

Coastal Management Consistency Review Form for Federal Activities

Use of this form, although not mandatory, will facilitate coastal consistency review analysis by the Federal agency and result in submission of sufficient information for comprehensive review by the Department of Energy and Environmental Protection (DEEP) Office of Long Island Sound Programs (OLISP). It is anticipated that submittal of a completed form with indicated supplemental materials will, in most instances, eliminate the need for further information. The form should be used in conjunction with the Reference Guide to Coastal Policies and Definitions (DEEP-OLISP-GUID-200). The Instructions and Guidance for Completing the Federal Coastal Consistency Review Form for Federal Activities (DEEP-OLISP-INST-300) explains how to complete this form and provides several critical definitions and pertinent guidance. Once completed, please submit this form with the appropriate supporting documentation to: CT DEEP-OLISP, 79 Elm Street, Hartford, CT 06106-5127. For further information or assistance in completing this form, please contact us at the address above or by phone at 860-424-3034.

Part I: Federal Agency and Contact Identification					
Agency Name: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers					
Mailing Address: 696 Virginia Rd					
City/Town: Concord	State: MA	Zip Code: 01742			
Business Phone: 978-318-8717	ext.	Fax:			
Agency Contact: Grace Moses	Title: Projec	t Biologist			
E-Mail: c.grace.moses@usace.army.mil					
Identification of Primary Contact for correspondence if	other than Agency	Contact noted above:			
Company Name:					
Mailing Address:					
City/Town:	State:	Zip Code:			
Business Phone:	ext.	Fax:			
Contact Person:	Title:				
E-Mail:	E-Mail:				
Part II: Review Type and Project Title					
Type of Review (check one):					
☐ Other Federal agency activity (specify general type):					
Project Title or Other Identification:					
Fairfield and New Haven Counties Coastal Storm Risk Management Feasibility Study					

Part III: Other DEEP Involvement with the Project

Is any component of this activity directly regulated by DEEP separate from the Federal Coastal Consistency Process (e.g., 401 Water Quality Certification)? ☐ Yes ☒ No				
If yes, list below all DEEP permits, certifications, or other authorizations being pursued for this activity, and describe the regulated activity/ies:				
Check if additional sheets are attached to this page				
Has any other unit of the DEEP been contacted regarding this activity? ⊠ Yes ☐ No				
If yes, please identify other Departmental contacts:				
Natural Diversity Database Bureau of Water Protection and Land Reuse - Land and Water Resources Division Bureau of Natural Resources - Fisheries Division				
☐ Check if additional sheets are attached to this page				

Part IV: Detailed Project Information

1. Description of Proposed Activity

Describe the proposed federal activity including its purpose and all related actions. For site-specific activities, such actions might include: site clearing, grading, demolition, and other site preparations; percentage of increase or decrease in impervious cover from existing conditions resulting from the activity; phasing, timing, and method of proposed construction; and new uses and changes from existing uses. For site-specific activities proposed at waterfront sites, provide detailed information regarding any water-dependent uses proposed. For non-site specific activities, include a complete description of the proposed activity and its purpose.

Study Objective: Reduce damages and Manage Risk from Coastal Storms in the City of New Haven,

Connecticut

Congressional Authority: Resolution by the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the

U.S. House of Rep. dated 29 April 2010

Non-Federal Sponsor: CT DEEP

Project Location: Long Wharf, New Haven, CT.

The project would augment the existing embankment that runs between Long Wharf Park and Interstate-95 with 5,950 linear feet of cantilever floodwall (top elevation 15' NAVD88) in New Haven, CT. The floodwall will have buried footings and be built from reinforced concrete and metal bars. Five deployable road closure structures will be installed to prevent underpass flooding on Long Wharf Drive (3 closure structures), Canal Dock Road, and Brewery Street. Two pump stations (~500 cfs) will be constructed to handle stormwater behind the structure. These pump stations will pump water to existing stormwater utilities owned and operated by the City of New Haven, thus no new outfalls are required for construction. Potential floodproofing measures will be assessed for 14 buildings in the Long Wharf Maritime Center area. The construction duration and schedule will be refined in the next phase of the project. Please see the attached map for the location of the project.

Check if additional sheets are attached to this page

Part IV: Detailed Project Information (cont.)

