FORT DEVENS
FEASIBILITY STUDY
FOR GROUP 1A SITES

FINAL
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
DATA ITEM A009

VOLUME I OF IV
REPORT TEXT

CONTRACT DAAA15-91-D-0008

U.S. ARMY ENVIRONMENTAL CENTER
ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MARYLAND

DECEMBER 1993

PRINTED ON RECYCLED PAPER

1A 1993121 ABB

FORT DEVENS FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES

FINAL
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
DATA ITEM A009

CONTRACT DAAA15-91-D-0008
DELIVERY ORDER NUMBER 0004

Prepared for:

U.S. Army Environmental Center
Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland

Prepared by:

ABB Environmental Services, Inc.
Portland, Maine
Project No. 07005-11

DECEMBER 1993

This page intentionally left blank.

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FORT DEVENS FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section	Title	Page No.
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY		ES-1
1.0	INTRODUCTION	1-1
1.1	PURPOSE AND ORGANIZATION OF REPORT	1-2
1.2	DESCRIPTION OF AOCs	1-3
1.2.1	Shepley's Hill Landfill	1-3
1.2.2	Cold Spring Brook Landfill	1-6
2.0	DATA GAP ACTIVITIES	2-1
2.1	FIELD INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM AT SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL	2-1
2.1.1	Plow Shop Pond Sediment Sampling	2-1
2.1.2	Nonacoicus Brook Wetland Shallow Groundwater and Soil Sampling	2-2
2.1.3	Shepley's Hill Landfill Leachate Sampling	2-4
2.1.4	Seismic Refraction Survey	2-4
2.1.5	Landfill Gas Migration Survey	2-5
2.1.6	Monitoring Well Installation	2-6
2.1.7	Aquifer Characterization and Testing	2-8
2.1.8	Monitoring Well Development	2-9
2.1.9	Shepley's Hill Landfill Groundwater Sampling	2-10
2.1.10	Fish Sampling and Analysis	2-11
2.1.11	Plow Shop Pond Macroinvertebrate Sampling	2-14
2.1.12	Wetland Functional Assessment	2-15
2.2	FIELD INVESTIGATIONS AT COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL	2-17
2.2.1	Cold Spring Brook Pond Sediment Sampling	2-17
2.2.2	Cold Spring Brook Landfill Seep Sampling	2-18
2.2.3	Magazine Area Shallow Groundwater and Soil Sampling	2-19
2.2.4	Soil Boring and Monitoring Well Installation	2-20
2.2.5	Aquifer Testing	2-22

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

Section	Title	Page No.
	2.2.6 Monitoring Well Development	2-23
	2.2.7 Cold Spring Brook Landfill Groundwater Sampling	2-24
	2.2.8 Fish Sampling and Analysis	2-25
	2.2.9 Macroinvertebrate Sampling	2-27
	2.2.10 Wetland Functional Assessment	2-28
2.3	FIELD INVESTIGATION PROGRAM AT GROVE POND	2-29
2.4	FIELD INVESTIGATION PROGRAM AT NEW CRANBERRY POND	2-30
	2.4.1 Macroinvertebrate Sampling	2-30
	2.4.2 Sediment Sampling	2-31
2.5	ANALYTICAL PROGRAM	2-31
	2.5.1 Analytical Parameters	2-31
	2.5.2 Quality Assurance/Quality Control	2-32
	2.5.2.1 Laboratory Certification	2-32
	2.5.2.2 Laboratory Methods Quality Control	2-33
	2.5.2.3 Data Reduction and Evaluation	2-33
	2.5.2.4 Data Reporting	2-34
	2.5.2.5 Field Quality Control Samples	2-35
	2.5.2.6 Analytical Data Quality Evaluation	2-36
2.6	CHEMICAL DATA MANAGEMENT	2-36
	2.6.1 Sample Tracking System	2-36
	2.6.2 Installation Restoration Data Management Information System	2-37
2.7	INVESTIGATION DERIVED WASTE	2-38
3.0	PHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS	3-1
3.1	HISTORY	3-1
3.2	PHYSICAL SETTING	3-3
	3.2.1 Climate	3-3
	3.2.2 Vegetation	3-4
	3.2.3 Ecology	3-5

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

Section	Title	Page No.
	3.2.4 Physiography	3-6
	3.2.5 Soils	3-7
	3.2.6 Surficial Geology	3-10
	3.2.7 Bedrock Geology	3-11
	3.2.8 Regional Hydrogeology	3-13
3.3	SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL	3-15
	3.3.1 Geology	3-16
	3.3.1.1 Surficial Geology	3-16
	3.3.1.2 Bedrock Geology	3-16
	3.3.2 Plow Shop Pond Sediments	3-18
	3.3.3 Nonacoicus Brook Wetland Soils	3-18
	3.3.4 Groundwater Hydrology	3-18
	3.3.5 Surface Water Hydrology	3-20
3.4	COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL	3-21
	3.4.1 Geology	3-21
	3.4.1.1 Surficial Geology	3-21
	3.4.2.2 Bedrock Geology	3-22
	3.4.2 Cold Spring Brook Pond Sediment	3-22
	3.4.3 Magazine Area Soils	3-22
	3.4.4 Groundwater Hydrology	3-22
	3.4.5 Surface Water Hydrology	3-23
4.0	NATURE AND EXTENT OF CONTAMINATION	4-1
4.1	SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL	4-3
	4.1.1 Shepley's Hill Landfill Groundwater	4-3
	4.1.2 Plow Shop Pond Sediments	4-12
	4.1.3 Plow Shop Pond Surface Water	4-22
	4.1.4 Landfill Gas Monitoring	4-22
4.2	GROVE POND SURFACE WATER AND SEDIMENT	4-23
	4.2.1 Grove Pond Surface Water	4-23
	4.2.2 Grove Pond Sediment	4-24

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

Section	Title	Page No.
4.3	NONACOICUS BROOK WETLAND SHALLOW GROUNDWATER AND SOIL	4-25
4.3.1	Nonacoicus Brook Wetland Shallow Groundwater	4-25
4.3.2	Nonacoicus Brook Wetland Soil	4-26
4.4	COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL	4-28
4.4.1	Cold Spring Brook Landfill Groundwater	4-28
4.4.2	Cold Spring Brook Landfill Seeps	4-32
4.4.3	Cold Spring Brook Pond Sediments	4-34
4.4.4	Cold Spring Brook Pond Surface Water	4-35
4.5	MAGAZINE AREA SHALLOW GROUNDWATER AND SOIL	4-36
4.5.1	Magazine Area Shallow Groundwater	4-37
4.5.2	Magazine Area Soil	4-37
4.6	NEW CRANBERRY POND SEDIMENT	4-38
5.0	FATE AND TRANSPORT OF CONTAMINANTS	5-1
6.0	HUMAN HEALTH RISK ASSESSMENT	6-1
6.1	SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL	6-1
6.1.1	Summary of the RI Risk Assessment	6-2
6.1.2	Supplemental Risk Assessment	6-6
6.1.2.1	Identification of COPCs	6-7
6.1.2.2	Exposure Assessment	6-12
6.1.2.3	Toxicity Assessment	6-16
6.1.2.4	Risk Characterization	6-20
6.1.2.5	Comparison of EPCs to Standards and Guidelines	6-23
6.2	COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL	6-24
6.2.1	Summary of the RI Risk Assessment	6-25
6.2.2	Supplemental Risk Assessment	6-28
6.2.2.1	Identification of COPCs	6-28
6.2.2.2	Exposure Assessment	6-31

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

Section	Title	Page No.
	6.2.2.3 Toxicity Assessment	6-35
	6.1.2.4 Risk Characterization	6-37
	6.2.2.5 Comparison of EPCs to Standards and Guidelines	6-39
6.4	SUMMARY OF SUPPLEMENTAL RISK ASSESSMENTS	6-40
	6.4.1 Shepley's Hill Landfill	6-40
	6.4.2 Cold Spring Brook Landfill	6-44
7.0	BASELINE ECOLOGICAL RISK ASSESSMENT	7-1
7.1	SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL	7-2
	7.1.1 Summary of the RI Risk Assessment	7-3
	7.1.2 Conceptual Site Model	7-4
	7.1.3 Supplemental Risk Assessment	7-4
	7.1.3.1 Hazard Assessment	7-4
	7.1.3.2 Ecological Characterization	7-13
	7.1.3.3 Ecological Exposure Assessment	7-23
	7.1.3.4 Ecological Effects Assessment	7-32
	7.1.3.5 Ecological Risk Characterization	7-40
7.2	COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL	7-47
	7.2.1 Summary of RI Risk Assessment	7-47
	7.2.2 Conceptual Site Model	7-48
	7.2.3 Supplemental Risk Assessment	7-48
	7.2.3.1 Hazard Assessment	7-49
	7.2.3.2 Ecological Characterization	7-53
	7.2.3.3 Ecological Exposure Assessment	7-62
	7.2.3.4 Ecological Effects Assessment	7-64
	7.2.3.5 Ecological Risk Characterization	7-66
7.3	ECOLOGICAL UNCERTAINTY ANALYSIS	7-70
7.4	SUMMARY OF SUPPLEMENTAL RISK ASSESSMENTS	7-71
	7.4.1 Shepley's Hill Landfill	7-71
	7.4.1.1 Fish Sampling Program	7-71

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

Section	Title	Page No.
	7.4.1.2 Macroinvertebrate Sampling Program	7-72
	7.4.1.3 Aquatic Receptor Hazard Assessment	7-72
	7.4.1.4 Semi-aquatic Receptor Hazard Assessment	7-73
	7.4.1.5 Supplemental Risk Assessment Summary	7-73
	7.4.2 Cold Spring Brook Landfill	7-74
	7.4.2.1 Fish Sampling Program	7-74
	7.4.2.2 Macroinvertebrate Sampling Program	7-74
	7.4.2.3 Aquatic Receptor Hazard Assessment	7-75
	7.4.2.4 Semi-aquatic Receptor Hazard Assessment	7-75
	7.4.2.5 Supplemental Risk Assessment Summary	7-75
8.0	SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATIONS	8-1
	8.1 SUMMARY	8-1
	8.2 CONCLUSIONS	8-3
	8.3 RECOMMENDATIONS	8-7

GLOSSARY OF ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

REFERENCES

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A -	SOIL AND SEDIMENT BORING LOGS	Vol. II
APPENDIX B -	MONITORING WELL CONSTRUCTION FORMS	Vol. II
APPENDIX C -	AQUIFER TESTING DATA AND CALCULATIONS	Vol. II
APPENDIX D -	GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION ANALYSES	Vol. II
APPENDIX E -	SYNOPTIC WATER-LEVEL MEASUREMENTS	Vol. II
APPENDIX F -	SURVEY COORDINATES	Vol. II
APPENDIX G -	PROJECT ANALYTE LIST	Vol. II

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

Section	Title	Page No.
APPENDIX H -	LABORATORY QC RESULTS	Vol. III
APPENDIX I -	BACKGROUND CONCENTRATIONS AND CALCULATIONS	Vol. IV
APPENDIX J -	RI SEDIMENT DATA SUMMARIES	Vol. IV
APPENDIX K -	HUMAN HEALTH RISK SPREADSHEETS - SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL	Vol. IV
APPENDIX L -	MODELING OF VOC CONCENTRATIONS IN SHOWER AIR FROM GROUNDWATER - SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL	Vol. IV
APPENDIX M -	HUMAN HEALTH RISK SPREADSHEETS - COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL	Vol. IV
APPENDIX N -	FISH TISSUE COMPARISON OF STATE AND NATIONAL DATA	Vol. IV
APPENDIX O -	WETLAND FUNCTIONAL EVALUATION	Vol. IV
APPENDIX P -	MACROINVERTEBRATE DATA ANALYSES	Vol. IV
APPENDIX Q -	ECOLOGICAL RISK ASSESSMENT PARAMETERS	Vol. IV
APPENDIX R -	ECOLOGICAL RISK ASSESSMENT SPREADSHEETS - SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL/COLD SPRING BROOK POND	Vol. IV
APPENDIX S -	UNCERTAINTIES ASSOCIATED WITH USEPA SEDIMENT QUALITY CRITERIA FOR DDE	Vol. IV
APPENDIX T -	FISH TISSUE DATA	Vol. IV
APPENDIX U -	SUPPLEMENTAL RI LEVEL 3 DATA	Vol. IV
APPENDIX V -	USEPA 1992 DERMAL GUIDANCE SUMMARY	Vol. IV
APPENDIX W -	CALCULATION OF PERMEABILITY CONSTANTS PER EVENT	Vol. IV
APPENDIX X -	PRELIMINARY RISK EVALUATION FOR NONACOICUS BROOK AREA	Vol. IV

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

Section	Title	Page No.
APPENDIX Y -	EVALUATION OF GROUNDWATER IRRIGATION EXPOSURE PATHWAY	Vol. IV
APPENDIX Z -	TOXICITY PROFILES	Vol. IV

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Title	Page No.
1-1	Location of Fort Devens	
1-2	Shepley's Hill Landfill Location Map	
1-3	Location of Landfill Closure Plan Test Pits	
1-4	Location of Former Railroad Roundhouse Facility	
1-5	Cold Spring Brook Landfill Location Map	
2-1	Sediment Sampling Locations - Plow Shop Pond	
2-2	Shallow Groundwater and Soil Sample Locations - Nonacoicus Brook Wetland	
2-3	Seismic Refraction Survey - Shepley's Hill Landfill	
2-4	Seismic Refraction Profiles - Shepley's Hill Landfill	
2-5	Landfill Gas Monitoring Locations - Shepley's Hill Landfill	
2-6	Monitoring Well Locations - Shepley's Hill Landfill	
2-7	Fish Sampling Locations - Plow Shop Pond	
2-8	Sampling Locations - Cold Spring Brook Landfill	
2-9	Surface Water and Sediment Sampling Locations - Grove Pond	
2-10	Macroinvertebrate/Sediment Characterization Sample Locations - New Cranberry Pond	
3-1	Location of Fort Devens	

LIST OF FIGURES
(continued)

Figure	Title	Page No.
3-2	General Soils Map	
3-3	Bedrock Geology	
3-4	Aquifer Transmissivities	
3-5	Shepley's Hill Landfill	
3-6	Bedrock Topography - Shepley's Hill Landfill	
3-7	Piezometric Surface - Shepley's Hill Landfill	
3-8	Location of Cold Spring Brook Landfill	
3-9	Piezometric Surface - Cold Spring Brook Landfill	
4-1	Distribution of Arsenic in Shallow Plow Shop Pond Sediments	
4-2	Distribution of Barium in Shallow Plow Shop Pond Sediments	
4-3	Distribution of Chromium in Shallow Plow Shop Pond Sediments	
4-4	Distribution of Copper in Shallow Plow Shop Pond Sediments	
4-5	Distribution of Iron in Shallow Plow Shop Pond Sediments	
4-6	Distribution of Lead in Shallow Plow Shop Pond Sediments	
4-7	Distribution of Manganese in Shallow Plow Shop Pond Sediments	
4-8	Distribution of Mercury in Shallow Plow Shop Pond Sediments	
4-9	Distribution of Nickel in Shallow Plow Shop Pond Sediments	

LIST OF FIGURES
(continued)

Figure	Title	Page No.
4-10	Distribution of Zinc in Shallow Plow Shop Pond Sediments	
6-1	Summary of Cancer Risk Estimates - Current Land Use - Shepley's Hill Landfill	
6-2	Summary of Noncancer Risk Estimates - Current Land Use - Shepley's Hill Landfill	
6-3	Summary of Cancer Risk Estimates - Future Land Use - Shepley's Hill Landfill	
6-4	Summary of Noncancer Risk Estimates - Future Land Use - Shepley's Hill Landfill	
6-5	Summary of Cancer Risk Estimates - Current Land Use - Cold Spring Brook Landfill	
6-6	Summary of Noncancer Risk Estimates - Current Land Use - Cold Spring Brook Landfill	
6-7	Summary of Cancer Risk Estimates - Future Land Use - Cold Spring Brook Landfill	
6-8	Summary of Noncancer Risk Estimates - Future Land Use - Cold Spring Brook Landfill	
7-1	Ecological Site Model for Group 1A Sites	
7-2	Fish Community Composition - Plow Shop Pond	
7-3	Numbers of Fishes Collected by Various Methods at Plow Shop Pond	
7-4	Summary Aquatic Receptor Hazard Indices - Plow Shop Pond	

LIST OF FIGURES
(continued)

Figure	Title	Page No.
7-5	Summary of RME HIs for Semi-Terrestrial Receptors - Plow Shop Pond	
7-6	Summary of Average Exposure HIs for Semi-Terrestrial Receptors - Plow Shop Pond	
7-7	Fish Community Composition - Cold Spring Brook Pond	

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Title	Page No.
2-1	Soil Classification of Sediment Samples - Plow Shop Pond	
2-2	Classification of Soil Samples - Nonacoicus Brook	
2-3	Summary of Soil Borings - Shepley's Hill Landfill	
2-4	Monitoring Well Completion Details - Shepley's Hill Landfill	
2-5	Summary of Water Levels and Hydraulic Conductivities - Shepley's Hill Landfill	
2-6	Soil Classification of Sediment Samples - Cold Spring Brook Pond	
2-7	Classification of Soil Samples - Magazine Area (ASP)	
2-8	Summary of Soil Borings - Cold Spring Brook Landfill	
2-9	Monitoring Well Completion Details - Cold Spring Brook Landfill	
2-10	Summary of Water Levels and Hydraulic Conductivities - Cold Spring Brook Landfill	
2-11	Soil Classification of Sediment Samples - Grove Pond	
2-12	AEC Certified Methods and USEPA Equivalents	
4-1	Calculated Background Concentrations	
4-2	Sediment Evaluation Criteria	
4-3	Round 1 Groundwater Analytical Results Summary for Shepley's Hill Landfill	

LIST OF TABLES
(continued)

Table	Title	Page No.
4-4	Round 2 Groundwater Analytical Results Summary for Shepley's Hill Landfill	
4-5	Sediment Sample Analytical Results - Plow Shop Pond	
4-6	Interpreted Sources of Inorganic Sediment Contaminants - Plow Shop Pond	
4-7	Summary of Plow Shop Pond Shallow Sediment Inorganic Data	
4-8	Metals Concentrations in TCLP Extracts of Sediment Samples - Plow Shop Pond	
4-9	Groundwater and Sediment Chemicals Exceeding Evaluation Criteria at Shepley's Hill Landfill	
4-10	Concentrations of Chemicals in Plow Shop Pond Surface Water	
4-11	Landfill Gas Analytical Results - Shepley's Hill Landfill	
4-12	Surface Water Sample Analytical Results - Grove Pond	
4-13	Sediment Sample Analytical Results - Grove Pond	
4-14	Wetland Shallow Groundwater Sample Analytical Results - Nonacoicus Brook	
4-15	Wetland Soil Sample Analytical Results - Nonacoicus Brook	
4-16	Metals Concentrations in TCLP Extracts of Wetland Soils - Nonacoicus Brook	
4-17	Round 1 Groundwater Analytical Results Summary for Cold Spring Brook Landfill	

LIST OF TABLES
(continued)

Table	Title	Page No.
4-18	Round 2 Groundwater Analytical Results Summary for Cold Spring Brook Landfill	
4-19	Analytical Results for Water Samples at Cold Spring Brook Landfill - Seeps	
4-20	Frequency of Detection for Analytes in Samples CSW-92-01X, -02X, and -03X	
4-21	Sediment Sample Analytical Results - Cold Spring Brook Pond	
4-22	Summary of Cold Spring Brook Pond Shallow Sediment Inorganic Data	
4-23	Comparison of Cold Spring Brook Pond Shallow Sediment Inorganic Data to Evaluation Criteria	
4-24	Metals Concentrations in TCLP Extracts of Sediment Samples - Cold Spring Brook Pond	
4-25	Concentrations of Chemicals in Cold Spring Brook Pond Surface Water	
4-26	Groundwater, Sediment, and Soil Chemicals Exceeding Evaluation Criteria - Cold Spring Brook Landfill	
4-27	Shallow Groundwater Sample Analytical Results - Magazine Area	
4-28	Soil Sample Analytical Results - Magazine Area	
4-29	Sediment Sample Analytical Results - New Cranberry Pond	
5-1	Probable Fate and Transport Pathways for Organics	

LIST OF TABLES
(continued)

Table	Title	Page No.
5-2	Probable Fate and Transport Pathways for Inorganics	
6-1	Summary of RI Human Health Risk Assessment: Site-Related Contamination - Shepley's Hill Landfill	
6-2	Summary of RI Human Health Risk Assessment: Contamination from Sources Other than Shepley's Hill Landfill	
6-3	Comparison of Average and Maximum Surface Water Concentrations to Drinking Water Standards - Plow Shop Pond	
6-4	Groundwater Well Groupings - Shepley's Hill Landfill	
6-5	Summary of Groundwater Sampling Results - Shepley's Hill Landfill - Well Group 1	
6-6	Summary of Groundwater Sampling Results - Shepley's Hill Landfill - Well Group 3	
6-7	Summary of Groundwater Sampling Results - Shepley's Hill Landfill - Well Group 4	
6-8	Summary Statistics for Fish Tissue Analyte Concentrations - Bluegills (Whole Fish) - Plow Shop Pond	
6-9	Summary Statistics for Fish Tissue Analyte Concentrations - Bullhead and Bass (fillets) - Plow Shop Pond	
6-10	Summary Statistics for Shallow Sediment - Plow Shop Pond	

LIST OF TABLES
(continued)

Table	Title	Page No.
6-11	Chemicals of Potential Concern in Human Health Risk Assessment - Shepley's Hill Landfill	
6-12	Exposure Parameter Values Pathway: Groundwater Ingestion and Contact During Showering - Shepley's Hill Landfill	
6-13	Exposure Parameter Values Pathway: Inhalation of VOCs During Showering - Shepley's Hill Landfill	
6-14	Exposure Parameter Values Pathway: Ingestion of Fish - Shepley's Hill Landfill	
6-15	Exposure Parameter Values Pathway: Sediment Ingestion and Contact - Shepley's Hill Landfill	
6-16	Comparison of USEPA and MADEP Exposure Assumptions in the RI Risk Assessment	
6-17	Comparison of USEPA and MADEP Risk Estimates from the RI Risk Assessment	
6-18	Oral Dose/Response Information for Carcinogenic Effects - Shepley's Hill Landfill	
6-19	Oral Dose/Response Data for Noncarcinogenic Effects - Shepley's Hill Landfill	
6-20	Inhalation Dose/Response Data for Carcinogenic Effects - Shepley's Hill Landfill	
6-21	Inhalation Dose/Response Data for Noncarcinogenic Effects - Shepley's Hill Landfill	

LIST OF TABLES
(continued)

Table	Title	Page No.
6-22	Dermal Dose/Response Data for Carcinogenic Effects - Shepley's Hill Landfill	
6-23	Dermal Dose/Response Data for Noncarcinogenic Effects - Shepley's Hill Landfill	
6-24	Default Absorption Fractions	
6-25	Summary of Cancer Risk Estimates - Current Land Use - Shepley's Hill Landfill	
6-26	Summary of Noncancer Risk Estimates - Current Land Use - Shepley's Hill Landfill	
6-27	Summary of Cancer Risk Estimates - Future Land Use - Shepley's Hill Landfill	
6-28	Summary of Noncancer Risk Estimates - Future Land Use - Shepley's Hill Landfill	
6-29	Summary of Risks Associated with Well Groups 3 and 4 - Shepley's Hill Landfill	
6-30	Comparison of Fish Exposure Point Concentrations to FDA Action Levels - Plow Shop Pond	
6-31	Comparison of Groundwater Concentrations to ARARs - Shepley's Hill Landfill - Well Group 1	
6-32	Comparison of Groundwater Concentrations to ARARs - Shepley's Hill Landfill - Well Group 3	

LIST OF TABLES
(continued)

Table	Title	Page No.
6-33	Comparison of Groundwater Concentrations to ARARs - Shepley's Hill Landfill - Well Group 4	
6-34	Summary of RI Human Health Risk Assessment - Cold Spring Brook Landfill	
6-35	Comparison of Average and Maximum Surface Water Concentrations to Drinking Water Standards - Cold Spring Brook Pond	
6-36	Summary of Groundwater Sampling Results - Cold Spring Brook Landfill - Downgradient Wells	
6-37	Summary Statistics for Fish Tissue Analyte Concentrations - Cold Spring Brook Pond - Pumpkinseeds (Whole Fish)	
6-38	Summary Statistics for Fish Tissue Analyte Concentrations - Cold Spring Brook Pond - Bullheads and Pickerels (Fillets)	
6-39	Summary Statistics for Shallow Sediment - Cold Spring Brook Pond	
6-40	Chemicals of Potential Concern in Human Health Risk Assessment - Cold Spring Brook Landfill	
6-41	Exposure Parameter Values - Pathway: Groundwater Ingestion and Contact During Showering - Cold Spring Brook Landfill	
6-42	Exposure Parameter Values - Pathway: Ingestion of Fish - Cold Spring Brook Landfill	
6-43	Exposure Parameter Values - Pathway: Sediment Ingestion and Contact - Cold Spring Brook Landfill	

LIST OF TABLES
(continued)

Table	Title	Page No.
6-44	Oral Dose/Response Information for Carcinogenic Effects - Cold Spring Brook Landfill	
6-45	Oral Dose/Response Data for Noncarcinogenic Effects - Cold Spring Brook Landfill	
6-46	Dermal Dose/Response Data for Carcinogenic Effects - Cold Spring Brook Landfill	
6-47	Dermal Dose/Response Data for Noncarcinogenic Effects - Cold Spring Brook Landfill	
6-48	Default Absorption Fractions - Cold Spring Brook Landfill	
6-49	Summary of Cancer Risk Estimates - Current Land Use - Cold Spring Brook Landfill	
6-50	Summary of Noncancer Risk Estimates - Current Land Use - Cold Spring Brook Landfill	
6-51	Summary of Cancer Risk Estimates - Future Land Use - Cold Spring Brook Landfill	
6-52	Summary of Noncancer Risk Estimates - Future Land Use - Cold Spring Brook Landfill	
6-53	Comparison of Fish Exposure Point Concentrations to FDA Action Levels - Cold Spring Brook Pond	
6-54	Comparison of Groundwater Concentrations to ARARs - Cold Spring Brook Landfill - Downgradient Wells	

LIST OF TABLES
(continued)

Table	Title	Page No.
6-55	Comparison of Groundwater Concentrations to ARARs - Cold Spring Brook Landfill - Downgradient Wells	
7-1	Summary of RI Ecological Risk Assessment - Shepley's Hill Landfill	
7-2	Ecological Contaminants of Potential Concern (COPCs) - Plow Shop Pond Surface Water	
7-3	Ecological Contaminants of Potential Concern (COPCs) - Plow Shop Pond Sediment	
7-4	Summary of COPCs in Whole Body Fish Tissue from Plow Shop Pond	
7-5	Summary of Chemicals that Exceed Regional(a) or National(b) Data by Species - Plow Shop Pond	
7-6	Plant Communities Identified in the Vicinity of the Shepley's Hill Landfill	
7-7	Checklist of Fishes Collected at Plow Shop Pond (PSP)	
7-8	Water Quality Parameters at Macroinvertebrate Sampling Locations	
7-9	Summary of Sediment Chemistry Data from Macroinvertebrate Sampling Stations - Plow Shop Pond and New Cranberry Pond	
7-10	Comparison of Reference Pond Sediment Chemistry with Sediment Quality Guidelines and Criteria	
7-11	Checklist of Fort Devens Macroinvertebrates	
7-12	Checklist of Grove Pond Macroinvertebrates	

LIST OF TABLES
(continued)

Table	Title	Page No.
7-13	Primary Routes of Exposure to Sediment and Fish Tissue - Plow Shop Pond	
7-14	Surface Water Reference Toxicity Values - Plow Shop Pond	
7-15	Sediment Quality Criteria and Guidelines - Plow Shop Pond	
7-16	Summary of External Examinations of Selected Fish in Plow Shop Pond	
7-17	Aquatic Receptor Risk Characterization - Plow Shop Pond: Surface Water	
7-18	Aquatic Receptor Risk Characterization - Plow Shop Pond: Sediments	
7-19	Summary of Ecological Risk Assessment for Semi-Terrestrial Receptors - Plow Shop Pond	
7-20	Summary of RI Ecological Risk Assessment - Cold Spring Brook Landfill	
7-21	Ecological Contaminants of Potential Concern (COPCs) - Cold Spring Brook Pond Surface Water	
7-22	Ecological Contaminants of Potential Concern (COPCs) - Cold Spring Brook Pond Sediment	
7-23	Contaminants of Concern in Whole Body Fish Tissue from Cold Spring Brook Pond	
7-24	Summary of Chemicals that Exceed Regional (a) or National (b) Data by Species - Cold Spring Brook Pond	
7-25	Plant Communities Identified in the General Vicinity of the Cold Spring Brook Landfill (a)	

LIST OF TABLES
(continued)

Table	Title	Page No.
7-26	Checklist of Fishes Collected at Cold Spring Brook Pond (CSBP)	
7-27	Summary of Sediment Chemistry Data from Macroinvertebrate Sampling Stations - Cold Spring Brook Pond and New Cranberry Pond	
7-28	Surface Water Reference Toxicity Values - Cold Spring Brook Pond	
7-29	Sediment Quality Criteria and Guidelines - Cold Spring Brook Pond	
7-30	Summary of External Examination of Selected Fish in Cold Spring Brook Pond (CSBP), October 1992	
7-31	Aquatic Receptor Risk Characterization - Cold Spring Brook Pond: Surface Water	
7-32	Aquatic Receptor Risk Characterization - Cold Spring Brook Pond: Sediment	
7-33	Summary of Ecological Risk Assessment for Semi-Aquatic Receptors - Cold Spring Brook Landfill	
7-34	Potential Sources of Uncertainty in Ecological Risk Assessment	

This page intentionally left blank.

The U.S. Army Environmental Center (USAEC) directed ABB Environmental Services, Inc. (ABB-ES), under Contract No. DAAA15-91-D-0008, to collect environmental samples at Fort Devens, Massachusetts to fill data gaps identified during initiation of the Feasibility Study (FS) for Group 1A sites. The Group 1A sites include the Shepley's Hill Landfill and Cold Spring Brook Landfill at Fort Devens. This Remedial Investigation (RI) Addendum report summarizes the supplemental field investigations, presents the data obtained, and updates the baseline risk assessment prepared for the RI report.

Field investigation programs were conducted between September 1992 and July 1993 and included collecting and analyzing of sediment core samples from Plow Shop and Cold Spring Brook ponds, collecting and analyzing fish tissue samples from these two ponds, and conducting a macroinvertebrate study of the two ponds. In addition, several new monitoring wells were installed and groundwater samples were collected for analysis from 27 monitoring wells at Shepley's Hill Landfill and from 10 monitoring wells at Cold Spring Brook Landfill. Soil, sediment, and groundwater samples were also collected from several other areas, including Nonacoicus Brook Wetland and Grove Pond adjacent to Shepley's Hill Landfill, and the Magazine Area adjacent to Cold Spring Brook Landfill.

The analytical data confirm that there are widespread elevated concentrations of several inorganics in Plow Shop Pond sediments including arsenic, barium chromium, copper, iron, lead, manganese, mercury, nickel, and zinc. Of these, Shepley's Hill Landfill is interpreted to be the major contributor of iron, manganese, barium, and nickel. Shepley's Hill Landfill is also a source of arsenic contamination. Inflow from Grove Pond is interpreted as the major source of arsenic, copper, chromium, lead, mercury, and zinc.

Risk calculations made during the human health risk evaluation for Shepley's Hill Landfill suggest that a recreational fisherman or family member who consumes fish from Plow Shop Pond may experience cancer risks of $3x10^{-6}$ to $4x10^{-4}$, due primarily to arsenic, and noncancer risks (hazard quotients [HQs] range from 2 to 7) due primarily to mercury. Direct contact with sediment by adolescents was estimated to present cancer risks of $2x10^{-5}$ to $6x10^{-4}$, due primarily to arsenic. Because the calculations may overestimate risk as a result of uncertainty associated with arsenic, the application of a downward modifying factor of 10 may be appropriate.

Application of the risk modification factor for arsenic results in cancer risks that are within the Superfund target risk range of $1x10^{-6}$ to $1x10^{-4}$, and the conclusion that the major health risk associated with Plow Shop Pond sediment and fish is attributable to mercury, a contaminant not attributed to Shepley's Hill Landfill. Concentrations of mercury in bullhead and bass fillets exceed the U.S. Food and Drug Administration action level of 1 ppm.

The human health risk evaluation indicates that two organic analytes in groundwater, 1,2-dichloroethane and dichlorobenzenes present cancer risks of $6x10^{-6}$ and $3x10^{-6}$, respectively, that are within the Superfund target risk range. Arsenic in groundwater presents a cancer risk range (unmodified to account for uncertainty associated with arsenic) of $8x10^{-5}$ to $2x10^{-3}$. Application of the modifying risk factor results in a risk range due to arsenic of $8x10^{-6}$ to $2x10^{-4}$. Hazard quotients for manganese and arsenic both exceeded one.

The ecological risk assessment suggests that sediments in Plow Shop Pond may pose a risk to aquatic and semiaquatic receptors. The primary ecological risk contributor is mercury, followed by chromium, arsenic, and manganese.

The human health risk evaluation for Cold Spring Brook Landfill indicates that risks to a recreational fisherman or family member who consumes fish caught in Cold Spring Brook Pond fall within the Superfund target risk range. The risks associated with direct sediment contact are also within the Superfund target risk range.

The risks associated with surface soil contact range from below the Superfund target risk range under current exposure scenarios to $4x10^{-5}$, within the target risk range, under assumed future conditions.

Risk calculations made during the risk evaluation suggest that arsenic in unfiltered groundwater and bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate present cancer risks exceeding the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency point of departure. In addition, the HQ for manganese ranged from 4 to 10. The calculations may overestimate risks for each of these chemicals. In the case of arsenic, calculations based on filtered groundwater data or after application of a downward modifying factor of 10 result in estimated cancer risks within or below the target risk range. The primary Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL) for bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate of 6 μg/L was exceeded only by its maximum detected concentration of 14 μg/L; the average

concentration of 4 μg/L was below the MCL. In addition, this compound is expected to sorb to particulate matter and not to be in a dissolved, mobile state. Finally, noncancer risks associated with manganese in drinking water may be overestimated. Consideration of these factors leads to the conclusion that exposure to groundwater downgradient of Cold Spring Brook Landfill will not result in unacceptable risk.

The ecological risk assessment suggests that contaminants of potential concern at Cold Spring Brook Pond are not resulting in adverse ecological risks to semiaquatic receptors. Although low levels of risks are predicted, it is unlikely that these risks are present throughout the pond. Limited evidence exists indicating that low levels of risk to aquatic receptors may occur in portions of the pond directly adjacent to the landfill.

The available data do not indicate that Cold Spring Brook Landfill is affecting water quality at Patton Well located about 600 feet west of the landfill.

Public health and ecological Preliminary Risk Evaluations conducted for detected contaminants indicate some exceedances of public health standards or guidelines for soil and groundwater and one exceedance of an ecological Protective Contaminant Level. However, the exceedances are based on comparisons to conservative standards and are not considered to represent significant public health or ecological risk.

Concentrations of polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons and inorganics measured in soil samples collected within the Magazine Area were below reportable concentrations listed in the Massachusetts Contingency Plan. Available data do not suggest that the Magazine Area is a source of downstream contamination.

Recommendations

Based on the results and interpretation of the supplemental RI and the updated baseline risk assessment, ABB-ES recommends the following actions:

- Perform a FS to evaluate alternatives to reduce potential human health risks associated with potential future exposure to groundwater at Shepley's Hill Landfill.

- Perform a FS to evaluate alternatives to reduce potential ecological risks associated with exposure to sediment hotspots at Cold Spring Brook Landfill.

- Perform a separate FS to evaluate alternatives to reduce potential human health and ecological risks associated with exposure to contaminated fish and sediments in Plow Shop Pond. This FS would be performed following resolution of issues concerning contaminant distribution and concentrations in Grove Pond, and the potential for Grove Pond to be a continuing source of contamination to Plow Shop Pond.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

ABB Environmental Services, Inc. (ABB-ES) prepared this Remedial Investigation Addendum Report in accordance with the U.S. Army Environmental Center (USAEC; formerly U.S. Army Toxic and Hazardous Materials Agency [USATHAMA]) Contract DAAA15-91-D-0008, Delivery Order 0004. This report summarizes supplemental remedial investigation (RI) activities at the Group 1A sites at Fort Devens, Massachusetts, and interprets investigation results. The Group 1A sites were identified for investigation in the Fort Devens Master Environmental Plan (MEP) and are subject to a Federal Facility Agreement (Interagency Agreement [IAG]) between the U.S. Department of the Army and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). Fort Devens was placed on the National Priorities List (NPL), effective December 21, 1989. The Group 1A sites consist of the sanitary landfill incinerator, Area of Contamination (AOC) 4; sanitary landfill No. 1 or Shepley's Hill Landfill, AOC 5; the asbestos cell, AOC 18; and Cold Spring Brook Landfill, AOC 40. Figure 1-1 shows a site location map for the Group 1A sites.

On September 21, 1990, USAEC assigned a delivery order to Ecology and Environment, Inc. (E&E) under Contract DAAA15-90-D-0012, to conduct RIs at Shepley's Hill Landfill and Cold Spring Brook Landfill. Previous investigations at both landfills indicated the need for further characterization. The RIs for the Shepley's Hill and Cold Spring Brook landfills were designed to compile data needed to assess the type and location of hazardous materials at the landfills and the impact from these materials on the surrounding environment.

During initiation of the Feasibility Study (FS) to evaluate alternatives to remediate contamination at the Group 1A sites, the U.S. Army identified several data gaps in the draft RI report that affected preliminary technology screening and the development of remedial alternatives (E&E, 1993). As a result, supplemental field investigations were conducted to better establish the nature and extent of contamination at the Shepley's Hill and Cold Spring Brook landfills to support engineering evaluations and enable completion of the FS. Activities to fill data gaps included installation of additional groundwater monitoring wells; collection and analysis of groundwater, surface water, soil, and pond sediment samples; pond macroinvertebrate studies; and studies of contaminant bioaccumulation in fish tissue.

Supplemental field investigations included the collection of one sediment and three soil samples from the area of the former railroad roundhouse. Because the railroad roundhouse is not part of the Group 1A sites, the results of that sampling will be presented in a separate report.

1.1 PURPOSE AND ORGANIZATION OF REPORT

The purpose of this report is to describe data gap activities, present newly acquired data, and update the baseline risk assessment. The RI Addendum report consists of eight sections. Section 1.0 provides a brief description and history of the Shepley's Hill and Cold Spring Brook landfills. In addition, it introduces information about adjacent sites that may have contributed contamination to the AOCs.

Section 2.0 describes Data Gap activities that comprised the field investigation program at Shepley's Hill and Cold Spring Brook landfills.

Section 3.0 describes physical characteristics at Shepley's Hill and Cold Spring Brook landfills.

Section 4.0 discusses the nature and extent of contamination at the two landfills, based on data collected during the supplemental field investigation.

Section 5.0 discusses the fate and transport of contaminants in sampled media.

Section 6.0 presents a human health risk assessment and Section 7.0 presents an ecological risk assessment (ERA).

Section 8.0 presents conclusions and recommendations based on information gathered during the supplemental remedial investigation.

1.2 DESCRIPTION OF AOCS

1.2.1 Shepley's Hill Landfill

Shepley's Hill Landfill encompasses approximately 84 acres in the northeast corner of the Main Post at Fort Devens. It is situated between the bedrock outcrop of Shepley's Hill on the west and Plow Shop Pond on the east (Figure 1-2). Nonacoicus Brook, which drains Plow Shop Pond, flows through a wooded wetland at the north end of the landfill. The southern end of the landfill borders the Defense Reutilization and Marketing Office (DRMO) yard and a warehouse area. An area east of the landfill and south of Plow Shop Pond is the site of a former railroad roundhouse.

Shepley's Hill Landfill includes three AOCs: AOC 4, the sanitary landfill incinerator; AOC 5, sanitary landfill No. 1 or Shepley's Hill Landfill; and AOC 18, the asbestos cell. The sanitary landfill incinerator was located in former Building 38 near Cook Street within the area included in Phase 1 of the sanitary landfill closure. The incinerator was constructed in 1941, and burned household refuse and operated until the late 1940s. Ash from the incinerator was buried in the landfill. The incinerator was demolished and buried in the landfill in September 1967. The building foundation was removed and buried on site in 1976.

Review of the surficial geology map of the Ayer Quadrangle (Jahns, 1953) shows that, in the early 1940s, the active portion of the landfill consisted of approximately 5 acres near the end of Cook Street, near where monitoring well SHL-1 is located. The fill was elongated north-south along a preexisting small valley marked by at least two swamps (probably kettle holes) and lying between the bedrock outcrop of Shepley's Hill to the west and a flat-topped kame terrace with an elevation of approximately 250 feet to the east, adjacent to Plow Shop Pond (E&E, 1993). During the landfilling operation, the valley was obliterated, as was much of the kame terrace, which may have been used as cover material. Background information indicates the landfill formerly operated as an open burning site.

During its last few years of use, the landfill received about 6,500 tons per year of household and military refuse, and operated using the modified trench method. There is evidence that trenches in the northwest portion cut into previously used

areas containing glass and spent shell casings. The glass dated from the mid-nineteenth century to as late as the 1920s. The total depth of the refuse is about 30 feet (DEH, 1985a).

In an effort to mitigate the potential for off-site contaminant migration, Fort Devens initiated the Fort Devens Sanitary Landfill Closure Plan in 1984, in accordance with Massachusetts regulations 310 CMR 19.000. The plan, written by Gale Engineering, was approved by the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection (MADEP) in 1985 and contained the following four stages:

1. Installing 40 test pits to establish the extent of the landfill (Figure 1-3, DEH, 1985b).

2. Designing final grades to control runoff and erosion.

3. Installing nine groundwater monitoring wells outside the perimeter of the landfill and constructing a storm drainage system to control surface water runoff at the southwest corner of the landfill.

4. Installing a 30-mil polyvinyl chloride (PVC) membrane cap with gas vents located 400 feet apart and connected under the cap with perforated pipes.

The capping was completed in four phases (see Figure 1-2). In Phase I, 50 acres were capped in October 1986; in Phase II, 15 acres were capped in November 1987; and in Phase III, 9.2 acres were capped in March 1989. Phase IV closure of the last 10 acres was accomplished in two steps: Phase IVA was closed in 1991, and Phase IVB was closed in July 1992 although the membrane cap over Phase IVB was not installed until May 1993.

AOC 18, the asbestos cell, is located in the section of the landfill closed during Phase IV. Between March 1982 and November 1985, an estimated 6.6 tons of asbestos construction debris were placed in the section closed during Phase IVA. A new asbestos cell was opened in 1990 in the section closed during Phase IVB and was used for disposal of small volumes of asbestos-containing material until July 1992.

Plow Shop Pond is a shallow, 30-acre pond outside the installation boundary, northeast of the landfill. It is the furthest downstream of a chain of six ponds (Long Pond, Sandy Pond, Flannagan Pond, Balch Pond, Grove Pond, and Plow Shop Pond) in the Town of Ayer, and is downstream of Bare Hill Pond and Bower's Brook in the Town of Harvard. It receives drainage from approximately 17 square miles in the towns of Groton, Ayer, and Harvard.

The eastern shore of Plow Shop Pond is formed by a railroad causeway constructed in the 1800s. A stone arch culvert under the causeway connects the pond with Grove Pond. Water elevation in Plow Shop Pond is controlled at approximately 216 feet above sea level (ASL) by a dam located at the northwest corner of the pond. The central portion of the pond is approximately eight feet deep. A maximum depth of about 10 feet occurs in the northeast arm of the pond. The discharge from the dam forms Nonacoicus Brook, which flows about 1 mile northwest before its confluence with the Nashua River.

At one time, Plow Shop Pond discharged through a canal, now blocked, at a sawmill at the northeast corner of the pond near the present location of the G.V. Moore Lumber Co. During periods of relatively low stream flow, the Plow Shop Pond dam also controls the water elevation in Grove Pond. However, during periods of high stream flow, the culvert under the railroad causeway restricts flow to Plow Shop Pond, and the elevation of Grove Pond may be 2 feet or more above that of Plow Shop Pond.

The area south of Plow Shop Pond and east of Shepley's Hill Landfill was the site of a railroad roundhouse operated by the Boston and Maine Railroad between 1900 and 1935. Figure 1-4 shows the approximate extent of the former railroad facilities as indicated on a 1934 railroad drawing (B&M RR, 1934). The property formerly occupied by the roundhouse facilities is now owned by the Army. Guilford Transportation Industries operates an extensive, active railyard adjacent to the former roundhouse facilities.

From 1854 through 1961, the area east of the railroad causeway at the northwest corner of Grove Pond was the site of a tannery (Wilson, 1961a, 1961b). The tannery changed ownership several times and operated intermittently between 1900 and 1944. From December 1944 until destroyed by fire in June 1961, this was a successful cattlehide tannery with facilities including a beam-house for hide unhairing and a tan-house for chrome-tanning.

The tannery is of interest because of its waste disposal practices and its potential as a source of contaminants, especially arsenic, chromium, lead, and mercury, to Grove and Plow Shop ponds. Before 1953, process wastewater from the tannery was discharged to Grove Pond with little or no treatment (Fay, 1993; Taylor, 1953; Power, 1957). In addition, a dump was located on tannery property between the tannery and Grove Pond (Fay, 1993; Fillebrown, 1993; Naparstek, 1993). The dump's specific location is suggested by the gradual filling-in of an embayment in Grove Pond as discernable in aerial photographs taken in 1943, 1952, and 1965 (Detrick, 1991, Figures 14, 15, and 16). As early as 1944, the Town of Ayer and the Commonwealth of Massachusetts were concerned about contamination of Grove Pond by the tannery, and in 1949 the town began the process of borrowing funds to connect the tannery to the local wastewater treatment plant (Town of Ayer, 1950; Wilson, 1961a and b). The connection was completed on April 17, 1953 (Taylor, 1953).

1.2.2 Cold Spring Brook Landfill

Cold Spring Brook Landfill (AOC 40) occupies approximately 4 acres along the edge of Patton Road in the southeastern part of the Main Post. It extends for approximately 800 feet along Patton Road and out into the former wetland along Cold Spring Brook, now mostly submerged beneath Cold Spring Brook Pond (Figure 1-5). The 3.5-acre pond was created by the raised inlet of the Patton Road culvert between 1965 and 1972, as shown in aerial photographs from that period. The pond has a surface elevation of approximately 240 feet ASL, and a depth that ranges from less than 1 foot at its western end to a maximum of about 6 feet near its eastern end. The aerial photographs also show that Patton Road used to curve around the Cold Spring Brook wetland before realignment during the mid-to-late 1960s (Detrick, 1991, Figures 21, 22, 23). Remnants of the old roadbed are still visible between well CSB-3 and Patton Road.

South of the old roadbed is a flat area with little vegetation that appears to have been excavated for gravel and sand. Beyond the apparent excavation area, a low hill covered with trees rises abruptly to about 350 feet ASL. Previous studies have not identified any landfilling in this area.

Cold Spring Brook Landfill is considered abandoned, and was identified in November 1987 when 14 55-gallon drums were discovered along the edge of Cold Spring Brook Pond. An identification number on the drums indicated that the

original contents of several had been antifreeze manufactured by Union Carbide and that the drums were 15-to-20 years old. Apparently, the drums had been painted yellow and reused (USAEHA, 1988). A response team from a Union Carbide facility in New Hampshire examined the drums in March 1988, identified seven Union Carbide drums, and sampled their contents. Analysis revealed the presence of chlorinated solvents, and metals (USAEHA, 1988). Other wastes at the landfill include concrete slabs, wire, storage tanks, rebar, timber, and debris (USAEHA, 1988).

The upper surface of the landfill is gently sloping and is about 250 to 260 feet ASL. It is densely covered with small trees and scrub, the trees being predominantly pines. The edge of the landfill falls off abruptly to the wetland or to the pond with an elevation drop that typically ranges between 10 and 20 feet.

Patton Well, one of four water supply wells for Fort Devens, is located south of Patton Road, about 600 feet west of the landfill. The well is screened from 46 to 76 feet below ground surface (bgs), and appears to tap the same aquifer as that monitored by the landfill wells. The well operates on an on-demand basis at approximately 800 gallons per minute (gpm). Total daily withdrawal depends on demand, but is typically 400,000 to 450,000 million gallons per day. The Fort Devens Ammunition Supply Point (ASP or Magazine Area) lies west of the pond, and Cold Spring Brook originates as drainage from a wetland in the center of this area. The brook drains north to Grove Pond, passing through several palustrine forested or scrub/shrub wetlands before reaching it.

The U.S. Army Environmental Hygiene Agency (USAEHA) completed a hydrological investigation of AOC 40 in 1988 (USAEHA, 1988). Locations of the eight wells installed by USAEHA are shown in Figure 1-5. The investigation showed that the landfill is located over glacial sand and gravel deposits in, or adjacent to, a former wetland. U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) information indicates the area is underlain by swampy deposits of muck and peat with adjacent units of sand and gravel from kame deposits.

This page intentionally left blank.




FIGURE 1-1
LOCATION OF FORT DEVENS
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ABB Environmental Services, Inc.

9307026D 1





FIGURE 1-2
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL LOCATION MAP
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA
ABB Environmental Services, Inc.

9303022D 1SE



SCALE IN FEET

0 500 1000

FIGURE 1-3
LOCATION OF LANDFILL CLOSURE PLAN TEST PITS
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ABB Environmental Services, Inc.

9303022D 1SW




FIGURE 1-4
LOCATION OF FORMER RAILROAD ROUNDHOUSE FACILITY
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ABB Environmental Services, Inc.

9303022D 1SBTH




FIGURE 1-5
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL LOCATION MAP
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ABB Environmental Services, Inc.

9305033D 2 5 A

2.0 DATA GAP ACTIVITIES

2.1 FIELD INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM AT SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL

The investigations at Shepley's Hill Landfill were conducted in conformance with the following documents:

- Data Gap Activities Work Plan (ABB-ES, 1993a)
- Project Operations Plan Vols. I,II,III (ABB-ES, 1992b)

The following subsections describe the field program activities.

2.1.1 Plow Shop Pond Sediment Sampling

ABB-ES subcontracted Rossfelder Corporation of San Diego, California, to collect 25 sediment cores within Plow Shop Pond (Figure 2-1). A 5-foot length of 4-inch-diameter, thin wall aluminum pipe fitted with a polypropylene liner was used to collect all of the sediment cores except SHD-92-01X, SHD-92-08X, SHD-92-09X, and SHD-92-21X where a 9.5-foot length of 4-inch diameter pipe was used. The standard sampling procedure consisted of vibrating the sampling tube into the sediment with a high frequency vibratory drive head from a floating platform and then winching the tube out. Upon removal of the sampling tube from the sediment, the ends were covered with polypropylene to preserve sample integrity.

The sediment cores were then taken to a staging area on shore where the samples were logged by an ABB-ES geologist. To examine the sediment core directly, the drive shoe was removed and the polypropylene liner and core were extruded into a decontaminated trough, revealing the sediment core for visual logging and sampling. As the core liner was opened, a photoionization detector (PID) was used to monitor total volatile organic compounds (VOCs) in the breathing zone and in the core.

ABB-ES personnel logged descriptions of the core and other relevant conditions, collected reference samples and samples for chemical analyses. Analytical samples were placed in pre-labeled sample jars and stored in coolers with ice to

maintain a temperature below 4-degrees Celsius. Reference samples were analyzed for grain-size distribution. Each sediment sample was analyzed for Project Analyte List (PAL) pesticides and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), total inorganics, total organic carbon (TOC), and percent solids. In addition, Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure (TCLP) extracts were prepared for samples SHD-92-03X at 0 and 3 feet; SHD-92-08X at 0, 4 and 7 feet; and SHD-92-14X at 0 and 3 feet and analyzed for eight Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) metals. If sediment recovery was 3 feet or greater, the core was sampled at the 0 to 1 foot interval, at the base of the sediment layer, and at the midpoint between the surface and bottom of the sediment layer. The viscous nature of the surficial sediments and sample volume requirements necessitated sampling from the 0 to 1 foot interval, instead of the 0 to 6 inch interval specified in the Data Gap Activities Work Plan (ABB-ES, 1993a). As a result of poor recovery, SHD-92-11X yielded only a single surface sediment sample. Because of the thin sediment layers, a surface sediment sample and a soil sample were collected from SHD-92-03X and SHD-92-19X at 2.2 feet and 1.5 feet, respectively. Sampling locations SHD-92-04X, SHD-92-07X, and SHD-92-22X were sampled only at the surface and at the base of exploration because of recovery of less than 3 feet. SHD-92-18X was sampled at the surface and bottom of sediment along with a soil sample collected from the base of the exploration. SHD-92-01X was sampled at the surface, midpoint, and bottom of sediment a soil sample was taken from the bottom of the exploration. Table 2-1 summarizes sample depths, media, and the results of grain-size distribution analyses. Complete results of grain-size distribution analyses are provided in Appendix D. Sediment core logs are provided in Appendix A.

A shallow sediment sample was also collected at each of three macroinvertebrate sampling stations to document sediment characteristics (see Figure 2-1). SHD-92-26X, SHD-92-27X, and SHD-92-28X were analyzed for PAL pesticides and PCBs, PAL inorganics, TOC, and grain-size distribution. Table 2-1 summarizes sample depths and grain size distribution.

2.1.2 Nonacoicus Brook Wetland Shallow Groundwater and Soil Sampling

Shallow groundwater and soil samples were collected from four predesignated sampling locations within Nonacoicus Brook Wetland to aid in the assessment of whether the area is a discharge area for contaminated groundwater from Shepley's Hill Landfill (Figure 2-2). Each location was given two site IDs, SHW-92-01X/

SHD-92-29X, SHW-92-02X/SHD-92-30X, SHW-92-03X/SHD-92-31X, and SHW-92-04X/SHD-92-32X. The first site ID was for shallow groundwater, the second for soil. The Data Gap Activities Work Plan (ABB-ES, 1993a) initially referred to these samples as surface water and sediment; however, upon examination of the locations during sampling, it was determined that the samples were actually shallow groundwater and soil. Lack of standing water at the sampling locations necessitated the digging of four 2.5-foot-to-3-foot deep sumps. The sumps were dug using a shovel that was decontaminated prior to the first sump and before digging each subsequent sump. The sumps were covered with plywood and groundwater was allowed to seep in and equilibrate for at least 24 hours. Shallow groundwater samples were collected in the following manner: total VOCs were monitored by PID above the water surface, and temperature, pH, and specific conductance were monitored in situ. Before sample collection, all water sample jars (except VOC vials) were triple rinsed with sample water. The appropriate pre-labeled sample jars were then filled by direct immersion immediately below the water surface except for the dissolved inorganic sample, which was collected using a peristaltic pump and 0.45-micron in-line filter. Water samples were preserved in the field in accordance with the requirements of the Project Operations Plan (POP) (ABB-ES, 1992b). All shallow groundwater samples were analyzed for PAL VOCs, pesticides and PCBs, explosives, total inorganics, dissolved inorganics, TOC, total suspended solids (TSS), total dissolved solids (TDS), and alkalinity.

Upon completion of groundwater sampling, two soil samples were collected from each location, a surface sample and a deeper sample from 1 to 2 feet. Samples were collected by scraping an undisturbed side of the sump with a stainless steel spatula and placing them in pre-labeled sample jars. All sampling equipment was decontaminated prior to use at each sample location and between individual samples. Samples were stored on ice in coolers prior to shipment to the laboratory. Reference soil samples were sent to the laboratory to be tested for grain-size distribution. All soil samples were analyzed for PAL VOCs, pesticides and PCB, explosives, total inorganics, and TOC. TCLP extracts of shallow soil samples collected at SHD-92-29X, CSD-92-12X, and SHD-92-30X were also analyzed for eight RCRA metals. Sample depths and results of grain size distribution analyses are provided in Table 2-2.

2.1.3 Shepley's Hill Landfill Leachate Sampling

A visual survey was conducted along the perimeter of Shepley's Hill Landfill following a 24-hour rain event. Surface water was observed to be flowing in drainage ditches along the perimeter of the landfill and across the landfill cap from SHL-1 toward SHL-3. The reconnaissance failed to locate any signs of groundwater or leachate seeps.

2.1.4 Seismic Refraction Survey

A seismic refraction survey was conducted around the Shepley's Hill Landfill to characterize the topography of subsurface refractors, specifically bedrock and the saturated zone (Figure 2-3). Plans to traverse the landfill were abandoned to prevent damage to the landfill cap and because the landfill material would render seismic velocity data unusable. Four seismic profiles were made. Seismic Line 1 extended 1,900 feet along the southern portion of the landfill bending to the northeast at the southeastern extent of the capped portion. Refraction Line 2 ran 2,770 feet along the eastern side of the landfill cap from the southeastern corner of the landfill to the northern end. Line 3 extended 800 feet along the northern portion of the landfill in an east-northeasterly direction. Seismic Line 4 was 400 feet long and ran due east from Station 8+00 of Seismic Line 2 towards SHM-93-18B (see Figure 2-3).

Seismic lines were performed using two 200-foot, 12-channel seismic spread cables for a 400-foot seismic spread. Seismic spreads were placed end to end to produce continuous subsurface seismic profiles. The spacing between geophones along the spread cables was 20 feet, although the last three geophones on each seismic spread cable were 10 feet apart to facilitate resolution of near-surface seismic velocity variations.

Energy generation points were placed at 100-foot intervals along each seismic spread: one at each end (000 feet and 400 feet), one at the midpoint (200 feet), and one at each of the quarterpoints (100 feet and 300 feet), for a total of five detonation points. An individual charge was made up of one-half pound of 40 percent dynamite primed with a zero-delay electronically detonated blasting cap. The charges were placed in 3.5-to-4-foot deep bar-driven holes.

Seismic data were analyzed primarily by SIPT2, a seismic refraction inverse modeling program originally developed by the USGS, but now marketed commercially by Rimrock Geophysics, Inc., of Lakewood, Colorado. Seismic refraction profiles are shown in Figure 2-4.

2.1.5 Landfill Gas Migration Survey

Landfill gas monitoring was conducted at 21 locations within Shepley's Hill Landfill to assess whether landfill gasses were migrating from below the existing landfill cap (Figure 2-5). All samples were analyzed for methane and selected PAL VOCs using a field gas chromatograph (GC) with concentration trap and an electron capture detector/flame ionization detector (ECD/FID):

- methane
- benzene
- chloroethene (vinyl chloride)
- chloroform
- 1,1-dichloroethane
- 1,2-dichloroethane
- 1,1-dichloroethene *
- C-1,2-dichloroethene *
- T-1,2-dichloroethene *
- ethylbenzene *
- methylene chloride
- 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane
- tetrachloroethene
- toluene *
- 1,1,1-trichloroethane *
- trichloroethene
- meta/para-xylene *
- ortho-xylene *

In addition to the target compounds listed in the Data Gap Activities Work Plan, field personnel were able to analyze for eight related sister/daughter compounds (indicated with an *) that can be indicators of the target compounds. Interferences experienced under field conditions prevented successful analysis of two compounds (chlorobenzene and chloroethane) listed in the work plan.

Samples were collected from 16 TerraProbe borings located around the perimeter of the landfill. All the samples were collected from a depth of 10 feet except SG-1,SG-5,SG-6,SG-10, and SG-11, which were collected at 3 feet, and SG-16 sampled at 6 feet. These samples were collected at the lesser depths because of the shallow water table at the sampling locations. Adverse field conditions prevented collection of samples from several planned locations along the southern and western landfill edge. These samples were relocated during the field program.

Analyses were also performed on gasses collected from five existing landfill vents installed through the landfill cover.

2.1.6 Monitoring Well Installation

ABB-ES installed five monitoring wells at Shepley's Hill Landfill to obtain further data on groundwater flow, aquifer characteristics, potential contamination transport routes, and to obtain reference soil and rock samples from both the bedrock and overburden aquifers (Figure 2-6). SHM-93-18B was installed as a deep overburden well adjacent to SHL-18. SHM-93-10C and SHM-93-22C were installed as bedrock wells adjacent to SHL-10 and SHL-22, respectively. SHM-93-24A and SHM-93-01A were installed as water table wells.

The water table wells were installed with 6.25-inch inside diameter (ID) hollow-stem augers (HSAs). The deep overburden well, SHM-93-18B, was installed by first advancing 3-inch ID casing by the drive and wash method to facilitate collection of split-spoon samples. The 3-inch ID casing was advanced to bedrock and then withdrawn from the hole. Drilling water was not recirculated when advancing the 3-inch casing to lessen the chance of cross-contamination within the overburden aquifer. Refer to Subsection 2.7, Investigation Derived Waste (IDW), for a description of drilling fluid screening. Split-spoons were collected from the overburden borings at 5-foot intervals. Reference samples were collected from the split-spoons and visually logged. Analytical soil samples were collected from split-spoons obtained from within the screened interval of the overburden monitoring wells. These soil samples were analyzed for TOC only. Once the 3-inch ID casing had been removed, 6.25-inch ID HSAs were then advanced to bedrock to allow well installation.

The bedrock wells were installed by advancing 6-inch casing to bedrock using the drive and wash method and seating the casing into bedrock to prevent drilling water used in the bedrock from escaping to the overburden aquifer. Borings were advanced into bedrock using an H-series core barrel 5 feet in length. Rock cores were collected, visually logged, and stored on site. Upon completion of coring, the hole was over-reamed with a 5.625-inch outside diameter (OD) roller cone to allow for proper well installation. Although drilling water was recirculated in the overburden with the approval of USAEC, overburden drilling water was pumped from the casing prior to coring. No split-spoons were collected from the overburden during installation of the bedrock wells. Table 2-3 presents depths and soil classifications for samples collected from the Shepley's Hill Landfill borings. Boring logs and core logs are provided in Appendix A.

The water, filter sand, and bentonite used for well installation were approved by the USAEC prior to the start of the drilling program. The well screen consisted of 10-foot-long, 4-inch ID, 0.010-inch machine slotted, Schedule 40 PVC pipe with a flush-threaded bottom plug. Riser material was 4-inch ID, flush-threaded, Schedule 40 PVC and extended approximately 2.5 feet above ground surface. A loose fitting cap was placed on top of the riser section to protect against the entry of water while allowing for equalization of the well water with atmospheric pressure. Filter sand was placed in the annulus between the well casing and the wall of the borehole to a level of 5 to 6 feet above the top of the well screen. Care was taken to prevent voids in the filter and to prevent contact between the well screen and the formation. Above the filter sand, a 5 to 6-foot bentonite pellet or tremie-placed bentonite slurry seal was installed. When bentonite pellets were used as seal material, the pellets were hydrated before proceeding with well installation. Upon completion of the bentonite seal placement, grout in the ratio of 20 parts Portland Type II cement to 1 part bentonite powder was tremie-emplaced in the well annulus from the top of the bentonite seal to ground surface. The well was completed by placement of a 5-foot-long, 6-inch ID protective steel casing 2.5 feet into the grout and 2.5 feet above ground surface. The protective casing was fitted with a locking cap. Four protective steel posts were installed around the well, and a 6-inch-thick gravel pad was placed about the well to aid in drainage.

Water table wells were installed with 8 to 6 feet of the screen below the water table and 2 to 4 feet above the water table to allow for seasonal fluctuations. Bentonite pellets were used as seal material on water table wells. The screens of

the bedrock wells were placed and sealed entirely in bedrock. To prevent the borehole from acting as a conduit for groundwater between the overburden and bedrock aquifers, a 6-foot bentonite seal was installed straddling the bedrock-overburden interface. Bentonite chips were used in SHM-93-10C and bentonite slurry was used in SHM-93-22C. Table 2-4 summarizes well construction details. Monitoring well construction forms are provided in Appendix B.

2.1.7 Aquifer Characterization and Testing

In situ measurements were made to assess groundwater flow paths and aquifer characteristics.

Groundwater flow patterns were established from four installation-wide water-level measurement rounds. Water levels were recorded in monitoring wells and surface water bodies. Measurements in wells were made from surveyors' marks using electronic water-level sensors. Surface water measurements were made by measuring from survey marks on stakes placed in or near the water. Water levels were measured to the nearest 0.01 foot and were referenced to the National Geodetic Vertical Datum (NGVD) of 1929. Appendix E presents basewide water level data.

To obtain an estimate of permeability variations within the unconsolidated and bedrock aquifers, a series of rising-head slug tests were performed on the five new wells installed within the Shepley's Hill Landfill area. Water displacement for the tests was accomplished by lowering a 3-foot-long, 3-inch-diameter, solid PVC cylinder 4 to 5 feet below the water table. The water level in the well was allowed to equilibrate and the slug was withdrawn causing the water level to fall. The head recovery was recorded using an In-Situ™ Hermit SE1000C Data Logger and a 10 pound-per-square-inch (PSI) pressure transducer. Rising-head tests were performed to reduce inertial effects and initial oscillation of the water table, yet still achieve maximum stress to the aquifer.

Two tests were performed on each well, except SHM-93-22C, to assess variations associated with testing. Only one test was performed on SHM-93-22C because of an exceptionally slow recovery of 8 to 10 hours. Test data were analyzed using the methods of Hvorslev (1951) and Bouwer and Rice (1976). Permeability

estimates and water level data are presented in Table 2-5. Test data and calculations are provided in Appendix C.

2.1.8 Monitoring Well Development

A total of 27 monitoring wells (22 existing and five new) at Shepley's Hill Landfill were developed prior to the first round of groundwater sampling (see Figure 2-6). Development was conducted to:

- remove foreign substances potentially introduced during drilling
- increase the efficiency of the wells
- restore the hydrogeologic integrity of the formation immediately adjacent to the well
- reduce the turbidity of groundwater samples

Development of the five newly installed wells, SHM-93-01A, SHM-93-10C, SHM-93-18B, SHM-93-22C, and SHM-93-24A, was initiated no sooner than 48 hours and no later than seven days after monitoring well completion. Submersible stainless steel pumps and dedicated plastic Whale pumps were used for development. The stainless steel pump was decontaminated before use in each well, and the Teflon™ tubing used was replaced between each well. Dedicated plastic Whale pumps and 0.25-inch polyethylene tubing were used to develop all existing wells.

During development, each well volume of removed water was monitored for specific conductance, temperature, pH, and turbidity. A well volume was calculated as the volume of standing water in the well plus the amount in the annular sandpack (assuming 30 percent porosity).

Wells were considered fully developed when the following criteria were met:

- Well water was clear to the unaided eye.
- Sediment thickness in the well was less than 1 percent of the screen length.

- Total water removed from the well equalled five well volumes plus five times the volume of any drilling water lost.

- Where possible, turbidity measurements varied by less than approximately 10 percent.

Any changes in the above-mentioned development criteria were approved by the USAEC. The bedrock wells were very slow to recharge. A total of 311 gallons of groundwater was purged from SHM-93-22C, equivalent to three well volumes. Approximately 1,100 gallons of groundwater were purged from SHM-93-10C, equivalent to five well volumes plus two times the water lost during drilling. Groundwater purged from wells was contained in drums for disposal characterization. Procedures for handling IDW are described in Subsection 2.7.

2.1.9 Shepley's Hill Landfill Groundwater Sampling

Two rounds of groundwater samples were collected from monitoring wells around Shepley's Hill Landfill. During the first round, 22 existing wells and five newly installed wells were sampled (see Figure 2-6). Only the five newly installed wells were sampled during the second round. Monitoring well SHL-1 was not sampled during either round because it was dry. Newly installed wells were sampled no sooner than 14 days after completion of well development. All wells were purged before sampling to confirm that water sampled is representative of formation water. Purging was performed with dedicated submersible Whale pumps or dedicated Teflon bailers. Total VOCs at the wellhead and in the breathing zone were monitored with a PID before and during purging. Each well volume of water removed during purging was monitored for specific conductance, temperature, pH, and turbidity. Redox potential was measured at the end of purging. A well was considered purged when the volume of groundwater removed was equivalent to five well volumes and the monitored parameters varied by less than approximately 10 percent. SHM-93-22C, SHL-23, SHL-24, and SHL-25 recovered very slowly and fewer than five well volumes were removed.

All wells were sampled with dedicated Teflon bailers. Before filling, all sample jars (except VOC vials) were triple rinsed with groundwater from the monitoring well being sampled. Water was poured directly from bailers into pre-labeled sample jars. If VOCs were to be collected, they were collected before all other samples. All samples were analyzed for PAL SVOCs, VOCs, pesticides and

PCBs, explosives, total inorganics, TOC, TSS, TDS, and alkalinity. Fifty percent of the samples were also analyzed for dissolved inorganics. Samples to be analyzed for dissolved inorganics were collected from the bailer using a peristaltic pump and a 0.45-micron in-line filter to remove suspended solids.

2.1.10 Fish Sampling and Analysis

Fish sampling at Plow Shop Pond was conducted between October 20 and 22, 1992. Fish tissue sampling and analysis was conducted to analyze whole fish and fish fillet samples for contaminants from Shepley's Hill Landfill. Fish sampling was conducted according to guidance presented in the Regulatory Draft Fish Tissue Sampling and Analysis Plan (SAP) (ABB-ES, 1992a).

The study involved dividing the pond into four quadrants (Figure 2-7). A variety of active and passive fishing techniques were employed to characterize the fish community with minimal sample gear bias. At Stations 1 through 3, the fishing effort included electrofishing, gill netting, and trap netting. At Station 4, only electrofishing was conducted. Because fish are mobile organisms and landfill impacts are primarily related to non-point source contaminant loading, each fish sampling location represents a region from which fish were sampled, rather than a fixed point. Sampling stations were selected because of their proximity to Shepley's Hill Landfill and based on existing information regarding contaminant distribution in Plow Shop Pond.

Electrofishing at Plow Shop Pond consisted of sweeping the sampling quadrants with a boat-mounted Coffelt VVP-15 electroshocking unit. This electrofishing unit was operated in an Alternating Current (AC) mode with 230 volts and 4 to 7 amperes. Both day and night electrofishing were conducted. Passive sampling of the Plow Shop Pond fish community included using: (1) 25-foot panels of 2.5-inch experimental gill nets with variable bar mesh (0.5, 1.0, 1.5, 2.0, and 2.5 inch), and (2) trap nets consisting of a steel frame covered with a 1.3-centimeter (cm) nylon knotless bar mesh with a 1 x 2 meter opening. Each trap net consisted of two 8 meter wings and one approximately 33 meter lead.

All fish collected from Plow Shop Pond between October 20 and 21, 1992, were identified to species, weighed to the nearest gram, and measured to the nearest millimeter. Animals captured between October 21 and 22, 1992, received variable processing, ranging from not collecting to identification and full "work-up."

Individual fish were checked for external pathological gross abnormalities (e.g., tumors, lesions, structural or bone defects) through an evaluation of the conditions of the lips, jaws, barbels (when applicable), eyes, right gill, fins, urogenital cavity, anus, body form, and body surfaces. All data were recorded on field data sheets. The results of the pathological evaluation of Plow Shop Pond fish are presented in Section 7.0.

Fish species that commonly occur in warmwater ponds in Massachusetts were chosen as the Plow Shop Pond target species for tissue analysis. Target species were chosen based upon the following criteria: human fishery utilization, abundance, size, ecological importance, position in food chain, and metabolism (USEPA, 1989f). To achieve SAP objectives (ABB-ES, 1992a), the ability to collect sufficient, duplicate, replicate, and/or laboratory quality control (QC) samples was also considered when selecting target species.

The following species were selected as target species:

- Brown Bullhead (*Ictalurus nebulosus*); Yellow Bullhead (*I. natalis*)

  Bullheads are omnivorous bottom feeders of recreational importance. Bullheads are caught for human consumption, and are abundant in warm, shallow ponds of southern New England. During colder months, bullheads are known to bury themselves in muddy pond bottoms, where they remain until warmer months (Werner, 1980). Because of their considerable exposure to pond sediments, bullheads are an ideal organism for tissue contaminant burden analysis.

- Bluegill (*Lepomis macrochirus*)

  Bluegill were sampled to represent a secondary consumer of recreational importance. They are primarily residents of ponds and lakes, but may also occur in quiet waters in streams and rivers. Young bluegill feed on various zooplankton, including cladocerans and copepods, whereas larger fish feed on insects and other invertebrates; on occasion, larger fish are piscivores, eating small fish (Werner, 1980).

- Largemouth bass (*Micropterus salmoides*)

Largemouth bass were chosen to represent a tertiary consumer of recreational importance in Plow Shop Pond. Largemouth bass thrive in weedy lakes, where they feed on invertebrates, frogs, and fishes. To evaluate risks to humans from consumption of fish, specimens of largemouth bass all had lengths greater than their 12-inch minimum legal length limit (Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife [MADFW], 1992).

To avoid field contamination, target species were processed minimally in the field and prepared for shipment to the analytical laboratory. Individual fish were weighed to the nearest gram, measured to the nearest millimeter, and a complete external examination of the fish was recorded on field fish health forms. Each individual fish was assigned a unique numerical identification code that identified the fish by water body and served to identify the animal from all others captured for analysis. No fish were filleted in the field.

Fish were placed in sealed bags and labeled according to the SAP (ABB-ES, 1992a). All fish were delivered on ice to the analytical laboratory within 24 to 36 hours, via overnight courier. Once in the analytical laboratory, all samples were logged into the laboratory sample management program.

Largemouth bass and bullhead were skinned and filleted in the laboratory using a Teflon-coated stainless steel microtome blade. Fillets from Plow Shop Pond bass and bullhead were obtained from the left side of individual fish. The right fillet remained on the fish carcass and was processed as "whole fish." The skinless fillets and the remainder of the fish carcass were labeled and frozen until analysis. Because no analysis of sunfish (bluegills) fillets was conducted (whole body analysis only), whole fish were frozen immediately upon arrival at the laboratory. All fish remained frozen until laboratory analysis was conducted.

Because of laboratory error, the initial filleting of the Plow Shop Pond largemouth bass consisted of removal of only a small portion of fillet tissue (enough for laboratory analysis). However, immediately prior to complete homogenizing of the fish carcass for analysis, the remainder of the fillet sample was removed from the fish. Fish were allowed to thaw slightly and the remainder of the fillet was removed using a Teflon-coated stainless steel microtome blade. This second fillet sample was analyzed independently from the first sample removed from the

individual fish. The carcasses (minus the left fillet) were analyzed as "whole fish" for Plow Shop Pond.

To avoid contamination from inorganics associated with stainless steel laboratory instrumentation, whole fish were macerated in a tissue homogenizer with a titanium blade. Whole fish and fillets were analyzed for 22 PAL inorganics, pesticides and PCBs, lipids, and percent solids. Inorganics were analyzed in accordance with USEPA Method 600/4-81/055. Organic analyses were completed as detailed in the SAP (ABB-ES, 1992a). Two matrix spikes and two duplicates were conducted for quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) purposes.

Results of laboratory analyses are presented in Appendix T and comparisons of the analytical data to state and national data are provided in Appendix N.

2.1.11 Plow Shop Pond Macroinvertebrate Sampling

A semiquantitative inventory of macroinvertebrates was conducted at three sampling stations in Plow Shop Pond (see Figure 2-1). At each sampling station, two duplicate macroinvertebrate samples from vegetation ("phytomacrofauna") and two duplicate samples from sediment ("benthic infauna") were collected. In siting the individual sampling stations, an attempt was made to confirm that the stations were as comparable as possible with respect to water movement, substrate composition, canopy coverage, and water depth. All macroinvertebrate samples were collected in September 1992. In addition, during this same period, additional macroinvertebrate samples were collected from Grove Pond by MADEP.

After selecting the sampling location, a 1-square-meter PVC square was placed over the vegetation. Once the square had settled, phytomacrofauna were collected from submerged macrophytes and the water column with D-frame aquatic dip nets (~600 microns). Phytomacrofauna were collected from within each 1-meter-square sampling station by sweeping the entire region both vertically and horizontally. The contents of the dip net were washed into a number 30 USGS brass sieve. Any invertebrates attached to plant matter or other debris within the sample were carefully removed prior to discarding plant debris. The phytomacrofaunal organisms and the remainder of the sample were placed in labeled jars containing approximately 70 percent ethanol.

An Ekman dredge was used to sample benthic organisms in areas of the pond with silt, muck, or sludge substrates. Depending upon the pond depth at the sampling stations, the dredge was either lowered into the bottom substrate from a boat or was pushed directly into the substrates. After sieving the contents of Ekman dredge samples through a number 30 USGS brass sieve, smaller debris and macroinvertebrates were placed in labeled jars containing approximately 70 percent ethanol.

After samples were transported to the laboratory, they were re-preserved in 70 percent ethanol to avoid preservative dilution from organic matter. A sub-sample was collected from each sample, by evenly distributing the sample in a gridded, light-colored sampling pan. All organisms in randomly chosen grids were picked until 100 organisms were collected. If fewer than 100 organisms were available, the entire sample was selected. Several Chironomid midges were mounted for taxonomic identification. The remainder of the taxa were identified to the lowest feasible taxonomic level (generally the genus level) using compound (1,000-power maximum) and dissecting (80-power maximum) microscopes. All macroinvertebrates collected for taxonomic analysis were placed in sample jars and preserved in 70 percent alcohol.

Information regarding the physical attributes of the aquatic habitat (including nature of the substrate and vegetative characteristics) and water quality parameters (i.e., dissolved oxygen, temperature, pH, and conductivity) was also collected at each sampling station.

Appendix P contains the results of the macroinvertebrate analyses.

2.1.12 Wetland Functional Assessment

A Wetland Evaluation Technique (WET) evaluation was conducted on Plow Shop Pond to assess the functions and values of this wetland. WET is a standardized evaluation technique that provides a rapid assessment of many of the recognized values and functions of a wetland (Adamus et al., 1991). WET uses a standardized manual and answer sheet to provide input data for the WET 2.0 computer program. After data are entered into the WET program, a "Low," "Medium," or "High" value is assigned to each function.

A combination of the following 11 functions (i.e., physical, chemical, and biological characteristics) and values (characteristics beneficial to society) were evaluated through WET at Plow Shop Pond:

- Groundwater Recharge
- Groundwater Discharge
- Floodflow Alteration
- Sediment Stabilization
- Sediment/Toxicant Retention
- Nutrient Removal/Transformation
- Production Export
- Wildlife Diversity/Abundance
- Aquatic Diversity/Abundance
- Uniqueness/Heritage
- Recreation

The above-listed functions and values were evaluated by WET in the following contexts: "Social Significance" (the value of the wetland to society); "Effectiveness" (the capability of the wetland to provide the function); and "Opportunity" (the opportunity of the wetland to provide the function).

The WET analysis determined that the value to society of Plow Shop Pond is "high" for Groundwater Recharge, Groundwater Discharge, Wildlife Diversity and Abundance, and Uniqueness and Heritage. The remainder of the evaluated WET parameters were rated as "low" to "moderate" in social significance. In terms of effectiveness, WET scored Plow Shop Pond as "high" for Sediment/Toxicant Retention and Wildlife Breeding and Migration, and as "low" to "moderate" for the other functions and values in WET. Of the three functions/values evaluated for Opportunity, the opportunity for Plow Shop Pond to perform the Sediment/ Toxicant Retention and Nutrient Removal/Transformation functions is rated as "high" by WET. Plow Shop Pond has the opportunity to provide these functions because the proximity of the adjacent landfill. Floodflow Alteration is rated as "moderate" by WET based upon the high percentage of the watershed this wetland occupies.

The WET functional assessment is included as Appendix O. In addition, this appendix includes a detailed narrative discussion interpreting the results of the WET analysis.

2.2 FIELD INVESTIGATIONS AT COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL

The investigations at Cold Spring Brook were conducted in general conformance with the following documents:

- Data Gap Activities Work Plan (ABB-ES, 1993a)
- Project Operations Plan Vol. I, II, III (ABB-ES, 1992b)

The following subsections describe the field program activities.

2.2.1 Cold Spring Brook Pond Sediment Sampling

ABB-ES subcontracted Rossfelder Corporation of San Diego, California, to collect 10 sediment cores within Cold Spring Brook Pond (Figure 2-8). A 5-foot length of 4-inch-diameter, thin wall aluminum pipe fitted with a polypropylene liner was used to collect all the samples except CSD-92-07X and CSD-92-08X where shallow water prohibited access. The standard sampling procedure was to vibrate the sampling tube into the sediment with a high frequency vibratory drive head from a floating platform and then winch the sampling tube out. Upon removal of the sampling tube from the sediment, the ends were covered with polypropylene to preserve sample integrity. The sediment cores were then taken to a staging area on shore where the samples were logged by an ABB-ES geologist. To examine the sediment core directly, the drive shoe was removed and the polypropylene liner and core were extruded into a decontaminated trough, revealing the sediment core for visual logging and sampling. As the core liner was opened, a PID was used to monitor total VOCs in the breathing zone and in the core. ABB-ES personnel logged descriptions of the core and other relevant conditions, collected reference samples, and samples for chemical analyses. Analytical samples were placed in pre-labeled sample jars and stored in coolers with ice to maintain a temperature below 4-degrees Celsius. Reference samples were analyzed for grain-size distribution. All analytical samples were tested for PAL semivolatile organic compounds (SVOCs), pesticides and PCBs, total inorganics, explosives, TOC, and percent solids.

In addition, three samples were analyzed for TCLP, CSD-92-01X at 2 feet, CSD-92-09X at 3 feet, and CSD-92-09X at 5 feet. If core recovery was 3 feet or

greater, the core was sampled from the 0 to 1 feet interval, at the base of the core, and at the midpoint between the top and bottom of the core. The viscous nature of the surficial sediments and sample volume requirements necessitated sampling from the 0 to 1 foot interval, instead of the 0 to 6 inch interval specified in the Data Gap Activities Work Plan (ABB-ES, 1993a). Because of refusal, CSD-92-10X yielded only two sediment samples. CSD-92-07X and CSD-92-08X were driven using a sledgehammer and retrieved by hand. Because of the poor recovery associated with this technique, only two samples were collected from each core. Table 2-6 summarizes sample depths and the results of grain-size distribution samples. Complete results of grain-size distribution analyses are provided in Appendix D. Sediment core logs are provided in Appendix A.

A shallow sediment sample was also collected at each of three macroinvertebrate sampling stations to document sediment characteristics (Figure 2-8). CSD-92-14X, CSD-92-15X, and CSD-92-16X were analyzed for PAL SVOCs, pesticides and PCBs, total inorganics, TOC, and grain-size distribution. Table 2-6 summarizes sample depths and grain-size distribution. Complete results of grain-size distribution analyses are provided in Appendix D.

2.2.2 Cold Spring Brook Landfill Seep Sampling

Surface water and sediment samples were collected from three predesignated sampling locations along the northern and western edges of the Cold Spring Brook Landfill (see Figure 2-8). Samples were collected from suspected seep locations to evaluate whether Cold Spring Brook is a discharge area for contaminated groundwater emanating from the Cold Spring Brook Landfill. Each sample location was given two site IDs, CSW-92-01X/CSD-92-11X, CSW-92-02X/ CSD-92-12X, and CSW-92-03X/CSD-92-13X. The first site ID was for the surface water sample and the second for the sediment samples. Surface water samples CSW-92-01X, CSW-92-02X, and CSW-92-03X were collected in the following manner: total VOCs were monitored by PID above the surface water, and temperature, pH, and specific conductance were monitored in situ. Prior to sample collection, all water sample jars (except VOC vials) were triple rinsed with sample water. The appropriate pre-labeled sample jars were then filled by direct immersion immediately below the surface of the water except for dissolved inorganic samples, which were collected using a peristaltic pump and 0.45-micron in-line filter. Water samples were preserved in the field in accordance with the requirements of the POP (ABB-ES, 1992b) and stored on ice in coolers prior to

shipment to the laboratory. All surface water samples were analyzed for PAL SVOCs, pesticides and PCBs, explosives, total inorganics, dissolved inorganics, TOC, TSS, TDS, and alkalinity.

Upon completion of surface water sampling, two sediment samples were collected from each location, one from the surface and the other from a depth of 1 foot. Samples were collected using a hand auger and stainless steel spatula and placed in pre-labeled sample jars. All sampling equipment was decontaminated prior to use at each sample location and between individual samples. Sediment samples were stored on ice in coolers prior to shipment to the laboratory. Reference samples were sent to the laboratory to be tested for grain-size distribution and moisture content. All sediment samples were tested for PAL SVOCs, pesticides and PCBs, explosives, total inorganics, and TOC. TCLP extracts of surficial sediment samples collected at CSD-92-11X and CSD-92-12X were also analyzed for eight RCRA metals. Table 2-6 summarizes sample depths and results of grain size distribution analyses. Complete results of grain size distribution analyses are provided in Appendix D.

2.2.3 Magazine Area Shallow Groundwater and Soil Sampling

Shallow groundwater and soil samples were collected from three locations along drainage ditches in the southwest corner of the Magazine Area to assess its potential contribution to contamination in Cold Spring Brook (see Figure 2-8). Each sample location was given two site IDs, MAW-92-01X/MAD-92-01X, MAW-92-02X/MAD-92-02X, and MAW-92-03X/MAD-92-03X. The first site ID designates the shallow groundwater sample and the second designates the soil sample. The Data Gap Activities Work Plan (ABB-ES, 1993a) initially referred to these samples as surface water and sediment; however, upon examination of the sample locations during sampling, it was determined that the samples were actually shallow groundwater and soil. Lack of standing water at the sampling locations necessitated the digging of three 2-to-5-foot-deep sumps. The sumps were dug with a shovel that was decontaminated prior to the first sump and before digging each subsequent sump. The sumps were covered with plywood and the groundwater was allowed to seep in and equilibrate for at least 24 hours. The sump at site MAW-92-02X was dug to a depth of 5 feet and failed to produce water within 24 hours; therefore, the site was not sampled for groundwater. Shallow groundwater samples MAW-92-01X and MAW-92-03X were collected in the following manner: total VOCs were monitored by PID above the surface

water, and temperature, pH, and specific conductance were measured in situ. Prior to sample collection, all water sample jars (except VOC vials) were triple rinsed with sample water. The appropriate pre-labeled sample jars were then filled. VOCs were collected first by direct immersion immediately below the surface of the water. All other samples were then collected using a peristaltic pump at a rate of 0.5 gpm.$_9$ The dissolved inorganics sample was collected using the peristaltic pump and a 0.45-micron in-line filter. Water samples were preserved in the field in accordance with the requirements of the POP (ABB-ES, 1992b) and stored on ice in coolers. All groundwater samples were analyzed for PAL SVOCs, pesticides and PCBs, explosives, total inorganics, dissolved inorganics, TOC, TSS, TDS, and alkalinity.

Upon completion of surface water sampling, a soil sample was collected from the side of the excavation at a depth of 0.5 foot. Samples were collected using a stainless steel spatula, placed in pre-labeled sample jars, and stored on ice in coolers prior to and during shipment to the laboratory. All sampling equipment was decontaminated prior to use at each sample location. Reference samples were sent to the laboratory to be tested for grain-size distribution and moisture content. All soil samples were analyzed for PAL SVOCs, pesticides and PCBs, explosives, total inorganics, and TOC. Table 2-7 summarizes sample depths and grain-size distribution. Complete results of grain-size distribution analyses are provided in Appendix D.

2.2.4 Soil Boring and Monitoring Well Installation

ABB-ES installed three monitoring wells in the vicinity of the Cold Spring Brook Landfill to obtain further information on groundwater flow, aquifer characteristics, potential contaminant migration pathways, and to obtain reference and geologic soil samples from the overburden aquifer.

CSM-93-01A was installed as a deep overburden well through the landfill material approximately 30 feet south of the existing well CSB-4 (see Figure 2-8). CSM-93-02A, a water table well, and CSM-92-02B, a deep overburden well, were installed as a nested pair on the south side of Patton Road between Patton Well and the existing well CSB-2. To lessen the chance of cross-contamination, drilling fluids were not recirculated at any time during drilling activities in the Cold Spring Brook Landfill area. Refer to Subsection 2.7 for a description of drilling fluids screening.

The landfill monitoring well, CSM-93-01A, was installed by first advancing 4.25-inch ID HSAs to find an unobstructed route through the landfill material. The 4.25-inch ID HSAs were advanced to 20 feet bgs and spoons were overdriven to 26 feet bgs to ensure that the HSAs were beyond landfill material. The HSAs were then withdrawn and 6-inch ID casing was advanced by the drive and wash method to 39 feet bgs. Two-inch split-spoons were collected at 2-foot intervals from 28 to 38 feet bgs. All split-spoons were field screened for total VOCs with a PID and reference samples were collected for geologic classification. An analytical sample for TOC analysis was collected from the split-spoon at 28 to 30 feet. At 39 feet bgs, the 6-inch casing became stuck, and 5-inch ID casing was telescoped inside the 6-inch casing and advanced to 65.5 feet bgs. Split-spoons were collected at 5-foot intervals from 42 to 65.5 feet bgs. The 5-inch ID casing was withdrawn during well installation. To prevent the borehole from acting as a conduit for contaminant migration between the landfill and underlying aquifer, the 6-inch ID casing was permanently grouted in place.

CSM-93-02A was installed by first advancing a 4.25-inch ID HSA to a depth of 45 feet bgs. Because of flowing sand conditions, 3-inch ID casing was then advanced by drive and wash to facilitate collection of split-spoons. The 3-inch ID casing was advanced to bedrock at a depth of 129.5 feet bgs to further characterize the overburden aquifer and geologic conditions and then withdrawn. Split-spoons were collected at 5-foot intervals from 10 feet bgs to 129.5 feet bgs. The split-spoons were field screened for VOCs with a PID, reference samples were collected, and the samples were visually logged. Reference samples were sent to the laboratory to be tested for grain-size distribution. Analytical samples were collected from the 25 to 27 foot and 55 to 57 foot intervals to be analyzed for TOC. After removal of the 3-inch ID casing, well CSM-93-02A was installed at 31.5 feet bgs using 6.25-inch ID HSAs. CSM-93-02B was installed using 6.25-inch ID HSAs to a depth of 67 feet bgs. No split-spoons were collected during the CSM-93-02B borehole installation. Table 2-8 summarizes the Cold Spring Brook Landfill soil borings. Complete results of grain-size distribution are provided in Appendix D. Boring logs are provided in Appendix A.

The water, filter sand, and bentonite used for all monitoring well installations were approved by the USAEC prior to the start of the drilling program. The well screens consisted of 10-foot long, 4-inch ID, 0.010-inch machine slotted, Schedule 40 PVC pipe with a flush-threaded bottom plug. Riser material was 4-inch ID, flush-threaded, Schedule 40 PVC and extended approximately 2.5 feet above

ground surface. A loose fitting cap was placed on top of the riser section to protect against the entry of water while allowing for equalization of the well water with atmospheric pressure. Filter sand was placed in the annulus between the well casing and the wall of the borehole to a level of 5 to 10 feet above the top of the well screen. Care was taken to prevent voids in the filter and to prevent contact between the well screen and the formation. Above the filter sand, a 4 to 6 foot bentonite chip or tremie-placed bentonite slurry seal was installed. When bentonite chips were used as seal material, the chips were hydrated prior to proceeding with well installation. Upon completion of bentonite seal placement, grout in the ratio of 20 parts Portland Type II cement to 1 part bentonite powder was tremie-placed in the well annulus from the top of the bentonite seal to ground surface. The monitoring wells were completed by placement of a 5-foot-long, 6-inch ID protective steel casing 2.5 feet into the grout. The protective casing was fitted with a locking cap. Four protective steel posts were installed around the well, and a 6-inch thick gravel pad was placed about the well to aid drainage. Table 2-9 presents details of Cold Spring Brook Landfill monitoring well construction. Monitoring well construction diagrams are provided in Appendix B.

2.2.5 Aquifer Testing

In situ measurements were made to assess groundwater flow paths and aquifer characteristics.

Groundwater flow patterns were determined from five installation-wide water-level measurement rounds. Water levels were recorded in monitoring wells and surface water bodies. Measurements in monitoring wells were made from surveyors' marks using electronic water level sensors. Surface water measurements were taken by measuring from survey marks on stakes placed in or near the water. Water levels were measured to the nearest 0.01 foot and were referenced to the NGVD of 1929. Basewide water level rounds are summarized in Appendix E.

A series of rising-head slug tests were performed on the three new monitoring wells installed in the vicinity of Cold Spring Brook Landfill (see Figure 2-8) to obtain an estimate of permeability variations within the unconsolidated aquifer. Tests were performed by lowering a 3-foot-long, 3-inch-diameter, solid PVC cylinder 4 to 5 feet below the water table. The water level in the well was

allowed to equilibrate and the slug was withdrawn causing the water level to fall. The head recovery was recorded using an In-Situ Hermit SE100C Data Logger and a 10-PSI pressure transducer. Rising-head tests were performed to reduce inertial effects and initial oscillation of the water table, yet still achieve maximum stress to the aquifer. Two tests were performed on each well to assess variations associated with each test. Test data were analyzed using the methods of Hvorslev (1951) and Bouwer and Rice (1976). Table 2-10 summarizes permeability estimates and water level data for monitoring wells in the Cold Spring Brook Landfill area. Test data and calculations are provided in Appendix C.

2.2.6 Monitoring Well Development

All 11 monitoring wells (eight existing and three new) at the Cold Spring Brook Landfill were developed prior to the first round of groundwater sampling (see Figure 2-8). Development was conducted to:

- Remove foreign substances potentially introduced during drilling
- Increase the efficiency of the wells
- Restore the hydrogeologic integrity of the formation immediately adjacent to the well
- Reduce the turbidity of groundwater samples

Development of the three newly installed monitoring wells, CSM-93-01A, CSM-92-02A, and CSM-93-02B, was initiated no sooner than 48 hours and no later than seven days after monitoring well completion. Submersible stainless steel pumps and dedicated plastic Whale pumps were used for development. The stainless steel pump was decontaminated before use in each monitoring well and the Teflon hose was changed between monitoring wells. Dedicated plastic Whale pumps and 0.25-inch polyethylene tubing were used to develop existing monitoring wells CSB-1 through CSB-8.

During development, each well volume of water removed was monitored for specific conductance, temperature, pH, and turbidity. A well volume was calculated as the volume of standing water in the well plus the amount in the annular sandpack (assuming 30 percent porosity).

Wells were considered fully developed when the following criteria were met:

- Well water was clear to the unaided eye.
- Sediment thickness in the well was less than 1 percent of the screen length.
- Total water removed from the well equalled five well volumes plus five times the volume of any drilling water lost.
- Where possible, turbidity measurements varied by less than approximately 10 percent.

CSB-4 and CSB-5 were very slow to recharge and fewer than five well volumes were removed. Groundwater purged from monitoring wells was contained in drums for disposal characterization. Procedures for handling purge water are described in Subsection 2.7.

2.2.7 Cold Spring Brook Landfill Groundwater Sampling

Two rounds of groundwater samples were collected from the monitoring wells around the Cold Spring Brook Landfill. During the first round, a total of 12 monitoring wells, all of the new and existing monitoring wells, as well as Patton Well, were sampled (see Figure 2-8). Four monitoring wells were sampled during the second round; these included all the new monitoring wells and existing monitoring well CSB-1. Newly installed monitoring wells were sampled no sooner than 14 days after completion of well development.

All wells were purged before sampling using dedicated submersible Whale pumps or dedicated Teflon bailers to help confirm that sampled water was representative of formation water. Before purging, total VOCs at the wellhead and in the breathing zone were monitored with a PID. Each well volume of water removed during purging was monitored for specific conductance, temperature, pH, and turbidity. Redox potential was measured at the end of purging. A monitoring well was considered purged when the volume of groundwater removed was equivalent to five well volumes and the monitored parameters varied by less than approximately 10 percent. Monitoring wells CSB-4 and CSB-5 recovered very slowly, and fewer than five well volumes were removed. Patton Well was allowed

to run for at least one hour before it was sampled. All wells were sampled with dedicated Teflon bailers. All sample jars (except VOC vials) were triple rinsed with sample water. Well water was poured directly from bailers into pre-labeled sample jars. In all cases, if VOCs were to be collected, they were collected before all other samples. Samples to be analyzed for dissolved inorganics were collected from the bailer using a peristaltic pump and a 0.45-micron high capacity in-line filter to remove suspended solids.

All samples were analyzed for PAL SVOCs, pesticides and PCBs, explosives, total inorganics, TOC, TSS, TDS, and alkalinity. Fifty percent of the samples were also analyzed for dissolved inorganics. In addition to the above analytes, samples collected from Patton Well were also analyzed for VOCs.

2.2.8 Fish Sampling and Analysis

Fish sampling at Cold Spring Brook Pond was conducted on October 23, 1992. Electrofishing was the sole technique used to characterize the fish community at this site. Electrofishing consisted of sweeping the sampling region with a boat-mounted Coffelt VVP-15 electroshocking unit. This electrofishing unit was operated in an AC mode with 230 volts and 4 to 7 amperes. Only day electrofishing was conducted at Cold Spring Brook Pond.

Because the pond is shallow and weedy, the only portion of Cold Spring Brook Pond where fish samples were collected was in the channel in the vicinity of the culvert draining the eastern portion of Cold Spring Brook Pond into Cold Spring Brook (see Figure 2-8).

All fish collected were identified to species, weighed to the nearest gram, and measured to the nearest millimeter. Individual fish were checked for external pathological gross abnormalities (e.g., tumors, lesions, structural or bone defects) through an evaluation of the conditions of the lips, jaws, barbels (when applicable), eyes, right gill, fins, urogenital cavity, anus, body form, and body surfaces. All data were recorded on field data sheets. The results of the pathological evaluation of Cold Spring Brook Pond fish are presented in Section 7.0.

Fish species that commonly occur in warmwater ponds in Massachusetts were chosen as the Cold Spring Brook Pond target species for tissue analysis. Target

species were chosen based upon the following criteria: human fishery utilization, abundance, size, ecological importance, position in food chain, and metabolism (USEPA, 1989f). To achieve SAP objectives (ABB-ES, 1992a), the ability to collect sufficient, duplicate, replicate, and/or laboratory QC samples was also considered when selecting target species.

The following species were selected as target species:

- Yellow Bullhead (*Ictalurus natalis*)

  Bullheads are omnivorous bottom feeders of recreational importance. Bullheads are caught for human consumption, and are abundant in warm, shallow ponds of southern New England. During colder months, bullheads are known to bury themselves in muddy pond bottoms, where they remain until warmer months (Werner, 1980). Because of their considerable exposure to pond sediments, bullheads are an ideal organism for tissue contaminant burden analysis.

- Chain pickerel (*Esox niger*)

  This popular game fish feeds on invertebrates and other fish, and is typically found near aquatic vegetation. It was selected for tissue analysis at Cold Spring Brook Pond because it was the top predator documented in the pond.

- Pumpkinseed (*Lepomis gibbosus*)

  Pumpkinseed were sampled to represent a secondary consumer of recreational importance. They are primarily residents of ponds and lakes, but may also occur in quiet waters in streams and rivers. They feed on insects, other invertebrates, mollusks, and an occasional small fish (Werner, 1980).

To avoid field contamination, target species were processed minimally in the field and prepared for shipment to the analytical laboratory. Individual fish were weighed to the nearest gram, measured to the nearest millimeter, and a complete external examination of the fish was recorded on field fish health forms. Each individual fish was assigned a unique numerical identification code that identified

the fish by water body and served to identify the animal from all others captured for analysis. No fish were filleted in the field.

Fish were placed in sealed bags and labeled according to the SAP (ABB-ES, 1992a). All fish were delivered on ice to the analytical laboratory within 24 to 36 hours, via overnight courier. Once in the analytical laboratory, all samples were logged into the laboratory sample management program.

Bullhead and chain pickerel were skinned and filleted in the laboratory using a Teflon-coated stainless steel microtome blade. To obtain sufficient tissue for chemical analysis, fillets from Cold Spring Brook Pond bullhead and pickerel were obtained from both sides of individual fish. In contrast to Plow Shop Pond, "whole fish" analyzed at Cold Spring Brook Pond were entire individual fish, rather than a fish minus one fillet. The skinless fillets and the whole fish were labeled and frozen until analysis. Because no analysis of sunfish (pumpkinseed) fillets was conducted (whole body analysis only), whole fish were frozen immediately upon arrival at the laboratory. All fish remained frozen until laboratory analysis was conducted.

To avoid contamination from inorganics associated with stainless steel laboratory instrumentation, whole fish were macerated in a tissue homogenizer with a titanium blade. Whole fish and fillets were analyzed for 22 PAL inorganics, pesticides and PCBs, lipids, and percent solids. Inorganics were analyzed in accordance with USEPA Method 600/4-81/055. Organic analyses were completed as detailed in the SAP (ABB-ES, 1992a).

Comparisons of the analytical data to state and national data are provided in Appendix N, and Appendix T contains summary tables of analytical data.

2.2.9 Macroinvertebrate Sampling

A semiquantitative inventory of macroinvertebrates was conducted at three sampling stations in Cold Spring Brook Pond (see Figure 2-8). At each sampling station, two duplicate macroinvertebrate samples from vegetation ("phytomacrofauna") and two duplicate samples from sediment ("benthic infauna") were collected. In siting the individual sampling stations, an attempt was made to confirm that the stations were as comparable as possible with respect to water movement, substrate composition, canopy coverage, and water depth. All

macroinvertebrate samples were collected in September 1992, and processed as described in Subsection 2.1.11 for Plow Shop Pond macroinvertebrates.

Information regarding the physical attributes of the aquatic habitat (including nature of the substrate and vegetative characteristics) and water quality parameters (i.e., dissolved oxygen, temperature, pH, and conductivity) was also collected at each sampling station.

Appendix P contains the results of the macroinvertebrate analyses.

2.2.10 Wetland Functional Assessment

A WET evaluation was conducted on Cold Spring Brook Pond to assess the functions and values of this wetland. WET is a standardized evaluation technique that provides a rapid assessment of many of the recognized values and functions of a wetland (Adamus et al., 1991). WET uses a standardized manual and answer sheet to provide input data for the WET 2.0 computer program. After data are entered into the WET program, a "Low," "Medium," or "High" value is assigned to each function.

A combination of the following 11 functions (i.e., physical, , and biological characteristics) and values (characteristics beneficial to society) were evaluated through WET at Cold Spring Brook Pond:

- Groundwater Recharge
- Groundwater Discharge
- Floodflow Alteration
- Sediment Stabilization
- Sediment/Toxicant Retention
- Nutrient Removal/Transformation
- Production Export
- Wildlife Diversity/Abundance
- Aquatic Diversity/Abundance
- Uniqueness/Heritage
- Recreation

The above-listed functions and values were evaluated by WET in the following contexts: "Social Significance" (the value of the wetland to society); "Effectiveness"

(the capability of the wetland to provide the function); and "Opportunity" (the opportunity of the wetland to provide the function).

The WET analysis determined that the value to society of Cold Spring Brook Pond is "high" for Wildlife Diversity and Abundance, as well as for Uniqueness and Heritage. The remainder of the evaluated WET parameters were rated "low" in social significance. In terms of effectiveness, WET scored Cold Spring Brook Pond as "high" for Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal/ Transformation, and Wildlife Breeding and Migration, and as "moderate" for Groundwater Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, and Production Export. The effectiveness of Cold Spring Brook Pond to provide several other functions and values was rated as "low" by WET. Of the three functions/values evaluated for Opportunity, the opportunity for Cold Spring Pond to perform the Sediment/ Toxicant Retention and Nutrient Removal/Transformation functions is rated as "high" by WET. Cold Spring Pond has the opportunity to provide these functions because of the proximity of the adjacent landfill. Floodflow Alteration is rated as "moderate" by WET based upon the high percentage of the watershed this wetland occupies.

The WET functional assessment is included as Appendix O. In addition, this appendix includes a detailed narrative discussion interpreting the results of the WET analysis.

2.3 FIELD INVESTIGATION PROGRAM AT GROVE POND

Surface water and sediment samples were collected from five predesignated locations along the western side of Grove Pond (Figure 2-9). Each sample location was given two site IDs, GRW-92-01X/GRD-92-01X, GRW-92-02X/ GRD-92-02X, GRW-92-03X/GRD-92-03X, GRW-92-04X/GRD-92-04X, and GRW-92-05X/GRD-92-05X. The first site ID was for the surface water sample and the second for the sediment.

The surface water samples were collected in the following manner: total VOCs were monitored by PID above the surface water, and temperature, pH, and specific conductance were monitored in situ. Prior to sample collection, all water sample jars (except VOC vials) were triple rinsed with sample water. The appropriate pre-labeled sample jars were then filled by direct immersion

immediately below the surface of the water. Water samples were preserved in the field in accordance with the requirements of the POP (ABB-ES, 1992b) and stored on ice in coolers prior to and during shipment to the laboratory. All surface water samples were analyzed for PAL VOCs, SVOCs, pesticides and PCBs, total inorganics, TOC, TSS, TDS, and alkalinity.

Upon completion of surface water sampling, a sediment sample was collected from the water sediment interface at the same location as the surface water sample. Samples were collected with either a hand auger or an Ekman dredge and placed in pre-labeled sample jars. All sampling equipment was decontaminated prior to use at each sample location. Sediment samples were stored on ice in coolers before shipment to the laboratory. Reference samples were sent to the laboratory to be tested for grain-size distribution. All sediment samples were analyzed for PAL VOCs, SVOCs, pesticides and PCBs, total inorganics, and TOC. Results of grain-size distribution analyses are summarized in Table 2-11. Complete results of grain-size analyses are provided in Appendix D.

2.4 FIELD INVESTIGATION PROGRAM AT NEW CRANBERRY POND

2.4.1 Macroinvertebrate Sampling

A semiquantitative inventory of macroinvertebrates was conducted at three sampling stations in New Cranberry Pond (Figure 2-10). New Cranberry Pond served as the "reference station" for macroinvertebrate samples collected from Plow Shop Pond and Cold Spring Brook Pond. At each sampling station, two duplicate macroinvertebrate samples from vegetation ("phytomacrofauna") and two duplicate samples from sediment ("benthic infauna") were collected. In siting the individual sampling stations, an attempt was made to confirm that the stations were as comparable as possible with respect to water movement, substrate composition, canopy coverage, and water depth. All macroinvertebrate samples were collected in September 1992, and processed as described in Subsection 2.1.11.

Information regarding the physical attributes of the aquatic habitat (including nature of the substrate and vegetative characteristics) and water quality

parameters (i.e., dissolved oxygen, temperature, pH, and conductivity) was also collected at each sampling station.

Appendix P contains the results of the macroinvertebrate analyses.

2.4.2 Sediment Sampling

One shallow sediment sample was collected at each of the three macroinvertebrate sampling stations in New Cranberry Pond to document sediment characteristics (see Figure 2-10). Sampling locations were given the site IDs CRD-92-01X, CRD-92-02X, and CRD-92-03X. Samples were collected from the water sediment interface with a hand auger and placed in pre-labeled sample jars. All sampling equipment was decontaminated before use at each sample location. Sediment samples were stored on ice in coolers prior to shipment to the laboratory. All sediment samples were tested for PAL VOCs, SVOCs, pesticides and PCBs, explosives, total inorganics, and TOC. Complete results of grain-size analyses are provided in Appendix D.

2.5 Analytical Program

Analytical samples were collected from surface soil, subsurface soil, groundwater, surface water, and sediment and submitted for laboratory analyses to identify contaminants that were expected, based on available information about conditions and operations, to be encountered at Shepley's Hill and Cold Spring Brook Landfills. The program included an extensive range of organic and inorganic analytes. The specific analyses performed on samples are discussed in Subsection 2.5.1 of this report.

2.5.1 Analytical Parameters

Soil, sediment, and groundwater samples were analyzed for Fort Devens PAL analytes. Laboratory analyses for the PAL organics, inorganics, and explosives are similar to USEPA analytical support Level III quality data (Contract Laboratory Program [CLP] Routine Analytical Services [RAS]). The Fort Devens PAL is presented in Appendix G.

The primary laboratory used for the Delivery Order 0004 analytical program was Environmental Science and Engineering, Inc. (ESE) of Gainesville, Florida, an USAEC-approved laboratory.

A list of USAEC performance demonstrated methods used for analyses of PAL analytes in samples collected during the Group 1A supplemental field investigation is provided in Table 2-12. The table includes a description of the methods used as well as equivalent USEPA methods where they exist. The method numbers (e.g., method JS16) are specific to the project and to the particular laboratory performing the analyses.

2.5.2 Quality Assurance/Quality Control

All water and soil environmental samples collected from the Shepley's Hill Landfill at Fort Devens Army Base were submitted to a USAEC Contractor Laboratory. The laboratory used for this project was ESE Laboratories, Gainesville, Florida. Laboratories performing this work must effectively implement the USAEC QA Program (USATHAMA, 1990).

2.5.2.1 Laboratory Certification. In accordance with the QA Program, laboratories must demonstrate proficiency in conducting chemical analysis for specific analytes. Analytical methods are listed with a brief description in Table H9 of Appendix H. Laboratories gain approval by first submitting data from runs of calibration standards. Performance samples are then sent for analysis to the laboratory from USAEC. The concentrations of the analytes in these samples are unknown by the laboratory. The performance data are then sent to USAEC where the precision and accuracy of the analyses are determined. Approval is either awarded to or denied the laboratory based on this performance. A method code is assigned to each method and reported with results.

Some methods such as alkalinity, TOC and TSS do not require performance demonstration. USAEC recognizes standard USEPA protocols or internal laboratory methods for these parameters. Laboratories are required to submit information on procedures for analyzing samples using these methods to the USAEC Chemistry Branch before they are implemented.

2.5.2.2 Laboratory Methods Quality Control. The laboratory organizes all submitted samples into lots which are assigned a three or four digit code using letters of the alphabet. Each lot consists of the maximum number of samples, including QC samples that can be processed through the rate limiting step of the method during a single time period (not exceeding 24 hours). Lots may consist of samples from multiple installations provided the data quality objectives are the same. The rate limiting step is usually determined by time or equipment limitations.

Associated with each lot are laboratory control samples. Control samples are spikes of both high and low concentration of specific analytes that help monitor laboratory precision and accuracy. The recoveries of these spikes are plotted on control charts generated by the laboratory and submitted to USAEC. Data generated from the certification process are used to calculate a mean of the recoveries. Control and warning limits are statistically generated by the USAEC Chemistry Branch to help measure laboratory data quality. Certified Reporting Limits (CRLs) are also determined from this process. CRLs for each particular analyte are listed in Tables H-10 through H-16 of Appendix H.

Method blanks are also run at the laboratory to evaluate the potential for target analytes to be introduced during the processing and analysis of samples. One method blank is included in each analytical lot. Method blank results are found in Tables H-7 and H-24 of Appendix H.

2.5.2.3 Data Reduction and Evaluation. Initial responsibility for accuracy and completeness of data packages rests with the laboratory itself. All data submissions to USAEC must first undergo a review process. This review includes checks on the data quality which evaluate completeness of laboratory data, accuracy of reporting limits, compliance with quality control limits and holding times, and correlation of laboratory data to associated laboratory tests.

The following items are also reviewed before being submitted to USAEC:

- Chain of custody records.
- Instrument printouts to see if these agree with handwritten results.

- Calibration records to ensure a particular lot is associated with only on calibration.
- Chromatograms and explanations for operator corrective actions (such as manual integrations).
- Standard preparation and documentation of source.
- Calculations on selected samples.
- Notebooks and sheets of paper to ensure all pages are dated and initialed, and explanations of procedure changes.
- GC/MS library search of unknown compounds.
- Transfer files and records to ensure agreement with analysis results.

To document the data review and evaluation process a data review checklist is submitted as part of the data package.

2.5.2.4 Data Reporting. Once the data have undergone review and evaluation by the laboratory they are encoded for transmission into USAEC's IRDMIS (Installation Restoration Data Management Information System) as Level 1 data. Once into the system the data are subjected to a group and records check.

Data are then transferred to an army data management contractor. During this phase the data are considered to be "Level 2." Another group and records check is performed and data are reviewed by the USAEC Chemistry Branch. If errors are identified the data are returned to the laboratory for correction. Once data have been reviewed by the USAEC Chemistry Branch the determination is made on a lot by lot basis whether the data are acceptable. The data that are accepted are then elevated to Level 3. The data is available to USAEC personnel and contractors by modem to a main frame computer. Appendix U is a computer diskette that contains Level 3 data files for samples collected during Data Gap Activities at Group 1A sites.

2.5.2.5 Field Quality Control Samples. Quality control samples collected in the field include matrix spikes, matrix spike duplicates, rinsate blanks, trip blanks, a field blank, and duplicate samples.

Matrix spikes and matrix spike duplicates (MS/MSDs) were collected at a rate of one set per twenty samples. Site investigators made the determination of which samples were to be designated as MS/MSDs. This was noted on the chain of custody forms submitted to the laboratory. The samples designated as MS/MSDs were spiked at the laboratory with target analytes to see what matrix effects may have occurred on the target analytes. MS/MSD results are presented in Appendix H.

Duplicate samples were collected at the same rate as MS/MSDs. The samples were submitted to the laboratory to be analyzed for the same chemicals as the corresponding primary samples. The purpose of submitting these samples is to assess laboratory precision for a particular method. Duplicate sample results are presented in Appendix H.

At the beginning of the site investigation a sample of USAEC approved water was collected. This was identified as the field blank. The information gained from the analysis of this blank provides data on the quality of the USAEC approved water used in the decontamination of the sampling equipment. Field blank information can be used to explain the presence of certain analytes or compounds in the rinsate blanks. A field blank collected in the spring of 1993 was analyzed to make this determination. Field blank results are summarized by method in Appendix H.

Rinsate blanks were collected and analyzed for VOCs, SVOCs, inorganics, pesticides/PCBs, explosives and other methodologies including alkalinity, hardness, TDS, TOC and TSS. They were collected by running laboratory "chemically pure" deionized water through the sampling apparatus that was used to collect the samples. Analysis of this water provides information used to evaluate the potential for sample contamination during sample collection. The results determine also whether an adequate job is being done during the decontamination of the equipment. Rinsate blanks were collected at a rate of one per twenty samples per decontamination event.

For every shipment of VOA samples sent to the laboratory, there is an accompanying pair of trip blanks that travel with the samples. A trip blank is a VOA sample container previously filled at the laboratory with chemically pure water. Once the trip blanks are received back, they are analyzed for VOCs to determine whether there is cross contamination during shipment.

2.5.2.6 Analytical Data Quality Evaluation. Group 1A laboratory data collected during the 1992-1993 sampling at Fort Devens were evaluated for possible laboratory or sampling-related contamination. This evaluation did not include validation by USEPA guidelines. Sample results were not adjusted for reported analytes that were also detected at similar concentrations in blanks associated with that sample. Action levels were not established and the 10X rule was not applied to compounds considered to be common laboratory contaminants as defined by the USEPA. These contaminants include the VOCs acetone, methylene chloride, toluene and SVOC phthalate esters (i.e. bis (2-Ethylhexyl) phthalate). Action levels for other analytes using the 5X rule application were not established. Analytes which would have been below these action levels were not removed from the data as they would be in the USEPA validation process. All data appears as it was reported. None of the data has been rejected and removed.

General trends relating to blank and sample contamination were examined. Comparison of blank data with results from the entire data set are discussed as a data assessment. Assessments are made based on analyte detection in blanks, the frequency of this detection and the concentrations of these analytes. These assessments are made in Appendix H.

2.6 CHEMICAL DATA MANAGEMENT

Chemical data were managed by ABB-ES' Sample Management System and the USAEC's IRDMIS. These systems are described in the following subsections.

2.6.1 Sample Tracking System

ABB-ES employed its computerized Sample Management System to track environmental samples from field collection to shipment to the laboratory. ABB-ES also tracked the status of analyses and reporting by the laboratory.

Each day, the field sampling teams carried computer-generated sample labels into the field that stated the sample control number, sample identification, size and type of container, sample preservation summary, analysis method code, and sample medium. The labels also provided space for sampling date and time and the collector's initials to be added at the time of collection.

Samples were temporarily stored in the ABB-ES field office refrigerator. They were checked-in on the computer, and the collector's initials and the sampling date and time were entered. The system would then indicate the sample status as "COLLECTION IN PROGRESS."

When the samples were prepared for shipment, they were "RELEASED" by the sample management system. Upon request, the system printed an Analysis Request Form and a COC form , which were signed and included with the samples in the shipment. The system would then indicate the sample status as "SENT TO LAB."

This system substantially reduced the time required for preparation of sample tracking documentation, and it provided an automated record of sample status.

After shipment of samples to the laboratory, ABB-ES continued to track and record the status of the samples, including the date analyzed (to establish actual holding times), the date a transfer file was established by ESE, and the date the data were sent to IRDMIS (see Subsection 2.6.2)

2.6.2 Installation Restoration Data Management Information System

IRDMIS is an integrated system for collection, validation, storage, retrieval, and presentation of data of the USAEC's Installation Restoration and Base Closure Program. It uses personal computers (PCs), a UNIX-based minicomputer, printers, plotters, and communications networks to link these devices.

For each sample lot, ABB-ES developed a "provisional" map file for the sample locations, which was entered into IRDMIS by PRI, USAEC's data management contractor.

Following analysis of the sample lot, ESE created chemical files using data codes provided by ABB-ES, and entered the analytical results (Level 1) on a PC in

accordance with the User's Manual (PRI, 1993). For each sample lot, a hard copy was printed which was reviewed and checked by ESE's Laboratory Program Manager. ESE created a transfer file from accepted records that was sent to ABB-ES (Level 2). ABB-ES performed a group and record check and sent approved records in a chemical transfer file to PRI. PRI checked the data and, if accepted, entered it into the IRDMIS minicomputer (Level 3). Level 3 chemical data are the data used for evaluating site conditions and are the data used in reports and decision-making.

2.7 INVESTIGATION DERIVED WASTE

Wastes were generated in association with personal protection, drilling, monitoring well construction and development, sampling, and decontamination.

Soil brought to the ground surface by drilling was segregated into piles for each 5-foot depth. A soil headspace PID measurement was taken from each pile in accordance with the POP. All piles had headspace measurements at background concentrations and were discarded at the drilling locations.

Drilling water circulated back to the ground surface, well development purge water, and decontamination fluids were collected in drums. A headspace measurement was made by PID on water from each drum. All drums had headspace values at background concentrations and were discharged at the point of collection.

All pre-sampling purge water was discharged at the point of collection.




FIGURE 2-1
SEDIMENT SAMPLING LOCATIONS
PLOW SHOP POND
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ABB Environmental Services, Inc.

9307007D




FIGURE 2-2
SHALLOW GROUNDWATER AND SOIL SAMPLE LOCATIONS - NONACOICUS BROOK WETLAND REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES FORT DEVENS, MA

ABB Environmental Services, Inc.

9303022D 1S6N






FIGURE 2-3
SEISMIC REFRACTION SURVEY
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ABB Environmental Services, Inc.


9303022D 1S67J




SEISMIC REFRACTION LINE 1



SEISMIC REFRACTION LINE 2



SEISMIC REFRACTION LINE 3



SEISMIC REFRACTION LINE 4

LEGEND

V1 = 800 TO 1,600 fps. LOOSE, UNCONSOLIDATED AND UNSATURATED OVERBURDEN.

V2 = 4,000 TO 5,200 fps. SATURATED, UNCONSOLIDATED OVERBURDEN.

V3 = 12,000 TO 18,000 fps. BEDROCK, HIGHER VALUES MAY INDICATE RELATIVELY MORE COMPETENT ZONES; LOWER VALUE MAY INDICATE WEATHERED AND/OR FRACTURED ZONES

NOTES

1. GROUND SURFACE IS FROM SURVEY DATA PROVIDED BY HOWE SURVEYING ASSOCIATES, NO. CHELMSFORD, MA.

2. SEISMIC VELOCITY VALUES ARE IN FEET PER SECOND.

3. DASHED LINES INDICATE SOME UNCERTAINTY IN THE INTERPRETATION OF SEISMIC DATA.

4. VERTICAL EXAGGERATION IS 2:1.

FIGURE 2-4
SEISMIC REFRACTION PROFILES
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FT. DEVENS, MA

ABB Environmental Services, Inc.

9303028D




FIGURE 2-5
LANDFILL GAS MONITORING LOCATIONS
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ABB Environmental Services, Inc.

9303022D 1SEC6




FIGURE 2-6
MONITORING WELL LOCATIONS - SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ABB Environmental Services, Inc.

9303022D 1ST




FIGURE 2-7
FISH SAMPLING LOCATIONS
PLOW SHOP POND
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ABB Environmental Services, Inc.

9303022D 1S6U





FIGURE 2-8
SAMPLING LOCATIONS
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ABB Environmental Services, Inc.

9305033D 234 9



SCALE IN FEET

0 500 1000

FIGURE 2-9
SURFACE WATER AND SEDIMENT SAMPLING LOCATIONS - GROVE POND
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ABB Environmental Services, Inc.

9303022D 1S6AA




FIGURE 2-10
MACROINVERTEBRATE / SEDIMENT CHARACTERIZATION SAMPLE LOCATIONS
NEW CRANBERRY POND
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ABB Environmental Services, Inc.

9306037D 1

TABLE 2-1
SOIL CLASSIFICATION OF SEDIMENT SAMPLES
PLOW SHOP POND

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

SAMPLE ID	SAMPLE DEPTH	USCS SYMBOL[1]	GRAVEL[2] DRY WT %	SAND[2] DRY WT %	FINES[2] DRY WT %	COMMENTS
SHD-92-01X	0	PT				Sample could not be tested due to high organic content
SHD-92-01X	3	PT	0	38	62	
SHD-92-01X	5	SW	0	98	2	
SHD-92-02X	0-5	PT				Sample could not be tested due to high organic content
SHD-92-03X	0	PT				Sample could not be tested due to high organic content
SHD-92-04X	1	PT				Sample could not be tested due to high organic content
SHD-92-05X	0-4	PT				Sample could not be tested due to high organic content
SHD-92-06X	0-5	PT				Sample could not be tested due to high organic content
SHD-92-07X	0-3	PT				Sample could not be tested due to high organic content
SHD-92-08X	0-7	PT				Sample could not be tested due to high organic content
SHD-92-09X	0-5	PT				Sample could not be tested due to high organic content
SHD-92-10X	0-5	PT				Sample could not be tested due to high organic content
SHD-92-11X	0-5	PT				Sample could not be tested due to high organic content
SHD-92-12X	0-3	PT				Sample could not be tested due to high organic content
SHD-92-12X	4	PT	0	83	18	
SHD-92-13X	0-5	PT				Sample could not be tested due to high organic content
SHD-92-14X	0-5	PT				Sample could not be tested due to high organic content
SHD-92-15X	0-5	PT				Sample could not be tested due to high organic content
SHD-92-16X	0-5	PT				Sample could not be tested due to high organic content
SHD-92-17X	0-5	PT				Sample could not be tested due to high organic content
SHD-92-18X	0-3	PT				Sample could not be tested due to high organic content
SHD-92-18X	5	PT-SW	4	91	5	
SHD-92-19X	0	PT	8	87	5	
SHD-92-19X	2	GW	36	64	0	Not large enough to be representative of particle size
SHD-92-20X	0-5	PT-SW				Sample could not be tested due to high organic content
SHD-92-21X	0-7	PT				Sample could not be tested due to high organic content
SHD-92-22X	0-3	PT				Sample could not be tested due to high organic content
SHD-92-23X	0-5	PT				Sample could not be tested due to high organic content
SHD-92-24X	0-5	PT				Sample could not be tested due to high organic content
SHD-92-25X	0-5	PT				Sample could not be tested due to high organic content
SHD-92-26X	0	SW-GW	55	42	3	Not large enough to be representative of particle size

TABLE 2-1
SOIL CLASSIFICATION OF SEDIMENT SAMPLES
PLOW SHOP POND

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

SAMPLE ID	SAMPLE DEPTH	USCS SYMBOL[1]	GRAVEL[2] DRY WT %	SAND[2] DRY WT %	FINES[2] DRY WT %	COMMENTS
SHD-92-27X	0	SP	2	93	5	
SHD-92-28X	0	SP-SM	1	92	7	

Notes:
USCS = Unified soil classification system
DRY WT % = Dry weight percentage
[1] In absence of grain size distribution analyses USCS classifications were determined from field data
[2] Weight percentage of soil fraction = 100 x dry weight of soil fraction/total dry weight of soil
GW = well graded gravel
PT = peat
SM = silty sand to sandy silt
SP = poorly graded sand
SW = well graded sand

TABLE 2-2
CLASSIFICATION OF SOIL SAMPLES
NONACOICUS BROOK

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

SAMPLE ID	SAMPLE DEPTH	USCS[1] SYMBOL	GRAVEL[2] DRY WT %	SAND[2] DRY WT %	FINES[2] DRY WT %	COMMENTS
SHD-92-29X	0	SP-SM	0	76	24	Not large enough to be representative of particle size
SHD-92-29X	2	SW-GW	24	69	7	
SHD-92-30X	0	SW-SM	2	68	10	
SHD-92-30X	2	SW	11	81	7	
SHD-92-31X	0	SM	1	24	75	
SHD-92-31X	1	SP-SM	2	76	23	
SHD-92-32X	0					Sample not received by lab
SHD-92-32X	1	SM	0	68	32	

Notes:

USCS = Unified soil classification system

DRY WT % = Dry weight percentage

[1] In absence of grain size distribution analyses USCS classifications were determined from field data

[2] Weight percentage of soil fraction = 100 x dry weight of soil fraction/total dry weight of soil

SM = silty sand to sandy silt

SP = poorly graded sand

SW = well graded sand

TABLE 2-3
SUMMARY OF SOIL BORINGS
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

EXPLORATION ID	COMPLETION DEPTH (Feet bgs)	REFERENCE SAMPLE INTERVALS (Feet bgs)	ANALYTICAL SAMPLES COLLECTED	SOIL TYPE[1] (USCS)	TOTAL VOCs BY PID (ppm)	COMMENTS
SHM-93-01A	26	0-2		SP	BKG	
		5-7		SP	BKG	
		10-12		SP	BKG	
		15-17	20-22	SP-SW	BKG	
		20-22		SP	BKG	
		25-25.5		SP-SM	BKG	
SHM-93-10C	36.5	0-2		SP	BKG	
		5-7		SP	BKG	
		10-12		SP	BKG	
		15-17		SP	BKG	
		20-22		SP	BKG	
		25-27		SP	BKG	
		30-32		SP-SM	BKG	
		35-36.5		SP-SM	BKG	
SHM-93-18B	93.5	0-2		SP	BKG	
		5-7		SP	BKG	
		10-12		SP-SM	BKG	
		15-17		SW-SM TO SP	BKG	
		20-22		SP	BKG	
		25-27		SP	BKG	
		30-32		SP	BKG	
		33-37		SP	BKG	
		40-42		SP	BKG	
		45-47		SP	BKG	
		50-52			BKG	soils ran out of spoon when retrieved
		55-57			BKG	soils ran out of spoon when retrieved
		58-60			BKG	no recovery - sands ran out of spoon
		69-71		SP	BKG	
		74-76		SP	BKG	
		78-80		SW-SP	BKG	
		83-85		SP	BKG	
		88-90	88-90	SP	BKG	

TABLE 2-3
SUMMARY OF SOIL BORINGS
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

EXPLORATION ID	COMPLETION DEPTH (Feet bgs)	REFERENCE SAMPLE INTERVALS (Feet bgs)	ANALYTICAL SAMPLES COLLECTED	SOIL TYPE[1] (USCS)	TOTAL VOCs BY PID (ppm)	COMMENTS
SHM-93-22C	135					no split-spoons collected (see soil boring log for SHL-22 installed by Ecology and Environment)
SHM-93-24A	24	0-2		SW	BKG	
		5-7		SW	BKG	
		10-12		SP	BKG	
		15-17	15-17	SP	BKG	
		20-22		SP	BKG	
SHB-93-01X	25	0-5		SM	BKG	
		5-10		SP-SM	BKG	
		10-15		SP-SM	BKG	
		15-20		SP-SM	BKG	
		20-25		SP-SM	BKG	
		25-26		SP-SM	BKG	

Notes:
bgs = below background surface
VOCs = Volatile organic compound
USCS = Unified soil classification system
[1] USCS classifications determined from boring logs
ppm = parts per million
SM = silty sand to sandy silt
SP = poorly graded sand
SW = well graded sand
BKG = Total VOCs by PID equivalent to or below background levels.
Total VOCs were measured with a PID in ambient air periodically during the course of work.

TABLE 2-4
MONITORING WELL COMPLETION DETAILS
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

WELL IDENTIFICATION	SOIL DRILLING METHOD	BEDROCK DRILLING METHOD	MEDIA SCREENED (USCS)	WELL SCREEN DEPTH (Feet bgs)	WELL SCREEN ELEVATION (Feet NGVD)	COMPLETION DEPTH (Feet bgs)	CONSTRUCTION MATERIAL (SCH 40 PVC)
SHM-93-01A	HOLLOW STEM AUGER	NA	SP-SW	15.5-25.5	226.2-216.2	26	4" ID PVC
SHM-93-10C	DRIVE AND WASH	CORE AND OVERREAM	ROCK	44-54	203.1-193.1	59.5	4" ID PVC
SHM-93-18B	DRIVE AND WASH/ HOLLOW STEM AUGER	NA	SP-SW	78.5-88.5	157.7-147.7	93.5	4" ID PVC
SHM-93-22C	DRIVE AND WASH	CORE AND OVERREAM	ROCK	124.3-134.3	93.6-83.6	134.9	4" ID PVC
SHM-93-24A	HOLLOW STEM AUGER	NA	SP	13.2-23.2	222.3-212.3	24	4" ID PVC

Notes:
bgs = below ground surface
ID = inside diameter
NA = not applicable
NGVD = National Geodetic Vertical Datum
PVC = polyvinyl chloride
SCH = schedule
SP = poorly graded sand
SW = well graded sand
USCS = Unified Soil Classification System

TABLE 2-5
SUMMARY OF WATER LEVELS AND HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITIES
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

WELL ID	ELEVATION[1]	DEPTH TO WATER[2] (From pvc)	ELEVATION OF WATER (Feet NGVD)	HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY	
				HVORSLEV[3] (cm/sec)	BOUWER & RICE[3] (cm/sec)
SHM-93-01A	243.4	21.24	220.6	1.4E-03	7E-03
SHM-93-10C	248.79	29.79	218.32	2.9E-05	2E-04
SHM-93-18B	238.38	18.47	218.78	5.4E-04	4E-03
SHM-93-22C	219.76	6.64	211.41	4.9E-08	6E-06
SHM-93-24A	237.53	15.42	220.49	1.6E-02	4E-02

Notes:
bgs = below ground surface
cm/sec = centimeters per second
NGVD = National Geodetic Vertical Datum
1 = elevation of pvc
2 = measurements collected on June 21, 1993
3 = averaged value of two tests

TABLE 2-6
SOIL CLASSIFICATION OF SEDIMENT SAMPLES
COLD SPRING BROOK POND

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

SAMPLE ID	SAMPLE DEPTH	USCS[1] SYMBOL	GRAVEL[2] DRY WT %	SAND[2] DRY WT %	FINES[2] DRY WT %	COMMENTS
CSD-92-01X	0	PT				Sample could not be tested due to high organic content
CSD-92-01X	2	SW	13	83	4	
CSD-92-01X	3	SM-SW	1	91	9	
CSD-92-02X	0	PT				Sample could not be tested due to high organic content
CSD-92-02X	2	SP	4	92	5	
CSD-92-02X	4	SP	2	96	3	
CSD-92-03X	0	PT	1	81	18	
CSD-92-03X	3	SM	1	84	15	
CSD-92-03X	5	SM	0	30	70	
CSD-92-04X	0	SP-SM-PT	12	80	9	not large enough to be representative of particle size
CSD-92-04X	3	SP-SM	0	83	17	
CSD-92-04X	5	SM	0	29	71	
CSD-92-05X	0	PT-SM	0	80	20	
CSD-92-05X	2	SP	0	94	6	
CSD-92-05X	4	SM	0	31	69	
CSD-92-06X	0	PT-SM-SP	0	77	23	
CSD-92-06X	2	SM	2	76	22	
CSD-92-06X	4	SM	0	32	68	
CSD-92-07X	0-2	PT				Sample could not be tested due to high organic content
CSD-92-08X	0-2	PT				Sample could not be tested due to high organic content
CSD-92-09X	0-5	PT-SP-SM				Sample could not be tested due to high organic content
CSD-92-10X	0	SP-SM	0	81	20	
CSD-92-10X	2	SP	7	82	11	
CSD-92-11X	0	SM				Sample could not be tested due to high organic content
CSD-92-12X	0	SM	0	30	70	
CSD-92-13X	0	SM	0	75	25	

Notes:
USCS = Unified soil classification system
DRY WT % = Dry weight percentage
[1] In absence of grain size distribution analyses USCS classifications were determined from field data
[2] Weight percentage of soil fraction = 100 x dry weight of soil fraction/total dry weight of soil
PT = peat
SM = silty sand to sandy silt
SP = poorly graded sand
SW = well graded sand

TABLE 2-7
CLASSIFICATION OF SOIL SAMPLES
MAGAZINE AREA (ASP)

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

SAMPLE ID	SAMPLE DEPTH	USCS SYMBOL[1]	GRAVEL[2] DRY WT %	SAND[2] DRY WT %	FINES[2] DRY WT %	COMMENTS
MAD-92-01X	0	PT				Sample could not be tested due to high organic content
MAD-92-02X	0	SW-SM	34	54	12	
MAD-92-03X	0	PT				Sample could not be tested due to high organic content

Notes:

USCS = Unified soil classification system

DRY WT % = Dry weight percentage

[1] In absence of grain size distribution analyses USCS classifications were determined from field data

[2] Weight percentage of soil fraction = 100 x dry weight of soil fraction/total dry weight of soil

PT = peat

SM = silty sand to sandy silt

SP = poorly graded sand

ASP = Ammunition Supply Program

TABLE 2-8
SUMMARY OF SOIL BORINGS
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

EXPLORATION ID	COMPLETION DEPTH (Feet bgs)	REFERENCE SAMPLE INTERVALS (Feet bgs)	ANALYTICAL SAMPLES COLLECTED	SOIL TYPE (USCS)	TOTAL VOCs BY PID (ppm)	COMMENTS
CSM-93-01A	65.5	15-16		GM	BKG	
		22-24		SW	BKG	
		24-26		SW	BKG	
		28-30	28-30	SW	BKG	some granite
		34.5-36.5		PT	BKG	and brick in wash
		36.5-38.5		PT	BKG	
		42.5-44.5		SM	BKG	some wood in wash
		49-51		SM	BKG	
		51-53		SW	BKG	
		59-61		SP-SM	BKG	
		65.5-67.5		SP-SM	BKG	
CSM-93-02A	129.6	10-12		SP	BKG	
		15-17		SP	BKG	
		20-22		SP	BKG	
		25-27	25-27	SW	BKG	
		30-32		SW	BKG	
		35-37		SW	BKG	
		40-42		SP-SM	BKG	
		45-47		SP-SM	BKG	no sample taken - due to flowing sands
		50-52		SP	BKG	
		55-57	55-57	SW	BKG	
		60-62		SW	BKG	
		65-67		SW	BKG	no sample taken - due to flowing sands
		70-72		SW	BKG	no sample taken - due to flowing sands
		75-77		SW	BKG	no sample taken - due to flowing sands

TABLE 2-8
SUMMARY OF SOIL BORINGS
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

EXPLORATION ID	COMPLETION DEPTH (Feet bgs)	REFERENCE SAMPLE INTERVALS (Feet bgs)	ANALYTICAL SAMPLES COLLECTED	SOIL TYPE (USCS)	TOTAL VOCs BY PID (ppm)	COMMENTS
		80-82		SW	BKG	
		85-87		SW	BKG	no recovery - material in spoon appears to be wash
		90-92		SW	BKG	
		95-97		SW	BKG	
		100-102		SW	BKG	
		105-107		SP	BKG	
		110-112		SW-GW	BKG	
		115-117		SW	BKG	
		120-122		SW	BKG	no recovery
		125-127		SW	BKG	
		129		SW-GW	BKG	
CSM-93-02B	68				BKG	no soil samples collected - monitoring well installation

Notes:

bgs = below background surface

GM = medium graded gravel

GW = well graded gravel

PT = peat

ppm = parts per million

SM = silty sand to sandy silt

SP = poorly graded sand

SW = well graded sand

USCS = Unified soil classification system

VOCs = Volatile organic compounds

BKG = Total VOCs by PID equivalent to or below background levels. Total VOCs were measured with a PID in ambient air periodically during the course of work.

TABLE 2-9
MONITORING WELL COMPLETION DETAILS
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

WELL IDENTIFICATION	SOIL DRILLING METHOD	BEDROCK DRILLING METHOD	MEDIA SCREENED (USCS)	WELL SCREEN DEPTH (Feet bgs)	WELL SCREEN ELEVATION (Feet NGVD)	COMPLETION DEPTH (Feet bgs)	CONSTRUCTION MATERIAL (SCH 40 PVC)
CSM-93-01A	DRIVE AND WASH	NA	SW-SP-SM	53.6-63.6	201.3-191.3	65.5	4" ID PVC
CSM-93-02A	HOLLOW STEM AUGER	NA	SP-SW	21.5-31.5	241.2-231.2	33	4" ID PVC
CSM-93-02B	HOLLOW STEM AUGER	NA	SW	57-67	205.5-195.5	68	4" ID PVC

Notes:
bgs = below ground surface
ID = inside diameter
NA = not applicable
NGVD = National Geodetic Vertical Datum
PVC = polyvinyl chloride
SCH = schedule
SM = silty sand to sandy silt
SP = poorly graded sand
SW = well graded sand
USCS = Unified Soil Classification System

TABLE 2-10
SUMMARY OF WATER LEVELS AND HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITIES
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

WELL ID	ELEVATION[1]	DEPTH TO WATER[2] (From pvc)	ELEVATION OF WATER (Feet NGVD)	HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY	
				HVORSLEV[3] (cm/sec)	BOUWER & RICE[3] (cm/sec)
CSM-93-01A	256.18	15.99	240.62	4.6E-04	6E-03
CSM-93-02A	264.82	24.64	240.09	8.2E-04	2E-03
CSM-93-02B	264.09	24.42	240.1	2.7E-03	1E-02

Notes:
bgs = below ground surface
cm/sec = centimeters per second
NGVD = National Geodetic Vertical Datum
1 = elevation of pvc
2 = measurements collected on June 21, 1993
3 = averaged value of two tests

TABLE 2-11
SOIL CLASSIFICATION OF SEDIMENT SAMPLES
GROVE POND

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

SAMPLE ID	SAMPLE DEPTH	USCS SYMBOL[1]	GRAVEL[2] DRY WT %	SAND[2] DRY WT %	FINES[2] DRY WT %	COMMENTS
GRD-92-01X	0	SM	1	72	27	
GRD-92-02X	0					No sample supplied to lab for grain size analysis
GRD-92-03X	0					No sample supplied to lab for grain size analysis
GRD-92-04X	0	GW-SW	28	65	7	not large enough to be representative of particle size
GRD-92-05X	0					sample could not be tested due to high organic content

Notes:

USCS = Unified soil classification system

DRY WT % = Dry weight percentage

[1] In absence of grain size distribution analyses USCS classifications were determined from field data

[2] Weight percentage of soil fraction = 100 x dry weight of soil fraction/total dry weight of soil

SM = silty sand to sandy silt

SW = well graded sand

GW = well graded gravel

TABLE 2-12
AEC CERTIFIED METHODS AND USEPA EQUIVALENTS

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

AEC METHOD NUMBER	COMPARABLE USEPA METHOD NUMBER	METHOD DESCRIPTION
JB01	7471	Mercury in Soil by CVAA.
JD15	7740	Selenium in Soil by GFAA.
JD16	7911	Vanadium in Soil by GFAA.
JD17	7421	Lead in Soil by GFAA.
JD18	7761	Silver in Soil by GFAA.
JD19	7060	Arsenic in Soil by GFAA.
JS16	6010	Metals in Soil by ICP.
LH10	8080	Organochlorine Pesticides in Soil by GC-EC.
LH11	8150	Herbicides in Soil by GC-EC.
LH16	8080	PCBs in Soil by GC-EC.
LM18	8270	Extractable Organics in Soil by GC/MS.
LM19	8240	Volatile Organics in Soil by GC/MS.
LW12	8090	Nitroaromatics in Soil by HPLC.
SB01	245.1	Mercury in Water by CVAA.
SD20	239.2	Lead in Water by GFAA.
SD21	270.2	Selenium in Water by GFAA.
SD22	206.2	Arsenic in Water by GFAA.
SD23	272.2	Silver in Water by GFAA.
SS10	200.7	Metals in Water by ICAP.
TF22	300.0	Nitrate/Nitrite in Water by Auto Analyzer.
TF26	351.2	TKN in Water by Autoanalyzer.
TF27	365.1	Total Phosphate in Water by Autoanalyzer.
TT10	300.0	Anions in Water by IC.
UH02	608	PCBs in Water by GC.
UH13	608	Organochlorine Pesticides in Water by GC.
UH14	615	Herbicides in Water by HPLC.
UM18	625	Extractable Organics in Water by GC/MS.
UM20	624	Volatiles in Water by GC/MS.
UW19		PETN/Nitroglycerin in Water.
UW32	609	Nitroaromatics in Water by HPLC.

3.0 PHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS

The climate, vegetation, ecology, physiography, soils, surficial and bedrock geology, and regional hydrogeology of Fort Devens are described in the subsections that follow.

Fort Devens is located in the towns of Ayer and Shirley (Middlesex County) and Harvard and Lancaster (Worcester County), approximately 35 miles northwest of Boston, Massachusetts. It lies within the Ayer, Shirley, and Clinton map quadrangles (7½-minute series). The installation occupies approximately 9,260 acres and is divided into the North Post, the Main Post, and the South Post (Figure 3-1).

More than 6,000 acres at Fort Devens are used for training and military maneuvers, and more than 3,000 acres are developed for housing, buildings, and other facilities; the installation has been reported as the largest undeveloped land holding under a single owner in north-central Massachusetts (USFWS, 1992).

The South Post is located south of Massachusetts Route 2 and is largely undeveloped. The Main Post and North Post primarily contain developed lands, including recreational areas (e.g., a golf course and Mirror Lake), training areas, and an airfield. Group 1A sites are located on the main post.

The following subsections describe the history and physical setting of Fort Devens.

3.1 HISTORY

Camp Devens was created as a temporary cantonment in 1917 for training soldiers from the New England area. It was named after Charles Devens - a Massachusetts Brevet Major General in the Union Army during the Civil War who later became Attorney General under President Rutherford Hayes. Camp Devens, served as a reception center for selectees, as a training facility, and, at the end of World War I, as a demobilization center (Marcoa Publishing Inc., 1990). At Camp Devens the 1918 outbreak of Spanish influenza infected 14,000 people, killed 800, and caused the installation to be quarantined (McMaster et al., 1982). Peak military strength during World War I was 38,000. After World

War II, Fort Devens became an installation of the U.S. Army Field Forces, CONARC in 1962 and the U.S. Army Forces Command (FORSCOM) in 1973 (Biang et al., 1992).

In 1921, Camp Devens was placed in caretaker status. During summers from 1922 to 1931, it was used as a training camp for National Guard troops, Reserve units, Reserve Officer Training Corps (ROTC) cadets, and the Civilian Military Training Corps (CMTC). In 1929, Dr. Robert Goddard used Camp Devens to test his early liquid-fuel rockets, and there is a monument to him on Sheridan Road near Jackson Gate (Fort Devens Dispatch, 1992).

In 1931, troops were again garrisoned at Camp Devens. It was declared a permanent installation, and in 1932 it was formally dedicated as Fort Devens. During the 1930s, there was a limited building program, and beautification projects were conducted by the Works Progress Administration (WPA) and Civilian Conservation Corps (CCC).

In 1940, Fort Devens became a reception center for New England draftees, and was expanded to more than 10,000 acres. Approximately 1,200 wooden buildings were constructed, and two 1,200-bed hospitals were built. In 1941, the Army Airfield was constructed by the WPA in of 113 days (Fort Devens Dispatch, 1992). In 1942, the Whittemore Service Command Base Shop for motor vehicle repair (Building 3713) was built, and at the time it was known as the largest garage in the world (U.S. Army, 1979). The installation's current wastewater treatment plant was also constructed in 1942 (Biang et al., 1992).

During World War II, more than 614,000 inductees were processed. Fort Devens' population reached a peak of 65,000. Three Army divisions and the Fourth Women's Army Corps trained at Fort Devens, and it was the location of the Army's Chaplain School, the Cook & Baker School, and a basic training center for Army nurses. A prisoner-of-war camp for 5,000 German and Italian soldiers was operated from 1944 to 1946. At the end of the war, Fort Devens again became a demobilization center, and in 1946 it reverted to caretaker status.

Fort Devens was reactivated in July 1948 and again became a reception center during the Korean Conflict. It has been an active Army facility since that time.

Currently, the mission at Fort Devens is to command and train its assigned duty units; operate the South Boston Support Activity in Boston; the Sudbury Training Annex and the Hingham USAR Annex; and to support the 10th Special Forces Group (A), the U.S. Army Intelligence School, Fort Devens; the U.S. Army Reserves; Massachusetts Army National Guard; and ROTC Training Programs. No major industrial operations occur at Fort Devens, although several small-scale industrial operations are performed under (1) the Directorate of Plans, Training, and Security; (2) the Directorate of Logistics; and (3) the Directorate of Engineering and Housing. The major waste-producing operations by these groups are photographic processing and maintenance of vehicles, aircraft, and small engines. Past artillery fire, mortar fire, and waste explosive disposal at Fort Devens are potential sources for explosives contamination (USAEC, 1993).

Under Public Law 101-510, the Base Closure and Realignment Act (BRAC) of 1990, Fort Devens has been identified for closure by July 1997, and 4,600 acres are to be retained to establish a Reserve Component enclave and regional training center.

3.2 PHYSICAL SETTING

The climate, vegetation, ecology, physiography, soils, surficial and bedrock geology, and regional hydrogeology of Fort Devens are described in the subsections that follow.

3.2.1 Climate

The climate of Fort Devens is typical of the northeastern United States, with long cold winters and short hot summers. Climatological data were reported for Fort Devens by the U.S. Department of the Army (1979), based in part on a 16-year record from Moore Army Airfield (MAAF).

The mean daily minimum temperature in the coldest months (January and February) is 17 degrees Fahrenheit (°F), and the mean daily maximum temperature in the hottest month (July) is 83°F. The average annual temperature is 58°F. There are normally 12 days per year when the temperature reaches or exceeds 90°F and 134 days when it falls to or below freezing.

The average annual rainfall is 39 inches. Mean monthly precipitation varies from a low of 2.3 inches (in June) to a high of 5.5 inches (in September). The average annual snowfall is 65 inches, and snowfall has been recorded in the months of September through May (falling most heavily from December through March).

Wind speed averages 5 miles per hour (mph), ranging from the highest monthly average of 7 mph (March-April) to the lowest monthly average of 4 mph (September).

Average daytime relative humidities range from 71 percent (January) to 91 percent (August), and average nighttime relative humidities range from 46 percent (April) to 60 percent (January).

3.2.2 Vegetation

The Main and North Posts at Fort Devens are characterized primarily by urban and developed cover types. Approximately 56 percent of that area is covered by developed lands, the golf course, the airfield, and the wastewater infiltration beds. Early successional forest cover types (primarily black cherry-aspen hardwoods) encompass approximately 2 percent of the area, mixed oak-red maple hardwoods approximately 20 percent, and white pine-hardwood mixes approximately 11 percent. The rest of the North and Main Posts are characterized by various coniferous species, shrub habitat, and herbaceous cover types.

Much of the South Post is undeveloped forested land. The area includes approximately 8 percent early successional forest (black cherry, red birch, grey birch, quaking aspen, red maple); 26 percent mixed oak hardwoods; and 9 percent coniferous forest (white pine, pitch pine, red pine). Four percent of the area comprises a mixed shrub community. The 200-acre Turner Drop Zone is maintained as a grassland that represents a "prairie" habitat. Vegetative cover in the large "impact area" of the central South Post has not been mapped in detail. It is dominated by fire-tolerant species such as pitch pine and scrub oak.

Extensive sandy glaciofluvial soils are found in the Nashua River Valley, particularly in the South and North Post areas of Fort Devens. Extensive accumulations of these soils are unusual in Massachusetts outside of Cape Cod and adjacent areas of southeastern Massachusetts, and they account for some of the floral and faunal diversity at the installation.

3.2.3 Ecology

Fort Devens encompasses numerous terrestrial, wetland, and aquatic habitats in various successional stages. Floral and faunal diversity is strengthened by the installation's close proximity to the Nashua River; the amount, distribution, and nature of wetlands; and the undeveloped state and size of the South Post (USFWS, 1992). Much of Fort Devens was formerly agricultural land and included pastures, woodlots, orchards, and cropped fields. Existing habitat types reflect this agrarian history, ranging from abandoned agricultural land to secondary growth forested regions. Fort Devens is generally reverting back to a forested state.

There are 1,313 acres of wetlands at Fort Devens. The wetlands are primarily palustrine, although riverine and lacustrine types are also found. Forested palustrine floodplain wetlands associated with the Nashua River and its tributary Nonacoicus Brook are located on Fort Devens' Main and North Posts. These include 191 acres of flooded areas, emergent marsh, and shrub wetlands. Also present are 245 acres of isolated regions of palustrine wetlands and lacustrine systems. On the South Post, there are 877 acres of wetlands, consisting of deciduous forested wetlands, deciduous shrub swamps, emergent marsh, open lacustrine waters in ponds, and open riverine waters (USFWS, 1992).

Approximately half of Fort Devens' land area abuts the northern boundary of the Oxbow National Wildlife Refuge (NWR), a federal resource administered as part of the Great Meadows NWR (USFWS, 1992).

Fort Devens supports an abundance and diversity of wildlife. Identified taxa include 771 vascular plant species, 538 species of butterflies and moths, eight tiger beetle species, 30 vernal pool invertebrates, 15 amphibian species (six salamanders, two toads, seven frogs), 19 reptile species (seven turtles, 12 snakes), 152 bird species, and 42 mammal species. The status of fish populations in Fort Devens aquatic systems has not been fully defined (ABB-ES, 1992c).

Rare and endangered species at Fort Devens include the federally listed (endangered) bald eagle and peregrine falcon (both occasional transients); the state-listed (endangered) upland sandpiper, ovoid spike rush, and Houghton's flatsedge; the state-listed (threatened) Blanding's turtle, cattail sedge, pied-billed grebe, and northern harrier; and the state-listed (special concern) blue-spotted

salamander, grasshopper sparrow, spotted turtle, wood turtle, water shrew, blackpoll warbler, American bittern, Cooper's hawk, sharp-shinned hawk, and Mystic Valley amphipod. Also state-listed as rare or endangered are three Lepidoptera (butterfly and moth) species identified at Fort Devens.

The Massachusetts Natural Heritage Program (MWHP) has developed Watch Lists of unprotected species that are uncommon or rare in Massachusetts. From the Watch Lists, 14 plant species, two amphibian species, and 15 bird species have been observed at Fort Devens.

Additional detail concerning the ecology of Fort Devens can be found in Section 7.0.

3.2.4 Physiography

Fort Devens is in a transitional area between the coastal lowland and central upland regions of Massachusetts. All of the landforms are products of glacial erosion and deposition on a crystalline bedrock terrain. Glacial erosion was superimposed on ancient bedrock landforms that were developed by the erosional action of preglacial streams. Generally, what were bedrock hills and ridges before the onset of Pleistocene glaciation were only moderately modified by glacial action, and they remain bedrock hills and ridges today. Similarly, preglacial bedrock valleys are still bedrock valleys. In post-glacial time, streams have locally modified the surficial glacial landforms but generally have not affected bedrock.

The predominant physiographic (and hydrologic) feature in the Fort Devens area is the Nashua River. It forms the eastern installation boundary on the South Post, where its valley varies from a relatively narrow channel (at Still River Gate), to an extensive floodplain with a meandering river course and numerous cutoff meanders (at Oxbow National Wildlife Sanctuary). The Nashua River forms the western boundary of much of the Main Post, and there its valley is deep and comparatively steep-sided with extensive bedrock outcroppings on the eastern bank. The river flows through the North Post in a well-defined channel within a broad forested floodplain.

Terrain at Fort Devens falls generally into three types. The least common is bedrock terrain, where rocks that have been resistant to both glacial and fluvial

erosion remain as topographic highs, sometimes thinly veneered by glacial deposits. Shepley's Hill on the Main Post is the most prominent example.

A similar but more common terrain at Fort Devens consists of materials (tills) deposited directly by glaciers as they advanced through the area or as the ice masses wasted (melted). These landforms often conform to the shape of the underlying bedrock surface. They range from areas of comparatively low topographic relief (such as near Lake George Street on the Main Post) to elongated hills (drumlins) whose orientations reflect the direction of glacier movement (such as Whittemore Hill on the South Post).

The third type of terrain was formed by sediment accumulations in glacial-meltwater streams and lakes (glaciofluvial and glaciolacustrine deposits). This is the most common terrain at Fort Devens, comprising most of the North and South Posts and much of the Main Post. Its form bears little or no relationship to the shape of the underlying bedrock surface. Landforms include extensive flat uplands such as the hills on which MAAF and the wastewater infiltration beds are located on the North Post. Those are large remnants of what was once a continuous surface that was later incised and divided by downcutting of the Nashua River. Another prominent glacial meltwater feature is the area around Cranberry Pond and H-Range on the South Post. This is classic kame-and-kettle topography formed by sand and gravel deposition against and over large isolated ice blocks, followed by melting of the ice and collapse of the sediments. The consistent elevations of the tops of these ice-contact deposits are an indication of the glacial-lake stage with which they are associated. Mirror Lake and Little Mirror Lake on the Main Post occupy another conspicuous kettle.

3.2.5 Soils

Fort Devens lies within Worcester County and Middlesex County in Massachusetts (see Figure 3-1). The soils of Worcester County have been mapped by the Soil Conservation Service (SCS) of the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) (USDA, 1985). Mapping of the soils of Middlesex County has not been completed. However, an interim report (USDA, 1991), field sheet #19 (USDA, 1989), and an unpublished general soil map (USDA, undated) are available.

Soil mapping units ("soil series") that occur together in intricate characteristic patterns in given geographic areas are grouped into soil "associations." Soils in

the Worcester County portions of Fort Devens consist generally of three associations. Three associations also have been mapped in the Middlesex County portions of Fort Devens. Although the mapped associations are not entirely the same on both sides of the county line, the differences reflect differences in definition and the interim status of Middlesex County mapping. The general distributions of the soil associations are shown in Figure 3-2, and descriptions of the soil series in those associations are provided below.

WORCESTER COUNTY (USDA, 1985)

Winooski-Limerick-Saco Association:

Winooski Series. Very deep; moderately well drained; slopes 0 to 3 percent; occurs on floodplains; forms in silty alluvium.

Limerick Series. Very deep; poorly drained; slopes 0 to 3 percent; occurs on floodplains; forms in silty alluvium.

Saco Series. Very deep; very poorly drained; slopes 0 to 3 percent; occurs on floodplains; derived mainly from schist and gneiss.

Hinckley-Merrimac-Windsor Association:

Hinckley Series. Very deep; excessively drained; slopes 0 to 35 percent; occurs on stream terraces, eskers, kames, and outwash plains.

Merrimac Series. Very deep; excessively drained; slopes 0 to 25 percent; occurs on stream terraces, eskers, kames, and outwash plains.

Windsor Series. Very deep; moderately well drained; slopes 0 to 3 percent; occurs on floodplains.

Paxton-Woodbridge-Canton Association:

Paxton Series. Very deep; well drained; slopes 3 to 35 percent; occurs on glacial till uplands; formed in friable till overlying firm till.

Woodbridge Series. Very deep; moderately well drained; slopes 0 to 15 percent; occurs on glacial till uplands; formed in firm till.

Canton Series. Very deep; well drained; slopes 3 to 35 percent; occurs on glaciated uplands; formed in friable till derived mainly from gneiss and schist.

MIDDLESEX COUNTY (USDA, 1991)

Hinckley-Freetown-Windsor Association (This is a continuation of the Hinckley-Merrimac-Windsor Association mapped in Worcester County):

Hinckley Series. Deep; excessively drained; nearly level to very steep; occurs on glacial outwash terraces, kames, and eskers; formed in gravelly and cobbley coarse-textured glacial outwash.

Freetown Series. Deep; very poorly drained; nearly level, organic; occurs in depressions and on flat areas of uplands and glacial outwash plains.

Windsor Series. Deep; excessively drained; nearly level to very steep; occurs on glacial outwash plains, terraces, deltas, and escarpments; formed in sandy glacial outwash.

Quonset-Carver Association:

Quonset Series. Deep; excessively drained; nearly level to very steep; occurs on glacial outwash plains, terraces, eskers, and kames; formed in water-sorted sands derived principally from dark phyllite, shale, or slate.

Carver Series. Deep; excessively drained; nearly level to steep; occurs on glacial outwash plains, terraces, and deltas; formed in coarse, sandy, water-sorted material.

Winooski-Limerick-Saco Association (This is a continuation of the same association mapped along the Nashua River floodplain in Worcester County).

3.2.6 Surficial Geology

Fort Devens lies in three topographic quadrangles: Ayer, Clinton, and Shirley. The surficial geology of Fort Devens has been mapped only in the Ayer quadrangle (Jahns, 1953) and Clinton quadrangle (Koteff, 1966); the Shirley quadrangle is unmapped.

Unconsolidated surficial deposits of glacial and postglacial origin comprise nearly all of the exposed geologic materials at Fort Devens. The glacial units consist of till, deltaic deposits of glacial Lake Nashua, and deposits of glacial meltwater streams.

The till ranges from unstratified gravel to silt, and it is characteristically bouldery. Jahns (1953) and Koteff (1966) recognize a deeper unit of dense, subglacial till, and an upper, looser material that is probably a slightly younger till of englacial or superglacial origin. Till is exposed in ground-moraine areas of the Main Post (such as in the area of Lake George Street) and on the South Post at and south of Whittemore Hill. It also underlies some of the water-laid deposits (Jahns, 1953). Till averages approximately 10 feet in thickness but reaches 60 feet in drumlin areas (Koteff, 1966).

Most of the surficial glacial units in the Nashua Valley are associated with deposition in glacial Lake Nashua, which formed against the terminus of the Wisconsinan ice sheet as it retreated northward along the valley. Successively lower outlets were uncovered by the retreating glacier, and the lake level was correspondingly lowered. Koteff (1966) and Jahns (1953) recognize six lake levels (stages) in the Fort Devens area, distinguished generally by the elevations and distribution of their associated deposits. The stages are, in order of development: Clinton Stage; Pin Hill Stage; Old Mill Stage; Harvard Stage; Ayer Stage; and Groton Stage.

The glacial lake deposits consist chiefly of sand and gravelly sand. Coarser materials are found in topset beds of deltas built out into the lakes and in glacial streambeds graded to the lakes. Delta foreset beds are typically composed of medium to fine sand, silt, and clay. Lake-bottom deposits, which consist of fine sand, silt, and clay, are mostly covered by delta deposits and are seldom observed in glacial Lake Nashua deposits. One of the few known exposures of glacial lake-bottom sediments in the region is on the South Post near A- and C-Ranges.

There, a section of more than 14 feet of laminated clay was mined for brick-making in the early part of this century (Alden, 1925, pp. 70-71). The general physical characteristics of glacial lake deposits are the same regardless of the particular lake stage in which the deposits accumulated (Koteff, 1966; Jahns, 1953). Although glaciofluvial and glaciolacustrine sediments are typically well stratified, correlations between borings are difficult because of laterally abrupt changes characteristic of these generally high-energy depositional environments.

Postglacial deposits consist mostly of river-terrace sands and gravels; fine alluvial sands and silts beneath modern floodplains; and muck, peat, silt, and sand in swampy areas.

Jahns (1953) also observed a widespread veneer of windblown sand and ventifacts above the glacial materials (and probably derived from them in the brief interval between lake drainage and the establishment of vegetative cover).

3.2.7 Bedrock Geology

Fort Devens is underlain by low-grade metasedimentary rocks, gneisses, and granites. The rocks range in age from Late Ordovician to Early Devonian (approximately 450 million to 370 million years old). The installation is situated approximately 2 miles west of the Clinton-Newbury-Bloody Bluff fault zone, which developed when the ancestral European continental plate collided with and underthrust the ancestral North American plate. The continents reseparated in the Mesozoic to form the modern Atlantic Ocean. Fort Devens is located on the very eastern edge of the ancestral North American continental plate. A piece of the ancestral European continent (areas now east of the Bloody Bluff fault) broke off and remained attached to North America.

Preliminary bedrock maps (at scale 2,000 feet/inch) are available for the Clinton quadrangle (Peck, 1975 and 1976) and Shirley quadrangle (Russell and Allmendinger, 1975; Robinson, 1978). Bedrock information for the Ayer quadrangle is from the Massachusetts state bedrock map (at a regional scale of 4 miles/inch) (Zen, 1983) and in associated references (Robinson and Goldsmith, 1991; Wones and Goldsmith, 1991). Among these sources, there is some disagreement about unit names and stratigraphic sequence; however, there is general agreement about the distribution of rock types.

In contrast to the high metamorphic grade and highly sheared rocks of the Clinton-Newbury zone, the rocks in the Fort Devens area are low grade metamorphics (generally below the biotite isograd) and typically exhibit less brittle deformation. Major faults have been mapped, however, including the Wekepeke fault exposed west of Fort Devens (in an outcrop 0.25 mile west of the old Howard Johnson rest stop on Route 2).

Figure 3-3 is a generalized summary of the bedrock geology of Fort Devens. It is compiled from Peck (1975), Robinson (1978), Russell and Allmendinger (1975), and Zen (1983), and it adopts the nomenclature of Zen (1983). Because of limited bedrock exposures, the locations of mapped contacts are considered approximate, and the mapped faults are inferred. Rock units strike generally northward to northeastward but vary locally. The bedrock units underlying Fort Devens are as follows:

DSw **WORCESTER FORMATION** (Lower Devonian and Silurian) Carbonaceous slate and phyllite, with minor metagraywacke to the west (Zen, 1983; Peck, 1975). Bedding is typically obscure because of a lack of compositional differences. It is relatively resistant to erosion and forms locally prominent outcrops. The abandoned Shaker slate quarry on the South Post is in rocks of the Worcester Formation. The unit corresponds to the "DSgs" and "DSs" units of Peck (1975) and the "e3" unit of Russell and Allmendinger (1975).

So **OAKDALE FORMATION** (Silurian) Metasiltstone and phyllite. It is fine-grained and consists of quartz and minor feldspar and ankerite, and it is commonly deformed by kink banding (Zen, 1983; Peck, 1975; Russell and Allmendinger, 1975). In outcrop it has alternating layers of brown siltstone and greenish phyllite. The Oakdale Formation crops out most visibly on Route 2 just east of the Jackson Gate exit. It corresponds to the "DSsp" unit of Peck (1975), the "e2" unit of Russell and Allmendinger (1975), and "ms" unit of Robinson (1978).

Sb **BERWICK FORMATION** (Silurian) Thin- to thick-bedded metamorphosed calcareous metasiltstone, biotitic metasiltstone, and fine-grained metasandstone, interbedded with quartz-muscovite-garnet schist and feldspathic quartzite (Zen, 1983; Robinson and Goldsmith, 1991). In

areas northwest of Fort Devens, cataclastic zones have been observed (Robinson, 1978).

Dcgr **CHELMSFORD GRANITE** (Lower Devonian) Light-colored and gneissic, even and medium grained, quartz-microcline-plagioclase-muscovite-biotite, pervasive ductile deformation visible in elongate quartz grains aligned parallel to mica. It intrudes the Berwick Formation and Ayer granite (Wones and Goldsmith, 1991).

AYER GRANITE

Sacgr **Clinton facies** (Lower Silurian) Coarse-grained, porphyritic, foliated biotite granite with a nonporphyritic border phase; it intrudes the Oakdale and Berwick Formations and possibly the Devens-Long Pond Facies (Zen, 1983; Wones and Goldsmith, 1991).

SOad **Devens-Long Pond facies** (Upper Ordovician and Lower Silurian) Gneissic, equigranular to porphyoblastic biotite granite and granodiorite. Its contact relationship with the Clinton facies is unknown (Wones and Goldsmith, 1991). Observations of mapped exposures of this unit on Fort Devens indicate that it may not be intrusive.

Bedrock is typically unweathered to only slightly weathered at Fort Devens. Glaciers stripped away virtually all of the preglacially weathered materials, and there has been insufficient time for chemical weathering of rocks in the comparatively brief geologic interval since glacial retreat.

3.2.8 Regional Hydrogeology

Fort Devens is in the Nashua River drainage basin, and the Nashua River is the eventual discharge locus for all surface water and groundwater flow at the installation.

The water of the Nashua River has been assigned to Class B under Commonwealth of Massachusetts regulations. Class B surface water is "designated for the uses of protection and propagation of fish, other aquatic life and wildlife, and for primary and secondary contact recreation" (314 CMR 4.03).

The principal tributaries of the north-flowing Nashua River at Fort Devens are Nonacoicus Brook and Walker Brook on the North Post; Cold Spring Brook (which is a tributary of Nonacoicus Brook) on the Main Post; and Spectacle Brook and Ponakin Brook (tributaries of the North Nashua River), Slate Rock Brook, and New Cranberry Pond Brook on the South Post.

There are two ponds on Fort Devens' South Post that are called Cranberry Pond. For the purpose of this report, the isolated kettle pond located east of H-Range is referred to as Cranberry Pond, and the pond impounded in the 1970s, 0.5-mile west of the Still River gate, is referred to as New Cranberry Pond.

Glacial meltwater deposits constitute the primary aquifer at Fort Devens. In aquifer tests performed as part of the Groups 2 and 7 Site Investigation (ABB-ES, 1993b), measured hydraulic conductivities in meltwater deposits were comparatively high - typically 10^{-3} to 10^{-2} centimeters per second (cm/sec). In till and in clayey lake-bottom sediments, measured hydraulic conductivities were lower and ranged generally from 10^{-6} to 10^{-4} cm/sec. Groundwater also occurs in the underlying bedrock; however, flow is limited because the rocks have very little primary porosity and water moves primarily in fractures and dissolution voids.

Groundwater in the surficial aquifer at Fort Devens has been assigned to Class I under Commonwealth of Massachusetts regulations. Class I consists of groundwaters that are "found in the saturated zone of unconsolidated deposits or consolidated rock and bedrock and are designated as a source of potable water supply" (314 CMR 6.03).

The transmissivity of an aquifer is the product of its hydraulic conductivity and saturated thickness, and as such it is a good measure of groundwater availability. Figure 3-4 shows aquifer transmissivities at Fort Devens, based on the regional work of Brackley and Hansen (1977). Transmissivities in the meltwater deposits range from 10 square feet per day (ft^2/day) to more than 4,000 ft^2/day. Aquifer transmissivities between 10 and 1,350 ft^2/day correspond to potential well yields generally between 10 and 100 gpm; transmissivities from 1,350 to 4,000 ft^2/day typically yield from 100 to 300 gpm; and where transmissivities exceed 4,000 ft^2/day, well yields greater than 300 gpm can be expected. (Most domestic wells in the area are drilled 100 to 200 feet into bedrock and yield less than 10 gpm. Higher yields are associated with deeper bedrock wells.)

In Figure 3-4, the zones of highest transmissivity are found in areas of thick glacial meltwater deposits on the North and Main Posts, and these encompass the Sheboken, Patton, and McPherson production wells and the largely inactive Grove Pond wellfield. The zones of lowest transmissivity are associated with exposed till and bedrock and are located on the Main Post surrounding Shepley's Hill and between Jackson Gate and the parade ground, and on the South Post at Whittemore Hill and isolated areas to the north and west.

A regional study of water resources in the Nashua River basin was reported by Brackley and Hansen (1977). A digital model of groundwater flow at Fort Devens is available in a draft final report by Engineering Technologies Associates, Inc. (1992).

According to Engineering Technologies Associates, Inc. (1992), in the absence of pumping or other disturbances, groundwater recharge occurs in upland areas (e.g., the high ground on the Main Post between Queenstown, Givry, and Lake George Streets, and on the South Post the area around Whittemore Hill). The groundwater flows generally from the topographic highs to topographic lows. It discharges in wetlands, ponds, streams, and directly into the Nashua River. Groundwater discharge maintains the dry-weather flow of the rivers and streams.

3.3 SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL

Shepley's Hill landfill occupies approximately 84 acres in the northeast corner of the Main Post at Fort Devens (Figure 3-5). The landfill has been capped according to an approved closure plan and final closure activities are scheduled for 1993. Wastes potentially disposed of in the landfill include incinerator ash (from burning household debris), glass, spent shell casings, and asbestos. Reportedly, flammable fluids were also disposed of in the southern portion of the landfill.

Shepley's Hill Landfill is bordered to the east by Plow Shop Pond and the Boston and Maine Railroad, to the north by Nonacoicus Brook Wetland, to the west by Shepley's Hill (a large gneiss outcrop), and to the south by the DRMO area and the Main Post.

3.3.1 Geology

The following subsections describe the surficial and bedrock geology of the Shepley's Hill Landfill area.

3.3.1.1 Surficial Geology. Shepley's Hill Landfill lies within the Ayer topographic quadrangle. The surficial geology of the Ayer quadrangle was mapped in 1941 by Jahns (Jahns, 1953). The soils in and around Shepley's Hill Landfill are predominantly unconsolidated, poorly graded fine to medium sands with gravel, cobbles and a silt content ranging between 1 and 15 percent. Soils in the landfill area are part of the Hinckley-Merrimack-Windsor Association and are associated with deposition in glacial Lake Nashua, which formed against the terminus of the Wisconsinan ice sheet. Depositional features include a kame terrace, a glacially deposited hill of stratified sands and gravels, with an elevation of 250 feet ASL located in the northeast corner of the landfill, and prominent cross beds in an exposed channel fill feature 100 feet west of SHL-7. The uppermost portion of the unconsolidated deposits consists of fine aeolian deposited sand. Palustrine sediments, such as peat, are probably located below fill material in the central and north-central sections of the landfill between Shepley's Hill and the kame plateau. Maps indicate that these areas were swamps prior to landfilling operations and may have been the result of a small kettle lake. Dense silt, 1 to 10 feet thick, was encountered at the overburden bedrock interface in borings SHL-1, SHL-4, SHL-16, SHL-25 (E&E, 1993), and SHM-93-01A. This silt may represent a till, and contained gravel to cobble size pieces of slightly weathered gneiss and phyllite. The unconsolidated overburden reaches a maximum observed thickness of 115 feet at both the northern and southern portions of the landfill. Across the central portion of the landfill the overburden thickness is estimated to range from 25 to 50 feet dependent on landforms. The overburden over the entire landfill has the general trend of thinning to the west where it abuts the Shepley's Hill outcrop.

3.3.1.2 Bedrock Geology. The surficial soils at Shepley's Hill Landfill are underlain by low-grade phyllitic metasiltstones and biotite rich gneiss. The metasiltstone is calcareous with secondary quartz and sulfides along bedding planes and fractures. Extensive folding, banding, and foliation is also evident. The metasiltstones are only slightly weathered with small (0.1 to 0.5 inch) solution cavities. The bedrock core obtained from SHM-93-10C was moderately fractured in the uppermost 10 feet and became increasingly competent with depth. The

fractures occurred chiefly along bedding planes although some fractures were nearly perpendicular in bedding. The foliation was observed to be dipping at 45 to 50 degrees but was nearly vertical in areas. The following boreholes encountered metasiltstone: SHL-10, SHL-24 (E&E, 1993), SHM-93-10C, and SHM-93-22C. The bedrock core from SHM-93-22C indicates that bedrock at this location is a low-grade gneiss. The metasiltstones below Shepley's Hill Landfill belong to the Silurian Berwick Formation.

The gneiss, which appears from outcrops to be nonintrusive, is characterized by its high biotite content, gneissic foliation, and elongated feldspathic porphyroblasts. The following boreholes encountered varying metamorphic grades of gneiss: SHL-1, SHL-2, SHL-3, SHL-4, SHL-5, SHL-8, SHL-11, SHL-14, SHL-20, and SHL-22 (E&E, 1993). The gneiss, which is associated with the Devens-Long Pond facies of the Ayer Granite (Upper Ordovician and Lower Silurian) is only slightly weathered. The gneiss directly underlies unconsolidated materials beneath most of the landfill outcropping to the west at Shepley's Hill and to the southwest near the DRMO yard and adjacent to the Petroleum Oil and Lubricants (POL) yard. The 20 feet of gneiss core obtained from SHM-93-22C contained only three natural fractures, all within the uppermost 10 feet. Secondary quartz and quartzite occur throughout the rock along healed fractures. Both open and healed fractures were observed to be dipping at approximately 50 degrees. The Berwick Formation metasiltstone occurs only in the southeast corner of the landfill.

Figure 3-6 presents an interpretation of bedrock topography in the Shepley's Hill Landfill Area. It appears that a bedrock ridge extends from SHL-1 eastward below Plow Shop Pond. The evidence supporting the existence of the ridge includes the bedrock elevation of 215.7 feet ASL, at monitoring well SHM-93-01A. This is 5 feet higher than the bedrock elevation at SHM-93-10C which is 250 feet to the northeast. This change in elevation would be consistent with the presence of a ridge aligned east-northeastward from Shepley's Hill to below Plow Shop Road. The results of the seismic survey indicated a bedrock high between SHL-3 and SHL-11 with bedrock elevations rising above 200 feet ASL. The seismic survey data may be explained by a local, closed bedrock high not just the presence of a ridge. Exposed bedrock topography also supports the existence of a ridge; the gneiss that comprises Shepley's Hill juts out to the east near SHL-1 along the line of the axis of the inferred ridge. Furthermore, the prelandfill ground surface contours in Figure 3-6, and the presence of a generally

coincident topographic high with a superimposed shallow swampy depression suggests a shallow bedrock substrate.

The bedrock topography along the southern boundary of the landfill is characterized by a series of hills and valleys that appear to trend roughly north-south.

Bedrock along the northern end of the landfill is characterized by a deep valley increasing in depth toward Nonacoicus Brook.

3.3.2 Plow Shop Pond Sediments

Plow Shop Pond is underlain with a thick layer of black, highly organic sediment consisting of partially decomposed roots, leaves, and wood. Sediment thickness reaches a maximum of at least 8 feet in the center of the pond (> 8 feet at SHD-92-08X and SHD-92-21X) and thins toward the eastern and western shores of the pond (2.5 feet at SHD-92-03X, 3 feet at SHD-92-01X, and 4.5 feet at SHD-92-18X along the western shore, and 4 feet at SHD-92-12X and 1.5 feet at SHD-92-19X along the eastern shore near the culvert). Refer to Figure 2-1 for sampling locations and Table 2-1 for sediment thicknesses.

3.3.3 Nonacoicus Brook Wetland Soils

Soil samples were collected at four locations within the wetland west of Nonacoicus Brook. Surficial samples at SHD-92-29X, SHD-92-30X, SHD-92-31X, and SHD-92-32X range from silty sand to sandy silt. Samples collected from the same locations at depths from 1 to 2 feet had much higher sand and gravel contents and ranged from silty sand to gravely sand.

Sampling locations are shown in Figure 2-2. Results of grain-size distribution analyses are provided in Table 2-2.

3.3.4 Groundwater Hydrology

Groundwater present in the overburden represents the primary aquifer in the Shepley's Hill Landfill area. Groundwater also occurs in the underlying bedrock; however, there is little or no primary effective porosity. Groundwater flow can occur along bedrock fractures and solution cavities.

Groundwater in the area flows primarily from the west-southwest to the east and north (Figure 3-7). Discharge areas for groundwater around the landfill included Plow Shop Pond and the wetland north of West Main Street in Ayer. The presence of the dam in the northwest corner of Plow Shop Pond has raised the pond surface elevation in this area above the groundwater elevation, thereby locally reversing the gradient and causing water to discharge from Plow Shop Pond. The point where the gradient reverses varies seasonally depending on pond and groundwater elevation. The transition generally occurs midway between SHL-20 and SHL-21. Groundwater to the north of this point flows toward the wetland, while groundwater to the south discharges to Plow Shop Pond.

Measured groundwater levels indicate a groundwater divide exists to the southwest of the landfill below the DRMO yard. The divide occurs along a northwest-southeast trending line between monitoring well 32M-92-07X and Shepley's Hill. Groundwater to the northeast of this divide flows eastward and northeastward under the southern portion of the landfill, while groundwater to the southwest of the divide flows to the southwest away from the landfill. The overburden aquifer appears to be recharged at least in part, by groundwater discharging from the bedrock along the western border of the landfill. The relationship between the bedrock aquifer and the overburden aquifer in the center of the cap is unknown; however, it is possible that the bedrock aquifer may also discharge to the overburden in this area. Vertical hydraulic gradients between the bedrock aquifer and the overburden show an upward gradient of 0.05 feet per foot (ft/ft) between SHM-93-10C and SHL-10 and 0.026 ft/ft between SHL-24 and SHM-93-24A. An upward gradient of 0.004 ft/ft exists between the deep overburden well SHM-93-18B and the water table well SHL-18. A downward gradient of 0.13 ft/ft appears to occur in the northern section of the landfill between the bedrock well SHM-93-22C and the water table well SHL-22. No measurable vertical gradient occurs between SHL-8S and SHL-8D in the northeast corner of the landfill.

Upward vertical gradients are observed along the southeastern and eastern perimeters of Shepley's Hill Landfill as would be expected as groundwater discharges to Plow Shop Pond. A downward gradient and lack of vertical gradient are observed in the northern and northeastern portions of the landfill. This is consistent with Plow Shop Pond discharging to the overburden aquifer due to the presence of the dam. The groundwater ultimately discharges to the wetland north of West Main Street and to the Nashua River.

The landfill cap covers approximately 84 acres (Biang, 1992). The cap has reduced or eliminated infiltration from precipitation, and lowered the water table beneath it. The likely result of lowering the water table has been to impart a more northerly component of flow in the southern section of the landfill as is observed in the bend of the 225 foot contour near the southern portion of the landfill in Figure 3-7. Water levels in monitoring wells SHL-12 and SHL-17 are nearly identical even though the wells are approximately 280 feet apart. ABB-ES interprets this to mean the 225 foot contour must be roughly parallel to a line between the two wells.

Permeability testing of the newly installed Shepley's Hill Landfill monitoring wells produced hydraulic conductivity estimates ranging from $2x10^{-2}$ cm/sec to $5x10^{-4}$ cm/sec for the unconfined overburden aquifer and $3x10^{-5}$ cm/sec to $5x10^{-7}$ cm/sec for the bedrock aquifer. These values were determined by the Hvorslev analyses, values for the both Hvorslev and Bouwer and Rice analyses are provided in Table 2-5 and Appendix C.

3.3.5 Surface Water Hydrology

Shepley's Hill Landfill is bordered to the northeast by Plow Shop Pond, a shallow, 30 acre pond outside the installation boundary. The water level in Plow Shop Pond is maintained by two dams, one in the northwest corner on Nonacoicus Brook and one on the north side of the pond near Moores Lumber Yard. Flow into Plow Shop Pond is through a culvert from Grove Pond to the east. The railroad causeway separating Plow Shop Pond and Grove Pond is thought to have been constructed in the late 1800s. Before construction of the causeway and dams, Plow Shop Pond and Grove Pond were most likely a continuous swampy area fed by a number of small streams. Nonacoicus Brook flows approximately 1 mile to the northwest from Plow Shop Pond before it discharges to the Nashua River. A wetland borders the brook and is a local groundwater discharge area north of West Main Street in Ayer. The area surrounding Nonacoicus Brook to the south of West Main Street is referred to as Nonacoicus Brook Wetland, but the downward vertical gradient of 0.13 ft/ft between SHM-93-22C and SHL-22 and the lack of a measurable vertical gradient between SHL-85 and SHL-8D suggests that this is not a local discharge area. In addition, the area bordering Nonacoicus Brook to the north of West Main Street has surface water all year while the area to the south of West Main Street has surface water only during flood events.

3.4 COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL

Cold Spring Brook Landfill is an abandoned landfill located in the southeast part of the Main Post at Fort Devens (Figure 3-8). Landfilling was reported to have begun 45 years ago. The area now occupied by the landfill was a swamp at the headwaters of Cold Spring Brook. After the start of landfilling along Patton Road, the culvert at the outlet of Cold Spring Brook was raised to create a pond that now abuts part of the landfill. Based upon aerial photographs, this occurred before 1970.

3.4.1 Geology

The following subsections describe the surficial and bedrock geology of the Cold Spring Brook Landfill area.

3.4.1.1 Surficial Geology. Cold Spring Brook Landfill lies within the Ayer topographic quadrangle. The surficial geology of the Cold Spring Brook Landfill area is predominantly unconsolidated, silty sand to poorly graded medium to fine sand and organic palustrine sediments. The sands are associated with deposition in glacial Lake Nashua, which formed against the terminus of the Wisconsinan ice sheet. A topographically high kame plain, a prominent glacial depositional feature, is located south of Patton Road. Before construction of the landfill, a swampy area stretched from Cold Spring Brook to the base of the kame. The swamp, which may originally have been a kettle pond, extended from approximately 75 feet east of CSB-2 to 50 feet east of CSB-6. USGS maps from 1935 show Patton Road originally bending to the south around the swampy area near what is now CSB-3. The remnants of this section of road are still visible. The present stretch of Patton Road in this area is built on fill.

Extensive peat deposits exist to the west of CSB-5 between the northern margin of the landfill and Cold Spring Brook. At CSM-93-01A, the remnants of this peat layer were first encountered at 19 feet. Rubble and construction debris have deformed and mixed with the peat layer forming a matrix of well-graded sand and gravel within the peat. A second and presumably older peat layer was encountered at 34.5 feet bgs in CSM-93-01A and extended to approximately 40 feet bgs, below which there are stratified sands.

3.4.2.2 Bedrock Geology. Bedrock was encountered at 129.6 feet bgs at CSM-93-02B. Bedrock coring and classification were not performed at CSM-93-02B or any of the other new or existing monitoring wells at Cold Spring Brook Landfill. However, bedrock in the area has been mapped as the Devens-Long Pond facies of Ayer Granite (Zen, 1983).

3.4.2 Cold Spring Brook Pond Sediment

Sediment cores obtained from the 10 vibratory core sampling locations showed a sediment blanket of highly organic, partially decomposed, viscous sediments (peat) overlying fine to coarse sands and silty sands. The sediment thickness varies from 0.5 feet at CSB-92-10X to 4.7 feet at CSB-92-09X. As would be expected sediment layers were thickest in low energy, low flow environments and thinner in areas of higher water flow. Sampling locations are shown in Figure 2-8. Results of grain-size distribution and soil classification are presented in Appendix D and Table 2-6.

3.4.3 Magazine Area Soils

Soil samples were collected at three locations near the southeast corner of the Magazine Area. Surficial samples at MAD-92-01X and MAD-92-03X were silty sands with a high content of decomposed organics. MAD-92-02X was gravelly sand with 12 percent organics. Sampling locations are shown in Figure 2-8. Results of grain-size distribution analyses are provided in Appendix D and Table 2-7.

3.4.4 Groundwater Hydrology

The unconsolidated overburden represents the primary aquifer in the Cold Spring Brook Landfill area. The Patton Well, located west-southwest of the Cold Spring Brook Landfill, is one of three groundwater production wells servicing the Main Post and is screened from 55.5 to 60.5 feet bgs and from 70.5 to 85.5 feet bgs. Groundwater flow in the area appears to mimic topography, flowing from the north and south and discharging into Cold Spring Brook Pond (Figure 3-9). Groundwater flow in the vicinity of CSB-2 and westward appears to be influenced by the pumping of the Patton Road water supply well.

Water level data from monitoring wells CSB-4 and CSB-5 were not used to evaluate piezometric surface. Both wells are screened in peat, which has the general effect of locally mounding the water table. In addition, the integrity of monitoring well CSB-5 is in question. The well was repaired in 1993 after being non-functioning for several years. It appears that during repair the screen may have become partially blocked with bentonite.

Permeability testing of the three newly installed Cold Spring Brook Landfill monitoring wells produced estimates of hydraulic conductivity for the overburden aquifer. Hydraulic conductivity estimates ranged from $1x10^{-3}$ cm/sec to $8x10^{-4}$ cm/sec. These values were determined by the Hvorslev Analyses. Values for both the Hvorslev and Bouwer and Rice Analyses are provided in Table 2-10 and Appendix C.

3.4.5 Surface Water Hydrology

Cold Spring Brook Pond is the major surface water feature adjacent to the landfill. Cold Spring Brook Pond drains to Cold Spring Brook to the east via a culvert beneath Patton Road. The western corner of the pond is fed seasonally by surface water draining from the Magazine Area. Based on interpreted groundwater hydrology (Subsection 3.4.4), the pond is also a groundwater discharge area. At times of low water levels, the western arm of Cold Spring Brook Pond, between CSB-1 and CSB-2, becomes isolated from the main pond as the connecting channel becomes discontinuous. In late summer and fall, standing water disappears from this area of the pond.

Engineering Technologies Associates, Inc. will be performing a detailed hydrogeologic investigation of the Cold Spring Brook Landfill Area.

This page intentionally left blank.





FIGURE 3-1
LOCATION OF FORT DEVENS
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ABB Environmental Services, Inc.

9307026D 1



NOTE: Topographic Contours Beneath Landfill Cap Represent Surficial Topography Prior To Landfilling Operations

SCALE IN FEET

0 500 1000

FIGURE 3-5
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ABB Environmental Services, Inc.

9303022D 12SB6TE




FIGURE 3-7
PIEZOMETRIC SURFACE
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ABB Environmental Services, Inc.

9303022D 1S567R





FIGURE 3-8
LOCATION OF COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ABB Environmental Services, Inc.

9305033D 2 45



NOTE

MEASUREMENTS COLLECTED ON MAY 17, 1993.

FIGURE 3-9
PIEZOMETRIC SURFACE
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ABB Environmental Services, Inc.

9305033D 2 4 78

4.0 NATURE AND EXTENT OF CONTAMINATION

This section evaluates the nature and extent of contamination in groundwater, surface water, soil, and sediments at Shepley's Hill and Cold Spring Brook landfills, as well as at several adjacent or related areas. The results of landfill gas monitoring at Shepley's Hill Landfill are also discussed. The data for evaluation result primarily from analysis of samples collected by ABB-ES as part of the data gap activities for Group 1A sites. Data collected by E&E during the RI are discussed briefly in certain instances to enable a more complete evaluation of contamination. A complete reassessment of RI data is not provided. The purpose of evaluation is to identify chemicals considered to be contaminants. The identification of contaminants of potential concern (COPCs) is done in the risk assessments contained in Sections 6.0 and 7.0.

The contamination assessment is based on the following general rules:

- Detected organic compounds are considered contaminants unless specifically excluded.

- Inorganic chemicals in soil and groundwater are considered contaminants if detected concentrations exceed Fort Devens 68th percentile upperbound "background" values and if they are not otherwise excluded.

- Inorganic chemicals in sediment are considered contaminants if detected concentrations exceed USEPA Region V values for arsenic, barium, chromium, copper, iron, lead, manganese, nickel, and zinc (USEPA, 1977). Ontario Ministry of the Environment criteria are considered for cadmium and mercury (Persaud, 1992).

- Because background data are not available for surface water, data for Grove Pond surface water are compared to Ambient Water Quality Criteria (AWQC) to enable a qualitative evaluation of water quality. An exceedance of AWQC is not used to classify a chemical as a contaminant.

- Chemicals were not considered contaminants if they were detected at low concentrations and/or low frequency (i.e., less than 5 percent of the samples for data sets (by media) containing 20 or more samples).

- Chemicals were not considered contaminants if they were present at less than 5-times observed blank concentrations.

Concentrations of inorganic analytes detected in soil and groundwater samples were compared to 68th percentile upperbound limits calculated for Fort Devens. Calculations were based on analytical data results gathered from soil and groundwater samples collected throughout the Fort Devens installation, selected as representative of background (non-contaminated) conditions. Though most of the calculations include assumptions about both the distribution of chemical concentrations and on the selection of representative samples that are not statistically rigorous, the results are considered representative of actual background concentrations at Fort Devens.

For soil, chemical data gathered from 20 soil samples collected by E&E as part of their Group 1A and 1B investigation activities were used. The samples were collected from the major soil associations throughout Fort Devens specifically to establish background concentrations of inorganic analytes in soil. After these data were reviewed, apparent statistical outliers were eliminated from the data sets, and the 68th percentile upperbound (the mean value plus one standard deviation) was calculated. Though environmental data are rarely truly "normally distributed," it was assumed that the resulting 68th percentile concentrations were representative of installation-wide background concentrations. Outlier values were identified in the data sets using both graphical observation and relative changes to recalculated 68th percentile values (i.e., outliers were removed from the data sets only if a significant decrease in the recalculated 68th percentile was observed).

The calculations were performed on 19 of the 23 PAL inorganic analytes (no data were available for antimony, cobalt, selenium, and thallium). In special cases, where an analyte was not detected in a given sample, ABB-ES used a value of one-half the detection limit of the analyte in the statistical analysis. For analytes that were not detected in more than one-half of the soil samples, the detection limit for that analyte was selected as the background concentration. Table 4-1

presents calculated 68th percentile upperbound concentrations for inorganics in soil. Data ranges, mean values, and details of the calculations are provided in Appendix I.

For groundwater, ABB-ES selected 10 representative unfiltered groundwater samples collected from the Round 1 groundwater sampling events for SA Groups 2, 7 and 3, 5, 6 for the purpose of calculating background inorganic analyte concentrations. Representative groundwater samples were selected from upgradient monitoring wells exhibiting low TSS and/or low aluminum concentrations. Knowing that elevated TSS concentrations often artificially elevate inorganic analyte concentrations, ABB-ES selected samples that exhibited TSS concentrations on the same order of magnitude as the South Post Water Supply Well (i.e., representative of typical TSS concentrations in potable groundwater). Because a close correlation between TSS concentrations and aluminum concentrations was observed in all the groundwater samples analyzed, the aluminum concentration was used as an alternate selection criterion in the absence of TSS data. The concentration values detected in the 10 samples were calculated using the same assumptions on outliers and detection limits applied to the soils background concentration calculations. Table 4-1 presents calculated 68th percentile upperbound concentrations for inorganics in groundwater. Data ranges, mean values, and details of the calculations are provided in Appendix I. Table 4-2 presents the sediment evaluation criteria.

4.1 SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL

This subsection assesses the presence and distribution of contamination in groundwater at Shepley's Hill Landfill and in Plow Shop Pond sediments. The discussion of inorganics in groundwater focuses first on unfiltered sample analytical results followed by a discussion of factors that may modify the reader's interpretation.

4.1.1 Shepley's Hill Landfill Groundwater

Review of hydrologic and analytical data enables identification of three distinct monitoring well groupings at Shepley's Hill Landfill: a southern cross-gradient group, a downgradient group, and a northern cross-gradient group. The southern cross-gradient group contains seven monitoring wells located south and east of the

landfill. These wells, listed from west to east, are as follows: SHL-25, SHL-12, SHL-17, SHL-6, SHL-24, SHM-93-24A, and SHL-7 (see Figure 3-7).

The downgradient group contains 14 monitoring wells located along the northern and eastern edge of the landfill: SHL-9, SHL-22, SHM-93-22C, SHL-5, SHL-11, SHL-20, SHL-4, SHL-19, SHL-10, SHM-93-10C, SHM-93-01A, SHL-3, SHL-18, and SHM-93-18B.

The northern cross-gradient group contains monitoring wells SHL-8S, SHL-8D, SHL-13, and SHL-21. These wells are located northeast of the landfill and are interpreted to be hydrologically cross-gradient of the landfill and downgradient of Plow Shop Pond.

Three monitoring wells, SHL-1, SHL-15, and SHL-23, do not fit into any of the three groupings. Well SHL-1 is an upgradient well located at the western edge of the landfill. It was dry during supplemental RI groundwater sampling and no sample was collected from it. SHL-15 is located at the southwest corner of the landfill. It was installed as an upgradient/background monitoring well during the RI, but was found to be contaminated. Well SHL-23 is a hydrologically upgradient monitoring well located at the northwest corner of the landfill.

Groundwater samples were collected from 22 existing and five newly installed monitoring wells during Round 1 groundwater sampling and from the five new monitoring wells during Round 2 and analyzed for PAL VOCs, pesticides and PCBs, explosives, inorganics, TOC, TSS, TDS, alkalinity, and hardness. Samples from 15 of the Round 1 monitoring wells and 3 of the Round 2 (new) monitoring wells were field filtered and analyzed for dissolved PAL inorganics. Field filtering and dissolved metals analysis were performed to enable assessment of the contribution of suspended solids to total metal concentrations. The effect of field filtering at Shepley's Hill Landfill is discussed at the end of this subsection. Table 4-3 summarizes Round 1 groundwater analytical data.

Organics. Review of the Round 1 data indicates that a total of ten VOCs were detected at low concentrations in 12 of the wells. Maximum detected concentrations and the wells in which they were found are listed below.

ANALYTE	FREQUENCY	MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION (μg/L)	MAX. WELL
Benzene	3/27	1.7	SHL-11
Chloroethane	1/27	5.5	SHL-20
Chloroform	5/27	0.87	SHL-10
Dichlorobenzenes	1/27	11	SHL-20
1,1-Dichloroethane	4/27	4.4	SHM-93-10C
1,2-Dichloroethane	4/27	9.9	SHM-93-10C
1,2-Dichloroethylenes	6/27	7	SHM-93-10C
1,2-Dichloropropane	1/27	0.52	SHL-22
Trichloroethylene	1/27	0.57	SHL-25
Trichlorofluoromethane	1/27	2.1	SHL-15

Note: μg/L = micrograms per Liter.

The maximum observed VOC concentrations were 11 μg/L for dichlorobenzene in overburden monitoring well SHL-20, and 9.9 μg/L for 1,2-dichloroethane in bedrock monitoring well SHM-93-10C. This concentration of 1,2-dichloroethane exceeds the MCL of 5 μg/L. The greatest number of compounds and the highest concentrations were detected in monitoring wells SHM-93-10C, SHL-19, SHL-11, and SHL-20 along the eastern edge of the landfill and in well SHL-22 at the north end of the landfill. Lower concentrations were reported in bedrock well SHM-93-22C, located close to SHL-22. All these wells are hydrologically downgradient of the landfill. Wells SHL-25, hydrologically cross-gradient, and SHL-18, located downgradient but near the interpreted cross- gradient boundary, each had only one reported VOC. The trichloroethylene reported at 0.57μg/L in monitoring well SHL-25 may have originated at the former site of underground storage tank (UST) 13, located within AOC 32, about 150 feet to the west, before the tank was removed.

Organic compounds were reported in samples from the two bedrock monitoring wells (SHM-93-10C, SHM-93-22C) installed during the supplemental field program. These two bedrock wells are screened approximately 10 to 20 feet below the bedrock surface. Well SHM-93-10C is installed in phyllite, a metamorphosed siltstone, and well SHM-93-22C is installed in gneiss, a metamorphic rock. Both rock types have extremely low primary porosity, but exhibit some secondary porosity at Shepley's Hill Landfill in the form of fractures and solution cavities (phyllite only). Neither is interpreted as likely to transmit appreciable volumes of groundwater over long distances. The presence of VOCs in samples from these two bedrock wells is attributed to induced recharge during well development and purging from contaminated groundwater in overlying overburden. The deep overburden monitoring well SHL-22 had higher concentrations of 1,1-dichloroethane and 1,2-dichloroethylene than well SHM-93-22C located adjacent to it. It is also possible that groundwater in well SHM-93-10C was contaminated by induced recharge from a contaminated silty-sand veneer overlying the bedrock. Groundwater within the silty matrix may exchange poorly with groundwater in the sands above the silty matrix, and development and purging of well SHM-93-10C may have lead to the detection of VOCs in the well. Well SHL-19 located about 200 feet cross-gradient is screened within a silty layer and shows contamination similar to well SHM-93-10C.

Chloroform was reported at concentrations up to 0.87 μg/L in five Round 1 samples and was pervasive in rinsate blanks at concentrations up to 33 μg/L. Its presence in samples is considered an artifact of decontamination procedures; it is not considered a groundwater contaminant (see Appendix H). These VOC data are generally comparable to data obtained during E&E's RI activities in terms of compounds detected, concentrations, and locations where found. Notable exceptions are that vinyl chloride, which was reported in one well during the August 1991 RI sampling, and methylene chloride, a suspected laboratory contaminant during the RI, were not found during the supplemental RI. The VOCs tetrachloroethylene and 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane were found once in two rounds of RI sampling. They were not detected in supplemental RI samples.

No pesticides or PCBs were reported in the groundwater samples. This supports a reinterpretation of groundwater data presented in the final RI report. Although pesticides were reported at low concentrations in several RI samples, no well had a hit in both RI sampling rounds. In addition, Subsection 5.1.6.3 of the final RI report states that several pesticides including heptachlor, endrin, alpha- and

beta-BHC, DDT, and endosulfan sulfate were detected in method blank samples and that low concentrations of those compounds should be considered due to laboratory contamination. Analytical difficulties were noted for PCBs. Subsection 5.2.6.3 of the final RI report also indicates difficulties with the pesticides analysis. These considerations and the supplemental RI data support the conclusion that the landfill is not a source of pesticides or PCBs in groundwater.

The explosive nitroglycerine was reported in one monitoring well, the water table well SHM-93-24A, at 80.8 μg/L. This well is considered cross-gradient of the landfill and the source of the nitroglycerine is not known. The landfill is not considered a source of nitroglycerine. The explosives 1,3,5-trinitrobenzene, 1,3-dinitrobenzene and tetryl were reported inconsistently and at low concentrations in RI samples, they were not detected in the supplemental RI samples. SVOCs were not identified as groundwater contaminants in E&E's RI report or targeted as analytes during the supplemental field program. They are not considered groundwater contaminants at Shepley's Hill Landfill.

The data obtained during Round 2 sampling and analysis generally confirm the Round 1 results (Table 4-4). The sample from well SHM-93-10C contained 1,1-dichloroethane and 1,2-dichloroethane at essentially the same concentrations as in Round 1, and the sample from well SHM-93-22C contained 1,2-dichloroethane at essentially the same concentration as the Round 1 sample. Organics were not detected in the Round 2 samples from wells SHM-93-18B and SHM-93-24A, similar to Round 1. Variances from Round 1 included the low concentration detection of 1,2-dichloroethane (0.84 μg/L) in the sample from SHM-93-01A and toluene (0.56 μg/L) in SHM-93-22C. Acetone was also reported in samples from wells SHM-93-01A and SHM-93-10C at 14 and 15 μg/L, respectively.

Inorganics. Unfiltered Round 1 samples from the southern cross-gradient wells were characterized by inorganic concentrations below or slightly exceeding Fort Devens background concentrations (see Figure 3-7). In monitoring well SHL-17, only the concentration of calcium exceeded background (18,800 μg/L versus 14,700 μg/L), and in well SHL-25 only the concentration of potassium exceeded background (2,670 μg/L versus 2,370 μg/L). Wells SHL-6, SHL-7, SHL-24, and SHM-93-24A had slight exceedances of calcium, potassium, magnesium, manganese, and sodium. In addition, well SHL-6 had a slight

exceedance of lead (4.34 µg/L versus 4.25 µg/L); well SHL-7 had a slight exceedance of copper (8.35 µg/L versus 8.09 µg/L); and well SHL-24 had exceedances of arsenic (20.4 µg/L versus 10.5 µg/L), and zinc (36.8 µg/L versus 21.1 µg/L). Well SHL-12, located between SHL-17 and SHL-25, had exceedances of arsenic (31.6 µg/L versus 10.5 µg/L), lead (8.46 µg/L versus 4.25 µg/L), and zinc (30 µg/L versus 21.1 µg/L).

Unfiltered samples from downgradient monitoring wells typically exceeded background concentrations for arsenic, calcium, iron, potassium, magnesium, and manganese. In addition, there were scattered exceedances of background concentrations for barium, lead, vanadium, and zinc. Aluminum, copper, chromium, and nickel exceeded background once in monitoring well SHL-10, and antimony exceeded background in monitoring wells SHL-3 and SHL-11. All of these inorganics are considered contaminants in groundwater at Shepley's Hill Landfill. The highest concentrations of inorganics were found in monitoring wells SHL-10, SHL-11, SHL-19, SHL-20, and SHM-93-22C. The concentration of arsenic ranged between 69 and 390 µg/L in unfiltered samples from these wells. The unfiltered primary sample from monitoring well SHL-10 also showed high concentrations of chromium while its duplicate did not (115 versus 28 µg/L), nickel (177 versus < 34.3 µg/L), and lead (66.8 versus 14.9 µg/L).

Within the northern cross-gradient group, monitoring well SHL-8S exceeded the background concentration for manganese (1,590 µg/L versus 291 µg/L); well SHL-8D exceeded the background concentration for calcium (15,400 µg/L versus 14,700 µg/L) and manganese (712 µg/L versus 291 µg/L); well SHL-13 exceeded the concentration for arsenic (17 µg/L versus 10.4 µg/L), sodium (17,300 µg/L versus 10,800 µg/L), and lead (7.38 µg/L versus 4.25 µg/L); and well SHL-21 exceeded the concentration for arsenic (14 µg/L versus 10.5 µg/L) and lead (4.88 µg/L versus 4.25 µg/L).

SHL-15 was found to be contaminated with inorganics, especially arsenic, cadmium, copper, lead, and zinc during the RI sampling. However, samples collected during the supplemental RI showed substantially lower contaminant concentrations. Only arsenic (24 µg/L), calcium (15,600 µg/L), potassium (3,260 µg/L), manganese (1,430 µg/L), and zinc (35.8 µg/L) exceeded Fort Devens background concentrations in the Round 1 Supplemental RI groundwater sampling.

Well SHL-23, located at the northwest corner of the landfill, exceeded background potassium and zinc concentrations (3,550 μg/L versus 2,370 μg/L and 57.7 μg/L versus 21.1 μg/L, respectively).

The inorganics data obtained during Round 2 exhibited only minor variation from the Round 1 data for wells SHM-93-01A, SHM-93-10C, SHM-93-18B, and SHM-93-22C. The Round 2 sample from SHM-93-24A has consistently higher inorganic concentrations than the Round 1 sample. However, it also had a high TSS concentration (151 mg/L). Inorganic concentrations tended to drop markedly in the filtered sample from well SHM-93-24A, indicating that the inorganics were associated with the suspended solids.

Groundwater concentrations of the anions bromide, chloride, fluoride, nitrate, nitrite, and sulfate were measured during RI sampling conducted by E&E. The RI report concluded that monitoring wells SHL-4, SHL-11, SHL-19, and SHL-20 had elevated concentrations of chloride and bromide (E&E, 1993). Bromide was also noted in monitoring wells SHL-5 and SHL-22.

Comparison of dissolved (i.e., passing a 0.45 μ filter) inorganic concentrations with total inorganic concentrations from unfiltered samples enables assessment of the effect that TSS have on total metal concentrations. The effect was strongest on aluminum. Aluminum concentrations typically ranged from a few hundred to a few thousand μg/L in unfiltered samples, but exceeded the Certified Reporting Limit (CRL) of 141 μg/L in only one of 15 filtered samples (236 μg/L at SHL-5). Chromium, copper, and lead concentrations also were typically close to or below CRLs in filtered samples, although reduction from unfiltered levels was less dramatic.

In the case of arsenic and iron, the correlation with TSS was poor. High TSS in the unfiltered sample from SHL-10 corresponded to a high total arsenic concentration (280 μg/L), while the concentration in filtered samples was below the CRL of 2.54 μg/L. Concentrations of arsenic in unfiltered samples from SHL-11, SHL-19, and SHL-20 were also high (330 to 390 μg/L) and remained high in the filtered samples (160 to 270 μg/L), exceeding the MCL of 50 μg/L in filtered and unfiltered samples from all three wells. The explanation is based on the effect of pH and Eh, which were measured during presampling well purging and are tabulated below.

WELL	Eh (millivolts)	pH (s.u.)	TSS (mg/L)	TOTAL ARSENIC (µg/L)	DISSOLVED ARSENIC (µg/L)
SHL-10	259	6.7	3210/1680	280/58.6	<2.54/<2.54
SHL-11	13	6.3	204	340	230
SHL-19	127	8.1	129	390	160
SHL-20	68	6.5	48	330	270

Notes: mg/L = milligrams per liter.

Low Eh favors the presence of dissolved arsenic as does high pH. Of the two, Eh probably has the dominant effect; however, the two conditions are not mutually exclusive. Dissolved arsenic species exist within a broad range of Eh and pH conditions. Although the measured Eh of the sample from SHL-19 was higher than the Eh of samples from SHL-11 and SHL-20, the competing influence of high pH still results in the presence of dissolved arsenic. A high TSS concentration, by itself, was not a reliable predictor of total or dissolved arsenic. Although not tabulated above, iron behaved similarly to arsenic in wells SHL-10, SHL-11, SHL-19, and SHL-20.

For inorganics such as calcium, magnesium, potassium, and sodium that form readily ionizable salts, dissolved and total concentrations tended to be close.

As introduced above, the sample from SHL-10 provides a good illustration of the effect of high suspended solids on inorganic concentrations. The TSS concentrations in primary and duplicate samples from SHL-10 were the highest of any Shepley's Hill Landfill groundwater samples at 3,210 and 1,680 mg/L, respectively, and the unfiltered samples contained the highest concentrations of aluminum, barium, cobalt, chromium, copper, nickel, lead, vanadium, and zinc measured during supplemental RI sampling. The concentrations of arsenic, iron, and magnesium were among the highest. However, concentrations were dramatically lower in the filtered samples. Concentrations of aluminum, arsenic, cobalt, chromium, copper, nickel, vanadium, and zinc were below the CRL in both

primary and duplicate filtered samples. Barium, iron, and lead dropped to near CRL concentrations.

The interpreted distribution of inorganic contamination is similar to the interpretation in the RI Report in that maximum contamination is typically located along the eastern edge of the landfill in the downgradient well group (E&E, 1993). Maximum detected concentrations of inorganics considered to be contaminants and the monitoring wells in which they were detected are listed below.

ANALYTE	MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION (Unfiltered Samples) (μg/L)	MAX. WELL
Aluminum	75,500/15,400*	SHL-10
Antimony	3.3	SHL-3
Arsenic	390	SHL-19
Barium	350/78.1*	SHL-10
Calcium	219,000	SHL-22
Chromium	115/28*	SHL-10
Copper	54	SHL-10
Iron	97,400	SHL-11
Lead	66.8/14.9*	SHL-10
Magnesium	24,000	SHL-22
Manganese	9650	SHL-20
Nickel	177/<34.3*	SHL-10
Potassium	31,800	SHM-93-22C
Vanadium	79.1/21.9*	SHL-10
Zinc	220/50.8*	SHL-10

Note:

*Concentrations in primary and duplicate sample
< = less than

Because of the potentially transient nature of groundwater quality at Shepley's Hill Landfill and extensive well development/redevelopment intended to enable collection of representative groundwater samples, the supplemental RI samples are considered a better representation of groundwater quality than the RI samples.

4.1.2 Plow Shop Pond Sediments

Sediment sampling was conducted in Plow Shop Pond to better characterize the nature and extent of metals and pesticide contamination identified during the RI.

Useful data for assessing contamination in Plow Shop Pond sediments were obtained during supplemental RI activities from samples collected at 25 locations at multiple depths (locations SHD-92-01X through SHD-92-25X) using vibratory coring techniques, and three shallow sediment samples collected with an Ekman dredge as part of the macroinvertebrate study (locations SHD-92-26X through SHD-92-28X) (see Figure 2-1). All the samples were analyzed for PAL inorganics as well as PAL pesticides and PCBs and TOC. As described in Subsection 2.1.1 samples from SHD-92-01X through SHD-92-25X were collected from the 0-to-1-foot interval, at the bottom of the core, and at the midpoint of the core if more than 3 feet of core was recovered (ABB-ES, 1993a).

Table 4-5 summarizes the sediment analytical results.

Low concentrations of the pesticides, 2,2-bis(para-chlorophenyl)-1,1-dichloroethane (DDD), 2,2-bis(para-chlorophenyl)-1,1-dichloroethene (DDE), and 2,2-bis(para-chlorophenyl)-1,1-trichloroethane (DDT) were reported in six of 28 shallow sediment samples and in one 2-foot deep and one 5-foot deep sediment sample. Maximum and average detected concentrations of these contaminants in shallow sediments are listed below. Concentrations in the two deeper samples were approximately one order of magnitude less than the average shallow concentrations.

ANALYTE	FREQUENCY OF DETECTION	MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION (μg/g)	AVERAGE DETECTED CONCENTRATION (μg/g)
DDD	4/28	1.8	0.57
DDE	5/28	1.3	0.32
DDT	1/28	0.13	0.13

Note: μg/g = micrograms per gram

These three compounds have been reported in sediment and soil samples collected from several Fort Devens sites, and they appear to represent widespread, low-level contamination. The compounds DDE and heptachlor were reported in one Plow Shop Pond sediment sample during the RI. There is no indication that Shepley's Hill Landfill is the source of these pesticides.

The RI report identified several SVOCs as contaminants in Plow Shop Pond sediments. The RI report did not associate the SVOCs with the landfill, but did list three other possible sources: Grove Pond, railroad ties, or former coal storage piles (E&E, 1993). SVOCs were not target analytes during supplemental investigations. The SVOCs interpreted as contaminants by E&E are listed below.

ANALYTE	FREQUENCY OF DETECTION	MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION (μg/g)
Benzo(a)anthracene	1/13	1.10
Chrysene	1/13	1.50
Fluoranthene	1/13	3.40
Naphthalene	1/13	1.60
Phenanthrene	1/13	2.50
Pyrene	3/13	4.35

Source: E&E, 1993; Concentrations from IRDMIS, June 1993.

Review of the supplemental RI sediment data shows the widespread presence of several inorganics. Comparison of data for shallow sediment samples with data for the deeper samples shows that inorganic concentrations typically decrease by one and often by two orders of magnitude with increasing depth. Samples collected at locations SHD-92-13X and SHD-92-16X illustrate this well (see Table 4-5). At SHD-92-13X, arsenic concentrations range from 340 to 12.7 μg/g, chromium concentrations range from 5,250 to 71.9 μg/g, mercury concentrations range from 89 to 0.478 μg/g, and lead concentrations range from 160 to 7.76 μg/g. Similar reductions occur at SHD-92-16X and for copper, nickel, and zinc as well. In contrast to this, the data sets for sample locations SHD-92-03X, SHD-92-08X, SHD-92-10X, SHD-92-18X, and SHD-92-22X each contain examples of inorganics that are reported at higher concentrations with increasing depth. Typically this is true for some, but not all of the reported inorganics in the sample. This is attributed to the nonhomogeneity of sampled media and variability in laboratory analysis. The observation of low concentrations in the surface interval sample at location SHD-92-10X is consistent with observation of low concentrations in RI sample SE-SHL-07 collected nearby. ABB-ES does not have data to explain this, however. ABB-ES' overall interpretation of the sediment data is that inorganic contamination of the sediment resulted from transfer from the overlying water column and that surface interval concentrations are greater than concentrations at increasing depth. Figures 4-1 through 4-10 show distribution plots of arsenic, barium, chromium, copper, iron, lead, manganese, mercury, nickel, and zinc concentrations in supplemental RI shallow sediment data prepared using Quicksurf Version 3.03 (Schreiber Instruments, Inc., Denver, Colorado). The figures show distribution patterns that vary among the plotted inorganics and suggest different and sometimes multiple sources of contaminants. Each of the 10 plotted inorganics is discussed separately below.

Arsenic. Arsenic concentrations are highest along the western shore of Plow Shop Pond and in a broad band extending northwest across the pond from the railroad culvert. Concentrations in shallow (0-to-1-foot) sediments exceed the USEPA Region V sediment criterion of 3 μg/g in all samples. Arsenic concentrations range between approximately 100 μg/g and 500 μg/g within these two areas. A narrow band with relatively low arsenic concentrations separates these areas. Figure 4-1 suggests two separate sources of arsenic. The first source is Shepley's Hill Landfill. This hypothesis is supported by the fact that arsenic concentrations in groundwater samples collected along the eastern edge of the landfill, adjacent

to Plow Shop Pond were the highest of those reported at the landfill. The second source is believed to be contaminated inflow from Grove Pond. Sediment samples collected in Grove Pond show arsenic concentrations as high as 910 μg/g in the northwest cove of Grove Pond adjacent to the railroad causeway. One possible source of this arsenic is a tannery known to have discharged waste to the pond in the 1940s and 1950s.

Arsenic was detected in all 13 of the samples collected during the RI. The highest concentrations, up to 3,200 μg/g, were reported in samples from the large cove along the western shore of the pond.

Barium. Barium concentrations ranged from below the CRL of 5.18 μg/g to 344 μg/g in Plow Shop Pond shallow (0-to-1-foot) sediments. Twenty-four of 28 samples exceeded the USEPA Region V sediment criterion of 20 μg/g. Concentrations were highest along the western shore of the pond, especially the small cove at the southwest edge of the pond (sample SHD-92-02X). Figure 4-2 suggests that Shepley's Hill Landfill is the primary source of barium contamination in pond sediments.

Barium was detected in all 13 of the samples collected during the RI at concentrations up to 310 μg/g. The pattern of concentration distribution among the RI samples is not clear, concentrations were distributed relatively evenly among the analyzed samples.

Chromium. Chromium concentrations in Plow Shop Pond shallow (0-to-1-foot) sediments ranged from below the CRL of 4.05 μg/g to 6,170 μg/g. Twenty-six of 28 samples exceeded the USEPA Region V sediment criterion of 25 μg/g. Although Figure 4-3 suggests the possibility of a minor contribution from Shepley's Hill Landfill (see samples SHD-92-02X and SHD-92-27X), the highest concentrations are distributed in a broad band extending northwest from the railroad culvert, suggesting a significant Grove Pond source. This is consistent with the former presence of the tannery on Grove Pond and chromium concentrations in Grove Pond sediment of more than 2.6 percent (26,100 μg/g) (see Subsection 4.2).

Chromium was detected at up to 10,000 μg/g in 11 of 13 samples collected during the RI. Highest concentrations were reported along the eastern side of the pond, supporting the theory of a Grove Pond source.

Copper. Copper concentrations ranged from below the CRL of 0.965 μg/g to 105 μg/g in Plow Shop Pond shallow (0-to-1-foot) sediments. The USEPA Region V sediment criterion of 25 μg/g was exceeded by 11 of 28 samples. Highest concentrations were clustered in the southeast quadrant of the pond, including the small southwest cove (sample SHD-92-02X). Figure 4-4 suggests that the primary source of copper to Plow Shop Pond is along the southern or eastern shore of the pond, and not the landfill.

Copper was detected at up to 132 μg/g in 9 of 13 RI samples. Concentrations were highest along the eastern shore of the pond supporting the theory of a source along the southern or eastern pond shore. (E&E concluded in the RI report that inflow from Grove Pond was the source of copper in Plow Shop Pond sediments.)

Iron. Iron concentrations ranged from 428 μg/g to 68,400 μg/g in Plow Shop Pond shallow (0-to-1-foot) sediments with an average of 19,100 μg/g. Eleven of 28 samples exceeded the USEPA Region V sediment criterion of 17,000 μg/g. Figure 4-5 shows that the highest concentrations are located along the western shore of Plow Shop Pond, indicating that Shepley's Hill Landfill is a major contributor of iron to the pond sediments. However, a second area of contamination exists in the center of the pond similar to the distribution pattern for chromium. High sediment iron concentrations in the northwest cove of Grove Pond confirm the potential for inflow from that pond to have contributed to high iron concentrations in Plow Shop Pond.

Iron was detected in all 13 RI sediment samples. Concentrations were highest, up to 330,000 μg/g, at the large cove along the western shore of the pond. This supports the theory that Shepley's Hill Landfill is a contributor of iron to pond sediments.

Lead. Concentrations of lead ranged from below the CRL of 1.77 μg/g in shallow (0-to-1-foot) sediment sample SHD-92-10 along the northwest shore of the pond to 260 μg/g in SHD-92-11 near the southeast edge of the pond. Fourteen of 28 samples exceeded the USEPA Region V criterion of 40 μg/g. High concentrations of lead along the southern edge of the pond as well as the broad band of high concentrations across the center of the pond (Figure 4-6), suggest Grove Pond and, to a lesser extent, a source along the southern or eastern shore of the pond. Sediment lead concentrations up to 390 μg/g in Grove Pond confirm

the potential for lead contamination to have migrated from Grove Pond (see Subsection 4.2).

Lead was detected in all 13 RI sediment samples. Concentrations ranged from 31 to 612 μg/g and were highest in samples from the eastern half of the pond. E&E concluded in the RI report that inflow from Grove Pond was the source of lead in Plow Shop Pond sediments.

