the Maine Maximum Exposure Guideline or EPA Maximum Contaminant Level, whichever is lower.

** = the 1992 Maine Maximum Exposure Guideline of 5 µg/L remains the "relevant and appropriate ", and supercedes the 2008 Maine Maximum Exposure Guideline of 32 µg/L.

Pink Highlight = Result exceeds the State of Maine action level.

APPENDIX A

ELECTRONIC FILES: RAW AND PROCESSED HYDROPHYSICAL AND GEOPHYSICAL DATA; LABORATORY ELECTRONIC DATA DELIVERABLE; ADR-REVIEWED FILES; PACKER TEST MONITORING DATA; AND PRESSURE TRANSDUCER DATA

APPENDIX B

HYDROPHYSICS[™] AND GEOPHYSICAL LOGGING RESULTS INCLUDING HYDROPHYSICS[™] AND GEOPHYSICAL LOG MONTAGE PLOTS



HydroPhysical[™] and Geophysical Logging Results Former LO-58 Nike Battery Launch Site, Maine Formerly Used Defense Sites (FUDS) Caribou, ME

Prepared for Weston Solutions, Inc. January 13, 2009

Prepared by COLOG Division of Layne Christensen Company 810 Quail Street Suite E, Lakewood, CO, 80215 Phone: (303) 279-0171 Fax: (303) 278-0135

Prepared By:

Reviewed By:

Summer Montgomery Geophysical Engineer Greg Bauer Asst. Gen. Manager/Senior Hydrogeologist

HydroPhysical[™] and Geophysical Logging Results; Former LO-58 Nike Battery Launch Site, Maine Formerly Used Defense Sites (FUDS); Caribou, ME

I. Executive Summary

Table: Summary:1Summary of HydroPhysical Logging ResultsTable: WSP Summary

II. Introduction

Well Construction Summary

III. Methodology

- A. HydroPhysical[™] Logging (HpL[™])
- B. Optical Televiewer (OBI)
- C. Acoustic Televiewer (ABI)
- D. 3-Arm Caliper
- E. Natural Gamma
- F. Electrical Measurements
- G. Fluid Temperature/Resistivity (Conductivity)
- H. Full Waveform Sonic
- I. Induction Conductivity

MW-1 Logging Results

1.0 Geophysical Logging

- 1.1 Ambient Fluid Temperature/Fluid Conductivity
- 1.2 Natural Gamma
- 1.3 EM Induction Conductivity
- 1.4 Vertical Seismic Profile (VSP)

Figures – MW-1

Summary Plot

Geophysical Summary Plot

MW-2 Logging Results

1.0 Geophysical Logging

- 1.1 Ambient Fluid Temperature/Fluid Conductivity
- 1.2 Natural Gamma
- 1.3 EM Induction Conductivity
- 1.4 Vertical Seismic Profile (VSP)

1.5 Water Chemistry (pH, DO)

Figures – MW-2

Summary Plot

Geophysical Summary Plot

MW-3 Logging Results

1.0 Geophysical Logging

- 1.1 Ambient Fluid Temperature/Fluid Conductivity
- 1.2 Natural Gamma

Summary Plot

- 1.3 EM Induction Conductivity
- 1.4 Vertical Seismic Profile (VSP)
- 1.5 Water Chemistry (pH, DO)

Figures – MW-3

Geophysical Summary Plot

MW-4 Logging Results

- **1.0 Geophysical Logging**
- 1.1 Ambient Fluid Temperature/Fluid Conductivity
- 1.2 Natural Gamma
- 1.3 EM Induction Conductivity
- 1.4 Vertical Seismic Profile (VSP)

Figures – MW-4

Summary Plot

Geophysical Summary Plot

MW-5 Logging Results

1.0 Geophysical Logging

- 1.1 Ambient Fluid Temperature/Fluid Conductivity
- 1.2 Natural Gamma
- 1.3 EM Induction Conductivity
- 1.4 Vertical Seismic Profile (VSP)
- 1.5 Water Chemistry (pH, DO)

Figures – MW-5

Summary Plot

Geophysical Summary Plot

DW-1 Logging Results

1.0 HydroPhysical[™] Logging

- 1.1 Ambient Fluid Electrical Conductivity and Temperature Log
- 1.2 Ambient Flow Characterization

- 1.3 Flow Characterization During 6 GPM Production Test
- 1.4 Estimation of Interval-Specific Transmissivity
- 2.0 Geophysical Logging
- 2.1 Optical Televiewer (OBI)/Acoustic Televiewer (ABI)
- 2.2 Three-Arm Caliper
- 2.3 Natural Gamma
- 2.4 Electric Resistivities (8, 16, 32, 64-inch Normal Resistivities, SP, SPR)
- 2.5 EM Induction Conductivity
- 2.6 Water Chemistry (pH, ORP, DO)
- 2.7 Full Waveform Sonic
- 2.8 Vertical Seismic Profile (VSP)
- 2.9 Wireline Straddle Packer (WSP)
- 3.0 Data Summary

Figures and Tables – DW-1

Ambient Temperature and Fluid Electrical Conductivity
Summary of HydroPhysical [™] Logs During Ambient Flow
Characterization
Pumping and Drawdown Data During 6 GPM Production
Test
Summary of HydroPhysical [™] Logs During 6 GPM
Production Test
Summary of HydroPhysical [™] Logging Results with
Hydraulic Conductivity And Transmissivity Estimations
Geophysical Summary Plot – Plate 1
Geophysical Summary Plot – Plate 2
Optical Borehole Image Plot
Acoustic Borehole Image Plot
Rose Diagram of Optical Televiewer Features – Dip
Direction
Rose Diagram of Optical Televiewer Features – Dip
Angles
Stereonet Projection of Optical Televiewer Features –
Schmidt Projection with Contours
Stereonet Projection of Optical Televiewer Features –
Schmidt Projection with Feature Ranks
Orientation Summary Table Optical Image Features
Summary of Vertical Seismic Profile Results
Pressure and Extraction Rate Data During Wireline
Straddle Packer Sampling at 33.15 Feet and Up
Pressure and Extraction Rate Data During Wireline
Straddle Packer Sampling at 33.75 to 38.5 Feet
Pressure and Extraction Rate Data During Wireline
Straddle Packer Sampling at 41.2 to 51.9 Feet
Pressure and Extraction Rate Data During Wireline
Straddle Packer Sampling at 51.0 to 58.2 Feet (TD)

Figure DW-1:9E	Pressure and Extraction Rate Data During Wireline
	Straddle Packer Sampling at 54.0 to 58.2 Feet (TD)
Table DW-1:4	Summary of Wireline Straddle Packer Testing With
	Hydraulic Conductivity And Transmissivity Estimations

DW-2 Logging Results

1.0 HydroPhysical[™] Logging

- 1.1 Ambient Fluid Electrical Conductivity and Temperature Log
- 1.2 Ambient Flow Characterization
- 1.3 Flow Characterization During 6 GPM Production Test
- 1.4 Estimation of Interval-Specific Transmissivity
- 2.0 Geophysical Logging
- 2.1 Optical Televiewer (OBI)/Acoustic Televiewer (ABI)
- 2.2 Three-Arm Caliper
- 2.3 Natural Gamma
- 2.4 Electric Resistivities (8, 16, 32, 64-inch Normal Resistivities, SP, SPR)
- 2.5 EM Induction Conductivity
- 2.6 Water Chemistry (pH, ORP, DO)
- 2.7 Full Waveform Sonic
- 2.8 Vertical Seismic Profile (VSP)
- 2.9 Wireline Straddle Packer (WSP)
- 3.0 Data Summary

Figures and Tables – DW-2

Figure DW-2:1	Ambient Temperature and Fluid Electrical Conductivity
Figure DW-2:2	Summary of HydroPhysical [™] Logs During Ambient Flow
	Characterization
Figure DW-2:3	Pumping and Drawdown Data During 6 GPM Production
	Test
Figure DW-2:4A	Summary of HydroPhysical [™] Logs During Development
	Pumping at 6 GPM
Figure DW-2:4B	Summary of HydroPhysical [™] Logs During 6 GPM
	Production Test
Table DW-2:1	Summary of HydroPhysical [™] Logging Results with
	Hydraulic Conductivity And Transmissivity Estimations
Summary Plot	Geophysical Summary Plot – Plate 1
Summary Plot	Geophysical Summary Plot – Plate 2
Full Plot	Optical Borehole Image Plot
Full Plot	Acoustic Borehole Image Plot
Figure DW-2:5	Rose Diagram of Optical Televiewer Features – Dip
	Direction
Figure DW-2:6	Rose Diagram of Optical Televiewer Features – Dip
	Angles
Figure DW-2:7	Stereonet Projection of Optical Televiewer Features –
	Schmidt Projection with Contours

Figure DW-2:8	Stereonet Projection of Optical Televiewer Features –
-	Schmidt Projection with Feature Ranks
Table DW-2:2	Orientation Summary Table Optical Image Features
Table DW-2:3	Summary of Vertical Seismic Profile Results
Figure DW-2:9A	Pressure and Extraction Rate Data During Wireline
	Straddle Packer Sampling at 16.0 to 20.0 Feet
Figure DW-2:9B	Pressure and Extraction Rate Data During Wireline
	Straddle Packer Sampling at 28.5 to 32.5 Feet
Figure DW-2:9C	Pressure and Extraction Rate Data During Wireline
	Straddle Packer Sampling at 37.0 to 41.7 Feet
Figure DW-2:9D	Pressure and Extraction Rate Data During Wireline
	Straddle Packer Sampling at 94.5 to 98.5 Feet
Figure DW-2:9E	Pressure and Extraction Rate Data During Wireline
	Straddle Packer Sampling at 187.9 to 192.2 Feet
Figure DW-2:9E	Pressure and Extraction Rate Data During Wireline
	Straddle Packer Sampling at 265.4 to 284.0 Feet (TD)
Table DW-2:4	Summary of Wireline Straddle Packer Testing With
	Hydraulic Conductivity And Transmissivity Estimations

IV. Appendices

Appendix A	Standard Operating Procedures for HydroPhysical [™] Logging
Appendix B	BORE II Modeling Software
Appendix C	BORE II Modeling Code Comparisons
Appendix D	Limitations

List of Acronyms

Weston Solutions – Weston Solutions, Inc. gpm – gallons per minute FEC – Fluid Electrical Conductivity OBI - Optical Borehole Imager (optical televiewer) ABI – Acoustic Borehole Imager (acoustic televiewer) WSP – Wireline Straddle Packer VSP – Vertical Seismic Profile ft – feet min. – minute cm – centimeters s – second µS – micro Siemons HpL[™] - HydroPhysical[™] Logging DI – De-ionized, e.g., DI water ftbtoc – feet below top of casing GS – Ground Surface

HydroPhysical[™] and Geophysical Logging Results Former LO-58 Nike Battery Launch Site, Maine Formerly Used Defense Sites (FUDS) Caribou, ME

I. Executive Summary

The results of the HydroPhysicalTM and geophysical logging performed in two open boreholes and five 2inch PVC wells at the Former LO-58 Nike Battery Launch Site, Maine Formerly Used Defense Sites (FUDS) in Caribou, Maine identified water-bearing fractures ranging in flow rates from 0.005 to 5.69 gpm. High-angle fractures with aperture were identified in each of the two open boreholes and wireline straddle packer testing indicated the high-angle fractures are likely providing a vertical conduit for hydraulic communication outside the influence of the borehole. Fracture-specific transmissivities calculated using the hydrophysical data in the two open boreholes range from 0.20 to 220 feet²/day. Fracture-specific FEC did not vary significantly between the boreholes, with FEC ranging from 321 to 597 μ S/cm.

Ambient testing identified horizontal flow in DW-1 and downward vertical flow within the fluid column in DW-2. The difference is likely due to the highly fractured borehole in DW-1 with some of those water-bearing fractures high-angle, resulting in an interconnected network of fractures that have pressure-equilibrated outside the influence of the borehole. In DW-2, a significantly deeper borehole, though there are still high-angle fractures identified, their aperture and water-bearing potential are not as significant and likely lack the vertical hydraulic potential in the middle portion of DW-2.

Please refer to Table Summary:1 for a complete summary of the HydroPhysical[™] logging results. All depths reported herein are referenced to the top of steel or PVC casings.

Table Summary 1: Summary of Hydrophysical Logging Results; Weston Solutions; LO-58; Caribou, ME.

Well ID	Water Bearing Interval #	Interval of Flow (feet)	Interval Specific Flow Rate During Ambient Testing (gpm)	Interval Specific Flow Rate During Pumping (gpm)	Transmissivity (ft2/day)	Interval-Specific Fluid Electrical Conductivity (uS/cm)
	1	27.3 - 31.7	0.085	0.207	8.51E+00	376
DW-1	2	34.6 - 35.0	0.011	0.195	1.28E+01	376
	3	37.4 - 38.4	0.000	0.745	5.18E+01	428
	4	40.4 - 48.6	0.140	2.00	1.29E+02	357
	5	49.0 - 50.2	0.018	0.416	2.76E+01	375
	6	52.7 - 53.6	0.058	1.65	1.11E+02	375
	7	54.4 - 58.1	0.000	0.838	5.82E+01	375
				-		
	1	19.5 - 19.6	0.026	0.01	2.95E+00	321
	2	30.4 - 31.6	0.297	5.69	2.20E+02	378
	3	38.2 - 41.8	0.016	0.374	1.43E+01	528
	4	44.9 - 51.4	0.074	0.081	2.82E-01	512
	5	96.4 - 97.0	-0.370	0.000	1.48E+01	432
DW-2	6	143.3 - 144.3	0.000	0.008	3.20E-01	432
	7	179.2 - 183.0	0.000	0.015	6.00E-01	431
	8	189.5 - 191.0	-0.185	0.051	9.45E+00	429
	9	191.4 - 218.3	0.000	0.008	3.20E-01	429
	10	227.4 - 228.2	0.000	0.005	2.00E-01	597
	11	243.7 - 279.2	0.000	0.024	9.61E-01	597





	9		و													
	LS58DW1-0508-5(5/18/2008	56.6 to 58.1 (bottor		0.5 U	0.34 J	0.52	2	22				27		350	
	LS58DW1-0508-51	5/19/2008	51.0 to 58.1 (bottom)		0.5 U	0.5 U	0.96	3.1	0.5 U	() ()			10 U		50 U	
-1	LS58DW1-0508-41	5/19/2008	41.2 to 51.9		0.5 U	0.24 J	1.2	3.4	12	cy Method 504.1 (µg/			14		51	
DW	LS58DW1-0508-34E	5/20/2008	38.5		0.5 U	0.5 U	0.45 J	2.5	22	netal Protection Agen		/r)	23		50 U	
	LS58DW1-0508-34	5/20/2008	33.75 to	24.2 (µg/L)	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.44 J	2.5	25	nane by U.S. Environn		ory Method 4.1.17 (µg	24	Method 4.1.25 (µg/L)	50 U	
	LS58DW1-0508-29	5/20/2008	water) 24.98 to 33.15	tion Agency Method 5	0.5 U	0.52	0.5 U	1.8	120 D	ind 1,2,3-Trichloroproi		iental Testing Laborat	156	tal Testing Laboratory	50 U	
EPA	Maximum	Contaminant	Limit (µg/L)	onmetal Protec	5	NE	02	5	1,000	hloropropane, a		h and Environm	NE	ind Environmen	NE	
Maine	Exposure	Guideline	(µg/L)	s by U.S. Envir	9	20	20	32	1,400	2-Dibromo-3-C		by Maine Healt	50	Maine Health a	50	
Well	Field Sample ID	Sample Date	Depth Interval (ft bgs)	Volatile Organic Compund:	Benzene	Chloroform	cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene	Trichloroethylene	Toluene	1,2-Ethylene Dibromide, 1,	No analytes detected	Gasoline Range Organics I	Gasoline Range Organics	Diesel Range Organics by	Diesel Range Organics	

Well	Maine	EPA			N	V-2		
Field Sample ID	Exposure	Maximum	LS58DW2-0508-16	LS58DW2-0508-28.5	LS58DW2-0508-37	LS58DW2-0508-94.5	LS58DW2-0508-189	LS58DW2-0508-265
Sample Date	Guideline	Contaminant	5/16/2008	5/16/2008	5/17/2008	5/17/2008	5/17/2008	5/17/2008
Depth Interval (ft bgs)	(hg/L)	Limit (µg/L)	16.0 to 20.0	28.5 to 32.5	37.0 to 41.7	94.5 to 98.5	187.9 to 192.2	265 to 284.0 (bottom)
Volatile Organic Compund:	s by U.S. Envir	onmetal Protect	tion Agency Method N	Method 524.2 (µg/L)				
Benzene	9	5	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0 2 N	0.5 U	0.5 U
Chloroform	70	Ш	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U U
cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene	02	02	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0 2 N	0.23 J	0.5 U
Trichloroethylene	32	5	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.5 U
Toluene	1,400	1,000	2.4	0.5 U	0.5 U	5.5	2.3 U1	0.79 U1
1,2-Ethylene Dibromide, 1,	2-Dibromo-3-CI	hloropropane, a	nd 1,2,3-Trichloropro	nane by U.S. Environn	netal Protection Ager	ncy Method 504.1 (µg/)	(
No analytes detected								
Gasoline Range Organics I	by Maine Healt	h and Environm	ental Testing Laborat	tory Method 4.1.17 (µg	(r)			
Gasoline Range Organics	50	NE	10 U	10 N	10 U	10 N	10 U	10 U
Diesel Range Organics by	Maine Health a	nd Environmen	tal Testing Laborator	y Method 4.1.25 (µg/L)				
Diesel Range Organics	50	NE	1,050	20 N	50 U	20 N	20 N	80

Notes:

 µg/L = Micrograms per liter (parts per billion).
 ft bgs = Feet below ground surface.
 Bold = Substance Detected.
 Blue Highlight = Exceeds Maine Exposure Guideline. NE = Standard not established.

- D = Result from dilution analysis.
 J = Quantitation approximate.
 U = substance not detected at the listed detection limit.
 U1 = Toluene qualifued as not detected due to detection in rinsate blank.

II. Introduction

In accordance with COLOG's proposal dated February 23, 2007, COLOG has applied HydroPhysical[™] (HpL[™]) and geophysical logging methods and wireline straddle packer methods to characterize the formation waters and orientation of identified fractures and features intersecting two open boreholes and five PVC wells at the Former LO-58 Nike Battery Launch Site, Maine Formerly Used Defense Sites (FUDS) in Caribou, Maine. The objectives of the investigation were to:

- 1) Evaluate temperature and fluid electrical conductivity under pre-testing conditions.
- 2) Identify fractures and features intersecting the borehole and evaluate their orientation.
- 3) Characterize and quantify flow in the borehole under both non-stressed (ambient) and stressed (pumping) conditions.
- 4) Evaluate the vertical distribution of flow and interval-specific permeability for all identified waterproducing fractures or intervals.
- 5) Sample and stress test water-bearing intervals using the WSP to acquire depth-specific groundwater samples.
- 6) Apply surface geophysical methods and downhole methods to estimate subsurface velocities.

The two open boreholes logged with the hydrophysical and geophysical logging methods at the LO-58 site are: DW-1 (AMAC well) and DW-2 (VFW well). The five PVC wells tested are: MW-1, MW-2, MW-3, MW-4 and MW-5. The geophysical and hydrophysical logging methods used to achieve the objectives were HydroPhysical[™] logging, optical televiewer, acoustic televiewer, 3-arm caliper, natural gamma, water quality (pH, ORP, DO), EM induction conductivity, electric resistivity, VSP, wireline straddle packer, downhole video and full waveform sonic. The two open boreholes were tested under both non-stressed, or ambient, conditions and stressed, or pumping, conditions to fully evaluate the waterbearing horizons intersecting the boreholes. The PVC wells were not hydrophysically tested. All depths reported herein are referenced to the top of steel casings in the case of the open boreholes and PVC casings in the case of the wells.

COLOG's logging of the three subject wellbores was performed over the period of May 5th through May 20th, 2008.

Former Nike Battery Launch Site LO-58, Maine Formerly Used Defense Sites Well Construction Summary

Well ID	MW-01	MW-02	MW-03	MW-04	MW-05	DW-1	DW-2
Sround Elevation (ft amsl)	577.3	587.6	567.5	603.4	575.9	571	546.5
Protective Casing Elevation (ft amsl)	578.96	590.13	571.07	605.84	575.88	573	539.5
Fop of Inner Casing Elevation (ft amsl)	578.79	589.36	570.63	605.45	575.72	na	na
Casing Stickup (ft)	1.66	2.53	3.57	2.44	-0.02	2.4	9-
Vell Total Depth (ft bmp)	142	62	47	82	82	58.1	284
Casing Diameter (inches)	2	2	2	2	2	9	9
Concord Interval Elevation (ft amol)	435.69 to	527.76 to	521.78 to	522.75 to	497.92 to	514.9 to	524.5 to
	445.69	537.76	531.78	532.75	507.92	563	255.5
Casing Bottom Elevation (ft amsl)	435.69	527.76	521.78	522.75	497.92	514.9	255.5
Depth to Water (ft bmp)	28.27	34.32	20.10	40.82	25.32	25.92	8.86
Sroundwater Elevation (ft amsl)	550.52	555.04	550.53	564.63	550.4	547.08	530.64

Notes:

Elevations for well DW-1 and DW-2 are approximate, and not the result of a precise survey. It bmp = feet below measuring point.

ft amsl = feet above mean sea level.

Groundwater depth measurements were made on 21 May 2008.

III. Methodology

A. HydroPhysical[™] Logging (HpL[™])

The HydroPhysical[™] logging technique involves pumping the wellbore and then pumping while injecting into the Wellbore with deionized water (DI). During this process, profiles of the changes in fluid electrical conductivity of the fluid column are recorded. These changes occur when electrically contrasting formation water is drawn back into the borehole by pumping or by native formation pressures (for ambient flow characterization). A downhole wireline HydroPhysical[™] tool, which simultaneously measures fluid electrical conductivity (FEC) and temperature is employed to log the physical/chemical changes of the emplaced fluid.

The computer programs FLOWCALC and/or BOREII (Hale and Tsang, 1988 and (Daughtery and Tsang, 2000) can be utilized to evaluate the inflow quantities of the formation water for each specific inflow location. FLOWCALC is used to estimate the interval-specific flow rates for the production test results based on "hand-picked" values of FEC and depth. The values are determined from the "Pumping" and "Pumping During DI Injection logs". Numerical modeling of the reported data is performed using code BORE/BOREII. These methods accurately reflect the flow quantities for the identified water bearing intervals.

In addition to conducting HydroPhysical[™] logging for identification of the hydraulically conductive intervals and quantification of the interval specific flow rates, additional logging runs are also typically performed. Prior to emplacement of DI, ambient fluid electrical conductivity and temperature (FEC/T) logs are acquired to assess the ambient fluid conditions within the borehole. During these runs, no pumping or DI emplacement is performed, and precautions are taken to preserve the existing ambient geohydrological and geochemical regime. These ambient water quality logs are performed to provide baseline values for the undisturbed borehole fluid conditions prior to testing.

For interval-specific permeability estimations, COLOG utilizes Hvorslev's 1951 porosity equation in conjunction with the HpL[™] results. Several assumptions are made for estimating the permeability of secondary porosity. First, the type of production test COLOG performs in the field may significantly affect the accuracy of the transmissivity estimation. The permeability equation is relatively sensitive to overall observed drawdown. For a high yield wellbore, drawdown will usually stabilize and an accurate observed drawdown can be estimated. However, for a low yield wellbore, drawdown usually does not stabilize but instead, water level continues to drop until it reaches the pump inlet and the test is complete. In this case COLOG utilizes the maximum observed drawdown. The inaccuracy arises in the fact that overall observed drawdown does not stabilize and therefore is more an arbitrary value dependent on the placement of the pump downhole. Secondly, in an environment where flow originates from secondary porosity the length of the interval is derived from the either the thickness of the fracture down to 0.1 feet or the thickness of the fracture network producing water. This assumption of a fracture network producing water versus a porous media is not how the permeability equation was designed to be used. In lieu of a more appropriate equation unknown to COLOG at this time, COLOG utilizes Hvorsley's 1951 porosity equation based on its sensitivity to interval-specific flow which can be measured accurately, drawdown which can be measured accurately in the case of a high yield wellbore and its insensitivity to effective radius. The insensitivity to effective radius is critical when an observation well is not available to measure drawdown at a known distance from the subject wellbore.

How to Interpret HydroPhysical[™] Logs

Figure HpL:1 below is an example data set for an ambient flow evaluation. The data represents HpL[™] logs acquired immediately after deionized (DI) water emplacement for ambient flow evaluation. For ambient flow evaluation the wellbore fluids are first replaced with DI water (termed "emplacement"), then a series of fluid electrical conductivity (FEC) logs are acquired over a period of a time to monitor ground water entering the wellbore under natural pressures and migrating either vertically or horizontally through the wellbore. The wellbore fluids are replaced with DI water without disturbing the ambient freewater level by injecting DI water at the bottom of the wellbore and extracting wellbore water at exactly the same rate at the free-water surface. However, at the beginning of the DI water emplacement, a slightly depressed free-water level (approximately one tenth of a foot below ambient free water-level) is achieved and maintained throughout the test. This procedure is implemented to ensure that little to no DI water is able to enter the surrounding formation during DI water emplacement. By acquiring FEC logs during the emplacement of DI water and by continuously measuring water level with a downhole pressure transducer the emplacement can be properly monitored and controlled to minimize the disturbance of the After the wellbore fluids are replaced with DI water, the injection and recorded ambient water. extraction pumps are turned off and in most cases the downhole plumbing is removed from the wellbore. A check valve is installed in the pump standpipe to ensure water in the standpipe does not drain back into the wellbore. While the plumbing is removed from the wellbore DI water is injected from the top of the wellbore to maintain ambient water level. Often a baseline FEC log is acquired during the final stages of the emplacement of DI water to provide baseline conditions just before the ceasing of pumping. Figure HpL:1 illustrates ambient flow entering the wellbore at depths of 150.0 to 152.7, 138.8 to 139.0, 132.7 to 133.4, 122.3 to 123.1 and 118.0 to 118.1 feet. The location of these intervals is illustrated by the sharp increases or "spikes" in FEC. The increase in FEC over time at these four intervals is characteristic of ambient inflow. The upward vertical trend in this inflow is also apparent from the FEC logs. For example, the dominant inflowing zone at 138.8 to 139.0 feet illustrates a major growth in FEC above the inflow "spike", and little growth below the "spike." The zone at 118.0 to 118.1 feet is the termination of all inflow into the well. The sum of the four inflow zones make up the outflow of this zone, and this value, along with the value of the four inflow zones is computed using code BOREII.

COLOG uses three types of tests to identify the water-bearing intervals in a wellbore under stressed conditions. In the lowest yield environment (less than 0.5-0.7 gpm) a slug test approach is utilized. In a relatively low-yield wellbore environment a pump after emplacement (PAE) test is conducted, and in a relatively medium to high-yield wellbore environment a pump and inject (PNI) test is conducted. The decision on the type of test to perform on a specific wellbore is made in the field based on the ability of the wellbore to recover to ambient free-water level when a disturbance in water level is introduced into the well, i.e. inserting tools and/or pluming into the well.

In a low-yield wellbore environment a slug or PAE test is utilized to identify the water-bearing intervals under stressed conditions. These tests are similar in protocol and involve first a replacement of wellbore fluids with DI water in a manner identical to that of the emplacement during an ambient flow evaluation. Often a baseline FEC log is acquired during the final stages of the emplacement of DI water to provide baseline conditions just before the ceasing of injection pumping. Following the cessation of injection pumping, the extraction pump is left used to either pull an instantaneous slug (slug test) or is used to pump at a relatively steady low rate of flow in the wellbore (approximately 1-2 gpm). During this time numerous FEC logs are acquired over time. The location of water-bearing intervals is apparent by the sharp increases or "spikes" in FEC over time. The rate at which these intervals inflow is calculated using BOREII and is based on the rate of increase of mass (area under the curve using the FEC log as the curve). Flow direction is easily determined by tracking the center of mass of the area under the curve. In



most cases, if pumping is being conducted flow is traveling up the wellbore towards the pump which is situated inside casing.

Figure HpL:2 is an example data set from a production test (stress test) from the same wellbore as above. The data represents HpLTM logs acquired during a PNI test. The set of FEC logs on the right of this figure (FEC1303, FEC1310, FEC1320, and FEC1329) illustrate the condition of the wellbore during development pumping. In the case of this example, the wellbore was stressed at a rate of approximately 10 gpm until a relatively steady-state condition was achieved in the wellbore. A steady-state condition is apparent when the FEC logs begin to repeat as they do in figure HpL:2. Repeatable FEC logs indicate that the hydrochemistry of the water inflowing to the wellbore is not changing over time (steady-state) and that the flow rates of all inflow zones is also not changing over time. Additionally, the drawdown is monitored continuously to observe a "slowing down" in the rate of increase of drawdown. When drawdown (water level) is stable, the inflow rates of the various inflow zones are assumed to be steady. By contrast, if DI water injection is begun in the early stages of pumping when drawdown is still increasing, i.e. water level is dropping rapidly, the inflow rates of the various inflow zones would increase with time as less wellbore storage is used to maintain a particular pumping rate. The remaining FEC logs (FEC1435, FEC1450, FEC1503, and FEC1516) illustrate the conditions in the wellbore during pumping and injection procedures. Fluid was extracted from the wellbore at a rate of approximately twelve gpm while DI water was simultaneously injected at the bottom of the wellbore at a rate of approximately two gpm, until a relatively steady-state condition existed in the well. Water-bearing intervals in the wellbore are identified by changes or "steps" in FEC throughout the FEC logs. The flow rate of these intervals is computed using BOREII and/or Flowcalc software. Every location that the FEC increases in these logs is a zone of inflow. Similarly, where the logs decrease in FEC indicates a zone of inflow with water lower in FEC than the water in the wellbore. A zone exhibiting a decrease in FEC on the injection logs should also decrease at the same depth on the development (pre-DI water injection) logs. Please see Appendix B for a detailed discussion of code BOREII used to numerically model the reported field FEC logs.

FIGURE HpL:2. EXAMPLE OF HYDROPHYSICAL LOGS DURING A 10 GPM PRODUCTION TEST WITH EXAMPLE INTERPRETATION.



Sensitivity of Transmissivity to Effective Radius

An estimation of transmissivity (T) has be made for all identified water-bearing intervals using an equation after Hvorslev (1951) assuming steady-state radial flow in an unconfined aquifer:

$$T = KL = \frac{q_i}{2\pi\Delta h_w} \ln\left(\frac{r_e}{r_w}\right)$$

where K is the hydraulic conductivity, q_i is the interval specific inflow rate calculated using HpLTM results (or "Delta Flow" from the table which equals "Interval-Specific Flow Rate During Pumping Conditions" minus "Ambient Flow Rate" if any), r_w is the borehole radius, r_e is the effective pumping radius, Δh_w is the observed maximum drawdown and L is the thickness of the zone through which flow occurs. The thickness, or length of the interval is calculated using a combination of both the HpLTM data and other geophysical data such as optical televiewer data. L can usually be estimated with a high degree of confidence based on both of those data sets. Q_i , or Delta Flow, can also be estimated accurately using code BOREII (see Cppendix B) for the HpLTM data sets. Δh_w is estimated with a high degree of confidence using Cologs' downhole pressure transducer and a laptop to record water-level data every second. Additionally, the borehole radius is confirmed quite readily from caliper data or core data. For this example, r_w equals 0.20 feet, r_e has been assumed to be approximately 100 feet and the observed maximum drawdown was estimated at 9.98 feet (the drawdown plot). By applying L and q_i from the HpLTM results under the two pressure conditions, the interval specific transmissivity can be calculated for each identified water-producing interval.

Colog utilizes Hvorslevs' 1951 equation when an observation well a known distance away with measurable drawdown is not available. Essentially, Hvorslevs' 1951 equation is similar to the prevalent Theis equation minus the observation well drawdown information. In replace of the observation well drawdown data Hvorslevs' equation uses an assumed "effective radius" divided by the borehole radius. One benefit to using Hvorslevs' 1951 equation when observation well data is unavailable is the insensitivity of the equation to the assumed effective radius as this is the only "unknown" variable in the equation. All other variables are known or calculated with a high degree of confidence. Only the effective radius is unproven, or unsupported, but its value can be estimated with some degree of accuracy.

The following example will illustrate the insensitivity of Hvorslevs' 1951 equation to the assumed effective radius of an aquifer. The greatest magnitude of change in this example between r_e of 50 feet and r_e of 300 feet is 2.22 feet²/day transmissivity.

Interval (feet)	Length of Interval (feet)	Q _i - Delta Flow (gpm)	Borehole Radius (feet)	Transmissivity Using r _e of 50 Feet	Transmissivity Using r _e of 100 Feet	Transmissivity Using r _e of 300 Feet
118.0 - 118.1	0.1	3.997	0.20	$6.78 \text{ x } \text{E}^{01}$	7.63 x E ⁰¹	8.98 x E ⁰¹
122.3 - 123.1	0.8	0.335	0.20	$5.68 ext{ x E}^{00}$	6.39 x E ⁰⁰	$7.53 ext{ x E}^{00}$
132.7 - 133.4	0.7	1.217	0.20	2.06 x E^{01}	2.32 x E^{01}	2.73 x E^{01}
138.8 - 139.0	0.2	3.961	0.20	6.72 x E^{01}	7.56 x E^{01}	$8.90 ext{ x } ext{ E}^{01}$
150.0 - 152.7	2.7	0.197	0.20	3.34 x E^{00}	3.76 x E^{00}	$4.43 ext{ x E}^{00}$

B. Optical Televiewer (OBI)

The optical televiewer provides the highest resolution available for fracture and feature analysis in boreholes. This technology is based on direct optical observation of the borehole wall face. Precise measurements of dip angle and direction of bedding and joint planes, along with other geological analyses, are possible in both air and clear fluid filled boreholes.

Theory of Operation

A small light ring illuminates the borehole wall allowing a camera to directly image the borehole wall face. A conical mirror housed in a clear cylindrical window focuses a 360° optical "slice" of the borehole wall into the camera's lens. As the optical televiewer tool is lowered down the hole, the video signal from this camera is transmitted uphole via the wireline to the optical televiewer surface instrumentation.

The signal is digitized in real time by capturing 360 pixels around a 0.5 mm ring from the conical image. The rings are stacked and unwrapped to a 2-D image of the borehole wall. A digital fluxgate magnetometer is used to determine the orientation of the digital image. A secondary mechanical compass is imaged along with the analog signal to insure proper orientation of the digital image.

The optical televiewer image is an oriented, 2-D picture of the borehole wall unwrapped from south to south or north to north depending on the software used (Figure 1). Planar features that intersect the borehole appear to be sinusoids on the unwrapped image. To calculate the dip angle of a fracture or bedding feature the amplitude of the sinusoid (h) and the borehole diameter (d) are required. The angle of dip is equal to the arc tangent of h/d, and the dip direction is picked at the trough of the sinusoid (Figure 1).



Dip Direction = Orientation of Sinusoid Minimum Dip Angle = ArcTan h/d where: h = height of sinusoid d = borehole diameter

Figure 1: Geometric representation of a north dipping fracture plane and corresponding log.

Sinusoidal features were picked throughout wells by visual inspection of the digital optical televiewer images using interactive software. The software performed the orientation calculations and assigned depths to the fractures or bedding features at the inflection points (middles) of the sinusoids. Features were subjectively ranked for flow potential using COLOG's Ranking System for optical televiewer features included in this report. The features picked along with their assigned ranks, orientations and depths are presented in tables for each well. Orientations are based on magnetic north and are corrected for declination. The Stereonet plots and Rose Diagrams provide useful information concerning the

statistical distribution and possible patterns or trends that may exist from the optical televiewer feature orientations.

Interpreting Optical Televiewer Data

Data acquired from the optical televiewer is typically in the form of dip direction/dip angle, i.e. 230/45. When plotted in 2-D color, the fractures and features intersecting the borehole appear as sinusoids as discussed above. Using the software program WellCAD version 3.2, the user identifies the features/fractures and has the software assign and record a dip angle and direction based on the above algorithm as described in the "Theory" section. The data can easily be converted into table format for display in Excel or any tabular editing program. From the data table, rose diagrams and/or stereonets can be generated if requested.

Rose Diagrams

A rose diagram is a polar diagram in which radial length of the petals indicates the relative frequency (percentage) of observation of a particular angle or fracture dip direction or range of angles or dip directions. Rose diagrams are used to identify patterns (if any) in the frequency of dip angles or directions for a particular data set. Figures 3 and 4 are example rose diagrams from an optical televiewer data set of fractures and features.



Figure 3: Example rose diagram from an optical televiewer data set illustrating the frequency (%) of dip angles.

Figure 3 above indicates, from an example data set, that approximately 16 percent of the fractures/features have a dip angle between 0 and 10 degrees, approximately 27 percent of the fractures/features have a dip angle between 11 and 20 degrees, approximately 25.5 percent between 21 and 30 degrees, approximately 6 percent between 31 and 40 degrees and 22 percent between 41 and 50 degrees. A quick glance at Figure 3 identifies a pattern of dip angle where greater than 50 percent of the fracture/features identified have a dip angle between 11 and 30 degrees. Additionally, no high-angle (greater than 50 degrees) fractures/features were identified from this data set.



Figure 4: Example rose diagram from an optical televiewer data set illustrating the frequency (%) of dip direction.

Figure 4 (example data set) above indicates, with a quick glance, that the majority of the fractures/features dip in the direction of northwest. Specifically, approximately 62 percent of the identified fractures/features have a dip direction of 280 degrees (west) to 20 degrees (north).

Stereonets

For stereonets, COLOG utilizes a Schmidt net, an equal-area plot of longitude and latitude used in plotting geologic data such as the direction of structural features. Here, the angle indicates dip direction and the distance from the center indicates the dip magnitude. The further from the center the shallower the dip angle. Figure 5 below is an example stereonet diagram from an acoustic televiewer data set of fractures and features.



Figure 5: Example stereonet from an optical televiewer data set illustrating the frequency (%) of dip direction and dip angle in 2-D space.

Figure 5 above indicates, with a quick glance, that two distinct patterns exist in the example data set. A cluster of fractures/features with similar dip direction of approximately 110 degrees and similar steep dip angles is apparent. A second cluster, slightly less dense, is apparent with similar dip directions of approximately 170 degrees (almost due south) and similarly steep dip angles.

Please refer to the following Ranking System for Optical Televiewer Features for an explanation of the qualitative ranks assigned each optical televiewer feature identified.

Ranking System for Optical Televiewer Features

	Rank	Color Code	Observation	Flow Rating System
	0	Gray	Non-flow feature (bedding, healed fracture, staining, foliation, vein, etc.)	Sealed, no flow
	1	Cyan	Weak feature (not continuous around the borehole)	Partial open crack
	2	Blue	Clean, distinct feature	Continuous Open crack
	3	Red	Distinct feature with apparent aperture	Wide open crack Or cracks
My l	4	Magenta	Very distinct, wide possible interconnected fracture	Very wide crack or multiple interconnected fractures
	5	Green	Major fracture zone with large openings.	Major fracture with large openings or breakouts

This ranking system is based on a system developed and applied by Paillet (USGS, WRD, Borehole Research Project) as a subjective evaluation of permeability potential. In general, the higher the rank, the greater the likelihood of fracture interconnection and subsequent increased permeability. Tadpoles represent individual features, where the tail points in the direction of dip (clockwise from the top, 0-359). The head is positioned vertically according to the median depth of the feature and positioned horizontally according to the feature dip angle (0-90 from horizontal).

C. Facsimile 40 - Acoustic Televiewer (FAC-40 ATV or ABI-40)

The FAC-40 ATV, from Advanced Logic Technologies (ALT), provides a detailed, oriented image of acoustic reflections from the borehole wall. A unique focusing system resolves bedding features as small as 2 mm and is capable of detecting fractures with apertures as small as 0.1 mm. The acoustic image is precisely oriented using a 3-axis magnetometer with dual accelerometers, which also combine to measure deviation (or drift) of the borehole trajectory.

Theory

The FAC-40 transmits ultrasonic pulses from a rotating sensor and records the signals reflected from the interface between the borehole fluid and the borehole wall (Figure 1). The amplitude of these reflections is representative of the hardness of the formation surrounding the borehole, while the travel time represents the borehole shape and diameter. As many as 288 reflections may be recorded per revolution at up to 12 revolutions per second. The digital amplitude or travel time data are presented using a variety of color schemes that represent the borehole wall.

This ATV image is an oriented, 2-D picture of the borehole wall unwrapped from north to north (Figure 2). Planar features that intersect the borehole appear to be sinusoids on the unwrapped image. To calculate the dip angle of a fracture or bedding feature the amplitude of the sinusoid (h) and the borehole diameter (d) are required. The angle of dip is equal to the arc tangent of h/d, and the dip direction is picked at the trough of the sinusoid (Figure 2).

Sinusoidal features are picked by visual inspection of the amplitude and travel time images using interactive software called WellCAD, version 4.1. The software performs the orientation calculations and assigns a depth to the fracture or bedding feature at the inflection point (middle) of the sinusoid. Features may be subjectively ranked for flow potential using the ranking system developed by the USGS presented in Table 1. Statistical analysis of the fracture/feature data such as stereonet plots and rose diagrams provide useful information concerning the statistical distribution and possible patterns or trends that may exist in the set of fracture/feature orientations.



Figure 1: Returned signal.



Figure 2: Geometric representation of a fracture plane and corresponding ATV log.

Acoustic Televiewer Caliper Log

An unconventional caliper log may be generated from the travel time data acquired by the Fac-40 acoustic televiewer. Using WellCAD version 3.2, an estimation of the distance from the probe to the borehole wall can be made by incorporating the travel time of the acoustic signal with an estimation of the velocity of the wellbore fluid. The time it takes the acoustic signal to travel through a known viscous medium and back to the probe is directly related to the distance between the signal generator and the borehole wall provided the borehole fluid viscosity remains constant and the probe is properly centralized. The distance from the probe to the borehole wall is then corrected for the radius of the probe producing a borehole diameter in inches.

Applications

The high resolution reflection images and the precise travel time measurements make the FAC-40 ATV a versatile tool. Possible applications include:

- Fracture detection and evaluation
- Detection of thin beds
- Determination of bedding dip
- Lithological characterization
- Casing inspection
- High resolution caliper measurements

Ranking System for Acoustic Televiewer Features

Rank	Color Code	Observation	Flow Rating System
0	Gray	Non-flow feature (bedding, healed fracture, vein, etc.)	Sealed, no flow
1	Cyan	Weak feature (not continuous around the borehole)	Partial open crack
2	Blue	Clean, distinct feature	Continuous Open crack
3	Red	Distinct feature with apparent aperture (visible on travel-time image)	Wide open crack Or cracks
4	Magenta	Very distinct, wide possible interconnected fracture	Very wide crack or multiple interconnected fractures
5	Green	Major fracture zone, visible on both the amplitude and travel time images	Major fracture with large openings or breakouts

This ranking system is based on a system developed and applied by Paillet (USGS, WRD, Borehole Research Project) as a subjective evaluation of permeability potential. In general, the higher the rank, the greater the likelihood of fracture interconnection and subsequent increased permeability.
D. 3-Arm Caliper

The caliper log represents the average borehole diameter determined by the extension of 1 or 3 springloaded arms. The measurement of the borehole diameter is determined by the change in the variable pot resistors in the probe, which are internally connected to the caliper arms.

Caliper logs may show diameter increases in cavities and, depending on drilling techniques used, in weathered zones. An apparent decrease in borehole diameter may result from mud or drill-cutting accumulation along the sides of the borehole (mudcake), a swelled clay horizon or a planned change in drill bit size. The bottom of the boring can also induce a small diameter reading from the caliper due to the caliper leaning up against on side of the borehole. The caliper log is often a useful indicator of fracturing. The log anomalies do not directly represent the true in-situ fracture size or geometry. Rather, they represent areas of borehole wall breakage associated with the mechanical weakening at the borehole-fracture intersection. Caliper anomalies may represent fractures, bedding planes, lithologic changes or solution openings. Generally, in solid bedrock caliper log anomalies indicate the intervals where fractures intersect boreholes.

COLOG records the caliper log with either a single-arm caliper measurement using the decentralization arm of the density probe or a separate stand-alone three-arm caliper. Calibrations of the probe are done routinely on the bench and in the field directly before the tool is placed into the borehole. Calibration standards consist of rings of known diameters that are placed over the extended arms as the tool response at these diameters is recorded. Additionally, as with other geophysical measurements, a repeat section may be collected and compared with original logs for consistency and accuracy.

Fundamental assumptions and limitations inherent in these procedures are as follows:

- Excessive borehole diameters (greater than 36 inches) may limit the range of borehole caliper measurements. Holes greater than 12 inches must be logged with extended arms for hole diameters up to 36 inches.
- Since the caliper probe is an electro-mechanical device, a certain amount of error is inherent in the measurement. These errors are due to: 1) averaging hole diameter using three arms, 2) non-linearity of the measurement resistor, 3) tolerance in the mechanical movement of the caliper arms (mechanical hysteresis).

E. Natural Gamma

The natural gamma log (also known as gamma or gamma ray log) provides a measurement recorded in counts per second (CPS), that is proportional to the natural radioactivity of the formation. Actual counts depend upon the detector size and efficiency but are often normalized in API units. 200 API units equal the detector response in a specially constructed physical model designed to simulate the typical shale. For most of COLOG's gamma probes, 1 API unit is approximately equal to 1.25 CPS. The depth of investigation for the gamma log is typically 10 to 12 inches. Gamma logs provide formation clay and shale content and general stratigraphic correlation in sedimentary formations. In general, the natural gamma ray activity of clay-bearing sediments is much higher than that of quartz sands and carbonates. Gamma logs are also used in hard rock environments to differentiate between different rock types and in mining applications for assessment of radioactive mineralization such as uranium, potash, etc.

Gamma radiation is measured with scintillation NaI detectors. The gamma-emitting radioisotopes that naturally occur in geologic materials are Potassium40 and nuclides in the Uranium238 and Thorium232 decay series. Potassium40 occurs with all potassium minerals, including potassium feldspars. Uranium238 is typically associated with dark shales and uranium mineralization. Thorium232 is typically associated with biotite, sphene, zircon and other heavy minerals.

The usual interpretation of the gamma log, for hydrogeology applications, is that measured counts are proportional to the quantity of clay minerals present. This assumes that the natural radioisotopes of potassium, uranium, and thorium occur in exchange ions, which are attached to the clay particles. Thus, the correlation is between gamma counts and the cation exchange capacity (CEC). Usually gamma logs show an inverse linear correlation between gamma counts and the average grain size (higher counts indicate smaller grain size, lower counts indicate larger grain size). This relation can become invalid if there are radioisotopes in the mineral grains themselves (immature sandstones or arkose), and if there are differences in the CEC of clay minerals in the different parts of the formation. Both of these situations are possible in many environments. The former situation would most likely occur in basal conglomerates composed of granitic debris, and the latter where clay occurs as a primary sediment in shale and another as an authigenic mineral deposited in pore spaces during diagenesis.

The assumption of a linear relationship between clay mineral fraction in measured gamma activity can be used to produce a shale fraction calibration for a gamma log in the form:

$$Csh = (G-Gss) / (Gsh - Gss)$$

Where Csh is the shale volume fraction, G is the measured gamma activity; Gss is the gamma activity in clean sandstone or limestone; Gsh is the gamma activity measured in shale.

Calibration of the gamma logging tool is usually performed in large physical models such as the API test pits in Houston, or the DOE uranium calibration test pits. In hydrogeology, the gamma measurement is usually a relative log and quantitative calibrations are not routinely performed. However, the stability and repeatability of the natural gamma measurement is routinely checked with a sleeve of known radioactivity. It is also common to routinely check the gamma log by repeat logging a section of a well. Natural radioactive decay follows a Gaussian distribution; that is, approximately 67% of the radioactive response occurs within \pm the square root of the count rate. For instance, if a background radiation of 100 CPS is being measured, there is approximately \pm 10 CPS variability.

Fundamental assumptions and limitations inherent in these procedures are as follows:

- The natural gamma ray log, as with all nuclear or radiation logs, have a fundamental advantage over most other logs in that they may be recorded in either cased or open holes that are fluid or air filled. Borehole fluid and casing may attenuate the gamma values.
- Excessive borehole rugosity, often caused by air drilling, may degrade natural gamma ray log results.

F. Electrical Measurements

All electrical logs require the presence of the borehole fluid to carry the current from the probe to the formation, and therefore these devices do not work above fluid level. Quantitative formation electrical resistivity, spontaneous potential and qualitative single point resistance can be measured with a combination tool. The operational features of each measurement is discussed under the measurement heading.

16-inch and 64-inch Normal Resistivities

Formation resistivity is dependent on the fluid salinity, permeability, and connected fracture paths within the depth of investigation of the measurement. Measured resistivity is also controlled by particle surface conduction in clastic environments. The resistivity measurement decreases in larger diameter boreholes and areas in which the borehole has been broken out, and/or highly fractured. The above responses allow interpretation of lithologic types, correlation of beds, estimation of fluid quality and possible fractured zones.

A constant current is supplied to the downhole current electrode and the resulting voltage drop is measured on the return electrodes 16" and 64" away from the current electrode. The resistivity of the surrounding media (which includes the borehole fluid) is derived from Ohm's Law and the geometry of the electrode arrangement. The static electric field which results from the geometric arrangement of electrodes is ideally a sphere 16" or 64" in radius (for the short and long normal functions respectively). The presence of the borehole diameter and mudcake affects the measurement sphere by decreasing the lateral extent, and increasing the vertical extent. Borehole corrections based on the borehole fluid resistivity can be made, but these corrections do not address the effects of vertical averaging. Accurate interpretation of the logs minimizes this averaging effect. The influence of the borehole size becomes less with smaller diameter boreholes. Calibration of the 16" and 64" normals is performed in the field with a resistance box which tests a range of known resistivities from 0.0 ohm-m to 10,000 ohm-m.

Single Point Resistance (SPR)

The SPR measurement is controlled by rock and fluid parameters in much the same way as resistivity logs. SPR is a simple system of two electrodes (the resistivity current electrode) and a surface electrode. Current is passed through the formation and voltage differences are measured between the two electrodes. The measured resistance includes the resistance of the cable, borehole fluid, and the formation around the borehole. The current density is higher near the borehole electrode and surface electrode. Since the current density at the surface electrode is constant, formation variations close to the probe produce the resistance changes visible on the logs. Since there is a single downhole electrode, not an array, the log effectively shows a point measurement. This gives a very "responsive", high vertical resolution measurement. Though the single point resistance cannot be calibrated quantitatively, its instantaneous response is a good boundary indicator, and does show a more well defined response than the 16" or 64" normals.

Spontaneous Potential (SP)

The SP is a measurement of the naturally occurring potential in the borehole. This naturally occurring potential is most often caused by a concentration gradient between the borehole fluid and formation fluid (electro-chemical), and requires the presence of a clay rich/porous media interface to occur. Reduction/oxidation (redox) interfaces and streaming potentials (electro-kenetic) caused by the flow of fluid in or out of the borehole are also causes for the occurrence of spontaneous potential. In fresh water environments where the drilling fluid is natural or the salinity is near the formation pore fluid salinity the electro-chemical potential is minimized. The absence of sulfide mineralization or fluid movement into or out of the formation may minimize the redox and streaming potentials.

Fundamental assumptions and limitations inherent in these procedures are as follows:

- The range within which a given device is accurate is different for the different measurement techniques. This range shall be specified for each device, and the appropriate device shall be selected for the borehole under investigation.
- The properties of the borehole and borehole fluid influence the response of normal resistivity logs in what is commonly known as "Borehole Effects". As the hole diameter increases, these effects become more pronounced. These effects have been quantified, and log data may be corrected based on standard techniques.
- The geometry of the logging probe such as the positions of the source and measurement electrodes of resistivity type probes affects the measurement values.
- The ability of a given measurement to accurately measure resistivity across a thin bed is a function of the geometry and of the resistivity contrast and bed thickness.
- The distance away from the borehole which influences a given measurement is a function of the geometry and the radial distribution of electrical properties.
- The log should be recorded with the tool moving **up** the borehole, but measurements can be made while logging downward also. In fact, in deep wells, it is suggested that data be recorded while running in the well, just in case hole conditions or tool problems prevent getting a good log in the up direction.
- The electric resistivity measurement is adversely affected by metalic or ferrous material in the vicinity of the probe.
- Electric resistivity measurements can not be performed through PVC, fiberglass or steel casing.

G. Fluid Temperature/Resistivity (Conductivity)

Geothermal gradients in the near surface earth are usually dominated by conduction, and are generally linear increasing with depth due to the relative constancy of the thermal conductivity of earth materials. Convective heat flow within the borehole fluid is caused by formation fluid entering or leaving the borehole at some permeable interval. Therefore, deviations from the linear thermal gradient can be attributed to fluid movement. Both the thermal gradient and fluid resistivity profile of the borehole fluid can be obtained with the same probe. The temperature is measured with a thermistor and the fluid resistivity is measured with a closely spaced Wenner electrical array.

Slope changes in both the temperature and fluid resistivity logs may be indicative of fluid flow between the formation and the borehole. Both responses are affected by drilling method, time since circulation, mud type or additives and well development procedures.

A differential temperature log is a calculated curve that amplifies slight slope changes in the temperature gradient and can assistance in the interpretation of the fluid temperature log. As the probe is lowered downhole, small changes in the slope of the temperature curve are identified by a differential curve that is plotted from a center zero line. The differential temperature is constructed by using a temperature point at one depth and subtracting a point at a lower depth throughout the entire logged interval.

(temperature value Depth 1) - (temperature value Depth 2) = differential value

In real time the differential values are calculated across the acquisition digitizing interval (e.g. 0.1 to 0.5 ft). Because of the small digitizing interval the calculated real time differential curve may only identify larger temperature gradient deviations. Another differential temperature can be constructed in post processing over a larger sample interval (sometimes up to 2 ft). This log commonly provides a more diagnostic differential curve and is used frequently in the temperature profile interpretation.

The fluid resistivity in the borehole is controlled primarily by the salinity. Therefore, salinity stratification, or the introduction of a fluid of different water quality into the borehole, can be observed by changes in the fluid resistivity log. Often, the exchange of fluid between the formation and the borehole, influences both the temperature and the fluid resistivity so that the response is evident in both logs.

Temperature corrected resistivity can be converted to equivalent NaCl salinity in parts per million (Bateman and Konen, 1977). A salinity profile can then be plotted which indicates the general water quality trend of the borehole fluid. If the assumption is made that the borehole fluid is in equilibrium with the formation fluid, then the borehole salinity profile can be interpreted as a formation fluid salinity profile. Differences between these profiles from well to well, may contain information concerning the extent of hydraulic connectivity in the area.

Fundamental assumptions and limitations inherent in these procedures are as follows:

• The borehole temperature log is usually the first log run in a borehole and, unlike virtually all other logs, is run while the probe is moving down the hole. The exception to running this probe first, however, would be if any optical measurement is to be acquired. The idea is that the logging of the temperature/resistivity probe may stir up the wellbore fluids inhibiting the optical device.

- The recorded borehole temperature is only that of the fluid surrounding the probe, which may or may not be representative of the temperature in the surrounding rocks.
- In most wells the geothermal gradient is considerably modified by fluid movement in the borehole and adjacent rocks.
- Temperature logs are generally recommended for uncased fluid-filled boreholes, but may be used in fluid-filled cased wells for some applications.

H. Full Waveform Sonic

Full Waveform Sonic Methodology

Digital full-waveform sonic (FWS) data is acquired with a Mount Sopris Instruments 2SAF probe, that can be configured with two or three receivers at fixed separations from the sonic transmitter. The acquisition software allows the real-time viewing of the waveforms as they are written directly to hard disk. The waveforms can also subsequently be viewed and processed for amplitude, frequency, and velocity information. Functionality and repeatability of the probe is monitored by logging in an ungrouted, fluid-filled, steel pipe, and by repeat logging of boreholes at each project.



Figure: Real-time presentation from the sonic acquisition software, illustrating the output of a 2SAF configured with two receivers.



Figure: Example of a typical waveform pair with the tube wave annotated.

The FWS log, recorded in the time domain at two or three downhole receivers, consists of interacting sonic waves generated by a 30 kHz acoustic energy pulse from the downhole transmitter. Sonic logs can only be obtained in the fluid filled portion of the borehole, and the propagation of these waves is controlled by the borehole wall/fluid interface, at which head waves are critically refracted and complicated reflections occur.

Sonic transit time is the compression-wave travel time, per foot of rock, and represents the inverse of velocity (i.e., greater transit time equals slower velocity). Often referred to as "delta-T" because it is the difference in arrival times between two receivers spaced one foot apart, transit time can be used to characterize rock lithology, consolidation, and presence of discontinuities. These characterizations, however, usually require calibration from core data unless regional relationships are available. Transit times are also used to help in the processing of seismic reflection and refraction data.

The tube wave is a guided fluid wave that travels along the borehole wall/fluid boundary at a velocity slightly slower than the speed of sound in water.



Figure: Probe schematic for the 2SAF sonic probe.

Vertical stacking of the individual waveforms creates the full waveform display, which uses a banded presentation to represent the sinusoidal nature of sonic waves. By convention, black bands represent high amplitude waves above the centerline, dark gray is the low amplitude portion of the positive wave, while light grey is the low amplitude portion of the negative wave below the centerline, and white is the high amplitude portion of the negative wave. The degree of discontinuity of the rock is reflected by the deviation from parallel banding in the FWS VDL display. The velocities and other information obtained from sonic logs are used to determine the lithology, formation porosity, cement bonding, formation weathering, rock strength, and to identify fractures.

I. Formation Conductivity (Induction)

The induction measurement is made by using a magnetic field to induce electric currents in the material being surveyed. Because the magnitude of these electric currents is proportional to the conductivity of the media being measured, the magnetic field generated by the induced electric current is measured.

The tool is designed to measure formation conductivity in millisiemens per meter (mS/m) which is converted to resistivity in software. This probe also measures the rate of change of the magnetic susceptibility as a percent of primary magnetic field, however the tool has been optimized for conductivity readings and the magnetic susceptibility measurements are qualitative. For the purposes of this investigation, the magnetic susceptibility measurements provided no additional information and were not plotted.

Fundamental assumptions and limitations inherent in these procedures are as follows:

- The EM induction measurement is adversely affected by metallic or ferrous material in the vicinity of the probe.
- The EM induction measurement can be effected, though not adversely, but the conductivity of the wellbore fluid present and the fluid in the formation.
- Because the EM induction measurement is spherical, major borehole washouts may effect the measurement of formation conductivity at that depth.
- The EM induction measurement can be performed through PVC casing if need be.

MW-1 Geophysical Report

1.0 Geophysical Logging

On May 5th and May 8th, 2008, downhole geophysical investigations were performed in boring MW-1. The geophysical logs performed were: ambient fluid temperature and fluid conductivity, natural gamma, EM conductivity and vertical seismic profiling (VSP). The data for these logs are presented in the MW-1 Geophysical Summary Plot at the end of this well report.

1.1 Ambient Fluid Temperature/Fluid Conductivity

On May 5th, 2008, an ambient fluid temperature and electrical fluid conductivity (FEC) profile was acquired in MW-1 to a depth of 142.5 feet. The ambient temperature log is relatively featureless with the exception of an anomaly at approximately 48.9 feet. As this anomaly is at a depth corresponding to blank PVC casing, this anomaly is likely not the result of flow. At the screened interval of interest of 133.0 to 143.0 feet the ambient fluid temperature and FEC profiles are relatively featureless. The ambient FEC profile registers a nominal 294 to 296 μ S/cm at the screened interval. The ambient temperature profile registers a nominal 6.70 degrees C at the top of the fluid column and 5.11 degrees C at the interval of interest.

1.2 Natural Gamma

On May 5th, 2008, a natural gamma profile was acquired in MW-1 to a depth of 141.7 feet. The natural gamma profile is relatively featureless ranging in gamma counts of 22 to 91 counts per second (CPS).

1.3 EM Induction Conductivity

On May 5th, 2008, an EM conductivity profile was acquired in MW-1 to a depth of 140.5 feet. The EM conductivity profile registers an anomaly at 133 feet indicating the top of the screened interval and at 21.7 feet indicating water level. The EM conductivity log registers a nominal 175 mSeimans/meter above 133 feet and 183.6 mSeimans/meter below 133 feet.

1.4 Vertical Seismic Profile (VSP)

On May 8th, 2008, a vertical seismic profile (VSP) investigation was conducted in MW-1 to a depth of 140 feet. Four distinct intervals of specific velocity were observed in MW-1 at 15 to 47.5, 47.5 to 77.5, 77.5 to 130 and 130 to 140 feet, registering 5,706, 8,200, 12,400 and 3,338 feet per second (fps). The deepest calculated velocity is derived from low P-wave energy data. As such, the calculated value of 3,338 fps is suspect. The higher velocity value is consistent with limestone bedrock.

	Project: LO-58			Well ID: MW-1		Date Logged: 5 May 2008		
	Location: Aroostook County, Caribou, ME			Log Ref.: Top P	VC Casing	C Casing Hole Diameter: 8" nom. to		
Ĩ		Depth Logger: 143.1 ft	Log Ref. Elev.: 578.7	78.79 ft above MSL Csg Size/Type: 2" PVC				
4	SOLUTIONS	Depth Driller: 142 ft bo	js	Recorded by: G. Ba	A. Fuller			
TOOLS &	Gamma: Gamma SN# 311, depth 6 Ind. Cond./Res.: 2PIA SN# 2041, d Fluid Temp./Cond.: HpL SN# A, de	err0.04 ft, speed 10 ft/min lepth err0.18 ft, speed 10 ft/min pth err. 0.1 ft, speed 5 ft/min	Ground Elevation: 577.3 ft. aMS Natural Gamma log includes K, Water Quality logs performed ur Ambient Water Level about 21.4 Borehole was not logged while of	SL. J. and Th. Juder ambient conditions. Measured casing stick up above ground surface: PVC 1.75 ft, Steel 2 Steel outer casing total depth 15.7 ft, estimated from induced cond. Io Other well Construction info taken directly from drilling report. drilling. Measured and drill report PVC stickup differ.				
(f)	Geophysics Natural Gamma Induction Conductivity Interval Velocity			Water Quality Fluid Conductivity	USN Driller	Well Data		
pth (0 cps 100	0 mS/m 200	0 ft/s 25000	250 uS/cm 350	(tt al	5	inches 5	pth (
De		Induction Resistivity		Fluid Temperature	Ele v.			De
					580			
0 5 5 10 20 25 30 35 40 45 55 60 65 70 75 80	June was were and the second was a second of the second se		5,706 ft/s 8,200 ft/s		575 570 565 566 555 555 555 555 550 555 545 545 545 545	medium own silt, c gravel; cohesive LL) 2" k below ogs. hole nced nammer trock. 8"	Steel Outer Casing PVC Casing borehole	0 5 10 15 20 25 30 35 40 45 50 60 65 70 75 80
80 85 90 95 1100 1105 1105 1105 1110 1125 1130 1135 1140	Vmv Mu Vmm Vmm Vmm Vm		3,338 ft/s 3,338 ft/s 12,404 ft/s 12,404 ft/s		 495 490 485 480 475 470 465 460 455 460 455 460 455 460 455 460 465 465	fill nite s tted creen s Sand		 80 85 90 95 100 105 110 115 120 130 135 140

MW-2 Geophysical Report

1.0 Geophysical Logging

On May 7th and May 8th, 2008, downhole geophysical investigations were performed in boring MW-2. The geophysical logs performed were: ambient fluid temperature and fluid conductivity, natural gamma, EM conductivity, vertical seismic profiling (VSP) and water chemistry (pH, ORP, DO). The data for these logs are presented in the MW-2 Geophysical Summary Plot at the end of this well report.

1.1 Ambient Fluid Temperature/Fluid Conductivity

On May 7th, 2008, an ambient fluid temperature and electrical fluid conductivity (FEC) profile was acquired in MW-2 to a depth of 61.7 feet. The ambient temperature log indicates an anomaly at the top of the screened interval at 52 feet. Above 52 the temperature log registers a nominal 6.01 degrees C. Below 52 feet the temperature log registers a nominal 5.15 degrees C. The temperature profile is featureless within the screened interval. The ambient FEC profile registers a nominal 368 μ S/cm at the top of the screened interval at 52 feet and is observed to increase with depth to 377 μ S/cm at 61.7 feet (TD).

1.2 Natural Gamma

On May 7th, 2008, a natural gamma profile was acquired in MW-2 to a depth of 57.6 feet. The natural gamma profile indicates a high-gamma count anomaly at 46 to 50 feet. The natural gamma profile ranges from 16 to 92 CPS.

1.3 EM Induction Conductivity

On May 7th, 2008, an EM conductivity profile was acquired in MW-2 to a depth of 59.4 feet. The EM conductivity profile does not register usable data, for unknown reasons, though the anomalies have the character of registering metal nearby. It is possible there is rebar or other metal under the asphalt in the immediate vicinity of the well. Two different EM conductivity probes were utilized on MW-2 and both registered the same result, along with repeat logs.

1.4 Vertical Seismic Profile (VSP)

On May 8th, 2008, a vertical seismic profile (VSP) investigation was conducted in MW-2 to a depth of 57.5 feet. Three distinct intervals of specific velocity were observed in MW-2 at 5 to 15, 15 to 30 and 30 to 57.5 feet, registering 5,936, 1,921 and 7,178 fps.

1.5 Water Chemistry (pH, ORP, DO)

On May 7th, 2008, an ambient pH, oxidation-reduction potential (ORP) and dissolved oxygen (DO) profile was acquired in MW-2 to a depth of 61.8 feet. The pH profile registers a high-pH anomaly at water level, which is typical of this type of log. Below water level the pH measurement decreases with depth until the top of screened interval at 52 feet. Below 52 feet the pH registers a nominal 7.45 through the screened interval. The DO measurement registers regular low-DO anomalies every 4 feet approximately, perhaps the result of casing joints. Within the screened interval the DO measurement increases with depth, registering 2.36 to 2.47 percent.



MW-3 Geophysical Report

1.0 Geophysical Logging

On May 6th and May 8th, 2008, downhole geophysical investigations were performed in boring MW-3. The geophysical logs performed were: ambient fluid temperature and fluid conductivity, natural gamma, EM conductivity, vertical seismic profiling (VSP) and water chemistry (pH, DO). The data for these logs are presented in the MW-3 Geophysical Summary Plot at the end of this well report.

1.1 Ambient Fluid Temperature/Fluid Conductivity

On May 6th, 2008, an ambient fluid temperature and electrical fluid conductivity (FEC) profile was acquired in MW-3 to a depth of 48.4 feet. The ambient temperature log indicates an anomaly at the top of the screened interval at 39 feet. Below 39 feet the temperature log registers a nominal 6.38 to 6.63 degrees C. The temperature profile is featureless within the screened interval. The ambient FEC profile registers approximately 663 μ S/cm at the top of the screened interval at 39 feet and 643 μ S/cm at near TD. The FEC observed in MW-3 is notably higher than other wells on site.

1.2 Natural Gamma

On May 6th, 2008, a natural gamma profile was acquired in MW-3 to a depth of 47.5 feet. The natural gamma profile is relatively featureless with gamma counts ranging from 50 to 69 CPS, with the exception of the low gamma counts that are likely the result of near-surface effect.

1.3 EM Induction Conductivity

On May 6^{th} , 2008, an EM conductivity profile was acquired in MW-3 to a depth of 46.5 feet. The EM conductivity profile is rather erratic below 31.5 feet registering approximately 100 to 150 mSeimans/meter. Below 43.1 feet the conductivity log registers -1,400 mSeimans/meter – possibly the effect of metal near the well.

1.4 Vertical Seismic Profile (VSP)

On May 8th, 2008, a vertical seismic profile (VSP) investigation was conducted in MW-3 to a depth of 45 feet. Three distinct intervals of specific velocity were observed in MW-3 at 5 to 20, 20 to 30 and 30 to 45 feet, registering 2,031, 3,435 and 11,492 fps. The deepest interval was calculated using a two-point calculation between 30 and 45 feet due to high scatter in the data set.

1.5 Water Chemistry (pH, DO)

On May 6th, 2008, an ambient pH, oxidation-reduction potential (ORP) and dissolved oxygen (DO) profile was acquired in MW-3 to a depth of 48.4 feet. The pH profile registers a low-pH anomaly at 39 feet. Below 39 feet the pH registers a nominal 7.57 with a minor high-pH anomaly at 42.3 feet. The DO measurement registers a low-DO anomaly at 39 feet, the top of the screened interval. Below 39 feet the DO registers 10.67 to 10.8 percent.



MW-4 Geophysical Report

1.0 Geophysical Logging

On May 6th and May 8th, 2008, downhole geophysical investigations were performed in boring MW-4. The geophysical logs performed were: ambient fluid temperature and fluid conductivity, natural gamma, EM conductivity and vertical seismic profiling (VSP). The data for these logs are presented in the MW-4 Geophysical Summary Plot at the end of this well report.

1.1 Ambient Fluid Temperature/Fluid Conductivity

On May 6th, 2008, an ambient fluid temperature and electrical fluid conductivity (FEC) profile was acquired in MW-4 to a depth of 82.3 feet. The ambient temperature log is relatively featureless in the interval of interest, ranging in temperature from 4.16 to 4.13 degrees C. The ambient FEC profile indicates some stratification of wellbore fluids inside the blank casing at 58 feet. Below 58 feet the ambient FEC profile registers a nominal 416 to 421 μ S/cm within the screened interval. A minor high-FEC anomaly is observed at 79 feet within the screened interval.

1.2 Natural Gamma

On May 6th, 2008, a natural gamma profile was acquired in MW-4 to a depth of 81.2 feet. The natural gamma profile is relatively featureless ranging in gamma counts of 29 to 75 counts per second (CPS).

1.3 EM Induction Conductivity

On May 6th, 2008, an EM conductivity profile was acquired in MW-4 to a depth of 80.1 feet. The EM conductivity profile registers an anomaly at 72 feet indicating the top of the screened interval and 31.2 feet indicating water level. The EM conductivity log registers a nominal 176 mSeimans/meter above 72 feet and 186 mSeimans/meter below 72 feet.

1.4 Vertical Seismic Profile (VSP)

On May 8^{th} , 2008, a vertical seismic profile (VSP) investigation was conducted in MW-4 to a depth of 80 feet. Three distinct intervals of specific velocity were observed in MW-3 at 5 to 30, 30 to 47.5 and 47.5 to 80 feet, registering 4,529, 6,548 and 6,678 fps. The deepest interval was calculated using a two-point calculation between 47.5 and 80 feet due to high scatter in the data set.



MW-5 Geophysical Report

1.0 Geophysical Logging

On May 8th and May 9th, 2008, downhole geophysical investigations were performed in boring MW-5. The geophysical logs performed were: ambient fluid temperature and fluid conductivity, natural gamma, EM conductivity, vertical seismic profiling (VSP) and water chemistry (pH, DO). The data for these logs are presented in the MW-5 Geophysical Summary Plot at the end of this well report.

1.1 Ambient Fluid Temperature/Fluid Conductivity

On May 8^{th} , 2008, an ambient fluid temperature and electrical fluid conductivity (FEC) profile was acquired in MW-5 to a depth of 77.7 feet. The ambient temperature log decreases with depth below 42 feet. In the screened interval the temperature log is relatively featureless decreasing from 4.6 to 4.55 degrees C. The ambient FEC profile registers approximately 454 μ S/cm at the top of the screened interval at 70 feet and 461 μ S/cm at TD.

1.2 Natural Gamma

On May 8th, 2008, a natural gamma profile was acquired in MW-5 to a depth of 73.8 feet. The natural gamma profile is relatively featureless with the exception of a high-gamma anomaly at 62 to 67 feet. The natural gamma profile ranges in gamma counts from 50 to 90 CPS.

1.3 EM Induction Conductivity

On May 8th, 2008, an EM conductivity profile was acquired in MW-5 to a depth of 75.7 feet. The EM conductivity profile is somewhat erratic below water level at 20 feet, registering approximately 142 mSeimens/meter and increasing with depth. The conductivity profile registers approximately 158 mSeimens/meter near TD with a high-induction conductivity anomaly at 70.6 feet, within the screened interval.

1.4 Vertical Seismic Profile (VSP)

On May 9^{th} , 2008, a vertical seismic profile (VSP) investigation was conducted in MW-5 to a depth of 75 feet. Five distinct intervals of specific velocity were observed in MW-5 at 2.5 to 5, 5 to 17.5, 17.5 to 27.5, 32.5 to 57.5 and 60 to 70 feet, registering 984, 3,385, 5,244, 15,936 and 20,307 fps. A low-velocity anomaly is observed at 57.5 to 60 feet with poor P-wave energy returned.

1.5 Water Chemistry (pH, DO)

On May 8th, 2008, an ambient pH and dissolved oxygen (DO) profile was acquired in MW-5 to a depth of 77.6 feet. The pH profile registers low-pH anomalies at 61.7, 64.8 and 67.9 feet. The pH profile registers a nominal 7.09 pH above the screened interval and 7.27 near TD. The DO measurement indicates an erratic profile with regular anomalies that may be related to casing joints. The DO registers approximately 0.16 percent within the screened interval.



DW-1 Logging Results

1.0 HydroPhysical[™] Logging

1.1 Ambient Fluid Electrical Conductivity and Temperature Log: DW-1

At 1202 hours on May 13th, 2008, after a calibration check of the fluid electrical conductivity (FEC) and temperature logging tool, the fluid column was logged for FEC and temperature profiles with COLOG's 1.5-inch diameter HpLTM probe. These logs were performed prior to the installation of any pumping equipment. Please refer to Figure DW-1:1. The ambient FEC profile indicates a relatively featureless profile with a notable increase at approximately 38.7 feet. The ambient FEC profile registers a nominal FEC of approximately 303 μ S/cm above 38.7 feet and approximately 343 μ S/cm below 42 feet. The anomaly observed in the ambient FEC profile correlates well with a water-bearing interval identified during hydrophysical testing. The ambient temperature profile is relatively rugose exhibiting a temperature range of 4.73 to 5.21 degrees C. Anomalies observed in the ambient temperature profile at approximately 27.3, 35.0, 37.2, 53.2 and 55.2 feet correlate well with identified water-bearing intervals. In vertically flowing conditions, where water enters the borehole, termed inflow, a change in either FEC is typically seen

1.2 Ambient Flow Characterization: DW-1

On May 13th, 2008, an ambient flow characterization was conducted in the boring DW-1. For ambient flow assessment, the formation water in the borehole was diluted with deionized water (DI) and the boring left in an undisturbed state to allow any natural flow to occur. After DI water emplacement the pump was removed from the boring to insure that water in the pump standpipe would not drain back into the boring. Prior to this period and throughout all HpL[™] testing, water levels were monitored and recorded. Ambient flow evaluation is reported for the period after the water surface returned to near pre-emplacement levels. A series of FEC and temperature logs were then conducted to identify changes in the fluid column associated with ambient flow. Ambient flow characterization is conducted to evaluate the presence of both vertical and horizontal ambient flow.

On May 13th, 2008, at 1318 hours (t = 0 minutes, elapsed time of test), dilution of the fluid column was complete. Minimal to no DI water was lost to the formation due to the slightly depressed head maintained during emplacement procedures. During the 18.5 hours following the emplacement of DI water, multiple logs were conducted. Of these logs, 9 are presented in Figure DW-1:2. The designation of each logging with the FEC tool is indicated in the figure legend by the time of logging (e.g., FEC1318 versus a subsequent logging at FEC1334), thus the progressing of curves to the right in this figure represents changes in FEC over the total logging period. The last four digits of each log ID correspond to the time at which that particular log was started. Only logs acquired during logging in the downward direction are presented as the design of the FEC/Temperature probe allows the most accurate data to be collected in the downward direction. The logs acquired in the upward logging direction are not representative of downhole conditions and are therefore omitted. These logs illustrate significant change at several intervals throughout the length of the borehole. These dramatic changes in the FEC profiles with respect to time are associated with ambient horizontal flow occurring within the borehole.

Formation water migration by horizontal flow through the fluid column is indicated by the increase in FEC over time in the data presented in Figure DW-1:2 for the intervals 27.3 to 31.7, 34.6 to 35.0, 40.4 to 48.6, 49.0 to 50.2, and 52.7 to 53.6 feet. Numerical modeling of the reported field data using code BOREII of the horizontal flow intervals suggests the volumetric flow rate observed in the wellbore for these intervals are 0.085, 0.011, 0.14, 0.018, and 0.058 gpm, respectively. Correcting for convergence of flow at the wellbore and factoring the length of the interval, this flow rate equates to a Darcy velocity, or specific discharge of groundwater in the aquifer of 2.84, 3.91, 2.53, 2.28, and 9.56 feet/day, respectively. Please refer to Figure DW-1:2 and Table DW-1:1 for a complete summary of the HydroPhysical[™] logging results. Please refer to Appendix B for a discussion of the methodology and code used to calculate these values. The ambient depth to water at the time of testing was 22.39 ftbtoc.

1.3 Flow Characterization During 6 GPM Production Test: DW-1

Pumping of borehole fluids and simultaneous DI injection was conducted at one pumping rate to establish the inflow locations and evaluate the interval specific inflow rates. Pumping at a given rate was conducted until reasonably constant drawdown was observed. When constant drawdown was observed, DI injection was initiated at about 20-30% of the pumping rate and the extraction pumping rate was increased to maintain a constant total formation production rate (i.e. pumping rate prior to DI injection). These procedures were conducted at a differential rate of 6.04 gpm.

On May 14th, 2008, at 1000 hours (t = 0 minutes elapsed time of testing), development pumping was initiated at approximately 6.6 gpm. Prior to initiating pumping, the ambient depth to water was recorded at 22.79 ftbtoc. All drawdown values are referenced to this ambient water level. Time dependent depth to water, totals and flow rate information were recorded digitally every second and are presented in Figure DW-1:3. Pumping was maintained at a time-averaged rate of 6.64 gpm until 1215 hours (t = 135 minutes, elapsed time of testing). During development pumping numerous FEC logs were acquired to monitor the development process and assist in identifying the depths of flow zones. Of these FEC logs, six (FEC1041 through FEC1150) are presented in Figure DW-1:4. The FEC logs acquired during development pumping illustrate a reasonably stable, repeatable condition of the fluid column with local inflow locations identified by spikes or incremental step increases or decreases in FEC. DI water injection from the bottom of the wellbore was initiated at 1215 hours at a time-averaged rate of 1.39 gpm while the total extraction rate was increased to a time-averaged rate of 7.43 gpm, resulting in a total borehole formation time-averaged production rate of 6.04 gpm. These flow conditions were maintained until 1323 hours (t = 203 minutes) during which time a reasonably constant drawdown of approximately 3.02 feet was observed. COLOG defines reasonably constant drawdown as drawdown that fluctuates less than 10 percent of the total drawdown. The FEC logs acquired during dilution procedures illustrate a reasonably stable condition of the fluid column with local inflow locations identified by spikes or incremental step increases in FEC. Seven inflow zones were identified from these logs at 27.3 to 31.7, 34.6 to 35.0, 37.4 to 38.4, 40.4 to 48.6, 49.0 to 50.2, 52.7 to 53.6, and 54.4 to 58.1 feet with flow rates ranging of 0.207, 0.195, 0.745, 2.00, 0.416, 1.65, and 0.838 gpm, respectively. The logs indicate the interval 40.4 to 48.6 and 52.7 to 53.6 feet dominated flow during pumping, producing 3.65 gpm or 60 percent of the total flow. Please refer to Table DW-1:1 for a summary of HydroPhysical[™] flow results and the depths of individual inflow zones.

1.4 Estimation of Interval Specific Transmissivity: DW-1

An estimation of transmissivity (T) can be made using an equation after Hvorslev (1951) assuming steady-state radial flow in an unconfined aquifer:

$$T = KL = \frac{q_i}{2\pi\Delta h_w} \ln\left(\frac{r_e}{r_w}\right)$$

where K is the hydraulic conductivity, q_i is the interval specific inflow rate calculated using HpLTM results, r_w is the borehole radius (0.26 ft), r_e is the effective pumping radius, Δh_w is the observed maximum drawdown (22.79 feet) and L is the thickness of the zone through which flow occurs. For our calculations, COLOG used r_e of 300 feet (assumed). By applying L and q_i from the HpLTM results under the two pressure conditions, the interval specific transmissivity can be calculated for each identified water producing interval. These calculations were made at each identified interval and are presented in Table DW-1:1. In summary, the intervals 40.4 to 48.6 and 52.7 to 53.6 feet exhibited the highest transmissivities of approximately 129 and 111 ft²/day, respectively.

2.0 Geophysical Logging

On May 11th, 2008 through May 20th, 2008, downhole geophysical and hydrogeologic investigations were performed in boring DW-1. The geophysical and hydrogeologic logs performed were: optical televiewer (OBI), acoustic televiewer (ATV), 3-arm caliper, natural gamma, electric resistivity, EM induction conductivity, water chemistry (pH, ORP, DO), full waveform sonic, vertical seismic profile (VSP), wireline straddle packer (WSP) and downhole video. The data for these logs are presented in the DW-1 Geophysical/HydroPhysicalTM Summary Plot and Figures DW-1:5, 6, 7 and 8 and Table DW-1:2 for the statistical analysis of all fractures/features, Table DW-1:3 for a summary of the VSP velocities and Figures DW-1:9A through E and Table DW-1:4 for the WSP pressure data and results at the end of this well report. The downhole video was provided to the client in the field at the time of logging.

2.1 Optical Televiewer (OBI)/Acoustic Televiewer (ABI)

On May 11th, 2008 optical and acoustic televiewer logging was performed in DW-1 to a depth of 58.1 feet. The televiewers identified features at depths correlating well with the HpLTM and caliper data. The features observed by the OBI at water-bearing intervals identified from the HpLTM data had apparent aperture and in some cases evidence of staining. Twenty high-angle fractures or features (dip angles greater than 45 degrees) were identified in DW-1. Four of these high-angle features are qualitatively ranked 2 or greater suggesting the potential for vertical hydraulic communication outside the influence of the borehole. However, none of these high-angle fracture or features are found deeper than 55 feet – all are shallow features. Data acquired during WSP testing confirms the presence of vertical hydraulic communication between several water-bearing zones in the immediate vicinity of the borehole.

2.2 Three-Arm Caliper

On May 11th, 2008 three-arm caliper logging was performed in DW-1 to a depth of 57.7 feet. The caliper log indicates a relatively rugose borehole with nine major inflections observed at approximately 10.4 to 12.6, 18.5, 23.0, 26.9, 34.7, 37.9, 40.4 to 45.1, 46.8 to 48.2 and 52.4 to 53.5 feet. The inflections, or borehole enlargements, observed in the caliper log correlate well with water-bearing zones and fractures identified by the hydrophysical and optical televiewer data. The caliper log registers an approximately nominal 6.25-inch diameter borehole below casing at 10.4 feet.

2.3 Natural Gamma

On May 11th, 2008 natural gamma logging was performed, in conjunction with the electric resistivity logging, in DW-1. The natural gamma measurement reached to a depth of 54.1 feet. The natural gamma is relatively featureless with minor fluctuations in gamma counts, expected in limestone. The natural gamma log registers an approximately nominal 44 to 70 counts per second.

2.4 Electric Resistivities (8, 16, 32, 64-inch Normal Resistivities, SP, SPR)

On May 11th, 2008 electric resistivity logging was performed, in conjunction with the natural gamma log, in DW-1 to a depth of 57.8 feet. The electric measurements consist of 8, 16, 32 and 64-inch "normal" resistivities, spontaneous potential (SP) and single-point resistance (SPR). The normal resistivities registered approximately 850 Ohm-meters (8-inch resistivity) to 3,690 Ohm-meters (64-inch resistivity). A notable anomaly in the electric resistivity is observed at approximately 47 to 48 feet. The higher spaced resistivities (32 and 64-inch) register lower resistivities below this depth. Above 47 to 48 feet, the higher spaced resistivities register a marked increase in resistivity, typical of more massive limestone. However, the limestone above this depth is marked with large, occasionally high-angle, fractures. The SPR measurement registers low-resistivity anomalies at 37.8, 40.4 to 48.2 and 52.8 feet, correlating well with identified major fractures. The SPR registers 432 to 791 Ohms.

2.5 EM Induction Conductivity

On May 11th, 2008 EM induction conductivity logging was performed in DW-1 to a depth of 55.8 feet. The induction conductivity log is featureless with the exception of anomalies at the bottom of casing at 10.5 feet and water level at approximately 21.4 feet. The induction conductivity registers a nominal 43 miliS/meter above water level and 154 miliS/meter below water level.

2.6 Water Chemistry (pH, ORP, DO)

On May 11th, 2008, pH, oxidation-reduction potential (ORP) and dissolved oxygen (DO) measurements were acquired under ambient conditions in DW-1 to a depth of 57.6 feet. The pH measurement is relatively featureless with the exception of a high-pH anomaly at waters surface. The pH measurement registers a nominal pH ranging from 7.41 to 7.50. The ORP measurement registers a gradual increase in oxidation potential with depth. The ORP measurement registers approximately 137 mV at waters surface and 195 mV near total depth. The dissolved oxygen

measurement is relatively variable with significant fluctuations in DO. The DO measurement registers an increase in dissolved oxygen with depth, registering zero from waters surface to approximately 37.6 feet where the data indicates an increase in DO. Near total depth the DO is observed to be approximately 1.57 percent.

2.7 Full Waveform Sonic

On May 11th, 2008 full waveform sonic logging was performed in DW-1 to a depth of 58.1 feet. The sonic registered slower velocity anomalies at 37.4 to 46.4, 48.4 and 53.1 feet, correlating well with identified fractures and water-bearing zones observed in the optical televiewer, caliper and hydrophysical data. The sonic registered p-wave velocities ranging from 9,340 to 19,550 feet/second. The lower value of p-wave velocity correlates well with velocities identified using vertical seismic profiling (VSP).

2.8 Vertical Seismic Profile (VSP)

On May 8th, 2008 a vertical seismic profile (VSP) was conducted in DW-1 to a depth of 55 feet. The VSP investigation in DW-1 identified 3 specific intervals of specific velocity at 5 to 10, 10 to 25 and 25 to 55 feet, registering 1,670, 7,135 and 8,493 feet/second (fps), respectively. All of the DW-1 velocity calculations are effectively two-point calculations, using arrival times at the beginning & end of the indicated depth ranges. Late arrivals indicate possible low-velocity zones near 20 & 50 feet deep. The 1,670 fps value is consistent with dry overburden. The higher values are relatively low for bedrock velocities, but consistent with highly fractured bedrock.

2.9 Wireline Straddle Packer (WSP)

On May 18th through 20th, 2008 wireline straddle packer (WSP) testing was conducted in DW-1 at five intervals:

33.15 to 24.98 feet (the top of water table)
33.75 to 38.5 feet
41.2 to 51.9 feet
51.0 to 58.1 feet (total depth)
54.0 to 58.1 feet (total depth)

WSP testing was conducted to acquire a fracture-specific groundwater sample from each major water-bearing fracture identified during hydrophysical production testing. In addition to collecting a representative groundwater sample from each interval, development pumping was conducted at each interval and pressures above, below and in the interval of interest recorded to estimate fracture-specific permeability for each interval tested. Please see Tables WSP Summary and DW-1:4 for a complete summary of wireline straddle packer testing results.

Several different configurations of the WSP were utilized to properly characterize the numerous fracture zones in this borehole. Due to either a long length of fracture zone that is intended to be tested, or the fracture of interest being close to water level of the bottom of the borehole, the WSP was configured several different ways:

Interval 33.15 to 24.98 feet (water level) – the top of the upper packer was situated at 33.15 feet and only the upper packer was inflated. The middle zone of the packer assembly was sealed from

the upper interval and pumping was conducted from a 2-inch pump lowered to the interval of interest, 33.15 feet up to water level (24.98 ftbtoc). In this configuration, both the middle and lower pressure transducers are recording the same interval of interest – the entire interval below the upper packer to TD. Notice there is no evidence of vertical hydraulic communication outside the influence of the borehole between water-bearing fractures in the test interval of 33.15 feet up to water level and water-bearing fractures below the upper packer (bottom of the upper packer seal approximately 35 feet) based on the non-response to pumping registered by the middle and lower pressure transducers. However, the pumping rate of 0.25 gpm is very low with respect to the yields of the identified water-bearing fractures below this interval of interest. As such, any vertical hydraulic communication at this testing flow rate would likely not be measured in the pressure transducers below this interval of interest. Please see Figure DW-1:9A and Table DW-1:4 for a complete summary of the data acquired and results for this interval.

Interval 33.75 to 38.5 feet – The WSP was utilized in its standard configuration, with a 4.8-foot interval, both packers inflated, and all pressure transducers measuring pressure in their respective zones of interest. The data clearly indicates vertical hydraulic communication outside the influence of the borehole between water-bearing fractures in the interval of interest at 33.75 to 38.5 feet and the lower interval below the lower packer based on the correlating responses observed in the middle and lower pressure transducers. The data indicates a small correlating response in the upper pressure transducer, likely observed during this test due to the higher pumping rate of 2.73 gpm during stress testing compared to the pumping rate of 0.25 gpm during stress testing of the upper interval 33.15 feet to water level. Please see Figure DW-1:9B and Table DW-1:4 for a complete summary of the data acquired and results for this interval.

Interval 41.2 to 51.9 feet – For this interval of interest, the WSP was reconfigured for a longer length between packers. For this test the interval was lengthened to 10.7 feet due to the highly fractured interval and lack of pertinent, solid borehole to place a packer for a good seal. The data clearly indicates vertical hydraulic communication outside the influence of the borehole between water-bearing fractures in the interval of interest at 41.2 to 51.9 feet and the upper and lower intervals surrounding the interval of interest based on the correlating responses observed in the upper and lower pressure transducers. This is not unexpected based on the high-angle fractures with aperture identified in the optical and acoustic televiewer data in this interval. Please see Figure DW-1:9C and Table DW-1:4 for a complete summary of the data acquired and results for this interval.

Interval 51.0 feet to TD – For this interval of interest, the WSP was reconfigured by removing the lower packer in order to enable the sample port of the WSP to reach this interval of interest near the bottom of the borehole. For this test the middle and lower pressure transducers are both in the interval of interest and register the same changes in pressure. The interval of interest is considered to be from the base of the upper packer to total depth of the borehole – 58.2 feet. The data clearly indicates vertical hydraulic communication outside the influence of the borehole between water-bearing fractures in the interval of interest at 51.0 to TD and the upper interval above this interval of interest based on the correlating response observed in the upper pressure transducers. This is not unexpected based on the high-angle fractures with aperture identified in the optical and acoustic televiewer data. Please see Figure DW-1:9D and Table DW-1:4 for a complete summary of the data acquired and results for this interval.

Interval 54.0 feet to TD – For this interval of interest, the WSP was reconfigured by removing the lower packer in order to enable the sample port of the WSP to reach this interval of interest near the bottom of the borehole. For this test the middle and lower pressure transducers are both in the

interval of interest and register the same changes in pressure. The interval of interest is considered to be from the base of the upper packer to total depth of the borehole -58.2 feet. The data clearly indicates vertical hydraulic communication outside the influence of the borehole between water-bearing fractures in the interval of interest at 54.0 to TD and the upper interval above this interval of interest based on the correlating response observed in the upper pressure transducers. Please see Figure DW-1:9E and Table DW-1:4 for a complete summary of the data acquired and results for this interval.

3.0 Data Summary

Processing and interpretation of the geophysical and HydroPhysical[™] logs in DW-1 suggest the presence of seven producing intervals for this borehole. Numerical modeling of the reported HydroPhysical[™] field data was performed using computer programs FLOWCALC and/or BOREII. These analyses were performed to estimate the rate of inflow for each identified hydraulically conductive borehole interval during DI injection procedures. The results of these analyses are presented in Table DW-1:1. For code comparisons to field data please see Appendix D. In summary, the intervals at 40.4 to 48.6 and 52.7 to 53.6 feet dominated flow during pumping, producing 3.65 gpm or 60 percent of the total flow. Five of the seven identified producing intervals correlate well with water-bearing zones identified during ambient testing. The remaining two intervals were not actively flowing water during ambient testing.

During ambient testing, boring DW-1 exhibited a complex network of horizontal flow zones. Five ambient inflow intervals are identified at 27.3 to 31.7, 34.6 to 35.0, 40.4 to 48.6, 49.0 to 50.2, and 52.7 to 53.6 feet, with observed flow rates of 0.085, 0.011, 0.14, 0.018, and 0.058 gpm respectively. Ambient flow from these inflow intervals is observed to migrate horizontally across the borehole. Correcting for convergence to the wellbore and factoring the length of the interval, this flow rate equates to Darcy velocities of 2.84, 3.91, 2.53, 2.28, and 9.56 ft/day, respectively.

The optical and acoustic televiewers identified features at depths correlating well with the HpL[™] and caliper data. The features observed by the OBI at water-bearing intervals identified from the HpL[™] data had apparent aperture and in some cases evidence of staining. Two hundred twenty high-angle fractures or features (dip angles greater than 45 degrees) were identified in DW-1. Seventeen of these high-angle features are qualitatively ranked 2 or greater suggesting the potential for vertical hydraulic communication outside the influence of the borehole. Data acquired during WSP testing confirms the presence of vertical hydraulic communication between several water-bearing zones in the immediate vicinity of the borehole.

The seven interval-specific transmissivity estimates calculated using the hydrophysical data in DW-1 ranged from 8.51 to 129 ft²/day, with the interval at 40.4 to 48.6 feet registering the highest transmissivity. The ranges of transmissivities suggest that flow originates from secondary porosity consisting of large discrete fractures at the major inflow zones and minor fractures or features with less inter-connectiveness at the minor inflow zones. Interval-specific FEC ranged from 357 to 428 μ S/cm.

The WSP sampling results identified contaminant concentrations in each of the five sampled intervals. Of particular note is a high toluene anomaly of 120 μ g/L in the uppermost sample interval of 33.2 to water level (24.86 ftbtoc). Each of the five sampled intervals at 33.2 to water level, 33.8 to 38.5, 41.2 to 51.9, 51.0 to 58.2 (TD) and 54.0 to 58.2 feet registered concentrations of TCE of 1.8, 2.5, 3.4, 3.1 and 2 μ g/L, respectively. Please see Table WSP Summary in the Executive Summary for a complete summary of the sample results.

Fracture inter-connectiveness in the immediate vicinity of a wellbore can be inferred by the similarity, or lack there of, of parameters such as interval-specific transmissivity estimates and interval-specific FEC, along with the presence of high-angle fractures and pressure differentials within the borehole. Similar transmissivity and FEC estimates would suggest an inter-connected network of fractures or aquifers in the immediate vicinity of the wellbore. High-angle fractures with aperture may provide a conduit for vertical communication. Moreover, although a pressure differential would seem to suggest the driving force for vertical communication is present, typically substantially vertically interconnected fractures or aquifers tend to pressure-equilibrate in the immediate vicinity of the wellbore. Thus, the presence of a pressure differential in a wellbore may suggest a lack of vertical communication between fractures or aquifers in the immediate vicinity of the borehole.

The data acquired in DW-1 exhibited dissimilar interval-specific transmissivity but similar FEC estimates. The televiewers identified high-angle fractures with aperture and the WSP registered pressure correlations above and below several tested intervals. The data strongly suggest the fractures are vertically inter-connected in the immediate vicinity of the wellbore. Please see Table DW-1:1 for a summary of the HydroPhysical[™] and geophysical logging results which includes the locations, flow rates and transmissivity and hydraulic conductivity estimates assessed by COLOG.



FIGURE DW-1:1. Ambient Temperature And Fluid Electrical Conductivity; Weston Solutions; MEFUDS; LO-58; Caribou, ME; Wellbore: DW-1







DW-1-fig3.xls



Fluid Electrical Conductivity (uS/cm)

Table DW-1:1. Summary Of HydroPhysicaTM Logging Results With Hydraulic Conductivity And Transmissivity Estimations; Weston Solutions; MEFUDS; LO-58; Caribou, ME; Wellbore: DW-1

Well Name	DW-1
Ambient Depth to Water (fibtoc)	22.79
Diameter of Borehole (ft)	0.52
Maximum Drawdown (ft)	3.11
Effective Radius (ft)	300

Fluid Electrical Conductivity (microS/cm) 376 376 428 357	(microS/cm) 376 376 428 357	376 376 428 357	376 428 357	428 357	357		375	375	375	
	Transmissivity	(ft2/day)	8.51E+00	1.28E+01	5.18E+01	1.29E+02	2.76E+01	1.11E + 02	5.82E+01	
Interval Specific	Hydraulic Conductivity ⁴	(ft/day)	1.93E+00	3.20E+01	5.18E+01	1.58E+01	2.30E+01	1.23E+02	1.57E+01	
	Delta Flow	(ft ³ /min.)	0.016	0.025	0.100	0.249	0.053	0.213	0.112	
	Delta Flow ³	(mdg)	0.123	0.184	0.745	1.860	0.398	1.592	0.838	
Specific Flow Rate	During Pumping	(mdg)	0.207	0.195	0.745	2.00	0.416	1.65	0.838	
Velocity in Aquifer ²	(Specific Discharge)	(ft/day)	2.84	3.91	0.00	2.53	2.28	9.56	0.00	
	Ambient Flow ¹	(gpm)	0.085	0.011	0.000	0.140	0.018	0.058	0.000	
	Length of Interval	(ft)	4.4	0.4	1.0	8.2	1.2	0.9	3.7	
	Bottom of Interval	(ff)	31.7	35.0	38.4	48.6	50.2	53.6	58.1	
	Top of Interval	(ft)	27.3	34.6	37.4	40.4	49.0	52.7	54.4	
		Interval No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	

¹ Horizontal flow is identified in this borehole under ambient conditions.

² Darcy Velocity is calculated using the observed volumetric flow rate, the cross-sectional area of the flow interval in the wellbore and a wellbore convergence factor of 2.5 (Drost, 1968). The Darcy Velocity is only applicable to ambient horizontal flow

³ Delta Flow is the difference between Interval-Specific Flow Rate (during pumping) and Ambient Flow Rate.

⁴ Hydraulic conductivity and transmissivity estimates are based on single well drawdown data, a porus-medium equivilent model and Hvorslev's 1951 porosity equation

NA - Not Applicable

	ROBINI E GLOWINESS I MIDROWINESS	Project:	LO-58		We	IID: DV	N-01 (AMAC V	Vell)	Date Logg	ed: 11	-20 May 08	
	A SHUTCH OF LATHE CHRISTILISEN COMPANY	Location: Ar	oostook County, C	aribou, ME	Log	Ref.:	Top Steel Casing	9	Hole Diame	ter: 6"	nom. to TD	
	WIEGEN	Depth Logger	: 58.1 ft		Log	Ref. Elev.:	~573 ft above M	SL	Csg Size/Ty	pe: 6"	Steel	
Ľ		Depth Driller:	No Data		Rec	orded by:	G. Bauer		Witnessed	by: J.	Schmidl	
°, °,	See Individual Logs		SE Ground E Natural G	levation: ~571ft. aMSL amma log includes K,	J, and Ti	h.	All de Measu	oths here re ured casing	ferenced to top steel stick up above grour	casing unless d surface: 0.5	noted otherwise. ft.	
			Water Qu Ambient \	ality logs performed un Nater Level about 21.4	der amb ft (20.9 f	ft bgs).	WWO	outer casing	i total depth 10.5 ft, e	estimated from	image log.	
		Geophys	Logs relation	uve to Truth North (ma		Wat	ter Quality		Lithology		Well Data	
ŧ	Natural Gamma 8" Norm	Res. Single Point Re 500 Ohms	1000 0 Ohm-m 40	0 ppb 10000	MSL	Fluid Cond. @ 20 0.1 S/cm 0.	C Oxygen Content .5 0 % 2	Interpr	etation	mage 180° 270° 0°	Well Construction	£
oth (Caliper 16" Norm 5 inches 9 0 Ohm-n	n 5000 Spontaneous P 0 mV	Potential Induction Cond. 300 0 mS/m 400		(ft al	Fluid Conductivit 0.1 S/cm 0.	ty pH .5 7 8					oth (
De	32" Norm 0 Ohm-n	n 5000 10 mA	15		ě.	Fluid Temperatur	Redox 10 125 mV 225					Del
	64" Norm 0 Ohm-n	n 4000		i , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ш							
-	-				575							
F												
- 0												- 0 -
					570							
-	- 2										6" diam.	
- 5											Outer	
E	1 5	5		ft/s	565						Casing	
F	- }	2		670								
- 10		4										 - 10 -
	1 2 2 1	7		2	560-				14	r q		
F								horizo	ntallv-			
- 15		2	175					bed	ded			 - 15 -
-	- 🏂			ft/s	555						6" diam.	
F				,136							bore-	
- 20												 - 20 -
-	- 5				550					A		
_		$M \cap N$					A-P					
- 25								high-a	angle	\cap		
-					545			joint, with c	filled alcite,			
F								cut low-a	by nale.	- 7		
- 30								bede	ding fault			 - 30 -
-	-	V			540			plane				
F						3						
- 35								low-a	ingle	CONTO T		
-					535	54		plane	e fault			
-		$ \leq \rangle$	2	ft/s				foldeo shea	and and ared	2.2.2		
- 40			\mathbf{X}	493				limes	stone			 -40 -
F	1 😫 🔢	$ \langle \langle \rangle \rangle$		8,	530				and the second	1972		
-		$ \langle \rangle \langle \rangle$								ALC.		
- 45			7							1		 -45-
E			8		525							
-					╞╶┨				. 3	5-5		
- 50	1 🛃 🛛 🕅				 			com limes	mon tone	· mark		 - 50 -
L	1 \ \$				520			joint	filling	13		-
_	- 🏂 🚺								12			
- 55	1 S 				F -							- 55 -
L	1 ()				515				-	1		
-					╞╶	1			ini ((The		
60	<u>1 ₅₃ </u>				<u> </u>							

Sound acounted a supervision		Project: LO-58		Well ID: D	W-01 (AMAC Well)	Date Logged: 11-20 May 08				
	COLOG	Location: Aroostook C	ounty, Caribou, ME	Log Ref.:	Top Steel Casing	Hole Diameter:	Hole Diameter: 6" nom. to TD			
Ĩ	WISSING N	Depth Logger: 58.1 ft		Log Ref. Elev.: ~573 ft above MSL Csg Size/Type: 6" Steel						
Ľ	Tadpoles at depth of	Depth Driller: No Data	Ground Elevation: ~571 ft aMSI	Recorded by:	J. Schmidl					
LEGEND	planar structure, pointing towards strike, and centered on dip. Projections corresponding to each tadpole shown in adjacent column.	nul fracture i reductives Status nul fracture of Header Fractures Pares of Fracture of Open Fractures de Fractures of Washout/Web Fracture Zone	Natural Gamma log includes K, I Water Quality logs performed un Ambient Water Level about 21.4 Logs relative to Truth North (mag	All depths here reterenced to top steel casing unless noted otherwise J, and Th. J, and Th. der ambient conditions. ft (20.9 ft bgs). g dec = 20W)						
	Geophysics In <u>Natural Gamma</u> Tadpo	nage Interpretation oles Projections	Sonic VDL Near Receiver	Acoust	tic Image Logs Acoustic Amp. Fluid	Hydrophysics	Sample Results pment			
th (fl	0 CPS 100 0 Caliper 5 inches 10	10° 0° 0° 0° 0° 0° 0° 0° 10° 0° 0° 10° 0° 10° 1	0 800 0 P-Wave Arrival Near 0 microseconds 800 0 ft/s	5 0° 90° 180° 270° locity 25000	0° 0° 90° 180° 270° 0° 200 Fluid 4	US/cm 400 0 US/cm I Temperature degC 6 0 US/cm	400 0 ppb 4 ion TCE Up 400 0 ppb 4			
Dep			S-Wave Arrival Near 0 microseconds 800 0 ft/s	25000		Inflow During	3 Pump			
		-575- 								
- 0							- 0 - 			
L .										
- 5										
		565								
- 10							- 10 -			
- 15		-								
-		-555-								
						1				
-20							-20-			
-							TT			
		545					 0> 1. [
- 30						.207 g	-30 -			
							Devi			
- 35		635				0.15	2.5 2.5 P.44			
						I ⁵ gpn				
-40						0.72	-40-			
				1 7 10						
- ·							шана — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —			
-45] []	- 525-		* 2 3						
- ·		199				Ę	3.4			
- 50	┨║│┣ <u>┣</u> ││					1416 gi				
- ·	1 \ 🕴 🖌	620- 				ò				
- ·				100 Bar 100			1.65 c			
- 55	1 / ₂ '					dbm 2	-55			
- ·	1 ∤ / / / / / /					0.838	[] [²]			

SOREHOLE GEOPHYSICS & HYDROPHYSICS		Optical Televiewer Image Plot - True North							COLOG Main Office				
COLO	G)	COMPANY: Wes	ston Solutions	PRO	JECT: LO-	58		810 Quail Stree Phone: (303)	t, Suite E, Lakewood, 279-0171	CO 80215			
A DIVISION OF LAYNE CHRISTENSE	EN COMPART	DATE LOGGED:	12 May 2008	WEI	L: DW-	01 (AMA	C Well)	r none. (505)	www.colog.com	270-0135			
		3-Arm Caliper	•	_	Depth		Optica	l Televiewer	Image				
5		inches Projections		13	1ft:10ft	0°	90°	180°	270°	0°			
0° 9	0°	180° Tadpoles	270°	0°									
0				90		1							
						2 3 3							
						14							
					7								
					0								
					8								
					9								
						1000							
					10		the star						
							a designed of the second	THE R.					
						1			der a				
					11	Second	NAME AND						
								1-					
							和行 如何		and the second	2			
						1			1 8 3 P				
						2.03	The section	the Train		1000			
					12					1			
									and a second				
						1	the last	the set of					
						1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			No.	- 3			
				1		1							
































Figure DW-1:5. Rose Diagram of Optical Televiewer Features Weston Solutions MEFUDS; LO-58 Wellbore: DW-1 May 11, 2008

Dip Direction



Figure DW-1:6. Rose Diagram of Optical Televiewer Features Weston Solutions MEFUDS; LO-58 Wellbore: DW-1 May 11, 2008

Dip Angles



Figure DW-1:7. Stereonet of Optical Televiewer Features Weston Solutions MEFUDS; LO-58 Wellbore: DW-1 May 11, 2008

Schmidt Projection with Contours



Figure DW-1:8. Stereonet of Optical Televiewer Features Weston Solutions MEFUDS; LO-58 Wellbore: DW-1 May 11, 2008

Schmidt Projection with Feature Ranks



Table DW-1:2. Orientation Summary Table

Image Features

Weston Solutions

LO-58;

Wellbore: DW-1

May 11, 2008

Feature	Depth	Depth	Dip	Feature		
No.			Direction Angle		Rank	
	(meters)	(feet)	(degrees)	degrees) (degrees)		
1	3.22	10.6	268	22	1	
2	3.80	12.5	175	12	1	
3	4.19	13.7	120	14	0	
4	4.43	14.5	144	17	0	
5	4.99	16.4	200	20	0	
6	5.09	16.7	227	16	0	
7	5.17	17.0	199	8	1	
8	5.32	17.5	203	9	0	
9	5.51	18.1	130	4	3	
10	5.74	18.8	171	14	3	
11	6.91	22.7	153	4	0	
12	7.11	23.3	165	12	2	
13	7.75	25.4	196	17	0	
14	7.95	26.1	302	32	1	
15	8.11	26.6	24	84	1	
16	8.29	27.2	187	14	1	
17	8.33	27.3	171	14	1	
18	8.68	28.5	215	15	0	
19	8.97	29.4	208	12	0	
20	9.27	30.4	206	16	0	
21	9.52	31.2	208	13	0	
22	9.70	31.8	200	9	0	
23	10.09	33.1	213	13	0	
24	10.34	33.9	49	77	1	
25	10.49	34.4	121	18	1	
26	10.62	34.9	57	72	1	
27	10.65	34.9	218	23	2	
28	10.88	35.7	63	74	1	
29	11.53	37.8	205	39	3	
30	11.93	39.2	83	65	1	
31	12.36	40.6	223	32	2	
32	12.51	41.1	23	66	3	
33	12.79	42.0	43	54	1	
34	13.68	44.9	51	44	1	
35	13.88	45.5	233	31	1	
36	13.99	45.9	22	82	3	
37	14.53	47.7	216	23	1	
38	15.01	49.3	51	80	1	
39	15.13	49.6	71	48	1	
40	15.52	50.9	//0	73	1	
41	15.53	51.0	202	30	1	
42	15.65	51.4	107	68	1	
43	15.72	51.6	197	31	1	
44	15.84	52.0	205	23	0	

All directions are with respect to true north (magnatic declination 20W).

Table DW-1:2. Orientation Summary Table

Image Features

Weston Solutions

LO-58;

Wellbore: DW-1

May 11, 2008

Feature	Depth	Depth	Dip	Dip	Feature	
No.			Direction	Angle	Rank	
	(meters)	(feet)	(degrees)	(degrees)	(0 to 5)	
45	15.96	52.4	194	24	0	
46	16.16	53.0	159	52	3	
47	16.51	54.2	189	58	1	
48	16.57	54.4	69	66	1	
49	16.84	55.2	30	54	2	
50	16.89	55.4	19	74	1	
51	16.99	55.7	191	21	0	
52	17.13	56.2	55	61	1	
53	17.18	56.4	209	21	0	
54	17.25	56.6	76	61	1	
55	17.38	57.0	171	25	0	
56	17.49	57.4	61	66	1	
57	17.58	57.7	217	31	1	

Table DW-1:3. Summary of Vertical Seismic Profile Results; Weston Solutions; LO-58, Caribou, ME; Wellbore: DW-1

	Depth Interval	Interval-Specific Velocity	
Well	(ftbtoc)	(feet/second)	Comments
	5 - 10	1,670	Consistent with overburden
DW-01	10 - 25	7,135	Consistent with highly fractured bedrock
	25 - 55	8,493	Consistent with highly fractured bedrock

FIGURE DW-1:9A. Pressure and Extraction Rate Data During Wireline Straddle Packer Sampling at 33.15 feet and Up; Weston Solutions; LO-58; Aroostook County; Caribou, ME; Wellbore: DW-1



RS-1522-fig3.xls

FIGURE DW-1:9B. Pressure and Extraction Rate Data During Wireline Straddle Packer Sampling at 33.75 to 38.5 Feet; Weston Solutions; LO-58; Aroostook County; Caribou, ME; Wellbore: DW-1



RS-1522-fig3.xls

FIGURE DW-1:9C. Pressure and Extraction Rate Data During Wireline Straddle Packer Sampling at 41.2 to 51.9 Feet; Weston Solutions; LO-58; Aroostook County; Caribou, ME; Wellbore: DW-1



FIGURE DW-1:9D. Pressure and Extraction Rate Data During Wireline Straddle Packer Sampling at 51.0 to 58.2 Feet (TD); Weston Solutions; LO-58; Aroostook County; Caribou, ME; Wellbore: DW-1



9

RS-1522-fig3.xls



FIGURE DW-1:9E. Pressure and Extraction Rate Data During Wireline Straddle Packer Sampling at 54.0 to 58.2 Feet (TD); Weston Solutions; LO-58;

Table DW-1:4. Summary Of Wireline Straddle Packer Testing With With Hydraulic Conductivity And Transmissivity Estimations; Weston Solutions; MEFUDS; LO-58; Caribou, ME; Wellbore: DW-1

Well NameDW-01Ambient Depth to Water (ftbtoc)24.86Diameter of Borehole (ft)0.52Effective Radius (ft)300

	F							1
Interval Specific	Fluid Electrica	Conductivity	(microS/cm)	439	461	433	459	424
		Transmissivity	(ft2/day)	1.55E+02	3.24E+03	2.13E+03	2.30E+03	9.75E+00
Interval Specific	Hydraulic	Conductivity ⁴	(ft/day)	1.86E+01	6.90E+02	1.99E+02	3.19E+02	2.32E+00
Interval Specific Flow Rate:	WSP	Stress Test	(mdg)	0.25	2.73	6.36	66.9	0.02
	Differential	Head	(feet) ¹	2.607	1.360	4.818	4.917	3.316
	Differential	Pressure	(ISI)	1.129	0.589	2.086	2.129	1.436
	Length of	Interval	(ft)	8.3	4.7	10.7	7.2	4.2
	Bottom of	Interval	(ft)	33.2	38.5	51.9	58.2	58.2
	Top of	Interval	(ft)	24.9	33.8	41.2	51.0	54.0
			Interval No.	۰4	2	£	**Þ	**G

* The reported top depth is ambient water level.

** The reported bottom depth of these intervals is the total depth (TD) of the borehole.

¹ Differential Head is the difference between ambient pressure and pumping pressure, converted to feet.

DW-2 Logging Results

1.0 HydroPhysical[™] Logging

1.1 Ambient Fluid Electrical Conductivity and Temperature Log: DW-2

At 0933 hours on May 9th, 2008, after a calibration check of the fluid electrical conductivity (FEC) and temperature logging tool, the fluid column was logged for FEC and temperature profiles with COLOG's 1.5-inch diameter HpLTM probe. These logs were performed prior to the installation of any pumping equipment. Please refer to Figure DW-2:1. The ambient FEC profile indicates a relatively featureless profile with the exception of FEC anomalies at 32, 52 and a notable increase at approximately 191.1 feet. The nominal FEC above 191.1 feet is approximately 392 μ S/cm while the nominal FEC below 191.1 feet is approximately 596 μ S/cm. The ambient temperature profile indicates a gradual increase in temperature with depth with an inflection at approximately 191.1 feet. The anomaly observed in the ambient FEC profile at 191.1 feet indicates a strong correlation with the identified water-bearing feature observed during hydrophysical ambient and stressed testing. In vertically flowing conditions, where water enters the borehole, termed inflow, a change in either FEC is typically seen.

1.2 Ambient Flow Characterization: DW-2

On May 14th, 2008, ambient flow characterization was conducted in the boring DW-2. For ambient flow assessment, the formation water in the borehole was diluted with deionized water (DI) and the boring left in an undisturbed state to allow any natural flow to occur. After DI water emplacement the pump was removed from the boring to insure that water in the pump standpipe would not drain back into the boring. Prior to this period and throughout all HpL[™] testing, water levels were monitored and recorded digitally every second. Ambient flow evaluation is reported for the period after the water surface returned to near pre-emplacement levels. A series of FEC and temperature logs were then conducted to identify changes in the fluid column associated with ambient flow. Ambient flow characterization is conducted to evaluate the presence of both vertical and horizontal ambient flow.

On May 14th, 2008, at 1818 hours (t = 0 minutes, elapsed time of test), dilution of the fluid column was complete. Minimal to no DI water was lost to the formation due to the slightly depressed head maintained during emplacement procedures. During the 15.5 hours following the emplacement of DI water, multiple logs were conducted. Of these logs, 8 are presented in Figure DW-2:2. The designation of each logging with the FEC tool is indicated in the figure legend by the time of logging (e.g., FEC1818 versus a subsequent logging at FEC1840), thus the progressing of curves to the right in this figure represents changes in FEC over the total logging period. The last four digits of each log ID correspond to the time at which that particular log was started. Only logs acquired during logging in the downward direction are presented as the design of the FEC/Temperature probe allows the most accurate data to be collected in the downward direction. The logs acquired in the upward logging direction are not representative of downhole conditions and are therefore omitted. These logs illustrate significant change at several intervals throughout the length of the borehole. These dramatic changes in the FEC profiles with respect to time are associated with ambient vertical flow occurring within the borehole.

Formation water migration as a result of downward vertical flow within the fluid column is indicated by the increase in FEC over time in Figure DW-2:2 beginning near the base of casing and at 31 feet. Numeric modeling of the reported field data suggests groundwater enters the wellbore at 19.5 to 19.6, 30.4 to 31.6, 38.2 to 41.8, and 44.9 to 51.4 feet at rates of 0.026, 0.297, 0.016 and 0.074 gpm, respectively. The combined inflow of 0.413 gpm of these four intervals is observed to migrate vertically downward through the borehole based on the migration of the center of mass of the area under the curve. The modeling suggests groundwater exits the borehole at depths of 96.4 to 97.0 and 189.5 to 191.0 feet, at rates of 0.370 and 0.185 gpm, respectively. Evidence for these outflow zones is observed in the logs presented in Figure DW-2:2. Where the velocity of the water slows within the borehole ("downstream" of an outflow zone) a change in slope, or truncation, of the FEC logs is observed. All flow rates are based on the rate of increase of mass at their respective intervals. Of particular note is the FEC anomaly observed at the base of the borehole at 280 feet. This early increase in mass is not the result of ambient flow. Notice the mass at this depth, or area under the curve, does not increase with time, but instead disperses. During removal of the plumbing at the conclusion of the emplacement groundwater was momentarily allowed to enter the borehole at this depth near the bottom of the borehole. Over the course of the Ambient Flow Characterization however, no additional groundwater entered the borehole at this depth. As such, this water-bearing interval is not considered to produce groundwater to the borehole under ambient conditions. Please refer to Table DW-2:1 and Summary:1 for a complete summary of the HydroPhysical[™] logging results. Please refer to Appendix B for a discussion of the methodology and code used to calculate these values. The ambient depth to water at the time of testing was 4.31 ftbtoc.

1.3 Flow Characterization During 6 GPM Production Test: DW-2

Pumping of borehole fluids and simultaneous DI injection was conducted at one pumping rate to establish the inflow locations and evaluate the interval specific inflow rates. Pumping at a given rate was conducted until reasonably constant drawdown was observed. When constant drawdown was observed, DI injection was initiated at about 20% of the pumping rate and the extraction pumping rate was increased to maintain a constant total formation production rate (i.e. pumping rate prior to DI injection). These procedures were conducted at a differential rate of 6.35 gpm.

On May 15th, 2008, at 1148 hours (t = 0 minutes elapsed time of testing), development pumping was initiated at approximately 6 gpm. Prior to initiating pumping, the ambient depth to water was recorded at 4.54 ftbgs. All drawdown values are referenced to this ambient water level. Time dependent depth to water, totals and flow rate information were recorded digitally every second and are presented in Figure DW-2:3. Pumping was maintained at a time-averaged rate of 6.25 gpm until 1740 hours (t = 352 minutes, elapsed time of testing). During development pumping numerous FEC logs were acquired to monitor the development process and assist in identifying the depths of flow zones. Of these FEC logs, nine are presented in Figure DW-2:4A. The FEC logs acquired during development pumping illustrate the development process of the borehole fluids, with local inflow locations indicated by the depths at which FEC is observed to increase over time. DI water injection from the bottom of the wellbore was initiated at 1740 hours at a time-averaged rate of 1.3 gpm while the total extraction rate was increased to a time-averaged rate of 7.65 gpm, resulting in a total borehole formation time-averaged production rate of 6.35 gpm. These flow conditions were maintained until 2332 hours (t = 704 minutes) during which time a reasonably constant drawdown of approximately 5.40 feet was observed. The FEC logs acquired during dilution procedures are presented in Figure DW-2:4B, along with the last four development logs for comparison, and illustrate a reasonably stable condition of the fluid column with local inflow locations identified by spikes or incremental step increases in FEC. Eleven inflow zones were identified from these logs ranging in flow from 0.005 to 5.69 gpm with the dominant inflow zone at 30.4 to 31.6 feet, producing 5.69 gpm, or 90 percent of the total formation production rate. Please refer to Table DW-2:1 for a summary of HydroPhysical[™] flow results and the depths of individual inflow zones.

1.4 Estimation of Interval Specific Transmissivity: DW-2

An estimation of transmissivity (T) can be made using an equation after Hvorslev (1951) assuming steady-state radial flow in an unconfined aquifer:

$$T = KL = \frac{q_i}{2\pi\Delta h_w} ln\left(\frac{r_e}{r_w}\right)$$

where K is the hydraulic conductivity, q_i is the interval specific inflow rate calculated using HpLTM results, r_w is the borehole radius (0.26 ft), r_e is the effective pumping radius, Δh_w is the observed maximum drawdown (5.40 feet) and L is the thickness of the zone through which flow occurs. For our calculations, COLOG used r_e of 300 feet (assumed). By applying L and q_i from the HpLTM results under the two pressure conditions, the interval specific transmissivity can be calculated for each identified water producing interval. These calculations were made at each identified interval and are presented in Table DW-2:1. In summary, the interval 30.4 to 31.6 feet exhibited the highest transmissivity of approximately 216 ft²/day.

2.0 Geophysical Logging

On May 8th through May 17th, 2008, downhole geophysical and hydrogeologic investigations were performed in boring DW-1. The geophysical and hydrogeologic logs performed were: optical televiewer (OBI), acoustic televiewer (ATV), 3-arm caliper, natural gamma, electric resistivity, EM induction conductivity, water chemistry (pH, ORP, DO), full waveform sonic, vertical seismic profile (VSP) and wireline straddle packer (WSP). The data for these logs are presented in the DW-2 Geophysical/HydroPhysical[™] Summary Plots and Figures DW-2:5, 6, 7 and 8 and Table DW-2:2 for the statistical analysis of all fractures/features, Table DW-2:3 for a summary of the VSP velocities and Figures DW-2:9A through E and Table DW-2:4 for the WSP pressure data and permeability results at the end of this well report.

2.1 Optical Televiewer (OBI)/Acoustic Televiewer (ABI)

On May 8th, 2008 optical and acoustic televiewer logging was performed in DW-2 to a depth of 280.6 feet. The televiewers identified features at depths correlating well with the HpLTM and caliper data. The features observed by the OBI at water-bearing intervals identified from the HpLTM data had apparent aperture and in some cases evidence of staining. Two hundred twenty high-angle fractures or features (dip angles greater than 45 degrees) were identified in DW-2. Seventeen of these high-angle features are qualitatively ranked 2 or greater suggesting the potential for vertical hydraulic communication outside the influence of the borehole. Data acquired during WSP testing confirms the presence of vertical hydraulic communication between several water-bearing zones in the immediate vicinity of the borehole.

2.2 Three-Arm Caliper

On May 9th, 2008 three-arm caliper logging was performed in DW-2 to a depth of 284.2 feet. The caliper log indicates a relatively rugose borehole with eight notable inflections observed at approximately 31.3, 45.4, 96.5, 158.6, 170.7, 179.7, 190.9 and 244.0 feet. All but two of the inflections, or borehole enlargements, at 158.6 and 170.7 feet, observed in the caliper log correlate well with water-bearing zones and fractures identified by the hydrophysical and optical televiewer data. The caliper log registers an approximately nominal 6.4-inch diameter borehole below casing at 14.6 feet.

2.3 Natural Gamma

On May 10th, 2008 natural gamma logging was performed, in conjunction with the electric resistivity logging, in DW-1. The natural gamma measurement reached to a depth of 279.1 feet. The natural gamma is relatively featureless with minor fluctuations in gamma counts, expected in limestone. The natural gamma log registers an approximately nominal 27 to 62 counts per second.

2.4 Electric Resistivities (8, 16, 32, 64-inch Normal Resistivities, SP, SPR)

On May 11th, 2008 electric resistivity logging was performed, in conjunction with the natural gamma log, in DW-1 to a depth of 280.2 feet. The electric measurements consist of 8, 16, 32 and 64-inch "normal" resistivities, spontaneous potential (SP) and single-point resistance (SPR). The normal resistivities registered approximately 923 Ohm-meters (8-inch resistivity) to 2,480 Ohm-meters (64-inch resistivity). A notable anomaly in the electric resistivity is observed at approximately 31.6 to 161.3 feet where the electric resistivities uniformly register higher resistivities, indicative of pertinent limestone. Low resistivity anomalies are observed at 30 to 33 and 161 to 191 feet, indicative of a fractured environment under these conditions. The SP is relatively featureless registering a gradual increase in potential with depth, registering 44 to 358 milivolts below water level. The SPR measurement correlates well with the normal resistivities and also registers low-resistivity anomalies at 30 to 33 and 161 to 191 feet. The SPR registers 427 to 2,119 Ohms.

2.5 EM Induction Conductivity

On May 10th, 2008 EM induction conductivity logging was performed in DW-2 to a depth of 279.5 feet. The induction conductivity log is featureless with the exception of a minor anomaly at 255 feet. The induction conductivity registers a nominal 196 mS/meter above 255 feet and 149 mS/meter below 255 feet.

2.6 Water Chemistry (pH, ORP, DO)

On May 9th, 2008, pH, oxidation-reduction potential (ORP) and dissolved oxygen (DO) measurements were acquired under ambient conditions in DW-2 to a depth of 280.4 feet. The pH measurement is relatively featureless with the exception of an anomaly at 191 feet where the pH registers approximately 7.53 above 191 feet. Below 191 feet the pH log registers an approximately uniform 7.69. The ORP measurement indicates a gradual increase in oxidation potential with depth until 191 feet. The ORP measurement indicates approximately 135 mV near water surface and 247 mV at 191 feet. Below 191 feet the ORP log gradually decreases to TD,

registering 185 mV at TD. The dissolved oxygen measurement registers a nominal 0.35 percent from water surface to 191 feet. Below 191 feet the DO measurement registers zero. It should be noted that no ambient flow is identified below 191 feet – a stagnant zone.

2.7 Full Waveform Sonic

On May 9th, 2008 full waveform sonic logging was performed in DW-2 to a depth of 277.2 feet. The sonic registered slower velocity anomalies at 29, 45, 67, 188 and 274 feet, correlating well with identified fractures and water-bearing zones observed in the optical televiewer, caliper and hydrophysical data. The sonic registered P-wave velocities ranged from 12,060 to 24,900 feet/second, correlating well with the velocities identified using vertical seismic profiling (VSP).

2.8 Vertical Seismic Profile (VSP)

On May 7th, 2008 a vertical seismic profile (VSP) was conducted in DW-2 to a depth of 200 feet. The VSP investigation in DW-2 identified three specific intervals of specific velocity at 25 to 30, 35 to 115 and 115 to 185 feet, registering 1,789, 10,255 and 17,274 feet/second (fps), respectively. However, due to the cement vault and backfill encasing the well casing and the presence of asphalt at the surface, the velocity reported here at 25 to 30 feet is suspect. Below 185 feet the P-wave energy was too little to report usable data.

2.9 Wireline Straddle Packer (WSP)

On May 16th and 17th, 2008 wireline straddle packer (WSP) testing was conducted in DW-2 at six intervals:

16.0 to 20.0 feet 28.5 to 32.5 feet 37.0 to 41.7 feet 94.5 to 98.5 feet 187.9 to 192.2 feet 265.4 to 284.0 feet (bottom of the borehole)

WSP testing was conducted to acquire a fracture-specific groundwater sample from each major water-bearing fracture identified during hydrophysical production testing. In addition to collecting a representative groundwater sample from each interval, development pumping was conducted at each interval and pressures above, below and in the interval of interest recorded to estimate fracture-specific permeability for each interval tested. Please see Tables WSP Summary and DW-1:4 for a complete summary of wireline straddle packer testing results.

Interval 16.0 to 20.0 feet – The WSP was utilized in its standard configuration, both packers inflated, and all pressure transducers measuring pressure in their respective zones of interest. During low-rate pumping for sampling the pump cavitated several times indicating the lack of significant yield from this interval. It is apparent from the WSP pumping that this interval cannot yield more than approximately 0.01 gpm. During pumping on this interval, no correlating response from either the upper or lower pressure transducer was observed, suggesting no vertical hydraulic communication exits outside the influence of the borehole between this interval and fracture/features in close proximity above and below this interval. The pumping rate of approximately 0.01 gpm during sampling was also used as the "stress test" pumping rate. Please

see Figure DW-2:9A and Table DW-1:4 for a complete summary of the data acquired and results for this interval.

Interval 28.5 to 32.5 feet – The WSP was utilized in its standard configuration, both packers inflated, and all pressure transducers measuring pressure in their respective zones of interest. The data clearly indicates vertical hydraulic communication outside the influence of the borehole between water-bearing fractures in the interval of interest at 28.5 to 32.5 feet and the upper and lower intervals surrounding the interval of interest based on the correlating responses observed in the upper and lower pressure transducers. This is not unexpected based on the numerous fractures of approximately 30 to 50 degrees dip in and in the immediate vicinity of the interval of interest. Please see Figure DW-2:9B and Table DW-2:4 for a complete summary of the data acquired and results for this interval.

Interval 37.0 to 41.7 feet – The WSP was utilized in its standard configuration, both packers inflated, and all pressure transducers measuring pressure in their respective zones of interest. The data clearly indicates vertical hydraulic communication outside the influence of the borehole between water-bearing fractures in the interval of interest at 37.0 to 41.7 feet and the upper and lower intervals surrounding the interval of interest based on the correlating responses observed in the upper and lower pressure transducers. This is not unexpected based on the numerous fractures of approximately 30 to 50 degrees dip in and in the immediate vicinity of the interval of interest. Please see Figure DW-2:9C and Table DW-2:4 for a complete summary of the data acquired and results for this interval.

Interval 94.5 to 98.5 – The WSP was utilized in its standard configuration, both packers inflated, and all pressure transducers measuring pressure in their respective zones of interest. The data clearly indicates vertical hydraulic communication outside the influence of the borehole between water-bearing fractures in the interval of interest at 94.5 to 98.5 feet and the lower interval below this interval of interest based on the correlating response observed in the lower pressure transducers. No response is observed in the upper interval pressure transducer. This is not unexpected based on the identification of a downward pressure gradient and the fact this interval was identified as a thief zone (water exited the borehole at approximately 97 feet) during ambient testing. The presence of a pressure differential between this interval and the upper interval suggests little to no significant vertical hydraulic communication outside the influence of the borehole. The data does indicate a vertical hydraulic connection between the interval of interest and the lower zone however. During ambient testing the lower flow interval of 189.5 to 191.0 feet was also identified as an outflow zone (less significant pressure differential between 189.5 to 191.0 and this flow interval of 96.4 to 97.0 feet) and is likely the flow interval hydraulically interconnected with this WSP sample interval. Please see Figure DW-2:9D and Table DW-2:4 for a complete summary of the data acquired and results for this interval.

Interval 187.9 to 192.2 feet – The WSP was utilized in its standard configuration, both packers inflated, and all pressure transducers measuring pressure in their respective zones of interest. The data clearly indicates vertical hydraulic communication outside the influence of the borehole between water-bearing fractures in the interval of interest at 187.9 to 192.2 feet and the lower interval below this interval of interest based on the correlating response observed in the lower pressure transducers. No response is observed in the upper interval pressure transducer. This is not unexpected based on the identification of a downward pressure gradient and the fact this interval was identified as a thief zone (water was observed to exit the borehole at approximately 189.5 to 191.0 feet) during ambient testing. The presence of a pressure differential between this interval and the upper interval suggests little to no significant vertical hydraulic communication

outside the influence of the borehole. The data does indicate a vertical hydraulic connection between the interval of interest and the lower zone however. Please see Figure DW-2:9E and Table DW-2:4 for a complete summary of the data acquired and results for this interval.

3.0 Data Summary

Processing and interpretation of the geophysical and HydroPhysicalTM logs in DW-2 suggest the presence of eleven producing intervals for this borehole. Numerical modeling of the reported HydroPhysicalTM field data was performed using computer programs FLOWCALC and/or BOREII. These analyses were performed to estimate the rate of inflow for each identified hydraulically conductive borehole interval during DI injection procedures. The results of these analyses are presented in Table DW-2:1. For code comparisons to field data please see Appendix D. In summary, the interval at 30.4 to 31.6 feet dominated flow during pumping, producing 5.69 gpm or 90 percent of the total flow. Six of the eleven identified producing intervals correlate well with water-bearing zones identified during ambient testing. The remaining five intervals were not actively flowing water during ambient testing.

During ambient testing, boring DW-2 exhibited a straight-forward downward flow regime. Four water-bearing intervals were identified to contribute groundwater to the wellbore during ambient testing, the dominant interval being 30.4 to 31.6 feet, contributing 0.297 gpm, or 72 percent of the aggregate 0.413 ambient inflow. Two water-bearing intervals are identified under ambient conditions to thieve water from the wellbore at 96.4 to 97.0 and 189.5 to 191.0 feet, taking 0.370 and 0.185 gpm, respectively, from the wellbore.

The optical and acoustic televiewers identified features at depths correlating well with the HpLTM and caliper data. The features observed by the OBI at water-bearing intervals identified from the HpLTM data had apparent aperture and in some cases evidence of staining. Two hundred twenty high-angle fractures or features (dip angles greater than 45 degrees) were identified in DW-2. Seventeen of these high-angle features are qualitatively ranked 2 or greater suggesting the potential for vertical hydraulic communication outside the influence of the borehole. Data acquired during WSP testing confirms the presence of vertical hydraulic communication between several water-bearing zones in the immediate vicinity of the borehole.

The eleven interval-specific transmissivity estimates calculated using the hydrophysical data in DW-2 ranged from 0.20 to 216 ft²/day, with the interval at 30.4 to 31.6 feet registering the highest transmissivity. The ranges of transmissivities suggest that flow originates from secondary porosity consisting of large discrete fractures at the major inflow zones and minor fractures or features with less inter-connectiveness at the minor inflow zones.

The WSP sampling results identified contaminant concentrations in four of the six sampled intervals. The two contaminants that registered identifiable concentrations are cis-1,2-DCE and toluene. The sample interval 187.9 to 192.2 feet registered only cis-1,2-DCE at 0.23 μ g/L. The sample intervals 16.0 to 20.0, 37.0 to 41.7 and 94.5 to 98.5 feet registered only toluene at 2.4, 4 and 5.5 μ g/L, respectively. Please see Table WSP Summary in the Executive Summary for a complete summary of the sample results.

Fracture inter-connectiveness in the immediate vicinity of a wellbore can be inferred by the similarity, or lack there of, of parameters such as interval-specific transmissivity estimates and interval-specific FEC, along with the presence of high-angle fractures and pressure differentials within the borehole. Similar transmissivity and FEC estimates would suggest an inter-connected

network of fractures or aquifers in the immediate vicinity of the wellbore. High-angle fractures with aperture may provide a conduit for vertical communication. Moreover, although a pressure differential would seem to suggest the driving force for vertical communication is present, typically substantially vertically interconnected fractures or aquifers tend to pressure-equilibrate in the immediate vicinity of the wellbore. Thus, the presence of a pressure differential in a wellbore may suggest a lack of vertical communication between fractures or aquifers in the immediate vicinity of the borehole.

The data acquired in DW-2 exhibited dissimilar interval-specific transmissivity but similar FEC estimates. The televiewers identified high-angle fractures with aperture and the WSP registered pressure correlations above and below several tested intervals. Though a pressure differential was identified under ambient conditions, the WSP data support the suggestion that certain intervals are not hydraulically connected over the intervals in which the pressure differential under ambient conditions was observed in the borehole. The data suggest the fractures are moderately vertically inter-connected in the immediate vicinity of the wellbore, primarily in the upper intervals. Please see Table DW-2:1 for a summary of the HydroPhysical[™] and geophysical logging results which includes the locations, flow rates and transmissivity and hydraulic conductivity estimates assessed by COLOG.



FIGURE DW-2:1. Ambient Temperature and Fluid Electrical Conductivity;Weston Solutions; MEFUDS; LO-58; Caribou, ME; Wellbore: DW-2

Temperature (C)



FIGURE DW-2:2. Summary of Hydrophysical Logs During Ambient Flow Characterization; Weston Solutions; MEFUDS; LO-58; Caribou, ME; Wellbore: DW-2

DW-2-fig2.xls



FIGURE DW-2:3. Pumping And Drawdown Data During 6 GPM Production Test; Weston Solutions; MEFUDS; LO-58; Caribou, ME; Wellbore: DW-2

DW-2-fig3.xls



FIGURE DW-2:4A. Summary of Hydrophysical Logs During Development Pumping at 6 GPM; Weston Solutions; MEFUDS; LO-58; Caribou, ME; Wellbore: DW-2

Fluid Electrical Conductivity (uS/cm)


FIGURE DW-2:4B. Summary of Hydrophysical Logs During 6 GPM Hydrophysical Production Test; Weston Solution; MEFUDS; LO-58; Caribou, ME; Wellbore: DW-2

DW-2-fig4.xls

Table DW-2:1. Summary Of HydroPhysicaTM Logging Results With Hydraulic Conductivity And Transmissivity Estimations; Weston Solutions; MEFUDS; LO-58; Caribou, ME; Wellbore: DW-2

Well Name	DW-2
Ambient Depth to Water (ftbtoc)	4.54
Diameter of Borehole (ft)	0.52
Maximum Drawdown (ft)	5.40
Effective Radius (ft)	300

	0														
	Interval Specific	Fluid Electrical	Conductivity	(microS/cm)	321	378	528	512	NA	432	431	429	429	265	597
			Transmissivity	(ft2/day)	2.95E+00	2.16E + 02	1.43E+01	2.82E-01	1.48E + 01	3.20E-01	6.00E-01	9.45E+00	3.20E-01	2.00E-01	9.61E-01
- 	Interval Specific	Hydraulic	Conductivity ⁴	(ft/day)	3.68E+01	1.80E + 02	3.98E+00	4.33E-02	2.47E+01	3.20E-01	1.58E-01	6.30E+00	1.19E-02	2.50E-01	2.71E-02
			Delta Flow	$(\mathrm{ft}^3/\mathrm{min.})$	0.010	0.721	0.048	0.001	0.049	0.001	0.002	0.032	0.001	0.001	0.003
		Delta	Flow ³	(gpm)	0.074	5.393	0.358	0.007	0.370	0.008	0.015	0.236	0.008	0.005	0.024
Interval Specific	Flow Rate	During	Pumping	(gpm)	0.10	5.69	0.374	0.081	0.000	0.008	0.015	0.051	0.008	0.005	0.024
Velocity in	Aquifer	(Specific	Discharge)	(ft/day)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	A1.	Ambient	Flow ¹	(gpm)	0.026	0.297	0.016	0.074	-0.370	0.000	0.000	-0.185	0.000	0.000	0.000
		Length of	Interval	(ft)	0.1	1.2	3.6	6.5	0.6	1.0	3.8	1.5	26.9	0.8	35.5
		Bottom of	Interval	(ft)	19.6	31.6	41.8	51.4	97.0	144.3	183.0	191.0	218.3	228.2	279.2
	1	Top of	Interval	(ft)	19.5	30.4	38.2	44.9	96.4	143.3	179.2	189.5	191.4	227.4	243.7
				Interval No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	6	10	11

¹ Downward vertical flow is identified in this borehole under ambient conditions.

² Darcy Velocity is calculated using the observed volumetric flow rate, the cross-sectional area of the flow interval in the wellbore and a wellbore convergence factor of 2.5 (Drost, 1968). The Darcy Velocity is only applicable to ambient horizontal flow

³ Delta Flow is the difference between Interval-Specific Flow Rate (during pumping) and Ambient Flow Rate.

⁴ Hydraulic conductivity and transmissivity estimates are based on single well drawdown data, a porus-medium equivilent model and Hvorslev's 1951 porosity equation

NA - Not Applicable

1	Project: LO-58				DW-02 (VFW Well)	Date Logged:	8-15 May 08
(TOWNION OF LATHE CHRISTENSEN CONTIN	Location: Aroostook C	County, Caribou, ME	Log Ref.:	Top Steel Casing	Hole Diameter:	6" nom. to TD
۲		Depth Logger: 283 ft		Log Ref. Elev	.: ~539.5 ft above MSL	Csg Size/Type:	6" Steel
Ľ		Depth Driller: No Data	Crowned Flowerians, 540 5 th aM	Recorded by	: G. Bauer	Witnessed by:	J. Schmidl
LOGS & TOOLS	See individual Logs	COMMENTS	Natural Gamma log includes K, I Water Quality logs performed un Ambient Water Level about 2.9 f Logs relative to Truth North (mag	J. and Th. der ambient conditions t (9.9 ft bgs). g dec = 20W)	. All depuirs here i Measured casin Steel outer casin	g stick down below ground surfa ng total depth 14.6 ft, estimated t	ress noted onerwise. ce: 7.0 ft. irom image log.
Depth (ft)	Natural Gamma 8" Norm 0 CPS 100 0 0mm Caliper 16" Nor 16" Nor 16" Nor 5 inches 9 0 0mm 32" Nor 0 0mm 64" Nor 0 0 0 0 0	Geophysics n Res. Single Point Resistivity Induct m 8000 0 0 mms 0 m Res. Spontaneous Potential 1 1 m 8000 0 mV 300 0 m Res. Current 1 1 15 m 8000 mV 15 1 1	Interval Velocity Interval Velocity Ohm-m 40 0 ths 20000 on Conductivity mS/m 400 40	(TSW et 1) Negative Teluid Condu 0.2 S/cm Fluid Condu 0.3 S/cm Fluid Condu 0.3 S/cm 4 degC	Water Quality Oxygen Content Inter 0.6 0 % 0.4 ctivity	Lithology pretation <u>Image</u> 0° 90° 180° 270° 0	Vell Data Construction inches 5 Debth (tt)
$\begin{array}{c} -5 \\ -5 \\ -7 \\ -2 \\ -2 \\ -2 \\ -2 \\ -2 \\ -2 \\ -2$	1 OF		17,274 ft/s	540 535 530 525 520 515 610 605 600 495 480 475 470 465 440 435 440 435 440 435 440 435 440 435 440 435 4400 395 6300 6355 6360 6355 6360 6355 6300 6355 6300 6255 6300 295 2800 2755 2800 2755 2800 2855 2800 2855 2800 2855 2800 2855 2805 </td <td>Lo iodera bec vitt calcit jc lov odera bec vitt calcit jc lov odera bec vitt calcit jc lov odera bec vitt vitt</td> <td>Av- to ate-angle ding w- to ate-angle genilled ints v- to ate-angle e-filled ints angle e-filled ints angle e-filled ints</td> <td>6" diam. 5 teel Outer Casing 5" diam. bore- hole 6" diam. 5 - 300 -25- -300 -30- -30- -30- -30- -30- -30- -40- -55- -60- -55- -60- -70- -70- -70- -70- -85- -90- -90- -95- -100- -10- -</td>	Lo iodera bec vitt calcit jc lov odera bec vitt calcit jc lov odera bec vitt calcit jc lov odera bec vitt vitt	Av- to ate-angle ding w- to ate-angle genilled ints v- to ate-angle e-filled ints angle e-filled ints angle e-filled ints	6" diam. 5 teel Outer Casing 5" diam. bore- hole 6" diam. 5 - 300 -25- -300 -30- -30- -30- -30- -30- -30- -40- -55- -60- -55- -60- -70- -70- -70- -70- -85- -90- -90- -95- -100- -10- -

1	vorenas econvisios a intransvissos Project: LO				LO-58				Well ID: DW-02 (VFW Well)				Date Logged: 8-15 May 08				
(TOUSSON OF LAME CHRISTENSER COMMIT			ocation: Aroostook County, Caribou, ME					Log Ref.: Top Steel Casing				Hole Diameter: 6" nom. to TD				
W	Depth Logger: 2					Log Ref. Elev.: ~539.5 ft above MSL				Csg Size/Type: 6" Steel							
L	THE WAS	SOLUMONS	Depth Dri	iller: No Data	_		Rec	Recorded by: G. Bauer Witnessed by: J. Schmidl									
ą	Tadpoles at depth of planar structure, pointing towar	Is Structure Tadpoles Ground Elevation: ~546.5 ft. aMS WashadWare Fractors Zone of Wate Fractors Multiple Fractors						MSL. , U, and Th.						s noted otherwise. 7.0 ft.			
EGE	Projections corresponding to each tadpole shown in adjacen!	open t	Fracture	Complete Fracture	Water Quality logs Ambient Water Lev	performed ur vel about 2.9 f	nder ambi ft (9.9 ft b	ent conditions. qs).		Steel	outer casi	ng total dep	pth 14.6 ft, estimate	d from i	image log.		
Г	column.	of Heate	d Fracture Bedding Plane of	Partial Fracture O	Logs relative to Tru	uth North (ma	g dec = 2	OW)		8	1	Hydr	onhysics		Sample	Poculte	—
Ð	Natural Gamma	Tadpol	les Pro	ojections ÎS	Near Receiver	Vp/Vs	3	Travel Time		coustic Amp.	Fluid C	onductivit	y FEC Developm	ient	cis-1,2	-DCE	ا چ ا
th (f	Caliper	U	90 0. 90.	t aW	P-Wave Arrival Near	P-Wave Ve	elocity	0. 90. 180. 270	0. 0. 1	90, 180, 270, 0,	Fluid To	emperatur	FEC Dilutio	400 n		E	LF L
Dept	5 indies 10			č. (†	S-Wave Arrival Near	S-Wave Ve	locity				4	degc 6		<u>'ump</u>	0 ppc	4	
-				Ele	U microseconds 800	υ π/s	25000						U gpm	_8			
5				550													5 -
- 0 -	5			- 545	FT HINK				14.00	1999 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 -							- 0 -
-10-	Law Mart			540 535		R								- 1)			-10-
-15-	22			-530-			\int						ш			0.5	- 15 -
-20-				525- 520-	Juin .	8	$\left \right $						n 79 g		2	ν v	-25
- 30 -				515			$\mathbf{\mathbf{\mathbf{5}}}$		- 7-19 - 7-19 				1 gpr	5	I♡	0	- 30 -
-35- -40-				510-	SE	S '	5				3		3.37	•	.5 .5	.5	- 35 - - 40 -
-45-		_		505	orie.	($\overline{\mathbf{N}}$				र्		E E		~ ~	\sim	-45-
-50-				-495-			Ś				×.		- 1g				- 50 - 55
-60-	₹ ₹			490		8	8				ļ		0.08				-60 -
-65- -70-				480-			$\langle $							1			-65 -70
-75-				475		8	5				k		ilutio				-75-
-80-				-465-			Ş			1	K						- 80 -
-90-			Ro.	460-		8	$\left\{ \right\}$				3		231				- 90 -
-95-				-450-			_}	214 2750- 3			ļļ				0.5 I	:0.5	- 95 -
-100-	{ \			-445-			S		-		ļ				V	v	100
-110-	1			-435-		X	5							/			-110-
-115 -120				-430-		1 I	}										115
-125			200	423	(34	Ř	2					}					125
-130- -135-				415			X										130- 135-
-140-	The second secon			410-405-			}						db				140
-145 -150				-400-			5						- 800				145 150
-155-		Q		-395-		X .	2						ö				155
-160-				-385-		8	ک										160
-170-				380-375-		8	L										170
-175-				-370-			Ł						abu.		þ		175
-185-				-365-		Š	S						.015		mate	ю	185
-190-			Ŕ	-355-			$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$	-				4		3 I	esti I	0,	190
-195				350-			Ş							i	0.23		200
205				-340-			ž						gpr				205
-210 -215							Y						000				210 215
-220			8	330 325		Y	$\left \right $						ТĘ				220
-225 -230-				320			Ş						05 g				225 230
235				315		8	{						0.0				235
-240 -245				-305		*	4						Ļ				240 245
-250							ζ						ε				250
255				290		8	$\left\{ \left \right. \right\}$						4 gp				255
-265				285		8	ξ						0.02		π		260 265
270		t.		275			Z								0.5	0.5	270
-275 -280		66		270-		Ψ	ל								∀	V	275 280
285	90			200								ſ		-	ш.		0.95





























































5		inches		13	1ft:20ft	0 °	90°	180°	270°	0°
	3	-Arm Calip	er		Depth	L	Optica	l Televiewer	r Image	
0°	90°	180°	270°	0°						
		Projections	8							
0				90						
		Tadpoles				•				
					284					
		}								
					283					


































					283										
					284										
Projections				I											
0°	90° 1	80°	270°	0°											
	Tac	lpoles	1												
0				90											
3-Arm Caliper			Depth		Travel T	'ime - Tr	ue North			Acou	stic Amr	litude			
5	in	ches		13	1ft:20ft	0°	90°	180°	270°	0°	0°	90°	180°	270°	0°

Figure DW-2:5. Rose Diagram of Optical Televiewer Features Weston Solutions MEFUDS; LO-58 Wellbore: DW-2 May 8, 2008

Dip Direction



Figure DW-2:6. Rose Diagram of Optical Televiewer Features Weston Solutions MEFUDS; LO-58 Wellbore: DW-2 May 8, 2008

Dip Angles



Figure DW-2:7. Stereonet of Optical Televiewer Features Weston Solutions MEFUDS; LO-58 Wellbore: DW-2 May 8, 2008

Schmidt Projection with Contours



Figure DW-2:8. Stereonet of Optical Televiewer Features Weston Solutions MEFUDS; LO-58 Wellbore: DW-2 May 8, 2008

Schmidt Projection with Feature Ranks



Image Features

Weston Solutions

LO-58;

Wellbore: DW-2

May 8, 2008

Feature	Depth	Depth	Dip	Dip	Feature
No.			Direction	Angle	Rank
	(meters)	(feet)	(degrees)	(degrees)	(0 to 5)
1	4.40	14.4	118	75	1
2	4.97	16.3	129	53	1
3	5.18	17.0	132	59	0
4	5.22	17.1	309	57	1
5	5.32	17.5	126	45	0
6	5.54	18.2	124	46	0
7	5.74	18.8	137	44	1
8	5.84	19.2	121	47	0
9	6.07	19.9	120	56	1
10	7.01	23.0	123	38	0
11	7.56	24.8	118	69	1
12	7.94	26.0	124	63	1
13	8.61	28.3	126	73	1
14	9.14	30.0	120	66	2
15	9.47	31.1	123	52	3
16	9.55	31.3	316	29	1
17	9.81	32.2	43	26	1
18	9.94	32.6	16	81	1
19	10.04	33.0	79	44	2
20	10.34	33.9	138	43	2
21	10.57	34.7	105	41	2
22	10.88	35.7	85	42	1
23	11.04	36.2	136	46	0
24	11.32	37.2	291	70	0
25	11.48	37.7	130	57	1
26	11.70	38.4	116	28	1
27	12.09	39.7	124	59	0
28	12.44	40.8	131	64	2
29	12.82	42.1	137	54	1
30	13.19	43.3	128	47	1
31	13.33	43.7	126	50	0
32	13.79	45.2	294	25	3
33	14.04	46.1	124	65	1
34	14.51	47.6	43	82	0
35	14.93	49.0	134	70	1
36	15.23	50.0	127	67	1
37	15.53	50.9	127	66	2
38	15.91	52.2	358	76	0
39	16.24	53.3	134	54	1
40	16.43	53.9	4	78	0
41	16.63	54.6	128	68	1
42	16.84	55.3	52	76	0
43	17.02	55.9	122	75	1
44	17.09	56.1	141	55	1

Image Features

Weston Solutions

LO-58;

Wellbore: DW-2

May 8, 2008

Feature	Depth	Depth	Dip	Dip	Feature
No.			Direction	Angle	Rank
	(meters)	(feet)	(degrees)	(degrees)	(0 to 5)
45	17.24	56.6	154	56	1
46	17.46	57.3	149	61	1
47	17.65	57.9	147	68	1
48	17.70	58.1	52	82	0
49	18.30	60.1	136	76	0
50	18.49	60.7	125	78	1
51	18.72	61.4	313	72	1
52	18.74	61.5	139	76	1
53	18.94	62.1	314	59	0
54	19.32	63.4	142	68	0
55	19.68	64.6	151	68	1
56	19.79	64.9	340	83	0
57	20.53	67.4	156	60	0
58	20.71	67.9	145	67	3
59	21.49	70.5	46	87	0
60	22.07	72.4	140	70	0
61	23.36	76.6	141	65	1
62	23.75	77.9	134	60	0
63	24.40	80.0	135	65	1
64	24.88	81.6	152	58	0
65	25.29	83.0	148	65	0
66	25.60	84.0	142	62	0
67	25.84	84.8	148	55	1
68	26.25	86.1	136	64	0
69	26.63	87.4	135	76	0
70	26.87	88.2	138	76	0
71	27.40	89.9	138	80	0
72	27.97	91.8	143	73	0
73	28.41	93.2	139	71	0
74	28.74	94.3	132	67	1
75	28.93	94.9	140	62	0
76	29.23	95.9	136	61	0
77	29.47	96.7	139	62	2
78	29.94	98.2	128	70	0
79	30.60	100.4	125	64	1
80	31.24	102.5	131	73	1
81	31.45	103.2	298	63	1
82	31.61	103.7	125	69	1
83	31.97	104.9	126	73	0
84	32.60	107.0	139	73	0
85	33.10	108.6	121	65	1
86	33.23	109.0	129	64	1
87	33.55	110.1	131	70	0
88	33.82	111.0	132	66	1

Image Features

Weston Solutions

LO-58;

Wellbore: DW-2

May 8, 2008

Feature	Depth	Depth	Dip	Dip	Feature	
No.			Direction	Angle	Rank	
	(meters)	(feet)	(degrees)	(degrees)	(0 to 5)	
89	34.24	112.3	124	63	1	
90	34.63	113.6	116	62	1	
91	34.96	114.7	131	68	1	
92	35.27	115.7	358	87	1	
93	35.63	116.9	300	48	1	
94	35.88	117.7	134	68	1	
95	36.23	118.9	125	69	1	
96	36.62	120.1	116	66	1	
97	36.93	121.2	134	65	1	
98	37.14	121.9	128	67	1	
99	37.53	123.1	134	65	1	
100	37.77	123.9	99	62	1	
101	37.83	124.1	357	87	1	
102	37.95	124.5	127	74	1	
103	38.09	125.0	119	77	1	
104	38.26	125.5	129	74	1	
105	38.88	127.6	128	67	0	
106	39.14	128.4	127	68	1	
107	40.26	132.1	121	74	1	
108	40.46	132.7	119	75	0	
109	40.91	134.2	118	73	1	
110	41.62	136.6	120	69	0	
111	41.85	137.3	130	74	0	
112	42.25	138.6	130	79	0	
113	42.79	140.4	117	72	1	
114	43.31	142.1	3	72	1	
115	43.81	143.7	118	68	1	
116	44.03	144.4	119	62	0	
117	44.25	145.2	16	81	0	
118	44.41	145.7	114	77	1	
119	44.59	146.3	114	75	1	
120	45.15	148.1	119	67	1	
121	45.70	150.0	120	68	l	
122	46.01	150.9	128	62	0	
123	46.13	151.4	121	64	0	
124	46.32	152.0	116	64	1	
125	46.47	152.5	137	55	1	
126	46.63	153.0	135	48	1	
127	46.80	153.5	129	57	1	
128	47.01	154.2	124	60	0	
129	47.27	155.1	130	65	1	
130	47.90	157.2	116	67	1	
131	48.47	159.0	123	66	3	
132	48.66	159.6	127	65	1	

Image Features

Weston Solutions

LO-58;

Wellbore: DW-2

May 8, 2008

Feature	Depth	Depth	Dip	Dip	Feature
No.			Direction	Angle	Rank
	(meters)	(feet)	(degrees)	(degrees)	(0 to 5)
133	48.90	160.4	131	68	1
134	49.34	161.9	133	68	2
135	49.89	163.7	128	57	1
136	50.18	164.6	120	60	1
137	50.68	166.3	97	59	1
138	51.12	167.7	292	73	1
139	51.17	167.9	86	79	2
140	51.25	168.2	296	81	2
141	52.09	170.9	306	68	2
142	52.30	171.6	127	69	1
143	52.71	172.9	135	66	1
144	53.34	175.0	135	64	1
145	54.61	179.2	144	58	1
146	54.74	179.6	128	59	1
147	54.78	179.7	342	67	2
148	55.08	180.7	129	66	1
149	55.22	181.2	131	62	1
150	55.53	182.2	138	66	1
151	55.71	182.8	136	65	0
152	56.03	183.8	133	66	0
153	56.36	184.9	144	68	0
154	57.17	187.6	140	69	2
155	57.71	189.4	137	68	1
156	57.92	190.0	31	81	1
157	58.06	190.5	134	74	4
158	58.44	191.7	146	65	1
159	58.72	192.7	135	67	1
160	58.96	193.5	139	67	1
161	59.14	194.0	135	62	1
162	59.50	195.2	153	72	1
163	59.98	196.8	123	68	1
164	60.18	197.5	141	69	1
165	60.66	199.0	151	/3	0
166	61.53	201.9	123	65	0
16/	61.93	203.2	138	63	0
168	62.18	204.0	152	61	0
169	63.19	207.3	150	/8	0
170	63.50	208.3	142	67	0
1/1	64.31	211.0	142	/0	0
172	64.55	211./	159	/0	0
1/3	65.19	213.9	158	80	0
1/4	65.87	216.1	63	54	1
1/5	65.88	216.2	151	62	1
176	65.90	216.2	346	58	1

Image Features

Weston Solutions

LO-58;

Wellbore: DW-2

May 8, 2008

Feature	Depth	Depth	Dip	Dip	Feature
No.			Direction	Angle	Rank
	(meters)	(feet)	(degrees)	(degrees)	(0 to 5)
177	66.43	217.9	144	66	0
178	67.10	220.2	151	69	0
179	68.21	223.8	135	72	0
180	68.61	225.1	122	68	1
181	68.83	225.8	130	68	0
182	69.14	226.8	130	67	1
183	69.47	227.9	130	66	2
184	69.66	228.5	128	64	1
185	69.93	229.4	131	64	1
186	70.22	230.4	130	66	1
187	70.39	231.0	129	67	0
188	70.57	231.5	121	68	1
189	71.08	233.2	121	60	1
190	71.60	234.9	125	60	1
191	71.94	236.0	124	63	1
192	72.03	236.3	119	66	1
193	72.24	237.0	117	68	0
194	72.45	237.7	118	69	1
195	72.73	238.6	130	65	0
196	72.84	239.0	118	70	0
197	73.07	239.7	305	48	1
198	73.13	239.9	130	48	1
199	73.15	240.0	120	74	1
200	73.36	240.7	107	70	1
201	74.02	242.9	111	72	2
202	74.42	244.2	356	42	3
203	74.72	245.1	119	77	1
204	75.22	246.8	351	44	1
205	76.06	249.5	112	72	1
206	76.74	251.8	119	74	1
207	77.14	253.1	117	77	2
208	77.42	254.0	119	75	0
209	77.51	254.3	112	74	1
210	77.69	254.9	120	68	1
211	77.77	255.2	114	64	1
212	77.82	255.3	116	65	0
213	78.04	256.0	121	68	0
214	78.34	257.0	118	66	0
215	78.94	259.0	140	69	1
216	79.19	259.8	127	70	1
217	79.46	260.7	130	63	1
218	79.67	261.4	123	63	1
219	79.96	262.3	126	65	1
220	80.42	263.8	119	59	1

Image Features

Weston Solutions

LO-58;

Wellbore: DW-2

May 8, 2008

Feature	Depth	Depth	Dip	Dip	Feature
No.			Direction	Angle	Rank
	(meters)	(feet)	(degrees)	(degrees)	(0 to 5)
221	80.50	264.1	124	60	1
222	80.88	265.3	120	64	0
223	81.05	265.9	125	66	1
224	81.23	266.5	131	69	0
225	81.71	268.1	125	74	1
226	82.17	269.6	116	72	1
227	82.76	271.5	124	69	1
228	82.88	271.9	338	66	1
229	83.92	275.3	114	61	1
230	84.02	275.6	106	73	1
231	84.23	276.3	109	72	1
232	84.32	276.6	295	53	1
233	84.37	276.8	96	61	1
234	84.62	277.6	87	33	1
235	84.84	278.4	315	45	1

Table DW-2:3. Summary of Vertical Seismic Profile Results; Weston Solutions; LO-58, Caribou, ME; Wellbore: DW-2

	Depth Interval	Interval-Specific Velocity	
Well	(ftbtoc)	(feet/second)	Comments
	20 - 30	1,789	Signal degraded due to cement vault, asphalt
DW-02	35 - 115	10,255	Consistent with highly fractured bedrock
	115 - 185	17,274	Consistent with highly fractured bedrock

Note: P-wave signal degradation is observed in all test stations likely due to the cement vault and backfill arround the steel casing and the asphalt surface. Moreover, seismograms at 195 and 200 feet had too little P-wave seismic energy to estimate velocities.

FIGURE DW-2:9A. Pressure and Extraction Rate Data During Wireline Straddle Packer Sampling at 16.0 to 20.0 Feet; Weston Solutions; MEFUDS; LO-58; Caribou, ME; Wellbore: DW-2













FIGURE DW-2:9D. Pressure and Extraction Rate Data During Wireline Straddle Packer Sampling at 94.5 to 98.5 Feet; Weston Solutions; MEFUDS; LO-

142





Table DW-2:4. Summary Of Wireline Straddle Packer Testing With Hydraulic Conductivity And Transmissivity Estimations; Weston Solutions; MEFUDS; LO-58; Caribou, ME; Wellbore: DW-2

Well NameDW-02Ambient Depth to Water (ftbtoc)4.94Diameter of Borehole (ft)0.52Effective Radius (ft)300

Interval	Specific Fluid Electrical	Conductivity	(microS/cm)	430	450	451	435	438	225
		Transmissivity	(ft2/day)	5.83E+01	1.95E+03	3.50E+02	3.34E+01	3.22E+01	5.07E+00
Interval Snacific	Hydraulic Hydraulic	Conductivity ⁴	(ft/day)	1.46E+01	4.89E+02	7.46E+01	8.35E+00	7.48E+00	2.67E-01
Interval Specific	PIOW Rate: WSP	Stress Test	(mdg)	0.01	4.02	1.97	1.66	1.54	0.41
	Differential	Head	(feet) ¹	0.277	3.326	9.088	80.386	77.441	130.697
	Differential	Pressure	(ISI)	0.120	1.440	3.935	34.807	33.532	56.592
	Length of	Interval	(ft)	4.0	4.0	4.7	4.0	4.3	19.0
	Bottom of	Interval	(ft)	20.0	32.5	41.7	98.5	192.2	284.0
	Top of	Interval	(ft)	16.0	28.5	37.0	94.5	187.9	265.0
			Interval No.	1	2	3 S	4	2	-9

* The reported bottom depth of this interval is the total depth (TD) of the borehole.

¹ Differential Head is the difference between ambient pressure and pumping pressure, converted to feet.

APPENDIX A

STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES FOR HYDROPHYSICAL™ LOGGING

Standard Operating Procedures HydroPhysical[™] Logging for Aquifer Characterization

1. Purpose

Application of the HydroPhysical[™] (HpL[™]) logging method to analyze and determine:

- The location of hydraulically conductive intervals within a wellbore
- The interval specific rate of inflow during well production, in conjunction with the drawdown data, can be used to estimate interval specific hydraulic conductivity or transmissivity
- Ambient (non-pumping) flow conditions (inflow and outflow rates, and locations)
- The hydrochemistry (fluid electrical conductivity (FEC) and temperature) of the associated formation waters

In addition, when downhole, discrete point fluid sampling is coupled with the HydroPhysical[™] Logging technique, analysis of the actual contaminant concentrations associated with each identified conductive interval is accomplished for any aqueous phase contaminant.

2. Equipment and Materials

This SOP specifically applies to application of the technique using COLOG's HydroPhysical[™] Logging Truck 16, which has been specially configured to handle those field conditions associated with small diameter, low-moderate yield wells The maximum capability of the van is to a total depth of 700 ft and 350 ft total drawdown (maximum depth to water). In the event of high yield wells, the wireline capability of any COLOG truck can be used to accompany fluid management equipment.

- HydroPhysical[™] logging truck field equipment includes:
- Fluid management system
 - Back Pressure Regulator or orifices
 - Rubber hose (0.75-inch i.d.) for injection
 - Submersible Pump
 - Evacuation Line
 - Storage tanks (as required) with inlet/outlet valves
 - Surface Pump
 - Fluid management manifold/Monitoring Panel
 - Data Acquisition System (for recording volumes, flow rates, time)
 - Wireline System
 - Wireline winch unit
 - Depth encoder
 - Water level indicator
 - Computer System

- HydroPhysical[™] Logging tool
- Downhole Fluid Sampler
- Deionizing Units
- Deionized water (prepared with wellbore fluids or transported on-site)
- Standard Reference Solutions Electrical conductivity reference solutions (set of 3 solutions).

3. Procedures

1.) Review well construction details and complete general well information sheet. The HydroPhysicalTM logging technique involves dilution of the wellbore fluids with DI water and profiling of the wellbore dynamics using a HydroPhysicalTM logging tool. Significant aberrations or reductions in the borehole diameter should be identified as the downhole equipment can become lodged in the borehole. Additionally, application of the technique requires certain wellbore conditions:

- In open bedrock boreholes, casing must be installed through the overburden and grouted at the rock/alluvium interface to inhibit water leakage into the borehole from the saturated alluvium. For cased boreholes, the well should be fully cased and gravel packed with single or multiple screened intervals;
- The diameter of the borehole must be approximately 4 inches or greater for application with the slim-tool (1.5-inch o.d.). Two inch i.d. boreholes may be tested using the slug test approach described in Section 5.
- For newly drilled wells, cuttings and drill fluids must be removed from the affected fractures by standard well development procedures.

2.) Review and record additional wellbore construction/site details and fill out the general well information form which includes the following information:

- Ambient depth-to-water
- Depth of casing
- Total depth of well
- Lithology (if available)
- Estimated well yield and any available drawdown data
- Type and concentration of contamination

3.) Prepare the deionized (DI) water. Consult with DI water tank firm for assistance if necessary. If DI water has not been transported to the site, surface or groundwater may be used if it is of suitable quality Generally source water containing less than 1000 micro Siemens per centimeter (μ S/cm) and less then 200 ppb VOCs will not significantly affect the deionizing units, but this should be confirmed with DI water firm. If the groundwater from the well under construction cannot be used for DI water generation, then DI water must be transported to the site and containerized at the wellhead.

Depending on the amount of HydroPhysical[™] testing to be performed (ambient and/or during production) the typical volume of DI water required for each borehole is approximately three times the volume of the standing column of formation water in the wellbore per type of HydroPhysical[™] characterization.

If preparation takes place on site, pump the source water through a pre-filter, to the deionizing units, and into the storage tanks.

Monitor the FEC of the DI water in-line to verify homogeneity; the target value is 5 to 25 μ S/cm.

4.) Calibrate the HydroPhysicalTM logging tool using standard solutions prepared and certified by a qualified chemical supply manufacturer. Fill out tool calibration form following the steps defined in the software program, "tools" under the directory, calibration. Also use a separate field temperature / FEC / pH meter to support calibration data. Record the results of the tool calibrations, specifically noting any problems on the tool calibration form. Also record the certification number of the standard solutions.

5.) Set datum on the depth encoder with the FEC sensor on the tool as 0 depth at the top of casing. If inadequate space is available at the wellhead, measure 10 feet from the FEC sensor up the cable (using measuring tape) and reference with a wrap of electrical tape. Lower the tool down the hole to the point where the tape equals the elevation at the top of the casing and reference that as 10 feet depth on the depth encoder.

6.) Place the top of the tool approximately 3 feet below the free-water surface to allow it to achieve thermal equilibrium. Monitor the temperature output until thermal stabilization is observed at approximately $\pm .02$ °C.

7.) After thermal stabilization of the logging tool is observed, log the ambient conditions of the wellbore (temperature and FEC). Fill out the water quality log form. During the logging run, the data are plotted in real time in log format on the computer screen and, the data string is simultaneously recorded on the hard drive.

Log the ambient fluid conditions in both directions (i.e. record down and up). The ideal logging speed is 5 feet per minute (fpm). For deeper wells the logging speed can be adjusted higher, but the fpm should not exceed 20.

At completion of the ambient log, place the tool approximately 10 feet below the free water surface. The tool will remain there during equipment set up as long as borehole conditions permit. Establish and record ambient depth to water using top of protective casing as datum.

8.) Attach back pressure regulator or orifice, if used, and weighted boot, to end of emplacement line and secure. Insure that the injection line is of adequate length to reach the bottom of the wellbore.

9.) Lower the flexible emplacement line to the bottom of the well allowing one foot of clearance from the well bottom to the outlet of the injection line.

10.) Lower tool about 10 feet below the water surface. The tool will be stationed beneath the submersible pump during non-logging times.

11.) Lower submersible pump in the well to a depth just above the logging tool. Record approximate depth of the pump location.

12.) Record all initial readings of gauges at elapsed time 0.0 minutes. Fill out well testing data form.

13.) Mark hoses with a round of electrical tape for reference. In addition, establish datum for tool depth to the nearest foot and mark on wire with wrap of tape. Reset datum on optical encoder for this depth.

14.) When ambient flow characterization is to be conducted, it should be done now, before disturbing the aquifer (i.e. by pumping). Fill out ambient flow characterization (AFC) form. Skip to Section 17 for procedures.

15.) After AFC, if performed, conduct a controlled, short term well production test (pump test) to characterize the overall hydraulics of the wellbore (drawdown at given pumping rate provides total well transmissivity or yield) and to make an initial assessment of formation water hydrochemistry. Begin pumping at a total extraction flow rate appropriate for wellbore under investigation (see Section 4 Special Notes). During this period, record elapsed time of pumping, depth to water, total gallons extracted, and extraction flow rate at approximately one minute intervals.

During extraction, log the fluid column continuously until at least three wellbore volumes have been extracted from the wellbore, or a stabilized water level elevation is obtained.

Review fluid logging results to verify that true formation water is present within the affected borehole interval and that the vertical distribution of water quality parameters within this interval is stable.

16.) Review data obtained during the pumping test to determine DI water emplacement and pumping/logging procedures. Extraction procedures for detection and characterization of hydraulically conductive intervals and the formation water hydrochemistry are determined based on the pumping test information. The emplacement, testing and pumping procedures will differ depending upon well yield and determined lengths of intervals of interest. In wellbore situations where intervals of interest are small (less than 30 feet) and hydraulic characteristics observed during borehole advancement and preliminary hydraulic testing indicate hydraulically conductive intervals with extremely low flow rates (i.e. <0.10 gpm/foot of drawdown), a slug testing procedure can be employed. In wellbore cases where the preliminary hydraulic testing indicates low to moderate total yield (i.e. 0.10 < Q < 4 gpm/foot of drawdown), constant low flow rate pumping after DI water emplacement procedures can be employed. In wellbore situations where intervals of interest are large, and high total yield (i.e. > 4 gpm/foot of

drawdown) is observed, constant pumping during DI water injection procedures will be employed.

17.) When the fluid column is to be replaced with DI water, (vertical flow characterization, slug testing, logging during pumping after DI water emplacement) the following emplacement procedures apply:

Pump the DI water to the bottom of the wellbore using the surface pump and the injection riser. Simultaneously use the submersible pump to maintain a stable, elevated total head by extracting groundwater from near the free-water surface. When groundwater from the subject well is used for DI water generation, generate DI water from the extracted formation water and re-circulated to the well bottom via the solid riser.

Use the water level meter to observe the elevated total head during emplacement. If borehole conditions permit (i.e. the absence of constricted borehole intervals), the logging tool is used to monitor the advancement of the fluid up the borehole as it displaces the standing formation water. Draw the logging tool up the wellbore in successive increments as the DI water is emplaced. Monitor the electrical conductivity of the fluid expelled from the evacuation pump during emplacement procedures. When FEC values are representative of the DI water, or sufficiently diluted formation water, terminate emplacement procedures.

Emplacement is complete when DI water, or sufficiently diluted formation water, is observed from the evacuation pump or when logging tool stationed near the pump indicates DI water or sufficiently diluted formation water.

Upon completion, turn off the evacuation pump. Then turn off the injection line.

18.) Record volumes of extracted and injected fluids on the well testing data form. Calculate the volume of DI water lost to the formation.

19.) Take initial background HydroPhysical[™] log, or begin continuous logging depending upon extraction method (i.e. slug vs. continuous).

20.) Pumping and testing procedures vary depending upon wellbore hydraulics and construction detail.

21.) Continuous logging is conducted until stabilized and consistent diluted FEC logs are observed. If inflow characterization at a second pumping rate is desired, increase extraction rate and assure the proper DI water injection rate. Perform continuous logging until stabilized and consistent FEC logs are observed and all diluted formation water is re-saturated with formation water.

22.) After stabilized and consistent FEC traces are observed, terminate DI water injection. Reduce the total extraction flow rate to the net formation rate and conduct continuous logging. Conduct logging until stable and consistent FEC values are observed.

23.) Conduct depth specific sampling at this time.

24.) At the conclusion of the above procedures, assess the wellbore fluid conditions and compare them with those observed during the original pumping (Step 14).

25.) Turn all pumps off. First remove the extraction pump from the borehole. During removal, thoroughly clean the evacuation line (2-inch o.d.) with a brush and alconox and rinse DI water. Also clean the outside of the pump. Place the pump in a drum of DI water and flush DI water through the system.

Remove the tool. Clean the wireline for the tool in a similar manner during its withdrawal from the borehole.

Remove the injection line from the well. Follow the same procedures when cleaning the injection line as for the evacuation line.

Store the pumps and logging tools properly for transport.

Place cover on well and lock (if available).

4. Special Notes

On-site pre-treatment of groundwater using activated carbon, can be conducted prior to DI water generation, if there is a contaminated groundwater source. In addition, on-site treatment can also be considered to handle extracted fluids that would require containerization and treatment prior to disposal.

The rate(s) of pumping are determined by drawdown information previously obtained or at rate(s) appropriate for the wellbore diameter and saturated interval thickness. The appropriate extraction rate is a function of length of saturated interval, borehole diameter, and previous well yield knowledge. The appropriate pumping procedures to be employed are also dictated by the length of the exposed rock interval. In general, the extraction flow rate should be sufficient to induce adequate inflow from the producing intervals. The concern is that the extraction flow rate does not cause extreme drawdown within the well i.e. lowering the free water surface to within the interval of investigation.

5. Discussion

LOW YIELD: Extraction Slug Test After DI water Emplacement

In wells with very low total flow capability (i.e. < 0.10 gpm/foot of drawdown), perform a slug test in accordance with procedures developed by Hvorslev (1951). Rapidly extract a small volume of water from near the free water surface using the extraction riser and pump. A drop in piezometric head of about 2 feet should be adequate for the initial test. Record the rise in the free water surface with time and develop a conventional time-lag plot.

When the free water surface has recovered to a satisfactory elevation, log the wellbore fluid conditions. Repeat the procedures described above with successive increases in the drop of piezometric head (or volume extracted). Let the wellbore recover and record the rise in the free water surface. Repeat logging of the wellbore fluid after the free water surface has recovered to a satisfactory elevation. The number of slug tests performed is determined in the field after review of previous logging results.

MODERATE YIELD: Time Series HydroPhysical[™] Logging During Continuous Pumping After DI water Emplacement

In the case of moderate yield wells (i.e. 0.10 < Y < 4 gpm/foot of drawdown), maintain a constant flow rate from the evacuation pump and record the total volume of groundwater evacuated from the wellbore. Employ a continuous reading pressure transducer (or equivalent device) to monitor the depressed total head during pumping, along with the associated pumping rate.

Hold the flow rate from the evacuation pump constant at a rate determined for the specific borehole. <u>Drawdown of the free water surface produced during pumping should not overlap any</u> <u>identified water producing interval</u>. Conduct hydrophysical logging continuously. The time interval is a function of flow rate and is specific to each well. The number of logging runs and the length of time required to conduct all loggings is a function of the particular hydraulic conditions. Logging and pumping is continued until the fluid column is re-saturated with formation water (i.e. all DI water is removed from the borehole).

HIGH YIELD: Time Series Wellbore Fluid Logging During Continuous Pumping and Simultaneous DI Water Injection

When wells exhibit high yield (> 4 gpm/foot of drawdown), as determined by a review of the interval of interest, the borehole diameter and the results obtained from previous information and preliminary hydraulic testing, the appropriateness of time series fluid logging during continuous pumping and simultaneous DI water injection is determined.

In this case, maintain a constant flow rate from the evacuation pump and record this rate and the associated drawdown. During this period, conduct hydrophysical logging until reasonably similar HydroPhysicalTM logs are observed and stabilized drawdown is achieved. After reasonably similar downhole fluid conditions are observed and simultaneous with extraction pumping, inject DI water at the bottom of the well at a constant rate of 10 to 20% of that employed for extraction. Increase the total rate of extraction to maintain total formation production reasonably similar to that prior to DI water injection (i.e. increase the total extraction by amount equal to the DI water injection rate).

Periodically record the total volume and flow rate of well fluids evacuated and the total volume and flow rate of DI water injected. Use a continuous reading pressure transducer or similar device to monitor the depressed total head during pumping. Record the depressed total head (piezometric surface) periodically, with the associated pumping and injection data. The evacuation and DI water injection flow rates are held constant at a rate determined for the specific wellbore. Drawdown of the free water surface during pumping must not overlap any identified water producing intervals. HydroPhysical[™] Logging is conducted continuously. The number of logging runs and the length of time required to conduct all loggings is a function of the particular hydraulic conditions exhibited by the well under investigation.

APPENDIX B

BORE II MODELING SOFTWARE

BORE II – A Code to Compute Dynamic Wellbore Electrical Conductivity Logs with Multiple Inflow/Outflow Points Including the Effects of Horizontal Flow across the Well

Christine Doughty and Chin-Fu Tsang

Earth Sciences Division E.O. Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory Berkeley, California 94720 (cadoughty@lbl.gov or cftsang@lbl.gov)

September 2000

This work was supported by the Laboratory Technology Research Program (SC-32) within the Office of Science, U.S. Department of Energy, under DOE/LBNL contract DE-AC03-76SF00098.

(c) 1993-2000 The Regents of the University of California (through E.O. Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory), subject to approval by the U.S. Department of Energy. Portions of BORE II were developed by COLOG, 17301 W. Colfax, Suite 265, Golden, Colorado 80401; (303) 279-0171.

NOTICE OF U.S. GOVERNMENT RIGHTS. The Software was developed under funding from the U.S. Department of Energy and the U.S. Government consequently retains certain rights as follows: the U.S. Government has been granted for itself and others acting on its behalf a paid-up, nonexclusive, irrevocable, worldwide license in the Software to reproduce, prepare derivative works, and perform publicly and display publicly. Beginning five (5) years after the date permission to assert copyright is obtained from the U.S. Department of Energy, and subject to any subsequent five (5) year renewals, the U.S. Government is granted for itself and others acting on its behalf a paid-up, nonexclusive, irrevocable, worldwide license in the Software to reproduce, prepare derivative works, distribute copies to the public, perform publicly and display publicly, and to permit others to do so.

Abstract

Dynamic wellbore electrical conductivity logs provide a valuable means to determine the flow characteristics of fractures intersecting a wellbore, in order to study the hydrologic behavior of fractured rocks. To expedite the analysis of log data, a computer program called BORE II has been developed that considers multiple inflow or outflow points along the wellbore, including the case of horizontal flow across the wellbore. BORE II calculates the evolution of fluid electrical conductivity (FEC) profiles in a wellbore or wellbore section, which may be pumped at a low rate, and compares model results to log data in a variety of ways. FEC variations may arise from inflow under natural-state conditions or due to tracer injected in a neighboring well (interference tests). BORE II has an interactive, graphical user interface and runs on a personal computer under the Windows operating system. BORE II is a modification and extension of an older code called BORE, which considered inflow points only and did not provide an interactive comparison to field data. In this report, we describe BORE II capabilities, provide a detailed user's guide, and show a series of example applications.

1. Introduction

The variation of formation permeability surrounding a wellbore is useful information not only for identifying hydraulically conducting fractures or other high-conductivity features intercepted by the well, but also for quantifying the heterogeneity of the medium. These are essential data in the evaluation of insitu flow and transport characteristics at a given site.

Methods to evaluate permeability values along the depth of a well include the packer method, in which constant pressure, constant flow, or pulse tests are conducted in packed-off intervals in a wellbore, and various downhole flow meters. The packer method has the disadvantage that it is very time consuming and costly, and the vertical resolution is limited by the interval between the two packers that can be set in the well. Flow meter methods such as spinners and heat pulse flow meters generally allow better vertical resolution than the packer method, but they are not as accurate in determining permeability, because they mostly measure the wellbore fluid velocity, which is very sensitive to variations in the wellbore radius.

In 1990, Tsang et al. (1990) proposed a method using logs of fluid electric conductivity (FEC) at successive times under constant-pumping conditions to obtain inflow from the formation into the well as a function of depth in the well. In this method, the wellbore is first filled by de-ionized water or water of a constant salinity (i.e., ion concentration) distinct from that of the formation water. This is usually done by passing the de-ionized water down a tube to the bottom of the wellbore at a given rate while simultaneously pumping at the top of the well at the same rate. After this is done, the well is pumped at a constant flow rate, which can be adjusted to optimize wellbore flow conditions. An electric resistivity probe is lowered into the wellbore to scan FEC as a function of depth along the wellbore. This is what is called fluid conductivity logging. A series of five or six such logs are obtained at time intervals over a one- or two-day period. At the depth levels where water enters the wellbore, the conductivity log displays peaks, which grow with time and become skewed in the direction of water flow. By analyzing these logs, it is possible to obtain the permeability and salinity of each hydrologic layer transmitting water. The method has been very successful, being much more accurate than flow meters and much more efficient (much cheaper) than packer tests (Tsang et al. 1990), particularly in low permeability formations. A typical 1000-m section in a deep hole can be tested in two or three days at a spatial resolution of ~ 0.10 m all along the length of the wellbore section. The method is now being widely used in Europe and the U.S. (Marschall and Vomvoris, 1995; Pedler et al., 1992; Bauer and LoCoco, 1996), both under natural-state flow conditions and while tracer is injected in a neighboring well (i.e., interference tests).

Along with the method, a code was developed called BORE (Hale and Tsang, 1988), which performed the forward calculation to produce wellbore FEC profiles given different inflow positions, rates, and concentrations. The code has been well used over the last decade. However, it appears now that there is a need to revise the code to make it more suitable for current computer environments and to add new capabilities. Thus, the code has been updated to run under current operating systems, provide interactive modification of model parameters, and produce graphical comparisons between model and field data. More importantly, the revised code allows the possible inclusion of both flows into and out of the well at various depths, a feature that has been observed in real field conditions when different layers penetrated by the well have different hydraulic heads. Furthermore, the new code allows the calculation of the case with equal inflow and outflow at the same depth level, which is effectively the special case of horizontal flow across the wellbore. Drost (1968) proposed a measurement of solute dilution in the wellbore to evaluate ambient horizontal flow velocity in the formation and it has become a well-accepted method. The new code provides the opportunity to analyze such cases and to identify the depth interval of horizontal flow to within ~0.1 m as well as to estimate the flow rate. Moreover, one can analyze the combination of horizontal flow across the wellbore and vertical diffusion or dispersion along the length of the wellbore, which is not possible with Drost's solution.

The report is organized as follows. In Section 2, the basic capabilities of the revised code, called BORE II, are described, and the key parameters associated with BORE II are defined. Details of the mathematical background and numerical approach are described in Appendix 1, which is adapted from Hale and Tsang (1988). A user's guide is presented in Section 3, which includes a description of BORE II's interactive user interface, required input items, and options available when running BORE II. Four example applications are given in Section 4 to conclude the report.

We are still open to further improvements of BORE II; any suggestions and comments are invited and should be addressed to the authors.

2. BORE II Capabilities

BORE II calculates FEC as a function of space and time in a wellbore containing multiple feed points given the pumping rate of the well, the inflow or outflow rate of each feed point, its location and starting time, and, for inflow points, its ion concentration. A simple polynomial correlation between ion concentration, *C*, and FEC is assumed. Ion transport occurs by advection and diffusion along the wellbore, with instantaneous mixing of feed-point fluid throughout the wellbore cross-section. These assumptions allow use of a one-dimensional model. BORE II divides the wellbore section under study into equal height cells and solves the advection/diffusion equation using the finite difference method. Further details of the mathematical and numerical approach are given in Appendix 1.

Inflow and Outflow Feed Points

The original BORE code (Hale and Tsang, 1988) considered inflow points only, so flow through the wellbore was upward at all depths. BORE II allows both inflow and outflow points, so flow in the wellbore can be upward, downward, or horizontal at different depths and flow at either end of the wellbore section being studied can be into or out of the wellbore section or be zero. By convention, upward flow in the wellbore is positive and flow into the wellbore is positive.

Steady and Varying Fluid Flow

The original BORE code considered steady fluid flow, so feed points had constant flow rates. They also had constant concentrations, but delayed starting times for feed-point concentration to enter the wellbore were allowed. BORE II permits both steady and varying fluid flow. For the steady-flow case, the user specifies flow rate, concentration, and concentration start time for each feed point, but for outflow points (those with negative flow rates) the concentration and concentration start time are not used. Variable flow rate or concentration can be specified for feed points by interpolating from a table of time, flow rate, and concentration. If a table includes both positive and negative flow rates (i.e., a feed point alternates between inflow and outflow), the concentration for the positive flow rate is used when interpolating between positive and negative flow rates.

Concentration Boundary Conditions

If the flow at the top of the wellbore section under study is into the wellbore, the initial concentration for the uppermost cell in the wellbore is used as the inflow concentration. Analogously, if flow at the bottom of the wellbore section is a flow up from greater depths, the initial concentration for the lowermost cell in the wellbore is used as the inflow concentration. Furthermore, for inflow points with a concentration start time greater than zero, the initial concentration of the wellbore is used as the inflow concentration of the wellbore is used as the inflow concentration of the wellbore is used as the inflow concentration of the wellbore is used as the inflow concentration of the wellbore is used as the inflow concentration of the wellbore is used as the inflow concentration of the wellbore is used as the inflow concentration of the wellbore is used as the inflow concentration of the wellbore is used as the inflow concentration of the wellbore is used as the inflow concentration of the wellbore is used as the inflow concentration of the wellbore is used as the inflow concentration of the wellbore is used as the inflow concentration of the wellbore is used as the inflow concentration for times less than concentration start time.

Horizontal Flow

The special case of horizontal flow through the wellbore, as described by Drost (1968), can also be considered, by locating an inflow point and an outflow point with equal magnitude flow rates at the same depth. The flow rates may be specified as either (1) the Darcy velocity through the aquifer or (2) the volumetric flow rate into/out of the wellbore. BORE II multiplies Darcy velocity by the cross-sectional area of the feed point (wellbore diameter times cell height) and Drost's α_h convergence factor to convert it to a volumetric flow rate. The value of α_h can range from 1 (no convergence) to 4 (maximum possible convergence, which occurs for the case of a thick, highly-permeable well screen). Drost suggested that for a uniform aquifer with no well screen, $\alpha_h = 2$, and that for typical applications, a good choice for α_h is 2.5. Horizontal flow feed points may have time-varying flow rates, but for Darcy-velocity calculations to make sense, the inflow and outflow rates must be equal and opposite at any time. Thus, if a feed point location changes from a horizontal flow point to a non-horizontal flow point with time, volumetric flow rates must be specified rather than Darcy velocities.

BORE II Parameters

The key parameters associated with BORE II are defined below.

Parameter	I/O units*	Description
С	g/L	Ion concentration in the wellbore; converted to FEC using FEC $= \gamma + \beta C + \alpha C^2$, where α , β , and γ are user-specified constants (default values are provided in the code, see Section 3)
C_i	g/L	Ion concentration of <i>i</i> th feed point
C_0	g/L	Initial ion concentration in wellbore
D_0	m ² /s	Diffusion coefficient (may include dispersive effects as well molecular diffusion)
d_w	cm	Wellbore diameter (assumed constant)
FEC	µS/cm	Fluid electrical conductivity
<i>q</i>	L/min	Fluid flow rate in wellbore (upward flow is positive)
q_i	L/min	Fluid flow rate of <i>i</i> th feed point; positive for inflow and negative for outflow
q_w	L/min	Fluid flow rate in wellbore at x_{max} , specified by the user
q_0	L/min	Fluid flow rate in wellbore at x_{\min} (or any depth of interest), calculated internally
<i>T</i> or TEMP	°C	Temperature (assumed constant)
t	hr	Time
t _{max}	hr	Maximum simulation time
<i>t</i> _{0<i>i</i>}	hr	Concentration start time of <i>i</i> th feed point
V _d	m/day	Darcy velocity through aquifer for horizontal flow $(q_i = v_d \alpha_h \Delta x d_w)$
x	m	Depth (positive, increases down the wellbore)
x_{\min}, x_{\max}	m	Top and bottom, respectively, of wellbore interval being studied
Δx	m	Cell height for wellbore discretization
α_h	-	Drost (1968) convergence factor for horizontal flow

*I/O units are chosen for convenience; all quantities are converted to SI units before BORE II calculations.

3. BORE II User's Guide

Operating System

BORE II may be run under Windows 95, 98, or 2000 by double-clicking the executable icon (BOREII.EXE) in Windows Explorer, by double-clicking on a desktop shortcut key to BOREII.EXE, or by typing BOREII in the Run command in the Start Menu or in a DOS-prompt window. BORE II will not run in stand-alone DOS or in the DOS-mode of Windows. BORE II was compiled using Microsoft Fortran PowerStationTM Version 4.0, but this software is not necessary to run the program.

BORE II Graphical Output

The primary user interface with BORE II is interactive, with the user responding to on-screen prompts to modify model parameters and choose options (described below) for the real-time graphical display of model results and data. The basic BORE II output screen consists of three windows.

• The borehole profile window shows FEC profiles as a function of depth and time. Simulation time t is shown in the upper left corner. Fluid flow rate at a user-specified depth in the wellbore, q_0 , is shown in the middle of the top line (the depth at which q_0 is calculated is set by option P). The depth of a *C*-t plot is also shown.

• The inflow parameters window shows the feed-point characteristics for the model that can be modified with option M (location, flow rate, and concentration). Often there are more feed points than can be displayed at once on the screen. BORE II starts out showing the first few (deepest) feed points, then shows the feed points in the neighborhood of any point that is being modified.

• The dialog window allows the user to select options (described below) when running BORE II.

On computers with small screens, it may be desirable to run BORE II in full-screen mode, so that the entire BORE II screen can be seen at once without scrolling. Full-screen mode is entered by pressing Alt-VF (or on some computers by pressing Alt-Enter). Pressing Esc (or Alt-Enter) terminates full-screen mode. There are three potential problems associated with the use of full-screen mode.

(1) The status line describing what BORE II is doing (e.g., running, waiting for input) is not visible.

(2) Drawing an *x*-*t* plot (options X, S, D, F, and I), which creates a new window, may be very slow and the graphics quality poor.

(3) On some computers, text is difficult to read after closing the x-t plot window.

To address the latter two problems, one may terminate full-screen mode before using options X, S, D, F, and I. The new window will be small, but after drawing is complete it may be expanded by pressing Alt-VF to enter full-screen mode. Full-screen mode should be terminated before the new window is closed to avoid the final problem.
To print an image of the screen, press Alt-PrintScreen to copy the screen image into the clipboard. Then open a program such as Microsoft Paint and paste in the image. It can be manipulated, saved in a variety of graphics formats, or printed from Paint. The image can also be pasted directly into another Windows application such as MS Word.

Input/Output File Overview

Running BORE II requires one or two external files: a file with an initial set of model input parameters (mandatory, known as the input file) and a file with observed data (optional, known as the data file). These files are plain ASCII text, and must reside in the same folder as the BORE II executable. The input file contains model parameters such as the depth interval being studied, feed point characteristics, problem simulation time, and *C*-to-FEC conversion factors. The data file contains observed values of FEC and temperature, and optionally contains other fluid properties such as pH. Detailed instructions for preparing an input file and a data file are given below.

BORE II always creates a temporary file, called BOREII.TMP (see options C and R), and optionally creates a new input file (see option V), which is useful if model parameters have been changed during the BORE II run.

Line-by-line Instructions for Input File

After starting BORE II, the user is prompted to choose the input file from the list of files residing in the folder where the BORE II executable is. Input file names with more than 8 characters before a period or blanks will appear in the list of files in an abbreviated form. File names can be at most 20 characters long.

A sample input file is provided that can be modified as needed using a text editor such as Notepad or a word processor such as MS Word. If a word processor is used to create or modify an input file, be sure that the file is saved as plain ASCII text.

The input file is designed to be self-documenting, with header lines preceding data lines. These header lines must be present, but BORE II does not use the text on them. Data entries are read in free format, with individual entries on a given line separated by blanks, tabs, or commas. This means that entries cannot be left blank, even if they are not being used (e.g., concentration for an outflow point). Unused entries may be set to zero or any convenient value. Comments may be added on data lines, after the requisite number of entries. In the sample input file, comments begin with an exclamation point.

Item	Computer Variables	Unit	Description
1.	TITLE	_	A description of the problem, 80 characters maximum
2 header for wellt	oore geometry		

2.	RXMIN	m	Top of study area, x_{\min}
	RXMAX	m	Bottom of study area, x_{max}
	RDIAM	cm	Wellbore diameter, d_w
<i>3 header for flow</i>	parameters		
3.	RQW	L/min	Flow into (positive) or out of (negative) the bottom of the study
			area, q_w
	HALPHA	-	Factor to account for convergence of horizontal flow lines
			toward the wellbore, α_h (Drost, 1968)
			Range: $1.0 - 4.0$; default value: 2.5
			Only used for horizontal flow

4 header for feed	points		
4.	IINFN	-	Number of feed points (maximum 180)
	IQFLAG	_	Variable flow-rate flag – a 3 digit integer used to identify feed points with variable flow (suggested value 999)
5 header for cons	stant- flow-rate	feed points	
5. Repeat	RINFX	m	Location of feed point, x_i^*
IINFN times			For horizontal flow put two feed points at the same location, with equal magnitude, opposite sign flow rates
RINFQ L/m		L/min (m/day if	Constant inflow rate (positive) or outflow rate (negative) of feed point, q_i
		IINFV=1)	For a variable flow rate, set RINFQ = IIIJJ, where III = IQFLAG, and JJ is a two digit integer giving the number of times in the variable-flow-rate table, which follows in 5a
			For horizontal flow, v_d replaces q_i if IINFV = 1
	RINFC	g/L	Constant feed point concentration, C_i - only used for inflow points
			For a variable concentration, set RINFQ = IIIJJ, where III = IQFLAG, and JJ is a two digit integer giving the number of times in the variable-flow-rate table, which follows in 5a
	RINFT	hr	Start time for constant feed point concentration, t_{0i} - only used for inflow points
			Feed point concentration is C_0 of cell containing feed point for t < t_{0i}
	IINFV	-	Horizontal flow Darcy-velocity flag (must be zero for non- horizontal flow case):
			= 0: RINFQ is flow rate q_i into/out of the wellbore in L/min
			= 1: RINFQ is +/–Darcy velocity v _d through the aquifer in m/day

5a header for var	iable-flow-rate	table (only whe	en RINFQ = IQFLAGJJ)
5a. Repeat JJ	RINFQT	hr	Time t_j (set $t_1 = 0$, set $t_{JJ} > t_{max}$)
RINFO =	RINFQQ	L/min	Volumetric flow rate q_j at time t_j
IQFLAGJJ		(m/day if IINFV=1)	For horizontal flow, v_d replaces q_j if IINFV = 1
	RINFCC	g/L	Concentration C_j at t_j
6 header for misc	parameters		
6.	TMAX	hr	Maximum simulation time, t_{max}
	DPYMAX	µS/cm	Maximum FEC for plots
	RK	m ² /s	Diffusion coefficient, D_0
7 header for C-to-	FEC conversio	n	
7.	RGAMMA	µS/cm	Conversion from C in g/L to FEC in μ S/cm:
	RBETA	[µS/cm]/ [g/L]	$FEC = \gamma + \beta C + \alpha C^2$
	RALPHA	[µS/cm]/	Default values (for 20°C): $\gamma = 0$, $\beta = 1870$, $\alpha = -40$
		$[g/L]^2$	Set $\gamma = 0$, $\beta = 1$, $\alpha \approx 1.e-8$ for FEC $\approx C$
8 header for initid	al conditions	•	
8.	IC0FLAG	-	Initial concentration flag:
			= 0: $C_0 = 0$, no further input for item 8
			$<$ 0: read uniform non-zero C_0 in 8a
			> 0: read IC0FLAG $(x, C_0(x))$ pairs in 8b to describe variable initial concentration
8a header for unij	form initial cond	litions (only wh	ten $IC0FLAG < 0$)
8a. when IC0FLAG<0	RC0	g/L	Uniform non-zero C_0
8b header for non	-uniform initial	conditions (onl	y when $IC0FLAG > 0$)
8b. repeat	RX	m	x value*
IC0FLAG times when	RC0	g/L	$C_0(x)$
IC0FLAG>0			
9 header for data	file name	•	·
9.	CFDATA	-	Name of data file, 20 characters maximum; 'NONE' if there is no data file

*see Appendix 1, Section A1.5, for additional information on locating feed points and specifying nonuniform initial conditions

Sample Input File

An input file illustrating many of these options is shown below. Text or numbers following an exclamation point (!) are comments, and are not used by BORE II.