2.	ls th	ne Proje	ect Site-Specific?		
		Yes	s Please continue with Part IV and fill out all subsequent parts of the form.		
		No	Skip to Part V: Identification of Applicable Enforceable Policies		
3.	Loc	ation Inf	formation		
	a.	Project	Address, Location, or Affected Area: I-95 embankment along Long Wharf Park		
		City/To	own: New Haven State: CT Zip Code: 06519		
	b.	Agenc	cy's interest in property, if any:		
			e simple		
	C.		activity proposed at a waterfront site (includes tidal wetlands frontage) or within coastal, tidal or able waters? Yes No		
		If yes,	name the affected coastal, tidal or navigable waters:		
	d.		ite effects on coastal uses and/or resources are anticipated, identify the address or location(s) h effects and attach a map (8 $\frac{1}{2}$ " x 11" format) indicating this area:		
			Check if additional sheets are attached to this page		
		⊠ C	Check here to indicate map is enclosed.		
	e.	site of uses.	Federal project is site specific, identify and describe the existing land use on and adjacent to the the proposed activity and any anticipated location(s) of off-site effects on coastal resources or Clearly differentiate between the descriptions of on-site and off-site areas. Include any existing ures and significant features at either location.		
		roadw	use in the immediate construction footprint is cleared highway embankment and vays. Staging and access areas for construction will take place in the City-owned Long Park parking lots.		
		□ C	Check if additional sheets are attached to this page		
	f.	Indicate	e the area of the project site: 5,950 linear feet of floodwall acres or square feet		
	g.	Indicate	e the area of any anticipated off-site effects: N/A		
		☐ acre	es or		

Part IV: Detailed Project Information (cont.)

4.	. Project Plans				
	If the proposed Federal activity is a "Federal Development Project", or other site specific activity, please provide project plans in 8 ½" x 11" format that clearly and accurately depict the following items, and check the appropriate boxes to indicate that the information is included in this review package:				
	\boxtimes	Project location			
	\boxtimes	Existing and proposed conditions, including buildings and grading			
		Coastal resources on and contiguous to the site			
		High Tide Line [as defined in CGS § 22a-359(c)], Mean High Water, and Mean Low Water elevations and contours (for parcels abutting coastal waters and/or tidal wetlands only)			
		Soil erosion and sediment controls			
	\boxtimes	Stormwater management measures			
		Ownership and type of use on adjacent properties			
	\boxtimes	Reference datum (i.e., National Geodetic Vertical Datum, Mean Sea Level, etc.)			
	If a Spill Prevention, Control, and Containment Plan (SPCC) has been developed for this site, please provide a copy in the review package and check here to indicate its inclusion ☐				

Part V: Identification of the Applicable Enforceable Policies

In this Part, there are four tables which should be completed by checking the appropriate boxes in each. Table 1: Coastal Resources and Associated Enforceable Policies, is to identify on-site, adjacent, and/or potentially affected State-statutorily defined coastal resources. Table 2: Coastal Uses and Associated Enforceable Policies, is to identify existing and proposed State-statutorily defined coastal uses potentially affected by the project. Table 3a: Potential Adverse Impacts on Coastal Resources and Table 3b: Potential Adverse Impacts on Water-dependent Uses and Opportunities is to identify State-statutorily-defined adverse impacts.

Table 1

- Tubic I			
Coastal Resources and Associated Enforceable Policies	On-site	Adjacent	Affected by the proposed Federal activity**
General Coastal Resources* - Definition: CGS § 22a-93(7) Policy: CGS § 22a-92(a)(2)	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes
Beaches & Dunes - Definition: CGS § 22a-93(7)(C)			
Policies: CGS §§ 22a-92(b)(2)(C) and 22a-92(c)(1)(K) Bluffs & Escarpments - Definition: CGS § 22a-93(7)(A)			
Policy: CGS § 22a-92(b)(2)(A) Coastal Hazard Area - Definition: CGS § 22a-93(7)(H); Policies: CGS §§ 22a-92(a)(2), 22a-92(a)(5), 22a-92(b)(2)(F),	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes
22a-92(b)(2)(J), 22a-92(c)(1)(K), and 22a-92(c)(2)(B) Coastal Waters, Estuarine Embayments, Nearshore Waters, Offshore Waters -			
Definitions: CGS §§ 22a-93(5), 22a-93(7)(G), 22a-93(7)(K), and 22a-93(7)(L); Policies: CGS §§ 22a-92(a)(2) and 22a-92(c)(2)(A)			
Developed Shorefront - Definition: CGS § 22a-93(7)(I); Policy: CGS § 22a-92(b)(2)(G)		\boxtimes	
Freshwater Wetlands and Watercourses - Definition: CGS § 22a-93(7)(F) Policy: CGS § 22a-92(a)(2)			
Intertidal Flats - Definition: CGS § 22a-93(7)(D) Policies: CGS § 22a-92(b)(2)(D) and 22a-92(c)(1)(K)			
Islands - Definition: CGS § 22a-93(7)(J) Policy: CGS § 22a-92(b)(2)(H)			
Rocky Shorefront - Definition: CGS § 22a-93(7)(B) Policy: CGS § 22a-92(b)(2)(B)			
Shellfish Concentration Areas - Definition: CGS § 22a-93(7)(N) Policy: CGS § 22a-92(c)(1)(I)			
Shorelands - Definition: CGS § 22a-93(7)(M) Policy: CGS § 22a-92(b)(2)(I)			
Tidal Wetlands - Definition: CGS § 22a-93(7)(E) Policies: CGS §§ 22a-92(a)(2), 22a-92(b)(2)(E), and 22a-92(c)(1)(B)			

^{*} The General Coastal Resource Policy is applicable to all proposed activities within Connecticut's coastal boundary and coastal area.