Manganese. Concentrations of manganese ranged from below the CRL at SHD-92-10X to 54,800 μg/g at SHD-93-02X at the southwest cove in Plow Shop Pond. In general, concentrations along the western shore were the highest (970 μg/g to 54,800 μg/g), and Figure 4-7 suggests that Shepley's Hill Landfill is the major source of manganese. Twenty-two of 28 samples exceeded the USEPA Region V sediment criterion of 300 μg/g.

Manganese was detected in all the RI sediment samples at concentrations up to 8,800 μg/g. Concentrations were highest at the mouth of the large cove along the western shore and near the northwest outlet of the pond, supporting the theory that the landfill is a source of manganese.

Mercury. Concentrations of mercury ranged from below the CRL of 0.05 μg/g to 89 μg/g in shallow (0-to-1-foot) sediment. The Ontario Ministry of the Environment sediment criterion of 0.1 μg/g was exceeded in 24 of 28 samples. As shown in Figure 4-8, concentrations were highest in two areas near the middle of the pond, closely approximating the broad band of contamination observed for arsenic and chromium. This suggests an upstream, Grove Pond source. Mercury concentrations of up to 420 μg/g in the northwest cove of Grove Pond confirm the potential for contaminants to have migrated from there to Plow Shop Pond. Concentrations along the western shore were below the CRL at SHD-92-01X and SHD-92-10X. Although mercury was reported at 16 μg/g in SHD-92-02X from the small cove at the southwest of the pond, mercury was not reported in groundwater samples collected downgradient of the landfill, and there is no indication that the landfill is a current or past source of mercury contamination.

Mercury was detected in all RI sediment samples at concentrations of up to 130 μg/g. The highest concentrations were reported along the eastern shore of the pond, especially near the outlet culvert from Grove Pond. E&E concluded in

the RI report that inflow from Grove Pond was the source of mercury in Plow Shop Pond sediments.

Nickel. Concentrations of nickel in Plow Shop Pond shallow sediment ranged below the CRL of 1.71 μg/g at several locations in the western part of Plow Shop Pond to 70.1 μg/g at SHD-92-02X in the small southwest cove. Eleven of 28 samples exceeded the USEPA Region V sediment criterion of 20 μg/g. The distribution pattern for nickel is very similar to that for barium, and Figure 4-9 suggests that Shepley's Hill Landfill is the primary source of nickel in Plow Shop Pond sediments.

Nickel was detected in 9 of 13 RI samples at concentrations up to 79.3 μg/g. Among the RI samples, concentrations were highest in eastern half of the pond.

Zinc. Zinc concentrations ranged from below the CRL of 8.03 μg/g to 403 μg/g in shallow Plow Shop Pond sediment. Twelve of 28 samples exceeded the USEPA Region V criterion of 90 μg/g. High concentrations of zinc along the southern edge of the pond, as well as the broad band of high concentrations across the center of the pond (Figure 4-10) suggest Grove Pond and, to a lesser extent, a source along the southern or eastern shore of the pond. Sediment zinc concentrations up to 447 μg/g in Grove Pond confirm the potential for zinc contamination to have migrated from Grove Pond.

Zinc was reported in only one RI sample, at 42.8 μg/g in a sample from along the western shore of the pond.

Distribution plots were not prepared for the remaining detected inorganics. These chemicals were generally detected less frequently or had less well defined distribution patterns than the plotted chemicals. With the exception of cadmium, USEPA Region V and Ontario Ministry of the Environment criteria also were not available.

Aluminum. Aluminum was detected in all 28 shallow sediment samples collected during supplemental RI activities. Concentrations ranged from 388 to 13,185 μg/g. The highest concentration was reported in sample SHD-92-27X along the southwest shore of Plow Shop Pond and the lowest concentration was reported at SHD-92-10X, near the western shore of the pond. Several other high concentrations were detected in the central part of the pond. Aluminum was

detected at concentrations ranging from 963 to 24,000 μg/g in the 13 samples collected during the RI, and concentrations were highest in the central and eastern part of the pond.

Beryllium. Beryllium was not detected in shallow sediment samples collected during supplemental RI activities. It was detected in 8 of 13 samples collected during the RI at concentrations of up to 2.72 μg/g. The highest concentrations were reported in the eastern half of the pond. Beryllium was not detected in samples from the large cove along the western side of the pond.

Cadmium. Cadmium was detected above the CRL of 0.07 μg/g only in the sample from location SHD-92-06X (19.2 μg/g). This is in marked contrast with the RI data in which cadmium was reported for 12 of 13 samples and had a maximum concentration of 60 μg/g. Cadmium was detected only sporadically in groundwater during the RI, and was not detected during the supplemental RI sampling and analysis. The groundwater data thus do not support the theory that the landfill is a source of cadmium contamination or the expectation that cadmium should be detected in Plow Shop Pond sediments. ABB-ES interprets this to indicate that cadmium is in fact not a contaminant in Plow Shop Pond sediments.

Calcium. Calcium was reported in all 28 shallow sediment samples at concentrations ranging from 1,190 to 20,100 μg/g. Calcium was detected in 11 of 13 samples collected during the RI at approximately similar concentrations. Several of the highest concentrations were observed in the western half of the pond; however, low concentrations were interspersed with them making a distribution pattern unclear.

Cobalt. Cobalt was detected at concentrations up to 58.7 μg/g in 8 of 28 shallow sediment samples collected during supplemental RI activities. Although the detections appear to cluster in the southeast quadrant of the pond, they are interspersed with nondetect samples and a definite distribution pattern is not clear. Cobalt was not detected in samples collected during the RI.

Potassium. Potassium was found at up to 817 μg/g in 4 of 28 samples collected during supplemental RI activities. It was detected in all samples collected during the RI at concentrations up to 2,350 μg/g. There is no clear distribution pattern.

Sodium. Sodium was reported in all 28 shallow sediment samples collected during supplemental RI activities at concentrations of up to 2,870 μg/g. It was detected in at concentrations of up to 896 μg/g in 7 of 13 samples collected during the RI. Concentrations appear to be highest in the center of the pond.

Magnesium. Magnesium was detected at concentrations up to 2,120 μg/g in 23 of 28 shallow sediment samples collected during supplemental RI activities, and in 13 of 13 samples collected during the RI at concentrations of up to 6,900 μg/g. The distribution pattern is not clear.

Selenium. Selenium was detected at concentrations up to 6.62 μg/g in 12 of 28 shallow sediment samples collected during supplemental RI activities. Detections appear to cluster in the eastern half of the pond; however, several nondetects were interspersed among them, making the distribution pattern unclear. Selenium was not detected in samples collected during the RI.

Vanadium. Vanadium was reported at concentrations up to 61.7 μg/g in 6 of 26 samples collected during supplemental RI activities. Detections appear to cluster in the southeastern quadrant of the pond; however, several nondetects are interspersed among them, making the distribution pattern unclear. Vanadium was detected in 9 of 13 samples collected during the RI. Among these samples, concentrations were highest in the eastern half of the pond. Vanadium was not detected in RI samples from the large cove along the western shore of the pond.

These interpreted distribution patterns and sources for contaminants detected in shallow sediments differ somewhat from the interpretations presented in the RI report (E&E, 1993). This is primarily attributable to collection of a greater number of samples (28 versus 13) and to a more uniform sample location distribution across the pond. During the RI, samples were collected primarily along the pond shoreline. Table 4-6 compares the interpreted sources of Plow Shop Pond sediment contamination. The major differences between the initial and supplemental RI interpretations are listed below:

- Although Shepley's Hill Landfill remains an active source of arsenic contamination, the major historical source is interpreted to be inflow from Grove Pond. Grove Pond inflow is considered responsible for the large area of arsenic contamination in the middle of Plow Shop Pond.

- Inflow from Grove Pond is potentially the major historical source of chromium and lead contamination in Plow Shop Pond. Shepley's Hill Landfill may have been a minor source of chromium and lead to sediments at the southwest corner of Plow Shop Pond.

Table 4-7 compares frequency of detection, maximum concentrations, and average concentrations for the RI and Supplemental RI sediment data sets. Review of the table shows that inorganic concentrations were typically higher in the RI data set than in the supplemental data set. Several factors may have contributed to the differences between the data sets. These include different sampling locations combined with nonuniform areal distribution of inorganics across the pond bottom, nonhomogeneity of the sediment itself, differences in sampling methods, and variability of laboratory analysis. Of these, only the differing sampling methods would be predicted to result consistently in measurement of lower shallow sediment concentrations. The RI samples were collected from the upper few inches of the sediment with an Ekman dredge, while the supplemental RI samples were collected from the upper foot using vibratory coring techniques. Because the supplemental RI data indicate that sediment inorganic concentrations decrease with depth, the Ekman dredge samples might be expected to contain higher concentrations of inorganics than 0-1 foot depth core samples. Sufficient data are not available, however, to confirm this theory or quantify an actual effect. The vibratory coring technique is thought to have collected less disturbed samples (i.e., samples more representative of the given depth interval) than the Ekman dredge.

TCLP extracts were prepared for seven Plow Shop Pond sediment samples and analyzed for the eight RCRA metals to aid in evaluating remedial alternatives during the FS.

Concentrations of the eight metals in the extracts were well below regulatory thresholds designating the characteristic of toxicity (Table 4-8).

Table 4-9 lists chemicals exceeding groundwater and sediment evaluation criteria in Shepley's Hill Landfill groundwater and Plow Shop Pond sediments.

4.1.3 Plow Shop Pond Surface Water

ABB-ES did not collect Plow Shop Pond surface water samples during supplemental RI activities. However, E&E collected 13 samples during the RI and the data were presented in the RI report (E&E, 1993). The samples were analyzed for Target Compound List (TCL) organics, Target Analyte List (TAL) metals and several general analytical parameters.

The VOCs methylene chloride and chloroform and the pesticides alpha-benzenehexachloride and endrin were the only organic compounds reported in the samples. The methylene chloride, alpha-benzenehexachloride, and endrin were attributed to laboratory contamination. Although its presence was considered suspect, E&E could not account for the chloroform as laboratory contamination.

Table 4-10 summarizes the surface water data for TCL organics and TAL metals. Surface water background data are not available for comparison to identify inorganic contaminants. The data are discussed further and compared to AWQC in the ecological risk assessment of Section 7.0.

4.1.4 Landfill Gas Monitoring

Landfill gas samples were collected from 21 location at Shepley's Hall Landfill to assess whether landfill gas may be migrating offsite (see Subsection 2.1.5). The results of the monitoring are presented in Table 4-11. Review of the data shows that only two (benzene and methane) of the 20 target analytes were detected in the gas samples. Benzene was detected in samples SG-10 and SG-11 located at the western edge of the landfill near well SHL-1 and in Vent Sample 2 and Vent Sample 5. Detected concentrations ranged from 1.0 to 11 μg/L (vapor). All four samples were from locations within Phase 1 of the landfill closure.

Methane was detected in seven of 16 gas probe samples and in four of five gas vent samples. The maximum detected concentration was 110,000 μg/L (vapor) in sample SG-10. Landfill gases were not detected at five gas probe locations (SG-1 through SG-5) spaced at approximate 100 ft intervals along the northeast edge of the landfill.

The data indicate that methane is being generated within the landfill and is moving laterally from beneath the membrane cap along the eastern edge of the

landfill. The distance of migration was not determined. Ambient air monitoring during E&E's RI did not detect methane at the landfill.

Data from gas probe locations SG-1 through SG-5 indicate that landfill gas is not migrating toward residences on Sully Street northwest of the landfill.

The detection of benzene in four gas samples indicates a source of benzene within the landfill, and is consistent with its detection in several groundwater samples. Ambient air monitoring during E&E's RI did not detect benzene at the landfill.

4.2 GROVE POND SURFACE WATER AND SEDIMENT

Grove Pond is located immediately upstream of Plow Shop Pond and is of interest because of the potential for contaminants to have migrated from Grove Pond to Plow Shop Pond in the past and to continue to migrate in the future. This subsection assesses contamination in samples collected at the western end of Grove Pond by ABB-ES personnel in December 1992 and January 1993.

4.2.1 Grove Pond Surface Water

Five surface water samples were collected in Grove Pond and analyzed for PAL VOCs, SVOCs, pesticides and PCBs, explosives, inorganics, TOC, alkalinity, TDS, TSS, hardness, and alkalinity (see Figure 2-9). Table 4-12 summarizes the analytical results. Except for trichloroethylene at 0.5 μg/L in sample GRW-92-04X, no PAL organics were reported.

A total of 10 PAL inorganics were detected with the highest concentrations for seven reported in GRW-92-04X (aluminum, 2,960 μg/L; arsenic, 4.05 μg/L; barium, 42.3 μg/L; calcium, 19,700 μg/L, iron, 841 μg/L, lead, 6.18 μg/L; potassium, 2,730 μg/L). Surface water background concentrations are not available for comparison; however, comparison of the reported values with Ambient Water Quality Criteria (AWQC) for protection of aquatic life reveals that criteria have only been established for three of the detected inorganics: aluminum, iron, and lead. No exceedances of AWQC were observed for samples GRW-92-02X and GRW-92-03X. Sample GRW-92-01X exceeded the chronic criteria for lead (2.06 μg/L versus 1.3 μg/L, assumed hardness of 50 mg $CaCO_3$/L), but not the acute criteria (34 μg/L). Sample GRW-92-04X exceeded

the chronic and acute criteria for aluminum (2,960 μg/L versus 87 and 750 μg/L, respectively) and chronic criteria for lead (6.18 μg/L versus 1.3 μg/L, assumed hardness of 50 mg $CaCO_3$/L), but not the acute criteria (34 μg/L). Sample GRW-92-05X exceeded the chronic criteria for lead (4.23 μg/L versus 1.3 μg/L, assumed hardness of 50 mg $CaCO_3$/L).

Based on the above comparisons, it appears that surface water in the western end of Grove Pond is not heavily contaminated.

4.2.2 Grove Pond Sediment

Five shallow sediment samples were collected in Grove Pond and analyzed for PAL VOCs, SVOCs, pesticides and PCBs, inorganics, and TOC (see Figure 2-9). Review of the data in Table 4-13 indicates the presence of VOCs, acetone in four of five samples, and toluene in one sample at concentrations of less than 1 μg/g. Toluene was also found in five of 21 rinsate blanks at concentrations up to 4.2 μg/L, and consequently it is not considered a sediment contaminant (see Appendix H). A total of 10 SVOCs were detected in samples GRD-92-02X, GRD-92-03X, and GRD-92-04X. The greatest number (nine) of SVOCs were reported in GRW-92-04X with a total concentration of 12.8 μg/g. This distribution of organic contaminants suggests a source upstream of GRD-92-04X, possibly associated with railroad activities. The SVOC 4-methylphenol was reported at 12 μg/g and 17 μg/g in GRD-92-02X and GRD-92-03X, respectively, but not in GRD-92-04X, thus suggesting a different source for this compound. The pesticides DDD, DDE, and DDT were reported in sediment samples at concentrations of up to 0.15 μg/g. The highest concentrations were detected in the sample collected at GRD-92-04X.

A total of 19 PAL inorganics were detected in Grove Pond sediments. Review of the data indicates that the highest concentrations of 16 of the 19 were found in either GRW-92-02X or GRW-92-03X at the northwest corner of Grove Pond. These included arsenic (910 μg/g), chromium (26,100 μg/g), copper (98.6 μg/g), and mercury (420 μg/g) in GRD-92-03X and aluminum (10,900 μg/g), barium (181 μg/g), iron (25,400 μg/g), nickel (36.9 μg/g), lead (390 μg/g), and zinc (447 μg/g) in GRD-92-02X. Arsenic, chromium, copper, lead, manganese, nickel, and zinc exceeded USEPA Region V sediment criteria in either one or both of GRD-92-02X and GRD-92-03X. Sample GRD-92-02X exceeded the Ontario Ministry of the Environment criterion for cadmium. Samples GRD-92-01X,

GRD-92-02X, and GRD-92-03X exceeded the Ontario Ministry of the Environment criterion for mercury. Grove Pond sediments, particularly those in the northwest cove, appear heavily contaminated with these inorganics. The presence of arsenic, chromium, lead, and mercury and potentially other inorganics may be associated with waste disposal practices at the former tannery located near the northwest corner of Grove Pond.

4.3 NONACOICUS BROOK WETLAND SHALLOW GROUNDWATER AND SOIL

The Data Gap Activities Work Plan proposed collection of surface water and sediment samples to better characterize the nature and extent of contamination in the area north of Shepley's Hill Landfill. An additional goal was to evaluate whether the area is a discharge area for contaminated groundwater migrating from beneath the landfill. A lack of standing water in the area at the time of sampling necessitated collecting groundwater samples from shallow, 2-to-3-foot deep pits. In addition, field observations indicate that the term "soil" more accurately describes the solids collected than "sediment". This section discusses the results of the sampling.

4.3.1 Nonacoicus Brook Wetland Shallow Groundwater

Groundwater samples were collected from four shallow (2.5-to-3-foot deep) pits and were analyzed for PAL VOCs, pesticides and PCBs, explosives, total and dissolved inorganics, TOC, alkalinity, TDS, and TSS (see Figure 2-2). Review of the analytical data in Table 4-14 shows that no PAL organics were detected in the samples.

Comparison of analytical data for inorganics with Fort Devens background concentrations shows that samples from SHW-92-01X and SHW-92-02X, located near Nonacoicus Brook, did not exceed background concentrations for any inorganics. However, unfiltered samples from SHW-92-03X and SHW-92-04X, both located north of the landfill, exceeded background concentrations for 14 of 15 reported inorganics including arsenic (up to 24.9 μg/L), barium (up to 136 μg/L), chromium (up to 32.7 μg/L), copper (up to 19 μg/L), mercury (up to 0.866 μg/L), and lead (up to 81.7 μg/L).

Review of the dissolved inorganic data for the samples shows the effect of high sample TSS (104 and 8,440 mg/L at SHW-92-03X and SHW-92-04X, respectively) on inorganic concentrations. The data for dissolved inorganics show background exceedances only for barium (up to 67 μg/L), calcium (up to 25,900 μg/L), potassium (up to 2,530 μg/L), manganese (up to 417 μg/L), lead (up to 5.53 μg/L), and zinc (up to 160 μg/L).

Whereas the inorganic concentrations in unfiltered samples from SHW-93-03X and SHW-93-04X typically exceeded the concentrations in unfiltered samples from wells SHL-9 and SHL-5 located between SHW-93-03X and SHW-93-04X and the landfill, concentrations in filtered samples are comparable to filtered samples from SHL-5 and SHL-9. The conclusion of this comparison is that high inorganic concentrations in samples from SHW-92-03X and SHW-92-04X result from high TSS concentrations, and that the dissolved contaminant load being transported by groundwater is low. Barium, calcium, potassium, manganese, lead, and zinc are considered contaminants in shallow groundwater.

4.3.2 Nonacoicus Brook Wetland Soil

A total of eight soil samples were collected at four locations (SHD-92-29X through SHD-93-32X) in the area north of the landfill and analyzed for PAL VOCs, pesticides and PCBs, explosives, inorganics, and TOC (see Figure 2-2). Samples were collected at the surface (0 to 6 inches bgs) and at 1 or 2 feet bgs at each location. Table 4-15 summarizes the analytical results. No PAL VOCs or explosives were reported in any samples. Low concentrations (up to 0.17 μg/g) of the pesticides DDE and DDT were reported in the surface and 1 foot bgs intervals at SHD-92-31X and SHD-92-32X.

A total of 20 PAL inorganics were detected in the eight samples. Antimony, cadmium, and thallium were not detected. Comparison of the analytical data with Fort Devens background soil concentrations shows that of those detected, 16 (all but aluminum, magnesium, potassium, and vanadium) exceeded background concentrations at least once. Chromium and mercury were found at the highest concentrations at SHD-92-29X (89.5 μg/g and 1.9 μg/g, respectively) and SHD-92-30X (51 μg/g and 0.966 μg/g, respectively). Arsenic, beryllium, calcium, cobalt, copper, manganese, and nickel were found at the highest concentrations in the surface (0-to-6-inch) interval sample at SHD-92-31X. Concentrations in this sample, however, were typically well below values observed in Plow Shop Pond

sediment and in several cases were within the range of values used to estimate background. The highest concentrations of zinc were measured at sample location SHD-92-32X. Among the four sampling locations, surface interval samples had a greater number of exceedances than subsurface samples.

The available data indicate that soils adjacent to Nonacoicus Brook may be contaminated with chromium and mercury. The elevated concentrations of chromium and mercury in shallow soil samples at locations SHD-93-29X and SHD-92-30X, adjacent to Nonacoicus Brook, suggests that historical brook overflows were their source. This conclusion is consistent with the interpretation that contaminated surface water was the source of high concentrations of chromium and mercury found in Plow Shop Pond sediments. Beryllium and silver were each detected once in the eight samples, at concentrations that exceeded the 68th percentile upperbound. Copper and zinc exceeded 68th percentile upperbound limits at SHD-92-31X and SHD-92-32X. Although their frequency of detection was low, all four may represent contamination. The possible influence of Shepley's Hill Landfill is not clear, locations SHD-92-31X and SHD-92-32X have been and are subject to anthropogenic sources not limited to the landfill. Among the inorganics, chromium, mercury, beryllium, silver, copper, and zinc are considered contaminants in Nonacoicus Brook wetland soils.

TCLP extracts were prepared for shallow soil samples at SHD-92-29X and SHD-92-30X, and analyzed for eight RCRA metals to aid in potential evaluation of remedial alternatives during the FS. Only barium and chromium were reported in the extracts. The reported concentrations were well below regulatory thresholds indicating the characteristic of toxicity (Table 4-16).

Based on the following four factors, the area between the north end of the landfill and the railroad tracks is not considered a major discharge area for contaminated groundwater:

- Although the groundwater table is shallow in the area, standing water was not found during sampling and shallow pits had to be dug to obtain water samples.

- Downward or neutral vertical gradients rather than upward gradients were observed at well pairs SHL-22/SHM-93-22C and SHL-8S/SHL-8D.

- High concentrations of surface soil contaminants indicative of long-term discharge of contaminated groundwater were not observed.

- The presence of contaminants in shallow groundwater is largely associated with suspended solids, indicating that groundwater is not highly contaminated.

4.4 COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL

The assessment of contamination at Cold Spring Brook Landfill is of particular interest because of its proximity to Patton Well. This subsection discusses the results of supplemental sampling at the landfill. The discussion of inorganics in groundwater focuses first on data from unfiltered samples, followed by a discussion of factors that may modify the reader's interpretation.

4.4.1 Cold Spring Brook Landfill Groundwater

Groundwater samples were collected from 10 monitoring wells during Round 1 groundwater sampling at Cold Spring Brook Landfill and analyzed for PAL SVOCs, pesticides and PCBs, explosives, inorganics, TOC, alkalinity, TDS, and TSS, and from three monitoring wells during Round 2 (see Figure 2-8). A sample was also collected from well CSB-1 during Round 2 and analyzed for explosives only. In addition, samples from four of the Round 1 and one of the Round 2 wells were field filtered and analyzed for dissolved PAL inorganics. Field filtering and dissolved metals analysis was done to enable assessment of the contribution of suspended solids to total metal concentrations. The effect of field filtering is discussed at the end of this subsection. Table 4-17 summarizes the Round 1 analytical data.

Based on the hydrological interpretation of Subsection 3.4, monitoring wells CSB-1, CSB-3, CSB-6, CSB-7, and CSB-8 are either upgradient or cross-gradient of Cold Spring Brook Landfill. Although located close to the upgradient edge of the landfill, the boring log indicates that CSB-8 is not constructed in landfill materials. Well CSB-2 is downgradient, but is screened below the water table, and therefore may not be suitable for monitoring the potential migration of contaminants from Cold Spring Brook Landfill. Wells CSM-93-01A and

CSM-93-02B are also screened below the water table and monitor a similar region of the aquifer as CSB-2. Well CSM-93-02A is the only downgradient water table well located to monitor contaminant migration from the landfill. Well CSB-5 is located in peat and does not monitor a representative or productive aquifer.

Organics. The detection of PAL organics in Round 1 samples was limited to the SVOC bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate at 84 μg/L in well CSB-5 and at 14 μg/L in well CSM-93-02B. Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate was undetected (i.e., <4.5 μg/L) in the three primary Round 2 samples, but was reported at 4.4 μg/L in the duplicate sample from well CSM-93-01A. No other organics, pesticides, PCBs, or explosives were detected in any of the sampled wells. These results contrast with analytical results obtained during the RI. During the RI, low concentrations of explosives were reported in well CSB-1 and low concentrations of pesticides were reported in several wells. Pesticides were also detected in several laboratory method blanks, thus raising doubt about their reported presence in field samples. The data collected during the supplemental RI indicate that neither explosives nor pesticides are present in groundwater. VOCs were not identified as groundwater contaminants in E&E's RI report and were not target analytes during supplemental RI activities.

Inorganics. A total of 16 PAL inorganics were detected in groundwater samples from the monitoring wells at Cold Spring Brook Landfill. Review of the Round 1 analytical data and comparison with Fort Devens groundwater background concentrations confirms the conclusion of the RI that monitoring well CSB-1, which is interpreted as upgradient of any landfill influence, may be affected by a further upgradient source. This is also true for CSB-3, CSB-6, and CSB-8. Concentrations of seven inorganics in CSB-1 exceeded calculated background concentrations and the concentrations of cobalt (35 μg/L) and zinc (90.6 μg/L) were the highest reported among the sampled wells. In the case of monitoring well CSB-7, which is cross-gradient and east of the landfill, the average concentration of arsenic and sodium in primary and duplicate samples slightly exceeded the background concentrations (10.7 μg/L versus 10.4 μg/L, and 14,550 μg/L versus 10,800 μg/L, respectively).

Unfiltered Round 1 samples from monitoring wells CSB-3 and CSB-6, located upgradient and south of the landfill, showed slight exceedances of aluminum, arsenic, barium, chromium, copper, lead, vanadium, and zinc. The unfiltered sample from CSB-8, however, exceeded background concentrations for 12 of the

inorganic analytes. The highest concentrations for seven of the 16 reported inorganics were in the sample from monitoring well CSB-8.

Monitoring well CSM-93-01A exceeded background concentrations for arsenic (25.9 μg/L versus 10.5 μg/L), barium, calcium, iron, potassium, magnesium, manganese, and sodium, while well CSM-93-02B exceeded the concentration for calcium, copper, potassium, magnesium, manganese, and sodium. Well CSB-2 exceeded background for calcium, potassium, magnesium, and manganese.

The sample from monitoring well CSM-93-02A showed exceedances for 11 inorganic analytes with concentrations very similar to those reported for CSB-8. The closeness of the values suggests a common influence. This potentially could be either a source located south of Patton Road or, most likely Patton Road itself. The hydrological interpretation is that the landfill does not influence CSB-8.

Inorganic concentrations were generally lower in the Round 2 samples than in Round 1 samples; however, values for several analytes continued to exceed 68th percentile background concentrations. The sample for CSM-93-01A exceeded background for arsenic, calcium, iron, potassium, magnesium, and manganese and the sample from CSM-93-02B exceeded background for calcium, potassium, magnesium, and manganese. The sample for well CSM-93-02A showed exceedances for 10 analytes, although all concentrations were less than in the Round 1 sample. Table 4-18 summarizes the Round 2 data.

Groundwater concentrations of the anions bromide, chloride, fluoride, nitrate, nitrite, and sulfate were measured during RI sampling conducted by E&E. The RI report concluded that monitoring wells CSB-1, CSB-2, CSB-6, and CSB-8 were affected by chloride and possibly sulfate, presumably from road salt applied to Patton Road (E&E, 1993).

Comparison of analytical results for filtered and unfiltered samples from CSB-2, CSB-3, CSB-8, CSM-93-01A, and CSM-93-02A shows a pattern similar to the pattern for Shepley's Hill Landfill groundwater (see Subsection 4.1): concentrations of aluminum, chromium, copper, and lead drop markedly in the filtered samples, usually to less than the CRL. This pattern is also displayed by zinc. Concentrations of the common ions calcium, magnesium, potassium, and sodium remained relatively constant in filtered and unfiltered samples. However, arsenic and iron behaved differently in Cold Spring Brook Landfill groundwater

samples than in samples from Shepley's Hill Landfill. Both arsenic and iron were associated predominantly with TSS at Cold Spring Brook Landfill. Dissolved concentrations were typically near or below the CRL. Total and dissolved arsenic concentrations are tabulated below, along with TSS and pH for the four wells where filtered samples were collected. Eh data were not collected at Cold Spring Brook Landfill.

WELL	pH (s.u.)	TSS (mg/L)	TOTAL ARSENIC (μg/L)	DISSOLVED ARSENIC (μg/L)
CSB-2	6.7	22	<2.54	<2.54
CSB-3	6.9	320	14.8	<2.54
CSB-8	6.3	2030	28	<2.54
CSM-93-01A	6.9	<40	25.9	6.4

Based on the distribution pattern for inorganics in the unfiltered samples and a comparison of data for filtered and unfiltered samples, the Cold Spring Brook Landfill is not considered to be a source of inorganic groundwater contamination.

A sample was also collected from Patton Well and analyzed for PAL VOCs, SVOCs, pesticides and PCBs, explosives, inorganics, TOC, TSS, TDS, and alkalinity. Table 4-17 summarizes the analytical results. No PAL organic compounds were detected. Several PAL inorganics were detected at concentrations above 68th percentile upperbound concentrations. These are listed below.

ANALYTE	PATTON ROAD SUPPLY WELL MEASURED CONCENTRATION (µg/L)	BACKGROUND CONCENTRATION (µg/L)	DRINKING WATER STANDARD (µg/L)
Calcium	43,400	14,700	None
Copper	20.0	8.09	1,300
Potassium	3,780	2,370	None
Magnesium	5,310	3,480	None
Sodium	12,000	10,800	28,000*

* Massachusetts guideline

The analytical results indicate that the Patton Well has good water quality. There is no indication that it is being influenced by Cold Spring Brook Landfill.

4.4.2 Cold Spring Brook Landfill Seeps

Although no active seep discharges or stained areas were observed at the time of sample collection, surface water samples were collected at two locations and a shallow groundwater sample was collected at a third location adjacent to Cold Spring Brook Landfill to help evaluate whether the landfill was a source of surface water contamination (see Figure 2-8). Sediment samples were collected at the same locations to aid in assessing the effect of potentially contaminated seeps/surface water. The sediment samples are discussed in Subsection 4.4.3.

Surface water sample CSW-92-01X was collected from an area of standing water that is seasonally isolated from Cold Spring Brook Pond and approaches dryness at the western end of the landfill. Approximately 1-foot of water was present at the time of sampling. Sample location CSW-92-02X was at the mouth of a small drainageway that discharges to the inlet channel to Cold Spring Brook Pond from the northwest.

Sample location CSW-92-03X was in the small valley between the landfill and peat mound adjacent to well CSB-4 and the water sample was collected from a shallow, 6-inch-deep pit. All samples were analyzed for PAL SVOCs, pesticides and PCBs, explosives, total and dissolved inorganics, TOC, TSS, TDS, and alkalinity. Table 4-19 summarizes the analytical data and Table 4-20 lists the frequency of detection and maximum concentration in unfiltered samples.

Organics. No SVOCs, explosives, or PCBs were reported in the samples. The pesticide DDD was reported at 0.081 μg/L in the sample from CSW-92-01X.

Inorganics. Review of the analytical data leads to two conclusions:

- Total metal concentrations in all three samples are strongly influenced by TSS.
- The sample from CSW-92-03X has a different character than the samples from the other two locations.

Samples from CSW-92-01X and CSW-92-02X showed high TSS concentrations (1,080 and 2,880 mg/L, respectively) and high total concentrations of barium, chromium, copper, nickel, lead, vanadium, and zinc that were typically reduced to near CRL or below CRL concentrations by filtration. In contrast, concentrations of the common ions calcium, magnesium, potassium, and sodium dropped only slightly. High arsenic and iron concentrations were reported in the unfiltered samples; however, dissolved concentrations were also high (270 and 96 μg/L, respectively) suggesting the presence of low Eh conditions that had mobilized the two elements. High arsenic and iron concentrations were reported in the unfiltered samples; however, dissolved concentrations were also high (270 to 96 μg/L, respectively) suggesting the presence of low Eh conditions that had mobilized the two elements. Although Eh was not measured at these locations, a rotten egg odor, indicative of low Eh conditions and observed at sediment sample location CSD-92-11X, corresponding to water sample location CSW-92-01X, suggests that low Eh conditions existed. The extent, if any, to which the landfill may have contributed to such conditions cannot be determined from the available data.

The sample from location CSW-92-03X showed unremarkable concentrations of inorganics in the unfiltered portion and still lower concentrations in the filtered

portion. The sample does not suggest that the landfill is a source of contamination.

4.4.3 Cold Spring Brook Pond Sediments

A total of 36 sediment samples (excluding duplicates) were collected from 16 locations at Cold Spring Brook Pond. These included 27 samples collected at up to three depths at 10 locations within the pond boundary using vibratory coring techniques, three shallow (0-to-6-inch) sediment samples collected at macroinvertebrate sample locations within the pond boundary, and six samples collected at 0-6 inch and 1 foot depths at landfill seep locations. To allow comparison of data with RI data, all the samples were analyzed for PAL SVOCs, pesticides and PCBs, explosives, inorganics, and TOC. Table 4-21 summarizes the supplemental analytical data. Table 4-22 compares frequency of detection and maximum and mean concentrations for the two data sets.

Organics. A total of 15 SVOCs were reported at four sediment sample locations: CSD-92-01X, CSD-92-02X located along Patton Road on opposite sides of the pond outlet, and at CSD-92-09X and CSD-92-14X located within approximately 60 feet of each other along the southwest pond shore. Four of the SVOCs were found only at CSD-92-09X while the remaining 11 were found almost exclusively at CSD-92-01X and CSD-92-02X. Only one SVOC was detected at CSD-92-14X. The highest SVOC concentrations were reported in the 2-foot-deep sample from CSD-92-01X; however, SVOCs were also reported for the 0- and-3-foot-deep samples at this location. SVOCs were reported in the 0-, 3-, and 5-foot-deep samples at CSD-92-09X. The detection of SVOCs near the pond outlet is consistent with the findings of the initial RI. SVOCs reported in RI sample SE-CSB-06 were not confirmed by CSD-92-06X or CSD-92-15X.

The pesticides DDD, DDE, and DDT were reported in 10 of 16 shallow sediment samples. Concentrations were typically below 1 μg/g, with the exception that DDD and DDT were reported at 6.07 μg/g and 15.5 μg/g, respectively, at CSD-92-01X. Except for the CSD-92-01X sample, there was no distinct distribution pattern. No PCBs were detected in any sediment samples. The explosive nitroglycerine was reported at 11.3 μg/g in CSD-92-14X, but in no other sediment samples. Because of its low frequency of detection, nitroglycerine is not considered a contaminant in sediments. The VOC 2-butanone was detected at a

low concentration (0.025 μg/g) in one sediment sample during RI sampling. VOCs were not target analytes during supplemental RI sampling.

Inorganics. A total of 20 PAL inorganics were reported in the sediment samples collected during supplemental RI activities; cadmium, mercury, and thallium were not detected. Table 4-23 compares the shallow sample data to the evaluation criterion of Table 4-2. Review of the table shows that arsenic exceeded the USEPA V sediment criterion in all 16 samples, barium exceeded the USEPA criteria in 9 of 16 samples and manganese exceeded the arsenic criterion in 8 of 16 samples. Chromium, copper, iron, lead, nickel, and zinc exceeded USEPA criteria five or fewer times. Although arsenic concentrations exceeded the arsenic criterion across the entire sampled area, the highest concentrations of arsenic and other inorganics are clustered along the southwest side of the pond at CSD-92-07X, CSD-92-08X, CSD-92-09X, and CSD-92-14X. An arsenic concentration of 910 μg/g was reported at CSD-92-07X. The 0-to-1-foot-deep sample at CSD-92-01X also contained high concentrations of arsenic (82 μg/g), barium (115 μg/g), iron (36,400 μg/g), and zinc (291 μg/g).

Comparison of the RI data to the evaluation criteria shows a similar pattern, arsenic, barium, and manganese exceeded the criteria most frequently. A notable difference between the data sets is that mercury was detected in 6 of 9 RI samples (the highest concentration was near the pond outlet), but was not detected in any of 16 supplemental samples.

Sediment samples from the three areas likely to be affected by leachate if it were present contained notably low inorganics concentrations compared to the remaining samples. Arsenic was reported at 78 μg/g to 99 μg/g at CSD-92-11X and at 20 μg/g to 22 μg/g at CSD-92-12X. Manganese was reported at 1,110 μg/g at CSD-92-11X. No other reported concentrations exceed the Region V evaluation criteria at CSD-92-11X, CSD-92-12X, and CSD-92-13X. Concentrations of the eight RCRA metals in TCLP extracts from five Cold Spring Brook Pond sediment samples were well below the regulatory thresholds designating the characteristic of toxicity (Table 4-24).

4.4.4 Cold Spring Brook Pond Surface Water

ABB-ES did not collect Cold Spring Brook Pond surface water samples during supplemental RI activities. However, E&E collected nine samples and presented

the data in the RI report (E&E, 1993). The samples were analyzed for TCL organics, TAL metals, explosives, and several general analytical parameters.

The only organic compounds reported in the samples were methylene chloride and the pesticide alpha-benzenehexachloride. These were also found in laboratory method blanks, and E&E attributed their presence to laboratory contamination.

Table 4-25 summarizes the surface water data for TCL organics and TAL metals. Surface water background data are not available for comparison to identify inorganic contaminants. The data are discussed further and compared to AWQC in the ecological risk assessment of Section 7.0.

Table 4-26 summarizes groundwater, sediment, and soil chemicals exceeding evaluation criteria at Cold Spring Brook Landfill.

4.5 MAGAZINE AREA SHALLOW GROUNDWATER AND SOIL

The Data Gap Activities Work Plan proposed collection of surface water and sediment samples from the bed of the intermittent inlet stream to Cold Spring Brook Pond to characterize conditions upstream of the pond and possible contaminant sources within the Magazine Area. A lack of surface water in the streambed at the time of sampling necessitated collecting groundwater samples from shallow 2-3-foot-deep pits at two of three locations. Shallow groundwater was not available at a third location. ABB-ES personnel also collected soil samples from the shallow pits. The term "soil" is considered more accurate than "sediment", which was used to describe the proposed samples in the Data Gap Activities Work Plan (ABB-ES, 1993a). The groundwater/soil sample pair location MAW-92-03X/MAD-92-03X was located at the beginning of the streambed near the center of the Magazine Area. Sediment sample MAD-92-02X was located about 75 feet from the downstream edge of the Magazine Area, and sample pair MAW-92-01X/MAD-92-01X was located about 75 feet outside of the Magazine Area. This subsection discusses the results of the Magazine Area sampling. Tables 4-27 and 4-28 present the analytical results for Magazine Area samples.

4.5.1 Magazine Area Shallow Groundwater

Groundwater samples were collected from shallow pits at MAW-92-01X and MAD-92-03X and analyzed for PAL SVOCs, pesticides and PCBs, explosives, total and dissolved inorganics, TOC, alkalinity, TSS, and TDS (see Figure 2-8). Review of the data shows that the SVOC bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate and the pesticide DDD were reported at 17 and 0.024 μg/L, respectively, in the sample from MAW-92-01X. No SVOCs, pesticides or PCBs were detected in the sample from MAW-92-03X. Explosives were not detected in samples from either location.

Comparison of analytical data with Fort Devens background concentrations shows that 14 PAL inorganics were detected in the unfiltered groundwater samples. Concentrations were typically similar between the two samples and exceeded Fort Devens background for 25 of 28 analyses. Only one value, lead at 26.8 μg/L in the sample from MAW-92-03X, exceeded a Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL).

4.5.2 Magazine Area Soil

Shallow soil samples were collected from three locations along the intermittent streambed within or just outside the Magazine Area and analyzed for PAL SVOCs, pesticides and PCBs, explosives, inorganics, and TOC. Review of the data shows that the pesticides DDD, DDE, and DDT were reported at concentrations up to 0.35 μg/g in the three samples. Concentrations were highest in the sample collected at MAD-92-01X. Explosives were not detected in any of the three samples. Samples from two locations (MAD-92-02X and MAD-92-03X) within the Magazine Area had reported concentrations of the SVOCs fluoranthene, phenanthrene, and pyrene of 1 μg/g or less. Eleven SVOCs were detected in the sample from MAD-93-01X at concentrations of up to 10 μg/g. MAD-93-01X is located outside the Magazine Area and may receive contamination from other sources.

Comparison of the organic data to reportable concentrations (RCs) listed in the Massachusetts Contingency Plan (MCP), shows that four compounds in sample MAD-92-01X exceeded the S1 RCs. These compounds are benzo(a)anthracene (4 versus 0.7 μg/g), benzo(a)pyrene (4 versus 0.7 μg/g), benzo(b)fluoranthene (9 versus 0.7 μg/g), and chrysene (8 versus 0.7 μg/g). No exceedances were noted for samples MAD-92-02X and MAD-92-03X.

A total of 16 inorganics were reported in the samples. Review of the data and comparison to Fort Devens soil background concentrations show that the highest observed concentrations were at MAD-92-01X, where 15 of 16 detected analytes exceeded the 68th percentile upperbound. Examples include arsenic (140 μg/g versus 21 μg/g), chromium (67.9 μg/g versus 31 μg/g), copper (50 μg/g versus 8.39 μg/g), and lead (58 μg/g versus 34.4 μg/g). Exceedances at MAD-92-02X and MAD-92-03X were fewer and less extensive. In the case of arsenic and chromium, the 68th percentile upperbound was exceeded only marginally in one of the two samples, and the average of the two samples was below this comparison concentration. In the case of copper, nickel, and sodium, one value was marginally above the comparison concentration and the second exceeded it by approximately a factor of two.

Comparison of the inorganic data to RCs shows that arsenic and cobalt at sample location MAD-92-01X exceed S1 RCs: 140 versus 30 μg/g and 20.5 versus 10 μg/g, respectively. Cobalt did not exceed the S2 RC of 100 μg/g. No exceedances were noted for samples MAD-92-02X and MAD-92-03X.

Based on the above considerations, the Magazine Area soil as represented by MAD-92-02X and MAD-92-03X is interpreted to have low level contamination with fluoranthene, phenanthrene, and pyrene that does not exceed RCs contained in the MCP. Sample location MAD-92-01X, located outside of the Magazine Area, appears contaminated with several polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) and inorganics. The source of this contamination is not known; however, the low concentrations in the upstream samples indicate that the Magazine Area is not a current source. The Magazine Area does not appear to be a source of explosives contamination.

4.6 NEW CRANBERRY POND SEDIMENT

New Cranberry Pond was included in supplemental RI sampling activities because it was a potential biological reference pond for Group 1A sites.


Three shallow sediment samples were collected at benthic sampling locations at New Cranberry Pond and analyzed for PAL VOCs, SVOCs, pesticides and PCBs, explosives, inorganics, and TOC (see Figure 2-10). Analytical data are summarized in Table 4-29. Review of the data shows that only two VOC/SVOC

compounds were reported: toluene at 0.001 μg/g in the sample from CRD-92-01X and phenol at 0.87 μg/g in the sample from CRD-92-02X. Toluene was also found in five of 21 rinsate blanks at concentrations up to 4.2 μg/L; consequently, it is not considered a sediment contaminant (see Appendix H). The pesticides DDD, DDE, and DDT were reported at concentrations up to 0.6 μg/g in the samples from CRD-92-02X and CRD-92-03X.

Review of the inorganic data shows low concentrations of 17 PAL inorganics in the three samples. In general, the sample from CRD-92-01X had the lowest concentration and CRD-92-02X had the highest. Exceedances of sediment evaluation criteria were limited to arsenic and lead. Arsenic, with a maximum concentration of 13.8 μg/g, exceeded the USEPA Region V sediment criteria in all three samples. Lead, with a maximum concentration of 97 μg/g, exceeded the USEPA Region V sediment criterion in the sample from CRD-92-02X.

Based on these three samples, the New Cranberry Pond benthic sampling locations have low level contamination by phenol, DDD, DDE, DDT, arsenic, and lead.

This page intentionally left blank.



LEGEND

- VIBROCORE SEDIMENT SAMPLE LOCATION
- MACROINVERTEBRATE/SEDIMENT CHARACTERIZATION SAMPLES
- —50— CONCENTRATION ISOPLETHS (µg/g)
- MONITORING WELLS

SCALE IN FEET


0 250 500

NOTE SAMPLE DEPTH 0-1 FEET

FIGURE 4-1
DISTRIBUTION OF ARSENIC IN SHALLOW PLOW SHOP POND SEDIMENTS
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ABB Environmental Services, Inc.

9307007D



LEGEND

- VIBROCORE SEDIMENT SAMPLE LOCATION
- MACROINVERTEBRATE/SEDIMENT CHARACTERIZATION SAMPLES
- —50— CONCENTRATION ISOPLETHS (µg/g)
- MONITORING WELLS

SCALE IN FEET


0 250 500

NOTE SAMPLE DEPTH 0-1 FEET

FIGURE 4-2
DISTRIBUTION OF BARIUM IN SHALLOW PLOW SHOP POND SEDIMENTS
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ABB Environmental Services, Inc.

9307007D



LEGEND

- VIBROCORE SEDIMENT SAMPLE LOCATION
- MACROINVERTEBRATE/SEDIMENT CHARACTERIZATION SAMPLES
- —50— CONCENTRATION ISOPLETHS (µg/g)
- MONITORING WELLS

SCALE IN FEET

0 250 500

NOTE SAMPLE DEPTH 0-1 FEET

FIGURE 4-3
DISTRIBUTION OF CHROMIUM IN SHALLOW PLOW SHOP POND SEDIMENTS
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ABB Environmental Services, Inc.

9307007D




FIGURE 4-4
DISTRIBUTION OF COPPER IN SHALLOW PLOW SHOP POND SEDIMENTS
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ABB Environmental Services, Inc.

9307007D





FIGURE 4-5
DISTRIBUTION OF IRON IN SHALLOW PLOW SHOP POND SEDIMENTS
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ABB Environmental Services, Inc.

9307007D



LEGEND

- VIBROCORE SEDIMENT SAMPLE LOCATION
- MACROINVERTEBRATE/SEDIMENT CHARACTERIZATION SAMPLES
- —50— CONCENTRATION ISOPLETHS (µg/g)
- MONITORING WELLS

SCALE IN FEET

0 250 500

NOTE SAMPLE DEPTH 0-1 FEET

FIGURE 4-6
DISTRIBUTION OF LEAD IN SHALLOW PLOW SHOP POND SEDIMENTS
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ABB Environmental Services, Inc.

9307007D





FIGURE 4-7
DISTRIBUTION OF MANGANESE IN SHALLOW PLOW SHOP POND SEDIMENTS
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ABB Environmental Services, Inc.

9307007D



LEGEND

- VIBROCORE SEDIMENT SAMPLE LOCATION
- MACROINVERTEBRATE/SEDIMENT CHARACTERIZATION SAMPLES
- —50— CONCENTRATION ISOPLETHS (µg/g)
- MONITORING WELLS

SCALE IN FEET

0 250 500

NOTE SAMPLE DEPTH 0-1 FEET

FIGURE 4-8
DISTRIBUTION OF MERCURY IN SHALLOW PLOW SHOP POND SEDIMENTS
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ABB Environmental Services, Inc.

9307007D





FIGURE 4-9
DISTRIBUTION OF NICKEL IN SHALLOW PLOW SHOP POND SEDIMENTS
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ABB Environmental Services, Inc.

9307007D



LEGEND

- VIBROCORE SEDIMENT SAMPLE LOCATION
- MACROINVERTEBRATE/SEDIMENT CHARACTERIZATION SAMPLES
- —50— CONCENTRATION ISOPLETHS (µg/g)
- MONITORING WELLS

SCALE IN FEET

0 250 500

NOTE SAMPLE DEPTH 0-1 FEET

FIGURE 4-10
DISTRIBUTION OF ZINC IN SHALLOW PLOW SHOP POND SEDIMENTS
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ABB Environmental Services, Inc.

9307007D

TABLE 4-1
CALCULATED BACKGROUND CONCENTRATIONS

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

SOIL		GROUNDWATER	
ANALYTE	CONCENTRATION (ug/g)	ANALYTE	CONCENTRATION (ug/L)
Aluminum	15000	Aluminum	6870
Antimony	--	Antimony	3.03
Arsenic	21	Arsenic	10.5
Barium	42.5	Barium	39.6
Beryllium	0.347	Beryllium	5.00
Cadmium	2.00	Cadmium	4.01
Calcium	1400	Calcium	14700
Chromium	31	Chromium	14.7
Cobalt	--	Cobalt	25.0
Copper	8.39	Copper	8.09
Iron	15000	Iron	9100
Lead	34.4	Lead	4.25
Magnesium	5600	Magnesium	3480
Manganese	300	Manganese	291
Mercury	0.22	Mercury	0.243
Nickel	14.0	Nickel	34.3
Potassium	1700	Potassium	2370
Selenium	--	Selenium	3.02
Silver	.086	Silver	4.60
Sodium	131	Sodium	10800
Thallium	--	Thallium	6.99
Vanadium	28.7	Vanadium	11.0
Zinc	35.5	Zinc	21.1

TABLE 4–2
SEDIMENT EVALUATION CRITERIA

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	USEPA REGION V[1] (ug/g)	ONTARIO MOE (ug/g)
INORGANICS		
Arsenic	3	4.2
Barium	20	NA
Cadmium	NA	1.1
Chromium	25	31
Copper	25	25
Iron	17000	31200
Lead	40	23
Manganese	300	400
Mercury	NA	0.10
Nickel	20	31
Vanadium	NA	NA
Zinc	90	65

Notes:
[1]Concentrations above listed value are considered moderately polluted, concentrations below are considered nonpolluted
[2]NA = not applicable
MOE = Ontario Ministry of the Environment

TABLE 4-3
ROUND 1 GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL RESULTS SUMMARY FOR
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	SHL-3 UNFILTERED	SHL-3 FILTERED	SHL-4 UNFILTERED	SHL-4 FILTERED	SHL-5 UNFILTERED	SHL-5 FILTERED	SHL-6 UNFILTERED
VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS (ug/L)							
1,1-dichloroethane	< 0.68		< 0.68		< 0.68		< 0.68
1,2-dichloroethylenes (cis and trans isomers)	< 0.5		< 0.5		< 0.5		< 0.5
1,2-dichloroethane	< 0.5		< 0.5		< 0.5		< 0.5
1,2-dichloropropane	< 0.5		< 0.5		< 0.5		< 0.5
benzene	< 0.5		< 0.5		< 0.5		< 0.5
chloroethane	< 1.9		< 1.9		< 1.9		< 1.9
chloroform	< 0.5		< 0.5		< 0.5		< 0.5
dichlorobenzenes	ND 10 R		ND 10 R		ND 10 R		ND 10 R
trichloroethylene / trichloroethene	< 0.5		< 0.5		< 0.5		< 0.5
trichlorofluoromeyhane	< 1.4		< 1.4		< 1.4		< 1.4
EXPLOSIVES (ug/L)							
nitroglycerine	< 10		< 10		< 10		< 10
INORGANICS (ug/L)							
aluminum	1110	< 141	3520	< 141	1060	236	2830
arsenic	6.5	< 2.54	< 2.54	14.6	11.4	9.49	9.38
barium	7	4.64	36.5	18.1	8.22	5.96	21.9
calcium	3910	3430	8900	8520	16100	15400	21500
cobalt	< 25	< 25	< 25	< 25	< 25	< 25	< 25
chromium	8.15	< 6.02	9.59	< 6.02	< 6.02	< 6.02	9.61
copper	8.37	< 8.09	8.89	< 8.09	< 8.09	< 8.09	< 8.09
iron	2160	< 38.8	11100	830	4860	3900	5050
potassium	< 375	< 375	3790	3110	3360	3420	2890
magnesium	735	< 500	4040	3350	3270	3140	3400
manganese	44.4	3	453	264	459	454	324
sodium	1100	1010	9100	8020	2180	2250	23000
nickel	< 34.3	< 34.3	< 34.3	< 34.3	< 34.3	< 34.3	< 34.3
lead	6.83	1.52	6.51	< 1.26	3.8	< 1.26	4.34
antimony	3.3	< 3.03	< 3.03	< 3.03	< 3.03	< 3.03	< 3.03
vanadium	< 11	< 11	< 11	< 11	11.5	< 11	< 11
zinc	< 21.1	< 21.1	< 21.1	< 21.1	< 21.1	< 21.1	< 21.1
OTHER (ug/L)							
alkalinity	20000		41000		53000		7500
total hardness	12000		38000		50800		60000
total organic carbon	1280		5130		17100		< 1000
total dissolved solids	31000		80000		89000		171000
total suspended solids	127000		72000		66000		241000

Notes:
< = Less than
ND = not detected
R = Analyte required for reporting purposes,
but not currently certified.
S = Results based on internal standard.

TABLE 4-3
ROUND 1 GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL RESULTS SUMMARY FOR
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	SHL-7 UNFILTERED	SHL-8D UNFILTERED	SHL-8S UNFILTERED	SHL-9 UNFILTERED	SHL-9 FILTERED	SHM-93-01A FILTERED	SHL-10 UNFILTERED
VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS (ug/L)							
1,1-dichloroethane	< 0.68	< 0.68	< 0.68	< 0.68			< 0.68
1,2-dichloroethylenes (cis and trans isomers)	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5			< 0.5
1,2-dichloroethane	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5			< 0.5
1,2-dichloropropane	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5			< 0.5
benzene	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5			< 0.5
chloroethane	< 1.9	< 1.9	< 1.9	< 1.9			< 1.9
chloroform	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5			0.87
dichlorobenzenes	ND 10 R	ND 10 R	ND 10 R	ND 10 R			ND 10 R
trichloroethylene / trichloroethene	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5			< 0.5
trichlorofluoromeyhane	< 1.4	< 1.4	< 1.4	< 1.4			< 1.4
EXPLOSIVES (ug/L)							
nitroglycerine	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10			< 10
INORGANICS (ug/L)							
aluminum	344	< 141	< 141	637	< 141	< 141	75500
arsenic	< 2.54	< 2.54	< 2.54	42.4	20.9	< 2.54	280
barium	12.6	9.35	5.53	20.8	18.3	8.19	350
calcium	22600	15400	7050	36600	35400	14400	18900
cobalt	< 25	< 25	< 25	< 25	< 25	< 25	54.6
chromium	6	< 6.02	< 6.02	< 6.02	< 6.02	< 6.02	115
copper	8.35	< 8.09	< 8.09	< 8.09	< 8.09	< 8.09	92.2
iron	1390	53.4	79.6	9220	6450	< 38.8	95900
potassium	3390	2080	1920	4510	4490	2940	14500
magnesium	2180	2060	993	2380	2260	1710	22300
manganese	3080	712	1590	515	485	637	2130
sodium	8280	4480	5560	2010	1990	2850	3180
nickel	< 34.3	34.3	< 34.3	< 34.3	< 34.3	< 34.3	177
lead	1.84	< 1.26	< 1.26	1.63	< 1.26	< 1.26	66.8
antimony	< 3.03	< 3.03	< 3.03	< 3.03	< 3.03	< 3.03	< 3.03
vanadium	< 11	< 11	< 11	< 11	< 11	< 11	79.1
zinc	< 21.1	< 21.1	< 21.1	< 21.1	< 21.1	< 21.1	220
OTHER (ug/L)							
alkalinity	78000	41000	25500	102000			20000
total hardness	62400	46000	20000	92800			56800
total organic carbon	3730	1280	< 1000	13200			1280
total dissolved solids	118000	83000	57000	132000			570000
total suspended solids	53000	14000	4000	34000			3210000

Notes:

< = Less than

ND = not detected

R = Analyte required for reporting purposes,
but not currently certified.

S = Results based on internal standard.

TABLE 4-3
ROUND 1 GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL RESULTS SUMMARY FOR
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	SHL-10 FILTERED	SHL-10 (DUP) UNFILTERED	SHL-10 (DUP) FILTERED	SHL-11 UNFILTERED	SHL-11 FILTERED	SHL-12 UNFILTERED	SHL-12 FILTERED
VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS (ug/L)							
1,1-dichloroethane		< 0.68		< 0.68		< 0.68	
1,2-dichloroethylenes (cis and trans isomers)		< 0.5		3.4		< 0.5	
1,2-dichloroethane		< 0.5		0.51		< 0.5	
1,2-dichloropropane		< 0.5		< 0.5		< 0.5	
benzene		< 0.5		1.7		< 0.5	
chloroethane		< 1.9		< 1.9		< 1.9	
chloroform		< 0.5		0.63		< 0.5	
dichlorobenzenes		ND 10 R		ND 10 R		ND 10 R	
trichloroethylene / trichloroethene		< 0.5		< 0.5		< 0.5	
trichlorofluoromeyhane		< 1.4		< 1.4		< 1.4	
EXPLOSIVES (ug/L)							
nitroglycerine		< 10		< 10		< 10	
INORGANICS (ug/L)							
aluminum	< 141	15400	< 141	2580	< 141	5660	< 141
arsenic	< 2.54	58.6	< 2.54	340	230	31.6	< 2.54
barium	5.32	78.1	5.14	122	117	33.1	8.98
calcium	8620	13000	8220	56800	57400	14300	11400
cobalt	< 25	< 25	< 25	< 25	< 25	< 25	< 25
chromium	< 6.02	28	< 6.02	< 6.02	< 6.02	14.5	< 6.02
copper	< 8.09	15	< 8.09	9.2	< 8.09	11	< 8.09
iron	< 38.8	18300	43.9	97400	91600	10500	< 38.8
potassium	968	4860	1750	10700	10600	2880	540
magnesium	871	5110	895	8970	8420	3140	908
manganese	11.2	463	10.5	4890	4800	336	14.8
sodium	1420	2250	1340	52300	53800	6520	5890
nickel	< 34.3	< 34.3	< 34.3	< 34.3	< 34.3	< 34.3	< 34.3
lead	1.41	14.9	< 1.26	1.63	< 1.26	8.46	< 1.26
antimony	< 3.03	< 3.03	< 3.03	2.77	< 3.03	< 3.03	< 3.03
vanadium	< 11	21.9	< 11	< 11	< 11	< 11	< 11
zinc	< 21.1	50.8	< 21.1	< 21.1	< 21.1	30	< 21.1
OTHER (ug/L)							
alkalinity		23000		255000		36000	
total hardness		39600		164000		40000	
total organic carbon		< 1000		12900		1580	
total dissolved solids		48000		458000		66000	
total suspended solids		1680000		204000		240000	

Notes:

< = Less than

ND = not detected

R = Analyte required for reporting purposes,
but not currently certified.

S = Results based on internal standard.

TABLE 4–3
ROUND 1 GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL RESULTS SUMMARY FOR
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	SHL–13 UNFILTERED	SHL–13 FILTERED	SHL–15 UNFILTERED	SHL–15 FILTERED	SHL–17 UNFILTERED	SHL–18 UNFILTERED	SHL–19 UNFILTERED
VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS (ug/L)							
1,1–dichloroethane	< 0.68		< 0.68		< 0.68	< 0.68	< 0.68
1,2–dichloroethylenes (cis and trans isomers)	< 0.5		< 0.5		< 0.5	< 0.5	1.6
1,2–dichloroethane	< 0.5		< 0.5		< 0.5	< 0.5	0.55
1,2–dichloropropane	< 0.5		< 0.5		< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
benzene	< 0.5		< 0.5		< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
chloroethane	< 1.9		< 1.9		< 1.9	< 1.9	< 1.9
chloroform	< 0.5		< 0.5		< 0.5	0.68	< 0.5
dichlorobenzenes	ND 10 R		ND 10 R		ND 10 R	ND 10 R	ND 10 R
trichloroethylene / trichloroethene	< 0.5		< 0.5		< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
trichlorofluoromeyhane	< 1.4		2.1 S		< 1.4	< 1.4	< 1.4
EXPLOSIVES (ug/L)							
nitroglycerine	< 10		< 10		< 10	< 10	< 10
INORGANICS (ug/L)							
aluminum	4030	< 141	1330	< 141	352	222	1100
arsenic	17	< 2.54	24	< 2.54	3.2	3.09	390
barium	28	8.71	39.4	26.2	12.3	< 5	29.3
calcium	12000	11000	15600	16900	18800	5910	25100
cobalt	< 25	< 25	< 25	< 25	< 25	< 25	< 25
chromium	7.05	< 6.02	< 6.02	6.95	< 6.02	< 6.02	< 6.02
copper	< 8.09	< 8.09	< 8.09	< 8.09	< 8.09	< 8.09	< 8.09
iron	5350	< 38.8	1840	42.5	482	417	29600
potassium	1900	829	3260	1870	694	1920	4720
magnesium	2850	1840	1900	1860	966	783	3460
manganese	274	114	1430	1850	17.2	20.9	1890
sodium	17300	16400	7370	7630	4590	2770	5350
nickel	< 34.3	< 34.3	< 34.3	< 34.3	< 34.3	< 34.3	< 34.3
lead	7.38	< 1.26	3.69	1.63	< 1.26	1.95	2.82
antimony	< 3.03	< 3.03	< 3.03	< 3.03	< 3.03	< 3.03	< 3.03
vanadium	< 11	< 11	< 11	< 11	< 11	< 11	< 11
zinc	< 21.1	< 21.1	35.8	28.8	< 21.1	< 21.1	< 21.1
OTHER (ug/L)							
alkalinity	26000		15000		50000	12000	46000
total hardness	36800		47000		48600	15800	69200
total organic carbon	1530		2550		1180	< 1000	1780
total dissolved solids	109000		115000		93000	54000	114000
total suspended solids	126000		66000		29000	47000	129000

Notes:

< = Less than

ND = not detected

R = Analyte required for reporting purposes, but not currently certified.

S = Results based on internal standard.

TABLE 4–3
ROUND 1 GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL RESULTS SUMMARY FOR
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	SHL–19 FILTERED	SHL–20 UNFILTERED	SHL–20 FILTERED	SHL–21 UNFILTERED	SHL–22 UNFILTERED	SHL–23 UNFILTERED	SHL–23 FILTERED
VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS (ug/L)							
1,1–dichloroethane		0.95		< 0.68	2.3	< 0.68	
1,2–dichloroethylenes (cis and trans isomers)		4		< 0.5	4.1	< 0.5	
1,2–dichloroethane		0.66		< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	
1,2–dichloropropane		< 0.5		< 0.5	0.52	< 0.5	
benzene		1.6		< 0.5	1.1	< 0.5	
chloroethane		5.5		< 1.9	< 1.9	< 1.9	
chloroform		< 0.5		< 0.5	< 0.5	0.85	
dichlorobenzenes		11 S		ND 10 R	ND 10 R	ND 10 R	
trichloroethylene / trichloroethene		< 0.5		< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	
trichlorofluoromeyhane		< 1.4		< 1.4	< 1.4	< 1.4	
EXPLOSIVES (ug/L)							
nitroglycerine		< 10		< 10	< 10	< 10	
INORGANICS (ug/L)							
aluminum	< 141	< 141	< 141	2850	< 141	1410	< 141
arsenic	160	330	270	14	32.9	3.3	< 2.54
barium	19.9	93.5	88.7	15	41	21.9	16.9
calcium	25100	174000	175000	9630	219000	9990	9770
cobalt	< 25	< 25	< 25	< 25	< 25	< 25	< 25
chromium	< 6.02	< 6.02	< 6.02	7.38	< 6.02	< 6.02	< 6.02
copper	< 8.09	< 8.09	< 8.09	< 8.09	< 8.09	< 8.09	< 8.09
iron	22600	20100	18800	4410	9540	1550	94.3
potassium	3840	8090	7680	1870	8660	3550	3510
magnesium	3110	20300	19900	1780	24000	1230	983
manganese	1890	9650	9540	144	8180	101	66.4
sodium	5170	67300	64600	3140	64200	1830	1810
nickel	< 34.3	< 34.3	< 34.3	< 34.3	< 34.3	< 34.3	< 34.3
lead	< 1.26	< 1.26	< 1.26	4.88	< 1.26	< 1.26	< 1.26
antimony	< 3.03	< 3.03	< 3.03	< 3.03	< 3.03	< 3.03	
vanadium	< 11	< 11	< 11	< 11	< 11	< 11	< 11
zinc	< 21.1	< 21.1	< 21.1	< 21.1	122	57.7	66.2
OTHER (ug/L)							
alkalinity		600000		24000	570000	5500	
total hardness		527000		26200	17600	25600	
total organic carbon		12300		2780	22400	1370	
total dissolved solids		772000		73000	586000	76000	
total suspended solids		48000		112000	25000	47000	

Notes:

< = Less than

ND = not detected

R= Analyte required for reporting purposes,
but not currently certified.

S = Results based on internal standard.

TABLE 4-3
ROUND 1 GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL RESULTS SUMMARY FOR
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	SHL-24 UNFILTERED	SHL-25 UNFILTERED	SHM-93-01A UNFILTERED	SHM-93-10C UNFILTERED	SHM-93-18B UNFILTERED	SHM-93-18B FILTERED
VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS (ug/L)						
1,1-dichloroethane	< 0.68	< 0.68	< 0.68	4.4	< 0.68	
1,2-dichloroethylenes (cis and trans isomers)	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	7	< 0.5	
1,2-dichloroethane	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	9.9	< 0.5	
1,2-dichloropropane	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	
benzene	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	
chloroethane	< 1.9	< 1.9	< 1.9	< 1.9	< 1.9	
chloroform	0.52	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	
dichlorobenzenes	ND 10 R	ND 10 R	ND 10 R	ND 10 R	ND 10 R	
trichloroethylene / trichloroethene	< 0.5	0.57	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	
trichlorofluoromeyhane	< 1.4	< 1.4	< 1.4	< 1.4	< 1.4	
EXPLOSIVES (ug/L)						
nitroglycerine	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	
INORGANICS (ug/L)						
aluminum	195	742	2260	494	462	< 141
arsenic	20.4	3.09	7.36	21.3	< 2.54	< 2.54
barium	6.66	5.52	22.1	9.91	16.3	13.4
calcium	25400	11900	18800	73300	32900	33300
cobalt	< 25	< 25	< 25	< 25	< 25	< 25
chromium	< 6.02	< 6.02	< 6.02	< 6.02	< 6.02	< 6.02
copper	< 8.09	< 8.09	< 8.09	< 8.09	< 8.09	< 8.09
iron	323	807	3320	793	805	< 38.8
potassium	3850	2670	5220	7820	3580	3860
magnesium	3870	934	2800	3830	4140	3960
manganese	21.6	16.1	1030	64.7	254	258
sodium	6480	10300	3690	7030	27100	27100
nickel	< 34.3	< 34.3	< 34.3	< 34.3	< 34.3	< 34.3
lead	5.53	2.93	4.01	< 1.26	1.95	< 1.26
antimony	< 3.03	< 3.03	< 3.03	< 3.03	< 3.03	< 3.03
vanadium	< 11	< 11	< 11	< 11	< 11	< 11
zinc	36.8	< 21.1	66.5	< 21.1	< 21.1	25.5
OTHER (ug/L)						
alkalinity	44500	22500	43500	149000	76500	
total hardness	76800	32400	55200	200000	108000	
total organic carbon	< 1000	1880	2380	1520	< 1000	
total dissolved solids	124000	64000	111000	280000	205000	
total suspended solids	12000	34000	107000	710000	63000	

Notes:

< = Less than

ND = not detected

R = Analyte required for reporting purposes, but not currently certified.

S = Results based on internal standard.

TABLE 4-3
ROUND 1 GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL RESULTS SUMMARY FOR
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	SHM-93-22C UNFILTERED		SHM-93-24A UNFILTERED		SHM-93-24A FILTERED	
VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS (ug/L)						
1,1-dichloroethane		1.3	<	0.68		
1,2-dichloroethylenes (cis and trans isomers)		1.4	<	0.5		
1,2-dichloroethane	<	0.5	<	0.5		
1,2-dichloropropane	<	0.5	<	0.5		
benzene	<	0.5	<	0.5		
chloroethane	<	1.9	<	1.9		
chloroform	<	0.5	<	0.5		
dichlorobenzenes	ND	10 R	ND	10 R		
trichloroethylene / trichloroethene	<	0.5	<	0.5		
trichlorofluoromeyhane	<	1.4	<	1.4		
EXPLOSIVES (ug/L)						
nitroglycerine	<	10		80.8		
INORGANICS (ug/L)						
aluminum		310		558	<	141
arsenic		68.9		5.54	<	2.54
barium		38.5		7.61	<	5
calcium		67600		10500		10000
cobalt	<	25	<	25	<	25
chromium		9.07	<	6.02	<	6.02
copper	<	8.09	<	8.09	<	8.09
iron		203		1040	<	38.8
potassium		31800		1540		1030
magnesium		14200		1060		927
manganese		160		70.2		6.68
sodium		39600		16500		15300
nickel	<	34.3	<	34.3	<	34.3
lead	<	1.26		1.63	<	1.26
antimony	<	3.03	<	3.03	<	3.03
vanadium		12.6	<	11	<	11
zinc	<	21.1	<	21.1		30.1
OTHER (ug/L)						
alkalinity		226000		15000		
total hardness		220000		30800		
total organic carbon		4050		1120		
total dissolved solids		354000		75000		
total suspended solids		28000		36000		

Notes:

< = Less than

ND = not detected

R= Analyte required for reporting purposes,
but not currently certified.

S = Results based on internal standard.

TABLE 4-4

ROUND 2 GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL RESULTS SUMMARY FOR
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	SHM-93-01A UNFILTERED	SHM-93-01A FILTERED	SHM-93-10C UNFILTERED	SHM-93-18B UNFILTERED	SHM-93-18B FILTERED	SHM-93-22C UNFILTERED	SHM-93-24A UNFILTERED	SHM-93-24A UNFILTERED (DUP)	SHM-93-24A FILTERED	SHM-93-24A FILTERED (DUP)
ORGANICS (ug/L)										
1,1-dichloroethane	< 0.68		3.3	< 0.68		1.8	< 0.68	< 0.68		
1,2-dichloroethane	0.84		8.1	< 0.5		< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5		
acetone	14 (1)		15	< 13		< 13	< 13	< 13		
toluene	< 0.5		< 0.5	< 0.5		0.56	< 0.5	< 0.5		
INORGANICS (ug/L)										
aluminum	3370	< 141	233	185	< 141	293	3430	3950	< 141	< 141
arsenic	8.32	< 2.54	18.1	< 2.54	< 2.54	49.8	22.4	20.1	< 2.54	< 2.54
barium	22	5.19	8.64	17.7	17.5	48.1	19.4	21.6	7.44	5.02
calcium	10600	9700	78600	33600	33300	79900	10800	10800	10000	10200
chromium	6.34	< 6.02	< 6.02	< 6.02	< 6.02	< 6.02	6.64	8.28	< 6.02	< 6.02
copper	< 8.09	< 8.09	< 8.09	< 8.09	< 8.09	< 8.09	11.5	10.2	< 8.09	< 8.09
iron	4180	< 38.8	260	283	< 38.8	199	6700	7140	< 38.8	< 38.8
potassium	3600	2090	8400	3800	4280	23500	1600	1870	953	923
magnesium	2210	1160	4260	4180	4130	14700	1830	1940	836	854
manganese	297	162	61.8	290	287	292	363	367	7.64	5.89
sodium	2310	1790	10900	30900	30500	41400	16800	16500	16200	16500
lead	2.82	< 1.26	< 1.26	< 1.26	< 1.26	< 1.26	5.31	5.53	< 1.26	< 1.26
antimony	< 3.03	< 3.03	< 3.03	< 3.03	3.12	< 3.03	< 3.03	< 3.03	< 3.03	< 3.03
OTHER (ug/L)										
alkalinity	23000		155000	75000		240000	14000	14000		
total hardness	30000		192000	97600		240000	28400	30800		
total organic carbon	1320		2270	1830		4800	1310	< 1000		
total dissolved solids	56000		268000	213000		381000	90000	93000		
total suspended solids	396000		7000	< 4000		16000	98000	151000		

Notes:
< = Less than
(1) = Results less than CRL, but greater than COD
DUP = duplicate sample

TABLE 4-5
SEDIMENT SAMPLE ANALYTICAL RESULTS
PLOW SHOP POND

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP IA SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	SHD-92-01X 0 FT	SHD-92-01X 3 FT	SHD-92-01X 5 FT	SHD-92-02X 0 FT	SHD-92-02X 3 FT
PESTICIDES/PCBs (ug/g)					
DDD	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.008	1.8	< 0.008
DDE	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.008	1.3	< 0.008
DDT	< 0.007	< 0.007	< 0.007	< 0.071	< 0.007
INORGANICS (ug/g)					
aluminum	4590	7350	2930	8470	2390
arsenic	510	130	11.8	340	1.67
barium	113	63.5	13.5	344	155
beryllium	< 0.5	3.16	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
calcium	20100	10800	383	13300	9820
cadmium	< 0.7	< 0.7	< 0.7	< 0.7	< 0.7
cobalt	< 1.42	< 1.42	< 1.42	58.7	< 1.42
chromium	72.8	< 4.05	7.77	2400	< 4.05
copper	< 0.965	7.88	2.91	105	10.2
iron	46100	11600	3480	68400	1590
mercury	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	16	< 0.05
potassium	< 100	< 100	261	< 100	< 100
magnesium	1800	819	959	1700	896
manganese	1690	812	50.1	54800	154
sodium	1790	713	173	2240	821
nickel	< 1.71	10.2	9.89	70.1	< 1.71
lead	8.63	5.24	2.11	132	1.14
selenium	3.54	1.86	< 0.25	< 0.25	< 0.25
vanadium	< 3.39	< 3.39	< 3.39	61.7	< 3.39
zinc	< 8.03	< 8.03	< 8.03	370	< 8.03
OTHER (ug/g)					
total organic carbon	663000	319000	1290	825000	409000
SOLIDS (% WET WT)	10.7	32.6	76.8	6.9	24.7

Notes:
< = less than

TABLE 4-5
SEDIMENT SAMPLE ANALYTICAL RESULTS
PLOW SHOP POND

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP IA SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	SHD-92-02X	SHD-92-03X	SHD-92-03X	SHD-92-03X	SHD-92-04X
	5 FT	0 FT	3 FT	3 FT (DUP)	0 FT
PESTICIDES/PCBs (ug/g)					
DDD	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.008
DDE	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.008
DDT	< 0.007	< 0.007	< 0.007	< 0.007	< 0.007
INORGANICS (ug/g)					
aluminum	11400	1900	2830	4820	2970
arsenic	2.53	120	46.1	28.5	500
barium	95.9	62.5	62.1	37.5	122
beryllium	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	5.41	< 0.5
calcium	1260	5860	15500	11000	13200
cadmium	< 0.7	< 0.7	< 0.7	< 0.7	< 0.7
cobalt	< 1.42	< 1.42	< 1.42	< 1.42	< 1.42
chromium	13.6	335	381	532	600
copper	31.8	< 0.965	< 0.965	14.5	< 0.965
iron	1090	15100	9180	10500	52600
mercury	< 0.05	1.79	1.48	2.48	7.37
potassium	< 100	< 100	< 100	< 100	< 100
magnesium	< 100	< 100	1140	945	< 100
manganese	32.2	973	787	704	3670
sodium	369	786	991	1090	1640
nickel	8.79	< 1.71	< 1.71	< 1.71	< 1.71
lead	11.2	6.82	15.1	11.6	41.5
selenium	0.896	< 0.25	2.95	2.75	< 0.25
vanadium	11.9	< 3.39	< 3.39	< 3.39	< 3.39
zinc	< 8.03	< 8.03	< 8.03	< 8.03	< 8.03
OTHER (ug/g)					
total organic carbon	152000	318000	458000	357000	568000
SOLIDS (% WET WT)	40.4	19.1	16.4	18.8	10

Notes:
< = less than

TABLE 4-5
SEDIMENT SAMPLE ANALYTICAL RESULTS
PLOW SHOP POND

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP IA SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	SHD-92-04X	SHD-92-05X	SHD-92-05X	SHD-92-05X	SHD-92-06X
	1 FT	0 FT	2 FT	4 FT	0 FT
PESTICIDES/PCBs (ug/g)					
DDD	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.008
DDE	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.008
DDT	< 0.007	< 0.007	< 0.007	< 0.007	< 0.007
INORGANICS (ug/g)					
aluminum	1700	6310	1270	640	6520
arsenic	210	91.8	2.25	1.77	210
barium	99.7	116	31.5	20.9	274
beryllium	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
calcium	14700	17700	10600	7110	11700
cadmium	< 0.7	< 0.7	< 0.7	< 0.7	19.2
cobalt	< 1.42	< 1.42	< 1.42	< 1.42	22.4
chromium	475	773	< 4.05	16.7	1870
copper	< 0.965	37.6	< 0.965	< 0.965	56
iron	19600	14400	1010	1250	25500
mercury	2.45	2.61	< 0.05	< 0.05	18
potassium	< 100	< 100	< 100	< 100	< 100
magnesium	950	1380	< 100	< 100	1470
manganese	2250	2410	32.2	86.4	5500
sodium	1040	1940	911	550	2030
nickel	< 1.71	25.8	< 1.71	< 1.71	55.6
lead	9.47	37.3	< 0.177	3.28	113
selenium	< 0.25	< 0.25	< 0.25	< 0.25	< 0.25
vanadium	< 3.39	< 3.39	< 3.39	< 3.39	< 3.39
zinc	< 8.03	147	< 8.03	41.8	403
OTHER (ug/g)					
total organic carbon	490000	840000	400000	228000	499000
SOLIDS (% WET WT)	17.8	7.8	19.2	33.1	7.4

Notes:
< = less than

TABLE 4–5
SEDIMENT SAMPLE ANALYTICAL RESULTS
PLOW SHOP POND

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP IA SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	SHD–92–06X 3 FT	SHD–92–06X 5 FT	SHD–92–07X 0 FT	SHD–92–07X 3 FT	SHD–92–08X 0 FT
PESTICIDES/PCBs (ug/g)					
DDD	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.008
DDE	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.008
DDT	< 0.007	< 0.007	< 0.007	< 0.007	< 0.007
INORGANICS (ug/g)					
aluminum	899	1230	1290	1190	1590
arsenic	3.73	14.3	48	25.7	86
barium	22.6	30.4	27	34.3	42.7
beryllium	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
calcium	12000	11100	5850	10900	12200
cadmium	< 0.7	< 0.7	< 0.7	< 0.7	< 0.7
cobalt	< 1.42	< 1.42	< 1.42	< 1.42	< 1.42
chromium	< 4.05	97.9	169	203	416
copper	< 0.965	5.22	5.08	< 0.965	9.53
iron	833	2420	2940	2390	5240
mercury	< 0.05	0.325	0.646	1.49	3.05
potassium	< 100	< 100	< 100	< 100	< 100
magnesium	591	694	471	713	727
manganese	46	354	270	235	405
sodium	725	797	646	972	1000
nickel	< 1.71	< 1.71	< 1.71	< 1.71	< 1.71
lead	0.956	4.46	5.51	8.58	19.3
selenium	< 0.25	< 0.25	< 0.25	1.94	< 0.25
vanadium	< 3.39	< 3.39	< 3.39	< 3.39	< 3.39
zinc	< 8.03	< 8.03	< 8.03	< 8.03	< 8.03
OTHER (ug/g)					
total organic carbon	298000	375000	315000	348000	453000
SOLIDS (% WET WT)	26.1	20.4	25.5	18.2	16.1

Notes:
< = less than

TABLE 4-5
SEDIMENT SAMPLE ANALYTICAL RESULTS
PLOW SHOP POND

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP IA SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	SHD-92-08X 4 FT	SHD-92-08X 7 FT	SHD-92-09X 0 FT	SHD-92-09X 3 FT	SHD-92-09X 5 FT
PESTICIDES/PCBs (ug/g)					
DDD	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.008
DDE	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.008
DDT	< 0.007	< 0.007	< 0.007	< 0.007	< 0.007
INORGANICS (ug/g)					
aluminum	1260	816	859	353	638
arsenic	2.91	13.6	44.3	2.71	4.43
barium	17.4	30.2	34	15.3	26
beryllium	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
calcium	7450	6860	7940	5980	9380
cadmium	< 0.7	< 0.7	< 0.7	< 0.7	< 0.7
cobalt	< 1.42	< 1.42	< 1.42	< 1.42	< 1.42
chromium	25.5	168	188	< 4.05	< 4.05
copper	3.56	4.03	< 0.965	< 0.965	< 0.965
iron	946	2940	5010	335	1140
mercury	< 0.05	2.69	1.15	< 0.05	< 0.05
potassium	< 100	< 100	< 100	< 100	< 100
magnesium	< 100	< 100	551	447	431
manganese	68.1	255	281	16.9	61.9
sodium	587	575	924	516	696
nickel	< 1.71	< 1.71	< 1.71	< 1.71	< 1.71
lead	1.45	6.97	7.13	< 0.177	0.827
selenium	1.33	1.27	< 0.25	< 0.25	1.53
vanadium	< 3.39	< 3.39	< 3.39	< 3.39	< 3.39
zinc	< 8.03	< 8.03	< 8.03	< 8.03	< 8.03
OTHER (ug/g)					
total organic carbon	208000	248000	310000	227000	377000
SOLIDS (% WET WT)	31.7	29	19.7	40.9	28.4

Notes:
< = less than

TABLE 4–5
SEDIMENT SAMPLE ANALYTICAL RESULTS
PLOW SHOP POND

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP IA SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	SHD–92–10X 0 FT	SHD–92–10X 3 FT	SHD–92–10X 5 FT	SHD–92–11X 0 FT	SHD–92–12X 0 FT
PESTICIDES/PCBs (ug/g)					
DDD	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.008
DDE	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.008	0.074	< 0.008
DDT	< 0.007	< 0.007	< 0.007	< 0.007	< 0.007
INORGANICS (ug/g)					
aluminum	388	775	767	8150	9250
arsenic	3.49	13.1	93	260	420
barium	< 5.18	26.4	39.3	89.1	121
beryllium	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
calcium	6850	10600	8650	8210	8410
cadmium	< 0.7	< 0.7	< 0.7	< 0.7	< 0.7
cobalt	< 1.42	< 1.42	< 1.42	< 1.42	< 1.42
chromium	< 4.05	35.4	139	1590	3400
copper	< 0.965	< 0.965	< 0.965	99.5	50.4
iron	428	1650	13500	16400	18600
mercury	< 0.05	0.719	1.14	22	22
potassium	< 100	< 100	< 100	< 100	< 100
magnesium	< 100	501	< 100	2060	1800
manganese	< 2.05	36.1	506	218	608
sodium	574	871	885	1600	2000
nickel	< 1.71	< 1.71	< 1.71	27.9	31
lead	< 0.177	1.99	3.96	260	108
selenium	< 0.25	< 0.25	< 0.25	5.85	< 0.25
vanadium	< 3.39	< 3.39	< 3.39	< 3.39	< 3.39
zinc	< 8.03	< 8.03	< 8.03	204	221
OTHER (ug/g)					
total organic carbon	232000	327000	293000	536000	513000
SOLIDS (% WET WT)	30.1	22.1	20	10.7	7.7

Notes:
< = less than

TABLE 4–5
SEDIMENT SAMPLE ANALYTICAL RESULTS
PLOW SHOP POND

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP IA SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	SHD–92–12X 3 FT	SHD–92–12X 4 FT	SHD–92–13X 0 FT	SHD–92–13X 3 FT	SHD–92–13X 5 FT
PESTICIDES/PCBs (ug/g)					
DDD	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.008
DDE	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.008
DDT	< 0.007	< 0.007	< 0.007	< 0.007	< 0.007
INORGANICS (ug/g)					
aluminum	5100	1830	7360	6930	6890
arsenic	4.42	1.53	340	29.6	12.7
barium	44.6	16.5	93.4	60.3	77.7
beryllium	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
calcium	2690	846	3290	7310	8000
cadmium	< 0.7	< 0.7	< 0.7	< 0.7	< 0.7
cobalt	4.54	< 1.42	10.2	< 1.42	< 1.42
chromium	23.6	< 4.05	5250	184	71.9
copper	3.32	< 0.965	66.7	9.95	6.04
iron	4120	1860	15700	3760	5860
mercury	< 0.05	< 0.05	89	1.33	0.478
potassium	< 100	< 100	817	< 100	< 100
magnesium	1290	607	1630	782	1450
manganese	141	53.2	342	270	546
sodium	556	248	792	962	768
nickel	10.2	4	22.1	< 1.71	17
lead	3.58	0.757	160	13.9	7.76
selenium	1.22	< 0.25	2.77	< 0.25	1.72
vanadium	< 3.39	< 3.39	21.6	< 3.39	< 3.39
zinc	< 8.03	< 8.03	248	< 8.03	< 8.03
OTHER (ug/g)					
total organic carbon	170000	32800	801000	612000	650000
SOLIDS (% WET WT)	35.5	69.2	19.1	17	19.7

Notes:
< = less than

TABLE 4–5
SEDIMENT SAMPLE ANALYTICAL RESULTS
PLOW SHOP POND

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP IA SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	SHD–92–14X 0 FT	SHD–92–14X 3 FT	SHD–92–14X 5 FT	SHD–92–15X 0 FT	SHD–92–15X 3 FT
PESTICIDES/PCBs (ug/g)					
DDD	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.008
DDE	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.008
DDT	< 0.007	< 0.007	< 0.007	< 0.007	< 0.007
INORGANICS (ug/g)					
aluminum	4280	2680	4080	5460	1220
arsenic	390	28.8	8.44	300	12.6
barium	52.6	< 5.18	< 5.18	79.8	< 5.18
beryllium	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
calcium	11100	16400	12500	16200	23000
cadmium	< 0.7	< 0.7	< 0.7	< 0.7	< 0.7
cobalt	< 1.42	< 1.42	< 1.42	< 1.42	< 1.42
chromium	797	< 4.05	< 4.05	2130	< 4.05
copper	20	< 0.965	10.5	33.4	< 0.965
iron	12300	2440	2380	18100	1690
mercury	6.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	19	< 0.05
potassium	< 100	< 100	< 100	< 100	< 100
magnesium	< 100	< 100	< 100	1540	1730
manganese	681	184	288	1040	178
sodium	1770	2580	1740	2870	2340
nickel	< 1.71	< 1.71	< 1.71	< 1.71	< 1.71
lead	31.7	5.06	4.14	102	3.56
selenium	< 0.25	< 0.25	< 0.25	< 0.25	< 0.25
vanadium	< 3.39	< 3.39	< 3.39	< 3.39	< 3.39
zinc	< 8.03	< 8.03	< 8.03	188	< 8.03
OTHER (ug/g)					
total organic carbon	671000	898000	1040000	575000	674000
SOLIDS (% WET WT)	10.7	9.5	11.4	8.1	9.9

Notes:
< = less than

TABLE 4–5
SEDIMENT SAMPLE ANALYTICAL RESULTS
PLOW SHOP POND

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP IA SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	SHD–92–15X	SHD–92–16X	SHD–92–16X	SHD–92–16X	SHD–92–17X
	5 FT	0 FT	3 FT	5 FT	0 FT
PESTICIDES/PCBs (ug/g)					
DDD	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.008
DDE	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.008
DDT	< 0.007	< 0.007	< 0.007	< 0.007	< 0.007
INORGANICS (ug/g)					
aluminum	1620	9920	1660	1780	2790
arsenic	22.7	320	21.3	12.4	97.9
barium	< 5.18	96.8	< 5.18	< 5.18	< 5.18
beryllium	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
calcium	19600	8950	20700	16600	18200
cadmium	< 0.7	< 0.7	< 0.7	< 0.7	< 0.7
cobalt	< 1.42	< 1.42	< 1.42	< 1.42	< 1.42
chromium	173	6170	114	148	301
copper	< 0.965	72.8	< 0.965	< 0.965	< 0.965
iron	2970	24200	2670	2230	8420
mercury	0.766	77	0.954	< 0.05	4.64
potassium	< 100	< 100	< 100	< 100	< 100
magnesium	1380	2120	1360	1320	1460
manganese	150	826	161	143	1000
sodium	2310	2240	2210	2350	2740
nickel	< 1.71	38.2	< 1.71	< 1.71	< 1.71
lead	17.7	200	6.44	4.31	17.2
selenium	< 0.25	6.62	< 0.25	< 0.25	< 0.25
vanadium	< 3.39	< 3.39	< 3.39	< 3.39	< 3.39
zinc	< 8.03	373	< 8.03	< 8.03	< 8.03
OTHER (ug/g)					
total organic carbon	688000	350000	675000	621000	584000
SOLIDS (% WET WT)	10.5	8.6	11.1	11.1	8.6

Notes:
< = less than

TABLE 4–5
SEDIMENT SAMPLE ANALYTICAL RESULTS
PLOW SHOP POND

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP IA SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	SHD–92–17X 3 FT	SHD–92–17X 5 FT	SHD–92–18X 0 FT	SHD–92–18X 3 FT	SHD–92–18X 5 FT
PESTICIDES/PCBs (ug/g)					
DDD	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.008
DDE	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.008
DDT	< 0.007	< 0.007	< 0.007	< 0.007	< 0.007
INORGANICS (ug/g)					
aluminum	2020	2290	4010	4550	4780
arsenic	37.3	14.5	170	49.5	34.2
barium	< 5.18	< 5.18	< 5.18	< 5.18	< 5.18
beryllium	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
calcium	17700	11500	13300	7700	4030
cadmium	< 0.7	< 0.7	< 0.7	< 0.7	< 0.7
cobalt	< 1.42	< 1.42	< 1.42	< 1.42	< 1.42
chromium	104	71.6	90.3	181	< 4.05
copper	< 0.965	8.66	< 0.965	13.1	10.3
iron	9920	7220	13100	3720	1700
mercury	1.3	0.715	0.695	1.18	< 0.05
potassium	< 100	< 100	< 100	< 100	< 100
magnesium	1510	< 100	< 100	< 100	626
manganese	1320	251	1420	219	90.5
sodium	2160	2710	2460	2370	1250
nickel	< 1.71	< 1.71	< 1.71	< 1.71	14.1
lead	6.37	3.16	30.6	18.2	2.41
selenium	< 0.25	< 0.25	< 0.25	< 0.25	2.27
vanadium	< 3.39	< 3.39	< 3.39	< 3.39	< 3.39
zinc	< 8.03	< 8.03	< 8.03	< 8.03	< 8.03
OTHER (ug/g)					
total organic carbon	1840000	688000	564000	650000	302000
SOLIDS (% WET WT)	10.7	11.9	8	12.1	20.4

Notes:
< = less than

TABLE 4–5
SEDIMENT SAMPLE ANALYTICAL RESULTS
PLOW SHOP POND

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP IA SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	SHD–92–19X 0 FT	SHD–92–19X 2 FT	SHD–92–20X 0 FT	SHD–92–20X 3 FT	SHD–92–20X 5 FT
PESTICIDES/PCBs (ug/g)					
DDD	0.017	0.013	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.008
DDE	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.008
DDT	< 0.007	< 0.007	< 0.007	< 0.007	< 0.007
INORGANICS (ug/g)					
aluminum	4150	2800	6620	7240	5930
arsenic	11	9.99	150	34	11.6
barium	31.7	15.3	46.8	49.4	51.8
beryllium	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
calcium	1190.	562	2360	5280	4980
cadmium	< 0.7	< 0.7	< 0.7	< 0.7	< 0.7
cobalt	3.52	2.35	10.1	< 1.42	5.66
chromium	110	46.2	1190	93.5	41.4
copper	22.3	13.4	22.4	5.7	5.12
iron	16000	11400	10200	6630	5610
mercury	0.195	0.1	21	0.25	0.201
potassium	270	276	768	< 100	342
magnesium	1500	1040	1700	1870	1590
manganese	148	114	342	363	542
sodium	274	207	648	914	682
nickel	13.8	8.77	15.3	15.6	13.9
lead	25	17	63	9.94	4.37
selenium	0.698	0.496	2.34	1.92	1.86
vanadium	9.14	5.38	13.7	< 3.39	< 3.39
zinc	59.1	37.8	91.6	< 8.03	30.1
OTHER (ug/g)					
total organic carbon	14900	11200	110000	168000	110000
SOLIDS (% WET WT)	76.6	78.9	28.4	21.6	31.4

Notes:
< = less than

TABLE 4–5
SEDIMENT SAMPLE ANALYTICAL RESULTS
PLOW SHOP POND

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP IA SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	SHD–92–21X 0 FT	SHD–92–21X 4 FT	SHD–92–21X 4 FT (DUP)	SHD–92–21X 7 FT	SHD–92–22X 0 FT
PESTICIDES/PCBs (ug/g)					
DDD	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.008
DDE	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.008	0.133
DDT	< 0.007	< 0.007	< 0.007	< 0.007	< 0.007
INORGANICS (ug/g)					
aluminum	9980	5530	4780	6400	7310
arsenic	340	15.7	17.8	29.7	190
barium	94.6	48.7	43.8	57.4	46.8
beryllium	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
calcium	8350	8510	8790	7540	9950
cadmium	< 0.7	< 0.7	< 0.7	< 0.7	< 0.7
cobalt	< 1.42	< 1.42	< 1.42	< 1.42	< 1.42
chromium	4100	28.2	67.2	< 4.05	467
copper	54.3	6.7	7.97	14.3	12.3
iron	18600	5910	5450	7560	10100
mercury	< 0.05	< 0.05	0.42	< 0.05	1.84
potassium	< 100	< 100	< 100	< 100	< 100
magnesium	1820	1060	903	1170	1370
manganese	785	682	701	730	520
sodium	1810	1360	1350	1200	1290
nickel	29.6	14.2	< 1.71	17.8	< 1.71
lead	170	4.25	5.22	4.04	34.1
selenium	3.91	2.35	< 0.25	3.44	2.33
vanadium	< 3.39	< 3.39	< 3.39	< 3.39	< 3.39
zinc	252	< 8.03	< 8.03	< 8.03	< 8.03
OTHER (ug/g)					
total organic carbon	384000	331000	330000	353000	288000
SOLIDS (% WET WT)	9.8	17.3	16.5	15.4	14.9

Notes:
< = less than

TABLE 4–5
SEDIMENT SAMPLE ANALYTICAL RESULTS
PLOW SHOP POND

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP IA SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	SHD–92–22X	SHD–92–23X	SHD–92–23X	SHD–92–23X	SHD–92–24X
	3 FT	0 FT	3 FT	5 FT	0 FT
PESTICIDES/PCBs (ug/g)					
DDD	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.008
DDE	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.008
DDT	< 0.007	< 0.007	< 0.007	< 0.007	< 0.007
INORGANICS (ug/g)					
aluminum	4300	9760	3980	936	3890
arsenic	190	470	7.62	8.03	150
barium	37.3	68.1	21.6	< 5.18	33.8
beryllium	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
calcium	5320	8850	5430	5580	4140
cadmium	< 0.7	< 0.7	< 0.7	< 0.7	< 0.7
cobalt	< 1.42	< 1.42	< 1.42	< 1.42	< 1.42
chromium	1100	1510	49.6	58.6	1050
copper	16.8	29.5	4.88	3.24	13.8
iron	8920	15300	2600	2370	6780
mercury	41	18	0.371	< 0.05	8.8
potassium	< 100	< 100	< 100	< 100	< 100
magnesium	786	1900	538	295	737
manganese	484	663	136	91.1	336
sodium	708	2360	1110	472	1350
nickel	11.1	23.9	6.36	< 1.71	11.6
lead	65	180	4.35	2.72	40.7
selenium	3.14	6.37	1.73	< 0.25	1.94
vanadium	< 3.39	< 3.39	< 3.39	< 3.39	< 3.39
zinc	67.7	126	< 8.03	< 8.03	65.3
OTHER (ug/g)					
total organic carbon	182000	461000	170000	196000	158000
SOLIDS (% WET WT)	25.3	8.4	33.8	36.4	23.1

Notes:
< = less than

TABLE 4–5
SEDIMENT SAMPLE ANALYTICAL RESULTS
PLOW SHOP POND

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP IA SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	SHD–92–24X 3 FT	SHD–92–24X 5 FT	SHD–92–25X 0 FT	SHD–92–25X 3 FT	SHD–92–25X 3 FT (DUP)
PESTICIDES/PCBs (ug/g)					
DDD	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.008
DDE	< 0.008	0.047	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.008
DDT	< 0.007	< 0.007	< 0.007	< 0.007	< 0.007
INORGANICS (ug/g)					
aluminum	4820	1920	4150	6140	8290
arsenic	7.97	15.1	7.53	22.9	46
barium	23.6	< 5.18	18.1	29.7	41.2
beryllium	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
calcium	3630	2770	4080	4070	5060
cadmium	< 0.7	< 0.7	< 0.7	< 0.7	< 0.7
cobalt	< 1.42	< 1.42	< 1.42	< 1.42	< 1.42
chromium	28.5	23.9	24.5	149	395
copper	4.07	2.81	3.55	3.91	7.89
iron	4180	2070	1770	3310	5240
mercury	< 0.05	0.168	< 0.05	2.14	1.8
potassium	272	< 100	< 100	275	< 100
magnesium	1550	567	699	1000	1390
manganese	69.4	30.4	123	210	271
sodium	563	526	518	547	704
nickel	9.89	5.32	7.26	9.25	12.8
lead	3.02	0.873	3.88	12.5	27.7
selenium	1.35	< 0.25	1.67	1.38	1.53
vanadium	< 3.39	< 3.39	< 3.39	< 3.39	< 3.39
zinc	28.6	19.1	< 8.03	< 8.03	38.2
OTHER (ug/g)					
total organic carbon	121000	67400	156000	102000	131000
SOLIDS (% WET WT)	38	49.7	39.1	39.5	29.5

Notes:
< = less than

TABLE 4–5
SEDIMENT SAMPLE ANALYTICAL RESULTS
PLOW SHOP POND

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP IA SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	SHD–92–25X	SHD–92–26X	SHD–92–27X	SHD–92–28X
	5 FT	0 FT	0 FT	0 FT
PESTICIDES/PCBs (ug/g)				
DDD	< 0.008	< 0.008	0.18	0.28
DDE	< 0.008	< 0.008	0.075	0.041
DDT	< 0.007	< 0.007	< 0.007	0.13
INORGANICS (ug/g)				
aluminum	4320	6600	13500	3050
arsenic	10.9	170	150	310
barium	19.6	79.9	45.9	24.1
beryllium	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
calcium	4560	3050	3650	5180
cadmium	< 0.7	< 0.7	< 0.7	< 0.7
cobalt	< 1.42	12.6	22.4	50.8
chromium	84.9	3060	1060	132
copper	4.87	58.3	23.6	7.58
iron	2340	21600	19400	51300
mercury	0.578	31	5	0.294
potassium	< 100	572	< 100	< 100
magnesium	770	1410	2110	703
manganese	122	967	600	2370
sodium	679	899	1120	482
nickel	9.2	18.9	24.8	29.9
lead	4.49	160	100	37
selenium	1.52	2.52	< 0.25	< 0.25
vanadium	< 3.39	21.8	30.5	< 3.39
zinc	< 8.03	203	83.4	27.5
OTHER (ug/g)				
total organic carbon	166000	268000	240000	65500
SOLIDS (% WET WT)	30	22.2	13.9	38

Notes:
< = less than

TABLE 4–6
INTERPRETED SOURCES OF INORGANIC SEDIMENT CONTAMINANTS
PLOW SHOP POND

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

	RI REPORT	RI ADDENDUM REPORT
Shepley's Hill Landfill Source	Arsenic	Arsenic*
	Iron	Iron**
	Manganese	Manganese
	Cadmium	Barium
	Barium**	Nickel
Grove Pond Source	Copper	Copper
	Chromium	Chromium***
	Lead	Lead***
	Mercury	Mercury
	Nickel	Zinc***

Notes:

* Grove Pond is interpreted as the major historical source of arsenic.

** Grove Pond may also be a source.

*** Shepley's Hill Landfill may be a minor source of these contaminants.

TABLE 4-7
SUMMARY OF PLOW SHOP POND SHALLOW SEDIMENT INORGANIC DATA

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

	RI DATA SET			SUPPLEMENTAL DATA SET		
ANALYTE	FREQUENCY OF DETECTION	MAXIMUM VALUE	AVERAGE VALUE	FREQUENCY OF DETECTION	MAXIMUM VALUE	AVERAGE VALUE
aluminum	13/13	24000	13185	28/28	13500	5540
arsenic	13/13	3200	997	28/28	510	221
barium	13/13	310	174	25/28	344	86
beryllium	8/13	2.72	1.61	0/28	n/a	n/a
cadmium	12/13	60	31	1/28	19.2	19.2
calcium	11/13	13000	6963	28/28	20100	9041
chromium	11/13	10000	3835	27/28	6170	1454
cobalt	0/13	n/a	n/a	8/28	58.7	24
copper	9/13	132	86	21/28	105	38
iron	13/13	330000	73485	28/28	68400	19057
lead	13/13	632	241	27/28	260	78
magnesium	13/13	6900	2607	23/28	2120	1420
manganese	10/13	8800	2509	27/28	54800	3074
mercury	13/13	130	29	24/28	89	16
nickel	9/13	79.3	49	16/28	70.1	28
potassium	13/13	2350	1093	4/28	817	607
selenium	0/13	n/a	n/a	12/28	6.62	3.4
sodium	7/13	896	467	28/28	2870	1457
vanadium	9/13	165	87	6/28	61.7	26
zinc	1/13	43	43	16/28	403	191

Notes:
All concentrations in ug/g
n/a = not applicable

TABLE 4-8
METALS CONCENTRATIONS IN TCLP EXTRACTS OF SEDIMENT SAMPLES
PLOW SHOP POND

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	REGULATORY THRESHOLD	SHD-92-03X 0 FT	SHD-92-03X 3 FT	SHD-92-08X 0 FT	SHD-92-08X 4 FT	SHD-92-08X 7 FT
METALS (mg/L)						
Arsenic	5	0.220	0.110	0.120	< 0.073	< 0.073
Barium	100	0.320	0.270	0.220	0.220	0.160
Cadmium	1	< 0.0044	< 0.0044	< 0.0044	< 0.0044	< 0.0044
Chromium	5	< 0.0074	< 0.0074	< 0.0074	< 0.0074	< 0.0074
Lead	5	< 0.064	< 0.064	< 0.064	< 0.064	< 0.064
Mercury	0.2	< 0.00018	< 0.00018	< 0.00018	< 0.00018	< 0.00018
Selenium	1	< 0.100	< 0.100	< 0.100	< 0.100	< 0.100
Silver	5	< 0.0061	< 0.0061	< 0.0061	< 0.0061	< 0.0061

Notes:
< = less than

TABLE 4-8
METALS CONCENTRATIONS IN TCLP EXTRACTS OF SEDIMENT SAMPLES
PLOW SHOP POND

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	REGULATORY THRESHOLD	SHD-92-14X 0 FT	SHD-92-14X 14 FT
METALS (mg/L)			
Arsenic	5	0.240	< 0.073
Barium	100	0.290	0.290
Cadmium	1	< 0.0044	< 0.0044
Chromium	5	< 0.0074	< 0.0074
Lead	5	< 0.064	< 0.064
Mercury	0.2	< 0.00018	< 0.00018
Selenium	1	< 0.100	< 0.100
Silver	5	< 0.0061	< 0.0061

Notes:
< = less than

TABLE 4-9
GROUNDWATER AND SEDIMENT CHEMICALS EXCEEDING EVALUATION CRITERIA
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

CONTAMINANT	GROUNDWATER (ug/L)	PLOW SHOP POND SEDIMENT (ug/g)
VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS		
Acetone	X	
Benzene	X	
Chloroethane	X	
Dichlorobenzenes	X	
1,1-dichloroethane	X	
1,2-dichloroethane	X	
1,2-dichloroethylenes	X	
1,2-dichloropropane	X	
Toluene	X	
Trichloroethylene	X	
Trichlorofluoromethane	X	
SEMIVOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS		
Benzo(a)anthracene		X
Chrysene		X
Fluoranthene		X
Naphthalene		X
Phenanthrene		X
Pyrene		X
PESTICIDES/PCBs		
DDD		X
DDE		X
DDT		X
INORGANICS		
Aluminum	X	
Antimony	X	
Arsenic	X	X *
Barium	X	X *
Calcium	X	
Chromium	X	X
Copper	X	X
Iron	X	X *
Lead	X	X
Magnesium	X	
Manganese	X	X *
Mercury	X	X
Nickel	X	X *
Potassium	X	
Vanadium	X	
Zinc	X	X

Notes:

Semivolatile organic compound contamination in sediment based on the RI Report (E&E, 1993).

* Shepley's Hill Landfill is an interpreted source of these contaminants.

TABLE 4–10
CONCENTRATIONS OF CHEMICALS IN PLOW SHOP POND SURFACE WATER

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	FREQUENCY OF DETECTION	MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION
VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS (ug/L)		
Chloroform	6/12	1.41
Methylene Chloride	12/13	8.92
PESTICIDES/PCBs (ug/L)		
alpha–Benzenehexachloride	13/13	0.07
Endrin	1/13	0.008
INORGANICS (ug/L)		
Arsenic	13/13	6.84
Barium	13/13	11.8
Calcium	13/13	13000
Copper	11/13	48.7
Iron	13/13	538
Magnesium	13/13	2300
Manganese	13/13	139
Nickel	7/13	44.2
Potassium	13/13	1100
Silver	2/13	3.6
Sodium	13/13	25000
Zinc	4/13	58.1

NOTES:
Methylene chloride, alpha–benzenehexachloride, and endrin attributed to laboratory contamination.

SOURCE: E&E, 1993

TABLE 4-11
LANDFILL GAS ANALYTICAL RESULTS
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION DATE DEPTH (ft)	SG-1 (µg/L) 01/28/93 3	SG-2 (µg/L) 01/28/93 10	SG-3 (µg/L) 01/28/93 10
Benzene	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Toluene	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Ethylbenzene	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
m/p-Xylene	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0
o-Xylene	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Methane	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Vinyl Chloride	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0
1,1-Dichloroethene	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Methylene Chloride	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
T-1,2-Dichloroethene	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
1,1-Dichloroethane	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
C-1,2-Dichloroethene	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Chloroform	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0
1,2-Dichloroethane	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Trichloroethene	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Tetrachloroethene	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0

(continued)

TABLE 4-11
LANDFILL GAS ANALYTICAL RESULTS
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION DATE DEPTH (ft)	SG-4 (µg/L) 01/28/93 10	SG-5 (µg/L) 01/28/93 3	SG-6 (µg/L) 01/28/93 3
Benzene	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Toluene	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Ethylbenzene	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
m/p-Xylene	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0
o-Xylene	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Methane	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Vinyl Chloride	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0
1,1-Dichloroethene	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Methylene Chloride	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
T-1,2-Dichloroethene	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
1,1-Dichloroethane	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
C-1,2-Dichloroethene	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Chloroform	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0
1,2-Dichloroethane	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Trichloroethene	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Tetrachloroethene	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0

(continued)

TABLE 4-11
LANDFILL GAS ANALYTICAL RESULTS
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION DATE DEPTH (ft)	SG-7 (µg/L) 01/28/93 10	SG-8 (µg/L) 01/28/93 10	SG-9 (µg/L) 01/28/93 10
Benzene	< 1.0	N/A	< 1.0
Toluene	< 1.0	N/A	< 1.0
Ethylbenzene	< 1.0	N/A	< 1.0
m/p-Xylene	< 2.0	N/A	< 2.0
o-Xylene	< 1.0	N/A	< 1.0
Methane	< 1.0	16,000	97
Vinyl Chloride	< 5.0	N/A	< 5.0
1,1-Dichloroethene	< 1.0	N/A	< 1.0
Methylene Chloride	< 1.0	N/A	< 1.0
T-1,2-Dichloroethene	< 1.0	N/A	< 1.0
1,1-Dichloroethane	< 1.0	N/A	< 1.0
C-1,2-Dichloroethene	< 1.0	N/A	< 1.0
Chloroform	< 1.0	N/A	< 1.0
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	< 5.0	N/A	< 5.0
1,2-Dichloroethane	< 1.0	N/A	< 1.0
Trichloroethene	< 1.0	N/A	< 1.0
Tetrachloroethene	< 1.0	N/A	< 1.0
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	< 1.0	N/A	< 1.0

(continued)

TABLE 4-11
LANDFILL GAS ANALYTICAL RESULTS
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION DATE DEPTH (ft)	SG-10 (µg/L) 01/29/93 3	SG-11 (µg/L) 01/29/93 3	SG-12 (µg/L) 01/29/93 10
Benzene	1.0	11	< 1.0
Toluene	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Ethylbenzene	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
m/p-Xylene	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0
o-Xylene	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Methane	110,000	(8,200)	(2,200)
Vinyl Chloride	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0
1,1-Dichloroethene	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Methylene Chloride	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
T-1,2-Dichloroethene	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
1,1-Dichloroethane	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
C-1,2-Dichloroethene	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Chloroform	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0
1,2-Dichloroethane	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Trichloroethene	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Tetrachloroethene	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0

(continued)

TABLE 4-11
LANDFILL GAS ANALYTICAL RESULTS
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION DATE DEPTH (ft)	SG-13 (µg/L) 01/29/93 10	SG-14 (µg/L) 01/29/93 10	SG-15 (µg/L) 01/29/93 10
Benzene	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Toluene	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Ethylbenzene	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
m/p-Xylene	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0
o-Xylene	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Methane	(16)	< 1.0	(13,000)
Vinyl Chloride	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0
1,1-Dichloroethene	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Methylene Chloride	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
T-1,2-Dichloroethene	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
1,1-Dichloroethane	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
C-1,2-Dichloroethene	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Chloroform	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0
1,2-Dichloroethane	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Trichloroethene	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Tetrachloroethene	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0

(continued)

TABLE 4-11
LANDFILL GAS ANALYTICAL RESULTS
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION DATE DEPTH (ft)	SG-16 (µg/L) 01/28/93 6	VENT-1 (µg/L) 01/28/93 N/A	VENT-2 (µg/L) 01/29/93 N/A
Benzene	< 1.0	< 1.0	1.5
Toluene	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Ethylbenzene	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
m/p-Xylene	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0
o-Xylene	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Methane	< 1.0	2.0	(690)
Vinyl Chloride	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0
1,1-Dichloroethene	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Methylene Chloride	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
T-1,2-Dichloroethene	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
1,1-Dichloroethane	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
C-1,2-Dichloroethene	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Chloroform	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0
1,2-Dichloroethane	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Trichloroethene	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Tetrachloroethene	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0

(continued)

TABLE 4-11
LANDFILL GAS ANALYTICAL RESULTS
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION DATE DEPTH (ft)	VENT-3 (µg/L) 01/29/93 N/A	VENT-4(µg/L) 01/29/93 N/A	VENT-5 (µg/L) 01/29/93 N/A
Benzene	< 1.0	< 1.0	1.5
Toluene	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Ethylbenzene	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
m/p-Xylene	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0
o-Xylene	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Methane	(210)	(180)	< 1.0
Vinyl Chloride	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0
1,1-Dichloroethene	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Methylene Chloride	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
T-1,2-Dichloroethene	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
1,1-Dichloroethane	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
C-1,2-Dichloroethene	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Chloroform	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0
1,2-Dichloroethane	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Trichloroethene	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Tetrachloroethene	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0

Note:

( ) = Estimated value; result corrected for methane sorbtion.

TABLE 4-12
SURFACE WATER SAMPLE ANALYTICAL RESULTS
GROVE POND

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	GRW-92-01X	GRW-92-02X	GRW-92-03X	GRW-92-04X	GRW-92-05X
ORGANICS (ug/L)					
trichloroethylene / trichloroethene	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	0.5	< 0.5
INORGANICS (ug/L)					
aluminum	< 141	< 141	< 141	2960	< 141
arsenic	< 2.54	< 2.54	< 2.54	4.05	< 2.54
barium	34.1	20.6	18.1	42.3	33.8
calcium	9450	9310	11200	19700	15500
iron	156	485	671	841	451
potassium	2070	1370	1360	2570	2600
magnesium	1930	1770	1640	2730	1850
manganese	16.7	71.7	748	178	72.5
sodium	22000	19400	21100	18100	15500
lead	2.06	< 1.26	< 1.26	6.18	4.23
OTHER (ug/L)					
alkalinity	153000	NA	NA	8000	37000
total hardness	30400	NA	NA	54800	47600
total dissolved solids	102000	NA	NA	132000	110000
total organic carbon	NA	4690	5790	NA	NA
total suspended solids	< 4000	7000	76000	80000	< 4000

Notes:
< = less than
NA = not analyzed

TABLE 4-13
SEDIMENT SAMPLE ANALYTICAL RESULTS
GROVE POND

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	GRD-92-01X		GRD-92-02X		GRD-92-03X		GRD-92-04X		GRD-92-05X	
	0 FT		0 FT		0 FT		0 FT		0 FT	
ORGANICS (ug/g)										
acetone		0.046		0.81		0.32	<	0.017		0.13
toluene	<	0.001		0.013	<	0.001	<	0.001	<	0.001
2-methylnaphthalene	<	0.2	<	0.049	<	0.049		0.7	<	0.5
4-methylphenol / 4-cresol	<	1		12		17	<	1	<	2
acenaphthylene	<	0.2	<	0.033	<	0.033		0.3	<	0.3
anthracene	<	0.2	<	0.033	<	0.033		0.4	<	0.3
chrysene	<	0.6	<	0.12	<	0.12		2	<	1
fluoranthene	<	0.3	<	0.068	<	0.068		3	<	0.7
fluorene	<	0.2	<	0.033	<	0.033		0.6	<	0.3
naphthalene	<	0.2		3.7		5.6		0.8	<	0.4
phenanthrene	<	0.2		0.5		0.44		3	<	0.3
pyrene	<	0.2	<	0.033	<	0.033		2	<	0.3
PESTICIDES/PCBs										
DDD		0.034	ND	0.270 R	ND	0.270 R		0.15	<	0.008
DDE		0.024	ND	0.310 R	ND	0.310 R		0.016	<	0.008
DDT	<	0.007	ND	0.310 R	ND	0.310 R		0.015	<	0.007
INORGANICS (ug/g)										
aluminum		4450		10900		8160		8540		6430
arsenic		23		350		910		11.6		3.09
barium		23.2		181		156		35.3		83.3
calcium		1440		18000		12000		1760		24800
cadmium	<	0.7		8.16	<	0.7	<	0.7	<	0.7
cobalt		3.63		18.1	<	1.42		3.1	<	1.42
chromium		692		19900		26100		23.8	<	4.05
copper		15.5		79.9		98.6		13	<	0.965
iron		6620		25400		20000		9210		1180
mercury		2		260 L		420 L	<	0.05	<	0.05
potassium		712	<	100	<	100		757	<	100
magnesium		1320		1730		1340		2440		890
manganese		68.6		783		313		55.3		1640
sodium		311		2400		3110		289		727
nickel		8.97		36.9		23.2		12.9	<	1.71
lead		50		390		38.8		27		4.26
selenium	<	0.25		3.99		4.44	<	0.25		3.19
vanadium		11.2		43.6	<	3.39		13	<	3.39
zinc		80.8		447		303		28.6	<	8.03
OTHER (ug/g)										
total organic carbon		81600		NA		NA		11100		505000
SOLIDS (% WET WT)		55.6		10		10.8		52.3		20.8

Notes:
< = Less than
L = Missed holding time for analysis.
NA = Not analyzed
R = Analyte required for reporting purposes, but not currently certified
ND = not detectable

TABLE 4-14
WETLAND SHALLOW GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYTICAL RESULTS
NONACOICUS BROOK

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	SHW-92-01X UNFILTERED	SHW-92-01X FILTERED	SHW-92-02X UNFILTERED	SHW-92-02X FILTERED
INORGANICS (ug/L)				
aluminum	< 141	1220	2000	< 141
arsenic	< 2.54	2.98	4.69	< 2.54
barium	33.3	19.9	30.7	14.7
calcium	2370	2380	3430	3390
chromium	< 6.02	< 6.02	< 6.02	< 6.02
copper	< 8.09	< 8.09	< 8.09	< 8.09
iron	< 38.8	566	1830	67.8
mercury	< 0.243	< 0.243	< 0.243	< 0.243
potassium	1280	530	1540	908
magnesium	1470	1490	2840	2720
manganese	29.8	41.4	70.5	51.5
sodium	1320	1320	1550	1460
lead	1.74	1.84	4.01	< 1.26
vanadium	< 11	< 11	< 11	< 11
zinc	< 21.1	< 21.1	< 21.1	< 21.1
OTHER (ug/L)				
alkalinity	< 5000		19000	
total hardness	12000		18000	
total dissolved solids	28000		46000	
total organic carbon	2420		6620	
total suspended solids	17000		25000	

Notes:
< = less than

TABLE 4-14
WETLAND SHALLOW GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYTICAL RESULTS
NONACOICUS BROOK

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	SHW-92-03X UNFILTERED	SHW-92-03X FILTERED	SHW-92-04X UNFILTERED	SHW-92-04X FILTERED
INORGANICS (ug/L)				
aluminum	5240	< 141	18800	230
arsenic	24.9	< 2.54	22.3	< 2.54
barium	71.8	25.6	136	67
calcium	28300	25900	10100	8800
chromium	15.3	< 6.02	32.7	< 6.02
copper	< 8.09	< 8.09	19	< 8.09
iron	7830	173	14700	84.6
mercury	0.581	< 0.243	0.886	< 0.243
potassium	3440	2530	2050	1160
magnesium	3790	3410	1690	744
manganese	322	65	541	417
sodium	2590	2340	2490	2230
lead	43.1	< 1.26	81.7	5.53
vanadium	13.5	< 11	23.9	< 11
zinc	39.2	< 21.1	251	160
OTHER (ug/L)				
alkalinity	86000		< 5000	
total hardness	72400		26400	
total dissolved solids	160000		80000	
total organic carbon	18100		21500	
total suspended solids	104000		8440000	

Notes:
< = less than

TABLE 4-15
WETLAND SOIL SAMPLE ANALYTICAL RESULTS
NONACOICUS BROOK

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	SHD-92-29X 0 FT	SHD-92-29X 2 FT	SHD-92-30X 0 FT	SHD-92-30X 2 FT	SHD-92-31X 0 FT
VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS (ug/g)					
Trichlorofluoromethane	< 0.006	< 0.006	< 0.006	0.008	< 0.006
PESTICIDES/PCBs (ug/g)					
PPDDE	< 0.076	< 0.008	< 0.076	< 0.008	0.03
PPDDT	< 0.071	< 0.007	< 0.071	< 0.007	< 0.071
INORGANICS (ug/g)					
aluminum	6660	5270	9070	7460	14500
arsenic	20	7.74	18.8	8.83	28
barium	23.3	< 5.18	30.8	7.15	43.7
beryllium	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	1.25
calcium	1010	143	1770	187	2850
cobalt	2.67	2.2	3.46	1.98	6.51
chromium	89.5	8.32	51	8.81	31.8
copper	9.54	3.95	14.8	3.52	17.6
iron	6870	5150	9330	5780	14600
mercury	1.9	< 0.05	0.966	< 0.05	0.369
potassium	223	175	464	223	1150
magnesium	1000	1040	1580	911	3230
manganese	212	50.3	175	51.1	340
silver	< 0.589	< 0.589	< 0.589	< 0.589	< 0.589
sodium	274	183	364	224	330
nickel	7.55	7.19	10.1	7.77	18.7
lead	42	3.23	57	7.28	39
selenium	< 0.25	< 0.25	0.677	< 0.25	< 0.25
vanadium	13.2	5.51	18.5	7.22	21.7
zinc	22.3	< 8.03	33.9	14.6	56.9
OTHER (ug/g)					
total organic carbon	47100	5110	116000	8530	59300
SOLIDS (% WET WT)	66.2	82.7	54.8	80.3	59.2

Notes:
< = Less than

TABLE 4-15
WETLAND SOIL SAMPLE ANALYTICAL RESULTS
NONACOICUS BROOK

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	SHD-92-31X 1 FT	SHD-92-32X 0 FT	SHD-92-32X 0 FT
VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS (ug/g)			
Trichlorofluoromethane	< 0.006	< 0.006	< 0.006
PESTICIDES/PCBs (ug/g)			
PPDDE	0.029	0.17	< 0.076
PPDDT	0.015	0.042	0.028
INORGANICS (ug/g)			
aluminum	2440	8490	8680
arsenic	7.65	18.1	16.4
barium	23.3	55.4	53.3
beryllium	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
calcium	2790	904	712
cobalt	< 1.42	< 1.42	3.15
chromium	22.4	22.7	19.8
copper	6.65	13.1	11.8
iron	3920	7910	8470
mercury	0.582	0.57	0.405
potassium	237	295	269
magnesium	388	816	741
manganese	155	79.8	103
silver	< 0.589	1.22	< 0.589
sodium	334	343	279
nickel	< 1.71	9.53	8.07
lead	31	82	54
selenium	< 0.25	0.679	< 0.25
vanadium	< 3.39	14.9	12.1
zinc	23.9	77.7	64.8
OTHER (ug/g)			
total organic carbon	53300	64300	61800
SOLIDS (% WET WT)	57.6	54.9	60

Notes:
< = Less than

TABLE 4-16
METALS CONCENTRATIONS IN TCLP EXTRACTS OF WETLAND SOILS
NONACOICUS BROOK

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	REGULATORY THRESHOLD	SHD-92-29X 0 FT	SHD-92-30X 0 FT
METALS (mg/L)			
Arsenic	5.000	< 0.073	< 0.073
Barium	100.000	0.200	0.300
Cadmium	1.000	< 0.004	< 0.004
Chromium	5.000	0.008	< 0.007
Lead	5.000	< 0.064	< 0.064
Mercury	0.200	< 0.000	< 0.000
Selenium	1.000	< 0.100	< 0.100
Silver	5.000	< 0.006	< 0.006

Notes:
< = less than

TABLE 4-17
ROUND 1 GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL RESULTS SUMMARY FOR
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	CSB-1 UNFILTERED	CSB-2 UNFILTERED	CSB-2 FILTERED	CSB-3 UNFILTERED	CSB-3 FILTERED	CSB-5 UNFILTERED	CSB-6 UNFILTERED
PESTICIDES/PCBs (ug/L)							
bis (2-ethylhexyl) phthalate	< 4.8	< 4.8		< 4.8		84	< 4.8
INORGANICS (ug/L)							
aluminum	6930	195	< 141	5760	< 141	5700	12400
arsenic	8.21	< 2.54	< 2.54	14.8	< 2.54	92.5	6.82
barium	35.9	18.6	11.4	27.7	7.54	145	53.5
calcium	14400	62500	61000	22000	20000	82200	15100
cobalt	35	< 25	< 25	< 25	< 25	< 25	< 25
chromium	10.4	< 6.02	< 6.02	25.1	< 6.02	9.84	16.4
copper	11.1	< 8.09	< 8.09	10.9	< 8.09	< 8.09	10.3
iron	13900	667	176	8040	51.8	6140	8350
potassium	2010	4150	3690	3530	1520	2780	1940
magnesium	3570	11400	11700	6160	3660	15600	4120
manganese	4880	5700	6120	120	5.58	292	104
sodium	3310	16200	15500	4070	3210	17000	29000
nickel	< 34.3	< 34.3	< 34.3	< 34.3	< 34.3	< 34.3	< 34.3
lead	3.8	3.9	< 1.26	3.25	< 1.26	7.59	9.33
vanadium	< 11	< 11	11.9	14.6	< 11	< 11	11.6
zinc	90.6	< 21.1	< 21.1	27	< 21.1	52.2	36.6
OTHER (ug/L)							
alkalinity	35000	175000	NA	57000	NA	246000	32000
total hardness	49200	208000	NA	260000	NA	262000	89000
total dissolved solids	72000	282000	NA	115000	NA	345000	132000
total organic carbon	4960	5040	NA	2200	NA	NA	5200
total suspended solids	24000	22000	NA	320000	NA	802000	452000

Notes:
< = Less than
NA = not analyzed

TABLE 4-17
ROUND 1 GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL RESULTS SUMMARY FOR
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	CSB-7 UNFILTERED	CSB-7 (DUP) UNFILTERED	CSB-8 UNFILTERED	CSB-8 FILTERED	CSM-93-01A UNFILTERED	CSM-93-01A FILTERED
PESTICIDES/PCBs (ug/L)						
bis (2-ethylhexyl) phthalate	< 4.8	< 4.8	< 4.8		< 4.8	
INORGANICS (ug/L)						
aluminum	2070	3460	23900	< 141	< 141	< 141
arsenic	8	13.4	28	< 2.54	25.9	6.4
barium	19.5	25.7	212	103	49	22.5
calcium	3850	3950	36600	31300	164000	109000
cobalt	< 25	< 25	< 25	< 25	< 25	< 25
chromium	< 6.02	< 6.02	47.2	< 6.02	< 6.02	< 6.02
copper	< 8.09	< 8.09	28.7	< 8.09	< 8.09	< 8.09
iron	2600	4230	27700	< 38.8	23500	4000
potassium	< 375	2100	4740	485	7250	17000
magnesium	1230	1610	9180	2020	28900	18900
manganese	69.9	108	522	15.8	3750	2110
sodium	14600	14500	232000	211000	21100	18100
nickel	< 34.3	< 34.3	63.3	< 34.3	< 34.3	< 34.3
lead	1.52	2.06	11.5	< 1.26	< 1.26	< 1.26
vanadium	< 11	< 11	29	< 11	< 11	< 11
zinc	< 21.1	< 21.1	61.1	< 21.1	< 21.1	< 21.1
OTHER (ug/L)						
alkalinity	8000	10000	46000	NA	293000	NA
total hardness	12000	8000	101000	NA	546000	NA
total dissolved solids	90000	86000	733000	NA	429000	NA
total organic carbon	1050	< 1000	3390	NA	10300	NA
total suspended solids	251000	167000	2030000	NA	< 4000	NA

Notes:
< = Less than
NA = not analyzed

TABLE 4-17
ROUND 1 GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL RESULTS SUMMARY FOR
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	CSM-93-02A UNFILTERED	CSM-93-02A FILTERED	CSM-93-02B UNFILTERED	PATTON WELL UNFILTERED
PESTICIDES/PCBs (ug/L)				
bis (2-ethylhexyl) phthalate	< 4.8		14	
INORGANICS (ug/L)				
aluminum	20500	< 141	285	< 141
arsenic	40	< 2.54	< 2.54	3.2
barium	112	10.6	14.8	5.31
calcium	5200	3920	39700	43400
cobalt	< 25	< 25	< 25	< 25
chromium	30.8	<	< 6.02	< 6.02
copper	31	< 8.09	22.3	20
iron	25400	< 38.8	383	152
potassium	5450	1710	8430	3780
magnesium	6080	790	3710	5310
manganese	655	63.9	354	288
sodium	6730	5810	19000	12100
nickel	49	< 34.3	< 34.3	< 34.3
lead	13.4	< 1.26	2.06	< 1.26
vanadium	26.3	< 11	< 11	< 11
zinc	60.1	< 21.1	< 21.1	< 21.1
OTHER (ug/L)				
alkalinity	40000	NA	157000	122000
total hardness	36000	NA	114000	133000
total dissolved solids	57000	NA	327000	216000
total organic carbon	< 1000	NA	2240	2200
total suspended solids	47800	NA	11000	8000

Notes:
< = Less than
NA = not analyzed

TABLE 4-18
ROUND 2 GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL RESULTS SUMMARY FOR
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	CSM-93-01A UNFILTERED	CSM-93-01A UNFILTERED (DUP)	CSM-93-01A FILTERED	CSM-93-01A FILTERED (DUP)	CSM-93-02A UNFILTERED	CSM-93-02B UNFILTERED
PESTICIDES/PCBs (ug/L)						
bis (2-ethylhexyl) phthalate	< 4.8	4.4 (1)			< 4.8	< 4.8
INORGANICS (ug/L)						
aluminum	< 141	< 141	< 141	< 141	10200	< 141
arsenic	17.2	19.2	19.8	16.6	22.6	< 2.54
barium	34.2	35.2	36.8	36.2	65.7	15.6
calcium	139000	140000	148000	148000	4870	76000
chromium	< 6.02	< 6.02	< 6.02	< 6.02	15.2	< 6.02
copper	< 8.09	< 8.09	< 8.09	< 8.09	17.4	< 8.09
iron	13900	13600	14600	14500	12300	82.1
potassium	8130	8540	7740	7830	2690	3980
magnesium	23500	23800	25000	24900	3210	10000
manganese	3200	3210	3430	3410	294	376
sodium	17600	17700	18600	18300	4870	42900
lead	< 1.26	< 1.26	< 1.26	< 1.26	7.81	< 1.26
zinc	< 21.1	< 21.1	< 21.1	< 21.1	32.2	< 21.1
OTHER (ug/L)						
alkalinity	408000	202000			7000	182000
total hardness	422000	434000			12400	218000
total organic carbon	8080	6390			< 1000	2800
total dissolved solids	542000	526000			36000	354000
total suspended solids	46000	39000			214000	4000

Notes:
(1) = Results less than CRL, but greater than COD
ug/L = micrograms per Liter
DUP = Duplicate sample
< = Less than

TABLE 4-19
ANALYTICAL RESULTS FOR WATER SAMPLES AT COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL
SEEPS

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	CSW-92-01X UNFILTERED	CSW-92-01X FILTERED	CSW-92-02X UNFILTERED	CSW-92-02X FILTERED	CSW-92-03X UNFILTERED	CSW-92-03X FILTERED
PESTICIDES/PCBs (ug/L)						
DDD	0.081		< 0.023		< 0.023	
INORGANICS (ug/L)						
aluminum	4700	< 141	74500	< 141	2420	< 141
arsenic	590	270	130	96	6.29	< 2.54
barium	119	44.9	226	25.7	209	16.9
calcium	67800	56900	43500	36700	59100	57400
cobalt	< 25	< 25	41.9	< 25	< 25	< 25
chromium	7.23	< 6.02	132	< 6.02	10.9	< 6.02
copper	14.1	< 8.09	92.1	< 8.09	< 8.09	< 8.09
iron	70700	31100	125000	24800	2100	< 38.8
mercury	0.243	< 0.243	< 0.243	< 0.243	< 0.243	< 0.243
potassium	3700	3560	9070	1850	2790	2700
magnesium	6900	6730	25100	3240	7970	7610
manganese	3410	2910	1170	510	98.6	48.1
sodium	6960	7810	26600	24500	13600	13300
nickel	< 34.3	< 34.3	155	< 34.3	< 34.3	< 34.3
lead	75.7	< 1.26	58.9	< 1.26	14.4	< 1.26
vanadium	20.5	< 11	103	< 11	< 11	< 11
zinc	141	< 21.1	308	< 21.1	26	< 21.1
OTHER (ug/L)						
alkalinity	44000		34000		147000	
total dissolved solids	232000		204000		254000	
total hardness	162000		93000		164000	
total organic carbon	23700		14100		4290	
total suspended solids	1080000		2880000		220000	

Notes:

< = Less than

TABLE 4-20
FREQUENCY OF DETECTION FOR ANALYTES IN SAMPLES
CSW-92-01X, -02X, AND -03X

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	FREQUENCY OF DETECTION	MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION
DDD	1/3	0.081
aluminum	3/3	74500
arsenic	3/3	590
barium	3/3	226
calcium	3/3	67800
chromium	3/3	132
cobalt	1/3	41.9
copper	2/3	92.1
iron	3/3	125000
lead	3/3	75.7
magnesium	3/3	25000
manganese	3/3	3410
mercury	1/3	0.243
nickel	1/3	155
potassium	3/3	9070
sodium	3/3	26600
vanadium	2/3	103
zinc	3/3	308

TABLE 4-21
SEDIMENT SAMPLE ANALYTICAL RESULTS
COLD SPRING BROOK POND

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	CSD-92-01X 0 FT	CSD-92-01X 2 FT	CSD-92-01X 2 FT (DUP)	CSD-92-01X 3 FT	CSD-92-02X 0 FT	CSD-92-02X 2 FT	CSD-92-02X 4 FT
ORGANICS (ug/g)							
acenaphthene	< 0.9	< 0.9	< 0.9	< 0.036	< 0.9	< 0.036	< 0.036
acenaphthylene	< 0.8	< 0.8	2	< 0.033	< 0.8	< 0.033	< 0.033
anthracene	< 0.8	< 0.8	2	< 0.033	< 0.8	< 0.033	< 0.033
benzo [a] anthracene	< 4	< 4	10	< 0.17	< 4	< 0.17	< 0.17
benzo [a] pyrene	< 6	< 6	10	< 0.25	< 6	< 0.25	< 0.25
benzo [b] fluoranthene	< 5	< 5	9	< 0.21	< 5	< 0.21	< 0.21
benzo [k] fluoranthene	< 2	4	10	0.11	7	< 0.066	< 0.066
9h-carbazole	ND 2	ND 2 R	ND 2 R	ND 0.1 R	ND 2 R	ND 0.1 R	ND 0.1 R
chrysene	< 3	8	20	0.23	< 3	< 0.12	< 0.12
dibenzofuran	< 0.9	< 0.9	< 0.9	< 0.035	< 0.9	< 0.035	< 0.035
fluoranthene	10	10	20	0.26	10	< 0.068	< 0.068
fluorene	< 0.8	< 0.8	2	< 0.033	< 0.8	< 0.033	< 0.033
naphthalene	< 0.9	< 0.9	< 0.9	< 0.037	< 0.9	< 0.037	< 0.037
phenanthrene	5	4	10	0.14	6	< 0.033	< 0.033
pyrene	10	10	30	0.37	20	< 0.033	< 0.033
PESTICIDES/PCBs (ug/g)							
Dieldrin	< 0.006	0.009	< 0.13	< 0.006	< 0.006	< 0.006	< 0.007
DDD	6.2	2.3	4.7	0.15	1.2	< 0.008	< 0.008
DDE	0.72	0.055	0.45	0.015	0.35	< 0.008	< 0.008
DDT	15	0.42	0.9	0.022	0.4	< 0.007	< 0.007
EXPLOSIVES (ug/g)							
nitroglycerine	< 4	< 4	< 4	< 4	< 4	< 4	< 4
INORGANICS (ug/g)							
aluminum	15800	8370	17600	3250	6170	2210	2800
arsenic	82	11	24	6.3	72	2.93	1.92
barium	115	24.1	54.4	12.4	29.4	< 5.18	13
beryllium	< 0.5	< 0.5	1.04	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
calcium	6100	923	2160	686	5650	453	528
cobalt	18.5	7.04	12.6	< 1.42	6.73	< 1.42	< 1.42
chromium	64.8	24.6	41.3	11	21.2	8.73	7.96
copper	42.9	13	27.8	1.95	26.5	2.37	4.43
iron	36400	14800	19100	3520	19300	2870	3680
potassium	3580	834	1620	339	671	276	301
magnesium	7160	4770	5960	1270	1880	1060	1290
manganese	522	141	301	47.6	216	32	42.8
silver	6.35	< 0.589	< 0.589	< 0.589	< 0.589	< 0.589	< 0.589
sodium	1860	236	325	180	624	189	193
nickel	54.3	36.4	45.6	6.52	16.1	5.66	7.71
lead	570	38	60	3.5	91	1.82	2.61
antimony	< 1.09	< 1.09	< 1.09	< 1.09	< 1.09	< 1.09	< 1.09
selenium	< 0.25	< 0.25	< 0.25	< 0.25	1.2	< 0.25	< 0.25
vanadium	48.6	15.6	31	4.65	19.2	< 3.39	4.94
zinc	291	48.8	96.1	< 8.03	96.3	< 8.03	< 8.03
OTHER (ug/g)							
total organic carbon	140000	19000	47100	1700	156000	878	998
SOLIDS (% WET WT)	27.5	73.2	71.6	83.5	29.7	84.7	84.8

Notes: < = less than
R = Analyte required for reporting purposes, but not currently certified
S = Results based on internal standard
ND = not detected

TABLE 4-21
SEDIMENT SAMPLE ANALYTICAL RESULTS
COLD SPRING BROOK POND

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	CSD-92-03X 0 FT		CSD-92-03X 3 FT		CSD-92-03X 5 FT		CSD-92-04X 0 FT		CSD-92-04X 3 FT		CSD-92-04X 5 FT		CSD-92-05X 0 FT	
ORGANICS (ug/g)														
acenaphthene	<	0.2	<	0.036	<	0.036	<	0.036	<	0.036	<	0.036	<	0.036
acenaphthylene	<	0.2	<	0.033	<	0.033	<	0.033	<	0.033	<	0.033	<	0.033
anthracene	<	0.2	<	0.033	<	0.033	<	0.033	<	0.033	<	0.033	<	0.033
benzo [a] anthracene	<	0.8	<	0.17	<	0.17	<	0.17	<	0.17	<	0.17	<	0.17
benzo [a] pyrene	<	1	<	0.25	<	0.25	<	0.25	<	0.25	<	0.25	<	0.25
benzo [b] fluoranthene	<	1	<	0.21	<	0.21	<	0.21	<	0.21	<	0.21	<	0.21
benzo [k] fluoranthene	<	0.3	<	0.066	<	0.066	<	0.066	<	0.066	<	0.066	<	0.066
9h-carbazole	ND	0.5 R	ND	0.1 R	ND	0.1 R	ND	0.1 R	ND	0.1 R	ND	0.1 R	ND	0.1 R
chrysene	<	0.6	<	0.12	<	0.12	<	0.12	<	0.12	<	0.12	<	0.12
dibenzofuran	<	0.2	<	0.035	<	0.035	<	0.035	<	0.035	<	0.035	<	0.035
fluoranthene	<	0.3	<	0.068	<	0.068	<	0.068	<	0.068	<	0.068	<	0.068
fluorene	<	0.2	<	0.033	<	0.033	<	0.033	<	0.033	<	0.033	<	0.033
naphthalene	<	0.2	<	0.037	<	0.037	<	0.037	<	0.037	<	0.037	<	0.037
phenanthrene	<	0.2	<	0.033	<	0.033	<	0.033	<	0.033	<	0.033	<	0.033
pyrene	<	0.2	<	0.033	<	0.033	<	0.033	<	0.033	<	0.033	<	0.033
PESTICIDES/PCBs (ug/g)														
Dieldrin	<	0.006	<	0.006	<	0.006	<	0.006	<	0.006	<	0.006	<	0.006
DDD		0.49	<	0.008	<	0.008	<	0.008	<	0.008	<	0.008	<	0.008
DDE		0.071	<	0.008	<	0.008	<	0.008	<	0.008	<	0.021	<	0.008
DDT		0.14	<	0.007	<	0.007	<	0.007	<	0.007	<	0.007	<	0.007
EXPLOSIVES (ug/g)														
nitroglycerine	<	4	<	4	<	4	<	4	<	4	<	4	<	4
INORGANICS (ug/g)														
aluminum		4960		2470		3170		4520		2530		3050		3210
arsenic		18.1		12.4		6.84		16.4		5.2		2.85		4.15
barium		21.4	<	5.18		9.34		15.4	<	5.18		11.2	<	5.18
beryllium	<	0.5	<	0.5	<	0.5	<	0.5	<	0.5	<	0.5	<	0.5
calcium		6480		669		1150		1580		517		1260		486
cobalt	<	1.42		3.58		3.4	<	1.42		3.35		4.35	<	1.42
chromium	<	4.05		13.8		8.84		9.83		7.13		9.61	<	4.05
copper	<	0.965		2.45		5.77		2.58		3.99		6.23	<	0.965
iron		6280		4960		4820		6440		3090		4400		2240
potassium		206		351		561		392		312		475	<	100
magnesium		745		1270		1520		1350		1030		1600		637
manganese		622		44.6		54.8		369		40.2		61.3		45.7
silver	<	0.589	<	0.589	<	0.589	<	0.589	<	0.589	<	0.589	<	0.589
sodium		316		188		216		327		182		226		212
nickel		3.99		8.57		11.3		7.3		11		12.3		3.38
lead		17.8		2.83		3		6.49		2.58		2.9		4.36
antimony	<	1.09	<	1.09	<	1.09	<	1.09	<	1.09	<	1.09	<	1.09
selenium	<	0.25	<	0.25	<	0.25	<	0.25	<	0.25	<	0.25	<	0.25
vanadium	<	3.39		7.88		6.75		7.1	<	3.39		7.54	<	3.39
zinc	<	8.03	<	8.03		12.2		19.1	<	8.03		12.9	<	8.03
OTHER (ug/g)														
total organic carbon		156000		883		450		100000		618		555		60600
SOLIDS (% WET WT)		54.7		83		83.2		55.4		85		82.9		78.1

Notes: < = less than
R = Analyte required for reporting purposes, but not currently certified
S = Results based on internal standard
ND = not detected

TABLE 4-21
SEDIMENT SAMPLE ANALYTICAL RESULTS
COLD SPRING BROOK POND

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	CSD-92-05X 2 FT		CSD-92-05X 4 FT		CSD-92-06X 0 FT		CSD-92-06X 2 FT		CSD-92-06X 4 FT		CSD-92-07X 0 FT		CSD-92-07X 2 FT	
ORGANICS (ug/g)														
acenaphthene	<	0.036	<	0.036	<	0.036	<	0.036	<	0.036	<	0.2	<	0.2
acenaphthylene	<	0.033	<	0.033	<	0.033	<	0.033	<	0.033	<	0.2	<	0.2
anthracene	<	0.033	<	0.033	<	0.033	<	0.033	<	0.033	<	0.2	<	0.2
benzo [a] anthracene	<	0.17	<	0.17	<	0.17	<	0.17	<	0.17	<	0.8	<	0.8
benzo [a] pyrene	<	0.25	<	0.25	<	0.25	<	0.25	<	0.25	<	1	<	1
benzo [b] fluoranthene	<	0.21	<	0.21	<	0.21	<	0.21	<	0.21	<	1	<	1
benzo [k] fluoranthene	<	0.066	<	0.066	<	0.066	<	0.066	<	0.066	<	0.3	<	0.3
9h-carbazole	ND	0.1 R	ND	0.1 R	ND	0.1 R	ND	0.1 R	ND	0.1 R	ND	0.5 R	ND	0.5 R
chrysene	<	0.12	<	0.12	<	0.12	<	0.12	<	0.12	<	0.6	<	0.6
dibenzofuran	<	0.035	<	0.035	<	0.035	<	0.035	<	0.035	<	0.2	<	0.2
fluoranthene	<	0.068	<	0.068	<	0.068	<	0.068	<	0.068	<	0.3	<	0.3
fluorene	<	0.033	<	0.033	<	0.033	<	0.033	<	0.033	<	0.2	<	0.2
naphthalene	<	0.037	<	0.037	<	0.037	<	0.037	<	0.037	<	0.2	<	0.2
phenanthrene	<	0.033	<	0.033	<	0.033	<	0.033	<	0.033	<	0.2	<	0.2
pyrene	<	0.033	<	0.033	<	0.033	<	0.033	<	0.033	<	0.2	<	0.2
PESTICIDES/PCBs (ug/g)														
Dieldrin	<	0.006	<	0.006	<	0.006	<	0.006	<	0.006	<	0.006	<	0.006
DDD	<	0.008	<	0.008	<	0.008	<	0.008	<	0.008		0.106	<	0.008
DDE	<	0.008	<	0.008	<	0.008	<	0.008	<	0.008	<	0.008	<	0.008
DDT	<	0.007	<	0.007	<	0.007	<	0.007	<	0.007	<	0.007	<	0.007
EXPLOSIVES (ug/g)														
nitroglycerine	<	4	<	4	<	4	<	4	<	4	<	4	<	4
INORGANICS (ug/g)														
aluminum		2930		4120		3980		2860		3190		1400		2870
arsenic		1.73		1.97		3.5		1.12		22		390		910
barium	<	5.18		11.8		7.21		6.75		13.4		55.1	<	5.18
beryllium	<	0.5	<	0.5	<	0.5	<	0.5	<	0.5	<	0.5	<	0.5
calcium		430		682		1280		660		1610		33600		28200
cobalt	<	1.42		2.82	<	1.42	<	1.42		3.68		19.6		11.6
chromium		6.49		8.99		8.28		9.55		8.6	<	4.05	<	4.05
copper		2.87		5.7		2.63		3.17		6.47	<	0.965		9.82
iron		3000		4400		3840		3390		6640		17900		6570
potassium		184		454		238		269		603	<	100	<	100
magnesium		1060		1440		1260		1350		1540		2090		1960
manganese		27.5		60.3		134		27.8		138		1640		1350
silver	<	0.589	<	0.589	<	0.589	<	0.589	<	0.589	<	0.589		5.26
sodium		186		210		206		183		229		1330		1090
nickel		5.99		9.31		6.31		6.57		13.5		42.6		72.2
lead		2.31		3.2		2.66		2.41		2.82		31.2		1.63
antimony	<	1.09	<	1.09	<	1.09	<	1.09	<	1.09	<	1.09		15.8
selenium	<	0.25	<	0.25	<	0.25	<	0.25	<	0.25		5.67		15.2
vanadium	<	3.39		6.47		5.05		4.63		7.57	<	3.39		33.6
zinc	<	8.03		11.7		11.7	<	8.03		14.3		87.1	<	8.03
OTHER (ug/g)														
total organic carbon		4020		677		10300		290		238		817000		512000
SOLIDS (% WET WT)		83.6		81.5		79.4		84.9		82.8		10.5		13.5

Notes: < = less than
R = Analyte required for reporting purposes, but not currently certified
S = Results based on internal standard
ND = not detected

TABLE 4-21
SEDIMENT SAMPLE ANALYTICAL RESULTS
COLD SPRING BROOK POND

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	CSD-92-08X 0 FT	CSD-92-08X 2 FT	CSD-92-09X 0 FT	CSD-92-09X 3 FT	CSD-92-09X 3 FT (DUP)	CSD-92-09X 5 FT	CSD-92-10X 0 FT
ORGANICS (ug/g)							
acenaphthene	< 0.2	< 0.2	0.87	1.2	1.3	0.12	< 0.036
acenaphthylene	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.033	< 0.033	< 0.033	< 0.033	< 0.033
anthracene	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.033	< 0.033	< 0.033	< 0.033	< 0.033
benzo [a] anthracene	< 0.8	< 0.8	< 0.17	< 0.17	< 0.17	< 0.17	< 0.17
benzo [a] pyrene	< 1	< 1	< 0.25	< 0.25	< 0.25	< 0.25	< 0.25
benzo [b] fluoranthene	< 1	< 1	< 0.21	< 0.21	< 0.21	< 0.21	< 0.21
benzo [k] fluoranthene	< 0.3	< 0.3	< 0.066	< 0.066	< 0.066	< 0.066	< 0.066
9h-carbazole	ND 0.5 R	ND 0.5 R	ND 0.1 R	ND 0.1 R	0.71 S	ND 0.1 R	ND 0.1 R
chrysene	< 0.6	< 0.6	< 0.12	< 0.12	< 0.12	< 0.12	< 0.12
dibenzofuran	< 0.2	< 0.2	0.61	0.82	0.91	< 0.035	< 0.035
fluoranthene	< 0.3	< 0.3	< 0.068	< 0.068	< 0.068	< 0.068	< 0.068
fluorene	< 0.2	< 0.2	1	1.3	1.5	0.13	< 0.033
naphthalene	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.037	< 0.037	0.33	< 0.037	< 0.037
phenanthrene	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.033	< 0.033	< 0.033	< 0.033	< 0.033
pyrene	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.033	< 0.033	< 0.033	< 0.033	< 0.033
PESTICIDES/PCBs (ug/g)							
Dieldrin	< 0.006	< 0.006	< 0.006	< 0.006	< 0.006	< 0.006	< 0.006
DDD	0.37	< 0.008	0.141	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.008
DDE	0.073	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.08	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.008
DDT	0.084	< 0.007	< 0.007	< 0.007	< 0.007	< 0.007	< 0.007
EXPLOSIVES (ug/g)							
nitroglycerine	< 4	< 4	< 4	< 4	< 4	< 4	< 4
INORGANICS (ug/g)							
aluminum	2280	1980	2420	6380	3170	3040	3030
arsenic	250	230	250	170	100	3.92	3.78
barium	82.3	44.3	75.6	92.7	56	20.7	14.7
beryllium	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	6.84	< 0.5	2.07	< 0.5
calcium	20800	44200	28400	36400	19200	7060	611
cobalt	< 1.42	< 1.42	14.7	59.1	17.7	< 1.42	1.92
chromium	< 4.05	< 4.05	< 4.05	405	165	144	12.3
copper	11.6	7.76	< 0.965	19.2	9.98	5.45	2.9
iron	38100	6390	37700	22600	12500	3560	4700
potassium	1090	< 100	838	1030	< 100	371	510
magnesium	1130	1860	1440	1790	1000	751	1550
manganese	1320	136	1720	1980	1080	310	66.6
silver	< 0.589	4.37	< 0.589	6.8	< 0.589	1.42	0.881
sodium	1220	975	1130	1130	977	288	152
nickel	< 1.71	< 1.71	16.4	89.1	33.6	14.5	9.64
lead	120	16	33.3	23.7	10.6	3.34	2.77
antimony	< 1.09	< 1.09	< 1.09	< 1.09	< 1.09	< 1.09	< 1.09
selenium	4.39	6.32	< 0.25	11.2	5.63	< 0.25	< 0.25
vanadium	< 3.39	24.5	< 3.39	< 3.39	< 3.39	< 3.39	6.41
zinc	237	< 8.03	151	90	< 8.03	< 8.03	14.2
OTHER (ug/g)							
total organic carbon	788000	763000	697000	615000	595000	29800	7140
SOLIDS (% WET WT)	11.7	15.2	12.7	12.3	15.8	52.1	82.4

Notes: < = less than
R = Analyte required for reporting purposes, but not currently certified
S = Results based on internal standard
ND = not detected

TABLE 4-21
SEDIMENT SAMPLE ANALYTICAL RESULTS
COLD SPRING BROOK POND

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	CSD-92-10X 2 FT	CSD-92-11X 0 FT	CSD-92-11X 1 FT	CSD-92-12X 0 FT	CSD-92-12X 1 FT	CSD-92-13X 0 FT	CSD-92-13X 1 FT
ORGANICS (ug/g)							
acenaphthene	< 0.036	< 0.036	< 0.036	< 0.036	< 0.036	< 0.036	< 0.036
acenaphthylene	< 0.033	< 0.033	< 0.033	< 0.033	< 0.033	< 0.033	< 0.033
anthracene	< 0.033	< 0.033	< 0.033	< 0.033	< 0.033	< 0.033	< 0.033
benzo [a] anthracene	< 0.17	< 0.17	< 0.17	< 0.17	< 0.17	< 0.17	< 0.17
benzo [a] pyrene	< 0.25	< 0.25	< 0.25	< 0.25	< 0.25	< 0.25	< 0.25
benzo [b] fluoranthene	< 0.21	< 0.21	< 0.21	< 0.21	< 0.21	< 0.21	< 0.21
benzo [k] fluoranthene	< 0.066	< 0.066	< 0.066	< 0.066	< 0.066	< 0.066	< 0.066
9h-carbazole	ND 0.1 R	ND 0.1 R	ND 0.1 R	ND 0.1 R	ND 0.1 R	ND 0.1 R	ND 0.1 R
chrysene	< 0.12	< 0.12	< 0.12	< 0.12	< 0.12	< 0.12	< 0.12
dibenzofuran	< 0.035	< 0.035	< 0.035	< 0.035	< 0.035	< 0.035	< 0.035
fluoranthene	< 0.068	< 0.068	< 0.068	< 0.068	< 0.068	< 0.068	< 0.068
fluorene	< 0.033	< 0.033	< 0.033	< 0.033	< 0.033	< 0.033	< 0.033
naphthalene	< 0.037	< 0.037	< 0.037	< 0.037	< 0.037	< 0.037	< 0.037
phenanthrene	< 0.033	< 0.033	< 0.033	< 0.033	< 0.033	< 0.033	< 0.033
pyrene	< 0.033	< 0.033	< 0.033	< 0.033	< 0.033	< 0.033	< 0.033
PESTICIDES/PCBs (ug/g)							
Dieldrin	< 0.006	< 0.006	< 0.006	< 0.006	< 0.006	< 0.006	< 0.006
DDD	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.083	0.06	< 0.008	< 0.008
DDE	< 0.008	0.115	< 0.008	< 0.076	0.023	< 0.008	< 0.008
DDT	< 0.007	< 0.007	< 0.007	0.011	0.023	< 0.007	< 0.007
EXPLOSIVES (ug/g)							
nitroglycerine	< 4						
INORGANICS (ug/g)							
aluminum	2410	2240	1520	4700	5820	4200	3350
arsenic	7.5	78	99	20	22	7.51	6.46
barium	10.4	55.3	< 5.18	14.6	16.1	10.9	10.1
beryllium	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
calcium	488	36300	32200	860	1080	1000	748
cobalt	1.89	< 1.42	< 1.42	2.51	2.74	2.28	1.9
chromium	8.33	< 4.05	< 4.05	13.3	18.1	27	19.9
copper	2.93	8.91	< 0.965	5.56	4.28	2.03	1.66
iron	3760	13300	7170	10600	7790	7180	5770
potassium	438	889	< 100	305	344	363	290
magnesium	1020	1600	1760	1900	2300	2060	1340
manganese	40.8	1110	94.3	73.8	86	64	47
silver	0.882	4.3	< 0.589	< 0.589	< 0.589	< 0.589	< 0.589
sodium	167	1190	1080	190	190	203	181
nickel	6.31	< 1.71	< 1.71	13.3	11.9	8.72	6.78
lead	2.46	8.09	5.36	6.4	6.64	4.06	4.01
antimony	< 1.09	< 1.09	< 1.09	< 1.09	< 1.09	< 1.09	< 1.09
selenium	< 0.25	4.62	6.72	< 0.25	< 0.25	< 0.25	< 0.25
vanadium	4.75	< 3.39	< 3.39	8.48	10	7.41	6.62
zinc	11.2	< 8.03	< 8.03	28.1	28.1	14.6	11.8
OTHER (ug/g)							
total organic carbon	920	585000	627000	13900	23200	1940	9820
SOLIDS (% WET WT)	85.1	14.3	15.1	83.9	83.9	83.3	82.4

Notes: < = less than
R = Analyte required for reporting purposes, but not currently certified
S = Results based on internal standard
ND = not detected

TABLE 4-21
SEDIMENT SAMPLE ANALYTICAL RESULTS
COLD SPRING BROOK POND

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	CSD-92-14X 0 FT		CSD-92-15X 0 FT		CSD-92-16X 0 FT	
ORGANICS (ug/g)						
acenaphthene	< 0.2		< 0.2		< 0.2	
acenaphthylene	< 0.2		< 0.2		< 0.2	
anthracene	< 0.2		< 0.2		< 0.2	
benzo [a] anthracene	< 0.8		< 0.8		< 0.8	
benzo [a] pyrene	< 20		< 1		< 1	
benzo [b] fluoranthene	< 1		< 1		< 1	
benzo [k] fluoranthene	< 0.3		< 0.3		< 0.3	
9h-carbazole	ND 0.5	R	ND 0.5	R	ND 0.5	R
chrysene	< 0.6		< 0.6		< 0.6	
dibenzofuran	< 0.2		< 0.2		< 0.2	
fluoranthene	< 0.3		< 0.3		< 0.3	
fluorene	< 0.2		< 0.2		< 0.2	
naphthalene	< 0.2		< 0.2		< 0.2	
phenanthrene	< 0.2		< 0.2		< 0.2	
pyrene	< 0.2		< 0.2		< 0.2	
PESTICIDES/PCBs (ug/g)						
Dieldrin	< 0.006		< 0.006		< 0.006	
DDD	< 0.008		0.031		0.15	
DDE	< 0.008		0.013		0.034	
DDT	< 0.007		0.061		< 0.007	
EXPLOSIVES (ug/g)						
nitroglycerine	11.3		< 4		< 4	
INORGANICS (ug/g)						
aluminum	2100		8110		6400	
arsenic	280		11.9		15	
barium	73.2		30.9		18.3	
beryllium	< 0.5		< 0.5		< 0.5	
calcium	41600		2030		1790	
cobalt	< 1.42		6.29		< 1.42	
chromium	49.2		23.4		7.5	
copper	< 0.965		7.53		< 0.965	
iron	7280		10600		4860	
potassium	< 100		702		225	
magnesium	1860		3660		951	
manganese	1020		230		181	
silver	< 0.589		0.773		< 0.589	
sodium	995		236		192	
nickel	< 1.71		21.7		4.95	
lead	11.6		7.7		11.4	
antimony	< 1.09		< 1.09		< 1.09	
selenium	5.77		< 0.25		< 0.25	
vanadium	< 3.39		14		5.75	
zinc	< 8.03		39.6		19.3	
OTHER (ug/g)						
total organic carbon	471000		9160		23500	
SOLIDS (% WET WT)	15.3		74.6		67.6	

Notes: < = less than
R = Analyte required for reporting purposes, but not currently certified
S = Results based on internal standard
ND = not detected

TABLE 4-22
SUMMARY OF COLD SPRING BROOK POND SHALLOW SEDIMENT INORGANIC DATA

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

	RI DATA SET			SUPPLEMENTAL DATA SET		
ANALYTE	FREQUENCY OF DETECTION	MAXIMUM VALUE	AVERAGE VALUE	FREQUENCY OF DETECTION	MAXIMUM VALUE	AVERAGE VALUE
INORGANICS						
aluminum	9/9	17000	8578	16/16	15800	4720
arsenic	9/9	160	50	16/16	390	94
barium	9/9	67.4	33	15/16	115	41
beryllium	1/9	0.36	0.36	0/16	n/a	n/a
calcium	4/9	13000	2600	16/16	41600	11785
chromium	5/9	50.7	25	10/16	64.8	24
cobalt	0/9	n/a	n/a	8/16	18.6	9.1
copper	6/9	34.9	10	10/16	42.9	11
iron	9/9	45000	17122	16/16	38100	14170
lead	9/9	345	90	16/16	570	58
magnesium	9/9	7000	2764	16/16	7160	1955
manganese	9/9	3000	723	16/16	1720	583
mercury	6/9	0.718	0.16	0/16	n/a	n/a
nickel	3/9	26.3	5.6	13/16	54.3	16
potassium	9/9	3000	892	13/16	3580	770
selenium	0/9	n/a	n/a	5/16	5.77	4.3
silver	0/9	n/a	n/a	4/16	6.35	4.1
sodium	4/9	403	88	16/16	1860	649
vanadium	9/9	41.1	19	9/16	48.6	14
zinc	5/9	690	97	12/16	291	84

Notes:
All concentrations in ug/g
n/a = not applicable
Values for samples SE-CSB-06 and SE-CSB-06D averaged before consideration in this table

TABLE 4-23
COMPARISON OF COLD SPRING BROOK POND SHALLOW SEDIMENT INORGANIC DATA
TO EVALUATION CRITERIA

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

		RI DATA SET			SUPPLEMENTAL DATA SET		
ANALYTE	EVALUATION CRITERIA	DETECTION FREQUENCY	MAXIMUM VALUE	EXCEEDANCE FREQUENCY	DETECTION FREQUENCY	MAXIMUM VALUE	EXCEEDANCE FREQUENCY
aluminum	n/a	9/9	17000	n/a	16/16	15800	n/a
arsenic	3	9/9	160	9/9	16/16	390	16/16
barium	20	9/9	67.4	7/9	15/16	115	9/16
beryllium	n/a	1/9	0.36	n/a	0/16	n/a	n/a
calcium	n/a	4/9	13000	n/a	16/16	41600	n/a
chromium	25	5/9	50.7	2/9	10/16	64.8	2/16
cobalt	n/a	0/9	n/a	n/a	8/16	18.6	n/a
copper	25	6/9	34.9	1/9	10/16	42.9	2/16
iron	17000	9/9	45000	3/9	16/16	38100	5/16
lead	40	9/9	345	6/9	16/16	570	3/16
magnesium	n/a	9/9	7000	n/a	16/16	7160	n/a
manganese	300	9/9	3000	9/9	16/16	1720	8/16
mercury	0.10	6/9	0.718	6/9	0/16	n/a	n/a
nickel	20	3/9	26.3	1/9	13/16	54.3	3/16
potassium	n/a	9/9	3000	n/a	13/16	3580	n/a
selenium	n/a	0/9	n/a	n/a	5/16	5.77	n/a
silver	n/a	0/9	n/a	n/a	4/16	6.35	n/a
sodium	n/a	4/9	403	n/a	16/16	1860	n/a
vanadium	n/a	9/9	41.1	n/a	9/16	48.6	n/a
zinc	90	5/9	690	1/9	12/16	291	4/16

Notes:
All values in ug/g.
n/a = not applicable
Values for samples SE-CSB-06 and SE-CSB-06D averaged before consideration in this table.

TABLE 4-24
METALS CONCENTRATIONS IN TCLP EXTRACTS OF SEDIMENT SAMPLES
COLD SPRING BROOK POND

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	REGULATORY THRESHOLD	CSD-92-01X 0 FT	CSD-92-09X 0 FT	CSD-92-09X 3 FT	CSD-92-11X 0 FT	CSD-92-12X 0 FT
METALS (mg/L)						
Arsenic	5	0.120	0.170	0.120	0.110	0.081
Barium	100	0.430	0.120	0.230	0.140	0.170
Cadmium	1	< 0.0044	< 0.0044	< 0.0044	< 0.0044	< 0.0044
Chromium	5	< 0.0074	< 0.0074	< 0.0074	< 0.0074	< 0.0074
Lead	5	0.140	< 0.064	< 0.064	< 0.064	< 0.064
Mercury	0.2	< 0.00018	< 0.00018	< 0.00018	< 0.00018	< 0.00018
Selenium	1	< 0.100	< 0.100	< 0.100	< 0.100	< 0.100
Silver	5	< 0.0061	< 0.0061	< 0.0061	< 0.0061	< 0.0061

Notes:
< = less than

TABLE 4-25
CONCENTRATIONS OF CHEMICALS IN COLD SPRING BROOK POND SURFACE WATER

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	FREQUENCY OF DETECTION	MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION
VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS (ug/L)		
Methylene Chloride	9/9	8.14
PESTICIDES/PCBs (ug/L)		
alpha-Benzenehexachloride	1/9	0.02
INORGANICS (ug/L)		
Arsenic	9/9	17.7
Barium	9/9	13.4
Calcium	9/9	31000
Chromium	2/9	4.76
Copper	7/9	6.75
Iron	9/9	3200
Magnesium	9/9	3300
Manganese	9/9	400
Potassium	9/9	2010
Silver	1/9	0.708
Zinc	3/9	86.3

NOTES:
Methylene chloride and alpha-benzenehexachloride attributed to laboratory contamination.

SOURCE: E&E, 1993

TABLE 4-26
GROUNDWATER, SEDIMENT, AND SOIL CHEMICALS EXCEEDING EVALUATION CRITERIA
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

CONTAMINANT	GROUNDWATER (ug/L)	POND SEDIMENT (ug/g)	SURFACE SOIL (ug/g)
VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS			
2-Butanone		X	
SEMIVOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS			
Acenaphthene		X	
Acenaphthylene		X	
Anthracene		X	X
Benzo(a)anthracene		X	X
Benzo(a)pyrene		X	X
Benzo(b)fluoranthene		X	X
Benzo(k)fluoranthene		X	X
Benzo(g,h,i) perylene			X
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	X	X	
9h-Carbazole		X	
Chrysene		X	X
Dibenzofuran		X	
Fluoranthene		X	X
Fluorene		X	
Indeno(1,2,3-C,D) pyrene			X
Naphthalene		X	
Phenanthrene		X	X
Pyrene		X	X
PESTICIDES/PCBs			
DDD		X	X
DDE		X	
DDT		X	X
INORGANICS			
Arsenic	X	X	X
Barium		X	X
Calcium	X		X
Chromium	X	X	X
Copper	X	X	X
Iron		X	X
Lead	X	X	
Magnesium	X		X
Manganese	X	X	X
Mercury			X
Nickel		X	X
Potassium	X		X
Sodium	X		X
Vanadium	X		X
Zinc	X	X	X

Notes:
Surface soil contaminants based on RI Report (E&E, 1993).

TABLE 4-27
SHALLOW GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYTICAL RESULTS
MAGAZINE AREA

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	MAW-92-01X UNFILTERED	MAW-92-01X FILTERED	MAW-92-03X UNFILTERED	MAW-92-03X FILTERED
PESTICIDES/PCBs (ug/L)				
bis (2-ethylhexyl) phthalate	17		< 4.8	
DDD	0.024		< 0.023	
INORGANICS (ug/L)				
aluminum	14100	< 141	9320	1160
arsenic	26.3	3.41	31	27
barium	65.4	61	53.4	151
calcium	19500	18800	5830	5590
chromium	18.1	< 6.02	15.2	< 6.02
copper	8.38	< 8.09	25.1	12.1
iron	11600	60.3	17200	10000
potassium	2630	1860	3450	2540
magnesium	5050	2430	3570	1340
manganese	114	42.1	356	308
sodium	26300	26700	5560	5610
lead	14.4	< 1.26	26.8	23.2
vanadium	16	< 11	15.3	< 11
zinc	60.4	< 21.1	60	44.9
OTHER (ug/L)				
alkalinity	40000		10000	
total dissolved solids	166000		38000	
total hardness	51600		21200	
total suspended solids	46000		278000	

Notes:
< = Less than

TABLE 4–28
SOIL SAMPLE ANALYTICAL RESULTS
MAGAZINE AREA

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	MAD–92–01X 0 FT		MAD–92–02X 0 FT		MAD–92–03X 0 FT
ORGANICS (ug/g)					
acenaphthylene	0.7	<	0.033	<	0.2
anthracene	0.8	<	0.033	<	0.2
benzo [a] anthracene	4	<	0.17	<	0.8
benzo [a] pyrene	4	<	0.25	<	1
benzo [b] fluoranthene	9	<	0.21	<	1
benzo [g,h,i] perylene	4	<	0.25	<	1
benzo [k] fluoranthene	4	<	0.066	<	0.3
chrysene	8	<	0.12	<	0.6
fluoranthene	7		0.15		1
phenanthrene	5		0.045	<	0.2
pyrene	10		0.09		1
PESTICIDES/PCBs (ug/g)					
PPDDD	0.073	<	0.008		0.057
PPDDE	0.093	<	0.008		0.021
PPDDT	0.35		0.009		0.034
INORGANICS (ug/g)					
aluminum	26500		7170		12800
arsenic	140		22		11.8
barium	81.6		21		38
calcium	2030		443		1710
cobalt	20.5		5.01		4.85
chromium	67.9		21.5		35.1
copper	50		8.42		19.6
iron	46700		11300		12100
potassium	2620		909		1160
magnesium	10100		3300		5450
manganese	269		202		147
sodium	532		158		318
nickel	80.8		17.9		29.3
lead	58		12		31
vanadium	45.7		14.7		23.7
zinc	205		35.3		85.6
OTHER (ug/g)					
total organic carbon	29500		2480		51800
SOLIDS (% WET WT)	54.5		92.5		53.3

Notes:
< = Less than

TABLE 4-29
SEDIMENT SAMPLE ANALYTICAL RESULTS
NEW CRANBERRY POND

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	CRD-92-01X 0 FT	CRD-92-01X 0 FT (DUP)	CRD-92-02X 0 FT	CRD-92-03X 0 FT
ORGANICS (ug/g)				
toluene	0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
phenol	< 0.11	< 0.11	0.87	< 0.11
PESTICIDES/PCBs (ug/g)				
PPDDD	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.59	0.122
PPDDE	< 0.008	< 0.008	< 0.26	0.044
PPDDT	< 0.007	< 0.007	< 0.14	0.017
INORGANICS (ug/g)				
aluminum	6850	8350	11100	6580
arsenic	5.75	7.14	13.8	3.85
barium	16	17.5	57.4	27.7
calcium	232	197	1850	797
cobalt	< 1.42	3.07	< 1.42	< 1.42
chromium	7.06	8.91	< 4.05	< 4.05
copper	2.46	2.19	13.9	5.08
iron	4960	6170	7140	3690
mercury	< 0.05	< 0.05	0.218	0.124
potassium	290	366	575	461
magnesium	675	897	891	891
manganese	36.9	39.1	109	36.6
sodium	203	198	726	318
nickel	5.05	5.22	< 1.71	5.21
lead	4.98	7.59	97	23
vanadium	8.22	9.73	24.5	10.4
zinc	15.4	17.2	54.8	22.5
OTHER (ug/g)				
total organic carbon	9280	10000	100000	70700
SOLIDS (% WET WT)	76	78	22.8	45

Notes:
< = Less than

5.0 FATE AND TRANSPORT OF CONTAMINANTS

The conceptual model of the two landfills is essentially the same. Landfill constituents are transported from the landfills as a result of several processes including infiltration of precipitation, groundwater migration, surface soil runoff, volatilization, and discharge to surface waters. Infiltration of precipitation through the landfill will leach soluble chemicals from the landfill and introduce them into the groundwater. Groundwater will transport soluble chemicals either by passing through the waste or through the area of the aquifer containing the chemical leached during infiltration. Groundwater may also flow to the surface in seeps as a result of the pressure difference experienced when flowing downgradient or from confined to unconfined conditions. Groundwater at both Shepley's Hill Landfill and Cold Spring Brook Landfill also discharges to surface water bodies, Plow Shop Pond, and Cold Spring Brook Pond. Chemicals can volatilize from uncapped soils, seeps, and surface water.

The mobility of chemicals under the landfills or in the surface waters is determined by the physical and chemical properties of the chemicals. Organic compounds at landfills can be grouped into two primary classes: (1) mobile in groundwater, that is, those with moderate to high water solubility and limited sorption capability (low K_{oc}); and (2) immobile compounds, that is, those with low water solubility and high sorption capability. The mobile organic compounds are also volatile and the immobile compounds are the semivolatile compounds, generally PAHs.

The behavior of the inorganic compounds is more complex. Several metals (i.e., iron, arsenic, manganese, and chromium) associated with the landfills and ponds can occur in several forms and oxidation states in the range of environmental conditions characterizing the landfills and ponds. Several metals or elements can coprecipitate with each other and form a variety of complexes making an exact determination of individual species and forms impractical. For example, arsenic can form insoluble arsenates with several metal cations, such as CaAsO4 and FeAsO4. Arsenic, mercury, and antimony can also form mobile and VOCs under microbial action. These are primarily biomethylation reactions. The kinetics of the complexation and reduction reactions are also important and can control the speciation of different elements. For example, Cr(VI) is thermodynamically stable at typical pHs and oxidizing environmental conditions, but the insoluble oxide of

Cr(III) is oxidized so slowly, that once Cr(III) is formed, it persists and will often be the predominant form of chromium.

Summaries of the properties and expected fates of the contaminants identified at Shepley's Hill Landfill and Cold Spring Brook Landfill are given in Tables 5-1 and 5-2.

Organics. The organic compounds detected in the groundwater at Shepley's Hill Landfill are the volatile chlorinated organics: 1,1-dichloroethane, 1,2-dichloroethane, 1,2-dichloroethylene, 1,2-dichloropropane, chloroethane, chloroform, trichloroethylene, and benzene. No SVOCs, pesticides and PCBs, or explosives were detected in the groundwater. The VOCs originate in some of the wastes buried in the landfill. They are mobile and will be expected to migrate with the groundwater under Shepley's Hill Landfill east toward Plow Shop Pond and north toward Nonacoicus Brook. Once in the surface water and sediments, these chemicals will volatilize and not persist in the water or sediment.

The semivolatile organics, mostly PAHs and pesticides (DDD, DDE, and DDT) present in the sediments of Plow Shop Pond and Cold Spring Brook Pond are generally insoluble in water and sorb strongly to soils and sediments. Once in the sediments, they are unlikely to migrate except with the sediments. Aerobic biodegradation of the pesticides does occur, but is expected to be slow, over years. Anaerobic biodegradation of both the pesticides and PAHs is also slow, but does occur.

Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate was reported in both the groundwater and sediments at Cold Spring Brook Landfill. Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate is relatively insoluble in water, has a large sorption coefficient (K_{oc}), and so is expected to sorb strongly to soils, especially those with high organic matter as in the sediments. It is likely that the Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate detected in groundwater samples is sorbed to the suspended solids in the samples and is not dissolved in the water.

Inorganics. **Metals**. Many of the metals on the PAL form complexes with carbonates and organic ligands. The stability of these complexes in water systems determines the equilibrium form of metals. Some of the metals also form insoluble oxides, carbonates, and sulfides in natural water systems or are present as coprecipitated solids in other metal systems. A summary of the principal species and their transport potentials in Shepley's Hill Landfill, Plow Shop Pond,

and Cold Spring Brook Landfill and Pond is presented in Table 5-2. Some of the more pertinent fates and species are described below.

Both filtered and unfiltered groundwater samples were collected at the two landfills. Concentrations of several metals were lower in the filtered samples indicating that at least a portion of the metal was present as a solid in the groundwater sample. Concentrations that were the same in both filtered and unfiltered samples indicate the metal was present as a soluble species in the groundwater. Of the metals on the PAL, calcium, magnesium, potassium and sodium form soluble salts and are expected primarily in dissolved forms.

Aluminum forms many pH-dependent complexes in water and also forms solid hydroxide and oxide. Aluminum is expected to be present primarily in the insoluble oxide or hydroxide and in a higher concentration in the unfiltered samples. The TSS and aluminum correlate well in samples collected during the supplemental RI activities.

Barium forms an insoluble oxide and hydroxide and is primarily expected in the insoluble form and will be higher in the unfiltered samples.

Chromium can exist as both the III and VI species in natural waters, and both species form complexes with organic ligands. Although Cr(VI) is more stable under typical natural systems, at least part of the Cr(VI) can be reduced by other elements. Cr(III) is kinetically favored, and once formed, will persist. Generally, chromium in surface water and groundwater exists predominantly as the insoluble Cr_2O_3; chromium dissolved in water exists as Cr(VI) and may be complexed by organic and inorganic ligands.

Arsenic, iron, and manganese speciation and solubility depend on both pH and the oxidation potential, Eh. Typically, soluble Fe(II) predominates in anaerobic groundwaters, along with As (III) and Mn(II). When anaerobic waters, including groundwaters, are exposed to oxygen, insoluble oxidized species form. As(V) coprecipitates with the iron and manganese oxides and higher concentrations of all three species are often observed at groundwater seeps. However, arsenic can be biomethylated by bacteria and other microbes present in soils and sediments. Once methylated, arsenic compounds are again mobile and may even volatilize. The concentrations of arsenic, manganese, and iron in the dissolved phase at Shepley's Hill Landfill correlate well because the oxidation potentials of all three

dissolved species are similar. Arsenic, manganese, and iron are all expected to be found in groundwater, surface water, sediments, and soils. Arsenic can be expected in air as well.

Mercury exists predominantly as Hg(II) and forms soluble and insoluble compounds. Biotransformation and eventual volatilization of methylated mercury is a dominant transport pathway. However, in the presence of sulfur, the very insoluble HgS forms, which may be sufficiently stable to resist biomethylation. Consequently, mercury can be expected in all the transport pathways: groundwater, surface water, soils, sediment, and air.

Anions. Chlorides, nitrates, nitrites, sulfates, and bromides were reported in the groundwater at Shepley's Hill Landfill and Cold Spring Brook Landfill in the ESE RI report. Metal complexes with these anions are possible at both landfills. In this study, both hardness and alkalinity were measured in the unfiltered water samples. Carbonates and bicarbonates both form complexes with many of the metals (Table 5-2) and in a large part determine the form and migration of some of the metals at the landfills.

TABLE 5–1
PROBABLE FATE AND TRANSPORT PATHWAYS FOR ORGANICS

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

CHEMICAL	MW g/mole	WATER SOLUBILITY mg/L	SORPTION POTENTIAL Koc, mL/g	VAPOR PRESSURE mmHg	HENRY'S LAW CONSTANT atmxm^3/mol	BIODEGRADATION POTENTIAL	MEDIA WHERE DETECTED	PROBABLE FATE AND POTENTIAL TRANSPORT PATHWAYS
Volatiles								
1,1–Dichloroethane	99	$5.5x10^3$	30	$1.82x10^2$	$4.31x10^{-3}$	OM, RS	SHL GW	These volatile compounds are mobile in groundwater
1,2–Dichloroethane	99	$8.52x10^3$	14	$6.40x10^1$	$9.78x10^{-4}$	OM, RS	SHL GW	and volatile from soils and waters. They degrade
1,1–Dichloroethylene	97	$2.25x10^3$	65	$6.0x10^2$	$3.40x10^{-2}$	OM, RM		under anaerobic conditions and more slowly under aerobic
1,2–Dichloropropane	113	$2.70x10^3$	51	$4.2x10^1$	$2.31x10^{-3}$	OS, RN	SHL GW	conditions. They can be expected in water systems and sediments.
Chloroethane						OM, RM	SHL GW	
Chloroform	119	$8.2x10^3$	31	$1.51x10^2$	$2.87x10^{-3}$	OM, RM	SHL GW	
Trichloroethene	131	$1.1x10^3$	126	$5.79x10^1$	$9.10x10^{-3}$	OS, RS	SHL GW	
Tetrachloroethene	166	$1.50x10^2$	364	$1.78x10^1$	$2.59x10^{-2}$	OS, RN		
2–Butanone	72	$2.68x10^5$	.45	$7.75x10^1$	$2.74x10^{-0}$	OQ, RQ	CSBL SED	Very soluble in water and very biodegradable. Will transport in water.
Benzene	78	$1.75x10^3$	83	$9.52x10^1$	$5.59x10^{-3}$	OQ, RS	SHL GW	Soluble in water and volatile from waters and soils. Will transport with water systems. Very biodegradable in aerobic systems.
Semivolatiles								
Carbazole	167	insol	high	low	low	OS, RS	CSBL SED	Relatively insoluble in water, high sorption capability and low
Acenaphthylene	152	3.93	2500	$2.9x10^{-2}$	$1.48x10^{-3}$	OM, RM	CSBL SED	biodegradability. Expected preferentially in soils and sediments.
Acenaphthene	154	3.42	4600	$1.55x10^{-3}$	$9.2x10^{-5}$	OS, RS	CSBL SED	Transport pathways primarily surface soil runoff and sedimentation.
Anthracene	178	$4.5x10^{-2}$	$1.4x10^4$	$1.95x10^{-4}$	$1.02x10^{-3}$	OS, RS	CSBL SED	
Benzo(a)anthracene	228	$5.7x10^{-3}$	$1.38x10^6$	$2.2x10^{-8}$	$1.16x10^{-6}$	OS, RS	CSBL/SHL SED	
Benzo(a)pyrene	252	$1.2x10^{-3}$	$5.5x10^6$	$5.6x10^{-9}$	$1.55x10^{-6}$	OS, RS	CSBL SED	
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	252	$1.4x10^{-2}$	$5.5x10^5$	$5x10^{-7}$	$1.19x10^{-5}$	OS, RN	CSBL SED	
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	276	$1.0x10^{-4}$	$1.6x10^6$	$1.03x10^{-10}$	$5.34x10^{-8}$	OS, RN		
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	252	$4.3x10^{-3}$	$5.5x10^5$	$5.1x10^{-7}$	$3.9x10^{-5}$		CSBL SED	
Bis–2(ethylhexyl)phthalate	391		$6.2x10^4$				CSBL GW & SED	
Chrysene	228	$1.8x10^{-3}$	$2.0x10^5$	$6.3x10^{-9}$	$1.05x10^{-6}$	OS, RN	SHL/CSBL SED	
Dibenzofuran						OM, RM	CSBL/SHL SED	
Fluoranthene	202	$2.06x10^{-1}$	$3.8x10^4$	$5.0x10^{-6}$	$6.46x10^{-6}$	OS, RN	CSBL/SHL SED	
Fluorene	116	1.69	$7.3x10^3$	$7.1x10^{-4}$	$6.42x10^{-5}$	OM, RS	CSBL SED	
Naphthalene						OQ, RS	CSBL/SHL SED	
Phenanthrene	178	$1.00x10^0$	$1.4x10^4$	$6.80x10^{-4}$	$1.59x10^{-4}$	OS, RS	CSBL/SHL SED	
Phenol	94	$9.30x10^4$	14	$3.41x10^{-1}$	$4.54x10^{-7}$	OQ, RM		
Pyrene	202	1.38	$3.8x10^4$	$2.5x10^{-6}$	$5.04x10^{-6}$	OS, RN	CSBL/SHL SED	
Pesticides/PCBs								
PPDDD	320	$1.0x10^{-1}$	$7.7x10^5$	$1.89x10^{-6}$	$7.96x10^{-6}$	ON, RS	CSBL/SHL SED	Relatively insoluble in water, high sorption capability and low
PPDDE	318	$4.0x10^{-2}$	$4.4x10^6$	$6.50x10^{-6}$	$6.80x10^{-5}$	ON, RS	CSBL/SHL SED	biodegradability. Expected preferentially in soils and sediments.
PPDDT	355	$5.0x10^{-3}$	$2.43x10^5$	$5.5x10^{-6}$	$5.13x10^{-4}$	ON, RM	CSBL/SHL SED	Transport pathways primarily surface soil runoff and sedimentation.

Notes:
M = moderate monthly
N = none/very slow
O = aerobic
Q = quick days
R = anaerobic
S = slow years

TABLE 5–2
PROBABLE FATE AND TRANSPORT PATHWAYS FOR INORGANICS

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ELEMENT	PROBABLE SPECIES	POSSIBLE COMPOUNDS	WATER SOLUBILITY	VAPOR PRESSURE	SORPTION POTENTIAL	MEDIA WHERE DETECTED	PROBABLE FATE AND POTENTIAL TRANSPORT PATHWAYS
Al	Al(III)	$Al(OH)_3$	insol	negligible	strong	SHL GW,	Limited groundwater migration
As						SHL GW&SED	As(V) coprecipitates and sorbs strongly. As(III) soluble
						CSBL GW&SED	in reducing waters. Biotransformation takes As(V) to
							As(III) and even As(−III). As(V) common on soils and in
							sediments. As(III) transported in groundwater, As(V)
							transported via surface water runoff and reduction to
							more mobile As(III) and As(−III).
	As(III)	H_3AsO_3 and salts	sol	negligible	strong		Groundwater migration of various arsenite salts
		$(CH_3)2AsO_2H$	sol	mod	low		Forms under microbial conditions.
		$(CH_3)AsO_3H_2$	sol				Volatilization and water transport.
				mod	low		
	As(V)	H_3AsO_4	sol	negligible	high		Sorbs to and precipitates on soils.
		AsO_4 salts	insol	negligible	high		Insoluble Ca, Ba, Al, Fe salts.
							Sorbs to or coprecipitates on soil with high iron
							and aluminum.
		As_2S_3	insol	negligible	strong		Forms under anaerobic conditions
	As(0)	As	insol				Forms under anaerobic conditions
	As(−III)	AsH_3	sol	high	low		Forms under very anaerobic conditions.
		$As(CH_3)_2$	sol	high	low		Forms under very anaerobic conditions.
Ba	Ba(II)					SHL GW&SED	
		$BaSO_4$	mod insol	negligible	med	CSBL SED	Transported via groundwater and surface water. Ba in
		$BaCO_3$	mod insol	negligible	med		soils transported via surface runoff. Present in both

TABLE 5–2
PROBABLE FATE AND TRANSPORT PATHWAYS FOR INORGANICS

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ELEMENT	PROBABLE SPECIES	POSSIBLE COMPOUNDS	WATER SOLUBILITY	VAPOR PRESSURE	SORPTION POTENTIAL	MEDIA WHERE DETECTED	PROBABLE FATE AND POTENTIAL TRANSPORT PATHWAYS
		$Ba(OH)_2$	mod insol	negligible	med		soluble and insoluble forms.
Ca	Ca(II)	many salts	sol to insol	negligible	med to low	SHL GW CSBL GW	Present in soluble and insoluble forms. Transported with water and with soils by surface water runoff.
Mg	Mg(II)	many salts	sol to insol	negligible	med to low	SHL GW CSBL GW	
Cr						CSBL GW&SED SHL GW&SED	Cr(VI) and Cr(III) intraconvertible in most natural waters. Cr(VI) is stable under normal pH and oxidizing conditions, but at least part can be reduced by other elements present. Cr(III) is kinetically stable, and if present in the solid form, will persist. Cr(III) predominantly a solid. Cr(VI) predominantly soluble and transported with water.
	Cr(III)	Cr_2O_3	insol	negligible	high		Mostly solid form, can be oxidized slowly by MnO_2 even in soils. Coprecipitates with iron. Transported by surface runoff.
		$Cr(OH)_2^+$	sol	negligible	high		
	Cr(VI)	CrO_4^{-2}	sol	negligible	low		Mostly soluble. Transported in waters. Can be reduced by organic matter.
Cu	Cu(I)	Cu_2O	mod insol	negligible	high	CSBL GW&SED SHL GW&SED	Cu(I) under anaerobic conditions, Cu(II) under anaerobic conditions. Generally both forms are moderately soluble, but some individual salts and complex salts are insoluble. Primary transports pathways are groundwater surface water, sedimentation, and surface runoff.
		$Cu_2CO_3(OH)_2$	insol	negligible	high		
		Cu_2S	insol	negligible	high		
		$Cu_2Fe_2O_4$, CuFeS	insol	negligible	high		
	Cu(II)	CuO	insol	negligible	high		
		complexes	sol	negligible	low		Complexes limited by presence of Mg and Ca complexes.

TABLE 5–2
PROBABLE FATE AND TRANSPORT PATHWAYS FOR INORGANICS

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ELEMENT	PROBABLE SPECIES	POSSIBLE COMPOUNDS	WATER SOLUBILITY	VAPOR PRESSURE	SORPTION POTENTIAL	MEDIA WHERE DETECTED	PROBABLE FATE AND POTENTIAL TRANSPORT PATHWAYS
		$CuFe_2O_4$	insol	negligible	high		
		$CuCO_3$	mod insol	negligible	high		
Fe	Fe(II)	$FeCO_3$	mod insol	negligible	high	SHL GW	Both Fe(II) and Fe(III) species are stable. Fe(II)
		FeS	insol	negligible	high	CSBL SED	predominates in a reducing environment and its salts
		Fe^{+2}	sol	negligible	low		are more soluble than Fe(III). Fe(III) primarily
	Fe(III)	$Fe(OH)_3$, Fe_3O_4	insol	negligible	high		transported by surface water runoff. Fe(II) primarily transported in reduced waters.
		FeS_2	insol	negligible	high		Present in reducing environments.
Hg	Hg(0)	Hg	insol	moderate	low	SHL SED	Volatilization and transformation of mercury into vol–
	Hg(I)						atile forms is a primary pathway. Most mercury salts are
		Hg_2S	insol	negligible	high		soluble, but presence in water systems is controlled by
	Hg(II)	HgS	insol	negligible	high		complexation and insoluble HgS, Hg_2S, and $Hg(OH)_2$.
		$(CH_3)_2Hg$	low	high	low		Biological transformation of methylated mercury
		$(CH_3)Hg^+$	high	low	low		complicates the transport of mercury into soluble and volatile pathways. Transport pathways include water transport, volatilization, sedimentation, and with soils in surface water runoff.
K	K(I)	K salts	high	negligible	low	SHL GW	Both Na and K are generally soluble and once in the water
		K silicates and minerals				CSBL GW	systems will be transported with the water. They are
Na	Na(I)	Na salts	high	negligible	low	SHL GW	also present in minerals within the soils and sediments
		Na silicates and minerals				CSBL GW	and will be transported in surface water runoff.
Mn	Mn(II)	Mn				CSBL GW&SED	Mn(II) is primarily soluble and Mn(IV) forms the very

TABLE 5–2
PROBABLE FATE AND TRANSPORT PATHWAYS FOR INORGANICS

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ELEMENT	PROBABLE SPECIES	POSSIBLE COMPOUNDS	WATER SOLUBILITY	VAPOR PRESSURE	SORPTION POTENTIAL	MEDIA WHERE DETECTED	PROBABLE FATE AND POTENTIAL TRANSPORT PATHWAYS
		$MnCO_3$, Mn S,	mod sol	negligible	mod	SHL GW&SED	insoluble MnO_4. Under natural conditions, Mn(II) and
		$Mn(OH)_2$	mod sol	negligible	mod		Mn(IV) are intraconvertible. Transport of manganese
	Mn(IV)	MnO_2	insol	negligible	high		occurs with water, by sedimentation and with soil via
							surface water runoff.
Ni	Ni(II)	$NiCO_3$,	mod sol	negligible	mod	CSBL SED	Ni(II) is primarily soluble and mobile due to humic acid and
		$Ni(HCO_3)_2$	sol	negligible	mod	SHL GW&SED	carbonate complexes. Under reducing conditions NiS
		$NiFeO_4$	insol	negligible	high		forms and under aerobic conditions insoluble $NiFeO_4$ forms.
		$Ni(OH)^+$, Ni salts	sol	negligible	low		Transport in water systems, with sedimentation and with soil
		NiS	insol	negligible	high		in surface water runoff all occur.
Sb	Sb(III)	$Sb(OH)_3$	sol	negligible	mod	SHL GW	Antimony behaves much as As. Antimony occurs primarily as.
	Sb(V)	$Sb(OH)_6$	sol	negligible	mod		soluble oxides. Under reducing conditions, biomethylation
	Sb(−III)	SbH_3, $Sb(CH_3)_3$	mod sol	moderate	low		to volatile Sb compounds is possible but these oxidize in
							water to soluble oxides. Some insoluble salt formation with
							Fe, Mn and Al likely and limits transport in water systems.
							Transport in water systems, with sedimentation and with soil
							in surface water runoff all occur.
Se	Se(IV)	SeO_3^{-2}	insol/sol	negligible	high	SHL SED	Selenium behaves much as S and forms insoluble compounds
	Se(VI)	SeO_4^{-2}	insol/sol	negligible	high		and sorbs to ferric oxides or is reduced to the insoluble metal.
	Se(0)	Se	insol	negligible	high		Transport in water systems is limited primarily to sediment–
	Se(−II)	$(CH_3)_2Se$	insol	moderate	low		ation and surface water runoff with soil. Biomethylation and
							subsequent volatilization can occur in aquatic environments.
V	V(V)	VO_3^-	sol	negligible	low	SHL GW	Vanadium behavior in natural systems has not been well
	V(IV)	VO^{+2}	sol	negligible	low	CSBL GW	characterized. Soluble V(V) is the primary agent of transport

TABLE 5–2
PROBABLE FATE AND TRANSPORT PATHWAYS FOR INORGANICS

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ELEMENT	PROBABLE SPECIES	POSSIBLE COMPOUNDS	WATER SOLUBILITY	VAPOR PRESSURE	SORPTION POTENTIAL	MEDIA WHERE DETECTED	PROBABLE FATE AND POTENTIAL TRANSPORT PATHWAYS
		$VO(OH)_2$	insol	negligible	high		in water. Sedimentation and surface water runoff may also occur.
Zn	Zn(II)	ZnS	insol	negligible	high	CSBL GW&SED	Zinc is moderately soluble under typical natural environmental
		$Zn(OH)_2$	sol	negligible	mod	SHL GW&SED	conditions. $ZnFe_2O_4$ may control solubility in water systems.
		Zn salts, $Zn(OH)^+$	sol	negligible	mod		Zn is coprecipitated with Fe and Mn oxides. Zinc will be trans–
		$Zn(HCO_3)_2$, $ZnCO_3$	sol	negligible	mod		ported in water systems, with sedimentation and surface water runoff.
Pb	Pb(II)	PbS	insol	negligible	strong	CSBL GW&SED	Pb(II) is generally soluble in natural water systems.
		$Pb(OH)^+$	sol	negligible	mod	SHL GW&SED	Precipitated lead can be mobilized via complexation
		$PbHCO_3^+$	sol	negligible	mod		and at high pHs. Lead sorbs strongly to soil and coprecip–
		$PbCO_3$	mod insol	negligible	strong		itates with oxides, Mn and Fe. Lead will be transported in
		$PbSO_4$	sol	negligible	strong		water systems, with sedimentation and surface water runoff.

Subsection 6.1.1 of the Supplemental Risk Assessment for Shepley's Hill Landfill contains a summary of the April 1993 RI Risk Assessment for the Landfill. Subsection 6.1.2 is the Supplemental Risk Assessment with its various subsections:

- Subsection 6.1.2.1 - Identification of Chemicals of Potential Concern (COPCs) and Estimation of Exposure Point Concentrations (EPCs)
- Subsection 6.1.2.2 - Exposure Assessment
- Subsection 6.1.2.3 - Toxicity Assessment
- Subsection 6.1.2.4 - Risk Characterization
- Subsection 6.1.2.5 - Comparison of Exposure Point Concentrations to Standards and/or Guidelines

Uncertainties typical to most risk assessments, including the RI Risk Assessment and the Supplemental Risk Assessment, were discussed in detail throughout the RI Risk Assessment. These will not be repeated here. Only those limitations or assumptions specific to the Supplemental Risk Assessment will be discussed in the appropriate sections of the Supplemental Risk Assessment.

Subsection 6.4.1 is a summary of the potential health risks associated with Shepley's Hill Landfill and Plow Shop Pond.

6.1.1 Summary of the RI Risk Assessment

In the RI Risk Assessment of April 1993, possible exposure pathways at Shepley's Hill Landfill were identified under existing site conditions and under an assumption that land surrounding the landfill would be converted to residential use in the future without any remediation.

Under current land use, the possible exposure pathways include the following:

- Incidental ingestion of surface water while fishing in Plow Shop Pond and consumption of fish caught in Plow Shop Pond by recreational fishermen and their families;

- Direct contact (dermal contact and incidental ingestion) with contaminated pond sediment along the landfill shoreline by site visitors; and

- Direct contact (dermal contact and incidental ingestion) with surface water by site visitors swimming in Plow Shop Pond.

Under an assumption of future residential use of the surrounding land, additional exposure pathways to those listed above were identified:

- Ingestion of contaminated groundwater as drinking water;

- Dermal contact with contaminated groundwater while bathing or showering, or through other household uses;

- Inhalation of contaminant vapors while bathing or showering, or through other household uses; and

- Consumption of homegrown fruits and vegetables watered with groundwater.

Summaries of the human health risks posed by exposure through these pathways are provided in Tables 6-1 and 6-2. The risk estimates reported in these tables were extracted from the RI Report and represent only those excess cancer risks above $1x10^{-6}$ and those hazard indices (HIs) above 1.0.

The USEPA Superfund Program uses these risk management guidelines as points of departure for determining remediation goals for NPL sites (USEPA, 1991i). This Superfund guidance for developing preliminary remediation goals also states that the use of "points of departure" target risks does not mean that final remedial actions should attain such goals. USEPA's guidelines state that when the total incremental carcinogenic risk for an individual resulting from exposure at a hazardous waste site is within the range of 10^{-4} to 10^{-6}, a decision about whether to take action or not is a site-specific decision. An USEPA Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response (OSWER) directive, the "Role of Baseline Risk Assessment in Superfund Remedy Selection Decisions," indicates that action is generally warranted at a site when the cumulative carcinogenic risk is greater than

10^{-4} or the cumulative noncarcinogenic HI exceeds one, based on Reasonable Maximum Exposure (RME) assumptions (USEPA, 1991d).

Table 6-1 contains risk estimates for COPCs that the RI Report concluded were related to the landfill. Under current land use conditions, the ingestion of fish caught in Plow Shop Pond by recreational fishermen and their families presented health risks (both carcinogenic and noncarcinogenic) above the Superfund points of departure. In the RI Risk Assessment, the risks associated with fish ingestion were estimated by multiplying measured sediment concentrations by bioaccumulation factors; direct measurements of analyte concentrations in fish tissue had not been made at the time of the RI Risk Assessment. Fish tissue concentrations have been measured directly as part of the RI Addendum data gap activities. Arsenic and cadmium in the fish were responsible for most of the health risks. Incidental ingestion of pond water while fishing did not present health risks above the Superfund points of departure.

Under current land use conditions, direct contact with sediment along the western shoreline of Plow Shop Pond also presented health risks above the Superfund points of departure. Arsenic in the sediment was responsible for the health risk estimates above the points of departure. Although not quantitatively evaluated, the health risks from exposure to surface water by site visitors who swim in Plow Shop Pond were considered to be low.

Table 6-3 is a comparison of the average and maximum detected analyte concentrations in surface water to drinking water standards or guidelines. Surface water samples were collected and analyzed as part of the initial RI. Analyte concentrations were well below drinking water standards or guidelines, except for iron and manganese. The toxicity of iron to humans is relatively low, which is why no health-based drinking water standard exists for iron. Although the maximum detected concentration of manganese is above its Maximum Contaminant Level Goal (MCLG), the average concentration is below the MCLG. Ingestion of surface water while swimming provides for much less exposure potential than drinking water exposure, because of a lower volume being ingested and a less frequent exposure. Shoreline conditions at Plow Shop Pond discourage swimming (i.e., thick vegetation). Also, dermal absorption of waterborne inorganics is known to be very low. For these reasons, risks from ingestion and dermal contact with surface water while swimming in Plow Shop Pond are likely to be low and therefore are not evaluated further.

Under assumed future residential use of land surrounding the site, these same exposure pathways pose health risks above the points of departure. In addition, future ingestion of unfiltered groundwater as a drinking water source poses health risks above the points of departure. Most of the health risk was due to the presence of arsenic. Other chemicals in the groundwater including Aroclor-1260, beryllium, cadmium, manganese, heptachlor, and some VOCs, presented health risks above the points of departure but contributed a small percentage to the overall risk (see Table 6-1). Ingestion of groundwater as a drinking water source was responsible for most of the health risk (97 percent); direct contact with groundwater while bathing, the inhalation of VOCs in groundwater during showering, and the consumption of fruits and vegetables irrigated with groundwater contributed approximately 3 to 4 percent to the total risk.

Table 6-2 contains risk estimates for chemicals detected in media at Shepley's Hill Landfill that were assumed to be associated with sources other than the landfill. Under current land use conditions, the ingestion of two pesticides (heptachlor and DDE) and mercury assumed to be in Plow Shop Pond fish presented health risks above the Superfund points of departure. Estimated health risks from site visitor exposure to sediment along the eastern shoreline of Plow Shop Pond, where chemicals are not observed from the landfill, were below the points of departure.

Under assumed future residential use of land surrounding the site, the ingestion of fish containing chemicals originating from sources other than the landfill continued to present health risks above the points of departure. Visitor sediment contact along the eastern shoreline where chemicals are not derived from the landfill, presented health risks slightly above the point of departure for excess lifetime cancer risks, assuming more frequent contact under future use conditions than current land use conditions. Future residential use of groundwater from two well groupings containing chemicals from sources other than the landfill presented health risks above the points of departure.

Groundwater from well SHL-15, a well described in the RI Risk Assessment as upgradient from the landfill and outside of its zone of influence, contained several analytes posing health risks above the points of departure: arsenic, beryllium, dieldrin, manganese, and cadmium. Arsenic was responsible for most of the risk (see Table 6-2).

Another group of wells (SHL-7, -8, -8D, -13) considered in the RI Risk Assessment to be outside the landfill's zone of influence presented health risks above the Superfund points of departure. Arsenic (representing 98 percent of the total risk), beryllium, and manganese were responsible for most of the health risks.

The RI Risk Assessment also presented estimates of the health risks using groundwater data that were adjusted to account for the high suspended solids content of the unfiltered samples. These results are not reported here.

6.1.2 Supplemental Risk Assessment

As part of the data gap activities reported in this RI Addendum, filtered groundwater samples were collected and analyzed. All groundwater samples were analyzed for total metals and fifty percent of the samples were also analyzed for dissolved metals. The health risks associated with both unfiltered and filtered groundwater samples will be presented in this Supplemental Risk Assessment.

The objectives of the Supplemental Risk Assessment are the following:

- To assess the human health risks from potential exposure to landfill-related contaminants in groundwater, sediment, and fish tissue using primarily data reported in this RI Addendum.
- To present the total risks posed by the groundwater, sediment, and fish at Shepley's Hill Landfill, including landfill-related contaminants and chemicals thought to originate from sources other than the landfill.
- To compare the findings of the RI Risk Assessment with those of the Supplemental Risk Assessment.
- To identify those exposure pathways and contaminants that pose health risks above the Superfund points of departure for consideration in the FS.
- To identify uncertainties in the Risk Assessments that are important in making risk management decisions critical to the FS.

A summary of data collected as part of RI Addendum activities and used to identify COPCs for the Supplemental Risk Assessment follows.

6.1.2.1 Identification of COPCs and Estimation of EPCs. COPCs for the Supplemental Risk Assessment were identified primarily using data collected during RI Addendum data gap activities. These data include the latest groundwater sampling results from the March and June 1993 sampling rounds and fish tissue analyte concentrations from the October 1992 fish sampling program at Plow Shop Pond. Sediment COPCs were identified using both the RI and RI Addendum data sets. Subsection 2.1 describes these sampling programs in detail.

For the Supplemental Risk Assessment, COPCs are segregated into those considered landfill-related and those considered to originate from sources other than the landfill. The basis for segregating the COPCs in this way is provided in the contamination assessment in Subsection 4.1 and the hydrogeologic evaluation in Subsection 3.3.

Landfill-related COPCs in groundwater at Shepley's Hill Landfill were identified on the basis of monitoring well groupings. As in the RI Risk Assessment, monitoring wells were grouped into four groups for the Supplemental Risk Assessment. Table 6-4 lists the monitoring wells within these groups.

Based on the hydrogeologic investigation and the contaminant fate and transport analysis discussed in Subsections 3.3 and 5.1, some changes were made in the well groups between the RI Risk Assessment and this Supplemental Risk Assessment. Two wells formerly in Well Group 1 have been moved to Well Group 2 (background wells): SHL-12 and SHL-17. One well (SHL-7), formerly in Well Group 3, has also been moved to Well Group 2. Monitoring well SHL-21, formerly in Well Group 1, has been moved to Well Group 3.

Well Group 1 contains those monitoring wells interpreted to be downgradient of and within the zone of influence of Shepley's Hill Landfill. All COPCs within Well Group 1 are assumed to be landfill-related COPCs. Table 6-5 presents the summary statistics for the detected analytes in Well Group 1. Three detected analytes shown in Table 6-5 were not selected as COPCs - acetone, chloroform, and toluene. Acetone and toluene were both detected in only one of 14 samples at concentrations (15 and 0.56 μg/L, respectively) well below the Massachusetts drinking water standards/guidelines of 3,000 and 1,000 μg/L, respectively. The

presence of chloroform is attributed to blank contamination. Well Group 1 contains several VOCs and inorganic analytes. The maximum detected concentrations and arithmetic means serve as the Exposure Point Concentrations (EPCs) in the groundwater. Both unfiltered and filtered data are included in Table 6-5, and the Supplemental Risk Assessment will evaluate the health risks for both sets of data.

To calculate the arithmetic means presented in Tables 6-5 through 6-10, one-half the sample quantitation limit (SQL) was substituted for non-detects. Duplicate samples were averaged together and their average was used in the calculation of the mean. For the purpose of determining an analyte's frequency of detection, duplicate samples were averaged and counted as a single sample. If a maximum happened to be in a sample with a duplicate, the highest of the two samples was reported as the maximum, not an average of the two samples.

For groundwater, because the Round 2 data set is a subset of the Round 1 data set (that is, not all Round 1 wells were resampled in Round 2), the two rounds were averaged together; the averaged data points were used to calculate summary statistics (except maximums) for the well group. Maximum concentrations in groundwater were chosen from both sampling rounds before the two rounds were averaged together.

Summary statistics for Well Groups 3 and 4 are presented in Tables 6-6 and 6-7. Well Group 3 is a group of wells in the northeast corner of the landfill interpreted in the RI Addendum (Subsection 4.1) to be cross-gradient of the landfill and downgradient of Plow Shop Pond. Well Group 4 includes monitoring well SHL-15 and is evaluated separately here as was done in the RI Risk Assessment. During the RI, SHL-15 was expected to be an upgradient well but was interpreted to be contaminated.

No organic analytes were detected in Well Group 3. Only one organic analyte, trichlorofluoromethane, was detected in Well Group 4. Inorganic COPCs in Well Groups 3 and 4 include those analytes that were detected at concentrations above basewide background levels. An inorganic analyte was eliminated as a COPC only if its maximum detected concentration was below basewide background concentrations. Table 4-1 presents background concentrations of inorganic analytes in groundwater at Fort Devens.

Tables 6-8 and 6-9 present summary statistics on fish tissue concentrations at Plow Shop Pond. All detected analytes were included as COPCs. In the Supplemental Risk Assessment, the health risks associated with bluegills and with bullhead and bass caught in Plow Shop Pond are evaluated. Table 6-8 contains maximum and arithmetic mean concentrations of detected analytes in whole fish samples of bluegills. While USEPA risk assessment guidance (USEPA, 1989f) recommends performing risk assessments on edible tissue concentrations when available, bluegills (or sunfish) are small in size and could be expected to be cooked and eaten as whole fish. Of the analytes detected in the bluegills, arsenic, barium, iron, and manganese are assumed to be landfill-related. The remaining analytes are interpreted to be from sources other than the landfill.

Section 4.1 contains a discussion of those analytes in Plow Shop Pond sediment that are determined to be landfill-related and those that are not. For the purpose of selecting landfill-related COPCs in Plow Shop Pond fish, it was assumed that landfill-related COPCs in the fish are the same as those in pond sediment.

Table 6-9 contains maximum and arithmetic mean concentrations of detected analytes in the fillet portion (representing edible tissue) of bullheads and bass in Plow Shop Pond. Landfill-related COPCs in fish fillets include arsenic, iron, and manganese.

COPCs in Plow Shop Pond sediment were identified using the 13 sediment samples (SE-SHL-01 through SE-SHL-13) collected and analyzed for as part of the RI Report of April 1993 and the 28 sediment samples (SHD-92-01 through SHD-92-28) collected and analyzed for as part of this RI Addendum. The summary statistics presented in Table 6-10 for Plow Shop Pond sediment are based on these data; the maximum and arithmetic means in this table serve as the EPCs in this Supplemental Risk Assessment.

Four detected analytes were not selected as COPCs in sediment. Acetone and methylene chloride were considered to be laboratory contaminants in the RI Report. Heptachlor was a suspected laboratory contaminant in the RI Report and was not detected in any of the RI Addendum samples. Methyl ethyl ketone (or 2-butanone) was detected in 5 of 13 samples, but at a maximum concentration of only 0.13 ug/g. The RI Report questioned the presence of 2-butanone in organic-rich sediment in a warm water pond, and suspected it to be a laboratory

contaminant. For these reasons, 2-butanone was not selected as a COPC in sediment.

These EPCs are different from those used in the RI Risk Assessment. In the RI Risk Assessment, pond sediment samples were grouped into those representing contamination along the western shoreline and those representing the eastern shoreline. At a May 14, 1993 meeting with the regulatory agencies (USEPA Region I and MADEP Central Region) at Fort Devens, concern was expressed that this approach may underestimate actual shoreline concentrations. Maximum concentrations of some analytes were detected in the middle of the pond. The regulators postulated that if depositional areas along the shoreline were not fully characterized through sampling, and if sediment in the middle of the pond were to migrate to the shoreline, then actual shoreline concentrations could be higher than represented by the RI sampling results. Although ABB-ES believes that, based on the RI and RI Addendum sediment sampling program, actual sediment concentrations along the shorelines are probably well-characterized, a conservative approach as suggested by the regulatory agencies has been taken to select COPCs and estimate EPCs.

As discussed in Subsection 4.1, landfill-related COPCs in Plow Shop Pond sediment include arsenic, barium, iron, manganese, and nickel. While cadmium was considered a landfill-related COPC in the RI Risk Assessment, sediment sampling data collected and reported in this RI Addendum do not support that finding. Nickel was not considered a landfill-related COPC in the RI Risk Assessment but it is considered to be one in this report. Only inorganic analytes in the sediment are considered to be landfill-related in this Supplemental Risk Assessment. See Subsection 4.1 for more discussion on the nature and extent of contamination.

Table 6-11 contains a list of COPCs in groundwater, fish tissue, and sediment at Shepley's Hill Landfill and Plow Shop Pond. For fish tissue and sediment, landfill-related COPCs are shaded in the table. For groundwater, landfill-related COPCs are those in Well Group 1.

The analytical methods for inorganics used in the RI and the RI Addendum do not distinguish between oxidation states or the organic/inorganic form of a metal. For the Supplemental Risk Assessment, conservative or health-protective assumptions have been made in the evaluation of chromium and arsenic, two

metals whose toxicity varies significantly depending on chemical form and oxidation state.

Environmentally, chromium exists primarily as trivalent and hexavalent compounds. Hexavalent forms are considered to possess significantly more toxicity than the trivalent compounds because of the ease with which they are absorbed and distribute intracellularly (Goyer, 1991). Hexavalent chromium compounds are found to predominate in air, surface waters, and groundwaters, while the trivalent forms dominate in sediments and soils (USEPA, 1984b). Chromium in biological samples and foods exists almost exclusively in the trivalent state because of the rapid and complete intracellular reduction of absorbed hexavalent chromium to trivalent forms (Goyer, 1991). Because of these reasons, the following health-protective assumptions have been made in the evaluation of chromium:

- Chromium in groundwater is assumed to be in the hexavalent state.
- In sediment, even though the trivalent forms predominate, 10 percent of the chromium is assumed to exist as hexavalent compounds and 90 percent as trivalent forms.
- In fish tissue, all of the chromium is assumed to be trivalent.

The most common inorganic environmental arsenicals are trivalent arsenite compounds and pentavalent arsenate compounds. Trivalent forms are considered to possess two-to-three times the toxicity of pentavalent compounds. In food and biological samples, arsenic exists predominantly as organic arsenicals. Following absorption into biological species, inorganic arsenic compounds are rapidly and efficiently converted to organic forms. These metabolic pathways are considered detoxification pathways and the resultant organoarsenicals possess minimal inherent toxicity (Goyer, 1991 and USFDA, 1993). Bioconcentration of arsenic occurs in aquatic species. Specifically in fish, arsenobetaine, an organic arsenic compound, is the major form (99.9 to 59 percent) (Luten et al., 1982; ATSDR, 1992a). Arsenobetaine has been demonstrated to possess minimal inherent toxicity (LD50 >10 g/kg), undergoes no *in vivo* biotransformation, has tested negative for mutagenicity in *in vitro* screens, and is rapidly excreted (Kaise et al., 1985; Luten et al., 1982; Jongen et al., 1985). For the purposes of this report, all arsenic detected in groundwater, sediments, and fish samples is considered to be

trivalent inorganic arsenic. This assumption may result in risk calculations that are over-protective of health, especially in exposure pathways evaluating fish ingestion.

6.1.2.2 Exposure Assessment. In this exposure assessment, potential pathways of exposure are identified for Shepley's Hill Landfill under both current and future conditions of land and groundwater use. These exposure pathways were also evaluated in the RI Risk Assessment. However, in this Supplemental Risk Assessment, the most recent sampling data are used to estimate EPCs. The exposure parameter values and equations used to estimate chemical intakes are also presented. Any changes in exposure assumptions between the RI Exposure Assessment and this Supplemental Exposure Assessment will be reported here.

Under current land use, the potential exposure pathways evaluated include the following:

- Consumption of fish caught in Plow Shop Pond by recreational fishermen and their families.

- Direct contact (dermal contact and incidental ingestion) with sediment in Plow Shop Pond.

The RI Risk Assessment evaluated an additional exposure pathway whose health risks were found to be well below the Superfund points of departure. The excess lifetime cancer risks from the incidental ingestion of surface water in Plow Shop Pond while fishing were estimated to range from $4x10^{-8}$ to $1x10^{-7}$, considering both the average and RME cases and all COPCs (both site-related and from sources other than the landfill). HIs for noncarcinogenic effects ranged from 0.00002 to 0.001.

Because the health risks associated with this exposure pathway are well below the Superfund points of departure, and they would not significantly affect the calculation of total site risks, this exposure pathway has been eliminated from the Supplemental Risk Assessment.

Fort Devens is slated for closure. Therefore, future uses of the site and land surrounding the site may change. For this risk assessment, it is conservatively assumed that land surrounding the landfill could be converted to residential use in

the future, and private wells could be installed to provide drinking water for new homes in the area. Future use of the groundwater is unlikely because the base has an existing water supply, but cannot be ruled out. Under an assumption of future residential use of land surrounding the landfill, the following potential exposure pathways are identified:

- Ingestion of contaminated groundwater as drinking water.
- Dermal contact with contaminated groundwater while bathing or showering, or through other household uses.
- Inhalation of contaminant vapors while bathing or showering, or through other household uses.
- Consumption of homegrown fruits and vegetables watered with groundwater.

All these exposure pathways, in addition to those evaluated under conditions of current land use, were evaluated in the RI Risk Assessment. In this Supplemental Risk Assessment, the risks associated with the consumption of homegrown fruits and vegetables watered with groundwater were evaluated in Appendix Y.

Because the health risks associated with this pathway represent approximately 0.02 to 2 percent of the total risk from groundwater exposures, this pathway was not evaluated further in this Supplemental Risk Assessment. The results reported in Appendix Y are consistent with the risk estimates made for this exposure pathway in the RI Risk Assessment; in the RI Risk Assessment, as shown in Tables 8-26 through 8-32, the health risks associated with the consumption of produce irrigated with contaminated groundwater represented approximately 1 to 3 percent of the total risk from groundwater exposures. In addition, confidence in the risk estimates generated for the other three groundwater exposure pathways is much higher than for the irrigation pathway. The modeling of contaminant uptake and absorption by plants, and subsequent absorption by humans, introduces substantial uncertainty into the exposure assessment without affecting any conclusions regarding total site risk.

With few exceptions, the exposure parameter value assumptions made in the RI Risk Assessment are made in this Supplemental Risk Assessment. For the

groundwater exposure pathway, an area resident is assumed to consume COPCs in the groundwater (at 2 liters per day for 350 days per year) for a residential lifetime of 30 years (the national upperbound time [90th percentile] at one residence) at both average and maximum EPCs. This area resident is also assumed to contact COPCs in the groundwater during showering and to inhale any VOCs in the groundwater during showering. Because children represent a potentially sensitive subpopulation, the health risks to children from exposure to COPCs in the groundwater were also evaluated. A child was assumed to be exposed for a five-year period between the ages of one and six. Tables 6-12 and 6-13 contain the exposure parameter values for the groundwater exposure pathways.

Appendix K contains all of the spreadsheet tables (Tables K-1 through K-24) used to estimate health risks for the groundwater exposure pathway. Equations used to calculate COPC intakes are shown on the spreadsheets as well as all exposure parameter values with their references. Exposure parameter values used to estimate risks to adults are standard values prescribed in USEPA Risk Assessment Guidance (USEPA, 1989b; USEPA, 1989f; USEPA, 1991b). For children, appropriate exposure parameter values were taken from USEPA's Exposure Factors Handbook (USEPA, 1989b). Except for the use of a normalized surface area for a child, the exposure parameter values are the same as in the RI Risk Assessment.

Concentrations of VOCs in shower air volatilizing from groundwater were estimated using a model described in Appendix L. This same model was used in the RI Risk Assessment to model shower vapor concentrations. Appendix L also contains spreadsheets used to estimate VOC concentrations.

In the RI Risk Assessment, health risks from the consumption of fish in Plow Shop Pond were estimated by multiplying measured sediment concentrations by bioaccumulation factors. The lack of actual fish tissue concentrations was identified in the RI Report as a data gap. For this Supplemental Risk Assessment, measured fish tissue COPC concentrations were used to estimate COPC intakes. Tables K-25 through K-32 in Appendix K are the spreadsheets used to estimate COPC intakes from fish consumption. A recreational fisherman or family member is assumed to obtain 50 percent of his recreationally caught fish from Plow Shop Pond for a period of 30 years. He consumes these fish at the USEPA-prescribed ingestion rate for recreational fishing (54 grams/day or about

two 8-ounce servings per week; USEPA, 1991b). A child (age 1-6) is assumed to ingest fish at a rate of 16.5 grams/day (USEPA, 1989b). This assumption is different from the RI Risk Assessment which assumed the same ingestion rate as an adult. The lower ingestion rate can be justified based on smaller body size. Table 6-14 contains the exposure parameter values for the fish ingestion pathway.

For the sediment exposure pathway, an individual is assumed to contact the average and maximum detected concentrations of COPCs in sediment for 10 years. Under current land use, an adolescent is assumed to visit the pond once a week during the warmest six months of the year (26 days per year). Under assumed future land use conditions, an adolescent is assumed to visit the pond more frequently, at a rate of 100 days per year. Tables K-33 through K-40 in Appendix K are the spreadsheets used to estimate COPC intakes from sediment contact. Exposure parameter values are shown in Table 6-15. Except for the use of a normalized surface area, all values are the same as in the RI Risk Assessment.

In summary, EPCs for the three exposure pathways are provided in Tables 6-5 through 6-10. For groundwater, EPCs were calculated for the three well groups described in Subsection 6.1.2.1. Well Group 1 contains those monitoring wells interpreted to be downgradient of and within the zone of influence of Shepley's Hill Landfill. EPCs for Well Groups 3 and 4, not interpreted to be under the influence of the landfill, were calculated to allow estimation of total site risk. EPCs for fish tissue were calculated using the October 1992 sampling results for Plow Shop Pond. For sediment, as discussed in Subsection 6.1.2.1, EPCs were calculated using the 13 sediment samples collected and analyzed for as part of the RI Report and the 28 sediment samples collected and analyzed for as part of this RI Addendum.