TITLE: Sample Input File with flow from below, horizontal flow, variable flow XMIN(m) XMAX(m) DIAM(cm) .0000 60.00 7.600 QW(L/min) HALPHA !QW=flow from below; HALPHA=hor. flow constriction 0.50 !default value of HALPHA will be used 0. #FEED PTS VARIABLE FLOWRATE IDENTIFIER 4 999 DEPTH(m) Q/V FLAG Q (L/min) C(g/L) TO(hr) 25. 6.0 .0000 1 !1st 2 feed pts-hor. +1. flow 25. -1. 6.0 .0000 1 !C & TO not used (outflow) 99905. 6.0 30. .0000 0 !C & TO not used (table) T(hr) Q(L/min) C(q/L)!#entries is two digits after 999 .0000 .0000 6. !first time in table is zero .3000 .2800E-01 5. .5000 .3200 4. 3. 1.000 .4600 1.500 .4600 2. !last time in table is > tmax 35. .5 4.0 .2000 0 !final feed pt TMAX(hr) FECMAX DIFFUSION COEF. (m2/s) .7500E-09 1.000 5000. !FEC = RGAMMA + C*RBETA + C*C*RALPHA RGAMMA RBETA RALPHA !default values will be used 0. 0. 0. !If 0, CO=0; If <0, read one CO; If >0, read ICOFLAG (X,CO) ICOFLAG pairs 1 X(m) C0(q/L) !#entries is ICOFLAG !Concentration associated with Qw 60. 2. DATA FILE !'NONE' if there is no data file NONE

The first two feed points represent constant horizontal flow, and since the Q/V flag (IINFV) is one, flow rate is given as Darcy velocity through the aquifer in m/day. The third feed point has variable flow rate and concentration, with a five-entry table specifying the variation with time. The fourth feed point is an inflow point with constant flow rate and concentration and a non-zero concentration start time.

Note that the flow from below, q_w , is positive (into the wellbore section), so the corresponding concentration is specified as the initial condition of the lowermost cell in the wellbore (at $x = x_{min}$) by using IC0FLAG = 1. If IC0FLAG = 0, the concentration associated with q_w would be zero, and if IC0FLAG = -1, the concentration associated with q_w would be the uniform non-zero initial concentration in the wellbore.

When BORE II writes an input file (option V), it changes several things to the file form shown above. Comments found in the original input file are not reproduced, but two comments are added. First, the cell height and the equation used to calculate it are shown on the line with x_{\min} , x_{\max} , and d_w . Second, if feed points represent horizontal flow, then the flag IINVF is set to 0, flow rate is given in L/min, and the corresponding Darcy velocity through the aquifer in m/day is added as a comment. Finally, if ICOFLAG > 0, BORE II sets ICOFLAG to the number of wellbore cells, and explicitly shows every (x, $C_0(x)$) pair. This option is useful for identifying the *x* values of various cells, which may expedite assignment of feed point locations or initial conditions. Part of the input file created by BORE II for the above sample is shown below.

TITLE: Sample Input File with flow from below, horizontal flow, variable flow !DX(m) = MAX(|XMIN - XMAX|/180,XMIN(m) XMAX(m) DIAM(cm) DIAM/100) .0000 60.00 7.600 ! .3333 QW(L/min) HALPHA !QW=flow from below; HALPHA=hor. flow constriction .5000 2.500 #FEED PTS VARIABLE FLOWRATE IDENTIFIER 999 4 DEPTH(m) Q/V FLAG Q(L/min) C(g/L) TO(hr) !Vd(m/day) .5000 0 35.00 4.000 .2000 99905. .0000 0 30.00 6.000 T(hr) Q(L/min) C(q/L)!#entries is two digits after 999 .0000 .0000 6.000 .2800E-01 5.000 .3000 .5000 .3200 4.000 1.000 .4600 3.000 1.500 .4600 2.000 .0000 25.00 .4398E-01 6.000 0 ! 1.000 25.00 -.4398E-01 6.000 .0000 0 !-1.000 TMAX(hr) FECMAX DIFFUSION COEF. (m2/s) .7500E-09 1.000 5000. !FEC = RGAMMA + C*RBETA + C*C*RALPHA RGAMMA rbeta RALPHA -40.00 .0000 1870. ICOFLAG !If 0, CO=0; If <0, read one CO; If >0, read ICOFLAG (X,CO) pairs 179 X(m) CO(q/L)!#entries is ICOFLAG 59.83 2.000 .0000 59.50 .0000 59.17 .0000 58.83 ...(169 entries with CO=0 not shown)0000 2.167 .0000 1.833 .0000 1.500 .0000 1.167 .8333 .0000 .0000 .5000 DATA FILE !'NONE' if there is no data file NONE

Line by Line Instructions for Data File

The data file is read in the fixed format shown below. If data are available in a different format, an auxiliary program should be used to convert it to this form (a simple preprocessor called PREBORE, described in Appendix 2, converts the data file format used by BORE to the new format shown below). Note that because a fixed format is used, blank entries are allowed; they are interpreted as zero.

Lines 1-8 are header lines, not used by BORE II.

Variable	x	FEC	TEMP	DAT3	DAT4	DAT5	HR	MIN	SEC
Units	m	µS/cm	°C				_	-	_
Format	F10.3	F10.3	F10.3	E10.3	E10.3	E10.3	I3	I2	I2
Columns	1-10	11-20	21-30	31-40	41-50	51-60	62-64	66-67	69-70

Each line of the remainder of the file contains:

The entries DAT3, DAT4, and DAT5 represent optional data types that may be collected with certain logging tools, such as pH and dissolved oxygen (see options A and Y for ways to display this data). Note that there is one blank column before each of the HR, MIN, and SEC entries, to make the data file more readable. The first time entry corresponds to t = 0 for the model.

BORE II Options

The following options are available on the BORE II main menu. Either uppercase or lowercase letters may be used, and should be followed by pressing ENTER.

C – (C)-x plot – Displays FEC versus depth for data and/or model continuously in time (an animation); stores [x (m), t (sec), data FEC (μ S/cm), model FEC (μ S/cm)] in file BOREII.TMP for later use by option R or post-processing.

T – c-(T) plot – Displays FEC versus time for data and model for a chosen depth.

R - d/m cu(R)ve - Displays FEC versus depth plots for data and model at a series of times (snapshots of the option C display); uses results of most recent option C, read from BOREII.TMP. Does not work if there is no data file or if there are only data at one depth in data file.

N – i(N)flow-c – Displays inflow FEC for a chosen feed point as a function of time.

A - p(A)ram display – Displays all data profiles (FEC, TEMP, DAT3, DAT4, DAT5) simultaneously, using user-specified plot limits (selections 3-6). For selection 1, all points are connected on one continuous curve; for selection 2, points that are beyond depth or time limits start new curve segments.

X - (X)-t plot – Displays a color-coded plot of model FEC versus depth and time in a new window, then repeats the plot in the borehole profile window.

S - tool (S)tudy x-t plot - Same as X, but limits display to what would be obtained with a tool whose parameters (number of probes, gap between probes, and tool velocity) are specified by the user.

D - (D)ata x-t – Displays a color-coded plot of data traces versus depth and time in a new window, then repeats the plot in the borehole profile window (data type specified by option Y, default is FEC).

F - (F)ill data x-t - Same as D, except that data traces are interpolated to fill the x-t plane.

I - d/m d(I)ff x-t – Displays a color-coded plot of the difference between model and data FEC versus depth and time in a new window, then repeats the plot in the borehole profile window. User selects whether to show data traces (mode 1) or filled data (mode 2).

M - (M)odify inp- Opens interactive session for modifying location, flow rate, and concentration of feed points, or adding new feed points. User is prompted to enter feed point number and given the chance to modify or maintain current parameters. To add a new feed point, specify a feed point number greater than that for any existing feed point. If horizontal flow is implemented using option M, flow rate must be specified as volumetric flow rate through the wellbore in L/min.

P – (P)lot adjust – Sets new values of parameter minimum and maximum; t_{max} ; difference range for option I; and depth for which wellbore flow rate q_0 is displayed in borehole profile window (default depth is x_{min}).

G - (G)rid – Sets grid spacing for new window showing *x*-*t* plots.

Y - data t(Y)pe - Chooses data type (FEC, TEMP, DAT3, DAT4, DAT5) to display in options C, T, D, and F. Model results always show FEC, so option C and T plots, which show both model and data, must be read carefully. Note that options R and I are not affected by the choice of data type, but always compare model and data FEC.

Z – print – Displays instructions for printing a screen image.

V - sa(V)e - Creates a new input file with current model parameters. User is prompted for new file name.

Q - (Q)uit - Terminates BORE II program.

4. Example Applications

Five example applications are presented to illustrate the capabilities of BORE II. Although BORE II simulates the forward problem (it produces wellbore FEC profiles given different inflow positions, rates, and concentrations), it is most commonly used in an inverse mode, in which inflow positions, rates and concentrations are varied by trial and error until the model matches observed values of wellbore FEC profiles. Initial guesses for the trial and error process may be obtained using direct integral methods (Tsang and Hale, 1989; Tsang et al., 1990) or other means (see example 2 below). Example applications 3, 4, and 5 demonstrate such comparisons to real data provided to us as typical field data sets by G. Bauer (private communication, 2000). The results of these example applications do not necessarily provide physically realistic flow rates and inflow concentrations, because they employ the artificial equality FEC = C. Furthermore, rough matches to real data, as are obtained here, can often be obtained equally well with a variety of different parameters (i.e., the solution of the inverse problem is non-unique). The input files for the example applications are shown in Appendix 3.

	Problem	Data File	Input File	Features
1	Up flow	up_num.dbt (numerically simulated)	up_num.inp	Advection and dilution, diffusion/dispersion minor
2	Horizontal flow	hor_an.dbt (analytical solution)	hor_an.inp	Dilution only, no advection or diffusion/dispersion One pair inflow/outflow points
3	Horizontal flow	hor_real.dbt (real data)	hor_real.inp	Dilution and diffusion/dispersion Multiple pairs inflow/outflow points Initial time added to data

4	Down flow	down_c.dbt (real data)	down_c.inp	Advection, dilution, and diffusion/dispersion Variable inflow concentration
5	Combination flow	comb_ic.dbt (real data)	comb_ic.inp	Advection, dilution, and diffusion/dispersion Non-uniform initial conditions

1. Up Flow – Numerically Simulated Data

Perhaps the most common application of BORE II is to the case of up flow - when one pumps from the top of the wellbore section, and fluid enters the wellbore at one or more feed points. Figure 1 shows *C* versus *x* for several times for a typical up flow case (obtained with BORE II option R). Each feed point has the same inflow rate and the same concentration, and there is also up flow from below. At early times, the feed points show up as individual FEC peaks, but as time passes, the deeper peaks merge with those above them, creating a step-like structure. The data set for this example is not real, but the results of a numerical simulation using the flow and transport simulator TOUGH2 (Pruess, 1987; 1991; 1995; 1998). TOUGH2 has been verified and validated against analytical solutions, other numerical models, and laboratory and field data. The TOUGH2 simulation uses a one-dimensional model with the same cell spacing as BORE II and constant mass sources located at the BORE II feed points. Thus, BORE II and TOUGH2 are solving the same problems, and comparing the results for wellbore FEC profiles verifies that the BORE II calculations are done correctly.

2. Horizontal Flow – Analytical Solution and Numerically Simulated Data

For horizontal flow in the absence of diffusion/dispersion along the wellbore, an analytical solution for the concentration observed in the wellbore as a function of time, C(t), is given by (Drost, 1968):

$$C(t) = C_i - [C_i - C(0)] \exp\left(\frac{-2tv_d \alpha_h}{\pi r_w}\right), \qquad (1)$$

where C_i is the formation (inflow) concentration, *t* is time (s), v_d is the Darcy velocity through the aquifer (m/s), α_h is the aquifer-to-wellbore convergence factor, and r_w is the wellbore radius (m). Figure 2 shows the analytical solution and the BORE II results for this problem, obtained using option T. The agreement is excellent. Note that for small values of v_d , if C(0) = 0, the analytical solution becomes approximately

$$C(t) = C_{i} \left[1 - \exp\left(\frac{-2tv_{d}\alpha_{h}}{\pi r_{w}}\right) \right] \approx C_{i} \left[1 - \left(1 - \frac{2tv_{d}\alpha_{h}}{\pi r_{w}}\right) \right] = \frac{C_{i} 2tv_{d}\alpha_{h}}{\pi r_{w}}.$$
 (2)

Thus, any combination of C_i and v_d whose product is a constant gives the same value of C. This condition corresponds to the early-time straight-line portion of Figure 2. The analytical solution may be implemented in a spreadsheet to expedite the choice of BORE II parameters, by examining the solution for various values of v_d and C_i . Note that care must be taken to use a consistent set of units for t, v_d , and r_w in Equations (1) and (2). For example, when time is in seconds, BORE II input parameters v_d in m/day and r_w in cm must be converted to m/s and m, respectively.

Figure 2 also shows the evolution of concentration at and near a horizontal flow layer when diffusion/dispersion along the wellbore is significant ($D_0 = 10^{-5} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$). For this case, the analytical solution is not applicable, but BORE II results compare very well to numerically simulated data obtained using TOUGH2. When dispersion is significant, use of the Drost solution generally results in an underestimation of C_i and an overestimation of v_d . These errors do not arise when using BORE II, since diffusion/dispersion can be explicitly included.

3. Horizontal Flow – Real Data

As indicated in Figure 2, the addition of diffusion or dispersion modifies the depth-FEC profile arising from a thin layer of horizontal flow, by widening the base of the FEC peak. A thick layer of horizontal flow produces a distinct signature, with an FEC response that has a wide peak as well as a wide base. To model a thick layer of horizontal flow, one may use several adjacent inflow/outflow point pairs in the model. Figure 3 compares model and data profiles (G. Bauer, private communication, 2000) of *C* versus *x* for several times, using option R. Seven pairs of inflow/outflow points are used, assigned to seven adjacent cells. By multiplying the number of inflow/outflow pairs by cell thickness, one may estimate the thickness of the layer of horizontal flow, in this case 2.3 m. See Appendix 1, Section A1.5, for additional information about assigning feed points to specific cells.

For this particular data set, the earliest observations show a variable FEC profile. One possible way to address this is to specify a non-uniform initial concentration distribution in the wellbore. An alternative approach (used here) is to add a dummy entry to the data file, specifying a time prior to the first real data time, at which the FCE distribution in the wellbore is assumed to be uniform. In general, it is not possible to determine when, if ever, the FEC distribution in the wellbore is uniform, but the approach can work quite well, as shown in Figure 4, which shows C versus t at the center of the horizontal flow zone (option T). The data zero time taken from the header of the data file, where the date and time of the logging run are specified.

4. Down Flow – Real Data

Figure 5 compares model and data profiles (G. Bauer, private communication, 2000) of C versus x for several times (option R) for a case with primarily down flow. A uniform non-zero initial concentration is used (IC0FLAG < 0) to approximate the low, slightly variable initial concentration. Two shallow inflow

points have variable concentrations that increase in time, which suggests that de-ionized water penetrated into the fractures when it was introduced into the wellbore to establish low-concentration initial conditions for logging. A low-concentration feed point at x = 158.5 m creates up flow above it, but the remainder of the wellbore section shows down flow.

5. Combination Flow – Real Data

Figure 6 compares model and data profiles (G. Bauer, private communication, 2000) of *C* versus *x* for several times (option R) for a case with combination flow. A non-uniform initial condition has been used, which is extracted from the data file using the preprocessor PREBORE (see Appendix 2). Note that there are more entries in the initial condition specification (232) than there are cells in the model (179). Thus, some cells are assigned more than one initial condition. For cells where this occurs, only the final initial condition assigned is used. See Appendix 1, Section A1.5, for additional information on specifying non-uniform conditions. Figure 7 shows the same information as Figure 6, but plotted in a different way, with the difference between data and model FEC plotted as an *x*-*t* plot (option I). The blue and orange diagonal features indicate that the largest discrepancy between model and data gradually deepens with time.

Acknowledgements

We thank K. Karasaki and B. Freifeld for carefully reviewing this report. Cooperation with G. Bauer of Colog, Inc. in making available sample data sets and general discussions is greatly appreciated. We also acknowledge the work of Frank Hale on the original BORE code, from which the new BORE II code has been developed. This work was supported by the Laboratory Technology Research Program (SC-32) within the Office of Science, U.S. Department of Energy, under DOE/LBNL contract DE-AC03-76SF00098.

References

- Bauer, G.D. and J.J. LoCoco, Hydrogeophysics determines aquifer characteristics, *International Ground Water Technology*, Vol. 2, No. 7, pp. 12-16, 1996.
- Drost, W., D. Klotz, A. Koch, H. Moser, F. Neumaier, and W. Rauert, Point dilution methods of investigating ground water flow by means of radioisotopes, *Water Resources Res.*, Vol. 4, No. 1, pp. 125-146, 1968.
- Hale, F.V. and C.-F. Tsang, A code to compute borehole conductivity profiles from multiple feed points, *Rep. LBL-24928*, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, Berkeley, Calif., 1988.
- Marschall, P. and S. Vomvoris, Grimsel Test Site: Developments in hydrotesting, fluid logging and combined salt/heat tracer experiments in the BK Site (Phase III), *Tech. Rep. 93-47*, National Cooperative for the Disposal of Radioactive Waste (NAGRA), Wettingen, Switzerland, 1995.
- Pedler, W.H., C.L. Head, and L.L. Williams, Hydrophysical logging: A new wellbore technology for hydrogeologic and contaminant characterization of aquifers, National Outdoor Action Conference, National Ground Water Association, Las Vegas, Nevada, 1992.
- Pruess, K., TOUGH user's guide, *Rep. LBL-20700*, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, Berkeley, CA, 1987.
- Pruess, K., TOUGH2 A general-purpose numerical simulator for multiphase fluid and heat flow, *Rep. LBL-29400*, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, Berkeley, CA, 1991.
- Pruess, K.(Ed.), Proceedings of the TOUGH workshop '95, *Rep. LBL-37200*, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, Berkeley, CA, 1995.
- Pruess, K.(Ed.), Proceedings of the TOUGH workshop '98, *Rep. LBNL-41995*, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA, 1998.
- Schlumberger, Ltd., Log interpretation charts, New York, 1984.
- Shedlovsky, T. and L. Shedlovsky, Conductometry, in *Physical methods of chemistry, Part IIA: Electrochemical methods*, edited by A. Weissberger and B.W. Rossiter, pp. 164-171, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1971.

- Tsang, C.-F. and F. V. Hale, A direct integral method for the analysis of borehole fluid conductivity logs to determine fracture inflow parameters, Proceedings of the National Water Well Conference on New Field Techniques for Quantifying the Physical and Chemical Properties of Heterogeneous Aquifers, Dallas, Texas, March 20-23, 1989, *Rep. LBL-27930*, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, Berkeley, CA, 1989.
- Tsang, C.-F., P. Hufschmeid, and F.V. Hale, Determination of fracture inflow parameters with a borehole fluid conductivity logging method, *Water Resources Res.*, Vol. 26, No. 4, pp. 561-578, 1990.

Appendix 1: Mathematical Background and Numerical Approach

The principal equation governing wellbore FEC variation is the equation for the transport of mass (or ion concentration) in the wellbore. However, additional consideration must be given to the determination of FEC as a function of ion concentration and the temperature dependence of FEC.

A1.1 FEC as a Function of Concentration

The relationship between ion concentration and FEC is reviewed, for example, by Shedlovsky and Shedlovsky (1971), who give graphs and tables relating these two quantities. Hale and Tsang (1988) made a sample fit for the case of NaCl solution at low concentrations and obtained

$FEC = 1,870 C - 40 C^2$,

(A.1)

where *C* is ion concentration in kg/m³ (\approx g/L) and FEC is in μ S/cm at 20°C. The expression is accurate for a range of *C* up to \approx 6 kg/m³ and FEC up to 11,000 μ S/cm. The quadratic term can be dropped if one is interested only in values of *C* up to \approx 4 kg/m³ and FEC up to 7,000 μ S/cm, in which case the error will be less than 10%.

Fracture fluids typically contain a variety of ions, the most common being Na⁺, Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, Cl⁻, $SO_4^{2^-}$, and HCO_3^{-} . If a hydrochemical analysis has been completed, various methods are available for computing an equivalent NaCl concentration for other ions. Schlumberger (1984) presents charts of multiplicative factors that convert various solutes to equivalent NaCl concentrations with respect to their effect on electric conductivity.

A1.2 Temperature Dependence of FEC

BORE II calculations are made assuming a uniform temperature throughout the wellbore. Actual wellbore temperatures generally vary with depth, so temperature corrections must be applied to field FEC data to permit direct comparison with model output.

The effect of temperature T on FEC can be estimated using the following equation (Schlumberger, 1984)

$$FEC(20^{\circ} C) = \frac{FEC(T)}{1 + S(T - 20^{\circ} C)},$$
(A.2)

where S = 0.024.

Generally, temperature increases with depth below the land surface. If full temperature logs are available, these data can be used to correct the corresponding FEC values. However, if no complete logs are available, a simplifying assumption may be made that the temperature variation in the wellbore is linear and can be modeled by:

$$T = Ax + B, \tag{A.3}$$

where *A* and *B* are parameters determined by fitting any available temperature versus depth data. If the fit is unsatisfactory, other relationships with higher order terms must be used.

A1.3 Governing Equation

The differential equation for mass or solute transport in a wellbore is:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(D_{o} \frac{\partial C}{\partial x} \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (Cv) + S = \frac{\partial C}{\partial t}, \qquad (A.4)$$

where x is depth, t is time, and C is ion concentration. The first term is the diffusion term, with D_0 the diffusion/dispersion coefficient in m²/s, the second term is the advective term, with v the fluid velocity in m/s, and S is the source term in kg/m³s. This one-dimensional partial differential equation is solved numerically using the finite difference method, with upstream weighting used in the advective term. The following initial and boundary conditions are specified:

$$C(x,0) = C_0(x),$$
 (A.5)

 $C(x_{\min},t) = C_0(x_{\min})$ for flow into the wellbore from above,

 $C(x_{\text{max}},t) = C_0(x_{\text{max}})$ for flow into the wellbore from below,

 $D_0 = 0$ for $x < x_{\min}$ and $x > x_{\max}$.

The first condition allows for the specification of initial ion concentrations in the wellbore. The second and third conditions allow for advective flow of ions into the wellbore interval from above and below. The final condition indicates that diffusion and dispersion do not take place across the boundaries of the wellbore interval. In general, advection will be the dominant process at the boundaries. If diffusion or dispersion is dominant for a particular problem, the boundaries should be extended in order to prevent improper trapping of electrolyte.

A1.4 Discretization in Time

Time stepping is explicit, with the time step Δt determined by stability constraints for advection

$$\Delta t \le \frac{\pi d_w^2 \Delta x}{8q_{\max}},\tag{A.6}$$

and diffusion

$$\Delta t \le \frac{\Delta x^2}{4D_0},\tag{A.7}$$

where q_{max} (m³/s) is the maximum fluid flow rate anywhere in the wellbore. BORE II starts its calculation at t = 0. The first time in the data file is also identified with t = 0. If it is apparent that model and data times are not synchronized, then one may insert an additional line into the data file after the header lines, with an earlier time than the first real data time, in order to reset the data zero time. On the inserted line, FEC, *x*, and other data entries may be left blank or copied from the first real data line.

A1.5 Discretization in Space

The wellbore interval between x_{\min} and x_{\max} is uniformly divided into N cells and it is assumed that the wellbore has uniform diameter, d_w . Cell height Δx is determined as the larger of $(x_{\max} - x_{\min})/180$ and d_w . Position values indicate depth in the wellbore and thus x is zero at the surface and increases downward. The cell index increases upward, with cells 1 and N located at the bottom and top, respectively, of the wellbore interval. In general, the *i*th node (the center of the *i*th cell) is located at

$$x_i = x_{\text{max}} - (i - 1/2)\Delta x, \tag{A.8}$$

with the *i*th cell extending from $x_{\text{max}} - (i - 1)\Delta x$ to $x_{\text{max}} - i\Delta x$.

BORE II assigns feed points and initial concentrations to cell *i* if the location of the feed point or $C_0(x)$ value lies within the boundaries of the *i*th cell. If multiple feed points are assigned to the same cell, they will all be accounted for, but if multiple initial conditions are assigned to the same cell, only the final one assigned will be used. By definition, the lower boundary of cell 1 is at x_{max} , but due to round-off errors, the upper boundary of cell *N* may not be at x_{min} . Hence, it is often useful to know the *x* coordinates of each node. These are displayed in the input file written by BORE II (option V) when ICOFLAG > 0. Thus, if the user sets ICOFLAG = 1, inputs one $(x, C_0(x))$ pair, and uses option V, then a new input file will be created with ICOFLAG = N and a complete list of the *x* coordinates for all nodes, with $C_0 = 0$ for all cells except the one identified in the original input file. Alternatively, if the initial conditions are taken from the data file with PREBORE (or taken from any source that is independent of the nodal coordinates), then using option V will create an input file that shows the actual initial conditions assigned to each cell.

The list of nodal *x* coordinates may be useful when modeling a thick fracture zone or aquifer, in order to place one feed point in each cell over a given depth range. Similarly, when using IC0FLAG > 0 to specify non-uniform initial concentrations, one must assign a C_0 value to each cell in the interval of interest in order to obtain a continuous *C* profile, because no interpolation is done between scattered initial concentrations. Finally, knowing the coordinate of the top cell in the model is useful for assigning the initial concentration that serves as the boundary condition for inflow into the wellbore interval from above. For inflow from below, either $x = x_1$ or $x = x_{max}$ may be used.

A1.6 Calculation of Flow Rates

Feed point flow rates may be constant in time, in which case a steady-state flow field is assumed in the wellbore, or variable, with feed point flow rates determined by linear interpolation between tabulated values. Although feed point flow rate may vary, true transient wellbore flow including fluid compressibility effects is not considered. Rather, the wellbore fluid flow field is assumed to change instantly from one steady-state flow field to another. In other words, the flow rate out of cell *i* is always the sum of the flow rates from all feed point locations within the boundaries of cell *i* plus the flow rate out of cell *i*-1.

Appendix 2: The Preprocessor PREBORE

PREBORE is a simple Fortran program that does preprocessing for BORE II. It runs under either Windows or DOS. PREBORE converts the old BORE data file format into the new BORE II data file format. Depth is converted from feet to meters, and other data columns are realigned. PREBORE can also create a file with (x, C_0) pairs to be added to the BORE II input file as initial conditions (this option requires that *x* values steadily increase or steadily decrease in each profile).

If data file conversion is being done, the user is prompted to enter the old and new data file names.

If a file with initial conditions is being created, the user is prompted for the following information: the name of the BORE II data file; a name for the initial condition file; which profile in the data file to use; the direction of logging (downward assumes *x* values increase in the data file, upward assumes they decrease, and both assumes the profiles alternately increase and decrease in *x*); and the conversion factors (γ , β , α) between FEC and *C* (default values 0, 1870, -40). In addition to creating an ASCII text file with (*x*,*C*₀) pairs, which may be added to the BORE II input file using a text editor or word processor, PREBORE prints out the number of pairs on the screen, which should be used for IC0FLAG. Note that IC0FLAG may be greater than the number of cells in the model (usually about 180), but that in this case not all the *C*₀ values will be used (see Appendix 1, Section A1.5).

Data file conversion and initial condition creation can be done in the same PREBORE run. In this case the user must specify both old and new data file names in addition to the parameters describing the creation of initial conditions.

Appendix 3: Input Files for Example Applications

-					
TITLE: up	flow with fl	ow from bel	ow, compare	to synthetic	data
XMIN(m)	XMAX (m)	DIAM(cm)	! DX(m) = 1	MAX(XMIN - X	MAX /180,
DIAM/100)					
.0000	180.0	14.00	! 1.000		
QW(L/min)	HALPHA	!QW=flow	from below; i	HALPHA=hor. f	low
constrict	ion				
.7500	2.500				
#FEED PTS	VARIABLE F	LOWRATE IDE	NTIFIER		
3	<u>9</u> 9	9 –			
DEPTH(m)	Q(L/min)	C(g/L)	T0(hr)	Q/V FLAG	!Vd(m/day)
160.5	.7500	100.0	.0000	0	
130.5	.7500	100.0	.0000	0	
50.50	.7500	100.0	.0000	0	
TMAX(hr)	FECMAX	DIFFUSION	COEF.(m2/s)		
24.00	100.0	.7500E-0	9		
RGAMMA	RBETA	RALPHA	! FEC = RG	AMMA + C*RBET.	A + C*C*RALPHA
.0000	1.000	.1000E-0	7		
ICOFLAG	!If 0, CO=	0; If <0, r	ead one CO;	If >0, read IC	OFLAG (X,CO)
pairs					
0					
DATA FILE	!'NONE' if	there is n	o data file		
up num.dbt	t				

A2.1 Example Application 1 – Up Flow – up num.inp

A2.2 Example Application 2 – Horizontal Flow Analytical Solution – hor an.inp

TITLE: Horiz	zontal Flow	- Compare	to Analytical	Solution
XMIN (M)	XMAX (m)	DIAM(CM)		
0.000	50.000	7.600		
QW(L/min)	HALPHA			
0.	2.850000			
#FEED_PTS	VARIABLE_FL	OWRATE_IDE	NTIFIER	
2	999			
DEPTH(m)	Vd(m/d)	C(g/L)	T0(hr)	Q/V FLAG
25.0000	1.	1000.	.0000	1
25.0000	-1.	1000.	.0000	1
TMAX(hr)	FECMAX	DIFFUSION	_COEF.(m2/s)	
3.0000	1000.	1.e-10		
RGAMMA	RBETA	RALPHA		
0.00000	1.000000	1.e-08		
ICOFLAG				
0				
DATA_FILE				
hor_an.dbt				

The input file for the case with significant dispersion is identical, except that the diffusion coefficient is increased from 10^{-10} m²/s to 10^{-5} m²/s.

TITLE: Hori	zontal Flow	Example			
XMIN(m)	XMAX (m)	DIAM(cm)	!DX(m) =	MAX(XMIN -	XMAX /180,
DIAM/100)					
.0000	60.00	7.600	! .3333		
QW(L/min)	HALPHA	!QW=flow	from below;	HALPHA=hor.	flow
constrictio	n				
.0000	2.500				
#FEED PTS	VARIABLE FL	OWRATE ID	ENTIFIER		
14	<u> </u>	_			
DEPTH(m)	Q(L/min)	C(q/L)	T0(hr)	Q/V FLAG	!Vd(m/d)
26.73	.5295E-02	730.0	.0000	0	! .1204
26.73	5295E-02	.0000	.0000	0	!1204
26.39	.5295E-02	730.0	.0000	0	! .1204
26.39	5295E-02	.0000	.0000	0	!1204
26.06	.5295E-02	730.0	.0000	0	! .1204
26.06	5295E-02	.0000	.0000	0	!1204
25.73	.5295E-02	730.0	.0000	0	! .1204
25.73	5295E-02	.0000	.0000	0	!1204
25.39	.5295E-02	730.0	.0000	0	! .1204
25.39	5295E-02	.0000	.0000	0	!1204
25.06	.5295E-02	730.0	.0000	0	! .1204
25.06	5295E-02	.0000	.0000	0	!1204
24.73	.5295E-02	730.0	.0000	0	! .1204
24.73	5295E-02	.0000	.0000	0	!1204
TMAX(hr)	FECMAX	DIFFUSIO	N COEF.(m2/s))	
4.000	400.0	.7500E-	04		
RGAMMA	RBETA	RALPHA	! FEC = RC	GAMMA + C*RBB	ETA + C*C*RALPHA
.0000	1.000	.1000E-	07		
ICOFLAG	!If 0, CO=0	; If <0,	read one CO;	If >0, read I	ECOFLAG (X,CO)
pairs					
0					
DATA_FILE	!'NONE' if	there is	no data file		
hor_real.db	t				

A2.3 Example Application 3 – Horizontal Flow - hor_real.inp

TITLE: down	flow. variab	le source co	nc. unif	orm non-zero	initial conc.
XMIN(m)	XMAX (m)	DIAM(cm)	! DX (m) =	MAX (XMIN -	XMAX /180.
DTAM/100)		(,	(,		
140.0	240.0	7.600	. 5556		
OW(L/min)	HALPHA	!OW=flow fr	om below:	HALPHA=hor.	flow
constriction	n	1gn 110n 11	0111 201011,		11011
0000	2 850				
#FEED PTS	VARTABLE FL	OWRATE IDENT	TFTER		
12	999				
DEPTH(m)	$O(I_{\rm min})$	$C(\alpha/L)$	T0(hr)	O/V FLAG	Vd(m/day)
239.0	- 7000	0000	4000	0	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
212 0	-1 000	0000	4000	0	
187.0	.7500	1800	4000	0	
183 0	1900	1900	4000	0	
181 0	1200	1900.	4000	0	
178 0	5000F-01	1900.	4000	0	
176.0	4000F-01	1900.	4000	0	
17/ 0	3000F-01	1900.	.4000	0	
171 0	1000E 01	1900.	.4000	0	
16/ /	99905	1900.	.4000	0	
точ.ч Т(br)	0(T/mi	r $C(\alpha/L)$. 4000	ntripe is two	o digite after
1 (III.)	<u>У</u> (ш/шт	II) C(9/11)	• #C	IICTICS IS CW	J digits alter
0000	1100	80.00	1		
4000	4400	100 0)		
1 200	4400	1100.0	,		
1 900	4400	1650			
4 500	4400	1950.			
162 0	99904	1800	0000	0	
то 2. 0 Т(hr)))))), ([./mi	r $C(\alpha/L)$.0000 !#a:	ntries is two	o digits after
999	<u> 2</u> (ш) шт	II) C(9/1)		IICTICS IS CW	argres areer
0000	6000	E-01 80 00)		
4000	6000	E = 01 = 200 C)		
1 900	6000	E-01 1650	·		
4 500	6000	E-01 1950.			
158 5	1000	80 00	0000	0	
TMAX(hr)	FECMAX	DIFFUSION (OEF. (m2/s))	
4.400	1700	1000E-02	·•==• (iii=, •	/	
RGAMMA	RBETA	RALPHA	! FEC = R	GAMMA + C*RBI	ETA + C*C*RALPHA
.0000	1.000	1000E - 07	.120 10	011111 0 1121	
TCOFLAG	'If 0. C0=0	: If <0. rea	d one CO:	If >0.read	ICOFLAG (X.CO)
pairs	.11 0, 00 0	, 11 (0, 100	a one oo,	11 / 0/ 10uu	1001 Liio (ii , 00)
-1					
C0 (g/L)	!Uniform, n	on-zero CO			
80.00	,				
DATA FILE	!'NONE' if	there is no	data file		
down_c.dbt					

A2.4 Example Application 4 – Down Flow – down_c.inp

TITLE: Comb	ination flow	example,	non-u	niform	initial con	centration
XMIN(m)	XMAX (m)	DIAM(cm)	!D	X(m) =	MAX(XMIN -	XMAX /180,
DIAM/100)						
.00000	50.000	7.6000	!	.2778		
QW(L/min)	HALPHA	!QW=flow	from	below;	HALPHA=hor.	flow
constriction	n					
.00000	2.8500					
#FEED_PTS	VARIABLE_FL	OWRATE_IDE	ENTIFI	ER		
12	999					
DEPTH(m)	Q(L/min)	C(g/L)	Т0	(hr)	Q/V_FLAG	!Vd(m/day)
45.000	13000	.00000		00000	0	
33.300	.11000	800.00		15000	0	
33.300	31000	.00000		00000	0	
27.500	-1.0500	.00000		00000	0	
25.700	.30000	810.00		15000	0	
25.400	.30000	810.00		15000	0	
25.140	.30000	810.00		15000	0	
24.900	.30000	810.00		15000	0	
23.500	.12000	800.00		15000	0	
21.500	.40000E-01	800.00		15000	0	
14.000	.15000E-01	750.00		15000	0	
12.200	.10000E-01	750.00		15000	0	
TMAX(hr)	FECMAX	DIFFUSION	N COEF	.(m2/s))	
1.0000	1000.0	.50000E-	-03	, · · ,	,	
RGAMMA	RBETA	RALPHA	! F	EC = RC	GAMMA + C*RB	ETA + C*C*RALPHA
.00000	1.0000	.10000E-	-07			
ICOFLAG	!If 0, CO=0	; If <0, 1	read o	ne CO;	If >0, read	ICOFLAG (X,CO)
pairs	•	· ·			,	
232						
X (m)	C0(g/L)	!#€	entrie	s is IO	COFLAG	
1.524	2					
1.615	2					
1.707	3					
1.829	3					
1.951	3					
2.073	3					
2.225	3					
2.377	3					
2.53	3					
2.713	3					
2.865	3					
3.018	3					
3.353	589					
3.536	597					
3.719	588					
3.871						
4.054	583					
	583 584					
(208 entrie	583 584 es not shown)				
(208 entrie 43.282	583 584 es not shown 2)				
(208 entrie 43.282 43.8	583 584 es not shown 2 2)				
(208 entrie 43.282 43.8 43.983	583 584 es not shown 2 2 2)				
(208 entrie 43.282 43.8 43.983 44.166	583 584 es not shown 2 2 2 1)				
(208 entrie 43.282 43.8 43.983 44.166 44.318	583 584 es not shown 2 2 2 1 1)				
(208 entrie 43.282 43.8 43.983 44.166 44.318 44.501	583 584 es not shown 2 2 2 1 1 1)				
(208 entrie 43.282 43.8 43.983 44.166 44.318 44.501 44.684	583 584 es not shown 2 2 2 1 1 1 1)				
(208 entrie 43.282 43.8 43.983 44.166 44.318 44.501 44.684 DATA FILE	583 584 es not shown 2 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 ! NONE' if) there is n	no dat	a file		

A2.5 Example Application 5 – Combination Flow – comb_ic.inp



Figure 1. Concentration (=FEC) versus depth at a series of times for example application 1 - up flow. Data are numerically simulated using the TOUGH2 code. Figure is a BORE II screen-print after running option R.



Figure 2. Relative concentration versus time for example application 2 - horizontal flow. When diffusion/dispersion is negligible, the concentration increase only occurs at the depth of the horizontal flow layer. The solid line shows the analytical solution as given by Drost (1968), Equation (1).



Figure 3. Concentration (= FEC) versus depth at a series of times for example application 3 - a thick layer of horizontal flow. Dashed lines represent field data, solid lines represent BORE II results. Diffusion/dispersion is significant.



Figure 4. Concentration (= FEC) versus time at the center of the horizontal flow zone of example application 3, illustrating the addition of a data zero time.



Figure 5. Concentration (= FEC) versus depth at a series of times for example application 4 - down flow. Figure is a BORE II screen-print after running option R.



Figure 6. Concentration (= FEC) versus depth at a series of times for example application 5 - combination flow. Figure is a BORE II screen-print after option R.



Figure 7. FEC difference between model and data as a function of depth and time (an x-t plot) for example application 5 – combination flow. Figure is a BORE II screen-print after option I, mode 2.

APPENDIX C

BORE II MODELING CODE COMPARISONS



Figure Appendix C:1. BORE II Code Comparison of Field FEC Profiles Acquired During Ambient Flow Characterization and Model. Wellbore DW-1.

- Field FEC Profiles = Dotted
- Model = Solid

Note: Significant borehole diameter changes in this borehole made modeling of this data set more difficult to match precisely. As such, effort was concentrated on the areas of known water-bearing fractures and proper gauge borehole. Areas where dispersion was the only factor on the mass and areas of large borehole diameter changes were not intensely modeled. Depths of the water-bearing fractures are cross-referenced with the optical televiewer and caliper data.



Figure Appendix C:2. BORE II Code Comparison of Field FEC Profiles Acquired During Hydrophysical Production Test at 6 GPM and Model. Wellbore DW-1.

- Field FEC Profiles = Dotted
- Model = Solid



Figure Appendix C:3. BORE II Code Comparison of Field FEC Profiles Acquired During Ambient Flow Characterization and Model. Wellbore DW-2.

- Field FEC Profiles = Dotted
- Model = Solid



Figure Appendix C:4. BORE II Code Comparison of Field FEC Profiles Acquired During Hydrophysical Production Test at 6 GPM and Model. Wellbore DW-2.

- Field FEC Profiles = Dotted
- Model = Solid

APPENDIX D

LIMITATIONS

LIMITATIONS

COLOG's logging was performed in accordance with generally accepted industry practices. COLOG has observed that degree of care and skill generally exercised by others under similar circumstances and conditions. Interpretations of logs or interpretations of test or other data, and any recommendation or hydrogeologic description based upon such interpretations, are opinions based upon inferences from measurements, empirical relationships and assumptions. These inferences and assumptions require engineering judgment, and therefore, are not scientific certainties. As such, other professional engineers or analysts may differ as to their interpretation. Accordingly, COLOG cannot and does not warrant the accuracy, correctness or completeness of any such interpretation, recommendation or hydrogeologic description.

All technical data, evaluations, analysis, reports, and other work products are instruments of COLOG's professional services intended for one-time use on this project. Any reuse of work product by Client for other than the purpose for which they were originally intended will be at Client's sole risk and without liability to COLOG. COLOG makes no warranties, either express or implied. Under no circumstances shall COLOG or its employees be liable for consequential damages.

APPENDIX C

WESTON FIELD RECORDS, INCLUDING: EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION RECORDS; AND GROUNDWATER LOW-FLOW SAMPLING RECORDS
	INSTRUMEN	T CALIBRA	TION/MAINT	ENANCE LO	G
Date: 5/20/08 ime: 0845	Field Person	inel: AF	2		
Function	Temp. of Standard	Value of Standard	Initial Reading	Calibrated To	Comments
YSI Meter: Moder 600XL or Mode	6820 (circle or	ne) So	onde Type and	Serial No. DL	1516000 MA
Specific Conductance (1 ms/cm)	11.35	1,413	1408	1.413	2nd Solution chetck =
Conductivity Cell Constant (see *)			4.86		Range: 4.6 to 5.45
Oxidation-Reduction Potential (mV)	12,18	240	243.4	240	Type - Zebell or Other Prine
pH calibrated (at pH 7)	12.39	7	6.97	7	2nd Solution check =
pH mV for pH 7 solution	{		-23.9		Range: -40 to 40 mV
pH calibrated (at pH 10)	11.87	10	10.05	10	
pH mV for pH 10 solution			-196.6		Range: -140 to -220 mV
pH calibrated (at pH 4)	11.89	4	4.13	4.02	
pH mV for pH 4 solution			141.7		Range: 140 to 220 mV
Dissolved Oxygen (% sat)	19.44	100	967	100	Allow 10 minutes for stabilization
Dissolved Oxygen Charge			41		Range: 25 to 75
Dissolved Oxygen Gain (see *)			0.99		Range: 0.8 to 1.7
Dissolved Oxygen (Zero DO check)	12.06	Ø	<0.1		Less than 0.5 mg/L
Add	itional Informat	ion for Dissolv	ed Oxygen Cal	ibration/Probe	Check
Barometric Pressure: 7/9.4	mm Hg **	(BP	inches	x 25.4 + BP	mm Hgj
Inspected DO membrane for nicks or	bubbles Date	5/20	Personnel:	RF	
Function	Temp. of Standard	Value of Standard	Initial Reading	Within 5% (yes/no)	Comments
HACH 2100 Turbidimeter		Serial N	Number:	Cultol	
0 NTU standard		/	母11.14	1	
5 - 20 NTUs standard		10	8-67	10	
20 - 100 NTUs standard					
End of Day Calibration Check		Value of Standard	Initial Reading		Comments
Date: Time:			······································		
pH 7 solution					
Specific conductivity solution		ave a			
Turbidimeter					

	INSTRUMEN	T CALIBRAT	ION/MAINT	ENANCE LO	G
Date: 5/19 Time: 0745	Field Person	nel: AF	•		
Function	Temp. of Standard	Value of Standard	Initial Reading	Calibrated To	Comments
YSI Meter: Model 600XL or Model	6820 (circle or	e) So	nde Type and	Serial No. O^{L}	IJI6000 AA
Specific Conductance (1 ms/cm)	16.95	1,413	1.432	1.413	2nd Solution check =
Conductivity Cell Constant (see *)			4.84		Range: 4.6 to 5.45
Oxidation-Reduction Potential (mV)	17.23	240	245.4	240	Type - Zobell or Other
pH calibrated (at pH 7)	16.45	7	6.96	7	2nd Solution check =
pH mV for pH 7 solution		D.	-23.9		Range: -40 to 40 mV
pH calibrated (at pH 10)	15.43	10	10.15	10	
pH mV for pH 10 solution			-192.3		Range: -140 to -220 mV
pH calibrated (at pH 4)	15.24	4	4.10	4	
pH mV for pH 4 solution			144.7		Range: 140 to 220 mV
Dissolved Oxygen (% sat)	16.53	100	96.1	100	Allow 10 minutes for stabilization
Dissolved Oxygen Charge			43.1		Range: 25 to 75
Dissolved Oxygen Gain (see *)			1.01		Range: 0.8 to 1.7
Dissolved Oxygen (Zero DO check)	16.25	6	20el		Less than 0.5 mg/L
Addi	tional Informati	on for Dissolv	ed Oxygen Cal	ibration/Probe	Check
Barometric Pressure: 716-6	mm Hg **	[BP	inches	x 25.4 + BP	mm Hg)
Inspected DO membrane for nicks or	bubbles Date:	5/19/08	Personnel:	RF	
Function	Temp. of Standard	Value of Standard	Initial Reading	Within 5% (yes/no)	Comments
HACH 2100 Turbidimeter		Serial N	lumber:		
✔ ● NTU standard		/	1	Tes	
5-20 NTUs standard		10	10	Yes	
20-100 NTUS standard				~	
End of Day Calibration Check		Value of Standard	Initial Reading		Comments
Date: Time:			~		0
pH 7 solution		7	7.00		
Specific conductivity solution		1.413	1.420		
Turbidimeter	_				

.....

	INSTRUMEN	T CALIBRA	TION/MAINT	ENANCE LOO	G
Date: 5/18/08Time: 1/00	Field Person	inel: N#	-		
Function	Temp. of Standard	Value of Standard	Initial Reading	Calibrated To	Comments
YSI Meter: Model 600XL or Mode	6820 (circle or	ne) So	nde Type and	Serial No. OL	J16000 AA
Specific Conductance (1 ms/cm)	17.87	1.413	1.359	1.413	2nd Solution check =
Conductivity Cell Constant (see *)			4.989		Range: 4.6 to 5.45
Oxidation-Reduction Potential (mV)	17:33	240	2325	240	Type - Zobell or Other
pH calibrated (at pH 7)	18.25	7	7	7	2nd Solution check =
pH mV for pH 7 solution			-25.7		Range: -40 to 40 mV
pH calibrated (at pH 10)	18,57	10	9.94	10	
pH mV for pH 10 solution	4		-192.7	, , ,	Range: -140 to -220 mV
pH calibrated (at pH 4)	18-74	4	4.03	4	
pH mV for pH 4 solution			140.5		Range: 140 to 220 mV
Dissolved Oxygen (% sat)	19.98	100	92.9	100	Allow 10 minutes for stabilization
Dissolved Oxygen Charge	61 - 70		41		Range: 25 to 75
Dissolved Oxygen Gain (see *)			1.03		Range: 0.8 to 1.7
Dissolved Oxygen (Zero DO check)	18:61	0	0.10		Less than 0.5 mg/L
Add	itional Informati	ion for Dissolv	ed Oxygen Cal	ibration/Probe	Check
Barometric Pressure: 77-9	mm Hg **	. [BP	inches	x 25.4 + BP	mm Hg]
Inspected DO membrane for nicks or	bubbles Date:	5/14	Personnel:	AF	
Function	Temp. of Standard	Value of Standard	Initial Reading	(yes/no)	Comments
Lamott : HACH 2100 Turbidimeter		Serial N	lumber:02407	7 Calto	
0 NTU standard		1	1.09	Í	
5 - 20 NTUs standard		10	9.95	10	
20 - 100 NTUs standard					
End of Day Calibration Check		Value of Standard	Initial Reading		Comments
Date: 5/18 Time: 0 1950					
pH 7 solution		7	7.01		
Specific conductivity solution		1.413	1.409		
Turbidimeter					

	INSTRUMEN	IT CALIBRAT	FION/MAINT	ENANCE LO	G
Date: 5/1 1/08 Time: 0940	Field Person	nel At	1		
Function	Temp. of Standard	Value of Standard	Initial Reading	Calibrated To	Comments
YSI Meter: Moder 600XL or Mode	6820 (circle or	ne) So	nde Type and	Serial No. Sr	04516000 AA 650
Specific Conductance	20.79	1.413	1.434	1.413	2nd Solution check =
Conductivity Cell Constant (see *)			/		Range: 4.6 to 5.45
Oxidation-Reduction Potential (mV)	21.34	240	2373/	24	Type - Zobell or Other
pH calibrated (at pH 7)	2/018	4	6.97	7	2nd Solution check =
pH mV for pH 7 solution			-		Range: -40 to 40 mV
pH calibrated (at pH 10)	2606	10	10.09	10-01	
pH mV for pH 10 solution			-	能對關係	Range: -140 to -220 mV
pH calibrated (at pH 4)	21.65	4	4	4	
pH mV for pH 4 solution					Range: 140 to 220 mV
Dissolved Oxygen (% sat)	23.7	100	101.7	100	Allow 10 minutes for stabilization
Dissolved Oxygen Charge			/		Range: 25 to 75
Dissolved Oxygen Gain (see *)					Range: 0.8 to 1.7
Dissolved Oxygen (Zero DO check)	/				Less than 0.5 mg/L
Add	itional Informat	ion for Dissolv	ed Oxygen Ca	libration/Probe	Check
Barometric Pressure: 734.2	mm Hg **	[BP	inches	_x 25.4 + BP	mm Hg]
Inspected DO membrane for nicks or	bubbles Date:	5/16/08	Personnel:		
Function	Standard	Standard	Reading	(yes/no)	Comments
HACH 2100 Turbidimeter Lama	otte 20/2	6 Serial M	Number: 02	2407	
NTU standard		1 -	1.3		Calto 1.0
5-20 NTUs standard		16	9.92		Cal to 10.
20 - 100 NTUs standard			\sim		
End of Day Calibration Check		Value of Standard	Initial Reading		Comments
Date: Time:					~ ~
pH 7 solution					
Specific conductivity solution					
Turbidimeter					

	INSTRUMEN	IT CALIBRA	TION/MAINT	ENANCE LO	G
Date: 5/17/08 Time: 0736	Field Person	inel: AF	-		24
Function	Temp. of Standard	Value of Standard	Initial Reading	Calibrated To	Comments
YSI Meter: Model 600XL or Mode	6820 (circle or	ne) So	onde Type and	Serial No. 51	104516000 AA 6501
Specific Conductance (1 ms/cm)	14.98	1.413	1.486	1.413	2nd Solution check =
Conductivity Cell Constant (see *)					Range: 4.6 to 5.45
Oxidation-Reduction Potential (mV)	15.38	240	248.3	240	Type - Zobell or Other
pH calibrated (at pH 7)	1510	7	7.07	7	2nd Solution check =
pH mV for pH 7 solution				Res and	Range: -40 to 40 mV
pH calibrated (at pH 10)	15,14	10	9.97	10	
pH mV for pH 10 solution					Range: -140 to -220 mV
pH calibrated (at pH 4)	14.40	4	3099	4	
pH mV for pH 4 solution					Range: 140 to 220 mV
Dissolved Oxygen (% sat)	17.89	100	94%	100%	Allow 10 minutes for stabilization
Dissolved Oxygen Charge			/		Range: 25 to 75
Dissolved Oxygen Gain (see *)			1		Range: 0.8 to 1.7
Dissolved Oxygen (Zero DO check)	/				Less than 0.5 mg/L
Add	itional Informat	ion for Dissolv	red Oxygen Ca	libration/Probe	Check
	mm Hg **	(BP	inches	_x 25.4 + BP	mm Hg]
Inspected DO membrane for nicks or	bubbles Date:	5/17/08	Personnel:_	AF	
Function	Temp. of Standard	Value of Standard	Initial Reading	Within 5% (yes/no)	Comments
HACH 2100 Turbidimeter		Serial I	Number:	02407	1
0 NTU standard		1	0.71		6/10/0
5 - 20 NTUs standard		10	10.25	~>	G1to 10.0
20 - 100 NTUs standard			/	/	
End of Day Calibration Check		Value of Standard	Initial Reading		Comments
Date: Time:					
pH 7 solution				1	
Specific conductivity solution					
Turbidimeter					

.

	INSTRUMEN	IT CALIBRA	TION/MAINT	ENANCE LO	3
Date: 5/18/08 ime: 1100	Field Person	nel: N#	-		
Function	Temp. of Standard	Value of Standard	Initial Reading	Calibrated To	Comments
YSI Meter: Model 600X	6820 (circle or	ne) So	nde Type and	Serial No. OL	J16000 AN
Specific Conductance (1 ms/cm)	17.87	1.413	1.359	1.413	2nd Solution check =
Conductivity Cell Constant (see *)	自己的情况		4.989	E MENERS	Range: 4.6 to 5.45
Oxidation-Reduction Potential (mV)	17.33	240	2325	240	Type - Zobell of Other
pH calibrated (at pH 7)	18.25	7	7	7	2nd Solution check =
pH mV for pH 7 solution	化的成都		-25.7		Range: -40 to 40 mV
pH calibrated (at pH 10)	18,57	10	9.94	10	-
pH mV for pH 10 solution			-192.7		Range: -140 to -220 mV
pH calibrated (at pH 4)	18.74	4	4.03	4	
pH mV for pH 4 solution			140.5		Range: 140 to 220 mV
Dissolved Oxygen (% sat)	19.98	100	92.9	100	Allow 10 minutes for stabilization
Dissolved Oxygen Charge			41		Range: 25 to 75
Dissolved Oxygen Gain (see *)			1.03		Range: 0.8 to 1.7
Dissolved Oxygen (Zero DO check)	18:61	0	0.10		Less than 0.5 mg/L
Add	itional Informat	ion for Dissolv	ed Oxygen Cal	libration/Probe	Check
Barometric Pressure: 77-9	mm Hg **	. [BP	inches	_x 25.4 + BP	mm Hg]
Inspected DO membrane for nicks or	bubbles Date	5/18	Personnel:	At	
Function	Temp. of Standard	Value of Standard	Initial Reading	Within 5% (yes/no)	Comments
Lamothe HACH 2100 Turbidimeter	and the second se	Serial I	Number:0240	7 Calto	
• NTU standard		/	1.09	1	
5 - 20 NTUs standard		10	9.95	10	15
20 - 100 NTUS standard					
End of Day Calibration Check		Value of Standard	Initial Reading		Comments
Date: 5/18 Time: 8 / 950				naciona menor dell'acondò da	
pH 7 solution		7	7.01		
Specific conductivity solution		1.413	1.409		
Turbidimeter			÷.		

	INSTRUMEN	T CALIBRA	ION/MAINT	ENANCE LOO	G
Date: 5/19 Time: 0745	Field Person	nel: AF	•		
Function	Temp. of Standard	Value of Standard	Initial Reading	Calibrated To	Comments
YSI Meter: Model 60000 or Model	6820 (circle or	ne) So	nde Type and	Serial No. O^{L}	1516000 AA
Specific Conductance (1 ms/cm)	16.95	1.413	1.432	1.413	2nd Solution check ==
Conductivity Cell Constant (see *)			4.84		Range: 4.6 to 5.45
Oxidation-Reduction Potential (mV)	17.23	240,	245.4	240	Type - Zobell or Other
pH calibrated (at pH 7)	16.45	7	6.96	7	2nd solution check =
pH mV for pH 7 solution			-23.9		Range: -40 to 40 mV
pH calibrated (at pH 10)	15.43	10	10.15	10	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
pH mV for pH 10 solution			-192.3		Range: -140 to -220 mV
pH calibrated (at pH 4)	15.24	4	4.10	4	
pH mV for pH 4 solution			144.7		Range: 140 to 220 mV
Dissolved Oxygen (% sat)	16.53	100	96.1	100	Allow 10 minutes for stabilization
Dissolved Oxygen Charge			43.1		Range: 25 to 75
Dissolved Oxygen Gain (see *)			1.01		Range: 0.8 to 1.7
Dissolved Oxygen (Zero DO check)	16.25	0	20,1		Less than 0.5 mg/L
Addi	tional Informat	ion for Dissolv	ed Oxygen Cal	ibration/Probe	Check
Barometric Pressure: +16.6	mm Hg **	(BP	inches	x 25.4 + BP	mm Hg]
Inspected DO membrane for nicks or	bubbles Date:	5/19/08	Personnel:	AP	
Function	Standard	Value of Standard	Reading	(yes/no)	Comments
HACH 2100 Turbidimeter		Serial N	lumber:		
NTU standard		1	1	Tes	
5 20 NTUs standard		10	10	Yes	
20 - 100 NTUs standard		1			
End of Day Calibration Check		Standard	Reading		Comments
Date: Time:					1
pH 7 solution		7	7.00		
Specific conductivity solution		1.413	1.420		
Turbidimeter	Million Balling			.5	

	INSTRUMEN	T CALIBRA	TION/MAINT	ENANCE LOO	3
Date: 5/20/08 ime: 06:45	Field Person	nnel: AF	1 1 1		
Function	Temp. of Standard	Value of Standard	Initial Reading	Calibrated To	Comments
YSI Meter: Moder 600XL or Mode	6820 (circle o	ne) So	nde Type and	Serial No. OL	1516000 AN
Specific Conductance (1 ms/cm)	11.35	1.413	1408	1.413	2nd Solution check =
Conductivity Cell Constant (see *)			4.86		Range: 4.6 to 5.45
Oxidation-Reduction Potential (mV)	12.18	240	243.4	240	Type - Zebell or Other Prinp
pH calibrated (at pH 7)	12.39	7	6.97	7	2nd Solution check =
pH mV for pH 7 solution			-23.9		Range: -40 to 40 mV
pH calibrated (at pH 10)	11.87	10	10.05	10	
pH mV for pH 10 solution			-196.6		Range: -140 to -220 mV
pH calibrated (at pH 4)	11.89	4	4.13	4.02	
pH mV for pH 4 solution			141.7		Range: 140 to 220 mV
Dissolved Oxygen (% sat)	19.44	100	967	100	Allow 10 minutes for stabilization
Dissolved Oxygen Charge			41		Range: 25 to 75
Dissolved Oxygen Gain (see *)			0.99		Range: 0.8 to 1.7
Dissolved Oxygen (Zero DO check)	12.06	Ø	<0.1		Less than 0.5 mg/L
Add	itional Informat	tion for Dissolv	ed Oxygen Cal	ibration/Probe	Check
Barometric Pressure: 7/9.4	mm Hg **	[BP	inches	_x 25.4 + BP	mm Hg]
Inspected DO membrane for nicks or	bubbles Date	5/20	Personnel:	RF	
Function	Temp. of Standard	Value of Standard	Initial Reading	Within 5% (yes/no)	Comments
HACH 2100 Turbidimeter		Serial I	Number:	Cultol	
0 NTU standard		1	AP1 1.14	1	
5 - 20 NTUs standard		10	8-67	10	
20 - 100 NTUs standard					
End of Day Calibration Check		Value of Standard	Initial Reading		Comments
Date: Time:	Name of Concession, Name				
pH 7 solution			1		
Specific conductivity solution					
Turbidimeter	ALL ALL				

		, 			WESTON	LOW FLO	W GROUND	WATER	AMPLIN	G RECO	RD.			
	PROJECT	NAME	MEFL	1ps lo	58			PROJECT	r # 03	886.19	84	WEI	LID DIN	-1
	LOCATIO	N	<u> </u>	bon ME				_		1				
	SAMPLIN	GCREW		= + Coba				_ DATE:	_5/	8/08	5	SAMPLE T	іме: / 9	10
	PURGING	DATA		5		-					0			
	INITIAL D	CE POINT (C TW	\mathcal{I}	146 °	Steel Casing		PURGING DEVICE: WSF							
		Water	<u>N</u>			(F1)		WELL DEPTH <u>~S8P</u>				(FT) Well Diameter 6		
	CLOCK	Depth	PURGE		ТЕМР	SP COND	nH	ORP/Eh2	DO	Turk				
	TIME	Below MP	RATE	Drawdown/Total	(°C)	(uS/cm) ¹	(s.u)	(MV)	(mg/L)	(NTU)				
24	(24 HR)	(ft)	(mL/min)	Drawdown (ft)	(3%)	(3%)	(+/-0.1)	(+/-10)	(10%)	(10%)		, COM	MENTS	
-Fol	1057		100	/	1197	474	8.48	216.7	9.66	55	leris	mall hny	Fractur	o Nota
6-15	1032		1 And C	/	12 27	462	1-62	219.4	10.05	50	good	produce	er	
and and	1037		10070	,	ALT	723	1.50	212,6	1000	132	0 .,			
~	1942		Y	/	12.05	419	ZUL	3126	10.30	ab_				
	1847		90	/	12.04	421	7.39	2/67	10.05	72				
	1852			/	12.04	421	7.38	2146	1000	16				
	185+			/	11.87	422	7.36	215.0	1000	16				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	1902			/	11.25	424	7.36	214.8	9.93	14				
	NOT			/	11.84	726	<u>ta36</u>	2146	9.90	15		4		
- 1	7910=	Same	aho	/										
		card p	1	1			Et L=	RA	7					
				/			16.0	1.011	CAP	-70				
				1										<u> </u>
				/									· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
				/										
				/				L						
- 65 J				/				<u> </u>				1		
				/										
				/										
				/									·	······
7 . p	1			/										· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	 usiemens Oxidation 	per cm (sam	e as umhos	(cm) at 25C										
l i i i	FINAL FIEL	D DATA	otential (sta	id in for En)										
· 1		TEMPE	RATURE:	11.44		(°C)	ODD.	1141	() () ()	0010	10	Con	1-1-1	- 0-1
	SPECIF	IC CONDU	CTANCE:	UZA		(US/cm)		990	(MV) (ma/L)	COMMEN	TS: LJ	DODN	1-050	8-09
			pH:	7.36		(S.U.)	TURBIDITIY	15	(Ing/L)				10 (j.).	******
d	ODOR AND	PHYSICAL	APPEARA	NCE OF SAMPLI	E:	No,	ne	<u>, 2</u>		TOTAL	EPTH OF W		3	•
	WEATHER	CONDITION	18.	<	The las	1 / 1	·							
		- submor		G	rec ide	poor	ς.							
L			-								<u> </u>			

					WESTON	LOW FLO	W GROUND	WATER S.	AMPLIN	G RECO	RD			
	PROJECT	NAME	ME	Fuos. Lo-	58	_		PROJEC	т# 03	5846.	184	WEI	LID DIN-	
	LUCATIO	N	'Car	ibou, MP						1 1				
	SAMPLIN	GCREW	AI	z + Colog				DATE	51	1910	8	SAMPLET	$IME \cap 930$	
	PURGING	DATA												
	REFEREN	CE POINT (CIRCLE):	PVC (Steel Casir	g		PURGING DEVICE: USP WELL DEPTH SS 2 (FT) W						
	INITIAL D	TW		5.30 _		(FT)							Wall Dismater	
	<u> </u>	Water									·	(F1) well Diameter		
	CLOCK	Depth	PURGE		ТЕМР	SP COND	_р н	ORP/Eh2	DO	Turk				
	TIME	Below MP	RATE	Drawdown/Total	(°C)	$(uS/cm)^{1}$	(s.u)	(MV)	(mg/L)	(NTID)				
	(24 HR)	(ft)	(mL/min)	Drawdown (ft)	(3%)	(3%)	(+/-0.1)	(+/-10)	(10%)	(10%)		СОМ	MENTS	
	10830		880	/	9.67	456	6.27	216.2	10.78	10-4	1	00111		
LAPO	0210	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1000	/	1detto	434	6,86	160.3	7.62	26-				
n.	0845		1000	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	12 16	443	6,92	141.0	7.37	45				
	0850	·		/	12 86	150	200	194.5	与方	45				
	0855			1	1403	125	In	100 3	52	33				
	0900			/	14.13	455	7.12	1083	5.00	27				
	0905		+C	/	14.28	455	7.14	108.4	7.11	174			<u> </u>	
	0410			/	14.32	457	7.16	106-2	7.21	19				
-	0113			/	14.26	458	7.16	106.2	7.30	12	-			1
-10	0125		-1/-		14:26	758	7.17	106.1	7.36	11				
				/	17135	76/	7.18	104.2	732	10				
							-,	+						
				7					7743	DC	make			
5				1					Le Lo					
3				/					ŀ					
5				/					6	per-	7 11			
				/					1		PONC	777		
				/							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		···· ; ··· = ··· · ··· ···	
				1										
				1										
	101			/										
	1. UStemens	per cm (sam	e as umhos	cm) at 25C						- 0.00° - 00° -				
	ENAL FIEL	D DATA	otential (sta	nd in for Eh)						-				
		TEMPE	RATURE	14.23		(00)	0.0.0	1112			15	CANI.	11 nonse é)r
	SPECIF	IC CONDU	CTANCE:	461		(\mathbf{U})	ORP:	107.0	(MV)	COMMEN	NTS: LOI	SOUN	1-0200-0	51
			pH:	7.18		(US/Chi)	DU: TURBIDITIV	- + Sa	(mg/L)					
		DUVOIOAX				(0.0.) A 1			(110)			60		
	ODOK AND	PHYSICAL	, APPEARA	NCE OF SAMPLE	3:		ador	5		TOTAL D	EPTH OF WEL	.L: 56		
	WEATHER	CONDITION	NS:	Overce	st. 2	Der.	Snort.	1						
			1. 4			- 1-		1						
- 1								· · · · ·		and Markey state				

LOCATIO	N	_Car	bon, MF	20			_PROJEC	г <u># 03</u>	886.1	84	WEI	.L ID <u><i>DW-1</i></u>
SAMPLIN PURGINO	G CREW	AF	- Caleg		_		DATE	5/19	108		SAMPLE T	IME: 1815
REFEREN INITIAL D	CE POINT (CIRCLE):	PVC	Steel Casin	g (FT)		PURGING	G DEVIC EPTH	E: W.	5P 58.8	(FT)	Well Diameter
CLOCK TIME (24 HR) 740 740 740 740 740 740 740 740 740 740	water Depth Below MP (ft)	PURGE RATE (mL/min)	Drawdown/Total Drawdown (ft) / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /	TEMP (°C) (3%) (3%) (3%) (3%) (3%) (3%) (3%) (3%	SP COND (uS/cm) ¹ (3%) (433 (433) (43))((43))((43))((43))((43))((43))((43))((43))((43))((43))((43))((43))((43))((43))((43))((43))((43))((pH (s.u) (+/-0.1) 5	ORP/Eh ² (MV) (+/-10) 24/3 5-0 -2.5 -2.5 -0 -2.5 -0 -2.5 -0 -2.5 -0 -2.5 -0 	DO (mg/L) (10%) 5.4/2 5.35 5.35 5.35 5.35 5.35 5.35 5.35 5.3	Turb (NTU) (10%) 4.99 4.99 4.99 4.99 4.99 4.99 4.99 4.9		COM	MENTS
uSiemens Oxidation NAL FIEL SPECIF DOR AND EATHER (per cm (same reduction po D DATA TEMPER IC CONDUC PHYSICAL CONDITION	as umhos/ tential (star ATURE: pH: pH: APPEARA S:	$\frac{1}{1}$ $\frac{1}{1}$ $\frac{1}{1}$ $\frac{1}{1}$ $\frac{1}{25C}$ $\frac{1}{155}$ $\frac{1558}{433}$ $\frac{133}{7.32}$ NCE OF SAMPLE	((((((°C) (C) uS/cm) [] S.U.) T M www.k	DRP: DO: URBIDITIY: DO: DO: URBIDITIY:	2.1 (5.34)	MV) <u>C</u> mg/L) NTU) T	COMMEN OTAL DE	rs: ∠S PTH OF WE	58M/ 11: 58	-0508-04

				WESTON	LOW FLO	W GROUND	WATER SA	AMPLIN	G RECO	RD	ing and the second s			-
PROJECT	NAME	MEF	MDS. LO	-58			PROJECT	r# 03	sec.	184	WEL		1-1	-
LOCATIO	N		ribon, ME				-					<u> </u>		-
SAMPLIN	G CREW	AF 1	-600				DATE:	<u>5/á</u>	0	·	SAMPLE T	ME: 10	200	
PURGING	<u>DATA</u>	OID 01					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			alare	10			=
NEFEREN	CE POINT (CIRCLE):	PVC	Steel Casing	g		PURGING	G DEVIC	<u>e: WSI</u>	0/2/6	rundtos.		. 11	
INITIAL D	TW	29	.48		(FT)	i ••	WELL D	EPTH	33.	75-38.	<u>5 (FT)</u>	Well Dia	meter <u>6</u>	
CLOCK	Water	PUPCE										3.0 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 -		-
TIME	Below MP	RATE	Drawdown/Total	(PC)	SP COND	pH (a.v.)	ORP/Eh ²	DO	Turb	2				
(24 HR)	(ft)	(mL/min)	Drawdown (ft)	(3%)	(us/cm) [.]	(s.u) ·	(MV)	(mg/L)	(NTU)					
1110		232	1	1006	430	687	-205	(10%)	(10%)		COM	MENTS		_
1115			/	12.78	413	2.95	24	4.0x	1/2-					_
11BO	,	1024 Mil	/	13.24	424	7.06	65	9.30	20.6		·			-11
1125		├ ──	1	17.93	430	7.12	-5.2	8.45	9.85					
1/30	<u> </u>		/	1700	423	7.15	71	8.43	6.30					
1140		77	/	10.66	404	517	10.3	8.5	5e19			122		
1145	-	1	1	11. 44	150	515	13.00	8.71	405	<u> </u>	····			
1150			1	16.44	463	54	12.7	3 19	300		<u> </u>	· · · · ·		
1155			/	16.44	466	717	14.5	8.48	3.9	<u> </u>				-
1700			11 1	1				118.111					·······	
1200	- 204	mpe	NEI IMT	prual.				2						
							2							
	-	<u>`</u>	1		· · ·		22-	\mathcal{U}	24	E LA				
5			4			·····	0-2-1	3	200					
			/					··			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			-
			/											-
			1 .								<u> </u>			-
					·									-
			/											1
			/											
			/			·								1
1. uSiemens	s per cm (san	ie as umhos	/cm) at 25C				I				······			-
2. Oxidation	n reduction p	otential (sta	nd in for Eh)											
FINAL FIEL	<u>LD DATA</u>		11411			1100	1110			10	C 00 1	A		
SPECII	IEMPE	RATURE:	1674		(°C)	ORP:	145	(MV)	COMMEN	NTS: 25	5 AWI-	-050	8-034	+
51 LCH	IC CONDU	CIANCE:	-466-1-		(uS/cm)	DO:	8.40	(mg/L)						
0.000		P11.			(3.0.)	IUKBIDITIY:	307	(NTU)		aar oo aa ahaa				
ODOR AND	PHYSICAL	APPEARA	NCE OF SAMPL	E: / -	(leas	- NO adas			TOTAL D	EPTH OF WI	ELL:			
WEATHER	CONDITION	NS:	Clou	4.1	J.M.a.M.	Ugo								1
			or put	1 . 10	- 1009	70								
				/										

 $\tilde{\lambda}_{i}$

Page 1 o

				WESTON	LOW FLO	W GROUND	WATER S.	AMPLIN	G RECOR	2D			
PROJECT N	AME	ME	FUDS L	0-59	?		PROJEC	r# 03	Sch.1	84	WE	LID DAL-	- 33 114
LOCATION			wibou, MI		-				1 1	<u> </u>	** L1	intolera	1 350m
SAMPLING	CREW	_A	Ft Colo	á			_ DATE:	5/0	20/02	8	SAMPLE T	IME:	605
PURGING I	DATA		-)	-	<u> </u>		1		10		
REFERENCI	E POINT (CIRCLE):	PVC	Steel Casin	g		PURGIN	G DEVIC	E: WSP	2" Gran	Alle		
INITIAL DT	W	_25	- 20		(FT))	WELL D	ертн 🖌	258	2	(ET)	Watt	6"
	Watar					-					([1])	well Diamete	
CLOCK	Depth	PURGE		TEMD	SP COND		0.000						
TIME	Below MP	RATE	Drawdown/Total	(°C)	$(uS/cm)^{i}$	pH (su)	ORP/Eh ²	DO	Turb				
(24 HR)	(ft)	(mL/min)	Drawdown (ft)	(3%)	(3%)	(s.u) (+/-0 1)	(MV)	(mg/L)	(NTU)				
1503		560	1	9.74	452	7 70	115-2	9.50	(10%)		COM	MENTS	
1508	<u></u>		1	1028	435	7.45	823	899	57		·····		
1513			1	10.80	433	741	69.2	891	74				
PERA		280	/	10.98	435	7.39	672	8.83	18				
1628		177	/	11.32	433	7.39	79.4	846	17			•	
1533		1 F6	/	11131	426	7.38,	89.3	821	16:4				
1538		65	/	11/20	43	735	89.9	8.35	160	Cant	anno	any slov	ver or
643		1	1	1114	728	+3+	420	864	7.7	punp	cut3	out. Tub	maden
4548		V	/	12.68	1127	王子	972	8.60 0.01	74	Gaine	65:5	1400.	Pidene .
1553		1.0	1	13.16	432	234	201	8 50	1171	works	too here	d and cut	sout?
1858		V	/	13.06	439	233	229	8.46	120				
60.3		11	. /	13.04	440	733	750	848	9.86				
20		The second	1						Inco				
	-											· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
									AL	dul			
				100				33	17 9	mar 1			
								00					
		1	h (Q)				├ ───┤						
			MON										
								·					
			1					,					
		<u> </u>	/										
2 Ovidation r	er cm (san	te as umhos	(cm) at 25C										
FINAL FIELD	DATA	otentiai (sta	nd in for En)							-			
	TEMPE	RATURE	1304		(90)	ODD	20			in		11 000	1.000
SPECIFIC	C CONDU	CTANCE:	Jun		(US/cm)	ORP:	D	(MV)	COMMEN	ITS: 200	580U	1-0508	-029
		pH:	1.32		(S.U.)	DU. THRRIDITIV	245	(mg/L)					
DOR AND P	HYSICAL		NCE OF SAMPLE	P.	(,		1.66	(1110)				1 - 5	
			UNCE OF SAMPLI	··· _ ·	10000	<u>1275</u>			TOTAL DI	EPTH OF WE	L: 58	22	
WEATHER CO	UNDITION	NS: _		78	e keg !	soola							
		-			5								
	35 - 67 - 67												

				WESTON	LOW FLO	W GROUND	WATER S.	AMPLIN	G RECOR	RD
PROJECT	NAME	MB	FUNS	1.0-1	58		PROJEC		Gall 1	and more in Plater
LOCATION	V	0	in ban	MB			_I ROJEC	<u># 40</u> ,	WELL ID WELL ID	
SAMPLING	GCREW	N	E+Col	ba			– DATE:	51	14/02	3 SAMPLE TIME: 1538
PURGING	DATA									
REFERENCE	CE POINT (VCN LW	CIRCLE):	16-20.4+E	Steel Casin	g(FT))	PURGINO WELL DI	G DEVICI EPTH	E: 4	<u>15P</u> <u>284 (FT)</u> Well Diameter <u>6</u>
CLOCK	Water	DUDOD								
TIME	Deptn Balaw MD	PURGE		TEMP	SP COND	pH	ORP/Eh ²	DO	Turb	
(24 HD)	(A)	KAIE	Drawdown/Total	(°C)	(uS/cm) ¹	(s.u) ·	(MV)	(mg/L)	(NTU)	
122-2	(11)	(mL/min)	Drawdown (ft)	(3%)	(3%)	(+/-0.1)	(+/-10)	(10%)	(10%)	COMMENTS
1435		107		7.70	10.10	525710	78.9	1376		Kumplantates - Restart
1442		76	/	17:24	433	7.92	1849	11.24	50	See Field notes
1442		24	/	1716	440	+.94	169.9	11.69	60 *	
1451		54		17.10	770	4.46	176.2	10.85	60	
1456		44	/	19.54	157	171	1700	10.50	00	
1501		ů	/	16.98	1131	771	177.5	10.21	60	
1606				16.79	432	7.71	172.0	4.94	20	
1511			1	12.28	430	7.70	1710	11.00 a 47	55	
(516			/	12.86	430	720	14 3	1.02	22	
1521			/	18-84	429	766	1620	9.5%	50	
1526.		45	1	19.11	425	7.68	1/21	9.25	51	
1531		41	/	18.87	430	7.67	1221	9.33	50	
			1				COAC!		200	
			1							
			1							
			1						*******	
			1 .	/						
			/							
			/							
1. uSiemens	ner cm (sam	e as umbos	/cm) at 25C							
2. Oxidation	reduction n	otential (sta	nd in for Fh							
FINAL FIEL	DDATA	contrait (out								
	TEMPE	RATURE	18.82		ശസ	ODD.	1121			11-70646
SPECIF	IC CONDU	CTANCE:	430		(US/cm)	URP:	977	(MV)	COMMEN	VIS: 10-2017 pg 3
		pH:	7.67			DU: TUDDIDITIV	7.33	(mg/L)	15	roning or and
		r	1.007		(0.0.)		-d	- (018)	632	20002-0500-4
UDOR AND	PHYSICAL	APPEARA	NCE OF SAMPLI	E: _	Cler	- 16000	5	-	TOTAL D	EPTH OF WELL: 284
WEATHER (CONDITION	NS:	Con 1	n Rul						
		-) 000 (2	<u> </u>					
				-				······		

Page	1	of	2
------	---	----	---

				WESTON	LOW FLO	W GROUND	WATER S	MPLIN	G RECOR	RD
PROJECT	NAME	MA	FURS	0-5	2		DROIDO	I AR	<17	101
LOCATION	N	la	ban, ME				-PROJEC	# 00	00001	WELL ID UN-
			1010	1	te in		-	~	- 1 I	
SAMPLING	J CREW	/	MF CO	log			DATE:	>	1610	SAMPLE TIME: (830)
PURGING	DATA								/	
REFERENC	CE POINT ((CIRCLE):	PVC	Steel Casin	e e		PURGIN	- F DEVICI	r. (JSP
INITIAL-D	rw-	25	15-37 E		(ET)					
Inte	sial		and the	<u> </u>	(F1)	•	WELL DI	SPTH	$\underline{}$	<u>37 (FT)</u> Well Diameter <u>6</u>
	Water						1	-	<u> </u>	
CLOCK	Depth	PURGE		TEMP	SP COND	pH	ORP/Eh ²		Turb	
TIME	Below MP	RATE	Drawdown/Total	(°C)	(uS/cm) ¹	(s.u)	(MV)	(mg/L)	NTU	
(24 HR)	(ft)	(mL/min)	Drawdown (ft)	(3%)	(3%)	(+/-0.1)	(+/-10)	(10%)	(10%)	COMMENTS
1755		1.3400	/	9.09	441	4.20	125.9	11.43	26	COMMENTS
1800		1.3500m	/	8.84	443	7.67	123.7	11.50	8.6	
1805			/	8.88	443	7.65	130.1	11.55	50	
1810			/	9.33	446	7.64	130.2	11.56	1.26	
1815			/	3.78	448	7.62	126.7	11.60	27	
100			/	8.72	450	7.61	123.4	1159	4	
1565			/	8076	450	7.61	122.3	11.58	61	
			/				L			
			/		· · · · · ·					
						·				
			/							
			/			<u> </u>				
5			/			<u> </u>				
			/							
			/							
			1							
			1							
			1		Ì			1.000		
			/						1	
1 uSiemens	Der om (ac-	ia no umb c -	/ /am) at 250							
2. Oxidation	reduction n	otential (sta	(cm) at 25C							
FINAL FIFT	DDATA	otonnai (sta								
	TEMPE	RATURE	8-76		(°C)	ODD.	mz			- 200-325 Rel
SPECIF	IC CONDU	CTANCE.	YAA		(U)	OKP: /	da >	(MV) <u>(</u>	COMMEN	VTS: 285-24-217095
		DH:	261			UU: THIPRINITIV.	11.58	(mg/L)	1000	ONTO -ASAS
0000		F	7701		(0.0.)		<u></u>	(NIU) <u> </u>	633	SNWA-UDUD-08.5
ODOR AND	PHYSICAL	APPEARA	NCE OF SAMPLE	3:	Clear,	IV a Da	06	. 1	TOTAL DI	EPTH OF WELL: 252-1
WEATHER (CONDITION	NS:	6	Sop IMR T	and a			······		
			<u>(</u>	a jugo	HOJ U	<u> </u>				
		-		`			·		,	

				WESTON	LOW FLO	W GROUND	WATER SA	MPLIN	G RECOR	RD
PROJECT	NAME	ME	FUDS	10-5	R		PROJECT	T# 1920	Zel 15	all were to part
LOCATION	N		Carbon, 1	The			_ TROJEC	<u> </u>	10010	well ID off a
SAMPLING	GCREW	AP	+ Colog	,			_ DATE:	51	17/0	SAMPLE TIME: 1214
PURGING	DATA		$\overline{}$							
REFERENC	CE POINT ((CIRCLE):	PVC	Steel Castn	g		PURGING	DEVIC	E: W	SP
DUTIAL D	FW-		37-41.7	-	(FT)		WELLDI	DTI	200	6//
Dept	Inter	Val			<u> </u>	-	WELL DI	PIH	d3	(FT) Well Diameter
	Water				1	1	1		<u> </u>	
CLOCK	Depth	PURGE		TEMP	SP COND	pН	ORP/Eh ²	DO	Turb	
TIME	Below MP	RATE	Drawdown/Total	(°C)	(uS/cm) ¹	(s.u)	(MV)	(mg/L)	(NTU)	
(24 HR)	(ft)	(mL/min)	Drawdown (ft)	(3%)	(3%)	(+/-0.1)	(+/-10)	(10%)	(10%)	COMMENTS
1/21		300	/	19.13	413	7.49	192.9	10.43	2508	
1140			/	16.36	423	7.45	139.0	9.71	16	
1154			/	10.75	4/2	7.42	150.8	9.49	14	
1169				12 05	1127	7.43	1.14.0	9.49	12	
1204			/	12.70	427	7-7	170.7	7.31	12	
1209	·		/	1200	1111	7.37	124 7	7.15	5.0	
1214		$\overline{\mathbf{U}}$	/	17.09	URI	139	17012	916	5-8	
			/			7001	112.1	4.0	200	······································
			/							
			/							
			1							
			/							
			/				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
			/							
			1							
			1							
			1							
			1							
			/							
1 0			1					ĺ	1	
1. UStemens	per cm (sam	e as umhos	(cm) at 25C							
Z. UXIDATION	D DATA	otential (sta	nd in for Eh)	100-000-000						
I INAL FIEL	TEMDE	DATIDE.	1204		(00)	0.7.7	12 -1			Icconing and
SPECIF		CTANCE	17.01		(°C) (uS/am)	ORP: A	TOT	(MV)	COMMEN	ITS: 20080W2-0508-37
2.2011		nH·	7 29		(u3/cm) (SII)	עט: דיידירוסטידיע	9.16	(mg/L)		
		P	10 31		(0.0.)		3.2	(NIU) _		
ODOR AND	PHYSICAL	APPEARA	NCE OF SAMPL	E;, _	_ de	ur. No	odos	~ ^	TOTAL D	EPTH OF WELL: 25%
WEATHER (CONDITION	NS:	5001	sah.	1					
			et li	1001	<u> </u>					
		_								

	_			WESTON	LOW FLO	W GROUND	WATER S.	AMPLIN	G RECOF	RD
PROJECT 1	NAME	ME	FUDS	10-5	8		PROJEC	Γ# Λ	Sent	Idel WELLID (1.1-2)
LOCATION	1		aribonil	MR	<u></u>			<u>" 00</u>	0700	well ID well ID
SAMPLING	G CREW		MA + Co	slog			DATE:	_5	114	SAMPLE TIME: 1535
PURGING	DATA						·····			
REFERENC	CE POINT (CIRCLE):	PVC	Steel Casin	2		PURGIN	G DEVIC	E h	SP
INITIAL D	TW, /	94	5-985		- (FT)		WELLDI		29	[] []
Depth 1	nterral		<u></u>		(11)		WELL DI	PIH	00	(FT) Well Diameter
	Water					T				
CLOCK	Depth	PURGE		TEMP	SP COND	рН	ORP/Eh ²	DO	Turb	
(24 UD)	Below MP	RATE	Drawdown/Total	(°C)	(uS/cm) ¹	(s.u)	(MV)	(mg/L)	(NTU)	
(24 HK)	(ft)	(mL/min)	Drawdown (ft)	(3%)	(3%)	(+/-0.1)	(+/-10)	(10%)	(10%)	COMMENTS
1724		450	/	11.58	450	7.61	216.9	10.25	75	
12129		- <u>/</u>	/	10.29	446	7.52	213,0	9.88	900	
1444		<u> </u>		12 71	436	447	206.2	9.71	OR	
1449			/	12.44	420	7.40	200,4	9.70	OR	
1454			/	12 22	440	1.74	207.9	9.75	OR OR	
145A			/	12 54	420	7.40	7000	10,44	10R	
1509			1	12.70	43%	2.41	1020	10.00	15A	
1509			/	12.75	437	7.42	19.1.0	9.91	210	
1314			/	12.67	437	7.42	147.1	9,86	160	
1017			/	12.66	435	7.43	195.6	9.80	79	
1524			1	12.42	435	7.42	195.1	9.84	665	
1521			/	12.50	436	7.42	1926	9.70	47.2	
(*)			/							
			/				ļ			
			/							
			1							
			1							
			1							
			1							
			/							
			/							
. USiemens	per cm (sam	e as umhos	(cm) at 25C							
CINAL FIEL	D DATA	otential (sta	nd in for Eh)							
ANAL FIEL	TEMDE	DATTIDE.	1259		(0.0)	0.0.0.	1001			IEFONIA DEAG ALL
SPECIF	IC CONDU	CTANCE	yal		(°C) (uS/am)	UKP:	14 tob	(MV)	COMMEN	NTS: L-JJ&MJ -0508-945
		nH [.]	710		(u5/cm) (S II.)	DU: TUDDIDITIV	9.72	(mg/L)		
		P	1.10				7+-0	(NIU)		
DOR AND	PHYSICAL	APPEARA	NCE OF SAMPLI	E:	Not	hind	notal	pp.	TOTAL DI	EPTH OF WELL: 2524
WEATHER (CONDITION	NS:	500	lon be	sk ·			~ <u>~</u>		
		-		mare	~~~					
		-			-		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

J				WESTON	LOW FLO	W GROUND	WATER S.	AMPLIN	G RECO	RD
PROJECT	NAME	ME	FUDS LE	2-58			PROJEC	r # 1320	46-14	XL NEW DIA
LOCATION	N	Ear	IOW, ME					<u> </u>	1 1	WELL ID WELL ID
SAMPLING	GCREW	R	F+ Colora				- DATE:	5	170	B SAMPLE TIME: 1855
PURGING	DATA									
REFERENC	CE POINT (CIRCLE):	PVC C	Steel Castr	\$		PURGIN	G DEVICI	$_{\rm E}$ W	SP
Death	Intervo		7.9-190	2.2	(FT)		WELL DI	EPTH	$\overline{2}$	ST (FT) Well Diameter 6/1
	Water									7
CLOCK	Depth	PURGE		TEMP	SP COND	pH	ORP/Eh ²	DO	Turb	
TIME	Below MP	RATE	Drawdown/Total	(°C)	(uS/cm) ¹	(s.u)	(MV)	(mg/L)	NTU	
<u>(24 HR)</u>	(ft)	(mL/min)	Drawdown (ft)	(3%)	(3%)	(+/-0.1)	(+/-10)	(10%)	(10%)	COMMENTS
1410		100	/	12.41	447	8.22	1263	10.65	255	COMMENTO
1+53			/	8.57	360	8.00	134.2	10.90	232	
1450			/	902	308	7.89	135,7	9.78	230	
1Cmg			/	4.16	332	7.87	136-8	9.17	140	
1907	· · · ·	· · ·		9.22	300	+-83	134.1	5.26	130	
1814			/	9.15	381	4.50	1400	7.73	110	
19823			/	9.00	900C	5.77	142.0	6-83	75	
1825			/	9.20	017	475	142.4	0.03	65	
1833			'	9.20	1112	- ty ty	14,5	221	65	
1838			/	4.2	421	7,27	1426	3.01	60	
1843		N 7	/	9.16	428	7.71	141.7	2 27	717	
1848			1	9.15	428	7.70	141.0	3 14	47	
253			1	9.121	429	7.70	140,5	2.93	GU	
			/					/	~ ~	
			/							(
			1							
			/							
							/			
			/				and the second sec			
			/							
			/]	
			/							
1. uSiemens	per cm (sam	e as umhos	(cm) at 25C]	
2. Oxidation	reduction p	otential (sta	nd in for Eh)							
FINAL FIEL	D DATA	(0111							
	TEMPE	RATURE:	7,14		(°C)	ORP· /	140.5	MAN 4	COMMEN	JTS. 155801012-05193-159
SPECIF	FIC CONDU	CTANCE:	429		(uS/cm)	DO:	297	(mo/L)	COMINIEN	
		pH:	7.70		(S.U.)	TURBIDITIY	GL	NTU		
ODOR AND	PHYSICAL	- . APPEARA	NCE OF SAMPL	E:	No	30/003	`	- (***)	TOTAL D	EPTH OF WELL 2584
WEATHER	CONDITION	18.		-	C 1	. 1 .1	· · · · ·			
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		see 10	<u>g peol</u>	<u> </u>			
		-								

	200		WESTON	LOW FLO	W GROUND	VATER SA	MPLIN	G RECO	ND
PROJECT NAME		ZEUDS	10-8	58		PROJECT	1 # 05	881 1	84 WELLID 1/10/-2
LOCATION	Con	obou, ME	3	_				1	WELL ID VV X
SAMPLING CREW	AF	+ Colora	1			DATE:	_5	11'71	06 SAMPLE TIME: 2150
PURGING DATA									- ^
REFERENCE POINT (C	IRCLE):	PVC	Steel Casin	g		PURGING	DEVIC	$_{\rm E:} \ \mathcal{M}^2$	SP
INITIAL DTW	2	65-hts	10	(FT)		WELL DI		20	//
Interval.			<u></u>	(11)		WELL DI	SPIH	$-\infty$	(F1) Well Diameter
Water									
CLOCK Depth	PURGE		TEMP	SP COND	pН	ORP/Eh ²	DO	Turb	
TIME Below MP	RATE	Drawdown/Total	(°C)	(uS/cm) ¹	(s.u) ·	(MV)	(mg/L)	(NTU)	
(24 HR) (ff)	(mL/min)	Drawdown (ft)	(3%)	(3%)	(+/-0.1)	(+/-10)	(10%)	(10%)	COMMENTS
2124	600	/	7.6%	419	Soll	134.1	12.84	150	
7179		/	+-+!	300	8.04	1315	9.34	150	
2134	NA	/	2 36	235	2-11	126.0	8.36	130	
2139		/	7.84	227	871	1140	7.62	1021	
21144	NI I	1	7.79	225	8.75	1121	703	1036	
2149	N	1	7.77	-224	8.30	116.4	6.95	10/2	
2150		/							
	~	/							
		/							
					·				
		1							
¢		1							
		1							
		/							
		/							
		/]			
		/					$ \rightarrow $		
		1						\rightarrow	
		/							
I. uSiemens per cm (same	e as umhos	/cm) at 25C	l						
2. Oxidation reduction po	tential (sta	nd in for Eh)							
FINAL FIELD DATA		277					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
TEMPER	ATURE:	T.++		(°C) (ORP:	16.4	(MV)	COMMEN	ITS: LS58 NW2-0505-265
SPECIFIC CONDUC	TANCE:	224		(uS/cm) I	DO:	6.45	(mg/L)		
	pH:_	8.30		(S.U.)		101.3	(NTU)		
ODOR AND PHYSICAL	APPEARA	NCE OF SAMPLI	E:		lone				EPTH OF WELL 2641
WEATHER CONDITION	S .	\sim	1.7	- /				I VIAL DI	CITION WELL
	з. —	- Dee	109 B	mk_					
	-		-0						

APPENDIX D

WESTON VALIDATED ANALYTICAL RESULTS MAY 2008

							A /	
Μ	~	I	ДАТА І	REVIEN	N CHE	CKLIST	ISTATION -	1
SITE Marke F	uns					N. N	12 13 1924.0)
LAB NUMBER #	that	hcs	(012	45			o pl	/
WESTON SAMPLE ID	a di	DLO	GDI	- 05	505	18	7	
	1000	N. Victoria			TB.	-05 0	08-01	
			+ PU					
Data Reviewed	1	r all	0° Fr	action)			S
	Bri	Atto		100			- Comments	
Chain of Custody		f	†					
Percent Solids/RL s		<u> </u>		_				
COCCUM INAUTA VES								
Preservation/Log Sheet	†		+					
Halding Time								
Troiding Time								
Fjeld Blanks	<u>}</u>		<u> </u>					
(Trip/Equip.)		V						
Instrument/Method Blanks (Soils/Solids)		9		1				
Instrument/Method			<					
Blanks (Aqueous)					1			
MS/MSD (Soil/Solids)								
MS/MSD			·					
Aqueous)							×	
_CS/LCSD								
Blank Spikes		<u> </u>						
BS/BSD)								
ab Duplicates						+		
icld Duplicates								
Dupincaies					/			
urrogate Recoveries		$ \rightarrow + $		-A		┠		
JIC: Ita reviewed but not as				L		د <u>ا</u>		

UT /J² - Est mate MeCla since calib OC Criteria did not meet J'= Estimate due to over calib. range of instrument (E) $\sqrt{1}$ = Data Reviewed NA = Not Applicable Qualifiers Used:

Data Reviewer Initials: DTG

Date:____ C



195 Commerce Way Suite E Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801 603-436-5111 Fax 603-430-2151 800-929-9906 www.anatyticslab.com

Mr. Ron Penttkowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11 South Burlington VT 05403

Report Number: 61245

Revision: Rev. 0

Re: MEFUDS

03886.184

Enclosed are the results of the analyses on your sample(s). Samples were received on 07 May 2008 and analyzed for the tests listed below. Samples were received in acceptable condition, with the exceptions noted below or on the chain of custody. These results pertain to samples as received by the laboratory and for the analytical tests requested on the chain of custody. The results reported herein conform to the most current NELAC standards, where applicable, unless otherwise narrated in the body of the report. Please see individual reports for specific methodologies and references.

Comments

Sample Receipt Exceptions: None

Analytics Environmental Laboratory is certified by the states of New Hampshire, Maine, Massachusetts, Connecticut, Rhode Island, New York, Virginia, Pennsylvania, and is validated by the U.S. Navy (NFESC). A list of actual certified parameters is available upon request.

If you have any further question on the analytical methods or these results, do pot hesitate to call.

Authorized signature

Stephen L. Knollmeyer Laboratory Director 5/8/2008

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written consent of Analytics Environmental Laboratory, LLC.

Date



195 Commerce Way Partsmouth, New Hampshite 03601 603-436-5111 Fax 603-430-2151 800-929-9906

Mr. Ron Penttkowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11 South Burlington VT 05403

CLIENT SAMPLE ID

Project Name: MEFUDS

Project Number: 03886.184 Field Sample ID: COLOGD1-050508

May 8, 2008 SAMPLE DATA						
Lab Sample ID: 61245-1						
Matrix:	Aqueous					
Percent Solid: N/A						
Dilution Factor:	1					
Collection Date:	05/05/08					
Lab Receipt Date:	05/07/08					
Analysis Date:	05/07/08					

ANALYTICAL RESULTS VOLATILE ORGANICS							
COMPOUND	Quantita Limit µg	tion Result γL μg/L	COMPOUND	Quantitation Limit µg/L	Result μg/L		
Benzene	0.5	υ	1,3-Dichloropropane	0.5	U		
Bromobenzene	0.5	U	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.5	U		
Bromochloromethane	0.5	U	trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.5	U		
Bromodichloromethane	0.5	U	2,2-Dichloropropane	0.5	U		
Bromoform	0.5	U	1,1-Dichloropropene	0.5	U		
Bromomethane	0.5	U	Ethylbenzene	0.5	U		
n-butylbenzene	0.5	U	Hexachlorobutadiene	0.5	Ľ		
sec-butyIbenzenc	0.5	U	Isopropy lbenzene	0.5	U		
tert-butylbenzene	0.5	U	p-isopropyltoluene	0.5	U		
Carbon Tetrachloride	0.5	U	Methylene Chloride	0.5	0.9 *		
Chlorobenzene	0.5	0.5	Methyl-tert-butyl ether (MTBE)	0.5	υ		
Chloroethane	0.5	\mathbf{U}	Naphihalene	0.5	U		
Chloroform	0.5	0.3 J	n-PropyIbenzene	0.5	U		
Chloromethane	0.5	U	Styrene	0.5	U		
2-Chlorotoluene	0.5	U	1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.5	U		
4-Chlorotoluenc	0.5	U	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.5	U		
Dibromochloromethane	0.5	0.3 J	Tetrachioroethene	0.5	U		
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	0.5	\mathbf{U}	Toluene	0.5	U		
1,2-Dibromoethane.	0.5	\mathbf{U}	1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	0.5	U		
Dibromomethane	. 0.5	U	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	0.5	U		
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	0.5	U	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	0.5	U		
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	0.5	U	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	0.5	U		
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	0.5	U	Trichloroethene	0.5	U		
Dichlorodifluoromethane	0.5	U	Trichlorofluoromethane	0.5	U		
1,1-Dichlorocthane	0.5	υ	1,2,3-Trichloropropane	0.5	U		
1,2-Dichloroethanc	0.5	U	1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	0.5	U		
1,1-Dichloroethene	0.5	U	1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	0.5	U		
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.5	U	Vinyl Chloride	0.5	U		
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.5	U	o-Xylene	0.5	U		
1,2-Dichloropropane	0.5	U	m,p-Xylene	0.5	υ		
Acetone	5	U	Diethyl ether	0.5	U		
Carbon Disulfide	0.5	υ	2-Hexanone	5	U		
Tetrahydrofuran	2.5	112 E	Methyl isobutyl ketone	5	U		
Methyl ethyl ketone	5	7.0	Di-isopropyl ether (DIPE)	0.5	U		
t-Butyl alcohol (TBA)	10	U	Ethyl t-butyl ether (ETBE)	0.5	U		
t-Amyl methyl ether (TAME)	0.5	υ					
		Surrogate Standard I	Recovery				
1,4-Difluorobenzene	93 %	Bromofluorobenzene	74 % 1,2-Dichlor	obenzene-d4	80 %		
U=Undetected	J=Estimated	E=Exceeds Ca	libration Range B=Detected in	Blank			

METHODOLOGY: Sample analysis was conducted according to EPA 600, Method 524.2

COMMENTS: * Methylene Chloride had low recovery in the continuing calibration standard / laboratory control sample. Sample is being reanalyzed.

NH 524 Juli(74)

Authorized signature Millindull

analytics anvironmental laboratory LLC

195 Commerce Way Patsmouth, New Hompshire (3801 603-436-511) Forx 603-430-2151 600-929-9904

Mr. Ron Penttkowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11 South Burlington VT 05403

CLIENT SAMPLE ID

Project Name:	MEFUDS
---------------	--------

Project Number: 03886.184 Field Sample ID: COLOGDI-050508

May 8, 2008 SAMPLE DATA

h in the second s	
Lab Sample ID:	61245-1 DL
Matrix:	Aqueous
Percent Solid:	N/A
Dilution Factor:	20
Collection Date:	05/05/08
Lab Receipt Date:	05/07/08
Analysis Date:	05/07/08

ANALYTICAL RESULTS VOLATILE ORGANICS Quantitation Quantitation Result Result Limit µg/L Limit µg/L μg/L μg/L COMPOUND COMPOUND Benzene 10 U 10 U 1,3-Dichloropropane Bromobenzene 10 U cis-1,3-Dichloropropene 10 U 10 Bromochloromethane 10 υ U trans-1,3-Dichloropropene Bromodichloromethane 10 U 10 υ 2,2-Dichloropropane 10 Bromoform 10 U 1,1-Dichloropropene υ Bromomethane 10 U 10 U Ethylbenzene 10 B 10 n-butylbenzene Hexachlorobutadieue U sec-butylbenzene 10 U 10 lsopropylbenzene U 10 tert-butylbenzene 10 U p-isopropyltoluene U Carbon Tetrachloride 10 U 10 Ű* Methylene Chloride U Chlorobenzene 10 10 U Methyl-tert-butyl ether (MTBE) Chloroethane 10 U 10 U Naphthalenc 10 Chloroform 10 U U n-Propylbenzcne Chloromethane 10 υ 10 Styrene U U 2-Chlorotoluene 10 1,1,1,2 Tetrachloroethane 10 U 4-Chlorotoluene U 10 10 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane U Dibromochloromethane 10 U 10 Tetrachloroethene U 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane 10 U 10 Toluene U 1,2-Dibromoethane 10 υ 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene 10 U Dibromomethane U 10 10 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene Ű 1,2-Dichlorobenzene 10 U 10 1,1,1-Trichloroethane U 1,3-Dichlorobenzene 10 10 U 1,1,2-Trichloroethane U 1,4-Dichlorobenzene 10 U 10 Trichloroethene U Dichlorodifluoromethane 10 U Trichlorofluoromethane 10 U 1.1-Dichloroethane 10 U 10 1,2,3-Trichloropropane U 1,2-Dichloroethane 10 U 10 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene U 1,1-Dichloroethene 10 U 1.3.5-Trimethylbenzene 10 U cis-1,2-Dichloroethene 10 U 10 Vinyl Chloride υ trans-1,2-Dichloroethene 10 U 10 o-Xylene υ 1,2-Dichloropropane U 10 10 m,p-Xylene U Acetone 100 U Diethyl ether 10 U 100 Carbon Disulfide 10 υ 2-Hexanone U Tetrahydrofuran 50 85 100 υ Methyl isobutyl ketone Methyl ethyl kctone 100 U 10 U Di-isopropyl ether (DIPE) t-Butyl alcohol (TBA) 200U Ethyl t-butyl ether (ETBE) 10 U t-Amyl methyl ether (TAME) 10 U Surrogate Standard Recovery 1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4 77 1,4-Difluorobenzene 92 % % Bromofluorobenzene 72 % U=Undetected J=Estimated E=Exceeds Calibration Range B=Detected in Blank

METHODOLOGY: Sample analysis was conducted according to EPA 600, Method 524.2

COMMENTS: *Methylene Chloride had low recovery in the continuing calibration standard / laboratory control sample. Sample is being reanalyzed.

NH 524 Juli(74)

Authorized signature Mulenbull

Gnalyt		V laboratory LLC			195 Commerce Way Portsmouth, New Hompshire (3801 603-436-511) Fax 603-430-2151 800-929-9905	1
Mr. Ron Penttkowski Test America Burling 30 Community Drive	ton Suite 11			May 8 SAMP	2008 LE DATA	
South Burlington VT	05403 F SAMPLE I	D	Lab S Matri Perce	Sample ID: ix: ont Solid:	61245-1 Aqueous N/A	
Project Name: Project Number: Client Sample ID;	MEFUDS 03886.184 COLOGDI-	050508	Diluti Colle Lab I Analy	ion Factor: ction Date: Receipt Date: ysis Date:	1 05/05/08 05/07/08 05/07/08	
A Comp GRC	NALYTICA ound)	AL RESULTS GASO Result 24	LINE RAN Units µg/L	GE ORGAN Quantit Lim 10	IICS ation it	
	ESTI	MATED TARGET C	ONCENTR	ATIONS		
Comp MTI Benz	pound BE zene	Result U U	Units μg/L μg/L	Quanti Lin 2 1	tation úit	
		Surrogate Standa	ard Recover	у		
		Trifluorotoluene Bromofluorobenzene	105 108	% %		
U=Und	etected J:	=Estimated E=Exceeds C	alibration Ran	ge B≕Detecte	al in Blank	

Sample analyzed according to: "Maine HETL Method 4.2.17, September 6, 1995." METHODOLOGY:

COMMENTS:

,

Authorized signature Milmulul

```
Quantitation Report
```

(Not Reviewed)

```
Data Path : C:\msdchem\1\DATA\0506$8-K\
Data File : K15694.D
Signal(s) : Signal #1: FID1A.CH Signal #2: ELC2B.CH
          : 07 May 2008 12:02 pm |
Acq On
Operator
          :
Sample
          : 61245-1
Misc
          : 5000
ALS Vial
                 Sample Multiplier: 1
          : 21
Integration File signal 1: events.e
Integration File signal 2: events2.e
Quant Time: May 07 12:19:10 2008
Quant Method : C:\msdchem\1\METHODS\GRO08227.M
Quant Title : Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons
QLast Update : Wed Aug 22 18:37:56 2007
Response via : Initial Calibration
Integrator: ChemStation
                          6890 Scale Mode: Small noise peaks clipped
Volume Inj.
Signal #1 Phase :
                                    Signal #2 Phase:
```



Signal #2 Info :



analy		uc,	195 Commerce Way Portsmouth, New Hompshire 03601 603-436-5111 Fox 603-430-2151 800-929-9906
Mr. Ron Penttkowsk Test America Burling 30 Community Drive	i ston Suite 11	May 8, SAMPI	2008 LE DATA
CLIEN	T SAMPLE ID	Lab Sample ID: Matrix: Percent Solid:	61245-1 Aqueous N/A
Project Name: Project Number: Field Sample ID:	MEFUDS 03886.184 COLOGDI-050508	Dilution Factor: Collection Date: Lab Receipt Date: Extraction Date: Analysis Date:	1.0 05/05/08 05/07/08 05/07/08 05/07/08
]	ANALYTICAL RES	ULTS DIESEL RANGE ORGAN Units	VICS Quantitation Limit
	U	μg/L	50
	Surro	gate Standard Recovery	
	111-		

1

METHODOLOGY: Sample analyzed according to "Maine HETL Method 4.1.25, September 6, 1995".

COMMENTS:

DRO Report

Authorized signature Mylindull

Quantitation Report (QT Reviewed) Data File : D:\TPH\050708-G\G49031.D Vial: 24 : 7 May 2008 19:39 : 61245-1 Acq On Operator: : INST G Sample Inst Misc Multiplr: 1.00 : IntFile : AUTOINT1.E Quant Time: May 8 7:40 2008 Quant Results File: D040108A.RES Quant Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M (Chemstation Integrator) Title : DRO Last Update : Wed Apr 02 09:36:40 2008 Response via : Multiple Level Calibration DataAcq Meth : TPHEPH1.M Volume Inj. : 1ul Signal Phase : Rtx-5MS Signal Info : 0.25 mm G49031.D\FID1A Response 260000 240000 15.71 220000 200000 180000 160000 140000 120000; 100000 80000 60000 40000 20000 0 -20000 TERPHENY DRO HEMIK 2.00 4.00 6.00 8.00 0.00 10.00 20.00 22.00 24.00 Time 12.00 14.00 18.00 16.00 G49031.D D040108A.M Thu May 08 07:40:41 2008 Page 2

ļ

<u>i</u>	-			<i>.</i>	<u>_</u>	ē.	٨	
Ű		V	۲ ۲	/ 1		J.	V	laboratory LLC

195 Commerce Way Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801 603-436-5111 Fax 603-430-2151 800-929-9906

Mr. Ron Penttkowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11 South Burlington VT 05403

CLIENT SAMPLE ID

Project Name: MEFUDS **Project Number:** 03886.184 Client Sample ID: TB-050508-01 May 8, 2008

SAMPLE DATA

r

Lab Sample ID:	61245-2
Matrix:	Aqueous
Percent Solid:	N/A
Dilution Factor:	1
Collection Date:	05/05/08
Lab Receipt Date:	05/07/08
Analysis Date:	05/07/08

Compound	Result	Units		Quantitation Limit
GRO	U μg/L.			10
ESTI	MATED TARGET CO	ONCENT	RAT	IONS
Compound	Result	Units		Quantitation Limit
MTBE	U	μg/L		2
Benzene	U	μg/L		1
e MUNICE E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E	Surrogate Standar	d Recove	ry	
	Trifluorotoluene	110	%	-
	Bromofluorobenzene	110	%	

Sample analyzed according to: "Maine HETL Method 4.2.17, September 6, 1995." **METHODOLOGY:**

COMMENTS:

.

Authorized signature Mulmilul

Data Path : C:\msdchem\1\DATA\050608-K\ Data File : K15693.D Signal(s) : Signal #1: FID1A.CH Signal #2: ELC2B.CH Acq On : 07 May 2008 11:39 am Operator : Sample : 61245-2 Misc : 5000 : 20 ALS Vial Sample Multiplier: 1 Integration File signal 1: events.e Integration File signal 2: events2.e Quant Time: May 07 12:12:07 2008 Quant Method : C:\msdchem\1\METHODS\GRO08227.M Quant Title : Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons QLast Update : Wed Aug 22 18:37:56 2007 Response via : Initial Calibration 6890 Scale Mode: Small noise peaks clipped Integrator: ChemStation Volume Inj. :

Signal #1 Phase : Signal #1 Info :

Signal #2 Phase: Signal #2 Info :



Page: 2



(10/20) 002-200 (02/01)

:.

ANALYTICS SAMPLE RECI	EIPT CHECKLIST	
AELLAB#: 1.1245	COOLER NUMBER:	
CLIENT Too Amorica	NUMBER OF COOLERS:)
PROJECT:	DATE RECEIVED:	5 7 08
A: PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION: DM	DATE COOLER OPENED:	5/7/08
1. Cooler received by(initials)	Date Received:	5708
2. Circle one: Hand delivered	Shipped	
(If so, skip 3) 3. Did cooler come with a shipping slip?	$\smile \varphi$	N
3a. Enter carrier name and airbill number here: Fad E	if 861261	<u>\$73250</u>
4. Were custody seals on the outside of cooler? How many & where:Seal Date:	Seal Name:	
5. Did the custody seals arrive unbroken and intact upon arrival?	Y	N/A
6. COC#:		
7. Were Custody papers filled out properly (ink,signed, etc)?	φ	Ν
8. Were custody papers scaled in a plastic bag?	Ð	N
9. Did you sign the COC in the appropriate place?	$\langle \Sigma \rangle$	N
10. Was the project identifiable from the COC papers?	Ð	N
11. Was enough ice used to chill the cooler?	Temp. of cooler:	3.2
B. Log-In: Date samples were logged in: 5/7/68	By: DM	_
12. Type of packing in cooler(bubble wrat, popcom)	\bigcirc	N
13. Were all bottles sealed in separate plastic bags?	\bigcirc	N
14. Did all bottles arrive unbroken and were labels in good condition?	$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$	N
15. Were all bottle labels complete(ID,Date,time,etc.)	\bigcirc	N
16. Did all bottle labels agree with custody papers?	$\overline{\langle \mathbf{Y} \rangle}$	N
17. Were the correct containers used for the tests indicated:	Ť	N
18. Were samples received at the correct pH?	\mathcal{Q}	N
19. Was sufficient amount of sample sent for the tests indicated?	\mathbf{Q}	N
20. Were bubbles absent in VOA samples?	φ	N
If NO, List sample #'s:		
21. Laboratory labeling verified by (initials):	CA Date	5/7/08

ļ

.

CANLYTICS LLC\AEL DOCUMENTS\FORMS\SMPL CHKLST\Edit 4908



DATA REVIEW CHECKLIST

Maine Fuds SHE LAB NUMBER hcs nali WESTON SAMPLE (Ds: -0505 7DV 0-1

Data Reviewed	bu!	\mathcal{F}		Fraction			
	5PT-						Comments
Chain of Custody	v	1					
Percent Solids/RLs							
Preservation/Log Sheet				_			
Holding Time							
Field Blanks (Trip/Equip.)		K	+				
Instrument/Method Blanks (Soils/Solids)							
Instrument/Method Blanks (Aqueous)	/	f					
MS/MSD (Soil/Solids)			+				
MS/MSD (Aqueous)							
LCS/LCSD			+				
Blank Spikes					+		
Lab Duplicates				<u> </u>			
Field Duplicates					ļ	ļ	
Surrogate Recoveries							
				60 10 20			

Data reviewed but not commented on is considered acceptable.

 $\sqrt{1}$ = Data Reviewed

NA = Not Applicable

No qualifications were made.

Qualifiers Used:

Data Reviewer Initials Date:



195 Commerce Way Suite E Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801 603-436-5111 Fax 603-430-2151 800-929-9906 www.analyticslab.com

May 27, 2008

Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11 South Burlington VT 05403

RE: Analytical Results Case Narrative ME FUDS Project # 125361 Analytics # 61274

Dear Mr. Pentkowski:

Enclosed please find the analytical results for samples collected from the above-mentioned project. The attached Cover Page lists the sample IDs, Lab tracking numbers and collection dates for the samples included in this deliverable.

Samples were analyzed for the target volatile organic compounds by EPA Method 524.2.

Unless otherwise noted in the Non-conformance Summary listed below, all of the quality control (QC) criteria including initial calibration, calibration verification, surrogate recovery, holding time and method accuracy/precision for these analyses were within acceptable limits.

This Level IV package has been assembled in the following order with raw data:

Case Narrative/Non-Conformance Summary Sample Log Sheet - Cover Page VOA Form 1 Sample Data Results Chromatograms VOA Form 2 Surrogate Recoveries VOA Form 3 MS/MSD and LCS Recoveries VOA Form 4 Method Blank Summary (equiv.) GC/MS Logbook Sheets VOA Form 5 BFB Tune Summaries VOA Form 5 BFB Tune Summaries VOA Form 6 Initial Calibration Data (equiv..) Response Factor Report VOA Form 7 Continuing Calibration Check (equiv.) VOA Form 8 Int. Standard and RT Summary Chain of Custody (COC) Forms Sample Receipt Checklist

QC NON CONFORMANCE SUMMARY

Sample Receipt: No QC deviations.

EPA Method 524.2 Volatile Organics:

This narrative is specific to target analytes reported on the Form 1 data pages. Non-target (NT) analyte deviations were not addressed. The following analytes were not 'J' flagged in this report: Vinyl Chloride, Methylene choride, Diethyl ether, Acetone, Hexachlorobutadiene, and Naphthalene.

Chloromethane, Vinyl Chloride, Acetone, and Tetrahydrofuran used quadratic fit for quantitation.

If you have any questions on this data submittal, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely, ANALYTICS Environmental Laboratory, LLC

Stephen Knollmeyer Laboratory Director

AnalyticsLLC:A_Narratives:STLVT:TAVT 61274.doc


195 Commerce Way Suite E Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801 603-436-5111 Fax 603-430-2151 800-929-9906 www.analyticslab.com

Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11 South Burlington VT 05403

Report Number: 61274 Revision: Rev. 0

Re: Maine FUDS

125361

Enclosed are the results of the analyses on your sample(s). Samples were received on 09 May 2008 and analyzed for the tests listed below. Samples were received in acceptable condition, with the exceptions noted below or on the chain of custody. These results pertain to samples as received by the laboratory and for the analytical tests requested on the chain of custody. The results reported herein conform to the most current NELAC standards, where applicable, unless otherwise narrated in the body of the report. Please see individual reports for specific methodologies and references.

Lab Number	Sample Date	Station Location	Analysis	Comments
61274-1	05/05/08	COLOGD1-050508	EPA 524.2 Volatile O	rganics
61274-2	05/05/08	TB-050508-01	Electronic Data Delive	erable
	05/05/08	TB-050508-01	EPA 524.2 Volatile O	rganics

Sample Receipt Exceptions: None

Analytics Environmental Laboratory is certified by the states of New Hampshire, Maine, Massachusetts, Connecticut, Rhode Island, New York, Virginia, Pennsylvania, and is validated by the U.S. Navy (NFESC). A list of actual certified parameters is available upon request.

If you have any further question on the analytical methods or these results, do not hesitate to call.

Authorized signature Stephen L. Knollmeyer Laboratory Director

Date 5/9/2008

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written consent of Analytics Environmental Laboratory, LLC.



Summary Report

Analytics Report 61274 page 0004 of 115



195 Commerce Way Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801 603-436-5111 Fax 603-430-2151 800-929-9906

Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11 South Burlington VT 05403

CLIENT SAMPLE ID Project Name: Maine FUDS

Project Number: 125361 Field Sample ID: LAB QC

May 9, 2008 SAMPLE DATA

Lab Sample ID:	B505098I
Matrix:	Aqueous
Percent Solid:	N/A
Dilution Factor:	1
Collection Date:	N/A
Lab Receipt Date:	N/A
Analysis Date:	05/09/08

ANALYTICAL RESULTS VOLATILE ORGANICS					
COMPOUND	Quantitation Limit µg/L	Result μg/L	COMPOUND	Quantitation Limit µg/L	Result µg/L
Benzene	0.5	U	1,3-Dichloropropane	0.5	U
Bromobenzene	0.5	U	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.5	U
Bromochloromethane	0.5	U	trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.5	U
Bromodichloromethane	0.5	U	2,2-Dichloropropane	0.5	U
Bromoform	0.5	U	1,1-Dichloropropene	0.5	U
Bromomethane	0.5	U	Ethylbenzene	0.5	U
n-butylbenzene	0.5	U	Hexachlorobutadiene	0.5	U
sec-butylbenzene	0.5	U	Isopropylbenzene	0.5	U
tert-butylbenzene	0.5	U	p-isopropyltoluene	0.5	U
Carbon Tetrachloride	0.5	U	Methylene Chloride	0.5	U
Chlorobenzene	0.5	U	Methyl-tert-butyl ether (MTBE)	0.5	U
Chloroethane	0.5	U	Naphthalene	0.5	U
Chloroform	0.5	U	n-Propylbenzene	0.5	U
Chloromethane	0.5	U	Styrene	0.5	U
2-Chlorotoluene	0.5	U	1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.5	U
4-Chlorotoluene	0.5	U	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.5	Ŭ
Dibromochloromethane	0.5	U	Tetrachloroethene	0.5	U
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	0.5	U	Toluene	0.5	U
1,2-Dibromoethane	0.5	U	1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	0.5	U
Dibromomethane	0.5	U	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	0.5	U
I,2-Dichlorobenzene	0.5	U	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	0.5	U
I,3-Dichlorobenzene	0.5	U	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	0.5	U
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	0.5	U	Trichloroethene	0.5	U
Dichlorodifluoromethane	0.5	U	Trichlorofluoromethane	0.5	U
1,1-Dichloroethane	0.5	U	1,2,3-Trichloropropane	0.5	U
1,2-Dichloroethane	0.5	U	1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	0.5	U
1,1-Dichloroethene	0.5	U	1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	0.5	U
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.5	U	Vinyl Chloride	0.1	U
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.5	U	o-Xylene	0.5	U
1,2-Dichloropropane	0.5	U	m,p-Xylene	0.5	U
Acetone	5	U	Diethyl ether	0.5	- U
Carbon Disulfide	0.5	U	2-Hexanone	5	U
Tetrahydrofuran	2.5	U	Methyl isobutyl ketone	5	U
Methyl ethyl ketone	5	U	Di-isopropyl ether (DIPE)	0.5	U
t-Butyl alcohol (TBA)	10	U	Ethyl t-butyl ether (ETBE)	0.5	U
t-Amyl methyl ether (TAME)	0.5	U			
Surrogate Standard Recovery					
1,4-Difluorobenzene	92 % Brome	ofluorobenzene	73 % 1,2-Dichloro	benzene-d4	81 %
U=Undetected	J=Estimated	E=Exceeds Cali	bration Range B=Detected in	Blank	

METHODOLOGY: Sample analysis was conducted according to EPA 600, Method 524.2

COMMENTS:

NH 524 fufi(74)

Authorized signature Mflmlul



Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11 South Burlington VT 05403

CLIENT SAMPLE ID

Project Name:	Maine FUDS
Project Number:	125361

Field Sample ID: cologd1-050508

195 Commerce Way Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801 603-436-5111 Fox 603-430-2151 800-929-9906

May 9, 2008 SAMPLE DATA Lab Sample ID: 61274-1 Matrix: Aqueous **Percent Solid:** N/A **Dilution Factor:** 1 **Collection Date:** 05/05/08 Lab Receipt Date: 05/09/08 Analysis Date: 05/09/08

ANALYTICAL RESULTS VOLATILE ORGANICS					
	Quantitation	Result		Quantitation	Result
COMPOUND	Limit µg/L	μg/L	COMPOUND	Limit µg/L	μg/L
Benzene	0.5	U	1,3-Dichloropropane	0.5	U
Bromobenzene	0.5	U	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.5	U
Bromochloromethane	0.5	U	trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.5	U
Bromodichloromethane	0.5	U	2,2-Dichloropropane	0.5	U
Bromoform	0.5	U	1,1-Dichloropropene	0.5	U
Bromomethane	0.5	U	Ethylbenzene	0.5	U
n-butylbenzene	0.5	U	Hexachlorobutadiene	0.5	U
sec-butylbenzene	0.5	U	Isopropylbenzene	0.5	U
tert-butylbenzene	0.5	U	p-isopropyltoluene	0.5	U
Carbon Tetrachloride	0.5	U	Methylene Chloride	0.5	0.8
Chlorobenzene	0.5	0.5	Methyl-tert-butyl ether (MTBE)	0.5	U
Chloroethane	0.5	U	Naphthalene	0.5	U
Chloroform	0.5	0.3 J	n-Propylbenzene	0.5	U
Chloromethane	0.5	U	Styrene	0.5	U
2-Chlorotoluene	0.5	U	1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.5	U
4-Chlorotoluene	0.5	U	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.5	U
Dibromochloromethane	0.5	0.3 J	Tetrachloroethene	0.5	U
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	0.5	U	Toluene	0.5	U
1,2-Dibromoethane	0.5	U	1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	0.5	U
Dibromomethane	0.5	U	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	0.5	U
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	0.5	U	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	0.5	U
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	0.5	U	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	0.5	U
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	0.5	U	Trichloroethene	0.5	U
Dichlorodifluoromethane	0.5	U	Trichlorofluoromethane	0.5	U
1,1-Dichloroethane	0.5	U	1,2,3-Trichloropropane	0.5	U
1,2-Dichloroethane	0.5	U	1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	0.5	U
1,1-Dichloroethene	0.5	U	1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	0.5	U
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.5	U	Vinyl Chloride	0.1	υ
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.5	U	o-Xylene	0.5	U
1,2-Dichloropropane	0.5	U	m,p-Xylene	0.5	U
Acetone	5	U	Diethyl ether	0.5	. U
Carbon Disulfide	0.5	U	2-Hexanone	5	U
Tetrahydrofuran	2.5	111 E	Methyl isobutyl ketone	5	U
Methyl ethyl ketone	- 5	6.7	Di-isopropyl ether (DIPE)	0.5	U
t-Butyl alcohol (TBA)	10	U	Ethyl t-butyl ether (ETBE)	0.5	U
t-Amyl methyl ether (TAME)	0.5	U			
Surrogate Standard Recovery					
1,4-Difluorobenzene	95 % Bromo	fluorobenzene	71 % 1,2-Dichlord	benzene-d4	17 %
U=Undetected	I=Estimated	E=Exceeds Cali	bration Range B=Detected in	Blank	

METHODOLOGY: Sample analysis was conducted according to EPA 600, Method 524.2

COMMENTS:

NH 524 (uli(74)

Authorized signature Mulenwhill

Analytics Report 61274 page 0006 of 115



195 Commerce Way Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801 603-436-5111 Fax 603-430-2151 800-929-9906

Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11 South Burlington VT 05403

CLIENT SAMPLE ID

Project Name:	Maine FUDS

Project Number: 125361 Field Sample ID: COLOGDI-050508

May 9, 2008 SAMPLE DATA

Lab Sample ID:	61274-1 DL
Matrix:	Aqueous
Percent Solid:	N/A
Dilution Factor:	20
Collection Date:	05/05/08
Lab Receipt Date:	05/09/08
Analysis Date:	05/09/08

ANALYTICAL RESULTS VOLATILE ORGANICS					
COMPOUND	Quantitation Limit µg/L	Result µg/L	COMPOUND	Quantitation Limit µg/L	Result μg/L
Benzene	10	U	1,3-Dichloropropane	10	U
Bromobenzene	10	U	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	10	U
Bromochloromethane	10	U	trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	10	U
Bromodichloromethane	10	U	2,2-Dichloropropane	10	U
Bromoform	10	U	1.1-Dichloropropene	10	U
Bromomethane	10	U	Ethylbenzene	10	U
n-butylbenzene	10	U	Hexachlorobutadiene	10	U
sec-butylbenzene	10	U	Isopropylbenzene	10	U
tert-butylbenzene	10	U	p-isopropyltoluene	10	U
Carbon Tetrachloride	10	U	Methylene Chloride	10	U
Chlorobenzene	10	U	Methyl-tert-butyl ether (MTBE)	10	U
Chloroethane	10	U	Naphthalene	10	U
Chloroform	10	U	n-Propylbenzene	10	U
Chloromethane	10	U	Styrene	10	U
2-Chlorotoluene	10	U	1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	10	U
4-Chlorotoluene	10	U	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	10	U
Dibromochloromethane	10	U	Tetrachloroethene	10	U
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	10	U	Toluene	10	U
1,2-Dibromoethane	10	U	1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	10	U
Dibromomethane	10	U	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	10	U
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	10	υ	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	10	U
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	10	U	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	10	U
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	10	U	Trichloroethene	10	U
Dichlorodifluoromethane	10	U	Trichlorofluoromethane	10	U
1,1-Dichloroethane	10	U	1,2,3-Trichloropropane	10	U U
1,2-Dichloroethane	10	U	1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	10	U]
1,1-Dichloroethene	10	U	1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	10	U
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	10	U	Vinyl Chloride	2	U
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	10	U	o-Xylene	10	U
1,2-Dichloropropane	10	U	m.p-Xylene	10	U
Acetone	100	U	Diethyl ether	10	U
Carbon Disulfide	10	U	2-Hexanone	100	U
Tetrahydrofuran	50	97	Methyl isobutyl ketone	100	U
Methyl ethyl ketone	100	U	Di-isopropyl ether (DIPE)	10	U
t-Butyl alcohol (TBA)	200	U	Ethyl t-butyl ether (ETBE)	10	υ
t-Amyl methyl ether (TAME)	10	U			
Surrogate Standard Recovery					
1,4-Difluorobenzene	95 % Bror	mofluorobenzene	76 % 1,2-Dichloro	benzene-d4	82 %
U=Undetected	J=Estimated	E=Exceeds Calil	oration Range B=Detected in	Blank	

METHODOLOGY: Sample analysis was conducted according to EPA 600, Method 524.2

COMMENTS:

NH 524 full(74)

Authorized signature Multinulull

Analytics Report 61274 page 0007 of 115



195 Commerce Way Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801 603-436-5111 Fax 603-430-2151 800-929-9906

Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11 South Burlington VT 05403

CLIENT SAMPLE ID

Project Name:	Maine FUDS
Project Number:	125361

Field Sample ID: Trip Blank

May 9, 2008 SAMPLE DATA Lab Sample ID: 61274-2 Matrix: Aqueous Percent Solid: N/A Dilution Factor: 1 Collection Date: 05/05/08 Lab Receipt Date: 05/09/08 Analysis Date: 05/09/08

ANALYTICAL RESULTS VOLATILE ORGANICS					
	Quantitation	Result		Quantitation	Result
COMPOUND	Limit µg/L	μg/L	COMPOUND	Limit µg/L	μg/L
Benzené	0.5	U	1,3-Dichloropropane	0.5	U
Bromobenzene	0.5	U	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.5	U
Bromochloromethane	0.5	U	trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.5	U
Bromodichloromethane	0.5	U	2,2-Dichloropropane	0.5	U
Bromoform	0.5	U	1,1-Dichloropropene	0.5	U
Bromomethane	0.5	U	Ethylbenzene	0.5	U
n-butylbenzene	0.5	U	Hexachlorobutadiene	0.5	U
sec-butylbenzene	0.5	U	Isopropylbenzene	0.5	U
tert-butylbenzene	0.5	U	p-isopropyltoluene	0.5	U
Carbon Tetrachloride	0.5	U	Methylene Chloride	0.5	U
Chlorobenzene	0.5	U	Methyl-tert-butyl ether (MTBE)	0.5	U
Chloroethane	0.5	U	Naphthalene	0.5	U
Chloroform	0.5	U	n-Propylbenzene	0.5	U
Chloromethane	0.5	U	Stvrene	0.5	Ū
2-Chlorotoluene	0.5	U	1.1.1.2-Tetrachloroethane	0.5	Ũ
4-Chlorotoluene	0.5	U	1.1.2.2-Tetrachloroethane	0.5	Ū
Dibromochloromethane	0.5	U	Tetrachloroethene	0.5	Ū
1.2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	0.5	U	Toluene	0.5	Ū
1.2-Dibromoethane	0.5	U	1.2.3-Trichlorobenzene	0.5	Ū
Dibromomethane	0.5	U	1.2.4-Trichlorobenzene	0.5	Ū
1.2-Dichlorobenzene	0.5	U	1.1.1-Trichloroethane	0.5	Ũ
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	0.5	U	1.1.2-Trichloroethane	0.5	Ū
1.4-Dichlorobenzene	0.5	U	Trichloroethene	0.5	Ŭ
Dichlorodifluoromethane	0.5	U	Trichlorofluoromethane	0.5	Ū
1.1-Dichloroethane	0.5	U	1.2.3-Trichloropropane	0.5	Ŭ
1.2-Dichloroethane	0.5	U	1.2.4-Trimethylbenzene	0.5	Ŭ
1.1-Dichloroethene	0.5	U	1.3.5-Trimethylbenzene	0.5	Ū
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.5	U	Vinvl Chloride	0.1	Ū
trans-1.2-Dichloroethene	0.5	U	o-Xviene	0.5	Ū
1.2-Dichloropropane	0.5	U	m.p-Xylene	0.5	TT T
Acetone	5	U	Diethvl ether	0.5	Ŭ
Carbon Disulfide	0.5	U	2-Hexanone	5	Ū
Tetrahydrofuran	2.5	U	Methyl isobutyl ketone	5	Ū
Methyl ethyl ketone	5	U	Di-isopropyl ether (DIPE)	0.5	U
t-Butyl alcohol (TBA)	10	U	Ethvl t-butvl ether (ETBE)	0.5	Ū
t-Amyl methyl ether (TAME)	0.5	Ū			
Surrogate Standard Recovery					
1,4-Difluorobenzene	93 % Bromo	fluorobenzene	71 % 1,2-Dichloro	benzene-d4	73 %
Li=Undetected	I-Estimated	E=Exceeds Cali	bration Range B-Detected in	Blank	

METHODOLOGY: Sample analysis was conducted according to EPA 600, Method 524.2

COMMENTS:

NH 524 full(74)

Authorized signature

Milinolull



VOLATILE DATA SUMMARIES

AnalyticsLLC: AEL Documents LLC: Pkg Dividers: VOC. doc

Analytics Report 61274 page 0009 of 115



195 Commerce Way Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801 603-436-5111 Fax 603-430-2151 800-929-9906

Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11 South Burlington VT 05403

CLIENT SAMPLE ID

Project Name:	Maine FUDS	
Project Number:	125361	
Field Sample ID:	LAB QC	

May 9, 2008 SAMPLE DATA

Lab Sample ID:	B505098I
Matrix:	Aqueous
Percent Solid:	N/A
Dilution Factor:	1
Collection Date:	N/A
Lab Receipt Date:	N/A
Analysis Date:	05/09/08

ANALYTICAL RESULTS VOLATILE ORGANICS					
COMPOUND	Quantitation Limit µg/L	Result µg/L	COMPOUND	Quantitation Limit µg/L	Result µg/L
Benzene	0.5	U	1,3-Dichloropropane	0.5	U
Bromobenzene	0.5	U	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.5	U
Bromochloromethane	0.5	U	trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.5	U
Bromodichloromethane	0.5	U	2,2-Dichloropropane	0.5	U
Bromoform	0.5	U	1,1-Dichloropropene	0.5	Ū
Bromomethane	0.5	U	Ethylbenzene	0.5	Ū
n-butylbenzene	0.5	U	Hexachlorobutadiene	0.5	U
sec-butylbenzene	0.5	U	Isopropylbenzene	0.5	Ū
tert-butylbenzene	0.5	U	p-isopropyltoluene	0.5	Ū
Carbon Tetrachloride	0.5	U	Methylene Chloride	0.5	U
Chlorobenzene	° 0.5	U	Methyl-tert-butyl ether (MTBE)	0.5	U
Chloroethane	0.5	U	Naphthalene	0.5	U
Chloroform	0.5	U	n-Propylbenzene	0.5	U
Chloromethane	0.5	U	Styrene	0.5	U
2-Chlorotoluene	0.5	U	1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.5	U
4-Chlorotoluene	0.5	U	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.5	U
Dibromochloromethane	0.5	U	Tetrachloroethene	0.5	U
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	0.5	U	Toluene	0.5	U
1,2-Dibromoethane	0.5	U	1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	0.5	U
Dibromomethane	0.5	U	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	0.5	U
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	0.5	U	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	0.5	U
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	0.5	U	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	0.5	U
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	0.5	U	Trichloroethene	0.5	U
Dichlorodífluoromethane	0.5	U	Trichlorofluoromethane	0.5	U
1,1-Dichloroethane	0.5	U	1,2,3-Trichloropropane	0.5	U
1,2-Dichloroethane	0.5	U	1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	0.5	U
1,1-Dichloroethene	0.5	U	1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	0.5	U
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.5	U	Vinyl Chloride	0.1	U
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.5	U	o-Xylene	0.5	U
1,2-Dichloropropane	0.5	U	m,p-Xylene	0.5	U
Acetone	5	U	Diethyl ether	0.5	• Ū
Carbon Disulfide	0.5	U	2-Hexanone	5	U
Tetrahydrofuran	2.5	U	Methyl isobutyl ketone	5	U
Methyl ethyl ketone	5	U ·	Di-isopropyl ether (DIPE)	0.5	U
t-Butyl alcohol (TBA)	10	U	Ethyl t-butyl ether (ETBE)	0.5	U
t-Amyl methyl ether (TAME)	0.5	U			
	Surrog	ate Standard Ro	ecovery		
1,4-Difluorobenzene	92 % Brome	fluorobenzene	73 % 1,2-Dichloro	benzene-d4	81 %
U=Undetected	J=Estimated	E=Exceeds Calil	pration Range B=Detected in 1	Blank	

METHODOLOGY: Sample analysis was conducted according to EPA 600, Method 524.2

COMMENTS:

NH 524 full(74)

Authorized signature Multinolul

	Quantitatio	n Report	(Not Rev	iewed)	
Data File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\05 Acq On : 9 May 2008 11:2 Sample : B505098I Misc : 25000 MS Integration Params: rteint Quant Time: May 9 11:26 200	0908-I\I294 3 am	23B.D Quant	Via Operato Inst Multipl Results Fi	l: 5 r: : GC/MS In r: 1.00 le: V505048	
Quant Method : D:\HPCHEM\METH Title : 524.2 Purgable Last Update : Mon May 05 12: Response via : Initial Calibr DataAcq Meth : V504258I	ODS\V505048 Organics 42:08 2008 ation	I.M (RTE)	Integrator)	p of	= 1.0 = 0.5
Internal Standards	R.T. (QIon Resp	ponse Conc	Units Dev(Min)
 Pentafluorobenzene Fluorobenzene d5-Chlorobenzene 1,4-Dichlorobenzene-d4 	7.49 8.89 13.70 17.54	168 232 96 609 117 349 152 125	2452 4.0 9607 4.0 9668 4.0 9267 4.0	00 ug/L 00 ug/L 00 ug/L 00 ug/L	0.01 0.00 0.01 0.01
System Monitoring Compounds 28) DB Holder #28 Spiked Amount 4.000 36) 1,4-Difluorobenzene Spiked Amount 4.000 54) Bromofluorobenzene Spiked Amount 4.000 73) 1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4 Spiked Amount 4.000	9.01 Range 70 - 9.01 Range 70 - 15.63 Range 70 - 18.14 Range 70 -	114 461 - 130 F 114 461 - 130 F 95 152 - 130 F 152 102 - 130 F	.302 3.6 Recovery = .302 3.6 Recovery = 2886 2.9 Recovery = 207 3.2 Recovery =	58 ug/L = 92.00% 58 ug/L = 92.00% 92 ug/L = 73.00% 24 ug/L = 81.00%	0.00 5.00 5.01 5.00
Target Compounds 4) Vinyl Chloride 12) Acetone 16) Methylene Chloride 31) Tetrahydrofuran	2.22 3.89 4.86 7.65	62 1 43 3 84 24 42	515 Belc 578 Belc 334 Belc 879 B e lc	Qval w Cal w Cal w Cal w Cal w Cal #	Lue 70 99 96 44



5900

(#) = qualifier out of range (m) = manual integration I29423B.D V505048I.M Fri May 09 11:26:26 2008



Analytics Report 61274 page 0012 of 115



195 Commerce Way Partsmouth, New Hampshire 03801 603-436-5111 Fax 603-430-2151 800-929-9906

Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11 South Burlington VT 05403

CLIENT SAMPLE ID

Project Name:	Maine FUDS
Project Number:	125361
Field Sample ID:	cologd1-050508

May 9, 2008 SAMPLE DATA

Lab Sample ID:	61274-1
Matrix:	Aqueous
Percent Solid:	N/A
Dilution Factor:	1
Collection Date:	05/05/08
Lab Receipt Date:	05/09/08
Analysis Date:	05/09/08

ANALYTICAL RESULTS VOLATILE ORGANICS					
COMPOUND	Quantitation Limit µg/L	Result μg/L	COMPOUND	Quantitation Limit µg/L	Result µg/L
Benzene	0.5	U	1,3-Dichloropropane	0.5	U
Bromobenzene	0.5	U	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.5	U
Bromochloromethane	0.5	U	trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.5	U
Bromodichloromethane	0.5	U	2,2-Dichloropropane	0.5	U
Bromoform	0.5	U	1,1-Dichloropropene	0.5	U
Bromomethane	0.5	U	Ethylbenzene	0.5	U
n-butylbenzene	0.5	U	Hexachlorobutadiene	0.5	U
sec-butylbenzene	0.5	U	Isopropylbenzene	0.5	U
tert-butylbenzene	0.5	U	p-isopropyltoluene	0.5	Ŭ
Carbon Tetrachloride	0.5	U	Methylene Chloride	0.5	0.8
Chlorobenzene	0.5	0.5	Methyl-tert-butyl ether (MTBE)	0.5	U
Chloroethane	0.5	U	Naphthalene	0.5	U
Chloroform	0.5	0.3 J	n-PropyIbenzene	0.5	U
Chloromethane	0.5	U	Styrene	0.5	U
2-Chlorotoluene	0.5	U	1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.5	Ŭ
4-Chlorotoluene	0.5	U	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.5	Ŭ
Dibromochloromethane	0.5	0.3 J	Tetrachloroethene	0.5	Ŭ
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	0.5	U	Toluene	0.5	Ū
1,2-Dibromoethane	0.5	U	1.2.3-Trichlorobenzene	0.5	Ũ
Dibromomethane	0.5	U	1.2.4-Trichlorobenzene	0.5	Ũ
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	0.5	U	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	0.5	Ū
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	0.5	U	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	0.5	Ū
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	0.5	U	Trichloroethene	0.5	Ũ
Dichlorodifluoromethane	0.5	U	Trichlorofluoromethane	0.5	Ū
1,1-Dichloroethane	0.5	U	1.2.3-Trichloropropane	0.5	Ū
1,2-Dichloroethane	0.5	υ	1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	0.5	Ũ
1.1-Dichloroethene	0.5	U	1.3.5-Trimethylbenzene	0.5	U
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.5	U	Vinyl Chloride	0.1	Ŭ
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.5	U	o-Xvlene	0.5	Ũ
1,2-Dichloropropane	0.5	U	m.p-Xylene	0.5	ŭ
Acetone	5	U	Diethyl ether	0.5	Ŭ
Carbon Disulfide	0.5	U	2-Hexanone	5	Ū
Tetrahydrofuran	2.5	111 E	Methyl isobutyl ketone	5	Ŭ
Methyl ethyl ketone	- 5	6.7	Di-isopropyl ether (DIPE)	0.5	Ū
t-Butyl alcohol (TBA)	10	U	Ethyl t-butyl ether (ETBE)	0.5	Ŭ
t-Amyl methyl ether (TAME)	0.5	Ū			-
	Surro	gate Standard R	ecoverv		
1,4-Difluorobenzene	95 % Broma	ofluorobenzene	71 % 1,2-Dichloro	benzene-d4	77 %
U=Undetected	J=Estimated	E=Exceeds Calil	bration Range B=Detected in	Blank	

METHODOLOGY: Sample analysis was conducted according to EPA 600, Method 524.2

COMMENTS:

NH 524 full(74)

Authorized signature Mulenwhill

	Quantitati	on Rep	ort (Not	Reviewed)	
Data File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\05 Acq On : 9 May 2008 3:1 Sample : 61274-1 Misc : 25000 MS Integration Params: rteint Quant Time: May 9 15:39 200	50908-I\I29 5 pm	430.D Q [.]	Ope Ins Mul uant Result	Vial: 12 erator: st : GC/M tiplr: 1.00 s File: V50	S Ins 🖌
Quant Method : D:\HPCHEM\METH Title : 524.2 Purgable Last Update : Fri May 09 15: Response via : Initial Calibr DataAcq Meth : V505048I	IODS\V50504 e Organics 39:25 2008 ration	8I.M (1	RTE Integra	s.g.u	br=1 br=0.5
Internal Standards	R.T.	QIon	Response	Conc Units I	Dev(Min)
<pre>1) Pentafluorobenzene 39) Fluorobenzene 63) d5-Chlorobenzene 87) 1,4-Dichlorobenzene-d4 System Monitoring Compounds 28) DB Holder #28 Spiked Amount 4.000 36) 1,4-Difluorobenzene Spiked Amount 4.000 54) Bromofluorobenzene Spiked Amount 4.000 73) 1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4 Spiked Amount 4.000</pre>	7.49 8.89 13.70 17.54 9.02 Range 70 9.02 Range 70 15.63 Range 70 18.14 Range 70	168 96 117 152 114 - 130 114 - 130 95 - 130 152 - 130	208367 554910 320229 111010 427836 Recover 427836 Recover 134772 Recover 89254 Recover	4.00 ug/L 4.00 ug/L 4.00 ug/L 4.00 ug/L 3.81 ug/L y = 95.2 3.81 ug/L y = 95.2 2.83 ug/L y = 70.7 3.09 ug/L y = 77.2	0.02 0.00 0.02 0.02 0.00 25% 0.00 25% 0.00 25% 0.00
Target Compounds 3) Chloromethane 4) Vinyl Chloride (16) Methylene Chloride (23) Methyl ethyl ketone (29) Chloroform	2.08 2.20 4.86 6.77 7.27	50 62 84 72 83	1907 1976 63615 10768 23413	Below Cal Delow Cal 0.83 ug/L 6.74 ug/L 0.27 ug/L	Qvalue 90 94 94 91 92
(31) Tetrahydrofuran (61) Dibromochloromethane (64) Chlorobenzene	7.60 12.78 13.76	42 129 112	413453 E 7503 45394	111.00 ug/L 0.30 ug/L 0.53 ug/L	96 96 97

35-900

(#) = qualifier out of range (m) = manual integration I29430.D V505048I.M Fri May 09 15:39:36 2008

Analytics Report 61274 page 0014 of 115



Analytics Report 61274 page 0015 of 115



195 Cammerce Way Portsmauth, New Hampshke 03801 603-436-5111 Fax 603-430-2151 800-929-9906

Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11 South Burlington VT 05403

CLIENT SAMPLE ID

Project Name:	Maine FUDS

Project Number: 125361 Field Sample ID: COLOGD1-050508

May 9, 2008 SAMPLE DATA

Lab Sample ID:	61274-1 DL
Matrix:	Aqueous
Percent Solid:	N/A
Dilution Factor:	20
Collection Date:	05/05/08
Lab Receipt Date:	05/09/08
Analysis Date:	05/09/08

ANALYTICAL RESULTS VOLATILE ORGANICS					
COMPOUND	Quantitation Limit µg/L	Result μg/L	COMPOUND	Quantitation Limit µg/L	Result µg/L
Benzene	10	U	1,3-Dichloropropane	10	U
Bromobenzene	10	U	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	10	U
Bromochloromethane	10	U	trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	10	Ŭ
Bromodichloromethane	10	\mathbf{U}	2,2-Dichloropropane	10	ŢJ
Bromoform	10	U	1,1-Dichloropropene	10	Ū
Bromomethane	10	U	Ethylbenzene	10	Ū
n-butylbenzene	10	U	Hexachlorobutadiene	10	Ũ
sec-butylbenzene	10	\mathbf{U}	1sopropylbenzene	10	Ū
tert-butylbenzene	10	U	p-isopropyltoluene	10	Ŭ
Carbon Tetrachloride	10	U	Methylene Chloride	10	U
Chlorobenzene	10	U	Methyl-tert-butyl ether (MTBE)	10	U
Chloroethane	10	U	Naphthalene	10	U
Chloroform	10	U	n-Propylbenzene	10	U
Chloromethane	10	U	Styrene	10	Ŭ
2-Chlorotoluene	10	U	1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	10	Ū
4-Chlorotoluene	10	U	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	10	Ū
Dibromochloromethane	10	U	Tetrachloroethene	10	Ŭ
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	10	U	Toluene	10	Ū
1,2-Dibromoethane	10	U	1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	10	Ū
Dibromomethane	10	U	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	10	Ū
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	10	U	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	10	Ū
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	10	U	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	10	Ū
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	10	\mathbf{U}	Trichloroethene	10	Ū
Dichlorodifluoromethane	10	\mathbf{U}	Trichlorofluoromethane	10	Ū
1,1-Dichloroethane	10	U	1,2,3-Trichloropropane	10	Ū
1,2-Dichloroethane	10	U	1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	10	Ū
1,1-Dichloroethene	10	U	1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	10	υ
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	10	U	Vinyl Chloride	2	Ū
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	10	U	o-Xylene	10	U
1,2-Dichloropropane	10	U	m,p-Xylene	10	π
Acetone	100	U '	Diethyl ether	10	Ŭ
Carbon Disulfide	10	U	2-Hexanone	100	U
Tetrahydrofuran	50	97	Methyl isobutyl ketone	100	Ū
Methyl ethyl ketone	100	U	Di-isopropyl ether (DIPE)	10	U
t-Butyl alcohol (TBA)	200	U	Ethyl t-butyl ether (ETBE)	10	U
t-Amyl methyl ether (TAME)	10	U			
	Surro	ogate Standard Re	ecovery		
1,4-Difluorobenzene	95 % Brom	ofluorobenzene	76 % 1,2-Dichloro	benzene-d4	82 %
U=Undetected]=Estimated	E=Exceeds Calif	ration Range B=Detected in	Blank	

METHODOLOGY: Sample analysis was conducted according to EPA 600, Method 524.2

COMMENTS:

NH 524 full(74)

Authorized signature Multinulull

Analytics Report 61274 page 0016 of 115

	Quantitation	ı Report	(Not Reviewed)	\sim
Data File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\0 Acq On : 9 May 2008 4: Sample : 61274-1 Misc : 1250 MS Integration Params: rtein Quant Time: May 9 16:40 20	50908-I\I2943 27 pm t.p 08	32.D Quant Re	Vial: 14 Operator: Inst : GC/MS Multiplr: 1.00 sults File: V5050	U Ins 48I.RES
Quant Method : D:\HPCHEM\MET Title : 524.2 Purgabl Last Update : Mon May 05 12 Response via : Initial Calib DataAcq Meth : V505048I	HODS\V5050483 e Organics :42:08 2008 ration	I.M (RTE Int	egrator) AK5.09.08 DF=20 DL=10	
Internal Standards	R.T. (lon Respon	se Conc Units De	v(Min)
 Pentafluorobenzene Fluorobenzene d5-Chlorobenzene 1,4-Dichlorobenzene-d4 	7.49 8.90 13.70 17.54	168 20848 96 55871 117 33503 152 11856	0 4.00 ug/L 0 4.00 ug/L 4 4.00 ug/L 8 4.00 ug/L	0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01
System Monitoring Compounds 28) DB Holder #28 Spiked Amount 4.000 36) 1,4-Difluorobenzene Spiked Amount 4.000 54) Bromofluorobenzene Spiked Amount 4.000 73) 1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4 Spiked Amount 4.000	9.01 Range 70 - 9.01 Range 70 - 15.63 Range 70 - 18.15 Range 70 -	114 42683 130 Rec 114 42683 130 Rec 95 14586 130 Rec 152 9899 130 Rec	3 3.79 ug/L overy = 94.75 3 3.79 ug/L overy = 94.75 6 3.04 ug/L overy = 76.00 6 3.27 ug/L overy = 81.75	0.00 0.00 0.01 0.01
Target Compounds 4) Vinyl Chloride 12) Acetone 16) Methylene Chloride 31)) Tetrahydrofuran	2.21 3.90 4.85 7.63	62 662 43 2411 84 15804 42 21580	QT 2 Below Cal # 5 <u>Below Cal</u> # 4 Below Cal 0 \4.83 ug/L	7alue 48 76 93 93

0 v4.83

(#) = qualifier out of range (m) = manual integration I29432.D V505048I.M Fri May 09 16:40:44 2008



Analytics Report 61274 page 0018 of 115



195 Commerce Way Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801 603-436-5111 Fax 603-430-2151 800-929-9906

Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11 South Burlington VT 05403

CLIENT SAMPLE ID

Project Name:	Maine FUDS
Project Number:	125361
Field Sample ID:	Trip Blank

May 9, 2008 SAMPLE DATA				
Lab Sample ID:	61274-2			
Matrix:	Aqueous			
Percent Solid: N/A				
Dilution Factor: 1				
Collection Date:	05/05/08			
Lab Receipt Date: 05/09/08				
Analysis Date:	Analysis Date: 05/09/08			

l l	ANALYTICAL RI	ESULTS VOLA	TILE ORGANICS		
COMPOUND	Quantitation Limit $\mu g/L$	Result µg/L	COMPOUND	Quantitation Limit µg/L	Result µg/L
Benzene	0.5	U	1,3-Dichloropropane	0.5	U
Bromobenzene	0.5	U	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.5	Ŭ
Bromochloromethane	0.5	U	trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.5	Ū
Bromodichloromethane	0.5	U	2,2-Dichloropropane	0.5	Ū
Bromoform	0.5	U	1,1-Dichloropropene	0.5	Ũ
Bromomethane	0.5	U	Ethylbenzene	0.5	Ŭ
n-butylbenzene	0.5	U	Hexachlorobutadiene	0.5	Ŭ
sec-butylbenzene	0.5	U	Isopropylbenzene	0.5	Ŭ
tert-butylbenzene	0.5	U	p-isopropyltoluene	0.5	Ŭ
Carbon Tetrachloride	0.5	U	Methylene Chloride	0.5	Ũ
Chlorobenzene	0.5	U	Methyl-tert-butyl ether (MTBE)	0.5	Ū
Chloroethane	0.5	U	Naphthalene	0.5	Ū,
Chloroform	0.5	U	n-Propylbenzene	0.5	Ū
Chloromethane	0.5	U	Stvrene	0.5	U
2-Chlorotoluene	0.5	U	1.1.1.2-Tetrachloroethane	0.5	U
4-Chlorotoluene	0.5	U	1.1.2.2-Tetrachloroethane	0.5	11
Dibromochloromethane	0.5	U	Tetrachloroethene	0.5	U U
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	0.5	U	Toluene	0.5	U
1,2-Dibromoethane	0.5	U	1.2.3-Trichlorobenzene	0.5	U
Dibromomethane	0.5	U	1.2.4-Trichlorobenzene	0.5	U
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	0.5	U	1.1.1-Trichloroethane	0.5	U U
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	0.5	U	1.1.2-Trichloroethane	0.5	U
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	0.5	U	Trichloroethene	0.5	U U
Dichlorodifluoromethane	0.5	U	Trichlorofluoromethane	0.5	U
1,1-Dichloroethane	0.5	U	1.2.3-Trichloropropane	0.5	U
1,2-Dichloroethane	0.5	U	1.2.4-Trimethylbenzene	0.5	U
1,1-Dichloroethene	0.5	U	1.3.5-Trimethylbenzene	0.5	U
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.5	U	Vinvl Chloride	0.1	U U
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.5	U	o-Xylene	0.5	U .
1,2-Dichloropropane	0.5	U	m p-Xylene	0.5	U
Acetone	5	U	Diethyl ether	0.5	U
Carbon Disulfide	0.5	Ŭ	2-Hexanone	5	U U
Tetrahvdrofuran	2.5	Ŭ	Methyl isobutyl ketone	5	U U
Methyl ethyl ketone	5	Ū	Di-isopropyl ether (DIPE)	0.5	U
t-Butyl alcohol (TBA)	10	Ū	Ethyl t-butyl ether (ETBE)	0.5	U
t-Amyl methyl ether (TAME)	0.5	Ũ	Lary Conground (DTDD)	0.5	5
······································	Surr	pate Standard Po	COVARY		
1,4-Difluorobenzene	<u>93 % B</u> rom	ofluorobenzene	71 % 1,2-Dichlorol	penzene-d4	73 %
. U=Undetected	J=Estimated	E=Exceeds Calib	ration Range B=Detected in I	Blank	

METHODOLOGY: Sample analysis was conducted according to EPA 600, Method 524.2

COMMENTS:

NH 524 full(74)

Authorized signature _______

	Quantitatio	on Repo	ort (No	t Review	red)
Data File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\05 Acq On : 9 May 2008 11:5 Sample : 61274-2 Misc : 25000 MS Integration Params: rteint Quant Time: May 9 12:10 200	0908-I\I294 6 am .p 8	124.D Q¹	Op In Mu uant Resul	Vial: erator: st : ltiplr: ts File:	6 GC/MS Ins 1.00 V505048I.RES
Quant Method : D:\HPCHEM\METH Title : 524.2 Purgable Last Update : Mon May 05 12: Response via : Initial Calibr DataAcq Meth : V505048I	ODS\V505048 Organics 42:08 2008 ation	3I.M (1	RTE Integra	ator)	by = 1 br = 0.5
Internal Standards	R.T.	QIon	Response	Conc Un	its Dev(Min)
 Pentafluorobenzene Fluorobenzene d5-Chlorobenzene 1,4-Dichlorobenzene-d4 	7.49 8.89 13.70 17.54	168 96 117 152	222878 585152 338942 111391	4.00 4.00 4.00 4.00 4.00	ug/L 0.01 ug/L 0.00 ug/L 0.01 ug/L 0.01
System Monitoring Compounds 28) DB Holder #28 Spiked Amount 4.000 36) 1,4-Difluorobenzene Spiked Amount 4.000 54) Bromofluorobenzene Spiked Amount 4.000 73) 1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4 Spiked Amount 4.000	9.01 Range 70 9.01 Range 70 15.63 Range 70 18.14 Range 70	114 - 130 114 - 130 95 - 130 152 - 130	449043 Recover 449043 Recover 142920 Recover 89264 Recover	3.73 ry = 3.73 ry = 2.85 ry = 2.92 ry =	ug/L 0.00 93.25% ug/L 0.00 93.25% ug/L 0.01 71.25% ug/L 0.00 73.00%
Target Compounds 3) Chloromethane 4) Vinyl Chloride 12) Acetone 16) Methylene Chloride 31) Tetrahydrofuran	2.08 2.23 3.90 4.85 7.61	50 62 43 84 42	1761 724 3145 5132 442	Below Below Below Below Below	Qvalue Cal # 59 Cal 69 Cal # 12 Cal # 12 Cal 95 Cal # 43

(N&) ~5900

(#) = qualifier out of range (m) = manual integration I29424.D V505048I.M Fri May 09 12:10:04 2008



Analytics Report 61274 page 0021 of 115



VOLATILE QC FORMS

AnalyticsLLC: AEL Documents LLC: Pkg Dividers: VOCQC. doc

Analytics Report 61274 page 0022 of 115

VOLATILE ORGANIC AQUEOUS SYSTEM MONITORING COMPOUNDS SUMMARY

Instrument ID: I GC Column: RTX-502.2 Column ID: 0.25 mm SDG: 61274

SAMPLE ID	SMC 1 (%)	#	SMC 2 (%)	#	SMC 3 (%)	#
L5050981	94		78		87	
L50509812	92		77		87	
B505098I	92		73		81	
61274-2	93		71		73	
61274-1	95		71		77	
61274-1, DL	95		76		82	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
				:		
					•	
			<u></u>			

		Lower	Upper
		Limit	Limit
SMC #1 =	1,4-Difluorobenzene	70	130
SMC #2 =	Bromofluorobenzene	70	130
SMC #3 =	1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4	70	130

Column to be used to flag recovery values outside of QC limits

* Values outside QC limits

D System Monitoring Compound diluted out

VOA FORM 2

Analytics Report 61274 page 0023 of 115

VOLATILE ORGANIC AQUEOUS LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE DUPLICATE PERCENT RECOVERY

Instrument 1D: 1 GC Column; RTX-502.2 Column 1D: 0.25 mm

SDG: 61274 Non-spiked sample: B5050981 Spike: L5050981 Spike Duplicate L50509812

	SPIKE	LOWER	UPPER	RPD	NON-SPIKE	SPIKE	SPIKE	•	SPIKE DUP	SPIKE DUI	3		
COMPOUND	ADDED	LIMIT	LIMIT	LIMIT	RESULT (ug/L)	RESULT (ug/L)	% REC	#	RESULT (ug/L)	% REC	#	RPD	#
Dichlorodifluoromethane	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.80	80		0.76	76		4	
Chloromethane	1	70	130	15	0,00	0.79	79		0.74	74		6	
Vinyl Chloride	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.94	94		0.85	85	1	11	
Bromomethane	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.88	88		0.84	84		4	
Chloroethane	1	70	130	15	0.00	0,85	85		0.81	81		5	
t-Butyl alcohol (TBA)	5	70	130	15	0.00	4.23	85		4.09	82		3	
Trichlorofluoromethane	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.86	86		0.82	82		4	
Diethyl ether	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.88	88		0.83	83		6	
1,1,2-Trichlorotrifluoroethane	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.94	94		0.90	90		5	
Acetone	5	70	130	15	0.00	3.55	71		3.53	71		1	Ц
1,1-Dichloroethene	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.93	93		0.90	90		4	
Di-isopropyl ether (DIPE)	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.90	90		0,86	86		5	
Methylene Chloride	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.72	72		0.74	74		3	
Carbon Disulfide	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.92	92		0.89	89		4	
Acrylonitrile	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.74	74		0.84	84		12	
Methyl-tert-butyl ether (MTBE)	2	70	130	15	0.00	1.75	87		1.67	84		4	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.88	88		0.84	84		4	
1,1-Dichloroethane	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.90	90		0.86	86		5	\Box
Methyl ethyl ketone	5	70	130	15	0,00	4,12	82		3.90	78		6	\Box
Ethyl t-butyl ether (ETBE)	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.88	88		0.84	84		4	\square
2,2-Dichloropropane	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.92	92		0.90	90		2	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.90	90		0.88	88		2	
t-Amyl methyl ether (TAME)	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.88	88		0.84	84		5	
Chloroform	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.88	88		0.89	89 .		0	
Bromochloromethane	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.85	85		0.83	83		3	
Tetrahydrofuran	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.83	83		0.81	81		2	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.89	89		0.86	86		3	
1,1-Dichloropropene	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.86	86		0.85	85		2	
Carbon Tetrachloride	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.90	90		0.88	88		1	
1,2-Dichloroethane	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.91	91		0.87	87		4	
Benzene	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.85	85		0,83	83		3	
Trichloroethene	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.93	93		0.92	92		1	
1,2-Dichloropropane	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.92	92		0.90	90		2	
Bromodichloromethane	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.95	95		0.96	96		1	
Dibromomethane	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.94	94	Π	0.91	91		4	
2-Hexanone	5	70	130	15	0.00	3.61	72		3.54	71		2	
Methyl isobutyl ketone	5	70	130	15	0.00	4.06	81		4.07	81		0	
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.94	94		0.90	90		4	
Toluene	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.90	90		0.89	89		1	
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.83	83		0.78	78	Τ	5	
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.91	91		0.94	94	T	4	
1,3-Dichloropropane	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.88	88	<i>'</i>	0,87	87	Τ	1	
Tetrachloroethene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.01	101		0.99	99	Ι	2	
Dibromochloromethane	1	70	130	15	0,00	0.89	89		0.86	86		4	
1,2-Dibromoethane	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.89	89		0.88	88		2]
Chlorobenzene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.04	104	T	1.05	105	Τ	1	1

VOA FORM 3

VOLATILE ORGANIC AQUEOUS LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE DUPLICATE PERCENT RECOVERY

Instrument ID: 1 GC Column: RTX-502.2 Column ID: 0.25 mm

SDG: 61274 Non-spiked sample: B5050981 Spike: L5050981 Spike Duplicate L50509812

													-
	SPIKE	LOWER	UPPER	RPD	NON-SPIKE	SPIKE	SPIKE		SPIKE DUP	SPIKE DUP			
COMPOUND	ADDED	LIMIT	LIMIT	LIMIT	RESULT (ug/L)	RESULT (ug/L)	% REC	#	RESULT (ug/L)	% REC	#	RPD	#
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.02	102		1.02	102		0	
Ethylbenzene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.04	104	L	1,04	104		0	
m,p-Xylene	2	70	130	15	0.00	2.07	103	L	2.09	105		1	L
o-Xylene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.00	100		1.00	100		0	Į
Styrene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.01	101		1.00	100		1	
Bromoform	1	70	130	15	0,00	1.02	102		0.99	99		2	L
Isopropylbenzene	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.91	91	L	0.91	91		0	
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.99	99		0.97	97		1	
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	1	70	130	15	0.00	0,90	90		0.91	91		1	L
trans-1,4-Dichloro-2-butene	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.93	93		0.73	73		25	*
n-Propylbenzene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.00	100		1,01	101		1	
Bromobenzene	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.98	98		0.99	99		1	
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.03	103		1.01	101		2	
2-Chlorotoluene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.05	105		1.04	104		1	
4-Chlorotoluene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.01	101		1.01	101		0	
tert-butylbenzene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.01	101		0.99	99		1	
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.04	104		1.02	102		2	
sec-butylbenzene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.01	101		1.02	102		0	
p-isopropyltoluene	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.97	97		0.99	99		2	
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	<u>`</u> 1	70	130	15	0.00	1.00	100		0.98	98		2	
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.08	108		1.05	105		3	
n-butylbenzene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.08	108		1,11	111		_2	
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.10	110		1.10	110		0	
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.99	99		0.94	94		4	
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.02	102		0.98	98	_	4	_
Hexachlorobutadiene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1,06	106		1.05	105		1	┛
Naphthalene	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.97	97		0.97	97		0	
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.04	104		1.03	103	$ \downarrow$	1	_
1,3,5-Trichlorobenzene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.08	108		1.08	108		0	

Column to be used to flag recovery and RPD values outside of QC limits

* Values outside QC limits

Non-spike result of "0" used in place of "U" to allow calculation of spike recovery

Comments:

VOA FORM 3

Analytics Report 61274 page 0025 of 115

Data File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\050908-I\I29421Q.D Vial: 3 Vial: 3 Operator: Acq On : 9 May 2008 10:09 am Sample : L505098I Misc : 25000 Inst : GC/MS Ins Multiplr: 1.00 MS Integration Params: rteint.p Method : D:\HPCHEM\METHODS\V505048I.M (RTE Integrator) Title : 524.2 Purgable Organics Last Update : Fri May 09 15:39:25 2008 5.9.08 Pt.12 Response via : Multiple Level Calibration Min. RRF : 0.000 Min. Rel. Area : 50% Max. R.T. Dev 0.50min Max. RRF Dev : 30% Max. Rel. Area : 500%
 Compound
 AvgRF
 CCRF
 %Dev Area% Dev(mi

 1
 I
 Pentafluorobenzene
 1.000
 0.0
 0.127
 0.00

 2 TM
 Dichlorodifluoromethane
 1.706
 1.419
 16.8
 90
 0.00

 4 TMC
 Vinyl Chloride
 1.349
 1.242
 7.9
 99
 0.00

 6 TM
 Chloromethane
 0.968
 0.648
 12.4
 97
 0.00

 7 TM
 Fromomethane
 0.968
 0.724
 14.7
 88
 0.02

 8 TM
 Trichlorofluoromethane
 1.506
 1.289
 14.4
 88
 0.02

 9 TM
 Dicthyl ether
 0.317
 0.280
 11.7
 97
 0.02

 10 TM
 1.1.2-Trichlorotrifluoroeth
 0.896
 0.847
 5.5
 100
 0.02

 11 T
 Acctone
 0.100
 0.082
 18.0
 82
 0.00

 12 TM
 Acctone
 0.000
 0.000
 0.00
 0.00
 0.02

 12 T
 Macthylene
 Chl Compound AvgRF CCRF %Dev Area% Dev(min) IFluorobenzene1.0001.0000.01030.0040 TMTrichloroethene0.3410.3167.3910.00 (#) = Out of Range

I29421Q.D V505048I.M Fri May 09 15:50:44 2008

Data File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\050908-I\I29421Q.D Vial: 3 Operator: Acq On : 9 May 2008 10:09 am Sample : L50509 Misc : 25000 : L505098I Inst : GC/MS Ins Multiplr: 1.00 MS Integration Params: rteint.p Method : D:\HPCHEM\METHODS\V505048I.M (RTE Integrator) Title : 524.2 Purgable Organics Last Update : Fri May 09 15:39:25 2008 Response via : Multiple Level Calibration Min. RRF : 0.000 Min. Rel. Area : 50% Max. R.T. Dev 0.50min Max. RRF Dev : 30% Max. Rel. Area : 500% Compound AvgRF CCRF %Dev Area% Dev(min)
 Compound
 AvgRr
 CCRr
 Dev Areas Dev (minute)

 41 TMC
 1,2-Dichloropropane
 0.301
 0.277
 8.0
 90
 0.00

 42 T
 NO COMPOUND
 0.000
 0.000
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00

 43 T
 NO COMPOUND
 0.000
 0.000
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00

 44 T
 NO COMPOUND
 0.000
 0.000
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00

 46 TM
 Dibromomethane
 0.355
 0.339
 4.5
 94
 0.00

 46 TM
 Dibromomethane
 0.011
 0.00
 93
 0.02

 47 T
 1,4-Dioxane
 0.001
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00

 47 T
 NO COMPOUND
 0.000
 0.000
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00

 48 T
 NO COMPOUND
 0.000
 0.000
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00

 50 T
 NO COMPOUND
 0.000
 0.000
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00

 51 TM
 2-Hexanone
 0.036
 0.026

 62 TM
 1,2-Dibromoethane
 0.133
 0.119
 10.5
 90
 0.000

 63 I
 d5-Chlorobenzene
 1.000
 1.000
 0.0
 94
 0.00

 64 TMP
 Chlorobenzene
 1.060
 1.101
 -3.9
 96
 0.000

 65 TM
 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane
 0.335
 0.340
 -1.5
 94
 0.00

 65 TM
 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane
 0.335
 0.340
 -1.5
 94
 0.00

 66 TMC
 Ethylbenzene
 2.150
 2.242
 -4.3
 95
 0.00

 67 TM
 m,p-Xylene
 0.766
 0.792
 -3.4
 93
 0.00

 68 TM
 o-Xylene
 0.700
 0.699
 0.1
 91
 0.00

 69 TM
 Styrene
 1.074
 1.083
 -0.8
 94
 0.00

 70 TMP
 Bromoform
 0.116
 0.119
 -2.6
 97
 0.00

 71 TM
 Isopropylbenzene
 1.922
 1.748
 9.1
 83
 0.00

 72 TMP
 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane
 0.200
 0.217
 1.4
 91
 <t

(#) = Out of Range

I29421Q.D V505048I.M Fri May 09 15:50:44 2008

. ,	Data Acq Samp Misc MS J	a File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\050908 On : 9 May 2008 10:09 am ple : L505098I : 25000 Integration Params: rteint.p	-I\I2942	21Q.D	Vi Operat Inst Multip	al: 3 or: : GC/MS Ins lr: 1.00
	Meth Titl Last Resp	od : D:\HPCHEM\METHODS\ e : 524.2 Purgable Org Update : Fri May 09 15:39:2 oonse via : Multiple Level Cal	V505048I anics 5 2008 ibration	.M (RTE Into	egrator))
	Min. Max.	RRF : 0.000 Min. Rel. RRF Dev : 30% Max. Rel.	Area : Area :	50% Max. H 500%	l.T. Dev	7 0.50min
	<u></u>	Compound	AvgRF	CCRF	%Dev A	area% Dev(min)
81 82 83 84 85 86	TM TM T TM TM TM TM	tert-butylbenzene 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene NO COMPOUND sec-butylbenzene p-isopropyltoluene 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	1.257 1.450 0.000 2.052 1.559 0.670	1.266 1.509 0.000 2.080 1.516 0.669	-0.7 -4.1 0.0 -1.4 2.8 0.1	92 0.00 96 0.00 0# -0.48 92 0.00 90 0.00 94 _0.00
87 88 90 91 92 95 95 97 98	I TM TM T TM T TM TM TM TM TM	1,4-Dichlorobenzene-d4 1,4-Dichlorobenzene n-butylbenzene 1,2-Dichlorobenzene NO COMPOUND 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane NO COMPOUND 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene Hexachlorobutadiene Naphthalene 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	1.000 1.577 3.923 1.239 0.000 0.078 0.000 0.766 0.483 0.914 0.558 1.072	1.000 1.710 4.242 1.363 0.000 0.076 0.000 0.779 0.513 0.885 0.580 1.156	0.0 -8.4 -8.1 -10.0 0.0 2.6 0.0 -1.7 -6.2 3.2 -3.9 -7.8	92 0.02 96 0.00 93 0.00 95 0.00 0# -0.06 80 0.03 0# -0.06 92 0.00 94 0.02 91 0.02 96 0.00

(#) = Out of Range SPCC's out = 0 CCC's out = 0 I29421Q.D V505048I.M Fri May 09 15:50:44 2008

Data File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\050 Acq On : 9 May 2008 10:09 Sample : L505098I Misc : 25000 Integration Params: rteint. Quant Time: May 9 10:17 2008	9908-I\I29421Q. am p	D Oj I: Mi Quant Resul	Vial: 3 perator: nst : GC/MS ultiplr: 1.00 lts File: V5050	Ins 0481.RES
Quant Method : D:\HPCHEM\METHO Title : 524.2 Purgable Last Update : Mon May 05 12:4 Response via : Initial Calibra DataAcq Meth : V504258I	DS\V505048I.M Organics 2:08 2008 tion	(RTE Integ	sator) S.g.08	NY.12-02
Internal Standards	R.T. QIon	Response	Conc Units De	v(Min)
 Pentafluorobenzene Fluorobenzene d5-Chlorobenzene 1,4-Dichlorobenzene-d4 	7.48 168 8.89 96 13.69 117 17.54 152	228246 608280 366135 141798	4.00 ug/L 4.00 ug/L 4.00 ug/L 4.00 ug/L 4.00 ug/L	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.02
System Monitoring Compounds 28) DB Holder #28 Spiked Amount 4.000 R 36) 1,4-Difluorobenzene Spiked Amount 4.000 R 54) Bromofluorobenzene Spiked Amount 4.000 R 73) 1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4 Spiked Amount 4.000 R	9.02 114 ange 70 - 130 9.02 114 ange 70 - 130 15.63 95 ange 70 - 130 18.15 152 ange 70 - 130	460937 Recove: 460937 Recove: 163050 Recove: 115042 Recove:	3.74 ug/L ry = 93.508 3.74 ug/L ry = 93.508 3.12 ug/L ry = 78.008 3.48 ug/L ry = 87.008	0.00
<pre>'arget Compounds 2) Dichlorodifluoromethane 3) Chloromethane 4) Vinyl Chloride 5) Bromomethane 6) Chloroethane 8) Trichlorofluoromethane 9) Diethyl ether 10) 1,1,2-Trichlorotrifluoroet 12) Acetone 13) 1,1-Dichloroethene 15) Di-isopropyl ether (DIPE) 16) Methylene Chloride 17) Carbon Disulfide 18) Acrylonitrile 19) Methyl-tert-butyl ether (M 20) trans-1,2-Dichloroethene 21) 1,1-Dichloroethane 23) Methyl ethyl ketone 24) Ethyl t-butyl ether (ETBE) 25) 2,2-Dichloropropane 26) cis-1,2-Dichloroethene 27) t-Amyl methyl ether (TAME) 29) Chloroform 30) Bromochloromethane 32) 1,1,1-Trichloroethane 34) 1,1-Dichloropropane</pre>	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	54400 80987 70868 48375 41300 73564 16004 48323 23356 80212 151824 64633 176255 5104 129657 49871 93625 7206 104579 75467 49398 75373 82947 14891 72109 71418	Qv 0.80 ug/L 0.79 ug/L 0.94 ug/L 0.88 ug/L 0.85 ug/L 0.86 ug/L 0.94 ug/L 0.94 ug/L 3.55 ug/L 0.94 ug/L 0.93 ug/L 0.90 ug/L 0.72 ug/L 0.72 ug/L 0.74 ug/L # 1.75 ug/L 0.88 ug/L 0.90 ug/L 4.12 ug/L # 0.88 ug/L 0.90 ug/L 0.90 ug/L 0.90 ug/L 0.90 ug/L 0.88 ug/L 0.88 ug/L 0.88 ug/L 0.89 ug/L 0.89 ug/L 0.86 ug/L	alue 98 100 99 94 99 98 93 98 93 97 100 97 100 81 97 97 97 97 97 97 97 97 97 97 97

(#) = qualifier out of range (m) = manual integration I29421Q.D V505048I.M Fri May 09 10:17:11 2008

Data File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\050908-I\I29421Q.D Vial: 3 Acq On : 9 May 2008 10:09 am Operator: : L505098I Sample Inst : GC/MS Ins ™sc : 25000 Multiplr: 1.00 J Integration Params: rteint.p Quant Time: May 9 10:17 2008 Quant Results File: V505048I.RES Quant Method : D:\HPCHEM\METHODS\V505048I.M (RTE Integrator) Title : 524.2 Purgable Organics Last Update : Mon May 05 12:42:08 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : V504258I

	Compound	R.T.	QIon	Response	Conc Unit	Qvalue
3	35) Carbon Tetrachloride	8.29	119	56118	0.90 uq/L	 96
3	37) 1,2-Dichloroethane	8.54	62	40865	0.91 ug/L	97
3	38) Benzene	8.55	78	184634	0.85 ug/L	96
· 4	0) Trichloroethene	9.50	95	48104	0.93 ug/L	98
4	1) 1,2-Dichloropropane	9.77	63	42197	0.92 ug/L	99
4	5) Bromodichloromethane	10.12	83	51553	0.95 ug/L	99
4	6) Dibromomethane	10.19	93	16942	0.94 ug/L	96
4	7) 1,4-Dioxane	10.20	88	3717	22.92 ug/L	86
5	1) 2-Hexanone	12.04	58	19640	3.61 ug/L	93
5	2) Methyl isobutyl ketone	10.69	58	25842	4.06 ug/L	97
5	3) cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	10.98	75	57172	0.94 ug/L	98
5	5) Toluene .	11.46	92	107336	0.90 ug/L	99
5	6) trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	11.76	75	36739	0.83 ug/L	100
(∴ ≥5	8) 1,1,2-Trichloroethane	12.00	83	17428	0.91 ug/L	92
`~_`5	9) 1,3-Dichloropropane	12.38	76	37077	0.88 ug/L	90
6	0) Tetrachloroethene	12,48	166	42410	1.01 ug/L	99
6	1) Dibromochloromethane	12.77	129	24413	0.89 ug/L	89
6	 1,2-Dibromoethane 	13.09	107	18087	0.89 ug/L	98
6	4) Chlorobenzene	13.75	112	100780	1.04 ug/L	94
6.	5) 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	13.83	131	31131	1.02 ug/L	98
6	6) Ethylbenzene	13.85	91	205181	1.04 ug/L	98
6'	7) m,p-Xylene	13.98	106	144979	2.07 ug/L	99
6	8) o-Xylene	14.66	106	64024	1.00 ug/L	100
63	9) Styrene	14.72	104	99125	1.01 ug/L	97
7(0) Bromoform	15.23	173	10852	1.02 ug/L	97
71	1) Isopropylbenzene	15.24	105	160027	0.91 ug/L	95
72	2) 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	15.50	83	19852	0.99 ug/L	89
74	4) 1,2,3-Trichloropropane	15.74	75	13235	0.90 ug/L	95
75	5) trans-1,4-Dichloro-2-buten	15,88	88	2577	0.93 ug/L #	48
76	5) n-Propylbenzene	15,88	91	233902	1.00 ug/L	97
77	7) Bromobenzene	15.93	156	29564	0.98 ug/L #	78
78	3) 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	16.14	105	138973	1.03 ug/L	100
79	9) 2-Chlorotoluene	16.15	91	147472	1.05 ug/L	95
80)) 4-Chlorotoluene	16.23	91	124821	1.01 ug/L	98
81	L) tert-butylbenzene	16.72	119	115904	1.01 ug/L	97
82	2) 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	16.78	105	138156	1.04 ug/L	97
84	l) sec-butylbenzene	17.06	105	190423	1.01 ug/L	99
85	5) p-isopropyltoluene	17.29	119	138801	0.97 ug/L	96
~ 86	5) 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	17.42	146	61210	1.00 ug/L	97
_/88) 1,4-Dichlorobenzene	17.59	146	60636	1.08 ug/L	99
89) n-butylbenzene	17.96	91	150362	1.08 ug/L	95
 (#)	= qualifier out of range (m)	= manua		egration		

I29421Q.D V505048I.M

Fri May 09 10:17:11 2008

Data File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\050908-I\I29421Q.D Vial: 3 Acq On : 9 May 2008 10:09 am Operator: : L505098I Sample Inst : GC/MS Ins **isc : 25000 Multiplr: 1.00 Integration Params: rteint.p Quant Time: May 9 10:17 2008 Quant Results File: V505048I.RES Quant Method : D:\HPCHEM\METHODS\V505048I.M (RTE Integrator) Title : 524.2 Purgable Organics Last Update : Mon May 05 12:42:08 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : V5042581

	Compound	R.T.	QIon	Response	Conc Unit	Qvalue
90)	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	18.18	146	48307	1.10 ug/L	90
92)	1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropan	19.49	75	2711	0.99 ug/L	88
94)	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	20.93	180	27610	1.02 ug/L	95
95)	Hexachlorobutadiene	21.18	225	18194	1.06 ug/L	93
96)	Naphthalene	21.34	128	31378	0.97 ug/L	95
97 <u>)</u>	1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	21.77	180	20561	1.04 ug/L	94
98)	1,3,5-Trichlorobenzene	19.88	180	40974	1.08 ug/L	95

(#) = qualifier out of range (m) = manual integration I29421Q.D V505048I.M Fri May 09 10:17:11 2008

Page 3

Analytics Report 61274 page 0031 of 115



Analytics Report 61274 page 0032 of 115

Da Ac Sar - Mis MS	ta File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\05090 q On : 9 May 2008 10:17 a mple : L505098I2 sc : 25000 Integration Params: rteint.p	08-I\I294 am	122Q.D	Vial: Operator: Inst : Multiplr:	4 GC/MS Ins 1.00
Met Tit Las Res	chod : D:\HPCHEM\METHODS cle : 524.2 Purgable Or st Update : Fri May 09 15:39: sponse via : Multiple Level Ca	V505048 ganics 25 2008 libratio	I.M (RTE In	tegrator)	.9.08 At 2.1
Min Max	n. RRF : 0.000 Min. Rel A. RRF Dev : 30% Max. Rel	. Area : . Area :	50% Max. 500%	R.T. Dev ().50min
	Compound	AvgRF	CCRF	%Dev Area	* Dev(min)
1 I 2 TM 3 TMP 4 TMC 5 TM 6 TM 7 TM 8 TM 9 TM 10 TM 11 T 12 TM 13 TMC 7 T 10 TM 13 TMC 7 TM 18 TM 20 TM 21 TMP 22 T 23 TM 24 TM 25 TM 26 TM 27 TM 28 S 29 TMC 30 TM 31 TM 32 TM 33 T 34 TM 35 TM 38 TM	Pentafluorobenzene Dichlorodifluoromethane Chloromethane Vinyl Chloride Bromomethane Chloroethane t-Butyl alcohol (TBA) Trichlorofluoromethane Diethyl ether 1,1,2-Trichlorotrifluoroeth Acrolein Acetone 1,1-Dichloroethene Methyl iodide Di-isopropyl ether (DIPE) Methylene Chloride Carbon Disulfide Acrylonitrile Methyl-tert-butyl ether (MT trans-1,2-Dichloroethene 1,1-Dichloroethane Vinyl acetate Methyl ethyl ketone Ethyl t-butyl ether (ETBE) 2,2-Dichloropropane cis-1,2-Dichloroethene t-Amyl methyl ether (TAME) DB Holder #28 Chloroform Bromochloromethane Tetrahydrofuran 1,1.Trichloroethane NO COMPOUND 1,1-Dichloropene Carbon Tetrachloride 1,4-Difluorobenzene 1,2-Dichloroethane Benzene	1.000 1.196 1.706 1.349 0.968 0.849 0.031 1.506 0.252 0.100 1.507 0.000 2.958 1.410 3.360 0.120 1.299 0.998 1.823 0.000 0.031 2.082 1.445 0.958 1.508 2.158 1.643 0.305 0.094 1.416 0.000 1.416 0.000 1.447 1.099 2.158 0.787 3.790	1.000 0.912 1.338 1.144 0.812 0.687 0.025 1.235 0.264 0.809 0.020 0.081 1.353 0.000 2.534 1.152 2.974 0.101 2.176 0.836 1.566 0.000 0.024 1.757 1.296 0.846 1.262 1.988 1.461 0.254 0.086 1.222 0.000 1.230 0.971 1.988 0.685 3.133	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.01 0.02 0.01 0.00
40 TM	Fluorobenzene Trichloroethene	1.000	1.000	0.0 102	0.00
(#) = 0	ut of Range		U.JIZ		U.UL

129422Q.DV505048I.MFri May 09 15:53:07 2008

 Data File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\050908-1\129422Q.D
 vial: 4

 Acq On : 9 May 2008 10:17 am
 Operator:

 Sample : L50509812
 Inst : GC/MS Ins

 Misc : 25000
 Multiplr: 1.00

 MS Integration Params: rteint.p Method : D:\HPCHEM\METHODS\V505048I.M (RTE Integrator) Title : 524.2 Purgable Organics Last Update : Fri May 09 15:39:25 2008 Response via : Multiple Level Calibration Min. RRF : 0.000 Min. Rel. Area : 50% Max. R.T. Dev 0.50min Max. RRF Dev : 30% Max. Rel. Area : 500%
 Compound
 AvgRF
 CCRF
 %Dev Area% Dev(mir.

 41 TMC
 1,2-Dichloropropane
 0.301
 0.271
 10.0
 87
 0.01

 41 TMC
 1,2-Dichloropropane
 0.301
 0.271
 10.0
 87
 0.01

 41 T
 NO COMPOUND
 0.000
 0.000
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 Compound AvgRF CCRF %Dev Area% Dev(min)

(#) = Out of Range

I29422Q.D V505048I.M Fri May 09 15:53:07 2008

Data File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\050908-I\I29422Q.D Vial: 4 Acq On : 9 May 2008 10:17 am Operator: Sample : L50509 Misc : 25000 : L505098I2 Inst : GC/MS Ins Multiplr: 1.00 MS Integration Params: rteint.p : D:\HPCHEM\METHODS\V505048I.M (RTE Integrator) Method Title : 524.2 Purgable Organics Last Update : Fri May 09 15:39:25 2008 Response via : Multiple Level Calibration Min. RRF : 0.000 Min. Rel. Area : 50% Max. R.T. Dev 0.50min Max. RRF Dev : 30% Max. Rel. Area : 500% Compound AvgRF CCRF %Dev Area% Dev(min)

 81 TM
 tert-butylbenzene
 1.257
 1.250
 0.6
 88
 0.00

 82 TM
 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene
 1.450
 1.485
 -2.4
 92
 0.00

 83 T
 NO COMPOUND
 0.000
 0.000
 0.0
 0#
 -0.48

 84 TM
 sec-butylbenzene
 2.052
 2.086
 -1.7
 90
 0.00

 85 TM
 p-isopropyltoluene
 1.559
 1.540
 1.2
 89
 0.00

 86 TM
 1,3-Dichlorobenzene
 0.670
 0.657
 1.9
 90
 0.01

 87 I
 1,4-Dichlorobenzene-d4
 1.000
 1.000
 0.0
 89
 0.01

 88 TM
 1,4-Dichlorobenzene
 1.577
 1.662
 -5.4
 91
 0.00

 89 TM
 n-butylbenzene
 3.923
 4.338
 -10.6
 92
 0.00

 90 TM
 1,2-Dichlorobenzene
 1.239
 1.368
 -10.4
 93
 0.00

 91 T
 NO COMPOUND
 0.000
 0.000
 0.0
 0#
 -0.06

 92 TM
 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane
 0.078
 0.073
 6.4
 74
 0.01

 92 TM
 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene
 0.766
 0.749
 2.2
 85
 0.01

 95 TM
 Hexachlorobutadiene
 0.483
 0.506
 -4.8
 90
 0.01

 96 TM
 Naphthalene
 0.914
 0.887
 3.0
 98
 0.01

 95 TMHexachlorobutadiene0.4830.506-4.8900.0196 TMNaphthalene0.9140.8873.0880.0197 TM1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene0.5580.576-3.2920.0198 TM1,3,5-Trichlorobenzene1.0721.156-7.8950.00

(#) = Out of Range SPCC's out = 0 CCC's out = 0 I29422Q.D V505048I.M Fri May 09 15:53:08 2008

Data File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\050 Acq On : 9 May 2008 10:17 Sample : L50509812 Misc : 25000 Integration Params: rteint. Quant Time: May 9 15:52 2008	9908-I\I29 'am P	9422Q.I) In Mu Quant Resul	Vial: erator: st : ltiplr: ts File:	4 GC/MS 1.00 V50504	Ins 48I.RES
Quant Method : D:\HPCHEM\METHO Title : 524.2 Purgable Last Update : Mon May 05 12:4 Response via : Initial Calibra DataAcq Meth : V504258I	DS\V50504 Organics 2:08 2008 tion	8I.M (RTE Integr	ator)	戌.12	S .
Internal Standards	R.T.	QIon	Response	Conc Un	its Dev	(Min)
 Pentafluorobenzene Fluorobenzene d5-Chlorobenzene 1,4-Dichlorobenzene-d4 	7.48 8.89 13.70 17.54	168 96 117 152	228560 600652 355782 137628	4.00 4.00 4.00 4.00	ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L	0.00 0.00 0.01 0.01
System Monitoring Compounds 28) DB Holder #28 Spiked Amount 4.000 F 36) 1,4-Difluorobenzene Spiked Amount 4.000 F 54) Bromofluorobenzene Spiked Amount 4.000 F 73) 1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4 Spiked Amount 4.000 R	9.02 Range 70 9.02 Range 70 15.63 Range 70 18.14 Range 70	114 - 130 114 - 130 95 - 130 152 - 130	454266 Recover 454266 Recover 159538 Recover 112332 Recover	3.68 1 3.68 1 3.09 1 3.50 1 Y = 3.50 1	19/L 92.00% 19/L 92.00% 19/L 77.25% 19/L 87.50%	0.00
<pre>Particle Particular Particul</pre>	1.82 2.08 2.19 2.72 2.82 3.15 3.58 4.06 5.93 4.85 4.83 5.06 4.85 4.83 5.06 5.15 5.35 6.05 6.78 6.59 6.96 7.02 8.37 7.27 7.52 7.90 8.15		52088 76442 65363 46411 39270 70575 15057 46201 23278m 77333 144812 65825 169953 5789 124317 47794 89505 6826 100375 74067 48368 72111 83456 14489 69843 70294	0.76 u 0.74 u 0.85 u 0.81 u 0.82 u 0.83 u 0.90 u 3.53 u 0.90 u 0.86 u 0.84 u 0.84 u 0.84 u 0.86 u 0.84 u 0.86 u 0.84 u 0.86 u 0.84 u 0.84 u 0.86 u 0.84 u 0.89 u 0.84 u 0.84 u 0.85 u 0.85 u	Qv; g/L g/L g/L g/L g/L g/L g/L g/L g/L g/L	alue 98 99 95 98 93 93 93 98 95 98 95 98 90 95 98 92 100 97 92 93 100 97 92 93 100 93 96 98
(#) = qualifier out of range (m I29422Q.D V505048I.M Fri	ı) = manua May 09 15	l inte :53:11	gration 2008		Pag	je l

.

Data File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\050908-I\I29422Q.D Vial: 4 Acq On : 9 May 2008 10:17 am Operator: Sample : L505098I2 Inst : GC/MS Ins Misc : 25000 Multiplr: 1.00 Integration Params: rteint.p Quant Time: May 9 15:52 2008 Quant Results File: V505048I.RES Quant Method : D:\HPCHEM\METHODS\V505048I.M (RTE Integrator) Title : 524.2 Purgable Organics Last Update : Mon May 05 12:42:08 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : V504258I

··· ···	Compound	R.T.	QIon	Response	Conc Unit	Qvalue
35) Carbon Tetrachloride	8.29	119	55511	$0.88 \mu \sigma / T_{\rm c}$	97
37) 1.2-Dichloroethane	8.53	62	39150	0.87 ug/L	95
38) Benzene	8.54	78	178996	0.83 ug/L	98
40) Trichloroethene	9.51	95	46835	0.92 ug/L	.98
41) 1.2-Dichloropropane	9.77	63	40705	0.90 ug/L	. 99
45) Bromodichloromethane	10.12	83	51205	0.96 ug/L	96
46) Dibromomethane	10.20	93.	16120	0.91 ug/L	97
47) 1.4-Dioxane	10.21	88	3249	20.29 ug/T	97
51) 2-Hexanone	12.04	58	19001m	3.54 ug/L	
52) Methyl isobutyl ketone	10.69	58	25556	4.07 ug/L	98
53) cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	10.98	75	54465	0.90 ug/L	96
55) Toluene	11.46	92	104699	0.89 ug/L	99
56	trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	11.76	75	34435	0.78 ug/L	99
~ 58	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	11.99	83	17942	0.94 ug/L	96
- 59)	1,3-Dichloropropane	12.39	76	36133	0.87 ug/L	97
60)	Tetrachloroethene	12.47	166	41150	0.99 ug/L	94
61)	Dibromochloromethane	12.78	129	23252	0.86 ug/L	96
62)	1,2-Dibromoethane	13.09	107	17565	0.88 ug/L	96
.64)	Chlorobenzene	13.75	112	99093	1.05 ug/L	93
65)	1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	13.83	131	30320	1.02 ug/L	99
66)	Ethylbenzene	13.84	91	199790	1.04 ug/L	96
67)	m,p-Xylene	13.97	106	142484	2.09 ug/L	99
68)	o-Xylene	14.65	106	62505	1.00 ug/L	100
69)	Styrene	14.71	104	95502	1.00 ug/L	99
70)	Bromoform	15,22	173	10293	0.99 ug/L	94
71)	Isopropylbenzene	15.23	105	155730	0.91 ug/L	96
72)	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	15.51	83	19020	0.97 ug/L	93
74)	1,2,3-Trichloropropane	15.74	75	12938	0.91 ug/L #	.87
75)	trans-1,4-Dichloro-2-buten	15.88	88	1877	0.73 ug/L #	57
76)	n-Propylbenzene	15.89	91	230249	1.01 ug/L	99
77)	Bromobenzene	15.92	156	28945	0.99 ug/L	94
78)	1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	16.14	105	132939	1.01 ug/L	98
79)	2-Chlorotoluene	16.15	91	141709	1.04 ug/L	95
80)	4-Chlorotoluene	16.23	91	121157	1.01 ug/L	98
81)	tert-butylbenzene	16.71	119	111209	0.99 ug/L	95
82)	1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	16.77	105	132098	1.02 ug/L	98
84)	sec-butylbenzene	17.06	105	185530	1.02 ug/L	99
85)	p-isopropyltoluene	17.28	119	136953	0.99 ug/L	97
(86)	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	17.42	146	58447	0.98 ug/L	98
)88)	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	17.59	146	57171	1.05 ug/L	95
89)	n-butylbenzene	17.95	91	149272	1.11 ug/L	95

(#) = qualifier out of range (m) = manual integration I29422Q.D V505048I.M Fri May 09 15:53:11 2008

Data File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\050908-I\I29422Q.D Vial: 4 Acq On : 9 May 2008 10:17 am Operator: Sample : L50509812 Inst : GC/MS Ins Misc : 25000 Multiplr: 1.00 Integration Params: rteint.p Quant Time: May 9 15:52 2008 Quant Results File: V505048I.RES Quant Method : D:\HPCHEM\METHODS\V505048I.M (RTE Integrator) Title : 524.2 Purgable Organics Last Update : Mon May 05 12:42:08 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : V5042581

	Compound	R.T.	QIon	Response	Conc Unit	Qvalue
90) 92) 94) 95) 96)	1,2-Dichlorobenzene 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropan 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene Hexachlorobutadiene Naphthalene	18.18 19.47 20.93 21.18 21 34	146 75 180 225 128	47056 2521 25754 17423 30521	1.10 ug/L 0.94 ug/L 0.98 ug/L 1.05 ug/L	97 # 69 97 99
97) 98)	1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene 1,3,5-Trichlorobenzene	21.78 19.88	180 180	19822 39764	1.03 ug/L 1.08 ug/L	97 100

(#) = qualifier out of range (m) = manual integration I29422Q.D V505048I.M Fri May 09 15:53:11 2008 Page 3

Analytics Report 61274 page 0038 of 115


Analytics Report 61274 page 0039 of 115



I29422Q.D V505048I.M

Mon May 12 13:39:10 2008

Analytics Report 61274 page 0040 of 115



I29422Q.D V505048I.M

Mon May 12 13:39:17 2008

Analytics Report 61274 page 0041 of 115

GC/MS Volatiles Instrument: Ι

environmental laboratory LLC

IS only " Exp: ----

SS+15 V7201 Exp: ¥ 3/6/08 Voltage <u>1706</u>

SUN	08-7	_
SUN	00-1	

				D.	50100	Г	hresho	Id <u>100</u>		
Tube	Method	Sample	e	Vol	DF	Comments	,	File #	LB	pН
		W-I-0504	08-1-AK	2						
1	V5050481	FHANK	1.1	25mL				129304	AK	NA
2		EFB 25.	10 A 213			· · ·		051		\downarrow
3		O PPB STD	,					COS		<u> </u>
U		OZPPB 1						075		<u> </u>
5		0.5PPB							\	<u> </u>
6		1. OPPB						092	<u>\</u>	<u> </u>
7		2.0PPB				·		105	1	<u> </u>
8		5.0PPB				······································			<u> </u>	<u> </u>
9		10PPB						<u> </u>	<u></u>	
10		20PPB V				<u>,</u>		13		
		Blank	<u> </u>							┨──┤──
12		BFB25na	17213	10.1				[]	<u> </u>	╞──┼──
13		1505048	I Y Y	7218				160	<u> </u>	
<u>1</u> Ū		1505048	<u>I2</u>	5721	<u> </u>			<u> </u>	2	
15		<u>B505048</u>	JE					184)	
16		61203-1	1					[9]		22
17			5					- 20		66
18		(21		52
19			7							CL
20			8					2		22
21			9					- 24		42
22	2	61197-7						- 75		22
V	3	V -8				-		- 26		42
74		G1200-Z						27		42
25		-3						28		42
20		-1						29		22
\overline{Z}	L	-4						- 30		12
28	5							31		22
ক	,	1-7						32		62
3	2	-6						33		<u> 22</u>
2	51	V -8	d					34		<i>(</i> 2

Analytics Report 61274 page 0042 of 115^{3ion: 04/30/02}

[29]

GC/MS Volatiles Instrument: I nalytik

IS only Exp: ______ SS+IS V727 Exp: x 5/21/08 Voltage 1706 Threshold 100

 \mathbb{P}^{c}

050908-I

ube	Method	Sample	Vol	l DF	Comments	File #	LB	pН
		W-I-050908-1-A	i k					
1 -	VSUULBI	BEB 7500 WYT	21375		V	1294197	AK	NA
r		BLANK)				420		
3		L505098I 1.200	12000		V	ZIQ		
u		LS0509877125	11226			220		
5	-	B505098+	VI KO		/	136		$\uparrow \downarrow$
G	1505048I	61274-2				24		67.
2		61276-5				25		17
R A		1 - 1		1 、	V	26		$\overline{0}$
犷		-2			·	27		17
10	·	-3			/	28		12
11	1	V -4			MM-2.Sml	29	2	<1
2	Ti Ii	61274-1		j	4	30		1<7
13	1	61214-4				31		(7.
#		_1-5				32		+
IS		-6			9.08	33		1
16		V-7				24		
王		61257-1-				35		
4		61274-1,DL	1.25	mi.	1 Archin Sc	- 32	Sam	(2
1		61276-4.th	1		1 ame is 1	33		<2
		61276-1 MS	25m		19-25	34 8	5P	<7
7		1 - 1 MSN	L		Cop in	35 8	ip .	0
2	- 6	-1714- BLANK		A		26		
$\frac{1}{q}$		GIAU-5			/	27		27
- <u>-</u>		1-6		· ·	/	38		0
21		-7		`>	/	0		
27		61757-1			$A \rightarrow 1$	27 K UD		(2
2	-+	$\alpha_{1} c_{2} \tau^{-1}$	16.0		DUI OF WMOON-KR	Wint 70		<u>`</u>
2		UNCI I	- Ynig		areas a start of 1	<u>4/</u> Un	·	0
4		<u>01.7×10-1</u>	┟┼╊	K	121 x	7/-		~
2		- 2		<u> </u>	V LUW	75		14
	<u> </u>	`						

Analytics Report 61274 page 0043 of 115_{04/30/02}

VOLATILE ORGANIC INSTRUMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK BROMOFLUOROBENZENE (BFB)

Instrument ID: I GC Column: RTX-502.2 Column ID: 0.25 mm Heated Purge (Y/N): N SDG: 61274 BFB File ID: 129305T.D BFB Injection Date: 05/04/08 BFB Injection Time: 11:48

LAB SAMPLE ID	DATA FILE ID	DATE & TIME ANALYZED
0.1PPB STD	129306SI.D	5/4/08 12:33
0.2PPB STD	I29307SI.D	5/4/08 13:06
0.5PPB STD	I29308SI.D	5/4/08 13:39
1.0PPB STD	I29309SI.D	5/4/08 14:12
2.0PPB STD	I29310SI.D	5/4/08 14:45
5.0PPB STD	I29311SI.D	5/4/08 15:51
10PPB STD	I29312SI.D	5/4/08 16:24
20PPB STD	I29313SI.D	5/4/08 16:57
		、 、

Refer to the BFB report I29305T.D for ion ratio evaluation.