^{*} The coastal resources affected by the project can be on-site, adjacent, or further removed from the project site.

Table 2

Coa	stal Uses and Associated Enforceable Policies
\boxtimes	General Development* - CGS §§ 22a-92(a)(1), 22a-92(a)(4), and 22a-92(a)(9)
	Boating - CGS § 22a-92(b)(1)(G), 22a-92(b)(1)(H), and 22a-92(b)(1)(I)
	Coastal Recreation and Access - CGS §§ 22a-92(a)(2), 22a-92(a)(6), 22a-92(c)(1)(J), and 22a-92(c)(1)(K)
	Coastal Structures and Filling - CGS § 22a-92(a)(2), 22a-92(b)(1)(D), 22a-92(c)(1)(B), 22a-92(c)(1)(K), and 22a-92(c)(2)(B)
	Cultural Resources – CGS § 22a-92(b)(1)(J)
	Dams, Dikes and Reservoirs - CGS § 22a-92(a)(2)
	Dredging and Navigation - CGS §§ 22a-92(a)(2), 22a-92(c)(1)(C), 22a-92(c)(1)(D), and 22a-92(c)(1)(E)
	Energy Facilities - CGS §§ 16-50g and 16-50p(a)
	Fisheries - CGS § 22a-92(c)(1)(I)
	Flooding and Erosion - CGS § 22a-92(a)(5)
	Fuel, Chemicals and Hazardous Materials - CGS §§ 22a-92(a)(2), 22a-92(b)(1)(C), 22a-92(b)(1)(E) and 22a-92(c)(1)(A)
	Facilities and Resources which are in the National Interest - Definition CGS § 22a-93(14) - Policy CGS 22a-92(a)(10)
	Intergovernmental Coordination - CGS § 22a-92(a)(9)
	Open Space and Agricultural Lands - CGS § 22a-92(a)(2)
	Ports and Harbors – CGS § 22a-92(b)(1)(C)
	Sewer and Water Lines - CGS § 22a-92(b)(1)(B)
	Solid Waste - CGS § 22a-92(a)(2)
\boxtimes	Transportation - CGS §§ 22a-92(b)(1)(F), 22a-92(c)(1)(F), 22a-92(c)(1)(G), and 22a-92(c)(1)(H)
	Water-dependent Uses** - Definition CGS § 22a-93(16) - Policies CGS §§ 22a-92(a)(3) and 22a-92(b)(1)(A)

^{*} The General Development Policy is applicable to all proposed activities within Connecticut's coastal boundary and coastal area.

^{**} The Water-Dependent Uses Policies are applicable to all activities proposed at waterfront sites, including those sites with only tidal wetlands frontage.

Identification of State Statutorily Defined Potential Adverse Impacts

In Tables 3a and 3b, identify the adverse impact categories that apply to the proposed Federal activity. The "Applicable" column **must be checked** if the proposed activity has the **potential** to generate any of the State-statutorily defined adverse impacts, even if the activity is designed to avoid such impacts. Also indicate, by checking the appropriate boxes, whether the potential adverse impacts have been avoided or minimized and whether any resource compensation is proposed.

Table 3a

Potential Adverse Impacts on Coastal Resources	Applicable	Impacts Are Avoided	Impacts Are Minimized	Compensation Is Proposed	Not Applicable
Characteristics and Functions of Resources - CGS § 22a-93(15)(H)					\boxtimes
Coastal Flooding - CGS § 22a-93(15)(E)	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes		
Coastal Waters Circulation Patterns - CGS § 22a-93(15)(B)					\boxtimes
Drainage Patterns - CGS § 22a-93(15)(D)	\boxtimes	\boxtimes			
Patterns of Shoreline Erosion and Accretion - CGS § 22a-93(15)(C)					\boxtimes
Visual Quality - CGS § 22a-93(15)(F)			\boxtimes		
Water Quality - CGS § 22a-93(15)(A)					\boxtimes
Wildlife, Finfish, Shellfish Habitat - CGS § 22a-93(15)(G)					\boxtimes