The RI Risk Assessment estimated health risks under USEPA standard exposure assumptions as well as MADEP standard assumptions. For those exposure pathways evaluated in this Supplemental Risk Assessment, only minor differences were noted in the RI Risk Assessment between USEPA and MADEP exposure assumptions and attendant risk estimates. Tables 6-16 and 6-17 compare exposure assumptions and risk estimates. For both the sediment and fish ingestion pathways, USEPA risk estimates are higher than MADEP risk estimates. Only for the groundwater exposure pathway involving a child are USEPA's risk estimates slightly lower than MADEP's. As agreed upon with the regulatory

agencies during the May 14, 1993 meeting on risk assessment methodologies for the Supplemental Risk Assessment, only USEPA exposure assumptions and risk estimates will be produced here.

6.1.2.3 Toxicity Assessment. Tables 6-18 through 6-23 contain the dose/response values used to estimate excess lifetime cancer risks and noncarcinogenic health risks. Three sources were used to identify these values - USEPA's Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS), its Health Effects Assessment Summary Tables (HEAST), and values developed by the USEPA Environmental Criteria and Assessment Office (ECAO). Cancer slope factors and unit risks were identified for carcinogens and reference doses or concentrations were identified for noncarcinogens (compounds that exhibit threshold effects). Because the toxicity of a compound may vary depending on the route of exposure (for example, ingestion or inhalation), route-specific dose/response values were identified.

For carcinogens, Tables 6-18, 6-20, and 6-22 report both the quantitative measure of carcinogenic potency (i.e., the oral slope factor, the inhalation unit risk, and adjusted slope factor for the dermal route) and the USEPA's weight-of-evidence classification for the compound. USEPA has developed the following weight-of-evidence categories:

- Group A - Human Carcinogen: Sufficient evidence from epidemiological studies exists to support a causal association between an agent and human cancer.

- Group B - Probable Human Carcinogen: At least limited evidence exists from epidemiological studies of carcinogenicity in humans (Group B1) or, in the absence of human data, sufficient evidence of carcinogenicity exists in animals (Group B2).

- Group C - Possible Human Carcinogen: Limited evidence of carcinogenicity exists in animals in the absence of human data.

- Group D - Not Classified: The evidence for carcinogenicity in animals is inadequate.

- Group E - No Evidence of Carcinogenicity to Humans: Evidence of noncarcinogenicity in at least two adequate animal tests in different species or in both epidemiologic and animal studies exists.

For noncarcinogens, Tables 6-19, 6-21, and 6-23 report oral reference doses (RfDs), inhalation reference concentrations (RfCs), and adjusted RfDs for the dermal route of exposure, for COPCs at Shepley's Hill Landfill. RfDs and RfCs represent acceptable levels of exposure and include added margins of safety. USEPA defines an RfD as the average daily exposure level below which significant adverse noncarcinogenic health effects are not expected to occur, based on a lifetime of exposure. An RfC represents an acceptable concentration in air that a person may be exposed to 24 hours a day, every day for a lifetime, without experiencing an adverse effect.

Consistent with RAGs Appendix A (USEPA, 1989f), risks associated with calculated absorbed doses (most commonly for soil and water dermal contact) should be evaluated using RfDs and Cancer Slope Factors (CSFs) which are represented as absorbed doses. However, most oral RfDs and CSFs are based on administered dose rather than the absorbed dose. It is therefore necessary to adjust toxicity values which are based on administered dose so that they can be used for evaluation of absorbed doses. For dermal exposures, published oral reference doses were adjusted by multiplying the reference dose by the absorption efficiency in the study that is the basis of the oral toxicity value. Oral CSFs were adjusted by dividing the CSF by the absorption efficiency. If there was no information available on the absorption efficiency, the USEPA Region I default absorption fractions shown in Table 6-24 were used.

Because the toxicity of a noncarcinogen may vary depending on the duration of exposure, both chronic and subchronic RfDs and RfCs are reported. In the Supplemental Risk Assessment, the majority of the exposure scenarios involve chronic exposures (longer than seven years in duration, by USEPA definition). The only exposure scenarios involving subchronic exposures are those of a child ingesting groundwater and consuming fish for five years; subchronic dose/response values were used for these scenarios.

The RI Risk Assessment discusses uncertainties and limitations related to the methods of deriving dose/response values for use in human health risk assessment. These will not be repeated here. Only those limitations or

assumptions specific to the Supplemental Risk Assessment are discussed in the following paragraphs.

Arsenic is a COPC detected in groundwater, sediment, and fish tissue at Shepley's Hill Landfill at relatively high concentrations. Use of the cancer slope factor for arsenic to estimate excess lifetime cancer risks is thought by many to overestimate the true risk. The oral cancer slope factor for inorganic arsenic is based on dose/response data for skin cancer incidence obtained by Tseng et al. (1968). Individuals in this study were exposed to high levels of inorganic arsenic in drinking water (170 micrograms per milliliter [μg/ml]). Arsenic exposure was approximated based on estimates of water intake. Other exposure pathways contributing to total exposure, such as ingestion of fish, livestock, and plants were not assessed, potentially resulting in an underestimate of arsenic exposure. The oral slope factor was calculated using a model that assumes the dose/response curve is linear at low doses. Recent evidence suggests that arsenic, at low doses, may be largely detoxified by methylation, producing a non-linear dose/response curve (Goyer, 1991). In the study of Tseng et al. (1968), the overwhelming of the normal detoxification pathways, coupled with an underestimate of exposure, may have resulted in an overestimate of cancer risk.

The uncertainties summarized above have resulted in the USEPA IRIS file for inorganic arsenic reporting that, "The uncertainties associated with ingested inorganic arsenic are such that estimates could be modified downwards as much as an order of magnitude, relative to risk estimates associated with most other carcinogens" (IRIS, December 1993).

To consider the specific exposure scenario at Shepley's Hill Landfill, an additional factor becomes important to consider. As noted, arsenic in fish tissue exists largely as organic forms. These organic forms are known to possess minimal inherent toxicity and are believed to possess no mutagenic or carcinogenic potential (Kaise et al., 1985; Jongen et al., 1985). Therefore, cancer risk for this site may be significantly overestimated.

The noncancer risks associated with manganese in drinking water may also be overestimated in this risk assessment. The manganese drinking water RfD of 5.00E-03 mg/kg-day is based on a single epidemiological study conducted in Greece (Kondakis et al., 1989). Limitations with study design coupled with the lack of supporting studies may have resulted in a significant overestimate of the

risks associated with drinking water ingestion of manganese. The critical study assessed neurological function in an adequate number of individuals residing in three geographically distinct areas of Greece, each area with significantly different levels of manganese endemic to the local water supply. The study failed to investigate and quantitate other dietary sources of manganese in the study groups. The levels of manganese in locally grown produce and livestock can be presumed to reflect the local concentration of manganese in the water supply (i.e., the high manganese area would also have local food with higher levels of manganese than the areas with lower water levels). This study flaw may have resulted in the establishment of a drinking water RfD that is artificially low (i.e., overly protective). Additionally, the study assessed neurological function only in individuals older than 50 years of age. The neurological degeneration documented to be produced by high chronic manganese consumption is non-specific in nature and may in fact be produced by a number of other neurological diseases, such as Parkinson's Disease and Alzheimer's Disease, which increase in prevalence with age. The failure of this study to control for the presence of other neurological diseases or for patients with a family history of neurological disease lends uncertainty to the cause-and-effect relationship of manganese to the toxic endpoint assessed.

In addition to arsenic and manganese, other uncertainties or limitations exist in the use or lack of dose/response values for some COPCs at Shepley's Hill Landfill. These are summarized below:

- Two carcinogenic PAHs were detected in Plow Shop Pond sediment - benzo(a)anthracene and chrysene. Because they lack cancer slope factors, the slope factor for benzo(a)pyrene was used as a surrogate value. This is expected to overestimate the cancer risks from exposure to these PAHs because the potency of these two PAHs relative to benzo(a)pyrene is less, by factors of approximately 10 and 100, respectively (USEPA, 1992f; ICF-Clement Associates, 1987; Nisbet, I. and LaGoy, P., 1992).

- One VOC (1,2-dichloroethylene) detected in Well Group 1 groundwater monitoring wells does not have an inhalation RfC. This will produce an underestimation of the risks associated with the inhalation of VOCs in groundwater.

- Benzene, chloroethane, 1,2-dichloropropane, and 1,2-dichloroethane do not have oral RfDs. This will result in an underestimate of the risks associated with groundwater ingestion. However, for benzene and 1,2-dichloropropane, they are evaluated quantitatively as carcinogens; their cancer risks would be expected to dominate the noncarcinogenic risks.

- Several inorganic analytes could not be evaluated quantitatively because of a lack of dose/response values. These include aluminum, calcium, cobalt, copper, iron, lead, magnesium, potassium, and sodium. Except for lead, this would not be expected to change the results of the risk assessment significantly. These analytes are common nutrients or essential nutrients with relatively low toxicities. The omission of lead from the quantitative assessment will result in an underestimate of the risks associated with groundwater ingestion, sediment contact, and fish ingestion.

Table 6-24 contains default absorption fractions used in the estimation of COPC intake. At the direction of USEPA Region I, default absorption fractions are taken from the Region I Supplemental Risk Assessment Guidance Document (USEPA, 1989c). These absorption fractions are used in this assessment. Following USEPA Region I guidance, the agency's guidance document entitled "Dermal Exposure Assessment: Principles and Applications", Interim Report, January 1992 (USEPA, 1992d) has been followed in assessing the health risks associated with dermal contact with COPCs. Appendix V contains a description of how information provided in the dermal guidance document is used in this Supplemental Risk Assessment. Appendix W contains spreadsheets used to estimate permeability constants per event, a composite variable representing several of the variables discussed in Appendix V.

6.1.2.4 Risk Characterization. In this risk characterization, risk estimates are produced by combining COPC intakes estimated in the Exposure Assessment and dose/response values in the Toxicity Assessment. These risk estimates are compared to the USEPA points of departure identified in Subsection 6.1.1. In addition, the method for estimating both excess lifetime cancer risks as well as possible noncarcinogenic adverse effects is described.

Excess lifetime cancer risk is calculated by multiplying the COPC intake (in units of mg/kg/day) by a compound's cancer slope factor (in units of $(mg/kg/day)^{-1}$). The cancer slope factors in IRIS are typically upper 95^{th} percent confidence limits on the probability of a tumor response based on experimental data. Therefore, the cancer risk limits presented here and in the RI Risk Assessment are considered to be upperbound estimates of risk. The "true risk" to an individual is likely to be much lower (USEPA, 1989f).

Noncarcinogenic risk estimates are calculated by dividing exposure intakes for each noncarcinogen by the appropriate reference dose. The resulting ratio is termed a Hazard Quotient (HQ). The sum of the HQs for individual compounds within an exposure pathway is called the HI. If an HI is less than or equal to one, no adverse health effects are anticipated from exposure at the predicted intake level. If the ratio is greater than one, the predicted intake could potentially cause adverse health effects. This determination is necessarily imprecise because the derivation of dose/response values (i.e., RfDs and RfCs) involves the use of multiple safety and uncertainty factors. In addition, the HQs for individual compounds should properly be summed only if their target organs or mechanisms of action are identical. Therefore, the potential for adverse effects for a mixture having an HI in excess of one must be assessed on a case-by-case basis.

Tables 6-25 and 6-26 are summaries of the cancer and noncancer risk estimates for Shepley's Hill Landfill under conditions of current land use. Excess lifetime cancer risks associated with the ingestion of fish from Plow Shop Pond and visitor contact with sediment exceed the USEPA point of departure of $1x10^{-6}$ for carcinogens. The risks faced by a trespasser contacting sediment in Plow Shop Pond under an assumed frequency of 26 days per year for 10 years range from $2x10^{-5}$ to $2x10^{-4}$ for mean and maximum exposure point concentrations. Arsenic, a landfill-related COPC, is responsible for essentially 100 percent of the risk. The risks faced by a recreational fisherman or member of his family who consumes fish from Plow Shop Pond range from $3x10^{-6}$ to $4x10^{-4}$; the fisherman is assumed to ingest fish at the USEPA-prescribed ingestion rate of 54 grams per day (or two 8-ounce servings per week) and to obtain half his recreationally caught fish from Plow Shop Pond. Arsenic in the fish accounts for approximately 96 to 99 percent of the risk. An additional COPC, DDE, presents risks above the USEPA point of departure (at $2x10^{-6}$); this COPC is not thought to be landfill-related and represents 0.4 to 4 percent of the total risk from fish ingestion.

An additional COPC in Plow Shop Pond fish, mercury, presents noncancer risks above the USEPA point of departure. The HQ associated with mercury in bullhead and bass ranges from 2 to 7. Mercury is not thought to be landfill-related. Although arsenic is responsible for most of the health risk in bluegills in Plow Shop Pond, the HQ for three other COPCs approach one at maximum EPCs (manganese, 0.3; mercury, 0.9; and, thallium, 0.7).

Total site risks were calculated in Tables 6-25 and 6-26 to estimate the health risks that a receptor might face who could potentially be exposed by more than one exposure pathway. Under current land use conditions at Shepley's Hill Landfill, an adult was assumed to ingest fillets from Plow Shop Pond for 30 years and contact the sediment for 10 of these 30 years (as an adolescent). The excess lifetime cancer risks for this receptor range from $3x10^{-5}$ to $2x10^{-4}$. The total site cancer risks for a child (age 1-6) were assumed to come from the ingestion of fillets, and range from $3x10^{-6}$ to $9x10^{-6}$ for landfill-related COPCs. Because it is inappropriate to add hazard indices across different age groups (acceptable doses or reference doses being body weight- or age-dependent), hazard indices for the adult, adolescent, and child receptors were not added together. The noncancer risks for the three age groups are as reported above and are independent of each other.

Tables 6-27 and 6-28 are summaries of the cancer and noncancer risk estimates under the assumption of future residential use of land surrounding the landfill. Because the exposure assumptions for fish ingestion are the same under conditions of current and future land use, the risks are the same. Under future land use conditions, the frequency of sediment contact is assumed to be greater than under current conditions. Therefore, the health risks under future conditions are predicted to be slightly higher than under current conditions. Arsenic in the sediment is responsible for the majority of the risk.

Under the assumption of future residential use of land surrounding the landfill, the total site cancer risks for a receptor exposed concurrently (for 30 years) to COPCs in unfiltered groundwater and Plow Shop Pond fish and sediment range from $5x10^{-4}$ to $3x10^{-3}$ for mean and maximum EPCs, respectively. The total site cancer risks for a child (age 1-6) were assumed to come from the ingestion of fillets and unfiltered groundwater exposure, and range from $8x10^{-5}$ to $3x10^{-4}$. Total site noncancer risks were calculated within the three receptor groups. For

an adult or child exposed to landfill-related COPCs in fillets and in unfiltered groundwater, the total hazard index ranges from 5 to 20.

The use of groundwater from Well Group 1 (those wells under the influence of the landfill) for domestic purposes also poses risks above the points of departure. The cancer risks associated with groundwater consumption range from $8x10^{-5}$ to $2x10^{-3}$. Arsenic accounts for about 99 percent of the total risk. Two organic compounds, 1,2-dichloroethane and dichlorobenzenes, also present risks above the point of departure, at $3x10^{-6}$; however, they account for less than 1 percent of the total risk. The HQs for manganese exceed 1; they range from 2 to 16.

A summary of the health risks associated with the residential use of groundwater from Well Groups 3 and 4 is provided in Table 6-29. Well Groups 3 and 4 are not interpreted to be under the influence of Shepley's Hill Landfill.

6.1.2.5 Comparison of EPCs to Standards and Guidelines. The U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) issues action levels for contaminants in fish (USFDA, 1992). Action levels are established by the FDA to control levels of contaminants in human food and animal feed. They represent limits at or above which the FDA will take legal action to remove products from the market. The FDA has issued action levels for three of the COPCs detected in either the bluegills, bullhead, or bass evaluated in this Supplemental Risk Assessment. Table 6-30 contains these action levels. Detected concentrations of mercury in the bullhead and bass fillets in Plow Shop Pond exceed the FDA action level for mercury of 1 part per million (ppm). The average and maximum concentrations of mercury detected were 1.14 and 4 ppm, respectively. The maximum detected concentration of DDE in the fish at Plow Shop Pond is below its respective FDA action level.

Tables 6-31 through 6-33 contain drinking water and groundwater standards and guidelines for COPCs detected in groundwater at Shepley's Hill Landfill. Average and maximum detected concentrations of COPCs are provided as well. For Well Group 1, the maximum detected concentrations of several analytes in unfiltered groundwater exceed a primary (or health-based) federal or state drinking water standard (see Table 6-31). These include: 1,2-dichloroethane, dichlorobenzenes, arsenic, chromium, lead, and nickel. Based on filtered samples, however, the maximum concentration of lead is below the federal action level for lead. Neither chromium nor nickel were detected in filtered samples. Manganese was detected

at concentrations above its proposed federal MCL. Dichlorobenzenes (isomers unidentified) were detected in one of 14 samples; while the maximum exceeds the state drinking water guideline for p-dichlorobenzene (the isomer with the lowest guideline), the average (5.4 μg/L) approximates the guideline (5 μg/L). While the maximum detected concentration of 1,2-dichloroethane (9.9 μg/L) exceeds the federal MCL of 5 μg/L, the average concentration of 0.97 μg/L does not exceed the MCL. Secondary Maximum Contaminant Levels (SMCLs), standards developed to protect against unacceptable aesthetic effects (such as appliance or clothes staining or taste) are exceeded for aluminum, iron, and manganese. The federal and state guidelines for sodium in drinking water were also exceeded. Sodium guidelines have been set for people on sodium-restricted diets.

Comparisons of groundwater EPCs at Well Groups 3 and 4 are provided in Tables 6-32 and 6-33. At Well Group 3, the concentrations of aluminum, iron, and manganese exceed standards or guidelines. At Well Group 4, the concentrations of aluminum, iron, and manganese exceed standards or guidelines.

6.2 COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL

Data collected for this RI Addendum and used here in the Supplemental Risk Assessment for Cold Spring Brook Landfill include fish tissue and sediment concentrations at Cold Spring Brook Pond and new groundwater data (March and June 1993 sampling rounds) for Cold Spring Brook Landfill. Subsection 2.2 of this report discusses the RI Addendum field investigation program and data gap activities at Cold Spring Brook Landfill. Subsection 4.5 is an evaluation of the nature and extent of contamination at Cold Spring Brook Landfill.

Subsection 6.2.1 of the Supplemental Risk Assessment for Cold Spring Brook Landfill contains a summary of the April 1993 RI Risk Assessment for the Landfill. Subsection 6.2.2 is the Supplemental Risk Assessment with its various subsections.

- Subsection 6.2.2.1 - Identification of COPCs and Estimation of EPCs
- Subsection 6.2.2.2 - Exposure Assessment

- Subsection 6.2.2.3 - Toxicity Assessment
- Subsection 6.2.2.4 - Risk Characterization
- Subsection 6.2.2.5 - Comparison of Exposure Point to Standards and/or Guidelines

Uncertainties typical to most risk assessments, including the RI Risk Assessment and the Supplemental Risk Assessment, were discussed in detail throughout the RI Risk Assessment. These will not be repeated here. Only those limitations or assumptions specific to the Supplemental Risk Assessment will be discussed in the appropriate sections of the Supplemental Risk Assessment.

Subsection 6.4.2 is a summary of the potential health risks associated with Cold Spring Brook Landfill and Cold Spring Brook Pond.

6.2.1 Summary of the RI Risk Assessment

In the RI Risk Assessment of April 1993, possible exposure pathways at Cold Spring Brook Landfill were identified under existing site conditions and under an assumption that land surrounding the landfill would be converted to residential use in the future without any remediation.

Under current land use, the possible exposure pathways include the following:

- Incidental ingestion of surface water while fishing in Cold Spring Brook Pond and consumption of fish caught in Cold Spring Brook Pond by recreational fishermen and their families;
- Direct contact (dermal contact and incidental ingestion) with contaminated pond sediment along the landfill shoreline by site visitors; and
- Direct contact (dermal contact and incidental ingestion) with contaminated surface soil at the landfill by site visitors.

Under an assumption of future residential use of surrounding land, additional exposure pathways to those listed above were identified:

- Ingestion of contaminated groundwater as drinking water;
- Dermal contact with contaminated groundwater while bathing or showering, or through other household uses;
- Consumption of homegrown fruits and vegetables watered with groundwater.

A summary of the human health risks posed by exposure through these pathways is provided in Table 6-34. The risk estimates reported in this table were extracted from the RI Report and represent only those excess cancer risks above $1x10^{-6}$ and those HIs above one.

The USEPA Superfund Program uses these risk management guidelines as points of departure for determining remediation goals for NPL sites (USEPA, 1991i). This Superfund guidance for developing preliminary remediation goals also states that the use of "points of departure" target risks does not mean that final remedial actions should attain such goals. USEPA's guidelines state that when the total incremental carcinogenic risk for an individual resulting from exposure at a hazardous waste site is within the range of 10^{-4} to 10^{-6}, a decision about whether to take action or not is a site-specific decision. An OSWER directive, the "Role of Baseline Risk Assessment in Superfund Remedy Selection Decisions," indicates that action is generally warranted at a site when the cumulative carcinogenic risk is greater than 10^{-4} or the cumulative noncarcinogenic HI exceeds one, based on RME assumptions (USEPA, 1991d).

Under current land use conditions, the ingestion of fish caught in Cold Spring Brook Pond by recreational fishermen and their families presented excess lifetime cancer risks above the Superfund point of departure. In the RI Risk Assessment, the risks associated with fish ingestion were estimated by multiplying measured sediment concentrations by bioaccumulation factors; direct measurements of analyte concentrations in fish tissue had not been made at the time of the RI Risk Assessment. Fish tissue concentrations have been measured directly as part of the RI Addendum data gap activities. Arsenic, DDD, and DDE in the fish were responsible for most of the health risks. Incidental ingestion of pond water while fishing did not present health risks above the Superfund points of departure.

Although not evaluated as a potential exposure pathway in the RI Risk Assessment, the health risks from contact with Cold Spring Brook Pond surface water while swimming are expected to be low. Table 6-35 is a comparison of the average and maximum detected analyte concentrations in surface water to drinking water standards and guidelines. Except for iron and manganese, the detected concentrations are below drinking water standards. Iron has a relatively low toxicity for humans, and the average concentration of manganese is below its MCLG. Based on the above comparisons and the existence of conditions at Cold Spring Brook Pond that discourage swimming, health risks are expected to be low and will not be evaluated further.

Under current land use conditions, direct contact with sediment along the shoreline of Cold Spring Brook Pond also presented excess lifetime cancer risks (only at maximum detected concentrations) above the Superfund point of departure. Arsenic and PAHs in the sediment were responsible for the health risk estimates above the point of departure. Direct contact with surface soil at the landfill did not pose health risks in excess of the points of departure.

Under assumed future residential use of land surrounding the site, these same exposure pathways pose health risks above the points of departure. For the fish ingestion pathway, in addition to arsenic, DDD, and DDE, zinc posed a noncarcinogenic risk above the point of departure of one; this was due to the assumption that, in the future, 50 percent of an individual's recreationally caught fish would come from Cold Spring Brook Pond as opposed to 5 percent under current conditions. Contact with surface soil by area residents in the future presented excess lifetime cancer risks above the Superfund point of departure due to PAHs in the soil.

In addition, future ingestion of unfiltered groundwater as a drinking water source posed health risks above the points of departure. The majority of the health risk was due to the presence of arsenic. Other chemicals in the groundwater, beryllium and manganese, presented health risks above the points of departure but contributed a small percentage to the overall risk (see Table 6-34). Ingestion of groundwater as a drinking water source was responsible for most of the health risk (97 percent); direct contact with groundwater while bathing and the consumption of fruits and vegetables irrigated with groundwater contributed approximately 1 to 4 percent to the total risk.

The RI Risk Assessment also presented estimates of the health risks using groundwater data that were adjusted to account for the high suspended solids content of the unfiltered samples. These results are not reported here.

6.2.2 Supplemental Risk Assessment

As part of the data gap activities in this RI Addendum, filtered groundwater samples were collected and analyzed. The health risks associated with both unfiltered and filtered groundwater samples will be presented in this Supplemental Risk Assessment.

The objectives of the Supplemental Risk Assessment are the following:

- To assess the human health risks from potential exposure to landfill-related contaminants in groundwater, sediment, and fish tissue primarily using data reported in this RI Addendum.
- To compare the findings of the RI Risk Assessment with those of the Supplemental Risk Assessment.
- To identify those exposure pathways and contaminants that pose health risks above the Superfund points of departure for consideration in the FS.
- To identify uncertainties in the Risk Assessments that are important in making risk management decisions critical to the FS.

A summary of data collected as part of RI Addendum activities and used to identify COPCs for the Supplemental Risk Assessment follows.

6.2.2.1 Identification of COPCs and Estimation of EPCs. COPCs for the Supplemental Risk Assessment were identified primarily using data collected during RI Addendum data gap activities. These data include the latest groundwater sampling results from the March and June 1993 sampling rounds and fish tissue analyte concentrations from the October 1992 fish sampling program at Cold Spring Brook Pond. Sediment COPCs were identified using the RI and RI Addendum data sets. Subsection 2.2 describes both sampling programs in detail.

COPCs in groundwater at Cold Spring Brook Landfill were identified on the basis of monitoring well groupings. In the RI Risk Assessment, monitoring wells were grouped into three groups. The first group included wells located within the landfill's zone of influence, the second group included wells interpreted to be outside the landfill's zone of influence, and the third group was the Patton well. The Patton well, one of four water supply wells for Fort Devens, is located approximately 600 feet from the southwestern corner of the landfill. Groundwater exposures were evaluated only for the set of wells within the landfill's zone of influence.

Some changes were made in the first two well groups between the RI Risk Assessment and this Supplemental Risk Assessment. Monitoring data from three new wells installed as part of the RI Addendum (CSM-93-01A, -02A, and -02B) were added to the group of wells considered within the landfill's zone of influence. Wells CSB-3 through CSB-8, formerly included in the set of landfill-influenced wells, have been removed for the Supplemental Risk Assessment. This decision is based on the findings of the hydrogeologic investigation and the contaminant assessment discussed in Subsection 4.4 of this report. CSB-3 and CSB-6 through CSB-8 have been interpreted to be either upgradient or cross-gradient of Cold Spring Brook Landfill. As agreed at the May 14, 1993 risk assessment meeting at Fort Devens, CSB-4 and CSB-5 have been excluded because these wells are not considered to be representative of a productive aquifer. For the Supplemental Risk Assessment, the group of wells at Cold Spring Brook Landfill considered within the landfill's zone of influence are referred to as the "downgradient wells."

Table 6-36 presents the RI Addendum summary statistics for the detected analytes in the downgradient wells. One organic compound, bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate, and several inorganic analytes were detected. The maximum detected concentrations and arithmetic means serve as the EPCs in the groundwater. Both unfiltered and filtered data are included in Table 6-36, and the Supplemental Risk Assessment will evaluate the health risks for both sets of data.

To calculate the arithmetic means presented in Table 6-36, one-half the SQL was substituted in for non-detects. Duplicate samples were averaged together and their average was used in the calculation of the mean. For the purpose of determining an analyte's frequency of detection, duplicate samples were averaged and counted as a single sample. The Round 1 and 2 data sets were averaged

together and the averaged concentrations were then used to calculate summary statistics (except maximums). The maximum was chosen from both rounds before they were averaged. If a maximum happened to be in a sample with a duplicate, the highest of the two samples was reported as the maximum, not an average of the two samples.

COPCs in the downgradient wells include those inorganic analytes that were detected at concentrations above basewide background levels. An inorganic analyte was eliminated as a COPC only if its maximum detected concentration was below basewide background. Table 4-1 presents background concentrations of inorganic analytes in groundwater at Fort Devens.

Tables 6-37 and 6-38 present summary statistics on fish tissue concentrations at Cold Spring Brook Pond. In the Supplemental Risk Assessment, the health risks associated with pumpkinseeds and with bullhead and pickerel caught in Cold Spring Brook Pond are evaluated. Table 6-37 contains maximum and arithmetic mean concentrations of detected analytes in whole fish samples of pumpkinseeds. While USEPA risk assessment guidance (USEPA, 1989f) recommends performing risk assessments on edible tissue concentrations when available, pumpkinseeds are small in size and could be expected to be cooked and eaten as whole fish. Table 6-38 contains maximum and arithmetic mean concentrations of detected analytes in the fillet portion (representing edible tissue) of bullheads and pickerel in Cold Spring Brook Pond.

COPCs in Cold Spring Brook Pond sediment were identified using the nine sediment samples (SE-CSB-01 through SE-CSB-09) collected and analyzed for as part of the RI Report of April 1993 and the 16 sediment samples (CSD-92-01 through CSD-92-16) collected and analyzed for as part of this RI Addendum. The summary statistics presented in Table 6-39 for Cold Spring Brook Pond sediment are based on these data; the maximum and arithmetic means in this table serve as the EPCs in this Supplemental Risk Assessment. All detected analytes (except acetone, methylene chloride, and 2-butanone) in sediment were considered COPCs for the Supplemental Risk Assessment. Acetone and methylene chloride were considered laboratory contaminants in the RI Report. 2-Butanone was detected in only one of 9 samples at a low concentration (0.025 ug/g). This is different from the RI Risk Assessment. In the RI Risk Assessment, only analytes whose detected concentrations exceeded their upper tolerance limits for Fort Devens soil were selected as COPCs.

Table 6-40 contains a list of COPCs in groundwater, fish tissue, and sediment at Cold Spring Brook Landfill and Cold Spring Brook Pond. For groundwater, COPCs are those chemicals detected in the downgradient wells.

The analytical methods for inorganics used in the RI and the RI Addendum do not distinguish between oxidation states or the organic/inorganic form of a metal. For the Supplemental Risk Assessment, conservative or health-protective assumptions have been made in the evaluation of chromium and arsenic, two metals whose toxicity varies significantly depending on chemical form and oxidation state.

6.2.2.2 Exposure Assessment. In this exposure assessment, potential pathways of exposure are identified for the Cold Spring Brook Landfill under both current and future conditions of land and groundwater use. These exposure pathways were also evaluated in the RI Risk Assessment. However, in this Supplemental Risk Assessment, the most recent sampling data are used to estimate EPCs. The exposure parameter values and equations used to estimate chemical intakes are also presented. Any changes in exposure assumptions between the RI Exposure Assessment and this Supplemental Exposure Assessment will be reported here.

Under current land use, the potential exposure pathways evaluated include the following:

- Consumption of fish caught in Cold Spring Brook Pond by recreational fishermen and their families.

- Direct contact (dermal contact and incidental ingestion) with sediment in Cold Spring Brook Pond.

An additional exposure pathway was evaluated in the RI Risk Assessment whose health risks were found to be well below the Superfund points of departure. The excess lifetime cancer risks from the incidental ingestion of surface water in Cold Spring Brook Pond while fishing were estimated to range from $3x10^{-8}$ to $3x10^{-7}$, considering both the average and RME cases. HIs for noncarcinogenic effects ranged from 0.00005 to 0.005. Because the health risks associated with this exposure pathway are well below the Superfund points of departure, and they would not significantly affect the calculation of total site risks, this exposure pathway has been eliminated from the Supplemental Risk Assessment.

Fort Devens is slated for closure. Therefore, future uses of the site and land surrounding the site may change. It is conservatively assumed that land surrounding the landfill could be converted to residential use in the future, and private wells could be installed to provide drinking water for new homes in the area. Future use of the groundwater is unlikely because the base has an existing water supply, but cannot be ruled out. Under an assumption of future residential use of land surrounding the landfill, the following potential exposure pathways are identified:

- Ingestion of contaminated groundwater as drinking water.
- Dermal contact with contaminated groundwater while bathing or showering, or through other household uses.
- Consumption of homegrown fruits and vegetables watered with groundwater.

All these exposure pathways, in addition to those evaluated under conditions of current land use, were evaluated in the RI Risk Assessment. In this Supplemental Risk Assessment, consumption of homegrown fruits and vegetables watered with groundwater is not evaluated. This is because the health risks associated with this pathway represent approximately 0.02 to 3 percent of the total risk from groundwater exposures (see Appendix Y and Subsection 6.1.2.2 for more discussion). In addition, confidence in the risk estimates generated for the other three groundwater exposure pathways is much higher than for the irrigation pathway. The modeling of contaminant uptake and absorption by plants, and subsequent absorption by humans, introduces substantial uncertainty into the exposure assessment without affecting any conclusions regarding total site risk.

With few exceptions, the exposure parameter value assumptions made in the RI Risk Assessment are made in this Supplemental Risk Assessment. For the groundwater exposure pathway, an area resident is assumed to consume COPCs in the groundwater (at 2 liters per day for 350 days per year) for a residential lifetime of 30 years (the national upperbound time [90th percentile] at one residence) at both average and maximum EPCs. This area resident is also assumed to contact COPCs in the groundwater during showering. Because children represent a potentially sensitive subpopulation, the health risks to children from exposure to COPCs in the groundwater were also evaluated. A

child was assumed to be exposed for a five-year period between the ages of one and six. Table 6-41 contains the exposure parameter values for the groundwater exposure pathway.

Appendix M contains all of the spreadsheets (Tables M-1 through M-8) used to estimate health risks for the groundwater exposure pathway. Equations used to calculate COPC intakes are shown on the spreadsheets as well as all exposure parameter values with their references. Exposure parameter values used to estimate risks to adults are standard values prescribed in USEPA Risk Assessment Guidance (USEPA, 1989b; USEPA, 1989f; USEPA, 1991b). For children, appropriate exposure parameter values were taken from USEPA's Exposure Factors Handbook (USEPA, 1989b). Except for the use of a normalized surface area for a child, the exposure parameter values are the same as in the RI Risk Assessment.

In the RI Risk Assessment, health risks from the consumption of fish in Cold Spring Brook Pond were estimated by multiplying measured sediment concentrations by bioaccumulation factors. The lack of actual fish tissue concentrations was identified in the RI Report as a data gap. For this Supplemental Risk Assessment, measured fish tissue COPC concentrations are used to estimate COPC intakes. Tables M-9 through M-12 in Appendix M are the spreadsheets used to estimate COPC intakes from fish consumption. A recreational fisherman or family member is assumed to obtain 5 percent of his recreationally caught fish from Cold Spring Brook Pond for 30 years. He consumes these fish at the USEPA-prescribed ingestion rate for recreational fishing (54 grams/day or about two 8-ounce servings per week; USEPA, 1991b). A child is assumed to ingest fish at a rate of 16.5 grams/day (USEPA, 1989b); this assumption is different from the RI Risk Assessment that assumed the same ingestion rate as an adult. Table 6-42 contains the exposure parameter values for the fish ingestion pathway.

In the RI Risk Assessment, under assumed future residential use of land surrounding Cold Spring Brook Pond, 50 percent of a fisherman's recreational catch was obtained from Cold Spring Brook Pond. For this Supplemental Risk Assessment, only 5 percent is assumed. This is considered to be a more realistic estimate for the following reasons:

- Based on the October 1992 fish sampling program, it appears that most of the fish in the pond are below the legal catch limits (i.e., minimum lengths) established in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts' Fish and Wildlife Laws. To enable enough fish to be caught for tissue analysis, it was necessary to obtain an amended fishing permit that allowed for smaller size fish (below legal catch limits) to be caught (Division of Fisheries and Wildlife Scientific Collecting Permit for Fish, issued to ABB-ES on October 9, 1992 as amended on October 23, 1992).

- USEPA guidance for evaluating the health risks from fish ingestion recommends assessing this exposure pathway "when there is access to a contaminated water body large enough to produce a consistent supply of edible-sized fish over the anticipated exposure period" (USEPA, 1991b). Additional guidance also states that the consumption rate for recreational fishermen be used when there is a large body of water present (USEPA, 1989b). Cold Spring Brook Pond is approximately 3.5 acres in area and is not stocked. Other larger ponds in the Fort Devens area that are stocked provide better fishing with larger fish populations. Cold Spring Brook Pond does not appear to be a large enough water body to sustain a consistent supply of edible fish.

For the sediment exposure pathway, an individual is assumed to contact the average and maximum detected concentrations of COPCs in sediment for a period of 10 years. Under current land use, an adolescent is assumed to visit the pond five days per year. Under assumed future land use conditions, an adolescent is assumed to visit the pond more frequently, at a rate of 100 days per year. Table 6-43 contains the exposure parameter values for the sediment ingestion pathway. Tables M-13 through M-16 in Appendix M are the spreadsheets used to estimate COPC intakes from sediment contact. Exposure parameter values are shown with their reference. Except for the use of a normalized surface area for a child, all values are the same as in the RI Risk Assessment.

EPCs for the three exposure pathways are provided in Tables 6-36 through 6-39. For groundwater, EPCs were calculated for the downgradient wells. EPCs for fish tissue were calculated using the October 1992 sampling results for Cold Spring Brook Pond. For sediment, as discussed in Subsection 6.2.2.1, EPCs were

calculated using the nine sediment samples collected and analyzed for as part of the RI Report and the 16 sediment samples collected as part of this RI Addendum.

The RI Risk Assessment estimated health risks under USEPA standard exposure assumptions as well as MADEP standard assumptions. As discussed in Subsection 6.1.2.2 and as agreed upon with the regulatory agencies during the May 14, 1993 meeting on risk assessment methodologies for the Supplemental Risk Assessment, only USEPA exposure assumptions and risk estimates will be produced here.

6.2.2.3 Toxicity Assessment. Tables 6-44 and 6-47 contain the dose/response values used to estimate excess lifetime cancer risks and noncarcinogenic health risks. USEPA's IRIS, HEAST, and ECAO were used to identify these values. Cancer slope factors were identified for carcinogens and RfDs or RfCs were identified for noncarcinogens (compounds that exhibit threshold effects).

For carcinogens, Tables 6-44 and 6-46 report both the quantitative measure of carcinogenic potency (i.e., the oral slope factor and adjusted dermal slope factor) and the USEPA's weight-of-evidence classification for the compound. USEPA has developed five weight-of-evidence categories; Subsection 6.1.2.3 describes them.

For noncarcinogens, Tables 6-45 and 6-47 report RfDs for COPCs at Cold Spring Brook Landfill. USEPA defines an RfD as the average daily exposure level below which significant adverse noncarcinogenic health effects are not expected to occur, based on a lifetime of exposure.

Because the toxicity of a noncarcinogen may vary depending on the duration of exposure, both chronic and subchronic RfDs are reported. In the Supplemental Risk Assessment, the majority of exposure scenarios involve chronic exposures (greater than seven years in duration, by USEPA definition). The only exposure scenarios involving subchronic exposures are those of a child ingesting groundwater and consuming fish for five years; subchronic dose/response values were used for these scenarios.

The RI Risk Assessment discusses uncertainties and limitations related to the methods of deriving dose/response values for use in human health risk

assessment. These will not be repeated here. Only those limitations or assumptions specific to the Supplemental Risk Assessment will be discussed here.

Arsenic is a COPC detected in groundwater, sediment, and fish tissue at Cold Spring Brook Landfill. Use of the cancer slope factor for arsenic to estimate excess lifetime cancer risks is thought by many to overestimate the true risk.

In addition to arsenic, other uncertainties or limitations exist in the use or lack of dose/response values for some COPCs at Cold Spring Brook Landfill. These are summarized below:

- Six carcinogenic PAHs were detected in Cold Spring Brook Pond sediment. Because five PAHs (benzo(a)anthracene, benzo(b)fluoranthene, benzo(k)fluoranthene, chrysene, and indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene) of the six lack cancer slope factors, the slope factor for benzo(a)pyrene was used as a surrogate value. This is expected to overestimate the cancer risks from exposure to these PAHs because the potency of these PAHs relative to benzo(a)pyrene is less, by factors of approximately 10 and 100 (USEPA, 1992; ICF-Clement Associates, 1987; Nisbet, I. and LaGoy, P., 1992). In addition, several PAHs do not have reference doses; these include acenaphthylene, benzo(a)anthracene, benzo(a)pyrene, benzo(b)fluoranthene, benzo(k)fluoranthene, benzo(g,h,i)perylene, chrysene, indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene, and phenanthrene. The reference dose for naphthalene was assigned to these PAHs as a surrogate. This may result in an overestimate or underestimate of risk.

- Several inorganic analytes could not be evaluated quantitatively because of a lack of dose/response values. These include aluminum, calcium, cobalt, copper, iron, lead, magnesium, potassium, and sodium. Except for lead, this would not be expected to change the results of the risk assessment significantly. These analytes are common nutrients or essential nutrients with relatively low toxicity.

- A reference dose does not exist for dibenzofuran. However, dibenzofuran was detected in only two of 25 samples, at a maximum concentration of 0.61 ug/g.

Table 6-48 contains default absorption fractions used in the estimation of COPC intake. At the direction of USEPA Region I, default absorption fractions are taken from the Region I Supplemental Risk Assessment Guidance Document (USEPA, 1989c). Following USEPA Region I guidance, the agency's guidance document entitled "Dermal Exposure Assessment: Principles and Applications", Interim Report, January 1992 (USEPA, 1992d) has been followed in assessing the health risks associated with dermal contact with COPCs. Appendix V contains a description of how information provided in the dermal guidance document is used in this Supplemental Risk Assessment. Appendix W contains spreadsheets used to estimate permeability constants per event, a composite variable representing several of the variables discussed in Appendix V.

6.1.2.4 Risk Characterization. In this risk characterization, risk estimates are produced by combining COPC intakes estimated in the Exposure Assessment and dose/response values in the Toxicity Assessment. In addition, the method for estimating both excess lifetime cancer risks as well as possible noncarcinogenic adverse effects is described. The effects of uncertainties in the risk estimates are also discussed.

Tables 6-49 and 6-50 are summaries of the cancer and noncancer risk estimates for Cold Spring Brook Landfill under conditions of current land use. Excess lifetime cancer risks associated with the ingestion of fish from Cold Spring Brook Pond and visitor contact with sediment fall within the Superfund target risk range of $1x10^{-6}$ to $1x10^{-4}$ for carcinogens. The associated HIs are all below one. The risks faced by a trespasser contacting sediment in Cold Spring Brook Pond under an assumed frequency of five days per year for 10 years range from $1x10^{-6}$ to $6x10^{-6}$, under current land use, and from $2x10^{-5}$ to $1x10^{-4}$, under future land use. The risks faced by a recreational fisherman or member of his/her family who consumes fish from Cold Spring Brook Pond range from $1x10^{-6}$ to $9x10^{-6}$; the fisherman is assumed to ingest fish at the USEPA-prescribed ingestion rate of 54 grams per day (or two 8-ounce servings per week) and to obtain 5 percent of recreationally caught fish from Cold Spring Brook Pond. As estimated in the RI Report (April 1993), the health risks associated with contact with surface soil at Cold Spring Brook Landfill fall below the USEPA point of departure of $1x10^{-6}$

excess cancer risk and the target hazard index of one. The cancer risks range from $2x10^{-7}$ to $6x10^{-7}$ and the hazard indices range from 0.000009 to 0.0001. Under current land use conditions, an adult and child were assumed to be exposed to soil by dermal contact and incidental ingestion five days per year for 30 and 5 years, respectively.

Total site risks were calculated in Tables 6-49 and 6-50 to estimate the health risks that a receptor might face who could potentially be exposed by more than one exposure pathway. Under current land use conditions, an adult was assumed to ingest fillets from Cold Spring Brook Pond for 30 years, and contact the pond sediment and soil as a site visitor. The excess lifetime cancer risks for this receptor range from $7x10^{-6}$ to $2x10^{-5}$. The total site cancer risks for a child (age 1-6) were assumed to come from the ingestion of fillets and contact with soil as a site visitor, and range from $1x10^{-6}$ to $3x10^{-6}$. Total site noncancer risks for an adult exposed to COPCs in Cold Spring Brook Pond fillets and landfill surface soil range from 0.07 to 0.1. For a child exposed by the same pathways, the total site noncancer risks range from 0.09 to 0.1.

Tables 6-51 and 6-52 are summaries of the cancer and noncancer risk estimates under the assumption of future residential use of land surrounding the landfill. Because the exposure assumptions for fish ingestion are the same under conditions of current and future land use, the risks are the same. Under future land use conditions, the frequency of sediment contact is assumed to be greater than under current conditions (100 days per year for 10 years instead of five days per year for 10 years). Therefore, the health risks under future conditions are predicted to be slightly higher than under current conditions. The risks faced by a visitor contacting sediment in Cold Spring Brook Pond, under future use conditions, range from $2x10^{-5}$ to $1x10^{-4}$. These risks fall within the Superfund target risk range. Arsenic and PAHs in the sediment account for 100 percent of the risk. As estimated in the RI Report (April 1993), the health risks associated with surface soil exposure under future assumed residential conditions (350 days/year) range from excess cancer risks of $1x10^{-5}$ to $4x10^{-5}$ to hazard indices of 0.0006 to 0.007.

The use of groundwater from the downgradient wells for domestic purposes also poses risks above the points of departure. The cancer risks associated with unfiltered groundwater consumption range from $1x10^{-5}$ to $2x10^{-4}$. Arsenic accounts for about 97 percent of the total risk. An organic compound,

bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate, also presents risk slightly above the point of departure, at $5x10^{-6}$; however, it accounts for less than 3 percent of the total risk. The HQs for manganese exceed one; they range from 4 to 10.

Under the assumption of future residential use of land surrounding the landfill, the total site risks for a receptor exposed concurrently (for 30 years) to COPCs in groundwater, landfill soil, and Cold Spring Brook Pond sediment and fish range from $1x10^{-4}$ to $3x10^{-4}$. The total site risks for a child (age 1-6) were assumed to come from the ingestion of fillets, soil contact, and groundwater exposure, and range from $3x10^{-5}$ to $7x10^{-5}$. For an adult exposed to COPCs in fillets, surface soil, and unfiltered groundwater, the total site hazard index ranges from 4 to 9. For a child, exposed concurrently by the same pathways, the total hazard index ranges from 4 to 10.

6.2.2.5 Comparison of EPCs to Standards and Guidelines. The FDA issues action levels for contaminants in fish (USFDA, 1992). Action levels are established by the FDA to control levels of contaminants in human food and animal feed. The FDA has issued action levels for three of the COPCs detected in either the pumpkinseeds, bullhead, or pickerel evaluated in this Supplemental Risk Assessment. Table 6-53 contains these action levels. The maximum detected concentrations of mercury, DDE, and DDD in the fish at Cold Spring Brook Pond are below their respective FDA action levels.

Table 6-54 contains drinking water and groundwater standards and guidelines for COPCs detected in groundwater at Cold Spring Brook Landfill. Average and maximum detected concentrations of COPCs are provided as well. The maximum detected concentrations of several analytes exceed a SMCL or guideline. SMCLs, standards developed to protect against unacceptable aesthetic effects, are exceeded for aluminum, iron, and manganese. (Aluminum was not detected in the filtered samples.) Manganese was detected at concentrations above its proposed federal MCL. The federal and state guidelines for sodium in drinking water were also exceeded. Sodium guidelines have been set for people on sodium-restricted diets. The primary MCL for bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (6 μg/L) was exceeded only by its maximum detected concentration; the average concentration of 4 μg/L is below the MCL.

6.4 SUMMARY OF SUPPLEMENTAL RISK ASSESSMENTS

Supplemental Risk Assessments have been performed at Shepley's Hill Landfill and Cold Spring Brook Landfill to update the RI Risk Assessments completed in April 1993. Since the RI was completed, additional data have been collected to fill data gaps identified in the RI. Additional data reported in this RI Addendum include fish tissue and sediment concentrations at Plow Shop Pond and Cold Spring Brook Pond and new groundwater data (March and June 1993 sampling rounds) for Shepley's Hill Landfill and Cold Spring Brook Landfill. The Supplemental Risk Assessment summaries provided below integrate the findings of the RI and RI Addendum Risk Assessments to give a complete picture of the potential health risks associated with the two landfills.

6.4.1 Shepley's Hill Landfill

In the RI Risk Assessment, potential human health risks were identified through exposure to the following media and site-related COPCs:

- Direct contact with arsenic in sediment along the western shoreline of Plow Shop Pond.
- Consumption of fish assumed to contain arsenic and cadmium.
- Residential use of groundwater interpreted to be under the influence of the landfill and contaminated with arsenic, Aroclor-1260, beryllium, cadmium, manganese, and six other COPCs that contributed less than 1 percent to the total risk.

Other contaminants interpreted in the RI to be from sources other than Shepley's Hill Landfill also contributed to the total risks. These included the pesticides heptachlor and DDE in fish, as well as mercury. Non-landfill-related COPCs (beryllium and PAHs) were also reported in sediment along the eastern shoreline of Plow Shop Pond at risks only slightly above USEPA's risk management guidelines. Groundwater from two well groupings in the RI Risk Assessment interpreted to be outside the zone of influence of Shepley's Hill Landfill also presented health risks above USEPA guidelines.

In this Supplemental Risk Assessment, additional data and analysis allowed for the refinement of the risk estimates generated in the RI Risk Assessment. Figures 6-1 through 6-4 present risk estimates produced in the Supplemental Risk Assessment in relation to USEPA risk management guidelines. Actual fish tissue analyses obtained through the October 1992 fish sampling program provided measured COPC levels in fish. The health risks faced by a recreational fisherman or family member who consumes fish from Plow Shop Pond range from $3x10^{-6}$ to $4x10^{-4}$. Arsenic in the fish accounts for approximately 96 to 99 percent of the total risk. Mercury, a COPC not considered to be landfill-related, presents noncancer risks above the regulatory guideline of one (HQs range from 2 to 7). Detected concentrations of mercury in the bullhead and bass fillets in Plow Shop Pond also exceed the FDA action level for mercury of 1 ppm. One additional COPC not related to the landfill, DDE, presents a cancer risk of $2x10^{-6}$, which represents only 0.4 to 4 percent of the total risk.

While the risk estimates associated with arsenic in Plow Shop Pond fish exceed the USEPA points of departure, these risk estimates are thought to overestimate the true risks. Arsenic in fish exists largely as organic forms that possess minimal inherent toxicity and are believed to possess no mutagenic or carcinogenic potential. All of the arsenic in Plow Shop Pond fish has been assumed to be inorganic; the analytical methods for inorganics used in the RI Addendum do not distinguish between the organic and inorganic forms of a metal. Furthermore, the cancer slope factor for inorganic arsenic is thought by many to overestimate the true risk. The USEPA IRIS file (December 1993) on inorganic arsenic states that "the uncertainties associated with ingested arsenic are such that estimates could be modified downwards as much as an order of magnitude, relative to risk estimates associated with most other carcinogens." If a modifying factor of 10 were applied to the unmodified risk estimates for the fish ingestion pathway, modified cancer risk estimates would range from $3x10^{-7}$ to $4x10^{-5}$ -- risks within or below the Superfund target risk range of $1x10^{-6}$ to $1x10^{-4}$. Because the true risks associated with arsenic in Plow Shop Pond are thought to be significantly lower than initially calculated, it appears that the major health risk associated with Plow Shop Pond fish is due to mercury contamination.

In the Supplemental Risk Assessment, direct contact with sediment presented cancer risks (unmodified to account for the uncertainty associated with arsenic) ranging from $2x10^{-5}$ to $2x10^{-4}$ (under current land use) and $9x10^{-5}$ to $6x10^{-4}$ (under future land use). Arsenic is responsible for essentially 100 percent of the

risk. As in the RI Risk Assessment, these risks are above the USEPA point of departure of $1x10^{-6}$ but, under average exposure conditions, within the Superfund target risk range of $1x10^{-6}$ to $1x10^{-4}$. Only under maximum exposure conditions does the cancer risk exceed the upper end of the target risk range (at $2x10^{-4}$ and $6x10^{-4}$). If the modifying factor of 10 were applied to the cancer risk estimates for arsenic, cancer risk estimates would range from $2x10^{-6}$ to $2x10^{-5}$ (under current land use) and $9x10^{-6}$ to $6x10^{-5}$ (under future land use); these risks are within the Superfund target risk range.

Cadmium was reported in the RI Risk Assessment to present a health risk of potential concern in Plow Shop Pond fish. This was not confirmed in the Supplemental Risk Assessment. In the RI Risk Assessment, actual fish tissue concentrations were not yet available; the risks were estimated by multiplying measured sediment concentrations by bioaccumulation factors. While cadmium was detected in sediment in the RI, and attributed to the landfill, this is not true in the RI Addendum. Cadmium was detected infrequently in RI Addendum sediment samples and was not interpreted to be a landfill-related COPC. Furthermore, cadmium was not detected in the bluegills or fillets (bullhead and bass) in Plow Shop Pond that were evaluated in the Supplemental Risk Assessment.

The health risks from lead in Plow Shop Pond fish or sediment could not be estimated quantitatively either in the RI or Supplemental Risk Assessments because of the lack of a USEPA-approved dose/response value for lead. Lead was detected in one of five bluegills in Plow Shop Pond, but not in the bullhead or bass fillets. The concentrations of lead in sediment can be evaluated using the USEPA interim soil cleanup level for lead for residential settings of 500 μg/g (USEPA, 1989g). Although the maximum detected concentration of lead in Plow Shop Pond (632 μg/g) sediment is above this soil lead cleanup level, the average concentration of lead in Plow Shop Pond is 125 μg/g. Exposure to lead in sediment at Plow Shop Pond is also expected to much less than in a residential setting. Therefore, lead is not expected to pose a significant health risk in Plow Shop Pond sediment.

Groundwater sampling data from the March and June 1993 sampling rounds (reported in this RI Addendum) confirm the RI Risk Assessment conclusion that the health risks associated with residential use of the groundwater exceed the USEPA points of departure and Superfund target risk range. The cancer risks

(unmodified to account for the uncertainty associated with arsenic) from groundwater consumption (from Well Group 1) range from $8x10^{-5}$ to $2x10^{-3}$. As in the RI Risk Assessment, most of the risk is due to the presence of arsenic. The hazard quotients for manganese exceed one; they range from 2 to 16. the two organic analytes, 1,2-dichloroethane and dichlorobenzenes, presented cancer risks of $6x10^{-6}$ and $3x10^{-6}$, respectively -- within the Superfund target risk range. If the downward modifying factor of 10 were applied to the unmodified cancer risk estimates for arsenic, the modified risks would range from $8x10^{-6}$ to $2x10^{-4}$. It should be noted that even when the concentration of arsenic in groundwater is assumed to be at the federal MCL of 50 μg/L, the cancer risk associated with the MCL ($1x10^{-3}$) exceeds the Superfund target risk range and its HQ (of 5) exceeds one.

The suite of other chemicals contributing to the total risk varies, however, between the RI and Supplemental Risk Assessments. In the RI Risk Assessment, other chemicals in the groundwater contributing to the total risk (at risks above the points of departure) included Aroclor-1260, beryllium, cadmium, manganese, heptachlor, and some VOCs (benzene, chloroform, 1,2-dichloroethane, methylene chloride, and 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane). In the Supplemental Risk Assessment, using the latest groundwater samples (from two rounds) and a landfill well grouping slightly different from the RI well group, three compounds besides arsenic contribute to the total risk at risk levels above the points of departure - 1,2-dichloroethane, dichlorobenzenes, and manganese. Aroclor-1260 and 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane were detected during RI sampling in wells no longer interpreted to be under the influence of Shepley's Hill Landfill. In addition, they were not detected in Supplemental RI sampling. Although benzene was detected in the RI Addendum sampling (in 3 of 14 samples), it no longer presented a cancer risk above the USEPA point of departure. Chloroform is not a COPC in the Supplemental Risk Assessment. Four other RI COPCs, heptachlor, methylene chloride, beryllium, and cadmium, were not detected in the RI Addendum sampling rounds. Chloroform was detected, but considered an artifact of decontamination procedures.

In comparing the March and June 1993 sampling results to drinking water standards, for Well Group 1, the maximum detected concentrations of several analytes in unfiltered groundwater exceed a primary (or health-based) federal or state drinking water standard (see Table 6-31). These include: 1,2-dichloro-ethane, dichlorobenzenes, arsenic, chromium, lead, and nickel. Based on filtered

samples, however, the maximum concentration of lead is below the federal action level for lead. Neither chromium nor nickel were detected in filtered samples. Manganese was detected at concentrations above its proposed federal MCL. Dichlorobenzenes (isomers unidentified) were detected in one of 14 samples; while the maximum exceeds the state drinking water guideline for p-dichlorobenzene (the isomer with the lowest guideline), the average (5.4 μg/L) approximates the guideline (5 μg/L). While the maximum detected concentration of 1,2-dichloroethane (9.9 μg/L) exceeds the federal MCL of 5 ug/L, the average concentration of 0.97 μg/L does not exceed the MCL. Secondary Maximum Contaminant Levels (SMCLs), standards developed to protect against unacceptable aesthetic effects (such as appliance or clothes staining or taste) are exceeded for aluminum, iron, and manganese. The federal and state guidelines for sodium in drinking water were also exceeded. Sodium guidelines have been set for people on sodium-restricted diets.

6.4.2 Cold Spring Brook Landfill

In the RI Risk Assessment, potential human health risks were identified through exposure to the following media and site-related COPCs:

- Direct contact with arsenic and PAHs in sediment along the shoreline of Cold Spring Brook Pond.
- Consumption of fish assumed to contain arsenic, zinc, DDD, and DDE.
- Residential use of groundwater interpreted to be under the influence of the landfill and contaminated mainly with arsenic, and to a much lesser degree with beryllium and manganese.

In this Supplemental Risk Assessment, additional data and analysis allowed for the refinement of the risk estimates generated in the RI Risk Assessment. Figures 6-5 through 6-8 present risk estimates produced in the Supplemental Risk Assessment in relation to USEPA risk management guidelines. Actual fish tissue analyses obtained through the October 1992 fish sampling program provided measured COPC levels in fish. The health risks faced by a recreational fisherman or family member of his family who consumes fish from Cold Spring Brook Pond range from $1x10^{-6}$ to $9x10^{-6}$. These risks fall within the Superfund target risk

range. The maximum detected concentrations of mercury, DDE, and DDD in the fish at Cold Spring Brook Pond are also below their respective FDA action levels. These are the only three COPCs for which the FDA has developed action levels.

As estimated in the RI Report (April 1993), the health risks associated with contact with surface soil at Cold Spring Brook Landfill fall below the USEPA point of departure of $1x10^{-6}$ excess cancer risk and target hazard index of one. The cancer risks range from $2x10^{-7}$ to $6x10^{-7}$ and the hazard indices range from 0.000009 to 0.0001. Under current land use conditions, an adult and child were assumed to be exposed to soil by dermal contact and incidental ingestion five days per year for 30 and 5 years, respectively. The health risks associated with surface soil exposure under future assumed residential conditions (350 days/year) range from excess cancer risks of $1x10^{-5}$ to $4x10^{-5}$ to hazard indices of 0.0006 to 0.007. Zinc was reported in the RI Risk Assessment to present a health risk of potential concern in Cold Spring Brook Pond fish. This was not confirmed in the Supplemental Risk Assessment. In the RI Risk Assessment, actual fish tissue concentrations were not yet available; the risks were estimated by multiplying measured sediment concentrations by bioaccumulation factors. In the Supplemental Risk Assessment, the noncancer risks associated with zinc were at least two orders of magnitude below the target HQ of one.


In the Supplemental Risk Assessment, direct contact with sediment presented cancer risks ranging from $1x10^{-6}$ to $6x10^{-6}$, under current land use, and from $2x10^{-5}$ to $1x10^{-4}$, under assumed future land use conditions. As in the RI Risk Assessment, these risks fall within the Superfund target risk range of $1x10^{-6}$ to $1x10^{-4}$.

The health risks from lead in Cold Spring Brook Pond sediment could not be estimated quantitatively either in the RI or Supplemental Risk Assessments because of a lack of a USEPA-approved dose/response value for lead. (Lead was not detected in the Cold Spring Brook Pond fish evaluated in this Risk Assessment.) The concentrations of lead in sediment can be evaluated using the USEPA interim soil cleanup level for lead in residential settings of 500 $\mu g/g$ (USEPA, 1989g). Although the maximum detected concentration of lead in Cold Spring Brook Pond sediment (570 $\mu g/g$) is above the soil lead cleanup level, the average concentration (69.5 $\mu g/g$)is below. Exposure to lead in sediment is also expected to be much less than in a residential setting. Therefore, lead in sediment is not expected to pose a significant health risk.

Based on the groundwater sampling data from the March 1993 sampling round (reported in this RI Addendum), cancer risks (unmodified to account for the uncertainty associated with arsenic) associated with residential use of the unfiltered groundwater (ranging from $1x10^{-5}$ to $2x10^{-4}$) exceed the USEPA points of departure and Superfund target risk range. Arsenic accounts for about 99 percent of the total risk. If the downward modifying factor of 10 for arsenic were applied to the unfiltered risk estimates, the modified cancer risks would then fall within the Superfund target risk range (at $1x10^{-6}$ to $2x10^{-5}$). It should be noted that even when the concentration of arsenic in groundwater is assumed to be at the federal MCL of 50 μg/L, the cancer risk associated with the MCL ($1x10^{-3}$) exceeds the Superfund target risk range and its HQ (of 5) exceeds one. Two additional analytes, bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate and manganese, present risks above the points of departure. The HQs for manganese range from 4 to 10. Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate presents cancer risks slightly above the point of departure (at $5x10^{-6}$).

As discussed in the Toxicity Assessment, the noncancer risks associated with manganese in drinking water may be overestimated. This is due to the uncertainty and limitations of the one epidemiological study upon which the RfD for manganese is based. Failure in the study to control for confounding variables related to the toxic endpoint assessed and failure to estimate total manganese exposure may have resulted in the establishment of an artificially low oral drinking water RfD (i.e., overly-protective).

In comparing the March and June 1993 sampling results to drinking water standards, the maximum detected concentrations from the March and June 1993 sampling rounds of aluminum, iron, and manganese exceed their SMCLs. SMCLs are developed to protect against unacceptable aesthetic effects. Manganese was detected at concentrations above its proposed MCL. The federal and state guidelines for sodium in drinking water are also exceeded. The primary MCL for bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate of 6 μg/L was exceeded only by its maximum detected concentration of 14 μg/L; the average concentration of 4 μg/L is below the MCL.



NOTE:


(1) Landfill-related COPCs account for between 96 and 100% of the total risk.

(2) Fillets include bullheads and large mouth bass.

(3) Avg. EPC = average exposure point concentration;
Max. EPC = maximum exposure point concentration.

FIGURE 6-1
SUMMARY OF CANCER RISK ESTIMATES
CURRENT LAND USE SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ABB Environmental Services, Inc.




NOTE:

(1) Fillets include bullheads and largemouth bass.

(2) Avg. EPC = average exposure point concentration;
Max. EPC = maximum exposure point concentration.

FIGURE 6-2
SUMMARY OF NONCANCER RISK ESTIMATES
CURRENT LAND USE SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ABB Environmental Services, Inc.



NOTE:

(1) Landfill-related COPCs account for between 96 and 100% of the total risk.


(2) Fillets include bullheads and large mouth bass.

(3) Unfiltered groundwater.

(4) Avg. EPC = average exposure point concentration;
Max. EPC = maximum exposure point concentration.

FIGURE 6-3
SUMMARY OF CANCER RISK ESTIMATES
FUTURE LAND USE SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ABB Environmental Services, Inc.



NOTE:

Fillets include bullheads and largemouth bass.


Groundwater estimates are based on unfiltered samples.

AVG.EPC = average exposure point concentration

MAX. EPC = maximum exposure point concentration

FIGURE 6-4
SUMMARY OF NONCANCER RISK ESTIMATES
FUTURE LAND USE SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ABB Environmental Services, Inc.




NOTE:

(1) Fillets include bullheads and chain pickerel.

(2) Avg. EPC = average exposure point concentration;
Max. EPC = maximum exposure point concentration.

FIGURE 6-5
SUMMARY OF CANCER RISK ESTIMATES
CURRENT LAND USE COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ABB Environmental Services, Inc.



NOTE:


(1) Fillets include bullheads and chain pickerel.

(2) Hazard indices associated with surface soil contact for adult and child are $1x10^{-4}$ or less.

(3) Avg. EPC = average exposure point concentration;
Max. EPC = maximum exposure point concentration.

FIGURE 6-6
SUMMARY OF NONCANCER RISK ESTIMATES
CURRENT LAND USE COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ABB Environmental Services, Inc.



NOTE:


(1) Fillets include bullheads and chain pickerel.

(2) Unfiltered groundwater.

(3) Avg. EPC = average exposure point concentration;
Max. EPC = maximum exposure point concentration.

FIGURE 6-7
SUMMARY OF CANCER RISK ESTIMATES
FUTURE LAND USE COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ABB Environmental Services, Inc.



NOTE:

(1) Fillets include bullheads and chain pickerel.

(2) Unfiltered groundwater.

(3) Avg. EPC = average exposure point concentration;
Max. EPC = maximum exposure point concentration.

(4) Hazard indices for surface soil contact for adult and child are 0.007 or less.

FIGURE 6-8
SUMMARY OF NONCANCER RISK ESTIMATES
FUTURE LAND USE COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ABB Environmental Services, Inc.

TABLE 6–1
SUMMARY OF RI HUMAN HEALTH RISK ASSESSMENT: SITE–RELATED CONTAMINATION
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL[1]

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

POTENTIAL EXPOSURE PATHWAY		ESTIMATED EXCESS CANCER RISK			HAZARD INDICES			PRIMARY CONTRIBUTORS TO RISK[2]
		ADULT	ADOLESCENT	CHILD	ADULT	ADOLESCENT	CHILD	
Current Land Use								
Fish Ingestion	Average:	5.5E – 4	– –	4.0E – 4	3.1	– –	14	Arsenic (>99%), Cadmium (6)
	RME:	1.8E – 3	– –	1.3E – 3	9.2	– –	40	
Sediment Contact	Average:	– –	6.0E – 5	– –	– –	(0.81)	– –	
	RME:	– –	1.4E – 4	– –	– –	1.8	– –	Arsenic (100%)
Assumed Future Residential Site Use								
Residential Groundwater Usage	Average:	2.8E – 3	– –	5.1E – 4	12	– –	13	Arsenic (94%), Aroclor 1260 (3%)
	RME:	1.6E – 2	– –	2.9E – 3	77	– –	85	Beryllium (1%), Cadmium (9) Manganese (2), other chemicals[3]
Fish Ingestion	Average:	5.5E – 4	– –	4.0E – 4	3.1	– –	14	
	RME:	1.8E – 3	– –	1.3E – 3	9.2	– –	40	Arsenic (>99%), Cadmium (6)
Sediment Contact	Average:	– –	2.3E – 4	– –	– –	3.1	– –	
	RME:	– –	5.2E – 4	– –	– –	7.1	– –	Arsenic (100%)
Total Risk	Average:	3.4E – 3	2.3E – 4	9.1E – 4	15	3.1	27	
	RME:	1.8E – 2	5.2E – 4	4.2E – 3	86	7.1	125	

Notes:

[1] Excerpted from Tables 8–25 through 8–28 of the RI Risk Assessment, April 1993.

[2] In parentheses is the percentage that a carcinogen contributes to the total cancer risk or, for a noncarcinogen, the hazard index.

[3] Other chemicals responsible for risks greater than 10^{-6} but less than 1% of the total risk are benzene, chloroform, 1,2–dichloroethane, heptachlor, methylene chloride, and 1,1,2,2–tetrachloroethane.

TABLE 6–2
SUMMARY OF RI HUMAN HEALTH RISK ASSESSMENT: CONTAMINATION FROM SOURCES OTHER THAN SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL[1]

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

POTENTIAL EXPOSURE PATHWAY		ESTIMATED EXCESS CANCER RISK			HAZARD INDICES			PRIMARY CONTRIBUTORS TO RISK[2]
		ADULT	ADOLESCENT	CHILD	ADULT	ADOLESCENT	CHILD	
Current Land Use								
Fish Ingestion	Average:	1.2E – 5	– –	8.4E – 6	4	– –	17	Heptachlor (66%), DDE (34%)
	RME:	3.9E – 5	– –	2.8E – 5	18	– –	78	Mercury (77), Copper (1.1)
Assumed Future Residential Site Use								
Fish Ingestion	Average:	1.2E – 5	– –	8.4E – 5	4	– –	17	Heptachlor (66%), DDE (34%)
	RME:	3.9E – 5	– –	2.8E – 5	18	– –	78	Mercury (77), Copper (1.1)
Sediment Contact	Average:	– –	1.4E – 6	– –	– –	0.18	– –	Beryllium (35%), PAHs (65%)
(East side of shoreline)	RME:	– –	2.7E – 6	– –	– –	0.31	– –	
Groundwater Ingestion	Average:	7.5E – 3	– –	1.4E – 3	35	– –	39	Arsenic (98%), Beryllium (1%)
(SHL–15)	RME:	1.2E – 2	– –	2.3E – 3	58	– –	65	Dieldrin (<1%), Manganese (2.9) Cadmium (2.7)
Groundwater Ingestion	Average:	4.7E – 4	– –	8.7E – 5	2.6	– –	2.9	Arsenic (98%), Beryllium (2%)
(SHL–7, –8, –8D, and 13)	RME:	1.5E – 3	– –	2.8E – 4	7.7	– –	8.4	Manganese (0.8)

Notes:

[1] Excerpted from Tables 8–29 through 8–32 of the RI Risk Assessment, April 1993.

[2] In parentheses is the percentage that a carcinogen contributes to the total cancer risk or, for a noncarcinogen, the hazard index.

TABLE 6-3

COMPARISON OF AVERAGE AND MAXIMUM SURFACE WATER CONCENTRATIONS

TO DRINKING WATER STANDARDS

PLOW SHOP POND

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT

FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES

FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTES	AVERAGE CONCENTRATION (ug/L)	MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION (ug/L)	DRINKING WATER STANDARDS (ug/L)	
Organics:				
Chloroform	0.740	1.41	100	(1)
Inorganics:				
Arsenic	4.32	6.96	50	(1)
Barium	7.06	15.2	2000	(1)
Chromium	2.41	4.9	100	(1)
Copper	14.2	48.7	1300	(2)
Iron	473	1100	NA	(3)
Manganese	96.5	500	200	(2)
Nickel	15.7	44.2	100	(1)
Silver	0.415	3.6	200	(4)
Zinc	18.4	58.1	11,000	(4)

Notes:

(1) Federal Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL)

(2) Federal Maximum Contaminant Level Goal (MCLG)

(3) NA = No health-based standard is available

(4) USEPA Health Advisory based on lifetime exposure

TABLE 6-4
GROUNDWATER WELL GROUPINGS
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

WELL GROUP 1	WELL GROUP 2 (BACKGROUND)	WELL GROUP 3	WELL GROUP 4
SHL-3	SHL-6	SHL-8D	SHL-15
SHL-4	SHL-7**	SHL-8S	
SHL-5	SHL-12*	SHL-13	
SHL-9	SHL-17*	SHL-21*	
SHL-10	SHL-23		
SHL-11	SHL-24		
SHL-18	SHL-25		
SHL-19	SHM-93-24A		
SHL-20			
SHL-22			
SHM-93-01A			
SHM-93-18B			
SHM-93-10C			
SHM-93-22C			

* Placed in Well Group 1 in the RI Risk Assessment
** Placed in Well Group 3 in the RI Risk Assessment

Note:
The following wells were sampled in both Round 1 (March 93) and Round 2 (June 93):
SHM-93-01A (unfiltered and filtered), SHM-93-10C (unfiltered),
SHM-93-18B (unfiltered and filtered), SHM-93-22C (unfiltered), and
SHM-93-24A (unfiltered and filtered).

TABLE 6-5
SUMMARY OF GROUNDWATER SAMPLING RESULTS[1]
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL - WELL GROUP 1

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	FREQUENCY OF DETECTION	MAXIMUM DETECTED CONCENTRATION (ug/L)	ARITHMETIC MEAN (ug/L)	COPC (Y/N)
UNFILTERED SAMPLES [2]				
1,1-Dichloroethane	4 / 14	4.4	0.86	Y
1,2-Dichloroethane	5 / 14	9.9	0.97	Y
1,2-Dichloroethene (cis & trans)	6 / 14	7	1.4	Y
1,2-Dichloropropane	1 / 14	0.52	0.27	Y
Acetone	1 / 14	15	7	N
Benzene	3 / 14	1.7	0.51	Y
Chloroethane	1 / 14	5.5	1.3	Y
Chloroform	3 / 14	0.87	0.33	N
Dichlorobenzenes	1 / 14	11	5.4	Y
Toluene	1 / 14	0.56	0.26	N
Aluminum	13 / 14	75500	4259	Y
Antimony	2 / 14	3.3	1.7	Y
Arsenic	12 / 14	390	101	Y
Barium	13 / 14	350	47.6	Y
Calcium	14 / 14	219000	54280	Y
Chromium	5 / 14	115	9	Y
Cobalt	1 / 14	54.6	14	Y
Copper	4 / 14	92.2	8.6	Y
Iron	14 / 14	97400	17608	Y
Lead	10 / 14	66.8	5.2	Y
Magnesium	14 / 14	24000	7603	Y
Manganese	14 / 14	9650	2045	Y
Nickel	1 / 14	177	22.9	Y
Potassium	13 / 14	31800	7119	Y
Sodium	14 / 14	67300	20749	Y
Vanadium	3 / 14	79.1	9.4	Y
Zinc	3 / 14	220	29.4	Y
FILTERED SAMPLES [3]				
Aluminum	1 / 10	236 BB	NA	N
Antimony	1 / 10	3.12	2	Y
Arsenic	6 / 10	270	71	Y
Barium	10 / 10	117	30	Y
Calcium	10 / 10	175000	37402	Y
Iron	7 / 10	91600	14427	Y
Lead	2 / 10	1.52 BB	NA	N
Magnesium	9 / 10	19900	4679	Y
Manganese	10 / 10	9540	1812	Y
Potassium	9 / 10	10600	4127	Y
Sodium	10 / 10	64600	16934	Y
Zinc	1 / 10	25.5	11	Y

Notes:
NA = Not applicable
ug/L = Micrograms per liter
BB = Less than background concentration
[1] From March and June 1993 sampling rounds
[2] Unfiltered samples from monitoring wells SHL-3, SHL-4, SHL-5, SHL-9, SHL-10, SHL-11, SHL-18, SHL-19, SHL-20, SHL-22, SHM-93-01A, SHM-93-10C, SHM-93-18B, SHM-93-22C
[3] Filtered samples from monitoring wells SHL-3, SHL-4, SHL-5, SHL-9, SHL-10, SHL-11, SHL-19 SHL-20, SHM-93-01A, SHM-93-18B

TABLE 6-6
SUMMARY OF GROUNDWATER SAMPLING RESULTS[1]
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL - WELL GROUP 3

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	FREQUENCY OF DETECTION	MAXIMUM DETECTED CONCENTRATION (ug/L)	ARITHMETIC MEAN (ug/L)	COPC (Y/N)
UNFILTERED SAMPLES[2]				
Aluminum	2 / 4	4030 BB	1800	N
Arsenic	2 / 4	17	8.4	Y
Barium	4 / 4	28 BB	14	N
Calcium	4 / 4	15400	1100	Y
Chromium	2 / 4	7.38 BB	5.1	N
Iron	4 / 4	5350 BB	2500	N
Lead	2 / 4	7.38	3.4	Y
Magnesium	4 / 4	2850 BB	1900	N
Manganese	4 / 4	1590	680	Y
Potassium	4 / 4	2080 BB	1900	N
Sodium	4 / 4	17300	7600	Y
FILTERED SAMPLES[3]				
Barium	1 / 1	8.71 BB	NA	N
Calcium	1 / 1	11000 BB	NA	N
Magnesium	1 / 1	1840 BB	NA	N
Manganese	1 / 1	114 BB	NA	N
Potassium	1 / 1	829 BB	NA	N
Sodium	1 / 1	16400	NA	Y

Notes:
ug/L = Micrograms per liter
NA = Not applicable
BB = Less than background concentration
[1] From March 1993 sampling round.
[2] Unfiltered samples from monitoring wells SHL-8D, SHL-8S, SHL-13, SHL-21.
[3] Filtered samples from monitoring well SHL-13.

TABLE 6-7
SUMMARY OF GROUNDWATER SAMPLING RESULTS[1]
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL - WELL GROUP 4

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	FREQUENCY OF DETECTION	MAXIMUM DETECTED CONCENTRATION (ug/L)	ARITHMETIC MEAN (ug/L)	COPC (Y/N)
UNFILTERED SAMPLES [2]				
Trichlorofluoromethane	1 / 1	2.1	NA	Y
Aluminum	1 / 1	1330 BB	NA	N
Arsenic	1 / 1	24	NA	Y
Barium	1 / 1	39.4 BB	NA	N
Calcium	1 / 1	15600	NA	Y
Iron	1 / 1	1840 BB	NA	N
Lead	1 / 1	3.69 BB	NA	N
Magnesium	1 / 1	1900 BB	NA	N
Manganese	1 / 1	1430	NA	Y
Potassium	1 / 1	3260	NA	Y
Sodium	1 / 1	7370 BB	NA	N
Zinc	1 / 1	35.8	NA	Y
FILTERED SAMPLES [3]				
Barium	1 / 1	26.2 BB	NA	N
Calcium	1 / 1	16900	NA	Y
Chromium	1 / 1	6.95 BB	NA	N
Iron	1 / 1	42.5 BB	NA	N
Lead	1 / 1	1.63 BB	NA	N
Magnesium	1 / 1	1860 BB	NA	N
Manganese	1 / 1	1850	NA	Y
Potassium	1 / 1	1870 BB	NA	N
Sodium	1 / 1	7630 BB	NA	N
Zinc	1 / 1	28.8	NA	Y

Notes:
ug/L = Micrograms per liter
NA = Not applicable
BB = Less than background concentration
[1] From March 1993 sampling record
[2] Unfiltered samples from monitoring well SHL-15
[3] Filtered samples from monitoring well SHL-15

TABLE 6-8

SUMMARY STATISTICS FOR FISH TISSUE ANALTYE CONCENTRATIONS[1]

BLUEGILLS (WHOLE FISH)

PLOW SHOP POND

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT

FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES

FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALTYE	FREQUENCY OF DETECTION	MINIMUM CONCENTRATION	MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION	ARITHMETIC MEAN
Pesticides (ug/kg)				
DDE	2/5	21	29	12.92
Inorganics (mg/kg)				
Aluminum	5/5	1.6	4.5	2.58
Arsenic	1/5	1.3	1.3	0.331
Barium	5/5	1.3	4.4	2.76
Calcium	5/5	23300	48800	31940
Chromium	5/5	0.48	0.93	0.656
Cobalt	4/5	0.1	0.16	0.108
Copper	5/5	0.44	0.6	0.506
Iron	5/5	42.4	130	79.72
Lead	1/5	0.16	0.16	0.072
Magnesium	5/5	496	754	568
Manganese	5/5	39.1	94.7	63.2
Mercury	5/5	0.19	0.54	0.368
Selenium	5/5	0.42	0.67	0.55
Sodium	5/5	1480	2290	1794
Thallium	1/5	0.1	0.1	0.06
Zinc	5/5	22.2	29.6	25.02

Notes:

[1] Table inlcudes detected analytes only. Shaded analytes are considered landfill-related.

All detected analytes were included as COPCs.

TABLE 6-9

SUMMARY STATISTICS FOR FISH TISSUE ANALTYE CONCENTRATIONS[1]

BULLHEAD AND BASS (FILLETS)

PLOW SHOP POND

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT

FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES

FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	FREQUENCY OF DETECTION	MINIMUM CONCENTRATION	MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION	ARITHMETIC MEAN
Pesticides (ug/kg)				
DDE	2/10	15	31	9.6675
Inorganics (mg/kg)				
Arsenic	2/10	0.09	0.15	0.0497
Calcium	10/10	82.8	627	170.615
Chromium	2/10	0.19	0.24	0.123
Cobalt	2/10	0.11	0.11	0.056
Copper	10/10	0.08	0.24	0.174
Iron	10/10	1.7	27	8.195
Magnesium	10/10	252	344	279.15
Manganese	1/10	0.3	0.3	0.163
Mercury	9/10	0.12	4	1.144
Selenium	8/10	0.11	0.2	0.125
Sodium	10/10	283	509	420.85
Zinc	10/10	3.4	6.1	4.48

Notes:

[1] Table includes detected analytes only. Shaded analytes are considered landfill-related.

All detected analytes were included as COPCs.

TABLE 6-10
SUMMARY STATISTICS FOR SHALLOW SEDIMENT[1]
PLOW SHOP POND

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	FREQUENCY OF DETECTION	CONCENTRATION MEAN (ug/g)	CONCENTRATION MAXIMUM (ug/g)	COPC (Y/N)
ORGANICS				
acetone	9/13	0.19	0.55	N
methylene chloride	11/13	0.05	0.12	N
2-butanone	5/13	0.04	0.13	N
benzo(a)anthracene	1/13	0.22	1.1	Y
chrysene	1/13	0.32	1.5	Y
fluoranthene	1/13	0.5	3.4	Y
naphthalene	1/13	0.32	1.6	Y
phenanthrene	1/13	0.38	2.5	Y
pyrene	3/13	0.97	4.35	Y
DDE	6/41	0.05	1.3	Y
DDD	4//41	0.07	1.8	Y
DDT	1/41	0.03	0.13	Y
heptachlor	2/41	0.006	0.092	N
INORGANICS[2]				
aluminum	41/41	7,938	24,000	Y
arsenic	41/41	467	3,200	Y
barium	38/41	108	344	Y
beryllium	8/41	0.53	2.72	Y
cadmium	13/41	9.8	60	Y
calcium	39/41	8,074	20,100	Y
cobalt	8/41	5.8	58.7	Y
chromium	38/41	1,987	10,000	Y
copper	30/41	39.7	132	Y
iron	41/41	36,314	330,000	Y
lead	40/41	125	632	Y
magnesium	36/41	1,629	6,900	Y
manganese	37/41	2,639	54,800	Y
mercury	37/41	18.2	130	Y
nickel	25/41	23	79.3	Y
potassium	17/41	435	2,350	Y
selenium	12/41	1.95	6.6	Y
sodium	35/41	1,113	2,870	Y
vanadium	15/41	24.6	166	Y
zinc	17/41	88.6	403	Y

Notes:

[1]Based on sediment samples SE-SHL-01 through SE-SHL-13 (April 1993 RI) and SHD-92-01 through SHD-92-28 at depths of less than 1 foot.

[2]Shaded analytes are considered landfill-related.

TABLE 6-11
CHEMICALS OF POTENTIAL CONCERN[1] IN HUMAN HEALTH RISK ASSESSMENT
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

CHEMICAL OF POTENTIAL CONCERN	FISH TISSUE	SEDIMENT	GROUNDWATER		
			WELL GROUP 1	WELL GROUP 3	WELL GROUP 4
Inorganics					
Aluminum	X	X	X		
Antimony			X		
Arsenic	X	X	X	X	X
Barium	X	X	X		
Beryllium		X			
Calcium	X	X	X	X	X
Cadmium		X			
Chromium	X	X	X		
Cobalt	X	X	X		
Copper	X	X	X		
Iron	X	X	X		
Lead	X	X	X	X	
Magnesium	X	X	X		
Manganese	X	X	X	X	X
Mercury	X	X			
Nickel		X	X		
Potassium		X	X		X
Selenium	X	X			
Sodium	X	X	X	X	
Thallium	X				
Vanadium		X	X		
Zinc	X	X	X		X

TABLE 6-11
CHEMICALS OF POTENTIAL CONCERN[1] IN HUMAN HEALTH RISK ASSESSMENT
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

CHEMICAL OF POTENTIAL CONCERN	FISH TISSUE	SEDIMENT	GROUNDWATER		
			WELL GROUP 1	WELL GROUP 3	WELL GROUP 4
VOCs					
Benzene			X		
Chloroethane			X		
Chloroform			X		
1,1-Dichloroethane			X		
1,2-Dichloroethane			X		
1,2-Dichloroethene (cis & trans)			X		
1,2-Dichloropropane			X		
Trichlorofluoromethane					X
SVOCs					
Dichlorobenzenes			X		
Benzo(a)anthracene		X			
Chrysene		X			
Fluoranthene		X			
Naphthalene		X			
Phenanthrene		X			
Pyrene		X			
Pesticides/PCBs					
DDD		X			
DDE	X	X			
DDT		X			

[1] Shaded chemicals are considered to be landfill-related COPCs.

TABLE 6-12
EXPOSURE PARAMETER VALUES
PATHWAY: GROUNDWATER INGESTION AND CONTACT DURING SHOWERING
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

PARAMETER	RECEPTOR	CASE	PARAMETER VALUE	SOURCE	COMMENTS
Water Concentration	Adult/Child	Average	Average concentration	–	–
	Adult/Child	RME	Maximum concentration	–	–
Ingestion Rate	Adult	Average/RME	2 liters/day	Standard Default[1]	90th percentile
	Child	Average/RME	0.5 liters/day	Exposure Factors (Table 2-4)[2]	Mean, ages 5-9
Surface Area (SA) Exposed	Adult	Average/RME	19,400 cm^2	Exposure Factors (Table 4-1)	Mean SA, males
	Child	Average/RME	4545.3 cm^2-yr/kg	See Appendix V	Represents normalized surface area and total body
Body Weight	Adult	Average/RME	70 kg	Standard Default	Average, adult
	Child	Average/RME	16 kg	Exposure Factors (Table 5-3)	Average, ages 3-6
Shower/Bath Exposure Time	Adult	Average/RME	0.2 hours/day	RAGs[3] (Exhibit 6-16)	90th percentile
	Child	Average/RME	0.2 hours/day	RAGs[3] (Exhibit 6-16)	90th percentile
Exposure Frequency	Adult	Average/RME	350 days/year	Standard Default	–
	Child	Average/RME	350 days/year	Standard Default	–
Exposure Duration	Adult	Average/RME	30 years	RAGs (Exhibit 6-11)	90th percentile; national upper bound time at one residence
	Child	Average/RME	5 years	–	Length of time in age range
Averaging Time (cancer)	Adult	Average/RME	70 years	RAGs (Exhibit 6-11)	Lifetime by convention
	Child	Average/RME	70 years	RAGs (Exhibit 6-11)	Lifetime by convention
Averaging Time (non-cancer)	Adult	Average/RME	30 years	RAGs (Exhibit 6-11)	AT = ED
	Child	Average/RME	5 years	RAGs (Exhibit 6-11)	AT = ED

Notes:
RME = Reasonable Maximum Exposure
[1]USEPA Standard Default Exposure Factors (USEPA, 1991b)
[2]USEPA Exposure Factors Handbook (USEPA, 1989b)
[3]USEPA Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund (RAGs) (USEPA,1989f)

TABLE 6-13
EXPOSURE PARAMETER VALUES
PATHWAY: INHALATION OF VOCs DURING SHOWERING
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

PARAMETER	RECEPTOR	CASE	PARAMETER VALUE	SOURCE	COMMENTS
Air Concentration	Adult	Average/RME	Modeled	-	See Appendix L
	Child	Average/RME	Modeled	-	See Appendix L
Exposure Time	Adult	Average/RME	0.2 hours/day	RAGs[1] (Exhibit 6-16)	90th percentile
	Child	Average/RME	0.2 hours/day	RAGs[1] (Exhibit 6-16)	90th percentile
Exposure Frequency	Adult	Average/RME	350 days/year	Standard Default[2]	
	Child	Average/RME	350 days/year	Standard Default	
Exposure Duration	Adult	Average/RME	30 years	RAGs (Exhibit 6-16)	90th percentile; national upper bound time at one residence
	Child	Average/RME	5 years	-	Length of time in age range
Averaging Time (cancer)	Adult	Average/RME	70 years	RAGs (Exhibit 6-16)	Lifetime by convention
	Child	Average/RME	70 years	RAGs (Exhibit 6-16)	Lifetime by convention
Averaging Time (non-cancer)	Adult	Average/RME	30 years	RAGs (Exhibit 6-16)	AT = ED
	Child	Average/RME	5 years	RAGs (Exhibit 6-16)	AT = ED

Notes:
RME = Reasonable Maximum Exposure
[1]USEPA Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund (RAGS) (USEPA, 1989f)
[2]USEPA Standard Default Exposure Factors (USEPA, 1991b)

TABLE 6-14
EXPOSURE PARAMETER VALUES
PATHWAY: INGESTION OF FISH
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

PARAMETER	RECEPTOR	CASE	PARAMETER VALUE	SOURCE	COMMENTS
Fish Tissue Concentration	Adult/Child	Average	Average Concentration	-	-
	Adult/Child	RME	Maximum Concentration	-	-
Fish Ingestion Rate	Adult	Average/RME	54 grams/day	Standard Default[1]	Average, adult
	Child	Average/RME	16.5 grams/day	Exposure Factors (Table 2-15)[2]	95th percentile, ages 0-9
Fraction Ingested from Source	Adult	Average/RME	0.5	Assumption	-
	Child	Average/RME	0.5	Assumption	-
Body Weight	Adult	Average/RME	70 kg	Standard Default	Average, adult
	Child	Average/RME	16 kg	Exposure Factors (Table 5-3)	Average, ages 3-6
Exposure Frequency	Adult	Average/RME	350 days/year	Standard Default	-
	Child	Average/RME	350 days/year	Standard Default	-
Exposure Duration	Adult	Average/RME	30 years	Standard Default (Table 1)	90th percentile; national upper bound time at one residence
	Child	Average/RME	5 years	-	Length of time in age range
Averaging Time (cancer)	Adult	Average/RME	70 years	RAGS (Exhibit 6-17)[3]	Lifetime by convention
	Child	Average/RME	70 years	RAGS (Exhibit 6-17)	
Averaging Time (non-cancer)	Adult	Average/RME	30 years	RAGS (Exhibit 6-17)	AT = ED
	Child	Average/RME	5 years	RAGS (Exhibit 6-17)	AT = ED

Notes:
RME = Reasonable Maximum Exposure
[1]USEPA Standard Default Exposure Factors (USEPA, 1991b)
[2]USEPA Exposure Factors Handbook (USEPA, 1989b)
[3]USEPA Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund (RAGs) (USEPA,1989f)

TABLE 6-15
EXPOSURE PARAMETER VALUES
PATHWAY: SEDIMENT INGESTION AND CONTACT
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

PARAMETER	RECEPTOR	CASE	PARAMETER VALUE	SOURCE	COMMENTS
Sediment Concentration	Adolescent	Average	Average Concentration	–	
		RME	Maximum Concentration	–	
Ingestion Rate	Adolescent	Average/RME	100 mg/day	Standard Default[1]	Upper-bound value for individual > 6 years of age
Fraction Ingested	Adolescent	Average/RME	100%	Assumption	–
Soil Adherence Factor	Adolescent	Average/RME	0.5 mg/cm^2	Dermal Exposure (Table 8-6)[2]	Between central and upper-bound value in range
Surface Area Exposed	Adolescent	Average/RME	848.6 cm^2-yr/kg	See Appendix V	Represents normalized surface area and 25% of total body surface area
Body Weight	Adolescent	Average/RME	42 kg	Exposure Factors (Table 5-3)[3]	Average, ages 6-16
Exposure Frequency	Adolescent (current use)	Average/RME	26 days/year	Assumption	1 day/week, May through October
	Adolescent (future use)	Average/RME	100 days/year	Assumption	More frequent exposure based on future residential land use
Exposure Duration	Adolescent	Average/RME	10 years	–	Length of time in age range
Averaging Time (cancer)	Adolescent	Average/RME	70 years	RAGS (Exhibit 6-15)[4]	Lifetime by convention
Averaging Time (non-cancer)	Adolescent	Average/RME	10 years	RAGS (Exhibit 6-15)	AT = ED

Notes:
RME = Reasonable Maximum Exposure
[1]USEPA Standard Default Exposure Factors (USEPA, 1991b)
[2]USEPA Dermal Exposure Assessment: Principles and Applications (USEPA, 1992d)
[3]USEPA Exposure Factors Handbook (USEPA, 1989b)
[4]USEPA Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund (RAGs) (USEPA,1989f)

TABLE 6-16
COMPARISON OF USEPA AND MADEP EXPOSURE ASSUMPTIONS
IN THE RI RISK ASSESSMENT [1]

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

EXPOSURE PATHWAY		USEPA	MADEP
Groundwater Ingestion and Contact (While Showering) – Child			
	Body Weight:	16 kg	10 kg
	Ingestion Rate:	0.5 L/day	1 L/day
	Inhalation Rate:	0.6 m^3/hr (all ages)	0.83 m^3/hr adult 0.42 m^3/hr child
Fish Ingestion – Child			
	Body Weight:	16 kg	10 kg
	Ingestion Rate:	54 g/day	20 g/day
Sediment Contact and Ingestion – Adolescent			
	Ingestion Rate:	100 mg/day	50 mg/day

Note:

[1] Only exposure assumptions that differ between USEPA and MADEP, for the exposure scenarios to be evaluated in this supplemental RA, are shown here.

TABLE 6-17
COMPARISON OF USEPA AND MADEP RISK ESTIMATES
FROM THE RI RISK ASSESSMENT[1]

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

EXPOSURE PATHWAY	USEPA		MADEP	
	CANCER	NONCANCER	CANCER	NONCANCER
Groundwater – Child–Average Case:				
Ingestion	4.92E – 4	13	1.57E – 3	45.4
Direct Contact	4.14E – 6	0.0413	6.6E – 6	0.0665
Inhalation of VOCs	2.46E– 6	0.00327	2.7E – 6	0.00365
Source:	Table N–20	Table N–20	Table S–21	Table S–21
Fish Ingestion – Child–Average Case:				
	4.02E – 4	13.5	2.38E – 4	8.03
Source:	Table N–4	Table N–4	Table S–13	Table S–13
Sediment (West Side) – Adolescent – Average Case:				
Ingestion	5.98E – 5	0.811	2.99E – 5	0.407
Source:	Table N–10	Table N–10	Table S–16	Table S–16

Note:
[1] In Appendices N and S of the RI Risk Assessment (April 1993).

TABLE 6-18
ORAL DOSE/RESPONSE INFORMATION FOR CARCINOGENIC EFFECTS
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

CHEMICAL	WEIGHT OF EVIDENCE	SLOPE FACTOR [(mg/kg/day)$^{-1}$]	SOURCE	TEST SPECIES	EXPOSURE ROUTE	TUMOR TYPE	STUDY SOURCE
VOCs							
Benzene	A	2.90E-02	IRIS	Human	Inhalation	Leukemia	IRIS
1,2-Dichloroethane	B2	9.10E-02	IRIS	Rat	Oral-gavage	Hemangiosarcoma	IRIS
1,2-Dichloropropane	B2	6.80E-02	HEAST	Mouse	Oral-gavage	Liver	HEAST
SVOCs							
Dichlorobenzene	B2	2.40E-02	HEAST (1)	Mouse	Oral-gavage	Liver	HEAST
Benzo(a)anthracene	B2	7.30E+00	(2)	Mouse	Oral-diet	Stomach	IRIS
Chrysene	B2	7.30E+00	(2)	Mouse	Oral-diet	Stomach	IRIS
PESTICIDES/PCBs							
DDD	B2	2.40E-01	IRIS	Mouse	Oral-diet	Liver	IRIS
DDE	B2	3.40E-01	IRIS	Mouse/hamster	Oral-diet	Liver	IRIS
DDT	B2	3.40E-01	IRIS	Mouse/rat	Oral-diet	Liver	IRIS
INORGANICS							
Arsenic	A	1.75E+00	IRIS (3)	Human	Oral-drinking water	Skin	IRIS
Beryllium	B2	4.30E+00	IRIS	Rat	Oral-drinking water	Total	IRIS
Cadmium	D	NE					
Lead	B2	NE					

Notes:
NE = Not Evaluated
Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS) on-line database search, current as of December 1993.
Health Effects Assessment Summary Tables (HEAST), current as of July 1993.
(1) The ingestion slope factor for 1,4-dichlorobenzene was used as a surrogate for the dichlorobenzenes.
(2) The ingestion slope factor for benzo(a)pyrene was used as a surrogate for all PAHs classified as A or B carcinogens and for which a chemical-specific slope factor was not available.
(3) The ingestion slope factor for arsenic has been calculated from the drinking water unit risk of 5.00E-05 per(ug/L).

Weight of Evidence (route-specific):
A = Human carcinogen
B = Probable human carcinogen (B1 = limited human evidence; B2 = sufficient human evidence)
C = Possible human carcinogen
D = Not classifiable as to human carcinogenicity

TABLE 6-19
ORAL DOSE/RESPONSE DATA FOR NONCARCINOGENIC EFFECTS
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

CHEMICAL	CHRONIC ORAL RfD (mg/kg-day)	SOURCE	SUBCHRONIC ORAL RfD (1) (mg/kg-day)	SOURCE	STUDY TYPE	CONFIDENCE LEVEL	CRITICAL EFFECT	TEST ANIMAL	CHRONIC RfD UNCERTAINTY FACTOR	STUDY SOURCE
VOCs										
1,1-Dichloroethane	1.00E-01	HEAST (2)	1.00E+00	HEAST	Inhalation	Low	No effects observed	Rat	1000 H,A,S	HEAST
1,2-Dichloroethane	3.00E-01	ECAO	ND							
1,2-Dichloroethylene (total)	9.00E-03	(3)	9.00E-03	(3)	Oral-drinking water	Medium	Liver lesions	Rat	1000 H,A,L	IRIS
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.00E-02	HEAST	1.00E-01	HEAST	Gavage		Decreased hematocrit and hemoglobin	Rat	3000	HEAST
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND		ND							
Chloroethane	2.00E-02	ECAO	ND							
Trichlorofluoromethane	3.00E-01	IRIS	7.00E-01	HEAST	Gavage	Medium	Increased mortality	Rat, Mouse	1000 H,A,L	IRIS
Benzene	ND		ND							
SVOCs										
Dichlorobenzenes	9.00E-02	(4)	9.00E-01	IRIS	Oral-gavage	Low	No adverse effects observed	Rat	1000 H,A,D	IRIS
Benzo(a)anthracene	4.00E-02	(5)	ND							
Chrysene	4.00E-02	(5)	ND							
Fluoranthene	4.00E-02	IRIS	4.00E-01	HEAST	Oral-gavage	Low	Increased liver weight, clinical effects	Mouse	3000 H,A,S,D	IRIS
Naphthalene	4.00E-02	(6)	ND	(6)	Gavage		Decreased body weight gain	Rat	1000	HEAST
Phenanthrene	4.00E-02	(5)	ND							
Pyrene	3.00E-02	IRIS	3.00E-01	HEAST	Oral-gavage	Low	Renal tubular pathology	Mouse	3000 H,A,S,D	IRIS
PESTICIDES/PCBs										
DDD	5.00E-04	(7)	5.00E-04	(7)						
DDE	5.00E-04	(7)	5.00E-04	(7)						
DDT	5.00E-04	IRIS	5.00E-04	HEAST	Oral-diet	Medium	Liver lesions	Rat	100 H,A	IRIS
INORGANICS										
Aluminum	ND		ND							
Antimony	4.00E-04	IRIS	4.00E-04	HEAST	Oral-drinking water	Low	Reduced lifespan	Rat	1000 H,A,L	IRIS
Arsenic	3.00E-04	IRIS	3.00E-04	HEAST	Oral-drinking water	Medium	Hyperpigmentation, keratosis	Human	3 D	IRIS
Barium	7.00E-02	IRIS	7.00E-02	HEAST	Oral-drinking water	Medium	Elevated blood pressure	Human	3 H	IRIS
Beryllium	5.00E-03	IRIS	5.00E-03	HEAST	Oral-drinking water	Low	No effects observed	Rat	100 H,A	IRIS
Cadmium (food)	1.00E-03	IRIS	ND		Oral-diet	High	Proteinuria	Human	10 H	IRIS
Cadmium (water)	5.00E-04	IRIS	ND		Oral-drinking water	High	Proteinuria	Human	10 H	IRIS
Calcium	ND		ND							
Chromium III	1.00E+00	IRIS	1.00E+00	HEAST	Oral-diet	Low	No effects observed	Rat	100 H,A	IRIS
Chromium VI	5.00E-03	IRIS	2.00E-02	HEAST	Oral-drinking water	Low	No effects observed	Rat	500 H,A,S	IRIS
Cobalt	ND		ND							
Copper	ND		ND							
Iron	ND		ND							
Lead	ND		ND							
Magnesium	ND		ND							

TABLE 6-19
ORAL DOSE/RESPONSE DATA FOR NONCARCINOGENIC EFFECTS
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

CHEMICAL	CHRONIC ORAL RfD (mg/kg-day)	SOURCE	SUBCHRONIC ORAL RfD (1) (mg/kg-day)	SOURCE	STUDY TYPE	CONFIDENCE LEVEL	CRITICAL EFFECT	TEST ANIMAL	CHRONIC RfD UNCERTAINTY FACTOR	STUDY SOURCE
Manganese (food)	1.40E-01	IRIS	1.40E-01	HEAST	Oral-diet	NA	No effects observed	Human	1	IRIS
Manganese (water)	5.00E-03	IRIS	5.00E-03	HEAST	Oral-drinking water	NA	CNS effects	Human	1	IRIS
Mercury	3.00E-04	HEAST (2,8)	3.00E-04	HEAST	Oral-gavage	Low	Kidney effects	Rat	1000 H,A,D	HEAST
Nickel	2.00E-02	IRIS (9)	2.00E-02	HEAST	Oral-diet	Medium	Decreased body, organ weights	Rat	300 H,A,D	IRIS
Potassium	ND		ND							
Selenium	5.00E-03	IRIS	5.00E-03	HEAST	Oral-diet	High	Selenosis	Human	3 H	IRIS
Sodium	ND		ND							
Thallium	7.00E-05	IRIS (10)	7.00E-04	HEAST	Oral-gavage	Low	No effects observed	Rat	3000 H,A,S,D	IRIS
Vanadium	7.00E-03	HEAST (2)	7.00E-03	HEAST	Oral-drinking water	Low	No effects observed	Rat	100 H,A	HEAST
Zinc	3.00E-01	IRIS	3.00E-01	HEAST	Oral-diet supplemen	Medium	Decrease in ESOD activity	Human	3 S	IRIS

Notes:
ND = No Data
NA = Not Applicable
Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS) on-line database search, current as of December 1993.
Health Effects Assessment Summary Tables (HEAST), current as of July 1993.
USEPA Environmental Criteria and Assessment Office (ECAO); Cincinatti, Ohio.
(1) The chronic RfD will be used as a surrogate for compounds without subchronic RfDs.
(2) This value is currently listed in HEAST, but has been withdrawn from IRIS and is under review.
(3) The values for 1,1-dichloroethylene have been used as surrogates for 1,2-dichloroethylene (total) based on analogy.
(4) The ingestion RfD for 1,2-dichlorobenzene has been used as a surrogate for the dichlorobenzenes.
(5) The RfD for naphthalene is used as a surrogate for PAHs without assigned RfDs.
(6) The values for naphthalene have been withdrawn from IRIS and HEAST and are currently under review.
(7) The RfD for DDT is used as a surrogate.
(8) This mercury value is specific for inorganic mercury.
(9) The ingestion RfD values for nickel are based on nickel, soluble salts.
(10) The ingestion RfD values for thallium are based on analogy to thallium sulfate, correcting for molecular weight differences.

Uncertainty factors:
H = Variation in human sensitivity
A = Animal to human extrapolation
S = Extrapolation from subchronic to chronic NOAEL
L = Extrapolation from LOAEL to NOAEL
D = Inadequate data

TABLE 6-20

INHALATION DOSE/RESPONSE DATA FOR CARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT

FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES

FORT DEVENS, MA

CHEMICAL	WEIGHT OF EVIDENCE	UNIT RISK $(ug/m^3)^{-1}$	TEST SPECIES	STUDY TYPE	TUMOR TYPE	SOURCE
1,1-Dichloroethane	C	ND				IRIS
1,2-Dichloroethane	B2	2.6E-05	Rat	Gavage	Hemangiosarcomas	IRIS
Benzene	A	8.3E-06	Human	Inhalation	Leukemia	IRIS

Notes:

ND = Not determined

ug = microgram

m^3 = cubic meter

Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS) on-line database search, current as of December 1993.

Weight of Evidence: A = Human carcinogen

B = Probable human carcinogen (B1 = limited evidence in humans; B2 = sufficient evidence of carcinogenicity in animals with inadequate or lack of evidence in humans)

C = Possible human carcinogen

TABLE 6-21
INHALATION DOSE/RESPONSE DATA FOR NONCARCINOGENIC EFFECTS
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

CHEMICAL	CHRONIC RfC (mg/m^3)	SOURCE	SUBCHRONIC RfC (mg/m^3)	SOURCE	STUDY TYPE	CONFIDENCE LEVEL	CRITICAL EFFECT	TEST ANIMAL	UNCERTAINTY FACTOR	STUDY SOURCE
VOCs										
1,1-Dichloroethane	5.00E-01	HEAST (1)	5.00E+00	HEAST	Inhalation	Low	Kidney damage	Cat	1000 H,A,S	HEAST
1,2-Dichloroethane	1.00E-02	ECAO (2)	ND							
1,2-Dichloroethylene (total)	ND		ND							
1,2-Dichloropropane	4.00E-03	IRIS	1.30E-02	HEAST	Inhalation	Medium	Hyperplasia of nasal mucosa	Rat	300 H,A,S,L	IRIS
Benzene	2.00E-04	ECAO (2)	ND							
Chloroethane	1.00E+01	IRIS	1.00E+01	HEAST	Inhalation	Medium	Delayed fetal ossification	Mouse	300 H,A,S,D	IRIS
Trichlorofluoromethane	7.00E-01	HEAST	7.00E+00	HEAST	Inhalation		Increased BUN, lung inflammation	Dog	10000 H,A,S,L	
SVOCs										
Dichlorobenzenes	2.00E-01	(3)(4)	2.00E+00	(3)(4)	Inhalation	Low	Liver, kidney effects	Rat	100 H,A	HEAST

Notes:
ND = No Data
NA = Not Applicable
Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS) on-line database search, current as of December 1993.
Health Effects Assessment Summary Tables (HEAST), current as of July 1993.
USEPA Environmental Criteria and Assessment Office (ECAO); Cincinatti, Ohio.
(1) This value is currently listed in HEAST, but has been withdrawn from IRIS and is under review.
(2) Inhalation RfC was calculated from the inhalation RfD, by multiplying by 70 kg body weight and dividing by 20 m^3/day inhalation rate.
(3) The inhalation RfC for 1,2-dichlorobenzene has been used as a surrogate for the dichlorobenzenes.
(4) This value has been recently withdrawn from HEAST and is currently under review.

Uncertainty factors:
H = Variation in human sensitivity
A = Animal to human extrapolation
S = Extrapolation from subchronic to chronic NOAEL
L = Extrapolation from LOAEL to NOAEL
D = Inadequate data

TABLE 6-22
DERMAL DOSE/RESPONSE DATA
FOR CARCINOGENIC EFFECTS
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

CHEMICAL	WEIGHT OF EVIDENCE	ORAL SLOPE FACTOR [a] [(mg/kg/day)$^{-1}$]	ABSORPTION EFFICIENCY	REFERENCE	ADJUSTED SLOPE FACTOR [(mg/kg/day)$^{-1}$]
VOCs					
1,2-Dichloroethane	B2	9.10E-02	100%	Reitz et al., 1980	9.10E-02
1,2-Dichloropropane	B2	6.80E-02	100%	ATSDR, 1989c	6.80E-02
Benzene	A	2.90E-02	NA	[b]	2.90E-02
SVOCs					
Benzo(a)Anthracene	B2	7.30E+00	91%	[c]	8.02E+00
Chrysene	B2	7.30E+00	91%	[c]	8.02E+00
Dichlorobenzenes	B2	2.40E-02	100%	ATSDR, 1989b	2.40E-02
Pesticides and PCBs					
DDD	B2	2.40E-01	20%	[d]	1.20E+00
DDE	B2	3.40E-01	20%	[d]	1.70E+00
DDT	B2	3.40E-01	20%	Siebert, 1976	1.70E+00
Inorganics					
Arsenic	A	1.75E+00	98%	Vahter, 1983	1.79E+00
Beryllium	B2	4.30E+00	1%	Owen, 1990	4.30E+02
Cadmium	ND	ND	NA		ND
Lead	B2	ND	NA		ND

Notes:
ND = Not Determined
NA = Not Applicable
[a] For documentation concerning oral slope factors, refer to Table 6-18.
[b] This cancer slope factor is based on absorbed dose. Therefore, no adjustment of this toxicity value is necessary.
[c] The oral absorption of this compound is assumed to be identical to that of benzo(a)pyrene (Hecht et al., 1979), based on structural analogy.
[d] The oral absorption of this compound is assumed to be identical to that of DDT (Siebert, 1976), based on structural analogy.

Weight of Evidence (route-specific):
A = Human carcinogen
B = Probable human carcinogen (B1 = limited human evidence; B2 = sufficient human evidence)

TABLE 6-23
DERMAL DOSE/RESPONSE DATA
FOR NONCARCINOGENIC EFFECTS
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

CHEMICAL	CHRONIC ORAL RfD [a] (mg/kg-day)	SUBCHRONIC ORAL RfD [a] (mg/kg-day)	ABSORPTION EFFICIENCY	REFERENCE	ADJUSTED CHRONIC RfD (mg/kg-day)	ADJUSTED SUBCHRONIC RfD (mg/kg-day)
VOCs						
1,1-Dichloroethane	1.00E-01	1.00E+00	100%	[b]	1.00E-01	1.00E+00
1,2-Dichloroethane	3.00E-01	ND	100%	Reitz et al., 1980	3.00E-01	ND
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.00E-02	1.00E-01	100%	[c]	1.00E-02	1.00E-01
trans-1,2-Dichlorethene	2.00E-02	2.00E-01	100%	[c]	2.00E-02	2.00E-01
1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	9.00E-03	9.00E-03	100%	[c]	9.00E-03	9.00E-03
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND	ND	NA		ND	ND
Benzene	ND	ND	NA		ND	ND
Trichlorofluoromethane	3.00E-01	7.00E-01	100%	[d]	3.00E-01	7.00E-01
Chloroethane	2.00E-02	ND	100%	[d]	2.00E-02	ND
SVOCs						
Dichlorobenzenes	9.00E-02	9.00E-01	100%	ATSDR, 1989b	9.00E-02	9.00E-01
Benzo(a)anthracene	4.00E-02	4.00E-02	100%	[e]	4.00E-02	4.00E-02
Chrysene	4.00E-02	4.00E-02	100%	[e]	4.00E-02	4.00E-02
Fluoranthene	4.00E-02	4.00E-01	91%	[f]	3.64E-02	3.64E-01
Naphthalene	4.00E-02	4.00E-02	100%	[e]	4.00E-02	4.00E-02
Phenanthrene	4.00E-02	4.00E-02	100%	[e]	4.00E-02	4.00E-02
Pyrene	3.00E-02	3.00E-01	91%	[f]	2.73E-02	2.73E-01
Pesticides/PCBs						
DDD	5.00E-04	5.00E-04	20%	[g]	1.00E-04	1.00E-04
DDE	5.00E-04	5.00E-04	20%	[g]	1.00E-04	1.00E-04
DDT	5.00E-04	5.00E-04	20%	Siebert, 1976	1.00E-04	1.00E-04
Inorganics						
Aluminum	ND	ND	NA		ND	ND
Antimony	4.00E-04	4.00E-04	1%	ATSDR, 1991a	4.00E-06	4.00E-06
Arsenic	3.00E-04	3.00E-04	98%	Vahter, 1983	2.94E-04	2.94E-04
Barium	7.00E-02	7.00E-02	7%	ATSDR, 1991b	4.90E-03	4.90E-03
Beryllium	5.00E-03	5.00E-03	1%	Owen, 1990	5.00E-05	5.00E-05
Calcium	ND	ND	NA		ND	ND

TABLE 6-23
DERMAL DOSE/RESPONSE DATA
FOR NONCARCINOGENIC EFFECTS
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

CHEMICAL	CHRONIC ORAL RfD [a] (mg/kg-day)	SUBCHRONIC ORAL RfD [a] (mg/kg-day)	ABSORPTION EFFICIENCY	REFERENCE	ADJUSTED CHRONIC RfD (mg/kg-day)	ADJUSTED SUBCHRONIC RfD (mg/kg-day)
Cadmium						
Dietary exposure	1.00E-03	ND	1%	McLellan et al., 1978	1.00E-05	ND
Drinking water exposure	5.00E-04	ND	7%	McLellan et al., 1978	3.50E-05	ND
Chromium						
Trivalent	1.00E+00	1.00E+00	10%	ATSDR, 1989a	1.00E-01	1.00E-01
Hexavalent	5.00E-03	2.00E-02	11%	Ogawa, 1976	5.50E-04	2.20E-03
Cobalt	ND	ND	NA		ND	ND
Copper	ND	ND	NA		ND	ND
Iron	ND	ND	NA		ND	ND
Lead	ND	ND	NA		ND	ND
Magnesium	ND	ND	NA		ND	ND
Manganese						
Dietary exposure	1.40E-01	1.40E-01	4%	ATSDR, 1991c	5.60E-03	5.60E-03
Drinking water exposure	5.00E-03	5.00E-03	4%	ATSDR, 1991c	2.00E-04	2.00E-04
Mercury	3.00E-04	3.00E-04	20%	Nielsen, 1992	6.00E-05	6.00E-05
Nickel	2.00E-02	2.00E-02	5%	Christenson & Lagesson, 1981	1.00E-03	1.00E-03
Potassium	ND	ND	NA		ND	ND
Selenium	5.00E-03	5.00E-03	60%	Owen, 1990	3.00E-03	3.00E-03
Sodium	ND	ND	NA		ND	ND
Thallium	7.00E-05	7.00E-04	100%	Lie et al., 1960	7.00E-05	7.00E-04
Vanadium	7.00E-03	7.00E-03	3%	ATSDR, 1991d	2.10E-04	2.10E-04
Zinc	3.00E-01	3.00E-01	34%	Sandstrom et al., 1987	1.02E-01	1.02E-01

Notes:
ND = No Data Available
NA = Not Applicable
[a] For documentation concerning chronic and subchronic oral RfDs, refer to Table 6-19.
[b] The oral absorption of 1,1-dichloroethane is assumed to be identical to that of 1,2-dichloroethane, based on structural analogy.
[c] The oral absorption of 1,2-dichloroethylene (cis-, trans-, and mixed isomers) is assumed to be identical to that of 1,1-dichloroethylene (Putcha et al., 1986), based on structural analogy.
[d] VOCs lacking specific absorption information are assumed to have an absorption efficiency of 100%, based on analogy with other VOCs.
[e] The oral absorption of PAHs lacking chemical-specific RfDs is assumed to be identical to that of naphthalene (Chang, 1943).
[f] The oral absorption of PAHs with chemical-specific RfDs is assumed to be identical to that of benzo(a)pyrene (Hecht et al., 1979), based on structural analogy.
[g] The oral absorption of DDE is assumed to be identical to that of DDT (Siebert, 1976), based on structural analogy.

TABLE 6-24
DEFAULT ABSORPTION FRACTIONS

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

EXPOSURE PATHWAY	COMPOUND	DEFAULT ABSORPTION FRACTIONS		
		DERMAL	INGESTION	INHALATION
Direct Contact with Sediment[1]	VOCs	50%	100%	NA
	SVOCs			
	PAHs	5%	100%	NA
	PCBs	5%	30%	NA
	Pesticides			
	-high sorption to soils (e.g., chlordane, DDD, DDT)	5%	30%	NA
	-low sorption to soils (e.g., lindane, acrolein)	50%	100%	NA
	Inorganics	1%	100% (except lead)	NA
	Lead	1%	30% adults	NA
			50% children (age 1-6)	NA
Ingestion of Groundwater[1]	All Compounds	NA	100%	NA
Ingestion of Fish	All Compounds	NA	100%	NA
Inhalation of VOCs in Shower	All VOCs	NA	NA	100%

Notes:

NA = Not Applicable

[1] Default USEPA Region I Absorption Fractions (USEPA, 1989c)

TABLE 6-25
SUMMARY OF CANCER RISK ESTIMATES
CURRENT LAND USE
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

	ADULT		ADOLESCENT		CHILD		
EXPOSURE SCENARIO	AVERAGE EPC	MAXIMUM EPC	AVERAGE EPC	MAXIMUM EPC	AVERAGE EPC	MAXIMUM EPC	RISK CCONTRIBUTIONS[1] (BY CHEMICAL)
Ingestion of Bluegills							
Landfill-related COPCs	9.0E-05	4.0E-04	NA	NA	2.0E-05	8.0E-05	Arsenic (99 %)[2]
Total Risk- All COPCs	9.0E-05	4.0E-04	NA	NA	2.0E-05	8.0E-05	
Ingestion of Fillets (bullhead and bass)							
Landfill-related COPCs	1.0E-05	4.0E-05	NA	NA	3.0E-06	9.0E-06	Arsenic (96 %)[2]
Total Risk- All COPCs	1.0E-05	4.0E-05	NA	NA	3.0E-06	1.0E-05	
Sediment Contact							
Landfill-related COPCs	NA	NA	2.0E-05	2.0E-04	NA	NA	Arsenic (100 %)
Total Risk- All COPCs	NA	NA	2.0E-05	2.0E-04	NA	NA	
Total Risk[3]							
Landfill-related COPCs	3E-05	2E-04	NA	NA	3E-06	9E-06	
All COPCs	3E-05	2E-04			3E-06	1E-05	

Notes:

[1]Risk contributions are identified for the receptor showing the greatest risk.

[2]An additional COPC, 4,4'-DDE, presents risks above the USEPA point of departure of $1x10^{-6}$ (at $2x10^{-6}$); this COPC is not thought to be landfill-related and represents 0.4% of risk in bluegills and 4% of the total risk in the fillets.

[3] For an adult, includes ingestion of fillets and sediment contact as an adolescent. For a child, includes ingestion of fillets only.

EPC = Exposure Point Concentration

NA= Not Applicable

TABLE 6-26
SUMMARY OF NONCANCER RISK ESTIMATES
CURRENT LAND USE
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

EXPOSURE SCENARIO	ADULT AVERAGE EPC	ADULT MAXIMUM EPC	ADOLESCENT AVERAGE EPC	ADOLESCENT MAXIMUM EPC	CHILD AVERAGE EPC	CHILD MAXIMUM EPC	RISK CONTRIBUTIONS[1,2] (BY CHEMICAL)
Ingestion of Bluegills							
Landfill-related COPCs	0.6	2	NA	NA	0.8	3	Arsenic (0.5,2; skin)[3]
Total Risk- All COPCs	1	3	NA	NA	2	4	
Ingestion of Fillets (bullhead and bass)							
Landfill-related COPCs	0.06	0.2	NA	NA	0.08	0.2	Mercury (2,7; kidney)[4]
Total Risk- All COPCs	1	5	NA	NA	2	7	
Sediment Contact							
Landfill-related COPCs	NA	NA	0.3	3	NA	NA	Arsenic (0.3,2; skin)[5]
Total Risk- All COPCs	NA	NA	0.4	3	NA	NA	
Total Risk[6]							
Landfill-related COPCs	0.06	0.2	0.3	3	0.08	0.2	
All COPCs	1	5	0.4	3	2	7	

Notes:

[1]Hazard quotients for individual chemicals shown in parentheses, at average and maximum EPCs, respectively, for receptor showing greatest risk. Toxicity endpoint of dose/response value shown in parentheses also.

[2]Hazard indices for mixtures have been rounded to one significant figure while a hazard quotient for an individual chemical may have more than one significant figure.

[3]The hazard quotients for three other COPCs were 0.1 or greater: manganese (0.2 and 0.3), mercury (0.6 and 0.9), and thallium (0.4 and 0.7). Only arsenic and manganese are thought to be landfill-related.

[4]The hazard quotient for one other COPC was 0.1 or greater: arsenic (0.08 and 0.3). Mercury is not thought to be landfill-related.

[5]The hazard quotients for three other COPCs were 0.1 or greater: manganese (0.3 and 0.4), cadmium (0.2), mercury (0.1). Only manganese is thought to be landfill-related.

[6] For an adult and child, includes ingestion of fillets only. For an adolescent, includes sediment contact only.

EPC = Exposure Point Concentration

NA = Not Applicable

TABLE 6-27
SUMMARY OF CANCER RISK ESTIMATES
FUTURE LAND USE
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

EXPOSURE SCENARIO	ADULT		ADOLESCENT		CHILD		RISK CONTRIBUTIONS[1] (BY CHEMICAL)
	AVERAGE EPC	MAXIMUM EPC	AVERAGE EPC	MAXIMUM EPC	AVERAGE EPC	MAXIMUM EPC	
Ingestion of Bluegills							
Landfill-related COPCs	9E-05	4E-04	NA	NA	2E-05	8E-05	Arsenic (99 %)[2]
Total Risk- All COPCs	9E-05	4E-04	NA	NA	2E-05	8E-05	
Ingestion of Fillets (bullhead and bass)							
Landfill-related COPCs	1E-05	4E-05	NA	NA	3E-06	9E-06	Arsenic (96 %)[2]
Total Risk- All COPCs	1E-05	4E-05	NA	NA	3E-06	1E-05	
Sediment Contact							
Landfill-related COPCs	NA	NA	9E-05	6E-04	NA	NA	Arsenic (96 %)[3]
Total Risk- All COPCs	NA	NA	9E-05	6E-04	NA	NA	
Residential Groundwater Use (Well Group 1)							
Unfiltered	4E-04	2E-03	NA	NA	8E-05	3E-04	Arsenic (99 %)[4]
Filtered	3E-04	1E-03	NA	NA	5E-05	2E-04	Arsenic (100 %)
Total Risk[5]							
Unfiltered	5E-04	3E-03	NA	NA	8E-05	3E-04	
Filtered	4E-04	2E-03	NA	NA	5E-05	2E-04	

Notes:

[1] Risk contributions were identified for the receptor showing the greatest risk, and were calculated before rounding off risk estimates for individual chemicals and for the summary risk estimate.

[2] An additional COPC, 4,4'-DDE, presents risks above the USEPA point of departure of $1x10^{-6}$ (at $2x10^{-6}$); this COPC is not thought to be landfill-related and represents 0.4% of the total risk in bluegills and 4% of the total risk in the fillets.

[3] At maximum EPC, three additional COPCs presented cancer risks at or above the USEPA point of departure of $1x10^{-6}$: benzo(a)anthracene ($2x10^{-6}$), chrysene ($2x10^{-6}$), and beryllium ($2x10^{-5}$); these COPCs are not thought to be landfill-related and represent approximately 4% of the total risk.

[4] Two additional COPCs, 1,2-dichloroethane ($6x10^{-6}$) and dichlorobenzenes ($3x10^{-6}$), presents cancer risk above the USEPA point of departure of $1x10^{-6}$, but account for less than 1% of the total risk.

[5] Total risk is calculated for adults who consume COPCs in fillets, contact sediment as adolescents, and use the groundwater from Well Group 1 for ingestion and other domestic purposes.
Total risk is calculated for children who consume fillets and use the groundwater from Well Group 1 for ingestion and other domestic purposes.

EPC = Exposure Point Concentration

NA = Not Applicable

TABLE 6-28
SUMMARY OF NONCANCER RISK ESTIMATES
FUTURE LAND USE
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

EXPOSURE SCENARIO	ADULT AVERAGE EPC	ADULT MAXIMUM EPC	ADOLESCENT AVERAGE EPC	ADOLESCENT MAXIMUM EPC	CHILD AVERAGE EPC	CHILD MAXIMUM EPC	RISK CONTRIBUTIONS[1] (BY CHEMICAL)
Ingestion of Bluegills							
Landfill-related COPCs	0.6	2	NA	NA	0.8	3	
Total Risk- All COPCs	1	3	NA	NA	2	4	Arsenic (0.5,2; skin)[2]
Ingestion of Fillets (bullhead and bass)							
Landfill-related COPCs	0.06	0.2	NA	NA	0.08	0.2	
Total Risk- All COPCs	1	5	NA	NA	2	7	Mercury (2,7; kidney)[3]
Sediment Contact							
Landfill-related COPCs	NA	NA	1	10	NA	NA	
Total Risk- All COPCs	NA	NA	2	10	NA	NA	Arsenic (1,8), Manganese (0.06,1; CNS effects)[4]
Residential Groundwater Use (Well Group 1)							
Unfiltered	5	20	NA	NA	5	20	Arsenic (2, 8), Manganese (3, 16)[5]
Filtered	4	20	NA	NA	4	20	Arsenic (1,6), Manganese (3,16)
Total Risk[6]							
Landfill-related COPCs:							
Unfiltered	5	20	NA	NA	5	20	
Filtered	4	20	NA	NA	4	20	
All COPCs:							
Unfiltered	6	25	NA	NA	7	27	
Filtered	5	25	NA	NA	6	27	

Notes:

[1]Hazard quotients for individual chemicals shown in parentheses, at average and maximum EPCs, respectively, for receptor showing greatest risk. Toxicity endpoint of dose/response value also shown in parentheses. Hazard indices for mixtures have been rounded to one significant figure while a hazard quotient for an individual chemical may have more than one significant figure.

[2]The hazard quotients for three other COPCs were 0.1 or greater: manganese (0.2 and 0.3), mercury (0.6 and 0.9), and thallium (0.4 and 0.7). Only arsenic and manganese are thought to be landfill-related.

[3]The hazard quotient for one other COPC was 0.1 or greater: arsenic (0.08 and 0.3). Mercury is not thought to be landfill-related.

[4]At maximum concentrations, the hazard quotients for four other COPCs were 0.1 or greater: cadmium (0.7), chromium VI (0.3), mercury (0.5), and vanadium (0.1); these COPCs are not thought to be landfill-related and each hazard quotient is less than the USEPA point of departure of 1.0.

[5]At maximum concentrations, the hazard quotients for three other COPCs were 0.1 or greater: benzene (0.4), vanadium (0.1) and antimony (0.1).

[6]Total risk is calculated for adults and children who consume COPCs in fillets and use the groundwater from Well Group 1 for domestic purposes.

EPC = Exposure Point Concentration

NA = Not Applicable

TABLE 6–29
SUMMARY OF RISKS ASSOCIATED WITH WELL GROUPS 3 and 4
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

RESIDENTIAL GROUNDWATER USE	ADULT				CHILD				RISK CONTRIBUTION (BY CHEMICAL)
	AVERAGE EPC		MAXIMUM EPC		AVERAGE EPC		MAXIMUM EPC		
	CANCER RISK	HI	CANCER RISK	HI	CANCER RISK	HI	CANCER RISK	HI	
Well Group 3									
Unfiltered	3E – 5	1	7E – 5	2	6E – 6	1	1E – 5	3	Arsenic (100%)
Filtered	NA[1]	NA	ND	NC	NA	NA	ND	NC	Manganese (1, 3)[1]
Well Group 4									
Unfiltered	NA	NA	1E – 4	2	NA	NA	2E – 5	3	Arsenic (100%)
Filtered	NA	NA	ND	3	NA	NA	ND	3	Manganese (3)

Notes:

[1] The hazard quotient for one other COPC, arsenic, was 0.1 or greater (at 0.2 and 0.3).

NA = Not applicable.

NC = Not calculated because of a lack of dose/response data.

ND = No carcinogenic compounds detected.

TABLE 6-30

COMPARISON OF FISH EXPOSURE POINT CONCENTRATIONS

TO FDA ACTION LEVELS

PLOW SHOP POND

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT

FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES

FORT DEVENS, MA

			WHOLE FISH		FILLET	
ANALYTE	FDA ACTION LEVEL		(CONCENTRATIONS IN mg/kg) AVERAGE CONCENTRATION	MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION	(CONCENTRATIONS IN mg/kg) AVERAGE CONCENTRATION	MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION
DDE	5	(1)	0.013	0.029	0.0097	0.031
Mercury	1	(2)	0.37	0.54	1.14	4.0

Notes:

COPCs not listed do not have FDA Action Levels

EPCs exceeding action levels are highlighted

ND = Not Detected

(1) In edible portion

(2) Methyl mercury in edible portion

TABLE 6-31

COMPARISON OF GROUNDWATER CONCENTRATIONS TO ARARs

SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL - WELL GROUP 1

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT

FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES

FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	FREQUENCY OF DETECTION	MAXIMUM DETECTED CONCENTRATION (ug/L)	ARITHMETIC AVERAGE CONCENTRATION (ug/L)	FEDERAL MCLs (ug/L)	MASS. MCLs (ug/L)	MASS. GUIDELINES (ug/L)	MASS. GROUNDWATER STANDARDS (ug/L)
UNFILTERED SAMPLES							
VOCs							
1,1-Dichloroethane	4/14	4.4	0.86	ND	ND	70	5
1,2-Dichloroethane	5/14	9.9	0.97	5	5	ND	5
1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	6/14	7	1.4	70 (1)	70	ND	70 (1)
1,2-Dichloropropane	1/14	0.52	0.27	5	5	ND	1
Benzene	3/14	1.7	0.51	5	5	ND	5
Chloroethane	1/14	5.5	1.3	ND	ND	ND	ND
SVOCs							
Dichlorobenzenes	1/14	11	5.4	75 (7)	5 (7)	ND	5 (7)
Inorganics							
Aluminum	13/14	75500	4259	50-200(2)	50-200(6)	ND	ND
Antimony	2/14	3.3	1.7	6	ND	6	ND
Arsenic	12/14	390	101	50	50	ND	50
Barium	13/14	350	47.6	2000	2000	ND	1000
Calcium	14/14	219000	54280	ND	ND	ND	ND
Chromium	5/14	115	9	100	100	ND	50

TABLE 6-31

COMPARISON OF GROUNDWATER CONCENTRATIONS TO ARARs

SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL - WELL GROUP 1

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT

FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES

FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	FREQUENCY OF DETECTION	MAXIMUM DETECTED CONCENTRATION (ug/L)	ARITHMETIC AVERAGE CONCENTRATION (ug/L)	FEDERAL MCLs (ug/L)	MASS. MCLs (ug/L)	MASS. GUIDELINES (ug/L)	MASS. GROUNDWATER STANDARDS (ug/L)
Cobalt	1/14	54.6	14	ND	ND	ND	ND
Copper	4/14	92.2	8.6	1300 (3)	1300	ND	1000
Iron	14/14	97400	17608	300 (2)	300 (6)	ND	300
Lead	10/14	66.8	5.2	15 (3)	15	ND	50
Magnesium	14/14	24000	7603	ND	ND	ND	ND
Manganese	14/14	9650	2045	200/50(4/2)	50 (6)	ND	50
Nickel	1/14	177	22.9	100	ND	100	ND
Potassium	13/14	31800	7119	ND	ND	ND	ND
Sodium	14/14	67300	20749	20000 (5)	ND	28000	20000
Vanadium	3/14	79.1	9.4	ND	ND	ND	ND
Zinc	3/14	220	29.4	11000/5000(5/2)	5000 (6)	ND	5000
FILTERED SAMPLES							
Aluminum	1/10	236	NA	50-200(2)	50-200(6)	ND	ND
Antimony	1/10	3.12	2	6	ND	6	ND
Arsenic	6/10	270	71	50	50	ND	50
Barium	10/10	117	30	2000	2000	ND	1000

TABLE 6-31

COMPARISON OF GROUNDWATER CONCENTRATIONS TO ARARs

SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL - WELL GROUP 1

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT

FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES

FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	FREQUENCY OF DETECTION	MAXIMUM DETECTED CONCENTRATION (ug/L)	ARITHMETIC AVERAGE CONCENTRATION (ug/L)	FEDERAL MCLs (ug/L)	MASS. MCLs (ug/L)	MASS. GUIDELINES (ug/L)	MASS. GROUNDWATER STANDARDS (ug/L)
Calcium	10/10	175000	37402	ND	ND	ND	ND
Iron	7/10	91600	14427	300 (2)	300 (6)	ND	300
Lead	2/10	1.52	NA	15 (3)	15	ND	50
Magnesium	9/10	19900	4679	ND	ND	ND	ND
Manganese	10/10	9540	1812	200/50(4/2)	50 (6)	ND	50
Potassium	9/10	10600	4127	ND	ND	ND	ND
Sodium	10/10	64600	16934	20000 (5)	ND	28000	20000
Zinc	1/10	25.5	11	11000/5000(5/2)	5000 (6)	ND	5000

Notes:

Shaded line denotes either average or maximum (or both) concentration(s) of analyte exceeds at least one of the ARARs

NA = Not applicable ND = No value available

(1) Value for cis- isomer (lower value of the two isomers)

(2) Secondary maximum contaminant level (SMCL)

(3) Treatment technique

(4) Maximum contaminant level goal (MCLG)

(5) USEPA drinking water equvalency level (DWEL) - A lifetime exposure concentration protective of adverse, noncancer health effects that assumes all exposure is from a drinking water source

(6) Massachusetts SMCL

(7) Value for the p-isomer (lowest of the three isomers).

References:

USEPA, 1993. "Drinking Water Regulations and Health Advisories." Office of Water, Washington, D.C.; May, 1993.

MADEP, 1993. "Drinking Water Standards & Guidelines for Chemicals in Massachusetts Drinking Waters." Office of Research and Standards; Boston, MA; Spring, 1993.

MADEP, 1989. "Guidance for Disposal Site Risk Characterization and Related Phase II Activities - In Support of the Massachusetts Contingency Plan." Appendix C. Office of Research and Standards; Boston, MA; July, 1991 update.

Table 6-32

COMPARISON OF GROUNDWATER CONCENTRATIONS TO ARARs

SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL - WELL GROUP 3

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT

FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES

FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	FREQUENCY OF DETECTION	MAXIMUM DETECTED CONCENTRATION (ug/L)	ARITHMETIC AVERAGE CONCENTRATION (ug/L)	FEDERAL MCLs (ug/L)	MASS. MCLs (ug/L)	MASS. GUIDELINES (ug/L)	MASS. GROUNDWATER STANDARDS (ug/L)
UNFILTERED SAMPLES							
Aluminum	2/4	4030	1800	50-200(1)	50-200(5)	ND	ND
Arsenic	2/4	17	8.4	50	50	ND	50
Barium	4/4	28	14	2000	2000	ND	1000
Calcium	4/4	15400	1100	ND	ND	ND	ND
Chromium	2/4	7.38	5.1	100	100	ND	50
Iron	4/4	5350	2500	300 (1)	300 (5)	ND	300
Lead	2/4	7.38	3.4	15 (2)	15	ND	50
Magnesium	4/4	2850	1900	ND	ND	ND	ND
Manganese	4/4	1590	680	200/50(3/1)	50 (5)	ND	50
Potassium	4/4	2080	1900	ND	ND	ND	ND
Sodium	4/4	17300	7600	20000 (4)	ND	28000	20000
FILTERED SAMPLES							
Barium	1/1	8.71	NA	2000	2000	ND	1000
Calcium	1/1	11000	NA	ND	ND	ND	ND
Magnesium	1/1	1840	NA	ND	ND	ND	ND
Manganese	1/1	114	NA	200/50(3/1)	50 (5)	ND	50
Potassium	1/1	829	NA	ND	ND	ND	ND
Sodium	1/1	16400	NA	20000 (4)	ND	28000	20000

Notes:

Shaded line denotes either average or maximum (or both) concentration(s) of analyte exceeds at least one of the ARARs

NA = Not applicable ND = No value available

(1) Secondary maximum contaminant level (SMCL)

(2) Treatment technique

(3) Maximum contaminant level goal (MCLG)

(4) USEPA drinking water equivalency level (DWEL) - A lifetime exposure concentration protective of adverse, noncancer health effects that assumes all exposure is from a drinking water source

(5) Massachusetts SMCL

References:

USEPA, 1993. "Drinking Water Regulations and Health Advisories." Office of Water, Washington, D.C.; May, 1993.

MADEP, 1993. "Drinking Water Standards & Guidelines for Chemicals in Massachusetts Drinking Waters." Office of Research and Standards; Boston, MA; Spring, 1993.

MADEP, 1989. "Guideance for Disposal Site Risk Characterization and Related Phase II Activities - In Support of the Massachusetts Contingency Plan." Appendix C. Office of Research and Standards; Boston, MA; July, 1991 update.

TABLE 6–33
COMPARISON OF GROUNDWATER CONCENTRATIONS TO ARARs
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL – WELL GROUP 4

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	FREQUENCY OF DETECTION	MAXIMUM DETECTED CONCENTRATION (ug/L)	ARITHMETIC AVERAGE CONCENTRATION (ug/L)	FEDERAL MCLs (ug/L)	MASS. MCLs (ug/L)	MASS. GUIDELINES (ug/L)	MASS. GROUNDWATER STANDARDS (ug/L)
UNFILTERED SAMPLES							
Volatile Organics							
Trichlorofluoromethane	1/1	2.1	NA	ND	ND	ND	ND
Inorganics							
Aluminum	1/1	1330	NA	50–200(1)	50–200 (5)	ND	ND
Arsenic	1/1	24	NA	50	50	ND	50
Barium	1/1	39.4	NA	2000	2000	ND	1000
Calcium	1/1	15600	NA	ND	ND	ND	ND
Iron	1/1	1840	NA	300 (1)	300 (5)	ND	300
Lead	1/1	3.69	NA	15 (2)	15	ND	50
Magnesium	1/1	1900	NA	ND	ND	ND	ND
Manganese	1/1	1430	NA	200/50(3/1)	50 (5)	ND	50
Potassium	1/1	3260	NA	ND	ND	ND	ND
Sodium	1/1	7370	NA	20000 (4)	ND	28000	20000
Zinc	1/1	35.8	NA	11000/5000(4/1)	5000 (5)	ND	5000
FILTERED SAMPLES							
Barium	1/1	26.2	NA	2000	2000	ND	1000
Calcium	1/1	16900	NA	ND	ND	ND	ND
Chromium	1/1	6.95	NA	100	100	ND	50
Iron	1/1	42.5	NA	300 (1)	300 (5)	ND	300
Lead	1/1	1.63	NA	15 (2)	15	ND	50
Magnesium	1/1	1860	NA	ND	ND	ND	ND
Manganese	1/1	1850	NA	200/50(3/1)	50 (5)	ND	50
Potassium	1/1	1870	NA	ND	ND	ND	ND
Sodium	1/1	7630	NA	20000 (4)	ND	28000	20000
Zinc	1/1	28.8	NA	11000/5000(4/1)	5000 (5)	ND	5000

Notes:

Shaded line denotes either average or maximum (or both) concentration(s) of analyte exceeds at least one of the ARARs

NA = Not applicable ND = No value available

(1) Secondary maximum contaminant level (SMCL)

(2) Treatment technique

(3) Maximum contaminant level goal (MCLG)

(4) USEPA drinking water equivalency level (DWEL) – A lifetime exposure concentration protective of adverse, noncancer health effects that assumes all exposure is from a drinking water source

(5) Massachusetts SMCL

References:

USEPA, 1993. "Drinking Water Regulations and Health Advisories." Office of Water, Washington, D.C.; May, 1993.

MADEP, 1993. "Drinking Water Standards & Guidelines for Chemicals in Massachusetts Drinking Waters." Office of Research and Standards; Boston, MA; Spring, 1993.

MADEP, 1989. "Guideance for Disposal Site Risk Characterization and Related Phase II Activities – In Support of the Massachusetts Contingency Plan." Appendix C. Office of Research and Standards; Boston, MA; July, 1991 update.

TABLE 6–34
SUMMARY OF RI HUMAN HEALTH RISK ASSESSMENT
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL[1]

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

POTENTIAL EXPOSURE PATHWAY		ESTIMATED EXCESS CANCER RISK			HAZARD INDICES			PRIMARY CONTRIBUTORS TO RISK[2]
		ADULT	ADOLESCENT	CHILD	ADULT	ADOLESCENT	CHILD	
Current Land Use								
Fish Ingestion	Average:	1.8E – 5	--	1.3E – 5	0.07	--	0.3	Arsenic (63%), DDD (28%)
	RME:	4.9E – 5	--	3.5E – 5	0.2	--	0.9	DDE (9%)
Sediment Contact	Average:	--	5.9E – 7	--	--	(0.005)	--	Arsenic (53%), PAHs (47%)
	RME:	--	2.5E – 6	--	--	(0.02)	--	
Assumed Future Residential Site Use								
Residential Groundwater Use	Average:	1.4E – 3	--	2.5E – 4	6.9	--	7.6	Arsenic (99%), Beryllium (1%)
	RME:	5.6E – 3	--	1.0E – 3	27	--	30	Manganese (2)
Soil Contact	Average:	1.4E – 5	--	1.9E – 5	0.0006	--	0.004	PAHs (>99%)
	RME:	2.8E – 5	--	4.1E – 5	0.001	--	0.007	
Fish Ingestion	Average:	1.8E – 4	--	1.3E – 4	0.7	--	2.9	Arsenic (63%), DDD (28%)
	RME:	4.9E – 4	--	3.5E – 4	2.1	--	9.3	DDE (9%), Zinc (3.4)
Sediment Contact	Average:	--	1.2E – 5	--	--	(0.11)	--	Arsenic (57%), PAHs (43%)
	RME:	--	4.9E – 5	--	--	(0.37)	--	
Total Risk	Average:	1.6E – 3	1.2E – 5	4.0E – 4	7.6	(0.11)	10	
	RME:	6.1E – 3	4.9E – 5	1.4E – 3	29	(0.37)	39	

Notes:

[1] Excerpted from Tables 8–61 through 8–64 of the RI Risk Assessment, April 1993.

[2] In parentheses is the percentage that a carcinogen contributes to the total cancer risk or, for a noncarcinogen, the hazard index.

TABLE 6-35

COMPARISON OF AVERAGE AND MAXIMUM SURFACE WATER CONCENTRATIONS

TO DRINKING WATER STANDARDS

COLD SPRING BROOK POND

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT

FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES

FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	AVERAGE CONCENTRATION (ug/L)	MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION (ug/L)	DRINKING WATER STANDARDS (ug/L)	
Inorganics:				
Arsenic	7.7	17.7	50	(1)
Barium	10.7	13.4	2000	(1)
Chromium	2.7	4.76	100	(1)
Copper	4.4	6.75	1300	(2)
Iron	1560	3200	NA	(3)
Manganese	151	400	200	(2)
Silver	0.2	0.708	200	(4)
Zinc	21.8	86.3	11,000	(4)

Notes:

(1) Federal Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL)

(2) Federal Maximum Contaminant Level Goal (MCLG)

(3) NA = No health-based standard is available

(4) USEPA Health Advisory based on lifetime exposure

TABLE 6-36
SUMMARY OF GROUNDWATER SAMPLING RESULTS[1]
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL - DOWNGRADIENT WELLS

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	FREQUENCY OF DETECTION	MAXIMUM DETECTED CONCENTRATION (ug/L)	ARITHMETIC MEAN (ug/L)	COPC (Y/N)
UNFILTERED SAMPLES [2]				
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	2 / 4	14	4	Y
Aluminum	3 / 4	20500	3948.3	Y
Arsenic	2 / 4	40	14	Y
Barium	4 / 4	112	41.1	Y
Calcium	4 / 4	164000	69283.7	Y
Chromium	1 / 4	30.8	8	Y
Copper	2 / 4	31	11.4	Y
Iron	4 / 4	25400	9593.6	Y
Lead	3 / 4	13.4	4.1	Y
Magnesium	4 / 4	28900	12293.8	Y
Manganese	4 / 4	5700	2504.3	Y
Nickel	1 / 4	49	21.1	Y
Potassium	4 / 4	8540	5554.4	Y
Sodium	4 / 4	42900	18081.3	Y
Vanadium	1 / 4	26.3	8.1	Y
Zinc	1 / 4	60.1	19.5	Y
FILTERED SAMPLES [3]				
Arsenic	1 / 3	19.8	4.9	Y
Barium	3 / 3	36.8 BB	NA	N
Calcium	3 / 3	148000	64473.3	Y
Iron	2 / 3	14600	3156.8	Y
Magnesium	3 / 3	25000	11471.7	Y
Manganese	3 / 3	6120	2983	Y
Potassium	3 / 3	17000	5930.8	Y
Sodium	3 / 3	18600	13195	Y
Vanadium	1 / 3	11.9	7.6	Y

Notes:
ug/L = Micrograms per liter
BB = Less than background concentration
NA = Not applicable
[1] Includes Round 1 (March 1993) and Round 2 (June 1993) data; wells CSM-93-01A, CSM-92-02A, and CSM-93-02B were sampled in both rounds. Well CSB-2 was sampled in Round 1 only.
[2] Unfiltered samples from monitoring wells CSB-2, CSM-93-01A, CSM-93-02A, CSM-93-02B
[3] Filtered samples from monitoring wells CSB-2, CSM-93-01A, CSM-93-02A

TABLE 6-37
SUMMARY STATISTICS FOR FISH TISSUE ANALYTE CONCENTRATIONS[1]
PUMPKINSEEDS (WHOLE FISH)
COLD SPRING BROOK POND

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	FREQUENCY OF DETECTION	MINIMUM CONCENTRATION	MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION	AVERAGE CONCENTRATION
Pesticides/PCBs (ug/kg)				
DDE	3/3	22	170	83.33
DDD	3/3	19	230	115.33
Inorganics (mg/kg)				
Arsenic	1/3	0.27	0.27	0.15
Barium	3/3	0.24	0.64	0.473
Calcium	3/3	8510	15500	13136
Chromium	3/3	0.23	0.33	0.297
Cobalt	2/3	0.11	0.2	0.12
Copper	3/3	0.37	0.41	0.39
Iron	3/3	24.6	41.5	32.93
Magnesium	3/3	325	464	416.67
Manganese	3/3	7.6	12	10
Mercury	3/3	0.08	0.24	0.157
Selenium	2/3	0.24	0.45	0.247
Sodium	3/3	1060	1280	1173.33
Zinc	3/3	17.2	24.1	21

Notes:
[1] Table includes detected analytes only

TABLE 6–38
SUMMARY STATISTICS FOR FISH TISSUE ANALYTE CONCENTRATIONS[1]
BULLHEADS AND PICKERELS (FILLETS)
COLD SPRING BROOK POND

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A Sites
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	FREQUENCY OF DETECTION	MINIMUM CONCENTRATION	MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION	AVERAGE CONCENTRATION
Pesticides (ug/kg)				
DDE	2/6	12	21	11.942
DDD	2/6	33	50	19.275
Inorganics (mg/kg)				
Arsenic	5/6	0.14	0.32	0.2
Calcium	6/6	93.3	505	217.383
Copper	6/6	0.18	0.35	0.295
Iron	6/6	1.83	9.1	6.105
Magnesium	6/6	229	329	269.167
Manganese	2/6	0.58	1	0.367
Mercury	6/6	0.15	0.46	0.305
Selenium	6/6	0.14	0.24	0.183
Sodium	6/6	343	455	385
Zinc	6/6	3.6	6.5	5.45

Note:
[1] Table includes detected analytes only.

TABLE 6-39
SUMMARY STATISTICS FOR SHALLOW SEDIMENT[1]
COLD SPRING BROOK POND

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	CONCENTRATION AVERAGE (ug/g)	CONCENTRATION MAXIMUM (ug/g)	FREQUENCY OF DETECTION	COPC (Y/N)
Organics				
acetone	0.02	0.17	8/9	N
methylene chloride	0.01	0.061	9/9	N
2-butanone	0.003	0.025	1/9	N
acenapthene	0.18	0.87	1/25	Y
acenapthylene	0.26	3	1/25	Y
anthracene	0.27	3	1/25	Y
benzo(a)anthracene	0.51	4	2/25	Y
benzo(a)pyrene	1.1	6	2/25	Y
benzo(b)fluoranthene	0.64	5	2/25	Y
benzo(g,h,i)perylene	0.48	1	1/25	Y
benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.9	10	2/25	Y
bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	1.4	2	1/25	Y
chrysene	0.63	8	2/25	Y
dibenzofuran	0.15	0.61	2/25	Y
fluoranthene	1.6	10	11/25	Y
fluorene	0.16	0.2	1/25	Y
indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	0.56	2	1/25	Y
naphthalene	0.14	0.25	1/25	Y
phenanthrene	0.77	6	3/25	Y
pyrene	2.2	20	5/25	Y
DDD	0.5	6.2	16/25	Y
DDE	0.09	0.72	14/25	Y
DDT	0.64	15	6/25	Y
Inorganics				
aluminum	6,108	17,000	25/25	Y
arsenic	78	390	25/25	Y
barium	36.8	115	24/25	Y
beryllium	0.19	0.41	2/25	Y
calcium	8,582	41,600	20/25	Y
cobalt	3.38	19.6	8/25	Y
chromium	15.1	64.8	15/25	Y
copper	8.5	42.9	16/25	Y
iron	15,232	45,000	25/25	Y
potassium	758	3,580	22/25	Y
lead	69.5	570	25/25	Y
magnesium	2,246	7,160	25/25	Y
manganese	634	3,000	25/25	Y
mercury	0.077	0.72	7/25	Y
nickel	10.8	54.3	16/25	Y
selenium	1.96	5.77	5/25	Y
silver	0.65	6.35	4/25	Y
sodium	452	1,860	20/25	Y
vanadium	12.1	48.6	18/25	Y
zinc	82.3	690	17/25	Y

Notes:
[1] Based on sediment samples SE-CSB-01 through SE-CSB-09 (April 1993 RI) and CSD-92-01 through CSD-92-16, at depths of less than one foot.

Table 6–40
CHEMICALS OF POTENTIAL CONCERN IN HUMAN HEALTH RISK ASSESSMENT
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

CHEMICAL OF POTENTIAL CONCERN	FISH TISSUE	SEDIMENT	GROUNDWATER
Inorganics			
Aluminum		X	X
Arsenic	X	X	X
Barium	X	X	X
Beryllium		X	
Calcium	X	X	X
Chromium	X	X	X
Cobalt	X	X	
Copper	X	X	X
Iron	X	X	X
Lead		X	X
Magnesium	X	X	X
Manganese	X	X	X
Mercury	X	X	
Nickel		X	X
Potassium		X	X
Selenium	X	X	X
Silver		X	
Sodium	X	X	X
Vanadium		X	X
Zinc	X	X	X
SVOCs			
Acenaphthene		X	
Acenaphthylene		X	
Anthracene		X	
Benzo(a)anthracene		X	
Benzo(a)pyrene		X	
Benzo(b)fluoranthene		X	
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene		X	
Benzo(k)fluoranthene		X	
Bis(2–ethylhexyl)phthalate		X	X
Chrysene		X	
Dibenzofuran		X	
Fluoranthene		X	
Fluorene		X	
Indeno(1,2,3–c,d)pyrene		X	
Naphthalene		X	
Phenanthrene		X	
Pyrene		X	
Pesticides/PCBs			
DDD	X	X	
DDE	X	X	
DDT		X	

TABLE 6-41
EXPOSURE PARAMETER VALUES
PATHWAY: GROUNDWATER INGESTION AND CONTACT DURING SHOWERING
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

PARAMETER	RECEPTOR	CASE	PARAMETER VALUE	SOURCE	COMMENTS
Water Concentration	Adult/Child	Average	Average concentration	–	–
	Adult/Child	RME	Maximum concentration	–	–
Ingestion Rate	Adult	Average/RME	2 liters/day	Standard Default[1]	90th percentile
	Child	Average/RME	0.5 liters/day	Exposure Factors (Table 2-4)[2]	Mean, ages 5-9
Surface Area (SA) Exposed	Adult	Average/RME	19,400 cm^2	Exposure Factors (Table 4-1)	Mean SA, males
	Child	Average/RME	4545.3 cm^2-yr/kg	See Appendix V	Represents normalized surface area and total body
Body Weight	Adult	Average/RME	70 kg	Standard Default	Average, adult
	Child	Average/RME	16 kg	Exposure Factors (Table 5-3)	Average, ages 3-6
Shower/Bath Exposure Time	Adult	Average/RME	0.2 hours/day	RAGs[3] (Exhibit 6-16)	90th percentile
	Child	Average/RME	0.2 hours/day	RAGs[3] (Exhibit 6-16)	90th percentile
Exposure Frequency	Adult	Average/RME	350 days/year	Standard Default	–
	Child	Average/RME	350 days/year	Standard Default	–
Exposure Duration	Adult	Average/RME	30 years	RAGs (Exhibit 6-11)	90th percentile; national upper bound time at one residence
	Child	Average/RME	5 years	–	Length of time in age range
Averaging Time (cancer)	Adult	Average/RME	70 years	RAGs (Exhibit 6-11)	Lifetime by convention
	Child	Average/RME	70 years	RAGs (Exhibit 6-11)	Lifetime by convention
Averaging Time (noncancer)	Adult	Average/RME	30 years	RAGs (Exhibit 6-11)	AT = ED
	Child	Average/RME	5 years	RAGs (Exhibit 6-11)	AT = ED

Notes:
RME = Reasonable Maximum Exposure
[1]USEPA Standard Default Exposure Factors (USEPA, 1991b)
[2]USEPA Exposure Factors Handbook (USEPA, 1989b)
[3]USEPA Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund (RAGs) (USEPA,1989f)

TABLE 6-42
EXPOSURE PARAMETER VALUES
PATHWAY: INGESTION OF FISH
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

PARAMETER	RECEPTOR	CASE	PARAMETER VALUE	SOURCE	COMMENTS
Fish Concentration	Adult/Child	Average	Average Concentration	–	–
	Adult/Child	RME	Maximum Concentration	–	–
Fish Ingestion Rate	Adult	Average/RME	54 grams/day	Standard Default[1]	Average, adult
	Child	Average/RME	16.5 grams/day	Exposure Factors (Table 2-15)[2]	95th percentile, ages 0-9
Fraction Ingested from Source	Adult	Average/RME	0.05	Assumption	–
	Child	Average/RME	0.05	Assumption	–
Body Weight	Adult	Average/RME	70 kg	Standard Default	Average, adult
	Child	Average/RME	16 kg	Exposure Factors (Table 5-3)	Average, ages 3-6
Exposure Frequency	Adult	Average/RME	350 days/year	Standard Default	–
	Child	Average/RME	350 days/year	Standard Default	–
Exposure Duration	Adult	Average/RME	30 years	Standard Default (Table 1)	90th percentile; national upper bound time at one residence
	Child	Average/RME	5 years	–	Length of time in age range
Averaging Time (cancer)	Adult	Average/RME	70 years	RAGS[3] (Exhibit 6-17)	Lifetime by convention
	Child	Average/RME	70 years	RAGS (Exhibit 6-17)	
Averaging Time (noncancer)	Adult	Average/RME	30 years	RAGS (Exhibit 6-17)	AT = ED
	Child	Average/RME	5 years	RAGS (Exhibit 6-17)	AT = ED

RME = Reasonable Maximum Exposure
[1]USEPA Standard Default Exposure Factors (USEPA, 1991b)
[2]USEPA Exposure Factors Handbook (USEPA, 1989b)
[3]USEPA Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund (RAGs) (USEPA,1989f)

TABLE 6-43
EXPOSURE PARAMETER VALUES
PATHWAY: SEDIMENT INGESTION AND CONTACT
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

PARAMETER	RECEPTOR	CASE	PARAMETER VALUE	SOURCE	COMMENTS
Sediment Concentration	Adolescent	Average RME	Average Concentration Maximum Concentration	–	–
Ingestion Rate	Adolescent	Average/RME	100 mg/day	Standard Default[1]	Upper-bound value for individual > 6 years of age
Fraction Ingested	Adolescent	Average/RME	100%	Assumption	–
Soil Adherence Factor	Adolescent	Average/RME	0.5 mg/cm^2	Dermal Exposure (Table 8-6)[2]	Between central and upper-bound value in range
Surface Area Exposed	Adolescent	Average/RME	848.6 cm^2-yr/kg	See Appendix V	Represents normalized surface area and 25% of total body
Body Weight	Adolescent	Average/RME	42 kg	Exposure Factors (Table 5-3)[3]	Average, ages 6-16
Exposure Frequency	Adolescent (current use) Adolescent (future use)	Average/RME Average/RME	5 days/year 100 days/year	Assumption Assumption	1 day/month, May through September More frequent exposure based on future residential land use
Exposure Duration	Adolescent	Average/RME	10 years	–	Length of time in age range
Averaging Time (cancer)	Adolescent	Average/RME	70 years	RAGS (Exhibit 6-15)[4]	Lifetime by convention
Averaging Time (noncancer)	Adolescent	Average/RME	10 years	RAGS (Exhibit 6-15)	AT = ED

Notes:
RME = Reasonable Maximum Exposure
[1]USEPA Standard Default Exposure Factors (USEPA, 1991b)
[2]USEPA Dermal Exposure Assessment:Principles and Applications (USEPA, 1992d)
[3]USEPA Exposure Factors Handbook (USEPA, 1989b)
[4]USEPA Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund (RAGs) (USEPA,1989f)

TABLE 6-44
ORAL DOSE/RESPONSE INFORMATION FOR CARCINOGENIC EFFECTS
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	WEIGHT OF EVIDENCE	SLOPE FACTOR [(mg/kg/day)$^{-1}$]	SOURCE	TEST SPECIES	EXPOSURE ROUTE	TUMOR TYPE	STUDY SOURCE
SVOCs							
Benzo(a)anthracene	B2	7.30E+00	(1)				
Benzo(a)pyrene	B2	7.30E+00	IRIS	Mouse	Oral-diet	Forestomach	IRIS
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	B2	7.30E+00	(1)				
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	B2	7.30E+00	(1)				
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	B2	1.40E-02	IRIS	Rat	Oral-diet	Liver	IRIS
Chrysene	B2	7.30E+00	(1)				
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	B2	7.30E+00	(1)				
Pesticides/PCBs							
DDD	B2	2.40E-01	IRIS	Mouse	Oral-diet	Liver	IRIS
DDE	B2	3.40E-01	IRIS	Mouse/hamster	Oral-diet	Liver	IRIS
DDT	B2	3.40E-01	IRIS	Mouse/rat	Oral-diet	Liver	IRIS
Inorganics							
Arsenic	A	1.75E+00	IRIS (2)	Human	Oral-drinking water	Skin	IRIS
Beryllium	B2	4.30E+00	IRIS	Rat	Oral-drinking water	Total	IRIS
Lead	B2	NE					

Notes:
NE = Not Evaluated
Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS) on-line database search, current as of December 1993.
Health Effects Assessment Summary Tables (HEAST), current as of July 1993.
(1) The ingestion slope factor for benzo(a)pyrene was used as a surrogate for all PAHs classified as A or B carcinogens and for which a chemical-specific slope factor was not available.
(2) The ingestion slope factor for arsenic has been calculated from the drinking water unit risk of 5.00E-05 per(ug/L).

Weight of Evidence (route-specific):
A = Human carcinogen
B = Probable human carcinogen (B1 = limited human evidence; B2 = sufficient human evidence)

TABLE 6-45
ORAL DOSE/RESPONSE DATA FOR NONCARCINOGENIC EFFECTS
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	CHRONIC ORAL RfD (mg/kg-day)	SOURCE	SUBCHRONIC ORAL RfD (1) (mg/kg-day)	SOURCE	STUDY TYPE	CONFIDENCE LEVEL	CRITICAL EFFECT	TEST ANIMAL	UNCERTAINTY FACTOR	STUDY SOURCE
SVOCs										
Acenaphthene	6.00E-02	IRIS	6.00E-01	HEAST	Oral-gavage	Low	Hepatotoxicity	Mouse	3000 H,A,S,D	IRIS
Acenaphthylene	4.00E-02	(3)	ND							
Anthracene	3.00E-01	IRIS	3.00E+00	HEAST	Gavage	Low	No observed effects	Mouse	3000 H,A,S	IRIS
Benzo(a)anthracene	4.00E-02	(3)	ND							
Benzo(a)pyrene	4.00E-02	(3)								
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	4.00E-02	(3)								
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	4.00E-02	(3)								
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	4.00E-02	(3)								
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	2.00E-02	IRIS	2.00E-02	IRIS	Oral-diet	Medium	Increased liver weight	Guinea Pig	1000 H,A,S	IRIS
Chrysene	4.00E-02	(3)	ND							
Dibenzofuran	ND		ND							
Fluoranthene	4.00E-02	IRIS	4.00E-01	HEAST	Oral-gavage	Low	Increased liver weight, clinical effects	Mouse	3000 H,A,S,D	IRIS
Fluorene	4.00E-02	IRIS	4.00E-01	HEAST	Oral-gavage	Low	Hematological changes	Mouse	3000 H,A,S,D	IRIS
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	4.00E-02	(3)	ND							
Naphthalene	4.00E-02	(7)	ND	(7)	Gavage		Decreased body weight gain	Rat	1000	HEAST
Phenanthrene	4.00E-02	(3)	ND							
Pyrene	3.00E-02	IRIS	3.00E-01	HEAST	Oral-gavage	Low	Renal tubular pathology	Mouse	3000 H,A,S,D	IRIS
Pesticides/PCBs										
DDD	5.00E-04	(4)	5.00E-04	(4)						
DDE	5.00E-04	(4)	5.00E-04	(4)						
DDT	5.00E-04	IRIS	5.00E-04	HEAST	Oral-diet	Medium	Liver lesions	Rat	100 H,A	IRIS
Inorganics										
Aluminum	ND		ND							
Arsenic	3.00E-04	IRIS	3.00E-04	HEAST	Oral-drinking water	Medium	Hyperpigmentation, keratosis	Human	3 D	IRIS
Barium	7.00E-02	IRIS	7.00E-02	HEAST	Oral-drinking water	Medium	Elevated blood pressure	Human	3 H	IRIS
Beryllium	5.00E-03	IRIS	5.00E-03	HEAST	Oral-drinking water	Low	No effects observed	Rat	100 H,A	IRIS
Calcium	ND		ND							
Chromium III	1.00E+00	IRIS	1.00E+00	HEAST	Oral-diet	Low	No effects observed	Rat	100 H,A	IRIS
Chromium VI	5.00E-03	IRIS	2.00E-02	HEAST	Oral-drinking water	Low	No effects observed	Rat	500 H,A,S	IRIS
Cobalt	ND		ND							
Copper	ND		ND							
Iron	ND		ND							
Lead	ND		ND							
Magnesium	ND		ND							

TABLE 6-45
ORAL DOSE/RESPONSE DATA FOR NONCARCINOGENIC EFFECTS
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	CHRONIC ORAL RfD (mg/kg-day)	SOURCE	SUBCHRONIC ORAL RfD (1) (mg/kg-day)	SOURCE	STUDY TYPE	CONFIDENCE LEVEL	CRITICAL EFFECT	TEST ANIMAL	UNCERTAINTY FACTOR	STUDY SOURCE
Manganese (food)	1.40E-01	IRIS	1.40E-01	HEAST	Oral-diet	NA	CNS effects	Human	1	IRIS
Manganese (water)	5.00E-03	IRIS	5.00E-03	HEAST	Oral-drinking water	NA	No effects observed	Human	1	IRIS
Mercury	3.00E-04	HEAST (2,5)	3.00E-04	HEAST	Oral-gavage	Low	Kidney effects	Rat	1000 H,A,D	HEAST
Nickel	2.00E-02	IRIS (6)	2.00E-02	HEAST	Oral-diet	Medium	Decreased body, organ weights	Rat	300 H,A,D	IRIS
Potassium	ND		ND							
Selenium	5.00E-03	IRIS	5.00E-03	HEAST	Oral-diet	High	Selenosis	Human	3 H	IRIS
Silver	5.00E-03	IRIS	5.00E-03	HEAST	Injection-i.v.	Low	Argyria	Human	3 H	IRIS
Sodium	ND		ND							
Vanadium	7.00E-03	HEAST (2)	7.00E-03	HEAST	Oral-drinking water	Low	No effects observed	Rat	100 H,A	HEAST
Zinc	3.00E-01	IRIS	3.00E-01	HEAST	Oral-diet supplemen	Medium	Decrease in ESOD activity	Human	3 S	IRIS

Notes:
ND = No Data
NA = Not Applicable
Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS) on-line database search, current as of December 1993.
Health Effects Assessment Summary Tables (HEAST), current as of July 1993.
(1) The chronic RfD will be used as a surrogate for compounds without subchronic RfDs.
(2) This value is currently listed in HEAST, but has been withdrawn from IRIS and is under review.
(3) The RfD for naphthalene is used as a surrogate for PAHs without assigned RfDs.
(4) The RfD for 4,4-DDT is used as a surrogate.
(5) This mercury value is specific for inorganic mercury.
(6) The ingestion RfD values for nickel are based on nickel, soluble salts.
(7) The values for naphthalene have been withdrawn from IRIS and HEAST and are currently under review.

Uncertainty factors:
H = Variation in human sensitivity
A = Animal to human extrapolation
S = Extrapolation from subchronic to chronic NOAEL
L = Extrapolation from LOAEL to NOAEL
D = Inadequate data
M = Modifying factor

TABLE 6-46
DERMAL DOSE/RESPONSE DATA
FOR CARCINOGENIC EFFECTS
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	WEIGHT OF EVIDENCE	ORAL SLOPE FACTOR [a] [(mg/kg/day)$^{-1}$]	ABSORPTION EFFICIENCY	REFERENCE	ADJUSTED SLOPE FACTOR [(mg/kg/day)$^{-1}$]
SVOCs					
Benzo(a)anthracene	B2	7.30E+00	91%	[b]	8.02E+00
Benzo(a)pyrene	B2	7.30E+00	91%	Hecht et al., 1979	8.02E+00
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	B2	7.30E+00	91%	[b]	8.02E+00
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	B2	7.30E+00	91%	[b]	8.02E+00
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	B2	1.40E-02	100%	Chadwick et al., 1982	1.40E-02
Chrysene	B2	7.30E+00	91%	[b]	8.02E+00
Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene	B2	7.30E+00	91%	[b]	8.02E+00
Pesticides/PCBs					
DDD	B2	2.40E-01	20%	[c]	1.20E+00
DDE	B2	3.40E-01	20%	[c]	1.70E+00
DDT	B2	3.40E-01	20%	Siebert, 1976	1.70E+00
Inorganics					
Arsenic	A	1.75E+00	98%	Vahter, 1983	1.79E+00
Beryllium	B2	4.30E+00	1%	Owen, 1990	4.30E+02
Lead	B2	ND	NA		ND

Notes:
ND = Not Determined
NA = Not Applicable
[a] For documentation concerning oral slope factors, refer to Table 6-45.
[b] The oral absorption of this compound is assumed to be identical to that of benzo(a)pyrene (Hecht et al., 1979), based on structural analogy.
[c] The oral absorption of this compound is assumed to be identical to that of DDT (Siebert, 1976), based on structural analogy.

Weight of Evidence (route-specific):
A = Human carcinogen
B = Probable human carcinogen (B1 = limited human evidence; B2 = sufficient human evidence)

TABLE 6-47
DERMAL DOSE/RESPONSE DATA
FOR NONCARCINOGENIC EFFECTS
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	CHRONIC ORAL RfD [a] (mg/kg-day)	SUBCHRONIC ORAL RfD [a] (mg/kg-day)	ABSORPTION EFFICIENCY	REFERENCE	ADJUSTED CHRONIC RfD (mg/kg-day)	ADJUSTED SUBCHRONIC RfD (mg/kg-day)
SVOCs						
Acenaphthene	6.00E-02	6.00E-01	91%	[d]	5.46E-02	5.46E-01
Acenaphthylene	4.00E-02	4.00E-02	100%	[c]	4.00E-02	4.00E-02
Anthracene	3.00E-01	3.00E+00	91%	[d]	2.73E-01	2.73E+00
Benzo(a)anthracene	4.00E-02	4.00E-02	100%	[c]	4.00E-02	4.00E-02
Benzo(a)pyrene	4.00E-02	4.00E-02	100%	[c]	4.00E-02	4.00E-02
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	4.00E-02	4.00E-02	100%	[c]	4.00E-02	4.00E-02
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	4.00E-02	4.00E-02	100%	[c]	4.00E-02	4.00E-02
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	4.00E-02	4.00E-02	100%	[c]	4.00E-02	4.00E-02
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	2.00E-02	2.00E-02	100%	Chadwick et al., 1982	2.00E-02	2.00E-02
Chrysene	4.00E-02	4.00E-02	100%	[c]	4.00E-02	4.00E-02
Dibenzofuran	ND	ND	NA		ND	ND
Fluoranthene	4.00E-02	4.00E-01	91%	[d]	3.64E-02	3.64E-01
Fluorene	4.00E-02	4.00E-01	91%	[d]	4.00E-02	4.00E-01
Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene	4.00E-02	4.00E-02	100%	[c]	4.00E-02	4.00E-02
Naphthalene	4.00E-02	4.00E-02	100%	[c]	4.00E-02	4.00E-02
Phenanthrene	4.00E-02	4.00E-02	100%	[c]	4.00E-02	4.00E-02
Pyrene	3.00E-02	3.00E-01	91%	[d]	2.73E-02	2.73E-01
Pesticides/PCBs						
DDD	5.00E-04	5.00E-04	20%	[e]	1.00E-04	1.00E-04
DDE	5.00E-04	5.00E-04	20%	[e]	1.00E-04	1.00E-04
DDT	5.00E-04	5.00E-04	20%	Siebert, 1976	1.00E-04	1.00E-04
Inorganics						
Aluminum	ND	ND	NA		ND	ND
Arsenic	3.00E-04	3.00E-04	98%	Vahter, 1983	2.94E-04	2.94E-04
Barium	7.00E-02	7.00E-02	7%	ATSDR, 1991c	4.90E-03	4.90E-03
Beryllium	5.00E-03	5.00E-03	1%	Owen, 1990	5.00E-05	5.00E-05
Calcium	ND	ND	NA		ND	ND

TABLE 6-47
DERMAL DOSE/RESPONSE DATA
FOR NONCARCINOGENIC EFFECTS
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	CHRONIC ORAL RfD [a] (mg/kg-day)	SUBCHRONIC ORAL RfD [a] (mg/kg-day)	ABSORPTION EFFICIENCY	REFERENCE	ADJUSTED CHRONIC RfD (mg/kg-day)	ADJUSTED SUBCHRONIC RfD (mg/kg-day)
Chromium						
Trivalent	1.00E+00	1.00E+00	10%	ATSDR, 1989a	1.00E-01	1.00E-01
Hexavalent	5.00E-03	2.00E-02	11%	Ogawa, 1976	5.50E-04	2.20E-03
Cobalt	ND	ND	NA		ND	ND
Copper	ND	ND	NA		ND	ND
Iron	ND	ND	NA		ND	ND
Lead	ND	ND	NA		ND	ND
Magnesium	ND	ND	NA		ND	ND
Manganese						
Dietary exposure	1.40E-01	1.40E-01	4%	ATSDR, 1991b	5.60E-03	5.60E-03
Drinking water exposure	5.00E-03	5.00E-03	4%	ATSDR, 1991b	2.00E-04	2.00E-04
Mercury	3.00E-04	3.00E-04	20%	Nielsen, 1992	6.00E-05	6.00E-05
Nickel	2.00E-02	2.00E-02	5%	Christenson & Lagesson, 1981	1.00E-03	1.00E-03
Potassium	ND	ND	NA		ND	ND
Selenium	5.00E-03	5.00E-03	60%	Owen, 1990	3.00E-03	3.00E-03
Silver	5.00E-03	5.00E-03	21%	MacIntyre et al., 1978	1.05E-03	1.05E-03
Sodium	ND	ND	NA		ND	ND
Vanadium	7.00E-03	7.00E-03	3%	ATSDR, 1991d	2.10E-04	2.10E-04
Zinc	3.00E-01	3.00E-01	34%	Sandstrom et al., 1987	1.02E-01	1.02E-01

Notes:
ND = No Data Available
NA = Not Applicable
[a] For documentation concerning chronic and subchronic oral RfDs, refer to Table 6-46.
[b] VOCs lacking specific absorption information are assumed to have an absorption efficiency of 100%, based on analogy with other VOCs.
[c] The oral absorption of PAHs lacking chemical-specific RfDs is assumed to be identical to that of naphthalene (Chang, 1943).
[d] The oral absorption of PAHs with chemical-specific RfDs is assumed to be identically to that of benzo(a)pyrene (Hecht et al., 1979), based on structural analogy.
[e] The oral absorption of this compound is assumed to be identical to that of DDT (Siebert, 1976), based on structural analogy.

Table 6–48
DEFAULT ABSORPTION FRACTIONS
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

EXPOSURE PATHWAY	COMPOUND	DEFAULT ABSORPTION FRACTIONS		
		DERMAL	INGESTION	INHALATION
Direct Contact with Sediment[1]	VOCs	50%	100%	NA
	SVOCs			
	PAHs	5%	100%	NA
	PCBs	5%	30%	NA
	Pesticides			
	–high sorption to soils (e.g., chlordane, DDD, DDT)	5%	30%	NA
	–low sorption to soils (e.g., lindane, acrolein)	50%	100%	NA
	Inorganics	Negligible	100% (except lead)	NA
	Lead	Negligible	30% adults	NA
			50% children (age 1–6)	NA
Ingestion of Groundwater[1]	All Compounds	NA	100%	NA
Ingestion of Fish	All Compounds	NA	100%	NA
Inhalation of VOCs in Shower	All VOCs	NA	NA	100%

Notes:

NA = Not Applicable

[1] Default USEPA Region I Absorption Fractions (USEPA, 1989c)

TABLE 6-49
SUMMARY OF CANCER RISK ESTIMATES
CURRENT LAND USE
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

EXPOSURE SCENARIO	ADULT		ADOLESCENT		CHILD		RISK CONTRIBUTIONS[1] (BY CHEMICAL)
	AVERAGE EPC	MAXIMUM EPC	AVERAGE EPC	MAXIMUM EPC	AVERAGE EPC	MAXIMUM EPC	
Ingestion of Pumpkinseeds	5E-06	9E-06	NA	NA	1E-06	2E-06	Arsenic (81%)[2]
Ingestion of Fillets (bullhead and pickerel)	6E-06	9E-06	NA	NA	1E-06	2E-06	Arsenic (97%)
Sediment Contact	NA	NA	1E-06	6E-06	NA	NA	Arsenic (59%)[3]
Surface Soil Contact[4]	2E-07	4E-07	NA	NA	3E-07	6E-07	Cancer Risk $<1x10^{-6}$
Total Risk[5]	7E-06	2E-05	NA	NA	1E-06	3E-06	

Notes:
[1] Risk contributions were calculated before rounding off risk estimates for individual chemicals and for the summary risk estimate.
[2]Two other COPCs contributed to total risk: DDE (at $9.2x10^{-7}$ or 10% of the total) and DDD (at $8.7x10^{-7}$ or 9% of the total); both risks are below the USEPA point of departure of $1x10^{-6}$.
[3]PAHs contributed the majority of the remaining risk (37%): benzo(a)anthracene ($2.7x10^{-7}$), chrysene ($5.4x10^{-7}$), benzo(a)pyrene ($4.0x10^{-7}$), benzo(b)fluoranthene ($3.4x10^{-7}$), benzo(k)fluoranthene($6.7x10^{-7}$), and indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene ($1.3x10^{-7}$).
[4] Risks from surface soil exposure (ingestion and dermal contact) are reported in the RI Report (April, 1993).
[5] For an adult, includes ingestion of fillets and sediment as an adolescent and soil contact. For a child, includes ingestion of fillets and soil contact.
NA= Not Applicable
EPC= Exposure Point Concentration

TABLE 6-50
SUMMARY OF NONCANCER RISK ESTIMATES
CURRENT LAND USE
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

EXPOSURE SCENARIO	ADULT		ADOLESCENT		CHILD		RISK CONTRIBUTIONS[1,2] (BY CHEMICAL)
	AVERAGE EPC	MAXIMUM EPC	AVERAGE EPC	MAXIMUM EPC	AVERAGE EPC	MAXIMUM EPC	
Ingestion of Pumpkinseeds	0.06	0.1	NA	NA	0.08	0.1	HIs[3]<1
Ingestion of Fillets (bullhead and pickerel)	0.07	0.1	NA	NA	0.09	0.1	HIs<1
Sediment Contact	NA	NA	0.01	0.06	NA	NA	HIs<1
Surface Soil Contact[4]	0.000009	0.00002	NA	NA	0.00006	0.0001	HIs<1
Total Risk[5]	0.07	0.1	0.01	0.06	0.09	0.1	

Notes:

[1]Hazard quotients for individual chemicals shown in parentheses, at average and maximum EPCs, respectively, for receptor showing greatest risk.

[2]Hazard indices for mixtures have been rounded to one significant figure while a hazard quotient for an individual chemical may have more than one significant figure.

[3]HIs= hazard indices for mixtures

[4] Risks for exposure to surface soil (ingestion and dermal contact) as reported in the RI Report (April, 1993).

[5] For an adult and child, includes fillet ingestion and soil contact. For an adolescent, includes sediment contact only.

NA= Not Applicable

EPC= Exposure Point Concentration

TABLE 6-51
SUMMARY OF CANCER RISK ESTIMATES
FUTURE LAND USE
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

	ADULT		ADOLESCENT		CHILD		
EXPOSURE SCENARIO	AVERAGE EPC	MAXIMUM EPC	AVERAGE EPC	MAXIMUM EPC	AVERAGE EPC	MAXIMUM EPC	RISK CONTRIBUTIONS[1] (BY CHEMICAL)
Ingestion of Pumpkinseeds	5E-06	9E-06	NA	NA	1E-06	2E-06	Arsenic (81%)[2]
Ingestion of Fillets (bullhead and pickerel)	6E-06	9E-06	NA	NA	1E-06	2E-06	Arsenic (97%)
Sediment Contact	NA	NA	2E-05	1E-04	NA	NA	Arsenic (58%) PAHs (36%)[3]
Surface Soil Contact[4]	1E-05	3E-05	NA	NA	2E-05	4E-05	PAHs (99%)
Residential Groundwater Use (downgradient wells)							
Unfiltered	6E-05	2E-04	NA	NA	1E-05	3E-05	Arsenic[5] (97%)
Filtered	2E-05	8E-05	NA	NA	4E-06	2E-05	Arsenic (100%)
Total Risk[6]							
Unfiltered	1E-04	3E-04	NA	NA	3E-05	7E-05	
Filtered	6E-05	2E-04	NA	NA	3E-05	6E-05	

Notes:

[1]Risk contributions were calculated before rounding off risk estimates for individual chemicals and for the summary risk estimate.

[2]Two other COPCs contributed to total risk: DDE (at $9.2x10^{-7}$ or 10% of the total) and DDD (at $8.7x10^{-7}$ or 9% of the total); both risks are below the USEPA point of departure of $1x10^{-6}$.

[3]PAHs contributed 36% to the total risk: benzo(a)anthracene ($5.4x10^{-6}$), chrysene ($1.1x10^{-5}$), benzo(a)pyrene ($8.1x10^{-6}$), benzo(b)fluoranthene ($6.7x10^{-6}$), benzo(k)fluoranthene ($1.3x10^{-5}$), and indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene ($2.7x10^{-6}$).

[4] Risks for surface soil exposure (ingestion and dermal contact) as reported in the RI Report (April, 1993).

[5]The cancer risk associated with bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate slightly exceeds the USEPA point of departure of $1x10^{-6}$ (at $4.6x10^{-6}$), but represents less than 3% of the total risk.

[6]Total risk is calculated for adults who consume fillets, are exposed to surface soil and sediment as adolescents, and use the groundwater for ingestion & other domestic purposes. For a child, total risk includes fillet ingestion, soil contact, and groundwater use.

NA= Not Applicable

EPC= Exposure Point Concentration

TABLE 6-52
SUMMARY OF NONCANCER RISK ESTIMATES
FUTURE LAND USE
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

	ADULT		ADOLESCENT		CHILD		
EXPOSURE SCENARIO	AVERAGE EPC	MAXIMUM EPC	AVERAGE EPC	MAXIMUM EPC	AVERAGE EPC	MAXIMUM EPC	RISK CONTRIBUTIONS[1,2] (BY CHEMICAL)
Ingestion of Pumpkinseeds	0.06	0.1	NA	NA	0.08	0.1	HIs[3]<1
Ingestion of Fillets (bullhead and pickerel)	0.07	0.1	NA	NA	0.09	0.1	HIs<1
Sediment Contact	NA	NA	0.2	1.0	NA	NA	Arsenic (1.0; skin)
Surface Soil Contact[4]	0.0006	0.001	NA	NA	0.004	0.007	HIs<1
Residential Groundwater Use (downgradient wells)							
Unfiltered	4	9	NA	NA	4	10	Manganese (4,9; CNS effects), Arsenic (0.3,1)
Filtered	4	9	NA	NA	5	10	Manganese (5, 10), Arsenic(<0.1,0.4)
Total Risk[5]							
Unfiltered	4	9	NA	NA	4	10	
Filtered	4	9	NA	NA	5	10	

Notes:

[1]Hazard indices for mixtures have been rounded to one significant figure while a hazard quotient for an individual chemical may have more than one significant figure.

[2]Hazard quotients for individual chemicals shown in parentheses, at average and maximum EPCs, respectively, for receptor showing greatest risk. Toxicity endpoint of dose/response value also shown in parentheses.

[3]HIs= hazard indices for mixtures.

[4] Risks for surface soil exposure (ingestion and dermal contact) as reported in the RI Report (April, 1993).

[5]Total risk is calculated for adults and children who consume fillets, are exposed to surface soil, and use the groundwater for domestic purposes.

NA= Not Applicable

[1]EPC= Exposure Point Concentration

TABLE 6-53
COMPARISON OF FISH EXPOSURE POINT CONCENTRATIONS
TO FDA ACTION LEVELS
COLD SPRING BROOK POND

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	FDA ACTION LEVEL		WHOLE FISH (CONCENTRATIONS IN mg/kg) AVERAGE CONCENTRATION	WHOLE FISH (CONCENTRATIONS IN mg/kg) MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION	FILLET (CONCENTRATIONS IN mg/kg) AVERAGE CONCENTRATION	FILLET (CONCENTRATIONS IN mg/kg) MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION
DDE	5	(1)	0.083	0.17	0.012	0.021
DDD	5	(1)	0.12	0.23	0.019	0.050
Mercury	1	(2)	0.16	0.24	0.31	0.46

Notes:
COPCs not listed do not have FDA Action Levels
(1) In edible portion
(2) Methyl mercury in edible portion

TABLE 6-54
COMPARISON OF GROUNDWATER CONCENTRATIONS TO ARARs
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL - DOWNGRADIENT WELLS

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

COMPOUNDS	FREQUENCY OF DETECTION	MAXIMUM DETECTED CONCENTRATION (ug/L)	ARITHMETIC AVERAGE CONCENTRATION (ug/L)	FEDERAL MCLs (ug/L)	MASS. MCLs (ug/L)	MASS. GUIDELINES (ug/L)	MASS. GROUNDWATER STANDARDS (ug/L)
UNFILTERED SAMPLES							
Semivolatile Organics							
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	2/4	14	4	6	ND	6	3
Inorganics							
Aluminum	3/4	20500	3948.3	50-200 (1)	50-200 (5)	ND	ND
Arsenic	2/4	40	14	50	50	ND	50
Barium	4/4	112	41.1	2000	2000	ND	1000
Calcium	4/4	164000	69283.7	ND	ND	ND	"ND
Chromium	1/4	30.8	8	100	100	ND	50
Copper	2/4	31	11.4	1300 (2)	1300	ND	1000
Iron	4/4	25400	9593.6	300 (1)	300 (5)	ND	300
Lead	3/4	13.4	4.1	15 (2)	15	ND	50
Magnesium	4/4	28900	12293.8	ND	ND	ND	ND
Manganese	4/4	5700	2504.3	200/50 (3/1)	50 (5)	ND	50
Nickel	1/4	49	21.1	100	ND	100	ND
Potassium	4/4	8540	5554.4	ND	ND	ND	ND
Sodium	4/4	42900	18081.3	20000 (4)	ND	28000	20000
Vanadium	1/4	26.3	8.1	ND	ND	ND	ND
Zinc	1/4	60.1	19.5	11000/5000 (4/1)	5000 (5)	ND	5000
FILTERED SAMPLES							
Arsenic	1/3	19.8	4.9	50	50	ND	50
Barium	3/3	36.8	"NA	2000	2000	ND	1000
Calcium	3/3	148000	64473.3	ND	ND	ND	ND
Iron	2/3	14600	3156.8	300 (1)	300 (5)	ND	300
Magnesium	3/3	25000	11471.7	ND	ND	ND	ND
Manganese	3/3	6120	2983	200/50 (3/1)	50 (5)	ND	50
Potassium	3/3	17000	5930.8	ND	ND	ND	ND
Sodium	3/3	18600	13195	20000 (4)	ND	28000	20000
Vanadium	1/3	11.9	7.6	ND	ND	ND	ND

Notes:
Shaded line denotes either average or maximum (or both) concentration(s) of analyte exceeds at least one of the ARARs
NA = Not applicable ND = No value available (3) Maximum contaminant level goal (MCLG)
(1) Secondary maximum contaminant level (SMCL) (4) USEPA drinking water equivalency level (DWEL) - A lifetime exposure concentration protective of adverse, noncancer health effects that assumes all exposure is from a drinking water source
(2) Treatment technique (5) Massachusetts SMCL
(3) Maximum contaminant level goal (MCLG)

References:
USEPA, 1993. "Drinking Water Regulations and Health Advisories." Office of Water, Washington, D.C.; May, 1993.
MADEP, 1993. "Drinking Water Standards & Guidelines for Chemicals in Massachusetts Drinking Waters." Office of Research and Standards; Boston, MA; Spring, 1993.
MADEP, 1989. "Guidance for Disposal Site Risk Characterization and Related Phase II Activities - In Support of the Massachusetts Contingency Plan." Appendix C. Office of Research and Standards; Boston, MA; July, 1991 update.

Table 6-55
Comparison of Groundwater Concentrations to ARARs
Cold Spring Brook Landfill – Downgradient Wells

Remedial Investigation Addendum Report
Feasibility Study for Group 1A Sites
Fort Devens, MA

COMPOUNDS	FREQUENCY OF DETECTION	MAXIMUM DETECTED CONCENTRATION (ug/L)	ARITHMETIC AVERAGE CONCENTRATION (ug/L)	FEDERAL MCLs (ug/L)	MASS. MCLs (ug/L)	MASS. GUIDELINES (ug/L)	MASS. GROUNDWATER STANDARDS (ug/L)
UNFILTERED SAMPLES							
Semivolatile Organics							
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	2/4	14	4	6	ND	6	3
Inorganics							
Aluminum	3/4	20500	3948.3	50-200 (1)	50-200 (5)	ND	ND
Arsenic	2/4	40	14.0	50	50	ND	50
Barium	4/4	112	41.1	2000	2000	ND	1000
Calcium	4/4	164000	69283.7	ND	ND	ND	ND
Chromium	1/4	30.8	8.0	100	100	ND	50
Copper	2/4	31	11.4	1300 (2)	1300	ND	1000
Iron	4/4	25400	9593.6	300 (1)	300 (5)	ND	300
Lead	3/4	13.4	4.1	15 (2)	15	ND	50
Magnesium	4/4	28900	12293.8	ND	ND	ND	ND
Manganese	4/4	5700	2504.3	200/50 (3/1)	50 (5)	ND	50
Nickel	1/4	49	21.1	100	ND	100	ND
Potassium	4/4	8540	5554.4	ND	ND	ND	ND
Sodium	4/4	42900	18081.3	20000 (4)	ND	28000	20000
Vanadium	1/4	26.3	8.1	ND	ND	ND	ND
Zinc	1/4	60.1	19.5	11000/5000 (4/1)	5000 (5)	ND	5000
FILTERED SAMPLES							
Arsenic	1/3	19.8	4.9	50	50	ND	50
Barium	3/3	36.8	NA	2000	2000	ND	1000
Calcium	3/3	148000	64473.3	ND	ND	ND	ND
Iron	2/3	14600	3156.8	300 (1)	300 (5)	ND	300
Magnesium	3/3	25000	11471.7	ND	ND	ND	ND
Manganese	3/3	6120	2983	200/50 (3/1)	50 (5)	ND	50
Potassium	3/3	17000	5930.8	ND	ND	ND	ND
Sodium	3/3	18600	13195	20000 (4)	ND	28000	20000
Vanadium	1/3	11.9	7.6	ND	ND	ND	ND

Shaded line denotes either average or maximum (or both) concentration(s) of analyte exceeds at least one of the ARARs

NA = Not applicable ND = No value available (3) Maximum contaminant level goal (MCLG)
(1) Secondary maximum contaminant level (SMCL) (4) USEPA drinking water equivalency level (DWEL) – A lifetime exposure concentration protective of adverse, non-cancer health effects that assumes all exposure is from a drinking water source
(2) Treatment technique (5) Massachusetts SMCL
(3) Maximum contaminant level goal (MCLG)

References:

USEPA, 1993. "Drinking Water Regulations and Health Advisories." Office of Water, Washington, D.C.; May, 1993.
MADEP, 1993. "Drinking Water Standards & Guidelines for Chemicals in Massachusetts Drinking Waters." Office of Research and Standards; Boston, MA; Spring, 1993.
MADEP, 1989. "Guidance for Disposal Site Risk Characterization and Related Phase II Activities – In Support of the Massachusetts Contingency Plan." Appendix C. Office of Research and Standards; Boston, MA; July, 1991 update.

7.0 BASELINE ECOLOGICAL RISK ASSESSMENT

This section presents the results of the supplemental ERA for the Shepley's Hill Landfill and Cold Spring Brook Landfill sites. The Supplemental Risk Assessment was performed to update the original ERA, which was included as Section 9 of the RI report (E&E, 1993). The supplemental ERA integrates information gathered from several phases of site investigation at the Group 1A sites to establish whether environmental contaminants may pose a risk to ecological receptors. Specifically, this supplemental risk assessment evaluates sediment and fish tissue analytical data, and macroinvertebrate community data that were unavailable when the RI report was produced. In addition the supplemental risk assessment also includes a re-analysis of surface water data presented in the original RI report. The data were evaluated to determine the potential for adverse environmental effects to ecological receptors resulting from contaminant exposure. No additional evaluation of surface soils or groundwater is included in the supplemental risk assessment.

The primary conceptual approach employed in this supplemental risk assessment, as well as in the RI risk assessment, is ecological pathway analysis. Pathways evaluated include portions of food chains (e.g., sediment → primary consumer → secondary consumer → tertiary consumer). Because the modeling presented in the RI risk assessment used little actual environmental data, additional field and laboratory data were collected prior to conducting this supplemental risk assessment. These additional data refine and help to reduce uncertainties inherent in the ERA process.

The general objectives and scope of the Supplemental Risk Assessment are presented in this subsection. Following this subsection, separate subsections are provided to evaluate risks at the Shepley's Hill Landfill (Subsection 7.1) and the Cold Spring Brook Landfill (Subsection 7.2). A discussion of uncertainties inherent in the risk assessment process is presented in Subsection 7.3, and Subsection 7.4 summarizes the results of the supplemental risk assessments. The supplemental risk assessment for each landfill consists of the following six elements:

- Introduction
- Hazard Assessment

- Ecological Characterization
- Ecological Exposure Assessment
- Ecological Effects Assessment
- Ecological Risk Characterization

The supplemental risk assessment was performed in accordance with the following guidance documents:

- Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund (RAGS): Volume 2 - Environmental Evaluation Manual (USEPA, 1989d);
- Ecological Assessment of Hazardous Waste Sites: A Field and Laboratory Reference (USEPA, 1989a);
- USEPA Region I Supplemental Risk Assessment Guidance for the Superfund Program, Part 2, Guidance for Ecological Risk Assessments (USEPA, 1989c);
- Ecological Assessment of Superfund Sites: An Overview (USEPA, 1991h); and
- Framework for Ecological Risk Assessment (USEPA, 1992e).

In addition, recent risk assessment guidance including the USEPA "Eco Update" bulletins (USEPA 1991b, 1992a, 1992b) and publications (Maughan 1993; Suter, 1993) were used as guidance for this supplemental risk assessment.

7.1 SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL

The supplemental risk assessment for the Shepley's Hill Landfill is provided in the following subsections. This revision to the RI risk assessment (E&E, 1993) includes relevant information obtained through recent studies at the Group 1A sites. A primary objective of the Shepley's Hill Landfill supplemental risk assessment is to evaluate the potential for adverse environmental effects resulting from exposure to analytes found in fish tissue and sediments in Plow Shop Pond, a water body adjacent to the landfill. Specifically, the supplemental risk assessment for the Shepley's Hill Landfill evaluates fish tissue analytical data and aquatic

macroinvertebrate community data that were unavailable when the original RI report was produced. This assessment also includes a re-analysis of surface water data presented in the original RI report. No additional evaluation of surface soils or groundwater is included in this supplemental risk assessment.

7.1.1 Summary of the RI Risk Assessment

The RI risk assessment indicated that concentrations of copper, silver, zinc, and iron in different regions of Plow Shop Pond exceeded available surface water screening criteria. However, because the presence of these analytes in surface water was not attributable to the Shepley's Hill Landfill, they were not considered as COPCs in the risk assessment. Inorganic analytes in groundwater were considered in the RI risk assessment to evaluate exposure to vegetation growing in areas where roots may be exposed to groundwater. Because the landfill is capped, surface soils were not evaluated in the RI risk assessment.

Although a number of analytes in Plow Shop Pond sediments were found to be above background levels, only arsenic, barium, cadmium, and manganese were considered in the ERA in the original RI report (E&E, 1993). These analytes were evaluated because of the interpretation that their spatial distribution indicated they originated at the Shepley's Hill Landfill. Analytes not evaluated included mercury, chromium, lead, nickel, and zinc. The contribution from these five and other analytes was not evaluated because they were not considered to be site-related (i.e., they originated in a source other than Shepley's Hill Landfill).

The RI risk assessment indicated that sediment contamination from the landfill-derived inorganic analytes in Plow Shop Pond may pose a risk to ecological receptors. Arsenic was found to be the primary risk contributor to aquatic and semi-aquatic biota. Risks to aquatic biota were also predicted from cadmium. Although barium and manganese also occur at elevated levels in Plow Shop Pond and may affect the pond's biota, the ecological risk from these analytes was not defined in the RI risk assessment.

A summary of the RI risk assessment findings relative to Plow Shop Pond surface water, sediment, and landfill groundwater is presented in Table 7-1. Additional detail regarding the site history, its setting, and the nature of previous investigations can be found in the RI report (E&E, 1993) and in earlier sections of this report.

7.1.2 Conceptual Site Model

In accordance with recent USEPA guidance (USEPA, 1992e), a conceptual model has been developed to evaluate how chemical stressors from the Shepley's Hill Landfill may impact ecological components of the environment in the vicinity of the site (Figure 7-1). This model involves consideration of the ecological community primarily at risk (Plow Shop Pond), stressor characteristics, and exposure pathways. The exposure scenarios evaluated in the conceptual model consider sources, environmental transport mechanisms, partitioning of the analytes between various environmental media, identification of exposure routes, and the types of ecological receptors that could be potentially exposed.

Ecological receptors evaluated in this supplemental risk assessment include aquatic biota (i.e., plants, invertebrates, fish, and amphibians) and semi-aquatic biota (i.e., wildlife that depend on wetlands to meet a portion of their life history requirements). Effects evaluated in the supplemental risk assessment are generally the observed, estimated, or predicted effects of the COPCs on the survival, growth, and reproduction of receptors.

A significant difference between the supplemental risk assessment and the original RI risk assessment is related to the selection of surface water and sediment analytes for evaluation in the Shepley's Hill Landfill risk assessment. The original risk assessment only evaluated ecological risk from four inorganic analytes thought to be attributable to the landfill. As detailed in Subsection 7.1.3, this supplemental risk assessment evaluates risk from analytes that clearly originated at the Shepley's Hill Landfill, as well as the risks associated with ecological exposures to all analytes that were not eliminated during the contaminant screening process (Subsection 7.1.3.1). Based on information contained in Section 4.0 and the original RI report (E&E, 1993), sediment contaminants that originated in the Shepley's Hill Landfill are arsenic, barium, iron, manganese, and nickel.

7.1.3 Supplemental Risk Assessment

7.1.3.1 Hazard Assessment. Sampling conducted as part of the RI and supplemental RI at the Shepley's Hill Landfill has revealed the presence of organic and inorganic analytes in the following environmental media:

- Plow Shop Pond Surface Water
- Plow Shop Pond Sediments
- Plow Shop Pond Fish Tissue
- Groundwater

Analytical data for surface water, sediment, and fish tissue are evaluated in this supplemental risk assessment. No additional consideration of groundwater is included in this report.

Selection of Contaminants of Potential Concern (COPCs). Pursuant to USEPA (1989d) guidance, the surface water, sediment, and fish tissue analytical data were evaluated to determine their validity for use in risk assessment. All validated data from the RI field investigation were sorted by medium and summarized. Non-detects were assigned one-half the SQL for calculation of average (i.e., arithmetic mean) concentrations. The selection of COPCs is a screening process used to identify the analytes requiring evaluation in the risk assessment. Factors considered when selecting COPCs at the Shepley's Hill Landfill included: the suitability of the data for ERA; the physical-chemical properties of analytes; the frequency of detection; the potential for bioaccumulation and bioconcentration; and the inherent toxicity of the analytes (USEPA, 1989b, 1991). Inorganic compounds such as calcium, magnesium, potassium, and sodium were generally excluded from consideration as COPCs because they are essential dietary nutrients and are considered toxic to aquatic and semi-aquatic ecological receptors only at high concentrations (USEPA, 1989d). A general representation of the process used to select COPCs is presented in the following paragraphs.

The data from each site were evaluated independently to determine: (1) which detected analytes are believed to be site-related, and (2) which data are of sufficient quality for use in quantitative risk assessment. The individual steps involved in the COPC selection process are briefly discussed below.

- Sort Data by Medium. Data from the RI and Supplemental RI Group 1A investigations were compiled and sorted by environmental medium (i.e., surface water, sediment, and fish tissue). All analytes detected in at least one sample in each medium were identified.

- Evaluate the Quality of the Data. Based on results of the data evaluation (see Subsection 2.5.2.6), overall quality of the data were

reviewed to determine which data were of sufficient quality for use in quantitative risk assessment. This review was conducted in accordance with USEPA guidance (USEPA, 1989c, 1989d, and 1992h). The analytical data determined to be suitable for use in risk assessment were summarized by medium.

- Background Comparison. Although typically a component of the COPC selection process, because no background surface water, sediment, or fish tissue databases exist for Fort Devens, no comparison to background was conducted in this supplemental ERA.

- Develop a Data Set for Use in Risk Assessment. The analytical data used to select COPCs and to conduct the risk assessment were summarized in COPC tables. Each medium-specific table contains the following information: (1) list of all analytes detected in at least one sample; (2) frequency of detection; (3) average analyte concentration; (4) identification of those analytes selected as COPCs; and (5) the rationale for excluding those analytes which were not selected as COPCs.

- Comparison With Background. Although typically a component of the COPC selection process, a comparison to background was not conducted because no background surface water, sediment, or fish tissue database exists for Fort Devens.

In selecting COPCs for ecological risk at the Fort Devens Sites, the following USEPA guidelines were used (USEPA, 1989d):

- COPCs include analytes that were positively identified in at least one sample;

- COPCs include analytes that were detected at levels significantly elevated above blank concentrations, as determined in Sections 2.0 and 4.0);

- When evaluating common laboratory contaminants (acetone, 2-butanone, methylene chloride, and phthalate esters), the COPCs

were selected based on the evaluation of laboratory contaminants presented in Section 4.0 and based on USEPA (1989d) guidance;

- Analytes were generally eliminated as COPCs if their frequency of detection was five percent or less;
- The essential nutrients sodium, potassium, magnesium, calcium were generally eliminated as COPCs for ecological risk assessments (USEPA, 1989d);
- No analyte was eliminated from the COPCs list if the maximum concentration exceeded an ARAR or guidance value (e.g. USEPA Interim Sediment Quality Criteria); and,
- No analyte was eliminated from the COPC list if it is a transformed or parent compound of a COPC.

Surface Water COPCs. The RI risk assessment summarized analytical data from 15 surface water samples, including 13 from Plow Shop Pond, one from the palustrine wetland north of the landfill, and one from Nonacoicus Brook just below the dam retaining Plow Shop Pond. In the RI risk assessment, chemicals selected for evaluation included only those analytes that were believed to have originated from Shepley's Hill Landfill (i.e., arsenic, barium, cadmium, and manganese).

Surface water is re-evaluated in this supplemental assessment to provide an indication of the overall risk to aquatic life from exposure to both landfill related and non-related analytes detected in surface water. Because no background Fort Devens surface water data are available, no analytes detected in surface water could be eliminated as COPCs based on a comparison to background. Major cations (e.g., calcium, magnesium, potassium, and sodium) were eliminated as COPCs because they are essential dietary nutrients and are generally considered to be of low toxicity. Although iron is an essential nutrient, it was retained as a COPC in surface water because it is a suspected landfill contaminant.

Four organic analytes (alpha-BHC, chloroform, endrin, and methylene chloride) were detected in Plow Shop Pond surface water during the RI. However, the validity of the organic data is questionable (see Section 4.1.3). The concentrations

of alpha-BHC presented in the original RI report were footnoted to reflect that these "results were not confirmed on a second column;" therefore, these data are considered suspect and this analyte was eliminated as a COPC. Endrin was detected in only one of the 15 surface water samples at a concentration equal to the reported detection limit (0.008 μg/L); therefore, it was eliminated as a COPC. Methylene chloride was detected in all samples analyzed. However, this analyte is a known laboratory contaminant and was not a COPC in groundwater and therefore was eliminated as a COPC in surface water.

An uncertainty associated with the evaluation of the E&E (1993) surface water data is associated with the use of samples analyzed for total, rather than dissolved, analytes. Recent USEPA national guidance recommends that dissolved contaminant data be used for ecological risk assessments evaluating surface water. Dissolved contaminant data better represent the bioavailable fraction of analytes present in surface water. Therefore, the use of total contaminant surface water data (rather than the dissolved fraction) may result in an over-estimate of risk associated with surface water exposures by aquatic organisms at the Group 1A sites.

Surface water COPCs for the Shepley's Hill Landfill site are presented in Table 7-2.

Sediment COPCs. The RI Risk Assessment summarized analytical data from 15 shallow sediment samples from Plow Shop Pond and the palustrine wetland located to the north of the landfill (the Nonacoicus Brook wetland). Thirteen of these samples were collected in Plow Shop Pond, and two were collected in the wetland. These shallow sediment samples were collected with an Ekman dredge from approximately the top 6 inches of pond sediment. (Appendix X contains an ecological Preliminary Risk Evaluation (PRE) of the Nonacoicus Brook wetland area, located to the north of the landfill.)

Sediment samples from 28 additional locations were collected by ABB-ES in November and December of 1992 (see Section 2.0). This sediment sampling in Plow Shop Pond was conducted with vibratory coring techniques and provided samples from the top 12 inches of pond sediment.

Ecological exposure to contamination in Plow Shop Pond is likely to be greatest in the top 6 inches of pond sediments. It is unlikely that significant ecological

exposure to either floral or faunal receptors occurs in the anoxic interval between 6 and 12 inches. However, in order to best characterize the ecological exposure at the Group 1A sites, and in accordance with an October 20, 1993 Army agreement with state and federal regulators, the 13 shallow sediment and the 28 zero- to 12-inch sediment samples were combined to yield a total of 41 sediment samples. The maximum and average concentrations from the pooled data set serve as the ecological exposure point concentrations. In general, the 13 sediment samples collected between the zero- and six-inch interval contain higher maximum concentrations of inorganics than the 28 more recently analyzed samples. The five inorganic COPCs evaluated in this report (i.e., arsenic, barium, iron, manganese, and nickel) were detected with similar frequency in both the original RI (E&E, 1993) and the ABB-ES 1992 sampling event data sets. With the exception of manganese, these inorganic analytes were detected at higher concentrations in the original data set. A similar trend was observed for the majority of the other organic and inorganic COPCs analyzed during both phases of investigation. However, the pesticides DDT, DDE, and DDD, as well as zinc were detected at higher frequencies in the ABB-ES data set. This may be related to the generally lower detection limits achieved for these analytes in the more recent ABB-ES studies. In addition, the more recently collected samples were not analyzed for VOCs or SVOCs, although the original 13 samples were analyzed for these constituents. Evaluating the combined data set consisting of 41 samples generally produces a more representative estimate of average sediment exposure point concentrations.

Although a number of analytes were found at elevated concentrations in Plow Shop Pond sediments, only arsenic, barium, cadmium, and manganese were considered in the RI ERA (E&E, 1993). These analytes were evaluated because their spatial distribution suggested that the Shepley's Hill Landfill was a primary source of these sediment constituents. Analytes that potentially originated in a source(s) other than Shepley's Hill Landfill were not evaluated in the RI ERA.

Because of regulatory concerns regarding the ecological risk contributions from analytes not evaluated in the original RI report, this supplemental risk assessment presents two levels of risk evaluation from contaminated sediments. To evaluate the landfill contribution to sediment contamination in Plow Shop Pond, an evaluation of analytes that clearly originated at the Shepley's Hill Landfill has been conducted. Based on information contained in Section 4.0 and the RI report (E&E, 1993), analytes interpreted to be from the landfill are arsenic, barium, iron,

manganese, and nickel. Cadmium has been removed from the list of landfill contaminants because the RI Addendum sampling activities have indicated that it is not a landfill contaminant. Iron and nickel have been added as COPCs because they are suspected landfill-related contaminants. In addition, to evaluate total risk from Plow Shop Pond sediments, an evaluation of all analytes that were retained as COPCs is also included in this supplemental risk assessment. Analytes of concern other than those directly attributable to the Shepley's Hill Landfill include pesticides/PCBs, PAHs and a number of additional inorganics.

The COPCs selected for the Shepley's Hill Landfill ecological risk assessment differ slightly from those selected for the public health assessment. For instance, for the public health assessment all PAHs were selected as COPCs regardless of the frequency of detection. This was done primarily due to human health concerns regarding the potential carcinogenicity of PAHs. In general, for the ecological risk assessment, only those PAHs detected in greater than 5% of the samples were selected as COPCs.

As discussed in the RI Report (E&E, 1993) for the original RI risk assessment, the inorganic COPCs in sediments were compared to background soil concentrations because no background sediment database was available. Average and maximum concentrations of the four original risk assessment landfill analytes (arsenic, barium, cadmium, and manganese) exceeded the upper tolerance limits on their respective Fort Devens background soil concentrations. However, because of regulatory concerns regarding the validity of using soils data as a means of screening inorganic analytes in sediment samples, and because no sediment background database has been established and agreed to at Fort Devens, no screening against background has been included in this supplemental risk assessment.

Plow Shop Pond sediment COPCs are presented in Table 7-3.

Fish COPCs. Fish sampling and analysis was conducted as described in Section 2.0. Whole fish and fillet tissue burdens were obtained for all three species of fish analyzed: bluegill (whole fish only), bullhead, and largemouth bass. Results were reported for suspected landfill and non-landfill analytes. All results were reported on a wet weight basis. The percentage of water in fish samples ranged from 66 to 88 percent. The ERA only evaluates the results of the whole body tissue analyses, as these data are most appropriate for evaluating ecological

exposures and risks. Fish fillet samples were collected for the purpose of assessing risks to public health (Section 6.0).

Summary statistics were calculated to evaluate concentrations of inorganic analytes in all 15 (five each of bluegill, bullhead, and largemouth bass) whole fish sampled and analyzed from Plow Shop Pond (Table 7-4). Table 7-4 also presents a summary of organic and inorganic COPCs in each of the three fish species evaluated at Plow Shop Pond.

Six inorganic analytes (antimony, beryllium, nickel, silver, thallium, and vanadium) were not detected in any Plow Shop Pond whole body fish tissues analyzed. Barium, calcium, copper, iron, magnesium, manganese, mercury, selenium, sodium, and zinc were detected in all whole fish tissues analyzed. A total of four pesticides/PCBs were detected in the 15 whole fish sampled in Plow Shop Pond. The sole PCB detected, Aroclor-1260, was found in five of the 15 fish sampled at an average concentration of 0.062 μg/g wet weight and a maximum concentration of 0.33 μg/g wet weight. The pesticide DDT, and its breakdown products DDE and DDD were found in 1, 11, and 6 of the 15 fish (all largemouth bass) analyzed, respectively. The maximum pesticide concentration detected was 0.42 μg/g wet weight (DDE in a largemouth bass), while the average pesticide concentrations in all fish ranged from 0.0055 (DDT) to 0.068 (DDE) μg/g wet weight.

The fish tissue contaminant burden at Plow Shop Pond was evaluated through empirical and statistical comparisons of Plow Shop Pond whole fish tissue data with data from regional and national studies of fish tissue contaminant burden. Sources of information reviewed to establish "background" or naturally occurring tissue levels of certain inorganics and pesticides/PCBs within Massachusetts include information from various programs (e.g., Clean Lakes Program) of the MADEP, Division of Water Pollution Control ([MADWPC], 1988a; 1988b; 1989a; 1989b; 1990; 1991). While this regional source of information is a useful screening tool, it is important to recognize that there are no MADWPC average data available to evaluate several fish tissue COPCs, including arsenic, barium, and cadmium. To compare Plow Shop Pond Fish tissue data to a national database, information from the United States Fish and Wildlife Service [USFWS] National Contaminant Biomonitoring Program (NCBMP) was reviewed (Schmitt et al., 1990; Schmitt and Brumbaugh, 1990).

A detailed evaluation of Plow Shop Pond fish tissue concentrations relative to regional and national sources of "background" information is presented in Appendix N. Table 7-5 summarizes this evaluation.

Average concentrations of aluminum, iron, manganese, and zinc in fish from Plow Shop Pond were significantly higher than average tissue concentrations for all fish representing all trophic levels in the MADWPC database (Figure N-1). No other statistically significant differences were noted between Plow Shop Pond fish and the regional source of information. Levels of mercury in largemouth bass surpassed levels reported from both regional and national sources of information. While average concentrations of mercury in bluegill were below the regional estimates for this analyte, the maximum concentration detected in bluegill was higher than the 85th percentile concentration in the nationwide database. Both average and maximum concentrations of mercury in largemouth bass and bullhead exceeded regional and national reported levels.

The pesticide DDE was detected in all species of fish collected from Plow Shop Pond, as well as in a number of fish in the MADWPC data. Although fish tissue concentrations of this analyte did not exceed any national levels, DDE concentrations in largemouth bass were slightly greater than regional levels reported by MADWPC for all fish representing all trophic levels. This difference was not statistically different. Average arsenic concentrations in bluegill and bullhead both exceeded the national levels; however, arsenic was not detected in largemouth bass. No data regarding "background" arsenic concentrations in fish tissue were available from MADWPC.

Cadmium was detected in one largemouth bass from Plow Shop Pond. This analyte was undetected in the regional information sources of the MADWPC. The concentration of cadmium in the one bass was slightly above the national 85th percentile concentration.

Average iron and manganese concentrations in bluegill, largemouth bass, and bullhead from Plow Shop Pond were higher than their respective average concentrations in the MADWPC database for all fish representing all trophic levels. In general, the lower trophic level fish species evaluated (i.e., the bluegill) contained higher average concentrations of these two inorganics than did higher trophic level species (i.e., the largemouth bass).

Target analytes known to bioconcentrate in fish tissue include cadmium, mercury, and various organochlorinated pesticides and PCBs. In general, higher concentrations of all bioconcentratable analytes were detected in higher trophic level piscivorous fish (e.g., the largemouth bass) from Plow Shop Pond than in lower trophic level fish (e.g., the bluegill). Cadmium and a PCB (Aroclor-1260) were detected in bass, but not in bluegill or bullheads. Although mercury was detected in all three species of fish analyzed, the highest concentrations were detected in the largemouth bass.

7.1.3.2 Ecological Characterization. The purpose of the ecological characterization is to describe the habitats and potential ecological receptor species that may be exposed to COPCs associated with the Shepley's Hill Landfill. The presence of rare, threatened, and endangered receptors within (and adjacent to) the study area is also included in this subsection. This characterization is based on earlier descriptions of the site ecology in the RI report, as well as on information collected since the original RI was completed. The information included in this section is used as the basis for identification of relevant exposure pathways and the selection of appropriate indicator species in the ecological exposure assessment.

Site Description: Shepley's Hill Landfill. An ecological characterization of the Shepley's Hill Landfill was conducted as part of the original RI field investigation (E&E, 1993). This characterization included the identification of plant and animal communities known to be present at the site, and observations of any actual or potential effects of site contaminants on the site's ecological resources. A summary of the major habitat types identified during the RI at the Shepley's Hill Landfill is presented in Table 7-6. A primary focus of the supplemental risk assessment is to evaluate risk to ecological receptors in Plow Shop Pond; therefore, a summary of the major wetland habitat types and dominant plant species associated with this pond is presented below.

Forested Wetland. Forested wetland habitat is located on the northeastern boundary of the landfill, downgradient from groundwater flow from the landfill. One region of forested wetland habitat is located at the junction of Nonacoicus Brook and Plow Shop Pond, while the other consists of the narrow strip of wetland that borders Plow Shop Pond. Both forested wetlands are dominated by red maple (*Acer rubrum*), with shrubs such as nannyberry (*Viburnum lentago*),

highbush blueberry (*Vaccinium corymbosum*), and silky dogwood (*Cornus amomum*) in the sub-story.

Emergent/Open Water Wetland. The waters of Plow Shop Pond are designated as Class B by the Commonwealth of Massachusetts. The pond is eutrophic, and was classified in the original RI as a floating-leaved deep marsh. Seasonally, more than 80 percent of the surface area of the pond is covered with aquatic macrophytes, including sweet water lily (*Nymphaea odorata*) and water shield (*Brasenia schreberi*). Submerged macrophytes (primarily water marigold [*Megalodonta beckii*]), seasonally cover more than 75 percent of the submerged portions of the pond.

A Wetland Evaluation Technique (WET) evaluation was conducted on Plow Shop Pond to assess the functions and values of this wetland. WET is a standardized evaluation technique that provides a rapid assessment of many of the recognized values and functions of a wetland (Adamus et al., 1991). WET uses a standardized manual and answer sheet to provide input data for the WET 2.0 computer program. After data are entered into the WET program, a "Low," "Medium," or "High" value is assigned to each function.

A combination of the following 11 functions (i.e., physical, chemical, and biological characteristics) and values (characteristics beneficial to society) were evaluated through WET at Plow Shop Pond:

- Groundwater Recharge
- Groundwater Discharge
- Floodflow Alteration
- Sediment Stabilization
- Sediment/Toxicant Retention
- Nutrient Removal/Transformation
- Production Export
- Wildlife Diversity/Abundance
- Aquatic Diversity/Abundance
- Uniqueness/Heritage
- Recreation

The above-listed functions and values were evaluated by WET in the following contexts: "Social Significance" (the value of the wetland to society); "Effectiveness"

(the capability of the wetland to provide the function); and "Opportunity" (the opportunity of the wetland to provide the function).

The WET analysis determined that the value to society of Plow Shop Pond is "high" for Groundwater Recharge, Groundwater Discharge, Wildlife Diversity and Abundance, and Uniqueness and Heritage. The remainder of the evaluated WET parameters were rated as "low" to "moderate" in social significance. In terms of effectiveness, WET scored Plow Shop Pond as "high" for Sediment/Toxicant Retention and Wildlife Breeding and Migration, and as "low" to "moderate" for the other functions and values in WET. Of the three functions/values evaluated for Opportunity, the opportunity for Plow Shop Pond to perform the Sediment/ Toxicant Retention and Nutrient Removal/Transformation functions is rated as "high" by WET. Plow Shop Pond has the opportunity to provide these functions because the proximity of the adjacent landfill. Floodflow Alteration is rated as "moderate" by WET based upon the high percentage of the watershed this wetland occupies.

The WET functional assessment is included as Appendix O. In addition, this appendix includes a detailed narrative discussion interpreting the results of the WET analysis.

Rare, Threatened, and Endangered Species. The presence or absence of rare and endangered flora and fauna at the site is reviewed in this subsection. Under contract to the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, ABB-ES has developed a database of all flora and fauna known to seasonally or permanently occur at Fort Devens (ABB-ES, 1992). Particular emphasis has been paid to rare and endangered biota (the term "rare and endangered" refers to those species with protected status under the Federal Endangered Species Act [FESA] of 1973, as amended in 1988, and the Massachusetts Endangered Species Act [MESA] of 1990). The Fort Devens biological database contains current information from the MNHP and the USFWS regarding all rare and endangered species known to occur at Fort Devens. In addition, the ABB-ES database contains records that have not yet been incorporated into the MNHP database.

The ABB-ES master biological database has been checked for known occurrences of rare and endangered biota in the vicinity of Shepley's Hill Landfill. Records for the following species are known to exist in the vicinity of the landfill: grasshopper sparrow (*Ammodramus savannarum*) and upland sandpiper

(*Bartramia longicauda*). In addition, a Cooper's hawk (*Accipiter cooperii*) was identified during the initial phase of ecological characterization at the landfill (E&E, 1993). These three species are primarily considered upland species with minimal potential exposure to contaminated sediments in Plow Shop Pond. Therefore, it is unlikely that any of these receptors are at risk from environmental contamination at Plow Shop Pond.