VOA FORM 5

Analytics Report 61274 page 0044 of 115

Data File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\050408-I\I29305T.D Vial: 2 Acq On : 4 May 2008 11:48 am Operator: Sample : BFB 25NG Inst : GC/MS In. Misc : 25000 Multiplr: 1.00 MS Integration Params: rteint.p : D:\HPCHEM\METHODS\V504258I.M (RTE Integrator) Method NG.06.08 Title : 524.2 Purgable Organics



Spectrum Information: Scan 1410

Target Mass	Rel. to Mass	Lower Limit%	Upper Limit%	Rel. Abn%	Raw Abn	Result Pass/Fail	
50 75 95 96 173 174 175 176 177	95 95 95 174 95 174 174 174 176	15 30 100 5 0.00 50 5 95 5	40 60 100 9 2 100 9 101 9	23.0 53.1 100.0 6.9 0.0 63.3 8.2 95.3 7.1	2979 6890 12972 898 0 8210 674 7827 558	PASS PASS PASS PASS PASS PASS PASS PASS	
			·				

I29305T.D V504258I.M

Sun May 04 12:00:16 2008

Analytics Report 61274 page 0045 of 115

DrD

VOLATILE ORGANIC INSTRUMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK BROMOFLUOROBENZENE (BFB)

Instrument ID: I GC Column: RTX-502.2 Column ID: 0.25 mm Heated Purge (Y/N): N

SDG: 61274 BFB File ID: I29419T.D BFB Injection Date: 05/09/08 BFB Injection Time: 09:00

LAB SAMPLE ID	DATA FILE ID	DATE & TIME ANALYZED
L505098I	I29421Q.D	5/9/08 10:09
L50509812	I29422Q.D	5/9/08 10:17
B505098I	I29423B.D	5/9/08 11:23
61274-2	I29424.D	5/9/08 11:56
61274-1	I29430.D	5/9/08 15:15
61274-1, DL	I29432.D	5/9/08 16:27

Refer to the BFB report I29419T.D for ion ratio evaluation.

VOA FORM 5

Analytics Report 61274 page 0046 of 115

Data File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\050908-I\I29419T.D Vial: 1 : 9 May 2008 9:00 am Operator: Acq On : BFB 25NG : GC/MS Ins Inst Sample Misc : 25000 Multiplr: 1.00 MS Integration Params: rteint.p : D:\HPCHEM\METHODS\V505048I.M (RTE Integrator) Method NF.12.08 : 524.2 Purgable Organics Title Jun 5.9.08 TIC: 129419T.D Abundance 100000 80000 60000 40000



Spectrum Information: Scan 1412

Target Mass	Rel. to Mass	Lower Limit%	Upper Limit%	Rel. Abn%	Raw Abn	Result Pass/Fail
50 75 95 173 174 175 176	95 95 95 174 95 174 174 174	15 30 100 5 0.00 50 5 95	40 60 100 9 2 100 9 101	25.7 55.1 100.0 5.9 0.0 67.2 6.9 98.4	5850 12537 22768 1337 0 15309 1059 15058	PASS PASS PASS PASS PASS PASS PASS PASS
 177	176	5	9	5.5	821	PASS

I29419T.D V505048I.M

Fri May 09 09:03:29 2008

BFB

	Met Tit Las Res	hod : D:\HE le : 524.2 t Update : Mon M ponse via : Initi	CHEM\MET Purgablo ay 05 12 al Calib:	HODS = 01 :42 :tati	S\V50 rgani :08_2 Lon	05048I Lcs 2008	.M (RT	E Integ	grator N	r.08	6.06.0 ⁸
	Cal 0.1 1	ibration Files =I29306SI.D =I29309SI.D	0.2 = 5 =	=I29 =I29	9307S 9311S	SI.D SI.D	0.5 10	=I293 =I293	808SI.I 812SI.I)	J
-	···· ··· ··	Compound	. 0	1	0.2	0.5	5 1	5	10	Avg	*RS]
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9) 10) 12) 14) 15) 10) 12) 14) 15) 10) 12) 14) 15) 10) 12) 14) 15) 10) 12) 23) 22) 23) 22) 23) 22) 23) 22) 23) 22) 23) 22) 23) 33) 3) I TM TM TM TM TM TM TM TM TM TM TM TM TM	Pentafluorobenz Dichlorodifluor P Chloromethane C Vinyl Chloride Bromomethane t-Butyl alcohol Trichlorofluoron Diethyl ether 1,1,2-Trichlorot Acrolein Acetone C 1,1-Dichloroethe Methyl iodide Di-isopropyl eth Methylene Chlori Carbon Disulfide Acrylonitrile Methyl-tert-buty trans-1,2-Dichlor (1,1-Dichloroetha Vinyl acetate Methyl ethyl ket Ethyl t-butyl et 2,2-Dichloroprop cis-1,2-Dichloro t-Amyl methyl et DB Holder #28 Chloroform Bromochlorometha Tetrahydrofuran 1,1,1-Trichloroe NO COMPOUND 1,1-Dichloroprope Carbon Tetrachlor 1,4-Difluorobenzen Fluorobenzene	ene omet l.61 (TB neth crif ene de ler de le roe ne one her ane eth her her cha ene cha ene cha	1 2 7 1 0 1 0 0 1 2 3 0 1 0 1 2 3 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0	.193 .286 .601 .181 .815 .390 .347 .800 .229 .388 .753 .120 .219 .125 .260 .993 736 .963 444 875 400 180 511 298 365 318 987 180 511 298 365	1.259 1.927 1.404 1.087 0.901 0.038 1.566 0.319 0.992 0.246 0.139 1.624 3.076 1.701 3.545 0.155 1.368 1.030 1.915 0.032 2.056 1.608 0.982 1.502 2.164 1.687 0.308 0.127 1.469 1.504 1.157 2.164 0.830 3.966	- ISTD 1.264 1.764 0.931 0.980 0.031 1.638 0.267 0.111 1.602 3.023 1.324 3.510 0.125 1.959 0.031 2.148 1.577 0.986 1.512 2.166 1.739 0.295 0.118 1.512 2.166 1.739 0.295 0.118 1.551 1.173 2.166 0.843 3.996 ISTD	4 1.230 4 1.490 0.693 0.872 3 0.847 3 0.847 3 0.29 1.539 0.316 0.926 0.268 0.096 1.574 3.118 0.938 3.415 0.126 1.347 0.995 1.873 0.032 2.199 1.448 0.989 1.602 2.194 1.708 0.317 0.083 1.459 1.501 1.125 2.194 0.821 3.838	1.128 1.164 0.862 0.817 0.028 1.491 0.309 0.883 0.261 0.087 1.487 2.992 0.893 3.340 0.103 1.305 0.998 1.795 0.030 2.129 1.379 0.977 1.556 2.137 1.653 0.313 0.081 1.413 1.469 1.111 2.137 0.763 3.768	1.196 1.706 1.236 0.968 0.849 0.031 1.506 0.317 0.896 0.252 0.100 1.507 0.000 2.958 1.410 3.360 0.120 1.299 0.998 1.823 0.000 0.252 1.416 0.001 2.082 1.445 0.958 1.508 2.158 1.508 2.158 1.643 0.305 0.094 (.416 0.000 1.416 0.000 1.416 0.000 1.416 0.000 1.417 1.099 2.158 0.787 3.790	$\begin{array}{c} 6.61\\ 22.54\\ 12.81\\ 6.09\\ 14.51\\ 6.49\\ 5.15\\ 7.48\\ 5.46\\ 22.67\\ 7.41\\ -1.00\\ 5.83\\ 14.64\\ 4.36\\ 3.15\\ 6.19\\ -1.00\\ 4.24\\ 5.24\\ 8.94\\ 4.40\\ 4.52\\ 2.06\\ 5.63\\ 4.40\\ 4.52\\ 2.06\\ 5.63\\ 4.40\\ 4.52\\ 2.06\\ 5.63\\ 4.40\\ 4.52\\ 2.06\\ 5.63\\ 4.12\\ 5.71\\ -1.00\\ 6.01\\ 6.14\\ 2.06\\ 8.83\\ 4.12\\ \end{array}$
40) (1) ()	TM TMC T	Trichloroethene 1,2-Dichloropropa NO COMPOUND	ne	0.2	313 (289 ().363).318	0.359 0.318	0.340 (0.303 (0.341 ().302 ((0.341 0.301 0.000	4.86 4.40# -1.00

response racrot report GC/MS THR

V505048I.M

(#) = Out of Range ### Number of calibration levels exceeded format Mon May 05 12:45:00 2008

Page 1

		Re	sponse	Fact	or Rep	port G	C/MS I	ins		
•	Met Tit Las Resj	hod : D:\HPCHEM\l le : 524.2 Purga t Update : Mon May 05 ponse via : Initial Ca	METHODS able Or 12:42: librati	V50 gani 08 2 on	5048I. cs 008	M (RTE	Integ	rator)		
	Cal: 0.1 1	ibration Files =I29306SI.D 0.2 =I29309SI.D 5	=I29 =I29	307S 311S	I.D I.D	0.5 10	=I293 =I293	08SI.D 12SI.D		
_		Compound	0.1	0.2	0.5	1	5	10	Avg	%RSI
- 43 44 45 46 47 48 40 50 52 53 52 53 55 55 55 55 57 55 57 55 57 59 () 57 52 53 () 55 57 50 57 52 53 () 55 52 () 55)() 55 () 55 () 55)() 55 () 55)() 55 () 55)() T) TM) TM) TM) T) T) T) T) TM) TM	NO COMPOUND NO COMPOUND Bromodichloromethan Dibromomethane 1,4-Dioxane NO COMPOUND NO COMPOUND NO COMPOUND 2-Hexanone Methyl isobutyl ket cis-1,3-Dichloropro Bromofluorobenzene Toluene trans-1,3-Dichlorop NO COMPOUND 1,1,2-Trichloroetha 1,3-Dichloropropane Tetrachloroethene Dibromochloromethan 1,2-Dibromoethane		. 303 .108 .367 .331 .770 .253 .121 .274 .247 .159 .115	0.361 0.124 0.001 0.027 0.039 0.385 0.334 0.791 0.286 0.129 0.267 0.281 0.179 0.127	0.372 0.118 0.001 0.030 0.040 0.400 0.327 0.801 0.287 0.125 0.277 0.283 0.179 0.136	0.371 0.122 0.001 0.040 0.044 0.419 0.368 0.785 0.317 0.130 0.282 0.279 0.188 0.141	0.369 0.121 0.001 0.041 0.045 0.425 0.359 0.794 0.316 0.129 0.289 0.289 0.289 0.289 0.192 0.143	0.000 0.000 0.355 0.118 0.001 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.036 0.042 0.401 0.343 0.781 0.293 0.000 0.127 0.276 0.277 0.181 0.133	-1.00 -1.00 6.82 4.47 8.06 -1.00 -1.00 16.16 5.76 5.00 4.45 2.38# 7.50 -1.00 2.52 2.72 5.85 6.12 7.52
63) 64) 65) 66) 67) 68) 70) 70) 72) 73) 72) 73) 74) 75) 75) 76) 77) 78) 79) 80) 81) 82) 83)	I TMP TM TM TM TM TM TM TM TM TM TM TM TM TM	d5-Chlorobenzene Chlorobenzene 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloro Ethylbenzene m,p-Xylene o-Xylene Styrene Bromoform Isopropylbenzene 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloro 1,2-Dichlorobenzene 1,2,3-Trichloroprop trans-1,4-Dichloro- n-Propylbenzene Bromobenzene 1,3,5-Trimethylbenz 2-Chlorotoluene tert-butylbenzene 1,2,4-Trimethylbenz NO COMPOUND sec-butylbenzene p-isopropyltoluene	0. 0. 1. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	965 310 959 668 627 881 095 644 190 332 223 045 229 225 302 181 060 207 662	1.108 0.346 2.218 0.787 0.708 1.051 0.108 1.959 0.215 0.340 0.142 0.027 2.656 0.320 1.460 1.510 1.331 1.218 1.418 2.042 1.524	-ISTD 1.085 0.341 2.237 0.806 0.728 1.084 0.115 1.997 0.224 0.340 0.149 0.028 2.671 0.337 1.513 1.584 1.404 1.302 1.480 2.131 1.583	1.063 0.338 2.183 0.781 0.724 1.148 0.125 2.055 0.237 0.402 0.160 0.032 2.703 0.351 1.625 1.688 1.466 1.394 1.618 2.287 1.744	1.079 0.342 2.196 0.790 0.721 1.149 0.127 1.995 0.232 0.376 0.152 0.031 2.615 1.556 1.587 1.396 1.314 1.509 1.509 1.509	1.060 0.335 2.150 0.766 0.700 1.074 0.116 1.922 0.220 0.361 0.160 0.031 2.555 0.330 1.478 1.534 1.257 1.450 0.000 2.052 1.559	4.31 3.66 4.39# 6.06 5.01 8.62 9.82 6.98 7.02 6.97 17.91 20.15 6.43 5.43 8.46 7.92 6.75 8.24 8.63 -1.00 9.39 9.05

Response ractor Repoi	rt GC/MS ins
-----------------------	--------------

	Meth Titl Last Resp	od : D:\HPCHEM\METH e : 524.2 Purgable Update : Mon May 05 12: onse via : Initial Calibr	ODS\V50 Organi 42:08 2 ation	5048I. cs 008	M (RTE	Integ	rator)		
	Cali 0.1 1	bration Files =I29306SI.D 0.2 = =I29309SI.D 5 =	I29307S I29311S	I.D I.D	0.5 10	=I293 =I293	08SI.D 12SI.D		
		Compound 0.	1 0.2	0.5	l	5	10	Avg	%RSI
86)	TM	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	0.560	0.637	0.670	0.749	0.708	0.670	8.89
87)	I	1,4-Dichlorobenzene-d			-ISTD				
88)	TM	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	1.553	1.634	1.629	1.559	1.576	1.577	2.54
89)	TM	n-butylbenzene	3.447	4.013	4.172	3.992	4.025	3.923	5.82
90)	ΤM	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	1.092	1.303	1.309	1.240	1.263	1.239	5.90
91)	Т	NO COMPOUND						0.000	-1.00
92)	TM	1,2-Dibromo-3-chlor	0.101	0.081	0.088	0.069	0.069	0.078	17.24
93)	Т	NO COMPOUND						0.000	-1.00
94)	TM	1,2,4-Trichlorobenz	0.660	0.744	0.779	0.796	0.798	0.766	7.56
95)	ΤM	Hexachlorobutadiene	0.418	0.497	0.502	0.488	0.491	0.483	6.60
96)	TM	Naphthalene	0.726	0.796	0.892	0.992	1.014	0.914	13.82
97)	TM	1,2,3-Trichlorobenz	0.443	0.531	0.555	0.594	0.612	0.558	11.32
98)	TM	1,3,5-Trichlorobenz	0.892	1.090	1.087	1.108	1.117	1.072	8.56

(#) = Out of Range ### Number of calibration levels exceeded format ### V505048I.M Mon May 05 12:45:01 2008 Page 3

Analytics Report 61274 page 0050 of 115



Analytics Report 61274 page 0051 of 115



Analytics Report 61274 page 0052 of 115



Analytics Report 61274 page 0053 of 115



Analytics Report 61274 page 0054 of 115



Analytics Report 61274 page 0055 of 115



Analytics Report 61274 page 0056 of 115

Data File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\05040 Acq On : 4 May 2008 12:33 p Sample : 0.1PPB STD Misc : 25000 S Integration Params: rteint.p Quant Time: May 6 13:51 2008	8-I\I29 m	306SI. Q	D Ope Ins Mul yuant Result	Vial: erator: st : Ltiplr: s File	3 GC/MS 1.00 :: V505	5 Ins 50481.RES
Quant Method : D:\HPCHEM\METHODS Title : 524.2 Purgable Or Last Update : Mon May 05 12:42: Response via : Initial Calibratio DataAcq Meth : V505048I	\V50504 ganics 08 2008 on	8I.M (RTE Integra	ntor) N N	pt-o	<i>.</i> .%
Internal Standards	R.T.	QIon	Response	Conc U	nits I)ev(Min)
 Pentafluorobenzene Fluorobenzene d5-Chlorobenzene 1,4-Dichlorobenzene-d4 	7.47 8.88 13.68 17.52	168 96 117 152	214621 615691 398534 149545	4.00 4.00 4.00 4.00	ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00
System Monitoring Compounds 28) DB Holder #28 Spiked Amount 4.000 Ran 36) 1,4-Difluorobenzene Spiked Amount 4.000 Ran 54) Bromofluorobenzene Spiked Amount 4.000 Ran 73) 1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4 Spiked Amount 4.000 Ran	8.99 nge 70 8.99 nge 70 15.61 nge 70 18.12 nge 70	114 - 130 114 - 130 95 - 130 152 - 130	461565 Recover 461565 Recover 194635 Recover 130628 Recover	3.99 Y = 3.99 Y = 3.68 Y = 3.63 Y =	ug/L 99.7 ug/L 99.7 ug/L 92.0 ug/L 90.7	-0.02 5° -0.02 5° 0.00 0° -0.02 5°
Target Compounds						Qvalue
<pre>2) Dichlorodifluoromethane 3) Chloromethane 4) Vinyl Chloride 5) Bromomethane 6) Chloroethane 7) t-Butyl alcohol (TBA) 8) Trichlorofluoromethane 9) Diethyl ether 10) 1,1,2-Trichlorotrifluoroet 11) Acrolein 12) Acetone 13) 1,1-Dichloroethene 15) Di-isopropyl ether (DIPE) 16) Methylene Chloride 17) Carbon Disulfide 18) Acrylonitrile 19) Methyl-tert-butyl ether (M 20) trans-1,2-Dichloroethene 21) 1,1-Dichloroethane 23) Methyl ethyl ketone 24) Ethyl t-butyl ether (ETBE) 25) 2,2-Dichloropropane 26) cis-1,2-Dichloroethene 27) t-Amyl methyl ether (TAME) 29) Chloroform</pre>	1.82 2.08 2.19 2.71 4.22 3.15 3.58 3.58 3.76 3.80 3.76 3.88 4.05 5.92 4.82 5.04 5.15 5.33 6.77 6.59 6.92 7.00 8.38 7.26	850 62 94 501 105 41 63 45 40 53 57 63 29 76 33 73 83	6608 20532 10864 6558 5597 689 8876 2533 5506 1336 9029 9754 18843 26519 21320 967 8969 6396 11504 1300 12621 9877 6065 9478 9364	0.10 0.18 Below 0.13 0.12 0.41 0.11 0.15 0.11 0.10 1.08 0.12 0.12 0.12 0.12 0.12 0.12 0.13 0.12 0.12 0.12 0.12 0.11 0.13 0.12 0.12 0.11	ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L	98 98 98 98 98 98 98 98 98 98 98 98 97 96 97 96 97 996 93 897 996 93 897 996 93 897 996 93 897 996 93 897 996 93 897 996 93 897 996 93 897 996 93 897 996 997 996 998 998 998 998 998 998 998 998 998
() 30) Bromochloromethane	7.51	128 	1730	0.11	ug/L #	89
(#) = qualifier out of range (m) I29306SI.D V505048I.M Tue I	= manu May 06	al int 13:51:	egration 17 2008			Page 1

Data File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\050408-I\I29306SI.D Vial: 3 Acq On : 4 May 2008 12:33 pm Operator: Inst : GC/MS Ins : 0.1PPB STD Sample _Misc : 25000 Multiplr: 1.00 S Integration Params: rteint.p Quant Results File: V505048I.RES - Quant Time: May 6 13:51 2008 Quant Method : D:\HPCHEM\METHODS\V505048I.M (RTE Integrator) : 524.2 Purgable Organics Title Last Update : Mon May 05 12:42:08 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration

DataAcq Meth : V505048I

	Compound	R.T.	QIon	Response	Conc Unit	Qvalue
) Tetrahvdrofuran	7.58	 42	327	Below Cal	# 46
32	1.1.1-Trichloroethane	7.88	97	8677	0.11 ug/L	96
34	1.1-Dichloropropene	8.13	75	8996	0.12 ug/L	90
35	Carbon Tetrachloride	8.27	119	6321	0.11 ug/L	97
37	1.2-Dichloroethane	8.51	62	4915	0.12 ug/L	96
38	Benzene	8.53	78	24020	0.12 ug/L	97
40	Trichloroethene	9.49	95	5816	0.11 ug/L	89
41	1 2-Dichloropropane	9.75	63	5415	0.12 ug/L	91
45	Bromodichloromethane	10.11	83	5799	0.11 ug/L	94
46	Dibromomethane	10.18	93	2098	0.12 ug/L	· 79
47	1 4-Dioxane	10.18	88	101	0.62 ug/L	# 1
51	2-Hexanone	12.05	58	2565	0.47 ug/L	94
52	Methyl isobutyl ketone	10.69	58	3371	0.52 ug/L	93
53)	cis-1.3-Dichloropropene	10.97	75	7586	0.12 ug/L	99
55	Toluene	11.45	92	15393	0.13 ug/L	98
56)	trans-1.3-Dichloropropene	11.74	75	4702	0.10 ug/L	88
58)	1.1.2-Trichloroethane	11.97	83	2360	0.12 ug/L	94
59)	1.3-Dichloropropane	12.37	76	4790	0.11 ug/L	94
60)	Tetrachloroethene	12.45	166	4894	0.11 ug/L	96
61)	Dibromochloromethane	12.76	129	2731	0.10 ug/L	100
62)	1.2-Dibromoethane	13.08	107	2121	0.10 ug/L	# 71
64)	Chlorobenzene	13.73	112	12532	0.12 ug/L	83
65)	1.1.1.2-Tetrachloroethane	13.81	131	3712	0.11 ug/L	90
66)	Ethylbenzene	13.83	91	23508	0.11 ug/L	91
67)	m.p-Xvlene	13.95	106	18158	0.24 ug/L	91
68)	o-Xvlene	14.64	106	8075	0.12 ug/L	98
69)	Styrene	14.70	104	10769	0.10 ug/L	94
70)	Bromoform	15.22	173	1198	0.10 ug/L	79
71)	Tsopropylbenzene	15.22	105	20249	0.11 ug/L	99
72)	1.1.2.2-Tetrachloroethane	15,49	83	2658	0.12 ug/L	# 81
76)	n-Propylbenzene	15.86	91	26852	0.11 ug/L	99
77)	Bromobenzene	15.91	156	3804	0.12 ug/L	86
78)	1.3.5-Trimethylbenzene	16.13	105	14245	0.10 ug/L	95
79)	2-Chlorotoluene	16.13	91	16161	0.11 ug/L	93
80)	4-Chlorotoluene	16.22	91	15100	0.11 ug/L	92
81)	tert-butvlbenzene	16.69	119	12284	0.10 ug/L	98
82)	1.2.4-Trimethylbenzene	16.76	105	14702	0.10 ug/L	94
84)	sec-butylbenzene	17.04	105	20874	0.10 ug/L	96
85)	p-isopropyltoluene	17.27	119	15831	0.10 ug/L	94
86)	1.3-Dichlorobenzene	17.41	146	7061	0.11 ug/L	89
88)	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	17.57	146	6851	0.12 ug/L	86
 (#)	= qualifier out of range (m)	= manu	al int	tegration	- 	

I29306SI.D V505048I.M Tue May 06 13:51:17 2008

Page 2

Vial: 3 Data File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\050408-I\I29306SI.D Acq On : 4 May 2008 12:33 pm Operator: : 0.1PPB STD Sample Inst : GC/MS Ins Multiplr: 1.00 Misc : 25000 'S Integration Params: rteint.p Quant Results File: V505048I.RES Quant Time: May 6 13:51 2008 Quant Method : D:\HPCHEM\METHODS\V505048I.M (RTE Integrator) : 524.2 Purgable Organics Title Last Update : Mon May 05 12:42:08 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : V505048I

	Compound	R.T.	QIon	Response	Conc Unit	Qvalue
89)	n-butylbenzene	17.93	91 146	16147	0.11 ug/L	90 91
92)	1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropan	19.46	75	234	0.12 ug/L 0.08 ug/L	# 1
94) 95)	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene Hexachlorobutadiene	20.92 21.16	180 225	2283	0.11 ug/L 0.13 ug/L	86 94
96) 97)	Naphthalene 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	21.33 21.76	128 180	3242 2352	0.09 ug/L 0.11 ug/L	99 94
98)	1,3,5-Trichlorobenzene	19.87	180	4179	0.10 ug/L	95

(#) = qualifier out of range (m) = manual integration I29306SI.D V505048I.M Tue May 06 13:51:17 2008 Page 3

Analytics Report 61274 page 0059 of 115

	Misc MS In	ntegra	: 25 tion	000 Pa:	ram	s: rt	eint	.p				0110		Dog	Mu	1t:	iplr:	1.0	0	0
	Quan	c rime	: May	Y	6 I.	3:51	2008					Qua	nt	Resi	ι⊥τ	Sł	file:	V50	504	8
	Metho	bd		D:`	\HPC	CHEM\	METH	ODS'	V5 0	5048	I.M	(R	ΓE	Inte	egr	ato	or)			
	Last	: Updat	e :	524 Moi	4.∠ n Ma	Purg ay 05	able 12:	42:0	Janı)8 2	cs 008										
	Respo	onse v	ia :	In	itia	il Ca	libr	atic	n TICO I	020601	D							11 11 11		
	580000								110.12											
	560000																			
	540000																			
	520000			*			7													
	500000						enzene								ne-d4,					
	480000						guncos Buncos				anazna				obėnze					
	460000						ardf280				herebe		Ś		Dichlon					
	440000						-Badde				-d5-G		enzene		1 4, [‡]	-d4,S				
	420000						IG .						luorob			enzene				
	400000												Brompt			chlarob				
	380000															1,2-Dic				
	360000												÷E.							
. 1 . 1	340000																			
	320000																			
	300000					nzene,														
	280000					uorobe														
	260000					Pentafl														
	240000														-					
	220000	u an M																		
	200000																			ň
	180000					W	A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR A													
	160000					ethane	The second								· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	140000 🔍					moroti					IM		MI		W	W				
	120000	e,TM	MT.	Σ	M	romod M ,TM			M		intene.		AP Manual	MT,ar	Izene,	'euezu	E.			
	ethane Mether Mether Mether Methe Mether	ane,TM pethan	TM'	HIE).T	NBE), T	Tane, Ti	A B,TMC	ne,TM re,TM sne,TM	Dene.	The TM	and the	Re.TM	TTM	bEMzei	lorober M	ilorobe	ropane ane,TN	ne,TM Te,TM	ne,TM	
	문물 단말 00008	omethe Minut	T/BA)7 arbon E Xlethe	ther d	MC (E	Iloroett Voene	ene, Th	d ketor roprop	TMC	strane, methar ane, TA	PLANE.	TM thenthe	lloroet	enzen	Tene.T	2-010	thlorop obenze	benzel	benzel	
	60000	ether.T	Control (TRANGE	form, T	1-Trict	toraeth chlaraf	Isobuty Dichlo	atuene 3-Dict.	Ichicago Ichiono Imoeth	EIN	Anterna	Letract	divthid.	utylben.		mo-3-c	tichtarc thloroth	richlarc	
	40000	Chierro	Butyral Within	Piliege	LEINIE Discussion Chloro	(Saturday	1,2-Di	Methyl Methyl cis-1,3-	T trans-1 thb2ah	1.3-016 Dibromo		Styr.	4.0	tert Sec	1.5-L		,2-Dibro 1,3,5-7	1,2,4-Th Hand	1,2,3-Tr	
	20000						I		A	1		hA I		411	4					
1	ò	-M.A.M	mMIAL	www.www.	NAM		with	Mucha	1th An	Masharm	1 11-2	ա ۷Ն/կ	M # []#	Arrey Lul.	11 541	Lurver,	materia	mark	Norm	

Analytics Report 61274 page 0060 of 115

Data File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\05 Acq On : 4 May 2008 1:0 Sample : 0.2PPB STD Misc : 25000 S Integration Params: rteint Quant Time: May 6 13:51 200	0408-I\I29 6 pm .p 8	307SI. Ç	D Ope Ins Mul Quant Result	Vial: erator: st : ltiplr: cs File:	4 GC/MS 1.00 V5050	Ins 48I.RES
Quant Method : D:\HPCHEM\METH Title : 524.2 Purgable Last Update : Mon May 05 12: Response via : Initial Calibra DataAcq Meth : V505048I	ODS\V50504 Organics 42:08 2008 ation	8I.M (RTE Integra	A A	Rf 00-09	b
Internal Standards	R.T.	QIon	Response	Conc Un	its De	v(Min)
 Pentafluorobenzene Fluorobenzene d5-Chlorobenzene 1,4-Dichlorobenzene-d4 	7.47 8.87 13.68 17.52	168 96 117 152	203410 590218 387821 146498	$ \begin{array}{r} 4.00\\ 4.00\\ 4.00\\ 4.00\\ 4.00 \end{array} $	ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L	0.00 -0.01 0.00 0.00
System Monitoring Compounds 28) DB Holder #28 Spiked Amount 4.000 36) 1,4-Difluorobenzene Spiked Amount 4.000 54) Bromofluorobenzene Spiked Amount 4.000 73) 1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4 Spiked Amount 4.000	9.00 Range 70 9.00 Range 70 15.61 Range 70 18.12 Range 70	114 - 130 114 - 130 95 - 130 152 - 130	443360 Recover 443360 Recover 195496 Recover 128851 Recover	4.04 y = 2 4.04 y = 2 3.86 y = 3.68 y = 3.68	ug/L 101.00% ug/L 101.00% ug/L 96.50% ug/L 92.00%	-0.01 -0.01 -0.00 -0.01
(Target Compounds					Ov	√ value
<pre>2) Dichlorodifluoromethane 3) Chloromethane 4) Vinyl Chloride 5) Bromomethane 6) Chloroethane 7) t-Butyl alcohol (TBA) 8) Trichlorofluoromethane 9) Diethyl ether 10) 1,1,2-Trichlorotrifluoro 11) Acrolein 12) Acetone 13) 1,1-Dichloroethene 15) Di-isopropyl ether (DIPE 16) Methylene Chloride 17) Carbon Disulfide 18) Acrylonitrile 19) Methyl-tert-butyl ether 20) trans-1,2-Dichloroethene 21) 1,1-Dichloroethane 23) Methyl ethyl ketone 24) Ethyl t-butyl ether (ETBH 25) 2,2-Dichloropropane 26) cis-1,2-Dichloroethene 27) t-Amyl methyl ether (TAME 29) Chloroform</pre>	1.82 2.07 2.19 2.71 2.82 4.23 3.14 3.58 3.14 3.58 3.77 3.87 4.04) 5.93 4.84 4.82 5.07 (M 5.15 5.34 6.03 6.77 5.34 6.03 6.77 5.93 7.00 8.38 7.25	$ \begin{array}{r} 85 \\ 50 \\ 62 \\ 94 \\ 59 \\ 101 \\ 56 \\ 41 \\ 45 \\ 45 \\ 73 \\ 63 \\ 72 \\ 77 \\ 97 \\ 73 \\ 63 \\ 72 \\ 79 \\ 73 \\ 83 \\ 73 \\ 63 \\ 72 \\ 79 \\ 73 $	12137 23248 16450 12015 8285 1146 14137 3529 8139 2331 8928 14112 28003 31730 32739 1172 12817 10100 17660 1618 19968 14683 8998 14243 15366	0.20 u 0.22 u 0.09 u 0.24 u 0.19 u 0.72 u 0.18 u 0.18 u 0.18 u 0.18 u 0.18 u 0.19 u 0.	19999999999999999999999999999999999999	97 98 94 99 92 60 90 92 94 82 99 96 93 96 93 954 79 954 79 91 89 299 80 88
30) Bromochloromethane	7.50	128	3035	0.20 ug	у, <u>—</u> g/L 	<u>90</u>
(#) = qualifier out of range (I29307SI.D V505048I.M Tu	m) = manua e May 06 1	l inte 3:51:1	egration L9 2008		Pa	ige 1

Vial: 4 Data File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\050408-I\I29307SI.D Operator: Acq On : 4 May 2008 1:06 pm Sample : 0.2PPF Misc : 25000 Inst : GC/MS Ins : 0.2PPB STD Multiplr: 1.00 S Integration Params: rteint.p Quant Results File: V505048I.RES - Quant Time: May 6 13:51 2008 Quant Method : D:\HPCHEM\METHODS\V505048I.M (RTE Integrator) : 524.2 Purgable Organics Title Last Update : Mon May 05 12:42:08 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : V505048I

	Compound	R.T.	QIon	Response	Conc Unit	Qvalue
31)	Tetrahydrofuran	7.58	42	234	Below Cal	# 1
32)	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	7.88	97	13884	0.19 ug/L	87
34)	1,1-Dichloropropene	8.13	75	13403	0.18 ug/L	89
35)	Carbon Tetrachloride	8.27	119	10041	0.18 ug/L	. 98
37)	1,2-Dichloroethane	8.52	62	8020	0.20 ug/L	95
38)	Benzene	8.53	78	36934	0.19 ug/L	96
40)	Trichloroethene	9.49	95	9233	0.18 ug/L	86
41)	1,2-Dichloropropane	9,75	63	8515	0.19 ug/L	99
45)	Bromodichloromethane	10.10	83	8956	0.17 ug/L	90
46)	Dibromomethane	10.17	93	3182	0.18 ug/L	94
47)	1,4-Dioxane	10.18	88	168	1.07 ug/L	# 1
51)	2-Hexanone	12.05	58	2365	0.45 ug/L	# 50
52)	Methyl isobutyl ketone	10.68	58	4849	0.79 ug/L	93
53)	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	10.96	75	10816	0.18 ug/L	97
55)	Toluene	11.45	92	22736	0.20 ug/L	96
56)	trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	11.74	75	7457	0.17 ug/L	98
58)	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	11.97	83	3563	0.19 ug/L	92
59)	1,3-Dichloropropane	12.37	76	8075	0.20 ug/L	91
60)	Tetrachloroethene	12.46	166	7295	0.18 ug/L	87
61)	Dibromochloromethane	12.75	129	4693	0.18 ug/L	99
62)	1,2-Dibromoethane	13.07	107	3402	0.17 ug/L	86
64)	Chlorobenzene	13.74	112	18717	0.18 ug/L	# 81
65)	1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	13.81	131	6018	0.19 ug/L	93
66)	Ethylbenzene	13.83	91	37987	0.18 ug/L	94
67)	m,p-Xylene	13.95	106	25898	0.35 ug/L	87
68)	o-Xylene	14.64	106	12152	0.18 ug/L	88
69)	Styrene	14.70	104	17087	0.16 ug/L	92
70)	Bromoform	15.21	173	1843	0.16 ug/L	100
71)	Isopropylbenzene	15.22	105	31883	0.17 ug/L	93
72)	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	15.49	83	3687	0.17 ug/L	98
74)	1,2,3-Trichloropropane	15.72	75	4324	0.28 ug/L	# 91
75)	trans-1,4-Dichloro-2-buten	15.88	88	866	0.38 ug/L	# 2
76)	n-Propylbenzene	15.87	91	43224	0.17 ug/L	97
77)	Bromobenzene	15.91	156	5724	0.18 ug/L	93
78)	1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	16.13	105	23749	0.17 ug/L	99
79)	2-Chlorotoluene	16.13	91	25244	0.17 ug/L	92
80)	4-Chlorotoluene	16.22	91	22900	0.18 ug/L	95
81)	tert-butylbenzene	16.69	119	20548	0.17 ug/L	94
82)	1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	16.75	105	23401	0.17 ug/L	92
84)	sec-butylbenzene	17.04	105	32228	0.16 ug/L	95
85)	p-isopropyltoluene	17.27	119	24821	0.16 ug/L	98
 (#) =	gualifier out of range (m)	 = manu	al int	tegration		

I29307SI.D V505048I.M Tue May 06 13:51:19 2008

 $\left(\begin{array}{c} \cdot \end{array} \right)$

Page 2

Data File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\050408-I\I29307SI.D Vial: 4 Acq On : 4 May 2008 1:06 pm Operator: Sample : 0.2PPB STD Inst : GC/MS Ins Misc : 25000 Multiplr: 1.00 S Integration Params: rteint.p Quant Time: May 6 13:51 2008 Quant Results File: V505048I.RES Quant Method : D:\HPCHEM\METHODS\V505048I.M (RTE Integrator) : 524.2 Purgable Organics Title Last Update : Mon May 05 12:42:08 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : V505048I Compound

	compound	R.T.	QTON	Response	Cond Unit	Qvalue
86)	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	17.40	146	10853	0.17 ug/L	96
88)	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	17.57	146	11372	0.20 ug/L	96
89)	n-butylbenzene	17.95	91	25250	0.18 ug/L	92
90)	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	18.17	146	7996	0.18 ug/L	96
92)	1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropan	19.44	75	743	0.26 ug/L	# 40
94)	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	20.92	180	4834	0.17 ug/L	84
95)	Hexachlorobutadiene	21.16	225	3062	0.17 ug/L	90
96)	Naphthalene	21.33	128	5317	0.16 ug/L	88
97)	1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	21.76	180	3247	0.16 ug/L	87
98)	1,3,5-Trichlorobenzene	19.87	180	6531	0.17 ug/L	86

Analytics Report 61274 page 0063 of 115

(#) = qualifier out of range (m) = manual integration

Page 3



Analytics Report 61274 page 0064 of 115

Data File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\05 Acq On : 4 May 2008 1:3 Sample : 0.5PPB STD Misc : 25000 S Integration Params: rteint Quant Time: May 5 12:44 200	0408-I\I29 9 pm .p 8	3085I. Ç	D Ope: Inst Mult Quant Results	Vial: rator: t : tiplr: s File:	5 GC/MS 1.00 : V5050	Ins 48I.RES
Quant Method : D:\HPCHEM\METH Title : 524.2 Purgable Last Update : Mon May 05 12: Response via : Initial Calibr DataAcq Meth : V505048I	ODS\V50504 Organics 42:08 2008 ation	8I.M (RTE Integrat	A P	PH-00-08	7
Internal Standards	R.T.	QIon	Response (Conc Ur	its De	v(Min)
 Pentafluorobenzene Fluorobenzene d5-Chlorobenzene 1,4-Dichlorobenzene-d4 	7.47 8.88 13.68 17.52	168 96 117 152	206030 593719 392042 153524	$\begin{array}{c} 4.00 \\ 4.00 \\ 4.00 \\ 4.00 \\ 4.00 \end{array}$	ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00
System Monitoring Compounds 28) DB Holder #28 Spiked Amount 4.000 36) 1,4-Difluorobenzene Spiked Amount 4.000 54) Bromofluorobenzene Spiked Amount 4.000 73) 1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4 Spiked Amount 4.000	8.99 Range 70 8.99 Range 70 15.61 Range 70 18.12 Range 70	114 - 130 114 - 130 95 - 130 152 - 130	445753 Recovery 445753 Recovery 198226 Recovery 133153 Recovery	4.01 4.01 3.89 3.76 	ug/L 100.25% ug/L 100.25% ug/L 97.25% ug/L 94.00%	-0.02 -0.02 -0.02 -0.00 -0.02
<pre>Target Compounds 2) Dichlorodifluoromethane 3) Chloromethane 4) Vinyl Chloride 5) Bromomethane 6) Chloroethane 8) Trichlorofluoromethane 9) Diethyl ether 10) 1,1,2-Trichlorotrifluoro 12) Acetone 13) 1,1-Dichloroethene 15) Di-isopropyl ether (DIPE 16) Methylene Chloride 17) Carbon Disulfide 18) Acrylonitrile 19) Methyl-tert-butyl ether 20) trans-1,2-Dichloroethene 21) 1,1-Dichloroethane 23) Methyl ethyl ketone 24) Ethyl t-butyl ether (ETB 25) 2,2-Dichloropropane 26) cis-1,2-Dichloroethene 27) t-Amyl methyl ether (TAM 29) Chloroform 30) Bromochloromethane 32) 1,1,1-Trichloroethane </pre>	1.82 2.08 2.19 2.72 2.81 3.15 3.58 3.78 3.88 4.05 5.92 4.83 4.05 5.92 4.83 4.82 5.07 (M 5.14 5.33 6.04 6.77 E) 6.59 6.93 7.00 E) 8.36 7.26 7.50 7.88	85 50 62 94 101 74 101 43 61 45 84 73 73 63 79 77 63 79 77 83 82 77 96 73 82 97 77 75	32426 49628 41225 28007 23211 40330 8217 25540 17905 41822 79229 43797 91301 3979 35220 26529 49310 4069 52939 41413 25282 38673 43447 7927 37844 28721	0.53 0.52 0.52 0.52 0.52 0.52 0.53 0.52 0.55 0.52 0.54 0.52 0.52 0.52 0.53 0.53 0.53 0.53 0.53 0.52 0.53 0.53 0.53 0.53 0.52 0.53 0.53 0.52 0.53 0.52 0.53 0.52 0.53 0.52 0.53 0.52 0.53 0.52 0.53 0.52 0.53 0.52 0.53 0.52 0.53 0.52 0.53 0.52 0.53 0.52 0.53 0.52 0.53 0.52 0.53 0.52 0.53 0.55	Q ug/L ug/	ralue 100 95 97 97 98 99 86 95 99 96 91 96 91 96 97 99 96 91 96 97 88 99 99 99 88 99
<pre>(#) = gualifier out of range</pre>	8.14 (m) = manua	75 al int	38721 eqration	0.52 u	ıg/ь	94

I29308SI.D V505048I.M Mon May 05 12:44:41 2008

Data File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\050408-I\I29308SI.D Vial: 5 Acq On : 4 May 2008 1:39 pm Operator: Sample : 0.5PPB STD Inst : GC/MS Ins Misc : 25000 Multiplr: 1.00 S Integration Params: rteint.p Quant Time: May 5 12:44 2008 Quant Results File: V505048I.RES Quant Method : D:\HPCHEM\METHODS\V505048I.M (RTE Integrator) Title : 524.2 Purgable Organics Last Update : Mon May 05 12:42:08 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : V505048I

Com <u>r</u>	bound	R.T.	QIon	Response	Conc Unit	Qvalue
35) Carb	on Tetrachloride	8.27	 119	29809	0.53 ug/L	 98
37) 1,2-	Dichloroethane	8.52	62	21366	0.53 ug/L	97
38) Benz	ene	8.53	78	102152	0.52 ug/L	100
40) Tric	hloroethene	9.49	95	26929	0.53 ug/L	99
41) 1,2-	Dichloropropane	9.75	63	23569	0.53 ug/L	95
45) Brom	odichloromethane	10.11	83	26795	0.51 ug/L	93
46) Dibr	omomethane	10.18	93	9210	0.52 ug/L	94
53) cis-	1,3-Dichloropropene	10.96	75	28585	0.48 ug/L	95
55) Tolu	ene	11.45	92	58724	0.51 ug/L	97
56) tran	s-1,3-Dichloropropene	11.74	75	21223	0.49 ug/L	95
58) 1,1,	2-Trichloroethane	11.97	83	9569	0.51 ug/L	99
59) 1;3-	Dichloropropane	12.37	76	19845	0.48 ug/L	93
60) Tetr	achloroethene	12.45	166	20843	0.51 ug/L	97
61) Dibr	omochloromethane	12.76	129	13309	0.50 ug/L	96
62) 1,2-3	Dibromoethane	13.07	107	9420	0.48 ug/L	94
64) Chlo	robenzene	13.74	112	54297	0.52 ug/L	96
65) 1,1,1	1,2-Tetrachloroethane	13.80	131	16960	0.52 ug/L	93
66) Ethy	lbenzene	13.83	91	108682	0.52 ug/L	99
67) m,p-2	Xylene	13.95	106	77132	1.03 ug/L	95
68) o-Xy	lene	14.64	106	34677	0.51 ug/L	1.00
69) Styre	ene	14.70	104	51488	0.49 ug/L	95
70) Bromo	oform	15.20	173	5312	0.47 ug/L	87
71) Isopi	ropylbenzene	15.22	105	95992	0.51 ug/L	98
72) 1,1,2	2,2-Tetrachloroethane	15.49	83	10520	0.49 ug/L	94
74) 1,2,3	-Trichloropropane	15.72	75	6980	0.45 ug/L	97
75) trans	-1,4-Dichloro-2-buten	15.85	88	1324	0.51 ug/L =	₩ 2.0
76) n-Pro	pylbenzene	15.86	91	130158	0.52 ug/L	98
77) Bromo	benzene	15.91	156	15705	$0.49 ug/T_{1}$	99
78) 1,3,5	-Trimethylbenzene	16.13	105	71528	$0.49 \mu g/L$	96
79) 2-Chl	orotoluene	16.13	91	73993	0.49 ug/L	96
80) 4-Chl	orotoluene	16.22	91	65230	0.49 ug/L	99
81) tert-	butylbenzene	16.69	119	59699	0.48 ug/L	95
82) 1,2,4	-Trimethylbenzene	16.76	105	69509	0.49 ug/L	99
84) sec-b	outylbenzene	17.05	105	100083	0.50 ug/L	100
85) p-isc	propvltoluene	17.27	119	74669	0.49 ug/L	99
86) 1.3-D	ichlorobenzene	17.40	146	31236	0.48 ug/L	97
88) 1,4-D	ichlorobenzene	17.57	146	31353	0.52 ug/L	95
89) n-but	vlbenzene	17.94	91	77016	0.51 ug/L	93
90) 1.2-D	ichlorobenzene	18,17	146	25004	0.53 ug/L	96
92) 1.2-D	ibromo-3-chloropropan	19.47	75	1561	0.52 ug/L	84
94) 1,2.4	-Trichlorobenzene	20,91	180	14269	$0.49 u \sigma/T_{1}$	91

(#) = qualifier out of range (m) = manual integration

I29308SI.D V505048I.M Mon May 05 12:44:41 2008

Page 2

Data File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\050408-I\I29308SI.D Vial: 5 Acq On : 4 May 2008 1:39 pm Operator: : 0.5PPB STD Sample Inst : GC/MS Ins Misc : 25000 Multiplr: 1.00 'S Integration Params: rteint.p Quant Time: May 5 12:44 2008 Quant Results File: V505048I.RES Quant Method : D:\HPCHEM\METHODS\V505048I.M (RTE Integrator) Title : 524.2 Purgable Organics Last Update : Mon May 05 12:42:08 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : V505048I Compound **m** TD - -----A

		F.	QTON	Response	CONC UNIC	Qvarue
95)	Hexachlorobutadiene	21.16	225	9540	0.51 ug/L	
96)	Naphthalene	21.32	128	15274	0.44 ug/L	95
97)	1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	21.76	180	10192	$0.48 \mathrm{uq/L}$	99
98)	1,3,5-Trichlorobenzene	19.87	180	20909	0.51 ug/L	92

(#) = qualifier out of range (m) = manual integration I29308SI.D V505048I.M Mon May 05 12:44:41 2008 Page 3

Analytics Report 61274 page 0067 of 115



Analytics Report 61274 page 0068 of 115

Data File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\050 Acq On : 4 May 2008 2:12 Sample : 1.0PPB STD Misc : 25000 S Integration Params: rteint. Quant Time: May 5 12:44 2008	408-I\I29 pm p	309SI. Ç	D Ope: Inst Mult Quant Results	Vial: 6 rator: t : GC/M tiplr: 1.00 s File: V50	IS Ins 50481.RES
Quant Method : D:\HPCHEM\METHON Title : 524.2 Purgable (Last Update : Mon May 05 12:42 Response via : Initial Calibrat DataAcq Meth : V505048I	DS\V50504 Organics 2:08 2008 tion	8I.M (RTE Integrat	At	
Internal Standards	R.T.	QIon	Response (Conc Units	Dev(Min)
 Pentafluorobenzene Fluorobenzene d5-Chlorobenzene 1,4-Dichlorobenzene-d4 	7.47 8.88 13.68 17.52	168 96 117 152	204381 589601 387633 154825	4.00 ug/L 4.00 ug/L 4.00 ug/L 4.00 ug/L	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00
System Monitoring Compounds 28) DB Holder #28 Spiked Amount 4.000 F 36) 1,4-Difluorobenzene Spiked Amount 4.000 F 54) Bromofluorobenzene Spiked Amount 4.000 F 73) 1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4 Spiked Amount 4.000 F	9.00 Range 70 9.00 Range 70 15.61 Range 70 18.12 Range 70	114 - 130 114 - 130 95 - 130 152 - 130	442652 Recovery 442652 Recovery 192705 Recovery 131955 Recovery	4.01 ug/L = 100.1 4.01 ug/L = 100.1 3.81 ug/L = 95.1 3.77 ug/L = 94.1	-0.02 25% 25% 25% 0.00 25% -0.02 25%
<pre>Target Compounds 2) Dichlorodifluoromethane 3) Chloromethane 4) Vinyl Chloride 5) Bromomethane 6) Chloroethane 8) Trichlorofluoromethane 9) Diethyl ether 10) 1,1,2-Trichlorotrifluoroe 12) Acetone 13) 1,1-Dichloroethene 15) Di-isopropyl ether (DIPE) 16) Methylene Chloride 17) Carbon Disulfide 18) Acrylonitrile 19) Methyl-tert-butyl ether (I 20) trans-1,2-Dichloroethene 21) 1,1-Dichloroethane 23) Methyl ethyl ketone 24) Ethyl t-butyl ether (ETBE) 25) 2,2-Dichloropropane 26) cis-1,2-Dichloroethene 27) t-Amyl methyl ether (TAME) 29) Chloroform 30) Bromochloromethane</pre>	1.82 2.07 2.19 2.71 2.81 3.14 3.57 3.78 3.88 4.05 5.92 4.84 4.82 5.06 M 5.14 5.33 6.03 6.75 6.57 6.94 7.00 8.35 7.25 7.50	85 50 62 94 101 74 101 43 61 45 84 76 53 73 96 53 72 59 77 96 73 83 128	64564 90136 71754 50053 46928 83675 16528 48458 28466 81869 154480 67655 179352 6411 66150 53382 100112 7871 109750 80571 50371 77259 88868 15080	1.06 ug/L 1.00 ug/L 1.10 ug/L 1.01 ug/L 1.01 ug/L 1.09 ug/L 1.09 ug/L 1.06 ug/L 1.06 ug/L 1.06 ug/L 1.06 ug/L 1.04 ug/L 1.04 ug/L 1.04 ug/L 1.05 ug/L 1.07 ug/L 1.03 ug/L 1.03 ug/L 1.09 ug/L 1.00 ug/L 1.00 ug/L	Qvalue 97 100 99 98 93 99 91 98 97 95 98 93 97 95 98 93 93 99 95 96 93 97 99 95 96 97 99
32) 1,1,1-Trichloroethane 34) 1,1-Dichloropropene	7.88 8.13	97 75 	77162 79226	1.07 ug/L 1.07 ug/L	98 98
(#) = qualifier out of range (π I29309SI.D V505048I.M Mor	n) = manua 1 May 05 1	al int L2:44:	egration 43 2008		Page 1

٠

Data File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\050408-I\I29309SI.D Vial: 6 Operator: Acq On : 4 May 2008 2:12 pm Sample : 1.0PPB STD Inst : GC/MS Ins Misc : 25000 Multiplr: 1.00 'S Integration Params: rteint.p Quant Time: May 5 12:44 2008 Quant Results File: V505048I.RES Quant Method : D:\HPCHEM\METHODS\V505048I.M (RTE Integrator) Title : 524.2 Purgable Organics Last Update : Mon May 05 12:42:08 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration

DataAcq Meth : V505048I

	Compound	R.T.	QIon	Response	Conc Unit	Qvalue
35) Carbon Tetrachloride	8.27	119	59915	1.07 ug/L	99
37) 1,2-Dichloroethane	8.51	62	43070	1.07 ug/L	100
38) Benzene	8.52	78	204165	1.05 ug/L	96
40) Trichloroethene	9.49	95	52877	1.05 ug/L	96
41) 1,2-Dichloropropane	9.75	63	46939	1.06 úg/L	98
45) Bromodichloromethane	10.10	83	54906	1.05 ug/L	97
46) Dibromomethane	10.18	93	17368	0.99 ug/L	97
47) 1,4-Dioxane	10.20	88	3981	25.32 ug/L	93
51) <u>2-Hexanone</u>	12.03	58	22453	4.26 ug/L	97
52) Methyl isobutyl ketone	10.68	58	29385	4.77 ug/L	99
53) cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	10.96	75	58957	1.00 ug/L	97
55) Toluene	11.45	92	118021	1.03 ug/L	99
56) trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	11.75	75	42352	0.98 ug/L	98
58) 1,1,2-Trichloroethane	11.97	83	18393	0.99 ug/L	94
: 59) 1,3-Dichloropropane	12.37	76	40891	1.01 ug/L	100
60) Tetrachloroethene	12.46	166	41687	1.02 ug/L	96
61) Dibromochloromethane	12.76	129	26443	0.99 ug/L	99
62) 1,2-Dibromoethane	13.07	107	19990	1.02 ug/L	89
64)	Chlorobenzene	13.74	112	105144	1.02 ug/L	97
65	1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	13.81	131	33093	1.02 ug/L	97
66)	Ethylbenzene	13.83	91	216821	1.04 ug/L	97
67)	m,p-Xylene	13.95	106	156159	2.11 ug/L	96
68)	o-Xylene	14.64	106	70548	1.04 ug/L	100
69)	Styrene	14.70	104	105027	1.01 ug/L	99
70)	Bromoform	15.21	173	11187	0.99 ug/L	100
71)	Isopropylbenzene	15.22	105	193528	1 04 ug/L	99
72)	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	15.49	83	21732	1.02 ug/L	100
74)	1,2,3-Trichloropropane	15.73	75	14408	0.93 ug/L #	\$ 86
75)	trans-1,4-Dichloro-2-buten	15.86	88	2713	0.93 ug/L #	26
76)	n-Propylbenzene	15.87	91	258814	1.05 ug/L	100
77)	Bromobenzene	15.90	156	32649	1.02 ug/L	94
78)	1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	16.13	105	146649	1.02 ug/L	96
79)	2-Chlorotoluene	16.13	91	153471	1.03 ug/L	94
80)	4-Chlorotoluene	16.21	91	136032	1.04 ug/L	98
81)	tert-butylbenzene	16.69	119	126155	1.04 ug/L	94
82)	1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	16.75	105	143449	1.02 ug/L	99
84)	sec-butylbenzene	17.04	105	206487	1.04 ug/L	98
85)	p-isopropyltoluene	17.27	119	153452	1.02 ug/L	99
86)	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	17.40	146	64910	1.00 ug/L	96
88)	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	17.57	146	63054	1.03 ug/L	97
89)	n-butylbenzene	17.95	91	161474	1.06 ug/L	96
 7 44 Y						

(#) = qualifier out of range (m) = manual integration

I29309SI.D V505048I.M Mon May 05 12:44:43 2008

Page 2

Data File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\050408-I\I29309SI.D Vial: 6 Acg On : 4 May 2008 2:12 pm Operator: Sample : 1.0PPB STD Inst : GC/MS Ins Misc : 25000 Multiplr: 1.00 'S Integration Params: rteint.p Quant Time: May 5 12:44 2008 Quant Results File: V505048I.RES Quant Method : D:\HPCHEM\METHODS\V505048I.M (RTE Integrator) Title : 524.2 Purgable Organics Last Update : Mon May 05 12:42:08 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : V505048I

Com	pound	R.T.	QIon	Response	e Conc Unit	Qvalue
90) 1,2 92) 1,2 94) 1,2 95) Hex 95) Hex 96) Nap 97) 1,2	-Dichlorobenzene -Dibromo-3-chloropropan ,4-Trichlorobenzene achlorobutadiene hthalene ,3-Trichlorobenzene	18.17 19.46 20.91 21.16 21.32 21.76	146 75 180 225 128 180	50659 3400 30133 19442 34545 21469	1.06 ug/L 1.13 ug/L 1.02 ug/L 1.04 ug/L 0.98 ug/L 0.99 ug/L	99 # 67 92 100 99 97
90) I,3	, 5-iiichiorobenzene	ТА.80	T80	42059	I.UI UG/L	98

Analytics Report 61274 page 0071 of 115



Analytics Report 61274 page 0072 of 115

Da Ac Sa Mi S Q	ata File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\050408-I\I29310SI.DVial.cq On : 4 May 2008 2:45 pmOperatorample : 2.0PPB STDInstlisc : 25000MultiplrS Integration Params: rteint.pQuant Time: May 5 12:44 2008Quant Results File								7 GC/MS 1.00 : V5050	Ins)481.RES
Qu Ti La Re Da	ant N tle st Up spons taAcc	Method : E : 5 pdate : M se via : I g Meth : V	:\HPCHEM\ 24.2 Purg on May 05 nitial Ca 505048I	METHODS able Or 12:42: librati	V50504 ganics 08 2008 on	8I.M (RTE Integr	rator)	At. 06.05	6
I	nterr	nal Standa	rds		R.T.	QIon	Response	Conc U	nits De	v(Min)
_	1) I 39) I 63) d 87) 1	Pentafluor Fluorobenz 15-Chlorob ,4-Dichlo	obenzene ene enzene robenzene	- d4	7.46 8.87 13.67 17.52	168 96 117 152	203899 600739 403991 174984	4.00 4.00 4.00 4.00	ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00
S	ysten 28) I Spik 36) 1 Spik 54) E Spik 73) 1 Spik	Monitori DB Holder ted Amount ,4-Difluo ted Amount Bromofluor ted Amount ,2-Dichlo	ng Compoun #28 robenzene 4.00 obenzene 4.00 robenzene 4.00	nds 00 Ra 00 Ra 00 Ra -d4 00 Ra	8.99 nge 70 8.99 nge 70 15.61 nge 70 18.12 nge 70	114 - 130 114 - 130 95 - 130 152 - 130	448269 Recove 448269 Recove 208371 Recove 150804 Recove	4.07 ry = 4.07 ry = 4.04 ry = 4.13 ry =	ug/L 101.75 ug/L 101.75 ug/L 101.00 ug/L 103.25	-0.02 -0.02 0.00 -0.02 0.00 -0.02
(' T	arget	Compound	S						Q	value
	2) C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	compound ichlorodi hlorometha romometha hloroetha bloroetha c-Butyl al richlorof ichlorof ichlorof i.2-Tric cetone ,1-Dichlor ethylene arbon Dist crylonitr ethyl-ter rans-1,2-I ,1-Dichlor ethyl ethyl thyl t-but ,2-Dichlor is-1,2-Dic hloroform	fluorometh ane ride ne cohol (TBA luorometha her nlorotrifl roethene yl ether (Chloride ile c-butyl et chloroet roethane yl ether copropane chloroethe hyl ether	hane hine uoroet DIPE) her (M hene (ETBE) ne (TAME)	1.82 2.07 2.19 2.71 2.81 4.24 3.15 3.57 3.80 3.87 4.04 5.92 4.83 4.04 5.92 4.83 4.04 5.92 4.83 4.04 5.92 4.83 4.04 5.92 4.83 4.04 5.92 4.83 4.04 5.92 4.83 5.05 5.14 5.33 6.75 6.58 6.758 6.93 7.00 8.36 7.260	85 50 62 94 64 59 101 74 101 43 61 45 84 76 53 73 96 63 72 59 77 96 73 83 128	126695 163527 119341 93687 88998 16651 158194 31837 91485 47837 157330 312822 109257 339571 11500 134737 99773 189179 15305 220981 146672 98854 156878 171925 30881	2.08 1.91 2.05 1.90 2.06 1.97 2.00 9.10 2.05 2.07 1.97 1.98 1.98 2.03 1.96 2.04 9.80 2.08 1.99 2.04 2.05 1.99 2.04 2.05 1.99	ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L	96 98 97 98 97 98 99 99 96 100 97 99 97 99 97 92 98 100 97 92 98 100 97
	32) 1 	,1,1-Trick	loroethan	e 	7.88	97 	146023	2.02	ug/L 	99
(# 12	⊧) = 0 29310	qualifier SI.D V505	out of ra 048I.M	nge (m) Mon	= manu May 05	al int 12:44:	egration 45 2008		· E	Page 1

Analytics Report 61274 page 0073 of 115

Data File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\050408-I\I29310SI.D Vial: 7 Acq On : 4 May 2008 Sample : 2.0PPB STD 2:45 pm Operator: Sample : 2.0PPI Misc : 25000 Inst : GC/MS Ins Multiplr: 1.00 'S Integration Params: rteint.p - Quant Time: May 5 12:44 2008 Quant Results File: V505048I.RES Quant Method : D:\HPCHEM\METHODS\V505048I.M (RTE Integrator) Title : 524.2 Purgable Organics Last Update : Mon May 05 12:42:08 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : V505048I

		Compound	R.T.	QIon	Response	Conc Unit	Qvalue
	 34)	1,1-Dichloropropene	8,13	75	147510	2.00 ug/L	96
-	35)	Carbon Tetrachloride	8.27	119	113134	2.02 ug/L	97
-	37)	1,2-Dichloroethane	8.51	62	83612	2.09 ug/L	96
-	38)	Benzene	8.53	78	382246	1.98 ug/L	95
4	40)	Trichloroethene	9.48	95	100743	1.97 ug/L	99
4	41)	1,2-Dichloropropane	9,75	63	88759	1.96 ug/L	99
4	45)	Bromodichloromethane	10.11	83	108150	2.03 ug/L	96
4	46)	Dibromomethane	10.17	93	35858	2.02 ug/L	98
4	1 7)	1,4-Dioxane	10.18	88	7759	48.44 ug/L	· 95
5	51)	2-Hexanone	12.03	58	54040	10.07 ug/L	96
5	52)	Methyl isobutyl ketone	10.67	58	62176	9.90 uq/L	99
5	53)	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	10.96	75	121461	2.02 ug/L	98
5	55)	Toluene	11.45	92	223799	1.91 uq/L	97
. 5	56)	trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	11.74	75	86971	1.98 ug/L	94
े ह	58)	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	11.97	83	37850	1.99 uq/L	95
5	59)	1,3-Dichloropropane	12.36	76	80779	1.95 ug/L	100
6	50)	Tetrachloroethene	12.46	166	79484	1.91 ug/L	99
6	51)	Dibromochloromethane	12,76	129	54095	1.99 ug/L	98
6	52)	1,2-Dibromoethane	13.07	107	38549	1.93 ug/L	89
6	54)	Chlorobenzene	13.73	112	211963	1.98 ug/L	99
6	55)	1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	13.81	131	66128	1.96 ug/L	96
6	56)	Ethylbenzene	13.83	91	426019	1.96 ug/L	100
6	57)	m,p-Xylene	13.96	106	302639	3.91 ug/L	98
6	8)	o-Xylene	14.64	106	139093	1.97 ug/L	98
6	;9)	Styrene	14.70	104	218079	2.01 ug/L	97
7	'0)	Bromoform	15.21	173	24182	2.06 ug/L	99
7	'1)	Isopropylbenzene	15.22	105	382532	1.97 uq/L	99
7	'2)	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	15,50	83	45550	2.05 uq/L	95
7	4)	1,2,3-Trichloropropane	15.72	75	31082	1.93 uq/L	95
7	5)	trans-1,4-Dichloro-2-buten	15.85	88	5967	1.84 ug/L	# 1
7	6)	n-Propylbenzene	15.86	91	511911	1.98 ug/L	98
7	7	Bromobenzene	15.91	156	66395	1.99 ug/L	. 94
7	8)	1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	16.13	105	302718	2.03 ug/L	97
7	9)	2-Chlorotoluene	16.14	91	320094	2.07 ug/L	97
8	oj	4-Chlorotoluene	16.22	91	274491	2.02 ug/L	98
8	1)	tert-butylbenzene	16.69	119	254502	2.00 ug/L	94
8	2)	1.2.4-Trimethvlbenzene	16.76	105	299023	2.04 ug/L	99
8	4) 	sec-butvlbenzene	17.04	105	420904	2.03 ug/L	98
8.	5)	p-isopropyltoluene	17.27	119	320549	2.04 ug/L	98
8	6)	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	17,40	146	136182	2.01 ug/L	98
8	8)	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	17,58	146	133671	1.94 ug/L	98
		,					

(#) = qualifier out of range (m) = manual integration

129310SI.D V505048I.M

Mon May 05 12:44:45 2008

Page 2
Data File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\050408-I\I29310SI.D Vial: 7 Acq On : 4 May 2008 2:45 pm Operator: Sample : 2.0PPB STD Inst : GC/MS Ins Misc : 25000 Multiplr: 1.00 'S Integration Params: rteint.p - Quant Time: May 5 12:44 2008 Quant Results File: V505048I.RES Quant Method : D:\HPCHEM\METHODS\V505048I.M (RTE Integrator) Title : 524.2 Purgable Organics Last Update : Mon May 05 12:42:08 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : V505048I

89) n-butylbenzene17.94913398781.98 ug/L90) 1,2-Dichlorobenzene18.171461062681.96 ug/L92) 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropan19.457561701.82 ug/L #94) 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene20.91180649011.94 ug/L	F. QIon Response Conc Unit Qvalı	QIon	R.T.	Compound	
95) Hexachlorobutadiene21.15225409851.94 ug/L96) Naphthalene21.32128779511.95 ug/L97) 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene21.76180473441.94 ug/L98) 1,35-Trichlorobenzene19.86180800411.92 ug/L	94 91 339878 1.98 ug/L 17 146 106268 1.96 ug/L 15 75 6170 1.82 ug/L 91 180 64901 1.94 ug/L 15 225 40985 1.94 ug/L 12 128 77951 1.95 ug/L 16 180 47344 1.94 ug/L 1	91 146 75 180 225 128 180	17.94 18.17 19.45 20.91 21.15 21.32 21.76	n-butylbenzene 1,2-Dichlorobenzene 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropan 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene Hexachlorobutadiene Naphthalene 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene 1,3,5-Trichlorobenzene	89) 90) 92) 94) 95) 96) 97) 98)



Analytics Report 61274 page 0076 of 115

Acq On: 4 May 20083:51 pmOperator:Sample: 5.0PPB STDInst: GC/MS IMisc: 25000Multiplr: 1.00S Integration Params: rteint.pQuant Time: May 5 12:44 2008Quant Results File: V50504	Ins 18I.RES
Quant Method : D:\HPCHEM\METHODS\V505048I.M (RTE Integrator) Title : 524.2 Purgable Organics Last Update : Mon May 05 12:42:08 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : V505048I	8
Internal Standards R.T. QIon Response Conc Units Dev	(Min)
1) Pentafluorobenzene 7.46 168 211082 4.00 ug/L 39) Fluorobenzene 8.87 96 616372 4.00 ug/L 63) d5-Chlorobenzene 13.67 117 422901 4.00 ug/L 87) 1,4-Dichlorobenzene-d4 17.53 152 197523 4.00 ug/L	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00
System Monitoring Compounds 28) DB Holder #28 9.00 114 463039 4.07 ug/L Spiked Amount 4.000 Range 70 - 130 Recovery = 101.75% 36) 1,4-Difluorobenzene 9.00 114 463039 4.07 ug/L Spiked Amount 4.000 Range 70 - 130 Recovery = 101.75% 54) Bromofluorobenzene 15.60 95 226626 4.28 ug/L Spiked Amount 4.000 Range 70 - 130 Recovery = 107.00% 73) 1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4 18.13 152 170082 4.45 ug/L Spiked Amount 4.000 Range 70 - 130 Recovery = 111.25%	0.00 0.00 0.00
Target Compounds Qv 2) Dichlorodifluoromethane 1.82 85 324659 5.15 ug/L 3) Chloromethane 2.07 50 393068 5.07 ug/L 4) Vinyl Chloride 2.19 62 245542 4.91 ug/L 5) Bromomethane 2.71 94 230146 4.51 ug/L 6) Chloroethane 2.81 64 223364 4.98 ug/L 7) t-Butyl alcohol (TBA) 4.22 59 38183 23.23 ug/L 9) Diethyl ether 3.57 74 83329 4.98 ug/L 10) 1,1,2-Trichlorotrifluoroet 3.79 101 244344 5.17 ug/L 13) 1,1-Dichloroethene 4.04 61 415187 5.22 ug/L 15) Di-isopropyl ether (DIPE) 5.91 45 822664 5.27 ug/L 16) Methylene Chloride 4.83 84 247522 5.14 ug/L 17) Carbon Disulfide 5.14 73 35581 <t< td=""><td>alue 100 99 98 94 99 98 99 99 95 99 95 99 95 99 95 99 95 99 99</td></t<>	alue 100 99 98 94 99 98 99 99 95 99 95 99 95 99 95 99 95 99 99
<pre>31) Tetrahydrofuran 7.60 42 21932 4.85 ug/L (#) = gualifier out of range (m) = manual integration</pre>	98 _`

I29311SI.D V505048I.M

Mon May $05 \ 12:44:47 \ 2008$

Vial: 8 Data File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\050408-I\I29311SI.D Acq On : 4 May 2008 3:51 pm Operator: Sample : 5.0PPB STD Inst : GC/MS Ins Multiplr: 1.00 Misc : 25000 S Integration Params: rteint.p Quant Results File: V505048I.RES - Quant Time: May 5 12:44 2008 Quant Method : D:\HPCHEM\METHODS\V505048I.M (RTE Integrator) : 524.2 Purgable Organics Title Last Update : Mon May 05 12:42:08 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : V505048I

	Compound	R.T.	QIon	Response	Conc Unit	Qvalue
32) 1,1,1-Trichloroethane	7.88	 97	385087	5.15 ug/L	100
34) 1,1-Dichloropropene	8.13	75	396162	5.19 ug/L	97
35) Carbon Tetrachloride	8.27	119	296924	5.12 ug/L	97
37) 1,2-Dichloroethane	8.51	62	216499	5.22 ug/L	97
38) Benzene	8.53	78	1012673	5.06 ug/L	97
40) Trichloroethene	9.48	95	261614	4.98 ug/L	. 98
41) 1,2-Dichloropropane	9.75	63	233343	5.03 ug/L	99
45) Bromodichloromethane	10.10	83	285680	5.22 ug/L	99
46) Dibromomethane	10.17	93	94206	5.16 ug/L	98
47) 1,4-Dioxane	10.17	88	21152	128.70 ug/L	99
51) 2-Hexanone	12.02	58	154838	28.11 ug/L	99
52) Methyl isobutyl ketone	10.67	58	171168	26.57 ug/L	99
53) cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	10.96	75	322926	5.22 ug/L	99
55) Toluene	11.45	92	605017	5.03 ug/L	98
÷ 56) trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	11.74	75	244511	5.42 ug/L	97
58) 1,1,2-Trichloroethane	11.97	83	100181	5.14 ug/L	96
59) 1,3-Dichloropropane	12.36	76	217624	5.12 ug/L	97
60)) Tetrachloroethene	12.46	166	214719	5.03 ug/L	97
61)	Dibromochloromethane	12.76	129	145221	5.20 ug/L	100
62)	1,2-Dibromoethane	13.07	107	108406	5.29 ug/L	95
64)	Chlorobenzene	13.73	112	562137	5.01 ug/L	98
65)	1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	13.81	131	178865	5.05 ug/L	98
66)	Ethylbenzene	13.83	91	1154016	5.08 ug/L	100
67)	m,p-Xylene	13.96	106	825930	10.21 ug/L	98
68)	o-Xylene	14.64	106	382728	5.17 ug/L	100
69)	Styrene	14.70	104	607030	5.35 ug/L	99
70)	Bromoform	15.21	173	65978	5.36 ug/L	97
71)	Isopropylbenzene	15.22	105	1086103	5.35 ug/L	98
72)	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	15.50	83	125524	5.40 ug/L	94
74)	1,2,3-Trichloropropane	15.72	75	84353	4.99 ug/L	96
75)	trans-1,4-Dichloro-2-buten	15.85	88	17035	4.99 ug/L	96
76)	n-Propylbenzene	15.86	91	1429044	5.29 ug/L	99
77)	Bromobenzene	15.91	156	185417	5.32 ug/L	91
78)	1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	16.13	105	858812	5.50 ug/L	100
79)	2-Chlorotoluene	16.14	91	892433	5.50 ug/L	97
80)	4-Chlorotoluene	16.22	91	775192	5.44 ug/L	99
81)	tert-butylbenzene	16.70	119	736743	5.54 ug/L	98
82)	1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	16.76	105	855463	5.58 ug/L	99
84)	sec-butylbenzene	17.04	105	1208808	5.57 ug/L	99
85)	p-isopropyltoluene	17.27	119	921679	5.59 ug/L	98
86)	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	17.40	146	395801	5.59 ug/L	98
				·		

(#) = qualifier out of range (m) = manual integration

I29311SI.D V505048I.M

Mon May 05 12:44:47 2008

Data File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\050408-I\I29311SI.D Vial: 8 Acq On : 4 May 2008 Sample : 5.0PPB STD 3:51 pm Operator: Inst : GC/MS Ins Misc : 25000 Multiplr: 1.00 S Integration Params: rteint.p Quant Results File: V505048I.RES Quant Time: May 5 12:44 2008 Quant Method : D:\HPCHEM\METHODS\V505048I.M (RTE Integrator) Title : 524.2 Purgable Organics Last Update : Mon May 05 12:42:08 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : V505048I

	Compound	R.T.	Qlon	Response	Conc Unit	Qvalue
88)	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	17.58	146	385009	4.94 ug/L	98
89)	n-butylbenzene	17.94	91	985684	5.09 ug/L	99
90)	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	18.17	146	306111	5.00 ug/L	89
92)	1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropan	19.45	75	17126	4.47 ug/L	99
94)	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	20.91	180	196587	5.20 ug/L	98
95)	Hexachlorobutadiene	21.16	225	120400	5.05 ug/L	98
96)	Naphthalene	21.32	128	244958	5.43 ug/L	98
97)	1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	21.76	180	146694	5.32 ug/L	91
98)	1,3,5-Trichlorobenzene	19.86	180	273453	5.17 ug/L	91

(#) = qualifier out of range (m) = manual integration I29311SI.D V505048I.M Mon May 05 12:44:47 2008

Page 3

Analytics Report 61274 page 0079 of 115



Analytics Report 61274 page 0080 of 115

Data File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\0504 Acq On : 4 May 2008 4:24 Sample : 10PPB STD Misc : 25000 S Integration Params: rteint.p Quant Time: May 5 12:44 2008	08-I\I293: pm	.2SI.D Quant Res	Vial: Operator: Inst : Multiplr: ults File:	9 GC/MS Ins 1.00 V505048I.RES
Quant Method : D:\HPCHEM\METHOD Title : 524.2 Purgable O Last Update : Mon May 05 12:42 Response via : Initial Calibrat DataAcq Meth : V505048I	S\V505048I rganics :08 2008 ion	.M (RTE Inte	gratory 6.7	K4.06.08
Internal Standards	R.T. Q	Ion Response	e Conc Un	its Dev(Min)
 Pentafluorobenzene Fluorobenzene d5-Chlorobenzene 1,4-Dichlorobenzene-d4 	7.46 8.87 13.67 17.53	168 237975 96 677353 117 472595 152 204460	4.00 1 4.00 1 4.00 1 4.00 1	ug/L -0.01 ug/L -0.01 ug/L -0.01 ug/L -0.01 ug/L 0.00
System Monitoring Compounds 28) DB Holder #28 Spiked Amount 4.000 Ra 36) 1,4-Difluorobenzene Spiked Amount 4.000 Ra 54) Bromofluorobenzene Spiked Amount 4.000 Ra 73) 1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4 Spiked Amount 4.000 Ra	9.00 nge 70 - 9.00 nge 70 - 15.61 nge 70 - 18.13 nge 70 -	114508493130Recov114508493130Recov95243273130Recov152177845130Recov	3.96 u very = 3.96 u very = 4.18 u very = 1 4.17 u very = 1	ag/L -0.01 99.00% ∕ ag/L -0.01 99.00% ∕ ag/L 0.00 .04.50% ∕ ag/L -0.01 .04.25% ∕
<pre>Target Compounds 2) Dichlorodifluoromethane 3) Chloromethane 4) Vinyl Chloride 5) Bromomethane 6) Chloroethane 7) t-Butyl alcohol (TBA) 8) Trichlorofluoromethane 9) Diethyl ether 10) 1,1,2-Trichlorotrifluoroet 11) Acrolein 12) Acetone 13) 1,1-Dichloroethene 15) Di-isopropyl ether (DIPE) 16) Methylene Chloride 17) Carbon Disulfide 18) Acrylonitrile 19) Methyl-tert-butyl ether (M 20) trans-1,2-Dichloroethene 21) 1,1-Dichloroethane 23) Methyl ethyl ketone 24) Ethyl t-butyl ether (ETBE) 25) 2,2-Dichloropropane 26) cis-1,2-Dichloroethene 27) t-Amyl methyl ether (TAME) 9) Chloroform 30) Bromochloromethane</pre>	1.81 2.07 2.19 2.70 2.81 4.22 3.15 1 3.57 3.79 1 3.75 3.86 4.04 5.91 4.83 4.04 5.91 4.83 4.81 5.05 5.13 5.33 6.02 6.74 6.57 6.93 7.26 8.35 7.50 12	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	9.43 u 9.97 u 10.06 u 8.91 u 9.62 u 44.99 u 9.75 u 9.86 u 10.32 u 49.88 u 9.87 u 10.11 u 10.42 u 9.94 u 10.05 u 10.05 u 10.00 u 9.84 u 10.23 u 9.55 u 10.20 u 10.32 u 9.55 u 10.20 u	Qvalueg/L99g/L99g/L99g/L99g/L99g/L99g/L99g/L99g/L98g/L96g/L96g/L99g/L90g/L97g/L97g/L97g/L97g/L97g/L97g/L97g/L97g/L97g/L99g/L99g/L99g/L99g/L99g/L99g/L99g/L99g/L99g/L99g/L99g/L96

Mon May 05 12:44:49 2008

Data File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\050408-I\I29312SI.D Vial: 9 Vial: Operator: Data File : D. (Mreman (Data (Coordon 2, 2000))Operator:Acq On : 4 May 2008 4:24 pmOperator:Sample : 10PPB STDInst : GC/MS InsMisc : 25000Multiplr: 1.00S Integration Params: rteint.pQuant Time: May 5 12:44 2008Quant Time: May 5 12:44 2008Quant Results File: V505048I.RES _Misc : 25000 S Integration Params: rteint.p Quant Time: May 5 12:44 2008 Quant Method : D:\HPCHEM\METHODS\V505048I.M (RTE Integrator) Title : 524.2 Purgable Organics Last Update : Mon May 05 12:42:08 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : V505048I

 Compound
 R.T. QION
 Response
 Conc Unit
 Qvalue

 31)
 Tetrahydrofuran
 7.60
 42
 48465
 10.17
 ug/L
 97

 32)
 1,1-Dichloroptopene
 8.13
 75
 874469
 10.15
 ug/L
 99

 34)
 1,2-Dichloroptopene
 8.52
 62
 453640
 9.69
 ug/L
 98

 37)
 1,2-Dichloroptopane
 8.52
 62
 453640
 9.69
 ug/L
 98

 40)
 Trichloroptopane
 9.75
 63
 51.1129
 10.00
 ug/L
 99

 45)
 Bromodichloromethane
 10.17
 83
 264744
 10.19
 10.40
 10.40
 10.40
 97

 45)
 Bromodichloromethane
 10.17
 83
 351219
 56.02
 ug/L
 97

 51)
 Cluene
 10.67
 58
 377233
 5.28
 ug/L
 99

 55)
 Toluene
 11.74
 75
 53755
 10.82
 ug/L
 99

 <t R.T. QIon Response Conc Unit Qvalue Compound

(#) = qualifier out of range (m) = manual integration

I29312SI.D V505048I.M Mon May 05 12:44:49 2008

Data File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\050408-I\129312SI.D Vial: 9 : 4 May 2008 4:24 pm Operator: Acq On : 10PPB STD Sample Inst : GC/MS Ins Misc : 25000 Multiplr: 1.00 S Integration Params: rteint.p Quant Results File: V505048I.RES - Quant Time: May 5 12:44 2008 Quant Method : D:\HPCHEM\METHODS\V505048I.M (RTE Integrator) Title : 524.2 Purgable Organics Last Update : Mon May 05 12:42:08 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : V505048I

*	Compound	R.T.	Qion	Response	Cond Unit	Qvalue
86)	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	17.40	146	836673	10.58 ug/L	99
88)	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	17.58	146	805426	9.99 ug/L	99
89)	n-butylbenzene	17.94	91	2057595	10.26 ug/L	98
90)	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	18.17	146	645581	10.19 ug/L	99
92)	1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropan	19.45	75	35052	8.84 ug/L	84
94)	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	20.91	180	407998	10.43 ug/L	99
95)	Hexachlorobutadiene	21.16	225	251124	10.18 ug/L	100
96)	Naphthalene	21.31	128	518236	11.10 ug/L	100
97)	1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	21.75	180	312761	10.96 ug/L	96
98)	1,3,5-Trichlorobenzene	19.86	180	570881	10.42 ug/L	. 99

(#) = qualifier out of range (m) = manual integration I29312SI.D V505048I.M Mon May 05 12:44:49 2008

	Data Acq (Samp Misc	Fi On le	le : : :	D:\ 4 10P 250	HP Ma PB 00	CHEM Y 200 STD	DAT.	A\ 4	050 :24	408 pm	-I\I293	3125	I.I	C		Op In Mu	V era Ist lti	ial: tor: ; plr:	9 GC/MS 1.00	Ins
· ·	Quant		ime:	May	rd.	5 12:	44	20	08	þ			Qua	int	Re	sult	s F	ile:	V5050	48I.RI
	Metho Title Last Respo	od e Upc	late via	:	D:` 524 Moi Tn ⁻	\HPCH 4.2 F n May	IEM\I Purga 05 Ca	ME ab 1: 1:	THO le (2:42	DS\N Drga 2:08	7505048 anics 3 2008	I.M	(F	RTE	In	tegr	ato	r)		
	Abundance		- •	• •	·					1	IC: 129312S	.D						,,. ,		
	6400000																			*
	6200000																			
	6000000																			
	5800000																			1
	5600000																			
	5400000																			
	5200000		*																	
	5000000											N								
	4800000										·	vlene.			e,TM					
	4600000											X-d E	r		di M er					
	4400000														ditig					
	4200000									,					61 Cincler					
يە بىر	4000000											V			2,6					
	3800000											ine.Th			M L'I	5				
	3600000											Methe			outene	ene,T1				
	3400000														W7+094	lbenzi				
	3200000											uBei ta		le,TM		fillen :	5			
	3000000													re/TAAF	15 Alexandre	TTM TATIN	ene,Ti			-
	2800000						TM							matbytt		benze benze bluene	/IDENZ			
	2600000						TM				TMC		e,TM	Bacar	ne,TM	put/	und-u			
	2400000			W			ethane doTMel				luene,	e TM			of olue	Sec. Sec.		5		
The second s	2200000			eidavii			th lorue An Diad				¥ ₽	Guzen	ilyren		-Chlor	enzen	5	ene,TI		
	2000000			kisOffiel ne,TM	X	X	TN M		Σ۵	5	- Hene,T T M	hlarat	1			orober	ene,Ti	obenz	MTM	
	1800000		≥o	dbyte fi	(3d)	TM iene,T	1,1 IM IM		hene, re,TM(M	e,TM ene,Th	roprop	9		- Addam	1000	386	obenz	richlor	ene, TI M	
	1600000	ne.TM	ane,Tl	Dichlo	HRI-AD	TBE).1	NB9		lloroet oropar tane, T	keton oprop	M M M Chlo				5	14,11,4	10 CC CC CC CC CC CC CC CC CC CC CC CC CC	,3,5-T	ilorobe butadi ene, T	
	1400000	ne,TM nethai	oroeth	- MTBE 3-1,2-1	RAhet	Part (E	調	ŝ	Trich chlorog	obutyl	Is-1,3- Nane,1 Jangel ane,11	ene.l		NE.SW		zene-(zehter	TW -	+ Trich chloro obenz	****
	1200000	Ngthai Nuoror	otriflu Nichlon	ether (ń8988	Myl ett Brong orofor Interne	Notreel	Si Sehe	1.2-01	thyl is -1,3-E	trar trar trar toprog	obenz		ing and a		noben	roben	pane	1,2,4 TMP×a richtor	
	100000	Hamer chloro		butyl (1-12		APPR04	BRAK	liter Tay	Cip Cip	HEXEN Dichlo ochlon	Chlon		adan A		Dichlo	Dichlo	oropro	alene, ,2,3-T	
	800000	uoroet Tri	TBA	Julen.		Thy Kit	t-AG		*Diasé	1	1, ½; 13, 13, 13, 13, 13, 14, 14, 14, 14, 14, 14, 14, 14, 14, 14	d5		調整	Whether the	<u>4</u>	12	23-ch	laphth	-
	600000	5 	Diethy setone			ithyl el 7droful		đ	1 a		2-Dib	-TLYAP was		1,1,2	A Carr			bromo		
	400000		Butyl i	Acrylo	And a second second	Mr etrany									The second			1,2-D		
\sim	200000							#				TANK TANK TANK							And a second sec	
U		ЩĻ				<u>MMM</u>	μщ			ļļĻ							1			
[]	I Ime> 2.00	3.00	4.00	5.00	0 .00	7.00 {	3.00 9	.00	10.00	11.00	12.00 13.00) 14,0(J 15.0	<i>J</i> U 16.	UU 17	.00 18.	UU 19.	00 20.0	J 21.00 22.0	U
J	[29312SI	.D	V50	5048	BI.	М	Μ	lor	ı Ma	y 0	5 12:4	4:49	92	008					Page	4

Analytics Report 61274 page 0084 of 115

Data File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\05040 Acq On : 4 May 2008 4:57 p Sample : 20PPB STD Misc : 25000 S Integration Params: rteint.p Quant Time: May 5 12:44 2008	8-I\I29 m	313SI Ç	.D D In Mu Quant Resu	Vial: perator: nst : ultiplr: lts File	10 GC/MS 1.00 : V5050	Ins 9481.RES
Quant Method : D:\HPCHEM\METHODS Title : 524.2 Purgable Or Last Update : Mon May 05 12:42: Response via : Initial Calibratic DataAcq Meth : V505048I	\V50504 ganics 08 2008 on	8I.M ((RTE Integr	rator)	. do. 08	
Internal Standards	R.T.	QIon	Response	Conc Ui	nits De	v(Min)
 Pentafluorobenzene Fluorobenzene d5-Chlorobenzene 1,4-Dichlorobenzene-d4 	7.46 8.87 13.67 17.53	168 96 117 152	283782 778451 539610 229154	$ \begin{array}{r} 4.00 \\ 4.00 \\ 4.00 \\ 4.00 \\ 4.00 \\ \end{array} $	ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00
System Monitoring Compounds 28) DB Holder #28 Spiked Amount 4.000 Rar 36) 1,4-Difluorobenzene Spiked Amount 4.000 Rar 54) Bromofluorobenzene Spiked Amount 4.000 Rar 73) 1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4 Spiked Amount 4.000 Rar	8.99 nge 70 8.99 nge 70 15.60 nge 70 18.13 nge 70	114 - 130 114 - 130 95 - 130 152 - 130	587203 Recove 587203 Recove 262711 Recove 196828 Recove	3.84 ery = 3.84 ery = 3.93 ery = 4.04 ry =	ug/L 96.00 ug/L 96.00 ug/L 98.25 ug/L 101.00	-0.02 -0.02 0.00 0.00
<pre>Target Compounds 2) Dichlorodifluoromethane 3) Chloromethane 4) Vinyl Chloride 5) Bromomethane 6) Chloroethane 7) t-Butyl alcohol (TBA) 8) Trichlorofluoromethane 9) Diethyl ether 10) 1,1,2-Trichlorotrifluoroet 11) Acrolein 12) Acetone 13) 1,1-Dichloroethene 15) Di-isopropyl ether (DIPE) 16) Methylene Chloride 17) Carbon Disulfide 18) Acrylonitrile 19) Methyl-tert-butyl ether (M 20) trans-1,2-Dichloroethene 21) 1,1-Dichloroethane 23) Methyl ethyl ketone 24) Ethyl t-butyl ether (ETBE) 25) 2,2-Dichloropropane 26) cis-1,2-Dichloroethene 27) t-Amyl methyl ether (TAME)</pre>	1.82 2.07 2.19 2.70 2.81 4.22 3.15 3.78 3.75 3.78 3.75 3.86 4.04 5.91 4.83 4.81 5.05 5.13 6.02 6.73 6.57 6.93 7.00 8.34	85 50 62 94 64 59 101 74 106 43 45 45 45 73 63 72 96 77 96 73 73 73	1492032 1278373 753848 1236048 1097392 182690 1938771 415946 1172815 352586 521650 1885801 3796849 1166264 4484004 147910 1700230 1347860 2311901 203097 2712523 1727342 1315087 2049658	17.59 Below Below 18.01 18.22 82.68 18.15 18.49 18.45 19.69 100.00 17.64 18.09 19.76 18.81 17.34 18.45 19.03 17.87 19.03 17.87 19.03 17.87 19.35 19.16	Qr ug/L Cal Cal ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L	ralue 99 98 99 100 96 100 94 99 97 99 96 97 99 96 97 99 98 98 93 98 93 98 93 98 93 98 93
() 30) Bromochloromethane	7.50	128	430002	19.85 i	lg/L	91
(#) = qualifier out of range (m) I29313SI.D V505048I.M Mon M	= manua May 05 1	al int 12:44:	egration 51 2008		P	age 1

Data File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\050408-I\I29313SI.D Vial: 10 : 4 May 2008 4:57 pm : 20PPB STD Acq On Operator: Sample : 20PPB Misc : 25000 Inst : GC/MS Ins Multiplr: 1.00 'S Integration Params: rteint.p Quant Time: May 5 12:44 2008 Quant Results File: V505048I.RES Quant Method : D:\HPCHEM\METHODS\V505048I.M (RTE Integrator) Title : 524.2 Purgable Organics Last Update : Mon May 05 12:42:08 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : V505048I

		Compound	R.T.	QIon	Response	Conc Unit	Qvalue
	31)	Tetrahydrofuran	7.59	42	102097	19.96 ug/L	98
	32)	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	7.88	97	1795114	17.86 ug/L	97
	34)	1,1-Dichloropropene	8.13	75	190256 9	18.53 ug/L	98
	35)	Carbon Tetrachloride	8.27	119	1458466	18.71 ug/L	99
	37)	1,2-Dichloroethane	8.51	62	910132	16.31 ug/L	99
	38)	Benzene	8.53	78	5083631	18.90 ug/L	99
	40)	Trichloroethene	9.48	95	1305623	19.69 ug/L	90
	41)	1,2-Dichloropropane	9.75	63	1105761.	18.86 ug/L	99
	45)	Bromodichloromethane	10.10	83	1356974	19.63 ug/L	99
	46)	Dibromomethane	10.17	93	454976	19.74 ug/L	94
	47)	1,4-Dioxane	10.17	88	111630	537.82 ug/L	91
	51)	2-Hexanone	12.01	58	763435	109.74 ug/L	94
	52)	Methyl isobutyl ketone	10.67	58	818735	100.61 ug/L	97
	53)	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	10.95	75	1589743	20.36 ug/L	100
, [*]	55)	Toluene	11.44	92	3040497	20.00 ug/L	99
	56)	trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	11.74	75	1161695	20.41 ug/L	98
	58)	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	11.97	83	492341	19.99 ug/L	96
	59)	1,3-Dichloropropane	12.36	76	1065031	19.83 ug/L	99
	60)	Tetrachloroethene	12.46	166	1149601	21.33 ug/L	98
	61)	Dibromochloromethane	12.76	129	736221	20.89 ug/L	98
	62)	1,2-Dibromoethane	13.06	107	546200	21.11 ug/L	96
	64)	Chlorobenzene	13.73	112	2892587	20.22 ug/L	96
	65)	1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	13.81	131	911868	20.19 ug/L	99
	66)	Ethylbenzene	13.83	91	5800711	20.00 ug/L	99
	67)	m,p-Xylene	13.96	106	4196978	40.64 ug/L	97
	68)	o-Xylene	14.64	106	1904686	20.16 ug/L	97
	69)	Styrene	14.70	104	3029429	20.92 ug/L	96
	70)	Bromoform	15.20	173	337647	21.49 ug/L	99
	71)	Isopropylbenzene	15.22	105	5152343	19.87 ug/L	99
	72)	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	15.49	83	581045	19.59 ug/L	97
	74)	1,2,3-Trichloropropane	15.72	75	377393	17.50 ug/L	. 99
	75)	trans-1,4-Dichloro-2-buten	15.85	88	70877	19.97 ug/L	87
	76)	n-Propylbenzene	15.86	91	6681170	19.38 ug/L	100
	77)	Bromobenzene	15.91	156	911119	20.48 ug/L	98
	78)	1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	16.13	105	3957740	19.85 ug/L	98
	79)	2-Chlorotoluene	16.14	91	4004938	19.35 ug/L	99
	80Ĵ	4-Chlorotoluene	16.22	91	3518462	19.34 ug/L	99
	81)	tert-butvlbenzene	16.70	119	3383500	19.95 ug/L	99
	82)	1,2,4-Trimethvlbenzene	16.76	105	3878476	19.83 uq/L	98
	84)	sec-butylbenzene	17.04	105	5450588	19.69 ug/L	100
	85)	p-isopropyltoluene	17.27	119	4232940	20.12 ug/L	99
_							

(#) = qualifier out of range (m) = manual integration

I29313SI.D V505048I.M

.

Mon May 05 12:44:51 2008

Data File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\050408-I\129313SI.D Vial: 10 Acq On : 4 May 2008 4:57 pm Operator: : 20PPB STD Sample Inst : GC/MS Ins Misc : 25000 Multiplr: 1.00 'S Integration Params: rteint.p Quant Time: May 5 12:44 2008 Quant Results File: V505048I.RES Quant Method : D:\HPCHEM\METHODS\V505048I.M (RTE Integrator) Title : 524.2 Purgable Organics Last Update : Mon May 05 12:42:08 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : V505048I

Compound	R.T.	QIon	Response	Conc Unit	Qvalue
1,3-Dichlorobenzene 1,4-Dichlorobenzene	17.40	146 146	1860216 1786165	20.59 ug/L 19.77 ug/L	 99 98
n-butylbenzene	17.94	91	4499840	20.02 ug/L	100
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	18.17	146	1435589	20.22 ug/L	98
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropan	19.45	75	73263	16.49 ug/L	96
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	20.91	180	964049	21.98 ug/L	100
Hexachlorobutadiene	21.16	225	589737	21.32 ug/L	99
Naphthalene	21.31	128	1243451	23.75 ug/L	100
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	21.75	180	725103	22.67 ug/L	96
1,3,5-Trichlorobenzene	19.86	180	1355854	22.08 ug/L	98
	Compound 1,3-Dichlorobenzene 1,4-Dichlorobenzene n-butylbenzene 1,2-Dichlorobenzene 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropan 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene Hexachlorobutadiene Naphthalene 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene 1,3,5-Trichlorobenzene	CompoundR.T.1,3-Dichlorobenzene17.401,4-Dichlorobenzene17.58n-butylbenzene17.941,2-Dichlorobenzene18.171,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropan19.451,2,4-Trichlorobenzene20.91Hexachlorobutadiene21.16Naphthalene21.311,2,3-Trichlorobenzene19.86	Compound R.T. QIon 1,3-Dichlorobenzene 17.40 146 1,4-Dichlorobenzene 17.58 146 n-butylbenzene 17.94 91 1,2-Dichlorobenzene 18.17 146 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropan 19.45 75 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene 20.91 180 Hexachlorobutadiene 21.16 225 Naphthalene 21.31 128 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene 19.86 180	CompoundR.T. QIonResponse1,3-Dichlorobenzene17.4014618602161,4-Dichlorobenzene17.581461786165n-butylbenzene17.949144998401,2-Dichlorobenzene18.1714614355891,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropan19.4575732631,2,4-Trichlorobenzene20.91180964049Hexachlorobutadiene21.16225589737Naphthalene21.3112812434511,2,3-Trichlorobenzene19.861801355854	CompoundR.T. QIonResponseConc Unit1,3-Dichlorobenzene17.40146186021620.59ug/L1,4-Dichlorobenzene17.58146178616519.77ug/Ln-butylbenzene17.9491449984020.02ug/L1,2-Dichlorobenzene18.17146143558920.22ug/L1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropan19.45757326316.49ug/L1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene20.9118096404921.98ug/LHexachlorobutadiene21.1622558973721.32ug/L1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene21.7518072510322.67ug/L1,3,5-Trichlorobenzene19.86180135585422.08ug/L

(#) = qualifier out of range (m) = manual integration I29313SI.D V505048I.M Mon May 05 12:44:51 2008

Page 3

Analytics Report 61274 page 0087 of 115



Analytics Report 61274 page 0088 of 115

VOLATILE ORGANIC AQUEOUS LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE DUPLICATE PERCENT RECOVERY

Instrument ID: I GC Column: RTX-502.2 Column ID: 0.25 mm

SDG: 61274 Non-spiked sample: B5050981 Spike: L5050981 Spike Duplicate L50509812

	SPIKE	LOWER	UPPER	RPD	NON-SPIKE	SPIKE	SPIKE		SPIKE DUP	SPIKE DUP			
COMPOUND	ADDED	LIMIT	LIMIT	LIMIT	RESULT (ug/L)	RESULT (ug/L)	% REC	#	RESULT (ug/L)	% REC	#	RPD	#
Dichlorodifluoromethane	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.80	80		0.76	76		4	
Chloromethane	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.79	79		0.74	74		6	
Vinyl Chloride	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.94	94		0.85	85		11	
Bromomethane	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.88	88		0,84	84		4	
Chloroethane	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.85	85		0,81	81		5	
t-Butyl alcohol (TBA)	5	70	130	15	0.00	4,23	85		4.09	82 (3	
Trichlorofluoromethane	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.86	86		0,82	82		4	
Diethyl ether	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.88	88		0.83	83		6	
1,1,2-Trichlorotrifluoroethane	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.94	94		0.90	90		5	
Acetone	5	70	130	15	0.00	3.55	71		3.53	71		1	
1,1-Dichloroethene	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.93	93		0.90	90		4	
Di-isopropyl ether (DIPE)	1	70	130	15	0,00	0.90	90		0.86	86		5	
Methylene Chloride	1	70	130	15	0,00	0.72	72		0.74	74		3	
Carbon Disulfide	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.92	92		0.89	89		4	
Acrylonitrile	1	70	130	15	0,00	0.74	74		0.84	84		12	
Methyl-tert-butyl ether (MTBE)	2	70	130	15	0.00	1,75	87		1.67	84		4	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.88`	88		0.84	84		4	
1,1-Dichloroethane	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.90	90		0.86	86		5	
Methyl ethyl ketone	5	70	130	15	0.00	4.12	82		3.90	78 .		6	
Ethyl t-butyl ether (ETBE)	1	70 ·	130	15	0.00	0.88	88		0.84	84		4	
2,2-Dichloropropane	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.92	92		0.90	90		2	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1	70	130	15	0.00	0,90	90		0,88	88		2	
t-Amyl methyl ether (TAME)	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.88	88		0.84	84		5	
Chloroform	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.88	88		0.89	89		0	
Bromochloromethane	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.85	85		0.83	83		3	
Tetrahydrofuran	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.83	83		0.81	81		2	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.89	89		0.86	86		3	
1,1-Dichloropropene	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.86	86		0.85	85		2	
Carbon Tetrachloride	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.90	90		0,88	88		1	
1,2-Dichloroethane	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.91	91		0.87	87		4	
Benzene	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.85	85		0.83	83		3	
Trichloroethene	1	70	130	15	0,00	0.93	93		0.92	92		1	
1,2-Dichloropropane	1	70	130	15	0.00	0,92	92		0.90	90		2	
Bromodichloromethane	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.95	95		0.96	96		1	
Dibromomethane	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.94	94		0.91	91		4	
2-Hexanone	5	70	130	15	0.00	3.61	72		3.54	71		2	
Methyl isobutyl ketone	5	70	130	15	0.00	4.06	81		4.07	81		0	
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	I	70	130	15	0.00	0.94	94		0.90	90		4	
Toluene	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.90	90		0.89	89	Τ	1	
trans-1,3-Dichloropropenc	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.83	83		0.78	78		5	
1,1,2-Trichloroethanc	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.91	91		0.94	94		4	
1,3-Dichloropropane	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.88	88		0.87	87	Τ	1	
Tetrachloroethene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.01	101	Ι	0.99	99	I	2	
Dibromochloromethane	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.89	89]	0.86	86		4	
1,2-Dibromoethane	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.89	89		0.88	88	Τ	2	
Chlorobenzene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1,04	104		1.05	105	Ι	1	

VOA FORM 3

VOLATILE ORGANIC AQUEOUS LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE DUPLICATE PERCENT RECOVERY

Instrument ID: I GC Column: RTX-502.2 Column ID: 0.25 mm

SDG: 61274 Non-spiked sample: B5050981 Spike: L5050981 Spike Duplicate L50509812

	SPIKE	LOWER	UPPER	RPD	NON-SPIKE	SPIKE	SPIKE		SPIKE DUP	SPIKE DUP			
COMPOUND	ADDED	LIMIT	LIMIT	LIMIT	RESULT (ug/L)	RESULT (ug/L)	% REC	#	RESULT (ug/L)	% REC	#	RPD	#
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.02	102		1.02	102		0	
Ethylbenzene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.04	104		1.04	104		0	
m,p-Xylene	2	70	130	15	0.00	2.07	103		2,09	105		1	
o-Xylene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.00	100		1.00	100		0	
Styrene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.01	101		1,00	100		1	
Bromoform	1	70	130	15	0,00	1.02	102		0.99	99		2	
Isopropylbenzene	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.91	91		0.91	91		0	
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.99	99		0.97	97		1	
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.90	90		0.91	91		1	
trans-1,4-Dichloro-2-butene	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.93	93		0.73	73		25	*
n-Propylbenzene	1	70	130	15	0,00	1.00	100		1.01	101		1	\square
Bromobenzene	1	70	130	15	0,00	0.98	98		0.99	99		1	
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.03	103		1.01	101		2	
2-Chlorotoluene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.05	105		1.04	104		1	
4-Chlorotoluene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.01	101		1.01	101		0	
tert-butylbenzene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.01	101		0.99	99		1	
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	1	70	130	15	0,00	1.04	104		1.02	102		2	
sec-butylbenzene	1	70	130	15	0,00	1.01	101		1.02	102		0	
p-isopropyltoluene	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.97	97		0.99	99		2	
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.00	100		0,98	98		2	
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1,08	108		1.05	105		3	
n-butylbenzene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1,08	108		1.11	111		2	
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.10	110		1.10	110		0	
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.99	99		0.94	94		4	
1,2.4-Trichlorobenzene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.02	`102		0.98	98		4	
Hexachlorobutadiene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.06	106		1.05	105		1	
Naphthalene	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.97	97		0.97	97		0	
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.04	104		1.03	103		1	
1,3,5-Trichlorobenzene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.08	108		1.08	108		0	

Column to be used to flag recovery and RPD values outside of QC limits

* Values outside QC limits

Non-spike result of "0" used in place of "U" to allow calculation of spike recovery

Comments:

VOA FORM 3

Analytics Report 61274 page 0090 of 115

Vial: 3 Operator: Acq On : 9 May 2008 10:09 am Sample : L505098I Data File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\050908-I\I29421Q.D Inst : GC/MS Ins Misc : 25000 Multiplr: 1.00 MS Integration Params: rteinc.p Method : D:\HPCHEM\METHODS\V505048I.M (RTE Integrator) : 524.2 Purgable Organics : 524.2 Purgable Organics : 524.2 Purgable Organics : 524.2 Purgable Organics Response via : Multiple Level Calibration Min. RRF : 0.000 Min. Rel. Area : 50% Max. R.T. Dev 0.50min Max. RRF Dev : 30% Max. Rel. Area : 500% AvgRF CCRF %Dev Area% Dev(min) Compound -------Pentafluorobenzene 1.000 1.000 0.0 1 I 112/ 0.00 1.196 0.953 2 TM Dichlorodifluoromethane 20.3 84 0.00 1.706 1.419 3 TMP Chloromethane 16.8 90 0.00 1.349 1.242 0.968 0.848 7.9 99 4 TMC Vinyl Chloride 0.00 12.4 97

 Bromomethane
 0.849
 0.721

 Chloroethane
 0.031
 0.026
 16.1

 t-Butyl alcohol (TBA)
 0.031
 0.289
 14.4

 Trichlorofluoromethane
 0.317
 0.280
 11.7

 0.896
 0.847
 5.5

 0.968 5 TM 0.00
 0.724
 14.7
 88

 0.026
 16.1
 89

 1.289
 14.4
 88

 0.280
 11
 6 TM 0.00 7 TM 0.02 88 97 8 TM 0.00 9 TM 0.02 10 TM 100 0.02 NT95.6# 5# -0.01 11 T Acrolein 0.252 0.011 12 TM Acetone 0.100 0.082 18.0 82 0.00 13 TMC 1,1-Dichloroethene 1.507 1.406 6.7 98 0.00 TMethyl iodide 0.000 0.000 0.0 0# 0.00 2.958 1.410 10.0 Di-isopropyl ether (DIPE) 2.661 98 0.02 Methylene Chloride 19.6 16 TM 1.133 96 0.02 17 TM Carbon Disulfide 3.360 3.089 8.1 98 0.00 18 TM Acrylonitrile 0.120 0.089 25.8 80 0.00 1.299 Methyl-tert-butyl ether (MT 2.272 Dec Tole - 74.9# 196 19 TM 0.00 20 TM trans-1,2-Dichloroethene 0.998 0.874 12.4 93 0.00 21 TMP 1,1-Dichloroethane 1.823 1.641 10.0 94 0.00 22 T Vinyl acetate 0.000 0.000 0# 0.02 0.0 Methyl ethyl ketone

 Wethyl ethyl ketone
 0.000
 0.000

 Methyl ethyl ketone
 0.031
 0.025

 Ethyl t-butyl ether (ETBE)
 2.082
 1.833

 2,2-Dichloropropane
 1.445
 1.323

 cis-1,2-Dichloroethene
 0.958
 0.866

 t-Amyl methyl ether (TAME)
 1.508
 1.321

 23 TM -19.4 92 0.02 24 TM 12.0 95 0.00 25 TM 8.4 94 0.02 26 TM 9.6 98 0.00 t-Amyl methyl ether (TAME) 27 TM 12.4 98 0.02 28 S DB Holder #28 2.158 2.019 6.4 104 0.00 29 TMC Chloroform 1.643 1.454 11.5 93 0.00 0.261 30 TM 14.4 99 Bromochloromethane 0.305 0.00 0.094 0.087 1.416 1.264 Tetrahydrofuran 31 TM 7.4 82 0.03 32 TM 1,1,1-Trichloroethane 10.7 93 0.02 NO COMPOUND 33 T 0.0 0# -0.06 0.000 0.000 1.252 1,1-Dichloropropene 34 TM 1.44713.5 90 0.00 35 TM Carbon Tetrachloride 1.099 0.983 10.6 94 0.02 2.158 2.019 0.787 0.716 36 S 1,4-Difluorobenzene 6.4 104 0.00 1,2-Dichloroethane 9.0 95 37 TM 0.00 38 TM Benzene 3.790 3.236 14.6 /0.00 90 0.0 Ι Fluorobenzene 1.000 1.000 1034 0.00 TM Trichloroethene 0.341 0.316 91 4 Õ 7.3 0.00 (#) = Out of Range

I29421Q.D V505048I.M Fri May 09 15:50:44 2008

<u>_</u>	Data Acq Samp Misc MS I	File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\050908 On : 9 May 2008 10:09 am ole : L505098I : 25000 Integration Params: rteint.p	Vial Operator Inst Multiplr	: 3 : : GC/MS Ins : 1.00		
	Meth Titl Last Resp	od : D:\HPCHEM\METHODS\ e : 524.2 Purgable Org Update : Fri May 09 15:39:2 onse via : Multiple Level Cal	V5050481 Janics 5 2008 ibration	.M (RTE In	tegrator)	
	Min. Max.	RRF : 0.000 Min. Rel. RRF Dev : 30% Max. Rel.	Area : Area :	50% Max. 500%	R.T. Dev	0.50min
-		Compound	AvgRF	CCRF	%Dev Are	ea% Dev(min)
412344567890123 5555556612 67890123	TMC T T TM TM T T T T T T T T T T M T M	1,2-Dichloropropane NO COMPOUND NO COMPOUND Bromodichloromethane Dibromomethane 1,4-Dioxane NO COMPOUND NO COMPOUND NO COMPOUND 2-Hexanone Methyl isobutyl ketone cis-1,3-Dichloropropene Bromofluorobenzene Toluene trans-1,3-Dichloropropene NO COMPOUND 1,1,2-Trichloroethane 1,3-Dichloropropane Tetrachloroethene Dibromochloromethane 1,2-Dibromoethane	0.301 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.355 0.118 0.001 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.036 0.042 0.401 0.343 0.781 0.293 0.000 0.127 0.276 0.277 0.181 0.133	0.277 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.339 0.111 0.001 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.026 0.034 0.376 0.268 0.706 0.242 0.000 0.115 0.244 0.279 0.119	$\begin{array}{c} 8.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 4.5 \\ 5.9 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 27.8 \\ 19.0 \\ 6.2 \\ 21.9 \\ 9.6 \\ 21.9 \\ 9.6 \\ 17.4 \\ 0.0 \\ 9.4 \\ 9.4 \\ 9.4 \\ 9.4 \\ 9.4 \\ 9.6 \\ 11.6 \\ 9.4 \\ 9.4 \\ 9.4 \\ 9.4 \\ 9.4 \\ 9.5 \\ 9.4 \\ 9.4 \\ 9.4 \\ 9.4 \\ 9.4 \\ 9.5 \\ 9.4 \\ 9.5 \\ 9.4 \\ 9.5 \\ 9.4 \\ 9.5 \\$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
63 65 65 67 68 70 72 73 74 75 77 77 77 77 77	I TMP TMC TM TM TM TMP TMP TMP S TM TM TM TM TM TM TM TM TM TM	d5-Chlorobenzene Chlorobenzene 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane Ethylbenzene m,p-Xylene o-Xylene Styrene Bromoform Isopropylbenzene 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane 1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4 1,2,3-Trichloropropane trans-1,4-Dichloro-2-butene n-Propylbenzene Bromobenzene 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene 2-Chlorotoluene	1.000 1.060 0.335 2.150 0.766 0.700 1.074 0.116 1.922 0.220 0.361 0.160 0.031 2.555 0.330 1.478 1.534 1.349	1.000 1.101 0.340 2.242 0.792 0.699 1.083 0.119 1.748 0.217 0.314 0.145 0.028 2.555 0.323 1.518 1.611 1.364	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
(井) エ29) = 0 9421Q	ut of Range .D V505048I.M Fri May O	9 15:50:	44 2008		Page 2

Data File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\050908-I\I29421Q.D Vial: 3 Acq On : 9 May 2008 10:09 am Sample : L505098I Operator: Sample : L50509 Misc : 25000 Inst : GC/MS Ins Multiplr: 1.00 MS Integration Params: rteint.p Method : D:\HPCHEM\METHODS\V505048I.M (RTE Integrator) Title : 524.2 Purgable Organics Last Update : Fri May 09 15:39:25 2008 Response via : Multiple Level Calibration Min. RRF : 0.000 Min. Rel. Area : 50% Max. R.T. Dev 0.50min Max. RRF Dev : 30% Max. Rel. Area : 500% Compound AvgRF CCRF %Dev Area% Dev(min) tort hat 14 7 0 5 5 - ----0 7 00

81 I	FM tert-butylbenzene	1.257	1.266	-0.7	92 0.00	
82 I	IM 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	1.450	1.509	-4.1	96 0.00	
83 T	r no compound	0.000	0.000	0.0	0# -0.48	
84 T	M sec-butylbenzene	2.052	2.080	-1.4	92 0.00	
85 T	CM p-isopropyltoluene	1.559	1.516	2.8	90 0.00	
86 T	IM 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	0.670	0.669	0.1	94 ,0.00	
87 I	1,4-Dichlorobenzene-d4	1.000	1.000	0.0	921 0.02	
88 T	M 1,4-Dichlorobenzene	1.577	1.710	-8.4	96 0.00	
89 T	M n-butylbenzene	3.923	4.242	-8.1	93 0.00	
90 T	M 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	1.239	1.363	-10.0	95 0.00	
91 T	NO COMPOUND	0.000	0.000	0.0	0# -0.06	
9 <u>2</u> T	M 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropa	ne 0.078	0.076	2.6	80 0.03	
$\int \mathbf{T}$	NO COMPOUND	0.000	0.000	0.0	0# -0.06	
ד ב יצ'	M 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	0.766	0.779	-1.7	92 0.00	
95 T	M Hexachlorobutadiene	0.483	0.513	-6.2	94 0.02	
96 T	M Naphthalene	0.914	0.885	3.2	91 0.02	
97 TI	M 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	0.558	0.580	-3.9	96 0.00	
98 TI	M 1,3,5-Trichlorobenzene	1.072	1.156	-7.8	97 0.00	

(#) = Out of Range SPCC's out = 0 CCC's out = 0 I29421Q.D V505048I.M Fri May 09 15:50:44 2008

Data File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\050 Acq On : 9 May 2008 10:09 Sample : L505098I Misc : 25000 Integration Params: rteint. Ouant Time: May 9 10:17 2008	908-I\I29 am	9421Q.1	O Ope Ins Mul	Vial: 3 erator: st : GC/MS ltiplr: 1.00	Ins
Quant Method : D:\HPCHEM\METHO Title : 524.2 Purgable (Last Update : Mon May 05 12:42 Response via : Initial Calibrat DataAcq Meth : V504258I	DS\V50504 Drganics 2:08 2008 tion	8I.M (RTE Integra	ator) (1.9.08	pt. 12-08
Internal Standards	R.T.	QIon	Response	Conc Units Dev	v(Min)
 Pentafluorobenzene Fluorobenzene d5-Chlorobenzene 1,4-Dichlorobenzene-d4 	7.48 8.89 13.69 17.54	168 96 117 152	228246 608280 366135 141798	4.00 ug/L 4.00 ug/L 4.00 ug/L 4.00 ug/L 4.00 ug/L	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.02
System Monitoring Compounds 28) DB Holder #28 Spiked Amount 4.000 R 36) 1,4-Difluorobenzene Spiked Amount 4.000 R 54) Bromofluorobenzene Spiked Amount 4.000 R 73) 1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4 Spiked Amount 4.000 R	9.02 ange 70 9.02 ange 70 15.63 ange 70 18.15 ange 70	114 - 130 114 - 130 95 - 130 152 - 130	460937 Recover 460937 Recover 163050 Recover 115042 Recover	3.74 ug/L y = 93.50% 3.74 ug/L y = 93.50% 3.12 ug/L y = 78.00% 3.48 ug/L y = 87.00%	0.00
<pre>/arget Compounds 2) Dichlorodifluoromethane 3) Chloromethane 4) Vinyl Chloride 5) Bromomethane 6) Chloroethane 8) Trichlorofluoromethane 9) Diethyl ether 10) 1,1,2-Trichlorotrifluoroet 12) Acetone 13) 1,1-Dichloroethene 15) Di-isopropyl ether (DIPE) 16) Methylene Chloride 17) Carbon Disulfide 18) Acrylonitrile 19) Methyl-tert-butyl ether (M 20) trans-1,2-Dichloroethene 21) 1,1-Dichloroethane 23) Methyl ethyl ketone 24) Ethyl t-butyl ether (ETBE) 25) 2,2-Dichloropropane 26) cis-1,2-Dichloroethene 27) t-Amyl methyl ether (TAME) 29) Chloroform 30) Bromochloromethane 34) 1,1-Dichloropropene</pre>	1.82 2.08 2.20 2.72 2.82 3.15 3.60 3.80 3.88 4.06 5.93 4.86 4.83 5.08 5.15 5.35 6.04 6.77 6.58 6.96 7.02 8.38 7.27 7.52 7.90 8.15	85 50 62 94 64 101 74 101 43 61 45 84 76 53 73 96 73 96 73 83 128 97 75	54400 80987 70868 48375 41300 73564 16004 48323 23356 80212 151824 64633 176255 5104 129657 49871 93625 7206 104579 75467 49398 75373 82947 14891 72109 71418	Qv 0.80 ug/L 0.79 ug/L 0.94 ug/L 0.88 ug/L 0.85 ug/L 0.86 ug/L 0.86 ug/L 0.94 ug/L 3.55 ug/L 0.94 ug/L 0.93 ug/L 0.90 ug/L 0.72 ug/L 0.72 ug/L 0.74 ug/L # 1.75 ug/L 0.90 ug/L 4.12 ug/L # 0.88 ug/L 0.90 ug/L 0.90 ug/L 0.90 ug/L 0.90 ug/L 0.90 ug/L 0.88 ug/L 0.88 ug/L 0.88 ug/L 0.85 ug/L 0.89 ug/L 0.86 ug/L	alue 98 100 99 94 99 93 98 99 97 100 97 100 81 97 97 100 81 97 97 97 97 100 98 95 97 97 97 97 97 97 97 97 97 97
(#) = qualifier out of range (m) I29421Q.D V505048I.M Fri N) = manua 4ay 09 10	⊥ inte :17:11	gration 2008	Pa	ge 1

Analytics Report 61274 page 0094 of 115

Data File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\050908-I\I29421Q.DVial: 3Acq On : 9 May 2008 10:09 amOperator:Sample : L505098IInst : GC/MS InsMisc : 25000Multiplr: 1.00. Integration Params: rteint.pQuant Time: May 9 10:17 2008Quant Time: May 9 10:17 2008Quant Results File: V505048I.RESQuant Method : D:\HPCHEM\METHODS\V505048I.M (RTE Integrator)Title : 524.2 Purgable OrganicsLast Update : Mon May 05 12:42:08 2008Response via : Initial CalibrationDataAcq Meth : V504258I

	Compound	R.T.	QIon	Response	Conc Unit	Qvalue
35	5) Carbon Tetrachloride	8.29	 119	56118	0.90 uq/L	96
37) 1,2-Dichloroethane	8.54	62	40865	0.91 ug/L	97
38	B) Benzene	8.55	78	184634	0.85 ug/L	96
4 ()) Trichloroethene	9.50	95	48104	0.93 ug/L	98
41	1,2-Dichloropropane	9.77	63	42197	0.92 ug/L	99
45	5) Bromodichloromethane	10.12	83	51553	0.95 ug/L	99
46	5) Dibromomethane	10.19	93	16942	0.94 ug/L	96
47) 1,4-Dioxane	10.20	88	3717	22.92 ug/L	86
51	.) 2-Hexanone	12.04	58	19640	3.61 ug/L	93
52	?) Methyl isobutyl ketone	10.69	58	25842	4.06 ug/L	97
53) cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	10.98	75	57172	0.94 ug/L	98
55	5) Toluene	11.46	92	107336	0.90 ug/L	99
_ 56) trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	11.76	75	36739	0.83 ug/L	100
(58) 1,1,2-Trichloroethane	12.00	83	17428	0.91 ug/L	92
` <u>~</u> `59) 1,3-Dichloropropane	12.38	76	37077	0.88 ug/L	90
60) Tetrachloroethene	12.48	166	42410	1.01 ug/L	99
61) Dibromochloromethane	12.77	129	24413	0.89 ug/L	89
62) 1,2-Dibromoethane	13.09	107	18087	0.89 ug/L	98
64) Chlorobenzene	13.75	112	100780	1.04 ug/L	94
65) 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	13.83	131	31131	1.02 ug/L	98
66) Ethylbenzene	13.85	91	205181	1.04 ug/L	98
67) m,p-Xylene	13.98	106	144979	2.07 ug/L	99
68) o-Xylene	14.66	106	64024	1.00 ug/L	100
69) Styrene	14.72	104	99125	1.01 ug/L	97
70) Bromoform	15.23	173	10852	1.02 ug/L	97
71) Isopropylbenzene	15.24	105	160027	0.91 ug/L	95
72) 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	15.50	83	19852	0.99 ug/L	89
74) 1,2,3-Trichloropropane	15.74	75	13235	0.90 ug/L	95
75) trans-1,4-Dichloro-2-buten	15.88	88	2577	0.93 ug/L	48
76) n-Propylbenzene	15.88	91	233902	1.00 ug/L	97
77) Bromobenzene	15.93	156	29564	0.98 ug/L ‡	† 78
78) 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	16.14	105	138973	1.03 ug/L	100
79) 2-Chlorotoluene	16.15	91	147472	1.05 uq/L	95
80)) 4-Chlorotoluene	16.23	91	124821	1.01 ug/L	98
81)) tert-butylbenzene	16.72	119	115904	1.01 ug/L	97
82)) 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	16.78	105	138156	1.04 ug/L	97
84)) sec-butylbenzene	17.06	105	190423	1.01 ug/L	99
85)) p-isopropyltoluene	17.29	119	138801	0.97 ug/L	96
<u>~~</u> 86)	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	17.42	146	61210	1.00 ug/L	97
()88)	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	17.59	146	60636	1.08 ug/L	99
89)	n-butylbenzene	17.96	91	150362	1.08 ug/L	95
 (#)	= qualifier out of range (m)	= manua	 al int	egration	·	

129421Q.D V505048I.M Fri May 09 10:17:11 2008

Data File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\050908-I\I29421Q.D Vial: 3 Acq On : 9 May 2008 10:09 am Operator: Sample : L505098I Inst : GC/MS Ins ~ຳ sc : 25000 Multiplr: 1.00 Integration Params: rteint.p Quant Results File: V505048I.RES Quant Time: May 9 10:17 2008 Quant Method : D:\HPCHEM\METHODS\V505048I.M (RTE Integrator) : 524.2 Purgable Organics Title Last Update : Mon May 05 12:42:08 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : V504258I

		Compound	R.T.	QTOU	Response	COLC UNIT	Qvarue
	 90) 92) 94) 95) 95) 96)	1,2-Dichlorobenzene 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropan 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene Hexachlorobutadiene Naphthalene 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	18.18 19.49 20.93 21.18 21.34 21.77	146 75 180 225 128 180	48307 2711 27610 18194 31378 20561	1.10 ug/L 0.99 ug/L 1.02 ug/L 1.06 ug/L 0.97 ug/L 1.04 ug/L	90 88 95 93 95 94
9	8)	1,3,5-Trichlorobenzene	19.88	180	40974	1.08 ug/L	. 95



Analytics Report 61274 page 0097 of 115

, , ,	Data Acq Sam Miso MS	a File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\050908 On : 9 May 2008 10:17 an ple : L505098I2 c : 25000 Integration Params: rteint.p	3-I\I294 n	22Q.D	Vial: Operator: Inst : Multiplr:	4 GC/MS Ins 1.00
	Metł Tit] Last Resp	nod : D:\HPCHEM\METHODS\ le : 524.2 Purgable Org Update : Fri May 09 15:39:2 ponse via : Multiple Level Cal	V505048 anics 5 2008 ibratior	I.M (RTE)	Integrator /	.9.08 At 2.0
	Min. Max.	RRF : 0.000 Min. Rel. RRF Dev : 30% Max. Rel.	Area : Area :	50% Max 500%	c. R.T. Dev 0	.50min
		Compound	AvgRF	CCRF	%Dev Area	% Dev(min)
1234567890123 111111112222222222333335678 11123 67890123456789012334567890123345678	I TM TMP TMC TM TM TM TM TM TM TM TM TM TM TM TM TM	Pentafluorobenzene Dichlorodifluoromethane Chloromethane Vinyl Chloride Bromomethane Chloroethane t-Butyl alcohol (TBA) Trichlorofluoromethane Diethyl ether 1,1,2-Trichlorotrifluoroeth Acrolein Acetone 1,1-Dichloroethene Methyl iodide Di-isopropyl ether (DIPE) Methylene Chloride Carbon Disulfide Acrylonitrile Methyl-tert-butyl ether (MT trans-1,2-Dichloroethene 1,1-Dichloroethane Vinyl acetate Methyl ethyl ketone Ethyl t-butyl ether (ETBE) 2,2-Dichloropropane cis-1,2-Dichloroethene t-Amyl methyl ether (TAME) DB Holder #28 Chloroform Bromochloromethane Tetrahydrofuran 1,1,1-Trichloroethane NO COMPOUND 1,1-Dichloropropene Carbon Tetrachloride 1,4-Difluorobenzene 1,2-Dichloroethane Benzene	1.000 1.196 1.706 1.349 0.968 0.849 0.031 1.506 0.252 0.100 1.507 0.000 2.958 1.410 3.360 0.120 1.299 0.998 1.823 0.000 0.120 1.299 0.998 1.823 0.000 0.312 1.299 0.998 1.823 0.000 0.312 1.299 0.998 1.823 0.000 0.312 1.299 0.958 1.509 1.508 1	1.000 0.912 1.338 1.144 0.812 0.687 0.025 1.235 0.264 0.809 0.020 0.020 0.081 1.353 0.000 2.534 1.152 2.974 0.101 2.176 $0.0000.241.5660.0000.0241.7571.2960.8361.5660.0000.0241.7571.2960.8461.2621.9881.4610.2540.0861.2220.0001.2300.9711.9880.6853.133$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.01\\ 0.02\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.01\\ 0.00\\ 0.01\\ 0.00\\ 0.01\\ 0.01\\ 0.01\\ 0.01\\ 0.01\\ 0.01\\ 0.01\\ 0.01\\ 0.01\\ 0.01\\ 0.01\\ 0.01\\ 0.01\\ 0.01\\ 0.01\\ 0.01\\ 0.01\\ 0.01\\ 0.00\\$
	ב זארי	Fluorobenzene	1.000	1.000	0.0 102	0.00
±0 1 (#)		 of Range	∪.34⊥ 	0.312	8.5 89	0.01

(#) = 000 01 Range 129422Q.D V505048I.M

Fri May 09 15:53:07 2008

1	Data Acq Samp Misc MS I	File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\050908 On : 9 May 2008 10:17 am le : L50509812 : 25000 ntegration Params: rteint.p	-I\I2942	2Q.D	Via Operato Inst Multip	al: 4 or: : GC/MS Ins lr: 1.00
	Meth Titl Last Resp	od : D:\HPCHEM\METHODS\ e : 524.2 Purgable Orga Update : Fri May 09 15:39:29 onse via : Multiple Level Cal)			
	Min. Max.	RRF : 0.000 Min. Rel. RRF Dev : 30% Max. Rel.	Area : Area :	50% Max. 500%	R.T. Dev	7 0.50min
		Compound	AvgRF	CCRF	*Dev A	\rea%
412 445 445 445 445 555 555 567 555 661 62	TMC T T TM TM TM T T T T TM TM TM TM TM TM	1,2-Dichloropropane NO COMPOUND NO COMPOUND NO COMPOUND Bromodichloromethane Dibromomethane 1,4-Dioxane NO COMPOUND NO COMPOUND NO COMPOUND 2-Hexanone Methyl isobutyl ketone cis-1,3-Dichloropropene Bromofluorobenzene Toluene trans-1,3-Dichloropropene NO COMPOUND 1,1,2-Trichloroethane 1,3-Dichloropropane Tetrachloroethene Dibromochloromethane 1,2-Dibromoethane	0.301 0.000 0.000 0.355 0.118 0.001 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.036 0.042 0.401 0.343 0.781 0.293 0.000 0.127 0.276 0.277 0.133	0.271 0.000 0.000 0.341 0.107 0.001 0.000 0.000 0.025 per hold 0.363 0.266 0.697 0.229 0.000 0.119 0.241 0.274 0.155 0.117	$ \begin{array}{c} 10.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 3.9\\ 9.3\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 30.6\\ 19.0\\ 9.5\\ 22.4\\ 10.8\\ 21.8\\ 0.0\\ 6.3\\ 12.7\\ 1.1\\ 14.4\\ 12.0\\ \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$\begin{array}{c} 63\\ 64\\ 65\\ 66\\ 7\\ 7\\ 7\\ 7\\ 7\\ 7\\ 7\\ 7\\ 7\\ 7\\ 80\\ -1 \end{array}$	I TMP TMC TM TM TM TMP TM TMP S TM TM TM TM TM TM TM TM TM TM	d5-Chlorobenzene Chlorobenzene 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane Ethylbenzene m,p-Xylene o-Xylene Styrene Bromoform Isopropylbenzene 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane 1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4 1,2,3-Trichloropropane trans-1,4-Dichloro-2-butene n-Propylbenzene Bromobenzene 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene 2-Chlorotoluene	1.000 1.060 0.335 2.150 0.766 0.700 1.074 0.116 1.922 0.220 0.361 0.160 0.031 2.555 0.330 1.478 1.534 1.349	1.000 1.114 0.341 2.246 0.801 0.703 1.074 0.116 1.751 0.214 0.316 0.145 0.021 NT 2.589 0.325 1.495 1.593 1.362	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0\\ -5.1\\ -1.8\\ -4.5\\ -4.6\\ -0.4\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 8.9\\ 2.7\\ 12.5\\ 9.4\\ 32.3\\ +\\ -1.3\\ 1.5\\ -1.2\\ -3.8\\ -1.0\\ -1.0\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
(# I2) = C 9422Q	out of Range D V505048I.M Fri May 01	9 15:53:	07 2008		Page 2

	Data Acq Samp Misc MS I	File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\050908 On : 9 May 2008 10:17 am ole : L505098I2 : 25000 Integration Params: rteint.p	2Q.D	Via Operato Inst Multipl	al: 4 pr: : GC/MS Ins .r: 1.00	
	Meth Titl Last Resp	od : D:\HPCHEM\METHODS\ e : 524.2 Purgable Org Update : Fri May 09 15:39:2 onse via : Multiple Level Cal	V505048I anics 5 2008 ibration	.M (RTE Int	egrator)	
	Min. Max.	RRF : 0.000 Min. Rel. RRF Dev : 30% Max. Rel.	Area : Area :	50% Max. 500%	R.T. Dev	0.50min
		Compound	AvgRF	CCRF	%Dev A	rea% Dev(min)
81 82 83 84 85 86	TM TM T TM TM TM	tert-butylbenzene 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene NO COMPOUND sec-butylbenzene p-isopropyltoluene 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	1.257 1.450 0.000 2.052 1.559 0.670	1.250 1.485 0.000 2.086 1.540 0.657	0.6 -2.4 0.0 -1.7 1.2 1.9	88 0.00 92 0.00 0# -0.48 90 0.00 89 0.00 90 0.01
87 88 90 91 92 95 96 96	I TM TM T T TM T TM TM TM TM	1,4-Dichlorobenzene-d4 1,4-Dichlorobenzene n-butylbenzene 1,2-Dichlorobenzene NO COMPOUND 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane NO COMPOUND 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene Hexachlorobutadiene Naphthalene	1.000 1.577 3.923 1.239 0.000 0.078 0.000 0.766 0.483 0.914	1.000 1.662 4.338 1.368 0.000 0.073 0.000 0.749 0.506 0.887	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0 \\ -5.4 \\ -10.6 \\ -10.4 \\ 0.0 \\ 6.4 \\ 0.0 \\ 2.2 \\ -4.8 \\ 3.0 \\ 2.2 \end{array}$	89 0.01 91 0.00 92 0.00 93 0.00 0# -0.06 74 0.01 0# -0.06 85 0.01 90 0.01 88 0.01
98	TM	1.3.5-Trichlorobenzene	1.072	1.156	-78	95 0.01

1.072

1.156

-7.8

95

0.00

(#) = Out of Range

1,3,5-Trichlorobenzene

98 TM

SPCC's out = 0 CCC's out = 0 I29422Q.D V505048I.M Fri May 09 15:53:08 2008

Data File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\05 Acq On : 9 May 2008 10:1 Sample : L505098I2 Misc : 25000 Integration Params: rteint Quant Time: May 9 15:52 200	0908-I\I29 7 am .p 8	422Q.E	Open Inst Mult want Results	Vial: rator: : : :iplr: : File	4 GC/MS 1.00 : V5050	Ins 481.RES
Quant Method : D:\HPCHEM\METH Title : 524.2 Purgable Last Update : Mon May 05 12: Response via : Initial Calibr DataAcq Meth : V504258I	ODS\V50504 Organics 42:08 2008 ation	8I.M (RTE Integrat	(Pr)	AG.V	Å
Internal Standards	R.T.	QIon	Response C	onc Ur!	nits Der	v(Min)
 Pentafluorobenzene Fluorobenzene d5-Chlorobenzene 1,4-Dichlorobenzene-d4 	7.48 8.89 13.70 17.54	168 96 117 152	228560 600652 355782 137628	4.00 4.00 4.00 4.00	ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L	0.00 0.00 0.01 0.01
System Monitoring Compounds 28) DB Holder #28 Spiked Amount 4.000 36) 1,4-Difluorobenzene Spiked Amount 4.000 54) Bromofluorobenzene Spiked Amount 4.000 73) 1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4 Spiked Amount 4.000	9.02 Range 70 9.02 Range 70 15.63 Range 70 18.14 Range 70	114 - 130 114 - 130 95 - 130 152 - 130	454266 Recovery 454266 Recovery 159538 Recovery 112332 Recovery	3.68 = 3.68 = 3.09 = 3.50 =	ug/L 92.00% ug/L 92.00% ug/L 77.25% ug/L 87.50%	0.00
L'arget Compounds					, Qv	ralue
2) Dichlorodifluoromethane	1.82	85	52088	0.76	ug/L	98
3) Chloromethane	2.08	50	/6442 65263	0.74	ug/ь ug/т	99
5) Bromomethane	2.72	94	46411	0.84	ug/n ug/T	98
6) Chloroethane	2.82	64	39270	0.81	ug/L ug/L	94
8) Trichlorofluoromethane	3,15	101	70575	0.82	uq/L	93
9) Diethyl ether	3.58	74	15057	0.83	ug/L	93
10) 1,1,2-Trichlorotrifluorc	et 3.80	101	46201	0.90	ug/L	98
12) Acetone	3.88	43	23278m	3.53	ug/L	
13) 1,1-Dichloroethene	4.06	61	77333	0.90	ug/L	95
15) Di-isopropyl ether (DIPE	5.93	45	144812	0.86	ug/노	98
16) Metnylene Unioride	4.85	84 76	169953	0.74 A 89	ug/L ug/T.	90 100
18) Acrylonitrile	· 5.06	53	5789	0.84	ug/11 ug/1, #	86
19) Methyl-tert-butyl ether	(M 5.15	73	124317	1.67	uq/L	99
20) trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	5.35	96	47794	0.84	ug/L	95
21) 1,1-Dichloroethane	6.05	63	89505	0.86 1	ug/L	98
23) Methyl ethyl ketone	6.78	72	6826	3.90 1	ıg∕L #	92
24) Ethyl t-butyl ether (ETB	E) 6.59	59	100375	0.84 1	ug/L	100
25) 2,2-Dichloropropane	6.96	77	74067	0.90 1	ug/L væ/т	97
26) CIS-1,2-DICHIOTOETHENE 27) t-Amyl methyl other (TAM	7.02 F() 9.27	ン0 ツマ	40368 70111	0.001	ч 9 /⊥ 1α/Т	92 93
29) Chloroform	7.27	83	83456	0.891	-∍/ 1α/T	100
30) Bromochloromethane	7.52	128	14489	0.83 ι	1q/L	93
()32) 1,1,1-Trichloroethane	7.90	97	69843	0.86 i	ıg/L	96
34) 1,1-Dichloropropene	8.15	75	70294	0.85 ι	ıg/L	98
(#) = qualifier out of range I29422Q.D V505048I.M Fr:	(m) = manua i May 09 19	al int 5:53:1	 egration 1 2008		Pa	 ige 1

Data File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\050908-I\I29422Q.D Vial: 4 Acq On : 9 May 2008 10:17 am Operator: Sample : L505098I2 Inst : GC/MS Ins Misc : 25000 Multiplr: 1.00 Integration Params: rteint.p Quant Time: May 9 15:52 2008 Quant Results File: V505048I.RES Quant Method : D:\HPCHEM\METHODS\V505048I.M (RTE Integrator) Title : 524.2 Purgable Organics Last Update : Mon May 05 12:42:08 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : V504258I

	Compound	R.T.	QIon	Response	Conc Unit	Qvalue
	5) Carbon Tetrachloride	8.29	119	55511	0.88 ug/L	97
3	7) 1,2-Dichloroethane	8.53	62	39150	0.87 ug/L	95
3	8) Benzene	8.54	78	178996	0.83 ug/L	98
4	0) Trichloroethene	9.51	95	46835	0.92 ug/L	-98
4	1) 1,2-Dichloropropane	9.77	63	40705	0.90 ug/L	´ 99
4	5) Bromodichloromethane	10.12	83	51205	0.96 ug/L	96
4	5) Dibromomethane	10.20	93	16120	0.91 ug/L	97
4	7) 1,4-Dioxane	10.21	88	3249	20.29 ug/L	97
51	1) 2-Hexanone	12.04	58	19001m	3.54 ug/L	
52	2) Methyl isobutyl ketone	10.69	58	25556	4.07 ug/L	98
53	3) cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	10.98	75	54465	0.90 ug/L	96
5.	5) Toluene	11.46	92	104699	0.89 ug/L	99
5(5) trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	11.76	75	34435	0.78 ug/L	99
58	3) 1,1,2-Trichloroethane	11.99	83	17942	0.94 ug/L	96
59) 1,3-Dichloropropane	12.39	76	36133	0.87 ug/L	97
6()) Tetrachloroethene	12.47	166	41150	0.99 ug/L	94
61) Dibromochloromethane	12.78	129	23252	0.86 ug/L	96
62	2) 1,2-Dibromoethane	13.09	107	17565	0.88 ug/L	`96
64) Chlorobenzene	13.75	112	99093	1.05 ug/L	93
65	5) 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	13.83	131	30320	1.02 ug/L	99
66	5) Ethylbenzene	13.84	91	199790	1.04 ug/L	96
67) m,p-Xylene	13.97	106	142484	2.09 ug/L	99
68) o-Xylene	14.65	106	62505	1.00 ug/L	100
69) Styrene	14.71	104	95502	1.00 ug/L	99
70) Bromoform	15.22	173	10293	0.99 ug/L	94
71) Isopropylbenzene	15.23	105	155730	0.91 ug/L	96
72) 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	15.51	83	19020	0.97 ug/L	
74) 1,2,3-Trichloropropane	15.74	75	12938	0.91 ug/L :	# 87
75) trans-1,4-Dichloro-2-buten	15.88	88	1877	0.73 ug/L :	# 57
76) n-Propylbenzene	15.89	91	230249	1.01 ug/L	99
77) Bromobenzene	15.92	156	28945	0.99 ug/L	94
78) 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	16.14	105	132939	1.01 ug/L	98
79) 2-Chlorotoluene	16.15	91	141709	1.04 ug/L	95
80) 4-Chlorotoluene	16.23	91	121157	1.01 ug/L	98
81) tert-butylbenzene	16.71	119	111209	0.99 ug/L	95
82) 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	16.77	105	132098	1.02 ug/L	98
84) sec-butylbenzene	17.06	105	185530	1.02 ug/L	99
85) p-isopropyltoluene	17.28	119	136953	0.99 ug/L	97
<u>86 ر</u> ش) 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	17.42	146	58447	0.98 ug/L	98
88) 1,4-Dichlorobenzene	17.59	146	57171	1.05 ug/L	95
89) n-butylbenzene	T. 7.92	9T	149272	1.11 ug/L	95
·						

(#) = qualifier out of range (m) = manual integration I29422Q.D V505048I.M · Fri May 09 15:53:11 2008

Data File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\050908-I\I29422Q.D Vial: 4 Acq On : 9 May 2008 10:17 am Operator: : L50509812 Sample Inst : GC/MS Ins Misc : 25000 Multiplr: 1.00 Integration Params: rteint.p Quant Time: May 9 15:52 2008 Quant Results File: V505048I.RES Quant Method : D:\HPCHEM\METHODS\V505048I.M (RTE Integrator) Title : 524.2 Purgable Organics Last Update : Mon May 05 12:42:08 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : V504258I

	compound	R.T.	QTON	Response	Conc Unit	Qvalue
90)	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	18.18	146	47056	1.10 µg/L	97 "
92) 94)	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	20.93	180	25754	0.94 ug/L 0.98 ug/L	# 69 97
95) 96)	Hexachlorobutadiene Naphthalene	21.18 21.34	225 128	17423 30521	1.05 ug/L 0.97 ug/L	99 97
97) 98)	1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene 1,3,5-Trichlorobenzene	21.78 19.88	180 180	19822 39764	1.03 ug/L 1.08 ug/L	97 100

(#) = qualifier out of range (m) = manual integration I29422Q.D V505048I.M Fri May 09 15:53:11 2008

Page 3

Analytics Report 61274 page 0103 of 115



Analytics Report 61274 page 0104 of 115



I29422Q.D V505048I.M

Mon May 12 13:39:10 2008

Analytics Report 61274 page 0105 of 115



I29422Q.D V505048I.M

Mon May 12 13:39:17 2008

Analytics Report 61274 page 0106 of 115

VOLATILE ORGANIC INTERNAL STANDARD AREA AND RETENTION TIME SUMMARY

Instrument ID: I GC Column: RTX-502.2 Column ID: 0.25 mm SDG: 61274

	IS 1		IS 1		IS 2		IS 2		IS 3		IS 3		IS 4		IS 4
12 HR STANDARD	AREA	#	RT	#	AREA	#	RT	#	AREA	#	RT	#	AREA	#	RT #
1.0PPB STD	204381		7.47		589601		8.88		387633		13.68		154825		17.52
Upper Limit	408762	Т	7.53		1179202		8.94		775266		13.74		309650		17.58
Lower limit	143067		7.41		412721		8.82		271343	L	13.62		108378		17.46
SAMPLE ID															
0,1PPB STD	214621	Τ	7.47		615691		8.88	Γ	398534	Γ	13.68	Γ	149545		17.52
0.2PPB STD	203410	+	7.47		590218	1	8.87	┢	387821	\square	13.68	1	146498		17.52
0.5PPB STD	206030	1	7,47		593719	F	8.88		392042	1	13.68	1	153524	\square	17.52
1.0PPB STD	204381	1	7.47	\square	589601		8,88	1	387633	1	13.68	1	154825		17.52
2.0PPB STD	203899	Τ	7.46		600739		8.87	T	403991	1	13.67	Γ	174984	Π	17.52
5.0PPB STD	211082	1	7.46		616372		8.87		422901	Γ	13.67	Ι	197523	Π	17.53
10PPB STD	237975	1	7.46	Π	677353		8.87	Ι	472.595	Γ	13.67	Γ	204460		17.53
20PPB STD	283782	Т	7.46		778451		8.87	T	539610		13.67		229154		17.53
													`		
						L		ļ		Ļ		ļ			
								ļ		Į					
								ļ							
										ļ					
		-				Ļ		ļ		 					
		4		┝─┤			.,.,			 		 		$\left \right $	
						<u> </u>								$\left - \right $	
				$\left \right $											
				$\left \right $				-						\vdash	
		\vdash		┝╍┥						 				\square	
		<u> </u>		┝─┤										┝──┤	
				⊢∤										┝─┤	
-		+		┝╌┥										┝─┼	
		+		\vdash				\square		$\left - \right $				\vdash	

IS 1 = Pentafluorobenzene

Area upper limit = + 100% IS area

IS 2 = Fluorobenzene

Area lower limit = -30% lS area

IS 3 = d5-Chlorobenzene

orobenzene RT upper limit = + 0.06 min of IS RRT

1S 4 = 1,4-Dichlorobenzene-d4

RT lower limit = -0.06 min of IS RRT

Column to be used to flag values outside of QC limits

* Values outside QC limits

VOA FORM 8

Analytics Report 61274 page 0107 of 115

ile : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\050408-I\I29305T.D re : 4 May 2008 11:48 am

Calibration File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\050408-I\129309SI.D

387633

204381

589601

154825

File	Sample	Surro	ogate	Recov	very %	Internal	Standard	Responses
=============== I29306SI.D	0.1PPB S	100	100 14	92 9545	91	214621	615691	398534
129307SI.D	0.2PPB S	101	101	96 6498	92	203410	590218	387821
1293085I.D	0.5PPB S	100	100 15	97 3524	94	206030	593719	392042
129309SI.D	1.0PPB S	100	100 15	95 4825	94	204381	589601	387633
129310SI.D	2.0PPB S	102	102 17	101 4984	103	203899	600739	403991
129311SI.D	5.0PPB S	102	102 : 19	107 7523	111	211082	616372	422901
I29312SI.D	10PPB ST	99	99 204	105 4460	104	237975	677353	472595
I29313SI.D	20PPB ST	96	96 229	98 9154	101	283782	778451	· 539610
 t - fa	ils 12hr ti	me ch	eck	* _	fails cri	teria		

Created: Tue May 06 13:02:44 2008 GC MS Ins

VOLATILE ORGANIC INTERNAL STANDARD AREA AND RETENTION TIME SUMMARY

SDG: 61274

Instrument ID: I GC Column: RTX-502.2 Column ID: 0.25 mm

1S 4 IS 4 IS I IS 2 IS 2 **IS 3** IS 1 IS 3 # # # # RT # AREA # RT # 12 HR STANDARD RT AREA RT AREA AREA # 141798 17.54 608280 8.89 366135 13.69 L5050981 228246 7.48 732270 13.75 283596 17.60 Upper Limit 456492 7.54 1216560 8.95 99259 17.48 256295 13.63 425796 8.83 Lower limit 159772 7.42 SAMPLE ID 17.54 608280 13.69 141798 L505098I 228246 7.48 8.89 366135 137628 17.54 L50509812 228560 7.48 600652 8.89 355782 13.70 17.54 13.70 125267 B505098I 232452 7.49 609607 8.89 349668 338942 13.70 111391 17.54 61274-2 222878 7.49 585152 8.89 61274-1 208367 7.49 554910 8.89 320229 13.70 111010 17.54 13.70 17.54 61274-1, DL 208480 7.49 558710 8.90 335034 118568

1S 1 = Pentafluorobenzene

Area upper limit = +100% IS area

IS 2 = Fluorobenzene

Area lower limit = -30% IS area

IS 3 = d5-Chlorobenzene

IS 4 = 1,4-Dichlorobenzene-d4

RT upper limit = +0.06 min of IS RRT RT lower limit = -0.06 min of IS RRT

Column to be used to flag values outside of QC limits

* Values outside QC limits

VOA FORM 8

Analytics Report 61274 page 0109 of 115

Tune File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\050908-I\I29419T.D Tune Time : 9 May 2008 9:00 am

Daily Calibration File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\050908-I\I29421Q.D

AV 2.08 366135 M sponses 5 . 12.0

228246

,

608280

141798

	File	Sample	Surr	ogate	Reco	very a	5 Internal	Standard	Responses
	I29421Q.D	L505098I	94	94 94	78 1798	87	228246	608280	366135
	I29422Q.D	L505098I	92	92 13	77 37628	87	228560	600652	355782
	I29423B.D	B505098I	92	92 12	73 25267	81	232452	609607	349668
	I29424.D	61274-2	93	93 11	71 1391	73	222878	585152	338942
	I29425.D	61276-5	92	92 10	70 9003	78	212994	556439	317029
	I29426.D	61276-1	94	94 10	72 7530	78	207872	552641	317634
	I29427.D	61276-2	94	94 11	76 7356	79	211114	557502	337927
	I29428.D	61276-3	95	95 11	72 2063	78	206089	558179	332719
-	I29429.D	61276-4	94	94 10	72 8367	78	207210	556928	319503
-	E29430.D	61274-1	95	95 11:	71 1010	77	208367	554910	320229
- 1 - 1	[29431.D	61214-4	94	94 114	75 4301	82	210264	550856	322568
- ב	[29432.D	61274-1,	95	95 118	76 8568	82	208480	558710	335034
I	29433.D	61276-4,	94	94 112	71 2515	79	207671	552151	321401
I	29434SP.D	61276-1,	94	94 144	80 1220	98	214432	569355	330124
I	35SP.D	61276-1,	94	94 . 159	84 9153	96	228290	602054	365771
I	29437.D	61214-5	94	94 120	75)515	84	213759	564992	332220
-									

Analytics Report 61274 page 0110 of 115
			1	19970				
I29439.D	61214-7	94	94 13	75 18059	82	211034	555540	330497
I. 40.D	(1)61257-1 RL in new	94 v>7n	94 daw ¹¹	74 L5981	81	204999	548787	327679
I29442.D	(£61286-1	94	94 11	74 .3829	78	208479	549687	321934
I29443.D	(£61286-2	94	94 11	73 .1607	75	208160	550871	327258
t - f	t - fails 12hr time check * - fails criteria							

Created: Mon May 12 13:33:55 2008 GC MS Ins

Analytics Report 61274 page 0112 of 115

CHAIN OF CUSTODIES

a 1	Υ.			
	THE LEADER IN ENVIRONMENTAL, TESTINO		(1)	D.S. K
		Zime S24.2 Revision 4 524.2 Revision 4	1720 X X	2
ompany Chain of Custody	Burtington 30 Community Onive, Suite 11 South Burtington, VT 05403 Tek 802 660-1990 Fax: 802 660-1919	Date Sampled	05/05/2008 05/05/2008	
Interco		Matrix	WATER WATER	
	te 125361 B VESTN1 ID WESTN1 Name FLDS It Per Agreement with Steve Knothmeyer	Lab Sample ID	751318 751525	
	SDG/Job Reference PO Reference Project I Project Nam Due Dal	Customer Sample ID	2 COLOGDI-050508	

de: D

Report By nutch 5 Rolf 08

1800

Time:

05/08/08

Relinquished By:

Intercompany Chain of Custody

ANALYTICS SAMPLE RE	CEIPT CHECKLIST
---------------------	-----------------

AELLAB#: 61274	COOLER NUMBER:	
CLIENT:	NUMBER OF COOLERS:	
PROJECT:	DATE RECEIVED:	519/08
	、 、	Flated
A: PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION:	DATE COOLER OPENED:	<u>d408</u>
1. Cooler received by(initials) \mathcal{PM}	Date Received:	54108
2. Circle one: Hand delivered	Shipped	
3. Did cooler come with a shipping slip2 \mathcal{L}	Y	N N
3a. Enter carrier name and airbill number here:	40305	14058402
4. Were custody seals on the outside of cooler? Y How many & where:Seal Date: 5805	Seal Name:	N
5. Did the custody seals arrive unbroken and intact upon arrival?	(Y))	Ν
6. COC#:		
7. Were Custody papers filled out properly (ink,signed, etc)?	\Rightarrow	N
8. Were custody papers sealed in a plastic bag?	$\langle \mathbf{r} \rangle$	Ν
9. Did you sign the COC in the appropriate place?	$\langle \mathbf{x} \rangle$	Ν
10. Was the project identifiable from the COC papers?	Y	N O
11. Was enough ice used to chill the cooler? $\vec{\mathbf{y}}$ N	Temp. of cooler:	3.
B. Log-In: Date samples were logged in:	By: PM	_
12. Type of packing in cooler (bubble wrap, popcorn)		Ν
13. Were all bottles sealed in separate plastic bags?	(¥)	N
14. Did all bottles arrive unbroken and were labels in good condition?	Y	N
15. Were all bottle labels complete(ID,Date,time,etc.)	(Y)) N
16. Did all bottle labels agree with custody papers?	$\langle \mathbf{x} \rangle$	N
17. Were the correct containers used for the tests indicated:	(r	N
18. Were samples received at the correct pH?	Y	(N/A)
19. Was sufficient amount of sample sent for the tests indicated?		N
20. Were bubbles absent in VOA samples?	(\mathbf{y})	N
If NO, List sample #'s:		
21. Laboratory labeling verified by (initials): AK	Date:	5/08/08

-_______ laboratary LLC

anah)



DATA REVIEW CHECKLIST

Maine Fur SITE: LAB NUMBER: AND WESTON SAMPLE IDs: 601

			Fra	action			
Data Reviewed	15t	¥		- <u>T</u>			Comments
	500						
Chain of Custody		P					
Percent Solids/RLs		+		+			
Preservation/Log Sheet	+	+	+				
Holding Time	+			<u> </u>		_	
Field Blanks (Trip/Equip.)		<u> </u>		<u> </u>			
Instrument/Method Blanks (Soils/Solids)							
Instrument/Method Blanks (Aqueous)	\checkmark						
MS/MSD (Soil/Solids)					1	1	
MS/MSD (Aqueous)							
LCS/LCSD	Im						
Blank Spikes (BS/BSD)							
Lab Duplicates							
Field Duplicates							
Surrogate Recoveries							
Note		<u>L</u>					

Note:

Data reviewed but not commented on is considered acceptable. $\sqrt{1}$ = Data Reviewed

NA = Not Applicable

Qualifiers Used:

Tetrahydro Furan UD76-4 above calibrange in COLOGDI-050808+ No dilution found = J) Mech not meet cc ctd DS.

Data Reviewer Initials: Date: Q/U/Urenewed, cumm 20x 4/0/100)



Mr. Ron Pentowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11 South Burlington VT 05403

Report Number: 61276 **Revision: Rev. 0**

Re: MEFUDS-LO-58

03886.184

Enclosed are the results of the analyses on your sample(s). Samples were received on 09 May 2008 and analyzed for the tests listed below. Samples were received in acceptable condition, with the exceptions noted below or on the chain of custody. These results pertain to samples as received by the laboratory and for the analytical tests requested on the chain of custody. The results reported herein conform to the most current NELAC standards, where applicable, unless otherwise narrated in the body of the report. Please see individual reports for specific methodologies and references.

Lab Number	Sample Date	Station Location	Analysis	Comments
61276-1	05/08/08	AMAC-TAP	EPA 524.2 Volatile Organic	s
61276-2	05/08/08	VFW-TAP	EPA 524.2 Volatile Organic	S
61276-3	05/08/08	VFW-SPIGOT	EPA 524.2 Volatile Organic	S
61276-4	05/08/08	COLOGDI-050808	EPA 524.2 Volatile Organic	s
61276-5	05/08/08	Trip Blank	Electronic Data Deliverable	
	05/08/08	Trip Blank	EPA 524.2 Volatile Organic	S

Sample Receipt Exceptions: None

Analytics Environmental Laboratory is certified by the states of New Hampshire, Maine, Massachusetts, Connecticut, Rhode Island, New York, Virginia, Pennsylvania, and is validated by the U.S. Navy (NFESC). A list of actual certified parameters is available upon request.

If you have any further question on the analytical methods or these results, do not hesitate to call.

Authorized signature Stephen L. Knollmeyer Laboratory Director Date _____5/9/2008

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written consent of Analytics Environmental Laboratory, LLC.



Summary Report

Analytics Report 61276_FRM1 page 0002 of 12



Mr. Ron Pentowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11 South Burlington VT 05403

CLIENT SAMPLE ID

Project Name:	MEFUDS-LO-58		
Project Number:	03886.184		
Field Sample ID:	LAB QC		

195 Commerce Way Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801 603-435-6111 Fax 603-430-2151 800-929-9906

May 9, 2008 SAMPLE DATA

Lab Sample ID:	B505098I
Matrix:	Aqueous
Percent Solid:	N/A
Dilution Factor:	1
Collection Date:	N/A
Lab Receipt Date:	N/A
Analysis Date:	05/09/08

Quantitation Limit $\mu g/L$ Result $\mu g/L$ Quantitation $\mu g/L$ Result $\mu g/L$ Benzene0.5U1,3-Dichloropropane0.5UBromobenzene0.5Ucis-1,3-Dichloropropane0.5UBromochloromethane0.5Utrans-1,3-Dichloropropene0.5UBromodichloromethane0.5Utrans-1,3-Dichloropropene0.5UBromoform0.5U2,2-Dichloropropene0.5UBromoform0.5U1,1-Dichloropropene0.5UBromomethane0.5U1,1-Dichloropropene0.5UBromomethane0.5UEthylbenzene0.5UBromomethane0.5UEthylbenzene0.5U	ANALYTICAL RESULTS VOLATILE ORGANICS						
COMPOUNDLimit $\mu g/L$ $\mu g/L$ COMPOUNDLimit $\mu g/L$ $\mu g/L$ Benzene0.5U1,3-Dichloropropane0.5UBromobenzene0.5Ucis-1,3-Dichloropropene0.5UBromochloromethane0.5Utrans-1,3-Dichloropropene0.5UBromodichloromethane0.5U2,2-Dichloropropene0.5UBromoform0.5U1,1-Dichloropropene0.5UBromomethane0.5U1,1-Dichloropropene0.5UBromomethane0.5UEthylbenzene0.5UBromomethane0.5UEthylbenzene0.5U		Quantitation	Result		Quantitation	Result	
Benzene0.5U1,3-Dichloropropane0.5UBromobenzene0.5Ucis-1,3-Dichloropropene0.5UBromochloromethane0.5Utrans-1,3-Dichloropropene0.5UBromodichloromethane0.5U2,2-Dichloropropene0.5UBromoform0.5U1,1-Dichloropropene0.5UBromomethane0.5U1,1-Dichloropropene0.5UBromomethane0.5UHexachlorophragene0.5U	COMPOUND	Limit µg/L	µg/L	COMPOUND	Limit µg/L	µg/L	
Bromobenzene0.5Ucis-1,3-Dichloropropene0.5UBromochloromethane0.5Utrans-1,3-Dichloropropene0.5UBromodichloromethane0.5U2,2-Dichloropropane0.5UBromoform0.5U1,1-Dichloropropene0.5UBromomethane0.5U1,1-Dichloropropene0.5UBromomethane0.5UEthylbenzene0.5U	Benzene	0.5	U	1,3-Dichloropropane	0.5	U	
Bromochloromethane0.5Utrans-1,3-Dichloropropene0.5UBromodichloromethane0.5U2,2-Dichloropropane0.5UBromoform0.5U1,1-Dichloropropene0.5UBromomethane0.5UEthylbenzene0.5Un-butylbenzene0.5UHexachlorophutadiene0.5U	Bromobenzene	0.5	U	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.5	U	
Bromodichloromethane0.5U2,2-Dichloropropane0.5UBromoform0.5U1,1-Dichloropropene0.5UBromomethane0.5UEthylbenzene0.5Un-butylbenzene0.5UHexachlorophutadiene0.5U	Bromochloromethane	0.5	U	trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.5	U	
Bromoform0.5U1,1-Dichloropropene0.5UBromomethane0.5UEthylbenzene0.5Un-butylbenzene0.5UHexachloroputadiene0.5U	Bromodichloromethane	0.5	U	2,2-Dichloropropane	0.5	U	
Bromomethane 0.5 U Ethylbenzene 0.5 U n-butylbenzene 0.5 U Hexachlorobutadiene 0.5 U	Bromoform	0.5	U	1,1-Dichloropropene	0.5	U	
n-butylbenzene 0.5 U Hexachlorobutadiene 0.5 U	Bromomethane	0.5	U	Ethylbenzene	0.5	U	
	n-butylbenzene	0.5	U	Hexachlorobutadiene	0.5	U	
sec-butylbenzene 0.5 U Isopropylbenzene 0.5 U	sec-butylbenzene	0.5	U	Isopropylbenzene	0.5	U	
tert-butylbenzenc 0.5 U p-isopropyltoluene 0.5 U	tert-butylbenzenc	0.5	U	p-isopropyltoluene	0.5	U	
Carbon Tetrachloride 0.5 U Methylene Chloride 0.5 U	Carbon Tetrachloride	0.5	U	Methylene Chloride	0.5	U	
Chlorobenzene 0.5 U Methyl-tert-butyl ether (MTBE) 0.5 U	Chlorobenzene	0.5	U	Methyl-tert-butyl ether (MTBE)	0.5	U	
Chloroethane 0.5 U Naphthalene 0.5 U	Chloroethane	0.5	U	Naphthalene	0.5	U	
Chloroform 0.5 U n-Propylbenzene 0.5 U	Chloroform	0.5	U	n-Propylbenzene	0.5	U	
Chloromethane 0.5 U Styrene 0.5 U	Chloromethane	0.5	U	Styrene	0.5	U	
2-Chlorotoluene 0.5 U 1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane 0.5 U	2-Chlorotoluene	0.5	U	1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.5	U	
4-Chlorotoluene 0.5 U 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane 0.5 U	4-Chlorotoluene	0.5	U	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.5	U	
Dibromochloromethane 0.5 U Tetrachloroethene 0.5 U	Dibromochloromethane	0.5	U	Tetrachloroethene	0.5	U	
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane 0.5 U Toluene 0.5 U	1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	0.5	U	Toluene	0.5	U ·	
1,2-Dibromoethane 0.5 U 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene 0.5 U	1,2-Dibromoethane	0.5	U	1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	0.5	U	
Dibromomethane 0.5 U 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene 0.5 U	Dibromomethane	0.5	U	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	0.5	U	
1,2-Dichlorobenzene 0.5 U 1,1,1-Trichloroethane 0.5 U	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	0.5	U	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	0.5	U	
1,3-Dichlorobenzene 0.5 U 1,1,2-Trichloroethane 0.5 U	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	0.5	\mathbf{U}	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	0.5	U	
1,4-Dichlorobenzene 0.5 U Trichloroethene 0.5 U	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	0.5	U	Trichloroethene	0.5	. U	
Dichlorodifluoromethane 0.5 U Trichlorofluoromethane 0.5 U	Dichlorodifluoromethane	0.5	U	Trichlorofluoromethane	0.5	U	
1,1-Dichloroethane 0.5 U 1,2,3-Trichloropropane 0.5 U	1,1-Dichloroethane	0.5	U	1,2,3-Trichloropropane	0.5	U	
1,2-Dichloroethane 0.5 U 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene 0.5 U	1,2-Dichloroethane	0.5	U	1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	0.5	U	
1,1-Dichloroethene 0.5 U 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene 0.5 U	1,1-Dichloroethene	0.5	U	1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	0.5	U	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene 0.5 U Vinyl Chloride 0.1 U	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.5	U	Vinyl Chloride	0.1	U	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene 0.5 U o-Xylene 0.5 U	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.5	U	o-Xylene	0.5	U	
1,2-Dichloropropane 0.5 U m,p-Xylene 0.5 U	1,2-Dichloropropane	0.5	U	m,p-Xylene	0.5	υ	
Acetone 5 U Diethyl ether 0.5 U	Acetone	5	U	Diethyl ether	0.5	U	
Carbon Disulfide 0.5 U 2-Hexanone 5 U	Carbon Disulfide	0.5	U	2-Hexanone	5	U	
Tetrahydrofuran2.5UMethyl isobutyl ketone5U	Tetrahydrofuran	2.5	U .	Methyl isobutyl ketone	5	U	
Methyl ethyl ketone 5 U Di-isopropyl ether (DIPE) 0.5 U	Methyl ethyl ketone	5	U	Di-isopropyl ether (DIPE)	0.5	U	
t-Butyl alcohol (TBA) 10 U Ethyl t-butyl ether (ETBE) 0.5 U	t-Butyl alcohol (TBA)	10	U	Ethyl t-butyl ether (ETBE)	0.5	υ	
t-Amyl methyl ether (TAME) 0.5 U	t-Amyl methyl ether (TAME)	0.5	U				
Surrogate Standard Recovery		Surrog	ate Standard Re	covery			
1,4-Difluorobenzene 92 % Bromofluorobenzene 73 % 1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4 81 %	1,4-Difluorobenzene	92 % Bromot	fluorobenzene	73 % 1,2-Dichlord	benzene-d4	81 %	

METHODOLOGY: Sample analysis was conducted according to EPA 600, Method 524.2

COMMENTS:

Millenobull Authorized signature



195 Commerce Way Partsmouth, New Hampshire 03801 603-436-5111 Fax 603-430-2151 800-929-9906

Mr. Ron Pentowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11 South Burlington VT 05403

CLIENT SAMPLE ID

Project Name:	MEFUDS-LO-58		
Project Number:	03886.184		
Field Sample ID:	AMAC-TAP		

May 9, 2008 SAMPLE DATA					
Lab Sample ID:	61276-1				
Matrix:	Aqueous				
Percent Solid:	N/A				
Dilution Factor:	1				
Collection Date:	05/08/08				
Lab Receipt Date:	05/09/08				
Analysis Date:	05/09/08				

ANALYTICAL RESULTS VOLATILE ORGANICS							
COMPOUND	Quantitation Limit µg/L	Result µg/L	COMPOUND	Quantitation Limit µg/L	Result µg/L		
Benzene	0.5	U	1,3-Dichloropropane	0.5	U		
Bromobenzene	0.5	U	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.5	U		
Bromochloromethane	0.5	U	trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.5	U		
Bromodichloromethane	0.5	U	2.2-Dichloropropane	0.5	U		
Bromoform	0.5	U	1.1-Dichloropropene	0.5	Ū		
Bromomethane	0.5	U	Ethylbenzene	0.5	Ū		
n-butylbenzene	0.5	U	Hexachlorobutadiene	0.5	Ū		
sec-butylbenzene	0.5	U	Isopropylbenzene	0.5	Ũ		
tert-butylbenzene	0.5	U	p-isopropyltoluene	0.5	Ū		
Carbon Tetrachloride	0.5	U	Methylene Chloride	0.5	U		
Chlorobenzene	0.5	U	Methyl-tert-butyl ether (MTBE)	0.5	U		
Chloroethane	0.5	U	Naphthalene	0.5	U		
Chloroform	0.5	U	n-Propylbenzene	0.5	U		
Chloromethane	0.5	U	Styrene	0.5	Ū		
2-Chlorotoluene	0.5	U.	1.1.1,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.5	Ū		
4-Chlorotoluene	0.5	U	1.1.2,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.5	Ũ		
Dibromochloromethane	0.5	U	Tetrachloroethene	0.5	Ū		
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	0.5	U	Toluene	0.5	Ū		
1,2-Dibromoethane	0.5	U	1.2.3-Trichlorobenzene	0.5	Ŭ		
Dibromomethane	0.5	U	1.2.4-Trichlorobenzene	0.5	Ū		
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	0.5	U	1.1.1-Trichloroethane	0.5	Ū		
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	0.5	U	1.1.2-Trichloroethane	0.5	Ū		
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	0.5	U	Trichloroethene	0.5	Ū		
Dichlorodifluoromethane	0.5	U	Trichlorofluoromethane	0.5	Ũ		
1.1-Dichloroethane	0.5	U	1.2.3-Trichloropropane	0.5	Ū		
1.2-Dichloroethane	0.5	U	1.2.4-Trimethylbenzene	0.5	Ū		
1,1-Dichloroethene	0.5	U	1.3.5-Trimethylbenzene	0.5	U		
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.5	U	Vinyl Chloride	0.1	Ū		
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.5	U	o-Xvlene	0.5	Ū		
1,2-Dichloropropane	0.5	U	m.p-Xylene	0.5	Ū,		
Acetone	5	U	Diethyl ether	0.5	Ŭ		
Carbon Disulfide	0.5	U	2-Hexanone	5	Ū		
Tetrahydrofuran	2.5	U	Methyl isobutyl ketone	5	Ũ		
Methyl ethyl ketone	5	U	Di-isopropyl ether (DIPE)	0.5	U		
t-Butyl alcohol (TBA)	10	U	Ethyl t-butyl ether (ETBE)	0.5	U		
t-Amyl methyl ether (TAME)	0.5	U					
	Surrog	ate Standard Re	covery				
1,4-Difluorobenzene	94 % Bromof	fluorobenzene	72 % 1,2-Dichloro	benzene-d4	78 %		
Ur-Undetected	I-Fetimeted	E-Evcoods Calif	aration Panga B-Detected in	Blank			

METHODOLOGY: Sample analysis was conducted according to EPA 600, Method 524.2

COMMENTS:

Authorized signature Multindull



195 Commerce Way Partsmouth, New Hampshire 03801 603-436-5111 Fax 603-430-2151 800-929-9906

Mr. Ron Pentowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11 South Burlington VT 05403

CLIENT SAMPLE ID

Project Name:	MEFUDS-LO-58
Project Number:	03886.184

886.184

Field Sample ID: VFW-TAP

May 9, 2008 SAMPLE DATA

Lab Sample ID:	61276-2
Matrix:	Aqueous
Percent Solid:	N/A
Dilution Factor:	1
Collection Date:	05/08/08
Lab Receipt Date:	05/09/08
Analysis Date:	05/09/08

ANALYTICAL RESULTS VOLATILE ORGANICS					
COMPOUND	Quantitation Limit $\mu g/L$	Result μg/L	COMPOUND	Quantitation Limit µg/L	Result μg/L
Benzene	0.5	U	1,3-Dichloropropane	0.5	U
Bromobenzene	0.5	U	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.5	U
Bromochloromethane	0.5	U	trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.5	U
Bromodichloromethane	0.5	2.2	2,2-Dichloropropane	0.5	U
Bromoform	0.5	3.6	1,1-Dichloropropene	0.5	U
Bromomethane	0.5	U	Ethylbenzene	0.5	U
n-butylbenzene	0.5	U	Hexachlorobutadiene	0.5	U
sec-butylbenzene	0.5	U	Isopropylbenzene	0.5	U
tert-butylbenzene	0.5	U	p-isopropyltoluene	0.5	U
Carbon Tetrachloride	0.5	U	Methylene Chloride	0.5	U
Chlorobenzene	0.5	U	Methyl-tert-butyl ether (MTBE)	0.5	U
Chloroethane	0.5	U	Naphthalene	0.5	U
Chloroform	0.5	4.9	n-Propylbenzene	0.5	U
Chloromethane	0.5	U	Styrene	0.5	U
2-Chlorotoluene	0.5	U	1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.5	U
4-Chlorotoluene	0.5	U.	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.5	U
Dibromochloromethane	0.5	3.1	Tetrachloroethene	0.5	U
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	0.5	U	Toluene	0.5	U
1,2-Dibromoethane	0.5	U	1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	0.5	U
Dibromomethane	0.5	U	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	0.5	U
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	0.5	U	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	0.5	υ
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	0.5	U	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	0.5	U
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	0.5	U	Trichloroethene	0.5	3.4
Dichlorodifluoromethane	0.5	U	Trichlorofluoromethane	0.5	U
1,1-Dichloroethane	0.5	U	1,2,3-Trichloropropane	0.5	U
1,2-Dichloroethane	0.5	U	1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	0.5	U
1,1-Dichloroethene	0.5	U	1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	0.5	U
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.5	U	Vinyl Chloride	0.1	U
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.5	U	o-Xylenc	0.5	U
1,2-Dichloropropane	0.5	U	m,p-Xylene	0.5	U
Acetone	5	U	Diethyl ether	0.5	U
Carbon Disulfide	0.5	U	2-Hexanone	5	U
Teirahydrofuran	2.5	U	Methyl isobutyl ketone	5	U
Methyl ethyl ketone	5	U	Di-isopropyl ether (DIPE)	0.5	U
t-Butyl alcohol (TBA)	10	U	Ethyl t-butyl ether (ETBE)	0.5	U
t-Amyl methyl ether (TAME)	0.5	U			
	Surrog	gate Standard R	ecovery		
1,4-Difluorobenzene	94 % Brome	ofluorobenzene	76 % 1,2-Dichloro	obenzene-d4	79 %
U=Undetected	I=Estimated	E=Exceeds Cali	bration Range B=Detected in	Blank	

Sample analysis was conducted according to EPA 600, Method 524.2 **METHODOLOGY:**

COMMENTS:

Authorized signature Multiclull



195 Commerce Way Partsmouth, New Hampshire 03801 603-436-5111 Fax 603-430-2151 800-929-9906

Mr. Ron Pentowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11 South Burlington VT 05403

CLIENT SAMPLE ID

Project Name:	MEFUDS-LO-58		
Project Number:	03886.184		
Field Sample ID:	VFW-SPIGOT		

May 9, 2008 SAMPLE DATA Lab Sample ID: 61276-3 Matrix: Aqueous Percent Solid: N/A Dilution Factor: 1 Collection Date: 05/08/08 Lab Receipt Date: 05/09/08

05/09/08

Analysis Date:

A	NALYTICAL RES	SULTS VOLAT	FILE ORGANICS		
COMPOUND	Quantitation Limit $\mu g/L$	Result µg/L	COMPOUND	Quantitation Limit µg/L	Result µg/L
Benzene	0.5	U	1,3-Dichloropropane	0.5	U
Bromobenzene	0.5	U	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.5	U
Bromochloromethane	0.5	U	trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.5	U
Bromodichloromethane	0.5	0 .4J	2,2-Dichloropropane	0.5	U
Bromoform	0.5	2.4	1,1-Dichloropropene	0.5	U
Bromomethane	0.5	U	Ethylbenzene	0.5	U
n-butylbenzene	0.5	U	Hexachlorobutadiene	0.5	U
sec-butylbenzene	0.5	U	Isopropylbenzene	0.5	U
tert-butylbenzene	0.5	U	p-isopropyltoluene	0.5	U
Carbon Tetrachloride	0.5	U	Methylene Chloride	0.5	U
Chlorobenzene	0.5	U	Methyl-tert-butyl ether (MTBE)	0.5	U
Chloroethane	0.5	U	Naphthalene	0.5	U
Chloroform	0.5	U	n-Propylbenzene	0.5	U
Chloromethane	0.5	U	Styrene	0.5	U
2-Chlorotoluene	0.5	U	1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.5	U
4-Chlorotoluene	0.5	U	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.5	U
Dibromochloromethane	0.5	1.3	Tetrachloroethene	0.5	U
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	0.5	U	Toluene	0.5	U
1,2-Dibromoethane	0.5	U	1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	0.5	U
Dibromomethane	0.5	U	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	0.5	U
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	0.5	U	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	0.5	U
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	0.5	U	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	0.5	U
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	0.5	U	Trichloroethene	0.5	0.4 J
Dichlorodifluoromethane	0.5	U	Trichlorofluoromethane	0.5	U
1,1-Dichloroethane	0.5	U	1,2,3-Trichloropropane	0.5	U
1,2-Dichloroethane	0.5	U	1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	0.5	U
1,1-Dichloroethene	0.5	U	1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	0.5	U
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.5	U	Vinyl Chloride	0.1	U
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.5	U	o-Xylene	0.5	U
1,2-Dichloropropane	0.5	U	m,p-Xylene	0.5	U
Acetone	5	U	Diethyl ether	0.5	Ŭ
Carbon Disulfide	0.5	U	2-Hexanone	5	U
Tetrahydrofuran	2.5	U	Methyl isobutyl ketone	5	Ū
Methyl ethyl ketone	5	Ū	Di-isopropyl ether (DIPE)	0.5	U
t-Butyl alcohol (TBA)	10	U	Ethyl t-butyl ether (ETBE)	0.5	U
t-Amyl methyl ether (TAME)	0.5	U			
······	Surro	gate Standard Re	covery		
1,4-Difluorobenzene	95 % Brome	ofluorobenzene	72 % 1,2-Dichloro	benzene-d4	78 %
U-Undetected	I-Fetimated	E-Exceeds Calib	ration Dange B-Detected in	Plank	

METHODOLOGY: Sample analysis was conducted according to EPA 600, Method 524.2

COMMENTS:

Authorized signature Mulul



Mr. Ron Pentowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11 South Burlington VT 05403

CLIENT SAMPLE ID

Project Name: MEFUDS-LO-58

Project Number: 03886.184 Field Sample ID: COLOGDI-050808 May 9, 2008 SAMPLE DATA Lab Sample ID: 61276-4 Matrix: Aqueous Percent Solid: N/A

Dilution Factor:1Collection Date:05/08/08Lab Receipt Date:05/09/08Analysis Date:05/09/08

A	NALYTICAL RES	SULTS VOLA	TILE ORGANICS	-		
	Quantitation	Result		Quantitation	Result	
COMPOUND	Limit µg/L	μg/L	COMPOUND	Limit µg/L	μg/L	
Benzene	0.5	U	1,3-Dichloropropane	0.5	U	
Bromobenzene	0.5	U	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.5	U	
Bromochloromethane	0.5	U	trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.5	U	
Bromodichloromethane	0.5	U	2,2-Dichloropropane	0.5	U	
Bromoform	0.5	U	1,1-Dichloropropene	0.5	Ŭ	
Bromomethane	0.5	U	Ethylbenzene	0.5	U	
n-butylbenzene	0.5	· U	Hexachlorobutadiene	0.5	U	
sec-butylbenzene	0.5	U	Isopropylbenzene	0.5	Ū	
tert-butylbenzene	0.5	U	p-isopropyltoluene	0.5	Ū	
Carbon Tetrachloride	0.5	U	Methylene Chloride	0.5	U	
Chlorobenzene	0.5	0.6	Methyl-tert-butyl ether (MTBE)	0.5	U	
Chloroethane	0.5	U	Naphthalene	0.5	U	
Chloroform	0.5	U	n-Propylbenzene	0.5	U	
Chloromethane	0.5	U	Styrene	0.5	Ū	
2-Chlorotoluene	0.5	U	1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.5	Ŭ	
4-Chlorotoluene	0.5	U ·	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.5	Ū	
Dibromochloromethane	0.5	U	Tctrachloroethene	0.5	Ū	
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	0.5	U	Toluene	0.5	Ū	
1,2-Dibromoethane	0.5	U	1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	0.5	Ū	
Dibromomethane	0.5	U	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	0.5	U	
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	0.5	U	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	0.5	Ŭ	
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	0.5	U	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	0.5	Ū	
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	0.5	U	Trichloroethene	0.5	Ū	
Dichlorodifluoromethane	0.5	U	Trichlorofluoromethane	0.5	Ū	
1,1-Dichloroethane	0.5	U	1,2,3-Trichloropropane	0.5	Ū	
1,2-Dichloroethane	0.5	U	1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	0.5	Ŭ	
1,1-Dichloroethene	0.5	U	1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	0.5	U	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.5	U	Vinyl Chloride	0.1	Ū	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.5	U	o-Xylene	0.5	Ū	
1,2-Dichloropropane	0.5	U	m.p-Xylene	0.5	П	
Acetone	5	U	Diethyl ether	0.5	U	
Carbon Disulfide	0.5	U	2-Hexanone	5	Ū	
Tetrahydrofuran	2.5	96 E	Methyl isobutyl ketone	5	Ŭ	
Methyl ethyl ketone	5	14	Di-isopropyl ether (DIPE)	0.5	U	
t-Butyl alcohol (TBA)	10	U	Ethyl t-butyl ether (ETBE)	0.5	U	
t-Amyl methyl ether (TAME)	0.5	U				
	Surrog	ate Standard Ro	ecovery			٦
1,4-Difluorobenzene	94 % Bromo	fluorobenzene	72 % 1,2-Dichloro	benzene-d4	78 %	
U=Undetected	J=Estimated	E=Exceeds Calil	tration Range B=Detected in	Blank		7

METHODOLOGY: Sample analysis was conducted according to EPA 600, Method 524.2

COMMENTS:

NH 524 full(74)

Authorized signature Milenchell

Analytics Report 61276_FRM1 page 0007 of 12



195 Commerce Way Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801 603-435-5111 Fax 603-430-2151 800-929-9906

Mr. Ron Pentowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11 South Burlington VT 05403

CLIENT SAMPLE ID

Project Name:	MEFUDS-LO-58
Project Number:	03886.184
Field Sample ID:	Trip Blank

May 9, 2008 SAMPLE DATA Lab Sample ID: 61276-5 Matrix: Aqueous Percent Solid: N/A **Dilution Factor:** 1 **Collection Date:** 05/08/08 05/09/08 Lab Receipt Date: Analysis Date: 05/09/08

ANALYTICAL RESULTS VOLATILE ORGANICS					
COMPOUND	Quantita Limit μ _i	tion Result γL μg/L	COMPOUND	Quantitation Limit µg/L	Result µg/L
Benzene	0.5	U	1,3-Dichloropropane	0.5	U
Bromobenzene	0.5	U	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.5	U
Bromochloromethane	0.5	U	trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.5	U
Bromodichloromethane	0.5	U	2,2-Dichloropropane	0.5	U
Bromoform	0.5	U	1,1-Dichloropropene	0.5	U
Bromomethane	0.5	U	Ethylbenzene	0.5	U
n-butylbenzene	0.5	U	Hexachlorobutadiene	0.5	U
sec-butylbenzene	0.5	U	Isopropylbenzene	0.5	U
tert-butylbenzene	0.5	U	p-isopropyltoluene	0.5	U
Carbon Tetrachloride	0.5	U	Methylene Chloride	0.5	U
Chlorobenzene	0.5	U	Methyl-tert-butyl ether (MTBE)	0.5	U
Chloroethane	0.5	U	Naphthalene	0.5	U
Chloroform	0.5	U	n-Propylbenzene	0.5	U
Chloromethane	0.5	U	Styrene	0.5	U
2-Chlorotoluene	0.5	U	1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.5	U
4-Chlorotoluene	0.5	U	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.5	U
Dibromochloromethane	0.5	U	Tetrachloroethene	0.5	U
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	0.5	U	Toluene	0.5	U
1,2-Dibromoethane	0.5	U	1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	0.5	U
Dibromomethane	0.5	U	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	0.5	U
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	0.5	U	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	0.5	U
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	0.5	U	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	0.5	U
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	0.5	U	Trichloroethene	0.5	U
Dichlorodifluoromethane	0.5	U	Trichlorofluoromethane	0.5	U
1,1-Dichloroethane	0.5	U	1,2,3-Trichloropropane	0.5	U
1,2-Dichloroethane	0.5	U	1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	0.5	U
1,1-Dichloroethene	0.5	U	1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	0.5	U
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.5	U	Vinyl Chloride	0.1	U
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.5	U	o-Xylene	0.5	U
1,2-Dichloropropane	0.5	U	m,p-Xylene	0.5	U
Acetone	5	U	Diethyl ether	0.5	U
Carbon Disulfide	0.5	U	2-Hexanone	5	U
Tetrahydrofuran	2.5	U	Methyl isobutyl ketone	5	U
Methyl ethyl ketone	5	U	Di-isopropyl ether (DIPE)	0.5	U
t-Butyl alcohol (TBA)	10	U	Ethyl t-butyl ether (ETBE)	0.5	U
t-Amyl methyl ether (TAME)	0.5	U			
		Surrogate Standard I	Recovery		
1,4-Difluorobenzene	92 %	Bromofluorobenzene	70 % 1,2-Dichlor	obenzene-d4	78 %
U=Undetected	J=Estimated	E=Exceeds Ca	libration Range B=Detected in	Blank	

METHODOLOGY: Sample analysis was conducted according to EPA 600, Method 524.2

COMMENTS:

Authorized signature Milendull

CHAIN OF CUSTODIES



Analytics Report 61276_FRM1 page of 12 0010

SEVERN

STL8234-200 (02/07)

ANALYTICS	SAMPLE	RECEIPT	CHECKL	IST

AEL LAB#: LEDTLE CLIENT: PROJECT:	COOLER NUMBER: NUMBER OF COOLERS: DATE RECEIVED:	1 59100
A: PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION: 1. Cooler received by(initials) 2. Circle one: 3. Did cooler come with a shipping slip? 3a. Enter carrier name and airbill number here: Feed 4. Were custody seals on the outside of cooler? How many & where: 5. Did the custody seals arrive unbroken and intact upon arrival?	DATE COOLER OPENED: Date Received: Shipped EXAMPLE Seal Name:	5/9/08 5/9/08 5/9/08
 6. COC#: 7. Were Custody papers filled out properly (ink,signed, etc)? 8. Were custody papers sealed in a plastic bag? 9. Did you sign the COC in the appropriate place? 10. Was the project identifiable from the COC papers? 11. Was enough ice used to chill the cooler? Y N 	Y Y Y Y Temp. of cooler:	N N N 3 2
 B. Log-In: Date samples were logged in: 12. Type of packing in cooler (bubble wrip, popcorn) 13. Were all bottles sealed in separate plastic bags? 14. Did all bottles arrive unbroken and were labels in good condition? 15. Were all bottle labels complete(ID,Date,time,etc.) 16. Did all bottle labels agree with custody papers? 17. Were the correct containers used for the tests indicated: 18. Were samples received at the correct pH? 19. Was sufficient amount of sample sent for the tests indicated? 20. Were bubbles absent in VOA samples? 	By Hy Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y	N N N N N N N N
21. Laboratory labeling verified by (initials):	Date: ²	5 /09 /08

CANLYTICS LLC\AEL DOCUMENTS\FORMS\SMPL CHKLST\Edit 4908

analy

Analytics Report 61276_FRM1 page 0011 of 12



Analytics Report 61276_FRM1 page 0012 of 12

	DATA REVIEW CHECKLIST	
SITE:	Maine Fuds	
LAB NUMBER	Anglytics 101287	
WESTON SAMPL	EIDS: $COLOGDI - OSII08$	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Data Reviewed		B_	Fraction				Commenta
Chain of Custosta	A Jui						Comments
Count of Cusickly	-	T					
Percent Solids/RLs		+					
Preservation/Log Sheet	+						
Holding Time							
Field Blanks (Trip/Equip.)	trip	Bla	in Ir				
Instrument/Method Blanks (Soils/Solids)			910,				
Instrument/Method Blanks (Aqueous)					+		
MS/MSD (Soil/Solids)							
MS/MSD (Aqueous)							
LCS/LCSD							
Blank Spikes (BS/BSD)							
ab Duplicates							
Field Duplicates							
urrogate Recoveries	Á						
lote: Pata reviewed but not com	tented on	is consid	ered acres				61787 (87100R) (DI
= Data Reviewed A = Not Applicable	pcle	40 5	Steve	p An	dyt	5	1245 (DRO/6-RO CK
ualifiers Used:)			1	16	1276 4-524.2
x(603-455.	094	(6)			H	41390	307 (Long DI) 1 DRO/GRO MISSI
				A	AM	Data	a Reviewer Initials:
DME\QUIGLEYD\DATA REVIEW CHECKLI	ST doc			V	tesav	ting	11400



Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11 South Burlington VT 05403 Report Number: 61287 Revision: Rev. 0

Re: MEFUDS

03886.184

Enclosed are the results of the analyses on your sample(s). Samples were received on 12 May 2008 and analyzed for the tests listed below. Samples were received in acceptable condition, with the exceptions noted below or on the chain of custody. These results pertain to samples as received by the laboratory and for the analytical tests requested on the chain of custody. The results reported herein conform to the most current NELAC standards, where applicable, unless otherwise narrated in the body of the report. Please see individual reports for specific methodologies and references.

<u>Lab Number</u>	Sample Date	Station Location	<u>Analysis</u>	Comments
61287-1	05/11/08	COLOGDI-051108	Electronic Data Delive	erable
	05/11/08	COLOGDI-051108	EPA 8260 Volatile Or	ganics

Sample Receipt Exceptions: None

Analytics Environmental Laboratory is certified by the states of New Hampshire, Maine, Massachusetts, Connecticut, Rhode Island, New York, Virginia, Pennsylvania, and is validated by the U.S. Navy (NFESC). A list of actual certified parameters is available upon request.

If you have any further question on the analytical methods or these results, do not hesitate to call.

Mulina Multifur Stephen L. Knollmeyer Laboratory Director Authorized signature . 05/12/08 Date _____

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written consent of Analytics Environmental Laboratory, LLC.



195 Commerce Way Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801 603-436-5111 Fax 603-430-2151 800-929-9906

Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11 South Burlington VT 05403

CLIENT SAMPLE ID

Project Name:	MEFUDS
Project Number:	03886.184
Field Sample ID:	COLOGDI-051108

May 12, 2008 SAMPLE DATA

· · · ·	
Lab Sample ID:	61287-1
Matrix:	Aqueous
Percent Solid:	N/A
Dilution Factor:	1
Collection Date:	05/11/08
Lab Receipt Date:	05/12/08
Analysis Date:	05/12/08

ANALYTICAL RESULTS VOLATILE ORGANICS						
COMPOUND	Quantitation Limit µg/L	Result μg/L	COMPOUND	Quantitation Limit µg/L	Resu µg/L	lt -
Benzene	2	U	1,3-Dichloropropane	2	υ	
Bromobenzene	2	U	cis-1.3-Dichloropropene	2	U	
Bromochloromethane	2	U	trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	2	U	
Bromodichloromethane	2	3	2,2-Dichloropropane	2	U	
Bromoform	2	U	1,1-Dichloropropene	2	Ū	
Bromomethane	2	U	Ethylbenzene	2	Ū	
n-butylbenzene	2	U	Hexachlorobutadiene	2	Ū	
sec-butylbenzene	2	U	lsopropylbenzene	2	Ū	
tert-butylbenzene	2	U	p-isopropyltoluene	2	Ū	
Carbon Tetrachloride	2	U	Methylene Chloride	5	9	
Chlorobenzene	2	υ	Methyl-tert-butyl ether (MTBE) 2	U	
Chloroethane	2	U	Naphthalene	2	U	
Chloroform	2	86	n-Propylbenzene	2	U	
Chloromethane	2	U	Styrene	2	U	
2-Chlorotoluene	2	U	1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	2	U	
4-Chlorotoluene	2	U	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	2	Ū	
Dibromochloromethane	2	U	Tetrachloroethene	2	Ũ	ĺ
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	2	U	Toluene	2	Ū	
1,2-Dibromoethane	2	U	1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	2	Ū	
Dibromomethane	2	U	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	2	Ū	
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	2	Ŭ	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	2	Ū	
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	2	U	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	2	Ū	
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	2	U	Trichloroethene	2	Ū	
Dichlorodifluoromethane	2	U	Trichlorofluoromethane	2	Ū	
1,1-Dichloroethane	2	U	1,2,3-Trichloropropane	2	υ	
1,2-Dichloroethane	2	U	1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	2	U	
1,1-Dichloroethene	2	U	1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	2	U	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	2	U	Vinyl Chloride	2	U	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	2	U	o-Xylene	2	Ū	
1,2-Dichloropropane	2	U	m,p-Xylene	2	U	
Acetone	10	26	Diethyl ether	2	Ŭ	Ì
Carbon Disulfide	2	U	2-Hexanone	10	U	
Tetrahydrofuran	5	40	Methyl isobutyl ketone	10	Ū	
Methyl ethyl ketone	10	14	Di-isopropyl ether (DIPE)	2	U	
t-Butyl alcohol (TBA)	20	U	Ethyl t-butyl ether (ETBE)	2	U	
t-Amyl methyl ether (TAME)	2	U	/			
	Surro	ogate Standard Re	ecovery			
d4-1,2-Dichloroethane 95	%	d8-Toluene	99 % Br	omofluorobenzene	97	%
U=Undetected	J=Estimated	E=Exceeds Calib	pration Range B=Detected i	n Blank		

METHODOLOGY: Sample analysis was conducted according to: Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, SW-846 Method 8260B.