Table 3b

Potential Adverse Impacts on Water-dependent Uses and Opportunities	Applicable	Impacts Are Avoided	Impacts Are Minimized	Compensation Is Proposed	Not Applicable
Locating a non-water-dependent use at a site physically suited for, or planned for location of, a water-dependent use - CGS § 22a-93(17)					
Replacing an existing water-dependent use with a non-water-dependent use - CGS § 22a-93(17)					\boxtimes
Siting a non-water-dependent use which would substantially reduce or inhibit existing public access to marine or tidal waters - CGS § 22a-93(17)					\boxtimes

Part VI: Consistency Analysis

Explain how the proposed activity is consistent with all of the applicable enforceable policies identified in Part V, why any remaining adverse impacts resulting from the proposed activity or use have not been mitigated, and why the project as proposed is consistent with the enforceable policies of Connecticut's Coastal Management Program. If an adverse impact **may** result from the proposed Federal activity, describe what project design features may be used to eliminate, minimize, or mitigate the potential for adverse impacts. For proposed Federal Development Projects, please describe the stormwater best management practices that will be utilized. Such systems should be designed to meet the guidance provided in the accompanying instructions.

No in-water construction activities are proposed for this project, all work will be conducted above the mean high water line. The proposed action will be built in a Coastal Hazard Area (Flood Zone AE, BFE 11) and will provide coastal storm and flood protection for areas in Long Wharf that are located in VE and AE flood zones inlcuding a portion of Interstate-95. The project will not disrupt or prevent water-dependent uses or public access to the waterfront except when the deployable road closure structures are in place during operation as well as maintenance events. The visual quality of the coastline will be affected temporarily by the construction of the floodwall. Long term, views of the harbor from a 5,950 linear foot section of Interstate-95 will be blocked. Conversely, views and sounds of the interstate will be blocked from Long Wharf and Bayview Parks thereby enhancing visual quality. With the floodwall in place, pump stations will be required to pump storm water from behind the line of protection to New Haven Harbor. Two buried pump stations sized to handle about 500 cfs will be constructed and will hook up to existing stormwater utilities requiring no new outfalls or pipes.

Part VII: Level of Consistency and Identification of Legal Authority that Prohibits Full Consistency, if Applicable

Check if additional sheets are attached to this page

Federal regulations allow Federal activities to be less than fully consistent with a State's enforceable policies only if "full consistency is prohibited by existing law applicable to the Federal Agency" [15 CFR 930.32]. Please check the appropriate box below to indicate the activities degree of consistency.				
Project is fully consistent with Connecticut's enforceable policies				
Project is <i>not fully</i> consistent with Connecticut's enforceable policies, but is consistent to the maximum extent practicable				
Check if additional sheets are attached to this page				

Part VIII: Coastal Zone Management Act Consistency Statement

Note: This Part *must* be completed for all submissions

In this Statement "Federal Agency" means: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and "the project" means: Fairfield and New Haven Counties Coastal Storm Risk Management Feasibility Study This document provides the State of Connecticut Coastal Management Program with the required Consistency Determination under CZMA Section 307(c)(1) [or (2)] and 15 CFR Part 930, Subpart C, for the project described in this Coastal Mangement Consistency Review Form for Federal Activities. This determination is provided by the Federal Agency identified above. The information in this Consistency Determination is provided pursuant to 15 CFR Section 930.39. The Federal Agency has determined that the project affects the land or water uses or natural resources of Connecticut as described above. Based on the information, data, and analysis included in the Coastal Mangement Consistency Review Form for Federal Activities for the project, the Federal Agency has determined that the proposed activity is consistent to the maximum extent practicable with the enforceable policies of the Connecticut Coastal Management Program as evaluated in this form. Pursuant to 15 CFR Section 930.41, the Connecticut Coastal Management Program has 60 days from receipt of this form in which to concur with or object to this Consistency Determination, or to request an extension under 15 CFR Section 930.41(b).

Part IX: Certifying Signatures

"I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted in this document and all attachments thereto, and I certify that based on reasonable investigation, including my inquiry of the individuals responsible for obtaining the information, the submitted information is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief."				
Signature of Certifier	Date			
Name of Certifier (print or type)	Title (if applicable)			
Signature of Preparer	Date			
Grace Moses Name of Preparer (print or type)	Project Biologist Title (if applicable)			



LOCATION MAP



LEGEND

Pump Station (2)

Closure Structures (475 ft)

T-Wall (5950 ft.)

Structures Outside Flood Protection (14)

Total Length of protection: 6425 ft

TITLE

Connecticut Coastal Flood Study New Haven, CT Alternative 3B Enhanced Embankment

350 700 Feet