Fish Community Study. As a supplemental RI activity, ABB-ES conducted a qualitative study of the fish population at Plow Shop Pond. The fish evaluation was designed to provide baseline information regarding the species of fish present, relative abundance of the species present, fish size distribution, trophic structure of the fish community, and the presence or absence of recreationally important fish. The fish evaluation was not intended to provide an inventory or survey of all fish present in the ponds, but to serve as a means of gathering baseline information regarding the Plow Shop Pond fishery. The fish sampling program is described in the Section 2.0. Appendix N contains an evaluation of the fish size distribution in Plow Shop Pond, as well as an evaluation of fish tissue contaminant concentrations relative to sources of "background" information.

A total of 193 fish representing seven families and 12 species were collected in Plow Shop Pond (Table 7-7 and Figure 7-2). Approximately 65 percent of the fish were captured via electrofishing; the remainder were captured via gill and trap netting (Figure 7-3). Although relatively few animals (n = 50) were captured via trap netting, the only record for one species, the white perch (*Morone americana*), was obtained via this method. Gill netting, electroshocking, and use of trap nets successfully resulted in the capture of chain pickerel, golden shiner, and bluegill.

The bluegill (*Lepomis macrochirus*) dominated the fish community sampled in terms of total numbers and total weight. Sunfish (members of the family Centrarchidae) accounted for more than 75 percent of the total number of fish captured in Plow Shop Pond, and for more than 60 percent of the total fish biomass sampled. In addition to the bluegill, other species of sunfish collected in Plow Shop Pond included the pumpkinseed (*Lepomis gibbosus*), black crappie (*Pomoxis nigromaculatus*), and the largemouth bass (*Micropterus salmoides*).

Top predators, including the largemouth bass and chain pickerel (*Esox niger*) represented more than 10 percent of the total numbers of animals collected. One possible young-of-the-year largemouth bass was collected (71 mm), suggesting that

this predator has successfully spawned in the Plow Shop Pond drainage. Two of the five largemouth bass collected in Plow Shop Pond were large (i.e., in excess of 3.5 kg).

Five insectivorous species (three bullhead [*Ictalurus*] species, pumpkinseed, and bluegill), and five insectivore/piscivore species (largemouth bass, white perch, black crappie, American eel, and yellow perch) were found in the pond. The insectivore/piscivore guild represented more than 80 percent of the total sample population. The golden shiner (*Notemigonus crysoleucas*), an omnivore, represented approximately 7 percent of the fish population, whereas the chain pickerel, a piscivore, represented about 9 percent of the Plow Shop Pond fish community.

Based on the limited data obtained in this study, the species composition and taxa richness of Plow Shop Pond appears to be typical of a southern New England warm water fish community. The numbers of individual fish collected at Plow Shop Pond was typical of sampling efforts in small lake habitats throughout southern New England (Downey, 1993). However, it is unknown whether the relatively low contribution of bass in the 1 kg range and the high percentage of bass greater than 1 kg reflect the limitations of a one-time sampling effort or is truly representative of the fish community at Plow Shop Pond.

Macroinvertebrate Study. The macroinvertebrate program at the Fort Devens Group 1A sites was designed to provide baseline information regarding the biota associated with aquatic habitats at Plow Shop Pond and Cold Spring Brook Pond, and to provide baseline information for possible use in evaluation of effects and effectiveness of any future remedial actions. When considered in conjunction with other ecological studies, results obtained from macroinvertebrate studies can be used to characterize the existence and extent of ecological impairments, evaluate the effectiveness of remedial actions, and provide a baseline characterization of biotic components of wetlands and aquatic systems (Plafkin et al., 1989).

Because the macroinvertebrate community data from Plow Shop Pond and Cold Spring Brook Pond were analyzed concurrently, the following discussion presents summary information for both potentially impacted ponds, although it concentrates on Plow Shop Pond. Additional detail regarding the macroinvertebrate sampling program at Cold Spring Brook Pond can be found in Subsection 7.2.3.2, and in Appendix P.

In response to regulatory input (USEPA and MADEP, 1993), the following objectives were considered for this macroinvertebrate study:

- to determine the presence or absence of macroinvertebrate infauna;
- to gather information about population density and taxonomic diversity;
- to statistically evaluate the relationship between the macroinvertebrate community characteristics of the potentially impacted ponds and the concentrations of analytes found in the sediment.

The macroinvertebrate sampling and analysis program was designed to meet these objectives. However, as discussed elsewhere (i.e., ABB-ES, 1993a and Subsection 7.3), considerable uncertainty is associated with the interpretation of the results of the Group 1A macroinvertebrate study. Limited numbers of samples, uncertainties associated with the selected reference pond, differences in habitat types between ponds, and natural environmental stochasticity confound interpretation of this portion of the supplemental risk assessment.

A semiquantitative inventory of macroinvertebrates was conducted at three sampling stations in Plow Shop Pond (Figure 2-1) and New Cranberry Pond (Figure 2-10). At each sampling station, two duplicate macroinvertebrate samples from vegetation ("phytomacrofauna") and two duplicate samples from sediment ("benthic infauna") were collected. Sampling of the macroinvertebrate community is described in the Data Gap Activities Section (Section 2.0).

Information regarding the physical attributes of the aquatic habitat (including nature of the substrate and vegetative characteristics) and water quality parameters (i.e., dissolved oxygen, temperature, pH, and conductivity) were collected at each sampling station and are summarized in Table 7-8.

A number of ponds on the South Post were considered as reference stations for the macroinvertebrate study. To select an appropriate reference pond, input was solicited from USEPA, USFWS, and MADEP. Of the South Post ponds reviewed, New Cranberry Pond most closely resembled Plow Shop Pond and Cold Spring Brook Pond in terms of ecological characteristics and trophic state.

Therefore macroinvertebrate (benthic and phytomacrofaunal) and sediment samples were collected from three macroinvertebrate sampling stations at New Cranberry Pond. A summary of the analytical data from shallow sediment samples collected from macroinvertebrate sampling stations at Plow Shop Pond and New Cranberry Pond is presented in Table 7-9.

An analysis of New Cranberry Pond sediment chemistry data from the macroinvertebrate sampling stations indicates that lead, mercury, DDT, DDE, and DDD may exceed available sediment quality criteria and guidance values (Table 7-10). It is possible that some or all of these exceedances represent a "background" level of analytes (particularly pesticides) on South Post, rather than a hazardous waste site-related problem. Furthermore, it is possible that the pesticide sediment quality criteria are overly conservative for use at Fort Devens (see Appendix S).

Based on these exceedances, uncertainty is associated with using New Cranberry Pond as an un-impacted reference station. Nonetheless, the New Cranberry Pond sediment contaminant concentrations are far below those of Plow Shop Pond, and the macroinvertebrate study was conducted with New Cranberry Pond as a reference pond. New Cranberry Pond was also used as a reference pond for comparison to Cold Spring Brook Pond. A summary of the sediment chemistry at macroinvertebrate sampling stations in Cold Spring Brook Pond and New Cranberry Pond can be found in Table 7-27, and Subsection 7.2.3.2.

Surface water samples collected from Plow Shop Pond were analyzed for general water quality parameters, and the data were compared to those obtained from New Cranberry Pond. This purpose of this comparison was to determine if any of these parameters could have contributed to or influenced the results of the macroinvertebrate community evaluation. In general, water quality parameter data from stations at Plow Shop Pond differ little from those at New Cranberry Pond. The pH at both ponds was fairly close to neutral, ranging from 7.32 to 7.66 at Plow Shop Pond and 6.5 to 6.69 at New Cranberry Pond (Table 7-5). The pH readings at both ponds were between pH 6.5 to 9, the range where unacceptable effects to aquatic organisms should not occur. (USEPA, 1986).

Conductivity measurements obtained from the two ponds were markedly different, ranging from 179 to 195 microsiemens at Plow Shop Pond versus 43.6 to 49.6 microsiemens at New Cranberry Pond (Table 7-5). This suggests a higher

concentration of dissolved salts or suspended solids in Plow Shop Pond, which may be reflective of differences in surface water quality. Dissolved oxygen (DO) at Plow Shop Pond ranged from 4.4 to 8.3 ppm and DO at New Cranberry Pond ranged from 5.8 to 5.9 ppm. The Plow Shop Pond temperature was roughly 3°C higher than the temperature at New Cranberry Pond (17° versus 14°C).

General water quality parameters within each pond were evaluated to identify potential differences or trends associated with proximity to the landfill source. The parameters measured at the three stations within Plow Shop Pond did not vary greatly, with the exception of DO, which was lower at Station 1 (4.4 ppm) than at Stations 2 and 3 (7.3 ppm and 8.3 ppm, respectively). Station 1 is the station furthest from Shepley's Hill Landfill, and therefore this difference, if significant, does not appear to be attributable to the landfill.

Eutrophic New England ponds exhibit a wide variety of pH, temperature, and DO conditions across spatial and temporal gradients, and resident organisms must be able to tolerate these diverse conditions. The general water quality parameters do not appear to be influencing factors in the differences observed between the macroinvertebrate communities at the two ponds or at the different stations within a pond.

A checklist of Fort Devens macroinvertebrates collected as part of this study is found in Table 7-11. Macroinvertebrates were generally identified to the genus level. Macroinvertebrate communities are characterized by considerable spatial and temporal diversity. Therefore, the taxa listed in Table 7-11 represent the assemblage that was collected during the sampling effort, and are not representative of or intended to be used as a true checklist for Plow Shop Pond or any other aquatic resources at Fort Devens. Similarly, a checklist of Grove Pond macroinvertebrates is included as Table 7-12. This Grove Pond list represents organisms collected by MADEP during a one-time sampling event in September 1992 (see Section 2.0, Data Gap Activities). The Grove Pond macroinvertebrate data have not been further evaluated in this supplemental risk assessment.

Statistical evaluation of the Fort Devens macroinvertebrate data included the following techniques:

- Kruskal-Wallis tests of variance
- Analyses of Variance (ANOVAs) with Tukey's Studentized Range

- Clustering analyses
- Rapid Bioassessment Protocol (RBP) metric comparison
- Taxonomic similarity testing with Jaccard Coefficients of Community Similarity
- Simple linear regression
- Multiple linear regression

These analyses focused on comparisons between taxa and their abundances either directly, or through the use of species richness, biotic composition, and trophic richness metrics. A detailed presentation of the Fort Devens macroinvertebrate statistical community analysis is presented in Appendix P.

The following metrics were calculated for the phytomacrofauna and benthic substrate samples: taxa richness, modified family biotic index (FBI), ratio of Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, and Trichoptera to Chironomidae (EPT/C) abundances, percentage contribution of the dominant family, and the EPT index (Tables P-1a, P-2a, P-3a and P-1b, P-2b, P-3b). In addition, a Jaccard Coefficient of similarity (Table P-6), statistical analysis of macroinvertebrate abundance (Tables P-7, P-8, and P-9), and a cluster analysis were done on the data (Figure P-1). Finally, a percentage comparability ratio was calculated, as described in the USEPA RBP manual (Plafkin et al., 1989) (Tables P-4 and P-5).

The results of the five metrics indicated that Plow Shop Pond had a significantly lower taxa richness than the other two ponds. New Cranberry Pond, the reference site, had the highest taxa richness of the three ponds (Tables P-2a and P-2b). New Cranberry Pond also had a significantly lower FBI than the other two ponds in the phytomacrofauna samples. This analysis indicates that New Cranberry Pond may have more pollution-intolerant species than either Plow Shop Pond or Cold Spring Brook Pond. Plow Shop Pond had a significantly higher percentage of pollution-tolerant dominant taxa in the vegetated substrate, and Cold Spring Brook Pond showed a similar result for the non-vegetated (i.e., benthic) substrates, indicating that the benthic community in both Plow Shop Pond and Cold Spring Brook Pond may be slightly impaired relative to that of New Cranberry Pond.

The RBP-III percentage comparability analysis indicated that Plow Shop Pond may have a slightly impaired macroinvertebrate community structure relative to New Cranberry Pond (Table P-4). Cold Spring Brook Pond was non-impaired

according to this analysis; however, other tests indicated that the macroinvertebrate community in Cold Spring Brook Pond may also be slightly impaired relative to that of New Cranberry Pond.

The Jaccard Coefficients indicated that the macroinvertebrate communities at the stations sampled closest to either landfill were most similar to each other (Table P-6). Stations farthest from the landfills at both sites had greater macroinvertebrate biodiversity, and were also similar to one another. Statistics done on the abundance of macroinvertebrates showed a similar result: the macroinvertebrate community in Plow Shop Pond appears to be slightly impaired, and the stations nearest to the landfills in both Plow Shop Pond and Cold Spring Brook Pond had reduced macroinvertebrate abundance (Table P-8). Analysis of macroinvertebrate abundance of different orders among ponds showed significant reductions in the Diptera and Cladocera at Plow Shop Pond (Table P-9). There were also more orders of macroinvertebrates not represented in collections at Plow Shop Pond than from Cold Spring Brook Pond and New Cranberry Pond.

The results of the cluster analysis were in accordance with the preceding analyses. This analysis indicated that the closer a station within a pond is to a landfill, the greater the impact to the macroinvertebrate community (Figure P-1). Similar effects were observed in Plow Shop Pond and Cold Spring Brook Pond, with reductions in both the number of taxa and the abundance of individuals within the taxa.

An analysis of the relationships between sediment chemistry and macroinvertebrate abundance, as well as diversity metrics, was inconclusive (Tables P-10 through P-17). This analysis suggested that no relationship exists between levels of the organic pesticides DDD, DDE, and DDT and differences in macroinvertebrate abundance and diversity in the study ponds. In addition, the sediment chemistry analysis indicated that no single inorganic COPC seems to be affecting the macroinvertebrate communities at the Group 1A sites. However, the statistical analysis did indicate that a group of approximately fifteen inorganic analytes may collectively impact the macroinvertebrates community. These include a combination of landfill and non-landfill related analytes, including arsenic, barium, beryllium, cadmium, copper, chromium, iron, mercury, manganese, nickel, antimony, and zinc. The statistical analysis suggested that arsenic, cobalt, iron, manganese, and mercury may be the five inorganic COPCs of

primary concern, relative to macroinvertebrate community abundance and diversity.

In summary, the statistical analyses indicate that Plow Shop Pond's macroinvertebrate community may be slightly impaired relative to that of New Cranberry Pond. The Plow Shop Pond macroinvertebrate community may be more impaired than that of Cold Spring Brook Pond, which may also be somewhat disturbed relative to New Cranberry Pond. Moreover, the data suggest that the macroinvertebrate stations closest to either landfill may be more impacted relative to those stations furthest from the landfills.

Considerable uncertainty is associated with the macroinvertebrate study at Fort Devens. This uncertainty is discussed in Subsection 7.3.

7.1.3.3 Ecological Exposure Assessment. The purpose of the supplemental ERA ecological exposure assessment is to evaluate the potential for ecological receptor exposure to chemical constituents in fish tissue, surface water, and sediments at the Shepley's Hill Landfill site. Ecological exposures to other environmental media have been previously evaluated in the original RI (E&E, 1993). This supplemental risk evaluation involves the identification of actual or potential exposure routes to receptors in Plow Shop Pond and evaluation of the magnitude of exposure to identified ecological receptors. In the exposure assessment, exposure concentrations are estimated for each receptor and for each exposure pathway evaluated in the supplemental risk assessment. This exposure information is used in conjunction with the toxicological information presented in the ecological effects assessment to evaluate ecological risk.

Exposure pathways describe the mechanism(s) by which ecological receptors are exposed to contaminated media, and consist of a(n): (1) contaminant source; (2) environmental transport medium; (3) point of receptor contact; and (4) the exposure route (e.g., ingestion of prey items that have bioaccumulated contaminants in their tissues, drinking of contaminated surface water, incidental soil ingestion, dermal absorption, and inhalation). A general overview of the exposure pathways considered in the Shepley's Hill Landfill supplemental risk assessment is presented in Table 7-13.

Potential receptors for which exposure and risks have been quantified include:

- Aquatic biota in Plow Shop Pond
- Semi-aquatic biota that depend on the aquatic environment for a portion of their life history requirements (i.e., wetlands wildlife)

Exposure to aquatic receptors from surface water and sediment have been evaluated via direct comparison of state and federal criteria and guidance values to concentrations of analytes detected in Plow Shop Pond surface water and sediments. Exposure to semi-aquatic ecological receptors has been evaluated using food web models. Evaluation of groundwater risks has previously been considered in the RI risk assessment (E&E, 1993).

Aquatic Biota. Aquatic fauna (including invertebrates, fish, reptiles, and amphibians) may potentially be exposed to contaminants through dermal contact with and ingestion of contaminated surface water and sediments. Exposures of aquatic fauna to sediments may occur via contact with and ingestion of contaminated sediment particles and interstitial pore water. Bioconcentration and bioaccumulation may provide significant exposure pathways for consumers of aquatic organisms. Bioconcentration is defined as "the process by which there is a net accumulation of a chemical directly from water into aquatic organisms resulting from simultaneous uptake (e.g., by gill and epithelial tissue) and elimination," whereas bioaccumulation is "a process by which chemicals are taken up by aquatic organisms from water directly or through consumption of food containing the chemicals" (Rand and Petrocelli, 1985). Bioaccumulation and bioconcentration from contaminated media potentially results in aquatic food chain effects, and could result in exposure to herbivorous, omnivorous, and carnivorous aquatic ecological receptors. Wetland plants may be exposed to contamination via direct contact with surface water, direct contact with surface water and direct contact, and root uptake from sediments and pore water.

To evaluate ecological risks to aquatic receptors, the exposure point concentrations employed in this supplemental risk assessment are the maximum and average concentrations of landfill COPCs (and non-landfill COPCs) in surface water and sediment.

Semi-aquatic Biota. Semi-aquatic fauna (including invertebrates, amphibians, reptiles, birds, and mammals) may be exposed to contamination via dermal contact with contaminated surface water and sediment, direct ingestion of surface

water and sediment, and by feeding on contaminated prey items. Bioconcentration and bioaccumulation from contaminated media potentially results in semi-aquatic food chain exposures, and could result in effects to semi-aquatic ecological receptors.

Semi-aquatic receptor's exposure via dermal uptake and inhalation have not been assessed in this supplemental risk assessment because little data regarding these exposure routes are available. Dermal exposure may be an ecologically significant exposure pathway for amphibians and for young, hairless mammals in subterranean dens (i.e., juvenile muskrats); however, in general, fur, feathers, and chitinous integument will minimize dermal absorption for most ecological receptors. In addition, because the primary COPCs at the Group 1A sites are inorganics, significant ecological exposure via the inhalation and dermal exposure pathways is not expected since metals (with the possible exception of mercury) tend to be poorly absorbed through the skin and tend not be volatilize. Inhalation exposures by ecological receptors are generally insignificant, except in emergency situations (e.g., following a chemical spill), and were not evaluated in this risk assessment.

Exposures to semi-aquatic receptors via ingestion of, and dermal contact with analytes in surface water were not evaluated. Some semi-aquatic species, however, have an aquatic life stage during which exposures to surface water is likely. Risks to these species are likely to be similar to those estimated for other aquatic life because USEPA's AWQC, which were used to estimate the risk, to aquatic receptors, incorporate available toxicity data for aquatic stages of amphibian species.

To evaluate food chain exposure to semi-aquatic ecological receptors at Plow Shop Pond, a simple food web model was employed to estimate the potential dietary exposure levels of analytes detected in sediments. Several potential receptor species representing various trophic levels within the ecological community in the vicinity of the Shepley's Hill Landfill were evaluated with the food web model.

The semi-aquatic ecological receptor species evaluated in the food web model were chosen because: (1) they may be potential ecological receptors at Fort Devens; (2) their various feeding habits (e.g., omnivorous, carnivorous) are representative of a typical southeastern New England semi-aquatic ecological

community; (3) most of these species were evaluated in the RI risk assessment (E&E, 1993); and (4) several of these species have previously been recommended for evaluation of ecological risks by USEPA and USFWS. Each species evaluated is assumed to be representative of other species within a trophic level at Fort Devens (i.e., a trophic guilding approach has been employed in this risk assessment).

The following indicator species were selected to represent exposure to semi-aquatic organisms via ingestion of food and sediment from Plow Shop Pond. These organisms rely on wetlands to fulfill many of their life history habitat requirements.

- Eastern Painted Turtle (*Chrysemys picta*). This widespread turtle is found in slow-moving shallow streams, rivers, and lakes. It prefers vegetated aquatic systems with soft bottoms and logs and rocks for basking. The eastern painted turtle hibernates by burrowing into soft mud or decaying vegetation in pond bottoms. Preferred food items for this omnivorous reptile include aquatic insects, snails, small fish, tadpoles, and aquatic vegetation (DeGraaf and Rudis, 1983). The eastern painted turtle has been used to represent the primary and secondary consumer reptilian community in the Fort Devens food web model.

- Green Frog (*Rana clamitans*). The green frog occurs throughout eastern North America. It is typically found in shallow waters in swamps, brooks, and the edges of ponds and lakes. Green frogs forage in shoreline vegetation, and prefer small fish and invertebrates, including insects, mollusks, and crustaceans. Green frogs in New England typically hibernate underwater or in soft muddy wetland bottoms, although they may be active on warm winter days (Degraaf and Rudis, 1983). The green frog has been used to represent the amphibian community in the Fort Devens food web model.

- Mallard Duck (*Anas platyrhynchos*). This widely distributed duck is found throughout temperate regions of the world and is the most abundant duck species throughout much of the northern hemisphere. Mallards prefer to feed on seeds of grasses, sedges,

and other graminoid plants; they will feed on submerged aquatic vegetation, including leaves, stems, and seeds. Mallard ducks will also feed on snails and insects (DeGraaf and Rudis, 1983; Terres, 1987). The mallard has been used to represent the primary and secondary consumer avian community in the food web model.

- Great Blue Heron (*Ardea herodias*). This widely distributed bird is the largest North American heron, standing up to four feet tall and weighing five to eight pounds (Terres, 1987). Although it is a migratory species, the great blue heron winters over much of its breeding range and may occur year-round in southern New England, especially during milder winters. Great blue herons are frequently observed in the shallows of ponds, lakes, streams, rivers, wet meadows, marshes, and forested swamps (DeGraaf and Rudis, 1983). A colonial nester, this species prefers tall trees and snags in forested swamps (Terres, 1987). Major prey items of the great blue heron include aquatic insects, amphibians, reptiles, crustaceans, and occasionally small birds and mammals. The great blue heron has been used to represent the secondary and tertiary consumer avian community in the food web model.

- Osprey (*Pandion haliaetus*). This piscivorous bird of prey occurs along lakes, rivers, and coastal areas in both the northern and southern hemispheres. Osprey spend much of their time perched on dead tree snags or rocks along the water's edge. Osprey typically hover at heights of 30 to 100 feet above the water surface; when a fish is spotted, osprey will plunge into the water and capture it in both talons (Terres, 1987). Osprey nest on the tops of a variety of natural and man-made objects, including trees and artificial nesting platforms. In Massachusetts, the osprey was a state-protected species until 1990, when it was removed from the list of endangered species (Dumanoski, 1990). The bird only nests in coastal counties in Massachusetts, from the Rhode Island border to Essex County. However, during fall and spring migration, birds are known to stop at Fort Devens and fish in the installation's aquatic systems. The osprey has been used to represent the tertiary consumer avian community in the food web model.

- Muskrat (*Ondatra zibethicus*). This common mammal is widespread throughout North America (Burt and Grossenheider, 1976). Its preferred habitat includes marshes, portions of lakes, ponds, swamps, sluggish streams, and drainage ditches; it is most abundant in regions with cattails (*Typha* sp.) (Degraaf and Rudis, 1983). Muskrats feed on a variety of aquatic and emergent plant species, including cattails, common reed (*Phragmites australis*), bulrushes (*Scirpus* sp.), and a variety of grasses; this rodent will also occasionally feed on mollusks, crayfish, frogs, and fish (DeGraaf and Rudis, 1983; Baker, 1983; Burt and Grossenheider, 1976). Muskrats spend much of their time in tunnels, lodges, burrows, and feeding shelters (Baker, 1983). Populations of this species may undergo annual and multi-annual fluctuations (DeGraaf and Rudis, 1983). The muskrat has been used to represent the primary and secondary consumer small mammal community in the food web model.

- Raccoon (*Procyon lotor*). The raccoon is a medium-sized mammal that occurs throughout much of North America. Raccoons inhabit woodlands, agricultural fields, and wetlands; frequently they are found in areas of human habitation. Raccoon dens include a variety of protected areas, ranging from culverts to hollow logs and abandoned woodchuck burrows. Opportunistic and omnivorous, the raccoon prefers to feed on animal prey items including crawfish, worms, and insects, as well as fruits, seeds, vegetation, and garbage. This mammal is has been used to represent the omnivorous mammal community in the food web model.

- Mink (*Mustela vison*). This semi-aquatic predator is a member of the weasel family. It prefers stream banks, forested wetlands, marshes, and secondary growth uplands (DeGraaf and Rudis, 1983; Baker, 1983). Mink populations may be highest in large wetlands containing cattails and muskrats, where populations of nine to 15 animals per square mile can be expected (Baker, 1983). Minks feed on a variety of aquatic and aquatic prey items, including small mammals (such as muskrats), fish, frogs, salamanders, crayfish, mollusks, and insects (DeGraaf and Rudis, 1983; Baker, 1983). This carnivorous mammal is active year-round, and has been used to

represent the secondary and tertiary consumer mammal community in the food web model.

These eight species were selected because they are representative of the range of mammals, birds, reptiles, and amphibians that may occur in the vicinity of the Shepley's Hill Landfill at Fort Devens. The eastern painted turtle, green frog, mallard duck, raccoon, and great blue heron are all common breeding animals at Fort Devens. These species have been observed at Plow Shop Pond and Cold Spring Brook Pond. Although mink and muskrat have not been observed at either pond by ABB-ES, these two species are common breeding animals at Fort Devens and are known to occur in the vicinity of both of the Group 1A sites. Osprey do not breed at inland sites in New England; however, they are annually observed in Worcester County during spring and fall migration. A few visual records for osprey over Plow Shop Pond exist. Exposure parameters for these species are presented in Table Q-1, in Appendix Q.

Recent studies have indicated that the magnitude of fish tissue contaminant burden may not be directly related to the magnitude of sediment contamination (Weiner, 1993). It is likely that other factors, including fish lipid content, trophic level of the fish evaluated, and trophic status of the aquatic resource evaluated, may explain ecological partitioning of analytes in aquatic systems (Rowen and Rasmussen, 1992). Nonetheless, for the purpose of modeling ecological exposure to analytes detected at Plow Shop Pond, bioaccumulation factors (BAFs) that model direct uptake from sediments were assumed to play a major role in trophic transfer processes.

To model food chain exposure to COPCs in sediment and fish tissue, estimated tissue residues in prey items were calculated using BAFs. When empirical data were available from the fish tissue study, BAFs for fish were calculated by dividing the average (arithmetic mean) fish tissue concentration by the average (arithmetic mean) sediment concentration. Analyte-specific fish BAFs were established by dividing the average fish tissue concentration by the average sediment concentration in each pond. Therefore, multiplying the fish BAF by the average sediment concentration for a particular analyte results in the detected average fish concentration. Rather than calculate a second BAF for the RME evaluation, the established fish BAF was multiplied by the maximum sediment concentration to establish the RME exposure point concentration. The RME evaluation, therefore, considered the maximum sediment concentration on an

analyte-by-analyte basis, as well as a fish tissue exposure point concentration well above the average fish tissue level. This value represents in realistic RME exposure point for the pond. An assumption has been made that the other vertebrate taxa evaluated in the food web model accumulate sediment contaminants to the same degree as the fish. Consequently, the BAFs derived from the fish tissue data were used to model uptake by other classes of vertebrate receptors.

Several analytes were undetected in fish tissue, but were found in Plow Shop Pond sediments; conversely, several other analytes were detected in fish tissue, but were undetected in sediments. In these cases, a value of one-half the fish tissue or sediment SQL was used to conservatively estimate the BAF. When literature values were available for organisms other than fish (e.g., plants and invertebrates), these literature values were used to generate BAFs. When literature values were not available, conservative assumptions and extrapolation techniques were used to estimate BAFs. BAFs used in the supplemental risk assessment are presented in Table Q-2, in Appendix Q.

Estimated analyte tissue residues in prey species were estimated by multiplying either the average (for the average exposure scenario) or the maximum (for the RME scenario) sediment analyte concentrations by chemical-specific BAFs, as shown in the following equation:

$$\textit{Prey Tissue Concentration (mg/kg)} = \textit{Sediment Concentration (mg/kg)} \times \textit{Bioaccumulation Factor (BAF)}$$

To estimate dietary exposure concentrations for the modeled receptors under the average exposure scenario, the potential food chain exposure point concentration in fish tissue is therefore the average COPC concentration detected in Plow Shop Pond fish. For the RME scenario, a conservative assumption has been made that the fish tissue exposure point concentration is greater than the average COPC concentrations detected in fish tissue.

The Potential Exposure Dose (PED) level associated with ingestion of contaminated prey items for each modeled receptor species was calculated by

multiplying each predicted prey species tissue concentration by the proportion of that prey type in the diet, summing these values, multiplying by the receptor species' Site Foraging Frequency (SFF), Exposure Duration (ED), and Ingestion Rate (IR), and dividing by the receptor species' body weight (BW). The PED_{diet} is represented by the following equation:

$$PED_{diet} = [P_1 \times T_1 + P_2 \times T_2 + \ldots P_n \times T_n] \times SFF \times ED \times IR/BW$$

where:

PED_{diet}	=	Potential Exposure Dose (mg/kgBW-day)
P_n	=	Percentage of diet represented by prey item ingestion
T_n	=	Tissue concentration in prey item (mg/kg); calculated by multiplying the analyte concentration in sediment (or food item) by a bioaccumulation factor
SFF	=	Site Foraging Frequency; Area of Contaminated Sediment (acres)/Home range (acres)
ED	=	Exposure Duration (unitless)
IR	=	Ingestion Rate (kg/day)
BW	=	Body Weight (kg)

Potential exposure dosages for the incidental sediment ingestion pathway ($PED_{sediment}$) (i.e., associated with foraging, preening, and cleaning activities) were estimated by multiplying the sediment concentrations by the estimated percentage of sediment in the diet of each modeled receptor species, multiplying by the SFF, ED, and ingestion rate, and dividing by BW. For the receptors evaluated at Shepley's Hill Landfill, incidental sediment ingestion was conservatively assumed to range from 0 to 5 percent of the receptor's dietary intake, based in part on a recent presentation of Beyer and Connor (1992). The area of contaminated pond was assumed to be 30 acres (the entire pond) for the purpose of calculating the SFF.

Exposure dosages associated with these two pathways were summed to calculate a Total Body Dose (TBD):

$$TBD = PED_{diet} + PED_{sediment}$$

where:

TBD	=	Total Body Dose (mg/kgBW-day)
PED_{diet}	=	Potential Dietary Exposure Dosage (mg/kgBW-day)
$PED_{sediment}$	=	Potential Sediment Exposure Dosage (mg/kgBW-day)

TBD estimates, expressed in mg/kgBW-day, are directly comparable to the available toxicological dose-response data and were used in conjunction with toxicological data to evaluate ecological risks to semi-aquatic receptors at the Shepley's Hill Landfill.

7.1.3.4 Ecological Effects Assessment. The purpose of the Ecological Effects Assessment is to select the ecological characteristics (endpoints) to be evaluated, describe the environmental risks associated with the identified COPCs in each medium of concern, and to evaluate the relationship between the concentration to which an organism is exposed and the potential for adverse effects. The toxicological evaluation includes the process of characterizing the inherent toxicity of the COPCs and establishing reference or threshold toxicity values for each identified analyte. Information contained in the ecological effects assessment, in conjunction with exposure information presented in the previous subsection, is used to evaluate ecological risks to aquatic and semi-aquatic organisms in the ecological risk characterization.

This subsection addresses the potential effects of COPCs in Plow Shop Pond surface water, sediments, and fish tissue. Ecological effects associated with exposure to other environmental media have previously been evaluated in the original RI (E&E, 1993). In addition, relevant aspects of the data gap ecological field program, as presented in Section 2.0, are discussed in the context of providing corroborative evidence between contaminant exposures and ecological effects.

Selection of Endpoints. The first component of the Ecological Effects Assessment consists of identifying ecological characteristics or endpoints that may be adversely affected by exposure to an environmental stressor (for instance, a COPC). Two types of ecological endpoints were considered: assessment endpoints and measurement endpoints (Suter, 1993; USEPA, 1992c). Assessment endpoints formally describe the environmental value(s) to be protected. The definition of assessment endpoints is a critical component of the ecological effects assessment and consists of identifying the environmental attributes potentially at risk (Suter, 1993). In most cases, the assessment endpoint cannot be directly measured, and a measurement endpoint (or suite of measurement endpoints) has been selected that can be related, either qualitatively or quantitatively, to the assessment endpoint (USEPA, 1992c).

Assessment and measurement endpoints can be evaluated at individual, population, community, or ecosystem levels. In general, assessment endpoints selected for the Shepley's Hill Landfill supplemental risk assessment are related to the presence, abundance, and diversity of ecological receptor species. These endpoints were selected because it was assumed that site contamination may result in certain species avoiding contaminated habitats (resulting in relative scarcity or absence of intolerant receptors) or suffering toxic effects from exposure to contaminants (Maughan, 1993).

Because long-term monitoring data concerning population levels of the ecological receptors at the Group 1A sites are not available and are impractical to collect, these assessment endpoints were not directly evaluated. Rather, the assessment endpoints were evaluated through extrapolation from measurement endpoints, which were generally the observed, estimated, or predicted effects of COPCs on survival, growth, and reproduction of individual organisms. In addition, limited measurement endpoint data are available from the qualitative fish population study and the semi-quantitative macroinvertebrate community study.

To establish endpoints for evaluation of COPC effects, measurement endpoints were generally used to model assessment endpoints. Toxicological or protective benchmark values that relate the magnitude of expected effects to a given level of exposure to the COPCs were selected for each environmental medium. From the toxicological data set evaluated, chronic toxicity values for each representative species or medium were selected as the benchmark Reference Toxicity Values (RTVs) for each COPC. These benchmark RTVs, representing a threshold

concentration or dose for effects to aquatic and semi-aquatic organisms, are expressed in μg/L in surface water, μg/g in sediments, and the mass of constituent per unit body weight per day for semi-aquatic organisms (mg/kg BW [body weight]/day). Relevant toxicity information on the landfill-related COPCs can be found in Section 7.3.4.1 of the original RI risk assessment (E&E, 1993).

Toxicity to Aquatic Receptors. Limited data are available to evaluate the potential for toxic effects of analytes detected in Plow Shop Pond surface water and sediments on aquatic life. Available information includes federal water quality criteria, state and federal sediment quality criteria and guidance values, laboratory-derived toxicity data, and toxicity threshold values developed using toxicological extrapolation techniques. The risks to aquatic receptors from Plow Shop Pond surface water and sediment contamination have been evaluated through comparison of concentrations of analytes present in surface water and sediment to the benchmark concentration RTVs for these media. A discussion of available criteria or other values used to derive benchmark RTVs for surface water is presented below, followed by a discussion of available criteria or guidance values used to derive benchmark RTVs for sediment.

Surface Water Benchmark RTVs

- **USEPA Ambient Water Quality Criteria.** Ambient Water Quality Criteria (AWQC) have been developed and published by the USEPA for the protection of aquatic life and its uses (USEPA 1983b). Both acute and chronic AWQC have been developed for many of the analytes detected in surface water at Fort Devens. Acute AWQC are defined as one-hour average concentrations not to be exceeded more than once every three years, and chronic AWQC are defined as four-day average concentrations not to be exceeded more than once every three years. AWQC values are based on biological effects studies on aquatic organisms such as algae, cladocerans, fish, and amphibians reporting effects such as lethality or impaired growth or reproduction. Commonly reported values include median lethal concentration (LC_{50}) studies, which represent the lowest single concentration which is lethal to 50 percent of the study population, and Lowest Observable Effect Concentration (LOEC), which represent the lowest concentration at which adverse effects were observed in the study population.

Methods used to derive the AWQC are presented in "Guidelines for Deriving Numerical National Water Quality Criteria for the Protection of Aquatic organism and their uses" (USEPA 1983b). Criteria for several inorganics are dependent upon water hardness, with toxicity increasing as hardness decreases. Therefore, a site-specific water hardness of 35.1 mg/L was used to calculate the AWQC.

- **Other Aquatic Toxicity Data.** USEPA AWQC are not available for some Fort Devens COPCs. In cases where no AWQC were available, scientific literature was reviewed and values from relevant toxicity studies were selected as RTVs. RTVs for these COPCs were derived from the literature by compiling available acute and chronic toxicity values. In cases where only a very limited number of values were available, the lowest value was selected. If this value was for a severe response (e.g., lethality) a safety factor of 0.2 was applied to derive the acute RTV. If no chronic values were available, a factor of 0.1 was applied to the acute RTV to derive a chronic RTV. This factor is based on observations by Newell et al., (1987) that acute and chronic toxicity values often differ by roughly one order of magnitude.

A summary of surface water RTVs is presented in Table 7-14.

Sediment Benchmark RTVs

- **USEPA Interim Sediment Quality Criteria.** Interim sediment quality criteria for several hydrophobic organic compounds have been developed and published by the USEPA (1988). Although referred as criteria, the values contained in this document are intended to be used as guidance, rather than as numerical criteria. No USEPA interim sediment quality criteria are available to evaluate the effects of inorganic constituents on aquatic life. However, the USEPA interim sediment quality criteria were used to evaluate the organic COPCs in Plow Shop Pond that did not originate in the landfill. The USEPA interim sediment quality criteria are intended to protect benthic organisms that are primarily impacted by contaminants in the interstitial water between sediment

particles. USEPA developed interim sediment quality criteria using an equilibrium partitioning approach to identify sediment concentrations that could be associated with interstitial water concentrations equal to chronic federal AWQC.

For non-polar, hydrophobic organic compounds, such as PCBs, the degree to which compounds are released from sediment particles into the interstitial water is strongly influenced by their low solubility and strong binding affinity to TOC within the sediment particle. The higher the carbon content of the sediments, the lower the potential for contaminant release to the interstitial water. Therefore, the toxicity of sediments containing hydrophobic compounds (and subsequently the associated sediment toxicity criteria) varies on a site-specific basis in an inverse relationship with the fraction of sediment that is organic carbon. For this reason, when appropriate, Fort Devens sediment toxicity threshold criteria were carbon-normalized. Carbon-normalized interim criteria were calculated by multiplying the average carbon content of the sediments by the appropriate sediment quality criteria. Ecological risk was evaluated through direct comparison of this carbon-normalized interim value with the sediment analytical data.

Considerable uncertainty is associated with use of the USEPA interim sediment quality criteria for the pesticide DDT. It is possible that the DDT interim sediment quality criteria is approximately an order of magnitude lower than is necessary to protect aquatic life at Fort Devens. A discussion of the uncertainty associated with use of this value can be found in Appendix S.

- **National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Sediment Threshold Values**. Long and Morgan (1990) have developed biological effects-based criteria for evaluating sediment contaminant data. Although this NOAA study is designed primarily for evaluating the toxicity of marine and estuarine sediments, USEPA Region I has suggested at other Fort Devens study areas that Long and Morgan (1990) criteria may also be used as a source of information for the evaluation of freshwater sediments at hazardous waste sites. Furthermore, these NOAA guidelines are

frequently used as an ecological risk assessment screening tool in USEPA Region I. The Effects Range-Medium (ER-M) of Long and Morgan (1990) represents the 50th percentile concentration of contamination in estuarine sediments with observed (or predicted) effects. The Effects Range-Low (ER-L) of Long and Morgan (1990) represents the 10th percentile concentration of contamination in estuarine sediments with observed (or predicted) effects. When USEPA sediment quality criteria were not available, the NOAA ER-L was used to evaluate Plow Shop Pond sediment contamination.

- **New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) Sediment Quality Criteria.** The NYSDEC Bureau of Environmental Protection, Division of Fish and Wildlife of NYSDEC has published a document entitled "Sediment Criteria - December 1989" (NYSDEC, 1989). This report is a guidance document, not a NYSDEC standard or policy. The NYSDEC sediment quality criteria document contains a methodology for developing sediment criteria, a description of the use of these criteria in risk management decision-making processes, and a table of sediment criteria derived for various human and ecological receptors. Organic contaminant sediment criteria developed in NYSDEC (1989) are based on the equilibrium partitioning approach. The NYSDEC (1989) guidance document contains recommended criteria for several organic and inorganic constituents found in Fort Devens sediments. When neither USEPA sediment quality criteria nor NOAA ER-L values were available, NYSDEC values were used to assess aquatic toxicity at Plow Shop Pond. Organic NYSDEC values were carbon-normalized.

  A summary of sediment benchmark concentration RTVs is presented in Table 7-15. Several additional sediment quality criteria and guideline values are also included in Table 7-15.

Toxicity to Semi-aquatic Receptors. Potential impacts to semi-aquatic ecological receptors at estimated exposure concentrations were evaluated using published laboratory-derived toxicological data, as well as threshold toxicity values developed using extrapolation techniques. Toxicological endpoints evaluated

included behavioral effects, developmental effects, physiological changes, and changes in organ weight, size, or functionality. Lethal dose studies (e.g., LD_{50} studies) and effects studies (e.g., EC_{50} studies) were considered.

Oral ingestion studies were preferentially chosen as measurement endpoints in the following order: (1) feeding studies, (2) gavage studies, (3) drinking water studies. Based on these data, benchmark dosage RTVs were developed to represent a threshold dose for effects to organisms. Benchmark dosage RTVs are expressed in mg/kg BW (body weight)/day (dose normalized to body weight). From the toxicological data set evaluated (Appendix Q, Table Q-3), compound-specific chronic toxicity values for each type of receptor (indicator species) were selected as the Group 1A benchmark dosage RTVs. These benchmark dosage RTVs are presented in Appendix Q, Table Q-4.

The chronic benchmark dosage RTV selection procedure included the following general guidelines:

- Taxon-specific toxicological data were used whenever possible, regardless of study duration. When taxon-specific data were unavailable, available toxicological data were used as surrogate toxicological benchmarks for various indicator species. Because reptile and amphibian toxicological data are scarce, bird toxicity values were used to represent most green frog and painted turtle benchmark dosage RTVs.

- Chronic benchmark dosage RTVs were generally based on the average of reported Lowest Observed Adverse Effect Levels (LOAELs) for non-mortality endpoints from chronic studies (i.e., those lasting more than 364 days). However, when chronic non-mortality data were unavailable, the average of reported LOAEL non-mortality data from sub-chronic studies (those lasting 15 to 364 days) were used for the benchmark dosage RTV. Mortality data from chronic studies were used only when data from chronic or sub-chronic non-mortality studies were unavailable. LOAELs extrapolated from acute or No Observable Adverse Effect Levels (NOAELs) were not included when LOAELs were averaged to derive benchmark dosage RTVs.

- When chronic or sub-chronic studies were not available, acute study values were used. In these cases, two factors are applied to the acute Lethal Dose Fifty (LD_{50}) (the single dose lethal to 50 percent of the test organisms). These include: (1) a factor of 0.2 for extrapolating from the oral LD_{50} to a value expected to protect 99.9 percent of the population from acute effects (USEPA, 1986); and (2) a factor of 0.1 for extrapolating from acute to chronic values (the acute-chronic ratio for many is approximately 10 (Newell et al., 1987)). Additionally, in cases where only a NOAEL value was available, a factor of 5 was used to extrapolate an estimated LOAEL from the NOAEL value. LOAELs extrapolated from chronic or sub-chronic NOAEL data were preferentially used over data extrapolated from acute studies.

- When no studies were available in the ABB-ES database for a given analyte, an appropriate surrogate with adequate toxicological data was assigned (e.g., DDT was used as a surrogate for DDD and DDE).

Field Observations. Limited measurement endpoint data are available from the qualitative fish population study and the semi-quantitative macroinvertebrate community study. The macroinvertebrate study has been summarized in Subsection 7.1.3.2 and in Appendix P. In addition, field observations of physically stressed vegetation were summarized in the original risk assessment (E&E, 1993).

Fish. As detailed in Sections 2.0 and Subsection 7.1.3.2, fish were collected from Plow Shop Pond to provide baseline information regarding the status of the fishery in the pond. Interactions between contaminants and fish populations are poorly understood, and no single pathological condition in individual fish or in fish populations serves as a universal contaminant stress indicator (Hunn, 1988). However, certain physical, anatomical, bio, and physiological conditions are known to be associated with environmental pollutants. These conditions include fin erosion, skin ulcerations, skeletal abnormalities, tumors, and scale disorientation (Hunn, 1988).

As part of the fish study, a number of individual fish were checked for external pathological gross abnormalities (e.g., tumors, lesions, structural or bone defects) through an evaluation of the conditions of the lips, jaws, barbels (when

applicable), eyes, right gill, fins, urogenital cavity, anus, body form, and body surfaces. All data were recorded on field data sheets.

The gross pathological examination of fish from Plow Shop Pond suggests that the individual fish evaluated were healthy (Table 7-16). No tumors or lesions were observed in any fish examined; barbels on all bullheads examined were uniformly intact and free from abnormalities. Of the 161 fish examined, eleven exhibited minor physical abnormalities. A slight fraying of fins was noted in five fish: this phenomenon is commonly observed in many non-stressed fish populations. Several other minor physical abnormalities observed on Plow Shop Pond fish may be the result of historical predation and injuries from human fishing hooks (e.g., a deformed jaw was observed on one pickerel).

7.1.3.5 Ecological Risk Characterization. This subsection characterizes the risk to aquatic and semi-aquatic receptors potentially exposed to COPCs in Plow Shop Pond surface water sediment, and fish tissue. Risks were quantitatively evaluated using HQs, which were calculated for each COPC by dividing the estimated exposure concentration or dose by the concentration or dosage benchmark RTV. In addition, relevant aspects of the Data Gap ecological field program, as presented in Section 2.0, are discussed relative to the ecological risk characterization.

RME and average exposure HQs for aquatic organisms were estimated by dividing the maximum and average environmental concentrations, respectively, of each COPC by the concentration RTV (typically a surface water or interim sediment quality criterion or guideline). To calculate RME HQs for the semi-aquatic organisms evaluated through food web modeling, the dose based on the maximum concentration of each COPC was divided by the dosage RTV. Average exposure HQs were calculated by dividing the dose based on the average COPC concentrations by the appropriate dosage RTV. Summary HIs were determined by summing the HQs for all COPCs.

When the estimated exposure point concentration or dose was less than the benchmark RTV (i.e., the HQ < 1), ecological risk has been assumed to be insignificant. When the estimated dose or exposure point concentration exceeded the benchmark RTV (i.e., HQ > 1), a discussion of the ecological significance of this exceedance has been included. This hazard ranking scheme evaluates potential ecological effects to individual organisms, and does not evaluate

potential population-wide risks. Contaminants may cause population reductions by affecting birth and mortality rates, immigration and emigration (USEPA, 1989a). In many circumstances, acute (or chronic) effects may occur to individual organisms with little potential population or community level impacts; however, as the number of individual organisms experiencing toxic effects increases, the probability that population effects will occur also increases. The number of affected individuals in a population presumably increases with increasing HQ or HI values; therefore, the likelihood of population level effects occurring is generally expected to increase with higher HQ or HI values.

Risk to Aquatic Receptors from Surface Water. Comparison of the Plow Shop Pond surface water COPC concentrations with RTVs provides a means to evaluate the potential for adverse effects to aquatic environmental receptors from exposure to COPCs (Table 7-17). This comparison indicates that aquatic life could potentially be at risk from exposure to contaminated surface water in Plow Shop Pond.

Average exposure point concentrations were compared with chronic AWQC to provide an indication of risks associated with average exposure conditions. RME (maximum detected) exposure point concentrations were compared with acute AWQC to provide an indication of risk associated with RME conditions. Average and RME concentrations of both copper and silver (non-landfill related COPCs) exceeded the respective chronic and acute AWQC. Although silver was detected in only two out of 15 samples, the detection limit of 0.316 μg/L reported for silver is higher than the chronic AWQC. It is therefore possible that the actual concentrations in the 13 non-detect samples, and the average concentrations were above the chronic AWQC. The RME concentration of zinc (also a non-landfill related COPC) was slightly higher than the acute AWQC. Given that only a small fraction of any aquatic population is likely to be exposed to a maximum concentration, adverse ecological impacts (i.e., adverse impacts at the population and community levels) from exposure to zinc are considered unlikely. It is important to note that the majority of AWQC incorporate considerable data from studies using sensitive cold water species, such as rainbow trout, which do not occur in the fish community in Plow Shop Pond. These criteria may therefore be over-protective of species such as bluegill sunfish which are generally less sensitive to environmental contaminants.

An uncertainty associated with the evaluation of the E&E (1993) surface water data is associated with the use of samples analyzed for total, rather than dissolved, contaminants. Recent USEPA national guidance recommends that dissolved contaminant data be used for ecological risk assessments evaluating surface water. Dissolved contaminant data better represent the bioavailable fraction of contaminants present in surface water. Therefore, the use of total contaminant surface water data (rather than the dissolved fraction) results in an over-estimate of risk from surface water at the Group 1A sites.

The surface water average and RME hazard indices are 7.7 and 12.8, respectively. Copper and silver are the primary contributors to this hazard index. These findings suggest that any potential risks to aquatic receptors at Plow Shop Pond may be primarily attributable to sources other than the Shepley's Hill Landfill, as assessed in this report.

Risks to Aquatic Receptors from Sediment. Comparison of the Plow Shop Pond sediment COPC concentrations with concentration RTVs provides a means to evaluate the potential for adverse effects to aquatic environmental receptors from exposure to COPCs (Table 7-18). This comparison indicates that aquatic life may be at risk from exposure to contaminated sediments in Plow Shop Pond.

Concentrations of all five landfill-related analytes (arsenic, barium, iron, manganese, and nickel), as assessed in this report, exceed the available sediment RTVs. The average exposure HQ for arsenic was 14.2, whereas the RME HQ for this analyte was 97. Average exposure and RME HQs for barium were 5.4 and 17.2, respectively, whereas the average and maximum HQs for manganese were 6.2 and 128. The average exposure nickel concentration did not exceed its RTV, and the RME HQ for nickel was 2.6. Average iron concentrations resulted in an HQ of 1.5, whereas the maximum iron concentration resulted in an RME HQ of 13.8.

Other non-landfill related COPCs in Plow Shop Pond sediments were also present in concentrations in excess of their RTVs. HQs for the majority of organic analytes were less than 1, with the exception of the RME HQ for DDE, and DDD which were 4.8 and 6.6 respectively. HQs from aquatic receptor exposure to average concentrations of cadmium, chromium, and mercury were 2, 24.8, 3.6 and 121 respectively. RME HQs ranged from a low of 1.1, for cobalt, to a high of

867, for mercury. The RME HQs for cadmium, chromium, copper, lead, and zinc were also greater than 1, and ranged from 1.9 (copper) to 125 (chromium).

Approximately 15 percent of the average exposure aquatic HI for Plow Shop Pond is attributable to landfill analytes in sediments: approximately one-half the risk from average concentrations of landfill analytes is attributable to arsenic. The remaining 85 percent of the average exposure HI is contributed by analytes originating from sources other than the Shepley's Hill Landfill, as assessed in this report (Figure 7-4). Over 65 percent of the risk to aquatic receptors, based on the average exposure HI, is due to mercury contamination. Similarly, less than 20 percent of the RME HI resulting from exposure by aquatic receptors to maximum concentrations of analytes is attributable to landfill analytes. Approximately one-half of the RME HI for landfill analytes is attributable to manganese; however, the manganese HQ is attributable to the manganese concentration detected in one sample (SHD-92-02 at 54,800 μg/g), which is an order of magnitude higher than manganese concentrations in any other sample. The remaining portion of the RME HI is due to exposure to analytes other than the five analytes that are attributable to the Shepley's Hill Landfill. Approximately two thirds of the risk to aquatic receptors, based on the RME HI, is due to mercury contamination.

These findings suggest that contaminants from sources other than the Shepley's Hill Landfill are major ecological risk contributors to aquatic receptors at Plow Shop Pond. However, exposures to landfill constituents are sufficient to result in predicted adverse effects to aquatic biota.

Risks to Semi-aquatic Receptors. Risks to semi-aquatic receptors at Shepley's Hill Landfill were evaluated through use of a food web exposure model. Analyte-specific TBDs for each model receptor species were calculated as described in Subsection 7.1.3.3, and provide an estimate of the combined effects of exposure to sediment and the consumption of contaminated prey items. The TBD for each constituent was compared to the dosage RTV to develop average exposure and RME HQs. For each species evaluated, HIs were determined by summing the HQs for all COPCs (Table 7-19). Estimates of exposure and risk to semi-aquatic receptors at the Shepley's Hill Landfill are presented in Appendix R, Tables R-1 through R-8.

Under average exposure conditions, hazard indices (HIs) exceeded 1 for five of the eight receptors evaluated, including the mallard (HI=1.4), green frog (HI = 3.5), painted turtle (HI = 2.8), muskrat (HI = 1.4), and mink (HI = 1.4). Primary risk contributors included the landfill analytes arsenic and manganese, as well as the non-landfill analytes (primarily lead, mercury, and chromium).

For the green frog, primary contributors to risk under average exposure conditions were chromium (HQ = 1.8) and arsenic (HQ = 1.2). The primary contributor to risk for painted turtle was chromium (HQ = 1.5), with arsenic also contributing (HQ = 0.82). None of the COPC specific HQs for the mallard, muskrat, and mink exceeded 1 under average exposure conditions. Primary contributors to risk for mallard were arsenic (HQ = 0.56) and chromium (HQ = 0.55). Primary contributors to risk under average exposure conditions for the muskrat were arsenic (HQ = 0.71) and selenium (HQ = 0.2), and those for mink were mercury (HQ = 0.71), manganese (HQ = 0.22), and selenium (HQ = 0.21).

Under RME exposure conditions, HIs exceeded 1 for the great blue heron (HI = 3.3), as well as for the five receptors mentioned above (mallard [HI = 8.4], green frog [HI = 21], painted turtle [HI = 16], muskrat [HI = 8.3], and mink [HI = 12). Primary risk contributors for these five species were similar to the average exposure scenario, with arsenic, chromium, and mercury being the primary risk contributors. Primary contributors to risk for the great blue heron were chromium (HQ = 1.4) and mercury (HQ = 1.1). HIs for the osprey and raccoon under both average and RME exposure conditions were below 1, indicating little potential for risk to these species from exposure to COPCs. This is due primarily to the large foraging area of the osprey and the diverse dietary composition of the raccoon.

For semi-aquatic wildlife with HIs greater than 1, the contribution of the five landfill analytes to the total RME and average exposure HIs ranged from approximately 20 percent (great blue heron) to approximately 65 percent (muskrat). The remainder of the summary HIs was due to COPCs from sources other than the Shepley's Hill Landfill (Figures 7-5 and 7-6), as assessed in this report. The three primary non-landfill COPC risk contributors are chromium, lead, and mercury.

These findings indicate that contaminants from both Shepley's Hill Landfill and other sources (as assessed in this report) are contributors to ecological risk for

semi-aquatic receptors at this site. Semi-aquatic species with a small home range and direct contact with sediment (e.g., the green frog or painted turtle) are likely to be most at risk from COPCs in Plow Shop Pond sediments.

Field Program Risk Characterization. Considerable uncertainty is associated with evaluation of several of the measurement endpoints in the fish and macroinvertebrate studies. Inherent environmental variability limits the use of measurement endpoints evaluated in the ecological field program. However, limited measurement data from the macroinvertebrate community study and the fish study are available to assist with risk characterization at the Shepley's Hill Landfill.

Fish Study. The fish community study was qualitative in nature and was not intended to provide an inventory or survey of all fish in Plow Shop Pond. However, as detailed in Subsection 7.1.3.2, the results of the qualitative fish community study suggest that the fish species composition and taxa richness of Plow Shop Pond is characteristic of typical southern New England ponds. A total of 193 individual fish representing seven families and 12 species were captured in the pond. Top predators, including the largemouth bass and chain pickerel, as well as various insectivorous and omnivorous were abundant in Plow Shop Pond. Several sizable largemouth bass (i.e., greater than 3.5 kg) were found in Plow Shop Pond; in addition, a possible young-of-the year bass was also found in the pond, indicating a reproductively viable population.

The gross pathological evaluation of the fish community also was not designed to quantitatively evaluate all sub-lethal indicators of contaminant stress. However, the results of this evaluation suggest that, in terms of gross external pathological indicators, the members of the fish population evaluated from Plow Shop Pond were healthy. A total of 161 fish from Plow Shop Pond were inspected for gross pathological abnormalities (i.e., tumors, lesions, structural, or bone defects). No tumors, lesions, ulcerations, skeletal anomalies or other gross pathological conditions associated with contaminant stress were found in any fish examined.

In general, the lower trophic level fish species evaluated (e.g., the bluegill) contained higher average concentrations of several inorganic analytes (e.g., iron and manganese) than did higher trophic level species. This may reflect the fact that these fish are omnivorous and are obtaining iron and manganese from plant food sources (Moore, 1991). Conversely, target analytes known to bioconcentrate

in fish tissue include cadmium, mercury, and various organochlorinated pesticides and PCBs. In general, higher concentrations of lipids and of all bioconcentratable analytes were detected in higher trophic level piscivorous fish (e.g., the largemouth bass) from Plow Shop Pond than in lower trophic level fish (e.g., the bluegill). Although mercury was detected in all three species of fish analyzed, the highest concentrations were detected in the largemouth bass.

Macroinvertebrate Study. The macroinvertebrate program at the Fort Devens Group 1A sites was designed to provide baseline information regarding the biota associated with aquatic habitats at Plow Shop Pond, and to provide baseline information for possible use in the evaluation of effects and effectiveness of any future remedial actions. Considerable uncertainty is associated with the interpretation of the results of the Group 1A macroinvertebrate study. Limited numbers of samples, uncertainties with the reference ponds, differences in habitat types between ponds, and natural environmental stochasticity confound interpretation of this portion of the supplemental risk assessment.

Statistical analyses of the macroinvertebrate data focused on comparisons between taxa and their abundances either directly, or through the use of species richness, biotic composition, and trophic richness metrics. The results of the macroinvertebrate statistical analysis indicate that Plow Shop Pond may have a significantly lower taxa richness than New Cranberry Pond, the reference site. The study also indicated that New Cranberry Pond may have more pollution-intolerant species than Plow Shop Pond; Plow Shop Pond had a significantly higher percentage of pollution-tolerant dominant taxa in the vegetated substrate. The sampling station farthest from the landfill at Plow Shop Pond appeared to have greater macroinvertebrate biodiversity than stations closer to the landfill. Although no single COPC appears to be impacting the macroinvertebrate community at Plow Shop Pond, a statistical analysis indicates that a group of inorganic COPCs (including both landfill and non-landfill related COPCs, as assessed in this report) may collectively impact the community.

This information suggests that the macroinvertebrate community in Plow Shop Pond, particularly in the vicinity of the landfill, may be slightly impaired relative to that of New Cranberry Pond. However, the uncertainties associated with the macroinvertebrate study confound interpretation of the data.

Plant Impacts. Shepley's Hill Landfill is situated to the south and adjacent to Plow Shop Pond. Two coves extend from the main body of the pond towards the landfill. A red precipitate has historically been observed in the large northern cove and to a lesser extent in the small southern cove; this precipitate has not been observed in any other areas of the pond.

During a 1993 site visit, a contrast was noted between plant communities within these two coves as compared to the greater body of water of Plow Shop Pond. In addition, several dead trees (white pine and red maples) were observed adjacent to the northern cove. No other obvious tree diebacks were observed around the entire perimeter of Plow Shop Pond. Limited qualitative evidence exists suggesting that the aquatic plant life in the northern cove is sparse relative to the rest of the pond; much of the aquatic vegetation in the northern cove was colored by the rust-colored precipitate at the time of the site inspection. At the southern cove, similar observations were made, although the observed impacts were less pronounced.

7.2 COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL

The supplemental risk assessment for the Cold Spring Brook Landfill is provided in the following subsections. This revision to the original RI risk assessment (E&E, 1993) includes relevant information obtained through recent studies at the Group 1A sites. A primary objective of the Cold Spring Brook Landfill supplemental risk assessment is to evaluate the potential for adverse environmental effects resulting from exposure to analytes found in fish tissue and sediments in Cold Spring Brook Pond, a wetland adjacent to the landfill. Specifically, the supplemental risk assessment for the Cold Spring Brook Landfill evaluates fish tissue analytical data and aquatic macroinvertebrate community data that were unavailable when the RI report was produced. This assessment also includes a re-analysis of surface water data presented in the original RI report. No additional evaluation of surface soils or groundwater is included in the supplemental ERA for the Cold Spring Brook Landfill.

7.2.1 Summary of RI Risk Assessment

Cold Spring Brook Pond sediments were the primary medium of concern evaluated in the RI ERA. Organic compounds evaluated included PAHs, DDD,

and DDE. Arsenic was the only inorganic analyte present in sediment that was considered in the original ERA. Analytes not evaluated included lead, manganese, and zinc, which were sporadically detected above background levels in sediments (E&E, 1993).

The RI risk assessment (E&E, 1993) indicated that sediment contamination in Cold Spring Brook Pond may pose a risk to ecological receptors. Arsenic was found to be the primary risk contributor to aquatic and semi-aquatic biota. Potential risks to benthic invertebrates and fish were also predicted from DDD.

A summary of the RI risk assessment findings relative to Cold Spring Brook Pond surface water, sediment, and landfill groundwater is presented in Table 7-20. Additional detail regarding the site history, its setting, and the nature of previous investigations can be found in the RI report (E&E, 1993) and in earlier sections of this report.

7.2.2 Conceptual Site Model

In accordance with recent USEPA guidance (USEPA, 1992), a conceptual model has been developed to evaluate how stressors from the Cold Spring Brook Landfill may impact ecological components of the environment in the vicinity of the site (Figure 7-1). This model involves consideration of the ecosystem primarily at risk (Cold Spring Brook Pond), stressor characteristics, and exposure pathways. The exposure scenarios evaluated in the conceptual model consider sources, environmental transport, partitioning of analytes between various environmental media, and identification of exposure routes.

Ecological receptors evaluated in this supplemental risk assessment include aquatic biota (i.e., plants, invertebrates, fish, and amphibians) and semi-aquatic biota (i.e., wildlife that depend on wetlands to meet a portion of their life history requirements). Effects evaluated in the supplemental risk assessment are generally the observed, estimated, or predicted effects of the COPCs on the survival, growth, and reproduction of receptors.

7.2.3 Supplemental Risk Assessment

7.2.3.1 Hazard Assessment. Sampling conducted as part of the RI and supplemental RI at the Cold Spring Brook Landfill has revealed the presence of organic and inorganic analytes in the following environmental media:

- Cold Spring Brook Pond Surface Water
- Cold Spring Brook Pond Sediments
- Cold Spring Brook Pond Fish Tissue
- Groundwater

Analytical data for surface water, sediment, and fish tissue are evaluated in this supplemental RA. No additional consideration of groundwater is included in this report.

Selection of COPCs. Pursuant to USEPA (1989d) guidance, the sediment and fish tissue analytical data for the Cold Spring Brook Landfill were evaluated to determine their validity for use in the risk assessment. All validated analytical data from the RI field investigation were sorted by medium and summarized. Non-detects were assigned one-half the SQL for calculation of average concentrations. The process used to select COPCs was discussed in Section 7.1.2.1.

Surface Water COPCs. The RI risk assessment summarized analytical data from 10 surface water samples from the Cold Spring Brook Landfill site. Nine of these samples were taken from Cold Spring Brook Pond, and one sample was taken from the Cold Spring Brook stream channel downstream of the pond.

In the RI risk assessment, none of the analytes detected in surface water was selected as COPCs. Surface water is re-evaluated in this supplemental RI to provide an indication of the overall risk to aquatic life from exposure to both landfill-related and non-related analytes.

Because no background surface water data are available, no analytes could be eliminated as COPCs based on a comparison to background. Major cations (e.g., calcium, magnesium, potassium, and sodium) were eliminated as COPCs because they are essential dietary nutrients and are generally considered to be of low

toxicity. Although iron also falls within the nutrient category, it was retained as a COPC in surface water because it is a suspected landfill contaminant.

Two organic analytes (alpha-BHC and methylene chloride) were reported as detected in surface water. However, the presence of these analytes is questionable. For example, the concentrations of alpha-BHC presented in the original RI report (E&E, 1993) were footnoted to reflect that these "results were not confirmed on a second column"; therefore, these data are considered highly suspect and this analysis was eliminated as COPC. Methylene chloride was detected in all samples analyzed. However, this is a known laboratory contaminant and was not a analysis of potential concern in groundwater. Therefore it was eliminated as a COPC in Cold Spring Brook Pond surface water.

An uncertainty associated with the evaluation of the E&E (1993) surface water data at Cold Spring Brook Pond is associated with the use of samples analyzed for total, rather than dissolved, contaminants. Recent USEPA national guidance recommends that dissolved contaminant data be used for ecological risk assessments evaluating surface water. Dissolved contaminant data better represent the bioavailable fraction of contaminants present in surface water. Therefore, the use of total contaminant surface water data (rather than the dissolved fraction) results in an over-estimate of risk from surface water at the Group 1A sites.

Surface water COPCs for the Cold Spring Brook Landfill site are presented in Table 7-21.

Sediment COPCs. The original RI report contained summarized analytical data from 10 shallow sediment samples from the Cold Spring Brook Pond Landfill site (E&E, 1993). Nine of the samples were taken in Cold Spring Brook Pond, and one was collected in the Cold Spring Brook east of Patton Road (downstream from Cold Spring Brook Pond). These shallow sediment samples were collected with an Ekman dredge and sampled approximately the top 6 inches of pond sediment.

Sediment samples from 16 additional locations were collected by ABB-ES in December 1992 (see Section 2.0). Much of this second round of sediment sampling in Cold Spring Brook Pond was conducted by vibratory coring

techniques. These techniques provided samples from the top 12 inches of pond sediment.

Ecological exposure to contamination in Cold Spring Brook Pond is likely to be greatest in the top 6 inches of pond sediments. It is unlikely that significant ecological exposure to either floral or faunal receptors occurs in the anoxic interval between 6 and 12 inches. However, in order to best characterize the ecological exposure at the Cold Spring Brook Pond site, and in accordance with an October 20, 1993 Army agreement with state and federal regulators, the 25 shallow sediment samples comprising both data sets were combined. The maximum and average concentrations from this pooled data set served as the ecological exposure point concentrations for the revised risk assessment.

With few exceptions, the COPCs in Cold Spring Brook Pond sediments were detected at higher concentrations during the 1992 ABB-ES sampling program. Only aluminum, iron, manganese, and zinc were detected at higher concentrations during the original RI (E&E, 1993). With the exception of DDT and its degradation products, organics were detected infrequently in both data sets. DDT, DDD, and DDE were detected generally at higher concentrations in the ABB-ES data set. In addition, although the original samples were analyzed for VOCs, the more recently collected samples were not analyzed for VOCs. Evaluating the combined data set consisting of 25 samples generally produces a more representative estimate of average sediment exposure point concentrations.

As discussed in the RI Report (E&E, 1993), the Cold Spring Brook Pond inorganic COPCs in sediments were compared to background soil concentrations because no background sediment database was available. However, because of regulatory concerns regarding the validity of using soils data as a means of screening inorganic analytes in sediment samples, and because no sediments background database has been established and agreed to at Fort Devens, no screening against background has been conducted in the supplemental risk assessment.

Cold Spring Brook Pond sediment COPCs are presented in Table 7-22.

Fish COPCs. Fish sampling and analysis was conducted as described in Section 2.0. Whole fish and fillet tissue burdens were obtained for all three species of fish analyzed. All results are reported on a wet weight basis. Because

fish fillet samples were collected for the purposes of assessing risks to public health, the following subsection discusses whole body tissue analyses only. Summaries of the fish fillet COPCs can be found in Section 6.0.

Summary statistics were calculated to evaluate concentrations of COPCs in nine (three each of pumpkinseed, bullhead, and chain pickerel) whole fish sampled and analyzed from Cold Spring Brook Pond (Table 7-23). Six inorganic analytes (antimony, beryllium, nickel, silver, thallium, and vanadium) were not detected in any Cold Spring Brook Pond whole body tissues analyzed. Copper, iron, manganese, mercury, and zinc were detected in all whole fish tissues analyzed. A total of three pesticides/PCBs were detected in the nine whole fish sampled in Cold Spring Brook Pond (three each of pumpkinseeds, chain pickerel, and bullhead). The sole PCB detected, Aroclor-1254, was found in only one of the nine fish sampled at a concentration of 0.052 μg/kg. The pesticide residues DDE and DDD were found at low concentrations in all fish analyzed.

The fish tissue contaminant burden at Cold Spring Brook Pond was evaluated through empirical and statistical comparisons of Cold Spring Brook Pond whole fish tissue data with data from regional and national studies of fish tissue contaminant burden. Fillet samples were not considered because they were collected primarily for the public health risk assessment (Section 6.0).

MADWPC sources of information used to establish "background" or naturally occurring tissue levels of inorganics and pesticides/PCBs within Massachusetts are summarized in Subsection 7.1.3.1 (under "Fish COPCs") and Appendix N. While their regional source of information is a useful screening tool, it is important to note that there are no MADWPC data available to evaluate several fish tissue COPCs, including arsenic, barium, and cadmium. Information from the USFWS NCBMP (Schmitt et al., 1990; Schmitt and Brumbaugh, 1990) was used to compare Cold Spring Brook Pond fish tissue data to a national database.

A detailed evaluation of Cold Spring Brook Pond fish tissue concentrations relative to regional and national sources of "background" information is presented in Appendix N. Table 7-24 summarizes this evaluation.

Average concentrations of DDE, iron, manganese, and zinc in fish from Cold Spring Brook Pond were significantly higher than average tissue concentrations in the MADWPC database. No other statistically significant differences were noted

between Cold Spring Brook Pond fish and the regional source of information. With the exception of mercury in the pumpkinseed, none of the maximum concentrations of the inorganics, pesticides, or PCBs detected in bullheads or pumpkinseeds from Cold Spring Brook Pond exceeded national 85th percentile concentrations. However, maximum concentrations of mercury and zinc in chain pickerel from Cold Spring Brook Pond exceeded the national 85th percentile concentration. Mercury was found in all three chain pickerel analyzed, at a maximum concentration of 0.47 μg/g wet weight. This concentration is approximately 2.8 times the NCBMP 85th percentile concentration for mercury. The average Cold Spring Brook mercury tissue concentrations were less than the subset of MADWPC data evaluated.

Fish body weight and trophic status appears to be a good predictor of mercury contaminant burden in Cold Spring Brook Pond, with higher trophic level species (e.g., the chain pickerel) having higher concentrations of this analyte.

7.2.3.2 Ecological Characterization. The purpose of the ecological characterization is to describe the habitats and potential ecological receptor species that may be exposed to COPCs associated with the Cold Spring Brook Landfill. The presence of rare, threatened, and endangered receptors within (and adjacent to) the study area is also included in this section. This characterization is based on earlier descriptions of the site ecology in the RI report (E&E, 1993), as well as on information collected since the RI was completed. The information included in this subsection is used as the basis for identification of relevant exposure pathways and the selection of appropriate indicator species in the ecological exposure assessment.

Additional detail regarding the regional characterization of Fort Devens is presented in the risk assessment for the Shepley's Hill Landfill (Subsection 7.1.3.2).

Site Description: Cold Spring Brook Landfill. An ecological characterization of the Cold Spring Brook Landfill was conducted as part of the RI field investigation (E&E, 1993). This characterization included the identification of plant and animal communities known to be present at the site, and observations of any actual or potential effects of site contaminants on the site's ecological resources. A summary of the major habitat types and dominant plant species identified during the RI at the Cold Spring Brook Landfill is presented in Table 7-25.

The primary focus of the supplemental risk assessment is to evaluate risk to ecological receptors in Cold Spring Brook Pond. Therefore, summaries of the major wetland habitat types associated with this pond are presented below. Additional detail regarding the wetlands at the site may be found in the wetlands functional assessment (Appendix O).

Forested Wetland. According to the original RI risk assessment, forested wetland habitats are all located downgradient of the landfill and occupy three primary locations: the northern perimeter of the pond, both shorelines of the brook, and the northeast corner of the landfill. The vegetation that characterizes and dominates the forested wetland includes red maple (*Acer rubrum*), white pine (*Pinus strobus*), American hazelnut (*Corylus americana*), and silky dogwood (*Cornus amomum*).

Emergent/Open Water Wetland. Two small emergent wetlands are located downgradient of the Cold Spring Brook Landfill. Flora that characterize this wetland include swamp loosestrife (*Decadon verticillatus*), broad-leaf cattail (*Typha latifolia*), purple loosestrife (*Lythrum salicaria*), purple iris (*Iris versicolor*), silky dogwood, and marsh fern (*Thelypteris palustris*).

Emergent/Open Water Wetland. Cold Spring Brook Pond, a eutrophic and shallow waterbody, was classified in the original RI report as a floating-leaved deep marsh. The pond is located due north and downgradient of the landfill. Dominant flora includes sweet water lily (*Nymphaea odoratus*), water shield (*Brasenia schreberi*), water marigold (*Megalodonta beckii*), swamp loosestrife, and cattail.

Scrub/Shrub Wetland. Two scrub/shrub wetlands are located downgradient of the landfill in the western portion of the pond. Characteristic plant species in this wetland included red maple, smooth alder (*Alnus rugosa*), buttonbush (*Cephalanthus occidentals*), marsh fern, sedge (*Carex* spp.), and meadowsweet (*Spiraea latifolia*).

A WET evaluation was conducted on Cold Spring Brook Pond to assess the functions and values of this wetland. WET is a standardized evaluation technique that provides a rapid assessment of many of the recognized values and functions of a wetland (Adamus et al., 1991). WET uses a standardized manual and answer

sheet to provide input data for the WET 2.0 computer program. After data are entered into the WET program, a "Low," "Medium," or "High" value is assigned to each function.

A combination of the following 11 functions (i.e., physical, , and biological characteristics) and values (characteristics beneficial to society) were evaluated through WET at Cold Spring Brook Pond:

- Groundwater Recharge
- Groundwater Discharge
- Floodflow Alteration
- Sediment Stabilization
- Sediment/Toxicant Retention
- Nutrient Removal/Transformation
- Production Export
- Wildlife Diversity/Abundance
- Aquatic Diversity/Abundance
- Uniqueness/Heritage
- Recreation

The above-listed functions and values were evaluated by WET in the following contexts: "Social Significance" (the value of the wetland to society); "Effectiveness" (the capability of the wetland to provide the function); and "Opportunity" (the opportunity of the wetland to provide the function).

The WET analysis determined that the value to society of Cold Spring Brook Pond is "high" for Wildlife Diversity and Abundance, as well as for Uniqueness and Heritage. The remainder of the evaluated WET parameters were rated "low" in social significance. In terms of effectiveness, WET scored Cold Spring Brook Pond as "high" for Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal/ Transformation, and Wildlife Breeding and Migration, and as "moderate" for Groundwater Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, and Production Export. The effectiveness of Cold Spring Brook Pond to provide several other functions and values was rated as "low" by WET. Of the three functions/values evaluated for Opportunity, the opportunity for Cold Spring Pond to perform the Sediment/ Toxicant Retention and Nutrient Removal/Transformation functions is rated as "high" by WET. Cold Spring Pond has the opportunity to provide these functions because of the proximity of the adjacent landfill. Floodflow Alteration is rated as

"moderate" by WET based upon the high percentage of the watershed this wetland occupies.

The WET functional assessment is included as Appendix O. In addition, this appendix includes a narrative discussion interpreting the results of the WET analysis.

Rare, Threatened, and Endangered Species. The presence or absence of rare and endangered flora and fauna at the site is reviewed in this subsection. As detailed in Subsection 7.1.3.2, ABB-ES has developed a database of all flora and fauna known to seasonally or permanently occur at, or migrate through, Fort Devens (ABB-ES, 1992). The Fort Devens biological database contains current information from the MNHP and the USFWS regarding all rare and endangered species known to occur at Fort Devens. In addition, the ABB-ES database contains records that have not yet been incorporated into the MNHP database.

The ABB-ES master biological database has been checked for the vicinity of Cold Spring Brook Landfill. No records were located for the site or its immediate vicinity.

Fish Community Study. As a supplemental RI activity, ABB-ES personnel conducted a qualitative study of the fish population at Cold Spring Brook Pond. The fish evaluation was designed to provide baseline information regarding the species of fish present, relative abundance of the species present, fish size distribution, trophic structure of the fish community, and the presence or absence of recreationally important fish. The fish evaluation was not intended to provide an inventory or survey of all fish present in the ponds, but to serve as a means of gathering baseline information regarding the Cold Spring Brook fishery. The fish sampling program is described in the Section 2.0. Appendix N contains an evaluation of the fish size distribution in Plow Shop Pond, as well as an evaluation of fish tissue contaminant concentrations relative to source of "background" information.

A total of 95 fish representing five families and six species were collected in Cold Spring Brook Pond (Table 7-26 and Figure 7-7). The golden shiner (*Notemigonus crysoleucas*) was the predominant fish sampled, comprising 59 percent of the total population and 24 percent of the fish biomass sampled in Cold Spring Brook. Sunfish (pumpkinseed [*Lepomis gibbossus*] and black crappie [*Pomoxis*

nigromaculatus], both members of the Centrarchidae) accounted for approximately one-quarter of the total number of fish captured in Cold Spring Brook Pond, and one-fifth of the total fish biomass sampled.

The top predator sampled in Cold Spring Brook Pond was the chain pickerel (*Esox niger*), which represented approximately 8 percent of the total numbers of fish collected. No largemouth bass were collected in Cold Spring Brook Pond. Seven yellow bullhead (*Ictalurus natalis*) and an American eel (*Anquilla rostrata*) were also collected from Cold Spring Brook Pond during the sampling program.

Two insectivorous species (yellow bullhead and pumpkinseed), and three insectivore/piscivore species (black crappie, American eel, and chain pickerel) were collected from the pond. Insectivorous fish accounted for approximately 25 percent and insectivore/piscivore species accounted for approximately 16 percent of the pond's fish community. The golden shiner (*Notemigonus crysoleucas*), an omnivore, the dominant fish species collected, represented approximately 59 percent of the sampled population.

The species composition and taxa richness of Cold Spring Brook Pond is typical of a southern New England warm water fish community. The numbers of individual fish collected at Cold Spring Brook Pond was typical of sampling efforts in small lake habitats throughout southern New England (Downey, 1993). Based on the results of this qualitative study, Cold Spring Brook Pond is characterized by fewer species of fish than Plow Shop Pond. An omnivorous species, the golden shiner, may be more abundant in Cold Spring Brook Pond than in Plow Shop Pond. These differences are likely reflective of the one-day sampling effort at the much smaller pond, rather than of any landfill-related impacts.

Macroinvertebrate Study. As was done at Plow Shop Pond (see Subsection 7.1.3.2), a semi-quantitative inventory of macroinvertebrates was conducted at three sampling stations in Cold Spring Brook Pond (Figure 2-10). At each sampling station, two duplicate macroinvertebrate samples from vegetation ("phytomacrofauna") and two duplicate samples from sediment ("benthic infauna") were collected. All macroinvertebrate samples from Cold Spring Brook Pond were collected on September 24 and 25, 1992, using sampling and processing methods outlined in Section 2.0.

The macroinvertebrate study at Cold Spring Brook Pond was designed to meet the identical objectives to those at Plow Shop Pond:

- to determine the presence or absence of macroinvertebrate infauna;
- to gather information about population density and taxonomic diversity; and
- to statistically evaluate the relationship between the macroinvertebrate community characteristics of the potentially impacted pond and the concentrations of analytes found in the sediment.

However, as discussed elsewhere (i.e., ABB-ES, 1993a and Subsection 7.1.3.2), considerable uncertainty is associated with the interpretation of the results of the Group 1A macroinvertebrate study. Limited numbers of samples, uncertainties associated with the selected reference pond, differences in habitat types between ponds, and natural environmental stochasticity confound interpretation of this portion of the supplemental risk assessment.

Information regarding the physical attributes of the aquatic habitat (including nature of the substrate and vegetative characteristics) and water quality parameters (i.e., dissolved oxygen, temperature, pH, and conductivity) were collected at each sampling station and are summarized in Table 7-8.

As discussed previously, New Cranberry Pond was selected as the reference pond for the macroinvertebrate study. An analysis of New Cranberry Pond sediment chemistry data from the macroinvertebrate sampling stations indicates that lead, mercury, DDT, DDE, and DDD may exceed available sediment quality criteria and guidance values (Table 7-11). It is possible that some or all of these exceedances represent a "background" level of analytes (particularly pesticides) on South Post, rather than a hazardous waste site-related problem, and that the pesticide sediment quality criteria are overly conservative for use at Fort Devens (see Appendix S). A summary of the Cold Spring Brook and New Cranberry Pond sediment chemistry from the macroinvertebrate sampling locations is presented in Table 7-27.

Based on these exceedances, uncertainty is associated with using New Cranberry Pond as an un-impacted reference station. However, because the New Cranberry Pond sediment contaminant burden is generally lower than that of Cold Spring Brook Pond, the macroinvertebrate study was conducted with New Cranberry Pond as a reference pond.

General water quality parameter data from Cold Spring Brook Pond were compared to those collected from New Cranberry Pond to determine if any of these parameters could have contributed to or influenced the results of the benthic community evaluation. In general, water quality parameter data from stations at Cold Spring Brook Pond differ little from those at New Cranberry Pond.

The pH at both ponds was fairly close to neutral, ranging from 7.45 to 8.15 at Cold Spring Brook Pond and 6.54 to 6.69 at New Cranberry Pond. The pH at both ponds was within the chronic AWQC range for pH of 6.5 to 9 (USEPA, 1986). Both DO and temperature at Cold Spring Brook Pond were higher than at New Cranberry Pond, which may be anomalous given that DO generally decreases with increasing temperature (Connell and Miller 1984). The most marked difference between the two ponds was conductivity, which ranged from 240 to 297 microsiemens at Cold Spring Brook Pond and 43.6 to 49.6 microsiemens at New Cranberry Pond. This suggests a higher concentration of dissolved salts or suspended solids in Cold Spring Brook Pond, which could be reflective of differences in surface water quality.

General water quality parameter data from each pond were also evaluated to identify potential differences or trends associated with proximity to the landfill source. The general water quality parameters measured at the three stations within Cold Spring Brook Pond did not vary greatly (Table 7-8).

Eutrophic New England ponds exhibit a wide variety of pH, temperature, and DO conditions across spatial and temporal gradients, and resident organisms must be able to tolerate these diverse conditions. With the possible exception of conductivity, the general water quality parameters do not appear to be influencing factors in the differences observed between the macroinvertebrate communities at the two ponds or at the different stations within a pond.

A checklist of Fort Devens macroinvertebrates is found in Table 7-11. Macroinvertebrate communities are characterized by considerable spatial and temporal diversity. Therefore, the taxa listed in Table 7-11 represent the assemblage that was collected during the sampling effort, and are not representative of or intended to be used as a true checklist for Cold Spring Brook Pond or any other aquatic resources at Fort Devens.

Statistical evaluation of the Fort Devens macroinvertebrate data included the following techniques:

- Kruskal-Wallis tests of variance
- Analyses of Variance (ANOVAs) with Tukey's Studentized Range
- Clustering analyses
- Rapid Bioassessment Protocol (RBP) metric comparison
- Taxonomic similarity testing with Jaccard Coefficients of Community Similarity
- Simple linear regression
- Multiple linear regression

These analyses focused on comparisons between taxa and their abundances either directly, or through the use of species richness, biotic composition, and trophic richness metrics. A detailed presentation of the Fort Devens macroinvertebrate statistical community analysis is presented in Appendix P and in Subsection 7.1.3.2.

The following metrics were calculated for the phytomacrofauna and benthic substrate samples: taxa richness, modified FBI, ratio of EPT/C abundances, percentage contribution of the dominant family, and the EPT index (Tables P-1a, P-2a, P-3a and P-1b, P-2b, P-3b). In addition, a Jaccard Coefficient of similarity (Table P-6), statistical analysis of macroinvertebrate abundance (Tables P-7, P-8, and P-9), and a cluster analysis were done on the data (Figure P-1). Finally, a percentage comparability ratio was calculated, as described in the USEPA RBP manual (Plafkin et al., 1989) (Tables P-4 and P-5).

New Cranberry Pond, the reference site, had a slightly higher taxa richness than Cold Spring Brook Pond (Tables P-2a and P-2b). New Cranberry Pond phytomacrofauna samples also had a lower FBI than the Cold Spring Brook Pond samples. This suggests that New Cranberry Pond may have more

pollution-intolerant species than Cold Spring Brook Pond. However, the RBP-III percentage comparability analysis (Table P-4) indicated that Cold Spring Brook Pond was non-impaired, relative to New Cranberry Pond.

The Jaccard Coefficients indicated that the two macroinvertebrate communities at the stations sampled closest to the Cold Spring Brook Landfill were most similar to each other (Table P-6). The stations farthest from the landfill had greater macroinvertebrate biodiversity. Statistics done on the abundance of macroinvertebrates showed a similar result: the stations nearest to the landfill in Cold Spring Brook Pond may have reduced macroinvertebrate abundance relative to the station furthest from the landfill (Table P-8). The results of the cluster analysis were in accordance with the preceding analyses. This analysis may suggest that the closer a station within the pond was to the landfill, the greater the impact to the macroinvertebrate community (Figure P-1).

An analysis of the relationships between sediment chemistry and macroinvertebrate abundance, as well as diversity metrics, was inconclusive (Tables P-10 through P-17). This analysis suggested that no relationship exists between levels of the organic pesticides DDD, DDE, and DDT and differences in macroinvertebrate abundance and diversity in the study ponds. In addition, the sediment chemistry analysis indicated that no single inorganic COPC seems to be affecting the macroinvertebrate communities at the Group 1A sites. However, the statistical analysis did indicate that a group of approximately fifteen inorganic analytes may impact the macroinvertebrates community. These include arsenic, barium, beryllium, cadmium, copper, chromium, iron, mercury, manganese, nickel, antimony, and zinc. The statistical analysis suggested that arsenic, cobalt, iron, manganese, and mercury may be the five inorganic COPCs of primary concern, relative to macroinvertebrate community abundance and diversity.

In summary, the RBP-III percentage comparability analysis indicated that Cold Spring Brook Pond was non-impaired relative to New Cranberry Pond. However, statistical analyses indicated that the Cold Spring Brook Pond macroinvertebrate community may be slightly impaired relative to that of New Cranberry Pond. The data suggest that the macroinvertebrate stations closest to the landfill may be more impacted relative to the station furthest from the landfill.

Considerable uncertainty is associated with the macroinvertebrate study at Fort Devens. This uncertainty is discussed in Subsection 7.3.

7.2.3.3 Ecological Exposure Assessment. The purpose of the ecological exposure assessment in this supplemental risk assessment is to evaluate the potential for ecological receptor exposure to constituents in fish tissue, surface water, and sediments at the Cold Spring Brook Landfill site. Ecological exposures to other environmental media have been previously evaluated in the original RI (E&E, 1993). This evaluation involves the identification of actual or potential exposure routes to receptors at Cold Spring Brook Landfill and evaluation of the magnitude of exposure to identified ecological receptors. In the exposure assessment, exposure concentrations are estimated for each receptor and for each exposure pathway evaluated in the supplemental risk assessment. This exposure information is used in conjunction with the toxicological information presented in the ecological effects assessment to evaluate ecological risk. Ecological exposure pathways evaluated for the Cold Spring Brook Pond Landfill are identical to those evaluated at the Shepley's Hill Landfill and are presented in Subsection 7.1.3.3.

Receptors for which exposure and risks have been quantified include:

- Aquatic biota in Cold Spring Brook Pond
- Semi-aquatic biota that depend on the aquatic environment for a portion of their life history requirements (i.e., wetlands wildlife)

Aquatic Biota. As discussed in Subsection 7.1.3.3, the exposure point concentrations employed in this supplemental risk assessment are the maximum and average concentrations of COPCs in surface water and sediment.

Semi-aquatic Biota. As discussed in Subsection 7.1.3.3, to evaluate food chain exposure to semi-aquatic ecological receptors at the Group 1A sites, a simple food web model was employed to estimate the potential dietary exposure levels of sediment analytes. Estimated tissue residues in prey items were calculated using BAFs, as detailed in Subsection 7.1.3.3. The semi-aquatic ecological receptor species evaluated in the Cold Spring Brook Pond food web model were identical to those evaluated at Plow Shop Pond, with the exception of the osprey. The osprey was not evaluated at Cold Spring Brook Pond because it is unlikely that this piscivore would hunt at this small water body.

Estimated contaminant tissue residues in prey species were estimated by multiplying either the average (for the average exposure scenario) or the

maximum (for the RME scenario) sediment analyte concentrations by specific BAFs, as detailed in Subsection 7.1.3.3.

To estimate dietary exposure concentrations for the modeled receptors under the average exposure scenario, the potential food chain exposure point concentration in fish tissue is therefore the average analyte concentration detected in Cold Spring Brook Pond fish. For the RME scenario, a conservative assumption has been made that the fish tissue exposure point concentration is greater than the average COPC concentrations detected in fish tissue.

The PED level associated with ingestion of contaminated prey items for each modeled receptor species was calculated by multiplying each predicted prey species tissue concentration by the proportion of that prey type in the diet, summing these values, multiplying by the receptor species' SFF, ED, and IR, and dividing by the receptor species' BW. The PED_{diet} is represented by the equation presented in Subsection 7.1.3.3.

Potential exposure dosages for the incidental sediment ingestion pathway ($PED_{sediment}$) (i.e., associated with foraging, preening, and cleaning activities) were estimated by multiplying the sediment concentrations by the estimated percentage of sediment in the diet of each modeled receptor species, multiplying by the SFF, ED, and ingestion rate, and dividing by BW. For the receptors evaluated at Cold Spring Brook Landfill, incidental sediment ingestion was conservatively assumed to range from 0 to 5 percent of the receptor's dietary intake, based in part on a recent presentation of Beyer and Connor (1992). The area of contaminated pond was assumed to be 3.5 acres (the entire pond) for the purpose of calculating the SFF.

Exposure dosages associated with these two pathways were summed to calculate a TBD:

$$TBD = PED_{diet} + PED_{sediment}$$

where:

TBD	=	Total Body Dose (mg/kgBW-day)
PED_{diet}	=	Potential Dietary Exposure Dosage (mg/kgBW-day)
$PED_{sediment}$	=	Potential Sediment Exposure Dosage (mg/kgBW-day)

TBD estimates, expressed in mg/kgBW-day, are directly comparable to the available toxicological dose-response data and were used in conjunction with toxicological data to evaluate ecological risks to semi-aquatic receptors at Cold Spring Brook Pond.

7.2.3.4 Ecological Effects Assessment. The purpose of the Ecological Effects Assessment is to select the ecological characteristics (endpoints) to be evaluated, to describe the environmental risks associated with the identified COPCs in each medium of concern, and to evaluate the relationship between the concentration to which an organism is exposed and the potential for adverse effects. The toxicological evaluation includes the process of characterizing the inherent toxicity of the COPCs and establishing reference or threshold toxicity values for each identified analyte. Information contained in the ecological effects assessment, in conjunction with exposure information presented in the previous subsection, is used to evaluate ecological risks to aquatic and semi-aquatic organisms in the ecological risk characterization.

This subsection addresses the potential effects of COPCs in Cold Spring Brook Pond surface water, sediments, and fish tissue. In addition, relevant aspects of the supplemental RI ecological field program, as presented in Section 2.0, are discussed in the context of providing corroborative evidence between COPC exposures and ecological effects.

Selection of Endpoints. The first component of the Ecological Effects Assessment consists of identifying ecological characteristics or endpoints that may be adversely affected by exposure to an environmental stressor (for instance, a COPC). Two types of ecological endpoints were considered: assessment endpoints and measurement endpoints (Suter, 1993; USEPA, 1992c). Assessment endpoints formally describe the environmental value(s) to be protected. In most cases, the assessment endpoint could not be directly measured at Cold Spring Brook Pond, and a measurement endpoint (or suite of measurement endpoints) has been selected that can be related, either qualitatively or quantitatively, to the assessment endpoint (USEPA, 1992c).

Additional detail regarding assessment and measurement endpoints can be found in the Plow Shop Pond risk assessment (Subsection 7.1.3.4).

Toxicity to Aquatic Receptors. As discussed in Subsection 7.1.3.4, the risk to aquatic receptors from Cold Spring Brook Pond surface water and sediment contamination has been evaluated through comparison of concentrations of analytes present in these media to available surface water and sediment quality criteria or guidance values, which serve as the benchmark concentration RTVs for these media.

Summaries of surface water and sediment benchmark concentration RTVs for Cold Spring Brook Pond are presented in Tables 7-28 and 7-29, respectively.

Toxicity to Semi-aquatic Receptors. As discussed in the Plow Shop Pond risk assessment (Subsection 7.1.3.4), potential impacts to semi-aquatic ecological receptors at estimated exposure concentrations were evaluated using published laboratory-derived toxicological data, as well as threshold toxicity values developed using extrapolation techniques. Toxicological endpoints evaluated included behavioral effects, developmental effects, physiological changes, and changes in organ weight, size, or functionality. Lethal concentration and dose studies (e.g., LD_{50} studies) and effects studies (e.g., EC_{50} studies) were considered.

Toxicological benchmark RTVs are presented in Appendix Q, Tables Q-3 and Q-4. The rationale behind the selection of the appropriate benchmarks is presented in Subsection 7.1.3.4.

Field Observations. Limited measurement endpoint data are available from the qualitative fish population study and the semi-quantitative macroinvertebrate community study. The macroinvertebrate study has been summarized in Subsection 7.1.3.2 and in Appendix P.

As detailed in Sections 2.0 and 7.2.3, fish were collected from Cold Spring Brook Pond to provide baseline information regarding the status of the fishery in the pond. Interactions between analytes and fish populations are poorly understood, and no single pathological condition in individual fish or in fish populations serves as a universal contaminant stress indicator (Hunn, 1988). However, certain physical, anatomical, bio, and physiological conditions are known to be associated with environmental pollutants. These conditions include fin erosion, skin ulcerations, skeletal abnormalities, tumors, and scale disorientation (Hunn, 1988).

As part of the fish study, a number of individual fish were checked for external pathological gross abnormalities (e.g., tumors, lesions, structural or bone defects) through an evaluation of the conditions of the lips, jaws, barbels (when applicable), eyes, right gill, fins, urogenital cavity, anus, body form, and body surfaces. All data were recorded on field data sheets.

The gross pathological examination of fish from Cold Spring Brook Pond suggests that the individual fish evaluated were healthy (Table 7-30). No tumors or lesions were observed in any fish examined; barbels on all bullheads examined were uniformly intact and free from abnormalities. Of the 73 fish examined, three exhibited minor physical abnormalities. A slight frayed opercle was noted in one pumpkinseed, a golden shiner was missing part of the caudal fin, and a second golden shiner exhibited a bruise near its anal fin. These conditions are commonly observed in many non-stressed fish populations.

7.2.3.5 Ecological Risk Characterization. This subsection characterizes the risk to aquatic and aquatic receptors potentially exposed to COPCs in Cold Spring Brook Pond surface water, sediment, and fish tissue. Risks were quantitatively evaluated using HQs, which were calculated for each COPC by dividing the estimated exposure concentration or dose by the concentration or dosage benchmark RTV.

RME and average exposure HQs for aquatic organisms were estimated by dividing the maximum and average environmental concentrations, respectively, of each COPC by the concentration RTV (typically a surface water or sediment quality criterion or guideline). To calculate RME HQs for the semi-aquatic organisms evaluated through food web modeling, the dose based on the maximum concentration of each COPC was divided by the dosage RTV. Average exposure HQs were calculated by dividing the dose based on the average COPC concentrations by the appropriate dosage RTV. Summary HIs were determined by summing the HQs for all COPCs.

Additional detail regarding the HQ approach can be found in the Plow Shop Pond (Shepley's Hill Landfill) risk assessment (Subsection 7.1).

Risk to Aquatic Receptors From Surface Water. Comparison of the Cold Spring Brook Pond surface water COPC concentrations with RTVs provides a means to evaluate the potential for adverse effects to aquatic receptors from exposure to

COPCs (Table 7-31). This comparison indicates that aquatic life in Cold Spring Brook Pond may potentially be at risk from exposure to contaminated surface water.

An uncertainty associated with the evaluation of the E&E (1993) surface water data is associated with the use of samples analyzed for total, rather than dissolved, contaminants. Recent USEPA national guidance recommends that dissolved contaminant data be used for ecological risk assessments evaluating surface water. Dissolved contaminant data better represent the bioavailable fraction of analytes present in surface water. Therefore, the use of total contaminant surface water data (rather than the dissolved fraction) results in an over-estimate of risk from surface water at Cold Spring Brook Pond.

The average concentrations of iron and silver exceeded the chronic criteria for these analytes. The maximum concentration of iron is below the acute RTV (which was derived from the chronic RTV by applying a factor of 0.1, as discussed previously). This indicates the potential for chronic, but not acute toxic effects, in aquatic organisms sensitive to iron. The maximum concentration of zinc exceeded the acute criterion for this analyte indicating the potential for acute toxic effects in organisms occurring in surface waters with this concentration. Given that only a small fraction of any aquatic population is likely to be exposed to a maximum concentration, adverse ecological impacts (i.e., adverse impacts at the population and community levels) are considered unlikely.

It is important to note that AWQC for zinc incorporate data from studies using sensitive coldwater species such as rainbow trout which do not occur in the fish community in Cold Spring Brook Pond. These criteria may therefore be overprotective of species such as shiners and sunfish, which are generally less sensitive to environmental contaminants. The average and RME hazard indices are 5.0 and 4.0 respectively. These findings suggest that risks to aquatic life in Cold Spring Brook Pond from exposure to COPCs are possible, but unlikely.

Risks To Aquatic Receptors from Sediment. Comparison of the Cold Spring Brook Pond sediment COPC concentrations with concentration RTVs provides a means to evaluate the potential for adverse effects to aquatic environmental receptors from exposure to COPCs (Table 7-32). This comparison indicates that aquatic life may be slightly at risk from exposure to contaminated sediments in Cold Spring Brook Pond.

Concentrations of anthracene, DDD, DDT, arsenic, barium, lead, and manganese, exceeded the available sediment RTVs under average exposure and RME assumptions. The average exposure HQs for these analytes ranged from 1.5 (mercury) to 4.2 (DDT). Concentrations of silver, iron, mercury, nickel and zinc exceeded RTVs under RME assumptions. REM HQs ranged from 1.8 (nickel) to 98.7 (DDT). However, this high DDT HQ is associated with the DDT detected in one sample (CSD-92-01 at 15 μg/g), which is several orders of magnitude higher than DDT concentrations elsewhere in Cold Spring Brook Pond.

As detailed in Appendix S, the interim sediment quality criteria for DDD, DDE, and DDT may be too conservative for use at Cold Spring Brook Pond. If the proposed site specific value of 2.58 μg/g is used as the pesticide sediment quality criteria for Cold Spring Brook Pond, no risk is predicted for aquatic receptors from the average exposure scenario. Using the proposed site-specific interim sediment quality criteria, the HQ for DDD would decrease from over 40 to 2.4, the HQ for DDE would decrease from 4.7 to 0.3, and the DDT HQ would decrease from 98.7 to 5.8.

Risks to Semi-aquatic Receptors. Risks to semi-aquatic receptors at Cold Spring Brook Landfill were evaluated through use of a food web exposure model. Analyte-specific TBDs for each model receptor species were calculated as described in Subsection 7.2.3.3, and provide an estimate of the combined effects of exposure to sediment and the consumption of contaminated prey items. The TBD for each constituent was compared to the dosage RTV to develop average exposure and RME HQs. For each species evaluated, HIs were determined by summing the HQs for all COPCs (Table 7-33). Estimates of exposure and risk to semi-aquatic receptors at the Cold Spring Brook Landfill are presented in Appendix R, Tables R-9 through R-12.

For both the average exposure and RME scenarios at Cold Spring Brook Pond, no HQs were greater than 1 for any of the seven semi-aquatic receptor species evaluated. Under RME assumptions, HIs were greater than 1 for the mallard (HI = 1.4) and green frog (HI = 2.4) (Table 7-33).

These findings suggest that individual COPCs from Cold Spring Brook Landfill are not resulting in adverse ecological risk to semi-aquatic receptors at this site. However, the RME summary HIs for the green frog and mallard are slightly greater than 1, indicating that it is possible, but unlikely, that individual animals

exposed to maximum concentrations of all Cold Spring Brook Pond COPCs may be at risk. Population level impacts are unlikely to result from exposures of individual animals to maximum concentrations.

Field Program Risk Characterization. Considerable uncertainty is associated with evaluation of several of the measurement endpoints in the fish and macroinvertebrate studies. Inherent environmental variability limits the use of measurement endpoints evaluated in the ecological field program. However, limited measurement data from the macroinvertebrate community study and the fish study are available to assist with risk characterization at the Cold Spring Brook Landfill.

The fish community study was qualitative in nature and was not intended to provide an inventory or survey of all fish in Cold Spring Brook Pond. However, as detailed in Subsection 7.2.3.2, the results of the qualitative fish community study suggest that the fish species composition and taxa richness of Cold Spring Brook Pond is characteristic of typical small southern New England ponds. A total of 95 individual fish representing five families and six species were captured in the pond. Top predators, including the chain pickerel, as well as various insectivorous and omnivorous were abundant in Cold Spring Brook Pond.

The gross pathological evaluation of the fish community also was not designed to quantitatively evaluate all sub-lethal indicators of contaminant stress. However, the results of this evaluation suggest that, in terms of gross external pathological indicators, the members of the fish population evaluated from Cold Spring Brook Pond were healthy. A total of 73 fish from Cold Spring Brook Pond were inspected for gross pathological abnormalities (i.e., tumors, lesions, structural, or bone defects). No tumors, lesions, ulcerations, skeletal anomalies or other gross pathological conditions associated with contaminant stress were found in any fish examined.

In general, the lower trophic level fish species evaluated (e.g., the pumpkinseed) contained higher average concentrations of iron than did higher trophic level species. This may reflect the fact that these fish are omnivorous and are obtaining iron from plant food sources (Moore, 1991). Conversely, higher concentrations of lipids and of bioconcentratable analytes (e.g., mercury) were detected in higher trophic level fish (e.g., the chain pickerel). However, the concentrations of mercury in Cold Spring Brook Pond fish were considerably

lower than the concentrations detected in the large piscivores from Plow Shop Pond.

Macroinvertebrate Study. The macroinvertebrate program at the Fort Devens Group 1A sites was designed to provide baseline information regarding the biota associated with aquatic habitats at Cold Spring Brook Pond, and to provide baseline information for possible use in evaluation of effects and effectiveness of any future remedial actions. Considerable uncertainty is associated with the interpretation of the results of the Group 1A macroinvertebrate study. Limited numbers of samples, uncertainties with the reference ponds, differences in habitat types between ponds, and natural environmental stochasticity confound interpretation of this portion of the supplemental risk assessment.

Statistical analyses of the macroinvertebrate data focused on comparisons between taxa and their abundances either directly, or through the use of species richness, biotic composition, and trophic richness metrics. The results of the macroinvertebrate statistical analysis are somewhat nebulous. While the RBP-III percentage comparability analysis indicated that Cold Spring Brook Pond was non-impaired relative to New Cranberry Pond, other statistical analyses indicate that the Cold Spring Brook Pond macroinvertebrate community may be slightly impaired with respect to abundance and taxa diversity. The data also suggest that the macroinvertebrate stations closest to the landfill may be more impacted relative to the station furthest from the landfill.

7.3 ECOLOGICAL UNCERTAINTY ANALYSIS

The prediction of ecological risks at the Fort Devens Group 1A sites involves a number of uncertainties. Many of these uncertainties are inherent in the risk assessment process; others are specific to the Shepley's Hill Landfill and Plow Shop Pond risk assessments. Table 7-34 summarizes the uncertainties identified for the ERA.

As in the original RI risk assessments, the principal uncertainties are associated with the ecological exposure and effects assessments, as well as with the risk characterization. In general, the supplemental ERAs are likely to overestimate (rather than underestimate) the risks of adverse ecological effects at the Group 1A sites because of the conservative nature of many of the assumptions used.

7.4 SUMMARY OF SUPPLEMENTAL RISK ASSESSMENTS

Supplemental risk assessments were performed at the Shepley's Hill Landfill and Cold Spring Brook Landfill to update the original ERAs (E&E, 1993). The supplemental ERAs integrated information gathered from several phases of site investigation at the Group 1A sites to determine whether environmental contaminants may pose a risk to ecological receptors. Specifically, the supplemental risk assessment evaluated sediment and fish tissue analytical data that were unavailable when the original RI report was produced. A reevaluation of available surface water data was also conducted. No additional evaluation of surface soils or groundwater was included in the supplemental ERAs.

7.4.1 Shepley's Hill Landfill

The original risk assessment (E&E, 1993) indicated that sediment contamination from the landfill-derived inorganic analytes in Plow Shop Pond may pose a risk to ecological receptors. Arsenic was found to be the primary risk contributor to aquatic and semi-aquatic biota. Risks to aquatic biota were also predicted from cadmium.

To further evaluate ecological risk from the Shepley's Hill Landfill, analytical chemistry data from 41 shallow sediment samples and 15 individual whole fish (representing three species) were evaluated in the supplemental risk assessment. The following sections summarize the results of this supplemental evaluation.

7.4.1.1 Fish Sampling Program. The average fish tissue concentration from Plow Shop Pond exceeded regional averages for the following analytes: DDE, aluminum, iron, manganese, mercury, and zinc. The average whole body concentrations of aluminum, iron, manganese, and zinc in Plow Shop Pond fish were significantly greater ($P < 0.05$) than average concentrations from the regional database. The maximum Plow Shop Pond whole fish tissue concentrations of arsenic, cadmium, copper, and mercury exceeded their respective NCBMP 85th percentile concentrations. Fish body weight (and concomitantly trophic status) appears to be a good predictor of mercury contaminant burden in Plow Shop Pond, with higher trophic level fish species having accumulated higher concentrations of this analyte.

A total of 193 fish representing seven families and 12 species were collected in Plow Shop Pond. Top predators, including the largemouth bass and chain pickerel represented more than 10 percent of the total numbers of animals collected. Omnivorous and insectivorous were also well represented in Plow Shop Pond. Based on the data collected in this study, the species composition and taxa richness of Plow Shop Pond is typical of a southern New England warm water fish community. A gross pathological examination of fish from Plow Shop Pond suggests that the individuals from the population examined are healthy. No tumors, lesions, or other significant abnormalities were observed in any fish examined.

7.4.1.2 Macroinvertebrate Sampling Program. The macroinvertebrate program at the Shepley's Hill Landfill was designed to provide baseline information regarding the biota associated with aquatic habitats in Plow Shop Pond. Although some uncertainty was associated with the identified reference pond (New Cranberry Pond), the macroinvertebrate community data suggest that Plow Shop Pond may be slightly impacted relative to New Cranberry Pond. In particular, the macroinvertebrate statistical analysis indicate that Plow Shop Pond may have a significantly lower taxa richness than New Cranberry Pond, the reference site. The study also indicated that New Cranberry Pond may have more pollution-intolerant species than Plow Shop Pond; Plow Shop Pond had a significantly higher percentage of pollution-tolerant dominant taxa in the vegetated substrate. Lastly, the macroinvertebrate sampling station farthest from the landfill at Plow Shop Pond appeared to have greater macroinvertebrate biodiversity than stations closer to the landfill. While no organic analyte concentrations appear to be correlated with macroinvertebrate community abundance metrics, the data suggest that a combination of key inorganic analytes may be affecting the macroinvertebrate community structure.

This information suggests that the macroinvertebrate community in Plow Shop Pond, particularly in the vicinity of the landfill, may be slightly impaired relative to that of New Cranberry Pond. However, considerable uncertainty is associated with the study.

7.4.1.3 Aquatic Receptor Hazard Assessment. Concentrations of all five landfill-related analytes (arsenic, barium, iron, manganese, and nickel) were below the surface water TRVs. The average and RME exposure HIs were 7.7 and 12.8, respectively, due primarily to copper and silver. These findings suggest that any

potential risks to aquatic receptors at Plow Shop Pond as a result of surface water exposures may be primarily attributable to sources other than the Shepley's Hill Landfill. Concentrations of all five landfill-related analytes, as assessed in this report, exceeded the available sediment quality criteria or guidelines. The average exposure HQ for arsenic was 14.2, whereas the RME HQ for this analyte was 97. Average exposure and RME HQs for the other landfill analytes ranged from 1.5 to 128. Other non-landfill related COPCs in Plow Shop Pond sediments were also present in concentrations in excess of their RTVs. HQs ranged from 2 to an RME HQ of 867, for mercury. The RME HQs for cadmium, chromium, cobalt, copper, lead, and zinc were also greater than 1, and ranged from 1.2 (cobalt) to 125 (chromium). For aquatic receptors, approximately 15 percent of the average exposure HI for Plow Shop Pond is attributable to landfill analytes in sediments. The remaining 85 percent of the average exposure HI is contributed from analytes originating from sources other than the Shepley's Hill Landfill, with mercury being the primary contributor to risk.

7.4.1.4 Semi-aquatic Receptor Hazard Assessment. For semi-aquatic wildlife, exposure to average concentrations of arsenic in Plow Shop Pond sediment and fish tissue resulted in an HQ greater than 1 for one of the eight receptor species evaluated in the food web model (the green frog, $HQ_{arsenic}$ = 1.2). RME arsenic exposure to the green frog resulted in an HQ of 7.9. With the exception of manganese, none of the other four landfill contaminants (barium, manganese, iron, and nickel), as assessed in this report, have HQs in excess of 1; the RME HQ for the mink exposure to manganese was 4.7. Average and RME exposure to mercury, lead, and chromium, all non-landfill-related COPCs in Plow Shop Pond sediments, were also presumed to result in risks to semi-aquatic receptors, with HQs greater than 1 for the great blue heron, mallard, painted turtle, and green frog.

7.4.1.5 Supplemental Risk Assessment Summary. These findings suggest that analytes detected in Plow Shop Pond may be posing a risk to aquatic and semi-aquatic receptors. Analytes from Shepley's Hill Landfill and from sources other than the Shepley's Hill Landfill are ecological risk contributors to aquatic and semi-aquatic receptors in Plow Shop Pond. Primary risk contributors in Plow Shop Pond include arsenic, chromium, lead, manganese, and mercury.

7.4.2 Cold Spring Brook Landfill

The original risk assessment (E&E, 1993) indicated that sediment contamination in Cold Spring Brook Pond may pose a risk to ecological receptors. Arsenic was found to be the primary risk contributor to aquatic and semi-aquatic biota. Risks to aquatic biota were also predicted from DDD.

To further evaluate ecological risk from the Cold Spring Brook Landfill, analytical chemistry data from 25 shallow sediment samples and nine individual whole fish (representing three species) were evaluated in the supplemental risk assessment. The following sections summarize the results of this supplemental evaluation.

7.4.2.1 Fish Sampling Program. The average fish tissue concentration from Cold Spring Brook Pond exceeded regional averages for the following analytes: DDE, iron, manganese, and zinc. This difference was statistically significant ($P < 0.05$). The maximum Cold Spring Brook Pond whole body chain pickerel concentrations of mercury and zinc exceeded their respective NCBMP 85th percentile concentrations. Fish body weight (and concomitant trophic status) appears to be a good predictor of mercury contaminant burden in Cold Spring Brook Pond, with higher trophic level fish species having accumulated higher concentrations of this analyte.

A total of 95 fish representing five families and six species were collected in Cold Spring Brook Pond. The golden shiner (*Notemigonus crysoleucas*) was the predominant fish sampled, comprising 59 percent of the total population sampled. The chain pickerel, a top predator, and several insectivorous were also collected in Cold Spring Brook Pond. Based on the data collected in this study, the species composition and taxa richness of Cold Spring Brook Pond is typical of a southern New England warm water fish community. A gross pathological examination of fish from Cold Spring Brook Pond suggests that the individuals from the population examined are healthy. No tumors, lesions, or other significant abnormalities were observed in any fish examined.

7.4.2.2 Macroinvertebrate Sampling Program. The macroinvertebrate program at Cold Spring Brook Pond was designed to provide baseline information regarding the biota associated with aquatic habitats in the vicinity of the landfill. Although some uncertainty was associated with the identified reference pond, the macroinvertebrate community data suggest that Cold Spring Brook Pond may be

un-impacted or slightly impacted relative to the reference pond. Within Cold Spring Brook Pond, sampling stations located adjacent to the landfill appear to have lower diversity and abundance of aquatic macroinvertebrates than the station located furthest from the landfill.

7.4.2.3 Aquatic Receptor Hazard Assessment. Average surface water concentrations of iron and silver exceeded the chronic criteria for these analytes. The maximum concentration of zinc exceeded the acute criterion for this analyte. The average and RME HIs were 5.0 and 4.0, respectively, suggesting that risks to aquatic life in Cold Spring Brook as a result of surface water exposures are possible, but unlikely. Concentrations of DDD, DDE, arsenic, barium, iron, lead, manganese, mercury, and nickel exceed the available sediment quality criteria and guidelines. The average exposure HQs for these analytes ranged from 1.1 (mercury) to 8.6 (DDD). REM HQs ranged from 3.4 (barium) to 26.5 (DDD). Because the USEPA sediment quality criteria for DDD and DDE may be overly conservative for use at this site, this value was adjusted to reflect more realistic site-specific values. Use of the adjusted pesticide sediment quality criteria HQ eliminates the risk from DDD for the average exposure scenario and lowers risk from DDD for RME scenarios from 26.5 to less than 2.

7.4.2.4 Semi-aquatic Receptor Hazard Assessment. For both the average exposure and RME scenarios at Cold Spring Brook Pond, no HQs were greater than 1 for any of the seven semi-aquatic receptor species evaluated. Summary HIs ranged from less than 0.01 to a high of 2.4, for the green frog. Arsenic was the primary risk contributor to the green frog, with an HQ slightly less than 1.

7.4.2.5 Supplemental Risk Assessment Summary. These findings suggest that COPCs at Cold Spring Brook Pond are not resulting in adverse ecological risk to semi-aquatic receptors at this site. Although low levels of risk to aquatic receptors were predicted, it is unlikely that these risks are present throughout the entire pond. Limited evidence exists indicating that low levels of risk to aquatic receptors may occur in the portions of the pond directly adjacent to the landfill.

This page intentionally left blank.




FIGURE 7-1
ECOLOGICAL SITE MODEL FOR GROUP 1A SITES
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA




FIGURE 7-2
FISH COMMUNITY COMPOSITION PLOW SHOP POND
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA
ABB Environmental Services, Inc.




FIGURE 7-3
NUMBERS OF FISHES COLLECTED BY VARIOUS METHODS AT PLOW SHOP POND
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ABB Environmental Services, Inc.

7005-08

7005-04




FIGURE 7-4
SUMMARY AQUATIC RECEPTOR HAZARD INDICES
PLOW SHOP POND
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ABB Environmental Services, Inc.

7005-04




FIGURE 7-5
SUMMARY OF RME HIs FOR SEMI-TERRESTRIAL RECEPTORS
PLOW SHOP POND
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ABB Environmental Services, Inc.

7005-04




FIGURE 7-6
SUMMARY OF AVERAGE EXPOSURE
HIs FOR SEMI-TERRESTRIAL RECEPTORS
PLOW SHOP POND
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA




FIGURE 7-7
FISH COMMUNITY COMPOSITION
COLD SPRING BROOK POND
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA
ABB Environmental Services, Inc.

TABLE 7-1
SUMMARY OF RI ECOLOGICAL RISK ASSESSMENT [a]
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ENVIRONMENTAL MEDIA	RECEPTORS	RANGE OF HAZARD INDICES	PRIMARY CONTRIBUTORS TO RISK
LANDFILL ANALYTES [b]			
Groundwater	Flora	<1 to 38	arsenic, cadmium, manganese
Sediment	Semi-aquatic	<1 to 125	arsenic, cadmium
	Benthic invertebrates	3.2 to 97	arsenic, cadmium
OTHER ANALYTES			
Groundwater	Flora	<1 to 2.4	chromium, nickel, vanadium
Sediment	Semi-aquatic	No analytes assessed	chromium, lead, mercury,
	Benthic invertebrates	1.2 to 867	DDE, PAHs

[a] Excerpted from Table 9-17 to 9-20 of the RI Risk Assessment (E & E, 1993).
[b] Landfill contaminants evaluated were arsenic, cadmium, manganese, and barium.

TABLE 7-2
ECOLOGICAL CONTAMINANTS OF POTENTIAL CONCERN (COPCs) [a]
PLOW SHOP POND SURFACE WATER

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	CONCENTRATION		FREQUENCY OF DETECTION	COPC (Y/N)	
	AVERAGE (ug/L)	MAXIMUM (ug/L)			
LANDFILL ANALYTES [b]					
arsenic	4.32	6.96	15/15	Y	
barium	7.06	15.2	15/15	Y	
iron	473	1,100	15/15	Y	
manganese	96.5	500	15/15	Y	
nickel	15.7	44.2	8/15	Y	
OTHER ANALYTES [c]					
ORGANICS					
endrin	NC	0.008	1/15	N	[d]
chloroform	0.74	1.4	6/14 [e]	Y	
methylene chloride	7.63	8.92	14/14 [e]	N	[f]
INORGANICS					
calcium	11,900	31,000	15/15	N	[g]
chromium	2.41	4.9	1/15	Y	
copper	14.2	48.7	13/15	Y	
magnesium	2,100	2,400	15/15	N	[g]
potassium	940	1,180	15/15	N	[g]
silver	0.415	3.6	2/15	Y	
sodium	21,700	25,000	15/15	N	[g]
zinc	18.4	58.1	5/15	Y	

Notes:
[a] COPCs chosen from data presented in E&E (1993). COPCs were selected from surface water samples SW-SHL-01 through SW-SHL-15.
[b] Landfill contaminants determined in the Contaminant Assessment (Section 4).
[c] All other analytes assumed to be non-landfill contaminants.
[d] Detected only once and not above the detection limit.
[e] Volatile analysis for one of the samples (SW-SHL-11) were not conducted due to a laboratory accident.
[f] Analyte is a laboratory contaminant and therefore was excluded as a COPC (Section 4).
[g] Analyte is an essential nutrient and therefore was eliminated as a COPC.
NC = Not calculated

TABLE 7-3
ECOLOGICAL CONTAMINANTS OF POTENTIAL CONCERN (COPCs) [a]
PLOW SHOP POND SEDIMENT

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	CONCENTRATION		FREQUENCY OF DETECTION	COPC (Y/N)	
	AVERAGE (ug/g)	MAXIMUM (ug/g)			
LANDFILL ANALYTES [b]					
arsenic	467	3,200	41/41	Y	
barium	108	344	38/41	Y	
nickel	23	79.3	25/41	Y	
manganese	2,639	54,800	37/41	Y	
iron	36,314	330,000	41/41	Y	
OTHER ANALYTES [c]					
ORGANICS					
acetone	0.19	0.55	9/13 [e]	N	[d]
methylene chloride	0.05	0.12	11/13 [e]	N	[d]
2-butanone	0.04	0.13	5/13 [e]	N	[d]
benzo(a)anthracene	0.22	1.1	1/13 [e]	Y	
chrysene	0.32	1.5	1/13 [e]	Y	
fluoranthene	0.5	3.4	1/13 [e]	Y	
naphthalene	0.32	1.6	1/13 [e]	Y	
phenanthrene	0.38	2.5	1/13 [e]	Y	
pyrene	0.97	4.35	3/13 [e]	Y	
DDE	0.05	1.3	6/41	Y	
DDD	0.07	1.8	4/41	Y	
DDT	0.03	0.13	1/41	Y	[f]
heptachlor	0.006	0.092	2/41	N	[d]
INORGANICS					
aluminum	7,939	24,000	41/41	Y	
beryllium	0.53	2.72	8/41	Y	
cadmium	9.8	60.2	13/41	Y	
calcium	8,074	20,100	39/41	N	[g]
cobalt	5.8	58.7	8/41	Y	
chromium	1,987	10,000	38/41	Y	
copper	39.6	132	30/41	Y	
lead	125	632	40/41	Y	
magnesium	1,629	6,900	36/41	N	[g]
mercury	18.2	130	37/41	Y	
potassium	435	2,350	17/41	N	[g]
selenium	1.95	6.6	12/41	Y	
sodium	1,113	2,870	35/41	N	[g]
vanadium	24.6	166	15/41	Y	
zinc	88.6	403	17/41	Y	

Notes:
[a] COPCs chosen from data presented in Contaminant Assessment (Section 4). COPCs were selected from sediment samples SE-SHL-01 through SE-SHL-13 and SHD-92-01 through SHD-92-28 at depths of less than 1 foot.
[b] Landfill contaminants determined in the Contaminant Assessment (Section 4).
[c] All other analytes assumed to be non-landfill contaminants.
[d] Analyte is a laboratory contaminant and was therefore eliminated as a COPC (see Section 4.0).
[e] Samples collected by ABB-ES (SHD-92-01 through SHD-92-28) were not analyzed for these analytes.
[f] Although analyte was detected in less than 5% of samples, it was retained as a COPC because of the occurrence of related chemicals with similar toxic endpoints and potentially additive effects.
[g] Analyte is an essential nutrient and therefore was eliminated as a COPC.

TABLE 7-4
SUMMARY OF COPCs IN WHOLE BODY FISH TISSUE FROM PLOW SHOP POND

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

SPECIES	BLUEGILL (WHOLE FISH)					BULLHEAD (WHOLE FISH)				
ANALYTE	FREQUENCY OF DETECTION [a]	MINIMUM (ug/g wet weight)	MAXIMUM (ug/g wet weight)	AVERAGE [b] (ug/g wet weight)	COPC (Y/N)	FREQUENCY OF DETECTION [a]	MINIMUM (ug/g wet weight)	MAXIMUM (ug/g wet weight)	AVERAGE [b] (ug/g wet weight)	COPC (Y/N)
LANDFILL ANALYTES										
arsenic	1/5	1.3	1.3	0.33	Y	1/5	0.30	0.30	0.13	Y
barium	5/5	1.3	4.4	2.8	Y	5/5	0.33	1.3	0.79	Y
iron	5/5	42	130	80	Y	5/5	22	71	39	Y
manganese	5/5	39	95	63	Y	5/5	6.20	16	9.46	Y
OTHER ANALYTES										
PESTICIDES/PCBs										
DDE	2/5	0.021	0.029	0.013	Y	4/5	0.014	0.033	0.017	Y
DDD	ND	ND	ND	ND	Y	1/5	0.012	0.012	0.0060	Y
DDT	ND	ND	ND	ND	Y	ND	ND	ND	ND	Y
aroclor-1260	ND	ND	ND	ND	Y	ND	ND	ND	ND	Y
INORGANICS										
aluminum	5/5	1.6	4.5	2.6	Y	3/5	1.7	2.9	1.7	Y
cadmium	ND	ND	ND	ND	Y	ND	ND	ND	ND	Y
calcium	5/5	23,300	48,800	31,940	N [c]	5/5	3,250	16,500	10,048	N [c]
chromium	5/5	0.48	0.93	0.66	Y	4/5	0.25	0.99	0.42	Y
cobalt	4/5	0.10	0.16	0.11	Y	1/5	0.17	0.17	0.074	Y
copper	5/5	0.44	0.60	0.51	Y	5/5	0.43	1.3	0.76	Y
lead	1/4	0.16	0.16	0.078	Y	1/5	0.18	0.18	0.076	Y
magnesium	5/5	496	754	568	N [c]	5/5	249	459	347	N [c]
mercury	5/5	0.19	0.54	0.37	Y	5/5	0.090	0.40	0.28	Y
selenium	5/5	0.42	0.67	0.55	Y	5/5	0.24	0.31	0.27	Y
sodium	5/5	1,480	2,290	1,794	N [c]	5/5	1,080	1,410	1,206	N [c]
thallium	1/5	0.10	0.10	0.06	Y	ND	ND	ND	ND	Y
zinc	5/5	22	30	25	Y	5/5	12	22	16	Y

TABLE 7-4
SUMMARY OF COPCs IN WHOLE BODY FISH TISSUE FROM PLOW SHOP POND

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

SPECIES	LARGEMOUTH BASS (WHOLE FISH)					ALL FISH (WHOLE FISH)				
ANALYTE	FREQUENCY OF DETECTION [a]	MINIMUM (ug/g wet weight)	MAXIMUM (ug/g wet weight)	AVERAGE [b] (ug/g wet weight)	COPC (Y/N)	FREQUENCY OF DETECTION [a]	MINIMUM (ug/g wet weight)	MAXIMUM (ug/g wet weight)	AVERAGE [b] (ug/g wet weight)	COPC (Y/N)
LANDFILL ANALYTES										
arsenic	ND	ND	ND	ND	Y	2/15	0.3	1.3	0.19	Y
barium	5/5	0.27	0.99	0.58	Y	15/15	0.27	4.4	1.4	Y
iron	5/5	11	25	16	Y	15/15	11	130	45	Y
manganese	5/5	5.1	8.8	6.3	Y	15/15	5	95	26	Y
OTHER ANALYTES										
PESTICIDES/PCBs										
DDE	5/5	0.082	0.42	0.17	Y	11/15	0.014	0.42	0.068	Y
DDD	5/5	0.021	0.11	0.046	Y	6/15	0.012	0.11	0.019	Y
DDT	1/5	0.012	0.012	0.0060	Y	1/15	0.012	0.012	0.0055	Y
aroclor-1260	5/5	0.061	0.33	0.137	Y	5/15	0.061	0.33	0.062	Y
INORGANICS										
aluminum	2/5	2.1	2.9	1.4	Y	10/15	1.6	4.5	1.9	Y
cadmium	1/5	0.090	0.090	0.044	Y	1/15	0.09	0.09	0.036	Y
calcium	5/5	12,300	35,900	20,100	N [c]	15/15	3,250	48,800	20,696	N [c]
chromium	5/5	0.32	0.65	0.43	Y	14/15	0.25	0.99	0.50	Y
cobalt	ND	ND	ND	ND	Y	5/15	0.10	0.17	0.077	Y
copper	5/5	0.44	0.90	0.58	Y	15/15	0.43	1.3	0.61	Y
lead	ND	ND	ND	ND	Y	2/14	0.16	0.18	0.067	Y
magnesium	5/5	420	671	510	N [c]	15/15	249	754	475	N [c]
mercury	5/5	0.65	2.7	1.4	Y	15/15	0.090	2.7	0.68	Y
selenium	5/5	0.26	0.54	0.4	Y	15/15	0.24	0.67	0.40	Y
sodium	5/5	1,340	2,020	1,562	N [c]	15/15	1,080	2,290	1,520	N [c]
tallium	ND	ND	ND	ND	Y	1/15	0.10	0.10	0.053	Y
zinc	5/5	13	19	16	Y	15/15	12	30	19	Y

Notes:

[a] Number of detects per total number of analyses.

[b] Average calculated using 1/2 the SQL.

[c] Essential nutrients, with the exception of iron, were eliminated as fish COPCs.

ND = Not detected.

TABLE 7-5
SUMMARY OF CHEMICALS THAT EXCEED REGIONAL (a) OR NATIONAL (b) DATA BY SPECIES
PLOW SHOP POND

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	PLOW SHOP POND FISH [c]							
	BLUEGILL		BULLHEAD		LARGEMOUTH BASS		ALL FISH	
	Regional	National	Regional	National	Regional	National	Regional	National
LANDFILL ANALYTES								
arsenic		X		X				X
barium								
iron	X		X		X		X	
manganese	X		X		X		X	
OTHER ANALYTES								
PESTICIDES/PCBs								
aroclor-1260					X			
DDD								
DDE					X		X	
DDT								
INORGANICS								
aluminum	X		X		X		X	
cadmium						X		X
chromium	X							
cobalt								
copper				X				X
lead								
mercury		X		X	X	X	X	X
nickel								
selenium								
thallium								
zinc	X		X		X		X	
TOTAL EXCEEDANCES	7		7		9		10	

Notes:

[a] PSP average concentrations exceeding regional average concentrations (Massachusetts Division of Water Pollution Control [MADWPC], 1988a; 1988b, 1989; 1990; 1991).

[b] PSP maximum concentrations exceeding national maximum or 85th percentile concentrations (United States Fish and Wildlife Service [USFWS], 1990a; 1990b).

[c] Comparison or fish tissue concentrations to regional and national data presented in Appendix N, Tables N-6 through N-9.

TABLE 7–6
PLANT COMMUNITIES IDENTIFIED IN THE
VICINITY OF THE SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL [a]

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

	COVER TYPE	DOMINANT SPECIES [b]
1.	Mature White Pine Forest	White pine, Red maple, Dwarf blueberry, Bracken fern
2.	Red Pine--Scarlet Oak Early Successional Forest	Red pine, Scarlet oak, Sweetfern, Ground pine
3.	Old Field	Quaking aspen, Gray dogwood, Tartarian honeysuckle, Panic grass, Early goldenrod
4.	White Pine--Red Pine Plantation	White pine, Red pine
5.	Old Field	Quaking aspen, scarlet oak, Red pine, Tartarian honeysuckle, Fescue, Switchgrass, Slender-leaved goldenrod
6.	Sand Barren	Red pine, Gray birch, Sweetfern, Broomsedge, Orange-grass
7.	Aspen Early Successional Forest	Quaking aspen, Sweetfern
8.	Sand Barren	Sweetfern, Purple lovegrass, Broomsedge
9.	Dense Grassland	Bluegrass, Orchard grass, Path rush
10.	Wet Meadow	Nodding smartweed, Brome-like sedge, Panic grass
11.	Scarlet Oak--White Pine Forest	Scarlet oak, White pine, Red maple, Dwarf blueberry, Canada mayflower, Running cedar, Wintergreen
12.	Scarlet Oak--White Pine Regeneration Area	Scarlet oak, White pine, Red maple, Dwarf blueberry
13.	Scarlet Oak Forest	Scarlet oak, Red maple, Dwarf blueberry
14.	Burned Regeneration Area	Scarlet oak, Red maple, Black cherry, Sweet fern, Dwarf blueberry
15.	Red Maple Early Successional Forest	Red maple, Choke cherry, Canada mayflower
16.	Red Pine Plantation	Red pine
17.	White Oak--Scarlet Oak Forest	White oak, Scarlet oak, Red maple, Dwarf blueberry, Wintergreen

TABLE 7-6
PLANT COMMUNITIES IDENTIFIED IN THE
VICINITY OF THE SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL [a]

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

	COVER TYPE	DOMINANT SPECIES [b]
18.	Scarlet Oak Early Successional Forest	Scarlet oak, Gray birch, Red maple, Dwarf blueberry, Wintergreen
19.	Red Maple Swamp	Red maple, Nannyberry, Highbush blueberry, Marsh fern, Brome-like sedge
20.	Shoreline Wetland	Red maple, Silky dogwood, Witch hazel, Smooth alder, Marsh fern, Spotted jewelweed
21.	Floating-leaved Deep Marsh (Plow Shop Pond)	Water marigold, Sweet water lily

Notes:
[a] Source: E&E (1993)
[b] Dominant species listed only

TABLE 7-7
CHECKLIST OF FISHES COLLECTED AT PLOW SHOP POND (PSP)

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

CHORDATA	
OSTEICHTHYES	
ANGUILLIFORMES	
Anguillidae	
Anguilla rostrata (Lesueur)	American Eel
SALMONIFORMES	
Esocidae	
Esox niger (Lesueur)	Chain Pickerel
CYPRINIFORMES	
Cyprinidae	
Notemigonus crysoleucas (Mitchill)	Golden Shiner
SILURIFORMES	
Ictaluridae	
Ictalurus melas (Rafinesque)	Black Bullhead
Ictalurus natalis (Lesueur)	Yellow Bullhead
Ictalurus nebulosus (Lesueur)	Brown Bullhead
PERCIFORMES	
Percichthyidae	
Morone americana (Gmelin)	White Perch
Centrarchidae	
Lepomis gibbosus (Linnaeus)	Pumpkinseed
Lepomis macrochirus (Rafinesque)	Bluegill
Micropterus salmoides (Lacepede)	Largemouth Bass
Pomoxis nigromaculatus (Lesueur)	Black Crappie
Percidae	
Perca flavescens (Mitchill)	Yellow Perch

TABLE 7–8
WATER QUALITY PARAMETERS AT MACROINVERTEBRATE SAMPLING LOCATIONS

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

LOCATION	pH	D.O. (ppm)	TEMPERATURE (°C)	CONDUCTIVITY (MICROSIEMENS)	DIP NET SAMPLES APPROXIMATE DEPTH (m)	DOMINANT VEGETATION	DENSITY OF VEGETATION	DEPTH OF SAMPLE	SEDIMENT DESCRIPTION
PLOW SHOP POND									
Station 1	7.32	4.4	17.2	195	0.8	Milfoil	Sparse	0.6	Fine/Coarse gravel
Station 2	7.66	7.3	18	185	0.7	Potomogeton/ Milfoil	Dense	1.4	Fine muck– Blackish
Station 3	7.39	8.3	17.9	179	0.8	Milfoil	Very Dense	1.0	Fine silt– Rusty Brown
COLD SPRING BROOK POND									
Station 1	8.15	7.8	14.8	297	0.6	Potomogeton/ Milfoil	Moderate	0.4	Organic debris
Station 2	7.49	6.7	16	256	0.8	Potomogeton	Sparse	1.2	Organic debris
Station 3	7.45	6.3	15.8	240	0.5	Potomogeton	Sparse	0.7	Silt/leaf litter–blackish
CRANBERRY POND [a]									
Station 1	6.69	5.8	14.1	43.6	0.7 – 0.9	Potomogeton/ Milfoil	Sparse	0.7	Detritus
Station 2	6.54	5.8	14.2	45.7	0.7 – 0.9	Potomogeton/ Milfoil	Sparse	0.7	Detritus
Station 3	6.68	5.9	13.7	49.6	0.7 – 0.9	Potomogeton/ Milfoil	Sparse	0.7	Detritus

Notes:

[a] Sample characterizations combined since all three stations at Cranberry Pond were similar and in close proximity (75 feet) of each other.

TABLE 7-9
SUMMARY OF SEDIMENT CHEMISTRY DATA FROM MACROINVERTEBRATE SAMPLING STATIONS
PLOW SHOP POND AND NEW CRANBERRY POND

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	PLOW SHOP POND			CRANBERRY POND		
	FREQUENCY OF DETECT [a]	CONCENTRATION [b] AVERAGE	MAXIMUM	FREQUENCY OF DETECT [a]	CONCENTRATION [b] AVERAGE	MAXIMUM
ORGANICS (ug/kg)						
DDT	1/3	0.046	0.13	1/3	0.03	0.07
DDD	2/3	0.155	0.28	1/3	0.14	0.295
DDE	2/3	0.04	0.075	1/3	0.059	0.13
total organic carbon	3/3	191,167	268,000	3/3	60,113	100,000
INORGANICS (ug/kg)						
aluminum	3/3	7,717	13,500	3/3	8,427	11,100
arsenic	3/3	210	310	3/3	8.1	13.8
barium	3/3	50	80	3/3	34	57
beryllium	0/3	0.25	0.25	0/3	ND	ND
cadmium	0/3	0.35	0.35	0/3	ND	ND
chromium	3/3	1,417	3,060	1/3	4.02	8.9
cobalt	3/3	28.6	51	1/3	1.1	3.1
copper	3/3	29.8	58	3/3	7.1	13.9
iron	3/3	30,767	51,300	3/3	5,465	7,140
lead	3/3	102	160	3/3	42.1	97
manganese	3/3	1,312	2,370	3/3	61.2	109
mercury	3/3	12.1	31	2/3	0.124	0.218
nickel	3/3	24.5	29.9	2/3	3.72	5.2
selenium	1/3	0.923	2.52	0/3	ND	ND
vanadium	2/3	18	30.5	3/3	14.6	24.5
zinc	3/3	105	203	3/3	31.2	55

Notes:

[a] Frequency of detection represents the number of detects per total number of samples.

[b] 1/2 the SQL was used for non-detected values for calculation of average.

ND = Not Detected.

TABLE 7–10
COMPARISON OF REFERENCE POND SEDIMENT CHEMISTRY WITH SEDIMENT QUALITY GUIDELINES AND CRITERIA

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	NEW CRANBERRY POND		USEPA [a]	NOAA [b]		NYSDEC [c]	USEPA	ONTARIO
	Average (ug/kg)	Maximum (ug/kg)	SQC (ug/g)	ER–L (ug/g)	ER–M (ug/g)	(ug/g)	REGION V [d] (ug/g)	LOWEST EFFECT LEVEL (ug/g)
ORGANICS								
phenol	0.327	0.87	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
DDD	0.14	0.295	0.05	2	20	NA	NA	0.008
DDE	0.059	0.13	0.05	2	15	NA	NA	0.005
DDT	0.03	0.07	0.05	1	7	NA	NA	0.008
INORGANICS								
aluminum	8,427	11,100	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
arsenic	8.1	13.8	NA	33	85	5	3	6
barium	34	57.4	NA	NA	NA	NA	20	NA
chromium	4.02	8	NA	80	145	26	25	26
cobalt	1.1	1.89	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	50
copper	7.1	13.9	NA	70	390	19	25	16
iron	5,465	7,140	NA	NA	NA	24,000	17,000	20,000
lead	42.1	97	NA	35	110	27	40	31
manganese	61.2	109	NA	NA	NA	428	300	460
mercury	0.124	0.218	NA	0.15	1.3	0.11	>1	0.2
nickel	3.72	5.21	NA	30	50	22	20	16
vanadium	14.6	24.5	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
zinc	31.2	54.8	NA	120	270	85	90	120

Notes:
[a] Organic carbon normalized mean values from USEPA (1988) Interim Sediment Quality Criteria (SQC), using the average (6%) total organic carbon measured in New Cranberry Pond sediments.
[b] Effects range–low (ER–L) and Effects range–medium (ER–M) values from Long and Morgan (1990).
[c] New York State Department of Environmental Conservation ([NYSDEC], 1989).
[e] Values are Lowest Effect Level reported in Ontario Ministry of the Environment Persaud, et al. (1992).
[d] From USEPA (1977) Region V Sediment Classification System. Levels above those listed are considered moderately polluted, levels below are considered non–polluted.
[e] Lower limits were not established for cadmium and mercury– values listed for these analytes represent heavily polluted sediments.
NA = Not Available.

TABLE 7-11
CHECKLIST OF FORT DEVENS MACROINVERTEBRATES [a]

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

PROTOZOA
- Sarcodinia
  - Testacea

PORIFERA
- Demospongea
  - Haplosclerina
    - Spongillidae
      - Spongillinae
        - *Spongilla sp.*

COELENTERATA
- Hydrozoa
  - Hydroida
    - Hydridae
      - *Hydra sp.*

PLATYHELMINTHES
- Acoela
- Turbellaria
  - Tricladida
    - Planariidae
      - *Phagocata sp.*

NEMATODA

BRYOZOA

ANNELIDA
- Oligochaeta
  - Haplotaxida
    - Naididae
      - *Pristina sp.*
      - *Stylaria sp.*
    - Tubificidae
- Hirudinea
  - Rhynchobdellida
    - Glossiphonidae
      - *Glossiphonia sp.*
      - *Helobdella sp.*
      - *Placobdella sp.*

ARTHROPODA
- Arachnoidea
  - Acariformes
    - Hydrachnidae
    - Arrenuridae
      - *Arrenurus sp.*
  - Hydracarina
- Crustacea
  - Cladocera
    - Chydoridae
      - *Eurycercus sp.*
  - Cyclopoida
  - Isopoda
    - Asellidae
      - *Caecidotea sp.*
  - Amphipoda
    - Talitridae
      - *Hyalella sp.*
      - *Hyalella azteca*
  - Ostracoda
- Insecta
  - Collembola
    - Entomobryidae
  - Plecoptera
  - Ephemeroptera
    - Baetidae
      - *Baetis sp.*
    - Caenidae
      - *Caenis sp.*

- Heptageniidae
  - *Epeorus sp.*
- Odonata
  - Aeschnidae
    - *Boyeria sp.*
  - Aeshnidae
    - *Gomphaeschna sp.*
  - Coenagrionidae
    - *Enallagma sp.*
  - Gomphidae
    - *Gomphus sp.*
  - Lestidae
    - *Lestes sp.*
  - Libellulidae
    - *Libellula sp.*
    - *Sympetrum sp.*
    - *Tramea sp.*
- Megaloptera
  - Sialidae
    - *Sialis sp.*
- Trichoptera
  - Hydroptilidae
    - Hydroptilinae
  - Leptoceridae
    - *Leptocerus sp.*
    - *Nectopsyche sp.*
    - *Oecetis sp.*
    - *Triaenodes sp.*
  - Limnephilidae
    - *Limnephilus sp.*
  - Polycentropodidae
    - *Polycentropus sp.*
- Lepidoptera
  - Noctuidae
  - Pyralidae
    - *Parapoynx sp.*
- Coleoptera
  - Chrysomelidae
    - Donacia sp.
  - Dytiscidae
  - Elmidae
  - Haliplidae
    - *Haliplus sp.*
- Diptera
  - Ceratopogonidae
    - *Sphaeromias sp.*
    - Culicoidinae
      - *Bezzia sp.*
      - *Palpomyia sp.*
      - *Palpomyia tibialis*
  - Chaoboridae
    - *Chaoborus sp.*
    - *Chaoborus punctipennis*
  - Chironomidae
    - Tanypodinae
      - *Ablabesmyia sp.*
      - *Natarsia sp.*
      - *Procladius sp.*
      - *Tanypus sp.*
    - Orthocladiinae
      - *Cricotopus sp.*
      - *Omisus sp.*
      - *Parorthocladius sp.*
    - Chironominae

TABLE 7-11
CHECKLIST OF FORT DEVENS MACROINVERTEBRATES [a]

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

- *Chironomus sp.*
- *Chironomus halaphilus grp.*
- *Dicrotendipes sp.*
- *Hyporhygma sp.*
- *Lauterborniella sp.*
- *Microtendipes sp.*
- *Parachironomus sp.*
- *Polypedilum sp.*
- *Tanytarsus sp.*

Athericidae
- *Atherix sp.*

Tabanidae
- *Chrysops sp.*

Hemiptera
Corixidae
Corixinae
- *Trichocorixa sp.*

Hebridae
- *Hebrus sp.*

Mesoveliidae
- *Mesovelia sp.*

Pleidae
- *Plea sp.*

Saldidae

MOLLUSCA
Gastropoda
Basommatophora
Lymnaeidae
- *Lymnaea sp.*

Physidae
- *Physa sp.*

Planorbidae
- *Gyraulus sp.*
- *Helisoma sp.*
- *Menetus sp.*

Pelecypoda
Prionodesmacea
Sphaeriidae
- *Pisidium sp.*

Notes:
[a] Samples collected September 24 and 25, 1992 from Plow Shop Pond, Cold Spring Brook Pond, and New Cranberry Pond.

TABLE 7-12
CHECKLIST OF GROVE POND MACROINVERTEBRATES [a]

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANNELIDA
- Hirudinea
  - Indeterminate
- Oligochaeta
  - Haplotaxida
    - Tubificidae
      - Indeterminate

ARTHROPODA
- Crustacea
  - Amphipoda
    - Talitridae
      - *Hyalella azteca*
  - Cladocera
    - Chydoridae
      - Indeterminate
- Insecta
  - Ephemeroptera
    - Caenidae
      - *Caenis Caeisp.*
  - Odonata
    - Coenagrionidae
      - *Enallagma sp.*
      - *Argia sp.*
      - Indeterminate
    - Libellulidae
      - *Leucorrhinia sp.*
    - Coleoptera
      - Chrysomelidae
        - *Donacia sp.*
        - Indeterminate
      - Haliplidae
        - *Haliplus sp.*
    - Diptera
      - Chaoboridae
        - *Chaoborus sp.*
      - Chironomidae
        - Tanypodinae
          - *Clanotanypus sp..*
          - *Procladius sp.*
          - Indeterminate
        - Chironominae
          - *Phaenopsectra sp.*
          - *Polypedilum sp.*
          - Indeterminate
          - *Chironomus sp.*
          - *Tanytarsus sp.*
          - *Pseudochironomus sp.*
          - *Parachironomus sp.*
          - *Xenochironomus sp.*
          - *Microtendipes sp.*
          - *Cryptochironomus sp.*
      - Athericidae
        - *Atherix sp.*
      - Ceratopogonidae
        - *Sphaeromias sp.*
        - Culicoidinae
          - *Bezzia sp.*
      - Hemiptera

TABLE 7–12
CHECKLIST OF GROVE POND MACROINVERTEBRATES [a]

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

- Corixidae
  - Indeterminate
  - Corixinae
    - *Trichocorixa sp.*
- Trichoptera
  - Hydroptilidae
    - Hydroptilinae

Note:

[a] Samples collected by MADEP at Grove Pond, September, 1992, as described in the supplemental Risk Assessment.

TABLE 7-13
PRIMARY ROUTES OF EXPOSURE TO SEDIMENT AND FISH TISSUE
PLOW SHOP POND

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

Medium	Route of Exposure	Populations Exposed
Fish Tissue	Ingestion of contaminated tissue	Amphibians, reptiles, birds, and mammals
	Indirect exposures associated with the consumption of contaminated dietary items	Reptiles, birds, and mammals
Sediment	Ingestion of contaminated sediment	Invertebrates, fish, amphibians, reptiles, and mammals
	Dermal contact with contaminated sediments	Invertebrates, fish, amphibians, reptiles, and mammals
	Assimilation via respiratory gill epithelium	Invertebrates, fish, and amphibians
	Root uptake	Vascular plants
	Indirect exposures associated with the consumption of contaminated dietary items [1]	Fish, amphibians, reptiles, waterfowl, and mammals
Surface Water	Ingestion of contaminated surface water	Invertebrates, fish, amphibians, reptiles, and mammals
	Dermal contact with contaminated surface water	Invertebrates, fish, amphibians, reptiles, and mammals
	Assimilation via respiratory gill epithelium	Invertebrates, fish, and amphibians
	Root uptake	Vascular plants
	Indirect exposures associated with the consumption of contaminated dietary items [1]	Fish, amphibians, reptiles, waterfowl, and mammals

Note:

[1] Indirect exposure is intended to represent food chain exposure to environmental contaminants at Plow Shop Pond.

TABLE 7-14
SURFACE WATER REFERENCE TOXICITY VALUES
PLOW SHOP POND

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	USEPA AMBIENT WATER QUALITY CRITERIA (f) (µg/l)		TOXICITY VALUES (g) (µg/l)	
	Chronic	Acute	Chronic	Acute
LANDFILL ANALYTES				
arsenic (a)	190	360		
barium (b)	NA	NA	200	2,000
iron	1,000	10,000		
nickel (c)	65	580		
manganese (d)	NA	NA	1,000	10,000
OTHER ANALYTES				
ORGANICS				
chloroform (e)	NA	NA	248	3,580
INORGANICS				
chromium (c)	88	740		
copper (c)	4.8	6.6		
silver	0.12	0.92		
zinc (c)	44	48		

Notes:

[a] Values shown are for trivalent arsenic (AsIII).

[b] Lowest end of range of concentrations lethal to aquatic invertebrates and fish (NAS 1972) with a safety factor of 0.2 applied.

[c] AWQC are dependent upon water hardness; the average Plow Shop Pond hardness (35 mg/l $CaCO_3$) was used.

[d] Value reported by McKee and Wolf (1963 in USEPA, 1976) and Davies and Goettl (in Lewis et al., 1979) which is considered protective of freshwater species.

[e] Lowest of range of concentrations associated with acute or chronic effects obtained from an AQUIRE search (1990). Acute value is a 96-hr LC_{50} for bluegill sunfish reported by Anderson and Lusty (1980; in AQUIRE 1990). Chronic value is a 28-day embryo-larval LC50 for rainbow trout reported by Black and Birge (1980, in AQUIRE, 1990) with a safety factor of 0.2 applied.

[f] USEPA (1986)

[g] Other reference toxicity values are presented only for those chemicals for which AWQC are not available.

TABLE 7–15
SEDIMENT QUALITY CRITERIA AND GUIDELINES
PLOW SHOP POND

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUPS 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	USEPA [a] SQC (µg/g)	NOAA [b] ER–L (µg/g)	NOAA [b] ER–M (µg/g)	NYSDEC [c] (µg/g)	ONTARIO LOWEST EFFECT LEVEL (µg/g)	USEPA REGION V [e] (µg/g)
LANDFILL ANALYTES						
arsenic	NA	33	85	5	6	3
barium	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	20
iron	NA	NA	NA	24,000	20,000	17,000
nickel	NA	30	50	22	16	20
manganese	NA	NA	NA	428	460	300
OTHER ANALYTES						
ORGANICS						
benzo(a)anthracene	431.9	0.23	1.6	NA	NA	NA
chrysene	348.7[f]	0.4	2.8	NA	NA	NA
fluoranthene	617.6	0.6	3.6	NA	NA	NA
naphthalene	348.7[f]	0.34	2.1	NA	NA	NA
phenanthrene	45.6	0.225	1.38	45.6	NA	NA
pyrene	430	0.35	2.2	NA	NA	NA
DDD	0.272 [g]	0.002	0.02	0.272	0.008	NA
DDE	0.272 [g]	0.002	0.15	0.272	0.005	NA
DDT	0.272	0.001	0.007	0.272	0.008	NA
INORGANICS						
aluminum	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
beryllium	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
cadmium	NA	5	9	0.8	0.6	6
cobalt	NA	NA	NA	NA	50	NA
chromium	NA	80	145	26	26	25
copper	NA	70	390	19	16	25
lead	NA	35	110	27	31	40
mercury	NA	0.15	1.3	0.11	0.2	1
selenium	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
vanadium	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
zinc	NA	120	270	85	120	90

Notes:
[a] Organic carbon–normalized mean values from USEPA (1988) Interim Sediment Quality Criteria (SQC), using the average (32.8%) total organic carbon measured in Plow Shop Pond sediments.
[b] Effects Range–Low (ER–L) and Effects Range–Medium (ER–M) values from Long and Morgan (1990).
[c] New York State Department of Environmental Conservation ([NYSDEC], 1989. Organics were organic carbon normalized using 32.8% total organic carbon.
[d] Values are Lowest Effect Level reported in Persaud, et al. (1992).
[e] From USEPA (1977) Region V Sediment Classification System. Levels above those listed are considered moderately polluted, levels below are considered non–polluted. Lower limits were not established for cadmium and mercury. Values listed for these analytes represent heavily polluted sediments.
[f] Guideline for benzo(a)pyrene.
[g] Guideline for DDT.
NA = Not Available.

TABLE 7-16
SUMMARY OF EXTERNAL EXAMINATIONS OF SELECTED FISH IN PLOW SHOP POND [A]

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

SPECIES	NUMBER EXAMINED	FRAYED FINS	TUMORS/ LESIONS	OTHER	REMARKS (FOR OTHER)
Bluegill	114	1	0	2	1-missing 1/2 gill; 1-generally poor overall
Golden Shiner	13	1	0	1	1-deformed tail
Yellow Perch	8	1	0	0	
Largemouth Bass	8	1	0	0	
Chain Pickerel	18	1	0	3	1-very thin, poor overall, cataract in left eye; 1-right lower jaw deformed; 1-frayed opercle

Note:

[a] Fish captured in nets when the fin fraying was likely caused by the nets were not included in this tabulation.

TABLE 7-17
AQUATIC RECEPTOR RISK CHARACTERIZATION
PLOW SHOP POND: SURFACE WATER

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	AVERAGE EXPOSURE			REASONABLE MAXIMUM EXPOSURE (RME)		
	Average (ug/l)	Chronic Criteria and Toxicity Values [a] (ug/l)	Hazard Quotient [b] (unitless)	Maximum (ug/l)	Acute Criteria and Toxicity Values [a] (ug/l)	Hazard Quotient [b] (unitless)
LANDFILL ANALYTES						
arsenic	4.32	190	2.27E-02	6.96	360	1.93E-02
barium	7.06	200	3.53E-02	15.2	2,000	7.60E-03
iron	473.0	1,000	4.73E-01	1,100	10,000	1.10E-01
manganese	96.5	1,000	9.65E-02	500	10,000	5.00E-02
nickel	15.7	65	2.42E-01	44.2	580	7.62E-02
	LANDFILL AVERAGE HAZARD INDEX [c]		8.69E-01	LANDFILL RME HAZARD INDEX [c]		2.63E-01
OTHER ANALYTES						
ORGANICS						
chloroform	0.740	248	2.98E-03	1.41	3,580	3.94E-04
INORGANICS						
chromium	2.41	88	2.74E-02	4.9	740	6.62E-03
copper	14.2	4.8	2.96E+00	48.7	6.6	7.38E+00
silver	0.415	0.12	3.46E+00	3.6	0.92	3.91E+00
zinc	18.4	44	4.18E-01	58.1	48	1.21E+00
	OTHER ANALYTE AVERAGE HAZARD INDEX [c]		6.87E+00	OTHER ANALYTE RME HAZARD INDEX [c]		1.25E+01
	TOTAL AVERAGE HAZARD INDEX [c]		7.73E+00	TOTAL RME HAZARD INDEX [c]		1.28E+01

Notes:
[a] Criteria from Table 7-14, chosen as described in Section 7.1.3.4.
[b] Hazard Quotient is calculated by dividing analyte concentration by surface water criterion/toxicity value.
[c] Hazard Index is the sum of all hazard quotients.
NA = Not Available
Shaded values represent a hazard index greater than one

TABLE 7-18
AQUATIC RECEPTOR RISK CHARACTERIZATION
PLOW SHOP POND: SEDIMENTS

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	AVERAGE EXPOSURE			REASONABLE MAXIMUM EXPOSURE (RME)		
	Average (ug/g)	Sediment Quality Criteria and Guideline Values [a] (ug/g)	Hazard Quotient [b] (unitless)	Maximum (ug/g)	Sediment Quality Criteria and Guideline Values [a] (ug/g)	Hazard Quotient [b] (unitless)
LANDFILL CONTAMINANTS						
arsenic	467	33	1.42E+01	3,200	33	9.70E+01
barium	108	20	5.40E+00	344	20	1.72E+01
nickel	23	30	7.67E-01	79.3	30	2.64E+00
manganese	2,639	428	6.17E+00	54,800	428	1.28E+02
iron	36,314	24,000	1.51E+00	330,000	24,000	1.38E+01
LANDFILL HAZARD INDEX [c]			**2.80E+01**	**LANDFILL HAZARD INDEX [c]**		**2.59E+02**
OTHER ANALYTES						
ORGANICS						
benzo(a)anthracene	0.22	431.9	5.09E-04	1.1	431.9	2.55E-03
chrysene	0.32	348.7	9.18E-04	1.5	348.7	4.30E-03
fluoranthene	0.5	617.6	8.10E-04	3.4	617.6	5.51E-03
naphthalene	0.32	348.7	9.18E-04	1.6	348.7	4.59E-03
phenanthrene	0.38	45.6	8.33E-03	2.5	45.6	5.48E-02
pyrene	0.97	430	2.26E-03	4.4	430	1.01E-02
DDE	0.05	0.272	1.84E-01	1.3	0.272	4.78E+00
DDD	0.07	0.272	2.57E-01	1.8	0.272	6.62E+00
DDT	0.03	0.272	1.10E-01	0.13	0.272	4.78E-01
INORGANICS						
aluminum	7,939	NA	NA	24,000	NA	NA
beryllium	0.53	NA	NA	2.72	NA	NA
cadmium	9.8	5	1.96E+00	60.2	5	1.20E+01
cobalt	5.8	50	1.16E-01	58.7	50	1.17E+00
chromium	1,987	80	2.48E+01	10,000	80	1.25E+02
copper	39.7	70	5.67E-01	132	70	1.89E+00
lead	125	35	3.57E+00	632	35	1.81E+01
mercury	18.2	0.15	1.21E+02	130	0.15	8.67E+02
selenium	1.95	NA	NA	6.6	NA	NA
vanadium	24.6	NA	NA	166	NA	NA
zinc	88.6	120	7.38E-01	403	120	3.36E+00
OTHER PARAMETER AVERAGE HAZARD INDEX [c]			**1.54E+02**	**OTHER PARAMETER RME HAZARD INDEX [c]**		**1.04E+03**
TOTAL AVERAGE HAZARD INDEX [c]			**1.82E+02**	**TOTAL RME HAZARD INDEX [c]**		**1.30E+03**

Notes:
[a] Criteria and guideline values from Table 7-15, chosen as described in Section 7.1.3.4.
[b] Hazard Quotient is calculated by dividing analyte concentration by sediment quality criterion/guidance value.
[c] Hazard Index is the sum of all hazard quotients.
NA = Not Available
Shaded values represent a hazard index greater than one

TABLE 7-19
SUMMARY OF ECOLOGICAL RISK ASSESSMENT FOR SEMI-AQUATIC RECEPTORS
PLOW SHOP POND

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

INDICATOR SPECIES	HAZARD INDICES		PRIMARY CONTRIBUTORS TO RISK [c]
	RME [a]	AVERAGE [b]	
		Landfill Analytes [d]	
Mallard Duck	4.2E+00	6.0E-01	arsenic
Great Blue Heron	5.8E-01	7.8E-02	
Osprey	2.7E-03	3.3E-04	
Green Frog	9.1E+00	1.3E+00	arsenic
Painted Turtle	6.5E+00	9.2E-01	arsenic
Muskrat	5.4E+00	7.8E-01	arsenic
Mink	5.0E+00	2.8E-01	manganese
Raccoon	1.0E-01	5.7E-03	
		All Analytes	
Mallard Duck	8.4E+00	1.4E+00	arsenic, Chromium
Great Blue Heron	3.3E+00	5.4E-01	chromium, Mercury
Osprey	6.8E-02	8.9E-03	
Green Frog	2.1E+01	3.5E+00	Arsenic, Chromium
Painted Turtle	1.6E+01	2.8E+00	Arsenic, Chromium
Muskrat	8.3E+00	1.4E+00	Arsenic
Mink	1.2E+01	1.4E+00	Mercury, Manganese
Raccoon	2.1E-01	2.4E-02	

Notes:

[a] His derived under reasonable maximum exposure assumptions (see Section 7.1.3.5); calculations presented in Tables R-3 and R-4 for landfill analytes and in R-7 and R-8 for all analytes respectively in Appendix R.

[b] His derived under average exposure assumptions (see Section 7.1.3.5); calculations presented in Tables R-1 and R-2 for landfill analytes and in R-5 and R-6 for all analytes respectively in Appendix R.

[c] Analytes with calculated HQs in excess of 1 for either the RME or average exposure scenarios.

[d] His derived based on exposure to the landfill related CPCs (i.e., arsenic, barium, manganese, and nickel).

TABLE 7-20
SUMMARY OF RI ECOLOGICAL RISK ASSESSMENT [a]
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ENVIRONMENTAL MEDIA	RECEPTORS	RANGE OF HAZARD INDICES	PRIMARY CONTRIBUTORS TO RISK
LANDFILL CONTAMINANT			
Sediment			
	Semi-aquatic	<1 to 7.8	arsenic, DDD, DDE
	Benthic Invertebrates	<1 to 645	arsenic, DDD, DDE, PAHs
OTHER PARAMETERS			
Sediment			
	Semi-aquatic	No analytes assessed	
	Benthic Invertebrates	<1 to 9.9	lead, mercury, zinc

Note:
[a] Excerpted from Table 9-24 and Table 9-36 through 9-39 of the RI Risk Assessment, December 1992 (E & E. 1993).

TABLE 7-21
ECOLOGICAL CONTAMINANTS OF POTENTIAL CONCERN (COPCs) [a]
COLD SPRING BROOK POND SURFACE WATER

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	CONCENTRATION		FREQUENCY OF DETECTION	COPC (Y/N)	
	AVERAGE (ug/l)	MAXIMUM (ug/l)			
ORGANICS					
methylene chloride	7.58	9.8	10/10	N	[b]
INORGANICS					
arsenic	7.7	17.7	10/10	Y	
barium	10.7	13.4	10/10	Y	
calcium	24,600	28,000	10/10	N	[c]
chromium	2.70	4.76	2/10	Y	
copper	4.4	6.75	7/10	Y	
iron	1,560	3,200	10/10	Y	
magnesium	3,070	3,300	10/10	N	[c]
manganese	151	400	10/10	Y	
potassium	1,679	2,010	10/10	N	[c]
silver	0.2	0.708	1/10	Y	
zinc	21.8	86.3	3/10	Y	

Notes:
[a] COPCs chosen from data presented in E&E (1993). COPCs were selected from surface water samples SW-CSB-01 through SW-CSB-10.
[b] Analyte is a laboratory contaminant and was therefore eliminated as a COPC (Section 4.0)
[c] Analyte is an essential nutrient and was therefore elimated as a COPC.

TABLE 7-22
ECOLOGICAL CONTAMINANTS OF POTENTIAL CONCERN (COPCs) [a]
COLD SPRING BROOK POND SEDIMENT

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	CONCENTRATION		FREQUENCY OF DETECTION	COPC (Y/N)	
	AVERAGE (ug/g)	MAXIMUM (ug/g)			
ORGANICS					
acetone	0.02	0.17	8/9 [b]	N	[c]
methylene chloride	0.01	0.061	9/9 [b]	N	[c]
2-butanone	0.003	0.025	1/9 [b]	N	[c]
ancenapthene	0.18	0.87	1/25	N	[d]
acenapthylene	0.26	3	1/25	N	[d]
anthracene	0.27	3	1/25	Y	[e]
benzo(a)anthracene	0.51	4	2/25	Y	
benzo(a)pyrene	1.1	6	2/25	Y	
benzo(b)fluoranthene	0.64	5	2/25	Y	
benzo(g,h,i)perylene	0.48	1	1/25	N	[d]
benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.9	10	2/25	Y	
bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	1.4	2	1/25	Y	[e]
chrysene	0.63	8	2/25	Y	
dibenzofuran	0.15	0.61	2/25	Y	
fluoranthene	1.6	10	11/25	Y	
fluorene	0.16	0.2	1/25	N	[d]
indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	0.56	2	1/25	N	[d]
naphthalene	0.14	0.25	1/25	N	[d]
phenanthrene	0.77	6	3/25	Y	
pyrene	2.2	20	5/25	Y	
DDD	0.5	6.2	16/25	Y	
DDE	0.09	0.72	14/25	Y	
DDT	0.64	15	6/25	Y	
INORGANICS					
aluminum	6,108	17,000	25/25	Y	
arsenic	78	390	25/25	Y	
barium	36.8	115	24/25	Y	
beryllium	0.19	0.41	2/25	Y	
calcium	8,582	41,600	20/25	N	[f]
cobalt	3.38	19.6	8/25	Y	
chromium	15.1	64.8	15/25	Y	
copper	8.5	42.9	16/25	Y	
iron	15,232	45,000	25/25	Y	
potassium	758	3,580	22/25	N	[f]
lead	69.5	570	25/25	Y	
magnesium	2,246	7,160	25/25	N	[f]
manganese	634	3,000	25/25	Y	
mercury	0.077	0.72	7/25	Y	
nickel	10.8	54.3	16/25	Y	
selenium	1.96	5.77	5/25	Y	
silver	0.65	6.35	4/25	Y	
sodium	452	1,860	20/25	N	[f]
vanadium	12.1	48.6	18/25	Y	
zinc	82.3	690	17/25	Y	

Notes:
[a] COPCs chosen from data presented in Contaminant Assessment (Section 4). COPCs were selected from sediment samples SE-CSB-01 through SE-CSB-09 and CSD-92-01 through CSD-92-16, at depths of less than one foot.
[b] Samples collected by ABB-ES (CSD-92-01 through CSD-92-16) were not analyzed for these analytes
[c] Analyte is a laboratory contaminant and was therefore eliminated as a COPC (Section 4)
[d] Analyte was detected in less than 5% of samples and therefore was eliminated as a COPC.
[e] Although analyte was detected in less than 5% of samples, it was retained as a COPC because reported concentrations are at or near sediment quality criteria/guideline concentration.
[f] Analyte is an essential nutrient and therefore was eliminated as a COPC.

TABLE 7–23
SUMMARY OF CONTAMINANTS OF CONCERN IN WHOLE BODY FISH TISSUE FROM COLD SPRING BROOK POND

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

SPECIES	PUMPKINSEED (WHOLE FISH)					PICKEREL (WHOLE FISH)				
PARAMETER	FREQUENCY OF DETECTION (a)	MINIMUM (ug/g wet weight)	MAXIMUM (ug/g wet weight)	AVERAGE (b) (ug/g wet weight)	COPC (Y/N)	FREQUENCY OF DETECTION (a)	MINIMUM (ug/g wet weight)	MAXIMUM (ug/g wet weight)	AVERAGE (b) (ug/g wet weight)	COPC (Y/N)
PESTICIDES/PCBs										
DDE	3/3	0.022	0.17	0.083	Y	3/3	0.026	0.15	0.079	Y
DDD	3/3	0.019	0.23	0.115	Y	3/3	0.038	0.16	0.088	Y
aroclor–1254	ND	ND	ND	ND	Y	ND	ND	ND	ND	Y
INORGANICS										
arsenic	1/3	0.27	0.27	0.15	Y	ND	ND	ND	ND	Y
barium	3/3	0.24	0.64	0.47	Y	2/3	0.39	0.52	0.34	Y
calcium	3/3	8,510	15,500	13,137	N[c]	3/3	4,940	10,600	7,827	N[c]
chromium	3/3	0.23	0.33	0.30	Y	2/3	0.23	0.27	0.20	Y
cobalt	2/3	0.11	0.20	0.12	Y	ND	ND	ND	ND	Y
copper	3/3	0.37	0.41	0.39	Y	3/3	0.46	0.67	0.58	Y
iron	3/3	25	42	33	Y	3/3	12	15	13	Y
magnesium	3/3	325	464	417	N[c]	3/3	350	426	388	N[c]
manganese	3/3	7.6	12	10	Y	3/3	6	14	10	Y
mercury	3/3	0.080	0.24	0.16	Y	3/3	0.23	0.47	0.36	Y
selenium	2/3	0.24	0.45	0.25	Y	2/3	0.17	0.25	0.16	Y
sodium	3/3	1,060	1,280	1,173	N[c]	3/3	912	1,170	1,044	N[c]
zinc	3/3	17	24	21	Y	3/3	37	51	43	Y

TABLE 7-23
SUMMARY OF CONTAMINANTS OF CONCERN IN WHOLE BODY FISH TISSUE FROM COLD SPRING BROOK POND

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

SPECIES	BULLHEAD (WHOLE FISH)					ALL FISH (WHOLE FISH)				
PARAMETER	FREQUENCY OF DETECTION (a)	MINIMUM (ug/g wet weight)	MAXIMUM (ug/g wet weight)	AVERAGE (b) (ug/g wet weight)	COPC (Y/N)	FREQUENCY OF DETECTION (a)	MINIMUM (ug/g wet weight)	MAXIMUM (ug/g wet weight)	AVERAGE (b) (ug/g wet weight)	COPC (Y/N)
PESTICIDES/PCBs										
DDE	3/3	0.031	0.15	0.085	Y	9/9	0.022	0.17	0.082	Y
DDD	3/3	0.034	0.34	0.17	Y	9/9	0.019	0.34	0.12	Y
aroclor-1254	1/3	0.052	0.052	0.034	Y	1/9	0.052	0.052	0.028	Y
INORGANICS										
arsenic	ND	ND	ND	ND	Y	1/9	0.27	0.27	0.10	Y
barium	2/3	0.24	0.41	0.26	Y	7/9	0.24	0.64	0.36	Y
calcium	3/3	7,820	19,100	11,807	N [c]	9/9	4,940	19,100	10,923	N [c]
chromium	2/3	0.21	0.43	0.25	Y	7/9	0.21	0.43	0.25	Y
cobalt	2/3	0.12	0.16	0.11	Y	4/9	0.11	0.20	0.093	Y
copper	3/3	0.39	0.68	0.55	Y	9/9	0.37	0.68	0.51	Y
iron	3/3	21	36	29	Y	9/9	12	42	25	Y
magnesium	3/3	305	513	378	N [c]	9/9	305	513	394	N [c]
manganese	3/3	5.1	10	7.1	Y	9/9	5.1	14	8.9	Y
mercury	3/3	0.090	0.14	0.12	Y	9/9	0.08	0.47	0.21	Y
selenium	3/3	0.200	0.41	0.28	Y	7/9	0.17	0.45	0.23	Y
sodium	3/3	997	1,370	1,136	N [c]	9/9	912	1,370	1,118	N [c]
zinc	3/3	12	21	16	Y	9/9	12	51	27	Y

Notes:

[a] Number of detects per total number of analyses.

[b] Average calculated using 1/2 the SQL.

[c] Essential nutrients, with the exception of iron, were eliminated as fish COPCs.

ND = Not detected.

TABLE 7-24
SUMMARY OF CHEMICALS THAT EXCEED REGIONAL (a) OR NATIONAL (b) DATA BY SPECIES
COLD SPRING BROOK POND

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	COLD SPRING BROOK POND FISH [c]							
	PUMPKINSEED		BULLHEAD		CHAIN PICKEREL		ALL FISH	
	Regional	National	Regional	National	Regional	National	Regional	National
PESTICIDES/PCBs								
aroclor-1254								
DDD								
DDE	X		X		X		X	
INORGANICS								
aluminum								
arsenic								
barium								
cadmium								
chromium								
cobalt								
copper								
iron	X		X		X		X	
lead								
manganese	X		X		X		X	
mercury		X				X		X
nickel								
selenium								
thallium								
zinc	X		X		X	X	X	X
TOTAL EXCEEDANCES	5		4		6		6	

Notes:

[a] PSP average concentrations exceeding regional average concentrations (Massachusetts Division of Water Pollution Control [MADWPC], 1988a; 1988b, 1989; 1990; 1991).

[b] PSP maximum concentrations exceeding national maximum or 85th percentile concentrations (United States Fish and Wildlife Service [USFWS], 1990a; 1990b).

[c] Comparison of fish tissue concentrations to regional and national data presented in Appendix N, Tables N-11 through N-14.

TABLE 7-25
PLANT COMMUNITIES IDENTIFIED IN THE GENERAL
VICINITY OF THE COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL (a)

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

	COVER TYPE	DOMINANT SPECIES (b)
1.	Scotch Pine Plantation	Scotch pine
2.	Old Field	White pine, Sweetfern, Spotted knapweed, Panic grass
3.	Aspen--Birch, Early Successional Forest	Quaking aspen, Paper birch, Smooth sumac, Smooth alder Panic grass
4.	Aspen Early Successional Forest	Eastern cottonwood, Quaking aspen, Big-tooth aspen, Sweetfern, Poison ivy, Rough goldenrod
5.	Sumac Thicket	Red pine, Staghorn sumac, Black raspberry, Panic grass
6.	Red Pine Plantation	Red pine, Autumn olive, Spotted knapweed
7.	Alder--Buttonbush Wetland Forest	Smooth alder, Buttonbush, Sedge, Enchanter's nightshade
8.	Peat Wetland	Red maple, Paper birch, Meadowsweet, Bristly dewberry Marsh fern
9.	Swamp Loosestrife Peninsula	Swamp loosestrife, Marsh fern
10.	White Pine--Cinnamon Fern Forested Wetland	White pine, Red maple, American hazelnut, Cinnamon fern
11.	Red Maple Peninsula	Red maple, Paper birch, Silky dogwood, Marsh fern, Swamp loosestrife
12.	Red Maple Island Area	Red maple, smooth alder, Bristly dewberry, Marsh fern Swamp loosestrife
13.	Emergent Marsh	Silky dogwood, Broad-leaf cattail, Soft rush
14.	Red Maple--Highbush Blueberry Wetland	Red maple, Highbush blueberry, Smooth alder, Cinnamon fern, Marsh fern
15.	Red Maple--White Pine Forested Wetland	Red maple, White pine, American elm, Witch hazel, Silky dogwood, Rice cutgrass, Spotted jewelweed, Cinnamon fern

TABLE 7-25
PLANT COMMUNITIES IDENTIFIED IN THE GENERAL
VICINITY OF THE COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL (a)

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

	COVER TYPE	DOMINANT SPECIES (b)
16.	White Pine Forest	White pine, Red maple, American hazelnut, Canada mayflower, Ground cedar
17.	Scarlet Oak Forest	Scarlet oak, White pine, American hazelnut, Ground cedar Canada mayflower
18.	Mixed Oak Forest Forest	Scarlet oak, White oak, Sheep laurel, Ground pine
19.	White Pine--Red Maple Forest	White pine, Red maple, Cinnamon fern
20.	Mixed Oak--White Pine Forest	Scarlet oak, White oak, White pine, American chestnut Witch hazel, Dwarf blueberry, Wintergreen
21.	Aspen Early Successional Forest	Quaking aspen, Big-tooth aspen, Sweet fern, Early goldenrod
22.	Paper Birch--White Pine Shrubland	Paper birch, White pine, Dwarf blueberry, Moss
23.	Scarlet Oak--Birch Early Successional Forest	Scarlet oak, Paper birch, Gray birch, Red maple, White pine, Dwarf blueberry, Wintergreen
24.	Scarlet Oak Forest	Scarlet oak, White pine, Black huckleberry, Indian pipe, Pink ladyslipper

Notes:
(a) Source: E&E (1993)
(b) Dominant species listed only

TABLE 7-26
CHECKLIST OF FISHES COLLECTED AT COLD SPRING BROOK POND (CSBP)

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

CHORDATA	
OSTEICHTHYES	
ANGUILLIFORMES	
Anguillidae	
Anguilla rostrata (Lesueur)	American Eel
SALMONIFORMES	
Esocidae	
Esox niger (Lesueur)	Chain Pickerel
CYPRINIFORMES	
Cyprinidae	
Notemigonus crysoleucas (Mitchill)	Golden Shiner
SILURIFORMES	
Ictaluridae	
Ictalurus natalis (Lesueur)	Yellow Bullhead
PERCIFORMES	
Centrarchidae	
Lepomis gibbosus (Linnaeus)	Pumpkinseed
Pomoxis nigromaculatus (Lesueur)	Black Crappie

TABLE 7–27
SUMMARY OF SEDIMENT CHEMISTRY DATA FROM MACROINVERTEBRATE SAMPLING STATIONS
COLD SPRING BROOK POND AND NEW CRANBERRY POND

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	COLD SPRING BROOK POND			CRANBERRY POND		
	FREQUENCY OF DETECTION [a]	CONCENTRATION [b] AVERAGE	CONCENTRATION [b] MAXIMUM	FREQUENCY OF DETECTION [a]	CONCENTRATION [b] AVERAGE	CONCENTRATION [b] MAXIMUM
ORGANICS (ug/kg)						
benzo(a)pyrene	1/3	7	20	0/3	ND	ND
nitroglycerine	1/3	5.1	11.3	0/3	ND	ND
total organic carbon	3/3	167,887	471,000	3/3	60,113	100,000
DDD	2/3	0.061	0.15	1/3	0.14	0.295
DDE	2/3	0.017	0.034	1/3	0.059	0.13
DDT	2/3	0.024	0.061	1/3	0.03	0.07
INORGANICS (ug/kg)						
aluminum	3/3	5,537	8,110	3/3	8,427	11,100
arsenic	3/3	102	280	3/3	8.1	13.8
barium	3/3	41	73	3/3	34	57
chromium	3/3	26.7	49	1/3	4.02	8.9
cobalt	1/3	2.57	6.3	1/3	1.1	3.1
copper	1/3	2.83	7.5	3/3	7.1	13.9
iron	3/3	7,580	10,600	3/3	5,465	7,140
lead	3/3	10.2	11.6	3/3	42.1	97
manganese	3/3	477	1020	3/3	61.2	109
nickel	2/3	9.17	21.7	2/3	3.72	5.2
selenium	1/3	2.01	5.8	0/3	ND	ND
silver	1/3	0.454	0.773	0/3	ND	ND
vanadium	2/3	7.15	14	3/3	14.6	24.5
zinc	2/3	21	39.6	3/3	31.2	55

Notes:
ND = Not Detected.
[a] Frequency of detection represents the number of detects per total number of samples.
[b] 1/2 the SQL was used for non–detected values for calculation of averages.

TABLE 7–28
SURFACE WATER REFERENCE TOXICITY VALUES
COLD SPRING BROOK POND

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	USEPA AMBIENT WATER QUALITY CRITERIA (e) (µg/l)		TOXICITY VALUES (f) (µg/l)	
	Chronic	Acute	Chronic	Acute
INORGANICS				
arsenic (a)	190	360		
barium (b)	NA	NA	200	2,000
chromium (c)	88	740		
copper (c)	4.8	6.6		
iron	1,000	10,000		
manganese (d)	NA	NA	1,000	10,000
silver	0.12	0.92		
zinc (c)	44	48		

Notes:
[a] Values shown are for trivalent arsenic (AsIII).
[b] Lowest end of range of concentrations lethal to aquatic invertebrates and fish (NAS, 1972) with a safety factor of 0.2 applied.
[c] AWQC are dependent upon water hardness. The average Cold Spring Brook hardness (35 mg/l $CaCO_3$) was used.
[d] Value reported by McKee and Wolf (1963 in USEPA, 1976) and Davies and Goettl (in Lewis et al., 1979) which is considered protective of freshwater species.
[e] USEPA (1986)
[f] Other reference toxicity values are presented only for those chemicals for which AWQC are not available.

TABLE 7–29
SEDIMENT QUALITY CRITERIA AND GUIDELINES
COLD SPRING BROOK POND

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	USEPA [a] SQC (µg/g)	NOAA [b] ER–L (µg/g)	NOAA [b] ER–M (µg/g)	NYSDEC [c] (µg/g)	ONTARIO LOWEST EFFECT LEVEL [d] (µg/g)	USEPA REGION V [e] (µg/g)
ORGANICS						
anthracene	NA	0.085	0.96	NA	NA	NA
bis (2–ethylhexyl) phthalate	NA	NA	NA	21.9	NA	NA
benzo(a)anthracene	241	0.23	1.6	NA	NA	NA
benzo(a)pyrene	194.5	0.4	2.5	NA	NA	NA
benzo(b)fluoranthene	194.5 [f]	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
benzo(k)fluoranthene	194.5 [f]	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
chrysene	194.5 [f]	0.4	2.8	NA	NA	NA
dibenzofuran	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
fluoranthene	344.6	0.6	3.6	NA	NA	NA
phenanthrene	25.4	0.225	1.38	25.4	NA	NA
pyrene	239.9	0.35	2.2	NA	NA	NA
DDD	0.152 [g]	0.002	0.02	0.152	0.008	NA
DDE	0.152 [g]	0.002	0.015	0.152	0.005	NA
DDT	0.152	0.001	0.007	0.152	0.008	NA
INORGANICS						
aluminum	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
arsenic	NA	33	85	5	6	3
barium	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	20
beryllium	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
chromium	NA	80	145	26	26	25
cobalt	NA	NA	NA	NA	50	NA
copper	NA	70	390	19	16	25
iron	NA	NA	NA	24,000	20,000	17,000
lead	NA	35	110	27	31	40
manganese	NA	NA	NA	428	460	300
mercury	NA	0.15	1.3	0.11	0.2	>1
nickel	NA	30	50	22	16	20
selenium	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
silver	NA	1	2.2	NA	0.5	NA
vanadium	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
zinc	NA	120	270	85	120	90

Notes:
[a] Organic carbon–normalized mean values from USEPA (1988) Interim Sediment Quality Criteria (SQC), using 18.3% total organic carbon in Cold Spring Brook Pond sediments.
[b] Effects Range–Low (ER–L) and Effects Range–Medium (ER–M) values from Long and Morgan (1990).
[c] New York State Department of Environmental Conservation ([NYSDEC], 1989). Organics normalized to 18.3% total organic carbon in sediment.
[d] Values are Lowest Effect Level reported in Persaud, et al. (1992).
[e] From USEPA (1977) Region V Sediment Classification System. Levels above those listed are considered moderately polluted, levels below are considered non–polluted. Lower limits were not established for mercury. Value listed represents heavily polluted sediment.
[f] Guideline for benzo(a)pyrene.
[g] Guideline for DDT.
NA = Not Available.

TABLE 7-30
SUMMARY OF EXTERNAL EXAMINATIONS OF SELECTED FISH IN COLD SPRING BROOK POND (CSBP), OCTOBER 1992. [A]

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

SPECIES	NUMBER EXAMINED	FRAYED FINS	TUMORS/ LESIONS	OTHER	REMARKS (FOR OTHER)
Pumpkinseed	17	0	0	1	1-frayed opercle
Golden Shiner	56	0	0	2	1-missing part of caudal; 1-bruise near anal fin

Notes:

[a] Fish captured in nets when the fin fraying was likely caused by the nets were not included in this tabulation.

TABLE 7-31
AQUATIC RECEPTOR RISK CHARACTERIZATION
COLD SPRING BROOK POND: SURFACE WATER

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	AVERAGE EXPOSURE			REASONABLE MAXIMUM EXPOSURE (RME)		
	Average (ug/l)	Chronic Criteria and Toxicity Values [a] (ug/l)	Hazard Quotient [b] (unitless)	Maximum (ug/l)	Acute Criteria and Toxicity Values [a] (ug/l)	Hazard Quotient [b] (unitless)
INORGANICS						
arsenic	7.7	190	4.05E-02	17.7	360	4.92E-02
barium	10.7	200	5.35E-02	13.4	2000	6.70E-03
chromium	2.7	88	3.10E-02	4.76	740	6.43E-03
copper	4.4	4.8	9.25E-01	6.75	6.6	1.02E+00
iron	1,560	1,000	1.56E+00	3,200	10,000	3.20E-01
manganese	151	1,000	1.51E-01	400	10,000	4.00E-02
silver	0.2	0.12	1.78E+00	0.708	0.92	7.70E-01
zinc	21.8	44	4.95E-01	86.3	48	1.80E+00
	TOTAL AVERAGE HAZARD INDEX [c]		5.03E+00	TOTAL RME HAZARD INDEX [c]		4.01E+00

Notes:
[a] Criteria from Table 7-28, chosen as described in Section 7.2.3.4.
[b] Hazard Quotient is calculated by dividing analyte concentration by surface water criterion/toxicity value.
[c] Hazard Index is the sum of all hazard quotients.
NA = Not Available
Shaded values represent a hazard index greater than one

TABLE 7–32
AQUATIC RECEPTOR RISK CHARACTERIZATION
COLD SPRING BROOK POND: SEDIMENT

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	AVERAGE EXPOSURE			REASONABLE MAXIMUM EXPOSURE (RME)		
	Average (ug/g)	Sediment Quality Criteria and Guidance Values [a] (ug/g)	Hazard Quotient [b] (unitless)	Maximum (ug/g)	Sediment Quality Criteria and Guidance Values [a] (ug/g)	Hazard Quotient [b] (unitless)
ORGANICS						
anthracene	0.27	0.085	3.18E+00	3	0.085	3.53E+01
benzo(a)anthracene	0.51	241	2.12E−03	4	241	1.66E−02
benzo(a)pyrene	1.1	194.5	5.66E−03	6	194.5	3.08E−02
benzo(b)fluoranthene	0.64	194.5	3.29E−03	5	194.5	2.57E−02
benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.9	194.5	4.63E−03	10	194.5	5.14E−02
bis(2−ethylhexyl)phthalate	1.4	21.9	6.39E−02	2	21.9	9.13E−02
chrysene	0.63	194.5	3.24E−03	8	194.5	4.11E−02
dibenzofuran	0.15	NA	NA	0.61	NA	NA
fluoranthene	1.6	344.6	4.64E−03	10	344.6	2.90E−02
phenanthrene	0.77	25.4	3.03E−02	6	25.4	2.36E−01
pyrene	2.2	239.9	9.17E−03	20	239.9	8.34E−02
DDD	0.5	0.152	3.29E+00	6.2	0.152	4.08E+01
DDE	0.09	0.152	5.92E−01	0.72	0.152	4.74E+00
DDT	0.64	0.152	4.21E+00	15	0.152	9.87E+01
INORGANICS						
aluminum	6,109	NA	NA	17,000	NA	NA
silver	0.65	1	6.50E−01	6.35	1	6.35E+00
arsenic	78	33	2.36E+00	390	33	1.18E+01
barium	36.8	20	1.84E+00	115	20	5.75E+00
beryllium	0.19	NA	NA	0.41	NA	NA
cobalt	3.38	50	6.76E−02	19.6	50	3.92E−01
chromium	15.2	80	1.90E−01	64.8	80	8.10E−01
copper	8.5	70	1.21E−01	42.9	70	6.13E−01
iron	15,233	24,000	6.35E−01	45,000	24,000	1.88E+00
lead	69.4	35	1.98E+00	570	35	1.63E+01
manganese	634	428	1.48E+00	3,000	428	7.01E+00
mercury	0.077	0.15	5.13E−01	0.72	0.15	4.80E+00
nickel	10.8	30	3.60E−01	54.3	30	1.81E+00
selenium	1.96	NA	NA	5.77	NA	NA
vanadium	12.1	NA	NA	48.6	NA	NA
zinc	82.3	120	6.86E−01	690	120	5.75E+00
		TOTAL AVERAGE HAZARD INDEX [c]	1.91E+01	TOTAL RME HAZARD INDEX [c]		2.08E+02

Notes:
[a] Criteria from Table 7−29, chosen as described in Section 7.2.3.4.
[b] Hazard Quotient is calculated by dividing analyte concentration by sediment quality criterion/guidance value.
[c] Hazard Index is the sum of all hazard quotients.
NA = Not Available
Shaded values represent a hazard index greater than one

TABLE 7-33
SUMMARY OF ECOLOGICAL RISK ASSESSMENT FOR SEMI-AQUATIC RECEPTORS
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

INDICATOR SPECIES	HAZARD INDICES		PRIMARY CONTRIBUTORS TO RISK [c]
	RME [a]	AVERAGE [b]	
Mallard Duck	1.4E+00	2.2E-01	
Great Blue Heron	6.5E-02	8.6E-03	
Green Frog	2.4E+00	4.7E-01	arsenic
Painted Turtle	4.9E-01	9.8E-02	
Muskrat	2.8E-01	5.5E-02	
Mink	2.8E-01	5.0E-02	
Raccoon	4.0E-03	7.8E-04	

Notes:

[a] HIs derived under reasonable maximum exposure assumptions (see Section 7.2.3.5); calculations presented in Table R-12 in Appendix R.

[b] HIs derived under average exposure assumptions (see Section 7.2.3.5); calculations presented in Table R-10 in Appendix R.

[c] Analytes with calculated HQs in excess of 0.9 for either the RME or average exposure scenarios.

TABLE 7-34
POTENTIAL SOURCES OF UNCERTAINTY IN ECOLOGICAL RISK ASSESSMENT

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A STUDY AREAS
FORT DEVENS, MA

POTENTIAL SOURCE	EFFECT ON RISK ESTIMATION	JUSTIFICATION
Degradation of chemicals not considered	Overestimate	Risk estimates are based on a one-time sampling event. Chemical concentrations will tend to decrease over time from degradation.
Nutrient Concentrations	Unknown	Not all essential nutrients (i.e., iron) were evaluated in all media.
Extrapolation between animal species	Unknown	Animals differ in absorption, metabolism, distribution, and excretion of chemicals. The magnitude and direction of the difference will vary with each chemical.
Fish population sample	Unknown	Fish collected for tissue analysis, qualitative community study, and pathological evaluation represent a small percentage of the fish community and a one-time sample, not a survey of all fish.
Use of surface water data	Overestimate	Use of total, rather than dissolved, surface water data overestimates risk because bioavailabilty is not accounted for.
MADWPC fish database	Unknown	MADWPC fish tissue database represents a limited data set compiled from several different studies. Actual tissue burden in MA ponds may differ.
Only gross pathological abnormalities in fish were identified	Underestimate	Pathological evaluation of fish identified only gross abnormalities. Some sublethal physiological effects may not have been identified.

(continued)

TABLE 7-34
POTENTIAL SOURCES OF UNCERTAINTY IN ECOLOGICAL RISK ASSESSMENT

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A STUDY AREAS
FORT DEVENS, MA

POTENTIAL SOURCE	EFFECT ON RISK ESTIMATION	JUSTIFICATION
New Cranberry Pond used as reference habitat for macroinvertebrate study	Unknown	New Cranberry Pond may not be an ideal reference for macroinvertebrate study.
Macroinvertebrate sampling methodology	Unknown	More motile macroinvertebrate organisms may have avoided capture. Smaller organisms may have passed through the 600 micron mesh dip net used to conduct the study.
Macroinvertebrate biotic indices	Unknown	The majority of biotic indices for macroinvertebrates were developed for organic compounds. The Fort Devens 1A COPCs tend to be inorganics.
Not all pathways evaluated for semi-terrestrial receptors	Underestimate	Neither dermal absorption nor inhalation pathway was evaluated for semi-terrestrial receptors.
Surface water AWQC	Unknown	Because available hardness data is outside of the AWQC alogorithm range, an assumption has been made that AWQC are based on a hardness of 50 mg/l $CaCO_3$.
Conservative RTVs	Overestimate	Some benchmark RTVs (e.g., the SQC for 4,4'-DDT) may be too conservative for use at Fort Devens.
Food chain assumed to occur at site	Unknown	Significance of degree of trophic transfer modeled at the sites is unknown.

(continued)

TABLE 7-34
POTENTIAL SOURCES OF UNCERTAINTY IN ECOLOGICAL RISK ASSESSMENT

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A STUDY AREAS
FORT DEVENS, MA

POTENTIAL SOURCE	EFFECT ON RISK ESTIMATION	JUSTIFICATION
BAFs are the primary factor used for fish tissue burden estimation	Unknown	BAFs used to evaluate risk to semi-terrestrial receptors is an artificial modeling tool. Other physical and biological factors may contribute to tissue burden in fish (e.g., pond trophic level and fish lipid concentrations).
BAF values	Unknown	Considerable uncertainty is associated with the use of BAFs. BAF values may range over the course of several orders of magnitude among taxa and sites.
Food chain model exposure parameter assumptions	Unknown	Some exposure parameters are from the literature, some are assumed or estimated. Efforts were made to select exposure parameters representative of a variety of species or feeding guilds, so that exposure estimates would be representative of more than a single species.
Assumption that receptor species will spend equal time at all habitats within home range	Unknown	Organisms will spend varying amounts of time in different habitats which would affect their exposures.
Uncertain occurrence of receptors at sites	Overestimate	Actual occurrence at the sites by receptors considered in the food chain models is uncertain (i.e., the osprey is a site transient, nota resident).

(continued)

TABLE 7-34
POTENTIAL SOURCES OF UNCERTAINTY IN ECOLOGICAL RISK ASSESSMENT

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A STUDY AREAS
FORT DEVENS, MA

POTENTIAL SOURCE	EFFECT ON RISK ESTIMATION	JUSTIFICATION
Consumption of contaminated prey	Unknown	Toxicity to prey receptors may result in sickness or mortality. Fewer prey items would be available for predators. Predators may stop foraging in areas with reduced prey populations, discriminate against, or conversely, select contaminated prey.
Multiple conservative assumptions	Overestimate	Cumulative impact of multiple conservative assumptions, yields high risk to ecological receptors.
Risk evaluated for individual receptors only	Overestimate	Effects on individual organisms may occur with little population or community level effects.
Summation of effects (HIs)	Unknown	The assumption that effects are additive ignores potential synergistic or antagonistic effects. Assumes similarity in mechanism of action, which is not the case for many substances. Compounds may induce toxic effects in different organs or systems.

8.0 SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Supplemental RI activities were conducted by ABB-ES personnel at Fort Devens Group 1A sites to fill data gaps identified in the RI report (E&E, 1993). Results from supplemental RI activities were used to update the baseline risk assessment.

8.1 SUMMARY

Environmental sampling was performed at Shepley's Hill Landfill, Cold Spring Brook Landfill and several adjacent or related areas to better define the nature and extent of site contamination and further develop ABB-ES' and the Army's understanding of the Group 1A sites. Supplemental RI activities included the following:

Shepley's Hill Landfill

- Installation of three overburden and two bedrock groundwater monitoring wells
- Collection and analysis of groundwater samples from 27 monitoring wells
- Performing a seismic refraction study to characterize the bedrock surface
- Collection and analysis of sediment samples from 28 locations and several depths in Plow Shop Pond
- Collection of surface water and shallow sediment samples from five locations along the western end of Grove Pond
- Collection and analysis of shallow groundwater and soil samples from four locations in the wooded wetland area north of Shepley's Hill Landfill

- Collection and semiquantitative evaluation of macroinvertebrate samples at three locations in Plow Shop Pond.
- Fishery evaluation and fish tissue sampling and analysis in Plow Shop Pond
- Conducting a Wetland Functional Assessment (WET 2)

Cold Spring Brook Landfill

- Installation of three overburden groundwater monitoring wells
- Collection and analysis of groundwater samples from 10 new and existing monitoring wells and Patton Well
- Collection and analysis of sediment samples from 13 locations and several depths in Cold Spring Brook Pond
- Collection and analysis of surface water and sediment samples from three suspected seep locations
- Collection and analysis of shallow groundwater and soil samples from three locations within or adjacent to the Magazine Area
- Collection and semiquantitative evaluation of macroinvertebrate samples at three location in Cold Spring Brook Pond
- Fishery evaluation and fish tissue sampling and analyses in Cold Spring Brook Pond
- Conducting a Wetland Functional Assessment (WET 2)

Data obtained from field activities was used to update the baseline risk assessment for Shepley's Hill Landfill and Cold Spring Brook Landfill. A PRE was conducted for the Nonacoicus Brook Wetland area just north of Shepley's Hill Landfill.

8.2 CONCLUSIONS

The following conclusions are based on interpretation of RI and supplemental RI data and the updated baseline risk assessment.

Shepley's Hill Landfill

- Downgradient groundwater at Shepley's Hill Landfill discharges east, toward Plow Shop Pond and north, toward Nonacoicus Brook.

- Downgradient groundwater at Shepley's Hill Landfill is contaminated with nine VOCs which are present at low concentrations. These VOCs are acetone; benzene; chloroethane; dichlorobenzenes; 1,1-dichloroethane; 1,2-dichloroethane, 1,2-dichloroethylenes; 1,2-dichloropropane; and toluene. Dichlorobenzenes (non-specific) were reported at the highest concentration (11 μg/L). The compound 1,2-dichloroethane was found at 9.9 μg/L and was the only VOC that exceeded its MCL. The groundwater is also contaminated with several inorganics of which aluminum, arsenic, and manganese are the most significant.

- With the exception of arsenic and iron, high concentrations of inorganics are typically associated with high TSS concentrations in the groundwater sample.

- Plow Shop Pond sediments are contaminated with several inorganics including arsenic, barium, chromium, copper, iron, lead, manganese, mercury, nickel, and zinc. Of these, Shepley's Hill Landfill is interpreted to be the major contributor of iron, manganese, barium, and nickel. The landfill is also considered a source of arsenic contamination. Inflow from Grove Pond is interpreted as the major source of arsenic, copper, chromium, lead, mercury, and zinc.

- The macroinvertebrate community in Plow Shop Pond is slightly impaired and has a lower diversity and higher percentage of pollution tolerant species than the South Post reference pond. These differences are most apparent in the vicinity of the landfill.

- The fishery of Plow Shop Pond appears typical of a southern New England warm water pond. Individual fish appear healthy.

- The ecological risk assessment suggests that contaminants in Plow Shop sediment may pose a risk to aquatic and semiaquatic receptors. The primary risk contributor is mercury, followed by chromium, arsenic, and manganese.

- Risk calculations made during the human health risk evaluation suggest that a recreational fisherman or family member who consumes fish from Plow Shop Pond may experience cancer risks of $3x10^{-6}$ to $4x10^{-4}$, due primarily to arsenic, and noncancer risks (HQs range from 2 to 7) due primarily to mercury. Direct contact with sediment by adolescents was estimated to present cancer risks of $2x10^{-5}$ to $6x10^{-4}$, due primarily to arsenic. Because the calculations may overestimate risk as a result of uncertainty associated with arsenic, the application of a downward modifying factor of 10 may be appropriate.

  Application of the risk modification factor for arsenic results in cancer risks that are within the Superfund target risk range of $1x10^{-6}$ to $1x10^{-4}$, and the conclusion that the major health risk associated with Plow Shop Pond sediment and fish is attributable to mercury, a contaminant not attributed to Shepley's Hill Landfill. Concentrations of mercury in bullhead and bass fillets exceed the FDA action level of 1 ppm.

  The human health risk evaluation indicates that two organic analytes in groundwater, 1,2-dichloroethane and dichlorobenzenes present cancer risks of $6x10^{-6}$ and $3x10^{-6}$, respectively, that are within the Superfund target risk range. Arsenic in groundwater presents a cancer risk range (unmodified to account for uncertainty associated with arsenic) of $8x10^{-5}$ to $2x10^{-3}$. Application of the modifying risk factor results in a risk range due to arsenic of $8x10^{-6}$ to $2x10^{-4}$. Hazard quotients for manganese and arsenic both exceeded one.

Cold Spring Brook Landfill

- Cold Spring Brook Landfill is located over a historical groundwater discharge area. However, pumping at Patton Well located west of the landfill may influence groundwater flow at the western end of the landfill.

- Cold Spring Brook Landfill is not contaminating groundwater or affecting groundwater quality at Patton Well.

- Cold Spring Brook Pond sediments are contaminated with PAHs, arsenic, and several other inorganics. Contamination hot spots exist at two locations:

  1) near the pond outlet; and

  2) at a small cove on the southern shore of the landfill near monitoring wells CSB-5 and CSM-93-01

- Explosives are not migrating from the Magazine Area to Cold Spring Brook Pond in shallow groundwater or sediments.

- The macroinvertebrate community in Cold Spring Brook Pond may be slightly impaired when compared to a South Post reference pond, but is less impacted than the macroinvertebrate community of Plow Shop Pond.

- The fishery of Cold Spring Brook Pond is typical of a southern New England warm water fish community. Individual fish appear healthy.

- The ecological risk assessment suggests that COPCs at Cold Spring Brook Pond are not resulting in adverse ecological risks to semiaquatic receptors. Although low levels of risks are predicted, it is unlikely that these risks are present throughout the pond. Limited evidence exists indicating that low levels of risk to aquatic receptors may occur in portions of the pond directly adjacent to the landfill.

- The human health risk evaluation indicates that risks to a recreational fisherman or family member who consumes fish caught in Cold Spring Brook Pond fall within the Superfund target risk range. The risks associated with direct sediment contact are also within the Superfund target risk range.

  The risks associated with surface soil contact range from below the Superfund target risk range under current exposure scenarios to $4x10^{-5}$, within the target risk range, under assumed future conditions.

  Risk calculations made during the risk evaluation suggest that arsenic in unfiltered groundwater and bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate present cancer risks exceeding the USEPA point of departure. In addition, the HQ for manganese ranged from 4 to 10. The calculations may overestimate risks for each of these chemicals. In the case of arsenic, calculations based on filtered groundwater data or after application of a downward modifying factor of 10 both result in estimated cancer risks within or below the target risk range. The primary MCL for bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate of 6 μg/L was exceeded only by its maximum detected concentration of 14 μg/L; the average concentration of 4 μg/L was below the MCL. In addition, this SVOC is expected to sorb to particulate matter and not to be in a dissolved, mobile state. Finally, noncancer risks associated with manganese in drinking water may be overestimated. Consideration of these factors leads to the conclusion that exposure to groundwater downgradient of Cold Spring Brook Landfill will not result in unacceptable risk.

Nonacoicus Brook Wetland

- Public health and ecological PREs conducted for detected contaminants indicate some exceedances of public health standards or guidelines for soil and groundwater and one exceedance of an ecological PCL. However, the exceedances are based on comparisons to conservative standards and are not considered to represent significant public health or ecological risk.

Magazine Area

- Concentrations of PAHs and inorganics measured in soil samples collected within the Magazine Area were below reportable concentrations listed in the MCP. Available data do not suggest that the Magazine Area is a source of downstream contamination.

8.3 RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the results and interpretation of the supplemental RI and the updated baseline risk assessment, ABB-ES recommends the following actions:

- Perform a FS to evaluate alternatives to reduce potential human health risks associated with potential future exposure to groundwater at Shepley's Hill Landfill.

- Perform a FS to evaluate alternatives to reduce potential ecological risks associated with exposure to sediment hotspots at Cold Spring Brook Landfill.

- Perform a separate FS to evaluate alternatives to reduce potential human health and ecological risks associated with exposure to contaminated fish and sediments in Plow Shop Pond. This FS would be performed following resolution of issues concerning contaminant distribution and concentrations in Grove Pond, and the potential for Grove Pond to be a continuing source of contamination to Plow Shop Pond.

This page intentionally left blank.

ABB-ES	ABB Environmental Services, Inc.
AC	alternating current
AEC	U.S. Army Environmental Center
ASL	above sea level
ASP	Ammunition Supply Point
AOC	Area of Contamination
ARARs	Applicable or Relevant and Appropriate Requirements
AWQC	Ambient Water Quality Criteria
BAF	bioaccumulation factor
BCF	Bioconcentration Factor
bgs	below ground surface
BRAC	Base Closure and Realignment Act
BW	Body Weight
CAA	Clean Air Act
CCC	Civilian Conservation Corps
CERCLA	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
CLP	Contract Laboratory Program
CMC	Criterion Maximum Concentration
CMR	Code of Massachusetts Regulations
cm/sec	centimeters per second
CMTC	Civilian Military Training Corps
COC	chain-of-custody
COPC	Contaminant of Potential Concern
COR	Contracting Officer's Representative
CRDL	Contract Required Detection Limit
CRL	Certified Reporting Limit
CWA	Clean Water Act
DOI	U.S. Department of the Interior
DQO	Data Quality Objective
DRMO	Defense Reutilization and Marketing Office
ECD	Electron Capture Detector
E&E	Ecology and Environment, Inc.

ED	Exposure Duration
EDM	Electronic Distance Meter
EPC	Exposure Point Concentration
EPT	Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, Trichoptera
EPT/C	Ratio of Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, and Trichoptera to Chironomidae
ERA	Ecological Risk Assessment
ER-L	Effects Range-Low
ER-M	Effects Range-Medium
ESE	Environmental Science & Engineering, Inc.
FAV	Final Acute Value
FBI	family biotic index
FCV	Final Chronic Value
FDA	U.S. Food and Drug Administration
FEMA	Federal Emergency Management Agency
FESA	Federal Endangered Species Act
FID	Flame Ionization Detector
FPV	Final Plant Value
FRV	Final Residue Value
FS	Feasibility Study
FSP	Field Sampling Plan
GC	Gas Chromatograph
gpm	gallons per minute
HA	Health Advisory
HASP	Health and Safety Plan
HEAST	Health Effects Assessment Summary Tables
HI	Hazard Index
HQ	Hazard Quotient
HSA	Hollow Stem Auger
IAG	Interagency Agreement
IBI	Index of Biological Integrity
IDW	Investigation Derived Waste
IR	Ingestion Rate

IRDMIS	Installation Restoration Database Management Information System
IRIS	Integrated Risk Information System
LD50	Lethal Dose 50
LDR	Land Disposal Restrictions
LOAEL	Lowest Observed Adverse Effects Level
MAAF	Moore Army Airfield
MADEP	Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection
MADWPC	Massachusetts Division of Water Pollution Control
MCL	Maximum Contaminant Level
MCLG	Maximum Contaminant Level Goal
MDWS	Massachusetts Division of Water Supply
MEP	Master Environmental Plan
MESA	Massachusetts Endangered Species Act
mg/kg	milligrams per kilograms
mg/L	milligrams per liter
MHC	Massachusetts Historical Commission
mm	millimeters
MMCL	Massachusetts Maximum Contaminant Level
MNG	Massachusetts National Guard
MNHP	Massachusetts Natural Heritage Program
mph	miles per hour
MS/MSD	Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate
NCBMP	National Contaminant Biomonitoring Program
NCP	National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan
NGVD	National Geodetic Vertical Datum
NIPDWS	National Interim Primary Drinking Water Standard
NOAA	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
NOAEL	No Observed Adverse Effects Level
NPDES	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
NPDWS	National Primary Drinking Water Standard
NPL	National Priority List
NPR	Notice of Proposed Rulemaking
NWR	National Wildlife Refuge

NYSDEC	New York State Department of Environmental Conservation
ODW	(USEPA) Office of Drinking Water
OSHA	Occupational Safety and Health Administration
OSR	(Massachusetts) Office of Research and Standards Guideline
OSWER	USEPA Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response
PAH	Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbon
PAL	Project Analyte List
PCB	polychlorinated biphenyl
PCL	Protective Contaminant Level
PDE	Potential Dietary Exposure
PED	Potential Exposure Dose
PID	Photoionization Detector
POL	Petroleum Oil and Lubricants
POP	Project Operations Plan
PPDDD	2,2-bis(para-chlorophenyl)-1,1-dichloroethane
PPDDE	2,2-bis(para-chlorophenyl)-1,1-dichloroethene
PPDDT	2,2-bis(para-chlorophenyl)-1,1,1-trichloroethane
ppm	parts per million
PSI	Potomac Research, Inc.
PSP	Plow Shop Pond
PVC	polyvinyl chloride
QA	Quality Assurance
QAPjP	Quality Assurance Project Plan
QC	Quality Control
RA	Risk Assessment
RAF	Relative Absorption Factors
RAGS	Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund
RAS	Routine Analytical Service
RBP	Rapid Bioassessment Protocol
RCRA	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act
RfC	Reference Concentration
RfD	Reference Dose
RI	Remedial Investigation
RME	Reasonable Maximum Exposure

ROTC	Reserve Officer Training Corps
RTV	Reference Toxicity Value
SAP	Sampling and Analysis Plan
SARA	Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act
SCS	Soil Conservation Service
SDWA	Safe Drinking Water Act
SF	Slope Factor
SFF	Site Foraging Frequency
SMCLs	Secondary Maximum Contaminant Levels
SQL	Sample Quantitation Limit
SVOC	semivolatile organic compound
TAL	Target Analyte List
TBC	to be considered
TBD	Total Body Dose
TCL	Target Compound List
TCLP	Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure
TDS	total dissolved solids
TOC	total organic carbon
TSCA	Toxic Substances Control Act
TSCR	Total Site Cancer Risks
TSD	Treatment, Storage, or Disposal
TSNCR	Total Site Noncancer Risks
TSS	total suspended solids
μg/g	micrograms per gram
μg/kg	micrograms per kilograms
μg/L	micrograms per liter
μg/ml	micrograms per milliliters
USAEHA	U.S. Army Environmental Hygiene Agency
USAR	U.S. Army Reserve
USATHAMA	U.S. Army Toxic and Hazardous Materials Agency
USDA	U.S. Department of Agriculture
USEPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
USFWS	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
USGS	U.S. Geological Service

VOC	volatile organic compound
WET	Wetland Evaluation Technique
WPA	Works Progress Administration

ABB Environmental Services, Inc. (ABB-ES), 1993a. *Final Data Gap Activities Work Plan*. Prepared for U.S. Army Environmental Center, Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland. Portland, Maine: ABB-ES. March.

ABB Environmental Services, Inc. (ABB-ES), 1993b. *Final Site Investigation Report Groups 2, 7 and Historic Gas Stations*. Prepared for U.S. Army Environmental Center, Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland. Portland, Maine: ABB-ES. May.

ABB Environmental Services (ABB-ES), 1993c. *Biological and Endangered Species Baseline Study, Fort Devens, Massachusetts*. Unpublished. August, 1993.

ABB Environmental Services, Inc. (ABB-ES), 1992a. *Regulatory Draft Fish Tissue Sampling and Analysis Plan*. Prepared for U.S. Army Toxic and Hazardous Materials Agency, Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland. Portland, Maine: ABB-ES. September.

ABB Environmental Services, Inc. (ABB-ES), 1992b. *Final Project Operations Plan for Site Investigations and Remedial Investigations, Fort Devens, Massachusetts*. Prepared for U.S. Army Toxic and Hazardous Materials Agency, Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland. Portland, Maine: ABB-ES. December.

Adamus, P.R., 1987. *Wetland Evaluation Technique: Volume II Methodology*. Prepared for U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Washington, D.C. October.

Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR), 1992a. *Toxicological Profile for Arsenic*. Atlanta, Georgia: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, ATSDR.

Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR), 1992b. *Toxicological Profile for Chromium*. Atlanta, Georgia: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, ATSDR.

Alden, W.C., 1925. "Physical Features of Central Massachusetts." In *Contributions to the Geography of the United States, 1923-1924*. U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 760, pp. 13-106.

Aquatic Information Retrieval (AQUIRE), 1990. Computerized database. Chemical Information Systems, Inc., Baltimore, MD.

ATSDR, 1991a. "Toxicological Profile for Antimony". Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, U.S. Public Health Service (Draft).

ATSDR, 1991c. "Toxicological Profile for Manganese". Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, U.S. Public Health Service (Draft).

ATSDR, 1991b. "Toxicological Profile for Barium". Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, U.S. Public Health Service (Draft).

ATSDR, 1991d. "Toxicological Profile for Vanadium". Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, U.S. Public Health Service (Draft).

ATSDR, 1989a. "Toxicological Profile for Chromium". Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, U.S. Public Health Service, July, 1989.

ATSDR, 1989b. "Toxicological Profile for p-Dichlorobenzene". Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, U.S. Public Health Service, January, 1989.

ATSDR, 1989c. "Toxicological Profile for 1,2-Dichloropropane". Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, U.S. Public Health Service (Draft).

Ayer, Town of, 1950. "Annual Town Meeting March 7-8, 1949." Annual Reports of the Receipts and Expenditures of the Town of Ayer for the Year Ending December 31, 1949. Leominster, Massachusetts: Leominster Printing Co. p. 19.

Baker, R.H., 1983. *Michigan Mammals*. Michigan State University Press.

Beyer, W.N., Connor, E., 1992. *Soil Ingestion by Wildlife*. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service report number MPC10.

Biang, C.A., R.W. Peters, R.H. Pearl, and S.Y. Tsai, 1992. *Master Environmental Plan for Fort Devens, Massachusetts*. Prepared for U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Toxic and Hazardous Materials Agency. Argonne, Illinois:

Argonne National Laboratory, Environmental Assessment and Information Sciences Division. Draft Final. April.

Bodek, I., W.J. Lyman, W. F. Reehl, D.H. Rosenblatt (eds), 1988. *Environmental Inorganic Chemistry: Properties, Processes, and Estimation Methods*. New York: Pergammon Press Inc.

Boston and Maine Railroad (B&MRR), 1934. Right-of-Way and Track Map. Boston, Massachusetts: Office of Validation Engineers.

Bouwer, H., and R.C. Rice, 1976. "A Slug Test for Determining Hydraulic Conductivity of Unconfined Aquifer with Completely or Penetrating Wells." *Water Resources Research*. Vol. 12, pp. 423-428.

Brackley, R.A. and B.P. Hansen, 1977. "Water Resources of the Nashua and Souhegan River Basins, Massachusetts." *U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Investigations Atlas HA-276.*

Burt, W.H., and R.P. Grossenheider, 1976. *A Field Guide to the Mammals.* Boston, Massachusetts: Houghton and Mifflin Co.

Butler, B.O., 1992. *Report of Blanding's Turtle Study - Fort Devens, 1992.* Submitted under Research Contract MA HP 925R-05.

Chadwick, M., Branfman, A.K. and Silveria, D.M. 1982. Dose-dependence of and Effect of Prior Exposure on the Metabolism of DEPH Administered in the Diet to Rats. Report to Chemical Manufacturers Association. Arthur D. Little, Inc.

Chang, L.H. 1943. The Fecal Excretion of Polycyclic Hydrocarbons Following Their Administration to the Rat. J. Biol. Chem. 151: 93-102.

Christensen, O.B. and Lagesson, V. 1981. Nickel Concentrations of Blood and Urine After Oral Administration. Ann Clin. Lab Sci. 11: 119-125.

Connell, D.W., and Miller, G.J., 1984. *Chemistry and Ecotoxicology of Pollution.* John Wiley & Sons, New York, pp. 71-85.

REFERENCES

Davies, S.P., 1987. *Maine Department of Environmental Protection, Methods for Biological Sampling and Analysis of Maine's Waters*. Maine Department of Environmental Protection, Division of Environmental Evaluation and Lake Studies, Bureau of Water Quality Control. January.

DeGraaf, R. M. and D.D. Rudis, 1983. *New England Wildlife: Habitat, Natural History, and Distribution*. General Technical Report NE-108. Broomall, Pennsylvania: U.S. Department of Agriculture Forest Service.

DeGraaf, R. M. and D.D. Rudis, 1986. *New England Wildlife: Habitat, Natural History, and Distribution*. General Technical Report NE-108. Broomall, Pennsylvania: U.S. Department of Agriculture Forest Service, Northeastern Forest Experiment Station.

Detrick, C.E., 1991. *Installation Assessment, Fort Devens, Massachusetts*. Prepared for U.S. Army Toxic and Hazardous Materials Agency. Warrenton, Virginia: Environmental Photographic Interpretation Center, Environmental Monitoring System Laboratory. September.

Directorate of Engineering and Housing (DEH), 1985a. *Solid Waste Management Unit Report*. Submitted to Massachusetts Department of Environmental Quality Engineering. Fort Devens, Massachusetts: DEH Environmental Management Office.

Directorate of Engineering and Housing (DEH), 1985b. *Closure Plan*. Fort Devens Sanitary Landfill, Sheet 18 of 25.

Downey, P.C. 1992. *Analysis of Selected Metals and Organic Compounds in Fish Collected in Plow Shop Pond and Cold Spring Brook, Fort Devens, MA, 1992*". Colchester, Vermont: Aquatec, Inc.

Dumanoski, D., 1990. "For the State's Ospreys, These are Good Old Days." *The Boston Globe*. February 5.

Ecology and Environment, Inc. (E&E), 1993. *Final Remedial Investigations Report for Areas of Contamination 4, 5, 18, 40, Fort Devens, Massachusetts*.

Prepared for U.S. Army Toxic and Hazardous Materials Agency, Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland. Arlington, Virginia: E&E.

Ecology and Environment, Inc. (E&E), 1992b. *Risk Assessment Approach for the Shepley's Hill and Cold Spring Brook Landfill Sites, Fort Devens, Massachusetts*. Prepared for U.S. Army Toxic and Hazardous Materials Agency, Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland. Arlington, Virginia: E&E.

Eisler, R., 1987. *Mercury Hazards to Fish, Wildlife, and Invertebrates: A Synoptic Review*. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Biological Report 85 (1.10).

Engineering Technologies Associates, Inc. (ETA), 1992. *Ground Water Flow Model at Fort Devens, Massachusetts*. Prepared for Commander, U.S. Army Toxic and Hazardous Materials Agency. Ellicott City, Maryland: ETA. October 30.

Fay, Benjamin, 1993. Personal communication with D. Pierce, ABB-ES Wakefield, Massachusetts Office. April 9.

Fillibrown, Paul D., 1993. Personal communication with D. Pierce, ABB-ES Wakefield, Massachusetts Office. April 8.

Fort Devens Dispatch, 1992. "The Army in New England: 75 Years in the Making." Special Edition. Vol. 52, No. 34. September 10.

Fox, W.A., 1988. *Geohydric Study No. 38-26-0325-88, Fort Devens, Massachusetts, 11-19 July 1988*. Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland: U.S. Environmental Hygiene Agency.

Goyer, R.A., 1991. "Toxic Effects of Metals in Casarett & Doull's Toxicology." In *The Basic Science of Poisons*; 4th Ed.; Eds. Amdur, M.O., J. Doull and C.D. Klaassen; New York: Pergamon Press, Inc.

Hecht, S.S., Grabowski, W. and Groth, K. 1979. Analysis of Feces for B[a]P After Consumption of Charcoal-Broiled Beef by Rats and Humans. Food Cosmet. Toxicol. 17: 223-227.

REFERENCES

Hopkins, S., 1988. Personal communication from DEH Environmental Management Office, Fort Devens, Massachusetts.

Howard, P.H., R.S. Boethling, W.F. Jarvis, W.M. Meylan, and E.M. Michalenko, 1991. *Handbook of Environmental Degradation Rates*. Chelsea, Michigan: Lewis Publishers, Inc.

Hunn, J.B., 1988. *Field Assessment of the Effects of Contaminants on Fishes*. Biological Report. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

Hunt, D. and R. Zaremba, 1992. *Floristic Survey with Emphasis on Rare Species, of Fort Devens, Massachusetts*. Boston Massachusetts: Massachusetts Division of Fish and Wildlife.

Hvorslev, M.J., 1951. "Time Lag and Soil Permeability in Groundwater Observations." *U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Waterways Experiment Station Bulletin*. Vol. 36. Vicksburg, Mississippi.

ICF-Clement Associates, 1987. *Comparative Potency Approach for Estimation of the Total Cancer Risk Associated with Exposures to Mixtures of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons in the Environment*. Final Report. July 1.