COMMENTS:

Authorized signature Mlunalulli

						:/٤	l bəv	іөзөН		:	emíT				ateO		 	 					Βy:	pəqsi	npnila	ਸ਼
- activit		n	7	f	<u>7</u> 7	:45 V) Iecel	9	2:,	P ^{emiT}	1	30/	$\frac{1}{2}$	Date:	-			7	72	Z		<u>β</u> γ:	bartei	nbuile	ਇ
e Only Rev. 1, 10/1/02	delivered	0.5°		N A			rpən	ecei	oH Analvtice Samula #	- 1 - 42 C 1 O					etsQ					N al nos nos ila	W. coolin and			pهرادا ا	upnile	'age of ₫
Analytics Us	nples were: hipped or Hand	emp blank °C	eceived in goor	H checked by:	abels checked I		tainer Kev	lastic G=glass	Container Container number/type	1 2 2	, , , ,									K as Sm	2 Schmid					
nerce Way Suite E For	Ih, NH 03801 03) 436-5111 Sar 33) 430-2151 J) S	Matrix Key: 2) T	WW=Wastewater 3) F	SW=Surfacewater 4) D	DW=Drinkingwater 5) I	- S=Soil/Sludae	X=Other Con	Preservation P=p	Action of the second of the se											h THT Resul	Planse cull I	170V 220 (20)	2N 120 0140			
195 Comn	environmentat Portsmout laboratory LLC Phone (6/ Fax (60	FUOS		455 0946			Quote #		ale Analysis a	5 8260 UDC										ients / Instructions:	Phonde your.	Nocalter 6			FONIAA	
		Project: ME	15/Colos	"" (203)		H 03101-1501	HOB POR	a de afended	Sample Samp Date Time	S/1/08 144										DWPSYON SO/WAYON					Cliant Code: WEST	
		Project#: 0.35556. / 8' 4	Company: Weston Solution	contact: Joe Sh	Address: 1 Wall Street	Manchester, N	Phone: 603-656-5	Sampler (Signature):	Station Identification	COLOGOI - 05/108								ter yaka di sakita dan sesara dan di sakita dan di saki		Email RESULTS? <u>CES</u> NO Email <u>105eph. schmid</u> /(Fax RESULTS? YES NO	Fax# _60 3-656-5401	Turnaround Request	Standard Driority K	Due Date Date	

Chain Of Custody Form



AEL LAB#: 6282 CLIENT: TA-VT PROJECT: MEFUDS	COOLER I NUMBER OI DATE RE	NUMBER: FCOOLERS: CEIVED:	 _5/12/08
A: PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION: 1. Cooler received by(initials)	DATE COOLEI Date Re	R OPENED: ceived:	<u>5/12/08</u> <u>5/12/05</u>
2. Circle one: Hand delivered	Shipped		
3. Did cooler come with a shipping slip?		Y	Ν
3a. Enter carrier name and airbill number here:			
4. Were custody seals on the outside of cooler? How many & where:Seal Date:	Seal Name:	Y	N
5. Did the custody seals arrive unbroken and intact upon arrival?		Y	N
6. COC#:			
7. Were Custody papers filled out properly (ink,signed, etc)?		B	N
8. Were custody papers sealed in a plastic bag?		Ì	N
9. Did you sign the COC in the appropriate place?		$\textcircled{\basis}$	Ν
10. Was the project identifiable from the COC papers?		Y	N
11. Was enough ice used to chill the cooler? $(\mathbf{Y} \mathbf{N})$	Temp. of cooler:	-	0.5
B. Log-In: Date samples were logged in: <u>5/12/08</u>	Ву:	(P	
12. Type of packing in cooler (bubble wrap, popcorn)		Ý	Ν
13. Were all bottles sealed in separate plastic bags?		Ý	Ν
14. Did all bottles arrive unbroken and were labels in good condition?		Ś	N
15. Were all bottle labels complete(ID,Date,time,etc.)		Y	N
16. Did all bottle labels agree with custody papers?		Ŷ	N
17. Were the correct containers used for the tests indicated:		$\overline{\mathfrak{O}}$	N
18. Were samples received at the correct pH?		Ý	NA
19. Was sufficient amount of sample sent for the tests indicated?		\bigcirc	N
20. Were bubbles absent in VOA samples?		$\overline{(Y)}$	Ν
If NO, List sample #'s:			<u>.</u>
21. Laboratory labeling verified by (initials): $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{M}$		Date:	5/12/08

CICCITATION - International Contraction of the cont

			DATAI	REVIEW	CHEC	KLIST		
STIE Maine	Fid	8	(Loil	NO D	I)		
LAB NUMBER AA	allt	's 4	130	7	-0			
WESTON SAMPLE I	Ds: <u> </u>	<u>010</u>	[4C			L		-
		010	3601	TDI	onli	J		
		VCY	2810	INC				
Data Reviewed	876	0B			- 		Comments	
Chain of Custody	0.		+					
Percent Solids/RLs								
Preservation/Log Sheet								
Holding Time	-	+	+					
Field Blanks (Trip/Equip.)	Blank	· res	ult					
Instrument/Method Blanks (Soils/Solids)	M	5510	45	1		8		_
Instrument/Method Blanks (Aqueous)	No	nóih	odh	lack	×			
MS/MSD (Soil/Sotids)	Vesu	15						
MS/MSD (Aqueous)								_
LCS/LCSD								-
Blank Spikes (BS/BSD)								-
Lab Duplicates								4
Field Duplicates								-
Surrogate Recoveries	1	<					N	
Note:								

Data reviewed but not commented on is considered acceptable. $\sqrt{1}$ = Data Reviewed

NA = Not Applicable

Qualifiers Used:

Data Reviewer Initi Date:____



Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11 South Burlington VT 05403 Report Number: 61307 Revision: Rev. 0

Re: Loring DI

Enclosed are the results of the analyses on your sample(s). Samples were received on 12 May 2008 and analyzed for the tests listed below. Samples were received in acceptable condition, with the exceptions noted below or on the chain of custody. These results pertain to samples as received by the laboratory and for the analytical tests requested on the chain of custody. The results reported herein conform to the most current NELAC standards, where applicable, unless otherwise narrated in the body of the report. Please see individual reports for specific methodologies and references.

<u>Lab Number</u>	Sample Date	Station Location	<u>Analysis</u>	Comments
61307-1	05/12/08	01 DI & C	EPA 8260 Volatile Or	ganics
61307-2	05/12/08	0103601T DI only	EPA 8260 Volatile Or	ganics
61307-3	04/30/08	Trip Blank	EPA 8260 Volatile Org	ganics

Sample Receipt Exceptions: None

Analytics Environmental Laboratory is certified by the states of New Hampshire, Maine, Massachusetts, Connecticut, Rhode Island, New York, Virginia, Pennsylvania, and is validated by the U.S. Navy (NFESC). A list of actual certified parameters is available upon request.

If you have any further question on the analytical methods or these results, do not hesitate to call.

Authorized signature

Muna Multifer Stephen L. Knollmeyer Laboratory Director 05/13/08 Date ____

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written consent of Analytics Environmental Laboratory, LLC.



Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11 South Burlington VT 05403

CLIENT SAMPLE ID

Loring DI

Project Name:

Project Number:

Field Sample ID: 01 DI & C

195 Commerce Way Partsmouth, New Hampshile 03801 603-436-5111 Fox 603-430-2151 800-929-9906

May 13, 2008 SAMPLE DATA

Lab Sample ID:	61307-1
Matrix:	Aqueous
Percent Solid:	N/A
Dilution Factor:	1
Collection Date:	05/12/08
Lab Receipt Date:	05/12/08
Analysis Date:	05/13/08

ANALYTICAL RESULTS VOLATILE ORGANICS Quantitation Quantitation Result Result Limit µg/L $Limit \mu g/L$ μg/L COMPOUND $\mu g/L$ COMPOUND Benzene 2 U 2 U 1,3-Dichloropropane 2 Bromobenzene U cis-1,3-Dichloropropene 2 U 2 2 Bromochloromethane U trans-1,3-Dichloropropene U 2 Bromodichloromethane U 2 2,2-Dichloropropane Ũ 2 Bromoform U 2 1,1-Dichloropropene U Bromomethane 2 U 2 Ethylbenzene U n-butylbenzene 2 2 U Hexachlorobutadiene U scc-batylbenzene 2 U 2 Isopropylbenzene U 2 tert-butylbenzene 2 H p-isopropyltoluene U Carbon Tetrachloride 2 U 5 Methylene Chloride U Chlorobenzene 2 U 2 U Methyl-tert-butyl ether (MTBE) Chloroethane 2 2 11 U Naphthalene Chloroform 2 2 T n-Propylbenzene U Chloromethane 2 2 T Styrene U 2 2 2-Chlorotolucne Ð 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane U 4-Chlorotoluene 2 U 2 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane U Ð 2 Dibromochloromethane 2 Tetrachioroethene U 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane U 2 2 Toluene U 1,2-Dibromoethane 2 U 2 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene U Dibromomethane 2 Ũ 2 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzenc U 1.2-Dichlorobenzene 2 U 2 1,1,1-Triehloroethane U 2 1.3-Dichlorobenzene 2 U 1,1,2-Trichloroethanc U 1,4-Dichlorobenzene 2 U 2 Trichloroethene Ü Dichlorodifluoromethane 2 U 2 Trichlorofluoromethane U 2 1,1-Dichloroethane 2 U 1,2,3-Trichloropropane U 1,2-Dichloroethane 2 U 2 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene U 2 1,1-Dichloroethene 2 U 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene U 2 cis-1,2-Dichloroethene 2 U Vinyl Chloride U trans-1,2-Dichloroethene 2 U 2 o-Xylene U 1,2-Dichloropropane 2 U 2 m,p-Xylene U Acctone 10 105 Dicthyl cther 2 U Carbon Disulfide 2 U 2-Hexanone 10 U Tetrahydrofuran 10 5 U Mcthyl isobutyl ketone U Methyl ethyl ketone 10 U 2 Di-isopropyl ether (DIPE) U t-Butyl alcohol (TBA) 20 Ŭ Ethyl t-butyl ether (ETBE) 2 U t-Amyl methyl ether (TAME) U 2 Surrogate Standard Recovery d4-1,2-Dichloroethanc 98 % d8-Toluene Bromofluorobeuzenc 97 \mathcal{Q}_{0} 96 % U=Undetected J=Estimated E=Exceeds Calibration Range B=Detected in Blank

METHODOLOGY: Sample analysis was conducted according to: Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, SW-846 Method 8260B.

COMMENTS:

Authorized signature Mplinululi



195 Commerce Way Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801 603-436-5111 Fax 603-430-2151 800-929-9906

Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11 South Burlington VT 05403

CLIENT SAMPLE ID

Loring DI

Project Name:

Project Number:

Field Sample ID: 0103601T DI only

May 13, 2008 SAMPLE DATA Lab Sample ID: 61307-2 Matrix: Aqueous Percent Solid: N/A **Dilution Factor:** ľ **Collection Date:** 05/12/08 Lab Receipt Date: 05/12/08

05/13/08

Analysis Date:

ANALYTICAL RESULTS VOLATILE ORGANICS						
COMPOUND	Quantitation Limit µg/L	Result μg/L	COMPOUND	Quantitation Limit µg/L	Resu µg/J	ılt L
Benzene	2	U	1,3-Dichloropropane	2	U	
Bromobenzene	2	U	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	2	Ū	
Bromochloromethane	2	U	trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	2	Ū	
Bromodichloromethane	2	Ŭ	2,2-Dichloropropane	2	Ŧ	
Bromoform	2	U	1,1-Dichloropropene	2	U	
Bromomethane	2	U	Ethylbenzene	2	U U	
n-butylbenzene	2	U	Hexachlorobutadiene	2	т П	
sec-butylbenzene	2	U	Isopropylbenzene	2	U U	
tert-butyIbenzene	2	U	p-isopropyltoluene	2	Ŭ	
Carbon Tetrachloride	2	U	Methylene Chloride	5	Ŭ	
Chlorobenzene	2	U	Methyl-tert-butyl ether (MTBE) 2	Ũ	
Chloroethane	2	U	Naphthalene	2	Ŭ	
Chloroform	2	U	n-Propylbenzene	2	T.	
Chloromethane	2	U	Styrene	2	11	
2-Chlorotoluenc	2	U	1.1.1.2-Tetrachloroethane	2	U	
4-Chlorotoluene	2	U	1.1.2.2-Tetrachloroethane	2.	0	
Dibromochloromethane	2	U	Tetrachloroethene	2	U 11	
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	2	U	Toluene	2	U	
1,2-Dibromoethane	2	U	1.2.3-Trichlorobenzene	2	17	
Dibromomethane	2	U	1.2.4-Trichlorobeuzene	2	U T	
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	2	U	1.1.1-Trichloroethane	2	о 11	
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	2	U	1 2-Trichloroethane	2	U 11	
I,4-Dichlorobenzene	2	U	Trichloroethene	2	- U	
Dichlorodilluoromethane	2	U	Trichlorofluoromethane	2	11	
1,1-Dichloroethane	2	U	L2.3-Trichloropropane	2	U	
1,2-Dichloroethane	2	U	1.2.4-Trimethylbenzene	2	11	
1,1-Dichloroethene	2	U	1.3.5-Trimethylbenzene	2	- - Б	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	2	Ŭ	Vinyl Chloride	2	- U	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	2	U	o-Xylene	2	U TT	
1,2-Dichloropropane	2	U	m n-Xylene	2	U 11	
Acetone	10	Ū	Diethyl ether	2	U	
Carbon Disulfide	2	Ū	2-Hexanone	10	ប ម	
Tetrahydrofuran	5	Ū	Methyl isobutyl ketoue	10	U U	
Methyl ethyl ketone	10	Ū	Di-isopropyl ether (DIPE)	2	т Т	
I-Butyl alcohol (TBA)	20	IJ	Ethyl t-butyl ether (FTBE)	2	U U	
t-Amyl methyl ether (TAME)	2	Ŭ	Say today call (BIBE)	hat	U	
	Surro	ogate Standard Re	covery			
d4-1,2-Dichloroethane 98	%	d8-Toluene	98 % Bro	mofluorobenzene	96	%
U=Undetected	J=Estimated	E=Exceeds Calif	pration Range B=Detected in	Blank		

METHODOLOGY: Sample analysis was conducted according to: Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, SW-846 Method 8260B.

COMMENTS:

Authorized signature Mplinchell

Received By: :əmiT :eted Relinquished By: Received By: :euu Date: Sole: Date: Date: Relinquished By: 5481 Received By: :əɯi] Relinguist γa b Z (eg. S-1 or GW-1) pH Analytics Sample # EDD Required: Y* 2) Temp blank °C *none -wellice* For Analytics Use Only Rev. 4 03/28/08 Sc 512108 State Standard: ١ ō 3) Received in good condition (Y) or N Type: Page Project Requirements: 1) Shipped of hand-delivered MA ₩ 5 Ŧ 5) Labels checked by: Ř Other: 4) pH checked by: 3 YoA State: **VOA** \$ number/type Samples were: P=plastic G=glass Container Container Key 5 Level IV Level II Level III Standard *Fee may apply Report Type*: Matrix 3 3 ¥ CTRCP X лецто MCP DOD lonshaal C = Concrete WP = Wipe WW = Wastewater SW = Surface Water GW = Groundwater DW = Drinking Water XX HCT 195 Commerce Way Suite E S = Soil/Sludge O = Oil Preservation *OS²H Sodium This suffact was Phone (603) 436-5111 Fax (603) 430-2151 Matrix Key: Portsmouth, NH 03801 ^EONH frip blank not purered E = Extract J.t saudur 8260 Analysis 8260 8260 laboratory LLC 303 888 1430 environmental w Her fort ちょう Quote # Comments / Instructions: 51008 ward instand Sample Time 102) 0171 80/61/2 M Proj. Name: 4 30/08 5/13/08 Sample Date 9 810 Quail St. Dure the GREG ZAVER -ab Approval Required DION AVE WOOD, ICANING 5 (010G Station Identification Due Date Analytics/AEL Documents/AEL COC して The Blank **Turnaround Request** Priority Sampler (Signature): 01036017 Email Results to: 8 Contact: (Company: Address: Project#: Standard Phone: Due Date

Chain Of Custody Form

analysis since Note: us **REVIEW CHECKLIST** Mairie FUDS SHE ** LAB NUMBER: Analutics 0130 eanaly szs WESTON SAMPLE IDs: Fraction ORC Weis Data Reviewed RI) Comments Chain of Custody Percent Solids/RLs Preservation/Log Sheet so or 460 Jeve Holding Time 180 Field Blanks (Trij (Equip) DI Ci (Instrument/Method Blanks (Soils/Solids) Instrument/Method Blanks (Aqueous) MS/MSD (Soil/Solids) MS/MSD (Aqueous) LCS/LCSD **Blank Spikes** (BS/BSD) Lab Duplicates **Field Duplicates** Surrogate Recoveries Data reviewed but not commented on is considered acceptable, V = Data ReviewedNA = Not Applicable resul tim level of 4/60 us / since was defected in RB-051808-01-NA = Not Applicable Qualifiers Used: essural judgemen Nas Data Reviewer Initials: Date:_ G:VHOME\QUIGLEYD\DATA REVIEW CHECKLIST doc Aected.



June 17, 2008

Mr. Ron Pentowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11 South Burlington, VT 05403

RE: Analytical Results Case Narrative ME FUDS LO-58 Project Analytics # 61399

Dear Mr. Pentkowski:

Enclosed please find the analytical results for samples collected from the above-mentioned project. The attached Cover Page lists the sample IDs, Lab tracking numbers and collection dates for the samples included in this deliverable.

Samples were analyzed for the Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) by Maine HETL Method 4.2.17 and Diesel Range Organics (DRO) by Maine HETL Method 4.1.25.

Unless otherwise noted in the Non-conformance Summary listed below, all of the quality control (QC) criteria including initial calibration, calibration verification, surrogate recovery, holding time and method accuracy/precision for these analyses were within acceptable limits.

This Level IV package has been assembled in the following order including raw data:

Case Narrative/Non-Conformance Summary Sample Log Sheet - Cover Page Summary Report Extraction Logbook Pages GRO Form 1 Sample Data Results Chromatograms GRO Form 3 MS/MSD and LCS Recoveries GRO Form 4 Method Blank Summary (equiv.) GC/MS Logbook Sheets GRO Form 6 Initial Calibration Data GRO Form 7 Continuing Calibration Check DRO Form 1 Sample Data Results Chromatograms DRO Form 3 MS/MSD and LCS Recoveries DRO Form 4 Method Blank Summary (equiv.) GC/MS Logbook Sheets DRO Form 6 Initial Calibration Data DRO Form 7 Continuing Calibration Check Chain of Custody (COC) Forms Sample Receipt Checklist

AEL #61399 ME FUDS LO-58 Project 17 June 2008 Page 2

QC NON CONFORMANCE SUMMARY

Sample Receipt:

No QC deviations.

Gasoline Range Organics

No results were reported below the quantitation limit.

Diesel Range Organics

No results were reported below the quantitation limit.

m-Terphenyl surrogate had high recovery in the MSD analyzed on sample 61399-2 (LS58 DW2-0508-28.5). The DRO spike recoveries were in control. It appears the surrogate may have been added twice. All other QC and the samples had acceptable surrogate recoveries.

The closing resolution standard (file#G49572) analyzed 05/31/08 did not meet acceptance criteria for peak resolution. The DRO recoveries for the closing continuing calibration standard (file# G49573SC) were in control. All samples in this analytical window were reanalyzed 06/02/08 and had similar closing resolution standard results (file#G49644).. The results of both the analytical runs have been reported in this final report.

If you have any questions on this data submittal, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely, ANALYTICS Environmental Laboratory, LLC

Thenalall

Melíssa A. Gulli Assistant Laboratory Director



Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11 South Burlington VT 05403 Report Number: 61399 Revision: Rev. 0

Re: MEFUDS LO-58

03886.184

Enclosed are the results of the analyses on your sample(s). Samples were received on 22 May 2008 and analyzed for the tests listed below. Samples were received in acceptable condition, with the exceptions noted below or on the chain of custody. These results pertain to samples as received by the laboratory and for the analytical tests requested on the chain of custody. The results reported herein conform to the most current NELAC standards, where applicable, unless otherwise narrated in the body of the report. Please see individual reports for specific methodologies and references.

<u>Lab Number</u>	Sample Date	Station Location	Analysis	Comments
61399-1	05/16/08	LS58DW2-0508-A16	Maine HETL Method 4.1.25	
	05/16/08	LS58DW2-0508-A16	Maine HETL Method 4.2.17	
61399-2	05/16/08	LS58DW2-0508-28.5	Maine HETL Method 4.1.25	
	05/16/08	LS58DW2-0508-28.5	Maine HETL Method 4.2.17	
61399-3	05/17/08	LS58DW2-0508-37	Maine HETL Method 4.1.25	
	05/17/08	LS58DW2-0508-37	Maine HETL Method 4.2.17	
61399-4	05/17/08	LS58DW2-0508-94.5	Maine HETL Method 4.1.25	
	05/17/08	LS58DW2-0508-94.5	Maine HETL Method 4.2.17	
61399-5	05/17/08	LS58DW2-0508-189	Maine HETL Method 4.1.25	
	05/17/08	LS58DW2-0508-189	Maine HETL Method 4.2.17	
61399-6	05/17/08	LS58DW2-0508-265	Maine HETL Method 4.1.25	
	05/17/08	L\$58DW2-0508-265	Maine HETL Method 4.2.17	
61399-7	05/18/08	RB-051808-01	Maine HETL Method 4.1.25	

Sample Receipt Exceptions: None

Analytics Environmental Laboratory is certified by the states of New Hampshire, Maine, Massachusetts, Connecticut, Rhode Island, New York, Virginia, Pennsylvania, and is validated by the U.S. Navy (NFESC). A list of actual certified parameters is available upon request.

If you have any further question on the analytical methods or these results, do not hesitate to call.

Authorized signature	Mplenchaltifir
U	Stephen L. Knollmeyer Laboratory Director
Date	06/17/08

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written consent of Analytics Environmental Laboratory, LLC.

Analytics Report 61399 page 0003 of 473



Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11 South Burlington VT 05403 Report Number: 61399 Revision: Rev. 0

Re: MEFUDS LO-58

03886.184

Enclosed are the results of the analyses on your sample(s). Samples were received on 22 May 2008 and analyzed for the tests listed below. Samples were received in acceptable condition, with the exceptions noted below or on the chain of custody. These results pertain to samples as received by the laboratory and for the analytical tests requested on the chain of custody. The results reported herein conform to the most current NELAC standards, where applicable, unless otherwise narrated in the body of the report. Please see individual reports for specific methodologies and references.

Lab Number	Sample Date	Date Station Location Analysis		Comments
	05/18/08	RB-051808-01	Maine HETL Method 4.2.17	
61399-8	05/18/08	LS58DW1-0508-056	Maine HETL Method 4.1.25	
	05/18/08	LS58DW1-0508-056	Maine HETL Method 4.2.17	
61399-9	05/19/08	LS58DW1-0508-051	Maine HETL Method 4.1.25	
	05/19/08	LS58DW1-0508-051	Maine HETL Method 4.2.17	
61399-10	05/19/08	LS58DW1-0508-041	Maine HETL Method 4.1.25	
	05/19/08	LS58DW1-0508-041	Maine HETL Method 4.2.17	
61399-11	05/20/08	LS58DW1-0508-034	Maine HETL Method 4.1.25	
	05/20/08	LS58DW1-0508-034	Maine HETL Method 4.2.17	
61399-12	05/20/08	LS58DW1-0508-034-E	Maine HETL Method 4.1.25	
	05/20/08	LS58DW1-0508-034-E	Maine HETL Method 4.2.17	
61399-13	05/20/08	LS58DW1-0508-029	Maine HETL Method 4.1.25	
	05/20/08	LS58DW1-0508-029	Maine HETL Method 4.2.17	

Sample Receipt Exceptions: None

Analytics Environmental Laboratory is certified by the states of New Hampshire, Maine, Massachusetts, Connecticut, Rhode Island, New York, Virginia, Pennsylvania, and is validated by the U.S. Navy (NFESC). A list of actual certified parameters is available upon request.

If you have any further question on the analytical methods or these results, do not hesitate to call.

Authorized signature	Mpling Hallifer
0	Stephen L. Knollmeyer Laboratory Director
Date	06/17/08

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written consent of Analytics Environmental Laboratory, LLC.

Analytics Report 61399 page 0004 of 473



Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11 South Burlington VT 05403

Report Number: 61399 Revision: Rev. 0

Re: MEFUDS LO-58

03886.184

Enclosed are the results of the analyses on your sample(s). Samples were received on 22 May 2008 and analyzed for the tests listed below. Samples were received in acceptable condition, with the exceptions noted below or on the chain of custody. These results pertain to samples as received by the laboratory and for the analytical tests requested on the chain of custody. The results reported herein conform to the most current NELAC standards, where applicable, unless otherwise narrated in the body of the report. Please see individual reports for specific methodologies and references.

Lab Number	Sample Date	Station Location	<u>Analysis</u>	Comments
61399-14	05/20/08	RB-052008-01	Maine HETL Method 4.1.2	5
	05/20/08	RB-052008-01	Maine HETL Method 4.2.1	7
61399-15	05/20/08	TB-051608-01	Maine HETL Method 4.2.1	7
61399-16	05/20/08	TB-051908-01	Electronic Data Deliverable	:
	05/20/08	TB-051908-01	Maine HETL Method 4.2.1	7

Sample Receipt Exceptions: None

Analytics Environmental Laboratory is certified by the states of New Hampshire, Maine, Massachusetts, Connecticut, Rhode Island, New York, Virginia, Pennsylvania, and is validated by the U.S. Navy (NFESC). A list of actual certified parameters is available upon request.

If you have any further question on the analytical methods or these results, do not hesitate to call. Authorized signature <u>Mercululi 100</u> Stephen L. Knollmeyer Laboratory Director Date _____06/17/00

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written consent of Analytics Environmental Laboratory, LLC.



Summary Report



195 Commerce Way Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801 603-436-5111 Fax 603-430-2151 800-929-9906

Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11 South Burlington VT 05403

CLIENT SAMPLE ID

Project Name:	MEFUDS LO-58
Project Number:	
Client Sample ID:	LABQC

June 17, 2008 SAMPLE DATA

Lab Sample ID:	B05278KGRO
Matrix:	Aqueous
Percent Solid:	N/A
Dilution Factor:	1
Collection Date:	
Lab Receipt Date:	
Analysis Date:	05/27/08

ANALYTICAL	RESULTS GASOL	INE RAN	GE	ORGANICS	
Compound	Result	Units		Quantitation Limit	
GRO	U	μg/L		10	
ESTIM		NCENTE		IONS	
		TT:4-		Ouantitation	
Compound	Kesult	Units		Limit	
MTBE	U	μg/L		2	
Benzene	U	μg/L		1	
Surrogate Standard Recovery					
- - - -	rifluorotoluene	111	%	-	
E	Bromofluorobenzene	99	%		
U=Undetected J=Es	stimated E=Exceeds Ca	libration Ran	ige	B=Detected in Blank	

Sample analyzed according to: "Maine HETL Method 4.2.17, September 6, 1995." **METHODOLOGY:**

COMMENTS:

Authorized signature <u>Mundull</u>


COMMENTS:

Authorized signature Mplendull



m-Terphenyl 93 % U=Undetected J=Estimated E=Exceeds Calibration Range B=Detected in Blank

METHODOLOGY: Sample analyzed according to "Maine HETL Method 4.1.25, September 6, 1995".

COMMENTS:

DRO Report

Authorized signature	Melendall'
	1

Analytics Report 61399 page 0009 of 473



 	······································	
	Surrogate Standard Recovery	
	m-Terphenyl 88 %	
 U=Undetected	J=Estimated E=Exceeds Calibration Range B=Detected in Blank	

COMMENTS:

Authorized signature <u>Mplenchall</u>

analy		atory LLC	195 Commerce Way Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801 603-436-5111 Fax 603-430-2151 800-929-9906
Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11 South Burlington VT 05403	June 17 SAMP	7, 2008 LE DATA	
CLIEN	T SAMPLE ID	Lab Sample ID: Matrix: Percent Solid:	B05238DW RR2 Aqueous N/A
Project Name: Project Number: Field Sample ID:	MEFUDS LO-58 03886.184 LABQC	Dilution Factor: Collection Date: Lab Receipt Date: Extraction Date: Analysis Date:	1 N/A N/A 05/23/08 06/02/08
	ANALYTICAL R Result	ESULTS DIESEL RANGE ORGAN Units	NICS Quantitation Limit
	U	μg/L	50
	Su	rrogate Standard Recovery m-Terphenyl 91 %	
U=U	ndetected J=Estimated	E=Exceeds Calibration Range B=Detect	ed in Blank

COMMENTS:

Authorized signature Mundull



Authorized signature Mulendall

Gnaly		anmentaL atory LLC	195 Commerce Way Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801 603-436-5111 Fax 603-430-2151 800-929-9906
Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burling 30 Community Drive South Burlington V7	gton 2 Suite 11 2 05403	June 10), 2008 LE DATA
CLIEN	T SAMPLE ID	Lab Sample ID: Matrix: Percent Solid:	61399-1 Aqueous N/A
Project Name: Project Number: Field Sample ID:	MEFUDS LO-58 03886.184 LS58DW2-0508-A16	Dilution Factor: Collection Date: Lab Receipt Date: Extraction Date: Analysis Date:	1.0 05/16/08 05/22/08 05/23/08 05/30/08
]	ANALYTICAL R Result	ESULTS DIESEL RANGE ORGAN Units	NICS Quantitation Limit
	1020	μg/L	50
	Sur	rrogate Standard Recovery	
-		m-Terphenyl 84 %	
U=U1	ndetected J=Estimated	E=Exceeds Calibration Range B=Detector	ed in Blank

COMMENTS:

Authorized signature Milmidall



195 Commerce Way Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801 603-436-5111 Fax 603-430-2151 800-929-9906

Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11 South Burlington VT 05403				May 2 SAMI	9, 2008 PLE DATA
South Burnington V	1 00400		Lab	Sample ID:	61399-2
CLIEN	T SAMPLE ID		Mat	rix:	Aqueous
	· ··· ···		Perc	ent Solid:	N/A
Project Name:	MEFUDS LO-58		Dilu	tion Factor:	1
Project Number:	03886.184		Coll	ection Date:	05/16/08
Client Sample ID:	LS58DW2-0508-28.5	5	Lab	Receipt Date:	05/22/08
			Ana	lysis Date:	05/28/08
A	NALYTICAL RES	ULTS GASOL	INE RAN	NGE ORGAN	NICS
Comp	oound	Result	Units	Quantit	ation
GRO	С	U	μg/L	10)
****	ESTIMATEI) TARGET CO	NCENTI	RATIONS	****
Com	pound	Result	Units	Quantit Lin	tation lit
MT	BE	U	μg/L	2	
Ben	zene	U	μg/L	1	
	Suri	rogate Standar	d Recove	ry	
	Trifluor	rotoluene	94	%	
	Bromo	fluorobenzene	87	%	

U=Undetected J=Estimated E=Exceeds Calibration Range B=Detected in Blank

METHODOLOGY: Sample analyzed according to: "Maine HETL Method 4.2.17, September 6, 1995."

Authorized signature <u>*Hulendall*</u>



Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11 South Burlington VT 05403 CLIENT SAMPLE ID		June 3, 2008 SAMPLE DATA	
		Lab Sample ID: Matrix: Percent Solid:	61399-2 Aqueous N/A
Project Name:	MEFUDS LO-58	Dilution Factor:	1.0
Project Number:	03886.184	Collection Date: Lab Receipt Date:	05/16/08 05/22/08
Field Sample ID:	LS58DW2-0508-28.5	Extraction Date:	05/23/08
		Analysis Date:	05/31/08

Result	Units	Quantitation Limit
U	μg/L	50
	Surrogate Standard Recovery	
U=Undetected	m-Terphenyl 88 % J=Estimated E=Exceeds Calibration Range	B=Detected in Blank

COMMENTS:

Authorized signature Mulmulull

analy		ientat γ LLC	195 Commerce Way Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801 603-436-5111 Fax 603-430-2151 800-929-9906
Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burling 30 Community Drive South Burlington VI	gton 2 Suite 11 2 05403	June 3, SAMP	2008 LE DATA
CLIEN	T SAMPLE ID	Lab Sample ID: Matrix: Percent Solid:	61399-2 RR Aqueous N/A
Project Name: Project Number: Field Sample ID:	MEFUDS LO-58 03886.184 LS58DW2-0508-28.5	Dilution Factor: Collection Date: Lab Receipt Date: Extraction Date: Analysis Date:	1.0 05/16/08 05/22/08 05/23/08 06/02/08
]	ANALYTICAL RES Result	SULTS DIESEL RANGE ORGAN Units	NICS Quantitation Limit
	U	μg/L	50
	Surro m	ogate Standard Recovery n-Terphenyl 93 %	
U=Ur	ndetected J=Estimated E	E=Exceeds Calibration Range B=Detecte	ed in Blank

COMMENTS:

Authorized signature Mulmululi





m-Terphenyl 92 % U=Undetected J=Estimated E=Exceeds Calibration Range B=Detected in Blank

METHODOLOGY: Sample analyzed according to "Maine HETL Method 4.1.25, September 6, 1995".

COMMENTS:

Authorized signature Milenchill



U=Undetected J=Estimated E=Exceeds Calibration Range B=Detected in Blank

METHODOLOGY: Sample analyzed according to "Maine HETL Method 4.1.25, September 6, 1995".

COMMENTS:

Authorized signature Mulindfall

Analytics Report 61399 page 0019 of 473



Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11 South Burlington VT 05403

CLIENT SAMPLE ID

Project Name: MEFUDS LO-58 Project Number: Client Sample ID:

03886.184 LS58DW2-0508-94.5 May 29, 2008

SAMPLE DATA

Lab Sample ID:	61399-4
Matrix:	Aqueous
Percent Solid:	N/A
Dilution Factor:	1
Collection Date:	05/17/08
Lab Receipt Date:	05/22/08
Analysis Date:	05/28/08

ANALYTICAL	RESULTS GASO	LINE RAN	GE ORGAN	IICS	
Compound	Result	Units	Quantita	ation	
GRO	U	μg/L	10	AL	
ESTIMA	TED TARGET C	ONCENTR	ATIONS		
Compound	Result	Units	Quantit: Limi	ation it	
MTBE	U	μg/L	2		
Benzene	U	μg/L	1		
	Surrogate Standa	rd Recover	ry		
Tr	ifluorotoluene	91	%		
Br	romofluorobenzene	84	%		
U=Undetected J=Esti	mated E=Exceeds Ca	alibration Ran	ge B=Detected	d in Blank	

METHODOLOGY: Sample analyzed according to: "Maine HETL Method 4.2.17, September 6, 1995."

Authorized signature Mplevalul



COMMENTS:

Authorized signature Mulenehell



Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11 South Burlington VT, 05403		June 10, 2008 SAMPLE DATA	
CLIEN	T SAMPLE ID	Lab Sample ID: Matrix: Percent Solid:	61399-4 RR Aqueous N/A
Project Name: MEFUDS LO-58		Dilution Factor:	1.0
Project Number:	03886.184	Collection Date: Lab Receipt Date:	05/17/08 05/22/08
Field Sample ID:	LS58DW2-0508-94.5	Extraction Date: Analysis Date:	05/23/08 06/02/08
]	Result	Units	Quantitation Limit
	U	μg/L	50

U=Undetected J=Estimated E=Exceeds Calibration Range B=Detected in Blank

m-Terphenyl

88 %

METHODOLOGY: Sample analyzed according to "Maine HETL Method 4.1.25, September 6, 1995".

COMMENTS:

Authorized signature Mulinlall



Authorized signature <u>Mulanchul</u>



Mr. Kon Pentkowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11 South Burlington VT 05403		June 3, 2008 SAMPLE DATA	
U		Lab Sample ID: 61399-5	
CLIENT SAMPLE ID		Matrix:	Aqueous
		Percent Solid:	N/A
Project Name:	MEFUDS LO-58	Dilution Factor:	1.0
Duciest Number	03886.184	Collection Date:	05/17/08
Project Number:		Lab Receipt Date:	05/22/08
Field Sample ID:	L\$58DW2-0508-189	Extraction Date:	05/23/08
		Analysis Date:	05/31/08

ANALYTICAL RESULTS DIESEL RANGE ORGANICS				
Result	Units	Quantitation Limit		
U	μg/L	50		
<u>Surrogate Standard Recovery</u> m-Terphenyl 85 %				
U=Undetected J=Esti	mated E=Exceeds Calibration Range	B=Detected in Blank		

COMMENTS:

DRO Report

Authorized signature	Milenhall.

Analytics Report 61399 page 0024 of 473

Gnaly		ntal LLC	195 Commerce Way Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801 603-436-5111 Fax 603-430-2151 800-929-9906
Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11		June 3, SAMP	2008 LE DATA
South Burlington V	T 05403	Lab Sample ID: Matrix: Percent Solid:	61399-5 RR Aqueous N/A
Project Name:	MEFUDS LO-58	Dilution Factor:	1.0
Project Number:	03886.184	Collection Date: Lab Receipt Date:	05/17/08 05/22/08
Field Sample ID:	L\$58DW2-0508-189	Extraction Date: Analysis Date:	05/23/08 06/02/08
	Result	Units	Quantitation Limit
	U	μg/L	50
	Surro	gate Standard Recovery	
¥ ¥ ¥ 3		Europe Collibution Donco D. D. t	ad in Dlank
0=0	nuclected J=Estimated E	-Exceeds Cambration Range B=Delett	ou in Dialk

COMMENTS:

Authorized signature Mulenchel



Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11 South Burlington VT 05403

CLIENT SAMPLE ID

 Project Name:
 MEFUDS LO-58

 Project Number:
 03886.184

 Client Sample ID:
 LS58DW2-0508-265

May 29, 2008

SAMPLE DATA

Lab Sample ID:	61399-6
Matrix:	Aqueous
Percent Solid:	N/A
Dilution Factor:	1
Collection Date:	05/17/08
Lab Receipt Date:	05/22/08
Analysis Date:	05/28/08

ANALYTICAL RESULTS GASOLINE RANGE ORGANICS				
Compound	Result	Units	Quantitation Limit	
GRO	U	μg/L	10	
ESTIMA	TED TARGET CO	ONCENTR	RATIONS	
Compound	Result	Units	Quantitation Limit	
MTBE	U	μ g/L	2	
Benzene	U	μg/L	1	
Surrogate Standard Recovery				
Tr	ifluorotoluene	95	%	
Bı	omofluorobenzene	86	%	
U=Undetected J=Esti	imated E=Exceeds Cal	libration Ran	ge B=Detected in Blank	

METHODOLOGY: Sample analyzed according to: "Maine HETL Method 4.2.17, September 6, 1995."

COMMENTS:

Authorized signature

Milendell

analy		nental ry ULC	195 Commerce Way Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801 603-436-5111 Fax 603-430-2151 800-929-9906
Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burling 30 Community Drive South Burlington VT	gton Suite 11 7 05403 T SAMPLE ID	June 3, SAMP Lab Sample ID: Matrix:	2008 LE DATA 61399-6 Aqueous
	MEELIDE LO 59	Percent Solid: Dilution Fostor:	N/A
Project Name:	MEFUDS LU-30	Collection Date:	05/17/08
Project Number:	03886.184	Lab Receipt Date:	05/22/08
Field Sample ID:	LS58DW2-0508-265	Extraction Date:	05/23/08
		Analysis Date:	05/31/08
]	Result	Units	Quantitation Limit
	58	μg/L	50
	Surr	ogate Standard Recovery	

J=Estimated E=Exceeds Calibration Range B=Detected in Blank

METHODOLOGY: Sample analyzed according to "Maine HETL Method 4.1.25, September 6, 1995".

COMMENTS:

U=Undetected

DRO Report

Authorized signature Milendull

Analytics Report 61399 page 0027 of 473

analy		nmental. Hory LLC	195 Commerce Way Portsmouth, New Harnpshire 03801 603-436-5111 Fax 603-430-2151 800-929-9906
Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burling 30 Community Drive South Purlington VI	gton Suite 11	June 3. SAMP	, 2008 LE DATA
CLIEN	T SAMPLE ID	Lab Sample ID: Matrix: Percent Solid:	61399-6 RR Aqueous N/A
Project Name: Project Number: Field Sample ID:	MEFUDS LO-58 03886.184 LS58DW2-0508-265	Dilution Factor: Collection Date: Lab Receipt Date: Extraction Date: Analysis Date:	1.0 05/17/08 05/22/08 05/23/08 06/02/08
]	ANALYTICAL RI Result	ESULTS DIESEL RANGE ORGAI Units	NICS Quantitation Limit
	80	μg/L	50
· · ·	Sur	rogate Standard Recovery m-Terphenyl 97 %	
U=U1	ndetected J=Estimated	E=Exceeds Calibration Range B=Detect	ed in Blank

COMMENTS:

Authorized signature	Milindall

analy		eaviconmental laboratory LLC			195 Commerce Way Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801 603-436-5111 Fax 603-430-2151 800-929-9906
Mr. Ron Pentkowsk Test America Burlin 30 Community Driva South Burlington V7	i gton e Suite 11			May : SAM	29, 2008 IPLE DATA
South Burnington V	1 03403		Lab	Sample ID:	61399-7
CLIEN	T SAMPLE ID		Mat	rix:	Aqueous
		~~~	Perc	ent Solid:	N/A
Project Name:	MEFUDS LC	0-58	Dilu C-B	tion Factor:	1
Client Sample ID:	03880.184 PR 051808 0	1	Coll- Lob	ection Date: Possint Date:	05/18/08
Chefft Sample ID.	KD-051606-0	1	Lau Ana	Receipt Date: Iveie Dato:	05/22/08
A	NALYTICA	L RESULTS GASOL Result	JINE RAN	NGE ORGA	NICS
Comp	ound	Result	Units	Quant Lir	nit
GRO	C	U	μg/L	1	0
	ESTIM	ATED TARGET CO	)NCENTI	RATIONS	
Com	pound	Result	Units	Quant Lii	itation mit
MTI	BE	U	μg/L		2
Benz	zene	U	μg/L		1
		Surrogate Standar	d Recove	ry	7 - 19 - 64 6 6 7 4 7 4 7 4 9 4 6 6 7 4 1 4 4 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6
	- -	Frifluorotoluene	94	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	
	I	Bromofluorobenzene	86	%	
U=Und	letected J=E	stimated E=Exceeds Cal	libration Rar	nge B=Detect	ed in Blank

Sample analyzed according to: "Maine HETL Method 4.2.17, September 6, 1995." **METHODOLOGY:** 

COMMENTS:

Authorized signature <u>Mplinekill</u>

Gnaly	IIVVIAN Idorate	pental Jry LLC	195 Commerce Way Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801 603-436-5111 Fax 603-430-2151 800-929-9906
Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11		June 3, SAMP	2008 LE DATA
CLIEN	T SAMPLE ID	Lab Sample ID: Matrix: Percent Solid:	61399-7 Aqueous N/A
Project Name: Project Number: Field Sample ID:	MEFUDS LO-58 03886.184 RB-051808-01	Dilution Factor: Collection Date: Lab Receipt Date: Extraction Date: Analysis Date:	1.0 05/18/08 05/22/08 05/23/08 05/31/08
]	ANALYTICAL RE	SULTS DIESEL RANGE ORGAN Units	NICS Quantitation Limit
	92	μg/L	50
	Surr	ogate Standard Recovery	
	I	m-Terphenyl 89 %	
U=U	ndetected J=Estimated	E=Exceeds Calibration Range B=Detect	ed in Blank

COMMENTS:

Authorized signature Milenchill



Mr. Ron Pentkowski June 3, 2008 Test America Burlington SAMPLE DATA 30 Community Drive Suite 11 South Burlington VT 05403 Lab Sample ID: 61399-7 RR Matrix: Aqueous CLIENT SAMPLE ID Percent Solid: N/A **Project Name: MEFUDS LO-58 Dilution Factor:** 1.0**Collection Date:** 05/18/08 **Project Number:** 03886.184 Lab Receipt Date: 05/22/08 Field Sample ID: RB-051808-01 05/23/08 **Extraction Date:** 06/02/08 Analysis Date: ANALYTICAL RESULTS DIESEL RANGE ORGANICS Result Units **Quantitation Limit** 124 50  $\mu g/L$ 

Surrogate Standard Recove	ry
m-Terphenyl 102 %	
U=Undetected J=Estimated E=Exceeds Calibration Range	B=Detected in Blank

METHODOLOGY: Sample analyzed according to "Maine HETL Method 4.1.25, September 6, 1995".

COMMENTS:

Authorized signature Mulanbell



Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11 South Burlington VT 05403

### CLIENT SAMPLE ID

Project Name:MEFUDS LO-58Project Number:03886.184Client Sample ID:LS58DW1-0508-056

May 29, 2008

#### SAMPLE DATA

Lab Sample ID:	61399-8
Matrix:	Aqueous
Percent Solid:	N/A
<b>Dilution Factor:</b>	1
<b>Collection Date:</b>	05/18/08
Lab Receipt Date:	05/22/08
Analysis Date:	05/28/08

ANALYTIC	AL RESULTS GASOI	JINE RAN	NGE (	ORGANICS	
Compound	Result	Units		Quantitation Limit	
GRO	27	27 μg/L		10	
EST	MATED TARGET CO	)NCENTI	RATI	ONS	
Compound	Result	Units		Quantitation Limit	
MTBE	U	μg/L		2	
Benzene	U	μg/L		1	
	Surrogate Standar	d Recove	ry		
	Trifluorotoluene	95	%		
	Bromofluorobenzene	89	%		
U=Undetected J	=Estimated E=Exceeds Ca	libration Rai	nge I	3=Detected in Blank	

METHODOLOGY: Sample analyzed according to: "Maine HETL Method 4.2.17, September 6, 1995."

Authorized signature Milindull



Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11 South Burlington VT 05403		June 3, 2008 SAMPLE DATA		
CLIEN	T SAMPLE ID	Lab Sample ID: Matrix:	61399-8 Aqueous	
Due inst Names	MEELIDS LO 59	Percent Solid:	N/A	
Project Name:	MEPUDS LO-38	Collection Date:	1.0	
Project Number: Field Sample ID:	03886.184 LS58DW1-0508-056	Lab Receint Date:	05/22/08	
		Extraction Date:	05/23/08	
		Analysis Date:	05/31/08	
	ANALYTICAL RESUL	TS DIESEL RANGE ORGAN	NICS	
	Result	Units	Quantitation Limit	

ANALYTICAL RESULTS DIESEL RANGE ORGANICS				
Result	Units	Quantitation Limit		
300	μg/L	50		
_	Surrogate Standard Recover	<u>y</u>		
	m-Terphenyl 97 %			
U=Undetected J=Estim	ated E=Exceeds Calibration Range	B=Detected in Blank		

**COMMENTS:** 

Authorized signature Mulenchull



Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11 South Burlington VT 05403 CLIENT SAMPLE ID		June 3, SAMP	2008 LE DATA
		Lab Sample ID: Matrix: Percent Solid:	61399-8 RR Aqueous N/A
Project Name:	MEFUDS LO-58	Dilution Factor:	1.0
Project Number:	03886.184	Collection Date: Lab Receipt Date:	05/18/08 05/22/08
Field Sample ID:	LS58DW1-0508-056	Extraction Date:	05/23/08
	Result	Units	Quantitation Limit
	350	μg/L	50

Surrogate Standa	Surrogate Standard Recovery		
m-Terphenyl	100	%	

U=Undetected J=Estimated E=Exceeds Calibration Range B=Detected in Blank

METHODOLOGY: Sample analyzed according to "Maine HETL Method 4.1.25, September 6, 1995".

COMMENTS:

Authorized signature Mulenchall

analyf		environmental laboratory LLC			195 Commerce Way Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801 603-436-5111 Fax 603-430-2151 800-929-9906
Mr. Ron Pentkowski				May 2	9, 2008
30 Community Drive	ston Suite 11			SAMI	PLE DATA
South Burlington VI	05403		Lab	Sample ID:	61399-9
CLEEN	T CAMDLE ID		Mat	rix:	Aqueous
CLIEN			Perc	ent Solid:	N/A
Project Name:	MEFUDS LO-58		Dilu	tion Factor:	1
Project Number:	03886.184		Coll	ection Date:	05/19/08
Client Sample ID:	LS58DW1-0508-05	1	Lab	Receipt Date:	05/22/08
			Ana	lysis Date:	05/28/08
A	NALYTICAL RE	SULTS GASOI	LINE RAN	IGE ORGAN	VICS
Comp	ound	Result	Units	Quanti	tation
GRC	)	U	μg/L	10	)
	ESTIMATE	D TARGET CO	ONCENTI	RATIONS	
Comj	pound	Result	Units	Quanti Lin	tation nit
MTE	3E	U	μg/L	2	
Benz	zene	U	μg/L	1	
	Sui	rrogate Standaı	rd Recove	ry	
	Triflue	orotoluene	94	%	
	Brome	ofluorobenzene	86	%	

Authorized signature Mulinchell



Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11 South Burlington VT 05403		June 3, 2008 SAMPLE DATA				
South Durinigton VI		Lab Sample ID: Matrix:	61399-9 Aqueous			
CLIENT SAMPLE ID		Percent Solid:	N/A			
Project Name:	MEFUDS LO-58	Dilution Factor:	1.0			
5		<b>Collection Date:</b>	05/19/08			
Project Number:	03886.184	Lab Receipt Date:	05/22/08			
Field Sample ID:	LS58DW1-0508-051 Extraction	Extraction Date:	05/22/08 05/23/08 05/31/08			
		Analysis Date:	05/31/08			
	ANALYTICAL RESUL	IS DIESEL RANGE ORGAN Units	NICS			

# Surrogate Standard Recovery

m-Terphenyl 97 % U=Undetected J=Estimated E=Exceeds Calibration Range B=Detected in Blank

METHODOLOGY: Sample analyzed according to "Maine HETL Method 4.1.25, September 6, 1995".

COMMENTS:

Authorized signature Mulumbull



Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11 South Burlington VT 05403 CLIENT SAMPLE ID		June 3, SAMPI	2008 LE DATA
		Lab Sample ID: Matrix: Bencent Solid	61399-9 RR Aqueous
Project Name	MEFUDS I O-58	Percent Solid: Dilution Factor:	N/A 1.0
r roject Name.	MEI ODO EO-30	Collection Date:	05/19/08
Project Number:	03886.184	Lab Receipt Date:	05/22/08
Field Sample ID:	LS58DW1-0508-051	Extraction Date:	05/23/08
•		Analysis Date:	06/02/08
	Result	Units	Quantitation Limit
	U	μg/L	50
	Surrogat	e Standard Recovery	

m-Terphenyl 100 % U=Undetected J=Estimated E=Exceeds Calibration Range B=Detected in Blank

METHODOLOGY: Sample analyzed according to "Maine HETL Method 4.1.25, September 6, 1995".

**COMMENTS:** 

DRO Report

Authorized signature Mulinlull



195 Commerce Way Portsmouth, New Hompshire 03801 603-436-5111 Fax 603-430-2151 800-929-9906

Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11 South Burlington VT 05403

### CLIENT SAMPLE ID

**Project Name: MEFUDS LO-58 Project Number: Client Sample ID:** LS58DW1-0508-041

03886.184

May 29, 2008

### SAMPLE DATA

Lab Sample ID:	61399-10
Matrix:	Aqueous
Percent Solid:	N/A
<b>Dilution Factor:</b>	1
Collection Date:	05/19/08
Lab Receipt Date:	05/22/08
Analysis Date:	05/28/08

ANALYTICAL	RESULTS GASOI	LINE RAN	IGE	ORGANICS	
Compound	Result	Units		Quantitation Limit	
GRO	14	μg/L		10	
ESTIMA	ATED TARGET CO	ONCENTI	RATI	ONS	
Compound	Result	Units		Quantitation Limit	
MTBE	U	μg/L		2	
Benzene	U	μg/L		1	
	Surrogate Standa	rd Recove	ry		
Т	rifluorotoluene	74	%		
В	romofluorobenzene	65	%		
U=Undetected J=Es	timated E=Exceeds Ca	libration Rar	ige 1	B=Detected in Blank	

**METHODOLOGY:** Sample analyzed according to: "Maine HETL Method 4.2.17, September 6, 1995."

Authorized signature Mulunbul



**COMMENTS:** 

DRO Report

Authorized signature Mulmulul



Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11 South Burlington VT 05403 CLIENT SAMPLE ID Project Name: MEFUDS LO-58 Project Number: 03886.184 Field Sample ID: LS58DW1-0508-041		June 3, 2008 SAMPLE DATA			
		Lab Sample ID: Matrix: Percent Solid: Dilution Factor: Collection Date: Lab Receipt Date: Extraction Date: Analysis Date:	61399-10 RR Aqueous N/A 1.0 05/19/08 05/22/08 05/23/08 06/02/08		
	Result	Units	Quantitation Limit		
	51	µg/L	50		
	Surroga	te Standard Recovery			
	m-Te	erphenyl 100 %			

U=Undetected J=Estimated E=Exceeds Calibration Range B=Detected in Blank

METHODOLOGY: Sample analyzed according to "Maine HETL Method 4.1.25, September 6, 1995".

**COMMENTS:** 

Authorized signature	Milendall
	1



195 Commerce Way Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801 603-436-5111 Fax 603-430-2151 800-929-9906

Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11 South Burlington VT 05403

### CLIENT SAMPLE ID

**Project Name: Project Number: Client Sample ID:** 

**MEFUDS LO-58** 03886.184 LS58DW1-0508-034

## May 29, 2008 SAMPLE DATA

•	
Lab Sample ID:	61399-11
Matrix:	Aqueous
Percent Solid:	N/A
Dilution Factor:	1
Collection Date:	05/20/08
Lab Receipt Date:	05/22/08
Analysis Date:	05/28/08

	ANALYTICAL	<b>RESULTS GASOI</b>	LINE RAN	IGE (	ORGANICS	
Сог	mpound	Result	Units		Quantitation	
G	RO	24	μg/L		10	
	ESTIM	ATED TARGET CO	ONCENTI	RATI	ONS	
Co	mpound	Result	Units		Quantitation Limit	
N	ITBE	U	μg/L		2	
В	enzene	U	μg/L		1	
		Surrogate Standar	rd Recove	ry	*****	
	$\overline{\mathrm{T}}$	rifluorotoluene	85	%		
	B	romofluorobenzene	80	%		
U=(	Jndetected J=Est	imated E=Exceeds Ca	libration Rar	ıge I	3=Detected in Blank	

**METHODOLOGY:** Sample analyzed according to: "Maine HETL Method 4.2.17, September 6, 1995."

Authorized signature <u>Mplemhall</u>



Surrogate Standard Recovery m-Terphenyl 96 %U=Undetected J=Estimated E=Exceeds Calibration Range B=Detected in Blank

METHODOLOGY: Sample analyzed according to "Maine HETL Method 4.1.25, September 6, 1995".

**COMMENTS:** 

Authorized signature <u>Mplendull</u>

195 Commerce Way



		Lab Sample ID:	61399-11 RR
CLIENT SAMPLE ID		Matrix:	Aqueous N/A
		Percent Solid:	
roject Name:	MEFUDS LO-58	<b>Dilution Factor:</b>	1.0
Project Number:	03886.184	<b>Collection Date:</b>	05/20/08 05/22/08
		Lab Receipt Date:	
ield Sample ID:	LS58DW1-0508-034	Extraction Date:	05/23/08
		Analysis Date:	06/03/08

Result	Units	Quantitation Limit			
U	μg/L	50			
Surrogate Standard Recovery					
IT IT. daar as \$	m-Terphenyl 97 %				
U=Undetected	J=Estimated E=Exceeds Calibration Range	B=Detected in Blank			

**COMMENTS:** 

Authorized signature Mulenchall
analyt		environmental. laboratory LLC			195 Commerce Way Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801 603-436-5111 Fax 603-430-2151 800-929-9905
Mr. Ron Pentkowski			May 2	9, 2008	
Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11				SAMI	PLE DATA
South Burlington V7	05403		Lab	Sample ID:	61399-12
CUEN	T SAMPLE ID		Mati	rix:	Aqueous
	T SAMTLE ID		Perc	ent Solid:	N/A
Project Name:	MEFUDS LO-58		Dilu	tion Factor:	1
Project Number:	03886.184		Colle	ection Date:	05/20/08
Client Sample ID:	LS58DW1-0508-0	)34-E	Lab	Receipt Date:	05/22/08
			Ana	lysis Date:	05/28/08
A	NALYTICAL R	ESULTS GASOI	LINE RAN	IGE ORGAN	NICS
Сотр	ound	Result	Units	Quanti	tation
GRO	)	23	μg/L	1(	)
*********	ESTIMAT	ED TARGET CO	ONCENTI	RATIONS	
Com	pound	Result	Units	Quanti	tation
MTI	3E	U	μg/L	2 2	2
Benz	zene	U	μg/L	1	
	S	urrogate Standa	rd Recove	ry	
	Trifl	uorotoluene	94	%	
	Broi	nofluorobenzene	88	%	
U=Und	etected J=Estim	ated E=Exceeds Ca	libration Rar	nge B=Detecte	ed in Blank

**METHODOLOGY:** Sample analyzed according to: "Maine HETL Method 4.2.17, September 6, 1995."

Authorized signature Milenchill



METHODOLOGY: Sample analyzed according to "Maine HETL Method 4.1.25, September 6, 1995".

**COMMENTS:** 

DRO Report

Authorized signature	Milmbell



Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11 South Burlington VT 05403		June 3, 2008 SAMPLE DATA		
Sour Dunington VI		Lab Sample ID:	61399-12 RR	
OL PEN		Matrix:	Aqueous	
CLIENT SAMPLE ID		Percent Solid:	N/A	
Project Name:	MEFUDS LO-58	<b>Dilution Factor:</b>	1.0	
		<b>Collection Date:</b>	05/20/08	
Project Number:	03886.184	Lab Receipt Date:	05/22/08	
Field Sample ID:	LS58DW1-0508-034-E	<b>Extraction Date:</b>	05/23/08	
		Analysis Date:	06/03/08	
	ANALYTICAL RESULT	'S DIESEL RANGE ORGAN	VICS	
	Result	Units	Quantitation Limit	

U	μg/L	50		
Surrogate Standard Recovery m-Terphenyl 82 %				
U=Undetected J=Estir	nated E=Exceeds Calibration Range B=Dete	cted in Blank		

METHODOLOGY: Sample analyzed according to "Maine HETL Method 4.1.25, September 6, 1995".

**COMMENTS:** 

Authorized signature Mulanchill

DRO Report



Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11 South Burlington VT 05403

#### CLIENT SAMPLE ID

**Project Name: MEFUDS LO-58 Project Number:** 03886.184 **Client Sample ID:** LS58DW1-0508-029 195 Commerce Way Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801 603-436-5111 Fax 603-430-2151 800-929-9906

### May 29, 2008 SAMPLE DATA

Lab Sample ID:	61399-13
Matrix:	Aqueous
Percent Solid:	N/A
<b>Dilution Factor:</b>	1
<b>Collection Date:</b>	05/20/08
Lab Receipt Date:	05/22/08
Analysis Date:	05/28/08

ANALYTICAL I	RESULTS GASO	LINE RAN	GE	ORGANICS	
Compound	Result	Units		Quantitation	
GRO	156	μg/L		10	
ESTIMA	ESTIMATED TARGET CONCENTRATIONS				
Compound	Result	Units		Quantitation Limit	
MTBE	U	μg/L		2	
Benzene	U	μg/L		1	
	Surrogate Standa	rd Recover	тy		
Tri	fluorotoluene	103	%		
Bro	omofluorobenzene	95	%		
U=Undetected J=Estin	nated E=Exceeds Ca	alibration Ran	ge ]	B=Detected in Blank	

**METHODOLOGY:** Sample analyzed according to: "Maine HETL Method 4.2.17, September 6, 1995."

Authorized signature Mulunulul

analy		ntal LLC	195 Commerce Way Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801 603-436-5111 Fax 603-430-2151 800-929-9906
Mr. Ron Pentkowsk Test America Burlin 30 Community Drive South Burlington V7	i gton e Suite 11 Γ 05403	June 3, SAMP	2008 LE DATA
CLIEN	T SAMPLE ID	Lab Sample ID: Matrix: Percent Solid:	61399-13 Aqueous N/A
Project Name:	MEFUDS LO-58	Dilution Factor:	1.0
Project Number:	03886.184	Collection Date:	05/20/08
Field Sample ID:	LS58DW1-0508-029	Lab Receipt Date: Extraction Date: Analysis Date:	05/22/08 05/23/08 05/31/08
]	Result	Units	Quantitation Limit
	U	μg/L	50
	Surroş	gate Standard Recovery	
	IN-	• recpinenty: 97 %	
<b>U=U</b>	ndetected J=Estimated E=	=Exceeds Calibration Range B=Detecte	ed in Blank

METHODOLOGY: Sample analyzed according to "Maine HETL Method 4.1.25, September 6, 1995".

**COMMENTS:** 

Authorized signature Mulnchull

DRO Report



Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11 South Burlington VT 05403		June 3, 2008 SAMPLE DATA		
South Burlington V	1 05403	Lab Sample ID:	61399-13 RR	
		Matrix:	Aqueous	
CLIENT SAMPLE ID		Percent Solid:	N/A	
Project Name:	MEFUDS LO-58	<b>Dilution Factor:</b>	1.0	
		<b>Collection Date:</b>	05/20/08	
Project Number:	03886.184	Lab Receipt Date:	05/22/08	
Field Sample ID:	LS58DW1-0508-029	<b>Extraction Date:</b>	05/23/08	
		Analysis Date:	06/03/08	
	ANALYTICAL RESUL	TS DIESEL RANGE ORGAN	VICS	
	Result	Units	Quantitation Limit	

Surrogate Standard Recovery	7
m-Terphenyl 99 %	
U=Undetected J=Estimated E=Exceeds Calibration Range	B=Detected in Blank

METHODOLOGY: Sample analyzed according to "Maine HETL Method 4.1.25, September 6, 1995".

**COMMENTS:** 

Authorized signature Mulinhall

DRO Report

analy		environmental laboratory LLC			195 Commerce Way Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801 603-436-5111 Fox 603-430-2151 800-929-9906
Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11			May 29, 2008		9, 2008
				SAMI	PLE DATA
South Burlington VI	05403		Lab	Sample ID:	61399-14
CLIENT SAMDLE ID			Matr	ix:	Aqueous
	I SAMPLE ID		Perce	ent Solid:	N/A
Project Name:	MEFUDS LO-58		Dilut	ion Factor:	1
Project Number:	03886.184		Colle	ection Date:	05/20/08
Client Sample ID:	RB-052008-01		Lab 1	Receipt Date:	05/22/08
			Anal	ysis Date:	05/28/08
A	NALYTICAL RE	SULTS GASO	LINE RAN	GE ORGAN	VICS
Comp	ound	Result	Units	Quantit	tation ait
GRO	)	U	μg/L	10	)
	ESTIMATE	D TARGET CO	ONCENTR	ATIONS	
Com	pound	Result	Units	Quanti	tation pit
MTI	3E	U	μg/L	2	
Benz	zene	U	μg/L	1	
	Su	rrogate Standa	rd Recovei	·y	
	Triflu	orotoluene	93	%	
	Brome	ofluorobenzene	86	% %	
U=Und	letected J=Estimat	ed E=Exceeds Ca	alibration Ran	ge B=Detecte	ed in Blank

Sample analyzed according to: "Maine HETL Method 4.2.17, September 6, 1995." **METHODOLOGY:** 

Authorized signature Milendall



Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11 South Burlington VT 05403 CLIENT SAMPLE ID		June 3, 2008 SAMPLE DATA		
		Lab Sample ID: Matrix: Percent Solid:	61399-14 Aqueous N/A	
Project Name:	MEFUDS LO-58	<b>Dilution Factor:</b>	1.0	
¥ ( 15.7 )	00000 104	Collection Date:	05/20/08	
Project Number:	03886.184 Lab Receipt Dat	Lab Receipt Date:	05/22/08	
Field Sample ID:	RB-052008-01	Extraction Date:	05/23/08	
		Analysis Date:	05/31/08	
	ANALYTICAL RESUL	TS DIESEL RANGE ORGAN	NICS	
	<b>.</b>			

Result	Units	Quantitation Limit
U	μg/L	50
	Surrogate Standard Recover	<u>y</u>
	m-Terphenyl 93 %	
U=Undetected J=Esti	mated E=Exceeds Calibration Range	B=Detected in Blank

METHODOLOGY: Sample analyzed according to "Maine HETL Method 4.1.25, September 6, 1995".

COMMENTS:

Authorized signature Mulu

DRO Report

analy		disamentaL oratory LLC	195 Commerce Way Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801 603-436-5111 Fax 603-430-2151 800-929-9906
Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burling 30 Community Drive South Burlington VI	gton Suite 11	June 3, SAMP	2008 LE DATA
CLIEN	T SAMPLE ID	Lab Sample ID: Matrix: Percent Solid:	61399-14 RR Aqueous N/A
Project Name: Project Number: Field Sample ID:	MEFUDS LO-58 03886.184 RB-052008-01	Dilution Factor: Collection Date: Lab Receipt Date: Extraction Date: Analysis Date:	1.0 05/20/08 05/22/08 05/23/08 06/03/08
]	ANALYTICAL I Result	RESULTS DIESEL RANGE ORGAN Units	NICS Quantitation Limit
	U	μg/L	50
	<u>S</u> ı	m-Terphenyl 99 %	
U=U1	ndetected J=Estimate	d E=Exceeds Calibration Range B=Detect	ed in Blank

METHODOLOGY: Sample analyzed according to "Maine HETL Method 4.1.25, September 6, 1995".

COMMENTS:

Authorized signature Mulinchill



195 Commerce Way Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801 603-436-5111 Fax 603-430-2151 800-929-9906

Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11 South Burlington VT 05403

#### CLIENT SAMPLE ID

**Project Name: MEFUDS LO-58 Project Number:** 03886.184 **Client Sample ID:** TB-051608-01

May 29, 2008

#### SAMPLE DATA

Lab Sample ID:	61399-15
Matrix:	Aqueous
Percent Solid:	N/A
<b>Dilution Factor:</b>	1
<b>Collection Date:</b>	05/20/08
Lab Receipt Date:	05/22/08
Analysis Date:	05/28/08

ANALYTICAL	RESULTS GASO	LINE RANGE	ORGANICS	
Compound	Result	Units	Quantitation	
GRO	U	μg/L	10	
ESTIMA	ATED TARGET C	ONCENTRAT	IONS	*****
Compound	Result	Units	Quantitation Limit	
MTBE	U	μg/L	2	
Benzene	U	μg/L	1	
	Surrogate Standa	rd Recovery	_	
Т	rifluorotoluene	109 %	_	
В	romofluorobenzene	97 %		
U=Undetected J=Es	timated E=Exceeds Ca	alibration Range	B=Detected in Blank	

**METHODOLOGY:** Sample analyzed according to: "Maine HETL Method 4.2.17, September 6, 1995."

Authorized signature Mulenchall



Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11 South Burlington VT 05403

#### CLIENT SAMPLE ID

 Project Name:
 MEFUDS LO-58

 Project Number:
 03886.184

 Client Sample ID:
 TB-051908-01

195 Commerce Way Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03601 603-436-5111 Fax 603-430-2151 800-929-9906

### May 29, 2008 SAMPLE DATA

Lab Sample ID:	61399-16
Matrix:	Aqueous
Percent Solid:	N/A
<b>Dilution Factor:</b>	1
<b>Collection Date:</b>	05/20/08
Lab Receipt Date:	05/22/08
Analysis Date:	05/28/08

ANALYTICA	L RESULTS GASOL	INE RANGE	ORGANICS	
Compound	Result	Units	Quantitation Limit	
GRO	U	μg/L	10	
ESTIN	1ATED TARGET CO	ONCENTRAT	IONS	
Compound	Result	Units	Quantitation Limit	
MTBE	U	μg/L	2	
Benzene	U	μg/L	l	
	Surrogate Standar	d Recovery		
	Trifluorotoluene	109 %	_	
	Bromofluorobenzene	100 %		
U=Undetected J=I	Estimated E=Exceeds Ca	libration Range	B=Detected in Blank	

METHODOLOGY: Sample analyzed according to: "Maine HETL Method 4.2.17, September 6, 1995."

Authorized signature Milenchall



# EXTRACTION LOGBOOK PAGES

.

AnalyticsLLC:AEL Documents LLC:Pkg Dividers: EXTRACTION. doc Analytics Report 61399 page 0055 of 473

Transfer Date: Initials:	05/23/08 KR		EXTR/ Pe	ACT TRANS	FER SHEE ⁻ ocarbons:	Г	Use the enters th	Print print button - ne transfer date
Sample	Analysis	Extraction Date	Matrix	Initial Volume(mL)	lnitial Weight(g)	Final Volume(mL)	% Solids	Extraction Comments
61380-11	4125W	05/23/08	Aqueous	1000		1.0		
61381-1	4125W	05/23/08	Aqueous	940		1.0		Emulsion
61394-1	4125W	05/23/08	Aqueous	1000		1.0		Emulsion
61395-1	4125W	05/23/08	Aqueous	1005		1.0		
61398-1	4125W	05/23/08	Aqueous	1020		1.0		
61399-1	4125W	05/23/08	Aqueous	1050		1.0		
61399-2	4125W	05/23/08	Aqueous	1050		1.0		
61399-3	4125W	05/23/08	Aqueous	1070		1.0		
61399-4	4125W	05/23/08	Aqueous	1080		1.0		
61399-5	4125W	05/23/08	Aqueous	1080		1.0		
61399-6	4125W	05/23/08	Aqueous	1085		1.0		Ø
61399-7	4125W	05/23/08	Aqueous	1070		1.0	^	528.00
61399-8	4125W	05/23/08	Aqueous	1070		1.0	1 pr	33.08
61399-9	4125W	05/23/08	Aqueous	1080		1.0	, JOB	5
61399-10	4125W	05/23/08	Aqueous	1080		1.0		
61399-11	4125W	05/23/08	Aqueous	1070		1.0		
61399-12	4125W	05/23/08	Aqueous	1070		1.0		
61399-13	4125W	05/23/08	Aqueous	1070		1.0		
61399-14	4125W	05/23/08	Aqueous	1050		1.0		

Prep Home

Select

All-Save

Entry Loop

Print ſ

it е

Analytics Report 61399 page 0056 of 473



Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon/ Diesel Range Organics Aqueous Preparation Logbook

Circle Method Reference SW-846 3510 Liquid/Liquid extraction ME HETL 4.1.25

an . A

	Date: <u>5.73.00</u>		C.A.	QC	Batch ID ;	Q-1-0523	08-1-KK
	lime: <u><b>9:</b>00</u> a	Initials	:00	_ Prep.	. Batch ID	P-P-0523	08-1-KR
Sample #	Initial Volume	pH<2	mL Surr	mL Spike	Ex Vol	Final Vol MeCl2	Cook Down Date
B05238DW	1000	1.5	1.00	2	7120	1.0 ml.	5.230B(KR)
L052390W	1000	1		1.00	)	1.0 ML	
LD05238DW	1000			1.04		1.0 mc	
L05238DW#2	2 1000			1.09		1. Ome	
400523812WH-2	2 1000	V	$\mathbf{V}$	1.04		1.0 ru	
(01380-11	1000	<u>†</u> .	1.0			1.0 mL	
1381-1	940					1.0 ml	
61394-1	1000	V	$\checkmark$			1.0 mi	
61395-1	1005'	1.5	1.0	<b>F</b>		1. One	E O
61398-1	1020					1.0mc	
61399-1	1050			·		1.0ml	য
<u> </u>	050		V			1.0mc	(CB)
-3	1070		1.0.44			1	
-4	1080						
-6	1980						
-6	1085	$\checkmark$		$\frown$			
-7	1070	1.5					
-8	1070	1.5					
-9	1080	۱.Տ	<u> </u>				
10	1080	1.5	1.0 00				
-(1	1070	1.5	1. O _{CP}				
-12	1070						
-13	1070						
V -14	1050			^			¥
61399-2,ms	1480		C	31.00			
	1080	$\sim$	J	LI Mat		(/	V Y

X= emulsion (B 5-23-08

MeCl ₂ Lot Number:	48050	C Lot #	Exp Date
1:1 HCI Lot Number:	MIGHSH	DRO/TPH Surrogate (0.1 ug/mL):	9.24.08
Na ₂ SO ₄ Lot Number:	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	DRO Spike (1.0 ug/mL): <b>TG317</b>	10-29.08
-		3 #2 Fuel Oil Spike (1 0 ug/ml): T6390	10.4.08

Analytics Report 61399 page 0057 of 473

Rev 1. 12/13/04

5.1



# GRO DATA SUMMARIES

AnalyticsLLC:AEL Documents LLC:Pkg Dividers:GRO.doc Analytics Report 61399 page 0058 of 473

		. <u>=</u>		_	Ē	٨	environmental
U	M	7	I	V	J	/	laboratory LLC

Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11 South Burlington VT 05403

Г

#### CLIENT SAMPLE ID

Project Name:	MEFUDS LO-58
Project Number:	
Client Sample ID:	LABQC

195 Commerce Way Portsmouth, New Hompshire 03801 603-436-5111 Fax 603-430-2151 800-929-9906

## June 17, 2008

### SAMPLE DATA

Lab Sample ID:	B05278KGRO
Matrix:	Aqueous
Percent Solid:	N/A
<b>Dilution Factor:</b>	1
<b>Collection Date:</b>	
Lab Receipt Date:	
Analysis Date:	05/27/08

ANALYTICAL RESULTS GASOLINE RANGE ORGANICS								
Compound	Result	Units	Quantitation Limit					
GRO	U	μg/L	10					
ESTINAA	τευ τλρωέτ οι	NICENTRD						
ESTIMA		JINCEINIK	Quantitation					
Compound	Result	Units	Limit					
MTBE	U	$\mu$ g/L	2					
Benzene	U	μg/L	1					
	Surrogate Standa	rd Recover	ry					
Tri	fluorotoluene	111	%					
Bro	omofluorobenzene	99	%					
U=Undetected J=Estir	nated E=Exceeds Ca	libration Rang	ge B=Detected in Blank					

**METHODOLOGY:** Sample analyzed according to: "Maine HETL Method 4.2.17, September 6, 1995."

Authorized signature <u>Mumbull</u>

Sample Name	B05278KGRO	1		AN 1
Data File Name Date Acquired Misc Info	K15998B.D 5/27/2008 22:53 5000			5/28/08
Dilution Factor	1.00			119
				100°
GRO ANALYTICAL RESULTS	<u>ug/L</u>	RL		
GRO	U	10		
Methyl-t-butylether	U	2		
Benzene	U	1	1	
Toluene	U	2		
Ethylbenzene	U	2		
m,p-Xylenes	U	2	v	
o-Xylene	U	2		
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	U	2		
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	U	2		
Naphthalene	U	2		
TFT % Recovery (FID)	111%	60-140 %	PASS	
BFB % Recovery (FID)	99%	60-140 %	PASS	
TFT % Recovery (PID)	117%	60-140 %	PASS	
BFB % Recovery (PID)	106%	60-140 %	PASS	

RAW DATA	Raw Inst	Reviewed & Calculated Inst	Target	Target	Evo	ВŢ	RT	Sample
FID RESULTS	Amount un/l	Amount ug/l	Response	RT	RT	Window	Accent	Amount ug/l
TFT	44 47	, indditt ug/ E	320647	3 966	3 967	0.030	OK	<u>, iniouni ugi E</u>
BFB	39.79		231389	7.095	7.096	0.030	OK	
GRO (<200)	-4.69	0.00	147685					
GRO (>200)	-11.15	0.00	147685					
GRO	-4.69	0.00						0
PID RESULTS								
TFT #2	46.88		358057	3.966	3.967	0.030	ОК	
BFB #2	42.25		852949	7.094	7.095	0.030	OK	
Methyl-t-butylether #2	0.23	0.00	2111	2.161	2.192	0.030	*	0
Benzene #2	0.05	0.00	1084	3.524	3.520	0.030	OK	0
Toluene #2	0.18	0.00	4018	4.890	4.891	0.030	OK	0
Ethylbenzene #2	0.10	0.00	1870	6.129	6.132	0.030	ОК	0
m,p-Xylene #2	0.16	0.00	3607	6.197	6.198	0.030	OK	0
o-Xylene #2	0.12	0.00	2327	6.571	6.569	0.030	OK	0
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene #2	0.08	0.00	2095	7.378	7.378	0.030	OK	0
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene #2	0.13	0.00	2665	7.725	7.725	0.030	ОК	0
Naphthalene #2	0.19	0.00	2927	10.257	10.257	0.030	OK	0

Data Path : C:\msdcher Data File : K15998B.D Signal(s) : Signal #1 Acq On : 27 May 200 Operator : Sample : B05278KGR0 Misc : 100,5,SOII ALS Vial : 45 Sampl	n\1\DATA\0 : FID1A.CH D8 10:53 p C C, +100UL N Le Multipli	52708-K\ Signal ; om 4EOH ier: 1	¥2: ELC2B	. CH	M	
Integration File signa Integration File signa Quant Time: May 27 23: Quant Method : C:\msdo Quant Title : Volati QLast Update : Thu May Response via : Initial Integrator: ChemStatic	al 1: event al 2: event 13:05 2008 chem\1\METH e Petroleu 7 15 06:23: Calibrati on 6890 S	s.e 29 HODS\GRO05 10DS\GRO05 16 2008 16 2008 on Scale Mode	5148.M arbons e: Small r	oise pe	SIS /5 aks clipp	\$ 5 ^{:290^{ff} ped}
Volume Inj. : Signal #1 Phase : Signal #1 Info :		Signa Signa	l #2 Phas l #2 Infc	e:		
Compound Compound	RT#1 RT#1	RT#2 RT#2	Resp#1 Resp#1	Resp#2 Resp#2	ug/L ug/L	ug/L ug/L
System Monitoring Com 1) S TFT Spiked Amount 40.0 S BFB Spiked Amount 40.0	pounds 3.966 00 Range 7.095 00 Range	3.966 60 - 140 7.094 60 - 140	320647 Recovery 231389 Recovery	358057 = 1 852949 =	44.466 11.17% / 39.790 99.48%	46.87/ 117.19% 42.249 105.62%
Target Compounds 3) TM Methyl-t 4) TM Benzene 5) TM Toluene 6) TM Ethylbenzene 7) TM m,p-Xylene 8) TM o-Xylene 9) TM 1,3,5-Tri .0) TM 1,2,4-Tri .1) TM Naphthalene 1	2.212 3.523 4.890 6.129 6.198 6.572 7.380 7.725 0.258 1	2.161 3.524 4.890 6.129 6.197 6.571 7.378 7.725 0.257	54 504 3143 1398 1906 1834 953 2022 1627	2111 1084 4018 1870 3607 2327 2095 2665 2927	NoCal NoCal NoCal NoCal NoCal NoCal NoCal NoCal NoCal	0.231/# 0.045/# 0.179 # 0.095 # 0.156 # 0.219 # 0.079 # 0.131 # 0.193 #

(f)=RT Delta > 1/2 Window (#)=Amounts differ by > 25% (m)=manual int.



Page: 2



GC Volatiles Instrument: K

# 645/GRD Sur= V723/26/13/02 TFT only = V7251 × 141708

P10=3

# 051408-K

BFBONY = 17216 15/28/08

L L	N-V-051408	12m	<u> </u>					
Position	Method	Sample	Vol		Comments	File #	Analys	t pH
	GR00514081	Blank	5ml		ys idle	K15780	RM	NA
2		GRO 10		V	+.0254LV7233	81		
3		1 20		4	r. 05 x6/13/08	82		
4		50		$\checkmark$	+ 1.25	83		
5		100		$\checkmark$	+ 2.5	84		
6		200		$\checkmark$	+5	85		
7		500		V	+12.5	86		
8		1000		Y	+25	87		
9		L 2500		$\checkmark$	+62.5 L	88		
ID		Blank-CO				89		
11		GROCC ZOO			+ 5 4 L V7237+6H310	8 90SC		
12		GAS 200	<del> </del>	$\checkmark$	<b></b>	91		
13		Blanksykidle		_		92		
14		BUSISSKGRO	,	V		93B		
15		LOJJS8KGADO		VI	1541 17237 ×4113108	946		
16		L0051581612		V,		95Q		4
17		61279-1	4			96	RM	22
18		GRO 200		Y.		97SC	1	
19	GASOUNTS.M	GAS 700	1,			18SC		
20		MB05158KGAS "	9/5ml	Y		99B		
21		150515581648		Y	+50 - V7819 +611108	K15800Q		
22		LSDO5 158KGB	1+	Y		OlQ		
23		101327-2		r	8.59 80×FU	02		
24		, -3		$\Gamma$	8.25g +	03		
25		-4	545	$\boldsymbol{\gamma}$	8.02	04		
26		$\pm -1$ 2	Sul L	5	8.23 L	05		
27		GAS 200	5mu	7	+5AL V719H 5117108	OUSL		
28	1	R05158KGAS	1		windowblonk	OFB		
29		Blank-Sysidle				08		
30		(1275-7, TB	10045m	e		09		
31		-5	DUL /	m	7.24 JALFY	jÒ		
32		-4	100ml	Sm	5.529,5xFV	11		
33		-/	5044	SAL	4.09g, 6MLFV	12		
34		-6	surc /SI	n	4.65, 5nd FV	13		
35		Blank-co				14		
36		1				15		
37						16		
38		14				17		
39		·				18		
111						19		

C:ANALYTICS LLCVAEL Documents Viogbola and Street C:ANALYTICS VIOGBOLA ANALYTICS VIOGBO

analy		eavironmental laboratory LLC			195 Commerce Way Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801 603-436-5111 Fax 603-430-2151 800-929-9906
Mr. Ron Pentkowski	i			May 2	29, 2008
Test America Burling 30 Community Drive	gton Suite 11			SAM	PLE DATA
South Burlington VI	f 05403		Lab	Sample ID:	61399-1
CI IEN	T CAMDI E ID		Mat	rix:	Aqueous
CEIEN	1 SAMPLE ID	, 	Perc	ent Solid:	N/A
Project Name:	MEFUDS LO	D-58	Dilu	tion Factor:	1
Project Number:	03886.184		Coll	ection Date:	05/16/08
Client Sample ID:	LS58DW2-0	508-A16	Lab	Receipt Date:	05/22/08
			Ana	lysis Date:	05/28/08
A	NALYTICA	L RESULTS GASOI	LINE RAN	IGE ORGAN	NICS
Сотр	ound	Result	Units	Quanti	tation
GRO	)	U	μg/L	1(	)
<u></u>	ESTIN	IATED TARGET CO	ONCENTI	RATIONS	
Com	pound	Result	Units	Quanti Lin	tation nit
MTI	BE .	U	μg/L	2	2
Benz	zene	U	μg/L	1	
		Surrogate Standar	d Recove	ry	
		Trifluorotoluene	102	%	
		Bromofluorobenzene	93	%	
U=Und	etected J=E	Estimated E=Exceeds Cal	libration Rar	nge B=Detecte	ed in Blank
				-	

Sample analyzed according to: "Maine HETL Method 4.2.17, September 6, 1995." METHODOLOGY:

Authorized signature Mulindall

Sample Name	61399-1 Rt 2200
Data File Name Date Acquired Misc Info	K16007.D 5/28/2008 2:59 5000
Dilution Factor	1.00

Mars d

GRO ANALYTICAL RESULTS	ug/L	RL	
GRO	U	10	1
Methyl-t-butylether	U	2	
Benzene	U _	1	$\checkmark$
Toluene	зNI	2	
Ethylbenzene	U	2	
m,p-Xylenes	U	2	
o-Xylene	U	2	
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	U	2	
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	U	2	
Naphthalene	U	2	
TFT % Recovery (FID)	102%	60-140 %	PASS
BFB % Recovery (FID)	93%	60-140 %	PASS
TFT % Recovery (PID)	107%	60-140 %	PASS
BFB % Recovery (PID)	97%	60-140 %	PASS

RAW DATA

г

RAW DATA		Reviewed &						
	Raw Inst	Calculated Inst	Target	Target	Exp	RT	RT	Sample
FID RESULTS	Amount ug/L	Amount ug/L	Response	RT	RT	Window	Accept	Amount ug/L
TFT	40.97		295460	3.968	3.967	0.030	OK	
BFB	37.11		215791	7.095	7.096	0.030	OK	
GRO (<200)	5.04	0.00	258394					
GRO (>200)	-1.34	0.00	258394					
GRO	5.04	0.00						0
PID RESULTS								
TFT #2	42.84		327250	3.968	3.967	0.030	OK	
BFB #2	38.99		787131	7.095	7.095	0.030	OK	
Methyl-t-butylether #2	0.07	0.00	634	2.220	2.192	0.030	ОК	0
Benzene #2	0.17	0.00	4045	3.521	3.520	0.030	ОК	0
Toluene #2	2.71	2.71	60768	4.891	4.891	0.030	OK	3
Ethylbenzene #2	0.06	0.00	1177	6.129	6.132	0.030	OK	0
m,p-Xylene #2	0.09	0.00	2106	6.198	6.198	0.030	OK	0
o-Xylene #2	0.24	0.00	4719	6.554	6.569	0.030	OK	0
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene #2	0.02	0.00	633	7.385	7.378	0.030	OK	0
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene #2	0.19	0.00	3907	7.720	7.725	0.030	ОК	0
Naphthalene #2	0.57	0.00	8682	10.256	10.257	0.030	OK	0

Data Path : C:\msdchem\1\DATA\052708-K\ Data File : K16007.D Signal(s) : Signal #1: FID1A.CH Signal #2: ELC2B.CH Acg On : 28 May 2008 2:59 am Operator : And And ALS Vial : 54 Sample Multiplier: 1 Integration File signal 1: events.e Integration File signal 2: events2.e Quant Time: May 28 12:02:37 2008 Quant Method : C:\msdchem\1\METHODS\GR005148.M Quant Title : Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons QLast Update : Thu May 15 06:23:16 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration Integrator: ChemStation 6890 Scale Mode: Small noise peaks clipped Volume Inj. : Signal #1 Phase : Signal #2 Phase: Signal #1 Info : Signal #2 Info : Compound RT#1 RT#2 Resp#1 Resp#2 ug/L ug/L Compound RT#1 RT#2 Resp#1 Resp#2 ug/L ug/L System Monitoring Compounds1) S TFT3.9683.96829546032725040.97342.844Spiked Amount40.000 Range60 - 140Recovery=102.43%107.11%2) S BFB7.0957.09521579178713137.10838.988Spiked Amount40.000 Range60 - 140Recovery=92.77%97.47% Target Compounds3) TM Methyl-t-...2.1852.220578634NoCal0.069 #4) TM Benzene3.5233.52120254045NoCal0.169 #5) TM Toluene4.8924.8913233260768NoCal2.711 #6) TM Ethylbenzene6.1336.12913601177NoCal0.069 #7) TM m,p-Xylene6.2006.19815922106NoCal0.091 #8) TM o-Xylene6.5536.55448924719NoCal0.241 #9) TM 1,3,5-Tri...7.3857.385574633NoCal0.024 #10) TM 1,2,4-Tri...7.7147.72037853907NoCal0.192 #11) TM Naphthalene10.25710.25642848682NoCal0.573 #12)GRO (<200)</td>6.2380.00025839405.045mN.D. # Target Compounds 

(f) = RT Delta > 1/2 Window (#) = Amounts differ by > 25% (m) = manual int.

26900

Data Path : C:\msdchem\1\DATA\052708-K\ Data File : K16007.D Signal(s) : Signal #1: FID1A.CH Signal #2: ELC2B.CH : 28 May 2008 2:59 am Acq On Operator • : 61399-1 AR 36204 Sample Misc : 5000 ALS Vial : 54 Sample Multiplier: 1 Integration File signal 1: events.e Integration File signal 2: events2.e Quant Time: May 28 12:02:37 2008 Quant Method : C:\msdchem\1\METHODS\GRO05148.M Quant Title : Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons QLast Update : Thu May 15 06:23:16 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration 6890 Scale Mode: Small noise peaks clipped Integrator: ChemStation Volume Inj. 2 Signal #1 Phase : Signal #2 Phase: Signal #1 Info Signal #2 Info : :





195 Commerce Way Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03601 603-436-5111 Fax 603-430-2151 800-929-9906

Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Sui			29, 2008 PLE DATA			
South Burlington VT 05- CLIENT SA	MPLE ID		Lab Matı Perc	Sample ID: ix: ent Solid:	61399-2 Aqueous N/A	-
Project Name: M Project Number: 03	EFUDS LO-58 886.184		Dilu Colle	tion Factor: ection Date:	1 05/16/08	
Client Sample ID: LS	S58DW2-0508-28.5		Lab Anal	Receipt Date: ysis Date:	05/22/08 05/28/08	
ANA	LYTICAL RESU	LTS GASOL	INE RAN	GE ORGAI	NICS	
	3	Result	Units	Quanti	itation	
Compour	a	Result	÷	Lin	nit	
<b>Compour</b> GRO		U	μg/L	Lin 10	nit O	
<b>Compou</b> n GRO	ESTIMATED	U TARGET CO	μg/L	Lin 10 RATIONS	nit 0	
Compour GRO Compour	ESTIMATED	U TARGET CO Result	μg/L NCENTF Units	Lin 10 RATIONS Quanti Lir	nit () itation nit	<u></u>
Compoun GRO Compoun MTBE	ESTIMATED '	U TARGET CO Result U	μg/L NCENTF Units μg/L	Lin 10 RATIONS Quanti Lir	nit () itation nit 2	
Compoun GRO Compoun MTBE Benzene	ESTIMATED '	U TARGET CO Result U U	μg/L NCENTF Units μg/L μg/L	Lin 10 RATIONS Quanti Lir	nit () itation nit 2	
Compoun GRO Compoun MTBE Benzene	ESTIMATED	U TARGET CO Result U U	μg/L NCENTF Units μg/L μg/L d Recover	Lin li RATIONS Quanti Lir 2 y	nit () itation nit 2 1	
Compoun GRO Compoun MTBE Benzene	nd Surro Trifluoro	U TARGET CO Result U U ogate Standard	μg/L NCENTF Units μg/L μg/L d Recover	Lin li RATIONS Quanti Lin 2 7 7 %	nit () itation nit 2 1	

Sample analyzed according to: "Maine HETL Method 4.2.17, September 6, 1995." **METHODOLOGY:** 

Authorized signature <u>Mulenchall</u>

Sample Name	61399-2 R. a.W
Data File Name Date Acquired Misc Info	K16008.D 5/28/2008 3:22 5000
Dilution Factor	1.00

And

GRO ANALYTICAL RESULTS	ug/L	<u>RL</u>	
GRO	U	10	
Methyl-t-butylether	U	2	
Benzene	U	1	
Toluene	U	2	1
Ethylbenzene	U	2	/
m,p-Xylenes	U	2	4
o-Xylene	U	2	
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	U	2	
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	U	2	
Naphthalene	U	2	
TFT % Recovery (FID)	94%	60-140 %	PASS
BFB % Recovery (FID)	87%	60-140 %	PASS
TFT % Recovery (PID)	98%	60-140 %	PASS
BFB % Recovery (PID)	92%	60-140 %	PASS

ŗ

RAW DATA		Reviewed &						
	Raw Inst	Calculated Inst	Target	Target	Exp	RT	RT	Sample
FID RESULTS	Amount ug/L	Amount ug/L	Response	RT	RT	Window	Accept	Amount ug/L
TFT	37.49		270379	3.965	3.967	0.030	OK	
BFB	34.79		202312	7.094	7.096	0.030	OK	
GRO (<200)	0.63	0.00	208216					
GRO (>200)	-5.79	0.00	208216					
GRO	0.63	0.00						0
PID RESULTS								
TFT #2	39.39		300843	3.965	3.967	0.030	OK	
BFB #2	36.77		742337	7.094	7.095	0.030	OK	
Methyl-t-butylether #2	0.02	0.00	138	2.206	2.192	0.030	OK	0
Benzene #2	0.02	0.00	510	3.526	3.520	0.030	OK	0
Toluene #2	0.17	0.00	3780	4.889	4.891	0.030	OK	0
Ethylbenzene #2	0.02	0.00	449	6.132	6.132	0.030	OK	0
m,p-Xylene #2	0.03	0.00	717	6.196	6.198	0.030	OK	0
o-Xylene #2	0.04	0.00	852	6.582	6.569	0.030	OK	0
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene #2	0.03	0.00	724	7.373	7.378	0.030	OK	0
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene #2	0.08	0.00	1556	7.727	7.725	0.030	OK	0
Naphthalene #2	0.12	0.00	1777	10.254	10.257	0.030	OK	0

Data Path : C:\msdchem\1\DATA\052708-K\ Data File : K16008.D Signal(s) : Signal #1: FID1A.CH Signal #2: ELC2B.CH Acg On : 28 May 2008 3:22 am Operator : UN Ansla Misc ALS Vial : 55 Sample Multiplier: 1 Integration File signal 1: events.e Integration File signal 2: events2.e Quant Time: May 28 12:02:38 2008 Quant Method : C:\msdchem\1\METHODS\GRO05148.M Quant Title : Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons QLast Update : Thu May 15 06:23:16 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration Integrator: ChemStation 6890 Scale Mode: Small noise peaks clipped Volume Inj. : Signal #2 Phase: Signal #1 Phase : Signal #1 Info : Signal #2 Info : CompoundRT#1RT#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2Resp#2< RT#2 Resp#1 Resp#2 ug/L ug/L System Monitoring Compounds1) S TFT3.9653.96527037930084337.49539.386Spiked Amount40.000 Range60 - 140Recovery =93.74%98.47%2) S BFB7.0947.09420231274233734.79036.770Spiked Amount40.000 Range60 - 140Recovery =86.98%91.93% Target Compounds3) TM Methyl-t-...2.2002.20690138NoCal0.015 #4) TM Benzene3.5243.526683510NoCal0.021 #5) TM Toluene4.8914.88926493780NoCal0.169 #6) TM Ethylbenzene6.1366.132333449NoCal0.023 #7) TM m,p-Xylene6.2006.196850717NoCal0.021 #8) TM o-Xylene6.5566.582664852NoCal0.044 #9) TM 1,3,5-Tri...7.3787.373297724NoCal0.027 #10) TM 1,2,4-Tri...7.7347.72715221556NoCal0.076 #11) TM Naphthalene10.25210.2541281777NoCal0.117 #12)GRO (<200)</td>6.2380.00020821600.631mN.D. # Target Compounds 

(f) = RT Delta > 1/2 Window (#) = Amounts differ by > 25% (m) = manual int.

J6-900

Data Path : C:\msdchem\1\DATA\052708-K\ Data File : K16008.D Signal(s) : Signal #1: FID1A.CH Signal #2: ELC2B.CH : 28 May 2008 Acq On 3:22 am Operator 1 : 61399-2 Bet qu Sample Misc : 5000 ALS Vial : 55 Sample Multiplier: 1 Integration File signal 1: events.e Integration File signal 2: events2.e Quant Time: May 28 12:02:38 2008 Quant Method : C:\msdchem\1\METHODS\GRO05148.M Quant Title : Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons QLast Update : Thu May 15 06:23:16 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration Integrator: ChemStation 6890 Scale Mode: Small noise peaks clipped Volume Inj. : Signal #2 Phase: Signal #1 Phase : Signal #1 Info Signal #2 Info : :



analy		<u>eavironmental</u> laboratory LLC			195 Commerce Way Portsmouth, New Hompshire 03801 603-436-5111 Fax 603-430-2151 800-929-9906		
Mr. Ron Pentkowski	i			May 2	9, 2008		
Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11				SAMI	PLE DATA		
South Burlington VJ	r 05403		Lab S	ample ID:	61399-3		
CLIEN		Matri	x:	Aqueous			
ULIEN	T SAMPLE ID		Perce	nt Solid:	N/A		
Project Name:	MEFUDS LO-58		Diluti	on Factor:	1		
Project Number:	03886.184		Collec	ction Date:	05/17/08		
Client Sample ID:	LS58DW2-0508-37	7	Lab R	leceipt Date:	05/22/08		
			Analy	sis Date:	05/28/08		
А	NALYTICAL RE	SULTS GASC	DLINE RAN(	GE ORGAN	NICS		
Comp	ound	Result	Units	Quantit Lim	tation nit		
GRO		U	$\mu$ g/L	10	0		
	ESTIMATE	ED TARGET (	CONCENTRA	ATIONS			
Com	pound	Result	Units	Quanti	tation		
MTI	3E	U	μg/L	2	, ,		
Benz	zene	U	μg/L	1			
	Su	rrogate Stand	ard Recovery	y			
	Triflu	orotoluene	84	~%			
	Brom	ofluorobenzene	e 77	%			
U=Und	etected J=Estimat	ted E=Exceeds (	Calibration Rang	e B=Detecte	d in Blank		

**METHODOLOGY:** Sample analyzed according to: "Maine HETL Method 4.2.17, September 6, 1995."

Authorized signature Mulmulull

Sample Name	61399-3
Data File Name Date Acquired Misc Info	K16011.D 5/28/2008 4:32 5000
Dilution Factor	1.00

GRO ANALYTICAL RESULTS	<u>ug/L</u>	<u>RL</u>	
GRO	U	10	
Methyl-t-butylether	U	2	
Benzene	U 🧹	1	
Toluene	5 N	2	/
Ethylbenzene	U	2	/
m,p-Xylenes	U	2	/
o-Xylene	U	2	
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	U	2	
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	U	2	
Naphthalene	U	2	
TFT % Recovery (FID)	84%	60-140 %	PASS
BFB % Recovery (FID)	77%	60-140 %	PASS
TFT % Recovery (PID)	89%	60-140 %	PASS
BFB % Recovery (PID)	81%	60-140 %	PASS

RAW DATA		Reviewed &						
	Raw Inst	Calculated Inst	Target	Target	Exp	RT	RT	Sample
FID RESULTS	Amount ug/L	Amount ug/L	Response	RT	RT	Window	Accept	Amount ug/L
TFT	33.74		243277	3.967	3.967	0.030	OK	
BFB	30.77		178958	7.095	7.096	0.030	OK	
GRO (<200)	4.31	0.00	250056					
GRO (>200)	-2.08	0.00	250056					
GRO	4.31	0.00						0
PID RESULTS								
TFT #2	35.45		270800	3.966	3.967	0.030	OK	
BFB #2	32.39		653842	7.094	7.095	0.030	OK	
Methyl-t-butylether #2	0.25	0.00	2247	2.142	2.192	0.030	*	0
Benzene #2	0.06	0.00	1461	3.521	3.520	0.030	OK	0
Toluene #2	4.93	4.93	110426	4.890	4.891	0.030	OK	5
Ethylbenzene #2	0.07	0.00	1389	6.128	6.132	0.030	OK	0
m,p-Xylene #2	0.10	0.00	2322	6.197	6.198	0.030	OK	0
o-Xylene #2	0.12	0.00	2292	6.573	6.569	0.030	OK	0
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene #2	0.07	0.00	1788	7.377	7.378	0.030	OK	0
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene #2	0.16	0.00	3193	7.726	7.725	0.030	OK	0
Naphthalene #2	0.34	0.00	5185	10.256	10.257	0.030	OK	0

Quantitation Report (Not Reviewed) Data Path : C:\msdchem\1\DATA\052708-K\ Data File : K16011.D Signal(s) : Signal #1: FID1A.CH Signal #2: ELC2B.CH Acg On : 28 May 2008 4:32 am Operator : Sample : 61399-34 30 Misc : 5000 7 MAG ALS Vial : 58 Sample Multiplier: 1 Integration File signal 1: events.e Integration File signal 2: events2.e Quant Time: May 28 12:02:41 2008 Quant Method : C:\msdchem\1\METHODS\GRO05148.M Quant Title : Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons QLast Update : Thu May 15 06:23:16 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration Integrator: ChemStation 6890 Scale Mode: Small noise peaks clipped Volume Inj. : Signal #1 Phase : Signal #2 Phase: Signal #1 Info : Signal #2 Info : CompoundRT#1RT#2Resp#1Resp#2ug/Lug/LCompoundRT#1RT#2Resp#1Resp#2ug/Lug/L System Monitoring Compounds1) S TFT3.9673.96624327727080033.73635.453Spiked Amount40.000 Range60 - 140Recovery=84.34%88.63%2) S BFB7.0957.09417895865384230.77432.386Spiked Amount40.000 Range60 - 140Recovery=76.94%80.97% 1) S 2) S BFB Target Compounds Target Compounds3) TM Methyl-t-...2.2252.142532247NoCal4) TM Benzene3.5253.5218481461NoCal5) TM Toluene4.8914.89059551110426NoCal6) TM Ethylbenzene6.1366.1289471389NoCal7) TM m,p-Xylene6.1976.19713562322NoCal8) TM o-Xylene6.5626.57312152292NoCal9) TM 1,3,5-Tri...7.3817.3777261788NoCal10) TM 1,2,4-Tri...7.7267.72615173193NoCal11) TM Naphthalene10.25810.25624065185NoCal12)GRO (<200)</td>6.2380.00025005604.311m 0.246 # 0,061 # 4.926, **∦**↓ 0.07↓ # 0.10/0 # 0.1/17/#/ 0.ø67 # 0/157 # 0/.342 # Ń.D. #

(f)=RT Delta > 1/2 Window (#)=Amounts differ by > 25% (m)=manual int.

_____

26-900

Data Path : C:\msdchem\1\DATA\052708-K\ Data File : K16011.D Signal(s) : Signal #1: FID1A.CH Signal #2: ELC2B.CH Acq On : 28 May 2008 4:32 am Operator : 5. AM : 61399-3 km Sample Misc : 5000 : 58 ALS Vial Sample Multiplier: 1 Integration File signal 1: events.e Integration File signal 2: events2.e Quant Time: May 28 12:02:41 2008 Quant Method : C:\msdchem\1\METHODS\GRO05148.M Quant Title : Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons QLast Update : Thu May 15 06:23:16 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration Integrator: ChemStation 6890 Scale Mode: Small noise peaks clipped Volume Inj. : Signal #2 Phase: Signal #1 Phase : Signal #1 Info Signal #2 Info : :





195 Commerce Way Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801 603-436-5111 Fax 603-430-2151 800-929-9906

Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11 South Burlington VT 05403

#### CLIENT SAMPLE ID

**Project Name: MEFUDS LO-58 Project Number: Client Sample ID:** 

03886.184 LS58DW2-0508-94.5

# May 29, 2008

## SAMPLE DATA

Lab Sample ID:	61399-4
Matrix:	Aqueous
Percent Solid:	N/A
<b>Dilution Factor:</b>	1
Collection Date:	05/17/08
Lab Receipt Date:	05/22/08
Analysis Date:	05/28/08

ANALYTI	CAL RESULTS GASOL	INE RAN	<b>IGE</b>	ORGANICS	
Compound	Result	Units		Quantitation	
GRO	U	μg/L		10	
EST	TIMATED TARGET CO	NCENTI	RATI	IONS	
Compound	Result	Units		Quantitation Limit	
MTBE	U	μg/L		2	
Benzene	U	μg/L		1	
	Surrogate Standar	d Recove	ry		
	Trifluorotoluene	91	%		
	Bromofluorobenzene	84	%		
		-			
U=Undetected	J=Estimated E=Exceeds Cal	ibration Rar	nge ]	B=Detected in Blank	

**METHODOLOGY:** Sample analyzed according to: "Maine HETL Method 4.2.17, September 6, 1995."

**COMMENTS:** 

Authorized signature Mpluralull

Analytics Report 61399 page 0076 of 473

Sample Name	61399-4					
Data File Name Date Acquired Misc Info	K16012.D 5/28/2008 4:56 5000	,				
Dilution Factor	1.00					

GRO ANALYTICAL RESULTS	<u>ug/L</u>	RL	
GRO	U	10	
Methyl-t-butylether	U	2	
Benzene	U tr	1	7
Toluene	6 /V /	2	
Ethylbenzene	U	2	/
m,p-Xylenes	U	2	1
o-Xylene	U	2	
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	U	2	
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	U	2	
Naphthalene	U	2	
TFT % Recovery (FID)	91%	60-140 %	PASS
BFB % Recovery (FID)	84%	60-140 %	PASS
TFT % Recovery (PID)	95%	60-140 %	PASS
BFB % Recovery (PID)	88%	60-140 %	PASS

And Sixed

RAW DATA		Reviewed &						
	Raw Inst	Calculated Inst	Target	Target	Exp	RT	RT	Sample
FID RESULTS	Amount ug/L	Amount ug/L	Response	RT	RT	Window	Accept	Amount ug/L
TFT	36.30		261769	3.965	3.967	0.030	OK	
BFB	33.49		194754	7.095	7.096	0.030	OK	
GRO (<200)	6.10	0.00	270344					
GRO (>200)	-0.28	0.00	270344					
GRO	6.10	0.00						0
PID RESULTS								
TFT #2	38.19		291682	3.965	3.967	0.030	OK	
BFB #2	35.21		710900	7.094	7.095	0.030	OK	
Methyl-t-butylether #2	0.29	0.00	2654	2.156	2.192	0.030	*	0
Benzene #2	0.07	0.00	1611	3.517	3.520	0.030	OK	0
Toluene #2	6.36	6.36	142632	4.889	4.891	0.030	ОК	6
Ethylbenzene #2	0.05	0.00	948	6.132	6.132	0.030	OK	0
m,p-Xylene #2	0.05	0.00	1262	6.197	6.198	0.030	OK	0
o-Xylene #2	0.07	0.00	1387	6.581	6.569	0.030	OK	0
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene #2	0.02	0.00	548	7.373	7.378	0.030	OK	0
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene #2	0.08	0.00	1709	7.728	7.725	0.030	OK	0
Naphthalene #2	0.12	0.00	1802	10.256	10.257	0.030	OK	0

Jr. 200

Quantitation Report (Not Reviewed) Data Path : C:\msdchem\1\DATA\052708-K\ Data File : K16012.D Signal(s) : Signal #1: FID1A.CH Signal #2: ELC2B.CH Acq On : 28 May 2008 4:56 am Operator : Sample : 61399-4,67-,60 Misc : 5000 ALS Vial : 59 Sample Multiplier: 1 Ghald Integration File signal 1: events.e Integration File signal 2: events2.e Quant Time: May 28 12:02:42 2008 Quant Method : C:\msdchem\1\METHODS\GRO05148.M Quant Title : Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons QLast Update : Thu May 15 06:23:16 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration Integrator: ChemStation 6890 Scale Mode: Small noise peaks clipped Volume Inj. Signal #1 Phase : Signal #2 Phase: Signal #1 Info : Signal #2 Info : CompoundRT#1RT#2Resp#1Resp#2ug/Lug/LCompoundRT#1RT#2Resp#1Resp#2ug/Lug/L Compound System Monitoring Compounds 1) S TFT 3.965 3.965 261769 291682 36.301 38.187/ Spiked Amount40.000 Range60 - 140 Recovery=90.75%95.47%2) SBFB7.0957.09419475471090033.49035.213Spiked Amount40.000 Range60 - 140 Recovery=83.73%88.03% Target Compounds Target Compounds3) TM Methyl-t-...2.2012.1563292654NoCal4) TM Benzene3.5223.51714151611NoCal5) TM Toluene4.8904.88977617142632NoCal6) TM Ethylbenzene6.1336.132599948NoCal7) TM m,p-Xylene6.1986.19711231262NoCal8) TM o-Xylene6.5796.58115301387NoCal9) TM 1,3,5-Tri...7.3847.373646548NoCal10) TM 1,2,4-Tri...7.7287.72817211709NoCal11) TM Naphthalene10.25510.25611841802NoCal12)GRO (<200)</td>6.2380.00027034406.096m 0-290 # 0.067 # 6.363/# 0.04,8 # 0.0岁5 浦 0.Ø71/₩/ 0/021 # 0.084 # /0.119 # # / N.D. 

(f)=RT Delta > 1/2 Window (#)=Amounts differ by > 25% (m)=manual int.

J ce- 200

_ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _

Quantitation Report (Not Reviewed) Data Path : C:\msdchem\1\DATA\052708-K\ Data File : K16012.D Signal(s) : Signal #1: FID1A.CH Signal #2: ELC2B.CH : 28 May 2008 Acq On 4:56 am Operator ÷ : 61399-4,BR Sample 900 J.e. : 5000 Misc ALS Vial : 59 Sample Multiplier: 1 Integration File signal 1: events.e Integration File signal 2: events2.e Quant Time: May 28 12:02:42 2008 Quant Method : C:\msdchem\1\METHODS\GR005148.M Quant Title : Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons QLast Update : Thu May 15 06:23:16 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration Integrator: ChemStation 6890 Scale Mode: Small noise peaks clipped Volume Inj. Signal #1 Phase : Signal #2 Phase: Signal #1 Info Signal #2 Info : : Signal: K16012.D\FID1A.CH Response 1000 14000 12000 10000 8000



Page: 2
Gnalyt		environmental laboratory LLC			195 Commerce Way Portsmouth, New Hompshire 03801 603-436-5111 Fax 603-430-2151 800-929-9906
Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burling 30 Community Drive			9, 2008 PLE DATA		
South Burlington V7		Lab Sample ID: Matrix: Percent Solid:		61399-5 Aqueous N/A	
Project Name: Project Number: Client Sample ID:	MEFUDS LO-58 03886.184 LS58DW2-0508-1	89	Dilut Colle Lab	ion Factor: ection Date: Receipt Date:	1 05/17/08 05/22/08
A Comp	NALYTICAL RI	ESULTS GASOI Result	JNE RAN Units	GE ORGAN Quantit Lim	VICS tation lit
GRU	ESTIMAT	ED TARGET CO	µg/L	RATIONS	<b>,</b>
Com	pound	Result	Units	Quanti Lin	tation hit
MTI	BE	U	μg/L	2	**** /
Ben	zene	U	μg/L	1	
	Sı	irrogate Standai	rd Recove	ry	uammaanna, ara ar
	Trifle Bron	uorotoluene nofluorobenzene	99 92	% %	
U=Und	letected J=Estima	ited E=Exceeds Ca	libration Rar	ge B=Detecte	d in Blank

Sample analyzed according to: "Maine HETL Method 4.2.17, September 6, 1995." **METHODOLOGY:** 

COMMENTS:

Authorized signature <u>Hulmchul</u>

Analytics Report 61399 page 0080 of 473

Sample Name	61399-5 Brand					
Data File Name Date Acquired Misc Info	K16013.D 5/28/2008 5:19 5000					
Dilution Factor	1.00					

M Grsfd

GRO ANALYTICAL RESULTS	<u>ug/L</u>	RL	
GRO	U	10	
Methyl-t-butylether	U	2	
Benzene	U	1 .	
Toluene	U	2	/
Ethylbenzene	U	2	
m,p-Xylenes	U	2	
o-Xylene	U	2	*
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	U	2	
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	U	2	
Naphthalene	U	2	
TFT % Recovery (FID)	99%	60-140 %	PASS
BFB % Recovery (FID)	92%	60-140 %	PASS
TFT % Recovery (PID)	104%	60-140 %	PASS
BFB % Recovery (PID)	97%	60-140 %	PASS

RAW DATA		Reviewed &						
	Raw Inst	Calculated Inst	Target	Target	Exp	RT	RT	Sample
FID RESULTS	Amount ug/L	Amount ug/L	Response	RT	RT	Window	Accept	Amount ug/L
TFT	39.77		286760	3.966	3.967	0.030	OK	
BFB	36.75		213722	7.095	7.096	0.030	OK	
GRO (<200)	-1.75	0.00	181199					
GRO (>200)	-8.18	0.00	181199					
GRO	-1.75	0.00						0
PID RESULTS								
TFT #2	41.68		318329	3.965	3.967	0.030	OK	
BFB #2	38.78		782987	7.094	7.095	0.030	ОK	
Methyl-t-butylether #2	0.13	0.00	1169	2.163	2.192	0.030	OK	0
Benzene #2	0.03	0.00	830	3.521	3.520	0.030	OK	0
Toluene #2	0.94	0.00	21074	4.890	4.891	0.030	OK	0
Ethylbenzene #2	0.04	0.00	737	6.131	6.132	0.030	OK	0
m,p-Xylene #2	0.06	0.00	1293	6.197	6.198	0.030	ŌК	0
o-Xylene #2	0.07	0.00	1367	6.572	6.569	0.030	OK	0
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene #2	0.04	0.00	1017	7.378	7.378	0.030	OK	D
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene #2	0.09	0.00	1846	7.736	7.725	0.030	OK	0
Naphthalene #2	0.10	0.00	1471	10.257	10.257	0.030	OK	0

70906

Quantitation Report (Not Reviewed) Data Path : C:\msdchem\1\DATA\052708-K\ Data File : K16013.D Signal(s) : Signal #1: FID1A.CH Signal #2: ELC2B.CH Acq On : 28 May 2008 5:19 am Operator : (II) And d Sample : 61399-5.20 Misc : 5000 Misc ALS Vial : 60 Sample Multiplier: 1 Integration File signal 1: events.e Integration File signal 2: events2.e Quant Time: May 28 12:02:43 2008 Quant Method : C:\msdchem\1\METHODS\GRO05148.M Quant Title : Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons QLast Update : Thu May 15 06:23:16 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration Integrator: ChemStation 6890 Scale Mode: Small noise peaks clipped Volume Inj. : Signal #1 Phase : Signal #1 Info : Signal #2 Phase: Signal #2 Info : CompoundRT#1RT#2Resp#1Resp#2ug/Lug/LCompoundRT#1RT#2Resp#1Resp#2ug/Lug/L _____ System Monitoring Compounds1) S TFT3.9663.96528676031832939.76641.676Spiked Amount40.000 Range60 - 140Recovery=99.41%104.19%2) S BFB7.0957.09421372278298736.75238.783Spiked Amount40.000 Range60 - 140Recovery=91.88%96.96% Target Compounds Target Compounds3) TM Methyl-t-...2.1592.16317341169NoCal4) TM Benzene3.5303.5211191830NoCal5) TM Toluene4.8904.8901203521074NoCal6) TM Ethylbenzene6.1346.131623737NoCal7) TM m,p-Xylene6.2006.19710901293NoCal8) TM o-Xylene6.5696.5726461367NoCal9) TM 1,3,5-Tri...7.3737.3785351017NoCal10) TM 1,2,4-Tri...7.7267.73616651846NoCal11) TM Naphthalene10.25410.25711681471NoCal 0.128 # 0,035 # 0.940 # 0.038 # 0.056 # 0.070 # 0.038 # Ø.091 # 0.097 # ______ 

(f)=RT Delta > 1/2 Window (#)=Amounts differ by > 25% (m)=manual int.

mendo



analy		aboratory LLC			195 Commerce Way Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801 603-436-5111 Fax 603-430-2151 800-929-9906
Mr. Ron Pentkowsk Test America Burlin 30 Community Drive			May 2 SAMF	9, 2008 PLE DATA	
South Burlington VI	Г 05403		Lab S	Sample ID:	61399-6
CLIEN	T SAMPLE ID		Matr Perce	ix: ent Solid:	Aqueous N/A
Project Name:	MEFUDS LO-58	<u></u>	Dilut	ion Factor:	1
Project Number: Client Sample ID:	03886.184 LS58DW2-0508-26	5	Colle Lab I	ction Date: Receipt Date:	05/17/08 05/22/08
•			Analy	ysis Date:	05/28/08
А	NALYTICAL RES	SULTS GASOI	INE RAN	GE ORGAN	NICS
Comp	Result	Units	tation iit		
GRO		U	$\mu$ g/L	10	)
	ESTIMATE	D TARGET CO	NCENTR	ATIONS	
Com	pound	Result	Units	Quantit Lim	tation lit
MTI	3E	U	μg/L	2	
Benz	zene	U	μg/L	1	
	Sur	rogate Standar	d Recover	У	
	Trifluc	orotoluene	95	%	
	Bromo	ofluorobenzene	86	%	
U=Und	etected J=Estimate	d E=Exceeds Cal	ibration Rang	ge B=Detecter	d in Blank

**METHODOLOGY:** Sample analyzed according to: "Maine HETL Method 4.2.17, September 6, 1995."

COMMENTS:

Authorized signature Millindull

Sample Name	61399-6 St. W					
Data File Name	K16014.D	Î				
Date Acquired	5/28/2008 5:43					
Misc Info	5000	/				
Dilution Factor	1.00					

**Dilution Factor** 

Γ

GRO ANALYTICAL RESULTS	<u>ug/L</u>	<u>RL</u>	
GRO	U	10	
Methyl-t-butylether	U	2	
Benzene	U 🦯	1	1
Toluene	з 🏹 і	2	
Ethylbenzene	U	2	
m,p-Xylenes	U	2	1
o-Xylene	U	2	
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	U	2	
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	U	2	
Naphthalene	U	2	
TFT % Recovery (FID)	95%	60-140 %	PASS
BFB % Recovery (FID)	86%	60-140 %	PASS
TFT % Recovery (PID)	100%	60-140 %	PASS
BFB % Recovery (PID)	91%	60-140 %	PASS

RAW DATA		Reviewed &						
	Raw Inst	Calculated Inst	Target	Target	Exp	RT	RT	Sample
FID RESULTS	Amount ug/L	Amount ug/L	Response	RT	RT	Window	Accept	Amount ug/L
TFT	37.89		273220	3.967	3.967	0.030	OK	
BFB	34.58		201116	7.095	7.096	0.030	OK	
GRO (<200)	1.97	0.00	223454					
GRO (>200)	-4.43	0.00	223454					
GRO	1.97	0.00						0
PID RESULTS								
TFT #2	39.83		304238	3.966	3.967	0.030	OK	
BFB #2	36.52		737272	7.094	7.095	0.030	OK	
Methyl-t-butylether #2	0.05	0.00	473	2.209	2.192	0.030	OK	0
Benzene #2	0.08	0.00	1956	3.521	3.520	0.030	OK	0
Toluene #2	2.82	2.82	63244	4.890	4.891	0.030	OK	3
Ethylbenzene #2	0.06	0.00	1175	6.132	6.132	0.030	OK	0
m,p-Xylene #2	0.13	0.00	3102	6.197	6.198	0.030	OK	0
o-Xylene #2	0.09	0.00	1762	6.578	6.569	0.030	OK	0
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene #2	0.03	0.00	765	7.375	7.378	0.030	OK	0
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene #2	0.09	0.00	1891	7.726	7.725	0.030	OK	0
Naphthalene #2	0.15	0.00	2310	10.256	10.257	0.030	OK	0



5/28/2008 12:05 PM

Quantitation Report (Not Reviewed) Data Path : C:\msdchem\1\DATA\052708-K\ Data File : K16014.D Signal(s) : Signal #1: FID1A.CH Signal #2: ELC2B.CH Acq On : 28 May 2008 5:43 am Operator : ph) Stated ALS Vial : 61 Sample Multiplier: 1 Integration File signal 1: events.e Integration File signal 2: events2.e Quant Time: May 28 12:02:44 2008 Quant Method : C:\msdchem\1\METHODS\GRO05148.M Quant Title : Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons QLast Update : Thu May 15 06:23:16 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration Integrator: ChemStation 6890 Scale Mode: Small noise peaks clipped Volume Inj. : Signal #1 Phase : Signal #2 Phase: Signal #1 Info : Signal #2 Info : CompoundRT#1RT#2Resp#1Resp#2ug/Lug/LCompoundRT#1RT#2Resp#1Resp#2ug/Lug/L System Monitoring Compounds1) S TFT3.9673.96627322030423837.88939.831Spiked Amount40.000 Range60 - 140Recovery =94.72%99.58%2) S BFB7.0957.09420111673727234.58436.519Spiked Amount40.000 Range60 - 140Recovery =86.46%91.30% Target Compounds 3) TM Methyl-t-...2.1512.209362473NoCal0.052#4) TM Benzene3.5213.52112961956NoCal0.082# 3) TMMetnyl-t-...2.1512.209362473NoCal0.052 #4) TMBenzene3.5213.52112961956NoCal0.082 #5) TMToluene4.8914.8903407763244NoCal2.821 #6) TMEthylbenzene6.1326.13210261175NoCal0.060 #7) TMm,p-Xylene6.1976.19715653102NoCal0.134 #8) TMo-Xylene6.5606.57820221762NoCal0.090 #9) TM1,3,5-Tri...7.3817.375580765NoCal0.029 #10) TM1,2,4-Tri...7.7267.72619321891NoCal0.093 #11) TMNaphthalene10.25810.25611372310NoCal0.152 #12)GRO (<200)</td>6.2380.00022345401.972mN.D. # 

(f)=RT Delta > 1/2 Window (#)=Amounts differ by > 25% (m)=manual int.



analyt		eavironmental laboratory LLC			195 Commerce Way Portsmouth, New Hompshire 03801 603-436-5111 Fax 603-430-2151 800-929-9906		
Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11			May 29, 2008 <b>SAMPLE DATA</b>				
South Burlington V1		Lab	Sample ID:	61399-7			
CI LEN			Matr	ix:	Aqueous		
CLIEN	I SAMPLE ID		Perce	ent Solid:	N/A		
Project Name:	MEFUDS LO-	58	Dilut	ion Factor:	1		
Project Number:	03886.184		Colle	ection Date:	05/18/08		
Client Sample ID:	RB-051808-01		Lab	Receipt Date:	05/22/08		
			Anal	ysis Date:	05/28/08		
A	NALYTICAL	RESULTS GASO	LINE RAN	GE ORGAN	VICS		
Compound		Result	esult Units		tation pit		
GRC	GRO		μg/L	1(	)		
	ESTIMA	ATED TARGET C	ONCENTE	ATIONS			
Com	pound	Result	Units	Quanti Lin	tation nit		
MTH	ЗE	U	μg/L	2			
Benz	zene	U	μg/L	1			
		Surrogate Standa	rd Recover	ту			
	T	rifluorotoluene	94	%			
	В	romofluorobenzene	86	%			
U=Und	letected J=Es	timated E=Exceeds C	alibration Ran	ge B=Detecte	ed in Blank		

Sample analyzed according to: "Maine HETL Method 4.2.17, September 6, 1995." **METHODOLOGY:** 

COMMENTS:

Authorized signature <u>Mplinekull</u>

# 4.2.17 GRO WATER REPORT

Sample Name	61399-7	
Data File Name Date Acquired Misc Info	K16015.D 5/28/2008 6:06 5000	/
Dilution Factor	1.00	

And Sheld

GRO ANALYTICAL RESULTS	<u>ug/L</u>	<u>RL</u>	
GRO	U	10	
Methyl-t-butylether	U	2	
Benzene	U	1	
Toluene	U	2	
Ethylbenzene	U	2	
m,p-Xylenes	U	2	
o-Xylene	U	2	ſ
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	U	2	
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	U	2	
Naphthalene	U	2	
TFT % Recovery (FID)	94%	60-140 %	PASS
BFB % Recovery (FID)	86%	60-140 %	PASS
TFT % Recovery (PID)	98%	60-140 %	PASS
BFB % Recovery (PID)	91%	60-140 %	PASS

RAW DATA		Reviewed &						
	Raw Inst	Calculated Inst	Target	Target	Exp	RT	RT	Sample
FID RESULTS	Amount ug/L	Amount ug/L	Response	RT	RT	Window	Accept	Amount ug/L
TFT	37.54		270738	3.966	3.967	0.030	OK	
BFB	34.33		199635	7.095	7.096	0.030	ОК	
GRO (<200)	0.94	0.00	211783					
GRO (>200)	-5.47	0.00	211783					
GRO	0.94	0.00						0
PID RESULTS								
TFT #2	39.39		300856	3.966	3.967	0.030	OK	
BFB #2	36.23		731370	7.094	7.095	0.030	OK	
Methyl-t-butylether #2	0.01	0.00	84	2.209	2.192	0.030	OK	0
Benzene #2	0.04	0.00	1019	3.517	3.520	0.030	OK	0
Toluene #2	0.99	0.00	22153	4.890	4.891	0.030	OK	0
Ethylbenzene #2	0.06	0.00	1146	6.133	6.132	0.030	OK	0
m,p-Xylene #2	0.09	0.00	2163	6.198	6.198	0.030	OK	0
o-Xylene #2	0.15	0.00	2896	6.557	6.569	0.030	OK	0
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene #2	0.03	0.00	875	7.377	7.378	0.030	OK	0
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene #2	0.12	0.00	2401	7.727	7.725	0.030	OK	0
Naphthalene #2	0.09	0.00	1412	10.254	10.257	0.030	OK	0



Quantitation Report (Not Reviewed) Data Path : C:\msdchem\1\DATA\052708-K\ Data File : K16015.D Signal(s) : Signal #1: FID1A.CH Signal #2: ELC2B.CH Acq On : 28 May 2008 6:06 am Operator : Sample : 61399-7 Anda : 5000 Misc ALS Vial : 62 Sample Multiplier: 1 Integration File signal 1: events.e Integration File signal 2: events2.e Quant Time: May 28 12:02:46 2008 Ouant Method : C:\msdchem\1\METHODS\GRO05148.M Quant Title : Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons QLast Update : Thu May 15 06:23:16 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration Integrator: ChemStation 6890 Scale Mode: Small noise peaks clipped Volume Inj. : Signal #1 Phase : Signal #2 Phase: Signal #2 Info : Signal #1 Info : RT#2 Resp#1 Resp#2 ug/L RT#1 uq/L Compound Compound RT#1 RT#2 Resp#1 Resp#2 ug/L ug/LSystem Monitoring Compounds System Monitoring compounds1) S TFT3.9663.96627073830085637.54439.388Spiked Amount40.000 Range60 - 140Recovery =93.86%98.47%2) S BFB7.0957.09419963573137034.33036.227Spiked Amount40.000 Range60 - 140Recovery =85.82%90.57%3) TM Methyl-t-... 2.187 2.209 112 84 NoCal 4) TM Benzene 3.519 3.517 869 1019 NoCal 5) TM Toluene 4.891 4.890 11699 22153 NoCal 6) TM Ethylbenzene 6.131 6.133 1366 1146 NoCal 7) TM m,p-Xylene 6.198 6.197 1569 2163 NoCal 8) TM o-Xylene 6.554 6.557 2283 2896 NoCal 9) TM 1,3,5-Tri... 7.384 7.377 330 875 NoCal 10) TM 1,2,4-Tri... 7.718 7.727 1169 2401 NoCal 11) TM Naphthalene 10.250 10.254 534 1412 NoCal 12) GRO (<200) 6.238 0.000 211783 0 0.945m Target Compounds 0.009 # 0,043 # 0.988 # 0.05/8 # 0.094 # 0.1/48/1# 0./033 4 0/.118 # Ø.093 # /N.D. # _____

(f) = RT Delta > 1/2 Window (#)=Amounts differ by > 25% (m)=manual int.

06.900

Quantitation Report (Not Reviewed) Data Path : C:\msdchem\1\DATA\052708-K\ Data File : K16015.D Signal(s) : Signal #1: FID1A.CH Signal #2: ELC2B.CH : 28 May 2008 6:06 am Acq On Operator : : 61399-7 Sample Misc : 5000 ALS Vial Sample Multiplier: 1 : 62 Integration File signal 1: events.e Integration File signal 2: events2.e Quant Time: May 28 12:02:46 2008 Quant Method : C:\msdchem\1\METHODS\GRO05148.M Quant Title : Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons QLast Update : Thu May 15 06:23:16 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration 6890 Scale Mode: Small noise peaks clipped Integrator: ChemStation Volume Inj. Signal #1 Phase : Signal #2 Phase: Signal #1 Info Signal #2 Info : 5 Signal: K16015.D\FID1A.CH Response 16000 14000 12000 10000 8000 6000 4000 2000 (<200 0 GRO BFB F 6.00 7.00 12.00 13.00 15.00 Time 2.00 3.00 4.00 5.00 8.00 9.00 10.00 11.00 14.00 Signal: K16015.D\ELC2B.CH Response_ 50000 40000 30000 20000 10000 1 6.555 37.0 7.255 BFB #2 1,3,5-Trim 7 3 1,2,4-Trim 7 7 Filby Kyenze £1 0 o-Xylene # Methyl-t-b Benzene Toluene #2 FT 6.00 7.00 13.00 2.00 3.00 4.00 5.00 8.00 9.00 10.00 11.00 12.00 14.00 15.00 Time GRO05148.M Wed May 28 12Analytics Report 61399 page 0091 of 473 Page:

2



195 Commerce Way Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801 603-436-5111 Fax 603-430-2151 800-929-9906

Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11 South Burlington VT 05403

## CLIENT SAMPLE ID

Project Name:	MEFUDS LO-58
Project Number:	03886.184
Client Sample ID:	LS58DW1-0508-056

# May 29, 2008

#### SAMPLE DATA

Lab Sample ID:	61399-8
Matrix:	Aqueous
Percent Solid:	N/A
<b>Dilution Factor:</b>	1
Collection Date:	05/18/08
Lab Receipt Date:	05/22/08
Analysis Date:	05/28/08

ANALYTICAL	RESULTS GASC	LINE RAN	GE ORGANICS			
Compound	Compound Result Units Quantit					
GRO	<b>27</b> μg/L		10			
ESTIMA	TED TARGET C	CONCENTR	ATIONS			
Compound	Result	Units	Quantitation Limit			
MTBE	U	μg/L	2			
Benzene	U	μg/L	1			
	Surrogate Stand	ard Recover	·y			
Tri	fluorotoluene	95	%			
Br	omofluorobenzene	e 89	%			
······						
U=Undetected J=Esti	mated E=Exceeds C	Calibration Rang	ge B=Detected in Blank			

**METHODOLOGY:** Sample analyzed according to: "Maine HETL Method 4.2.17, September 6, 1995."

**COMMENTS:** 

Authorized signature Milin Aull

## 4.2.17 GRO WATER REPORT

Sample Name	61399-8
Data File Name Date Acquired Misc Info	K16016.D 5/28/2008 6:30 🗸 5000
Dilution Factor	1.00

MAN AND

GRO ANALYTICAL RESULTS	ug/L	<u>RL</u>	
GRO	27	10	
Methyl-t-butylether	U	2	
Benzene	U 🦯	1	
Toluene	26 N I	2	
Ethylbenzene	U	2	
m,p-Xylenes	U	2	
o-Xylene	U	2	
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	U	2	
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	U	2	
Naphthalene	U	2	
TFT % Recovery (FID)	95%	60-140 %	PASS
BFB % Recovery (FID)	89%	60-140 %	PASS
TFT % Recovery (PID)	106%	60-140 %	PASS
BFB % Recovery (PID)	95%	60-140 %	PASS

RAW DATA

ſ

RAW DATA		Reviewed &						
	Raw Inst	Calculated Inst	Target	Target	Exp	RT	RT	Sample
FID RESULTS	Amount ug/L	Amount ug/L	Response	RT	RT	Window .	Accept	Amount ug/L
TFT	37.84		272885	3.965	3.967	0.030	OK	
BFB	35.67		207429	7.094	7.096	0.030	OK	
GRO (<200)	26.69	26.69	504509					
GRO (>200)	20.48	20.48	504509					
GRO	26.69	26.69						27
PID RESULTS								
TFT #2	42.54		324953	3.964	3.967	0.030	OK	
BFB #2	37.88		764676	7.094	7.095	0.030	OK	
Methyl-t-butylether #2	0.21	0.00	1888	2.164	2.192	0.030	OK	0
Benzene #2	0.17	0.00	3958	3.517	3.520	0.030	OĶ	0
Toluene #2	25.74	25.74	577013	4.889	4.891	0.030	OK	26
Ethylbenzene #2	0.03	0.00	606	6.129	6.132	0.030	OK	0
m,p-Xylene #2	0.06	0.00	1293	6.197	6.198	0.030	OK	0
o-Xylene #2	0.13	0.00	2557	6.556	6.569	0.030	OK	0
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene #2	0.03	0.00	826	7.381	7.378	0.030	OK	0
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene #2	0.13	0.00	2565	7.743	7.725	0.030	OK	0
Naphthalene #2	0.17	0.00	2529	10.256	10.257	0.030	ОК	0

Quantitation Report (Not Reviewed) Data Path : C:\msdchem\1\DATA\052708-K\ Data File : K16016.D Signal(s) : Signal #1: FID1A.CH Signal #2: ELC2B.CH Acq On : 28 May 2008 6:30 am Operator : Sample : 61399-8 And a : 5000 Misc ALS Vial : 63 Sample Multiplier: 1 Integration File signal 1: events.e Integration File signal 2: events2.e Quant Time: May 28 12:02:47 2008 Quant Method : C:\msdchem\1\METHODS\GRO05148.M Quant Title : Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons QLast Update : Thu May 15 06:23:16 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration Integrator: ChemStation 6890 Scale Mode: Small noise peaks clipped Volume Inj. : Signal #1 Phase : Signal #1 Info : Signal #2 Phase: Signal #2 Info : Compound RT#1 RT#2 Resp#1 Resp#2 ug/L ug/L Compound RT#1 RT#2 Resp#1 Resp#2 ug/L ug/L System Monitoring Compounds1) S TFT3.9653.96427288532495337.84242.543/Spiked Amount40.000 Range60 - 140Recovery =94.60%106.36%2) S BFB7.0947.09420742976467635.67037.876/Spiked Amount40.000 Range60 - 140Recovery =89.18%94.69% Target Compounds3) TM Methyl-t-...2.2012.1644731888NoCal0.207 #4) TM Benzene3.5183.51721703958NoCal0.166 #5) TM Toluene4.8904.889311521577013NoCal25.739 #///6) TM Ethylbenzene6.1336.129798606NoCal0.031 #7) TM m,p-Xylene6.1976.19710011293NoCal0.056 #8) TM o-Xylene6.5536.55618242557NoCal0.180 #9) TM 1,3,5-Tri...7.3757.381370826NoCal0.031 #/10) TM 1,2,4-Tri...7.7137.74315792565NoCal0.126 #/11) TM Naphthalene10.25810.25615912529NoCal0.167 #12)GRO (<200)</td>6.2380.000504509026.692mN.D. #13)GRO (>200)6.2380.000504509020.484mN.D. # Target Compounds

(f)=RT Delta > 1/2 Window (#)=Amounts differ by > 25% (m)=manual int.



Quantitation Report (Not Reviewed) Data Path : C:\msdchem\1\DATA\052708-K\ Data File : K16016.D Signal(s) : Signal #1: FID1A.CH Signal #2: ELC2B.CH : 28 May 2008 Acq On 6:30 am Operator : Sample : 61399-8 Misc : 5000 Sample Multiplier: 1 ALS Vial : 63 Integration File signal 1: events.e Integration File signal 2: events2.e Quant Time: May 28 12:02:47 2008 Quant Method : C:\msdchem\1\METHODS\GRO05148.M Quant Title : Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons QLast Update : Thu May 15 06:23:16 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration Integrator: ChemStation 6890 Scale Mode: Small noise peaks clipped Volume Inj. 5 Signal #1 Phase : Signal #2 Phase: Signal #1 Info Signal #2 Info : Response Signal: K16016.D\FID1A.CH 20000 15000 10000 5000 (**≋20**0 0 GRO ( BFB FF 6.00 7.00 2.00 4.00 5.00 8.00 9.00 10.00 11.00 12.00 Time 3.00 13.00 14.00 15.00 Signal: K16016.D\ELC2B.CH Response_ 50000 40000 30000 20000 10000 2012 7.279 25.8 28 5.854 242 FILW ROOTE 24 0 o-Xylene # BFB #2 1,3,5-Trim ,2,4-Trim Naphthalen Methyl-t-b enzene £¥ E 8 2.00 3.00 4.00 5.00 6.00 7.00 8.00 9.00 10.00 11.00 12.00 13.00 14.00 15.00 Time

GR005148.M Wed May 28 12Analytics Report 61399 page 0095 of 473

analy		environmental laboratory LLC			195 Commerce Way Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801 603-436-5111 Fax 603-430-2151 800-929-9906
Mr. Ron Pentkowsk Test America Burlin 30 Community Driva	i gton e Suite 11			May SAM	29, 2008 IPLE DATA
South Burlington V	T SAMPLE I	D	Lab Mat Perc	Sample ID: rix: cent Solid:	61399-9 Aqueous N/A
Project Name: Project Number: Client Sample ID:	MEFUDS I 03886.184 LS58DW1-	-O-58 0508-051	Dilu Coll Lab Ana	tion Factor: ection Date: Receipt Date: lysis Date:	I 05/19/08 : 05/22/08 05/28/08
A	NALYTIC	AL RESULTS GASOI	LINE RAN	IGE ORGA	NICS
GRC	) )	<b>Result</b> U	Units µg/L	Quant Li 1	ination mit .0
	ESTI	MATED TARGET CO	ONCENTI	RATIONS	
Comj MTI Benz	pound 3E zene	<b>Result</b> U U	Units μg/L μg/L	Quant Li	titation mit 2 1
		Surrogate Standa	d Recove	ry	
		Trifluorotoluene Bromofluorobenzene	94 86	% %	
U=Und	etected J=	Estimated E=Exceeds Ca	libration Rar	ige B=Detect	ted in Blank

**METHODOLOGY:** Sample analyzed according to: "Maine HETL Method 4.2.17, September 6, 1995."

COMMENTS:

Authorized signature Mulenchell

Analytics Report 61399 page 0096 of 473

#### 4.2.17 GRO WATER REPORT

Sample Name	61399-9	
Data File Name Date Acquired Misc Info	K16017.D 5/28/2008 6:53 5000	/
Dilution Factor	1.00	

GRO ANALYTICAL RESULTS	<u>ug/L</u>	<u>RL</u>	
GRO	U	10	
Methyl-t-butylether	U	2	
Benzene	U	1	1
Toluene	U	2	
Ethylbenzene	U	2	/
m,p-Xylenes	U	2	1
o-Xylene	U	2	
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	U	2	
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	U	2	
Naphthalene	U	2	
TFT % Recovery (FID)	94%	60-140 %	PASS
BFB % Recovery (FID)	86%	60-140 %	PASS
TFT % Recovery (PID)	111%	60-140 %	PASS
BFB % Recovery (PID)	91%	60-140 %	PASS

mad

RAW/	DATA

RAW DATA		Reviewed &						
	Raw Inst	Calculated Inst	Target	Target	Exp	RT	RT	Sample
FID RESULTS	Amount ug/L	Amount ug/L	Response	RŤ	RT	Window	Accept	Amount ug/L
TFT	37.55		270812	3.966	3.967	0.030	OK	
BFB	34.25		199171	7.094	7.096	0.030	OK	
GRO (<200)	0.21	0.00	203482					
GRO (>200)	-6.20	0.00	203482					
GRO	0.21	0.00						0
PID RESULTS								
TFT #2	44.50		339910	3.965	3.967	0.030	OK	
BFB #2	36. <b>32</b>		733183	7.094	7.095	0.030	OK	
Methyl-t-butylether #2	0.05	0.00	482	2.232	2.192	0.030	*	0
Benzene #2	0.02	0.00	364	3.531	3.520	0.030	OK	0
Toluene #2	0.40	0.00	9056	4.890	4.891	0.030	OK	0
Ethylbenzene #2	0.02	0.00	458	6.135	6.132	0.030	OK	0
m,p-Xylene #2	0.03	0.00	714	6.197	6.198	0.030	OK	0
o-Xylene #2	0.08	0.00	1600	6.592	6.569	0.030	OK	0
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene #2	0.02	0.00	411	7.385	7.378	0.030	OK	0
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene #2	0.10	0.00	1939	7.746	7.725	0.030	OK	0
Naphthalene #2	0.06	0.00	933	10.256	10.257	0.030	OK	0

Jun 900

		Quantitation	n Report	(Not R	eviewed)			
Da Da Si Ac Op Sa Mi	ta Path : C:\msc ta File : K1601 gnal(s) : Signal eq On : 28 May berator : mple : 61399 .sc : 5000	dchem\l\DATA\( 7.D 1 #1: FID1A.CH y 2008 6:53 -9	D52708-K\ H Signal = am	#2: ELC2]	B.CH	(d) I		
In In Qu Qu QL Re In	tegration File s tegration File s ant Time: May 28 ant Method : C:` ant Title : Vol ast Update : Thu sponse via : In: tegrator: ChemSt	signal 1: even signal 2: even 3 12:02:48 200 \msdchem\1\MET latile Petrole 1 May 15 06:23 itial Calibrat tation 6890	nts.e nts2.e 08 THODS\GRO0 eum Hydroca 3:16 2008 cion Scale Mode	5148.M arbons e: Small	noise pe	eaks clipp	hed of	
Vo Si Si	lume Inj. : gnal #1 Phase : gnal #1 Info :		Signa Signa	al #2 Pha al #2 In:	ase: fo :			
-	Compound Compound	RT#1 RT#1	RT#2 RT#2	Resp#1 Resp#1	Resp#2 Resp#2	ug/L ug/L	ug/L ug/L	-
S 1) 2) Sp	ystem Monitoring S TFT iked Amount S BFB iked Amount	g Compounds 3.966 40.000 Range 7.094 40.000 Range	3.965 60 - 140 7.094 60 - 140	270812 Recove: 199171 Recove:	339910 ry = 733183 ry =	37.555 93.89% 34.250 85.63%	44.501 111.25% 36.316 90.79%	1
T 3) 4) 5) 6) 7) 8) 9) 10) 11) 12) -	Carget CompoundsTMMethyl-tTMBenzeneTMTolueneTMEthylbenzeneTMm,p-XyleneTM0-XyleneTM1,3,5-TriTM1,2,4-TriTMNaphthaleneGRO(<200)	. 2.156 3.514 4.891 6.144 6.199 6.582 7.382 . 7.382 . 7.722 10.259 6.238	2.232 3.531 4.890 6.135 6.197 6.592 7.385 7.746 10.256 0.000	2881 364 5231 211 754 1270 443 967 708 203482	482 364 9056 458 714 1600 411 1939 933 0	NoCal NoCal NoCal NoCal NoCal NoCal NoCal NoCal NoCal NoCal	0.053 0.015 0.404 0.023 0.031 0.082/ 0.015 0.095 0.062 N.D.	##########

(f)=RT Delta > 1/2 Window (#)=Amounts differ by > 25% (m)=manual int.

176-4080

Quantitation Report (Not Reviewed) Data Path : C:\msdchem\1\DATA\052708-K\ Data File : K16017.D Signal(s) : Signal #1: FID1A.CH Signal #2: ELC2B.CH : 28 May 2008 Acq On 6:53 am Operator : Sample : 61399-9 Misc : 5000 ALS Vial : 64 Sample Multiplier: 1 Integration File signal 1: events.e Integration File signal 2: events2.e Quant Time: May 28 12:02:48 2008 Quant Method : C:\msdchem\1\METHODS\GRO05148.M Quant Title : Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons QLast Update : Thu May 15 06:23:16 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration Integrator: ChemStation 6890 Scale Mode: Small noise peaks clipped Volume Inj. : Signal #1 Phase : Signal #2 Phase: Signal #1 Info Signal #2 Info : Response Signal: K16017.D\FID1A.CH 2,00.3 14000



Gnalyt		avinamenta). aboratory LLC			195 Commerce Way Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801 603-436-5111 Fax 603-430-2151 800-929-9906
Mr. Ron Pentkowski	i			May 2	9, 2008
30 Community Drive	gton e Suite 11			SAMI	PLE DATA
South Burlington VI	05403		Lab S	ample ID:	61399-10
			Matri	x:	Aqueous
	I SAMPLE ID		Perce	nt Solid:	N/A
Project Name:	MEFUDS LO-58		Diluti	on Factor:	1
Project Number:	03886.184		Collec	ction Date:	05/19/08
Client Sample ID:	LS58DW1-0508-041	L	Lab R	leceipt Date:	05/22/08
			Analy	sis Date:	05/28/08
A	NALYTICAL RES	SULTS GASO	LINE RAN	GE ORGAN	VICS
Сотр	Compound I		Result Units		tation
GRO	)	14	μg/L	10	) .
	ESTIMATE	D TARGET C	ONCENTR	ATIONS	
Com	oound	Result	Units	Quanti	tation
MTE	BE	U	ug/L	2 2	111
Benz	zene	U	μg/L	1	
	Sur	rogata Standa	rd Recovery	x7	
				J	
	Trifluc	orotoluene	74	%	
	Brome	fluorobenzene	65	%	
U=Und	etected J=Estimate	d E=Exceeds Ca	libration Rang	ge B=Detecte	ed in Blank

**METHODOLOGY:** Sample analyzed according to: "Maine HETL Method 4.2.17, September 6, 1995."

COMMENTS:

Authorized signature Mulenball

#### 4.2.17 GRO WATER REPORT

Sample Name	61399-10	
Data File Name Date Acquired	K16018.D 5/28/2008 7:17	
Misc Info	5000	1
Dilution Factor	1.00	

MM Gresta

GRO ANALYTICAL RESULTS	ug/L	<u>RL</u>			
GRO	14 🗸	10			
Methyl-t-butylether	U	2			
Benzene	U	1			
Toluene	14 NT	2			
Ethylbenzene	U	2			
m,p-Xylenes	U	2		-	
o-Xylene	U	2			
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	U	2			
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	U	2			
Naphthalene	U	2			
TFT % Recovery (FID)	74%	60-140 %	PASS		
BFB % Recovery (FID)	65%	60-140 %	PASS		
TFT % Recovery (PID)	92%	60-140 %	PASS		
BFB % Recovery (PID)	69%	60-140 %	PASS		

RAW DATA

RAW DATA		Reviewed &						
	Raw Inst	Calculated Inst	Target	Target	Exp	RT	RT	Sample
FID RESULTS	Amount ug/L	Amount ug/L	Response	RŤ	RŤ	Window	Accept	Amount ug/L
TFT	29.66		213904	3.965	3.967	0.030	OK	
BFB	25.90		150623	7.094	7.096	0.030	OK	
GRO (<200)	13.70	13.70	356804					
GRO (>200)	7.39	0.00	356804					
GRO	13.70	13.70						14
PID RESULTS								
TFT #2	36.65		279907	3.964	3.967	0.030	ОK	
BFB #2	27.49		554915	7.094	7.095	0.030	OK	
Methyl-t-butylether #2	0.12	0.00	1085	2.163	2.192	0.030	OK	0
Benzene #2	0.07	0.00	1678	3.520	3.520	0.030	OK	0
Toluene #2	13.73	13.73	307779	4.890	4.891	0.030	ОК	14
Ethylbenzene #2	0.03	0.00	552	6.129	6.132	0.030	OK	0
m,p-Xylene #2	0.05	0.00	1041	6.197	6.198	0.030	OK	0
o-Xylene #2	0.07	0.00	1296	6.551	6.569	0.030	OK	0
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene #2	0.02	0.00	465	7.382	7.378	0.030	OK	0
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene #2	0.12	0.00	2436	7.718	7.725	0.030	OK	0
Naphthalene #2	0.07	0.00	1091	10.260	10.257	0.030	ОK	0



Quantitation Report (Not Reviewed) Data Path : C:\msdchem\1\DATA\052708-K\ Data File : K16018.D Signal(s) : Signal #1: FID1A.CH Signal #2: ELC2B.CH Acq On : 28 May 2008 7:17 am Operator : Sample : 61399-10 Misc : 5000 and ALS Vial : 65 Sample Multiplier: 1 Integration File signal 1: events.e Integration File signal 2: events2.e Quant Time: May 28 12:02:49 2008 Quant Method : C:\msdchem\1\METHODS\GRO05148.M Quant Title : Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons QLast Update : Thu May 15 06:23:16 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration Integrator: ChemStation 6890 Scale Mode: Small noise peaks clipped Volume Inj. : Signal #1 Phase : Signal #2 Phase: Signal #1 Info : Signal #2 Info : Compound RT#1 RT#2 Resp#1 Resp#2 ug/L ug/L Compound RT#1 RT#2 Resp#1 Resp#2 ug/L ug/L System Monitoring Compounds1) S TFT3.9653.96421390427990729.66336.645Spiked Amount40.000 Range60 - 140Recovery=74.16%91.61%2) S BFB7.0947.09415062355491525.90127.486Spiked Amount40.000 Range60 - 140Recovery=64.75%68.72% Target Compounds3) TM Methyl-t-...2.2192.163601085NoCal0.119#4) TM Benzene3.5163.52011691678NoCal0.070#5) TM Toluene4.8904.890164536307779NoCal13.729#//6) TM Ethylbenzene6.1356.129554552NoCal0.028#7) TM m,p-Xylene6.1976.1977781041NoCal0.045#8) TM o-Xylene6.5846.5517211296NoCal0.066#9) TM 1,3,5-Tri...7.3647.382183465NoCal0.017#10) TM 1,2,4-Tri...7.7127.71816622436NoCal0.120#11) TM Naphthalene10.25610.2605391091NoCal00.72#12)GRO (<200)</td>6.2380.000356804013.700mN.D.#13)GRO (>200)6.2380.00035680407.389mN.D.# Target Compounds

(f)=RT Delta > 1/2 Window (#)=Amounts differ by > 25% (m)=manual int.



195 Commerce Way Portsmouth, New Hompshire 03801 603-436-5111 Fax 603-430-2151 800-929-9906

Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11 South Burlington VT 05403

#### CLIENT SAMPLE ID

Project Name:MEFUDS LO-58Project Number:03886.184Client Sample ID:LS58DW1-0508-034

# May 29, 2008 SAMPLE DATA

# Lab Sample ID:61399-11Matrix:AqueousPercent Solid:N/ADilution Factor:1Collection Date:05/20/08Lab Receipt Date:05/22/08

05/28/08

Analysis Date:

	ANALYT	ICAL RESU	LTS GASOI	LINE RA	NGE	ORGANICS	
	Compound	ompound Result Units				Quantitation Limit	
	GRO		24	μg/L		10	
	ES	STIMATED 1	TARGET CO	ONCENT	FRAT	TIONS	
	Compound		Result	Units		Quantitation Limit	
	MTBE		U	μg/L		2	
	Benzene		U	μg/L		1	
		Surro	gate Standa	rd Recov	ery		
		Trifluorot	oluene	85	5 %		
		Bromoflu	orobenzene	80	) %		
ł							 
	U=Undetected	J=Estimated	E=Exceeds Ca	libration R	ange	B=Detected in Blank	

METHODOLOGY: Sample analyzed according to: "Maine HETL Method 4.2.17, September 6, 1995."

**COMMENTS:** 

Authorized signature

Milentall

#### 4.2.17 GRO WATER REPORT

Sample Name	61399-11	
Data Fíle Name Date Acquired Misc Info	K16019.D 5/28/2008 7:40 5000	/
Dilution Factor	1.00	

Gradd

GRO ANALYTICAL RESULTS	<u>ug/L</u>	<u>RL</u>	
GRO	24	10	
Methyl-t-butylether	U	2	
Benzene	U . 75	1	
Toluene	27 N I	2	v
Ethylbenzene	U	2	
m,p-Xylenes	U	2	
o-Xylene	U	2	
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	U	2	
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	U	2	
Naphthalene	U	2	
TFT % Recovery (FID)	85%	60-140 %	PASS
BFB % Recovery (FID)	80%	60-140 %	PASS
TFT % Recovery (PID)	101%	60-140 %	PASS
BFB % Recovery (PID)	86%	60-140 %	PASS
DFD % Recovery (PID)	00%	00-140 %	PA00

RAW DATA

ſ

RAW DATA		Reviewed &						
	Raw Inst	Calculated Inst	Target	Target	Exp	RT	RT	Sample
FID RESULTS	Amount ug/L	Amount ug/L	Response	RT	RT	Window	Accept	Amount ug/L
TFT	34.06		245589	3.964	3.967	0.030	OK	
BFB	31.83		185081	7.094	7.096	0.030	OK	
GRO (<200)	24.12	24.12	475288					
GRO (>200)	17.89	17.89	475288					
GRO	24.1 <b>2</b>	24.12						24
PID RESULTS								
TFT #2	40.45		308974	3.964	3.967	0.030	OK	
BFB #2	34.43		695139	7.093	7.095	0.030	OK	
Methyl-t-butylether #2	0.03	0.00	302	2.208	2.192	0.030	OK	0
Benzene #2	0.04	0.00	1029	3.540	3.520	0.030	OK	0
Toluene #2	26. <b>78</b>	26.78	600316	4.889	4.891	0.030	OK	27
Ethylbenzene #2	0.02	0.00	426	6.127	6.132	0.030	OK	0
m,p-Xylene #2	0.06	0.00	1495	6.193	6.198	0.030	OK	0
o-Xylene #2	0.08	0.00	1612	6.558	6.569	0.030	OK	0
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene #2	0.01	0.00	381	7.372	7.378	0.030	OK	0
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene #2	0.03	0.00	589	7.711	7.725	0.030	OK	0
Naphthalene #2	0.09	0.00	1437	10.300	10.257	0.030	*	0

J6.906

Quantitation Report (Not Reviewed) Data Path : C:\msdchem\1\DATA\052708-K\ Data File : K16019.D Signal(s) : Signal #1: FID1A.CH Signal #2: ELC2B.CH Acq On : 28 May 2008 7:40 am Operator : Sample : 61399-11 : 5000 Misc UN/ Childred ALS Vial : 66 Sample Multiplier: 1 Integration File signal 1: events.e Integration File signal 2: events2.e Quant Time: May 28 12:02:50 2008 Quant Method : C:\msdchem\1\METHODS\GRO05148.M Quant Title : Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons QLast Update : Thu May 15 06:23:16 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration Integrator: ChemStation 6890 Scale Mode: Small noise peaks clipped Volume Inj. : Signal #1 Phase : Signal #2 finese: Signal #2 Info : Signal #2 Phase: Signal #1 Info : CompoundRT#1RT#2Resp#1Resp#2ug/Lug/LCompoundRT#1RT#2Resp#1Resp#2ug/Lug/L System Monitoring Compounds1) S TFT3.9643.96424558930897434.05740.451Spiked Amount40.000 Range60 - 140Recovery=85.14%101.13%2) S BFB7.0947.09318508169513931.82734.432Spiked Amount40.000 Range60 - 140Recovery=79.57%86.08% 

 Target Compounds

 3) TM Methyl-t-...
 2.212
 2.208
 71
 302
 NoCal
 0.033 #

 4) TM Benzene
 3.519
 3.540
 883
 1029
 NoCal
 0.043 #

 5) TM Toluene
 4.889
 4.889
 317176
 600316
 NoCal
 26.779 #

 6) TM Ethylbenzene
 6.131
 6.127
 507
 426
 NoCal
 0.022, #

 7) TM m,p-Xylene
 6.193
 6.193
 896
 1495
 NoCal
 0.065 #

 8) TM o-Xylene
 6.551
 6.558
 1128
 1612
 NoCal
 0.082 #

 9) TM 1,3,5-Tri...
 7.379
 7.372
 671
 381
 NoCal
 0.014 #

 10) TM 1,2,4-Tri...
 7.716
 7.711
 1533
 589
 NoCal
 0.029 #

 11) TM Naphthalene
 10.250
 10.300
 871
 1437
 NoCal
 0.095 #

 12)
 GRO (<200)</td>
 6.238
 0.000
 475288
 0
 17.893m
 M.D. #

Target Compounds

(f)=RT Delta > 1/2 Window (#)=Amounts differ by > 25% (m)=manual int.

2690U



Mr. Ron Pontkourt	r;				
Test America Burlin	a Igton			Ma	y 29, 2008
30 Community Driv South Burlington V	e Suite 11 T 05403			SA	MPLE DATA
			La	b Sample ID:	61399-12
CLIEN	T SAMPLE	D	Ma	trix:	Aqueous
Project Norma			Per	cent Solid:	N/A
Project Number	MEFUDS	LO-58	Dil	ution Factor:	1
Client Sample ID:	U3880.184	0500 024 5	Col	lection Date:	05/20/08
chent Sample ID.	L336DW1-	0508-034-E	Lat	Receipt Date	e: 05/22/08
		n manu - 1 mar	Ana	alysis Date:	05/28/08
А	NALYTIC	AL RESULTS GASO	LINE RAI	NGE ORGA	ANICS
Compound		Result	Units	Quan	titation
GRO		23	<i>μ</i> σ/Ι	L	imit
		-	<i>P</i> -6, <del>2</del>		10
	ESTH	MATED TARGET CO	ONCENTI	RATIONS	
Comp	ound	Result	Units	Quan	titation
MTB	ΈE	U	ug/L	Li	mit 2
Benz	900	TT	, <u>o</u>		2
DUIL	CHC	U	μg/L		1
	······	Surrogate Standar	d Recove	ry	······································
		Trifluorotoluene	94	%	
<u></u>					
<u></u>		Bromofluorobenzene	88	%	

METHODOLOGY: Sample analyzed according to: "Maine HETL Method 4.2.17, September 6, 1995."

**COMMENTS:** 

----

Authorized signature Milenchall

Sample Name	61399-12	
Data File Name Date Acquired Misc Info	K16020.D 5/28/2008 8:03 5000	ļ
Dilution Factor	1.00	

GRO ANALYTICAL RESULTS	ug/L	RL	
GRO	23 /	10	
Methyl-t-butylether	L	2	
Benzene	Ū.,	- 1	
Toluene	24 M	2	
Ethylbenzene	U	2	
m,p-Xylenes	Ũ	2	
o-Xylene	Ū	2	
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	Ŭ	2	
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	Ū	2	
Naphthalene	Ū	2	
TFT % Recovery (FID)	94%	60-140 %	PASS
BFB % Recovery (FID)	88%	60-140 %	PASS
TFT % Recovery (PID)	113%	60-140 %	PASS
BFB % Recovery (PID)	96%	60-140 %	PASS

#### RAW DATA

Ê

RAW DATA		Reviewed &						
	Raw Inst	Calculated Inst	Target	Target	Exp	RT	RT	Sample
FID RESULTS	Amount ug/L	Amount ug/L	Response	RT	RT	Window	Accent	Amount un/l
TFT	37.73		272058	3.964	3.967	0.030	OK	/ inount ug/E
BFB	35.25		205000	7.094	7.096	0.030	OK	
GRO (<200)	23.19	23.19	464661			4,000	on	
GRO (>200)	16.95	16.95	464661					
GRO	23.19	23.19						23
PID RESULTS								
TFT #2	45.01		343759	3 964	3 967	0.030	OY	
BFB #2	38.21		771398	7 093	7 005	0.030	OK	
Methyl-t-butylether #2	0.08	0.00	731	2 198	2 102	0.030		0
Benzene #2	0.04	0.00	999	3 515	3 520	0.000		0
Toluene #2	23.61	23.61	529264	4 889	1 801	0.030		0
Ethylbenzene #2	0.03	0.00	661	6 131	6 132	0.030	OK OK	24
m,p-Xylene #2	0.05	0.00	1161	6 198	6 108	0.030	OK	0
o-Xylene #2	0.05	0.00	949	6 547	6 560	0.000		0
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene #2	0.01	0.00	324	7 385	7378	0.000		U
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene #2	0.06	0.00	1224	7 716	7.370	0.000	OK	U
Naphthalene #2	0.06	0.00	871	10 262	10.257	0.030	OK	U
•	=	0.00	011	10.202	10.207	0.030	OK	U U

176900

AN Stald

C:\msdchem\custrpt\GROH20SAMPLE.CRT

Analytics Report 61399 page 0108 of 473

Quantitation Report (Not Reviewed) Data Path : C:\msdchem\1\DATA\052708-K\ Data File : K16020.D Signal(s) : Signal #1: FID1A.CH Signal #2: ELC2B.CH Acq On : 28 May 2008 8:03 am Operator : Sample : 61399-12 Misc : 5000 M/ Ghalit ALS Vial : 67 Sample Multiplier: 1 Integration File signal 1: events.e Integration File signal 2: events2.e Quant Time: May 28 12:02:51 2008 Quant Method : C:\msdchem\l\METHODS\GRO05148.M Quant Title : Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons QLast Update : Thu May 15 06:23:16 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration Integrator: ChemStation 6890 Scale Mode: Small noise peaks clipped Volume Inj. : Signal #1 Phase : Signal #1 Info : Signal #2 Phase: Signal #2 Info : Compound RT#1 RT#2 Resp#1 Resp#2 ug/L ug/L Compound RT#1 RT#2 Resp#1 Resp#2 ug/L ug/L System Monitoring Compounds System Montcoring compounds1) S TFT3.9643.96427205834375937.72745.005Spiked Amount40.000 Range60 - 140Recovery=94.32%112.51%2) S BFB7.0947.09320500077139835.25238.209Spiked Amount40.000 Range60 - 140Recovery=88.13%95.52%Target Compounds3) TM Methyl-t-...2.1722.198660731NoCal0.080 #4) TM Benzene3.5173.515540999NoCal9:042 #5) TM Toluene4.8894.889279393529264NoCal23.609 #6) TM Ethylbenzene6.1496.131680661NoCal0.034 #7) TM m,p-Xylene6.1996.1986831161NoCal0.050 #8) TM o-Xylene6.5576.5471254949NoCal0.048 #9) TM 1,3,5-Tri...7.3867.385546324NoCal0.012 #10) TM 1,2,4-Tri...7.7127.71619271224NoCal0.060 #11) TM Naphthalene10.27010.262447871NoCal0.057 #12)GRO (<200)</td>6.2380.000464661023.187mN.D. #13)GRO (>200)6.2380.000464661016.951mN.D. # Target Compounds 23.609 #1// 

(f)=RT Delta > 1/2 Window (#)=Amounts differ by > 25% (m)=manual int.

Analytics Report 61399 page 0109 of 473

20900

Quantitation Report (Not Reviewed)

Data Path : C:\msdchem\1\DATA\052708-K\ Data File : K16020.D Signal(s) : Signal #1: FID1A.CH Signal #2: ELC2B.CH Acq On 28 May 2008 8:03 am : Operator : Sample : 61399-12 Misc 5000 : ALS Vial : 67 Sample Multiplier: 1 Integration File signal 1: events.e Integration File signal 2: events2.e Quant Time: May 28 12:02:51 2008 Quant Method : C:\msdchem\1\METHODS\GR005148.M Quant Title : Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons QLast Update : Thu May 15 06:23:16 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration Integrator: ChemStation 6890 Scale Mode: Small noise peaks clipped Volume Inj. ÷ Signal #1 Phase :

Signal #1 Info :

Signal #2 Phase: Signal #2 Info :



analy		environmental Taboratory LLC			195 Commerce Way Portsmouth, New Hampshire 0380 603-436-5111 Fax 603-430-2151 800-929-9986		
Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11 South Burlington VT, 05403			May 29, 2008				
			SAMPLE DATA				
20411 Durington V			Lab	Sample ID:	61399-13		
CULENT SAMDUE ID			Mat	rix:	Aqueous		
CLIENT SAMPLE ID			Perc	ent Solid:	N/A		
Project Name:	MEFUDS LO-58		Dilu	tion Factor:	1		
Project Number:	03886.184		Colle	ection Date:	05/20/08		
Client Sample ID:	LS58DW1-0508-02	29	Lab	Receipt Date:	05/22/08		
			Ana	lysis Date:	05/28/08		
A	NALYTICAL RE	SULTS GASO	LINE RAN	IGE ORGAN	VICS		
Compound		Result	Units	Quanti	tation		
GRO		156	$\mu$ g/L	10 10	)		
	ESTIMATE	D TARGET CO	ONCENTR	RATIONS			
Compound		Result	Units	Quanti	tation		
MTBE		U	цоЛ.	Lin 2	nit		
		-	P-8				
Benz	zene	U	μg/L	1			
	Su	rrogate Standa	rd Recover	ту У	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	Triflu	orotoluene	103	%			
	Brom	ofluorobenzene	95	%			
U=Unde	etected J=Estimate	ed E=Exceeds Ca	libration Ran	ge B=Detected	d in Blank		

**METHODOLOGY:** Sample analyzed according to: "Maine HETL Method 4.2.17, September 6, 1995."

COMMENTS:

Authorized signature Mulunilal

### 4.2.17 GRO WATER REPORT

Sample Name	61399-13	
Data File Name Date Acquired Misc Info	K16021.D 5/28/2008 8:27 5000	
Dilution Factor	1.00	

M Gesta

GRO ANALYTICAL RESULTS	ug/L	RL			
GRO	156	10			
Methyl-t-butylether	U	2			
Benzene	U 🦯	1		1	
Toluene	145 N	2			
Ethylbenzene	U	2			
m,p-Xylenes	U	2		¥.	
o-Xylene	U	2			
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	U	2			
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	U	2			
Naphthalene	U	2			
TFT % Recovery (FID)	103%	60-140 %	PASS		
BFB % Recovery (FID)	95%	60-140 %	PASS		
TFT % Recovery (PID)	117%	60-140 %	PASS		
BFB % Recovery (PID)	102%	60-140 %	PASS		

RAW DATA

RAW DATA		Reviewed &						
	Raw Inst	Calculated Inst	Target	Target	Exp	RT	RT	Sample
FID RESULTS	Amount ug/L	Amount ug/L	Response	RŤ	RT	Window	Accept	Amount µa/L
TFT	41.38		298421	3.966	3.967	0.030	OK	
BFB	37.92		220522	7.094	7.096	0.030	ОК	
GRO (<200)	155.52	155.52	1969193					
GRO (>200)	150.34	150.34	1969193					
GRO	155. <b>52</b>	155.52						156
PID RESULTS								
TFT #2	46.89		358170	3.965	3.967	0.030	ОК	
BFB #2	40.73		822233	7.093	7.095	0.030	OK	
Methyl-t-butylether #2	0.05	0.00	454	2,213	2,192	0.030	OK	0
Benzene #2	0.55	0.00	13068	3.518	3.520	0.030	OK	õ
Toluene #2	144.93	144.93	3248938	4.890	4.891	0.030	OK	145
Ethylbenzene #2	0.08	0.00	1622	6.131	6,132	0.030	OK	n
m,p-Xylene #2	0.11	0.00	2651	6.196	6.198	0.030	OK	ñ
o-Xylene #2	0.19	0.00	3660	6.566	6,569	0.030	ОK	0
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene #2	0.03	0.00	728	7.380	7.378	0.030	OK	0
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene #2	0.30	0.00	6026	7.745	7.725	0.030	OK	õ
Naphthalene #2	0.09	0.00	1313	10.261	10.257	0.030	OK	ō
					٣	ve.900	3	
						)		

Quantitation Report (Not Reviewed) Data Path : C:\msdchem\1\DATA\052708-K\ Data File : K16021.D Signal(s) : Signal #1: FID1A.CH Signal #2: ELC2B.CH Acq On : 28 May 2008 8:27 am Operator : Sample : 61399-13 Misc : 5000 ALS Vial : 68 Sample Multiplier: 1 M And Integration File signal 1: events.e Integration File signal 2: events2.e Quant Time: May 28 12:02:53 2008 Quant Method : C:\msdchem\1\METHODS\GR005148.M Quant Title : Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons QLast Update : Thu May 15 06:23:16 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration Integrator: ChemStation 6890 Scale Mode: Small noise peaks clipped Volume Inj. : Signal #1 Phase : Signal #1 Info : Signal #2 Phase: Signal #2 Info : Compound RT#1 RT#2 Resp#1 Resp#2 ug/L ug/L Compound RT#1 RT#2 Resp#1 Resp#2 ug/L ug/L System Monitoring Compounds 1) STFT3.9663.96529842135817041.38346.892Spiked Amount40.000 Range60 - 140Recovery=103.46%117.23%2) SBFB7.0947.09322052282223337.92240.727Spiked Amount40.000 Range60 - 140Recovery=94.80%101.82%Target Compounds3) TM Methyl-t-...2.1662.213505454NoCal0.050 #4) TM Benzene3.5183.518680713068NoCal0.547 #5) TM Toluene4.8914.89017552183248938NoCal144.928 #//6) TM Ethylbenzene6.1336.1316651622NoCal0.083 #7) TM m,p-Xylene6.1996.19613322651NoCal0.115 #8) TM o-Xylene6.5646.56614273660NoCal0.187 #9) TM 1,3,5-Tri...7.3807.380454728NoCal0.027 #///10) TM 1,2,4-Tri...7.7467.74538576026NoCal0.296 #11) TM Naphthalene10.24910.2613791313NoCal0.087 #12)GRO (<200)</td>6.2380.00019691930155.520mN.D. #13)GRO (>200)6.2380.00019691930150.343mN.D. # Target Compounds 

(f)=RT Delta > 1/2 Window (#)=Amounts differ by > 25% (m)=manual int.

70.908

GRO05148.M Wed May 28 12:02:53 2008

Analytics Report 61399 page 0113 of 473

Quantitation Report (Not Reviewed)

Data Path : C:\msdchem\1\DATA\052708-K\ Data File : K16021.D Signal(s) : Signal #1: FID1A.CH Signal #2: ELC2B.CH Acq On : 28 May 2008 8:27 am Operator : Sample : 61399-13 : 5000 Misc ALS Vial : 68 Sample Multiplier: 1 Integration File signal 1: events.e Integration File signal 2: events2.e Quant Time: May 28 12:02:53 2008 Quant Method : C:\msdchem\1\METHODS\GRO05148.M Quant Title : Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons QLast Update : Thu May 15 06:23:16 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration Integrator: ChemStation 6890 Scale Mode: Small noise peaks clipped Volume Inj. : Signal #1 Phase :

Signal #1 Info :

Signal #2 Phase: Signal #2 Info :



Gnaly		<u>environmental</u> laboratory LLC			195 Commerce Way Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801 603-436-5111 Fax 603-430-2151 800-929-9906
Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11				May 2	9, 2008
				SAMPLE DATA	
South Burnington v	1 05403		Lab	Sample ID:	61399-14
			Mat	rix:	Aqueous
	1 SAMPLE ID		Perc	ent Solid:	N/A
Project Name:	MEFUDS LO-58		Dilu	tion Factor:	1
<b>Project Number:</b>	03886.184		Coll	ection Date:	05/20/08
<b>Client Sample ID:</b>	RB-052008-01		Lab	Receipt Date:	05/22/08
			Ana	lysis Date:	05/28/08
ANALYTICAL RI Compound GRO		ESULTS GASOI Result U	LINE RAN Units µg/L	NGE ORGAN Quantil Lim 10	NICS tation nit )
	ESTIMAT	ED TARGET CO	)NCENTH	RATIONS	
Compound		Result	Units	Quanti Lim	tation hit
MTBE		U	μg/L	2	
Benz	zene	U	μg/L	1	
	S	urrogate Standar	d Recove	ry	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Trifl	uorotoluene	93	%	
	Bror	nofluorobenzene	86	%	
U=Und	etected J=Estim	ated E=Exceeds Cal	libration Ran	ige B=Detecte	d in Blank

Sample analyzed according to: "Maine HETL Method 4.2.17, September 6, 1995." **METHODOLOGY:** 

**COMMENTS:** 

Authorized signature Milenchall
Sample Name	61399-14	
Data File Name Date Acquired Misc Info	K16022.D 5/28/2008 8:50 5000	]
Dilution Factor	1.00	

	<i>"</i>		
GRO ANALY TICAL RESULTS	<u>ug/L</u>	<u>RL</u>	
GRO	U	10	
Methyl-t-butylether	Ü	2	
Benzene	U	1	
Toluene	U	2	
Ethylbenzene	U	2	1
m,p-Xylenes	U	2	
o-Xylene	U	2	1
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	U	2	
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	U	2	
Naphthalene	U	2	
TFT % Recovery (FID)	93%	60-140 %	PASS
BFB % Recovery (FID)	86%	60-140 %	PASS
TFT % Recovery (PID)	100%	60-140 %	PASS
BFB % Recovery (PID)	91%	60-140 %	PASS

## RAW DATA

RAW DATA		Reviewed &						
	Raw Inst	Calculated Inst	Target	Target	Exp	RT	RT	Sample
FID RESULTS	Amount ug/L	Amount ug/L	Response	RT	RT	Window	Accept	Amount ug/L
TFT	37.32		269108	3.966	3.967	0.030	OK	***
BFB	34.35		199731	7.094	7.096	0.030	ОК	
GRO (<200)	0.79	0.00	209992					
GRO (>200)	-5.63	0.00	209992					
GRO	0.79	0.00						0
PID RESULTS								
TFT #2	39.81		304112	3.965	3.967	0.030	ОК	
BFB #2	36.59		738807	7.094	7.095	0.030	OK	
Methyl-t-butylether #2	0.16	0.00	1432	2.158	2,192	0.030	*	0
Benzene #2	0.06	0.00	1340	3.522	3.520	0.030	ОK	0
Toluene #2	0.21	0.00	4608	4.890	4.891	0.030	OK	Õ
Ethylbenzene #2	0.04	0.00	858	6.131	6.132	0.030	OK	õ
m,p-Xylene #2	0.06	0.00	1316	6.197	6,198	0.030	OK	õ
o-Xylene #2	0.31	0.00	6001	6.567	6,569	0.030	OK	0 0
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene #2	0.06	0.00	1629	7.432	7.378	0.030	*	n
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene #2	0.08	0.00	1569	7,746	7.725	0.030	ОК	0
Naphthalene #2	0.06	0.00	945	10.259	10.257	0.030	OK	õ

J. 200

MA AND

Quantitation Report (Not Reviewed) Data Path : C:\msdchem\1\DATA\052708-K\ Data File : K16022.D Signal(s) : Signal #1: FID1A.CH Signal #2: ELC2B.CH Acq On : 28 May 2008 8:50 am Operator : Sample : 61399-14 Misc : 5000 ALS Vial : 69 Sample Multiplier: 1 M. Anda Integration File signal 1: events.e Integration File signal 2: events2.e Quant Time: May 28 12:02:54 2008 Quant Method : C:\msdchem\1\METHODS\GRO05148.M Quant Title : Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons QLast Update : Thu May 15 06:23:16 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration Integrator: ChemStation 6890 Scale Mode: Small noise peaks clipped Volume Inj. : Signal #1 Phase : Signal #2 Phase: Signal #2 Info : Signal #1 Info : Compound RT#1 RT#2 Resp#1 Resp#2 ug/L ug/L Compound RT#1 RT#2 Resp#1 Resp#2 ug/L ug/L System Monitoring Compounds System Montcoring compounds1) S TFT3.9663.96526910830411237.31839.814/Spiked Amount40.000 Range60 - 140Recovery=93.29%/99.53%2) S BFB7.0947.09419973173880734.346/36.595/Spiked Amount40.000 Range60 - 140Recovery=85.86%/91.49%/Target Compounds3) TM Methyl-t-...2.1652.1588241432NoCal0.157 #4) TM Benzene3.5213.5226751340NoCal0.066 #5) TM Toluene4.8924.89027784608NoCal0.206 #6) TM Ethylbenzene6.1226.131713858NoCal0.044 #7) TM m,p-Xylene6.2046.1976311316NoCal0.057 #8) TM o-Xylene6.5676.56745416001NoCal0.306 #9) TM 1,3,5-Tri...7.3937.4325341629NoCal0.061 #10) TM 1,2,4-Tri...7.7247.7467751569NoCal0.077 #11) TM Naphthalene10.26410.259403945NoCal0.062 #12)GRO (<200)</td>6.2380.00020999200.787mN.D. # Target Compounds

(f)=RT Delta > 1/2 Window (#)=Amounts differ by > 25% (m)=manual int.

36-200

Quantitation Report (Not Reviewed)

Data Path : C:\msdchem\1\DATA\052708-K\ Data File : K16022.D Signal(s) : Signal #1: FID1A.CH Signal #2: ELC2B.CH Acq On 28 May 2008 8:50 am : Operator : Sample : 61399-14 Misc : 5000 ALS Vial : 69 Sample Multiplier: 1 Integration File signal 1: events.e Integration File signal 2: events2.e

Quant Time: May 28 12:02:54 2008 Quant Method : C:\msdchem\1\METHODS\GRO05148.M Quant Title : Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons QLast Update : Thu May 15 06:23:16 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration Integrator: ChemStation 6890 Scale Mode: Small noise peaks clipped Volume Inj. :

```
Signal #1<sup>´</sup>Phase :
Signal #1 Info :
```

Signal #2 Phase: Signal #2 Info :



Gnaly		environmental. laboratory LLC			Portsmouth. New Hampshire 03801 603-436-5111 Fax 603-430-2151 800-929-9906
Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burling			May 2	29, 2008	
30 Community Drive	e Suite 11			SAMI	PLE DATA
South Burnington V	05405		Lab	Sample ID:	61399-15
CI IEN	T SAMDI E ID		Matı	-ix:	Aqueous
CLIEN	I SAMPLE ID		Perc	ent Solid:	N/A
Project Name:	MEFUDS LO-5	8	Dilu	tion Factor:	1
Project Number:	03886.184		Colle	ection Date:	05/20/08
Client Sample ID:	TB-051608-01		Lab	Receipt Date:	05/22/08
			Anal	ysis Date:	05/28/08
A	NALYTICAL	RESULTS GASOI	INE RAN	IGE ORGAN	VICS
Comp	ound	Result	Units Quantitation		tation
GRO	)	U	μg/L	1(	)
	ESTIMA	TED TARGET CO	ONCENTR	ATIONS	
Com	oound	Result	Units	Quanti	tation
MTE	BE	IJ	цоД.	Lin 2	11
_		-	p. 8. 2	-	
Benz	zene	U	μg/L	1	
	( )	Surrogate Standar	d Recover	у	
	Tri	fluorotoluene	109	%	
	Bro	omofluorobenzene	97	%	
U=Unde	etected J=Estir	nated E=Exceeds Cal	ibration Ran	ge B=Detecte	d in Blank

**METHODOLOGY:** Sample analyzed according to: "Maine HETL Method 4.2.17, September 6, 1995."

COMMENTS:

----

= =

Authorized signature Milenchall

Sample Name	61399-15
Data File Name Date Acquired Misc Info	K16001.D 5/28/2008 12:27 5000,,T.B.
Dilution Factor	1.00

	ug/l	DI	
GRO ANALT TICAL RESULTS	<u>uu/L</u>	NL	
GRO	U	10	
Methyl-t-butylether	υ	2	
Benzene	U	1	1
Toluene	U	2	
Ethylbenzene	U	2	1
m,p-Xylenes	U	2	
o-Xylene	U	2	
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	U	2	
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	U	2	
Naphthalene	U	2	
	1000/	CO 140 %	DACO
IFT % Recovery (FID)	109%	60-140 %	PASS
BFB % Recovery (FID)	97%	60-140 %	PASS
TFT % Recovery (PID)	116%	60-140 %	PASS
BFB % Recovery (PID)	105%	60-140 %	PASS

## RAW DATA

RAW DATA		Reviewed &						
	Raw Inst	Calculated Inst	Target	Target	Exp	RT	RT	Sample
FID RESULTS	Amount ug/L	Amount ug/L	Response	RT	RT	Window	Accept	Amount ug/L
ТЯТ	43.65		314786	3.967	3.967	0.030	OK	
BFB	38.96		226560	7.095	7.096	0.030	OK	
GRO (<200)	-3.98	0.00	155791					
GRO (>200)	-10.43	0.00	155791					
GRO	-3.98	0.00						0
PID RESULTS								
TFT #2	46.44		354714	3.966	3.967	0.030	OK	
BFB #2	41.87		845365	7.095	7.095	0.030	OK	
Methyl-t-butylether #2	0.34	0.00	3067	2.159	2.192	0.030	*	0
Benzene #2	0.04	0.00	879	3.521	3.520	0.030	OK	0
Toluene #2	0.09	0.00	1937	4.892	4.891	0.030	OK	0
Ethylbenzene #2	0.07	0.00	1293	6.131	6.132	0.030	OK	0
m,p-Xylene #2	0.13	0.00	3028	6.197	6.198	0.030	OK	0
o-Xylene #2	0.10	0.00	1970	6.570	6.569	0.030	OK	0
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene #2	0.08	0.00	2079	7.379	7.378	0.030	OK	0
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene #2	0.13	0.00	2631	7.726	7.725	0.030	OK	0
Naphthalene #2	0.29	0.00	4401	10.258	10.257	0.030	OK	0

n6200

5/28/2008 11:00 PM

An geold

Quantitation Report (Not Reviewed) Data Path : C:\msdchem\1\DATA\052708-K\ Data File : K16001.D Signal(s) : Signal #1: FID1A.CH Signal #2: ELC2B.CH Acq On : 28 May 2008 12:27 am Operator : Sample : 61399-15 Misc : 5000,,T.B. And And ALS Vial : 48 Sample Multiplier: 1 Integration File signal 1: events.e Integration File signal 2: events2.e Quant Time: May 28 00:45:52 2008 Quant Method : C:\msdchem\1\METHODS\GRO05148.M Quant Title : Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons QLast Update : Thu May 15 06:23:16 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration Integrator: ChemStation 6890 Scale Mode: Small noise peaks clipped Volume Inj. : Signal #1 Phase : Signal #2 Phase: Signal #1 Info : Signal #2 Info : Compound RT#1 RT#2 Resp#1 Resp#2 ug/L ug/L Compound RT#1 RT#2 Resp#1 Resp#2 ug/L ug/L System Monitoring Compounds 

 1) S TFT
 3.967
 3.966
 314786
 354714
 43.653
 46.439

 1) S TFT
 3.967
 3.966
 314786
 354714
 43.653
 46.439

 Spiked Amount
 40.000 Range
 60 - 140
 Recovery
 =
 109.13%
 116.10%

 2) S BFB
 7.095
 7.095
 226560
 845365
 38.960
 41.873

 Spiked Amount
 40.000 Range
 60 - 140
 Recovery
 =
 97.40%
 104.68%

 Target Compounds3) TM Methyl-t-...2.1842.1593293067NoCal4) TM Benzene3.5203.521979879NoCal5) TM Toluene4.8914.89212631937NoCal6) TM Ethylbenzene6.1346.1319591293NoCal7) TM m,p-Xylene6.1986.19716363028NoCal8) TM o-Xylene6.5756.57019721970NoCal9) TM 1,3,5-Tri...7.3787.37910302079NoCal10) TM 1,2,4-Tri...7.7247.72620302631NoCal11) TM Naphthalene10.25810.25821124401NoCal Target Compounds 0,335 # 0,037 # 0.086⁄# 0.06/6 # 0.1/31/# 0.101/4 0/078 # Ø.129 # /0.290 # ______

(f)=RT Delta > 1/2 Window (#)=Amounts differ by > 25% (m)=manual int.



Analytics Report 61399 page 0121 of 473

Quantitation Report (Not Reviewed)

Data Path : C:\msdchem\1\DATA\052708-K\ Data File : K16001.D Signal(s) : Signal #1: FID1A.CH Signal #2: ELC2B.CH Acq On 28 May 2008 : 12:27 am Operator : Sample : 61399-15 Misc : 5000,,T.B. ALS Vial : 48 Sample Multiplier: 1 Integration File signal 1: events.e Integration File signal 2: events2.e Quant Time: May 28 00:45:52 2008

Quant Method : C:\msdchem\1\METHODS\GRO05148.M Quant Title : Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons QLast Update : Thu May 15 06:23:16 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration Integrator: ChemStation 6890 Scale Mode: Small noise peaks clipped