Jacknow, J., J.L. Lukdka, N.C. Coon, 1986. *Monitoring Fish and Wildlife for Environmental Contaminants: The National Contaminant Biomonitoring Program*. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Wildlife Leaflet No. 4.

Jahns, R.H., 1953. *Surficial Geology of the Ayer Quadrangle, Massachusetts*. Scale 1:31,680. U.S. Geological Survey.

Jongen, W.M.F., J.M. Cardinaals and P.M.J. Bos, 1985. "Genotoxicity Testing of Arsenobetaine, the Predominant Form of Arsenic in Marine Fishery Products." *Food Chem. Toxicol.* 23:669-673.

Kaise, T., S. Watanabe, and K. Itoh, 1985. "The Acute Toxicity of Arsenobetaine." *Chemosphere* 14:1327-1332.

Kondakis, X.G., et al., 1989. "Possible Health Effects of High Manganese Concentration in Drinking Water." *Arch. Environ. Health*. 44:175-178.

Koteff, C., 1966. "Surficial Geologic Map of the Clinton Quadrangle, Worcester County, Massachusetts." U.S. Geological Survey Map GQ-567.

Lewis, M.A., Evans, D.W., and Wiener, J.G., 1979. Manganese. In: Thurston, R.V., Russo, R.C., Ferrerolf, C.M., Jr., Edsall, T.A., and Barber, Y.M., Jr. (eds.), 1979. *A Review of the USEPA Red Book: Quality Criteria for Water.* American Fisheries Society. Bethesda, MD pp. 137-144.

Lie, R., Thomas, R. and Scott, J. 1960. The Distribution and Excretion of Thallium^{204} in the Rat, with Suggested MPC's and a Bio-assay Procedure. Health Phys. 2: 334-340.

Long, E.R., and L.G. Morgan, 1990. *The Potential for Biological Effects of Sediment-sorbed Contaminants Tested in the National Status and Trends Program*. Technical Memorandum NOS OMA 52. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.

Luten, J.B., G. Riekwel-Booy, and A. Rauchbaar, 1982. "Occurrence of Arsenic in Plaice (*Pleuronectes platessa*) Nature of Organo-arsenic Compound Present and its Excretion by Man." *Environ. Health Perspect.* 45:165-170.

MacIntyre, D., Mclay, A.L.C. and East, B.W. 1978. Silver Poisoning Associated with an Antismoking Lozenge. Br. Med. J. 2: 1749-1750.

Maietta, R.J., Massachusetts Division of Water Pollution Control, (MADWPC) 1993. "Chemical Concentrations in Fish/Tissue Collected From MA Ponds and Lakes." Personal communication with Cornell Rosiu of ABB Environmental Services, Inc. April 4.

Marcoa Publishing Inc., 1990. *Welcome to Fort Devens, A Community of Excellence*. San Diego, California.

Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection (MADEP), 1988a. "Massachusetts Contingency Plan." 310 CMR 40.00 *et seq.*

Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection (MADEP), 1988b. "Massachusetts Ground Water Quality Standards." 314 CMR 6.00 *et seq.*

Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection (MADEP), 1989. Guidance for Disposal Site Risk Characterization and Related Phase II Activities - In Support of the Massachusetts Contingency Plan, Appendix C. Office of Research and Standards, Boston, MA. July 1991 update.

Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection (MADEP), 1993. Drinking Water Standards and Guidelines for Chemicals in Massachusetts Drinking Waters. Office of Research and Standards, Boston, MA. Spring 1993.

Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife (MADFW), 1992. Abstracts of the 1992 Fish and Wildlife Laws. Boston, Massachusetts: Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection. September.

Massachusetts Division of Water Pollution Control (MADWPC), 1988a. "Memorandum: 1987 Echo Lake Fish Toxics Monitoring." North Grafton, Massachusetts: Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection, Massachusetts Biomonitoring Program. March 16.

Massachusetts Division of Water Pollution Control (MADWPC), 1988b. "Memorandum: 1987 Dorothy Pond Fish Toxics Monitoring Survey." North Grafton, Massachusetts: Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection, Massachusetts Biomonitoring Program. October 31.

Massachusetts Division of Water Pollution Control (MADWPC), 1989a. "Memorandum: 1988 Indian Lake Fish Toxics Monitoring (BIO88-3)." North Grafton, Massachusetts: Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection, Massachusetts Biomonitoring Program. August 31.

Massachusetts Division of Water Pollution Control (MADWPC), 1989b. "Memorandum: 1988 Copicut and Shingle Island Rivers Toxics in Fish Monitoring." North Grafton, Massachusetts: Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection, Massachusetts Biomonitoring Program. October 5.

Massachusetts Division of Water Pollution Control (MADWPC), 1990. "Memorandum: 1989 North and South Watuppa Ponds Fish Toxics Monitoring (BIO89-14)." North Grafton, Massachusetts: Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection, Massachusetts Biomonitoring Program. March 23.

Massachusetts Division of Water Pollution Control (MADWPC), 1991. "Memorandum: Walden and Sandy Ponds Toxics in Fish Monitoring (BIO89-06)." North Grafton, Massachusetts: Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection, Massachusetts Biomonitoring Program. February 25.

Massachusetts Natural Heritage Program (MNHP), 1990. Boston, Massachusetts: Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Massachusetts Natural Heritage Program (MNHP), 1992. *Atlas of Estimated Habitats of State-listed Rare Wetlands Wildlife*. Boston, Massachusetts: Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Maughan, J.T., 1993. *Ecological Assessment of Hazardous Waste Sites*. New York, New York: Van Nostrand Reinhold.

McLellan, J.S., Flanagan, P.R., Chamberlain, M.J., and Valberg, L.S. 1978. Measurement of Dietary Cadmium Absorption in Humans. J. Toxicol. Environ. Health 4: 131-138.

McMaster, B.N., J.D. Bonds, J.H. Wiese, K.L. Hatfield, J.B. Holly, L.C. Carter, E.A. Knauft, and K.A. Civitarese, 1982. *Installation Assessment of Headquarters Fort Devens, Report No. 326*. Prepared for Commander, Headquarters Fort Devens and for U.S. Army Toxic and Hazardous Materials Agency. Prepared by Environmental Science and Engineering, Inc.. Gainesville, FL. August.

Moore, J.W., 1991. *Inorganic Contaminants of Surface Water- Research and Monitoring Priorities*. R. DeSanto (Ed.). New York, New York: Springer-Verlag.

Naparstek, J. David, 1993. Personal communication with D. Pierce, ABB-ES Wakefield, Massachusetts Office. April 7.

National Academy of Sciences (NAS), 1972. *Water Quality Criteria 1972.* Washington, DC, EPA R3/73/033, March.

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), 1990. *The Potential for Biological Effects of Sediment-sorbed Contaminants Tested in the National Status and Trends Program.* NOAA Technical Memorandum NOS OMA 52.

Nielsen, J.B. 1992. Toxicokinetics of Mercuric Chloride and Methylmercuric Chloride in Mice. J. Toxicol. Environ. Health 37: 85-122.

Newell, A.J., D.W. Johnson, and L.K. Allen, 1987. *Niagara River Biota Contamination Project: Fish Flesh Criteria for Piscivorous Wildlife.* Technical Report 87-3. Division of Fish and Wildlife.

New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC), 1989. *Clean-up Criteria for Aquatic Sediments.* December.

New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC), 1989. *Sediment Criteria - December 1989.* NYSDEC Bureau of Environmental Protection, Division of Fish and Wildlife.

Nisbet, I. and P. LaGoy, 1992. "Toxic Equivalency Factors (TEFs) for Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs)." *Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology.* 16:290-300.

Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), 1989. Hazardous Waste Operation and Emergency Response. Final Rule. 29 CFR Part 1910. *Federal Register.* Vol. 54, No. 42. March 6.

Ogawa, G. 1976. Experimental Study on Absorption, Distribution and Excretion of Trivalent and Hexavalent Chromes. Jap. J. Pharmacol. 26: 92-103.

Owen, T.S. 1990. Literature Derived Absorption Coefficients for 39 Chemicals via Oral and Inhalation Routes of Exposure. Regul. Toxicol. Pharmacol. 11: 237-252.

Peck, J.H., 1975. "Preliminary Bedrock Geologic Map of the Clinton Quadrangle, Worcester County, Massachusetts." Scale 1:24,000; text and three maps. U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 75-658.

Peck, J.H., 1976. "Silurian and Devonian Stratigraphy in the Clinton Quadrangle, Central Massachusetts." In *Contributions to the Stratigraphy of New England*. Geological Society of America Memoir 148.

Persaud, D., R. Jaagumagi, and A. Hayton, 1992. *Guidelines for the Protection and Management of Aquatic Sediment Quality in Ontario*. Ontario Ministry of the Environment. Queen's Printer for Ontario.

Plafkin, J.L., M.T. Barbour, K.D. Porter, S.K. Gross, and R.M. Hughes, 1989. *Rapid Bioassessment Protocols For Use in Streams and Rivers: Benthic Macroinvertebrates and Fish*. EPA/444/4-89-001. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Water, Assessment and Watershed Protection Division. May.

Potomac Research Inc. (PRI), 1993. *User's Manual, IRDMIS PC Data Entry and Validation Subsystem*. Version 5.0. Prepared for U.S. Army Toxic and Hazardous Materials Agency, Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland. February.

Power, R.M., 1957. "The Treatment of Tannery Wastes with Sanitary Sewage at Ayer." *Sanitalk*. 5 No. 19 (1957).

Putcha, L., Bruchner, J.V. and D'Soyza, R. 1986. Toxicokinetics and Bioavailability of Oral and Intravenous 1,1-Dichloroethylene. Fund. Appl. Toxicol. 6: 240-250.

Rand, G.M. and S.R. Petrocelli, 1985. *Fundamentals of Aquatic Toxicology: Methods and Applications*. New York: Hemisphere Publishing Corporation.

Reitz, R.H., Fox T.R. and Domoradzski, J.Y. 1980. Pharmacokinetics and Macromolecular Interactions of Ethylene Dichloride: Comparison of Oral and Inhalation Exposures.

Reynolds, J.B., 1983. "Electrofishing." In Nielson, L.A., D. Johnson, Fisheries Techniques; American Fisheries Society. Blacksburg, Virginia: Southern Printing Company, Inc.

Robinson, G.R., Jr., 1978. "Bedrock Geologic Map of the Pepperell Shirley, Townsend Quadrangles, and Part of the Ayer Quadrangle, Massachusetts and New Hampshire." Miscellaneous Field Studies Map MF-957. U.S. Geological Survey.

Robinson, P. and R. Goldsmith, 1991. "Stratigraphy of the Merrimack Belt, Central Massachusetts." In *The Bedrock Geology of Massachusetts*. U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1366-G. pp. 61-637.

Rojko, A.M., 1990. "Heavy Metals in the Sediments of Massachusetts Lakes and Ponds." Unpublished Master's Thesis. Northeastern University: Department of Civil Engineering. June.

Rowan, D.J., J.B. Rasmussen, 1992. "Why Don't Great Lakes Fish Reflect Environmental Concentrations of Organic Contaminants? An Analysis of Between-lake Variability in the Ecological Partitioning of PCBs and DDT." *J. Great Lakes Res.* 18(4): 724-741.

Russell, S.L. and R.W. Allmendinger, 1975. "Interim Geologic Map of the Shirley Quadrangle, Massachusetts." U.S. Geological Survey Open File Report 76-267.

Sandstrom, B., Davison, L., Kivisto, B., Hasselbland, C. and Cederbland, A. 1987. The Effect of Vegetables and Beet Fibre on the Absorption of Zinc in Humans from Composite Meals. Brit. J. Nutr. 58: 49-57.

Schmitt, C.J. and W.G., Brunbaugh, 1990. "National Contaminant Biomonitoring Program: Concentrations of Arsenic, Cadmium, Copper, Lead, Mercury, Selenium, and Zinc in U.S. Freshwater Fish, 1976-1984." *Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 19:731-747.

Schmitt, C.J., J.L. Zajicek, and P.H. Peterman, 1990. "National Contaminant Biomonitoring Program: Declines of Organochlorine Chemicals in U.S. Freshwater Fish, 1976-1984." *Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 19:731-747.

Siebert, S. 1976. The Lymphatic Absorption of p,p'-DDT and Some Structurally-Related Compounds in the Rat. Pharmacol. 14: 443-454.

Stephan, C., 1990. Personal communication, USEPA Environmental Research Laboratory, Duluth, MN, April 1990.

Suter, G.W., 1993. *Ecological Risk Assessment*. Chelsea, Michigan: Lewis Publishers.

SYSTAT, Inc., 1990. *Statistics*, Evanston, Illinois.

Taylor, W.H., 1953. "Disposal of Tannery Wastes." *Sanitalk*, 1 No. 4 (1953) 24.

Terres, J.K., 1987. *Audubon Encyclopedia of North American Birds*. New York, New York: Alfred Knopf.

Tseng, W.P., H.M. Chu, S.W. How, J.M. Fong, C.S. Lin, and S. Yen, 1968. "Prevalence of Skin Cancer in an Endemic Area of Chronic Arsenicism in Taiwan." *J. Natl. Cancer Inst.* 40:453-463.

U.S. Army Environmental Center, 1993. *Fact Sheet: Fort Devens Installation Restoration Program (IRP) and BRAC 91 Environmental Restoration Impact*. March.

U.S. Army Environmental Hygiene Agency (USAEHA), 1988. *Geohydrologic Study No. 38-26-0326-89, Fort Devens, Massachusetts July 11-19*. Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland. October.

U.S. Department of the Army, 1979. *Environmental Impact Statement, Fort Devens Mission Activities, Fort Devens, Massachusetts*. Headquarters, U.S. Army Forces Command. June 30; Revised May 1, 1980.

U.S. Army Toxic and Hazardous Materials Agency (USATHAMA), 1987. *Geotechnical Requirements for Drilling, Monitor Wells, Data Acquisition, and Reports*. March.

U.S. Army Toxic and Hazardous Materials Agency (USATHAMA), 1990. *Quality Assurance Program*. PAM-41. January.

U.S. Army Toxic and Hazardous Materials Agency (USATHAMA), 1991. *IRDMIS User's Manual, Version 4.2*. April.

U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) Soil Conservation Service, 1989. Untitled Middlesex County field sheet #19. Westford, Massachusetts: Middlesex Conservation District. January 5.

U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) Soil Conservation Service, 1991. "Middlesex County Massachusetts Interim Soil Survey Report." Westford, Massachusetts: Middlesex Conservation District. (Includes soil sheet #19.)

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), 1973. *Biological Field and Laboratory Methods for Measuring the Quality of Surface Waters and Effluents*. EPA/670/4-73/001. July.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), 1976. *Quality Criteria for Water*. Washington, DC. July 1976.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), 1977. *Guidelines for the Pollution Classification of Great Lakes Harbor Sediments*. Chicago, Illinois: USEPA Region V.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), 1983a. *Interim Guidelines and Specifications for Preparing Quality Assurance Project Plans*. QAMS-005/80. Washington, D.C.: USEPA Office of Research and Development.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), 1983b. *Guidelines for Deriving Numerical Water Quality Criteria for the Protection of Aquatic Life and Its Uses*. Draft. July.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), 1984a. *Guidance for Preparation of Combined Work/Quality Assurance Project Plans for Environmental Monitoring.* OWRS QA-1. May.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), 1984b. *Health Assessment Document for Chromium.* EPA-600/8-83-014F. Research Triangle Park, North Carolina: USEPA Environmental Criteria and Assessment Office.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), 1986. *Quality Criteria for Water 1986.* Office of Water Regulations and Standards, Washington, D.C., EPA 440/5-86-001. May 1, 1986.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), 1986. *Superfund Public Health Evaluation Manual.* Exhibit C-1.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), 1988. *Recommendations for and Documentation of Biological Values for Use in Risk Assessment.* PB 88-179874. EPA 600/6-87-008. Washington, D.C.: USEPA.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), 1989a. *Ecological Assessment of Hazardous Waste Sites: A Field and Laboratory Reference.* EPA/600/3-89/013. March.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), 1989b. *Exposure Factors Handbook.* EPA/600/8-89/043. USEPA Office of Health and Environmental Assessment. May.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), 1989c. *Supplemental Risk Assessment Guidance for the Superfund Program.* EPA 901/5-89-001. Draft Final. USEPA Region I Risk Assessment Work Group. June.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), 1989d. *Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund. Environmental Evaluation Manual. Volume 1.* EPA/540/1-89/001. December.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), 1989e. *Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund. Environmental Evaluation Manual. Volume 2.* EPA/540/1-89/002. December.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), 1989f. *Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund. Volume 1: Human Health Evaluation Manual (Part A).* EPA/540/1-89/002. Interim Final. December.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), 1989g. Interim Guidance on Establishing Soil Lead Cleanup Levels at Superfund Sites. OSWER Directive #9355.4-02. September 1989, updated June 1990.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), 1991a, 1991b, 1992a, 1992b, 1992c. *ECO Update.* Publication 9345.0-051. Vol. 1, No. 1 (September 1991); Vol. 1, No. 2 (December 1991); Vol. 1, No. 3 (March 1992); Vol. 1, No. 4 (May 1992); Vol. 1, No. 5 (August 1992).

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), 1991b. *Standard Default Exposure Factors: Human Health Evaluation Manual, Supplemental Guidance.* OSWER Directive 9285.6-03. March 25.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), 1991c. *Guidance on Assessment and Control of Bioconcentratable Contaminants in Surface Water.* Draft. March.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), 1991d. *Role of the Baseline Risk Assessment in Superfund Remedy Selection Decisions.* OSWER Directive 9355.0-30. April 22.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Region I and the U.S. Department of the Army, 1991e. *In the Matter of: The U.S. Department of the Army, Fort Devens Army Installation, Fort Devens, Massachusetts.* Federal Facility Agreement Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, Section 120. May 13.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), 1991f. *Methods for the Determination of Metals in Environmental Samples*. EPA/600/4-91/010. June.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Region I and the U.S. Department of the Army, 1991g. *In the Matter of: The U.S. Department of the Army, Fort Devens Army Installation, Fort Devens, Massachusetts*. Federal Facility Agreement Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, Section 120. November.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), 1991h. *Ecological Assessment of Superfund Sites: An Overview*. Publication 9345.0-05I. Washington, D.C.: USEPA Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response. December.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), 1991i. *Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund. Volume 1: Human Health Evaluation Manual. Part B, Development of Risk-Based Preliminary Remediation Goals*. Interim Publication 9285.7-01B. December.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), 1992d. *Dermal Exposure Assessment Principles and Applications*. EPA/600/8-91/011B. Interim Report. January.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), 1992e. *Framework for Ecological Risk Assessment*. EPA/630/R-92/001. February.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), 1992f. *USEPA Interim Region IV Guidance*. February 10.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), 1992g. *Action Levels for Poisons or Deleterious Substances in Human Food and Animal Feed*. U.S. Food and Drug Administration, Department of Health and Human Services. August.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), 1993. Drinking Water Regulations and Health Advisories. Office of Water, Washington, DC. May 1993.

REFERENCES

U.S. Geological Survey, 1965a. Clinton, Massachusetts. Topographic Quadrangle; Scale 1:24,000.

U.S. Geological Survey, 1965b. Shirley, Massachusetts. Topographic Quadrangle; Scale 1:25,000. Photorevised 1979.

U.S. Geological Survey, 1966. Ayer, Massachusetts. Topographic Quadrangle; Scale 1:25,000. Photorevised 1979.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), 1992. *Survey and Evaluation of Wetlands and Wildlife Habitat, Fort Devens, Massachusetts*. House of Representatives Appropriations Committee. p. 1-10.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), 1990a. "National Contaminant Biomonitoring Program: Concentrations of Arsenic, Cadmium, Copper, Lead, Mercury, Selenium, and Zinc in U.S. Freshwater Fish, 1976-1984." By C.J. Schmitt and W.G. Brumbaugh. *Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 19:731-747.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), 1990b, "National Contaminant Biomonitoring Program: Residues of Organochlorine Chemicals in U.S. Freshwater Fish, 1976-1984." By C.J. Schmitt, J.L. Zajicek, and W.G. Brumbaugh. *Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 19:748-781.

U.S. Food and Drug Administration, 1992. Action levels for Poisonous or Deleterious Substances in Human Food and Animal Feed. Department of Health and Human Services.

U.S. Food and Drug Administration (USFDA), 1993. Guidance Document for Arsenic in Shellfish. FDA Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition. January 1993.

Vahter, M. 1983. Metabolism of Arsenic. In: Fowler, B.A., ed. Biological and Environmental Effect of Arsenic. NY: Elsevier. pp. 171-198.

Weiner, J., U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Lacrosse, Wisconsin, 1993. "BAFs for Inorganic Analytes in Freshwater Systems, Plants, and Animals." Personal communication with Cornell Rosiu of ABB-ES. May.

Werner, R.G., 1980. *Freshwater Fishes of New York State - A Field Guide.* Syracuse, New York: Syracuse University Press.

Wilson, George L., 1961a. "Ayer Attorney Outlines History of Tanning Industry in Ayer." The Public Spirit (Ayer, Massachusetts). June 15.

Wilson, George L., 1961b. "History of Ayer Tanning Companies - Continued." The Public Spirit (Ayer, Massachusetts). June 22.

Wones, D.R. and R. Goldsmith, 1991. *Intrusive Rocks of Eastern Massachusetts.* U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1366-I.

Zen, E-an, Ed., 1983. "Bedrock Geologic Map of New England." U.S. Geological Survey; Scale 1:250,000; three sheets.

This page intentionally left blank.

FORT DEVENS
FEASIBILITY STUDY
FOR GROUP 1A SITES

FINAL
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
DATA ITEM A009

VOLUME II OF IV
APPENDICES A - G

CONTRACT DAAA15-91-D-0008

U.S. ARMY ENVIRONMENTAL CENTER
ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MARYLAND

DECEMBER 1993

PRINTED ON RECYCLED PAPER

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	-	**SOIL AND SEDIMENT BORING LOGS**	**VOL. II**
APPENDIX B	-	**MONITORING WELL CONSTRUCTION FORMS**	**VOL. II**
APPENDIX C	-	**AQUIFER TESTING DATA AND CALCULATIONS**	**VOL. II**
APPENDIX D	-	**GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION ANALYSES**	**VOL. II**
APPENDIX E	-	**SYNOPTIC WATER-LEVEL MEASUREMENTS**	**VOL. II**
APPENDIX F	-	**SURVEY COORDINATES**	**VOL. II**
APPENDIX G	-	**PROJECT ANALYTE LIST**	**VOL. II**
APPENDIX H	-	LABORATORY QC RESULTS	VOL. III
APPENDIX I	-	BACKGROUND CONCENTRATIONS AND CALCULATIONS	VOL. IV
APPENDIX J	-	RI SEDIMENT DATA SUMMARIES	VOL. IV
APPENDIX K	-	HUMAN HEALTH RISK SPREADSHEETS - SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL	VOL. IV
APPENDIX L	-	MODELING OF VOC CONCENTRATIONS IN SHOWER AIR FROM GROUNDWATER - SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL	VOL. IV
APPENDIX M	-	HUMAN HEALTH RISK SPREADSHEETS - COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL	VOL. IV
APPENDIX N	-	FISH TISSUE COMPARISON OF STATE AND NATIONAL DATA	VOL. IV
APPENDIX O	-	WETLAND FUNCTIONAL EVALUATION	VOL. IV
APPENDIX P	-	MACROINVERTEBRATE DATA ANALYSES	VOL. IV
APPENDIX Q	-	ECOLOGICAL RISK ASSESSMENT PARAMETERS	VOL. IV
APPENDIX R	-	ECOLOGICAL RISK ASSESSMENT SPREADSHEETS - SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL/COLD SPRING BROOK POND	VOL. IV
APPENDIX S	-	UNCERTAINTIES ASSOCIATED WITH USEPA SEDIMENT QUALITY CRITERIA FOR DDE	VOL. IV
APPENDIX T	-	FISH TISSUE DATA	VOL. IV
APPENDIX U	-	SUPPLEMENTAL RI LEVEL 3 DATA	VOL. IV
APPENDIX V	-	USEPA 1992 DERMAL GUIDANCE SUMMARY	VOL. IV
APPENDIX W	-	CALCULATION OF PERMEABILITY CONSTANTS PER EVENT	VOL. IV
APPENDIX X	-	PRELIMINARY RISK EVALUATION FOR NONACOICUS BROOK AREA	VOL. IV
APPENDIX Y	-	EVALUATION OF GROUNDWATER IRRIGATION EXPOSURE PATHWAY	VOL. IV
APPENDIX Z	-	TOXICITY PROFILES	VOL. IV

This page intentionally left blank.

APPENDIX A
SOIL AND SEDIMENT BORING LOGS

SHM-93-01A
SHM-93-10C
SHM-93-18B
SHM-93-22C
SHM-93-24A
SHB-93-01X
CSM-93-01A
CSM-93-02A
CSM-93-02B
SHD-92-01X
SHD-92-02X
SHD-92-03X
SHD-92-04X
SHD-92-05X
SHD-92-06X
SHD-92-07X
SHD-92-08X
SHD-92-09X
SHD-92-10X
SHD-92-11X
SHD-92-12X
SHD-92-13X
SHD-92-14X
SHD-92-15X
SHD-92-16X
SHD-92-17X
SHD-92-18X
SHD-92-19X
SHD-92-20X
SHD-92-21X
SHD-92-22X
SHD-92-23X
SHD-92-24X
SHD-92-25X
CSD-92-01X
CSD-92-02X
CSD-92-03X
CSD-93-04X
CSD-92-05X
CSD-92-06X
CSD-92-07X
CSD-92-08X
CSD-92-09X
CSD-92-10X

This page intentionally left blank.

SOIL BORING LOG				Study Area: Shepley's Hill Landfill	
Client: AEC		Project No. 7005-04		Boring No.: SHM-93-01A	
Contractor: New Hampshire Boring		Date Started: 01/21/93		Completed: 01/21/93	Method: HSA
Ground Elev.: 235.5 ft.		Soil Drilled: 26 ft.		Total Depth: 26 ft.	Casing Size: 6.25 ID
Logged by: RRR		Checked by: DSP		Groundwater Below Ground: 20 ft.	
Screen: 10 (ft)	Riser: 18 (ft)	Diam.: 4.0" (ID)	Material: Sch 40PVC	Protection: Mod.D	Page 1 of 1

DEPTH (FT)	SAMPLE NUMBER	SAMPLE DEPTH	PEN. / REC.	PID (ppm)	SOIL-ROCK DESCRIPTION	BLOWS\6-IN.	COMMENTS
	S-1	0'-2'	1.5 / 2.0	BKG	0'-1.4' SAND, poorly graded, medium, 10% coarse, 10% fine, 0'-2' gravel subrounded, tannish brown, medium dense (SP) 1.4'-1.5' SAND, poorly graded, fine, 0-2% silt, subangular, dry, medium dense, tannish brown (SP)	6-13-13-14	Start 0940
2							
4							
6	S-2	5'-7'	1.7 / 2.0	BKG	SAND, poorly graded, fine, 15% medium, subangular, dry, very loose, light brown (SP)	3-4-5-5	
8							
10							
	S-3	10-12	1.8 / 2.0	BKG	SAND, similar to above (SP)	3-4-4-6	
12							
14							
16	S-4	15-17	1.6 / 2.0	BKG	0'-1.5 SAND, similar to above, banding (SP) 1.5'-1.6' and in shoe, SAND, well graded, medium to coarse sand and gravel, subangular to angular, damp, loose, light brown (SW)	3-5-9-12	Change
18							
20							
	S-5	20-22	1.6 / 2.0	BKG	0'-0.6' SAND, poorly graded, fine to medium, rounded, very loose, wet, dark brown (SP)	2-4-4-6	Water at 20' bgs
22					0.6'-1.6' gravely SAND, poorly graded, coarse, 10% medium, very loose, subrounded, wet, reddish brown (SP)	2-4-4-6	TOC Analytical collected
24							24' change
26	S-6	25 - 25.5	0.6 / 2.0	BKG	Sandy SILT, rock in shoe, cobbles of low grade metamorphosed rock in silt (SP-SM)	5-50 for 4"	Rock in shoe
28					BOE = 26' bgs, 1245 hours, 1/21/93		Refusal on rock
30							

SOIL BORING LOG			Study Area: Shepley's Hill Landfill	
Client: AEC	Project No. 7005-04		Boring No.: SHM-93-10C	
Contractor: New Hampshire Boring	Date Started: 02/09/93		Completed: 2/12/93	Method: HSA/Case/Core
Ground Elev.: 247.5 ft.	Soil Drilled: 36.5 ft.		Total Depth: 59.5 ft.	Casing Size: 6"
Logged by: RRR	Checked by: DSP		Groundwater Below Ground: 29.5 ft.	
Screen: 10 (ft)	Riser: 45 (ft)	Diam.: 4.0"' (ID)	Material: Sch 40PVC	Protection: Mod.D

DEPTH (FT)	SAMPLE NUMBER	SAMPLE DEPTH	PEN. / REC.	PID (ppm)	SOIL-ROCK DESCRIPTION	BLOWS\6-IN.	COMMENTS
2	S-1	0-2	1.5 / 2.0	BKG	SAND, poorly graded, medium, 5% fine, 5% coarse, rounded, dry, medium dense, 7.5 yr 6/3 light brown, aeolian,/glacial outwash (SP)	16-10-12-12	
4							
6	S-2	5-7	1.6 / 2.0	BKG	SAND, poorly graded, similar to above but loose, dry (SP)	6-5-5-6	
8							
10	S-3	10-12	1.4 / 2.0	BKG	SAND, similar to above (SP)	4-6-6-4	
12							
14							
16	S-4	15-17	1.6 / 2.0	BKG	SAND, similar to above but very loose, dry (SP)	4-4-4-5	
18							
20	S-5	20-22	1.7 / 2.0	BKG	SAND, similar to above (SP)	4-4-6-6	
22							
24							
26	S-6	25-27	1.9 / 2.0	BKG	SAND, similar to above, medium dense, 10 yr 6/3 pale brown (SP)	7-10-14-19	
28							
29							Water at 29' bgs

****

SOIL BORING LOG				Study Area: Shepley's Hill Landfill
Client: AEC	Project No. 7005-04		Boring No.: SHM-93-10C	
Contractor: New Hampshire Boring	Date Started: 02/09/93		Completed: 02/12/93	Method: HSA/Case/Core
Ground Elev.: 247.5 ft.	Soil Drilled: 36.5 ft.		Total Depth: 59.5 ft.	Casing Size: 6"
Logged by: RRR	Checked by: DSP		Groundwater Below Ground: 29.5 ft	
Screen: 10 (ft)	Riser: 45 (ft)	Diam.: 4.0"' (ID)	Material: Sch 40PVC	Protection: Mod.D

DEPTH (FT)	SAMPLE NUMBER	SAMPLE DEPTH	PEN. / REC.	PID (ppm)	SOIL-ROCK DESCRIPTION	BLOWS\6-IN.	COMMENTS
30							
	S-7	30-32	1.8 / 2.0	BKG	Sand to silty sand, poorly graded, fine, subrounded, medium dense, wet, 10% silt, 7.5 yr 5/6 strong brown, coarse piece of subangular gravel at 1.4' (SP-SM)	16-13-13-12	
32							
34							
36	S-8	35 - 36.5	1.5 / 2.0	BKG	Similar to above, weathered rock frags near bottom of spoon (SP-SM)	WOR/1/50-4"	
38					Bedrock		
					36.5' will core rest of hole; see attached core logs.		
40							
42							
44							
46							
48							
50							
52							
54							
56							
58							

****

9202159D /

ROCK CORING LOG

Project:		Study Area:		Project No.
Fort Devens		SHL		07005-04
Client: USATHAMA	**Driller's Name:** G. LEWITT	**Logged by:** RRR	**Checked by:**	**Ground Elev.:**
Drilling Contractor: NH3	**Protection Level:** MODIFIED D	**Rig Type:** B-53	**Start Date:** 2·9·93	**Finish Date:** 2·12·93
Drilling Method: HQ 6" CASING : CORE : OVERREAM 5 5/8"		**~~P.I.D.~~ (eV):** FID OVA	**Casing Size:** 6"	**Auger Size:** —
Bit type/size: HQ I.D.=.21' O.D.=.32'	**Bit Use:** NEW	**Core Interval (to/from)(ft):** 36.5 → 41.5		

Depth (feet) Below GRD Sort.	Sample No. & Penetration/ Recovery (feet)	Graphic Log	Natural Cove Breaks: Type/Dip	Natural Cove Breaks: Surface Condition	Weathered Condition	Rock Quality: Total 4" Core	Rock Quality: RQD (%)	Rock Quality: Rock Quality Description	Drilling Rate min/ft	Color	Rock Description and Comments on Drilling
37.0 (RL)			X / 20	FRCSH (F) WEATHERED (W)					7		.X = MECHANICAL / = NATURAL (SOLUTION STRESS EROSIONAL)
37.5			X	F							LOW TO MEDIUM GRADE META-PELITIC SILTSTONES. SECONDARY QUARTZ FOUND IN BOTH HEALED AND OPEN FRACTURES. BEDDING APPEARS TO BE DIPPING @ 45-55°. ROCK IS BLACK/GREY WHEN WET. DRIES TO A DARK GREY. PRIMARILY SILICICLASTIC W/ SECONDARY QUARTZ AND SULFIDES ALONG FRACTURES.
38			X	F					6		
38.5	4.8 / 5.0		10: 40 / SOLUTION	W		4.1 / 5.0	82%	GOOD			
39			X / 80	F W					5		
39.5			X	F							
40			X	F					5		
40.5			X	F							
41			/ 60	W					7		
41.5			X	F							

ABB Environmental Services, Inc.

ROCK CORING LOG

Project:		Study Area:		Project No.
Fort Devens		SUM·93·104		07005-04
Client: USATHAMA	**Driller's Name:**	**Logged by:** RRR	**Checked by:**	**Ground Elev.:**
Drilling Contractor:	**Protection Level:**	**Rig Type:** B53	**Start Date:**	**Finish Date:**
Drilling Method:		**P.I.D. (eV):**	**Casing Size:**	**Auger Size:**
Bit type/size: HQ	**Bit Use:** HQ	**Core Interval (to/from)(ft):** 41.5 - 46.5		RUN # 2

Depth (feet) Below GRD Sort.	Sample No. & Penetration/ Recovery (feet)	Graphic Log	Natural Cove Breaks: Type/Dip	Natural Cove Breaks: Surface Condition	Weathered Condition	Rock Quality: Total 4" Core	Rock Quality: RQD (%)	Rock Quality: Rock Quality Description	Drilling Rate min/ft	Color	Rock Description and Comments on Drilling
42	RUN # 2		X	F					5		META-PELITIC SILTSTONES. LOW TO MEDIUM GRADE SILICICLASTIC W/ SECONDARY QUARTZ AND SULFIDES ALONG FRACTURES AND SOLUTION CAVITIES. FRACTURES MIMIC BEDDING PLANES PRIMARILY.
			/ 45°	W							
43									5		
	5.0/5.0		SOLUTION FRAC. + CAVITIES	W		5.0	100%	EXC.			
44			X	F					6		GETTING GOOD RETURN ON CIRCULATION WATER DESPITE EXTENSIVE SOLUTION CAVITIES
			SOLUTION	W							
45			/ 30°	W					5		
46			/ 60°	W					6		
46.5			X	F-CON							

ABB Environmental Services, Inc.

9202159D /

ROCK CORING LOG

Project:		Study Area:		Project No.
Fort Devens		SHM.93.10C		
Client: USATHAMA	**Driller's Name:**	**Logged by:**	**Checked by:**	**Ground Elev.:**
Drilling Contractor:	**Protection Level:**	**Rig Type:** B-53	**Start Date:** 2-9-93	**Finish Date:**
Drilling Method:		**~~P.I.D.~~ (eV):** FID/OVA	**Casing Size:**	**Auger Size:**
Bit type/size: HQ	**Bit Use:**	**Core Interval (to/from)(ft):** 46.5 → 51.5 Run # 3		

Depth (feet) Below GRD Sort.	Sample No. & Penetration/ Recovery (feet)	Graphic Log	Natural Cove Breaks: Type/Dip	Natural Cove Breaks: Surface Condition	Weathered Condition	Rock Quality: Total 4" Core	Rock Quality: RQD (%)	Rock Quality: Rock Quality Description	Drilling Rate min/ft	Color	Rock Description and Comments on Drilling
47	RUN #3 46.5 ↓ 51.5		x	(F)					6	MOTTLED LIGHT TO DARK GREY	META-PELITIC SILTSTONE LOW TO MEDIUM GRADE. GROWING MORE COMPETENT W/ DEPTH SULFIDES AND SECONDARY QUARTZ ALONG FRACTURE PLANES
			10.5	(W)							
			HEALED FRAC / SOLUTION								
48	5.0 / 5.0					5.0	5.0 / 5.0 = 100%	EXC	7		SOLUTION CAVITY CONTAINING SILICICLASTICS } LOST WATER AT THIS POINT
49									8		
50			x	(F)					7		
			x	(F)							
51									8		HEALED FRACTURES ALONG BEDDING PLANES. SECONDARY QUARTZ
51.5			1.5	(W)							

ABB Environmental Services, Inc.

9202159D /

ROCK CORING LOG

Project: Fort Devens		Study Area: SWM-93.10C		Project No.
Client: USATHAMA	Driller's Name:	Logged by:	Checked by:	Ground Elev.:
Drilling Contractor:	Protection Level:	Rig Type:	Start Date:	Finish Date:
Drilling Method:		P.I.D. (eV):	Casing Size:	Auger Size:
Bit type/size:	Bit Use:	Core Interval (to/from)(ft): 51.5 → 56.5		

Depth (feet) Below GRD Sort.	Sample No. & Penetration/ Recovery (feet)	Graphic Log	Natural Cove Breaks: Type/Dip	Natural Cove Breaks: Surface Condition	Weathered Condition	Rock Quality: Total 4" Core	Rock Quality: RQD (%)	Rock Quality: Rock Quality Description	Drilling Rate min/ft	Color	Rock Description and Comments on Drilling
52	RUN #4		50°						7		SAME AS PREVIOUS CORE
53	5.0/5.0		X HEALED			5.0	100%	EXC.	5		
54			X						7		
55									7		
56			X						9		
56.5			X								

ABB Environmental Services, Inc.

9202159D /

ROCK CORING LOG

Project:		Study Area:		Project No.
Fort Devens		SWM·93·10C		07005-04
Client: USATHAMA	**Driller's Name:**	Logged by: RCR	Checked by:	Ground Elev.:
Drilling Contractor:	**Protection Level:**	Rig Type: B·53	Start Date: 2·9·93	Finish Date: 2·12·93
Drilling Method:		~~P.I.D. (eV):~~ FID/OVA	Casing Size: 6"	Auger Size: —
Bit type/size:	**Bit Use:**	**Core Interval (to/from)(ft):** 56.5 - 59.5		3 FT

Depth (feet) Below GRD Sort.	Sample No. & Penetration/ Recovery (feet)	Graphic Log	Natural Cove Breaks: Type/Dip	Natural Cove Breaks: Surface Condition	Weathered Condition	Rock Quality: Total 4" Core	Rock Quality: RQD (%)	Rock Quality: Rock Quality Description	Drilling Rate min/ft	Color	Rock Description and Comments on Drilling
57	RUN # 5 RR		x	F					7		META PELITIC SILTSTONE FOLIATION BECOMING NEARLY VERTICAL IN MIDDLE OF THE SAMPLE. ROCK IS MOTTLED WHITE-GREY SILICICLASTIC IN ORIGIN CONTAINING BOTH PRIMARY AND SECONDARY QUARTZ
58	3.0 / 3.0		HAIRLINE FRAC.			3'	100%	EXC	7		
59			x	F					7		
59.5			x	F							

SOIL BORING LOG			Study Area: Shepley's Hill Landfill
Client: AEC	Project No. 7005-04	Boring No.: SHM-93-18B	
Contractor: New Hampshire Boring	Date Started: 02/04/93	Completed: 02/08/93	Method: HSA
Ground Elev.: 235.7 ft.	Soil Drilled: 93.5 ft.	Total Depth: 93.5 ft.	Casing Size: 6.25" ID
Logged by: RRR	Checked by: DSP	Groundwater Below Ground: 14 ft.	

Screen: 10 (ft)	Riser: 80 (ft)	Diam.: 4.0" (ID)	Material: Sch 40PVC	Protection: Mod.D	Page 1 of 4

DEPTH (FT)	SAMPLE NUMBER	SAMPLE DEPTH	PEN. / REC.	PID (ppm)	SOIL-ROCK DESCRIPTION	BLOWS\6-IN.	COMMENTS
2	S-1	0'-2'	1.3 / 2.0	BKG	SAND, poorly graded, medium to coarse, 5-10% ifne, subangular, loose, sample is dry but entirely frozen, 7.5 yr 7/2 pinkish gray, glacial outwash, aeolan (SP)	31-21-4-3	
4							
6	S-2	5'-7'	1.2 / 2.0	BKG	SAND, poorly graded, fine to medium, 1-2% silt, subrounded, medium dense, dry, 7.5 yr 7/2 pinkish gray, glacial outwash (SP)	11-16-27-23	
8							
10							
12	S-3	10-12	1.3 / 2.0	BKG	SAND, similar to above (SP) At 1.0' SAND is banded with silty sand, fine, loose, 2.5 yr 4/6 yellowish red, moist, resembles liesgang banding (SP-SM)	6-6-6-13	
14							
16	S-4	15-17	1.5 / 2.0	BKG	0'-0.5' SAND, well graded, medium to coarse, 15-20% fine, 10% silt, medium dense, wet, 10 yr 4/4 dark yellowish brown (SW-SM) 0.5'-1.5' SAND, poorly graded, medium, 5% coarse, 5% fine, medium dense, wet, 10 yr 6/3 pale brown (SP)	6-8-6-13	Change at 15.5 ft.
18							
20							
22	S-5	20-22	1.8 / 2.0	BKG	SAND, poorly graded, medium, 5% coarse, 5% fine, medium dense, wet, 10 yr 6/3 pale brown (SP)	6-10-12-13	
24							
26	S-6	25-27	1.7 / 2.0	BKG	SAND, poorly graded, similar to above (SP)	WOR to 18"/9	
28							
30							

****

SOIL BORING LOG			Study Area: Shepley's Hill Landfill
Client: AEC	Project No. 7005-04	Boring No.: SHM-93-18B	
Contractor: New Hampshire Boring	Date Started: 02/04/93	Completed: 02/08/93	Method: HSA
Ground Elev.: 235.7	Soil Drilled: 93.5 ft.	Total Depth: 93.5	Casing Size: 6.25" ID
Logged by: RRR/LEF	Checked by: DSP	Groundwater Below Ground: 14'	

Screen: 10 (ft)	Riser: 80 (ft)	Diam.: 4.0"' (ID)	Material: Sch 40PVC	Protection: Mod.D	Page 2 of 4

DEPTH (FT)	SAMPLE NUMBER	SAMPLE DEPTH	PEN. —— REC.	PID (ppm)	SOIL-ROCK DESCRIPTION	BLOWS\6-IN.	COMMENTS
32	S-7	30-32	2.0 / 2.0	BKG	SAND, poorly graded, similar to above (SP)	WOH 1/2/5	SP
34							
36	S-8	35-37	2.0 / 2.0	BKG	SAND, poorly graded, similar to above except 5 yr 6/4 reddish brown (SP)	3-8-12-22	SP
38							
40	S-9	40-42	0.0 / 2.0	BKG	Residual sand in spoon was similar to above (SP)	6-6-11-16	Sampled and drilled with 4 1/4" augers to 40'. Hole blew in to 35' bgs. Try to add head of water. Adding water was ineffective. Will telescope 3" casing inside of augers and procede from 40'. Decide to make another attempt with augers using twine to keep connections watertight. 1' of sand heaved up inside ofd augers. Sand is flowing out of spoon when it is retrieved. Sample mostly represents soils which have heaved into augers.
42							
44							
46	S-10	45-47	0.7 / 2.0	BKG	SAND, poorly graded, similar to above (SP)	7/12/50-4	
48							
50	S-11	50-52	0.0 / 2.0	BKG	Soils are running out of spoon when we are retrieving it	8/12/24/30	
52							
54							
56	S-12	55-57	0.7 / 2.0	BKG	SAND, similar to above (SP)	9/15/22/24	
58							
60	S-13	58-60	0.0 / 2.0	BKG	No recovery, sands are running out of spoon	6/6/9/13	

****

SOIL BORING LOG			Study Area: Shepley's Hill Landfill	
Client: AEC	Project No. 7005-04		Boring No.: SHM-93-18B	
Contractor: New Hampshire Boring	Date Started: 02/04/93		Completed: 02/08/93	Method: HSA
Ground Elev.: 235.7 ft.	Soil Drilled: 93.5 ft.		Total Depth: 93.5	Casing Size: 6.25" ID
Logged by: RRR/LEF	Checked by: DSP		Groundwater Below Ground: 14 ft.	
Screen: 10 (ft)	Riser: 80 (ft)	Diam.: 4.0"' (ID)	Material: Sch 40PVC	Protection: Mod.D

DEPTH (FT)	SAMPLE NUMBER	SAMPLE DEPTH	PEN. / REC.	PID (ppm)	SOIL-ROCK DESCRIPTION	BLOWS\6-IN.	COMMENTS
							2/4/93 2/5/93
62							
64							
					No spoon attempted		
66							
68							
70	S-14	69-71	0.9 / 2.0	BKG	SAND, poorly graded, fine, 15% medium, subangular, medium dense, wet, 10 yr 5/3 brown, glacial outwash (SP)	4/10/19/24	
72							
74							
	S-15	74-76		BKG	SAND, similar to above but medium dense to dense (SP)	6/18/31/49	2/5/93 2/8/93
76							
78							
	S-16	78-80	0.8 / 2.0	BKG	SAND, similar to above. At 0.1' and 0.3' there are 0.1' thick bands of SAND, medium to coarse, well graded, 20% fines, 5% silt, subrounded to rounded, medium dense, wet, 10 R 4/6 red (SW-SP)	10/15/29/29	
80							
82							
84	S-17	83-85	1.8 / 2.0	BKG	SAND, poorly graded, fine to medium, subrounded, loose, wet, 7.5 yr 5/4, brown, glacial outwash (SP)	3/2/7/15	
86							
88							
	S-18	88-90	1.4 / 2.0	BKG	SAND, similar to above, medium dense (SP)	23/18/24/29	
80							

****

SOIL BORING LOG					Study Area: Shepley's Hill Landfill		
Client: AEC			Project No. 7005-04		Boring No.: SHM-93-18B		
Contractor: New Hampshire Boring			Date Started: 02/04/93		Completed: 02/08/93	Method: HSA	
Ground Elev.: 235.7 ft.			Soil Drilled: 93.5		Total Depth: 93.5 ft.	Casing Size: 6.25" ID	
Logged by: RRR/LEF			Checked by: DSP		Groundwater Below Ground: 14 ft.		
Screen: 10 (ft)	Riser: 80 (ft)	Diam.: 4.0"' (ID)	Material: Sch 40PVC	Protection: Mod.D	Page 4 of 4		

DEPTH (FT)	SAMPLE NUMBER	SAMPLE DEPTH	PEN. / REC.	PID (ppm)	SOIL-ROCK DESCRIPTION	BLOWS\6-IN.	COMMENTS
92							
94					Refusal at 93.5'-Cuttings indicate bedrock-metamorphosed schistose rock		
96							
98							
100							
102							
104							
106							
108							
110							
112							
114							
116							
118							
120							

****

SOIL BORING LOG				Study Area: Shepley's Hill Landfill
Client: AEC	Project No. 7005-04		Boring No.: SHM-93-22C	
Contractor: New Hampshire Boring	Date Started: 02/11/93		Completed: 02/25/93	Method: Drive/Wash
Ground Elev.: 217.9	Soil Drilled: 115 ft.		Total Depth: 135 ft.	Casing Size: 6"
Logged by: LET	Checked by: RRR		Groundwater Below Ground: 5.9 ft.	
Screen: 10 (ft)	Riser: 127 (ft)	Diam.: 4.0"' (ID)	Material: Sch 40PVC	Protection: Mod.D	Page 1 of 1

DEPTH (FT)	SAMPLE NUMBER	SAMPLE DEPTH	PEN. —— REC.	PID (ppm)	SOIL-ROCK DESCRIPTION	BLOWS\6-IN.	COMMENTS
1					No split-spoons collected (see soil boring log for SHL-22 installed by Ecology and Environment)		
115					Bedrock at 115' bgs, see core logs for rock descriptions		

****

9202156D

ROCK CORING LOG

Project:		Study Area:		Project No.
Fort Devens		SHL		7005-04
Client:	**Driller's Name:**	**Logged by:**	**Checked by:**	**Ground Elev.:**
USATHAMA	G. Twombly	J. Snowden		
Drilling Contractor:	**Protection Level:**	**Rig Type:**	**Start Date:**	**Finish Date:**
New Hampshire Boring	Level D	Moble B	2/19/93	2/25/93
Drilling Method:		**P.I.D. (eV):**	**Casing Size:**	**Auger Size:**
6.0" casing and HX rock core			6.0"	
Bit type/size:	**Bit Use:**	**Core Interval (to/from)(ft):**		
HX		115.0' to 120.5' R-1		

Depth (feet) Below GRD Sort.	Sample No. & Penetration/ Recovery (feet)	Graphic Log	Natural Cove Breaks: Type/Dip	Natural Cove Breaks: Surface Condition	Weathered Condition	Rock Quality: Total 4" Core	Rock Quality: RQD (%)	Rock Quality: Rock Quality Description	Drilling Rate min/ft	Color	Rock Description and Comments on Drilling
115			X	F			JCS 1.9		7 min		X - mechanical / - natural W - weathered
116										Greenish Gray wet	
			/	W					5 min.		Meta pelitic siltstone w/ apparent quartz/quartite intrusions in healed and open fractures. The bedding and majority of fractures are dipping @ ≈ 50°
117									5 min.		
118	5.0/5.0						2.0				
			X	F					3 min.		
119			/	W							
			X	F			0.6		4 min		
120			X	F							
		End of R-1					3.5/5.0				
121											

ABB Environmental Services, Inc.

SHM-93-22C

9202159D /

ROCK CORING LOG

Project:		Study Area:		Project No.
Fort Devens		SHL		7005-04
Client: USATHAMA	**Driller's Name:** G. Twombly	**Logged by:** J. Snowden	**Checked by:**	**Ground Elev.:**
Drilling Contractor: New Hampshire Boring	**Protection Level:** Level D	**Rig Type:** Mobile B-57	**Start Date:** 2/19/93	**Finish Date:** 2/25/93
Drilling Method: 6.0" ID casing and HX rock core		**P.I.D. (eV):**	**Casing Size:** 6.0"	**Auger Size:** —
Bit type/size:	**Bit Use:**	**Core Interval (to/from)(ft):** 120.0' to 125.0' R-2		

Depth (feet) Below GRD Sort.	Sample No. & Penetration/ Recovery (feet)	Graphic Log	Natural Cove Breaks: Type/Dip	Natural Cove Breaks: Surface Condition	Weathered Condition	Rock Quality: Total 4" Core	Rock Quality: RQD (%)	Rock Quality: Rock Quality Description	Drilling Rate min/ft	Color	Rock Description and Comments on Drilling
120									5 min		X - mechanical break / - natural fracture
121									4 min	Grayish Green wet	
122	5.0 / 5.0		X Healed Fract.			4.8'			3 min	Light Gray dry	Similar to R-1
123			X	F					4 min		
124			X	F					5 min		
125		End of R-2	/	W							

ABB Environmental Services, Inc.

SHM-93-22C

9202159D /

ROCK CORING LOG

Project:		Study Area:		Project No.
Fort Devens		SHL		7005-04
Client: USATHAMA	**Driller's Name:** G. Twombly	**Logged by:** J. Snowden	**Checked by:**	**Ground Elev.:**
Drilling Contractor: New Hampshire Boring	**Protection Level:** Level D	**Rig Type:** Mobile B-57	**Start Date:** 2/19/93	**Finish Date:** 2/25/93
Drilling Method: 6.0" casing and HX rock core		**P.I.D. (eV):**	**Casing Size:** 6.0"	**Auger Size:**
Bit type/size:	**Bit Use:**	**Core Interval (to/from)(ft):** 125.0' to 130.0' R-3		

Depth (feet) Below GRD Sort.	Sample No. & Penetration/ Recovery (feet)	Graphic Log	Natural Cove Breaks: Type/Dip	Natural Cove Breaks: Surface Condition	Weathered Condition	Rock Quality: Total 4" Core	Rock Quality: RQD (%)	Rock Quality: Rock Quality Description	Drilling Rate min/ft	Color	Rock Description and Comments on Drilling
125			X quartz seam	F					3 min		X-mechanical break
126									4 min		Similar to R-1
127	5.0/5.0		X	F		5.0	100%	Excellent	4 min		
128			X	F					5 min		
129			X quartz seam	F					3 min		
130		End of R-3		F							

ABB Environmental Services, Inc.

SHM-93-22C

9202159D /

ROCK CORING LOG

Project: Fort Devens		Study Area: SHL		Project No. 7005-04
Client: USATHAMA	Driller's Name: G. Twombly	Logged by: J. Snowden	Checked by:	Ground Elev.:
Drilling Contractor: New Hampshire Boring	Protection Level: Level D	Rig Type: Mobile B-57	Start Date: 2/19/93	Finish Date: 2/25/93
Drilling Method: 6.0" casing and HX rock core		P.I.D. (eV):	Casing Size: 6.0"	Auger Size:
Bit type/size: HX	Bit Use:	Core Interval (to/from)(ft): R-4 130'-135'		

Depth (feet) Below GRD Sort.	Sample No. & Penetration/ Recovery (feet)	Graphic Log	Natural Cove Breaks: Type/Dip	Natural Cove Breaks: Surface Condition	Weathered Condition	Rock Quality: Total 4" Core	Rock Quality: RQD (%)	Rock Quality: Rock Quality Description	Drilling Rate min/ft	Color	Rock Description and Comments on Drilling
130			x						4		x- mechanical break
131	4.9' / 5.0'		x						5	Greenish Gray wet	Similar to R-1
132			x			4.9	100%	Excellent	4		
133			x						4	Lt Gray Dry	
134			x						4		
135		End of R-4	x								Bottom of boring at 135'

ABB Environmental Services, Inc.

SHM-93-22C

SOIL BORING LOG			Study Area: Shepley's Hill Landfill	
Client: AEC	Project No. 7005-04		Boring No.: SHM-93-24A	
Contractor: New Hampshire Boring	Date Started: 01/20/93		Completed: 01/20/93	Method: HSA
Ground Elev.: 235.5	Soil Drilled: 24 ft.		Total Depth: 24 ft.	Casing Size: 6.25 ID
Logged by: RRR	Checked by: DSP		Groundwater Below Ground: 15.5 ft.	
Screen: 10 (ft)	Riser: 16 (ft)	Diam.: 4.0"' (ID)	Material: Sch 40PVC	Protection: Mod.D

DEPTH (FT)	SAMPLE NUMBER	SAMPLE DEPTH (FT)	REC. / PEN.	PID (ppm)	SOIL-ROCK DESCRIPTION	BLOWS\6-IN.	COMMENTS
1	S-1	0'-2'	1.2 / 2.0	BKG	0'-0.5' SAND, well graded, fine to medium , 20% coarse, 3.5 silt, angular, very loose, dry, dark brown, glacial outwash topsoil (SW) 0.5'-1.2' SAND, well graded,medium to coarse, 20% fine sand, subangular, dry, very loose, tannish brown (SW)	2-3-3-2	Start 11:30
5	S-2	5'-7'	1.4 / 2.0	BKG	SAND, well graded, medium to coarse, 20% fine sand, similar to above, coarse fraction increasing with depth to 35-40% (SW)	6-8-9-10	
11	S-3	10-12	1.5 / 2.0	BKG	SAND, poorly graded, medium, 15% sand, 15% coarse, subrounded, dry, loose, (PR), tan, brown (SP)	7-4-6-6	

****

SOIL BORING LOG					Study Area: Shepley's Hill Landfill		
Client: AEC			Project No. 7005-04		Boring No.: SHM-93-24A		
Contractor: New Hampshire Boring			Date Started: 01/20/93		Completed: 01/20/93	Method: HSA	
Ground Elev.: 235.5			Soil Drilled: 24 ft.		Total Depth: 24 ft.	Casing Size: 6.25 ID	
Logged by: RRR			Checked by: DSP		Groundwater Below Ground: 15.5 ft.		
Screen: 10 (ft)	Riser: 16 (ft)	Diam.: 4.0"' (ID)	Material: Sch 40PVC	Protection: Mod.D	Page 2 of 2		

DEPTH (FT)	SAMPLE NUMBER	SAMPLE DEPTH	PEN. / REC.	PID (ppm)	SOIL-ROCK DESCRIPTION	BLOWS\6-IN.	COMMENTS
15							
16	S-4	15-17	1.5 / 2.0	BKG	SAND, similar to above except very loose and wet (SP)	4/4/6/7	Water at 15' TOC analytical collected
17							
18							
19							
20							
21	S-5	20-22	1.8 / 2.0	BKG	SAND, poorly graded, similar to above (SP)	0-2-3-6	
22							
23							
24					BOE = 1440 hours 1/20/93		
25							
26							
27							
28							

SOIL BORING LOG					Study Area: Shepley's Hill Landfill		
Client: AEC			Project No. 7005-04		Boring No.: SHB-93-01X		
Contractor: New Hampshire Boring			Date Started: 01/25/93		Completed: 01/25/93		Method: HSA
Ground Elev.: 235.5 ft.			Soil Drilled: 25 ft.		Total Depth: 25 ft.		Casing Size: 4.25" ID
Logged by: LET			Checked by: RRR		Groundwater Below Ground: 19 ft.		
Screen: N/A (ft)	Riser: N/A (ft)	Diam.: N/A (ID)	Material: N/A	Protection: Mod.D	Page 1 of 1		

DEPTH (FT)	SAMPLE NUMBER	SAMPLE DEPTH	PEN. —— REC.	PID (ppm)	SOIL-ROCK DESCRIPTION	BLOWS\6-IN.	COMMENTS
	0-5	(1)			SAND, medium , dry, fill, 10 yr 5/4 yellowish brown, 15% sand		
10	5-10	(2)			SAND, fine-medium, dry, yellowish brown		
	10-15	(3)		BKG	SAND, fine-medium, dry, yellowish brown		
20	15-20	(4)			Same		Loosened up at 19' (Water??)
	20-25	(5)			Same		Started to scratch at 24' bgs
	25-26	(6)			Same Material		
30					BOB 25' bgs		
40							
50							
60							
70							

****

SOIL BORING LOG				Study Area: Cold Spring Pond	
Client: AEC	Project No. 7005-04			Boring No.: CSM-93-01A	
Contractor: New Hampshire Boring	Date Started: 01/25/93			Completed: 02/03/93	Method: HSA Drive/Wash
Ground Elev.: 254.8	Soil Drilled: 65.5 ft.			Total Depth: 65.5 ft.	Casing Size: Comments
Logged by: LEF	Checked by: RRR			Groundwater Below Ground: 15.5	
Screen: 10 (ft)	Riser: (ft)	Diam.: 4.0"' (ID)	Material: Sch 40PVC	Protection: Mod.D	Page 1 of 3

DEPTH (FT)	SAMPLE NUMBER	SAMPLE DEPTH	PEN. / REC.	PID (ppm)	SOIL-ROCK DESCRIPTION	BLOWS\6-IN.	COMMENTS
2				BKG	GRAVEL, GM, well graded, 35-40% silty fine to coarse sand, sub-angular, loose, moist, dark brown (GM)		HSA to 19'
4							
6							
8							
10							
12							HSA
14							
16		15 - 16.25		BKG	FILL, 70% chunks of cement, 30% clay to coarse sand matrix, leached gray to reddish brown and dark gray mottling, well graded, moist, dense	22/35/50 for 0.25'	
18							
20							19' bgs bottom of fill
24		22-24		BKG	SAND, well graded, 10-15% fine, 10-15% gravel, peat matrix, dark gray, high angularity, moist lacustrine (SW)	2/2/3/4	6" casing to 39' bgs
26		24-26		BKG	SAND, well graded, 15-20% gravel, 30% fine, some cement, dark brown gray fill (SW)		End of day 1/25/93
					(some granite and brick in wash)		
28			0.45				
30		28-30	------ 2.0	BKG	SAND, well graded, fine to coarse sand, 10% gravel, 10% silt and clay, firm, moist, hue 10 yr 4/2 dark grayish brown high angularity (SW)	9/7/7/10	Rod 27.5' bgs Casing 29'bgs End of day 01/26/93
32					(Some wood in wash)		

****

SOIL BORING LOG			Study Area: Cold Spring Pond	
Client: AEC	Project No. 7005-04		Boring No.: CSM-93-01A	
Contractor: New Hampshire Boring	Date Started: 01/25/93		Completed: 02/03/93	Method:HSA Drive/Wash
Ground Elev.: 254.8	Soil Drilled: 65.5 ft.		Total Depth: TE4	Casing Size: Comments
Logged by: LEF	Checked by: RRR		Groundwater Below Ground: 15.5 ft.	

Screen: 10 (ft)	Riser: 56 (ft)	Diam.: 4.0"' (ID)	Material: Sch 40PVC	Protection: Mod.D	Page 2 of 3

DEPTH (FT)	SAMPLE NUMBER	SAMPLE DEPTH	PEN. —— REC.	PID (ppm)	SOIL-ROCK DESCRIPTION	BLOWS\6-IN.	COMMENTS
34							
		34.5 - 36.5	0.6 / 2.0	BKG	PEAT, 100% organics, very small roots, reddish brown, 7.5 yr 3/3, dry, blacker above reddish dry part, dark-dark brown organic muckier in spoon basket (PT)	6/5/5/11	7.5 yr 3/3
36							
		36.5 - 38.5	0.6 / 2.0	BKG	PEAT, same as above (PT)	15/17/21/24	
38							Advance with 5" casing
40							
42							
		42.5 - 44.5	0.7 / 2.0	BKG	SILTY SAND, poor to moderately graded, 15-25% organics in clay-sized particles, no hon-decomposed material, dark gray, stiff, moist (SM)	*/3/2/4	*Weight of hammer drove first 6"
44							
46							
48							Driller sees change in color, end of organics
50							
		49-51	0.3 / 2.0	BKG	Attempted spoon, silty fine sand, gray, moderately graded (SM)	6/5/5/13	
52							
		51-53	1.0 / 0.5	BKG	SAND, well graded, medium, 15% gravel, 10% or less fine, 10% coarse, loose, saturated, gray (SW)	6/7/11/12	Overdrove spoon
54							
56							
58							
		59-61	0.7 / 2.0	BKG	SAND, fine sand, 10-15% fine, firm, moist, 10 yr 3/2, poorly graded, uniform (SP-SM)	15/14/17/29	
60							
62							

SOIL BORING LOG					Study Area: Cold Spring Brook		
Client: AEC			Project No. 7005-04		Boring No.: CSM-93-01A		
Contractor: New Hampshire Boring			Date Started: 01/25/93		Completed: 02/03/93		Method: HSA Drive/Wash
Ground Elev.: 254.8			Soil Drilled: 65.5 ft.		Total Depth: 65.5 ft.		Casing Size: Comments
Logged by: LEF			Checked by: RRR		Groundwater Below Ground:		
Screen: 10 (ft)	Riser: 56 (ft)	Diam.: 4.0"' (ID)	Material: Sch 40PVC	Protection: Mod.D	Page 3 of 3		

DEPTH (FT)	SAMPLE NUMBER	SAMPLE DEPTH	PEN. / REC.	PID (ppm)	SOIL-ROCK DESCRIPTION	BLOWS\6-IN.	COMMENTS
62							
64							
66		65.5 - 67.5	0.5 / 2.0	BKG	SAND, poorly graded, 10% fine, loose, moist, 10 yr 3/2, uniform (SP-SM)	10/9/16/24	Black pepper like particles in sand
68					BOB 67.5' bgs		
70							
72							
74							
76							
78							
80							
82							
84							
86							
88							
90							

****

SOIL BORING LOG						Study Area: Cold Spring Brook		
Client: AEC			Project No. 7005-04			Boring No.: CSM-93-02A		
Contractor: New Hampshire Boring			Date Started: 02/15/93			Completed: 02/23/93		Method: HSA/Drive/Wash
Ground Elev.: 262.6			Soil Drilled: 129.6 ft.			Total Depth: 129.6 ft.		Casing Size: 3.0"
Logged by: John Snowden			Checked by: DSP			Groundwater Below Ground: 23 ft.		
Screen: 10 (ft)		Riser: 20 (ft)	Diam.: 4.0"' (ID)		Material: Sch 40PVC	Protection: Mod.D		Page 1 of 2

DEPTH (FT)	SAMPLE NUMBER	SAMPLE DEPTH	PEN. / REC.	PID (ppm)	SOIL-ROCK DESCRIPTION	BLOWS\6-IN.	COMMENTS
5					0-10 ft-no soil samples collected. Material is fill used to build a pad for the drill rig.		2/15/93 begin drilling at 11:30 using 4.25 ID HSA
10	S-1	10-12	1.2 / 2.0	BKG	SAND, fine-medium sand, 0-5% silt, 1-2% coarse sand, loose, moist to dry, light-brownish gray (10 yr 6/2) (SP)	10/12/12/13	
15	S-2	15-17	1.8 / 2.0	BKG	Similar to S-1 (SP)	6/10/5/9	
20	S-3	20-22	1.6 / 2.0	BKG	Similar to S-1 with some coarse sand seams (SP)	8/10/15/12	
25	S-4	25-27	0.8 / 2.0	BKG	SAND, medium sand, well graded, 10-15% coarse sand, loose, wet, nonplastic, light brownish-gray (10 yr 6/2) (SW)	9/13/15/16	Water encountered at 23'
30	S-5	30-32	1.6 / 2.0	BKG	Similar to S-4 (SW)	8/11/15/16	
35	S-6	35-37	1.2 / 2.0	BKG	Similar to S-4 (SW)	10/12/12/14	
40	S-7	40-42	0.8 / 2.0	BKG	40'-41' Similar to S-4 (SW) 41'-42' SANDY SILT, fine sand silty with 5-15% medium gravel, poorly graded, firm, wet, pale brown (10 yr 6/3) (SP-SM)	9/11/11/13	Approx. 2' of sand has flowed into the augers. 3.0" ID flush joint casing will be used to advance the boring to bedrock.4.25" HSAs removed NHB personnel off-site at 1550.
45	S-8	45-47			Sample from 45'-47' not collected due to flowing sands. Casing advanced to 50'.		
50	S-9	50-52			SAND, coarse to medium sand with 5% silt, poorly graded, loose, wet (SP)		
55	S-10	55-57	0.5 / 0.5	BKG	SAND, medium to coarse sand with 30% fine gravel, well graded, loose, wet, nonplastic (SW)	120 for .5'	
60	S-11	60-62	0.4 / 2.0	BKG	SAND, medium to fine sand, 5-10% coarse sand, well graded, loose, wet, nonplastic (10 yr 6/2) (SW)	9/13/11/15	2/16/93 begin drilling at 0900 with 3.0" casing. The gravel was weathered casing penetration is slow.
65	S-12	65-67			No sample collected due to flowing sands		
70	S-13	70-72			No sample collected due to flowing sands		Change at 60' to fine sand, casing was advanced to 65' but 7' of
75	S-14	75-77			No sample collected due to flowing sands		

SOIL BORING LOG					Study Area: Cold Spring Brook	
Client: AEC		Project No. 7005-04			Boring No.: CSM-93-02A	
Contractor: New Hampshire Boring		Date Started: 02/15/93			Completed: 02/23/93	Method: HSA/Drive/Wash
Ground Elev.: 262.6		Soil Drilled: 129.6 ft.			Total Depth: 129.6 ft.	Casing Size: 3.0"
Logged by: John Snowden		Checked by: DSP			Groundwater Below Ground: 23 ft.	
Screen: 10 (ft)	Riser: 20 (ft)	Diam.: 4.0"' (ID)	Material: Sch 40PVC	Protection: Mod.D	Page 2 of 2	

DEPTH (FT)	SAMPLE NUMBER	SAMPLE DEPTH	PEN. / REC.	PID (ppm)	SOIL-ROCK DESCRIPTION	BLOWS\6-IN.	COMMENTS
80	S-15	80-82	1.1 / 2.0	BKG	Similar to S-11 with fine gravel in top of sample. Gravel does not appear to be related to this sample (SW)	56/42/38/41	fine sand ran up into the casing. Casing was advanced to 70' without sampling 65'-67'. Cuttings from 65' to 70' appear to be similar to S-11.Drilling operations ended at 1500 due to heavy snow (stopped at 75'). 2/17/93 began drilling at 0950 at 75'.
85	S-16	85-87	0.0 / 2.0	BKG	No recovery-material in spoon appears to be wash	30/20/22/28	
90	S-17	90-92	0.4 / 2.0	BKG	Similar to S-11 with coarse gray chips (20%) (SW)	30/22/32/40	
95	S-18	95-97	1.1 / 2.0	BKG	Similar to S-11 (SW)	4/7/6/17	
100	S-19	100 - 102	0.5 / 2.0	BKG	SAND, fine sand with 20% medium sand, well graded, loose, wet, light brownish gray (10 yr 6/2) (SW)	18/16/29/40	
105	S-20	105 - 107	1.1 / 2.0	BKG	SAND, fine to medium with 5% coarse sand, loose, poorly graded, wet, light brownish gray (10 yr 6/2) (SP)	9/9/15/21	
110	S-21	110 - 112	0.7 / 2.0	BKG	GRAVELY SAND, fine rounded gravely coarse sand with 5% medium sand, well graded, medium dense, wet (SW-GW)	20/16/19/21	
115	S-22	115 - 117	0.8 / 2.0	BKG	SAND, medium to coarse sand with 5% fine sand, well graded, loose, wet, nonplastic, light brownish gray (10 hr 6/2) (SW)	25/19/18/25	Drill operations begin at 0930 on 2/18/93. Change at 114' to a medium-coarse sand. 3.0" casing was advanced to 130' and not washed out. Drilling operations ended at 1625 for 2/18/93.
120	S-23	120 - 122	0.0 / 2.0	BKG	No recovery	25/19/22/20	
125	S-24	125 - 127	0.3 / 2.0	BKG	Similar to S-22 with rounded medium gravel (SW)	25/32/28/38	
130	S-25	129	0.3 / 2.0	BKG	GRAVELY SAND, well graded, 10-20% fines, angular chunks of rock, gray, wet (SW-GW)	32/120 for 3 inches	
135					Bedrock appears to have been encountered at 129.6' (120 blows for 0.1')		Drill operations begin at 10:00 on 2/19/93. 3" casing was pulled out of the hole.Will begin advancing 6.25" auger 2/22/93
140							
145							
150							

SOIL BORING LOG				Study Area: Cold Spring Brook	
Client: AEC	Project No. 7005-04			Boring No.: CSM-93-02B	
Contractor: New Hampshire Boring	Date Started: 02/24/93			Completed: 02/25/93	Method: HSA
Ground Elev.: 262.4	Soil Drilled: 68' bgs			Total Depth: 68 ft.	Casing Size: 6.25"
Logged by: M. Daniels	Checked by: RRR			Groundwater Below Ground: 23 ft.	
Screen: 10 (ft)	Riser: 60 (ft)	Diam.: 4.0" (ID)	Material: Sch 40PVC	Protection: Mod.D	Page 1 of 1

DEPTH (FT)	SAMPLE NUMBER	SAMPLE DEPTH	PEN. / REC.	PID (ppm)	SOIL-ROCK DESCRIPTION	BLOWS\6-IN.	COMMENTS
10					No soil samples collected. See CSM 93-02A for soil descriptions, this is a monitoring well installation.		
20							
30							
40							
50							
60							
70					BOB at 68' bgs Screen 67-57 Sand to 50 Bent. Slurry 48-28 Grout 28-surface Note: sand (from formation) appears to have squeezed in at base of slurry (48-50)		
80							
90							
100							
110							
120							
130							
140							
150							

****

SEDIMENT CORE LOG

		Study Area: Plow Shop Pond
		Site ID: SHD-92-01X
Client: AEC	Project No.: 07005-04	Protection: Modified D
Contractor: Rossfelder, Corp.	Date Started: 12/3/92 Completed: 12/3/92	PI Meter: Photovac TIP
Method: Vibracore	Core Tube Diameter: 4.0"	Total Depth: 8.5 ft.
Logged by: LEF	Checked by: RRR	Page 1 of 1

DEPTH (FT)	SAMPLE DEPTH (FT)	REC. / PEN.	PID (PPM)	SEDIMENT DESCRIPTION	SOIL CLASS
	0.0-0.7		BKG	HIGHLY ORGANIC SEDIMENT, 100% organics, 90% decomposed, black, loose, saturated, 3" diameter chunk of root, lacustrine	PT
1					
2	1.8-2.2		BKG	HIGHLY ORGANIC SEDIMENT, same as above	PT
		4.6 / 8.5			
	2.7-3.2		BKG	4" 50% sediment (organic), 50% fine sand, sharp contact	PT
3				SAND, fine to coarse, grained, well graded, loose, saturated, 7.5 yr 4/2	SW
4					
	4.0-4.6		BKG	SAND, similar to above	
5					
				BOE = 8.5 (Refusal)*	
				*Tube 9.5'	

SEDIMENT CORE LOG

		Study Area: Plow Shop Pond
		Site ID: SHD-92-02X
Client: AEC	Project No.: 07005-04	Protection: Modified D
Contractor: Rossfelder, Corp.	Date Started: 12/1/92 Completed: 12/1/92	PI Meter: Photovac TIP
Method: Vibracore	Core Tube Diameter: 4.0"	Total Depth: 4.5 ft.
Logged by: LEF	Checked by: RRR	Page 1 of 1

DEPTH (FT)	SAMPLE DEPTH (FT)	REC. / PEN.	PID (PPM)	SEDIMENT DESCRIPTION	SOIL CLASS
	0.0-0.5		BKG	HIGHLY ORGANIC SOILS, 100% organics, including whole leaves and roots, soft, very saturated (muck), dark brown, lacustrine	PT
1					
2	2.2-2.7		BKG	HIGHLY ORGANIC SOILS, 90% organics, mostly decomposed to extremely fine-grained material with some wood and roots, soft, moist, dark brown, somewhat reddish, lacustrine	PT
3		4.5 / 5.0			
4	4.0-4.5		BKG	HIGHLY ORGANIC SOILS, similar to above, however, contains 0-2% fine-grained sand and stiffer	PT
5				BOE = 5'	

SEDIMENT CORE LOG

		Study Area: Plow Shop Pond
		Site ID: SHD-92-03X
Client: AEC	Project No.: 07005-04	Protection: Modified D
Contractor: Rossfelder, Corp.	Date Started: 12/4/92 Completed: 12/4/92	PI Meter: TE 3 PID
Method: Vibracore	Core Tube Diameter: 4.0"	Total Depth: 2.5 ft.
Logged by: LEF	Checked by: RRR	Page 1 of 1

DEPTH (FT)	SAMPLE DEPTH (FT)	REC. / PEN.	PID (PPM)	SEDIMENT DESCRIPTION	SOIL CLASS
	0.0-0.5		BKG	HIGHLY ORGANIC SEDIMENTS, 100% organics, 40% non-decomposed roots, twigs, and grass, loose, saturated, black, lacustrine	PT
1					
2	1.9-2.5		BKG	2.3'-2.5' SAND, fine to coarse, gray, well graded	SW
				Recovery = 2.5'	
3					
4					
5				BOE = 5'	

****

SEDIMENT CORE LOG

		Study Area: Plow Shop Pond
		Site ID: SHD-92-04X
Client: AEC	Project No.: 07005-04	Protection: Modified D
Contractor: Rossfelder, Corp.	Date Started: 12/3/92 Completed: 12/3/92	PI Meter: Photovac TIP
Method: Vibracore	Core Tube Diameter: 4.0"	Total Depth: 2.5-3 to Refusal/1.5 in core
Logged by: LEF	Checked by: RRR	Page 1 of 1

DEPTH (FT)	SAMPLE DEPTH (FT)	REC. / PEN.	PID (PPM)	SEDIMENT DESCRIPTION	SOIL CLASS
	0.0-0.5				
1	0.5-1.5		BKG	HIGHLY ORGANIC SEDIMENT, 100% organic matter, black, completely saturated, odorous, loose, lacustrine	PT
		1.5 / 2.5		Recovery = 1.5'	
2					
				Refusal = 2.5'	
3					
4					
5				NOTE: Not enough sample left after analysis jars filled for jar head-space.	

SEDIMENT CORE LOG

		Study Area: Plow Shop Pond
		Site ID: SHD-92-05X
Client: AEC	Project No.: 07005-04	Protection: Modified D
Contractor: Rossfelder, Corp.	Date Started: 12/1/92 Completed: 12/1/92	PI Meter: Photovac TIP
Method: Vibracore	Core Tube Diameter: 4.0"	Total Depth: 5 ft.
Logged by: LEF	Checked by: RRR	Page 1 of 1

DEPTH (FT)	SAMPLE DEPTH (FT)	REC. / PEN.	PID (PPM)	SEDIMENT DESCRIPTION	SOIL CLASS
	0.0-0.5		BKG	HIGHLY ORGANIC SOILS, 100% organics including grass and roots, no leaves, very saturated (muck), dark brown, soft, lacustrine	PT
1					
2	2.0-2.5		BKG	HIGHLY ORGANIC SOILS, 100% organics, 50% roots and grass, firm, saturated, dark brown, lacustrine	PT
3		4.0 / 5.0			
	3.5-4.0		BKG	HIGHLY ORGANIC SOILS, 100% organics, 5-10% roots and grass, loose, saturated, black, lacustrine	PT
4				Recovery = 4'	
5				BOE = 5'	

****

SEDIMENT CORE LOG

		Study Area: Plow Shop Pond
		Site ID: SHD-92-06X
Client: AEC	Project No.: 07005-04	Protection: Modified D
Contractor: Rossfelder, Corp.	Date Started: 12/1/92 Completed: 12/1/92	PI Meter: Photovac TIP
Method: Vibracore	Core Tube Diameter: 4.0"	Total Depth: 5 ft.
Logged by: LEF	Checked by: RRR	Page 1 of 1

DEPTH (FT)	SAMPLE DEPTH (FT)	REC. / PEN.	PID (PPM)	SEDIMENT DESCRIPTION	SOIL CLASS
	0.0-0.5		BKG	HIGHLY ORGANIC SOILS, 100% organics, roots and grass, very saturated, (muck), dark brown, soft, lacustrine	PT
1					
2					
	2.5-3.0		BKG	HIGHLY ORGANIC SOILS, same as above except stiffer, 100% organic roots, still intact	PT
3		5.0 / 5.0			
4					
	4.5-5.0		BKG	HIGHLY ORGANIC SOILS, same as above	PT
5				BOE = 5'	

ABB Environmental Services, Inc.

****

SEDIMENT CORE LOG

		Study Area: Plow Shop Pond
		Site ID: SHD-92-07X
Client: AEC	Project No.: 07005-04	Protection: Modified D
Contractor: Rossfelder, Corp.	Date Started: 12/1/92 Completed: 12/1/92	PI Meter: Photovac TIP
Method: Vibracore	Core Tube Diameter: 4.0"	Total Depth: 3 ft.
Logged by: LEF	Checked by: RRR	Page 1 of 1

DEPTH (FT)	SAMPLE DEPTH (FT)	REC. / PEN.	PID (PPM)	SEDIMENT DESCRIPTION	SOIL CLASS
	0.0-0.5		BKG	HIGHLY ORGANIC MATTER, 100% organics, 80% roots and twigs and grass, very tight, saturated, almost black, soft, lacustrine	PT
1					
2					
	2.5-3.0		BKG	HIGHLY ORGANIC MATTER, 100% organics, 15% roots and grass, all other is decomposed, loose, saturated (muck), soft, lacustrine	PT
3		3.0 / 5.0		Recovery = 3'	
4					
5				BOE = 5'	

ABB Environmental Services, Inc.

****

SEDIMENT CORE LOG

		Study Area: Plow Shop Pond
		Site ID: SHD-92-08X
Client: AEC	Project No.: 07005-04	Protection: Modified D
Contractor: Rossfelder, Corp.	Date Started: 12/4/92 Completed: 12/4/92	PI Meter: TE 3 PID
Method: Vibracore	Core Tube Diameter: 4.0"	Total Depth: 7 ft.
Logged by: LEF	Checked by: RRR	Page 1 of 1

DEPTH (FT)	SAMPLE DEPTH (FT)	REC. / PEN.	PID (PPM)	SEDIMENT DESCRIPTION	SOIL CLASS
1	0.0-1.0		BKG	HIGHLY ORGANIC SEDIMENT, 100% organics, 80% not decomposed, mostly rotting grass, black, greenish parts, soft, lacustrine	PT
2					
3					
4	3.5-4.0		BKG	HIGHLY ORGANIC SEDIMENT, 100% organics, 50-70% decomposed, grass and roots, well preserved, soft, black, lacustrine	PT
5		7.0 / 9.5			
6					
7	6.5-7.0		BKG	HIGHLY ORGANIC SEDIMENT, same as above	PT
8				Recovery = 7'	
9					
10				BOE = 9.5'	
11					

SEDIMENT CORE LOG

		Study Area: Plow Shop Pond
		Site ID: SHD-92-09X
Client: AEC	Project No.: 07005-04	Protection: Modified D
Contractor: Rossfelder, Corp.	Date Started: 12/3/92 Completed: 12/3/92	PI Meter: Photovac T/P
Method: Vibracore	Core Tube Diameter: 4.0"	Total Depth: 5' recovered
Logged by: LEF	Checked by: RRR	Page 1 of 1

DEPTH (FT)	SAMPLE DEPTH (FT)	REC. / PEN.	PID (PPM)	SEDIMENT DESCRIPTION	SOIL CLASS
0	0.0-0.5		BKG	HIGHLY ORGANIC SEDIMENT, 100% organics, 30% non-decomposed, dark-dark brown, soft (at 00), little firmer below well-preserved roots and some grass, saturated, lacustrine	PT
1					
2					
	2.5-3.0		BKG	HIGHLY ORGANIC SEDIMENT, same as above	PT
3					
4					
	4.5-5.0		BKG	HIGHLY ORGANIC SEDIMENT, same as above	PT
5				Recovery = 5'	
6		5.0 / 8.5			
7					
8					
9				BOE = 8.5' Refusal = 9'	
10					
11					

ABB Environmental Services, Inc.

****

SEDIMENT CORE LOG

		Study Area: Plow Shop Pond
		Site ID: SHD-92-10X
Client: AEC	Project No.: 07005-04	Protection: Modified D
Contractor: Rossfelder, Corp.	Date Started: 12/4/92 Completed: 12/4/92	PI Meter: TE 3 PID
Method: Vibracore	Core Tube Diameter: 4.0"	Total Depth: 4.5 ft.
Logged by: LEF	Checked by: RRR	Page 1 of 1

DEPTH (FT)	SAMPLE DEPTH (FT)	REC. / PEN.	PID (PPM)	SEDIMENT DESCRIPTION	SOIL CLASS
	0.0-0.5		BKG	HIGHLY ORGANIC SEDIMENT, 100% organics, mostly non-decomposed grass, very stringy, dark brown, loose, lacustrine	PT
1					
2		4.5 / 5.0			
	2.5-3.0		BKG	HIGHLY ORGANIC SEDIMENTS, 100% organics, 50% decomposed, roots, grass, loose, dark brown to black, lacustrine	PT
3					
4					
	4.0-4.5		BKG	HIGHLY ORGANIC SEDIMENTS, same as above	
				Recovery = 4.5'	PT
5				BOE = 5'	

****

SEDIMENT CORE LOG

		Study Area: Plow Shop Pond
		Site ID: SHD-92-11X
Client: AEC	Project No.: 07005-04	Protection: Modified D
Contractor: Rossfelder, Corp.	Date Started: 12/2/92 Completed: 12/2/92	PI Meter: TE 3 PID
Method: Vibracore	Core Tube Diameter: 4.0"	Total Depth: 5 ft.
Logged by: LEF	Checked by: RRR	Page 1 of 1

DEPTH (FT)	SAMPLE DEPTH (FT)	REC. / PEN.	PID (PPM)	SEDIMENT DESCRIPTION	SOIL CLASS
	0.0-0.5	1.0 / 5.0	BKG	MOSTLY ORGANIC SEDIMENT, 100% organics, tight layer of biomass, 80% roots and grass, muck above and muck below with methane (couldn't sample below biomass), stiff to extremely loose (liquid), munsell 7.5 yr value 3/ chroma/2, lacustrine	PT
1				Recovery = 1'	
2				NOTE: When the sample was opened and homogenized for a composite sample, a bit of sheen was observed in the liquid muck	
3				COMMENT: This location was attempted several times. The composite sample was taken because recovery was poor. Tight biomass cannot be penetrated and the stuff below is not stiff enough to push the tight stuff through. Methane gas below.	
4					
5				BOE = 5'	

SEDIMENT CORE LOG

		Study Area: Plow Shop Pond
		Site ID: SHD-92-12X
Client: AEC	Project No.: 07005-04	Protection: Modified D
Contractor: Rossfelder, Corp.	Date Started: 12/2/92 Completed: 12/2/92	PI Meter: TE 3 PID
Method: Vibracore	Core Tube Diameter: 4.0"	Total Depth: 4.8 ft.
Logged by: LEF	Checked by: RRR	Page 1 of 1

DEPTH (FT)	SAMPLE DEPTH (FT)	REC. / PEN.	PID (PPM)	SEDIMENT DESCRIPTION	SOIL CLASS
	0.0-0.5		BKG	HIGHLY ORGANIC SEDIMENT, 100% organics, 70% roots, grass, twigs, 30% decomposed organics, loose, saturated, lacustrine, color 5 yr - 2.5/value-1/chroma	PT
1					
2		4.8 / 5.0			
	2.5-3.0		BKG	HIGHLY ORGANIC SEDIMENT, same as above	
3					PT
4	3.7-4.8		BKG	SAND, fine to medium sand, well graded, firm, gray and dark brown, splotched, mottled, 25-30% medium sand, 15-20% silt	SW
				Recovery = 4.8'	
5				BOE = 5'	

SEDIMENT CORE LOG

		Study Area: Plow Shop Pond
		Site ID: SHD-92-13X
Client: AEC	Project No.: 07005-04	Protection: Modified D
Contractor: Rossfelder, Corp.	Date Started: 12/2/92 Completed: 12/2/92	PI Meter: TE 3 PID
Method: Vibracore	Core Tube Diameter: 4.0"	Total Depth: 5 ft.
Logged by: LEF	Checked by: RRR	Page 1 of 1

DEPTH (FT)	SAMPLE DEPTH (FT)	REC. / PEN.	PID (PPM)	SEDIMENT DESCRIPTION	SOIL CLASS
	0.0-0.5	5.0 / 5.0	BKG	HIGHLY ORGANIC SEDIMENTS, 100% organics, 30-50% roots and grass, loose (muck), saturated, lacustrine, some twigs and wood chunks, 2.5 yr 2.5/1	PT
1					
2					
	2.5-3.0		BKG	HIGHLY ORGANIC SEDIMENTS, same as above	PT
3					
4					
	4.5-5.0		BKG	HIGHLY ORGANIC SEDIMENTS, same as above except slightly less organic matter that is identifyable	PT
5				BOE = 5'	

SEDIMENT CORE LOG

		Study Area: Plow Shop Pond
		Site ID: SHD-92-14X
Client: AEC	Project No.: 07005-04	Protection: Modified D
Contractor: Rossfelder, Corp.	Date Started: 12/3/92 Completed: 12/3/92	PI Meter: TIP
Method: Vibracore	Core Tube Diameter: 4.0"	Total Depth: 5 ft.
Logged by: LEF	Checked by: RRR	Page 1 of 1

DEPTH (FT)	SAMPLE DEPTH (FT)	REC. / PEN.	PID (PPM)	SEDIMENT DESCRIPTION	SOIL CLASS
	0.0-0.5		BKG	HIGHLY ORGANIC SEDIMENT, 100% organics, mostly decomposed, some roots and twigs, very soft and wet (muck), 0-1.5' black, lacustrine	PT
1					
2		5.0 / 5.0			
	2.5-3.0		BKG	HIGHLY ORGANIC SEDIMENT, 100% organics, 50% well-preserved roots and grass, (marsh-peat bog environment), firm, 5 yr 3/2, lacustrine	PT
3					
4					
	4.5-5.0		BKG	HIGHLY ORGANIC SEDIMENT, same as above	PT
5				BOE = 5'	

SEDIMENT CORE LOG

		Study Area: Plow Shop Pond
		Site ID: SHD-92-15X
Client: AEC	Project No.: 07005-04	Protection: Modified D
Contractor: Rossfelder, Corp.	Date Started: 12/3/92 Completed: 12/3/92	PI Meter: TIP
Method: Vibracore	Core Tube Diameter: 4.0"	Total Depth: 5 ft.
Logged by: LEF	Checked by: RRR	Page 1 of 1

DEPTH (FT)	SAMPLE DEPTH (FT)	REC. / PEN.	PID (PPM)	SEDIMENT DESCRIPTION	SOIL CLASS
	0.0-0.7		BKG	HIGHLY ORGANIC SEDIMENT, 100% organic, mostly decomposed, some twigs and roots saturated, loose (muck), black, lacustrine	PT
1					
2					
	2.5-3.0	4.7 / 5.0	BKG	HIGHLY ORGANIC SEDIMENT, 100% organic, 50% roots and grass, not decomposed, firm, saturated, lacustrine, marsh environment, 2.5 yr 2.5/2	PT
3					
4					
	4.3-4.7		BKG	HIGHLY ORGANIC SEDIMENT, same as above	PT
				Recovery = 4.7'	
5				BOE = 5'	

****

SEDIMENT CORE LOG

		Study Area: Plow Shop Pond
		Site ID: SHD-92-16X
Client: AEC	Project No.: 07005-04	Protection: Modified D
Contractor: Rossfelder, Corp.	Date Started: 12/3/92 Completed: 12/3/92	PI Meter: TIP
Method: Vibracore	Core Tube Diameter: 4.0"	Total Depth: 5'
Logged by: LEF	Checked by: RRR	Page 1 of 1

DEPTH (FT)	SAMPLE DEPTH (FT)	REC. / PEN.	PID (PPM)	SEDIMENT DESCRIPTION	SOIL CLASS
	0.0-0.7		BKG	HIGHLY ORGANIC SEDIMENT, 100% organics, mostly decomposed, loose, saturated, dark brown, lacustrine	PT
1					
2		5.0 / 5.0			
	2.5-3.0		BKG	HIGHLY ORGANIC SEDIMENT, 100% organics, 50% decomposed, 2.5 yr 2.5/2, loose, saturated, lacustrine, biomass well-preserved (or swamp)	PT
3					
4					
	4.5-5.0		BKG	HIGHLY ORGANIC SEDIMENT, same as above	PT
5				BOE = 5'	

SEDIMENT CORE LOG

		Study Area: Plow Shop Pond
		Site ID: SHD-92-17X
Client: AEC	Project No.: 07005-04	Protection: Modified D
Contractor: Rossfelder, Corp.	Date Started: 12/3/92 Completed: 12/3/92	PI Meter: TIP
Method: Vibracore	Core Tube Diameter: 4.0"	Total Depth: 5 ft.
Logged by: LEF	Checked by: RRR	Page 1 of 1

DEPTH (FT)	SAMPLE DEPTH (FT)	REC. / PEN.	PID (PPM)	SEDIMENT DESCRIPTION	SOIL CLASS
	0.0-0.6		BKG	HIGHLY ORGANIC SEDIMENT, 100% organic material, 70% decomposed, loose, completely saturated (muck) lacustrine, dark brown	PT
1					
2		5.0 / 5.0			
	2.5-3.0		BKG	HIGHLY ORGANIC SEDIMENT, 100% organic material, 50% roots and grass, 50% decomposed, dark reddish brown, loose, saturated, lacustrine	PT
3					
4					
	4.5-5.0		BKG	HIGHLY ORGANIC SEDIMENT, same as above	PT
5				BOE = 5'	

SEDIMENT CORE LOG

		Study Area: Plow Shop Pond
		Site ID: SHD-92-18X
Client: AEC	Project No.: 07005-04	Protection: Modified D
Contractor: Rossfelder, Corp.	Date Started: 12/3/92 Completed: 12/3/92	PI Meter: TIP
Method: Vibracore	Core Tube Diameter: 4.0"	Total Depth: 5 ft.
Logged by: LEF	Checked by: RRR	Page 1 of 1

DEPTH (FT)	SAMPLE DEPTH (FT)	REC. / PEN.	PID (PPM)	SEDIMENT DESCRIPTION	SOIL CLASS
	0.0-0.8		BKG	HIGHLY ORGANIC SEDIMENT, 100% organics, mostly (80%) decomposed, 20% grass roots, twigs, loose, saturated, muck, 2.5 yr 25/0, lacustrine	PT
1					
2					
	2.5-3.1		BKG	HIGHLY ORGANIC SEDIMENT, 100% organics, 40% biomass not decomposed including long pieces of grass and roots, firm-loose, saturated, 2.5 yr 25/0 lacustrine, texture is constant, however, the coloring shows layers of dark-dark brown and dark gray brown (2.5'-3.5')	PT
3					
4					
				HIGHLY ORGANIC SEDIMENTS, same as above	PT
	4.3-5.0		BKG	SAND 60%, fine to medium, gray ORGANICS 40%, same as above	PT/SW
5				BOE = 5'	

****

SEDIMENT CORE LOG

		Study Area: Plow Shop Pond
		Site ID: SHD-92-19X
Client: AEC	Project No.: 07005-04	Protection: Modified D
Contractor: Rossfelder, Corp.	Date Started: 12/2/92 Completed: 12/2/92	PI Meter: TE 3 PID
Method: Vibracore	Core Tube Diameter: 4.0"	Total Depth: 2 ft. (core 5')
Logged by: LEF	Checked by: RRR	Page 1 of 1

DEPTH (FT)	SAMPLE DEPTH (FT)	REC. / PEN.	PID (PPM)	SEDIMENT DESCRIPTION	SOIL CLASS
	0.0-0.5		BKG	HIGHLY ORGANIC SEDIMENT, 80% organics, loose, completely saturated, well graded, dark brown, lacustrine	PT
1		2.0 / 5.0			
	1.5-2.0		BKG	FILL, well graded, gravel, fish-line, glass, all colors	GW
2				Recovery = 2'	
3					
4					
5				BOE = 5'	
				NOTE: Two unsuccessful attempts due to refusal. The sediment in the drive shoe has extremely well-graded gravel with glass in it.	

SEDIMENT CORE LOG

		Study Area: Plow Shop Pond
		Site ID: SHD-92-20X
Client: AEC	Project No.: 07005-04	Protection: Modified D
Contractor: Rossfelder, Corp.	Date Started: 12/2/92 Completed: 12/2/92	PI Meter: TE 3 PID
Method: Vibracore	Core Tube Diameter: 4.0"	Total Depth: 5 ft.
Logged by: LEF	Checked by: RRR	Page 1 of 1

DEPTH (FT)	SAMPLE DEPTH (FT)	REC. / PEN.	PID (PPM)	SEDIMENT DESCRIPTION	SOIL CLASS
	0.0-0.8		BKG	HIGHLY ORGANIC SEDIMENTS, 100% organics, 15% roots and twigs, mostly decomposed, organic muck, color 5 yr 2.5/1, saturated, lacustrine	PT
1					
2		5.0 / 5.0			
	2.3-3.1		BKG	HIGHLY ORGANIC SEDIMENTS, same as above except more decomposed	
3					
				Interbedded layers of well graded sand	
4					
	4.2-5.0		BKG	HIGHLY ORGANIC SEDIMENTS, 20% sand in layers, fine to medium, well graded, 80% organics including roots, twigs, peat-like deposits, black, swampy origin	SW-PT
5				BOE = 5'	

SEDIMENT CORE LOG

		Study Area: Plow Shop Pond
		Site ID: SHD-92-21X
Client: AEC	Project No.: 07005-04	Protection: Modified D
Contractor: Rossfelder, Corp.	Date Started: 12/4/92 Completed: 12/4/92	PI Meter: TE 3 PID
Method: Vibracore	Core Tube Diameter: 4.0"	Total Depth: 7 ft.
Logged by: LEF	Checked by: RRR	Page 1 of 1

DEPTH (FT)	SAMPLE DEPTH (FT)	REC. / PEN.	PID (PPM)	SEDIMENT DESCRIPTION	SOIL CLASS
1	0.0-1.0		BKG	HIGHLY ORGANIC SEDIMENT, 100% organics, 20% fresh (recently alive) biomass, 50% decomposed, loose, black, saturated, lacustrine	PT
2					
3					
4	3.5-4.0		BKG	HIGHLY ORGANIC SEDIMENT, 100% organics, roots, grass, leaves, well preserved wood chunks, dark brown, loose, saturated, lacustrine	PT
5		7.0 / 9.5			
6					
7	6.0-7.0		BKG	HIGHLY ORGANIC SEDIMENT, same as above	PT
				Recovery = 7'	
8					
9					
10				BOE = 9.5'	
11					

ABB Environmental Services, Inc.

****

SEDIMENT CORE LOG

		Study Area: Plow Shop Pond
		Site ID: SHD-92-22X
Client: AEC	Project No.: 07005-04	Protection: Modified D
Contractor: Rossfelder, Corp.	Date Started: 12/2/92 Completed: 12/2/92	PI Meter: TE 3 PID
Method: Vibracore	Core Tube Diameter: 4.0"	Total Depth: 2.8 ft.
Logged by: LEF	Checked by: RRR	Page 1 of 1

DEPTH (FT)	SAMPLE DEPTH (FT)	REC. / PEN.	PID (PPM)	SEDIMENT DESCRIPTION	SOIL CLASS
	0.0-0.8	2.8 / 5.0	BKG	HIGHLY ORGANIC SEDIMENT, 100% organic material including sticks and roots, loose, saturated, dark brown, lacustrine	PT
1					
2	2.1-2.8		BKG	HIGHLY ORGANIC SEDIMENT, same as above except with a 10% fine to medium sand component	PT
				Recovery = 2.8'	
3					
4					
5				BOE = 5'	

****

SEDIMENT CORE LOG

		Study Area: Plow Shop Pond
		Site ID: SHD-92-23X
Client: AEC	Project No.: 07005-04	Protection: Modified D
Contractor: Rossfelder, Corp.	Date Started: 12/2/92 Completed: 12/2/92	PI Meter: TE 3 PID
Method: Vibracore	Core Tube Diameter: 4.0"	Total Depth: 5 ft.
Logged by: LEF	Checked by: RRR	Page 1 of 1

DEPTH (FT)	SAMPLE DEPTH (FT)	REC. / PEN.	PID (PPM)	SEDIMENT DESCRIPTION	SOIL CLASS
	0.0-0.5		BKG	HIGHLY ORGANIC SEDIMENT, 100% organics including roots, grass, twigs, and wood, loose, saturated, dark brown, lacustrine	PT
1					
2					
	2.5-3.0		BKG	HIGHLY ORGANIC SEDIMENT, 100% organics, mostly non-decayed, firm, saturated, dark brown, lacustrine	PT
3		5.0 / 5.0			
4					
	4.5-5.0		BKG	HIGHLY ORGANIC SEDIMENT, same as above, shows little increase in decay with depth	PT
5				BOE = 5'	

SEDIMENT CORE LOG

		Study Area: Plow Shop Pond
		Site ID: SHD-92-24X
Client: AEC	Project No.: 07005-04	Protection: Modified D
Contractor: Rossfelder, Corp.	Date Started: 12/2/92 Completed: 12/2/92	PI Meter: TE 3 PID
Method: Vibracore	Core Tube Diameter: 4.0"	Total Depth: 5 ft.
Logged by: LEF	Checked by: RRR	Page 1 of 1

DEPTH (FT)	SAMPLE DEPTH (FT)	REC. / PEN.	PID (PPM)	SEDIMENT DESCRIPTION	SOIL CLASS
	0.0-0.5		BKG	HIGHLY ORGANIC SEDIMENT, 100% organic material including twigs and roots, loose, completely saturated, dark brown, lacustrine	PT
1					
2					
3	2.7-3.2		BKG	HIGHLY ORGANIC SEDIMENT, 90% organic matter, biomass still non-decayed 30%, 10% or less fine sand and silt, loose, grading to firm, saturated, dark brown, lacustrine	PT
		5.0 / 5.0			
4					
	4.5-5.0		BKG	HIGHLY ORGANIC SEDIMENT, 85% organics, 15% fine sand, some medium sand, otherwise same as above	PT
5				BOE = 5'	

SEDIMENT CORE LOG

		Study Area: Plow Shop Pond
		Site ID: SHD-92-25X
Client: AEC	Project No.: 07005-04	Protection: Modified D
Contractor: Rossfelder, Corp.	Date Started: 12/3/92 Completed: 12/3/92	PI Meter: Photovac TIP
Method: Vibracore	Core Tube Diameter: 4.0"	Total Depth: 5 ft.
Logged by: LEF	Checked by: RRR	Page 1 of 1

DEPTH (FT)	SAMPLE DEPTH (FT)	REC. / PEN.	PID (PPM)	SEDIMENT DESCRIPTION	SOIL CLASS
	0.0-0.8		BKG	HIGHLY ORGANIC SEDIMENTS, 100% organics, roots, twigs, stiff, saturated, 10 yr 2/1, lacustrine	PT
1					
2					
		5.0 / 5.0		2.5' SAND, medium to fine, 1" thick	
3	2.5-3.5		BKG	HIGHLY ORGANIC SEDIMENTS, 90% organics, 10% sand, stiff, roots and twigs not decomposed entirely, saturated, 10 yr 2/1, lacustrine	PT
4					
	4.5-5.0		BKG	HIGHLY ORGANIC SEDIMENTS, 100% organics, well-preserved, stiff, dryer than above, moist, 10 yr 2/1, peat-bog origin	PT
5				BOE = 5'	
				COMMENTS: Two times they cored and thought tube was empty, tapped-out sediments with vibrating head and re-cored. The tube sampled was the third try.	

SEDIMENT CORE LOG

		Study Area: Cold Spring Brook Pond
		Site ID: CSD-92-01X
Client: AEC	Project No.: 07005-04	Protection: Modified D
Contractor: Rossfelder, Corp.	Date Started: 12/5/92 Completed: 12/5/92	PI Meter: TE(3)PID
Method: Vibracore	Core Tube Diameter: 4.0"	Total Depth: 4 ft.
Logged by: LEF	Checked by: RRR	Page 1 of 1

DEPTH (FT)	SAMPLE DEPTH (FT)	REC. / PEN.	PID (PPM)	SEDIMENT DESCRIPTION	SOIL CLASS
	0.0-0.5		BKG	0'-1' highly organic sediments, black, silty, roots and leaves	PT
1				1'-3' silty sand, fine to medium, 10% silt, moderately rounded, wet, 7.5 yr, 5% gravel, 0.1' thick, silt layer at 1.5'	SW
	1.7-2-2		BKG		
2		3.0 / 4.0			
	2.5-3.0		BKG		
3				Recovery = 3'	
4				BOE 4 ft.	
5					

SEDIMENT CORE LOG

		Study Area: Cold Spring Brook Pond
		Site ID: CSD-92-02X
Client: AEC	Project No.: 07005-04	Protection: Modified D
Contractor: Rossfelder, Corp.	Date Started: 12/5/92 Completed: 12/5/92	PI Meter: TE 3 PID
Method: Vibracore	Core Tube Diameter: 4.0"	Total Depth: 4' recovery, 5' boring length
Logged by: LEF	Checked by: RRR	Page 1 of 1

DEPTH (FT)	SAMPLE DEPTH (FT)	REC. / PEN.	PID (PPM)	SEDIMENT DESCRIPTION	SOIL CLASS
	0.0-0.5		BKG	0'-0.8' HIGHLY ORGANIC SEDIMENT, 100% organics including leaves and twigs, black, saturated, muck, lacustrine	PT
1				1.2' SANDY SILT right below organics, light brown, firm, saturated	SM
				1.2'-4.0' SAND, fine to medium sand, 10% silt, subangular, glacial outwash, saturated, firm	SP
2	1.7-2.2		BKG		
3		4.0 / 5.0			
	3.5-4.0		BKG		
4				Recovery = 4'	
5				BOE = 5'	

SEDIMENT CORE LOG

		Study Area: Cold Spring Brook Pond
		Site ID: CSD-92-03X
Client: AEC	Project No.: 07005-04	Protection: Modified D
Contractor: Rossfelder, Corp.	Date Started: 12/5/92 Completed: 12/5/92	PI Meter: TE 3 PID
Method: Vibracore	Core Tube Diameter: 4.0"	Total Depth: 5
Logged by: LEF	Checked by: RRR	Page 1 of 1

DEPTH (FT)	SAMPLE DEPTH (FT)	REC. / PEN.	PID (PPM)	SEDIMENT DESCRIPTION	SOIL CLASS
	0.0-0.5		BKG	0.0'-0.5' HIGHLY ORGANIC SEDIMENT, 100% organics, black, loose, saturated, lacustrine	PT
1					
2				0.5-3.0 SAND, fine to medium sand, 10% silt, graded bedding, liesgang banding at 2.8-3.0 feet, some gravel at 3.0, glacial outwash, saturated, firm, 2.5 yr 6/2, poorly sorted	SP-SM
	2.5-3.0		BKG		
		5.0 / 5.0			
3				3'-5' SANDY SILT, 25% fine sand, plastic, stiff, saturated, lacustrine	SM
4					
	4.5-5.0		BKG		
5				BOE = 5'	

SEDIMENT CORE LOG

		Study Area: Cold Spring Brook Pond
		Site ID: CSD-93-04X
Client: AEC	Project No.: 07005-04	Protection: Modified D
Contractor: Rossfelder, Corp.	Date Started: 12/5/92 Completed: 12/5/92	PI Meter: TE 3 PID
Method: Vibracore	Core Tube Diameter: 4.0"	Total Depth: 4.5'
Logged by: LEF	Checked by: RRR	Page 1 of 1

DEPTH (FT)	SAMPLE DEPTH (FT)	REC. / PEN.	PID (PPM)	SEDIMENT DESCRIPTION	SOIL CLASS
	0.0-0.5		BKG	ORGANICS and SAND, 30% black soft organics, 70% poorly sorted sand, fine to medium, glacial outwash, 2.5 yr 6/2, getting firmer with depth	SP-SM (some PT)
1					
2		4.5			
	2.5-3.0	5.0	BKG	SAND, same as above, liesgang banding at 2.5', 0.2' thick layer with some gravel at top of silt	SP-SM
3				SILT, sandy silt, 25% fine sand, plastic, 2.5 yr 6/2 lacustrine, stiff, saturated	SM
4	4.0-4.5		BKG		
				Recovery = 4.5'	
5				BOE = 5'	

SEDIMENT CORE LOG

		Study Area: Cold Spring Brook Pond
		Site ID: CSD-92-05X
Client: AEC	Project No.: 07005-04	Protection: Modified D
Contractor: Rossfelder, Corp.	Date Started: 12/5/92 Completed: 12/5/92	PI Meter: TE 3 PID
Method: Vibracore	Core Tube Diameter: 4.0"	Total Depth: 4 ft.
Logged by: LEF	Checked by: RRR	Page 1 of 1

DEPTH (FT)	SAMPLE DEPTH (FT)	REC. / PEN.	PID (PPM)	SEDIMENT DESCRIPTION	SOIL CLASS
	0.0-0.5		BKG	ORGANIC SAND, 50% organics, muck, black, 50% sand, 10 yr 5/2, poorly sorted, organics not decomposed, soft, lacustrine	PT/SM
1				SANDY PEAT, 50% organics, 5 yr 4/4, well-preserved wood chunks and roots, 50% poorly sorted, fine to medium sand, firm, lacustrine	PT/SM
2	2.0-2.5		BKG	FINE SILTY SAND, 10 yr 5/2, 15% silt, fine to medium, moistly fine sand, glacial outwash, saturated, firm	SP
		4.0 / 5.0			
3				Some liesgang banding at 3'	
	3.5-4.0		BKG		
4				Recovery = 4'	
5				BOE = 5'	

SEDIMENT CORE LOG

		Study Area: Cold Spring Brook Pond
		Site ID: CSD-92-06X
Client: AEC	Project No.: 07005-04	Protection: Modified D
Contractor: Rossfelder, Corp.	Date Started: 12/5/92 Completed: 12/5/92	PI Meter: TE 3 PID
Method: Vibracore	Core Tube Diameter: 4.0"	Total Depth: 4 ft.
Logged by: LEF	Checked by: RRR	Page 1 of 1

DEPTH (FT)	SAMPLE DEPTH (FT)	REC. / PEN.	PID (PPM)	SEDIMENT DESCRIPTION	SOIL CLASS
	0.0-0.5		BKG	ORGANIC SAND, 40% organic roots, leaves, grass, loose, 10 yr 3/2, fine to medium sand, saturated, lacustrine	PT/SM/SP
1					
2	1.8-2.2		BKG	SAND, layered, silty fine to coarse sand, well graded, 20% silt, no organics, glaical outwash, saturated, stiff, 10 yr 5/1	SM
				SANDY SILT, saturated but acts dry, very stiff, 2.5 yr 5/4, band at 2.8', 1/4" thick, varves (sandy silt) glaciolacustrine, 10 yr 4/6	SM
3					
	3.5-4.0		BKG		
4				Recovery = 4'	
5				BOE = 5'	

ABB Environmental Services, Inc.

****

SEDIMENT CORE LOG

		Study Area: Cold Spring Brook Pond
		Site ID: CSD-92-07X
Client: AEC	Project No.: 07005-04	Protection: Modified D
Contractor: Rossfelder, Corp.	Date Started: 12/7/92 Completed: 12/7/92	PI Meter: TE 3 PID
Method: Vibracore	Core Tube Diameter: 4.0"	Total Depth: 1.5 ft.
Logged by: LEF	Checked by: RRR	Page 1 of 1

DEPTH (FT)	SAMPLE DEPTH (FT)	REC. / PEN.	PID (PPM)	SEDIMENT DESCRIPTION	SOIL CLASS
	0.0-0.5		BKG	HIGHLY ORGANIC SEDIMENT, 100% ogranics, roots, twigs, grass, black, loose, saturated, lacustrine	PT
1	1.0-1.5		BKG	HIGHLY ORGANIC SEDIMENT, 50% decomposed, wood chunks, dark brown, moist, firm, lacustrine-marsh, roots and grass environment	PT
		1.5 / 3.0			
2					
3				BOE = 3'	
4					
5					
				NOTE: Driven and retrieved by hand. Insufficient water depth for boat access.	

SEDIMENT CORE LOG

		Study Area: Cold Spring Brook Pond
		Site ID: CSD-92-08X
Client: AEC	Project No.: 07005-04	Protection: Modified D
Contractor: Rossfelder, Corp.	Date Started: 12/7/92 Completed: 12/7/92	PI Meter: TE 3 PID
Method: Vibracore	Core Tube Diameter: 4.0"	Total Depth: 1.5 ft.
Logged by: LEF	Checked by: RRR	Page 1 of 1

DEPTH (FT)	SAMPLE DEPTH (FT)	REC. / PEN.	PID (PPM)	SEDIMENT DESCRIPTION	SOIL CLASS
	0.0-0.5	1.5 / 2.0	BKG	HIGHLY ORGANIC SEDIMENT, 100% organics, 30% not decomposed, roots, twigs, grass, loose, saturated, black lacustrine	PT
1	1.0-1.5		BKG	HIGHLY ORGANIC SEDIMENTS, same as above but stiffer and includes small rocks (2 or 3)	PT
2				BOE = 2'	
3					
4					
5					

NOTE: Driven via sledgehammer and retrieved by hand. Not enough water for boat access.

****

SEDIMENT CORE LOG

		Study Area: Cold Spring Brook Pond
		Site ID: CSD-92-09X
Client: AEC	Project No.: 07005-04	Protection: Modified D
Contractor: Rossfelder, Corp.	Date Started: 12/5/92 Completed: 12/5/92	PI Meter: TE/OVA
Method: Vibracore	Core Tube Diameter: 4.0"	Total Depth: 5'
Logged by: LEF	Checked by: RRR	Page 1 of 1

DEPTH (FT)	SAMPLE DEPTH (FT)	REC. / PEN.	PID (PPM)	SEDIMENT DESCRIPTION	SOIL CLASS
	0.5-2.0		BKG	0-4.7 highly organic sediments, black extensive root matrix, peat type material	PT
1					
2	2.0-2.5	5.0 / 5.0			
3					
4			BKG	Stratified sand, poorly graded, fine, 0.05' thick, layered in sediments	
	4.5-5.0		BKG	4.7-5.0, sand poorly graded, fine to coarse, 5%-10% silt	SP-SM
5				BOE = 5'	

SEDIMENT CORE LOG

		Study Area: Cold Spring Brook Pond
		Site ID: CSD-92-10X
Client: AEC	Project No.: 07005-04	Protection: Modified D
Contractor: Rossfelder, Corp.	Date Started: 12/05/92 Completed: 12/05/92	PI Meter: TE/OVA
Method: Vibracore	Core Tube Diameter: 4.0"	Total Depth: 2.5
Logged by: LEF	Checked by: RRR	Page 1 of 1

DEPTH (FT)	SAMPLE DEPTH (FT)	REC. / PEN.	PID (PPM)	SEDIMENT DESCRIPTION	SOIL CLASS
	0.0-0.5		BKG	0.0-0.5 highly organic sediments, extremely viscous, black, leaves twigs	SP-SM
				0.5-2.5 SAND, poorly graded, medium, 30% fine, 5% silt, coarse gravel at 1.5-1.6 (single cobble) 10 yr 5/1	SP
1		2.5 / 2.5		Silty sand layer at 1.8-2.0, fine sand, 10 yr 5/1	
2	2.0-2.5		BKG		
				Refusal at 2.5'	
3					
4					
5					

APPENDIX B
MONITORING WELL CONSTRUCTION FORMS

SHM–93–01A
SHM–93–10C
SHM–93–18B
SHM–93–22C
SHM–93–24A
CSM–93–01A
CSM–93–02A
CSM–93–02B

This page intentionally left blank.

WELL INSTALLATION DIAGRAM

WELL NO.: SHM-93-01A

PROJECT NAME: FORT DEVENS 1A SITES

DATE INSTALLED: 1/21/93

PROJECT NO.: 7005-04

DRILLING METHOD: HSA

WATER ELEV.: 220.6


GROUND ELEVATION: 241.7'

CASING ID: 6.25"

DATE: June 21, 1993

WELL CASING ELEVATION: 243.40'

RIG GEOLOGIST: Rod Rustad



PROTECTIVE CASING STCKUP: 2.3'

BUCKING POST

GRAVEL PAD

OUTSIDE DIAMETER OF PROTECTIVE CASING: 6"

BOREHOLE DIAMETER: 10"

WELL RISER ID: 4.0"

TYPE OF WELL RISER: SCH 40 PVC

TYPE OF BACKFILL: 20/1 CEMENT/BENTONITE GROUT

DEPTH TO TOP OF BENTONITE SEAL: 6' bgs

DEPTH TO TOP OF SAND PACK: 11' bgs

DEPTH TO TOP OF WELL SCREEN: 15.5' bgs

TYPE OF WELL SCREEN: SCH 40 PVC

WELL SCREEN ID: 4.0"

WELL SLOT SIZE: 0.010"

LENGTH OF WELL SCREEN: 10'

TYPE OF SAND PACK: SILICA SAND

DEPTH TO BOTTOM OF WELL SCREEN: 25.5' bgs

DEPTH OF BOREHOLE: 26' bgs

ABB ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.

****

WELL INSTALLATION DIAGRAM

WELL NO.: SHM-93-10C

PROJECT NAME: Fort Devens FS/1A

PROJECT NO.: 7005-04

GROUND ELEVATION: 247.1'

WELL CASING ELEVATION: 248.79'

DATE INSTALLED: 02/12/92


DRILLING METHOD: Case/Core

CASING ID: 6"/5 5/8" in rock

RIG GEOLOGIST: Rod Rustad

WATER LEVEL: 218.32

DATE: June 21, 1993



PROTECTIVE CASING STCKUP: 2.0'

BUCKING POST

GRAVEL PAD

OUTSIDE DIAMETER OF PROTECTIVE CASING: 6"

BOREHOLE DIAMETER: 6"- 36.5' bgs 5 5/8" - 54.5' bgs

WELL RISER ID: 4.0-INCH

TYPE OF WELL RISER: SCH 40 PVC

TYPE OF BACKFILL: 20/1 Cement/Bentonite Grout

TOP OF BENTONITE SEAL: 33' bgs

DEPTH TO TOP OF SAND PACK: 39' bgs

DEPTH TO TOP OF WELL SCREEN: 44' bgs

TYPE OF WELL SCREEN: SCH 40 PVC

WELL SCREEN ID: 4.0"

WELL SLOT SIZE: 0.010-INCH

LENGTH OF WELL SCREEN: 10'

TYPE OF SAND PACK: Silica Sand

DEPTH TO BOTTOM OF WELL SCREEN: 54' bgs

DEPTH OF BOREHOLE: 59.5' bgs

ABB ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.

****

WELL INSTALLATION DIAGRAM

WELL NO.: SHM-93-18B

PROJECT NAME: FORT DEVENS 1A SITES

DATE INSTALLED: 2/10/93

PROJECT NO.: 7005-04

DRILLING METHOD: Drive/Wash HSA

WATER ELEV.: 218.79


GROUND ELEVATION: 236.2'

CASING ID: 3"/6.25"

DATE: June 21, 1993

WELL CASING ELEVATION: 238.38'

RIG GEOLOGIST: Nelson Bretton



PROTECTIVE CASING STCKUP: 2.4'

BUCKING POST

GRAVEL PAD

OUTSIDE DIAMETER OF PROTECTIVE CASING: 6"

BOREHOLE DIAMETER: 10"

WELL RISER ID: 4.0"

TYPE OF WELL RISER: SCH 40 PVC

TYPE OF BACKFILL: 20/1 CEMENT/BENTONITE GROUT

DEPTH TO TOP OF BENTONITE SEAL: 68.5' bgs

DEPTH TO TOP OF SAND PACK: 73.5' bgs

DEPTH TO TOP OF WELL SCREEN: 78.5' bgs

TYPE OF WELL SCREEN: SCH 40 PVC

WELL SCREEN ID: 4.0"

WELL SLOT SIZE: 0.010"

LENGTH OF WELL SCREEN: 10'

TYPE OF SAND PACK: SILICA SAND

DEPTH TO BOTTOM OF WELL SCREEN: 88.5' bgs

DEPTH OF BOREHOLE: 93.5' bgs

ABB ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.

****

WELL INSTALLATION DIAGRAM

WELL NO.: SHM-93-22C

PROJECT NAME: Fort Devens FS/1A

PROJECT NO.: 7005-04

GROUND ELEVATION: 217.9'

WELL CASING ELEVATION: 219.76'

DATE INSTALLED: 02/24/93


DRILLING METHOD: Wash/Drive Casing

CASING ID: 6"

RIG GEOLOGIST: Lori Truesdale

WATER LEVEL: 211.41

DATE: June 21, 1993



PROTECTIVE CASING STCKUP: 2.45'

BUCKING POST

GRAVEL PAD

OUTSIDE DIAMETER OF PROTECTIVE CASING: 6"

BOREHOLE DIAMETER: 6"

WELL RISER ID: 4.0-INCH

TYPE OF WELL RISER: SCH 40 PVC

TYPE OF BACKFILL: 20/1 Cement/Bentonite Grout

TOP OF BENTONITE SEAL: 110' bgs

DEPTH TO TOP OF SAND PACK: 117' bgs

DEPTH TO TOP OF WELL SCREEN: 124.3' bgs

TYPE OF WELL SCREEN: SCH 40 PVC

WELL SCREEN ID: 4.0"

WELL SLOT SIZE: 0.010-INCH

LENGTH OF WELL SCREEN: 10'

TYPE OF SAND PACK: Silica Sand

DEPTH TO BOTTOM OF WELL SCREEN: 134.3' bgs

DEPTH OF BOREHOLE: 134.9' bgs

ABB ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.

WELL INSTALLATION DIAGRAM

WELL NO.: SHM-93-24A

PROJECT NAME: FORT DEVENS 1A SITES

DATE INSTALLED: 1/20/93

PROJECT NO.: 7005-04

DRILLING METHOD: HSA

WATER ELEV.: 220.49

GROUND ELEVATION: 235.5'

CASING ID: 6.25"

DATE: June 21, 1993

WELL CASING ELEVATION: 237.53'

RIG GEOLOGIST: Rod Rustad




ABB ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.

****

WELL INSTALLATION DIAGRAM

WELL NO.: CSM-93-01A

PROJECT NAME: FORT DEVENS 1A SITES

DATE INSTALLED: 02/03/93

PROJECT NO.: 7005-04

DRILLING METHOD: HSA/Drive/Wash

WATER ELEV.: 240.62


GROUND ELEVATION: 254.9'

CASING ID: 6"-39' bgs 5"-65.5' bgs

DATE: June 21, 1993

WELL CASING ELEVATION: 256.18'

RIG GEOLOGIST: Rod Rustad



PROTECTIVE CASING STCKUP: 1.8'

BUCKING POST

GRAVEL PAD

OUTSIDE DIAMETER OF PROTECTIVE CASING: 6"

BOREHOLE DIAMETER: 5"

WELL RISER ID: 4.0"

TYPE OF WELL RISER: SCH 40 PVC

TYPE OF BACKFILL: 20/1 CEMENT/BENTONITE GROUT

DEPTH TO TOP OF BENTONITE SEAL: 37' bgs

DEPTH TO TOP OF SAND PACK: 43' bgs

DEPTH TO TOP OF WELL SCREEN: 53.6' bgs

TYPE OF WELL SCREEN: SCH 40 PVC

WELL SCREEN ID: 4.0"

WELL SLOT SIZE: 0.010"

LENGTH OF WELL SCREEN: 10'

TYPE OF SAND PACK: SILICA SAND

DEPTH TO BOTTOM OF WELL SCREEN: 63.6' bgs

DEPTH OF BOREHOLE: 65.5' bgs

ABB ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.

****

WELL INSTALLATION DIAGRAM

WELL NO.: CSM-93-02A

PROJECT NAME: FORT DEVENS 1A SITES

DATE INSTALLED: 03/08/93

PROJECT NO.: 7005-04

DRILLING METHOD: HSA

WATER ELEV.: 240.09


GROUND ELEVATION: 262.7'

CASING ID: 6.25"

DATE: June 21, 1993

WELL CASING ELEVATION: 264.82'

RIG GEOLOGIST: Geoff Knight



NOTE: Well originally installed on 02/23/93. Original well abandoned due to broken screen. Reinstalled on 03/08/93.

ABB ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.

****

WELL INSTALLATION DIAGRAM

WELL NO.: CSM-93-02B

PROJECT NAME: FORT DEVENS 1A SITES

DATE INSTALLED: 02/25/93

PROJECT NO.: 7005-04

DRILLING METHOD: HSA w/ steel plug

WATER ELEV.: 240.1


GROUND ELEVATION: 262.5'

CASING ID: 6.25"

DATE: June 21, 1993

WELL CASING ELEVATION: 264.09'

RIG GEOLOGIST: Matt Daniels



PROTECTIVE CASING STICKUP: 2.3'

BUCKING POST

GRAVEL PAD

OUTSIDE DIAMETER OF PROTECTIVE CASING: 6"

BOREHOLE DIAMETER: 10"

WELL RISER ID: 4.0"

TYPE OF WELL RISER: SCH 40 PVC

TYPE OF BACKFILL: 20/1 CEMENT/BENTONITE GROUT

DEPTH TO TOP OF BENTONITE SEAL: 28' bgs

DEPTH TO TOP OF SAND PACK: 50' bgs

DEPTH TO TOP OF WELL SCREEN: 57' bgs

TYPE OF WELL SCREEN: SCH 40 PVC

WELL SCREEN ID: 4.0"

WELL SLOT SIZE: 0.010"

LENGTH OF WELL SCREEN: 10'

TYPE OF SAND PACK: SILICA SAND

DEPTH TO BOTTOM OF WELL SCREEN: 67' bgs

DEPTH OF BOREHOLE: 68' bgs

ABB ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.

APPENDIX C
AQUIFER TESTING DATA AND CALCULATIONS

SHM-93-01A
SHM-93-10C
SHM-93-18B
SHM-93-22C
SHM-93-24A
CSM-93-01A
CSM-93-02A
CSM-93-02B

This Page Intentionally Left Blank.

APPENDIX C: HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY TEST RESULTS

ABB-ES has performed a series of rising head slug tests on 8 monitoring wells installed during the Group 1A Supplemental Remedial Investigation. Two tests were performed at each well with water depressions ranging from 1.2 to 2.7 feet. Only one test was performed at SHM-93-22C because of exceptionally slow recovery. This appendix discusses the analytical procedure and presents estimated values of hydraulic conductivity. The test methodology is presented in Subsections 2.1.7 and 2.2.5, Aquifer Characterization and Testing. Field data from all tests were analyzed to estimate hydraulic conductivity using a derivation of the method of Hvorslev (1951)[1] and the method of Bouwer and Rice (1976)[2].

The form of the Hvorslev equation that was used relates the hydraulic conductivity, K, of an unconfined aquifer to the well geometry and the rate of head recovery by:

$$-K = \left[\frac{Log(H_1) - Log(H_2)}{t_1 - t_2}\right] \frac{r^2\ Log(L/R)}{2L}$$

Parameters in this equation included: r (radius of the well casing), R (radius of the borehole), L (length of the aquifer tested), as well as time (t) and water level (H) data. Test data were also analyzed using AQTESOLV™[3], an aquifer test

[1]Hvorslev, M.J., 1951. "Time Lag and Soil Permeability in Groundwater Observations;" U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Waterways Experiment Station, Bulletin 36; Vicksburg, Mississippi.

[2]Bouwer, H. and R.C. Rice, 1976. A Slug Test Method for Determining Hydraulic Conductivity of Unconfined Aquifers with Completely or Partially Penetrating Wells, Water Resources Research, Vol. 12, No. 3, pp 423-428.

[3]AQTESOLV, 1991 "AQTESOLV, Aquifer Test Solver Version 1.00;" Geraghty and Miller Modeling Group; Reston, VA.

analysis program by Geraghty Miller, Inc. AQTESOLV™ utilizes the Bouwer and Rice method for estimating hydraulic conductivities in unconfined aquifers.

Estimates of hydraulic conductivity for the 8 wells tested range between 4.0x10-2 cm/sec and 5.8x10-6 cm/sec for the Bouwer and Rice method while the Hvorslev method yields values of 1.6x10-2 cm/sec to 8.8x10-8 cm/sec. Typically the Bouwer and Rice method provided hydraulic conductivity values which were approximately twice the values obtained with the Hvorslev equation.


The results of hydraulic conductivity testing are provided in Table C-1. The data for each test are also provided. The first sheet is a semi-log plot of water level versus time with the range of values selected for analysis bracketed by circles. The second sheet presents the well geometry and raw data with the range of values selected for analysis underlined. The third sheet is the Field Data Sheet. Following the recovery plots, well geometry, raw data, and Field Data Sheets are the Hvorslev equations and the AQTESOLV™ plots.

Hydraulic conductivity values are expressed in centimeters per second (cm/sec) while the raw data and recovery plots are referenced to feet and minutes. Static water levels in each well were referenced to zero with head stress being expressed as a positive change.

TABLE C-1
FIELD HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY TEST RESULTS

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

WELL	TEST NO.	TYPE OF WELL	HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY HVORSLEV (cm/sec)	HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY BOUWER AND RICE (cm/sec)
SHM-93-01A	1	OVERBURDEN	1.3E-03	4E-03
	2		1.4E-03	9E-03
SHM-93-10C	1	ROCK	2.6E-05	2E-04
	2		3.1E-05	2E-04
SHM-93-18B	1	OVERBURDEN	5.4E-04	4E-03
	2		5.4E-04	4E-03
SHM-93-22C	1	ROCK	4.9E-07	6E-06
SHM-93-24A	1	OVERBURDEN	1.6E-02	2E-02
	2		1.6E-02	4E-02
CSM-93-01A	1	OVERBURDEN	4.6E-04	5E-04
	2		4.6E-04	7E-03
CSM-93-02A	1	OVERBURDEN	8.0E-04	2E-03
	2		8.4E-04	2E-03
CSM-93-02B	1	OVERBURDEN	2.8E-03	1E-02
	2		2.6E-03	1E-02


SHM-93-01A PERMEABILITY TEST
2.00
1.50
1.00
0.50
0.00
HEAD STRESS (FEET)
0.01
0.1
1
TIME (MINUTES)
TEST #1
TEST #2

SHM−93−01A
WELL RADIUS= 0.167 FT, SATURATED SCREEN LENGTH= 4.3 FT, BORING RADIUS= 0.417 FT

TEST 1	
MINUTES	FEET
0	1.369
0.0033	1.167
0.0066	0.899
0.01	1.594
0.0133	1.799
0.0166	1.628
0.02	1.581
0.0233	1.534
0.0266	1.489
0.03	1.442
0.0333	1.395
0.0366	1.354
0.04	1.313
0.0433	1.272
0.0466	1.237
0.05	1.199
0.0533	1.164
0.0566	1.13
0.06	1.098
0.0633	1.063
0.0666	1.032
0.07	1.003
0.0733	0.972
0.0766	0.946
0.08	0.918
0.0833	0.893
0.0866	0.871
0.09	0.849
0.0933	0.827
0.0966	0.808
0.1	0.789
0.1033	0.77
0.1066	0.754
0.11	0.738
0.1133	0.722
0.1166	0.71
0.12	0.697
0.1233	0.684
0.1266	0.675
0.13	0.662
0.1333	0.653
0.1366	0.643
0.14	0.637
0.1433	0.628
0.1466	0.618
0.15	0.612
0.1533	0.606
0.1566	0.596
0.16	0.59
0.1633	0.583
0.1666	0.577
0.17	0.571
0.1733	0.568
0.1766	0.561
0.18	0.558
0.1833	0.552
0.1866	0.546
0.19	0.542
0.1933	0.539
0.1966	0.533
0.2	0.53
0.2033	0.527
0.2066	0.523
0.21	0.52
0.2133	0.514
0.2166	0.511
0.22	0.508
0.2233	0.505
0.2266	0.501
0.23	0.498
0.2333	0.495
0.2366	0.492
0.24	0.489
0.2433	0.486
0.2466	0.482
0.25	0.479
0.2533	0.476
0.2566	0.473
0.26	0.47

TEST 2	
MINUTES	FEET
0	0.167
0.0033	1.947
0.0066	1.518
0.01	1.404
0.0133	1.423
0.0166	1.369
0.02	0.751
0.0233	1.739
0.0266	1.755
0.03	1.609
0.0333	1.597
0.0366	1.534
0.04	1.483
0.0433	1.442
0.0466	1.398
0.05	1.36
0.0533	1.322
0.0566	1.281
0.06	1.249
0.0633	1.212
0.0666	1.177
0.07	1.142
0.0733	1.111
0.0766	1.076
0.08	1.047
0.0833	1.016
0.0866	0.987
0.09	0.959
0.0933	0.931
0.0966	0.905
0.1	0.883
0.1033	0.861
0.1066	0.839
0.11	0.817
0.1133	0.798
0.1166	0.779
0.12	0.763
0.1233	0.748
0.1266	0.732
0.13	0.719
0.1333	0.707
0.1366	0.694
0.14	0.681
0.1433	0.672
0.1466	0.662
0.15	0.65
0.1533	0.64
0.1566	0.634
0.16	0.624
0.1633	0.618
0.1666	0.609
0.17	0.602
0.1733	0.596
0.1766	0.59
0.18	0.583
0.1833	0.577
0.1866	0.571
0.19	0.568
0.1933	0.561
0.1966	0.558
0.2	0.552
0.2033	0.549
0.2066	0.542
0.21	0.539
0.2133	0.536
0.2166	0.53
0.22	0.527
0.2233	0.523
0.2266	0.52
0.23	0.517
0.2333	0.514
0.2366	0.511
0.24	0.505
0.2433	0.501
0.2466	0.498
0.25	0.495
0.2533	0.492
0.2566	0.489
0.26	0.486

0.2633	0.467
0.2666	0.464
0.27	0.46
0.2733	0.457
0.2766	0.454
0.28	0.451
0.2833	0.451
0.2866	0.448
0.29	0.445
0.2933	0.441
0.2966	0.438
0.3	0.435
0.3033	0.432
0.3066	0.429
0.31	0.426
0.3133	0.422
0.3166	0.422
0.32	0.419
0.3233	0.416
0.3266	0.413
0.33	0.41
0.3333	0.41
0.35	0.394
0.3666	0.385
0.3833	0.372
0.4	0.359
0.4166	0.35
0.4333	0.34
0.45	0.328
0.4666	0.318
0.4833	0.309
0.5	0.299
0.5166	0.29
0.5333	0.28
0.55	0.274
0.5666	0.265
0.5833	0.258
0.6	0.252
0.6166	0.243
0.6333	0.236
0.65	0.23
0.6666	0.224
0.6833	0.22
0.7	0.214
0.7166	0.208
0.7333	0.202
0.75	0.195
0.7666	0.192
0.7833	0.186
0.8	0.183
0.8166	0.176
0.8333	0.173
0.85	0.17
0.8666	0.164
0.8833	0.16
0.9	0.157
0.9166	0.151
0.9333	0.148
0.95	0.145
0.9666	0.142
0.9833	0.138
1	0.135
1.2	0.097
1.4	0.078
1.6	0.063
1.8	0.053
2	0.044
2.2	0.037
2.4	0.031
2.6	0.028
2.8	0.025
3	0.022
3.2	0.018
3.4	0.018
3.6	0.015
3.8	0.015
4	0.015
4.2	0.015
4.4	0.015
4.6	0.012
4.8	0.012

0.2633	0.482
0.2666	0.479
0.27	0.476
0.2733	0.473
0.2766	0.47
0.28	0.467
0.2833	0.464
0.2866	0.464
0.29	0.46
0.2933	0.454
0.2966	0.454
0.3	0.451
0.3033	0.448
0.3066	0.445
0.31	0.441
0.3133	0.438
0.3166	0.435
0.32	0.432
0.3233	0.429
0.3266	0.426
0.33	0.426
0.3333	0.422
0.35	0.407
0.3666	0.394
0.3833	0.381
0.4	0.369
0.4166	0.356
0.4333	0.347
0.45	0.334
0.4666	0.325
0.4833	0.315
0.5	0.306
0.5166	0.296
0.5333	0.287
0.55	0.277
0.5666	0.271
0.5833	0.265
0.6	0.255
0.6166	0.249
0.6333	0.239
0.65	0.233
0.6666	0.227
0.6833	0.22
0.7	0.214
0.7166	0.208
0.7333	0.202
0.75	0.198
0.7666	0.192
0.7833	0.186
0.8	0.183
0.8166	0.179
0.8333	0.173
0.85	0.17
0.8666	0.164
0.8833	0.16
0.9	0.157
0.9166	0.154
0.9333	0.148
0.95	0.145
0.9666	0.142
0.9833	0.138
1	0.135
1.2	0.097
1.4	0.075
1.6	0.056
1.8	0.047
2	0.037
2.2	0.031
2.4	0.025
2.6	0.022
2.8	0.018
3	0.015
3.2	0.015
3.4	0.012
3.6	0.012
3.8	0.009
4	0.009
4.2	0.009
4.4	0.009
4.6	0.006
4.8	0.006
5	0.006
5.2	0.006
5.4	0.006

5.6	0.006
5.8	0.003
6	0.003

PERMEABILITY TEST RESULTS FOR SHM–93–01A

TEST 1
HVORSLEV:
0.001 CM/SEC
BOUWER AND RICE:
0.004 CM/SEC

TEST 2
HVORSLEV:
0.001 CM/SEC
BOUWER AND RICE:
0.009 CM/SEC

AQUIFER TESTING COMPLETION CHECKLIST

AQUIFER TEST NO. _____

SETUP	DATE	BY WHOM
MONITORING WELL ID	SHM-93-01A	R RUSTAD / N ROKA
DATE OF TEST	4./.93	
TYPE OF TEST	RISING HEAD	
HERMIT TYPE/SERIAL#	SE 1000C / IRC01732	
TEST #	SEL 3 / OF 2	
DATA COLLECTION RATE	LOG 600	
TRANSDUCER		
SERIAL #	2045 DE	
PSIG	10	
SCALE FACTOR	1.983	
OFFSET	- 0.035	
INPUT CHANNEL	IN.D 1	
TEST DATA		
INPUT MODE (TOC/SUR)	TOC	
STATIC WATER LEVEL (FT./TOC)	21.24 ' (PVC)	
WELL DEPTH (FT./TOC)	25.5	
XD DEPTH (FT.TOC)	25.0	
INITIAL XD REFERENCE	6.07	
SLUG DEPTH (FT./TOC)	24.0	
TIME OF SLUG PLACEMENT	0845	
TIME OF WL EQUILIBRATION	0847	
NEW XD REFERENCE	6.08	
START TIME OF TEST	0855	
END TIME OF TEST	0859	
NOTES: +0.004/ LINEARITY		

3 x 3" BAR STOCK PVC

FIGURE 4-14
AQUIFER TEST COMPLETION CHECKLIST
PROJECT OPERATIONS PLAN
FORT DEVENS, MASSACHUSETTS
ABB Environmental Services, Inc.


AQUIFER TESTING COMPLETION CHECKLIST

AQUIFER TEST NO. _____

SETUP	DATE	BY WHOM
MONITORING WELL ID	SUM.93.01A	RUSTAD/ROCA
DATE OF TEST	4.01.93	
TYPE OF TEST	RISING HEAD	
HERMIT TYPE/SERIAL#	SE 1000C / KC01732	
TEST #	SEL 4 2 OF 2	
DATA COLLECTION RATE	LOG 000	
TRANSDUCER		
SERIAL #	20455 DE	
PSIG	10	
SCALE FACTOR	9.983	
OFFSET	-0.035	
INPUT CHANNEL	IN1 1	
TEST DATA		
INPUT MODE (TOC/SUR)	TOC	
STATIC WATER LEVEL (FT./TOC)	21.24	
WELL DEPTH (FT./TOC)	25.5	
XD DEPTH (FT.TOC)	25.0	
INITIAL XD REFERENCE	6.02	
SLUG DEPTH (FT./TOC)	24.0	
TIME OF SLUG PLACEMENT	0902	
TIME OF WL EQUILIBRATION	0905	
NEW XD REFERENCE	6.05	
START TIME OF TEST	0907	
END TIME OF TEST		
NOTES: Linearity = +0.004		

3' x 3" Bar Stock PVC

FIGURE 4-14
AQUIFER TEST COMPLETION CHECKLIST
PROJECT OPERATIONS PLAN
FORT DEVENS, MASSACHUSETTS
ABB Environmental Services, Inc.


SHM-93-10C PERMEABILITY TEST
2.00
1.50
1.00
0.50
0.00
HEAD STRESS (FEET)
0.1
1
10
TIME (MINUTES)
TEST #1
TEST #2

SHM-93-10C

WELL RADIUS= 0.167 FT, SATURATED SCREEN LENGTH= 10 FT, BORING RADIUS= 0.234 FT

TEST 1		TEST 2	
MINUTES	FEET	MINUTES	FEET
0	1.155	0	0.959
0.0033	0.745	0.0033	1.215
0.0066	0.77	0.0066	1.294
0.01	0.454	0.01	1.325
0.0133	0.464	0.0133	1.663
0.0166	0.899	0.0166	1.786
0.02	0.501	0.02	1.96
0.0233	0.479	0.0233	1.96
0.0266	1.079	0.0266	1.893
0.03	1.578	0.03	1.767
0.0333	1.947	0.0333	1.887
0.0366	1.903	0.0366	1.912
0.04	1.862	0.04	2.01
0.0433	1.878	0.0433	2.064
0.0466	1.871	0.0466	2.026
0.05	1.859	0.05	1.96
0.0533	1.862	0.0533	1.631
0.0566	1.859	0.0566	1.603
0.06	1.852	0.06	1.792
0.0633	1.852	0.0633	1.672
0.0666	1.852	0.0666	1.811
0.07	1.846	0.07	1.837
0.0733	1.846	0.0733	1.862
0.0766	1.843	0.0766	1.887
0.08	1.843	0.08	1.941
0.0833	1.837	0.0833	1.89
0.0866	1.837	0.0866	1.827
0.09	1.837	0.09	1.792
0.0933	1.833	0.0933	1.846
0.0966	1.833	0.0966	1.909
0.1	1.83	0.1	1.764
0.1033	1.827	0.1033	1.874
0.1066	1.827	0.1066	1.909
0.11	1.824	0.11	1.808
0.1133	1.824	0.1133	1.676
0.1166	1.827	0.1166	1.278
0.12	1.818	0.12	1.527
0.1233	1.824	0.1233	1.975
0.1266	1.821	0.1266	1.969
0.13	1.818	0.13	1.682
0.1333	1.821	0.1333	1.578
0.1366	1.815	0.1366	1.717
0.14	1.815	0.14	1.975
0.1433	1.818	0.1433	1.938
0.1466	1.815	0.1466	1.745
0.15	1.811	0.15	1.552
0.1533	1.811	0.1533	1.691
0.1566	1.811	0.1566	1.871
0.16	1.811	0.16	1.77
0.1633	1.808	0.1633	1.663
0.1666	1.808	0.1666	1.742
0.17	1.808	0.17	1.805
0.1733	1.805	0.1733	1.764
0.1766	1.805	0.1766	1.72
0.18	1.805	0.18	1.742
0.1833	1.802	0.1833	1.764
0.1866	1.802	0.1866	1.748
0.19	1.802	0.19	1.745
0.1933	1.799	0.1933	1.745
0.1966	1.799	0.1966	1.745
0.2	1.799	0.2	1.745
0.2033	1.796	0.2033	1.745
0.2066	1.796	0.2066	1.742
0.21	1.796	0.21	1.742
0.2133	1.796	0.2133	1.742
0.2166	1.792	0.2166	1.742
0.22	1.792	0.22	1.742
0.2233	1.792	0.2233	1.739
0.2266	1.789	0.2266	1.739
0.23	1.792	0.23	1.739
0.2333	1.789	0.2333	1.739
0.2366	1.789	0.2366	1.736
0.24	1.789	0.24	1.736
0.2433	1.786	0.2433	1.736
0.2466	1.786	0.2466	1.736
0.25	1.783	0.25	1.732
0.2533	1.783	0.2533	1.732
0.2566	1.783	0.2566	1.732
0.26	1.783	0.26	1.732
0.2633	1.783	0.2633	1.732

0.2666	1.783
0.27	1.783
0.2733	1.78
0.2766	1.78
0.28	1.78
0.2833	1.777
0.2866	1.777
0.29	1.777
0.2933	1.777
0.2966	1.777
0.3	1.774
0.3033	1.774
0.3066	1.774
0.31	1.774
0.3133	1.77
0.3166	1.77
0.32	1.77
0.3233	1.77
0.3266	1.767
0.33	1.767
0.3333	1.767
0.35	1.761
0.3666	1.755
0.3833	1.751
0.4	1.745
0.4166	1.742
0.4333	1.739
0.45	1.736
0.4666	1.732
0.4833	1.729
0.5	1.726
0.5166	1.723
0.5333	1.72
0.55	1.717
0.5666	1.71
0.5833	1.71
0.6	1.707
0.6166	1.704
0.6333	1.701
0.65	1.698
0.6666	1.695
0.6833	1.691
0.7	1.688
0.7166	1.685
0.7333	1.682
0.75	1.682
0.7666	1.679
0.7833	1.676
0.8	1.673
0.8166	1.669
0.8333	1.666
0.85	1.663
0.8666	1.66
0.8833	1.66
0.9	1.657
0.9166	1.654
0.9333	1.65
0.95	1.647
0.9666	1.647
0.9833	1.644
1	1.641
1.2	1.603
1.4	1.575
1.6	1.549
1.8	1.521
2	1.496
2.2	1.47
2.4	1.445
2.6	1.423
2.8	1.401
3	1.379
3.2	1.357
3.4	1.335
3.6	1.316
3.8	1.294
4	1.275
4.2	1.256
4.4	1.237
4.6	1.218
4.8	1.202
5	1.18
5.2	1.164
5.4	1.149
5.6	1.13

0.2666	1.729
0.27	1.729
0.2733	1.726
0.2766	1.729
0.28	1.726
0.2833	1.726
0.2866	1.726
0.29	1.726
0.2933	1.723
0.2966	1.723
0.3	1.723
0.3033	1.723
0.3066	1.723
0.31	1.72
0.3133	1.72
0.3166	1.72
0.32	1.717
0.3233	1.717
0.3266	1.717
0.33	1.717
0.3333	1.713
0.35	1.713
0.3666	1.71
0.3833	1.707
0.4	1.704
0.4166	1.701
0.4333	1.698
0.45	1.695
0.4666	1.691
0.4833	1.688
0.5	1.685
0.5166	1.682
0.5333	1.679
0.55	1.676
0.5666	1.672
0.5833	1.669
0.6	1.666
0.6166	1.663
0.6333	1.66
0.65	1.657
0.6666	1.653
0.6833	1.65
0.7	1.65
0.7166	1.647
0.7333	1.644
0.75	1.641
0.7666	1.638
0.7833	1.635
0.8	1.631
0.8166	1.628
0.8333	1.625
0.85	1.625
0.8666	1.622
0.8833	1.619
0.9	1.616
0.9166	1.612
0.9333	1.609
0.95	1.606
0.9666	1.606
0.9833	1.603
1	1.6
1.2	1.562
1.4	1.53
1.6	1.502
1.8	1.474
2	1.445
2.2	1.42
2.4	1.395
2.6	1.369
2.8	1.344
3	1.322
3.2	1.297
3.4	1.275
3.6	1.253
3.8	1.231
4	1.212
4.2	1.19
4.4	1.167
4.6	1.148
4.8	1.13
5	1.107
5.2	1.092
5.4	1.073
5.6	1.054

5.8	1.114
6	1.098
6.2	1.079
6.4	1.066
6.6	1.051
6.8	1.035
7	1.019
7.2	1.007
7.4	0.991
7.6	0.975
7.8	0.962
8	0.947
8.2	0.934
8.4	0.921
8.6	0.909
8.8	0.896
9	0.88
9.2	0.871
9.4	0.858
9.6	0.846
9.8	0.833
10	0.82
12	0.71
14	0.618
16	0.539
18	0.47
20	0.413
22	0.363
24	0.322
26	0.287
28	0.252
30	0.227
32	0.205
34	0.186
36	0.17
38	0.157
40	0.145
42	0.135
44	0.123
46	0.116
48	0.11

PERMEABILITY TEST RESULTS FOR SHM−93−10C:
TEST 1
HVORSLEV:
0.00002 CM/SEC
BOUWER AND RICE:
0.0002 CM/SEC

5.8	1.035
6	1.019
6.2	1
6.4	0.984
6.6	0.965
6.8	0.95
7	0.934
7.2	0.918
7.4	0.902
7.6	0.89
7.8	0.874
8	0.858
8.2	0.845
8.4	0.83
8.6	0.817
8.8	0.804
9	0.789
9.2	0.776
9.4	0.763
9.6	0.751
9.8	0.738
10	0.726
12	0.615
14	0.524
16	0.448
18	0.378
20	0.325
22	0.277
24	0.239
26	0.205
28	0.176
30	0.151
32	0.135
34	0.116
36	0.101
38	0.091

TEST 2
HVORSLEV:
0.00002 CM/SEC
BOUWER AND RICE:
0.0002 CM/SEC


AQUIFER TESTING COMPLETION CHECKLIST

AQUIFER TEST NO. _____

SETUP	DATE	BY WHOM
MONITORING WELL ID	SUM.93.10C	N ROKA / R RUSTAD
DATE OF TEST	3.31.93	
TYPE OF TEST	RISING HEAD	
HERMIT TYPE/SERIAL#	IKC0732 / SE 10000	
TEST #	SEL 1	
DATA COLLECTION RATE	LOG 000	
TRANSDUCER		
SERIAL #	2045DE	
PSIG	10	
SCALE FACTOR	9.983	
OFFSET	-0.035	
INPUT CHANNEL	INP 1	
TEST DATA		
INPUT MODE (TOC/SUR)	TOC	
STATIC WATER LEVEL (FT./TOC)	29.79	
WELL DEPTH (FT./TOC)	56'	
XD DEPTH (FT.TOC)	45'	
INITIAL XD REFERENCE	0'	
SLUG DEPTH (FT./TOC)	40'	
TIME OF SLUG PLACEMENT	1500	
TIME OF WL EQUILIBRATION	1520	
NEW XD REFERENCE	0' 16.50 FROM XD KEY PRIOR TO START)	
START TIME OF TEST	1530	
END TIME OF TEST	1625	
NOTES: LINEARITY 0.004		

FIGURE 4-14
AQUIFER TEST COMPLETION CHECKLIST
PROJECT OPERATIONS PLAN
FORT DEVENS, MASSACHUSETTS
ABB Environmental Services, Inc.

3' x 3" BAR STOCK PVC


SHM-93-18B PERMEABILITY TEST
HEAD STRESS (FEET)
2.00
1.50
1.00
0.50
0.00
0.1
1
TIME (MINUTES)
TEST #1
TEST #2

SHM-93-18B
WELL RADIUS= 0.167 FT, SATURATED SCREEN LENGTH= 10 FT, BORING RADIUS- 0.417 FT

TEST 1	
MINUTES	FEET
0	1.234
0.0033	0.963
0.0066	0.653
0.01	0.704
0.0133	1.187
0.0166	1.616
0.02	1.742
0.0233	1.783
0.0266	1.799
0.03	1.79
0.0333	1.768
0.0366	1.733
0.04	1.698
0.0433	1.682
0.0466	1.67
0.05	1.663
0.0533	1.66
0.0566	1.651
0.06	1.645
0.0633	1.635
0.0666	1.616
0.07	1.613
0.0733	1.597
0.0766	1.588
0.08	1.585
0.0833	1.575
0.0866	1.559
0.09	1.553
0.0933	1.547
0.0966	1.547
0.1	1.525
0.1033	1.525
0.1066	1.49
0.11	1.496
0.1133	1.496
0.1166	1.48
0.12	1.477
0.1233	1.461
0.1266	1.465
0.13	1.43
0.1333	1.452
0.1366	1.408
0.14	1.42
0.1433	1.414
0.1466	1.398
0.15	1.408
0.1533	1.411
0.1566	1.379
0.16	1.376
0.1633	1.376
0.1666	1.354
0.17	1.36
0.1733	1.367
0.1766	1.345
0.18	1.329
0.1833	1.326
0.1866	1.319
0.19	1.31
0.1933	1.313
0.1966	1.297
0.2	1.291
0.2033	1.288
0.2066	1.278
0.21	1.269
0.2133	1.269
0.2166	1.259
0.22	1.24
0.2233	1.244
0.2266	1.24
0.23	1.247
0.2333	1.228
0.2366	1.218
0.24	1.212
0.2433	1.212
0.2466	1.196
0.25	1.193
0.2533	1.193
0.2566	1.18

TEST 2	
MINUTES	FEET
0	1.161
0.0033	0.65
0.0066	1.363
0.01	1.736
0.0133	1.796
0.0166	1.786
0.02	1.799
0.0233	1.786
0.0266	1.761
0.03	1.729
0.0333	1.701
0.0366	1.692
0.04	1.682
0.0433	1.673
0.0466	1.666
0.05	1.657
0.0533	1.641
0.0566	1.641
0.06	1.628
0.0633	1.622
0.0666	1.609
0.07	1.594
0.0733	1.587
0.0766	1.572
0.08	1.572
0.0833	1.562
0.0866	1.524
0.09	1.537
0.0933	1.54
0.0966	1.527
0.1	1.521
0.1033	1.508
0.1066	1.499
0.11	1.49
0.1133	1.486
0.1166	1.474
0.12	1.467
0.1233	1.458
0.1266	1.452
0.13	1.442
0.1333	1.436
0.1366	1.43
0.14	1.42
0.1433	1.411
0.1466	1.411
0.15	1.398
0.1533	1.401
0.1566	1.382
0.16	1.376
0.1633	1.37
0.1666	1.36
0.17	1.354
0.1733	1.351
0.1766	1.341
0.18	1.332
0.1833	1.325
0.1866	1.316
0.19	1.31
0.1933	1.303
0.1966	1.297
0.2	1.288
0.2033	1.278
0.2066	1.275
0.21	1.269
0.2133	1.262
0.2166	1.256
0.22	1.246
0.2233	1.24
0.2266	1.234
0.23	1.228
0.2333	1.221
0.2366	1.215
0.24	1.209
0.2433	1.202
0.2466	1.196
0.25	1.186
0.2533	1.183
0.2566	1.177

0.26	1.174
0.2633	1.168
0.2666	1.162
0.27	1.152
0.2733	1.149
0.2766	1.146
0.28	1.136
0.2833	1.13
0.2866	1.127
0.29	1.117
0.2933	1.121
0.2966	1.105
0.3	1.102
0.3033	1.095
0.3066	1.089
0.31	1.086
0.3133	1.079
0.3166	1.073
0.32	1.054
0.3233	1.067
0.3266	1.054
0.33	1.051
0.3333	1.045
0.35	1.016
0.3666	0.982
0.3833	0.956
0.4	0.931
0.4166	0.906
0.4333	0.877
0.45	0.852
0.4666	0.827
0.4833	0.808
0.5	0.783
0.5166	0.761
0.5333	0.732
0.55	0.72
0.5666	0.698
0.5833	0.679
0.6	0.66
0.6166	0.641
0.6333	0.625
0.65	0.606
0.6666	0.587
0.6833	0.574
0.7	0.559
0.7166	0.543
0.7333	0.527
0.75	0.514
0.7666	0.499
0.7833	0.486
0.8	0.473
0.8166	0.458
0.8333	0.448
0.85	0.436
0.8666	0.423
0.8833	0.41
0.9	0.401
0.9166	0.388
0.9333	0.379
0.95	0.369
0.9666	0.36
0.9833	0.347
1	0.338
1.2	0.221
1.4	0.158
1.6	0.114
1.8	0.082
2	0.057
2.2	0.041
2.4	0.028
2.6	0.022
2.8	0.016
3	0.009
3.2	0.006
3.4	0.003
3.6	0.003
3.8	0.003
4	0

0.26	1.171
0.2633	1.164
0.2666	1.158
0.27	1.152
0.2733	1.145
0.2766	1.139
0.28	1.133
0.2833	1.127
0.2866	1.123
0.29	1.117
0.2933	1.111
0.2966	1.104
0.3	1.098
0.3033	1.092
0.3066	1.085
0.31	1.082
0.3133	1.076
0.3166	1.07
0.32	1.063
0.3233	1.06
0.3266	1.054
0.33	1.048
0.3333	1.041
0.35	1.013
0.3666	0.984
0.3833	0.953
0.4	0.928
0.4166	0.899
0.4333	0.874
0.45	0.849
0.4666	0.827
0.4833	0.805
0.5	0.782
0.5166	0.76
0.5333	0.738
0.55	0.716
0.5666	0.697
0.5833	0.678
0.6	0.659
0.6166	0.64
0.6333	0.625
0.65	0.606
0.6666	0.59
0.6833	0.574
0.7	0.558
0.7166	0.543
0.7333	0.527
0.75	0.514
0.7666	0.498
0.7833	0.486
0.8	0.473
0.8166	0.461
0.8333	0.448
0.85	0.435
0.8666	0.423
0.8833	0.41
0.9	0.401
0.9166	0.388
0.9333	0.378
0.95	0.369
0.9666	0.36
0.9833	0.347
1	0.341
1.2	0.221
1.4	0.158
1.6	0.113
1.8	0.079
2	0.06
2.2	0.041
2.4	0.028
2.6	0.019
2.8	0.012
3	0.009
3.2	0.006
3.4	0.003
3.6	0.003
3.8	0
4	0
4.2	0
4.4	0
4.6	0
4.8	−0.003
5	−0.003
5.2	0

5.4	0
5.6	0
5.8	0
6	0
6.2	0
6.4	−0.003
6.6	0

PERMEABILITY TEST RESULTS FOR SHM−93−18B:

TEST 1
HVORSLEV:
0.0002 CM/SEC
BOUWER AND RICE:
0.004 CM/SEC

TEST 2
HVORSLEV:
0.0002 CM/SEC
BOUWER AND RICE:
0.004 CM/SEC

AQUIFER TESTING COMPLETION CHECKLIST

AQUIFER TEST NO. _____

SETUP	DATE	BY WHOM
MONITORING WELL ID	SHM-93.18B	Rustad/Rapa
DATE OF TEST	4-01-93	
TYPE OF TEST	Rising Head	
HERMIT TYPE/SERIAL#	SE1000/IRC01732	
TEST #	SEL 5 1 of 2	
DATA COLLECTION RATE	Lou 000	
TRANSDUCER		
SERIAL #	2045DE	
PSIG	10	
SCALE FACTOR	~~10~~ 9.983	
OFFSET	-0.035	
INPUT CHANNEL	INP 1	
TEST DATA		
INPUT MODE (TOC/SUR)	TOC	
STATIC WATER LEVEL (FT./TOC)	18.47	
WELL DEPTH (FT./TOC)	90'	
XD DEPTH (FT.TOC)	~~41.62~~ 32'	
INITIAL XD REFERENCE	11.68	
SLUG DEPTH (FT./TOC)	33'	
TIME OF SLUG PLACEMENT	0940	
TIME OF WL EQUILIBRATION	0942	
NEW XD REFERENCE	11.71	
START TIME OF TEST	0943	
END TIME OF TEST		
NOTES: Linearity = +0.004		

3'x 3" Bar Stock PVC

FIGURE 4-14
AQUIFER TEST COMPLETION CHECKLIST
PROJECT OPERATIONS PLAN
FORT DEVENS, MASSACHUSETTS
ABB Environmental Services, Inc.


AQUIFER TESTING COMPLETION CHECKLIST

AQUIFER TEST NO. _____

SETUP	DATE	BY WHOM
MONITORING WELL ID	SHM-93-18B	R. Rustad / N. Rolca
DATE OF TEST	4.1.93	
TYPE OF TEST	Rising head	
HERMIT TYPE/SERIAL#	SE 1000C/K01732	
TEST #	SEL 6 2 of 2	
DATA COLLECTION RATE	Log 000	
TRANSDUCER		
SERIAL #	2045DE	
PSIG	10	
SCALE FACTOR	~~10~~ 9.983	
OFFSET	-0.035	
INPUT CHANNEL	Inp. 1	
TEST DATA		
INPUT MODE (TOC/SUR)	Entoc	
STATIC WATER LEVEL (FT./TOC)	18.47'	
WELL DEPTH (FT./TOC)	90'	
XD DEPTH (FT.TOC)	32'	
INITIAL XD REFERENCE	11.71	
SLUG DEPTH (FT./TOC)	23'	
TIME OF SLUG PLACEMENT	~~1005~~ 1000	
TIME OF WL EQUILIBRATION	1005	
NEW XD REFERENCE	11.71	
START TIME OF TEST	1006	
END TIME OF TEST		
NOTES: Linearity = +0.004		

3'x3" Bar Stock PVC

FIGURE 4-14
AQUIFER TEST COMPLETION CHECKLIST
PROJECT OPERATIONS PLAN
FORT DEVENS, MASSACHUSETTS
ABB Environmental Services, Inc.


SHM-93-22C PERMEABILITY TEST
HEAD STRESS (FEET)
2.80
2.60
2.40
2.20
2.00
0.1
1
10
100
TIME (MINUTES)

SHM-93-22C
WELL RADIUS= 0.167 FT, SATURATED SCREEN LENGTH= 10 FT, BORING RADIUS= 0.234 FT

TEST 1	
MINUTES	FEET
0	0.828
0.0033	0.825
0.0066	1.685
0.01	1.828
0.0133	1.594
0.0166	1.435
0.02	1.439
0.0233	1.654
0.0266	1.622
0.03	1.704
0.0333	1.613
0.0366	1.584
0.04	1.372
0.0433	1.78
0.0466	2.391
0.05	2.738
0.0533	2.751
0.0566	2.792
0.06	2.764
0.0633	2.745
0.0666	2.754
0.07	2.757
0.0733	2.723
0.0766	2.742
0.08	2.764
0.0833	2.751
0.0866	2.745
0.09	2.761
0.0933	2.742
0.0966	2.738
0.1	2.748
0.1033	2.735
0.1066	2.745
0.11	2.738
0.1133	2.748
0.1166	2.742
0.12	2.735
0.1233	2.751
0.1266	2.729
0.13	2.729
0.1333	2.77
0.1366	2.742
0.14	2.738
0.1433	2.738
0.1466	2.735
0.15	2.732
0.1533	2.738
0.1566	2.732
0.16	2.742
0.1633	2.716
0.1666	2.748
0.17	2.732
0.1733	2.748
0.1766	2.732
0.18	2.729
0.1833	2.735
0.1866	2.767
0.19	2.742
0.1933	2.745
0.1966	2.729
0.2	2.732
0.2033	2.723
0.2066	2.742
0.21	2.742
0.2133	2.735
0.2166	2.735
0.22	2.742
0.2233	2.735
0.2266	2.732
0.23	2.738
0.2333	2.735
0.2366	2.732
0.24	2.732
0.2433	2.729
0.2466	2.732
0.25	2.738
0.2533	2.729
0.2566	2.735

0.26	2.735
0.2633	2.729
0.2666	2.729
0.27	2.729
0.2733	2.729
0.2766	2.735
0.28	2.729
0.2833	2.732
0.2866	2.729
0.29	2.729
0.2933	2.71
0.2966	2.735
0.3	2.732
0.3033	2.732
0.3066	2.729
0.31	2.729
0.3133	2.729
0.3166	2.732
0.32	2.729
0.3233	2.723
0.3266	2.726
0.33	2.729
0.3333	2.729
0.35	2.723
0.3666	2.716
0.3833	2.719
0.4	2.71
0.4166	2.71
0.4333	2.71
0.45	2.688
0.4666	2.71
0.4833	2.707
0.5	2.71
0.5166	2.71
0.5333	2.71
0.55	2.707
0.5666	2.707
0.5833	2.707
0.6	2.71
0.6166	2.707
0.6333	2.707
0.65	2.707
0.6666	2.707
0.6833	2.707
0.7	2.707
0.7166	2.707
0.7333	2.707
0.75	2.704
0.7666	2.704
0.7833	2.704
0.8	2.704
0.8166	2.704
0.8333	2.704
0.85	2.704
0.8666	2.704
0.8833	2.704
0.9	2.704
0.9166	2.704
0.9333	2.704
0.95	2.704
0.9666	2.704
0.9833	2.704
1	2.704
1.2	2.701
1.4	2.701
1.6	2.697
1.8	2.697
2	2.694
2.2	2.694
2.4	2.694
2.6	2.691
2.8	2.688
3	2.688
3.2	2.688
3.4	2.685
3.6	2.685
3.8	2.685
4	2.682
4.2	2.682
4.4	2.682
4.6	2.678
4.8	2.678
5	2.678
5.2	2.675

5.4	2.675
5.6	2.675
5.8	2.672
6	2.672
6.2	2.669
6.4	2.669
6.6	2.669
6.8	2.666
7	2.666
7.2	2.663
7.4	2.663
7.6	2.663
7.8	2.659
8	2.659
8.2	2.659
8.4	2.656
8.6	2.656
8.8	2.656
9	2.653
9.2	2.653
9.4	2.653
9.6	2.653
9.8	2.65
10	2.65
12	2.637
14	2.628
16	2.615
18	2.606
20	2.593
22	2.587
24	2.574
26	2.565
28	2.555
30	2.546
32	2.536
34	2.527
36	2.517
38	2.508
40	2.501
42	2.489
44	2.479
46	2.47
48	2.46
50	2.454
52	2.444
54	2.435
56	2.425
58	2.419
60	2.41
62	2.4
64	2.394
66	2.387
68	2.378
70	2.372
72	2.362
74	2.353
76	2.346
78	2.337
80	2.33
82	2.321
84	2.315
86	2.308
88	2.299
90	2.293
92	2.286
94	2.28
96	2.27
98	2.264
100	2.258
120	2.191
140	2.134
160	2.081
180	2.03

PERMEABILITY TEST RESULTS FOR SHM–93–22C:
TEST 1
HVORSLEV:
9 E–08 CM/SEC
BOUWER AND RICE:
6 E–06 CM/SEC


AQUIFER TESTING COMPLETION CHECKLIST

AQUIFER TEST NO. 00

SETUP	DATE	BY WHOM
MONITORING WELL ID	SHM·93·22C	R. RUSTAD / N. ROKA
DATE OF TEST	3·31·93	
TYPE OF TEST	RISING HEAD	
HERMIT TYPE/SERIAL#	SE 1000C / 1KC01732	
TEST #	SEL 0	
DATA COLLECTION RATE	LOG 000	
TRANSDUCER		
SERIAL #	2046DE	
PSIG	10	
SCALE FACTOR	10.001	
OFFSET	-0.034	
INPUT CHANNEL	INP # 1	
TEST DATA		
INPUT MODE (TOC/SUR)	TOC	
STATIC WATER LEVEL (FT./TOC)	6.64'	
WELL DEPTH (FT./TOC)		
XD DEPTH (FT.TOC)	15'	
INITIAL XD REFERENCE	0	
SLUG DEPTH (FT./TOC)	11'	
TIME OF SLUG PLACEMENT	9:30	
TIME OF WL EQUILIBRATION	~~5:8~~ 11:45	NOT QUITE EQUILIBRATED
NEW XD REFERENCE	0.89 (5.8')	
START TIME OF TEST	12:00	
END TIME OF TEST	15:10	
NOTES: LINEARITY +.002		

3' x 3" BAR STOCK PVC

FIGURE 4-14
AQUIFER TEST COMPLETION CHECKLIST
PROJECT OPERATIONS PLAN
FORT DEVENS, MASSACHUSETTS
ABB Environmental Services, Inc.


SHM-93-24A PERMEABILITY TEST
HEAD STRESS (FEET)
1.60
1.20
0.80
0.40
0.00
0.1
1
TIME (MINUTES)
TEST #1
TEST #2

SHM-93-24A
WELL RADIUS= 0.167 FT, SATURATED SCREEN LENGTH= 10 FT, BORING RADIUS= 0.417 FT

TEST 1	
MINUTES	FEET
0	0
0.0033	1.09
0.0066	1.118
0.01	0.596
0.0133	0.432
0.0166	0.353
0.02	0.331
0.0233	1.046
0.0266	1.216
0.03	1.163
0.0333	1.02
0.0366	0.913
0.04	0.818
0.0433	0.732
0.0466	0.657
0.05	0.593
0.0533	0.53
0.0566	0.479
0.06	0.432
0.0633	0.391
0.0666	0.356
0.07	0.324
0.0733	0.296
0.0766	0.274
0.08	0.252
0.0833	0.233
0.0866	0.217
0.09	0.201
0.0933	0.188
0.0966	0.176
0.1	0.169
0.1033	0.157
0.1066	0.15
0.11	0.141
0.1133	0.135
0.1166	0.128
0.12	0.122
0.1233	0.116
0.1266	0.109
0.13	0.106
0.1333	0.1
0.1366	0.097
0.14	0.094
0.1433	0.09
0.1466	0.087
0.15	0.081
0.1533	0.078
0.1566	0.075
0.16	0.075
0.1633	0.071
0.1666	0.068
0.17	0.065
0.1733	0.065
0.1766	0.062
0.18	0.059
0.1833	0.059
0.1866	0.056
0.19	0.056
0.1933	0.052
0.1966	0.052
0.2	0.049
0.2033	0.049
0.2066	0.046
0.21	0.046
0.2133	0.043
0.2166	0.043
0.22	0.043
0.2233	0.04
0.2266	0.04
0.23	0.04
0.2333	0.037
0.2366	0.037
0.24	0.037
0.2433	0.037
0.2466	0.033
0.25	0.033
0.2533	0.033
0.2566	0.03

TEST 2	
MINUTES	FEET
0	0
0.0033	0.041
0.0066	0.844
0.01	1.347
0.0133	0.774
0.0166	0.363
0.02	0.746
0.0233	0.42
0.0266	0.774
0.03	0.426
0.0333	0.638
0.0366	0.142
0.04	0.256
0.0433	0.746
0.0466	1.062
0.05	1.1
0.0533	0.945
0.0566	0.841
0.06	0.752
0.0633	0.673
0.0666	0.607
0.07	0.544
0.0733	0.49
0.0766	0.439
0.08	0.398
0.0833	0.363
0.0866	0.328
0.09	0.303
0.0933	0.278
0.0966	0.256
0.1	0.237
0.1033	0.221
0.1066	0.205
0.11	0.186
0.1133	0.177
0.1166	0.167
0.12	0.154
0.1233	0.148
0.1266	0.139
0.13	0.132
0.1333	0.126
0.1366	0.12
0.14	0.113
0.1433	0.11
0.1466	0.104
0.15	0.101
0.1533	0.094
0.1566	0.091
0.16	0.088
0.1633	0.085
0.1666	0.082
0.17	0.079
0.1733	0.075
0.1766	0.072
0.18	0.069
0.1833	0.069
0.1866	0.066
0.19	0.063
0.1933	0.063
0.1966	0.06
0.2	0.06
0.2033	0.056
0.2066	0.053
0.21	0.053
0.2133	0.053
0.2166	0.05
0.22	0.05
0.2233	0.047
0.2266	0.047
0.23	0.047
0.2333	0.044
0.2366	0.044
0.24	0.044
0.2433	0.041
0.2466	0.041
0.25	0.041
0.2533	0.037
0.2566	0.037

0.26	0.03
0.2633	0.03
0.2666	0.03
0.27	0.03
0.2733	0.027
0.2766	0.027
0.28	0.027
0.2833	0.027
0.2866	0.027
0.29	0.024
0.2933	0.024
0.2966	0.024
0.3	0.024
0.3033	0.024
0.3066	0.024
0.31	0.024
0.3133	0.021
0.3166	0.021
0.32	0.021
0.3233	0.021
0.3266	0.021
0.33	0.021
0.3333	0.021
0.35	0.018
0.3666	0.015
0.3833	0.015
0.4	0.015
0.4166	0.012
0.4333	0.012
0.45	0.012
0.4666	0.009
0.4833	0.009
0.5	0.009
0.5166	0.009
0.5333	0.009
0.55	0.006
0.5666	0.009
0.5833	0.006
0.6	0.006
0.6166	0.006
0.6333	0.006
0.65	0.006
0.6666	0.006
0.6833	0.006
0.7	0.006
0.7166	0.006
0.7333	0.006
0.75	0.006
0.7666	0.006
0.7833	0.006
0.8	0.006
0.8166	0.006
0.8333	0.006
0.85	0.003
0.8666	0.006
0.8833	0.003
0.9	0.006
0.9166	0.003
0.9333	0.003
0.95	0.003
0.9666	0.003
0.9833	0.003
1	0.003
1.2	0
1.4	0.003
1.6	0.003
1.8	0.003
2	0.003
2.2	0
2.4	0.003
2.6	0
2.8	0
3	0
3.2	0
3.4	0.003
3.6	0.003
3.8	0
4	0
4.2	0
4.4	0
4.6	0
4.8	0
5	0
5.2	0

0.26	0.037
0.2633	0.034
0.2666	0.034
0.27	0.034
0.2733	0.034
0.2766	0.034
0.28	0.031
0.2833	0.031
0.2866	0.031
0.29	0.031
0.2933	0.031
0.2966	0.028
0.3	0.028
0.3033	0.028
0.3066	0.028
0.31	0.028
0.3133	0.028
0.3166	0.025
0.32	0.025
0.3233	0.025
0.3266	0.025
0.33	0.025
0.3333	0.025
0.35	0.022
0.3666	0.018
0.3833	0.018
0.4	0.018
0.4166	0.015
0.4333	0.015
0.45	0.015
0.4666	0.012
0.4833	0.012
0.5	0.012
0.5166	0.012
0.5333	0.009
0.55	0.009
0.5666	0.009
0.5833	0.009
0.6	0.009
0.6166	0.009
0.6333	0.009
0.65	0.009
0.6666	0.009
0.6833	0.006
0.7	0.006
0.7166	0.006
0.7333	0.009
0.75	0.006
0.7666	0.009
0.7833	0.006
0.8	0.009
0.8166	0.009
0.8333	0.006
0.85	0.006
0.8666	0.006
0.8833	0.006
0.9	0.006
0.9166	0.009
0.9333	0.009
0.95	0.006
0.9666	0.006
0.9833	0.006
1	0.006
1.2	0.006
1.4	0.006
1.6	0.006
1.8	0.006
2	0.006
2.2	0.006
2.4	0.006
2.6	0.006
2.8	0.006

5.4	0
5.6	0
5.8	0

PERMEABILITY TEST RESULTS FOR SHM–93–24A:

TEST 1
HVORSLEV:
0.02 CM/SEC
BOUWER AND RICE:
0.04 CM/SEC

TEST 2
HVORSLEV:
0.02 CM/SEC
BOUWER AND RICE:
0.04 CM/SEC

AQUIFER TESTING COMPLETION CHECKLIST

AQUIFER TEST NO. _____

SETUP	DATE	BY WHOM
MONITORING WELL ID	54M·93·24A	RUSTAD / ROKA
DATE OF TEST	4·01·93	
TYPE OF TEST	RISING HEAD	
HERMIT TYPE/SERIAL#	SE 1000C / 1K00173Z	
TEST #	SLL 7 1 OF 2	
DATA COLLECTION RATE	LOG 000	
TRANSDUCER		
SERIAL #	2046 DE	
PSIG	10	
SCALE FACTOR	10.001	
OFFSET	-0.034	
INPUT CHANNEL	INP 1	
TEST DATA		
INPUT MODE (TOC/SUR)	TOC	
STATIC WATER LEVEL (FT./TOC)	15.42	
WELL DEPTH (FT./TOC)	23	
XD DEPTH (FT.TOC)	22	
INITIAL XD REFERENCE	9.57	
SLUG DEPTH (FT./TOC)	19.0	
TIME OF SLUG PLACEMENT	11:00	
TIME OF WL EQUILIBRATION	1101	
NEW XD REFERENCE	9.52	
START TIME OF TEST	1105	
END TIME OF TEST		
NOTES: LINEARITY ±0.00%		

FIGURE 4-14
AQUIFER TEST COMPLETION CHECKLIST
PROJECT OPERATIONS PLAN
FORT DEVENS, MASSACHUSETTS
ABB Environmental Services, Inc.

3' X 3" BAR STOCK PVC


AQUIFER TESTING COMPLETION CHECKLIST

AQUIFER TEST NO. _____

SETUP	DATE	BY WHOM
MONITORING WELL ID	SWM.93.24A	RUSTAD / TOKA
DATE OF TEST	4.01.93	
TYPE OF TEST	RISING HEAD	
HERMIT TYPE/SERIAL#	SE1000C / KC01732	
TEST #	SEW 8 (2 OF 2)	
DATA COLLECTION RATE	LOG 000	
TRANSDUCER		
SERIAL #	2046 SE	
PSIG	10	
SCALE FACTOR	10.001	
OFFSET	-0.034	
INPUT CHANNEL	INP 1	
TEST DATA		
INPUT MODE (TOC/SUR)	(PW) 15.42 TOC	
STATIC WATER LEVEL (FT./TOC)	(PW) ~~23~~ 15.42	
WELL DEPTH (FT./TOC)	23' PVC	
XD DEPTH (FT.TOC)	22' PVC	
INITIAL XD REFERENCE	9.52	
SLUG DEPTH (FT./TOC)	19' PVC	
TIME OF SLUG PLACEMENT	1111	
TIME OF WL EQUILIBRATION	1112	
NEW XD REFERENCE	9.52	
START TIME OF TEST	1113	
END TIME OF TEST	1116	
NOTES:		

3' x 3" Bar Stock PVC

FIGURE 4-14
AQUIFER TEST COMPLETION CHECKLIST
PROJECT OPERATIONS PLAN
FORT DEVENS, MASSACHUSETTS
ABB Environmental Services, Inc.


CSM-93-01A PERMEABILITY TEST
2.00
1.50
1.00
0.50
0.00
HEAD STRESS (FEET)
0.1
1
10
TIME (MINUTES)
TEST #1
TEST #2

CSM-93-01A
WELL RADIUS= 0.167 FT, SATURATED SCREEN LENGTH= 10 FT, BORING RADIUS= 0.208 FT

TEST 1	
MINUTES	FEET
0	0
0.0033	0.003
0.0066	0.041
0.01	0.923
0.0133	1.638
0.0166	0.616
0.02	0.563
0.0233	0.863
0.0266	1.543
0.03	1.85
0.0333	1.805
0.0366	1.777
0.04	1.733
0.0433	1.707
0.0466	1.682
0.05	1.654
0.0533	1.628
0.0566	1.616
0.06	1.597
0.0633	1.578
0.0666	1.559
0.07	1.533
0.0733	1.514
0.0766	1.495
0.08	1.477
0.0833	1.464
0.0866	1.445
0.09	1.426
0.0933	1.41
0.0966	1.394
0.1	1.375
0.1033	1.359
0.1066	1.344
0.11	1.331
0.1133	1.318
0.1166	1.303
0.12	1.284
0.1233	1.271
0.1266	1.271
0.13	1.239
0.1333	1.227
0.1366	1.217
0.14	1.208
0.1433	1.186
0.1466	1.17
0.15	1.16
0.1533	1.151
0.1566	1.132
0.16	1.125
0.1633	1.113
0.1666	1.103
0.17	1.091
0.1733	1.078
0.1766	1.065
0.18	1.056
0.1833	1.043
0.1866	1.034
0.19	1.021
0.1933	1.012
0.1966	1.002
0.2	0.989
0.2033	0.983
0.2066	0.97
0.21	0.961
0.2133	0.948
0.2166	0.942
0.22	0.929
0.2233	0.92
0.2266	0.91
0.23	0.901
0.2333	0.891
0.2366	0.882
0.24	0.876
0.2433	0.866
0.2466	0.857
0.25	0.847
0.2533	0.838
0.2566	0.831
0.26	0.819
0.2633	0.812
0.2666	0.806
0.27	0.796
0.2733	0.79
0.2766	0.781
0.28	0.774
0.2833	0.765
0.2866	0.759
0.29	0.749
0.2933	0.743
0.2966	0.73
0.3	0.73

TEST 2	
MINUTES	FEET
0	0.006
0.0033	0.012
0.0066	0.496
0.01	1.356
0.0133	1.227
0.0166	0.537
0.02	0.923
0.0233	1.303
0.0266	1.796
0.03	1.796
0.0333	1.755
0.0366	1.745
0.04	1.701
0.0433	1.698
0.0466	1.698
0.05	1.628
0.0533	1.622
0.0566	1.594
0.06	1.556
0.0633	1.559
0.0666	1.521
0.07	1.518
0.0733	1.505
0.0766	1.486
0.08	1.464
0.0833	1.448
0.0866	1.432
0.09	1.416
0.0933	1.397
0.0966	1.382
0.1	1.366
0.1033	1.35
0.1066	1.334
0.11	1.318
0.1133	1.306
0.1166	1.287
0.12	1.274
0.1233	1.258
0.1266	1.246
0.13	1.23
0.1333	1.217
0.1366	1.204
0.14	1.192
0.1433	1.176
0.1466	1.157
0.15	1.151
0.1533	1.138
0.1566	1.129
0.16	1.116
0.1633	1.103
0.1666	1.094
0.17	1.078
0.1733	1.072
0.1766	1.056
0.18	1.046
0.1833	1.034
0.1866	1.024
0.19	1.015
0.1933	1.002
0.1966	0.993
0.2	0.98
0.2033	0.97
0.2066	0.961
0.21	0.951
0.2133	0.939
0.2166	0.929
0.22	0.917
0.2233	0.914
0.2266	0.901
0.23	0.888
0.2333	0.885
0.2366	0.876
0.24	0.882
0.2433	0.853
0.2466	0.847
0.25	0.838
0.2533	0.825
0.2566	0.822
0.26	0.812
0.2633	0.806
0.2666	0.796
0.27	0.787
0.2733	0.781
0.2766	0.774
0.28	0.765
0.2833	0.755
0.2866	0.749
0.29	0.743
0.2933	0.74
0.2966	0.727
0.3	0.721

0.3033	0.721
0.3066	0.714
0.31	0.708
0.3133	0.702
0.3166	0.692
0.32	0.689
0.3233	0.679
0.3266	0.673
0.33	0.667
0.3333	0.66
0.35	0.626
0.3666	0.594
0.3833	0.563
0.4	0.534
0.4166	0.509
0.4333	0.483
0.45	0.458
0.4666	0.436
0.4833	0.414
0.5	0.395
0.5166	0.376
0.5333	0.357
0.55	0.338
0.5666	0.325
0.5833	0.309
0.6	0.294
0.6166	0.281
0.6333	0.268
0.65	0.259
0.6666	0.246
0.6833	0.237
0.7	0.227
0.7166	0.218
0.7333	0.208
0.75	0.199
0.7666	0.192
0.7833	0.183
0.8	0.177
0.8166	0.17
0.8333	0.161
0.85	0.158
0.8666	0.148
0.8833	0.142
0.9	0.139
0.9166	0.132
0.9333	0.129
0.95	0.123
0.9666	0.12
0.9833	0.117
1	0.113
1.2	0.069
1.4	0.05
1.6	0.037
1.8	0.031
2	0.028
2.2	0.022
2.4	0.018
2.6	0.018
2.8	0.018
3	0.015
3.2	0.012
3.4	0.012
3.6	0.012
3.8	0.012
4	0.012
4.2	0.012
4.4	0.009
4.6	0.009
4.8	0.009
5	0.009
5.2	0.009
5.4	0.009
5.6	0.009
5.8	0.009
6	0.009
6.2	0.009
6.4	0.009
6.6	0.009
6.8	0.009
7	0.009
7.2	0.009
7.4	0.009
7.6	0.009
7.8	0.009
8	0.009
8.2	0.009
8.4	0.006
8.6	0.009
8.8	0.009
9	0.009
9.2	0.009
9.4	0.009
9.6	0.012
9.8	0.009
10	0.009

0.3033	0.714
0.3066	0.705
0.31	0.702
0.3133	0.692
0.3166	0.686
0.32	0.679
0.3233	0.673
0.3266	0.667
0.33	0.66
0.3333	0.654
0.35	0.619
0.3666	0.585
0.3833	0.556
0.4	0.528
0.4166	0.502
0.4333	0.477
0.45	0.461
0.4666	0.43
0.4833	0.411
0.5	0.392
0.5166	0.373
0.5333	0.354
0.55	0.338
0.5666	0.322
0.5833	0.306
0.6	0.294
0.6166	0.281
0.6333	0.268
0.65	0.256
0.6666	0.243
0.6833	0.234
0.7	0.224
0.7166	0.215
0.7333	0.205
0.75	0.199
0.7666	0.189
0.7833	0.18
0.8	0.173
0.8166	0.167
0.8333	0.161
0.85	0.154
0.8666	0.151
0.8833	0.142
0.9	0.139
0.9166	0.132
0.9333	0.129
0.95	0.123
0.9666	0.12
0.9833	0.117
1	0.11
1.2	0.066
1.4	0.05
1.6	0.037
1.8	0.028
2	0.025
2.2	0.022
2.4	0.018
2.6	0.018
2.8	0.015
3	0.015
3.2	0.012
3.4	0.012
3.6	0.012
3.8	0.012
4	0.012
4.2	0.012

PERMEABILITY TEST RESULTS FO CSM–93–01A:

TEST 1
HVORSLEV:
0.0005 CM/SEC
BOUWER AND RICE:
0.005 CM/SEC

TEST 2
HVORSLEV:
0.0005 CM/SEC
BOUWER AND RICE:
0.007 CM/SEC

AQUIFER TESTING COMPLETION CHECKLIST

AQUIFER TEST NO. _____

SETUP	DATE	BY WHOM
MONITORING WELL ID	CSM-93-01A ~~SHM-93~~	RUSTAD/ROCA
DATE OF TEST	4.01.93	
TYPE OF TEST	RISING HEAD	
HERMIT TYPE/SERIAL#	SE1000C / 1KC01732	
TEST #	SEL 9 / 10F2	
DATA COLLECTION RATE	LOG 000	
TRANSDUCER		
SERIAL #	20467DE	
PSIG	10	
SCALE FACTOR	10.001	
OFFSET	+0.034	
INPUT CHANNEL	INT # 1	
TEST DATA		
INPUT MODE (TOC/SUR)	TOC	
STATIC WATER LEVEL (FT./TOC)	15.99	
WELL DEPTH (FT./TOC)	65.00'	
XD DEPTH (FT.TOC)	~~25.~~ PW 26.00	
INITIAL XD REFERENCE	10.63	
SLUG DEPTH (FT./TOC)	20.00	
TIME OF SLUG PLACEMENT	1155	
TIME OF WL EQUILIBRATION	1200	
NEW XD REFERENCE	10.65	
START TIME OF TEST	1205	
END TIME OF TEST	1212	
NOTES:		

3' X 3" BAR STOCK PVC


FIGURE 4-14
AQUIFER TEST COMPLETION CHECKLIST
PROJECT OPERATIONS PLAN
FORT DEVENS, MASSACHUSETTS
ABB Environmental Services, Inc.

AQUIFER TESTING COMPLETION CHECKLIST

AQUIFER TEST NO. _____

SETUP	DATE	BY WHOM
MONITORING WELL ID	CSM.93.01A	RUSTAN / ROCA
DATE OF TEST	4.01.93	
TYPE OF TEST	RISING HEAD	
HERMIT TYPE/SERIAL#	SE 1000 C / 1KC01732	
TEST #	SEL 10	
DATA COLLECTION RATE	Lar 000	
TRANSDUCER		
SERIAL #	2046 DE	
PSIG	10	
SCALE FACTOR	10.001	
OFFSET	-0.034	
INPUT CHANNEL	IND 1	
TEST DATA		
INPUT MODE (TOC/SUR)	TOC	
STATIC WATER LEVEL (FT./TOC)	15.99	
WELL DEPTH (FT./TOC)	65'	
XD DEPTH (FT.TOC)	20.00	
INITIAL XD REFERENCE	10.63	
SLUG DEPTH (FT./TOC)	20.00	
TIME OF SLUG PLACEMENT	1213	
TIME OF WL EQUILIBRATION	1215	
NEW XD REFERENCE	10.65	
START TIME OF TEST	1216	
END TIME OF TEST	1220	
NOTES: ALL MEAS. FROM PVC		

FIGURE 4-14
AQUIFER TEST COMPLETION CHECKLIST
PROJECT OPERATIONS PLAN
FORT DEVENS, MASSACHUSETTS
ABB Environmental Services, Inc.


CSM-93-02A PERMEABILITY TEST
2.00
1.50
1.00
0.50
0.00
HEAD STRESS (FEET)
0.1
1
TIME (MINUTES)
TEST #1
TEST #2

CSM-93-02A
WELL RADIUS= 0.167 FT, SATURATED SCREEN LENGTH= 7.4 FT, BORING RAI

TEST 1		TEST 2	
MINUTES	FEET	MINUTES	FEET
0	0.003	0	0.452
0.0033	0.8	0.0033	0.971
0.0066	1.037	0.0066	1.031
0.01	1.04	0.01	0.272
0.0133	0.679	0.0133	0.433
0.0166	0.461	0.0166	0.872
0.02	0.872	0.02	0.43
0.0233	0.158	0.0233	0.303
0.0266	1.04	0.0266	1.075
0.03	1.233	0.03	1.084
0.0333	1.613	0.0333	1.388
0.0366	1.549	0.0366	1.533
0.04	1.483	0.04	1.537
0.0433	1.435	0.0433	1.442
0.0466	1.391	0.0466	1.401
0.05	1.347	0.05	1.356
0.0533	1.309	0.0533	1.331
0.0566	1.271	0.0566	1.287
0.06	1.23	0.06	1.261
0.0633	1.205	0.0633	1.227
0.0666	1.17	0.0666	1.198
0.07	1.138	0.07	1.16
0.0733	1.11	0.0733	1.135
0.0766	1.084	0.0766	1.106
0.08	1.056	0.08	1.075
0.0833	1.027	0.0833	1.046
0.0866	1.002	0.0866	1.021
0.09	0.977	0.09	1.002
0.0933	0.955	0.0933	0.971
0.0966	0.929	0.0966	0.948
0.1	0.907	0.1	0.923
0.1033	0.885	0.1033	0.904
0.1066	0.866	0.1066	0.885
0.11	0.847	0.11	0.866
0.1133	0.828	0.1133	0.844
0.1166	0.809	0.1166	0.825
0.12	0.793	0.12	0.809
0.1233	0.778	0.1233	0.793
0.1266	0.762	0.1266	0.774
0.13	0.746	0.13	0.762
0.1333	0.733	0.1333	0.746
0.1366	0.721	0.1366	0.733
0.14	0.708	0.14	0.721
0.1433	0.695	0.1433	0.708
0.1466	0.683	0.1466	0.695
0.15	0.673	0.15	0.683
0.1533	0.664	0.1533	0.673
0.1566	0.651	0.1566	0.664
0.16	0.645	0.16	0.654
0.1633	0.635	0.1633	0.645
0.1666	0.626	0.1666	0.635
0.17	0.619	0.17	0.629
0.1733	0.61	0.1733	0.619
0.1766	0.604	0.1766	0.613
0.18	0.597	0.18	0.607
0.1833	0.588	0.1833	0.597
0.1866	0.581	0.1866	0.591
0.19	0.575	0.19	0.585
0.1933	0.569	0.1933	0.578
0.1966	0.562	0.1966	0.572
0.2	0.556	0.2	0.566
0.2033	0.55	0.2033	0.559
0.2066	0.547	0.2066	0.556
0.21	0.54	0.21	0.55
0.2133	0.537	0.2133	0.544
0.2166	0.531	0.2166	0.54
0.22	0.525	0.22	0.534
0.2233	0.521	0.2233	0.531
0.2266	0.518	0.2266	0.525
0.23	0.512	0.23	0.521
0.2333	0.509	0.2333	0.518
0.2366	0.506	0.2366	0.512
0.24	0.499	0.24	0.509
0.2433	0.496	0.2433	0.506
0.2466	0.493	0.2466	0.502
0.25	0.49	0.25	0.499
0.2533	0.487	0.2533	0.493
0.2566	0.483	0.2566	0.49

0.26	0.48
0.2633	0.474
0.2666	0.474
0.27	0.471
0.2733	0.464
0.2766	0.464
0.28	0.461
0.2833	0.458
0.2866	0.455
0.29	0.452
0.2933	0.449
0.2966	0.445
0.3	0.442
0.3033	0.442
0.3066	0.439
0.31	0.436
0.3133	0.433
0.3166	0.43
0.32	0.426
0.3233	0.426
0.3266	0.423
0.33	0.42
0.3333	0.417
0.35	0.404
0.3666	0.395
0.3833	0.382
0.4	0.373
0.4166	0.363
0.4333	0.354
0.45	0.344
0.4666	0.335
0.4833	0.328
0.5	0.319
0.5166	0.313
0.5333	0.303
0.55	0.297
0.5666	0.29
0.5833	0.284
0.6	0.278
0.6166	0.272
0.6333	0.268
0.65	0.262
0.6666	0.256
0.6833	0.249
0.7	0.246
0.7166	0.24
0.7333	0.234
0.75	0.23
0.7666	0.224
0.7833	0.221
0.8	0.218
0.8166	0.211
0.8333	0.208
0.85	0.205
0.8666	0.202
0.8833	0.199
0.9	0.196
0.9166	0.192
0.9333	0.186
0.95	0.183
0.9666	0.18
0.9833	0.177
1	0.173
1.2	0.139
1.4	0.117
1.6	0.101
1.8	0.085
2	0.075
2.2	0.069
2.4	0.06
2.6	0.056
2.8	0.05
3	0.047
3.2	0.044
3.4	0.044
3.6	0.041
3.8	0.037
4	0.037
4.2	0.034

0.26	0.487
0.2633	0.483
0.2666	0.48
0.27	0.477
0.2733	0.474
0.2766	0.471
0.28	0.468
0.2833	0.464
0.2866	0.461
0.29	0.458
0.2933	0.455
0.2966	0.452
0.3	0.452
0.3033	0.449
0.3066	0.445
0.31	0.442
0.3133	0.439
0.3166	0.436
0.32	0.433
0.3233	0.433
0.3266	0.43
0.33	0.426
0.3333	0.423
0.35	0.411
0.3666	0.398
0.3833	0.385
0.4	0.376
0.4166	0.366
0.4333	0.354
0.45	0.347
0.4666	0.338
0.4833	0.328
0.5	0.322
0.5166	0.313
0.5333	0.306
0.55	0.297
0.5666	0.29
0.5833	0.284
0.6	0.278
0.6166	0.272
0.6333	0.265
0.65	0.259
0.6666	0.256
0.6833	0.249
0.7	0.243
0.7166	0.24
0.7333	0.234
0.75	0.227
0.7666	0.224
0.7833	0.221
0.8	0.215
0.8166	0.211
0.8333	0.208
0.85	0.202
0.8666	0.199
0.8833	0.196
0.9	0.192
0.9166	0.189
0.9333	0.183
0.95	0.18
0.9666	0.177
0.9833	0.173
1	0.17
1.2	0.132
1.4	0.11
1.6	0.094
1.8	0.079
2	0.069
2.2	0.06
2.4	0.05
2.6	0.047
2.8	0.041
3	0.037
3.2	0.034
3.4	0.031
3.6	0.028

PERMEABILITY TEST RESULTS FOR CSM−93−02A:

TEST 1
HVORSLEV:
0.0008 CM/SEC

TEST 2
HVORSLEV:
0.0008 CM/SEC

BOUWER AND RICE:
0.002 CM/SEC

AQUIFER TESTING COMPLETION CHECKLIST

AQUIFER TEST NO. _____

SETUP	DATE	BY WHOM
MONITORING WELL ID	SHM·93·10C	R RUSTAD / N ROKA
DATE OF TEST	3·31·93	
TYPE OF TEST	FALLING HEAD	
HERMIT TYPE/SERIAL#	SE 1000 C/1KC0731	
TEST #	SEL 2 (2 OF 2)	
DATA COLLECTION RATE	LOG 000	
TRANSDUCER		
SERIAL #	2045DE	
PSIG	10	
SCALE FACTOR	9.983	
OFFSET	-0.035	
INPUT CHANNEL	INP 1	
TEST DATA		
INPUT MODE (TOC/SUR)	SUR	
STATIC WATER LEVEL (FT./TOC)	29.79	
WELL DEPTH (FT./TOC)	56'	
XD DEPTH (FT.TOC)	45'	
INITIAL XD REFERENCE	16.40	
SLUG DEPTH (FT./TOC)	40.0'	
TIME OF SLUG PLACEMENT	16:30	
TIME OF WL EQUILIBRATION	—	
NEW XD REFERENCE	—	
START TIME OF TEST	1630	
END TIME OF TEST	1725	
NOTES:		

3' x 3" BAR STOCK PVC

FIGURE 4-14
AQUIFER TEST COMPLETION CHECKLIST
PROJECT OPERATIONS PLAN
FORT DEVENS, MASSACHUSETTS
ABB Environmental Services, Inc.

AQUIFER TESTING COMPLETION CHECKLIST

AQUIFER TEST NO. _____

SETUP	DATE	BY WHOM
MONITORING WELL ID	CSM-93-02A	RUSTAD / ROKA
DATE OF TEST	4-01-93	
TYPE OF TEST	RISING HEAD	
HERMIT TYPE/SERIAL#	SE 13 (10F2)	
TEST #	SE1000c/1KC01732	
DATA COLLECTION RATE	LOG 000	
TRANSDUCER		
SERIAL #	20496 SE	
PSIG	10	
SCALE FACTOR	10.001	
OFFSET	-0.034	
INPUT CHANNEL	# 1	
TEST DATA		
INPUT MODE (TOC/SUR)		
STATIC WATER LEVEL (FT./TOC)	24.64	
WELL DEPTH (FT./TOC)	32.00	
XD DEPTH (FT.TOC)	31.00	
INITIAL XD REFERENCE	8.51	
SLUG DEPTH (FT./TOC)	29.00	
TIME OF SLUG PLACEMENT	1335	
TIME OF WL EQUILIBRATION	1338	
NEW XD REFERENCE	8.54	
START TIME OF TEST	1339	
END TIME OF TEST		
NOTES:		


FIGURE 4-14
AQUIFER TEST COMPLETION CHECKLIST
PROJECT OPERATIONS PLAN
FORT DEVENS, MASSACHUSETTS
ABB Environmental Services, Inc.

AQUIFER TESTING COMPLETION CHECKLIST

AQUIFER TEST NO. _____

SETUP	DATE	BY WHOM
MONITORING WELL ID	CSM-93-02A	RUSTAD / ROKA
DATE OF TEST	4.01.93	
TYPE OF TEST	Rising head	
HERMIT TYPE/SERIAL#	SEL 14 (2 of 2)	
TEST #	SE1000C/1KC01732	
DATA COLLECTION RATE	Log 000	
TRANSDUCER		
SERIAL #	2046DE	
PSIG	10	
SCALE FACTOR	10.001	
OFFSET	-0.054	
INPUT CHANNEL	# 1	
TEST DATA		
INPUT MODE (TOC/SUR)	EnToc	
STATIC WATER LEVEL (FT./TOC)	24.64	
WELL DEPTH (FT./TOC)	32.00	
XD DEPTH (FT.TOC)	31.00	
INITIAL XD REFERENCE	8.54	
SLUG DEPTH (FT./TOC)	29.00	
TIME OF SLUG PLACEMENT	1345	
TIME OF WL EQUILIBRATION	1347	
NEW XD REFERENCE	8.54	
START TIME OF TEST	1352	
END TIME OF TEST		
NOTES:		

FIGURE 4-14
AQUIFER TEST COMPLETION CHECKLIST
PROJECT OPERATIONS PLAN
FORT DEVENS, MASSACHUSETTS
ABB Environmental Services, Inc.


CSM-93-02B PERMEABILITY TEST
2.00
1.50
1.00
0.50
0.00
HEAD STRESS (FEET)
0.1
1
TIME (MINUTES)
TEST #1
TEST #2

CSM-93-02B
WELL RADIUS= 0.167 FT, SATURATED SCREEN LENGTH= 10 FT, BORING RADIUS= 0.417 FT

TEST 1	
MINUTES	FEET
0	0
0.0033	0.389
0.0066	1.144
0.01	0.68
0.0133	0.472
0.0166	1.087
0.02	0.69
0.0233	0.787
0.0266	0.803
0.03	1.381
0.0333	1.66
0.0366	1.657
0.04	1.634
0.0433	1.578
0.0466	1.517
0.05	1.473
0.0533	1.429
0.0566	1.381
0.06	1.344
0.0633	1.302
0.0666	1.268
0.07	1.223
0.0733	1.189
0.0766	1.16
0.08	1.125
0.0833	1.097
0.0866	1.072
0.09	1.034
0.0933	1.011
0.0966	0.983
0.1	0.961
0.1033	0.942
0.1066	0.913
0.11	0.888
0.1133	0.866
0.1166	0.872
0.12	0.822
0.1233	0.796
0.1266	0.758
0.13	0.755
0.1333	0.736
0.1366	0.717
0.14	0.693
0.1433	0.68
0.1466	0.683
0.15	0.649
0.1533	0.63
0.1566	0.585
0.16	0.589
0.1633	0.579
0.1666	0.595
0.17	0.56
0.1733	0.535
0.1766	0.522
0.18	0.503
0.1833	0.494
0.1866	0.484
0.19	0.472
0.1933	0.465
0.1966	0.44
0.2	0.434
0.2033	0.456
0.2066	0.421
0.21	0.402
0.2133	0.396
0.2166	0.38
0.22	0.37
0.2233	0.367
0.2266	0.342
0.23	0.345
0.2333	0.339
0.2366	0.332
0.24	0.32
0.2433	0.313
0.2466	0.307
0.25	0.294
0.2533	0.288
0.2566	0.285

TEST 2	
MINUTES	FEET
0	0.281
0.0033	0.774
0.0066	0.424
0.01	0.06
0.0133	0.348
0.0166	0.398
0.02	0.348
0.0233	0.338
0.0266	0.345
0.03	0.411
0.0333	0.601
0.0366	1.021
0.04	1.334
0.0433	1.438
0.0466	1.59
0.05	1.618
0.0533	1.58
0.0566	1.536
0.06	1.489
0.0633	1.444
0.0666	1.397
0.07	1.362
0.0733	1.321
0.0766	1.283
0.08	1.248
0.0833	1.214
0.0866	1.179
0.09	1.15
0.0933	1.122
0.0966	1.097
0.1	1.065
0.1033	1.036
0.1066	1.005
0.11	0.97
0.1133	0.967
0.1166	0.935
0.12	0.919
0.1233	0.894
0.1266	0.859
0.13	0.837
0.1333	0.821
0.1366	0.796
0.14	0.777
0.1433	0.758
0.1466	0.745
0.15	0.711
0.1533	0.699
0.1566	0.661
0.16	0.661
0.1633	0.651
0.1666	0.639
0.17	0.617
0.1733	0.61
0.1766	0.585
0.18	0.566
0.1833	0.557
0.1866	0.541
0.19	0.531
0.1933	0.522
0.1966	0.506
0.2	0.487
0.2033	0.481
0.2066	0.468
0.21	0.462
0.2133	0.446
0.2166	0.436
0.22	0.424
0.2233	0.411
0.2266	0.398
0.23	0.395
0.2333	0.383
0.2366	0.379
0.24	0.364
0.2433	0.354
0.2466	0.345
0.25	0.338
0.2533	0.329
0.2566	0.319

0.26	0.275
0.2633	0.279
0.2666	0.263
0.27	0.256
0.2733	0.25
0.2766	0.25
0.28	0.241
0.2833	0.231
0.2866	0.228
0.29	0.219
0.2933	0.212
0.2966	0.209
0.3	0.206
0.3033	0.2
0.3066	0.206
0.31	0.19
0.3133	0.187
0.3166	0.181
0.32	0.152
0.3233	0.171
0.3266	0.168
0.33	0.165
0.3333	0.162
0.35	0.136
0.3666	0.117
0.3833	0.098
0.4	0.079
0.4166	0.07
0.4333	0.06
0.45	0.051
0.4666	0.045
0.4833	0.038
0.5	0.035
0.5166	0.032
0.5333	0.026
0.55	0.022
0.5666	0.019
0.5833	0.016
0.6	0.013
0.6166	0.013
0.6333	0.013
0.65	0.022
0.6666	0.007
0.6833	0.016
0.7	0.007
0.7166	0.007
0.7333	0.003
0.75	0.003
0.7666	0.003
0.7833	0.003
0.8	0.003
0.8166	0.003
0.8333	0
0.85	0
0.8666	0
0.8833	0
0.9	0
0.9166	0
0.9333	0
0.95	0
0.9666	0
0.9833	−0.003
1	0
1.2	0
1.4	0
1.6	0
1.8	−0.003
2	0

0.26	0.313
0.2633	0.307
0.2666	0.307
0.27	0.291
0.2733	0.281
0.2766	0.285
0.28	0.266
0.2833	0.262
0.2866	0.256
0.29	0.24
0.2933	0.24
0.2966	0.24
0.3	0.234
0.3033	0.228
0.3066	0.228
0.31	0.212
0.3133	0.212
0.3166	0.218
0.32	0.202
0.3233	0.196
0.3266	0.193
0.33	0.19
0.3333	0.193
0.35	0.158
0.3666	0.136
0.3833	0.114
0.4	0.092
0.4166	0.082
0.4333	0.073
0.45	0.057
0.4666	0.054
0.4833	0.057
0.5	0.038
0.5166	0.035
0.5333	0.032
0.55	0.009
0.5666	0.025
0.5833	0.019
0.6	0.019
0.6166	0.016
0.6333	0.013
0.65	0.013
0.6666	0.009
0.6833	0.009
0.7	0.006
0.7166	0.006
0.7333	0.006
0.75	0.006
0.7666	0.006
0.7833	0.003
0.8	0.003
0.8166	0.003
0.8333	0.003
0.85	0.003
0.8666	0.003
0.8833	0.003
0.9	0.003
0.9166	0.003
0.9333	0.003
0.95	0
0.9666	0.003
0.9833	0.003
1	0
1.2	0
1.4	−0.003
1.6	0
1.8	0
2	0
2.2	0
2.4	0
2.6	0
2.8	0
3	0
3.2	0

PERMEABILITY TEST RESULTS FOR CSM−93−02B:
TEST 1
HVORSLEV:
0.001 CM/SEC
BOUWER AND RICE:
0.01 CM/SEC

TEST 2
HVORSLEV:
0.001 CM/SEC
BOUWER AND RICE:
0.01 CM/SEC

AQUIFER TESTING COMPLETION CHECKLIST

AQUIFER TEST NO. _____

SETUP	DATE	BY WHOM
MONITORING WELL ID	CSM.93.02B	RUSTAD / ROKA
DATE OF TEST	4.01.93	
TYPE OF TEST	RISING HEAD	
HERMIT TYPE/SERIAL#	SE 1000C / 1K0017J2	
TEST #	SEL 11 / 10F2	
DATA COLLECTION RATE	LOG 000	
TRANSDUCER		
SERIAL #	2046DE	
PSIG	10	
SCALE FACTOR	10.001	
OFFSET	-0.034	
INPUT CHANNEL	INP 1	
TEST DATA		
INPUT MODE (TOC/SUR)	TOC	
STATIC WATER LEVEL (FT./TOC)	24.42	
WELL DEPTH (FT./TOC)	68.00	
XD DEPTH (FT.TOC)	35.00	
INITIAL XD REFERENCE	11.35	
SLUG DEPTH (FT./TOC)	30.00	
TIME OF SLUG PLACEMENT	13:11	
TIME OF WL EQUILIBRATION	13.12	
NEW XD REFERENCE	11.35	
START TIME OF TEST	1315	
END TIME OF TEST	1317	
NOTES: ALL DEPTHS ~~TO~~ FROM PVC		

FIGURE 4-14
AQUIFER TEST COMPLETION CHECKLIST
PROJECT OPERATIONS PLAN
FORT DEVENS, MASSACHUSETTS
ABB Environmental Services, Inc.

AQUIFER TESTING COMPLETION CHECKLIST

AQUIFER TEST NO. _____

SETUP	DATE	BY WHOM
MONITORING WELL ID	CSM-93-02B	RUSTAD / ROCA
DATE OF TEST	4-01-93	
TYPE OF TEST	RISING HEAD	
HERMIT TYPE/SERIAL#	SE 1000C / 1KC01732	
TEST #	SEL 12 (2 OF 2)	
DATA COLLECTION RATE	LOG 000	
TRANSDUCER		
SERIAL #	2046 D E	
PSIG	10	
SCALE FACTOR	10.001	
OFFSET	-0.034	
INPUT CHANNEL	IND 1	
TEST DATA		
INPUT MODE (TOC/SUR)	TOC	
STATIC WATER LEVEL (FT./TOC)	24.42	
WELL DEPTH (FT./TOC)	68.00	
XD DEPTH (FT.TOC)	35.00	
INITIAL XD REFERENCE	11.35	
SLUG DEPTH (FT./TOC)	30.00	
TIME OF SLUG PLACEMENT	13:18	
TIME OF WL EQUILIBRATION	13/9	
NEW XD REFERENCE	11.36	
START TIME OF TEST	1320	
END TIME OF TEST		
NOTES:		

FIGURE 4-14
AQUIFER TEST COMPLETION CHECKLIST
PROJECT OPERATIONS PLAN
FORT DEVENS, MASSACHUSETTS
ABB Environmental Services, Inc.


CALCULATION OF HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITIES USING THE HVORSLEV EQUATION
GROUP 3 WELLS


$$K = -[(\log Ht1 - \log Ht2)/(t1 - t2)]\{[(r)^{.2} \log (L/R)]/2L\}$$


WHERE:


t1 =	TIME 1 (MINUTES)
t2 =	TIME 2 (MINUTES)
Ht1 =	HEAD STRESS AT TIME 1 (FEET)
Ht2 =	HEAD STRESS AT TIME 2 (FEET)
r =	RADIUS OF WELL CASING (FEET)
R =	RADUS OF BOREHOLE (FEET)
L =	EFFECTIVE SATURATED LENGTH OF SCREEN (FEET)


WELL	t1	t2	Ht1	Ht2	r	R	L	TEST #	K (FT/MIN)	K (CM/SEC)
SHM-93-01A	0.3	0.7	0.435	0.214	0.167	0.417	4.3	1	2.5E-03	1.3E-03
SHM-93-01A	0.3	0.7	0.451	0.214	0.167	0.417	4.3	2	2.7E-03	1.4E-03
SHM-93-10C	8	18	0.947	0.47	0.167	0.234	15	1	5.1E-05	2.6E-05
SHM-93-10C	6	14	1.019	0.524	0.167	0.234	15	2	6.1E-05	3.1E-05
SHM-93-18B	0.35	0.85	1.016	0.436	0.167	0.417	15	1	1.1E-03	5.4E-04
SHM-93-18B	0.35	0.85	1.013	0.435	0.167	0.417	15	2	1.1E-03	5.4E-04
SHM-93-22C	100	180	2.258	2.03	0.167	0.234	15	1	9.7E-07	4.9E-07
SHM-93-24A	0.05	0.066	0.593	0.356	0.167	0.417	7.6	1	3.2E-02	1.6E-02
SHM-93-24A	0.06	0.08	0.752	0.398	0.167	0.417	7.6	2	3.2E-02	1.6E-02
CSM-93-01A	0.2	0.383	0.989	0.563	0.167	0.208	49	1	9.0E-04	4.6E-04
CSM-93-01A	0.2	0.383	0.98	0.556	0.167	0.208	49	2	9.1E-04	4.6E-04
CSM-93-02A	0.3	0.6	0.442	0.278	0.167	0.417	7.4	1	1.6E-03	8.0E-04
CSM-93-02A	0.3	0.6	0.452	0.278	0.167	0.417	7.4	2	1.7E-03	8.4E-04
CSM-93-02B	0.06	0.096	1.344	0.983	0.167	0.417	15	1	5.5E-03	2.8E-03
CSM-93-02B	0.07	0.103	1.362	1.036	0.167	0.417	15	2	5.2E-03	2.6E-03



PERMEABILTY TEST #1 SHM-93-01A
K = 0.004362 cm/sec
y0 = 22.68 cm
100.
10.
1.
0.1
Displacement (cm)
0.
57.6
115.2
172.8
230.4
288.
Time (sec)



PERMEABILITY TEST #2 SHM-93-01A
K = 0.008797 cm/sec
y0 = 0.7847 cm
10.
1.
0.1
0.01
0.001
Displacement (cm)
0.
1.2
2.4
3.6
4.8
6.
Time (sec)



PERMEABILITY TEST #1 SHM-93-10C
K = 0.0001584 cm/sec
y0 = 50.65 cm
100.
10.
1.
Displacement (cm)
0.
576.
1152.
1728.
2304.
2880.
Time (sec)



PERMEABILITY TEST #2 SHM-93-10C
K = 0.0001717 cm/sec
y0 = 51.02 cm
100.
10.
1.
Displacement (cm)
0.
456.
912.
1368.
1824.
2280.
Time (sec)



PERMEABILITY TEST #1 SHM-93-18B
K = 0.003517 cm/sec
y0 = 55.83 cm
100.
10.
1.
0.1
Displacement (cm)
0.
60.
120.
180.
240.
300.
Time (sec)



PERMEABILITY TEST #2 SHM-93-18B
K = 0.003506 cm/sec
H0 = 55.59 cm
100.
10.
1.
0.1
Displacement (cm)
0.
43.2
86.4
129.6
172.8
216.
Time (sec)



PERMEABILITY TEST #1 SHM-93-22C
100.
10.
K = 5.7797E-06 cm/sec
y0 = 82.8
Displacement (cm)
0.
2160.
4320.
6480.
8640.
10800
Time (sec)



PERMEABILITY TEST #1 SHM-93-24A
K = 0.039 cm/sec
y0 = 83.86 cm
100.
10.
1.
0.1
Displacement (cm)
0.
30.
60.
90.
120.
150.
Time (sec)



PERMEABILITY TEST #2 SHM-93-24A
K = 0.04026 cm/sec
y0 = 155. cm
100.
10.
1.
0.1
Displacement (cm)
0.
33.6
67.2
100.8
134.4
168.
Time (sec)



PERMEABILITY TEST #1 CSM-93-01A
K = 0.005317 cm/sec
y0 = 55.41 cm
Displacement (cm)
100.
10.
1.
0.1
0.
120.
240.
360.
480.
600.
Time (sec)


PERMEABILITY TEST #2 CSM-93-01A
K = 0.006644 cm/sec
y0 = 54.99 cm
Displacement (cm)
100.
10.
1.
0.1
0.
50.4
100.8
151.2
201.6
252.
Time (sec)


PERMEABILITY TEST #1 CSM-93-02A
K = 0.001978 cm/sec
y0 = 21.56 cm
100.
10.
1.
Displacement (cm)
0.
50.4
100.8
151.2
201.6
252.
Time (sec)


PERMEABILITY TEST #2 CSM-93-02A
K = 0.002048 cm/sec
y0 = 22.28 cm
100.
10.
1.
0.1
Displacement (cm)
0.
43.2
86.4
129.6
172.8
216.
Time (sec)


PERMEABILITY TEST #1 CSM-93-02B
K = 0.01461 cm/sec
y0 = 67.79 cm
100.
10.
1.
0.1
0.01
Displacement (cm)
0.
9.8
19.6
29.4
39.2
49.
Time (sec)



PERMEABILITY TEST #2 CSM-93-02B
K = 0.01395 cm/sec
yb = 72.78 cm
100.
10.
1.
0.1
0.01
Displacement (cm)
0.
11.8
23.6
35.4
47.2
59.
Time (sec)

APPENDIX D
GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION ANALYSES

SHD–92–01X
SHD–92–12X
SHD–92–18X
SHD–92–19X
SHD–92–26X
SHD–92–27X
SHD–92–28X
SHD–92–29X
SHD–92–30X
SHD–92–31X
SHD–92–32X
SHB–93–01A (SHM–93–01A)
SHB–93–24A (SHM–93–24A)
SHB–93–18B (SHM–93–18B)
CSD–92–01X
CSD–92–02X
CSD–92–03X
CSD–92–04X
CSD–92–05X
CSD–92–06X
CSD–92–10X
CSD–92–12X
CSD–92–13X
CSB–93–01A (CSM–93–01A)
CSB–93–02B (CSM–93–02B)
MAD–92–02X
GRW–92–01X (GRD–92–01X)
GRW–92–04X (GRD–92–04X)

This page intentionally left blank.

1/23/93grnsz92



COBBLES	GRAVEL		SAND			SILT OR CLAY
	coarse	fine	coarse	medium	fine	

Specimen Identification	Classification	WC%	LL	PL	PI	Gs
Point ID SHD9201X Depth 3.0 ft						


D100	D60	D30	D10	Cc	Cu	%Gravel	%Sand	%Silt	%Clay
4.75						0	38	62	

Ft. Devens
Boston, Mass.
Project No: 3923034G

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION CURVE

Environmental Science and Engineering
Gainesville, Florida
January 23, 1993

1/23/93grnsz92



COBBLES	GRAVEL		SAND			SILT OR CLAY
	coarse	fine	coarse	medium	fine	

Specimen Identification	Classification	WC%	LL	PL	PI	Gs
Point ID SHD9201X Depth 5.0 ft	Poorly Graded Sand SP					


D100	D60	D30	D10	Cc	Cu	%Gravel	%Sand	%Silt	%Clay
4.75	0.53	0.317	0.2046	0.92	2.6	0	98	2	

Ft. Devens
Boston, Mass.
Project No: 3923034G

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION CURVE

Environmental Science and Engineering
Gainesville, Florida
January 23, 1993

1/23/93grnsz92



COBBLES	GRAVEL		SAND			SILT OR CLAY
	coarse	fine	coarse	medium	fine	

Specimen Identification	Classification	WC%	LL	PL	PI	Gs
Point ID SHD9212X Depth 4.0 ft						


D100	D60	D30	D10	Cc	Cu	%Gravel	%Sand	%Silt	%Clay
9.50	0.23	0.151	0.0438			0	83	13	5

Ft. Devens
Boston, Mass.
Project No: 3923034G

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION CURVE

Environmental Science and Engineering
Gainesville, Florida
January 23, 1993

1/23/93grnsz92



COBBLES	GRAVEL		SAND			SILT OR CLAY
	coarse	fine	coarse	medium	fine	

Specimen Identification	Classification	WC%	LL	PL	PI	Gs
Point ID SHD9218X Depth 5.0 ft						


D100	D60	D30	D10	Cc	Cu	%Gravel	%Sand	%Silt	%Clay
19.00	0.44	0.216	0.0990	1.06	4.5	4	91	5	

Ft. Devens
Boston, Mass.
Project No: 3923034G

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION CURVE

Environmental Science and Engineering
Gainesville, Florida
January 23, 1993

1/23/93grnsz92



COBBLES	GRAVEL		SAND			SILT OR CLAY
	coarse	fine	coarse	medium	fine	

Specimen Identification	Classification	WC%	LL	PL	PI	Gs
Point ID SHD9219X Depth 0.0 ft						


D100	D60	D30	D10	Cc	Cu	%Gravel	%Sand	%Silt	%Clay
19.00	0.68	0.295	0.1148	1.11	5.9	8	87	5	

Ft. Devens
Boston, Mass.
Project No: 3923034G

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION CURVE

Environmental Science and Engineering
Gainesville, Florida
January 23, 1993

1/23/93grnsz92



COBBLES	GRAVEL		SAND			SILT OR CLAY
	coarse	fine	coarse	medium	fine	

Specimen Identification	Classification	WC%	LL	PL	PI	Gs
Point ID SHD9219X Depth 2.0 ft	Poorly Graded Sand with Gravel SP					


D100	D60	D30	D10	Cc	Cu	%Gravel	%Sand	%Silt	%Clay
37.50	3.63	0.894	0.4059	0.54	8.9	36	64	0	

Ft. Devens
Boston, Mass.
Project No: 3923034G

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION CURVE

Environmental Science and Engineering
Gainesville, Florida
January 23, 1993

1/23/93grnsz92



COBBLES	GRAVEL		SAND			SILT OR CLAY
	coarse	fine	coarse	medium	fine	

Specimen Identification	Classification	WC%	LL	PL	PI	Gs
Point ID SHD9226X Depth 0.0 ft	Poorly Graded Gravel with Sand GP					


D100	D60	D30	D10	Cc	Cu	%Gravel	%Sand	%Silt	%Clay
37.50	22.16	0.769	0.1739	0.15	127.4	55	42	3	

Ft. Devens
Boston, Mass.
Project No: 3923034G

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION CURVE

Environmental Science and Engineering
Gainesville, Florida
January 23, 1993

1/23/93grnsz92



COBBLES	GRAVEL		SAND			SILT OR CLAY
	coarse	fine	coarse	medium	fine	

Specimen Identification	Classification	WC%	LL	PL	PI	Gs
Point ID SHD9227X Depth 0.0 ft						


D100	D60	D30	D10	Cc	Cu	%Gravel	%Sand	%Silt	%Clay
9.50	0.65	0.374	0.2057	1.04	3.2	2	93	5	

Ft. Devens
Boston, Mass.
Project No: 3923034G

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION CURVE

Environmental Science and Engineering
Gainesville, Florida
January 23, 1993

1/23/93grnsz92



COBBLES	GRAVEL		SAND			SILT OR CLAY
	coarse	fine	coarse	medium	fine	

Specimen Identification	Classification	WC%	LL	PL	PI	Gs
Point ID SHD9228X Depth 0.0 ft						


D100	D60	D30	D10	Cc	Cu	%Gravel	%Sand	%Silt	%Clay
19.00	0.46	0.268	0.1021	1.54	4.5	1	92	7	

Ft. Devens
Boston, Mass.
Project No: 3923034G

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION CURVE

Environmental Science and Engineering
Gainesville, Florida
January 23, 1993

1/23/93grnsz92



COBBLES	GRAVEL		SAND			SILT OR CLAY
	coarse	fine	coarse	medium	fine	

Specimen Identification	Classification	WC%	LL	PL	PI	Gs
Point ID SHD9229X Depth 0.0 ft						


D100	D60	D30	D10	Cc	Cu	%Gravel	%Sand	%Silt	%Clay
9.50	0.31	0.119	0.0105			0	76	16	8

Ft. Devens
Boston, Mass.
Project No: 3923034G

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION CURVE

Environmental Science and Engineering
Gainesville, Florida
January 23, 1993

1/23/93grnsz92



COBBLES	GRAVEL		SAND			SILT OR CLAY
	coarse	fine	coarse	medium	fine	

Specimen Identification	Classification	WC%	LL	PL	PI	Gs
Point ID SHD9229X Depth 2.0 ft						


D100	D60	D30	D10	Cc	Cu	%Gravel	%Sand	%Silt	%Clay
37.50	0.73	0.250	0.0942	0.91	7.8	24	69	7	

Ft. Devens
Boston, Mass.
Project No: 3923034G

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION CURVE

Environmental Science and Engineering
Gainesville, Florida
January 23, 1993

1/23/93grnsz92



COBBLES	GRAVEL		SAND			SILT OR CLAY
	coarse	fine	coarse	medium	fine	

Specimen Identification	Classification	WC%	LL	PL	PI	Gs
Point ID SHD9230X Depth 0.0 ft						


D100	D60	D30	D10	Cc	Cu	%Gravel	%Sand	%Silt	%Clay
9.50	0.28	0.066	0.0050			2	68	21	10

Ft. Devens
Boston, Mass.
Project No: 3923034G

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION CURVE

Environmental Science and Engineering
Gainesville, Florida
January 23, 1993

1/23/93grnsz92



COBBLES	GRAVEL		SAND			SILT OR CLAY
	coarse	fine	coarse	medium	fine	

Specimen Identification	Classification	WC%	LL	PL	PI	Gs
Point ID SHD9230X Depth 2.0 ft						


D100	D60	D30	D10	Cc	Cu	%Gravel	%Sand	%Silt	%Clay
19.00	0.40	0.199	0.0875	1.12	4.6	11	81	7	

Ft. Devens
Boston, Mass.
Project No: 3923034G

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION CURVE

Environmental Science and Engineering
Gainesville, Florida
January 23, 1993

1/23/93grnsz92



COBBLES	GRAVEL		SAND			SILT OR CLAY
	coarse	fine	coarse	medium	fine	

Specimen Identification	Classification	WC%	LL	PL	PI	Gs
Point ID SHD9231X Depth 0.0 ft						


D100	D60	D30	D10	Cc	Cu	%Gravel	%Sand	%Silt	%Clay
19.00	0.05	0.022				1	24	61	14

Ft. Devens
Boston, Mass.
Project No: 3923034G

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION CURVE

Environmental Science and Engineering
Gainesville, Florida
January 23, 1993

1/23/93grnsz92



COBBLES	GRAVEL		SAND			SILT OR CLAY
	coarse	fine	coarse	medium	fine	

Specimen Identification	Classification	WC%	LL	PL	PI	Gs
Point ID SHD9231X Depth 1.0 ft						


D100	D60	D30	D10	Cc	Cu	%Gravel	%Sand	%Silt	%Clay
9.50	0.28	0.113	0.0045			2	76	13	10

Ft. Devens
Boston, Mass.
Project No: 3923034G

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION CURVE

Environmental Science and Engineering
Gainesville, Florida
January 23, 1993

1/23/93grnsz92



COBBLES	GRAVEL		SAND			SILT OR CLAY
	coarse	fine	coarse	medium	fine	

Specimen Identification	Classification	WC%	LL	PL	PI	Gs
Point ID SHD9232X Depth 1.0 ft						


D100	D60	D30	D10	Cc	Cu	%Gravel	%Sand	%Silt	%Clay
9.50	0.19	0.060	0.0052			0	68	22	10

Ft. Devens
Boston, Mass.
Project No: 3923034G

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION CURVE

Environmental Science and Engineering
Gainesville, Florida
January 23, 1993

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION TEST REPORT



	% +3"	% GRAVEL	% SAND	% FINES
○	0.0	0.0	96.9	3.1

	LL	PI	D_{85}	D_{60}	D_{50}	D_{30}	D_{15}	D_{10}	C_c	C_u
○	--	--	0.31	0.22	0.20	0.166	0.1361	0.1226	1.01	1.8

MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	USCS	AASHTO
○ Poorly Graded SAND	SP	--

Project No.: 7005-04
Project: USATHAMA - Fort Devens: Group 1A
○ Location: Site ID - SHB-93-01A

Date: March 25, 1993


Remarks:
Sample ID SHB-93-012
Depth 5'-7'/10'-12'
As rec'd w% = 6.8

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION TEST REPORT

CIVILTEST LABORATORIES, INC.

CT - 1493

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION TEST REPORT



	% +3"	% GRAVEL	% SAND	% FINES
○	0.0	1.5	97.4	1.1

	LL	PI	D_{85}	D_{60}	D_{50}	D_{30}	D_{15}	D_{10}	C_c	C_u
○	--	--	0.49	0.34	0.30	0.235	0.1828	0.1629	1.00	2.1

MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	USCS	AASHTO
○ Poorly Graded SAND	SP	--

Project No.: 7005-04
Project: USATHAMA - Fort Devens: Group 1A
○ Location: Site ID - SHB-93-24A

Date: March 25, 1993


Remarks:
Sample ID SHB-93-022
Depth 20.0'-22.0'
As rec'd w% = 24.9

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION TEST REPORT

CIVILTEST LABORATORIES, INC.

CT - 1493

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION TEST REPORT



	% +3"	% GRAVEL	% SAND	% FINES
○	0.0	0.0	90.1	9.9

	LL	PI	D_{85}	D_{60}	D_{50}	D_{30}	D_{15}	D_{10}	C_c	C_u
○	--	--	0.33	0.22	0.20	0.146	0.0963			

MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	USCS	AASHTO
○ Poorly Graded SAND with Silt	SP-SM	--

Project No.: 7005-04
Project: USATHAMA - Fort Devens: Group 1A
○ Location: Site ID - SHB-93-18B

Date: March 25, 1993


Remarks:
Sample ID SHB-93-037
Depth 35.0'-37.0'
As rec'd w% = 20.0

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION TEST REPORT

CIVILTEST LABORATORIES, INC.

CT - 1493

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION TEST REPORT



	% +3"	% GRAVEL	% SAND	% FINES
○	0.0	0.0	85.0	15.0

	LL	PI	D_{85}	D_{60}	D_{50}	D_{30}	D_{15}	D_{10}	C_c	C_u
○	--	--	0.22	0.16	0.14	0.101				

MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	USCS	AASHTO
○ SILT with Sand (based on grain-size)	SM	--

Project No.: 7005-04
Project: USATHAMA - Fort Devens: Group 1A
○ Location: Site ID - SHB-93-18B

Date: March 25, 1993


Remarks:
Sample ID SHB-93-085
Depth 83.0'-85.0'
As rec'd w% = 21.9

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION TEST REPORT

CIVILTEST LABORATORIES, INC.

CT - 1493

1/23/93grnsz92



COBBLES	GRAVEL		SAND			SILT OR CLAY
	coarse	fine	coarse	medium	fine	

Specimen Identification	Classification	WC%	LL	PL	PI	Gs
Point ID CSD9201X Depth 2.0 ft	Poorly Graded Sand SP					


D100	D60	D30	D10	Cc	Cu	%Gravel	%Sand	%Silt	%Clay
19.00	1.07	0.355	0.1556	0.76	6.9	13	83	4	

Ft. Devens
Boston, Mass.
Project No: 3923034G

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION CURVE

Environmental Science and Engineering
Gainesville, Florida
January 23, 1993

1/23/93grnsz92



COBBLES	GRAVEL		SAND			SILT OR CLAY
	coarse	fine	coarse	medium	fine	

Specimen Identification	Classification	WC%	LL	PL	PI	Gs
Point ID CSD9201X Depth 3.0 ft						


D100	D60	D30	D10	Cc	Cu	%Gravel	%Sand	%Silt	%Clay
9.50	0.28	0.164	0.0799	1.21	3.5	1	91	9	

Ft. Devens
Boston, Mass.
Project No: 3923034G

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION CURVE

Environmental Science and Engineering
Gainesville, Florida
January 23, 1993

1/23/93grnsz92



COBBLES	GRAVEL		SAND			SILT OR CLAY
	coarse	fine	coarse	medium	fine	

Specimen Identification	Classification	WC%	LL	PL	PI	Gs
Point ID CSD9202X Depth 2.0 ft						


D100	D60	D30	D10	Cc	Cu	%Gravel	%Sand	%Silt	%Clay
9.50	0.36	0.196	0.0972	1.10	3.7	4	92	5	

Ft. Devens
Boston, Mass.
Project No: 3923034G

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION CURVE

Environmental Science and Engineering
Gainesville, Florida
January 23, 1993

1/23/93grnsz92



COBBLES	GRAVEL		SAND			SILT OR CLAY
	coarse	fine	coarse	medium	fine	

Specimen Identification	Classification	WC%	LL	PL	PI	Gs
Point ID CSD9202X Depth 4.0 ft	Poorly Graded Sand SP					


D100	D60	D30	D10	Cc	Cu	%Gravel	%Sand	%Silt	%Clay
19.00	0.34	0.217	0.1381	1.00	2.4	2	96	3	

Ft. Devens
Boston, Mass.
Project No: 3923034G

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION CURVE

Environmental Science and Engineering
Gainesville, Florida
January 23, 1993

1/23/93grnsz92



COBBLES	GRAVEL		SAND			SILT OR CLAY
	coarse	fine	coarse	medium	fine	

Specimen Identification	Classification	WC%	LL	PL	PI	Gs
Point ID CSD9203X Depth 0.0 ft						


D100	D60	D30	D10	Cc	Cu	%Gravel	%Sand	%Silt	%Clay
9.50	0.21	0.104	0.0235			1	81	11	7

Ft. Devens
Boston, Mass.
Project No: 3923034G

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION CURVE

Environmental Science and Engineering
Gainesville, Florida
January 23, 1993

1/25/93grnsz92



COBBLES	GRAVEL		SAND			SILT OR CLAY
	coarse	fine	coarse	medium	fine	

Specimen Identification	Classification	WC%	LL	PL	PI	Gs
Point ID CSD9203X Depth 3.0 ft						


D100	D60	D30	D10	Cc	Cu	%Gravel	%Sand	%Silt	%Clay
9.50	0.20	0.105	0.0535			1	84	13	2

Ft. Devens
Boston, Mass.
Project No: 3923034G

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION CURVE

Environmental Science and Engineering
Gainesville, Florida
January 25, 1993

1/25/93grnsz92



COBBLES	GRAVEL		SAND			SILT OR CLAY
	coarse	fine	coarse	medium	fine	

Specimen Identification	Classification	WC%	LL	PL	PI	Gs
Point ID CSD9203X Depth 5.0 ft						


D100	D60	D30	D10	Cc	Cu	%Gravel	%Sand	%Silt	%Clay
0.42	0.07	0.045	0.0313			0	30	68	2

Ft. Devens
Boston, Mass.
Project No: 3923034G

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION CURVE

Environmental Science and Engineering
Gainesville, Florida
January 25, 1993

1/23/93grnsz92



COBBLES	GRAVEL		SAND			SILT OR CLAY
	coarse	fine	coarse	medium	fine	

Specimen Identification	Classification	WC%	LL	PL	PI	Gs
Point ID CSD9204X Depth 0.0 ft						


D100	D60	D30	D10	Cc	Cu	%Gravel	%Sand	%Silt	%Clay
37.50	0.31	0.177	0.0799	1.27	3.9	12	80	9	

Ft. Devens
Boston, Mass.
Project No: 3923034G

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION CURVE

Environmental Science and Engineering
Gainesville, Florida
January 23, 1993

1/25/93grnsz92



COBBLES	GRAVEL		SAND			SILT OR CLAY
	coarse	fine	coarse	medium	fine	

Specimen Identification	Classification	WC%	LL	PL	PI	Gs
Point ID CSD9204X Depth 3.0 ft						


D100	D60	D30	D10	Cc	Cu	%Gravel	%Sand	%Silt	%Clay
9.50	0.18	0.099	0.0413			0	83	15	2

Ft. Devens
Boston, Mass.
Project No: 3923034G

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION CURVE

Environmental Science and Engineering
Gainesville, Florida
January 25, 1993

1/25/93grnsz92



COBBLES	GRAVEL		SAND			SILT OR CLAY
	coarse	fine	coarse	medium	fine	

Specimen Identification	Classification	WC%	LL	PL	PI	Gs
Point ID CSD9204X Depth 5.0 ft						


D100	D60	D30	D10	Cc	Cu	%Gravel	%Sand	%Silt	%Clay
2.00	0.06	0.044	0.0300			0	29	69	2

Ft. Devens
Boston, Mass.
Project No: 3923034G

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION CURVE

Environmental Science and Engineering
Gainesville, Florida
January 25, 1993

1/23/93grnsz92



COBBLES	GRAVEL		SAND			SILT OR CLAY
	coarse	fine	coarse	medium	fine	

Specimen Identification	Classification	WC%	LL	PL	PI	Gs
Point ID CSD9205X Depth 0.0 ft						


D100	D60	D30	D10	Cc	Cu	%Gravel	%Sand	%Silt	%Clay
9.50	0.24	0.110				0	80	20	

Ft. Devens
Boston, Mass.
Project No: 3923034G

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION CURVE

Environmental Science and Engineering
Gainesville, Florida
January 23, 1993

1/23/93grnsz92



COBBLES	GRAVEL		SAND			SILT OR CLAY
	coarse	fine	coarse	medium	fine	

Specimen Identification	Classification	WC%	LL	PL	PI	Gs
Point ID CSD9205X Depth 2.0 ft						


D100	D60	D30	D10	Cc	Cu	%Gravel	%Sand	%Silt	%Clay
9.50	0.27	0.165	0.0865	1.16	3.1	0	94	6	

Ft. Devens
Boston, Mass.
Project No: 3923034G

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION CURVE

Environmental Science and Engineering
Gainesville, Florida
January 23, 1993

1/25/93grnsz92



COBBLES	GRAVEL		SAND			SILT OR CLAY
	coarse	fine	coarse	medium	fine	

Specimen Identification	Classification	WC%	LL	PL	PI	Gs
Point ID CSD9205X Depth 4.0 ft						


D100	D60	D30	D10	Cc	Cu	%Gravel	%Sand	%Silt	%Clay
9.50	0.07	0.044	0.0303			0	31	67	2

Ft. Devens
Boston, Mass.
Project No: 3923034G

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION CURVE

Environmental Science and Engineering
Gainesville, Florida
January 25, 1993

1/25/93grnsz92



COBBLES	GRAVEL		SAND			SILT OR CLAY
	coarse	fine	coarse	medium	fine	

Specimen Identification	Classification	WC%	LL	PL	PI	Gs
Point ID CSD9206X Depth 0.0 ft						


D100	D60	D30	D10	Cc	Cu	%Gravel	%Sand	%Silt	%Clay
4.75	0.19	0.090	0.0412			0	77	20	3

Ft. Devens
Boston, Mass.
Project No: 3923034G

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION CURVE

Environmental Science and Engineering
Gainesville, Florida
January 25, 1993

1/25/93grnsz92



COBBLES	GRAVEL		SAND			SILT OR CLAY
	coarse	fine	coarse	medium	fine	

Specimen Identification	Classification	WC%	LL	PL	PI	Gs
Point ID CSD9206X Depth 2.0 ft						


D100	D60	D30	D10	Cc	Cu	%Gravel	%Sand	%Silt	%Clay
19.00	0.21	0.093	0.0467			2	76	20	2

Ft. Devens
Boston, Mass.
Project No: 3923034G

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION CURVE

Environmental Science and Engineering
Gainesville, Florida
January 25, 1993

1/23/93grnsz92



COBBLES	GRAVEL		SAND			SILT OR CLAY
	coarse	fine	coarse	medium	fine	

Specimen Identification	Classification	WC%	LL	PL	PI	Gs
Point ID CSD9206X Depth 4.0 ft						


D100	D60	D30	D10	Cc	Cu	%Gravel	%Sand	%Silt	%Clay
2.00	0.07	0.039	0.0226			0	32	64	4

Ft. Devens
Boston, Mass.
Project No: 3923034G

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION CURVE

Environmental Science and Engineering
Gainesville, Florida
January 23, 1993

1/25/93grnsz92



COBBLES	GRAVEL		SAND			SILT OR CLAY
	coarse	fine	coarse	medium	fine	

Specimen Identification	Classification	WC%	LL	PL	PI	Gs
Point ID CSD9210X Depth 0.0 ft						


D100	D60	D30	D10	Cc	Cu	%Gravel	%Sand	%Silt	%Clay
4.75	0.21	0.104	0.0486			0	81	20	

Ft. Devens
Boston, Mass.
Project No: 3923034G

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION CURVE

Environmental Science and Engineering
Gainesville, Florida
January 25, 1993

1/23/93grnsz92



COBBLES	GRAVEL		SAND			SILT OR CLAY
	coarse	fine	coarse	medium	fine	

Specimen Identification	Classification	WC%	LL	PL	PI	Gs
Point ID CSD9210X Depth 2.0 ft						


D100	D60	D30	D10	Cc	Cu	%Gravel	%Sand	%Silt	%Clay
19.00	0.30	0.161		1.25	4.2	7	82	11	

Ft. Devens
Boston, Mass.
Project No: 3923034G

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION CURVE

Environmental Science and Engineering
Gainesville, Florida
January 23, 1993

1/23/93grnsz92



COBBLES	GRAVEL		SAND			SILT OR CLAY
	coarse	fine	coarse	medium	fine	

Specimen Identification	Classification	WC%	LL	PL	PI	Gs
Point ID CSD9212X Depth 0.0 ft						


D100	D60	D30	D10	Cc	Cu	%Gravel	%Sand	%Silt	%Clay
4.75	0.05	0.020	0.0056			0	30	60	10

Ft. Devens
Boston, Mass.
Project No: 3923034G

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION CURVE

Environmental Science and Engineering
Gainesville, Florida
January 23, 1993

1/23/93grnsz92



COBBLES	GRAVEL		SAND			SILT OR CLAY
	coarse	fine	coarse	medium	fine	

Specimen Identification	Classification	WC%	LL	PL	PI	Gs
Point ID CSD9213X Depth 0.0 ft						


D100	D60	D30	D10	Cc	Cu	%Gravel	%Sand	%Silt	%Clay
9.50	0.17	0.086	0.0260			0	75	19	6

Ft. Devens
Boston, Mass.
Project No: 3923034G

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION CURVE

Environmental Science and Engineering
Gainesville, Florida
January 23, 1993

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION TEST REPORT



	% +3"	% GRAVEL	% SAND	% FINES
○	0.0	26.6	64.5	8.9

	LL	PI	D_{85}	D_{60}	D_{50}	D_{30}	D_{15}	D_{10}	C_c	C_u
○	--	--	8.41	2.07	1.17	0.518	0.1903	0.0880	1.48	23.5

MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	USCS	AASHTO
○ Well Graded SAND with Gravel and Silt	SW-SM	--

Project No.: 7005-04
Project: USATHAMA - Fort Devens: Group 1A
○ Location: Site ID - CSB-93-01A

Date: March 25, 1993


Remarks:
Sample ID CSB-93-026
Depth 24.0'-26.0'
As rec'd w% = 14.2

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION TEST REPORT

CIVILTEST LABORATORIES, INC.

CT - 1493

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION TEST REPORT



	% +3"	% GRAVEL	% SAND	% FINES
○	0.0	10.0	38.3	51.7

	LL	PI	D_{85}	D_{60}	D_{50}	D_{30}	D_{15}	D_{10}	C_c	C_u
○	--	--	3.59	0.56						

MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	USCS	AASHTO
○ Sandy SILT (based on grain-size)	ML	--

Project No.: 7005-04
Project: USATHAMA - Fort Devens: Group 1A
○ Location: Site ID - CSB-93-01A

Date: March 25, 1993


Remarks:
Sample ID CSB-93-038
Depth 36.5'-38.5'
As rec'd w% = 215.0
some (+) Organics

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION TEST REPORT

CIVILTEST LABORATORIES, INC.

CT - 1493

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION TEST REPORT



	% +3"	% GRAVEL	% SAND	% FINES
○	0.0	0.0	34.1	65.9

	LL	PI	D_{85}	D_{60}	D_{50}	D_{30}	D_{15}	D_{10}	C_c	C_u
○	--	--	0.23							

MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	USCS	AASHTO
○ Sandy SILT (based on grain-size)	ML	--

Project No.: 7005-04
Project: USATHAMA - Fort Devens: Group 1A
○ Location: Site ID - CSB-93-01A

Date: March 25, 1993


Remarks:
Sample ID CSB-93-044
Depth 42.5'-44.5'
As rec'd w% = 80.8

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION TEST REPORT

CIVILTEST LABORATORIES, INC.

CT - 1493

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION TEST REPORT



	% +3"	% GRAVEL	% SAND	% FINES
○	0.0	22.4	71.2	6.4

	LL	PI	D_{85}	D_{60}	D_{50}	D_{30}	D_{15}	D_{10}	C_c	C_u
○	--	--	13.18	0.63	0.43	0.241	0.1372	0.0994	0.93	6.3

MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	USCS	AASHTO
○ Poorly Graded SAND with Gravel and Silt	SP-SM	--

Project No.: 7005-04
Project: USATHAMA - Fort Devens: Group 1A
○ Location: Site ID - CSB-93-01A

Date: March 25, 1993


Remarks:
Sample ID CSB-93-053
Depth 51.0'-53.0'
As rec'd w% = 15.6

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION TEST REPORT

CIVILTEST LABORATORIES, INC.

CT - 1493

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION TEST REPORT



	% +3"	% GRAVEL	% SAND	% FINES
○	0.0	0.0	36.1	63.9

	LL	PI	D_{85}	D_{60}	D_{50}	D_{30}	D_{15}	D_{10}	C_c	C_u
○	--	--	0.13							

MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	USCS	AASHTO
○ Sandy SILT (based on grain-size)	ML	--

Project No.: 7005-04
Project: USATHAMA - Fort Devens: Group 1A
○ Location: Site ID - CSB-93-01A

Date: March 25, 1993


Remarks:
Sample ID CSB-93-061
Depth 59.0'-61.0'
As rec'd w% = 21.6

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION TEST REPORT

CIVILTEST LABORATORIES, INC.

CT - 1493

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION TEST REPORT



	% +3"	% GRAVEL	% SAND	% FINES
○	0.0	0.1	86.5	13.4

	LL	PI	D_{85}	D_{60}	D_{50}	D_{30}	D_{15}	D_{10}	C_c	C_u
○	--	--	0.56	0.28	0.23	0.153	0.0820			

MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	USCS	AASHTO
○ Silty SAND	SM	--

Project No.: 7005-04
Project: USATHAMA - Fort Devens: Group 1A
○ Location: Site ID - CSB-93-02B

Date: March 25, 1993


Remarks:
Sample ID CSB-93-032
Depth 30.0'-32.0'
As rec'd w% = 17.2

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION TEST REPORT

CIVILTEST LABORATORIES, INC.

CT - 1493

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION TEST REPORT



	% +3"	% GRAVEL	% SAND	% FINES
○	0.0	5.9	53.8	40.3

	LL	PI	D_{85}	D_{60}	D_{50}	D_{30}	D_{15}	D_{10}	C_c	C_u
○	--	--	0.91	0.20	0.11					

MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	USCS	AASHTO
○ Silty SAND	SM	--

Project No.: 7005-04
Project: USATHAMA - Fort Devens: Group 1A
○ Location: Site ID - CSB-93-02B

Date: March 25, 1993


Remarks:
Sample ID CSB-93-042
Depth 40.0'-42.0'
As rec'd w% = 13.9

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION TEST REPORT

CIVILTEST LABORATORIES, INC.

CT - 1493

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION TEST REPORT



	% +3"	% GRAVEL	% SAND	% FINES
○	0.0	0.0	85.7	14.3

	LL	PI	D_{85}	D_{60}	D_{50}	D_{30}	D_{15}	D_{10}	C_c	C_u
○	--	--	0.36	0.23	0.20	0.143	0.0775			

MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	USCS	AASHTO
○ Silty SAND	SM	--

Project No.: 7005-04
Project: USATHAMA - Fort Devens: Group 1A
○ Location: Site ID - CSB-93-02B

Date: March 25, 1993


Remarks:
Sample ID CSB-93-082
Depth 80.0'-82.0'
As rec'd w% = 19.1

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION TEST REPORT

CIVILTEST LABORATORIES, INC.

CT - 1493

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION TEST REPORT



	% +3"	% GRAVEL	% SAND	% FINES
○	0.0	25.7	71.2	3.1

	LL	PI	D_{85}	D_{60}	D_{50}	D_{30}	D_{15}	D_{10}	C_c	C_u
○	--	--	14.95	2.62	1.69	0.363	0.2360	0.1875	0.27	13.9

MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	USCS	AASHTO
○ Poorly Graded SAND with Gravel	SP	--

Project No.: 7005-04
Project: USATHAMA - Fort Devens: Group 1A
○ Location: Site ID - CSB-93-02B

Date: March 25, 1993


Remarks:
Sample ID CSB-93-117
Depth 110-112/115-117
As rec'd w% = 108.0

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION TEST REPORT

CIVILTEST LABORATORIES, INC.

CT - 1493

1/23/93grnsz92



COBBLES	GRAVEL		SAND			SILT OR CLAY
	coarse	fine	coarse	medium	fine	

Specimen Identification	Classification	WC%	LL	PL	PI	Gs
Point ID MAD9202X Depth 0.0 ft						


D100	D60	D30	D10	Cc	Cu	%Gravel	%Sand	%Silt	%Clay
37.50	1.76	0.309		0.77	25.1	34	54	12	

Ft. Devens
Boston, Mass.
Project No: 3923034G

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION CURVE

Environmental Science and Engineering
Gainesville, Florida
January 23, 1993

1/23/93grnsz92



COBBLES	GRAVEL		SAND			SILT OR CLAY
	coarse	fine	coarse	medium	fine	

Specimen Identification	Classification	WC%	LL	PL	PI	Gs
Point ID GRW9201X Depth 0.0 ft						


D100	D60	D30	D10	Cc	Cu	%Gravel	%Sand	%Silt	%Clay
9.50	0.17	0.080				1	72	27	

Ft. Devens
Boston, Mass.
Project No: 3923034G

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION CURVE

Environmental Science and Engineering
Gainesville, Florida
January 23, 1993

1/23/93grnsz92



COBBLES	GRAVEL		SAND			SILT OR CLAY
	coarse	fine	coarse	medium	fine	

Specimen Identification	Classification	WC%	LL	PL	PI	Gs
Point ID GRW9204X Depth 0.0 ft						

D100	D60	D30	D10	Cc	Cu	%Gravel	%Sand	%Silt	%Clay
37.50	1.53	0.339	0.1061	0.71	14.4	28	65	7	

Ft. Devens
Boston, Mass.
Project No: 3923034G

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION CURVE

Environmental Science and Engineering
Gainesville, Florida
January 23, 1993

SYNOPTIC WATER-LEVEL MEASUREMENTS

This page intentionally left blank.

TABLE E-1
SYNOPTIC WATER-LEVEL MEASUREMENTS

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

STATION/ WELL NO.	REF. POINT	ELEV. OF REF. PT.	MAY 26, 1992		SEPT. 15, 1992		DECEMBER 22, 1992		MARCH 30, 1993 *		JUNE 22, 1993	
			DEPTH TO WATER	ELEV. OF WATER	DEPTH TO WATER	ELEV. OF WATER	DEPTH TO WATER	ELEV. OF WATER	DEPTH TO WATER	ELEV. OF WATER	DEPTH TO WATER	ELEV. OF WATER
G5M-92-01X	PVC	240.45	Not measured	Not measured	34.31	206.14	33.62	206.83	32.66	207.79	34.03	206.42
G5M-92-02X	PVC	224.73	Not measured	Not measured	18.09	206.64	17.37	207.36	15.39	209.34	17.51	207.22
G5M-92-03A	PVC	238.48	Not measured	Not measured	25.81	212.67	Dry	Dry	25.88	212.6	Dry	Dry
G5M-92-03B	PVC	239.62	Not measured	Not measured	34.09	205.53	33.17	206.45	30.78	208.84	33.7	205.92
G6M-92-01X	PVC	265.41	Not measured	Not measured	60.44	204.97	60.34	205.07	60.1	205.31	60.22	205.19
G6M-92-02X	PVC	271.00	Not measured	Not measured	67.84	203.16	67.1	203.9	66.59	204.41	67.63	203.37
G6M-92-03X	PVC	269.53	Not measured	Not measured	63.1	206.43	63.37	206.16	63.09	206.44	62.2	207.33
G6M-92-04X	PVC	270.36	Not measured	Not measured	67.78	202.58	66.44	203.92	65.59	204.77	68.2	202.16
G6M-92-05X	PVC	268.88	Not measured	Not measured	66.01	202.87	64.79	204.09	64.35	204.53	66.43	202.45
G6M-92-06X	PVC	263.79	Not measured	Not measured	58.35	205.44	58.29	205.5	58	205.79	58.24	205.55
G6M-92-07X	PVC	266.86	Not measured	Not measured	59.92	206.94	60.28	206.58	59.92	206.94	59.46	207.4
G6M-92-08X	PVC	262.94	Not measured	Not measured	54.21	208.73	Not measured	Not measured	54.31	208.63	53.47	209.47
G6M-92-09X	PVC	261.25	Not measured	Not measured	51.44	209.81	52.04	209.21	51.67	209.58	50.92	210.33
G6M-92-10X	PVC	225.81	Not measured	Not measured	14.12	211.69	14.08	211.73	12.38	213.43	13.26	212.55
G6M-92-11X	PVC	225.62	Not measured	Not measured	13.75	211.87	13.84	211.78	13.23	212.39	13.07	212.55
WWTMW-01	PVC	217.71	7.40	210.31	9.06	208.65	8.4	209.31	6.78	210.93	8.04	209.67
WWTMW-01A	PVC	220.88	16.58	204.3	17.12	203.76	15.41	205.47	12.76	208.12	17.38	203.5
WWTMW-02	PVC	225.73	21.86	203.87	22.28	203.45	20.58	205.15	17.69	208.04	22.71	203.02
WWTMW-02A	PVC	225.47	21.68	203.79	22.1	203.37	20.43	205.04	16.96	208.51	22.57	202.9
WWTMW-03	PVC	216.79	13.48	203.31	13.87	202.92	12.06	204.73	8.16	208.63	14.53	202.26
WWTMW-04	PVC	217.79	13.04	204.75	13.74	204.05	12.19	205.6	10.57	207.22	13.98	203.81
WWTMW-05	PVC	213.39	10.56	202.83	10.9	202.49	9.12	204.27	5.65	207.74	11.67	201.72
WWTMW-06	PVC	234.54	13.78	220.76	18.72	215.82	17.84	216.7	Not measured	Not measured	15.43	219.11
WWTMW-07	PVC	243.08	24.89	218.19	29.11	213.97	26.54	216.54	Not measured	Not measured	27.47	215.61
WWTMW-08	PVC	219.43	10.08	209.35	11.54	207.89	10.83	208.6	8.83	210.6	10.6	208.83
WWTMW-09	PVC	212.49	9.04	203.45	9.36	203.13	7.43	205.06	Not measured	Not measured	9.83	202.66
WWTMW-10	PVC	214.74	11.52	203.22	11.84	202.9	9.91	204.83	5.75	208.99	12.3	202.44
WWTMW-11	PVC	214.57	11.65	202.92	11.98	202.59	10.19	204.38	5.64	208.93	12.54	202.03
WWTMW-12	PVC	221.49	17.50	203.99	17.91	203.58	16.51	204.98	14.5	206.99	18.04	203.45
WWTMW-13	PVC	220.10	16.20	203.9	16.66	203.44	14.95	205.15	13.18	206.92	16.87	203.23
WWTMW-14	PVC	219.14	10.34	208.8	11.19	207.95	11.57	207.57	10.11	209.03	9.84	209.3
MNG-1	PVC	248.89	24.55	224.34	24.6	224.29	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	24.22	224.67
MNG-2	PVC	238.66	20.36	218.3	20.67	217.99	20.23	218.43	19.64	219.02	20.52	218.14
MNG-3	PVC	254.47	37.52	216.95	37.35	217.12	36.84	217.63	35.94	218.53	37.26	217.21
MNG-4	PVC	254.37	32.80	221.57	32.98	221.39	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured
MNG-5	PVC	237.21	17.28	219.93	17.48	219.73	17.58	219.63	17.14	220.07	17.27	219.94
MNG-6	PVC	254.70	36.46	218.24	36.52	218.18	36.22	218.48	35.75	218.95	36.37	218.33
MNG-7	PVC	250.08	31.43	218.65	31.39	218.69	31.38	218.7	31.06	219.02	Not measured	Not measured

TABLE E-1
SYNOPTIC WATER-LEVEL MEASUREMENTS

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

STATION/ WELL NO.	REF. POINT	ELEV. OF REF. PT.	MAY 26, 1992		SEPT. 15, 1992		DECEMBER 22, 1992		MARCH 30, 1993 *		JUNE 22, 1993	
			DEPTH TO WATER	ELEV. OF WATER	DEPTH TO WATER	ELEV. OF WATER	DEPTH TO WATER	ELEV. OF WATER	DEPTH TO WATER	ELEV. OF WATER	DEPTH TO WATER	ELEV. OF WATER
SWEL-01	BRIDGE RAIL	221.16	20.44	200.72	21.08	200.08	18.85	202.31	13.1	208.06	21.61	199.55
SWEL-02	BRIDGE RAIL	217.82	15.90	201.92	16.1	201.72	13.98	203.84	7.3	210.52	16.58	201.24
SWEL-05	CAPPED PIN	217.84		217.84	1.05	216.79	0.22	217.62	-0.8	218.64	0.86	215.14
SHL-1	PVC		Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Not measured	Not measured	2.45	-2.45	Dry	Dry
SHL-3H	PVC	248.17	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured
SHL-3L	CASING	248.50	30.67	217.83	30.82	217.68	30.24	218.26	30.49	218.01	30.87	217.63
SHL-4	PVC	228.71	11.10	217.61	11.23	217.48	10.58	218.13	10.36	218.35	11.18	217.53
SHL-5	PVC	218.53	4.10	214.43	5.15	213.38	2.39	216.14	1.81	216.72	4.88	213.65
SHL-6	CASING	254.17	28.80	225.37	29.11	225.06	29.38	224.79	28.76	225.41	28.7	225.47
SHL-7	PVC	237.13	17.56	219.57	17.93	219.2	17.45	219.68	16.35	220.78	17.85	219.28
SHL-8	PVC	221.85	7.53	214.32	8.22	213.63	7.1	214.75	6.78	215.07	8.05	213.8
	PVC-2-INCH	221.66	7.70	213.96	8.4	213.26	6.92	214.74	Not measured	Not measured	7.87	213.79
SHL-9	PVC	222.86	9.15	213.71	10.01	212.85	8.21	214.65	8.03	214.83	9.62	213.24
SHL-10	PVC	248.80	31.19	217.61	31.41	217.39	30.8	218	30.99	217.81	31.4	217.4
SHL-11	PVC	236.34	18.87	217.47	19.02	217.32	18.65	217.69	18.4	217.94	18.96	217.38
SHL-12	PVC	249.51	23.25	226.26	23.59	225.92	23.88	225.63	22.38	227.13	22.96	226.55
SHL-13	PVC	221.58	7.05	214.53	7.66	213.92	6.61	214.97	7.61	213.97	7.35	214.23
SHL-15	PVC	260.75	17.92	242.83	19.42	241.33	19.08	241.67	17.12	243.63	18.22	242.53
SHL-17	PVC	234.57	8.46	226.11	8.8	225.77	8.97	225.6	5.54	229.03	8.21	226.36
SHL-18	PVC	238.39	19.63	218.76	19.9	218.49	19.28	219.11	19.48	218.91	19.9	218.49
SHL-19	PVC	241.34	23.29	218.05	23.5	217.84	22.45	218.89	23.13	218.21	23.51	217.83
SHL-20	PVC	236.84	19.24	217.6	19.47	217.37	19.07	217.77	18.89	217.95	19.35	217.49
SHL-21	PVC	259.75	45.34	214.41	46.01	213.74	44.8	214.95	45.15	214.6	45.58	214.17
SHL-22	PVC	220.49	6.73	213.76	7.54	212.95	5.91	214.58	5.9	214.59	7.31	213.18
SHL-23	PVC	242.14	27.27	214.87	28.52	213.62	26.45	215.69	27.53	214.61	27.96	214.18
SHL-24	PVC	239.60	16.92	222.68	16.78	222.82	16.74	222.86	15.89	223.71	16.5	223.1
SHL-25	PVC	258.87	24.68	234.19	26.78	232.09	26.86	232.01	24.24	234.63	24.95	233.92
SHM-93-01A	PVC	243.4	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	22.17	221.23	22.8	220.6
SHM-93-10C	PVC	248.79	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	29.96	218.83	30.47	218.32
SHM-93-18B	PVC	238.39	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	18.93	219.46	19.6	218.79
SHM-93-22C	PVC	219.76	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	7.04	212.72	8.35	211.41
SHM-93-24A	PVC	237.53	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	15.95	221.58	17.04	220.49
POL-1	PVC	259.77	19.14	240.63	19.99	239.78	19.04	240.73	15.3	244.47	19.4	240.37
POL-2	PVC	259.42	27.70	231.72	28.29	231.13	29.38	230.04	28.02	231.4	26.81	232.61
POL-3	PVC	261.94	25.42	236.52	26.8	235.14	26.74	235.2	23.9	238.04	25.67	236.27
B202-1	PVC	254.43	28.30	226.13	28.62	225.81	28.93	225.5	27.47	226.96	28.07	226.36
B202-2	PVC	258.37	32.05	226.32	32.3	226.07	32.76	225.61	32.2	226.17	31.80	226.57
B202-3	PVC	258.32	31.28	227.04	31.51	226.81	32.13	226.19	31.48	226.84	30.99	227.33

TABLE E-1
SYNOPTIC WATER-LEVEL MEASUREMENTS

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

STATION/ WELL NO.	REF. POINT	ELEV. OF REF. PT.	MAY 26, 1992		SEPT. 15, 1992		DECEMBER 22, 1992		MARCH 30, 1993 *		JUNE 22, 1993	
			DEPTH TO WATER	ELEV. OF WATER	DEPTH TO WATER	ELEV. OF WATER	DEPTH TO WATER	ELEV. OF WATER	DEPTH TO WATER	ELEV. OF WATER	DEPTH TO WATER	ELEV. OF WATER
SWEL-04	TOP OF STAKE	218.00	Not measured	Not measured	1.1	216.9	Not measured	Not measured	-0.3	218.3	4.55	215.05
G3M-92-01X	PVC	252.49	Not measured	Not measured	25.49	227	25.85	226.64	25.47	227.02	25.11	227.38
G3M-92-02X	PVC	251.01	Not measured	Not measured	26.28	224.73	26.5	224.51	26.17	224.84	25.93	225.08
G3M-92-03X	PVC	250.90	Not measured	Not measured	25.92	224.98	26.47	224.43	26.15	224.75	25.52	225.38
G3M-92-04X	PVC	252.86	Not measured	Not measured	28.53	224.33	29.09	223.77	Not measured	Not measured	28.11	224.75
G3M-92-05X	PVC	254.30	Not measured	Not measured	29.79	224.51	30.4	223.9	29.85	224.45	29.36	224.94
G3M-92-06X	PVC	253.71	Not measured	Not measured	27.18	226.53	27.84	225.87	27.29	226.42	26.72	226.99
G3M-92-07X	PVC	251.90	Not measured	Not measured	26.88	225.02	27.25	224.65	26.8	225.1	26.48	225.42
13M-92-01X	PVC	333.66	Not measured	Not measured	15.77	317.89	13.69	319.97	13.1	320.56	15.95	317.71
49M-92-01X	PVC	357.64	Not measured	Not measured	14.43	343.21	12.91	344.73	8.08	349.56	11.85	345.79
58M-92-01X	PVC	348.97	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	9.66	339.31	8.56	340.41	12.07	336.9
58M-92-02X	PVC	345.16	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	8.01	337.15	7.67	337.49	10.16	335
58M-92-03X	PVC	346.16	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	10.58	335.58	9.59	336.57	12.02	334.14
58M-92-04X	PVC	345.28	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	9.6	335.68	6.36	338.92	10.99	334.29
CSB-1	PVC	250.11	7.63	242.48	8.41	241.7	7.94	242.17	5.67	244.44	7.31	242.8
CSB-2	PVC	257.77	17.62	240.15	18.92	238.85	18.55	239.22	18.22	239.55	17.48	240.29
CSB-3	PVC	267.48	24.69	242.79	25.98	241.5	25.96	241.52	25.42	242.06	24.6	242.88
CSB-4	PVC	247.54	3.81	243.73	3.65	243.89	3.32	244.22	3.57	243.97	3.72	243.82
CSB-5	PVC	245.19	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	245.19	4.81	240.38
CSB-6	PVC	246.39	3.80	242.59	5.37	241.02	3.98	242.41	3.45	242.94	3.96	242.43
CSB-7	PVC	257.83	17.67	240.16	17.07	240.76	14.76	243.07	13.32	244.51	25.03	232.8
CSB-8	PVC	260.77	17.54	243.23	18.93	241.84	18.76	242.01	17.1	243.67	17.64	243.13
CSM-93-01A	PVC	256.18	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	16.21	239.97	15.56	240.62
CSM-93-02A	PVC	264.82	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	24.56	240.26	24.73	240.09
CSM-93-02B	PVC	264.09	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	24.78	239.31	23.99	240.1
AAFES-01D	PVC	298.73	21.50	277.23	21.73	277	21.22	277.51	Not measured	Not measured	21.69	277.04
AAFES-02	PVC	302.71	25.68	277.03	26.03	276.68	25.72	276.99	24.89	277.82	26.2	276.51
AAFES-03	PVC	308.53	23.11	285.42	23.56	284.97	22.94	285.59	22.35	286.18	23.13	285.4
AAFES-04	PVC	310.00	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	21.64	288.36	Not measured	Not measured
AAFES-05	PVC	300.82	24.05	276.77	24.43	276.39	23.9	276.92	16	284.82	24.36	276.46
AAFES-06	PVC	300.00	22.16	277.84	22.37	277.63	21.79	278.21	Not measured	Not measured	22.3	277.7
AAFES-07	PVC	259.42	8.96	250.46	9.64	249.78	8.53	250.89	Not measured	Not measured	9.14	250.28
3622W-01	PVC	364.11	10.81	353.3	15.33	348.78	13.38	350.73	5.89	358.22	15.03	349.08
3622W-02	PVC	362.22	10.84	351.38	13.27	348.95	11.54	350.68	4.1	358.12	13.43	348.79
3622W-03	PVC	362.50	11.30	351.2	13.34	349.16	11.25	351.25	3.58	358.92	12.38	350.12
3622W-04	PVC	363.57	6.80	356.77	10.25	353.32	6	357.57	Not measured	Not measured	7.81	355.76
3602W-01	PVC	356.19	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	6.48	349.71	8.22	347.97
3602W-02	PVC	356.58	9.09	347.49	10.98	345.6	Not measured	Not measured	7.45	349.13	10.31	346.27

TABLE E-1
SYNOPTIC WATER-LEVEL MEASUREMENTS

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

STATION/ WELL NO.	REF. POINT	ELEV. OF REF. PT.	MAY 26, 1992		SEPT. 15, 1992		DECEMBER 22, 1992		MARCH 30, 1993 *		JUNE 22, 1993	
			DEPTH TO WATER	ELEV. OF WATER	DEPTH TO WATER	ELEV. OF WATER	DEPTH TO WATER	ELEV. OF WATER	DEPTH TO WATER	ELEV. OF WATER	DEPTH TO WATER	ELEV. OF WATER
3602W-03	PVC	356.82	8.96	347.86	10.98	345.84	10.6	346.22	8.65	348.17	9.15	347.67
3602W-04	PVC	355.40	7.46	347.94	8.85	346.55	5.8	349.6	4.38	351.02	7.91	347.49
GE-01	PVC	336.89	15.81	321.08	18.46	318.43	13.4	323.49	12.66	324.23	18.17	318.72
GE-02	PVC	335.31	11.23	324.08	12.64	322.67	8.84	326.47	Not measured	Not measured	12.65	322.66
GE-03	PVC	339.64	12.81	326.83	13.57	326.07	11.16	328.48	12.37	327.27	13.89	325.75
UST-01	CASING	348.89	16.62	332.27	17.45	331.44	15.47	333.42	15.94	332.95	17.53	331.36
UST-02	PVC	349.51	18.05	331.46	19.44	330.07	17.39	332.12	18	331.51	19.66	329.85
NBC-1	PVC	334.44	9.50	324.94	10.06	324.38	6.97	327.47	Not measured	Not measured	9.73	324.71
NBC-2	PVC	332.44	Dry	Dry	11.22	321.22	Dry	Dry	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured
NBC-3	PVC	332.04	10.42	321.62	Dry	Dry	8.32	323.72	10.5	321.54	11.04	321
EA-04	PVC	252.89	23.84	229.05	24.09	228.8	24.86	228.03	24.28	228.61	23.27	229.62
EA-05	PVC	249.89	21.29	228.6	21.53	228.36	22.26	227.63	Not measured	Not measured	21.95	227.94
SWEL-03	BRIDGE RAIL	236.13	21.21	214.92	21.55	214.58	20.73	215.4	Not measured	Not measured	21.38	214.75
SWEL-06	TOP OF STAKE	245.16	1.41	243.75	1.57	243.59	1.32	243.84	18.4	226.76	1.33	243.83
SWEL-07	TOP OF STAKE	243.00	1.39	241.61	2.15	240.85	Not measured	Not measured	0.41	242.59	0.24	242.76
SWEL-08	"0" MARK ON STAFF	241.96	-0.33	242.29	0.3	241.66	-0.15	242.11	-0.68	242.64	-0.66	242.62
G3D-92-01X	TOP OF 1" GALV PIPE	221.00	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	1.58	219.42	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured
1-1	PVC	258.15	24.52	233.63	25.51	232.64	26.55	231.6	25.7	232.45	24.21	233.94
1-2	PVC	256.76	23.71	233.05	24.69	232.07	25.46	231.3	24.68	232.08	23.42	233.34
1-3	PVC	258.68	25.43	233.25	26.42	232.26	27.18	231.5	26.4	232.28	25.18	233.5
1-4	PVC	259.94	26.13	233.81	27.04	232.9	28.02	231.92	27.3	232.64	25.82	234.12
2-1	PVC	263.31	19.91	243.4	20.23	243.08	21.16	242.15	20.17	243.14	19.25	244.06
2-2	PVC	264.19	20.74	243.45	21.12	243.07	22.03	242.16	21	243.19	20.03	244.16
2-3	PVC	264.08	21.14	242.94	21.58	242.5	22.55	241.53	21.57	242.51	20.51	243.57
2-4	PVC	263.56	20.43	243.13	20.81	242.75	21.7	241.86	20.73	242.83	19.8	243.76
3-1	PVC	336.55	20.12	316.43	21.1	315.45	21.75	314.8	Not measured	Not measured	19.64	316.91
3-2	PVC	335.75	19.32	316.43	20.32	315.43	21.04	314.71	Not measured	Not measured	18.81	316.94
3-3	PVC	334.89	18.21	316.68	19.22	315.67	19.92	314.97	Not measured	Not measured	17.68	317.21
3-4	PVC	335.06	18.30	316.76	19.3	315.76	19.92	315.14	Not measured	Not measured	17.8	317.26
EOD-1	PVC	349.89	18.90	330.99	20.81	329.08	20.45	329.44	18.76	331.13	19.52	330.37
EOD-2	PVC	349.93	25.30	324.63	25.41	324.52	25.6	324.33	25.88	324.05	25.16	324.77
EOD-3	PVC	343.67	26.43	317.24	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Not measured	Not measured	23.53	320.14
EOD-4	PVC	352.12	31.23	320.89	32.91	319.21	34.75	317.37	32.21	319.91	30.24	321.88
12M-92-01X	PVC	266.32	Not measured	Not measured	46.78	219.54	46.32	220	45.12	221.2	46.46	219.86
27M-92-01X	PVC	244.86	Not measured	Not measured	12.49	232.37	13.25	231.61	Not measured	Not measured	11.27	233.59

TABLE E-1
SYNOPTIC WATER-LEVEL MEASUREMENTS

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

STATION/ WELL NO.	REF. POINT	ELEV. OF REF. PT.	MAY 26, 1992		SEPT. 15, 1992		DECEMBER 22, 1992		MARCH 30, 1993 *		JUNE 22, 1993	
			DEPTH TO WATER	ELEV. OF WATER	DEPTH TO WATER	ELEV. OF WATER	DEPTH TO WATER	ELEV. OF WATER	DEPTH TO WATER	ELEV. OF WATER	DEPTH TO WATER	ELEV. OF WATER
27M-92-02X	PVC	251.97	Not measured	Not measured	17.51	234.46	18.75	233.22	17.7	234.27	16.02	235.95
27M-92-03X	PVC	255.34	Not measured	Not measured	19.6	235.74	20.95	234.39	Not measured	Not measured	18.00	237.34
27M-92-04X	PVC	254.81	Not measured	Not measured	20.13	234.68	21.42	233.39	20.35	234.46	18.63	236.18
28M-92-01X	PVC	247.64	Not measured	Not measured	9.59	238.05	9.35	238.29	5.62	242.02	8.03	239.61
28M-92-02X	PVC	245.54	Not measured	Not measured	8.62	236.92	8.03	237.51	6.18	239.36	7.22	238.32
28M-92-03X	PVC	241.72	Not measured	Not measured	14.1	227.62	13.38	228.34	8.25	233.47	13.67	228.05
28M-92-04X	PVC	244.31	Not measured	Not measured	8.62	235.69	8.02	236.29	5.2	239.11	7.48	236.83
41M-92-01X	PVC	249.58	Not measured	Not measured	26.92	222.66	25.0	224.58	24.68	224.9	25.92	223.66
SWEL-09	BRIDGE RAIL	235.51	20.53	214.98	21.94	213.57	Not measured	Not measured	15.6	219.91	20.85	214.66
SWEL-10	TOP OF STAKE	224.00	1.28	222.72	1.35	222.65	2.7	221.3	2.9	221.1	Not measured	Not measured
SWEL-11	BRIDGE RAIL	233.47	18.01	215.46	Not measured	Not measured	16.81	216.66	10.45	223.02	Not measured	Not measured
SWEL-12	TOP OF STAKE	226.00	1.59	224.41	Not measured	Not measured	1.4	224.6	0.95	225.05	Not measured	Not measured
SWEL-13	TOP OF STAKE	238.00	1.20	236.8	Not measured	Not measured	0.8	237.2	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured
SWEL-14	TOP OF STAKE	318.30	1.37	316.93	Not measured	Not measured	1.6	316.7	1.45	316.85	Not measured	Not measured
SWEL-15	TOP OF STAKE	241.00	Not measured	Not measured	2.13	238.87	2.9	238.1	2.4	238.6	Not measured	Not measured
PATTON PROD	FLOOR/PUMP	252.97	39.00	213.97	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	14.5	238.47	Not measured	Not measured
	FLOOR/STATIC	252.97	14.50	238.47	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured
McPHERSON	FLOOR/PUMP	221.49	35.00	186.49	Not measured	Not measured	39	182.49	8	213.49	Not measured	Not measured
PRODUCTION	FLOOR/STATIC	221.49	10.00	211.49	Not measured	Not measured	9	212.49	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured
SHEBOKEN	FLOOR/PUMP	244.32	26.20	218.12	Not measured	Not measured	26.2	218.12	13.4	230.92	Not measured	Not measured
PRODUCTION	FLOOR/STATIC	244.32	12.00	232.32	Not measured	Not measured	14.8	229.52	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured
SOUTH POST	FLOOR/PUMP		Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured
WATER POINT	FLOOR/STATIC		Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured
25M-92-05X	PVC	347.1	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured
25M-92-06X	PVC	357.7	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	67.5	290.2
25M-92-07X	PVC	371.2	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	77.77	293.43
25M-92-08X	PVC	379.4	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	79.05	300.35
26M-92-01X	PVC	331.3	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured
26M-92-02X	PVC	314.0	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured
26M-92-03X	PVC	317.15	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	31.8	285.35	Not measured	Not measured
26M-92-04X	PVC	330.62	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	44.7	285.92	Not measured	Not measured
26M-92-05X	PVC	296.59	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	9.3	287.29	Not measured	Not measured
26M-92-06X	PVC	302.59	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	6.2	296.39	Not measured	Not measured
26M-92-07X	PVC	326.75	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	38.35	288.4	Not measured	Not measured
32M-92-01X	PVC	260.93	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	16.67	244.26	17.81	243.12
32M-92-02X	PVC	261.98	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	20.3	241.68	21.7	240.28
32M-92-03X	PVC	260.99	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	25	235.99	Not measured	Not measured
32M-92-04X	PVC	262.28	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	6	256.28	17.78	244.5

TABLE E-1
SYNOPTIC WATER-LEVEL MEASUREMENTS

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

STATION/ WELL NO.	REF. POINT	ELEV. OF REF. PT.	MAY 26, 1992		SEPT. 15, 1992		DECEMBER 22, 1992		MARCH 30, 1993 *		JUNE 22, 1993	
			DEPTH TO WATER	ELEV. OF WATER	DEPTH TO WATER	ELEV. OF WATER	DEPTH TO WATER	ELEV. OF WATER	DEPTH TO WATER	ELEV. OF WATER	DEPTH TO WATER	ELEV. OF WATER
32M-92-05X	PVC	262.04	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	10.25	251.79	17.44	244.6
32M-92-06X	PVC	261.69	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	7.58	254.11	14.35	247.34
32M-92-07X	PVC	260.86	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	Not measured	12.87	247.99	14.73	246.13

* AT THE TIME OF THE MARCH 30, 1993 SYNOPTIC WATER-LEVEL MEASUREMENT ROUND, FORT DEVENS WAS EXPERIENCING A FLOOD EVENT.

SURVEY COORDINATES

This page intentionally left blank.

APPENDIX F
SURVEY COORDINATES

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

SITE ID	NORTHING	EASTING	GROUND ELEVATION	TOP OF RISER ELEV.
SHM-93-01A	566045	474380	241.7	243.40
SHM-93-10C	566178	574580	247.1	248.79
SHM-93-18B	585785	574881	236.2	238.38
SHM-93-22C	573189	567328	217.9	219.76
SHM-93-24A	564978	575005	235.5	237.53
SHL-3 (1)	566038	574614	NOT MEASURED	NOT MEASURED
SHL-5 (1)	567458	573895	NOT MEASURED	NOT MEASURED
SHL-11 (1)	566650	574197	NOT MEASURED	NOT MEASURED
SHL-19 (1)	566280	574369	NOT MEASURED	NOT MEASURED
SHL-20 (1)	566662	574164	NOT MEASURED	NOT MEASURED
SHL-22 (1)	567496	573760	NOT MEASURED	NOT MEASURED
SHL-23 (1)	567250	573415	NOT MEASURED	NOT MEASURED
SWEL-04 (1)	566091	574995	219.6	N/A
CSM-93-01A	557742	572214	254.9	256.18
CSM-93-02A	557498	571777	262.7	264.82
CSM-93-02B	557502	571763	262.5	264.09
CSB-2 (1)	557639	571861	256.0	257.77
CSB-3 (1)	557542	572211	NOT MEASURED	NOT MEASURED
CSB-4 (1)	557769	572182	NOT MEASURED	NOT MEASURED
CSB-5	557805	572245	242.4	145.19
CSB-7 (1)	557994	573016	NOT MEASURED	NOT MEASURED
CSB-8 (1)	557683	572468	NOT MEASURED	NOT MEASURED
PATTON WELL (2)	557347	571411	250.6	241.09
SWEL-05 (1)	NOT MEASURED	NOT MEASURED	216.0	N/A
SHD-92-01X	566521	574371	NOT MEASURED	NOT MEASURED
SHD-92-02X	566100	574744	NOT MEASURED	NOT MEASURED
SHD-92-03X	566478	574693	NOT MEASURED	NOT MEASURED
SHD-92-04X	566720	574457	NOT MEASURED	NOT MEASURED
SHD-92-05X	566179	575037	NOT MEASURED	NOT MEASURED
SHD-92-06X	566329	574960	NOT MEASURED	NOT MEASURED
SHD-92-07X	566476	574820	NOT MEASURED	NOT MEASURED
SHD-92-08X	566594	574869	NOT MEASURED	NOT MEASURED
SHD-92-09X	566789	574608	NOT MEASURED	NOT MEASURED
SHD-92-10X	567035	574493	NOT MEASURED	NOT MEASURED
SHD-92-11X	566254	575285	NOT MEASURED	NOT MEASURED
SHD-92-12X	566411	575213	NOT MEASURED	NOT MEASURED
SHD-92-13X	566554	575096	NOT MEASURED	NOT MEASURED
SHD-92-14X	566685	574997	NOT MEASURED	NOT MEASURED
SHD-92-15X	566901	574835	NOT MEASURED	NOT MEASURED
SHD-92-16X	567086	574689	NOT MEASURED	NOT MEASURED
SHD-92-17X	567255	574573	NOT MEASURED	NOT MEASURED
SHD-92-18X	567236	574509	NOT MEASURED	NOT MEASURED
SHD-92-19X	566608	575359	NOT MEASURED	NOT MEASURED
SHD-92-20X	566747	575223	NOT MEASURED	NOT MEASURED
SHD-92-21X	566812	575614	NOT MEASURED	NOT MEASURED
SHD-92-22X	566822	575408	NOT MEASURED	NOT MEASURED
SHD-92-23X	566900	575294	NOT MEASURED	NOT MEASURED

APPENDIX F
SURVEY COORDINATES

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

SITE ID	NORTHING	EASTING	GROUND ELEVATION	TOP OF RISER ELEV.
SHD-92-24X	567150	575283	NOT MEASURED	NOT MEASURED
SHD-92-25X	567488	575351	NOT MEASURED	NOT MEASURED
SHD-92-26X	566530	575407	NOT MEASURED	NOT MEASURED
SHD-92-27X	566442	574647	NOT MEASURED	NOT MEASURED
SHD-92-28X	566629	574371	NOT MEASURED	NOT MEASURED
SHD-92-29X	567658	574092	NOT MEASURED	NOT MEASURED
SHD-92-30X	567730	574065	NOT MEASURED	NOT MEASURED
SHD-92-31X	567687	573803	NOT MEASURED	NOT MEASURED
SHD-92-32X	567729	573713	NOT MEASURED	NOT MEASURED
GRW-92-01X	566182	575637	NOT MEASURED	NOT MEASURED
GRW-92-02X	566366	575594	NOT MEASURED	NOT MEASURED
GRW-92-03X	566701	575680	NOT MEASURED	NOT MEASURED
GRW-92-04X	564783	575621	NOT MEASURED	NOT MEASURED
GRW-92-05X	565332	575589	NOT MEASURED	NOT MEASURED
CSD-92-01X	558257	573064	NOT MEASURED	NOT MEASURED
CSD-92-02X	558212	573066	NOT MEASURED	NOT MEASURED
CSD-92-03X	558159	572947	NOT MEASURED	NOT MEASURED
CSD-92-04X	558254	572946	NOT MEASURED	NOT MEASURED
CSD-92-05X	558251	572814	NOT MEASURED	NOT MEASURED
CSD-92-06X	558019	572667	NOT MEASURED	NOT MEASURED
CSD-92-07X	557840	572291	NOT MEASURED	NOT MEASURED
CSD-92-08X	572259	557833	NOT MEASURED	NOT MEASURED
CSD-92-09X	557871	572240	NOT MEASURED	NOT MEASURED
CSD-92-10X	557905	572162	NOT MEASURED	NOT MEASURED
CSD-92-11X	557823	571902	NOT MEASURED	NOT MEASURED
CSD-92-12X	557906	571889	NOT MEASURED	NOT MEASURED
CSD-92-13X	557932	572794	NOT MEASURED	NOT MEASURED
CSD-92-14X	557865	572295	NOT MEASURED	NOT MEASURED
CSD-92-15X	557990	572584	NOT MEASURED	NOT MEASURED
CSD-92-16X	558158	572953	NOT MEASURED	NOT MEASURED
MAD-92-01X	557933	571851	NOT MEASURED	NOT MEASURED
MAD-92-02X	557939	571676	NOT MEASURED	NOT MEASURED
MAD-92-03X	557985	571348	NOT MEASURED	NOT MEASURED

NOTES:
(1) = SITES WERE PREVIOUSLY SURVEYED, HISTORICAL SURVEY DATA MAY NOT AGREE
(2) = COORDINATES ARE NORTHEAST CORNER OF BUILDING;
ELEVATIONS ARE FIRST FLOOR OF WELL HOUSE AND BASEMENT CASING

FORT DEVENS PROJECT ANALYTE LIST

This page intentionally left blank.

FORT DEVENS PROJECT ANALYTE LIST

Project Analyte List Inorganics

AL	ALUMINUM
SB	ANTIMONY
AS	ARSENIC
BA	BARIUM
BE	BERYLLIUM
CD	CADMIUM
CA	CALCIUM
CR	CHROMIUM
CO	COBALT
CU	COPPER
FE	IRON
PB	LEAD
MG	MAGNESIUM
MN	MANGANESE
HG	MERCURY
NI	NICKEL
K	POTASSIUM
SE	SELENIUM
AG	SILVER
NA	SODIUM
TL	THALLIUM
V	VANADIUM
ZN	ZINC

Project Analyte List Explosives

135TNB	1,3,5-TRINITROBENZENE
13DNB	1,3-DINITROBENZENE
246TNT	2,4,6-TRINITROTOLUENE
24DNT	2,4-DINITROTOLUENE
26DNT	2,6-DINITROTOLUENE
HMX	CYCLOTETRAMETHYLENETETRANITRAMINE
NB	NITROBENZENE
RDX	CYCLONITE
TETRYL	NITRAMINE
NG	NITROGLYCERINE
PETN	PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE

Project Analyte List Anions/Cations

HCO3	BICARBONATE
CL	CHLORIDE
SO4	SULFATE
NO_3	NITRATE
CA	CALCIUM
K	POTASSIUM
MG	MAGNESIUM

Project Analyte List Water Quality Parameters

CL	CHLORIDES
N2KJEL	TOTAL NITROGEN
NIT	NO_3-N
SO4	SULFATES
TPO4	TOTAL PHOSPHORUS
--	HARDNESS
ALK	ALKALINITY
TSS	TOTAL SUSPENDED SOLIDS
DO	DISSOLVED OXYGEN
	COLIFORM

Project Analyte List Organics

Volatile Organic Compounds:

111TCE	1,1,1-TRICHLOROETHANE
112TCE	1,1,2-TRICHLOROETHANE
11DCE	1,1-DICHLOROETHYLENE / 1,1-DICHLOROETHENE
11DCLE	1,1-DICHLOROETHANE
12DCE	1,2-DICHLOROETHYLENES, TOTAL (CIS AND TRANS ISOMERS)
12DCLE	1,2-DICHLOROETHANE
12DCLP	1,2-DICHLOROPROPANE
ACET	ACETONE
BRDCLM	BROMODICHLOROMETHANE
C2AVE	ACETIC ACID, VINYL ETHER/VINYL ACETATE
C2H3CL	CHLOROETHENE / VINYL CHLORIDE
C2H5CL	CHLOROETHANE
C6H6	BENZENE
CCL4	CARBON TETRACHLORIDE
CH3BR	BROMOMETHANE
CH3CL	CHLOROMETHANE
CHBR3	BROMOFORM

C13DCP	CIS-1,3-DICHLOROPROPYLENE C+S-1,3-DICHLOROPROPENE
CHCL3	CHLOROFORM
CL2CH2	DICHLOROMETHANE/METHYLENE CHLORIDE
CLC6H5	CHLOROBENZENE
CS2	CARBON DISULFIDE
DBRCLM	DIBROMOCHLOROMETHANE
ETC6H5	ETHYLBENZENE
MEC6H5	TOLUENE
MEK	METHYLETHYL KETONE / 2-BUTANONE
MIBK	METHYLISOBUTYL KETONE
MNBK	METHYL-N-BUTYL KETONE / 2-HEXANONE
STYR	STYRENE
T13DCP	TRANS-1,3-DICHLOROPROPENE
TCLEA	1,1,2,2-TETRACHLOROETHANE
TCLEE	TETRACHLOROETHYLENE / TETRACHLOROETHENE
TRCLE	TRICHLOROETHYLENE / TRICHLOROETHENE
TXYLEN	XYLENES, TOTAL COMBINED

Project Analyte List Organics

Semivolatile Compounds:

124TCB	1,2,4-TRICHLOROBENZENE
12DCLB	1,2-DICHLOROBENZENE
13DCLB	1,3-DICHLOROBENZENE
14DCLB	1,4-DICHLOROBENZENE
245TCP	2,4,5-TRICHLOROPHENOL
246TCP	2,4,6-TRICHLOROPHENOL
24DCLP	2,4-DICHLOROPHENOL
24DMPN	2,4-DIMETHYLPHENOL
24DNP	2,4-DINITROPHENOL
24DNT	2,4-DINITROTOLUENE
26DNT	2,6-DINITROTOLUENE
2CLP	2-CHLOROPHENOL
2CNAP	2-CHLORONAPHTHALENE
2MNAP	2-METHYLNAPHTHALENE
2MP	2-METHYLPHENOL / 2-CRESOL
2NANIL	2-NITROANILINE
2NP	2-NITROPHENOL
33DCBD	3,3'-DICHLOROBENZIDINE
3NANIL	3-NITROANILINE
46DN2C	4,6-DINITRO-2-CRESOL / METHYL-4,6-DINITROPHENOL
4BRPPE	4-BROMOPHENYLPHENYL ETHER

4CANIL	4-CHLOROANILINE
4CL3C	4-CHLORO-3-CRESOL / 3-METHYL-4-CHLOROPHENOL
4CLPPE	4-CHLOROPHENYLPHENYL ETHER
4MP	4-METHYLPHENOL / 4-CRESOL
4NANIL	4-NITROANILINE
4NP	4-NITROPHENOL
ANAPNE	ACENAPHTHENE
ANAPYL	ACENAPHTHYLENE
ANTRC	ANTHRACENE
B2CEXM	BIS (2-CHLOROETHOXY) METHANE
B2CIPE	BIS (2-CHLOROISOPROPYL) ETHER
B2CLEE	BIS (2-CHLOROETHYL) ETHER/2,2´-OXYBIS(1-OHLOROPROPANE)
B2EHP	BIS (2-ETHYLHEXYL) PHTHALATE
BAANTR	BENZO [A] ANTHRACENE
BAPYR	BENZO [A] PYRENE
BBFANT	BENZO [B] FLUORANTHENE
BBZP	BUTYLBENZYL PHTHALATE
BGHIPY	BENZO [G,H,I] PERYLENE
BKFANT	BENZO [K] FLUORANTHENE
BZALC	BENZYL ALCOHOL
CARBAZ	CARBAZOLE
CHRY	CHRYSENE
CL6BZ	HEXACHLOROBENZENE
CL6CP	HEXACHLOROCYCLOPENTADIENE
CL6ET	HEXACHLOROETHANE
DBAHA	DIBENZ [A,H] ANTHRACENE
DBZFUR	DIBENZOFURAN
DEP	DIETHYL PHTHALATE
DMP	DIMETHYL PHTHALATE
DNBP	DI-N-BUTYL PHTHALATE
DNOP	DI-N-OCTYL PHTHALATE
FANT	FLUORANTHENE
FLRENE	FLUORENE
HCBD	HEXACHLOROBUTADIENE
ICDPYR	INDENO [1,2,3-C,D] PYRENE
ISOPHR	ISOPHORONE
NAP	NAPHTHALENE
NB	NITROBENZENE
NNDNPA	N-NITROSO DI-N-PROPYLAMINE
NNDPA	N-NITROSO DIPHENYLAMINE
PCP	PENTACHLOROPHENOL
PHANTR	PHENANTHRENE
PHENOL	PHENOL
PYR	PYRENE

Project Analyte List Organics

Pesticides and PCBs:

ABHC	ALPHA-BENZENEHEXACHLORIDE / ALPHA-HEXACHLOROCYCLOHEXANE
ACLDAN	ALPHA CHLORDANE
AENSLF	ALPHA-ENDOSULFAN / ENDOSULFAN I
ALDRN	ALDRIN
BBHC	BETA-BENZENEHEXACHLORIDE / BETA-HEXACHLOROCYCLOHEXANE
BENSLF	BETA-ENDOSULFAN / ENDOSULFAN II
DBHC	DELTA-BENZENEHEXACHLORIDE / DELTA-HEXACHLOROCYCLOHEXANE
DLDRN	DIELDRIN
ENDRN	ENDRIN
ENDRNA	ENDRIN ALDEHYDE
ENDRNK	ENDRIN KETONE
ESFSO4	ENDOSULFAN SULFATE
GCLDAN	GAMMA-CHLORDANE
HPCL	HEPTACHLOR
HPCLE	HEPTACHLOR EPOXIDE
LIN	LINDANE / GAMA-BENZENEHEXACHLORIDE / GAMMA-HEXACHLOROCYCLOHEXANE
MEXCLR	METHOXYCHLOR
PCB016	PCB 1016
PCB221	PCB 1221
PCB232	PCB 1232
PCB242	PCB 1242
PCB248	PCB 1248
PCB254	PCB 1254
PCB260	PCB 1260
PPDDD	2,2-BIS (PARA-CHLOROPHENYL)-1,1-DICHLOROETHANE
PPDDE	2,2-BIS (PARA-CHLOROPHENYL)-1,1-DICHLOROETHENE
PPDDT	2,2-BIS (PARA-CHLOROPHENYL)-1,1,1-TRICHLOROETHANE
TXPHEN	TOXAPHENE

FORT DEVENS
FEASIBILITY STUDY
FOR GROUP 1A SITES

FINAL
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
DATA ITEM A009

VOLUME III OF IV
APPENDIX H

CONTRACT DAAA15-91-D-0008

U.S. ARMY ENVIRONMENTAL CENTER
ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MARYLAND

DECEMBER 1993

PRINTED ON RECYCLED PAPER

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FORT DEVENS FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	-	SOIL AND SEDIMENT BORING LOGS	VOL. II
APPENDIX B	-	MONITORING WELL CONSTRUCTION FORMS	VOL. II
APPENDIX C	-	AQUIFER TESTING DATA AND CALCULATIONS	VOL. II
APPENDIX D	-	GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION ANALYSES	VOL. II
APPENDIX E	-	SYNOPTIC WATER-LEVEL MEASUREMENTS	VOL. II
APPENDIX F	-	SURVEY COORDINATES	VOL. II
APPENDIX G	-	PROJECT ANALYTE LIST	VOL. II
APPENDIX H	**-**	**LABORATORY QC RESULTS**	**VOL. III**
APPENDIX I	-	BACKGROUND CONCENTRATIONS AND CALCULATIONS	VOL. IV
APPENDIX J	-	RI SEDIMENT DATA SUMMARIES	VOL. IV
APPENDIX K	-	HUMAN HEALTH RISK SPREADSHEETS - SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL	VOL. IV
APPENDIX L	-	MODELING OF VOC CONCENTRATIONS IN SHOWER AIR FROM GROUNDWATER - SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL	VOL. IV
APPENDIX M	-	HUMAN HEALTH RISK SPREADSHEETS - COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL	VOL. IV
APPENDIX N	-	FISH TISSUE COMPARISON OF STATE AND NATIONAL DATA	VOL. IV
APPENDIX O	-	WETLAND FUNCTIONAL EVALUATION	VOL. IV
APPENDIX P	-	MACROINVERTEBRATE DATA ANALYSES	VOL. IV
APPENDIX Q	-	ECOLOGICAL RISK ASSESSMENT PARAMETERS	VOL. IV
APPENDIX R	-	ECOLOGICAL RISK ASSESSMENT SPREADSHEETS - SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL/COLD SPRING BROOK POND	VOL. IV
APPENDIX S	-	UNCERTAINTIES ASSOCIATED WITH USEPA SEDIMENT QUALITY CRITERIA FOR DDE	VOL. IV
APPENDIX T	-	FISH TISSUE DATA	VOL. IV
APPENDIX U	-	SUPPLEMENTAL RI LEVEL 3 DATA	VOL. IV
APPENDIX V	-	USEPA 1992 DERMAL GUIDANCE SUMMARY	VOL. IV
APPENDIX W	-	CALCULATION OF PERMEABILITY CONSTANTS PER EVENT	VOL. IV
APPENDIX X	-	PRELIMINARY RISK EVALUATION FOR NONACOICUS BROOK AREA	VOL. IV
APPENDIX Y	-	EVALUATION OF GROUNDWATER IRRIGATION EXPOSURE PATHWAY	VOL. IV
APPENDIX Z	-	TOXICITY PROFILES	VOL. IV

This page intentionally left blank.

insert Appendix H tab

LABORATORY QC RESULTS

1.0 QUALITY CONTROL BLANK RESULTS FOR GROUP 1A, ROUND 1

1.1 INTRODUCTION

This data quality report provides an evaluation of method blanks, rinsate blanks, and field quality control sample data generated for the supplemental RI activities conducted at Fort Devens, Massachusetts. Data were generated by ESE Laboratories from the analyses of soil and water samples collected during the fall of 1992 through the Spring of 1993. Data from Round 2 groundwater sampling are contained in Section 3.0 of this Appendix. All data used in this report came directly off USAEC's IRDMIS system. Samples originated from study area Group 1A.

Quality Control Frequency Tables. Frequency tables were generated for all quality control blanks that were analyzed during the Fort Devens RI. These include trip blanks, rinsate blanks and method blanks. The results are listed by analytical method and were used to identify any target analytes that appeared in the blanks. The frequency tables are provided to give an overview of blank contamination with respect to the number of blanks analyzed and the range of chemical contamination associated with each blank type. Tables H3, H4, and H5 represent the frequency of detected analytes for rinsate, method and trip blanks, respectively. Analytes which are not listed in the frequency tables were not detected at a concentration above the Certified Reporting Limit (CRL). They are listed, however, in Tables H6, H7, and H8.

The frequency tables are arranged by QC type, method blanks, rinsate blanks, and trip blanks. Both method blanks and trip blanks were associated with their corresponding samples and evaluated following USEPA Region I Guidelines for data validation. Sample results that fell within either the 5X or 10X rule for blank contamination are listed in Table H17. No results were eliminated or removed from the data set. The results were tabulated by method, sample ID, site, and lot for use in interpreting the data for the Remedial Investigation.

1.2 METHOD BLANKS

Method blanks were analyzed to determine if compound analytes were introduced during the processing of the field samples. Chemically pure deionized water was

used to collect method blanks at the laboratory. The method blanks were analyzed following the same procedures used to analyze field samples. Any compounds that were detected in the method blanks are attributed to laboratory contamination.

Method blanks were analyzed for the following parameters: inorganics (soil and water), SVOCs (soil and water), VOCs (soil and water), explosives (soil and water), pesticides/PCBs (water only), alkalinity (water only), hardness (water only), TOC (water and soil), TSS (water only) and TPHC (water and soil).

Inorganics. Soil method blanks for inorganics involve the use of USAEC approved soil that is analyzed as an ordinary field sample. The soil that is used is called a Tampa Bay soil. Table H17 lists the metals results above the CRL that were reported for the inorganic method blanks. Aluminum, Barium, Calcium, Chromium, Copper, Iron, Potassium, Magnesium, Manganese, Sodium, Nickel, Lead, Vanadium and Zinc were identified in Group 1A method blanks. Table H17 lists the samples affected by the associated method blanks for these elements based on USEPA validation guidelines.

The only inorganic element detected in the water method blanks was potassium. The presence of potassium in the water method blanks indicates laboratory contamination. The affected samples and results are listed in Table H17.

SVOCs. USAEC methods LM18 and UM18 were used to analyze method blanks in soil and water, respectively. One compound was detected in the soil method blanks for Group 1A, 1,2-Epoxycyclohexane. Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate was reported in a SVOC water method blank (see Table H8 for the associated sample results).

VOCs. USAEC methods LM19 and UM20 were used to analyze soil and water for both method and trip blanks for VOCs. Tetrachloroethene was the only volatile compound detected in either method or trip blanks that affected samples (based on validation guidelines) in Group 1A. The eight affected samples are listed on Table H17.

Explosives. There were no explosive compounds detected above the CRL in any of the Groups 1A method blanks.

Pesticides/PCBs. There were no pesticide or PCB compounds detected in any of the Groups 1A method blanks.

Other Methods. Two alkalinity results and one TDS result were affected by their corresponding method blank results. The three affected samples are listed on Table H17.

1.3 FIELD QUALITY CONTROL

1.3.1 Group 1A Field Quality Control Blanks

The field quality control blanks include both equipment rinsate blanks and source water blanks. The rinsate blanks were collected from deionized, chemically pure water. The results from the field quality control blanks are applied to the entire sampling set and not to individual samples.

Inorganics. Thirty-two rinsate/source water blanks were analyzed for metals. Seven metals were detected with potassium, manganese, and lead occurring at the highest frequencies (see Table H3).

SVOCs. Two SVOC target compounds were detected in the rinsate/source blanks, bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate and di-n-octylphthalate. Both compounds were detected at low frequencies (2/20 and 1/20 respectively).

VOCs. Five VOC target compounds were detected in the rinsate/source blanks. Both 1,1,1,-trichloroethane and acetone were observed in only one of the twenty-one blanks analyzed. Methylene chloride and toluene were detected in five of the blanks while chloroform was detected at the highest frequency of sixteen out of twenty-one (Table H3).

Explosives. There were no explosive compounds detected in any rinsate/source blanks.

Pesticides/PCBs. There were no pesticide or PCB compounds detected in any rinsate/source blanks.

Other Methods. Rinsate/source blanks analyzed for the following methodologies: nitrate/nitrite as nitrogen, total phosphates, alkalinity, TPHC, total Kjeldahl nitrogen, TSS and hardness. The frequencies and concentrations of these results are listed in Table H3.

2.0 MATRIX SPIKES AND DUPLICATE QUALITY CONTROL

2.1 INTRODUCTION

Matrix Spikes. Matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate samples were collected at a rate of one each per 20 environmental samples. The purpose of collecting these samples was to measure the effect of the matrix on the recovery of known concentrations of target analytes. A summary of matrix spike data is presented for Group 1A in Table H2. Data have been segregated by method to show recovery trends of particular analytes. Matrix spikes have been paired with the corresponding matrix spike duplicates to make recovery comparisons. The relative percent differences (RPD) between recoveries of the matrix spikes and the matrix spike duplicates have been calculated and are listed. The relative percent difference is used to measure the analytical precision of the results. The average recoveries, and maximum and minimum recoveries for each method are also included as a way of measuring trends.

The criteria used for interpreting MS/MSD data are from the analytical USEPA CLP protocols and Vol. III of the POP for Fort Devens. Interpretations of the matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate (MS/MSD) results for Group 1A samples are contained in Section 2.2 of this Appendix.

Duplicates. Field duplicate samples were collected at the same rate as the MS/MSD samples. Duplicates are differentiated from samples in the identification code. The second digit in the code will have a "D" in place to denote the duplicate.

The purpose of analyzing duplicate samples is to measure the precision of the sampling and analytical results. This is measured by the calculation of the RPD for each sample/duplicate pair. The RPD is the difference of the results divided by the average of the results. The smaller the RPD, the greater the precision. The RPD has been calculated for each pair of duplicates and replicates. They are

presented with the duplicate data for Group 1A in Table H1. Interpretations of the Group 1A duplicate data are presented in Section 2.3 of this Appendix.

USEPA Region 1 guidelines were used to assess the RPDs of duplicate and replicate pairs. The guidelines were used to assess the variability and reproducibility of the results.

2.2 GROUP 1A MATRIX SPIKES

Matrix spike data for Group 1A are presented in Table H2. MS/MSD samples were collected to determine the effect the matrix had on spike recoveries of inorganics, explosives and pesticides/PCBs. USEPA CLP criteria, where applicable, and matrix spike protocols specified in Vol. III of the Fort Devens POP were used to determine whether the matrix affected the recoveries of the various analytes. The RPD was also calculated to measure the precision of the analyses.

Inorganics. Matrix spike analysis included the following elements for Group 1A soil and water samples:

- aluminum
- antimony
- arsenic
- barium
- beryllium
- cadmium
- calcium
- chromium
- cobalt
- copper
- iron
- lead
- magnesium
- manganese
- mercury
- nickel
- potassium
- selenium
- silver
- sodium
- thallium
- vanadium
- zinc

Inorganic recoveries within 25 percent +/- of 100 percent are required in order to meet CLP requirements. The CLP requirements are identical to those specified in the ABB-ES Fort Devens POP, Vol III.

A total of 12 soil samples were collected and spiked with the various inorganic elements. The recoveries were measured to determine if the matrix had an effect on the sample results. The 12 soil samples that were spiked for analysis are:

- BX250201
- BX320903
- DXCR0200
- DXCS0502
- DXCS1002
- DXCS1200
- DXCS1201
- DXSH1003
- DXSH1305
- DXSH1800
- DXSH2003
- SX3204X1

The recoveries of the following elements met CLP requirements for inorganics for all 12 of the above soil samples:

- antimony
- barium
- beryllium
- cadmium
- calcium
- chromium
- cobalt
- copper
- magnesium
- nickel
- potassium
- silver
- sodium
- thallium
- vanadium
- zinc

Elements for which at least one sample either exceeded or fell below the CLP limits are listed below.

ELEMENT	SAMPLE ID	% MS REC.	% MSD REC.	% RPD
Aluminum	BX250201	327	225	37
	BX320903	401	416	4
	DXCR0100	64	59	8
	DXCS0502	127	1	197
	DXCS1002	42	1	191
	DXCS1200	354	87	121
	DXCS1201	1	1	0
	DXSH1305	179	127	34
	DXSH2003	89	41	74
	SX3204X1	382	365	4
Arsenic	BX250201	137	72	62
	BX320603	161	139	15

ELEMENT	SAMPLE ID	% MS REC.	% MSD REC.	% RPD
	BX320903	30	12	83
	DXCS1200	235	75	100
	DXCS1201	146	79	60
	DXSG1993	141	128	9
	DXSH1800	224	135	50
	DXSH2003	146	124	16
	SX3204X1	167	150	11
Iron	BX320903	212	176	19
	DXCS0502	73	60	19
	DXCS1002	78	60	26
	DXCS1200	0.3	0.3	0
	DXCS1201	190	30	145
	SX3204X1	207	198	4
Lead	BX250201	21	21	9
	BX320903	34	21	47
	DXR0200	4	4	0
Manganese	BX320903	130	101	25
	DXCS1201	96	63	41
	SX3204X1	162	159	2
Mercury	DXSH1003	47	40	15
	DXSH1800	70	66	5
Selenium	BX320303	71	65	9
	DXSH1003	130	129	1
	DXSH1800	137	137	0
	DXSH2003	134	135	1

The recoveries for the selected elements are varied. Some of the recoveries exceed the CLP limit for both the MS and MSD. Others exceed the limit for only one of the samples. These results may be due to the non-uniform distribution of elements in the soil matrix. Other recoveries were consistently below the CLP recovery limits for both the MS and MSD. For these samples, there may have been sorption of some of the metals. The RPD between MS and MSD was greater than 50% in only 10 of 37 sample pairs.

Two water samples (WX2606X1 and WX3201X1) were spiked with the same elements as the soil samples to assess matrix effect. Both water samples had recoveries that fell within CLP recovery limits for all elements. No matrix effect was noted for the water samples.

Explosives. USAEC methods LW12 and UW32 were used to analyze recovery of spiked explosive compounds in soil and water, respectively. There are no USEPA CLP Region 1 guidelines for explosive compounds. The guidelines that were used are specified in Vol. III of the Fort Devens POP, and are listed below.

COMPOUND	WATER $\bar{X}$%	SOIL $\bar{X}$%
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	82	87
Nitrobenzene	86	94
Cyclonite	85	94
1,3,5-Trinitrobenzene	85	96
2,4,6-Trinitrotoluene	85	97

The above recoveries represent the current daily means for the respective explosive compounds. The matrix spike recovery criteria for explosives is 25% $\pm$ of the above values for the appropriate matrix.

Four pairs of MS/MSD soil samples were spiked with explosive compounds. (BX250201, DXCS1200, DXCS1201 and SX2501X1). All four soil samples had recoveries within the specified limits for all of the above compounds. Nitroglycerine (NG) and pentaerythritol tetranitrate (PETN) spike results were also included in the explosive method. Although no spike criteria exists for NG and PETN, the recoveries of spikes for these compounds were within 10% $\pm$ of 100% for all four pairs of samples.

Four pairs of MS/MSD water samples were spiked with explosive compounds (MX2508X1, MX2604X1, MXMW06X2 and WX2606X1). The same USEPA criteria used to assess the soil samples was applied to the water samples. The samples MX2508X1, MXMW06X2 and WX2606X1 had recoveries within 25% of the current daily means for 1,3,5-Trinitrobenzene, 2,4,6-Trinitrotoluene, 2,4-Dinitrotoluene, nitrobenzene and cyclonite.

2,4-Dinitrotoluene and cyclonite recoveries exceeded criteria for both the MS and MSD for sample MX2604X1. The recoveries for 2,4-Dinitrotoluene were 136% and 131%. The cyclonite recoveries were both 50%. The RPDs were 3% for 2,4-Dinitrotoluene and 0% for cyclonite.

Pesticides/PCBs. The primary assessment of matrix effects for pesticides and PCBs were based upon the recovery of the surrogate decachlorobiphenyl (Fort Devens POP, Vol. III). In addition, other pesticide/PCB compounds were spiked into soil and water samples. Refer to Tables H14 and H15 for the list of these compounds. Spike recoveries of these compounds are listed in Table H2.

USAEC methods LH10 and LH16 were used for the analysis of pesticides and PCBs, respectively. The surrogate decachlorobiphenyl was spiked into the samples and the recovery measured to assess matrix effects and extraction efficiencies. The USEPA advisory limits for the recovery of this surrogate are 60% to 150%. Six soil samples were spiked with surrogate. The samples (BX250201, BX320903, CX3208X1, DX3201X1, DXCS1200 and SX3204X1) were analyzed by both the pesticide and PCB soil methods. More than one pair of MS/MSD samples were analyzed for all of the above samples. Refer to Table H2 to see how many were run for each.

The recoveries for most analyses were within the specified 60% to 150% recovery range (Table H2). Samples BX320903 and CX3208X1 exceeded criteria for both the LH10 and LH16 methods. Refer to Table H2 to see these exceedances.

Four water samples (WX2604X1, MX3202X1, WX2606X1 and WX3201X1) were spiked with decachlorobiphenyl. USAEC methods UH13 and UH02 were used for analysis of pesticides and PCBs, respectively. USEPA criteria was employed to evaluate the recoveries of the surrogate. The recoveries for sample runs are listed in Table H2.

The decachlorobiphenyl recoveries for PCBs, method UH02, are also listed in Table H2.

2.3 GROUP 1A DUPLICATES

Water and soil samples were collected for duplicate analysis. Table H1 summarizes these results by analytical method. The table includes duplicate as well as replicate results. The following methodologies were used to assess the variability and reproducibility of the results:

- Inorganics
- Explosives
- TDS
- SVOCs
- Alkalinity
- TOC
- VOCs
- Hardness
- TSS

Refer to Table H9 for a description of the soil and water methods used in the analyses.

Inorganics. Soil and water samples from Group 1A were analyzed for the following elements:

ELEMENT	USAEC METHOD
Mercury	SB01
Selenium	JD15, SD21
Lead	JD17, SD20
Arsenic	JD19, SD22
Thallium	JD24, SD09
Antimony	JD25, SD28
Silver	JS16, SS10
Aluminum	
Barium	
Beryllium	
Calcium	
Cadmium	
Cobalt	
Chromium	

(continued)

ELEMENT	USAEC METHOD
Copper	
Iron	
Magnesium	
Manganese	
Mercury	
Nickel	
Potassium	
Sodium	
Vanadium	
Zinc	

A total of six soil samples and their duplicates (DXCR0100, DXCS0102, DXCS0903, DXSH0303, DXSH2104, and DXSH2503) were analyzed using inorganic soil methods.

The USEPA Region I criteria for the RPD of inorganic duplicates for soil methods is 50 percent. The RPDs of sample/duplicate pairs exceeded this limit for the elements listed below.

ELEMENT	FREQUENCY OF USEPA CRITERIA EXCEEDANCE	RANGE OF RPDS (%)
Aluminum	3/6	15 to 71
Arsenic	3/6	13 to 74
Barium	1/6	9 to 77
Beryllium	3/6	0 to 173
Calcium	2/6	0 to 80
Chromium	4/8	23 to 90
Cobalt	3/6	0 to 108
Copper	4/6	17 to 175
Iron	1/6	8 to 58

(continued)

ELEMENT	FREQUENCY OF USEPA CRITERIA EXCEEDANCE	RANGE OF RPDS (%)
Lead	2/6	21 to 76
Magnesium	1/6	16 to 56
Manganese	2/6	3 to 59
Mercury	2/6	0 to 157
Nickel	2/6	0 to 157
Potassium	3/6	0 to 165
Selenium	2/6	0 to 162
Silver	1/6	0 to 168
Vanadium	1/6	0 to 66
Zinc	3/6	0 to 131

Cadmium, sodium, and thallium were not detected above their respective CRL values in any of the sample duplicate pairs.

The high RPD values for many of the duplicates as well as the relatively high frequency at which they occurred indicates that there was some degree of variability in the reported concentrations of elements in the soil samples. Variability of reported concentrations may be due to non-uniform distribution of the elements in the samples or variability in the analysis. Since some of the duplicates were run in different lots and on different dates than the original sample, instrument response may have been different.

Group 1A water samples were analyzed for the same list of elements as the soil samples. A total of 3 duplicate pairs were used in the analysis. These samples were identified within the IRDMIS sample numbers MX(D)CS07X1 and MX(D)SH10X1. A filtered sample of MXSH10X1 was included in the duplicate comparisons. The filtered sample is differentiated by the unfiltered sample by having the upper case of the letter "X" in the seventh digit of the sample number. The unfiltered sample has the same number but has a lower case "x" in the seventh digit. In summary, two locations were sampled but one location had a filtered and unfiltered duplicate pair for a total of three duplicate pairs for the first groundwater sampling round. USEPA Region 1 criteria were also used for these water samples to assess the variability of reported concentrations of

inorganic elements. The criteria used for water samples was an RPD of 30% or less. A summary of the elements for which this criteria was not met is provided below.

ELEMENT	FREQUENCY OF USEPA CRITERIA EXCEEDANCE	RANGE OF RPDS (%)
Aluminum	2/3	0 to 132
Arsenic	2/3	0 to 131
Barium	1/3	3 to 127
Calcium	1/3	3 to 37
Chromium	1/3	0 to 122
Cobalt	1/3	0 to 74
Copper	1/3	0 to 144
Iron	2/3	12 to 136
Lead	2/3	11 to 127
Magnesium	1/3	3 to 125
Manganese	2/3	7 to 129
Nickel	1/3	0 to 135
Potassium	3/3	58 to 139
Sodium	1/3	1 to 34
Vanadium	1/3	0 to 113
Zinc	1/3	0 to 125

Results for antimony, beryllium, mercury, selenium, and thallium were reported at below CRL for all duplicate pairs. The unfiltered MXSH10x1 showed the highest degree of disagreement in the results. Every element listed in the table above had reported results with RPD over the 30% EPA maximum for this sample.

SVOCs. Both soil and water duplicate/replicate samples were analyzed to assess the precision of SVOC results for Group 1A samples. USEPA Region 1 protocols for RPD between duplicate SVOC results were used. SVOC duplicate data are reported in Table H1. USAEC Methods LM18 and UM18 were used in the

analyses. The USEPA Region 1 requirement for duplicate SVOC soil samples is an RPD of no greater than 50%.

A total of three duplicate soil sample pairs (DXCS0102, DXCR0100, and DXCS0903), were analyzed using the USAEC method LM18.

The soil SVOC results for the above samples were, in general, all below the CRL for most of the SVOC compounds. There were instances where SVOCs were detected and the RPD exceeded the USEPA 50% limit. The compounds for which this occurred are listed below along with the samples in which they were detected.

COMPOUND	SAMPLE	%RPD
Acenaphthalene	DXCS0102	86
Anthracene	DXCS0102	86
Benzo(A)anthracene	DXCS0102	86
Benzo(B)fluoranthene	DXCS0102	86
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	DXCS0102	86
Chrysene	DXCS0102	86
Fluoranthene	DXCS0102	67
Fluorene	DXCS0102	86
Naphthalene	DXCS0903	160
Phenanthrene	DXCS0102	86
	DXCS0200	197
Pyrene	DXCS0102	100

For all compounds except naphthalene the duplicate pair of DXCS0102 had RPD values greater than 50%. The high degree of variability for these results could be due to high sorption coefficients of most of the detected compounds. Several unknown compounds were also detected in these duplicates with varying amounts of precision. These are listed in Table H1.

One water sample duplicate (MDCS07X1) was analyzed for SVOCs using USAEC method UM18. The USEPA Region 1 requirement of RPD of 30% or less for

SVOC duplicate water samples was used to assess the precision of the reported concentrations.

No SVOCs were detected in the duplicate pair MXCS07X1.

VOCs. USAEC methods LM19 and UM20 were used for the analysis of VOCs in soil and water, respectively. USEPA Region 1 protocols were used to assess the precision of the reported concentrations. DXCR0100 and its associated duplicate was used for the soil analysis. No VOCs were detected in DXCR0100 or its duplicate.

One duplicate sample (MXSH10x1) was analyzed using the VOC water method UM20. USEPA Region 1 CLP criteria of 30% RPD or less was used for VOA duplicates.

The USEPA RPD limit was not exceeded in the water VOC analyses. All VOCs were reported at or below CRL.

Explosives. USAEC method LW12 was used for the soil analysis of explosives. A total of three duplicate pairs were analyzed (DXCS0200, DXCR0100, DXCS0102 and DXCS0903). There are currently no USEPA CLP guidelines to measure the precision and accuracy for explosive compounds. No explosives were detected above respective CRLs in any of the samples.

USAEC methods UW19 and UW32 were used to assess the precision of explosives data of Group 1A water samples. Two duplicate pairs (MXCS07X1, MXSH10x1), were used in the analysis. All explosive results for both of the duplicates were below CRL.

Other Methods. Duplicate samples were collected from Group 1A and analyzed using other methods. These include the following: alkalinity, hardness, TDS, TOC and TSS. There are no USEPA CLP criteria to use as guidance in assessing the precision for these methods. All results are tabulated in Table H1.

3.0 QUALITY CONTROL BLANK RESULTS FOR GROUP 1A, ROUND 2

3.1 INTRODUCTION

This data quality report provides an evaluation of methods blanks, rinsate blanks, and other field quality control sample data generated for the supplemental RI activities conducted at Fort Devens, Massachusetts. Data were generated by the USAEC contract laboratory, ESE Laboratories, from water samples collected during the summer of 1993. All data used in this report came directly from the USAEC's IRDMIS system. Samples discussed in Section 3 pertain only to those collected for the second round from Group 1A.

Quality Control Frequency Tables. Frequency tables were generated for all quality control blanks that were analyzed during the Fort Devens RI. These include trip blanks, rinsate blanks and method blanks. The results are listed by analytical method and were used to identify any target analytes that appeared in the blanks. The frequency tables are provided to give an overview of blank contamination associated with each blank type. Tables H-20, H-21 and H-22 represent the frequency of detected analytes for rinsate, method and trip blanks, respectively. Analytes which are not listed in the frequency tables were not detected at a concentration above the CRL. They are listed, however, in Tables H-23, H-24, and H-25.

3.2 METHOD BLANKS

Method blanks were analyzed to determine if compound analytes were introduced during the processing of the field samples. Chemically pure deionized water was used to collect method blanks at the laboratory. The method blanks were analyzed following the same procedures used to analyze field samples. Any compounds that were detected in the method blanks were attributed to laboratory contamination. The detected compounds are identified in the method blank frequency table (Table H-21).

Group 1A Round 2 method blanks were analyzed for the following parameters: inorganics, SVOCs, VOCs, pesticides/PCBs, explosives, TOC, TSS, TDS, hardness, and alkalinity.

Inorganics. Method blanks from Group 1A Round 2 were tested for the following elements: antimony, aluminum, arsenic, barium, beryllium, calcium, cadmium, chromium, cobalt, copper, iron, lead, mercury, magnesium, manganese, nickel, potassium, selenium, silver, sodium, thallium, vanadium, and zinc. None of these elements were found in concentrations above CRL for any of the method blanks.

SVOCs. USAEC method UM18 was used to analyze the method blank for SVOC contamination. One compound, 1,2-Epoxycyclohexene, was detected in this blank. The concentration at which it was measured was 6 μg/L. All other SVOC compounds were undetected in concentrations above CRL.

VOCs. USAEC method UM20 was used to analyze the method blanks for VOCs. Two method blanks were used. The only VOC that was reported above CRL was chloroform which was present in one of two blanks. Refer to Table H-21 for the concentration of this contaminant.

Pesticides/PCBs. USAEC methods UH02 and UH13 were used to determine pesticide/PCB contamination in two method blanks. Pesticide/PCB compounds were not reported above respective CRLs in either of the blanks.

Explosives. USAEC methods UW19 and UW32 were used to measure contamination of explosive compounds in four method blanks. The reported results were below CRL for all explosives.

Other Methods. Method blank data was also available for the following parameters: TOC, TSS, TDS, hardness, and alkalinity. All method blanks had reported values below CRL for all of the above.

3.3 TRIP BLANKS

Trip blanks consist of chemically pure water sent by the contractor laboratory to be shipped with environmental samples. Trip blank data are presented in Table H-23. The trip blanks were analyzed at the laboratory to determine if cross contamination of volatile compounds occurred during the handling and shipment of the samples. A summary of compounds detected above CRL in the trip blanks is found in Table H-22.

A total of two trip blanks were sent with samples during the Group 1A Round 2 groundwater sampling effort. These trip blanks were identified as DVTRP053 and DVTRP056. USAEC method UM20 was used to analyze both blanks for VOC contamination. The only VOC reported above CRL was chloroform. It was detected in DVTRP056 at 0.82 μg/L.

3.4 FIELD QUALITY CONTROL

The field quality control blanks include a rinsate blank and a field blank. The role of these blanks in completing the data quality assessment is discussed in Section 2 of the text in this report.

3.4.1 Group 1A Round 2 Rinsate Blank Results

One rinsate blank, SBK93129, was collected during the Group 1A Round 2 groundwater sampling event. This blank was analyzed for inorganics, SVOCs, VOCs, pesticides/PCBs, explosives, TSS, TDS, TOC, alkalinity, and hardness. All rinsate results are found in Table H-25. A summary of detected compounds is found in Table H-20.

Inorganics. The rinsate blank SBK93129 was analyzed for the following elements: aluminum, antimony, arsenic, barium, beryllium, calcium, cadmium, chromium, cobalt, copper, iron, lead, magnesium, manganese, mercury, nickel, potassium, selenium, silver, sodium, thallium, vanadium, and zinc. The only element reported in concentrations above CRL was manganese at 5.8 μg/L.

SVOCs. USAEC method UM18 was used to measure SVOCs in the rinsate blank. None of the SVOCs were reported above CRL except for Di-N-butyl phthalate. Two other unidentified compounds were also observed at concentrations of 6 μg/L and 10 μg/L.

VOCs. USAEC method UM20 was used to analyze VOCs in the rinsate blank. Two VOCs, 1,1,1-trichloroethane and chloroform, were reported in concentrations above respective CRLs.

Pesticides/PCBs. USAEC methods UH02 and UH13 were used to determine pesticide/PCB concentrations in the rinsate blank, SBK93129. There were no pesticide/PCB compounds detected above CRL.

Explosives. USAEC methods UW19 and UW32 were used to measure concentrations of explosives in the rinsate blank. There were no explosive compounds reported above CRL.

Other Methods. SBK93129 was also analyzed for TSS, TDS, TOC, alkalinity, and hardness. The concentrations reported for all of these parameters were below respective CRLs except for TOC. A result of 6,740 μg/L was measured for this parameter.

3.4.2 Source Water/Field Blank Results

A sample of the USAEC approved water (a field blank) used to decontaminate sampling equipment was collected in March, 1993. The sample was sent to the contract laboratory for analysis. Analysis was completed for the following parameters: inorganics, SVOCs, VOCs, pesticides/PCBs, explosives, hardness, and TPHC. The results from these analyses provide background data on the decontamination water. Source water results are summarized in Table H-26.

Inorganics. The field blank collected at Fort Devens was analyzed for the following elements: antimony, aluminum, arsenic, barium, beryllium, cadmium, calcium, chromium, cobalt, copper, iron, lead, magnesium, manganese, mercury, nickel, potassium, selenium, silver, sodium, thallium, vanadium, and zinc. Elements which were reported at concentrations above CRL are listed in the table below.

ELEMENT	CONCENTRATION (μg/L)
Calcium	6,040
Iron	113
Magnesium	1,760
Manganese	4.02

Potassium	1,210
Sodium	2,640

SVOCs. SVOCs in the field blank were all below CRL except for 2-Ethyl-1-hexanol. This compound was measured at 10 μg/L. One unknown compound was also detected at 5.0 μg/L.

VOCs. All VOCs in the filter blank were reported in concentrations below respective CRLs except for chloroform. It should be noted that chloroform was also detected in the method blank in roughly the same concentration (1.7 μg/L for field blank versus 1.8 μg/L for method blank) as in the field blank. Introduction of chloroform could have occurred at the laboratory.

Pesticides/PCBs. All pesticide/PCB compounds were reported below CRL in the field blank.

Explosives. All explosive compounds were reported below CRL in the field blank.

Other Methods. The field blank was also analyzed for TPHC and hardness. TPHC concentrations were below CRL. However, a concentration of 20,000 μg/L was measured for hardness.

4.0 GROUP 1A ROUND 2 MATRIX SPIKES AND DUPLICATE QUALITY CONTROL

4.1 INTRODUCTION

Matrix Spikes. MS/MSDs were collected at a rate of one each per 20 environmental samples. All MS/MSD samples have been tabulated and are presented in Table H-19. For some analyses more than one set of MS/MSDs were spiked by the contract laboratory. Data have been segregated by method to show recovery trends of particular analytes. Matrix spikes have been paired with corresponding matrix spike duplicates to make recovery comparisons. The RPDs between recoveries of the matrix spikes and matrix spike duplicates have been calculated and are included in Table H-19. The RPD was used to measure the

analytical precision of the results. The average recoveries, and maximum and minimum recoveries for each method are also included as a way of measuring trends.

The criteria used for interpreting MS/MSD data are from the analytical USEPA CLP protocols and the POP for Fort Devens, Volume III. Interpretations of the MS/MSD results are contained in Section 4.2 of this Appendix.

Duplicates. Field duplicate samples were collected at the same rate as the MS/MSD samples. Duplicates were differentiated from samples in the IRDMIS sample identification number. The second digit in the number had a "D" in place to denote the duplicate.

The purpose of analyzing duplicate samples was to measure the precision of the sampling and analytical results. This was measured by the calculation of the RPD for all runs of a particular method. The RPD was calculated as the difference between the maximum and minimum result divided by the average of all results. Duplicate data are presented for Group 1A Round 2 data in Table H-18.

USEPA Region 1 guidelines were used to assess the RPDs of duplicate and replicate results. The guidelines were used to assess the variability and reproducibility of the results.

4.2 GROUP 1A ROUND 2 MATRIX SPIKES

Matrix spike data for Group 1A Round 2 are presented in Table H-19. MS/MSD samples were collected to determine the effect of the matrix on spike recoveries of inorganics, explosives, and pesticides/PCBs. USEPA CLP criteria, where applicable, and matrix spike protocols specified in the Fort Devens POP, Vol. III were used to determine whether the matrix affected the recoveries of these analytes. The RPD was also calculated to measure the precision of the analyses.

Inorganics. Matrix spike analysis included the following elements for Group 1A water samples:

- aluminum
- antimony
- cobalt
- copper
- potassium
- selenium

- arsenic
- barium
- beryllium
- cadmium
- calcium
- chromium
- iron
- lead
- magnesium
- manganese
- mercury
- nickel
- silver
- sodium
- thallium
- vanadium
- zinc

Inorganic recoveries of 25 percent ± of 100 percent are required in order to meet CLP requirements.

One water sample was collected and submitted to the contract laboratory for matrix spike analysis. This water sample was identified as MXSH01A2. For some methods the MS and MSD were spiked and analyzed more than once (replicate runs).

The spike recoveries for all elements were within 25 percent of full recovery except for one run for the element thallium. The recovery of one of the replicate runs was 73%.

Explosives. USAEC methods UW19 and UW32 were used to analyze recoveries of spiked explosive compounds in water. The sample MXSH01A2 was used for this analysis. There are no USEPA CLP Region 1 guidelines for explosive compounds. The guidelines that were used are specified in the Fort Devens Project Operations Plan and also on pg. H-8 of the Group 1A Round 1 section of this Appendix.

The recoveries of 2,4-Dinitrotoluene, nitrobenzene, cyclonite, 1,3,5-Trinitrobenzene, and 2,4,6-Trinitrotoluene all met the established criteria. NG and PETN results were also included in the explosive method. Although no spike criteria exists for these compounds, the recoveries for two out of three replicate runs were 6.3 percent for both compounds.

Pesticides/PCBs. The primary assessment of matrix effects for pesticides and PCBs was based upon the recovery of the surrogate decachlorobiphenyl. In addition other pesticide/PCB compounds were spiked into the water sample MXSH01A2. These compounds are listed in Table H-19 along with other MS/MSD results.

USAEC methods UH13 and UH02 were used for pesticide/PCB spike recovery analysis. The USEPA advisory limits for the recovery of the surrogate decachlorobiphenyl and the pesticide/PCB compounds are 60% to 150%. Decachlorobiphenyl recoveries were all below the 60% USEPA minimum for three replicate runs using the UH02 method. Recoveries ranged from 41% to 55%. Recoveries for all other PCB compounds that were spiked and run using the UH02 method were all within advisory limits. Recoveries for all compounds (including decachlorobiphenyl) spiked into MXSH01A2 using the UH13 method were all within the USEPA limits.

4.3 GROUP 1A ROUND 2 DUPLICATES

Group 1A Round 2 water sample duplicates are summarized by analytical method in Table H-18. The following methodologies were used to assess the variability and reproducibility of the results:

- Inorganics
- SVOCs
- VOCs
- Explosives
- Pesticides/PCBs

Refer to Table H-18 for a description of water methods used in the analyses.

Inorganics. Three sets of water samples (MXCS01A2, MXSH24A2, and MXSH24a2) along with duplicate and replicate runs of each) were analyzed for elements listed below. MXSH24A2, and MXSH24a2 were both collected from the same location. MXSH24A2 is a filtered sample whereas MXSH24a2 is a non-filtered sample.

- aluminum
- antimony
- arsenic
- barium
- beryllium
- cadmium
- calcium
- cobalt
- copper
- iron
- lead
- magnesium
- manganese
- mercury
- potassium
- selenium
- silver
- sodium
- thallium
- vanadium
- zinc

- chromium
- nickel

The USEPA Region 1 criteria for the RPD of inorganic duplicates of water samples is 30 percent. The RPDs of sample/duplicate pairs exceeded this limit for the element barium only. The RPD for MXSH24A2 results was calculated at 39% for this element. The RPDs for all other elements of the other duplicates were all below 30%.

SVOCs. The water sample MXCS01A2 and its associated duplicate were used to measure the precision of SVOC analysis using method UM18. The USEPA Region I limit which was used to measure this precision is 30% RPD between duplicate sample SVOC results.

The SVOC results for the sample/duplicate pair of MXCS01a2 were all reported in concentrations below CRL for all compounds except bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate.

VOCs. The water sample MXCS01A2 and its associated duplicate were used to measure the precision of VOC analysis using water method UM20. USEPA Region 1 protocols for the RPD between the results was used.

The VOC results for the sample/duplicate pair of MXCS01A2 were all reported in concentrations below CRL.

Pesticides/PCBs. The water sample MXCS01a2 and its associated duplicate were used to measure the precision of pesticide/PCB water methods using method UM18.

All pesticide/PCB concentrations for the sample/duplicate pair of MXCS01A2 were reported in concentrations below CRL.

Explosives. Methods UW19 and UW32 were used to obtain results for explosives compounds. The precision of these results was measured using the RPD between the sample and duplicate results.

The sample/duplicate pairs of MXCS01A2 and MXSH24a2 were analyzed for explosives. The results for all explosive compounds were all reported at below CRL.

Table H2
MS/MSD Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

MATRIX SPIKES/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATES

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	Spike Value	Value	Units	Percent Recovery	RPD
	00	ALK	MXMW06X2	BZQ	23-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	44600.000	41000.000	UGL	91.9	2.5
	00	ALK	MXMW06X2	BZQ	23-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	44600.000	40000.000	UGL	89.7	2.5
	00	ALK	MXMW13X2	CFD	26-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	44600.000	45000.000	UGL	100.9	2.2
	00	ALK	MXMW13X2	CFD	26-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	44600.000	44000.000	UGL	98.7	2.2
	00	ALK	WX2601X1	BZO	16-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	44600.000	46000.000	UGL	103.1	4.4
	00	ALK	WX2601X1	BZO	16-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	44600.000	44000.000	UGL	98.7	4.4
	00	ALK	WX2606X1	BZP	21-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	44600.000	45000.000	UGL	100.9	2.2
	00	ALK	WX2606X1	BZP	21-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	44600.000	45000.000	UGL	100.9	2.2
	00	ALK	WX2606X1	BZP	21-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	44600.000	45000.000	UGL	100.9	2.2
	00	ALK	WX2606X1	BZP	21-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	44600.000	44000.000	UGL	98.7	2.2
	00	ALK	WX3201X1	BZP	20-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	44600.000	41000.000	UGL	91.9	2.5
	00	ALK	WX3201X1	BZP	20-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	44600.000	40000.000	UGL	89.7	2.5
		**********								---	
		avg								97.2	
		minimum								89.7	
		maximum								103.1	
	00	HARD	MX2508X1	CFU	17-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	40000.000	29000.000	UGL	72.5	23.1
	00	HARD	MX2508X1	CFU	17-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	40000.000	23000.000	UGL	57.5	23.1
	00	HARD	MX2604X1	CFU	18-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	40000.000	39400.000	UGL	98.5	.5
	00	HARD	MX2604X1	CFU	18-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	40000.000	39200.000	UGL	98.0	.5
	00	HARD	MX3202X1	CFU	19-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	40000.000	40200.000	UGL	100.5	1.5
	00	HARD	MX3202X1	CFU	19-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	40000.000	39600.000	UGL	99.0	1.5
	00	HARD	MXMW06X2	BZI	23-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	40000.000	40000.000	UGL	100.0	1.0
	00	HARD	MXMW06X2	BZI	23-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	40000.000	39600.000	UGL	99.0	1.0
	00	HARD	MXMW11X2	BZS	27-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	80000.000	80000.000	UGL	100.0	1.0
	00	HARD	MXMW11X2	BZS	27-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	80000.000	79200.000	UGL	99.0	1.0
	00	HARD	WX2606X1	BZI	21-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	40000.000	39600.000	UGL	99.0	3.1
	00	HARD	WX2606X1	BZI	21-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	40000.000	39600.000	UGL	99.0	3.1
	00	HARD	WX2606X1	BZI	21-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	40000.000	39200.000	UGL	98.0	3.1
	00	HARD	WX2606X1	BZI	21-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	40000.000	38400.000	UGL	96.0	3.1
	00	HARD	WX3201X1	BZI	20-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	40000.000	40200.000	UGL	100.5	.5
	00	HARD	WX3201X1	BZI	20-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	40000.000	40000.000	UGL	100.0	.5
		**********								---	
		avg								94.8	
		minimum								57.5	
		maximum								100.5	
	00	TOC	DX2606X1	BZV	21-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	46300.000	50400.000	UGG	108.9	30.4
	00	TOC	DX2606X1	BZV	21-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	42300.000	33900.000	UGG	80.1	30.4
	00	TOC	DX3201X1	BZV	20-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	4230.000	18400.000	UGG	435.0	119.5
	00	TOC	DX3201X1	BZV	20-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	10500.000	11500.000	UGG	109.5	119.5
	00	TOC	DXCS0502	CQT	05-DEC-1992	31-DEC-1992	4270.000	6380.000	UGG	149.4	110.0
	00	TOC	DXCS0502	CQT	05-DEC-1992	31-DEC-1992	3110.000	1350.000	UGG	43.4	110.0

Table H2
MS/MSD Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

MATRIX SPIKES/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATES

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	Spike Value	Value	Units	Percent Recovery	RPD
	00	TOC	DXCS1002	CQT	05-DEC-1992	31-DEC-1992	4520.000	6180.000	UGG	136.7	47.4
	00	TOC	DXCS1002	CQT	05-DEC-1992	31-DEC-1992	2870.000	2420.000	UGG	84.3	47.4
	00	TOC	DXCS1200	CQV	10-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	10400.000	11200.000	UGG	107.7	96.2
	00	TOC	DXCS1200	CQV	10-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	9170.000	3460.000	UGG	37.7	96.2
	00	TOC	DXCS1201	CQV	10-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	24600.000	4420.000	UGG	18.0	103.5
	00	TOC	DXCS1201	CQV	10-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	1750.000	100.000	UGG	5.7	103.5
	00	TOC	DXSH0500	CQK	01-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	801000.000	826000.000	UGG	103.1	18.3
	00	TOC	DXSH0500	CQK	01-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	641000.000	550000.000	UGG	85.8	18.3
	00	TOC	DXSH1003	CQT	04-DEC-1992	31-DEC-1992	75400.000	151000.000	UGG	200.3	137.8
	00	TOC	DXSH1003	CQT	04-DEC-1992	31-DEC-1992	39300.000	14500.000	UGG	36.9	137.8
	00	TOC	DXSH1800	CQT	03-DEC-1992	31-DEC-1992	313000.000	482000.000	UGG	154.0	1.7
	00	TOC	DXSH1800	CQT	03-DEC-1992	31-DEC-1992	179000.000	271000.000	UGG	151.4	1.7
	00	TOC	DXSH2003	CQS	02-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	44100.000	58800.000	UGG	133.3	52.1
	00	TOC	DXSH2003	CQS	02-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	51400.000	40200.000	UGG	78.2	52.1
		**********								---	
		avg								113.0	
		minimum								5.7	
		maximum								435.0	
	00	TPHC	BX250201	BZN	15-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	1220.000	1290.000	UGG	105.7	.0
	00	TPHC	BX250201	BZN	15-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	1220.000	1290.000	UGG	105.7	.0
	00	TPHC	BX320403	BZM	19-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	1170.000	1220.000	UGG	104.3	.0
	00	TPHC	BX320403	BZM	19-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	1170.000	1220.000	UGG	104.3	.0
	00	TPHC	BX320903	CFG	20-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	1170.000	1060.000	UGG	90.6	3.8
	00	TPHC	BX320903	CFG	20-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	1170.000	1020.000	UGG	87.2	3.8
	00	TPHC	MX2604X1	CQY	18-NOV-1992	16-DEC-1992	4280.000	3820.000	UGL	89.3	4.3
	00	TPHC	MX2604X1	CQY	18-NOV-1992	16-DEC-1992	4280.000	3660.000	UGL	85.5	4.3
	00	TPHC	MX3202X1	CQY	19-NOV-1992	16-DEC-1992	4280.000	3580.000	UGL	83.6	3.4
	00	TPHC	MX3202X1	CQY	19-NOV-1992	16-DEC-1992	4280.000	3460.000	UGL	80.8	3.4
	00	TPHC	MXMW06X2	CFS	23-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	4590.000	3580.000	UGL	78.0	.3
	00	TPHC	MXMW06X2	CFS	23-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	4540.000	3550.000	UGL	78.2	.3
	00	TPHC	SX3204X1	CFB	17-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	1210.000	1230.000	UGG	101.7	7.6
	00	TPHC	SX3204X1	CFB	17-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	1210.000	1230.000	UGG	101.7	7.6
	00	TPHC	SX3204X1	CFC	17-OCT-1992	06-NOV-1992	1210.000	1140.000	UGG	94.2	7.6
	00	TPHC	SX3204X1	CFC	17-OCT-1992	06-NOV-1992	1210.000	1140.000	UGG	94.2	7.6
	00	TPHC	WX2606X1	CFO	21-OCT-1992	13-NOV-1992	4310.000	2640.000	UGL	61.3	.9
	00	TPHC	WX2606X1	CFO	21-OCT-1992	13-NOV-1992	4350.000	2640.000	UGL	60.7	.9
	00	TPHC	WX3201X1	CFO	20-OCT-1992	13-NOV-1992	4350.000	3360.000	UGL	77.2	2.4
	00	TPHC	WX3201X1	CFO	20-OCT-1992	13-NOV-1992	4310.000	3250.000	UGL	75.4	2.4
		**********								---	
		avg								88.0	
		minimum								60.7	
		maximum								105.7	

Table H2
MS/MSD Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

MATRIX SPIKES/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATES

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	Spike Value	Value	Units	Percent Recovery	RPD
	JB01	HG	BX250101	BHF	15-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	0.437	0.482	UGG	110.3	.0
	JB01	HG	BX250101	BHF	15-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	0.427	0.471	UGG	110.3	.0
	JB01	HG	BX320101	BHG	19-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	0.415	0.398	UGG	95.9	1.0
	JB01	HG	BX320101	BHG	19-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	0.414	0.393	UGG	94.9	1.0
	JB01	HG	BX320601	BHG	20-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	0.425	0.411	UGG	96.7	1.9
	JB01	HG	BX320601	BHG	20-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	0.428	0.406	UGG	94.9	1.9
	JB01	HG	BX320903	BHK	20-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	0.411	0.480	UGG	116.8	4.3
	JB01	HG	BX320903	BHK	20-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	0.393	0.479	UGG	121.9	4.3
	JB01	HG	DX3201X1	BHK	20-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	0.461	0.454	UGG	98.5	6.6
	JB01	HG	DX3201X1	BHK	20-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	0.459	0.423	UGG	92.2	6.6
	JB01	HG	DXCR0200	CSE	16-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	1.670	1.330	UGG	79.6	3.6
	JB01	HG	DXCR0200	CSE	16-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	1.640	1.260	UGG	76.8	3.6
	JB01	HG	DXCS0502	CSD	05-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	0.478	0.493	UGG	103.1	.9
	JB01	HG	DXCS0502	CSD	05-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	0.465	0.484	UGG	104.1	.9
	JB01	HG	DXCS0504	CSD	05-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	0.486	0.486	UGG	100.0	6.0
	JB01	HG	DXCS0504	CSD	05-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	0.478	0.450	UGG	94.1	6.0
	JB01	HG	DXCS1002	CSE	05-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	0.440	0.422	UGG	95.9	.1
	JB01	HG	DXCS1002	CSE	05-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	0.434	0.416	UGG	95.9	.1
	JB01	HG	DXCS1200	CSE	10-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	0.462	0.460	UGG	99.6	1.9
	JB01	HG	DXCS1200	CSE	10-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	0.435	0.425	UGG	97.7	1.9
	JB01	HG	DXCS1201	CSE	10-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	0.433	0.420	UGG	97.0	3.1
	JB01	HG	DXCS1201	CSE	10-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	0.435	0.409	UGG	94.0	3.1
	JB01	HG	DXSH0900	CSB	03-DEC-1992	16-DEC-1992	2.000	1.980	UGG	99.0	9.5
	JB01	HG	DXSH0900	CSB	03-DEC-1992	16-DEC-1992	2.000	1.800	UGG	90.0	9.5
	JB01	HG	DXSH1003	CSB	04-DEC-1992	16-DEC-1992	1.770	0.834	UGG	47.1	15.4
	JB01	HG	DXSH1003	CSB	04-DEC-1992	16-DEC-1992	1.790	0.723	UGG	40.4	15.4
	JB01	HG	DXSH1800	CSC	03-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	4.940	3.410	UGG	69.0	4.8
	JB01	HG	DXSH1800	CSC	03-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	4.850	3.190	UGG	65.8	4.8
	JB01	HG	DXSH2003	CSC	02-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	1.840	1.620	UGG	88.0	10.4
	JB01	HG	DXSH2003	CSC	02-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	1.790	1.420	UGG	79.3	10.4
	JB01	HG	SX2503X1	BHF	13-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	0.405	0.435	UGG	107.4	2.4
	JB01	HG	SX2503X1	BHF	13-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	0.409	0.429	UGG	104.9	2.4
		**********								---	
		avg								92.5	
		minimum								40.4	
		maximum								121.9	
SE IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD15	SE	BX250201	BGI	15-OCT-1992	24-NOV-1992	4.280	5.330	UGG	124.5	.4
SE IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD15	SE	BX250201	BGI	15-OCT-1992	24-NOV-1992	4.170	5.170	UGG	124.0	.4
SE IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD15	SE	BX320303	BGJ	19-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	4.140	2.940	UGG	71.0	9.4
SE IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD15	SE	BX320303	BGJ	19-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	4.130	2.670	UGG	64.6	9.4
SE IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD15	SE	BX320603	BGJ	20-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	4.100	3.370	UGG	82.2	10.3

Table H2
MS/MSD Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

MATRIX SPIKES/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATES

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	Spike Value	Value	Units	Percent Recovery	RPD
SE IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD15	SE	BX320603	BGJ	20-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	4.100	3.040	UGG	74.1	10.3
SE IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD15	SE	BX320903	BGL	20-OCT-1992	17-NOV-1992	4.110	4.860	UGG	118.2	.9
SE IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD15	SE	BX320903	BGL	20-OCT-1992	17-NOV-1992	4.070	4.770	UGG	117.2	.9
SE IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD15	SE	DXCR0200	CNG	16-DEC-1992	19-JAN-1993	17.000	21.200	UGG	124.7	8.0
SE IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD15	SE	DXCR0200	CNG	16-DEC-1992	19-JAN-1993	16.500	19.000	UGG	115.2	8.0
SE IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD15	SE	DXCS0502	CNF	05-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	4.670	4.850	UGG	103.9	2.7
SE IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD15	SE	DXCS0502	CNF	05-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	4.660	4.710	UGG	101.1	2.7
SE IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD15	SE	DXCS1002	CNG	05-DEC-1992	18-JAN-1993	4.460	4.890	UGG	109.6	.7
SE IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD15	SE	DXCS1002	CNG	05-DEC-1992	18-JAN-1993	4.420	4.810	UGG	108.8	.7
SE IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD15	SE	DXCS1200	CNG	10-DEC-1992	18-JAN-1993	4.540	4.460	UGG	98.2	17.4
SE IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD15	SE	DXCS1200	CNG	10-DEC-1992	18-JAN-1993	4.680	3.860	UGG	82.5	17.4
SE IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD15	SE	DXCS1201	CNG	10-DEC-1992	19-JAN-1993	4.710	4.940	UGG	104.9	2.6
SE IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD15	SE	DXCS1201	CNG	10-DEC-1992	19-JAN-1993	4.670	4.770	UGG	102.1	2.6
SE IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD15	SE	DXSH1003	CND	04-DEC-1992	19-DEC-1992	18.100	23.600	UGG	130.4	1.3
SE IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD15	SE	DXSH1003	CND	04-DEC-1992	19-DEC-1992	18.100	23.300	UGG	128.7	1.3
SE IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD15	SE	DXSH1800	CNE	03-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	49.600	67.700	UGG	136.5	.0
SE IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD15	SE	DXSH1800	CNE	03-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	49.100	67.000	UGG	136.5	.0
SE IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD15	SE	DXSH2003	CNE	02-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	18.500	24.800	UGG	134.1	.6
SE IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD15	SE	DXSH2003	CNE	02-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	17.800	24.000	UGG	134.8	.6
SE IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD15	SE	SX3204X1	BGI	17-OCT-1992	24-NOV-1992	4.280	5.320	UGG	124.3	2.6
SE IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD15	SE	SX3204X1	BGI	17-OCT-1992	24-NOV-1992	4.140	5.280	UGG	127.5	2.6
		**********								---	
		avg								110.8	
		minimum								64.6	
		maximum								136.5	
PB IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD17	PB	BX250201	BFQ	15-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	4.170	0.880	UGG	21.1	2.6
PB IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD17	PB	BX250201	BFQ	15-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	4.280	0.880	UGG	20.6	2.6
PB IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD17	PB	BX320303	BFR	19-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	4.130	4.640	UGG	112.3	12.4
PB IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD17	PB	BX320303	BFR	19-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	4.140	4.110	UGG	99.3	12.4
PB IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD17	PB	BX320603	BFR	20-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	4.100	4.390	UGG	107.1	10.0
PB IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD17	PB	BX320603	BFR	20-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	4.100	3.970	UGG	96.8	10.0
PB IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD17	PB	BX320903	BFT	20-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	4.070	1.400	UGG	34.4	46.5
PB IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD17	PB	BX320903	BFT	20-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	4.110	0.880	UGG	21.4	46.5
PB IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD17	PB	DXCR0200	CIV	16-DEC-1992	18-JAN-1993	16.500	0.710	UGG	4.3	3.0
PB IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD17	PB	DXCR0200	CIV	16-DEC-1992	18-JAN-1993	17.000	0.710	UGG	4.2	3.0
PB IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD17	PB	DXCS0502	CIU	05-DEC-1992	23-DEC-1992	4.670	4.920	UGG	105.4	.0
PB IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD17	PB	DXCS0502	CIU	05-DEC-1992	23-DEC-1992	4.660	4.910	UGG	105.4	.0
PB IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD17	PB	DXCS1002	CIV	05-DEC-1992	18-JAN-1993	4.420	4.630	UGG	104.8	1.8
PB IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD17	PB	DXCS1002	CIV	05-DEC-1992	18-JAN-1993	4.460	4.590	UGG	102.9	1.8
PB IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD17	PB	DXCS1200	CIV	10-DEC-1992	18-JAN-1993	4.680	7.000	UGG	149.6	38.5
PB IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD17	PB	DXCS1200	CIV	10-DEC-1992	18-JAN-1993	4.540	4.600	UGG	101.3	38.5
PB IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD17	PB	DXCS1201	CIV	10-DEC-1992	18-JAN-1993	4.710	5.800	UGG	123.1	28.9

Table H2
MS/MSD Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

MATRIX SPIKES/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATES

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	Spike Value	Value	Units	Percent Recovery	RPD
PB IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD17	PB	DXCS1201	CIV	10-DEC-1992	18-JAN-1993	4.670	4.300	UGG	92.1	28.9
PB IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD17	PB	DXSH1003	CIS	04-DEC-1992	19-DEC-1992	18.100	20.900	UGG	115.5	.5
PB IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD17	PB	DXSH1003	CIS	04-DEC-1992	19-DEC-1992	18.100	20.800	UGG	114.9	.5
PB IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD17	PB	DXSH1800	CIT	03-DEC-1992	19-DEC-1992	49.100	61.200	UGG	124.6	11.1
PB IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD17	PB	DXSH1800	CIT	03-DEC-1992	19-DEC-1992	49.600	55.300	UGG	111.5	11.1
PB IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD17	PB	DXSH2003	CIT	02-DEC-1992	19-DEC-1992	17.800	21.100	UGG	118.5	8.7
PB IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD17	PB	DXSH2003	CIT	02-DEC-1992	19-DEC-1992	18.500	20.100	UGG	108.6	8.7
PB IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD17	PB	SX3204X1	BFQ	17-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	4.280	140.000	UGG	3271.0	3.3
PB IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD17	PB	SX3204X1	BFQ	17-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	4.140	140.000	UGG	3381.6	3.3
		**********								---	
		avg								336.6	
		minimum								4.2	
		maximum								3381.6	
AS IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD19	AS	BX250201	BBR	15-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	4.170	5.700	UGG	136.7	61.5
AS IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD19	AS	BX250201	BBR	15-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	4.280	3.100	UGG	72.4	61.5
AS IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD19	AS	BX320303	BBS	19-OCT-1992	11-NOV-1992	4.130	4.200	UGG	101.7	27.3
AS IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD19	AS	BX320303	BBS	19-OCT-1992	11-NOV-1992	4.140	3.200	UGG	77.3	27.3
AS IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD19	AS	BX320603	BBS	20-OCT-1992	11-NOV-1992	4.100	6.600	UGG	161.0	14.6
AS IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD19	AS	BX320603	BBS	20-OCT-1992	11-NOV-1992	4.100	5.700	UGG	139.0	14.6
AS IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD19	AS	BX320903	BBU	20-OCT-1992	13-NOV-1992	4.070	1.200	UGG	29.5	83.2
AS IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD19	AS	BX320903	BBU	20-OCT-1992	13-NOV-1992	4.110	0.500	UGG	12.2	83.2
AS IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD19	AS	DXCR0200	CJP	16-DEC-1992	16-JAN-1993	17.000	18.000	UGG	105.9	5.7
AS IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD19	AS	DXCR0200	CJP	16-DEC-1992	16-JAN-1993	16.500	16.500	UGG	100.0	5.7
AS IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD19	AS	DXCS0502	CJO	05-DEC-1992	23-DEC-1992	4.660	4.620	UGG	99.1	1.5
AS IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD19	AS	DXCS0502	CJO	05-DEC-1992	23-DEC-1992	4.670	4.560	UGG	97.6	1.5
AS IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD19	AS	DXCS1002	CJP	05-DEC-1992	15-JAN-1993	4.420	5.000	UGG	113.1	18.3
AS IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD19	AS	DXCS1002	CJP	05-DEC-1992	15-JAN-1993	4.460	4.200	UGG	94.2	18.3
AS IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD19	AS	DXCS1200	CJP	10-DEC-1992	15-JAN-1993	4.680	11.000	UGG	235.0	103.3
AS IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD19	AS	DXCS1200	CJP	10-DEC-1992	15-JAN-1993	4.540	3.400	UGG	74.9	103.3
AS IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD19	AS	DXCS1201	CJP	10-DEC-1992	16-JAN-1993	4.670	6.800	UGG	145.6	59.8
AS IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD19	AS	DXCS1201	CJP	10-DEC-1992	16-JAN-1993	4.710	3.700	UGG	78.6	59.8
AS IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD19	AS	DXSH1003	CJM	04-DEC-1992	19-DEC-1992	18.100	25.600	UGG	141.4	9.4
AS IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD19	AS	DXSH1003	CJM	04-DEC-1992	19-DEC-1992	18.100	23.300	UGG	128.7	9.4
AS IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD19	AS	DXSH1800	CJN	03-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	49.100	110.000	UGG	224.0	49.5
AS IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD19	AS	DXSH1800	CJN	03-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	49.600	67.000	UGG	135.1	49.5
AS IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD19	AS	DXSH2003	CJN	02-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	17.800	26.000	UGG	146.1	16.1
AS IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD19	AS	DXSH2003	CJN	02-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	18.500	23.000	UGG	124.3	16.1
AS IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD19	AS	SX3204X1	BBR	17-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	4.140	6.900	UGG	166.7	10.8
AS IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD19	AS	SX3204X1	BBR	17-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	4.280	6.400	UGG	149.5	10.8
		**********								---	
		avg								118.8	
		minimum								12.2	

Table H2
MS/MSD Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

MATRIX SPIKES/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATES

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	Spike Value	Value	Units	Percent Recovery	RPD
		maximum								235.0	
TL IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD24	TL	BX250201	ZLK	15-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	4.170	4.470	UGG	107.2	3.7
TL IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD24	TL	BX250201	ZLK	15-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	4.280	4.420	UGG	103.3	3.7
TL IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD24	TL	BX320303	ZLL	19-OCT-1992	13-NOV-1992	4.140	3.980	UGG	96.1	3.1
TL IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD24	TL	BX320303	ZLL	19-OCT-1992	13-NOV-1992	4.130	3.850	UGG	93.2	3.1
TL IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD24	TL	BX320603	ZLL	20-OCT-1992	13-NOV-1992	4.100	3.960	UGG	96.6	3.1
TL IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD24	TL	BX320603	ZLL	20-OCT-1992	13-NOV-1992	4.100	3.840	UGG	93.7	3.1
TL IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD24	TL	BX320903	ZLM	20-OCT-1992	23-NOV-1992	4.070	4.100	UGG	100.7	5.2
TL IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD24	TL	BX320903	ZLM	20-OCT-1992	23-NOV-1992	4.110	3.930	UGG	95.6	5.2
TL IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD24	TL	DXCR0200	ZLS	16-DEC-1992	19-JAN-1993	17.000	18.000	UGG	105.9	1.6
TL IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD24	TL	DXCR0200	ZLS	16-DEC-1992	19-JAN-1993	16.500	17.200	UGG	104.2	1.6
TL IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD24	TL	DXCS0502	ZLR	05-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	4.670	4.820	UGG	103.2	6.9
TL IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD24	TL	DXCS0502	ZLR	05-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	4.660	4.490	UGG	96.4	6.9
TL IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD24	TL	DXCS1002	ZLS	05-DEC-1992	19-JAN-1993	4.460	5.290	UGG	118.6	5.5
TL IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD24	TL	DXCS1002	ZLS	05-DEC-1992	19-JAN-1993	4.420	4.960	UGG	112.2	5.5
TL IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD24	TL	DXCS1200	ZLS	10-DEC-1992	19-JAN-1993	4.680	5.260	UGG	112.4	1.8
TL IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD24	TL	DXCS1200	ZLS	10-DEC-1992	19-JAN-1993	4.540	5.010	UGG	110.4	1.8
TL IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD24	TL	DXCS1201	ZLS	10-DEC-1992	19-JAN-1993	4.670	4.900	UGG	104.9	1.5
TL IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD24	TL	DXCS1201	ZLS	10-DEC-1992	19-JAN-1993	4.710	4.870	UGG	103.4	1.5
TL IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD24	TL	DXSH1003	ZLP	04-DEC-1992	19-DEC-1992	18.100	21.600	UGG	119.3	2.3
TL IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD24	TL	DXSH1003	ZLP	04-DEC-1992	19-DEC-1992	18.100	21.100	UGG	116.6	2.3
TL IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD24	TL	DXSH1800	ZLQ	03-DEC-1992	19-DEC-1992	49.600	51.100	UGG	103.0	.6
TL IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD24	TL	DXSH1800	ZLQ	03-DEC-1992	19-DEC-1992	49.100	50.900	UGG	103.7	.6
TL IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD24	TL	DXSH2003	ZLQ	02-DEC-1992	19-DEC-1992	18.500	17.200	UGG	93.0	2.1
TL IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD24	TL	DXSH2003	ZLQ	02-DEC-1992	19-DEC-1992	17.800	16.200	UGG	91.0	2.1
TL IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD24	TL	SX3204X1	ZLK	17-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	4.280	4.560	UGG	106.5	2.0
TL IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD24	TL	SX3204X1	ZLK	17-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	4.140	4.500	UGG	108.7	2.0
		**********								----------	
		avg								103.8	
		minimum								91.0	
		maximum								119.3	
SB IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD25	SB	BX250201	ZMI	15-OCT-1992	21-NOV-1992	8.630	9.840	UGG	114.0	4.7
SB IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD25	SB	BX250201	ZMI	15-OCT-1992	21-NOV-1992	8.560	9.310	UGG	108.8	4.7
SB IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD25	SB	BX320202	ZMJ	19-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	8.320	8.310	UGG	99.9	3.7
SB IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD25	SB	BX320202	ZMJ	19-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	8.210	7.900	UGG	96.2	3.7
SB IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD25	SB	BX320603	ZMJ	20-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	8.200	7.910	UGG	96.5	1.0
SB IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD25	SB	BX320603	ZMJ	20-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	8.210	7.840	UGG	95.5	1.0
SB IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD25	SB	BX320903	ZMK	20-OCT-1992	21-NOV-1992	8.150	10.300	UGG	126.4	4.1
SB IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD25	SB	BX320903	ZMK	20-OCT-1992	21-NOV-1992	8.240	10.000	UGG	121.4	4.1
SB IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD25	SB	DXCR0200	ZMQ	16-DEC-1992	09-JAN-1993	34.700	39.900	UGG	115.0	3.0

Table H2
MS/MSD Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

MATRIX SPIKES/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATES

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	Spike Value	Value	Units	Percent Recovery	RPD
SB IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD25	SB	DXCR0200	ZMQ	16-DEC-1992	09-JAN-1993	34.400	38.400	UGG	111.6	3.0
SB IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD25	SB	DXCS0502	ZMP	05-DEC-1992	23-DEC-1992	9.540	9.270	UGG	97.2	2.0
SB IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD25	SB	DXCS0502	ZMP	05-DEC-1992	23-DEC-1992	9.220	8.780	UGG	95.2	2.0
SB IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD25	SB	DXCS1002	ZMQ	05-DEC-1992	09-JAN-1993	9.090	8.750	UGG	96.3	3.1
SB IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD25	SB	DXCS1002	ZMQ	05-DEC-1992	09-JAN-1993	9.160	8.550	UGG	93.3	3.1
SB IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD25	SB	DXCS1200	ZMQ	10-DEC-1992	09-JAN-1993	9.310	8.620	UGG	92.6	3.3
SB IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD25	SB	DXCS1200	ZMQ	10-DEC-1992	09-JAN-1993	9.320	8.350	UGG	89.6	3.3
SB IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD25	SB	DXCS1201	ZMQ	10-DEC-1992	09-JAN-1993	9.420	7.310	UGG	77.6	1.9
SB IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD25	SB	DXCS1201	ZMQ	10-DEC-1992	09-JAN-1993	9.440	7.190	UGG	76.2	1.9
SB IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD25	SB	DXSH1003	ZMN	04-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	35.300	36.300	UGG	102.8	2.5
SB IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD25	SB	DXSH1003	ZMN	04-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	34.500	34.600	UGG	100.3	2.5
SB IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD25	SB	DXSH1305	ZMN	02-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	39.200	40.700	UGG	103.8	4.0
SB IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD25	SB	DXSH1305	ZMN	02-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	39.400	39.300	UGG	99.7	4.0
SB IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD25	SB	DXSH1800	ZMO	03-DEC-1992	09-JAN-1993	96.400	104.000	UGG	107.9	4.7
SB IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD25	SB	DXSH1800	ZMO	03-DEC-1992	09-JAN-1993	96.300	99.100	UGG	102.9	4.7
SB IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD25	SB	DXSH2003	ZMO	02-DEC-1992	09-JAN-1993	36.900	38.600	UGG	104.6	1.4
SB IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD25	SB	DXSH2003	ZMO	02-DEC-1992	09-JAN-1993	36.100	38.300	UGG	106.1	1.4
SB IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD25	SB	SX3204X1	ZMI	17-OCT-1992	21-NOV-1992	8.570	10.200	UGG	119.0	2.0
SB IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD25	SB	SX3204X1	ZMI	17-OCT-1992	21-NOV-1992	8.360	9.750	UGG	116.6	2.0
		**********									
		avg								102.4	
		minimum								76.2	
		maximum								126.4	
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	AG	BX250201	BXB	15-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	8.600	7.910	UGG	92.0	.1
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	AG	BX250201	BXB	15-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	8.400	7.730	UGG	92.0	.1
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	AG	BX320903	BXE	20-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	8.310	7.720	UGG	92.9	2.4
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	AG	BX320903	BXE	20-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	7.860	7.480	UGG	95.2	2.4
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	AG	DXCR0200	CTA	16-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	34.100	32.200	UGG	94.4	.1
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	AG	DXCR0200	CTA	16-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	33.700	31.800	UGG	94.4	.1
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	AG	DXCS0502	BXZ	05-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	9.290	9.080	UGG	97.7	.1
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	AG	DXCS0502	BXZ	05-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	9.070	8.860	UGG	97.7	.1
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	AG	DXCS1002	CTA	05-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	9.310	8.160	UGG	87.6	2.3
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	AG	DXCS1002	CTA	05-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	8.730	7.830	UGG	89.7	2.3
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	AG	DXCS1200	CTA	10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	9.230	8.760	UGG	94.9	1.7
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	AG	DXCS1200	CTA	10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	9.300	8.680	UGG	93.3	1.7
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	AG	DXCS1201	CTA	10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	9.330	8.740	UGG	93.7	1.3
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	AG	DXCS1201	CTA	10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	9.110	8.420	UGG	92.4	1.3
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	AG	DXSH1003	BXX	04-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992	36.000	34.600	UGG	96.1	2.6
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	AG	DXSH1003	BXX	04-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992	34.800	32.600	UGG	93.7	2.6
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	AG	DXSH1305	BXX	02-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992	39.700	40.000	UGG	100.8	2.9
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	AG	DXSH1305	BXX	02-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992	37.900	37.100	UGG	97.9	2.9
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	AG	DXSH1800	BXY	03-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	86.100	78.400	UGG	91.1	3.5

Table H2
MS/MSD Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

MATRIX SPIKES/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATES

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	Spike Value	Value	Units	Percent Recovery	RPD
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	AG	DXSH1800	BXY	03-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	83.900	73.800	UGG	88.0	3.5
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	AG	DXSH2003	BXY	02-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	29.800	29.400	UGG	98.7	6.0
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	AG	DXSH2003	BXY	02-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	29.800	27.700	UGG	93.0	6.0
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	AG	SX3204X1	BXB	17-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	8.230	7.750	UGG	94.2	.9
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	AG	SX3204X1	BXB	17-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	8.220	7.670	UGG	93.3	.9
		**********								---	
		avg								93.9	
		minimum								87.6	
		maximum								100.8	
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	AL	BX250201	BXB	15-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	215.000	702.000	UGG	326.5	36.7
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	AL	BX250201	BXB	15-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	210.000	473.000	UGG	225.2	36.7
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	AL	BX320903	BXE	20-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	208.000	835.000	UGG	401.4	3.6
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	AL	BX320903	BXE	20-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	196.000	816.000	UGG	416.3	3.6
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	AL	DXCR0200	CTA	16-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	853.000	547.000	UGG	64.1	7.9
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	AL	DXCR0200	CTA	16-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	842.000	499.000	UGG	59.3	7.9
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	AL	DXCS0502	BXZ	05-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	227.000	289.000	UGG	127.3	196.8
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	AL	DXCS0502	BXZ	05-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	232.000	2.350	UGG	1.0	196.8
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	AL	DXCS1002	CTA	05-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	218.000	90.600	UGG	41.6	190.5
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	AL	DXCS1002	CTA	05-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	233.000	2.350	UGG	1.0	190.5
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	AL	DXCS1200	CTA	10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	231.000	818.000	UGG	354.1	121.4
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	AL	DXCS1200	CTA	10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	232.000	201.000	UGG	86.6	121.4
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	AL	DXCS1201	CTA	10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	233.000	2.350	UGG	1.0	2.2
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	AL	DXCS1201	CTA	10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	228.000	2.350	UGG	1.0	2.2
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	AL	DXSH1003	BXX	04-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992	899.000	986.000	UGG	109.7	1.3
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	AL	DXSH1003	BXX	04-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992	869.000	941.000	UGG	108.3	1.3
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	AL	DXSH1305	BXX	02-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992	993.000	1780.000	UGG	179.3	34.2
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	AL	DXSH1305	BXX	02-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992	946.000	1200.000	UGG	126.8	34.2
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	AL	DXSH1800	BXY	03-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	2150.000	2400.000	UGG	111.6	20.4
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	AL	DXSH1800	BXY	03-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	2100.000	1910.000	UGG	91.0	20.4
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	AL	DXSH2003	BXY	02-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	746.000	660.000	UGG	88.5	73.6
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	AL	DXSH2003	BXY	02-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	744.000	304.000	UGG	40.9	73.6
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	AL	SX3204X1	BXB	17-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	206.000	786.000	UGG	381.6	4.4
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	AL	SX3204X1	BXB	17-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	206.000	752.000	UGG	365.0	4.4
		**********								---	
		avg								154.5	
		minimum								1.0	
		maximum								416.3	
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	BA	BX250201	BXB	15-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	53.700	56.400	UGG	105.0	1.7
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	BA	BX250201	BXB	15-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	52.500	54.200	UGG	103.2	1.7
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	BA	BX320903	BXE	20-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	51.900	69.100	UGG	133.1	26.3
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	BA	BX320903	BXE	20-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	49.100	50.200	UGG	102.2	26.3
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	BA	DXCR0200	CTA	16-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	256.000	256.000	UGG	100.0	1.2

Table H2
MS/MSD Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

MATRIX SPIKES/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATES

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	Spike Value	Value	Units	Percent Recovery	RPD
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	BA	DXCR0200	CTA	16-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	253.000	256.000	UGG	101.2	1.2
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	BA	DXCS0502	BXZ	05-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	58.100	71.500	UGG	123.1	.9
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	BA	DXCS0502	BXZ	05-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	56.700	70.400	UGG	124.2	.9
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	BA	DXCS1002	CTA	05-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	69.800	65.500	UGG	93.8	2.9
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	BA	DXCS1002	CTA	05-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	65.500	63.300	UGG	96.6	2.9
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	BA	DXCS1200	CTA	10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	69.200	72.600	UGG	104.9	3.1
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	BA	DXCS1200	CTA	10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	69.700	70.900	UGG	101.7	3.1
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	BA	DXCS1201	CTA	10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	69.900	70.200	UGG	100.4	1.2
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	BA	DXCS1201	CTA	10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	68.300	67.800	UGG	99.3	1.2
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	BA	DXSH1003	BXX	04-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992	225.000	280.000	UGG	124.4	.4
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	BA	DXSH1003	BXX	04-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992	217.000	269.000	UGG	124.0	.4
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	BA	DXSH1305	BXX	02-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992	248.000	315.000	UGG	127.0	2.7
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	BA	DXSH1305	BXX	02-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992	237.000	293.000	UGG	123.6	2.7
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	BA	DXSH1800	BXY	03-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	645.000	686.000	UGG	106.4	1.8
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	BA	DXSH1800	BXY	03-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	629.000	657.000	UGG	104.5	1.8
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	BA	DXSH2003	BXY	02-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	223.000	243.000	UGG	109.0	5.5
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	BA	DXSH2003	BXY	02-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	224.000	231.000	UGG	103.1	5.5
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	BA	SX3204X1	BXB	17-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	51.400	54.100	UGG	105.3	1.9
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	BA	SX3204X1	BXB	17-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	51.400	53.100	UGG	103.3	1.9
		**********								----------	
		avg								109.1	
		minimum								93.8	
		maximum								133.1	
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	BE	BX250201	BXB	15-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	53.700	57.000	UGG	106.1	.0
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	BE	BX250201	BXB	15-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	52.500	55.700	UGG	106.1	.0
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	BE	BX320903	BXE	20-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	51.900	54.900	UGG	105.8	.9
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	BE	BX320903	BXE	20-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	49.100	52.400	UGG	106.7	.9
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	BE	DXCR0200	CTA	16-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	213.000	223.000	UGG	104.7	.5
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	BE	DXCR0200	CTA	16-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	211.000	222.000	UGG	105.2	.5
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	BE	DXCS0502	BXZ	05-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	58.100	62.100	UGG	106.9	1.8
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	BE	DXCS0502	BXZ	05-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	56.700	61.700	UGG	108.8	1.8
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	BE	DXCS1002	CTA	05-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	58.200	59.000	UGG	101.4	2.6
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	BE	DXCS1002	CTA	05-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	54.600	56.800	UGG	104.0	2.6
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	BE	DXCS1200	CTA	10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	58.100	61.700	UGG	106.2	.7
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	BE	DXCS1200	CTA	10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	57.700	61.700	UGG	106.9	.7
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	BE	DXCS1201	CTA	10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	58.300	61.300	UGG	105.1	.0
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	BE	DXCS1201	CTA	10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	56.900	59.800	UGG	105.1	.0
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	BE	DXSH1003	BXX	04-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992	225.000	234.000	UGG	104.0	.3
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	BE	DXSH1003	BXX	04-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992	217.000	225.000	UGG	103.7	.3
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	BE	DXSH1305	BXX	02-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992	248.000	268.000	UGG	108.1	1.6
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	BE	DXSH1305	BXX	02-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992	237.000	252.000	UGG	106.3	1.6
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	BE	DXSH1800	BXY	03-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	538.000	566.000	UGG	105.2	2.1
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	BE	DXSH1800	BXY	03-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	524.000	540.000	UGG	103.1	2.1

Table H2
MS/MSD Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

MATRIX SPIKES/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATES

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	Spike Value	Value	Units	Percent Recovery	RPD
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	BE	DXSH2003	BXY	02-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	186.000	206.000	UGG	110.8	5.0
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	BE	DXSH2003	BXY	02-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	186.000	196.000	UGG	105.4	5.0
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	BE	SX3204X1	BXB	17-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	51.400	54.600	UGG	106.2	.6
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	BE	SX3204X1	BXB	17-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	51.400	54.300	UGG	105.6	.6
		**********								---	
		avg								105.7	
		minimum								101.4	
		maximum								110.8	
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CA	BX250201	BXB	15-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	5370.000	5590.000	UGG	104.1	.5
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CA	BX250201	BXB	15-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	5250.000	5440.000	UGG	103.6	.5
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CA	BX320903	BXE	20-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	5190.000	5260.000	UGG	101.3	.7
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CA	BX320903	BXE	20-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	4910.000	5010.000	UGG	102.0	.7
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CA	DXCR0200	CTA	16-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	21300.000	21400.000	UGG	100.5	.5
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CA	DXCR0200	CTA	16-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	21100.000	21300.000	UGG	100.9	.5
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CA	DXCS0502	BXZ	05-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	5810.000	5850.000	UGG	100.7	1.2
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CA	DXCS0502	BXZ	05-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	5670.000	5780.000	UGG	101.9	1.2
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CA	DXCS1002	CTA	05-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	5820.000	5610.000	UGG	96.4	4.2
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CA	DXCS1002	CTA	05-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	5460.000	5490.000	UGG	100.5	4.2
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CA	DXCS1200	CTA	10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	5810.000	5850.000	UGG	100.7	.3
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CA	DXCS1200	CTA	10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	5770.000	5830.000	UGG	101.0	.3
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CA	DXCS1201	CTA	10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	5830.000	5580.000	UGG	95.7	1.0
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CA	DXCS1201	CTA	10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	5690.000	5390.000	UGG	94.7	1.0
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CA	DXSH1003	BXX	04-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992	21700.000	22000.000	UGG	101.4	4.1
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CA	DXSH1003	BXX	04-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992	22500.000	21900.000	UGG	97.3	4.1
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CA	DXSH1305	BXX	02-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992	24800.000	26400.000	UGG	106.5	3.3
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CA	DXSH1305	BXX	02-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992	23700.000	24400.000	UGG	103.0	3.3
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CA	DXSH1800	BXY	03-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	53800.000	54500.000	UGG	101.3	3.2
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CA	DXSH1800	BXY	03-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	52400.000	51400.000	UGG	98.1	3.2
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CA	DXSH2003	BXY	02-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	18600.000	20100.000	UGG	108.1	7.8
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CA	DXSH2003	BXY	02-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	18600.000	18600.000	UGG	100.0	7.8
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CA	SX3204X1	BXB	17-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	5140.000	5250.000	UGG	102.1	.6
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CA	SX3204X1	BXB	17-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	5140.000	5220.000	UGG	101.6	.6
		**********								---	
		avg								101.0	
		minimum								94.7	
		maximum								108.1	
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CD	BX250201	BXB	15-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	53.700	57.400	UGG	106.9	.0
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CD	BX250201	BXB	15-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	52.500	56.100	UGG	106.9	.0
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CD	BX320903	BXE	20-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	51.900	54.200	UGG	104.4	.6
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CD	BX320903	BXE	20-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	49.100	51.600	UGG	105.1	.6
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CD	DXCR0200	CTA	16-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	213.000	222.000	UGG	104.2	.4
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CD	DXCR0200	CTA	16-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	211.000	219.000	UGG	103.8	.4

Table H2
MS/MSD Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

MATRIX SPIKES/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATES

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	Spike Value	Value	Units	Percent Recovery	RPD
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CD	DXCS0502	BXZ	05-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	58.100	61.500	UGG	105.9	1.0
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CD	DXCS0502	BXZ	05-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	56.700	60.600	UGG	106.9	1.0
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CD	DXCS1002	CTA	05-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	58.200	58.100	UGG	99.8	3.2
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CD	DXCS1002	CTA	05-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	54.600	56.300	UGG	103.1	3.2
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CD	DXCS1200	CTA	10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	57.700	61.500	UGG	106.6	.9
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CD	DXCS1200	CTA	10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	58.100	61.400	UGG	105.7	.9
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CD	DXCS1201	CTA	10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	58.300	60.500	UGG	103.8	.8
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CD	DXCS1201	CTA	10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	56.900	59.500	UGG	104.6	.8
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CD	DXSH1003	BXX	04-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992	225.000	234.000	UGG	104.0	.3
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CD	DXSH1003	BXX	04-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992	217.000	225.000	UGG	103.7	.3
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CD	DXSH1305	BXX	02-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992	248.000	266.000	UGG	107.3	.9
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CD	DXSH1305	BXX	02-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992	237.000	252.000	UGG	106.3	.9
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CD	DXSH1800	BXY	03-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	538.000	562.000	UGG	104.5	2.3
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CD	DXSH1800	BXY	03-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	524.000	535.000	UGG	102.1	2.3
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CD	DXSH2003	BXY	02-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	186.000	202.000	UGG	108.6	5.1
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CD	DXSH2003	BXY	02-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	186.000	192.000	UGG	103.2	5.1
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CD	SX3204X1	BXB	17-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	51.400	54.600	UGG	106.2	.9
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CD	SX3204X1	BXB	17-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	51.400	54.100	UGG	105.3	.9
		**********								---	
		avg								104.9	
		minimum								99.8	
		maximum								108.6	
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CO	BX250201	BXB	15-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	107.000	112.000	UGG	104.7	.1
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CO	BX250201	BXB	15-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	105.000	110.000	UGG	104.8	.1
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CO	BX320903	BXE	20-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	104.000	108.000	UGG	103.8	2.0
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CO	BX320903	BXE	20-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	98.200	104.000	UGG	105.9	2.0
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CO	DXCR0200	CTA	16-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	421.000	447.000	UGG	106.2	1.4
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CO	DXCR0200	CTA	16-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	426.000	446.000	UGG	104.7	1.4
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CO	DXCS0502	BXZ	05-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	116.000	123.000	UGG	106.0	1.0
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CO	DXCS0502	BXZ	05-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	113.000	121.000	UGG	107.1	1.0
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CO	DXCS1002	CTA	05-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	116.000	117.000	UGG	100.9	2.7
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CO	DXCS1002	CTA	05-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	109.000	113.000	UGG	103.7	2.7
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CO	DXCS1200	CTA	10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	116.000	125.000	UGG	107.8	.1
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CO	DXCS1200	CTA	10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	115.000	124.000	UGG	107.8	.1
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CO	DXCS1201	CTA	10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	117.000	123.000	UGG	105.1	.1
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CO	DXCS1201	CTA	10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	114.000	120.000	UGG	105.3	.1
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CO	DXSH1003	BXX	04-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992	449.000	469.000	UGG	104.5	1.0
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CO	DXSH1003	BXX	04-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992	435.000	450.000	UGG	103.4	1.0
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CO	DXSH1305	BXX	02-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992	496.000	536.000	UGG	108.1	1.6
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CO	DXSH1305	BXX	02-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992	473.000	503.000	UGG	106.3	1.6
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CO	DXSH1800	BXY	03-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	1080.000	1150.000	UGG	106.5	2.5
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CO	DXSH1800	BXY	03-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	1050.000	1090.000	UGG	103.8	2.5
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CO	DXSH2003	BXY	02-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	372.000	419.000	UGG	112.6	5.4

Table H2
MS/MSD Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

MATRIX SPIKES/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATES

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	Spike Value	Value	Units	Percent Recovery	RPD
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CO	DXSH2003	BXY	02-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	373.000	398.000	UGG	106.7	5.4
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CO	SX3204X1	BXB	17-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	103.000	109.000	UGG	105.8	.9
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CO	SX3204X1	BXB	17-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	103.000	108.000	UGG	104.9	.9
		**********								----------	
		avg								105.7	
		minimum								100.9	
		maximum								112.6	
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CR	BX250201	BXB	15-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	107.000	118.000	UGG	110.3	.7
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CR	BX250201	BXB	15-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	105.000	115.000	UGG	109.5	.7
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CR	BX320903	BXE	20-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	104.000	117.000	UGG	112.5	3.2
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CR	BX320903	BXE	20-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	98.200	107.000	UGG	109.0	3.2
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CR	DXCR0200	CTA	16-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	426.000	464.000	UGG	108.9	1.0
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CR	DXCR0200	CTA	16-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	421.000	463.000	UGG	110.0	1.0
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CR	DXCS0502	BXZ	05-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	116.000	126.000	UGG	108.6	1.8
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CR	DXCS0502	BXZ	05-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	113.000	125.000	UGG	110.6	1.8
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CR	DXCS1002	CTA	05-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	116.000	123.000	UGG	106.0	2.1
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CR	DXCS1002	CTA	05-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	109.000	118.000	UGG	108.3	2.1
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CR	DXCS1200	CTA	10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	115.000	130.000	UGG	113.0	1.6
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CR	DXCS1200	CTA	10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	116.000	129.000	UGG	111.2	1.6
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CR	DXCS1201	CTA	10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	114.000	124.000	UGG	108.8	4.2
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CR	DXCS1201	CTA	10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	117.000	122.000	UGG	104.3	4.2
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CR	DXSH1003	BXX	04-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992	449.000	537.000	UGG	119.6	7.0
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CR	DXSH1003	BXX	04-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992	435.000	485.000	UGG	111.5	7.0
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CR	DXSH1305	BXX	02-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992	496.000	534.000	UGG	107.7	3.2
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CR	DXSH1305	BXX	02-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992	473.000	526.000	UGG	111.2	3.2
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CR	DXSH1800	BXY	03-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	1080.000	1200.000	UGG	111.1	2.3
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CR	DXSH1800	BXY	03-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	1050.000	1140.000	UGG	108.6	2.3
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CR	DXSH2003	BXY	02-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	372.000	448.000	UGG	120.4	9.4
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CR	DXSH2003	BXY	02-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	373.000	409.000	UGG	109.7	9.4
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CR	SX3204X1	BXB	17-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	103.000	114.000	UGG	110.7	.9
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CR	SX3204X1	BXB	17-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	103.000	113.000	UGG	109.7	.9
		**********								----------	
		avg								110.5	
		minimum								104.3	
		maximum								120.4	
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CU	BX250201	BXB	15-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	53.700	58.000	UGG	108.0	1.1
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CU	BX250201	BXB	15-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	52.500	56.100	UGG	106.9	1.1
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CU	BX320903	BXE	20-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	51.900	54.300	UGG	104.6	2.4
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CU	BX320903	BXE	20-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	49.100	52.600	UGG	107.1	2.4
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CU	DXCR0200	CTA	16-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	213.000	215.000	UGG	100.9	.9
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CU	DXCR0200	CTA	16-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	211.000	215.000	UGG	101.9	.9
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CU	DXCS0502	BXZ	05-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	58.100	58.200	UGG	100.2	1.1

Table H2
MS/MSD Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

MATRIX SPIKES/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATES

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	Spike Value	Value	Units	Percent Recovery	RPD
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CU	DXCS0502	BXZ	05-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	56.700	57.400	UGG	101.2	1.1
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CU	DXCS1002	CTA	05-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	58.200	55.800	UGG	95.9	2.7
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CU	DXCS1002	CTA	05-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	54.600	53.800	UGG	98.5	2.7
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CU	DXCS1200	CTA	10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	57.700	59.200	UGG	102.6	2.4
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CU	DXCS1200	CTA	10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	58.100	58.200	UGG	100.2	2.4
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CU	DXCS1201	CTA	10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	58.300	59.600	UGG	102.2	.6
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CU	DXCS1201	CTA	10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	56.900	58.500	UGG	102.8	.6
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CU	DXSH1003	BXX	04-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992	225.000	231.000	UGG	102.7	.4
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CU	DXSH1003	BXX	04-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992	217.000	222.000	UGG	102.3	.4
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CU	DXSH1305	BXX	02-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992	248.000	260.000	UGG	104.8	1.0
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CU	DXSH1305	BXX	02-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992	237.000	246.000	UGG	103.8	1.0
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CU	DXSH1800	BXY	03-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	538.000	569.000	UGG	105.8	1.1
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CU	DXSH1800	BXY	03-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	524.000	548.000	UGG	104.6	1.1
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CU	DXSH2003	BXY	02-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	186.000	202.000	UGG	108.6	5.1
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CU	DXSH2003	BXY	02-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	186.000	192.000	UGG	103.2	5.1
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CU	SX3204X1	BXB	17-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	51.400	51.800	UGG	100.8	.4
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	CU	SX3204X1	BXB	17-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	51.400	51.600	UGG	100.4	.4
		**********									
		avg								102.9	
		minimum								95.9	
		maximum								108.6	
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	FE	BX250201	BXB	15-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	1070.000	1090.000	UGG	101.9	28.4
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	FE	BX250201	BXB	15-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	1050.000	804.000	UGG	76.6	28.4
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	FE	BX320903	BXE	20-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	982.000	2080.000	UGG	211.8	18.5
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	FE	BX320903	BXE	20-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	1040.000	1830.000	UGG	176.0	18.5
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	FE	DXCR0200	CTA	16-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	4260.000	3360.000	UGG	78.9	1.2
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	FE	DXCR0200	CTA	16-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	4210.000	3360.000	UGG	79.8	1.2
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	FE	DXCS0502	BXZ	05-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	1130.000	820.000	UGG	72.6	18.7
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	FE	DXCS0502	BXZ	05-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	1160.000	698.000	UGG	60.2	18.7
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	FE	DXCS1002	CTA	05-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	1090.000	852.000	UGG	78.2	26.2
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	FE	DXCS1002	CTA	05-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	1160.000	697.000	UGG	60.1	26.2
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	FE	DXCS1200	CTA	10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	1160.000	3.680	UGG	.3	.9
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	FE	DXCS1200	CTA	10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	1150.000	3.680	UGG	.3	.9
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	FE	DXCS1201	CTA	10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	1140.000	2170.000	UGG	190.4	144.9
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	FE	DXCS1201	CTA	10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	1170.000	356.000	UGG	30.4	144.9
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	FE	DXSH1003	BXX	04-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992	4490.000	5600.000	UGG	124.7	13.1
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	FE	DXSH1003	BXX	04-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992	4350.000	4760.000	UGG	109.4	13.1
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	FE	DXSH1305	BXX	02-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992	4960.000	5140.000	UGG	103.6	4.8
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	FE	DXSH1305	BXX	02-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992	4730.000	4670.000	UGG	98.7	4.8
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	FE	DXSH1800	BXY	03-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	10800.000	10100.000	UGG	93.5	1.4
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	FE	DXSH1800	BXY	03-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	10500.000	9960.000	UGG	94.9	1.4
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	FE	DXSH2003	BXY	02-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	3720.000	3450.000	UGG	92.7	.8
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	FE	DXSH2003	BXY	02-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	3730.000	3430.000	UGG	92.0	.8

Table H2
MS/MSD Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

MATRIX SPIKES/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATES

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	Spike Value	Value	Units	Percent Recovery	RPD
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	FE	SX3204X1	BXB	17-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	1030.000	2130.000	UGG	206.8	4.3
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	FE	SX3204X1	BXB	17-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	1030.000	2040.000	UGG	198.1	4.3
		**********								---	
		avg								101.3	
		minimum								.3	
		maximum								211.8	
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	K	BX250201	BXB	15-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	5370.000	5650.000	UGG	105.2	1.3
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	K	BX250201	BXB	15-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	5250.000	5450.000	UGG	103.8	1.3
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	K	BX320903	BXE	20-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	5190.000	5390.000	UGG	103.9	1.8
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	K	BX320903	BXE	20-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	4910.000	5010.000	UGG	102.0	1.8
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	K	DXCR0200	CTA	16-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	21300.000	21500.000	UGG	100.9	.5
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	K	DXCR0200	CTA	16-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	21100.000	21200.000	UGG	100.5	.5
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	K	DXCS0502	BXZ	05-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	5810.000	6060.000	UGG	104.3	1.1
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	K	DXCS0502	BXZ	05-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	5670.000	5980.000	UGG	105.5	1.1
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	K	DXCS1002	CTA	05-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	5820.000	5500.000	UGG	94.5	3.2
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	K	DXCS1002	CTA	05-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	5460.000	5330.000	UGG	97.6	3.2
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	K	DXCS1200	CTA	10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	5770.000	6020.000	UGG	104.3	2.9
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	K	DXCS1200	CTA	10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	5810.000	5890.000	UGG	101.4	2.9
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	K	DXCS1201	CTA	10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	5830.000	5960.000	UGG	102.2	2.7
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	K	DXCS1201	CTA	10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	5690.000	5660.000	UGG	99.5	2.7
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	K	DXSH1003	BXX	04-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992	22500.000	23200.000	UGG	103.1	.1
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	K	DXSH1003	BXX	04-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992	21700.000	22400.000	UGG	103.2	.1
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	K	DXSH1305	BXX	02-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992	24800.000	26000.000	UGG	104.8	1.0
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	K	DXSH1305	BXX	02-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992	23700.000	24600.000	UGG	103.8	1.0
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	K	DXSH1800	BXY	03-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	53800.000	55300.000	UGG	102.8	2.2
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	K	DXSH1800	BXY	03-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	52400.000	52700.000	UGG	100.6	2.2
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	K	DXSH2003	BXY	02-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	18600.000	19300.000	UGG	103.8	4.2
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	K	DXSH2003	BXY	02-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	18600.000	18500.000	UGG	99.5	4.2
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	K	SX3204X1	BXB	17-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	5140.000	5380.000	UGG	104.7	1.5
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	K	SX3204X1	BXB	17-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	5140.000	5300.000	UGG	103.1	1.5
		**********								---	
		avg								102.3	
		minimum								94.5	
		maximum								105.5	
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	MG	BX250201	BXB	15-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	5370.000	5950.000	UGG	110.8	1.0
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	MG	BX250201	BXB	15-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	5250.000	5760.000	UGG	109.7	1.0
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	MG	BX320903	BXE	20-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	5190.000	5870.000	UGG	113.1	3.7
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	MG	BX320903	BXE	20-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	4910.000	5350.000	UGG	109.0	3.7
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	MG	DXCR0200	CTA	16-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	21300.000	22000.000	UGG	103.3	.0
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	MG	DXCR0200	CTA	16-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	21100.000	21800.000	UGG	103.3	.0
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	MG	DXCS0502	BXZ	05-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	5810.000	5990.000	UGG	103.1	2.3
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	MG	DXCS0502	BXZ	05-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	5670.000	5980.000	UGG	105.5	2.3

Table H2
MS/MSD Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

MATRIX SPIKES/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATES

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	Spike Value	Value	Units	Percent Recovery	RPD
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	MG	DXCS1002	CTA	05-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	5820.000	5600.000	UGG	96.2	3.5
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	MG	DXCS1002	CTA	05-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	5460.000	5440.000	UGG	99.6	3.5
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	MG	DXCS1200	CTA	10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	5770.000	6310.000	UGG	109.4	3.4
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	MG	DXCS1200	CTA	10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	5810.000	6140.000	UGG	105.7	3.4
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	MG	DXCS1201	CTA	10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	5690.000	6000.000	UGG	105.4	6.2
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	MG	DXCS1201	CTA	10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	5830.000	5780.000	UGG	99.1	6.2
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	MG	DXSH1003	BXX	04-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992	22500.000	23900.000	UGG	106.2	.2
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	MG	DXSH1003	BXX	04-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992	21700.000	23000.000	UGG	106.0	.2
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	MG	DXSH1305	BXX	02-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992	24800.000	26900.000	UGG	108.5	1.6
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	MG	DXSH1305	BXX	02-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992	23700.000	25300.000	UGG	106.8	1.6
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	MG	DXSH1800	BXY	03-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	53800.000	57000.000	UGG	105.9	1.8
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	MG	DXSH1800	BXY	03-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	52400.000	54500.000	UGG	104.0	1.8
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	MG	DXSH2003	BXY	02-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	18600.000	19900.000	UGG	107.0	3.1
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	MG	DXSH2003	BXY	02-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	18600.000	19300.000	UGG	103.8	3.1
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	MG	SX3204X1	BXB	17-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	5140.000	5770.000	UGG	112.3	.0
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	MG	SX3204X1	BXB	17-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	5140.000	5770.000	UGG	112.3	.0
		**********								----------	
		avg								106.1	
		minimum								96.2	
		maximum								113.1	
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	MN	BX250201	BXB	15-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	53.700	59.600	UGG	111.0	6.0
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	MN	BX250201	BXB	15-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	52.500	54.900	UGG	104.6	6.0
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	MN	BX320903	BXE	20-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	49.100	64.000	UGG	130.3	25.2
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	MN	BX320903	BXE	20-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	51.900	52.500	UGG	101.2	25.2
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	MN	DXCR0200	CTA	16-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	213.000	218.000	UGG	102.3	.5
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	MN	DXCR0200	CTA	16-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	211.000	217.000	UGG	102.8	.5
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	MN	DXCS0502	BXZ	05-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	58.100	58.500	UGG	100.7	2.1
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	MN	DXCS0502	BXZ	05-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	56.700	58.300	UGG	102.8	2.1
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	MN	DXCS1002	CTA	05-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	58.200	54.100	UGG	93.0	6.0
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	MN	DXCS1002	CTA	05-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	54.600	53.900	UGG	98.7	6.0
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	MN	DXCS1200	CTA	10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	58.100	61.600	UGG	106.0	21.7
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	MN	DXCS1200	CTA	10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	57.700	49.200	UGG	85.3	21.7
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	MN	DXCS1201	CTA	10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	56.900	54.600	UGG	96.0	41.0
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	MN	DXCS1201	CTA	10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	58.300	36.900	UGG	63.3	41.0
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	MN	DXSH1003	BXX	04-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992	225.000	268.000	UGG	119.1	7.4
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	MN	DXSH1003	BXX	04-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992	217.000	240.000	UGG	110.6	7.4
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	MN	DXSH1305	BXX	02-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992	248.000	267.000	UGG	107.7	10.8
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	MN	DXSH1305	BXX	02-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992	237.000	229.000	UGG	96.6	10.8
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	MN	DXSH1800	BXY	03-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	538.000	544.000	UGG	101.1	20.3
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	MN	DXSH1800	BXY	03-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	524.000	432.000	UGG	82.4	20.3
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	MN	DXSH2003	BXY	02-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	186.000	215.000	UGG	115.6	13.4
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	MN	DXSH2003	BXY	02-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	186.000	188.000	UGG	101.1	13.4
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	MN	SX3204X1	BXB	17-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	51.400	83.400	UGG	162.3	2.3

Table H2
MS/MSD Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

MATRIX SPIKES/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATES

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	Spike Value	Value	Units	Percent Recovery	RPD
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	MN	SX3204X1	BXB	17-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	51.400	81.500	UGG	158.6	2.3
		**********								----------	
		avg								106.4	
		minimum								63.3	
		maximum								162.3	
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	NA	BX250201	BXB	15-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	5370.000	5640.000	UGG	105.0	1.0
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	NA	BX250201	BXB	15-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	5250.000	5460.000	UGG	104.0	1.0
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	NA	BX320903	BXE	20-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	5190.000	5260.000	UGG	101.3	.1
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	NA	BX320903	BXE	20-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	4910.000	4980.000	UGG	101.4	.1
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	NA	DXCR0200	CTA	16-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	21300.000	20900.000	UGG	98.1	.5
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	NA	DXCR0200	CTA	16-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	21100.000	20800.000	UGG	98.6	.5
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	NA	DXCS0502	BXZ	05-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	5810.000	5860.000	UGG	100.9	1.4
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	NA	DXCS0502	BXZ	05-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	5670.000	5800.000	UGG	102.3	1.4
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	NA	DXCS1002	CTA	05-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	5820.000	5370.000	UGG	92.3	3.2
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	NA	DXCS1002	CTA	05-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	5460.000	5200.000	UGG	95.2	3.2
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	NA	DXCS1200	CTA	10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	5770.000	5860.000	UGG	101.6	1.4
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	NA	DXCS1200	CTA	10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	5810.000	5820.000	UGG	100.2	1.4
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	NA	DXCS1201	CTA	10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	5830.000	5810.000	UGG	99.7	.9
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	NA	DXCS1201	CTA	10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	5690.000	5620.000	UGG	98.8	.9
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	NA	DXSH1003	BXX	04-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992	22500.000	23000.000	UGG	102.2	.8
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	NA	DXSH1003	BXX	04-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992	21700.000	22000.000	UGG	101.4	.8
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	NA	DXSH1305	BXX	02-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992	24800.000	25600.000	UGG	103.2	.7
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	NA	DXSH1305	BXX	02-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992	23700.000	24300.000	UGG	102.5	.7
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	NA	DXSH1800	BXY	03-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	53800.000	54800.000	UGG	101.9	1.3
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	NA	DXSH1800	BXY	03-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	52400.000	52700.000	UGG	100.6	1.3
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	NA	DXSH2003	BXY	02-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	18600.000	19100.000	UGG	102.7	5.4
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	NA	DXSH2003	BXY	02-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	18600.000	18100.000	UGG	97.3	5.4
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	NA	SX3204X1	BXB	17-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	5140.000	5120.000	UGG	99.6	.8
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	NA	SX3204X1	BXB	17-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	5140.000	5080.000	UGG	98.8	.8
		**********								----------	
		avg								100.4	
		minimum								92.3	
		maximum								105.0	
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	NI	BX250201	BXB	15-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	53.700	58.400	UGG	108.8	.9
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	NI	BX250201	BXB	15-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	52.500	56.600	UGG	107.8	.9
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	NI	BX320903	BXE	20-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	51.900	58.400	UGG	112.5	1.4
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	NI	BX320903	BXE	20-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	49.100	54.500	UGG	111.0	1.4
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	NI	DXCR0200	CTA	16-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	213.000	233.000	UGG	109.4	.5
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	NI	DXCR0200	CTA	16-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	211.000	232.000	UGG	110.0	.5
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	NI	DXCS0502	BXZ	05-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	58.100	63.400	UGG	109.1	.7
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	NI	DXCS0502	BXZ	05-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	56.700	62.300	UGG	109.9	.7
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	NI	DXCS1002	CTA	05-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	58.200	59.600	UGG	102.4	3.5

Table H2
MS/MSD Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

MATRIX SPIKES/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATES

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	Spike Value	Value	Units	Percent Recovery	RPD
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	NI	DXCS1002	CTA	05-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	54.600	57.900	UGG	106.0	3.5
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	NI	DXCS1200	CTA	10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	57.700	62.300	UGG	108.0	2.1
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	NI	DXCS1200	CTA	10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	58.100	61.400	UGG	105.7	2.1
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	NI	DXCS1201	CTA	10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	56.900	63.200	UGG	111.1	4.2
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	NI	DXCS1201	CTA	10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	58.300	62.100	UGG	106.5	4.2
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	NI	DXSH1003	BXX	04-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992	225.000	243.000	UGG	108.0	.2
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	NI	DXSH1003	BXX	04-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992	217.000	234.000	UGG	107.8	.2
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	NI	DXSH1305	BXX	02-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992	248.000	275.000	UGG	110.9	.7
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	NI	DXSH1305	BXX	02-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992	237.000	261.000	UGG	110.1	.7
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	NI	DXSH1800	BXY	03-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	538.000	611.000	UGG	113.6	.5
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	NI	DXSH1800	BXY	03-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	524.000	592.000	UGG	113.0	.5
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	NI	DXSH2003	BXY	02-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	186.000	212.000	UGG	114.0	3.4
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	NI	DXSH2003	BXY	02-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	186.000	205.000	UGG	110.2	3.4
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	NI	SX3204X1	BXB	17-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	51.400	57.500	UGG	111.9	3.9
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	NI	SX3204X1	BXB	17-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	51.400	55.300	UGG	107.6	3.9
		**********								----------	
		avg								109.4	
		minimum								102.4	
		maximum								114.0	
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	V	BX250201	BXB	15-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	53.700	57.300	UGG	106.7	.0
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	V	BX250201	BXB	15-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	52.500	56.000	UGG	106.7	.0
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	V	BX320903	BXE	20-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	51.900	56.200	UGG	108.3	2.4
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	V	BX320903	BXE	20-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	49.100	51.900	UGG	105.7	2.4
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	V	DXCR0200	CTA	16-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	213.000	224.000	UGG	105.2	.5
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	V	DXCR0200	CTA	16-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	211.000	223.000	UGG	105.7	.5
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	V	DXCS0502	BXZ	05-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	58.100	61.400	UGG	105.7	1.1
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	V	DXCS0502	BXZ	05-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	56.700	60.600	UGG	106.9	1.1
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	V	DXCS1002	CTA	05-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	58.200	58.400	UGG	100.3	2.7
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	V	DXCS1002	CTA	05-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	54.600	56.300	UGG	103.1	2.7
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	V	DXCS1200	CTA	10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	57.700	62.200	UGG	107.8	2.0
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	V	DXCS1200	CTA	10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	58.100	61.400	UGG	105.7	2.0
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	V	DXCS1201	CTA	10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	56.900	60.100	UGG	105.6	2.8
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	V	DXCS1201	CTA	10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	58.300	59.900	UGG	102.7	2.8
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	V	DXSH1003	BXX	04-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992	225.000	239.000	UGG	106.2	.7
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	V	DXSH1003	BXX	04-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992	217.000	229.000	UGG	105.5	.7
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	V	DXSH1305	BXX	02-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992	248.000	272.000	UGG	109.7	1.9
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	V	DXSH1305	BXX	02-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992	237.000	255.000	UGG	107.6	1.9
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	V	DXSH1800	BXY	03-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	538.000	587.000	UGG	109.1	2.1
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	V	DXSH1800	BXY	03-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	524.000	560.000	UGG	106.9	2.1
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	V	DXSH2003	BXY	02-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	186.000	210.000	UGG	112.9	5.4
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	V	DXSH2003	BXY	02-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	186.000	199.000	UGG	107.0	5.4
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	V	SX3204X1	BXB	17-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	51.400	55.900	UGG	108.8	1.3
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	V	SX3204X1	BXB	17-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	51.400	55.200	UGG	107.4	1.3

Table H2
MS/MSD Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

MATRIX SPIKES/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATES

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	Spike Value	Value	Units	Percent Recovery	RPD
		**********								---	
		avg								106.5	
		minimum								100.3	
		maximum								112.9	
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	ZN	BX250201	BXB	15-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	107.000	118.000	UGG	110.3	1.6
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	ZN	BX250201	BXB	15-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	105.000	114.000	UGG	108.6	1.6
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	ZN	BX320903	BXE	20-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	104.000	118.000	UGG	113.5	.4
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	ZN	BX320903	BXE	20-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	98.200	111.000	UGG	113.0	.4
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	ZN	DXCR0200	CTA	16-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	426.000	460.000	UGG	108.0	.5
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	ZN	DXCR0200	CTA	16-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	421.000	457.000	UGG	108.6	.5
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	ZN	DXCS0502	BXZ	05-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	116.000	126.000	UGG	108.6	1.8
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	ZN	DXCS0502	BXZ	05-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	113.000	125.000	UGG	110.6	1.8
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	ZN	DXCS1002	CTA	05-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	116.000	120.000	UGG	103.4	2.0
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	ZN	DXCS1002	CTA	05-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	109.000	115.000	UGG	105.5	2.0
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	ZN	DXCS1200	CTA	10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	115.000	123.000	UGG	107.0	1.7
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	ZN	DXCS1200	CTA	10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	116.000	122.000	UGG	105.2	1.7
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	ZN	DXCS1201	CTA	10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	117.000	122.000	UGG	104.3	.9
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	ZN	DXCS1201	CTA	10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	114.000	120.000	UGG	105.3	.9
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	ZN	DXSH1003	BXX	04-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992	449.000	485.000	UGG	108.0	.8
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	ZN	DXSH1003	BXX	04-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992	435.000	466.000	UGG	107.1	.8
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	ZN	DXSH1305	BXX	02-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992	496.000	542.000	UGG	109.3	1.5
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	ZN	DXSH1305	BXX	02-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992	473.000	509.000	UGG	107.6	1.5
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	ZN	DXSH1800	BXY	03-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	1080.000	1170.000	UGG	108.3	2.4
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	ZN	DXSH1800	BXY	03-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	1050.000	1110.000	UGG	105.7	2.4
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	ZN	DXSH2003	BXY	02-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	372.000	418.000	UGG	112.4	5.2
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	ZN	DXSH2003	BXY	02-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	373.000	398.000	UGG	106.7	5.2
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	ZN	SX3204X1	BXB	17-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	103.000	123.000	UGG	119.4	.8
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	ZN	SX3204X1	BXB	17-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	103.000	122.000	UGG	118.4	.8
		**********								---	
		avg								108.9	
		minimum								103.4	
		maximum								119.4	
	LF03	NC	BX250201	OQS	15-OCT-1992	13-NOV-1992	110.000	73.600	UGG	66.9	6.9
	LF03	NC	BX250201	OQS	15-OCT-1992	13-NOV-1992	110.000	68.700	UGG	62.5	6.9
	LF03	NC	DX2606X1	OQT	21-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	195.000	111.000	UGG	56.9	.0
	LF03	NC	DX2606X1	OQT	21-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	195.000	111.000	UGG	56.9	.0
		**********								---	
		avg								60.8	
		minimum								56.9	
		maximum								66.9	

Table H2
MS/MSD Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

MATRIX SPIKES/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATES

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	Spike Value	Value	Units	Percent Recovery	RPD
	LH10	AENSLF	BX250201	BUC	15-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	0.022	0.021	UGG	95.5	21.1
	LH10	AENSLF	BX250201	BUC	15-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	0.022	0.017	UGG	77.3	21.1
	LH10	AENSLF	BX320903	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.021	0.021	UGG	100.0	15.8
	LH10	AENSLF	BX320903	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.021	0.019	UGG	90.5	15.8
	LH10	AENSLF	BX320903	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.021	0.018	UGG	85.7	15.8
	LH10	AENSLF	BX320903	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.021	0.018	UGG	85.7	15.8
	LH10	AENSLF	BX321302	BUI	20-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	0.021	0.020	UGG	95.2	5.1
	LH10	AENSLF	BX321302	BUI	20-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	0.021	0.019	UGG	90.5	5.1
	LH10	AENSLF	CX3208X1	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.020	0.025	UGG	125.0	33.7
	LH10	AENSLF	CX3208X1	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.020	0.020	UGG	100.0	33.7
	LH10	AENSLF	CX3208X1	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.020	0.020	UGG	100.0	33.7
	LH10	AENSLF	CX3208X1	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.020	0.018	UGG	90.0	33.7
	LH10	AENSLF	DX3201X1	BUI	20-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	0.024	0.018	UGG	75.0	.0
	LH10	AENSLF	DX3201X1	BUI	20-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	0.024	0.018	UGG	75.0	.0
	LH10	AENSLF	DXCS1200	CPJ	10-DEC-1992	10-JAN-1993	0.024	0.021	UGG	87.5	21.1
	LH10	AENSLF	DXCS1200	CPJ	10-DEC-1992	09-JAN-1993	0.024	0.017	UGG	70.8	21.1
	LH10	AENSLF	SX3204X1	BUD	17-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	0.021	0.020	UGG	95.2	32.7
	LH10	AENSLF	SX3204X1	BUE	17-OCT-1992	08-NOV-1992	0.022	0.019	UGG	86.4	32.7
	LH10	AENSLF	SX3204X1	BUD	17-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	0.021	0.017	UGG	81.0	32.7
	LH10	AENSLF	SX3204X1	BUE	17-OCT-1992	08-NOV-1992	0.022	0.015	UGG	68.2	32.7
		**********								---	
		avg								88.7	
		minimum								68.2	
		maximum								125.0	
	LH10	ALDRN	BX250201	BUC	15-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	0.022	0.022	UGG	100.0	25.6
	LH10	ALDRN	BX250201	BUC	15-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	0.022	0.017	UGG	77.3	25.6
	LH10	ALDRN	BX320903	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.021	0.021	UGG	100.0	4.9
	LH10	ALDRN	BX320903	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.021	0.020	UGG	95.2	4.9
	LH10	ALDRN	BX320903	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.021	0.020	UGG	95.2	4.9
	LH10	ALDRN	BX320903	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.021	0.020	UGG	95.2	4.9
	LH10	ALDRN	BX321302	BUI	20-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	0.021	0.021	UGG	100.0	10.0
	LH10	ALDRN	BX321302	BUI	20-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	0.021	0.019	UGG	90.5	10.0
	LH10	ALDRN	CX3208X1	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.020	0.024	UGG	120.0	125.9
	LH10	ALDRN	CX3208X1	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.020	0.015	UGG	75.0	125.9
	LH10	ALDRN	CX3208X1	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.020	0.008	UGG	40.0	125.9
	LH10	ALDRN	CX3208X1	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.020	0.007	UGG	35.0	125.9
	LH10	ALDRN	DX3201X1	BUI	20-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	0.024	0.025	UGG	104.2	8.3
	LH10	ALDRN	DX3201X1	BUI	20-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	0.024	0.023	UGG	95.8	8.3
	LH10	ALDRN	DXCS1200	CPJ	10-DEC-1992	10-JAN-1993	0.024	0.022	UGG	91.7	20.0
	LH10	ALDRN	DXCS1200	CPJ	10-DEC-1992	09-JAN-1993	0.024	0.018	UGG	75.0	20.0
	LH10	ALDRN	SX3204X1	BUD	17-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	0.021	0.021	UGG	100.0	25.7
	LH10	ALDRN	SX3204X1	BUE	17-OCT-1992	08-NOV-1992	0.022	0.020	UGG	90.9	25.7

Table H2
MS/MSD Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

MATRIX SPIKES/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATES

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	Spike Value	Value	Units	Percent Recovery	RPD
	LH10	ALDRN	SX3204X1	BUD	17-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	0.021	0.018	UGG	85.7	25.7
	LH10	ALDRN	SX3204X1	BUE	17-OCT-1992	08-NOV-1992	0.022	0.017	UGG	77.3	25.7
		**********								---	
		avg								87.2	
		minimum								35.0	
		maximum								120.0	
	LH10	BENSLF	BX250201	BUC	15-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	0.022	0.017	UGG	77.3	6.1
	LH10	BENSLF	BX250201	BUC	15-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	0.022	0.016	UGG	72.7	6.1
	LH10	BENSLF	BX320903	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.021	0.018	UGG	85.7	11.6
	LH10	BENSLF	BX320903	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.021	0.018	UGG	85.7	11.6
	LH10	BENSLF	BX320903	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.021	0.017	UGG	81.0	11.6
	LH10	BENSLF	BX320903	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.021	0.016	UGG	76.2	11.6
	LH10	BENSLF	BX321302	BUI	20-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	0.021	0.018	UGG	85.7	5.7
	LH10	BENSLF	BX321302	BUI	20-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	0.021	0.017	UGG	81.0	5.7
	LH10	BENSLF	CX3208X1	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.020	0.016	UGG	80.0	87.8
	LH10	BENSLF	CX3208X1	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.020	0.009	UGG	45.0	87.8
	LH10	BENSLF	CX3208X1	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.020	0.009	UGG	45.0	87.8
	LH10	BENSLF	CX3208X1	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.020	0.007	UGG	35.0	87.8
	LH10	BENSLF	DX3201X1	BUI	20-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	0.024	0.014	UGG	58.3	7.4
	LH10	BENSLF	DX3201X1	BUI	20-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	0.024	0.013	UGG	54.2	7.4
	LH10	BENSLF	DXCS1200	CPJ	10-DEC-1992	10-JAN-1993	0.024	0.020	UGG	83.3	5.1
	LH10	BENSLF	DXCS1200	CPJ	10-DEC-1992	09-JAN-1993	0.024	0.019	UGG	79.2	5.1
	LH10	BENSLF	SX3204X1	BUD	17-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	0.021	0.021	UGG	100.0	45.1
	LH10	BENSLF	SX3204X1	BUD	17-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	0.021	0.019	UGG	90.5	45.1
	LH10	BENSLF	SX3204X1	BUE	17-OCT-1992	08-NOV-1992	0.022	0.015	UGG	68.2	45.1
	LH10	BENSLF	SX3204X1	BUE	17-OCT-1992	08-NOV-1992	0.022	0.014	UGG	63.6	45.1
		**********								---	
		avg								72.4	
		minimum								35.0	
		maximum								100.0	
	LH10	CL10BP	BX250201	BUC	15-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	0.070	0.072	UGG	102.9	21.1
	LH10	CL10BP	BX250201	BUC	15-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	0.070	0.069	UGG	98.6	21.1
	LH10	CL10BP	BX250201	BUC	15-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	0.070	0.058	UGG	82.9	21.1
	LH10	CL10BP	BX320903	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.070	0.073	UGG	104.3	109.1
	LH10	CL10BP	BX320903	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.070	0.067	UGG	95.7	109.1
	LH10	CL10BP	BX320903	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.070	0.065	UGG	92.9	109.1
	LH10	CL10BP	BX320903	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.070	0.064	UGG	91.4	109.1
	LH10	CL10BP	BX320903	BUG	20-OCT-1992	16-NOV-1992	0.070	0.048	UGG	68.6	109.1
	LH10	CL10BP	BX320903	BUH	20-OCT-1992	19-NOV-1992	0.070	0.018	UGG	25.7	109.1
	LH10	CL10BP	BX320903	BUH	20-OCT-1992	19-NOV-1992	0.070	0.018	UGG	25.7	109.1
	LH10	CL10BP	BX321302	BUI	20-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	0.070	0.083	UGG	118.6	16.6
	LH10	CL10BP	BX321302	BUI	20-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	0.070	0.082	UGG	117.1	16.6

Table H2
MS/MSD Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

MATRIX SPIKES/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATES

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	Spike Value	Value	Units	Percent Recovery	RPD
	LH10	CL10BP	BX321302	BUI	20-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	0.070	0.078	UGG	111.4	16.6
	LH10	CL10BP	BX321302	BUI	20-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	0.070	0.070	UGG	100.0	16.6
	LH10	CL10BP	CX3208X1	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.070	0.021	UGG	30.0	83.7
	LH10	CL10BP	CX3208X1	BUF	20-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	0.070	0.020	UGG	28.6	83.7
	LH10	CL10BP	CX3208X1	BUH	20-OCT-1992	19-NOV-1992	0.070	0.011	UGG	15.7	83.7
	LH10	CL10BP	CX3208X1	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.070	0.010	UGG	14.3	83.7
	LH10	CL10BP	CX3208X1	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.070	0.010	UGG	14.3	83.7
	LH10	CL10BP	CX3208X1	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.070	0.010	UGG	14.3	83.7
	LH10	CL10BP	CX3208X1	BUH	20-OCT-1992	19-NOV-1992	0.070	0.010	UGG	14.3	83.7
	LH10	CL10BP	DX3201X1	BUI	20-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	0.070	0.084	UGG	120.0	41.0
	LH10	CL10BP	DX3201X1	BUI	20-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	0.070	0.074	UGG	105.7	41.0
	LH10	CL10BP	DX3201X1	BUI	20-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	0.070	0.070	UGG	100.0	41.0
	LH10	CL10BP	DX3201X1	BUI	20-OCT-1992	21-NOV-1992	0.070	0.055	UGG	78.6	41.0
	LH10	CL10BP	DXCS1200	CPJ	10-DEC-1992	10-JAN-1993	0.070	0.057	UGG	81.4	17.3
	LH10	CL10BP	DXCS1200	CPJ	10-DEC-1992	10-JAN-1993	0.070	0.051	UGG	72.9	17.3
	LH10	CL10BP	DXCS1200	CPJ	10-DEC-1992	09-JAN-1993	0.070	0.048	UGG	68.6	17.3
	LH10	CL10BP	SX3204X1	BUD	17-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	0.070	0.086	UGG	122.9	70.2
	LH10	CL10BP	SX3204X1	BUD	17-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	0.070	0.084	UGG	120.0	70.2
	LH10	CL10BP	SX3204X1	BUD	17-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	0.070	0.075	UGG	107.1	70.2
	LH10	CL10BP	SX3204X1	BUE	17-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	0.070	0.056	UGG	80.0	70.2
	LH10	CL10BP	SX3204X1	BUE	17-OCT-1992	08-NOV-1992	0.070	0.052	UGG	74.3	70.2
	LH10	CL10BP	SX3204X1	BUE	17-OCT-1992	08-NOV-1992	0.070	0.044	UGG	62.9	70.2
	LH10	CL10BP	SX3204X1	BUE	17-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	0.070	0.042	UGG	60.0	70.2
		**********								---	
		avg								74.9	
		minimum								14.3	
		maximum								122.9	
	LH10	CL4XYL	BX250201	BUC	15-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	0.070	0.066	UGG	94.3	29.5
	LH10	CL4XYL	BX250201	BUC	15-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	0.070	0.058	UGG	82.9	29.5
	LH10	CL4XYL	BX250201	BUC	15-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	0.070	0.049	UGG	70.0	29.5
	LH10	CL4XYL	BX320903	BUG	20-OCT-1992	16-NOV-1992	0.070	0.056	UGG	80.0	19.3
	LH10	CL4XYL	BX320903	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.070	0.054	UGG	77.1	19.3
	LH10	CL4XYL	BX320903	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.070	0.053	UGG	75.7	19.3
	LH10	CL4XYL	BX320903	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.070	0.052	UGG	74.3	19.3
	LH10	CL4XYL	BX320903	BUH	20-OCT-1992	19-NOV-1992	0.070	0.051	UGG	72.9	19.3
	LH10	CL4XYL	BX320903	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.070	0.051	UGG	72.9	19.3
	LH10	CL4XYL	BX320903	BUH	20-OCT-1992	19-NOV-1992	0.070	0.046	UGG	65.7	19.3
	LH10	CL4XYL	BX321302	BUI	20-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	0.070	0.062	UGG	88.6	3.3
	LH10	CL4XYL	BX321302	BUI	20-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	0.070	0.061	UGG	87.1	3.3
	LH10	CL4XYL	BX321302	BUI	20-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	0.070	0.060	UGG	85.7	3.3
	LH10	CL4XYL	BX321302	BUI	20-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	0.070	0.060	UGG	85.7	3.3
	LH10	CL4XYL	CX3208X1	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.070	0.048	UGG	68.6	24.3
	LH10	CL4XYL	CX3208X1	BUH	20-OCT-1992	19-NOV-1992	0.070	0.043	UGG	61.4	24.3

Table H2
MS/MSD Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

MATRIX SPIKES/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATES

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	Spike Value	Value	Units	Percent Recovery	RPD
	LH10	CL4XYL	CX3208X1	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.070	0.041	UGG	58.6	24.3
	LH10	CL4XYL	CX3208X1	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.070	0.040	UGG	57.1	24.3
	LH10	CL4XYL	CX3208X1	BUH	20-OCT-1992	19-NOV-1992	0.070	0.039	UGG	55.7	24.3
	LH10	CL4XYL	CX3208X1	BUF	20-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	0.070	0.039	UGG	55.7	24.3
	LH10	CL4XYL	CX3208X1	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.070	0.038	UGG	54.3	24.3
	LH10	CL4XYL	DX3201X1	BUI	20-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	0.070	0.061	UGG	87.1	32.9
	LH10	CL4XYL	DX3201X1	BUI	20-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	0.070	0.059	UGG	84.3	32.9
	LH10	CL4XYL	DX3201X1	BUI	20-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	0.070	0.056	UGG	80.0	32.9
	LH10	CL4XYL	DX3201X1	BUI	20-OCT-1992	21-NOV-1992	0.070	0.043	UGG	61.4	32.9
	LH10	CL4XYL	DXCS1200	CPJ	10-DEC-1992	10-JAN-1993	0.070	0.051	UGG	72.9	19.4
	LH10	CL4XYL	DXCS1200	CPJ	10-DEC-1992	10-JAN-1993	0.070	0.046	UGG	65.7	19.4
	LH10	CL4XYL	DXCS1200	CPJ	10-DEC-1992	09-JAN-1993	0.070	0.042	UGG	60.0	19.4
	LH10	CL4XYL	SX3204X1	BUE	17-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	0.070	0.069	UGG	98.6	43.0
	LH10	CL4XYL	SX3204X1	BUE	17-OCT-1992	08-NOV-1992	0.070	0.061	UGG	87.1	43.0
	LH10	CL4XYL	SX3204X1	BUD	17-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	0.070	0.061	UGG	87.1	43.0
	LH10	CL4XYL	SX3204X1	BUD	17-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	0.070	0.055	UGG	78.6	43.0
	LH10	CL4XYL	SX3204X1	BUD	17-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	0.070	0.052	UGG	74.3	43.0
	LH10	CL4XYL	SX3204X1	BUE	17-OCT-1992	08-NOV-1992	0.070	0.048	UGG	68.6	43.0
	LH10	CL4XYL	SX3204X1	BUE	17-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	0.070	0.045	UGG	64.3	43.0
		**********								----------	
		avg								74.1	
		minimum								54.3	
		maximum								98.6	
	LH10	DLDRN	BX250201	BUC	15-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	0.022	0.022	UGG	100.0	25.6
	LH10	DLDRN	BX250201	BUC	15-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	0.022	0.017	UGG	77.3	25.6
	LH10	DLDRN	BX320903	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.021	0.020	UGG	95.2	10.5
	LH10	DLDRN	BX320903	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.021	0.019	UGG	90.5	10.5
	LH10	DLDRN	BX320903	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.021	0.019	UGG	90.5	10.5
	LH10	DLDRN	BX320903	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.021	0.018	UGG	85.7	10.5
	LH10	DLDRN	BX321302	BUI	20-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	0.021	0.020	UGG	95.2	5.1
	LH10	DLDRN	BX321302	BUI	20-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	0.021	0.019	UGG	90.5	5.1
	LH10	DLDRN	CX3208X1	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.020	0.018	UGG	90.0	64.0
	LH10	DLDRN	CX3208X1	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.020	0.011	UGG	55.0	64.0
	LH10	DLDRN	CX3208X1	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.020	0.011	UGG	55.0	64.0
	LH10	DLDRN	CX3208X1	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.020	0.010	UGG	50.0	64.0
	LH10	DLDRN	DX3201X1	BUI	20-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	0.024	0.017	UGG	70.8	6.1
	LH10	DLDRN	DX3201X1	BUI	20-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	0.024	0.016	UGG	66.7	6.1
	LH10	DLDRN	DXCS1200	CPJ	10-DEC-1992	10-JAN-1993	0.024	0.020	UGG	83.3	.0
	LH10	DLDRN	DXCS1200	CPJ	10-DEC-1992	09-JAN-1993	0.024	0.020	UGG	83.3	.0
	LH10	DLDRN	SX3204X1	BUD	17-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	0.021	0.022	UGG	104.8	36.2
	LH10	DLDRN	SX3204X1	BUE	17-OCT-1992	08-NOV-1992	0.022	0.019	UGG	86.4	36.2
	LH10	DLDRN	SX3204X1	BUD	17-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	0.021	0.019	UGG	90.5	36.2
	LH10	DLDRN	SX3204X1	BUE	17-OCT-1992	08-NOV-1992	0.022	0.016	UGG	72.7	36.2

Table H2
MS/MSD Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

MATRIX SPIKES/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATES

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	Spike Value	Value	Units	Percent Recovery	RPD
		**********									
		avg								81.7	
		minimum								50.0	
		maximum								104.8	
	LH10	ENDRN	BX250201	BUC	15-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	0.022	0.020	UGG	90.9	22.2
	LH10	ENDRN	BX250201	BUC	15-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	0.022	0.016	UGG	72.7	22.2
	LH10	ENDRN	BX320903	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.021	0.018	UGG	85.7	11.6
	LH10	ENDRN	BX320903	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.021	0.018	UGG	85.7	11.6
	LH10	ENDRN	BX320903	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.021	0.017	UGG	81.0	11.6
	LH10	ENDRN	BX320903	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.021	0.016	UGG	76.2	11.6
	LH10	ENDRN	BX321302	BUI	20-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	0.021	0.021	UGG	100.0	4.9
	LH10	ENDRN	BX321302	BUI	20-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	0.021	0.020	UGG	95.2	4.9
	LH10	ENDRN	CX3208X1	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.020	0.016	UGG	80.0	44.4
	LH10	ENDRN	CX3208X1	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.020	0.015	UGG	75.0	44.4
	LH10	ENDRN	CX3208X1	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.020	0.013	UGG	65.0	44.4
	LH10	ENDRN	CX3208X1	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.020	0.010	UGG	50.0	44.4
	LH10	ENDRN	DX3201X1	BUI	20-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	0.024	0.017	UGG	70.8	6.1
	LH10	ENDRN	DX3201X1	BUI	20-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	0.024	0.016	UGG	66.7	6.1
	LH10	ENDRN	DXCS1200	CPJ	10-DEC-1992	10-JAN-1993	0.024	0.030	UGG	125.0	26.4
	LH10	ENDRN	DXCS1200	CPJ	10-DEC-1992	09-JAN-1993	0.024	0.023	UGG	95.8	26.4
	LH10	ENDRN	SX3204X1	BUD	17-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	0.021	0.020	UGG	95.2	47.7
	LH10	ENDRN	SX3204X1	BUD	17-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	0.021	0.016	UGG	76.2	47.7
	LH10	ENDRN	SX3204X1	BUE	17-OCT-1992	08-NOV-1992	0.022	0.016	UGG	72.7	47.7
	LH10	ENDRN	SX3204X1	BUE	17-OCT-1992	08-NOV-1992	0.022	0.013	UGG	59.1	47.7
		**********									
		avg								81.0	
		minimum								50.0	
		maximum								125.0	
	LH10	HPCL	BX250201	BUC	15-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	0.022	0.022	UGG	100.0	37.8
	LH10	HPCL	BX250201	BUC	15-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	0.022	0.015	UGG	68.2	37.8
	LH10	HPCL	BX320903	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.021	0.022	UGG	104.8	9.5
	LH10	HPCL	BX320903	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.021	0.022	UGG	104.8	9.5
	LH10	HPCL	BX320903	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.021	0.020	UGG	95.2	9.5
	LH10	HPCL	BX320903	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.021	0.020	UGG	95.2	9.5
	LH10	HPCL	BX321302	BUI	20-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	0.021	0.022	UGG	104.8	9.5
	LH10	HPCL	BX321302	BUI	20-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	0.021	0.020	UGG	95.2	9.5
	LH10	HPCL	CX3208X1	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.020	0.029	UGG	145.0	47.5
	LH10	HPCL	CX3208X1	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.020	0.028	UGG	140.0	47.5
	LH10	HPCL	CX3208X1	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.020	0.027	UGG	135.0	47.5
	LH10	HPCL	CX3208X1	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.020	0.017	UGG	85.0	47.5
	LH10	HPCL	DX3201X1	BUI	20-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	0.024	0.025	UGG	104.2	8.3
	LH10	HPCL	DX3201X1	BUI	20-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	0.024	0.023	UGG	95.8	8.3

Table H2
MS/MSD Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

MATRIX SPIKES/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATES

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	Spike Value	Value	Units	Percent Recovery	RPD
	LH10	HPCL	DXCS1200	CPJ	10-DEC-1992	10-JAN-1993	0.024	0.022	UGG	91.7	25.6
	LH10	HPCL	DXCS1200	CPJ	10-DEC-1992	09-JAN-1993	0.024	0.017	UGG	70.8	25.6
	LH10	HPCL	SX3204X1	BUE	17-OCT-1992	08-NOV-1992	0.022	0.022	UGG	100.0	14.5
	LH10	HPCL	SX3204X1	BUD	17-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	0.021	0.021	UGG	100.0	14.5
	LH10	HPCL	SX3204X1	BUE	17-OCT-1992	08-NOV-1992	0.022	0.019	UGG	86.4	14.5
	LH10	HPCL	SX3204X1	BUD	17-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	0.021	0.019	UGG	90.5	14.5
		**********									
		avg								100.6	
		minimum								68.2	
		maximum								145.0	
	LH10	ISODR	BX250201	BUC	15-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	0.033	0.054	UGG	163.6	70.0
	LH10	ISODR	BX250201	BUC	15-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	0.033	0.026	UGG	78.8	70.0
	LH10	ISODR	BX320903	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.031	0.030	UGG	96.8	10.4
	LH10	ISODR	BX320903	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.031	0.030	UGG	96.8	10.4
	LH10	ISODR	BX320903	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.031	0.028	UGG	90.3	10.4
	LH10	ISODR	BX320903	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.031	0.027	UGG	87.1	10.4
	LH10	ISODR	BX321302	BUI	20-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	0.031	0.031	UGG	100.0	6.7
	LH10	ISODR	BX321302	BUI	20-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	0.031	0.029	UGG	93.5	6.7
	LH10	ISODR	CX3208X1	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.030	0.043	UGG	143.3	64.6
	LH10	ISODR	CX3208X1	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.030	0.042	UGG	140.0	64.6
	LH10	ISODR	CX3208X1	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.030	0.023	UGG	76.7	64.6
	LH10	ISODR	CX3208X1	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.030	0.022	UGG	73.3	64.6
	LH10	ISODR	DX3201X1	BUI	20-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	0.036	0.029	UGG	80.6	3.5
	LH10	ISODR	DX3201X1	BUI	20-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	0.036	0.028	UGG	77.8	3.5
	LH10	ISODR	DXCS1200	CPJ	10-DEC-1992	10-JAN-1993	0.036	0.033	UGG	91.7	16.4
	LH10	ISODR	DXCS1200	CPJ	10-DEC-1992	09-JAN-1993	0.036	0.028	UGG	77.8	16.4
	LH10	ISODR	SX3204X1	BUD	17-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	0.032	0.029	UGG	90.6	26.7
	LH10	ISODR	SX3204X1	BUE	17-OCT-1992	08-NOV-1992	0.032	0.028	UGG	87.5	26.7
	LH10	ISODR	SX3204X1	BUD	17-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	0.032	0.026	UGG	81.3	26.7
	LH10	ISODR	SX3204X1	BUE	17-OCT-1992	08-NOV-1992	0.032	0.022	UGG	68.8	26.7
		**********									
		avg								94.8	
		minimum								68.8	
		maximum								163.6	
	LH10	LIN	BX250201	BUC	15-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	0.022	0.020	UGG	90.9	28.6
	LH10	LIN	BX250201	BUC	15-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	0.022	0.015	UGG	68.2	28.6
	LH10	LIN	BX320903	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.021	0.025	UGG	119.0	41.0
	LH10	LIN	BX320903	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.021	0.018	UGG	85.7	41.0
	LH10	LIN	BX320903	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.021	0.018	UGG	85.7	41.0
	LH10	LIN	BX320903	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.021	0.017	UGG	81.0	41.0
	LH10	LIN	BX321302	BUI	20-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	0.021	0.019	UGG	90.5	11.1
	LH10	LIN	BX321302	BUI	20-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	0.021	0.017	UGG	81.0	11.1

Table H2
MS/MSD Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

MATRIX SPIKES/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATES

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	Spike Value	Value	Units	Percent Recovery	RPD
	LH10	LIN	CX3208X1	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.020	0.014	UGG	70.0	24.5
	LH10	LIN	CX3208X1	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.020	0.012	UGG	60.0	24.5
	LH10	LIN	CX3208X1	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.020	0.012	UGG	60.0	24.5
	LH10	LIN	CX3208X1	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.020	0.011	UGG	55.0	24.5
	LH10	LIN	DX3201X1	BUI	20-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	0.024	0.020	UGG	83.3	10.5
	LH10	LIN	DX3201X1	BUI	20-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	0.024	0.018	UGG	75.0	10.5
	LH10	LIN	DXCS1200	CPJ	10-DEC-1992	10-JAN-1993	0.024	0.018	UGG	75.0	18.2
	LH10	LIN	DXCS1200	CPJ	10-DEC-1992	09-JAN-1993	0.024	0.015	UGG	62.5	18.2
	LH10	LIN	SX3204X1	BUE	17-OCT-1992	08-NOV-1992	0.022	0.018	UGG	81.8	22.8
	LH10	LIN	SX3204X1	BUD	17-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	0.021	0.018	UGG	85.7	22.8
	LH10	LIN	SX3204X1	BUE	17-OCT-1992	08-NOV-1992	0.022	0.015	UGG	68.2	22.8
	LH10	LIN	SX3204X1	BUD	17-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	0.021	0.015	UGG	71.4	22.8
		**********								----------	
		avg								77.5	
		minimum								55.0	
		maximum								119.0	
	LH10	MEXCLR	BX250201	BUC	15-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	0.219	0.168	UGG	76.7	5.5
	LH10	MEXCLR	BX250201	BUC	15-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	0.219	0.159	UGG	72.6	5.5
	LH10	MEXCLR	BX320903	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.208	0.179	UGG	86.1	14.0
	LH10	MEXCLR	BX320903	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.209	0.162	UGG	77.5	14.0
	LH10	MEXCLR	BX320903	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.209	0.161	UGG	77.0	14.0
	LH10	MEXCLR	BX320903	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.208	0.156	UGG	75.0	14.0
	LH10	MEXCLR	BX321302	BUI	20-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	0.207	0.214	UGG	103.4	5.8
	LH10	MEXCLR	BX321302	BUI	20-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	0.207	0.202	UGG	97.6	5.8
	LH10	MEXCLR	CX3208X1	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.202	0.112	UGG	55.4	50.4
	LH10	MEXCLR	CX3208X1	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.202	0.071	UGG	35.1	50.4
	LH10	MEXCLR	CX3208X1	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.201	0.071	UGG	35.3	50.4
	LH10	MEXCLR	CX3208X1	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.201	0.071	UGG	35.3	50.4
	LH10	MEXCLR	DX3201X1	BUI	20-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	0.240	0.252	UGG	105.0	1.6
	LH10	MEXCLR	DX3201X1	BUI	20-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	0.240	0.248	UGG	103.3	1.6
	LH10	MEXCLR	DXCS1200	CPJ	10-DEC-1992	10-JAN-1993	0.238	0.209	UGG	87.8	21.8
	LH10	MEXCLR	DXCS1200	CPJ	10-DEC-1992	09-JAN-1993	0.238	0.168	UGG	70.6	21.8
	LH10	MEXCLR	SX3204X1	BUD	17-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	0.214	0.212	UGG	99.1	56.6
	LH10	MEXCLR	SX3204X1	BUD	17-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	0.214	0.188	UGG	87.9	56.6
	LH10	MEXCLR	SX3204X1	BUE	17-OCT-1992	08-NOV-1992	0.216	0.149	UGG	69.0	56.6
	LH10	MEXCLR	SX3204X1	BUE	17-OCT-1992	08-NOV-1992	0.216	0.119	UGG	55.1	56.6
		**********								----------	
		avg								75.2	
		minimum								35.1	
		maximum								105.0	
	LH10	PPDDT	BX250201	BUC	15-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	0.022	0.023	UGG	104.5	30.0
	LH10	PPDDT	BX250201	BUC	15-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	0.022	0.017	UGG	77.3	30.0

Table H2
MS/MSD Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

MATRIX SPIKES/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATES

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	Spike Value	Value	Units	Percent Recovery	RPD
	LH10	PPDDT	BX320903	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.021	0.030	UGG	142.9	38.7
	LH10	PPDDT	BX320903	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.021	0.021	UGG	100.0	38.7
	LH10	PPDDT	BX320903	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.021	0.021	UGG	100.0	38.7
	LH10	PPDDT	BX320903	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.021	0.021	UGG	100.0	38.7
	LH10	PPDDT	BX321302	BUI	20-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	0.021	0.031	UGG	147.6	10.2
	LH10	PPDDT	BX321302	BUI	20-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	0.021	0.028	UGG	133.3	10.2
	LH10	PPDDT	CX3208X1	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.020	0.140	UGG	700.0	147.8
	LH10	PPDDT	CX3208X1	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.020	0.140	UGG	700.0	147.8
	LH10	PPDDT	CX3208X1	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.020	0.021	UGG	105.0	147.8
	LH10	PPDDT	CX3208X1	BUH	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.020	0.021	UGG	105.0	147.8
	LH10	PPDDT	DX3201X1	BUI	20-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	0.024	0.043	UGG	179.2	17.7
	LH10	PPDDT	DX3201X1	BUI	20-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	0.024	0.036	UGG	150.0	17.7
	LH10	PPDDT	DXCS1200	CPJ	10-DEC-1992	10-JAN-1993	0.024	0.037	UGG	154.2	59.6
	LH10	PPDDT	DXCS1200	CPJ	10-DEC-1992	09-JAN-1993	0.024	0.020	UGG	83.3	59.6
	LH10	PPDDT	SX3204X1	BUE	17-OCT-1992	08-NOV-1992	0.022	0.071	UGG	322.7	63.8
	LH10	PPDDT	SX3204X1	BUE	17-OCT-1992	08-NOV-1992	0.022	0.071	UGG	322.7	63.8
	LH10	PPDDT	SX3204X1	BUD	17-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	0.021	0.035	UGG	166.7	63.8
	LH10	PPDDT	SX3204X1	BUD	17-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	0.021	0.035	UGG	166.7	63.8
		**********								---	
		avg								203.1	
		minimum								77.3	
		maximum								700.0	
	LH16	CL10BP	BX250201	AXN	15-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	0.070	0.087	UGG	124.3	21.0
	LH16	CL10BP	BX250201	AXN	15-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	0.070	0.086	UGG	122.9	21.0
	LH16	CL10BP	BX250201	AXN	15-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	0.070	0.070	UGG	100.0	21.0
	LH16	CL10BP	BX320903	AXT	20-OCT-1992	13-NOV-1992	0.070	0.096	UGG	137.1	145.0
	LH16	CL10BP	BX320903	AXT	20-OCT-1992	13-NOV-1992	0.070	0.092	UGG	131.4	145.0
	LH16	CL10BP	BX320903	AXS	20-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	0.070	0.077	UGG	110.0	145.0
	LH16	CL10BP	BX320903	AXT	20-OCT-1992	14-NOV-1992	0.070	0.032	UGG	45.7	145.0
	LH16	CL10BP	BX320903	AXT	20-OCT-1992	14-NOV-1992	0.070	0.028	UGG	40.0	145.0
	LH16	CL10BP	BX320903	AXT	20-OCT-1992	13-NOV-1992	0.070	0.022	UGG	31.4	145.0
	LH16	CL10BP	BX320903	AXT	20-OCT-1992	13-NOV-1992	0.070	0.020	UGG	28.6	145.0
	LH16	CL10BP	BX321302	AXU	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.070	0.120	UGG	171.4	21.1
	LH16	CL10BP	BX321302	AXU	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.070	0.110	UGG	157.1	21.1
	LH16	CL10BP	BX321302	AXU	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.070	0.110	UGG	157.1	21.1
	LH16	CL10BP	BX321302	AXU	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.070	0.097	UGG	138.6	21.1
	LH16	CL10BP	CX3208X1	AXT	20-OCT-1992	13-NOV-1992	0.070	0.130	UGG	185.7	341.2
	LH16	CL10BP	CX3208X1	AXR	20-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	0.070	0.024	UGG	34.3	341.2
	LH16	CL10BP	CX3208X1	AXT	20-OCT-1992	13-NOV-1992	0.070	0.023	UGG	32.9	341.2
	LH16	CL10BP	CX3208X1	AXT	20-OCT-1992	13-NOV-1992	0.070	0.021	UGG	30.0	341.2
	LH16	CL10BP	CX3208X1	AXT	20-OCT-1992	14-NOV-1992	0.070	0.016	UGG	22.9	341.2
	LH16	CL10BP	CX3208X1	AXT	20-OCT-1992	13-NOV-1992	0.070	0.013	UGG	18.6	341.2