```
Volume Inj. :
Signal #1 Phase :
Signal #1 Info :
```

Signal #2 Phase: Signal #2 Info :



analy		<u>environmental</u> laboratory LLC			195 Commerce Way Portsmouth, New Hampshire 038 603-436-5111 Fax 603-430-2151 800-929-9906	601
Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11				May 2 SAMI	9, 2008 PLE DATA	
South Burlington V1	2 05403		Lab San	nple ID:	61399-16	
CLIEN	т samþi f id		Matrix:	-	Aqueous	
			Percent	Solid:	N/A	
Project Name:	MEFUDS LO-58		Dilution	Factor:	1	
Project Number:	03886.184		Collectio	on Date:	05/20/08	
Client Sample ID:	TB-051908-01		Lab Rec	eipt Date:	05/22/08	
			Analysis	Date:	05/28/08	
A	NALYTICAL RI	ESULTS GASOL	INE RANGE	E ORGAN	NICS	
Compound		Result	Units	ts Quantitation Limit		
GRC	)	U	μg/L	10	)	
	ESTIMATI	ED TARGET CO	ONCENTRAT	TIONS		
Com	pound	Result	Units	Quanti	tation	
MTE	BE	U	μg/L	2		
Benz	zene	U	μg/L	1		
	Su	ırrogate Standar	d Recovery			
	Triflu	ıorotoluene	109 %			
	Brom	ofluorobenzene	100 %			
U=Und	etected J=Estima	ted E=Exceeds Cal	ibration Range	B=Detecte	d in Blank	

METHODOLOGY: Sample analyzed according to: "Maine HETL Method 4.2.17, September 6, 1995."

COMMENTS:

Authorized signature Mulandull

Analytics Report 61399 page 0123 of 473

Sample Name	61399-16
Data File Name	K16002.D
Date Acquired	5/28/2008 12:51 🗸
Misc Info	5000,,T.B.
Dilution Factor	1.00

And a

GRO ANALYTICAL RESULTS	ug/L	RL	
GRO	U	10	
Methyl-t-butylether	U	2	
Benzene	U	1	1
Toluene	U	2	
Ethylbenzene	U	2	1
m,p-Xylenes	U	2	
o-Xylene	U	2	
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	U	2	
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	U	2	
Naphthalene	U	2	
TFT % Recovery (FID)	109%	60-140 %	PASS
BFB % Recovery (FID)	100%	60-140 %	PASS
TFT % Recovery (PID)	115%	60-140 %	PASS
BFB % Recovery (PID)	106%	60-140 %	PASS

RAW DATA

٢

RAW DATA		Reviewed &						
	Raw Inst	Calculated Inst	Target	Target	Exp	RT	RT	Sample
FID RESULTS	Amount ug/L	Amount ug/L	Response	RT	RT	Window	Accept	Amount ug/L
TFT	43.71		315217	3.968	3.967	0.030	OK	
BFB	39.94		232232	7.095	7.096	0.030	OK	
GRO (<200)	-2.98	0.00	167170					
GRO (>200)	-9.42	0.00	167170					
GRO	-2.98	0.00						0
PID RESULTS								
TFT #2	46.17		352678	3.967	3.967	0.030	OK	
BFB #2	42.40		855921	7.095	7.095	0.030	OK	
Methyl-t-butylether #2	0.04	0.00	320	2.228	2.192	0.030	*	0
Benzene #2	0.04	0.00	1072	3.526	3.520	0.030	OK	0
Toluene #2	0.05	0.00	1197	4.895	4.891	0.030	OK	0
Ethylbenzene #2	0.02	0.00	422	6.128	6.132	0.030	OK	0
m,p-Xylene #2	0.05	0.00	1057	6.197	6.198	0.030	OK	0
o-Xylene #2	0.06	0.00	1148	6.585	6.569	0.030	OK	0
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene #2	0.06	0.00	1591	7.383	7.378	0.030	OK	0
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene #2	0.08	0.00	1635	7.729	7.725	0.030	OK	0
Naphthalene #2	0.10	0.00	1576	10.257	10.257	0.030	OK	0

Ju-206 5/28/2008 11:00 PM

Data Path : C:\msdchem\1\DATA\052708-K\ Data File : K16002.D Signal(s) : Signal #1: FID1A.CH Signal #2: ELC2B.CH Acq On : 28 May 2008 12:51 am Operator : Sample : 61399-16 Misc : 5000,,T.B. And ALS Vial : 49 Sample Multiplier: 1 Integration File signal 1: events.e Integration File signal 2: events2.e Quant Time: May 28 01:24:30 2008 Quant Method : C:\msdchem\1\METHODS\GRO05148.M Quant Title : Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons QLast Update : Thu May 15 06:23:16 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration Integrator: ChemStation 6890 Scale Mode: Small noise peaks clipped Volume Inj. : Signal #1 Phase : Signal #2 Phase: Signal #1 Info : Signal #2 Info : Compound RT#1 RT#2 Resp#1 Resp#2 ug/L ug/L Compound RT#1 RT#2 Resp#1 Resp#2 ug/L ug/L System Monitoring Compounds 

 1) S TFT
 3.968
 3.967
 315217
 352678
 43.713
 46.173

 Spiked Amount
 40.000 Range
 60 - 140
 Recovery
 =
 109.28%
 115.43%

 2) S BFB
 7.095
 7.095
 232232
 855921
 39.935
 42.396

 Spiked Amount
 40.000 Range
 60 - 140
 Recovery
 =
 99.84%
 105.99%

 Target Compounds3) TM Methyl-t-...2.1912.228216320NoCal0.035 #4) TM Benzene3.5153.5261941072NoCal0.045 #5) TM Toluene4.8904.8958591197NoCal0.053 #6) TM Ethylbenzene6.1306.128532422NoCal0.021 #7) TM m,p-Xylene6.1996.1977891057NoCal0.046 #8) TM o-Xylene6.5726.58511561148NoCal0.059 #9) TM 1,3,5-Tri...7.3857.3836011591NoCal0.060 #10) TM 1,2,4-Tri...7.7207.7297161635NoCal0.080 #11) TM Naphthalene10.25510.2578441576NoCal0.104 # Target Compounds 

(f)=RT Delta > 1/2 Window (#)=Amounts differ by > 25% (m)=manual int.

50.206

Quantitation Report (Not Reviewed)

.ta Path : C:\msdchem\1\DATA\052708-K\ Data File : K16002.D Signal(s) : Signal #1: FID1A.CH Signal #2: ELC2B.CH Acq On : 28 May 2008 12:51 am Operator : Sample : 61399-16 Misc : 5000, T.B. ALS Vial : 49 Sample Multiplier: 1 Integration File signal 1: events.e Integration File signal 2: events2.e Quant Time: May 28 01:24:30 2008 Quant Method : C:\msdchem\1\METHODS\GR005148.M Quant Title : Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons QLast Update : Thu May 15 06:23:16 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration Integrator: ChemStation 6890 Scale Mode: Small noise peaks clipped Volume Inj. : Signal #1 Phase :

Signal #1 Info :

Signal #2 Phase: Signal #2 Info :





# GRO QC FORMS

AnalyticsLLC:AEL Documents LLC:Pkg Dividers:GROQC.doc

Analytics Report 61399 page 0127 of 473

## GASOLINE RANGE ORGANICS WATER SYSTEM MONITORING COMPOUNDS SUMMARY

Instrument ID: K GC Column: RTX-502.2 Column ID: 0.25 mm

SDG: 61399

SAMPLE ID	SMC 1 (%) #	SMC 2 (%) #	SMC 3 (%) #	SMC 4 (%) #
B05278KGRO	111	99	117	106
L05278KGRO	105	98	111	104
L05278KGRO2	105	97	110	103
61399-15	109	97	116	105
61399-16	109	100	115	106
61399-1	102	93	107	97
61399-2	94	87	98	92
61399-2,MS	92	86	96	92
61399-2,MSD	95	90	101	95
61399-3	84	77	89	81
61399-4	91	84	95	88
61399-5	99	92	104	97
61399-6	95	86	100	91
61399-7	94	86	98	91
61399-8	95	89	106	95
61399-9	94	86	111	91
61399-10	74	65	92	69
61399-11	85	80	101	86
61399-12	94	88	113	96
61399-13	103	95	117	102
61399-14	93	86	100	91

	Lower	Upper
	Limit	Limit
SMC #1 = TFT (FID)	60	140
SMC $#2 = BFB$ (FID)	60	140
SMC $\#3 = TFT (PID)$	60	140
SMC $#4 = BFB (PID)$	60	140

# Column to be used to flag recovery values outside of QC limits

* Values outside QC limits

D System Monitoring Compound diluted out

#### GASOLINE RANGE ORGANICS WATER LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE/DUPLICATE PERCENT RECOVERY

Instrument ID; K GC Column; RTX-502.2 Column ID; 0.25 mm SDG: 61399 Non-spiked sample: B05278KGRO Spike: L05278KGRO Spike duplicate: L05278KGRO2

	LCS SPIKE	LCSD SPIKE	LOWER	UPPER	RPD	NON-SPIKE	SPIKE	SPIKE		SPIKE DUP	SPIKE DUP	)		
COMPOUND	ADDED (ug/L)	ADDED (ug/L)	LIMIT	LIMIT	LIMIT	RESULT (ug/L)	RESULT (ug/L)	% REC	#	RESULT (ug/L)	% REC	Ħ	RPD	#
GRO (<200)	200	200	67	125	25	0	196	98		194	97	Γ	1	
GRO (>200)	200	200	67	125	25	0	191	95		189	95	1	1	
Methyl-t-butylether #2	20	20	67	125	25	0	19	96		19	95		1	
Benzene #2	20	20	67	125	25	0	22	108		21	107		1	
Toluene #2	20	20	67	125	25	0	22	108		22	107		1	
Ethylbenzene #2	20	20	67	125	25	0	21	107		21	106		1	
m,p-Xylene #2	40	40	67	125	25	0	43	107		43	106		1	
o-Xylene #2	20	20	67	125	25	0	22	108		22	107		1	
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene #2	20	20	67	125	25	0	22	108		22	107		1	
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene #2	20	20	67	125	25	0	22	108		22	108		1	
Naphthalene #2	20	20	67	125	25	0	20	98		20	98		1	

# Column to be used to flag recovery and RPD values outside of QC limits

* Values outside QC limits

Non-spike result of "0" used in place of "U" to allow calculation of spike recovery.

Comments:

GRO FORM 3

Data Path : C:\msdchem\1\DATA\052708-K\ Data File : K15999Q.D Signal(s) : Signal #1: FID1A.CH Signal #2: ELC2B.CH Acq On : 27 May 2008 11:17 pm 5/28/08 1752904 Sperator : Sample : L05278KGRO Misc : 100,5,SOIL, + 100UL MEOH ALS Vial : 46 Sample Multiplier: 1 Integration File signal 1: events.e Integration File signal 2: events2.e Quant Time: May 28 00:16:14 2008 Quant Method : C:\msdchem\1\METHODS\GR005148.M Quant Title : Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons OLast Update : Thu May 15 06:23:16 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration Integrator: ChemStation 6890 Scale Mode: Small noise peaks clipped Volume Inj. : Signal #1 Phase : Signal #1 Info : Signal #2 Phase: Signal #2 Info : Compound RT#1 RT#2 Resp#1 Resp#2 ug/L ug/L Compound RT#1 RT#2 Resp#1 Resp#2 ug/L ug/L _____ System Monitoring Compounds1) S TFT3.9663.96530212833796941.89844.247Spiked Amount40.000 Range60 - 140Recovery=104.75%110.62%S BFB7.0957.09422851184069339.29541.641Spiked Amount40.000 Range60 - 140Recovery=98.24%104.10% Target Compounds3) TM Methyl-t-...2.1912.191103326177149NoCal19.373 #4) TM Benzene3.5193.518261432517092NoCal21.634 #5) TM Toluene4.8904.890263903488340NoCal21.784 #6) TM Ethylbenzene6.1316.131253796421186NoCal21.431 #7) TM m,p-Xylene6.1976.197516442995614NoCal43.033 #8) TM o-Xylene6.5686.568260677427185NoCal21.799 #9) TM 1,3,5-Tri...7.3787.378251368577450NoCal21.702 #.0) TM 1,2,4-Tri...7.7257.725251571444110NoCal21.816 #.1) TM Naphthalene10.25610.256127874299331NoCal19.747 #.2)GRO (<200)</td>6.2380.00024261060190.853mN.D. # Target Compounds . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ______

(f) = RT Delta > 1/2 Window (#)=Amounts differ by > 25% (m)=manual int.

Data Path : C:\msdchem\1\DATA\052708-K\ Data File : K15999Q.D Signal(s) : Signal #1: FID1A.CH Signal #2: ELC2B.CH : 27 May 2008 Acq On 11:17 pm Operator : Sample : L05278KGRO Misc : 100,5,SOIL, + 100UL MEOH ALS Vial : 46 Sample Multiplier: 1 Integration File signal 1: events.e Integration File signal 2: events2.e Quant Time: May 28 00:16:14 2008 Quant Method : C:\msdchem\1\METHODS\GRO05148.M Quant Title : Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons QLast Update : Thu May 15 06:23:16 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration Integrator: ChemStation 6890 Scale Mode: Small noise peaks clipped Volume Inj. Signal #1 Phase : Signal #2 Phase: Signal #1 Info Signal #2 Info : :



Analytics Report 61399 page 0131 of 473

Data Path : C:\msdchem\1\DATA\052708-K\ Data File : K16000Q.D Signal(s) : Signal #1: FID1A.CH Signal #2: ELC2B.CH Acq On : 27 May 2008 11:40 pm Operator : Sample : L05278KGRO2 Misc : 100,5,SOIL Andol Andol 1752905 : 100,5,SOIL, + 100UL MEOH ALS Vial : 47 Sample Multiplier: 1 Integration File signal 1: events.e Integration File signal 2: events2.e Quant Time: May 28 00:10:08 2008 Quant Method : C:\msdchem\1\METHODS\GRO05148.M Quant Title : Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons QLast Update : Thu May 15 06:23:16 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration Integrator: ChemStation 6890 Scale Mode: Small noise peaks clipped Volume Inj. : Signal #1 Phase : Signal #1 Info : Signal #2 Phase: Signal #2 Info : CompoundRT#1RT#2Resp#1Resp#2ug/Lug/LCompoundRT#1RT#2Resp#1Resp#2ug/Lug/L System Monitoring Compounds System Monitoring compounds1) S TFT3.9673.96730194533559241.87243.936Spiked Amount40.000 Range60 - 140Recovery=104.68%109.84%S BFB7.0957.09422630683128538.91641.176Spiked Amount40.000 Range60 - 140Recovery=97.29%102.94%Target Compounds Target Compounds3) TM Methyl-t-...2.193101409175545NoCal19.197 #4) TM Benzene3.5203.520260868512651NoCal21.448 #5) TM Toluene4.8914.891264403485395NoCal21.652 #6) TM Ethylbenzene6.1326.131253371418508NoCal21.295 #7) TM m,p-Xylene6.1986.197515367987945NoCal42.701 #8) TM o-Xylene6.5696.568259895423531NoCal21.612 #9) TM 1,3,5-Tri...7.3787.378251119572780NoCal21.527 #.0) TM 1,2,4-Tri...7.7267.725250002441146NoCal21.670 #.1) TM Naphthalene10.25710.256129142301132NoCal19.866 #.2)GRO (<200)</td>6.2380.00024069060189.151mN.D. #.3)GRO (>200)6.2380.00024069060189.151mN.D. # -------

(f)=RT Delta > 1/2 Window (#)=Amounts differ by > 25% (m)=manual int.

ata Path : C:\msdchem\1\DATA\052708-K\ Data File : K16000Q.D Signal(s) : Signal #1: FID1A.CH Signal #2: ELC2B.CH : 27 May 2008 11:40 pm Acq On Operator : Sample : L05278KGRO2 Misc : 100,5,SOIL, + 100UL MEOH ALS Vial Sample Multiplier: 1 : 47 Integration File signal 1: events.e Integration File signal 2: events2.e Quant Time: May 28 00:10:08 2008 Quant Method : C:\msdchem\1\METHODS\GRO05148.M Quant Title : Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons QLast Update : Thu May 15 06:23:16 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration Integrator: ChemStation 6890 Scale Mode: Small noise peaks clipped Volume Inj. Signal #1 Phase : Signal #2 Phase: Signal #1 Info : Signal #2 Info :



#### GASOLINE RANGE ORGANICS WATER MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE PERCENT RECOVERY

Instrument ID: K GC Column: RTX-502.2 Column ID: 0.25 mm

## SDG: 61399

Non-spiked sample: 61399-2 Spike: 61399-2,MS Spike duplicate: 61399-2,MSD

	MS SPIKE	MSD SPIKE	LOWER	UPPER	RPD	NON-SPIKE	SPIKE	SPIKE		SPIKE DUP	SPIKE DUP	•	I	
COMPOUND	ADDED (ug/L)	ADDED (ug/L)	LIMIT	LIMIT	LIMIT	RESULT (ug/L)	RESULT (ug/L)	% REC	#	RESULT (ug/L)	% REC	#	RPD	#
GRO (<200)	200	200	67	125	25	0	170	85		175	88		3	
GRO (>200)	200	200	67	125	25	0	165	83		170	85		3	
Methyl-t-butylether #2	20	20	67	125	25	0	17	87		18	92		5	
Benzene #2	20	20	67	125	25	0	19	96		20	100		4	
Toluene #2	20	20	67	125	25	0	19	95		20	99		4	
Ethylbenzene #2	20	20	67	125	25	0	19	94		19	97		4	
m,p-Xylene #2	40	40	67	125	25	0	37	92		38	95		3	
o-Xylene #2	20	20	67	125	25	0	19	94		19	96		3	
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene #2	20	20	67	125	25	0	18	89		18	89		1	
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene #2	20	20	67	125	25	0	18	90		18	90		I	
Naphthalene #2	20	20	67	125	25	0	17	84		18	91		8	

# Column to be used to flag recovery and RPD values outside of QC limits * Values outside QC limits

Non-spike result of "0" used in place of "U" to allow calculation of spike recovery.

Comments:

GRO FORM 3

Data Path : C:\msdchem\1\DATA\052708-K\ Data File : K16009SP.D Signal(s) : Signal #1: FID1A.CH Signal #2: ELC2B.CH Acq On : 28 May 2008 3:46 am Sperator : Sample : 61399-2,MS Misc : 5000 ALS Vial : 56 Sample Multiplier: 1 Integration File signal 1: events.e Integration File signal 2: events2.e Quant Time: May 28 12:02:39 2008 Quant Method : C:\msdchem\1\METHODS\GRO05148.M Quant Title : Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons QLast Update : Thu May 15 06:23:16 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration Integrator: ChemStation 6890 Scale Mode: Small noise peaks clipped Volume Inj. : Signal #2 Phase: Signal #2 Info : Signal #1 Phase : Signal #1 Info : CompoundRT#1RT#2Resp#1Resp#2ug/Lug/LCompoundRT#1RT#2Resp#1Resp#2ug/Lug/L System Monitoring Compounds1) S TFT3.9663.96626494129360536.74138.439Spiked Amount40.000 Range60 - 140Recovery =91.85%96.10%S BFB7.0957.09420066173910734.50636.610Spiked Amount40.000 Range60 - 140Recovery =86.27%91.53% Target Compounds Target Compounds3) TM Methyl-t-...2.1902.19093364159583NoCal17.452 #4) TM Benzene3.5183.518232610457954NoCal19.160 #5) TM Toluene4.8914.890232946430554NoCal19.206 #6) TM Ethylbenzene6.1316.131222081369009NoCal18.776 #7) TM m,p-Xylene6.1976.197444171856714NoCal37.029 #8) TM o-Xylene6.5686.568225306368380NoCal18.798 #9) TM 1,3,5-Tri...7.3787.378207242472981NoCal17.776 #.0) TM 1,2,4-Tri...7.7257.725207385367084NoCal18.032 #1) TM Naphthalene10.25710.256109129256095NoCal16.895 #.2)GRO (<200)</td>6.2380.00021351180170.114mN.D. #.3)GRO (>200)6.2380.00021351180165.054mN.D. # 

(f)=RT Delta > 1/2 Window (#)=Amounts differ by > 25% (m)=manual int.

Data Path : C:\msdchem\1\DATA\052708-K\ Data File : K16009SP.D Signal(s) : Signal #1: FID1A.CH Signal #2: ELC2B.CH : 28 May 2008 Acg On 3:46 am Operator : : 61399-2,MS Sample Misc : 5000 : 56 ALS Vial Sample Multiplier: 1 Integration File signal 1: events.e Integration File signal 2: events2.e Quant Time: May 28 12:02:39 2008 Quant Method : C:\msdchem\1\METHODS\GR005148.M Quant Title : Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons QLast Update : Thu May 15 06:23:16 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration Integrator: ChemStation 6890 Scale Mode: Small noise peaks clipped Volume Inj. 2 Signal #1 Phase : Signal #2 Phase: Signal #1 Info : Signal #2 Info : Response Signal: K16009SP.D\FID1A.CH 30000 33



Data Path : C:\msdchem\1\DATA\052708-K\ Data File : K16010SP.D Signal(s) : Signal #1: FID1A.CH Signal #2: ELC2B.CH Acq On : 28 May 2008 4:09 am Sperator : Sample : 61399-2,MSD Misc : 5000 J28/28 ALS Vial : 57 Sample Multiplier: 1 Integration File signal 1: events.e Integration File signal 2: events2.e Quant Time: May 28 12:02:40 2008 Quant Method : C:\msdchem\1\METHODS\GRO05148.M Quant Title : Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons QLast Update : Thu May 15 06:23:16 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration Integrator: ChemStation 6890 Scale Mode: Small noise peaks clipped Volume Inj. : Signal #1 Phase : Signal #2 Phase: Signal #2 Info : Signal #1 Info : CompoundRT#1RT#2Resp#1Resp#2ug/Lug/LCompoundRT#1RT#2Resp#1Resp#2ug/Lug/L System Monitoring Compounds1) S TFT3.9673.96627492430787738.125/40.307/Spiked Amount40.000 Range60 - 140Recovery=95.31%100.77%S BFB7.0957.09420848276932735.851/38.107/Spiked Amount40.000 Range60 - 140Recovery=89.63%95.27%/ Target Compounds3) TM Methyl-t-...2.19297440168239NoCal18.398 #4) TM Benzene3.5193.519241355477556NoCal19.980 #5) TM Toluene4.8914.890240959446746NoCal19.928 #6) TM Ethylbenzene6.1316.131230550382951NoCal19.486 #7) TM m,p-Xylene6.1976.197457427879725NoCal38.024 #8) TM o-Xylene6.5686.568233372378655NoCal19.322 #9) TM 1,3,5-Tri...7.3787.378207576475491NoCal17.870 #.0) TM 1,2,4-Tri...7.7267.725209644369089NoCal18.131 #.1) TM Naphthalene10.25610.256118519277567NoCal18.311 #.2)GRO (<200)</td>6.2380.00021942320175.314mN.D. #.3)GRO (>200)6.2380.00021942320170.295mN.D. # Target Compounds 

(f) = RT Delta > 1/2 Window (#)=Amounts differ by > 25% (m)=manual int.

Data Path : C:\msdchem\1\DATA\052708-K\ Data File : K16010SP.D Signal(s) : Signal #1: FID1A.CH Signal #2: ELC2B.CH : 28 May 2008 Acq On 4:09 am Operator : Sample : 61399-2,MSD Misc : 5000 ALS Vial : 57 Sample Multiplier: 1 Integration File signal 1: events.e Integration File signal 2: events2.e Quant Time: May 28 12:02:40 2008 Quant Method : C:\msdchem\1\METHODS\GR005148.M Quant Title : Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons QLast Update : Thu May 15 06:23:16 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration Integrator: ChemStation 6890 Scale Mode: Small noise peaks clipped Volume Inj. : Signal #1 Phase : Signal #2 Phase: Signal #1 Info : Signal #2 Info : Response Signal: K16010SP.D\FID1A.CH





GC Volatiles Instrument: K

## 051408-K

GAS/GRO SUN=V723/26/13/07 TFT ONLY = V725/×K41708

BFBONY = 17216 15/28/08

P10=3

Position       Method       Sample       Vol.       Comments       File #       Analyst       pH         1       GROOSU080       Plank       Sml       Sml       Sl       Sl       N/P         2       Protest Lite       Protest Lite       Sl       Sl       N/P       Sl       N/P         3       ZU       Protest Lite       Sl       Sl       N/P       Sl       N/P         4       SO       Protest Lite       Sl       Sl       Sl       N/P       Sl       N/P         5       Itco       Protest Lite       Sl       Sl       Sl       Sl       N/P       Sl       Sl       N/P       N/P         6       ZOO       Protest Lite       Sl       Sl       Sl       Sl       N/P       N/P       Sl       Sl       N/P       Sl       N/P       Sl       N/P       Sl       Sl       N/P       Sl       Sl       N/P       Sl       Sl       N/P       Sl       Sl       Sl	(	<u>w-V-051408</u>	110M				•		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Position	Method	Sample	Vo	I.	Comments	File #	Analyst	рH
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		GR00514081	1 Blank	5m	Ľ.	sus idle	K15780	RM	NA
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	2		GRO 10		v	+.025x LV7233	81		1
4       50       V       r       125       83         5       100       V       r       25       84         6       200       V       +5       85       1         7       500       V       +5       85       1         8       1000       V       +25       84       1         9       2500       V       +25       87       1         12       648       200       V       935       1         13       Biank: 500       V       935       1       1         14       Bools& Karoo       V       935       1       1         16       L05/5&Karoo       V       975       1       1         17       Gl200       V       975       1       1         16       L05/5&Karoo       V       976       1 </td <td>3</td> <td></td> <td>1 20</td> <td></td> <td>Y</td> <td>r. 05 ×6/13/08</td> <td>82</td> <td></td> <td></td>	3		1 20		Y	r. 05 ×6/13/08	82		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	4		50		Y	r 1.25	83		
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	5		100			+ 2.5	84		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	6		200		V	45	85		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	7		500		Y	+12.5	86		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	8		1000		V	+25	87		
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	9		- 2500		V	+62.5 -	88		
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	1D		Blank-CO				89		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1		GRO (1 700		/	+54617737×1,11310	8 90SC		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	12		GIAS 200		$\overline{\mathbf{v}}$		91		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	13		Blanksucidle	1			92		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	14		BOSISSKARO		$\checkmark$	<b>/</b> ]	938		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	15		105/58/600		$\checkmark$	1 45-1 472374611700	946		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	110		10051481600		$\mathbf{v}$		950		4
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	17		(a1779-1		$\checkmark$		96	RM	22
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	18		GRO TOO		V		GASC	1	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	19	GASOURED	GAS 200		$\checkmark$	·	98SC		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	20		MRD5155KGAR "	V/Sml	V		99B		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	11		15051452648	1		+54 - 117219 × 11108	14158000		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	77		15005 158 KGAR	1-	V		OID		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	23		1012222		T	8.59 80KF4	UZ		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	24		<u>,</u> ~2	L	$\overline{\mathbf{v}}$	8.250 +	03		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	25		-4	5.45	$\nabla$	807.	04		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	26		2	54I	V	8.23 -	05		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	27		GAS 700	5mu	T	+5.1 V719H 5112108	· DIOSL		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	28		R05158KGAR	L		windowhlone	OFB		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	29		Blank-Susidle			<u> </u>	08		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	30		61275-7 TB	10045			09		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	31		-5	5uh/	4~	- 7.24, JALFY	10		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	32		-4	100ml	15.	m. 5.57 9 5N/FI/	11		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	33		-/	5044	5.	6.09g. GALFU	12		
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	34		-6	sour /s		4.65 SANFV	13	1	
36     15       37     16       38     17       39     18       40     19	35		Black-CO			0)	14		
37     16       38     17       39     18       40     19	36		<u> </u>				15		
38 17 39 18 40 19	37						16		
39 40 18	38		<i>[</i> ]				17		
40 $19$ $19$	39		,				18		
	40		, <b>"</b>				19		

C:VANALYTICS LLCVAEL Documents Vogbo Analytics Report 61399 page 0139 of 473

OS2BO8-K PID = 3 Grostd. V7258 Augulos GC Volatiles Instrument: K GROSUM. V123 , GROSUM. V1231 X 6/13/08 × 6/2/08 B-B V7264 GROUCS V7237 X6/3/08 7264 *427/08 GAS CC V7219 × 6/1/08 D-K-OSZBOS-I-ACC on Method 442776 Sample V Position Vol. Comments File # Analyst pН 41 5mp K15994 ALR NA GRO DOUTL 42 GROOSINK ATET PID ea GSSC 43 96 GRU 200M 44 9150 in + 100 we Meet Histson BOS23784620 46 41 KLLOODG J SCINCONDER TB ΟÌ -16 T.B 02 5.40g 292-1 62 Contiems I'sun Pot this OU 61380-8 2.5001 RIL-CO A Dr 61381-1 O(61299-1 bп 2 -2, MS AZM 6-Ł 2 οD <u>_</u>_ <u>Ża</u> 0 (o 42 22 10 эD cSmp 22 2 60% GAS CC DOWN 23 20 Dou pl 24 119810 < 1

C:VANALYTICS LLCVAEL Documents/logbooks/s/Analytics Report 61399 page 0140 of 473

				Re	sponse	e Facto	r Repo	rt HP	G1530A				
r Jeri L	Me Me Ti La le	thod thod tle st U spon	l Path : C: l File : GRG : Vola pdate : Th se Via : In	\msdche D05148. tile Pe nu May nitial	m\l\ME M troleu 15 06: Calibr	THODS\ m Hydr 23:16 ation	ocarbo 2008	ns	S	.3.0P		01	) Hv1
	Ca 1 10	libr	ation Files =K15781SI =K15784SI	S.D 2 .D 20	=	K15782 K15785	SI.D SI.D	5 50	=K15 =K15	783SI. 786SI.	D D		I
			Compound		1.	2	5	10	20	50	Avg		%RSD
1 1 1 1	1) 2) 3) 4) 5) 6) 7) 8) 9) 0) 1) 2) 3)	HM HM	TFT BFB Methyl-t-h Benzene Toluene Ethylbenze m,p-Xylene 1,3,5-Trim 1,2,4-Trim Naphthaler GRO (<200) GRO (>200)	outy ene meth meth	6.738 5.509 3.126	6.900 5.809 2.208	7.274 5.783 1.527 1.527	7.244 5.812 1.324 1.324	7.457 5.931 1.243 1.243	1.177 1.177	7.211 5.815 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 1.767 1.266	E3 E3 E4 E4	4.02 2.68 -1.00 -1.00 -1.00 -1.00 -1.00 -1.00 -1.00 -1.00 43.22 11.35
Si	gna 1 10	11 #	2 Calibrat =K15781SI. =K15784SI.	ion Fil D 2 D 20	les = =	K157828 K157858	SI.D SI.D	5 50	=K157 =K157	783SI.1 786SI.1			
(			Compound		1	2	5	10	20	50 2	Avg		%RSD
	1) 2) 3) 5) 5) 5) 2) 2) 2) 2) 2)	S TM TM TM TM TM TM TM TM TM	TFT BFB Methyl-t-b Benzene Toluene Ethylbenze m,p-Xylene 1,3,5-Trim 1,2,4-Trim Naphthalen GRO (<200) GRO (>200)	uty ne eth eth e	2.224	7.561 2.025 1.013 2.430 2.283 1.975 2.339 1.989 2.613 2.022 1.615	7.613 2.002 0.929 2.421 2.256 1.965 2.313 1.964 2.639 2.020 1.442	7.578 1.994 0.893 2.418 2.251 1.959 2.307 1.939 2.658 2.013 1.377	7.699 2.036 0.917 2.454 2.269 1.990 2.343 1.987 2.702 2.065 1.470	7.609 2.023 0.897 2.404 2.229 1.963 2.318 1.961 2.676 2.046 1.525	7.638 2.019 0.914 2.390 2.242 1.965 2.314 1.960 2.661 2.036 1.516 0.000 0.000	E3 E4 E4 E4 E4 E4 E4 E4 E4 E4 E4 E4 E4	1.05 1.55 5.65 3.35 2.27 1.66 2.08 1.99 1.70 1.43 5.90 -1.00 -1.00
(‡	<b>#</b> )	= Οι	it of Range	###	Number	of ca	librat	ion le	vels e	xceede	d form	at	###

GRO05148.M Mon Jun 02 19:17:51 2008

Analytics Report 61399 page 0141 of 473



Method Name: C:\msdchem\1\METHODS\GRO05148.M Calibration Table Last Updated: Thu May 15 06:23:16 2008

Analytics Report 61399 page 0142 of 473



Method Name: C:\msdchem\1\METHODS\GRO05148.M Calibration Table Last Updated: Thu May 15 06:23:16 2008

Analytics Report 61399 page 0143 of 473

Data Path : C:\msdchem\l\DATA\051408-K\ Data File : K15781SI.D Signal(s) : Signal #1: FIDIA.CH Signal #2: ELC2B.CH ^ccq On : 14 May 2008 4:13 pm _perator : Sample : GRO 10 Misc : 5000 ALS Vial : 2 Sample Multiplier: 1 Integration File signal 1: events.e Integration File signal 2: events2.e Quant Time: May 15 06:16:11 2008 Quant Method : C:\msdchem\l\METHODS\GRO05148.M Quant Title : Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons QLast Update : Wed Aug 22 18:37:56 2007 Response via : Initial Calibration Integrator: ChemStation 6890 Scale Mode: Small noise peaks clipped Volume Inj. : Signal #1 Phase : Signal #2 Phase: Signal #1 Info : Signal #2 Info : Compound RT#1 RT#2 Resp#1 Resp#2 ug/L to Compound RT#1 RT#2 Resp#1 Resp#2 ug/L to Compound RT#1 RT#2 Resp#1 Resp#2 ug/L to System Monitoring Compounds 1) S TFT 3.968 3.967 13476 13808 1.969 Spiked Amount 40.000 Range 60 - 140 Recovery = 4.92%# S BFB 7.095 7.094 11017 36824 2.112 _piked Amount 40.000 Range 60 - 140 Recovery = 5.28%#	ed ug/L ug/L	
Signal(s) : Signal #1: FID1A.CH Signal #2: ELC2B.CH ⁿ cq On : 14 May 2008 4:13 pm perator : Sample : GRO 10 Misc : 5000 ALS Vial : 2 Sample Multiplier: 1 Integration File signal 1: events.e Integration File signal 2: events2.e Quant Time: May 15 06:16:11 2008 Quant Method : C:\msdchem\1\METHODS\GRO05148.M Quant Title : Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons QLast Update : Wed Aug 22 18:37:56 2007 Response via : Initial Calibration Integrator: ChemStation 6890 Scale Mode: Small noise peaks clipped Volume Inj. : Signal #1 Phase : Signal #2 Phase: Signal #1 Phase : Signal #2 Phase: Signal #1 Info : Signal #2 Info : Compound RT#1 RT#2 Resp#1 Resp#2 ug/L to Compound RT#1 RT#2 Resp#1 Resp#2 ug/L to System Monitoring Compounds 1) S TFT 3.968 3.967 13476 13808 1.969 Spiked Amount 40.000 Range 60 - 140 Recovery = 4.92%# S BFB 7.095 7.094 11017 36824 2.112 .piked Amount 40.000 Range 60 - 140 Recovery = 5.28%#	ed ug/L ug/L	_
Sample : GRO 10 Misc : 5000 ALS Vial : 2 Sample Multiplier: 1 Integration File signal 1: events.e Integration File signal 2: events2.e Quant Time: May 15 06:16:11 2008 Quant Method : C:\msdchem\l\METHODS\GRO05148.M Quant Title : Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons QLast Update : Wed Aug 22 18:37:56 2007 Response via : Initial Calibration Integrator: ChemStation 6890 Scale Mode: Small noise peaks clipped Volume Inj. : Signal #1 Phase : Signal #2 Phase: Signal #1 Info : Signal #2 Info : Compound RT#1 RT#2 Resp#1 Resp#2 ug/L u Compound RT#1 RT#2 Resp#1 Resp#2 ug/L u System Monitoring Compounds 1) S TFT 3.968 3.967 13476 13808 1.969 Spiked Amount 40.000 Range 60 - 140 Recovery = 4.92%# C. piked Amount 40.000 Range 60 - 140 Recovery = 5.28%#	ed ug/L ug/L	-
<pre>Integration File signal 1: events.e Integration File signal 2: events2.e Quant Time: May 15 06:16:11 2008 Quant Time: May 15 06:16:11 2008 Quant Title : Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons QLast Update : Wed Aug 22 18:37:56 2007 Response via : Initial Calibration Integrator: ChemStation 6890 Scale Mode: Small noise peaks clipped Volume Inj. : Signal #1 Phase : Signal #2 Phase: Signal #1 Info : Signal #2 Info : Compound RT#1 RT#2 Resp#1 Resp#2 ug/L u Compound RT#1 RT#2 Resp#1 Resp#2 ug/L u Compound RT#1 RT#2 Resp#1 Resp#2 ug/L u System Monitoring Compounds 1) S TFT 3.968 3.967 13476 13808 1.969 Spiked Amount 40.000 Range 60 - 140 Recovery = 4.92%# S BFB 7.095 7.094 11017 36824 2.112 .piked Amount 40.000 Range 60 - 140 Recovery = 5.28%# Target Compounds</pre>	ed ug/L ug/L	_
<pre>Integration File signal 1: events2.e Integration File signal 2: events2.e Quant Time: May 15 06:16:11 2008 Quant Method : C:\msdchem\1\METHODS\GRO05148.M Quant Title : Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons QLast Update : Wed Aug 22 18:37:56 2007 Response via : Initial Calibration Integrator: ChemStation 6890 Scale Mode: Small noise peaks clipped Volume Inj. : Signal #1 Phase : Signal #2 Phase: Signal #1 Info : Signal #2 Info : Compound RT#1 RT#2 Resp#1 Resp#2 ug/L u Compound RT#1 RT#2 Resp#1 Resp#2 ug/L u Compound RT#1 RT#2 Resp#1 Resp#2 ug/L u System Monitoring Compounds 1) S TFT 3.968 3.967 13476 13808 1.969 Spiked Amount 40.000 Range 60 - 140 Recovery = 4.92%# S BFB 7.095 7.094 11017 36824 2.112 -piked Amount 40.000 Range 60 - 140 Recovery = 5.28%# Target Compounds</pre>	ed ug/L ug/L	~
Quant Time: May 15 06:16:11 2008         Quant Method : C:\msdchem\1\METHODS\GR005148.M         Quant Title : Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons         QLast Update : Wed Aug 22 18:37:56 2007         Response via : Initial Calibration         Integrator: ChemStation 6890 Scale Mode: Small noise peaks clipped         Volume Inj. :         Signal #1 Phase :       Signal #2 Phase:         Signal #1 Info :       Signal #2 Info :         Compound RT#1 RT#2 Resp#1 Resp#2 ug/L to         Compound RT#1 RT#2 Resp#1 Resp#2 ug/L to         System Monitoring Compounds         1) S TFT 3.968 3.967 13476 13808 1.969         Spiked Amount 40.000 Range 60 - 140 Recovery = 4.92%#         S BFB 7.095 7.094 11017 36824 2.112         .piked Amount 40.000 Range 60 - 140 Recovery = 5.28%#	ed ug/L ug/L	-
Quant Title : Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons (10,0) QLast Update : Wed Aug 22 18:37:56 2007 Response via : Initial Calibration Integrator: ChemStation 6890 Scale Mode: Small noise peaks clipped Volume Inj. : Signal #1 Phase : Signal #2 Phase: Signal #1 Info : Signal #2 Info : Compound RT#1 RT#2 Resp#1 Resp#2 ug/L to Compound RT#1 RT#2 Resp#1 Resp#2 ug/L to System Monitoring Compounds 1) S TFT 3.968 3.967 13476 13808 1.969 Spiked Amount 40.000 Range 60 - 140 Recovery = 4.92%# S BFB 7.095 7.094 11017 36824 2.112 -piked Amount 40.000 Range 60 - 140 Recovery = 5.28%#	ed ug/L ug/L	-
Response via : Initial Calibration Integrator: ChemStation 6890 Scale Mode: Small noise peaks clipped Volume Inj. : Signal #1 Phase : Signal #2 Phase: Signal #1 Info : Signal #2 Info : Compound RT#1 RT#2 Resp#1 Resp#2 ug/L u Compound RT#1 RT#2 Resp#1 Resp#2 ug/L u System Monitoring Compounds 1) S TFT 3.968 3.967 13476 13808 1.969 Spiked Amount 40.000 Range 60 - 140 Recovery = 4.92%# S BFB 7.095 7.094 11017 36824 2.112 _piked Amount 40.000 Range 60 - 140 Recovery = 5.28%#	ed ug/L ug/L	-
<pre>Volume Inj. : Signal #1 Phase : Signal #1 Info : Compound RT#1 RT#2 Resp#1 Resp#2 ug/L to Compound RT#1 RT#2 Resp#1 Resp#2 ug/L to Compound RT#1 RT#2 Resp#1 Resp#2 ug/L to System Monitoring Compounds 1) S TFT 3.968 3.967 13476 13808 1.969 Spiked Amount 40.000 Range 60 - 140 Recovery = 4.92%# S BFB 7.095 7.094 11017 36824 2.112 .piked Amount 40.000 Range 60 - 140 Recovery = 5.28%#</pre>	ug/L ug/L	~~
Signal #1 Phase : Signal #1 Info : Compound RT#1 RT#2 Resp#1 Resp#2 ug/L t Compound RT#1 RT#2 Resp#1 Resp#2 ug/L t System Monitoring Compounds 1) S TFT 3.968 3.967 13476 13808 1.969 Spiked Amount 40.000 Range 60 - 140 Recovery = 4.92%# S BFB 7.095 7.094 11017 36824 2.112 .piked Amount 40.000 Range 60 - 140 Recovery = 5.28%#	ug/L ug/L	
Compound       RT#1       RT#2       Resp#1       Resp#2       ug/L       ug/L         Compound       RT#1       RT#2       Resp#1       Resp#2       ug/L       ug/L         System Monitoring Compounds         1) S       TFT       3.968       3.967       13476       13808       1.969         Spiked Amount       40.000 Range       60 - 140       Recovery       =       4.92%#         S       BFB       7.095       7.094       11017       36824       2.112         _piked Amount       40.000 Range       60 - 140       Recovery       =       5.28%#	ug/L ug/L	
Compound       RT#1       RT#2       Resp#1       Resp#2       ug/L       ug/L         Compound       RT#1       RT#2       Resp#1       Resp#2       ug/L       ug/L         System Monitoring Compounds         1) S       TFT       3.968       3.967       13476       13808       1.969         Spiked Amount       40.000 Range       60 - 140       Recovery       =       4.92%#         S       BFB       7.095       7.094       11017       36824       2.112         _piked Amount       40.000 Range       60 - 140       Recovery       =       5.28%#	ug/L ug/L 	
System Monitoring Compounds 1) S TFT 3.968 3.967 13476 13808 1.969 Spiked Amount 40.000 Range 60 - 140 Recovery = 4.92%# S BFB 7.095 7.094 11017 36824 2.112 piked Amount 40.000 Range 60 - 140 Recovery = 5.28%# Target Compounds		
System Monitoring Compounds         1) S TFT       3.968       3.967       13476       13808       1.969         Spiked Amount       40.000 Range       60 - 140 Recovery       =       4.92%#         S BFB       7.095       7.094       11017       36824       2.112         _piked Amount       40.000 Range       60 - 140 Recovery       =       5.28%#		
Spiked Amount       40.000 Range       60 - 140 Recovery =       4.92%#         S BFB       7.095       7.094       11017       36824       2.112         _piked Amount       40.000 Range       60 - 140 Recovery =       5.28%#	1 894	
S BFB 7.095 7.094 11017 36824 2.112 piked Amount 40.000 Range 60 - 140 Recovery = 5.28%#	4.73%#	
piked Amount 40.000 Range 60 - 140 Recovery = 5.28%#	2.029	
Torgot Compounda	5.07%#	
3) TM Methyl-t 2.193 2.192 6679 10715 NoCal	1.364	#
4) TM Benzene 3.521 3.520 12464 22245 NoCal	1.031	#
5) TM Toluene 4.891 4.891 12670 22383 NoCal	1.098	#
6) TM Ethylbenzene 6.131 6.131 11830 18182 NoCal	1.033	#
7) TM m,p-Xylene 6.197 6.196 23058 42199 NoCal	2.029	#
8) TM o-Xylene 6.568 6.568 12383 18648 NoCal	1.054	#
9) TM 1,3,5-Tri 7.378 7.377 11200 23300 NoCal	0.940	#
10) TM 1,2,4-Tr1 7.725 7.725 12402 18770 NoCal	0.981	#
11) TM Naphthalene 10.257 10.256 7104 14792 NoCal	0.925	#
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	N.D.	#
	TN * TN *	++

(f)=RT Delta > 1/2 Window (#)=Amounts differ by > 25% (m)=manual int.

GR005148.M Thu May 15 06:16:11 2008

(

Analytics Report 61399 page 0144 of 473

Page: 1

.

Quantitation Report (Not Reviewed) Data Path : C:\msdchem\1\DATA\051408-K\ Data File : K15781SI.D Signal(s) : Signal #1: FIDIA.CH Signal #2: ELC2B.CH Acq On : 14 May 2008 4:13 pm )perator : : GRO 10 Sample Misc : 5000 ALS Vial Sample Multiplier: 1 : 2 Integration File signal 1: events.e Integration File signal 2: events2.e Quant Time: May 15 06:16:11 2008 Quant Method : C:\msdchem\1\METHODS\GRO05148.M Quant Title : Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons QLast Update : Wed Aug 22 18:37:56 2007 Response via : Initial Calibration Integrator: ChemStation 6890 Scale Mode: Small noise peaks clipped Volume Inj. Signal #1 Phase : Signal #2 Phase:

Signal #1 Info :

Signal #2 Phase: Signal #2 Info :



Analytics Report 61399 page 0145 of 473

Quantitation Report (Not Reviewed) Data Path : C:\msdchem\1\DATA\051408-K\ Data File : K15782SI.D Signal(s) : Signal #1: FID1A.CH Signal #2: ELC2B.CH [^]cq On : 14 May 2008 4:36 pm perator : Sample : GRO 20 Misc : 5000 JAN 18 ALS Vial : 3 Sample Multiplier: 1 Integration File signal 1: events.e Integration File signal 2: events2.e B115108 Quant Time: May 15 06:16:13 2008 Quant Method : C:\msdchem\1\METHODS\GRO05148.M Quant Title : Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons QLast Update : Wed Aug 22 18:37:56 2007 Response via : Initial Calibration Integrator: ChemStation 6890 Scale Mode: Small noise peaks clipped Volume Inj. : Signal #2 Phase: Signal #1 Phase : Signal #1 Info : Signal #2 Info : Compound Compound System Monitoring Compounds 

 1) S TFT
 3.968
 3.968
 27600
 30243
 4.032
 4.150

 Spiked Amount
 40.000 Range
 60 - 140
 Recovery
 =
 10.08%#
 10.38%#

 S BFB
 7.095
 7.094
 23234
 81018
 4.453
 4.465

 Spiked Amount
 40.000 Range
 60 - 140
 Recovery
 =
 11.13%#
 11.16%#

 Target Compounds3) TM Methyl-t-...2.1952.1941262520252NoCal2.578 #4) TM Benzene3.5213.5212588848591NoCal2.251 #5) TM Toluene4.8924.8912628545661NoCal2.239 #6) TM Ethylbenzene6.1326.1312549439491NoCal2.244 #7) TM m,p-Xylene6.1986.1975035593544NoCal4.499 #8) TM o-Xylene6.5696.5682631539776NoCal2.249 #9) TM 1,3,5-Tri...7.3787.3782432152261NoCal2.108 #10) TM 1,2,4-Tri...7.7267.7252485440450NoCal2.115 #11) TM Naphthalene10.25710.2561475732298NoCal2.020 #12)GRO (<200)</td>6.2380.000441554029.823mN.D. #13)GRO (>200)6.2380.000441554029.446mN.D. # Target Compounds 

(f) = RT Delta > 1/2 Window (#) = Amounts differ by > 25% (m) = manual int.

GRO05148.M Thu May 15 06:16:13 2008

Analytics Report 61399 page 0146 of 473

Page: 1

Quantitation Report (Not Reviewed) Data Path : C:\msdchem\1\DATA\051408-K\ Data File : K15782SI.D Signal(s) : Signal #1: FID1A.CH Signal #2: ELC2B.CH Acq On : 14 May 2008 4:36 pm )perator : : GRO 20 Sample : 5000 Misc ALS Vial : 3 Sample Multiplier: 1 Integration File signal 1: events.e Integration File signal 2: events2.e Quant Time: May 15 06:16:13 2008 Quant Method : C:\msdchem\1\METHODS\GRO05148.M Quant Title : Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons QLast Update : Wed Aug 22 18:37:56 2007 Response via : Initial Calibration 6890 Scale Mode: Small noise peaks clipped Integrator: ChemStation Volume Inj. Signal #1 Phase : Signal #2 Phase: Signal #1 Info Signal #2 Info : ; Signal: K15782SI.D\FID1A.CH Response 4500



Quantitation Report (Not Reviewed) Data Path : C:\msdchem\1\DATA\051408-K\ Data File : K15783SI.D Signal(s) : Signal #1: FID1A.CH Signal #2: ELC2B.CH [^]cq On : 14 May 2008 4:59 pm perator : Sample : GRO 50 Misc : 5000 ALS Vial : 4 Sample Multiplier: 1 Migiol Integration File signal 1: events.e 02715/08 Integration File signal 2: events2.e Quant Time: May 15 06:16:14 2008 Quant Method : C:\msdchem\1\METHODS\GRO05148.M Quant Title : Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons QLast Update : Wed Aug 22 18:37:56 2007 Response via : Initial Calibration Integrator: ChemStation 6890 Scale Mode: Small noise peaks clipped Volume Inj. : Signal #2 Phase: Signal #1 Phase : Signal #1 Info : Signal #2 Info : Compound Compound System Monitoring Compounds 

 1) S TFT
 3.968
 3.967
 72737
 76128
 10.626
 10.445

 Spiked Amount
 40.000 Range
 60 - 140
 Recovery
 =
 26.57%#
 26.11%#

 S BFB
 7.095
 7.095
 57830
 200180
 11.084
 11.032

 _piked Amount
 40.000 Range
 60 - 140
 Recovery
 =
 27.71%#
 27.58%#

 Target Compounds3) TM Methyl-t-...2.1932.937346431NoCal5.911 #4) TM Benzene3.5213.52065069121057NoCal5.608 #5) TM Toluene4.8914.89164876112804NoCal5.531 #6) TM Ethylbenzene6.1316.1316306098260NoCal5.584 #7) TM m,p-Xylene6.1976.197126885231335NoCal11.125 #8) TM o-Xylene6.5696.5686335398211NoCal5.554 #9) TM 1,3,5-Tri...7.3787.37861451131941NoCal5.323 #10) TM 1,2,4-Tri...7.7267.72561526100998NoCal5.281 #11) TM Naphthalene10.25710.2563291472086NoCal4.508 #12)GRO (<200)</td>6.2380.000763541058.740mN.D. #13)GRO (>200)6.2380.000763541058.740mN.D. # Target Compounds 

(f)=RT Delta > 1/2 Window (#)=Amounts differ by > 25% (m)=manual int.

Quantitation Report (Not Reviewed) Data Path : C:\msdchem\1\DATA\051408-K\ Data File : K15783SI.D Signal(s) : Signal #1: FID1A.CH Signal #2: ELC2B.CH : 14 May 2008 Acq On 4:59 pm )perator : : GRO 50 Sample Misc : 5000 ALS Vial : 4 Sample Multiplier: 1 Integration File signal 1: events.e Integration File signal 2: events2.e Quant Time: May 15 06:16:14 2008 Quant Method : C:\msdchem\1\METHODS\GRO05148.M Quant Title : Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons QLast Update : Wed Aug 22 18:37:56 2007 Response via : Initial Calibration 6890 Scale Mode: Small noise peaks clipped Integrator: ChemStation Volume Inj. Signal #1 Phase : Signal #2 Phase: Signal #1 Info Signal #2 Info : :



Analytics Report 61399 page 0149 of 473

Quantitation Report (Not Reviewed) Data Path : C:\msdchem\1\DATA\051408-K\ Data File : K15784SI.D Signal(s) : Signal #1: FID1A.CH Signal #2: ELC2B.CH Acq On : 14 May 2008 5:23 pm perator : Sample : GRO 100 Misc : 5000 ALS Vial : 5 Sample Multiplier: 1 Integration File signal 1: events.e W.19.08 PM 5108 Integration File signal 2: events2.e Quant Time: May 15 06:16:15 2008 Quant Method : C:\msdchem\1\METHODS\GRO05148.M Quant Title : Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons QLast Update : Wed Aug 22 18:37:56 2007 Response via : Initial Calibration Integrator: ChemStation 6890 Scale Mode: Small noise peaks clipped Volume Inj. : Signal #1 Phase : Signal #2 Phase: Signal #1 Info : Signal #2 Info : CompoundRT#1RT#2Resp#1Resp#2ug/Lug/LCompoundRT#1RT#2Resp#1Resp#2ug/Lug/L System Monitoring Compounds 

 1) S TFT
 3.966
 3.965
 144877
 151558
 21.166
 20.794

 Spiked Amount
 40.000 Range
 60 - 140 Recovery
 =
 52.91%#
 51.99%#

 S BFB
 7.095
 7.094
 116249
 398855
 22.281
 21.980

 piked Amount
 40.000 Range
 60 - 140 Recovery
 =
 55.70%#
 54.95%#

 Target Compounds3) TM Methyl-t-...2.1902.1905481389288NoCal11.368 #4) TM Benzene3.5183.518129881241775NoCal11.201 #5) TM Toluene4.8904.890128083225077NoCal11.037 #6) TM Ethylbenzene6.1316.131125309195924NoCal11.134 #7) TM m,p-Xylene6.1976.196254588461311NoCal22.185 #8) TM o-Xylene6.5696.568127516193892NoCal10.964 #9) TM 1,3,5-Tri...7.3797.378124125265765NoCal10.721 #10) TM 1,2,4-Tri...7.7267.725121821201251NoCal10.522 #11) TM Naphthalene10.25710.25662794137744NoCal8.613 #12)GRO (<200)</td>6.2380.00013236760109.392mN.D. #13)GRO (>200)6.2380.00013236760109.700mN.D. # Target Compounds ------

(f)=RT Delta > 1/2 Window (#)=Amounts differ by > 25% (m)=manual int.

GRO05148.M Thu May 15 06:16:15 2008

Analytics Report 61399 page 0150 of 473

Quantitation Report (Not Reviewed) Data Path : C:\msdchem\1\DATA\051408-K\ Data File : K15784SI.D Signal(s) : Signal #1: FID1A.CH Signal #2: ELC2B.CH Acq On : 14 May 2008 5:23 pm )perator : : GRO 100 Sample : 5000 Misc ALS Vial : 5 Sample Multiplier: 1 Integration File signal 1: events.e Integration File signal 2: events2.e Quant Time: May 15 06:16:15 2008 Quant Method : C:\msdchem\1\METHODS\GRO05148.M Quant Title : Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons QLast Update : Wed Aug 22 18:37:56 2007 Response via : Initial Calibration Integrator: ChemStation 6890 Scale Mode: Small noise peaks clipped Volume Inj. Signal #1 Phase : Signal #2 Phase: Signal #2 Info : Signal #1 Info :


Quantitation Report (Not Reviewed) Data Path : C:\msdchem\1\DATA\051408-K\ Data File : K15785SI.D Signal(s) : Signal #1: FID1A.CH Signal #2: ELC2B.CH [^]cq On : 14 May 2008 5:46 pm perator : Sample : GRO 200 Misc : 5000 ALS Vial : 6 Sample Multiplier: 1 20, 19.08 Integration File signal 1: events.e MM 5108 Integration File signal 2: events2.e Quant Time: May 15 06:16:17 2008 Quant Method : C:\msdchem\1\METHODS\GRO05148.M Quant Title : Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons QLast Update : Wed Aug 22 18:37:56 2007 Response via : Initial Calibration Integrator: ChemStation 6890 Scale Mode: Small noise peaks clipped Volume Inj. : Signal #2 Phase: Signal #1 Phase : Signal #1 Info : Signal #2 Info : Compound Compound System Monitoring Compounds 

 1) S TFT
 3.966
 3.965
 298276
 307963
 43.577
 42.254

 Spiked Amount
 40.000 Range
 60 - 140 Recovery
 =
 108.94%
 105.63%

 S BFB
 7.095
 7.094
 237233
 814337
 45.469
 44.877

 Spiked Amount
 40.000 Range
 60 - 140 Recovery
 =
 113.67%
 112.19%

 Target Compounds3) TM Methyl-t-...2.1902.189114336183451NoCal23.357 #4) TM Benzene3.5183.518266480490832NoCal22.740 #5) TM Toluene4.8904.890262492453814NoCal22.253 #6) TM Ethylbenzene6.1316.130256661398023NoCal22.618 #7) TM m,p-Xylene6.1976.197521828937181NoCal45.070 #8) TM o-Xylene6.5686.568259735397349NoCal22.469 #9) TM 1,3,5-Tri...7.3787.378252780540489NoCal21.804 #10) TM 1,2,4-Tri...7.7257.725249928413083NoCal21.597 #11) TM Naphthalene10.25710.256133431294079NoCal18.389 #12)GRO (<200)</td>6.2380.00024854140214.181mN.D. #13)GRO (>200)6.2380.00024854140215.394mN.D. # Target Compounds ______

(f)=RT Delta > 1/2 Window (#)=Amounts differ by > 25% (m)=manual int.

Quantitation Report (Not Reviewed) Data Path : C:\msdchem\1\DATA\051408-K\ Data File : K15785SI.D Signal(s) : Signal #1: FID1A.CH Signal #2: ELC2B.CH : 14 May 2008 5:46 pm Acq On )perator : : GRO 200 Sample : 5000 Misc Sample Multiplier: 1 ALS Vial : 6 Integration File signal 1: events.e Integration File signal 2: events2.e Quant Time: May 15 06:16:17 2008 Quant Method : C:\msdchem\1\METHODS\GR005148.M Quant Title : Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons QLast Update : Wed Aug 22 18:37:56 2007 Response via : Initial Calibration 6890 Scale Mode: Small noise peaks clipped Integrator: ChemStation Volume Inj. 2 Signal #1 Phase : Signal #2 Phase: Signal #1 Info Signal #2 Info : : Signal: K15785SI.D\FID1A.CH Response 35000 6.100 30000 25000 20000 000 15000 10000 5000 (×200 0 GRO BFB 5.00 6.00 7.00 8.00 Time 2.00 3.00 4.00 9.00 10.00 11.00 12.00 13.00 14.00 15.00 Signal: K15785SI.D\ELC2B.CH Response_ 60000 92 50000 40000 30000 金融 6.566 10 Z55 20000 33



8.00

9.00

10.00

11.00

12.00

13.00

14.00

BFB #2 -1,3,5-Trim 1,2,4-Trim

7.00

后的收换9664 o-Xylene;

6.00

10000

Time

0

Methyl-t-b

2.00

 $\mathbf{\hat{c}}$ 

Benzene

3.00

GR005148.M Thu May 15 06:16:17 2008

**TFT #2** 

4.00

Toluene

5.00

Page: 2

15.00

Quantitation Report (Not Reviewed) Data Path : C:\msdchem\1\DATA\051408-K\ Data File : K15786SI.D Signal(s) : Signal #1: FID1A.CH Signal #2: ELC2B.CH Acq On : 14 May 2008 6:09 pm erator : Sample : GRO 500 Misc : 5000 ALS Vial : 7 Sample Multiplier: 1 AV. 19.08 Integration File signal 1: events.e Integration File signal 2: events2.e PM5105 Quant Time: May 15 06:16:18 2008 Quant Method : C:\msdchem\1\METHODS\GR005148.M Quant Title : Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons QLast Update : Wed Aug 22 18:37:56 2007 Response via : Initial Calibration Integrator: ChemStation 6890 Scale Mode: Small noise peaks clipped Volume Inj. : Signal #2 Phase: Signal #1 Phase : Signal #1 Info : Signal #2 Info : CompoundRT#1RT#2Resp#1Resp#2ug/Lug/LCompoundRT#1RT#2Resp#1Resp#2ug/Lug/L System Monitoring Compounds 1) S TFT3.9673.967733656760887107.183104.396Spiked Amount40.000 Range60 - 140Recovery=267.96%#260.99%#S BFB7.0967.0955858652023425112.289111.508Jiked Amount40.000 Range60 - 140Recovery=280.72%#278.77%# Target Compounds3) TM Methyl-t-...2.1922.78223448313NoCal57.078 #4) TM Benzene3.5203.5206528761202192NoCal55.696 #5) TM Toluene4.8924.8916462671114303NoCal54.640 #6) TM Ethylbenzene6.1326.132633251981732NoCal55.789 #7) TM m,p-Xylene6.1986.19812866132317847NoCal111.467 #8) TM o-Xylene6.5696.569638720980593NoCal55.449 #9) TM 1,3,5-Tri...7.3797.3786255981337950NoCal53.974 #10) TM 1,2,4-Tri...7.7267.7256222691022973NoCal53.485 #11) TM Naphthalene10.25710.257349716762630NoCal47.689 #12)GRO (<200)</td>6.2380.00058844500520.778mN.D. #13)GRO (>200)6.2380.00058844500524.633mN.D. # Target Compounds _____

(f)=RT Delta > 1/2 Window (#)=Amounts differ by > 25% (m)=manual int.

GRO05148.M Thu May 15 06:16:18 2008

Analytics Report 61399 page 0154 of 473

Quantitation Report (Not Reviewed) Data Path : C:\msdchem\1\DATA\051408-K\ Data File : K15786SI.D Signal(s) : Signal #1: FID1A.CH Signal #2: ELC2B.CH : 14 May 2008 6:09 pm Acq On perator : : GRO 500 Sample Misc : 5000 ALS Vial Sample Multiplier: 1 : 7 Integration File signal 1: events.e Integration File signal 2: events2.e Quant Time: May 15 06:16:18 2008 Quant Method : C:\msdchem\1\METHODS\GRO05148.M Quant Title : Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons QLast Update : Wed Aug 22 18:37:56 2007 Response via : Initial Calibration Integrator: ChemStation 6890 Scale Mode: Small noise peaks clipped Volume Inj. Signal #1 Phase : Signal #2 Phase:

Signal #1 Info :

Signal #2 Phase: Signal #2 Info :



Data Data Signa Pcq Or era Samplo Misc ALS V	Path : C:\msdc File : K15787S l(s) : Signal : n : 14 May : tor : e : GRO 100 : 5000 ial : 8 Sam	hem\l\DATA\ I.D #1: FID1A.C 2008 6:32 0 ple Multipl:	051408-K H Signa pm ier: 1	:\ 1 #2: ELC2	B.CH			
Integ: Quant Quant Quant QLast Respon Integr	ration File sig ration File sig Time: May 15 Method : C:\ms Title : Vola Update : Wed 2 nse via : Init: rator: ChemStat	gnal 1: even gnal 2: even D6:16:19 200 sdchem\1\ME tile Petrole Aug 22 18:37 ial Calibrat tion 6890	nts.e nts2.e 08 THODS\GR eum Hydr 7:56 200 tion Scale M	005148.M ocarbons 7 ode: Small	MB108	eaks clipp	dr. g. of	
Volume Signa Signa	e Inj. : l #1 Phase : l #1 Info :		Si Si	gnal #2 Ph gnal #2 In	ase: fo :			
	Compound Compound	RT#1 RT#1	RT#2 RT#2	Resp#1 Resp#1	Resp#2 Resp#2	ug/L ug/L	ug/L ug/L	_
Syste 1) S Spikec S Jikec	em Monitoring ( TFT 1 Amount 4( BFB 1 Amount 4(	Compounds 3.967 0.000 Range 7.096 0.000 Range	3.967 60 - 1 7.095 60 - 1	1505805 40 Recove 1200858 40 Recove	1553987 ry = 5 4146572 ry = 5	219.990 549.98%# 230.160 575.40%#	213.212 533.03%# 228.511 571.28%#	
Targe 3) TM 4) TM 5) TM 6) TM 7) TM 8) TM 9) TM 10) TM 11) TM 12) 13)	et Compounds Methyl-t Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene m,p-Xylene o-Xylene 1,3,5-Tri 1,2,4-Tri Naphthalene GRO (<200) GRO (>200)	2.192 3.520 4.891 6.132 6.199 6.569 7.379 7.726 10.257 6.238 6.238	2.192 3.520 4.891 6.132 6.199 6.569 7.379 7.726 10.257 0.000 0.000	572628 1337812 1323542 1299700 2643479 1315737 1284276 1271139 734794 11902763 11902763	911821 2454858 2271450 2003729 4724654 1994695 2729549 2081113 1581879 0 0	NoCal NoCal NoCal NoCal NoCal NoCal NoCal NoCal 1063.635m 1072.172m	116.091 113.730 111.381 113.865 227.212 112.793 110.112 108.808 98.918 N.D. N.D.	##########

(f)=RT Delta > 1/2 Window (#)=Amounts differ by > 25% (m)=manual int.

GR005148.M Thu May 15 06:16:19 2008

Analytics Report 61399 page 0156 of 473

Quantitation Report (Not Reviewed) Data Path : C:\msdchem\1\DATA\051408-K\ Data File : K15787SI.D Signal(s) : Signal #1: FID1A.CH Signal #2: ELC2B.CH Acg On : 14 May 2008 6:32 pm perator ; : GRO 1000 Sample : 5000 Misc ALS Vial Sample Multiplier: 1 : 8 Integration File signal 1: events.e Integration File signal 2: events2.e Quant Time: May 15 06:16:19 2008 Quant Method : C:\msdchem\1\METHODS\GRO05148.M Quant Title : Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons QLast Update : Wed Aug 22 18:37:56 2007 Response via : Initial Calibration Integrator: ChemStation 6890 Scale Mode: Small noise peaks clipped Volume Inj.

Signal #1 Phase : Signal #1 Info : Signal #2 Phase: Signal #2 Info :



Sample : GRO 2500 Misc : 5000 ALS Vial : 9 Sample Multiplier: 1 Integration File signal 1: events.e Integration File signal 2: events2.e Quant Time: May 15 06:16:20 2008 Quant Method : C:\msdchem\1\METHODS\GRO05148.M Quant Title : Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons QLast Update : Wed Aug 22 18:37:56 2007 Response via : Initial Calibration Integrator: ChemStation 6890 Scale Mode: Small noise peaks clipped Volume Inj. : Signal #1 Phase : Signal #2 Phase: Signal #1 Phase : Signal #2 Info : Compound RT#1 RT#2 Resp#1 Resp#2 ug/L ug/L Compound RT#1 RT#2 Resp#1 Resp#2 ug/L ug/L Compound RT#1 RT#2 Resp#1 Resp#2 ug/L ug/L System Monitoring Compounds 1) S TFT 3.970 3.970 3517303 3596677 513.860 493.476 Spiked Amount 40.000 Range 60 - 140 Recovery = 1284.65%# 1233.69%# 7 S BFB 7.098 7.097 2886500 9890530 553.235 545.052 upiked Amount 40.000 Range 60 - 140 Recovery = 1383.09%# 1362.63%# Target Compounds 3) TM Methyl+t 2.194 2.193 1329223 2102783 NoCal 267.722 # 4) TM Benzene 3.522 3.522 3161830 5787454 NoCal 268.125 # 5) TM Toluene 4.894 4.893 3129903 5333251 NoCal 267.722 # 4) TM Benzene 6.134 6.134 308926 471861 NoCal 270.032 # 7) TM mthylbenzene 6.134 6.134 3089264 71861 NoCal 270.032 # 7) TM mthylbenzene 6.571 6.571 3142301 4708272 NoCal 266.236 # 7) TM 1.3,5-Tri 7.281 7.381 305581 6519550 NoCal 261.2475 # 10 TM 1.2,4-Tri 7.28 7.728 308081 506255 NoCal 261.745 # 11) TM Naphthalene 10.258 10.258 1862643 399310 NoCal 261.745 # 12) GRO (<200) 6.238 0.000 28344719 0 22546.715m N.D. #	Da Da Si	ata Pat ata Fil ignal(s rq On	ch : C:\msc Le : K15788 s) : Signal : 14 May	lchem\l\DATA\ 3SI.D L #1: FID1A.CI 7 2008 6:56	051408-K H Signa pm	() 1 #2: ELC	2B.CH			
<pre>Integration File signal 1: events.e Integration File signal 2: events2.e Quant Time: May 15 06:16:20 2008 Quant Method : C:\msdchem\l\METHODS\GRO05148.M Quant Title : Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons QLast Update : Wed Aug 22 18:37:56 2007 Response via : Initial Calibration Integrator: ChemStation 6890 Scale Mode: Small noise peaks clipped Volume Inj. : Signal #1 Phase : Signal #2 Phase: Signal #1 Phase : Signal #2 Phase: Signal #1 Info : Signal #2 Info : Compound RT#1 RT#2 Resp#1 Resp#2 ug/L ug/L Compound RT#1 RT#2 Resp#1 Resp#2 ug/L ug/L Compound RT#1 RT#2 Resp#1 Resp#2 ug/L ug/L System Monitoring Compounds 1) S TFT 3.970 3.970 3517303 3596677 513.860 493.476 Spiked Amount 40.000 Range 60 - 140 Recovery = 1284.65%# 1233.69%# 7 S BFB 7.098 7.097 2886500 9890530 553.235 545.052 Lpiked Amount 40.000 Range 60 - 140 Recovery = 1383.09%# 1362.63%# Target Compounds 3) TM Methyl-t 2.194 2.193 1329223 2102783 NoCal 267.722 # 4) TM Benzene 3.522 3.522 3161830 5787454 NoCal 268.125 # 5) TM Toluene 4.894 4.893 3129903 533251 NoCal 261.2517 # 6) TM Ethylbenzene 6.134 6.134 3089226 4751861 NoCal 261.2517 # 7) TM m.p-Xylene 6.203 6.202 6284342 11068210 NoCal 261.277 # 8) TM or-Xylene 6.571 6.571 3142301 4708272 NoCal 266.236 # 7) TM 1.3,5-Tri 7.381 7.381 305941 6519950 NoCal 266.236 # 7) TM 1.2,4-Tri 7.728 7.728 3080801 5006255 NoCal 261.745 # 11) TM Naphthalene 10.258 10.258 1862643 399310 NoCal 250.021 # 12) GRO (c200)</pre>	Sa M: AI	ample Lsc LS Vial	: GRO 25 : 5000 : 9 Sa	500 ample Multipl:	ier: 1				$\int $	
<pre>Volume Inj. : Signal #1 Phase : Signal #1 Info : Compound RT#1 RT#2 Resp#1 Resp#2 ug/L ug/L Compound RT#1 RT#2 Resp#1 Resp#2 ug/L ug/L System Monitoring Compounds 1) S TFT 3.970 3.970 3517303 3596677 513.860 493.476 Spiked Amount 40.000 Range 60 - 140 Recovery = 1284.658# 1233.698# 7 S BFB 7.098 7.097 2886500 9890530 553.235 545.052 piked Amount 40.000 Range 60 - 140 Recovery = 1383.098# 1362.638# Target Compounds 3) TM Methyl-t 2.194 2.193 1329223 2102783 NoCal 267.722 # 4) TM Benzene 3.522 3.522 3161830 5787454 NoCal 268.125 # 5) TM Toluene 4.894 4.893 3129903 5333251 NoCal 261.517 # 6) TM Ethylbenzene 6.134 6.134 3089226 4751861 NoCal 261.517 # 6) TM Ethylbenzene 6.571 6.571 3142301 4708272 NoCal 266.236 # 7) TM m,p-Xylene 6.203 6.202 6284342 11068210 NoCal 266.236 # 9) TM 1,3,5-Tri 7.381 7.381 3095941 6519950 NoCal 263.020 # 10) TM 1,2,4-Tri 7.728 7.728 3080801 5006255 NoCal 261.745 # 11) TM Naphthalene 10.258 10.258 108264 33998310 NoCal 261.745 m 12) GR0 (&lt;200) 6.238 0.000 28344719 0 2546.715m N.D. #</pre>	Ir Ir Qu Qu QU QI Re Ir	ntegrat ntegrat nant Ti nant Me nant Ti Last Up esponse ntegrat	tion File s me: May 19 thod : C: tle : Vol date : Wed via : Ini	signal 1: even signal 2: even 5 06:16:20 200 msdchem\1\ME Latile Petrole Aug 22 18:37 tial Calibrat tation 6890	nts.e nts2.e 08 THODS\GR eum Hydr 7:56 200 tion Scale M	005148.M ocarbons 7 iode: Small	GIBO 1 noise p	eaks clipp	S.Iq.U	8
Compound       RT#1       RT#2       Resp#1       Resp#2       ug/L       ug/L         System Monitoring Compounds         1) S       TFT       3.970       3.970       3517303       3596677       513.860       493.476         Spiked Amount       40.000 Range       60 - 140       Recovery       = 1284.65%#       1233.69%#         5       BFB       7.098       7.097       2886500       9890530       553.235       545.052         _piked Amount       40.000 Range       60 - 140       Recovery       = 1383.09%#       1362.63%#         Target Compounds       3       TM       Methyl-t       2.194       2.193       1329223       2102783       NoCal       267.722 #         4)       TM       Benzene       3.522       3.522       3161830       5787454       NoCal       268.125 #         5)       TM       Toluene       4.894       4.893       3129903       5333251       NoCal       267.722 #         6)       TM       Engle       6.203       6.202       6284342       11068210       NoCal       261.517 #         7)       TM       m,p-Xylene       6.571       6.571       3142301       4708272       NoCal <td< td=""><td>Vc Si Si</td><td>olume 1 gnal # gnal #</td><td>nj. : 1 Phase : 1 Info :</td><td></td><td>Si Si</td><td>gnal #2 Pl gnal #2 Iı</td><td>hase: nfo :</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	Vc Si Si	olume 1 gnal # gnal #	nj. : 1 Phase : 1 Info :		Si Si	gnal #2 Pl gnal #2 Iı	hase: nfo :			
System Monitoring Compounds 1) S TFT 3.970 3.970 3517303 3596677 513.860 493.476 Spiked Amount 40.000 Range 60 - 140 Recovery = 1284.65%# 1233.69%# S BFB 7.098 7.097 2886500 9890530 553.235 545.052 piked Amount 40.000 Range 60 - 140 Recovery = 1383.09%# 1362.63%# Target Compounds 3) TM Methyl-t 2.194 2.193 1329223 2102783 NoCal 267.722 # 4) TM Benzene 3.522 3.522 3161830 5787454 NoCal 268.125 # 5) TM Toluene 4.894 4.893 3129903 5333251 NoCal 261.517 # 6) TM Ethylbenzene 6.134 6.134 3089226 4751861 NoCal 270.032 # 7) TM m,p-Xylene 6.203 6.202 6284342 11068210 NoCal 532.277 # 8) TM o-Xylene 6.571 6.571 3142301 4708272 NoCal 266.236 # 9) TM 1,3,5-Tri 7.381 7.381 3095941 6519950 NoCal 263.020 # 10) TM 1,2,4-Tri 7.728 7.728 3080801 5006255 NoCal 261.745 # 11) TM Naphthalene 10.258 10.258 1862643 3998310 NoCal 250.021 # 12) GRO (<200) 6.238 0.000 28344719 0 2546.715m N.D. #	_	Co Co	ompound ompound	RT#1 RT#1	RT#2 RT#2	Resp#1 Resp#1	Resp#2 Resp#2	ug/L ug/L	ug/L ug/L	
Target Compounds3) TM Methyl-t2.1942.19313292232102783NoCal267.722 #4) TM Benzene3.5223.52231618305787454NoCal268.125 #5) TM Toluene4.8944.89331299035333251NoCal261.517 #6) TM Ethylbenzene6.1346.13430892264751861NoCal270.032 #7) TM m,p-Xylene6.2036.202628434211068210NoCal532.277 #8) TM o-Xylene6.5716.57131423014708272NoCal266.236 #9) TM 1,3,5-Tri7.3817.38130959416519950NoCal263.020 #10) TM 1,2,4-Tri7.7287.72830808015006255NoCal261.745 #11) TM Naphthalene10.25810.25818626433998310NoCal250.021 #12)GRO (<200)	1) Sr 2-	System S TH Diked A S BH Diked A	Monitoring T Mount B Mount	g Compounds 3.970 40.000 Range 7.098 40.000 Range	3.970 60 - 1 7.097 60 - 1	3517303 40 Recove 2886500 40 Recove	3596677 ery = 11 9890530 ery = 11	513.860 284.65%# 553.235 383.09%#	493.476 1233.69% 545.052 1362.63%	5
13) GRO (>200) 6.238 0.000 28344719 0 2568.039m N.D. #	1 3) 4) 5) 6) 7) 8) 9) 10) 11) 12) 13)	Carget TM Me TM Be TM TC TM Et TM m, TM 0- TM 1, TM 1, TM 1, TM Na GR GR	Compounds thyl-t nzene luene hylbenzene ylene 3,5-Tri 2,4-Tri phthalene O (<200) O (>200)	2.194 3.522 4.894 6.134 6.203 6.571 7.381 7.728 10.258 6.238 6.238	2.193 3.522 4.893 6.134 6.202 6.571 7.381 7.728 10.258 0.000 0.000	1329223 3161830 3129903 3089226 6284342 3142301 3095941 3080801 1862643 28344719 28344719	2102783 5787454 5333251 4751861 11068210 4708272 6519950 5006255 3998310 0 0	NoCal NoCal NoCal NoCal NoCal NoCal NoCal NoCal 2546.715m 2568.039m	267.722 268.125 261.517 270.032 532.277 266.236 263.020 261.745 250.021 N.D. N.D.	***

(f)=RT Delta > 1/2 Window (#)=Amounts differ by > 25% (m)=manual int.



Analytics Report 61399 page 0159 of 473

Quantitation Report (Not Reviewed) Data Path : C:\msdchem\1\DATA\051408-K\ Data File : K15790SC.D Signal(s) : Signal #1: FID1A.CH Signal #2: ELC2B.CH "cq On : 14 May 2008 7:43 pm perator : Sample : GRO CC 200 Misc : 5000 ALS Vial : 11 Sample Multiplier: 1 Ang-08 6.19-08 Integration File signal 1: events.e Integration File signal 2: events2.e Quant Time: May 15 06:24:08 2008 PM 5/15/08 Quant Method : C:\msdchem\1\METHODS\GRO05148.M Quant Title : Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons QLast Update : Thu May 15 06:23:16 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration Integrator: ChemStation 6890 Scale Mode: Small noise peaks clipped Volume Inj. : Signal #1 Phase : Signal #2 Phase: Signal #2 Info : Signal #1 Info : Compound Compound ______ System Monitoring Compounds 

 1) S TFT
 3.965
 3.965
 311086
 321974
 43.140
 42.153

 Spiked Amount
 40.000 Range
 60 - 140 Recovery
 =
 107.85%
 105.38%

 S BFB
 7.095
 7.095
 241552
 825581
 41.538
 40.893

 opiked Amount
 40.000 Range
 60 - 140 Recovery
 =
 103.84%
 102.23%

 Target Compounds3) TM Methyl-t-...2.1872.186112468177402NoCal19.401 #4) TM Benzene3.5183.517274861503310NoCal21.058 #5) TM Toluene4.8904.890272416473978NoCal21.143 #6) TM Ethylbenzene6.1316.131266589411449NoCal20.936 #7) TM m,p-Xylene6.1976.197542266970195NoCal41.934 #8) TM o-Xylene6.5696.568273636414775NoCal21.165 #9) TM 1,3,5-Tri...7.3797.378268520571121NoCal21.464 #10) TM 1,2,4-Tri...7.7267.725268217438536NoCal21.542 #11) TM Naphthalene10.25710.257145439317735NoCal20.961 #12)GRO (<200)</td>6.2380.00026166420207.746mN.D. #13)GRO (>200)6.2380.00026166420207.746mN.D. # Target Compounds _____louv _____

(f)=RT Delta > 1/2 Window (#)=Amounts differ by > 25% (m)=manual int.

GR005148.M Thu May 15 06:24:09 2008

Analytics Report 61399 page 0160 of 473

Quantitation Report (Not Reviewed) Data Path : C:\msdchem\1\DATA\051408-K\ Data File : K15790SC.D Signal(s) : Signal #1: FID1A.CH Signal #2: ELC2B.CH Acq On : 14 May 2008 7:43 pm Jperator ; Sample : GRO CC 200 Misc : 5000 ALS Vial : 11 Sample Multiplier: 1 Integration File signal 1: events.e Integration File signal 2: events2.e Quant Time: May 15 06:24:08 2008 Quant Method : C:\msdchem\1\METHODS\GRO05148.M Quant Title : Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons QLast Update : Thu May 15 06:23:16 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration Integrator: ChemStation 6890 Scale Mode: Small noise peaks clipped Volume Inj. : Signal #1 Phase : Signal #2 Phase: Signal #1 Info Signal #2 Info : 1



Analytics Report 61399 page 0161 of 473

### GASOLINE RANGE ORGANICS CONTINUING CALIBRATION

Instrument ID: K GC Column: RTX-502.2 Column ID: 0.25 mm SDG: 61399 Standard ID: GRO 200UG/L Data File ID: K15997SC.D

COMPOUND	STANDARD RESULT (ug/L)	% Recovery	#
TFT	43.8	110	
BFB	38.9	97	
GRO (<200)	198	99	
GRO (>200)	193	96	
TFT #2	46.5	116	
BFB #2	41.6	104	
Methyl-t-butylether #2	20.6	103	
Benzene #2	22.2	111	
Toluene #2	22.0	110	
Ethylbenzene #2	21.9	110	
m,p-Xylene #2	44.0	110	
o-Xylene #2	21.9	109	
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene #2	22.1	110	
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene #2	22.0	110	
Naphthalene #2	19.6	98	

Lower Limit 80 Upper Limit 120

# Column to be used to flag recovery values outside of QC limits* Values outside QC limits

Comments:

GRO FORM 7

Analytics Report 61399 page 0162 of 473

Data Pa Data Fi Signal( Acq On Operato Sample Misc ALS Via	th : C:\msdche le : K15997SC s) : Signal #1 : 27 May 20 or : : GRO 20000 : 5000 l : 44 Samp	em\1\DATA\0 .D 1: FID1A.CF 008 10:20 G/L ple Multip1	)52708-K\ H Signal pm Lier: 1	#2: ELC2B	. CH	MK Sta8	68	
Integra Integra Quant T Quant M Quant T QLast U Respons Integra	tion File sign tion File sign ime: May 27 22 ethod : C:\mso itle : Volati pdate : Thu Ma e via : Initia tor: ChemStati	hal 1: even hal 2: even 2:38:03 200 dchem\1\MET ile Petrole ay 15 06:23 al Calibrat ion 6890	nts.e nts2.e )8 THODS\GROO eum Hydroc 3:16 2008 tion Scale Mod	5148.M arbons e: Small	noise pe	aks clipp	u <b>U</b>	
Volume Signal Signal	Inj. : #1 Phase : #1 Info :		Sign Sign	al #2 Pha al #2 Inf	se: o :			
Co	ompound ompound	RT#1 RT#1	RT#2 RT#2	Resp#1 Resp#1	Resp#2 Resp#2	ug/L ug/L	ug/L ug/L	
System 1) S Ti Spiked 2 ) S Bi Spiked 2	Monitoring Co FT Amount 40. FB Amount 40.	ompounds 3.968 000 Range 7.095 000 Range	3.968 60 - 140 7.094 60 - 140	316185 Recover 226496 Recover	355025 y = 1 839699 y =	43.847 09.62% 38.949 97.37%	46.480 116.20% 41.592 103.98%	
Target         3) TM       Me         4) TM       Be         5) TM       TC         6) TM       Et         7) TM       Me         8) TM       O         9) TM       1         0) TM       1         1) TM       Na         2)       GH         3)       GH	Compounds ethyl-t enzene oluene thylbenzene ,p-Xylene ,3,5-Tri ,2,4-Tri aphthalene RO (<200) RO (>200)	2.194 3.521 4.892 6.131 6.198 6.569 7.378 7.725 10.257 6.238 6.238	2.193 3.521 4.891 6.131 6.197 6.568 7.378 7.725 10.256 0.000 0.000	107264 265147 264313 258018 524340 259614 254672 252596 125899 2448302 2448302	188106 529884 493256 431232 1017093 428428 587020 448718 297171 0 0	NoCal NoCal NoCal NoCal NoCal NoCal NoCal NoCal 197.661m 192.821m	20.571 22.169 22.003 21.942 43.961 21.862 22.062 22.042 19.604 N.D. N.D.	# # # # # # # # # #

(f)=RT Delta > 1/2 Window (#)=Amounts differ by > 25% (m)=manual int.





### GASOLINE RANGE ORGANICS CONTINUING CALIBRATION

Instrument ID: K GC Column: RTX-502.2 Column ID: 0.25 mm SDG: 61399 Standard ID: GRO 200UG/L Data File ID: K16024SC.D

COMPOUND	STANDARD RESULT (ug/L)	% Recovery	#
TFT	41.8	105	
BFB	38.6	96	
GRO (<200)	196	98	
GRO (>200)	191	95	
TFT #2	44.5	111	
BFB #2	41.1	103	
Methyl-t-butylether #2	20.4	102	
Benzene #2	21.5	108	
Toluene #2	21.4	107	
Ethylbenzene #2	21.3	107	
m,p-Xylene #2	42.7	107	
o-Xylene #2	21.3	107	
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene #2	21.5	108	
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene #2	21.5	107	
Naphthalene #2	19.8	99	

Lower Limit 80 Upper Limit 120

# Column to be used to flag recovery values outside of QC limits* Values outside QC limits

Comments:

GRO FORM 7

Analytics Report 61399 page 0165 of 473

Data Path : C:\msdchem\1\DATA\052708-K\ Data File : K16024SC.D Signal(s) : Signal #1: FID1A.CH Signal #2: ELC2B.CH Acq On : 28 May 2008 9:36 am Operator : Sample : GRO 200UG/L Misc : 5000 : 5000 5.2000 you ALS Vial : 71 Sample Multiplier: 1 Integration File signal 1: events.e Integration File signal 2: events2.e Quant Time: May 28 11:55:50 2008 Quant Method : C:\msdchem\1\METHODS\GRO05148.M Quant Title : Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons QLast Update : Thu May 15 06:23:16 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration Integrator: ChemStation 6890 Scale Mode: Small noise peaks clipped Volume Inj. : Signal #2 Phase: Signal #2 Info : Signal #1 Phase : Signal #1 Info : CompoundRT#1RT#2Resp#1Resp#2ug/Lug/LCompoundRT#1RT#2Resp#1Resp#2ug/Lug/L 

 System Monitoring Compounds

 1) S TFT
 3.968
 3.967
 301536
 339783
 41.815
 44.484

 Spiked Amount
 40.000 Range
 60 - 140
 Recovery
 =
 104.54%
 111.21%

 S BFB
 7.095
 7.094
 224391
 830163
 38.587
 41.120

 Spiked Amount 40.000 Range 60 - 140 Recovery = 96.47% 102.80% Target Compounds3) TM Methyl-t-...2.194108035186370NoCal20.381 #4) TM Benzene3.5213.521258514513950NoCal21.503 #5) TM Toluene4.8914.891256505479110NoCal21.372 #6) TM Ethylbenzene6.1316.131251544419380NoCal21.339 #7) TM m,p-Xylene6.1976.197511446986973NoCal21.345 #8) TM o-Xylene6.5686.568255288418304NoCal21.345 #9) TM 1,3,5-Tri...7.3787.377248497572073NoCal21.452 #10) TM 1,2,4-Tri...7.7257.725246287436709NoCal21.452 #11) TM Naphthalene10.25610.255128389300846NoCal19.847 #2)GRO (<200)</td>6.2380.00024265330190.891mN.D. #.3)GRO (>200)6.2380.00024265330190.891mN.D. # Target Compounds 

(f)=RT Delta > 1/2 Window (#)=Amounts differ by > 25% (m)=manual int.





# DRO DATA SUMMARIES

AnalyticsLLC:AEL Documents LLC:Pkg Dividers:DRO.doc

analy		ironmental_ pratory LLC	195 Commerce Way Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801 603-436-5111 Fax 603-430-2151 800-929-9906				
Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11		June 17 SAMP	7, 2008 LE DATA				
CLIEN	T SAMPLE ID	Lab Sample ID: Matrix: Percent Solid:	B05238DW Aqueous N/A				
Project Name: Project Number: Field Sample ID:	03886.184 LABQC	Collection Date: Lab Receipt Date: Extraction Date: Analysis Date:	1 N/A N/A 05/23/08 05/29/08				
	ANALYTICAL RESULTS DIESEL RANGE ORGANICS						
	U	μg/L	50				
Surrogate Standard Recovery							
U=U:	ndetected J=Estimated	H E=Exceeds Calibration Range B=Detecte	ed in Blank				

METHODOLOGY: Sample analyzed according to "Maine HETL Method 4.1.25, September 6, 1995".

COMMENTS:

DRO Report

Authorized signature Mulindul

			Quantitat	ion Report	(QT Revie	ewed)
	Data File : Acq On : Sample : Misc : IntFile : Quant Time:	D:\TPH\05290 29 May 2008 B05238DW AUTOINT1.E May 30 8:02	8-G\G494961 15:30 2008 Quar	3.D nt Results F	Oper Inst Mult ile: D0401(	Vial: 9 rator: z : INST G tiplr: 1.00 08A.RES
	Quant Method Title Last Update Response via DataAcq Meth Volume Inj.	l : C:\HPCHEM : DRO : Wed Apr 0 a : Initial C n : TPHEPH1.M : 1ul	<pre>\1\METHODS\ 2 09:36:40 alibration</pre>	,D040108A.M 2008	(Chemstatic	on Integrator)
	Signal Phase Signal Info	: Rtx-5MS : 0.25 mm		7		6.0
	Compound	1	R.7	. Resp	ponse Cc	onc Units
Sy l) S Spike	vstem Monitor 5 M-TERPHEN ed Amount	ing Compound IYL 100.000 Ra	s 15.6 nge 60 - 1	58 37( .40 Recove	07151 85. ery = 8	760 ug/ml 5.76%
Ta 2) H 3) H	arget Compour IM DRO LOW ( IM DRO HIGH	uds <1.0) (>1.0)	13.0 13.0	1 121 1 121	15079 0. 15079 0.	016 mg/ml 028 mg/ml





Analytics Report 61399 page 0171 of 473



÷

195 Commerce Way Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801 603-436-5111 Fax 603-430-2151 800-929-9906

Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11 South Burlington VT 05403		June 17 SAMP	7, 2008 LE DATA	
Doun Durmenter (		Lab Sample ID:	B05238DW RR	
CI IEN	T CANDER IN	Matrix:	Aqueous	
CLIEA	I SAMPLE ID	Percent Solid:	N/A	
Project Name:	MEFUDS LO-58	<b>Dilution Factor:</b>	1	
T. I	00007101	Collection Date:	N/A	
Project Number:	03886.184	Lab Receipt Date:	N/A	
Field Sample ID:	LABQC	Extraction Date:	05/23/08	
		Analysis Date:	05/30/08	
, ,	ANALYTICAL RESU	JLTS DIESEL RANGE ORGAN	VICS	
Result		Units	Quantitation Limit	

U	μg/L	50
-	Surrogate Standard Recovery	7
	m-Terphenyl 93 %	
U=Undetected J=Estir	nated E=Exceeds Calibration Range	B=Detected in Blank

METHODOLOGY: Sample analyzed according to "Maine HETL Method 4.1.25, September 6, 1995".

**COMMENTS:** 

DRO Report

Authorized signature	Mplendall'

## 4125 DRO WATER REPORT

Sample Name	B05238DW, RR			
Data File Name	G49531B.D			
Date Acquired	5/30/2008 19:51			
Misc Info				
Sample Volume (mL)	1000			
Final Volume (mL)	1.0			$\Lambda N$
Analyst Dilution (1:X)	1			N
Calculation Factor	1000.0000		,.09	18.20
Dilution Factor	1.0	المجا الحال	c .	6
4125 DRO ANALYTICAL RESULTS	ug/L	RL		
DRO	U	50		
m-Terphenyl	93%	60-140%	PASS	

RAW DATA		Reviewed &		
	Raw Inst	Calculated Inst		Sample
	Amount mg/mL	Amount ug/L	Target Response	Amount ug/L
M-TERPHENYL	93.21		4029368.77	
DRO LOW (<1.0)	0.0097	0.00	931228.077	
DRO HIGH (>1.0)	0.0264	26.41	1143489.551	
DRO	0.01	0.00		0

Analytics Report 61399 page 0173 of 473

Quantitation Report (QT Reviewed) Data File : D:\TPH\053008-G\G49531B.D Vial: 12 Acq On : 30 May 2008 19:51 Operator: Sample : B05238DW, RR Inst : INST G Multiplr: 1.00 Misc : IntFile : AUTOINT1.E Quant Time: Jun 2 8:25 2008 Quant Results File: D040108A.RES Quant Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M (Chemstation Integrator) Title : DRO Last Update : Wed Apr 02 09:36:40 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : TPHEPH1.M JK 4.2.08 Volume Inj. : lul Signal Phase : Rtx-5MS Signal Info : 0.25 mm R.T. Response Conc Units Compound _____ System Monitoring Compounds 
 1) S M-TERPHENYL
 15.67
 4029369
 93.214 ug/ml

 Spiked Amount
 100.000
 Range
 60 - 140
 Recovery
 =
 93.21%
 Target Compounds 13.019312280.010 mg/ml13.0111434900.026 mg/ml 2) HM DRO LOW (<1.0) 3) HM DRO HIGH (>1.0)



_____

Analytics Report 61399 page 0174 of 473



Analytics Report 61399 page 0175 of 473



Analytics Report 61399 page 0176 of 473



Analytics Report 61399 page 0177 of 473

analy		ntal_ LLC	195 Commerce Way Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801 603-436-5111 Fax 603-430-2151 800-929-9906
Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burling 30 Community Drive South Burlington V2	gton e Suite 11	June 17 SAMP	7, 2008 LE DATA
CLIEN	T SAMPLE ID	Lab Sample ID: Matrix: Percent Solid:	B05238DW RR1 Aqueous N/A
Project Name: Project Number: Field Sample ID:	MEFUDS LO-58 03886.184 LABQC	Dilution Factor: Collection Date: Lab Receipt Date: Extraction Date: Analysis Date:	1 N/A N/A 05/23/08 05/30/08
	ANALYTICAL RESU	ULTS DIESEL RANGE ORGAN Units	NICS Quantitation Limit
	U	μg/L	50
	<b>Surro</b> g m-	gate Standard Recovery	
U=U	ndetected J=Estimated E=	=Exceeds Calibration Range B=Detect	ed in Blank

METHODOLOGY: Sample analyzed according to "Maine HETL Method 4.1.25, September 6, 1995".

**COMMENTS:** 

DRO Report

Authorized signature Mulenchill

JVL. 20 6.3.00

Sample Name	B05238DW, RR1		
Data File Name	G49556.D		
Date Acquired	5/31/2008 8:46		
Misc Info			
Sample Volume (mL)	1000		
Final Volume (mL)	1.0		
Analyst Dilution (1:X)	1		
Calculation Factor	1000.0000		
Dilution Factor	1.0		

6.4.08

4125 DRO ANALYTICAL RESULTS	ug/L	RL	
DRO	U	50	/
m-Terphenyl	88%	60-140%	PASS

RAW DATA	Raw Inst Amount mg/mL	Reviewed & Calculated Inst Amount ug/L	Target Response	Sample Amount ug/L
M-TERPHENYL DRO LOW (<1.0) DRO HIGH (>1.0)	87.83 0.0277 0.0393	0.00 39.31	3796574.704 1702305.296 1702305.296	
DRO	0.03	0.00		0

Qua	ntitation H	Report (QT F	Reviewed)
Data File : D:\TPH\053008-G\ Acq On : 31 May 2008 8: Sample : B05238DW, RR1 Misc : IntFile : AUTOINT1.E	G49556.D 46	aulte File. Di	Vial: 12 Operator: Inst : INST G Multiplr: 1.00
Quant Time: Jun 3 11:56 200	8 Qualit Re	esuits file: Do	YUIUAA.KED
Quant Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\M	IETHODS\D04(	0108A.M (Chemst	ation Integrator)
Last Update : Mon Jun 02 11 Response via : Initial Calib DataAcq Meth : TPHEPH1.M	:41:57 2008 pration	3	ANY
Volume Inj. : lul Signal Phase : Rtx-5MS Signal Info : 0.25 mm		6.3.073	6.4.
Compound	R.T.	Response	Conc Units
System Monitoring Compounds 1) S M-TERPHENYL Spiked Amount 100.000 Range	15.66 60 - 140	3796575 Recovery =	87.829 ug/ml 87.83%
Target Compounds 2) HM DRO LOW (<1.0) 3) HM DRO HIGH (>1.0)	13.01 13.01	1702305 1702305	0.028 mg/ml 0.039 mg/ml

ND



Analytics Report 61399 page 0181 of 473

Gnaly		mental ory LLC	Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801 603-436-5111 Fax 603-430-2151 800-929-9906	
Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11		June 17, 2008 SAMPLE DATA		
CLIEN	T 05403	Lab Sample ID: Matrix: Percent Solid:	B05238DW RR2 Aqueous N/A	
Project Name: Project Number: Field Sample ID:	MEFUDS LO-58 03886.184 LABQC	Dilution Factor: Collection Date: Lab Receipt Date: Extraction Date: Analysis Date:	1 N/A N/A 05/23/08 06/02/08	
	ANALYTICAL RE	ESULTS DIESEL RANGE ORGAN	NICS	
	Kesult	Units	Quantitation Limit	
	U	μg/L	50	
	Suri	rogate Standard Recovery		
		m-Terphenyl 91 %		
U=U	indetected J=Estimated	E=Exceeds Calibration Range B=Detect	ed in Blank	

METHODOLOGY: Sample analyzed according to "Maine HETL Method 4.1.25, September 6, 1995".

COMMENTS:

_ _

DRO Report

Authorized signature Mulul

--- -

....

Analytics Report 61399 page 0182 of 473

Sample Name	B05238DW	RRZ			10
Data File Name	G49620B.D				1 N
Date Acquired	6/2/2008 17:	28			//N Q
Sample Volume (mL)		1000			1300
Final Volume (mL)		1.0			le
Analyst Dilution (1:X)		1			
Calculation Factor	1000.0000				
Dilution Factor	1.0			JLC.08	
4125 DRO ANALYTICAL RESULTS	ug/L		RL		
DRO	U	)	50		
m-Terphenyl	91%		60-140%	PASS	

RAW DATA	Raw Inst Amount mg/mL	Reviewed & Calculated Inst Amount ug/L	Target Response	Sample Amount ug/L
M-TERPHENYL	91.36	·····	3949120.207	
DRO LOW (<1.0)	0.0337	0.00	1961424.793	
DRO HIGH (>1.0)	0.0453	45.30	1961424.793	
DRO	0.03	0.00		0

Analytics Report 61399 page 0183 of 473

Quantitation Report (QT Reviewed) Data File : D:\TPH\060208-G\G49620B.D Vial: 7 Acq On : 2 Jun 2008 17:28 Operator: Sample : B05238DW LR2 Inst : INST G Multiplr: 1.00 Misc : IntFile : AUTOINT1.E Ouant Time: Jun 3 9:02 2008 Quant Results File: D040108A.RES Quant Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M (Chemstation Integrator) Title : DRO Last Update : Mon Jun 02 11:41:57 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : TPHEPH1.M Volume Inj. : 1ul JV 00 Signal Phase : Rtx-5MS Signal Info : 0.25 mm R.T. Response Conc Units Compound _____ System Monitoring Compounds 1) S M-TERPHENYL 15.67 3949120 91.358 ug/ml Spiked Amount 100.000 Range 60 - 140 Recovery = 91.36% Target Compounds 13.0119614250.034 mg/ml13.0119614250.045 mg/ml 2) HM DRO LOW (<1.0) 3) HM DRO HIGH (>1.0)

ND



Analytics Report 61399 page 0185 of 473

analy	Anticomental Providence Anticomental Jaboratory LLC		195 Commerce Way Partsmouth, New Hampshire 03801 603-436-5111 Fax 603-430-2151 808-929-9906	
Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11 South Burlington VT 05403 CLIENT SAMPLE ID		June 10, 2008 SAMPLE DATA		
		Lab Sample ID: Matrix: Barrant Salid:	61399-1 Aqueous N/A	
Project Name:	MEFUDS LO-58	Dilution Factor:	1.0	
Project Number:	03886.184	Collection Date: Lab Receipt Date:	05/16/08 05/22/08	
Field Sample ID:	LS58DW2-0508-A16	Extraction Date:	05/23/08	
	Result	Units	Quantitation Limit	
	1020	μg/L	50	
	Surrogat	te Standard Recovery		
	m-Te	erphenyl 84 %		
U=U	ndetected J=Estimated E=E	xceeds Calibration Range B=Detect	ed in Blank	

METHODOLOGY: Sample analyzed according to "Maine HETL Method 4.1.25, September 6, 1995".

COMMENTS:

DRO Report

Authorized signature Mulmidall

### 4125 DRO WATER REPORT

Sample Name	61399-1	
Data File Nama	C/0536 D	
Data File Name	049000.D	
Date Acquired	5/30/2008 22:32	
Misc Info		
Sample Volume (mL)	1050	
Final Volume (mL)	1.0	
Analyst Dilution (1:X)	1	A
Calculation Factor	952.3810	6.2.00
Dilution Factor	1.0	SIC

4125 DRO ANALYTICAL RESULTS	ug/L	RL		
DRO	1022	48		
m-Terphenyl	84%	60-140%	PASS *	

RAW DATA		Reviewed &		
	Raw Inst	Calculated Inst		Sample
	Amount mg/mL	Amount ug/L	Target Response	Amount ug/L
M-TERPHENYL	84.33		3645205.051	
DRO LOW (<1.0)	1.0403	990.79	45077636.8	
DRO HIGH (>1.0)	1.0735	1022.40	46483802.66	
DRO	1.07	1022.40		1022



.

. .

Analytics Report 61399 page 0187 of 473
	Quantitation Report	(QT Reviewed)
Data File : D:\TPH\053008 Acq On : 30 May 2008 Sample : 61399-1 Misc : IntFile : AUTOINT1.E Ouant Time: Jun 2 10:09	-G\G49536.D 22:32 2008 Ouant Results	Vial: 17 Operator: Inst : INST G Multiplr: 1.00 File: D040108A.RES
Quant Method : C:\HPCHEM\ Title : DRO Last Update : Wed Apr 02 Response via : Initial Ca DataAcq Meth : TPHEPH1.M	1\METHODS\D040108A. 09:36:40 2008 libration	M (Chemstation Integrator)
Volume Inj. : 1ul Signal Phase : Rtx-5MS Signal Info : 0.25 mm	ىرى	v. 2.00
Compound	R.T. F	esponse Conc Units
System Monitoring Compounds 1) S M-TERPHENYL Spiked Amount 100.000 Ran	15.67 ge 60 - 140 Rec	3645205 84.327 ug/mlm overy = 84.33%
Target Compounds 2) HM DRO LOW (<1.0) 3) HM DRO HIGH (>1.0)	13.01 4 13.01 4	5077637 1.040 mg/ml 6483803 1.074 mg/ml

2-200



Analytics Report 61399 page 0189 of 473



Analytics Report 61399 page 0190 of 473



Analytics Report 61399 page 0191 of 473



Analytics Report 61399 page 0192 of 473

Quantitation Report (Qedit) Data File : D:\TPH\053008-G\G49536.D Vial: 17 Acq On : 30 May 2008 22:32 Operator: Sample : 61399-1 Inst : INST G Misc Multiplr: 1.00 : IntFile : AUTOINT1.E Quant Time: Jun 2 10:09 2008 Quant Results File: D040108A.RES Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M (Chemstation Integrator) JK 4.2.0V Title : DRO Last Update : Wed Apr 02 09:36:40 2008





Analytics Report 61399 page 0193 of 473

	Su	rrogate Standard Recovery	
	U	μg/L	50
	ANALYTICAL F	RESULTS DIESEL RANGE ORGA	NICS Quantitation Limit
Field Sample ID:	LS58DW2-0508-28.5	Extraction Date: Analysis Date:	05/23/08 05/31/08
Project Number:	03886.184	Collection Date: Lab Receipt Date:	05/16/08 05/22/08
CLIENT SAMPLE ID Project Name: MEFUDS LO-58		Matrix: Percent Solid: Dilution Factor:	Aqueous N/A 1.0
Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11 South Burlington VT 05403		June 3 SAMP Lab Sample ID:	, 2008 PLE DATA 61399-2
analy		ironmental pratory LLC	195 Commerce Way Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801 603-436-5111 Fax 603-430-2151 800-929-9906

METHODOLOGY: Sample analyzed according to "Maine HETL Method 4.1.25, September 6, 1995".

COMMENTS:

DRO Report

Authorized signature Mulmulull

## 4125 DRO WATER REPORT

Sample Name	61399-2
Data File Name	G49557.D
Date Acquired	5/31/2008 9:30
Misc Info	
Sample Volume (mL)	1050
Final Volume (mL)	1.0
Analyst Dilution (1:X)	1
Calculation Factor	952.3810
Dilution Factor	1.0

5.3. W

4125 DRO ANALYTICAL RESULTS	ug/L	RL	
DRO	U	48	
m-Terphenyl	88%	60-140%	PASS

RAW DATA		Reviewed &		
	Raw Inst	Calculated Inst		Sample
	Amount mg/mL	Amount ug/L	Target Response	Amount ug/L
M-TERPHENYL	88.44		3822791.362	
DRO LOW (<1.0)	0.0371	0.00	2106911.638	
DRO HIGH (>1.0)	0.0487	46.34	2106911.638	
DRO	0.04	0.00		0



ç	Quantitation Re	port (QT Re [.]	viewed)
Data File : D:\TPH\053008- Acq On : 31 May 2008 Sample : 61399-2 Misc :	G\G49557.D 9:30	Oj I M	Vial: 34 perator: nst : INST G ultiplr: 1.00
Quant Time: Jun 3 11:56 2	2008 Quant Res	ults File: D04	0108A.RES
Quant Method : C:\HPCHEM\1 Title : DRO Last Update : Mon Jun 02 Response via : Initial Cal DataAcq Meth : TPHEPH1.M	\METHODS\D0401 11:41:57 2008 .ibration	08A.M (Chemsta	tion Integrator)
Volume Inj. : 1ul Signal Phase : Rtx-5MS Signal Info : 0.25 mm		ين. ۲۰3.06	
Compound	R.T.	Response	Conc Units
System Monitoring Compounds 1) S M-TERPHENYL Spiked Amount 100.000 Rang	15.66 je 60 - 140	3822791 Recovery =	88.435 ug/ml 88.44%
Target Compounds 2) HM DRO LOW (<1.0) 3) HM DRO HIGH (>1.0)	13.01 13.01	2106912 2106912	0.037 mg/ml 0.049 mg/ml

7°.900

(f) =RT Delta > 1/2 Window (m) =manual int. G49557.D D040108A.M Tue Jun 03 11:56:54 2008 Page 1 Analytics Report 61399 page 0196 of 473



Analytics Report 61399 page 0197 of 473



Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11 South Burlington VT 05403		June 3, SAMP	June 3, 2008 SAMPLE DATA	
CLIEN	T SAMPLE ID	Lab Sample ID: Matrix: Percent Solid:	61399-2 RR Aqueous N/A	
Project Name:	MEFUDS LO-58	Dilution Factor:	1.0	
Project Number:	03886.184	Collection Date: Lab Receipt Date:	05/16/08 05/22/08	
Field Sample ID:	LS58DW2-0508-28.5	5 Extraction Date: Analysis Date:	05/23/08 06/02/08	
]	ANALYTICAL Result	RESULTS DIESEL RANGE ORGAN Units	NICS Quantitation Limit	
	U	μg/L	50	
		urrogate Standard Recovery		
	m-Terphenyl 93 %			

U=Undetected J=Estimated E=Exceeds Calibration Range B=Detected in Blank

METHODOLOGY: Sample analyzed according to "Maine HETL Method 4.1.25, September 6, 1995".

COMMENTS:

DRO Report

Authorized signature Mulmulell

Sample Name	61399-2,RR
Data File Name Date Acquired	G49624.D 6/2/2008 19:25
Misc Info	
Sample Volume (mL)	1050
Final Volume (mL)	1.0
Analyst Dilution (1:X)	1
Calculation Factor	952.3810
Dilution Factor	1.0

50 20C 6.3

4125 DRO ANALYTICAL RESULTS	ug/L	RL		
DRO	Ű	48		
m-Terphenyl	93%	60-140%	PASS	

RAW DATA	Raw Inst	Reviewed & Calculated Inst	Taract Poononco	Sample
M-TERPHENYL DRO LOW (<1.0) DRO HIGH (>1.0)	92.65 0.0481 0.0617	0.00 58.73	4004888.867 2576620.499 2670037.59	Amount ug/L
DRO	0.05	0.00		0

Me. 900

Q	uantitation Report	; (QT Reviewed)
Data File : D:\TPH\060208- Acq On : 2 Jun 2008 1 Sample : 61399-2 AC Misc : IntFile : AUTOINT1.E	G\G49624.D 9:25	Vial: 11 Operator: Inst : INST G Multiplr: 1.00
Quant Method : C:\HPCHEM\1 Title : DRO Last Update : Mon Jun 02 Response via : Initial Cal DataAcq Meth : TPHEPH1.M	\METHODS\D040108A. 11:41:57 2008 ibration	M (Chemstation Integrator)
Volume Inj. : 1ul Signal Phase : Rtx-5MS Signal Info : 0.25 mm	-	JK
Compound	R.T. F	lesponse Conc Units
System Monitoring Compounds 1) S M-TERPHENYL Spiked Amount 100.000 Range	15.67 e 60 - 140 Rec	4004889 92.648 ug/ml overy = 92.65%
Target Compounds 2) HM DRO LOW (<1.0) 3) HM DRO HIGH (>1.0)	13.01 13.01	2576620 0.048 mg/ml 2670038 0.062 mg/ml

176-900



Analytics Report 61399 page 0201 of 473

Quantitation Report (Qedit) Data File : D:\TPH\060208-G\G49624.D Vial: 11 Acg On : 2 Jun 2008 19:25 Operator: Sample : 61399-2 : INST G Inst Misc Multiplr: 1.00 : IntFile : AUTOINT1.E Quant Time: Jun 3 7:51 2008 Quant Results File: D040108A.RES Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M (Chemstation Integrator) Title : DRO ుకి Last Update : Mon Jun 02 11:41:57 2008 Response via : Multiple Level Calibration Response_ G49624.D\FID1A 15.67 5500 5000 4500 4000 3500 3000 2500 2000 1500 1000 500 11.00 12.00 10.00 13.00 17.00 Time 8.00 9.00 14.00 15.00 16.00 18.00 19.00 20.00 21.00 QEdit (2) DRO LOW (<1.0) (HM) N: 900 13.01min 0.074mg/ml m response 3680836 (+) = Expected Retention Time G49624.D D040108A.M Tue Jun 03 07:52:15 2008

Analytics Report 61399 page 0202 of 473

Quantitation Report (Qedit) Data File : D:\TPH\060208-G\G49624.D Vial: 11 Operator: Acq On 2 Jun 2008 19:25 : Sample : 61399-2 Inst : INST G Misc Multiplr: 1.00 : IntFile : AUTOINT1.E Quant Results File: D040108A.RES Ouant Time: Jun 3 7:51 2008 Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M (Chemstation Integrator) : DRO Title 5.08 6.3.08 Last Update : Mon Jun 02 11:41:57 2008 Response via : Multiple Level Calibration



Analytics Report 61399 page 0203 of 473

analy		ntal UC	195 Commerce Way Portsmouth, New Hompshire 03801 603-436-5111 Fax 603-430-2151 800-929-9906
Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burling 30 Community Drive South Burlington VI	gton Suite 11	June 3, SAMP	2008 LE DATA
CLIEN	T SAMPLE ID	Lab Sample ID: Matrix: Percent Solid:	61399-3 Aqueous N/A
Project Name: Project Number: Field Sample ID:	MEFUDS LO-58 03886.184 LS58DW2-0508-37	Dilution Factor: Collection Date: Lab Receipt Date: Extraction Date: Analysis Date:	1.0 05/17/08 05/22/08 05/23/08 05/31/08
	ANALYTICAL RES	ULTS DIESEL RANGE ORGAN	NICS Quantitation Limit
	U	μg/L	50
	<u>Surro</u> m	gate Standard Recovery -Terphenyl 92 %	
U=U	ndetected J=Estimated E	=Exceeds Calibration Range B=Detect	ed in Blank

METHODOLOGY: Sample analyzed according to "Maine HETL Method 4.1.25, September 6, 1995".

COMMENTS:

DRO Report

Authorized signature Milenchull

Sample Name	61399-3
Data File Name	G49558.D
Date Acquired	5/31/2008 9:59
Misc Info	
Sample Volume (mL)	1070
Final Volume (mL)	1.0
Analyst Dilution (1:X)	1
Calculation Factor	934.5794
Dilution Factor	0.9

512 03

4125 DRO ANALYTICAL RESULTS		<u>RL</u> 47		
m-Terphenyl	92%	60-140%	PASS	

RAW DATA	TA Reviewed &			
	Raw Inst	Calculated Inst		Sample
	Amount mg/mL	Amount ug/L	Target Response	Amount ug/L
M-TERPHENYL	91.93		3974075.991	
DRO LOW (<1.0)	0.0367	0.00	2089275.531	
DRO HIGH (>1.0)	0.0497	46.49	2153864.338	
DRO	0.04	0.00		0

N6.908

Qua	ntitation	Report (QT H	Reviewed)	
Data File : D:\TPH\053008-G\ Acq On : 31 May 2008 9: Sample : 61399-3 Misc : IntFile : AUTOINT1.E Quant Time: Jun 3 11:57 200	(G49558.D 59 )8 Quant F	Results File: DO	Vial: Operator: Inst : Multiplr: 040108A.RES	35 INST G 1.00
Quant Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\M Title : DRO Last Update : Mon Jun 02 11 Response via : Initial Calib DataAcq Meth : TPHEPH1.M	1ETHODS\D04 .:41:57 200 pration	10108A.M (Chemst	ation Inte	∍grator)
Volume Inj. : 1ul Signal Phase : Rtx-5MS Signal Info : 0.25 mm		JV08		
Compound	R.T.	Response	Conc Uni	lts
System Monitoring Compounds 1) S M-TERPHENYL Spiked Amount 100.000 Range	15.66 60 - 140	3974076 Recovery =	91.935 ug = 91.94%	g/ml
Target Compounds 2) HM DRO LOW (<1.0) 3) HM DRO HIGH (>1.0)	13.01 13.01	2089276 2153864	0.037 mg 0.050 mg	j∕ml j∕ml

26.90°C



Analytics Report 61399 page 0207 of 473

Quantitation Report (Qedit) Data File : D:\TPH\053008-G\G49558.D Vial: 35 Acq On : 31 May 2008 9:59 Operator: : INST G : 61399-3 Sample Inst Misc Multiplr: 1.00 : IntFile : AUTOINT1.E Quant Time: Jun 3 11:57 2008 Quant Results File: D040108A.RES : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M (Chemstation Integrator) Method Title : DRO *Baseline : Mon Jun 02 11:41:57 2008 Last Update Response via : Multiple Level Calibration G49558.D\FID1A Response 15.67 8500 8000 1 7500 7000 6500 6000 5500 5000 4500 4000 3500 Martin . 3000 2500 2000 1500 1000 17.00 18.00 19.00 8.00 10.00 11.00 12.00 13.00 14.00 15.00 16.00 Time 9.00 QEdit (2) DRO LOW (<1.0) (HM) 13.01min 0.065mg/ml m 24.90h response 3321302 (+) = Expected Retention Time Tue Jun 03 11:57:10 2008 G49558.D D040108A.M

Analytics Report 61399 page 0208 of 473

Quantitation Report (Qedit) Data File : D:\TPH\053008-G\G49558.D Vial: 35 Acq On : 31 May 2008 9:59 Operator: : INST G Sample : 61399-3 Inst Misc Multiplr: 1.00 Ŧ IntFile : AUTOINT1.E Quant Time: Jun 3 11:57 2008 Quant Results File: D040108A.RES : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M (Chemstation Integrator) Method : DRO Title





Analytics Report 61399 page 0209 of 473

analy			195 Commerce Way Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801 603-436-5111 Fax 603-430-2151 800-929-9906
Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burling 30 Community Drive South Burlington VI	suite 11	June 10 SAMP	), 2008 LE DATA
South Burnington VI	05405	Lab Sample ID:	61399-3 RR
		Matrix:	Aqueous
CLIEN	T SAMPLE ID	Percent Solid:	N/A
Project Name:	MEFUDS LO-58	<b>Dilution Factor:</b>	1
		<b>Collection Date:</b>	05/17/08
Project Number:	03886.184	Lab Receipt Date:	05/22/08
Field Sample ID:	LS58DW2-0508-37	<b>Extraction Date:</b>	05/23/08
-		Analysis Date:	06/02/08

Result	Units	Quantitation Limit
50	μg/L	50
	Surrogate Standard Recovery m-Terphenyl 99 %	<u>y</u>
U=Undetected J=Est	imated E=Exceeds Calibration Range	B=Detected in Blank

METHODOLOGY: Sample analyzed according to "Maine HETL Method 4.1.25, September 6, 1995".

COMMENTS:

DRO Report

Authorized signature Milencial

Sample Name	61399-3 ₁ RK
Data File Name	G49625.D
Date Acquired	6/2/2008 20:09
Misc Info	
Sample Volume (mL)	1070
Final Volume (mL)	1.0
Analyst Dilution (1:X)	1
Calculation Factor	934.5794
Dilution Factor	0.9

54.00

4125 DRO ANALYTICAL RESULTS	ug/L	RL		
DRO	50	47		
m-Terphenyl	99%	60-140%	PASS	

RAW DATA	Reviewed &			
	Raw Inst	Calculated Inst		Sample
	Amount mg/mL	Amount ug/L	Target Response	Amount ug/L
M-TERPHENYL	98.86		4273487.373	
DRO LOW (<1.0)	0.0532	49.68	2793008.634	
DRO HIGH (>1.0)	0.0674	62.98	2918149.965	
DRO	0.05	49.68		50

7690b

Quantitation Report (QT Reviewed) Data File : D:\TPH\060208-G\G49625.D Vial: 12 Acq On : 2 Jun 2008 20:09 Operator: Sample : 61399-3,**R/L** Misc : Inst : INST G Multiplr: 1.00 IntFile : AUTOINTL.E Quant Time: Jun 3 9:11 2008 Quant Results File: D040108A.RES Quant Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M (Chemstation Integrator) Title : DRO Last Update : Mon Jun 02 11:41:57 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : TPHEPH1.M J'2,00 Volume Inj. : lul Signal Phase : Rtx-5MS Signal Info : 0.25 mm Compound R.T. Response Conc Units System Monitoring Compounds 1) SM-TERPHENYL15.67427348798.861 ug/mlSpiked Amount100.000Range60 - 140Recovery=98.86% Target Compounds 2) HM DRO LOW (<1.0) 3) HM DRO HIGH (>1.0) 13.0127930090.053 mg/ml13.0129181500.067 mg/ml

136906



Analytics Report 61399 page 0213 of 473



Analytics Report 61399 page 0214 of 473



Analytics Report 61399 page 0215 of 473



Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11 South Burlington VT 05403		June 3, SAMPI	2008 LE DATA
South Burnington VI	03405	Lab Sample ID:	61399-4
CLIEN	T SAMDI E ID	Matrix:	Aqueous
		Percent Solid:	N/A
Project Name:	MEFUDS LO-58	Dilution Factor: Collection Date:	1.0
Project Number:	03886.184	Lab Receipt Date:	05/17/08
Field Sample ID:	L\$58DW2-0508-94-5	Eab Accept Date.	05/23/08
ricia Sampie ID.	E5565 (12 6566 ) 1.5	Analysis Date:	05/31/08
	Result	Units	Quantitation Limit
	U	μg/L	50
	Sur	rogate Standard Recovery m-Terphenyl 81 %	
U=U	Indetected J=Estimated	E=Exceeds Calibration Range B=Detect	ed in Blank

METHODOLOGY: Sample analyzed according to "Maine HETL Method 4.1.25, September 6, 1995".

COMMENTS:

DRO Report

Authorized signature Milenekell

## 4125 DRO WATER REPORT

Sample Name	61399-4	
Data File Name	G49559.D	
Date Acquired	5/31/2008 10:29	
Misc Info		
Sample Volume (mL)	1080	
Final Volume (mL)	1.0	
Analyst Dilution (1:X)	1	
Calculation Factor	925.9259	
Dilution Factor	0.9	

3.00 mg.00

4125 DRO ANALYTICAL RESULTS	ug/L	RL	
DRO	U	46	
m-Terphenyl	81%	60-140%	PASS

RAW DATA		Reviewed &		
	Raw Inst	Raw Inst Calculated Inst		
	Amount mg/mL	Amount ug/L	Target Response	Amount ug/L
M-TERPHENYL	80.81		3493118.971	
DRO LOW (<1.0)	0.0320	0.00	1884929.89	
DRO HIGH (>1.0)	0.0339	31.36	1466655.149	
DRO	0.03	0.00		0



ς	Quantitation Report	(QT Reviewed)
Data File : D:\TPH\053008- Acq On : 31 May 2008 1 Sample : 61399-4 Misc : IntFile : AUTOINT1.E	G\G49559.D 10:29	Vial: 36 Operator: Inst : INST G Multiplr: 1.00
Quant Time: Jun 3 11:57 2	2008 Quant Results F	ile: D040108A.RES
Quant Method : C:\HPCHEM\1 Title : DRO Last Update : Mon Jun 02 Response via : Initial Cal DataAcq Meth : TPHEPH1.M	\METHODS\D040108A.M 11:41:57 2008 ibration	(Chemstation Integrator)
Volume Inj. : lul Signal Phase : Rtx-5MS Signal Info : 0.25 mm	رين 10.3 -	D ^{yl} u
Compound	R.T. Res	ponse Conc Units
System Monitoring Compounds 1) S M-TERPHENYL Spiked Amount 100.000 Rang	15.66 34 Je 60 - 140 Recov	93119 80.809 ug/ml ery = 80.81%
Target Compounds 2) HM DRO LOW (<1.0) 3) HM DRO HIGH (>1.0)	13.01 18 13.01 14	84930 0.032 mg/ml 66655 0.034 mg/ml

NŨ

269060



Analytics Report 61399 page 0219 of 473



Analytics Report 61399 page 0220 of 473

Quantitation Report (Qedit) Data File : D:\TPH\053008-G\G49559.D Vial: 36 Acq On : 31 May 2008 10:29 Operator: Sample : 61399-4 Inst : INST G Misc Multiplr: 1.00 : : AUTOINT1.E IntFile Quant Time: Jun 3 11:57 2008 Quant Results File: D040108A.RES Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M (Chemstation Integrator) Title : DRO JH .02 Last Update : Mon Jun 02 11:41:57 2008 Response via : Multiple Level Calibration G49559.D\FID1A Response 15.66 6500 6000 5500 5000 4500 4000 3500 3000 and the second s 2500 2000 ÷ 1500 T 1000 500 9.00 10.00 11.00 12.00 14.00 15.00 16.00 17.00 18.00 19.00 Time 8.00 13.00 QEdit (2) DRO LOW (<1.0) (HM) 13.01min 0.032mg/ml m response 1884930 (+) = Expected Retention Time G49559.D D040108A.M Tue Jun 03 11:57:51 2008

Analytics Report 61399 page 0221 of 473



Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11 South Burlington VIT 05403		June 10 SAMP	D, 2008 LE DATA	
South Burnington VI	03405	Lab Sample ID: Matrix:	61399-4 RR Aqueous	
CLIENT SAMPLE ID		Percent Solid:	N/A	
Project Name:	MEFUDS LO-58	Dilution Factor:	1.0	
Ducient Number	02006 104	Collection Date:	05/17/08	
Project Number:	03880.184	Lab Receipt Date:	05/22/08	
Field Sample ID:	LS58DW2-0508-94	.5 Extraction Date:	05/23/08	
		Analysis Date:	06/02/08	
	Result	Units	Quantitation Limit	
U		μg/L	50	
Surrogate Standard Recovery         m-Terphenyl       88 %				
U=U	ndetected J=Estim	ated E=Exceeds Calibration Range B=Detec	ted in Blank	

METHODOLOGY: Sample analyzed according to "Maine HETL Method 4.1.25, September 6, 1995".

COMMENTS:

DRO Report

Authorized signature Mulindull

Sample Name	61399-4 , <b>R</b> K
Data File Name Date Acquired Misc Info	G49626.D 6/2/2008 20:39
Sample Volume (mL)	1080
Final Volume (mL)	1.0
Analyst Dilution (1:X)	1
Calculation Factor	925.9259
Dilution Factor	0.9



4125 DRO ANALYTICAL RESULTS	ug/L	RL		
DRO	U	46		
m-Terphenyl	88%	60-140%	PASS	

RAW DATA		Reviewed &		
	Raw Inst	Raw Inst Calculated Inst		
	Amount mg/mL	Amount ug/L	Target Response	Amount ug/L
M-TERPHENYL	88.45		3823520.665	
DRO LOW (<1.0)	0.0492	0.00	2624398.437	
DRO HIGH (>1.0)	0.0689	63.83	2985046.832	
DRO	0.05	0.00		0



v
Q	uantitation Rep	port (QT Reviewed	.)					
Data File : D:\TPH\060208- Acq On : 2 Jun 2008 2 Sample : 61399-4 <b>, KK</b> Misc :	G\G49626.D 0:39	Via Operato Inst Multipl	l: 13 r: : INST G r: 1.00					
IntFile : AUTOINTI.E Quant Time: Jun 3 7:55 2	008 Quant Resu	ults File: D040108A.	RES					
Quant Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M (Chemstation Integrator) Title : DRO Last Update : Mon Jun 02 11:41:57 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : TPHEPH1.M								
Volume Inj. : lul Signal Phase : Rtx-5MS Signal Info : 0.25 mm		6.3.0°						
Compound	R.T.	Response Conc	Units					
System Monitoring Compounds 1) S M-TERPHENYL Spiked Amount 100.000 Rang	15.67 e 60 - 140	3823521 88.452 Recovery = 88.4	ug/ml 5%					
Target Compounds 2) HM DRO LOW (<1.0) 3) HM DRO HIGH (>1.0)	13.01 13.01	2624398 0.049 2985047 0.069	mg/ml mg/ml					

ND

26-200

(f)=RT Delta > 1/2 Window G49626.D D040108A.M (m) = manual int. Tue Jun 03 07:56:02 2008 Analytics Report 61399 page 0224 of 473 Page 1



Analytics Report 61399 page 0225 of 473

Quantitation Report (Qedit) Data File : D:\TPH\060208-G\G49626.D Vial: 13 2 Jun 2008 Acq On : 20:39 Operator: Sample : 61399-4, RR Inst : INST G Misc Multiplr: 1.00 : : AUTOINT1.E IntFile Quant Time: Jun 3 Quant Results File: D040108A.RES 7:55 2008 Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M (Chemstation Integrator) Title : DRO * Baseline ა∿ Last Update : Mon Jun 02 11:41:57 2008 Response via : Multiple Level Calibration G49626.D\FID1A Response 15.67 30000 28000 26000 24000 22000 20000 18000 16000 14000 12000 1 I 10000 8000 6000 I 4000 2000 4 0 -2000 -4000 7.00 8.00 9.00 10.00 11.00 12.00 13.00 14.00 15.00 16.00 17.00 18.00 19.00 Time QEdit 174-900 (2) DRO LOW (<1.0) (HM) 13.01min 0.322mg/ml m response 14296225 (+) = Expected Retention Time G49626.D D040108A.M Tue Jun 03 07:55:25 2008

Analytics Report 61399 page 0226 of 473

Quantitation Report (Qedit) Data File : D:\TPH\060208-G\G49626.D Vial: 13 2 Jun 2008 20:39 Acq On : Operator: : INST G Sample : 61399-4, RC Inst Misc Multiplr: 1.00 : IntFile : AUTOINT1.E Quant Results File: D040108A.RES Quant Time: Jun 3 7:55 2008 Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M (Chemstation Integrator) Title : DRO 11C 08 Last Update : Mon Jun 02 11:41:57 2008 Response via : Multiple Level Calibration G49626.D\FID1A Response 15,67 30000 28000 26000 24000 22000 20000 18000 16000 14000 12000 ļ 1 10000 8000 6000 1 4000 2000 0 -2000 -4000 7.00 8.00 9.00 10.00 11.00 12.00 13.00 14.00 15.00 16.00 17.00 18.00 19.00 Time QEdit (2) DRO LOW (<1.0) (HM) B6-906 13.01min 0.049mg/ml m response 2624398 (+) = Expected Retention Time G49626.D D040108A.M Tue Jun 03 07:55:50 2008

 	_	_		HIII	Ē	ĮII		_	1	Λ		environmental
			4		7	1	<b>X</b>	~	J		V	laboratory LLC

Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burling 30 Community Drive	gton Suite 11	June 3, 2008 SAMPLE DATA			
CLIEN Project Name:	T SAMPLE ID MEFUDS LO-58	Lab Sample ID: Matrix: Percent Solid: Dilution Factor:	61399-5 Aqueous N/A 1.0		
Project Number: Field Sample ID:	03886.184 LS58DW2-0508-189	Collection Date: Lab Receipt Date: Extraction Date: Analysis Date:	05/17/08 05/22/08 05/23/08 05/31/08		
	ANALYTICAL RE	SULTS DIESEL RANGE ORGAN	NICS		
	Result	Units	Quantitation Limit		
	U	μg/L	50		
	Sur	m-Terphenyl 85 %			
U=U	ndetected J=Estimated	E=Exceeds Calibration Range B=Detect	ed in Blank		

METHODOLOGY: Sample analyzed according to "Maine HETL Method 4.1.25, September 6, 1995".

**COMMENTS:** 

DRO Report

Authorized signature Mulmhull

### 4125 DRO WATER REPORT

Sample Name	61399-5		
Data File Name	G49560.D		
Misc Info	5/31/2006 10.56		
Sample Volume (mL)	1080		
Final Volume (mL)	1.0		
Analyst Dilution (1:X)	1		
Calculation Factor	925.9259		
Dilution Factor	0.9		6.3.08
4125 DRO ANALYTICAL RESULTS	ug/L	RL	
DRO	U	46	
m-Terphenyl	85%	60-140%	PASS

RAW DATA	Raw Inst	Reviewed & Calculated Inst		Sample
	Amount mg/mL	Amount ug/L	Target Response	Amount ug/L
M-TERPHENYL	85.00		3674104.399	
DRO LOW (<1.0)	0.0301	0.00	1803736.183	
DRO HIGH (>1.0)	0.0439	40.66	1901291.445	
DRO	0.03	0.00		0

76.906

Qua	antitation Re	port (QT Re	eviewed)					
Data File : D:\TPH\053008-G\ Acq On : 31 May 2008 10: Sample : 61399-5 Misc : IntFile : AUTOINT1 E	\G49560.D 58	C - - P	Vial: 37 Dperator: Inst : INST G Multiplr: 1.00					
Quant Time: Jun 3 11:58 200	8 Quant Res	ults File: D04	10108A.RES					
Quant Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M (Chemstation Integrator) Title : DRO Last Update : Mon Jun 02 11:41:57 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : TPHEPH1.M								
Volume Inj. : 1ul Signal Phase : Rtx-5MS Signal Info : 0.25 mm		16.3.08						
Compound	R.T.	Response	Conc Units					
System Monitoring Compounds 1) S M-TERPHENYL Spiked Amount 100.000 Range	15.66 60 - 140	3674104 Recovery =	84.995 ug/ml 85.00%					
Target Compounds 2) HM DRO LOW (<1.0) 3) HM DRO HIGH (>1.0)	13.01 13.01	1803736 1901291	0.030 mg/ml 0.044 mg/ml					

ND

J6906

_ _ _ _ (f)=RT Delta > 1/2 Window G49560.D D040108A.M (m)=manual int. Tue Jun 03 11:58:46 2008 Analytics Report 61399 page 0230 of 473 Page 1



Analytics Report 61399 page 0231 of 473



Analytics Report 61399 page 0232 of 473

Quantitation Report (Qedit) Data File : D:\TPH\053008-G\G49560.D Vial: 37 Acq On : 31 May 2008 10:58 Operator: Sample : 61399-5 Inst : INST G Misc Multiplr: 1.00 : : AUTOINT1.E IntFile Quant Time: Jun 3 11:58 2008 Quant Results File: D040108A.RES Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M (Chemstation Integrator) Title : DRO سمع .3.08 Last Update : Mon Jun 02 11:41:57 2008 Response via : Multiple Level Calibration



Analytics Report 61399 page 0233 of 473

analy			195 Commerce Way Portsmouth, New Hompshire 03801 603-436-5111 Fax 603-430-2151 800-929-9906		
Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11 South Burlington VT 05403		June 3, SAMP	2008 LE DATA		
CLIEN	T SAMPLE ID	Lab Sample ID: Matrix: Percent Solid:	61399-5 RR Aqueous N/A		
Project Name: Project Number: Field Sample ID:	MEFUDS LO-58 03886.184 LS58DW2-0508-189	Dilution Factor: Collection Date: Lab Receipt Date: Extraction Date:	1.0 05/17/08 05/22/08 05/23/08		
	ANALYTICAL RESU	LTS DIESEL RANGE ORGAN Units	NICS Ouantitation Limit		
	U	μg/L	50		
	Surroga	ate Standard Recovery			
U=U	m-1 ndetected J=Estimated E=1	Exceeds Calibration Range B=Detect	ed in Blank		

METHODOLOGY: Sample analyzed according to "Maine HETL Method 4.1.25, September 6, 1995".

COMMENTS:

DRO Report

Authorized signature Mplenchel

Sample Name	61399-5, <b>KR</b>
Data File Name Date Acquired	G49627.D 6/2/2008 21:08
Misc Info Sample Volume (mL) Final Volume (mL) Analyst Dilution (1:X)	1080 1.0 1
Calculation Factor	925.9259
Dilution Factor	0.9

40.3.00

4125 DRO ANALYTICAL RESULTS	ug/L	RL		
DRO	U	46		
m-Terphenyl	89%	60-140%	PASS	

RAW DATA		Reviewed &		
	Raw Inst	Calculated Inst		Sample
	Amount mg/mL	Amount ug/L	Target Response	Amount ug/L
M-TERPHENYL	89.34		3861728.171	
DRO LOW (<1.0)	0.0470	0.00	2528544.167	
DRO HIGH (>1.0)	0.0620	57.37	2682983.586	
DRO	0.05	0.00		0

136.900

Qī	uantitation Rep	port (QT Re	eviewed)
Data File : D:\TPH\060208-0 Acq On : 2 Jun 2008 2 Sample : 61399-5 <b>A</b> Misc : IntFile : AUTOINT1.E Quant Time: Jun 3 8:01 20	G\G49627.D 1:08 008 Quant Resu	( ] N ults File: D04	Vial: 14 Operator: Inst : INST G Multiplr: 1.00
Quant Method : C:\HPCHEM\1 Title : DRO Last Update : Mon Jun 02 Response via : Initial Cal: DataAcq Meth : TPHEPH1.M	\METHODS\D04010 11:41:57 2008 ibration	08A.M (Chemsta	ation Integrator)
Volume Inj. : 1ul Signal Phase : Rtx-5MS Signal Info : 0.25 mm		sne 3.000	
Compound	R.T.	Response	Conc Units
System Monitoring Compounds 1) S M-TERPHENYL Spiked Amount 100.000 Range	15.67 e 60 - 140	3861728 Recovery =	89.336 ug/ml 89.34%
Target Compounds 2) HM DRO LOW (<1.0) 3) HM DRO HIGH (>1.0)	13.01 13.01	2528544 2682984	0.047 mg/ml 0.062 mg/ml

NC

136-900



Analytics Report 61399 page 0237 of 473

Quantitation Report (Qedit)

Data File	:	$D: \backslash T$	PH \ (	060208	8-G\G4:	9627.D			Vial:	14	
Acq On	:	2 J	un 2	2008	21:08				Operator:		
Sample	:	6139	9-5						Inst :	INST	G
Misc	:								Multiplr:	1.00	
IntFile	:	AUTO	INT	l.E							
Quant Time	€:	Jun	3	8:00	2008	Quant	Results	File:	D040108A.RE	5	

Method	:	C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M	(Chemstation	Integrator)
Title Last Undata	:	DRO	JIC .08	
Response via	:	Multiple Level Calibration	4 Baselive	



Analytics Report 61399 page 0238 of 473

Quantitation Report (Qedit) Data File : D:\TPH\060208-G\G49627.D Vial: 14 Acq On : 2 Jun 2008 21:08 Operator: Sample : 61399-5 Inst : INST G Misc Multiplr: 1.00 : : AUTOINT1.E IntFile Quant Time: Jun 3 8:00 2008 Quant Results File: D040108A.RES Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M (Chemstation Integrator) Title : DRO 110-09 Last Update : Mon Jun 02 11:41:57 2008 Response via : Multiple Level Calibration G49627.D\FID1A Response_ 15.67 11000 10000

9000 8000 l 7000 6000 5000 4000 3000 2000 1 1000 8.00 10.00 11.00 12.00 13.00 14.00 15.00 16.00 17.00 19.00 7.00 9.00 18.00 Time QEdit (2) DRO LOW (<1.0) (HM) 136980 13.01min 0.047mg/ml m

(+) = Expected Retention Time G49627.D D040108A.M Tue Jun 03 08:01:14 2008

response 2528544

Analytics Report 61399 page 0239 of 473

analy		al	195 Commerce Way Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801 603-436-5111 Fax 603-430-2151 800-929-9906
Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11 South Burlington VT 05403		June 3, SAMPI	2008 LE DATA
CLIEN	T SAMPLE ID	Lab Sample ID: Matrix: Percent Solid:	61399-6 Aqueous N/A
Project Name:	MEFUDS LO-58	Dilution Factor:	1.0
Project Number:	03886.184	Collection Date:	05/17/08 05/22/08
Field Sample ID:	LS58DW2-0508-265	Extraction Date:	05/22/08 05/23/08 05/31/08
	Result	Units	Quantitation Limit
	58	μg/L	50
	Surrog	ate Standard Recovery	
	m-1	Terphenyl 94 %	
U=U	Indetected J=Estimated E=	Exceeds Calibration Range B=Detect	ed in Blank

METHODOLOGY: Sample analyzed according to "Maine HETL Method 4.1.25, September 6, 1995".

COMMENTS:

DRO Report

Authorized signature Mulenchell

#### 4125 DRO WATER REPORT

Sample Name	61399-6
Data File Name	G49561.D
Date Acquired	5/31/2008 11:27
Misc Info	
Sample Volume (mL)	1085
Final Volume (mL)	1.0
Analyst Dilution (1:X)	1
Calculation Factor	921.6590
Dilution Factor	0.9

30.3.0B

4125 DRO ANALYTICAL RESULTS	ug/L	RL	
DRO	58	46	
m-Terphenyl	94%	60-140%	PASS

RAW DATA		Reviewed &		
	Raw Inst	Calculated Inst		Sample
	_Amount mg/mL	Amount ug/L	Target Response	Amount ug/L
M-TERPHENYL	94.31		4076733.698	
DRO LOW (<1.0)	0.0626	57.68	3196644.616	
DRO HIGH (>1.0)	0.0752	69.34	3257864.322	
DRO	0.06	57.68		58



Qua	ntitation Re	port (QT R	eviewed)
Data File : D:\TPH\053008-G\ Acq On : 31 May 2008 11: Sample : 61399-6 Misc : IntFile : AUTOINT1.E Quant Time: Jun 3 11:59 200	G49561.D 27 8 Quant Res	ults File, DO	Vial: 38 Operator: Inst : INST G Multiplr: 1.00 40108A RES
Quant Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\M Title : DRO Last Update : Mon Jun 02 11 Response via : Initial Calib DataAcq Meth : TPHEPH1.M	ETHODS\D0401 :41:57 2008 ration	08A.M (Chemst	ation Integrator)
Volume Inj. : 1ul Signal Phase : Rtx-5MS Signal Info : 0.25 mm		J.C. 3.08	
Compound	R.T.	Response	Conc Units
System Monitoring Compounds 1) S M-TERPHENYL Spiked Amount 100.000 Range	15.66 60 - 140	4076734 Recovery =	94.310 ug/ml 94.31%
Target Compounds 2) HM DRO LOW (<1.0) 3) HM DRO HIGH (>1.0)	13.01 13.01	3196645 3257864	0.063 mg/ml 0.075 mg/ml

3690B



Analytics Report 61399 page 0243 of 473



Analytics Report 61399 page 0244 of 473

Quantitation Report (Qedit) Data File : D:\TPH\053008-G\G49561.D Vial: 38 Acq On : 31 May 2008 11:27 Operator: Sample : 61399-6 : INST G Inst Misc Multiplr: 1.00 : IntFile : AUTOINT1.E Ouant Time: Jun 3 11:58 2008 Quant Results File: D040108A.RES Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M (Chemstation Integrator) Title : DRO 1.3.08 : Mon Jun 02 11:41:57 2008 Last Update Response via : Multiple Level Calibration Response 8000 G49561.D\FID1A 15,67 7500 7000 6500 6000 5500 5000 4500 4000 3500 3000 2500 2000 I 1500 1000 500 0 8.00 9.00 10.00 12.00 15.00 Time 11.00 13,00 14.00 16.00 17.00 18.00 19.00 QEdit 136.200 (2) DRO LOW (<1.0) (HM) 13.01min 0.063mg/ml m response 3196645 (+) = Expected Retention Time D040108A.M Tue Jun 03 11:59:08 2008 G49561.D

Analytics Report 61399 page 0245 of 473

 =		_		E	Ē			Ē	/	\	environmental
	2		Æ	2	7	ł	<b>S</b>	Y		V	laboratory LLC

Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11 South Burlington VT 05403		June 3, 2008 SAMPLE DATA			
CLIEN	T 05405	Lab Sample ID: Matrix: Percent Solid:	61399-6 RR Aqueous N/A		
Project Name:	MEFUDS LO-58	Dilution Factor:	1.0		
Project Number:	03886.184	Collection Date: Lab Receipt Date:	05/17/08 05/22/08		
Field Sample ID:	LS58DW2-0508-265	Extraction Date:	05/23/08		
		Analysis Date:	06/02/08		
	Result	Units	Quantitation Limit		
	80	μg/L	50		
	Surrog	gate Standard Recovery			
	m-	Terphenyl 97 %			
U=U	Indetected J=Estimated E=	Exceeds Calibration Range B=Detect	ed in Blank		

METHODOLOGY: Sample analyzed according to "Maine HETL Method 4.1.25, September 6, 1995".

COMMENTS:

DRO Report

Authorized signature Mulumball

Sample Name	61399-6 RR
Data File Name Date Acquired Misc Info	G49628.D 6/2/2008 21:37
Sample Volume (mL)	1085
Final Volume (mL)	1.0
Analyst Dilution (1:X)	1
Calculation Factor	921.6590
Dilution Factor	0.9

5.000 B.B.O

4125 DRO ANALYTICAL RESULTS	ug/L	<u>RL</u>		
DRO	80	46	/	
m-Terphenyl	97%	60-140%	PASS	

RAW DATA		Reviewed &		
	Raw Inst	Calculated Inst		Sample
	Amount mg/mL	Amount ug/L	Target Response	Amount ug/L
M-TERPHENYL	97.48		4213850.818	
DRO LOW (<1.0)	0.0873	80.43	4253855.101	
DRO HIGH (>1.0)	0.0998	91.94	4319602.678	
DRO	0.09	80.43		80

156900

.

Quantitation Report (QT Reviewed) Data File : D:\TPH\060208-G\G49628.D Vial: 15 Acq On : 2 Jun 2008 21:37 Operator: Sample : 61399-6 RN Misc : Inst : INST G Multiplr: 1.00 IntFile : AUTOINT1.E Quant Time: Jun 3 8:02 2008 Quant Results File: D040108A.RES Quant Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M (Chemstation Integrator) Title : DRO Last Update : Mon Jun 02 11:41:57 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : TPHEPH1.M Volume Inj. : 1ul JK 00 Signal Phase : Rtx-5MS Signal Info : 0.25 mm R.T. Response Conc Units Compound System Monitoring Compounds 1) S M-TERPHENYL 15.66 4213851 97.482 ug/ml Spiked Amount 100.000 Range 60 - 140 Recovery = 97.48% Target Compounds 2) HM DRO LOW (<1.0) 13.0142538550.087 mg/ml13.0143196030.100 mg/ml 2) HM DRO LOW (<1.0) 3) HM DRO HIGH (>1.0)

56.900



Analytics Report 61399 page 0249 of 473



Analytics Report 61399 page 0250 of 473



Analytics Report 61399 page 0251 of 473

analy	E A A environmen IIVV laboratory	ntal LLC	195 Commerce Way Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801 603-436-5111 Fax 603-430-2151 800-929-9905			
Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burling 30 Community Drive South Burlington V7	i gton e Suite 11 0.5403	June 3, SAMP	2008 LE DATA			
CLIEN	T SAMPLE ID	Lab Sample ID: Matrix: Percent Solid:	61399-7 Aqueous			
Project Name: Project Number: Field Sample ID:	MEFUDS LO-58 03886.184 RB-051808-01	Dilution Factor: Collection Date: Lab Receipt Date: Extraction Date: Analysis Date:	1.0 05/18/08 05/22/08 05/23/08			
	ANALYTICAL RES	ULTS DIESEL RANGE ORGAN Units	NICS Quantitation Limit			
	92	μg/L	50			
Surrogate Standard Recovery m-Terphenyl 89 %						
U=U	ndetected J=Estimated E=	=Exceeds Calibration Range B=Detect	ed in Blank			

METHODOLOGY: Sample analyzed according to "Maine HETL Method 4.1.25, September 6, 1995".

COMMENTS:

DRO Report

Authorized signature Mulenchall

#### 4125 DRO WATER REPORT

Sample Name	61399-7
Data File Name	G49562.D
Date Acquired	5/31/2008 11:56
Misc Info	
Sample Volume (mL)	1070
Final Volume (mL)	1.0
Analyst Dilution (1:X)	1
Calculation Factor	934.5794
Dilution Factor	0.9

JIC .3.08

4125 DRO ANALYTICAL RESULTS	ug/L 92	<u>RL</u> 47	
m-Terphenyl	89%	60-140%	PASS -

# RAW DATA

RAW DATA		Reviewed &		
	Raw Inst	Calculated Inst		Sample
	Amount mg/mL	Amount ug/L	Target Response	Amount ug/L
M-TERPHENYL	88.58		3828899.613	<u> </u>
DRO LOW (<1.0)	0.0988	92.34	4747967.413	
DRO HIGH (>1.0)	0.1087	101.57	4705904.892	
DRO	0.10	92.34		92



Page 1 of 1

### C:\HPCHEM\Custrpt\4125WATERSAMPLE.CRT

6/3/08 12:15 PM

Analytics Report 61399 page 0253 of 473

Quantitation Report (QT Reviewed) Data File : D:\TPH\053008-G\G49562.D Vial: 39 Acq On : 31 May 2008 11:56 Sample : 61399-7 Misc : IntFile : AUTOINT1.E Operator: Inst : INST G Multiplr: 1.00 Quant Time: Jun 3 12:00 2008 Quant Results File: D040108A.RES Quant Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M (Chemstation Integrator) Title : DRO Last Update : Mon Jun 02 11:41:57 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : TPHEPH1.M Volume Inj. : 1ul J12,08 Signal Phase : Rtx-5MS Signal Info : 0.25 mm R.T. Response Conc Units Compound System Monitoring Compounds 1) S M-TERPHENYL 15.67 3828900 88.576 ug/mlm Spiked Amount 100.000 Range 60 - 140 Recovery = 88.58% Target Compounds 2) HM DRO LOW (<1.0) 3) HM DRO HIGH (>1.0) 13.0147479670.099 mg/ml13.0147059050.109 mg/ml

10 490h

(f)=RT Delta > 1/2 Window (m)=manual int. G49562.D D040108A.M Tue Jun 03 12:00:24 2008 Page 1

# Analytics Report 61399 page 0254 of 473



Analytics Report 61399 page 0255 of 473



Analytics Report 61399 page 0256 of 473

Data File	:	$D: \setminus TE$	D:\TPH\053008-G\G49562.D							39	
Acq On	:	31 Ma	iy	2008	11:56				Operator:		
Sample	:	61399	) – [	7					Inst :	INST	G
Misc	:								Multiplr:	1.00	
IntFile	:	AUTOI	INT	C1.E					2		
Quant Time	:	Jun	3	11:59	2008	Quant	Results	File:	D040108A.RES	5	

Method	:	C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M	(Chemstation	Integrator)
Title	:	DRO	110	-
Last Update	:	Mon Jun 02 11:41:57 2008	.2.03	
Response via	:	Multiple Level Calibration	(g · )	



Analytics Report 61399 page 0257 of 473

Quantitation Report (Qedit)

Data File	:	D:\TH	PH/	053008	3-G\G49	9562.D			Vial:	39	
Acq On	:	31 Ma	ĩy	2008	11:56				Operator:		
Sample	:	61399	9-7	7					Inst :	INST	G
Misc	:								Multiplr:	1.00	
IntFile	:	AUTOI	[N]	71.E					L		
Quant Time	::	Jun	3	11:59	2008	Quant	Results	File:	D040108A.RES	5	

Method	:	C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M	(Chemstation	Integrator)
Title	:	DRO	110	-
Last Update	:	Mon Jun 02 11:41:57 2008	6.2.08	
Response via	:	Multiple Level Calibration	+ puseline	



Tue Jun 03 12:00:00 2008

Analytics Report 61399 page 0258 of 473

Data Fil	e :	$D: \setminus TI$	D:\TPH\053008-G\G49562.D							39	
Acq On	:	31 Ma	31 May 2008 11:56								
Sample	:	61399	9-7	,					Inst :	INST	G
Misc	:								Multiplr:	1.00	
IntFile	:	AUTO	INT	1.E					4		
Quant Ti	me:	Jun	3	11:59	2008	Quant	Results	File:	D040108A.RES	3	

Method	:	C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M	(Chemstation	Integrator)
Title	:	DRO	17C .	5
Last Update	:	Mon Jun 02 11:41:57 2008	6.3.05	
Response via	:	Multiple Level Calibration		



Analytics Report 61399 page 0259 of 473


Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11 South Burlington VT 05403

#### CLIENT SAMPLE ID

 Project Name:
 MEFUDS LO-58

 Project Number:
 03886.184

 Field Sample ID:
 RB-051808-01

June 3, 2008 SAMPLE DATA

Lab Sample ID:	61399-7 RR
Matrix:	Aqueous
Percent Solid:	N/A
<b>Dilution Factor:</b>	1.0
<b>Collection Date:</b>	05/18/08
Lab Receipt Date:	05/22/08
Extraction Date:	05/23/08
Analysis Date:	06/02/08

ANALYTICAL RESULTS DIESEL RANGE ORGANICS									
Result	Units	Quantitation Limit							
124	μg/L	50							
	Surrogate Standard Recover	y							
	m-Terphenyl 102 %								
U=Undetected J=Esti	mated E=Exceeds Calibration Range	B=Detected in Blank							

METHODOLOGY: Sample analyzed according to "Maine HETL Method 4.1.25, September 6, 1995".

COMMENTS:

DRO Report

Authorized signature Mulenbell

## 4125 DRO WATER REPORT

Sample Name	61399-71RD			
Data File Name	G49629.D			
Date Acquired	6/2/2008 22:06			
Misc Info				
Sample Volume (mL)	1070			
Final Volume (mL)	1.0			
Analyst Dilution (1:X)	1			
Calculation Factor	934.5794			
Dilution Factor	0.9			

JIC .08

4125 DRO ANALYTICAL RESULTS	ug/L	RL	
DRO	124	47	
m-Terphenyl	102%	60-140%	PASS

## RAW DATA

RAW DATA		Reviewed &		
	Raw Inst	Calculated Inst		Sample
	Amount mg/mL	Amount ug/L	Target Response	Amount ug/L
M-TERPHENYL	101.50		4387580.982	
DRO LOW (<1.0)	0.1328	124.16	6206257.042	
DRO HIGH (>1.0)	0.1436	134.16	6215776.989	
DRO	0.13	124.16		124

Page 1 of 1

#### C:\HPCHEM\Custrpt\4125WATERSAMPLE.CRT

6/3/08 9:14 AM

Analytics Report 61399 page 0261 of 473

Quantitation Report (QT Reviewed) Data File : D:\TPH\060208-G\G49629.D Vial: 16 Acq On : 2 Jun 2008 22:06 Sample : 61399-7 **R**1 Misc : Operator: Inst : INST G Misc : IntFile : AUTOINT1.E Multiplr: 1.00 Quant Time: Jun 3 8:03 2008 Quant Results File: D040108A.RES Quant Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M (Chemstation Integrator) Title : DRO Last Update : Mon Jun 02 11:41:57 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : TPHEPH1.M Volume Inj. : 1ul 6.3.0D J¹⁰ Signal Phase : Rtx-5MS Signal Info : 0.25 mm Compound R.T. Response Conc Units L _____ System Monitoring Compounds 1) SM-TERPHENYL15.664387581101.501ug/mlSpiked Amount100.000Range60 - 140Recovery=101.50% Target Compounds 2) HM DRO LOW (<1.0) 13.0162062570.133 mg/ml13.0162157770.144 mg/ml 3) HM DRO HIGH (>1.0)

J. 900

(f)=RT Delta > 1/2 Window (m)=manual int. G49629.D D040108A.M Tue Jun 03 08:03:19 2008 Page 1

## Analytics Report 61399 page 0262 of 473



Analytics Report 61399 page 0263 of 473



Data File	:	D:\TPH\060208-G\G49629.D	Vial:	16
Acq On	:	2 Jun 2008 22:06	Operator:	
Sample	:	61399-7	Inst :	INST G
Misc	:		Multiplr:	1.00
IntFile	:	AUTOINT1.E	-	
Quant Time	:	Jun 3 8:02 2008 Quant Results File:	D040108A.RES	5
Method		: C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M (Cher	nstation Inte	egrator)



(2) DRO LOW (<1.0) (HM)</li>13.01min 0.151mg/ml m

response 6997196

(+) = Expected Retention Time G49629.D D040108A.M Tue Jun 03 08:02:49 2008

Analytics Report 61399 page 0264 of 473

154gor

## Quantitation Report (Qedit)

Data File : D:\TPH\060208-G\G49629.D Vial: 16 Acq On : 2 Jun 2008 22:06 Operator: Sample : 61399-7 Inst : INST G Misc Multiplr: 1.00 : IntFile : AUTOINT1.E Quant Time: Jun 3 8:02 2008 Quant Results File: D040108A.RES

Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M (Chemstation Integrator) Title : DRO Last Update : Mon Jun 02 11:41:57 2008 Response via : Multiple Level Calibration



Analytics Report 61399 page 0265 of 473

Mr. Ron Pentkowsk Test America Burlin 30 Community Driv.	i gton e Suite 11	June 3, SAMP	Portsmouth, New Hompshire 03801 603-436-5111 Fax 603-430-2151 800-929-9906 2008 LE DATA	
CLIENT SAMPLE ID         CLIENT SAMPLE ID         Project Name:       MEFUDS LO-58         Project Number:       03886.184         Field Sample ID:       LS58DW1-0508-056		Lab Sample ID: Matrix: Percent Solid: Dilution Factor: Collection Date: Lab Receipt Date: Extraction Date: Analysis Date:	61399-8 Aqueous N/A 1.0 05/18/08 05/22/08 05/23/08 05/31/08	
	ANALYTICAL RESU	LTS DIESEL RANGE ORGAN	NICS	
	Result	Units	Quantitation Limit	
	Result 300	Units µg/L	Quantitation Limit	

METHODOLOGY: Sample analyzed according to "Maine HETL Method 4.1.25, September 6, 1995".

COMMENTS:

DRO Report

Authorized signature Mulenefull

# 4125 DRO WATER REPORT

Sample Name	61399-8	
Data File Name	G49563.D	
Date Acquired	5/31/2008 12:40	
Misc Info		
Sample Volume (mL)	1070	
Final Volume (mL)	1.0	
Analyst Dilution (1:X)	1	
Calculation Factor	934.5794	
Dilution Factor	0.9	JU 6.3.08

4125 DRO ANALYTICAL RESULTS	ug/L	RL	
DRO	300	47	,
m-Terphenyl	97%	60-140%	PASS

RAW DATA				
	Raw Inst	Calculated Inst		Sample
	Amount mg/mL	Amount ug/L	Target Response	Amount ug/L
M-TERPHENYL	96.80		4184492.549	
DRO LOW (<1.0)	0.3209	299.95	14263364.3	
DRO HIGH (>1.0)	0.3347	312.76	14490667.98	
DRO	0.32	299.95		300



Page 1 of 1

#### C:\HPCHEM\Custrpt\4125WATERSAMPLE.CRT

6/3/08 12:15 PM

Analytics Report 61399 page 0267 of 473

Quantitation Report (QT Reviewed) Data File : D:\TPH\053008-G\G49563.D Vial: 40 Acq On : 31 May 2008 12:40 Sample : 61399-8 Operator: Inst : INST G Misc Misc : IntFile : AUTOINT1.E Multiplr: 1.00 Quant Time: Jun 3 12:00 2008 Quant Results File: D040108A.RES Quant Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M (Chemstation Integrator) Title : DRO Last Update : Mon Jun 02 11:41:57 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : TPHEPH1.M Volume Inj. : lul Signal Phase : Rtx-5MS JU 3.00 Signal Info : 0.25 mm R.T. Response Conc Units Compound System Monitoring Compounds 1) SM-TERPHENYL15.67418449396.803 ug/mlSpiked Amount100.000Range60 - 140Recovery=96.80% Target Compounds 2) HM DRO LOW (<1.0) 3) HM DRO HIGH (>1.0) 13.01142633640.321 mg/ml13.01144906680.335 mg/ml

56.200

(f)=RT Delta > 1/2 Window (m)=manual int. G49563.D D040108A.M Tue Jun 03 12:00:58 2008 Page 1



Analytics Report 61399 page 0269 of 473



G49563.D D040108A.M Tue Jun 03 12:00:35 2008

Analytics Report 61399 page 0270 of 473



Analytics Report 61399 page 0271 of 473

방법 이 이 방법 방법을 받는다.

	=	i <i>2</i>	-	E	Ē		Ē		Ē	٨		environmental
S			А	)	1	*	۲	ک	Ű		V	laboratory LLC

195 Commerce Way Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801 603-436-5111 Fax 603-430-2151 800-929-9906

Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11 South Burlington VT 05403 CLIENT SAMPLE ID		June 3, 2008 SAMPLE DATA		
		Lab Sample ID: Matrix: Percent Solid:	61399-8 RR Aqueous N/A	
Project Name:	MEFUDS LO-58	Dilution Factor:	1.0	
	02007 104	<b>Collection Date:</b>	05/18/08	
Project Number:	03886.184	Lab Receipt Date:	05/22/08	
Field Sample ID:	LS58DW1-0508-056	Extraction Date:	05/23/08	
		Analysis Date:	06/02/08	

ANALYTICAL RESULTS DIESEL RANGE ORGANICS						
Result	Units	Quantitation Limit				
350	μg/L	50				
	Surrogate Standard Recovery	7				
	m-Terphenyl 100 %					
U=Undetected J=Estir	nated E=Exceeds Calibration Range	B=Detected in Blank				

METHODOLOGY: Sample analyzed according to "Maine HETL Method 4.1.25, September 6, 1995".

COMMENTS:

DRO Report

Authorized signature Mplenchall

## 4125 DRO WATER REPORT

Sample Name	61399-8, CC	
Data File Name	G49630.D	
Date Acquired	6/2/2008 22:36	
Misc Info		
Sample Volume (mL)	1070	
Final Volume (mL)	1.0	
Analyst Dilution (1:X)	1	
Calculation Factor	934.5794	116
Dilution Factor	0.9	6.3.00

4125 DRO ANALYTICAL RESULTS	ug/L	RL	
DRO	350	47	
m-Terphenyl	100%	60-140%	PASS

### RAW DATA

RAW DATA	Raw Inst	Reviewed & Calculated Inst		Sample
	Amount mg/mL	Amount ug/L	Target Response	Amount ug/L
M-TERPHENYL	99.58		4304577.384	
DRO LOW (<1.0)	0.3745	350.00	16557364.99	
DRO HIGH (>1.0)	0.3797	354.89	16442370.63	
DRO	0.37	350.00		350

156.900

Page 1 of 1

## C:\HPCHEM\Custrpt\4125WATERSAMPLE.CRT

6/3/08 9:15 AM

Analytics Report 61399 page 0273 of 473

Quantitation Report (QT Reviewed) Data File : D:\TPH\060208-G\G49630.D Vial: 17 Acq On : 2 Jun 2008 22:36 Sample : 61399-8 Operator: Sample : 61399-8 ev Misc : IntFile : AUTOINT1.E Inst : INST G Multiplr: 1.00 Quant Time: Jun 3 8:04 2008 Quant Results File: D040108A.RES Quant Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M (Chemstation Integrator) Title : DRO Last Update : Mon Jun 02 11:41:57 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : TPHEPH1.M Jrc Volume Inj. : lul Signal Phase : Rtx-5MS 6.3.08 Signal Info : 0.25 mm R.T. Response Conc Units Compound System Monitoring Compounds 1) S M-TERPHENYL 
 1) S M-TERPHENYL
 15.66
 4304577
 99.581 ug/ml

 Spiked Amount
 100.000
 Range
 60 - 140
 Recovery
 =
 99.58%
 Target Compounds 13.01165573650.375 mg/ml13.01164423710.380 mg/ml 2) HM DRO LOW (<1.0) 3) HM DRO HIGH (>1.0)

J4900

(f)=RT Delta > 1/2 Window (m)=manual int. G49630.D D040108A.M Tue Jun 03 08:04:14 2008 Page 1





Analytics Report 61399 page 0276 of 473



Analytics Report 61399 page 0277 of 473

analy		nental Xy LLC	195 Commerce Way Partsmouth, New Hampshire 03801 603-436-5111 Fax 603-430-2151 800-929-9906
Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burling 30 Community Drive South Burlington VI	gton Suite 11	June 3, SAMP	2008 LE DATA
CUEN	T SAMPLE ID	Lab Sample ID: Matrix:	61399-9 Aqueous
	I SAMI LE ID	Percent Solid:	N/A
Project Name:	MEFUDS LO-58	Dilution Factor:	1.0
Project Number:	03886.184	Collection Date:	05/19/08
		Lab Receipt Date:	05/22/08
Field Sample ID:	LS58DW1-0508-051	Extraction Date:	05/23/08
	······································	Analysis Date:	05/31/08
]	Result	Units	Quantitation Limit
	U	μg/L	50
	Surr	n-Tembenyl 97 %	

U=Undetected J=Estimated E=Exceeds Calibration Range B=Detected in Blank

METHODOLOGY: Sample analyzed according to "Maine HETL Method 4.1.25, September 6, 1995".

COMMENTS:

DRO Report

Authorized signature Mulimbull

### **4125 DRO WATER REPORT**

Sample Name	61399-9
Data File Name	G49564.D
Date Acquired	5/31/2008 13:10
Misc Info	
Sample Volume (mL)	1080
Final Volume (mL)	1.0
Analyst Dilution (1:X)	1
Calculation Factor	925.9259
Dilution Factor	0.9

JI-6.3.08

4125 DRO ANALYTICAL RESULTS		<u>RL</u> 46	
m-Terphenyl	97%	60-140%	PASS

## RAW DATA

RAW DATA		Reviewed &		
	Raw Inst	Calculated Inst		Sample
	Amount mg/mL	Amount ug/L	Target Response	Amount ug/L
M-TERPHENYL	96.92		4189355.115	
DRO LOW (<1.0)	0.0242	0.00	1550503.306	
DRO HIGH (>1.0)	0.0398	36.85	1723339.314	
DRO	0.02	0.00		0



#### C:\HPCHEM\Custrpt\4125WATERSAMPLE.CRT

6/3/08 12:16 PM

Quantitation Report (QT Reviewed) Data File : D:\TPH\053008-G\G49564.D Vial: 41 Acq On : 31 May 2008 13:10 Operator: Sample : 61399-9 Misc : Inst : INST G Multiplr: 1.00 IntFile : AUTOINT1.E Quant Time: Jun 3 12:01 2008 Quant Results File: D040108A.RES Quant Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M (Chemstation Integrator) Title : DRO Last Update : Mon Jun 02 11:41:57 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : TPHEPH1.M Volume Inj. : 1ul JK 6.3.08 Signal Phase : Rtx-5MS Signal Info : 0.25 mm R.T. Response Conc Units Compound System Monitoring Compounds 1) S M-TERPHENYL 15.66 4189355 96.915 ug/ml Spiked Amount 100.000 Range 60 - 140 Recovery = 96.92% Target Compounds 2) HM DRO LOW (<1.0) 13.0115505030.024 mg/ml13.0117233390.040 mg/ml 3) HM DRO HIGH (>1.0)



Je900

------(f) = RT Delta > 1/2 Window(m)=manual int. G49564.D D040108A.M Tue Jun 03 12:01:33 2008 Page 1

#### Analytics Report 61399 page 0280 of 473

Quantitation Report (QT Reviewed) Data File : D:\TPH\053008-G\G49564.D Vial: 41 Acq On : 31 May 2008 13:10 Operator: Sample : 61399-9 Inst : INST G Misc Multiplr: 1.00 IntFile : AUTOINT1.E Quant Time: Jun 3 12:01 2008 Quant Results File: D040108A.RES Quant Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M (Chemstation Integrator) : DRO Title Last Update : Mon Jun 02 11:41:57 2008 Response via : Multiple Level Calibration DataAcq Meth : TPHEPH1.M Volume Inj. : 1ul Signal Phase : Rtx-5MS Signal Info : 0.25 mm Response_ 300000 G49564.D\FID1A 280000 15.67 260000 240000 220000 200000 180000 160000 140000 120000 100000 80000 60000 40000 20000 0 -20000 TERPHENY DRO HOMIK Ż 2.00 4.00 Time 0.00 6.00 8.00 10.00 12.00 14.00 16.00 18.00 20.00 22.00 24.00 G49564.D D040108A.M Tue Jun 03 12:01:33 2008 Page 2



G49564.D D040108A.M Tue Jun 03 12:01:11 2008

Analytics Report 61399 page 0282 of 473

Data File	:	D:\TH	PH\	053008	3-G\G49	9564.D			Vial:	41	
Acq On	:	31 Ma	ay	2008	13:10				Operator:		
Sample	:	61399	)-9						Inst :	INST	G
Misc	:								Multiplr:	1.00	
IntFile	:	AUTOI	INT	'1.E					-		
Quant Time	:	Jun	3	12:01	2008	Quant	Results	File:	D040108A.RE	S	

Method	:	C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M	(Chemstation	Integrator)
Title	:	DRO	JK	Ū.
Last Update	:	Mon Jun 02 11:41:57 2008	6.3.08	
Response via	:	Multiple Level Calibration	*	



Analytics Report 61399 page 0283 of 473

analy		antaL. / LLC	195 Commerce Way Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801 603-435-5111 Fax 603-430-2151 800-929-9906
Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burling 30 Community Drive South Burlington V3	gton e Suite 11	June 3, SAMP	2008 LE DATA
South Burlington VT 05403 CLIENT SAMPLE ID		Lab Sample ID: Matrix: Percent Solid:	61399-9 RR Aqueous N/A
Project Name: Project Number: Field Sample ID:	MEFUDS LO-58 03886.184 LS58DW1-0508-051	Dilution Factor: Collection Date: Lab Receipt Date: Extraction Date: Analysis Date:	1.0 05/19/08 05/22/08 05/23/08 06/02/08
	ANALYTICAL RES	ULTS DIESEL RANGE ORGAN Units	NICS Quantitation Limit
	U	µg/L	50
	Surro	gate Standard Recovery -Terphenyl 100 %	
U=U	ndetected J=Estimated E	=Exceeds Calibration Range B=Detecte	ed in Blank

METHODOLOGY: Sample analyzed according to "Maine HETL Method 4.1.25, September 6, 1995".

COMMENTS:

DRO Report

Authorized signature Mplunchult

## 4125 DRO WATER REPORT

Sample Name	61399-9 RR		
Data File Name	G49631.D		
Date Acquired	6/2/2008 23:05		
Misc Info			
Sample Volume (mL)	1080		
Final Volume (mL)	1.0		
Analyst Dilution (1:X)	1		
Calculation Factor	925.9259		
			JL2.08
Dilution Factor	0.9		6.3
<b></b>			
4125 DRO ANALYTICAL RESULTS	ug/L	RL	
	$\bigcirc$		
DRO	$\bigcirc$	46	
			/
m-Terphenyl	100%	60-140%	PASS

### RAW DATA

RAW DATA		Reviewed &		
	Raw Inst	Calculated Inst		Sample
	Amount mg/mL	Amount ug/L	Target Response	Amount ug/L
M-TERPHENYL	99.70		4309712.359	
DRO LOW (<1.0)	0.0300	0.00	1799434.736	
DRO HIGH (>1.0)	0.0467	43.20	2020088.424	
DRO	0.03	0.00		0

# C:\HPCHEM\Custrpt\4125WATERSAMPLE.CRT

6/3/08 9:15 AM

Analytics Report 61399 page 0285 of 473

Quantitation Report (QT Reviewed) Data File : D:\TPH\060208-G\G49631.D Vial: 18 Operator: Inst : INST G Acq On : 2 Jun 2008 23:05 Sample : 61399-9, RR Misc : Multiplr: 1.00 IntFile : AUTOINT1.E Quant Time: Jun 3 8:04 2008 Quant Results File: D040108A.RES Quant Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M (Chemstation Integrator) Title : DRO Last Update : Mon Jun 02 11:41:57 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : TPHEPH1.M Volume Inj. : lul JIC .3.00 Signal Phase : Rtx-5MS Signal Info : 0.25 mm R.T. Response Conc Units Compound System Monitoring Compounds 1) SM-TERPHENYL15.66430971299.699 ug/mlSpiked Amount100.000Range60 - 140Recovery=99.70% 1) S M-TERPHENYL Target Compounds 2) HM DRO LOW (<1.0) 13.0117994350.030 mg/ml13.0120200880.047 mg/ml 3) HM DRO HIGH (>1.0)

NO

(f)=RT Delta > 1/2 Window (m)=manual int. G49631.D D040108A.M Tue Jun 03 08:04:49 2008 Page 1

Analytics Report 61399 page 0286 of 473

Quantitation Report (QT Reviewed) Data File : D:\TPH\060208-G\G49631.D Vial: 18 Acq On : 2 Jun 2008 23:05 Operator: Sample : 61399-9,AC Inst : INST G Misc Multiplr: 1.00 IntFile : AUTOINTL.E Quant Time: Jun 3 8:04 2008 Quant Results File: D040108A.RES Quant Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M (Chemstation Integrator) Title : DRO Last Update : Mon Jun 02 11:41:57 2008 Response via : Multiple Level Calibration DataAcq Meth : TPHEPH1.M Volume Inj. : lul Signal Phase : Rtx-5MS Signal Info : 0.25 mm G49631.D\FID1A Response 300000 280000 15,66 260000 240000 220000 200000 180000 160000 140000 120000 100000 80000 60000 40000 20000 0 -20000 M-TERPHENY HODH (K DRO 0.00 2.00 4.00 6.00 8.00 10.00 Time 12.00 14.00 16.00 18.00 22.00 20.00 24.00 G49631.D D040108A.M Tue Jun 03 08:04:49 2008 Page 2

Data File	:	$D: \setminus T$	PH\(	060208	3-G\G49	9631.D			Vial:	18	
Acq On	:	2 ປັາ	un 2	2008	23:05				Operator:		
Sample	:	61399	9-9,	RR					Inst :	INST	G
Misc	:		5	-					Multiplr:	1.00	
IntFile	:	AUTO	INTI	L.E					±		
Quant Time	€:	Jun	3	8:04	2008	Quant	Results	File:	D040108A.RES	3	

Method	:	C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M	(Chemstation	Integrator)
Title	:	DRO	116	-
Last Update	:	Mon Jun 02 11:41:57 2008	.3.04	
Response via	:	Multiple Level Calibration	" aaseline	



Analytics Report 61399 page 0288 of 473

Data File Acq On	:	D:\T 2 Ji	PH\( un 2	060208 2008	B-G\G49 23:05	9631.D			Vial: Operator:	18	
Sample Misc	: :	61399	9-9	RR					Inst : Multiplr:	INST 1.00	G
IntFile Quant Time	: :	AUTO: Jun	INT: 3	l.E 8:04	2008	Quant	Results	File:	D040108A.RES	5	

Method	:	C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M	(Chemstation	Integrator)
Title	:	DRO	46	5 /
Last Update	:	Mon Jun 02 11:41:57 2008	2.00	
Response via	:	Multiple Level Calibration	- "ما	



Analytics Report 61399 page 0289 of 473

analy		otal LLC	195 Commerce Way Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801 603-436-5111 Fax 603-430-2151 800-929-9906
Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burling 30 Community Drive South Burlington V7	i gton e Suite 11 C 05403	June 10 SAMP	), 2008 LE DATA
CLIEN	T SAMPLE ID	Lab Sample ID: Matrix: Percent Solid:	61399-10 Aqueous N/A
Project Name: Project Number: Field Sample ID:	MEFUDS LO-58 03886.184 LS58DW1-0508-041	Dilution Factor: Collection Date: Lab Receipt Date: Extraction Date:	1.0 05/19/08 05/22/08 05/23/08
	ANALYTICAL RES	Analysis Date: ULTS DIESEL RANGE ORGAN	05/31/08 NICS
	Result	Units	Quantitation Limit
	U	μg/L	50
	Surro	gate Standard Recovery	
U=U	m- ndetected J=Estimated E	= Lerpnenyi 99 %	ed in Blank

**METHODOLOGY:** Sample analyzed according to "Maine HETL Method 4.1.25, September 6, 1995".

COMMENTS:

DRO Report

Authorized signature Mulnulall

#### **4125 DRO WATER REPORT**

Sample Name	61399-10
Data File Name	G49565.D
Date Acquired	5/31/2008 13:39
Misc Info	
Sample Volume (mL)	1080
Final Volume (mL)	1.0
Analyst Dilution (1:X)	1
Calculation Factor	925.9259
Dilution Factor	0.9

ULC.00

4125 DRO ANALYTICAL RESULT	<u>S ug/L</u>	RL	
DRO	15 = 200 00.	48°50	
m-Terphenyl	99%	60-140%	PASS

RAW DATA

RAW DATA		Reviewed &		
	Raw Inst	Calculated Inst		Sample
	Amount mg/mL	Amount ug/L	Target Response	Amount ug/L
M-TERPHENYL	99.08		4282905.112	<u>×</u> _
DRO LOW (<1.0)	0.0524	48.54	2761566.45	
DRO HIGH (>1.0)	0.0610	56.52	2642982.412	
DRO	0.05	48,54		49



Page 1 of 1

# C:\HPCHEM\Custrpt\4125WATERSAMPLE.CRT

6/3/08 12:16 PM

Quantitation Report (QT Reviewed) Data File : D:\TPH\053008-G\G49565.D Vial: 42 Acq On : 31 May 2008 13:39 Operator: Sample : 61399-10 Misc : Inst : INST G Multiplr: 1.00 IntFile : AUTOINT1.E Quant Time: Jun 3 12:02 2008 Quant Results File: D040108A.RES Quant Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M (Chemstation Integrator) Title : DRO Last Update : Mon Jun 02 11:41:57 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : TPHEPH1.M Volume Inj. : 1ul سمان 6.3.08 Signal Phase : Rtx-5MS Signal Info : 0.25 mm Compound R.T. Response Conc Units System Monitoring Compounds 1) SM-TERPHENYL15.67428290599.079 ug/mlSpiked Amount100.000Range60 - 140Recovery=99.08% Target Compounds 2) HM DRO LOW (<1.0) 13.0127615660.052 mg/ml13.0126429820.061 mg/ml 3) HM DRO HIGH (>1.0)

NE-ME

(f)=RT Delta > 1/2 Window (m)=manual int. G49565.D D040108A.M Tue Jun 03 12:02:06 2008 Page 1

Quantitation Report (QT Reviewed) Data File : D:\TPH\053008-G\G49565.D Vial: 42 Acq On : 31 May 2008 13:39 Operator: Sample : 61399-10 : INST G Inst Misc Multiplr: 1.00 IntFile : AUTOINT1.E Quant Time: Jun 3 12:02 2008 Quant Results File: D040108A.RES Quant Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M (Chemstation Integrator) Title : DRO Last Update : Mon Jun 02 11:41:57 2008 Response via : Multiple Level Calibration DataAcq Meth : TPHEPH1.M Volume Inj. : 1ul Signal Phase : Rtx-5MS Signal Info : 0.25 mm G49565.D\FID1A Response 300000 280000 15.67 260000 240000 220000 200000 180000 160000 140000 120000 100000 80000 60000 40000 20000 С -20000 IERPHENY DRO HOM (K ź 0.00 2.00 4.00 6.00 8.00 10.00 12.00 Time 14.00 16.00 18.00 20.00 22.00 24.00 G49565.D D040108A.M Tue Jun 03 12:02:06 2008 Page 2

Data File	:	D:\TH	ΡH,	\053008	8-G\G49	9565.D			Vial:	42	
Acq On	:	31 Ma	ay	2008	13:39				Operator:		
Sample	:	61399	9-1	10					Inst :	INST	G
Misc	:								Multiplr:	1.00	
IntFile	:	AUTO]	EN 1	Г1.Е					-		
Quant Time	:	Jun	3	12:01	2008	Quant	Results	File:	D040108A.RES	3	

Method	:	C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M	(Chemstation	Integrator)
Title	:	DRO	JL	~
Last Update	:	Mon Jun 02 11:41:57 2008	6.3.08 -	
Response via	:	Multiple Level Calibration	* Gaselow	



Analytics Report 61399 page 0294 of 473

Data File	:	$D: \setminus TE$	Vial:	42							
Acq On	:	31 Ma	ĩУ	2008	13:39				Operator:		
Sample	:	61399	) – 1	LO					Inst :	INST	G
Misc	:								Multiplr:	1.00	
IntFile	:	AUTOI	[N]	Cl.E					-		
Quant Time	:	Jun	3	12:01	2008	Quant	Results	File:	D040108A.RES	3	

Method	:	C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M	(Chemstation	Integrator)
Title	:	DRO	114	
Last Update	:	Mon Jun 02 11:41:57 2008	1.3.08	
Response via	:	Multiple Level Calibration	4	




Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11 South Burlington VT 05403

### CLIENT SAMPLE ID

Project Name:MEFUDS LO-58Project Number:03886.184Field Sample ID:LS58DW1-0508-041

### 195 Commerce Way Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801 603-436-5111 Fax 603-430-2151 800-929-9906

June 3, 2008 SAMPLE DATA

Lab Sample ID:	61399-10 RR
Matrix:	Aqueous
Percent Solid:	N/A
<b>Dilution Factor:</b>	1.0
<b>Collection Date:</b>	05/19/08
Lab Receipt Date:	05/22/08
Extraction Date:	05/23/08
Analysis Date:	06/02/08

# ANALYTICAL RESULTS DIESEL RANGE ORGANICS Result Units Quantitation Limit 51 μg/L 50 Surrogate Standard Recovery m-Terphenyl 100 % U=Undetected J=Estimated E=Exceeds Calibration Range B=Detected in Blank

METHODOLOGY: Sample analyzed according to "Maine HETL Method 4.1.25, September 6, 1995".

**COMMENTS:** 

DRO Report

Authorized signature Milenchill

## 4125 DRO WATER REPORT

Sample Name	61399-10, RK	
Data File Name	G49632.D	
Date Acquired	6/2/2008 23:49	
Misc Info		
Sample Volume (mL)	1080	
Final Volume (mL)	1.0	
Analyst Dilution (1:X)	1	
Calculation Factor	925.9259	16 00
Dilution Factor	0.9	6.3.00

4125 DRO ANALYTICAL RESULTS	ug/L	RL		
DRO	51	46		
m-Terphenyl	100%	60-140%	PASS	

RAW DATA		Reviewed &		
	Raw Inst	Calculated Inst		Sample
	Amount mg/mL	Amount ug/L	Target Response	Amount ug/L
M-TERPHENYL	100.07		4325517.362	
DRO LOW (<1.0)	0.0552	51.08	2878799.989	
DRO HIGH (>1.0)	0.0681	63.06	2949124.969	
DRO	0.06	51.08		51



Page 1 of 1

### C:\HPCHEM\Custrpt\4125WATERSAMPLE.CRT

Analytics Report 61399 page 0297 of 473

Quantitation Report (QT Reviewed) Data File : D:\TPH\060208-G\G49632.D Vial: 19 Acq On : 2 Jun 2008 23:49 Sample : 61399-10,**RC** Operator: Inst : INST G Misc : IntFile : AUTOINT1.E Multiplr: 1.00 Quant Time: Jun 3 8:07 2008 Quant Results File: D040108A.RES Quant Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M (Chemstation Integrator) Title : DRO Last Update : Mon Jun 02 11:41:57 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : TPHEPH1.M Volume Inj. : lul Signal Phase : Rtx-5MS 80.5° W Signal Info : 0.25 mm R.T. Response Conc Units Compound System Monitoring Compounds 1) SM-TERPHENYL15.664325517100.065 ug/mlSpiked Amount100.000Range60 - 140Recovery=100.07% Target Compounds 13.0128788000.055 mg/ml13.0129491250.068 mg/ml 2) HM DRO LOW (<1.0) 3) HM DRO HIGH (>1.0)

(f)=RT Delta > 1/2 Wi	ndow	(m)=manual int.
G49632.D D040108A.M	Tue Jun 03 08:07:11 2008	Page 1



Analytics Report 61399 page 0299 of 473

Data File	:	$D: \setminus T$	PH\(	060208	3-G\G49	9632.D			Vial:	19	
Acq On	:	2 Ji	un 2	2008	23:49				Operator:		
Sample	:	61399	9-10	RR					Inst :	INST	G
Misc	:			• •					Multiplr:	1.00	
IntFile	:	AUTO	INT1	L.E							
Quant Time	:	Jun	3	8:04	2008	Quant	Results	File:	D040108A.RES	3	





Analytics Report 61399 page 0300 of 473

Data File	:	$D: \setminus T$	PH/(	060208	8-G\G49	9632.D			Vial:	19	
Acq On	:	ע 2 J	un 2	2008	23:49				Operator:		
Sample	:	6139	9-1(	RR					Inst :	INST	G
Misc	:								Multiplr:	1.00	
IntFile	:	AUTO	INT	1.E					-		
Quant Time	9:	Jun	3	8:04	2008	Quant	Results	File:	D040108A.RES	3	

Method	:	C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M	(Chemstation	Integrator)
Title	:	DRO	14	
Last Update	:	Mon Jun 02 11:41:57 2008	3.3.00	
Response via	:	Multiple Level Calibration	Q ···	



Analytics Report 61399 page 0301 of 473

analy			195 Commerce Way Porismouth, New Hampshire (380) 603-436-5111 Fax 603-430-2151 800-929-9906
Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11 South Burlington VT 05403		June 3, SAMPI	2008 LE DATA
CLIEN	T SAMPLE ID	Lab Sample ID: Matrix: Percent Solid:	61399-11 Aqueous N/A
Project Name: Project Number:	MEFUDS LO-58 03886.184	Dilution Factor: Collection Date:	1.0 05/20/08
Field Sample ID:	LS58DW1-0508-034	Eab Receipt Date: Extraction Date: Analysis Date:	05/23/08 05/23/08 05/31/08
	ANALYTICAL RESUL	TS DIESEL RANGE ORGAN	NICS
	Result	Units	Quantitation Limit
U		μg/L	50

# Surrogate Standard Recovery

m-Terphenyl 96 %

U=Undetected J=Estimated E=Exceeds Calibration Range B=Detected in Blank

METHODOLOGY: Sample analyzed according to "Maine HETL Method 4.1.25, September 6, 1995".

COMMENTS:

DRO Report

Authorized signature Mulmdull

### 4125 DRO WATER REPORT

Sample Name	61399-11		
Data File Name	G49566.D		
Date Acquired	5/31/2008 14:08		
Misc Info			
Sample Volume (mL)	1070		
Final Volume (mL)	1.0		
Analyst Dilution (1:X)	1		
Calculation Factor	934.5794		
Dilution Factor	0.9		6.3. ve
4125 DRO ANALYTICAL RESULTS	ug/L	RL	
DRO	U	47	
m-Terphenyl	96%	60-140%	PASS

RAW DATA	Raw Inst Amount mg/mL	Reviewed & Calculated Inst Amount ug/L	Target Response	Sample Amount ug/L
M-TERPHENYL	95.71		4137087.877	
DRO LOW (<1.0)	0.0278	0.00	1706202.29	
DRO HIGH (>1.0)	0.0446	41.69	1931666.948	
DRO	0.03	0.00		0

06950

Page 1 of 1

### C:\HPCHEM\Custrpt\4125WATERSAMPLE.CRT

6/3/08 12:16 PM

Analytics Report 61399 page 0303 of 473

Quantitation Report (QT Reviewed) Data File : D:\TPH\053008-G\G49566.D Vial: 43 Acq On : 31 May 2008 14:08 Operator: : 61399-11 Sample Inst : INST G Misc : Multiplr: 1.00 IntFile : AUTOINT1.E Quant Time: Jun 3 12:02 2008 Quant Results File: D040108A.RES Quant Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M (Chemstation Integrator) Title : DRO Last Update : Mon Jun 02 11:41:57 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : TPHEPH1.M Volume Inj. : 1ul JK .08 Signal Phase : Rtx-5MS Signal Info : 0.25 mm Compound R.T. Response Conc Units System Monitoring Compounds 1) SM-TERPHENYL15.67413708895.706 ug/mlSpiked Amount100.000Range60 - 140Recovery=95.71% Target Compounds 2) HM DRO LOW (<1.0) 3) HM DRO HIGH (>1.0) 13.0117062020.028 mg/ml13.0119316670.045 mg/ml



136900

(f)=RT Delta > 1/2 Window (m)=manual int. G49566.D D040108A.M Tue Jun 03 12:02:39 2008 Page 1



Analytics Report 61399 page 0305 of 473



(+) = Expected Retention Time G49566.D D040108A.M Tue Jun 03 12:02:16 2008

Analytics Report 61399 page 0306 of 473

Quantitation Report (Qedit) Vial: 43 Data File : D:\TPH\053008-G\G49566.D : 31 May 2008 14:08 Acq On Operator: : 61399-11 Sample Inst : INST G Misc Multiplr: 1.00 : IntFile : AUTOINT1.E 3 12:02 2008 Quant Results File: D040108A.RES Quant Time: Jun

Method	:	C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M	(Chemstation	Integrator)
Title	:	DRO	1K	
Last Update	:	Mon Jun 02 11:41:57 2008	6.3.00	
Response via	:	Multiple Level Calibration		



Analytics Report 61399 page 0307 of 473

analy	environmental IIVVV laboratory LLC		195 Commerce Way Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801 603-436-5111 Fax 603-430-2151 800-929-9906				
Mr. Ron Pentkowsk Test America Burlin 30 Community Driv South Burlington V	i gton e Suite 11 E 05403	June 3, 2008 SAMPLE DATA					
South Durington V	1 00403	Lab Sample ID:	61399-11 RR				
		Matrix:	Aqueous				
CLIEN	T SAMPLE ID	Percent Solid:	N/A				
Project Name:	MEFUDS LO-58	<b>Dilution Factor:</b>	1.0				
		<b>Collection Date:</b>	05/20/08				
Project Number:	03886.184	Lab Receipt Date:	05/22/08				
Field Sample ID:	LS58DW1-0508-034	Extraction Date:	05/23/08				
		Analysis Date:	06/03/08				

ANALYTICAL RESULTS DIESEL RANGE ORGANICS							
Result	Units	Quantitation Limit					
U	μg/L	50					
m-Terphenyl 97 %							
U=Undetected J=Esti	mated E=Exceeds Calibration Range	B=Detected in Blank					

METHODOLOGY: Sample analyzed according to "Maine HETL Method 4.1.25, September 6, 1995".

COMMENTS:

DRO Report

Authorized signature Mulenchall

Analytics Report 61399 page 0308 of 473

Sample Name	61399-11 el			
Data File Name	G49633.D			
Date Acquired	6/3/2008 0:18			
Misc Info				
Sample Volume (mL)	1070			
Final Volume (mL)	1.0			
Analyst Dilution (1:X)	1			
Calculation Factor	934.5794			
Dilution Factor	0.9			

JUL .08

4125 DRO ANALYTICAL RESULTS	ug/L	RL	
DRO	U	47	
m-Terphenyl	97%	60-140%	PASS

RAW DATA				
	Raw Inst	Calculated Inst		Sample
	Amount mg/mL	Amount ug/L	Target Response	Amount ug/L
M-TERPHENYL	97.38		4209326.757	
DRO LOW (<1.0)	0.0486	0.00	2597547.728	
DRO HIGH (>1.0)	0.0643	60.08	2783403.66	
DRO	0.05	0.00		0



Page 1 of 1

### C:\HPCHEM\Custrpt\4125WATERSAMPLE.CRT

6/3/08 9:18 AM

Analytics Report 61399 page 0309 of 473

Quantitation Report (QT Reviewed) Data File : D:\TPH\060208-G\G49633.D Vial: 20 Acq On : 3 Jun 2008 00:18 Operator: Sample : 61399-11 Inst : INST G Misc Misc : IntFile : AUTOINT1.E Multiplr: 1.00 Quant Time: Jun 3 8:08 2008 Quant Results File: D040108A.RES Quant Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M (Chemstation Integrator) Title : DRO Last Update : Mon Jun 02 11:41:57 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : TPHEPH1.M Volume Inj. : lul Signal Phase : Rtx-5MS JK 3.08 Signal Info : 0.25 mm R.T. Response Conc Units Compound -System Monitoring Compounds 1) S M-TERPHENYL 15.65 4209327 97.377 ug/ml Spiked Amount 100.000 Range 60 - 140 Recovery = 97.38% Target Compounds 13.0125975480.049 mg/ml13.0127834040.064 mg/ml 2) HM DRO LOW (<1.0) 3) HM DRO HIGH (>1.0)



(f)=RT De	lta > 1/2 Window				(m)=manual	int.	
G49633.D	D040108A.M	Tue Jun 03	08:08:13	2008		Page	1



Analytics Report 61399 page 0311 of 473

Data File	e :	$D: \setminus T$	PH/(	60208	3-G\G49	9633.D			Vial:	20	
Acq On	:	3 J1	un 2	2008	00:18				Operator:		
Sample	:	61399	9-11	L					Inst :	INST	G
Misc	:								Multiplr:	1.00	
IntFile	:	AUTO	INT1	. E					-		
Quant Tin	ne:	Jun	3	8:07	2008	Quant	Results	File:	D040108A.RES	3	





Analytics Report 61399 page 0312 of 473

Quantitation Report (Qedit)											
Data File Acg On	:	D:\TP 3 Ju	H\C	)60208 2008	3-G\G49 00:18	9633.D			Vial: Operator:	20	
Sample Misc	:	61399	-11	-	00.10				Inst : Multiplr:	INST 1.00	G
IntFile Quant Time	:	AUTOI Jun	NT1 3	E 8:07	2008	Quant	Results	File:	D040108A.RE	S	

Method	:	C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M	(Chemstation	Integrator)
Title	:	DRO	Jun	
Last Update	:	Mon Jun 02 11:41:57 2008	6.2.00	
Response via	:	Multiple Level Calibration	-	



Analytics Report 61399 page 0313 of 473

Gnaly		imeniaL Jory LLC	195 Commerce Way Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801 603-436-5111 Fax 603-430-2151 800-929-9906
Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burling 30 Community Drive South Burlington V7	gton Suite 11	June 3 SAMP	, 2008 LE DATA
Soun Durington VI	. 05405	Lab Sample ID: Matrix:	61399-12 Aqueous
CLIEN	T SAMPLE ID	Percent Solid:	N/A
Project Name:	MEFUDS LO-58	<b>Dilution Factor:</b>	1.0
	00007 104	Collection Date:	05/20/08
Project Number:	03886.184	Lab Receipt Date:	05/22/08
Field Sample ID:	LS58DW1-0508-034-E	Extraction Date:	05/23/08
		Analysis Date:	05/31/08
]	ANALYTICAL R.	Units	NICS Quantitation Limit
	U	μg/L	50
· · · ·	Su	rogate Standard Recovery	****

U=Undetected J=Estimated E=Exceeds Calibration Range B=Detected in Blank

METHODOLOGY: Sample analyzed according to "Maine HETL Method 4.1.25, September 6, 1995".

COMMENTS:

DRO Report

Authorized signature ______

### 4125 DRO WATER REPORT

Sample Name	61399-12		
Data File Name	G49567.D		
Date Acquired	5/31/2008 14:37		
Misc Info			
Sample Volume (mL)	1070		
Final Volume (mL)	1.0		
Analyst Dilution (1:X)	1		
Calculation Factor	934.5794		
Dilution Factor	0.9		6.3.05
4125 DRO ANALYTICAL RESULTS	ug/L	<u>RL</u>	
DRO		47	

DRO	U	47		
m-Terphenyl	80%	60-140%	PASS	

RAW DATA		Reviewed &		
	Raw Inst	Calculated Inst		Sample
	Amount mg/mL	Amount ug/L	Target Response	Amount ug/L
M-TERPHENYL	80.43		3476898.681	
DRO LOW (<1.0)	0.0260	0.00	1628090.237	
DRO HIGH (>1.0)	0.0355	33.18	1537267.137	
DRO	0.03	0.00		0

136.900

Page 1 of 1

### C:\HPCHEM\Custrpt\4125WATERSAMPLE.CRT

6/3/08 12:17 PM

Quantitation Report (QT Reviewed) Data File : D:\TPH\053008-G\G49567.D Vial: 44 Acq On : 31 May 2008 14:37 Sample : 61399-12 Operator: Inst : INST G Multiplr: 1.00 Misc Misc : IntFile : AUTOINT1.E Quant Time: Jun 3 12:03 2008 Quant Results File: D040108A.RES Quant Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M (Chemstation Integrator) Title : DRO Last Update : Mon Jun 02 11:41:57 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : TPHEPH1.M Volume Inj. : 1ul Signal Phase : Rtx-5MS Jre 6.3.08 Signal Info : 0.25 mm R.T. Response Conc Units Compound System Monitoring Compounds 1) S M-TERPHENYL15.66347689980.433 ug/mlSpiked Amount100.000Range60 - 140Recovery=80.43% Target Compounds 13.0116280900.026 mg/ml13.0115372670.036 mg/ml 2) HM DRO LOW (<1.0) 3) HM DRO HIGH (>1.0)

MERORO

(f)=RT Delta > 1/2 Window (m)=manual int. G49567.D D040108A.M Tue Jun 03 12:03:10 2008 Page 1



Analytics Report 61399 page 0317 of 473

Data File	:	$D: \setminus TE$	ΡΗ \	053008	3-G\G49	9567.D			Vial:	44	
Acq On	:	31 Ma	ĩУ	2008	14:37				Operator:		
Sample	:	61399	)-:	_2					Inst :	INST	G
Misc	:								Multiplr:	1.00	
IntFile	:	AUTOI	IN7	71.E							
Quant Time	≥:	Jun	3	12:02	2008	Quant	Results	File:	D040108A.RES	5	

Method	:	C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M	(Chemstation	Integrator)
Title	:	DRO	14	
Last Update	:	Mon Jun 02 11:41:57 2008	6.3.00	
Response via	:	Multiple Level Calibration	* Buselin	



Analytics Report 61399 page 0318 of 473

Data File	:	D:\TI	PH/	053008	3-G\G4 <u>9</u>	9567.D			Vial:	44	
Acq On	:	31 Ma	ay	2008	14:37				Operator:		
Sample	:	61399	9-1	_2					Inst :	INST	G
Misc	:								Multiplr:	1.00	
IntFile	:	AUTO	ENJ	71.E							
Quant Time	::	Jun	3	12:02	2008	Quant	Results	File:	D040108A.RES	5	

Method	:	C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M	(Chemstation	Integrator)
Title	:	DRO	Juc	
Last Update	:	Mon Jun 02 11:41:57 2008	6.3.00	
Response via	:	Multiple Level Calibration		



Analytics Report 61399 page 0319 of 473



195 Commerce Way Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801 603-436-5111 Fax 603-430-2151 800-929-9906

Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11 South Burlington VT, 05403		June 3, 2008 SAMPLE DATA			
South Durington V1		Lab Sample ID:	61399-12 RR		
OT TEN		Matrix:	Aqueous		
CLIEN	I SAMPLE ID	Percent Solid:	N/A		
Project Name:	MEFUDS LO-58	Dilution Factor:	1.0		
- -		<b>Collection Date:</b>	05/20/08		
Project Number:	03886.184	Lab Receipt Date:	05/22/08		
Field Sample ID:	LS58DW1-0508-034-E	Extraction Date:	05/23/08		
		Analysis Date:	06/03/08		

ANALYTICAL RESULTS DIESEL RANGE ORGANICS								
Result	Units	Quantitation Limit						
U	μg/L	50						
Surrogate Standard Recovery								
U=Undetected J=Esti	m-Terphenyl 82 % mated E=Exceeds Calibration Range	B=Detected in Blank						

METHODOLOGY: Sample analyzed according to "Maine HETL Method 4.1.25, September 6, 1995".

COMMENTS:

DRO Report

Authorized signature Mulandull

Sample Name	61399-12 , KK			
Data File Name	G49634.D			
Date Acquired	6/3/2008 0:47			
Misc Info				
Sample Volume (mL)	1070			
Final Volume (mL)	1.0			
Analyst Dilution (1:X)	1			
Calculation Factor	934.5794			
Dilution Factor	0.9	ت ۱	9.3.08	
4125 DRO ANALYTICAL RESULTS	ug/L	RL		
DRO	Ű	47		
m-Terphenyl	82%	60-140%	PASS	

RAW DATA	Raw Inst Amount ma/mL	Reviewed & Calculated Inst Amount ug/L	Target Response	Sample Amount ug/L
M-TERPHENYL DRO LOW (<1.0) DRO HIGH (>1.0)	82.46 0.0326 0.0460	0.00 42.97	3564287.943 1912338.021 1991041.503	
DRO	0.03	0.00		0

n6-100

# C:\HPCHEM\Custrpt\4125WATERSAMPLE.CRT

6/3/08 9:19 AM

Quantitation Report (QT Reviewed) Data File : D:\TPH\060208-G\G49634.D Vial: 21 Acq On : 3 Jun 2008 00:47 Sample : 61399-12, AL Operator: Inst : INST G Misc : ' IntFile : AUTOINT1.E Multiplr: 1.00 Quant Time: Jun 3 8:08 2008 Quant Results File: D040108A.RES Quant Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M (Chemstation Integrator) Title : DRO Last Update : Mon Jun 02 11:41:57 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : TPHEPH1.M Volume Inj. : lul Signal Phase : Rtx-5MS Signal Info : 0.25 mm Jr 3.08 R.T. Response Conc Units Compound System Monitoring Compounds 

 1) S
 M-TERPHENYL
 15.65
 3564288
 82.455 ug/ml

 Spiked Amount
 100.000
 Range
 60 - 140
 Recovery
 =
 82.45%

 Target Compounds 13.0119123380.033 mg/ml13.0119910420.046 mg/ml 2) HM DRO LOW (<1.0) 3) HM DRO HIGH (>1.0)

56-200



Analytics Report 61399 page 0323 of 473

Data File	:	$D: \setminus TPH$	[\0	60208	3-G\G49	9634.D			Vial:	21	
Acq On	:	3 Jun	12	008	00:47				Operator:		
Sample	:	61399-	12	ar					Inst :	INST	G
Misc	:			(` <b>`</b>					Multiplr:	1.00	
IntFile	:	AUTOIN	T1	. E							
Quant Time	::	Jun 3	I	8:08	2008	Quant	Results	File:	D040108A.RES	3	

Method	: C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M	(Chemstation	Integrator)
Title	: DRO	110	
Last Update	: Mon Jun 02 11:41:57 2008	6.3.09	
Response via	: Multiple Level Calibration	x Pase line	



Analytics Report 61399 page 0324 of 473

Data File	:	D:\TI	PH∖(	60208	Vial:	21					
Acq On	:	3 Ji	in 2	2008	00:47				Operator:		
Sample	:	61399	9-12	2, RR					Inst :	INST	G
Misc	:								Multiplr:	1.00	
IntFile	:	AUTO:	ENT]	E							
Quant Time	:	Jun	3	8:08	2008	Quant	Results	File:	D040108A.RES	3	

Method	:	C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M	(Chemstation	Integrator)
Title	:	DRO	11C	
Last Update	:	Mon Jun 02 11:41:57 2008		
Response via	:	Multiple Level Calibration	Q')	



Analytics Report 61399 page 0325 of 473

Mr. Ron Pentkowski Fest America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11 South Burlington VT 05403		June 3, SAMPI	2008 LE DATA
South Burnington VI		Lab Sample ID:	61399-13
		Matrix:	Aqueous
CLIEN	Ron Pentkowski America Burlington Community Drive Suite 11 th Burlington VT 05403 CLIENT SAMPLE ID ect Name: MEFUDS LO-58 ect Number: 03886.184 I Sample ID: LS58DW1-0508-029 ANALYTICAL RESULT:	Percent Solid:	N/A
Project Name:	MEFUDS LO-58	<b>Dilution Factor:</b>	1.0
		<b>Collection Date:</b>	05/20/08
røject Number:	03886.184	Lab Receipt Date:	05/22/08
Field Sample ID:	LS58DW1-0508-029	Extraction Date:	05/23/08
		Analysis Date:	05/31/08

Result	Units	Quantitation Limit									
U	μg/L	50									
Surrogate Standard Recovery         m-Terphenyl       97 %											
U=Undetected J=Est	imated E=Exceeds Calibration Range	B=Detected in Blank									

METHODOLOGY: Sample analyzed according to "Maine HETL Method 4.1.25, September 6, 1995".

COMMENTS:

DRO Report

Authorized signature Mulnchull

### 4125 DRO WATER REPORT

Sample Name	61399-13		
Data File Name	G49568.D		
Date Acquired	5/31/2008 15:07		
Misc Info			
Sample Volume (mL)	1070		
Final Volume (mL)	1.0		
Analyst Dilution (1:X)	1		
Calculation Factor	934.5794		
			JICAR
Dilution Factor	0.9		6.3.00
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
4125 DRO ANALYTICAL RESULTS	ug/L	RL	
		A77	
	Ů	<del>~</del> 1	,
m-Terphenyl	97%	60-140%	PASS

RAW DATA		Reviewed &		
	Raw Inst	Calculated Inst		Sample
	Amount mg/mL	Amount ug/L	Target Response	Amount ug/L
M-TERPHENYL	96.86		4187062.905	
DRO LOW (<1.0)	0.0399	0.00	2226940.031	
DRO HIGH (>1.0)	0.0556	51.95	2407032.014	
DRO	0.04	0.00		0

126.98

### C:\HPCHEM\Custrpt\4125WATERSAMPLE.CRT

6/3/08 12:17 PM

Quantitation Report (QT Reviewed) Data File : D:\TPH\053008-G\G49568.D Vial: 45 Operator: Inst : INST G Acq On : 31 May 2008 15:07 Sample : 61399-13 Sample Multiplr: 1.00 Misc : IntFile : AUTOINT1.E Quant Time: Jun 3 12:03 2008 Quant Results File: D040108A.RES Quant Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M (Chemstation Integrator) Title : DRO Last Update : Mon Jun 02 11:41:57 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : TPHEPH1.M Volume Inj. : 1ul Signal Phase : Rtx-5MS عل W.3.08 Signal Info : 0.25 mm R.T. Response Conc Units Compound System Monitoring Compounds 1) SM-TERPHENYL15.66418706396.862 ug/mlSpiked Amount100.000Range60 - 140Recovery=96.86% 2) HM DRO LOW (<1.0) Target Compounds 13.0122269400.040 mg/ml13.0124070320.056 mg/ml 3) HM DRO HIGH (>1.0)

n6.906

(f) = RT Delta > 1/2 Window (m)=manual int. G49568.D D040108A.M Tue Jun 03 12:03:45 2008 Page 1



Analytics Report 61399 page 0329 of 473

Data File	:	$D: \setminus TPP$	H/(	053008	Vial:	45					
Acq On	:	31 May	y 2	2008	15:07				Operator:		
Sample	:	61399-	-13	3					Inst :	INST	G
Misc	:								Multiplr:	1.00	
IntFile	:	AUTOII	NT1	l.E							
Quant Time	:	Jun 3	3 1	12:03	2008	Quant	Results	File:	D040108A.RES	5	





Analytics Report 61399 page 0330 of 473

Data File	:	$D: \setminus TP$	١H	053008	Vial:	45					
Acq On	:	31 Ma	Y	2008	15:07				Operator:		
Sample	:	61399	]	L3					Inst :	INST	G
Misc	:								Multiplr:	1.00	
IntFile	:	AUTOI	N7	C1.E							
Quant Time	;:	Jun	3	12:03	2008	Quant	Results	File:	D040108A.RE	S	





Analytics Report 61399 page 0331 of 473
Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burling 30 Community Drive	ton Suite 11	June 3, 2008 SAMPLE DATA				
CLIEN	T SAMPLE ID	Lab Sample ID: Matrix: Percent Solid:	61399-13 RR Aqueous N/A			
Project Name:	MEFUDS LO-58	Dilution Factor:	1.0			
Project Number:	03886.184	Lah Receint Date:	05/22/08			
Field Sample ID.	LS58DW1-0508-029	Extraction Date:	05/23/08			
viene cannone ner		EAU AUDI Dates	05125108			
	ANALYTICAL RESULT	Analysis Date:	06/03/08 NICS			
	ANALYTICAL RESULT	Analysis Date: 'S DIESEL RANGE ORGAN Units	05/23/08 06/03/08 NICS Quantitation Lim			
( iciti Sample 10)	ANALYTICAL RESULT Result	Analysis Date: TS DIESEL RANGE ORGAN Units μg/L	05/23/08 06/03/08 NICS Quantitation Lim			

METHODOLOGY: Sample analyzed according to "Maine HETL Method 4.1.25, September 6, 1995".

COMMENTS:

DRO Report

Authorized signature Mulimball

01399-13	
G49635.D	
6/3/2008 1:17	
1070	
1.0	
1	
934.5794	
	IK
0.9	12.00
	6.2
	G49635.D 6/3/2008 1:17 1070 1.0 1 934.5794 0.9

4125 DRO ANALYTICAL RESULTS	ug/L	RL		
DRO	U	47		
m-Terphenyl	99%	60-140%	PASS	:

# RAW DATA

RAW DATA		Reviewed &		
	Raw Inst	Calculated Inst		Sample
	Amount mg/mL	Amount ug/L	Target Response	Amount ua/l
M-TERPHENYL	99.05		4281830.967	
DRO LOW (<1.0)	0.0421	0.00	2317252.869	
DRO HIGH (>1.0)	0.0610	57.03	2642379.105	
DRO	0.04	0.00		0



Page 1 of 1

# C:\HPCHEM\Custrpt\4125WATERSAMPLE.CRT

6/3/08 9:19 AM

Quantitation Report (QT Reviewed) Vial: 22 Data File : D:\TPH\060208-G\G49635.D Acq On : 3 Jun 2008 1:17 Operator: Inst : INST G Sample : 61399-13, RL Misc : IntFile : AUTOINT1.E Multiplr: 1.00 Quant Time: Jun 3 8:09 2008 Quant Results File: D040108A.RES Quant Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M (Chemstation Integrator) Title : DRO Last Update : Mon Jun 02 11:41:57 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : TPHEPH1.M Volume Inj. : lul 1.3.08 Signal Phase : Rtx-5MS Signal Info : 0.25 mm R.T. Response Conc Units Compound System Monitoring Compounds 1) S M-TERPHENYL 15.66 4281831 99.054 ug/mlm Spiked Amount 100.000 Range 60 - 140 Recovery = 99.05% 1) S M-TERPHENYL Target Compounds 2) HM DRO LOW (<1.0) 3) HM DRO HIGH (>1.0) 13.0123172530.042 mg/ml13.0126423790.061 mg/ml

y6700

(f)=RT Delta > 1/2 Window (m)=manual int. G49635.D D040108A.M Tue Jun 03 08:09:40 2008 Page 1



Analytics Report 61399 page 0335 of 473

Data File	:	$D: \setminus T$	PH/(	060208	3-G\G49	9635.D			Vial:	22	
Acq On	:	3 Ji	un 2	2008	1:17				Operator:		
Sample	:	61399	9-13	3					Inst :	INST	G
Misc	:								Multiplr:	1.00	
IntFile	:	AUTO	INT	1.E							
Quant Time	):	Jun	3	8:08	2008	Quant	Results	File:	D040108A.RE	5	

Method	:	C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M	(Chemstation	Integrator)
Title	:	DRO	( <b>14</b>	
Last Update	:	Mon Jun 02 11:41:57 2008	12.08	
Response via	:	Multiple Level Calibration	bit. A.	



Analytics Report 61399 page 0336 of 473

Data File	:	D:\TP	н∖с	60208	3-G\G49	9635.D			Vial:	22	
Acq On	:	3 Ju	n 2	2008	1:17	,			Operator:		
Sample	:	61399	-13	3					Inst :	INST	G
Misc	:								Multiplr:	1.00	
IntFile	:	AUTOI	NT1	.E							
Quant Time	2:	Jun .	3	8:08	2008	Quant	Results	File:	D040108A.RE	5	

Method	:	C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M	(Chemstation	Integrator)
Title	:	DRO	116	
Last Update	:	Mon Jun 02 11:41:57 2008	1.2.00	
Response via	:	Multiple Level Calibration	U /	



Analytics Report 61399 page 0337 of 473

Data File	:	$D: \setminus T$	PH\(	060208	3-G\G4:	9635.D			Vial:	22	
Acq On	:	3 Ji	un 2	2008	1:17				Operator:		
Sample	:	61399	9-13	3					Inst :	INST	G
Misc	:								Multiplr:	1.00	
IntFile	:	AUTO	INT	L.E							
Quant Time	€:	Jun	3	8:08	2008	Quant	Results	File:	D040108A.RE	3	





Analytics Report 61399 page 0338 of 473

Data File	:	$D: \setminus T$	PH/(	060208	3-G\G4	9635.D			Vial:	22	
Acq On	:	3 JI	un 2	2008	1:17				Operator:		
Sample	:	6139	9-13	3					Inst :	INST	G
Misc	:								Multiplr:	1.00	
IntFile	:	AUTO	INTI	L.E							
Quant Time	::	Jun	3	8:08	2008	Quant	Results	File:	D040108A.RE	5	

Method	:	C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M	(Chemstation	Integrator)
Title	:	DRO	110 3	
Last Update	:	Mon Jun 02 11:41:57 2008	1.3.00	
Response via	:	Multiple Level Calibration	U	



Analytics Report 61399 page 0339 of 473

Gnaly		nental ry LLC	195 Commerce Way Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03601 603-436-5111 Fax 603-430-2151 800-929-9906
Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burling 30 Community Drive South Burlington VI	gton Suite 11 OS403	June 3, SAMP	2008 LE DATA
CLIEN	T SAMPLE ID	Lab Sample ID: Matrix: Percent Solid:	61399-14 Aqueous
Project Name: Project Number: Field Sample ID:	MEFUDS LO-58 03886.184 RB-052008-01	Dilution Factor: Collection Date: Lab Receipt Date: Extraction Date: Analysis Date:	1.0 05/20/08 05/22/08 05/23/08 05/31/08
	ANALYTICAL RE	SULTS DIESEL RANGE ORGAN	NICS Quantitation Limit
	U	μg/L	50
	Surr	ogate Standard Recovery	
U=U	ndetected J=Estimated	E=Exceeds Calibration Range B=Detect	ed in Blank

METHODOLOGY: Sample analyzed according to "Maine HETL Method 4.1.25, September 6, 1995".

COMMENTS:

DRO Report

Authorized signature Mushell

#### **4125 DRO WATER REPORT**

Sample Name	61399-14		
Data File Name	G49569.D		
Date Acquired	5/31/2008 15:36		
Misc Info			
Sample Volume (mL)	1050		
Final Volume (mL)	1.0		
Analyst Dilution (1:X)	1		
Calculation Factor	952.3810		
Dilution Factor	1.0		1 ¹² .08
4125 DRO ANALYTICAL RESULTS	ug/L	RL	
DRO	U	48	/
m-Terphenyl	93%	60-140%	PASS

RAW DATA		Reviewed &		
	Raw Inst	Calculated Inst		Sample
	Amount mg/mL	Amount ug/L	Target Response	Amount ug/L
M-TERPHENYL	93.50		4041647.597	
DRO LOW (<1.0)	0.0295	0.00	1779468.403	
DRO HIGH (>1.0)	0.0411	39.14	1779468.403	
DRO	0.03	0.00		0

126-200

Page 1 of 1

# C:\HPCHEM\Custrpt\4125WATERSAMPLE.CRT

Quantitation Report (QT Reviewed) Data File : D:\TPH\053008-G\G49569.D Vial: 46 Acq On : 31 May 2008 15:36 Sample : 61399-14 Operator: Inst : INST G Misc Multiplr: 1.00 : IntFile : AUTOINT1.E Quant Time: Jun 3 12:03 2008 Quant Results File: D040108A.RES Quant Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M (Chemstation Integrator) Title : DRO Last Update : Mon Jun 02 11:41:57 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcg Meth : TPHEPH1.M Volume Inj. : 1ul Signal Phase : Rtx-5MS Ju 3.08 Signal Info : 0.25 mm R.T. Response Conc Units Compound System Monitoring Compounds 1) SM-TERPHENYL15.66404164893.498 ug/mlSpiked Amount100.000Range60 - 140Recovery=93.50% Target Compounds 2) HM DRO LÕW (<1.0) 3) HM DRO HIGH (>1.0) 13.0117794680.029 mg/ml13.0117794680.041 mg/ml

172900

(f)=RT Delta > 1/2 Window (m)=manual int. G49569.D D040108A.M Tue Jun 03 12:03:58 2008 Page 1

Analytics Report 61399 page 0342 of 473



Analytics Report 61399 page 0343 of 473

analy		vironmental Doratory LLC	195 Commerce Way Portsmouth, New Hompshire 03801 603-436-5111 Fax 603-430-2151 800-929-9906
Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burling 30 Community Drive	i gton e Suite 11	June 3, SAMP	2008 LE DATA
CLIEN	T SAMPLE ID	Lab Sample ID: Matrix: Percent Solid:	61399-14 RR Aqueous N/A
Project Name: Project Number: Field Sample ID:	MEFUDS LO-58 03886.184 BB 052008.01	Dilution Factor: Collection Date: Lab Receipt Date: Extraction Date:	1.0 05/20/08 05/22/08 05/23/08
rieu Sampie ID:	KD-032008-01	Analysis Date:	06/03/08
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Result	Units	Quantitation Limit
	U	μg/L	50
	S	urrogate Standard Recovery	
		m-Terphenyl 99 %	
U=U	Indetected J=Estimate	ed E=Exceeds Calibration Range B=Detect	ted in Blank

METHODOLOGY: Sample analyzed according to "Maine HETL Method 4.1.25, September 6, 1995".

**COMMENTS:** 

DRO Report

Authorized signature Milmihill

Sample Name	61399-14, RC	
Data File Name	G49636.D	
Date Acquired	6/3/2008 1:46	
Misc Info		
Sample Volume (mL)	1050	
Final Volume (mL)	1.0	
Analyst Dilution (1:X)	1	
Calculation Factor	952.3810	
Dilution Factor	1.0	
4125 DRO ANALYTICAL RESULTS	ug/L	<u>RL</u>

4125 DRO ANALI HOAL RESULTS	ugru	EXL.		
DRO	U	48		
m-Terphenyl	96%	60-140%	PASS **	

RAW DATA		Reviewed &		
	Raw Inst	Calculated Inst		Sample
	Amount mg/mL	Amount ug/L	Target Response	Amount ug/L
M-TERPHENYL	96.43		4168582.93	
DRO LOW (<1.0)	0.0393	0.00	2197567.07	
DRO HIGH (>1.0)	0.0508	48.33	2197567.07	
DRO	0.04	0.00		0

176.900

6.3.08

Page 1 of 1

C:\HPCHEM\Custrpt\4125WATERSAMPLE.CRT

6/3/08 9:20 AM

Analytics Report 61399 page 0345 of 473

Quantitation Report (QT Reviewed) Data File : D:\TPH\060208-G\G49636.D Vial: 23 Acq On : 3 Jun 2008 1:46 Sample : 61399-14 **K**K Operator: Inst : INST G Multiplr: 1.00 Misc Misc : IntFile : AUTOINT1.E Quant Time: Jun 3 8:09 2008 Quant Results File: D040108A.RES Quant Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M (Chemstation Integrator) Title : DRO Last Update : Mon Jun 02 11:41:57 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : TPHEPH1.M Volume Inj. : lul Signal Phase : Rtx-5MS JIC 6.3.0% Signal Info : 0.25 mm R.T. Response Conc Units Compound System Monitoring Compounds 1) S M-TERPHENYL 15.65 4168583 96.435 ug/ml Spiked Amount 100.000 Range 60 - 140 Recovery = 96.44% Target Compounds 2) HM DRO LOW (<1.0) 13.0121975670.039 mg/ml13.0121975670.051 mg/ml 3) HM DRO HIGH (>1.0)

ND

76700

(f)=RT Delta > 1/2 Window (m)=manual int. G49636.D D040108A.M Tue Jun 03 08:09:55 2008 Page 1



Analytics Report 61399 page 0347 of 473

# DRO QC FORMS

AnalyticsLLC:AEL Documents LLC:Pkg Dividers:DROQC.doc

Instrument ID: G SDG: 61399 GC Column: RTX-5ms Column ID: 0.25 mm

SAMPLE ID	SMC 1 (%)	#
B05238DW	86	
L05238DW	91	
LD05238DW	87	
L05238DW#2	84	
LD05238DW#2	88	

	Lower	Upper
	Limit	Limit
SMC $\#1 = m$ -terphenyl	60	140

# Column to be used to flag recovery values outside of QC limits

* Values outside QC limits

D System Monitoring Compound diluted out

#### DRO FORM 2

Analytics Report 61399 page 0349 of 473

Instrument ID: G SDG: 61399 GC Column: RTX-5ms Column ID: 0.25 mm

SAMPLE ID	SMC 1 (%)	#
B05238DW, RR	93	
L05238DW, RR	92	
LD05238DW, RR	86	
61399-1	84	1
		1
		1
*******		
:		

	Lower	Upper
	Limit	Limit
SMC $\#1 = m$ -terphenyl	60	140

# Column to be used to flag recovery values outside of QC limits

* Values outside QC limits

D System Monitoring Compound diluted out

#### DRO FORM 2

Instrument ID: G SDG: 61399 GC Column: RTX-5ms Column ID: 0.25 mm

SAMPLE ID	SMC 1 (%)	#
B05238DW, RR1	88	Γ
61399-2	88	
61399-3	92	
61399-4	81	
61399-5	85	
61399-6	94	
61399-7	89	
61399-8	97	
61399-9	97	
61399-10	99	
61399-11	96	
61399-12	80	
61399-13	97	
61399-14	93	
61399-2, MS	98	
61399-2, MSD	183	*

	Lower	Upper
	Limit	Limit
SMC #1 = m-terphenyl	60	140

# Column to be used to flag recovery values outside of QC limits

* Values outside QC limits

D System Monitoring Compound diluted out

## DRO FORM 2

Analytics Report 61399 page 0351 of 473

Instrument ID: G SDG: 61399 GC Column: RTX-5ms Column ID: 0.25 mm

SAMPLE ID	SMC 1 (%)	#
B05238DW, RR1	88	
61399-2 . <b>RK</b>	88	
61399-3 <b>AK</b>	92	
61399-4 RC	81	
61399-5	85	
61399-6 <b>RC</b>	94	
61399-7 . <b>RR</b>	89	
61399-8 <b>R</b>	97	
61399-9 <b>R</b>	97	
61399-10 RR	99	
61399-11	96	
61399-12 <b>, R.C.</b>	80	
61399-13 <b>K</b> K	97	
61399-14 <b>RR</b>	93	
61399-2, MŚ <b>, RR</b>	98	
61399-2, MSD , RC	183	¥
•		

	Lower	Upper
	Limit	Limit
SMC $\#1 = m$ -terphenyl	60	140

# Column to be used to flag recovery values outside of QC limits

* Values outside QC limits

D System Monitoring Compound diluted out

#### DRO FORM 2

Analytics Report 61399 page 0352 of 473

DIESEL RANGE ORGANICS AQUEOUS LABORATORY CONTROL/DUPLICATE PERCENT RECOVERY

Instrument ID: G GC Column: RTX-5ms Column ID: 0.25 mm

SDG: 61399 Non-spiked sample: B05238DW Spike: L05238DW Spike duplicate: LD05238DW

-	LCS SPIKE	LCSD SPIKE	LOWER	UPPER	RPD	NON-SPIKE	SPIKE	CHIVE		COULD DO D		_		
COMPOUND	ADDED (ug/L)	ADDED (ug/L)	LIMIT	LIMIT	LIMIT	RESILLT (pg/L)	RESULT (mail)	SFIKE		SPIKE DUP	SPIKE DUP		1	
DRO LOW (<1.0)	1000	1000	60	140	20	0	RESULT (ug/L)	% REC	#	RESULT (ug/L)	% REC	#	RPD	#
DRO HIGH (>1.0)	1000	1000	60	140	20	0	870	88		854	85	_	2	Ц
······································	·			<u> </u>	40	U U	0/0	88	1	857	86		2	ί Ι

# Column to be used to flag recovery and RPD values outside of QC limits

* Values outside QC limits

Spike added values have been volume adjusted.

Non-spike result of "0" used in place of "U" to allow calculation of spike recovery.

Comments:

DRO FORM 3

Analytics Report 61399 page 0353 of 473

Quantitation Report (QT Reviewed) Data File : D:\TPH\052908-G\G49497Q.D Vial: 10 Acq On : 29 May 2008 15:59 Operator: Sample : L05238DW Inst : INST G Misc : Multiplr: 1.00 IntFile : AUTOINT1.E Quant Time: May 30 8:03 2008 Quant Results File: D040108A.RES Quant Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M (Chemstation Integrator) Title : DRO Last Update : Wed Apr 02 09:36:40 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : TPHEPH1.M Volume Inj. : 1ul 5.30.00 Signal Phase : Rtx-5MS Signal Info : 0.25 mm Compound R.T. Response Conc Units System Monitoring Compounds 1) S M-TERPHENYL 15.68 3923163 90.757 ug/mlm Spiked Amount 100.000 Range 60 - 140 Recovery = 90.76% **9**1 Target Compounds 2) HM DRO LOW (<1.0) 3) HM DRO HIGH (>1.0) 13.01 38030542 0.876 mg/ml 38030542 0.878 mg/ml 13.01





Analytics Report 61399 page 0356 of 473

Data File :	D:\TPH\052908-G\G49497Q.D	Vial: 10
Acq On :	29 May 2008 15:59	Operator:
Sample :	L05238DW	Inst : INST G
Misc :		Multiplr: 1.00
IntFile :	AUTOINT1.E	±
Quant Time:	May 30 8:03 2008 Quant Results File:	D040108A.RES

Method	:	C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M	(Chemstation	Integrator)
Title	:	DRO	16 .2	<b>_</b> ,
Last Update	:	Wed Apr 02 09:36:40 2008	6.30.00	
Response via	:	Multiple Level Calibration	7 4	



Analytics Report 61399 page 0357 of 473

Quantitation Report (QT Reviewed) Data File : D:\TPH\052908-G\G494980.D Vial: 11 Acq On : 29 May 2008 16:29 Sample : LD05238DW Operator: Inst : INST G Misc : Multiplr: 1.00 IntFile : AUTOINT1.E Quant Time: May 30 8:03 2008 Quant Results File: D040108A.RES Quant Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M (Chemstation Integrator) Title : DRO Last Update : Wed Apr 02 09:36:40 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : TPHEPH1.M J12 5.30.08 Volume Inj. : 1ul Signal Phase : Rtx-5MS Signal Info : 0.25 mm Compound R.T. Response Conc Units System Monitoring Compounds 1) S M-TERPHENYL 15.68 3763607 87.066 ug/mlm Spiked Amount 100.000 Range 60 - 140 Recovery = 87.07% 87.000 Target Compounds 2) HM DRO LÕW (<1.0) 3) HM DRO HIGH (>1.0) 13.01 37110787 0.854 mg/ml 37110787 0.857 mg/ml 13.01

(f)=RT Delta > 1/2 Window G49498Q.D D040108A.M	Fri May 30 08:03:45 2008	(m)=manual int. Page 1

Analytics Report 61399 page 0358 of 473



#### Analytics Report 61399 page 0359 of 473



Analytics Report 61399 page 0360 of 473

#### Quantitation Report (Qedit)

Data File : D:\TPH\052908-G\G49498Q.D Vial: 11 Acq On : 29 May 2008 16:29 Operator: Sample : LD05238DW Inst : INST G Misc ÷ Multiplr: 1.00 : AUTOINT1.E IntFile Quant Time: May 30 8:03 2008 Quant Results File: D040108A.RES





# Analytics Report 61399 page 0361 of 473

Fri May 30 08:03:37 2008

G49498Q.D D040108A.M

DIESEL RANGE ORGANICS AQUEOUS LABORATORY CONTROL/DUPLICATE PERCENT RECOVERY

Instrument ID: G GC Column: RTX-5ms Column ID: 0.25 mm

SDG: 61399 Non-spiked sample: B05238DW Spike: L05238DW#2 Spike duplicate: LD05238DW#2

		L GG GRUNE											
i		LUS SPIKE	LCSD SPIKE	LOWER	UPPER	RPD	NON-SPIKE	SPIKE	SPIKE	SPIKE DUP	SPIKE DUP		
	COMPOUND	ADDED (ug/L)	ADDED (ug/L)	LIMIT	LIMIT	LIMIT	RESULT (ug/L)	RESULT (ug/L)	% REC	# RESULT (ug/L)	% REC #	PPD	4
	DRO LOW (<1.0)	1000	1000	60	140	20	0	722	72	760	76		
	DRO HIGH (>1.0)	1000	1000	60	140	20	0	727	73	764	76		
						~	·			1 /04	1 /0	1 2 1	. 1

# Column to be used to flag recovery and RPD values outside of QC limits * Values outside QC limits

Spike added values have been volume adjusted.

Non-spike result of "0" used in place of "U" to allow calculation of spike recovery.

Comments:

DRO FORM 3

Analytics Report 61399 page 0362 of 473

Quantitation Report (QT Reviewed) Data File : D:\TPH\052908-G\G49499Q.D Vial: 12 Acq On : 29 May 2008 16:58 Sample : L05238DW#2 Operator: Inst : INST G Misc Misc : IntFile : AUTOINT1.E Multiplr: 1.00 Quant Time: May 30 8:04 2008 Quant Results File: D040108A.RES Quant Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M (Chemstation Integrator) Title : DRO Last Update : Wed Apr 02 09:36:40 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : TPHEPH1.M Volume Inj. : 1ul L. 30.00 Signal Phase : Rtx-5MS Signal Info : 0.25 mm Compound R.T. Response Conc Units _____ System Monitoring Compounds 1) S M-TERPHENYL 15.68 3637033 84.138 ug/mlm Spiked Amount 100.000 Range 60 - 140 Recovery = 84.14% 84.14 Target Compounds 2) HM DRO LOW (<1.0) 3) HM DRO HIGH (>1.0) 13.01314623140.722 mg/ml13.01314623140.727 mg/ml

(f)=RT Delta > 1/2 Window (m)=manual int. G49499Q.D D040108A.M Fri May 30 08:04:10 2008 Page 1



Analytics Report 61399 page 0364 of 473



Data File	:	D:\TPH\052908-G\G49499Q.D	Vial: 12
Acq On	:	29 May 2008 16:58	Operator:
Sample	:	L05238DW#2	Inst : INST G
Misc	:		Multiplr: 1.00
IntFile	:	AUTOINT1.E	· ····································
Quant Time	::	May 30 8:03 2008 Quant Results File	: D040108A.RES





Analytics Report 61399 page 0365 of 473

Quantitation Report (Qedit)

Data File	:	D:\TPH\	05290	8-G\G49	9499Q.I	C		Vial:	12	
Acq On	:	29 May :	2008	16:58				Operator:		
Sample	:	L05238D	W#2					Inst :	INST	G
Misc	:							Multiplr:	1.00	
IntFile	:	AUTOINT:	1.E							
Quant Time	::	May 30	8:03	2008	Quant	Results	File:	D040108A.RES	5	





G49499Q.D D040108A.M Fri May 30 08:04:02 2008

Analytics Report 61399 page 0366 of 473

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
			Quantitation	Report (QT	Reviewed)	
C	Data File : Acq On : Sample : Misc : IntFile : Quant Time:	D:\TPH\052908 29 May 2008 LD05238DW#2 AUTOINT1.E May 30 8:04	3-G\G49500Q.D 17:27 2008 Quant	Results File: I	Vial: Operator: Inst : Multiplr: D040108A.RES	13 INST G 1.00
	Quant Method Title Last Update Response via DataAcq Meth Volume Inj. Signal Phase Signal Info	d : C:\HPCHEM\ : DRO : Wed Apr 02 : Initial Ca : TPHEPH1.M : lul : Rtx-5MS : 0.25 mm	1\METHODS\D0 09:36:40 20 libration	40108A.M (Chems 08 <u>J.3</u> 0.04 <u>5.3</u> 0.04	station Inte	egrator)
	Compound		R.T.	Response	Conc Uni	ts
Sy 1) S Spike Ta	vstem Monitor M-TERPHEN d Amount rget Compoun	ing Compounds YL 100.000 Ran ds	15.68 ge 60 - 140	3808145 Recovery	88.096 ug = 88.10% <b>(</b>	/mlm 3 <b>8~</b>
2) H 3) H	M DRO LOW ( M DRO HIGH	<1.0) (>1.0)	13.01 13.01	33083582 33083582	0.760 mg, 0.764 mg,	/ml /ml

(f)=RT Delta > 1/2 Window (m)=manual int. G49500Q.D D040108A.M Fri May 30 08:04:32 2008 Page 1

# Analytics Report 61399 page 0367 of 473


Analytics Report 61399 page 0368 of 473

Data File Acq On	: :	D:\TPH\052908-G\G49500Q.D 29 May 2008 17:27	Vial: 13 Operator:	
Sample	:	LD05238DW#2		C
Misc	:		Multiplr: 1 00	G
IntFile	:	AUTOINT1.E	indicipit: 1.00	
Quant Time	::	May 30 8:04 2008 Ouant Results File.	D0401082 PFS	

Quantitation Report (Qedit)





Analytics Report 61399 page 0369 of 473

		Quantitation Report (Qedit)		
Data File Acq On	:	D:\TPH\052908-G\G49500Q.D 29 May 2008 17:27	Vial:	13
Sample	:	LD05238DW#2	Inst :	INST G
Misc IntFile	:	ΔΙΙΤΟΙΝΤΙ Ε	Multiplr:	1.00
Quant Time	:	May 30 8:04 2008 Ouant Results File:	D040108A RES	

(





Analytics Report 61399 page 0370 of 473

DIESEL RANGE ORGANICS AQUEOUS LABORATORY CONTROL/DUPLICATE PERCENT RECOVERY

Instrument ID: G GC Column: RTX-5ms Column ID: 0.25 mm

SDG: **61399** Non-spiked sample: B05238DW, RR

Spike: L05238DW, RR Spike: L05238DW, RR Spike duplicate: LD05238DW, RR

COMPOUND	LCS SPIKE	LCSD SPIKE	LOWER	UPPER	RPD	NON-SPIKE	SPIKE	SPIKE	SPIKE DUP	SPIKE DUP		
ECOMPOUND END	ADDED (ug/L)	ADDED (ug/L)	LIMIT	LIMIT	LIMIT	RESULT (ug/L)	RESULT (ug/L)	% REC	# RESULT (ug/L	) % REC	# RPD	
DRO LOW (<1.0)	1000	1000	60	140	20	0	879	88	992	00	12	
DRO HIGH (>1.0)	1000	1000	60	140	20	0	882	88	994	99	12	++

# Column to be used to flag recovery and RPD values outside of QC limits * Values outside QC limits

Spike added values have been volume adjusted,

Non-spike result of "0" used in place of "U" to allow calculation of spike recovery.

Comments:

DRO FORM 3

dagaaa ay ahaa ahaa ahaa ahaa ahaa ahaa a		ng ng ng haway waa na gan da ada yaya na gan gan		an atan da	eren establista data bibliotari eta e	an a	an a
			Quantitat	ion Repo	rt (QT	Reviewed)	
	Data File :	D:\TPH\05300	8-G\G49532	Q.D		Vial:	13
	Acq On :	30 May 2008	20:20			Operator:	
	Sample :	L05238DW, RF	2			Inst :	INST G
	Misc :	·				Multiplr:	1.00
÷	IntFile :	AUTOINT1.E				<u> </u>	
	Quant Time:	Jun 2 8:25	2008 Qua	nt Resul	ts File: I	040108A.RE	5
	Quant Method	l : C:\HPCHEM	I\1\METHODS	\D0401082	A.M (Chems	station Inte	egrator)
	IILLE Indete	: DRU	2 00-26-40	2000		1	_
	Dast Opdate	: wed Apr U	2 09:36:40	2008			
	Response Via	L : INICIAL C	arroration			U	NS
	DataAcy Meti	I : IPAEPAL.M			-0	///	200
	Volumo Traj				6.2.00		
	Vorume Inj.	: LUL . Dtar EMC		عال	•		Ψ
	Signal Info	$\cdot$					
	Signal Into	: 0.25 mm					
	Compound	L	R.	Τ.	Response	Conc Uni	ts
					·		
Sy	stem Monitor	ing Compound	S				
1) S	M-TERPHEN	YL	15.	67	3971076	91.865 ug	ſ/mlm
Spike	ed Amount	100.000 Ra	nge 60-	140 Re	covery	= 91.86%	92-
тэ	raet Compour	da					
2) I	M DRO LOW (	(1)	2	ΓO	20101042	0 979 ma	r /m]
2) ロ	IM DRO HTCH	( 1 0)	±2. 12	01	38181842	0.875 mg	/ r / m ]
- , L		(~+.0)	т <i>э</i> ,	νı	20T0T047	v.ooz my	1/ III I

(f) = RT Delta > 1/2 Window (m)=manual int. G49532Q.D D040108A.M Mon Jun 02 08:25:38 2008

_ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ .

Analytics Report 61399 page 0372 of 473

Page 1



Analytics Report 61399 page 0373 of 473



Analytics Report 61399 page 0374 of 473

,



Analytics Report 61399 page 0375 of 473

Quantitation Report (QT Reviewed) Data File : D:\TPH\053008-G\G495330.D Vial: 14 Acq On : 30 May 2008 20:49 Operator: Sample : LD05238DW, RR Misc : Inst : INST G Misc Multiplr: 1.00 IntFile : AUTOINT1.E Quant Time: Jun 2 8:25 2008 Quant Results File: D040108A.RES Quant Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M (Chemstation Integrator) Title : DRO Last Update : Wed Apr 02 09:36:40 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : TPHEPH1.M ی.2.04 مال Volume Inj. : lul Signal Phase : Rtx-5MS Signal Info : 0.25 mm Compound R.T. Response Conc Units System Monitoring Compounds 1) S M-TERPHENYL 15.67 3735411 86.414 ug/mlm Spiked Amount 100.000 Range 60 - 140 Recovery = 86.41% 56.41 Target Compounds 2) HM DRO LOW (<1.0) 13.01430228000.992 mg/ml13.01430228000.994 mg/ml 3) HM DRO HIGH (>1.0)

(f)=RT Delta > 1/2 Window (m)=manual int. G49533Q.D D040108A.M Mon Jun 02 08:26:06 2008 Page 1



Analytics Report 61399 page 0377 of 473



Analytics Report 61399 page 0378 of 473



Method	:	C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M	(Chemstation	Integrator)
Title	:	DRO	16 6.2.08	
Last Update	:	Wed Apr 02 09:36:40 2008		
Response via	:	Multiple Level Calibration		



Analytics Report 61399 page 0379 of 473

DIESEL RANGE ORGANICS AQUEOUS MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE PERCENT RECOVERY

Instrument ID: G GC Column: RTX-5ms Column ID: 0.25 mm

SDG: 61399 Non-spiked sample: 61399-2 Spike: 61399-2, MS Spike duplicate: 61399-2, MSD

	MS SPIKE	MSD SPIKE	LOWER	UPPER	RPD	NON-SPIKE	SPIKE	SPIKE		SPIKE DUP	SPIKE DUP		
COMPOUND	ADDED (ug/L)	ADDED (ug/L)	LIMIT	LIMIT	LIMIT	RESULT (ug/L)	RESULT (ug/L)	% REC	#	RESULT (ug/L)	% REC	#	RPD #
DRO LOW (<1.0)	1	1	60	140	20	0	1	95		1	87		8
DRO HIGH (>1.0)	1	1	60	140	20	0	1	95		3	88		8

# Column to be used to flag recovery and RPD values outside of QC limits

Values outside QC limits
 Spike added values have been volume adjusted.

Non-spike result of "0" used in place of "U" to allow calculation of spike recovery.

Comments:

DRO FORM 3

Analytics Report 61399 page 0380 of 473

Quantitation Report (QT Reviewed) Data File : D:\TPH\053008-G\G49570SP.D Vial: 47 Acq On : 31 May 2008 16:20 Sample : 61399-2, MS Operator: Inst : INST G Misc Misc : IntFile : AUTOINTL.E Multiplr: 1.00 Quant Time: Jun 3 12:04 2008 Quant Results File: D040108A.RES Quant Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M (Chemstation Integrator) Title : DRO Last Update : Mon Jun 02 11:41:57 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : TPHEPH1.M Volume Inj. : lul 3.08 10.3.08 Signal Phase : Rtx-5MS Signal Info : 0.25 mm R.T. Response Conc Units Compound System Monitoring Compounds 1) S M-TERPHENYL 15.67 4248468 98.283 ug/ml Spiked Amount 100.000 Range 60 - 140 Recovery = 98.28% **18** Target Compounds 13.01 2) HM DRO LOW (<1.0) 3) HM DRO HIGH (>1.0) 41080944 0.947 mg/ml 41080944 0.949 mg/ml 13.01

(f)=RT Delta > 1/2 Window					(m)=manual	int.	
G49570SP.D D040108A.M	Tue Ju	n 03	12:04:12	2008		Page	1

Analytics Report 61399 page 0381 of 473



Analytics Report 61399 page 0382 of 473

Quantitation Report (QT Reviewed) Data File : D:\TPH\053008-G\G49571SP.D Vial: 48 Acq On : 31 May 2008 16:49 Sample : 61399-2, MSD Operator: Inst : INST G Multiplr: 1.00 : Misc IntFile : AUTOINT1.E Quant Time: Jun 4 13:09 2008 Quant Results File: D040108A.RES Quant Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M (Chemstation Integrator) Title : DRO Last Update : Mon Jun 02 11:41:57 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : TPHEPH1.M Volume Inj. : lul Signal Phase : Rtx-5MS Signal Info : 0.25 mm Compound R.T. Response Conc Units ------System Monitoring Compounds 1) S M-TERPHENYL 15.68 7930795 183.468 ug/mlm Spiked Amount 100.000 Range 60 - 140 Recovery 183.478# Appen Sim. 4 Target Compounds added 0.872 mg/ml 🗤 🖉 13.01 2) HM DRO LOW (<1.0) 37865239 3) HM DRO HIGH (>1.0) 13.01 37865239 0.874 mg/ml

Sec QAW

(f)=RT Delta > 1/2 Window (m)=manual int. G49571SP.D D040108A.M Wed Jun 04 13:09:38 2008 Page 1

Analytics Report 61399 page 0383 of 473



Analytics Report 61399 page 0384 of 473

DIESEL RANGE ORGANICS AQUEOUS MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE PERCENT RECOVERY

Instrument ID: G GC Column: RTX-5ms Column ID: 0.25 mm

SDG: 61399 Non-spiked sample: 61399-2, **ER** Spike: 61399-2, MS, **RR** Spike duplicate: 61399-2, MSD, **RR** 

	MS SPIKE	MSD SPIKE	LOWER	UPPER	RPD	NON-SPIKE	SPIKE	SPIKE		SPIKE DUP	SPIKE DUP		
COMPOUND	ADDED (ug/L)	ADDED (ug/L)	LIMIT	LIMIT	LIMIT	RESULT (ug/L)	RESULT (ug/L)	% REC	# ;	RESULT (ug/L)	% REC	#	RPD #
DRO LOW (<1.0)	1	]	60	140	20	0	1	96		1	88		8
DRO HIGH (>1.0)	I	1	60	140	20	0	1	96		1	89		8

# Column to be used to flag recovery and RPD values outside of QC limits

* Values outside QC limits Spike added values have been volume adjusted.

Non-spike result of "0" used in place of "U" to allow calculation of spike recovery.

Comments:

DRO FORM 3

Quantitation Report (QT Reviewed) Data File : D:\TPH\060208-G\G49637SP.D Vial: 24 Acq On : 3 Jun 2008 2:15 Operator: Sample : 61399-2, MS, RA Misc : Inst : INST G Multiplr: 1.00 Misc IntFile : AUTOINT1.E Quant Time: Jun 3 8:10 2008 Quant Results File: D040108A.RES Quant Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M (Chemstation Integrator) Title : DRO Last Update : Mon Jun 02 11:41:57 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : TPHEPH1.M Volume Inj. : lul Signal Phase : Rtx-5MS Signal Info : 0.25 mm Compound R.T. Response Conc Units System Monitoring Compounds 1) S M-TERPHENYL 15.66 4314156 99.802 ug/ml Spiked Amount 100.000 Range 60 - 140 Recovery = 99.80% 1) S M-TERPHENYL Target Compounds 2) HM DRO LOW (<1.0) 13.01 13.01 41676448 0.961 mg/ml 41676448 0.962 mg/ml

(f) = RT Delta > 1/2 Window G49637SP.D D040108A.M

3) HM DRO HIGH (>1.0)

Tue Jun 03 08:10:14 2008

(m)=manual int. Page 1



Analytics Report 61399 page 0387 of 473

Quantitation Report (QT Reviewed) Data File : D:\TPH\060208-G\G49638SP.D Vial: 25 Acq On : 3 Jun 2008 2:44 Sample : 61399-2, MSD₁KA Misc : Operator: Inst : INST G Multiplr: 1.00 IntFile : AUTOINT1.E Quant Time: Jun 4 13:19 2008 Quant Results File: D040108A.RES Quant Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M (Chemstation Integrator) Title : DRO Last Update : Mon Jun 02 11:41:57 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : TPHEPH1.M m6170% Volume Inj. : lul Signal Phase : Rtx-5MS Signal Info : 0.25 mm Compound R.T. Response Conc Units ______ System Monitoring Compounds Target Compounds 13.01386274130.890 mg/ml/13.01386274130.892 mg/ml 2) HM DRO LOW (<1.0) 3) HM DRO HIGH (>1.0)



Analytics Report 61399 page 0389 of 473

Detector: A

environmental loboratory LLC

analytics

0401089

Load: <u>Jic</u> Unload: <u>Jic</u>

	Run	Vial	# Sample	Method	Comments
4	148496	100	Meclz	D03178A.	n.
	477		T6352 #2 FO 0.15/1700 C	ONF	
	1856	. 2	T6334 DRO O.SmalmL		Suspect Concent. Frug
	193		B0328800		425420
	80Q	8	L03289DW		
	<u>81 Q</u>	9	LO03298DW		
	320	10	L0320020#2		9145H22
	<u> 830</u>	11	LD03288DWIFE		
	<u> <u>B</u>ÍQ</u>	12	L03278DAS	r o o	4125ASE
	85	13	60920-2 11-7	1	4125420
····	86	14	60920-1		
	81	15	60928-1		
	88	16	60928-2		
	89	17	60927-1		
	90	18	160915-2		4125ASE
	91	19	60915-5		
	92	20	60915-1		
	93	21	60915-3		
	94	22	60915-4		
	955c	3-	T6389 DRO 1-0 mgtmL		
	9656	2	T6386 pRO 0.5mgline		Run After File # G484
	9751	7	T6331 DRO 0.025 mg/mL	0040108A.M.	
(	9851	8	T6332 DRD 0.05 mglmL	<u> </u>	
6	9951	9	T6333 DRO D. Ingime .	<u> </u>	
£	50051	10	T6386 DRO O.Singlinh		
	0151	11	T6387 DRO 1.0 mg/m	¥	
	0251	12	T6336 DROS. omgline	<u> </u>	
	0351	13	T6337 DRO 10.0mg/ml	1/	
	0450	14	T4338 DRO CC 1.8 mp	in	
·	05B	15	B03289DW U.	DOYOISAM	4125420
	06Q	16	L032880W .		
	07Q	_17_	LD03283DW -	/	
	08Q	B	L032890W#2 .	K/	
(	69Q	19	LD032880W#2 .	/	L
10	$\mathbf{D} \mathbf{Q}$	20	1.03278DAS	J J	HIDSASE

C:\ANALYTICS LLC\AEL Documents\logbooks\sequence

& Continued on Next pg. REV 2- 09/14/06

Analytics Report 61399 page 0390 of 473

Detector: _____A____

environmental laboratory LLC

0529089

## า

Load: <u>Ju</u> Unload: <u>Ju</u>

Run	Vial #	Sample	Method	Comments
649491	(00	MeC12 - Lot 480501	004018AM	
92		T6388 # 2 FU 0.051 DRO	CONF	
935C	2	TUBBLE DRO O.Smglmt		
94B	7	BOSZZBDW-Z		4125420
<u>95Q</u>	8	LOSZ289W-2 /		
96B	9	B05238 PW		
<u>91Q</u>	10	L05238DW		
78Q	$\mid $ $u$	LD052380W		
99Q	12	L05238DW#2 V		
500Q	13	LD05238042		
OIB	14	BOSZTBOW, RR	/	
02Q	15	LOSZ7BDW	/	
<u>03</u> Q	16	LO052780W /	/	
04	17	61378-1,RR -	/	
<u> </u>	18	61395-1	/	
66	19	61397-1		
	20	61397-2		
08	21	61397-3		
୍ର	22	61397-4		
(0	23	61397-5		
	24	61397-6 -		
12	25	61418-1		
13	ZC	61418-2		
14	27	61419-2 1		
15	28	61419-3 -		
16	29	61398-1		
17	30	61419-1		
18	31	61380-11		
	32	6394-1		
	33	61381-1		
21	1	76388 # 2 FO 0.05/010	eom=	-
2250		T6387 ORO 1.0 malmal	<u> </u>	
		J		
			K 5.3008	
			-	

C:\ANALYTICS LLC\AEL Documents\logbooks\sequence

REV 2- 09/11/06

Analytics Report 61399 page 0391 of 473

[40]

environmental laboratory LLC

analytics

Detector: <u>A</u> 053008G

Load: 14 Unload: Ju

Run	Vial #	Sample		Meth	nod		Comments	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
649523	100	meciz	100	401	8 AM			
24		T6388 #2 FO 0.05/DR	OCONF	1				·····
2556	2	T6386 DRO 0.5 mg/me						
26B	<u> </u>	1305308PW				412:	F 20	
279	8	L05308DW	4					
28Q	9	LDOSJOBIDW	-					
29Q	10	L05308DW#2	1					
300		LP05308000#2.	Z.				- Porter	
31B	12	B052380W,RR .	1					
<u>32Q</u>	13	LOSZ38DW, RR -	1					
<u> </u>	14	LOOSZ38DW, RR -	1					
34	15	61420-17 -	1					
35	16	61420-16				1		
36		61399-1 ~	1			1		
	18	61420-20	1					
	19	61420-21 :				1		
39	20	61439-6						
40	21	61420-18 -					······	
41	22	61420-23						
42	23	61439-8	/ _			1	······································	
43	24	61439-2 4						
- 44	25	61439-5						
45	26 (	61439-1	7			1		
46	27 6	1439-7	/			-		
47	28 4	01420-22						
48	29 6	1439-4	/	1-		1-		]
49	30 6	1439-3	· · · · ·	1-		1-		
- 50	31 6	1420-15				+-		
51	32 6	1420-19		1-				
52	33 6	1439-9	/	$\uparrow$		J		
53	L T	6388 #Z FD 0.05/DR6	sur	1		<b>-</b>		
54	2 1	6386 DRO O. Smalul	/	1		·····		
55	3 T	6387 DRO 1.0 m 1. 1		+				_
SEB	12 3	OSZ38DW, RR 1						1
57	34 6	1399-2	mi	$\mathbf{T}$				-  \$
ALYTICS LLC\AEL Doc	uments\logbooks	usequence & Continued On	to A	Jex 1	t pag	2 •	REV 2- 09/11	

Detector: A

GIK

environmental laboratory LLC

05300801

Unload: ju * Continued from previouring

Load: JK

	Run	Vial #	Sample	Method	Comments	
1/1	19558	35	61399-3	POYOIBAM	4125420	
المعلد	59	36	61399-4			$\Box$ $\land$
	60	37	61399-5			
·	61	38	61399-6			
:[	62	39	61399-7			
; <b> </b>	63	4D	61399-8			
	64	41	61399-9			
	65	42	61399-10			
	66	43	61399-11			
	67	44	61399-12			
	68	45	61399-13			
	69	45	61399-14			_ /cius
	705P	47	61399-2, MS			/ Not US
	TISP	48	61399-2, MSD		<u> </u>	- 1020 CON
· [:	72		16388 # 2 FO 0.05/DRO	CONF		1 5010 55
	1350	2	T6386 DRU O. Singland			- 1.bbs
	7456	3	T6387 DRO 1.0 mg/ml			
	15B	49	BOSZABPAS U		HIZSASE	_ \
	760	50	L0529805			
	77Q	51	LDOSZ98DAS			
· .	78B	52	B05308 DAS			
ļ		53	LOS308DAS			
[	BOQ	54	LDOSJOBDAS			_
	_81	55	61420-14			
 	82	56	61424-3			
[	83	51	61420-8			_
	84	<u>58</u>	61420-10			_
	_85	59	61424-1			_
	-86	60	61424-5			- 1
<b> </b>	878P	61	61424-5, MS			- /
<u>                                    </u>	BBSP	62	61424-5,100			- /
	89	63	61420-11			- /
	40	64	61422-1			
	<u> </u>	65	61420-9		/,	- /
L	92	66	61420-1	V		

C:VANALYTICS LLC\AEL Documents\logbooks\sequence

× Continued Onto Next Ref 2- 09/11/06

[42]

Analytics Report 61399 page 0393 of 473

environmental laboratory LLC

analytics

Detector: A 0602086

Load: <u>راهـ</u> Unload: JW

Run Via		Vial #	al # Sample		Method	Comments	
<u>(1</u>	49605	100	Meclz		D04018Am	•	
	64	<u> </u>	T6388 #2 FO O.C	Sloro Con	1F		
	0152	. 2	16386 DRO 0.5 m	glar	L L		
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	T6380 #2 FO 0.5	roalmi	T04248An		
	09B	1	BOSZABDAS		4013 Am 1 TO42	rshin	
	100	8	LOSZ98 DAJ		DOYOIBAM		
	110		LUOSZ98DAS	T	/ J		
	129	10	LOSZABTAN	7	T04248 AM		
	13	1	61427-1		< 1 × 1		
	14	12	61427-2			e	
	15	13	61426-1				
	16	14	61422-1	-1	DOLLOI 8 AM	4125A515	
: <u>.</u>	17		T6388 # 2F0 0.05	DRO CAN	F I		
·:.	1856	3	16387 DRO 1.0,	malm			
	1950	5	16381 #ZED 1.	smalare.	TO4249AM		
	2013-		BOSESBOAS, RR.				- - - -
	-21	8-	61-126 1,20x				- /sī
	-225-	-5-1	6381 #25012	mahan .			-//
2	COB	7 1	305238DWIRR2	J.r	)0401 8ALA	V125 H70	
5. 5-2.	2108	8	BUSJOBDAT			4125 451=	
<u> </u>	ZZQ	9	LOS308PAJ			1	-
	Z3Q	10	LOOSZORDAS				
<u></u>	24	(1	61399-2.RR			14178470	
	_25	12	61392-31RR			1	
	26	13	61398-4, nR				-
:	27	14	1.1399-5 RR				-
	28	15 1	1399-6 RR				
·	29	16 (	01399-7 .RR				-
	30	17 /	1399-B .PP				-
	31	18 0	61399 - 9.00	7			
	32	ig	(1399-10 MD.				-
	33	28	21399-11 IRIZ				-
(inc.	34	212	1299-17 RR				-
	35	28 1	01299-12 00				_
	36	22 (	1399-14, PR				4

Analytics Report 61399 page 0394 of 473

ГЛАП

		۸			Load: <u>IL</u>				
	Detector:	A	0602089		Unload:	Ne			
				Ę	* Cov	stinued	from p	revions p	
	Run	Vial #	Sample	Met	hod	Com	nents	~¥(	
	149437JP	24	61399-2,MS, R.M.	POYOIY	3 Am	4125420	1086		
	38SP	25	61399-2, MSU, RT2 -			J	1080		
	39	26	61424-3 1			41250315			
	ųo	21	61424-1						
	41	28	61424-5						
	42SP	29	61424 5,45 -			5.99		- -	
	43SP	30	61424-5,MSD 4	,		(5.47			
	44	71	T6388 # 21=0 0.05/DK	g cour=			······································	Poor peal	
	45	3	T6908 DRO 1.0 mg/m	4	,			) resoluti	
	46	Ĩ	T6388 # 2 FO 0.05 / DRO	CONF	$\mathbf{V}$			1	
-					<	······································			
				/					
			· · · · ·						
				3.08					
				<u> </u>					
						-			
	·								
						<u></u>			
<u></u>	—								
<u> </u>									
•									
		$- \downarrow$							
		> +							
						· · · ····			
2000- 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 1715 - 171			·						
	$\leftarrow$								
2011 - 1 01, 1 1				ı		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
20 S. A.									

CANALYTICS LLCAEL Documents/logbooks/sequence

environmental laboratory LLC

REV 2- 09/11/06

Analytics Report 61399 page 0395 of 473

[45]

Response Factor Report INST G

Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M (Chemstation Integrator)
Title : DRO
Last Undate . Ned New 02 00 25 40 2000

Last Update : Wed Apr 02 09:36:40 2008

Cali 1	bration Files =G48497SI.D	2	=G	48498S	.D 3		=G484	99SI.D			
4 =G48500SL.D 5 Compound			=G4850151 1 2		D 6 3 4		=G48502S1.D 5 6		Avg	00	
1) S 2) HM 3) HM	M-TERPHENYL DRO LOW (<1.0) DRO HIGH (>1.0)		4.172 7.222	3.857 5.226	4.313 4.692 4.692	4.360 4.357 4.357	4.490 4.343 4.343	4.744	4.323 5.168 4.330	E4 6 E7 6 E7 6	

JV= 4.208

(#) = Out of Range ### Number of calibration levels exceeded format ###
D040108A.M Wed Apr 02 09:42:12 2008 Page 1

Analytics Report 61399 page 0396 of 473



Method Name: C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M Calibration Table Last Updated: Wed Apr 02 09:34:08 2008

154-200

Analytics Report 61399 page 0397 of 473.



Method Name: C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M Calibration Table Last Updated: Wed Apr 02 09:34:08 2008

124-200

Analytics Report 61399 page 0398 of 473

Jr 03 4.V

154.208



Method Name: C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M Calibration Table Last Updated: Wed Apr 02 09:36:40 2008

Analytics Report 61399 page 0399 of 473

Quantitation Report (QT Reviewed) Data File : D:\TPH\040108-G\G48497SI.D Vial: 7 Acq On : 1 Apr 2008 14:36 Operator: Sample : T6331 DRO 0.025MG/ML Misc : Inst : INST G Multiplr: 1.00 IntFile : AUTOINTL.E Quant Time: Apr 2 8:36 2008 Quant Results File: D040108A.RES Quant Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M (Chemstation Integrator) Title : DRO Last Update : Tue Mar 18 08:01:06 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : TPHEPH1.M Volume Inj. : lul Jr 03 Signal Phase : Rtx-5MS Signal Info : 0.25 mm R.T. Response Conc Units Compound System Monitoring Compounds 1) S M-TERPHENYL 15.78 104297 2.811 ug/mlm Spiked Amount 100.000 Range 60 - 140 Recovery = 2.81%# Target Compounds 13.01 13.01 1805505 0.025 mg/ml 1805505 N.D. mg/ml 2) HM DRO LOW (<1.0) 3) HM DRO HIGH (>1.0)

N4-200

(f) = RT Delta > 1/2 Window (m)=manual int. G48497SI.D D040108A.M Wed Apr 02 08:44:11 2008 Page 1

Analytics Report 61399 page 0400 of 473



Analytics Report 61399 page 0401 of 473



Analytics Report 61399 page 0402 of 473



Analytics Report 61399 page 0403 of 473
Quantitation Report (QT Reviewed) Data File : D:\TPH\040108-G\G48498SI.D Vial: 8 Acq On : 1 Apr 2008 15:05 Operator: Sample : T6331 DRO 0.05 MG/ML Misc : Inst : INST G Multiplr: 1.00 IntFile : AUTOINT1.E Quant Time: Apr 2 8:36 2008 Quant Results File: D040108A.RES Quant Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M (Chemstation Integrator) Title : DRO Last Update : Tue Mar 18 08:01:06 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : TPHEPH1.M JV 4.2.03 Volume Inj. : lul Signal Phase : Rtx-5MS Signal Info : 0.25 mm Compound R.T. Response Conc Units System Monitoring Compounds 

 1) S M-TERPHENYL
 15.76
 192850
 5.198 ug/mlm

 Spiked Amount
 100.000
 Range
 60 - 140
 Recovery
 =
 5.20%#

 Target Compounds 2) HM DRO LOW (<1.0) 13.0126131910.045 mg/ml13.012613191N.D. mg/ml 3) HM DRO HIGH (>1.0)

174.200

(f)=RT Delta > 1/2 Window (m)=manual int. G48498SI.D D040108A.M Wed Apr 02 08:44:14 2008 Page 1

Analytics Report 61399 page 0404 of 473



Analytics Report 61399 page 0405 of 473



Analytics Report 61399 page 0406 of 473



		en an ferse ander en				
ser en de la comme de la comme de la companya de l		Q	uantitation R	leport (QT	Reviewed)	
I Z S N	Data File : D: Acq On : 1 Sample : T6 Misc :	\TPH\040108-0 Apr 2008 11 333 DRO 0.1 1	G\G48499SI.D 5:34 MG/ML	·	Vial: Operator: Inst : Multiplr:	9 INST G 1.00
Ç	Quant Time: Ap	r = 2 + 8:37 = 20	)08 Quant Re	sults File: D	040108A.RE	3
C T I F C	Quant Method : Fitle : Last Update : Response via : DataAcq Meth :	C:\HPCHEM\1 DRO Tue Mar 18 ( Initial Cal: TPHEPH1.M	\METHODS\D040 08:01:06 2008 Ibration	108A.M (Chems	tation Inte	≥grator)
5 5 7	Signal Phase : Signal Info :	Rtx-5MS 0.25 mm		4.2.00		
	Compound		R.T.	Response	Conc Uni	ts
Sys 1) S Spiked	tem Monitoring M-TERPHENYL Amount 100	g Compounds ).000 Range	15.75 : 60 - 140	431333 Recovery =	11.627 ug = 11.63%#	/ml
Tar 2) HM 3) HM	get Compounds DRO LOW (<1. DRO HIGH (>1	.0) L.0)	13.01 13.01	4692465 4692465	0.097 mg N.D. mg	/ml //ml

134.200

(f)=RT Delta > 1/2 Window G48499SI.D D040108A.M Wed Apr 02 08:44:18 2008

(

(m)=manual int. Page 1

Analytics Report 61399 page 0408 of 473



Analytics Report 61399 page 0409 of 473

A A

Quantitation Report (QT Reviewed) Data File : D:\TPH\040108-G\G48500SI.D Vial: 10 Acq On : 1 Apr 2008 16:04 Operator: Sample : T6386 DRO 0.5 MG/ML Misc : Inst : INST G Multiplr: 1.00 IntFile : AUTOINT1.E Quant Time: Apr 2 8:37 2008 Quant Results File: D040108A.RES Quant Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M (Chemstation Integrator) Title : DRO Last Update : Tue Mar 18 08:01:06 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : TPHEPH1.M عال ų.2.08 Volume Inj. : lul Signal Phase : Rtx-5MS Signal Info : 0.25 mm Compound R.T. Response Conc Units System Monitoring Compounds 1) S M-TERPHENYL 15.76 2179883 58.759 ug/mlm Spiked Amount 100.000 Range 60 - 140 Recovery = 58.76%# Target Compounds 2) HM DRO LOW (<1.0) 3) HM DRO HIGH (>1.0) 13.01217831500.522 mg/ml13.01217831500.473 mg/ml

134.200

(f)=RT Delta > 1/2 Window (m)=manual int. G48500SI.D D040108A.M Wed Apr 02 08:44:22 2008 Page 1

Analytics Report 61399 page 0410 of 473



Analytics Report 61399 page 0411 of 473



.



Method	:	C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M	(Chemstation	Integrator)
Title	:	DRO	W 2	
Last Update	:	Tue Mar 18 08:01:06 2008	4.2.05	
Response via	:	Multiple Level Calibration	ά. •	



Analytics Report 61399 page 0413 of 473

Quantitation Report (QT Reviewed) Vial: 11 Data File : D:\TPH\040108-G\G48501SI.D Operator: Acq On : 1 Apr 2008 16:33 Sample : T6387 DRO 1.0 MG/ML Misc : Inst : INST G Multiplr: 1.00 IntFile : AUTOINT1.E Quant Time: Apr 2 8:38 2008 Quant Results File: D040108A.RES Quant Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M (Chemstation Integrator) Title : DRO Last Update : Tue Mar 18 08:01:06 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : TPHEPH1.M JIC 4.200 Volume Inj. : 1ul Signal Phase : Rtx-5MS Signal Info : 0.25 mm R.T. Response Conc Units Compound _______ System Monitoring Compounds 1) S M-TERPHENYL 15.77 4490135 121.033 ug/ml Spiked Amount 100.000 Range 60 - 140 Recovery = 121.03% Target Compounds 13.01434324131.061 mg/ml13.01434324131.117 mg/ml 2) HM DRO LOW (<1.0) 3) HM DRO HIGH (>1.0)

154200

(f)=RT Delta > 1/2 Window (m)=manual int. G48501SI.D D040108A.M Wed Apr 02 08:44:25 2008 Page 1

Analytics Report 61399 page 0414 of 473



Analytics Report 61399 page 0415 of 473

Quantitation Report (QT Reviewed) Data File : D:\TPH\040108-G\G48502SI.D Vial: 12 Acq On : 1 Apr 2008 17:17 Operator: Sample : T6336 DRO 5.0 MG/ML Misc : Inst : INST G Multiplr: 1.00 IntFile : AUTOINT1.E Quant Time: Apr 2 8:46 2008 Quant Results File: D040108A.RES Quant Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M (Chemstation Integrator) Title : DRO Last Update : Tue Mar 18 08:01:06 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : TPHEPH1.M Jr 4.2.06 Volume Inj. : lul Signal Phase : Rtx-5MS Signal Info : 0.25 mm R.T. Response Conc Units Compound ------_____ System Monitoring Compounds 1) S M-TERPHENYL 15.71 23720745 639.398 ug/mlm Spiked Amount 100.000 Range 60 - 140 Recovery = 639.40%# Target Compounds 13.012157165465.346 mg/ml13.012157165466.239 mg/ml 2) HM DRO LOW (<1.0) 3) HM DRO HIGH (>1.0)

154-203

(f)=RT Delta > 1/2 Window (m)=manual int. G48502SI.D D040108A.M Wed Apr 02 08:46:10 2008 Page 1

Analytics Report 61399 page 0416 of 473



Analytics Report 61399 page 0417 of 473



Analytics Report 61399 page 0418 of 473

Quantitation Report (QT Reviewed) Data File : D:\TPH\040108-G\G48503SI.D Vial: 13 Acq On : 1 Apr 2008 17:46 Operator: Sample : T6337 DR010.0 MG/ML Inst : INST G Misc : Multiplr: 1.00 IntFile : AUTOINT1.E Quant Time: Apr 2 8:40 2008 Quant Results File: D040108A.RES Quant Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M (Chemstation Integrator) Title : DRO Last Update : Tue Mar 18 08:01:06 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : TPHEPH1.M JIL 4.2.08 Volume Inj. : 1ul Signal Phase : Rtx-5MS Signal Info : 0.25 mm R.T. Response Conc Units Compound System Monitoring Compounds 1) SM-TERPHENYL15.79652008921757.505ug/mlSpiked Amount100.000Range60 - 140Recovery= 1757.51%# Target Compounds 394354391 9.789 mg/ml 394354391 11.549 mg/ml 2) HM DRO LOW (<1.0) 13.01 3) HM DRO HIGH (>1.0) 13.01

154-208

(f)=RT Delta > 1/2 Window(m)=manual int.G48503SI.D D040108A.MWed Apr 02 08:44:36 2008Page 1

Analytics Report 61399 page 0419 of 473



Analytics Report 61399 page 0420 of 473

Quantitation Report (QT Reviewed) Data File : D:\TPH\040108-G\G48504SC.D Vial: 14 Acq On : 1 Apr 2008 18:15 Operator: Sample : T6338 DRO CC 1.0 MG/ML Inst : INST G Misc Multiplr: 1.00 : IntFile : AUTOINT1.E Quant Time: Apr 2 9:47 2008 Quant Results File: D040108A.RES Quant Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M (Chemstation Integrator) Title : DRO Last Update : Wed Apr 02 09:36:40 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : TPHEPH1.M Jr 4.2.00 Volume Inj. : lul Signal Phase : Rtx-5MS Signal Info : 0.25 mm R.T. Response Conc Units Compound System Monitoring Compounds 1) SM-TERPHENYL15.774534241104.894 ug/mlSpiked Amount100.000Range60 - 140Recovery= 104.89% Target Compounds 2) HM DRO LOW (<1.0) 42625706 0.983 mg/ml 42625706 0.984 mg/ml 13.01 3) HM DRO HIGH (>1.0) 13.01

174.206

(f)=RT Delta > 1/2 Window (m)=manual int. G48504SC.D D040108A.M Wed Apr 02 09:48:07 2008 Page 1

Analytics Report 61399 page 0421 of 473



Analytics Report 61399 page 0422 of 473

Quantitation Report (QT Reviewed) Data File : D:\TPH\053008-G\G49524.D Vial: 1 Acq On : 30 May 2008 14:08 Sample : T6388 #2 FO 0.05/DRO CONF Operator: Inst : INST G Multiplr: 1.00 Misc : IntFile : AUTOINT1.E Quant Time: May 30 15:08 2008 Quant Results File: D040108A.RES Quant Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M (Chemstation Integrator) Title : DRO Last Update : Wed Apr 02 09:36:40 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : TPHEPH1.M Volume Inj. : lul yc . 30.08 Signal Phase : Rtx-5MS Signal Info : 0.25 mm Compound R.T. Response Conc Units System Monitoring Compounds 1) S M-TERPHENYL 15.70 279787 6.472 ug/ml 1) S M-TERPHENYL 15.70 279787 6.472 ug, Spiked Amount 100.000 Range 60 - 140 Recovery = <u>6.47%</u># Target Compounds 13.01 2) HM DRO LOW (<1.0) 2504690 0.046 mg/ml **92** 3) HM DRO HIGH (>1.0) 13.01 2434886 <u>0.056</u>mg/ml

(f)=RT Delta > 1/2 Window (m)=manual int. G49524.D D040108A.M Fri May 30 15:08:27 2008 Page 1

Analytics Report 61399 page 0423 of 473



Analytics Report 61399 page 0424 of 473

			Chromatographic Rea	solution Repor	t
Data File Acq On Sample Misc tFile	:::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	D:\TPH\0530 30 May 2008 T6388 #2 FO AUTOINT1.E	08-G\G49524.D 14:08 0.05/DRO CONF	Ope: Ins Mul	Vial: 1 rator: t : INST G tiplr: 1.00
Method Title	:	C:\HPCHEM\1 DRO	\METHODS\D040108A.M	(Chemstation :	Integrator)
RT#1		RT#2	Resolution	2	
12.857 13.453		12.900 13.519	71.218 77.87%		JN 8
G49524.D		D040108A.M	Fri May 30 15:30	):50 2008	10.V.

File : D:\TPH\053008-G\G49524.D Operator ; using AcqMethod TPHEPH1.M 30 May 2008 14:08 Acquired : INST G Instrument : Sample Name: T6388 #2 FO 0.05/DRO CONF Misc Info : Ju .08 Vial Number: 1



Analytics Report 61399 page 0426 of 473

## DIESEL RANGE ORGANICS CONTINUING CALIBRATION

Instrument ID: G GC Column: RTX-5ms Column ID: 0.25 mm SDG: **61399** Standard ID: T6386 DRO 0.5 MG/ML Data File ID: G49525SC.D

COMPOUND	STANDARD RESULT (mg/mL)	% Recovery	#
M-TERPHENYL	52.4	105	
DRO LOW (<1.0)	0.551	110	
DRO HIGH (>1.0)	0.557	111	

Lower Limit 80 Upper Limit 120

# Column to be used to flag recovery values outside of QC limits

* Values outside QC limits

Comments:

DRO FORM 7

Quantitation Report (QT Reviewed) Vial: 2 Data File : D:\TPH\053008-G\G49525SC.D Acq On : 30 May 2008 14:37 Operator: Sample : T6386 DRO 0.5 MG/ML Misc : Inst : INST G Multiplr: 1.00 IntFile : AUTOINT1.E Quant Time: May 30 15:09 2008 Quant Results File: D040108A.RES Quant Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M (Chemstation Integrator) Title : DRO Last Update : Wed Apr 02 09:36:40 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : TPHEPH1.M Volume Inj. : 1ul 5.30.00 Signal Phase : Rtx-5MS Signal Info : 0.25 mm Compound R.T. Response Conc Units System Monitoring Compounds 1) S M-TERPHENYL 15.68 2265161 52.401 ug/mlm Spiked Amount 100.000 Range 60 - 140 Recovery = 52.40%# Target Compounds 2) HM DRO LOW (<1.0) 13.01241069560.551 mg/ml13.01241069560.557 mg/ml 3) HM DRO HIGH (>1.0)

(f)=RT Delta > 1/2 Window (m)=manual int. G49525SC.D D040108A.M Fri May 30 15:09:20 2008 Page 1



Analytics Report 61399 page 0429 of 473



Analytics Report 61399 page 0430 of 473



Analytics Report 61399 page 0431 of 473

Quantitation Report (QT Reviewed) Data File : D:\TPH\053008-G\G49553.D Vial: 1 Acq On : 31 May 2008 7:18 Sample : T6388 #2 FO 0.05/DRO CONF Misc : IntFile : AUTOINT1.E Operator: Inst : INST G Multiplr: 1.00 Quant Time: Jun 2 8:16 2008 Quant Results File: D040108A.RES Quant Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M (Chemstation Integrator) Title : DRO Last Update : Wed Apr 02 09:36:40 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : TPHEPH1.M Jr 6.2.09 Volume Inj. : lul Signal Phase : Rtx-5MS Signal Info : 0.25 mm R.T. Response Conc Units Compound System Monitoring Compounds 1) S M-TERPHENYL 15.68 202392 4.682 ug/ml Spiked Amount 100.000 Range 60 - 140 Recovery = -4.68%# Target Compounds 13.01 1933300 0.033 mg/ml **blo**. 13.01 1986626 <u>0,046 mg/m</u>l 2) HM DRO LOW (<1.0) 3) HM DRO HIGH (>1.0)

(f)=RT Delta > 1/2 Window		(m)=manual int.
G49553.D D040108A.M	Mon Jun 02 08:16:20 2008	Page 1



Analytics Report 61399 page 0433 of 473

			Chromatographic Res	solution Report	
Data File Acq On Sample Misc .tFile	: : : :	D:\TPH\05300 31 May 2008 T6388 #2 FO AUTOINT1.E	08-G\G49553.D 7:18 0.05/DRO CONF	Vial: Operator: Inst : Multiplr:	l INST G 1.00
Method Title	:	C:\HPCHEM\1\ DRO	METHODS\D040108A.M	(Chemstation Integr نام الا ^{.1.0}	ator)
RT#1		RT#2	Resolution		GNS
12.837 13.431		12.876 13.496	60.16% 71.72%		6.2
G49553.D		D040108A.M	Mon Jun 02 08:17	7:19 2008	

Ć,

File : D:\TPH\053008-G\G49553.D
Operator :
Acquired : 31 May 2008 7:18 using AcqMethod TPHEPH1.M
Instrument : INST G
Sample Name: T6388 #2 FO 0.05/DRO CONF
Misc Info :
Vial Number: 1



Analytics Report 61399 page 0435 of 473

## DIESEL RANGE ORGANICS CONTINUING CALIBRATION

Instrument ID: G GC Column: RTX-5ms Column ID: 0.25 mm SDG: **61399** Standard ID: T6386 DRO 0.5 MG/ML Data File ID: G49554SC.D

COMPOUND	STANDARD RESULT (mg/mL)	% Recovery	#
M-TERPHENYL	50.6	101	
DRO LOW (<1.0)	0.538	108	
DRO HIGH (>1.0)	0.544	109	

Lower Limit 80 Upper Limit 120

# Column to be used to flag recovery values outside of QC limits

* Values outside QC limits

Comments:

DRO FORM 7

Quantitation Report (QT Reviewed) Data File : D:\TPH\053008-G\G49554SC.D Vial: 2 Acq On : 31 May 2008 7:48 Sample : T6386 DRO 0.5 MG/ML Misc : IntFile : AUTOINT1.E Operator: Inst : INST G Multiplr: 1.00 Quant Time: Jun 2 8:17 2008 Quant Results File: D040108A.RES Quant Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M (Chemstation Integrator) Title : DRO Last Update : Wed Apr 02 09:36:40 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : TPHEPH1.M Jr 6.2.08 Volume Inj. : lul Signal Phase : Rtx-5MS Signal Info : 0.25 mm Compound R.T. Response Conc Units System Monitoring Compounds 1) SM-TERPHENYL15.66218588350.567 ug/mlmSpiked Amount100.000Range60 - 140Recovery=50.57%# Target Compounds 13.01235740540.538 mg/ml13.01235740540.544 mg/ml 2) HM DRO LOW (<1.0) 3) HM DRO HIGH (>1.0)

(f)=RT Delta > 1/2 Window (m)=manual int. G49554SC.D D040108A.M Mon Jun 02 08:18:03 2008 Page 1

Analytics Report 61399 page 0437 of 473



Analytics Report 61399 page 0438 of 473



Analytics Report 61399 page 0439 of 473


Analytics Report 61399 page 0440 of 473

#### DIESEL RANGE ORGANICS CONTINUING CALIBRATION

Instrument ID: G GC Column: RTX-5ms Column ID: 0.25 mm SDG: **61399** Standard ID: T6387 DRO 1.0 MG/ML Data File ID: G49555SC.D

COMPOUND	STANDARD RESULT (mg/mL)	% Recovery	#
M-TERPHENYL	105.0	105	
DRO LOW (<1.0)	1.056	106	
DRO HIGH (>1.0)	1.057	106	

Lower Limit 80 Upper Limit 120

# Column to be used to flag recovery values outside of QC limits

* Values outside QC limits

Comments:

#### DRO FORM 7

Quantitation Report (QT Reviewed) Data File : D:\TPH\053008-G\G49555SC.D Vial: 3 Acq On : 31 May 2008 8:17 Sample : T6387 DRO 1.0 MG/ML Misc : Operator: Inst : INST G Multiplr: 1.00 IntFile : AUTOINT1.E Quant Time: Jun 2 8:18 2008 Quant Results File: D040108A.RES Quant Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M (Chemstation Integrator) Title : DRO Last Update : Wed Apr 02 09:36:40 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : TPHEPH1.M Juc u. 2004 Volume Inj. : lul Signal Phase : Rtx-5MS Signal Info : 0.25 mm R.T. Response Conc Units Compound System Monitoring Compounds 1) S M-TERPHENYL 15.67 4540957 105.049 ug Spiked Amount 100.000 Range 60 - 140 Recovery = 105.05% 4540957 105.049 ug/mlm Target Compounds 13.01457677341.056 mg/ml13.01457677341.057 mg/ml 2) HM DRO LOW (<1.0)

3) HM DRO HIGH (>1.0)

(f)=RT Delta > 1/2 Window		(m)=manual	int.
G49555SC.D D040108A.M	Mon Jun 02 08:18:25 2008		Page 1



Analytics Report 61399 page 0443 of 473



Analytics Report 61399 page 0444 of 473



Analytics Report 61399 page 0445 of 473

Quantitation Report (QT Reviewed) Vial: 1 Data File : D:\TPH\053008-G\G49572.D Acq On : 31 May 2008 17:18 Sample : T6388 #2 FO 0.05/DRO CONF Operator: Inst : INST G Multiplr: 1.00 Misc : IntFile : AUTOINT1.E Quant Time: Jun 3 12:04 2008 Quant Results File: D040108A.RES Quant Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M (Chemstation Integrator) Title : DRO Last Update : Mon Jun 02 11:41:57 2008 1.408 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : TPHEPH1.M Volume Inj. : 1ul 4.3.0° Signal Phase : Rtx-5MS Signal Info : 0.25 mm R.T. Response Conc Units Compound System Monitoring Compounds 1) S M-TERPHENYL 15.69 193025 4.465 ug/ml Spiked Amount 100.000 Range 60 - 140 Recovery = 4,463# Target Compounds 13.01 2036985 0.036 mg/ml 72-13.01 2133172 <u>0.049 mg/</u>ml 2) HM DRO LOW (<1.0) 3) HM DRO HIGH (>1.0)

(f)=RT Delta > 1/2 Window (m)=manual int. G49572.D D040108A.M Tue Jun 03 12:04:57 2008 Page 1



Analytics Report 61399 page 0447 of 473

Data File Acq On Sample Misc File	:::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	D:\TPH\05300 31 May 2008 T6388 #2 FO AUTOINT1.E	08-G\G4 17:18 0.05/D	9572.D RO CONF			Vial: Operator: Inst : Multiplr:	l INST 1.00	G
Method Title	:	C:\HPCHEM\1` DRO	METHOD	S\D0401	08A.M (Ch	emstati	on Integra	ator)	
RT#1		RT#2		Resolut	tion	راد ن ج ۰	9 <b>%</b>		[]
12.845 13.439		12.881 13.501		49.54	4%			2	1.1.08
G49572.D		D040108A.M	Tue	Jun 03	12:05:13	2008			69-

Poor place resolution. Re-ran new window.

Analytics Report 61399 page 0448 of 473

File : D:\TPH\053008-G\G49572.D
Operator :
Acquired : 31 May 2008 17:18 using AcqMethod TPHEPH1.M
Instrument : INST G
Sample Name: T6388 #2 FO 0.05/DRO CONF
Misc Info :
Vial Number: 1



Analytics Report 61399 page 0449 of 473

#### DIESEL RANGE ORGANICS CONTINUING CALIBRATION

Instrument ID: G GC Column: RTX-5ms Column ID: 0.25 mm

SDG: **LS99** Standard ID: T6386 DRO 0.5 MG/ML Data File ID: G49573SC.D

COMPOUND	STANDARD RESULT (mg/mL)	% Recovery	#
M-TERPHENYL	50.8	102	
DRO LOW (<1.0)	0.538	108	
DRO HIGH (>1.0)	0.544	109	

Lower Limit 80 Upper Limit 120

# Column to be used to flag recovery values outside of QC limits

* Values outside QC limits

Comments:

DRO FORM 7

	Quantitation Repo	rt (QT Reviewed)
Data File : D:\TPH\053008 Acq On : 31 May 2008 Sample : T6386 DRO 0.5 Misc : IntFile : AUTOINT1.E Quant Time: Jun 3 12:05	-G\G49573SC.D 17:48 MG/ML 2008 Quant Resul	Vial: 2 Operator: Inst : INST G Multiplr: 1.00 ts File: D040108A.RES
Quant Method : C:\HPCHEM\ Title : DRO Last Update : Mon Jun 02 Response via : Initial Ca DataAcq Meth : TPHEPH1.M	1\METHODS\D040108. 11:41:57 2008 libration	A.M (Chemstation Integrator)
Volume Inj. : lul Signal Phase : Rtx-5MS Signal Info : 0.25 mm	_ال د.	3.08
Compound	R.T.	Response Conc Units
System Monitoring Compounds 1) S M-TERPHENYL Spiked Amount 100.000 Ran	15.66 ge 60 - 140 Re	2195768 50.796 ug/ml ecovery = 50.80%#
Target Compounds 2) HM DRO LOW (<1.0) 3) HM DRO HIGH (>1.0)	13.01 13.01	23576191 0.538 mg/ml 23576191 0.544 mg/ml

(f) = RT Delta > 1/2 Window					(m)=manual	int.	
G49573SC.D D040108A.M	Tue Jur	03	12:05:40	2008		Page	l



Analytics Report 61399 page 0452 of 473

#### DIESEL RANGE ORGANICS CONTINUING CALIBRATION

Instrument ID: G GC Column: RTX-5ms Column ID: 0.25 mm SDG: **61399** Standard ID: T6386 DRO 1.0 MG/ML Data File ID: G49574SC.D

COMPOUND	STANDARD RESULT (mg/mL)	% Recovery	#
M-TERPHENYL	105.2	105	
DRO LOW (<1.0)	1.041	104	
DRO HIGH (>1.0)	1.042	104	

Lower Limit 80 Upper Limit 120

# Column to be used to flag recovery values outside of QC limits

* Values outside QC limits

Comments:

DRO FORM 7

Analytics Report 61399 page 0453 of 473

Quantitation Report (QT Reviewed) Vial: 3 Data File : D:\TPH\053008-G\G49574SC.D Acq On : 31 May 2008 18:17 Sample : T638**%** DRO 1.0 MG/ML Operator: Inst : INST G Multiplr: 1.00 Misc : IntFile : AUTOINT1.E Quant Time: Jun 3 12:05 2008 Quant Results File: D040108A.RES Quant Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M (Chemstation Integrator) Title : DRO Last Update : Mon Jun 02 11:41:57 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : TPHEPH1.M Volume Inj. : lul Signal Phase : Rtx-5MS عان 6.3.00 Signal Info : 0.25 mm R.T. Response Conc Units Compound System Monitoring Compounds 1) S M-TERPHENYL 15.67 4547959 105.211 ug/ml Spiked Amount 100.000 Range 60 - 140 Recovery = 105.21% Target Compounds 2) HM DRO LÕW (<1.0) 3) HM DRO HIGH (>1.0) 13.01451268011.041 mg/ml13.01451268011.042 mg/ml

(f)=RT Delt G49574SC.D	a > 1/2 Window D040108A.M	Tue	Jun	03	12:05:52	2008	(m)=manual	int. Page	1

Analytics Report 61399 page 0454 of 473



Analytics Report 61399 page 0455 of 473

Quantitation Report (QT Reviewed) Data File : D:\TPH\060208-G\G49617.D Vial: 1 Acq On : 2 Jun 2008 15:55 Operator: Sample : T6388 #2 FO 0.05/DRO CONF Misc : Inst : INST G Multiplr: 1.00 IntFile : AUTOINT1.E Quant Time: Jun 2 16:11 2008 Quant Results File: D040108A.RES Quant Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M (Chemstation Integrator) Title : DRO Last Update : Mon Jun 02 11:41:57 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : TPHEPH1.M Volume Inj. : 1ul پر پر میں Signal Phase : Rtx-5MS 4.1 Signal Info : 0.25 mm Compound R.T. Response Conc Units System Monitoring Compounds 

 1) S M-TERPHENYL
 15.68
 231845
 5.363 ug/ml

 Spiked Amount
 100.000
 Range
 60 - 140
 Recovery
 =
 <5.36%#</td>

 Target Compounds 2) HM DRO LOW (<1.0) 3) HM DRO HIGH (>1.0) 13.01 2419347 0.044 mg/ml 13.01 2422613 <del>0.056 m</del>g/ml

(f)=RT Delta > 1/2 Window (m)=manual int. G49617.D D040108A.M Mon Jun 02 16:11:44 2008 Page 1

Analytics Report 61399 page 0456 of 473



Analytics Report 61399 page 0457 of 473

		Chromatographic Reso	lution Report
Data File Acq On Sample Misc IntFile	: D:\TPH\06 : 2 Jun 20 : T6388 #2 : : AUTOINT1.	0208-G\G49617.D 08 15:55 FO 0.05/DRO CONF E	Vial: 1 Operator: Inst : INST G Multiplr: 1.00
Method Title	: C:\HPCHEM : DRO	\1\METHODS\D040108A.M (	Chemstation Integrator)
RT#1	RT#2	Resolution	
12.836 13.430	12.876 13.496	65.89% 76.75%	
G49617.D	D040108A.	M Tue Jun 03 07:59:	19 2008

: D:\TPH\060208-G\G49617.D File Operator 2 2 Jun 2008 15:55 using AcqMethod TPHEPH1.M Acquired : INST G Instrument : Sample Name: T6388 #2 FO 0.05/DRO CONF Misc Info : JK .08 Vial Number: 1



#### DIESEL RANGE ORGANICS CONTINUING CALIBRATION

Instrument ID: G GC Column: RTX-5ms Column ID: 0.25 mm SDG: **61399** Standard ID: T6387 DRO 1.0 MG/ML Data File ID: G49618SC.D

COMPOUND	STANDARD RESULT (mg/mL)	% Recovery	#
M-TERPHENYL	103.7	104	
DRO LOW (<1.0)	1.016	102	
DRO HIGH (>1.0)	1.016	102	

Lower Limit 80 Upper Limit 120

# Column to be used to flag recovery values outside of QC limits

* Values outside QC limits

Comments:

DRO FORM 7

Quantitation Report (QT Reviewed) Data File : D:\TPH\060208-G\G49618SC.D Vial: 3 Acq On : 2 Jun 2008 16:26 Operator: Sample : T6387 DRO 1.0 MG/ML Misc : IntFile : AUTOINTL.E Inst : INST G Multiplr: 1.00 Quant Time: Jun 2 16:41 2008 Quant Results File: D040108A.RES Quant Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M (Chemstation Integrator) Title : DRO Last Update : Mon Jun 02 11:41:57 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : TPHEPH1.M BO. 2.00 Volume Inj. : lul Signal Phase : Rtx-5MS Signal Info : 0.25 mm Compound R.T. Response Conc Units System Monitoring Compounds 1) SM-TERPHENYL15.684484147103.735ug/mlSpiked Amount100.000Range60 - 140Recovery=103.74% Target Compounds 2) HM DRO LOW (<1.0) 13.01 13.01 44013827 1.015 mg/ml 44013827 1.016 mg/ml 3) HM DRO HIGH (>1.0)

(f)=RT Delta > 1/2 Window (m)=manual int. G49618SC.D D040108A.M Mon Jun 02 16:41:59 2008 Page 1

Analytics Report 61399 page 0461 of 473



Analytics Report 61399 page 0462 of 473

Quantitation Report (QT Reviewed) Vial: 1 Data File : D:\TPH\060208-G\G49644.D Acq On : 3 Jun 2008 5:55 Sample : T6388 #2 FO 0.05/DRO CONF Misc : Operator: Inst : INST G Multiplr: 1.00 IntFile : AUTOINT1.E Quant Time: Jun 3 7:48 2008 Quant Results File: D040108A.RES Quant Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M (Chemstation Integrator) Title : DRO Last Update : Mon Jun 02 11:41:57 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : TPHEPH1.M Volume Inj. : lul Signal Phase : Rtx-5MS 6.3 Signal Info : 0.25 mm Compound R.T. Response Conc Units System Monitoring Compounds 1) SM-TERPHENYL15.692335335.402 ug/mlSpiked Amount100.000Range60 - 140Recovery=5.40%# Target Compounds 2412307 0.044 mg/ml **83**--2418003 0.056 mg/ml 13.01 13.01 2) HM DRO LOW (<1.0) 3) HM DRO HIGH (>1.0)



Analytics Report 61399 page 0464 of 473

### Chromatographic Resolution Report

Data File Acq On Sample Misc IntFile	:::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	D:\TPH\0602 3 Jun 2008 T6388 #2 FO AUTOINT1.E	08-G\G4 5:55 0.05/D	9644.D RO CONF		Op In Mu	Vial: erator: st : ltiplr:	l INST G 1.00
Method Title	:	C:\HPCHEM\l` DRO	\METHOD	S\D0401	08A.M (Ch	emstation	Integra	ator)
RT#1		RT#2		Resolu	tion			10
12.835 13.428		12.871 13.491		51.6				1.08
G49644.D		D040108A.M	Tue	Jun 03	08:37:04	2008	<b> </b>	6

See QA Memo

Analytics Report 61399 page 0465 of 473



Analytics Report 61399 page 0466 of 473

#### DIESEL RANGE ORGANICS CONTINUING CALIBRATION

Instrument ID: G GC Column: RTX-5ms Column ID: 0.25 mm SDG: **61399** Standard ID: T6408 DRO 1.0 MG/ML Data File ID: G49645SC.D

COMPOUND	STANDARD RESULT (mg/mL)	% Recovery	#
M-TERPHENYL	105.2	105	
DRO LOW (<1.0)	1.067	107	
DRO HIGH (>1.0)	1.068	107	

Lower Limit 80 Upper Limit 120

# Column to be used to flag recovery values outside of QC limits

* Values outside QC limits

Comments:

DRO FORM 7

Quantitation Report (QT Reviewed) Data File : D:\TPH\060208-G\G49645SC.D Vial: 3 Acq On : 3 Jun 2008 6:24 Operator: Sample : T6408 DRO 1.0 MG/ML Misc : Inst : INST G Multiplr: 1.00 IntFile : AUTOINT1.E Quant Time: Jun 3 7:49 2008 Quant Results File: D040108A.RES Quant Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\D040108A.M (Chemstation Integrator) Title : DRO Last Update : Mon Jun 02 11:41:57 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : TPHEPH1.M Volume Inj. : lul Signal Phase : Rtx-5MS Signal Info : 0.25 mm Compound R.T. Response Conc Units ______ System Monitoring Compounds 1) S M-TERPHENYL 15.67 4547100 105.191 ug/ml Spiked Amount 100.000 Range 60 - 140 Recovery = 105.19% Target Compounds 2) HM DRO LOW (<1.0) 13.01462321891.067 mg/ml13.01462321891.068 mg/ml

(f) = RT Delta > 1/2 Window G49645SC.D D040108A.M Tue Jun 03 07:50:12 2008

3) HM DRO HIGH (>1.0)

(m)=manual int. Page 1

Analytics Report 61399 page 0468 of 473



Analytics Report 61399 page 0469 of 473

# CHAIN OF CUSTODIES

AnalyticsLLC:AEL Documents LLC:Pkg Dividers:COC.doc

Analytics Report 61399 page 0470 of 473





#### ANALYTICS SAMPLE RECEIPT CHECKLIST

ANALYTICS SAMPLE RECEIPT	F CHECKLIS	Γ	
AEL LAB#: 61399	COOLER	NUMBER:	
CLIENT: AVT TA-VI	NUMBER O	F COOLERS:	<u> </u>
PROJECT: MEFUDS LO-58	DATE RE	CEIVED:	5/22/08
A: PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION:	ATE COOLE	R OPENED:	<u>5  22  0</u> 8
1. Cooler received by(initials)	Date Re	ceived:	<u>5/22/08</u>
2. Circle one: Hand delivered (If so, skip 3)	Shipped		/
3. Did cooler come with a shipping slip?		Y	N
3a. Enter carrier name and airbill number here:			
4. Were custody seals on the outside of cooler? How many & where:Seal Date:Seal	al Name:	Y	N
5. Did the custody seals arrive unbroken and intact upon arrival?		Y	N
6. COC#:			
7. Were Custody papers filled out properly (ink,signed, etc)?		Y	N
8. Were custody papers scaled in a plastic bag?		Y	Ν
9. Did you sign the COC in the appropriate place?		$\langle \mathbf{x} \rangle$	N
10. Was the project identifiable from the COC papers?		<b>( y</b> )	N
11. Was enough ice used to chill the cooler? $(\mathbf{Y})$ N Ten	np. of cooler:		<u>r-yr</u>
<b>B. Log-In</b> : Date samples were logged in: $5/\partial 2/\partial 3$	б _{ву:}	P	
12. Type of packing in cooler(bubble wrap, popcorn)		(V)	Ν
13. Were all bottles sealed in separate plastic bags?		Ý	N
14. Did all bottles arrive unbroken and were labels in good condition?		$\langle \mathbf{y} \rangle$	Ν
15. Were all bottle labels complete(ID,Date,time,etc.)		Y	N
16. Did all bottle labels agree with custody papers?		Y	N
17. Were the correct containers used for the tests indicated:		Ý	N
18. Were samples received at the correct pH?		Y	$(\mathbf{A})$
19. Was sufficient amount of sample sent for the tests indicated?		Ŷ	N
20. Were bubbles absent in VOA samples?		$\overline{\mathbf{Y}}$	Ν
If NO, List sample #'s:			
21. Laboratory labeling verified by (initials):	Jel	Date:	5/22/08

C:ANLYTICS LLC\AEL DOCUMENTS\FORMS\SMPL CHKLST\Edit 4908

Analytics Report 61399 page 0473 of 473

## DATA REVIEW CHECKLIST

Maine Fuds Analytics SPEE LAB NUMBER: 61408 WESTON SAMPLE IDs: AMAC -TAP bC

Data Reviewed	6	H.J	Fi	raction				
	)0				1			Comments
Chain of Custody		1					20	
Percent Solids/RLs	NA	-						
Preservation/Log Sheet								
Holding Time		<u> </u>						
Field Blanks (Trip/Equip.)	_	<u> </u>			-			
Instrument/Method Blanks (Soils/Solids)		8						
Instrument/Method Blanks (Aqueous)	$\nabla$				+		-+	
MS/MSD (Soil/Solids)								
MS/MSD (Aqueous)	NA		2		<u> </u>			5
LCS/LCSD	Not d	time	rble		<u> </u>	-	-+	
Blank Spikes (BS/BSD)								
Lab Duplicates						+		
Field Duplicates					c	<b> </b>		
Surrogate Recoveries	$\neg A$							
Inter			37			1		

Note:

Data reviewed but not commented on is considered acceptable.

 $\sqrt{1}$  = Data Reviewed

NA = Not Applicable

Qualifiers Used:

No qualifications were made.

Data Re	eview	er Ip	itials:)FE
Date:	9	8	08
	.1		



195 Commerce Way Suite E Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801 603-436-5111 Fax 603-430-2151 800-929-9906 www.analyticslab.com

June 9, 2008

Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11 South Burlington VT 05403

#### RE: Analytical Results Case Narrative ME FUDS LO-58 Analytics # 61408

Dear Mr. Pentkowski:

Enclosed please find the analytical results for samples collected from the above-mentioned project. The attached Cover Page lists the sample IDs, Lab tracking numbers and collection dates for the samples included in this deliverable.

Samples were analyzed for the target volatile organic compounds using EPA Method 524.2.

Unless otherwise noted in the Non-conformance Summary listed below, all of the quality control (QC) criteria including initial calibration, calibration verification, surrogate recovery, holding time and method accuracy/precision for these analyses were within acceptable limits.

This Level IV package has been assembled in the following order with raw data:

Case Narrative/Non-Conformance Summary Sample Log Sheet - Cover Page VOA Form 1 Sample Data Results Chromatograms VOA Form 2 Surrogate Recoveries VOA Form 3 MS/MSD and LCS Recoveries VOA Form 4 Method Blank Summary (equiv.) GC/MS Logbook Sheets VOA Form 5 BFB Tune Summaries VOA Form 5 BFB Tune Summaries VOA Form 6 Initial Calibration Data (equiv..) Response Factor Report VOA Form 7 Continuing Calibration Check (equiv.) VOA Form 8 Int. Standard and RT Summary Chain of Custody (COC) Forms Sample Receipt Checklist
AEL #61286 ME FUDS 27 May 2008 page 2

# QC NON CONFORMANCE SUMMARY

# Sample Receipt:

No QC deviations.

# **EPA Method 524.2 Volatile Organics:**

This narrative is specific to target analytes reported on the Form 1 data pages. Non-target (NT) analyte deviations were not addressed. The following analytes were not 'J" flagged in this report: Vinyl Chloride, Methylene choride, Diethyl ether, Acetone, Hexachlorobutadiene, and Naphthalene.

If you have any questions on this data submittal, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely, ANALYTICS Environmental Laboratory, LLC

fallifier Stephen Knollmeyer

Laboratory Director



195 Commerce Way Suite E Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801 603-436-5111 Fax 603-430-2151 800-929-9906 www.analyticslab.com

Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11 South Burlington VT 05403 Report Number: 61408 Revision: Rev. 0

# **Re: MEFUDS LO-58**

# 03886.184

Enclosed are the results of the analyses on your sample(s). Samples were received on 22 May 2008 and analyzed for the tests listed below. Samples were received in acceptable condition, with the exceptions noted below or on the chain of custody. These results pertain to samples as received by the laboratory and for the analytical tests requested on the chain of custody. The results reported herein conform to the most current NELAC standards, where applicable, unless otherwise narrated in the body of the report. Please see individual reports for specific methodologies and references.

Lab Number	Sample Date	Station Location	Analysis	Comments
61408-1	05/21/08	VTW-TAP	EPA 524.2 Volatile Organi	ics
61408-2	05/21/08	AMAC-TAP	Electronic Data Deliverabl	e
	05/21/08	AMAC-TAP	EPA 524.2 Volatile Organi	ics

# Sample Receipt Exceptions: None

Analytics Environmental Laboratory is certified by the states of New Hampshire, Maine, Massachusetts, Connecticut, Rhode Island, New York, Virginia, Pennsylvania, and is validated by the U.S. Navy (NFESC). A list of actual certified parameters is available upon request.

If you have any further question on the analytical methods or these results, do not resitate to call.

Authorized signature

Stephen L. Knollmeyer Laboratory Director

27/2008 Date _

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written consent of Analytics Environmental Laboratory, LLC.



# Summary Report

Analytics Report 61408 page 0004 of 95



195 Cammerce Way Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801 603-436-5111 Fax 603-430-21S1 800-929-9906

Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11 South Burlington VT 05403

#### CLIENT SAMPLE ID

Project Name:	MEFUDS LO-58

Project Number: 03886.184 Field Sample ID: LAB QC

May 27, 2008 SAMPLE DATA

Lab Sample ID:	B505238I
Matrix:	Aqueous
Percent Solid:	N/A
<b>Dilution Factor:</b>	1
Collection Date:	N/A
Lab Receipt Date:	N/A
Analysis Date:	05/23/08

ANALYTICAL RESULTS VOLATILE ORGANICS					
COMPOUND	Quantitation Limit µg/L	Result μg/L	COMPOUND	Quantitation Limit µg/L	Result µg/L
Benzene	0.5	U	1,3-Dichloropropane	0.5	TI
Bromobenzene	0.5	U	cis-1.3-Dichloropropene	0.5	11
Bromochloromethane	0.5	U	trans-1.3-Dichloropropene	0.5	П
Bromodichloromethane	0.5	U	2.2-Dichloropropane	0.5	U U
Bromoform	0.5	U	I.1-Dichloropropene	0.5	U
Bromomethane	0.5	U	Ethylbenzene	0.5	U
n-butylbenzene	0.5	U	Hexachlorobutadiene	0.5	U .
sec-butylbenzene	0.5	U	Isopronylbenzene	0.5	U
tert-butylbenzene	0.5	U	p-isopropyleonae	0.5	U
Carbon Tetrachloride	0.5	U	Methylene Chloride	0.5	U
Chlorobenzene	0.5	U	Methyl-tert-butyl etbcr (MTBE)	0.5	1
Chloroethane	0.5	Ū	Nanhthalene	0.5	0
Chloroform	0.5	Ū	n-Pronylbenzene	0.5	U
Chloromethane	0.5	Ū	Styrene	0.5	U
2-Chlorotoluene	0.5	Ū	1112 Tetrachloroothorn	0.5	U
4-Chlorotoluene	0.5	ŭ	1 1 2 2 Tetrachloroathana	0.5	U
Dibromochloromethane	0.5	11	Totrachloroothono	0.5	U
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	0.5	U U	Teluene	0.3	U
1,2-Dibromoethane	0.5	U U	1010 Inchester and 1010 Incheste	0.5	U
Dibromomethane	0.5	11	1,2,5-Themoropenzene	0.5	U
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	0.5	U	1,2,4-Thenlorobenzene	0.5	U
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	0.5	11	1,1,1-Trichler ett	0.5	U
1.4-Dichlorobenzene	0.5	U U	1,1,2-1 incluoroetnane	0.5	U
Dichlorodifluoromethane	0.5	U	Trichloroethene	0.5	U
1.1-Dichloroethane	0.5	U II	Inchiorofluoromethane	0.5	U
1.2-Dichloroethane	0.5	U	1,2,3-Trichloropropane	0.5	U
1 1-Dichloroethene	0.5	U	1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	0.5	U
cis-1 2-Dichloroethene	0.5	U	1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	0.5	U
rans-1 2-Dichloroethene	0.5	U	Vinyl Chloride	0.5	U
1.2-Dichloropropage	0.5	U	o-Xylene	0.5	U
	0.5	U	m,p-Xylene	0.5	U
Parhon Disulfide	5	U	Diethyl ether	0.5	U
Carbon Distinge	0.5	U	2-Hexanone	5	U
	2.5	U	Methyl isobutyl ketone	5	U
Butyl clayhol (TDA)	5	U	Di-isopropyl ether (DIPE)	0.5	U
-Amvl methyl ether (TAMF)	10	U	Ethyl t-butyl ether (ETBE)	0.5	U
	C.J	U Stondard D			
1,4-Difluorobenzene	99 % Broweth	c otandard Re	06 0% 10 Date 1		100
U=Undetected	I=Estimated P		50 70 1,2-Dichlorob	enzene-d4	100 %
	Journal D	-invectory Calle	nation Range B=Detected in B	lank	1

**METHODOLOGY:** Sample analysis was conducted according to EPA 600, Method 524.2

#### COMMENTS:

NH 524 (ull(74)

Authorized signature Mulenfull



195 Commerce Way Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801 603-436-5111 Fax 603-430-2151 800-929-9906

Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11 South Burlington VT 05403

## CLIENT SAMPLE ID **Project Name: MEFUDS LO-58** Project Number: 03886.184

Field Sample ID: VTW-TAP

#### May 27, 2008 SAMPLE DATA

Lab Sample ID:	61408-1
Matrix:	Aqueous
Percent Solid:	N/A
<b>Dilution Factor:</b>	1
Collection Date:	05/21/08
Lab Receipt Date:	05/22/08
Analysis Date:	05/23/08

ANALYTICAL RESULTS VOLATILE ORGANICS						
COMPOUND	Quantitation Limit µg/L	Result μg/L	COMPOUND	Quantitation Limit µg/L	Result µg/L	
Benzene	0.5	U	1,3-Dichloropropane	0.5	IJ	
Bromobenzene	0.5	U	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.5	Ŭ	
Bromochloromethane	0.5	U	trans-1.3-Dichloropropene	0.5	U U	
Bromodichloromethane	0.5	U	2.2-Dichloropropane	0.5	U U	
Bromoform	0.5	U	1,1-Dichloropropene	0.5	U	
Bromomethane	0.5	U	Ethylbenzene	0.5	U	
n-butylbenzene	0.5	U	Hexachlorobutadiene	0.5	U	
sec-butylbenzene	0.5	U	Isopropylbenzene	0.5	U	
tert-butylbenzene	0.5	U	p-isopropyltoluene	0.5	U	
Carbon Tetrachloride	0.5	U	Methylene Chloride	0.5	U	
Chlorobenzene	0.5	U	Methyl-tert-hutyl ether (MTRE)	0.5	U	
Chloroethane	0.5	U	Naphthalene	0.5	U	
Chloroform	0.5	U	n-Pronylbenzene	0.5	U	
Chloromethane	0.5	U	Styrene	0.5	U	
2-Chlorotoluene	0.5	U	1112-Tetrachloroethane	0.5	U	
4-Chlorotoluene	0.5	U	1122-Tetrachloroethane	0.5	U	
Dibromochloromethane	0.5	Ū	Tetrachloroethene	0.5	U	
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	0.5	Ū	Toluene	0.5	U	
1,2-Dibromoethane	0.5	Ū	1.2.3-Trichlorobenzone	0.5	1.0	
Dibromomethane	0.5	Ū	1.2.4-Trichlorobenzona	0.5	U	
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	0.5	Ū	1.1.1.Trichloroethano	0.5	U	
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	0.5	Ū	1.1.2.Trichloroothana	0.5	U	
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	0.5	Ŭ	Trichloroethene	0.5	U	
Dichlorodifluoromethane	0.5	Ŭ	Trichlorofluoromotheme	0.5	U	
1,1-Dichloroethane	0.5	Ŭ	1 2 3 Trichloronronano	0.3	U	
1,2-Dichloroethane	0.5	U U	1.2.4 Trimothulhonnon	0.5	U	
1,1-Dichloroethene	0.5	U	1.3.5 Trimethylbenzene	0.5	U	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.5	U	Vinul Chlorida	0.5	U	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.5	U U	• Invictionale	0.5	U	
1,2-Dichloropropane	0.5	Ŭ		0.5	U	
Acetone	5	U U	District stress	0.5	U	
Carbon Disulfide	0.5	U U	Diemyl ether	0.5	U	
Fetrahydrofuran	2.5	U	2-Hexanone	5	U	
Viethyl ethyl ketone	<i>4.3</i> 5	U	ivieinyl isobutyl kelone	5	U	
-Butyl alcohol (TBA)	10	U	DI-ISOPROPYI ether (DIPE)	0.5	U	
-Amyl methyl ether (TAMF)	10	U TT	Ethyl t-butyl ether (ETBE)	0.5	U	
J - ALL MAJ - DURIOR (ARSINES)	V.J R	U sate Store 3 S				
1,4-Difluorobenzene	99 % Brome	care Standard Re	96 % 12-Dichlorab	enzene da	00 0	
U=Undetected	J=Estimated	E=Exceeds Calib	pration Range R-Detoctor in D	lonk	77 %	

**METHODOLOGY:** Sample analysis was conducted according to EPA 600, Method 524.2

#### COMMENTS:

NH 524 full(74)

Authorized signature Milendull

Analytics Report 61408 page 0006 of 95



Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11 South Burlington VT 05403

### CLIENT SAMPLE ID

Project Name:	MEFUDS LO-58

Project Number: 03886.184 Field Sample ID: AMAC-TAP

May 27, 2008 SAMPLE DATA Lab Sample ID: 61408-2 Matrix: Aqueous Percent Solid: N/A **Dilution Factor:** 1 Collection Date: 05/21/08 Lab Receipt Date: 05/22/08 Analysis Date: 05/23/08

ANALYTICAL RESULTS VOLATILE ORGANICS					
COMPOUND	Quantitation Limit us/L	Result		Quantitation	Result
		μg/1_	COMPOUND	Linn µg/L	μg/L
Benzene	0.5	U	1,3-Dichloropropane	0.5	U
Bromobenzene	0.5	U	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.5	Ŭ
Bromochloromethane	0.5	U	trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.5	Ŭ
Bromodichloromethane	0.5	U	2,2-Dichloropropane	0.5	11
Bromoform	0.5	U	1,1-Dichloropropene	0.5	11
Bromomethane	0.5	U	Ethylbenzene	0.5	U U
n-butylbenzene	0.5	U	Hexachlorobutadiene	0.5	U TT
sec-butyIbenzene	0.5	U	Isopropylbenzene	0.5	U 11
tert-butylbenzene	0.5	U	p-isopropyltoluene	0.5	U
Carbon Tetrachloride	0.5	U	Methylene Chloride	0.5	U TT
Chlorobenzene	0.5	U	Methyl-tert-butyl ether (MTBF)	0.5	U U
Chloroethane	0.5	U	Naphthalene	0.5	U ·
Chloroform	0.5	U	n-Pronvlbenzene	0.5	U
Chloromethane	0.5	U	Styrene	0.5	U
2-Chlorotoluene	0.5	U	1.1.1.2-Tetrachloroethane	0.5	U
4-Chlorotoluene	0.5	U	1 2 2 Tetrachloroethane	0.5	U
Dibromochloromethane	0.5	U	Tetrachloroethene	0.5	U
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	0.5	Ū	Toluene	0.5	U
,2-Dibromoethane	0.5	Ū	1.2.3.Trichlorobenzene	0.5	U
Dibromomethane	0.5	Ū	1,2,5" Hichlorobenzene 0,5		U
,2-Dichlorobenzene	0.5	Ŭ	1,2,4-Trichlorotenzene 0.5		U
,3-Dichlorobenzene	0.5	Ū.	1,1,2 Trichloroothane	0.5	U
,4-Dichlorobenzene	0.5	Ŭ	Trichloroothone	0.5	U
Dichlorodifluoromethane	0.5	й П	Trichloroflyonomethana	0.5	U
,1-Dichloroethane	0.5	U U	123 Trichloromenan	0.5	U
,2-Dichloroethane	0.5	U	1,2,5~111chloropropane	0.5	U
,1-Dichloroethene	0.5	U U	1,2,4-1 meenyibenzene	0.5	U
is-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.5	11	1,3,3-innenyibenzene	0.5	U
ans-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.5	U U	vinyl Chloride	0.5	U
2-Dichloropropane	0.5	U U	0-Xylene	0.5	U
cetone	5	U TI	m,p-Xylene	0.5	U
arbon Disulfide	0.5	11	Diethyl ether	0.5	U
etrahvdrofuran	2.5	U	2-Hexanone	5	U
lethvl ethvl ketone	5	U	Methyl isobutyl ketone	5	U
Butyl alcohol (TBA)		U	D1-Isopropyl ether (DIPE)	0.5	U
Amyl methyl ether (TAME)	0.5	U	Ethyl t-butyl ether (ETBE) 0.5 U		
	Surrog	ate Standard P.	COVATY		
1,4-Difluorobenzene	101 % Bromot	uotobenzene	97 % 12 Dichlowsh	anzana dA	100 ~
U=Undetected	J=Estimated	E-Evcende Calib	ration Banac		100 %

**METHODOLOGY:** Sample analysis was conducted according to EPA 600, Method 524.2

#### COMMENTS:

NH 524 full(74)

Milinchill Authorized signature

analytics / www.

# VOLATILE DATA SUMMARIES

AnalyticsLLC: AEL Documents LLC: Pkg Dividers: VOC. doc

Analytics Report 61408 page 0008 of 95



195 Cammerce Way Partsmouth, New Hampshile 03801 603-436-5111 Fax 603-430-2161 800-929-9906

Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11 South Burlington VT 05403

#### CLIENT SAMPLE ID

Project Name:	MEFUDS LO-58
---------------	--------------

Project Number: 03886.184 Field Sample ID: LAB QC

May 27, 2008 SAMPLE DATA

B505238I
Aqueous
N/A
1
N/A
N/A
05/23/08

	ANALYTICAL RE	SULTS VOLA	TILE ORGANICS	·····	
COMPOUND	Quantitation Limit μg/L	Result µg/L	COMPOUND	Quantitation Limit µg/L	Result µg/L
Benzene	0.5	U	1 3-Dichleropropage	0.5	7.0
Bromobenzene	0.5	Ŭ	cis-1 3-Dichloropropona	0.5	U
Bromochloromethane	0.5	Ū	trans 1.3 Dichloropropene	0.5	U
Bromodichloromethanc	0.5	Ŭ	2.2 Dichloromonono	0.5	U
Bromoform	0.5	Ŭ	1.1-Dichloropropono	0.3	U
Bromomethane	0.5	Ŭ	Ethylbonzeno	0.5	U
n-butylbenzene	0.5	U U	Hexachlorehutediane	0.5	U
sec-butylbenzene	0.5	U U	Laconomythermore	0.5	U
ert-butylbenzene	0.5	и U	isopropyidenzene	0.5	U
Carbon Tetrachloride	0.5	U U	p-isopropyitoluene	0.5	U
Chlorobenzenc	0.5	U U	Meinylene Chloride	0.5	U
Chloroethane	0.5	U U	Methyl-tert-butyl ether (MTBE)	0.5	U
Chloroform	0.5	U	Naphthalene	0.5	U
Chloromethane	0.5	U 11	n-Propylbenzene	0.5	U
2-Chlorotoluene	0.5	U 11	Styrene	0.5	U
-Chlorotoluene	0.5	U	1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.5	U
Dibromochloromethane	0.5	U	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethanc	0.5	U
.2-Dihmmo-3-chloropropage	0.5	U	Tetrachloroethene	0.5	U
.2-Dibromoethane	0.5	U	Toluene	0.5	U
)ibromomethane	0.5	U	1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	0.5	U
2-Dichlorobenzene	0.5	U	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	0.5	U
3-Dichlorobenzene	0.5	U	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	0.5	U
4-Dichlorobenzene	0.5	U	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	0.5	U
)ichlorodifluoromotheno	0.5	U	Trichloroethene	0.5	U
1-Dichloroathano	0.5	U	Trichlorofluoromethane	0.5	U
2 Dichlosoethane	0.5	U	1,2,3-Trichloropropane	0.5	U
1 Dishlorosthana	0.5	U	1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	0.5	U
1.2 Dichlene theme	0.5	U	1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	0.5	U
and 1.2 Dichloroethene	0.5	U	Vinyl Chloride	0.5	Ū
2 Dishissen	0.5	U	o-Xylene	0.5	Ū
2-Dichloropropane	0.5	U	m,p-Xylene	0.5	Ū
	5	U	Diethyl ether	0.5	Ŭ
aroon Disultide	0.5	U	2-Hexanone	5	Ŭ
cuanyuroluran	2.5	U	Methyl isobutyl ketone	5	Ŭ
Remulated at a forme	5	U	Di-isopropyl ether (DIPE)	0.5	U
Butyl alcohol (TBA)	10	U	Ethyl t-butyl ether (ETBE)	0.5	Ū
Amyi methyl ether (TAME)	0.5	U	- · · ·		-
	Surrog	ate Standard Re	covery		
1,4-Difluorobenzenc	99 % Bromo	fluorobcnzene	96 % 1,2-Dichlorot	enzene-d4	100 %
U=Undetected	J=Estimated	E=Exceeds Calib	ration Range B=Detected in B	llank	

**METHODOLOGY:** Sample analysis was conducted according to EPA 600, Method 524.2

#### **COMMENTS:**

NH 524 Juli(74)

Authorized signature Milendull

	Quantitatio	n keport	(Not Reviewed)
Data File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\0 Acq On : 23 May 2008 5: Sample : B505238I Misc : 25000 MS Integration Params: rtein Quant Time: May 27 10:19 20	52308-I\I296 45 pm t.p 08	57B.D Quant Res	Vial: 15 Operator: Inst : GC/MS Ins Multiplr: 1.00 sults File: V505238I.RES
Quant Method : D:\HPCHEM\MET Title : 524.2 Purgable Last Update : Fri May 23 14 Response via : Initial Calib: DataAcq Meth : V505238I	HODS\V5052381 e Organics :54:04 2008 ration	I.M (RTE Inte	grator) AK 5.27.08
Internal Standards	R.T. Q	lon Respons	e Conc Units Dev(Min)
<ol> <li>Pentafluorobenzene</li> <li>Fluorobenzene</li> <li>d5-Chlorobenzene</li> <li>1,4-Dichlorobenzene-d4</li> </ol>	7.50 8.91 13.71 17.55	168 265172 96 595051 117 365246 152 131834	4.00 ug/L -0.03 4.00 ug/L -0.02 4.00 ug/L -0.03 4.00 ug/L -0.03
System Monitoring Compounds 28) DB Holder #28 Spiked Amount 4.000 36) 1,4-Difluorobenzene Spiked Amount 4.000 54) Bromofluorobenzene Spiked Amount 4.000 73) 1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4 Spiked Amount 4.000	9.03 Range 70 - 9.03 Range 70 - 15.64 Range 70 - 18.15 Range 70 -	114       481678         130       Record         114       481678         130       Record         95       151567         130       Record         152       107977         130       Record	3.96 ug/L -0.03 very = 99.00% 3.96 ug/L -0.03 very = 99.00% 3.85 ug/L -0.03 very = 96.25% 3.99 ug/L -0.03 very = 99.75%
Target Compounds	4.87	84 29478	✓ Qvalue <del>Below-Cal-</del> 94

-55 TANO

-----_____ (#) = qualifier out of range (m) = manual integration I29657B.D V505238I.M Tue May 27 10:19:37 2008 Page 1



Analytics Report 61408 page 0011 of 95



195 Commerce Way Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801 603-436-5111 Fax 603-430-2151 800-929-9906

Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11 South Burlington VT 05403

# CLIENT SAMPLE ID Project Name: MEFUDS LO-58

Project Number: 03886.184 Field Sample ID: VTW-TAP May 27, 2008 SAMPLE DATA

Lab Sample ID:	61408-1
Matrix:	Aqueous
Percent Solid:	N/A
<b>Dilution Factor:</b>	1
Collection Date:	05/21/08
Lab Receipt Date:	05/22/08
Analysis Date:	05/23/08

ANALYTICAL RESULTS VOLATILE ORGANICS									
COMPOUND	Quantitation Limit µg/L	Result μg/L	COMPOUND	Quantitation Limit µg/L	Result µg/L				
Benzene	0.5	U	1,3-Dichloropropane	0.5	TI				
Bromobenzene	0.5	U	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.5	U				
Bromochloromethane	0.5	U	trans-1.3-Dichloropropene	0.5	U U				
Bromodichloromethane	0.5	U	2.2-Dichloropropane	0.5	0				
Bromoform	0.5	U	1.1-Dichloropropene	0.5	U				
Bromomethane	0.5	U	Ethylbenzene	0.5	U				
n-butylbenzene	0.5	Ũ	Hexachlorobutadiene	0.5	11				
sec-butylbenzene	0.5	U	Isopropylbenzene	0.5	U				
tert-butylbenzene	0.5	U	p-isopropyltoluene	0.5	U 11				
Carbon Tetrachloride	0.5	U	Methylene Chloride	0.5	11				
Chlorobenzene	0.5	U	Methyl-tert-butyl ether (MTRE)	0.5	U U				
Chloroethane	0.5	U	Nanhthalene	0.5	11				
Chloroform	0.5	Ū	n-Propylbenzene	0.5	U				
Chloromethane	0.5	Ū	Styrene	0.5	U				
2-Chlorotoluene	0.5	Ū	1112-Tetrachloroethono	0.5	U				
4-Chlorotoluene	0.5	Ū	1.1.2.2. Totrachloroothene	0.5	U				
Dibromochloromethane	0.5	U	Tetrachloroothana	0.5	U				
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	0.5	U	Toluono	0.5	U				
1,2-Dibromoethane	0.5	й`	122 Trichlarshonnen	0.5	1.0				
Dibromomethane	0.5	н Н	1,2,5 Themologenzene	0.5	U				
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	0.5	` Ц	1,2,4-THCHOFODenzene	0.5	U				
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	0.5	U U	1,1,1,1 Thigh of the set of the s	0.5	U				
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	0.5	U	Tricklongetter	0.3	U				
Dichlorodifluoromethane	0.5	U U	Trinkland	0.5	U				
1,1-Dichloroethane	0.5	U U	1.0.2 Triat I and	0.5	U				
,2-Dichloroethane	0.5	11	1,2,3-1 richloropropane	0.5	U				
.1-Dichloroethene	0.5	U U	1,2,4-1 nmeinyioenzene	0.5	U				
is-1.2-Dichloroethene	0.5	11	1,3,3- Irimelnyibenzene	0.5	U				
rans-1.2-Dichloroethene	0.5	U TI	vinyl Chloride	0.5	U				
.2-Dichloropropage	0.5	U	o-Xylene	0.5	U				
cetone	5	U	m,p-Xylene	0.5	U				
arbon Disulfide	0.5	U	Diethyl ether	0.5	U				
etrabydrofuran	0.5	U	2-Hexanone	5	Ŭ				
Aethyl ethyl ketone	۲.3 ۳	U	Methyl isobutyl ketone	5	U				
Butyl alcohol (TBA)	5 10	U	Di-isopropyl ether (DIPE)	0.5	U				
Amyl methyl ether (TAME)	10	U	Ethyl t-butyl ether (ETBE)	0.5	U				
Amy memy ener (TAME)	0.5	U	-						
14-Diffuonshannen	Surreg	gate Standard Re	covery						
I,4-Diluorobenzene	א עיש Bromo	otluorobenzene	96 % 1,2-Dichlorot	enzene-d4	99 %				
U=Undetected	J=Estimated	E=Exceeds Calib	ration Range B=Detected in E	lank					

METHODOLOGY: Sample analysis was conducted according to EPA 600, Method 524.2

#### COMMENTS:

NH 524 full(74)

Authorized signature Milen Juli

Quantitation Report (Not Reviewed) Data File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\052308-I\I29663.D Vial: 21 Acq On : 23 May 2008 9:09 pm Operator: Sample : 61408 Misc : 25000 : 61408-1 Inst : GC/MS Ins Multiplr: 1.00 MS Integration Params: rteint.p Quant Time: May 27 9:17 2008 Quant Results File: V505238I.RES Quant Method : D:\HPCHEM\METHODS\V505238I.M (RTE Integrator) Title : 524.2 Purgable Organics Last Update : Fri May 23 14:54:04 2008 AK 5.27.08 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : V505238I Internal Standards R.T. QIon Response Conc Units Dev(Min) ********** 244590 4.00 ug/L 562753 / 4 00 1) Pentafluorobenzene7.481682445904.00 ug/L-0.0539) Fluorobenzene8.89965627534.00 ug/L-0.0463) d5-Chlorobenzene13.701173377964.00 ug/L-0.0487) 1,4-Dichlorobenzene-d417.541521220904.00 ug/L-0.04 System Monitoring Compounds 445136 3.97 ug/L -0.04 28) DB Holder #28 9.02 114 Spiked Amount 4.000 Range 70 - 130 Recovery = 99.25% 9.02 114 445136 3.97 ug/L -0.04 36) 1,4-Difluorobenzene Range 70 - 130 Recovery = 99.25% 15.63 95 143471 3.85 ug/L -0.04 Spiked Amount 4.000 54) Bromofluorobenzene Spiked Amount 4.000 Range 70 - 130 Recovery = 96.25% 18.14 152 99484 3.98 ug/L -0.04 73) 1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4 18.14 152 Range 70 - 130 Recovery = 99.50% Spiked Amount 4.000 Target Compounds Ovalue Methylene Chloride 4.85 84 1535 Below Cal . 88 Toluene 11.47 92 89332 ✓1.02 ug/L 97

552700

(#) = qualifier out of range (m) = manual integration I29663.D V505238I.M Tue May 27 09:17:47 2008



Analytics Report 61408 page 0014 of 95



195 Commerce Way Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03601 603-436-S111 Fox 603-430-2151 800-929-9906

Mr. Ron Pentkowski Test America Burlington 30 Community Drive Suite 11 South Burlington VT 05403

#### CLIENT SAMPLE ID

Project Name:	MEFUDS LO-58

Project Number: 03886.184 Field Sample ID: AMAC-TAP

ſ

May 27, 2008 SAMPLE DATA

Lab Sample ID:	61408-2
Matrix:	Aqueous
Percent Solid:	N/A
<b>Dilution Factor:</b>	1
Collection Date:	05/21/08
Lab Receipt Date:	05/22/08
Analysis Date:	05/23/08

ANALYTICAL RESULTS VOLATILE ORGANICS								
COMPONED	Quantitation	Result	,	Quantitation	Result			
COMPOUND	Linu py L	μg/L,	COMPOUND	Limit µg/L	μg/L			
Benzene	0.5	U	1,3-Dichloropropane	0.5	ŢŢ			
Bromobenzene	0.5	U	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.5	U			
Bromochloromethane	0.5	U	trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.5	U ·			
Bromodichloromethane	0.5	U	2,2-Dichloropropane	0.5	n			
Bromoform	0.5	U	1.1-Dichloropropene	0.5	U			
Bromomethane	0.5	U	Ethylbenzene	0.5	U			
n-butylbenzene	0.5	U	Hexachlorobutadiene	0.5	U TI			
sec-butylbenzene	0.5	U	Isopropylbenzene	0.5	U			
tert-butylbenzene	0.5	U	p-isopropyltoluene	0.5	U			
Carbon Tetrachloride	0.5	U	Methylene Chloride	0.5	U EL			
Chlorobenzene	0.5	U	Methyl-tert-butyl ether (MTBE)	0.5	n n			
Chloroethane	0.5	U	Nanhthalene	0.5	U			
Chloroform	0.5	U	n-Pronylbenzene	0.5	U			
Chloromethane	0.5	U	Styrene	0.5	U			
2-Chlorotoluene	0.5	U	1 1 1 2-Tetrachloroethane	0.5	U			
4-Chlorotoluene	0.5	υ	1 1 2 2-Tetrachloroethana	0.5	U			
Dibromochloromethane	0.5	Ü	Tetrachloroethene	0.5	U			
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	0.5	Ū	Toluene	0.5	U			
1,2-Dibromoethane	0.5	Ū	1.2.3-Trichlorobenzene	0.5	U			
Dibromomethane	0.5	Ū	1.2.4. Trichlorobenzene	0.5	U			
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	0.5	Ū	1 1 1. Trichloroethane	0.5	U			
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	0.5	Ū	1.1.2.Trichloroethano	0.5	U			
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	0.5	Ū	Trichloroethane	0.5	U			
Dichlorodifluoromethane	0.5	Ū	Trichloroflygromethane	0.5	U			
1,1-Dichloroethane	0.5	Ū	1.2.3.Trichloropropopo	0.5	U			
1,2-Dichloroethane	0.5	Ŭ	1.2.4.Trimethylhonzono	0.5	U			
1,1-Dichloroethene	0.5	Ũ	1.3 S-Trimethylbonzona	0.5	U			
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.5	Ŭ	Vipul Chlorido	0.5	U			
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.5	Ŭ	o-Xulene	0.5	U			
1,2-Dichloropropane	0.5	Ŭ	m p-Yylene	0.5	U			
Acetone	5	U	Diethyl other	0.5	U			
Carbon Disulfide	0.5	п	2 Hawanana	0.5	υJ			
Tetrahydrofuran	2.5	U U	A-riexanone Mothyl included Instance	5	U			
Methyl ethyl ketone	5	11	Di jaananul athar (DDDD)	ی ۵	U			
t-Butyl alcohol (TBA)	10	Ŭ,	Ethyl t hypel action (ETER)	0.5	U			
t-Amyl methyl ether (TAME)	0.5	Ŭ	Early t-Dutyl etner (ETBE)	0.5	U			
	Surro	gate Standard Re	covery					
1,4-Difluorobenzene	101 % Brome	ofluorobenzene	97 % 1,2-Dichlorob	enzene-d4	100 %			
U=Undetected	J=Estimated	E=Exceeds Calib	oration Range B=Detected in B	lank				

**METHODOLOGY:** Sample analysis was conducted according to EPA 600, Method 524.2

#### COMMENTS:

NH 524 full(74)

Authorized signature

Melinchell

Quantitation Report (Not Reviewed) Data File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\052308-I\I29664.D Vial: 22 Acq On : 23 May 2008 9:42 pm Operator: Sample : 61408 Misc : 25000 : 61408-2 Inst : GC/MS Ins Multiplr: 1.00 MS Integration Params: rteint.p Quant Time: May 27 9:17 2008 Quant Results File: V505238I.RES Quant Method : D:\HPCHEM\METHODS\V505238I.M (RTE Integrator) Title : 524.2 Purgable Organics Last Update : Fri May 23 14:54:04 2008 AK 5.27.08 DF=1 DL=0.5 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : V505238I Internal Standards R.T. QIon Response Conc Units Dev(Min) 

 1) Pentafluorobenzene
 7.48
 168
 246347
 4.00 ug/L
 -0.05

 39) Fluorobenzene
 8.89
 96
 563203
 4.00 ug/L
 -0.04

 63) d5-Chlorobenzene
 13.70
 117
 341814
 4.00 ug/L
 -0.04

 87) 1,4-Dichlorobenzene-d4
 17.54
 152
 122116
 4.00 ug/L
 -0.04

 System Monitoring Compounds 28) DB Holder #28 9.02 114 456105 4.04 ug/L -0.04 Spiked Amount 4.000 Range 70 - 130 Recovery = 101.00%9.02 114 456236 4.04 ug/L -0.04 36) 1,4-Difluorobenzene 

 Sol 1,4-Diffuctobenizene
 5.02
 114
 450250
 1.04
 49/1
 0.04

 Spiked Amount
 4.000
 Range
 70 - 130
 Recovery
 =
 101.00%

 54) Bromofluorobenzene
 15.63
 95
 144288
 3.87
 ug/L
 -0.04

 Spiked Amount
 4.000
 Range
 70 - 130
 Recovery
 =
 96.75%

 73) 1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4
 18.14
 152
 101378
 4.00
 ug/L
 -0.04

 Spiked Amount
 4.000
 Range
 70 - 130
 Recovery
 =
 100.00%

 Target Compounds Ovalue Methylene Chloride 4.86 84 1564 Below Cal 80



(#) = qualifier out of range (m) = manual integration I29664.D V505238I.M Tue May 27 09:17:48 2008





VOLATILE QC FORMS

AnalyticsLLC: AEL Documents LLC: Pkg Dividers: VOCQC. doc

Analytics Report 61408 page 0018 of 95

## VOLATILE ORGANIC AQUEOUS SYSTEM MONITORING COMPOUNDS SUMMARY

# Instrument ID: I GC Column: RTX-502.2 Column ID: 0.25 mm

SDG: 61408

SAMPLE ID	SMC 1 (%)	#	SMC 2 (%)	#	SMC 3 (%)	#
L505238I	98		97	1	99	r
L50523812	100		101	1	100	
B505238I	99		96		100	
61408-1	99		96		99	
61408-2	101		97	1	100	
				1		
		·				

	· · ·	Lower	Upper
		Limit	Limit
SMC #1 =	1,4-Difluorobenzene	70	130
SMC #2 =	Bromofluorobenzene	70	130
SMC #3 =	1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4	70	130

# Column to be used to flag recovery values outside of QC limits

* Values outside QC limits

D System Monitoring Compound diluted out

## VOA FORM 2

Analytics Report 61408 page 0019 of 95

#### VOLATILE ORGANIC ÀQUEOUS LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE DUPLICATE PERCENT RECOVERY

Instrument ID: 1 GC Column: RTX-502.2 Column ID: 0.25 mm

# SDG: 61408 Non-spiked sample: B5052381 Spike: L5052381 Spike: L5052381

	SPIKE	LOWER	UPPER	RPD	NON-SPIKE	SPIKE	SPIKE		SPIKE DUP	SPIKE DUI	>	<b></b>	
COMPOUND	ADDED	LIMIT	LIMIT	LIMIT	RESULT (ug/L	) RESULT (ug/L	) % REC	#	RESULT (ug/L)	% REC	#	RPD	#
Dichlorodifluoromethane	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.10	110	Τ	1.03	103	Τ	7	Ť
Chloromethane	1	70	130	15	0.00	1,15	115		1.02	102		12	$\uparrow$
Vinyl Chloride	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.03	103		0.95	95	$\square$	9	$\square$
Bromomethane	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.15	115		1.09	109	$\square$	5	Ħ
Chloroethane	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.01	101		0.97	97		4	Н
t-Butyl alcohol (TBA)	5	70	130	15	0.00	4.94	99	1	5.43	109		9	П
Trichlorofluoromethane	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.98	98		0.93	93		6	П
Diethyl ether	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.98	98		1.01	101	Π	3	Π
1,1,2-Trichlorotrifluoroethane	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.18	118	Τ	1.07	107		10	П
Acetone	5	70	130	15	0.00	5,71	114	Τ	6.32	126		10	Н
1,1-Dichloroethene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.10	110	Τ	1.01	101	Π	8	П
Di-isopropyl ether (DIPE)	1 .	70	130	15	0.00	0.98	98	Τ	0.95	95		3	П
Methylene Chloride	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.92	92	1	0.93	93		0	П
Carbon Disulfide	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.13	113	1	1.03	103		9	П
Acrylonitrile	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.01	101	Τ	1.00	100		1	П
Methyl-tert-butyl ether (MTBE)	2	70	130	15	0.00	1.90	95	T	1.99	100		5	П
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.06	106	1	1.01	101		5	П
1,1-Dichloroethane	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.04	104		1.00	100		4	
Methyl ethyl ketone	5	70	130	15	0.00	5.62	112	1	6.19	124		10	
Ethyl t-butyl ether (ETBE)	1	70	130	15	0.00	0,94	94	T	0.97	97		3	
2,2-Dichloropropane	1	70	130	15	0.00	0,94	94		0,90	90		5	-
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.07	107		1.04	104	-	$\frac{1}{2}$	-
t-Amyl methyl ether (TAME)	I	70	130	15	0.00	0.94	94	1	0.97	97	1	3	1
Chloroform	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.01	101		0.98	98	$\uparrow$	3	1
Bromochloromethane	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.99	99		0.95	95	-	4	1
Tetrahydrofuran	I	70	130	15	0.00	1.03	103		1.19	119	╈	14	1
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.04	104		0.98	98		6	1
1,1-Dicliloropropene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.05	105		0.99	99	╈	6	1
Carbon Tetrachloride	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.05	105		0.98	98	1	6	7
1,2-Dichloroethane	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.99	99		0.97	97	1	2	
Benzene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1,04	104		1.02	102		2	
Trichloroethene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.08	108		1.02	102	Т	6	
1,2-Dichloropropane	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.02	102		1.02	102	T	1	٦
Bromodichloromethane		70	130	15	0.00	1.03	103		1.02	102		1	
Dibromomethane	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.97	97		0.99	99	Τ	2	1
2-Hexanone	5	70	130	15	0.00	5.58	112		5.81	116	Τ	4	1
Methyl isobutyl ketone	5	70	130	15	0.00	5.48	110		5.93	119		8	1
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.00	100		0.99	99		1	٦
Toluene	-1	70	130	15	0.00	1,06	106		1.03	103	1	2	1
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.88	88		0.84	84	Τ	4	1
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.06	106		1.04	104	1	2	1
1,3-Dichloropropane	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.04	104	T	1.05	105	T	1	1
Tetrachloroethene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.11	111		1.03	103	Τ	8	1
Dibromochloromethane	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.98	98		0.98	98	Τ	1	1
1,2-Dibromoethane	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.99	99		1.03	103	Τ	4	1
Chlorobenzene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.04	104		0,99	99	1	5	1

VOA FORM 3

#### VOLATILE ORGANIC AQUEOUS LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE DUPLICATE PERCENT RECOVERY

Instrument ID: 1 GC Column: RTX-502.2 Column ID: 0.25 mm

# SDG: 61408 Non-spiked sample: B5052381 Spike: L5052381 Spike: L5052381 Spike: L5052381

	SPIKE	LOWER	LIPPER	RPD	NON SPIKE	CDIVE	EDIVE		CONTRACTOR DATE			ſ~	
COMPOUND	ADDED	LIMIT	TRAT	TROT	DECIDITION TO T	BERLIT TO A	STIKE		SPIKE DUP	SPIKE DUP			
1 1 1 2-Tetrachloroethang		70	120		KESULI (ug/L)	RESULT (ug/L)	% REC	<del>/</del> #	RESULT (ug/L)	% REC	#	RPD	<del></del>
Ethylbenzene		70	130	15	0.00	1,07	107	┢──	1.01	101	<u> </u>	6	_
m n Yulona		70	130	15	0.00	1.08	108		1.02	102	<u> </u>	6	<u> </u>
- X-da-	<u></u>	70	130	15	0.00	2.21	110		2.06	103		7	
o-Aylene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.06	106		1.01	101		5	
Styrene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.07	107		1.01	101		6	
Bromoform	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.96	96		0.98	98		2	
Isopropylbenzene	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.97	97		0.90	90		7	
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.95	95		0.95	95		0	
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.02	102		1.09	109		6	Π
trans-1,4-Dichloro-2-butene	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.93	93		0.90	90		3	Π
n-Propylbenzene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.07	107		0.98	98		9	Π
Bromobenzene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.06	106		1.02	102		4	
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.11	111		1.02	102			
2-Chlorotoluene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.07	107	Ť	1.03	103		4	
4-Chlorotoluene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1,07	107		1.01	101	$\neg$	6	
tert-butylbenzene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.09	109		0.99	99	1		-
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1,14	114	1	1.06	106		7	1
sec-butylbenzene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.08	108		0.99	99	1	-	-
p-isopropyltoluene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.06	106	-	0.97	97	-	8	1
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.05	105		1.00	100	$\uparrow$	5	4
1;4-Dichlorobenzene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.04	104	T	0.96	96		7	
n-butylbenzene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.14	114	T	1.00	100	╈	13	
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	I	70	130	15	0.00	1.05	105	T	1.01	101	╈	4	1
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.99	99	T	0.93	93	+	6	
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	i	70	130	15	0.00	1.03	103	T	0.99	99	-†	4	
Hexachlorobutadiene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.12	112	+	1.04	104	+	8	
Naphthalene	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.96	96	$\uparrow$	0.94	94	+	${7}$	4
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.04	104	-	1.01	101	+	$\frac{2}{2}$	-
1,3,5-Trichlorobenzene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.09	109	╈	1.05	105	╈	4	-

# Column to be used to flag recovery and RPD values outside of QC limits

* Values outside QC limits

Non-spike result of "0" used in place of "U" to allow calculation of spike recovery

Comments:

VOA FORM 3

Analytics Report 61408 page 0021 of 95

guantitation keport (Not Reviewed) Data File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\052308-I\I29655Q.D Acq On : 23 May 2008 4:39 pm Sample : L505238I Vial: 13 Operator: Sample : L505238I Misc : 25000 Inst : GC/MS Ins Multiplr: 1.00 Integration Params: rteint.p Quant Time: May 27 10:08 2008 Quant Results File: V505238I.RES AF5.17.08 5.2 Quant Method : D:\HPCHEM\METHODS\V505238I.M (RTE Integrator) Title : 524.2 Purgable Organics Last Update : Fri May 23 14:54:04 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : V505238I Internal Standards R.T. QION Response Conc Units Dev(Min) 1) Pentafluorobenzene7.511682642074.00ug/L-0.0239) Fluorobenzene8.92965962024.00ug/L-0.0263) d5-Chlorobenzene13.711173553894.00ug/L-0.0287) 1,4-Dichlorobenzene-d417.561521295334.00ug/L-0.02 System Monitoring Compounds 28) DB Holder #28 9.03 114 474739 3.92 ug/L -0.02 Spiked Amount 4.000 Range 70 - 130 Recovery = 98.00% 36) 1,4-Difluorobenzene 9.03 114 

 Spiked Amount
 4.000
 Range
 70 - 130
 Recovery
 =
 98.00%

 54)
 Bromofluorobenzene
 15.65
 95
 152440
 3.86 ug/L
 -0.02

 15.65 95 152440 3.86 ug/L -0.02 Range 70 - 130 Recovery = 96.50% / 18.16 152 103789 3.94 ug/L -0.02 Spiked Amount 4.000 73) 1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4 18.16 152 103789 3.94 ug/L -0. Spiked Amount 4.000 Range 70 - 130 Recovery = 98.50% 'arget CompoundsQvalue2) Dichlorodifluoromethane1.8385729341.10ug/L973) Chloromethane2.0950363991.15ug/L994) Vinyl Chloride2.2262235301.03ug/L975) Bromomethane2.7394543721.15ug/L996) Chloroethane2.8464378651.01ug/L957) t-Butyl alcohol (TBA)4.275949794.94ug/L#9) Diethyl ether3.6174112300.98ug/L9210) 1,1,2-Trichlorotrifluoroet3.82101505221.18ug/L9211) Acrolein3.79567280.08ug/L9912) Acetone3.9143173195.71ug/L#8713) 1,1-Dichloroethene4.0861696591.10ug/L9915) Di-isopropyl ether (DIPE)5.96451021930.98ug/L9517) Carbon Disulfide4.8884662230.92ug/L9117) Carbon Disulfide4.85761525301.13ug/L9018) Acrylonitrile5.0753431361.06ug/L9520) trans-1,2-Dichloroethene5.3796481361.06ug/L9821) Actore6.1243180050.65ug/L9822) Vinyl acetate6.124318055<t l'arget Compounds Qvalue (#) = qualifier out of range (m) = manual integration I29655Q.D V505238I.M Tue May 27 10:08:05 2008

Analytics Report 61408 page 0022 of 95

	Υ QU	Janutudu	топ ке	eport (1	NOT Reviewed)	
Dat Acq Sam	a File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\0523 On : 23 May 2008 4:39 ple : L505238I	308-I\I2 pm	9655Q.	D	Vial: 13 Operator: Inst : GC/MS	5 Ins
, ^{pm} , <b>S</b>	c : 25000			N	Multiplr: 1.00	
Qua	ant Time: May 27 10:08 2008	)		Quant Resu	alts File: V505	238I.RES
Quai	nt Method : D:\HPCHEM\METHOD	S\V50523	38I.M	(RTE Integ	rator)	
TIC.	te : 524.2 Purgable O	rganics	_			
Dasi	Copression - Tritial Calibrat	:04 2008	3			
Data	Acq Meth · V505238T	101		*		
					,	
					,	
	Compound	R.T.	OIon	Response	Conc Init	
						Qvarue
30	)) Bromochloromethane	7.54	128	14664	$0.99 u \sigma / T_{1}$	# 80 ⁻
31	) Tetrahydrofuran	7.68	42	2732	1.03 ug/L	88
32	2) 1,1,1-Trichloroethane	7.92	97	66998	1.04 ug/L	96
34	) 1,1-Dichloropropene	8.17	75	61908	1.05 ug/L	99
35	) Carbon Tetrachloride	8.32	119	54123	1.05  ug/T	97
37	) 1,2-Dichloroethane	8.55	62	30661	0.99 ug/L	99
38	) Benzene	8.57	78	153075	1.04  ug/L	96
40	) Trichloroethene	9.53	95	47441	1.08 ug/L	93
41	) 1,2-Dichloropropane	9.79	63	33601	1.02 ug/L	99
45	) Bromodichloromethane	10.14	83	41994	1.03 ug/L	93
46	) Dibromomethane	10.22	93	14012	0.97 ug/L	94
47	) 1,4-Dioxane	10.24	88	2668	24.40 ug/L	99
51	) 2-Hexanone	12.06	58	17344	5.58 ug/L	92
( 52	) Methyl isobutyl ketone	10.72	58	22708	5.48 ug/L	90
- 53	) Cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	11.00	75	42586	1.00 ug/L	98
55	) Toluene	11.49	92	97993	1.06 ug/L	99
56,	trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	11.78	75	26812	0.88 ug/L	98
58,	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	12.01	83	14374	1.06 ug/L	98
59)	Totro ablance blance	12.40	76	29766	1.04 ug/L	100
60) 61)	Dibromochlowemethane	12.49	166	45179	1.11 ug/L	96
62)	1 2-Dibromoothane	12.79	129	22187	0.98 ug/L	99
64)	Chlorobenzene	13.11	107	16224	0.99 ug/L	95
65)	1 1 1 2-Tetrachloroothano	12.77	112	96017	1.04 ug/L	98
66)	Ethylbenzéne	13.84	131	29813	1.07 ug/L	95
67)	m.p-Xylene	12 00	106	141100	1.08 ug/L	97
68)	o-Xvlene	14 60	106	141199 62005	2.21 ug/L	98
69)	Styrene	14.00	100	02095	1.06 ug/L	96
70)	Bromoform	15 24	173	93779	1.07  ug/L	94
71)	Isopropylbenzene	15.26	105	158559	0.96 ug/L	94
72)	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	15.53	83	14338	0.97 ug/L	96
74)	1,2,3-Trichloropropane	15.76	75	10618	$1 02 \mu a/L$	99
75)	trans-1,4-Dichloro-2-buten	15.89	88	1419	1.02  ug/L	97
76)	n-Propylbenzene	15.90	91	207979	1 07 110/T	63
77)	Bromobenzene	15.94	156	29356	1.07  ug/L	25 0E
78)	1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	16.16	105	135637	$1 11 u \sigma/T$	60
79)	2-Chlorotoluene	16.17	91	125784	1.07 ug/L	20 95
80)	4-Chlorotoluene	16.25	91	112388	$1.07 u \sigma/T.$	92
(31)	tert-butylbenzene	16.73	119	112718	1.09 ug/L	94
(@82)	1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	16.79	105	133298	1.14 ua/L	100
84)	sec-butylbenzene	17.07	105	178721	1.08 ug/L	96
 (#) =	- $        -$					

(#) = qualifier out of range (m) = manual integration I29655Q.D V505238I.M Tue May 27 10:08:05 2008

(NOT Reviewed) Data File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\052308-I\I29655Q.D Vial: 13 Acg On : 23 May 2008 4:39 pm Operator: Sample : L505238I Inst : GC/MS Ins Misc : 25000 Multiplr: 1.00 Integration Params: rteint.p Quant Time: May 27 10:08 2008 Quant Results File: V505238I.RES Quant Method : D:\HPCHEM\METHODS\V505238I.M (RTE Integrator) Title : 524.2 Purgable Organics Last Update : Fri May 23 14:54:04 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : V505238I

Anamercacton Kebotr

	Compound	R.T.	QIon	Response	Conc Unit	Qvalue
85) 86) 88) 90) 92) 92) 94) 95) 95) 96) 97) 98)	p-isopropyltoluene 1,3-Dichlorobenzene 1,4-Dichlorobenzene n-butylbenzene 1,2-Dichlorobenzene 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropan 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene Hexachlorobutadiene Naphthalene 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene 1,3,5-Trichlorobenzene	17.31 17.44 17.61 17.97 18.21 19.50 20.95 21.20 21.36 21.79	119 146 146 91 146 75 180 225 128 180	134266 56988 54213 132978 43242 1810 26612 19259 26554 19772	1.06 ug/L 1.05 ug/L 1.04 ug/L 1.14 ug/L 1.14 ug/L 0.99 ug/L 1.03 ug/L 1.12 ug/L 0.96 ug/L 1.04 ug/L	0value 100 97 98 92 100 94 99 98 95
			700	70000	T.03 (nd/1)	98

-----



Analytics Report 61408 page 0025 of 95

	Zuanencae.	ron ve	borr (W	oc keviewea)	
Data File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\05 Acq On : 23 May 2008 5:1 Sample : L505238I2 Misc : 25000 Integration Params: rteint Quant Time: May 27 10:08 200	2308-I\I29 2 pm .p 8	9656Q.	D O I M Quant Resu	Vial: 14 perator: nst : GC/MS ultiplr: 1.00 lts File: V505	Ins 2381.RES
Quant Method : D:\HPCHEM\METHO Title : 524.2 Purgable Last Update : Fri May 23 14: Response via : Initial Calibra DataAcq Meth : V505238I	DDS\V50523 Organics 54:04 2008 ation	8I.M	(RTE Integ:	rator) 7.18 AK 27.08 5.27.08	
Internal Standards	R.T.	QIon	Response	Conc Units De	v(Min)
<ol> <li>Pentafluorobenzene</li> <li>Fluorobenzene</li> <li>d5-Chlorobenzene</li> <li>1,4-Dichlorobenzene-d4</li> </ol>	7.50 8.91 13.71 17.56	168 96 117 152	263080 590700 366157 135310	4.00 ug/L 4.00 ug/L 4.00 ug/L 4.00 ug/L	-0.03 -0.02 -0.03 -0.02
System Monitoring Compounds 28) DB Holder #28 Spiked Amount 4.000 36) 1,4-Difluorobenzene Spiked Amount 4.000 54) Bromofluorobenzene Spiked Amount 4.000 73) 1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4 Spiked Amount 4.000	9.03 Range 70 9.03 Range 70 15.65 Range 70 18.16 Range 70	114 - 130 114 - 130 95 - 130 152 - 130	483580 Recove 483580 Recove 158015 Recove 108048 Recove	4.01 ug/L ry = 100.25 4.01 ug/L ry = 100.25 4.04 ug/L ry = 101.00 3.98 ug/L ry = 99.50	-0.03 -0.03 -0.02 -0.02 -0.02
<pre>/arget Compounds 2) Dichlorodifluoromethane 3) Chloromethane 4) Vinyl Chloride 5) Bromomethane 6) Chloroethane 7) t-Butyl alcohol (TBA) 8) Trichlorofluoromethane 9) Diethyl ether 10) 1,1,2-Trichlorotrifluoroe 11) Acrolein 12) Acetone 13) 1,1-Dichloroethene 15) Di-isopropyl ether (DIPE) 16) Methylene Chloride 17) Carbon Disulfide 18) Acrylonitrile 19) Methyl-tert-butyl ether ( 20) trans-1,2-Dichloroethene 21) 1,1-Dichloroethane 22) Vinyl acetate 23) Methyl ethyl ketone 24) Ethyl t-butyl ether (ETBE) 25) 2,2-Dichloropropane 26) cis-1,2-Dichloroethene 27) t-Amyl methyl ether (TAME) 29) Chloroform (#) = qualifier out of range (methylene) </pre>	1.83 2.08 2.21 2.73 2.83 4.27 3.18 3.61 3.61 3.61 3.79 3.91 4.07 5.96 4.87 4.85 5.09 M 5.18 5.37 6.06 6.10 6.79 ) $6.62$ 6.98 7.04 8.40 7.30	85 50 62 94 64 59 101 74 101 56 43 61 45 84 76 53 73 96 33 72 96 33 77 96 73 83 1 inte	68031 32171 23163 51474 36085 5449 68870 11469 45704 730 19104 63974 98549 66019 138792 4292 94220 45651 74185 17376 6615 77333 51373 44756 52889 67208	Qr 1.03 ug/L 1.02 ug/L 0.95 ug/L 1.09 ug/L 0.97 ug/L 5.43 ug/L # 0.93 ug/L 1.01 ug/L # 1.07 ug/L 1.01 ug/L 1.01 ug/L 1.01 ug/L 1.03 ug/L 1.03 ug/L 1.03 ug/L 1.00 ug/L 1.99 ug/L # 1.01 ug/L 1.00 ug/L # 1.01 ug/L 1.00 ug/L # 1.01 ug/L 0.63 ug/L # 6.19 ug/L 0.97 ug/L 0.97 ug/L 0.98 ug/L	value 99 93 99 96 98 93 99 90 95 91 96 98 96 90 100 91 97 97 97 97 97 97 97 93 95 96 98 94 93 99
129656Q.D V505238I.M Tue	May 27 10	:08:07	2008	Pa	ge 1

(

Data File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\052308-I\129656Q.D Vial: 14 Acq On : 23 May 2008 5:12 pm Operator: Sample : L505238I2 Inst : GC/MS Ins : 25000 Misc Multiplr: 1.00 Integration Params: rteint.p Quant Time: May 27 10:08 2008 Quant Results File: V505238I.RES Quant Method : D:\HPCHEM\METHODS\V505238I.M (RTE Integrator) Title : 524.2 Purgable Organics Last Update : Fri May 23 14:54:04 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : V505238I

~----

(and a local strategy

Compound	R.T.	QIon	Response	Conc Unit	Qvalue
30) Bromochloromethane	7.54	128	14069	0.95 ug/L	 # 80
31) Tetrahydrofuran	7.67	42	3137	1.19 ug/L	# 65
32) 1,1,1-Trichloroethane	7.93	97	62604	0.98 ug/L	
34) 1,1-Dichloropropene	8.17	75	58012	0.99 ug/L	97
35) Carbon Tetrachloride	8.31	119	50533	0.98 ug/L	100
37) 1,2-Dichloroethane	8.56	62	29913	0.97 ug/L	96
38) Benzene	8.57	78	148786	1.02  ug/L	99
40) Trichloroethene	9.52	95	44385	1.02 ug/L	89
41) 1,2-Dichloropropane	9.79	63	33035	1.02 ug/L	97
45) Bromodichloromethane	10.14	83	41020	1.02 ug/L	98
46) Dibromomethane	10.21	. 93	14181	0.99 ug/L	92
47) 1,4-Dioxane	10.22	88	2710	25.02 ug/L	# 76
51) 2-Hexanone	12.06	58	18143	5.81 ug/L	97
52) Methyl isobutyl ketone	10.71	58	24344	5.93 ug/L	91
53) cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	10.99	75	41826	0.99 ug/L	98
55) Toluene	11.48	92	94831	1.03 ug/L	97
56) trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	11.78	75	25408	0.84 ug/L	97
58) 1,1,2-Trichloroethane	12.02	83	13982	1.04 ug/L	91
59) 1,3-Dichloropropane	12.40	76	29676	1.05 ug/L	96
60) Tetrachloroethene	12.50	166	41407	1.03 ug/L	97
61) Dibromochloromethane	12.79	129	22155	0.98 ug/L	95
62) 1,2-Dibromoethane	13.11	107	16704	1.03 ug/L	94
64) Chlorobenzene	13.77	112	94056	0.99 ug/L	98
65) 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	13.85	131	29050	1.01  ug/L	95
66) Ethylbenzene	13.87	91	180174	1.02 ug/L	98
67) m,p-Xylene	14.00	106	135549	2.06 ug/L	98
68) o-Xylene	14.68	106	60778	1.01 ug/L	98
69) Styrene	14.73	104	90739	1.01 ug/L	94
70) Bromoform	15.25	173	9800	0.98 ug/L	95
71) Isopropylbenzene	15.26	105	151940	0.90 ug/L	97
72) 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	15.53	83	14790	0.95 ug/L	99
74) 1,2,3-Trichloropropane	15.76	75	11666	1.09 ug/L	92
75) trans-1,4-Dichloro-2-buter	n 15.90	88	1408	0.90 ug/L #	68
76) n-Propylbenzene	15.90	91	196783	0.98 ug/L	96
77) Bromobenzene	15.95	156	29030	1.02 ug/L #	88
78) 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	16.16	105	128043	1.02 ug/L	98
79) 2-Chlorotoluene	16.17	91	125005	1.03  ug/L	97
80) 4-Chlorotoluene	16.25	91	108781	1.01  ug/T	93
81) tert-butylbenzene	16.73	119	105978	0.99 ua/L	97
82) 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	16.79	105	127995	1.06 ug/I.	100
84) sec-butylbenzene	17.08	105	169975	0.99 ug/L	97
<pre>#) = qualifier out of range (m</pre>	) = manua		egration	، بيد بيد عبد بيد جد ميد بيد بيد ميد ميد ميد مي	

I29656Q.D V505238I.M Tue May 27 10:08:07 2008

RAMMERCACTON VEDATC

(NOL KEVLEWED)

Data File	:	D:\HPCHEM\DATA\052308-I\I296560	.D Vial· 1	4
Acq On	:	23 May 2008 5:12 pm	Onerstory	
Sample	:	L50523812	Inst · G	C/MS The
^{,Md} .SC	:	25000	Multiplr, 1	
Integra	ti	on Params: rteint.p	narcipit. I	
Quant Tim	e:	May 27 10:08 2008	Quant Results File:	V505238I.RES
Quant Metho Title	od	: D:\HPCHEM\METHODS\V505238I.M : 524.2 Purgable Organics	(RTE Integrator)	• •
Last Update	e	: Fri May 23 14:54:04 2008		

Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : V505238I

··	Compound	R.T.	QIon	Response	Conc Unit	Qvalue
85) 86) 88) 90) 92) 92) 94) 95) 96)	p-isopropyltoluene 1,3-Dichlorobenzene 1,4-Dichlorobenzene 1,2-Dichlorobenzene 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropan 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene Hexachlorobutadiene Naphthalene	R.T. 17.31 17.43 17.61 17.98 18.20 19.48 20.95 21.20 21.36	QION 119 146 146 91 146 75 180 225	Response 127209 55814 52591 122136 43223 1777 26685 18579 27108	Conc Unit 0.97 ug/L 1.00 ug/L 0.96 ug/L 1.00 ug/L 1.01 ug/L 0.93 ug/L 0.99 ug/L 1.04 ug/L 0.94 ug/L	Qvalue 97 97 100 93 99 # 68 99 96
97) 98)	1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	21.80	180	20173	1.01 ug/L	94
,		エラ・ラロ	790	41048	1.05 uq/L	100

(#) = qualifier out of range (m) = manual integration I29656Q.D V505238I.M Tue May 27 10:08:07 2008

------



Analytics Report 61408 page 0029 of 95

GC/MS Volatiles Instrument: I

IS only

052308-I

ss+is V7261Exp: ¥ 6/6/08 Voltage 1647

Exp:

Threshold __(OO

ube	Method	Sample	Vol	DF	Comments	File #	LB	pH
		W-I-052308-1-	AK					
	V 50523	BI Blank	25ml	]	<	179142	PV	VIA
2		BFB 25ng Warz	N I	<b> </b>	7	44	<u></u>	
3		O.1 PPB STD			/	455	.1	
И		0.2 1			./	468	}	
5	,	0.5			/	475		
ê		1.0			1	485	.1	
2		2.0				495		
8		5.0			1.	505		
9		10		,	/	হা\$	l	
10		20 4 4			1	52\$	1	
		Blank			1	53		
12		BFB 25ng 19734			/	547		
13		L5057381:25.14	2hb		/	550		
14		1505238IZ :23	7246	2	/	560		
12	[	5505238I				576		$\checkmark$
16/11	7/18	61386-5/614	<u>6-7</u>	64	bg-4 .	58Ha	160	12 -2 -2
18/10	1	61375-1	1			(e)	″	42
2/2	0	61386-1			4	642		12
22	<u> </u>	61408-1				62/2	,	<2
1/2	2	V -2				Ġ84		<2
21	3 (	61409-1				CHE		<2
37	24			V	/	651		<z< td=""></z<>
21/2	5	-3			/	607		12
3		<u> </u>						
26	6	1-6H10-6	1			68		17
					·····			_
			n + united with the second sec		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
								·
				I	、 、		1	

Analytics Report 61408 page 0030 of 95 04/30/02

# VOLATILE ORGANIC INSTRUMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK BROMOFLUOROBENZENE (BFB)

Instrument ID: I GC Column: RTX-502.2 Column ID: 0.25 mm Heated Purge (Y/N): N

# SDG: 61408 BFB File ID: 129644T.D BFB Injection Date: 05/23/08 BFB Injection Time: 09:35

LAB SAMPLE ID	DATA FILE ID	DATE & TIME ANALYZED
0.1 PPB STD	I29645SI.D	5/23/08 10:23
0.2 PPB STD	I29646SI.D	5/23/08 10:56
0.5 PPB STD	I29647SI.D	5/23/08 11:29
1.0 PPB STD	I29648SI.D	5/23/08 12:02
2.0 PPB STD	I29649SI.D	5/23/08 12:35
5.0 PPB STD	129650SI.D	5/23/08 13:41
10 PPB STD	I29651SI.D	5/23/08 14:14
20 PPB STD	I29652SI.D	5/23/08 14:48
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
*****		
	······	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		•

Refer to the BFB report I29644T.D for ion ratio evaluation.

**VOA FORM 5** 



I29644T.D V505

V505238I.M Tue M

Tue May 27 10:08:32 2008

Analytics Report 61408 page 0032 of 95

# VOLATILE ORGANIC INSTRUMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK BROMOFLUOROBENZENE (BFB)

Instrument ID: I GC Column: RTX-502.2 Column ID: 0.25 mm Heated Purge (Y/N): N

SDG: 61408 BFB File ID: 129654T.D BFB Injection Date: 05/23/08 BFB Injection Time: 15:54

LAB SAMPLE ID	DATA FILE ID	DATE & TIME ANALYZED
L505238I	I29655Q.D	5/23/08 16:39
L50523812	I29656Q.D	5/23/08 17:12
B505238I	I29657B.D	5/23/08 17:45
61408-1	I29663.D	5/23/08 21:09
61408-2	I29664.D	5/23/08 21:42
		***************************************
		······································
		·····
······		
		······································
\$		······································
		······································
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
·····		
	······································	

Refer to the BFB report I29654T.D for ion ratio evaluation.

**VOA FORM 5** 

Analytics Report 61408 page 0033 of 95





# Spectrum Information: Scan 1414

$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Target Mass	Rel. to Mass	Lower Limit%	Upper Limit%	Rel. Abn%	Raw Abn	Result Pass/Fail	
	50 75 95 96 173 174 175 176 177	95 95 95 174 95 174 174 174 176	15 30 100 5 0.00 50 5 95 5	40 60 100 9 2 100 9 101 9	$ \begin{array}{c} 17.5\\ 39.2\\ 100.0\\ 6.7\\ 0.0\\ 65.9\\ 7.4\\ 100.7\\ 6.8 \end{array} $	2063 4633 11817 786 0 7790 580 7843 532	PASS PASS PASS PASS PASS PASS PASS PASS	The second secon

#### I29654T.D V505238I.M

Fri May 23 16:01:11 2008

Analytics Report 61408 page 0034 of 95

				Respor	nse Fac	ctor Re	eport	GC/MS	Ins		
/	Met Tit Las Res	chod le t Update sponse via	: D:\HPCHE : 524.2 Pu : Fri May : Initial	M\METH rgable 23 14: Calibr	HODS\V5 Orgar 54:04 Tation	05238 ics 2008	E.M (R:	FE Inte	grator	) 	, B
	്ചി	ibration E	41 Dog							Ht.	U.
	0.1 1	=I2964 =I2964	5SI.D 0. 8SI.D 5	2 =	I29646 I29650	SI.D SI.D	0.5 10	=I290 =I290	647SI.1 551SI.1		N. 27
		Compound	, .	Ο.	1 0.	20.	51	5	10	Avg	<b>レフ</b> *RSD
-	·								·		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 11 12 13 14 15 1 10 12 13 14 15 10 12 13 14 15 16 19 11 12 13 14 15 16 19 10 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	) I TM ) TM ) TM ) TM ) TM TM TM TM TM TM TM TM TM TM TM TM TM T	Pentaflu Dichloro P Chlorome C Vinyl Ch Bromomet Chloroet t-Butyl Trichloro Diethyl G 1,1,2-Tr: Acrolein Acetone C 1,1-Dichl Methyl ic Di-isopro Methylene Carbon Di Acrylonit Methyl -te trans-1,2 1,1-Dichl Vinyl ace Methyl et Ethyl t-b 2,2-Dichl cis-1,2-D t-Amyl me DB Holder Chlorofor Bromochlo Tetrahydru 1,1,1-Trii NO COMPOU	orobenzene difluoromet thane loride hane hane alcohol (TE ofluorometh ether ichlorotrif loroethene odide opyl ether chloride sulfide crile ert-butyl e chloroe oroethane tate hyl ketone utyl ether oropropane ichloroeth thyl ether #28 m romethane ofuran chloroetha	0.44	1.04 0.62 6 0.42 0.843 0.593 1.133 0.633 0.934 1.663 2.033 0.728 0.683 1.165 0.469 1.222 0.898 0.652 0.864 1.817 1.055 0.242 0.968	0 0.97 7 0.50 0 0.37 1 0.76 3 0.55 0.01 3 1.06 0.16 0.16 0.12 0.052 0.052 1.540 1.444 1.976 0.068 0.701 0.669 1.065 0.385 0.016 1.180 0.623 0.801 1.866 1.027 0.230 0.030 0.934	ISTD 2 1.02 8 0.49 7 0.37 1 0.73 0 0.59 6 0.01 8 1.16 6 0.18 0 0.67 7 0.14 2 0.049 5 0.98 1.613 1.017 5 2.127 8 0.069 1.186 0.431 0.017 1.242 0.895 0.678 0.841 1.882 1.062 0.222 0.051 1.003	7 1.014 2 0.447 7 0.338 0 0.667 6 0.564 5 0.016 2 1.152 6 0.180 3 0.663 3 0.153 9 0.048 2 0.969 3 1.649 7 0.623 7 2.059 9 0.062 3 0.752 9 0.062 3 0.766 1.139 0.667 0.866 1.837 1.062 0.229 0.044 0.993	0.975 0.418 0.334 0.657 0.553 0.014 1.134 0.167 0.660 0.142 0.041 0.965 1.526 0.563 2.054 0.063 0.705 0.689 1.101 0.395 0.648 0.816 1.817 1.021 0.219 0.979	$     \begin{array}{c}       1.001 \\       0.481 \\       0.372 \\       0.715 \\       0.568 \\       0.015 \\       1.132 \\       0.173 \\       0.650 \\       0.046 \\       0.958 \\       0.000 \\       1.578 \\       0.825 \\       2.045 \\       0.065 \\       0.719 \\       0.687 \\       1.126 \\       0.419 \\       0.653 \\       0.872 \\       0.653 \\       1.835 \\       1.039 \\       0.225 \\       0.040 \\       0.975 \\   \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 2.65\\ 15.15\\ 11.26\\ 9.43\\ 4.32\\ 5.70\\ 2.67\\ 4.66\\ 2.66\\ 6.15\\ 9.63\\ 1.98\\ -1.00\\ 4.04\\ 1.98\\ -1.00\\ 4.04\\ 2.89\\ 4.24\\ 2.60\\ 1.78\\ 3.81\\ 7.11\\ 4.00\\ 2.94\\ 2.42\\ 2.86\\ 3.10\\ 1.46\\ 1.97\\ 4.18\\ 17.68\\ 2.27\\ 1.02\\ 2.27\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ 1.02\\ $
34) 35) 36) 37) 38)	TM TM S TM TM	1,1-Dichla Carbon Tet 1,4-Diflua 1,2-Dichla Benzene	propropene crachlorid probenzene proethane	1.806	0.876 0.721 1.817 0.489 2.258	0.840 0.734 1.866 0.446 2.110	0.924 0.802 1.882 0.490 2.326	0.915 0.810 1.837 0.484 2.279	0.900 0.811 1.817 0.450 2.202	0.893 0.784 1.835 0.469 2.229	-1.00 3.25 5.21 1.46 3.94 3.36
39) 40)	I TM TMC T	Fluorobenz Trichloroe 1,2-Dichlo NO COMPOUN	zene thene propropane ID		0.297 0.229	0.285 0.207	-ISTD 0.294 0.228	0.296 ( 0.221 (	0.299 ( 0.219 ( (	).295 ).220 ).000	1.81 3.22# -1.00

.

(#) = Out of Range ### Number of calibration levels exceeded format ###
V505238I.M Tue May 27 10:08:59 2008 Page 1

			Respo	m	se Fac	to:	r Re	por	٠t.	GC/MS	Ins	1		
	Met Tit Las Res	hod : D:\HPCH le : 524.2 P t Update : Fri May ponse via : Initial	EM\ME1 urgabl 23 14 Calib	Ho e :!	ODS\V5 Organ 54:04 ation	05: ic: 20(	238I ≩ )8	.М	(RT	E Inte	egra	tor	)	
	Cal 0.1 1	ibration Files =I29645SI.D 0 =I29648SI.D 5	.2	=] =]	[29646] [29650]	SI. SI.	D D	0.9 10	5	=I29 =I29	647 651	SI.I SI.I		
		Compound	0	. 1	0.:	2	0.5	5	1	5		10	Avg	%RSD
43) 44) 45) 46) 47) 49) 50) 51) 52) 53) 55) 55) 55) 55) 55) 58) 55) 58) 52) 52) 52) 52) 52) 52) 52) 52) 52) 52	) T TM TM T T T T T T T T T T T T T T M T M T M T M T M T M T M T M T M T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	NO COMPOUND NO COMPOUND Bromodichlorometha Dibromomethane 1,4-Dioxane NO COMPOUND NO COMPOUND NO COMPOUND 2-Hexanone Methyl isobutyl ke cis-1,3-Dichloropr Bromofluorobenzene Toluene trans-1,3-Dichloro NO COMPOUND 1,1,2-Trichloroeth 1,3-Dichloropropan Tetrachloroethene Dibromochloromethat 1,2-Dibromoethane	e n	9	0.275 0.098 0.011 0.280 0.266 0.611 0.086 0.179 0.277 0.149 0.101		.259 .087 .001 .025 .258 .268 .584 .182 .091 .184 .254 .139 .102	0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.	269 104 001 019 027 280 264 628 193 093 192 268 143 108	0.28 0.10 0.00 0.03 0.03 0.27 0.638 0.216 0.202 0.278 0.202 0.278 0.161 0.118	1 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 5 0 0 0 5 0 5 0 6 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	277 097 001 029 301 271 638 218 093 198 282 161 116	0.000 0.273 0.097 0.001 0.000 0.000 0.021 0.287 0.265 0.205 0.620 0.205 0.000 0.091 0.192 0.273 0.152 0.110	$\begin{array}{c} -1.00\\ -1.00\\ 2.80\\ 5.33\\ 13.23\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.00\\ -1.0$
63) 64) 65) 66) 67) 68) 70) 71) 72) 72) 72) 73) 75) 75) 75) 75) 75) 75) 75) 80) 81) 82) 83) 82)	TMP TMC TM TM TM TM TM TM TM TM TM TM TM TM TM	d5-Chlorobenzene Chlorobenzene 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloro Ethylbenzene m,p-Xylene o-Xylene Styrene Bromoform Isopropylbenzene 1,2,2-Tetrachloro 1,2-Dichlorobenzene 1,2,3-Trichloroprop trans-1,4-Dichloro- n-Propylbenzene Bromobenzene 1,3,5-Trimethylbenz 2-Chlorotoluene 4-Chlorotoluene tert-butylbenzene 1,2,4-Trimethylbenz NO COMPOUND sec-butylbenzene p-isopropyltoluene	 2 2 0.292	2	1.063 0.311 1.887 0.704 0.651 0.875 1.724 0.177 0.296 2.137 0.296 2.137 0.307 1.329 1.327 1.329 1.327 1.225 1.072 1.201	0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.	998 290 810 686 622 891 102 686 163 299 132 012 078 297 298 297 298 286 297 298 286 297 298 286 297 298 286 297 298 286	-IST 1.0 0.3 1.9 0.7 0.6 0.9 0.1 1.8 0.1 0.3 0.1 1.8 0.1 0.3 0.1 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 0.7 0.6 0.9 0.1 1.8 0.1 1.8 0.1 1.8 0.1 1.9 0.7 0.6 0.9 0.1 1.8 0.1 1.8 0.1 1.8 0.1 1.8 0.1 1.8 0.1 1.8 0.1 1.8 0.1 1.8 0.1 1.8 0.1 1.8 0.1 1.8 0.1 1.8 0.1 1.8 0.1 1.8 0.1 1.8 0.1 1.8 0.1 1.8 0.1 1.8 0.1 1.8 0.1 1.8 0.1 1.8 0.1 1.8 0.1 1.8 0.1 1.9 0.1 1.8 0.1 1.8 0.1 0.1 1.8 0.1 1.9 0.1 1.8 0.1 1.9 0.1 1.9 0.1 1.8 0.1 1.9 0.1 1.8 0.1 1.9 0.1 1.3 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.3 1.2 1.3 1.2 1.1 1.3 1.2 1.1 1.3 1.2 1.3 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.3 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.3 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.3 1.2 1.4 1.3 1.2 1.4 1.3 1.4 1.3 1.2 1.4 1.3 1.4 1.3 1.4 1.3 1.4 1.3 1.4 1.5 1.4 1.5 1.4 1.5 1.5 1.4 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5	TD 065 0209 7352 035 035 035 036 036 036	1.049 0.320 1.985 0.739 0.676 1.047 0.116 1.903 0.175 0.300 0.118 0.023 2.253 0.323 1.432 1.365 1.208 1.194 1.373 1.920 1.468	1.0 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.2 0.1 0.2 0.1 0.2 0.3 1.4 1.3 1.1 1.2 1.3 1.9 1.5	025 318 726 726 726 726 726 726 726 726 726 726	1.039 0.313 1.926 0.720 0.658 0.978 0.109 1.837 0.170 0.296 0.117 0.019 2.185 0.311 1.377 1.327 1.327 1.327 1.180 1.165 1.314 0.000 1.868 426	2.34 3.43 3.42# 3.51 2.96 6.96 5.59 5.31 3.12 1.43 7.38 4.24 2.67 4.24 2.67 4.17 4.51 5.18 5.38 5.38 5.38 5.38 5.59

(#) = Out of Range ### Number of calibration levels exceeded format V505238I.M Tue May 27 10:08:59 2008 ###

		Kes	ponse raci	or kel	port G	C/MS 1	ns ·		
	Meth Titl Last Resp	od : D:\HPCHEM\M e : 524.2 Purgal Update : Fri May 23 : oonse via : Initial Cal	ETHODS\V50 ble Organi 14:54:04 2 ibration	05238I. Lcs 2008	.M (RTE	Integ	rator)		
	Cali 0.1 1	bration Files =I29645SI.D 0.2 =I29648SI.D 5	=129646S =129650S	SI.D SI.D	0.5 10	=I296 =I296	47SI.D 51SI.D		
		Compound	0.1 0.2	0.5	1	5	10	Avg	%RSD
86)	TM	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	0.586	0.568	0.631	0.629	0.623	0.609	3,85
87)	I	1,4-Dichlorobenzene-d			-ISTD-		· · · · · · · · · · ·		
88) 89) 90) 91)	TM TM TM T	1,4-Dichlorobenzene n-butylbenzene 1,2-Dichlorobenzene NO COMPOUND	1.716 3.164 1.357	1.517 3.230 1.204	1.663 3.615 1.294	1.602 3.774 1.273	1.602 3.886 1.249	1.615 3.612 1.268 0.000	3.90 8.32 3.82 -1.00
92) 93)	TM T	1,2-Dibromo-3-chlor NO COMPOUND		0.059	0.052	0.058	0.056	0.056	5.10
94) 95) 96) 97) 98)	TM TM TM TM TM	1,2,4-Trichlorobenz Hexachlorobutadiene Naphthalene 1,2,3-Trichlorobenz 1,3,5-Trichlorobenz	0.705 0.479 0.717 0.544 1.116	0.731 0.483 0.708 0.533 1.052	0.791 0.532 0.816 0.569 1.149	0.837 0.537 0.928 0.621 1.178	0.856 0.554 0.966 0.626 1.208	0.801 0.530 0.856 0.589 1.156	7.86 6.67 13.83 7.25 4.87

(#) = Out of Range ### Number of calibration levels exceeded format ### V505238I.M Tue May 27 10:08:59 2008 Page 3


Method Name: D:\HPCHEM\METHODS\V505238I.M Calibration Table Last Updated: Fri May 23 14:54:04 2008

Analytics Report 61408 page 0038 of 95



Method Name: D:\HPCHEM\METHODS\V505238I.M Calibration Table Last Updated: Fri May 23 14:54:04 2008

Analytics Report 61408 page 0039 of 95



Method Name: D:\HPCHEM\METHODS\V505238I.M Calibration Table Last Updated: Fri May 23 14:54:04 2008

Analytics Report 61408 page 0040 of 95

	Quantituati	ion kej	ροτι (τ	ot kevlewed)	
Data File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\05 Acq On : 23 May 2008 10:2 Sample : 0.1 PPB STD Misc : 25000 Integration Params: rteint Quant Time: May 27 10:07 200	52308-I\I29 3 am .p 8	9645SI (	.D I M Quant Resu	Vial: 3 Operator: Inst : GC/MS Multiplr: 1.00 lts File: V5052	Ins 381.RES
Quant Method : D:\HPCHEM\METH	ODS\V50523	8T M	PTT Thtom	rator)	
Title : 524.2 Purgable Last Update : Fri May 23 14: Response via : Initial Calibra DataAcq Meth : V505238I	Organics 54:04 2008 ation	01.11	1.270	AK 127.08	
Internal Standards	R.T.	QIon	Response	Conc Units De	v(Min)
<ol> <li>Pentafluorobenzene</li> <li>Fluorobenzene</li> <li>d5-Chlorobenzene</li> <li>1,4-Dichlorobenzene-d4</li> </ol>	7.50 8.91 13.71 17.55	168 96 117 152	250256 568707 339136 v 120362	4.00 ug/L 4.00 ug/L 4.00 ug/L 4.00 ug/L 4.00 ug/L	-0.03 -0.02 -0.03 -0.03
System Monitoring Compounds 28) DB Holder #28 Spiked Amount 4.000 36) 1,4-Difluorobenzene Spiked Amount 4.000 54) Bromofluorobenzene Spiked Amount 4.000 73) 1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4 Spiked Amount 4.000	9.03 Range 70 9.03 Range 70 15.64 Range 70 18.15 Range 70	114 - 130 114 - 130 95 - 130 152 - 130	452020 Recove 452020 Recove 141758 Recove 99123 Recove	3.94 ug/L ery = 98.508 3.94 ug/L ery = 98.508 3.77 ug/L ery = 94.258 3.95 ug/L ery = 98.758	-0.03 -0.03 -0.03
<pre>/arget Compounds 2) Dichlorodifluoromethane 3) Chloromethane 4) Vinyl Chloride 5) Bromomethane 6) Chloroethane 7) t-Butyl alcohol (TBA) 8) Trichlorofluoromethane 9) Diethyl ether 10) 1,1,2-Trichlorotrifluoroe 11) Acrolein 12) Acetone 13) 1,1-Dichloroethene 15) Di-isopropyl ether (DIPE) 16) Methylene Chloride 17) Carbon Disulfide 18) Acrylonitrile 19) Methyl-tert-butyl ether ( 20) trans-1,2-Dichloroethene 21) 1,1-Dichloroethane 22) Vinyl acetate 23) Methyl ethyl ketone 24) Ethyl t-butyl ether (ETBE 25) 2,2-Dichloropropane 26) cis-1,2-Dichloroethene 27) t-Amyl methyl ether (TAME 29) Chloroform</pre>	1.82 $2.08$ $2.21$ $2.73$ $2.83$ $4.31$ $3.17$ $3.62$ $3.82$ $3.78$ $3.92$ $4.08$ $5.97$ $4.87$ $4.85$ $5.12$ $M 5.19$ $5.37$ $6.06$ $6.10$ $6.82$ $) 6.63$ $6.98$ $7.04$ $) 8.40$ $7.29$ $manua$	85 50 62 94 64 59 101 74 101 56 43 61 45 84 76 53 73 96 43 72 96 73 83 77 96 73 83 1 inte	6950 5792 2788 6160 3847 659 7859 1653 4604 619 2798 6658 10166 31382 14465 500 4913 4823 7965 3486 448 7797 6634 4457 5525 7636	Qv 0.11 ug/L 0.19 ug/L 0.12 ug/L 0.12 ug/L 0.14 ug/L 0.11 ug/L 0.69 ug/L # 0.11 ug/L # 0.15 ug/L # 0.15 ug/L # 0.11 ug/L # 0.10 ug/L # 0.10 ug/L # 0.11 ug/L # 0.11 ug/L # 0.11 ug/L # 0.11 ug/L # 0.11 ug/L # 0.13 ug/L # 0.12 ug/L # 0.12 ug/L # 0.11 ug/L # 0.12 ug/L # 0.12 ug/L #	ralue 98 93 93 93 93 94 98 72 94 84 94 39 73 92 95 92 95 43 68 92 95 43 68 92 95 43 67 1 79 97 97 97 81 92
129645SI.D V505238I.M Tue	e May 27 1	0:07:5	0 2008	Pa	qe 1

Anamericarion Keboli

(NOT Reviewed)

Data File	:	D:\HPCHEM\DATA\052308-I\I29645S	I.D	Vial:	3
Acq On	:	23 May 2008 10:23 am		Operator:	
Misc	:	25000		Inst :	GC/MS Ins
🤇 🖉 Integra	iti	ion Params: rteint.p		Multipir:	1.00
Quant Tim	ne :	May 27 10:07 2008	Quant	Results File:	: V505238I.RES
Quant Meth Title	100	1 : D:\HPCHEM\METHODS\V505238I.M : 524.2 Purgable Organics	(RTE	Integrator)	

-	2. (11 OTTAL (11 11 ODD ( V ) 0 2 3 0 1 . M		Integrator)
•	524.2 Purgable Organics	•	//_/////////////////////////////
:	Fri May 23 14:54:04 2008		
:	Initial Calibration		
;	V505238I	•	
		: 524.2 Purgable Organics : Fri May 23 14:54:04 2008 : Initial Calibration : V505238I	: 524.2 Purgable Organics : Fri May 23 14:54:04 2008 : Initial Calibration : V505238I

	Compound	R.T.	QIon	Response	Conc Unit	Qvalue
30	) Bromochloromethane	7.53	128	1349	0.10 ug/T	 # 61
31	) Tetrahydrofuran	7.67	42	166	0.07  ug/L	# 91
32	) 1,1,1-Trichloroethane	7.92	97	6901	0.11  ug/L	., 96
34	) 1,1-Dichloropropene	8.17	75	6492	0.12  ug/T	97
35	) Carbon Tetrachloride	8.30	119	5862	0.12  ug/L	84
37	) 1,2-Dichloroethane	8.54	62	3362	0.11 ug/L	96
38	) Benzene	8.56	78	16309	0.12 ug/L	96
40	) Trichloroethene	9.52	95	5190	0.12 ug/L	87
41	) 1,2-Dichloropropane	9.80	63	3674	0.12 ug/L	80
45	) Bromodichloromethane	10.15	83	4192	0.11 ug/L	# 72
46	) Dibromomethane	10.21	93	1484	0.11  ug/L	# 76
47	) 1,4-Dioxane	10.23	88	192	1.84 ug/L	# 20
51;	2-Hexanone	12.10	58	1049	1.58 ug/L	# 52
( 52)	Methyl isobutyl ketone	10.72	58	1619	0.41 ug/L	# 81
- <u>53</u> )	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	10.99	75	3707	0.09  uq/L	
55)	Toluene	11.48	92	10083	0.11  ug/L	88
56)	trans-1, 3-Dichloropropene	11.78	75	2992	0.10 ug/L	96
58)	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	12.01	83	1435	0.11  ug/L	95
59)	1,3-Dichloropropane	12.40	76	3216	0.12  ug/L	. 97
60)	Tetrachloroethene	12.49	166	4326	0.11 ug/L	92
61)	Dibromochloromethane	12.79	129	2288	0.11  ug/L	97
62)	1,2-Dibromoethane	13.11	107	1581	0.10 ug/L	88
64)	Chlorobenzene	13.77	112	10214	0.12 ug/L	88
65)	1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	13.84	131	2873	0.11  ug/L	97
66)	Ethylbenzene	13.86	91	17752	0.11  ug/L	95
67)	m,p-Xylene	13.98	106	13474	0.22 uq/L	97
68)	o-Xy⊥ene	14.67	106	5818	0.10  ug/L	88
69)	Styrene	14.74	104	7766	0.09 ug/L	97
70)	Bromotorm	15.23	173	1024	0.11  ug/L	98
71)	Isopropylbenzene	15.25	105	16449	0.11  ug/L	99
72)	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	15.53	83	1522	0.11 ug/L #	\$ 85
76)	n-propyibenzene	15.89	91	20041	0.11 ug/L	95
77)	Bromobenzene	15.94	156	2881	0.11  ug/L	91
78)	1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	16.16	105	12473	0.11 ug/L	87
(9)	2-Chlorotoluene	16.17	91	12139	0.11 ug/L	98
80)	4-Chlorotoluene	16.25	91	10165	0.10  ug/L	91
8T)	tert-butyibenzene	16.72	119	10344	0.10 ug/L	94
82)	1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	16.79	105	11309	0.10 ug/L	99
	sec-butyrbenzene	17.08	105	16614	0.10 ug/L	98
() (05)	p-rsobrobatolne	17.30	119	12656	0.10  ug/L	94
86)	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	17.43	146	5416	0.10 ug/L	94
(#) =	= qualifier out of range (m)	= manua	l inte			

(#) = qualifier out of range (m) = manual integration I29645SI.D V505238I.M Tue May 27 10:07:50 2008 Xaaree cacetore report

(NOC VEATEMENT)

Data File : Acq On : Sample : Misc	D:\HPCHEM\DATA\052308-I\I296455 23 May 2008 10:23 am 0.1 PPB STD 25000	I.D Vial: 3 Operator: Inst : GC/MS Ins
Integrat	lon Params: rteint.p	MUICIPIT: 1.00
Quant Time	: May 27 10:07 2008	Quant Results File: V505238I.RES
Quant Method Title Last Update Response via DataAcq Meth	<pre>i : D:\HPCHEM\METHODS\V505238I.M : 524.2 Purgable Organics : Fri May 23 14:54:04 2008 i : Initial Calibration i : V505238I</pre>	(RTE Integrator)

	Compound	R.T.	QIon	Response	Conc Unit	Qvalue
88) 89) 90) 92) 92) 94) 95) 96) 97) 98)	1,4-Dichlorobenzene n-butylbenzene 1,2-Dichlorobenzene 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropan 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene Hexachlorobutadiene Naphthalene 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene 1,3,5-Trichlorobenzene	17.61 17.97 18.20 19.52 20.95 21.19 21.36 21.81 19.90	146 91 146 75 180 225 128 180 180	5783 11259 4663 125 2387 1948 2263 1662 3682	0.12 ug/L 0.10 ug/L 0.12 ug/L 0.07 ug/L 0.10 ug/L 0.12 ug/L 0.09 ug/L 0.09 ug/L 0.09 ug/L	94 96 90 # 1 98 96 73 79
						بنقت مجيد

-----





Analytics Report 61408 page 0044 of 95

Data File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\052308-I\I29646SI.D Vial: 4 Operator: Vial: 4 Acq On : 23 May 2008 10:56 am Sample : 0.2 PPB STD Misc : 25000 Inst : GC/MS Ins Multiplr: 1.00 Junt Time: May 27 10:07 2008 Quant Results File: V505238I.RES Quant Time: May 27 10:07 2008 Quant Method : D:\HPCHEM\METHODS\V505238I.M (RTE Integrator) Title : 524.2 Purgable Organics Last Update : Fri May 23 14:54:04 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration AK. 77.08 DataAcq Meth : V505238I Internal Standards R.T. QIon Response Conc Units Dev(Min) 1) Pentafluorobenzene7.491682492334.00ug/L-0.0439) Fluorobenzene8.90965671304.00ug/L-0.0363) d5-Chlorobenzene13.701173443774.00ug/L-0.0487) 1,4-Dichlorobenzene-d417.551521279914.00ug/L-0.03 

 System Monitoring Compounds
 9.03
 114
 452967
 3.96 ug/L
 -0.03

 Spiked Amount
 4.000
 Range
 70
 -130
 Recovery
 =
 99.00% /

 36)
 1.4-Difluorobenzene
 9.03
 114
 452967
 3.96 ug/L
 -0.03

 Spiked Amount
 4.000
 Range
 70
 -130
 Recovery
 =
 99.00% /

 54)
 Bromofluorobenzene
 15.64
 95
 150991
 4.02
 ug/L
 -0.03

 spiked Amount
 4.000
 Range
 70
 -130
 Recovery
 =
 100.50% /

 73)
 1.2-Dichlorobenzene-d4
 18.16
 152
 101843
 3.99
 ug/L
 -0.03

 Groups d Amount
 4.000
 Range
 70
 -130
 Recovery
 =
 99.75% /

 Spiked Amount 4.000 Range 70 - 130 Recovery = 99.75% 

 Target Compounds
 Qvalue

 2) Dichlorodifluoromethane
 1.83
 85
 12955
 0.21
 ug/L
 95

 3) Chloromethane
 2.09
 50
 7814
 0.26
 ug/L
 95

 4) Vinyl Chloride
 2.21
 62
 5230
 0.23
 ug/L
 95

 5) Bromomethane
 2.73
 94
 10482
 0.24
 ug/L
 98

 6) Chloroethane
 2.83
 64
 7452
 0.21
 ug/L
 98

 9) Diethyl alcohol (TBA)
 4.27
 59
 732
 0.77
 ug/L
 97

 10) 1.1.2-Trichlorotrifluoroet
 3.83
 101
 7869
 0.19
 ug/L
 93

 11) Acrolein
 3.79
 56
 1626
 0.19
 ug/L
 93

 13) 1.1-Dichloroethene
 4.08
 61
 11639
 0.19
 ug/L
 93

 15) Di-isopropyl ether (DIPE)
 5.95
 45
 20720
 0.21
 ug/L
 90

 16) Methylene Chloride
 4.86
 84
 34786
 0.04
 ug/L
 87

 17) Carbon Disulfide
 4.86
 farget Compounds · ______ (#) = qualifier out of range (m) = manual integration I29646SI.D V505238I.M Tue May 27 10:07:52 2008

Anometeacton vehote

(NOL KENTEMED)

Data File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\052308-I\I29646SI.D Vial: 4 Acq On : 23 May 2008 10:56 am Operator: Sample : 0.2 PPB STD Inst : GC/MS Ins Misc : 25000 Multiplr: 1.00 . Integration Params: rteint.p Quant Time: May 27 10:07 2008 Quant Results File: V505238I.RES Quant Method : D:\HPCHEM\METHODS\V505238I.M (RTE Integrator)

Title : 524.2 Purgable Organics Last Update : Fri May 23 14:54:04 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : V505238I

	Compound	R.T.	QIon	Response	Conc Unit	Qvalue
30	) Bromochloromethane	7.53	128	3020	0.22 ug/L	 # 81
31	) Tetrahydrofuran	7.67	42	919	0.37 uq/L	# 37
32	) 1,1,1-Trichloroethane	7.91	97	12065	0.20 ug/L	96
34	) 1,1-Dichloropropene	8.16	75	10919	0.20 ug/L	90
35	) Carbon Tetrachloride	8.30	119	8987	0.18 ug/L	98
37	) 1,2-Dichloroethane	8.55	62	6089	0.21 ug/L	98
38	) Benzene	8.56	78	28139	0.20 ug/L	95
40	) Trichloroethene	9.51	95	8413	0.20 ug/L	84
41	) 1,2-Dichloropropane	9.79	63	6490	0.21 ug/L	89
45	) Bromodichloromethane	10.14	83	7791	0.20 ug/L	94
46	) Dibromomethane	10.20	93	2775	0.20 ug/L	# 85
47	) 1,4-Dioxane	10.24	88	339	3.26 ug/L	# 60
51	) 2-Hexanone	12.09	58	1605	1.73 ug/L	# 71
( 52)	) Methyl isobutyl ketone	10.72	58	3663	0.93 ug/L	89
···· 53	) cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	10.99	75	7945	0.20 ug/L	89
55)	) Toluene	11.48	92	17339	0.20 ug/L	97
56)	) trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	11.78	75	5297	0.18 ug/L	97
58)	) 1,1,2-Trichloroethane	12.01	83	2449	0.19 ug/L	91
59)	) 1,3-Dichloropropane	12.40	76	5079	0.19 ug/L	97
60)	Tetrachloroethene	12.50	166	7862	0.20 ug/L	89
61)	Dibromochloromethane	12.79	129	4225	0.20 ug/L	91
62)	1,2-Dibromoethane	13.10	107	2877	0.18 ug/L	89
64)	Chlorobenzene	13.76	112	18305	0.20 ug/L	97
65)	1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	13.84	131	5355	0.20 ug/L	89
66)	Ethylbenzene	13.86	91	32489	0.20 ug/L	100
67)	m,p-Xylene	13.99	106	24236	0.39 ug/L	92
68)	o-Xylene	14.68	106	11215	0.20 ug/L	97
69)	Styrene	14.74	104	15067	0.18  ug/L	99
70)	Bromoform	15.24	173	1791	0.19 ug/L	78
71)	Isopropylbenzene	15.25	105	29690	0.19 ug/L	94
72)	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	15.51	83	3051	0.21 ug/L	# 82
74)	1,2,3-Trichloropropane	15.75	75	3023	0.30 ug/L	95
76)	n-Propylbenzene	15.90	91	36805	0.20 ug/L	92
77)	Bromobenzene	15.95	156	5287	0.20 ug/L	93
78)	1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	16.16	105	22889	0.19 ug/L	90
79)	2-Chlorotoluene	16.17	91	22851	0.20 ug/L	91
80)	4-Chlorotoluene	16.24	91	21094	0.21 ug/L	88
81)	tert-butylbenzene	16.73	119	18462	0.18 ug/L	83
82)	1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	16.79	105	20686	0.18 ug/L	99
() 84)	sec-butylbenzene	17.07	105	30366	0.19 ug/L	97
85)	p-isopropyltoluene	17.30	119	22561	0.18 ug/L	94
(#)	$ \alpha_{12}$ liftor out of range $(m)$					

(#) = qualifier out of range (m) = manual integration I29646SI.D V505238I.M Tue May 27 10:07:52 2008 XAMMETCACTON VEDATE

(NOL REVIEWED)

Data File :	D:\HPCHEM\DATA\052308-I\I29646S]	I.D	Vial:	4
Acq On :	23 May 2008 10:56 am		Operator:	
Sample :	0.2 PPB STD		Tnst	GC/MS The
Misc :	25000		Multinlr.	1 00
🧳 🦯 Integrati	.on Params: rteint.p			1.00
Quant Time:	May 27 10:07 2008	Quant	Results File	: V505238I.RES
Quant Method Title	: D:\HPCHEM\METHODS\V505238I.M : 524.2 Purgable Organics	(RTE I	ntegrator)	
Last Update	: Fri May 23 14:54:04 2008			
Response via	: Initial Calibration			

DataAcq Meth : V505238I

	Compound	R.T.	QIon	Response	Conc Unit	Qvalue
86) 88) 90) 92) 94) 95) 95) 96) 97) 98)	1,3-Dichlorobenzene 1,4-Dichlorobenzene n-butylbenzene 1,2-Dichlorobenzene 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropan 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene Hexachlorobutadiene Naphthalene 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene 1,3,5-Trichlorobenzene	17.44 17.60 17.98 18.19 19.49 20.95 21.19 21.36 21.79 19.90	146 146 91 146 75 180 225 128 180 180	10095 10980 20250 8682 297 4512 3064 4586 3481 7140	0.19 ug/L 0.21 ug/L 0.18 ug/L 0.21 ug/L 0.17 ug/L 0.18 ug/L 0.18 ug/L 0.17 ug/L 0.18 ug/L 0.18 ug/L 0.19 ug/L	94 95 94 97 # 1 90 100 97 94 88



Analytics Report 61408 page 0048 of 95

Data File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\052308-T\T296475T D	
Acq On: 23 May 200811:29 amOperator:Sample: 0.5 PPB STDInst: GC/MS InsMisc: 25000Multiplr: 1.00Integration Params: rteint.pQuant Time: May 27 10:07 2008Quant Results File: V505238I.	RES
Quant Method : D:\HPCHEM\METHODS\V505238I.M (RTE Integrator) Title : 524.2 Purgable Organics Last Update : Fri May 23 14:54:04 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : V505238I	·
Internal Standards R.T. QIon Response Conc Units Dev (Mi	n)
1) Pentafluorobenzene7.491682521094.00ug/L-0.39) Fluorobenzene8.90965859674.00ug/L-0.63) d5-Chlorobenzene13.711173573434.00ug/L-0.87) 1,4-Dichlorobenzene-d417.551521329564.00ug/L-0.	- 04 03 03 03
System Monitoring Compounds       9.03       114       470386       4.07 ug/L       -0.         28) DB Holder #28       9.03       114       470386       4.07 ug/L       -0.         Spiked Amount       4.000       Range       70       -130       Recovery       =       101.75%         36) 1,4-Difluorobenzene       9.03       114       470386       4.07 ug/L       -0.         Spiked Amount       4.000       Range       70       -130       Recovery       =       101.75%         54) Bromofluorobenzene       15.64       95       157175       4.05 ug/L       -0.         Spiked Amount       4.000       Range       70       -130       Recovery       =       101.25%         73) 1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4       18.16       152       106869       4.04 ug/L       -0.         Spiked Amount       4.000       Range       70       -130       Recovery       =       101.00%	03 03 03 03
Paraget Compounds       Qvalue         2) Dichlorodifluoromethane       1.83       85       30646       0.49       ug/L       93         3) Chloromethane       2.08       50       16013       0.53       ug/L       95         4) Vinyl Chloride       2.21       62       11884       0.51       ug/L       95         5) Bromomethane       2.73       94       23992       0.53       ug/L       95         6) Chloroethane       2.83       64       17317       0.48       ug/L       95         7) t-Butyl alcohol (TBA)       4.27       59       2544       2.65       ug/L       96         9) Diethyl ether       3.60       74       5217       0.48       ug/L       97         9) Diethyl ether       3.79       56       4015       0.45       ug/L       97         11) Acrolein       3.79       56       4015       0.48       ug/L       97         12) Acetone       3.91       43       8265       2.86       ug/L       98         13) 1.1-Dichloroethene       4.08       61       29482       0.49       ug/L       97         16) Methylene Chloride       4.86       84       45518 <td>275726584386429932803378912</td>	275726584386429932803378912

(TAAC TREATEMENT)

Data File :	D:	\HPCHEM\DA	TA\052308-	-I\I29647S	I.D		Vial:	5	
Acq On :	23	May 2008	11:29 am			Oper	ator:		
Sample :	0.	5 PPB STD				Inst	:	GC/MS	Ins
, ^{M*i} .sc :	25	000				Mult	iplr:	1.00	
Integrat	ion	Params: rt	teint.p				-T		
Quant Time	: Ma	ay 27 10:07	7 2008		Quant	Results	File:	V5052	38I.RES
Quant Method	: f	D:\HPCHEM	METHODS V	505238I.M	(RTE	Integrato	or)		
Toot The	•		Jabre Orga						
Last update	:	Fri May 23	3 14:54:04	2008					
Response via	a :	Initial Ca	alibration	L					

DataAcq Meth : V505238I

	Compound	R.T.	QIon	Response	Conc Unit	Qvalue
30	) Bromochloromethane	7.54	128	7244	0.51 ug/L	# 82
31	) Tetrahydrofuran	7.65	42	940	0.37 ug/L	# 84
32	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	7.92	97	29418	0.48  ug/L	96
34	1,1-Dichloropropene	8.16	75	26456	0.47 ug/L	98
35	Carbon Tetrachloride	8.30	119	23129	0.47 ug/L	93
37)	1,2-Dichloroethane	8.55	62	14057	0.48 ug/L	99
38)	Benzene	8.56	78	66498	0.47 ug/L	98
40)	Trichloroethene	9.51	95	20848	0.48 ug/L	# 81
41)	1,2-Dichloropropane	9.79	63	15187	0.47 ug/L	96
45)	Bromodichloromethane	10.14	83	18940	0.47 ug/L	98
46)	Dibromomethane	10.21	93	6365	0.45 ug/L	90
47)	1,4-Dioxane	10.25	88	1097	10.21 ug/L	97
51)	2-Hexanone	12.08	58	5897	2.79  ug/L	98
52)	Methyl isobutyl ketone	10.72	58	9117	2.24 ug/L :	# 88
- 53)	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	10.99	75	18886	0.45  ug/L	98
55)	Toluene	11.48	92	42749	0.47  ug/L	100
56)	trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	11.78	75	13348	0.45 ug/L	96
58)	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	12.01	83	6679	0.50 ug/L	95
59)	1,3-Dichloropropane	12.40	76	13493	0.48 ug/L	95
60)	Tetrachloroethene	12.49	166	18595	0.47  ug/L	94
61)	Dibromochloromethane	12.80	129	10210	0.46 ug/L	96
62)	1,2-Dibromoethane	13.10	107	7451	0.46 ug/L	95
64)	Chlorobenzene	13.77	112	44563	0.48  ug/L	98
65)	1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	13.84	131	12940	0.46 ug/L	96
66)	Ethylbenzene	13.86	91	80867	0.47  ug/L	92
67)	m,p-Xylene	13.99	106	61262	0.95 ug/L	97
68)	o-Xylene	14.68	106	27798	0.47 ug/L	96
69)	Styrene	14.74	104	39810	0.46 ug/L	97
70)	Bromoform	15.24	173	4541	0.46 ug/L	96
71)	Isopropylbenzene	15.26	105	75297	0.46 ug/L	100
72)	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	15.52	83	7283	0.48 ug/L	92
74)	1,2,3-Trichloropropane	15,76	75	5914	0.56 ug/L	92
75)	trans-1,4-Dichloro-2-buten	15.90	88	533	0.50  ug/L	84
76)	n-Propylbenzene	15.90	91	92809	0.48 ug/L	92
77)	Bromobenzene	15.94	156	13257	0.48 ug/L #	82
78)	1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	16.16	105	57991	0.47 ug/L	98
79)	2-Chlorotoluene	16.16	91	57465	0.48 ug/L	97
80)	4-Chlorotoluene	16.25	91	47411	0.45  ug/L	94
81)	tert-butylbenzene	16.73	119	48373	0.46 ug/L	96
/82)	1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	16.79	105	54175	0.46 ug/L	98
84)	sec-butylbenzene	17.07	105	75755	0.45  ug/L	95
 /#\	-					

(#) = qualifier out of range (m) = manual integration I29647SI.D V505238I.M Tue May 27 10:07:54 2008

Yuancicacion Report (NOT Kevlewed) Data File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\052308-I\129647SI.D Vial: 5 Acq On : 23 May 2008 11:29 am Operator: Sample : 0.5 PPB STD Inst : GC/MS Ins /~ sc : 25000 Multiplr: 1.00 Integration Params: rteint.p Quant Time: May 27 10:07 2008 Quant Results File: V505238I.RES Quant Method : D:\HPCHEM\METHODS\V505238I.M (RTE Integrator) Title : 524.2 Purgable Organics Last Update : Fri May 23 14:54:04 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : V505238I

	Compound	R.T.	QIon	Response	Conc Unit	Qvalue
85) 86) 88) 90) 92) 92) 95) 95) 95) 97) 98)	<pre>p-isopropyltoluene 1,3-Dichlorobenzene 1,4-Dichlorobenzene n-butylbenzene 1,2-Dichlorobenzene 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropan 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene Hexachlorobutadiene Naphthalene 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene 1,3,5-Trichlorobenzene</pre>	17.31 17.44 17.60 17.98 18.19 19.50 20.95 21.19 21.36 21.80 19.90	119 146 146 91 146 75 180 225 128 180 180	57525 25385 25204 53686 20004 978 12155 8019 11759 8856 17487	0.45 ug/L 0.47 ug/L 0.47 ug/L 0.45 ug/L 0.45 ug/L 0.52 ug/L 0.46 ug/L 0.46 ug/L 0.41 ug/L 0.45 ug/L 0.45 ug/L	97 95 97 97 95 # 64 98 94 90 89



Analytics Report 61408 page 0052 of 95

Acq On : Sample : Misc :	23 May 2008 12:0 1.0 PPB STD 25000	2 pm		Op In Mu	erator: st : ltiplr:	GC/MS 1.00	Ins
Quant Time:	May 27 10:07 200	.p 8	Q	uant Resul	ts File	: V5052	38I.RES
Quant Method Title Last Update Response via DataAcq Meth	: D:\HPCHEM\METH : 524.2 Purgable : Fri May 23 14: : Initial Calibra : V505238I	ODS\V50523 Organics 54:04 2008 ation	8I.M (	RTE Integr	ator)	AK. 27	58
Internal Sta	andards	R.T.	QIon	Response	Conc Ui	nits De	v(Min)
1) Pentafi 39) Fluorol 63) d5-Chlo 87) 1,4-Dio	luorobenzene penzene probenzene phlorobenzene-d4	7.50 8.91 13.71 17.56	168 96 117 152	249325 585860 348982 130071	$ \begin{array}{r} 4.00 \\ 4.00 \\ 4.00 \\ 4.00 \\ 4.00 \end{array} $	ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L	-0.03 -0.02 -0.03 -0.02
System Monit 28) DB Hold Spiked Amo 36) 1,4-Dif Spiked Amo 54) BromofJ Spiked Amo 73) 1,2-Dic Spiked Amo	toring Compounds ler #28 punt 4.000 fluorobenzene punt 4.000 uorobenzene punt 4.000 chlorobenzene-d4 punt 4.000	9.03 Range 70 9.03 Range 70 15.65 Range 70 18.16 Range 70	114 - 130 114 - 130 95 - 130 152 - 130	469172 Recover 469172 Recover 154774 Recover 106089 Recover	4.10 4.10 4.10 4.10 4.10 4.10 4.10 4.10	ug/L 102.50 ug/L 102.50 ug/L 99.75 ug/L 102.50	-0.03 -0.03 -0.02 -0.02
<pre>2) Dichlor 2) Dichlor 3) Chlorom 4) Vinyl C 5) Bromome 6) Chloroe 7) t-Butyl 8) Trichlo 9) Diethyl 10) 1,1,2-T 11) Acrolei 12) Acetone 13) 1,1-Dic 15) Di-isop 16) Methyle 17) Carbon 18) Acrylon 19) Methyl- 20) trans-1 21) 1,1-Dic 22) Vinyl a 23) Methyl 24) Ethyl t 25) 2,2-Dic 26) cis-1,2 27) t-Amyl</pre>	ounds odifluoromethane whiloride thane thane alcohol (TBA) rofluoromethane ether richlorotrifluoro n hloroethene ropyl ether (DIPE ne Chloride Disulfide itrile tert-butyl ether ,2-Dichloroethene hloroethane cetate ethyl ketone -butyl ether (ETB) nloropropane -Dichloroethene methyl ether (TAM)	1.82 2.08 2.21 2.73 2.83 4.28 3.17 3.61 3.80 3.78 3.90 4.07 ) 5.95 4.88 4.85 5.09 (M 5.18 5.37 6.06 6.11 6.79 E) 6.61 6.98 7.05 E) 8.40	85 62 94 501 105 46 57 96 33 297 63 796 33 796 33	64014 30641 23504 45486 37121 4798 72441 11588 41951 8919 15146 61226 100513 63396 132596 4273 45353 43583 73932 26854 5276 77411 55797 42247 52392	1.03 1.02 1.01 1.02 1.05 5.05 1.03 1.07 1.04 1.02 5.29 1.02 1.02 1.02 1.02 1.04 1.05 1.04 1.05 1.01 1.03 1.03 1.03 1.04 1.03 1.03 1.04 1.03 1.03 1.04 1.03 1.03 1.04 1.03 1.03 1.04 1.03 1.03 1.04 1.03 1.03 1.04 1.03 1.03 1.04 1.03 1.03 1.04 1.03 1.03 1.04 1.03 1.03 1.04 1.03 1.04 1.03 1.04 1.03 1.04 1.03 1.04 1.03 1.04 1.03 1.04 1.03 1.04 1.03 1.04 1.03 1.04 1.03 1.04 1.03 1.04 1.03 1.04 1.03 1.04 1.03 1.04 1.03 1.04 1.03 1.04 1.04 1.04 1.04 1.04 1.04 1.04 1.04 1.04 1.04 1.04 1.04 1.04 1.04 1.04 1.04 1.04 1.04 1.04 1.04 1.04 1.01	Q ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L	value 98 99 94 100 99 90 94 95 91 97 95 93 100 92 98 97 95 81 97 97 92 93
29) Chlorof	orm	7.29	83	66189	1.02 ı	лg/L	99 

£-----

·----

(#) = qualifier out of range (m) = manual integration I29648SI.D V505238I.M Tue May 27 10:07:56 2008

	× ~~	14.26.26.76.76.76.76.76.76.76.76.	<u>-</u>	(		
Data Acq ( Samp Misc In Quan	File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\05230 On : 23 May 2008 12:02 p le : 1.0 PPB STD : 25000 ntegration Params: rteint.p nt Time: May 27 10:07 2008	)8-I\I29 m	648SI.	D Or Ir Mu Quant Resul	Vial: 6 Derator: Dst : GC/M Ditiplr: 1.00 Lts File: V50	S Ins 52381.RES
	-			-		-
Quant Title Last Respo Data	Method : D:\HPCHEM\METHODS 524.2 Purgable Or Update : Fri May 23 14:54: onse via : Initial Calibrati Acq Meth : V505238I	V50523 ganics 04 2008 on	8I.M (	RTE Integr	ator)	
	Compound	R.T.	QIon	Response	Conc Unit	Qvalue
201	Promochloromethane	7 5/	128	13822	$0.98.11 \sigma/T.$	# 68
20)	Tetrabydrofuran	7.66	42	3170	1 27 u g/L	# 82
32)	1 1 1-Trichloroethane	7.00	97	62549	$1 03 11 \sigma/T.$	π 02 97
34)	1 1-Dichloropropene	8 17	75	57625	1 04 ug/L	99
35)	Carbon Tetrachloride	8 31	119	50009	1.02 ug/L	97
25/	1 2-Dichloroethane	0.JI 8 55	62	30548	1.02 ug/L 1 04 ug/L	98
20)	Panzana	8 56	78	144979	1.04 ug/L	98
20/	Trichloroothene	0.50	70 95	13060	1.04  ug/L	20
40)	1 2 Dichlererrenano	9.52	20	43009	1.00 ug/L	0/
41) 45)	I, 2-Dichioropropane	9.70	. <u>c</u> o	33341 20444	· 1.03 ug/L	94
45)	Bromodichioromethane	10.14	83	39444	0.99 ug/L	96
46)	Dibromomethane	10.22	93	15199	1.07 ug/L	93
47)	1,4-Dioxane	10.23	. 88	2569	23.91 ug/L	91
51)	2-Hexanone	12.07	58	13785	4.76 ug/L	96
( 52)	Methyl isobutyl ketone	10.71	58	19853	4.88 ug/L	# 87
->~ 53)	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	11.00	75	41000	0.98 ug/L	92
55)	Toluene	11.48	92	91926	1.01 ug/L	95
56)	trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	11.77	75	28304	0.94 ug/L	100
58)	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	12.00	83	13634	1.02 ug/L	94
59)	1,3-Dichloropropane	12.41	76	28117	1.00 ug/L	99
60)	Tetrachloroethene	12.49	166	39312	0.98 ug/L	98
61)	Dibromochloromethane	12.79	129	20988	0.94 ug/L	95
62)	1,2-Dibromoethane	13.11	107	15848	0.98 ug/L	99
64)	Chlorobenzene	13.77	112	92923	1.03 ug/L	96
65)	1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	13.84	131	27934	1.02 ug/L	99
66)	Ethylbenzene	13.86	91	171796	1.02 ug/L	97
67)	m,p-Xylene	13.99	106	128182	2.04 ug/L	99
68)	o-Xylene	14.67	106	56844	0.99 ug/L	91
69)	Styrene	14.73	104	85980	1.01 ug/L	93
70)	Bromoform	15.24	173	9009	0.94  ug/L	91
71)	Isopropylbenzene	15.25	105	164260	1.03 ug/L	97
72)	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	15.52	83	15110	1.02  ug/L	94
74)	1,2,3-Trichloropropane	15.76	75	9862	0.96 ug/L	99
75)	trans-1.4-Dichloro-2-buten	15.88	88	1252	0.86 ug/L	# 1
76)	n-Propylbenzene	15.89	91	196497	1.03 ug/L	
77)	Bromobenzene	15.94	156	27537	1.02 ug/L	# 85
78)	1.3.5-Trimethvlbenzene	16.16	105	122301	$1.02 u \sigma/T$	
791	2-Chlorotoluene	16 17	91	120598	1.04  ug/T	95
20) 20)	4-Chlorotoluene	16 25	91 91	104684	1 02 ug/L	95
Q1 \	tert-hutulhenzene	16 72	110	101726	1 00 ug/T	95
(S)	1 ) A_Trimethulhengene	16 70	105	118585	1 02 110/1	22 QQ
04)	1,2,4-111MECHYIDEHZEHE	17 AQ	105	165007	$1 \wedge 2 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2$	99 06
84)	sec-bucytbenzene	T1.00	T02	T00%0/	1.02 uy/1	70
( <u></u> #) =	= qualifier out of range (m)	= manıı	al int	egration		
I2964	48SI.D V505238I.M Tue	May 27	10:07:	56 2008		Page 2

Vial: 6 Data File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\052308-I\I29648SI.D : 23 May 2008 12:02 pm Operator: Acq On Inst : GC/MS Ins : 1.0 PPB STD Sample : 25000 Multiplr: 1.00 Misc Integration Params: rteint.p Quant Results File: V505238I.RES Quant Time: May 27 10:07 2008 Quant Method : D:\HPCHEM\METHODS\V505238I.M (RTE Integrator) Title : 524.2 Purgable Organics Last Update : Fri May 23 14:54:04 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : V505238I

**,**____ . . . . .

	Compound	R.T.	QIon	Response	Conc Unit	Qvalue
85)	p-isopropyltoluene	17.30	119	125315	1.01 ug/L	100
86)	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	17.43	146	55038	1.04 ug/L	99
88)	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	17.61	146	54073	1.03 ug/L	98
89)	n-butylbenzene	17.97	91	117538	1.00 ug/L	94
90)	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	18.20	146	42063	1.02 ug/L	97
92)	1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropan	19.50	75	1677	0.92 ug/L	82
94)	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	20.95	180	25735	0.99 ug/L	94
95)	Hexachlorobutadiene	21.18	225	17309	1.00 ug/L	97
96)	Naphthalene	21.35	128	26521	0.95 ug/L	98
97)	1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	21.79	180	18506	0.97 ug/L	96
98)	1,3,5-Trichlorobenzene	19.89	180	37379	0.99 ug/L	93

(#) = qualifier out of range (m) = manual integration I29648SI.D V505238I.M Tue May 27 10:07:56 2008

Analytics Report 61408 page 0055 of 95

Sample: 1.0 PPB STDInst: GC/MS InsMisc: 25000Multiplr: 1.00MS Integration Params: rteint.p Quant Time: May 27 10:07 2008Quant Results File: V5052381.I	RE:
Method : D:\HPCHEM\METHODS\V505238I.M (RTE Integrator) Title : 524.2 Purgable Organics Last Update : Fri May 23 14:54:04 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration Abundance TIC: 129648SI.D	
440000	
420000	
400000	
380000	
360000	
340000 v2 C2	
3000000 CS	
240000	
1800000 Generation (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (1990) (199	
The set of	
e.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M. E.T.M.	
Time The Table To the Table Ta	
MP 00000001 MP 00000000 MP 0000000 MP 0000000 MP 0000000 MP 00000000 MP 000000000 MP 00000000 MP 000000000 MP 00000000 MP 000000000 MP 00000000 MP 00000000 MP 00000000 MP 00000000 MP 00000000 MP 00000000 MP 00000000 MP 000000000 MP 000000000 MP 000000000000000000000000000000000000	
200008 Bit Payson of the real and the real of the rea	
Adhitration of the second seco	
2. Hexa bronno.3 bronno.3 bron	
20000	
Time->       2.00       3.00       4.00       5.00       6.00       7.00       8.00       9.00       10.00       11.00       12.00       13.00       14.00       15.00       16.00       17.00       18.00       19.00       20.00       21.00       22.00       10.00       10.00       13.00       14.00       15.00       16.00       17.00       18.00       19.00       20.00       21.00       22.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00       10.00<	

Analytics Report 61408 page 0056 of 95

Data File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\052308-I\I29649SI.D Acq On : 23 May 2008 12:35 pm Sample : 2.0 PPB STD Misc : 25000 Integration Params: rteint.p Quant Time: May 27 10:07 2008 Quant	Vial: 7 Operator: Inst : GC/MS Ins Multiplr: 1.00 Results File: V505238I.RES
Quant Method : D:\HPCHEM\METHODS\V505238I.M (RTE Title : 524.2 Purgable Organics Last Update : Fri May 23 14:54:04 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : V505238I	Integrator) M. 27 Ats. 27.08
Internal Standards R.T. QIon Res	sponse Conc Units Dev(Min)
1) Pentafluorobenzene7.501682539) Fluorobenzene8.90965863) d5-Chlorobenzene13.711173587) 1,4-Dichlorobenzene-d417.5515212	4175       4.00 ug/L       -0.03         3903       4.00 ug/L       -0.03         0133       4.00 ug/L       -0.03         8324       4.00 ug/L       -0.03
System Monitoring Compounds         28) DB Holder #28       9.03 114 46         Spiked Amount       4.000       Range 70 - 130         36) 1,4-Difluorobenzene       9.03 114 46         Spiked Amount       4.000       Range 70 - 130         54) Bromofluorobenzene       15.64 95 15         Spiked Amount       4.000       Range 70 - 130         73) 1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4       18.16 152 10         Spiked Amount       4.000       Range 70 - 130	13603.96 ug/L-0.03Recovery=99.00%13603.96 ug/L-0.03Recovery=99.00%17583.93 ug/L-0.03Recovery=98.25%26383.96 ug/L-0.03Recovery=99.00%
1arget Compounds         2) Dichlorodifluoromethane       1.83       85       12         3) Chloromethane       2.08       50       57         4) Vinyl Chloride       2.20       62       44         5) Bromomethane       2.73       94       87         6) Chloroethane       2.83       64       74         7) t-Butyl alcohol (TBA)       4.27       59       57         8) Trichlorofluoromethane       3.17       101       144         9) Diethyl ether       3.60       74       22         10) 1,1,2-Trichlorotrifluoroet       3.82       101       82         11) Acrolein       3.78       56       17         12) Acetone       3.90       43       26         13) 1,1-Dichloroethene       4.08       61       123         15) Di-isopropyl ether (DIPE)       5.95       45       196         16) Methylene Chloride       4.87       84       96         17) Carbon Disulfide       4.84       76       266         18) Acrylonitrile       5.09       53       8         20) trans-1, 2-Dichloroethene       5.37       96       86         21) 1,1-Dichloroethene       6.07       63	Qvalue65371.99 ug/L9876661.89 ug/L10052431.91 ug/L9875041.92 ug/L9875041.92 ug/L9843162.06 ug/L9890729.36 ug/L9850692.02 ug/L9815311.96 ug/L9034902.02 ug/L9371601.93 ug/L9465889.11 ug/L# 8937872.03 ug/L9933771.98 ug/L9534552.01 ug/L9257832.05 ug/L9933702.02 ug/L9642602.02 ug/L9642602.02 ug/L9831361.99 ug/L9746352.01 ug/L9746352.01 ug/L9481361.99 ug/L948881.94 ug/L9448881.94 ug/L9448881.99 ug/L96

(#) = qualifier out of range (m) = manual integracioI29649SI.D V505238I.M Tue May 27 10:07:57 2008 - Xaareeren arehote

(NOT VEATENED)

Data File	:	D:\HPCHEM\DATA\052308-I\I296495	SI.D	vial:	7
Acq On	:	23 May 2008 12:35 pm		Operator:	,
Sample	:	2.0 PPB STD		Inst :	GC/MS Ins
M4 SC	:	25000		Multiplr:	1.00
Integra	it:	ion Params: rteint.p			2100
Quant Tim	ne	: May 27 10:07 2008	Quant	Results File	: V505238I.RES
<u> </u>					

Quant Method : D:\HPCHEM\METHODS\V505238I.M (RTE Integrator) Title : 524.2 Purgable Organics Last Update : Fri May 23 14:54:04 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : V505238I

Compound	R.T.	QIon	Response	Conc Unit	Qvalue
30) Bromochloromethane	7.53	128	27113	1.89 ug/L	 # 70
31) Tetrahydrofuran	7.66	42	5098	2.00 uq/L	# 87
32) 1,1,1-Trichloroethane	7.92	97	124192	2.00 ug/L	
34) 1,1-Dichloropropene	8.16	75	115707	2.04  ug/L	98
35) Carbon Tetrachloride	8.30	119	99461	2.00 ug/L	99
37) 1,2-Dichloroethane	8.55	62	59434	1.99 uq/L	97
38) Benzene	8.57	78	287955	2.03 ug/L	97
40) Trichloroethene	9.52	95	87981	2.04 ug/L	91
41) 1,2-Dichloropropane	9.79	63	64221	2.00  ug/L	96
45) Bromodichloromethane	10.14	83	78915	1.98 ug/L	100
46) Dibromomethane	10.21	93	28387	2.00 ug/L	90
47) 1,4-Dioxane	10.22	88	4763	44.49 ug/L	# 75
51) 2-Hexanone	12.06	58	30120	8.87 ug/L	93
52) Methyl isobutyl ketone	10.71	58	38650	9.53 ug/L	92
53) cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	10.99	75	82729	1.98  uq/L	97
55) Toluene	11.48	92	181871	$2.01  \mathrm{ug/L}$	96
56) trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	11.78	75	57707	1.93 ug/L	100
58) 1,1,2-Trichloroethane	12.01	83	25833	1.94 ug/L	99
59) 1,3-Dichloropropane	12.40	76	55956	2.00 ug/L	98
60) Tetrachloroethene	12.49	166	79593	2.00 ug/L	99
61) Dibromochloromethane	12.79	129	43340	1.95 ug/L	99
62) 1,2-Dibromoethane	13.10	107	31744	1.97 ug/L	96
64) Chlorobenzene	13.77	112	183439	2.02 ug/L	99
65) 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	13.84	131	55278	2.02 ug/L	99
66) Ethylbenzene	13.86	91	346633	2.06 ug/L	97
67) m,p-Xylene	13.99	106	263965	4.19 uq/L	98
68) o-Xylene	14.68	106	118042	2.05  ug/L	100
69) Styrene	14.74	104	176726	2.07 ug/L	94
70) Bromoform	15.24	173	18833	1.97 ug/L	93
71) Isopropylbenzene	15.25	105	337013	2.10 ug/L	98
72) 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	15.52	83	29821	2.00  ug/L	98
74) 1,2,3-Trichloropropane	15.75	75	21059	2.05 ug/L	100
75) trans-1,4-Dichloro-2-buten	15.89	88	3553	1.99 ug/L #	1
76) n-Propylbenzene	15.90	91	401851	2.10 ug/L	95
77) Bromobenzene	15.94	156	54797	2.01 ug/L #	82
78) 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	16.16	105	252068	2.09 uq/L	96
79) 2-Chlorotoluene	16.17	91	242754	2.09 ug/L	94
80) 4-Chlorotoluene	16.24	91	216505	2.10 ug/L	92
81) tert-butylbenzene	16.73	119	215061	2.11 uq/L	94
<pre>/82) 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene</pre>	16.79	105	242140	2.11 ug/L	99
84) sec-butylbenzene	17.07	105	346588	2.12 ug/L	96
(#) = qualifier out of range (m)	= manua	al int	egration		

I29649SI.D V505238I.M Tue May 27 10:07:58 2008

Data File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\052308-I\I29649SI.D Vial: 7 Acq On : 23 May 2008 12:35 pm Operator: Sample : 2.0 PPB STD Inst : GC/MS Ins Misc : 25000 Multiplr: 1.00 Integration Params: rteint.p Quant Time: May 27 10:07 2008 Quant Results File: V505238I.RES Quant Method : D:\HPCHEM\METHODS\V505238I.M (RTE Integrator) Title : 524.2 Purgable Organics

Last Update : Fri May 23 14:54:04 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : V505238I

···· ··· ··	Compound	R.T.	QIon	Response	Conc Unit	Qvalue
85	) p-isopropyltoluene	17.31	119	265593	2.13 ug/L	 98
86	) 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	17.44	146	108002	2.02 ug/L	99
88	) 1,4-Dichlorobenzene	17.60	146	104332	2.01  ug/L	98
89	) n-butylbenzene	17.97	91	250271	2.16 ug/L	90
90	) 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	18.20	146	81124	1.99 ug/L	97
92	) 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropan	19.49	75	3495	1.94 ug/L	# 67
94	) 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	20.94	180	51953	2.02 ug/L	
.95	) Hexachlorobutadiene	21.19	225	36114	2.13 ug/L	95
96	) Naphthalene	21.35	128	54501	1.98 ug/L	99
97	) 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	21.79	180	37615	1.99  ug/L	99
98	) 1,3,5-Trichlorobenzene	19.90	180	75518	2.04 ug/L	99

(#) = qualifier out of range (m) = manual integration I29649SI.D V505238I.M Tue May 27 10:07:58 2008 Page



	*	~~~ ~~~t	Las	وعيامية فاستنب فاستنبه	
Data File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\05 Acq On : 23 May 2008 1:4 Sample : 5.0 PPB STD Misc : 25000 Integration Params: rteint	2308-I\I29 1 pm	650SI.	D Op In Mu	Vial: 8 erator: st : GC/N ltiplr: 1.00	1S Ins )
Quant Time: May 27 10:07 200	8	Q	uant Resul	ts File: V50	)52381.RES
Quant Method : D:\HPCHEM\METH Title : 524.2 Purgable Last Update : Fri May 23 14: Response via : Initial Calibr DataAcq Meth : V505238I	ODS\V50523 Organics 54:04 2008 ation	8I.M (	RTE Integra	Ator) $\frac{1}{5}$	. 08
Internal Standards	R.T.	QIon	Response	Conc Units	Dev(Min)
<ol> <li>Pentafluorobenzene</li> <li>Fluorobenzene</li> <li>d5-Chlorobenzene</li> <li>1,4-Dichlorobenzene-d4</li> </ol>	7.50 8.91 13.71 17.56	168 96 117 152	253466 583941 358730 ~ 134715	4.00 ug/L / 4.00 ug/L 4.00 ug/L 4.00 ug/L	-0.03 -0.02 -0.03 -0.03
System Monitoring Compounds 28) DB Holder #28 Spiked Amount 4.000 36) 1,4-Difluorobenzene Spiked Amount 4.000 54) Bromofluorobenzene Spiked Amount 4.000 73) 1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4 Spiked Amount 4.000	9.04 Range 70 9.04 Range 70 15.65 Range 70 18.16 Range 70	114 - 130 114 - 130 95 - 130 152 - 130	465540 Recover 465540 Recover 158375 Recover 107471 Recover	4.00 ug/L Y = 100. 4.00 ug/L Y = 100. 4.10 ug/L Y = 102. 4.05 ug/L Y = 101.	-0.02 00% -0.02 00% -0.02 50% -0.02 25%
<pre>/arget Compounds 2) Dichlorodifluoromethane 3) Chloromethane 4) Vinyl Chloride 5) Bromomethane 6) Chloroethane 7) t-Butyl alcohol (TBA) 8) Trichlorofluoromethane 9) Diethyl ether 10) 1,1,2-Trichlorotrifluoro 11) Acrolein 12) Acetone 13) 1,1-Dichloroethene 15) Di-isopropyl ether (DIPE 16) Methylene Chloride 17) Carbon Disulfide 18) Acrylonitrile 19) Methyl-tert-butyl ether 20) trans-1,2-Dichloroethene 21) 1,1-Dichloroethane 22) Vinyl acetate 23) Methyl ethyl ketone 24) Ethyl t-butyl ether (ETB 25) 2,2-Dichloropropane 26) cis-1,2-Dichloroethene 27) t-Amyl methyl ether (TAMI 29) Chloroform</pre>	$ \begin{array}{c} 1.82\\ 2.08\\ 2.21\\ 2.73\\ 2.83\\ 4.25\\ 3.17\\ 3.60\\ 0.4.87\\ 4.85\\ 5.94\\ 4.87\\ 4.85\\ 5.09\\ (M 5.16\\ 5.37\\ 6.06\\ 6.11\\ 6.79\\ E) 6.60\\ 6.98\\ 7.04\\ E) 8.39\\ 7.29\\ (m) - m274 \end{array} $	85 50 62 94 64 59 101 74 101 56 43 61 45 43 61 45 73 96 43 72 97 96 33 72 97 96 73 83	321287 141588 107139 211463 178647 25030 364948 57107 210167 48539 75965 307023 522533 197328 652442 19660 238129 221807 360987 139826 27155 399465 278844 211441 274500 336592	5.07 ug/L 4.65 ug/L 4.65 ug/L 4.66 ug/L 4.96 ug/L 5.09 ug/L 5.09 ug/L 5.00 ug/L 5.10 ug/L 5.46 ug/L 5.20 ug/L 5.22 ug/L 5.22 ug/L 5.13 ug/L 5.03 ug/L 5.03 ug/L 5.03 ug/L 5.23 ug/L 5.24 ug/L 5.25 ug/L 5.26 ug/L 5.26 ug/L 5.22 ug/L 5.20 ug/L 5.20 ug/L 5.20 ug/L 5.11 ug/L 5.21 ug/L	Qvalue 100 97 98 98 100 100 91 93 99 96 99 93 91 100 95 93 91 100 95 93 95 99 99 95 99 95 99
(#) = qualifier out of range I29650SI.D V505238I.M Ti	(m) = manua 1e May 27 1	u⊥ inte .0:07:!	egration 59 2008		Page 1