Table H2
MS/MSD Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

MATRIX SPIKES/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATES

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	Spike Value	Value	Units	Percent Recovery	RPD
	LH16	CL10BP	CX3208X1	AXT	20-OCT-1992	14-NOV-1992	0.070	0.013	UGG	18.6	341.2
	LH16	CL10BP	DX3201X1	AXU	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.070	0.065	UGG	92.9	33.2
	LH16	CL10BP	DX3201X1	AXU	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.070	0.060	UGG	85.7	33.2
	LH16	CL10BP	DX3201X1	AXU	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.070	0.058	UGG	82.9	33.2
	LH16	CL10BP	DX3201X1	AXU	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.070	0.046	UGG	65.7	33.2
	LH16	CL10BP	DXCS1200	CGW	10-DEC-1992	11-JAN-1993	0.070	0.074	UGG	105.7	13.0
	LH16	CL10BP	DXCS1200	CGW	10-DEC-1992	11-JAN-1993	0.070	0.069	UGG	98.6	13.0
	LH16	CL10BP	DXCS1200	CGW	10-DEC-1992	11-JAN-1993	0.070	0.065	UGG	92.9	13.0
	LH16	CL10BP	SX3204X1	AXP	17-OCT-1992	31-OCT-1992	0.070	0.085	UGG	121.4	39.4
	LH16	CL10BP	SX3204X1	AXQ	17-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	0.070	0.078	UGG	111.4	39.4
	LH16	CL10BP	SX3204X1	AXP	17-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	0.070	0.072	UGG	102.9	39.4
	LH16	CL10BP	SX3204X1	AXQ	17-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	0.070	0.072	UGG	102.9	39.4
	LH16	CL10BP	SX3204X1	AXQ	17-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	0.070	0.070	UGG	100.0	39.4
	LH16	CL10BP	SX3204X1	AXP	17-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	0.070	0.064	UGG	91.4	39.4
	LH16	CL10BP	SX3204X1	AXQ	17-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	0.070	0.057	UGG	81.4	39.4
		**********								---	
		avg								90.7	
		minimum								18.6	
		maximum								185.7	
	LH16	CL4XYL	BX250201	AXN	15-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	0.070	0.060	UGG	85.7	8.7
	LH16	CL4XYL	BX250201	AXN	15-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	0.070	0.058	UGG	82.9	8.7
	LH16	CL4XYL	BX250201	AXN	15-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	0.070	0.055	UGG	78.6	8.7
	LH16	CL4XYL	BX320903	AXT	20-OCT-1992	13-NOV-1992	0.070	0.066	UGG	94.3	39.7
	LH16	CL4XYL	BX320903	AXT	20-OCT-1992	13-NOV-1992	0.070	0.062	UGG	88.6	39.7
	LH16	CL4XYL	BX320903	AXS	20-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	0.070	0.057	UGG	81.4	39.7
	LH16	CL4XYL	BX320903	AXT	20-OCT-1992	14-NOV-1992	0.070	0.055	UGG	78.6	39.7
	LH16	CL4XYL	BX320903	AXT	20-OCT-1992	13-NOV-1992	0.070	0.054	UGG	77.1	39.7
	LH16	CL4XYL	BX320903	AXT	20-OCT-1992	13-NOV-1992	0.070	0.050	UGG	71.4	39.7
	LH16	CL4XYL	BX320903	AXT	20-OCT-1992	14-NOV-1992	0.070	0.044	UGG	62.9	39.7
	LH16	CL4XYL	BX321302	AXU	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.070	0.071	UGG	101.4	11.9
	LH16	CL4XYL	BX321302	AXU	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.070	0.069	UGG	98.6	11.9
	LH16	CL4XYL	BX321302	AXU	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.070	0.067	UGG	95.7	11.9
	LH16	CL4XYL	BX321302	AXU	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.070	0.063	UGG	90.0	11.9
	LH16	CL4XYL	CX3208X1	AXT	20-OCT-1992	13-NOV-1992	0.070	0.049	UGG	70.0	36.3
	LH16	CL4XYL	CX3208X1	AXT	20-OCT-1992	13-NOV-1992	0.070	0.045	UGG	64.3	36.3
	LH16	CL4XYL	CX3208X1	AXT	20-OCT-1992	13-NOV-1992	0.070	0.044	UGG	62.9	36.3
	LH16	CL4XYL	CX3208X1	AXT	20-OCT-1992	14-NOV-1992	0.070	0.041	UGG	58.6	36.3
	LH16	CL4XYL	CX3208X1	AXT	20-OCT-1992	13-NOV-1992	0.070	0.038	UGG	54.3	36.3
	LH16	CL4XYL	CX3208X1	AXT	20-OCT-1992	14-NOV-1992	0.070	0.038	UGG	54.3	36.3
	LH16	CL4XYL	CX3208X1	AXR	20-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	0.070	0.034	UGG	48.6	36.3
	LH16	CL4XYL	DX3201X1	AXU	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.070	0.070	UGG	100.0	43.3
	LH16	CL4XYL	DX3201X1	AXU	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.070	0.065	UGG	92.9	43.3
	LH16	CL4XYL	DX3201X1	AXU	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.070	0.061	UGG	87.1	43.3

Table H2
MS/MSD Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

MATRIX SPIKES/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATES

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	Spike Value	Value	Units	Percent Recovery	RPD
	LH16	CL4XYL	DX3201X1	AXU	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.070	0.044	UGG	62.9	43.3
	LH16	CL4XYL	DXCS1200	CGW	10-DEC-1992	11-JAN-1993	0.070	0.054	UGG	77.1	11.6
	LH16	CL4XYL	DXCS1200	CGW	10-DEC-1992	11-JAN-1993	0.070	0.053	UGG	75.7	11.6
	LH16	CL4XYL	DXCS1200	CGW	10-DEC-1992	11-JAN-1993	0.070	0.048	UGG	68.6	11.6
	LH16	CL4XYL	SX3204X1	AXQ	17-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	0.070	0.062	UGG	88.6	28.8
	LH16	CL4XYL	SX3204X1	AXQ	17-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	0.070	0.060	UGG	85.7	28.8
	LH16	CL4XYL	SX3204X1	AXQ	17-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	0.070	0.060	UGG	85.7	28.8
	LH16	CL4XYL	SX3204X1	AXP	17-OCT-1992	31-OCT-1992	0.070	0.056	UGG	80.0	28.8
	LH16	CL4XYL	SX3204X1	AXQ	17-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	0.070	0.056	UGG	80.0	28.8
	LH16	CL4XYL	SX3204X1	AXP	17-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	0.070	0.049	UGG	70.0	28.8
	LH16	CL4XYL	SX3204X1	AXP	17-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	0.070	0.046	UGG	65.7	28.8
		**********								----------	
		avg								77.7	
		minimum								48.6	
		maximum								101.4	
	LH16	PCB016	BX250201	AXN	15-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	0.292	0.347	UGG	118.8	7.2
	LH16	PCB016	BX250201	AXN	15-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	0.292	0.323	UGG	110.6	7.2
	LH16	PCB016	BX320903	AXT	20-OCT-1992	13-NOV-1992	0.279	0.305	UGG	109.3	35.8
	LH16	PCB016	BX320903	AXT	20-OCT-1992	13-NOV-1992	0.279	0.278	UGG	99.6	35.8
	LH16	PCB016	BX320903	AXT	20-OCT-1992	13-NOV-1992	0.278	0.221	UGG	79.5	35.8
	LH16	PCB016	BX320903	AXT	20-OCT-1992	13-NOV-1992	0.278	0.213	UGG	76.6	35.8
	LH16	PCB016	BX321302	AXU	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.276	0.292	UGG	105.8	1.4
	LH16	PCB016	BX321302	AXU	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.276	0.288	UGG	104.3	1.4
	LH16	PCB016	CX3208X1	AXT	20-OCT-1992	13-NOV-1992	0.269	1.880	UGG	698.9	167.4
	LH16	PCB016	CX3208X1	AXT	20-OCT-1992	13-NOV-1992	0.269	1.400	UGG	520.4	167.4
	LH16	PCB016	CX3208X1	AXT	20-OCT-1992	13-NOV-1992	0.269	0.486	UGG	180.7	167.4
	LH16	PCB016	CX3208X1	AXT	20-OCT-1992	13-NOV-1992	0.269	0.214	UGG	79.6	167.4
	LH16	PCB016	DX3201X1	AXU	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.321	0.367	UGG	114.3	6.8
	LH16	PCB016	DX3201X1	AXU	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.321	0.343	UGG	106.9	6.8
	LH16	PCB016	DXCS1200	CGW	10-DEC-1992	11-JAN-1993	0.318	0.274	UGG	86.2	10.8
	LH16	PCB016	DXCS1200	CGW	10-DEC-1992	11-JAN-1993	0.318	0.246	UGG	77.4	10.8
	LH16	PCB016	SX3204X1	AXQ	17-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	0.287	0.286	UGG	99.7	32.4
	LH16	PCB016	SX3204X1	AXQ	17-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	0.287	0.238	UGG	82.9	32.4
	LH16	PCB016	SX3204X1	AXP	17-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	0.285	0.223	UGG	78.2	32.4
	LH16	PCB016	SX3204X1	AXP	17-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	0.285	0.207	UGG	72.6	32.4
		**********								----------	
		avg								150.1	
		minimum								72.6	
		maximum								698.9	
	LH16	PCB260	BX250201	AXN	15-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	0.292	0.356	UGG	121.9	5.2
	LH16	PCB260	BX250201	AXN	15-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	0.292	0.338	UGG	115.8	5.2
	LH16	PCB260	BX320903	AXT	20-OCT-1992	13-NOV-1992	0.279	0.401	UGG	143.7	108.0

Table H2
MS/MSD Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

MATRIX SPIKES/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATES

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	Spike Value	Value	Units	Percent Recovery	RPD
	LH16	PCB260	BX320903	AXT	20-OCT-1992	13-NOV-1992	0.279	0.388	UGG	139.1	108.0
	LH16	PCB260	BX320903	AXT	20-OCT-1992	13-NOV-1992	0.278	0.134	UGG	48.2	108.0
	LH16	PCB260	BX320903	AXT	20-OCT-1992	13-NOV-1992	0.278	0.119	UGG	42.8	108.0
	LH16	PCB260	BX321302	AXU	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.276	0.365	UGG	132.2	2.8
	LH16	PCB260	BX321302	AXU	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.276	0.355	UGG	128.6	2.8
	LH16	PCB260	CX3208X1	AXT	20-OCT-1992	13-NOV-1992	0.269	5.810	UGG	2159.9	291.2
	LH16	PCB260	CX3208X1	AXT	20-OCT-1992	13-NOV-1992	0.269	1.490	UGG	553.9	291.2
	LH16	PCB260	CX3208X1	AXT	20-OCT-1992	13-NOV-1992	0.269	0.352	UGG	130.9	291.2
	LH16	PCB260	CX3208X1	AXT	20-OCT-1992	13-NOV-1992	0.269	0.138	UGG	51.3	291.2
	LH16	PCB260	DX3201X1	AXU	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.321	0.425	UGG	132.4	14.6
	LH16	PCB260	DX3201X1	AXU	20-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.321	0.367	UGG	114.3	14.6
	LH16	PCB260	DXCS1200	CGW	10-DEC-1992	11-JAN-1993	0.318	0.337	UGG	106.0	5.5
	LH16	PCB260	DXCS1200	CGW	10-DEC-1992	11-JAN-1993	0.318	0.319	UGG	100.3	5.5
	LH16	PCB260	SX3204X1	AXQ	17-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	0.287	0.340	UGG	118.5	48.2
	LH16	PCB260	SX3204X1	AXQ	17-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	0.287	0.301	UGG	104.9	48.2
	LH16	PCB260	SX3204X1	AXP	17-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	0.285	0.241	UGG	84.6	48.2
	LH16	PCB260	SX3204X1	AXP	17-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	0.285	0.207	UGG	72.6	48.2
		**********								---	
		avg								230.1	
		minimum								42.8	
		maximum								2159.9	
BNA'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM18	124TCB	BX250201	BLF	15-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	3.700	4.500	UGG	121.6	.0
BNA'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM18	124TCB	BX250201	BLF	15-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	3.700	4.500	UGG	121.6	.0
		**********								---	
		avg								121.6	
		minimum								121.6	
		maximum								121.6	
BNA'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM18	14DCLB	BX250201	BLF	15-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	3.700	4.300	UGG	116.2	.0
BNA'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM18	14DCLB	BX250201	BLF	15-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	3.700	4.300	UGG	116.2	.0
		**********								---	
		avg								116.2	
		minimum								116.2	
		maximum								116.2	
BNA'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM18	246TBP	BX250201	BLF	15-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	6.700	6.600	UGG	98.5	4.7
BNA'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM18	246TBP	BX250201	BLF	15-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	6.700	6.300	UGG	94.0	4.7
BNA'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM18	246TBP	BX250201	BLF	15-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	6.700	6.300	UGG	94.0	4.7
		**********								---	
		avg								95.5	
		minimum								94.0	
		maximum								98.5	

Table H2
MS/MSD Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

MATRIX SPIKES/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATES

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	Spike Value	Value	Units	Percent Recovery	RPD
BNA'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM18	24DNT	BX250201	BLF	15-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	3.700	3.400	UGG	91.9	3.0
BNA'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM18	24DNT	BX250201	BLF	15-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	3.700	3.300	UGG	89.2	3.0
		**********								---	
		avg								90.5	
		minimum								89.2	
		maximum								91.9	
BNA'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM18	2CLP	BX250201	BLF	15-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	7.400	8.700	UGG	117.6	8.3
BNA'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM18	2CLP	BX250201	BLF	15-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	7.300	7.900	UGG	108.2	8.3
		**********								---	
		avg								112.9	
		minimum								108.2	
		maximum								117.6	
BNA'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM18	2FBP	BX250201	BLF	15-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	3.300	3.300	UGG	100.0	3.1
BNA'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM18	2FBP	BX250201	BLF	15-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	3.300	3.300	UGG	100.0	3.1
BNA'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM18	2FBP	BX250201	BLF	15-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	3.300	3.200	UGG	97.0	3.1
		**********								---	
		avg								99.0	
		minimum								97.0	
		maximum								100.0	
BNA'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM18	2FP	BX250201	BLF	15-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	6.700	7.700	UGG	114.9	8.0
BNA'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM18	2FP	BX250201	BLF	15-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	6.700	7.700	UGG	114.9	8.0
BNA'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM18	2FP	BX250201	BLF	15-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	6.700	7.100	UGG	106.0	8.0
		**********								---	
		avg								111.9	
		minimum								106.0	
		maximum								114.9	
BNA'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM18	4CL3C	BX250201	BLF	15-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	7.400	8.100	UGG	109.5	3.7
BNA'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM18	4CL3C	BX250201	BLF	15-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	7.300	7.700	UGG	105.5	3.7
		**********								---	
		avg								107.5	
		minimum								105.5	
		maximum								109.5	
BNA'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM18	4NP	BX250201	BLF	15-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	7.400	5.500	UGG	74.3	12.2
BNA'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM18	4NP	BX250201	BLF	15-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	7.300	4.800	UGG	65.8	12.2
		**********								---	
		avg								70.0	
		minimum								65.8	
		maximum								74.3	

Table H2
MS/MSD Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

MATRIX SPIKES/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATES

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	Spike Value	Value	Units	Percent Recovery	RPD
BNA'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM18	ANAPNE	BX250201	BLF	15-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	3.700	4.100	UGG	110.8	2.5
BNA'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM18	ANAPNE	BX250201	BLF	15-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	3.700	4.000	UGG	108.1	2.5
		**********								---	
		avg								109.5	
		minimum								108.1	
		maximum								110.8	
BNA'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM18	NBD5	BX250201	BLF	15-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	3.300	3.100	UGG	93.9	6.6
BNA'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM18	NBD5	BX250201	BLF	15-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	3.300	3.100	UGG	93.9	6.6
BNA'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM18	NBD5	BX250201	BLF	15-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	3.300	2.900	UGG	87.9	6.6
		**********								---	
		avg								91.9	
		minimum								87.9	
		maximum								93.9	
BNA'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM18	NNDNPA	BX250201	BLF	15-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	3.700	3.400	UGG	91.9	9.2
BNA'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM18	NNDNPA	BX250201	BLF	15-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	3.700	3.100	UGG	83.8	9.2
		**********								---	
		avg								87.8	
		minimum								83.8	
		maximum								91.9	
BNA'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM18	PCP	BX250201	BLF	15-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	7.300	6.800	UGG	93.2	10.9
BNA'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM18	PCP	BX250201	BLF	15-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	7.300	6.100	UGG	83.6	10.9
		**********								---	
		avg								88.4	
		minimum								83.6	
		maximum								93.2	
BNA'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM18	PHEND6	BX250201	BLF	15-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	6.700	6.800	UGG	101.5	9.2
BNA'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM18	PHEND6	BX250201	BLF	15-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	6.700	6.600	UGG	98.5	9.2
BNA'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM18	PHEND6	BX250201	BLF	15-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	6.700	6.200	UGG	92.5	9.2
		**********								---	
		avg								97.5	
		minimum								92.5	
		maximum								101.5	
BNA'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM18	PHENOL	BX250201	BLF	15-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	7.300	7.200	UGG	98.6	4.3
BNA'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM18	PHENOL	BX250201	BLF	15-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	7.300	6.900	UGG	94.5	4.3
		**********								---	
		avg								96.6	
		minimum								94.5	
		maximum								98.6	

Table H2
MS/MSD Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

MATRIX SPIKES/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATES

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	Spike Value	Value	Units	Percent Recovery	RPD
BNA'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM18	PYR	BX250201	BLF	15-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	3.700	4.000	UGG	108.1	2.5
BNA'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM18	PYR	BX250201	BLF	15-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	3.700	3.900	UGG	105.4	2.5
		**********								----------	
		avg								106.8	
		minimum								105.4	
		maximum								108.1	
BNA'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM18	TRPD14	BX250201	BLF	15-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	3.300	3.100	UGG	93.9	3.3
BNA'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM18	TRPD14	BX250201	BLF	15-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	3.300	3.000	UGG	90.9	3.3
BNA'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM18	TRPD14	BX250201	BLF	15-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	3.300	3.000	UGG	90.9	3.3
		**********								----------	
		avg								91.9	
		minimum								90.9	
		maximum								93.9	
VOC'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM19	11DCE	BX250201	BKH	15-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	0.055	0.053	UGG	96.4	5.8
VOC'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM19	11DCE	BX250201	BKH	15-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	0.055	0.050	UGG	90.9	5.8
VOC'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM19	11DCE	DXCR0200	CVC	16-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	0.220	0.250	UGG	113.6	4.1
VOC'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM19	11DCE	DXCR0200	CVC	16-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	0.220	0.240	UGG	109.1	4.1
VOC'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM19	11DCE	DXSH3002	CVD	09-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	0.062	0.066	UGG	106.5	1.5
VOC'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM19	11DCE	DXSH3002	CVD	09-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	0.062	0.065	UGG	104.8	1.5
		**********								----------	
		avg								103.5	
		minimum								90.9	
		maximum								113.6	
VOC'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM19	12DCD4	BX250201	BKH	15-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	0.050	0.050	UGG	100.0	2.0
VOC'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM19	12DCD4	BX250201	BKH	15-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	0.050	0.050	UGG	100.0	2.0
VOC'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM19	12DCD4	BX250201	BKH	15-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	0.050	0.049	UGG	98.0	2.0
VOC'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM19	12DCD4	DXCR0200	CVC	16-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	0.050	0.058	UGG	116.0	11.0
VOC'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM19	12DCD4	DXCR0200	CVC	16-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	0.050	0.054	UGG	108.0	11.0
VOC'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM19	12DCD4	DXCR0200	CVC	16-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	0.050	0.052	UGG	104.0	11.0
VOC'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM19	12DCD4	DXSH3002	CVD	09-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	0.050	0.050	UGG	100.0	4.1
VOC'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM19	12DCD4	DXSH3002	CVD	09-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	0.050	0.048	UGG	96.0	4.1
VOC'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM19	12DCD4	DXSH3002	CVD	09-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	0.050	0.048	UGG	96.0	4.1
		**********								----------	
		avg								102.0	
		minimum								96.0	
		maximum								116.0	
VOC'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM19	4BFB	BX250201	BKH	15-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	0.050	0.054	UGG	108.0	1.9
VOC'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM19	4BFB	BX250201	BKH	15-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	0.050	0.054	UGG	108.0	1.9

Table H2
MS/MSD Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

MATRIX SPIKES/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATES

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	Spike Value	Value	Units	Percent Recovery	RPD
VOC'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM19	4BFB	BX250201	BKH	15-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	0.050	0.053	UGG	106.0	1.9
VOC'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM19	4BFB	DXCR0200	CVC	16-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	0.050	0.057	UGG	114.0	7.3
VOC'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM19	4BFB	DXCR0200	CVC	16-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	0.050	0.054	UGG	108.0	7.3
VOC'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM19	4BFB	DXCR0200	CVC	16-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	0.050	0.053	UGG	106.0	7.3
VOC'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM19	4BFB	DXSH3002	CVD	09-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	0.050	0.054	UGG	108.0	5.7
VOC'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM19	4BFB	DXSH3002	CVD	09-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	0.050	0.054	UGG	108.0	5.7
VOC'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM19	4BFB	DXSH3002	CVD	09-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	0.050	0.051	UGG	102.0	5.7
		**********								---	
		avg								107.6	
		minimum								102.0	
		maximum								114.0	
VOC'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM19	C6H6	BX250201	BKH	15-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	0.055	0.060	UGG	109.1	8.7
VOC'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM19	C6H6	BX250201	BKH	15-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	0.055	0.055	UGG	100.0	8.7
VOC'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM19	C6H6	DXCR0200	CVC	16-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	0.220	0.240	UGG	109.1	.0
VOC'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM19	C6H6	DXCR0200	CVC	16-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	0.220	0.240	UGG	109.1	.0
VOC'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM19	C6H6	DXSH3002	CVD	09-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	0.062	0.068	UGG	109.7	3.0
VOC'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM19	C6H6	DXSH3002	CVD	09-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	0.062	0.066	UGG	106.5	3.0
		**********								---	
		avg								107.2	
		minimum								100.0	
		maximum								109.7	
VOC'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM19	CLC6H5	BX250201	BKH	15-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	0.055	0.057	UGG	103.6	5.4
VOC'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM19	CLC6H5	BX250201	BKH	15-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	0.055	0.054	UGG	98.2	5.4
VOC'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM19	CLC6H5	DXCR0200	CVC	16-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	0.220	0.230	UGG	104.5	.0
VOC'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM19	CLC6H5	DXCR0200	CVC	16-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	0.220	0.230	UGG	104.5	.0
VOC'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM19	CLC6H5	DXSH3002	CVD	09-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	0.062	0.068	UGG	109.7	3.0
VOC'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM19	CLC6H5	DXSH3002	CVD	09-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	0.062	0.066	UGG	106.5	3.0
		**********								---	
		avg								104.5	
		minimum								98.2	
		maximum								109.7	
VOC'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM19	MEC6D8	BX250201	BKH	15-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	0.050	0.055	UGG	110.0	1.8
VOC'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM19	MEC6D8	BX250201	BKH	15-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	0.050	0.055	UGG	110.0	1.8
VOC'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM19	MEC6D8	BX250201	BKH	15-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	0.050	0.054	UGG	108.0	1.8
VOC'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM19	MEC6D8	DXCR0200	CVC	16-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	0.050	0.064	UGG	128.0	13.5
VOC'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM19	MEC6D8	DXCR0200	CVC	16-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	0.050	0.058	UGG	116.0	13.5
VOC'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM19	MEC6D8	DXCR0200	CVC	16-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	0.050	0.056	UGG	112.0	13.5
VOC'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM19	MEC6D8	DXSH3002	CVD	09-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	0.050	0.054	UGG	108.0	5.7
VOC'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM19	MEC6D8	DXSH3002	CVD	09-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	0.050	0.052	UGG	104.0	5.7
VOC'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM19	MEC6D8	DXSH3002	CVD	09-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	0.050	0.051	UGG	102.0	5.7
		**********								---	

Table H2
MS/MSD Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

MATRIX SPIKES/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATES

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	Spike Value	Value	Units	Percent Recovery	RPD
		avg								110.9	
		minimum								102.0	
		maximum								128.0	
VOC'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM19	MEC6H5	BX250201	BKH	15-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	0.055	0.061	UGG	110.9	6.8
VOC'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM19	MEC6H5	BX250201	BKH	15-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	0.055	0.057	UGG	103.6	6.8
VOC'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM19	MEC6H5	DXCR0200	CVC	16-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	0.220	0.260	UGG	118.2	.0
VOC'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM19	MEC6H5	DXCR0200	CVC	16-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	0.220	0.260	UGG	118.2	.0
VOC'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM19	MEC6H5	DXSH3002	CVD	09-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	0.062	0.068	UGG	109.7	.0
VOC'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM19	MEC6H5	DXSH3002	CVD	09-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	0.062	0.068	UGG	109.7	.0
		**********								----------	
		avg								111.7	
		minimum								103.6	
		maximum								118.2	
VOC'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM19	TRCLE	BX250201	BKH	15-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	0.055	0.049	UGG	89.1	4.2
VOC'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM19	TRCLE	BX250201	BKH	15-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	0.055	0.047	UGG	85.5	4.2
VOC'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM19	TRCLE	DXCR0200	CVC	16-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	0.220	0.190	UGG	86.4	5.4
VOC'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM19	TRCLE	DXCR0200	CVC	16-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	0.220	0.180	UGG	81.8	5.4
VOC'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM19	TRCLE	DXSH3002	CVD	09-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	0.062	0.060	UGG	96.8	3.4
VOC'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM19	TRCLE	DXSH3002	CVD	09-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	0.062	0.058	UGG	93.5	3.4
		**********								----------	
		avg								88.8	
		minimum								81.8	
		maximum								96.8	
EXPL.S IN SOIL BY HPLC	LW12	135TNB	BX250201	BMI	15-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	9.300	8.710	UGG	93.7	4.9
EXPL.S IN SOIL BY HPLC	LW12	135TNB	BX250201	BMI	15-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	9.300	8.290	UGG	89.1	4.9
EXPL.S IN SOIL BY HPLC	LW12	135TNB	DXCS1200	CLH	10-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	9.280	9.200	UGG	99.1	1.5
EXPL.S IN SOIL BY HPLC	LW12	135TNB	DXCS1200	CLH	10-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	9.280	9.060	UGG	97.6	1.5
EXPL.S IN SOIL BY HPLC	LW12	135TNB	DXCS1201	CLH	10-DEC-1992	23-DEC-1992	9.280	9.180	UGG	98.9	3.1
EXPL.S IN SOIL BY HPLC	LW12	135TNB	DXCS1201	CLH	10-DEC-1992	23-DEC-1992	9.280	8.900	UGG	95.9	3.1
EXPL.S IN SOIL BY HPLC	LW12	135TNB	SX2501X1	BMH	13-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	9.290	8.430	UGG	90.7	1.7
EXPL.S IN SOIL BY HPLC	LW12	135TNB	SX2501X1	BMH	13-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	9.290	8.290	UGG	89.2	1.7
		**********								----------	
		avg								94.3	
		minimum								89.1	
		maximum								99.1	
EXPL.S IN SOIL BY HPLC	LW12	246TNT	BX250201	BMI	15-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	8.880	7.120	UGG	80.2	5.3
EXPL.S IN SOIL BY HPLC	LW12	246TNT	BX250201	BMI	15-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	8.880	6.750	UGG	76.0	5.3
EXPL.S IN SOIL BY HPLC	LW12	246TNT	DXCS1200	CLH	10-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	8.860	7.660	UGG	86.5	2.5
EXPL.S IN SOIL BY HPLC	LW12	246TNT	DXCS1200	CLH	10-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	8.860	7.470	UGG	84.3	2.5

Table H2
MS/MSD Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

MATRIX SPIKES/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATES

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	Spike Value	Value	Units	Percent Recovery	RPD
EXPL.S IN SOIL BY HPLC	LW12	246TNT	DXCS1201	CLH	10-DEC-1992	23-DEC-1992	8.850	7.370	UGG	83.3	2.6
EXPL.S IN SOIL BY HPLC	LW12	246TNT	DXCS1201	CLH	10-DEC-1992	23-DEC-1992	8.850	7.180	UGG	81.1	2.6
EXPL.S IN SOIL BY HPLC	LW12	246TNT	SX2501X1	BMH	13-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	8.860	7.610	UGG	85.9	.4
EXPL.S IN SOIL BY HPLC	LW12	246TNT	SX2501X1	BMH	13-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	8.860	7.580	UGG	85.6	.4
		**********								---	
		avg								82.9	
		minimum								76.0	
		maximum								86.5	
EXPL.S IN SOIL BY HPLC	LW12	24DNT	BX250201	BMI	15-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	9.930	8.940	UGG	90.0	2.1
EXPL.S IN SOIL BY HPLC	LW12	24DNT	BX250201	BMI	15-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	9.930	8.750	UGG	88.1	2.1
EXPL.S IN SOIL BY HPLC	LW12	24DNT	DXCS1200	CLH	10-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	9.910	9.720	UGG	98.1	3.1
EXPL.S IN SOIL BY HPLC	LW12	24DNT	DXCS1200	CLH	10-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	9.910	9.420	UGG	95.1	3.1
EXPL.S IN SOIL BY HPLC	LW12	24DNT	DXCS1201	CLH	10-DEC-1992	23-DEC-1992	9.900	9.400	UGG	94.9	2.4
EXPL.S IN SOIL BY HPLC	LW12	24DNT	DXCS1201	CLH	10-DEC-1992	23-DEC-1992	9.900	9.180	UGG	92.7	2.4
EXPL.S IN SOIL BY HPLC	LW12	24DNT	SX2501X1	BMH	13-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	9.920	8.790	UGG	88.6	.5
EXPL.S IN SOIL BY HPLC	LW12	24DNT	SX2501X1	BMH	13-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	9.920	8.750	UGG	88.2	.5
		**********								---	
		avg								92.0	
		minimum								88.1	
		maximum								98.1	
EXPL.S IN SOIL BY HPLC	LW12	NB	BX250201	BMI	15-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	24.200	28.000	UGG	115.7	1.4
EXPL.S IN SOIL BY HPLC	LW12	NB	BX250201	BMI	15-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	24.200	27.600	UGG	114.0	1.4
EXPL.S IN SOIL BY HPLC	LW12	NB	DXCS1200	CLH	10-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	24.200	28.300	UGG	116.9	1.4
EXPL.S IN SOIL BY HPLC	LW12	NB	DXCS1200	CLH	10-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	24.200	27.900	UGG	115.3	1.4
EXPL.S IN SOIL BY HPLC	LW12	NB	DXCS1201	CLH	10-DEC-1992	23-DEC-1992	24.200	28.400	UGG	117.4	1.8
EXPL.S IN SOIL BY HPLC	LW12	NB	DXCS1201	CLH	10-DEC-1992	23-DEC-1992	24.200	27.900	UGG	115.3	1.8
EXPL.S IN SOIL BY HPLC	LW12	NB	SX2501X1	BMH	13-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	24.200	27.600	UGG	114.0	1.5
EXPL.S IN SOIL BY HPLC	LW12	NB	SX2501X1	BMH	13-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	24.200	27.200	UGG	112.4	1.5
		**********								---	
		avg								115.1	
		minimum								112.4	
		maximum								117.4	
EXPL.S IN SOIL BY HPLC	LW12	NG	BX250201	BMI	15-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	40.400	40.600	UGG	100.5	.2
EXPL.S IN SOIL BY HPLC	LW12	NG	BX250201	BMI	15-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	40.400	40.500	UGG	100.2	.2
EXPL.S IN SOIL BY HPLC	LW12	NG	DXCS1200	CLH	10-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	40.300	40.200	UGG	99.8	3.3
EXPL.S IN SOIL BY HPLC	LW12	NG	DXCS1200	CLH	10-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	40.300	38.900	UGG	96.5	3.3
EXPL.S IN SOIL BY HPLC	LW12	NG	DXCS1201	CLH	10-DEC-1992	23-DEC-1992	40.300	39.000	UGG	96.8	2.6
EXPL.S IN SOIL BY HPLC	LW12	NG	DXCS1201	CLH	10-DEC-1992	23-DEC-1992	40.300	38.000	UGG	94.3	2.6
EXPL.S IN SOIL BY HPLC	LW12	NG	SX2501X1	BMH	13-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	40.300	41.900	UGG	104.0	4.6
EXPL.S IN SOIL BY HPLC	LW12	NG	SX2501X1	BMH	13-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	40.300	40.000	UGG	99.3	4.6
		**********								---	

Table H2
MS/MSD Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

MATRIX SPIKES/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATES

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	Spike Value	Value	Units	Percent Recovery	RPD
		avg								98.9	
		minimum								94.3	
		maximum								104.0	
EXPL.S IN SOIL BY HPLC	LW12	PETN	BX250201	BMI	15-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	40.400	40.400	UGG	100.0	2.8
EXPL.S IN SOIL BY HPLC	LW12	PETN	BX250201	BMI	15-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	40.400	39.300	UGG	97.3	2.8
EXPL.S IN SOIL BY HPLC	LW12	PETN	DXCS1200	CLH	10-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	40.300	41.300	UGG	102.5	1.5
EXPL.S IN SOIL BY HPLC	LW12	PETN	DXCS1200	CLH	10-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	40.300	40.700	UGG	101.0	1.5
EXPL.S IN SOIL BY HPLC	LW12	PETN	DXCS1201	CLH	10-DEC-1992	23-DEC-1992	40.300	40.500	UGG	100.5	4.0
EXPL.S IN SOIL BY HPLC	LW12	PETN	DXCS1201	CLH	10-DEC-1992	23-DEC-1992	40.300	38.900	UGG	96.5	4.0
EXPL.S IN SOIL BY HPLC	LW12	PETN	SX2501X1	BMH	13-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	40.300	41.600	UGG	103.2	3.2
EXPL.S IN SOIL BY HPLC	LW12	PETN	SX2501X1	BMH	13-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	40.300	40.300	UGG	100.0	3.2
		**********								----------	
		avg								100.1	
		minimum								96.5	
		maximum								103.2	
EXPL.S IN SOIL BY HPLC	LW12	RDX	BX250201	BMI	15-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	8.870	8.400	UGG	94.7	.7
EXPL.S IN SOIL BY HPLC	LW12	RDX	BX250201	BMI	15-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	8.870	8.340	UGG	94.0	.7
EXPL.S IN SOIL BY HPLC	LW12	RDX	DXCS1200	CLH	10-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	8.850	9.330	UGG	105.4	2.4
EXPL.S IN SOIL BY HPLC	LW12	RDX	DXCS1200	CLH	10-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	8.850	9.110	UGG	102.9	2.4
EXPL.S IN SOIL BY HPLC	LW12	RDX	DXCS1201	CLH	10-DEC-1992	23-DEC-1992	8.840	9.020	UGG	102.0	1.3
EXPL.S IN SOIL BY HPLC	LW12	RDX	DXCS1201	CLH	10-DEC-1992	23-DEC-1992	8.840	8.900	UGG	100.7	1.3
EXPL.S IN SOIL BY HPLC	LW12	RDX	SX2501X1	BMH	13-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	8.850	9.110	UGG	102.9	1.8
EXPL.S IN SOIL BY HPLC	LW12	RDX	SX2501X1	BMH	13-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	8.850	8.950	UGG	101.1	1.8
		**********								----------	
		avg								100.5	
		minimum								94.0	
		maximum								105.4	
HG IN WATER BY CVAA	SB01	HG	WD3201X1	APY	20-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	4.000	3.370	UGL	84.3	.0
HG IN WATER BY CVAA	SB01	HG	WD3201X1	APY	20-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	4.000	3.370	UGL	84.3	.0
HG IN WATER BY CVAA	SB01	HG	WX2606X1	APY	21-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	4.000	3.510	UGL	87.8	2.0
HG IN WATER BY CVAA	SB01	HG	WX2606X1	APY	21-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	4.000	3.440	UGL	86.0	2.0
		**********								----------	
		avg								85.6	
		minimum								84.3	
		maximum								87.8	
TL IN WATER BY GFAA	SD09	TL	WX2606X1	CCB	21-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	10.000	11.200	UGL	112.0	9.3
TL IN WATER BY GFAA	SD09	TL	WX2606X1	CCB	21-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	10.000	10.200	UGL	102.0	9.3
TL IN WATER BY GFAA	SD09	TL	WX3201X1	CCB	20-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	10.000	11.000	UGL	110.0	5.6

Table H2
MS/MSD Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

MATRIX SPIKES/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATES

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	Spike Value	Value	Units	Percent Recovery	RPD
TL IN WATER BY GFAA	SD09	TL	WX3201X1	CCB	20-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	10.000	10.400	UGL	104.0	5.6
		**********								----------	
		avg								107.0	
		minimum								102.0	
		maximum								112.0	
PB IN WATER BY GFAA	SD20	PB	WX2606X1	BJG	21-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	40.000	44.900	UGL	112.3	1.3
PB IN WATER BY GFAA	SD20	PB	WX2606X1	BJG	21-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	40.000	44.300	UGL	110.8	1.3
PB IN WATER BY GFAA	SD20	PB	WX3201X1	BJG	20-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	40.000	49.300	UGL	123.3	.6
PB IN WATER BY GFAA	SD20	PB	WX3201X1	BJG	20-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	40.000	49.000	UGL	122.5	.6
		**********								----------	
		avg								117.2	
		minimum								110.8	
		maximum								123.3	
SE IN WATER BY GFAA	SD21	SE	WX2606X1	AZO	21-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	37.500	37.700	UGL	100.5	.3
SE IN WATER BY GFAA	SD21	SE	WX2606X1	AZO	21-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	37.500	37.600	UGL	100.3	.3
SE IN WATER BY GFAA	SD21	SE	WX3201X1	AZO	20-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	37.500	37.300	UGL	99.5	3.3
SE IN WATER BY GFAA	SD21	SE	WX3201X1	AZO	20-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	37.500	36.100	UGL	96.3	3.3
		**********								----------	
		avg								99.1	
		minimum								96.3	
		maximum								100.5	
AS IN WATER BY GFAA	SD22	AS	WX2606X1	CBC	21-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	37.500	45.700	UGL	121.9	1.5
AS IN WATER BY GFAA	SD22	AS	WX2606X1	CBC	21-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	37.500	45.000	UGL	120.0	1.5
AS IN WATER BY GFAA	SD22	AS	WX3201X1	CBC	20-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	37.500	43.800	UGL	116.8	1.4
AS IN WATER BY GFAA	SD22	AS	WX3201X1	CBC	20-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	37.500	43.200	UGL	115.2	1.4
		**********								----------	
		avg								118.5	
		minimum								115.2	
		maximum								121.9	
SB IN WATER BY GFAA	SD28	SB	WX2606X1	YWL	21-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	80.000	71.500	UGL	89.4	1.0
SB IN WATER BY GFAA	SD28	SB	WX2606X1	YWL	21-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	80.000	70.800	UGL	88.5	1.0
SB IN WATER BY GFAA	SD28	SB	WX3201X1	YWL	20-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	80.000	68.200	UGL	85.3	2.1
SB IN WATER BY GFAA	SD28	SB	WX3201X1	YWL	20-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	80.000	66.800	UGL	83.5	2.1
		**********								----------	
		avg								86.7	
		minimum								83.5	

Table H2
MS/MSD Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

MATRIX SPIKES/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATES

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	Spike Value	Value	Units	Percent Recovery	RPD
		maximum								89.4	
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	AG	WX2606X1	BIH	21-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	50.000	52.700	UGL	105.4	2.1
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	AG	WX2606X1	BIH	21-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	50.000	52.200	UGL	104.4	2.1
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	AG	WX2606X1	BIH	21-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	50.000	51.600	UGL	103.2	2.1
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	AG	WX2606X1	BIH	21-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	50.000	51.600	UGL	103.2	2.1
		**********								---	
		avg								104.1	
		minimum								103.2	
		maximum								105.4	
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	AL	WX2606X1	BIH	21-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	2000.000	2300.000	UGL	115.0	33.4
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	AL	WX2606X1	BIH	21-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	2000.000	2010.000	UGL	100.5	33.4
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	AL	WX2606X1	BIH	21-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	2000.000	1690.000	UGL	84.5	33.4
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	AL	WX2606X1	BIH	21-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	2000.000	1660.000	UGL	83.0	33.4
		**********								---	
		avg								95.8	
		minimum								83.0	
		maximum								115.0	
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	BA	WX2606X1	BIH	21-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	2000.000	1880.000	UGL	94.0	3.2
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	BA	WX2606X1	BIH	21-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	2000.000	1850.000	UGL	92.5	3.2
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	BA	WX2606X1	BIH	21-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	2000.000	1850.000	UGL	92.5	3.2
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	BA	WX2606X1	BIH	21-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	2000.000	1820.000	UGL	91.0	3.2
		**********								---	
		avg								92.5	
		minimum								91.0	
		maximum								94.0	
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	BE	WX2606X1	BIH	21-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	50.000	57.000	UGL	114.0	.2
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	BE	WX2606X1	BIH	21-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	50.000	57.000	UGL	114.0	.2
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	BE	WX2606X1	BIH	21-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	50.000	56.900	UGL	113.8	.2
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	BE	WX2606X1	BIH	21-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	50.000	56.900	UGL	113.8	.2
		**********								---	
		avg								113.9	
		minimum								113.8	
		maximum								114.0	
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	CA	WX2606X1	BIH	21-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	10000.000	11400.000	UGL	114.0	6.3
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	CA	WX2606X1	BIH	21-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	10000.000	11000.000	UGL	110.0	6.3
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	CA	WX2606X1	BIH	21-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	10000.000	11000.000	UGL	110.0	6.3
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	CA	WX2606X1	BIH	21-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	10000.000	10700.000	UGL	107.0	6.3
		**********								---	

Table H2
MS/MSD Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

MATRIX SPIKES/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATES

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	Spike Value	Value	Units	Percent Recovery	RPD
		avg								110.3	
		minimum								107.0	
		maximum								114.0	
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	CD	WX2606X1	BIH	21-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	50.000	50.000	UGL	100.0	4.9
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	CD	WX2606X1	BIH	21-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	50.000	49.700	UGL	99.4	4.9
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	CD	WX2606X1	BIH	21-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	50.000	49.300	UGL	98.6	4.9
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	CD	WX2606X1	BIH	21-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	50.000	47.600	UGL	95.2	4.9
		**********								---	
		avg								98.3	
		minimum								95.2	
		maximum								100.0	
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	CO	WX2606X1	BIH	21-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	500.000	595.000	UGL	119.0	7.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	CO	WX2606X1	BIH	21-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	500.000	567.000	UGL	113.4	7.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	CO	WX2606X1	BIH	21-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	500.000	556.000	UGL	111.2	7.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	CO	WX2606X1	BIH	21-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	500.000	555.000	UGL	111.0	7.0
		**********								---	
		avg								113.7	
		minimum								111.0	
		maximum								119.0	
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	CR	WX2606X1	BIH	21-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	200.000	204.000	UGL	102.0	3.5
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	CR	WX2606X1	BIH	21-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	200.000	202.000	UGL	101.0	3.5
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	CR	WX2606X1	BIH	21-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	200.000	200.000	UGL	100.0	3.5
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	CR	WX2606X1	BIH	21-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	200.000	197.000	UGL	98.5	3.5
		**********								---	
		avg								100.4	
		minimum								98.5	
		maximum								102.0	
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	CU	WX2606X1	BIH	21-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	250.000	260.000	UGL	104.0	2.7
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	CU	WX2606X1	BIH	21-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	250.000	259.000	UGL	103.6	2.7
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	CU	WX2606X1	BIH	21-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	250.000	256.000	UGL	102.4	2.7
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	CU	WX2606X1	BIH	21-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	250.000	253.000	UGL	101.2	2.7
		**********								---	
		avg								102.8	
		minimum								101.2	
		maximum								104.0	
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	FE	WX2606X1	BIH	21-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	1000.000	1200.000	UGL	120.0	34.8
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	FE	WX2606X1	BIH	21-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	1000.000	1040.000	UGL	104.0	34.8
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	FE	WX2606X1	BIH	21-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	1000.000	867.000	UGL	86.7	34.8
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	FE	WX2606X1	BIH	21-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	1000.000	855.000	UGL	85.5	34.8

Table H2
MS/MSD Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

MATRIX SPIKES/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATES

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	Spike Value	Value	Units	Percent Recovery	RPD
		**********								---	
		avg								99.1	
		minimum								85.5	
		maximum								120.0	
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	K	WX2606X1	BIH	21-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	10000.000	11000.000	UGL	110.0	2.8
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	K	WX2606X1	BIH	21-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	10000.000	10800.000	UGL	108.0	2.8
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	K	WX2606X1	BIH	21-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	10000.000	10800.000	UGL	108.0	2.8
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	K	WX2606X1	BIH	21-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	10000.000	10700.000	UGL	107.0	2.8
		**********								---	
		avg								108.3	
		minimum								107.0	
		maximum								110.0	
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	MG	WX2606X1	BIH	21-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	10000.000	10500.000	UGL	105.0	3.9
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	MG	WX2606X1	BIH	21-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	10000.000	10300.000	UGL	103.0	3.9
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	MG	WX2606X1	BIH	21-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	10000.000	10200.000	UGL	102.0	3.9
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	MG	WX2606X1	BIH	21-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	10000.000	10100.000	UGL	101.0	3.9
		**********								---	
		avg								102.8	
		minimum								101.0	
		maximum								105.0	
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	MN	WX2606X1	BIH	21-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	500.000	525.000	UGL	105.0	3.1
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	MN	WX2606X1	BIH	21-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	500.000	515.000	UGL	103.0	3.1
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	MN	WX2606X1	BIH	21-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	500.000	511.000	UGL	102.2	3.1
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	MN	WX2606X1	BIH	21-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	500.000	509.000	UGL	101.8	3.1
		**********								---	
		avg								103.0	
		minimum								101.8	
		maximum								105.0	
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	NA	WX2606X1	BIH	21-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	10000.000	10700.000	UGL	107.0	3.8
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	NA	WX2606X1	BIH	21-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	10000.000	10500.000	UGL	105.0	3.8
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	NA	WX2606X1	BIH	21-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	10000.000	10300.000	UGL	103.0	3.8
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	NA	WX2606X1	BIH	21-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	10000.000	10300.000	UGL	103.0	3.8
		**********								---	
		avg								104.5	
		minimum								103.0	
		maximum								107.0	
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	NI	WX2606X1	BIH	21-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	500.000	590.000	UGL	118.0	3.5
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	NI	WX2606X1	BIH	21-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	500.000	584.000	UGL	116.8	3.5
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	NI	WX2606X1	BIH	21-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	500.000	570.000	UGL	114.0	3.5

Table H2
MS/MSD Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

MATRIX SPIKES/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATES

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	Spike Value	Value	Units	Percent Recovery	RPD
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	NI	WX2606X1	BIH	21-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	500.000	570.000	UGL	114.0	3.5
		**********								----------	
		avg								115.7	
		minimum								114.0	
		maximum								118.0	
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	V	WX2606X1	BIH	21-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	500.000	532.000	UGL	106.4	2.7
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	V	WX2606X1	BIH	21-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	500.000	526.000	UGL	105.2	2.7
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	V	WX2606X1	BIH	21-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	500.000	522.000	UGL	104.4	2.7
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	V	WX2606X1	BIH	21-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	500.000	518.000	UGL	103.6	2.7
		**********								----------	
		avg								104.9	
		minimum								103.6	
		maximum								106.4	
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	ZN	WX2606X1	BIH	21-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	500.000	531.000	UGL	106.2	2.3
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	ZN	WX2606X1	BIH	21-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	500.000	531.000	UGL	106.2	2.3
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	ZN	WX2606X1	BIH	21-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	500.000	519.000	UGL	103.8	2.3
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	ZN	WX2606X1	BIH	21-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	500.000	519.000	UGL	103.8	2.3
		**********								----------	
		avg								105.0	
		minimum								103.8	
		maximum								106.2	
NO2, NO3 IN WATER	TF22	NIT	MXG301X1	BYD	03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	150.000	170.000	UGL	113.3	6.1
NO2, NO3 IN WATER	TF22	NIT	MXG301X1	BYD	03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	150.000	160.000	UGL	106.7	6.1
NO2, NO3 IN WATER	TF22	NIT	WX2604X1	BYD	16-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	150.000	180.000	UGL	120.0	.0
NO2, NO3 IN WATER	TF22	NIT	WX2604X1	BYD	16-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	150.000	180.000	UGL	120.0	.0
NO2, NO3 IN WATER	TF22	NIT	WX2606X1	BYD	21-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	150.000	170.000	UGL	113.3	.0
NO2, NO3 IN WATER	TF22	NIT	WX2606X1	BYD	21-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	150.000	170.000	UGL	113.3	.0
NO2, NO3 IN WATER	TF22	NIT	WX3201X1	BYD	20-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	1500.000	1600.000	UGL	106.7	.0
NO2, NO3 IN WATER	TF22	NIT	WX3201X1	BYD	20-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	1500.000	1600.000	UGL	106.7	.0
		**********								----------	
		avg								112.5	
		minimum								106.7	
		maximum								120.0	
N2KJEL IN WATER	TF26	N2KJEL	MXMW06X2	SKS	23-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	4000.000	3900.000	UGL	97.5	.0
N2KJEL IN WATER	TF26	N2KJEL	MXMW06X2	SKS	23-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	4000.000	3900.000	UGL	97.5	.0
N2KJEL IN WATER	TF26	N2KJEL	WX2606X1	SKR	21-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	4000.000	4000.000	UGL	100.0	4.9
N2KJEL IN WATER	TF26	N2KJEL	WX2606X1	SKR	21-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	4000.000	3810.000	UGL	95.3	4.9
N2KJEL IN WATER	TF26	N2KJEL	WX2610X1	SKS	23-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	4000.000	4000.000	UGL	100.0	2.5

Table H2
MS/MSD Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

MATRIX SPIKES/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATES

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	Spike Value	Value	Units	Percent Recovery	RPD
N2KJEL IN WATER	TF26	N2KJEL	WX2610X1	SKS	23-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	4000.000	3900.000	UGL	97.5	2.5
N2KJEL IN WATER	TF26	N2KJEL	WX3201X1	SKR	20-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	4000.000	4100.000	UGL	102.5	2.5
N2KJEL IN WATER	TF26	N2KJEL	WX3201X1	SKR	20-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	4000.000	4000.000	UGL	100.0	2.5
		**********									
		avg								98.8	
		minimum								95.3	
		maximum								102.5	
TOT. PO4 IN WATER	TF27	PO4	MXMW01X2	ZCH	21-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	385.000	400.000	UGL	103.9	.0
TOT. PO4 IN WATER	TF27	PO4	MXMW01X2	ZCH	21-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	385.000	400.000	UGL	103.9	.0
TOT. PO4 IN WATER	TF27	PO4	MXMW06X2	ZCI	23-OCT-1992	19-NOV-1992	385.000	500.000	UGL	129.9	22.2
TOT. PO4 IN WATER	TF27	PO4	MXMW06X2	ZCI	23-OCT-1992	19-NOV-1992	385.000	400.000	UGL	103.9	22.2
TOT. PO4 IN WATER	TF27	PO4	WX2606X1	ZCH	21-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	385.000	396.000	UGL	102.9	.0
TOT. PO4 IN WATER	TF27	PO4	WX2606X1	ZCH	21-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	385.000	396.000	UGL	102.9	.0
TOT. PO4 IN WATER	TF27	PO4	WX3201X1	ZCH	20-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	385.000	396.000	UGL	102.9	.0
TOT. PO4 IN WATER	TF27	PO4	WX3201X1	ZCH	20-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	385.000	396.000	UGL	102.9	.0
		**********									
		avg								106.6	
		minimum								102.9	
		maximum								129.9	
SO4 IN WATER	TT10	CL	MXMW06X2	AKP	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	25000.000	29000.000	UGL	116.0	.0
SO4 IN WATER	TT10	CL	MXMW06X2	AKP	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	25000.000	29000.000	UGL	116.0	.0
SO4 IN WATER	TT10	CL	WX2606X1	AKP	21-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	25000.000	29000.000	UGL	116.0	.0
SO4 IN WATER	TT10	CL	WX2606X1	AKQ	21-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	25000.000	29000.000	UGL	116.0	.0
SO4 IN WATER	TT10	CL	WX2606X1	AKP	21-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	25000.000	29000.000	UGL	116.0	.0
SO4 IN WATER	TT10	CL	WX2606X1	AKQ	21-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	25000.000	29000.000	UGL	116.0	.0
SO4 IN WATER	TT10	CL	WX3201X1	AKO	20-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	25000.000	29000.000	UGL	116.0	.0
SO4 IN WATER	TT10	CL	WX3201X1	AKO	20-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	25000.000	29000.000	UGL	116.0	.0
		**********									
		avg								116.0	
		minimum								116.0	
		maximum								116.0	
SO4 IN WATER	TT10	F	WX3201X1	AKO	20-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	7500.000	6600.000	UGL	88.0	.0
SO4 IN WATER	TT10	F	WX3201X1	AKO	20-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	7500.000	6600.000	UGL	88.0	.0
		**********									
		avg								88.0	
		minimum								88.0	
		maximum								88.0	
SO4 IN WATER	TT10	SO4	MXMW06X2	AKP	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	250000.000	260000.000	UGL	104.0	.0

Table H2
MS/MSD Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

MATRIX SPIKES/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATES

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	Spike Value	Value	Units	Percent Recovery	RPD
SO4 IN WATER	TT10	SO4	MXMW06X2	AKP	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	250000.000	260000.000	UGL	104.0	.0
SO4 IN WATER	TT10	SO4	WX2606X1	AKQ	21-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	250000.000	260000.000	UGL	104.0	.0
SO4 IN WATER	TT10	SO4	WX2606X1	AKQ	21-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	250000.000	260000.000	UGL	104.0	.0
SO4 IN WATER	TT10	SO4	WX2606X1	AKP	21-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	250000.000	260000.000	UGL	104.0	.0
SO4 IN WATER	TT10	SO4	WX2606X1	AKP	21-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	250000.000	260000.000	UGL	104.0	.0
SO4 IN WATER	TT10	SO4	WX3201X1	AKO	20-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	250000.000	240000.000	UGL	96.0	.0
SO4 IN WATER	TT10	SO4	WX3201X1	AKO	20-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	250000.000	240000.000	UGL	96.0	.0
		**********								----------	
		avg								102.0	
		minimum								96.0	
		maximum								104.0	
	UF03	NC	MX2508X1	OPQ	17-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	5000.000	6460.000	UGL	129.2	5.6
	UF03	NC	MX2508X1	OPQ	17-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	5000.000	6110.000	UGL	122.2	5.6
	UF03	NC	MX2604X1	OPQ	18-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	5000.000	6530.000	UGL	130.6	4.7
	UF03	NC	MX2604X1	OPQ	18-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	5000.000	6230.000	UGL	124.6	4.7
	UF03	NC	SR2501X1	OPO	15-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	5000.000	9400.000	UGL	188.0	22.6
	UF03	NC	SR2501X1	OPO	15-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	5000.000	7490.000	UGL	149.8	22.6
	UF03	NC	WX2606X1	OPP	21-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	5000.000	8500.000	UGL	170.0	15.2
	UF03	NC	WX2606X1	OPP	21-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	5000.000	8000.000	UGL	160.0	15.2
	UF03	NC	WX2606X1	OPP	21-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	5000.000	7700.000	UGL	154.0	15.2
	UF03	NC	WX2606X1	OPP	21-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	5000.000	7300.000	UGL	146.0	15.2
		**********								----------	
		avg								147.4	
		minimum								122.2	
		maximum								188.0	
	UH02	CL10BP	MX2604X1	CEF	18-NOV-1992	06-DEC-1992	1.300	1.000	UGL	76.9	45.3
	UH02	CL10BP	MX2604X1	CEF	18-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	1.300	0.820	UGL	63.1	45.3
	UH02	CL10BP	MX2604X1	CEF	18-NOV-1992	06-DEC-1992	1.300	0.630	UGL	48.5	45.3
	UH02	CL10BP	MX3202X1	CEF	19-NOV-1992	06-DEC-1992	1.300	0.670	UGL	51.5	44.5
	UH02	CL10BP	MX3202X1	CEF	19-NOV-1992	06-DEC-1992	1.300	0.440	UGL	33.8	44.5
	UH02	CL10BP	MX3202X1	CEF	19-NOV-1992	06-DEC-1992	1.300	0.440	UGL	33.8	44.5
	UH02	CL10BP	WX2606X1	ADZ	21-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	2.000	0.900	UGL	45.0	34.3
	UH02	CL10BP	WX2606X1	ADZ	21-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	2.000	0.750	UGL	37.5	34.3
	UH02	CL10BP	WX2606X1	ADZ	21-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	1.300	0.430	UGL	33.1	34.3
	UH02	CL10BP	WX2606X1	ADZ	21-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	1.300	0.420	UGL	32.3	34.3
	UH02	CL10BP	WX3201X1	ADY	20-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	1.300	0.750	UGL	57.7	9.7
	UH02	CL10BP	WX3201X1	ADY	20-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	1.300	0.740	UGL	56.9	9.7
	UH02	CL10BP	WX3201X1	ADY	20-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	1.300	0.680	UGL	52.3	9.7
		**********								----------	
		avg								47.9	

Table H2
MS/MSD Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

MATRIX SPIKES/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATES

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	Spike Value	Value	Units	Percent Recovery	RPD
		minimum								32.3	
		maximum								76.9	
	UH02	PCB016	MX2604X1	CEF	18-NOV-1992	06-DEC-1992	3.750	3.510	UGL	93.6	46.7
	UH02	PCB016	MX2604X1	CEF	18-NOV-1992	06-DEC-1992	3.750	2.180	UGL	58.1	46.7
	UH02	PCB016	MX3202X1	CEF	19-NOV-1992	06-DEC-1992	3.750	2.660	UGL	70.9	9.4
	UH02	PCB016	MX3202X1	CEF	19-NOV-1992	06-DEC-1992	3.750	2.420	UGL	64.5	9.4
	UH02	PCB016	WX2606X1	ADZ	21-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	6.000	6.540	UGL	109.0	3.7
	UH02	PCB016	WX2606X1	ADZ	21-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	6.000	6.300	UGL	105.0	3.7
	UH02	PCB016	WX3201X1	ADY	20-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	3.750	3.510	UGL	93.6	14.7
	UH02	PCB016	WX3201X1	ADY	20-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	3.750	3.030	UGL	80.8	14.7
		**********								----------	
		avg								84.4	
		minimum								58.1	
		maximum								109.0	
	UH02	PCB260	MX2604X1	CEF	18-NOV-1992	06-DEC-1992	3.750	3.280	UGL	87.5	39.9
	UH02	PCB260	MX2604X1	CEF	18-NOV-1992	06-DEC-1992	3.750	2.190	UGL	58.4	39.9
	UH02	PCB260	MX3202X1	CEF	19-NOV-1992	06-DEC-1992	3.750	1.970	UGL	52.5	.0
	UH02	PCB260	MX3202X1	CEF	19-NOV-1992	06-DEC-1992	3.750	1.970	UGL	52.5	.0
	UH02	PCB260	WX2606X1	ADZ	21-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	6.000	5.360	UGL	89.3	10.8
	UH02	PCB260	WX2606X1	ADZ	21-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	6.000	4.810	UGL	80.2	10.8
	UH02	PCB260	WX3201X1	ADY	20-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	3.750	3.720	UGL	99.2	6.1
	UH02	PCB260	WX3201X1	ADY	20-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	3.750	3.500	UGL	93.3	6.1
		**********								----------	
		avg								76.6	
		minimum								52.5	
		maximum								99.2	
	UH13	AENSLF	MX2604X1	BAV	18-NOV-1992	17-DEC-1992	0.500	0.467	UGL	93.4	25.9
	UH13	AENSLF	MX2604X1	BAV	18-NOV-1992	17-DEC-1992	0.500	0.360	UGL	72.0	25.9
	UH13	AENSLF	MX3202X1	BAV	19-NOV-1992	17-DEC-1992	0.500	0.459	UGL	91.8	8.6
	UH13	AENSLF	MX3202X1	BAV	19-NOV-1992	17-DEC-1992	0.500	0.421	UGL	84.2	8.6
	UH13	AENSLF	WX2606X1	BAO	21-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.800	0.707	UGL	88.4	4.5
	UH13	AENSLF	WX2606X1	BAO	21-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.800	0.676	UGL	84.5	4.5
	UH13	AENSLF	WX3201X1	BAM	20-OCT-1992	06-NOV-1992	0.500	0.526	UGL	105.2	2.3
	UH13	AENSLF	WX3201X1	BAM	20-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	0.500	0.514	UGL	102.8	2.3
		**********								----------	
		avg								90.3	
		minimum								72.0	
		maximum								105.2	
	UH13	ALDRN	MX2604X1	BAV	18-NOV-1992	17-DEC-1992	0.500	0.394	UGL	78.8	15.9

Table H2
MS/MSD Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

MATRIX SPIKES/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATES

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	Spike Value	Value	Units	Percent Recovery	RPD
	UH13	ALDRN	MX2604X1	BAV	18-NOV-1992	17-DEC-1992	0.500	0.336	UGL	67.2	15.9
	UH13	ALDRN	MX3202X1	BAV	19-NOV-1992	17-DEC-1992	0.500	0.393	UGL	78.6	19.2
	UH13	ALDRN	MX3202X1	BAV	19-NOV-1992	17-DEC-1992	0.500	0.324	UGL	64.8	19.2
	UH13	ALDRN	WX2606X1	BAO	21-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.800	0.807	UGL	100.9	1.0
	UH13	ALDRN	WX2606X1	BAO	21-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.800	0.799	UGL	99.9	1.0
	UH13	ALDRN	WX3201X1	BAM	20-OCT-1992	06-NOV-1992	0.500	0.649	UGL	129.8	7.5
	UH13	ALDRN	WX3201X1	BAM	20-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	0.500	0.602	UGL	120.4	7.5
		**********								---	
		avg								92.5	
		minimum								64.8	
		maximum								129.8	
	UH13	BENSLF	MX2604X1	BAV	18-NOV-1992	17-DEC-1992	0.500	0.314	UGL	62.8	31.3
	UH13	BENSLF	MX2604X1	BAV	18-NOV-1992	17-DEC-1992	0.500	0.229	UGL	45.8	31.3
	UH13	BENSLF	MX3202X1	BAV	19-NOV-1992	17-DEC-1992	0.500	0.317	UGL	63.4	1.6
	UH13	BENSLF	MX3202X1	BAV	19-NOV-1992	17-DEC-1992	0.500	0.312	UGL	62.4	1.6
	UH13	BENSLF	WX2606X1	BAO	21-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.800	0.578	UGL	72.3	5.7
	UH13	BENSLF	WX2606X1	BAO	21-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.800	0.546	UGL	68.3	5.7
	UH13	BENSLF	WX3201X1	BAM	20-OCT-1992	06-NOV-1992	0.500	0.449	UGL	89.8	2.0
	UH13	BENSLF	WX3201X1	BAM	20-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	0.500	0.440	UGL	88.0	2.0
		**********								---	
		avg								69.1	
		minimum								45.8	
		maximum								89.8	
	UH13	CL10BP	MX2604X1	BAV	18-NOV-1992	17-DEC-1992	1.300	0.810	UGL	62.3	29.3
	UH13	CL10BP	MX2604X1	BAV	18-NOV-1992	18-DEC-1992	1.300	0.740	UGL	56.9	29.3
	UH13	CL10BP	MX2604X1	BAV	18-NOV-1992	17-DEC-1992	1.300	0.600	UGL	46.2	29.3
	UH13	CL10BP	MX3202X1	BAV	19-NOV-1992	17-DEC-1992	1.300	0.760	UGL	58.5	23.5
	UH13	CL10BP	MX3202X1	BAV	19-NOV-1992	17-DEC-1992	1.300	0.680	UGL	52.3	23.5
	UH13	CL10BP	MX3202X1	BAV	19-NOV-1992	17-DEC-1992	1.300	0.600	UGL	46.2	23.5
	UH13	CL10BP	WX2606X1	BAO	21-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	2.000	1.100	UGL	55.0	83.1
	UH13	CL10BP	WX2606X1	BAO	21-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	2.000	1.000	UGL	50.0	83.1
	UH13	CL10BP	WX2606X1	BAO	21-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	1.300	0.450	UGL	34.6	83.1
	UH13	CL10BP	WX2606X1	BAO	21-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	1.300	0.280	UGL	21.5	83.1
	UH13	CL10BP	WX3201X1	BAM	20-OCT-1992	06-NOV-1992	1.300	0.970	UGL	74.6	32.6
	UH13	CL10BP	WX3201X1	BAM	20-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	1.300	0.920	UGL	70.8	32.6
	UH13	CL10BP	WX3201X1	BAM	20-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	1.300	0.690	UGL	53.1	32.6
		**********								---	
		avg								52.5	
		minimum								21.5	
		maximum								74.6	
	UH13	CL4XYL	MX2604X1	BAV	18-NOV-1992	17-DEC-1992	1.300	0.741	UGL	57.0	8.5

Table H2
MS/MSD Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

MATRIX SPIKES/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATES

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	Spike Value	Value	Units	Percent Recovery	RPD
	UH13	CL4XYL	MX2604X1	BAV	18-NOV-1992	18-DEC-1992	1.300	0.694	UGL	53.4	8.5
	UH13	CL4XYL	MX2604X1	BAV	18-NOV-1992	17-DEC-1992	1.300	0.681	UGL	52.4	8.5
	UH13	CL4XYL	MX3202X1	BAV	19-NOV-1992	17-DEC-1992	1.300	0.590	UGL	45.4	17.4
	UH13	CL4XYL	MX3202X1	BAV	19-NOV-1992	17-DEC-1992	1.300	0.535	UGL	41.2	17.4
	UH13	CL4XYL	MX3202X1	BAV	19-NOV-1992	17-DEC-1992	1.300	0.496	UGL	38.2	17.4
	UH13	CL4XYL	WX2606X1	BAO	21-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	2.000	1.310	UGL	65.5	11.6
	UH13	CL4XYL	WX2606X1	BAO	21-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	2.000	1.260	UGL	63.0	11.6
	UH13	CL4XYL	WX2606X1	BAO	21-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	1.300	0.801	UGL	61.6	11.6
	UH13	CL4XYL	WX2606X1	BAO	21-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	1.300	0.758	UGL	58.3	11.6
	UH13	CL4XYL	WX3201X1	BAM	20-OCT-1992	06-NOV-1992	1.300	1.130	UGL	86.9	8.2
	UH13	CL4XYL	WX3201X1	BAM	20-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	1.300	1.110	UGL	85.4	8.2
	UH13	CL4XYL	WX3201X1	BAM	20-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	1.300	1.040	UGL	80.0	8.2
		**********								---	
		avg								60.6	
		minimum								38.2	
		maximum								86.9	
	UH13	DLDRN	MX2604X1	BAV	18-NOV-1992	17-DEC-1992	0.500	0.327	UGL	65.4	26.7
	UH13	DLDRN	MX2604X1	BAV	18-NOV-1992	17-DEC-1992	0.500	0.250	UGL	50.0	26.7
	UH13	DLDRN	MX3202X1	BAV	19-NOV-1992	17-DEC-1992	0.500	0.311	UGL	62.2	.6
	UH13	DLDRN	MX3202X1	BAV	19-NOV-1992	17-DEC-1992	0.500	0.309	UGL	61.8	.6
	UH13	DLDRN	WX2606X1	BAO	21-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.800	0.689	UGL	86.1	3.2
	UH13	DLDRN	WX2606X1	BAO	21-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.800	0.667	UGL	83.4	3.2
	UH13	DLDRN	WX3201X1	BAM	20-OCT-1992	06-NOV-1992	0.500	0.544	UGL	108.8	3.7
	UH13	DLDRN	WX3201X1	BAM	20-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	0.500	0.524	UGL	104.8	3.7
		**********								---	
		avg								77.8	
		minimum								50.0	
		maximum								108.8	
	UH13	ENDRN	MX2604X1	BAV	18-NOV-1992	17-DEC-1992	0.500	0.570	UGL	114.0	42.6
	UH13	ENDRN	MX2604X1	BAV	18-NOV-1992	17-DEC-1992	0.500	0.370	UGL	74.0	42.6
	UH13	ENDRN	MX3202X1	BAV	19-NOV-1992	17-DEC-1992	0.500	0.564	UGL	112.8	1.1
	UH13	ENDRN	MX3202X1	BAV	19-NOV-1992	17-DEC-1992	0.500	0.558	UGL	111.6	1.1
	UH13	ENDRN	WX2606X1	BAO	21-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.800	0.587	UGL	73.4	6.9
	UH13	ENDRN	WX2606X1	BAO	21-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.800	0.548	UGL	68.5	6.9
	UH13	ENDRN	WX3201X1	BAM	20-OCT-1992	06-NOV-1992	0.500	0.552	UGL	110.4	1.8
	UH13	ENDRN	WX3201X1	BAM	20-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	0.500	0.542	UGL	108.4	1.8
		**********								---	
		avg								96.6	
		minimum								68.5	
		maximum								114.0	
	UH13	HPCL	MX2604X1	BAV	18-NOV-1992	17-DEC-1992	0.500	0.372	UGL	74.4	21.4

Table H2
MS/MSD Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

MATRIX SPIKES/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATES

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	Spike Value	Value	Units	Percent Recovery	RPD
	UH13	HPCL	MX2604X1	BAV	18-NOV-1992	17-DEC-1992	0.500	0.300	UGL	60.0	21.4
	UH13	HPCL	MX3202X1	BAV	19-NOV-1992	17-DEC-1992	0.500	0.382	UGL	76.4	5.1
	UH13	HPCL	MX3202X1	BAV	19-NOV-1992	17-DEC-1992	0.500	0.363	UGL	72.6	5.1
	UH13	HPCL	WX2606X1	BAO	21-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.800	0.754	UGL	94.3	5.0
	UH13	HPCL	WX2606X1	BAO	21-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.800	0.717	UGL	89.6	5.0
	UH13	HPCL	WX3201X1	BAM	20-OCT-1992	06-NOV-1992	0.500	0.632	UGL	126.4	4.4
	UH13	HPCL	WX3201X1	BAM	20-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	0.500	0.605	UGL	121.0	4.4
		**********								---	
		avg								89.3	
		minimum								60.0	
		maximum								126.4	
	UH13	ISODR	MX2604X1	BAV	18-NOV-1992	17-DEC-1992	1.000	0.755	UGL	75.5	17.6
	UH13	ISODR	MX2604X1	BAV	18-NOV-1992	17-DEC-1992	1.000	0.633	UGL	63.3	17.6
	UH13	ISODR	MX3202X1	BAV	19-NOV-1992	17-DEC-1992	1.000	0.716	UGL	71.6	21.3
	UH13	ISODR	MX3202X1	BAV	19-NOV-1992	17-DEC-1992	1.000	0.578	UGL	57.8	21.3
	UH13	ISODR	WX2606X1	BAO	21-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	1.600	1.310	UGL	81.9	4.7
	UH13	ISODR	WX2606X1	BAO	21-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	1.600	1.250	UGL	78.1	4.7
	UH13	ISODR	WX3201X1	BAM	20-OCT-1992	06-NOV-1992	1.000	0.974	UGL	97.4	6.4
	UH13	ISODR	WX3201X1	BAM	20-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	1.000	0.914	UGL	91.4	6.4
		**********								---	
		avg								77.1	
		minimum								57.8	
		maximum								97.4	
	UH13	LIN	MX2604X1	BAV	18-NOV-1992	17-DEC-1992	0.500	0.378	UGL	75.6	22.0
	UH13	LIN	MX2604X1	BAV	18-NOV-1992	17-DEC-1992	0.500	0.303	UGL	60.6	22.0
	UH13	LIN	MX3202X1	BAV	19-NOV-1992	17-DEC-1992	0.500	0.404	UGL	80.8	3.0
	UH13	LIN	MX3202X1	BAV	19-NOV-1992	17-DEC-1992	0.500	0.392	UGL	78.4	3.0
	UH13	LIN	WX2606X1	BAO	21-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.800	0.654	UGL	81.8	5.7
	UH13	LIN	WX2606X1	BAO	21-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.800	0.618	UGL	77.3	5.7
	UH13	LIN	WX3201X1	BAM	20-OCT-1992	06-NOV-1992	0.500	0.478	UGL	95.6	3.4
	UH13	LIN	WX3201X1	BAM	20-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	0.500	0.462	UGL	92.4	3.4
		**********								---	
		avg								80.3	
		minimum								60.6	
		maximum								95.6	
	UH13	MEXCLR	MX2604X1	BAV	18-NOV-1992	17-DEC-1992	1.000	0.992	UGL	99.2	46.6
	UH13	MEXCLR	MX2604X1	BAV	18-NOV-1992	17-DEC-1992	1.000	0.617	UGL	61.7	46.6
	UH13	MEXCLR	MX3202X1	BAV	19-NOV-1992	17-DEC-1992	1.000	0.913	UGL	91.3	3.6
	UH13	MEXCLR	MX3202X1	BAV	19-NOV-1992	17-DEC-1992	1.000	0.881	UGL	88.1	3.6
	UH13	MEXCLR	WX2606X1	BAO	21-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	1.600	1.110	UGL	69.4	.9
	UH13	MEXCLR	WX2606X1	BAO	21-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	1.600	1.100	UGL	68.8	.9

Table H2
MS/MSD Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

MATRIX SPIKES/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATES

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	Spike Value	Value	Units	Percent Recovery	RPD
	UH13	MEXCLR	WX3201X1	BAM	20-OCT-1992	06-NOV-1992	1.000	1.260	UGL	126.0	.8
	UH13	MEXCLR	WX3201X1	BAM	20-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	1.000	1.250	UGL	125.0	.8
		**********									
		avg								91.2	
		minimum								61.7	
		maximum								126.0	
	UH13	PPDDT	MX2604X1	BAV	18-NOV-1992	17-DEC-1992	0.500	0.446	UGL	89.2	41.1
	UH13	PPDDT	MX2604X1	BAV	18-NOV-1992	17-DEC-1992	0.500	0.294	UGL	58.8	41.1
	UH13	PPDDT	MX3202X1	BAV	19-NOV-1992	17-DEC-1992	0.500	0.428	UGL	85.6	2.1
	UH13	PPDDT	MX3202X1	BAV	19-NOV-1992	17-DEC-1992	0.500	0.419	UGL	83.8	2.1
	UH13	PPDDT	WX2606X1	BAO	21-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.800	0.688	UGL	86.0	2.5
	UH13	PPDDT	WX2606X1	BAO	21-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	0.800	0.671	UGL	83.9	2.5
	UH13	PPDDT	WX3201X1	BAM	20-OCT-1992	06-NOV-1992	0.500	0.702	UGL	140.4	2.0
	UH13	PPDDT	WX3201X1	BAM	20-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	0.500	0.688	UGL	137.6	2.0
		**********									
		avg								95.7	
		minimum								58.8	
		maximum								140.4	
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	124TCB	MX2604X1	CKC	18-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	50.000	45.000	UGL	90.0	6.9
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	124TCB	MX2604X1	CKC	18-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	50.000	42.000	UGL	84.0	6.9
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	124TCB	MX3202X1	CKC	19-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	50.000	44.000	UGL	88.0	9.5
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	124TCB	MX3202X1	CKC	19-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	50.000	40.000	UGL	80.0	9.5
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	124TCB	WX2606X1	AVR	21-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	50.000	46.000	UGL	92.0	2.2
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	124TCB	WX2606X1	AVR	21-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	50.000	45.000	UGL	90.0	2.2
		**********									
		avg								87.3	
		minimum								80.0	
		maximum								92.0	
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	14DCLB	MX2604X1	CKC	18-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	50.000	45.000	UGL	90.0	6.9
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	14DCLB	MX2604X1	CKC	18-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	50.000	42.000	UGL	84.0	6.9
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	14DCLB	MX3202X1	CKC	19-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	50.000	43.000	UGL	86.0	2.4
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	14DCLB	MX3202X1	CKC	19-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	50.000	42.000	UGL	84.0	2.4
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	14DCLB	WX2606X1	AVR	21-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	50.000	46.000	UGL	92.0	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	14DCLB	WX2606X1	AVR	21-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	50.000	46.000	UGL	92.0	.0
		**********									
		avg								88.0	
		minimum								84.0	
		maximum								92.0	
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	246TBP	MX2604X1	CKC	18-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	100.000	64.000	UGL	64.0	6.4

Table H2
MS/MSD Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

MATRIX SPIKES/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATES

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	Spike Value	Value	Units	Percent Recovery	RPD
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	246TBP	MX2604X1	CKC	18-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	100.000	63.000	UGL	63.0	6.4
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	246TBP	MX2604X1	CKC	18-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	100.000	60.000	UGL	60.0	6.4
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	246TBP	MX3202X1	CKC	19-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	100.000	56.000	UGL	56.0	17.5
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	246TBP	MX3202X1	CKC	19-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	100.000	51.000	UGL	51.0	17.5
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	246TBP	MX3202X1	CKC	19-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	100.000	47.000	UGL	47.0	17.5
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	246TBP	WX2606X1	AVR	21-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	100.000	79.000	UGL	79.0	10.7
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	246TBP	WX2606X1	AVR	21-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	100.000	75.000	UGL	75.0	10.7
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	246TBP	WX2606X1	AVR	21-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	100.000	75.000	UGL	75.0	10.7
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	246TBP	WX2606X1	AVR	21-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	100.000	71.000	UGL	71.0	10.7
		**********								---	
		avg								64.1	
		minimum								47.0	
		maximum								79.0	
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	24DNT	MX2604X1	CKC	18-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	50.000	48.000	UGL	96.0	6.5
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	24DNT	MX2604X1	CKC	18-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	50.000	45.000	UGL	90.0	6.5
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	24DNT	MX3202X1	CKC	19-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	50.000	48.000	UGL	96.0	6.5
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	24DNT	MX3202X1	CKC	19-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	50.000	45.000	UGL	90.0	6.5
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	24DNT	WX2606X1	AVR	21-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	50.000	45.000	UGL	90.0	19.5
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	24DNT	WX2606X1	AVR	21-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	50.000	37.000	UGL	74.0	19.5
		**********								---	
		avg								89.3	
		minimum								74.0	
		maximum								96.0	
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	2CLP	MX2604X1	CKC	18-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	100.000	92.000	UGL	92.0	3.3
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	2CLP	MX2604X1	CKC	18-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	100.000	89.000	UGL	89.0	3.3
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	2CLP	MX3202X1	CKC	19-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	100.000	81.000	UGL	81.0	3.8
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	2CLP	MX3202X1	CKC	19-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	100.000	78.000	UGL	78.0	3.8
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	2CLP	WX2606X1	AVR	21-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	100.000	85.000	UGL	85.0	3.6
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	2CLP	WX2606X1	AVR	21-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	100.000	82.000	UGL	82.0	3.6
		**********								---	
		avg								84.5	
		minimum								78.0	
		maximum								92.0	
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	2FBP	MX2604X1	CKC	18-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	50.000	43.000	UGL	86.0	22.5
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	2FBP	MX2604X1	CKC	18-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	50.000	43.000	UGL	86.0	22.5
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	2FBP	MX2604X1	CKC	18-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	50.000	34.000	UGL	68.0	22.5
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	2FBP	MX3202X1	CKC	19-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	50.000	45.000	UGL	90.0	19.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	2FBP	MX3202X1	CKC	19-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	50.000	44.000	UGL	88.0	19.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	2FBP	MX3202X1	CKC	19-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	50.000	37.000	UGL	74.0	19.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	2FBP	WX2606X1	AVR	21-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	50.000	47.000	UGL	94.0	8.9
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	2FBP	WX2606X1	AVR	21-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	50.000	45.000	UGL	90.0	8.9

Table H2
MS/MSD Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

MATRIX SPIKES/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATES

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	Spike Value	Value	Units	Percent Recovery	RPD
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	2FBP	WX2606X1	AVR	21-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	50.000	44.000	UGL	88.0	8.9
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	2FBP	WX2606X1	AVR	21-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	50.000	43.000	UGL	86.0	8.9
		**********								----	
		avg								85.0	
		minimum								68.0	
		maximum								94.0	
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	2FP	MX2604X1	CKC	18-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	100.000	99.000	UGL	99.0	16.6
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	2FP	MX2604X1	CKC	18-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	100.000	88.000	UGL	88.0	16.6
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	2FP	MX2604X1	CKC	18-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	100.000	84.000	UGL	84.0	16.6
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	2FP	MX3202X1	CKC	19-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	100.000	78.000	UGL	78.0	24.2
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	2FP	MX3202X1	CKC	19-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	100.000	72.000	UGL	72.0	24.2
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	2FP	MX3202X1	CKC	19-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	100.000	61.000	UGL	61.0	24.2
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	2FP	WX2606X1	AVR	21-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	100.000	97.000	UGL	97.0	6.3
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	2FP	WX2606X1	AVR	21-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	100.000	96.000	UGL	96.0	6.3
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	2FP	WX2606X1	AVR	21-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	100.000	96.000	UGL	96.0	6.3
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	2FP	WX2606X1	AVR	21-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	100.000	91.000	UGL	91.0	6.3
		**********								----	
		avg								86.2	
		minimum								61.0	
		maximum								99.0	
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	4CL3C	MX2604X1	CKC	18-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	100.000	96.000	UGL	96.0	2.1
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	4CL3C	MX2604X1	CKC	18-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	100.000	94.000	UGL	94.0	2.1
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	4CL3C	MX3202X1	CKC	19-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	100.000	72.000	UGL	72.0	1.4
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	4CL3C	MX3202X1	CKC	19-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	100.000	71.000	UGL	71.0	1.4
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	4CL3C	WX2606X1	AVR	21-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	100.000	92.000	UGL	92.0	3.3
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	4CL3C	WX2606X1	AVR	21-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	100.000	89.000	UGL	89.0	3.3
		**********								----	
		avg								85.7	
		minimum								71.0	
		maximum								96.0	
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	4NP	MX2604X1	CKC	18-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	100.000	66.000	UGL	66.0	25.6
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	4NP	MX2604X1	CKC	18-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	100.000	51.000	UGL	51.0	25.6
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	4NP	MX3202X1	CKC	19-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	100.000	86.000	UGL	86.0	21.9
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	4NP	MX3202X1	CKC	19-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	100.000	69.000	UGL	69.0	21.9
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	4NP	WX2606X1	AVR	21-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	100.000	69.000	UGL	69.0	19.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	4NP	WX2606X1	AVR	21-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	100.000	57.000	UGL	57.0	19.0
		**********								----	
		avg								66.3	
		minimum								51.0	
		maximum								86.0	

Table H2
MS/MSD Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

MATRIX SPIKES/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATES

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	Spike Value	Value	Units	Percent Recovery	RPD
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	ANAPNE	MX2604X1	CKC	18-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	50.000	42.000	UGL	84.0	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	ANAPNE	MX2604X1	CKC	18-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	50.000	42.000	UGL	84.0	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	ANAPNE	MX3202X1	CKC	19-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	50.000	43.000	UGL	86.0	2.4
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	ANAPNE	MX3202X1	CKC	19-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	50.000	42.000	UGL	84.0	2.4
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	ANAPNE	WX2606X1	AVR	21-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	50.000	45.000	UGL	90.0	9.3
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	ANAPNE	WX2606X1	AVR	21-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	50.000	41.000	UGL	82.0	9.3
		**********								---	
		avg								85.0	
		minimum								82.0	
		maximum								90.0	
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	NBD5	MX2604X1	CKC	18-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	50.000	44.000	UGL	88.0	16.9
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	NBD5	MX2604X1	CKC	18-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	50.000	43.000	UGL	86.0	16.9
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	NBD5	MX2604X1	CKC	18-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	50.000	37.000	UGL	74.0	16.9
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	NBD5	MX3202X1	CKC	19-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	50.000	43.000	UGL	86.0	9.8
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	NBD5	MX3202X1	CKC	19-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	50.000	41.000	UGL	82.0	9.8
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	NBD5	MX3202X1	CKC	19-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	50.000	39.000	UGL	78.0	9.8
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	NBD5	WX2606X1	AVR	21-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	50.000	49.000	UGL	98.0	8.6
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	NBD5	WX2606X1	AVR	21-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	50.000	47.000	UGL	94.0	8.6
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	NBD5	WX2606X1	AVR	21-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	50.000	46.000	UGL	92.0	8.6
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	NBD5	WX2606X1	AVR	21-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	50.000	45.000	UGL	90.0	8.6
		**********								---	
		avg								86.8	
		minimum								74.0	
		maximum								98.0	
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	NNDNPA	MX2604X1	CKC	18-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	50.000	34.000	UGL	68.0	6.1
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	NNDNPA	MX2604X1	CKC	18-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	50.000	32.000	UGL	64.0	6.1
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	NNDNPA	MX3202X1	CKC	19-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	50.000	35.000	UGL	70.0	2.9
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	NNDNPA	MX3202X1	CKC	19-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	50.000	34.000	UGL	68.0	2.9
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	NNDNPA	WX2606X1	AVR	21-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	50.000	36.000	UGL	72.0	8.7
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	NNDNPA	WX2606X1	AVR	21-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	50.000	33.000	UGL	66.0	8.7
		**********								---	
		avg								68.0	
		minimum								64.0	
		maximum								72.0	
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	PCP	MX2604X1	CKC	18-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	100.000	45.000	UGL	45.0	22.2
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	PCP	MX2604X1	CKC	18-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	100.000	36.000	UGL	36.0	22.2
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	PCP	MX3202X1	CKC	19-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	100.000	57.000	UGL	57.0	5.4
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	PCP	MX3202X1	CKC	19-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	100.000	54.000	UGL	54.0	5.4
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	PCP	WX2606X1	AVR	21-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	100.000	79.000	UGL	79.0	5.2
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	PCP	WX2606X1	AVR	21-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	100.000	75.000	UGL	75.0	5.2
		**********								---	

Table H2
MS/MSD Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

MATRIX SPIKES/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATES

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	Spike Value	Value	Units	Percent Recovery	RPD
		avg								57.7	
		minimum								36.0	
		maximum								79.0	
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	PHEND6	MX2604X1	CKC	18-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	100.000	110.000	UGL	110.0	33.3
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	PHEND6	MX2604X1	CKC	18-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	100.000	100.000	UGL	100.0	33.3
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	PHEND6	MX2604X1	CKC	18-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	100.000	78.000	UGL	78.0	33.3
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	PHEND6	MX3202X1	CKC	19-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	100.000	88.000	UGL	88.0	76.5
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	PHEND6	MX3202X1	CKC	19-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	100.000	80.000	UGL	80.0	76.5
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	PHEND6	MX3202X1	CKC	19-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	100.000	36.000	UGL	36.0	76.5
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	PHEND6	WX2606X1	AVR	21-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	100.000	94.000	UGL	94.0	8.7
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	PHEND6	WX2606X1	AVR	21-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	100.000	94.000	UGL	94.0	8.7
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	PHEND6	WX2606X1	AVR	21-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	100.000	92.000	UGL	92.0	8.7
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	PHEND6	WX2606X1	AVR	21-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	100.000	86.000	UGL	86.0	8.7
		**********								----------	
		avg								85.8	
		minimum								36.0	
		maximum								110.0	
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	PHENOL	MX2604X1	CKC	18-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	100.000	110.000	UGL	110.0	9.5
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	PHENOL	MX2604X1	CKC	18-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	100.000	100.000	UGL	100.0	9.5
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	PHENOL	MX3202X1	CKC	19-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	100.000	90.000	UGL	90.0	13.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	PHENOL	MX3202X1	CKC	19-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	100.000	79.000	UGL	79.0	13.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	PHENOL	WX2606X1	AVR	21-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	100.000	87.000	UGL	87.0	2.3
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	PHENOL	WX2606X1	AVR	21-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	100.000	85.000	UGL	85.0	2.3
		**********								----------	
		avg								91.8	
		minimum								79.0	
		maximum								110.0	
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	PYR	MX2604X1	CKC	18-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	50.000	43.000	UGL	86.0	4.8
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	PYR	MX2604X1	CKC	18-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	50.000	41.000	UGL	82.0	4.8
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	PYR	MX3202X1	CKC	19-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	50.000	42.000	UGL	84.0	2.4
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	PYR	MX3202X1	CKC	19-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	50.000	41.000	UGL	82.0	2.4
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	PYR	WX2606X1	AVR	21-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	50.000	53.000	UGL	106.0	9.9
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	PYR	WX2606X1	AVR	21-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	50.000	48.000	UGL	96.0	9.9
		**********								----------	
		avg								89.3	
		minimum								82.0	
		maximum								106.0	
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	TRPD14	MX2604X1	CKC	18-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	50.000	48.000	UGL	96.0	2.1
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	TRPD14	MX2604X1	CKC	18-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	50.000	47.000	UGL	94.0	2.1
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	TRPD14	MX2604X1	CKC	18-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	50.000	47.000	UGL	94.0	2.1

Table H2
MS/MSD Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

MATRIX SPIKES/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATES

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	Spike Value	Value	Units	Percent Recovery	RPD
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	TRPD14	MX3202X1	CKC	19-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	50.000	49.000	UGL	98.0	2.1
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	TRPD14	MX3202X1	CKC	19-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	50.000	48.000	UGL	96.0	2.1
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	TRPD14	MX3202X1	CKC	19-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	50.000	48.000	UGL	96.0	2.1
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	TRPD14	WX2606X1	AVR	21-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	50.000	60.000	UGL	120.0	16.1
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	TRPD14	WX2606X1	AVR	21-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	50.000	57.000	UGL	114.0	16.1
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	TRPD14	WX2606X1	AVR	21-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	50.000	56.000	UGL	112.0	16.1
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	TRPD14	WX2606X1	AVR	21-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	50.000	51.000	UGL	102.0	16.1
		**********								----------	
		avg								102.2	
		minimum								94.0	
		maximum								120.0	
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	11DCE	BR2501X1	BOH	15-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	50.000	54.000	UGL	108.0	32.3
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	11DCE	BR2501X1	BOH	15-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	50.000	39.000	UGL	78.0	32.3
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	11DCE	MX2604X1	BOZ	18-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	50.000	42.000	UGL	84.0	2.4
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	11DCE	MX2604X1	BOZ	18-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	50.000	41.000	UGL	82.0	2.4
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	11DCE	MX3202X1	CMA	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	50.000	44.000	UGL	88.0	12.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	11DCE	MX3202X1	CMA	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	50.000	39.000	UGL	78.0	12.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	11DCE	WX2606X1	BOJ	21-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	50.000	43.000	UGL	86.0	4.8
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	11DCE	WX2606X1	BOJ	21-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	50.000	42.000	UGL	84.0	4.8
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	11DCE	WX2606X1	BOL	21-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	50.000	41.000	UGL	82.0	4.8
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	11DCE	WX2606X1	BOL	21-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	50.000	41.000	UGL	82.0	4.8
		**********								----------	
		avg								85.2	
		minimum								78.0	
		maximum								108.0	
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	12DCD4	BR2501X1	BOH	15-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	50.000	54.000	UGL	108.0	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	12DCD4	BR2501X1	BOH	15-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	50.000	54.000	UGL	108.0	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	12DCD4	BR2501X1	BOH	15-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	50.000	54.000	UGL	108.0	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	12DCD4	DV2601X1	BOL	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	50.000	54.000	UGL	108.0	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	12DCD4	DV2601X1	BOG	16-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	50.000	54.000	UGL	108.0	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	12DCD4	MX2604X1	BOZ	18-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	50.000	54.000	UGL	108.0	1.9
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	12DCD4	MX2604X1	BOZ	18-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	50.000	54.000	UGL	108.0	1.9
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	12DCD4	MX2604X1	BOZ	18-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	50.000	53.000	UGL	106.0	1.9
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	12DCD4	MX3202X1	CMA	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	50.000	50.000	UGL	100.0	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	12DCD4	MX3202X1	CMA	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	50.000	50.000	UGL	100.0	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	12DCD4	MX3202X1	CMA	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	50.000	50.000	UGL	100.0	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	12DCD4	WX2606X1	BOJ	21-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	50.000	57.000	UGL	114.0	5.4
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	12DCD4	WX2606X1	BOL	21-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	50.000	56.000	UGL	112.0	5.4
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	12DCD4	WX2606X1	BOL	21-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	50.000	56.000	UGL	112.0	5.4
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	12DCD4	WX2606X1	BOJ	21-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	50.000	56.000	UGL	112.0	5.4
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	12DCD4	WX2606X1	BOJ	21-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	50.000	56.000	UGL	112.0	5.4

Table H2
MS/MSD Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

MATRIX SPIKES/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATES

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	Spike Value	Value	Units	Percent Recovery	RPD
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	12DCD4	WX2606X1	BOJ	21-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	50.000	56.000	UGL	112.0	5.4
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	12DCD4	WX2606X1	BOL	21-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	50.000	54.000	UGL	108.0	5.4
		**********								----------	
		avg								108.0	
		minimum								100.0	
		maximum								114.0	
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	4BFB	BR2501X1	BOH	15-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	50.000	46.000	UGL	92.0	2.2
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	4BFB	BR2501X1	BOH	15-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	50.000	45.000	UGL	90.0	2.2
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	4BFB	BR2501X1	BOH	15-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	50.000	45.000	UGL	90.0	2.2
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	4BFB	DV2601X1	BOL	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	50.000	46.000	UGL	92.0	4.4
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	4BFB	DV2601X1	BOG	16-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	50.000	44.000	UGL	88.0	4.4
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	4BFB	MX2604X1	BOZ	18-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	50.000	46.000	UGL	92.0	2.2
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	4BFB	MX2604X1	BOZ	18-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	50.000	45.000	UGL	90.0	2.2
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	4BFB	MX2604X1	BOZ	18-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	50.000	45.000	UGL	90.0	2.2
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	4BFB	MX3202X1	CMA	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	50.000	43.000	UGL	86.0	2.3
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	4BFB	MX3202X1	CMA	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	50.000	43.000	UGL	86.0	2.3
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	4BFB	MX3202X1	CMA	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	50.000	42.000	UGL	84.0	2.3
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	4BFB	WX2606X1	BOL	21-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	50.000	47.000	UGL	94.0	4.4
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	4BFB	WX2606X1	BOL	21-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	50.000	46.000	UGL	92.0	4.4
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	4BFB	WX2606X1	BOL	21-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	50.000	46.000	UGL	92.0	4.4
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	4BFB	WX2606X1	BOJ	21-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	50.000	45.000	UGL	90.0	4.4
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	4BFB	WX2606X1	BOJ	21-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	50.000	45.000	UGL	90.0	4.4
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	4BFB	WX2606X1	BOJ	21-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	50.000	45.000	UGL	90.0	4.4
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	4BFB	WX2606X1	BOJ	21-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	50.000	45.000	UGL	90.0	4.4
		**********								----------	
		avg								89.9	
		minimum								84.0	
		maximum								94.0	
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	C6H6	BR2501X1	BOH	15-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	50.000	45.000	UGL	90.0	2.2
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	C6H6	BR2501X1	BOH	15-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	50.000	44.000	UGL	88.0	2.2
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	C6H6	MX2604X1	BOZ	18-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	50.000	48.000	UGL	96.0	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	C6H6	MX2604X1	BOZ	18-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	50.000	48.000	UGL	96.0	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	C6H6	MX3202X1	CMA	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	50.000	50.000	UGL	100.0	4.1
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	C6H6	MX3202X1	CMA	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	50.000	48.000	UGL	96.0	4.1
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	C6H6	WX2606X1	BOL	21-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	50.000	50.000	UGL	100.0	4.1
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	C6H6	WX2606X1	BOJ	21-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	50.000	49.000	UGL	98.0	4.1
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	C6H6	WX2606X1	BOJ	21-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	50.000	48.000	UGL	96.0	4.1
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	C6H6	WX2606X1	BOL	21-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	50.000	48.000	UGL	96.0	4.1
		**********								----------	
		avg								95.6	
		minimum								88.0	
		maximum								100.0	

Table H2
MS/MSD Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

MATRIX SPIKES/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATES

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	Spike Value	Value	Units	Percent Recovery	RPD
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	CLC6H5	BR2501X1	BOH	15-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	50.000	45.000	UGL	90.0	2.2
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	CLC6H5	BR2501X1	BOH	15-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	50.000	44.000	UGL	88.0	2.2
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	CLC6H5	MX2604X1	BOZ	18-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	50.000	48.000	UGL	96.0	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	CLC6H5	MX2604X1	BOZ	18-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	50.000	48.000	UGL	96.0	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	CLC6H5	MX3202X1	CMA	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	50.000	49.000	UGL	98.0	4.2
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	CLC6H5	MX3202X1	CMA	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	50.000	47.000	UGL	94.0	4.2
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	CLC6H5	WX2606X1	BOL	21-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	50.000	51.000	UGL	102.0	2.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	CLC6H5	WX2606X1	BOL	21-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	50.000	51.000	UGL	102.0	2.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	CLC6H5	WX2606X1	BOJ	21-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	50.000	51.000	UGL	102.0	2.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	CLC6H5	WX2606X1	BOJ	21-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	50.000	50.000	UGL	100.0	2.0
		**********								---	
		avg								96.8	
		minimum								88.0	
		maximum								102.0	
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	MEC6D8	BR2501X1	BOH	15-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	50.000	48.000	UGL	96.0	6.5
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	MEC6D8	BR2501X1	BOH	15-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	50.000	46.000	UGL	92.0	6.5
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	MEC6D8	BR2501X1	BOH	15-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	50.000	45.000	UGL	90.0	6.5
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	MEC6D8	DV2601X1	BOL	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	50.000	48.000	UGL	96.0	4.3
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	MEC6D8	DV2601X1	BOG	16-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	50.000	46.000	UGL	92.0	4.3
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	MEC6D8	MX2604X1	BOZ	18-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	50.000	45.000	UGL	90.0	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	MEC6D8	MX2604X1	BOZ	18-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	50.000	45.000	UGL	90.0	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	MEC6D8	MX2604X1	BOZ	18-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	50.000	45.000	UGL	90.0	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	MEC6D8	MX3202X1	CMA	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	50.000	44.000	UGL	88.0	4.6
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	MEC6D8	MX3202X1	CMA	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	50.000	44.000	UGL	88.0	4.6
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	MEC6D8	MX3202X1	CMA	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	50.000	42.000	UGL	84.0	4.6
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	MEC6D8	WX2606X1	BOL	21-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	50.000	48.000	UGL	96.0	6.5
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	MEC6D8	WX2606X1	BOJ	21-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	50.000	47.000	UGL	94.0	6.5
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	MEC6D8	WX2606X1	BOL	21-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	50.000	47.000	UGL	94.0	6.5
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	MEC6D8	WX2606X1	BOJ	21-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	50.000	46.000	UGL	92.0	6.5
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	MEC6D8	WX2606X1	BOJ	21-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	50.000	46.000	UGL	92.0	6.5
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	MEC6D8	WX2606X1	BOL	21-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	50.000	46.000	UGL	92.0	6.5
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	MEC6D8	WX2606X1	BOJ	21-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	50.000	45.000	UGL	90.0	6.5
		**********								---	
		avg								91.4	
		minimum								84.0	
		maximum								96.0	
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	MEC6H5	BR2501X1	BOH	15-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	50.000	44.000	UGL	88.0	2.3
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	MEC6H5	BR2501X1	BOH	15-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	50.000	43.000	UGL	86.0	2.3
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	MEC6H5	MX2604X1	BOZ	18-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	50.000	46.000	UGL	92.0	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	MEC6H5	MX2604X1	BOZ	18-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	50.000	46.000	UGL	92.0	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	MEC6H5	MX3202X1	CMA	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	50.000	48.000	UGL	96.0	4.3

Table H2
MS/MSD Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

MATRIX SPIKES/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATES

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	Spike Value	Value	Units	Percent Recovery	RPD
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	MEC6H5	MX3202X1	CMA	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	50.000	46.000	UGL	92.0	4.3
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	MEC6H5	WX2606X1	BOJ	21-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	50.000	51.000	UGL	102.0	4.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	MEC6H5	WX2606X1	BOL	21-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	50.000	50.000	UGL	100.0	4.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	MEC6H5	WX2606X1	BOJ	21-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	50.000	49.000	UGL	98.0	4.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	MEC6H5	WX2606X1	BOL	21-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	50.000	49.000	UGL	98.0	4.0
		**********									
		avg								94.4	
		minimum								86.0	
		maximum								102.0	
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	TRCLE	BR2501X1	BOH	15-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	50.000	46.000	UGL	92.0	4.4
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	TRCLE	BR2501X1	BOH	15-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	50.000	44.000	UGL	88.0	4.4
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	TRCLE	MX2604X1	BOZ	18-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	50.000	45.000	UGL	90.0	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	TRCLE	MX2604X1	BOZ	18-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	50.000	45.000	UGL	90.0	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	TRCLE	MX3202X1	CMA	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	50.000	49.000	UGL	98.0	8.5
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	TRCLE	MX3202X1	CMA	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	50.000	45.000	UGL	90.0	8.5
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	TRCLE	WX2606X1	BOJ	21-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	50.000	50.000	UGL	100.0	4.1
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	TRCLE	WX2606X1	BOL	21-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	50.000	49.000	UGL	98.0	4.1
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	TRCLE	WX2606X1	BOJ	21-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	50.000	49.000	UGL	98.0	4.1
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	TRCLE	WX2606X1	BOL	21-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	50.000	48.000	UGL	96.0	4.1
		**********									
		avg								94.0	
		minimum								88.0	
		maximum								100.0	
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	135TNB	MX2508X1	BRR	17-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	9.580	10.100	UGL	105.4	2.8
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	135TNB	MX2508X1	BRR	17-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	9.580	9.820	UGL	102.5	2.8
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	135TNB	MX2604X1	BRT	18-NOV-1992	12-DEC-1992	9.580	9.260	UGL	96.7	1.3
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	135TNB	MX2604X1	BRT	18-NOV-1992	12-DEC-1992	9.580	9.140	UGL	95.4	1.3
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	135TNB	MXMW06X2	BRI	23-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	9.580	9.580	UGL	100.0	1.3
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	135TNB	MXMW06X2	BRI	23-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	9.580	9.460	UGL	98.7	1.3
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	135TNB	WX2606X1	BRI	21-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	9.580	8.070	UGL	84.2	.6
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	135TNB	WX2606X1	BRI	21-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	9.580	8.020	UGL	83.7	.6
		**********									
		avg								95.8	
		minimum								83.7	
		maximum								105.4	
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	246TNT	MX2508X1	BRR	17-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	12.100	11.900	UGL	98.3	1.7
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	246TNT	MX2508X1	BRR	17-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	12.100	11.700	UGL	96.7	1.7
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	246TNT	MX2604X1	BRT	18-NOV-1992	12-DEC-1992	12.100	11.700	UGL	96.7	2.6
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	246TNT	MX2604X1	BRT	18-NOV-1992	12-DEC-1992	12.100	11.400	UGL	94.2	2.6
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	246TNT	MXMW06X2	BRI	23-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	12.100	12.100	UGL	100.0	1.7

Table H2
MS/MSD Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

MATRIX SPIKES/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATES

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	Spike Value	Value	Units	Percent Recovery	RPD
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	246TNT	MXMW06X2	BRI	23-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	12.100	11.900	UGL	98.3	1.7
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	246TNT	WX2606X1	BRI	21-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	12.100	11.400	UGL	94.2	.9
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	246TNT	WX2606X1	BRI	21-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	12.100	11.300	UGL	93.4	.9
		**********									
		avg								96.5	
		minimum								93.4	
		maximum								100.0	
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	24DNT	MX2508X1	BRR	17-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	1.230	1.070	UGL	87.0	.0
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	24DNT	MX2508X1	BRR	17-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	1.230	1.070	UGL	87.0	.0
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	24DNT	MX2604X1	BRT	18-NOV-1992	12-DEC-1992	1.230	1.660	UGL	135.0	3.1
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	24DNT	MX2604X1	BRT	18-NOV-1992	12-DEC-1992	1.230	1.610	UGL	130.9	3.1
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	24DNT	MXMW06X2	BRI	23-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	1.230	1.000	UGL	81.3	2.0
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	24DNT	MXMW06X2	BRI	23-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	1.230	0.980	UGL	79.7	2.0
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	24DNT	WX2606X1	BRI	21-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	1.230	0.956	UGL	77.7	4.4
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	24DNT	WX2606X1	BRI	21-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	1.230	0.915	UGL	74.4	4.4
		**********									
		avg								94.1	
		minimum								74.4	
		maximum								135.0	
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	34DNT	MX2508X1	BRR	17-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	4.900	6.280	UGL	128.2	41.2
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	34DNT	MX2508X1	BRR	17-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	4.900	4.350	UGL	88.8	41.2
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	34DNT	MX2508X1	BRR	17-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	4.900	4.240	UGL	86.5	41.2
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	34DNT	MX2604X1	BRT	18-NOV-1992	12-DEC-1992	4.900	5.830	UGL	119.0	16.3
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	34DNT	MX2604X1	BRT	18-NOV-1992	12-DEC-1992	4.900	5.190	UGL	105.9	16.3
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	34DNT	MX2604X1	BRT	18-NOV-1992	12-DEC-1992	4.900	4.960	UGL	101.2	16.3
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	34DNT	MXMW06X2	BRI	23-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	4.900	6.050	UGL	123.5	11.7
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	34DNT	MXMW06X2	BRI	23-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	4.900	5.470	UGL	111.6	11.7
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	34DNT	MXMW06X2	BRI	23-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	4.900	5.390	UGL	110.0	11.7
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	34DNT	WX2606X1	BRG	21-OCT-1992	06-NOV-1992	4.900	5.660	UGL	115.5	9.4
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	34DNT	WX2606X1	BRI	21-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	4.900	5.570	UGL	113.7	9.4
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	34DNT	WX2606X1	BRG	21-OCT-1992	06-NOV-1992	4.900	5.450	UGL	111.2	9.4
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	34DNT	WX2606X1	BRI	21-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	4.900	5.250	UGL	107.1	9.4
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	34DNT	WX2606X1	BRI	21-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	4.900	5.150	UGL	105.1	9.4
		**********									
		avg								109.1	
		minimum								86.5	
		maximum								128.2	
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	NB	MX2508X1	BRR	17-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	12.000	10.100	UGL	84.2	2.8
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	NB	MX2508X1	BRR	17-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	12.000	9.820	UGL	81.8	2.8
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	NB	MX2604X1	BRT	18-NOV-1992	12-DEC-1992	12.000	9.440	UGL	78.7	.6
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	NB	MX2604X1	BRT	18-NOV-1992	12-DEC-1992	12.000	9.380	UGL	78.2	.6

Table H2
MS/MSD Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

MATRIX SPIKES/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATES

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	Spike Value	Value	Units	Percent Recovery	RPD
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	NB	MXMW06X2	BRI	23-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	12.000	11.400	UGL	95.0	6.3
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	NB	MXMW06X2	BRI	23-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	12.000	10.700	UGL	89.2	6.3
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	NB	WX2606X1	BRI	21-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	12.000	11.100	UGL	92.5	.0
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	NB	WX2606X1	BRI	21-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	12.000	11.100	UGL	92.5	.0
		**********								----------	
		avg								86.5	
		minimum								78.2	
		maximum								95.0	
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	RDX	MX2508X1	BRR	17-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	23.800	21.800	UGL	91.6	.5
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	RDX	MX2508X1	BRR	17-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	23.800	21.700	UGL	91.2	.5
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	RDX	MX2604X1	BRT	18-NOV-1992	12-DEC-1992	23.800	12.000	UGL	50.4	.0
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	RDX	MX2604X1	BRT	18-NOV-1992	12-DEC-1992	23.800	12.000	UGL	50.4	.0
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	RDX	MXMW06X2	BRI	23-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	23.800	23.800	UGL	100.0	.4
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	RDX	MXMW06X2	BRI	23-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	23.800	23.700	UGL	99.6	.4
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	RDX	WX2606X1	BRI	21-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	23.800	23.800	UGL	100.0	6.1
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	RDX	WX2606X1	BRI	21-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	23.800	22.400	UGL	94.1	6.1
		**********								----------	
		avg								84.7	
		minimum								50.4	
		maximum								100.0	

Table H3
Summary of Detected Analytes in Quality Control Samples
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

RINSATE BLANKS

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	MIN Value	MAX Value	Units	Frequency
	00	ALK	6000	135000	UGL	4 / 7
		HARD	1200	2000	UGL	2 / 12
		TDS	29000	29000	UGL	1 / 3
		TOC	1340	1340	UGL	1 / 13
		TPHC	253	1380	UGL	2 / 23
		TSS	6000	6000	UGL	2 / 9
	99	ALK	5000	7000	UGL	3 / 4
		HCO3	6100	8540	UGL	3 / 4
PB IN WATER BY GFAA	SD20	PB	1.41	25.9	UGL	10 / 38
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	AG	4.7	4.7	UGL	1 / 33
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		BA	10.4	57.3	UGL	5 / 33
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		CU	8.23	8.39	UGL	3 / 33
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		FE	44.3	541	UGL	8 / 33
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		K	435	1420	UGL	25 / 34
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		MN	3.45	6.31	UGL	11 / 33
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		ZN	29.4	181	UGL	4 / 33
NO2, NO3 IN WATER	TF22	NIT	14.7	220	UGL	5 / 8
N2KJEL IN WATER	TF26	N2KJEL	2380	2380	UGL	1 / 4
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	2E1HXL	10	10	UGL	2 / 2
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		B2EHP	6.5	65	UGL	2 / 21
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		C25	9	9	UGL	1 / 1
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		DNBP	4.6	4.6	UGL	1 / 21
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		HXCOS	6	6	UGL	1 / 1
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		TCOS	10	50	UGL	2 / 2
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		UNK542	6	6	UGL	1 / 1
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		UNK547	20	20	UGL	1 / 1
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		UNK614	7	7	UGL	1 / 1
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		UNK622	7	7	UGL	1 / 1
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		UNK624	30	30	UGL	1 / 1
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		UNK632	50	50	UGL	1 / 1

Table H3
Summary of Detected Analytes in Quality Control Samples
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

RINSATE BLANKS

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	MIN Value	MAX Value	Units	Frequency
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	UNK636	40	40	UGL	1 / 1
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		UNK637	4	4	UGL	1 / 1
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		UNK640	20	20	UGL	1 / 1
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		UNK644	10	10	UGL	1 / 1
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		UNK649	7	7	UGL	1 / 1
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	111TCE	1.1	1.1	UGL	1 / 22
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		ACET	21	21	UGL	1 / 22
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		CH2CL2	2.5	75	UGL	5 / 22
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		CHCL3	0.56	33	UGL	16 / 22
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		MEC6H5	0.51	4.2	UGL	5 / 22
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		OMCTSX	7	7	UGL	1 / 1
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		UNK017	10	10	UGL	2 / 2

Table H4
Summary of Detected Analytes in Quality Control Samples
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

METHOD BLANKS

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	MIN Value	MAX Value	Units	Frequency
	00	ALK	5000	5000	UGL	1 / 10
		PH	5.94	5.94		1 / 1
		TORC	100000	100000	UGG	2 / 2
		TPHC	23.9	29.1	UGG	4 / 10
		TSS	4500	6500	UGL	5 / 29
	99	ALK	5000	5000	UGL	1 / 5
		TDS	17000	17000	UGL	1 / 6
		TSS	5000	5000	UGL	1 / 3
PB IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD17	PB	0.195	0.724	UGG	16 / 16
AS IN SOIL BY GFAA	JD19	AS	0.256	0.29	UGG	3 / 15
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP	JS16	AL	839	1080	UGG	9 / 13
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP		BA	6.79	9.7	UGG	7 / 13
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP		CA	11200	12200	UGG	9 / 13
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP		CR	4.08	4.3	UGG	5 / 13
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP		CU	1.47	2.32	UGG	9 / 13
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP		FE	1620	1730	UGG	9 / 13
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP		K	216	346	UGG	9 / 13
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP		MG	1570	1660	UGG	9 / 13
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP		MN	7.22	7.7	UGG	9 / 13
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP		NA	2810	3120	UGG	9 / 13
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP		NI	1.75	1.75	UGG	1 / 13
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP		V	3.63	4.4	UGG	8 / 13
METALS IN SOIL BY ICAP		ZN	9.25	10.3	UGG	7 / 13
BNA'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM18	12EPCH	0.1	0.1	UGG	1 / 1
BNA'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS		MEC6H5	0.7	0.7	UGG	1 / 1
BNA'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS		MESTOX	0.4	0.4	UGG	1 / 1
BNA'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS		TCLEE	1	1	UGG	1 / 1
BNA'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS		UNK528	0.2	0.2	UGG	1 / 1
BNA'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS		UNK540	1	1	UGG	1 / 1
BNA'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS		UNK602	0.3	0.3	UGG	1 / 1
BNA'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS		UNK637	0.2	0.2	UGG	1 / 1

Table H4
Summary of Detected Analytes in Quality Control Samples
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

METHOD BLANKS

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	MIN Value	MAX Value	Units	Frequency
BNA'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM18	UNK648	0.3	0.3	UGG	1 / 1
BNA'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS		UNK649	0.6	0.8	UGG	3 / 3
BNA'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS		UNK650	0.5	0.7	UGG	3 / 3
BNA'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS		UNK651	0.6	0.6	UGG	2 / 2
BNA'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS		UNK659	0.3	0.3	UGG	2 / 2
BNA'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS		UNK660	0.3	0.4	UGG	5 / 5
BNA'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS		UNK665	0.4	0.4	UGG	1 / 1
VOC'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS	LM19	ACET	0.023	0.023	UGG	1 / 12
VOC'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS		CCL3F	0.007	0.007	UGG	1 / 12
VOC'S IN SOIL BY GC/MS		TCLTFE	0.004	0.006	UGG	2 / 2
PB IN WATER BY GFAA	SD20	PB	1.6	3.2	UGL	3 / 15
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	FE	40.9	67.3	UGL	2 / 14
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		K	541	881	UGL	2 / 14
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	12EPCH	4	4	UGL	1 / 1
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		B2EHP	5	9.8	UGL	6 / 29
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		C18ABE	9	9	UGL	1 / 1
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		DIACAL	10	10	UGL	1 / 1
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		HXADOE	6	7	UGL	2 / 2
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		MEC6H5	3	3	UGL	1 / 1
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		TCLEE	10	30	UGL	2 / 2
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		UNK544	30	30	UGL	1 / 1
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		UNK606	4	4	UGL	1 / 1
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		UNK617	5	5	UGL	1 / 1
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		UNK625	8	8	UGL	1 / 1
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		UNK632	8	8	UGL	1 / 1
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		UNK637	6	6	UGL	1 / 1
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		UNK642	5	5	UGL	1 / 1
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		UNK647	5	5	UGL	1 / 1
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	111TCE	0.96	3.2	UGL	6 / 36
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		112TCE	1.3	1.3	UGL	1 / 36
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		12DCLE	0.84	0.84	UGL	1 / 36

Table H4
Summary of Detected Analytes in Quality Control Samples
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

METHOD BLANKS

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	MIN Value	MAX Value	Units	Frequency
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	2CLEVE	0.98	0.98	UGL	1 / 36
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		C13DCP	0.68	0.68	UGL	1 / 36
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		CH2CL2	4.6	4.8	UGL	2 / 36
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		CHCL3	0.53	1.5	UGL	11 / 36
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		DBRCLM	0.68	0.68	UGL	1 / 36
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		MIBK	3.2	3.2	UGL	1 / 36
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		MNBK	3.9	3.9	UGL	1 / 36
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		STYR	0.71	0.71	UGL	1 / 36
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		TCLEA	0.66	3.2	UGL	2 / 36
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	13DNB	2.68	2.68	UGL	1 / 25

Table H5
Summary of Detected Analytes in Quality Control Samples
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

TRIP BLANKS

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	MIN Value	MAX Value	Units	Frequency
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	111TCE	2.4	2.4	UGL	1 / 53
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		ACET	22	22	UGL	1 / 53
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		CH2CL2	4	8.9	UGL	2 / 53
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		CHCL3	0.59	0.69	UGL	3 / 53
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		CS2	1	1	UGL	1 / 53
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		OMCTSX	8	8	UGL	1 / 1
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		UNK009	40	40	UGL	1 / 1

Table H6
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Trip Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	ATW	111TCE	DVTRP121	VTRP*121	23-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATW	111TCE	DVTRP122	VTRP*122	23-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATW	112TCE	DVTRP121	VTRP*121	23-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	1.200	UGL	
	ATW	112TCE	DVTRP122	VTRP*122	23-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	1.200	UGL	
	ATW	11DCE	DVTRP121	VTRP*121	23-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATW	11DCE	DVTRP122	VTRP*122	23-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATW	11DCLE	DVTRP121	VTRP*121	23-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	0.680	UGL	
	ATW	11DCLE	DVTRP122	VTRP*122	23-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	0.680	UGL	
	ATW	12DCE	DVTRP121	VTRP*121	23-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATW	12DCE	DVTRP122	VTRP*122	23-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATW	12DCLE	DVTRP121	VTRP*121	23-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATW	12DCLE	DVTRP122	VTRP*122	23-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATW	12DCLP	DVTRP121	VTRP*121	23-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATW	12DCLP	DVTRP122	VTRP*122	23-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATW	2CLEVE	DVTRP121	VTRP*121	23-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	0.710	UGL	
	ATW	2CLEVE	DVTRP122	VTRP*122	23-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	0.710	UGL	
	ATW	ACET	DVTRP121	VTRP*121	23-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	13.000	UGL	
	ATW	ACET	DVTRP122	VTRP*122	23-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	13.000	UGL	
	ATW	ACROLN	DVTRP121	VTRP*121	23-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	100.000	UGL	
	ATW	ACROLN	DVTRP122	VTRP*122	23-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	100.000	UGL	
	ATW	ACRYLO	DVTRP121	VTRP*121	23-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	100.000	UGL	
	ATW	ACRYLO	DVTRP122	VTRP*122	23-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	100.000	UGL	
	ATW	BRDCLM	DVTRP121	VTRP*121	23-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	0.590	UGL	
	ATW	BRDCLM	DVTRP122	VTRP*122	23-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	0.590	UGL	
	ATW	C13DCP	DVTRP121	VTRP*121	23-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	0.580	UGL	
	ATW	C13DCP	DVTRP122	VTRP*122	23-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	0.580	UGL	
	ATW	C2AVE	DVTRP121	VTRP*121	23-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	8.300	UGL	
	ATW	C2AVE	DVTRP122	VTRP*122	23-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	8.300	UGL	
	ATW	C2H3CL	DVTRP121	VTRP*121	23-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	2.600	UGL	
	ATW	C2H3CL	DVTRP122	VTRP*122	23-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	2.600	UGL	
	ATW	C2H5CL	DVTRP121	VTRP*121	23-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	1.900	UGL	
	ATW	C2H5CL	DVTRP122	VTRP*122	23-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	1.900	UGL	
	ATW	C6H6	DVTRP121	VTRP*121	23-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATW	C6H6	DVTRP122	VTRP*122	23-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATW	CCL3F	DVTRP121	VTRP*121	23-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	1.400	UGL	
	ATW	CCL3F	DVTRP122	VTRP*122	23-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	1.400	UGL	
	ATW	CCL4	DVTRP121	VTRP*121	23-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	0.580	UGL	

Table H6
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Trip Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	ATW	CCL4	DVTRP122	VTRP*122	23-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	0.580	UGL	
	ATW	CH2CL2	DVTRP121	VTRP*121	23-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	2.300	UGL	
	ATW	CH2CL2	DVTRP122	VTRP*122	23-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	2.300	UGL	
	ATW	CH3BR	DVTRP121	VTRP*121	23-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	5.800	UGL	
	ATW	CH3BR	DVTRP122	VTRP*122	23-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	5.800	UGL	
	ATW	CH3CL	DVTRP121	VTRP*121	23-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	3.200	UGL	
	ATW	CH3CL	DVTRP122	VTRP*122	23-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	3.200	UGL	
	ATW	CHBR3	DVTRP121	VTRP*121	23-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	2.600	UGL	
	ATW	CHBR3	DVTRP122	VTRP*122	23-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	2.600	UGL	
	ATW	CHCL3	DVTRP121	VTRP*121	23-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATW	CHCL3	DVTRP122	VTRP*122	23-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATW	CL2BZ	DVTRP121	VTRP*121	23-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	10.000	UGL	
	ATW	CL2BZ	DVTRP122	VTRP*122	23-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	10.000	UGL	
	ATW	CLC6H5	DVTRP121	VTRP*121	23-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATW	CLC6H5	DVTRP122	VTRP*122	23-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATW	CS2	DVTRP121	VTRP*121	23-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATW	CS2	DVTRP122	VTRP*122	23-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATW	DBRCLM	DVTRP121	VTRP*121	23-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	0.670	UGL	
	ATW	DBRCLM	DVTRP122	VTRP*122	23-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	0.670	UGL	
	ATW	ETC6H5	DVTRP121	VTRP*121	23-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATW	ETC6H5	DVTRP122	VTRP*122	23-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATW	MEC6H5	DVTRP121	VTRP*121	23-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATW	MEC6H5	DVTRP122	VTRP*122	23-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATW	MEK	DVTRP121	VTRP*121	23-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	6.400	UGL	
	ATW	MEK	DVTRP122	VTRP*122	23-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	6.400	UGL	
	ATW	MIBK	DVTRP121	VTRP*121	23-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	3.000	UGL	
	ATW	MIBK	DVTRP122	VTRP*122	23-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	3.000	UGL	
	ATW	MNBK	DVTRP121	VTRP*121	23-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	3.600	UGL	
	ATW	MNBK	DVTRP122	VTRP*122	23-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	3.600	UGL	
	ATW	STYR	DVTRP121	VTRP*121	23-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATW	STYR	DVTRP122	VTRP*122	23-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATW	T13DCP	DVTRP121	VTRP*121	23-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	0.700	UGL	
	ATW	T13DCP	DVTRP122	VTRP*122	23-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	0.700	UGL	
	ATW	TCLEA	DVTRP121	VTRP*121	23-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	0.510	UGL	
	ATW	TCLEA	DVTRP122	VTRP*122	23-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	0.510	UGL	
	ATW	TCLEE	DVTRP121	VTRP*121	23-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	1.600	UGL	
	ATW	TCLEE	DVTRP122	VTRP*122	23-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	1.600	UGL	

Table H6
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Trip Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	ATW	TRCLE	DVTRP121	VTRP*121	23-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATW	TRCLE	DVTRP122	VTRP*122	23-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATW	XYLEN	DVTRP121	VTRP*121	23-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	0.840	UGL	
	ATW	XYLEN	DVTRP122	VTRP*122	23-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	0.840	UGL	
	ATX	111TCE	DVTRP124	VTRP*124	25-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-223
	ATX	111TCE	DVTRP125	VTRP*125	29-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-224
	ATX	111TCE	DVTRP126	VTRP*126	30-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-225
	ATX	112TCE	DVTRP124	VTRP*124	25-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	1.200	UGL	TBK-92-223
	ATX	112TCE	DVTRP125	VTRP*125	29-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	1.200	UGL	TBK-92-224
	ATX	112TCE	DVTRP126	VTRP*126	30-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	1.200	UGL	TBK-92-225
	ATX	11DCE	DVTRP124	VTRP*124	25-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-223
	ATX	11DCE	DVTRP125	VTRP*125	29-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-224
	ATX	11DCE	DVTRP126	VTRP*126	30-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-225
	ATX	11DCLE	DVTRP124	VTRP*124	25-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.680	UGL	TBK-92-223
	ATX	11DCLE	DVTRP125	VTRP*125	29-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.680	UGL	TBK-92-224
	ATX	11DCLE	DVTRP126	VTRP*126	30-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.680	UGL	TBK-92-225
	ATX	12DCE	DVTRP124	VTRP*124	25-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-223
	ATX	12DCE	DVTRP126	VTRP*126	30-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-225
	ATX	12DCE	DVTRP125	VTRP*125	29-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-224
	ATX	12DCLE	DVTRP124	VTRP*124	25-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-223
	ATX	12DCLE	DVTRP125	VTRP*125	29-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-224
	ATX	12DCLE	DVTRP126	VTRP*126	30-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-225
	ATX	12DCLP	DVTRP124	VTRP*124	25-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-223
	ATX	12DCLP	DVTRP125	VTRP*125	29-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-224
	ATX	12DCLP	DVTRP126	VTRP*126	30-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-225
	ATX	2CLEVE	DVTRP124	VTRP*124	25-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.710	UGL	TBK-92-223
	ATX	2CLEVE	DVTRP125	VTRP*125	29-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.710	UGL	TBK-92-224
	ATX	2CLEVE	DVTRP126	VTRP*126	30-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.710	UGL	TBK-92-225
	ATX	ACET	DVTRP124	VTRP*124	25-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	13.000	UGL	TBK-92-223
	ATX	ACET	DVTRP126	VTRP*126	30-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	13.000	UGL	TBK-92-225
	ATX	ACET	DVTRP125	VTRP*125	29-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	13.000	UGL	TBK-92-224
	ATX	ACROLN	DVTRP124	VTRP*124	25-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	100.000	UGL	TBK-92-223
	ATX	ACROLN	DVTRP125	VTRP*125	29-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	100.000	UGL	TBK-92-224
	ATX	ACROLN	DVTRP126	VTRP*126	30-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	100.000	UGL	TBK-92-225
	ATX	ACRYLO	DVTRP124	VTRP*124	25-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	100.000	UGL	TBK-92-223
	ATX	ACRYLO	DVTRP125	VTRP*125	29-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	100.000	UGL	TBK-92-224
	ATX	ACRYLO	DVTRP126	VTRP*126	30-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	100.000	UGL	TBK-92-225

Table H6
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Trip Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	ATX	BRDCLM	DVTRP124	VTRP*124	25-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.590	UGL	TBK-92-223
	ATX	BRDCLM	DVTRP125	VTRP*125	29-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.590	UGL	TBK-92-224
	ATX	BRDCLM	DVTRP126	VTRP*126	30-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.590	UGL	TBK-92-225
	ATX	C13DCP	DVTRP124	VTRP*124	25-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.580	UGL	TBK-92-223
	ATX	C13DCP	DVTRP126	VTRP*126	30-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.580	UGL	TBK-92-225
	ATX	C13DCP	DVTRP125	VTRP*125	29-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.580	UGL	TBK-92-224
	ATX	C2AVE	DVTRP124	VTRP*124	25-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	8.300	UGL	TBK-92-223
	ATX	C2AVE	DVTRP125	VTRP*125	29-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	8.300	UGL	TBK-92-224
	ATX	C2AVE	DVTRP126	VTRP*126	30-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	8.300	UGL	TBK-92-225
	ATX	C2H3CL	DVTRP124	VTRP*124	25-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	2.600	UGL	TBK-92-223
	ATX	C2H3CL	DVTRP125	VTRP*125	29-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	2.600	UGL	TBK-92-224
	ATX	C2H3CL	DVTRP126	VTRP*126	30-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	2.600	UGL	TBK-92-225
	ATX	C2H5CL	DVTRP124	VTRP*124	25-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	1.900	UGL	TBK-92-223
	ATX	C2H5CL	DVTRP125	VTRP*125	29-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	1.900	UGL	TBK-92-224
	ATX	C2H5CL	DVTRP126	VTRP*126	30-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	1.900	UGL	TBK-92-225
	ATX	C6H6	DVTRP124	VTRP*124	25-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-223
	ATX	C6H6	DVTRP126	VTRP*126	30-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-225
	ATX	C6H6	DVTRP125	VTRP*125	29-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-224
	ATX	CCL3F	DVTRP124	VTRP*124	25-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	1.400	UGL	TBK-92-223
	ATX	CCL3F	DVTRP125	VTRP*125	29-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	1.400	UGL	TBK-92-224
	ATX	CCL3F	DVTRP126	VTRP*126	30-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	1.400	UGL	TBK-92-225
	ATX	CCL4	DVTRP124	VTRP*124	25-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.580	UGL	TBK-92-223
	ATX	CCL4	DVTRP125	VTRP*125	29-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.580	UGL	TBK-92-224
	ATX	CCL4	DVTRP126	VTRP*126	30-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.580	UGL	TBK-92-225
	ATX	CH2CL2	DVTRP124	VTRP*124	25-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	2.300	UGL	TBK-92-223
	ATX	CH2CL2	DVTRP125	VTRP*125	29-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	2.300	UGL	TBK-92-224
	ATX	CH2CL2	DVTRP126	VTRP*126	30-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	2.300	UGL	TBK-92-225
	ATX	CH3BR	DVTRP124	VTRP*124	25-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	5.800	UGL	TBK-92-223
	ATX	CH3BR	DVTRP126	VTRP*126	30-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	5.800	UGL	TBK-92-225
	ATX	CH3BR	DVTRP125	VTRP*125	29-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	5.800	UGL	TBK-92-224
	ATX	CH3CL	DVTRP124	VTRP*124	25-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	3.200	UGL	TBK-92-223
	ATX	CH3CL	DVTRP125	VTRP*125	29-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	3.200	UGL	TBK-92-224
	ATX	CH3CL	DVTRP126	VTRP*126	30-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	3.200	UGL	TBK-92-225
	ATX	CHBR3	DVTRP124	VTRP*124	25-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	2.600	UGL	TBK-92-223
	ATX	CHBR3	DVTRP125	VTRP*125	29-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	2.600	UGL	TBK-92-224
	ATX	CHBR3	DVTRP126	VTRP*126	30-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	2.600	UGL	TBK-92-225
	ATX	CHCL3	DVTRP124	VTRP*124	25-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-223

Table H6
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Trip Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	ATX	CHCL3	DVTRP125	VTRP*125	29-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-224
	ATX	CHCL3	DVTRP126	VTRP*126	30-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-225
	ATX	CL2BZ	DVTRP124	VTRP*124	25-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	10.000	UGL	TBK-92-223
	ATX	CL2BZ	DVTRP126	VTRP*126	30-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	10.000	UGL	TBK-92-225
	ATX	CL2BZ	DVTRP125	VTRP*125	29-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	10.000	UGL	TBK-92-224
	ATX	CLC6H5	DVTRP124	VTRP*124	25-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-223
	ATX	CLC6H5	DVTRP126	VTRP*126	30-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-225
	ATX	CLC6H5	DVTRP125	VTRP*125	29-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-224
	ATX	CS2	DVTRP124	VTRP*124	25-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-223
	ATX	CS2	DVTRP126	VTRP*126	30-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-225
	ATX	CS2	DVTRP125	VTRP*125	29-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-224
	ATX	DBRCLM	DVTRP124	VTRP*124	25-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.670	UGL	TBK-92-223
	ATX	DBRCLM	DVTRP126	VTRP*126	30-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.670	UGL	TBK-92-225
	ATX	DBRCLM	DVTRP125	VTRP*125	29-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.670	UGL	TBK-92-224
	ATX	ETC6H5	DVTRP124	VTRP*124	25-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-223
	ATX	ETC6H5	DVTRP125	VTRP*125	29-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-224
	ATX	ETC6H5	DVTRP126	VTRP*126	30-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-225
	ATX	MEC6H5	DVTRP124	VTRP*124	25-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-223
	ATX	MEC6H5	DVTRP125	VTRP*125	29-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-224
	ATX	MEC6H5	DVTRP126	VTRP*126	30-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-225
	ATX	MEK	DVTRP124	VTRP*124	25-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	6.400	UGL	TBK-92-223
	ATX	MEK	DVTRP125	VTRP*125	29-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	6.400	UGL	TBK-92-224
	ATX	MEK	DVTRP126	VTRP*126	30-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	6.400	UGL	TBK-92-225
	ATX	MIBK	DVTRP124	VTRP*124	25-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	3.000	UGL	TBK-92-223
	ATX	MIBK	DVTRP126	VTRP*126	30-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	3.000	UGL	TBK-92-225
	ATX	MIBK	DVTRP125	VTRP*125	29-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	3.000	UGL	TBK-92-224
	ATX	MNBK	DVTRP124	VTRP*124	25-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	3.600	UGL	TBK-92-223
	ATX	MNBK	DVTRP125	VTRP*125	29-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	3.600	UGL	TBK-92-224
	ATX	MNBK	DVTRP126	VTRP*126	30-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	3.600	UGL	TBK-92-225
	ATX	STYR	DVTRP124	VTRP*124	25-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-223
	ATX	STYR	DVTRP125	VTRP*125	29-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-224
	ATX	STYR	DVTRP126	VTRP*126	30-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-225
	ATX	T13DCP	DVTRP124	VTRP*124	25-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.700	UGL	TBK-92-223
	ATX	T13DCP	DVTRP125	VTRP*125	29-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.700	UGL	TBK-92-224
	ATX	T13DCP	DVTRP126	VTRP*126	30-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.700	UGL	TBK-92-225
	ATX	TCLEA	DVTRP124	VTRP*124	25-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.510	UGL	TBK-92-223
	ATX	TCLEA	DVTRP126	VTRP*126	30-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.510	UGL	TBK-92-225

Table H6
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Trip Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	ATX	TCLEA	DVTRP125	VTRP*125	29-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.510	UGL	TBK-92-224
	ATX	TCLEE	DVTRP124	VTRP*124	25-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	1.600	UGL	TBK-92-223
	ATX	TCLEE	DVTRP125	VTRP*125	29-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	1.600	UGL	TBK-92-224
	ATX	TCLEE	DVTRP126	VTRP*126	30-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	1.600	UGL	TBK-92-225
	ATX	TRCLE	DVTRP124	VTRP*124	25-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-223
	ATX	TRCLE	DVTRP125	VTRP*125	29-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-224
	ATX	TRCLE	DVTRP126	VTRP*126	30-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-225
	ATX	XYLEN	DVTRP124	VTRP*124	25-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.840	UGL	TBK-92-223
	ATX	XYLEN	DVTRP125	VTRP*125	29-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.840	UGL	TBK-92-224
	ATX	XYLEN	DVTRP126	VTRP*126	30-SEP-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.840	UGL	TBK-92-225
	ATY	111TCE	DVTRP127	VTRP*127	01-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATY	112TCE	DVTRP127	VTRP*127	01-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	1.200	UGL	
	ATY	11DCE	DVTRP127	VTRP*127	01-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATY	11DCLE	DVTRP127	VTRP*127	01-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.680	UGL	
	ATY	12DCE	DVTRP127	VTRP*127	01-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATY	12DCLE	DVTRP127	VTRP*127	01-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATY	12DCLP	DVTRP127	VTRP*127	01-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATY	2CLEVE	DVTRP127	VTRP*127	01-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.710	UGL	
	ATY	ACET	DVTRP127	VTRP*127	01-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	13.000	UGL	
	ATY	ACROLN	DVTRP127	VTRP*127	01-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	100.000	UGL	
	ATY	ACRYLO	DVTRP127	VTRP*127	01-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	100.000	UGL	
	ATY	BRDCLM	DVTRP127	VTRP*127	01-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.590	UGL	
	ATY	C13DCP	DVTRP127	VTRP*127	01-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.580	UGL	
	ATY	C2AVE	DVTRP127	VTRP*127	01-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	8.300	UGL	
	ATY	C2H3CL	DVTRP127	VTRP*127	01-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	2.600	UGL	
	ATY	C2H5CL	DVTRP127	VTRP*127	01-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	1.900	UGL	
	ATY	C6H6	DVTRP127	VTRP*127	01-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATY	CCL3F	DVTRP127	VTRP*127	01-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	1.400	UGL	
	ATY	CCL4	DVTRP127	VTRP*127	01-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.580	UGL	
	ATY	CH2CL2	DVTRP127	VTRP*127	01-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	2.300	UGL	
	ATY	CH3BR	DVTRP127	VTRP*127	01-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	5.800	UGL	
	ATY	CH3CL	DVTRP127	VTRP*127	01-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	3.200	UGL	
	ATY	CHBR3	DVTRP127	VTRP*127	01-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	2.600	UGL	
	ATY	CHCL3	DVTRP127	VTRP*127	01-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATY	CL2BZ	DVTRP127	VTRP*127	01-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	10.000	UGL	
	ATY	CLC6H5	DVTRP127	VTRP*127	01-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATY	CS2	DVTRP127	VTRP*127	01-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	

Table H6
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Trip Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	ATY	DBRCLM	DVTRP127	VTRP*127	01-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.670	UGL	
	ATY	ETC6H5	DVTRP127	VTRP*127	01-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATY	MEC6H5	DVTRP127	VTRP*127	01-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATY	MEK	DVTRP127	VTRP*127	01-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	6.400	UGL	
	ATY	MIBK	DVTRP127	VTRP*127	01-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	3.000	UGL	
	ATY	MNBK	DVTRP127	VTRP*127	01-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	3.600	UGL	
	ATY	STYR	DVTRP127	VTRP*127	01-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATY	T13DCP	DVTRP127	VTRP*127	01-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.700	UGL	
	ATY	TCLEA	DVTRP127	VTRP*127	01-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.510	UGL	
	ATY	TCLEE	DVTRP127	VTRP*127	01-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	1.600	UGL	
	ATY	TRCLE	DVTRP127	VTRP*127	01-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATY	XYLEN	DVTRP127	VTRP*127	01-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.840	UGL	
	BOG	111TCE	BV2501X1	V1BW*111	15-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOG	111TCE	DV2601X1	V1BW*119	16-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOG	111TCE	WV2601X1	V1BW*124	16-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOG	112TCE	BV2501X1	V1BW*111	15-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	1.200	UGL	
	BOG	112TCE	WV2601X1	V1BW*124	16-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	1.200	UGL	
	BOG	112TCE	DV2601X1	V1BW*119	16-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	1.200	UGL	
	BOG	11DCE	BV2501X1	V1BW*111	15-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOG	11DCE	DV2601X1	V1BW*119	16-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOG	11DCE	WV2601X1	V1BW*124	16-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOG	11DCLE	BV2501X1	V1BW*111	15-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.680	UGL	
	BOG	11DCLE	WV2601X1	V1BW*124	16-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.680	UGL	
	BOG	11DCLE	DV2601X1	V1BW*119	16-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.680	UGL	
	BOG	12DCE	BV2501X1	V1BW*111	15-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOG	12DCE	DV2601X1	V1BW*119	16-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOG	12DCE	WV2601X1	V1BW*124	16-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOG	12DCLE	BV2501X1	V1BW*111	15-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOG	12DCLE	WV2601X1	V1BW*124	16-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOG	12DCLE	DV2601X1	V1BW*119	16-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOG	12DCLP	BV2501X1	V1BW*111	15-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOG	12DCLP	DV2601X1	V1BW*119	16-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOG	12DCLP	WV2601X1	V1BW*124	16-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOG	2CLEVE	BV2501X1	V1BW*111	15-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.710	UGL	
	BOG	2CLEVE	DV2601X1	V1BW*119	16-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.710	UGL	
	BOG	2CLEVE	WV2601X1	V1BW*124	16-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.710	UGL	
	BOG	ACET	BV2501X1	V1BW*111	15-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	13.000	UGL	

Table H6
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Trip Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	BOG	ACET	WV2601X1	V1BW*124	16-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	13.000	UGL	
	BOG	ACET	DV2601X1	V1BW*119	16-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	13.000	UGL	
	BOG	ACROLN	BV2501X1	V1BW*111	15-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	100.000	UGL	
	BOG	ACROLN	DV2601X1	V1BW*119	16-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	100.000	UGL	
	BOG	ACROLN	WV2601X1	V1BW*124	16-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	100.000	UGL	
	BOG	ACRYLO	BV2501X1	V1BW*111	15-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	100.000	UGL	
	BOG	ACRYLO	WV2601X1	V1BW*124	16-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	100.000	UGL	
	BOG	ACRYLO	DV2601X1	V1BW*119	16-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	100.000	UGL	
	BOG	BRDCLM	BV2501X1	V1BW*111	15-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.590	UGL	
	BOG	BRDCLM	WV2601X1	V1BW*124	16-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.590	UGL	
	BOG	BRDCLM	DV2601X1	V1BW*119	16-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.590	UGL	
	BOG	C13DCP	BV2501X1	V1BW*111	15-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.580	UGL	
	BOG	C13DCP	DV2601X1	V1BW*119	16-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.580	UGL	
	BOG	C13DCP	WV2601X1	V1BW*124	16-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.580	UGL	
	BOG	C2AVE	BV2501X1	V1BW*111	15-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	8.300	UGL	
	BOG	C2AVE	WV2601X1	V1BW*124	16-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	8.300	UGL	
	BOG	C2AVE	DV2601X1	V1BW*119	16-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	8.300	UGL	
	BOG	C2H3CL	BV2501X1	V1BW*111	15-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	2.600	UGL	
	BOG	C2H3CL	DV2601X1	V1BW*119	16-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	2.600	UGL	
	BOG	C2H3CL	WV2601X1	V1BW*124	16-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	2.600	UGL	
	BOG	C2H5CL	BV2501X1	V1BW*111	15-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	1.900	UGL	
	BOG	C2H5CL	DV2601X1	V1BW*119	16-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	1.900	UGL	
	BOG	C2H5CL	WV2601X1	V1BW*124	16-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	1.900	UGL	
	BOG	C6H6	BV2501X1	V1BW*111	15-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOG	C6H6	WV2601X1	V1BW*124	16-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOG	C6H6	DV2601X1	V1BW*119	16-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOG	CCL3F	BV2501X1	V1BW*111	15-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	1.400	UGL	
	BOG	CCL3F	DV2601X1	V1BW*119	16-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	1.400	UGL	
	BOG	CCL3F	WV2601X1	V1BW*124	16-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	1.400	UGL	
	BOG	CCL4	BV2501X1	V1BW*111	15-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.580	UGL	
	BOG	CCL4	WV2601X1	V1BW*124	16-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.580	UGL	
	BOG	CCL4	DV2601X1	V1BW*119	16-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.580	UGL	
	BOG	CH2CL2	BV2501X1	V1BW*111	15-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	2.300	UGL	
	BOG	CH2CL2	WV2601X1	V1BW*124	16-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	2.300	UGL	
	BOG	CH2CL2	DV2601X1	V1BW*119	16-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	2.300	UGL	
	BOG	CH3BR	BV2501X1	V1BW*111	15-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	5.800	UGL	
	BOG	CH3BR	DV2601X1	V1BW*119	16-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	5.800	UGL	

Table H6
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Trip Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	BOG	CH3BR	WV2601X1	V1BW*124	16-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	5.800	UGL	
	BOG	CH3CL	BV2501X1	V1BW*111	15-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	3.200	UGL	
	BOG	CH3CL	WV2601X1	V1BW*124	16-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	3.200	UGL	
	BOG	CH3CL	DV2601X1	V1BW*119	16-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	3.200	UGL	
	BOG	CHBR3	BV2501X1	V1BW*111	15-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	2.600	UGL	
	BOG	CHBR3	DV2601X1	V1BW*119	16-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	2.600	UGL	
	BOG	CHBR3	WV2601X1	V1BW*124	16-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	2.600	UGL	
	BOG	CHCL3	BV2501X1	V1BW*111	15-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOG	CHCL3	DV2601X1	V1BW*119	16-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOG	CHCL3	WV2601X1	V1BW*124	16-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOG	CL2BZ	BV2501X1	V1BW*111	15-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	10.000	UGL	
	BOG	CL2BZ	WV2601X1	V1BW*124	16-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	10.000	UGL	
	BOG	CL2BZ	DV2601X1	V1BW*119	16-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	10.000	UGL	
	BOG	CLC6H5	BV2501X1	V1BW*111	15-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOG	CLC6H5	DV2601X1	V1BW*119	16-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOG	CLC6H5	WV2601X1	V1BW*124	16-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOG	CS2	BV2501X1	V1BW*111	15-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOG	CS2	WV2601X1	V1BW*124	16-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOG	CS2	DV2601X1	V1BW*119	16-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOG	DBRCLM	BV2501X1	V1BW*111	15-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.670	UGL	
	BOG	DBRCLM	WV2601X1	V1BW*124	16-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.670	UGL	
	BOG	DBRCLM	DV2601X1	V1BW*119	16-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.670	UGL	
	BOG	ETC6H5	BV2501X1	V1BW*111	15-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOG	ETC6H5	DV2601X1	V1BW*119	16-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOG	ETC6H5	WV2601X1	V1BW*124	16-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOG	MEC6H5	BV2501X1	V1BW*111	15-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOG	MEC6H5	WV2601X1	V1BW*124	16-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOG	MEC6H5	DV2601X1	V1BW*119	16-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOG	MEK	BV2501X1	V1BW*111	15-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	6.400	UGL	
	BOG	MEK	DV2601X1	V1BW*119	16-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	6.400	UGL	
	BOG	MEK	WV2601X1	V1BW*124	16-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	6.400	UGL	
	BOG	MIBK	BV2501X1	V1BW*111	15-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	3.000	UGL	
	BOG	MIBK	DV2601X1	V1BW*119	16-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	3.000	UGL	
	BOG	MIBK	WV2601X1	V1BW*124	16-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	3.000	UGL	
	BOG	MNBK	BV2501X1	V1BW*111	15-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	3.600	UGL	
	BOG	MNBK	WV2601X1	V1BW*124	16-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	3.600	UGL	
	BOG	MNBK	DV2601X1	V1BW*119	16-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	3.600	UGL	

Table H6
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Trip Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	BOG	STYR	BV2501X1	V1BW*111	15-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOG	STYR	DV2601X1	V1BW*119	16-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOG	STYR	WV2601X1	V1BW*124	16-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOG	T13DCP	BV2501X1	V1BW*111	15-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.700	UGL	
	BOG	T13DCP	WV2601X1	V1BW*124	16-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.700	UGL	
	BOG	T13DCP	DV2601X1	V1BW*119	16-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.700	UGL	
	BOG	TCLEA	BV2501X1	V1BW*111	15-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.510	UGL	
	BOG	TCLEA	WV2601X1	V1BW*124	16-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.510	UGL	
	BOG	TCLEA	DV2601X1	V1BW*119	16-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.510	UGL	
	BOG	TCLEE	BV2501X1	V1BW*111	15-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	1.600	UGL	
	BOG	TCLEE	DV2601X1	V1BW*119	16-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	1.600	UGL	
	BOG	TCLEE	WV2601X1	V1BW*124	16-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	1.600	UGL	
	BOG	TRCLE	BV2501X1	V1BW*111	15-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOG	TRCLE	WV2601X1	V1BW*124	16-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOG	TRCLE	DV2601X1	V1BW*119	16-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOG	XYLEN	BV2501X1	V1BW*111	15-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.840	UGL	
	BOG	XYLEN	DV2601X1	V1BW*119	16-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.840	UGL	
	BOG	XYLEN	WV2601X1	V1BW*124	16-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.840	UGL	
	BOI	111TCE	WV2602X1	V1BW*118	21-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOI	111TCE	WV2603X1	V1BW*120	22-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOI	112TCE	WV2602X1	V1BW*118	21-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	1.200	UGL	
	BOI	112TCE	WV2603X1	V1BW*120	22-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	1.200	UGL	
	BOI	11DCE	WV2602X1	V1BW*118	21-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOI	11DCE	WV2603X1	V1BW*120	22-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOI	11DCLE	WV2602X1	V1BW*118	21-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	0.680	UGL	
	BOI	11DCLE	WV2603X1	V1BW*120	22-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	0.680	UGL	
	BOI	12DCE	WV2602X1	V1BW*118	21-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOI	12DCE	WV2603X1	V1BW*120	22-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOI	12DCLE	WV2602X1	V1BW*118	21-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOI	12DCLE	WV2603X1	V1BW*120	22-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOI	12DCLP	WV2602X1	V1BW*118	21-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOI	12DCLP	WV2603X1	V1BW*120	22-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOI	2CLEVE	WV2602X1	V1BW*118	21-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	0.710	UGL	
	BOI	2CLEVE	WV2603X1	V1BW*120	22-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	0.710	UGL	
	BOI	ACET	WV2602X1	V1BW*118	21-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	13.000	UGL	
	BOI	ACET	WV2603X1	V1BW*120	22-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	13.000	UGL	
	BOI	ACROLN	WV2602X1	V1BW*118	21-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	100.000	UGL	

Table H6
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Trip Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	BOI	ACROLN	WV2603X1	V1BW*120	22-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	100.000	UGL	
	BOI	ACRYLO	WV2602X1	V1BW*118	21-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	100.000	UGL	
	BOI	ACRYLO	WV2603X1	V1BW*120	22-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	100.000	UGL	
	BOI	BRDCLM	WV2602X1	V1BW*118	21-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	0.590	UGL	
	BOI	BRDCLM	WV2603X1	V1BW*120	22-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	0.590	UGL	
	BOI	C13DCP	WV2602X1	V1BW*118	21-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	0.580	UGL	
	BOI	C13DCP	WV2603X1	V1BW*120	22-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	0.580	UGL	
	BOI	C2AVE	WV2602X1	V1BW*118	21-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	8.300	UGL	
	BOI	C2AVE	WV2603X1	V1BW*120	22-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	8.300	UGL	
	BOI	C2H3CL	WV2602X1	V1BW*118	21-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	2.600	UGL	
	BOI	C2H3CL	WV2603X1	V1BW*120	22-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	2.600	UGL	
	BOI	C2H5CL	WV2602X1	V1BW*118	21-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	1.900	UGL	
	BOI	C2H5CL	WV2603X1	V1BW*120	22-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	1.900	UGL	
	BOI	C6H6	WV2602X1	V1BW*118	21-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOI	C6H6	WV2603X1	V1BW*120	22-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOI	CCL3F	WV2602X1	V1BW*118	21-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	1.400	UGL	
	BOI	CCL3F	WV2603X1	V1BW*120	22-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	1.400	UGL	
	BOI	CCL4	WV2602X1	V1BW*118	21-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	0.580	UGL	
	BOI	CCL4	WV2603X1	V1BW*120	22-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	0.580	UGL	
	BOI	CH2CL2	WV2602X1	V1BW*118	21-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	2.300	UGL	
	BOI	CH2CL2	WV2603X1	V1BW*120	22-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	2.300	UGL	
	BOI	CH3BR	WV2602X1	V1BW*118	21-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	5.800	UGL	
	BOI	CH3BR	WV2603X1	V1BW*120	22-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	5.800	UGL	
	BOI	CH3CL	WV2602X1	V1BW*118	21-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	3.200	UGL	
	BOI	CH3CL	WV2603X1	V1BW*120	22-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	3.200	UGL	
	BOI	CHBR3	WV2602X1	V1BW*118	21-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	2.600	UGL	
	BOI	CHBR3	WV2603X1	V1BW*120	22-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	2.600	UGL	
	BOI	CHCL3	WV2602X1	V1BW*118	21-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOI	CHCL3	WV2603X1	V1BW*120	22-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOI	CL2BZ	WV2602X1	V1BW*118	21-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	10.000	UGL	
	BOI	CL2BZ	WV2603X1	V1BW*120	22-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	10.000	UGL	
	BOI	CLC6H5	WV2602X1	V1BW*118	21-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOI	CLC6H5	WV2603X1	V1BW*120	22-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOI	CS2	WV2602X1	V1BW*118	21-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOI	CS2	WV2603X1	V1BW*120	22-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOI	DBRCLM	WV2602X1	V1BW*118	21-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	0.670	UGL	
	BOI	DBRCLM	WV2603X1	V1BW*120	22-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	0.670	UGL	

Table H6
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Trip Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	BOI	ETC6H5	WV2602X1	V1BW*118	21-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOI	ETC6H5	WV2603X1	V1BW*120	22-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOI	MEC6H5	WV2602X1	V1BW*118	21-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOI	MEC6H5	WV2603X1	V1BW*120	22-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOI	MEK	WV2602X1	V1BW*118	21-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	6.400	UGL	
	BOI	MEK	WV2603X1	V1BW*120	22-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	6.400	UGL	
	BOI	MIBK	WV2602X1	V1BW*118	21-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	3.000	UGL	
	BOI	MIBK	WV2603X1	V1BW*120	22-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	3.000	UGL	
	BOI	MNBK	WV2602X1	V1BW*118	21-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	3.600	UGL	
	BOI	MNBK	WV2603X1	V1BW*120	22-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	3.600	UGL	
	BOI	STYR	WV2602X1	V1BW*118	21-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOI	STYR	WV2603X1	V1BW*120	22-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOI	T13DCP	WV2602X1	V1BW*118	21-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	0.700	UGL	
	BOI	T13DCP	WV2603X1	V1BW*120	22-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	0.700	UGL	
	BOI	TCLEA	WV2602X1	V1BW*118	21-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	0.510	UGL	
	BOI	TCLEA	WV2603X1	V1BW*120	22-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	0.510	UGL	
	BOI	TCLEE	WV2602X1	V1BW*118	21-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	1.600	UGL	
	BOI	TCLEE	WV2603X1	V1BW*120	22-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	1.600	UGL	
	BOI	TRCLE	WV2602X1	V1BW*118	21-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOI	TRCLE	WV2603X1	V1BW*120	22-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOI	XYLEN	WV2602X1	V1BW*118	21-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	0.840	UGL	
	BOI	XYLEN	WV2603X1	V1BW*120	22-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	0.840	UGL	
	BOJ	111TCE	DV2602X1	V1BW*117	21-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26D-92-07X
	BOJ	111TCE	DV2603X1	V1BW*116	22-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26D-92-09X
	BOJ	112TCE	DV2602X1	V1BW*117	21-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	1.200	UGL	26D-92-07X
	BOJ	112TCE	DV2603X1	V1BW*116	22-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	1.200	UGL	26D-92-09X
	BOJ	11DCE	DV2602X1	V1BW*117	21-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26D-92-07X
	BOJ	11DCE	DV2603X1	V1BW*116	22-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26D-92-09X
	BOJ	11DCLE	DV2602X1	V1BW*117	21-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	0.680	UGL	26D-92-07X
	BOJ	11DCLE	DV2603X1	V1BW*116	22-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	0.680	UGL	26D-92-09X
	BOJ	12DCE	DV2602X1	V1BW*117	21-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26D-92-07X
	BOJ	12DCE	DV2603X1	V1BW*116	22-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26D-92-09X
	BOJ	12DCLE	DV2602X1	V1BW*117	21-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26D-92-07X
	BOJ	12DCLE	DV2603X1	V1BW*116	22-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26D-92-09X
	BOJ	12DCLP	DV2602X1	V1BW*117	21-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26D-92-07X
	BOJ	12DCLP	DV2603X1	V1BW*116	22-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26D-92-09X
	BOJ	2CLEVE	DV2602X1	V1BW*117	21-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	0.710	UGL	26D-92-07X

Table H6
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Trip Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	BOJ	2CLEVE	DV2603X1	V1BW*116	22-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	0.710	UGL	26D-92-09X
	BOJ	ACET	DV2602X1	V1BW*117	21-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	13.000	UGL	26D-92-07X
	BOJ	ACET	DV2603X1	V1BW*116	22-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	13.000	UGL	26D-92-09X
	BOJ	ACROLN	DV2602X1	V1BW*117	21-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	100.000	UGL	26D-92-07X
	BOJ	ACROLN	DV2603X1	V1BW*116	22-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	100.000	UGL	26D-92-09X
	BOJ	ACRYLO	DV2602X1	V1BW*117	21-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	100.000	UGL	26D-92-07X
	BOJ	ACRYLO	DV2603X1	V1BW*116	22-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	100.000	UGL	26D-92-09X
	BOJ	BRDCLM	DV2602X1	V1BW*117	21-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	0.590	UGL	26D-92-07X
	BOJ	BRDCLM	DV2603X1	V1BW*116	22-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	0.590	UGL	26D-92-09X
	BOJ	C13DCP	DV2602X1	V1BW*117	21-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	0.580	UGL	26D-92-07X
	BOJ	C13DCP	DV2603X1	V1BW*116	22-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	0.580	UGL	26D-92-09X
	BOJ	C2AVE	DV2602X1	V1BW*117	21-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	8.300	UGL	26D-92-07X
	BOJ	C2AVE	DV2603X1	V1BW*116	22-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	8.300	UGL	26D-92-09X
	BOJ	C2H3CL	DV2602X1	V1BW*117	21-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	2.600	UGL	26D-92-07X
	BOJ	C2H3CL	DV2603X1	V1BW*116	22-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	2.600	UGL	26D-92-09X
	BOJ	C2H5CL	DV2602X1	V1BW*117	21-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	1.900	UGL	26D-92-07X
	BOJ	C2H5CL	DV2603X1	V1BW*116	22-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	1.900	UGL	26D-92-09X
	BOJ	C6H6	DV2602X1	V1BW*117	21-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26D-92-07X
	BOJ	C6H6	DV2603X1	V1BW*116	22-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26D-92-09X
	BOJ	CCL3F	DV2602X1	V1BW*117	21-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	1.400	UGL	26D-92-07X
	BOJ	CCL3F	DV2603X1	V1BW*116	22-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	1.400	UGL	26D-92-09X
	BOJ	CCL4	DV2602X1	V1BW*117	21-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	0.580	UGL	26D-92-07X
	BOJ	CCL4	DV2603X1	V1BW*116	22-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	0.580	UGL	26D-92-09X
	BOJ	CH2CL2	DV2602X1	V1BW*117	21-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	2.300	UGL	26D-92-07X
	BOJ	CH2CL2	DV2603X1	V1BW*116	22-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	2.300	UGL	26D-92-09X
	BOJ	CH3BR	DV2602X1	V1BW*117	21-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	5.800	UGL	26D-92-07X
	BOJ	CH3BR	DV2603X1	V1BW*116	22-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	5.800	UGL	26D-92-09X
	BOJ	CH3CL	DV2602X1	V1BW*117	21-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	3.200	UGL	26D-92-07X
	BOJ	CH3CL	DV2603X1	V1BW*116	22-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	3.200	UGL	26D-92-09X
	BOJ	CHBR3	DV2602X1	V1BW*117	21-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	2.600	UGL	26D-92-07X
	BOJ	CHBR3	DV2603X1	V1BW*116	22-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	2.600	UGL	26D-92-09X
	BOJ	CHCL3	DV2602X1	V1BW*117	21-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26D-92-07X
	BOJ	CHCL3	DV2603X1	V1BW*116	22-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26D-92-09X
	BOJ	CL2BZ	DV2602X1	V1BW*117	21-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	10.000	UGL	26D-92-07X
	BOJ	CL2BZ	DV2603X1	V1BW*116	22-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	10.000	UGL	26D-92-09X
	BOJ	CLC6H5	DV2602X1	V1BW*117	21-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26D-92-07X
	BOJ	CLC6H5	DV2603X1	V1BW*116	22-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26D-92-09X

Table H6
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Trip Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	BOJ	CS2	DV2602X1	V1BW*117	21-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26D-92-07X
	BOJ	CS2	DV2603X1	V1BW*116	22-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26D-92-09X
	BOJ	DBRCLM	DV2602X1	V1BW*117	21-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	0.670	UGL	26D-92-07X
	BOJ	DBRCLM	DV2603X1	V1BW*116	22-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	0.670	UGL	26D-92-09X
	BOJ	ETC6H5	DV2602X1	V1BW*117	21-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26D-92-07X
	BOJ	ETC6H5	DV2603X1	V1BW*116	22-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26D-92-09X
	BOJ	MEC6H5	DV2602X1	V1BW*117	21-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26D-92-07X
	BOJ	MEC6H5	DV2603X1	V1BW*116	22-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26D-92-09X
	BOJ	MEK	DV2602X1	V1BW*117	21-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	6.400	UGL	26D-92-07X
	BOJ	MEK	DV2603X1	V1BW*116	22-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	6.400	UGL	26D-92-09X
	BOJ	MIBK	DV2602X1	V1BW*117	21-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	3.000	UGL	26D-92-07X
	BOJ	MIBK	DV2603X1	V1BW*116	22-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	3.000	UGL	26D-92-09X
	BOJ	MNBK	DV2602X1	V1BW*117	21-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	3.600	UGL	26D-92-07X
	BOJ	MNBK	DV2603X1	V1BW*116	22-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	3.600	UGL	26D-92-09X
	BOJ	STYR	DV2602X1	V1BW*117	21-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26D-92-07X
	BOJ	STYR	DV2603X1	V1BW*116	22-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26D-92-09X
	BOJ	T13DCP	DV2602X1	V1BW*117	21-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	0.700	UGL	26D-92-07X
	BOJ	T13DCP	DV2603X1	V1BW*116	22-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	0.700	UGL	26D-92-09X
	BOJ	TCLEA	DV2602X1	V1BW*117	21-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	0.510	UGL	26D-92-07X
	BOJ	TCLEA	DV2603X1	V1BW*116	22-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	0.510	UGL	26D-92-09X
	BOJ	TCLEE	DV2602X1	V1BW*117	21-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	1.600	UGL	26D-92-07X
	BOJ	TCLEE	DV2603X1	V1BW*116	22-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	1.600	UGL	26D-92-09X
	BOJ	TRCLE	DV2602X1	V1BW*117	21-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26D-92-07X
	BOJ	TRCLE	DV2603X1	V1BW*116	22-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26D-92-09X
	BOJ	XYLEN	DV2602X1	V1BW*117	21-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	0.840	UGL	26D-92-07X
	BOJ	XYLEN	DV2603X1	V1BW*116	22-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	0.840	UGL	26D-92-09X
	BOL	111TCE	DV2601X1	V1BW*114	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26D-92-01X
	BOL	111TCE	WV2603X1	V1BW*115	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26D-92-03X
	BOL	112TCE	DV2601X1	V1BW*114	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	1.200	UGL	26D-92-01X
	BOL	112TCE	WV2603X1	V1BW*115	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	1.200	UGL	26D-92-03X
	BOL	11DCE	DV2601X1	V1BW*114	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26D-92-01X
	BOL	11DCE	WV2603X1	V1BW*115	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26D-92-03X
	BOL	11DCLE	DV2601X1	V1BW*114	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.680	UGL	26D-92-01X
	BOL	11DCLE	WV2603X1	V1BW*115	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.680	UGL	26D-92-03X
	BOL	12DCE	DV2601X1	V1BW*114	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26D-92-01X
	BOL	12DCE	WV2603X1	V1BW*115	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26D-92-03X
	BOL	12DCLE	DV2601X1	V1BW*114	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26D-92-01X

Table H6
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Trip Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	BOL	12DCLE	WV2603X1	V1BW*115	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26D-92-03X
	BOL	12DCLP	DV2601X1	V1BW*114	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26D-92-01X
	BOL	12DCLP	WV2603X1	V1BW*115	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26D-92-03X
	BOL	2CLEVE	DV2601X1	V1BW*114	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.710	UGL	26D-92-01X
	BOL	2CLEVE	WV2603X1	V1BW*115	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.710	UGL	26D-92-03X
	BOL	ACET	DV2601X1	V1BW*114	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	13.000	UGL	26D-92-01X
	BOL	ACET	WV2603X1	V1BW*115	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	13.000	UGL	26D-92-03X
	BOL	ACROLN	DV2601X1	V1BW*114	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	100.000	UGL	26D-92-01X
	BOL	ACROLN	WV2603X1	V1BW*115	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	100.000	UGL	26D-92-03X
	BOL	ACRYLO	DV2601X1	V1BW*114	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	100.000	UGL	26D-92-01X
	BOL	ACRYLO	WV2603X1	V1BW*115	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	100.000	UGL	26D-92-03X
	BOL	BRDCLM	DV2601X1	V1BW*114	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.590	UGL	26D-92-01X
	BOL	BRDCLM	WV2603X1	V1BW*115	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.590	UGL	26D-92-03X
	BOL	C13DCP	DV2601X1	V1BW*114	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.580	UGL	26D-92-01X
	BOL	C13DCP	WV2603X1	V1BW*115	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.580	UGL	26D-92-03X
	BOL	C2AVE	DV2601X1	V1BW*114	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	8.300	UGL	26D-92-01X
	BOL	C2AVE	WV2603X1	V1BW*115	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	8.300	UGL	26D-92-03X
	BOL	C2H3CL	DV2601X1	V1BW*114	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	2.600	UGL	26D-92-01X
	BOL	C2H3CL	WV2603X1	V1BW*115	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	2.600	UGL	26D-92-03X
	BOL	C2H5CL	DV2601X1	V1BW*114	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	1.900	UGL	26D-92-01X
	BOL	C2H5CL	WV2603X1	V1BW*115	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	1.900	UGL	26D-92-03X
	BOL	C6H6	DV2601X1	V1BW*114	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26D-92-01X
	BOL	C6H6	WV2603X1	V1BW*115	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26D-92-03X
	BOL	CCL3F	DV2601X1	V1BW*114	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	1.400	UGL	26D-92-01X
	BOL	CCL3F	WV2603X1	V1BW*115	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	1.400	UGL	26D-92-03X
	BOL	CCL4	DV2601X1	V1BW*114	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.580	UGL	26D-92-01X
	BOL	CCL4	WV2603X1	V1BW*115	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.580	UGL	26D-92-03X
	BOL	CH2CL2	DV2601X1	V1BW*114	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	2.300	UGL	26D-92-01X
	BOL	CH2CL2	WV2603X1	V1BW*115	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	2.300	UGL	26D-92-03X
	BOL	CH3BR	DV2601X1	V1BW*114	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	5.800	UGL	26D-92-01X
	BOL	CH3BR	WV2603X1	V1BW*115	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	5.800	UGL	26D-92-03X
	BOL	CH3CL	DV2601X1	V1BW*114	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	3.200	UGL	26D-92-01X
	BOL	CH3CL	WV2603X1	V1BW*115	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	3.200	UGL	26D-92-03X
	BOL	CHBR3	DV2601X1	V1BW*114	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	2.600	UGL	26D-92-01X
	BOL	CHBR3	WV2603X1	V1BW*115	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	2.600	UGL	26D-92-03X
	BOL	CHCL3	DV2601X1	V1BW*114	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26D-92-01X
	BOL	CHCL3	WV2603X1	V1BW*115	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26D-92-03X

Table H6
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Trip Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	BOL	CL2BZ	DV2601X1	V1BW*114	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	10.000	UGL	26D-92-01X
	BOL	CL2BZ	WV2603X1	V1BW*115	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	10.000	UGL	26D-92-03X
	BOL	CLC6H5	DV2601X1	V1BW*114	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26D-92-01X
	BOL	CLC6H5	WV2603X1	V1BW*115	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26D-92-03X
	BOL	CS2	DV2601X1	V1BW*114	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26D-92-01X
	BOL	CS2	WV2603X1	V1BW*115	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26D-92-03X
	BOL	DBRCLM	DV2601X1	V1BW*114	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.670	UGL	26D-92-01X
	BOL	DBRCLM	WV2603X1	V1BW*115	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.670	UGL	26D-92-03X
	BOL	ETC6H5	DV2601X1	V1BW*114	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26D-92-01X
	BOL	ETC6H5	WV2603X1	V1BW*115	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26D-92-03X
	BOL	MEC6H5	DV2601X1	V1BW*114	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26D-92-01X
	BOL	MEC6H5	WV2603X1	V1BW*115	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26D-92-03X
	BOL	MEK	DV2601X1	V1BW*114	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	6.400	UGL	26D-92-01X
	BOL	MEK	WV2603X1	V1BW*115	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	6.400	UGL	26D-92-03X
	BOL	MIBK	DV2601X1	V1BW*114	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	3.000	UGL	26D-92-01X
	BOL	MIBK	WV2603X1	V1BW*115	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	3.000	UGL	26D-92-03X
	BOL	MNBK	DV2601X1	V1BW*114	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	3.600	UGL	26D-92-01X
	BOL	MNBK	WV2603X1	V1BW*115	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	3.600	UGL	26D-92-03X
	BOL	STYR	DV2601X1	V1BW*114	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26D-92-01X
	BOL	STYR	WV2603X1	V1BW*115	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26D-92-03X
	BOL	T13DCP	DV2601X1	V1BW*114	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.700	UGL	26D-92-01X
	BOL	T13DCP	WV2603X1	V1BW*115	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.700	UGL	26D-92-03X
	BOL	TCLEA	DV2601X1	V1BW*114	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.510	UGL	26D-92-01X
	BOL	TCLEA	WV2603X1	V1BW*115	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.510	UGL	26D-92-03X
	BOL	TCLEE	DV2601X1	V1BW*114	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	1.600	UGL	26D-92-01X
	BOL	TCLEE	WV2603X1	V1BW*115	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	1.600	UGL	26D-92-03X
	BOL	TRCLE	DV2601X1	V1BW*114	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26D-92-01X
	BOL	TRCLE	WV2603X1	V1BW*115	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26D-92-03X
	BOL	XYLEN	DV2601X1	V1BW*114	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.840	UGL	26D-92-01X
	BOL	XYLEN	WV2603X1	V1BW*115	23-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.840	UGL	26D-92-03X
	BOO	111TCE	DV2605X1	V1BW*123	26-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOO	112TCE	DV2605X1	V1BW*123	26-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	1.200	UGL	
	BOO	11DCE	DV2605X1	V1BW*123	26-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOO	11DCLE	DV2605X1	V1BW*123	26-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	0.680	UGL	
	BOO	12DCE	DV2605X1	V1BW*123	26-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOO	12DCLE	DV2605X1	V1BW*123	26-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOO	12DCLP	DV2605X1	V1BW*123	26-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	

Table H6
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Trip Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	B00	2CLEVE	DV2605X1	V1BW*123	26-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	0.710	UGL	
	B00	ACET	DV2605X1	V1BW*123	26-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	13.000	UGL	
	B00	ACROLN	DV2605X1	V1BW*123	26-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	100.000	UGL	
	B00	ACRYLO	DV2605X1	V1BW*123	26-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	100.000	UGL	
	B00	BRDCLM	DV2605X1	V1BW*123	26-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	0.590	UGL	
	B00	C13DCP	DV2605X1	V1BW*123	26-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	0.580	UGL	
	B00	C2AVE	DV2605X1	V1BW*123	26-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	8.300	UGL	
	B00	C2H3CL	DV2605X1	V1BW*123	26-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	2.600	UGL	
	B00	C2H5CL	DV2605X1	V1BW*123	26-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	1.900	UGL	
	B00	C6H6	DV2605X1	V1BW*123	26-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	B00	CCL3F	DV2605X1	V1BW*123	26-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	1.400	UGL	
	B00	CCL4	DV2605X1	V1BW*123	26-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	0.580	UGL	
	B00	CH2CL2	DV2605X1	V1BW*123	26-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	2.300	UGL	
	B00	CH3BR	DV2605X1	V1BW*123	26-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	5.800	UGL	
	B00	CH3CL	DV2605X1	V1BW*123	26-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	3.200	UGL	
	B00	CHBR3	DV2605X1	V1BW*123	26-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	2.600	UGL	
	B00	CHCL3	DV2605X1	V1BW*123	26-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	B00	CL2BZ	DV2605X1	V1BW*123	26-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	10.000	UGL	
	B00	CLC6H5	DV2605X1	V1BW*123	26-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	B00	CS2	DV2605X1	V1BW*123	26-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	B00	DBRCLM	DV2605X1	V1BW*123	26-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	0.670	UGL	
	B00	ETC6H5	DV2605X1	V1BW*123	26-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	B00	MEC6H5	DV2605X1	V1BW*123	26-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	B00	MEK	DV2605X1	V1BW*123	26-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	6.400	UGL	
	B00	MIBK	DV2605X1	V1BW*123	26-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	3.000	UGL	
	B00	MNBK	DV2605X1	V1BW*123	26-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	3.600	UGL	
	B00	STYR	DV2605X1	V1BW*123	26-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	B00	T13DCP	DV2605X1	V1BW*123	26-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	0.700	UGL	
	B00	TCLEA	DV2605X1	V1BW*123	26-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	0.510	UGL	
	B00	TCLEE	DV2605X1	V1BW*123	26-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	1.600	UGL	
	B00	TRCLE	DV2605X1	V1BW*123	26-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	B00	XYLEN	DV2605X1	V1BW*123	26-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	0.840	UGL	
	B0P	111TCE	DVTRP131	VTRP*131	27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-131
	B0P	112TCE	DVTRP131	VTRP*131	27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	1.200	UGL	TBK-92-131
	B0P	11DCE	DVTRP131	VTRP*131	27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-131
	B0P	11DCLE	DVTRP131	VTRP*131	27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	0.680	UGL	TBK-92-131
	B0P	12DCE	DVTRP131	VTRP*131	27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-131

Table H6
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Trip Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	BOP	12DCLE	DVTRP131	VTRP*131	27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-131
	BOP	12DCLP	DVTRP131	VTRP*131	27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-131
	BOP	2CLEVE	DVTRP131	VTRP*131	27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	0.710	UGL	TBK-92-131
	BOP	ACET	DVTRP131	VTRP*131	27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	13.000	UGL	TBK-92-131
	BOP	ACROLN	DVTRP131	VTRP*131	27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	100.000	UGL	TBK-92-131
	BOP	ACRYLO	DVTRP131	VTRP*131	27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	100.000	UGL	TBK-92-131
	BOP	BRDCLM	DVTRP131	VTRP*131	27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	0.590	UGL	TBK-92-131
	BOP	C13DCP	DVTRP131	VTRP*131	27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	0.580	UGL	TBK-92-131
	BOP	C2AVE	DVTRP131	VTRP*131	27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	8.300	UGL	TBK-92-131
	BOP	C2H3CL	DVTRP131	VTRP*131	27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	2.600	UGL	TBK-92-131
	BOP	C2H5CL	DVTRP131	VTRP*131	27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	1.900	UGL	TBK-92-131
	BOP	C6H6	DVTRP131	VTRP*131	27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-131
	BOP	CCL3F	DVTRP131	VTRP*131	27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	1.400	UGL	TBK-92-131
	BOP	CCL4	DVTRP131	VTRP*131	27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	0.580	UGL	TBK-92-131
	BOP	CH2CL2	DVTRP131	VTRP*131	27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	2.300	UGL	TBK-92-131
	BOP	CH3BR	DVTRP131	VTRP*131	27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	5.800	UGL	TBK-92-131
	BOP	CH3CL	DVTRP131	VTRP*131	27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	3.200	UGL	TBK-92-131
	BOP	CHBR3	DVTRP131	VTRP*131	27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	2.600	UGL	TBK-92-131
	BOP	CHCL3	DVTRP131	VTRP*131	27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-131
	BOP	CL2BZ	DVTRP131	VTRP*131	27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	10.000	UGL	TBK-92-131
	BOP	CLC6H5	DVTRP131	VTRP*131	27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-131
	BOP	CS2	DVTRP131	VTRP*131	27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-131
	BOP	DBRCLM	DVTRP131	VTRP*131	27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	0.670	UGL	TBK-92-131
	BOP	ETC6H5	DVTRP131	VTRP*131	27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-131
	BOP	MEC6H5	DVTRP131	VTRP*131	27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-131
	BOP	MEK	DVTRP131	VTRP*131	27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	6.400	UGL	TBK-92-131
	BOP	MIBK	DVTRP131	VTRP*131	27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	3.000	UGL	TBK-92-131
	BOP	MNBK	DVTRP131	VTRP*131	27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	3.600	UGL	TBK-92-131
	BOP	STYR	DVTRP131	VTRP*131	27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-131
	BOP	T13DCP	DVTRP131	VTRP*131	27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	0.700	UGL	TBK-92-131
	BOP	TCLEA	DVTRP131	VTRP*131	27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	0.510	UGL	TBK-92-131
	BOP	TCLEE	DVTRP131	VTRP*131	27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	1.600	UGL	TBK-92-131
	BOP	TRCLE	DVTRP131	VTRP*131	27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-131
	BOP	XYLEN	DVTRP131	VTRP*131	27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	0.840	UGL	TBK-92-131
	BOQ	111TCE	DVTRP132	VTRP*132	28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992		2.400	UGL	TBK-92-132
	BOQ	111TCE	DVTRP133	VTRP*133	30-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-133
	BOQ	112TCE	DVTRP132	VTRP*132	28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	1.200	UGL	TBK-92-132

Table H6
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Trip Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	BOQ	112TCE	DVTRP133	VTRP*133	30-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	1.200	UGL	TBK-92-133
	BOQ	11DCE	DVTRP132	VTRP*132	28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-132
	BOQ	11DCE	DVTRP133	VTRP*133	30-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-133
	BOQ	11DCLE	DVTRP132	VTRP*132	28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.680	UGL	TBK-92-132
	BOQ	11DCLE	DVTRP133	VTRP*133	30-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.680	UGL	TBK-92-133
	BOQ	12DCE	DVTRP132	VTRP*132	28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-132
	BOQ	12DCE	DVTRP133	VTRP*133	30-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-133
	BOQ	12DCLE	DVTRP132	VTRP*132	28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-132
	BOQ	12DCLE	DVTRP133	VTRP*133	30-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-133
	BOQ	12DCLP	DVTRP132	VTRP*132	28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-132
	BOQ	12DCLP	DVTRP133	VTRP*133	30-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-133
	BOQ	2CLEVE	DVTRP132	VTRP*132	28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.710	UGL	TBK-92-132
	BOQ	2CLEVE	DVTRP133	VTRP*133	30-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.710	UGL	TBK-92-133
	BOQ	ACET	DVTRP132	VTRP*132	28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	13.000	UGL	TBK-92-132
	BOQ	ACET	DVTRP133	VTRP*133	30-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	13.000	UGL	TBK-92-133
	BOQ	ACROLN	DVTRP132	VTRP*132	28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	100.000	UGL	TBK-92-132
	BOQ	ACROLN	DVTRP133	VTRP*133	30-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	100.000	UGL	TBK-92-133
	BOQ	ACRYLO	DVTRP132	VTRP*132	28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	100.000	UGL	TBK-92-132
	BOQ	ACRYLO	DVTRP133	VTRP*133	30-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	100.000	UGL	TBK-92-133
	BOQ	BRDCLM	DVTRP132	VTRP*132	28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.590	UGL	TBK-92-132
	BOQ	BRDCLM	DVTRP133	VTRP*133	30-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.590	UGL	TBK-92-133
	BOQ	C13DCP	DVTRP132	VTRP*132	28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.580	UGL	TBK-92-132
	BOQ	C13DCP	DVTRP133	VTRP*133	30-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.580	UGL	TBK-92-133
	BOQ	C2AVE	DVTRP132	VTRP*132	28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	8.300	UGL	TBK-92-132
	BOQ	C2AVE	DVTRP133	VTRP*133	30-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	8.300	UGL	TBK-92-133
	BOQ	C2H3CL	DVTRP132	VTRP*132	28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	2.600	UGL	TBK-92-132
	BOQ	C2H3CL	DVTRP133	VTRP*133	30-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	2.600	UGL	TBK-92-133
	BOQ	C2H5CL	DVTRP132	VTRP*132	28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	1.900	UGL	TBK-92-132
	BOQ	C2H5CL	DVTRP133	VTRP*133	30-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	1.900	UGL	TBK-92-133
	BOQ	C6H6	DVTRP132	VTRP*132	28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-132
	BOQ	C6H6	DVTRP133	VTRP*133	30-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-133
	BOQ	CCL3F	DVTRP132	VTRP*132	28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	1.400	UGL	TBK-92-132
	BOQ	CCL3F	DVTRP133	VTRP*133	30-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	1.400	UGL	TBK-92-133
	BOQ	CCL4	DVTRP132	VTRP*132	28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.580	UGL	TBK-92-132
	BOQ	CCL4	DVTRP133	VTRP*133	30-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.580	UGL	TBK-92-133
	BOQ	CH2CL2	DVTRP132	VTRP*132	28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	2.300	UGL	TBK-92-132
	BOQ	CH2CL2	DVTRP133	VTRP*133	30-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	2.300	UGL	TBK-92-133

Table H6
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Trip Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	BOQ	CH3BR	DVTRP132	VTRP*132	28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	5.800	UGL	TBK-92-132
	BOQ	CH3BR	DVTRP133	VTRP*133	30-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	5.800	UGL	TBK-92-133
	BOQ	CH3CL	DVTRP132	VTRP*132	28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	3.200	UGL	TBK-92-132
	BOQ	CH3CL	DVTRP133	VTRP*133	30-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	3.200	UGL	TBK-92-133
	BOQ	CHBR3	DVTRP132	VTRP*132	28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	2.600	UGL	TBK-92-132
	BOQ	CHBR3	DVTRP133	VTRP*133	30-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	2.600	UGL	TBK-92-133
	BOQ	CHCL3	DVTRP132	VTRP*132	28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-132
	BOQ	CHCL3	DVTRP133	VTRP*133	30-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-133
	BOQ	CL2BZ	DVTRP132	VTRP*132	28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	10.000	UGL	TBK-92-132
	BOQ	CL2BZ	DVTRP133	VTRP*133	30-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	10.000	UGL	TBK-92-133
	BOQ	CLC6H5	DVTRP132	VTRP*132	28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-132
	BOQ	CLC6H5	DVTRP133	VTRP*133	30-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-133
	BOQ	CS2	DVTRP132	VTRP*132	28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-132
	BOQ	CS2	DVTRP133	VTRP*133	30-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-133
	BOQ	DBRCLM	DVTRP132	VTRP*132	28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.670	UGL	TBK-92-132
	BOQ	DBRCLM	DVTRP133	VTRP*133	30-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.670	UGL	TBK-92-133
	BOQ	ETC6H5	DVTRP132	VTRP*132	28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-132
	BOQ	ETC6H5	DVTRP133	VTRP*133	30-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-133
	BOQ	MEC6H5	DVTRP132	VTRP*132	28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-132
	BOQ	MEC6H5	DVTRP133	VTRP*133	30-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-133
	BOQ	MEK	DVTRP132	VTRP*132	28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	6.400	UGL	TBK-92-132
	BOQ	MEK	DVTRP133	VTRP*133	30-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	6.400	UGL	TBK-92-133
	BOQ	MIBK	DVTRP132	VTRP*132	28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	3.000	UGL	TBK-92-132
	BOQ	MIBK	DVTRP133	VTRP*133	30-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	3.000	UGL	TBK-92-133
	BOQ	MNBK	DVTRP132	VTRP*132	28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	3.600	UGL	TBK-92-132
	BOQ	MNBK	DVTRP133	VTRP*133	30-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	3.600	UGL	TBK-92-133
	BOQ	STYR	DVTRP132	VTRP*132	28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-132
	BOQ	STYR	DVTRP133	VTRP*133	30-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-133
	BOQ	T13DCP	DVTRP132	VTRP*132	28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.700	UGL	TBK-92-132
	BOQ	T13DCP	DVTRP133	VTRP*133	30-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.700	UGL	TBK-92-133
	BOQ	TCLEA	DVTRP132	VTRP*132	28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.510	UGL	TBK-92-132
	BOQ	TCLEA	DVTRP133	VTRP*133	30-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.510	UGL	TBK-92-133
	BOQ	TCLEE	DVTRP132	VTRP*132	28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	1.600	UGL	TBK-92-132
	BOQ	TCLEE	DVTRP133	VTRP*133	30-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	1.600	UGL	TBK-92-133
	BOQ	TRCLE	DVTRP132	VTRP*132	28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-132
	BOQ	TRCLE	DVTRP133	VTRP*133	30-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-133
	BOQ	XYLEN	DVTRP132	VTRP*132	28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.840	UGL	TBK-92-132

Table H6
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Trip Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	BOQ	XYLEN	DVTRP133	VTRP*133	30-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.840	UGL	TBK-92-133
	BOS	111TCE	DVTRP134	VTRP*134	02-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-134
	BOS	112TCE	DVTRP134	VTRP*134	02-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	1.200	UGL	TBK-92-134
	BOS	11DCE	DVTRP134	VTRP*134	02-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-134
	BOS	11DCLE	DVTRP134	VTRP*134	02-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	0.680	UGL	TBK-92-134
	BOS	12DCE	DVTRP134	VTRP*134	02-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-134
	BOS	12DCLE	DVTRP134	VTRP*134	02-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-134
	BOS	12DCLP	DVTRP134	VTRP*134	02-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-134
	BOS	2CLEVE	DVTRP134	VTRP*134	02-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	0.710	UGL	TBK-92-134
	BOS	ACET	DVTRP134	VTRP*134	02-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	13.000	UGL	TBK-92-134
	BOS	ACROLN	DVTRP134	VTRP*134	02-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	100.000	UGL	TBK-92-134
	BOS	ACRYLO	DVTRP134	VTRP*134	02-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	100.000	UGL	TBK-92-134
	BOS	BRDCLM	DVTRP134	VTRP*134	02-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	0.590	UGL	TBK-92-134
	BOS	C13DCP	DVTRP134	VTRP*134	02-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	0.580	UGL	TBK-92-134
	BOS	C2AVE	DVTRP134	VTRP*134	02-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	8.300	UGL	TBK-92-134
	BOS	C2H3CL	DVTRP134	VTRP*134	02-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	2.600	UGL	TBK-92-134
	BOS	C2H5CL	DVTRP134	VTRP*134	02-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	1.900	UGL	TBK-92-134
	BOS	C6H6	DVTRP134	VTRP*134	02-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-134
	BOS	CCL3F	DVTRP134	VTRP*134	02-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	1.400	UGL	TBK-92-134
	BOS	CCL4	DVTRP134	VTRP*134	02-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	0.580	UGL	TBK-92-134
	BOS	CH2CL2	DVTRP134	VTRP*134	02-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	2.300	UGL	TBK-92-134
	BOS	CH3BR	DVTRP134	VTRP*134	02-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	5.800	UGL	TBK-92-134
	BOS	CH3CL	DVTRP134	VTRP*134	02-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	3.200	UGL	TBK-92-134
	BOS	CHBR3	DVTRP134	VTRP*134	02-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	2.600	UGL	TBK-92-134
	BOS	CHCL3	DVTRP134	VTRP*134	02-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992		0.680	UGL	TBK-92-134
	BOS	CL2BZ	DVTRP134	VTRP*134	02-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	10.000	UGL	TBK-92-134
	BOS	CLC6H5	DVTRP134	VTRP*134	02-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-134
	BOS	CS2	DVTRP134	VTRP*134	02-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-134
	BOS	DBRCLM	DVTRP134	VTRP*134	02-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	0.670	UGL	TBK-92-134
	BOS	ETC6H5	DVTRP134	VTRP*134	02-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-134
	BOS	MEC6H5	DVTRP134	VTRP*134	02-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-134
	BOS	MEK	DVTRP134	VTRP*134	02-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	6.400	UGL	TBK-92-134
	BOS	MIBK	DVTRP134	VTRP*134	02-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	3.000	UGL	TBK-92-134
	BOS	MNBK	DVTRP134	VTRP*134	02-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	3.600	UGL	TBK-92-134
	BOS	STYR	DVTRP134	VTRP*134	02-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-134
	BOS	T13DCP	DVTRP134	VTRP*134	02-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	0.700	UGL	TBK-92-134
	BOS	TCLEA	DVTRP134	VTRP*134	02-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	0.510	UGL	TBK-92-134

Table H6
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Trip Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	BOS	TCLEE	DVTRP134	VTRP*134	02-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	1.600	UGL	TBK-92-134
	BOS	TRCLE	DVTRP134	VTRP*134	02-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-134
	BOS	XYLEN	DVTRP134	VTRP*134	02-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	0.840	UGL	TBK-92-134
	BOT	111TCE	DVTRP135	VTRP*135	03-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-135
	BOT	112TCE	DVTRP135	VTRP*135	03-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	1.200	UGL	TBK-92-135
	BOT	11DCE	DVTRP135	VTRP*135	03-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-135
	BOT	11DCLE	DVTRP135	VTRP*135	03-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.680	UGL	TBK-92-135
	BOT	12DCE	DVTRP135	VTRP*135	03-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-135
	BOT	12DCLE	DVTRP135	VTRP*135	03-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-135
	BOT	12DCLP	DVTRP135	VTRP*135	03-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-135
	BOT	2CLEVE	DVTRP135	VTRP*135	03-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.710	UGL	TBK-92-135
	BOT	ACET	DVTRP135	VTRP*135	03-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	13.000	UGL	TBK-92-135
	BOT	ACROLN	DVTRP135	VTRP*135	03-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	100.000	UGL	TBK-92-135
	BOT	ACRYLO	DVTRP135	VTRP*135	03-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	100.000	UGL	TBK-92-135
	BOT	BRDCLM	DVTRP135	VTRP*135	03-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.590	UGL	TBK-92-135
	BOT	C13DCP	DVTRP135	VTRP*135	03-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.580	UGL	TBK-92-135
	BOT	C2AVE	DVTRP135	VTRP*135	03-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	8.300	UGL	TBK-92-135
	BOT	C2H3CL	DVTRP135	VTRP*135	03-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	2.600	UGL	TBK-92-135
	BOT	C2H5CL	DVTRP135	VTRP*135	03-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	1.900	UGL	TBK-92-135
	BOT	C6H6	DVTRP135	VTRP*135	03-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-135
	BOT	CCL3F	DVTRP135	VTRP*135	03-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	1.400	UGL	TBK-92-135
	BOT	CCL4	DVTRP135	VTRP*135	03-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.580	UGL	TBK-92-135
	BOT	CH2CL2	DVTRP135	VTRP*135	03-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	2.300	UGL	TBK-92-135
	BOT	CH3BR	DVTRP135	VTRP*135	03-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	5.800	UGL	TBK-92-135
	BOT	CH3CL	DVTRP135	VTRP*135	03-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	3.200	UGL	TBK-92-135
	BOT	CHBR3	DVTRP135	VTRP*135	03-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	2.600	UGL	TBK-92-135
	BOT	CHCL3	DVTRP135	VTRP*135	03-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-135
	BOT	CL2BZ	DVTRP135	VTRP*135	03-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	10.000	UGL	TBK-92-135
	BOT	CLC6H5	DVTRP135	VTRP*135	03-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-135
	BOT	CS2	DVTRP135	VTRP*135	03-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-135
	BOT	DBRCLM	DVTRP135	VTRP*135	03-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.670	UGL	TBK-92-135
	BOT	ETC6H5	DVTRP135	VTRP*135	03-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-135
	BOT	MEC6H5	DVTRP135	VTRP*135	03-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-135
	BOT	MEK	DVTRP135	VTRP*135	03-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	6.400	UGL	TBK-92-135
	BOT	MIBK	DVTRP135	VTRP*135	03-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	3.000	UGL	TBK-92-135
	BOT	MNBK	DVTRP135	VTRP*135	03-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	3.600	UGL	TBK-92-135
	BOT	STYR	DVTRP135	VTRP*135	03-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-135

Table H6
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Trip Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	BOT	T13DCP	DVTRP135	VTRP*135	03-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.700	UGL	TBK-92-135
	BOT	TCLEA	DVTRP135	VTRP*135	03-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.510	UGL	TBK-92-135
	BOT	TCLEE	DVTRP135	VTRP*135	03-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	1.600	UGL	TBK-92-135
	BOT	TRCLE	DVTRP135	VTRP*135	03-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-135
	BOT	XYLEN	DVTRP135	VTRP*135	03-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.840	UGL	TBK-92-135
	BOZ	111TCE	MV2602X1	V1BW*152	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26M-92-02X
	BOZ	111TCE	MV3201X1	V1BW*161	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	32M-92-01X
	BOZ	111TCE	DV2605X1	V1BW*122	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26D-92-08X
	BOZ	112TCE	DV2605X1	V1BW*122	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	1.200	UGL	26D-92-08X
	BOZ	112TCE	MV2602X1	V1BW*152	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	1.200	UGL	26M-92-02X
	BOZ	112TCE	MV3201X1	V1BW*161	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	1.200	UGL	32M-92-01X
	BOZ	11DCE	MV2602X1	V1BW*152	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26M-92-02X
	BOZ	11DCE	MV3201X1	V1BW*161	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	32M-92-01X
	BOZ	11DCE	DV2605X1	V1BW*122	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26D-92-08X
	BOZ	11DCLE	DV2605X1	V1BW*122	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.680	UGL	26D-92-08X
	BOZ	11DCLE	MV2602X1	V1BW*152	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.680	UGL	26M-92-02X
	BOZ	11DCLE	MV3201X1	V1BW*161	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.680	UGL	32M-92-01X
	BOZ	12DCE	MV2602X1	V1BW*152	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26M-92-02X
	BOZ	12DCE	MV3201X1	V1BW*161	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	32M-92-01X
	BOZ	12DCE	DV2605X1	V1BW*122	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26D-92-08X
	BOZ	12DCLE	DV2605X1	V1BW*122	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26D-92-08X
	BOZ	12DCLE	MV2602X1	V1BW*152	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26M-92-02X
	BOZ	12DCLE	MV3201X1	V1BW*161	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	32M-92-01X
	BOZ	12DCLP	MV2602X1	V1BW*152	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26M-92-02X
	BOZ	12DCLP	MV3201X1	V1BW*161	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	32M-92-01X
	BOZ	12DCLP	DV2605X1	V1BW*122	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26D-92-08X
	BOZ	2CLEVE	DV2605X1	V1BW*122	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.710	UGL	26D-92-08X
	BOZ	2CLEVE	MV2602X1	V1BW*152	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.710	UGL	26M-92-02X
	BOZ	2CLEVE	MV3201X1	V1BW*161	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.710	UGL	32M-92-01X
	BOZ	ACET	MV2602X1	V1BW*152	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	13.000	UGL	26M-92-02X
	BOZ	ACET	MV3201X1	V1BW*161	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	13.000	UGL	32M-92-01X
	BOZ	ACET	DV2605X1	V1BW*122	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	13.000	UGL	26D-92-08X
	BOZ	ACROLN	DV2605X1	V1BW*122	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	100.000	UGL	26D-92-08X
	BOZ	ACROLN	MV2602X1	V1BW*152	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	100.000	UGL	26M-92-02X
	BOZ	ACROLN	MV3201X1	V1BW*161	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	100.000	UGL	32M-92-01X
	BOZ	ACRYLO	MV2602X1	V1BW*152	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	100.000	UGL	26M-92-02X
	BOZ	ACRYLO	MV3201X1	V1BW*161	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	100.000	UGL	32M-92-01X

Table H6
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Trip Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	BOZ	ACRYLO	DV2605X1	V1BW*122	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	100.000	UGL	26D-92-08X
	BOZ	BRDCLM	DV2605X1	V1BW*122	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.590	UGL	26D-92-08X
	BOZ	BRDCLM	MV2602X1	V1BW*152	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.590	UGL	26M-92-02X
	BOZ	BRDCLM	MV3201X1	V1BW*161	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.590	UGL	32M-92-01X
	BOZ	C13DCP	MV2602X1	V1BW*152	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.580	UGL	26M-92-02X
	BOZ	C13DCP	MV3201X1	V1BW*161	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.580	UGL	32M-92-01X
	BOZ	C13DCP	DV2605X1	V1BW*122	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.580	UGL	26D-92-08X
	BOZ	C2AVE	DV2605X1	V1BW*122	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	8.300	UGL	26D-92-08X
	BOZ	C2AVE	MV2602X1	V1BW*152	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	8.300	UGL	26M-92-02X
	BOZ	C2AVE	MV3201X1	V1BW*161	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	8.300	UGL	32M-92-01X
	BOZ	C2H3CL	MV2602X1	V1BW*152	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	2.600	UGL	26M-92-02X
	BOZ	C2H3CL	MV3201X1	V1BW*161	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	2.600	UGL	32M-92-01X
	BOZ	C2H3CL	DV2605X1	V1BW*122	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	2.600	UGL	26D-92-08X
	BOZ	C2H5CL	DV2605X1	V1BW*122	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	1.900	UGL	26D-92-08X
	BOZ	C2H5CL	MV2602X1	V1BW*152	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	1.900	UGL	26M-92-02X
	BOZ	C2H5CL	MV3201X1	V1BW*161	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	1.900	UGL	32M-92-01X
	BOZ	C6H6	MV2602X1	V1BW*152	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26M-92-02X
	BOZ	C6H6	MV3201X1	V1BW*161	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	32M-92-01X
	BOZ	C6H6	DV2605X1	V1BW*122	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26D-92-08X
	BOZ	CCL3F	DV2605X1	V1BW*122	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	1.400	UGL	26D-92-08X
	BOZ	CCL3F	MV2602X1	V1BW*152	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	1.400	UGL	26M-92-02X
	BOZ	CCL3F	MV3201X1	V1BW*161	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	1.400	UGL	32M-92-01X
	BOZ	CCL4	MV2602X1	V1BW*152	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.580	UGL	26M-92-02X
	BOZ	CCL4	MV3201X1	V1BW*161	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.580	UGL	32M-92-01X
	BOZ	CCL4	DV2605X1	V1BW*122	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.580	UGL	26D-92-08X
	BOZ	CH2CL2	DV2605X1	V1BW*122	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	2.300	UGL	26D-92-08X
	BOZ	CH2CL2	MV2602X1	V1BW*152	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	2.300	UGL	26M-92-02X
	BOZ	CH2CL2	MV3201X1	V1BW*161	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	2.300	UGL	32M-92-01X
	BOZ	CH3BR	MV2602X1	V1BW*152	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	5.800	UGL	26M-92-02X
	BOZ	CH3BR	MV3201X1	V1BW*161	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	5.800	UGL	32M-92-01X
	BOZ	CH3BR	DV2605X1	V1BW*122	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	5.800	UGL	26D-92-08X
	BOZ	CH3CL	DV2605X1	V1BW*122	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	3.200	UGL	26D-92-08X
	BOZ	CH3CL	MV2602X1	V1BW*152	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	3.200	UGL	26M-92-02X
	BOZ	CH3CL	MV3201X1	V1BW*161	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	3.200	UGL	32M-92-01X
	BOZ	CHBR3	MV2602X1	V1BW*152	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	2.600	UGL	26M-92-02X
	BOZ	CHBR3	MV3201X1	V1BW*161	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	2.600	UGL	32M-92-01X
	BOZ	CHBR3	DV2605X1	V1BW*122	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	2.600	UGL	26D-92-08X

Table H6
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Trip Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	BOZ	CHCL3	MV2602X1	V1BW*152	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992		0.690	UGL	26M-92-02X
	BOZ	CHCL3	MV3201X1	V1BW*161	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	32M-92-01X
	BOZ	CHCL3	DV2605X1	V1BW*122	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26D-92-08X
	BOZ	CL2BZ	DV2605X1	V1BW*122	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	10.000	UGL	26D-92-08X
	BOZ	CL2BZ	MV2602X1	V1BW*152	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	10.000	UGL	26M-92-02X
	BOZ	CL2BZ	MV3201X1	V1BW*161	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	10.000	UGL	32M-92-01X
	BOZ	CLC6H5	MV2602X1	V1BW*152	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26M-92-02X
	BOZ	CLC6H5	MV3201X1	V1BW*161	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	32M-92-01X
	BOZ	CLC6H5	DV2605X1	V1BW*122	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26D-92-08X
	BOZ	CS2	DV2605X1	V1BW*122	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26D-92-08X
	BOZ	CS2	MV2602X1	V1BW*152	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26M-92-02X
	BOZ	CS2	MV3201X1	V1BW*161	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	32M-92-01X
	BOZ	DBRCLM	MV2602X1	V1BW*152	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.670	UGL	26M-92-02X
	BOZ	DBRCLM	MV3201X1	V1BW*161	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.670	UGL	32M-92-01X
	BOZ	DBRCLM	DV2605X1	V1BW*122	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.670	UGL	26D-92-08X
	BOZ	ETC6H5	DV2605X1	V1BW*122	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26D-92-08X
	BOZ	ETC6H5	MV2602X1	V1BW*152	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26M-92-02X
	BOZ	ETC6H5	MV3201X1	V1BW*161	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	32M-92-01X
	BOZ	MEC6H5	MV2602X1	V1BW*152	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26M-92-02X
	BOZ	MEC6H5	MV3201X1	V1BW*161	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	32M-92-01X
	BOZ	MEC6H5	DV2605X1	V1BW*122	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26D-92-08X
	BOZ	MEK	DV2605X1	V1BW*122	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	6.400	UGL	26D-92-08X
	BOZ	MEK	MV2602X1	V1BW*152	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	6.400	UGL	26M-92-02X
	BOZ	MEK	MV3201X1	V1BW*161	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	6.400	UGL	32M-92-01X
	BOZ	MIBK	MV2602X1	V1BW*152	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	3.000	UGL	26M-92-02X
	BOZ	MIBK	MV3201X1	V1BW*161	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	3.000	UGL	32M-92-01X
	BOZ	MIBK	DV2605X1	V1BW*122	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	3.000	UGL	26D-92-08X
	BOZ	MNBK	DV2605X1	V1BW*122	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	3.600	UGL	26D-92-08X
	BOZ	MNBK	MV2602X1	V1BW*152	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	3.600	UGL	26M-92-02X
	BOZ	MNBK	MV3201X1	V1BW*161	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	3.600	UGL	32M-92-01X
	BOZ	STYR	MV2602X1	V1BW*152	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26M-92-02X
	BOZ	STYR	MV3201X1	V1BW*161	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	32M-92-01X
	BOZ	STYR	DV2605X1	V1BW*122	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26D-92-08X
	BOZ	T13DCP	DV2605X1	V1BW*122	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.700	UGL	26D-92-08X
	BOZ	T13DCP	MV2602X1	V1BW*152	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.700	UGL	26M-92-02X
	BOZ	T13DCP	MV3201X1	V1BW*161	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.700	UGL	32M-92-01X
	BOZ	TCLEA	MV2602X1	V1BW*152	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.510	UGL	26M-92-02X

Table H6
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Trip Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	BOZ	TCLEA	MV3201X1	V1BW*161	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.510	UGL	32M-92-01X
	BOZ	TCLEA	DV2605X1	V1BW*122	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.510	UGL	26D-92-08X
	BOZ	TCLEE	DV2605X1	V1BW*122	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	1.600	UGL	26D-92-08X
	BOZ	TCLEE	MV2602X1	V1BW*152	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	1.600	UGL	26M-92-02X
	BOZ	TCLEE	MV3201X1	V1BW*161	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	1.600	UGL	32M-92-01X
	BOZ	TRCLE	MV2602X1	V1BW*152	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26M-92-02X
	BOZ	TRCLE	MV3201X1	V1BW*161	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	32M-92-01X
	BOZ	TRCLE	DV2605X1	V1BW*122	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26D-92-08X
	BOZ	XYLEN	DV2605X1	V1BW*122	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.840	UGL	26D-92-08X
	BOZ	XYLEN	MV2602X1	V1BW*152	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.840	UGL	26M-92-02X
	BOZ	XYLEN	MV3201X1	V1BW*161	19-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.840	UGL	32M-92-01X
	CMA	111TCE	MV2601X1	V1BW*151	18-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26M-92-01X
	CMA	111TCE	MV3202X1	V1BW*162	20-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	32M-92-02X
	CMA	112TCE	MV2601X1	V1BW*151	18-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	1.200	UGL	26M-92-01X
	CMA	112TCE	MV3202X1	V1BW*162	20-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	1.200	UGL	32M-92-02X
	CMA	11DCE	MV2601X1	V1BW*151	18-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26M-92-01X
	CMA	11DCE	MV3202X1	V1BW*162	20-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	32M-92-02X
	CMA	11DCLE	MV2601X1	V1BW*151	18-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.680	UGL	26M-92-01X
	CMA	11DCLE	MV3202X1	V1BW*162	20-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.680	UGL	32M-92-02X
	CMA	12DCE	MV2601X1	V1BW*151	18-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26M-92-01X
	CMA	12DCE	MV3202X1	V1BW*162	20-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	32M-92-02X
	CMA	12DCLE	MV2601X1	V1BW*151	18-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26M-92-01X
	CMA	12DCLE	MV3202X1	V1BW*162	20-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	32M-92-02X
	CMA	12DCLP	MV2601X1	V1BW*151	18-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26M-92-01X
	CMA	12DCLP	MV3202X1	V1BW*162	20-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	32M-92-02X
	CMA	2CLEVE	MV2601X1	V1BW*151	18-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.710	UGL	26M-92-01X
	CMA	2CLEVE	MV3202X1	V1BW*162	20-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.710	UGL	32M-92-02X
	CMA	ACET	MV2601X1	V1BW*151	18-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	13.000	UGL	26M-92-01X
	CMA	ACET	MV3202X1	V1BW*162	20-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	13.000	UGL	32M-92-02X
	CMA	ACROLN	MV2601X1	V1BW*151	18-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	100.000	UGL	26M-92-01X
	CMA	ACROLN	MV3202X1	V1BW*162	20-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	100.000	UGL	32M-92-02X
	CMA	ACRYLO	MV2601X1	V1BW*151	18-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	100.000	UGL	26M-92-01X
	CMA	ACRYLO	MV3202X1	V1BW*162	20-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	100.000	UGL	32M-92-02X
	CMA	BRDCLM	MV2601X1	V1BW*151	18-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.590	UGL	26M-92-01X
	CMA	BRDCLM	MV3202X1	V1BW*162	20-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.590	UGL	32M-92-02X
	CMA	C13DCP	MV2601X1	V1BW*151	18-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.580	UGL	26M-92-01X
	CMA	C13DCP	MV3202X1	V1BW*162	20-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.580	UGL	32M-92-02X

Table H6
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Trip Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	CMA	C2AVE	MV2601X1	V1BW*151	18-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	8.300	UGL	26M-92-01X
	CMA	C2AVE	MV3202X1	V1BW*162	20-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	8.300	UGL	32M-92-02X
	CMA	C2H3CL	MV2601X1	V1BW*151	18-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	2.600	UGL	26M-92-01X
	CMA	C2H3CL	MV3202X1	V1BW*162	20-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	2.600	UGL	32M-92-02X
	CMA	C2H5CL	MV2601X1	V1BW*151	18-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	1.900	UGL	26M-92-01X
	CMA	C2H5CL	MV3202X1	V1BW*162	20-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	1.900	UGL	32M-92-02X
	CMA	C6H6	MV2601X1	V1BW*151	18-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26M-92-01X
	CMA	C6H6	MV3202X1	V1BW*162	20-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	32M-92-02X
	CMA	CCL3F	MV2601X1	V1BW*151	18-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	1.400	UGL	26M-92-01X
	CMA	CCL3F	MV3202X1	V1BW*162	20-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	1.400	UGL	32M-92-02X
	CMA	CCL4	MV2601X1	V1BW*151	18-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.580	UGL	26M-92-01X
	CMA	CCL4	MV3202X1	V1BW*162	20-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.580	UGL	32M-92-02X
	CMA	CH2CL2	MV3202X1	V1BW*162	20-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992		4.000	UGL	32M-92-02X
	CMA	CH2CL2	MV2601X1	V1BW*151	18-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	2.300	UGL	26M-92-01X
	CMA	CH3BR	MV2601X1	V1BW*151	18-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	5.800	UGL	26M-92-01X
	CMA	CH3BR	MV3202X1	V1BW*162	20-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	5.800	UGL	32M-92-02X
	CMA	CH3CL	MV2601X1	V1BW*151	18-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	3.200	UGL	26M-92-01X
	CMA	CH3CL	MV3202X1	V1BW*162	20-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	3.200	UGL	32M-92-02X
	CMA	CHBR3	MV2601X1	V1BW*151	18-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	2.600	UGL	26M-92-01X
	CMA	CHBR3	MV3202X1	V1BW*162	20-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	2.600	UGL	32M-92-02X
	CMA	CHCL3	MV2601X1	V1BW*151	18-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26M-92-01X
	CMA	CHCL3	MV3202X1	V1BW*162	20-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	32M-92-02X
	CMA	CL2BZ	MV2601X1	V1BW*151	18-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	10.000	UGL	26M-92-01X
	CMA	CL2BZ	MV3202X1	V1BW*162	20-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	10.000	UGL	32M-92-02X
	CMA	CLC6H5	MV2601X1	V1BW*151	18-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26M-92-01X
	CMA	CLC6H5	MV3202X1	V1BW*162	20-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	32M-92-02X
	CMA	CS2	MV2601X1	V1BW*151	18-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26M-92-01X
	CMA	CS2	MV3202X1	V1BW*162	20-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	32M-92-02X
	CMA	DBRCLM	MV2601X1	V1BW*151	18-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.670	UGL	26M-92-01X
	CMA	DBRCLM	MV3202X1	V1BW*162	20-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.670	UGL	32M-92-02X
	CMA	ETC6H5	MV2601X1	V1BW*151	18-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26M-92-01X
	CMA	ETC6H5	MV3202X1	V1BW*162	20-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	32M-92-02X
	CMA	MEC6H5	MV2601X1	V1BW*151	18-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26M-92-01X
	CMA	MEC6H5	MV3202X1	V1BW*162	20-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	32M-92-02X
	CMA	MEK	MV2601X1	V1BW*151	18-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	6.400	UGL	26M-92-01X
	CMA	MEK	MV3202X1	V1BW*162	20-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	6.400	UGL	32M-92-02X
	CMA	MIBK	MV2601X1	V1BW*151	18-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	3.000	UGL	26M-92-01X

Table H6
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Trip Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	CMA	MIBK	MV3202X1	V1BW*162	20-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	3.000	UGL	32M-92-02X
	CMA	MNBK	MV2601X1	V1BW*151	18-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	3.600	UGL	26M-92-01X
	CMA	MNBK	MV3202X1	V1BW*162	20-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	3.600	UGL	32M-92-02X
	CMA	STYR	MV2601X1	V1BW*151	18-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26M-92-01X
	CMA	STYR	MV3202X1	V1BW*162	20-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	32M-92-02X
	CMA	T13DCP	MV2601X1	V1BW*151	18-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.700	UGL	26M-92-01X
	CMA	T13DCP	MV3202X1	V1BW*162	20-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.700	UGL	32M-92-02X
	CMA	TCLEA	MV2601X1	V1BW*151	18-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.510	UGL	26M-92-01X
	CMA	TCLEA	MV3202X1	V1BW*162	20-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.510	UGL	32M-92-02X
	CMA	TCLEE	MV2601X1	V1BW*151	18-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	1.600	UGL	26M-92-01X
	CMA	TCLEE	MV3202X1	V1BW*162	20-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	1.600	UGL	32M-92-02X
	CMA	TRCLE	MV2601X1	V1BW*151	18-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	26M-92-01X
	CMA	TRCLE	MV3202X1	V1BW*162	20-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	32M-92-02X
	CMA	XYLEN	MV2601X1	V1BW*151	18-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.840	UGL	26M-92-01X
	CMA	XYLEN	MV3202X1	V1BW*162	20-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.840	UGL	32M-92-02X
	CMF	111TCE	TRP92136	VTRP*136	10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-136
	CMF	111TCE	VTRP*137	VTRP*137	11-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-137
	CMF	112TCE	TRP92136	VTRP*136	10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	1.200	UGL	TRP-92-136
	CMF	112TCE	VTRP*137	VTRP*137	11-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	1.200	UGL	TRP-92-137
	CMF	11DCE	TRP92136	VTRP*136	10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-136
	CMF	11DCE	VTRP*137	VTRP*137	11-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-137
	CMF	11DCLE	TRP92136	VTRP*136	10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.680	UGL	TRP-92-136
	CMF	11DCLE	VTRP*137	VTRP*137	11-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.680	UGL	TRP-92-137
	CMF	12DCE	TRP92136	VTRP*136	10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-136
	CMF	12DCE	VTRP*137	VTRP*137	11-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-137
	CMF	12DCLE	TRP92136	VTRP*136	10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-136
	CMF	12DCLE	VTRP*137	VTRP*137	11-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-137
	CMF	12DCLP	TRP92136	VTRP*136	10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-136
	CMF	12DCLP	VTRP*137	VTRP*137	11-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-137
	CMF	2CLEVE	TRP92136	VTRP*136	10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.710	UGL	TRP-92-136
	CMF	2CLEVE	VTRP*137	VTRP*137	11-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.710	UGL	TRP-92-137
	CMF	ACET	TRP92136	VTRP*136	10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	13.000	UGL	TRP-92-136
	CMF	ACET	VTRP*137	VTRP*137	11-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	13.000	UGL	TRP-92-137
	CMF	ACROLN	TRP92136	VTRP*136	10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	100.000	UGL	TRP-92-136
	CMF	ACROLN	VTRP*137	VTRP*137	11-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	100.000	UGL	TRP-92-137
	CMF	ACRYLO	TRP92136	VTRP*136	10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	100.000	UGL	TRP-92-136
	CMF	ACRYLO	VTRP*137	VTRP*137	11-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	100.000	UGL	TRP-92-137

Table H6
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Trip Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	CMF	BRDCLM	TRP92136	VTRP*136	10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.590	UGL	TRP-92-136
	CMF	BRDCLM	VTRP*137	VTRP*137	11-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.590	UGL	TRP-92-137
	CMF	C13DCP	TRP92136	VTRP*136	10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.580	UGL	TRP-92-136
	CMF	C13DCP	VTRP*137	VTRP*137	11-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.580	UGL	TRP-92-137
	CMF	C2AVE	TRP92136	VTRP*136	10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	8.300	UGL	TRP-92-136
	CMF	C2AVE	VTRP*137	VTRP*137	11-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	8.300	UGL	TRP-92-137
	CMF	C2H3CL	TRP92136	VTRP*136	10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	2.600	UGL	TRP-92-136
	CMF	C2H3CL	VTRP*137	VTRP*137	11-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	2.600	UGL	TRP-92-137
	CMF	C2H5CL	TRP92136	VTRP*136	10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	1.900	UGL	TRP-92-136
	CMF	C2H5CL	VTRP*137	VTRP*137	11-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	1.900	UGL	TRP-92-137
	CMF	C6H6	TRP92136	VTRP*136	10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-136
	CMF	C6H6	VTRP*137	VTRP*137	11-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-137
	CMF	CCL3F	TRP92136	VTRP*136	10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	1.400	UGL	TRP-92-136
	CMF	CCL3F	VTRP*137	VTRP*137	11-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	1.400	UGL	TRP-92-137
	CMF	CCL4	TRP92136	VTRP*136	10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.580	UGL	TRP-92-136
	CMF	CCL4	VTRP*137	VTRP*137	11-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.580	UGL	TRP-92-137
	CMF	CH2CL2	TRP92136	VTRP*136	10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	2.300	UGL	TRP-92-136
	CMF	CH2CL2	VTRP*137	VTRP*137	11-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	2.300	UGL	TRP-92-137
	CMF	CH3BR	TRP92136	VTRP*136	10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	5.800	UGL	TRP-92-136
	CMF	CH3BR	VTRP*137	VTRP*137	11-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	5.800	UGL	TRP-92-137
	CMF	CH3CL	TRP92136	VTRP*136	10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	3.200	UGL	TRP-92-136
	CMF	CH3CL	VTRP*137	VTRP*137	11-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	3.200	UGL	TRP-92-137
	CMF	CHBR3	TRP92136	VTRP*136	10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	2.600	UGL	TRP-92-136
	CMF	CHBR3	VTRP*137	VTRP*137	11-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	2.600	UGL	TRP-92-137
	CMF	CHCL3	TRP92136	VTRP*136	10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-136
	CMF	CHCL3	VTRP*137	VTRP*137	11-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-137
	CMF	CL2BZ	TRP92136	VTRP*136	10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	10.000	UGL	TRP-92-136
	CMF	CL2BZ	VTRP*137	VTRP*137	11-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	10.000	UGL	TRP-92-137
	CMF	CLC6H5	TRP92136	VTRP*136	10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-136
	CMF	CLC6H5	VTRP*137	VTRP*137	11-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-137
	CMF	CS2	TRP92136	VTRP*136	10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-136
	CMF	CS2	VTRP*137	VTRP*137	11-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-137
	CMF	DBRCLM	TRP92136	VTRP*136	10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.670	UGL	TRP-92-136
	CMF	DBRCLM	VTRP*137	VTRP*137	11-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.670	UGL	TRP-92-137
	CMF	ETC6H5	TRP92136	VTRP*136	10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-136
	CMF	ETC6H5	VTRP*137	VTRP*137	11-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-137
	CMF	MEC6H5	TRP92136	VTRP*136	10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-136