Server a construction and the former the

Data File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\052308-I\I29650SI.DVial: 8Acq On : 23 May 2008 1:41 pmOperator:Sample : 5.0 PPB STDInst : GC/MS InsMisc : 25000Multiplr: 1.00Integration Params: rteint.pQuant Time: May 27 10:07 2008Quant Time: May 27 10:07 2008Quant Results File: V505238I.RES

Quant Method : D:\HPCHEM\METHODS\V505238I.M (RTE Integrator) Title : 524.2 Purgable Organics Last Update : Fri May 23 14:54:04 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : V505238I

	Compound	R.T.	QIon	Response	Conc Unit	Qvalue
30)	Bromochloromethane	7.54	128	72441	5.08 ug/L	 # 81
31)	Tetrahydrofuran	7.64	42	14092	5.54 uq/L	# 83
32)	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	7.91	. 97	314510	5.09 ug/L	98
34)	1,1-Dichloropropene	8.17	75	289994	5.12  ug/L	98
35)	Carbon Tetrachloride	8.31	119	256792	5.17 ug/L	. 99
37)	1,2-Dichloroethane	8.55	62	153284	5.16 ug/L	98
38)	Benzene	8.56	78	722079	5.11 ug/L	99
40)	Trichloroethene	9.52	95	216342	5.02 ug/L	90
41)	1,2-Dichloropropane	9.79	63	161493	5.02 ug/L	96
45)	Bromodichloromethane	10.14	83	205180	5.15 ug/L	96
46)	Dibromomethane	10.21	93	73213	5.17 ug/L	89
47)	1,4-Dioxane	10.22	88	14405	134.53 ug/L	93
51)	2-Hexanone	12.05	58	95966	25.39 ug/L	97
(. )52)	Methyl isobutyl ketone	10.70	58	110334	27.21 ug/L	92
53)	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	11.00	75	220971	5.28 ug/L	100
55)	Toluene	11.48	92	466023	5.14 ug/L	98
56)	trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	11.78	75	157557	5.27 ug/L	100
58)	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	12.00	83	70248	5.27 ug/L	96
59)	1,3-Dichloropropane	12.40	76	147371	5.26 ug/L	98
60)	Tetrachloroethene	12.50	166	202793	5.09 ug/L	98
61)	Dibromochloromethane	12.79	129	117857	5.30 ug/L	98
62)	1,2-Dibromoethane	13.11	107	85897	5.33 ug/L	99
64)	Chlorobenzene	13.77	112	470507	5.05 ug/L	9.8
65)	1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	13.85	131	143568	5.11 ug/L	97
66)	Ethylbenzene	13.87	91	889947	5.15 ug/L	98
67)	m,p-Xylene	13.99	106	662704	10.27 ug/L	100
68)	o-Xylene	14.67	106	303135	5.14 ug/L	98
69)	Styrene	14.73	104	469491	5.35 ug/L	94
70)	Bromoform	15.24	173	51996	5.30 ug/L	98
71)	Isopropylbenzene	15.25	105	853393	5.18 ug/L	97.
72)	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	15.53	83	78476	5.14 ug/L	95
74)	1,2,3-Trichloropropane	15.76	75	53105	5.05 ug/L	94
75)	trans-1,4-Dichloro-2-buten	15.88	88	10221	5.16 ug/L	75
76)	n-Propylbenzene	15.89	91	1010120	5.15 ug/L	95
77)	Bromobenzene	15,94	156	144941	5.20 ug/L	92
78)	1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	16.16	105	641997	5.20 ug/L	99
79)	2-Chlorotoluene	16.17	91	612128	5.14 ug/L	97
80)	4-Chlorotoluene	16.25	91	541795	5.12  ug/L	95
(31)	tert-butylbenzene	16.73	119	535233	5.12 ug/L	96
(82)	1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	16.79	105	615585	5.23 ug/L	97
84)	sec-butylbenzene	17.08	105	861136	5.14 ug/L	97
(#)	- $        -$		.] im+	ogration		

(#) = qualifier out of range (m) = manual integration I29650SI.D V505238I.M Tue May 27 10:07:59 2008 Anniercaeton vehote

(MOL VENTEMEN)

Data File	:	D:\HPCHEM\DATA\052308-I\1296505	I.D	Vial:	8
Sample	:	5.0 PPB STD		Operator: Inst :	GC/MS Ins
/** SC	:	25000		Multiplr:	1.00
Quant Tim	e:	May 27 10:07 2008	Quant	Results File:	V5052381.RES
Quant Meth Title	οċ	: D:\HPCHEM\METHODS\V505238I.M : 524.2 Purgable Organics	(RTE	Integrator)	

Title : 524.2 Purgable Organics Last Update : Fri May 23 14:54:04 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : V505238I

	Compound	R.T.	QIon	Response	Conc Unit	Qvalue
85) I	p-isopropyltoluene	17.30	119	658301	5.15 ug/L	99
86) 1	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	17.43	146	281997	5.16 ug/L	100
88) ]	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	17,61	146	269812	4.96 ug/L	99
89) r	n-butylbenzene	17.97	91	635447	5.22  ug/L	94
90) 1	l,2-Dichlorobenzene	18.20	146	214405	5.02 $uq/L$	98
92) 1	L,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropan	19.49	75	9761	5.15 ug/L	89
94) 1	L,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	20.94	180	140914	5.23 ug/L	95
95) H	lexachlorobutadiene	21.20	225	90458	5.07 ug/L	99
96) N	Naphthalene	21.35	128	156280	5.42 ug/L	99
97) 1	L,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	21.80	180	104531	5.27  ug/L	96
98) 1	L,3,5-Trichlorobenzene	19.90	180	198414	5.10 ug/L	99

(#) = qualifier out of range (m) = manual integration I29650SI.D V505238I.M Tue May 27 10:08:00 2008



Analytics Report 61408 page 0064 of 95

	X	rom vet		THOL VENTEMEN)	
Data File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\0 Acq On : 23 May 2008 2: Sample : 10 PPB STD ^{Misc} : 25000 Integration Params: rteint Quant Time: May 27 10:08 200	52308-I\I29 14 pm 5.p 08	)651SI. (	.D Quant Res	Vial: 9 Operator: Inst : GC/ Multiplr: 1.0 ults File: V5	MS Ins 0 05238I.RES
Quant Method . D.\ HDCHEM\METH		OT M			
Title : 524.2 Purgable Last Update : Fri May 23 14: Response via : Initial Calibr DataAcq Meth : V505238I	e Organics 54:04 2008 cation	01.M (		9, 27.0 AF. 27.0	\$
Internal Standards	R.T.	QIon	Response	e Conc Units	Dev(Min)
<ol> <li>Pentafluorobenzene</li> <li>Fluorobenzene</li> <li>d5-Chlorobenzene</li> <li>1,4-Dichlorobenzene-d4</li> </ol>	7.50 8.91 13.71 17.56	168 96 117 152	251356 563792 354449 131274	4.00 ug/I 4.00 ug/I 4.00 ug/I 4.00 ug/I 4.00 ug/I	-0.03 -0.02 -0.03 -0.03
System Monitoring Compounds 28) DB Holder #28 Spiked Amount 4.000 36) 1,4-Difluorobenzene Spiked Amount 4.000 54) Bromofluorobenzene Spiked Amount 4.000 73) 1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4 Spiked Amount 4.000	9.03 Range 70 9.03 Range 70 15.65 Range 70 18.16 Range 70	114 - 130 114 - 130 95 - 130 152 - 130	456621 Recov 456621 Recov 152754 Recov 103938 Recov	3.96 ug/L very = 99. 3.96 ug/L very = 99. 4.09 ug/L very = 102. 3.96 ug/L very = 99.	, -0.03 00% -0.03 00% -0.02 25% √ -0.02 00% √
<pre>(arget Compounds 2) Dichlorodifluoromethane 3) Chloromethane 4) Vinyl Chloride 5) Bromomethane 6) Chloroethane 7) t-Butyl alcohol (TBA) 8) Trichlorofluoromethane 9) Diethyl ether 10) 1,1,2-Trichlorotrifluoro 11) Acrolein 12) Acetone 13) 1,1-Dichloroethene 15) Di-isopropyl ether (DIPE 16) Methylene Chloride 17) Carbon Disulfide 18) Acrylonitrile 19) Methyl-tert-butyl ether 20) trans-1,2-Dichloroethene 21) 1,1-Dichloroethane 22) Vinyl acetate 23) Methyl ethyl ketone 24) Ethyl t-butyl ether (ETB 25) 2,2-Dichloropropane 26) cis-1,2-Dichloroethene 27) t-Amyl methyl ether (TAMI 29) Chloroform</pre>	$ \begin{array}{c} 1.82\\ 2.08\\ 2.20\\ 2.72\\ 2.83\\ 4.26\\ 3.16\\ 3.60\\ 0et 3.81\\ 3.78\\ 3.88\\ 4.07\\ 5.94\\ 4.87\\ 4.85\\ 5.09\\ (M 5.16\\ 5.37\\ 6.06\\ 6.10\\ 6.78\\ E) 6.60\\ 6.97\\ 7.04\\ E) 8.39\\ 7.29\\ (m) - mapping $	85 50 94 50 107 105 431 53 454 53 63 42 57 96 33 77 96 33 77 96 33	612655 262783 209828 413141 347363 44180 712312 104934 414774 89293 130103 606277 958746 353563 1290921 39577 442772 433016 691723 247966 49923 744379 540038 407495 512573 641554	9.74 ug/L 8.70 ug/L 8.97 ug/L 9.19 ug/L 9.19 ug/L 9.72 ug/L 46.12 ug/L 10.02 ug/L 10.15 ug/L 10.15 ug/L 10.13 ug/L 45.08 ug/L 10.14 ug/L 10.04 ug/L 9.66 ug/L 9.66 ug/L 9.80 ug/L 10.04 ug/L 9.78 ug/L 9.41 ug/L 48.89 ug/L 9.81 ug/L 9.85 ug/L 9.79 ug/L 9.82 ug/L	Qvalue 100 98 99 100 99 100 99 91 93 100 90 100 94 90 100 94 90 100 94 95 98 95 87 98 95 87 98 99 97 97 98
(#) = qualifier out of range I29651SI.D V505238I.M Tu	(m) = manua 1e May 27 1	l int∈ 0:08:0	gration )1 2008		Page 1

(TAALE THE ATENDED

Data File	:	D:\HPCHEM\DATA\052308-I\I29651S	I.D Vial: 9
Acq On	:	23 May 2008 2:14 pm	Operator:
Sample	:	10 PPB STD	Inst : GC/MS Ins
Misc	:	25000	Multiplr: 1.00
Integra	ıti	on Params: rteint.p	**
Quant Tim	ie:	May 27 10:08 2008	Quant Results File: V505238I.RES
Quant Meth	١٥đ	: D:\HPCHEM\METHODS\V505238I.M	(RTE Integrator)
Title		: 524.2 Purgable Organics	-
Last Updat	e	: Fri May 23 14:54:04 2008	

Response via : Initial Calibration

DataAcq Meth : V505238I

-

	Compound	R.T.	QIon	Response	Conc Unit	Qvalue
30	) Bromochloromethane	7.54	128	137561	9.72 ug/L	# 84
31	) Tetrahydrofuran	7.64	42	23411	9.28 ug/L	93
- 32	) 1,1,1-Trichloroethane	7.91	97	615377	10.04 ug/L	98
34	) 1,1-Dichloropropene	8.17	75	565533	10.08 ug/L	99
35	) Carbon Tetrachloride	8.31	119	509878	10.35 ug/L	100
37	) 1,2-Dichloroethane	8.55	62	282810	9.59 ug/L	96
38	) Benzene	8.56	78	1383523	9.88 ug/L	100
40	) Trichloroethene	9.52	95	421958	10.14 ug/L	90
41	) 1,2-Dichloropropane	9.79	63	308452	9.94 ug/L	96
45	) Bromodichloromethane	10.14	83	390540	10.15 ug/L	99
46	) Dibromomethane	10.21	93	136175	9.96 ug/L	93
47	) 1,4-Dioxane	10.21	88	29080	281.29 ug/L	95
51	) 2-Hexanone	12.05	58	186928	49.89 ug/L	99
_ <u>(`</u> 52)	) Methyl isobutyl ketone	10.70	58	202711	51.77 uq/L	93
53)	) cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	11.00	75	423650	10.49 ug/L	99
55)	) Toluene	11.48	92	899330	10.28 uq/L	98
56)	trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	11.78	75	307813	10.67 ug/L	100
58)	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	12.00	83	131557	10.21 ug/L	93
59)	1,3-Dichloropropane	12.40	76	279706	10.34 ug/L	98
60)	Tetrachloroethene	12.50	166	398079	10.35 ug/L	98
61)	Dibromochloromethane	12.79	129	226445	10.54 ug/L	99
62)	1,2-Dibromoethane	13.11	107	162812	10.47 ug/L	99
64)	Chlorobenzene	13.77	112	908414	9.87 ug/L	99
65)	1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	13.85	131	281831	10.16 ug/L	97
66)	Ethylbenzene	13.86	91	1740706	10.20 ug/L	98
67)	m,p-Xylene	13.99	106	1285916	20.17 ug/L	100
68)	o-Xylene	14.67	106	598182	10.27 ug/L	99
69)	Styrene	14.73	104	918308	10.60 ug/L	93
70)	Bromoform	15.24	173	100342	10.35 ug/L	98
71)	Isopropylbenzene	15.25	105	1700779	10.45 ug/L	97
72)	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	15.53	83	148084	9.82 ug/L	96
74)	1,2,3-Trichloropropane	15.76	75	95876	9.23 ug/L	98
75)	trans-1,4-Dichloro-2-buten	15.88	88	20123	10.04 ug/L	86
76)	n-Propylbenzene	15.89	91	1966107	10.15 ug/L	96
77)	Bromobenzene	15.94	156	277046	10.06 ug/L #	88
78)	1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	16.16	105	1249622	10.24 ug/L	98
79)	2-Chlorotoluene	16.17	91	1173127	9.98 ug/L	96
80)	4-Chlorotoluene	16.25	91	1052780	10.07 ug/L	95
81)	tert-butylbenzene	16.73	119	1074210	10.41 ug/L	96
(82)	1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	16.79	105	1206657	10.37 ug/L	100
84)	sec-butylbenzene	17.08	105	1727877	10.44 ug/L	97
 ( <u></u>	= $\alpha_{12}$ if ier out of range (m)		 al int			

I29651SI.D V505238I.M Tue May 27 10:08:01 2008

Data File : Acq On :	D:\HPCHEM\DATA\052308-I\I29651S1 23 May 2008 2:14 pm	I.D Vial:	9
Sample : Misc : Thtograti	10 PPB STD 25000	Inst : Multiplr:	GC/MS Ins 1.00
Quant Time:	May 27 10:08 2008	Quant Results File:	: V505238I.RES
Quant Method Title Last Update Response via DataAcq Meth	: D:\HPCHEM\METHODS\V505238I.M : 524.2 Purgable Organics : Fri May 23 14:54:04 2008 : Initial Calibration : V505238I	(RTE Integrator)	

Compound	R.T.	QIon	Response	Conc Unit	Qvalue
p-isopropyltoluene	17.30	119	1333837	10.55 ug/T	
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	17.43	146	551700	10.22 ug/L	100
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	17.61	146	525763	9.92 ug/L	99
n-butylbenzene	17.97	91	1275265	10.76 ug/L	92
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	18.20	146	409859	9.85 ug/L	99
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropan	19.49	75	18341	9.94 ug/L	94
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	20.95	180	280970	10.69 ug/L	97
Hexachlorobutadiene	21.20	225	181800	10.46 ug/L	99
Naphthalene	21.35	128	317033	11.28 ug/L	99
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	21.80	180	205341	10.62  ug/L	95
1,3,5-Trichlorobenzene	19.89	180	396492	10.45 ug/L	100
	<pre>Compound p-isopropyltoluene 1,3-Dichlorobenzene 1,4-Dichlorobenzene 1,2-Dichlorobenzene 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropan 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene Hexachlorobutadiene Naphthalene 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene 1,3,5-Trichlorobenzene</pre>	CompoundR.T.p-isopropyltoluene17.301,3-Dichlorobenzene17.431,4-Dichlorobenzene17.61n-butylbenzene17.971,2-Dichlorobenzene18.201,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropan19.491,2,4-Trichlorobenzene20.95Hexachlorobutadiene21.20Naphthalene21.351,2,3-Trichlorobenzene19.89	Compound       R.T. QIon         p-isopropyltoluene       17.30       119         1,3-Dichlorobenzene       17.43       146         1,4-Dichlorobenzene       17.61       146         n-butylbenzene       17.97       91         1,2-Dichlorobenzene       18.20       146         1,2-Dichlorobenzene       18.20       146         1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropan       19.49       75         1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene       20.95       180         Hexachlorobutadiene       21.20       225         Naphthalene       21.35       128         1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene       19.89       180	CompoundR.T. QIonResponsep-isopropyltoluene17.3011913338371,3-Dichlorobenzene17.431465517001,4-Dichlorobenzene17.61146525763n-butylbenzene17.979112752651,2-Dichlorobenzene18.201464098591,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropan19.4975183411,2,4-Trichlorobenzene20.95180280970Hexachlorobutadiene21.20225181800Naphthalene21.351283170331,2,3-Trichlorobenzene19.89180396492	CompoundR.T. QIonResponseConc Unitp-isopropyltoluene17.30119133383710.55ug/L1,3-Dichlorobenzene17.4314655170010.22ug/L1,4-Dichlorobenzene17.611465257639.92ug/Ln-butylbenzene17.9791127526510.76ug/L1,2-Dichlorobenzene18.201464098599.85ug/L1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropan19.4975183419.94ug/L1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene20.9518028097010.69ug/LHexachlorobutadiene21.2022518180010.46ug/L1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene21.8018020534110.62ug/L1,3,5-Trichlorobenzene19.8918039649210.45ug/L

(#) = qualifier out of range (m) = manual integration I29651SI.D V505238I.M Tue May 27 10:08:01 2008

-----

______

(	Dat Acq Sam Mis MS Qua	a Fi On ple c Inte nt T	le : : grati ime:	D:\1 23 I 10 1 2500 on I May	HPCHI May 2 PPB 9 00 Paran 27 1	EM\E 2008 STD ns: L0:0	PATA 2 rtei 8 20	052: 14 nt.1 08	308- pm	-I\I	296	51S: ζ	I.D	nt	Res	Or II Mu sult	pera 1st 1lt:	Vial: ator: : iplr: File:	9 GC/I 1.0 V50	45 I 0 5238	ns I.RE
	Met Tit Las Res Abundance	hod le t Upo ponse	late e via	: I : 5 : I : 1	D:\HE 524.2 Fri M Initi	PCHE Pu May al	M\ME rgab 23 1 Cali	THOI 1e ( 4:54 brat	DS\V Drga 1:04 cion T	7505: nic: 20( C: 1296	2383 3 08 51SI.C	Г.М Э	(R	re :	Int	egr	rato	or)			
	3000000														e,TM						
-	2600000											m.p-Xylene,TM			2,5kTraitobilitytejähten						
	2400000 2200000											oholod@ane.TM		ene.TM	17 IIII	2					
	2000000											- 1. Etto Betrar		anajóyttan, T.M.R.e., T.M. szórját Entoletoso, T.M.o.ut	d.Tršmathulhaman	are.TM	M				
	1600000					MT and	are, na thane, TM			uene,TMC		MP	-Xylene,TM		Nuene, TM utythenzene, TM	sec-butylbenzo p-isopropyltoluer	n-butylbenzene,				
	1400000 1200000			eDisOfficheide(IFM ene,TM		M 1.1.1.Trichionneth	ane,TM Brænkork	tene,TM		- M	PUCCEURICE, IM	Chlorobenzene.T	Styrene,TM	ene, 18	4-Chiorat	chlorobenzene, IM	le,TM	lorobenzene, T.M	W TM		
	1000000 1000000 000000 800000	s nethane,TM oromethane TM	oroethane,TMC	E) TM rains-1, 2-Dichloroethe	ABARETACAPE),TM (ETBE),TM	m,TMC Bthane,TM	he,S	Trichloroeth iloropropane, TMC methane, TM	l ketone,TM chloropropene,TM	1,3-Dichloropropene, 1e,TM TM	le,TM	ane.l		up Brontobenz		ne-d4,1 1,4, Dich		1,3,5-Trich	4-Trichlorobenzene,T Hexachlorobutadiene	enzene, TM	
	600000	(၄)) ଅନ୍ୟାର୍ମ ମଧ୍ୟ ମଧ୍ୟ ମଧ୍ୟ ମଧ୍ୟ ମାଦାoethane, ମୁକ୍ଲାମାଦମ Trichloroflu	Minute 1,1-Dichi	ert-butyl ether (MTB	finyl adetection (1000) ketonol - Nichloreau ketonol - Nichloreau	M enterformation	amyl men yw wywang	1,2-Dici DicaeBate(%C)/Mrro	Methyl isobuty 	trans- 21-16/24/hiselejonnethan 1.3-Dichloropropane	ibromochloromethar moethane,TM	d5-Chiorobenz		auguoaepisau-		1,4 Dichlorobenze	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	hloropropane,TM	1,2, hthatene,TM	1,2,3-Trichlorob	
	400000 200000 0		Diethyl el Acetone, Acetone, teutyl alcohol (11	Acryloninitery	Methyl ethyl k	// Tetrahydrofuran, T					Di 1,2-Dibror			1,2,3,3,4				1,2-Dibramo-3-cl	Napi		
[Tin I2	ne> 2.0 296515	<u>0 3.00</u> SI.D	4.00 5 V505	.00 6 5238	. <u>00 7.0</u> I.M	0 8.0	0 9.00 Tue	10.00 e Ma	11.00 y 2'	12:00 7 10	13:00 :08	14:00 :02	15.00 20	0.8	0 17.	00 18	00 19	9.00 20.00	21:00 2 Pa	<u>2.00</u> ge 4	

Analytics Report 61408 page 0068 of 95

	×	بمحد مدت		NOC VENTEMENT	
Data File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\0 Acq On : 23 May 2008 2:4 Sample : 20 PPB STD Misc : 25000 Integration Params: rteint Ouant Time: May 27 10:08 200	52308-I\I29 48 pm 5.p	652SI	.D ( ] N	Vial: 10 Dperator: Inst : GC/M Multiplr: 1.00	IS INS
				TCS FILE: VOU	SZSOL.RES
Quant Method : D:\HPCHEM\METH Title : 524.2 Purgable Last Update : Fri May 23 14: Response via : Initial Calibr DataAcq Meth : V505238I	HODS\V50523 Organics 54:04 2008 cation	8I.M	(RTE Integ	grator) AF-17.08 5.17.08	6.27.08
Internal Standards	R.T.	QIon	Response	Conc Units	Dev(Min)
<ol> <li>Pentafluorobenzene</li> <li>Fluorobenzene</li> <li>d5-Chlorobenzene</li> <li>1,4-Dichlorobenzene-d4</li> <li>System Monitoring Compounds</li> <li>DB Holdor #28</li> </ol>	7.50 8.91 13.71 17.56	168 96 117 152	256284 580925 362462 135610	4.00 ug/L 4.00 ug/L 4.00 ug/L 4.00 ug/L	-0.03 -0.02 -0.03 -0.02
Spiked Amount 4.000 36) 1,4-Difluorobenzene Spiked Amount 4.000 54) Bromofluorobenzene Spiked Amount 4.000 73) 1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4 Spiked Amount 4.000	9.04 Range 70 9.04 Range 70 15.65 Range 70 18.16 Range 70	114 - 130 114 - 130 95 - 130 152 - 130	471354 Recove 471354 Recove 155566 Recove 106132 Recove	4.01 ug/L ery = 100.3 4.01 ug/L ery = 100.3 4.05 ug/L ery = 101.3 3.95 ug/L ery = 98.7	-0.02 25% -0.02 25% -0.02 25% -0.02 75%
<pre>l'arget Compounds 2) Dichlorodifluoromethane 3) Chloromethane 4) Vinyl Chloride 5) Bromomethane 6) Chloroethane 7) t-Butyl alcohol (TBA) 8) Trichlorofluoromethane 9) Diethyl ether 10) 1,1,2-Trichlorotrifluoro 11) Acrolein 12) Acetone 13) 1,1-Dichloroethene 15) Di-isopropyl ether (DIPH 16) Methylene Chloride 17) Carbon Disulfide 18) Acrylonitrile 19) Methyl-tert-butyl ether 20) trans-1,2-Dichloroethene 21) 1,1-Dichloroethane 22) Vinyl acetate 23) Methyl ethyl ketone 24) Ethyl t-butyl ether (ETB 25) 2,2-Dichloropropane 26) cis-1,2-Dichloroethene 27) t-Amyl methyl ether (TAM 29) Chloroform </pre>	$\begin{array}{c} 1.82\\ 2.08\\ 2.20\\ 2.72\\ 2.83\\ 4.25\\ 3.16\\ 3.60\\ 0et 3.81\\ 3.77\\ 3.88\\ 4.07\\ 5.93\\ 4.87\\ 4.85\\ 5.09\\ (M 5.16\\ 5.36\\ 6.06\\ 6.10\\ 6.77\\ E) 6.60\\ 6.97\\ 7.04\\ E) 8.39\\ 7.29\end{array}$	$     \begin{array}{r}       85 \\       50 \\       62 \\       94 \\       64 \\       59 \\       101 \\       74 \\       101 \\       56 \\       43 \\       76 \\       73 \\       96 \\       43 \\       72 \\       97 \\       96 \\       73 \\       83 \\     \end{array} $	1258074 537299 423692 848688 685605 101188 1452073 219501 815094 179965 277537 1216533 1918844 674441 2520522 81587 921742 861335 1396835 508954 104058 1539529 1087143 818026 1070024 1297344	19.62 ug/L 17.45 ug/L 17.76 ug/L 18.52 ug/L 18.52 ug/L 103.59 ug/L 20.02 ug/L 19.78 ug/L 19.78 ug/L 19.57 ug/L 20.03 ug/L 94.31 ug/L 19.81 ug/L 19.81 ug/L 19.81 ug/L 19.90 ug/L 19.52 ug/L 19.52 ug/L 19.58 ug/L 19.36 ug/L 19.36 ug/L 19.90 ug/L 19.90 ug/L 19.95 ug/L 19.95 ug/L 19.55 ug/L 19.55 ug/L 19.55 ug/L 19.48 ug/L	Qvalue 100 99 98 98 95 100 92 94 100 97 99 95 94 100 95 99 95 99 98 95 99 98 95 99 98 95 99 98 95 99 98 95 99
(#) = qualifier out of range I29652SI.D V505238I.M T	(m) = manua ue May 27 1	l int 0:08:	egration 03 2008		Page 1

Anamercaeron vebore (TAOR VENTEMEN) Data File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\052308-I\I29652SI.D Operator: Vial: 10 Acq On : 23 May 2008 2:48 pm Sample : 20 PPB STD Inst : GC/MS Ins isc : 25000 , Integration Params: rteint.p Quant Results File: V505238I.RES Quant Method : D:\HPCHEM\METHODS\V505238I.M (RTE Integrator) Title : 524.2 Purgable Organics Last Update : Fri May 23 14:54:04 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : V505238I 
 Compound
 R.T. QION
 Response
 Conc Unit
 Qvalue

 30)
 Bromochloromethane
 7.54
 128
 284092
 10.69
 ug/L
 91

 31)
 Tetrahydrofuran
 7.63
 42
 49040
 19.07
 ug/L
 91

 32)
 1,1.1-Trichloroethane
 7.91
 97
 1243958
 19.91
 ug/L
 98

 34)
 1,1.Dichloropropene
 8.17
 75
 1134842
 19.83
 ug/L
 98

 38)
 Benzene
 8.56
 78
 2768476
 19.39
 ug/L
 92

 40)
 Trichloropethane
 9.52
 95
 856124
 19.96
 ug/L
 92

 41)
 1,2-Dichloropropane
 9.79
 63
 3137
 19.79
 ug/L
 93

 51)
 2-Mexanne
 10.21
 83
 2045
 18.0234
 20.45
 ug/L
 93

 52)
 Methyl isobutyl ketone
 10.70
 58
 424128
 105.12
 ug/L
 98

 Compound R.T. QIon Response Conc Unit Qvalue 

(#) = qualifier out of range (m) = manual integration I29652SI.D V505238I.M Tue May 27 10:08:03 2008

Data File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\052308-I\129652SI.D Vial: 10 Acq On : 23 May 2008 2:48 pm Operator: Sample : 20 PPB STD Inst : GC/MS Ins .™i.sc : 25000 Multiplr: 1.00 JIntegration Params: rteint.p Quant Time: May 27 10:08 2008 Quant Results File: V505238I.RES Quant Method : D:\HPCHEM\METHODS\V505238I.M (RTE Integrator) Title : 524.2 Purgable Organics Last Update : Fri May 23 14:54:04 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : V505238I

Anamercarron vehote

(NOL KEVIEWED)

	Compound	R.T.	QIon	Response	Conc Unit	Qvalue
85)	p-isopropyltoluene	17 30	 119	26/5969		
86)	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	17.43	146	1109969	20.47  ug/L 20.10 ug/L	28 90
88)	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	17.61	146	1071640	19.57 ug/L	98
89)	n-butylbenzene	17.97	91	2519981	20.58 ug/L	94
90)	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	18.20	146	838445	19.50 ug/L	99
92)	1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropan	19.49	75	39833	20.89 ug/L	91
94)	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	20.95	180	591971	21.81 ug/L	97
95)	Hexachlorobutadiene	21.19	225	379812	21.15 ug/L	99
96)	Naphthalene	21.35	128	684812	23.59 ug/L	99
97)	1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	21.80	180	436583	21.87 ug/L	95
98)	1,3,5-Trichlorobenzene	19.89	180	820285	20.93 ug/L	100

(#) = qualifier out of range (m) = manual integration I29652SI.D V505238I.M Tue May 27 10:08:03 2008

		Xnorrer fat	TON VEDOT	har -		
Data Fi Acq On Sample Misc MS Integ	le : D:\HPCHEM\ : 23 May 200 : 20 PPB STD : 25000 gration Params:	DATA\052308-I 3 2:48 pm rteint.p	\I29652 <b>S</b> I.	.D Ope: Ins Mult	Vial: rator: t : tiplr:	10 GC/MS Ins 1.00
Data File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\052308-I\I29652SI.D     V.       Acq On : 23 May 2008 2:48 pm     Opera       Sample : 20 PPB STD     Inst       Misc : 25000     Wultij       Multij     Multij       Msc : 25000     Quant Time: May 27 10:08 2008     Quant Results F:       Method : D:\HPCHEM\METHODS\V505238I.M (RTE Integrator)     Title : 524.2 Purgable Organics       Last Update : Fri May 23 14:54:04 2008     Response via : Initial Calibration       6000000     S000000       5200000     S000000       5200000     Yourgenergy       4400000     Yourgenergy       4200000     Yourgenergy       4200000     Yourgenergy       7000000     Yourgenergy <tr< td=""><td>V505238I.RE</td></tr<>						V505238I.RE
Method	: D:\HPCHI	METHODS\V5	05238I.M (	RTE Integrat	cor)	
Last Upd	: 524.2 Pu late : Fri Mav	rgable Organ 23 14:54:04	ics 2008			
Response	e via : Initial	Calibration	12065251 D	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	*	
6200000		no;	12905251.D			
6000000						
5800000						
5400000				WL		
5200000				04 ene,		
5000000		,	. <b>.</b>	<b>di</b> try#pd		
4800000			Xylene	5)drado		
4600000	,		d.	12] 14		
4400000			S,TM			
4200000			A LEAK	×		
4000000			<b>Bohitok</b>	<u>rie,T</u> W zene,T		
3800000			2 <b>EB</b> 4	Re,TM 2011/10 hylben:		
3600000			1,1,1	Trimet M		
3400000				Trene, Tr		
3200000		<u>⊽</u> 0		By utybe: pyttotu		
3000000	i	ane,T	ue,TM	a,TM sec-b sec-b isopir		
2800000		Toluc	e.TME	M totolen	_	
2600000	× الله		le,TM benzer Stvrene	Chloro Chloro 20ne, TM	sne,TM	
2400000	T.M.	WL al	Chilorn	e; M 4	obenze	*
2200000 E	te Diso() ethene,	pene, roether	rachlor	Dichion Dichion ene, TA	Trichlor	ene,TM ene,TM
2000000 E	TM MC (chloro chloro chloro ethene	Ngropri Trichio 1e, TM( M ane, TM		aromot 1 ₄ 74	1,3,5,	benzer
hane, T G1000081	thene, 1,2-Di trene, 1,2-Di transition SED, TM SEMorc	propar propar iane, T rone, TA	5 -	-Dichio		achloro achlori ne,TM
	Tuoroe brians BE), TN BE), TN BE, TH BE, TH	Provention of the provention o	ane.TM ane,TM ane,TN	MP 1,2	7790000 A 44	,2,4-Tr Hex Denze
	1,1-DK	Action 1.2-1 1.2-1 1.2-1 cis-1.3 cis-1.3	M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M	a, TM., 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,	WL.	M richtor
	M H Trice	vyt még	axano Dichlor hane,T hane,T	Stipage enzene benzen	ropane	1,2,3-1
800000	Mental Metro	Subervation Sub-	Z+7 2.13-1 Dibroi Dibroi	Killet R	chlorop	laphth
600000	Siethyl Catone Cohol ( Cohol ( Nyl eth Nyl eth		d5-C ib		amo-3-	<b>F</b>
400000	Data File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\O52208-I\I29652SI.D Vial: 10 Sample 20 PPB STD Inst : GC/MS Ins Misc : 205000 MS Integration Params: rteint.p Quant Time: May 27 10:08 2008 Quant Results File: V505238I.RF Method : D:\HPCHEM\METHODS\V505238I.M (RTE Integrator) Title : 234.2 Purgable Organics Basy Update : Fri May 23 14:54:04 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo secondo sec					
200000					-	
0 Time> 2.00 3.00	4.00 5.00 6.00 7.00 8.0	9.00         10.00         11.00         12.	00 13:00 14:00 15.	_!\\\\	<u>, //</u> 19.00 20.00 ;	21.00 22.00
I29652SI.D	V505238I.M	Tue May 27	10:08:04 2	2008		Page 4

Analytics Report 61408 page 0072 of 95

## VOLATILE ORGANIC AQUEOUS LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE DUPLICATE PERCENT RECOVERY

Instrument ID: I GC Column: RTX-502.2 Column ID: 0.25 mm

· · · ·

At. 27.08 7 Mar 5.27

## SDG: Non-spiked sample: B5052381 Spike: L5052381 Spike Duplicate L50523812

	SPIKE	LOWER	UPPER	RPD	NON-SPIKE	SPIKE	SPIKE		SPIKE DUP	SPIKE DU	Р		i
COMPOUND	ADDED	LIMIT	LIMIT	LIMIT	RESULT (ug/	L) RESULT (ug/	L) % REC	#	RESULT (ug/L	) % REC	#	RPD	#
Dichlorodifluoromethane	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.10	110		1,03	103	Т	7	Π
Chloromethane	1	70	130	15	0.00	1,15	115		1.02	102	Т	12	Π
Vinyl Chloride	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.03	103		0.95	95	Τ	9	П
Bromomethane	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.15	115		1.09	109	T	5	П
Chloroethane	1	70	130	15	0,00	1.01	101	Τ	0.97	97	T	4	П
t-Butyl alcohol (TBA)	5	70	130	15	0.00	4.94	99	Τ	5.43	109		9	П
Trichlorofluoromethane	1	70	-130	15	0.00	0.98	98		0.93	93		6	П
Diethyl ether	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.98	98	Τ	1.01	101	П	3	П
1,1,2-Trichlorotrifluoroethane	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.18	118 .		1.07	107	Ħ	10	Π
Acetone	5	70	130	15	0.00	5.71	114		6.32	126	$\square$	10	
1,1-Dichloroethene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.10	110		1,01	101	Ħ	8	
Di-isopropyl ether (DIPE)	1	70	130	15	0.00	0,98	98		0.95	95	$\square$	3	1
Methylene Chloride	1	70	130	15	0.00	0,92	92		0.93	91			٦
Carbon Disulfide	1 `	70	130	15	0.00	1.13	113		1.03	103		- v	-
Acrylonitrile	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.01	101	$\uparrow$	1.00	100	┢─╋	$-\frac{1}{1}$	┥
Methyl-tert-butyl ether (MTBE)	2	70	130	15	0.00	1.90	95	$\uparrow \uparrow$	1.99	100			$\neg$
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.06	106	++	1 01	100			4
1,1-Dichloroethane	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.04	104	††	1.00	101			-
Methyl ethyl ketone	5	70	130	15	0.00	5.62	112	$\uparrow \uparrow$	6 19	124	$\neg$	10	-
Ethyl t-butyl ether (ETBE)	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.94	94	╋	0.17	07	-+	2	-
2,2-Dichloropropane	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.94	94	++	0.90	9/	+		-
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.07	107	+	1.04	104	+		-
t-Amyl methyl ether (TAME)	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.94	94	┝─┾	0.07	07	╉		-
Chloroform	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.01	101	┢╍┢	0.07	07	╈		-
Bromochloromethane	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.99	99	$\vdash$	0.95	05	+		-
Tetrahydrofuran	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.03	103	$\vdash$	1 10	110	+	14	+
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	1	70	130	15	0.00	1 04	104		0.08	09	╈	6	-
1,1-Dichloroproperte	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.05	104		0.90	00	+	6	-
Carbon Tetrachloride	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.05	105		0.99	- 77	╈		-
1.2-Dichloroethane	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.00	00		0.20	90	+-	-	-
Benzene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.04	104		1.07	9/	+	2	┥
Trichloroethene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.04	109		1.02	102			-
1.2-Dichloropropane	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.00	100		1.02	102		0	-
Bromodichloromethane	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.02	102	-+	1.02	102		$\frac{1}{1}$	- I -
Dibromomethane	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.07	07		0.00	102	╋	$\frac{1}{2}$	1
2-Hexanone	5	70	130	15	0.00	\$ 50	117		<u> </u>	99	┿	ź	
Methyl isobutyl ketone		70	130	15	0.00	5.48	112		5.01	110	+	4	
cis-1 3-Dichloropropene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.00	100		0.00	119	+	$\frac{1}{2}$	1
Tohiene		70	130	15	0.00	1.00	104		1.07	- 99	—	÷+	
trans-1.3-Dichloropropene		70	130	15	0.00	0.08	100		1.03	103	+	2	
1.1.2-Trichloroethane		70	130	15	0.00	1.06	104		1.04	84	╋	<del>4</del>	
1 3-Dichloropropage	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.00	100		1.04	104	+	<u></u>	
Fetrachloroethene		70	130	15	0.00	1 1 1	104		1.05	105	╋		
Dibromochloromethane	- <u>+</u>	70	130	15	0.00	1.11	111		1.03	103	╞	8	:
2-Dibromoethane	1	70	110	15	0.00	0.00	20		0.98	98	╀	<u>+</u>	
hlarahenzene		70	120	15	0.00	1.04			1.03	103	+'	4	
ANOTOGENZENE		10	120	12	0.00	1.04	104 (	1	0.99 1	99 I	1	5 1 1	

VOA FORM 3
### VOLATILE ORGANIC AQUEOUS LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE DUPLICATE PERCENT RECOVERY

Instrument ID: I GC Column: RTX-502.2 Column ID: 0.25 mm

### SDG: Non-spiked sample: B505238I Spike: L505238I Spike Duplicate L505238I2

	SPIKE	LOWER	UPPER	RPD	NON-SPIKE	SPIKE	SPIKE		SPIKE DUP	SPIKE DUF	ЛР		
COMPOUND	ADDED	LIMIT	LIMIT	LIMIT	RESULT (ug/L)	RESULT (ug/L)	% REC	#	RESULT (ug/L)	% REC	#	RPD	#
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.07	107		1.01	101	Т	6	Γ
Ethylbenzene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.08	108	Τ	1,02	102		6	Γ
m,p-Xylene	2	70	130	15	0.00	2.21	110	Τ	2.06	103	1	7	Γ
o-Xylene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.06	106	Τ	1.01	101		5	
Styrene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.07	107	T	1.01	101		6	
Bromoform	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.96	96		0.98	98		2	
1sopropylbenzene	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.97	97		0.90	90		7	
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.95	95		0.95	95		0	
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.02	102		1.09	109		6	-
trans-1,4-Dichloro-2-butene	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.93	93		0.90	90		3	
n-Propylbenzene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.07	107		0.98	98		9	
Bromobenzene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.06	106		1.02	102		4	٦
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.11	111		1.02	102		9	٦
2-Chlorotoluene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.07	107		1.03	103		4	٦
4-Chlorotoluene	1	70	130	15	0,00	1.07	107		1.01	101		6	٦
tert-butylbenzene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.09	109		0.99	99		9	٦
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.14	114	T	1.06	106	T	7	٦
sec-butylbenzene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.08	108	T	0.99	99		8	٦
p-isopropyltoluene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.06	106		0.97	97	T	8	٦
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.05	105		1.00	100		5	٦
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.04	104		0.96	96	Τ	7	7
n-butylbenzene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.14	114		1.00	100	Τ	13	
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.05	105		1.01	101	Т	4	٦
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	· 1	70	130	15	0.00	0.99	99		0.93	93	Т	6	7
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.03	103		0.99	99		4	]
Hexachlorobutadiene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.12	112		1.04	104		8	1
Naphthalene	1	70	130	15	0.00	0.96	96		0.94	94	Т	2	1
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.04	104		1.01	101		2	1
1,3,5-Trichlorobenzene	1	70	130	15	0.00	1.09	109	T	1.05	105	Τ	4	1

# Column to be used to flag recovery and RPD values outside of QC limits * Values outside QC limits

Non-spike result of "0" used in place of "U" to allow calculation of spike recovery

Comments:

Analytics Report 61408 page 0074 of 95

VOA FORM 3

avaluate continuing calibration Report Data File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\052308-I\I29655Q.DVial:Vial : Vial : Vial: 13 Acq On : 23 May 2008 4:39 pm Sample : L5052 Misc : 25000 : L505238I Inst : GC/MS Ins Multiplr: 1.00 MS Integration Params: rteint.p : D:\HPCHEM\METHODS\V505238I.M (RTE Integrator) Method Min. RRF : 0.000 Min. Rel. Area : 50% Max. R.T. Dev 0.50min AvgRF CCPF Title : 524.2 Purgable Organics CompoundAvgRFCCRF*Dev Area* Dev(minf)IPentafluorobenzene1.0001.0000.0106-0.02TMDichlorodifluoromethane1.0011.104-10.3114-0.02TMPChloromethane0.4810.551-14.6119-0.02TMCVinyl Chloride0.3720.384-3.2108-0.02TMBromomethane0.7150.823-15.1120-0.02TMChloroethane0.5680.573-0.9102-0.02TMt-Butyl alcohol (TBA)0.0150.0150.0104-0.04TMDiethyl ether0.1730.1701.797-0.02TM1.1.2-Trichlorotrifluoroeth0.6500.765-17.7120-0.02 1 I 2 TM 3 TMP Chloromethane 4 TMC 5 TM 6 TM 7 TM 8 TM 9 TM 

 9 TM
 Dietnyl etner
 0.1/3
 0.1/0
 1.7
 97
 -0.02

 10 TM
 1,1,2-Trichlorotrifluoroeth
 0.650
 0.765
 -17.7
 120
 -0.02

 11 T
 Acrolein
 0.140
 0.011
 NT
 92.1#
 8#
 -0.02

 12 TM
 Acetone
 0.046
 0.052
 -13.0
 114
 -0.02

 13 TMC
 1,1-Dichloroethene
 0.958
 1.055
 -10.1
 114
 -0.02

 15 TM
 Di-isopropyl ether (DIPE)
 1.578
 1.547
 2.0
 102
 -0.02

 16 TM
 Methylene Chloride
 0.825
 1.003
 -21.6
 104
 -0.02

 17 TM
 Carbon Disulfide
 2.045
 2.309
 -12.9
 115
 -0.03

-17.7 120 -0.02 1.5472.01021.003-21.61042.309-12.91150.066-1.5102Methylene Chloride 0.825 Carbon Disulfide 2.045 17 TM 2.309 Carbon Disulfide2.0452.309-12.9115Acrylonitrile0.0650.066-1.5102Methyl-tert-butyl ether (MT0.7191.36790.1#199trans-1,2-Dichloroethene0.6870.729-6.11101,1-Dichloroethane1.1261.170-3.9104Vinyl acetate0.4190.273NT34.8#67Methyl ethyl ketone0.0160.018-12.5114Ethyl t-butyl ether (ETBE)1.2081.1375.9972,2-Dichloropropane0.8720.8186.297cis-1,2-Dichloroethene0.6530.697-6.7109t-Amyl methyl ether (TAME)0.8330.7796.598DB Holder #281.8351.7972.1101Chloroform1.0391.050-11.05 -0.03 18 TM -0.02 19 TM -0.03 20 TM -0.03 21 TMP -0.0222 T -0.02 23 TM -0.02 24 TM -0.02 25 TM -0.02 26 TM -0.02 27 TM -0.02 28 S -0.02 1.039 0.225 0.040 29 TMC Chloroform -1.1 105 1.050 -0.02 Bromochloromethane Tetrahydrofuran 30 TM 0.222 1.3 106 -0.03 31 TM  $\begin{array}{cccccccc} 0.040 & 0.041 \\ 0.975 & 1.014 \\ 0.000 & 0.000 \\ 0.893 & 0.937 \\ 0.784 & 0.819 \\ 1.835 & 1.797 \\ 0.469 & 0.464 \\ 2.229 & 2.318 \end{array}$ 0.041 -2.5 86 -0.02 1,1,1-Trichloroethane 32 TM -4.0 107 -0.02 33 T NO COMPOUND 0.0 0# -0.06 34 TM 1,1-Dichloropropene -4.9 107 -0.02 -4.5 108 -0.02 Carbon Tetrachloride 1,4-Difluorobenzene 1,2-Dichloroethane 35 TM 36 S 2.1 101 -0.02 37 TM 1.1 100 -0.02 38 TM Benzene -4.0 106 -0.02 Fluorobenzene 1.000 1.000 0.0 102 -0.02 0.295 0.318 -7.8 110 -0.02 40 TM Trichloroethene . ... ... ... ... ... ... ... ... ... ... ... ... ... ... ... ... ... ... ... ... ... ... ... ... ... ... ... ... ... ... ... ... ... ... ... ... ... ... ... ... ... ... ... ... ... ... ______ (#) = Out of Range

I29655Q.D V505238I.M Tue May 27 10:10:16 2008

Evaluate Continu	ing Calibration Reg	port
Data File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\05230 Acq On : 23 May 2008 4:39 p Sample : L505238I Misc : 25000 MS Integration Params: rteint.p	8-I\I29655Q.D m	Vial: 13 Operator: Inst : GC/MS Ins Multiplr: 1.00
Method : D:\HPCHEM\METHODS Title : 524.2 Purgable Org Last Update : Fri May 23 14:54:0 Response via : Multiple Level Cal	\V505238I.M (RTE In ganics 04 2008 Libration	ntegrator)
Min. RRF : 0.000 Min. Rel Max. RRF Dev : 30% Max. Rel.	. Area : 50% Max. . Area : 500%	R.T. Dev 0.50min
Compound	AvgRF CCRF	<pre>%Dev Area% Dev(min)</pre>
<pre>41 TMC 1,2-Dichloropropane 42 T NO COMPOUND 43 T NO COMPOUND 44 T NO COMPOUND 45 TM Bromodichloromethane 46 TM Dibromomethane 47 T 1,4-Dioxane 48 T NO COMPOUND 49 T NO COMPOUND 50 T NO COMPOUND 50 T NO COMPOUND 51 TM 2-Hexanone 52 TM Methyl isobutyl ketone 53 TM cis-1,3-Dichloropropene 53 S Bromofluorobenzene 54 TM trans-1,3-Dichloropropene 55 TM trans-1,3-Dichloropropene 56 TM trans-1,3-Dichloropropene 57 T NO COMPOUND 58 TM 1,1,2-Trichloroethane 59 TM 1,3-Dichloropropane 60 TM Tetrachloroethene 61 TM Dibromochloromethane 62 TM 1,2-Dibromoethane</pre>	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
<pre>63 I d5-Chlorobenzene 64 TMP Chlorobenzene 65 TM 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane 66 TMC Ethylbenzene 67 TM m,p-Xylene 68 TM o-Xylene 69 TM Styrene 70 TMP Bromoform 71 TM Isopropylbenzene 72 TMP 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane 73 S 1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4 74 TM 1,2,3-Trichloropropane 75 TM trans-1,4-Dichloro-2-butene 76 TM n-Propylbenzene 77 TM Bromobenzene 78 TM 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene 79 TM 2-Chlorotoluene 80 TM 4-Chlorotoluene</pre>	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

(#) = Out of Range I29655Q.D V505238I.M

Tue May 27 10:10:16 2008

Evaluate Continuing Calibration Report Via⊥: Operator: Data File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\052308-I\I29655Q.D Vial: 13 Acq On : 23 May 2008 4:39 pm Sample : L505238I Misc : 25000 Inst : GC/MS Ins Multiplr: 1.00 MS Integration Params: rteint.p Method : D:\HPCHEM\METHODS\V505238I.M (RTE Integrator) Title : 524.2 Purgable Organics Last Update : Fri May 23 14:54:04 2008 Response via : Multiple Level Calibration Min. RRF : 0.000 Min. Rel. Area : 50% Max. R.T. Dev 0.50min Max. RRF Dev : 30% Max. Rel. Area : 500% AvgRF CCRF %Dev Area% Dev(min) Compound 

 81 TM
 tert-butylbenzene
 1.165
 1.269
 -8.9
 111
 -0.02

 82 TM
 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene
 1.314
 1.500
 -14.2
 112
 -0.02

 83 T
 NO COMPOUND
 0.000
 0.000
 0.0
 0#
 -0.48

 84 TM
 sec-butylbenzene
 1.868
 2.012
 -7.7
 108
 -0.02

 85 TM
 p-isopropyltoluene
 1.426
 1.511
 -6.0
 107
 -0.02

 86 TM
 1,3-Dichlorobenzene
 0.609
 0.641
 -5.3
 104
 -0.02

 87 I
 1,4-Dichlorobenzene-d4
 1.000
 1.000
 0.0
 100
 -0.02

 88 TM
 1,4-Dichlorobenzene
 1.615
 1.674
 -3.7
 100
 -0.02

 89 TM
 n-butylbenzene
 3.612
 4.106
 -13.7
 113
 -0.02

 90 TM
 1,2-Dichlorobenzene
 1.268
 1.335
 -5.3
 103
 -0.02

 91 T
 NO COMPOUND
 0.000
 0.000
 0.0
 0#
 -0.06

 92 TM
 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane
 0.056
 0.056
 0.0
 108
 -0.02

 7 T
 NO COMPOUND
 0.000
 0.000
 0.0
 0#
 -0.06

 92 TM
 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene
 0.801
 0.822
 -2.6
 103
 -0.02

 95 TM
 Hexachlorobutadiene
 0.530
 0.595
 -12.3
 111
 -0.02

 96 TM
 Naphthalene
 0.856
 0.820
 4.2
 100
 -0.02

Naphthalene0.8560.8204.2100-0.021,2,3-Trichlorobenzene0.5890.611-3.7107-0.021,3,5-Trichlorobenzene1.1561.262-9.2109-0.02 96 TM 97 TM 98 TM

(#) = Out of Range SPCC's out = 0 CCC's out = 0 I29655Q.D V505238I.M Tue May 27 10:10:16 2008

Page 3

Analytics Report 61408 page 0077 of 95

Data File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\052308-I\I29655Q.D Vial: 13 Acq On : 23 May 2008 4:39 pm Operator:	
Acq On : 23 May 2008 4:39 pm Operator:	
Sampre : LS052381 Inst : GC/MS Ins	
Multiplr: 1.00	
Integration Params: rteint.p	
Quant Time: May 27 10:08 2008 Quant Results File: V505238I.RE	S
Quant Method : D:\HPCHEM\METHODS\V505238I.M (RTE Integrator)	1.
Title : 524.2 Purgable Organics	N
Last Update : Fri May 23 14:54:04 2008	1
Response via : Initial Calibration	;0
DataAcq Meth : V5052381	
Internal Standards R.T. Olon Response Conc Units Dev(Min)	
1) Pentafluorobenzene 7.51 168 264207 / 4.00 ug/L -0.02	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
87/ 1,4-DICHIOIODEHZEHE-04 17.56 152 129533 4.00 ug/L -0.02	
System Monitoring Compounds	
28) DB Holder #28 9.03 114 474739 3.92 ug/L -0.02	
Spiked Amount 4.000 Range 70 - 130 Recovery = 98.00%	
36) 1,4-Difluorobenzene 9.03 114 474739 3.92 ug/L -0.02	
Spiked Amount 4.000 Range 70 - 130 Recovery = 98.00%	
Spiked Amount $4000$ Bango 70 120 Begovern of feet (	
73) 1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4 18,16 152 103789 3 94 ug/t. = 0.02	
Spiked Amount $4.000$ Range $70 - 130$ Recovery = $98.50\%$	
Qvalue Qvalue	
2) Dichlorodifiuoromethane 1.83 85 72934 1.10 ug/L 97	
4) Vinvl Chloride $2.09 50 36399 1.15 \text{ ug/L} 99$	
5) Bromomethane $2.73 \ 94 \ 54372 \ 1.05 \ ug/L \ 99$	
6) Chloroethane $2.84 \ 64 \ 37865 \ 1.01 \ ug/L \ 95$	
7) t-Butyl alcohol (TBA) 4.27 59 4979 4.94 ug/L # 92	
8) Trichlorofluoromethane $3.17 \ 101 \ 73560 \ 0.98 \ ug/L \ 100$	
9) Dietnyl etner $3.61 74 11230 0.98 \text{ ug/L} 92$	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
12) Acetone $3.91 \ 43 \ 17319 \ 5.71 \ 1071. # 87$	
13) 1,1-Dichloroethene 4.08 61 69659 1.10 ug/L 99	
15) Di-isopropyl ether (DIPE) 5.96 45 102193 0.98 ug/L 95	
16) Methylene Chloride 4.88 84 66223 0.92 ug/L 91	
17) Carbon Disulfide $4.85$ 76 152530 1.13 ug/L 100	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
20) trans-1.2-Dichloroethene 5.37 96 48136 1.6 $\mu_a/\tau$ 97	
21) $1,1$ -Dichloroethane $6.07$ $63$ $77255$ $1.04$ uc/L 98	
22) Vinyl acetate 6.12 43 18005 0.65 ug/L # 90	
23) Methyl ethyl ketone 6.80 72 6034 5.62 ug/L 84	
24) Ethyl t-butyl ether (ETBE) 6.62 59 75133 0.94 ug/L 96	
(25) $(2,2-1)$ contoropropane $(5,98)$ $(77)$ $(54004)$ $(0.94)$ $ug/L$ $(99)$	
(27) t-Amvl methyl ether (TAME) 8 40 73 51449 0 04 $metry$ 02	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	

(#) = qualifier out of range (m) = manual integration I29655Q.D V505238I.M Tue May 27 10:08:05 2008

Quantitation Report (Not Reviewed) Data File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\052308-I\I29655Q.D Vial: 13 Acq On : 23 May 2008 4:39 pm Operator: Sample : L5052381 Misc : 25000 Inst : GC/MS Ins Multiplr: 1.00 Integration Params: rteint.p Quant Time: May 27 10:08 2008 Quant Results File: V505238I.RES Quant Method : D:\HPCHEM\METHODS\V505238I.M (RTE Integrator) Title : 524.2 Purgable Organics Last Update : Fri May 23 14:54:04 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : V505238I 
 Compound
 R.T. QION
 Response
 Conc Unit
 Qvalue

 30)
 Bromochloromethane
 7.54
 128
 14664
 0.99
 ug/L
 #

 31)
 Tetrahydrofuran
 7.68
 42
 2732
 1.03
 ug/L
 #

 32)
 1,1.1-Trichloroethane
 7.68
 42
 2732
 1.04
 ug/L
 99

 31)
 Tetrachloride
 8.32
 119
 54123
 1.05
 ug/L
 99

 35)
 Carbon Tetrachloride
 8.357
 78
 153075
 1.04
 ug/L
 93

 40)
 Trichloroethane
 10.22
 93
 1.02
 ug/L
 93

 41)
 1.2-Dichloropropane
 9.79
 63
 33601
 1.02
 ug/L
 94

 41)
 1.4-Dioxane
 10.24
 83
 2468
 Ug/L
 92

 512
 Peckanone
 12.06
 58
 17344
 5.58
 ug/L
 92

 52)
 Toluene
 11.07
 122
 20. R.T. QIon Response Conc Unit Qvalue Compound 

(#) = qualifier out of range (m) = manual integration I29655Q.D V505238I.M Tue May 27 10:08:05 2008

Quantitation Report (Not Reviewed) Data File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\052308-I\I29655Q.D Vial: 13 Acq On : 23 May 2008 4:39 pm Operator: Sample : L505238I Inst : GC/MS Ins Misc : 25000 Multiplr: 1.00 Integration Params: rteint.p Quant Time: May 27 10:08 2008 Quant Results File: V505238I.RES Quant Method : D:\HPCHEM\METHODS\V505238I.M (RTE Integrator) Title : 524.2 Purgable Organics Last Update : Fri May 23 14:54:04 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : V505238I

Compound		R.T.	QIon	Response	Conc Unit	Qvalue
85) p-isopropyltol	uene	17.31	119	134266	1.06 ug/	L 100
88) 1,4-Dichlorobe	nzene	17.44 17.61 17.07	146 146	54213	1.05 ug/. 1.04 ug/.	- 97 - 98
90) 1,2-Dichlorobe	nzene	18.21	91 146	43242	1.14 ug/1 1.05 ug/1	92 100
92) 1,2-Dibromo-3- 94) 1,2,4-Trichlor	obenzene	20.95	180	26612	0.99 ug/1 1.03 ug/1	94 99
95) Hexachlorobuta 96) Naphthalene	diene	21.20 21.36	225 128	19259 26554	1.12 ug/1 0.96 ug/1	98 95 L
97) 1,2,3-Trichlor 98) 1,3,5-Trichlor	obenzene obenzene	21.79 19.90	180 180	$19772 \\ 40880$	1.04 ug/1 1.09 ug/1	95 ي 98 ي

Analytics Report 61408 page 0080 of 95



Analytics Report 61408 page 0081 of 95

Evaluate continuing Calibration Report Data File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\052308-I\I29656Q.D Vial: Operator: Vial: 14 Acq On : 23 May 2008 5:12 pm Sample : L5052 Misc : 25000 : L505238I2 Inst : GC/MS Ins Multiplr: 1.00 MS Integration Params: rteint.p Method : D:\HPCHEM\METHODS\V505238I.M (RTE Title : 524.2 Purgable Organics Last Update : Fri May 23 14:54:04 2008 : D:\HPCHEM\METHODS\V505238I.M (RTE Integrator) 5.27.08 Response via : Multiple Level Calibration Min. RRF : 0.000 Min. Rel. Area : 50% Max. R.T. Dev 0.50min Max. RRF Dev : 30% Max. Rel. Area : 500% 
 Compound
 AvgRF
 CCRF
 %Dev Area% Dev (mi

 1
 I
 Pentafluorobenzene
 1.000
 1.000
 0.0
 106
 -0.03

 2
 TM
 Dichlorodifluoromethane
 1.001
 1.034
 -3.3
 106
 -0.02

 3
 TMP
 Chloromethane
 0.481
 0.489
 -1.7
 105
 -0.02

 4
 TMC
 Vinyl Chloride
 0.372
 0.352
 5.4
 99
 -0.02

 5
 TM
 Bromomethane
 0.715
 0.783
 -9.5
 113
 -0.02

 6
 TM
 Chloroethane
 0.568
 0.549
 3.3
 97
 -0.02

 7
 TM
 t-Butyl alcohol (TBA)
 0.017
 -13.3
 114
 -0.04

 8
 TM
 Trichlorotrifluoroeth
 0.130
 0.174
 -0.6
 99
 -0.02

 10
 TM
 1.1.2-Trichlorotrifluoroeth
 0.558
 0.973
 -1.6
 104
 -0.03

 12
 TM
 Acetone
 0.046 AvgRF CCRF %Dev Area% Dev(min) Compound 1,1,1-Trichloroethane 0.975 0.952 0.000 0.000 32 TM 33 T NO COMPOUND 34 TM 1,1-Dichloropropene 35 TM Carbon Tetrachloride 36 S 1,4-Difluorobenzene 1,4-Difluoropenzene 1,2-Dichloroethane 36 S 1,4-Difluorobenzene 37 TM -1.5 103 -0.03 38 TM Benzene 2.229 2.262 IFluorobenzene1.0001.0000.0101-0.0240TMTrichloroethene0.2950.301-2.0103-0.03 (#) = Out of Range

I29656Q.D V505238I.M Tue May 27 10:10:20 2008

Evaluate Cont	inuing Calibration Report
Data File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\05 Acq On : 23 May 2008 5:1 Sample : L505238I2 Misc : 25000	2308-I\I29656Q.D Vial: 14 2 pm Operator: Inst : GC/MS Ins Multiplr: 1.00
MS Integration Params: rteint	p
Method : D:\HPCHEM\METH Title : 524.2 Purgable Last Update : Fri May 23 14: Response via : Multiple Level	ODS\V505238I.M (RTE Integrator) Organics 54:04 2008 Calibration
Min. RRF : 0.000 Min. Max. RRF Dev : 30% Max.	Rel. Area : 50% Max. R.T. Dev 0.50min Rel. Area : 500%
Compound	AvgRF CCRF %Dev Area% Dev(min)
<pre>41 TMC 1,2-Dichloropropane 42 T NO COMPOUND 43 T NO COMPOUND 44 T NO COMPOUND 45 TM Bromodichloromethane 46 TM Dibromomethane 47 T 1,4-Dioxane 48 T NO COMPOUND 49 T NO COMPOUND 50 T NO COMPOUND 50 T NO COMPOUND 51 TM 2-Hexanone 52 TM Methyl isobutyl ketone 53 TM cis-1,3-Dichloropropene 53 TM cis-1,3-Dichloropropene 54 S Bromofluorobenzene 55 TMC Toluene 55 TM trans-1,3-Dichloropropene 56 TM trans-1,3-Dichloropropene 57 T NO COMPOUND 58 TM 1,1,2-Trichloroethane 59 TM 1,3-Dichloropropane 60 TM Tetrachloroethane 61 TM Dibromochloromethane 62 TM 1,2-Dibromoethane</pre>	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
63 I d5-Chlorobenzene 64 TMP Chlorobenzene 65 TM 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane 65 TM 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane 65 TM 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane 66 TMC Ethylbenzene 67 TM m,p-Xylene 68 TM o-Xylene 69 TM Styrene 70 TMP Bromoform 71 TM Isopropylbenzene 72 TMP 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane 73 S 1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4 74 TM 1,2,3-Trichloropropane 75 TM trans-1,4-Dichloro-2-bute 76 TM n-Propylbenzene 77 TM Bromobenzene 79 TM 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene 70 TM 2-Chlorotoluene 80 TM 4-Chlorotoluene	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

(#) = Out of Range I29656Q.D V505238I.M

.

|

Tue May 27 10:10:20 2008

		Evaluate Continui	ng Calibration Rep	port
y danar y N	Data Acq Samp Misc MS I	File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\052308 On : 23 May 2008 5:12 pm ple : L505238I2 : : 25000 Integration Params: rteint.p	-I\I29656Q.D	Vial: 14 Operator: Inst : GC/MS Ins Multiplr: 1.00
	Meth Titl Last Resp	od : D:\HPCHEM\METHODS\ e : 524.2 Purgable Org Update : Fri May 23 14:54:0 onse via : Multiple Level Cal	V505238I.M (RTE In anics 4 2008 ibration	tegrator)
	Min. Max.	RRF : 0.000 Min. Rel. RRF Dev : 30% Max. Rel.	Area : 50% Max. Area : 500%	R.T. Dev 0.50min
		Compound	AvgRF CCRF	%Dev Area% Dev(min)
81 82 83 84 85 86	TM TM T TM TM TM	tert-butylbenzene 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene NO COMPOUND sec-butylbenzene p-isopropyltoluene 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	1.165 1.158 1.314 1.398 0.000 0.000 1.868 1.857 1.426 1.390 0.609 0.610	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
87 88 90 91 92 95 95 96	I TM TM T T TM TM TM TM TM	1,4-Dichlorobenzene-d4 1,4-Dichlorobenzene n-butylbenzene 1,2-Dichlorobenzene NO COMPOUND 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane NO COMPOUND 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene Hexachlorobutadiene Naphthalene	$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1.000 & 1.000 \\ 1.615 & 1.555 \\ 3.612 & 3.611 \\ 1.268 & 1.278 \\ 0.000 & 0.000 \\ 0.056 & 0.053 \\ 0.000 & 0.000 \\ 0.801 & 0.789 \\ 0.530 & 0.549 \\ 0.856 & 0.801 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
97 98	TM TM	1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene 1,3,5-Trichlorobenzene	0.589 0.596 1.156 1.213	-1.2 109 -0.02 -4.9 110 -0.02

(#) = Out of Range I29656Q.D V505238I.M SPCC's out = 0 CCC's out = 0 Tue May 27 10:10:20 2008

Analytics Report 61408 page 0084 of 95

Quantitation Report (Not Reviewed) Data File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\052308-I\I29656Q.D Vial: 14 Acq On : 23 May 2008 5:12 pm Operator: Sample : L50523812 Misc : 25000 Inst : GC/MS Ins Multiplr: 1.00 Integration Params: rteint.p Quant Time: May 27 10:08 2008 Quant Results File: V505238I.RES Quant Method : D:\HPCHEM\METHODS\V505238I.M (RTE Integrator) Title : 524.2 Purgable Organics Last Update : Fri May 23 14:54:04 2008 15.27.08 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : V505238I Internal Standards R.T. QION Response Conc Units Dev(Min) 1)Pentafluorobenzene7.501682630804.00ug/L-0.0339)Fluorobenzene8.91965907004.00ug/L-0.0263)d5-Chlorobenzene13.711173661574.00ug/L-0.0387)1,4-Dichlorobenzene-d417.561521353104.00ug/L-0.02 System Monitoring Compounds 9.03 114 483580 4.01 ug/L -0.03 28) DB Holder #28 Spiked Amount 4.000 Range 70 - 130 Recovery = 100.25%36) 1,4-Difluorobenzene 9.03 114 483580 4.01 ug/L -0.03 Spiked Amount 4.000 Range 70 - 130 Recovery = 100.25% 54) Bromofluorobenzene 158015 4.04 ug/L -0.02 Spiked Amount 4.000 Range 70 - 130 Recovery = 101.00% 73) 1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4 18.16 152 108048 3.98 ug/L -0.02 Spiked Amount 4.000 Range 70 - 130 Recovery = 99.50% / farget Compounds 

 Paraget Compounds
 Qvalue

 2) Dichlorodifluoromethane
 1.83
 85
 68031
 1.03
 ug/L

 3) Chloromethane
 2.08
 50
 32171
 1.02
 ug/L

 4) Vinyl Chloride
 2.21
 62
 23163
 0.95
 ug/L

 5) Bromomethane
 2.73
 94
 51474
 1.09
 ug/L

 6) Chloroethane
 2.83
 64
 36085
 0.97
 ug/L

 7) t-Butyl alcohol (TBA)
 4.27
 59
 5449
 5.43
 ug/L
 #

 8) Trichlorofluoromethane
 3.18
 101
 68870
 0.93
 ug/L
 #

 10) 1, 1, 2-Trichlorotrifluoroet
 3.83
 101
 45704
 1.07
 ug/L
 #

 11) Acrolein
 3.79
 56
 730
 0.08
 ug/L
 #

 12) Acetone
 3.91
 43
 19104
 6.32
 ug/L
 #

 13) 1.1-Dichloroethene
 4.07
 61
 63974
 1.01
 ug/L
 #

 15) Di-isopropyl ether (DIPE)
 5.96
 59
 84292
 1.00
 ug/L
 # Qvalue 2) Dichlorodifluoromethane 1.83 68031 85 1.03 uq/L 99 93 99 96 98 93 99 90 -95 91 96 98 96 90 100 91 91 97. 97 93 95 96 98 94 93 99 (#) = qualifier out of range (m) = manual integration I29656Q.D V505238I.M Tue May 27 10:08:07 2008

Analytics Report 61408 page 0085 of 95

Quantitation Report (Not Reviewed) Data File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\052308-I\I29656Q.D Vial: 14 Acq On : 23 May 2008 5:12 pm Sample : L505238I2 Operator: Sample : L50523812 Misc : 25000 Inst : GC/MS Ins Isc : 25000 Integration Params: rteint.p Mow 27 10.08 2008 Quant Results File: V505238I.RES Quant Time: May 27 10:08 2008 Quant Method : D:\HPCHEM\METHODS\V505238I.M (RTE Integrator) Title : 524.2 Purgable Organics Last Update : Fri May 23 14:54:04 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : V505238I CompoundR.T. QIONResponseConc UnitQvalue30)Bromochloromethane7.54128140690.95ug/L #8031)Tetrahydrofuran7.674231371.19ug/L #6532)1,1,1-Trichloroethane7.9397626040.98ug/L9534)1,1-Dichloropopene8.1775580120.98ug/L9735)Carbon Tetrachloride8.31119505330.98ug/L9940)Trichloroethane8.5662299130.97ug/L9641)1,2-Dichloroptopane9.7963330351.02ug/L9741)1,2-Dichloroptopane9.79633410201.02ug/L9846)Dibromomethane10.2193141810.99ug/L9247)1,4-Dioxane10.2288271025.02ug/L9752)Methyl isobutyl ketone10.7158243445.93ug/L9153)cis-1,3-Dichloropropene11.7875264080.84ug/L9756)trans-1,3-Dichloropropene12.4076296761.05ug/L9557)Toluene11.7875254080.84ug/L9159)1,3-Dichloropropane12.4076296761.05ug/L9560)Tetrachloroethane12.50166414071.03 R.T. QIon Response Conc Unit Qvalue Compound 71)Isopropylbenzene15.261051519400.90ug/L9772)1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane15.5383147900.95ug/L9974)1,2,3-Trichloropropane15.7675116661.09ug/L9275)trans-1,4-Dichloro-2-buten15.908814080.90ug/L#6876)n-Propylbenzene15.90911967830.98ug/L9677)Bromobenzene15.95156290301.02ug/L#8878)1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene16.161051280431.02ug/L9879)2-Chlorotoluene16.17911250051.03ug/L9780)4-Chlorotoluene16.731191059780.99ug/L9781)tert-butylbenzene16.791051279951.06ug/L10084)sec-butylbenzene17.081051699750.99ug/L97 

(#) = qualifier out of range (m) = manual integration I29656Q.D V505238I.M Tue May 27 10:08:07 2008

Page 2

Quantitation Report (Not Reviewed) Data File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\052308-I\I29656Q.D Vial: 14 Acq On : 23 May 2008 5:12 pm Operator: : L505238I2 Sample Inst : GC/MS Ins Misc : 25000 Multiplr: 1.00 Integration Params: rteint.p Quant Time: May 27 10:08 2008 Quant Results File: V505238I.RES Quant Method : D:\HPCHEM\METHODS\V505238I.M (RTE Integrator) Title : 524.2 Purgable Organics Last Update : Fri May 23 14:54:04 2008 Response via : Initial Calibration DataAcq Meth : V505238I

Compound	R.T.	QIon	Response	Conc Unit	Qvalue
85) p-isopropyltoluene	17.31	119	127209	0.97 ug/L	97
86) 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	17.43	146	55814	1.00 uq/L	97
88) 1,4-Dichlorobenzene	17.61	146	52591	0.96 ug/L	100
89) n-butylbenzene	17.98	91	122136	1.00 uq/L	93
90) 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	18.20	146	43223	1.01 uq/L	99
92) 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropan	19.48	75	1777	0.93 ug/L	# 68
94) 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	20.95	180	26685	0.99 ug/L	
95) Hexachlorobutadiene	21.20	225	18579	1.04  ug/L	96
96) Naphthalene	21.36	128	27108	0.94  ug/L	96
97) 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	21.80	180	20173	1.01  ug/L	94
98) 1,3,5-Trichlorobenzene	19.90	180	41048	1.05  ug/L	100



Analytics Report 61408 page 0088 of 95

### VOLATILE ORGANIC INTERNAL STANDARD AREA AND RETENTION TIME SUMMARY

Instrument ID: 1 GC Column: RTX-502.2 Column ID: 0.25 mm

SDG: 61408

	IS I		1S 1		IS 2		IS 2		IS 3		IS 3		IS 4		IS 4	
12 HR STANDARD	AREA	#	RT	#	AREA	#	RT	. #	AREA	#	RT	#	AREA	#	RT #	ŧ
1.0 PPB STD	249325		7.50		585860		8.91		348982	Τ	13.71	Т	130071	П	17.56	
Upper Limit	498650		7.56		1171720		8.97		697964		13.77	Т	260142	$\square$	17.62	
Lower limit	174528		7.44		410102		8,85	Т	244287	Τ	13.65	1	91050	$\square$	17.50	
														J	I	
SAMPLE ID																
0.1 PPB STD	250256		7.50		568707		8.91		339136		13.71	Т	120362	П	17.55	
0.2 PPB STD	249233		7.49		567130		8.90	T	344377	Π	13.70	1	127991	$\square$	17.55	-
0.5 PPB STD	252109		7.49		585967	Γ	8.90	1	357343		13.71	1	132956	1-1	17.55	-
1.0 PPB STD	249325		7.50		585860	Γ	8.91	1	348982	Π	13.71	1-	130071	$\vdash$	17.56	+
2.0 PPB STD	254175		7.50		583903	Γ	8.90	$\top$	350133	$\square$	13.71	1	128324		17.55	-
5.0 PPB STD	253466		7.50	TT	583941		8.91		358730		13.71		134715		17.56	-
10 PPB STD	251356		7.50		563792		8.91	1	354449	$\square$	13.71		131274	$\vdash$	17.56	-
20 PPB STD	256284		7.50		580925		8.91	$\square$	362462	$\mathbf{T}$	13.71	-	135610	$\vdash$	17.56	-
		Γ		T				$\square$		t				-+		┥
		Γ		TT						$\square$	<u>`</u>			$\vdash$		-
		Γ							<u> </u>	$\square$						┥
		[								T		$\vdash$	<u>.</u>			┥
				11						┢──╆				┝━╋		┥
				$\mathbf{T}$				H		<b>F</b> + †						-
×				11						$\vdash$		$\square$				┥
				TT				H					5	+		┥
				$\square$					×	┝━╋						┥
				$\mathbf{T}$								┝─┤				┨
				TT												┥
				$\square$								┝─╋				┥
										-+						┥
				TT										-		+
												$\neg$				-
												-+				1
ſ			***	f t		-+			、 、	-+		+		-+-		┦
				$\Box$		$\uparrow$		-+		-+-				+		1
		1				$\neg$		-+				+				$\mathbf{I}$
						+		-+				+				1
				L	L	<u> </u>		l.	i							1

1S 1 = Pentafluorobenzene

Area upper limit = +100% IS area

IS 2 = Fluorobenzene

Area lower limit = - 30% IS area RT upper limit = + 0.06 min of IS RRT

IS 3 = d5-Chlorobenzene

S-Uniorobenzene RT

1S 4 = 1,4-Dichlorobenzene-d4 R'

RT lower limit = - 0.06 min of IS RRT

# Column to be used to flag values outside of QC limits

* Values outside QC limits

.

Tune File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\052308-I\I29644T.D Tune Time : 23 May 2008 9:35 am

Daily Calibration File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\052308-I\I29648SI.D

5.07

249325

348982

585860

130071

File Sample Surrogate Recovery % Internal Standard Responses I29645SI.D 0.1 PPB 98 98 94 99 250256 568707 339136 120362 I29646SI.D 0.2 PPB 99 99 101 100 249233 567130 344377 127991 _____ I29647SI.D 0.5 PPB 102 102 101 101 252109 585967 357343 132956 I29648SI.D 1.0 PPB 103 103 100 103 249325 585860 348982 - 130071 I29649SI.D 2.0 PPB 99 99 98 99 254175 583903 350133 128324 I29650SI.D 5.0 PPB 100 100 102 101 253466 583941 358730 134715 _____ _____ I29651SI.D 10 PPB S 99 99 102 99 251356 563792 354449 131274 I29652SI.D 20 PPB S 100 100 101 99 256284 580925 362462 135610 ------t - fails 12hr time check * - fails criteria

Created: Tue May 27 10:08:50 2008 GC MS Ins

### VOLATILE ORGANIC INTERNAL STANDARD AREA AND RETENTION TIME SUMMARY

Instrument ID: I GC Column: RTX-502.2 Column ID: 0.25 mm

SDG: 61408

	1S 1		1S 1		IS 2		IS 2		1S 3		IS 3		1S 4		1S 4	·
12 HR STANDARD	AREA	#	RT	#	AREA	#	RT	#	AREA	#	RT	#	AREA	#	RT	#
L5052381	264207		7.51		596202	Τ	8.92	1	355389	Τ	13.72	T	129533	Г	17.56	Ţ
Upper Limit	528414		7.57		1192404	Τ	8,98	1	710778	1	13.78	1	259066	+	17.62	
Lower limit	184945		7.45		417341		8.86	Г	248772	1	13.66	1	90673	$\mathbf{T}$	17.50	-
								-			L		,			
SAMPLE ID	×															
L5052381	264207		7.51		596202		8.92		355389	Π	13.72	Γ	129533	Π	17.56	
L50523812	263080		7.50		590700		8.91		366157	Π	13.71		135310	$\square$	17.56	
B5052381	265172	L	7.50		595051		8.91	Τ	365246		13.71	1	131834	Ħ	17.55	+-1
61408-1	244590		7.48		562753		,8.89		337796	П	13.70		122090	$\square$	17.54	+
61408-2	246347		7.48		563203		8.89	Π	341814	Π	13.70	1	122116	$\vdash$	17.54	
								Π								+ -
																1-1
																1-1
														ΙT		11
														H		+
							-									
																11
							s									++
																$\mathbf{T}$
																$\mathbf{T}$
																$\mathbf{T}$
												Π				$\square$
`												Τ				$\square$
										Т		T				11
										Т				$\uparrow$		$\square$
										Τ						
										ľ		T				$\mathbf{H}$
												T				
								T				1	ľ			T
								T				1		1		
										Т		1				H
				Ĺ								1		1		

IS 1 = Pentafluorobenzene

Area upper limit = +100% IS area

1S 2 = Fluorobenzene

Area lower limit = - 30% IS area

IS 3 = d5-Chlorobenzene

us-Chlorobenzene F

IS 4 = 1,4-Dichlorobenzene-d4

RT upper limit = +0.06 min of IS RRT RT lower limit = -0.06 min of IS RRT

# Column to be used to flag values outside of QC limits

* Values outside QC limits

Tune File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\052308-I\I29654T.D Tune Time : 23 May 2008 3:54 pm

Daily Calibration File : D:\HPCHEM\DATA\052308-I\I29655Q.D

5.27.08

264207 596202

2

355389

129533

MS Ins

# CHAIN OF CUSTODIES

Analytics LLC:AEL Documents LLC:Pkg Dividers:COC.doc

Analytics Report 61408 page 0093 of 95



	ANALYTICS SAMPLE R	ECEIPT CHECKLI	IST	
AEL LAB#: 61408		COOLE	R NUMBER:	
CLIENT: $TA - VT$		NUMBER	OF COOLERS:	Ì
PROJECT: MEFUDS LO	-58	DATE I	RECEIVED:	5/22/08
A: PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION:		DATE COOL	ER OPENED:	5/22/08
1. Cooler received by(initials)		Date 1	Received:	5/22/08
2. Circle one:	Hand delivered	Shipped		
3. Did cooler come with a shipping slip?	(tf so, skip 3)		V	N
3a. Enter carrier name ar	nd airbill number here:		*	1
4. Were custody seals on the outside of co How many & where:	oler? Seal Date:	Seal Name:	Y	N
5. Did the custody seals arrive unbroken a	nd intact upon arrival?		Y	Ν
6. COC#:				
7. Were Custody papers filled out properly	(ink,signed, etc)?	7	$\vec{\mathbf{Y}}$	N
8. Were custody papers sealed in a plastic l	bag?		Y	Ν
9. Did you sign the COC in the appropriate	place?		$\langle \mathbf{y} \rangle$	N
10. Was the project identifiable from the Co	OC papers?		Y	N
11. Was enough ice used to chill the cooler	? <b>(Y)</b> N	Temp. of cooler	·	1-4°C
B. Log-In: Date samples were logged in:	_5/ <i>a</i> a	_08 by:_	CR	
12. Type of packing in coolor(bubble wrap,	popcorn)	E ·	-Ce	N
13. Were all bottles sealed in separate plasti	c bags?		$\langle \mathbf{x} \rangle$	N
14. Did all bottles arrive unbroken and were	abels in good condition?	-	$\left(\mathbf{y}\right)$	N
15. Were all bottle labels complete(ID,Date,	time,etc.)		$\left( \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{x} \end{array} \right)$	N
16. Did all bottle labels agree with custody p	papers?		(Y)	N
17. Were the correct containers used for the	tests indicated:		$\left( \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{y} \\ \mathbf{y} \end{array} \right)$	N
18. Were samples received at the correct pH2	2		Y	NA
19. Was sufficient amount of sample sent for	the tests indicated?		$\widehat{\mathbf{v}}$	N
20. Were bubbles absent in VOA samples?			$\langle \gamma \rangle$	N
If NO, List sample	e #'s:	,		
21. Laboratory labeling verified by (initials):	PM	, ř	Date: 5	5/27/08

C:ANLYTICS LLC\AEL DOCUMENTS\FORMS\SMPL CHKLST\Edit 4908

Analytics Report 61408 page 0095 of 95

MISSING 524.2 DATA REVIEW CHECKLIST

03886.184.001 0508

SITE: MEFUDS	SDC
LAB NUMBER: TESTAM, Burlinsten, VT	125362
WESTON SAMPLE IDS: <u>COLOGOI-USOSU8</u>	TB

			Fr	action						
Data Reviewed				activii			G			
	1st.				1	1	- Comments			
Chain of Controlo	10-				-					
Chain of Custody	1									
Percent Solids/RLs										
Preservation/Log Sheet					_					
Holding Vime			1			+				
$  \cap  $	-									
Field Blanks		F			1					
(Trip/Equip.)	P									
Instrument/Method										
Blanks (Soils/Solids)	8									
Instrument/Method	/	+			+					
Blanks (Aqueous)										
MS/MSD			+		+					
(Soil/Solids)										
MS/MSD		<u> </u>		+						
(Aqueous)										
LCS/LCSD	JUU JUU		ł							
15105	10-1V	1				6				
Blank Spikes N 110	/	┨	+		+					
(BS/BSD) 120-140										
Lab Duplicates					<u> </u>					
Lao Dupicales										
Field Duplicates		-/			ļ					
r iciu Dupricates		V								
Sumogete Deserveria	/	<u> </u>								
Surrogate Recoveries	_									
	V				1					

Note:

Data reviewed but not commented on is considered acceptable.

 $\sqrt{1}$  = Data Reviewed

NA = Not Applicable

Qualifiers Used:

Data Reviewer Initials	
Date:	

TestAmerica South Burlington, VT

Sample Data Summary Package

SDG: 125362



May 15, 2008

TestAmerica Laboratories, Inc.

Mr. Andrew Fuller Weston Solutions One Wall Street Manchester, NH 03101-1501

Re: Laboratory Project No. 28000 Case: MEFUDS; SDG: 125362

Dear Mr. Fuller:

Enclosed are the analytical results for the samples that were received by TestAmerica Burlington on May 7th, 2008. Laboratory identification numbers were assigned, and designated as follows:

Client		Sample	Sample
Lab ID Sample ID		<u>Date</u>	<u>Matrix</u>
	Received: 05/07/08 ETR No:	125362	
751319	COLOGDI-050508	05/05/08	WATER
751320	TB-050508-01	05/05/08	WATER

Documentation of the condition of the samples at the time of their receipt and any exception to the laboratory's Sample Acceptance Policy is documented in the Sample Handling section of this submittal.

### Method 504.1:

Due to inherent software limitations, the sample identification for COLOGDI-050508 was truncated.

Due to insufficient sample volume, the laboratory was unable to perform a matrix spike and/or matrix spike duplicate analysis.

Manual integration of quantitation peaks was performed where necessary. Documentation of each manual integration was provided in the supportive documentation. Secondary review was performed by the laboratory on all of the manual integrations within this submittal.

For dual column analyses, the laboratory reported the lower of the two confirmed results for each analyte.

Any reference within this report to Severn Trent Laboratories, Inc. or STL, should be understood to refer to TestAmerica Laboratories, Inc. (formerly known as Severn Trent Laboratories, Inc.) The analytical results associated with the samples presented in this test report were generated under a quality system that adheres to requirements specified in the NELAC standard. Release of the data in this test report and any associated electronic deliverables is authorized by the Laboratory Director's designee as verified by the following signature.



May 15, 2008 Mr. Andrew Fuller Page 2 of 2

If there are any questions regarding this submittal, please contact me at 802 660-1990.

Sincerely,

Ken Penthon

Ron Pentkowski Project Manager

Enclosure

## **TestAmerica Burlington Data Qualifier Definitions**

### **Organic**

- U: Compound analyzed but not detected at a concentration above the reporting limit.
- J: Estimated value.
- N: Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound. This flag is used only for tentatively identified compounds (TICs) where the identification of a compound is based on a mass spectral library search.
- P: SW-846: The relative percent difference for detected concentrations between two GC columns is greater than 40%. Unless otherwise specified the higher of the two values is reported on the Form I.

CLP SOW: Greater than 25% difference for detected concentrations between two GC columns. Unless otherwise specified the lower of the two values is reported on the Form I.

- C: Pesticide result whose identification has been confirmed by GC/MS.
- B: Analyte is found in the sample and the associated method blank. The flag is used for tentatively identified compounds as well as positively identified compounds.
- E: Compounds whose concentrations exceed the upper limit of the calibration range of the instrument for that specific analysis.
- D: Concentrations identified from analysis of the sample at a secondary dilution.
- A: Tentatively identified compound is a suspected aldol conden sation product.
- X,Y,Z: Laboratory defined flags that may be used alone or combined, as needed. If used, the description of the flag is defined in the project narrative.

### Inorganic/Metals

- E: Reported value is estimated due to the presence of interference.
- N: Matrix spike sample recovery is not within control limits.
- * Duplicate sample analysis is not within control limits.
- B: The result reported is less than the reporting limit but greater than the instrument detection limit.
- U: Analyte was analyzed for but not detected above the reporting limit.

Method Codes:

- P ICP-AES
- MS ICP-MS
- CV Cold Vapor AA
- AS Semi-Automated Spectrophotometric

FQA009:02.18.08:4 TestAmerica Burlington



STL Burlington 30 Community Drive, Suite 11 South Burlington, VT 05403 Tel: 802 660 1990

# **CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD**





THE LEADER IN ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING

# Sample Data Summary – 504.1 Volatile

FORM 1 504.1 ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA	WESTN1 SAMPLE NO.
Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Cont	OLOGDI050508
Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SAS	S No.: SDG No.: 125362
Matrix: (soil/water) WATER	Lab Sample ID: 751319
Sample wt/vol: 34.66 (g/mL) ML	Lab File ID: 08MAY081317-R091
<pre>% Moisture: decanted: (Y/N)</pre>	Date Received: 05/07/08
Extraction: (SepF/Cont/Sonc) OTHER	Date Extracted: 05/08/08
Concentrated Extract Volume: 2(mL)	Date Analyzed: 05/08/08
Injection Volume: 1.5(uL)	Dilution Factor: 1.0
GPC Cleanup: (Y/N) N pH:	Sulfur Cleanup: (Y/N) N
CAS NO. COMPOUND (	CONCENTRATION UNITS: ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/L Q
106-93-41,2-Dibromoethane 96-12-81,2-Dibromo-3-Chlc 96-18-41,2,3-Trichloropro	0.010      U        propropane_      0.010      U        opane_      0.020      U

504.1 ORGANIC	FORM 1 CS ANALYSIS DATA SHE	ET	NI SAMPLE NO.
Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURI	LINGTON Contract	: 28000	B-050508-01
Lab Code: STLV Case 1	No.: MEFUDS SAS No.	: SDG No	.: 125362
Matrix: (soil/water) WATE	R	Lab Sample ID: 7	51320
Sample wt/vol: 34.85	5 (g/mL) ML	Lab File ID: 0	8MAY081317-R101
% Moisture: decar	nted: (Y/N)	Date Received: 0	5/07/08
Extraction: (SepF/Cont/So	onc) OTHER	Date Extracted:	05/08/08
Concentrated Extract Volum	me: 2(mL)	Date Analyzed: 0	5/08/08
Injection Volume: 1.5	(uL)	Dilution Factor:	1.0
GPC Cleanup: (Y/N) N	pH:	Sulfur Cleanup:	(Y/N) N
CAS NO. CON	CONCE MPOUND (ug/I	NTRATION UNITS: or ug/Kg) UG/L	Q
106-93-41,2 96-12-81,2 96-18-41,2	2-Dibromoethane 2-Dibromo-3-Chloropr 2,3-Trichloropropane	0.        copane_        0.        0.	010 U 010 U 020 U

FORM 1 504.1 ORGANICS ANALYSIS DAT	CLIENT SAMPLE NO.
Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Cor	MBLKE050808A
Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SA	AS No.: SDG No.: 125362
Matrix: (soil/water) WATER	Lab Sample ID: MBLKE050808A
Sample wt/vol: 35.00 (g/mL) ML	Lab File ID: 08MAY081317-R051
% Moisture: decanted: (Y/N)	Date Received:
Extraction: (SepF/Cont/Sonc) OTHER	Date Extracted: 05/08/08
Concentrated Extract Volume: 2(mL)	Date Analyzed: 05/08/08
Injection Volume: 1.5(uL)	Dilution Factor: 1.0
GPC Cleanup: (Y/N) N pH:	Sulfur Cleanup: (Y/N) N
CAS NO. COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/L Q
106-93-41,2-Dibromoethane 96-12-81,2-Dibromo-3-Ch 96-18-41,2,3-Trichlorop	e 0.010 U oropropane 0.010 U copane 0.020 U

FORM 1 504.1 ORGANICS ANALYSIS DA	CLIENT SAMPLE NO.
Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON CC	EA050808LCS
Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS S	AS No.: SDG No.: 125362
Matrix: (soil/water) WATER	Lab Sample ID: EA050808LCS
Sample wt/vol: 35.00 (g/mL) ML	Lab File ID: 08MAY081317-R071
<pre>% Moisture: decanted: (Y/N)</pre>	Date Received:
Extraction: (SepF/Cont/Sonc) OTHER	Date Extracted: 05/08/08
Concentrated Extract Volume: 2(mL	) Date Analyzed: 05/08/08
Injection Volume: 1.5(uL)	Dilution Factor: 1.0
GPC Cleanup: (Y/N) N pH:	Sulfur Cleanup: (Y/N) N
CAS NO. COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/L Q
106-93-41,2-Dibromoethan 96-12-81,2-Dibromo-3-Ch 96-18-41,2,3-Trichlorop	e0.20 loropropane0.20 ropane0.18

FORM 1 504.1 ORGANICS ANALYSIS DA	CLIENT SAMPLE NO.
Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Co	EA050808LMBS
Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS S	AS No.: SDG No.: 125362
Matrix: (soil/water) WATER	Lab Sample ID: EA050808LMBS
Sample wt/vol: 35.00 (g/mL) ML	Lab File ID: 08MAY081317-R061
% Moisture: decanted: (Y/N)	Date Received:
Extraction: (SepF/Cont/Sonc) OTHER	Date Extracted: 05/08/08
Concentrated Extract Volume: 2(mL	) Date Analyzed: 05/08/08
Injection Volume: 1.5(uL)	Dilution Factor: 1.0
GPC Cleanup: (Y/N) N pH:	Sulfur Cleanup: (Y/N) N
CAS NO. COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/L Q
106-93-41,2-Dibromoethan 96-12-81,2-Dibromo-3-Ch 96-18-41,2,3-Trichlorop	e0.023 loropropane0.023 propane0.018 J

FORM 1 504.1 ORGANICS ANALYSIS	DATA SHEET
Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON	EA050808MBS
Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS	SAS No.: SDG No.: 125362
Matrix: (soil/water) WATER	Lab Sample ID: EA050808MBS
Sample wt/vol: 35.00 (g/mL) ML	Lab File ID: 08MAY081317-R081
<pre>% Moisture: decanted: (Y/N)</pre>	Date Received:
Extraction: (SepF/Cont/Sonc) OTHER	Date Extracted: 05/08/08
Concentrated Extract Volume: 2	(mL) Date Analyzed: 05/08/08
Injection Volume: 1.5(uL)	Dilution Factor: 1.0
GPC Cleanup: (Y/N) N pH:	
CAS NO. COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/L Q
106-93-41,2-Dibromoet 96-12-81,2-Dibromo-3 96-18-41,2,3-Trichlo	hane0.20 -Chloropropane0.21 ropropane0.21

FORM I 504.1

### FORM 2 WATER 504.1 SURROGATE RECOVERY

Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Contract: 28000 Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SAS No.: SDG No.: 125362 GC Column(1): RTX-35 ID: 0.32 (mm) GC Column(2): RTX-CLP ID: 0.32 (mm)

	CLIENT	S1 1	S1 2	S2 1	S2 2	S3 1	S3 2	TOT
	SAMPLE NO.	%REC #	OUT					
		======	======	======	======	=====	======	===
01	MBLKE050808A	111	114	ĺ	İ	İ	İ	0
02	EA050808LMBS	131	96		i	i		0
03	EA050808LCS	105	98					0
04	EA050808MBS	106	106					0
05	OLOGDI050508	106	110					0
06	TB-050508-01	104	107					0
07		İİ						
08								
09								
10								
11								
12								
13								
14								
15								
16								
17								
18								
19								
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								
26								
27								
28								

### ADVISORY

QC LIMITS

S1 = 1-Bromo-3-chloropropan (60-140)

# Column to be used to flag recovery values

* Values outside of QC limits

D Surrogate diluted out

page 1 of 1

FORM II 504.1
## FORM 3 WATER 504.1 BLANK SPIKE RECOVERY

Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Contract: 28000 Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SAS No.: SDG No.: 125362 Matrix Spike - Sample No.: EA050808LMBS

COMPOUND	SPIKE	BLANK	BS	BS	QC.
	ADDED	CONCENTRATION	CONCENTRATION	%	LIMITS
	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	REC #	REC.
1,2-Dibromoethane 1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropro 1,2,3-Trichloropropane	0.020 0.020 0.020 0.020		0.023 0.023 0.023 0.018	====== 115 115 90	====== 60-140 60-140 60-140

# Column to be used to flag recovery and RPD values with an asterisk

* Values outside of QC limits

RPD: 0 out of 0 outside limits Spike Recovery: 0 out of 3 outside limits

## FORM 3 WATER 504.1 BLANK SPIKE RECOVERY

Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Contract: 28000 Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SAS No.: SDG No.: 125362 Matrix Spike - Sample No.: EA050808MBS

COMPOUND	SPIKE	BLANK	BS	BS	QC.
	ADDED	CONCENTRATION	CONCENTRATION	%	LIMITS
	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	REC #	REC.
1,2-Dibromoethane 1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropro 1,2,3-Trichloropropane	0.20 0.20 0.20 0.20		0.20 0.21 0.21	100 105 105	===== 70-130 70-130 70-130

# Column to be used to flag recovery and RPD values with an asterisk

* Values outside of QC limits

RPD: 0 out of 0 outside limits Spike Recovery: 0 out of 3 outside limits

## FORM 3 WATER 504.1 LAB CONTROL SAMPLE

Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Contract: 28000 Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SAS No.: SDG No.: 125362 Matrix Spike - Sample No.: EA050808LCS

	SPIKE	SAMPLE	LCS	LCS	QC.
	ADDED	CONCENTRATION	CONCENTRATION	00	LIMITS
COMPOUND	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	REC #	REC.
************************	=========		================	=====	======
1,2-Dibromoethane	0.20		0.20	100	60-140
1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropro	0.20		0.20	100	60-140
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	0.20		0.18	90	60-140

# Column to be used to flag recovery and RPD values with an asterisk

* Values outside of QC limits

RPD: 0 out of 0 outside limits Spike Recovery: 0 out of 3 outside limits

## CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

### FORM 4 504.1 METHOD BLANK SUMMARY

Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON C	MBLKE050808A
Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS	SAS NO.: SDG NO.: 125362
Lab Sample ID: MBLKE050808A	Lab File ID: 08MAY081317-R051
Matrix (soil/water) WATER	Extraction:(SepF/Cont/Sonc) OTHER
Sulfur Cleanup (Y/N) N	Date Extracted: 05/08/08
Date Analyzed (1): 05/08/08	Date Analyzed (2): 05/08/08
Time Analyzed (1): 1601	Time Analyzed (2): 1601
Instrument ID (1): 2404_1	Instrument ID (2): 2404_2
GC Column (1): RTX-35 ID: 0.32(mm)	GC Column (2): RTX-CLP ID: 0.32(mm)

THIS METHOD BLANK APPLIES TO THE FOLLOWING SAMPLES, MS and MSD:

	1	T.AB	DATE	DATE
	SAMDLE NO		ANALVZED 1	ANALVZED 2
0.1		EDOEOGOGIMDC		
01		EAUSUOUOLMBS		
02	EAUSU8U8LCS	EAUSU8U8LCS	05/08/08	
03	EAUSU8U8MBS	EAUSU8U8MBS	05/08/08	05/08/08
04	OLOGDI050508	751319	05/08/08	05/08/08
05	TB-050508-01	751320	05/08/08	05/08/08
06				
07				
08				
09				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
20				
21				
22				
23		·		
24		· · · ·		
23 24				

COMMENTS:

page 1 of 1

FORM IV 504.1

Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTONContract: 28000Lab Code: STLVCase No.: MEFUDSSAS No.:SDG No.: 125362Instrument ID: 2404_1Calibration Date(s): 04/25/0804/25/08Column: RTX-35ID: 0.32 (mm)Calibration Time(s): 10041326

LAB FILE ID: RF0.175: 25AP08083RF0.35: 25AP080839RF0.875: 25AP08083 RF1.75: 25AP080839RF3.5: 25AP080839-RF4.375: 25AP08083

COMPOUND	RF0.175	RF0.35	RF0.875	RF1.75	RF3.5	RF4.375
		=========	=========	=======================================	=======================================	========
1,2-Dibromoethane	16462.857	15057.143	14098.286	14948.000	13900.857	13538.286
1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane	17188.571	17160.000	16553.143	16397.143	15502.286	15754.057
1,2,3-Trichloropropane		1977.143	2182.857	2188.571	2069.428	2049.828
		=========	==========	=========	===========	========
1-Bromo-3-chloropropane	4702.857	4345.714	4372.571	4665.714	4270.286	4168.914
l		İ	İ			

Lab Name:	TESTAMERIC	LA BURLIN	IGTON	Contract:	28000		
Lab Code:	STLV	Case No.	: MEFUDS	SAS No.:		SDG No.:	125362
Instrument	: ID: 2404_	_1	С	alibration	Date(s):	04/25/08	04/25/08
Column: RT	CX-35 II	): 0.32	(mm) C	alibration	Time(s):	1004	1326

		COEFFICENT	%RSD	MAX %RSD	
COMPOUND	CURVE	<b>A</b> 1	OR R^2	OR R ²	ĺ
=======================================	=====	===========	===========	================	ĺ
1,2-Dibromoethane	AVRG	14667.5714	7.241	20.000	Í
1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane	AVRG	16425.8667	4.255	20.000	İ
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	AVRG	2093.56571	4.342	20.000	<-
	=====	================	==========	=======================================	İ
1-Bromo-3-chloropropane	AVRG	4421.00952	4.889	20.000	İ
	İ	İ	İ	Í	İ

FORM VI 504.1

Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Contract: 28000 Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SAS No.: SDG No.: 125362 Instrument ID: 2404_2 Calibration Date(s): 04/25/08 04/25/08 Column: RTX-CLP ID: 0.32 (mm) Calibration Time(s): 1004 1326 LAB FILE ID: RF0.175: 25AP08083RF0.35: 25AP080839RF0.875: 25AP08083

RF1.75: 25AP080839RF3.5: 25AP080839-RF4.375: 25AP08083

COMPOUND	RF0.175	RF0.35	RF0.875	RF1.75	RF3.5	RF4.375
1,2-Dibromoethane 1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane 1,2,3-Trichloropropane	2868.571 3771.428	3057.143 3874.286 545.714	3043.428 3797.714 660.571	3097.143 3739.428 631.428	3102.857 3772.571 651.428	2975.086 3708.800 661.943
1-Bromo-3-chloropropane	1085.714	1057.143	===== <b>==</b>   1076.571	======== 1113.714	======================================	=== <b>==</b> ==== 1102.628

Lab Name:	TESTAMERIC	CA BURLIN	GTON	Contract:	28000		
Lab Code:	STLV	Case No.	: MEFUDS	SAS No.:		SDG No.:	125362
Instrument	: ID: 2404_	_2	Ca	alibration	Date(s):	04/25/08	04/25/08
Column: RT	TX-CLP II	0.32	(mm) Ca	libration	Time(s):	1004	1326

		COEFFICENT	%RSD	MAX %RSD	
COMPOUND	CURVE	A1	OR R^2	OR R ²	ĺ
<b></b>	=====	============	==========	===========	Í
1,2-Dibromoethane	AVRG	3024.03810	2.943	20.000	İ
1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane	AVRG	3777.37143	1.498	20.000	İ
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	AVRG	630.217143	7.741	20.000	<-
	=====	================	===============================	=======================================	İ
1-Bromo-3-chloropropane	AVRG	1094.53333	2.449	20.000	i
	İ		İ	İ	i

FORM VI 504.1

Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Contract: 28000 Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SAS No.: SDG No.: 125362 Instrument ID: 2404_1 Calibration Date: 05/08/08 Time: 1521 Lab File ID: 08MAY081317-R0 Init. Calib. Date(s): 04/25/08 04/25/08 Init. Calib. Times: 1004 1326

GC Column: RTX-35 ID: 0.32 (mm)

COMPOUND	RRF	RRF 1.75	MIN RRF	%D	MAX %D
	=========	=========	_=======	======	====
1,2-Dibromoethane	14667.572	15062.857		2.7	30.0
1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane	16425.867	16648.000		1.4	30.0
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	2093.565	2246.286		7.3	30.0
=======================================	=========	=========			====
1-Bromo-3-chloropropane	4421.009	4689.143		6.1	30.0

Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Contract: 28000 Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SAS No.: SDG No.: 125362 Instrument ID: 2404_1 Calibration Date: 05/08/08 Time: 2045 Lab File ID: 08MAY081317-R1 Init. Calib. Date(s): 04/25/08 04/25/08 Init. Calib. Times: 1004 1326

GC Column: RTX-35 ID: 0.32 (mm)

		RRF	MIN		MAX
COMPOUND	RRF	1.75	RRF	%D	%D
=======================================	=========		=======	=====	====
1,2-Dibromoethane	14667.572	15006.857		2.3	30.0
1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane	16425.867	18434.286		12.2	30.0
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	2093.565	2268.571		8.4	30.0
=======================================	==========	=========			====
1-Bromo-3-chloropropane	4421.009	4961.143		12.2	30.0

Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Contract: 28000 Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SAS No.: SDG No.: 125362 Instrument ID: 2404_2 Calibration Date: 05/08/08 Time: 1521 Lab File ID: 08MAY081317-R0 Init. Calib. Date(s): 04/25/08 04/25/08 Init. Calib. Times: 1004 1326

GC Column: RTX-CLP ID: 0.32 (mm)

COMPOUND	RRF	RRF 1.75	MIN RRF	%D	MAX %D
	=========		=======		====
1,2-Dibromoethane	3024.038	3142.286		3.9	30.0
1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane	3777.371	3970.857		5.1	30.0
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	630.217	660.571		4.8	30.0
=======================================	=========			======	====
1-Bromo-3-chloropropane	1094.533	1154.857		5.5	30.0

Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Contract: 28000 Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SAS No.: SDG No.: 125362 Instrument ID: 2404_2 Calibration Date: 05/08/08 Time: 2045 Lab File ID: 08MAY081317-R1 Init. Calib. Date(s): 04/25/08 04/25/08 Init. Calib. Times: 1004 1326

GC Column: RTX-CLP ID: 0.32 (mm)

COMPOUND	RRF	RRF 1.75	MIN RRF	%D	MAX %D
1,2-Dibromoethane 1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane 1,2,3-Trichloropropane ====================================	====== 3024.038 3777.371 630.217 ======= 1094.533	======= 3251.428 4161.714 731.428 ======== 1226.857		===== 7.5 10.2 16.0 ===== 12.1	==== 30.0 30.0 30.0 ==== 30.0

#### FORM 8 504.1 ANALYTICAL SEQUENCE

Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Contract: 28000 Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SAS No.: SDG No.: 125362 GC Column: RTX-35 ID: 0.32 (mm) Init. Calib. Date(s): 04/25/08 04/25/08 Instrument ID: 2404_1

# THE ANALYTICAL SEQUENCE OF PERFORMANCE EVALUATION MIXTURES, BLANKS, SAMPLES, AND STANDARDS IS GIVEN BELOW:

	MEAN SURROGATE RT FROM INITIAL CALIBRATION S1 : 8.77					
	CLIENT SAMPLE NO.	LAB SAMPLE ID	DATE ANALYZED	TIME ANALYZED	S1 RT #	RT #
000000001111111111222222222222222222222	SAMPLE NO.  SAMPLE NO.  SAMPLE NO.  Constant of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system of the system	SAMPLE ID 	ANALY2ED 	ANALYZED ====================================	R1 # 8.78 8.76 8.76 8.77 8.75 8.75 8.74 8.75 8.74 8.75 8.74 8.75 8.74 8.75 8.74 8.75 8.74 8.75 8.74 8.75 8.74 8.75 8.74 8.75 8.74 8.75 8.74 8.75 8.74 8.75 8.74 8.75 8.74 8.75 8.74 8.75 8.75 8.74 8.75 8.75 8.74 8.75 8.75 8.75 8.74 8.75 8.75 8.74 8.75 8.75 8.74 8.75 8.74 8.75 8.75 8.74 8.75 8.74 8.75 8.74 8.75 8.74 8.75 8.74 8.75 8.74 8.75 8.74 8.75 8.74 8.75 8.74 8.75 8.74 8.75 8.74 8.75 8.74 8.75 8.74 8.75 8.74 8.75 8.74 8.75 8.74 8.75 8.74 8.75 8.74 8.75 8.74 8.75 8.74 8.75 8.74 8.75 8.74 8.75 8.74 8.75 8.74 8.75 8.74 8.76 8.74 8.74 8.74 8.74 8.74 8.74 8.74 8.74 8.74 8.74 8.74 8.74 8.74 8.74 8.74 8.74 8.74 8.74 8.74 8.74 8.74 8.74 8.74 8.74 8.74 8.74 8.74 8.74 8.74 8.74	
30 31 32						

## QC LIMITS

## S1 = 1-Bromo-3-chloropropan (+/- 0.15 MINUTES)

# Column used to flag retention time values with an asterisk.
* Values outside of QC limits.

#### FORM 8 504.1 ANALYTICAL SEQUENCE

Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Contract: 28000 Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SAS No.: SDG No.: 125362 GC Column: RTX-CLP ID: 0.32 (mm) Init. Calib. Date(s): 04/25/08 04/25/08 Instrument ID: 2404_2

# THE ANALYTICAL SEQUENCE OF PERFORMANCE EVALUATION MIXTURES, BLANKS, SAMPLES, AND STANDARDS IS GIVEN BELOW:

	MEAN SURROGATE RT FROM INITIAL CALIBRATION						
	SI: 6.58						
	CLIENT	LAB	DATE	TIME	S1		
	SAMPLE NO.	SAMPLE ID	ANALYZED	ANALYZED	RT #	RT	#
		=======================================	==========	=========	=======	======	===
01	0.175 PPB	0.175 PPB	04/25/08	1004	6.59		
02	0.350 PPB	0.350 PPB	04/25/08	1044	6.57		
03	0.875 PPB	0.875 PPB	04/25/08	1125	6.58	Í	
04	1.75 PPB	1.75 PPB	04/25/08	1205	6.58		
05	3.50 PPB	3.50 PPB	04/25/08	1246	6.57		
06	4.375 PPB	4.375 PPB	04/25/08	1326	6.57		
07	RESC	RESC	04/25/08	1447	6.57		
08	1.75 PPB	1.75 PPB	05/08/08	1521	6.57		
09	MBLKE050808A	MBLKE050808A	05/08/08	1601	6.56		
10	EA050808LMBS	EA050808LMBS	05/08/08	1642	6.56		
11	EA050808LCS	EA050808LCS	05/08/08	1722	6.57		
12	EA050808MBS	EA050808MBS	05/08/08	1803	6.58		
13	OLOGDI050508	751319	05/08/08	1843	6.58		
14	TB-050508-01	751320	05/08/08	1924	6.56		
15	1.75 PPB	1.75 PPB	05/08/08	2045	6.57		
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							
26							
27							
28							
29							
30							
31							
32							

## QC LIMITS

## S1 = 1-Bromo-3-chloropropan (+/- 0.15 MINUTES)

# Column used to flag retention time values with an asterisk.
* Values outside of QC limits.

FORM 10	CLIENT SAMPLE NO.
FOR SINGLE COMPONENT	ANALYTES EA050808LCS
Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON	Contract: 28000
Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS	SAS No.: SDG No.: 125362
Lab Sample ID: EA050808LCS	Date(s) Analyzed: 05/08/08 05/08/08
Instrument ID (1): 2404_1	Instrument ID (2): 2404_2
GC Column(1): RTX-35 ID: 0.32(mm	m) GC Column(2): RTX-CLP ID: 0.32(mm)

			RT W	INDOW		
ANALYTE	COL	RT	FROM	ТО	CONCENTRATION	RPD
	===	======	======	======	=================	*****
1,2-Dibromoethane	1	5.20	5.06	5.36	0.20	
	2	3.66	3.52	3.82	0.20	0.0
1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropa	1	17.13	17.08	17.18	0.21	
	2	16.17	16.13	16.23	0.20	4.9
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	1	13.74	13.61	13.91	0.20	
	2	10.05	9.92	10.22	0.18	11
	1					
	2					
	1					
	2					
	1					
	2					
	1					
	2					
	1					
	2					
bage 1 of 1					I	

FORM 10 504.1 IDENTIFICATION SUN	MARY
FOR SINGLE COMPONENT AND	EA050808LMBS
Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SA	AS No.: SDG No.: 125362
Lab Sample ID: EA050808LMBS	Date(s) Analyzed: 05/08/08 05/08/08
Instrument ID (1): 2404_1	Instrument ID (2): 2404_2
GC Column(1): RTX-35 ID: 0.32(mm)	GC Column(2): RTX-CLP ID: 0.32(mm)

			RT W	INDOW		
ANALYTE	COL	RT	FROM	то	CONCENTRATION	RPD
=======================================	===	======	======	=====		
1,2-Dibromoethane	1	5.18	5.06	5.36	0.023	
	2	3.66	3.52	3.82	0.024	4.3
1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropa	1	17.12	17.08	17.18	0.025	
	2	16.17	16.13	16.23	0.023	8.3
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	1	13.73	13.61	13.91	0.019	
	2	10.03	9.92	10.22	0.018	5.4
	1					
	2					
	1					
	2					
	1					
	2					
	1					
· · ·	2					
	2					

page 1 of 1

FORM 10 504 1 IDENTIFICATION SUMM	CLIENT SAMPLE NO.
FOR SINGLE COMPONENT ANAL	YTES EA050808MBS
Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Cont	ract: 28000
Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SAS	No.: SDG No.: 125362
Lab Sample ID: EA050808MBS D	ate(s) Analyzed: 05/08/08 05/08/08
Instrument ID (1): 2404_1 I:	nstrument ID (2): 2404_2
GC Column(1): RTX-35 ID: 0.32(mm) G	C Column(2): RTX-CLP ID: 0.32(mm)

			RT W	INDOW		
ANALYTE	COL	RT	FROM	TO	CONCENTRATION	RPD
	===	======	======	======	***********	======
1,2-Dibromoethane	1	5.20	5.06	5.36	0.20	
	2	3.67	3.52	3.82	0.21	4.9
1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropa	1	17.13	17.08	17.18	0.21	
	2	16.18	16.13	16.23	0.21	0.0
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	1	13.75	13.61	13.91	0.21	
	2	10.07	9.92	10.22	0.22	4.7
	1					
	2					
	1					
	2					
	1					
	2		 			
	1				·	
	2					
	1					
	2					
page 1 of 1	·	·	·			·

DATA REVIEW CHECKLIST	
SITE Maine Fuds 125508	
LAB NUMBER TESTAM 125508	
WESTON SAMPLE IDS: VEW-TAP-051508	and the second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second
TB-051508-1	

Data Reviewed	hall	2-	I	raction			
3	son.	0/	~		1	T	- Comments
Chain of Custody	V	1					
Percent Solids/RLs	-	+		-	-		
Preservation/Log Sheet	+				-		
Holding Time		<u> </u>		_			
		1					
Field Blanks		1					
(Trip/Equip.)	V						
Instrument/Method		1					
Blanks (Soils/Solids)		K					
Instrument/Method Blanks (Aqueous)	1./					1	
MS/MSD	V_		-	+	_		
(Soil/Solids)			0				1
MS/MSD							
(Aqueous)		1			1.		
LCS/LCSD	/			+			
	1				1		
Blank Spikes BS/BSD)			1			-	
ab Duplicates		<del></del>					
					×		
leid Duplicates		/					
urrogate Recoveries				3			
	V						

Note:

Data reviewed but not commented on is considered acceptable.  $\sqrt{1}$  = Data Reviewed

NA = Not Applicable

Qualifiers Used:

No qualifications vere made

Data Reviewer Initials: DPU Date: ______ TestAmerica South Burlington, VT

Sample Data Summary Package

SDG: 125508



May 21, 2008

TestAmerica Laboratories, Inc.

Mr. Andrew Fuller Weston Solutions One Wall Street Manchester, NH 03101-1501

Re: Laboratory Project No. 28000 Case: MEFUDS; SDG: 125508

Dear Mr. Fuller:

Enclosed are the analytical results for the samples that were received by TestAmerica Burlington on May 16th, 2008. Laboratory identification numbers were assigned, and designated as follows:

<u>Lab ID</u>	Client	Sample	Sample
	Sample ID	<u>Date</u>	<u>Matrix</u>
	Received: 05/16/08 ETR No:	125508	
752267	VFW-TAP-051508	05/15/08	WATER
752268	TB-051508-01	05/15/08	WATER

Documentation of the condition of the samples at the time of their receipt and any exception to the laboratory's Sample Acceptance Policy is documented in the Sample Handling section of this submittal.

## **EPA Method 524.2 - Volatile Organics**

The laboratory noted no exceptions to the method quality control requirements during the analysis of the samples referenced above.

Any reference within this report to Severn Trent Laboratories, Inc. or STL, should be understood to refer to TestAmerica Laboratories, Inc. (formerly known as Severn Trent Laboratories, Inc.) The analytical results associated with the samples presented in this test report were generated under a quality system that adheres to requirements specified in the NELAC standard. Release of the data in this test report and any associated electronic deliverables is authorized by the Laboratory Director's designee as verified by the following signature.

If there are any questions regarding this submittal, please contact me at 802 660-1990.

Sincerely. Kon Penth

Ron Pentkowski Project Manager

Enclosure

# **TestAmerica Burlington Data Qualifier Definitions**

## **Organic**

- U: Compound analyzed but not detected at a concentration above the reporting limit.
- J: Estimated value.
- N: Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound. This flag is used only for tentatively identified compounds (TICs) where the identification of a compound is based on a mass spectral library search.
- P: SW-846: The relative percent difference for detected concentrations between two GC columns is greater than 40%. Unless otherwise specified the higher of the two values is reported on the Form I.

CLP SOW: Greater than 25% difference for detected concentrations between two GC columns. Unless otherwise specified the lower of the two values is reported on the Form I.

- C: Pesticide result whose identification has been confirmed by GC/MS.
- B: Analyte is found in the sample and the associated method blank. The flag is used for tentatively identified compounds as well as positively identified compounds.
- E: Compounds whose concentrations exceed the upper limit of the calibration range of the instrument for that specific analysis.
- D: Concentrations identified from analysis of the sample at a secondary dilution.
- A: Tentatively identified compound is a suspected aldol conden sation product.
- X,Y,Z: Laboratory defined flags that may be used alone or combined, as needed. If used, the description of the flag is defined in the project narrative.

## Inorganic/Metals

- E: Reported value is estimated due to the presence of interference.
- N: Matrix spike sample recovery is not within control limits.
- * Duplicate sample analysis is not within control limits.
- B: The result reported is less than the reporting limit but greater than the instrument detection limit.
- U: Analyte was analyzed for but not detected above the reporting limit.

Method Codes:

- P ICP-AES
- MS ICP-MS
- CV Cold Vapor AA
- AS Semi-Automated Spectrophotometric

FQA009:02.18.08:4 TestAmerica Burlington



Burlington 30 Community Drive, Suite 11 South Burlington, VT 05403 Tel: 802 660 1990

CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD

۱

Lab Use Only     Lab Use Only       Due Date:     Temp. of coolers       when received (C7):     1       Tab/Sample ID (Lab Use Only)			nstitutes acceptance of TestAmerica 1 in the Price Schedule.	TestAmerica Cannot accept verbal changes. Please Fax written changes to (802) 660-1919
Ky Immy-hz	Remarks		Client's delivery of samples cor terms and conditions contained	Studge O - Oil
ANALYSIS REQUESTED ANALYSIS	- Time	05 (030	Time	ube SL - (
0: voa A/G 250 P/O voa A/G 250 P/O voa 11:1. ml P/O	Date	A Date	Date	Air bag C - Charcoal T de mouth P/O - Pla
Invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the frame invoice the	Received W. (Bignature)	Received by Cignature)	Received by: (Signature)	L - Liquid A - J
Com Add (6) Com Co Co Co Co Co Co Co Co Co Co Co Co Co	to Tto	L H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H	Time	er S - Soŭ oer/OrGlass1L
2 (1 true text 1 14 0) 14 14 0) 14 1 14 1 14 1 14 1 15 - 05 15	Date	Date	Date	r W - Wa A/G- Ami
Heport to Place Will Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street Street St	Signature)	by: (Signature)	by: (Signature)	WW - Waslewater VOA - 40 ml vial
Company: J Address: C Contact: Phone: Phone: Fax: Contract/ Quote: Sampler's Nai Proj. No.	Reinquished	Religuished t	Relinquished t	*Matrix *Container



THE LEADER IN ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING

# Sample Data Summary – 524.2 Volatile

WESTN1 SAMPLE NO. FORM 1 VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET TB-051508-01 Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Contract: 28000 Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SAS No.: SDG No.: 125508 Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 752268 Sample wt/vol: 5.000 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: 752268 Date Received: 05/16/08 Level: (low/med) LOW % Moisture: not dec. Date Analyzed: 05/16/08 GC Column: CAP ID: 0.53 (mm) Dilution Factor: 1.0 Soil Aliquot Volume: (uL) Soil Extract Volume: (uL) CONCENTRATION UNITS: CAS NO. COMPOUND (uq/L or uq/Kq) UG/L 0 75-71-8-----Dichlorodifluoromethane 0.50 U 74-87-3-----Chloromethane 0.50 U 75-01-4-----Vinyl Chloride 0.50 U 74-83-9----Bromomethane 0.50 U 75-00-3-----Chloroethane 0.50 U 75-69-4----Trichlorofluoromethane 0.50 U 75-35-4-----1,1-Dichloroethene 0.50 U 75-09-2----Methylene Chloride 0.50 U 156-60-5-----trans-1,2-Dichloroethene 0.50 U 1634-04-4-----Methyl-t-Butyl Ether 0.50 U 75-34-3-----1,1-Dichloroethane 0.50 594-20-7-----2,2-Dichloropropane 0.50 U 156-59-2----cis-1,2-Dichloroethene 0.50 U 74-97-5-----Bromochloromethane 0.50 U 67-66-3----Chloroform 0.50 U 71-55-6-----1,1,1-Trichloroethane 0.50 U 56-23-5-----Carbon Tetrachloride 0.50 U 563-58-6-----1,1-Dichloropropene 0.50 U 71-43-2----Benzene 0.50 U 107-06-2----1,2-Dichloroethane 0.50 U 79-01-6-----Trichloroethene 0.50 U 78-87-5-----1,2-Dichloropropane 0.50 U 74-95-3-----Dibromomethane 0.50 U 75-27-4----Bromodichloromethane 0.50 U 10061-01-5----cis-1,3-Dichloropropene 0.50 U 108-88-3-----Toluene 0.50 U 10061-02-6----trans-1, 3-Dichloropropene 0.50 U

0.50 U

0.50 U

0.50 U

0.50 U

0.50 U

0.50 U

79-00-5-----1,1,2-Trichloroethane

127-18-4----Tetrachloroethene

108-90-7----Chlorobenzene

142-28-9-----1,3-Dichloropropane

124-48-1----Dibromochloromethane

630-20-6-----1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane

FORM 1 WESTN1 SAMPLE NO. VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET TB-051508-01 Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Contract: 28000 SDG No.: 125508 Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SAS No.: Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 752268 Sample wt/vol: 5.000 (q/mL) ML Lab File ID: 752268 Date Received: 05/16/08 Level: (low/med) LOW % Moisture: not dec. _____ Date Analyzed: 05/16/08 GC Column: CAP ID: 0.53 (mm) Dilution Factor: 1.0 Soil Aliquot Volume: _____(uL) Soil Extract Volume:_____(uL)

CAS NO.

COMPOUND

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/L

Q

100-41-4Ethylbenzene	0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50	
87-68-3Hexachlorobutadiene 91-20-3Naphthalene	0.50 0.50 0.50	U U U

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET VFW-TAP-051508 Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Contract: 28000 Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SAS No.: SDG No.: 125508 Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 752267 Sample wt/vol: 5.000 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: 752267 Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 05/16/08 % Moisture: not dec. _____ Date Analyzed: 05/16/08 Dilution Factor: 1.0 GC Column: CAP ID: 0.53 (mm) Soil Extract Volume:_____(uL) Soil Aliquot Volume: _____(uL) CONCENTRATION UNITS: CAS NO. COMPOUND (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/L Q 1 

WESTN1 SAMPLE NO.

FORM 1

75-71-8Dichlorodifluoromethane 74-87-3Chloromethane 75-01-4Vinyl Chloride 74-83-9Bromomethane	0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50	บ บ บ
75-00-3Chloroethane	0.50	Ū
75-69-4Trichlorofluoromethane	0.50	U
75-35-41,1-Dichloroethene	0.50	U
75-09-2Methylene Chloride	0.50	U
156-60-5trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.50	U
1634-04-4Methyl-t-Butyl Ether	0.50	U
75-34-31,1-Dichloroethane	0.50	U
594-20-72,2-Dichloropropane	0.50	U
156-59-2cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.50	U
74-97-5Bromochloromethane	0.50	U
67-66-3Chloroform	0.69	
71-55-61,1,1-Trichloroethane	0.50	U
56-23-5Carbon Tetrachloride	0.50	U
563-58-61,1-Dichloropropene	0.50	U
71-43-2Benzene	0.50	U
107-06-21,2-Dichloroethane	0.50	U
79-01-6Trichloroethene	0.26	J
78-87-51,2-Dichloropropane	0.50	U
74-95-3Dibromomethane	0.50	U
75-27-4Bromodichloromethane	1.1	
10061-01-5cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.50	U
108-88-3Toluene	0.50	U
10061-02-6trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.50	U
79-00-51,1,2-Trichloroethane	0.50	U
127-18-4Tetrachloroethene	0.50	U
142-28-91,3-Dichloropropane	0.50	U
124-48-1Dibromochloromethane	3.5	
108-90-7Chlorobenzene	0.50	U
630-20-61,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.50	U

FORM 1 VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET WESTN1 SAMPLE NO.

VOLATILE	ORGANICS ANALYSIS	DATA SHEET	
Lab Name: TESTAMERIC	A BURLINGTON Con	ntract: 28000	VFW-TAP-051508
Lab Code: STLV	Case No.: MEFUDS S	AS No.: SDG	No.: 125508
Matrix: (soil/water)	WATER	Lab Sample ID:	752267
Sample wt/vol:	5.000 (g/mL) ML	Lab File ID:	752267
Level: (low/med)	LOW	Date Received	05/16/08
% Moisture: not dec.		Date Analyzed	05/16/08
GC Column: CAP	ID: 0.53 (mm)	Dilution Facto	or: 1.0
Soil Extract Volume:	(uL)	Soil Aliquot N	/olume:(uL)
CAS NO.	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/I	Q

		·
100-41-4Ethylbenzene	0.50	τī
1330-20-7	0.50	TT T
95-47-6	0.50	
100 42 E	0.50	
100-42-5Styrene	0.50	0
	6.0	<del></del>
98-82-8lsopropylbenzene	0.50	0
108-86-1Bromobenzene	0.50	U
79-34-51,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.50	U
103-65-1n-Propylbenzene	0.50	U
95-49-82-Chlorotoluene	0.50	U
106-43-44-Chlorotoluene	0.50	U
108-67-81,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	0.50	U
98-06-6tert-Butylbenzene	0.50	U
95-63-61,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	0.50	U
135-98-8sec-Butylbenzene	0.50	U
541-73-11,3-Dichlorobenzene	0.50	U
99-87-6p-Isopropyltoluene	0.50	U
106-46-71,4-Dichlorobenzene	0.50	U
95-50-11,2-Dichlorobenzene	0.50	U
104-51-8n-Butylbenzene	0.50	U
120-82-11,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	0.50	U
87-68-3Hexachlorobutadiene	0.50	U
91-20-3Naphthalene	0.50	U
		-

MBLK051608LA SDG No.: 125508 Sample ID: MBLK051608LA Tile ID: LUCB02A Received:
SDG No.: 125508 Sample ID: MBLK051608LA File ID: LUCB02A Received:
SDG No.: 125508 Sample ID: MBLK051608LA Tile ID: LUCB02A Received:
Cample ID: MBLK051608LA Cile ID: LUCB02A Received:
Tile ID: LUCB02A Received:
Received:
Analyzed: 05/16/08
ion Factor: 1.0
Aliquot Volume:(uL)
CON UNITS: J/Kg) UG/L Q
$\begin{array}{c} 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.$

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET MBLK051608LA Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Contract: 28000 Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SAS No.: SDG No.: 125508 Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: MBLK051608LA Sample wt/vol: 5.000 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: LUCB02A Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: % Moisture: not dec. _____ Date Analyzed: 05/16/08 GC Column: CAP ID: 0.53 (mm) Dilution Factor: 1.0 Soil Aliquot Volume: _____(uL) Soil Extract Volume:_____(uL) CONCENTRATION UNITS: CAS NO. (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/L COMPOUND Q

Т

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

FORM 1

100-41-4Ethylbenzene	0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50	וממממממממממממממ
99-87-6p-Isopropyltoluene         106-46-7p-Isopropyltoluene         95-50-11, 4-Dichlorobenzene         104-51-81, 2-Dichlorobenzene         120-82-11, 2, 4-Trichlorobenzene         87-68-3Hexachlorobutadiene         91-20-3Naphthalene	0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50	

FORM 1 VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

VOLATILE ORGANICS A	ANALYSIS DATA SHEET	11
Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTO	ON Contract: 2800	LA051608LCS
Lab Code: STLV Case No.: M	MEFUDS SAS No.:	SDG No.: 125508
Matrix: (soil/water) WATER	Lab S	ample ID: LA051608LCS
Sample wt/vol: 5.000 (g/m	nL) ML Lab F	ile ID: LUC01AQ
Level: (low/med) LOW	Date	Received:
% Moisture: not dec	Date	Analyzed: 05/16/08
GC Column: CAP ID: 0.53	(mm) Dilut	ion Factor: 1.0
Soil Extract Volume:(uI	L) Soil	Aliquot Volume:(uL)
CAS NO. COMPOUNI	CONCENTRATION (ug/L or ug	ON UNITS: /Kg) UG/L Q

75-71-8Dichlorodifluoromethane	1.2	
74-87-3Chloromethane	0.87	
75-01-4Vinyl Chloride	1.1	
74-83-9Bromomethane	1.1	
75-00-3Chloroethane	1.0	
75-69-4Trichlorofluoromethane	0.94	
75-35-41,1-Dichloroethene	0.96	
75-09-2Methylene Chloride	0.97	
156-60-5trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.92	
1634-04-4Methyl-t-Butyl Ether	0.97	
75-34-31,1-Dichloroethane	0.95	
594-20-72,2-Dichloropropane	1.0	
156-59-2cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	
74-97-5Bromochloromethane	1.0	
67-66-3Chloroform	0.97	
71-55-61,1,1.1-Trichloroethane	0.99	
56-23-5Carbon Tetrachloride	0.94	
563-58-61,1-Dichloropropene	0.97	
71-43-2Benzene	0.98	
107-06-21,2-Dichloroethane	0.95	
79-01-6Trichloroethene	0.93	
78-87-51,2-Dichloropropane	1.0	
74-95-3Dibromomethane	0.99	
75-27-4Bromodichloromethane	0.92	
10061-01-5cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.96	
108-88-3Toluene	1.0	
10061-02-6trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.98	
79-00-51,1,2-Trichloroethane	1.1	
127-18-4Tetrachloroethene	1.0	
142-28-91,3-Dichloropropane	1.0	
124-48-1Dibromochloromethane	0.96	
108-90-7Chlorobenzene	0.99	
630-20-61,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	1.0	

VOLATILE	FORM 1 ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA S	CL	IENT SAMPLE NO.	
Lab Name: TESTAMERIC.	A BURLINGTON Contract	<b>:</b> 28000	LA051608LCS	
Lab Code: STLV	Case No.: MEFUDS SAS No	.: SDG	No.: 125508	
Matrix: (soil/water)	WATER	Lab Sample ID:	LA051608LCS	
Sample wt/vol:	5.000 (g/mL) ML	Lab File ID:	LUC01AQ	
Level: (low/med)	LOW	Date Received:		
% Moisture: not dec.		Date Analyzed:	05/16/08	
GC Column: CAP	ID: 0.53 (mm)	Dilution Facto	r: 1.0	
Soil Extract Volume:	(uL)	Soil Aliquot V	olume:(u	L)
	CONC	אידיאסעידרא זאזדייקי		

17

CAS NO. COMPOUND

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/L Q

100-41-4Ethylbenzene	0.97	
1330-20-7m- & p-Xylene	1.9	
95-47-6o-Xylene	0.99	
100-42-5Styrene	0.99	
75-25-2Bromoform	0.93	
98-82-8Isopropylbenzene	1.0	
108-86-1Bromobenzene	0.99	
79-34-51,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.98	
103-65-1n-Propylbenzene	1.0	
95-49-82-Chlorotoluene	1.0	
106-43-44-Chlorotoluene	1.0	
108-67-81,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	0.98	
98-06-6tert-Butylbenzene	1.0	
95-63-61,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	0.98	
135-98-8sec-Butylbenzene	0.99	
541-73-11,3-Dichlorobenzene	0.99	
99-87-6p-Isopropyltoluene	1.0	
106-46-71,4-Dichlorobenzene	0.97	
95-50-11,2-Dichlorobenzene	0.98	
104-51-8n-Butylbenzene	1.0	
120-82-11,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	1.0	
87-68-3Hexachlorobutadiene	1.0	
91-20-3Naphthalene	1.0	

## FORM 3 WATER VOLATILE LAB CONTROL SAMPLE

Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Contract: 28000 Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SAS No.: SDG No.: 125508 Matrix Spike - Sample No.: LA051608LCS

	SPIKE	SAMPLE	LCS	LCS	QC.
	ADDED	CONCENTRATION	CONCENTRATION	6	LIMITS
COMPOUND	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	REC #	REC.
	=========	================	==============	======	======
Xylene (total)	3.0		3.0	100	70-130
Dichlorodifluoromethane	1.0		1.2	120	70-130
Chloromethane	1.0		0.87	87	70-130
Vinyl Chloride	1.0		1.1	110	70-130
Bromomethane	1.0		1.1	110	70-130
Chloroethane	1.0		1.0	100	70-130
Trichlorofluoromethane	1.0		0.94	94	70-130
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0		0.96	96	70-130
Methylene Chloride	1.0		0.97	97	70-130
trans-1,2-Dichloroethen	1.0		0.92	92	70-130
Methyl-t-Butyl Ether	1.0		0.97	97	70-130
1,1-Dichloroethane	1.0		0.95	95	70-130
2,2-Dichloropropane	1.0		1.0	100	70-130
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0		1.0	100	70-130
Bromochloromethane	1.0		1.0	100	70-130
Chloroform	1.0		0.97	97	70-130
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	1.0		0.99	99	70-130
Carbon Tetrachloride	1.0		0.94	94	70-130
1,1-Dichloropropene	1.0		0.97	97	70-130
Benzene	1.0		0.98	98	70-130
1,2-Dichloroethane	1.0	(	0.95	95	70-130
Trichloroethene	1.0		0.93	93	70-130
1,2-Dichloropropane	1.0		1.0	100	70-130
Dibromomethane	1.0		0.99	99	70-130
Bromodichloromethane	1.0		0.92	92	70-130
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	1.0		0.96	96	70-130
Toluene	1.0		1.0	100	70-130
trans-1,3-Dichloroprope	1.0		0.98	98	70-130

# Column to be used to flag recovery and RPD values with an asterisk

* Values outside of QC limits

## FORM 3 WATER VOLATILE LAB CONTROL SAMPLE

Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Contract: 28000

Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SAS No.: SDG No.: 125508

Matrix Spike - Sample No.: LA051608LCS

	SPIKE	SAMPLE	LCS	LCS	QC.
	ADDED	CONCENTRATION	CONCENTRATION	8	LIMITS
COMPOUND	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	REC #	REC.
==================================	=======	================	=======================================	======	=====
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	1.0		1.1	110	70-130
Tetrachloroethene	1.0		1.0	100	70-130
1,3-Dichloropropane	1.0		1.0	100	70-130
Dibromochloromethane	1.0		0.96	96	70-130
Chlorobenzene	1.0		0.99	99	70-130
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroetha	1.0		1.0	100	70-130
Ethylbenzene	1.0		0.97	97	70-130
m- & p-Xylene	2.0		1.9	95	70-130
o-Xylene	1.0		0.99	99	70-130
Styrene	1.0		0.99	99	70-130
Bromoform	1.0		0.93	93	70-130
Isopropylbenzene	1.0		1.0	100	70-130
Bromobenzene	1.0		0.99	99	70-130
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroetha	1.0		0.98	98	70-130
n-Propylbenzene	1.0		1.0	100	70-130
2-Chlorotoluene	1.0		1.0	100	70-130
4-Chlorotoluene	1.0		1.0	100	70-130
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	1.0		0.98	98	70-130
tert-Butylbenzene	1.0		1.0	100	70-130
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	1.0		0.98	98	70-130
sec-Butylbenzene	1.0		0.99	99	70-130
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	1.0		0.99	99	70-130
p-Isopropyltoluene	1.0		1.0	100	70-130
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	1.0		0.97	97	70-130
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	1.0		0.98	98	70-130
n-Butylbenzene	1.0		1.0	100	70-130
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	1.0		1.0	100	70-130
Hexachlorobutadiene	1.0		1.0	100	70-130

# Column to be used to flag recovery and RPD values with an asterisk

_____

* Values outside of QC limits

## FORM 3 WATER VOLATILE LAB CONTROL SAMPLE

Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Contract: 28000 Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SAS No.: SDG No.: 125508 Matrix Spike - Sample No.: LA051608LCS

	SPIKE	SAMPLE	LCS	LCS	QC.
	ADDED	CONCENTRATION	CONCENTRATION	alo	LIMITS
COMPOUND	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	REC #	REC.
Naphthalono	=======================================		=======================================	100	======
Napitellatelle	1.0		1.0	100	10-130

# Column to be used to flag recovery and RPD values with an asterisk

* Values outside of QC limits

RPD: 0 out of 0 outside limits Spike Recovery: 0 out of 57 outside limits

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

FORM 4 VOLATILE METHOD BLANK SUMMARY

Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTONContract: 28000MBLK051608LALab Code: STLVCase No.: MEFUDSSAS No.:SDG No.: 125508Lab File ID: LUCB02ALab Sample ID: MBLK051608LADate Analyzed: 05/16/08Time Analyzed: 1009GC Column: CAPID: 0.53 (mm)Heated Purge: (Y/N) NInstrument ID: L

THIS METHOD BLANK APPLIES TO THE FOLLOWING SAMPLES, MS and MSD:

		LAB	LAB	TIME
	SAMPLE NO.	SAMPLE ID	FILE ID	ANALYZED
	=============	=======================================	==================	
01	LA051608LCS	LA051608LCS	LUC01AQ	0904
02	TB-051508-01	752268	752268	1752
03	VFW-TAP-0515	752267	752267	1825
04				
05				
06				
07				
80				
09				
10				
12				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
29				
30				

## FORM 5 VOLATILE ORGANIC INSTRUMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK BROMOFLUOROBENZENE (BFB)

Lab Na	ame:	TESTAMERIC	A BUI	RLING	ron	Conti	ract:	28000			
Lab Co	ode:	STLV	Case	No.:	MEFUDS	SAS	No.:		SDG No	.: 1	25508
Lab Fi	ile I	D: LUC01PV					BFB	Injection	Date:	05/	15/08
Instru	ıment	ID: L					BFB	Injection	Time:	082	6
GC Col	lumn:	CAP	ID:	0.53	(mm)		Heat	ed Purge:	(Y/N)	Ν	

		% RE	LATIVE
m/e	ION ABUNDANCE CRITERIA	ABL	INDANCE
=====		======	
50	15.0 - 40.0% of mass 95	16.5	
75	30.0 - 60.0% of mass 95	42.4	
95	Base Peak, 100% relative abundance	100.0	
96	5.0 - 9.0% of mass 95	6.4	
173	Less than 2.0% of mass 174	0.0	( 0.0)1
174	50.0 - 120.0% of mass 95	73.4	
175	5.0 - 9.0% of mass 174	5.6	(7.7)1
176	95.0 - 101.0% of mass 174	72.3	( 98.5)1
177	5.0 - 9.0% of mass 176	4.9	( 6.8)2

1-Value is % mass 174 2-Value is % mass 176

THIS CHECK APPLIES TO THE FOLLOWING SAMPLES, MS, MSD, BLANKS, AND STANDARDS:

	EPA SAMPLE NO.	LAB SAMPLE ID	LAB FILE ID	DATE ANALYZED	TIME ANALYZED
01 02	VSTD0.5 VSTD02	VSTD0.5 VSTD002	LUC005V LUC02V	05/15/08 05/15/08	0912 0945
03 04 05	VSTD010 VSTD020 VSTD030	VSTD010 VSTD020 VSTD030	LUC10V LUC20V LUC30V	05/15/08 05/15/08 05/15/08	1017 1050 1123
06 07 08					
09 10 11					
12 13 14 15					
16 17 18					
19 20 21	·				
22					<u></u>

page 1 of 1
# FORM 5 VOLATILE ORGANIC INSTRUMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK BROMOFLUOROBENZENE (BFB)

Lab Name:	TESTAMERIO	CA BUR	RLING	FON	Conti	ract:	28000		
Lab Code:	STLV	Case	No.:	MEFUDS	SAS	No.:	:	SDG No.	.: 125508
Lab File	ID: LUC02PV	J				BFB	Injection	Date:	05/16/08
Instrumer	nt ID: L					BFB	Injection	Time:	0746
GC Columr	n: CAP	ID:	0.53	(mm)		Heat	ed Purge:	(Y/N)	N

m/e	ION ABUNDANCE CRITERIA	% RELATIVE ABUNDANCE
====		=======================================
50	15.0 - 40.0% of mass 95	15.7
75	30.0 - 60.0% of mass 95	41.5
95	Base Peak, 100% relative abundance	100.0
96	5.0 - 9.0% of mass 95	6.4
173	Less than 2.0% of mass 174	0.0 ( 0.0)1
174	50.0 - 120.0% of mass 95	72.5
175	5.0 - 9.0% of mass 174	5.5 ( 7.6)1
176	95.0 - 101.0% of mass 174	72.1 ( 99.4)1
177	5.0 - 9.0% of mass 176	4.6 ( 6.4)2
	1-Value is % mass 174 2-Value is % mass	176

THIS CHECK APPLIES TO THE FOLLOWING SAMPLES, MS, MSD, BLANKS, AND STANDARDS:

	EPA	LAB	LAB	DATE	TIME
	SAMPLE NO.	SAMPLE ID	FILE ID	ANALYZED	ANALYZED
	===========	=================		==========	==========
01	VSTD002	VSTD002	LUC02AV	05/16/08	0831
02	LA051608LCS	LA051608LCS	LUC01AQ	05/16/08	0904
03	MBLK051608LA	MBLK051608LA	LUCB02A	05/16/08	1009
04	TB-051508-01	752268	752268	05/16/08	1752
05	VFW-TAP-0515	752267	752267	05/16/08	1825
06					
07					
08					
09					
10					
12					
14					
14 15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					

#### 6A

#### VOLATILE ORGANICS INITIAL CALIBRATION DATA

Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Contract: 28000 Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SAS No.: SDG No.: 125508 Calibration Date(s): 05/15/08 05/15/08 Instrument ID: L Heated Purge: (Y/N) N Calibration Time(s): 0912 1123 GC Column: CAP ID: 0.53 (mm) LAB FILE ID:

RRF2 =LUC02V

RRF0.5=LUC005V

RRF10 =LUC10V RRF20	=LUC20	V	RRF3	J = LUC3	JV		
			DDE10	DDEOO	DDE20		
	RRFU.5			KKF20			
Dichlorodifluoromothano	* 0 222	0 215	0 220	0 304	0 317	0 317	3 0
Chloromethane	* 0.322	0.315		0.304		0.317	
Vinvl Chlorido	* 0.100			0.130		0.107	
Bromomothano	* 0.238	0.233	0.255	0.229	0.234	0.237	9.01
Chloroothano	* 0.112			0.135		0.123	
Trichlerofluoromethane	* 0.109	0.103		0.140			
1 1 Dighlemethere	^ 0.550	0.549	0.577	0.530	0.560	0.555	
Mathulana Chlamida	^ U.∠58	0.257		0.259	0.267	0.200	4.0
methylene chloride	^ U.289	0.200		0.201		0.273	4.4
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	* 0.330	0.303		0.296			4.5
Metnyl-t-Butyl Etner	* 0.594	0.572	0.617	0.577	0.605	0.593	3.2
1,1-Dichloroethane	* 0.589	0.585	0.625	0.580	0.596	0.595	
2,2-Dichloropropane	* 0.538	0.497	0.510	0.467	0.483	0.499	5.4
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	* 0.340	0.326	0.344	0.318	0.332	0.332	3.2
Bromochloromethane	* 0.234	0.212	0.232	0.217	0.219	0.223	4.4
Chloroform	* 0.600	0.613	0.647	0.604	0.633	0.619	3.2
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	* 0.550	0.515	0.554	0.521	0.547	0.537	3.3
Carbon Tetrachloride	* 0.514	0.523	0.556	0.529	0.560	0.536	3.9
1,1-Dichloropropene	* 0.480	0.483	0.528	0.496	0.516	0.501	4.1
Benzene	* 0.953	0.896	0.958	0.875	0.915	0.919	3.9
1,2-Dichloroethane	* 0.313	0.301	0.330	0.308	0.322	0.315	3.6
Trichloroethene	* 0.399	0.384	0.411	0.382	0.402	0.396	3.1
1,2-Dichloropropane	* 0.341	0.359	0.392	0.362	0.370	0.365	5.1
Dibromomethane	* 0.338	0.308	0.326	0.303	0.315	0.318	4.5
Bromodichloromethane	* 0.605	0.600	0.651	0.607	0.641	0.621	3.8
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	* 0.534	0.533	0.591	0.550	0.562	0.554	4.3
Toluene	* 0.648	0.606	0.662	0.608	0.627	0.630	3.9
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	* 0.439	0.446	0.482	0.452	0.475	0.459	4.0
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	* 0.272	0.262	0.284	0.266	0.278	0.272	3.3
Tetrachloroethene	* 0.499	0.525	0.544	0.511	0.527	0.521	3.3
1,3-Dichloropropane	* 0.520	0.501	0.536	0.495	0.518	0.514	3.1
Dibromochloromethane	* 0.669	0.643	0.708	0.703	0.720	0.689	4.6
Chlorobenzene	* 0.935	0.963	0.996	0.956	0.961	0.962	2.3
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	* 0.506	0.493	0.520	0.515	0.520	0.511	2.2
Ethylbenzene	* 1.478	1.435	1.500	1.464	1.476	1.471	1.6
m- & p-Xylene	* 0.565	0.552	0.580	0.569	0.563	0.566	1.8
o-Xylene	* 0.526	0.540	0.556	0.536	0.535	0.539	2.1
Styrene	* 0.910	0.902	0.944	0.921	0.921	0.920	1.7

* Compounds with required minimum RRF and maximim %RSD values.

All other compounds must meet a minimim RRF of 0.010.

#### 6A

# VOLATILE ORGANICS INITIAL CALIBRATION DATA

Lab Name: TESTAMERIO	CA BURLINGTON	Contract: 28000	
Lab Code: STLV	Case No.: MEFUDS	SAS No.:	SDG No.: 125508
Instrument ID: L	Calibratio	on Date(s): 05/15/0	8 05/15/08
Heated Purge: (Y/N)	N Calibratio	on Time(s): 0912	1123
GC Column: CAP	ID: 0.53 (mm)		

RRF0.5=LUC005V

RRF2 =LUC02V

RRF10 =LUC10V RRF20	=LUC20	V	RRF3	D = LUC3	vc		
COMPOUND	RRF0.5	RRF2	RRF10	RRF20	RRF30	RRF	% RSD
	+ 0 400	======	======	======		======	1 01
Jaopropul bonzono	* 0.496					1 520	4.0
	* 1.595					0 516	1 04
1 1 2 2 Tetrachloroothano	* 0.512		0.532		0.517	0.510	2 94
n Propylbongono	* 0.839	0.393	0.027		0.803	0.014	2.5
Chlorotoluono	* 0.420					0.410	2.0*
4 Chlorotoluene	* 0.424	0.383	0.406		0.364	0.397	4.4
1 2 E Trimethulbongono	$^{\circ}$ U.435	0.390		0.391	1 1 1 6 9	1 1 7 7	1 21
tort Butulbongono	* 1.103					1.1/	1 24
1.2.4 Trimothylbongono	* 0.353		1 1 70	1 1 5 4 6	1 1 1 4 6	1 151	1 01
and Butylbongono	* 1.137	1.150		$1 \cdot 1 \cdot 1 \cdot 1 = 1$	1, 140	1.131	1 04
1 2 Dighlorobongono	* 1.730					1.734	 ກຸດ+
	* 1 366					1 407	2.0
1 4 Dighlorobongono	* 0 9EE					1.407	1 6
1.2 Dighlorobongono	* 0.000		0.070			0.003	1 14
n Butulbongono	* U.720				1 217	1 221	1 0 1
1.2.4 Trichlorobongono	* 1.200						2 04
I, 2, 4-III Childiobelizene	* 0.397	0.537	0.580			0.574	2.2
Nanhthalana	* 0.328	0.329				0.340	2.4
	^ U.936	0.896	0.954	0.900	0.984	0.940	3.5*
1.2 Dighloroothana d4	+ 0 204						===== <i>C</i>
Toluono de	* 0.304					0.276	0.4~
Promofluorobongono	* 0.009		0.954			0.908	2.1
1 2-Dichlorobenzene-d4	* 0./00	0.708	0.727			0.724	1 7
	^ 0.495	0.400	0.496	0.4/9	0.480	0.40/	1./~
	·						
]							
	·						
		·					[
I	I		I	l			I

* Compounds with required minimum RRF and maximim %RSD values. All other compounds must meet a minimim RRF of 0.010.

page 2 of 2

LAB FILE ID:

# FORM 7 VOLATILE CONTINUING CALIBRATION CHECK

Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLIN	IGTON	Contract: 280	000	
Lab Code: STLV Case No.	.: MEFUDS	SAS No.:	SDG 1	No.: 125508
Instrument ID: L	Calibrati	on Date: 05/1	L6/08 Time	e: 0831
Lab File ID: LUC02AV	Init. Cal	ib. Date(s):	05/15/08	05/15/08
Heated Purge: (Y/N) N	Init. Cal	ib. Times:	0912	1123
GC Column: CAP ID: 0.5	53 (mm)			

			MIN		MAX
COMPOUND	RRF	RRF2	RRF	%D	8D
=======================================	========	=======	========	======	====
Dichlorodifluoromethane	0.317	0.313		1.3	30.0
Chloromethane	0.167	0.177		6.0	30.0
Vinyl Chloride	0.237	0.244		3.0	30.0
Bromomethane	0.125	0.111		11.2	30.0
Chloroethane	0.157	0.166		5.7	30.0
Trichlorofluoromethane	0.555	0.569		2.5	30.0
1,1-Dichloroethene	0.266	0.280		5.3	30.0
Methylene Chloride	0.273	0.274		0.4	30.0
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.311	0.308		1.0	30.0
Methyl-t-Butyl Ether	0.593	0.603		1.7	30.0
1,1-Dichloroethane	0.595	0.596		0.2	30.0
2,2-Dichloropropane	0.499	0.504		1.0	30.0
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.332	0.328		1.2	30.0
Bromochloromethane	0.223	0.236		5.8	30.0
Chloroform	0.619	0.626		1.1	30.0
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	0.537	0.522		2.8	30.0
Carbon Tetrachloride	0.536	0.516		3.7	30.0
1,1-Dichloropropene	0.501	0.510		1.8	30.0
Benzene	0.919	0.944		2.7	30.0
1,2-Dichloroethane	0.315	0.318		1.0	30.0
Trichloroethene	0.396	0.388		2.0	30.0
1,2-Dichloropropane	0.365	0.380		4.1	30.0
Dibromomethane	0.318	0.321		0.9	30.0
Bromodichloromethane	0.621	0.614		1.1	30.0
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.554	0.565		2.0	30.0
Toluene	0.630	0.627		0.5	30.0
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.459	0.467		1.7	30.0
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	0.272	0.276		1.5	30.0
Tetrachloroethene	0.521	0.530		1.7	30.0
1,3-Dichloropropane	0.514	0.520		1.2	30.0
Dibromochloromethane	0.689	0.672		2.5	30.0
Chlorobenzene	0.962	0.958		0.4	30.0
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.511	0.507		0.8	30.0
Ethylbenzene	1.471	1.454		1.2	30.0
m- & p-Xylene	0.566	0.560		1.1	30.0
o-Xylene	0.539	0.547		1.5	30.0
Styrene	0.920	0.932		1.3	30.0

# FORM 7 VOLATILE CONTINUING CALIBRATION CHECK

Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURL	INGTON Contract: 2800	0
Lab Code: STLV Case No	D.: MEFUDS SAS NO.:	SDG No.: 125508
Instrument ID: L	Calibration Date: 05/16	5/08 Time: 0831
Lab File ID: LUC02AV	Init. Calib. Date(s): (	05/15/08 05/15/08
Heated Purge: (Y/N) N	Init. Calib. Times: 0	912 1123
GC Column: CAP ID: 0.	.53 (mm)	

			MIN		MAX
COMPOUND	RRF	RRF2	RRF	%D	%D
=======================================	========	========	========	======	====
Bromoform	0.528	0.506		4.2	30.0
Isopropylbenzene	1.584	1.559		1.6	30.0
Bromobenzene	0.516	0.515		0.2	30.0
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.614	0.623		1.5	30.0
n-Propylbenzene	0.418	0.428		2.4	30.0
2-Chlorotoluene	0.397	0.384		3.3	30.0
4-Chlorotoluene	0.404	0.385		4.7	30.0
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	1.177	1.142		3.0	30.0
tert-Butylbenzene	0.351	0.351		0.0	30.0
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	1.151	1.141		0.9	30.0
sec-Butylbenzene	1.734	1.735		0.0	30.0
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	0.828	0.820		1.0	30.0
p-Isopropyltoluene	1.407	1.405		0.1	30.0
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	0.863	0.843		2.3	30.0
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	0.732	0.723		1.2	30.0
n-Butylbenzene	1.221	1.219		0.2	30.0
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	0.574	0.555		3.3	30.0
Hexachlorobutadiene	0.340	0.336		1.2	30.0
Naphthalene	0.948	0.918		3.2	30.0
=======================================	========	=========	========	=====	====
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	0.276	0.276		0.0	30.0
Toluene-d8	0.906	0.912		0.7	30.0
Bromofluorobenzene	0.724	0.717		1.0	30.0
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4	0.487	0.484		0.6	30.0
·					

# VOLATILE INTERNAL STANDARD/SURROGATE AREA AND RT SUMMARY

Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Contract: 28000 Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SAS No.: SDG No.: 125508 Lab File ID (Standard): LUC02AV Date Analyzed: 05/16/08 Instrument ID: L Time Analyzed: 0831 GC Column: CAP ID: 0.53 (mm) Heated Purge: (Y/N) N

		SS1 (DCA)		IS2(FBZ)		SS3 (TOL)	
		AREA #	RT #	AREA #	RT #	AREA #	RT #
	==================				=======	=========	=======
	12 HOUR STD	104064	9.08	376742	9.67	343766	12.53
	UPPER LIMIT	135283	9.58	489765	10.17	446896	13.03
	LOWER LIMIT	72845	8.58	263719	9.17	240636	12.03
	=============	=========		==========	=======	=========	======
	CLIENT						
	SAMPLE NO.						
	=======================================	=========	======	=========	======	=============	======
01	LA051608LCS	103366	9.07	385355	9.67	345769	12.54
02	MBLK051608LA	103613	9.09	375871	9.67	345780	12.54
03	TB-051508-01	99690	9.09	378375	9.68	361029	12.54
04	VFW-TAP-0515	98590	9.09	388521	9.69	355430	12.54
05							
06							
07							
08		· <u>····································</u>					
09							
10							
12							
14						<u> </u>	
14 1 c							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21	·						
22							·
20 21 22	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						

SS1 (DCA) = 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4
IS2 (FBZ) = Fluorobenzene
SS3 (TOL) = Toluene-d8

AREA UPPER LIMIT = + 30% of internal standard/surrogate area AREA LOWER LIMIT = - 30% of internal standard/surrogate area RT UPPER LIMIT = + 0.50 minutes of internal standard/surrogate RT RT LOWER LIMIT = - 0.50 minutes of internal standard/surrogate RT

# Column used to flag values outside QC limits with an asterisk.
* Values outside of QC limits.

page 1 of 3

# VOLATILE INTERNAL STANDARD/SURROGATE AREA AND RT SUMMARY

Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Contract: 28000 Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SAS No.: SDG No.: 125508 Lab File ID (Standard): LUC02AV Date Analyzed: 05/16/08 Instrument ID: L Time Analyzed: 0831 GC Column: CAP ID: 0.53 (mm) Heated Purge: (Y/N) N

		IS4 (CBZ)		SS5 (BFB)		IS6 (DCB)	
		AREA #	RT #	AREA #	RT #	AREA #	RT #
	=======================================	=========	======	=========	======	========	======
	12 HOUR STD	330621	15.44	237136	18.15	181574	19.91
	UPPER LIMIT	429807	15.94	308277	18.65	236046	20.41
	LOWER LIMIT	231435	14.94	165995	17.65	127102	19.41
	==============	=========	======	==========	=======	===========	======
	CLIENT SAMPLE NO.						
	=================	==========		==========	=======	=========	======
01	LA051608LCS	333097	15.45	234743	18.14	181625	19.91
02	MBLK051608LA	320389	15.45	224663	18.17	175240	19.93
03	TB-051508-01	332651	15.45	239650	18.15	178841	19.93
04	VFW-TAP-0515	337038	15.45	235405	18.16	174582	19.92
05							
06							
07							
80							
09							
10							
11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20						·	
21							
22							

IS4 (CBZ) = Chlorobenzene-d5
SS5 (BFB) = Bromofluorobenzene
IS6 (DCB) = 1,4-Dichlorobenzene-d4

AREA UPPER LIMIT = + 30% of internal standard/surrogate area AREA LOWER LIMIT = - 30% of internal standard/surrogate area RT UPPER LIMIT = + 0.50 minutes of internal standard/surrogate RT RT LOWER LIMIT = - 0.50 minutes of internal standard/surrogate RT

# Column used to flag values outside QC limits with an asterisk.
* Values outside of QC limits.

page 2 of 3

#### VOLATILE INTERNAL STANDARD/SURROGATE AREA AND RT SUMMARY

Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Contract: 28000 Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SAS No.: SDG No.: 125508 Lab File ID (Standard): LUC02AV Date Analyzed: 05/16/08 Instrument ID: L Time Analyzed: 0831 GC Column: CAP ID: 0.53 (mm) Heated Purge: (Y/N) N

		SST(DCT)					
		AREA #	В. Т. Т.	AREA #	RT #	AREA #	В.П. #
		=================	=======	===========	=======		=======
	12 HOUR STD	159903	20.35				
	UPPER LIMIT	207874	20.85				
	LOWER LIMIT	111932	19.85				
	===============			==========	======		
	CLIENT						
	SAMPLE NO.						
	============	=========	======	=======	=======	===============	======
01	LA051608LCS	157458	20.37				
02	MBLK051608LA	157474	20.37				
03	TB-051508-01	160388	20.37				
04	VFW-TAP-0515	165135	20.37			·	
05							
06							
07							
00							
10					/ <u> </u>		
11							
$12^{-12}$					·		
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							

SS7 (DCZ) = 1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4

AREA UPPER LIMIT = + 30% of internal standard/surrogate area AREA LOWER LIMIT = - 30% of internal standard/surrogate area RT UPPER LIMIT = + 0.50 minutes of internal standard/surrogate RT RT LOWER LIMIT = - 0.50 minutes of internal standard/surrogate RT

# Column used to flag values outside QC limits with an asterisk.
* Values outside of QC limits.

MISSING DROIGRO DATA REVIEW CHECKLIST

B-051808-01, L.	34, -	FOSO E, LS	8-057 5804 Fr	e LS5 H-OSU action	8001-0	0508-0 48-0	51, LS580W1-0508-0 52008-01, TB-0510	41-
Data Reviewed	504.1			1624	1		Comments 778 -05190	8-0
Chain of Custody	0	X			1		0	··
Percent Solids/RLs							5	<u></u>
Preservation/Log Sheet				_		1.25	$\frac{1}{2^{0}}$	
Holding Time					y T	15 V		
Field Blanks (Trip/Equip.)	1			Kib on	e for	Etra.		
Instrument/Method Blanks (Soils/Solids)			1	Kon	100	<u>) -</u>		-
Instrument/Method Blanks (Aqueous)				KIL				
MS/MSD (Soil/Solids)								
MS/MSD (Aqueous)								
LCS/LCSD		/		-				
Blank Spikes BS/BSD)	1						<i>b</i>	
Lab Duplicates					,			
ield Duplicates	M				~			
Surrogate Recoveries			<u> </u>					

G:\HOME\QUIGLEYD\DATA REVIEW CHECKLIST doc

TestAmerica South Burlington, VT

Sample Data Summary Package

SDG: 125630



May 30, 2008

TestAmerica Laboratories, Inc.

Mr. Andrew Fuller Weston Solutions One Wall Street Manchester, NH 03101-1501

Re: Laboratory Project No. 28000 Case: MEFUDS; SDG: 125630; Job: Maine FUDs

Dear Mr. Fuller:

Enclosed are the analytical results for the samples that were received by TestAmerica Burlington on May 23rd, 2008. Laboratory identification numbers were assigned, and designated as follows:

	Client	Sample	Sample
<u>Lab ID</u>	Sample ID	<u>Date</u>	<u>Matrix</u>
	Received: 05/23/08 FTR No:	125630	
		120000	
752972	LS58DW2-0508-16	05/16/08	WATER
752973	LS58DW2-0508-28.5	05/16/08	WATER
752974	LS58DW2-0508-037	05/17/08	WATER
752975	LS58DW2-0508-94.5	05/17/08	WATER
752976	LS58DW2-0508-189	05/17/08	WATER
752977	LS58DW2-0508-265	05/17/08	WATER
752978	RB-051808-01	05/18/08	WATER
752979	LS58DW1-0508-056	05/18/08	WATER
752980	LS58DW1-0508-051	05/19/08	WATER
752981	LS58DW1-0508-041	05/19/08	WATER
752982	LS58DW1-0508-034	05/20/08	WATER
752983	LS58DW1-0508-034-E	05/20/08	WATER
752984	LS58DW1-0508-029	05/20/08	WATER
752985	RB-052008-01	05/20/08	WATER
752986	TB-051608-01	05/16/08	WATER
752987	TB-051908-01	05/16/08	WATER
753973MS	LS58DW2-0508-28.5MS	05/16/08	WATER
753973MD	LS58DW2-0508-28.5MSD	05/16/08	WATER

Documentation of the condition of the samples at the time of their receipt and any exception to the laboratory's Sample Acceptance Policy is documented in the Sample Handling section of this submittal.

# Method 504.1:

Due to inherent software limitations, the sample identifications for LS58DW2-0508-16, LS58DW2-0508-28.5, LS58DW2-0508-28.5MS, LS58DW2-0508-28.5MSD, LS58DW2-0508-037, LS58DW2-0508-94.5, LS58DW2-0508-189, LS58DW2-0508-265, LS58DW1-0508-056,



THE LEADER IN ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING

LS58DW1-0508-051, LS58DW1-0508-041, LS58DW1-0508-034, LS58DW1-0508-029 and TB-051608-01 were truncated.

Manual integration of quantitation peaks was performed where necessary. Documentation of each manual integration was provided in the supportive documentation. Secondary review was performed by the laboratory on all of the manual integrations within this submittal.

For dual column analyses, the laboratory reported the lower of the two confirmed results for each analyte.

# EPA Method 524.2 – Volatile Organics:

Due to inherent software limitations, the sample identifications for LS58DW2-0508-16, LS58DW2-0508-28.5, LS58DW2-0508-28.5MSD, LS58DW2-0508-28.5MS, LS58DW2-0508-037, LS58DW2-0508-94.5, LS58DW2-0508-189, LS58DW2-0508-265, LS58DW1-0508-056, LS58DW1-0508-051, LS58DW1-0508-041, LS58DW1-0508-034, LS58DW1-0508-034-E and LS58DW1-0508-029 were truncated. As such, "LS58DW" removed from client identifiers. Additionally, point, "." was removed from samples LS58DW2-0508-28.5 and LS58DW2-0508-94.5 and hyphens were removed from samples LS58DW2-0508-28.5 and the associated matrix spike and matrix spike samples.

The original analysis of the field sample LS58DW1-0508-029 yielded a concentration of Toluene that exceeded the calibration range of the instrument. A subsequent dilution analysis was performed in order to get the response of the analyte with the highest concentration within the initial calibration range. The results for both the original analysis and the dilution analysis were provided.

Manual integration of quantitation peaks was performed where necessary. Documentation of each manual integration was provided in the supportive documentation. Secondary review was performed by the laboratory on all of the manual integrations within this submittal.

Any reference within this report to Severn Trent Laboratories, Inc. or STL, should be understood to refer to TestAmerica Laboratories, Inc. (formerly known as Severn Trent Laboratories, Inc.) The analytical results associated with the samples presented in this test report were generated under a quality system that adheres to requirements specified in the NELAC standard. Release of the data in this test report and any associated electronic deliverables is authorized by the Laboratory Director's designee as verified by the following signature.

If there are any questions regarding this submittal, please contact me at 802 660-1990.

Sincerely,

Ron Pentkowski Project Manager

Enclosure

# <u>Organic</u>

- U: Compound analyzed but not detected at a concentration above the reporting limit.
- J: Estimated value.
- N: Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound. This flag is used only for tentatively identified compounds (TICs) where the identification of a compound is based on a mass spectral library search.
- P: SW-846: The relative percent difference for detected concentrations between two GC columns is greater than 40%. Unless otherwise specified the higher of the two values is reported on the Form I.

CLP SOW: Greater than 25% difference for detected concentrations between two GC columns. Unless otherwise specified the lower of the two values is reported on the Form I.

- C: Pesticide result whose identification has been confirmed by GC/MS.
- B: Analyte is found in the sample and the associated method blank. The flag is used for tentatively identified compounds as well as positively identified compounds.
- E: Compounds whose concentrations exceed the upper limit of the calibration range of the instrument for that specific analysis.
- D: Concentrations identified from analysis of the sample at a secondary dilution.
- A: Tentatively identified compound is a suspected aldol conden sation product.
- X,Y,Z: Laboratory defined flags that may be used alone or combined, as needed. If used, the description of the flag is defined in the project narrative.

## Inorganic/Metals

- E: Reported value is estimated due to the presence of interference.
- N: Matrix spike sample recovery is not within control limits.
- * Duplicate sample analysis is not within control limits.
- B: The result reported is less than the reporting limit but greater than the instrument detection limit.
- U: Analyte was analyzed for but not detected above the reporting limit.

Method Codes:

Р	ICP-AES
---	---------

- MS ICP-MS
- CV Cold Vapor AA
- AS Semi-Automated Spectrophotometric

FQA009:02.18.08:4 TestAmerica Burlington



South Burlington, VT 05403 Tel: 802 660 1990 30 Community Drive, Suite 11 Burlington

CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD



(7001)4628-JAT



South Burlington, VT 05403 Tel: 802 660 1990 30 Community Drive, Suite 11

# CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD





THE LEADER IN ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING

# Sample Data Summary – 504.1 Volatile

	504.1 OI	FORM 1 RGANICS ANALYSIS	DATA SHE	W. ET	ESTN1 :	SAMPLE	NO.
Lab Na	ame: TESTAMERICA	A BURLINGTON	Contract	: 28000	58DW	1050802	9
Lab Co	ode: STLV (	Case No.: MEFUDS	SAS No.	SDG	No.:	125630	
Matrix	: (soil/water)	WATER		Lab Sample ID	: 7529	34	
Sample	wt/vol:	34.02 (g/mL) ML		Lab File ID:	28MA	Y081140	-R151
% Mois	sture:	decanted: $(Y/N)$		Date Received	: 05/2	3/08	
Extrac	ction: (SepF/Co	ont/Sonc) OTHER		Date Extracted	d: 05/3	28/08	
Concer	ntrated Extract	Volume: 2	(mL)	Date Analyzed	: 05/2	3/08	
Inject	ion Volume:	1.5(uL)		Dilution Facto	or: 1.	D	
GPC Cl	eanup: (Y/N)	N pH:	_	Sulfur Cleanu	p: (Y/)	N) N	
	CAS NO.	COMPOUND	CONCEN (ug/L	NTRATION UNITS or ug/Kg) UG/I	: L	Q	
	106-93-4 96-12-8 96-18-4	1,2-Dibromoeth 1,2-Dibromo-3- 1,2,3-Trichlon	nane -Chloropro ropropane_	opane_	0.010 0.010 0.021	บ บ บ	

	504.1 OF	FO RGANICS A	RM 1 NALYSIS	DATA SHEI	ST	WES	STN1 S	SAMPLE	NO.
Lab Name: TE	STAMERICA	A BURLING	TON	Contract	: 28000		58DW:	1050803	4
Lab Code: ST	LV (	Case No.:	MEFUDS	SAS No.	:	SDG N	<b>io.:</b> 1	L25630	
Matrix: (soi	l/water)	WATER			Lab Samp	le ID:	75298	32	
Sample wt/vo	1:	34.20 (g	/mL) ML		Lab File	e ID:	28MA	2081140	-R141
% Moisture:		decanted	: (Y/N)_		Date Rec	eived:	05/23	8/08	
Extraction:	(SepF/Co	ont/Sonc)	OTHER		Date Ext	racted	05/2	28/08	
Concentrated	Extract	Volume:	2	(mL)	Date Ana	lyzed:	05/28	3/08	
Injection Vo	lume:	1.5(uL)			Dilution	Factor	: 1.0	)	
GPC Cleanup:	(Y/N)	N	рН:	_	Sulfur C	leanup	(Y/1	1) N	
CAS N	0.	COMPOU	ND	CONCEN (ug/L	NTRATION or ug/Kg	UNITS: ) UG/L		Q	
106-9 96-12 96-18	3-4	1,2-Di 1,2-Di 1,2,3-	bromoeth bromo-3- Trichlon	nane -Chloropro ropropane_	pane_		).010 ).010 ).020	บ บ บ	

1

FORM 1 504.1 ORGANICS ANALYSIS DAT	WESTN1 SAMPLE NO.
Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Con	58DW10508041
Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SA	AS No.: SDG No.: 125630
Matrix: (soil/water) WATER	Lab Sample ID: 752981
Sample wt/vol: 34.80 (g/mL) ML	Lab File ID: 28MAY081140-R131
<pre>% Moisture: decanted: (Y/N)</pre>	Date Received: 05/23/08
Extraction: (SepF/Cont/Sonc) OTHER	Date Extracted: 05/28/08
Concentrated Extract Volume: 2(mL)	Date Analyzed: 05/28/08
Injection Volume: 1.5(uL)	Dilution Factor: 1.0
GPC Cleanup: (Y/N) N pH:	Sulfur Cleanup: (Y/N) N
CAS NO. COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/L Q
106-93-41,2-Dibromoethane 96-12-81,2-Dibromo-3-Ch 96-18-41,2,3-Trichlorop	e 0.010 U loropropane 0.010 U ropane 0.020 U

FORM 1 504.1 ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SH	WESTN1 SAMPLE NO.
Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Contract	58DW10508051
Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SAS No.	SDG No.: 125630
Matrix: (soil/water) WATER	Lab Sample ID: 752980
Sample wt/vol: 34.37 (g/mL) ML	Lab File ID: 28MAY081140-R121
<pre>% Moisture: decanted: (Y/N)</pre>	Date Received: 05/23/08
Extraction: (SepF/Cont/Sonc) OTHER	Date Extracted: 05/28/08
Concentrated Extract Volume: 2(mL)	Date Analyzed: 05/28/08
Injection Volume: 1.5(uL)	Dilution Factor: 1.0
GPC Cleanup: (Y/N) N pH:	Sulfur Cleanup: (Y/N) N
CAS NO. COMPOUND (ug/I	ENTRATION UNITS: L or ug/Kg) UG/L Q
106-93-41,2-Dibromoethane 96-12-81,2-Dibromo-3-Chlorop 96-18-41,2,3-Trichloropropane	copane_         0.010         U           0.010         U         0.010         U           0.020         U         U         U

	504.1 OF	FORM 1 RGANICS ANALYSIS	DATA SHE	У СТ	ESTN1	SAMPLE	NO.
Lab Na	ume: TESTAMERICA	A BURLINGTON	Contract	: 28000	58DW	1050805	6
Lab Co	de: STLV (	Case No.: MEFUDS	SAS No.:	: SDG	No.:	125630	
Matrix	: (soil/water)	WATER		Lab Sample ID	: 7529	79	
Sample	e wt/vol:	34.47 (g/mL) ML		Lab File ID:	28MA	Y081140	-R111
% Mois	sture:	decanted: $(Y/N)$		Date Received	: 05/2	3/08	
Extrac	tion: (SepF/Co	ont/Sonc) OTHER		Date Extracte	d: 05/	28/08	
Concen	trated Extract	Volume: 2	(mL)	Date Analyzed	: 05/2	8/08	
Inject	ion Volume:	1.5(uL)		Dilution Fact	or: 1.	0	
GPC Cl	eanup: (Y/N)	N pH:	-	Sulfur Cleanu	p: (Y/)	N) N	
	CAS NO.	COMPOUND	CONCEN (ug/L	NTRATION UNITS or ug/Kg) UG/	: L	Q	
	106-93-4 96-12-8 96-18-4	1,2-Dibromoeth 1,2-Dibromo-3- 1,2,3-Trichlor	nane -Chloropro copropane_	opane_	0.010 0.010 0.020	บ บ บ	

	504.1 OF	FORM 1 RGANICS ANALYSIS	DATA SHE	W ET	ESTN1 :	SAMPLE	NO.
Lab Nam	ne: TESTAMERICA	A BURLINGTON	Contract	: 28000	58DW	2050803	57
Lab Cod	le: STLV (	Case No.: MEFUDS	SAS No.	: SDG	No.:	125630	
Matrix:	(soil/water)	WATER		Lab Sample ID	: 7529	74	
Sample	wt/vol:	34.14 (g/mL) ML		Lab File ID:	28MA	Y081140	)-R051
% Moist	ure:	decanted: $(Y/N)$		Date Received	: 05/2	3/08	
Extract	ion: (SepF/Co	ont/Sonc) OTHER		Date Extracte	d: 05/	28/08	
Concent	rated Extract	Volume: 2	(mL)	Date Analyzed	: 05/2	8/08	
Injecti	on Volume:	1.5(uL)		Dilution Factor: 1.0			
GPC Cle	eanup: (Y/N)	N pH:	_	Sulfur Cleanu	p: (Y/1	N) N	
	CAS NO.	COMPOUND	CONCEI (ug/L	NTRATION UNITS or ug/Kg) UG/	: L	Q	
	106-93-4 96-12-8 96-18-4	1,2-Dibromoeth 1,2-Dibromo-3- 1,2,3-Trichlon	nane -Chloropro ropropane_	opane_	0.010 0.010 0.021	บ บ บ	

FORM 1 504.1 ORGANICS ANALYSIS DA	WESTN1 SAMPLE NO.
Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Co	58DW2050816
Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS S	AS No.: SDG No.: 125630
Matrix: (soil/water) WATER	Lab Sample ID: 752972
Sample wt/vol: 34.56 (g/mL) ML	Lab File ID: 28MAY081140-R011
<pre>% Moisture: decanted: (Y/N)</pre>	Date Received: 05/23/08
Extraction: (SepF/Cont/Sonc) OTHER	Date Extracted: 05/28/08
Concentrated Extract Volume: 2(mL	) Date Analyzed: 05/28/08
Injection Volume: 1.5(uL)	Dilution Factor: 1.0
GPC Cleanup: (Y/N) N pH:	Sulfur Cleanup: (Y/N) N
CAS NO. COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/L Q
106-93-41,2-Dibromoethan 96-12-81,2-Dibromo-3-Ch 96-18-41,2,3-Trichlorop	e 0.010 U loropropane 0.010 U ropane 0.020 U

FORM 1 504.1 ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SI	WESTN1 SAMPLE NO.				
Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Contrac	58DW20508189				
Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SAS No	o.: SDG No.: 125630				
Matrix: (soil/water) WATER	Lab Sample ID: 752976				
Sample wt/vol: 35.24 (g/mL) ML	Lab File ID: 28MAY081140-R091				
<pre>% Moisture: decanted: (Y/N)</pre>	Date Received: 05/23/08				
Extraction: (SepF/Cont/Sonc) OTHER	Date Extracted: 05/28/08				
Concentrated Extract Volume: 2(mL)	Date Analyzed: 05/28/08				
Injection Volume: 1.5(uL)	Dilution Factor: 1.0				
GPC Cleanup: (Y/N) N pH:	Sulfur Cleanup: (Y/N) N				
CONCENTRATION UNITS: CAS NO. COMPOUND (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/L Q					
106-93-41,2-Dibromoethane 96-12-81,2-Dibromo-3-Chlorop 96-18-41,2,3-Trichloropropa	0.0099 U propane0.0099 U ne0.020 U				

	504.1 OF	FOI RGANICS AI	RM 1 NALYSIS	DATA SHE	ET	WES	STN1 S	SAMPLE	NO.
Lab Name: 7	ESTAMERICA	A BURLING	FON	Contract	: 28000		58DW2	2050826	5
Lab Code: S	STLV (	Case No.:	MEFUDS	SAS No.	:	SDG N	Io.: 1	25630	
Matrix: (so	oil/water)	WATER			Lab Sam	ple ID:	75297	77	
Sample wt/v	vol:	34.20 (g,	/mL) ML		Lab Fil	e ID:	28MAY	081140	-R101
% Moisture:		decanted	: (Y/N)_		Date Re	ceived:	05/23	8/08	
Extraction:	(SepF/Co	ont/Sonc)	OTHER		Date Ex	tracted	05/2	28/08	
Concentrate	ed Extract	Volume:	2	(mL)	Date An	alyzed:	05/28	3/08	
Injection N	Volume:	1.5(uL)			Dilutio	n Factor	c: 1.0	)	
GPC Cleanup	): (Y/N)	Ν	рН:	_	Sulfur	Cleanup:	(Y/N	1) N	
CAS	NO.	COMPOU	ND	CONCEI (ug/L	NTRATION or ug/K	UNITS: g) UG/L		Q	
106- 96-1 96-1	- 93 - 4	1,2-Dil 1,2-Dil 1,2,3-	oromoeth oromo-3- Frichlon	nane -Chloropro ropropane_	opane_	()	).010 ).010 ).020	ប ប ប	

FORM 1 504.1 ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATE	WESTN1 SAMPLE NO.
Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Cont	58DW20508945
Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SA	S No.: SDG No.: 125630
Matrix: (soil/water) WATER	Lab Sample ID: 752975
Sample wt/vol: 34.32 (g/mL) ML	Lab File ID: 28MAY081140-R061
<pre>% Moisture: decanted: (Y/N)</pre>	Date Received: 05/23/08
Extraction: (SepF/Cont/Sonc) OTHER	Date Extracted: 05/28/08
Concentrated Extract Volume: 2(mL)	Date Analyzed: 05/28/08
Injection Volume: 1.5(uL)	Dilution Factor: 1.0
GPC Cleanup: (Y/N) N pH:	Sulfur Cleanup: (Y/N) N
CAS NO. COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/L Q
106-93-41,2-Dibromoethane 96-12-81,2-Dibromo-3-Chl 96-18-41,2,3-Trichloropro	0.010 U oropropane0.010 U opane0.020 U

FORM 1 504.1 ORGANICS ANALYSIS DA	TA SHEET
Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Co	TB05160801
Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS S	AS No.: SDG No.: 125630
Matrix: (soil/water) WATER	Lab Sample ID: 752986
Sample wt/vol: 34.15 (g/mL) ML	Lab File ID: 28MAY081140-R161
<pre>% Moisture: decanted: (Y/N)</pre>	Date Received: 05/23/08
Extraction: (SepF/Cont/Sonc) OTHER	Date Extracted: 05/28/08
Concentrated Extract Volume: 2(mL	) Date Analyzed: 05/28/08
Injection Volume: 1.5(uL)	Dilution Factor: 1.0
GPC Cleanup: (Y/N) N pH:	Sulfur Cleanup: (Y/N) N
CAS NO. COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/L Q
106-93-41,2-Dibromoethan 96-12-81,2-Dibromo-3-Ch 96-18-41,2,3-Trichlorop	e0.010 U loropropane0.010 U propane0.020 U

FORM 1 504.1 ORGANICS ANALYSIS DA	WESTN1 SAMPLE NO.
Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Co	W20508285
Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS S	SAS No.: SDG No.: 125630
Matrix: (soil/water) WATER	Lab Sample ID: 752973
Sample wt/vol: 33.68 (g/mL) ML	Lab File ID: 28MAY081140-R021
% Moisture: decanted: (Y/N)	Date Received: 05/23/08
Extraction: (SepF/Cont/Sonc) OTHER	Date Extracted: 05/28/08
Concentrated Extract Volume: 2(mI	Date Analyzed: 05/28/08
Injection Volume: 1.5(uL)	Dilution Factor: 1.0
GPC Cleanup: (Y/N) N pH:	Sulfur Cleanup: (Y/N) N
CAS NO. COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/L Q
106-93-41,2-Dibromoethar 96-12-81,2-Dibromo-3-Ch 96-18-41,2,3-Trichlorop	ne0.010 U nloropropane0.010 U propane0.021 U

FOI 504.1 ORGANICS AN	RM 1 NALYSIS DATA SHE	CL	IENT SAMPLE NO.
Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLING	FON Contract	: 28000	MBLKE052808A
Lab Code: STLV Case No.:	MEFUDS SAS No.	: SDG	No.: 125630
Matrix: (soil/water) WATER		Lab Sample ID:	MBLKE052808A
Sample wt/vol: 35.00 (g,	/mL) ML	Lab File ID:	28MAY080706-R041
% Moisture: decanted	: (Y/N)	Date Received:	
Extraction: (SepF/Cont/Sonc)	OTHER	Date Extracted	: 05/28/08
Concentrated Extract Volume:	2(mL)	Date Analyzed:	05/28/08
Injection Volume: 1.5(uL)		Dilution Facto	r: 1.0
GPC Cleanup: (Y/N) N	рН:	Sulfur Cleanup	: (Y/N) N
CAS NO. COMPOUN	CONCEN ND (ug/L	NTRATION UNITS: or ug/Kg) UG/L	Q
106-93-41,2-Dik 96-12-81,2-Dik 96-18-41,2,3-7	promoethane promo-3-Chloropro Frichloropropane_	opane_	0.010 0.010 0.020 U

FORM 1 504.1 ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA S	WESTN1 SAMPLE NO.
Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Contra	W20508285MS
Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SAS No	o.: SDG No.: 125630
Matrix: (soil/water) WATER	Lab Sample ID: 752973MS
Sample wt/vol: 34.16 (g/mL) ML	Lab File ID: 28MAY081140-R031
<pre>% Moisture: decanted: (Y/N)</pre>	Date Received: 05/23/08
Extraction: (SepF/Cont/Sonc) OTHER	Date Extracted: 05/28/08
Concentrated Extract Volume: 2(mL)	Date Analyzed: 05/28/08
Injection Volume: 1.5(uL)	Dilution Factor: 1.0
GPC Cleanup: (Y/N) N pH:	Sulfur Cleanup: (Y/N) N
CAS NO. COMPOUND (ug	CENTRATION UNITS: /L or ug/Kg) UG/L Q
106-93-41,2-Dibromoethane 96-12-81,2-Dibromo-3-Chloro 96-18-41,2,3-Trichloropropa	propane_         0.094           ne_         0.084

	504.1 O	FORM 1 RGANICS ANALYSIS	DATA SHEE	ST	WESTN1	SAMPLE NO.
Lab Na	ame: TESTAMERICA	A BURLINGTON	Contract	: 28000	W205	08285MSD
Lab Co	ode: STLV (	Case No.: MEFUDS	SAS No.:	: SI	G No.:	125630
Matrix	: (soil/water)	WATER		Lab Sample I	D: 7529	73MD
Sample	wt/vol:	34.57 (g/mL) ML		Lab File ID:	28MA	Y081140-R041
% Mois	sture:	decanted: $(Y/N)$		Date Receive	ed: 05/2	3/08
Extrac	ction: (SepF/Co	ont/Sonc) OTHER		Date Extract	ed: 05/	28/08
Concer	ntrated Extract	Volume: 2	(mL)	Date Analyze	ed: 05/2	8/08
Inject	ion Volume:	1.5(uL)		Dilution Fac	tor: 1.	0
GPC Cl	eanup: (Y/N)	N pH:	-	Sulfur Clear	up: (Y/)	N) N
	CAS NO.	COMPOUND	CONCEN (ug/L	NTRATION UNIT or ug/Kg) UG	CS: S/L	Q
	106-93-4 96-12-8 96-18-4	1,2-Dibromoeth 1,2-Dibromo-3- 1,2,3-Trichlor	nane -Chloropro ropropane_	opane_	0.093 0.10 0.088	

FORM 1	CLIENT SAMPLE NO.
Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Cont	EA052808LCS
Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SAS	S No.: SDG No.: 125630
Matrix: (soil/water) WATER	Lab Sample ID: EA052808LCS
Sample wt/vol: 35.00 (g/mL) ML	Lab File ID: 28MAY080706-R061
<pre>% Moisture: decanted: (Y/N)</pre>	Date Received:
Extraction: (SepF/Cont/Sonc) OTHER	Date Extracted: 05/28/08
Concentrated Extract Volume: 2(mL)	Date Analyzed: 05/28/08
Injection Volume: 1.5(uL)	Dilution Factor: 1.0
GPC Cleanup: (Y/N) N pH:	Sulfur Cleanup: (Y/N) N
CAS NO. COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/L Q
106-93-41,2-Dibromoethane 96-12-81,2-Dibromo-3-Chlo 96-18-41,2,3-Trichloropro	0.18           0.19           0.16

FORM 1 504.1 ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA	CLIENT SAMPLE NO.
Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Cont	EA052808LMBS
Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SAS	No.: SDG No.: 125630
Matrix: (soil/water) WATER	Lab Sample ID: EA052808LMBS
Sample wt/vol: 35.00 (g/mL) ML	Lab File ID: 28MAY080706-R051
<pre>% Moisture: decanted: (Y/N)</pre>	Date Received:
Extraction: (SepF/Cont/Sonc) OTHER	Date Extracted: 05/28/08
Concentrated Extract Volume: 2(mL)	Date Analyzed: 05/28/08
Injection Volume: 1.5(uL)	Dilution Factor: 1.0
GPC Cleanup: (Y/N) N pH:	Sulfur Cleanup: (Y/N) N
CAS NO. COMPOUND (	ONCENTRATION UNITS: ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/L Q
106-93-41,2-Dibromoethane_	0.017

	0.027	
96-12-81,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane	0.020	
96-18-41,2,3-Trichloropropane	0.017	J

	504 1 0	FORM 1		С	LIENT SAMPLE NO.
	504.1 01	RGANICS ANALISIS	DATA SHEE	21.	EA082808MBS
Lab Na	ame: TESTAMERICA	A BURLINGTON	Contract	: 28000	
Lab Co	ode: STLV (	Case No.: MEFUDS	SAS No.	: SDG	No.: 125630
Matrix	: (soil/water)	WATER		Lab Sample ID	: EA082808MBS
Sample	e wt/vol:	35.00 (g/mL) ML		Lab File ID:	28MAY080706-R071
% Mois	sture:	decanted: $(Y/N)$		Date Received	:
Extrac	ction: (SepF/Co	ont/Sonc) OTHER		Date Extracte	d: 05/28/08
Concer	ntrated Extract	Volume: 2	(mL)	Date Analyzed	: 05/28/08
Inject	ion Volume:	1.5(uL)		Dilution Fact	or: 1.0
GPC CI	leanup: (Y/N)	N pH:	_	Sulfur Cleanu	p: (Y/N) N
	CAS NO.	COMPOUND	CONCEN (ug/L	NTRATION UNITS or ug/Kg) UG/	: L Q
	106-93-4 96-12-8 96-18-4	1,2-Dibromoeth 1,2-Dibromo-3- 1,2,3-Trichlon	nane -Chloropro ropropane_	opane_	0.17 0.19 0.17

### FORM 2 WATER 504.1 SURROGATE RECOVERY

Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Contract: 28000 Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SAS No.: SDG No.: 125630 GC Column(1): RTX-35 ID: 0.32 (mm) GC Column(2): RTX-CLP ID: 0.32 (mm)

	CLIENT	S1	1	S1	2	S2	1	S2	2	S3	1.	S3	2	TOT
	SAMPLE NO.	%REC	#	%REC	#	%REC	#	%REC	#	%REC	#	%REC	#	OUT
	================	=====	=	=====	==	=====	==	=====	= =	====:	==	=====	==	===
01	MBLKE052808A	103		7	3									0
02	EA052808LMBS	115		72	2									0
03	EA052808LCS	104	ĺ	80	0									0
04	EA082808MBS	102	ĺ	76	5									0
05	58DW2050816	104	ĺ	75	5									0
06	W20508285	100		7'	7									0
07	W20508285MS	111		78	3									0
08	W20508285MSD	114		83	1									0
09	58DW20508037	105		85	5									0
10	58DW20508945	104		82	2									0
11	58DW20508189	101	ĺ	81	1									0
12	58DW20508265	103		88	в									0
13	58DW10508056	102	ĺ	80	5									0
14	58DW10508051	114	ĺ	90	5									0
15	58DW10508041	103	ĺ	83	3									0
16	58DW10508034	106	ĺ	90	D									0
17	58DW10508029	107	ĺ	92	2									0
18	TB05160801	103		89	9				_					0
19		ĺ	ĺ										_	
20														
21														
22														
23					_									
24			_		_									
25			_										_	
26			-		_									
27			-				_		_				_	
28					_									

#### ADVISORY

QC LIMITS

S1 = 1-Bromo-3-chloropropan (60-140)

# Column to be used to flag recovery values
* Values outside of QC limits

D Surrogate diluted out

page 1 of 1

FORM II 504.1

# WATER 504.1 MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE RECOVERY

Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Contract: 28000 Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SAS No.: SDG No.: 125630 Matrix Spike - WESTN1 Sample No.: W20508285

	SPIKE	SAMPLE CONCENTRATION	MS CONCENTRATION	MS %	QC. LIMITS
COMPOUND	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	REC #	REC.
	=========				======
1,2-Dibromoethane	0.10	0.0	0.094	94	65-135
1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropro	0.10	0.0	0.097	97	65-135
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	0.10	0.0	0.084	84	65-135

	SPIKE	MSD	MSD			
	ADDED	CONCENTRATION	00	6	QC LIMITS	
COMPOUND	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	REC #	RPD #	RPD	REC.
			=====	=====	======	======
1,2-Dibromoethane	0.10	0.093	93	1	30	65-135
1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropro	0.10	0.10	100	3	40	65-135
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	0.10	0.088	88	5	40	65-135

# Column to be used to flag recovery and RPD values with an asterisk

* Values outside of QC limits

RPD: 0 out of 3 outside limits Spike Recovery: 0 out of 6 outside limits

COMMENTS:
#### FORM 3 WATER 504.1 BLANK SPIKE RECOVERY

Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Contract: 28000 Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SAS No.: SDG No.: 125630 Matrix Spike - Sample No.: EA052808LMBS

COMPOUND	SPIKE	BLANK	BS	BS	QC.
	ADDED	CONCENTRATION	CONCENTRATION	%	LIMITS
	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	REC #	REC.
1,2-Dibromoethane 1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropro 1,2,3-Trichloropropane	0.020 0.020 0.020 0.020		0.017 0.020 0.017	===== 85 100 85	===== 60-140 60-140 60-140

# Column to be used to flag recovery and RPD values with an asterisk

* Values outside of QC limits

RPD: 0 out of 0 outside limits Spike Recovery: 0 out of 3 outside limits

COMMENTS:

#### FORM 3 WATER 504.1 BLANK SPIKE RECOVERY

Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Contract: 28000 Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SAS No.: SDG No.: 125630 Matrix Spike - Sample No.: EA082808MBS

COMPOUND	SPIKE	BLANK	BS	BS	QC.
	ADDED	CONCENTRATION	CONCENTRATION	%	LIMITS
	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	REC #	REC.
1,2-Dibromoethane 1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropro 1,2,3-Trichloropropane	0.20 0.20 0.20 0.20		0.17 0.19 0.17	====== 85 95 85	70-130 70-130 70-130

# Column to be used to flag recovery and RPD values with an asterisk

* Values outside of QC limits

RPD: 0 out of 0 outside limits Spike Recovery: 0 out of 3 outside limits

COMMENTS:

#### FORM 3 WATER 504.1 LAB CONTROL SAMPLE

Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Contract: 28000 Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SAS No.: SDG No.: 125630 Matrix Spike - Sample No.: EA052808LCS

	SPIKE ADDED	SAMPLE	LCS	LCS	QC.
COMPOUND	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	REC #	REC.
=======================================				======	======
1,2-Dibromoethane	0.20		0.18	90	60-140
1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropro	0.20		0.19	95	60-140
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	0.20		0.16	80	60-140

# Column to be used to flag recovery and RPD values with an asterisk

* Values outside of QC limits

RPD: 0 out of 0 outside limits Spike Recovery: 0 out of 3 outside limits

COMMENTS:

#### FORM 4 504.1 METHOD BLANK SUMMARY

MBLKE052808A Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Contract: 28000 Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SAS No.: SDG No.: 125630 Lab File ID: 28MAY080706-R041 Lab Sample ID: MBLKE052808A Extraction: (SepF/Cont/Sonc) OTHER Matrix (soil/water) WATER Date Extracted: 05/28/08 Sulfur Cleanup (Y/N) N Date Analyzed (1): 05/28/08 Date Analyzed (2): 05/28/08 Time Analyzed (1): 0909 Time Analyzed (2): 0909 Instrument ID (1): 2404 1 Instrument ID (2): 2404 2 GC Column (1): RTX-35 ID: 0.32(mm) GC Column (2): RTX-CLP ID: 0.32(mm)

THIS METHOD BLANK APPLIES TO THE FOLLOWING SAMPLES, MS and MSD:

		LAB	DATE	DATE
	SAMPLE NO.	SAMPLE ID	ANALYZED 1	ANALYZED 2
			==========	==========
01	EA052808LMBS	EA052808LMBS	05/28/08	05/28/08
02	EA052808LCS	EA052808LCS	05/28/08	05/28/08
03	EA082808MBS	EA082808MBS	05/28/08	05/28/08
04	58DW2050816	752972	05/28/08	05/28/08
05	W20508285	752973	05/28/08	05/28/08
06	W20508285MS	752973MS	05/28/08	05/28/08
07	W20508285MSD	752973MD	05/28/08	05/28/08
80	58DW20508037	752974	05/28/08	05/28/08
09	58DW20508945	752975	05/28/08	05/28/08
10	58DW20508189	752976	05/28/08	05/28/08
11	58DW20508265	752977	05/28/08	05/28/08
12	58DW10508056	752979	05/28/08	05/28/08
13	58DW10508051	752980	05/28/08	05/28/08
14	58DW10508041	752981	05/28/08	05/28/08
15	58DW10508034	752982	05/28/08	05/28/08
16	58DW10508029	752984	05/28/08	05/28/08
17	TB05160801	752986	05/28/08	05/28/08
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				

COMMENTS:

page 1 of 1

FORM IV 504.1

Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTONContract: 28000Lab Code: STLVCase No.: MEFUDSSAS No.:SDG No.: 125630Instrument ID: 2404_1Calibration Date(s): 04/25/0804/25/08Column: RTX-35ID: 0.32 (mm)Calibration Time(s): 10041326

LAB FILE ID: RF0.175: 25AP08083RF0.35: 25AP080839RF0.875: 25AP08083 RF1.75: 25AP080839RF3.5: 25AP080839-RF4.375: 25AP08083

COMPOUND	RF0.175	RF0.35	RF0.875	RF1.75	RF3.5	RF4.375
	===========	========	========	==========	=========	==========
1,2-Dibromoethane	16462.857	15057.143	14098.286	14948.000	13900.857	13538.286
1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane	17188.571	17160.000	16553.143	16397.143	15502.286	15754.057
1,2,3-Trichloropropane		1977.143	2182.857	2188.571	2069.428	2049.828
=======================================	=======	=========	==========	=======================================	========	=========
1-Bromo-3-chloropropane	4702.857	4345.714	4372.571	4665.714	4270.286	4168.914

Lab Name:	TESTAMERIC	CA BURLIN	IGTON	Contract:	28000		
Lab Code:	STLV	Case No.	: MEFUDS	SAS No.:		SDG No.:	125630
Instrument	t ID: 2404_	_1	C	alibration	Date(s):	04/25/08	04/25/08
Column: R	TX-35 II	0.32	(mm) C	alibration	Time(s):	1004	1326

		COEFFICENT	%RSD	MAX %RSD	
COMPOUND	CURVE	A1	OR R^2	OR R^2	
====================================	=====	==============	===========	=======================================	
1,2-Dibromoethane	AVRG	14667.5714	7.241	20.000	
1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane	AVRG	16425.8667	4.255	20.000	
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	AVRG	2093.56571	4.342	20.000	< -
=======================================	=====	============	============	===================	
1-Bromo-3-chloropropane	AVRG	4421.00952	4.889	20.000	ĺ

FORM VI 504.1

Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Contract: 28000 Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SAS No.: SDG No.: 125630 Instrument ID: 2404_2 Calibration Date(s): 04/25/08 04/25/08 Column: RTX-CLP ID: 0.32 (mm) Calibration Time(s): 1004 1326 LAB FILE ID: RF0.175: 25AP08083RF0.35: 25AP080839RF0.875: 25AP08083

RF1.75: 25AP080839RF3.5: 25AP080839-RF4.375: 25AP08083

COMPOUND	RF0.175	RF0.35	RF0.875	RF1.75	RF3.5	RF4.375
1,2-Dibromoethane 1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane 1,2,3-Trichloropropane	2868.571 3771.428	3057.143 3874.286 545.714	3043.428 3797.714 660.571	3097.143 3739.428 631.428	3102.857 3772.571 651.428	2975.086 3708.800 661.943
1-Bromo-3-chloropropane	======= 1085.714	1057.143	===== <b>==</b>   1076.571 	=======================================	1131.428	1102.628

FORM VI 504.1

Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTONContract: 28000Lab Code: STLVCase No.: MEFUDSSAS No.:SDG No.: 125630Instrument ID: 2404_2Calibration Date(s): 04/25/0804/25/08Column: RTX-CLPID: 0.32 (mm)Calibration Time(s): 10041326

		COEFFICENT	%RSD	MAX %RSD	
COMPOUND	CURVE	A1	OR R^2	OR R^2	
	=====	==========	=======================================	=========	
1,2-Dibromoethane	AVRG	3024.03810	2.943	20.000	
1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane	AVRG	3777.37143	1.498	20.000	
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	AVRG	630.217143	7.741	20.000	
=======================================	=====	===========	=============	==========	
1-Bromo-3-chloropropane	AVRG	1094.53333	2.449	20.000	
	COMPOUND 1,2-Dibromoethane 1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane 1,2,3-Trichloropropane 	COMPOUNDCURVE1,2-DibromoethaneAVRG1,2-Dibromo-3-ChloropropaneAVRG1,2,3-TrichloropropaneAVRG1-Bromo-3-chloropropaneAVRG	COMPOUND         CURVE         A1           1,2-Dibromoethane         AVRG         3024.03810           1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane         AVRG         3777.37143           1,2,3-Trichloropropane         AVRG         630.217143	COMPOUND         COEFFICENT         %RSD           1,2-Dibromoethane         AVRG         3024.03810         2.943           1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane         AVRG         3777.37143         1.498           1,2,3-Trichloropropane         AVRG         630.217143         7.741           ====================================	COMPOUND         CURVE         A1         OR         R^2         OR         R^2           1,2-Dibromoethane         AVRG         3024.03810         2.943         20.000           1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane         AVRG         3777.37143         1.498         20.000           1,2,3-Trichloropropane         AVRG         630.217143         7.741         20.000           1-Bromo-3-chloropropane         AVRG         1094.53333         2.449         20.000

FORM VI 504.1

#### 504.1 CALIBRATION VERIFICATION SUMMARY

Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Contract: 28000 Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SAS No.: SDG No.: 125630 Instrument ID: 2404_1 Calibration Date: 05/28/08 Time: 0829 Lab File ID: 28MAY080706-R0 Init. Calib. Date(s): 04/25/08 04/25/08 Init. Calib. Times: 1004 1326

GC Column: RTX-35 ID: 0.32 (mm)

		RRF	MIN		MAX
COMPOUND	RRF	1.75	RRF	%D	۶D
	========	=========	========	======	====
1,2-Dibromoethane	14667.572	14554.857		0.8	30.0
1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane	16425.867	18241.143		11.0	30.0
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	2093.565	2137.714		2.1	30.0
	=========	==========	========	=====	====
1-Bromo-3-chloropropane	4421.009	4954.286		12.1	30.0

#### FORM 7 504.1 CALIBRATION VERIFICATION SUMMARY

Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Contract: 28000 Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SAS No.: SDG No.: 125630 Instrument ID: 2404_1 Calibration Date: 05/28/08 Time: 1632 Lab File ID: 28MAY081140-R0 Init. Calib. Date(s): 04/25/08 04/25/08 Init. Calib. Times: 1004 1326

GC Column: RTX-35 ID: 0.32 (mm)

COMPOUND	RRF	RRF 1.75	MIN RRF	۶D	MAX %D
=======================================	========				====
1,2-Dibromoethane	14667.572	15256.571		4.0	30.0
1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane	16425.867	18029.714		9.8	30.0
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	2093.565	2204.000		5.3	30.0
=======================================	=========	========	========	=====	====
1-Bromo-3-chloropropane	4421.009	4996.571		13.0	30.0

#### FORM 7 504.1 CALIBRATION VERIFICATION SUMMARY

Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Contract: 28000 Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SAS No.: SDG No.: 125630 Instrument ID: 2404_1 Calibration Date: 05/28/08 Time: 2315 Lab File ID: 28MAY081140-R1 Init. Calib. Date(s): 04/25/08 04/25/08 Init. Calib. Times: 1004 1326

GC Column: RTX-35 ID: 0.32 (mm)

COMPOUND	RRF	RRF 1.75	MIN RRF	%D	MAX %D
=======================================	========	========	========	======	====
1,2-Dibromoethane	14667.572	15300.000		4.3	30.0
1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane	16425.867	19172.000		16.7	30.0
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	2093.565	2345.714		12.0	30.0
=======================================	===============	=========	========	=====	====
1-Bromo-3-chloropropane	4421.009	5137.714		16.2	30.0

#### 504.1 CALIBRATION VERIFICATION SUMMARY

Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Contract: 28000 Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SAS No.: SDG No.: 125630 Instrument ID: 2404_2 Calibration Date: 05/28/08 Time: 0829 Lab File ID: 28MAY080706-R0 Init. Calib. Date(s): 04/25/08 04/25/08 Init. Calib. Times: 1004 1326

GC Column: RTX-CLP ID: 0.32 (mm)

		RRF	MIN		MAX
COMPOUND	RRF	1.75	RRF	%D	%D
=======================================	========	========	=======	======	====
1,2-Dibromoethane	3024.038	2649.143		12.4	30.0
1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane	3777.371	3409.714		9.7	30.0
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	630.217	542.286		14.0	30.0
=======================================	=========	==========	========	======	====
1-Bromo-3-chloropropane	1094.533	800.000		26.9	30.0

•

# 504.1 CALIBRATION VERIFICATION SUMMARY

Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Contract: 28000 Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SAS No.: SDG No.: 125630 Instrument ID: 2404_2 Calibration Date: 05/28/08 Time: 1632 Lab File ID: 28MAY081140-R0 Init. Calib. Date(s): 04/25/08 04/25/08 Init. Calib. Times: 1004 1326

GC Column: RTX-CLP ID: 0.32 (mm)

		RRF	MIN		MAX
COMPOUND	RRF	1.75	RRF	%D	%D
=======================================	==========	=========	========	=====	====
1,2-Dibromoethane	3024.038	3020.000		0.1	30.0
1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane	3777.371	3982.286		5.4	30.0
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	630.217	587.428		6.8	30.0
=======================================	=========	==========		=====	====
1-Bromo-3-chloropropane	1094.533	948.571		13.3	30.0

#### 504.1 CALIBRATION VERIFICATION SUMMARY

Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Contract: 28000 Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SAS No.: SDG No.: 125630 Instrument ID: 2404_2 Calibration Date: 05/28/08 Time: 2315 Lab File ID: 28MAY081140-R1 Init. Calib. Date(s): 04/25/08 04/25/08 Init. Calib. Times: 1004 1326

GC Column: RTX-CLP ID: 0.32 (mm)

COMPOUND		RRF 1 75	MIN	<u>م</u>	MAX %D
		1.75		5D	~5D 
1,2-Dibromoethane	3024.038	2974.857		1.6	30.0
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	630.217	694.857		10.2	30.0
1-Bromo-3-chloropropane	1094.533	991.428		====== 9.4	==== 30.0

#### FORM 8 504.1 ANALYTICAL SEQUENCE

Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Contract: 28000 Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SAS No.: SDG No.: 125630 GC Column: RTX-35 ID: 0.32 (mm) Init. Calib. Date(s): 04/25/08 04/25/08 Instrument ID: 2404_1

THE ANALYTICAL SEQUENCE OF PERFORMANCE EVALUATION MIXTURES, BLANKS, SAMPLES, AND STANDARDS IS GIVEN BELOW:

	MEAN SURROO						
	CLIENT	LAB	DATE	TIME	S1		
	SAMPLE NO.	SAMPLE ID	ANALYZED	ANALYZED	RT #	RT	#
01			=======================================	1004	========		===
01	0.175 PPB	0.1/5 PPB	04/25/08	1004	0.70		
02		0.350 PPB	04/25/08	1125	0.70		
03	1 75 DDB	1 75 DDB	04/25/08	1205	9.70		
05	3 50 DDB	3 50 DDB	04/25/08	1205	8 75		
06	4 375 PPR	4 375 PPR	04/25/08	1326	8 75		
07	RESC	RESC	04/25/08	1447	8 74		
08	1.75 PPB	1.75 PPB	05/28/08	0829	8.76		
09	MBLKE052808A	MBLKE052808A	05/28/08	0909	8.75		
10	EA052808LMBS	EA052808LMBS	05/28/08	0949	8.73		
11	EA052808LCS	EA052808LCS	05/28/08	1030	8.73		
12	EA082808MBS	EA082808MBS	05/28/08	1110	8.73		
13	58DW2050816	752972	05/28/08	1150	8.74		
14	W20508285	752973	05/28/08	1230	8.73		
15	W20508285MS	752973MS	05/28/08	1310	8.72		
16	W20508285MSD	752973MD	05/28/08	1351	8.73		
17	58DW20508037	752974	05/28/08	1431	8.72		
18	58DW20508945	752975	05/28/08	1511	8.74		
19	1.75 PPB	1.75 PPB	05/28/08	1632	8.72		
20	58DW20508189	752976	05/28/08	1712	8.72		
21	58DW20508265	752977	05/28/08	1752	8.73		
22	58DW10508056	752979	05/28/08	1833	8.73		
23	58DW10508051	752980	05/28/08	1913	8.73		
24	58DW10508041	752981	05/28/08	1953	8.72		
25	58DW10508034	752982	05/28/08	2034	8.72		
26	58DW10508029	752984	05/28/08	2114	8.72		
27	TB05160801	752986	05/28/08	2154	8.72		
28	1.75 PPB	1.75 PPB	05/28/08	2315	8.72		
29							
30							
31							
32							

# QC LIMITS

S1 = 1-Bromo-3-chloropropan (+/- 0.15 MINUTES)

# Column used to flag retention time values with an asterisk.

* Values outside of QC limits.

#### FORM 8 504.1 ANALYTICAL SEQUENCE

Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Contract: 28000 Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SAS No.: SDG No.: 125630 GC Column: RTX-CLP ID: 0.32 (mm) Init. Calib. Date(s): 04/25/08 04/25/08 Instrument ID: 2404_2

THE ANALYTICAL SEQUENCE OF PERFORMANCE EVALUATION MIXTURES, BLANKS, SAMPLES, AND STANDARDS IS GIVEN BELOW:

	MEAN SURROO					
	S1 : 6.58					
	CLIENT	LAB	DATE	TIME	S1	"
	SAMPLE NO.	SAMPLE ID	ANALYZED	ANALYZED	RT #	RT #
	================		============	===========	=======	
01	0.175 PPB	0.175 PPB	04/25/08	1004	6.59	
02	0.350 PPB	0.350 PPB	04/25/08	1044	6.57	
03	0.875 PPB	0.875 PPB	04/25/08	1125	6.58	
04	1.75 PPB	1.75 PPB	04/25/08	1205	6.58	
05	3.50 PPB	3.50 PPB	04/25/08	1246	6.57	
06	4.375 PPB	4.375 PPB	04/25/08	1326	6.57	
07	RESC	RESC	04/25/08	1447	6.57	
80	1.75 PPB	1.75 PPB	05/28/08	0829	6.58	
09	MBLKE052808A	MBLKE052808A	05/28/08	0909	6.57	
10	EA052808LMBS	EA052808LMBS	05/28/08	0949	6.56	
11	EA052808LCS	EA052808LCS	05/28/08	1030	6.56	
12	EA082808MBS	EA082808MBS	05/28/08	1110	6.55	
13	58DW2050816	752972	05/28/08	1150	6.57	
14	W20508285	752973	05/28/08	1230	6.56	
15	W20508285MS	752973MS	05/28/08	1310	6.55	
16	W20508285MSD	752973MD	05/28/08	1351	6.57	
17	58DW20508037	752974	05/28/08	1431	6.56	
18	58DW20508945	752975	05/28/08	1511	6.56	
19	1.75 PPB	1.75 PPB	05/28/08	1632	6.55	
20	58DW20508189	752976	05/28/08	1712	6.57	
21	58DW20508265	752977	05/28/08	1752	6.55	
22	58DW10508056	752979	05/28/08	1833	6.56	
23	58DW10508051	752980	05/28/08	1913	6.55	
24	58DW10508041	752981	05/28/08	1953	6.55	
25	58DW10508034	752982	05/28/08	2034	6.55	
26	58DW10508029	752984	05/28/08	2114	6.54	
27	TB05160801	752986	05/28/08	2154	6.55	
28	1.75 PPB	1.75 PPB	05/28/08	2315	6.54	/
29			, , ,			
30						
31						
32						

# QC LIMITS

S1 = 1-Bromo-3-chloropropan (+/- 0.15 MINUTES)

# Column used to flag retention time values with an asterisk. * Values outside of QC limits.

# FORM 10 504.1 IDENTIFICATION SUMMARY FOR SINGLE COMPONENT ANALYTES

WESTN1 SAMPLE NO.

FOR SINGLE COMPONENT	WOOE0000EMC
Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON	Contract: 28000
Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS	SAS No.: SDG No.: 125630
Lab Sample ID: 752973MS	Date(s) Analyzed: 05/28/08 05/28/08
Instrument ID (1): 2404_1	Instrument ID (2): 2404_2
GC Column(1): RTX-35 ID: 0.32(mm	) GC Column(2): RTX-CLP ID: 0.32(mm

			RT W	INDOW		
ANALYTE	COL	RT	FROM	ТО	CONCENTRATION	RPD
	===		=====	======		
1,2-Dibromoethane	1	5.18	5.06	5.36	0.10	
	2	3.65	3.52	3.82	0.094	6.2
1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropa	1	17.12	17.08	17.18	0.11	
	2	16.17	16.13	16.23	0.097	13
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	1	13.73	13.61	13.91	0.11	
	2	10.02	9.92	10.22	0.084	27
	1					
	2					
	2					
	1					
	1					
	2					
	1					
	2					
bage 1 of 1	·					

FORM 10 504.1 IDENTIFICATION SUMMARY	WESTN1 SAMPLE NO.
FOR SINGLE COMPONENT ANALYTES	W20508285MSD
Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Contract: 28000	
Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SAS No.:	SDG No.: 125630
Lab Sample ID: 752973MD Date(s) Analyze	ed: 05/28/08 05/28/08
Instrument ID (1): 2404_1 Instrument ID (	2): 2404_2
GC Column(1): RTX-35 ID: 0.32(mm) GC Column(2): F	TX-CLP ID: 0.32(mm)

			RT W	INDOW		
ANALYTE	COL	RT	FROM	то	CONCENTRATION	RPD
	===	======	======	======		======
1,2-Dibromoethane	1	5.19	5.06	5.36	0.10	
	2	3.66	3.52	3.82	0.093	7.3
1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropa	1	17.13	17.08	17.18	0.11	
	2	16.18	16.13	16.23	0.100	9.5
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	1	13.73	13.61	13.91	0.11	
	2	10.03	9.92	10.22	0.088	22
	1					
	2					
	1					
	2					
	1					
	2					
	1					
	2					
	2					
	1					
	2					
page 1 of 1						

FORM 10	CLIENT SAMPLE NO.
504.1 IDENTIFICATION FOR SINGLE COMPONENT	SUMMARY ANALYTES
Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON	EA052808LCS
Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS	SAS No.: SDG No.: 125630
Lab Sample ID: EA052808LCS	Date(s) Analyzed: 05/28/08 05/28/08
Instrument ID (1): 2404_1	Instrument ID (2): 2404_2
GC Column(1): RTX-35 ID: 0.32(mr	n) GC Column(2): RTX-CLP ID: 0.32(mm)

			RTW	INDOW	]	
ANALYTE	COL	RT	FROM	ТО	CONCENTRATION	RPD
	===	======	======	======		=====
1,2-Dibromoethane	1	5.18	5.06	5.36	0.20	
	2	3.65	3.52	3.82	0.18	11
1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropa	1	17.11	17.08	17.18	0.21	
	2	16.17	16.13	16.23	0.19	10
1.2.2 Traighlementance	1	10 00	12 61	12 01	0.00	
1,2,3-IIIChioropropane	1	13.72	13.61	13.91	0.20	
	2	10.03	9.92	10.22	0.16	22
	1					
	2			·		
	1					
	2					
	1					
	-					
	2					
[	1					
	2					
	2					
	1					
	2					
page 1 of 1						

FORM 10	CLIENT SAMPLE NO.
FOR SINGLE COMPONENT ANALYTES	EA052808LMBS
Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Contract: 28000	
Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SAS No.: SD	G No.: 125630
Lab Sample ID: EA052808LMBS Date(s) Analyzed:	05/28/08 05/28/08
Instrument ID (1): 2404_1 Instrument ID (2):	2404_2
GC Column(1): RTX-35 ID: 0.32(mm) GC Column(2): RTX-	CLP ID: 0.32(mm)

			RT W	INDOW		
ANALYTE	COL	RT	FROM	то	CONCENTRATION	RPD
=======================================	===	======	======		=========================	======
1,2-Dibromoethane	1	5.19	5.06	5.36	0.021	
	2	3.66	3.52	3.82	0.017	21
1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropa	1	17.13	17.08	17.18	0.022	
	2	16.17	16.13	16.23	0.020	9.5
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	1	13.73	13.61	13.91	0.019	
	2	10.03	9.92	10.22	0.017	11
	1					
	2					
	1					
	2					
	1					
	2					
	1					
	2					
page 1 of 1						

	FORM 10			CLIENT	SAMPLE	NO.
	504.1 IDENTIFICATION	SUMMARY				
	FOR SINGLE COMPONENT	ANALYTES				
				EA	.082808ME	BS
ne:	TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON	Contract:	28000			

Lab	Name:	TEST	AMERICA	BURLING	ΓΟN	Contract:	28000					
Lab	Code:	STLV	Ca	se No.:	MEFUDS	SAS No.:		SDG	No.: 1	2563	0	
Lab	Sample	e ID:	EA08280	8MBS		Date(s)	) Analyz	zed: 05	5/28/08	8 05	5/28/08	3
Inst	rument	: ID	(1): 240	94_1		Instru	ment ID	(2): 2	2404_2			
GC (	Column	(1):	RTX-35	ID:	0.32 (mr	n) GC Colu	.(2) mn	RTX-CI	CD I	D:	0.32(m	nm)

			RTW	INDOW		
ANALYTE	COL	RT	FROM	TO	CONCENTRATION	RPD
	===	======	======	=====	============	======
1,2-Dibromoethane	1	5.18	5.06	5.36	0.19	
	2	3.65	3.52	3.82	0.17	11
1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropa	1	17.12	17.08	17.18	0.22	
	2	16.16	16.13	16.23	0.19	15
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	1	13.73	13.61	13.91	0.21	
	2	10.04	9.92	10.22	0.17	21
	1					
	2					
	1					
	2					
	1					
	2					
	1					
	2					
	1					
	2					
 page 1 of 1						



THE LEADER IN ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING

# Sample Data Summary – 524.2 Volatile

VOLATILE	FORM 1 ORGANICS ANALYSI	WE S DATA SHEET	STN1 SAMPLE NO.
Lab Name: TESTAMERIC	A BURLINGTON	Contract: 28000	1-0508-029
Lab Code: STLV	Case No.: MEFUDS	SAS No.: SDG	No.: 125630
Matrix: (soil/water)	WATER	Lab Sample ID:	752984
Sample wt/vol:	5.000 (g/mL) ML	Lab File ID:	752984
Level: (low/med)	LOW	Date Received:	05/23/08
% Moisture: not dec.		Date Analyzed:	05/29/08
GC Column: CAP	ID: 0.53 (mm)	Dilution Facto	pr: 1.0
Soil Extract Volume:	(uL)	Soil Aliquot V	Volume:(uL)
		CONCENTRATION UNITS:	

CAS NO. COMPOUND

(ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/L

Q

75-71-8Dichlorodifluoromethane	0.50	U
74-87-3Chloromethane	0.50	U
75-01-4Vinyl Chloride	0.50	U
74-83-9Bromomethane	0.50	U
75-00-3Chloroethane	0.50	U
75-69-4Trichlorofluoromethane	0.50	U
75-35-41.1-Dichloroethene	0.50	U
75-09-2Methylene Chloride	0.50	U
156-60-5trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.50	U
1634-04-4Methyl-t-Butyl Ether	0.50	U
75-34-31,1-Dichloroethane	0.50	U
594-20-72,2-Dichloropropane	0.50	U
156-59-2cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.50	U
74-97-5Bromochloromethane	0.50	U
67-66-3Chloroform	0.52	
71-55-61,1,1-Trichloroethane	0.50	U
56-23-5Carbon Tetrachloride	0.50	U
563-58-61,1-Dichloropropene	0.50	U
71-43-2Benzene	0.46	J
107-06-21,2-Dichloroethane	0.50	U
79-01-6Trichloroethene	1.8	
78-87-51,2-Dichloropropane	0.50	U
74-95-3Dibromomethane	0.50	U
75-27-4Bromodichloromethane	0.50	U
10061-01-5cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.50	U
108-88-3Toluene	120	Е
10061-02-6trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.50	U
79-00-51,1,2-Trichloroethane	0.50	U
127-18-4Tetrachloroethene	0.50	U
142-28-91,3-Dichloropropane	0.50	U
124-48-1Dibromochloromethane	0.50	U
108-90-7Chlorobenzene	0.50	U
630-20-61,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.50	U

WESTN1 SAMPLE NO.

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS	DATA SHEET
Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Co	1-0508-029
Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS S	AS No.: SDG No.: 125630
Matrix: (soil/water) WATER	Lab Sample ID: 752984
Sample wt/vol: 5.000 (g/mL) ML	Lab File ID: 752984
Level: (low/med) LOW	Date Received: 05/23/08
% Moisture: not dec	Date Analyzed: 05/29/08
GC Column: CAP ID: 0.53 (mm)	Dilution Factor: 1.0
Soil Extract Volume:(uL)	Soil Aliquot Volume:(uL)
CAS NO. COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/L Q
100-41-4Ethylbenzene 1330-20-7m-&p-Xylene 95-47-6o-Xylene 100-42-5Styrene	0.50 U 0.50 U 0.50 U 0.50 U 0.50 U

95-47-6O-xytene	0.50	0
100-42-5Styrene	0.50	U
75-25-2Bromoform	0.50	U
98-82-8Isopropylbenzene	0.50	U
108-86-1Bromobenzene	0.50	U
79-34-51,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.50	U
103-65-1n-Propylbenzene	0.50	U
95-49-82-Chlorotoluene	0.50	U
106-43-44-Chlorotoluene	0.50	U
108-67-81,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	0.50	U
98-06-6tert-Butylbenzene	0.50	U
95-63-61,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	0.50	U
135-98-8sec-Butylbenzene	0.50	U
541-73-11,3-Dichlorobenzene	0.50	U
99-87-6p-Isopropyltoluene	0.50	U
106-46-71,4-Dichlorobenzene	0.50	U
95-50-11,2-Dichlorobenzene	0.50	U
104-51-8n-Butylbenzene	0.50	U
120-82-11,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	0.50	U
87-68-3Hexachlorobutadiene	0.50	U
91-20-3Naphthalene	0.50	U

FORM 1 VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DA	WESTN1 SAMPLE NO.
	1-0508-029DL
Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Cont	tract: 28000
Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SAS	S No.: SDG No.: 125630
Matrix: (soil/water) WATER	Lab Sample ID: 752984D1
Sample wt/vol: 5.000 (g/mL) ML	Lab File ID: 752984D2
Level: (low/med) LOW	Date Received: 05/23/08
% Moisture: not dec	Date Analyzed: 05/29/08
GC Column: CAP ID: 0.53 (mm)	Dilution Factor: 6.1
Soil Extract Volume:(uL)	Soil Aliquot Volume:(uL)
CAS NO. COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/L Q
75-71-8Dichlorodifluoromethane         74-87-3Chloromethane         75-01-4Vinyl Chloride         74-83-9Bromomethane         75-00-3Chloroethane         75-69-4Trichlorofluoromethane         75-35-4	ethane       3.1       U         3.1       U         3.1       U         3.1       U         3.1       U         3.1       U         3.1       U         3.1       U         3.1       U         3.1       U         e       3.1         e       3.1         bethene       3.1         her       3.1         opethene       3.1         her       3.1         ane       3.1         ide       3.1         ide       3.1         ide       3.1         ne       3.1         ide       3.1         ne       3.1         ide       3.1         ne       3.1         ide       3.1         ne       3.1         ide       3.1         ide       3.1         ide       3.1         ide       3.1         ide       3.1         ide       3.1         ide       3.1         ide       3.1         ide <t< td=""></t<>

3.1 U

3.1 U

3.1 U

124-48-1-----Dibromochloromethane

630-20-6-----1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane

108-90-7----Chlorobenzene

FORM 1 WESTN1 SAMPLE NO. VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET 1-0508-029DL Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Contract: 28000 Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SAS No.: SDG No.: 125630 Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 752984D1 Sample wt/vol: 5.000 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: 752984D2 Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 05/23/08 % Moisture: not dec. Date Analyzed: 05/29/08 GC Column: CAP ID: 0.53 (mm) Dilution Factor: 6.1 Soil Extract Volume: _____(uL) Soil Aliquot Volume: _____(uL) CONCENTRATION UNITS: CAS NO. (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/L COMPOUND Q

100-41-4Ethylbenzene         1330-20-7m-&p-Xylene         95-47-6o-Xylene         100-42-5Styrene         75-25-2Bromoform         98-82-8Bromobenzene         108-86-1Bromobenzene         79-34-5Bromobenzene         79-34-5	3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1	ממממממממממממממממממ
120-82-11,2,4-Trichlorobenzene 87-68-3Hexachlorobutadiene 91-20-3Naphthalene	3.1 3.1 3.1	U U U

FORM 1 WESTN1 SAMPLE NO. VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET 1-0508-034 Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Contract: 28000 Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SAS No.: SDG No.: 125630 Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 752982 Sample wt/vol: 5.000 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: 752982 Date Received: 05/23/08 Level: (low/med) LOW % Moisture: not dec. _____ Date Analyzed: 05/29/08 GC Column: CAP ID: 0.53 (mm) Dilution Factor: 1.0 Soil Extract Volume: (uL) Soil Aliquot Volume: (uL) CONCENTRATION UNITS: CAS NO. COMPOUND (uq/L or uq/Kq) UG/L Q 75-71-8-----Dichlorodifluoromethane 0.50 U 74-87-3----Chloromethane 0.50 U 75-01-4----Vinyl Chloride 0.50 U 74-83-9----Bromomethane 0.50 U 75-00-3-----Chloroethane 0.50 U 75-69-4-----Trichlorofluoromethane 0.50 U 75-35-4-----1,1-Dichloroethene 0.50 U 75-09-2-----Methylene Chloride 0.50 U 156-60-5-----trans-1,2-Dichloroethene 0.50 U 1634-04-4-----Methyl-t-Butyl Ether 0.50 U 75-34-3-----1,1-Dichloroethane 0.50 U 594-20-7----2,2-Dichloropropane 0.50 U 156-59-2----cis-1,2-Dichloroethene 0.44 J 74-97-5-----Bromochloromethane 0.50 U 67-66-3----Chloroform 0.50 U 71-55-6-----1,1,1-Trichloroethane 0.50 U 56-23-5-----Carbon Tetrachloride 0.50 U 563-58-6-----1,1-Dichloropropene 0.50 U 71-43-2----Benzene 0.50 U 107-06-2-----1,2-Dichloroethane 0.50 U 79-01-6----Trichloroethene 2.5 78-87-5-----1,2-Dichloropropane 0.50 0 74-95-3-----Dibromomethane 0.50 U 75-27-4----Bromodichloromethane 0.50 U 10061-01-5----cis-1,3-Dichloropropene 0.50 U 108-88-3----Toluene 25 10061-02-6----trans-1, 3-Dichloropropene 0.50 0 79-00-5-----1,1,2-Trichloroethane 0.50 U

0.50 U

0.50 U

0.50 U

0.50 U

0.50 U

127-18-4----Tetrachloroethene

108-90-7----Chlorobenzene

142-28-9-----1,3-Dichloropropane

124-48-1-----Dibromochloromethane

630-20-6-----1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane

WESTN1 SAMPLE NO.

_____

Lab Name: TESTAMERIC	A BURLINGTON	Contract: 28000	1-0508-034
Lab Code: STLV	Case No.: MEFUDS	SAS No.: SDG	No.: 125630
Matrix: (soil/water)	WATER	Lab Sample ID	752982
Sample wt/vol:	5.000 (g/mL) ML	Lab File ID:	752982
Level: (low/med)	LOW	Date Received	: 05/23/08
% Moisture: not dec.		Date Analyzed	: 05/29/08
GC Column: CAP	ID: 0.53 (mm)	Dilution Facto	pr: 1.0
Soil Extract Volume:	(uL)	Soil Aliquot V	Volume:(uL)
CAS NO.	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/I	e Q
100-41-4 1330-20-7 95-47-6 100-42-5	Ethylbenzene_ m- & p-Xylene_ o-Xylene_ Styrene		0.50 U 0.50 U 0.50 U 0.50 U 0.50 U

	•		
100-42-5	-Styrene	0.50	U
75-25-2	-Bromoform	0.50	U
98-82-8	-Isopropylbenzene	0.50	U
108-86-1	-Bromobenzene	0.50	U
79-34-5	-1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.50	U
103-65-1	-n-Propylbenzene	0.50	U
95-49-8	-2-Chlorotoluene	0.50	U
106-43-4	-4-Chlorotoluene	0.50	U
108-67-8	-1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	0.50	U
98-06-6	-tert-Butylbenzene	0.50	U
95-63-6	-1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	0.50	U
135-98-8	-sec-Butylbenzene	0.50	U
541-73-1	-1,3-Dichlorobenzene	0.50	U
99-87-6	-p-Isopropyltoluene	0.50	U
106-46-7	-1,4-Dichlorobenzene	0.50	U
95-50-1	-1,2-Dichlorobenzene	0.50	U
104-51-8	-n-Butylbenzene	0.50	U.
120-82-1	-1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	0.50	U
87-68-3	-Hexachlorobutadiene	0.50	U
91-20-3	-Naphthalene	0.50	U

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA S	SHEET
Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Contract	1-0508-034-E
Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SAS No.	: SDG No.: 125630
Matrix: (soil/water) WATER	Lab Sample ID: 752983
Sample wt/vol: 5.000 (g/mL) ML	Lab File ID: 752983
Level: (low/med) LOW	Date Received: 05/23/08
% Moisture: not dec	Date Analyzed: 05/29/08
GC Column: CAP ID: 0.53 (mm)	Dilution Factor: 1.0
Soil Extract Volume:(uL)	Soil Aliquot Volume:(uL)
CAS NO. COMPOUND (ug/L	NTRATION UNITS: or ug/Kg) UG/L Q
75-71-8Dichlorodifluoromethan 74-87-3Chloromethane 75-01-4Vinyl Chloride 74-83-9Bromomethane 75-00-3Chloroethane 75-69-4Trichlorofluoromethane 75-35-41,1-Dichloroethene 75-09-2Methylene Chloride 156-60-5Trans-1,2-Dichloroethe 1634-04-4Methyl-t-Butyl Ether	le       0.50       U         0.50       U         0.50       U         0.50       U         0.50       U         0.50       U         0.50       U         0.50       U         0.50       U         0.50       U         0.50       U         0.50       U         0.50       U         0.50       U         0.50       U         0.50       U         0.50       U         0.50       U         0.50       U

WESTN1 SAMPLE NO.

0.50 U

0.50 U

0.45 J

0.50 U

0.50 U

0.50 U

0.50 U

0.50 U

0.50 U

0.50 U

0.50 U

0.50 U

0.50 U

0.50 U

22

0.50 U

0.50 U

0.50 U

0.50 U

0.50 U

0.50 U

0.50 U

2.5

FORM 1

75-34-3-----1,1-Dichloroethane_ 594-20-7-----2,2-Dichloropropane

74-97-5-----Bromochloromethane

67-66-3-----Chloroform

71-43-2----Benzene

108-88-3-----Toluene

156-59-2----cis-1,2-Dichloroethene

71-55-6----1,1,1-Trichloroethane

56-23-5-----Carbon Tetrachloride

563-58-6-----1,1-Dichloropropene

107-06-2----1,2-Dichloroethane

78-87-5-----1,2-Dichloropropane

75-27-4----Bromodichloromethane

79-00-5-----1,1,2-Trichloroethane

127-18-4----Tetrachloroethene

108-90-7----Chlorobenzene

142-28-9----1,3-Dichloropropane

124-48-1----Dibromochloromethane

10061-01-5----cis-1,3-Dichloropropene

10061-02-6----trans-1,3-Dichloropropene

630-20-6----1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane

79-01-6----Trichloroethene

74-95-3-----Dibromomethane

WESTN1 SAMPLE NO.

|_____|

			1-0508-034-E	
Lab Name: TESTAMERIC	A BURLINGTON	Contract: 28000		
Lab Code: STLV	Case No.: MEFUDS	SAS No.: SDG	No.: 125630	
Matrix: (soil/water)	WATER	Lab Sample ID:	752983	
Sample wt/vol:	5.000 (g/mL) ML	Lab File ID:	752983	
Level: (low/med)	LOW	Date Received:	05/23/08	
% Moisture: not dec.		Date Analyzed:	05/29/08	
GC Column: CAP	ID: 0.53 (mm)	Dilution Facto	or: 1.0	
Soil Extract Volume:	(uL)	Soil Aliquot V	Nolume:(	uL)
CAS NO.	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/I	Q Q	

FORM I VOA

WESTN1 SAMPLE NO.

|____|

Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLING	TON Contract	: 28000	1-0508-041	
Lab Code: STLV Case No.:	MEFUDS SAS No.	: SDG	No.: 125630	
Matrix: (soil/water) WATER		Lab Sample ID:	752981	
Sample wt/vol: 5.000 (g,	/mL) ML	Lab File ID:	752981	
Level: (low/med) LOW		Date Received:	: 05/23/08	
% Moisture: not dec		Date Analyzed:	: 05/29/08	
GC Column: CAP ID: 0.53	(mm)	Dilution Facto	pr: 1.0	
Soil Extract Volume:(1	uL)	Soil Aliquot N	Volume:	(uL)
CAS NO. COMPOUN	CONCE ND (ug/I	NTRATION UNITS: or ug/Kg) UG/I	c Q	

75-71-8Dichlorodifluoromethane	0.50	U
74-87-3Chloromethane	0.50	U
75-01-4Vinvl Chloride	0.50	Ū
74-83-9Bromomethane	0.50	U
75-00-3Chloroethane	0.50	U
75-69-4Trichlorofluoromethane	0.50	U
75-35-41,1-Dichloroethene	0.50	U
75-09-2Methylene Chloride	0.50	U
156-60-5trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.50	U
1634-04-4Methyl-t-Butyl Ether	0.50	U
75-34-31,1-Dichloroethane	0.50	U
594-20-72,2-Dichloropropane	0.50	U
156-59-2cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.2	
74-97-5Bromochloromethane	0.50	U
67-66-3Chloroform	0.24	J
71-55-61,1,1-Trichloroethane	0.50	U
56-23-5Carbon Tetrachloride	0.50	U
563-58-61,1-Dichloropropene	0.50	U
71-43-2Benzene	0.50	U
107-06-21,2-Dichloroethane	0.50	U
79-01-6Trichloroethene	3.4	
78-87-51,2-Dichloropropane	0.50	U
74-95-3Dibromomethane	0.50	U
75-27-4Bromodichloromethane	0.50	U
10061-01-5cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.50	U
108-88-3Toluene	12	
10061-02-6trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.50	U
79-00-51,1,2-Trichloroethane	0.50	U
127-18-4Tetrachloroethene	0.50	U
142-28-91,3-Dichloropropane	0.50	U
124-48-1Dibromochloromethane	0.50	U
108-90-7Chlorobenzene	0.50	U
630-20-61,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.50	U

WESTN1 SAMPLE NO.

	VOLATILE	ORGANICS ANALYSI	S DATA SH	IEET		
Lab Name: 1	TESTAMERICA	BURLINGTON	Contract:	28000	1-0508	-041
Lab Code: S	STLV C	ase No.: MEFUDS	SAS No.:	SDG	No.: 125	630
Matrix: (so	oil/water)	WATER		Lab Sample ID:	752981	
Sample wt/v	vol:	5.000 (g/mL) ML		Lab File ID:	752981	
Level: (]	low/med)	LOW		Date Received:	05/23/0	8
<pre>% Moisture:</pre>	not dec.			Date Analyzed:	05/29/0	8
GC Column:	CAP	ID: 0.53 (mm)		Dilution Facto	or: 1.0	
Soil Extrac	ct Volume:_	(uL)		Soil Aliquot V	olume: _	(uL)
CAS	NO.	COMPOUND	CONCEN (ug/L	TRATION UNITS: or ug/Kg) UG/L	, (	Q
100- 1330	-41-4	Ethylbenzene			0.50 U 0.50 U	

100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	0.50	U
1330-20-7	m- & p-Xylene	0.50	U
95-47-6	o-Xylene	0.50	U
100-42-5	Styrene	0.50	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	0.50	U
98-82-8	Isopropylbenzene	0.50	U
108-86-1	Bromobenzene	0.50	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.50	U
103-65-1	n-Propylbenzene	0.50	U
95-49-8	-2-Chlorotoluene	0.50	U
106-43-4	4-Chlorotoluene	0.50	U
108-67-8	1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	0.50	U
98-06-6	-tert-Butylbenzene	0.50	U
95-63-6	-1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	0.50	U
135-98-8	-sec-Butylbenzene	0.50	U
541-73-1	-1,3-Dichlorobenzene	0.50	U
99-87-6	-p-Isopropyltoluene	0.50	U
106-46-7	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	0.50	U
95-50-1	-1,2-Dichlorobenzene	0.50	U
104-51-8	-n-Butylbenzene	0.50	U
120-82-1	-1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	0.50	U
87-68-3	-Hexachlorobutadiene	0.50	U
91-20-3	Naphthalene	0.50	U

WESTN1 SAMPLE NO. FORM 1 VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET 1-0508-051 Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Contract: 28000 SDG No.: 125630 Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SAS No.: Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 752980 Sample wt/vol: 5.000 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: 752980

Level: (low/med) LOW % Moisture: not dec. _____ GC Column: CAP ID: 0.53 (mm)

Soil Extra

Q

(uL)

Date Received: 05/23/08

Dilution Factor: 1.0

Date Analyzed: 05/29/08

tract Volume:	(uL)	Soil A	Aliquot	Volume	: _
CAS NO.	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATIO (ug/L or ug/	ON UNITS /Kg) UG/3	: L	
75 - 71 - 8	Dichlorodifl Chloromethan Vinyl Chlori Bromomethane Chloroethane Trichloroflu 1,1-Dichloro Methylene Ch trans-1,2-Di Methyl-t-But	uoromethane e de oromethane ethene loride chloroethene yl Ether		0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50	U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloro	ethane		0.50	

Į	1634-04-4Methyl-t-Butyl Ether	0.50	U
	75-34-31,1-Dichloroethane	0.50	U
	594-20-72,2-Dichloropropane	0.50	U
	156-59-2cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.96	
ł	74-97-5Bromochloromethane	0.50	U
	67-66-3Chloroform	0.50	U
	71-55-61,1,1-Trichloroethane	0.50	U
	56-23-5Carbon Tetrachloride	0.50	U
ĺ	563-58-61,1-Dichloropropene	0.50	U
	71-43-2Benzene	0.50	U
	107-06-21,2-Dichloroethane	0.50	U
Į	79-01-6Trichloroethene	3.1	
	78-87-51,2-Dichloropropane	0.50	Ū
	74-95-3Dibromomethane	0.50	U
	75-27-4Bromodichloromethane	0.50	U
ł	10061-01-5cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.50	U
l	108-88-3Toluene	0.32	J
	10061-02-6trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.50	U
	79-00-51,1,2-Trichloroethane	0.50	U
ĺ	127-18-4Tetrachloroethene	0.50	U
	142-28-91,3-Dichloropropane	0.50	U
l	124-48-1Dibromochloromethane	0.50	U
l	108-90-7Chlorobenzene	0.50	U
l	630-20-61,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.50	U
I			

WESTN1 SAMPLE NO.

VOLATILE	ORGANICS ANALYSIS I	DATA SHEET	
Lab Name: TESTAMERIC	A BURLINGTON Cor	ntract: 28000	1-0508-051
Lab Code: STLV	Case No.: MEFUDS SA	AS No.: SDG	No.: 125630
Matrix: (soil/water)	WATER	Lab Sample ID:	752980
Sample wt/vol:	5.000 (g/mL) ML	Lab File ID:	752980
Level: (low/med)	LOW	Date Received:	05/23/08
% Moisture: not dec.		Date Analyzed:	05/29/08
GC Column: CAP	ID: 0.53 (mm)	Dilution Facto	r: 1.0
Soil Extract Volume:	(uL)	Soil Aliquot V	olume:(uL)
CAS NO.	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/L	Q

100-41-4Ethylbenzene	0.50	U
1330-20-7m- & p-Xylene	0.50	U
95-47-6o-Xylene	0.50	U
100-42-5Styrene	0.50	U
75-25-2Bromoform	0.50	U
98-82-8Isopropylbenzene	0.50	U
108-86-1Bromobenzene	0.50	U
79-34-51,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.50	U
103-65-1n-Propylbenzene	0.50	U
95-49-82-Chlorotoluene	0.50	U
106-43-44-Chlorotoluene	0.50	U
108-67-81,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	0.50	U
98-06-6tert-Butylbenzene	0.50	U
95-63-61,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	0.50	U
135-98-8sec-Butylbenzene	0.50	U
541-73-11,3-Dichlorobenzene	0.50	U
99-87-6p-Isopropyltoluene	0.50	U
106-46-71,4-Dichlorobenzene	0.50	U
95-50-11,2-Dichlorobenzene	0.50	U
104-51-8n-Butylbenzene	0.50	U
120-82-11,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	0.50	U
87-68-3Hexachlorobutadiene	0.50	U
91-20-3Naphthalene	0.50	U

F	ORM 1	WE	ESTN1 SAMPLE NO.	
VOLATILE ORGANIC	S ANALYSIS DATA S	HEET		1
Lab Name, TESTAMERICA RURLIN	GTON Contract	. 28000	1-0508-056	
Lab Name. Thornantick boxisti	Concruct	. 20000		1
Lab Code: STLV Case No.	: MEFUDS SAS No.	: SDG	No.: 125630	
Matrix: (soil/water) WATER		Lab Sample ID:	752979	
Sample wt/vol: 5.000 (	g/mL) ML	Lab File ID:	752979	
Level: (low/med) LOW		Date Received:	05/23/08	
% Moisture: not dec	_	Date Analyzed:	: 05/28/08	
GC Column: CAP ID: 0.5	3 (mm)	Dilution Facto	or: 1.0	
Soil Extract Volume:	(uL)	Soil Aliquot V	/olume:	(uL)
CAS NO. COMPO	CONCE UND (ug/L	NTRATION UNITS: or ug/Kg) UG/I	Q	

75-71-8Dichlorodifluoromethane 74-87-3Chloromethane 75-01-4Vinyl Chloride 74-83-9Bromomethane 75-00-3Chloroethane 75-69-4Trichlorofluoromethane 75-35-41.1-Dichloroethene	0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50	U U U U U U U U
75-09-2Methylene Chloride 156-60-5trans-1,2-Dichloroethene 1634-04-4Methyl-t-Butyl Ether 75-34-31,1-Dichloroethane 594-20-72,2-Dichloropropane 156-59-2cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50	บ บ บ บ บ
74-97-5Bromochloromethane         67-66-3Chloroform         71-55-61,1,1-Trichloroethane         56-23-5Carbon Tetrachloride         563-58-61,1-Dichloropropene         71-43-2Benzene         107-06-21,2-Dichloroethane         79-01-6	0.50 0.34 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
78-87-51,2-Dichloropropane 74-95-3Dibromomethane 75-27-4Bromodichloromethane 10061-01-5cis-1,3-Dichloropropene 108-88-3Toluene	0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 22	บ บ บ บ
10061-02-6trans-1,3-Dichloropropene         79-00-51,1,2-Trichloroethane         127-18-4Tetrachloroethene         142-28-91,3-Dichloropropane         124-48-1Dibromochloromethane         108-90-7Chlorobenzene         630-20-61,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50	ט ט ט ט ט ט

VOLATILE	FORM 1 ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA	W	ESTN1 SAMPLE NO	•
Lab Name: TESTAMERIC	A BURLINGTON Contrac	t: 28000	1-0508-056	
Lab Code: STLV	Case No.: MEFUDS SAS No	SDG	No.: 125630	
Matrix: (soil/water)	WATER	Lab Sample ID	: 752979	
Sample wt/vol:	5.000 (g/mL) ML	Lab File ID:	752979	
Level: (low/med)	LOW	Date Received	: 05/23/08	
% Moisture: not dec.		Date Analyzed	: 05/28/08	
GC Column: CAP	ID: 0.53 (mm)	Dilution Fact	or: 1.0	
Soil Extract Volume:	(uL)	Soil Aliquot	Volume:	_(uL)
	CONC	ENTRATION UNITS	:	

CAS NO. COMPOUND (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/L Q

т

		1	
	100-41-4Ethylbenzene	0.50	U
	1330-20-7m- & p-Xylene	0.50	U
	95-47-6o-Xylene	0.50	U
	100-42-5Styrene	0.50	U
	75-25-2Bromoform	0.50	U
	98-82-8Isopropylbenzene	0.50	U
	108-86-1Bromobenzene	0.50	U
	79-34-51,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.50	U
	103-65-1n-Propylbenzene	0.50	U
	95-49-82-Chlorotoluene	0.50	U
	106-43-44-Chlorotoluene	0.50	U
	108-67-81,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	0.50	U
	98-06-6tert-Butylbenzene	0.50	U
	95-63-61,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	0.50	U
	135-98-8sec-Butylbenzene	0.50	U
	541-73-11,3-Dichlorobenzene	0.50	U
	99-87-6p-Isopropyltoluene	0.50	U
	106-46-71,4-Dichlorobenzene	0.50	U
	95-50-11,2-Dichlorobenzene	0.50	U
	104-51-8n-Butylbenzene	0.50	U
	120-82-11,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	0.50	U
	87-68-3Hexachlorobutadiene	0.50	U
	91-20-3Naphthalene	0.50	U
_			
WESTN1 SAMPLE NO.

Lab Name: TESTAMERI(	CA BURLINGTON	Contract: 28000	)	2-0508-037	
Lab Code: STLV	Case No.: MEFUDS	SAS No.:	SDG No	o.: 125630	
Matrix: (soil/water)	WATER	Lab Sa	mple ID: '	752974	
Sample wt/vol:	5.000 (g/mL) ML	Lab Fi	le ID:	752974	
Level: (low/med)	LOW	Date R	Received:	05/23/08	
% Moisture: not dec.		Date A	malyzed:	05/28/08	
GC Column: CAP	ID: 0.53 (mm)	Diluti	on Factor	: 1.0	
Soil Extract Volume	:(uL)	Soil A	liquot Vo	lume:	(uL)
CAS NO.	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATIC (ug/L or ug/	N UNITS: 'Kg) UG/L	Q	
75-71-8	Dichlorodifluc	promethane		0.50 U 0.50 U	

75-01-4Vinyl Chloride	0.50	U
74-83-9Bromomethane	0.50	U
75-00-3Chloroethane	0.50	U
75-69-4Trichlorofluoromethane	0.50	U
75-35-41,1-Dichloroethene	0.50	U
75-09-2Methylene Chloride	0.50	U
156-60-5trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.50	U
1634-04-4Methyl-t-Butyl Ether	0.50	U
75-34-31,1-Dichloroethane	0.50	U
594-20-72,2-Dichloropropane	0.50	U
156-59-2cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.50	U
74-97-5Bromochloromethane	0.50	U
67-66-3Chloroform	0.50	U
71-55-61,1,1-Trichloroethane	0.50	U
56-23-5Carbon Tetrachloride	0.50	U
563-58-61,1-Dichloropropene	0.50	U
71-43-2Benzene	0.50	U
107-06-21,2-Dichloroethane	0.50	U
79-01-6Trichloroethene	0.50	U
78-87-51,2-Dichloropropane	0.50	U
74-95-3Dibromomethane	0.50	U
75-27-4Bromodichloromethane	0.50	U
10061-01-5cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.50	U
108-88-3Toluene	4.0	
10061-02-6trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.50	U
79-00-51,1,2-Trichloroethane	0.50	U
127-18-4Tetrachloroethene	0.50	U
142-28-91,3-Dichloropropane	0.50	U
124-48-1Dibromochloromethane	0.50	U
108-90-7Chlorobenzene	0.50	U
630-20-61,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.50	U

WESTN1 SAMPLE NO. FORM 1 VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET 2-0508-037 Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Contract: 28000 SDG No.: 125630 Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SAS No.:

Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Sample wt/vol: 5.000 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: 752974 Level: (low/med) LOW % Moisture: not dec. GC Column: CAP ID: 0.53 (mm)

CAS NO. COMPOUND

Soil Extract Volume: ____(uL)

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/L

Lab Sample ID: 752974

Date Received: 05/23/08

Date Analyzed: 05/28/08

Dilution Factor: 1.0

Q

Soil Aliquot Volume: (uL)

100-41-4Ethylbenzene	0.50	U
1330-20-7m- & p-Xylene	0.50	U
95-47-6o-Xylene	0.50	U
100-42-5Styrene	0.50	U
75-25-2Bromoform	0.50	U
98-82-8Isopropylbenzene	0.50	υ
108-86-1Bromobenzene	0.50	U
79-34-51,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.50	U
103-65-1n-Propylbenzene	0.50	U
95-49-82-Chlorotoluene	0.50	U
106-43-44-Chlorotoluene	0.50	U
108-67-81,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	0.50	U
98-06-6tert-Butylbenzene	0.50	U
95-63-61,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	0.50	U
135-98-8sec-Butylbenzene	0.50	U
541-73-11,3-Dichlorobenzene	0.50	U
99-87-6p-Isopropyltoluene	0.50	U
106-46-71,4-Dichlorobenzene	0.50	U
95-50-11,2-Dichlorobenzene	0.50	U
104-51-8n-Butylbenzene	0.50	U
120-82-11,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	0.50	U
87-68-3Hexachlorobutadiene	0.50	U
91-20-3Naphthalene	0.50	U

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET 2-0508-16 Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Contract: 28000 SDG No.: 125630 Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SAS No.: Lab Sample ID: 752972 Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab File ID: Sample wt/vol: 5.000 (g/mL) ML 752972 Date Received: 05/23/08 Level: (low/med) LOW % Moisture: not dec. Date Analyzed: 05/28/08 GC Column: CAP ID: 0.53 (mm) Dilution Factor: 1.0 Soil Aliquot Volume: _____(uL) Soil Extract Volume: (uL) CONCENTRATION UNITS: CAS NO. COMPOUND (uq/L or uq/Kq) UG/L Q 75-71-8-----Dichlorodifluoromethane 0.50 U 74-87-3-----Chloromethane 0.50 U 75-01-4-----Vinyl Chloride 0.50 U 74-83-9----Bromomethane 0.50 U 75-00-3----Chloroethane 0.50 U 75-69-4-----Trichlorofluoromethane 0.50 U 75-35-4-----1,1-Dichloroethene 0.50 U 0.50 U 75-09-2-----Methylene Chloride

FORM 1

WESTN1 SAMPLE NO.

		0.00	- U
	156-60-5trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.50	U
Į	1634-04-4Methyl-t-Butyl Ether	0.50	U
I	75-34-31,1-Dichloroethane	0.50	U
l	594-20-72,2-Dichloropropane	0.50	U
ĺ	156-59-2cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.50	U
	74-97-5Bromochloromethane	0.50	U
ł	67-66-3Chloroform	0.50	U
l	71-55-61,1,1-Trichloroethane	0.50	U
	56-23-5Carbon Tetrachloride	0.50	U
l	563-58-61,1-Dichloropropene	0.50	U
l	71-43-2Benzene	0.50	U
ł	107-06-21,2-Dichloroethane	0.50	U
l	79-01-6Trichloroethene	0.50	U
ļ	78-87-51,2-Dichloropropane	0.50	U
l	74-95-3Dibromomethane	0.50	U
l	75-27-4Bromodichloromethane	0.50	U
ĺ	10061-01-5cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.50	U
l	108-88-3Toluene	2.4	
ł	10061-02-6trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.50	U
	79-00-51,1,2-Trichloroethane	0.50	U
ļ	127-18-4Tetrachloroethene	0.50	U
	142-28-91,3-Dichloropropane	0.50	U
	124-48-1Dibromochloromethane	0.50	U
ĺ	108-90-7Chlorobenzene	0.50	U
	630-20-61,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.50	U
ĺ			

WESTN1 SAMPLE NO. FORM 1 VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET 2-0508-16 Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Contract: 28000 SDG No.: 125630 Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SAS No.: Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 752972 Sample wt/vol: 5.000 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: 752972 Date Received: 05/23/08 Level: (low/med) LOW % Moisture: not dec. Date Analyzed: 05/28/08 GC Column: CAP ID: 0.53 (mm) Dilution Factor: 1.0 Soil Aliquot Volume: _____(uL) Soil Extract Volume: _____(uL) CONCENTRATION UNITS:

CAS NO. COMPOUND

(ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/L

Q

100-41-4Ethylbenzene	0.50	U
1330-20-7m- & p-Xylene	0.50	U
95-47-6o-Xylene	0.50	U
100-42-5Styrene	0.50	U
75-25-2Bromoform	0.50	U
98-82-8Isopropylbenzene	0.50	U
108-86-1Bromobenzene	0.50	U
79-34-51,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.50	U
103-65-1n-Propylbenzene	0.50	U
95-49-82-Chlorotoluene	0.50	U
106-43-44-Chlorotoluene	0.50	U
108-67-81,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	0.50	U
98-06-6tert-Butylbenzene	0.50	U
95-63-61,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	0.50	U
135-98-8sec-Butylbenzene	0.50	U
541-73-11,3-Dichlorobenzene	0.50	U
99-87-6p-Isopropyltoluene	0.50	U
106-46-71,4-Dichlorobenzene	0.50	U
95-50-11,2-Dichlorobenzene	0.50	U
104-51-8n-Butylbenzene	0.50	U
120-82-11,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	0.50	U
87-68-3Hexachlorobutadiene	0.50	U
91-20-3Naphthalene	0.56	

FORM 1 WESTN1 SAMPLE NO. VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET 2-0508-189 Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Contract: 28000 Case No.: MEFUDS SAS No.: SDG No.: 125630 Lab Code: STLV Lab Sample ID: 752976 Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Sample wt/vol: 5.000 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: 752976 Date Received: 05/23/08 Level: (low/med) LOW % Moisture: not dec. Date Analyzed: 05/28/08 Dilution Factor: 1.0 GC Column: CAP ID: 0.53 (mm) Soil Aliquot Volume: _____(uL) Soil Extract Volume: (uL) CONCENTRATION UNITS: CAS NO. COMPOUND (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/L 0 75-71-8-----Dichlorodifluoromethane 0.50 U 74-87-3-----Chloromethane 0.50 U 75-01-4-----Vinyl Chloride 0.50 U 74-83-9----Bromomethane 0.50 U 75-00-3----Chloroethane 0.50 U 75-69-4-----Trichlorofluoromethane 0.50 U 75-35-4-----1,1-Dichloroethene 0.50 U 75-09-2----Methylene Chloride 0.50 U 156-60-5-----trans-1,2-Dichloroethene 0.50 U 1634-04-4-----Methyl-t-Butyl Ether 0.50 U 75-34-3-----1,1-Dichloroethane 0.50 U 594-20-7----2,2-Dichloropropane 0.50 U 156-59-2----cis-1,2-Dichloroethene 0.50 U 0.50 U 74-97-5----Bromochloromethane 67-66-3----Chloroform 0.23 J 71-55-6-----1,1,1-Trichloroethane 0.50 U 56-23-5-----Carbon Tetrachloride 0.50 U 563-58-6----1,1-Dichloropropene 0.50 U 71-43-2----Benzene 0.50 U 107-06-2----1,2-Dichloroethane 0.50 U 0.50 U 79-01-6-----Trichloroethene 78-87-5-----1,2-Dichloropropane 0.50 U 74-95-3----Dibromomethane 0.50 U 75-27-4----Bromodichloromethane 0.50 U 10061-01-5----cis-1,3-Dichloropropene 0.50 U 108-88-3----Toluene 2.3 10061-02-6----trans-1, 3-Dichloropropene 0.50 0 79-00-5-----1,1,2-Trichloroethane 0.50 U 0.50|U 127-18-4----Tetrachloroethene 142-28-9----1,3-Dichloropropane 0.50 U 124-48-1----Dibromochloromethane 0.26 J 108-90-7----Chlorobenzene 0.50 U 630-20-6-----1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane 0.50 U

WESTN1 SAMPLE NO. FORM 1 VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

2-0508-189 Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Contract: 28000 Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SAS No.: SDG No.: 125630 Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 752976 Sample wt/vol: 5.000 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: 752976 Date Received: 05/23/08 Level: (low/med) LOW % Moisture: not dec. _____ Date Analyzed: 05/28/08 Dilution Factor: 1.0 GC Column: CAP ID: 0.53 (mm) Soil Aliquot Volume: _____(uL) Soil Extract Volume:_____(uL)

CAS NO. COMPOUND

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/L

Q

79-34-51,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane0       0         103-65-1n-Propylbenzene0       0         95-49-82-Chlorotoluene0       0         106-43-44-Chlorotoluene0       0         108-67-81,3,5-Trimethylbenzene0       0         98-06-6tert-Butylbenzene0       0         95-63-61,2,4-Trimethylbenzene0       0         135-98-8sec-Butylbenzene0       0         99-87-6	).50 ).50 ).50 ).50 ).50 ).50 ).50 ).50	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--

.

WESTN1 SAMPLE NO.

-

VOLATILE	ORGANICS ANALYSIS DA	ATA SHEET	ı
Lab Name: TESTAMERICA	BURLINGTON Cont	cract: 28000	2-0508-265
Lab Code: STLV C	ase No.: MEFUDS SAS	S No.: SDG	No.: 125630
Matrix: (soil/water)	WATER	Lab Sample ID:	752977
Sample wt/vol:	5.000 (g/mL) ML	Lab File ID:	752977
Level: (low/med)	LOW	Date Received:	05/23/08
% Moisture: not dec.		Date Analyzed:	05/28/08
GC Column: CAP	ID: 0.53 (mm)	Dilution Facto	or: 1.0
Soil Extract Volume:_	(uL)	Soil Aliquot V	Volume:(uL)
CAS NO.	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/I	Q

75-71-8Dichlorodifluoromethane	0.50	U
74-87-3Chloromethane	0.50	U
75-01-4Vinyl Chloride	0.50	U
74-83-9Bromomethane	0.50	U
75-00-3Chloroethane	0.50	U
75-69-4Trichlorofluoromethane	0.50	U
75-35-41,1-Dichloroethene	0.50	U
75-09-2Methylene Chloride	0.50	U
156-60-5trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.50	U
1634-04-4Methyl-t-Butyl Ether	0.50	U
75-34-31,1-Dichloroethane	0.50	U
594-20-72,2-Dichloropropane	0.50	U
156-59-2cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.50	U
74-97-5Bromochloromethane	0.50	U
67-66-3Chloroform	0.50	U
71-55-61,1,1-Trichloroethane	0.50	U
56-23-5Carbon Tetrachloride	0.50	U
563-58-61,1-Dichloropropene	0.50	U
71-43-2Benzene	0.50	U
107-06-21,2-Dichloroethane	0.50	U
79-01-6Trichloroethene	0.50	U
78-87-51,2-Dichloropropane	0.50	U
74-95-3Dibromomethane	0.50	U
75-27-4Bromodichloromethane	0.50	U
10061-01-5cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.50	U
108-88-3Toluene	0.79	
10061-02-6trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.50	U
79-00-51,1,2-Trichloroethane	0.50	U
127-18-4Tetrachloroethene	0.50	U
142-28-91,3-Dichloropropane	0.50	U
124-48-1Dibromochloromethane	0.50	U
108-90-7Chlorobenzene	0.50	U
630-20-61,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.50	U

WESTN1 SAMPLE NO.

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYS	SIS DATA SHEET
Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON	2-0508-265
Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS	SAS No.: SDG No.: 125630
Matrix: (soil/water) WATER	Lab Sample ID: 752977
Sample wt/vol: 5.000 (g/mL) MI	Lab File ID: 752977
Level: (low/med) LOW	Date Received: 05/23/08
% Moisture: not dec	Date Analyzed: 05/28/08
GC Column: CAP ID: 0.53 (mm)	Dilution Factor: 1.0
Soil Extract Volume:(uL)	Soil Aliquot Volume:(uL)
CAS NO. COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/L Q
100-41-4Ethylbenzene	0.50 U

100-41-4Ethylbenzene	0.50	U
1330-20-7m- & p-Xylene	0.50	U
95-47-6o-Xylene	0.50	U
100-42-5Styrene	0.50	U
75-25-2Bromoform	0.50	U
98-82-8Isopropylbenzene	0.50	U
108-86-1Bromobenzene	0.50	U
79-34-51,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.50	U
103-65-1n-Propylbenzene	0.50	U
95-49-82-Chlorotoluene	0.50	U
106-43-44-Chlorotoluene	0.50	U
108-67-81,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	0.50	U
98-06-6tert-Butylbenzene	0.50	U
95-63-61,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	0.50	U
135-98-8sec-Butylbenzene	0.50	U
541-73-11,3-Dichlorobenzene	0.50	U
99-87-6p-Isopropyltoluene	0.50	U
106-46-71,4-Dichlorobenzene	0.50	U
95-50-11,2-Dichlorobenzene	0.50	U
104-51-8n-Butylbenzene	0.50	U
120-82-11,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	0.50	U
87-68-3Hexachlorobutadiene	0.50	U
91-20-3Naphthalene	0.50	U

WESTN1 SAMPLE NO.

Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Contract: 2800	2-0508-945
Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SAS No.:	SDG No.: 125630
Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sa	ample ID: 752975
Sample wt/vol: 5.000 (g/mL) ML Lab F	ile ID: 752975
Level: (low/med) LOW Date Date D	Received: 05/23/08
% Moisture: not dec Date 2	Analyzed: 05/28/08
GC Column: CAP ID: 0.53 (mm) Dilut:	ion Factor: 1.0
Soil Extract Volume:(uL) Soil 2	Aliquot Volume:(uL)
CONCENTRATIC CAS NO. COMPOUND (ug/L or ug)	ON UNITS: /Kg) UG/L Q
75-71-8Dichlorodifluoromethane         74-87-3Chloromethane         75-01-4Vinyl Chloride         74-83-9Bromomethane         75-00-3Chloroethane         75-69-4	$ \begin{array}{c} 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0$

# FORM I VOA

WESTN1 SAMPLE NO. FORM 1 VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET 2-0508-945 Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Contract: 28000 Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SAS No.: SDG No.: 125630 Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 752975 Sample wt/vol: 5.000 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: 752975 Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 05/23/08 % Moisture: not dec. _____ Date Analyzed: 05/28/08 Dilution Factor: 1.0 GC Column: CAP ID: 0.53 (mm) Soil Extract Volume:_____(uL) Soil Aliquot Volume: _____(uL) CONCENTRATION UNITS:

CAS NO. COMPOUND

(ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/L

Q

100-41-4Ethylbenzene	0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50	נממממממממ
135-98-8sec-Butylbenzene	0.50	U U
99-87-6p-Isopropyltoluene 106-46-71,4-Dichlorobenzene 95-50-11,2-Dichlorobenzene	0.50 0.50 0.50	บ บ บ
104-51-8n-Butylbenzene 120-82-11,2,4-Trichlorobenzene 87-68-3Hexachlorobutadiene	0.50	บ บ บ
91-20-3Naphthalene	0.50	Ŭ

WESTN1 SAMPLE NO. FORM 1 VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET 2-0508285 Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Contract: 28000 SDG No.: 125630 Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SAS No.: Lab Sample ID: 752973 Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Sample wt/vol: 5.000 (q/mL) ML Lab File ID: 752973 Date Received: 05/23/08 Level: (low/med) LOW % Moisture: not dec. Date Analyzed: 05/28/08 GC Column: CAP ID: 0.53 (mm) Dilution Factor: 1.0 Soil Aliquot Volume: _____(uL) Soil Extract Volume: _____(uL) CONCENTRATION UNITS: CAS NO. COMPOUND (uq/L or uq/Kq) UG/LQ 75-71-8-----Dichlorodifluoromethane 0.50 U 74-87-3-----Chloromethane 0.50 U 75-01-4-----Vinyl Chloride 0.50 U 74-83-9----Bromomethane 0.50 U 75-00-3-----Chloroethane 0.50 U 75-69-4-----Trichlorofluoromethane 0.50 U 75-35-4-----1,1-Dichloroethene 0.50 U 75-09-2-----Methylene Chloride 0.50 U 156-60-5-----trans-1,2-Dichloroethene 0.50 U 1634-04-4-----Methyl-t-Butyl Ether 0.50 U 75-34-3-----1,1-Dichloroethane 0.50 U 594-20-7-----2.2-Dichloropropane 0 50 11

JJ4 20 / Starz, 2-Dichiolopiopane	0.50	
156-59-2cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.50	U
74-97-5Bromochloromethane	0.50	U
67-66-3Chloroform	0.50	ט (
71-55-61,1,1-Trichloroethane	0.50	υ
56-23-5Carbon Tetrachloride	0.50	υ
563-58-61,1-Dichloropropene	0.50	U
71-43-2Benzene	0.50	U
107-06-21,2-Dichloroethane	0.50	U
79-01-6Trichloroethene	0.50	U (
78-87-51,2-Dichloropropane	0.50	U
74-95-3Dibromomethane	0.50	U
75-27-4Bromodichloromethane	0.50	U
10061-01-5cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.50	U
108-88-3Toluene	0.50	U
10061-02-6trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.50	U
79-00-51,1,2-Trichloroethane	0.50	U
127-18-4Tetrachloroethene	0.50	U
142-28-91,3-Dichloropropane	0.50	U
124-48-1Dibromochloromethane	0.50	U
108-90-7Chlorobenzene	0.50	U
630-20-61,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.50	U

WESTN1 SAMPLE NO. FORM 1 VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET 2-0508285 Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Contract: 28000 Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SAS No.: SDG No.: 125630 Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 752973 Sample wt/vol: 5.000 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: 752973 Date Received: 05/23/08 Level: (low/med) LOW % Moisture: not dec. _____ Date Analyzed: 05/28/08 Dilution Factor: 1.0 GC Column: CAP ID: 0.53 (mm) Soil Extract Volume: ____(uL) Soil Aliquot Volume: (uL) CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/L CAS NO. COMPOUND Q  $1^{-}$ 

100-41-4Ethylbenzene	0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
108-67-81,3,5-Trimethylbenzene         98-06-6tert-Butylbenzene         95-63-61,2,4-Trimethylbenzene         135-98-8sec-Butylbenzene         541-73-11,3-Dichlorobenzene         99-87-6	0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50	
120-82-11,2,4-Trichlorobenzene 87-68-3Hexachlorobutadiene 91-20-3Naphthalene	0.50 0.50 0.50	บ บ บ

WESTN1 SAMPLE NO.

			1.1		
Lab Name: TESTAMERI	CA BURLINGTON	Contract: 28000		RB-051808-0	1
Lab Code: STLV	Case No.: MEFUDS	SAS No.:	SDG	No.: 125630	
Matrix: (soil/water)	WATER	Lab Sa	ample ID:	752978	
Sample wt/vol:	5.000 (g/mL) ML	Lab Fi	ile ID:	752978	
Level: (low/med)	LOW	Date H	Received:	05/23/08	
% Moisture: not dec	•	Date A	Analyzed:	05/28/08	
GC Column: CAP	ID: 0.53 (mm)	Diluti	ion Facto	r: 1.0	
Soil Extract Volume	:(uL)	Soil A	Aliquot V	olume:	(uL)
CAS NO.	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATIO	ON UNITS: /Kg) UG/L	Q	
75-71-8 74-87-3 75-01-4 74-83-9 75-00-3	Dichlorodifluc Chloromethane Vinyl Chloride Bromomethane	promethane		0.50 U 0.50 U 0.50 U 0.50 U 0.50 U	

	75-01-4Vinyl Chloride	0.50	U
	74-83-9Bromomethane	0.50	U
	75-00-3Chloroethane	0.50	U
	75-69-4Trichlorofluoromethane	0.50	U
J	75-35-41,1-Dichloroethene	0.50	U
	75-09-2Methylene Chloride	0.50	U
	156-60-5trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.50	U
	1634-04-4Methyl-t-Butyl Ether	0.50	U
	75-34-31,1-Dichloroethane	0.50	U
	594-20-72,2-Dichloropropane	0.50	U
	156-59-2cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.50	U
	74-97-5Bromochloromethane	0.50	U
	67-66-3Chloroform	0.50	U
	71-55-61,1,1-Trichloroethane	0.50	U
	56-23-5Carbon Tetrachloride	0.50	U
	563-58-61,1-Dichloropropene	0.50	U
l	71-43-2Benzene	0.50	U
	107-06-21,2-Dichloroethane	0.50	U
	79-01-6Trichloroethene	0.50	U
	78-87-51,2-Dichloropropane	0.50	U
	74-95-3Dibromomethane	0.50	U
	75-27-4Bromodichloromethane	0.50	U
	10061-01-5cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.50	U
	108-88-3Toluene	0.47	J
	10061-02-6trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.50	U
	79-00-51,1,2-Trichloroethane	0.50	U
	127-18-4Tetrachloroethene	0.50	U
	142-28-91,3-Dichloropropane	0.50	U
	124-48-1Dibromochloromethane	0.50	U
	108-90-7Chlorobenzene	0.50	U
	630-20-61,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.50	U

WESTN1 SAMPLE NO. FORM 1 VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET RB-051808-01 Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Contract: 28000 Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SAS No.: SDG No.: 125630 Lab Sample ID: 752978 Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Sample wt/vol: 5.000 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: 752978 Date Received: 05/23/08 Level: (low/med) LOW Date Analyzed: 05/28/08 % Moisture: not dec. Dilution Factor: 1.0 GC Column: CAP ID: 0.53 (mm) Soil Extract Volume: (uL) Soil Aliquot Volume: ____(uL) CONCENTRATION UNITS: CAS NO. COMPOUND (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/L Q

100-41-4	0.50	TT
1330-20-7m- & p-Xylene	0.50	Π
95-47-6o-Xvlene	0.50	U
100-42-5Styrene	0.50	U
75-25-2Bromoform	0.50	U
98-82-8Isopropylbenzene	0.50	U
108-86-1Bromobenzene	0.50	U
79-34-51,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.50	U
103-65-1n-Propylbenzene	0.50	U
95-49-82-Chlorotoluene	0.50	U
106-43-44-Chlorotoluene	0.50	U
108-67-81,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	0.50	U
98-06-6tert-Butylbenzene	0.50	U
95-63-61,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	0.50	U
135-98-8sec-Butylbenzene	0.50	U
541-73-11,3-Dichlorobenzene	0.50	U
99-87-6p-Isopropyltoluene	0.50	U
106-46-71,4-Dichlorobenzene	0.50	U
95-50-11,2-Dichlorobenzene	0.50	U
104-51-8n-Butylbenzene	0.50	U
120-82-11,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	0.50	U
87-68-3Hexachlorobutadiene	0.50	U
91-20-3Naphthalene	0.50	U

	FORM 1	W	ESTN1 SAMPLE NO.
VOLATILE	ORGANICS ANALYSIS	5 DATA SHEET	· ·
Lab Name: TESTAMERIC	A BURLINGTON C	Contract: 28000	RB-052008-01
Lab Code: STLV	Case No.: MEFUDS	SAS No.: SDG	No.: 125630
Matrix: (soil/water)	WATER	Lab Sample ID	: 752985
Sample wt/vol:	5.000 (g/mL) ML	Lab File ID:	752985
Level: (low/med)	LOW	Date Received	: 05/23/08
% Moisture: not dec.		Date Analyzed	: 05/28/08
GC Column: CAP	ID: 0.53 (mm)	Dilution Fact	or: 1.0
Soil Extract Volume:	(uL)	Soil Aliquot	Volume:(uL)
		CONCENTRATION UNITS	:

CAS NO. COMPOUND (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/L Q

75-71-8Dichlorodifluoromethane	0.50	U
74-87-3Chloromethane	0.50	U
75-01-4Vinyl Chloride	0.50	U
74-83-9Bromomethane	0.50	U
75-00-3Chloroethane	0.50	U
75-69-4Trichlorofluoromethane	0.50	U
75-35-41,1-Dichloroethene	0.50	U
75-09-2Methylene Chloride	0.50	U
156-60-5trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.50	U
1634-04-4Methyl-t-Butyl Ether	0.50	U
75-34-31,1-Dichloroethane	0.50	U
594-20-72,2-Dichloropropane	0.50	U
156-59-2cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.50	U
74-97-5Bromochloromethane	0.50	U
67-66-3Chloroform	0.50	U
71-55-61,1,1-Trichloroethane	0.50	U
56-23-5Carbon Tetrachloride	0.50	U
563-58-61,1-Dichloropropene	0.50	U
71-43-2Benzene	0.50	U
107-06-21,2-Dichloroethane	0.50	U
79-01-6Trichloroethene	0.20	J
78-87-51,2-Dichloropropane	0.50	U
74-95-3Dibromomethane	0.50	U
75-27-4Bromodichloromethane	0.50	U
10061-01-5cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.50	U
108-88-3Toluene	0.50	U
10061-02-6trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.50	U
79-00-51,1,2-Trichloroethane	0.50	U
127-18-4Tetrachloroethene	0.50	U
142-28-91,3-Dichloropropane	0.50	U
124-48-1Dibromochloromethane	0.50	U
108-90-7Chlorobenzene	0.50	U
630-20-61,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.50	U

WESTN1 SAMPLE NO. FORM 1 VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET RB-052008-01 Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Contract: 28000 Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SAS No.: SDG No.: 125630 Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 752985 Sample wt/vol: 5.000 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: 752985 Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 05/23/08 % Moisture: not dec. _____ Date Analyzed: 05/28/08 GC Column: CAP ID: 0.53 (mm) Dilution Factor: 1.0 Soil Extract Volume: ____(uL) Soil Aliquot Volume: _____(uL) CONCENTRATION UNITS: CAS NO. COMPOUND (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/L Q 1.7

100-41-4Ethylbenzene	0.50	TT
1330-20-7m- & p-Yylene	0.50	
95-47-6	0.50	
100 42 F	0.50	
	0.50	
75-25-2Bromolorm	0.50	U
98-82-8Isopropylbenzene	0.50	U
108-86-1Bromobenzene	0.50	U
79-34-51,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.50	U
103-65-1n-Propylbenzene	0.50	U
95-49-82-Chlorotoluene	0.50	U
106-43-44-Chlorotoluene	0.50	U
108-67-81,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	0.50	U
98-06-6tert-Butylbenzene	0.50	U
95-63-61,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	0.50	υ
135-98-8sec-Butylbenzene	0.50	U
541-73-11,3-Dichlorobenzene	0.50	U
99-87-6p-Isopropyltoluene	0.50	U
106-46-71,4-Dichlorobenzene	0.50	U
95-50-11,2-Dichlorobenzene	0.50	Ū
104-51-8n-Butvlbenzene	0.50	υ
120-82-11,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	0.50	U
87-68-3Hexachlorobutadiene	0.50	TT
91-20-3Naphthalene		TT
	0.50	0
	I	

VOLATILE	FORM 1 ORGANICS ANALYSIS	WI DATA SHEET	ESTN1 SAMPLE NO.
Lab Name: TESTAMERIC	A BURLINGTON C	ontract: 28000	TB-051608-01
Lab Code: STLV	Case No.: MEFUDS	SAS No.: SDG	No.: 125630
Matrix: (soil/water)	WATER	Lab Sample ID	: 752986
Sample wt/vol:	5.000 (g/mL) ML	Lab File ID:	752986
Level: (low/med)	LOW	Date Received	: 05/23/08
% Moisture: not dec.		Date Analyzed	: 05/28/08
GC Column: CAP	ID: 0.53 (mm)	Dilution Facto	pr: 1.0
Soil Extract Volume:	(uL)	Soil Aliquot V	/olume:(uL)
		CONCENTRATION UNITS	:

CAS NO. COMPOUND (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/L Q

75-71-8Dichlorodifluoromethane	0.50	U
74-87-3Chloromethane	0.50	U
75-01-4Vinvl Chloride	0.50	U
74-83-9Bromomethane	0.50	U
75-00-3Chloroethane	0.50	Ū
75-69-4Trichlorofluoromethane	0.50	Ū
75-35-41.1-Dichloroethene	0.50	Ū
75-09-2Methylene Chloride	0.50	IJ
156-60-5trans-1.2-Dichloroethene	0.50	IJ
1634-04-4Methyl-t-Butyl Ether	0.50	IJ
75-34-31.1-Dichloroethane	0.50	U
594-20-72.2-Dichloropropane	0.50	
156-59-2cis-1.2-Dichloroethene	0.50	TT T
74-97-5Bromochloromethane	0.50	TT T
67-66-3Chloroform	0.50	TT T
71-55-61.1.1-Trichloroethane	0.50	TT
56-23-5Carbon Tetrachloride	0.50	TT I
563-58-61.1-Dichloropropene	0.50	
71-43-2Benzene	0.50	
107-06-21.2-Dichloroethane	0.50	
79-01-6Trichloroethene	0.50	TT T
78-87-51.2-Dichloropropage	0.50	TT T
74-95-3Dibromomethane	0.50	TT T
75-27-4Bromodichloromethane	0.50	TT T
10061-01-5cis-1.3-Dichloropropene	0.50	II
108-88-3Toluene	0.50	TT
10061-02-6trans-1.3-Dichloropropene	0.50	TT T
79-00-51.1.2-Trichloroethane	0.50	U U
127-18-4Tetrachloroethene	0.50	U U
142-28-91.3-Dichloropropane	0.50	TT T
124-48-1Dibromochloromethane	0.50	Ŭ
108-90-7Chlorobenzene	0.50	U U
630-20-61.1.1.2-Tetrachloroethane	0.50	Ŭ
	0.50	0

WESTN1 SAMPLE NO.

|-----|

Lab Name: TESTAMERIC	A BURLINGTON (	Contract: 28000	TB-051608-01
Lab Code: STLV	Case No.: MEFUDS	SAS No.: SDG	No.: 125630
Matrix: (soil/water)	WATER	Lab Sample ID:	752986
Sample wt/vol:	5.000 (g/mL) ML	Lab File ID:	752986
Level: (low/med)	LOW	Date Received:	05/23/08
% Moisture: not dec.		Date Analyzed:	05/28/08
GC Column: CAP	ID: 0.53 (mm)	Dilution Facto	pr: 1.0
Soil Extract Volume:	(uL)	Soil Aliquot N	Volume:(uL)
CAS NO.	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/I	Q

87-68-3Hexachlorobutadiene       0.50       U         91-20-3Naphthalene       0.50       U	100-41-4Ethylbenzene         1330-20-7m-&p-Xylene         95-47-6o-Xylene         100-42-5o-Xylene         100-42-5Styrene         75-25-2Bromoform         98-82-8Bromobenzene         108-86-1Bromobenzene         103-65-1Bromobenzene         95-49-81,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane         103-65-1Bromobenzene         95-49-81,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane         103-65-1	0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50	מממממממממממממ
120-82-11,2,4-111Ch10robenzene       0.50 U         87-68-3Hexachlorobutadiene       0.50 U         91-20-3Naphthalene       0.50 U	104-51-8n-Butylbenzene	0.50	U
91-20-3Naphthalene0.50 U	87-68-3Hexachlorobutadiene	0.50	U U
	91-20-3Naphthalene	0.50	U

	FORM 1	WESTN1 SAMPLE NO	э.
VOLATILE	ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA S	HEET	— I
Lab Name: TESTAMERIC.	A BURLINGTON Contract	: 28000 TB-051908-01	
Lab Code: STLV	Case No.: MEFUDS SAS No.	: SDG No.: 125630	
Matrix: (soil/water)	WATER	Lab Sample ID: 752987	
Sample wt/vol:	5.000 (g/mL) ML	Lab File ID: 752987	
Level: (low/med)	LOW	Date Received: 05/23/08	
% Moisture: not dec.		Date Analyzed: 05/28/08	
GC Column: CAP	ID: 0.53 (mm)	Dilution Factor: 1.0	
Soil Extract Volume:	(uL)	Soil Aliquot Volume:	(uL)
	CONCE	NERVELON IBITER	

CAS NO.

COMPOUND

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/L

Q

75-71-8Dichlorodifluoromethane	0.50	U
74-87-3Chloromethane	0.50	U
75-01-4Vinyl Chloride	0.50	U
74-83-9Bromomethane	0.50	U
75-00-3Chloroethane	0.50	U
75-69-4Trichlorofluoromethane	0.50	U
75-35-41,1-Dichloroethene	0.50	U
75-09-2Methylene Chloride	0.50	U
156-60-5trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.50	U
1634-04-4Methyl-t-Butyl Ether	0.50	U
75-34-31,1-Dichloroethane	0.50	U
594-20-72,2-Dichloropropane	0.50	U
156-59-2cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.50	U
74-97-5Bromochloromethane	0.50	U
67-66-3Chloroform	0.50	U
71-55-61,1,1-Trichloroethane	0.50	U
56-23-5Carbon Tetrachloride	0.50	U
563-58-61,1-Dichloropropene	0.50	U
71-43-2Benzene	0.50	U
107-06-21,2-Dichloroethane	0.50	U
79-01-6Trichloroethene	0.50	U
78-87-51,2-Dichloropropane	0.50	U
74-95-3Dibromomethane	0.50	U
75-27-4Bromodichloromethane	0.50	U
10061-01-5cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.50	U
108-88-3Toluene	0.50	U
10061-02-6trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.50	U
79-00-51,1,2-Trichloroethane	0.50	U
127-18-4Tetrachloroethene	0.50	U
142-28-91,3-Dichloropropane	0.50	U
124-48-1Dibromochloromethane	0.50	U
108-90-7Chlorobenzene	0.50	U
630-20-61,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.50	U

WESTN1 SAMPLE NO. FORM 1 VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET TB-051908-01 Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Contract: 28000 Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SAS No.: SDG No.: 125630 Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 752987 Sample wt/vol: 5.000 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: 752987 Date Received: 05/23/08 Level: (low/med) LOW % Moisture: not dec. Date Analyzed: 05/28/08 Dilution Factor: 1.0 GC Column: CAP ID: 0.53 (mm) Soil Aliquot Volume: ____(uL) Soil Extract Volume:_____(uL) CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/L CAS NO. COMPOUND Q

100-41-4Ethylbenzene	0.50	U
1330-20-7m- & p-Xylene	0.50	U
95-47-6o-Xylene	0.50	U
100-42-5Styrene	0.50	U
75-25-2Bromoform	0.50	U
98-82-8Isopropylbenzene	0.50	U
108-86-1Bromobenzene	0.50	U
79-34-51,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.50	U
103-65-1n-Propylbenzene	0.50	U
95-49-82-Chlorotoluene	0.50	U
106-43-44-Chlorotoluene	0.50	U
108-67-81,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	0.50	U
98-06-6tert-Butvlbenzene	0.50	U
95-63-61,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	0.50	U
135-98-8sec-Butvlbenzene	0.50	Ū
541-73-11, 3-Dichlorobenzene	0.50	U
99-87-6p-Isopropyltoluene	0.50	U
106-46-71,4-Dichlorobenzene	0.50	U
95-50-11,2-Dichlorobenzene	0.50	U
104-51-8n-Butvlbenzene	0.50	Ū
120-82-11,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	0.50	Ū
87-68-3Hexachlorobutadiene	0.50	U
91-20-3Naphthalene	0 50	U
	0.50	-
	· /	

	FORM 1	CLIENT SAMPLE NO.	
VOLATILE	ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA S		
Lab Name: TESTAMERIC	A BURLINGTON Contract	MBLK052808LB	
Lab Code: STLV	Case No.: MEFUDS SAS No.	SDG No.: 125630	
Matrix: (soil/water)	WATER	Lab Sample ID: MBLK052808LB	
Sample wt/vol:	5.000 (g/mL) ML	Lab File ID: LUCB02F	
Level: (low/med)	LOW	Date Received:	
% Moisture: not dec.		Date Analyzed: 05/28/08	
GC Column: CAP	ID: 0.53 (mm)	Dilution Factor: 1.0	
Soil Extract Volume:	(uL)	Soil Aliquot Volume:(uL	(ר)
	CONCE	ATTON INTES.	

CAS NO. COMPOUND

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/L Q

Т

75-71-8Dichlorodifluoromethane	0.50	U
74-87-3Chloromethane	0.50	U
75-01-4Vinyl Chloride	0.50	U
74-83-9Bromomethane	0.50	U
75-00-3Chloroethane	0.50	U
75-69-4Trichlorofluoromethane	0.50	U
75-35-41,1-Dichloroethene	0.50	U
75-09-2Methylene Chloride	0.50	U
156-60-5trans-1.2-Dichloroethene	0.50	U
1634-04-4Methyl-t-Butyl Ether	0.50	υ
75-34-31.1-Dichloroethane	0.50	U
594-20-72.2-Dichloropropane	0.50	U
156-59-2cis-1.2-Dichloroethene	0.50	Ū
74-97-5Bromochloromethane	0.50	U
67-66-3Chloroform	0.50	Ū
71-55-61.1.1-Trichloroethane	0.50	U
56-23-5Carbon Tetrachloride	0.50	Ū
563-58-61.1-Dichloropropene	0.50	Ū
71-43-2Benzene	0.50	Ū
107-06-21 2-Dichloroethane	0.50	IJ
79-01-6Trichloroethene	0.50	U
78-87-51 2-Dichloropropage	0.50	U
74-95-3Dibromomethane	0.50	IJ
75-27-4Bromodichloromethane	0.50	U
10061-01-5cis-1.3-Dichloropropene	0.50	IJ
108-88-3Toluene	0.50	Ū
10061-02-6trans-1.3-Dichloropropene	0.50	U
79-00-51 1 2-Trichloroethane	0.50	IJ
127-18-4Tetrachloroethene	0.50	IJ
142-28-91 3-Dichloropropage	0.50	IJ
124-48-1Dibromochloromethane	0.50	U U
108-90-7Chlorobenzene	0.50	Ū
630-20-61 1 1 2-Tetrachloroethane	0.50	Ū
	0.50	Ŭ

FORM 1 VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSI	CLIENT SAMPLE NO.
Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON	MBLK052808LB
Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS	SAS No.: SDG No.: 125630
Matrix: (soil/water) WATER	Lab Sample ID: MBLK052808LB
Sample wt/vol: 5.000 (g/mL) ML	Lab File ID: LUCB02F
Level: (low/med) LOW	Date Received:
% Moisture: not dec	Date Analyzed: 05/28/08
GC Column: CAP ID: 0.53 (mm)	Dilution Factor: 1.0
Soil Extract Volume:(uL)	Soil Aliquot Volume:(uL)
CAS NO. COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/L Q
100-41-4Ethylbenzene 1330-20-7m-&p-Xylene 95-47-6o-Xylene 100-42-5Styrene 75-25-2Bromoform	0.50 U 0.50 U 0.50 U 0.50 U 0.50 U 0.50 U 0.50 U

0.50 U

0.50 U

0.50 U

0.50 U

0.50 U

0.50 U

0.50 U

0.50 U

0.50 U

0.50 U

0.50 U

0.50 U

0.50 U

0.50 U

0.50 U

0.50 U

0.50 U

0.50 U

98-82-8----Isopropylbenzene

103-65-1----n-Propylbenzene

95-49-8----2-Chlorotoluene

106-43-4----4-Chlorotoluene

98-06-6-----tert-Butylbenzene

541-73-1-----1, 3-Dichlorobenzene

99-87-6----p-Isopropyltoluene

106-46-7----1, 4-Dichlorobenzene

95-50-1-----1,2-Dichlorobenzene

87-68-3-----Hexachlorobutadiene

120-82-1-----1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene

135-98-8----sec-Butylbenzene

104-51-8----n-Butylbenzene

91-20-3-----Naphthalene

79-34-5-----1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane

108-67-8-----1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene

95-63-6-----1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene

108-86-1----Bromobenzene

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA	SHEET
Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Contra	MBLK052908LA
Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SAS N	o.: SDG No.: 125630
Matrix: (soil/water) WATER	Lab Sample ID: MBLK052908LA
Sample wt/vol: 5.000 (g/mL) ML	Lab File ID: LUCB02G
Level: (low/med) LOW	Date Received:
% Moisture: not dec.	Date Analyzed: 05/29/08
GC Column: CAP ID: 0.53 (mm)	Dilution Factor: 1.0
Soil Extract Volume:(uL)	Soil Aliquot Volume:(uL)
CAS NO. COMPOUND (ug	CENTRATION UNITS: /L or ug/Kg) UG/L Q
75-71-8Dichlorodifluorometh 74-87-3Chloromethane 75-01-4Vinyl Chloride 74-83-9Bromomethane 75-00-3Chloroethane 75-69-4Trichlorofluoromethan 75-35-41,1-Dichloroethene 75-09-2Methylene Chloride	ane 0.50 U 0.50 U 0.50 U 0.50 U 0.50 U ne 0.50 U 0.50 U 0.50 U

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

0.50 U

0.50 U

0.50 U

0.50 U

0.50 U

0.50 U

0.50 U

0.50 U

0.50 U

0.50 U

0.50 U

0.50 U

0.50 U

0.50 U

0.50 U

0.50 U

0.50 U

0.50 U

0.50 U

0.50 U

0.50 U

0.50 U

0.50 U

0.50 U

0.50 U

FORM 1

156-60-5-----trans-1,2-Dichloroethene

1634-04-4-----Methyl-t-Butyl Ether

75-34-3----1,1-Dichloroethane

594-20-7----2,2-Dichloropropane

74-97-5-----Bromochloromethane

67-66-3-----Chloroform

71-43-2----Benzene

108-88-3----Toluene

156-59-2----cis-1,2-Dichloroethene

71-55-6-----1,1,1,1-Trichloroethane

56-23-5-----Carbon Tetrachloride

563-58-6----1,1-Dichloropropene

107-06-2----1,2-Dichloroethane

78-87-5-----1,2-Dichloropropane

75-27-4----Bromodichloromethane

79-00-5-----1,1,2-Trichloroethane

127-18-4----Tetrachloroethene

108-90-7----Chlorobenzene

142-28-9-----1,3-Dichloropropane

124-48-1----Dibromochloromethane

10061-01-5----cis-1,3-Dichloropropene

10061-02-6----trans-1,3-Dichloropropene

630-20-6-----1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane

79-01-6----Trichloroethene

74-95-3-----Dibromomethane

CLIENT SAMPLE NO. FORM 1 VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET MBLK052908LA Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Contract: 28000 SDG No.: 125630 Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SAS No.: Lab Sample ID: MBLK052908LA Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Sample wt/vol: 5.000 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: LUCB02G Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: % Moisture: not dec. _____ Date Analyzed: 05/29/08 Dilution Factor: 1.0 GC Column: CAP ID: 0.53 (mm) Soil Extract Volume:_____(uL) Soil Aliquot Volume: _____(uL) CONCENTRATION UNITS:

CAS NO. COMPOUND

(ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/L

Q

100-41-4Ethylbenzene         1330-20-7m-&p-Xylene         95-47-6O-Xylene         100-42-5Styrene         75-25-2Bromoform         98-82-8Bromoform         98-82-8Bromobenzene         108-86-1Bromobenzene         79-34-51,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane         103-65-1n-Propylbenzene         95-49-82-Chlorotoluene         106-43-44-Chlorotoluene         108-67-81,3,5-Trimethylbenzene         98-06-6	0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50	ממממממממממ
106 42 4 Chlorotoluono	0.50	
	0.50	
108-67-81,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	0.50	U
98-06-6tert-Butylbenzene	0.50	U
95-63-61,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	0.50	U
135-98-8sec-Butylbenzene	0.50	U
541-73-11,3-Dichlorobenzene	0.50	U
99-87-6p-Isopropyltoluene	0.50	U
106-46-71,4-Dichlorobenzene	0.50	U
95-50-11,2-Dichlorobenzene	0.50	U
104-51-8n-Butylbenzene	0.50	U
120-82-11,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	0.50	U
87-68-3Hexachlorobutadiene	0.50	U
91-20-3Naphthalene	0.50	U

	FORM 1		CLIENT SAMPLE NO.
VOLATILE	ORGANICS ANALYSI	S DATA SHEET	
Lab Name: TESTAMERIC	A BURLINGTON	Contract: 28000	LB052808LCS
Lab Code: STLV	Case No.: MEFUDS	SAS No.:	SDG No.: 125630
Matrix: (soil/water)	WATER	Lab Samp]	le ID: LB052808LCS
Sample wt/vol:	5.000 (g/mL) ML	Lab File	ID: LUC01FQ
Level: (low/med)	LOW	Date Rece	eived:
% Moisture: not dec.		Date Anal	Lyzed: 05/28/08
GC Column: CAP	ID: 0.53 (mm)	Dilution	Factor: 1.0
Soil Extract Volume:	(uL)	Soil Alic	quot Volume:(uL
CAS NO.	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION U (ug/L or ug/Kg)	JNITS: UG/L Q

75-71-8Dichlorodifluoromethane	1.3	
74-87-3Chloromethane	1.2	
75-01-4Vinyl Chloride	1.1	
74-83-9Bromomethane	1.1	
75-00-3Chloroethane	1.1	
75-69-4Trichlorofluoromethane	1.0	
75-35-41,1-Dichloroethene	1.0	
75-09-2Methylene Chloride	1.0	
156-60-5trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	
1634-04-4Methyl-t-Butyl Ether	1.0	
75-34-31,1-Dichloroethane	1.1	
594-20-72,2-Dichloropropane	1.1	
156-59-2cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.1	
74-97-5Bromochloromethane	1.1	
67-66-3Chloroform	1.1	
71-55-61,1,1-Trichloroethane	1.1	
56-23-5Carbon Tetrachloride	1.0	
563-58-61,1-Dichloropropene	1.0	
71-43-2Benzene	1.0	
107-06-21,2-Dichloroethane	1.0	
79-01-6Trichloroethene	1.0	
78-87-51,2-Dichloropropane	1.1	
74-95-3Dibromomethane	1.1	
75-27-4Bromodichloromethane	1.0	
10061-01-5cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	1.1	
108-88-3Toluene	1.1	
10061-02-6trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	1.1	
79-00-51,1,2-Trichloroethane	1.1	
127-18-4Tetrachloroethene	1.0	
142-28-91,3-Dichloropropane	1.0	
124-48-1Dibromochloromethane	1.0	
108-90-7Chlorobenzene	1.0	
630-20-61,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	1.0	

CLIENT SAMPLE NO. FORM 1 VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET LB052808LCS Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Contract: 28000 SDG No.: 125630 Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SAS No.: Lab Sample ID: LB052808LCS Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Sample wt/vol: 5.000 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: LUC01FO Date Received: Level: (low/med) LOW % Moisture: not dec. Date Analyzed: 05/28/08 GC Column: CAP ID: 0.53 (mm) Dilution Factor: 1.0 Soil Extract Volume: _____(uL) Soil Aliquot Volume: (uL) CONCENTRATION UNITS: Q (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/L CAS NO. COMPOUND 1.0 100-41-4----Ethylbenzene 1330-20-7----m- & p-Xylene 2.2 95-47-6----o-Xylene 1.1 100-42-5----Styrene_ 1.0 75-25-2----Bromoform 0.99 1.1 98-82-8-----Isopropylbenzene 108-86-1----Bromobenzene 1.0 79-34-5-----1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane 1.0 1.0 103-65-1----n-Propylbenzene 1.0 95-49-8-----2-Chlorotoluene 106-43-4-----4-Chlorotoluene 0.98 108-67-8-----1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene 1.0 1.1 98-06-6-----tert-Butylbenzene 95-63-6-----1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene 1.0 135-98-8----sec-Butylbenzene 1.0 541-73-1-----1,3-Dichlorobenzene 1.0 99-87-6-----p-Isopropyltoluene 1.0 106-46-7-----1,4-Dichlorobenzene 1.0 95-50-1-----1, 2-Dichlorobenzene 1.0 104-51-8----n-Butylbenzene 1.1 120-82-1-----1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene 1.0 87-68-3-----Hexachlorobutadiene 1.1 1.0 91-20-3-----Naphthalene

FORM 1 VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DAT	CLIENT SAMPLE NO.
Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Cont:	LA052908LCS
Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SAS	No.: SDG No.: 125630
Matrix: (soil/water) WATER	Lab Sample ID: LA052908LCS
Sample wt/vol: 5.000 (g/mL) ML	Lab File ID: LUC01GQ
Level: (low/med) LOW	Date Received:
% Moisture: not dec.	Date Analyzed: 05/29/08
GC Column: CAP ID: 0.53 (mm)	Dilution Factor: 1.0
Soil Extract Volume:(uL)	Soil Aliquot Volume:(uL)
CAS NO. COMPOUND (1	DNCENTRATION UNITS: 1g/L or ug/Kg) UG/L Q
75-71-8Dichlorodifluoromet 74-87-3Chloromethane 75-01-4Vinyl Chloride 74-83-9Bromomethane 75-00-3Chloroethane 75-69-4Trichlorofluorometh 75-35-41,1-Dichloroethene 75-09-2Methylene Chloride	1.2         1.0         1.2         1.2         1.2         1.1         1.1         1.0         1.0         0.98

1.0

1.0

75-34-31,1-Dichloroethane	1.1	
594-20-72,2-Dichloropropane	1.0	
156-59-2cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.1	
74-97-5Bromochloromethane	1.0	
67-66-3Chloroform	1.1	
71-55-61,1,1-Trichloroethane	1.0	
56-23-5Carbon Tetrachloride	1.0	
563-58-61,1-Dichloropropene	1.1	
71-43-2Benzene	1.1	
107-06-21,2-Dichloroethane	1.0	
79-01-6Trichloroethene	1.1	
78-87-51,2-Dichloropropane	1.1	
74-95-3Dibromomethane	1.1	
75-27-4Bromodichloromethane	1.0	
10061-01-5cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	1.0	
108-88-3Toluene	1.0	
10061-02-6trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	1.1	
79-00-51,1,2-Trichloroethane	1.1	
127-18-4Tetrachloroethene	1.1	
142-28-91,3-Dichloropropane	1.1	
124-48-1Dibromochloromethane	1.0	
108-90-7Chlorobenzene	1.1	
630-20-61,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	1.0	

156-60-5-----trans-1,2-Dichloroethene

1634-04-4-----Methyl-t-Butyl Ether

	FORM 1	CLIENT SAMPLE NO.	
VOLATILE	ORGANICS ANALYSIS I	DATA SHEET	
Lab Name, TEGTAMERIC		LA052908LCS	
Lab Code: STLV	Case No.: MEFUDS SA	AS No.: SDG No.: 125630	
Matrix: (soil/water)	WATER	Lab Sample ID: LA052908LCS	
Sample wt/vol:	5.000 (g/mL) ML	Lab File ID: LUC01GQ	
Level: (low/med)	LOW	Date Received:	
% Moisture: not dec.		Date Analyzed: 05/29/08	
GC Column: CAP	ID: 0.53 (mm)	Dilution Factor: 1.0	
Soil Extract Volume:	(uL)	Soil Aliquot Volume:(uI	')
CAS NO.	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/L Q	

100-41-4Ethylbenzene	1 1	
1330-20-7m- & p-Xvlene	2.2	
95-47-6o-Xvlene	1.0	
100-42-5Styrene	1.0	
75-25-2Bromoform	0.99	
98-82-8Isopropylbenzene	1.1	
108-86-1Bromobenzene	1.0	
79-34-51,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	1.1	
103-65-1n-Propylbenzene	1.1	
95-49-82-Chlorotoluene	1.1	
106-43-44-Chlorotoluene	1.0	
108-67-81,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	1.1	
98-06-6tert-Butylbenzene	1.1	
95-63-61,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	1.1	
135-98-8sec-Butylbenzene	1.1	·····
541-73-11,3-Dichlorobenzene	1.0	
99-87-6p-Isopropyltoluene	1.1	
106-46-71,4-Dichlorobenzene	1.1	
95-50-11,2-Dichlorobenzene	1.1	
104-51-8n-Butylbenzene	1.1	
120-82-11,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	1.1	
87-68-3Hexachlorobutadiene	1.1	
91-20-3Naphthalene	1.1	

74-97-5----Bromochloromethane

71-55-6----1,1,1,1-Trichloroethane

56-23-5-----Carbon Tetrachloride

563-58-6-----1,1-Dichloropropene

107-06-2----1,2-Dichloroethane

78-87-5-----1,2-Dichloropropane

75-27-4-----Bromodichloromethane

10061-01-5----cis-1,3-Dichloropropene

79-00-5-----1,1,2-Trichloroethane

127-18-4-----Tetrachloroethene

108-90-7----Chlorobenzene

142-28-9-----1,3-Dichloropropane

124-48-1----Dibromochloromethane

10061-02-6----trans-1,3-Dichloropropene

630-20-6-----1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane

79-01-6-----Trichloroethene

74-95-3----Dibromomethane

67-66-3----Chloroform

71-43-2----Benzene

108-88-3-----Toluene

WESTN1 SAMPLE NO.

2.0

2.0

1.9

1.9

2.0

2.0

2.0

2.0

2.1

1.9

2.0

1.9

2.1

1.9

2.0

1.9

2.0

1.9

1.9

1.9

Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Contrac	2-0508285MS
Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SAS No	D.: SDG No.: 125630
Matrix: (soil/water) WATER	Lab Sample ID: 752973MS
Sample wt/vol: 5.000 (g/mL) ML	Lab File ID: 752973M
Level: (low/med) LOW	Date Received: 05/23/08
% Moisture: not dec	Date Analyzed: 05/29/08
GC Column: CAP ID: 0.53 (mm)	Dilution Factor: 1.0
Soil Extract Volume:(uL)	Soil Aliquot Volume:(uL)
CONC CAS NO. COMPOUND (ug/	CENTRATION UNITS: (L or ug/Kg) UG/L Q
75-71-8Dichlorodifluorometha         74-87-3Chloromethane         75-01-4Vinyl Chloride         74-83-9Bromomethane         75-00-3Chloroethane         75-69-4Chloroethane         75-35-4Trichlorofluoromethane         75-09-2Methylene Chloride         156-60-5Trians-1,2-Dichloroethane         75-34-3	ane       2.2         1.9

FORM	Ι	VOA
FORM	T	VOA

	FORM 1	W	ESTN1 SAMPLE NO.
VOLATILE	ORGANICS ANALYSIS I	DATA SHEET	I I
Lab Name: TESTAMERICA	A BURLINGTON Cor	ntract: 28000	2-0508285MS
Lab Code: STLV (	Case No.: MEFUDS SA	AS NO.: SDG	No.: 125630
Matrix: (soil/water)	WATER	Lab Sample ID	: 752973MS
Sample wt/vol:	5.000 (g/mL) ML	Lab File ID:	752973M
Level: (low/med)	LOW	Date Received	: 05/23/08
% Moisture: not dec.		Date Analyzed	: 05/29/08
GC Column: CAP	ID: 0.53 (mm)	Dilution Facto	or: 1.0
Soil Extract Volume:	(uL)	Soil Aliquot	Volume:(uL)
CAS NO.	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/1	: L Q

-

-

100-41-4Ethylbenzene	1.9	
1330-20-7m- & p-Xylene	3.8	
95-47-6o-Xylene	2.0	
100-42-5Styrene	1.9	
75-25-2Bromoform	1.8	
98-82-8Isopropylbenzene	1.9	
108-86-1Bromobenzene	1.9	
79-34-51,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	2.0	
103-65-1n-Propylbenzene	1.9	
95-49-82-Chlorotoluene	1.9	
106-43-44-Chlorotoluene	1.9	
108-67-81,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	1.9	
98-06-6tert-Butvlbenzene	1.9	
95-63-61,2,4-Trimethvlbenzene	1.9	
135-98-8sec-Butylbenzene	1.9	
541-73-11, 3-Dichlorobenzene	1.9	
99-87-6p-Isopropyltoluene	1.9	
106-46-71, 4-Dichlorobenzene	1.9	
95-50-11,2-Dichlorobenzene	1.9	
104-51-8n-Butvlbenzene	2.0	
120-82-11,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	1.8	
87-68-3Hexachlorobutadiene	1.9	
91-20-3Naphthalene	1.9	

	FORM 1	WE	STN1 SAMPLE NO.
VOLATILE	ORGANICS ANALYSIS	DATA SHEET	1
Lab Name: TESTAMERIC	A BURLINGTON C	ontract: 28000	2-0508285MSD
Lab Code: STLV	Case No.: MEFUDS	SAS No.: SDG	No.: 125630
Matrix: (soil/water)	WATER	Lab Sample ID:	752973MD
Sample wt/vol:	5.000 (g/mL) ML	Lab File ID:	7529735
Level: (low/med)	LOW	Date Received:	05/23/08
% Moisture: not dec.		Date Analyzed:	05/29/08
GC Column: CAP	ID: 0.53 (mm)	Dilution Facto	pr: 1.0
Soil Extract Volume:	(uL)	Soil Aliquot N	Volume:(uL)
CAS NO.	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/I	, Q

ı.

WESTN1 SAMPLE NO.

75-71-8Dichlorodifluoromethane	2.4	
74-87-3Chloromethane	1.9	
75-01-4Vinyl Chloride	2.2	
74-83-9Bromomethane	2.2	
75-00-3Chloroethane	2.0	
75-69-4Trichlorofluoromethane	1.9	
75-35-41,1-Dichloroethene	2.1	
75-09-2Methylene Chloride	1.9	
156-60-5trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.9	
1634-04-4Methvl-t-Butvl Ether	1.9	
75-34-31.1-Dichloroethane	2.0	
594-20-72.2-Dichloropropane	1.8	
156-59-2cis-1.2-Dichloroethene	2.1	
74-97-5Bromochloromethane	2.0	
67-66-3Chloroform	2.0	
71-55-61.1.1-Trichloroethane	2.0	
56-23-5Carbon Tetrachloride	2.0	
563-58-61.1-Dichloropropene	2.0	
71-43-2Benzene	2.0	
107-06-21.2-Dichloroethane	2.0	
79-01-6Trichloroethene	2.0	
78-87-51,2-Dichloropropane	2.1	
74-95-3Dibromomethane	2.0	
75-27-4Bromodichloromethane	2.0	
10061-01-5cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	2.0	
108-88-3Toluene	2.1	
10061-02-6trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	2.0	
79-00-51,1,2-Trichloroethane	2.1	
127-18-4Tetrachloroethene	2.0	
142-28-91,3-Dichloropropane	2.0	
124-48-1Dibromochloromethane	2.0	
108-90-7Chlorobenzene	2.0	
630-20-61,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	2.0	

1.1

WESTN1 SAMPLE NO.

т

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANA	LYSIS DATA SHEET
Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON	Contract: 28000
Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEF	UDS SAS No.: SDG No.: 125630
Matrix: (soil/water) WATER	Lab Sample ID: 752973MD
Sample wt/vol: 5.000 (g/mL)	ML Lab File ID: 752973S
Level: (low/med) LOW	Date Received: 05/23/08
% Moisture: not dec	Date Analyzed: 05/29/08
GC Column: CAP ID: 0.53 (m	m) Dilution Factor: 1.0
Soil Extract Volume:(uL)	Soil Aliquot Volume:(uL)
CAS NO. COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/L Q

	2 0	
	2.0	
1330-20-7m- & p-Xylene	4.1	
95-47-6o-Xylene	2.0	
100-42-5Styrene	2.0	
75-25-2Bromoform	1.9	
98-82-8Isopropylbenzene	2.0	
108-86-1Bromobenzene	2.0	
79-34-51,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	2.0	
103-65-1n-Propylbenzene	2.1	
95-49-82-Chlorotoluene	2.0	
106-43-44-Chlorotoluene	2.0	
108-67-81,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	2.1	
98-06-6tert-Butylbenzene	2.0	
95-63-61,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	2.0	
135-98-8sec-Butylbenzene	2.1	
541-73-11,3-Dichlorobenzene	2.0	
99-87-6p-Isopropyltoluene	2.1	
106-46-71,4-Dichlorobenzene	2.0	
95-50-11,2-Dichlorobenzene	2.0	
104-51-8n-Butylbenzene	2.1	
120-82-11,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	2.0	
87-68-3Hexachlorobutadiene	2.0	
91-20-3Naphthalene	1.9	
-		

# WATER VOLATILE MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE RECOVERY

Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Contract: 28000 Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SAS No.: SDG No.: 125630 Matrix Spike - WESTN1 Sample No.: 2-0508285

	SPIKE	SAMPLE	MS	MS	QC.
	ADDED	CONCENTRATION	CONCENTRATION	8	LIMITS
COMPOUND	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	REC #	REC.
	=========	===============	================	======	======
Dichlorodifluoromethane	2.0	0.0	2.2	110	70-130
Chloromethane	2.0	0.0	1.9	95	70-130
Vinyl Chloride	2.0	0.0	2.2	110	70-130
Bromomethane	2.0	0.0	1.9	95	70-130
Chloroethane	2.0	0.0	2.2	110	70-130
Trichlorofluoromethane	2.0	0.0	1.9	95	70-130
1,1-Dichloroethene	2.0	0.0	2.0	100	70-130
Methylene Chloride	2.0	0.0	2.0	100	70-130
trans-1,2-Dichloroethen	2.0	0.0	1.9	95	70-130
Methyl-t-Butyl Ether	2.0	0.0	1.9	95	70-130
1,1-Dichloroethane	2.0	0.0	2.0	100	70-130
2,2-Dichloropropane	2.0	0.0	1.8	90	70-130
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	2.0	0.0	2.0	100	70-130
Bromochloromethane	2.0	0.0	2.0	100	70-130
Chloroform	2.0	0.0	2.0	100	70-130
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	2.0	0.0	1.9	95	70-130
Carbon Tetrachloride	2.0	0.0	1.9	95	70-130
1,1-Dichloropropene	2.0	0.0	2.0	100	70-130
Benzene	2.0	0.0	2.0	100	70-130
1,2-Dichloroethane	2.0	0.0	2.0	100	70-130
Trichloroethene	2.0	0.0	2.0	100	70-130
1,2-Dichloropropane	2.0	0.0	2.1	105	70-130
Dibromomethane	2.0	0.0	1.9	95	70-130
Bromodichloromethane	2.0	0.0	2.0	100	70-130
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	2.0	0.0	1.9	95	70-130
Toluene	2.0	0.0	2.1	105	70-130
trans-1,3-Dichloroprope	2.0	0.0	1.9	95	70-130
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	2.0	0.0	2.0	100	70-130
					(

# Column to be used to flag recovery and RPD values with an asterisk

* Values outside of QC limits

# WATER VOLATILE MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE RECOVERY

Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Contract: 28000 Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SAS No.: SDG No.: 125630 Matrix Spike - WESTN1 Sample No.: 2-0508285

	SPIKE	SAMPLE	MS	MS	QC.
	ADDED	CONCENTRATION	CONCENTRATION	8	LIMITS
COMPOUND	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	REC #	REC.
=======================================	==========	=============	================	=====	======
Tetrachloroethene	2.0	0.0	1.9	95	70-130
1,3-Dichloropropane	2.0	0.0	2.0	100	70-130
Dibromochloromethane	2.0	0.0	1.9	95	70-130
Chlorobenzene	2.0	0.0	1.9	95	70-130
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroetha	2.0	0.0	1.9	95	70-130
Ethylbenzene	2.0	0.0	1.9	95	70-130
m- & p-Xylene	4.0	0.0	3.8	95	70-130
o-Xylene	2.0	0.0	2.0	100	70-130
Styrene	2.0	0.0	1.9	95	70-130
Bromoform	2.0	0.0	1.8	90	70-130
Isopropylbenzene	2.0	0.0	1.9	95	70-130
Bromobenzene	2.0	0.0	. 1.9	95	70-130
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroetha	2.0	0.0	2.0	100	70-130
n-Propylbenzene	2.0	0.0	1.9	95	70-130
2-Chlorotoluene	2.0	0.0	1.9	95	70-130
4-Chlorotoluene	2.0	0.0	1.9	95	70-130
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	2.0	0.0	1.9	95	70-130
tert-Butylbenzene	2.0	0.0	1.9	95	70-130
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	2.0	0.0	1.9	95	70-130
sec-Butylbenzene	2.0	0.0	1.9	95	70-130
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	2.0	0.0	1.9	95	70-130
p-Isopropyltoluene	2.0	0.0	1.9	95	70-130
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	2.0	0.0	1.9	95	70-130
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	2.0	0.0	1.9	95	70-130
n-Butylbenzene	2.0	0.0	2.0	100	70-130
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	2.0	0.0	1.8	90	70-130
Hexachlorobutadiene	2.0	0.0	1.9	95	70-130
Naphthalene	2.0	0.0	1.9	95	70-130

# Column to be used to flag recovery and RPD values with an asterisk

* Values outside of QC limits

# WATER VOLATILE MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE RECOVERY

Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTONContract: 28000Lab Code: STLVCase No.: MEFUDSSAS No.:SDG No.: 125630

Matrix Spike - WESTN1 Sample No.: 2-0508285

	SPIKE	MSD	MSD			
	ADDED	CONCENTRATION	00	00	QC LIMITS	
COMPOUND	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	REC #	RPD #	RPD	REC.
	==========	=================	======	=====	======	======
Dichlorodifluoromethane	2.0	2.4	120	9	40	70-130
Chloromethane	2.0	1.9	95	0	40	70-130
Vinyl Chloride	2.0	2.2	110	0	40	70-130
Bromomethane	2.0	2.2	110	15	40	70-130
Chloroethane	2.0	2.0	100	10	40	70-130
Trichlorofluoromethane	2.0	1.9	95	0	40	70-130
1,1-Dichloroethene	2.0	2.1	105	5	40	70-130
Methylene Chloride	2.0	1.9	95	5	40	70-130
trans-1,2-Dichloroethen	2.0	1.9	95	0	40	70-130
Methyl-t-Butyl Ether	2.0	1.9	95	0	40	70-130
1,1-Dichloroethane	2.0	2.0	100	0	40	70-130
2,2-Dichloropropane	2.0	1.8	90	0	40	70-130
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	2.0	2.1	105	5	40	70-130
Bromochloromethane	2.0	2.0	100	0	40	70-130
Chloroform	2.0	2.0	100	0	40	70-130
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	2.0	2.0	100	5	40	70-130
Carbon Tetrachloride	2.0	2.0	100	5	40	70-130
1,1-Dichloropropene	2.0	2.0	100	0	40	70-130
Benzene	2.0	2.0	100	0	40	70-130
1,2-Dichloroethane	2.0	2.0	100	0	40	70-130
Trichloroethene	2.0	2.0	100	0	40	70-130
1,2-Dichloropropane	2.0	2.1	105	0	40	70-130
Dibromomethane	2.0	2.0	100	5	40	70-130
Bromodichloromethane	2.0	2.0	100	0	40	70-130
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	2.0	2.0	100	5	40	70-130
Toluene	2.0	2.1	105	0	40	70-130
trans-1,3-Dichloroprope	2.0	2.0	100	5	40	70-130
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	2.0	2.1	105	5	40	70-130
l						

# Column to be used to flag recovery and RPD values with an asterisk

* Values outside of QC limits

### WATER VOLATILE MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE RECOVERY

Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTONContract: 28000Lab Code: STLVCase No.: MEFUDSSAS No.:SDG No.: 125630

Matrix Spike - WESTN1 Sample No.: 2-0508285

	SPIKE	MSD	MSD			
	ADDED	CONCENTRATION	010	~	QC LIMITS	
COMPOUND	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	REC #	RPD #	RPD	REC.
=======================================	========	============	=====	======	======	======
Tetrachloroethene	2.0	2.0	100	5	40	70-130
1,3-Dichloropropane	2.0	2.0	100	0	40	70-130
Dibromochloromethane	2.0	2.0	100	5	40	70-130
Chlorobenzene	2.0	2.0	100	5	40	70-130
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroetha	2.0	2.0	100	5	40	70-130
Ethylbenzene	2.0	2.0	100	5	40	70-130
m- & p-Xylene	4.0	4.1	102	7	40	70-130
o-Xylene	2.0	2.0	100	0	40	70-130
Styrene	2.0	2.0	100	5	40	70-130
Bromoform	2.0	1.9	95	5	40	70-130
Isopropylbenzene	2.0	2.0	100	5	40	70-130
Bromobenzene	2.0	2.0	100	5	40	70-130
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroetha	2.0	2.0	100	0	40	70-130
n-Propylbenzene	2.0	2.1	105	10	40	70-130
2-Chlorotoluene	2.0	2.0	100	5	40	70-130
4-Chlorotoluene	2.0	2.0	100	5	40	70-130
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	2.0	2.1	105	10	40	70-130
tert-Butylbenzene	2.0	2.0	100	5	40	70-130
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	2.0	2.0	100	5	40	70-130
sec-Butylbenzene	2.0	2.1	105	10	40	70-130
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	2.0	2.0	100	5	40	70-130
p-Isopropyltoluene	2.0	2.1	105	10	40	70-130
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	2.0	2.0	100	5	40	70-130
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	2.0	2.0	100	5	40	70-130
n-Butylbenzene	2.0	2.1	105	5	40	70-130
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	2.0	2.0	100	10	40	70-130
Hexachlorobutadiene	2.0	2.0	100	5	40	70-130
Naphthalene	2.0	1.9	95	0	40	70-130

# Column to be used to flag recovery and RPD values with an asterisk

* Values outside of QC limits

RPD: 0 out of 56 outside limits Spike Recovery: 0 out of 112 outside limits
Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Contract: 28000 Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SAS No.: SDG No.: 125630 Matrix Spike - Sample No.: LB052808LCS

	SPIKE	SAMPLE	LCS	LCS	QC.
	ADDED	CONCENTRATION	CONCENTRATION	80	LIMITS
COMPOUND	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	REC #	REC.
	=========	==================	===================	======	======
Dichlorodifluoromethane	1.0		1.3	130	70-130
Chloromethane	1.0		1.2	120	70-130
Vinyl Chloride	1.0		1.1	110	70-130
Bromomethane	1.0		1.1	110	70-130
Chloroethane	1.0		1.1	110	70-130
Trichlorofluoromethane	1.0		1.0	100	70-130
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0		1.0	100	70-130
Methylene Chloride	1.0		1.0	100	70-130
trans-1,2-Dichloroethen	1.0		1.0	100	70-130
Methyl-t-Butyl Ether	1.0		1.0	100	70-130
1,1-Dichloroethane	1.0		1.1	110	70-130
2,2-Dichloropropane	1.0		1.1	110	70-130
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0		1.1	110	70-130
Bromochloromethane	1.0		1.1	110	70-130
Chloroform	1.0		1.1	110	70-130
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	1.0		1.1	110	70-130
Carbon Tetrachloride	1.0		1.0	100	70-130
1,1-Dichloropropene	1.0		1.0	100	70-130
Benzene	1.0		1.0	100	70-130
1,2-Dichloroethane	1.0		1.0	100	70-130
Trichloroethene	1.0		1.0	100	70-130
1,2-Dichloropropane	1.0		1.1	110	70-130
Dibromomethane	1.0		1.1	110	70-130
Bromodichloromethane	1.0		1.0	100	70-130
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	1.0		1.1	110	70-130
Toluene	1.0		1.1	110	70-130
trans-1,3-Dichloroprope	1.0		1.1	110	70-130
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	1.0		1.1	110	70-130

Column to be used to flag recovery and RPD values with an asterisk

* Values outside of QC limits

Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Contract: 28000 Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SAS No.: SDG No.: 125630 Matrix Spike - Sample No.: LB052808LCS

	SPIKE	SAMPLE	LCS	LCS	QC.
	ADDED	CONCENTRATION	CONCENTRATION	8	LIMITS
COMPOUND	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	REC #	REC.
	=========	=======================================	==============	======	======
Tetrachloroethene	1.0		1.0	100	70-130
1,3-Dichloropropane	1.0		1.0	100	70-130
Dibromochloromethane	1.0		1.0	100	70-130
Chlorobenzene	1.0		1.0	100	70-130
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroetha	1.0		1.0	100	70-130
Ethylbenzene	1.0		1.0	100	70-130
m- & p-Xylene	2.0		2.2	110	70-130
o-Xylene	1.0		1.1	110	70-130
Styrene	1.0		1.0	100	70-130
Bromoform	1.0		0.99	99	70-130
Isopropylbenzene	1.0		1.1	110	70-130
Bromobenzene	1.0		1.0	100	70-130
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroetha	1.0		1.0	100	70-130
n-Propylbenzene	1.0		1.0	100	70-130
2-Chlorotoluene	1.0		1.0	100	70-130
4-Chlorotoluene	1.0		0.98	98	70-130
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	1.0		1.0	100	70-130
tert-Butylbenzene	1.0		1.1	110	70-130
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	1.0		1.0	100	70-130
sec-Butylbenzene	1.0		1.0	100	70-130
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	1.0		1.0	100	70-130
p-Isopropyltoluene	1.0		1.0	100	70-130
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	1.0		1.0	100	70-130
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	1.0		1.0	100	70-130
n-Butylbenzene	1.0		1.1	110	70-130
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	1.0		1.0	100	70-130
Hexachlorobutadiene	1.0		1.1	110	70-130
Naphthalene	1.0		1.0	100	70-130

Column to be used to flag recovery and RPD values with an asterisk

* Values outside of QC limits

RPD: 0 out of 0 outside limits Spike Recovery: 0 out of 56 outside limits

Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Contract: 28000 Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SAS No.: SDG No.: 125630 Matrix Spike - Sample No.: LA052908LCS

	SPIKE	SAMPLE	LCS	LCS	QC.
	ADDED	CONCENTRATION	CONCENTRATION	8	LIMITS
COMPOUND	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	REC #	REC.
	========	==================	=================	======	=====
Dichlorodifluoromethane	1.0		1.2	120	70-130
Chloromethane	1.0		1.0	100	70-130
Vinyl Chloride	1.0		1.2	120	70-130
Bromomethane	1.0		1.2	120	70-130
Chloroethane	1.0		1.1	110	70-130
Trichlorofluoromethane	1.0		1.0	100	70-130
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0		1.0	100	70-130
Methylene Chloride	1.0		0.98	98	70-130
trans-1,2-Dichloroethen	1.0		1.0	100	70-130
Methyl-t-Butyl Ether	1.0		1.0	100	70-130
1,1-Dichloroethane	1.0		1.1	110	70-130
2,2-Dichloropropane	1.0		1.0	100	70-130
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0		1.1	110	70-130
Bromochloromethane	1.0		1.0	100	70-130
Chloroform	1.0		1.1	110	70-130
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	1.0		1.0	100	70-130
Carbon Tetrachloride	1.0		1.0	100	70-130
1,1-Dichloropropene	1.0		1.1	110	70-130
Benzene	1.0		1.1	110	70-130
1,2-Dichloroethane	1.0		1.0	100	70-130
Trichloroethene	1.0		1.1	110	70-130
1,2-Dichloropropane	1.0		1.1	110	70-130
Dibromomethane	1.0		1.1	110	70-130
Bromodichloromethane	1.0		1.0	100	70-130
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	1.0		1.0	100	70-130
Toluene	1.0		1.0	100	70-130
trans-1,3-Dichloroprope	1.0		1.1	110	70-130
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	1.0		. 1.1	110	70-130

# Column to be used to flag recovery and RPD values with an asterisk

* Values outside of QC limits

Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Contract: 28000 Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SAS No.: SDG No.: 125630 Matrix Spike - Sample No.: LA052908LCS

	SPIKE	SAMPLE	LCS	LCS	QC.
	ADDED	CONCENTRATION	CONCENTRATION	00	LIMITS
COMPOUND	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	REC #	REC.
=======================================	=========	==================	=============	======	======
Tetrachloroethene	1.0		1.1	110	70-130
1,3-Dichloropropane	1.0		1.1	110	70-130
Dibromochloromethane	1.0		1.0	100	70-130
Chlorobenzene	1.0		1.1	110	70-130
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroetha	1.0		1.0	100	70-130
Ethylbenzene	1.0		1.1	110	70-130
m- & p-Xylene	2.0		2.2	110	70-130
o-Xylene	1.0		1.0	100	70-130
Styrene	1.0		1.0	100	70-130
Bromoform	1.0		0.99	99	70-130
Isopropylbenzene	1.0		1.1	110	70-130
Bromobenzene	1.0		1.0	100	70-130
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroetha	1.0		1.1	110	70-130
n-Propylbenzene	1.0		1.1	110	70-130
2-Chlorotoluene	1.0		1.1	110	70-130
4-Chlorotoluene	1.0		1.0	100	70-130
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	1.0		1.1	110	70-130
tert-Butylbenzene	1.0		1.1	110	70-130
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	1.0		1.1	110	70-130
sec-Butylbenzene	1.0		1.1	110	70-130
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	1.0		1.0	100	70-130
p-Isopropyltoluene	1.0		1.1	110	70-130
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	1.0		1.1	110	70-130
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	1.0		1.1	110	70-130
n-Butylbenzene	1.0		1.1	110	70-130
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	1.0		1.1	110	70-130
Hexachlorobutadiene	1.0		1.1	110	70-130
Naphthalene	1.0		1.1	110	70-130
Hexachlorobutadiene Naphthalene	1.0		1.1	110 110	70-130 70-130

Column to be used to flag recovery and RPD values with an asterisk

* Values outside of QC limits

RPD: 0 out of 0 outside limits Spike Recovery: 0 out of 56 outside limits

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

FORM 4 VOLATILE METHOD BLANK SUMMARY

Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTONContract: 28000MBLK052808LBLab Code: STLVCase No.: MEFUDSSAS No.:SDG No.: 125630Lab File ID: LUCB02FLab Sample ID: MBLK052808LBDate Analyzed: 05/28/08Time Analyzed: 1753GC Column: CAPID: 0.53 (mm)Heated Purge: (Y/N) NInstrument ID: LLab

THIS METHOD BLANK APPLIES TO THE FOLLOWING SAMPLES, MS and MSD:

		LAB	LAB	TIME
	SAMPLE NO.	SAMPLE ID	FILE ID	ANALYZED
01	LB052808LCS	LB052808LCS	LUC01FQ	1723
02	TB-051608-01	752986	752986	1832
03	TB-051908-01	752987	752987	1904
04	RB-052008-01	752985	752985	1937
05	RB-051808-01	752978	752978	2009
06	2-0508-16	752972	752972	2042
07	2-0508285	752973	752973	2114
80	2-0508-037	752974	752974	2147
09	2-0508-945	752975	752975	2219
10	2-0508-189	752976	752976	2252
11	2-0508-265	752977	752977	2324
12	1-0508-056	752979	752979	2356
13	1-0508-051	752980	752980	0029
14	1-0508-041	752981	752981	0101
15	1-0508-034	752982	752982	0134
16	1-0508-034-E	752983	752983	0206
17	1-0508-029	752984	752984	0238
18	2-0508285MS	752973MS	752973M	0311
19	2-0508285MSD	752973MD	752973S	0343
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
29				
30				

CLIENT SAMPLE NO.

FORM 4 VOLATILE METHOD BLANK SUMMARY

Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTONContract: 28000MBLK052908LALab Code: STLVCase No.: MEFUDSSAS No.:SDG No.: 125630Lab File ID: LUCB02GLab Sample ID: MBLK052908LADate Analyzed: 05/29/08Time Analyzed: 1101GC Column: CAPID: 0.53 (mm)Heated Purge: (Y/N) NInstrument ID: LLab

THIS METHOD BLANK APPLIES TO THE FOLLOWING SAMPLES, MS and MSD:

		LAB	LAB	TIME
	SAMPLE NO.	SAMPLE ID	FILE ID	ANALYZED
01	LA052908LCS	LA052908LCS	 LUC01GO	1028
02	1-0508-029DL	752984D1	752984D2	1255
03			·	
04				
06				
07				
08			·	
10				
11				
12				
13 14				
15				
16				
17				
19				
20				
21				
22		- <u></u>	·	
24				
25				
26				
28				
29				
30				

### FORM 5 VOLATILE ORGANIC INSTRUMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK BROMOFLUOROBENZENE (BFB)

Lab Name:	TESTAMERIC	A BUR	RLINGI	ON	Conti	ract:	28000		
Lab Code:	STLV	Case	No.:	MEFUDS	SAS	No.:	5	SDG No.	: 125630
Lab File	ID: LUC01PV	7				BFB	Injection	Date:	05/15/08
Instrumen	t ID: L					BFB	Injection	Time:	0826
GC Column	: CAP	ID:	0.53	(mm)		Heat	ed Purge:	(Y/N)	N

		% RELATIVE
m/e	ION ABUNDANCE CRITERIA	ABUNDANCE
=====		=======================================
50	15.0 - 40.0% of mass 95	16.5
75	30.0 - 60.0% of mass 95	42.4
95	Base Peak, 100% relative abundance	100.0
96	5.0 - 9.0% of mass 95	6.4
173	Less than 2.0% of mass 174	0.0 ( 0.0)1
174	50.0 - 120.0% of mass 95	73.4
175	5.0 - 9.0% of mass 174	5.6 (7.7)1
176	95.0 - 101.0% of mass 174	72.3 ( 98.5)1
177	5.0 - 9.0% of mass 176	4.9 ( 6.8)2
· •		170

1-Value is % mass 174 2-Value is % mass 176

THIS CHECK APPLIES TO THE FOLLOWING SAMPLES, MS, MSD, BLANKS, AND STANDARDS:

	EPA SAMPLE NO.	LAB SAMPLE ID	LAB FILE ID	DATE ANALYZED	TIME ANALYZED
				==================	
01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	SAMPLE NO. ====================================	SAMPLE ID ====================================	FILE ID	ANALYZED ======== 05/15/08 05/15/08 05/15/08 05/15/08 	ANALYZED
19 20 21					

### FORM 5 VOLATILE ORGANIC INSTRUMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK BROMOFLUOROBENZENE (BFB)

Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Contract: 28000 Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SAS No.: SDG No.: 125630 Lab File ID: LUC07PV BFB Injection Date: 05/28/08 BFB Injection Time: 1608 Instrument ID: L GC Column: CAP ID: 0.53 (mm) Heated Purge: (Y/N) N

m/e	ION ABUNDANCE CRITERIA	% RE ABU	ELATIVE JNDANCE
=====		======	=========
50	15.0 - 40.0% of mass 95	16.0	
75	30.0 - 60.0% of mass 95	41.6	
95	Base Peak, 100% relative abundance	100.0	
96	5.0 - 9.0% of mass 95	6.3	
173	Less than 2.0% of mass 174	0.0	( 0.0)1
174	50.0 - 120.0% of mass 95	74.8	
175	5.0 - 9.0% of mass 174	5.5	(7.4)1
176	95.0 - 101.0% of mass 174	73.9	( 98.8)1
177	5.0 - 9.0% of mass 176	4.7	( 6.4)2

1-Value is % mass 174 2-Value is % mass 176

THIS CHECK APPLIES TO THE FOLLOWING SAMPLES, MS, MSD, BLANKS, AND STANDARDS:

	EPA	LAB	LAB	DATE	TIME
	SAMPLE NO.	SAMPLE ID	FILE ID	ANALYZED	ANALYZED
	============	=================	================		=============
01	VSTD002	VSTD002	LUC02FV	05/28/08	1653
02	LB052808LCS	LB052808LCS	LUC01FQ	05/28/08	1723
03	MBLK052808LB	MBLK052808LB	LUCB02F	05/28/08	1753
04	TB-051608-01	752986	752986	05/28/08	1832
05	TB-051908-01	752987	752987	05/28/08	1904
06	RB-052008-01	752985	752985	05/28/08	1937
07	RB-051808-01	752978	752978	05/28/08	2009
08	2-0508-16	752972	752972	05/28/08	2042
09	2-0508285	752973	752973	05/28/08	2114
10	2-0508-037	752974	752974	05/28/08	2147
11	2-0508-945	752975	752975	05/28/08	2219
12	2-0508-189	752976	752976	05/28/08	2252
13	2-0508-265	752977	752977	05/28/08	2324
14	1-0508-056	752979	752979	05/28/08	2356
15	1-0508-051	752980	752980	05/29/08	0029
16	1-0508-041	752981	752981	05/29/08	0101
17	1-0508-034	752982	752982	05/29/08	0134
18	1-0508-034-E	752983	752983	05/29/08	0206
19	1-0508-029	752984	752984	05/29/08	0238
20	2-0508285MS	752973MS	752973M	05/29/08	0311
21	2-0508285MSD	752973MD	752973S	05/29/08	0343
22					

### FORM 5 VOLATILE ORGANIC INSTRUMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK BROMOFLUOROBENZENE (BFB)

Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Contract: 28000 Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SAS No.: SDG No.: 125630 BFB Injection Date: 05/29/08 Lab File ID: LUC08PV Instrument ID: L BFB Injection Time: 0900 GC Column: CAP ID: 0.53 (mm) Heated Purge: (Y/N) N

m/e	ION ABUNDANCE CRITERIA	% RE ABU	LATIVE NDANCE
=====		=====	===========
50	15.0 - 40.0% of mass 95	16.7	
75	30.0 - 60.0% of mass 95	42.9	
95	Base Peak, 100% relative abundance	100.0	
96	5.0 - 9.0% of mass 95	7.0	
173	Less than 2.0% of mass 174	0.1	( 0.2)1
174	50.0 - 120.0% of mass 95	71.0	
175	5.0 - 9.0% of mass 174	5.4	( 7.6)1
176	95.0 - 101.0% of mass 174	70.8	( 99.7)1
177	5.0 - 9.0% of mass 176	4.6	( 6.5)2

1-Value is % mass 174 2-Value is % mass 176

THIS CHECK APPLIES TO THE FOLLOWING SAMPLES, MS, MSD, BLANKS, AND STANDARDS:

	EPA SAMPLE NO.	LAB SAMPLE ID	LAB FILE ID	DATE ANALYZED	TIME ANALYZED
01 02 03 04 05	VSTD002 LA052908LCS MBLK052908LA 1-0508-029DL	USTD002 LA052908LCS MBLK052908LA 752984D1	LUC02GV LUC01GQ LUCB02G 752984D2	05/29/08 05/29/08 05/29/08 05/29/08 05/29/08	20956 1028 1101 1255
06 07 08 09 10					
11 12 13 14 15					
16 17 18 19 20					
21 22					

page 1 of 1

#### 6A

## VOLATILE ORGANICS INITIAL CALIBRATION DATA

Lab Name:	TESTAMERIC	CA BUR	RLINGI	ON	Contract:	28000		
Lab Code:	STLV	Case	No.:	MEFUDS	SAS No.:		SDG	No.: 125630
Instrument	t ID: L		Cal	ibratio	on Date(s):	05/15/08	(	05/15/08
Heated Pu	rge: (Y/N)	N	Cal	ibratio	on Time(s):	0912	-	1123
GC Column	: CAP	ID:	0.53	(mm)				

LAB FILE ID: RRF0.5=LUC005V RRF2 =LUC02V							
RRF10 =LUC10V RRF20	D =LUC20V RRF30 =LUC30V						
							0/0
COMPOUND	RRF0.5	RRF2	RRF10	RRF20	RRF30	RRF	RSD
=======================================	======	=====	======	=====	======	======	=====
Dichlorodifluoromethane	* 0.322	0.315	0.329	0.304	0.317	0.317	3.0*
Chloromethane	* 0.166	0.176	0.170	0.158	0.164	0.167	4.0*
Vinyl Chloride	* 0.238	0.233	0.253	0.229	0.234	0.237	4.0*
Bromomethane	* 0.112	0.114	0.131	0.133	0.136	0.125	9.0*
Chloroethane	* 0.169	0.163	0.167	0.146	0.142	0.157	7.8*
Trichlorofluoromethane	* 0.550	0.549	0.577	0.538	0.560	0.555	2.7*
1,1-Dichloroethene	* 0.258	0.257	0.287	0.259	0.267	0.266	4.8*
Methylene Chloride	* 0.289	0.268	0.283	0.261	0.266	0.273	4.4*
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	* 0.330	0.303	0.321	0.296	0.305	0.311	4.5*
Methyl-t-Butyl Ether	* 0.594	0.572	0.617	0.577	0.605	0.593	3.2*
1,1-Dichloroethane	* 0.589	0.585	0.625	0.580	0.596	0.595	3.0*
2,2-Dichloropropane	* 0.538	0.497	0.510	0.467	0.483	0.499	5.4*
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	* 0.340	0.326	0.344	0.318	0.332	0.332	3.2*
Bromochloromethane	* 0.234	0.212	0.232	0.217	0.219	0.223	4.4*
Chloroform	* 0.600	0.613	0.647	0.604	0.633	0.619	3.2*
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	* 0.550	0.515	0.554	0.521	0.547	0.537	3.3*
Carbon Tetrachloride	* 0.514	0.523	0.556	0.529	0.560	0.536	3.9*
1,1-Dichloropropene	* 0.480	0.483	0.528	0.496	0.516	0.501	4.1*
Benzene	* 0.953	0.896	0.958	0.875	0.915	0.919	3.9*
1,2-Dichloroethane	* 0.313	0.301	0.330	0.308	0.322	0.315	3.6*
Trichloroethene	* 0.399	0.384	0.411	0.382	0.402	0.396	3.1*
1,2-Dichloropropane	* 0.341	0.359	0.392	0.362	0.370	0.365	5.1*
Dibromomethane	* 0.338	0.308	0.326	0.303	0.315	0.318	4.5*
Bromodichloromethane	* 0.605	0.600	0.651	0.607	0.641	0.621	3.8*
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	* 0.534	0.533	0.591	0.550	0.562	0.554	4.3*
Toluene	* 0.648	0.606	0.662	0.608	0.627	0.630	3.9*
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	* 0.439	0.446	0.482	0.452	0.475	0.459	4.0*
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	* 0.272	0.262	0.284	0.266	0.278	0.272	3.3*
Tetrachloroethene	* 0.499	0.525	0.544	0.511	0.527	0.521	3.3*
1,3-Dichloropropane	* 0.520	0.501	0.536	0.495	0.518	0.514	3.1*
Dibromochloromethane	* 0.669	0.643	0.708	0.703	0.720	0.689	4.6*
Chlorobenzene	* 0.935	0.963	0.996	0.956	0.961	0.962	2.3*
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	* 0.506	0.493	0.520	0.515	0.520	0.511	2.2*
Ethylbenzene	* 1.478	1.435	1.500	1.464	1.476	1.471	1.6*
m- & p-Xylene	* 0.565	0.552	0.580	0.569	0.563	0.566	1.8*
o-Xylene	* 0.526	0.540	0.556	0.536	0.535	0.539	2.1*
Styrene	* 0.910	0.902	0.944	0.921	0.921	0.920	1.7*

* Compounds with required minimum RRF and maximim %RSD values. All other compounds must meet a minimim RRF of 0.010.

### 6A

### VOLATILE ORGANICS INITIAL CALIBRATION DATA

RRF2

=LUC02V

Lab Name:	TESTAMERIC	CA BUR	RLINGT	ON	Contract:	28000			
Lab Code:	STLV	Case	No.:	MEFUDS	SAS No.:		SDG :	No.: 3	125630
Instrument	ID: L		Cal	ibratio	on Date(s):	05/15/08	0	5/15/0	08
Heated Pur	cge: (Y/N)	N	Cal	ibratic	on Time(s):	0912	1	123	
GC Column:	: CAP	ID:	0.53	(mm)					

RRF0.5=LUC005V

RRF10 =LUC10V RRF20 =LUC20V RRF30 =LUC30V							
COMPOUND	RRF0.5	RRF2	RRF10	RRF20	RRF30	RRF	% RSD
	======	======		======	======		=====
Bromoform	* 0.496	0.507	0.540	0.539	0.557	0.528	4.8
Isopropylbenzene	* 1.595	1.547	1.620	1.582	1.577	1.584	1.7*
Bromobenzene	* 0.512	0.507	0.532	0.514	0.517	0.516	1.8*
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	* 0.639	0.595	0.627	0.605	0.605	0.614	2.9*
n-Propylbenzene	* 0.420	0.414	0.431	0.414	0.410	0.418	2.0*
2-Chlorotoluene	* 0.424	0.383	0.406	0.389	0.384	0.397	4.4*
4-Chlorotoluene	* 0.435	0.390	0.411	0.391	0.394	0.404	4.7*
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	* 1.183	1.160	1.198	1.174	1.169	1.177	1.2*
tert-Butylbenzene	* 0.353	0.353	0.356	0.346	0.347	0.351	1.2*
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	* 1.137	1.150	1.170	1.151	1.146	1.151	1.0*
sec-Butylbenzene	* 1.730	1.698	1.783	1.731	1.727	1.734	1.8*
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	* 0.850	0.788	0.837	0.836	0.831	0.828	2.8*
p-Isopropyltoluene	* 1.366	1.392	1.447	1.422	1.408	1.407	2.2*
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	* 0.855	0.843	0.878	0.869	0.872	0.863	1.6*
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	* 0.728	0.721	0.741	0.739	0.732	0.732	1.1*
n-Butylbenzene	* 1.200	1.202	1.256	1.228	1.217	1.221	1.9*
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	* 0.597	0.537	0.580	0.573	0.582	0.574	3.9*
Hexachlorobutadiene	* 0.328	0.329	0.347	0.344	0.351	0.340	3.2*
Naphthalene	* 0.938	0.896	0.954	0.966	0.984	0.948	3.5*
=======================================	======	======	======	======	======	======	=====
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	* 0.304	0.267	0.281	0.257	0.272	0.276	6.4*
Toluene-d8	* 0.869	0.898	0.954	0.885	0.923	0.906	3.7*
Bromofluorobenzene	* 0.768	0.708	0.727	0.712	0.705	0.724	3.6*
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4	* 0.495	0.486	0.496	0.479	0.480	0.487	1.7
\							
		·····					

* Compounds with required minimum RRF and maximim %RSD values. All other compounds must meet a minimim RRF of 0.010.

LAB FILE ID:

Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLI	NGTON	Contract: 280	000	
Lab Code: STLV Case No	.: MEFUDS	SAS No.:	SDG N	No.: 125630
Instrument ID: L	Calibrati	on Date: 05/2	28/08 Time	e: 1653
Lab File ID: LUC02FV	Init. Cal	ib. Date(s):	05/15/08	05/15/08
Heated Purge: (Y/N) N	Init. Cal	ib. Times:	0912	1123
GC Column: CAP ID: 0.	53 (mm)			

			MIN		MAX
COMPOUND	RRF	RRF2	RRF	%D	%D
	=======	======	=======	=====	====
Dichlorodifluoromethane	0.317	0.333		5.0	30.0
Chloromethane	0.167	0.181		8.4	30.0
Vinyl Chloride	0.237	0.254		7.2	30.0
Bromomethane	0.125	0.121		3.2	30.0
Chloroethane	0.157	0.176		12.1	30.0
Trichlorofluoromethane	0.555	0.583		5.0	30.0
1,1-Dichloroethene	0.266	0.290		9.0	30.0
Methylene Chloride	0.273	0.296		8.4	30.0
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.311	0.328		5.5	30.0
Methyl-t-Butyl Ether	0.593	0.615		3.7	30.0
1,1-Dichloroethane	0.595	0.625		5.0	30.0
2,2-Dichloropropane	0.499	0.523		4.8	30.0
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.332	0.351		5.7	30.0
Bromochloromethane	0.223	0.239		7.2	30.0
Chloroform	0.619	0.662		6.9	30.0
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	0.537	0.563		4.8	30.0
Carbon Tetrachloride	0.536	0.556		3.7	30.0
1,1-Dichloropropene	0.501	0.542		8.2	30.0
Benzene	0.919	0.964		4.9	30.0
1,2-Dichloroethane	0.315	0.326		3.5	30.0
Trichloroethene	0.396	0.409		3.3	30.0
1,2-Dichloropropane	0.365	0.396		8.5	30.0
Dibromomethane	0.318	0.343		7.9	30.0
Bromodichloromethane	0.621	0.646		4.0	30.0
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.554	0.573		3.4	30.0
Toluene	0.630	0.653		3.6	30.0
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.459	0.480		4.6	30.0
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	0.272	0.298		9.6	30.0
Tetrachloroethene	0.521	0.549		5.4	30.0
1,3-Dichloropropane	0.514	0.546		6.2	30.0
Dibromochloromethane	0.689	0.696		1.0	30.0
Chlorobenzene	0.962	0.986		2.5	30.0
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.511	0.517		1.2	30.0
Ethylbenzene	1.471	1.507		2.4	30.0
m- & p-Xylene	0.566	0.574		1.4	30.0
o-Xylene	0.539	0.560		3.9	30.0
Styrene	0.920	0.929		1.0	30.0

Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLIN	NGTON Contract:	28000	
Lab Code: STLV Case No.	.: MEFUDS SAS No.:	SDG 1	No.: 125630
Instrument ID: L	Calibration Date: (	05/28/08 Tim	e: 1653
Lab File ID: LUC02FV	Init. Calib. Date(s	s): 05/15/08	05/15/08
Heated Purge: (Y/N) N	Init. Calib. Times	: 0912	1123
GC Column: CAP ID: 0.5	53 (mm)		

			MIN		MAX
COMPOUND	RRF	RRF2	RRF	₽D	%D
	========	=========	=======	======	====
Bromoform	0.528	0.528		0.0	30.0
Isopropylbenzene	1.584	1.632		3.0	30.0
Bromobenzene	0.516	0.518		0.4	30.0
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.614	0.635		3.4	30.0
n-Propylbenzene	0.418	0.428		2.4	30.0
2-Chlorotoluene	0.397	0.400		0.8	30.0
4-Chlorotoluene	0.404	0.405		0.2	30.0
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	1.177	1.200		2.0	30.0
tert-Butylbenzene	0.351	0.353		0.6	30.0
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	1.151	1.176		2.2	30.0
sec-Butylbenzene	1.734	1.785	]	2.9	30.0
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	0.828	0.827		0.1	30.0
p-Isopropyltoluene	1.407	1.453		3.3	30.0
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	0.863	0.854		1.0	30.0
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	0.732	0.737		0.7	30.0
n-Butylbenzene	1.221	1.254		2.7	30.0
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	0.574	0.552		3.8	30.0
Hexachlorobutadiene	0.340	0.344		1.2	30.0
Naphthalene	0.948	0.964		1.7	30.0
=======================================	=========	=========	=======	=====	====
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	0.276	0.276		0.0	30.0
Toluene-d8	0.906	0.969		7.0	30.0
Bromofluorobenzene	0.724	0.724		0.0	30.0
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4	0.487	0.496		1.8	30.0

Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLIN	NGTON Contract: 280	00
Lab Code: STLV Case No.	.: MEFUDS SAS No.:	SDG No.: 125630
Instrument ID: L	Calibration Date: 05/2	9/08 Time: 0956
Lab File ID: LUC02GV	<pre>Init. Calib. Date(s):</pre>	05/15/08 05/15/08
Heated Purge: (Y/N) N	Init. Calib. Times:	0912 1123
GC Column: CAP ID: 0.5	53 (mm)	

			MIN		MAX
COMPOUND	RRF	RRF2	RRF	%D	%D
*======================================	=========		========	======	====
Dichlorodifluoromethane	0.317	0.309		2.5	30.0
Chloromethane	0.167	0.180		7.8	30.0
Vinyl Chloride	0.237	0.231		2.5	30.0
Bromomethane	0.125	0.101		19.2	30.0
Chloroethane	0.157	0.167		6.4	30.0
Trichlorofluoromethane	0.555	0.528		4.9	30.0
1,1-Dichloroethene	0.266	0.284		6.8	30.0
Methylene Chloride	0.273	0.266		2.6	30.0
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.311	0.299		3.8	30.0
Methyl-t-Butyl Ether	0.593	0.592		0.2	30.0
1,1-Dichloroethane	0.595	0.579		2.7	30.0
2,2-Dichloropropane	0.499	0.492		1.4	30.0
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.332	0.330		0.6	30.0
Bromochloromethane	0.223	0.233		4.5	30.0
Chloroform	0.619	0.604		2.4	30.0
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	0.537	0.530		1.3	30.0
Carbon Tetrachloride	0.536	0.509		5.0	30.0
1,1-Dichloropropene	0.501	0.503		0.4	30.0
Benzene	0.919	0.879		4.4	30.0
1,2-Dichloroethane	0.315	0.330		4.8	30.0
Trichloroethene	0.396	0.385		2.8	30.0
1,2-Dichloropropane	0.365	0.373		2.2	30.0
Dibromomethane	0.318	0.302		5.0	30.0
Bromodichloromethane	0.621	0.618		0.5	30.0
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.554	0.539	1	2.7	30.0
Toluene	0.630	0.611		3.0	30.0
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.459	0.458		0.2	30.0
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	0.272	0.281		3.3	30.0
Tetrachloroethene	0.521	0.512		1.7	30.0
1,3-Dichloropropane	0.514	0.521		1.4	30.0
Dibromochloromethane	0.689	0.706		2.5	30.0
Chlorobenzene	0.962	0.994		3.3	30.0
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.511	0.520		1.8	30.0
Ethylbenzene	1.471	1.501		2.0	30.0
m- & p-Xylene	0.566	0.591		4.4	30.0
o-Xylene	0.539	0.577		7.0	30.0
Styrene	0.920	0.973		5.8	30.0

page 1 of 2

/

Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLIN	NGTON Contract: 280	00	
Lab Code: STLV Case No.	.: MEFUDS SAS No.:	SDG NO	o.: 125630
Instrument ID: L	Calibration Date: 05/2	29/08 Time	: 0956
Lab File ID: LUC02GV	<pre>Init. Calib. Date(s):</pre>	05/15/08	05/15/08
Heated Purge: (Y/N) N	Init. Calib. Times:	0912	1123
GC Column: CAP ID: 0.5	53 (mm)		

			MIN		MAX
COMPOUND	RRF	RRF2	RRF	%D	%D
		========	========	======	====
Bromoform	0.528	0.533		0.9	30.0
Isopropylbenzene	1.584	1.613		1.8	30.0
Bromobenzene	0.516	0.512		0.8	30.0
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.614	0.668		8.8	30.0
n-Propylbenzene	0.418	0.426		1.9	30.0
2-Chlorotoluene	0.397	0.407		2.5	30.0
4-Chlorotoluene	0.404	0.421		4.2	30.0
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	1.177	1.215		3.2	30.0
tert-Butylbenzene	0.351	0.370		5.4	30.0
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	1.151	1.209		5.0	30.0
sec-Butylbenzene	1.734	1.802		3.9	30.0
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	0.828	0.834		0.7	30.0
p-Isopropyltoluene	1.407	1.451		3.1	30.0
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	0.863	0.884		2.4	30.0
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	0.732	0.723		1.2	30.0
n-Butylbenzene	1.221	1.318		7.9	30.0
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	0.574	0.572		0.3	30.0
Hexachlorobutadiene	0.340	0.351		3.2	30.0
Naphthalene	0.948	0.996		5.1	30.0
	=========	=========	========	======	====
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	0.276	0.261		5.4	30.0
Toluene-d8	0.906	0.876		3.3	30.0
Bromofluorobenzene	0.724	0.756		4.4	30.0
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4	0.487	0.505		3.7	30.0

#### VOLATILE INTERNAL STANDARD/SURROGATE AREA AND RT SUMMARY

Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Contract: 28000 Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SAS No.: SDG No.: 125630 Lab File ID (Standard): LUC02FV Date Analyzed: 05/28/08 Instrument ID: L Time Analyzed: 1653 GC Column: CAP ID: 0.53 (mm) Heated Purge: (Y/N) N

	SS1 (DCA)		IS2(FBZ)		SS3 (TOL)	
	AREA #	RT #	AREA #	RT #	AREA #	RT #
	===========		===========		=========	
12 HOUR STD	106589	9.09	386496	9.68	374391	12.56
UPPER LIMIT	138566	9.59	502445	10.18	486708	13.06
LOWER LIMIT	74612	8.59	270547	9.18	262074	12.06
============	=========	=======	===========	======	==========	======
CLIENT						
SAMPLE NO.						
=======================================	=========	======	==========	=======	==========	=======
LB052808LCS	103253	9.09	390087	9.69	368353	12.56
MBLK052808LB	103670	9.10	396720	9.70	362422	12.55
TB-051608-01	104795	9.10	383500	9.68	343168	12.55
TB-051908-01	103437	9.09	393328	9.68	359517	12.56
RB-052008-01	104845	9.11	389293	9.70	361950	12.56
RB-051808-01	104126	9.10	386147	9.70	362573	12.57
2-0508-16	99667	9.09	390463	9.70	357088	12.57
2-0508285	102764	9.10	389326	9.70	359174	12.57
2-0508-037	105338	9.10	394794	9.70	359287	12.57
2-0508-945	100908	9.11	391382	9.70	358102	12.56
2-0508-189	102756	9.10	387789	9.70	362378	12.56
2-0508-265	104325	9.10	398236	9.70	360241	12.55
1-0508-056	99443	9.12	392004	9.71	340355	12.57
1-0508-051	108125	9.11	390958	9.70	355753	12.56
1-0508-041	104627	9.10	390652	9.70	361294	12.57
1-0508-034	100480	9.11	393824	9.70	363106	12.56
1-0508-034-E	104249	9.09	400920	9.68	360340	12.56
1-0508-029	103326	9.10	387400	9.70	365329	12.57
2-0508285MS	108606	9.10	402732	9.70	373184	12.57
2-0508285MSD	109491	9.11	402258	9.70	363279	12.56
	======================================	SS1 (DCA)         AREA #         12 HOUR STD       106589         UPPER LIMIT       138566         LOWER LIMIT       74612         ========       CLIENT         SAMPLE NO.       =========         LB052808LCS       103253         MBLK052808LB       103670         TB-051608-01       104795         TB-051908-01       103437         RB-052008-01       104845         RB-051808-01       104126         2-0508-16       99667         2-0508-16       99667         2-0508-189       102764         2-0508-265       104325         1-0508-056       99443         1-0508-051       108125         1-0508-051       108125         1-0508-034       100480         1-0508-029       103326         2-0508285MS       108606         2-0508285MS       109491	SS1 (DCA) AREA #         RT #           ========         =======           12 HOUR STD UPPER LIMIT         138566         9.59           LOWER LIMIT         74612         8.59           ========         =======         =======           CLIENT         74612         8.59           SAMPLE NO.         =======         =======           LB052808LCS         103253         9.09           MBLK052808LB         103670         9.10           TB-051608-01         104795         9.10           TB-051908-01         103437         9.09           RB-052008-01         104845         9.11           RB-051808-01         104126         9.10           2-0508-16         99667         9.09           2-0508-037         105338         9.10           2-0508-037         105338         9.10           2-0508-056         104325         9.10           2-0508-051         108125         9.11           1-0508-054         100480         9.11           1-0508-054         104249         9.09           1-0508-034         100480         9.11           1-0508-029         103326         9.10	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$

SS1 (DCA) = 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4
IS2 (FBZ) = Fluorobenzene
SS3 (TOL) = Toluene-d8

AREA UPPER LIMIT = + 30% of internal standard/surrogate area AREA LOWER LIMIT = - 30% of internal standard/surrogate area RT UPPER LIMIT = + 0.50 minutes of internal standard/surrogate RT RT LOWER LIMIT = - 0.50 minutes of internal standard/surrogate RT

# Column used to flag values outside QC limits with an asterisk.
* Values outside of QC limits.

page 1 of 3

### VOLATILE INTERNAL STANDARD/SURROGATE AREA AND RT SUMMARY

Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Contract: 28000 Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SAS No.: SDG No.: 125630 Lab File ID (Standard): LUC02FV Date Analyzed: 05/28/08 Instrument ID: L Time Analyzed: 1653 GC Column: CAP ID: 0.53 (mm) Heated Purge: (Y/N) N

		IS4 (CBZ)		SS5 (BFB)		IS6 (DCB)	
		AREA #	RT #	AREA #	RT #	AREA #	RT #
	===========	=========	======	==========		==========	
	12 HOUR STD	342120	15.47	247554	18.17	183902	19.91
	UPPER LIMIT	444756	15.97	321820	18.67	239073	20.41
	LOWER LIMIT	239484	14.97	173288	17.67	128731	19.41
	================	=========	======		======	==========	======
	CLIENT						
	SAMPLE NO.						
	==========	==========		===========	======	==========	=======
01	LB052808LCS	347110	15.47	258862	18.17	191171	19.92
02	MBLK052808LB	347466	15.46	254609	18.17	184387	19.91
03	TB-051608-01	344202	15.48	251362	18.17	184505	19.91
04	TB-051908-01	345231	15.47	254093	18.17	184331	19.93
05	RB-052008-01	351860	15.49	254647	18.17	191020	19.91
06	RB-051808-01	346488	15.50	258635	18.18	183089	19.93
07	2-0508-16	338996	15.48	250473	18.19	178982	19.93
80	2-0508285	339223	15.48	253051	18.18	185571	19.93
09	2-0508-037	350944	15.48	254144	18.17	189074	19.93
10	2-0508-945	344761	15.49	247844	18.17	182233	19.91
11	2-0508-189	351195	15.48	254147	18.17	187005	19.93
12	2-0508-265	348834	15.48	256425	18.18	190977	19.93
13	1-0508-056	347757	15.50	241463	18.18	188736	19.93
14	1-0508-051	343488	15.49	251829	18.19	185537	19.93
15	1-0508-041	349023	15.48	253688	18.17	189964	19.93
16	1-0508-034	349645	15.49	259686	18.17	189064	19.91
17	1-0508-034-E	350929	15.49	257945	18.17	191405	19.91
18	1-0508-029	353219	15.48	257786	18.17	185576	19.93
19	2-0508285MS	358636	15.48	265711	18.17	195615	19.91
20	2-0508285MSD	350591	15.47	258323	18.17	192846	19.91
21							
22							

IS4 (CBZ) = Chlorobenzene-d5 SS5 (BFB) = Bromofluorobenzene IS6 (DCB) = 1,4-Dichlorobenzene-d4

AREA UPPER LIMIT = + 30% of internal standard/surrogate area AREA LOWER LIMIT = - 30% of internal standard/surrogate area RT UPPER LIMIT = + 0.50 minutes of internal standard/surrogate RT RT LOWER LIMIT = - 0.50 minutes of internal standard/surrogate RT

# Column used to flag values outside QC limits with an asterisk.
* Values outside of QC limits.

page 2 of 3

### VOLATILE INTERNAL STANDARD/SURROGATE AREA AND RT SUMMARY

Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Contract: 28000 Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SAS No.: SDG No.: 125630 Lab File ID (Standard): LUC02FV Date Analyzed: 05/28/08 Instrument ID: L Time Analyzed: 1653 GC Column: CAP ID: 0.53 (mm) Heated Purge: (Y/N) N

		SS7 (DCZ)					
		AREA #	RT #	AREA #	RT #	AREA #	RT #
		=========	======	===========	=======	=============	======
	12 HOUR STD	169598	20.37				
	UPPER LIMIT	220477	20.87				
	LOWER LIMIT	118719	19.87				
	=========	=========	======	===============	======	==========	======
	CLIENT						
	SAMPLE NO.						
	=============	=========	=======	=========	=======	===========	======
01	LB052808LCS	175257	20.37				
02	MBLK052808LB	173638	20.36				
03	TB-051608-01	169637	20.36				
04	TB-051908-01	167488	20.36				
05	RB-052008-01	177156	20.37				
06	RB-051808-01	176626	20.38				
07	2-0508-16	169440	20.36				
80	2-0508285	172498	20.38				
09	2-0508-037	173684	20.36				
10	2-0508-945	168612	20.37				
11	2-0508-189	172052	20.38				
12	2-0508-265	172735	20.38				
13	1-0508-056	165372	20.38				
14	1-0508-051	172037	20.37				
15	1-0508-041	175261	20.36				
16	1-0508-034	176758	20.37				
17	1-0508-034-E	176655	20.37				
18	1-0508-029	178210	20.36				
19	2-0508285MS	179397	20.36				
20	2-0508285MSD	175641	20.36				
21							
22							

SS7 (DCZ) = 1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4

AREA UPPER LIMIT = + 30% of internal standard/surrogate area AREA LOWER LIMIT = - 30% of internal standard/surrogate area RT UPPER LIMIT = + 0.50 minutes of internal standard/surrogate RT RT LOWER LIMIT = - 0.50 minutes of internal standard/surrogate RT # Column used to flag values outside QC limits with an asterisk. * Values outside of QC limits.

page 3 of 3

#### VOLATILE INTERNAL STANDARD/SURROGATE AREA AND RT SUMMARY

Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Contract: 28000 Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SAS No.: SDG No.: 125630 Lab File ID (Standard): LUC02GV Date Analyzed: 05/29/08 Instrument ID: L Time Analyzed: 0956 GC Column: CAP ID: 0.53 (mm) Heated Purge: (Y/N) N

		SS1(DCA)		IS2(FBZ)		SS3 (TOL)	
		AREA #	RT #	AREA #	RT #	AREA #	RT #
			=======	==========	=======		=======
	12 HOUR STD	107865	9.10	412758	9.70	361452	12.55
	UPPER LIMIT	140224	9.60	536585	10.20	469888	13.05
	LOWER LIMIT	75506	8.60	288931	9.20	253016	12.05
		================	=======		=======		=======
	CLIENT						
	SAMPLE NO.						
	================			===========		============	=======
01	LA052908LCS	97724	9,11	400851	9.70	361551	12.56
02	MBLK052908LA	106441	9.10	383825	9.70	349458	12.55
03	1-0508-029DL	111054	9.11	386321	9.70	363234	12.56
04							
05							
06							
07							
08							
09							
10							
11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							

SS1 (DCA) = 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4
IS2 (FBZ) = Fluorobenzene
SS3 (TOL) = Toluene-d8

AREA UPPER LIMIT = + 30% of internal standard/surrogate area AREA LOWER LIMIT = - 30% of internal standard/surrogate area RT UPPER LIMIT = + 0.50 minutes of internal standard/surrogate RT RT LOWER LIMIT = - 0.50 minutes of internal standard/surrogate RT

# Column used to flag values outside QC limits with an asterisk.
* Values outside of QC limits.

page 1 of 3

#### VOLATILE INTERNAL STANDARD/SURROGATE AREA AND RT SUMMARY

Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Contract: 28000 Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SAS No.: SDG No.: 125630 Lab File ID (Standard): LUC02GV Date Analyzed: 05/29/08 Instrument ID: L Time Analyzed: 0956 GC Column: CAP ID: 0.53 (mm) Heated Purge: (Y/N) N

		IS4 (CBZ)		SS5 (BFB)		IS6 (DCB)	
		AREA #	RT #	AREA #	RT #	AREA #	RT #
	=================	=========	=======	=========	======	===========	
	12 HOUR STD	338369	15.48	255799	18.17	191071	19.91
	UPPER LIMIT	439880	15.98	332539	18.67	248392	20.41
	LOWER LIMIT	236858	14.98	179059	17.67	133750	19.41
		=========			======	=========	======
	CLIENT SAMPLE NO.						
			=======		=======		=======
01	LA052908LCS	349260	15.49	253093	18.17	190048	19.91
02	MBLK052908LA	341449	15.47	245683	18.17	188109	19.91
03	1-0508-029DL	342665	15.49	265879	18.17	179119	19.93
04							
05							
06							
07							
08							
09							
10							
11							
12							
13							
14 1 m							
16							<u> </u>
17							
18							
19							
20							·
21		l					
22						·	

IS4 (CBZ) = Chlorobenzene-d5
SS5 (BFB) = Bromofluorobenzene
IS6 (DCB) = 1,4-Dichlorobenzene-d4

AREA UPPER LIMIT = + 30% of internal standard/surrogate area AREA LOWER LIMIT = - 30% of internal standard/surrogate area RT UPPER LIMIT = + 0.50 minutes of internal standard/surrogate RT RT LOWER LIMIT = - 0.50 minutes of internal standard/surrogate RT

# Column used to flag values outside QC limits with an asterisk.
* Values outside of QC limits.

page 2 of 3

#### VOLATILE INTERNAL STANDARD/SURROGATE AREA AND RT SUMMARY

Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Contract: 28000 Lab Code: STLV Case No.: MEFUDS SAS No.: SDG No.: 125630 Lab File ID (Standard): LUC02GV Date Analyzed: 05/29/08 Instrument ID: L Time Analyzed: 0956 GC Column: CAP ID: 0.53 (mm) Heated Purge: (Y/N) N

		SS7 (DCZ)	די דיים #	<b>ADEA</b> #	D77 #	<b>ADEA</b> #	PT #
		AREA #	RI #	ARLA #		AREA #	KI #
		170762	20 26		=======		
	IZ HOUR SID	1/0/63	20.36				
	LOWED LIMIT	221992	20.86				
	LOWER LIMIT	119534	19.86				
	=======================================	==========	======		=======	==========	=======
	CLIENT						
	SAMPLE NO.						
	================	========		=========	========	========	======
01	LA052908LCS	171300	20.37				
02	MBLK052908LA	170949	20.36				
03	1-0508-029DL	178953	20.37				
04							
05							
06							
07							
08							
09							
10							
11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
10							
10							
19							
20							
21							
22							

SS7 (DCZ) = 1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4

AREA UPPER LIMIT = + 30% of internal standard/surrogate area AREA LOWER LIMIT = - 30% of internal standard/surrogate area RT UPPER LIMIT = + 0.50 minutes of internal standard/surrogate RT RT LOWER LIMIT = - 0.50 minutes of internal standard/surrogate RT

# Column used to flag values outside QC limits with an asterisk.
* Values outside of QC limits.

page 3 of 3

# APPENDIX E

# WESTON PRESSURE TRANSDUCER DATA SUMMARIES AND CARIBOU, MAINE PRECIPITATION RECORDS MAY 2008











































































Date	Time	Activity
4/30/08	1810	Start MW-4 test
	1815	Start MW-2 test
	1824	Start MW-1 test
	1830	Start MW-5 test
	1843	Start MW-3 test
5/5/2008		
	945	Pull DW-2 pump and start transducer test.
	1505	Pull MW-1 transducer (start geophysics).
	1803	Replace MW-1 transducer.
		·
5/6/2008	730	Pull DW-1 pump.
	905	Pull DW-2 transducer.
	908	Pull MW-4 transducer (start geophysics).
	1130	Start DW-2 VSP test.
	1150	Start DW-1 transducer test.
	1205	Stop MW-4 transducer test. Sync with computer clock and restart test.
	1220	Replace MW-4 transducer.
	1225	Pull MW-3 transducer (start geophysics).
	1306	Stop MW-3 transducer test. Sync with computer clock and restart test.
	1608	Replace MW-3 transducer.
	1645	Pull MW-2 transducer (start geophysics).
	1656	Stop DW-2 transducer test. Sync with computer clock and restart test.
		Replace MW-2 transducer. Stop MW-2 transducer test, sync with computer
	1718	clock, and restart test.
_ / _ /		
5/7/2008	750	Pull DW-1 transducer.
	754	Stop DW-1 transducer test. Sync with computer clock and restart test.
	810	Start DW-1 VSP test.
	915	Replace DW-1 transducer.
	930	Pull MW-4 transducer.
	945	Start MW-4 VSP test.
	1135	Replace MW-4 transducer.
	1213	Pull MW-1 transducer. Start VSP test.
	1216	Stop MW-1 transducer test. Sync with computer clock and restart.
	1240	Pull MW-2 transducer (start geophysics).
	1537	Replace MW-1 transducer.
	1630	Puil IVIV-3 ITANSOUCEF. START VSP.
	1700	Replace WW-2 transducer.
	1/11	rui www-o italisuucel. Stop MW 5 transducer toot - Supe with computer clock
	1716	Stop www-5 transducer test. Sync with computer clock.
	1/10	Nesidii ivivi-5 transducer lest.
	1/18	Start MW-5 doopbysics
	1/24	Start www-5 geophysics.
	1024	





Date	Time	Activity
5/8/2008	733	Pull MW-2 transducer. Start VSP test.
	854	Pull MW-5 transducer. Start geophysics.
	908	Replace MW-2 transducer. Done VSP test.
	1040	Replace MW-5 transducer.
	1115	Pull DW-2 transducer. Start geophysics.
	1255	Replace MW-5 transducer. Done VSP test.
	1733	Replace DW-2 transducer. Done geophysics for day.
5/9/2008	829	Pull DW-2 transducer.
	933	Start DW-2 geophysics tests.
	1720	Replace DW-2 transducer.
5/10/2008	750	Pull DW-2 transducer.
	957	Start geophysics tests.
	1908	Replace DW-2 transducer.
5/11/2008	741	Pull DW-1 transducer.
	844	Start geophysics tests.
	1756	Replace DW-1 transducer.
5/12/2008		No work performed.
5/13/2008	1140	Pull DW-1 transducer. Set up for hydrophysics.
		Start fluid replacement with DI water.
	1300	Bore fluid is completely replaced.
		Log well fluid
	1536	Replace DW-1 transducer.
5/14/2008	820	Start ambient logging on DW-1.
	953	Pumping test begins. Inject and pump at 7 gpm
	1210	Production test begins on DW-1. Pump rate = 1.4 gpm.
	1355	Done DW-1 Tests.
	1615	Start DI fluid replacement in DW-2.
	1810	Done fluid replacement. Start ambient logs.
5/15/2008	755	Begin DW-2 logging.
	1110	Pumps inserted in DW-2 and production test begin.
	1810	Start injection at 1.2 gpm and extraction at 7.6 gpm.
	2332	Test complete.





Date	Time	Activity
5/16/2008	939	Pull DW-2 transducer.
	1245	Inflate packers at 16-20 ft bgs.
		Start pumping for low flow sampling. Pump cuts out and packers are
	1338	leaking.
	1358	Reinflate packers. Begin pumping and sampling.
		Sample collected from 16-20 ft bgs interval. Start drawdown test. No
	1538	significant flow.
	1630	Deflate packers.
	1715	Inflate packers at 28.5-32.5 ft bgs.
	1755	Start pumping for low flow sampling. Pump at 1.35 gpm, no drawdown
		Sample 28.5-32.5 ft bgs interval. COLOG begins transmissivity/hydraulic
	1830	conductivity testing.
	1955	COLOG done testing.
5/17/2008	1110	Inflate packers at 37-41.7 ft bgs.
	1140	Start pumping for low flow sampling.
	1214	Sample collected from 37-42 ft bgs. interval.
	1220	Start transmissivity and hydraulic conductivity test.
	1327	Stop pumping. Allow to recover and deflate packers.
	1400	Lower and inflate packers at 94.5-98.5 ft bgs.
	1428	Start pumping for low flow sampling.
	1535	Sample collected from 94.5-98.5 ft bgs interval.
	1540	Start transmissivity and hydraulic conductivity test.
	1637	Stop pumping. Allow to recover and deflate packers.
	1655	Deflate packers.
	1729	Lower and inflate packers at 187.9-192.2 ft bgs.
	1745	Start pumping for low flow sampling.
	1855	Sample collected from 187.9-192.2 ft bgs interval.
	1900	Start transmissivity and hydraulic conductivity test.
	1942	Stop pumping. Allow to recover and deflate packers.
	1958	Deflate packers.
	2036	Lower and inflate packers at 265 ft bgs-bottom interval.
	2117	Start pumping for low flow sampling.
	2150	Sample collected from 265 ft bgs-bottom interval.
	2200	Start transmissivity and hydraulic conductivity test.
	2256	Stop pumping. Allow to recover and deflate packers.
5/18/2008	930	Pull packers from DW-2 and replace transducer.
	1054	Pull DW-1 transducer.
	1757	Inflate packers at 56 ft bgs-bottom interval.
	1814	Start pumping for low flow sampling.
	1910	Sample collected from 56 ft bgs-bottom interval. Allow well to stabilize.
	,	





Date	Time	Activity
5/19/2008	745	Remove and reinstall DW-2 transducer while reinstalling well pump.
	824	Inflate packers at 51 ft bgs-bottom interval in DW-1.
	830	Start pumping for low flow sampling.
	930	Sample collected from 51 ft bgs-bottom interval.
	935	Start transmissivity and hydraulic conductivity test (7 gpm).
	1038	Stop pump and allow well to stabilize.
	1059	Deflate packers and lower to 56 ft bgs-bottom zone again.
	1204	Start transmissivity and hydraulic conductivity test (78 ml/min)
	1256	Stop pump and allow well to stabilize.
	1700	Inflate packers at 41.2-51.9 ft bgs.
	1735	Start pumping for low flow sampling.
	1815	Sample collected from 41.2-51.9 ft bgs interval.
	1817	Start transmissivity and hydraulic conductivity test (6.33 gpm)
	1925	Stop pump and allow well to stabilize.
	1951	Deflate packers.
	2050	Replace DW-1 transducer.
5/20/2008	900	Pull DW-1 transducer.
	1046	Inflate packers at 33.75-38.5 ft bgs.
	1107	Start pumping for low flow sampling.
	1200	Sample collected from 33.75-38.5 ft bgs interval.
	1232	Start transmissivity and hydraulic conductivity test (2.7 gpm).
	1334	Stop pump and allow well to stabilize.
	1349	Deflate packers and set for shallow zone sample.
	1445	Inflate packer at 33.15 ft bgs for shallow zone sampling.
	1501	Start pumping for low flow sampling.
	1605	Sample collected from surface-33.15 ft bgs interval.
	1607	Start transmissivity and hydraulic conductivity test.
	1709	Stop pump and allow well to stabilize.
	1811	Deflate packers.
	1839	Replace DW-1 transducer.
5/21/2008	1028	Pull DW-1 transducer. Replace well pump.
	1039	Replace DW-1 transducer.
	1505	Stop MW-4 test and remove transducer.
	1514	Stop MW-2 test and remove transducer.
	1521	Stop MW-1 test and remove transducer.
	1528	Stop MW-5 test and remove transducer.
	1536	Stop DW-1 test and remove transducer.
	1541	Stop MW-3 test and remove transducer.
	1548	Stop DW-2 test and remove transducer.

Notes:

VSP = vertical seismic profiling DI = deionized gpm = gallons per minute

ft = feet

bgs = below ground surface

mL/min. = milliliter per minute

COLOG = Colog Division of Layne Christensen Company

Rainfall	Totals During MEFUDS				
Hydro-G	Hydro-Geophysics Investigation				
Date	Rainfall Ammount (in)				
4/30/2008	Trace				
5/1/2008	0				
5/2/2008	0				
5/3/2008	0				
5/4/2008	0.17				
5/5/2008	0				
5/6/2008	0				
5/7/2008	0				
5/8/2008	0.55				
5/9/2008	0				
5/10/2008	0				
5/11/2008	0				
5/12/2008	0				
5/13/2008	0				
5/14/2008	0				
5/15/2008	Trace				
5/16/2008	Trace				
5/17/2008	0				
5/18/2008	0				
5/19/2008	0.81				
5/20/2008	Trace				
5/21/2008	0.04				




































