Table H6
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Trip Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	CMF	MEC6H5	VTRP*137	VTRP*137	11-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-137
	CMF	MEK	TRP92136	VTRP*136	10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	6.400	UGL	TRP-92-136
	CMF	MEK	VTRP*137	VTRP*137	11-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	6.400	UGL	TRP-92-137
	CMF	MIBK	TRP92136	VTRP*136	10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	3.000	UGL	TRP-92-136
	CMF	MIBK	VTRP*137	VTRP*137	11-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	3.000	UGL	TRP-92-137
	CMF	MNBK	TRP92136	VTRP*136	10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	3.600	UGL	TRP-92-136
	CMF	MNBK	VTRP*137	VTRP*137	11-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	3.600	UGL	TRP-92-137
	CMF	STYR	TRP92136	VTRP*136	10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-136
	CMF	STYR	VTRP*137	VTRP*137	11-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-137
	CMF	T13DCP	TRP92136	VTRP*136	10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.700	UGL	TRP-92-136
	CMF	T13DCP	VTRP*137	VTRP*137	11-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.700	UGL	TRP-92-137
	CMF	TCLEA	TRP92136	VTRP*136	10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.510	UGL	TRP-92-136
	CMF	TCLEA	VTRP*137	VTRP*137	11-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.510	UGL	TRP-92-137
	CMF	TCLEE	TRP92136	VTRP*136	10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	1.600	UGL	TRP-92-136
	CMF	TCLEE	VTRP*137	VTRP*137	11-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	1.600	UGL	TRP-92-137
	CMF	TRCLE	TRP92136	VTRP*136	10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-136
	CMF	TRCLE	VTRP*137	VTRP*137	11-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-137
	CMF	XYLEN	TRP92136	VTRP*136	10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.840	UGL	TRP-92-136
	CMF	XYLEN	VTRP*137	VTRP*137	11-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.840	UGL	TRP-92-137
	CMH	111TCE	TRP92138	VTRP*138	16-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-138
	CMH	112TCE	TRP92138	VTRP*138	16-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	1.200	UGL	TRP-92-138
	CMH	11DCE	TRP92138	VTRP*138	16-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-138
	CMH	11DCLE	TRP92138	VTRP*138	16-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.680	UGL	TRP-92-138
	CMH	12DCE	TRP92138	VTRP*138	16-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-138
	CMH	12DCLE	TRP92138	VTRP*138	16-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-138
	CMH	12DCLP	TRP92138	VTRP*138	16-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-138
	CMH	2CLEVE	TRP92138	VTRP*138	16-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.710	UGL	TRP-92-138
	CMH	ACET	TRP92138	VTRP*138	16-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	13.000	UGL	TRP-92-138
	CMH	ACROLN	TRP92138	VTRP*138	16-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	100.000	UGL	TRP-92-138
	CMH	ACRYLO	TRP92138	VTRP*138	16-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	100.000	UGL	TRP-92-138
	CMH	BRDCLM	TRP92138	VTRP*138	16-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.590	UGL	TRP-92-138
	CMH	C13DCP	TRP92138	VTRP*138	16-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.580	UGL	TRP-92-138
	CMH	C2AVE	TRP92138	VTRP*138	16-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	8.300	UGL	TRP-92-138
	CMH	C2H3CL	TRP92138	VTRP*138	16-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	2.600	UGL	TRP-92-138
	CMH	C2H5CL	TRP92138	VTRP*138	16-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	1.900	UGL	TRP-92-138
	CMH	C6H6	TRP92138	VTRP*138	16-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-138
	CMH	CCL3F	TRP92138	VTRP*138	16-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	1.400	UGL	TRP-92-138

Table H6
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Trip Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	CMH	CCL4	TRP92138	VTRP*138	16-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.580	UGL	TRP-92-138
	CMH	CH2CL2	TRP92138	VTRP*138	16-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	2.300	UGL	TRP-92-138
	CMH	CH3BR	TRP92138	VTRP*138	16-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	5.800	UGL	TRP-92-138
	CMH	CH3CL	TRP92138	VTRP*138	16-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	3.200	UGL	TRP-92-138
	CMH	CHBR3	TRP92138	VTRP*138	16-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	2.600	UGL	TRP-92-138
	CMH	CHCL3	TRP92138	VTRP*138	16-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-138
	CMH	CL2BZ	TRP92138	VTRP*138	16-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	10.000	UGL	TRP-92-138
	CMH	CLC6H5	TRP92138	VTRP*138	16-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-138
	CMH	CS2	TRP92138	VTRP*138	16-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-138
	CMH	DBRCLM	TRP92138	VTRP*138	16-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.670	UGL	TRP-92-138
	CMH	ETC6H5	TRP92138	VTRP*138	16-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-138
	CMH	MEC6H5	TRP92138	VTRP*138	16-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-138
	CMH	MEK	TRP92138	VTRP*138	16-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	6.400	UGL	TRP-92-138
	CMH	MIBK	TRP92138	VTRP*138	16-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	3.000	UGL	TRP-92-138
	CMH	MNBK	TRP92138	VTRP*138	16-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	3.600	UGL	TRP-92-138
	CMH	STYR	TRP92138	VTRP*138	16-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-138
	CMH	T13DCP	TRP92138	VTRP*138	16-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.700	UGL	TRP-92-138
	CMH	TCLEA	TRP92138	VTRP*138	16-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.510	UGL	TRP-92-138
	CMH	TCLEE	TRP92138	VTRP*138	16-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	1.600	UGL	TRP-92-138
	CMH	TRCLE	TRP92138	VTRP*138	16-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-138
	CMH	XYLEN	TRP92138	VTRP*138	16-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.840	UGL	TRP-92-138
	CMI	111TCE	TRP92139	VTRP*139	05-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-139
	CMI	111TCE	DVTRP140	VTRP*140	06-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-240
	CMI	112TCE	DVTRP140	VTRP*140	06-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	1.200	UGL	TBK-92-240
	CMI	112TCE	TRP92139	VTRP*139	05-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	1.200	UGL	TRP-92-139
	CMI	11DCE	TRP92139	VTRP*139	05-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-139
	CMI	11DCE	DVTRP140	VTRP*140	06-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-240
	CMI	11DCLE	DVTRP140	VTRP*140	06-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	0.680	UGL	TBK-92-240
	CMI	11DCLE	TRP92139	VTRP*139	05-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	0.680	UGL	TRP-92-139
	CMI	12DCE	TRP92139	VTRP*139	05-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-139
	CMI	12DCE	DVTRP140	VTRP*140	06-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-240
	CMI	12DCLE	DVTRP140	VTRP*140	06-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-240
	CMI	12DCLE	TRP92139	VTRP*139	05-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-139
	CMI	12DCLP	TRP92139	VTRP*139	05-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-139
	CMI	12DCLP	DVTRP140	VTRP*140	06-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-240
	CMI	2CLEVE	DVTRP140	VTRP*140	06-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	0.710	UGL	TBK-92-240
	CMI	2CLEVE	TRP92139	VTRP*139	05-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	0.710	UGL	TRP-92-139

Table H6
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Trip Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	CMI	ACET	TRP92139	VTRP*139	05-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	13.000	UGL	TRP-92-139
	CMI	ACET	DVTRP140	VTRP*140	06-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	13.000	UGL	TBK-92-240
	CMI	ACROLN	DVTRP140	VTRP*140	06-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	100.000	UGL	TBK-92-240
	CMI	ACROLN	TRP92139	VTRP*139	05-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	100.000	UGL	TRP-92-139
	CMI	ACRYLO	TRP92139	VTRP*139	05-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	100.000	UGL	TRP-92-139
	CMI	ACRYLO	DVTRP140	VTRP*140	06-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	100.000	UGL	TBK-92-240
	CMI	BRDCLM	DVTRP140	VTRP*140	06-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	0.590	UGL	TBK-92-240
	CMI	BRDCLM	TRP92139	VTRP*139	05-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	0.590	UGL	TRP-92-139
	CMI	C13DCP	TRP92139	VTRP*139	05-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	0.580	UGL	TRP-92-139
	CMI	C13DCP	DVTRP140	VTRP*140	06-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	0.580	UGL	TBK-92-240
	CMI	C2AVE	DVTRP140	VTRP*140	06-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	8.300	UGL	TBK-92-240
	CMI	C2AVE	TRP92139	VTRP*139	05-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	8.300	UGL	TRP-92-139
	CMI	C2H3CL	TRP92139	VTRP*139	05-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	2.600	UGL	TRP-92-139
	CMI	C2H3CL	DVTRP140	VTRP*140	06-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	2.600	UGL	TBK-92-240
	CMI	C2H5CL	DVTRP140	VTRP*140	06-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	1.900	UGL	TBK-92-240
	CMI	C2H5CL	TRP92139	VTRP*139	05-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	1.900	UGL	TRP-92-139
	CMI	C6H6	TRP92139	VTRP*139	05-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-139
	CMI	C6H6	DVTRP140	VTRP*140	06-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-240
	CMI	CCL3F	DVTRP140	VTRP*140	06-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	1.400	UGL	TBK-92-240
	CMI	CCL3F	TRP92139	VTRP*139	05-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	1.400	UGL	TRP-92-139
	CMI	CCL4	TRP92139	VTRP*139	05-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	0.580	UGL	TRP-92-139
	CMI	CCL4	DVTRP140	VTRP*140	06-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	0.580	UGL	TBK-92-240
	CMI	CH2CL2	DVTRP140	VTRP*140	06-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	2.300	UGL	TBK-92-240
	CMI	CH2CL2	TRP92139	VTRP*139	05-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	2.300	UGL	TRP-92-139
	CMI	CH3BR	TRP92139	VTRP*139	05-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	5.800	UGL	TRP-92-139
	CMI	CH3BR	DVTRP140	VTRP*140	06-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	5.800	UGL	TBK-92-240
	CMI	CH3CL	DVTRP140	VTRP*140	06-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	3.200	UGL	TBK-92-240
	CMI	CH3CL	TRP92139	VTRP*139	05-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	3.200	UGL	TRP-92-139
	CMI	CHBR3	TRP92139	VTRP*139	05-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	2.600	UGL	TRP-92-139
	CMI	CHBR3	DVTRP140	VTRP*140	06-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	2.600	UGL	TBK-92-240
	CMI	CHCL3	DVTRP140	VTRP*140	06-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993		0.590	UGL	TBK-92-240
	CMI	CHCL3	TRP92139	VTRP*139	05-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-139
	CMI	CL2BZ	TRP92139	VTRP*139	05-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	10.000	UGL	TRP-92-139
	CMI	CL2BZ	DVTRP140	VTRP*140	06-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	10.000	UGL	TBK-92-240
	CMI	CLC6H5	DVTRP140	VTRP*140	06-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-240
	CMI	CLC6H5	TRP92139	VTRP*139	05-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-139
	CMI	CS2	TRP92139	VTRP*139	05-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-139

Table H6
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Trip Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	CMI	CS2	DVTRP140	VTRP*140	06-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-240
	CMI	DBRCLM	DVTRP140	VTRP*140	06-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	0.670	UGL	TBK-92-240
	CMI	DBRCLM	TRP92139	VTRP*139	05-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	0.670	UGL	TRP-92-139
	CMI	ETC6H5	TRP92139	VTRP*139	05-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-139
	CMI	ETC6H5	DVTRP140	VTRP*140	06-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-240
	CMI	MEC6H5	DVTRP140	VTRP*140	06-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-240
	CMI	MEC6H5	TRP92139	VTRP*139	05-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-139
	CMI	MEK	TRP92139	VTRP*139	05-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	6.400	UGL	TRP-92-139
	CMI	MEK	DVTRP140	VTRP*140	06-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	6.400	UGL	TBK-92-240
	CMI	MIBK	DVTRP140	VTRP*140	06-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	3.000	UGL	TBK-92-240
	CMI	MIBK	TRP92139	VTRP*139	05-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	3.000	UGL	TRP-92-139
	CMI	MNBK	TRP92139	VTRP*139	05-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	3.600	UGL	TRP-92-139
	CMI	MNBK	DVTRP140	VTRP*140	06-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	3.600	UGL	TBK-92-240
	CMI	STYR	DVTRP140	VTRP*140	06-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-240
	CMI	STYR	TRP92139	VTRP*139	05-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-139
	CMI	T13DCP	TRP92139	VTRP*139	05-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	0.700	UGL	TRP-92-139
	CMI	T13DCP	DVTRP140	VTRP*140	06-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	0.700	UGL	TBK-92-240
	CMI	TCLEA	DVTRP140	VTRP*140	06-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	0.510	UGL	TBK-92-240
	CMI	TCLEA	TRP92139	VTRP*139	05-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	0.510	UGL	TRP-92-139
	CMI	TCLEE	TRP92139	VTRP*139	05-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	1.600	UGL	TRP-92-139
	CMI	TCLEE	DVTRP140	VTRP*140	06-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	1.600	UGL	TBK-92-240
	CMI	TRCLE	DVTRP140	VTRP*140	06-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-240
	CMI	TRCLE	TRP92139	VTRP*139	05-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-139
	CMI	UNK009	DVTRP140	VTRP*140	06-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993		40.000	UGL	TBK-92-240
	CMI	XYLEN	DVTRP140	VTRP*140	06-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	0.840	UGL	TBK-92-240
	CMI	XYLEN	TRP92139	VTRP*139	05-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	0.840	UGL	TRP-92-139
	CMS	111TCE	DVTRP131	DVTRP*31	12-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-231
	CMS	111TCE	DVTRP133	DVTRP*33	12-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-133
	CMS	112TCE	DVTRP133	DVTRP*33	12-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	1.200	UGL	TRP-92-133
	CMS	112TCE	DVTRP131	DVTRP*31	12-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	1.200	UGL	TRP-93-231
	CMS	11DCE	DVTRP131	DVTRP*31	12-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-231
	CMS	11DCE	DVTRP133	DVTRP*33	12-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-133
	CMS	11DCLE	DVTRP133	DVTRP*33	12-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	0.680	UGL	TRP-92-133
	CMS	11DCLE	DVTRP131	DVTRP*31	12-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	0.680	UGL	TRP-93-231
	CMS	12DCE	DVTRP131	DVTRP*31	12-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-231
	CMS	12DCE	DVTRP133	DVTRP*33	12-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-133
	CMS	12DCLE	DVTRP133	DVTRP*33	12-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-133

Table H6
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Trip Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	CMS	12DCLE	DVTRP131	DVTRP*31	12-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-231
	CMS	12DCLP	DVTRP131	DVTRP*31	12-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-231
	CMS	12DCLP	DVTRP133	DVTRP*33	12-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-133
	CMS	2CLEVE	DVTRP133	DVTRP*33	12-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	0.710	UGL	TRP-92-133
	CMS	2CLEVE	DVTRP131	DVTRP*31	12-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	0.710	UGL	TRP-93-231
	CMS	ACET	DVTRP131	DVTRP*31	12-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	13.000	UGL	TRP-93-231
	CMS	ACET	DVTRP133	DVTRP*33	12-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	13.000	UGL	TRP-92-133
	CMS	ACROLN	DVTRP133	DVTRP*33	12-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	100.000	UGL	TRP-92-133
	CMS	ACROLN	DVTRP131	DVTRP*31	12-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	100.000	UGL	TRP-93-231
	CMS	ACRYLO	DVTRP131	DVTRP*31	12-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	100.000	UGL	TRP-93-231
	CMS	ACRYLO	DVTRP133	DVTRP*33	12-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	100.000	UGL	TRP-92-133
	CMS	BRDCLM	DVTRP133	DVTRP*33	12-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	0.590	UGL	TRP-92-133
	CMS	BRDCLM	DVTRP131	DVTRP*31	12-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	0.590	UGL	TRP-93-231
	CMS	C13DCP	DVTRP131	DVTRP*31	12-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	0.580	UGL	TRP-93-231
	CMS	C13DCP	DVTRP133	DVTRP*33	12-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	0.580	UGL	TRP-92-133
	CMS	C2AVE	DVTRP133	DVTRP*33	12-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	8.300	UGL	TRP-92-133
	CMS	C2AVE	DVTRP131	DVTRP*31	12-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	8.300	UGL	TRP-93-231
	CMS	C2H3CL	DVTRP131	DVTRP*31	12-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	2.600	UGL	TRP-93-231
	CMS	C2H3CL	DVTRP133	DVTRP*33	12-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	2.600	UGL	TRP-92-133
	CMS	C2H5CL	DVTRP133	DVTRP*33	12-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	1.900	UGL	TRP-92-133
	CMS	C2H5CL	DVTRP131	DVTRP*31	12-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	1.900	UGL	TRP-93-231
	CMS	C6H6	DVTRP131	DVTRP*31	12-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-231
	CMS	C6H6	DVTRP133	DVTRP*33	12-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-133
	CMS	CCL3F	DVTRP133	DVTRP*33	12-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	1.400	UGL	TRP-92-133
	CMS	CCL3F	DVTRP131	DVTRP*31	12-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	1.400	UGL	TRP-93-231
	CMS	CCL4	DVTRP131	DVTRP*31	12-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	0.580	UGL	TRP-93-231
	CMS	CCL4	DVTRP133	DVTRP*33	12-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	0.580	UGL	TRP-92-133
	CMS	CH2CL2	DVTRP133	DVTRP*33	12-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	2.300	UGL	TRP-92-133
	CMS	CH2CL2	DVTRP131	DVTRP*31	12-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	2.300	UGL	TRP-93-231
	CMS	CH3BR	DVTRP131	DVTRP*31	12-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	5.800	UGL	TRP-93-231
	CMS	CH3BR	DVTRP133	DVTRP*33	12-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	5.800	UGL	TRP-92-133
	CMS	CH3CL	DVTRP133	DVTRP*33	12-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	3.200	UGL	TRP-92-133
	CMS	CH3CL	DVTRP131	DVTRP*31	12-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	3.200	UGL	TRP-93-231
	CMS	CHBR3	DVTRP131	DVTRP*31	12-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	2.600	UGL	TRP-93-231
	CMS	CHBR3	DVTRP133	DVTRP*33	12-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	2.600	UGL	TRP-92-133
	CMS	CHCL3	DVTRP133	DVTRP*33	12-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-133
	CMS	CHCL3	DVTRP131	DVTRP*31	12-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-231

Table H6
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Trip Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	CMS	CL2BZ	DVTRP131	DVTRP*31	12-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	10.000	UGL	TRP-93-231
	CMS	CL2BZ	DVTRP133	DVTRP*33	12-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	10.000	UGL	TRP-92-133
	CMS	CLC6H5	DVTRP133	DVTRP*33	12-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-133
	CMS	CLC6H5	DVTRP131	DVTRP*31	12-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-231
	CMS	CS2	DVTRP131	DVTRP*31	12-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-231
	CMS	CS2	DVTRP133	DVTRP*33	12-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-133
	CMS	DBRCLM	DVTRP133	DVTRP*33	12-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	0.670	UGL	TRP-92-133
	CMS	DBRCLM	DVTRP131	DVTRP*31	12-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	0.670	UGL	TRP-93-231
	CMS	ETC6H5	DVTRP131	DVTRP*31	12-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-231
	CMS	ETC6H5	DVTRP133	DVTRP*33	12-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-133
	CMS	MEC6H5	DVTRP133	DVTRP*33	12-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-133
	CMS	MEC6H5	DVTRP131	DVTRP*31	12-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-231
	CMS	MEK	DVTRP131	DVTRP*31	12-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	6.400	UGL	TRP-93-231
	CMS	MEK	DVTRP133	DVTRP*33	12-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	6.400	UGL	TRP-92-133
	CMS	MIBK	DVTRP133	DVTRP*33	12-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	3.000	UGL	TRP-92-133
	CMS	MIBK	DVTRP131	DVTRP*31	12-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	3.000	UGL	TRP-93-231
	CMS	MNBK	DVTRP131	DVTRP*31	12-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	3.600	UGL	TRP-93-231
	CMS	MNBK	DVTRP133	DVTRP*33	12-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	3.600	UGL	TRP-92-133
	CMS	STYR	DVTRP133	DVTRP*33	12-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-133
	CMS	STYR	DVTRP131	DVTRP*31	12-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-231
	CMS	T13DCP	DVTRP131	DVTRP*31	12-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	0.700	UGL	TRP-93-231
	CMS	T13DCP	DVTRP133	DVTRP*33	12-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	0.700	UGL	TRP-92-133
	CMS	TCLEA	DVTRP133	DVTRP*33	12-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	0.510	UGL	TRP-92-133
	CMS	TCLEA	DVTRP131	DVTRP*31	12-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	0.510	UGL	TRP-93-231
	CMS	TCLEE	DVTRP131	DVTRP*31	12-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	1.600	UGL	TRP-93-231
	CMS	TCLEE	DVTRP133	DVTRP*33	12-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	1.600	UGL	TRP-92-133
	CMS	TRCLE	DVTRP133	DVTRP*33	12-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-133
	CMS	TRCLE	DVTRP131	DVTRP*31	12-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-231
	CMS	XYLEN	DVTRP131	DVTRP*31	12-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	0.840	UGL	TRP-93-231
	CMS	XYLEN	DVTRP133	DVTRP*33	12-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	0.840	UGL	TRP-92-133
	CMT	111TCE	DVTRP135	DVTRP*28	08-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-235
	CMT	111TCE	DVTRP27	DVTRP*27	07-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-239
	CMT	112TCE	DVTRP135	DVTRP*28	08-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	1.200	UGL	TBK-92-235
	CMT	112TCE	DVTRP27	DVTRP*27	07-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	1.200	UGL	TBK-92-239
	CMT	11DCE	DVTRP135	DVTRP*28	08-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-235
	CMT	11DCE	DVTRP27	DVTRP*27	07-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-239
	CMT	11DCLE	DVTRP135	DVTRP*28	08-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	0.680	UGL	TBK-92-235

Table H6
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Trip Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	CMT	11DCLE	DVTRP27	DVTRP*27	07-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	0.680	UGL	TBK-92-239
	CMT	12DCE	DVTRP135	DVTRP*28	08-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-235
	CMT	12DCE	DVTRP27	DVTRP*27	07-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-239
	CMT	12DCLE	DVTRP135	DVTRP*28	08-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-235
	CMT	12DCLE	DVTRP27	DVTRP*27	07-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-239
	CMT	12DCLP	DVTRP135	DVTRP*28	08-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-235
	CMT	12DCLP	DVTRP27	DVTRP*27	07-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-239
	CMT	2CLEVE	DVTRP135	DVTRP*28	08-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	0.710	UGL	TBK-92-235
	CMT	2CLEVE	DVTRP27	DVTRP*27	07-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	0.710	UGL	TBK-92-239
	CMT	ACET	DVTRP135	DVTRP*28	08-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	13.000	UGL	TBK-92-235
	CMT	ACET	DVTRP27	DVTRP*27	07-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	13.000	UGL	TBK-92-239
	CMT	ACROLN	DVTRP135	DVTRP*28	08-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	100.000	UGL	TBK-92-235
	CMT	ACROLN	DVTRP27	DVTRP*27	07-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	100.000	UGL	TBK-92-239
	CMT	ACRYLO	DVTRP135	DVTRP*28	08-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	100.000	UGL	TBK-92-235
	CMT	ACRYLO	DVTRP27	DVTRP*27	07-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	100.000	UGL	TBK-92-239
	CMT	BRDCLM	DVTRP135	DVTRP*28	08-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	0.590	UGL	TBK-92-235
	CMT	BRDCLM	DVTRP27	DVTRP*27	07-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	0.590	UGL	TBK-92-239
	CMT	C13DCP	DVTRP135	DVTRP*28	08-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	0.580	UGL	TBK-92-235
	CMT	C13DCP	DVTRP27	DVTRP*27	07-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	0.580	UGL	TBK-92-239
	CMT	C2AVE	DVTRP135	DVTRP*28	08-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	8.300	UGL	TBK-92-235
	CMT	C2AVE	DVTRP27	DVTRP*27	07-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	8.300	UGL	TBK-92-239
	CMT	C2H3CL	DVTRP135	DVTRP*28	08-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	2.600	UGL	TBK-92-235
	CMT	C2H3CL	DVTRP27	DVTRP*27	07-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	2.600	UGL	TBK-92-239
	CMT	C2H5CL	DVTRP135	DVTRP*28	08-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	1.900	UGL	TBK-92-235
	CMT	C2H5CL	DVTRP27	DVTRP*27	07-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	1.900	UGL	TBK-92-239
	CMT	C6H6	DVTRP135	DVTRP*28	08-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-235
	CMT	C6H6	DVTRP27	DVTRP*27	07-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-239
	CMT	CCL3F	DVTRP135	DVTRP*28	08-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	1.400	UGL	TBK-92-235
	CMT	CCL3F	DVTRP27	DVTRP*27	07-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	1.400	UGL	TBK-92-239
	CMT	CCL4	DVTRP135	DVTRP*28	08-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	0.580	UGL	TBK-92-235
	CMT	CCL4	DVTRP27	DVTRP*27	07-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	0.580	UGL	TBK-92-239
	CMT	CH2CL2	DVTRP135	DVTRP*28	08-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	2.300	UGL	TBK-92-235
	CMT	CH2CL2	DVTRP27	DVTRP*27	07-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	2.300	UGL	TBK-92-239
	CMT	CH3BR	DVTRP135	DVTRP*28	08-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	5.800	UGL	TBK-92-235
	CMT	CH3BR	DVTRP27	DVTRP*27	07-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	5.800	UGL	TBK-92-239
	CMT	CH3CL	DVTRP135	DVTRP*28	08-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	3.200	UGL	TBK-92-235
	CMT	CH3CL	DVTRP27	DVTRP*27	07-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	3.200	UGL	TBK-92-239

Table H6
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Trip Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	CMT	CHBR3	DVTRP135	DVTRP*28	08-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	2.600	UGL	TBK-92-235
	CMT	CHBR3	DVTRP27	DVTRP*27	07-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	2.600	UGL	TBK-92-239
	CMT	CHCL3	DVTRP135	DVTRP*28	08-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-235
	CMT	CHCL3	DVTRP27	DVTRP*27	07-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-239
	CMT	CL2BZ	DVTRP135	DVTRP*28	08-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	10.000	UGL	TBK-92-235
	CMT	CL2BZ	DVTRP27	DVTRP*27	07-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	10.000	UGL	TBK-92-239
	CMT	CLC6H5	DVTRP135	DVTRP*28	08-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-235
	CMT	CLC6H5	DVTRP27	DVTRP*27	07-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-239
	CMT	CS2	DVTRP135	DVTRP*28	08-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993		1.000	UGL	TBK-92-235
	CMT	CS2	DVTRP27	DVTRP*27	07-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-239
	CMT	DBRCLM	DVTRP135	DVTRP*28	08-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	0.670	UGL	TBK-92-235
	CMT	DBRCLM	DVTRP27	DVTRP*27	07-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	0.670	UGL	TBK-92-239
	CMT	ETC6H5	DVTRP135	DVTRP*28	08-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-235
	CMT	ETC6H5	DVTRP27	DVTRP*27	07-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-239
	CMT	MEC6H5	DVTRP135	DVTRP*28	08-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-235
	CMT	MEC6H5	DVTRP27	DVTRP*27	07-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-239
	CMT	MEK	DVTRP135	DVTRP*28	08-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	6.400	UGL	TBK-92-235
	CMT	MEK	DVTRP27	DVTRP*27	07-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	6.400	UGL	TBK-92-239
	CMT	MIBK	DVTRP135	DVTRP*28	08-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	3.000	UGL	TBK-92-235
	CMT	MIBK	DVTRP27	DVTRP*27	07-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	3.000	UGL	TBK-92-239
	CMT	MNBK	DVTRP135	DVTRP*28	08-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	3.600	UGL	TBK-92-235
	CMT	MNBK	DVTRP27	DVTRP*27	07-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	3.600	UGL	TBK-92-239
	CMT	STYR	DVTRP135	DVTRP*28	08-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-235
	CMT	STYR	DVTRP27	DVTRP*27	07-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-239
	CMT	T13DCP	DVTRP135	DVTRP*28	08-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	0.700	UGL	TBK-92-235
	CMT	T13DCP	DVTRP27	DVTRP*27	07-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	0.700	UGL	TBK-92-239
	CMT	TCLEA	DVTRP135	DVTRP*28	08-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	0.510	UGL	TBK-92-235
	CMT	TCLEA	DVTRP27	DVTRP*27	07-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	0.510	UGL	TBK-92-239
	CMT	TCLEE	DVTRP135	DVTRP*28	08-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	1.600	UGL	TBK-92-235
	CMT	TCLEE	DVTRP27	DVTRP*27	07-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	1.600	UGL	TBK-92-239
	CMT	TRCLE	DVTRP135	DVTRP*28	08-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-235
	CMT	TRCLE	DVTRP27	DVTRP*27	07-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-239
	CMT	XYLEN	DVTRP135	DVTRP*28	08-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	0.840	UGL	TBK-92-235
	CMT	XYLEN	DVTRP27	DVTRP*27	07-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	0.840	UGL	TBK-92-239
	CMU	111TCE	DVTRP128	DVTRP*29	14-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-128
	CMU	111TCE	DVTRP140	DVTRP*30	14-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-140
	CMU	112TCE	DVTRP140	DVTRP*30	14-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	1.200	UGL	TRP-92-140

Table H6
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Trip Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	CMU	112TCE	DVTRP128	DVTRP*29	14-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	1.200	UGL	TRP-92-128
	CMU	11DCE	DVTRP128	DVTRP*29	14-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-128
	CMU	11DCE	DVTRP140	DVTRP*30	14-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-140
	CMU	11DCLE	DVTRP140	DVTRP*30	14-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	0.680	UGL	TRP-92-140
	CMU	11DCLE	DVTRP128	DVTRP*29	14-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	0.680	UGL	TRP-92-128
	CMU	12DCE	DVTRP128	DVTRP*29	14-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-128
	CMU	12DCE	DVTRP140	DVTRP*30	14-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-140
	CMU	12DCLE	DVTRP140	DVTRP*30	14-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-140
	CMU	12DCLE	DVTRP128	DVTRP*29	14-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-128
	CMU	12DCLP	DVTRP128	DVTRP*29	14-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-128
	CMU	12DCLP	DVTRP140	DVTRP*30	14-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-140
	CMU	2CLEVE	DVTRP140	DVTRP*30	14-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	0.710	UGL	TRP-92-140
	CMU	2CLEVE	DVTRP128	DVTRP*29	14-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	0.710	UGL	TRP-92-128
	CMU	ACET	DVTRP128	DVTRP*29	14-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	13.000	UGL	TRP-92-128
	CMU	ACET	DVTRP140	DVTRP*30	14-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	13.000	UGL	TRP-92-140
	CMU	ACROLN	DVTRP140	DVTRP*30	14-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	100.000	UGL	TRP-92-140
	CMU	ACROLN	DVTRP128	DVTRP*29	14-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	100.000	UGL	TRP-92-128
	CMU	ACRYLO	DVTRP128	DVTRP*29	14-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	100.000	UGL	TRP-92-128
	CMU	ACRYLO	DVTRP140	DVTRP*30	14-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	100.000	UGL	TRP-92-140
	CMU	BRDCLM	DVTRP140	DVTRP*30	14-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	0.590	UGL	TRP-92-140
	CMU	BRDCLM	DVTRP128	DVTRP*29	14-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	0.590	UGL	TRP-92-128
	CMU	C13DCP	DVTRP128	DVTRP*29	14-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	0.580	UGL	TRP-92-128
	CMU	C13DCP	DVTRP140	DVTRP*30	14-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	0.580	UGL	TRP-92-140
	CMU	C2AVE	DVTRP140	DVTRP*30	14-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	8.300	UGL	TRP-92-140
	CMU	C2AVE	DVTRP128	DVTRP*29	14-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	8.300	UGL	TRP-92-128
	CMU	C2H3CL	DVTRP128	DVTRP*29	14-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	2.600	UGL	TRP-92-128
	CMU	C2H3CL	DVTRP140	DVTRP*30	14-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	2.600	UGL	TRP-92-140
	CMU	C2H5CL	DVTRP140	DVTRP*30	14-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	1.900	UGL	TRP-92-140
	CMU	C2H5CL	DVTRP128	DVTRP*29	14-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	1.900	UGL	TRP-92-128
	CMU	C6H6	DVTRP128	DVTRP*29	14-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-128
	CMU	C6H6	DVTRP140	DVTRP*30	14-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-140
	CMU	CCL3F	DVTRP140	DVTRP*30	14-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	1.400	UGL	TRP-92-140
	CMU	CCL3F	DVTRP128	DVTRP*29	14-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	1.400	UGL	TRP-92-128
	CMU	CCL4	DVTRP128	DVTRP*29	14-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	0.580	UGL	TRP-92-128
	CMU	CCL4	DVTRP140	DVTRP*30	14-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	0.580	UGL	TRP-92-140
	CMU	CH2CL2	DVTRP140	DVTRP*30	14-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	2.300	UGL	TRP-92-140
	CMU	CH2CL2	DVTRP128	DVTRP*29	14-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	2.300	UGL	TRP-92-128

Table H6
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Trip Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	CMU	CH3BR	DVTRP128	DVTRP*29	14-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	5.800	UGL	TRP-92-128
	CMU	CH3BR	DVTRP140	DVTRP*30	14-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	5.800	UGL	TRP-92-140
	CMU	CH3CL	DVTRP140	DVTRP*30	14-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	3.200	UGL	TRP-92-140
	CMU	CH3CL	DVTRP128	DVTRP*29	14-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	3.200	UGL	TRP-92-128
	CMU	CHBR3	DVTRP128	DVTRP*29	14-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	2.600	UGL	TRP-92-128
	CMU	CHBR3	DVTRP140	DVTRP*30	14-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	2.600	UGL	TRP-92-140
	CMU	CHCL3	DVTRP140	DVTRP*30	14-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-140
	CMU	CHCL3	DVTRP128	DVTRP*29	14-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-128
	CMU	CL2BZ	DVTRP128	DVTRP*29	14-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	10.000	UGL	TRP-92-128
	CMU	CL2BZ	DVTRP140	DVTRP*30	14-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	10.000	UGL	TRP-92-140
	CMU	CLC6H5	DVTRP140	DVTRP*30	14-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-140
	CMU	CLC6H5	DVTRP128	DVTRP*29	14-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-128
	CMU	CS2	DVTRP128	DVTRP*29	14-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-128
	CMU	CS2	DVTRP140	DVTRP*30	14-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-140
	CMU	DBRCLM	DVTRP128	DVTRP*29	14-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	0.670	UGL	TRP-92-128
	CMU	DBRCLM	DVTRP140	DVTRP*30	14-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	0.670	UGL	TRP-92-140
	CMU	ETC6H5	DVTRP128	DVTRP*29	14-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-128
	CMU	ETC6H5	DVTRP140	DVTRP*30	14-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-140
	CMU	MEC6H5	DVTRP128	DVTRP*29	14-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-128
	CMU	MEC6H5	DVTRP140	DVTRP*30	14-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-140
	CMU	MEK	DVTRP128	DVTRP*29	14-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	6.400	UGL	TRP-92-128
	CMU	MEK	DVTRP140	DVTRP*30	14-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	6.400	UGL	TRP-92-140
	CMU	MIBK	DVTRP128	DVTRP*29	14-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	3.000	UGL	TRP-92-128
	CMU	MIBK	DVTRP140	DVTRP*30	14-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	3.000	UGL	TRP-92-140
	CMU	MNBK	DVTRP128	DVTRP*29	14-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	3.600	UGL	TRP-92-128
	CMU	MNBK	DVTRP140	DVTRP*30	14-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	3.600	UGL	TRP-92-140
	CMU	OMCTSX	DVTRP128	DVTRP*29	14-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993			8.000	UGL	TRP-92-128
	CMU	STYR	DVTRP128	DVTRP*29	14-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-128
	CMU	STYR	DVTRP140	DVTRP*30	14-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-140
	CMU	T13DCP	DVTRP128	DVTRP*29	14-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	0.700	UGL	TRP-92-128
	CMU	T13DCP	DVTRP140	DVTRP*30	14-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	0.700	UGL	TRP-92-140
	CMU	TCLEA	DVTRP128	DVTRP*29	14-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	0.510	UGL	TRP-92-128
	CMU	TCLEA	DVTRP140	DVTRP*30	14-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	0.510	UGL	TRP-92-140
	CMU	TCLEE	DVTRP128	DVTRP*29	14-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	1.600	UGL	TRP-92-128
	CMU	TCLEE	DVTRP140	DVTRP*30	14-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	1.600	UGL	TRP-92-140
	CMU	TRCLE	DVTRP128	DVTRP*29	14-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-128
	CMU	TRCLE	DVTRP140	DVTRP*30	14-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-92-140

Table H6
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Trip Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	CMU	XYLEN	DVTRP128	DVTRP*29	14-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	0.840	UGL	TRP-92-128
	CMU	XYLEN	DVTRP140	DVTRP*30	14-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	0.840	UGL	TRP-92-140
	DDA	111TCE	DVTRP123	VTRP*123	21-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-223
	DDA	112TCE	DVTRP123	VTRP*123	21-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	1.200	UGL	TBK-92-223
	DDA	11DCE	DVTRP123	VTRP*123	21-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-223
	DDA	11DCLE	DVTRP123	VTRP*123	21-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	0.680	UGL	TBK-92-223
	DDA	12DCE	DVTRP123	VTRP*123	21-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-223
	DDA	12DCLE	DVTRP123	VTRP*123	21-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-223
	DDA	12DCLP	DVTRP123	VTRP*123	21-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-223
	DDA	2CLEVE	DVTRP123	VTRP*123	21-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	0.710	UGL	TBK-92-223
	DDA	ACET	DVTRP123	VTRP*123	21-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	13.000	UGL	TBK-92-223
	DDA	ACROLN	DVTRP123	VTRP*123	21-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	100.000	UGL	TBK-92-223
	DDA	ACRYLO	DVTRP123	VTRP*123	21-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	100.000	UGL	TBK-92-223
	DDA	BRDCLM	DVTRP123	VTRP*123	21-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	0.590	UGL	TBK-92-223
	DDA	C13DCP	DVTRP123	VTRP*123	21-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	0.580	UGL	TBK-92-223
	DDA	C2AVE	DVTRP123	VTRP*123	21-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	8.300	UGL	TBK-92-223
	DDA	C2H3CL	DVTRP123	VTRP*123	21-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	2.600	UGL	TBK-92-223
	DDA	C2H5CL	DVTRP123	VTRP*123	21-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	1.900	UGL	TBK-92-223
	DDA	C6H6	DVTRP123	VTRP*123	21-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-223
	DDA	CCL3F	DVTRP123	VTRP*123	21-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	1.400	UGL	TBK-92-223
	DDA	CCL4	DVTRP123	VTRP*123	21-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	0.580	UGL	TBK-92-223
	DDA	CH2CL2	DVTRP123	VTRP*123	21-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	2.300	UGL	TBK-92-223
	DDA	CH3BR	DVTRP123	VTRP*123	21-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	5.800	UGL	TBK-92-223
	DDA	CH3CL	DVTRP123	VTRP*123	21-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	3.200	UGL	TBK-92-223
	DDA	CHBR3	DVTRP123	VTRP*123	21-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	2.600	UGL	TBK-92-223
	DDA	CHCL3	DVTRP123	VTRP*123	21-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-223
	DDA	CL2BZ	DVTRP123	VTRP*123	21-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	10.000	UGL	TBK-92-223
	DDA	CLC6H5	DVTRP123	VTRP*123	21-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-223
	DDA	CS2	DVTRP123	VTRP*123	21-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-223
	DDA	DBRCLM	DVTRP123	VTRP*123	21-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	0.670	UGL	TBK-92-223
	DDA	ETC6H5	DVTRP123	VTRP*123	21-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-223
	DDA	MEC6H5	DVTRP123	VTRP*123	21-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-223
	DDA	MEK	DVTRP123	VTRP*123	21-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	6.400	UGL	TBK-92-223
	DDA	MIBK	DVTRP123	VTRP*123	21-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	3.000	UGL	TBK-92-223
	DDA	MNBK	DVTRP123	VTRP*123	21-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	3.600	UGL	TBK-92-223
	DDA	STYR	DVTRP123	VTRP*123	21-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-223
	DDA	T13DCP	DVTRP123	VTRP*123	21-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	0.700	UGL	TBK-92-223

Table H6
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Trip Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	DDA	TCLEA	DVTRP123	VTRP*123	21-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	0.510	UGL	TBK-92-223
	DDA	TCLEE	DVTRP123	VTRP*123	21-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	1.600	UGL	TBK-92-223
	DDA	TRCLE	DVTRP123	VTRP*123	21-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TBK-92-223
	DDA	XYLEN	DVTRP123	VTRP*123	21-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	0.840	UGL	TBK-92-223
	DDN	111TCE	DVTRP*51	DVTRP*51	16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-231
	DDN	112TCE	DVTRP*51	DVTRP*51	16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	1.200	UGL	TRP-93-231
	DDN	11DCE	DVTRP*51	DVTRP*51	16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-231
	DDN	11DCLE	DVTRP*51	DVTRP*51	16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	0.680	UGL	TRP-93-231
	DDN	12DCE	DVTRP*51	DVTRP*51	16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-231
	DDN	12DCLE	DVTRP*51	DVTRP*51	16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-231
	DDN	12DCLP	DVTRP*51	DVTRP*51	16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-231
	DDN	2CLEVE	DVTRP*51	DVTRP*51	16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	0.710	UGL	TRP-93-231
	DDN	ACET	DVTRP*51	DVTRP*51	16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	13.000	UGL	TRP-93-231
	DDN	ACROLN	DVTRP*51	DVTRP*51	16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	100.000	UGL	TRP-93-231
	DDN	ACRYLO	DVTRP*51	DVTRP*51	16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	100.000	UGL	TRP-93-231
	DDN	BRDCLM	DVTRP*51	DVTRP*51	16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	0.590	UGL	TRP-93-231
	DDN	C13DCP	DVTRP*51	DVTRP*51	16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	0.580	UGL	TRP-93-231
	DDN	C2AVE	DVTRP*51	DVTRP*51	16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	8.300	UGL	TRP-93-231
	DDN	C2H3CL	DVTRP*51	DVTRP*51	16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	2.600	UGL	TRP-93-231
	DDN	C2H5CL	DVTRP*51	DVTRP*51	16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	1.900	UGL	TRP-93-231
	DDN	C6H6	DVTRP*51	DVTRP*51	16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-231
	DDN	CCL3F	DVTRP*51	DVTRP*51	16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	1.400	UGL	TRP-93-231
	DDN	CCL4	DVTRP*51	DVTRP*51	16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	0.580	UGL	TRP-93-231
	DDN	CH2CL2	DVTRP*51	DVTRP*51	16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	2.300	UGL	TRP-93-231
	DDN	CH3BR	DVTRP*51	DVTRP*51	16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	5.800	UGL	TRP-93-231
	DDN	CH3CL	DVTRP*51	DVTRP*51	16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	3.200	UGL	TRP-93-231
	DDN	CHBR3	DVTRP*51	DVTRP*51	16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	2.600	UGL	TRP-93-231
	DDN	CHCL3	DVTRP*51	DVTRP*51	16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-231
	DDN	CL2BZ	DVTRP*51	DVTRP*51	16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	10.000	UGL	TRP-93-231
	DDN	CLC6H5	DVTRP*51	DVTRP*51	16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-231
	DDN	CS2	DVTRP*51	DVTRP*51	16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-231
	DDN	DBRCLM	DVTRP*51	DVTRP*51	16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	0.670	UGL	TRP-93-231
	DDN	ETC6H5	DVTRP*51	DVTRP*51	16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-231
	DDN	MEC6H5	DVTRP*51	DVTRP*51	16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-231
	DDN	MEK	DVTRP*51	DVTRP*51	16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	6.400	UGL	TRP-93-231
	DDN	MIBK	DVTRP*51	DVTRP*51	16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	3.000	UGL	TRP-93-231
	DDN	MNBK	DVTRP*51	DVTRP*51	16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	3.600	UGL	TRP-93-231

Table H6
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Trip Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	DDN	STYR	DVTRP*51	DVTRP*51	16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-231
	DDN	T13DCP	DVTRP*51	DVTRP*51	16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	0.700	UGL	TRP-93-231
	DDN	TCLEA	DVTRP*51	DVTRP*51	16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	0.510	UGL	TRP-93-231
	DDN	TCLEE	DVTRP*51	DVTRP*51	16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	1.600	UGL	TRP-93-231
	DDN	TRCLE	DVTRP*51	DVTRP*51	16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-231
	DDN	XYLEN	DVTRP*51	DVTRP*51	16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	0.840	UGL	TRP-93-231
	DDQA	111TCE	MV2601X2	V1BW*205	02-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	26M-92-02X
	DDQA	112TCE	MV2601X2	V1BW*205	02-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	<	1.200	UGL	26M-92-02X
	DDQA	11DCE	MV2601X2	V1BW*205	02-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	26M-92-02X
	DDQA	11DCLE	MV2601X2	V1BW*205	02-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	<	0.680	UGL	26M-92-02X
	DDQA	12DCE	MV2601X2	V1BW*205	02-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	26M-92-02X
	DDQA	12DCLE	MV2601X2	V1BW*205	02-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	26M-92-02X
	DDQA	12DCLP	MV2601X2	V1BW*205	02-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	26M-92-02X
	DDQA	2CLEVE	MV2601X2	V1BW*205	02-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	<	0.710	UGL	26M-92-02X
	DDQA	ACET	MV2601X2	V1BW*205	02-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	<	13.000	UGL	26M-92-02X
	DDQA	ACROLN	MV2601X2	V1BW*205	02-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	<	100.000	UGL	26M-92-02X
	DDQA	ACRYLO	MV2601X2	V1BW*205	02-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	<	100.000	UGL	26M-92-02X
	DDQA	BRDCLM	MV2601X2	V1BW*205	02-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	<	0.590	UGL	26M-92-02X
	DDQA	C13DCP	MV2601X2	V1BW*205	02-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	<	0.580	UGL	26M-92-02X
	DDQA	C2AVE	MV2601X2	V1BW*205	02-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	<	8.300	UGL	26M-92-02X
	DDQA	C2H3CL	MV2601X2	V1BW*205	02-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	<	2.600	UGL	26M-92-02X
	DDQA	C2H5CL	MV2601X2	V1BW*205	02-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	<	1.900	UGL	26M-92-02X
	DDQA	C6H6	MV2601X2	V1BW*205	02-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	26M-92-02X
	DDQA	CCL3F	MV2601X2	V1BW*205	02-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	<	1.400	UGL	26M-92-02X
	DDQA	CCL4	MV2601X2	V1BW*205	02-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	<	0.580	UGL	26M-92-02X
	DDQA	CH2CL2	MV2601X2	V1BW*205	02-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	<	2.300	UGL	26M-92-02X
	DDQA	CH3BR	MV2601X2	V1BW*205	02-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	<	5.800	UGL	26M-92-02X
	DDQA	CH3CL	MV2601X2	V1BW*205	02-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	<	3.200	UGL	26M-92-02X
	DDQA	CHBR3	MV2601X2	V1BW*205	02-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	<	2.600	UGL	26M-92-02X
	DDQA	CHCL3	MV2601X2	V1BW*205	02-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	26M-92-02X
	DDQA	CL2BZ	MV2601X2	V1BW*205	02-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	<	10.000	UGL	26M-92-02X
	DDQA	CLC6H5	MV2601X2	V1BW*205	02-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	26M-92-02X
	DDQA	CS2	MV2601X2	V1BW*205	02-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	26M-92-02X
	DDQA	DBRCLM	MV2601X2	V1BW*205	02-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	<	0.670	UGL	26M-92-02X
	DDQA	ETC6H5	MV2601X2	V1BW*205	02-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	26M-92-02X
	DDQA	MEC6H5	MV2601X2	V1BW*205	02-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	26M-92-02X
	DDQA	MEK	MV2601X2	V1BW*205	02-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	<	6.400	UGL	26M-92-02X

Table H6
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Trip Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	DDQA	MIBK	MV2601X2	V1BW*205	02-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	<	3.000	UGL	26M-92-02X
	DDQA	MNBK	MV2601X2	V1BW*205	02-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	<	3.600	UGL	26M-92-02X
	DDQA	STYR	MV2601X2	V1BW*205	02-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	26M-92-02X
	DDQA	T13DCP	MV2601X2	V1BW*205	02-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	<	0.700	UGL	26M-92-02X
	DDQA	TCLEA	MV2601X2	V1BW*205	02-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	<	0.510	UGL	26M-92-02X
	DDQA	TCLEE	MV2601X2	V1BW*205	02-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	<	1.600	UGL	26M-92-02X
	DDQA	TRCLE	MV2601X2	V1BW*205	02-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	26M-92-02X
	DDQA	XYLEN	MV2601X2	V1BW*205	02-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	<	0.840	UGL	26M-92-02X
	DDRA	111TCE	MV2602X2	V1BW*206	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	26M-92-04X
	DDRA	111TCE	DVTRP041	DVTRP*41	02-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-041
	DDRA	111TCE	DVTRP043	DVTRP*43	04-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-043
	DDRA	111TCE	DVTRP042	DVTRP*42	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-042
	DDRA	111TCE	MV3201X2	V1BW*218	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	32M-92-01X
	DDRA	111TCE	MV3202X2	V1BW*219	04-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	32M-92-07X
	DDRA	112TCE	MV2602X2	V1BW*206	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	1.200	UGL	26M-92-04X
	DDRA	112TCE	MV3202X2	V1BW*219	04-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	1.200	UGL	32M-92-07X
	DDRA	112TCE	DVTRP043	DVTRP*43	04-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	1.200	UGL	TRP-93-043
	DDRA	112TCE	DVTRP042	DVTRP*42	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	1.200	UGL	TRP-93-042
	DDRA	112TCE	DVTRP041	DVTRP*41	02-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	1.200	UGL	TRP-93-041
	DDRA	112TCE	MV3201X2	V1BW*218	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	1.200	UGL	32M-92-01X
	DDRA	11DCE	MV2602X2	V1BW*206	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	26M-92-04X
	DDRA	11DCE	MV3201X2	V1BW*218	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	32M-92-01X
	DDRA	11DCE	DVTRP041	DVTRP*41	02-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-041
	DDRA	11DCE	DVTRP043	DVTRP*43	04-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-043
	DDRA	11DCE	DVTRP042	DVTRP*42	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-042
	DDRA	11DCE	MV3202X2	V1BW*219	04-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	32M-92-07X
	DDRA	11DCLE	MV2602X2	V1BW*206	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.680	UGL	26M-92-04X
	DDRA	11DCLE	MV3201X2	V1BW*218	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.680	UGL	32M-92-01X
	DDRA	11DCLE	DVTRP043	DVTRP*43	04-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.680	UGL	TRP-93-043
	DDRA	11DCLE	DVTRP042	DVTRP*42	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.680	UGL	TRP-93-042
	DDRA	11DCLE	DVTRP041	DVTRP*41	02-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.680	UGL	TRP-93-041
	DDRA	11DCLE	MV3202X2	V1BW*219	04-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.680	UGL	32M-92-07X
	DDRA	12DCE	MV2602X2	V1BW*206	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	26M-92-04X
	DDRA	12DCE	DVTRP043	DVTRP*43	04-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-043
	DDRA	12DCE	DVTRP042	DVTRP*42	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-042
	DDRA	12DCE	DVTRP041	DVTRP*41	02-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-041
	DDRA	12DCE	MV3202X2	V1BW*219	04-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	32M-92-07X

Table H6
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Trip Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	DDRA	12DCE	MV3201X2	V1BW*218	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	32M-92-01X
	DDRA	12DCLE	MV2602X2	V1BW*206	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	26M-92-04X
	DDRA	12DCLE	MV3201X2	V1BW*218	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	32M-92-01X
	DDRA	12DCLE	MV3202X2	V1BW*219	04-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	32M-92-07X
	DDRA	12DCLE	DVTRP043	DVTRP*43	04-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-043
	DDRA	12DCLE	DVTRP042	DVTRP*42	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-042
	DDRA	12DCLE	DVTRP041	DVTRP*41	02-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-041
	DDRA	12DCLP	MV2602X2	V1BW*206	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	26M-92-04X
	DDRA	12DCLP	DVTRP043	DVTRP*43	04-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-043
	DDRA	12DCLP	DVTRP042	DVTRP*42	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-042
	DDRA	12DCLP	MV3201X2	V1BW*218	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	32M-92-01X
	DDRA	12DCLP	DVTRP041	DVTRP*41	02-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-041
	DDRA	12DCLP	MV3202X2	V1BW*219	04-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	32M-92-07X
	DDRA	2CLEVE	MV2602X2	V1BW*206	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.710	UGL	26M-92-04X
	DDRA	2CLEVE	MV3201X2	V1BW*218	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.710	UGL	32M-92-01X
	DDRA	2CLEVE	DVTRP041	DVTRP*41	02-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.710	UGL	TRP-93-041
	DDRA	2CLEVE	DVTRP043	DVTRP*43	04-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.710	UGL	TRP-93-043
	DDRA	2CLEVE	DVTRP042	DVTRP*42	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.710	UGL	TRP-93-042
	DDRA	2CLEVE	MV3202X2	V1BW*219	04-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.710	UGL	32M-92-07X
	DDRA	ACET	MV2602X2	V1BW*206	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	13.000	UGL	26M-92-04X
	DDRA	ACET	DVTRP043	DVTRP*43	04-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	13.000	UGL	TRP-93-043
	DDRA	ACET	DVTRP042	DVTRP*42	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	13.000	UGL	TRP-93-042
	DDRA	ACET	DVTRP041	DVTRP*41	02-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	13.000	UGL	TRP-93-041
	DDRA	ACET	MV3202X2	V1BW*219	04-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	13.000	UGL	32M-92-07X
	DDRA	ACET	MV3201X2	V1BW*218	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	13.000	UGL	32M-92-01X
	DDRA	ACROLN	MV2602X2	V1BW*206	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	100.000	UGL	26M-92-04X
	DDRA	ACROLN	MV3201X2	V1BW*218	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	100.000	UGL	32M-92-01X
	DDRA	ACROLN	MV3202X2	V1BW*219	04-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	100.000	UGL	32M-92-07X
	DDRA	ACROLN	DVTRP043	DVTRP*43	04-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	100.000	UGL	TRP-93-043
	DDRA	ACROLN	DVTRP042	DVTRP*42	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	100.000	UGL	TRP-93-042
	DDRA	ACROLN	DVTRP041	DVTRP*41	02-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	100.000	UGL	TRP-93-041
	DDRA	ACRYLO	MV2602X2	V1BW*206	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	100.000	UGL	26M-92-04X
	DDRA	ACRYLO	DVTRP043	DVTRP*43	04-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	100.000	UGL	TRP-93-043
	DDRA	ACRYLO	DVTRP042	DVTRP*42	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	100.000	UGL	TRP-93-042
	DDRA	ACRYLO	MV3201X2	V1BW*218	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	100.000	UGL	32M-92-01X
	DDRA	ACRYLO	DVTRP041	DVTRP*41	02-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	100.000	UGL	TRP-93-041
	DDRA	ACRYLO	MV3202X2	V1BW*219	04-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	100.000	UGL	32M-92-07X

Table H6
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Trip Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	DDRA	BRDCLM	MV2602X2	V1BW*206	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.590	UGL	26M-92-04X
	DDRA	BRDCLM	MV3201X2	V1BW*218	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.590	UGL	32M-92-01X
	DDRA	BRDCLM	DVTRP041	DVTRP*41	02-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.590	UGL	TRP-93-041
	DDRA	BRDCLM	DVTRP043	DVTRP*43	04-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.590	UGL	TRP-93-043
	DDRA	BRDCLM	DVTRP042	DVTRP*42	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.590	UGL	TRP-93-042
	DDRA	BRDCLM	MV3202X2	V1BW*219	04-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.590	UGL	32M-92-07X
	DDRA	C13DCP	MV2602X2	V1BW*206	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.580	UGL	26M-92-04X
	DDRA	C13DCP	DVTRP043	DVTRP*43	04-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.580	UGL	TRP-93-043
	DDRA	C13DCP	DVTRP042	DVTRP*42	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.580	UGL	TRP-93-042
	DDRA	C13DCP	DVTRP041	DVTRP*41	02-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.580	UGL	TRP-93-041
	DDRA	C13DCP	MV3202X2	V1BW*219	04-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.580	UGL	32M-92-07X
	DDRA	C13DCP	MV3201X2	V1BW*218	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.580	UGL	32M-92-01X
	DDRA	C2AVE	MV2602X2	V1BW*206	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	8.300	UGL	26M-92-04X
	DDRA	C2AVE	MV3202X2	V1BW*219	04-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	8.300	UGL	32M-92-07X
	DDRA	C2AVE	DVTRP042	DVTRP*42	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	8.300	UGL	TRP-93-042
	DDRA	C2AVE	DVTRP043	DVTRP*43	04-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	8.300	UGL	TRP-93-043
	DDRA	C2AVE	DVTRP041	DVTRP*41	02-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	8.300	UGL	TRP-93-041
	DDRA	C2AVE	MV3201X2	V1BW*218	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	8.300	UGL	32M-92-01X
	DDRA	C2H3CL	MV2602X2	V1BW*206	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	2.600	UGL	26M-92-04X
	DDRA	C2H3CL	DVTRP043	DVTRP*43	04-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	2.600	UGL	TRP-93-043
	DDRA	C2H3CL	DVTRP041	DVTRP*41	02-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	2.600	UGL	TRP-93-041
	DDRA	C2H3CL	DVTRP042	DVTRP*42	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	2.600	UGL	TRP-93-042
	DDRA	C2H3CL	MV3202X2	V1BW*219	04-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	2.600	UGL	32M-92-07X
	DDRA	C2H3CL	MV3201X2	V1BW*218	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	2.600	UGL	32M-92-01X
	DDRA	C2H5CL	MV2602X2	V1BW*206	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	1.900	UGL	26M-92-04X
	DDRA	C2H5CL	DVTRP043	DVTRP*43	04-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	1.900	UGL	TRP-93-043
	DDRA	C2H5CL	DVTRP042	DVTRP*42	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	1.900	UGL	TRP-93-042
	DDRA	C2H5CL	DVTRP041	DVTRP*41	02-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	1.900	UGL	TRP-93-041
	DDRA	C2H5CL	MV3202X2	V1BW*219	04-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	1.900	UGL	32M-92-07X
	DDRA	C2H5CL	MV3201X2	V1BW*218	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	1.900	UGL	32M-92-01X
	DDRA	C6H6	MV2602X2	V1BW*206	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	26M-92-04X
	DDRA	C6H6	MV3201X2	V1BW*218	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	32M-92-01X
	DDRA	C6H6	MV3202X2	V1BW*219	04-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	32M-92-07X
	DDRA	C6H6	DVTRP043	DVTRP*43	04-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-043
	DDRA	C6H6	DVTRP042	DVTRP*42	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-042
	DDRA	C6H6	DVTRP041	DVTRP*41	02-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-041
	DDRA	CCL3F	MV2602X2	V1BW*206	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	1.400	UGL	26M-92-04X

Table H6
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Trip Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	DDRA	CCL3F	DVTRP043	DVTRP*43	04-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	1.400	UGL	TRP-93-043
	DDRA	CCL3F	DVTRP042	DVTRP*42	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	1.400	UGL	TRP-93-042
	DDRA	CCL3F	MV3201X2	V1BW*218	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	1.400	UGL	32M-92-01X
	DDRA	CCL3F	DVTRP041	DVTRP*41	02-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	1.400	UGL	TRP-93-041
	DDRA	CCL3F	MV3202X2	V1BW*219	04-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	1.400	UGL	32M-92-07X
	DDRA	CCL4	MV2602X2	V1BW*206	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.580	UGL	26M-92-04X
	DDRA	CCL4	MV3201X2	V1BW*218	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.580	UGL	32M-92-01X
	DDRA	CCL4	DVTRP041	DVTRP*41	02-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.580	UGL	TRP-93-041
	DDRA	CCL4	DVTRP043	DVTRP*43	04-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.580	UGL	TRP-93-043
	DDRA	CCL4	DVTRP042	DVTRP*42	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.580	UGL	TRP-93-042
	DDRA	CCL4	MV3202X2	V1BW*219	04-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.580	UGL	32M-92-07X
	DDRA	CH2CL2	MV2602X2	V1BW*206	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	2.300	UGL	26M-92-04X
	DDRA	CH2CL2	DVTRP043	DVTRP*43	04-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	2.300	UGL	TRP-93-043
	DDRA	CH2CL2	DVTRP042	DVTRP*42	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	2.300	UGL	TRP-93-042
	DDRA	CH2CL2	DVTRP041	DVTRP*41	02-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	2.300	UGL	TRP-93-041
	DDRA	CH2CL2	MV3202X2	V1BW*219	04-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	2.300	UGL	32M-92-07X
	DDRA	CH2CL2	MV3201X2	V1BW*218	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	2.300	UGL	32M-92-01X
	DDRA	CH3BR	MV2602X2	V1BW*206	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	5.800	UGL	26M-92-04X
	DDRA	CH3BR	MV3201X2	V1BW*218	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	5.800	UGL	32M-92-01X
	DDRA	CH3BR	MV3202X2	V1BW*219	04-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	5.800	UGL	32M-92-07X
	DDRA	CH3BR	DVTRP043	DVTRP*43	04-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	5.800	UGL	TRP-93-043
	DDRA	CH3BR	DVTRP042	DVTRP*42	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	5.800	UGL	TRP-93-042
	DDRA	CH3BR	DVTRP041	DVTRP*41	02-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	5.800	UGL	TRP-93-041
	DDRA	CH3CL	MV2602X2	V1BW*206	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	3.200	UGL	26M-92-04X
	DDRA	CH3CL	DVTRP043	DVTRP*43	04-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	3.200	UGL	TRP-93-043
	DDRA	CH3CL	DVTRP042	DVTRP*42	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	3.200	UGL	TRP-93-042
	DDRA	CH3CL	MV3201X2	V1BW*218	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	3.200	UGL	32M-92-01X
	DDRA	CH3CL	DVTRP041	DVTRP*41	02-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	3.200	UGL	TRP-93-041
	DDRA	CH3CL	MV3202X2	V1BW*219	04-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	3.200	UGL	32M-92-07X
	DDRA	CHBR3	MV2602X2	V1BW*206	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	2.600	UGL	26M-92-04X
	DDRA	CHBR3	MV3201X2	V1BW*218	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	2.600	UGL	32M-92-01X
	DDRA	CHBR3	DVTRP041	DVTRP*41	02-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	2.600	UGL	TRP-93-041
	DDRA	CHBR3	DVTRP043	DVTRP*43	04-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	2.600	UGL	TRP-93-043
	DDRA	CHBR3	DVTRP042	DVTRP*42	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	2.600	UGL	TRP-93-042
	DDRA	CHBR3	MV3202X2	V1BW*219	04-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	2.600	UGL	32M-92-07X
	DDRA	CHCL3	MV2602X2	V1BW*206	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	26M-92-04X
	DDRA	CHCL3	DVTRP043	DVTRP*43	04-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-043

Table H6
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Trip Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	DDRA	CHCL3	DVTRP042	DVTRP*42	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-042
	DDRA	CHCL3	DVTRP041	DVTRP*41	02-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-041
	DDRA	CHCL3	MV3202X2	V1BW*219	04-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	32M-92-07X
	DDRA	CHCL3	MV3201X2	V1BW*218	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	32M-92-01X
	DDRA	CL2BZ	MV2602X2	V1BW*206	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	10.000	UGL	26M-92-04X
	DDRA	CL2BZ	MV3201X2	V1BW*218	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	10.000	UGL	32M-92-01X
	DDRA	CL2BZ	MV3202X2	V1BW*219	04-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	10.000	UGL	32M-92-07X
	DDRA	CL2BZ	DVTRP043	DVTRP*43	04-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	10.000	UGL	TRP-93-043
	DDRA	CL2BZ	DVTRP042	DVTRP*42	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	10.000	UGL	TRP-93-042
	DDRA	CL2BZ	DVTRP041	DVTRP*41	02-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	10.000	UGL	TRP-93-041
	DDRA	CLC6H5	MV2602X2	V1BW*206	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	26M-92-04X
	DDRA	CLC6H5	DVTRP043	DVTRP*43	04-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-043
	DDRA	CLC6H5	DVTRP042	DVTRP*42	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-042
	DDRA	CLC6H5	MV3201X2	V1BW*218	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	32M-92-01X
	DDRA	CLC6H5	DVTRP041	DVTRP*41	02-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-041
	DDRA	CLC6H5	MV3202X2	V1BW*219	04-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	32M-92-07X
	DDRA	CS2	MV2602X2	V1BW*206	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	26M-92-04X
	DDRA	CS2	MV3201X2	V1BW*218	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	32M-92-01X
	DDRA	CS2	DVTRP041	DVTRP*41	02-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-041
	DDRA	CS2	DVTRP043	DVTRP*43	04-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-043
	DDRA	CS2	DVTRP042	DVTRP*42	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-042
	DDRA	CS2	MV3202X2	V1BW*219	04-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	32M-92-07X
	DDRA	DBRCLM	MV2602X2	V1BW*206	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.670	UGL	26M-92-04X
	DDRA	DBRCLM	DVTRP043	DVTRP*43	04-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.670	UGL	TRP-93-043
	DDRA	DBRCLM	DVTRP042	DVTRP*42	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.670	UGL	TRP-93-042
	DDRA	DBRCLM	DVTRP041	DVTRP*41	02-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.670	UGL	TRP-93-041
	DDRA	DBRCLM	MV3202X2	V1BW*219	04-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.670	UGL	32M-92-07X
	DDRA	DBRCLM	MV3201X2	V1BW*218	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.670	UGL	32M-92-01X
	DDRA	ETC6H5	MV2602X2	V1BW*206	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	26M-92-04X
	DDRA	ETC6H5	MV3201X2	V1BW*218	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	32M-92-01X
	DDRA	ETC6H5	MV3202X2	V1BW*219	04-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	32M-92-07X
	DDRA	ETC6H5	DVTRP043	DVTRP*43	04-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-043
	DDRA	ETC6H5	DVTRP042	DVTRP*42	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-042
	DDRA	ETC6H5	DVTRP041	DVTRP*41	02-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-041
	DDRA	MEC6H5	MV2602X2	V1BW*206	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	26M-92-04X
	DDRA	MEC6H5	DVTRP043	DVTRP*43	04-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-043
	DDRA	MEC6H5	DVTRP042	DVTRP*42	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-042

Table H6
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Trip Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	DDRA	MEC6H5	MV3201X2	V1BW*218	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	32M-92-01X
	DDRA	MEC6H5	DVTRP041	DVTRP*41	02-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-041
	DDRA	MEC6H5	MV3202X2	V1BW*219	04-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	32M-92-07X
	DDRA	MEK	MV2602X2	V1BW*206	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	6.400	UGL	26M-92-04X
	DDRA	MEK	MV3201X2	V1BW*218	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	6.400	UGL	32M-92-01X
	DDRA	MEK	DVTRP041	DVTRP*41	02-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	6.400	UGL	TRP-93-041
	DDRA	MEK	DVTRP043	DVTRP*43	04-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	6.400	UGL	TRP-93-043
	DDRA	MEK	DVTRP042	DVTRP*42	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	6.400	UGL	TRP-93-042
	DDRA	MEK	MV3202X2	V1BW*219	04-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	6.400	UGL	32M-92-07X
	DDRA	MIBK	MV2602X2	V1BW*206	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	3.000	UGL	26M-92-04X
	DDRA	MIBK	DVTRP043	DVTRP*43	04-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	3.000	UGL	TRP-93-043
	DDRA	MIBK	DVTRP042	DVTRP*42	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	3.000	UGL	TRP-93-042
	DDRA	MIBK	DVTRP041	DVTRP*41	02-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	3.000	UGL	TRP-93-041
	DDRA	MIBK	MV3202X2	V1BW*219	04-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	3.000	UGL	32M-92-07X
	DDRA	MIBK	MV3201X2	V1BW*218	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	3.000	UGL	32M-92-01X
	DDRA	MNBK	MV2602X2	V1BW*206	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	3.600	UGL	26M-92-04X
	DDRA	MNBK	MV3201X2	V1BW*218	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	3.600	UGL	32M-92-01X
	DDRA	MNBK	DVTRP041	DVTRP*41	02-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	3.600	UGL	TRP-93-041
	DDRA	MNBK	DVTRP042	DVTRP*42	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	3.600	UGL	TRP-93-042
	DDRA	MNBK	DVTRP043	DVTRP*43	04-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	3.600	UGL	TRP-93-043
	DDRA	MNBK	MV3202X2	V1BW*219	04-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	3.600	UGL	32M-92-07X
	DDRA	STYR	MV2602X2	V1BW*206	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	26M-92-04X
	DDRA	STYR	MV3201X2	V1BW*218	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	32M-92-01X
	DDRA	STYR	DVTRP043	DVTRP*43	04-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-043
	DDRA	STYR	DVTRP042	DVTRP*42	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-042
	DDRA	STYR	DVTRP041	DVTRP*41	02-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-041
	DDRA	STYR	MV3202X2	V1BW*219	04-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	32M-92-07X
	DDRA	T13DCP	MV2602X2	V1BW*206	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.700	UGL	26M-92-04X
	DDRA	T13DCP	MV3201X2	V1BW*218	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.700	UGL	32M-92-01X
	DDRA	T13DCP	DVTRP043	DVTRP*43	04-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.700	UGL	TRP-93-043
	DDRA	T13DCP	DVTRP042	DVTRP*42	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.700	UGL	TRP-93-042
	DDRA	T13DCP	DVTRP041	DVTRP*41	02-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.700	UGL	TRP-93-041
	DDRA	T13DCP	MV3202X2	V1BW*219	04-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.700	UGL	32M-92-07X
	DDRA	TCLEA	MV2602X2	V1BW*206	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.510	UGL	26M-92-04X
	DDRA	TCLEA	DVTRP043	DVTRP*43	04-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.510	UGL	TRP-93-043
	DDRA	TCLEA	DVTRP042	DVTRP*42	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.510	UGL	TRP-93-042
	DDRA	TCLEA	DVTRP041	DVTRP*41	02-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.510	UGL	TRP-93-041

Table H6
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Trip Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	DDRA	TCLEA	MV3202X2	V1BW*219	04-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.510	UGL	32M-92-07X
	DDRA	TCLEA	MV3201X2	V1BW*218	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.510	UGL	32M-92-01X
	DDRA	TCLEE	MV2602X2	V1BW*206	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	1.600	UGL	26M-92-04X
	DDRA	TCLEE	DVTRP041	DVTRP*41	02-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	1.600	UGL	TRP-93-041
	DDRA	TCLEE	DVTRP043	DVTRP*43	04-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	1.600	UGL	TRP-93-043
	DDRA	TCLEE	DVTRP042	DVTRP*42	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	1.600	UGL	TRP-93-042
	DDRA	TCLEE	MV3202X2	V1BW*219	04-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	1.600	UGL	32M-92-07X
	DDRA	TCLEE	MV3201X2	V1BW*218	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	1.600	UGL	32M-92-01X
	DDRA	TRCLE	MV2602X2	V1BW*206	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	26M-92-04X
	DDRA	TRCLE	DVTRP043	DVTRP*43	04-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-043
	DDRA	TRCLE	DVTRP042	DVTRP*42	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-042
	DDRA	TRCLE	DVTRP041	DVTRP*41	02-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-041
	DDRA	TRCLE	MV3202X2	V1BW*219	04-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	32M-92-07X
	DDRA	TRCLE	MV3201X2	V1BW*218	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	32M-92-01X
	DDRA	XYLEN	MV2602X2	V1BW*206	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.840	UGL	26M-92-04X
	DDRA	XYLEN	MV3201X2	V1BW*218	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.840	UGL	32M-92-01X
	DDRA	XYLEN	DVTRP043	DVTRP*43	04-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.840	UGL	TRP-93-043
	DDRA	XYLEN	DVTRP042	DVTRP*42	03-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.840	UGL	TRP-93-042
	DDRA	XYLEN	DVTRP041	DVTRP*41	02-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.840	UGL	TRP-93-041
	DDRA	XYLEN	MV3202X2	V1BW*219	04-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.840	UGL	32M-92-07X
	DDTA	111TCE	DVTRP044	DVTRP*44	05-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-044
	DDTA	111TCE	DVTRP*45	DVTRP*45	09-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-045
	DDTA	112TCE	DVTRP044	DVTRP*44	05-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	1.200	UGL	TRP-93-044
	DDTA	112TCE	DVTRP*45	DVTRP*45	09-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	1.200	UGL	TRP-93-045
	DDTA	11DCE	DVTRP044	DVTRP*44	05-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-044
	DDTA	11DCE	DVTRP*45	DVTRP*45	09-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-045
	DDTA	11DCLE	DVTRP044	DVTRP*44	05-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.680	UGL	TRP-93-044
	DDTA	11DCLE	DVTRP*45	DVTRP*45	09-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.680	UGL	TRP-93-045
	DDTA	12DCE	DVTRP044	DVTRP*44	05-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-044
	DDTA	12DCE	DVTRP*45	DVTRP*45	09-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-045
	DDTA	12DCLE	DVTRP044	DVTRP*44	05-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-044
	DDTA	12DCLE	DVTRP*45	DVTRP*45	09-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-045
	DDTA	12DCLP	DVTRP044	DVTRP*44	05-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-044
	DDTA	12DCLP	DVTRP*45	DVTRP*45	09-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-045
	DDTA	2CLEVE	DVTRP044	DVTRP*44	05-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.710	UGL	TRP-93-044
	DDTA	2CLEVE	DVTRP*45	DVTRP*45	09-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.710	UGL	TRP-93-045
	DDTA	ACET	DVTRP044	DVTRP*44	05-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	13.000	UGL	TRP-93-044

Table H6
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Trip Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	DDTA	ACET	DVTRP*45	DVTRP*45	09-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	13.000	UGL	TRP-93-045
	DDTA	ACROLN	DVTRP044	DVTRP*44	05-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	100.000	UGL	TRP-93-044
	DDTA	ACROLN	DVTRP*45	DVTRP*45	09-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	100.000	UGL	TRP-93-045
	DDTA	ACRYLO	DVTRP044	DVTRP*44	05-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	100.000	UGL	TRP-93-044
	DDTA	ACRYLO	DVTRP*45	DVTRP*45	09-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	100.000	UGL	TRP-93-045
	DDTA	BRDCLM	DVTRP044	DVTRP*44	05-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.590	UGL	TRP-93-044
	DDTA	BRDCLM	DVTRP*45	DVTRP*45	09-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.590	UGL	TRP-93-045
	DDTA	C13DCP	DVTRP044	DVTRP*44	05-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.580	UGL	TRP-93-044
	DDTA	C13DCP	DVTRP*45	DVTRP*45	09-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.580	UGL	TRP-93-045
	DDTA	C2AVE	DVTRP044	DVTRP*44	05-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	8.300	UGL	TRP-93-044
	DDTA	C2AVE	DVTRP*45	DVTRP*45	09-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	8.300	UGL	TRP-93-045
	DDTA	C2H3CL	DVTRP044	DVTRP*44	05-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	2.600	UGL	TRP-93-044
	DDTA	C2H3CL	DVTRP*45	DVTRP*45	09-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	2.600	UGL	TRP-93-045
	DDTA	C2H5CL	DVTRP044	DVTRP*44	05-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	1.900	UGL	TRP-93-044
	DDTA	C2H5CL	DVTRP*45	DVTRP*45	09-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	1.900	UGL	TRP-93-045
	DDTA	C6H6	DVTRP044	DVTRP*44	05-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-044
	DDTA	C6H6	DVTRP*45	DVTRP*45	09-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-045
	DDTA	CCL3F	DVTRP044	DVTRP*44	05-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	1.400	UGL	TRP-93-044
	DDTA	CCL3F	DVTRP*45	DVTRP*45	09-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	1.400	UGL	TRP-93-045
	DDTA	CCL4	DVTRP044	DVTRP*44	05-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.580	UGL	TRP-93-044
	DDTA	CCL4	DVTRP*45	DVTRP*45	09-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.580	UGL	TRP-93-045
	DDTA	CH2CL2	DVTRP044	DVTRP*44	05-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	2.300	UGL	TRP-93-044
	DDTA	CH2CL2	DVTRP*45	DVTRP*45	09-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	2.300	UGL	TRP-93-045
	DDTA	CH3BR	DVTRP044	DVTRP*44	05-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	5.800	UGL	TRP-93-044
	DDTA	CH3BR	DVTRP*45	DVTRP*45	09-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	5.800	UGL	TRP-93-045
	DDTA	CH3CL	DVTRP044	DVTRP*44	05-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	3.200	UGL	TRP-93-044
	DDTA	CH3CL	DVTRP*45	DVTRP*45	09-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	3.200	UGL	TRP-93-045
	DDTA	CHBR3	DVTRP044	DVTRP*44	05-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	2.600	UGL	TRP-93-044
	DDTA	CHBR3	DVTRP*45	DVTRP*45	09-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	2.600	UGL	TRP-93-045
	DDTA	CHCL3	DVTRP044	DVTRP*44	05-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-044
	DDTA	CHCL3	DVTRP*45	DVTRP*45	09-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-045
	DDTA	CL2BZ	DVTRP044	DVTRP*44	05-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	10.000	UGL	TRP-93-044
	DDTA	CL2BZ	DVTRP*45	DVTRP*45	09-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	10.000	UGL	TRP-93-045
	DDTA	CLC6H5	DVTRP044	DVTRP*44	05-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-044
	DDTA	CLC6H5	DVTRP*45	DVTRP*45	09-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-045
	DDTA	CS2	DVTRP044	DVTRP*44	05-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-044
	DDTA	CS2	DVTRP*45	DVTRP*45	09-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-045

Table H6
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Trip Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	DDTA	DBRCLM	DVTRP044	DVTRP*44	05-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.670	UGL	TRP-93-044
	DDTA	DBRCLM	DVTRP*45	DVTRP*45	09-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.670	UGL	TRP-93-045
	DDTA	ETC6H5	DVTRP044	DVTRP*44	05-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-044
	DDTA	ETC6H5	DVTRP*45	DVTRP*45	09-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-045
	DDTA	MEC6H5	DVTRP044	DVTRP*44	05-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-044
	DDTA	MEC6H5	DVTRP*45	DVTRP*45	09-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-045
	DDTA	MEK	DVTRP044	DVTRP*44	05-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	6.400	UGL	TRP-93-044
	DDTA	MEK	DVTRP*45	DVTRP*45	09-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	6.400	UGL	TRP-93-045
	DDTA	MIBK	DVTRP044	DVTRP*44	05-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	3.000	UGL	TRP-93-044
	DDTA	MIBK	DVTRP*45	DVTRP*45	09-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	3.000	UGL	TRP-93-045
	DDTA	MNBK	DVTRP044	DVTRP*44	05-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	3.600	UGL	TRP-93-044
	DDTA	MNBK	DVTRP*45	DVTRP*45	09-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	3.600	UGL	TRP-93-045
	DDTA	STYR	DVTRP044	DVTRP*44	05-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-044
	DDTA	STYR	DVTRP*45	DVTRP*45	09-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-045
	DDTA	T13DCP	DVTRP044	DVTRP*44	05-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.700	UGL	TRP-93-044
	DDTA	T13DCP	DVTRP*45	DVTRP*45	09-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.700	UGL	TRP-93-045
	DDTA	TCLEA	DVTRP044	DVTRP*44	05-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.510	UGL	TRP-93-044
	DDTA	TCLEA	DVTRP*45	DVTRP*45	09-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.510	UGL	TRP-93-045
	DDTA	TCLEE	DVTRP044	DVTRP*44	05-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	1.600	UGL	TRP-93-044
	DDTA	TCLEE	DVTRP*45	DVTRP*45	09-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	1.600	UGL	TRP-93-045
	DDTA	TRCLE	DVTRP044	DVTRP*44	05-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-044
	DDTA	TRCLE	DVTRP*45	DVTRP*45	09-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-045
	DDTA	XYLEN	DVTRP044	DVTRP*44	05-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.840	UGL	TRP-93-044
	DDTA	XYLEN	DVTRP*45	DVTRP*45	09-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.840	UGL	TRP-93-045
	DDUA	111TCE	DVTRP046	DVTRP*46	10-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-046
	DDUA	112TCE	DVTRP046	DVTRP*46	10-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	<	1.200	UGL	TRP-93-046
	DDUA	11DCE	DVTRP046	DVTRP*46	10-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-046
	DDUA	11DCLE	DVTRP046	DVTRP*46	10-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	<	0.680	UGL	TRP-93-046
	DDUA	12DCE	DVTRP046	DVTRP*46	10-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-046
	DDUA	12DCLE	DVTRP046	DVTRP*46	10-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-046
	DDUA	12DCLP	DVTRP046	DVTRP*46	10-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-046
	DDUA	2CLEVE	DVTRP046	DVTRP*46	10-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	<	0.710	UGL	TRP-93-046
	DDUA	ACET	DVTRP046	DVTRP*46	10-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993		22.000	UGL	TRP-93-046
	DDUA	ACROLN	DVTRP046	DVTRP*46	10-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	<	100.000	UGL	TRP-93-046
	DDUA	ACRYLO	DVTRP046	DVTRP*46	10-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	<	100.000	UGL	TRP-93-046
	DDUA	BRDCLM	DVTRP046	DVTRP*46	10-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	<	0.590	UGL	TRP-93-046
	DDUA	C13DCP	DVTRP046	DVTRP*46	10-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	<	0.580	UGL	TRP-93-046

Table H6
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Trip Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	DDUA	C2AVE	DVTRP046	DVTRP*46	10-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	<	8.300	UGL	TRP-93-046
	DDUA	C2H3CL	DVTRP046	DVTRP*46	10-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	<	2.600	UGL	TRP-93-046
	DDUA	C2H5CL	DVTRP046	DVTRP*46	10-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	<	1.900	UGL	TRP-93-046
	DDUA	C6H6	DVTRP046	DVTRP*46	10-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-046
	DDUA	CCL3F	DVTRP046	DVTRP*46	10-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	<	1.400	UGL	TRP-93-046
	DDUA	CCL4	DVTRP046	DVTRP*46	10-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	<	0.580	UGL	TRP-93-046
	DDUA	CH2CL2	DVTRP046	DVTRP*46	10-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	<	2.300	UGL	TRP-93-046
	DDUA	CH3BR	DVTRP046	DVTRP*46	10-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	<	5.800	UGL	TRP-93-046
	DDUA	CH3CL	DVTRP046	DVTRP*46	10-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	<	3.200	UGL	TRP-93-046
	DDUA	CHBR3	DVTRP046	DVTRP*46	10-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	<	2.600	UGL	TRP-93-046
	DDUA	CHCL3	DVTRP046	DVTRP*46	10-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-046
	DDUA	CL2BZ	DVTRP046	DVTRP*46	10-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	<	10.000	UGL	TRP-93-046
	DDUA	CLC6H5	DVTRP046	DVTRP*46	10-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-046
	DDUA	CS2	DVTRP046	DVTRP*46	10-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-046
	DDUA	DBRCLM	DVTRP046	DVTRP*46	10-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	<	0.670	UGL	TRP-93-046
	DDUA	ETC6H5	DVTRP046	DVTRP*46	10-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-046
	DDUA	MEC6H5	DVTRP046	DVTRP*46	10-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-046
	DDUA	MEK	DVTRP046	DVTRP*46	10-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	<	6.400	UGL	TRP-93-046
	DDUA	MIBK	DVTRP046	DVTRP*46	10-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	<	3.000	UGL	TRP-93-046
	DDUA	MNBK	DVTRP046	DVTRP*46	10-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	<	3.600	UGL	TRP-93-046
	DDUA	STYR	DVTRP046	DVTRP*46	10-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-046
	DDUA	T13DCP	DVTRP046	DVTRP*46	10-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	<	0.700	UGL	TRP-93-046
	DDUA	TCLEA	DVTRP046	DVTRP*46	10-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	<	0.510	UGL	TRP-93-046
	DDUA	TCLEE	DVTRP046	DVTRP*46	10-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	<	1.600	UGL	TRP-93-046
	DDUA	TRCLE	DVTRP046	DVTRP*46	10-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-046
	DDUA	XYLEN	DVTRP046	DVTRP*46	10-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	<	0.840	UGL	TRP-93-046
	DDWA	111TCE	DVTRP047	DVTRP*47	11-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-047
	DDWA	111TCE	DVTRP048	DVTRP*48	12-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-048
	DDWA	112TCE	DVTRP047	DVTRP*47	11-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	1.200	UGL	TRP-93-047
	DDWA	112TCE	DVTRP048	DVTRP*48	12-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	1.200	UGL	TRP-93-048
	DDWA	11DCE	DVTRP047	DVTRP*47	11-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-047
	DDWA	11DCE	DVTRP048	DVTRP*48	12-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-048
	DDWA	11DCLE	DVTRP047	DVTRP*47	11-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	0.680	UGL	TRP-93-047
	DDWA	11DCLE	DVTRP048	DVTRP*48	12-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	0.680	UGL	TRP-93-048
	DDWA	12DCE	DVTRP047	DVTRP*47	11-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-047
	DDWA	12DCE	DVTRP048	DVTRP*48	12-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-048
	DDWA	12DCLE	DVTRP047	DVTRP*47	11-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-047

Table H6
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Trip Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	DDWA	12DCLE	DVTRP048	DVTRP*48	12-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-048
	DDWA	12DCLP	DVTRP047	DVTRP*47	11-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-047
	DDWA	12DCLP	DVTRP048	DVTRP*48	12-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-048
	DDWA	2CLEVE	DVTRP047	DVTRP*47	11-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	0.710	UGL	TRP-93-047
	DDWA	2CLEVE	DVTRP048	DVTRP*48	12-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	0.710	UGL	TRP-93-048
	DDWA	ACET	DVTRP047	DVTRP*47	11-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	13.000	UGL	TRP-93-047
	DDWA	ACET	DVTRP048	DVTRP*48	12-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	13.000	UGL	TRP-93-048
	DDWA	ACROLN	DVTRP047	DVTRP*47	11-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	100.000	UGL	TRP-93-047
	DDWA	ACROLN	DVTRP048	DVTRP*48	12-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	100.000	UGL	TRP-93-048
	DDWA	ACRYLO	DVTRP047	DVTRP*47	11-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	100.000	UGL	TRP-93-047
	DDWA	ACRYLO	DVTRP048	DVTRP*48	12-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	100.000	UGL	TRP-93-048
	DDWA	BRDCLM	DVTRP047	DVTRP*47	11-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	0.590	UGL	TRP-93-047
	DDWA	BRDCLM	DVTRP048	DVTRP*48	12-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	0.590	UGL	TRP-93-048
	DDWA	C13DCP	DVTRP047	DVTRP*47	11-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	0.580	UGL	TRP-93-047
	DDWA	C13DCP	DVTRP048	DVTRP*48	12-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	0.580	UGL	TRP-93-048
	DDWA	C2AVE	DVTRP047	DVTRP*47	11-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	8.300	UGL	TRP-93-047
	DDWA	C2AVE	DVTRP048	DVTRP*48	12-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	8.300	UGL	TRP-93-048
	DDWA	C2H3CL	DVTRP047	DVTRP*47	11-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	2.600	UGL	TRP-93-047
	DDWA	C2H3CL	DVTRP048	DVTRP*48	12-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	2.600	UGL	TRP-93-048
	DDWA	C2H5CL	DVTRP047	DVTRP*47	11-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	1.900	UGL	TRP-93-047
	DDWA	C2H5CL	DVTRP048	DVTRP*48	12-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	1.900	UGL	TRP-93-048
	DDWA	C6H6	DVTRP047	DVTRP*47	11-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-047
	DDWA	C6H6	DVTRP048	DVTRP*48	12-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-048
	DDWA	CCL3F	DVTRP047	DVTRP*47	11-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	1.400	UGL	TRP-93-047
	DDWA	CCL3F	DVTRP048	DVTRP*48	12-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	1.400	UGL	TRP-93-048
	DDWA	CCL4	DVTRP047	DVTRP*47	11-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	0.580	UGL	TRP-93-047
	DDWA	CCL4	DVTRP048	DVTRP*48	12-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	0.580	UGL	TRP-93-048
	DDWA	CH2CL2	DVTRP047	DVTRP*47	11-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	2.300	UGL	TRP-93-047
	DDWA	CH2CL2	DVTRP048	DVTRP*48	12-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	2.300	UGL	TRP-93-048
	DDWA	CH3BR	DVTRP047	DVTRP*47	11-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	5.800	UGL	TRP-93-047
	DDWA	CH3BR	DVTRP048	DVTRP*48	12-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	5.800	UGL	TRP-93-048
	DDWA	CH3CL	DVTRP047	DVTRP*47	11-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	3.200	UGL	TRP-93-047
	DDWA	CH3CL	DVTRP048	DVTRP*48	12-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	3.200	UGL	TRP-93-048
	DDWA	CHBR3	DVTRP047	DVTRP*47	11-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	2.600	UGL	TRP-93-047
	DDWA	CHBR3	DVTRP048	DVTRP*48	12-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	2.600	UGL	TRP-93-048
	DDWA	CHCL3	DVTRP047	DVTRP*47	11-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-047
	DDWA	CHCL3	DVTRP048	DVTRP*48	12-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-048

Table H6
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Trip Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	DDWA	CL2BZ	DVTRP047	DVTRP*47	11-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	10.000	UGL	TRP-93-047
	DDWA	CL2BZ	DVTRP048	DVTRP*48	12-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	10.000	UGL	TRP-93-048
	DDWA	CLC6H5	DVTRP047	DVTRP*47	11-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-047
	DDWA	CLC6H5	DVTRP048	DVTRP*48	12-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-048
	DDWA	CS2	DVTRP047	DVTRP*47	11-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-047
	DDWA	CS2	DVTRP048	DVTRP*48	12-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-048
	DDWA	DBRCLM	DVTRP047	DVTRP*47	11-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	0.670	UGL	TRP-93-047
	DDWA	DBRCLM	DVTRP048	DVTRP*48	12-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	0.670	UGL	TRP-93-048
	DDWA	ETC6H5	DVTRP047	DVTRP*47	11-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-047
	DDWA	ETC6H5	DVTRP048	DVTRP*48	12-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-048
	DDWA	MEC6H5	DVTRP047	DVTRP*47	11-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-047
	DDWA	MEC6H5	DVTRP048	DVTRP*48	12-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-048
	DDWA	MEK	DVTRP047	DVTRP*47	11-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	6.400	UGL	TRP-93-047
	DDWA	MEK	DVTRP048	DVTRP*48	12-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	6.400	UGL	TRP-93-048
	DDWA	MIBK	DVTRP047	DVTRP*47	11-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	3.000	UGL	TRP-93-047
	DDWA	MIBK	DVTRP048	DVTRP*48	12-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	3.000	UGL	TRP-93-048
	DDWA	MNBK	DVTRP047	DVTRP*47	11-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	3.600	UGL	TRP-93-047
	DDWA	MNBK	DVTRP048	DVTRP*48	12-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	3.600	UGL	TRP-93-048
	DDWA	STYR	DVTRP047	DVTRP*47	11-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-047
	DDWA	STYR	DVTRP048	DVTRP*48	12-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-048
	DDWA	T13DCP	DVTRP047	DVTRP*47	11-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	0.700	UGL	TRP-93-047
	DDWA	T13DCP	DVTRP048	DVTRP*48	12-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	0.700	UGL	TRP-93-048
	DDWA	TCLEA	DVTRP047	DVTRP*47	11-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	0.510	UGL	TRP-93-047
	DDWA	TCLEA	DVTRP048	DVTRP*48	12-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	0.510	UGL	TRP-93-048
	DDWA	TCLEE	DVTRP047	DVTRP*47	11-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	1.600	UGL	TRP-93-047
	DDWA	TCLEE	DVTRP048	DVTRP*48	12-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	1.600	UGL	TRP-93-048
	DDWA	TRCLE	DVTRP047	DVTRP*47	11-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-047
	DDWA	TRCLE	DVTRP048	DVTRP*48	12-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-048
	DDWA	XYLEN	DVTRP047	DVTRP*47	11-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	0.840	UGL	TRP-93-047
	DDWA	XYLEN	DVTRP048	DVTRP*48	12-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	0.840	UGL	TRP-93-048
	DDXA	111TCE	DVTRP149	VTRP*149	16-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-149
	DDXA	112TCE	DVTRP149	VTRP*149	16-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	1.200	UGL	TRP-93-149
	DDXA	11DCE	DVTRP149	VTRP*149	16-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-149
	DDXA	11DCLE	DVTRP149	VTRP*149	16-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.680	UGL	TRP-93-149
	DDXA	12DCE	DVTRP149	VTRP*149	16-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-149
	DDXA	12DCLE	DVTRP149	VTRP*149	16-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-149
	DDXA	12DCLP	DVTRP149	VTRP*149	16-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-149

Table H6
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Trip Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	DDXA	2CLEVE	DVTRP149	VTRP*149	16-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.710	UGL	TRP-93-149
	DDXA	ACET	DVTRP149	VTRP*149	16-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	13.000	UGL	TRP-93-149
	DDXA	ACROLN	DVTRP149	VTRP*149	16-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	100.000	UGL	TRP-93-149
	DDXA	ACRYLO	DVTRP149	VTRP*149	16-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	100.000	UGL	TRP-93-149
	DDXA	BRDCLM	DVTRP149	VTRP*149	16-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.590	UGL	TRP-93-149
	DDXA	C13DCP	DVTRP149	VTRP*149	16-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.580	UGL	TRP-93-149
	DDXA	C2AVE	DVTRP149	VTRP*149	16-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	8.300	UGL	TRP-93-149
	DDXA	C2H3CL	DVTRP149	VTRP*149	16-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	2.600	UGL	TRP-93-149
	DDXA	C2H5CL	DVTRP149	VTRP*149	16-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	1.900	UGL	TRP-93-149
	DDXA	C6H6	DVTRP149	VTRP*149	16-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-149
	DDXA	CCL3F	DVTRP149	VTRP*149	16-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	1.400	UGL	TRP-93-149
	DDXA	CCL4	DVTRP149	VTRP*149	16-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.580	UGL	TRP-93-149
	DDXA	CH2CL2	DVTRP149	VTRP*149	16-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993		8.900	UGL	TRP-93-149
	DDXA	CH3BR	DVTRP149	VTRP*149	16-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	5.800	UGL	TRP-93-149
	DDXA	CH3CL	DVTRP149	VTRP*149	16-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	3.200	UGL	TRP-93-149
	DDXA	CHBR3	DVTRP149	VTRP*149	16-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	2.600	UGL	TRP-93-149
	DDXA	CHCL3	DVTRP149	VTRP*149	16-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-149
	DDXA	CL2BZ	DVTRP149	VTRP*149	16-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	10.000	UGL	TRP-93-149
	DDXA	CLC6H5	DVTRP149	VTRP*149	16-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-149
	DDXA	CS2	DVTRP149	VTRP*149	16-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-149
	DDXA	DBRCLM	DVTRP149	VTRP*149	16-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.670	UGL	TRP-93-149
	DDXA	ETC6H5	DVTRP149	VTRP*149	16-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-149
	DDXA	MEC6H5	DVTRP149	VTRP*149	16-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-149
	DDXA	MEK	DVTRP149	VTRP*149	16-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	6.400	UGL	TRP-93-149
	DDXA	MIBK	DVTRP149	VTRP*149	16-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	3.000	UGL	TRP-93-149
	DDXA	MNBK	DVTRP149	VTRP*149	16-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	3.600	UGL	TRP-93-149
	DDXA	STYR	DVTRP149	VTRP*149	16-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-149
	DDXA	T13DCP	DVTRP149	VTRP*149	16-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.700	UGL	TRP-93-149
	DDXA	TCLEA	DVTRP149	VTRP*149	16-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.510	UGL	TRP-93-149
	DDXA	TCLEE	DVTRP149	VTRP*149	16-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	1.600	UGL	TRP-93-149
	DDXA	TRCLE	DVTRP149	VTRP*149	16-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-149
	DDXA	XYLEN	DVTRP149	VTRP*149	16-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.840	UGL	TRP-93-149
	DYCA	111TCE	DVTRP052	DVTRP*52	15-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-052
	DYCA	112TCE	DVTRP052	DVTRP*52	15-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	<	1.200	UGL	TRP-93-052
	DYCA	11DCE	DVTRP052	DVTRP*52	15-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-052
	DYCA	11DCLE	DVTRP052	DVTRP*52	15-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	<	0.680	UGL	TRP-93-052
	DYCA	12DCE	DVTRP052	DVTRP*52	15-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-052

Table H6
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Trip Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	DYCA	12DCLE	DVTRP052	DVTRP*52	15-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-052
	DYCA	12DCLP	DVTRP052	DVTRP*52	15-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-052
	DYCA	2CLEVE	DVTRP052	DVTRP*52	15-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	<	0.710	UGL	TRP-93-052
	DYCA	ACET	DVTRP052	DVTRP*52	15-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	<	13.000	UGL	TRP-93-052
	DYCA	ACROLN	DVTRP052	DVTRP*52	15-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	<	100.000	UGL	TRP-93-052
	DYCA	ACRYLO	DVTRP052	DVTRP*52	15-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	<	100.000	UGL	TRP-93-052
	DYCA	BRDCLM	DVTRP052	DVTRP*52	15-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	<	0.590	UGL	TRP-93-052
	DYCA	C13DCP	DVTRP052	DVTRP*52	15-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	<	0.580	UGL	TRP-93-052
	DYCA	C2AVE	DVTRP052	DVTRP*52	15-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	<	8.300	UGL	TRP-93-052
	DYCA	C2H3CL	DVTRP052	DVTRP*52	15-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	<	2.600	UGL	TRP-93-052
	DYCA	C2H5CL	DVTRP052	DVTRP*52	15-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	<	1.900	UGL	TRP-93-052
	DYCA	C6H6	DVTRP052	DVTRP*52	15-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-052
	DYCA	CCL3F	DVTRP052	DVTRP*52	15-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	<	1.400	UGL	TRP-93-052
	DYCA	CCL4	DVTRP052	DVTRP*52	15-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	<	0.580	UGL	TRP-93-052
	DYCA	CH2CL2	DVTRP052	DVTRP*52	15-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	<	2.300	UGL	TRP-93-052
	DYCA	CH3BR	DVTRP052	DVTRP*52	15-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	<	5.800	UGL	TRP-93-052
	DYCA	CH3CL	DVTRP052	DVTRP*52	15-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	<	3.200	UGL	TRP-93-052
	DYCA	CHBR3	DVTRP052	DVTRP*52	15-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	<	2.600	UGL	TRP-93-052
	DYCA	CHCL3	DVTRP052	DVTRP*52	15-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-052
	DYCA	CL2BZ	DVTRP052	DVTRP*52	15-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	<	10.000	UGL	TRP-93-052
	DYCA	CLC6H5	DVTRP052	DVTRP*52	15-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-052
	DYCA	CS2	DVTRP052	DVTRP*52	15-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-052
	DYCA	DBRCLM	DVTRP052	DVTRP*52	15-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	<	0.670	UGL	TRP-93-052
	DYCA	ETC6H5	DVTRP052	DVTRP*52	15-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-052
	DYCA	MEC6H5	DVTRP052	DVTRP*52	15-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-052
	DYCA	MEK	DVTRP052	DVTRP*52	15-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	<	6.400	UGL	TRP-93-052
	DYCA	MIBK	DVTRP052	DVTRP*52	15-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	<	3.000	UGL	TRP-93-052
	DYCA	MNBK	DVTRP052	DVTRP*52	15-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	<	3.600	UGL	TRP-93-052
	DYCA	STYR	DVTRP052	DVTRP*52	15-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-052
	DYCA	T13DCP	DVTRP052	DVTRP*52	15-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	<	0.700	UGL	TRP-93-052
	DYCA	TCLEA	DVTRP052	DVTRP*52	15-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	<	0.510	UGL	TRP-93-052

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
00	BCY	TOC				06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	1000.000	UGL	
	BCZ	TOC				07-OCT-1992	07-OCT-1992	<	100.000	UGG	
	BNJ	TPHC				07-OCT-1992	12-OCT-1992	<	20.000	UGG	
	BNM	TPHC				06-OCT-1992	07-OCT-1992	<	200.000	UGL	
	BZI	HARD				27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	1000.000	UGL	
	BZI	HARD				27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	1000.000	UGL	
	BZJ	TSS				22-OCT-1992	22-OCT-1992		5000.000	UGL	
	BZK	TSS				26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992		5500.000	UGL	
	BZL	TSS				28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	4000.000	UGL	
	BZL	TSS				28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	4000.000	UGL	
	BZM	TPHC				28-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992		29.100	UGG	
	BZN	TPHC				26-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992		29.100	UGG	
	BZO	ALK				26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	5000.000	UGL	
	BZP	ALK				02-NOV-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	5000.000	UGL	
	BZQ	ALK				04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	5000.000	UGL	
	BZS	HARD				04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	1000.000	UGL	
	BZU	ALK				10-NOV-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	5000.000	UGL	
	BZV	TOC				09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	100.000	UGG	
	BZV	TOC				09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	100.000	UGG	
	BZX	TSS				30-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992		4500.000	UGL	
	BZY	TSS				03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	4000.000	UGL	
	BZZ	TSS				04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	4000.000	UGL	
	CFA	TSS				06-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	4000.000	UGL	
	CFB	TPHC				02-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992		27.400	UGG	
	CFC	TPHC				05-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992		23.900	UGG	
	CFD	ALK				09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	5000.000	UGL	
	CFE	TPHC				26-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	200.000	UGL	
	CFF	TPHC				02-NOV-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	1710.000	UGL	
	CFG	TPHC				11-NOV-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	20.000	UGG	
	CFG	TPHC				11-NOV-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	20.000	UGG	
	CFI	TPHC				16-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	20.000	UGG	
	CFK	TOC				17-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	100.000	UGG	
	CFL	TSS				09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	4000.000	UGL	
	CFO	OILGR				13-NOV-1992	13-NOV-1992	<	171.000	UGL	
	CFO	TPHC				13-NOV-1992	13-NOV-1992	<	171.000	UGL	
	CFS	TPHC				17-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	171.000	UGL	
	CFT	TPHC				22-NOV-1992	23-NOV-1992	<	1710.000	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
00	CFU	HARD				25-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	1000.000	UGL	
	CFW	TPHC				13-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	1000.000	UGL	
	CQB	TPHC				24-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	171.000	UGL	
	CQC	TPHC				03-DEC-1992	06-DEC-1992	<	1710.000	UGL	
	CQI	HARD				15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	1000.000	UGL	
	CQJ	TOC				16-DEC-1992	16-DEC-1992	<	100.000	UGG	
	CQK	TOC				29-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	100.000	UGG	
	CQL	TPHC				14-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	20.000	UGG	
	CQM	ALK				22-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	<	5000.000	UGL	
	CQO	TDS				15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	10000.000	UGL	
	CQP	TSS				15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	4000.000	UGL	
	CQR	TORC				16-DEC-1992	16-DEC-1992		100000.000	UGG	
	CQR	TORC				16-DEC-1992	16-DEC-1992		100000.000	UGG	
	CQS	TOC				30-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	<	100.000	UGG	
	CQS	TOC				30-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	<	100.000	UGG	
	CQT	TOC				31-DEC-1992	31-DEC-1992	<	100.000	UGG	
	CQT	TOC				31-DEC-1992	31-DEC-1992	<	100.000	UGG	
	CQT	TOC				31-DEC-1992	31-DEC-1992	<	100.000	UGG	
	CQU	TOC				01-JAN-1993	01-JAN-1993	<	100.000	UGG	
	CQV	TOC				04-JAN-1993	04-JAN-1993	<	100.000	UGG	
	CQV	TOC				04-JAN-1993	04-JAN-1993	<	100.000	UGG	
	CQW	TOC				05-JAN-1993	05-JAN-1993	<	100.000	UGG	
	CQX	TOC				30-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	<	1000.000	UGL	
	CQY	TPHC				15-DEC-1992	16-DEC-1992	<	171.000	UGL	
	CYG	TSS				11-JAN-1993	11-JAN-1993	<	4000.000	UGL	
	CYI	TSS				13-JAN-1993	13-JAN-1993	<	4000.000	UGL	
	CYS	HARD				18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	1000.000	UGL	
	CYU	TPHC				26-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	28.600	UGG	
	CYX	TSS				18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	4000.000	UGL	
	CYY	TSS				20-JAN-1993	20-JAN-1993	<	4000.000	UGL	
	CYZ	TSS				19-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	4000.000	UGL	
	DFA	TSS				28-JAN-1993	28-JAN-1993	<	4000.000	UGL	
	DFB	TPHC				19-JAN-1993	22-JAN-1993	<	171.000	UGL	
	DFC	TPHC				29-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	171.000	UGL	
	DFE	TOC				15-FEB-1993	15-FEB-1993	<	1000.000	UGL	
	DFF	TOC				16-FEB-1993	16-FEB-1993	<	100.000	UGG	
	DFOA	HARD				09-MAR-1993	09-MAR-1993	<	1000.000	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
00	DFOA	HARD				09-MAR-1993	09-MAR-1993	<	1000.000	UGL	
	DFOA	HARD				09-MAR-1993	09-MAR-1993	<	1000.000	UGL	
	DFPA	TOC				19-MAR-1993	19-MAR-1993	<	1000.000	UGL	
	DFPA	TOC				19-MAR-1993	19-MAR-1993	<	1000.000	UGL	
	DFTA	TOC				29-MAR-1993	29-MAR-1993	<	100.000	UGG	
	DFUA	HARD				16-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	1000.000	UGL	
	DFUA	HARD				16-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	1000.000	UGL	
	DFVA	ALK				11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	5000.000	UGL	
	DFVA	ALK				11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	5000.000	UGL	
	DFXA	TPHC				15-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	171.000	UGL	
	DFYA	PH				17-MAR-1993	17-MAR-1993		5.940		
	DFZA	TPHC				23-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	171.000	UGL	
	DSAA	HARD				23-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	1000.000	UGL	
	DSCA	TDS				08-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993	<	10000.000	UGL	
	DSCA	TDS				08-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993	<	10000.000	UGL	
	DSEA	TDS				10-MAR-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	10000.000	UGL	
	DSEA	TDS				10-MAR-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	10000.000	UGL	
	DSFA	TSS				10-MAR-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	4000.000	UGL	
	DSFA	TSS				10-MAR-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	4000.000	UGL	
	DSGA	TDS				11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	10000.000	UGL	
	DSGA	TDS				11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	10000.000	UGL	
	DSHA	TSS				11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	4000.000	UGL	
	DSHA	TSS				11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	4000.000	UGL	
	DSIA	TDS				12-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	<	10000.000	UGL	
	DSIA	TDS				12-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	<	10000.000	UGL	
	DSJA	TSS				12-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	<	4000.000	UGL	
	DSJA	TSS				12-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	<	4000.000	UGL	
	DSNA	TSS				16-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	4000.000	UGL	
	DSNA	TSS				16-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	4000.000	UGL	
	DSOA	TDS				18-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	10000.000	UGL	
	DSOA	TDS				18-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	10000.000	UGL	
	DSPA	TSS				18-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993		6000.000	UGL	
	DSPA	TSS				18-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	4000.000	UGL	
	DSQA	TDS				19-MAR-1993	19-MAR-1993	<	10000.000	UGL	
	DSQA	TDS				19-MAR-1993	19-MAR-1993	<	10000.000	UGL	
	DSRA	TSS				19-MAR-1993	31-MAR-1993	<	4000.000	UGL	
	DSRA	TSS				19-MAR-1993	31-MAR-1993	<	4000.000	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
00	DSSA	ALK				23-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	5000.000	UGL	
	DSVA	TOC				29-MAR-1993	29-MAR-1993	<	1000.000	UGL	
	DSYA	ALK				25-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993		5000.000	UGL	
	DTEA	TSS				23-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993		6500.000	UGL	
1311	BIX	AG				29-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	<	6.100	UGL	
	BIX	AS				29-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	<	73.000	UGL	
	BIX	BA				29-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	<	1.100	UGL	
	BIX	CD				29-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	<	4.400	UGL	
	BIX	CR				29-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	<	7.400	UGL	
	BIX	PB				29-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	<	64.000	UGL	
	BIX	SE				29-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	<	100.000	UGL	
	CDO	HG				22-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	<	0.180	UGL	
7470	CDQ	HG				26-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	0.240	UGL	
99	BCR	ALK				02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	5000.000	UGL	
	BNI	ALK				06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	5000.000	UGL	
	BNU	ALK				09-OCT-1992	09-OCT-1992		5000.000	UGL	
	BUP	ACLDAN				07-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	0.005	UGG	
	BUP	ACLDAN				07-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	0.005	UGG	
	BUP	GCLDAN				07-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	0.005	UGG	
	BUP	GCLDAN				07-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	0.005	UGG	
	BUP	HPCL				07-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUP	HPCL				07-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BYM	NIT				16-DEC-1992	16-DEC-1992	<	10.000	UGL	
	CYD	ALK				11-JAN-1993	11-JAN-1993	<	5000.000	UGL	
	CYD	HCO3				11-JAN-1993	11-JAN-1993	<	6100.000	UGL	
	CYP	ALK				19-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	5000.000	UGL	
	CYP	HCO3				19-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	6100.000	UGL	
	DSDA	TSS				08-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993		5000.000	UGL	
	DSDA	TSS				08-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993	<	4000.000	UGL	
	DSKA	TDS				15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	10000.000	UGL	
	DSKA	TDS				15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	10000.000	UGL	
	DSKA	TDS				15-MAR-1993	02-APR-1993	<	10000.000	UGL	
	DSLA	TSS				15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	4000.000	UGL	
	DSMA	TDS				16-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	10000.000	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
99	DSMA	TDS				16-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	10000.000	UGL	
	DTGA	TDS				23-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993		17000.000	UGL	
JB01	BHF	HG				03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	0.050	UGG	
	BHG	HG				05-NOV-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.050	UGG	
	BHK	HG				06-NOV-1992	07-NOV-1992	<	0.050	UGG	
	BHO	HG				13-NOV-1992	14-NOV-1992	<	0.050	UGG	
	BHZ	HG				10-DEC-1992	10-DEC-1992	<	0.050	UGG	
	CSB	HG				16-DEC-1992	16-DEC-1992	<	0.050	UGG	
	CSC	HG				16-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	0.050	UGG	
	CSD	HG				17-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	0.050	UGG	
	CSE	HG				27-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.050	UGG	
	CSM	HG				21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.050	UGG	
	CSN	HG				29-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	0.050	UGG	
	CSO	HG				05-FEB-1993	05-FEB-1993	<	0.050	UGG	
	CSSA	HG				22-MAR-1993	22-MAR-1993	<	0.050	UGG	
	CSWA	HG				07-APR-1993	07-APR-1993	<	0.050	UGG	
	EBBA	HG				03-MAY-1993	03-MAY-1993	<	0.050	UGG	
JD15	AME	SE				26-AUG-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	0.250	UGG	
	AMN	SE				15-SEP-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	0.250	UGG	
	AMO	SE				22-SEP-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.250	UGG	
	BGI	SE				11-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.250	UGG	
	BGJ	SE				09-NOV-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.250	UGG	
	BGL	SE				10-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	0.250	UGG	
	BGP	SE				16-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.250	UGG	
	CNB	SE				10-DEC-1992	16-DEC-1992	<	0.250	UGG	
	CND	SE				17-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992	<	0.250	UGG	
	CNE	SE				17-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	<	0.250	UGG	
	CNF	SE				21-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	<	0.250	UGG	
	CNG	SE				05-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	0.250	UGG	
	CNP	SE				10-FEB-1993	23-FEB-1993	<	0.250	UGG	
	CNTA	SE				16-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	0.250	UGG	
	CNWA	SE				06-APR-1993	08-APR-1993	<	0.250	UGG	
	CNYA	SE				29-APR-1993	30-APR-1993	<	0.250	UGG	
JD17	AUH	PB				15-SEP-1992	14-OCT-1992		0.249	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
JD17	AUV	PB				22-SEP-1992	19-OCT-1992		0.413	UGG	
	BFH	PB				28-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992		0.322	UGG	
	BFQ	PB				11-NOV-1992	20-NOV-1992		0.296	UGG	
	BFR	PB				09-NOV-1992	12-NOV-1992		0.407	UGG	
	BFT	PB				10-NOV-1992	12-NOV-1992		0.239	UGG	
	BFX	PB				16-NOV-1992	23-NOV-1992		0.298	UGG	
	CIM	PB				10-DEC-1992	14-DEC-1992		0.246	UGG	
	CIS	PB				17-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992		0.195	UGG	
	CIT	PB				17-DEC-1992	19-DEC-1992		0.244	UGG	
	CIU	PB				21-DEC-1992	23-DEC-1992		0.328	UGG	
	CIV	PB				05-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993		0.481	UGG	
	CUE	PB				10-FEB-1993	23-FEB-1993		0.345	UGG	
	CUJA	PB				16-MAR-1993	17-MAR-1993		0.349	UGG	
	CUNA	PB				06-APR-1993	07-APR-1993		0.724	UGG	
	CUPA	PB				23-APR-1993	26-APR-1993		0.619	UGG	
JD19	ACX	AS				15-SEP-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.250	UGG	
	ACY	AS				22-SEP-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.250	UGG	
	BBR	AS				11-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.250	UGG	
	BBS	AS				09-NOV-1992	11-NOV-1992	<	0.250	UGG	
	BBU	AS				10-NOV-1992	13-NOV-1992	<	0.250	UGG	
	BBY	AS				16-NOV-1992	23-NOV-1992	<	0.250	UGG	
	CJK	AS				10-DEC-1992	16-DEC-1992	<	0.250	UGG	
	CJM	AS				17-DEC-1992	19-DEC-1992	<	0.250	UGG	
	CJN	AS				17-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.250	UGG	
	CJO	AS				21-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	<	0.250	UGG	
	CJP	AS				05-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	0.250	UGG	
	CJZ	AS				10-FEB-1993	22-FEB-1993		0.256	UGG	
	DIDA	AS				16-MAR-1993	19-MAR-1993	<	0.250	UGG	
	DIMA	AS				06-APR-1993	07-APR-1993		0.290	UGG	
	DIRA	AS				23-APR-1993	28-APR-1993		0.289	UGG	
JD24	ZLF	TL				26-AUG-1992	12-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGG	
	ZLG	TL				15-SEP-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGG	
	ZLH	TL				22-SEP-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGG	
	ZLK	TL				11-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGG	
	ZLL	TL				09-NOV-1992	13-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
JD24	ZLM	TL				10-NOV-1992	23-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGG	
	ZLN	TL				16-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGG	
	ZLO	TL				10-DEC-1992	16-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGG	
	ZLP	TL				17-DEC-1992	19-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGG	
	ZLQ	TL				17-DEC-1992	19-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGG	
	ZLR	TL				21-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGG	
	ZLS	TL				05-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGG	
	ZLT	TL				10-FEB-1993	22-FEB-1993	<	0.500	UGG	
	ZLU	TL				16-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGG	
	ZLV	TL				06-APR-1993	07-APR-1993	<	0.500	UGG	
	ZLW	TL				23-APR-1993	26-APR-1993	<	0.500	UGG	
JD25	ZMF	SB				26-AUG-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	1.090	UGG	
	ZMG	SB				15-SEP-1992	22-OCT-1992	<	1.090	UGG	
	ZMH	SB				22-SEP-1992	23-OCT-1992	<	1.090	UGG	
	ZMI	SB				09-NOV-1992	21-NOV-1992	<	1.090	UGG	
	ZMJ	SB				10-NOV-1992	20-NOV-1992	<	1.090	UGG	
	ZMK	SB				10-NOV-1992	21-NOV-1992	<	1.090	UGG	
	ZML	SB				16-NOV-1992	21-NOV-1992	<	1.090	UGG	
	ZMM	SB				10-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992	<	1.090	UGG	
	ZMN	SB				18-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	<	1.090	UGG	
	ZMO	SB				30-DEC-1992	09-JAN-1993	<	1.090	UGG	
	ZMP	SB				21-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	<	1.090	UGG	
	ZMQ	SB				07-JAN-1993	09-JAN-1993	<	1.090	UGG	
	ZMR	SB				10-FEB-1993	23-FEB-1993	<	1.090	UGG	
	ZMS	SB				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	1.090	UGG	
	ZMT	SB				06-APR-1993	08-APR-1993	<	1.090	UGG	
	ZMU	SB				27-APR-1993	28-APR-1993	<	1.090	UGG	
JS16	BXB	AG				06-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.589	UGG	
	BXB	AL				06-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992		1040.000	UGG	
	BXB	BA				06-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992		7.730	UGG	
	BXB	BE				06-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGG	
	BXB	CA				06-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992		12200.000	UGG	
	BXB	CD				06-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.700	UGG	
	BXB	CO				06-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	1.420	UGG	
	BXB	CR				06-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992		4.230	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
JS16	BXB	CU				06-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992		1.940	UGG	
	BXB	FE				06-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992		1730.000	UGG	
	BXB	K				06-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992		346.000	UGG	
	BXB	MG				06-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992		1660.000	UGG	
	BXB	MN				06-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992		7.700	UGG	
	BXB	NA				06-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992		3120.000	UGG	
	BXB	NI				06-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	1.710	UGG	
	BXB	V				06-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992		4.360	UGG	
	BXB	ZN				06-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992		9.880	UGG	
	BXC	AG				11-NOV-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.589	UGG	
	BXC	AL				11-NOV-1992	12-NOV-1992		916.000	UGG	
	BXC	BA				11-NOV-1992	12-NOV-1992		7.810	UGG	
	BXC	BE				11-NOV-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGG	
	BXC	CA				11-NOV-1992	12-NOV-1992		11500.000	UGG	
	BXC	CD				11-NOV-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.700	UGG	
	BXC	CO				11-NOV-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	1.420	UGG	
	BXC	CR				11-NOV-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	4.050	UGG	
	BXC	CU				11-NOV-1992	12-NOV-1992		1.930	UGG	
	BXC	FE				11-NOV-1992	12-NOV-1992		1630.000	UGG	
	BXC	K				11-NOV-1992	12-NOV-1992		277.000	UGG	
	BXC	MG				11-NOV-1992	12-NOV-1992		1580.000	UGG	
	BXC	MN				11-NOV-1992	12-NOV-1992		7.340	UGG	
	BXC	NA				11-NOV-1992	12-NOV-1992		3000.000	UGG	
	BXC	NI				11-NOV-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	1.710	UGG	
	BXC	V				11-NOV-1992	12-NOV-1992		3.810	UGG	
	BXC	ZN				11-NOV-1992	12-NOV-1992		9.940	UGG	
	BXE	AG				11-NOV-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.589	UGG	
	BXE	AL				11-NOV-1992	12-NOV-1992		1030.000	UGG	
	BXE	BA				11-NOV-1992	12-NOV-1992		9.390	UGG	
	BXE	BE				11-NOV-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGG	
	BXE	CA				11-NOV-1992	12-NOV-1992		11700.000	UGG	
	BXE	CD				11-NOV-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.700	UGG	
	BXE	CO				11-NOV-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	1.420	UGG	
	BXE	CR				11-NOV-1992	12-NOV-1992		4.080	UGG	
	BXE	CU				11-NOV-1992	12-NOV-1992		1.780	UGG	
	BXE	FE				11-NOV-1992	12-NOV-1992		1690.000	UGG	
	BXE	K				11-NOV-1992	12-NOV-1992		276.000	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
JS16	BXE	MG				11-NOV-1992	12-NOV-1992		1590.000	UGG	
	BXE	MN				11-NOV-1992	12-NOV-1992		7.540	UGG	
	BXE	NA				11-NOV-1992	12-NOV-1992		2980.000	UGG	
	BXE	NI				11-NOV-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	1.710	UGG	
	BXE	V				11-NOV-1992	12-NOV-1992		4.100	UGG	
	BXE	ZN				11-NOV-1992	12-NOV-1992		10.300	UGG	
	BXI	AG				16-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.589	UGG	
	BXI	AL				16-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992		999.000	UGG	
	BXI	BA				16-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992		8.450	UGG	
	BXI	BE				16-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGG	
	BXI	CA				16-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992		12000.000	UGG	
	BXI	CD				16-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.700	UGG	
	BXI	CO				16-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	1.420	UGG	
	BXI	CR				16-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	4.050	UGG	
	BXI	CU				16-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992		1.960	UGG	
	BXI	FE				16-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992		1670.000	UGG	
	BXI	K				16-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992		255.000	UGG	
	BXI	MG				16-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992		1640.000	UGG	
	BXI	MN				16-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992		7.530	UGG	
	BXI	NA				16-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992		3070.000	UGG	
	BXI	NI				16-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	1.710	UGG	
	BXI	V				16-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992		4.140	UGG	
	BXI	ZN				16-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992		9.610	UGG	
	BXU	AG				10-DEC-1992	11-DEC-1992	<	0.589	UGG	
	BXU	AL				10-DEC-1992	11-DEC-1992		1020.000	UGG	
	BXU	BA				10-DEC-1992	11-DEC-1992		6.790	UGG	
	BXU	BE				10-DEC-1992	11-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGG	
	BXU	CA				10-DEC-1992	11-DEC-1992		11200.000	UGG	
	BXU	CD				10-DEC-1992	11-DEC-1992	<	0.700	UGG	
	BXU	CO				10-DEC-1992	11-DEC-1992	<	1.420	UGG	
	BXU	CR				10-DEC-1992	11-DEC-1992		4.150	UGG	
	BXU	CU				10-DEC-1992	11-DEC-1992		1.960	UGG	
	BXU	FE				10-DEC-1992	11-DEC-1992		1680.000	UGG	
	BXU	K				10-DEC-1992	11-DEC-1992		253.000	UGG	
	BXU	MG				10-DEC-1992	11-DEC-1992		1580.000	UGG	
	BXU	MN				10-DEC-1992	11-DEC-1992		7.320	UGG	
	BXU	NA				10-DEC-1992	11-DEC-1992		2810.000	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
JS16	BXU	NI				10-DEC-1992	11-DEC-1992	<	1.710	UGG	
	BXU	V				10-DEC-1992	11-DEC-1992		4.010	UGG	
	BXU	ZN				10-DEC-1992	11-DEC-1992		9.250	UGG	
	BXX	AG				16-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992	<	0.589	UGG	
	BXX	AL				16-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992		913.000	UGG	
	BXX	BA				16-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992		9.700	UGG	
	BXX	BE				16-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGG	
	BXX	CA				16-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992		11300.000	UGG	
	BXX	CD				16-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992	<	0.700	UGG	
	BXX	CO				16-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992	<	1.420	UGG	
	BXX	CR				16-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992	<	4.050	UGG	
	BXX	CU				16-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992		1.470	UGG	
	BXX	FE				16-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992		1620.000	UGG	
	BXX	K				16-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992		258.000	UGG	
	BXX	MG				16-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992		1570.000	UGG	
	BXX	MN				16-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992		7.220	UGG	
	BXX	NA				16-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992		2930.000	UGG	
	BXX	NI				16-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992	<	1.710	UGG	
	BXX	V				16-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992		3.630	UGG	
	BXX	ZN				16-DEC-1992	18-DEC-1992		9.280	UGG	
	BXY	AG				29-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	<	0.589	UGG	
	BXY	AL				29-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992		839.000	UGG	
	BXY	BA				29-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	<	5.180	UGG	
	BXY	BE				29-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGG	
	BXY	CA				29-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992		11600.000	UGG	
	BXY	CD				29-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	<	0.700	UGG	
	BXY	CO				29-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	<	1.420	UGG	
	BXY	CR				29-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	<	4.050	UGG	
	BXY	CU				29-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992		2.320	UGG	
	BXY	FE				29-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992		1650.000	UGG	
	BXY	K				29-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992		216.000	UGG	
	BXY	MG				29-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992		1620.000	UGG	
	BXY	MN				29-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992		7.530	UGG	
	BXY	NA				29-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992		3050.000	UGG	
	BXY	NI				29-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	<	1.710	UGG	
	BXY	V				29-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	<	3.390	UGG	
	BXY	ZN				29-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	<	8.030	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
JS16	BXZ	AG				21-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	<	0.589	UGG	
	BXZ	AL				21-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992		1080.000	UGG	
	BXZ	BA				21-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	<	5.180	UGG	
	BXZ	BE				21-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGG	
	BXZ	CA				21-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992		11200.000	UGG	
	BXZ	CD				21-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	<	0.700	UGG	
	BXZ	CO				21-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	<	1.420	UGG	
	BXZ	CR				21-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992		4.300	UGG	
	BXZ	CU				21-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992		1.970	UGG	
	BXZ	FE				21-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992		1660.000	UGG	
	BXZ	K				21-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992		326.000	UGG	
	BXZ	MG				21-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992		1620.000	UGG	
	BXZ	MN				21-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992		7.370	UGG	
	BXZ	NA				21-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992		3020.000	UGG	
	BXZ	NI				21-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	<	1.710	UGG	
	BXZ	V				21-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992		4.400	UGG	
	BXZ	ZN				21-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	<	8.030	UGG	
	CTA	AG				05-JAN-1993	06-JAN-1993	<	0.589	UGG	
	CTA	AL				05-JAN-1993	06-JAN-1993		960.000	UGG	
	CTA	BA				05-JAN-1993	06-JAN-1993		7.080	UGG	
	CTA	BE				05-JAN-1993	06-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGG	
	CTA	CA				05-JAN-1993	06-JAN-1993		11500.000	UGG	
	CTA	CD				05-JAN-1993	06-JAN-1993	<	0.700	UGG	
	CTA	CO				05-JAN-1993	06-JAN-1993	<	1.420	UGG	
	CTA	CR				05-JAN-1993	06-JAN-1993		4.160	UGG	
	CTA	CU				05-JAN-1993	06-JAN-1993		1.840	UGG	
	CTA	FE				05-JAN-1993	06-JAN-1993		1660.000	UGG	
	CTA	K				05-JAN-1993	06-JAN-1993		324.000	UGG	
	CTA	MG				05-JAN-1993	06-JAN-1993		1570.000	UGG	
	CTA	MN				05-JAN-1993	06-JAN-1993		7.370	UGG	
	CTA	NA				05-JAN-1993	06-JAN-1993		2860.000	UGG	
	CTA	NI				05-JAN-1993	06-JAN-1993		1.750	UGG	
	CTA	V				05-JAN-1993	06-JAN-1993		4.100	UGG	
	CTA	ZN				05-JAN-1993	06-JAN-1993		9.900	UGG	
	CTJ	AG				09-FEB-1993	09-FEB-1993	<	0.589	UGG	
	CTJ	AL				09-FEB-1993	09-FEB-1993	<	2.350	UGG	
	CTJ	BA				09-FEB-1993	09-FEB-1993	<	5.180	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
JS16	CTJ	BE				09-FEB-1993	09-FEB-1993	<	0.500	UGG	
	CTJ	CA				09-FEB-1993	09-FEB-1993	<	100.000	UGG	
	CTJ	CD				09-FEB-1993	09-FEB-1993	<	0.700	UGG	
	CTJ	CO				09-FEB-1993	09-FEB-1993	<	1.420	UGG	
	CTJ	CR				09-FEB-1993	09-FEB-1993	<	4.050	UGG	
	CTJ	CU				09-FEB-1993	09-FEB-1993	<	0.965	UGG	
	CTJ	FE				09-FEB-1993	09-FEB-1993	<	3.680	UGG	
	CTJ	K				09-FEB-1993	09-FEB-1993	<	100.000	UGG	
	CTJ	MG				09-FEB-1993	09-FEB-1993	<	100.000	UGG	
	CTJ	MN				09-FEB-1993	09-FEB-1993	<	2.050	UGG	
	CTJ	NA				09-FEB-1993	09-FEB-1993	<	100.000	UGG	
	CTJ	NI				09-FEB-1993	09-FEB-1993	<	1.710	UGG	
	CTJ	PB				09-FEB-1993	09-FEB-1993	<	10.500	UGG	
	CTJ	SB				09-FEB-1993	09-FEB-1993	<	7.140	UGG	
	CTJ	TL				09-FEB-1993	09-FEB-1993	<	6.620	UGG	
	CTJ	V				09-FEB-1993	09-FEB-1993	<	3.390	UGG	
	CTJ	ZN				09-FEB-1993	09-FEB-1993	<	8.030	UGG	
	CTNA	AG				16-MAR-1993	22-MAR-1993	<	0.589	UGG	
	CTNA	AL				16-MAR-1993	22-MAR-1993	<	2.350	UGG	
	CTNA	BA				16-MAR-1993	22-MAR-1993	<	5.180	UGG	
	CTNA	BE				16-MAR-1993	22-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGG	
	CTNA	CA				16-MAR-1993	22-MAR-1993	<	100.000	UGG	
	CTNA	CD				16-MAR-1993	22-MAR-1993	<	0.700	UGG	
	CTNA	CO				16-MAR-1993	22-MAR-1993	<	1.420	UGG	
	CTNA	CR				16-MAR-1993	22-MAR-1993	<	4.050	UGG	
	CTNA	CU				16-MAR-1993	22-MAR-1993	<	0.965	UGG	
	CTNA	FE				16-MAR-1993	22-MAR-1993	<	3.680	UGG	
	CTNA	K				16-MAR-1993	22-MAR-1993	<	100.000	UGG	
	CTNA	MG				16-MAR-1993	22-MAR-1993	<	100.000	UGG	
	CTNA	MN				16-MAR-1993	22-MAR-1993	<	2.050	UGG	
	CTNA	NA				16-MAR-1993	22-MAR-1993	<	100.000	UGG	
	CTNA	NI				16-MAR-1993	22-MAR-1993	<	1.710	UGG	
	CTNA	V				16-MAR-1993	22-MAR-1993	<	3.390	UGG	
	CTNA	ZN				16-MAR-1993	22-MAR-1993	<	8.030	UGG	
	CTRA	AG				06-APR-1993	07-APR-1993	<	0.589	UGG	
	CTRA	AL				06-APR-1993	07-APR-1993	<	2.350	UGG	
	CTRA	BA				06-APR-1993	07-APR-1993	<	5.180	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
JS16	CTRA	BE				06-APR-1993	07-APR-1993	<	0.500	UGG	
	CTRA	CA				06-APR-1993	07-APR-1993	<	100.000	UGG	
	CTRA	CD				06-APR-1993	07-APR-1993	<	0.700	UGG	
	CTRA	CO				06-APR-1993	07-APR-1993	<	1.420	UGG	
	CTRA	CR				06-APR-1993	07-APR-1993	<	4.050	UGG	
	CTRA	CU				06-APR-1993	07-APR-1993	<	0.965	UGG	
	CTRA	FE				06-APR-1993	07-APR-1993	<	3.680	UGG	
	CTRA	K				06-APR-1993	07-APR-1993	<	100.000	UGG	
	CTRA	MG				06-APR-1993	07-APR-1993	<	100.000	UGG	
	CTRA	MN				06-APR-1993	07-APR-1993	<	2.050	UGG	
	CTRA	NA				06-APR-1993	07-APR-1993	<	100.000	UGG	
	CTRA	NI				06-APR-1993	07-APR-1993	<	1.710	UGG	
	CTRA	V				06-APR-1993	07-APR-1993	<	3.390	UGG	
	CTRA	ZN				06-APR-1993	07-APR-1993	<	8.030	UGG	
	CTTA	AG				27-APR-1993	29-APR-1993	<	0.589	UGG	
	CTTA	AL				27-APR-1993	29-APR-1993	<	2.350	UGG	
	CTTA	BA				27-APR-1993	29-APR-1993	<	5.180	UGG	
	CTTA	BE				27-APR-1993	29-APR-1993	<	0.500	UGG	
	CTTA	CA				27-APR-1993	29-APR-1993	<	100.000	UGG	
	CTTA	CD				27-APR-1993	29-APR-1993	<	0.700	UGG	
	CTTA	CO				27-APR-1993	29-APR-1993	<	1.420	UGG	
	CTTA	CR				27-APR-1993	29-APR-1993	<	4.050	UGG	
	CTTA	CU				27-APR-1993	29-APR-1993	<	0.965	UGG	
	CTTA	FE				27-APR-1993	29-APR-1993	<	3.680	UGG	
	CTTA	K				27-APR-1993	29-APR-1993	<	100.000	UGG	
	CTTA	MG				27-APR-1993	29-APR-1993	<	100.000	UGG	
	CTTA	MN				27-APR-1993	29-APR-1993	<	2.050	UGG	
	CTTA	NA				27-APR-1993	29-APR-1993	<	100.000	UGG	
	CTTA	NI				27-APR-1993	29-APR-1993	<	1.710	UGG	
	CTTA	V				27-APR-1993	29-APR-1993	<	3.390	UGG	
	CTTA	ZN				27-APR-1993	29-APR-1993	<	8.030	UGG	
LF03	OQS	NC				12-NOV-1992	13-NOV-1992	<	10.400	UGG	
	OQT	NC				19-NOV-1992	20-NOV-1992	<	10.400	UGG	
	OQU	NC				23-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	10.400	UGG	
LH10	BUC	ABHC				21-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	0.009	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
LH10	BUC	ABHC				21-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.009	UGG	
	BUC	ACLDAN				21-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	0.005	UGG	
	BUC	ACLDAN				21-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.005	UGG	
	BUC	AENSLF				21-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUC	AENSLF				21-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUC	ALDRN				21-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	BUC	ALDRN				21-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	BUC	BBHC				21-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	0.003	UGG	
	BUC	BBHC				21-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.003	UGG	
	BUC	BENSLF				21-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	BUC	BENSLF				21-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	BUC	DBHC				21-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUC	DBHC				21-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUC	DLDRN				21-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUC	DLDRN				21-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUC	ENDRN				21-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	BUC	ENDRN				21-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	BUC	ENDRNA				21-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	0.024	UGG	
	BUC	ENDRNA				21-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.024	UGG	
	BUC	ENDRNK				21-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	0.024	UGG	
	BUC	ENDRNK				21-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.024	UGG	
	BUC	ESFSO4				21-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	0.008	UGG	
	BUC	ESFSO4				21-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.008	UGG	
	BUC	GCLDAN				21-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	0.005	UGG	
	BUC	GCLDAN				21-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.005	UGG	
	BUC	HPCL				21-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUC	HPCL				21-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUC	HPCLE				21-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUC	HPCLE				21-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUC	ISODR				21-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	0.005	UGG	
	BUC	ISODR				21-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.005	UGG	
	BUC	LIN				21-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUC	LIN				21-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUC	MEXCLR				21-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	0.071	UGG	
	BUC	MEXCLR				21-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.071	UGG	
	BUC	PPDDD				21-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	0.008	UGG	
	BUC	PPDDD				21-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.008	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
LH10	BUC	PPDDE				21-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	0.008	UGG	
	BUC	PPDDE				21-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.008	UGG	
	BUC	PPDDT				21-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	BUC	PPDDT				21-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	BUC	TXPHEN				21-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	0.444	UGG	
	BUC	TXPHEN				21-OCT-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.444	UGG	
	BUD	ABHC				22-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.009	UGG	
	BUD	ACLDAN				22-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.005	UGG	
	BUD	AENSLF				22-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUD	ALDRN				22-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	BUD	BBHC				22-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.003	UGG	
	BUD	BENSLF				22-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	BUD	DBHC				22-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUD	DLDRN				22-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUD	ENDRN				22-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	BUD	ENDRNA				22-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.024	UGG	
	BUD	ENDRNK				22-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.024	UGG	
	BUD	ESFSO4				22-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.008	UGG	
	BUD	GCLDAN				22-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.005	UGG	
	BUD	HPCL				22-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUD	HPCLE				22-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUD	ISODR				22-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.005	UGG	
	BUD	LIN				22-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUD	MEXCLR				22-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.071	UGG	
	BUD	PPDDD				22-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.008	UGG	
	BUD	PPDDE				22-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.008	UGG	
	BUD	PPDDT				22-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	BUD	TXPHEN				22-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.444	UGG	
	BUE	ABHC				28-OCT-1992	08-NOV-1992	<	0.009	UGG	
	BUE	ABHC				23-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.009	UGG	
	BUE	ACLDAN				28-OCT-1992	08-NOV-1992	<	0.005	UGG	
	BUE	ACLDAN				23-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.005	UGG	
	BUE	AENSLF				28-OCT-1992	08-NOV-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUE	AENSLF				23-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUE	ALDRN				28-OCT-1992	08-NOV-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	BUE	ALDRN				23-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	BUE	BBHC				28-OCT-1992	08-NOV-1992	<	0.003	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
LH10	BUE	BBHC				23-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.003	UGG	
	BUE	BENSLF				28-OCT-1992	08-NOV-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	BUE	BENSLF				23-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	BUE	DBHC				28-OCT-1992	08-NOV-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUE	DBHC				23-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUE	DLDRN				28-OCT-1992	08-NOV-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUE	DLDRN				23-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUE	ENDRN				28-OCT-1992	08-NOV-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	BUE	ENDRN				23-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	BUE	ENDRNA				28-OCT-1992	08-NOV-1992	<	0.024	UGG	
	BUE	ENDRNA				23-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.024	UGG	
	BUE	ENDRNK				28-OCT-1992	08-NOV-1992	<	0.024	UGG	
	BUE	ENDRNK				23-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.024	UGG	
	BUE	ESFSO4				28-OCT-1992	08-NOV-1992	<	0.008	UGG	
	BUE	ESFSO4				23-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.008	UGG	
	BUE	GCLDAN				28-OCT-1992	08-NOV-1992	<	0.005	UGG	
	BUE	GCLDAN				23-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.005	UGG	
	BUE	HPCL				28-OCT-1992	08-NOV-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUE	HPCL				23-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUE	HPCLE				28-OCT-1992	08-NOV-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUE	HPCLE				23-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUE	ISODR				28-OCT-1992	08-NOV-1992	<	0.005	UGG	
	BUE	ISODR				23-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.005	UGG	
	BUE	LIN				28-OCT-1992	08-NOV-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUE	LIN				23-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUE	MEXCLR				28-OCT-1992	08-NOV-1992	<	0.071	UGG	
	BUE	MEXCLR				23-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.071	UGG	
	BUE	PPDDD				28-OCT-1992	08-NOV-1992	<	0.008	UGG	
	BUE	PPDDD				23-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.008	UGG	
	BUE	PPDDE				28-OCT-1992	08-NOV-1992	<	0.008	UGG	
	BUE	PPDDE				23-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.008	UGG	
	BUE	PPDDT				28-OCT-1992	08-NOV-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	BUE	PPDDT				23-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	BUE	TXPHEN				28-OCT-1992	08-NOV-1992	<	0.444	UGG	
	BUE	TXPHEN				23-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.444	UGG	
	BUF	ABHC				24-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	0.009	UGG	
	BUF	ACLDAN				24-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	0.005	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
LH10	BUF	AENSLF				24-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUF	ALDRN				24-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	BUF	BBHC				24-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	0.003	UGG	
	BUF	BENSLF				24-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	BUF	DBHC				24-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUF	DLDRN				24-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUF	ENDRN				24-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	BUF	ENDRNA				24-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	0.024	UGG	
	BUF	ENDRNK				24-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	0.024	UGG	
	BUF	ESFSO4				24-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	0.008	UGG	
	BUF	GCLDAN				24-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	0.005	UGG	
	BUF	HPCL				24-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUF	HPCLE				24-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUF	ISODR				24-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	0.005	UGG	
	BUF	LIN				24-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUF	MEXCLR				24-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	0.071	UGG	
	BUF	PPDDD				24-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	0.008	UGG	
	BUF	PPDDE				24-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	0.008	UGG	
	BUF	PPDDT				24-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	BUF	TXPHEN				24-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	0.444	UGG	
	BUG	ABHC				24-OCT-1992	15-NOV-1992	<	0.009	UGG	
	BUG	ACLDAN				24-OCT-1992	15-NOV-1992	<	0.005	UGG	
	BUG	AENSLF				24-OCT-1992	15-NOV-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUG	ALDRN				24-OCT-1992	15-NOV-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	BUG	BBHC				24-OCT-1992	15-NOV-1992	<	0.003	UGG	
	BUG	BENSLF				24-OCT-1992	15-NOV-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	BUG	DBHC				24-OCT-1992	15-NOV-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUG	DLDRN				24-OCT-1992	15-NOV-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUG	ENDRN				24-OCT-1992	15-NOV-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	BUG	ENDRNA				24-OCT-1992	15-NOV-1992	<	0.024	UGG	
	BUG	ENDRNK				24-OCT-1992	15-NOV-1992	<	0.024	UGG	
	BUG	ESFSO4				24-OCT-1992	15-NOV-1992	<	0.008	UGG	
	BUG	GCLDAN				24-OCT-1992	15-NOV-1992	<	0.005	UGG	
	BUG	HPCL				24-OCT-1992	15-NOV-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUG	HPCLE				24-OCT-1992	15-NOV-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUG	ISODR				24-OCT-1992	15-NOV-1992	<	0.005	UGG	
	BUG	LIN				24-OCT-1992	15-NOV-1992	<	0.006	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
LH10	BUG	MEXCLR				24-OCT-1992	15-NOV-1992	<	0.071	UGG	
	BUG	PPDDD				24-OCT-1992	15-NOV-1992	<	0.008	UGG	
	BUG	PPDDE				24-OCT-1992	15-NOV-1992	<	0.008	UGG	
	BUG	PPDDT				24-OCT-1992	15-NOV-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	BUG	TXPHEN				24-OCT-1992	15-NOV-1992	<	0.444	UGG	
	BUH	ABHC				25-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.009	UGG	
	BUH	ACLDAN				25-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.005	UGG	
	BUH	AENSLF				25-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUH	ALDRN				25-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	BUH	BBHC				25-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.003	UGG	
	BUH	BENSLF				25-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	BUH	DBHC				25-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUH	DLDRN				25-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUH	ENDRN				25-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	BUH	ENDRNA				25-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.024	UGG	
	BUH	ENDRNK				25-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.024	UGG	
	BUH	ESFSO4				25-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.008	UGG	
	BUH	GCLDAN				25-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.005	UGG	
	BUH	HPCL				25-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUH	HPCLE				25-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUH	ISODR				25-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.005	UGG	
	BUH	LIN				25-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUH	MEXCLR				25-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.071	UGG	
	BUH	PPDDD				25-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.008	UGG	
	BUH	PPDDE				25-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.008	UGG	
	BUH	PPDDT				25-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	BUH	TXPHEN				25-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.444	UGG	
	BUI	ABHC				26-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	<	0.009	UGG	
	BUI	ACLDAN				26-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	<	0.005	UGG	
	BUI	AENSLF				26-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUI	ALDRN				26-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	BUI	BBHC				26-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	<	0.003	UGG	
	BUI	BENSLF				26-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	BUI	DBHC				26-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUI	DLDRN				26-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUI	ENDRN				26-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	BUI	ENDRNA				26-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	<	0.024	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
LH10	BUI	ENDRNK				26-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	<	0.024	UGG	
	BUI	ESFSO4				26-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	<	0.008	UGG	
	BUI	GCLDAN				26-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	<	0.005	UGG	
	BUI	HPCL				26-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUI	HPCLE				26-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUI	ISODR				26-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	<	0.005	UGG	
	BUI	LIN				26-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUI	MEXCLR				26-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	<	0.071	UGG	
	BUI	PPDDD				26-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	<	0.008	UGG	
	BUI	PPDDE				26-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	<	0.008	UGG	
	BUI	PPDDT				26-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	BUI	TXPHEN				26-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	<	0.444	UGG	
	BUJ	ABHC				28-OCT-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.009	UGG	
	BUJ	ACLDAN				28-OCT-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.005	UGG	
	BUJ	AENSLF				28-OCT-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUJ	ALDRN				28-OCT-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	BUJ	BBHC				28-OCT-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.003	UGG	
	BUJ	BENSLF				28-OCT-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	BUJ	DBHC				28-OCT-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUJ	DLDRN				28-OCT-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUJ	ENDRN				28-OCT-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	BUJ	ENDRNA				28-OCT-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.024	UGG	
	BUJ	ENDRNK				28-OCT-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.024	UGG	
	BUJ	ESFSO4				28-OCT-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.008	UGG	
	BUJ	GCLDAN				28-OCT-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.005	UGG	
	BUJ	HPCL				28-OCT-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUJ	HPCLE				28-OCT-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUJ	ISODR				28-OCT-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.005	UGG	
	BUJ	LIN				28-OCT-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUJ	MEXCLR				28-OCT-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.071	UGG	
	BUJ	PPDDD				28-OCT-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.008	UGG	
	BUJ	PPDDE				28-OCT-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.008	UGG	
	BUJ	PPDDT				28-OCT-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	BUJ	TXPHEN				28-OCT-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.444	UGG	
	BUK	ABHC				01-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	0.009	UGG	
	BUK	ACLDAN				01-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	0.005	UGG	
	BUK	AENSLF				01-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	0.006	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
LH10	BUK	ALDRN				01-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	BUK	BBHC				01-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	0.003	UGG	
	BUK	BENSLF				01-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	BUK	DBHC				01-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUK	DLDRN				01-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUK	ENDRN				01-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	BUK	ENDRNA				01-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	0.024	UGG	
	BUK	ENDRNK				01-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	0.024	UGG	
	BUK	ESFSO4				01-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	0.008	UGG	
	BUK	GCLDAN				01-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	0.005	UGG	
	BUK	HPCL				01-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUK	HPCLE				01-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUK	ISODR				01-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	0.005	UGG	
	BUK	LIN				01-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUK	MEXCLR				01-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	0.071	UGG	
	BUK	PPDDD				01-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	0.008	UGG	
	BUK	PPDDE				01-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	0.008	UGG	
	BUK	PPDDT				01-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	BUK	TXPHEN				01-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	0.444	UGG	
	BUL	ABHC				04-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	0.009	UGG	
	BUL	ACLDAN				04-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	0.005	UGG	
	BUL	AENSLF				04-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUL	ALDRN				04-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	BUL	BBHC				04-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	0.003	UGG	
	BUL	BENSLF				04-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	BUL	DBHC				04-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUL	DLDRN				04-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUL	ENDRN				04-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	BUL	ENDRNA				04-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	0.024	UGG	
	BUL	ENDRNK				04-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	0.024	UGG	
	BUL	ESFSO4				04-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	0.008	UGG	
	BUL	GCLDAN				04-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	0.005	UGG	
	BUL	HPCL				04-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUL	HPCLE				04-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUL	ISODR				04-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	0.005	UGG	
	BUL	LIN				04-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUL	MEXCLR				04-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	0.071	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
LH10	BUL	PPDDD				04-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	0.008	UGG	
	BUL	PPDDE				04-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	0.008	UGG	
	BUL	PPDDT				04-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	BUL	TXPHEN				04-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	0.444	UGG	
	BUV	ABHC				24-NOV-1992	14-DEC-1992	<	0.009	UGG	
	BUV	ABHC				24-NOV-1992	18-DEC-1992	<	0.009	UGG	
	BUV	ACLDAN				24-NOV-1992	14-DEC-1992	<	0.005	UGG	
	BUV	ACLDAN				24-NOV-1992	18-DEC-1992	<	0.005	UGG	
	BUV	AENSLF				24-NOV-1992	14-DEC-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUV	AENSLF				24-NOV-1992	18-DEC-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUV	ALDRN				24-NOV-1992	14-DEC-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	BUV	ALDRN				24-NOV-1992	18-DEC-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	BUV	BBHC				24-NOV-1992	14-DEC-1992	<	0.003	UGG	
	BUV	BBHC				24-NOV-1992	18-DEC-1992	<	0.003	UGG	
	BUV	BENSLF				24-NOV-1992	14-DEC-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	BUV	BENSLF				24-NOV-1992	18-DEC-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	BUV	DBHC				24-NOV-1992	14-DEC-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUV	DBHC				24-NOV-1992	18-DEC-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUV	DLDRN				24-NOV-1992	14-DEC-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUV	DLDRN				24-NOV-1992	18-DEC-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUV	ENDRN				24-NOV-1992	14-DEC-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	BUV	ENDRN				24-NOV-1992	18-DEC-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	BUV	ENDRNA				24-NOV-1992	14-DEC-1992	<	0.024	UGG	
	BUV	ENDRNA				24-NOV-1992	18-DEC-1992	<	0.024	UGG	
	BUV	ENDRNK				24-NOV-1992	14-DEC-1992	<	0.024	UGG	
	BUV	ENDRNK				24-NOV-1992	18-DEC-1992	<	0.024	UGG	
	BUV	ESFSO4				24-NOV-1992	14-DEC-1992	<	0.008	UGG	
	BUV	ESFSO4				24-NOV-1992	18-DEC-1992	<	0.008	UGG	
	BUV	GCLDAN				24-NOV-1992	14-DEC-1992	<	0.005	UGG	
	BUV	GCLDAN				24-NOV-1992	18-DEC-1992	<	0.005	UGG	
	BUV	HPCL				24-NOV-1992	14-DEC-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUV	HPCL				24-NOV-1992	18-DEC-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUV	HPCLE				24-NOV-1992	14-DEC-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUV	HPCLE				24-NOV-1992	18-DEC-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUV	ISODR				24-NOV-1992	14-DEC-1992	<	0.005	UGG	
	BUV	ISODR				24-NOV-1992	18-DEC-1992	<	0.005	UGG	
	BUV	LIN				24-NOV-1992	14-DEC-1992	<	0.006	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
LH10	BUV	LIN				24-NOV-1992	18-DEC-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUV	MEXCLR				24-NOV-1992	14-DEC-1992	<	0.071	UGG	
	BUV	MEXCLR				24-NOV-1992	18-DEC-1992	<	0.071	UGG	
	BUV	PPDDD				24-NOV-1992	14-DEC-1992	<	0.008	UGG	
	BUV	PPDDD				24-NOV-1992	18-DEC-1992	<	0.008	UGG	
	BUV	PPDDE				24-NOV-1992	14-DEC-1992	<	0.008	UGG	
	BUV	PPDDE				24-NOV-1992	18-DEC-1992	<	0.008	UGG	
	BUV	PPDDT				24-NOV-1992	14-DEC-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	BUV	PPDDT				24-NOV-1992	18-DEC-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	BUV	TXPHEN				24-NOV-1992	14-DEC-1992	<	0.444	UGG	
	BUV	TXPHEN				24-NOV-1992	18-DEC-1992	<	0.444	UGG	
	BUY	ABHC				26-NOV-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.009	UGG	
	BUY	ACLDAN				26-NOV-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.005	UGG	
	BUY	AENSLF				26-NOV-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUY	ALDRN				26-NOV-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	BUY	BBHC				26-NOV-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.003	UGG	
	BUY	BENSLF				26-NOV-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	BUY	DBHC				26-NOV-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUY	DLDRN				26-NOV-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUY	ENDRN				26-NOV-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	BUY	ENDRNA				26-NOV-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.024	UGG	
	BUY	ENDRNK				26-NOV-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.024	UGG	
	BUY	ESFSO4				26-NOV-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.008	UGG	
	BUY	GCLDAN				26-NOV-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.005	UGG	
	BUY	HPCL				26-NOV-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUY	HPCLE				26-NOV-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUY	ISODR				26-NOV-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.005	UGG	
	BUY	LIN				26-NOV-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BUY	MEXCLR				26-NOV-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.071	UGG	
	BUY	PPDDD				26-NOV-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.008	UGG	
	BUY	PPDDE				26-NOV-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.008	UGG	
	BUY	PPDDT				26-NOV-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	BUY	TXPHEN				26-NOV-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.444	UGG	
	CPB	ABHC				08-DEC-1992	28-DEC-1992	<	0.009	UGG	
	CPB	ABHC				08-DEC-1992	31-DEC-1992	<	0.009	UGG	
	CPB	ACLDAN				08-DEC-1992	28-DEC-1992	<	0.005	UGG	
	CPB	ACLDAN				08-DEC-1992	31-DEC-1992	<	0.005	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
LH10	CPB	AENSLF				08-DEC-1992	28-DEC-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	CPB	AENSLF				08-DEC-1992	31-DEC-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	CPB	ALDRN				08-DEC-1992	28-DEC-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	CPB	ALDRN				08-DEC-1992	31-DEC-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	CPB	BBHC				08-DEC-1992	28-DEC-1992	<	0.003	UGG	
	CPB	BBHC				08-DEC-1992	31-DEC-1992	<	0.003	UGG	
	CPB	BENSLF				08-DEC-1992	28-DEC-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	CPB	BENSLF				08-DEC-1992	31-DEC-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	CPB	DBHC				08-DEC-1992	28-DEC-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	CPB	DBHC				08-DEC-1992	31-DEC-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	CPB	DLDRN				08-DEC-1992	28-DEC-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	CPB	DLDRN				08-DEC-1992	31-DEC-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	CPB	ENDRN				08-DEC-1992	28-DEC-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	CPB	ENDRN				08-DEC-1992	31-DEC-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	CPB	ENDRNA				08-DEC-1992	28-DEC-1992	<	0.024	UGG	
	CPB	ENDRNA				08-DEC-1992	31-DEC-1992	<	0.024	UGG	
	CPB	ENDRNK				08-DEC-1992	28-DEC-1992	<	0.024	UGG	
	CPB	ENDRNK				08-DEC-1992	31-DEC-1992	<	0.024	UGG	
	CPB	ESFSO4				08-DEC-1992	28-DEC-1992	<	0.008	UGG	
	CPB	ESFSO4				08-DEC-1992	31-DEC-1992	<	0.008	UGG	
	CPB	GCLDAN				08-DEC-1992	28-DEC-1992	<	0.005	UGG	
	CPB	GCLDAN				08-DEC-1992	31-DEC-1992	<	0.005	UGG	
	CPB	HPCL				08-DEC-1992	28-DEC-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	CPB	HPCL				08-DEC-1992	31-DEC-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	CPB	HPCLE				08-DEC-1992	28-DEC-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	CPB	HPCLE				08-DEC-1992	31-DEC-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	CPB	ISODR				08-DEC-1992	28-DEC-1992	<	0.005	UGG	
	CPB	ISODR				08-DEC-1992	31-DEC-1992	<	0.005	UGG	
	CPB	LIN				08-DEC-1992	28-DEC-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	CPB	LIN				08-DEC-1992	31-DEC-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	CPB	MEXCLR				08-DEC-1992	28-DEC-1992	<	0.071	UGG	
	CPB	MEXCLR				08-DEC-1992	31-DEC-1992	<	0.071	UGG	
	CPB	PPDDD				08-DEC-1992	28-DEC-1992	<	0.008	UGG	
	CPB	PPDDD				08-DEC-1992	31-DEC-1992	<	0.008	UGG	
	CPB	PPDDE				08-DEC-1992	28-DEC-1992	<	0.008	UGG	
	CPB	PPDDE				08-DEC-1992	31-DEC-1992	<	0.008	UGG	
	CPB	PPDDT				08-DEC-1992	28-DEC-1992	<	0.007	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
LH10	CPB	PPDDT				08-DEC-1992	31-DEC-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	CPB	TXPHEN				08-DEC-1992	28-DEC-1992	<	0.444	UGG	
	CPB	TXPHEN				08-DEC-1992	31-DEC-1992	<	0.444	UGG	
	CPC	ABHC				08-DEC-1992	31-DEC-1992	<	0.009	UGG	
	CPC	ACLDAN				08-DEC-1992	31-DEC-1992	<	0.005	UGG	
	CPC	AENSLF				08-DEC-1992	31-DEC-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	CPC	ALDRN				08-DEC-1992	31-DEC-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	CPC	BBHC				08-DEC-1992	31-DEC-1992	<	0.003	UGG	
	CPC	BENSLF				08-DEC-1992	31-DEC-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	CPC	DBHC				08-DEC-1992	31-DEC-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	CPC	DLDRN				08-DEC-1992	31-DEC-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	CPC	ENDRN				08-DEC-1992	31-DEC-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	CPC	ENDRNA				08-DEC-1992	31-DEC-1992	<	0.024	UGG	
	CPC	ENDRNK				08-DEC-1992	31-DEC-1992	<	0.024	UGG	
	CPC	ESFSO4				08-DEC-1992	31-DEC-1992	<	0.008	UGG	
	CPC	GCLDAN				08-DEC-1992	31-DEC-1992	<	0.005	UGG	
	CPC	HPCL				08-DEC-1992	31-DEC-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	CPC	HPCLE				08-DEC-1992	31-DEC-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	CPC	ISODR				08-DEC-1992	31-DEC-1992	<	0.005	UGG	
	CPC	LIN				08-DEC-1992	31-DEC-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	CPC	MEXCLR				08-DEC-1992	31-DEC-1992	<	0.071	UGG	
	CPC	PPDDD				08-DEC-1992	31-DEC-1992	<	0.008	UGG	
	CPC	PPDDE				08-DEC-1992	31-DEC-1992	<	0.008	UGG	
	CPC	PPDDT				08-DEC-1992	31-DEC-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	CPC	TXPHEN				08-DEC-1992	31-DEC-1992	<	0.444	UGG	
	CPE	ABHC				09-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	0.009	UGG	
	CPE	ACLDAN				09-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	0.005	UGG	
	CPE	AENSLF				09-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	0.006	UGG	
	CPE	ALDRN				09-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	0.007	UGG	
	CPE	BBHC				09-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	0.003	UGG	
	CPE	BENSLF				09-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	0.007	UGG	
	CPE	DBHC				09-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	0.006	UGG	
	CPE	DLDRN				09-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	0.006	UGG	
	CPE	ENDRN				09-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	0.007	UGG	
	CPE	ENDRNA				09-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	0.024	UGG	
	CPE	ENDRNK				09-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	0.024	UGG	
	CPE	ESFSO4				09-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	0.008	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
LH10	CPE	GCLDAN				09-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	0.005	UGG	
	CPE	HPCL				09-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	0.006	UGG	
	CPE	HPCLE				09-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	0.006	UGG	
	CPE	ISODR				09-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	0.005	UGG	
	CPE	LIN				09-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	0.006	UGG	
	CPE	MEXCLR				09-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	0.071	UGG	
	CPE	PPDDD				09-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	0.008	UGG	
	CPE	PPDDE				09-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	0.008	UGG	
	CPE	PPDDT				09-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	0.007	UGG	
	CPE	TXPHEN				09-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	0.444	UGG	
	CPF	ABHC				09-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.009	UGG	
	CPF	ACLDAN				09-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.005	UGG	
	CPF	AENSLF				09-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.006	UGG	
	CPF	ALDRN				09-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.007	UGG	
	CPF	BBHC				09-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.003	UGG	
	CPF	BENSLF				09-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.007	UGG	
	CPF	DBHC				09-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.006	UGG	
	CPF	DLDRN				09-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.006	UGG	
	CPF	ENDRN				09-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.007	UGG	
	CPF	ENDRNA				09-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.024	UGG	
	CPF	ENDRNK				09-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.024	UGG	
	CPF	ESFSO4				09-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.008	UGG	
	CPF	GCLDAN				09-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.005	UGG	
	CPF	HPCL				09-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.006	UGG	
	CPF	HPCLE				09-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.006	UGG	
	CPF	ISODR				09-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.005	UGG	
	CPF	LIN				09-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.006	UGG	
	CPF	MEXCLR				09-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.071	UGG	
	CPF	PPDDD				09-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.008	UGG	
	CPF	PPDDE				09-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.008	UGG	
	CPF	PPDDT				09-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.007	UGG	
	CPF	TXPHEN				09-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.444	UGG	
	CPG	ABHC				10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	<	0.009	UGG	
	CPG	ACLDAN				10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	<	0.005	UGG	
	CPG	AENSLF				10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	<	0.006	UGG	
	CPG	ALDRN				10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	<	0.007	UGG	
	CPG	BBHC				10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	<	0.003	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
LH10	CPG	BENSLF				10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	<	0.007	UGG	
	CPG	DBHC				10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	<	0.006	UGG	
	CPG	DLDRN				10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	<	0.006	UGG	
	CPG	ENDRN				10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	<	0.007	UGG	
	CPG	ENDRNA				10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	<	0.024	UGG	
	CPG	ENDRNK				10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	<	0.024	UGG	
	CPG	ESFSO4				10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	<	0.008	UGG	
	CPG	GCLDAN				10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	<	0.005	UGG	
	CPG	HPCL				10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	<	0.006	UGG	
	CPG	HPCLE				10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	<	0.006	UGG	
	CPG	ISODR				10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	<	0.005	UGG	
	CPG	LIN				10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	<	0.006	UGG	
	CPG	MEXCLR				10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	<	0.071	UGG	
	CPG	PPDDD				10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	<	0.008	UGG	
	CPG	PPDDE				10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	<	0.008	UGG	
	CPG	PPDDT				10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	<	0.007	UGG	
	CPG	TXPHEN				10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	<	0.444	UGG	
	CPH	ABHC				10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	<	0.009	UGG	
	CPH	ABHC				10-DEC-1992	09-JAN-1993	<	0.009	UGG	
	CPH	ACLDAN				10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	<	0.005	UGG	
	CPH	ACLDAN				10-DEC-1992	09-JAN-1993	<	0.005	UGG	
	CPH	AENSLF				10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	<	0.006	UGG	
	CPH	AENSLF				10-DEC-1992	09-JAN-1993	<	0.006	UGG	
	CPH	ALDRN				10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	<	0.007	UGG	
	CPH	ALDRN				10-DEC-1992	09-JAN-1993	<	0.007	UGG	
	CPH	BBHC				10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	<	0.003	UGG	
	CPH	BBHC				10-DEC-1992	09-JAN-1993	<	0.003	UGG	
	CPH	BENSLF				10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	<	0.007	UGG	
	CPH	BENSLF				10-DEC-1992	09-JAN-1993	<	0.007	UGG	
	CPH	DBHC				10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	<	0.006	UGG	
	CPH	DBHC				10-DEC-1992	09-JAN-1993	<	0.006	UGG	
	CPH	DLDRN				10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	<	0.006	UGG	
	CPH	DLDRN				10-DEC-1992	09-JAN-1993	<	0.006	UGG	
	CPH	ENDRN				10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	<	0.007	UGG	
	CPH	ENDRN				10-DEC-1992	09-JAN-1993	<	0.007	UGG	
	CPH	ENDRNA				10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	<	0.024	UGG	
	CPH	ENDRNA				10-DEC-1992	09-JAN-1993	<	0.024	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
LH10	CPH	ENDRNK				10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	<	0.024	UGG	
	CPH	ENDRNK				10-DEC-1992	09-JAN-1993	<	0.024	UGG	
	CPH	ESFSO4				10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	<	0.008	UGG	
	CPH	ESFSO4				10-DEC-1992	09-JAN-1993	<	0.008	UGG	
	CPH	GCLDAN				10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	<	0.005	UGG	
	CPH	GCLDAN				10-DEC-1992	09-JAN-1993	<	0.005	UGG	
	CPH	HPCL				10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	<	0.006	UGG	
	CPH	HPCL				10-DEC-1992	09-JAN-1993	<	0.006	UGG	
	CPH	HPCLE				10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	<	0.006	UGG	
	CPH	HPCLE				10-DEC-1992	09-JAN-1993	<	0.006	UGG	
	CPH	ISODR				10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	<	0.005	UGG	
	CPH	ISODR				10-DEC-1992	09-JAN-1993	<	0.005	UGG	
	CPH	LIN				10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	<	0.006	UGG	
	CPH	LIN				10-DEC-1992	09-JAN-1993	<	0.006	UGG	
	CPH	MEXCLR				10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	<	0.071	UGG	
	CPH	MEXCLR				10-DEC-1992	09-JAN-1993	<	0.071	UGG	
	CPH	PPDDD				10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	<	0.008	UGG	
	CPH	PPDDD				10-DEC-1992	09-JAN-1993	<	0.008	UGG	
	CPH	PPDDE				10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	<	0.008	UGG	
	CPH	PPDDE				10-DEC-1992	09-JAN-1993	<	0.008	UGG	
	CPH	PPDDT				10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	<	0.007	UGG	
	CPH	PPDDT				10-DEC-1992	09-JAN-1993	<	0.007	UGG	
	CPH	TXPHEN				10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	<	0.444	UGG	
	CPH	TXPHEN				10-DEC-1992	09-JAN-1993	<	0.444	UGG	
	CPI	ABHC				12-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.009	UGG	
	CPI	ACLDAN				12-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.005	UGG	
	CPI	AENSLF				12-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.006	UGG	
	CPI	ALDRN				12-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.007	UGG	
	CPI	BBHC				12-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.003	UGG	
	CPI	BENSLF				12-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.007	UGG	
	CPI	DBHC				12-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.006	UGG	
	CPI	DLDRN				12-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.006	UGG	
	CPI	ENDRN				12-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.007	UGG	
	CPI	ENDRNA				12-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.024	UGG	
	CPI	ENDRNK				12-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.024	UGG	
	CPI	ESFSO4				12-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.008	UGG	
	CPI	GCLDAN				12-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.005	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
LH10	CPI	HPCL				12-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.006	UGG	
	CPI	HPCLE				12-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.006	UGG	
	CPI	ISODR				12-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.005	UGG	
	CPI	LIN				12-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.006	UGG	
	CPI	MEXCLR				12-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.071	UGG	
	CPI	PPDDD				12-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.008	UGG	
	CPI	PPDDE				12-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.008	UGG	
	CPI	PPDDT				12-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.007	UGG	
	CPI	TXPHEN				12-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.444	UGG	
	CPJ	ABHC				16-DEC-1992	09-JAN-1993	<	0.009	UGG	
	CPJ	ACLDAN				16-DEC-1992	09-JAN-1993	<	0.005	UGG	
	CPJ	AENSLF				16-DEC-1992	09-JAN-1993	<	0.006	UGG	
	CPJ	ALDRN				16-DEC-1992	09-JAN-1993	<	0.007	UGG	
	CPJ	BBHC				16-DEC-1992	09-JAN-1993	<	0.003	UGG	
	CPJ	BENSLF				16-DEC-1992	09-JAN-1993	<	0.007	UGG	
	CPJ	DBHC				16-DEC-1992	09-JAN-1993	<	0.006	UGG	
	CPJ	DLDRN				16-DEC-1992	09-JAN-1993	<	0.006	UGG	
	CPJ	ENDRN				16-DEC-1992	09-JAN-1993	<	0.007	UGG	
	CPJ	ENDRNA				16-DEC-1992	09-JAN-1993	<	0.024	UGG	
	CPJ	ENDRNK				16-DEC-1992	09-JAN-1993	<	0.024	UGG	
	CPJ	ESFSO4				16-DEC-1992	09-JAN-1993	<	0.008	UGG	
	CPJ	GCLDAN				16-DEC-1992	09-JAN-1993	<	0.005	UGG	
	CPJ	HPCL				16-DEC-1992	09-JAN-1993	<	0.006	UGG	
	CPJ	HPCLE				16-DEC-1992	09-JAN-1993	<	0.006	UGG	
	CPJ	ISODR				16-DEC-1992	09-JAN-1993	<	0.005	UGG	
	CPJ	LIN				16-DEC-1992	09-JAN-1993	<	0.006	UGG	
	CPJ	MEXCLR				16-DEC-1992	09-JAN-1993	<	0.071	UGG	
	CPJ	PPDDD				16-DEC-1992	09-JAN-1993	<	0.008	UGG	
	CPJ	PPDDE				16-DEC-1992	09-JAN-1993	<	0.008	UGG	
	CPJ	PPDDT				16-DEC-1992	09-JAN-1993	<	0.007	UGG	
	CPJ	TXPHEN				16-DEC-1992	09-JAN-1993	<	0.444	UGG	
	CPK	ABHC				16-DEC-1992	15-JAN-1993	<	0.009	UGG	
	CPK	ABHC				16-DEC-1992	19-JAN-1993	<	0.009	UGG	
	CPK	ACLDAN				16-DEC-1992	15-JAN-1993	<	0.005	UGG	
	CPK	ACLDAN				16-DEC-1992	19-JAN-1993	<	0.005	UGG	
	CPK	AENSLF				16-DEC-1992	15-JAN-1993	<	0.006	UGG	
	CPK	AENSLF				16-DEC-1992	19-JAN-1993	<	0.006	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
LH10	CPK	ALDRN				16-DEC-1992	15-JAN-1993	<	0.007	UGG	
	CPK	ALDRN				16-DEC-1992	19-JAN-1993	<	0.007	UGG	
	CPK	BBHC				16-DEC-1992	15-JAN-1993	<	0.003	UGG	
	CPK	BBHC				16-DEC-1992	19-JAN-1993	<	0.003	UGG	
	CPK	BENSLF				16-DEC-1992	15-JAN-1993	<	0.007	UGG	
	CPK	BENSLF				16-DEC-1992	19-JAN-1993	<	0.007	UGG	
	CPK	DBHC				16-DEC-1992	15-JAN-1993	<	0.006	UGG	
	CPK	DBHC				16-DEC-1992	19-JAN-1993	<	0.006	UGG	
	CPK	DLDRN				16-DEC-1992	15-JAN-1993	<	0.006	UGG	
	CPK	DLDRN				16-DEC-1992	19-JAN-1993	<	0.006	UGG	
	CPK	ENDRN				16-DEC-1992	15-JAN-1993	<	0.007	UGG	
	CPK	ENDRN				16-DEC-1992	19-JAN-1993	<	0.007	UGG	
	CPK	ENDRNA				16-DEC-1992	15-JAN-1993	<	0.024	UGG	
	CPK	ENDRNA				16-DEC-1992	19-JAN-1993	<	0.024	UGG	
	CPK	ENDRNK				16-DEC-1992	15-JAN-1993	<	0.024	UGG	
	CPK	ENDRNK				16-DEC-1992	19-JAN-1993	<	0.024	UGG	
	CPK	ESFSO4				16-DEC-1992	15-JAN-1993	<	0.008	UGG	
	CPK	ESFSO4				16-DEC-1992	19-JAN-1993	<	0.008	UGG	
	CPK	GCLDAN				16-DEC-1992	15-JAN-1993	<	0.005	UGG	
	CPK	GCLDAN				16-DEC-1992	19-JAN-1993	<	0.005	UGG	
	CPK	HPCL				16-DEC-1992	15-JAN-1993	<	0.006	UGG	
	CPK	HPCL				16-DEC-1992	19-JAN-1993	<	0.006	UGG	
	CPK	HPCLE				16-DEC-1992	15-JAN-1993	<	0.006	UGG	
	CPK	HPCLE				16-DEC-1992	19-JAN-1993	<	0.006	UGG	
	CPK	ISODR				16-DEC-1992	15-JAN-1993	<	0.005	UGG	
	CPK	ISODR				16-DEC-1992	19-JAN-1993	<	0.005	UGG	
	CPK	LIN				16-DEC-1992	15-JAN-1993	<	0.006	UGG	
	CPK	LIN				16-DEC-1992	19-JAN-1993	<	0.006	UGG	
	CPK	MEXCLR				16-DEC-1992	15-JAN-1993	<	0.071	UGG	
	CPK	MEXCLR				16-DEC-1992	19-JAN-1993	<	0.071	UGG	
	CPK	PPDDD				16-DEC-1992	15-JAN-1993	<	0.008	UGG	
	CPK	PPDDD				16-DEC-1992	19-JAN-1993	<	0.008	UGG	
	CPK	PPDDE				16-DEC-1992	15-JAN-1993	<	0.008	UGG	
	CPK	PPDDE				16-DEC-1992	19-JAN-1993	<	0.008	UGG	
	CPK	PPDDT				16-DEC-1992	15-JAN-1993	<	0.007	UGG	
	CPK	PPDDT				16-DEC-1992	19-JAN-1993	<	0.007	UGG	
	CPK	TXPHEN				16-DEC-1992	15-JAN-1993	<	0.444	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
LH10	CPK	TXPHEN				16-DEC-1992	19-JAN-1993	<	0.444	UGG	
	CPL	ABHC				22-DEC-1992	20-JAN-1993	<	0.009	UGG	
	CPL	ACLDAN				22-DEC-1992	20-JAN-1993	<	0.005	UGG	
	CPL	AENSLF				22-DEC-1992	20-JAN-1993	<	0.006	UGG	
	CPL	ALDRN				22-DEC-1992	20-JAN-1993	<	0.007	UGG	
	CPL	BBHC				22-DEC-1992	20-JAN-1993	<	0.003	UGG	
	CPL	BENSLF				22-DEC-1992	20-JAN-1993	<	0.007	UGG	
	CPL	DBHC				22-DEC-1992	20-JAN-1993	<	0.006	UGG	
	CPL	DLDRN				22-DEC-1992	20-JAN-1993	<	0.006	UGG	
	CPL	ENDRN				22-DEC-1992	20-JAN-1993	<	0.007	UGG	
	CPL	ENDRNA				22-DEC-1992	20-JAN-1993	<	0.024	UGG	
	CPL	ENDRNK				22-DEC-1992	20-JAN-1993	<	0.024	UGG	
	CPL	ESFSO4				22-DEC-1992	20-JAN-1993	<	0.008	UGG	
	CPL	GCLDAN				22-DEC-1992	20-JAN-1993	<	0.005	UGG	
	CPL	HPCL				22-DEC-1992	20-JAN-1993	<	0.006	UGG	
	CPL	HPCLE				22-DEC-1992	20-JAN-1993	<	0.006	UGG	
	CPL	ISODR				22-DEC-1992	20-JAN-1993	<	0.005	UGG	
	CPL	LIN				22-DEC-1992	20-JAN-1993	<	0.006	UGG	
	CPL	MEXCLR				22-DEC-1992	20-JAN-1993	<	0.071	UGG	
	CPL	PPDDD				22-DEC-1992	20-JAN-1993	<	0.008	UGG	
	CPL	PPDDE				22-DEC-1992	20-JAN-1993	<	0.008	UGG	
	CPL	PPDDT				22-DEC-1992	20-JAN-1993	<	0.007	UGG	
	CPL	TXPHEN				22-DEC-1992	20-JAN-1993	<	0.444	UGG	
	DRIA	ABHC				19-APR-1993	30-APR-1993	<	0.009	UGG	
	DRIA	ACLDAN				19-APR-1993	30-APR-1993	<	0.005	UGG	
	DRIA	AENSLF				19-APR-1993	30-APR-1993	<	0.006	UGG	
	DRIA	ALDRN				19-APR-1993	30-APR-1993	<	0.007	UGG	
	DRIA	BBHC				19-APR-1993	30-APR-1993	<	0.003	UGG	
	DRIA	BENSLF				19-APR-1993	30-APR-1993	<	0.007	UGG	
	DRIA	DBHC				19-APR-1993	30-APR-1993	<	0.006	UGG	
	DRIA	DLDRN				19-APR-1993	30-APR-1993	<	0.006	UGG	
	DRIA	ENDRN				19-APR-1993	30-APR-1993	<	0.007	UGG	
	DRIA	ENDRNA				19-APR-1993	30-APR-1993	<	0.024	UGG	
	DRIA	ENDRNK				19-APR-1993	30-APR-1993	<	0.024	UGG	
	DRIA	ESFSO4				19-APR-1993	30-APR-1993	<	0.008	UGG	
	DRIA	GCLDAN				19-APR-1993	30-APR-1993	<	0.005	UGG	
	DRIA	HPCL				19-APR-1993	30-APR-1993	<	0.006	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
LH10	DRIA	HPCLE				19-APR-1993	30-APR-1993	<	0.006	UGG	
	DRIA	ISODR				19-APR-1993	30-APR-1993	<	0.005	UGG	
	DRIA	LIN				19-APR-1993	30-APR-1993	<	0.006	UGG	
	DRIA	MEXCLR				19-APR-1993	30-APR-1993	<	0.071	UGG	
	DRIA	PPDDD				19-APR-1993	30-APR-1993	<	0.008	UGG	
	DRIA	PPDDE				19-APR-1993	30-APR-1993	<	0.008	UGG	
	DRIA	PPDDT				19-APR-1993	30-APR-1993	<	0.007	UGG	
	DRIA	TXPHEN				19-APR-1993	30-APR-1993	<	0.444	UGG	
LH16	AXN	PCB016				21-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.067	UGG	
	AXN	PCB221				21-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.082	UGG	
	AXN	PCB232				21-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.082	UGG	
	AXN	PCB242				21-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.082	UGG	
	AXN	PCB248				21-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.082	UGG	
	AXN	PCB254				21-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.082	UGG	
	AXN	PCB260				21-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.080	UGG	
	AXP	PCB016				22-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	0.067	UGG	
	AXP	PCB221				22-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	0.082	UGG	
	AXP	PCB232				22-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	0.082	UGG	
	AXP	PCB242				22-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	0.082	UGG	
	AXP	PCB248				22-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	0.082	UGG	
	AXP	PCB254				22-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	0.082	UGG	
	AXP	PCB260				22-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	0.080	UGG	
	AXQ	PCB016				23-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.067	UGG	
	AXQ	PCB221				23-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.082	UGG	
	AXQ	PCB232				23-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.082	UGG	
	AXQ	PCB242				23-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.082	UGG	
	AXQ	PCB248				23-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.082	UGG	
	AXQ	PCB254				23-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.082	UGG	
	AXQ	PCB260				23-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.080	UGG	
	AXR	PCB016				24-OCT-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	0.067	UGG	
	AXR	PCB221				24-OCT-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	0.082	UGG	
	AXR	PCB232				24-OCT-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	0.082	UGG	
	AXR	PCB242				24-OCT-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	0.082	UGG	
	AXR	PCB248				24-OCT-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	0.082	UGG	
	AXR	PCB254				24-OCT-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	0.082	UGG	
	AXR	PCB260				24-OCT-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	0.080	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
LH16	AXS	PCB016				24-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	0.067	UGG	
	AXS	PCB221				24-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	0.082	UGG	
	AXS	PCB232				24-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	0.082	UGG	
	AXS	PCB242				24-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	0.082	UGG	
	AXS	PCB248				24-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	0.082	UGG	
	AXS	PCB254				24-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	0.082	UGG	
	AXS	PCB260				24-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	0.080	UGG	
	AXT	PCB016				25-OCT-1992	13-NOV-1992	<	0.067	UGG	
	AXT	PCB221				25-OCT-1992	13-NOV-1992	<	0.082	UGG	
	AXT	PCB232				25-OCT-1992	13-NOV-1992	<	0.082	UGG	
	AXT	PCB242				25-OCT-1992	13-NOV-1992	<	0.082	UGG	
	AXT	PCB248				25-OCT-1992	13-NOV-1992	<	0.082	UGG	
	AXT	PCB254				25-OCT-1992	13-NOV-1992	<	0.082	UGG	
	AXT	PCB260				25-OCT-1992	13-NOV-1992	<	0.080	UGG	
	AXU	PCB016				26-OCT-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	0.067	UGG	
	AXU	PCB221				26-OCT-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	0.082	UGG	
	AXU	PCB232				26-OCT-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	0.082	UGG	
	AXU	PCB242				26-OCT-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	0.082	UGG	
	AXU	PCB248				26-OCT-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	0.082	UGG	
	AXU	PCB254				26-OCT-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	0.082	UGG	
	AXU	PCB260				26-OCT-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	0.080	UGG	
	AXV	PCB016				28-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	<	0.067	UGG	
	AXV	PCB221				28-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	<	0.082	UGG	
	AXV	PCB232				28-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	<	0.082	UGG	
	AXV	PCB242				28-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	<	0.082	UGG	
	AXV	PCB248				28-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	<	0.082	UGG	
	AXV	PCB254				28-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	<	0.082	UGG	
	AXV	PCB260				28-OCT-1992	20-NOV-1992	<	0.080	UGG	
	AXW	PCB016				01-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.067	UGG	
	AXW	PCB221				01-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.082	UGG	
	AXW	PCB232				01-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.082	UGG	
	AXW	PCB242				01-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.082	UGG	
	AXW	PCB248				01-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.082	UGG	
	AXW	PCB254				01-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.082	UGG	
	AXW	PCB260				01-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.080	UGG	
	AXX	PCB016				04-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	0.067	UGG	
	AXX	PCB221				04-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	0.082	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
LH16	AXX	PCB232				04-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	0.082	UGG	
	AXX	PCB242				04-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	0.082	UGG	
	AXX	PCB248				04-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	0.082	UGG	
	AXX	PCB254				04-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	0.082	UGG	
	AXX	PCB260				04-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	0.080	UGG	
	CGH	PCB016				24-NOV-1992	14-DEC-1992	<	0.067	UGG	
	CGH	PCB221				24-NOV-1992	14-DEC-1992	<	0.082	UGG	
	CGH	PCB232				24-NOV-1992	14-DEC-1992	<	0.082	UGG	
	CGH	PCB242				24-NOV-1992	14-DEC-1992	<	0.082	UGG	
	CGH	PCB248				24-NOV-1992	14-DEC-1992	<	0.082	UGG	
	CGH	PCB254				24-NOV-1992	14-DEC-1992	<	0.082	UGG	
	CGH	PCB260				24-NOV-1992	14-DEC-1992	<	0.080	UGG	
	CGK	PCB016				26-NOV-1992	29-NOV-1992	<	0.067	UGG	
	CGK	PCB221				26-NOV-1992	29-NOV-1992	<	0.082	UGG	
	CGK	PCB232				26-NOV-1992	29-NOV-1992	<	0.082	UGG	
	CGK	PCB242				26-NOV-1992	29-NOV-1992	<	0.082	UGG	
	CGK	PCB248				26-NOV-1992	29-NOV-1992	<	0.082	UGG	
	CGK	PCB254				26-NOV-1992	29-NOV-1992	<	0.082	UGG	
	CGK	PCB260				26-NOV-1992	29-NOV-1992	<	0.080	UGG	
	CGN	PCB016				08-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	<	0.067	UGG	
	CGN	PCB221				08-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	<	0.082	UGG	
	CGN	PCB232				08-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	<	0.082	UGG	
	CGN	PCB242				08-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	<	0.082	UGG	
	CGN	PCB248				08-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	<	0.082	UGG	
	CGN	PCB254				08-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	<	0.082	UGG	
	CGN	PCB260				08-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	<	0.080	UGG	
	CGO	PCB016				08-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	0.067	UGG	
	CGO	PCB221				08-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	0.082	UGG	
	CGO	PCB232				08-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	0.082	UGG	
	CGO	PCB242				08-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	0.082	UGG	
	CGO	PCB248				08-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	0.082	UGG	
	CGO	PCB254				08-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	0.082	UGG	
	CGO	PCB260				08-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	0.080	UGG	
	CGQ	PCB016				09-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	<	0.067	UGG	
	CGQ	PCB221				09-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	<	0.082	UGG	
	CGQ	PCB232				09-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	<	0.082	UGG	
	CGQ	PCB242				09-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	<	0.082	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
LH16	CGQ	PCB248				09-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	<	0.082	UGG	
	CGQ	PCB254				09-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	<	0.082	UGG	
	CGQ	PCB260				09-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	<	0.080	UGG	
	CGR	PCB016				09-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.067	UGG	
	CGR	PCB221				09-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.082	UGG	
	CGR	PCB232				09-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.082	UGG	
	CGR	PCB242				09-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.082	UGG	
	CGR	PCB248				09-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.082	UGG	
	CGR	PCB254				09-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.082	UGG	
	CGR	PCB260				09-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.080	UGG	
	CGS	PCB016				10-DEC-1992	08-JAN-1993	<	0.067	UGG	
	CGS	PCB016				10-DEC-1992	11-JAN-1993	<	0.067	UGG	
	CGS	PCB221				10-DEC-1992	08-JAN-1993	<	0.082	UGG	
	CGS	PCB221				10-DEC-1992	11-JAN-1993	<	0.082	UGG	
	CGS	PCB232				10-DEC-1992	08-JAN-1993	<	0.082	UGG	
	CGS	PCB232				10-DEC-1992	11-JAN-1993	<	0.082	UGG	
	CGS	PCB242				10-DEC-1992	08-JAN-1993	<	0.082	UGG	
	CGS	PCB242				10-DEC-1992	11-JAN-1993	<	0.082	UGG	
	CGS	PCB248				10-DEC-1992	08-JAN-1993	<	0.082	UGG	
	CGS	PCB248				10-DEC-1992	11-JAN-1993	<	0.082	UGG	
	CGS	PCB254				10-DEC-1992	08-JAN-1993	<	0.082	UGG	
	CGS	PCB254				10-DEC-1992	11-JAN-1993	<	0.082	UGG	
	CGS	PCB260				10-DEC-1992	08-JAN-1993	<	0.080	UGG	
	CGS	PCB260				10-DEC-1992	11-JAN-1993	<	0.080	UGG	
	CGT	PCB016				10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	<	0.067	UGG	
	CGT	PCB221				10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	<	0.082	UGG	
	CGT	PCB232				10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	<	0.082	UGG	
	CGT	PCB242				10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	<	0.082	UGG	
	CGT	PCB248				10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	<	0.082	UGG	
	CGT	PCB254				10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	<	0.082	UGG	
	CGT	PCB260				10-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	<	0.080	UGG	
	CGU	PCB016				12-DEC-1992	07-JAN-1993	<	0.067	UGG	
	CGU	PCB221				12-DEC-1992	07-JAN-1993	<	0.082	UGG	
	CGU	PCB232				12-DEC-1992	07-JAN-1993	<	0.082	UGG	
	CGU	PCB242				12-DEC-1992	07-JAN-1993	<	0.082	UGG	
	CGU	PCB248				12-DEC-1992	07-JAN-1993	<	0.082	UGG	
	CGU	PCB254				12-DEC-1992	07-JAN-1993	<	0.082	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
LH16	CGU	PCB260				12-DEC-1992	07-JAN-1993	<	0.080	UGG	
	CGW	PCB016				16-DEC-1992	11-JAN-1993	<	0.067	UGG	
	CGW	PCB016				16-DEC-1992	11-JAN-1993	<	0.067	UGG	
	CGW	PCB221				16-DEC-1992	11-JAN-1993	<	0.082	UGG	
	CGW	PCB221				16-DEC-1992	11-JAN-1993	<	0.082	UGG	
	CGW	PCB232				16-DEC-1992	11-JAN-1993	<	0.082	UGG	
	CGW	PCB232				16-DEC-1992	11-JAN-1993	<	0.082	UGG	
	CGW	PCB242				16-DEC-1992	11-JAN-1993	<	0.082	UGG	
	CGW	PCB242				16-DEC-1992	11-JAN-1993	<	0.082	UGG	
	CGW	PCB248				16-DEC-1992	11-JAN-1993	<	0.082	UGG	
	CGW	PCB248				16-DEC-1992	11-JAN-1993	<	0.082	UGG	
	CGW	PCB254				16-DEC-1992	11-JAN-1993	<	0.082	UGG	
	CGW	PCB254				16-DEC-1992	11-JAN-1993	<	0.082	UGG	
	CGW	PCB260				16-DEC-1992	11-JAN-1993	<	0.080	UGG	
	CGW	PCB260				16-DEC-1992	11-JAN-1993	<	0.080	UGG	
	CGX	PCB016				16-DEC-1992	15-JAN-1993	<	0.067	UGG	
	CGX	PCB221				16-DEC-1992	15-JAN-1993	<	0.082	UGG	
	CGX	PCB232				16-DEC-1992	15-JAN-1993	<	0.082	UGG	
	CGX	PCB242				16-DEC-1992	15-JAN-1993	<	0.082	UGG	
	CGX	PCB248				16-DEC-1992	15-JAN-1993	<	0.082	UGG	
	CGX	PCB254				16-DEC-1992	15-JAN-1993	<	0.082	UGG	
	CGX	PCB260				16-DEC-1992	15-JAN-1993	<	0.080	UGG	
	CGY	PCB016				22-DEC-1992	14-JAN-1993	<	0.067	UGG	
	CGY	PCB221				22-DEC-1992	14-JAN-1993	<	0.082	UGG	
	CGY	PCB232				22-DEC-1992	14-JAN-1993	<	0.082	UGG	
	CGY	PCB242				22-DEC-1992	14-JAN-1993	<	0.082	UGG	
	CGY	PCB248				22-DEC-1992	14-JAN-1993	<	0.082	UGG	
	CGY	PCB254				22-DEC-1992	14-JAN-1993	<	0.082	UGG	
	CGY	PCB260				22-DEC-1992	14-JAN-1993	<	0.080	UGG	
	DHCA	PCB016				19-APR-1993	23-APR-1993	<	0.067	UGG	
	DHCA	PCB221				19-APR-1993	23-APR-1993	<	0.082	UGG	
	DHCA	PCB232				19-APR-1993	23-APR-1993	<	0.082	UGG	
	DHCA	PCB242				19-APR-1993	23-APR-1993	<	0.082	UGG	
	DHCA	PCB248				19-APR-1993	23-APR-1993	<	0.082	UGG	
	DHCA	PCB254				19-APR-1993	23-APR-1993	<	0.082	UGG	
	DHCA	PCB260				19-APR-1993	23-APR-1993	<	0.080	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
LM18	BLA	124TCB				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.040	UGG	
	BLA	12DCLB				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.110	UGG	
	BLA	12DPH				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.140	UGG	
	BLA	13DCLB				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.130	UGG	
	BLA	14DCLB				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.098	UGG	
	BLA	245TCP				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.100	UGG	
	BLA	246TCP				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.170	UGG	
	BLA	24DCLP				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.180	UGG	
	BLA	24DMPN				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.690	UGG	
	BLA	24DNP				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	1.200	UGG	
	BLA	24DNT				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.140	UGG	
	BLA	26DNT				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.085	UGG	
	BLA	2CLP				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.060	UGG	
	BLA	2CNAP				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.036	UGG	
	BLA	2MNAP				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.049	UGG	
	BLA	2MP				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.029	UGG	
	BLA	2NANIL				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.062	UGG	
	BLA	2NP				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.140	UGG	
	BLA	33DCBD				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	6.300	UGG	
	BLA	3NANIL				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.450	UGG	
	BLA	46DN2C				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.550	UGG	
	BLA	4BRPPE				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	BLA	4CANIL				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.810	UGG	
	BLA	4CL3C				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.095	UGG	
	BLA	4CLPPE				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	BLA	4MP				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.240	UGG	
	BLA	4NANIL				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.410	UGG	
	BLA	4NP				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	1.400	UGG	
	BLA	ABHC				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.270	UGG	
	BLA	ACLDAN				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.330	UGG	
	BLA	AENSLF				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.620	UGG	
	BLA	ALDRN				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.330	UGG	
	BLA	ANAPNE				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.036	UGG	
	BLA	ANAPYL				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	BLA	ANTRC				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	BLA	B2CEXM				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.059	UGG	
	BLA	B2CIPE				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.200	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
LM18	BLA	B2CLEE				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	BLA	B2EHP				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.620	UGG	
	BLA	BAANTR				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.170	UGG	
	BLA	BAPYR				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.250	UGG	
	BLA	BBFANT				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.210	UGG	
	BLA	BBHC				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.270	UGG	
	BLA	BBZP				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.170	UGG	
	BLA	BENSLF				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.620	UGG	
	BLA	BENZID				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.850	UGG	
	BLA	BENZOA				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	6.100	UGG	
	BLA	BGHIPY				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.250	UGG	
	BLA	BKFANT				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.066	UGG	
	BLA	BZALC				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.190	UGG	
	BLA	CARBAZ				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	BLA	CHRY				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.120	UGG	
	BLA	CL6BZ				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	BLA	CL6CP				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	6.200	UGG	
	BLA	CL6ET				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.150	UGG	
	BLA	DBAHA				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.210	UGG	
	BLA	DBHC				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.270	UGG	
	BLA	DBZFUR				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.035	UGG	
	BLA	DEP				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.240	UGG	
	BLA	DLDRN				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.310	UGG	
	BLA	DMP				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.170	UGG	
	BLA	DNBP				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.061	UGG	
	BLA	DNOP				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.190	UGG	
	BLA	ENDRN				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.450	UGG	
	BLA	ENDRNA				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.530	UGG	
	BLA	ENDRNK				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.530	UGG	
	BLA	ESFSO4				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.620	UGG	
	BLA	FANT				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.068	UGG	
	BLA	FLRENE				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	BLA	GCLDAN				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.330	UGG	
	BLA	HCBD				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.230	UGG	
	BLA	HPCL				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.130	UGG	
	BLA	HPCLE				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.330	UGG	
	BLA	ICDPYR				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.290	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
LM18	BLA	ISOPHR				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	BLA	LIN				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.270	UGG	
	BLA	MEXCLR				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.330	UGG	
	BLA	NAP				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.037	UGG	
	BLA	NB				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.045	UGG	
	BLA	NNDMEA				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.140	UGG	
	BLA	NNDNPA				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.200	UGG	
	BLA	NNDPA				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.190	UGG	
	BLA	PCB016				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	1.400	UGG	
	BLA	PCB221				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	1.400	UGG	
	BLA	PCB232				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	1.400	UGG	
	BLA	PCB242				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	1.400	UGG	
	BLA	PCB248				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	2.000	UGG	
	BLA	PCB254				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	2.300	UGG	
	BLA	PCB260				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	2.600	UGG	
	BLA	PCP				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	1.300	UGG	
	BLA	PHANTR				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	BLA	PHENOL				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.110	UGG	
	BLA	PPDDD				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.270	UGG	
	BLA	PPDDE				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.310	UGG	
	BLA	PPDDT				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.310	UGG	
	BLA	PYR				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	BLA	TXPHEN				02-OCT-1992	15-OCT-1992	<	2.600	UGG	
	BLF	124TCB				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.040	UGG	
	BLF	12DCLB				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.110	UGG	
	BLF	12DPH				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.140	UGG	
	BLF	13DCLB				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.130	UGG	
	BLF	14DCLB				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.098	UGG	
	BLF	245TCP				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.100	UGG	
	BLF	246TCP				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.170	UGG	
	BLF	24DCLP				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.180	UGG	
	BLF	24DMPN				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.690	UGG	
	BLF	24DNP				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	1.200	UGG	
	BLF	24DNT				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.140	UGG	
	BLF	26DNT				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.085	UGG	
	BLF	2CLP				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.060	UGG	
	BLF	2CNAP				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.036	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
LM18	BLF	2MNAP				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.049	UGG	
	BLF	2MP				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.029	UGG	
	BLF	2NANIL				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.062	UGG	
	BLF	2NP				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.140	UGG	
	BLF	33DCBD				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	6.300	UGG	
	BLF	3NANIL				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.450	UGG	
	BLF	46DN2C				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.550	UGG	
	BLF	4BRPPE				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	BLF	4CANIL				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.810	UGG	
	BLF	4CL3C				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.095	UGG	
	BLF	4CLPPE				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	BLF	4MP				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.240	UGG	
	BLF	4NANIL				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.410	UGG	
	BLF	4NP				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	1.400	UGG	
	BLF	ABHC				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.270	UGG	
	BLF	ACLDAN				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.330	UGG	
	BLF	AENSLF				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.620	UGG	
	BLF	ALDRN				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.330	UGG	
	BLF	ANAPNE				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.036	UGG	
	BLF	ANAPYL				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	BLF	ANTRC				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	BLF	B2CEXM				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.059	UGG	
	BLF	B2CIPE				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.200	UGG	
	BLF	B2CLEE				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	BLF	B2EHP				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.620	UGG	
	BLF	BAANTR				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.170	UGG	
	BLF	BAPYR				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.250	UGG	
	BLF	BBFANT				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.210	UGG	
	BLF	BBHC				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.270	UGG	
	BLF	BBZP				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.170	UGG	
	BLF	BENSLF				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.620	UGG	
	BLF	BENZID				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.850	UGG	
	BLF	BENZOA				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	6.100	UGG	
	BLF	BGHIPY				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.250	UGG	
	BLF	BKFANT				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.066	UGG	
	BLF	BZALC				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.190	UGG	
	BLF	CARBAZ				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.033	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
LM18	BLF	CHRY				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.120	UGG	
	BLF	CL6BZ				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	BLF	CL6CP				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	6.200	UGG	
	BLF	CL6ET				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.150	UGG	
	BLF	DBAHA				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.210	UGG	
	BLF	DBHC				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.270	UGG	
	BLF	DBZFUR				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.035	UGG	
	BLF	DEP				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.240	UGG	
	BLF	DLDRN				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.310	UGG	
	BLF	DMP				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.170	UGG	
	BLF	DNBP				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.061	UGG	
	BLF	DNOP				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.190	UGG	
	BLF	ENDRN				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.450	UGG	
	BLF	ENDRNA				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.530	UGG	
	BLF	ENDRNK				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.530	UGG	
	BLF	ESFSO4				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.620	UGG	
	BLF	FANT				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.068	UGG	
	BLF	FLRENE				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	BLF	GCLDAN				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.330	UGG	
	BLF	HCBD				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.230	UGG	
	BLF	HPCL				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.130	UGG	
	BLF	HPCLE				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.330	UGG	
	BLF	ICDPYR				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.290	UGG	
	BLF	ISOPHR				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	BLF	LIN				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.270	UGG	
	BLF	MESTOX				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992		0.400	UGG	
	BLF	MEXCLR				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.330	UGG	
	BLF	NAP				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.037	UGG	
	BLF	NB				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.045	UGG	
	BLF	NNDMEA				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.140	UGG	
	BLF	NNDNPA				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.200	UGG	
	BLF	NNDPA				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.190	UGG	
	BLF	PCB016				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	1.400	UGG	
	BLF	PCB221				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	1.400	UGG	
	BLF	PCB232				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	1.400	UGG	
	BLF	PCB242				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	1.400	UGG	
	BLF	PCB248				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	2.000	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
LM18	BLF	PCB254				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	2.300	UGG	
	BLF	PCB260				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	2.600	UGG	
	BLF	PCP				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	1.300	UGG	
	BLF	PHANTR				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	BLF	PHENOL				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.110	UGG	
	BLF	PPDDD				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.270	UGG	
	BLF	PPDDE				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.310	UGG	
	BLF	PPDDT				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.310	UGG	
	BLF	PYR				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	BLF	TXPHEN				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	2.600	UGG	
	BLF	UNK649				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992		0.600	UGG	
	BLF	UNK659				20-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992		0.300	UGG	
	BLH	124TCB				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.040	UGG	
	BLH	12DCLB				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.110	UGG	
	BLH	12DPH				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.140	UGG	
	BLH	13DCLB				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.130	UGG	
	BLH	14DCLB				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.098	UGG	
	BLH	245TCP				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.100	UGG	
	BLH	246TCP				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.170	UGG	
	BLH	24DCLP				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.180	UGG	
	BLH	24DMPN				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.690	UGG	
	BLH	24DNP				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	1.200	UGG	
	BLH	24DNT				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.140	UGG	
	BLH	26DNT				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.085	UGG	
	BLH	2CLP				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.060	UGG	
	BLH	2CNAP				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.036	UGG	
	BLH	2MNAP				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.049	UGG	
	BLH	2MP				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.029	UGG	
	BLH	2NANIL				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.062	UGG	
	BLH	2NP				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.140	UGG	
	BLH	33DCBD				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	6.300	UGG	
	BLH	3NANIL				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.450	UGG	
	BLH	46DN2C				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.550	UGG	
	BLH	4BRPPE				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	BLH	4CANIL				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.810	UGG	
	BLH	4CL3C				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.095	UGG	
	BLH	4CLPPE				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.033	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
LM18	BLH	4MP				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.240	UGG	
	BLH	4NANIL				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.410	UGG	
	BLH	4NP				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	1.400	UGG	
	BLH	ABHC				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.270	UGG	
	BLH	ACLDAN				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.330	UGG	
	BLH	AENSLF				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.620	UGG	
	BLH	ALDRN				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.330	UGG	
	BLH	ANAPNE				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.036	UGG	
	BLH	ANAPYL				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	BLH	ANTRC				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	BLH	B2CEXM				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.059	UGG	
	BLH	B2CIPE				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.200	UGG	
	BLH	B2CLEE				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	BLH	B2EHP				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.620	UGG	
	BLH	BAANTR				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.170	UGG	
	BLH	BAPYR				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.250	UGG	
	BLH	BBFANT				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.210	UGG	
	BLH	BBHC				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.270	UGG	
	BLH	BBZP				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.170	UGG	
	BLH	BENSLF				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.620	UGG	
	BLH	BENZID				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.850	UGG	
	BLH	BENZOA				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	6.100	UGG	
	BLH	BGHIPY				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.250	UGG	
	BLH	BKFANT				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.066	UGG	
	BLH	BZALC				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.190	UGG	
	BLH	CARBAZ				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	BLH	CHRY				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.120	UGG	
	BLH	CL6BZ				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	BLH	CL6CP				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	6.200	UGG	
	BLH	CL6ET				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.150	UGG	
	BLH	DBAHA				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.210	UGG	
	BLH	DBHC				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.270	UGG	
	BLH	DBZFUR				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.035	UGG	
	BLH	DEP				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.240	UGG	
	BLH	DLDRN				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.310	UGG	
	BLH	DMP				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.170	UGG	
	BLH	DNBP				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.061	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
LM18	BLH	DNOP				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.190	UGG	
	BLH	ENDRN				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.450	UGG	
	BLH	ENDRNA				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.530	UGG	
	BLH	ENDRNK				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.530	UGG	
	BLH	ESFS04				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.620	UGG	
	BLH	FANT				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.068	UGG	
	BLH	FLRENE				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	BLH	GCLDAN				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.330	UGG	
	BLH	HCBD				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.230	UGG	
	BLH	HPCL				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.130	UGG	
	BLH	HPCLE				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.330	UGG	
	BLH	ICDPYR				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.290	UGG	
	BLH	ISOPHR				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	BLH	LIN				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.270	UGG	
	BLH	MEXCLR				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.330	UGG	
	BLH	NAP				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.037	UGG	
	BLH	NB				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.045	UGG	
	BLH	NNDMEA				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.140	UGG	
	BLH	NNDNPA				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.200	UGG	
	BLH	NNDPA				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.190	UGG	
	BLH	PCB016				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	1.400	UGG	
	BLH	PCB221				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	1.400	UGG	
	BLH	PCB232				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	1.400	UGG	
	BLH	PCB242				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	1.400	UGG	
	BLH	PCB248				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	2.000	UGG	
	BLH	PCB254				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	2.300	UGG	
	BLH	PCB260				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	2.600	UGG	
	BLH	PCP				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	1.300	UGG	
	BLH	PHANTR				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	BLH	PHENOL				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.110	UGG	
	BLH	PPDDD				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.270	UGG	
	BLH	PPDDE				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.310	UGG	
	BLH	PPDDT				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.310	UGG	
	BLH	PYR				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	BLH	TXPHEN				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	2.600	UGG	
	BLH	UNK649				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992		0.600	UGG	
	BLH	UNK659				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992		0.300	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
LM18	BLL	124TCB				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.040	UGG	
	BLL	12DCLB				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.110	UGG	
	BLL	12DPH				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.140	UGG	
	BLL	13DCLB				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.130	UGG	
	BLL	14DCLB				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.098	UGG	
	BLL	245TCP				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.100	UGG	
	BLL	246TCP				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.170	UGG	
	BLL	24DCLP				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.180	UGG	
	BLL	24DMPN				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.690	UGG	
	BLL	24DNP				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	1.200	UGG	
	BLL	24DNT				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.140	UGG	
	BLL	26DNT				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.085	UGG	
	BLL	2CLP				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.060	UGG	
	BLL	2CNAP				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.036	UGG	
	BLL	2MNAP				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.049	UGG	
	BLL	2MP				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.029	UGG	
	BLL	2NANIL				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.062	UGG	
	BLL	2NP				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.140	UGG	
	BLL	33DCBD				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	6.300	UGG	
	BLL	3NANIL				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.450	UGG	
	BLL	46DN2C				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.550	UGG	
	BLL	4BRPPE				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	BLL	4CANIL				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.810	UGG	
	BLL	4CL3C				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.095	UGG	
	BLL	4CLPPE				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	BLL	4MP				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.240	UGG	
	BLL	4NANIL				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.410	UGG	
	BLL	4NP				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	1.400	UGG	
	BLL	ABHC				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.270	UGG	
	BLL	ACLDAN				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.330	UGG	
	BLL	AENSLF				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.620	UGG	
	BLL	ALDRN				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.330	UGG	
	BLL	ANAPNE				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.036	UGG	
	BLL	ANAPYL				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	BLL	ANTRC				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	BLL	B2CEXM				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.059	UGG	
	BLL	B2CIPE				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.200	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
LM18	BLL	B2CLEE				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	BLL	B2EHP				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.620	UGG	
	BLL	BAANTR				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.170	UGG	
	BLL	BAPYR				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.250	UGG	
	BLL	BBFANT				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.210	UGG	
	BLL	BBHC				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.270	UGG	
	BLL	BBZP				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.170	UGG	
	BLL	BENSLF				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.620	UGG	
	BLL	BENZID				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.850	UGG	
	BLL	BENZOA				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	6.100	UGG	
	BLL	BGHIPY				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.250	UGG	
	BLL	BKFANT				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.066	UGG	
	BLL	BZALC				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.190	UGG	
	BLL	CARBAZ				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	BLL	CHRY				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.120	UGG	
	BLL	CL6BZ				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	BLL	CL6CP				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	6.200	UGG	
	BLL	CL6ET				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.150	UGG	
	BLL	DBAHA				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.210	UGG	
	BLL	DBHC				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.270	UGG	
	BLL	DBZFUR				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.035	UGG	
	BLL	DEP				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.240	UGG	
	BLL	DLDRN				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.310	UGG	
	BLL	DMP				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.170	UGG	
	BLL	DNBP				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.061	UGG	
	BLL	DNOP				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.190	UGG	
	BLL	ENDRN				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.450	UGG	
	BLL	ENDRNA				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.530	UGG	
	BLL	ENDRNK				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.530	UGG	
	BLL	ESFSO4				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.620	UGG	
	BLL	FANT				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.068	UGG	
	BLL	FLRENE				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	BLL	GCLDAN				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.330	UGG	
	BLL	HCBD				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.230	UGG	
	BLL	HPCL				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.130	UGG	
	BLL	HPCLE				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.330	UGG	
	BLL	ICDPYR				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.290	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
LM18	BLL	ISOPHR				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	BLL	LIN				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.270	UGG	
	BLL	MEXCLR				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.330	UGG	
	BLL	NAP				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.037	UGG	
	BLL	NB				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.045	UGG	
	BLL	NNDMEA				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.140	UGG	
	BLL	NNDNPA				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.200	UGG	
	BLL	NNDPA				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.190	UGG	
	BLL	PCB016				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	1.400	UGG	
	BLL	PCB221				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	1.400	UGG	
	BLL	PCB232				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	1.400	UGG	
	BLL	PCB242				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	1.400	UGG	
	BLL	PCB248				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	2.000	UGG	
	BLL	PCB254				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	2.300	UGG	
	BLL	PCB260				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	2.600	UGG	
	BLL	PCP				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	1.300	UGG	
	BLL	PHANTR				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	BLL	PHENOL				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.110	UGG	
	BLL	PPDDD				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.270	UGG	
	BLL	PPDDE				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.310	UGG	
	BLL	PPDDT				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.310	UGG	
	BLL	PYR				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	BLL	TXPHEN				29-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	2.600	UGG	
	BLN	124TCB				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.040	UGG	
	BLN	12DCLB				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.110	UGG	
	BLN	12DPH				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.140	UGG	
	BLN	13DCLB				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.130	UGG	
	BLN	14DCLB				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.098	UGG	
	BLN	245TCP				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.100	UGG	
	BLN	246TCP				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.170	UGG	
	BLN	24DCLP				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.180	UGG	
	BLN	24DMPN				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.690	UGG	
	BLN	24DNP				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	1.200	UGG	
	BLN	24DNT				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.140	UGG	
	BLN	26DNT				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.085	UGG	
	BLN	2CLP				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.060	UGG	
	BLN	2CNAP				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.036	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
LM18	BLN	2MNAP				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.049	UGG	
	BLN	2MP				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.029	UGG	
	BLN	2NANIL				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.062	UGG	
	BLN	2NP				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.140	UGG	
	BLN	33DCBD				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	6.300	UGG	
	BLN	3NANIL				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.450	UGG	
	BLN	46DN2C				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.550	UGG	
	BLN	4BRPPE				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	BLN	4CANIL				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.810	UGG	
	BLN	4CL3C				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.095	UGG	
	BLN	4CLPPE				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	BLN	4MP				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.240	UGG	
	BLN	4NANIL				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.410	UGG	
	BLN	4NP				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	1.400	UGG	
	BLN	ABHC				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.270	UGG	
	BLN	ACLDAN				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.330	UGG	
	BLN	AENSLF				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.620	UGG	
	BLN	ALDRN				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.330	UGG	
	BLN	ANAPNE				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.036	UGG	
	BLN	ANAPYL				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	BLN	ANTRC				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	BLN	B2CEXM				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.059	UGG	
	BLN	B2CIPE				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.200	UGG	
	BLN	B2CLEE				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	BLN	B2EHP				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.620	UGG	
	BLN	BAANTR				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.170	UGG	
	BLN	BAPYR				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.250	UGG	
	BLN	BBFANT				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.210	UGG	
	BLN	BBHC				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.270	UGG	
	BLN	BBZP				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.170	UGG	
	BLN	BENSLF				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.620	UGG	
	BLN	BENZID				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.850	UGG	
	BLN	BENZOA				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	6.100	UGG	
	BLN	BGHIPY				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.250	UGG	
	BLN	BKFANT				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.066	UGG	
	BLN	BZALC				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.190	UGG	
	BLN	CARBAZ				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.033	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
LM18	BLN	CHRY				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.120	UGG	
	BLN	CL6BZ				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	BLN	CL6CP				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	6.200	UGG	
	BLN	CL6ET				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.150	UGG	
	BLN	DBAHA				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.210	UGG	
	BLN	DBHC				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.270	UGG	
	BLN	DBZFUR				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.035	UGG	
	BLN	DEP				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.240	UGG	
	BLN	DLDRN				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.310	UGG	
	BLN	DMP				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.170	UGG	
	BLN	DNBP				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.061	UGG	
	BLN	DNOP				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.190	UGG	
	BLN	ENDRN				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.450	UGG	
	BLN	ENDRNA				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.530	UGG	
	BLN	ENDRNK				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.530	UGG	
	BLN	ESFSO4				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.620	UGG	
	BLN	FANT				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.068	UGG	
	BLN	FLRENE				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	BLN	GCLDAN				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.330	UGG	
	BLN	HCBD				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.230	UGG	
	BLN	HPCL				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.130	UGG	
	BLN	HPCLE				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.330	UGG	
	BLN	ICDPYR				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.290	UGG	
	BLN	ISOPHR				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	BLN	LIN				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.270	UGG	
	BLN	MEXCLR				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.330	UGG	
	BLN	NAP				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.037	UGG	
	BLN	NB				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.045	UGG	
	BLN	NNDMEA				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.140	UGG	
	BLN	NNDNPA				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.200	UGG	
	BLN	NNDPA				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.190	UGG	
	BLN	PCB016				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	1.400	UGG	
	BLN	PCB221				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	1.400	UGG	
	BLN	PCB232				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	1.400	UGG	
	BLN	PCB242				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	1.400	UGG	
	BLN	PCB248				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	2.000	UGG	
	BLN	PCB254				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	2.300	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
LM18	BLN	PCB260				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	2.600	UGG	
	BLN	PCP				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	1.300	UGG	
	BLN	PHANTR				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	BLN	PHENOL				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.110	UGG	
	BLN	PPDDD				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.270	UGG	
	BLN	PPDDE				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.310	UGG	
	BLN	PPDDT				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.310	UGG	
	BLN	PYR				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	BLN	TXPHEN				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	2.600	UGG	
	BLN	UNK637				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992		0.200	UGG	
	BLN	UNK649				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992		0.800	UGG	
	BLN	UNK665				31-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992		0.400	UGG	
	BLO	124TCB				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.040	UGG	
	BLO	12DCLB				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.110	UGG	
	BLO	12DPH				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.140	UGG	
	BLO	13DCLB				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.130	UGG	
	BLO	14DCLB				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.098	UGG	
	BLO	245TCP				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.100	UGG	
	BLO	246TCP				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.170	UGG	
	BLO	24DCLP				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.180	UGG	
	BLO	24DMPN				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.690	UGG	
	BLO	24DNP				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	1.200	UGG	
	BLO	24DNT				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.140	UGG	
	BLO	26DNT				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.085	UGG	
	BLO	2CLP				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.060	UGG	
	BLO	2CNAP				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.036	UGG	
	BLO	2MNAP				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.049	UGG	
	BLO	2MP				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.029	UGG	
	BLO	2NANIL				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.062	UGG	
	BLO	2NP				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.140	UGG	
	BLO	33DCBD				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	6.300	UGG	
	BLO	3NANIL				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.450	UGG	
	BLO	46DN2C				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.550	UGG	
	BLO	4BRPPE				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	BLO	4CANIL				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.810	UGG	
	BLO	4CL3C				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.095	UGG	
	BLO	4CLPPE				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.033	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
LM18	BLO	4MP				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.240	UGG	
	BLO	4NANIL				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.410	UGG	
	BLO	4NP				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	1.400	UGG	
	BLO	ABHC				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.270	UGG	
	BLO	ACLDAN				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.330	UGG	
	BLO	AENSLF				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.620	UGG	
	BLO	ALDRN				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.330	UGG	
	BLO	ANAPNE				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.036	UGG	
	BLO	ANAPYL				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	BLO	ANTRC				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	BLO	B2CEXM				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.059	UGG	
	BLO	B2CIPE				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.200	UGG	
	BLO	B2CLEE				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	BLO	B2EHP				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.620	UGG	
	BLO	BAANTR				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.170	UGG	
	BLO	BAPYR				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.250	UGG	
	BLO	BBFANT				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.210	UGG	
	BLO	BBHC				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.270	UGG	
	BLO	BBZP				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.170	UGG	
	BLO	BENSLF				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.620	UGG	
	BLO	BENZID				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.850	UGG	
	BLO	BENZOA				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	6.100	UGG	
	BLO	BGHIPY				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.250	UGG	
	BLO	BKFANT				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.066	UGG	
	BLO	BZALC				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.190	UGG	
	BLO	CARBAZ				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	BLO	CHRY				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.120	UGG	
	BLO	CL6BZ				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	BLO	CL6CP				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	6.200	UGG	
	BLO	CL6ET				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.150	UGG	
	BLO	DBAHA				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.210	UGG	
	BLO	DBHC				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.270	UGG	
	BLO	DBZFUR				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.035	UGG	
	BLO	DEP				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.240	UGG	
	BLO	DLDRN				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.310	UGG	
	BLO	DMP				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.170	UGG	
	BLO	DNBP				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.061	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
LM18	BLO	DNOP				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.190	UGG	
	BLO	ENDRN				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.450	UGG	
	BLO	ENDRNA				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.530	UGG	
	BLO	ENDRNK				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.530	UGG	
	BLO	ESFSO4				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.620	UGG	
	BLO	FANT				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.068	UGG	
	BLO	FLRENE				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	BLO	GCLDAN				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.330	UGG	
	BLO	HCBD				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.230	UGG	
	BLO	HPCL				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.130	UGG	
	BLO	HPCLE				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.330	UGG	
	BLO	ICDPYR				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.290	UGG	
	BLO	ISOPHR				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	BLO	LIN				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.270	UGG	
	BLO	MEXCLR				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.330	UGG	
	BLO	NAP				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.037	UGG	
	BLO	NB				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.045	UGG	
	BLO	NNDMEA				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.140	UGG	
	BLO	NNDNPA				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.200	UGG	
	BLO	NNDPA				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.190	UGG	
	BLO	PCB016				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	1.400	UGG	
	BLO	PCB221				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	1.400	UGG	
	BLO	PCB232				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	1.400	UGG	
	BLO	PCB242				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	1.400	UGG	
	BLO	PCB248				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	2.000	UGG	
	BLO	PCB254				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	2.300	UGG	
	BLO	PCB260				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	2.600	UGG	
	BLO	PCP				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	1.300	UGG	
	BLO	PHANTR				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	BLO	PHENOL				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.110	UGG	
	BLO	PPDDD				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.270	UGG	
	BLO	PPDDE				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.310	UGG	
	BLO	PPDDT				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.310	UGG	
	BLO	PYR				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	BLO	TXPHEN				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	2.600	UGG	
	BLO	UNK650				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992		0.500	UGG	
	BLO	UNK660				05-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992		0.300	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
LM18	BLZ	124TCB				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.040	UGG	
	BLZ	12DCLB				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.110	UGG	
	BLZ	12DPH				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.140	UGG	
	BLZ	13DCLB				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.130	UGG	
	BLZ	14DCLB				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.098	UGG	
	BLZ	245TCP				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.100	UGG	
	BLZ	246TCP				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.170	UGG	
	BLZ	24DCLP				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.180	UGG	
	BLZ	24DMPN				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.690	UGG	
	BLZ	24DNP				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	1.200	UGG	
	BLZ	24DNT				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.140	UGG	
	BLZ	26DNT				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.085	UGG	
	BLZ	2CLP				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.060	UGG	
	BLZ	2CNAP				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.036	UGG	
	BLZ	2MNAP				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.049	UGG	
	BLZ	2MP				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.029	UGG	
	BLZ	2NANIL				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.062	UGG	
	BLZ	2NP				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.140	UGG	
	BLZ	33DCBD				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	6.300	UGG	
	BLZ	3NANIL				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.450	UGG	
	BLZ	46DN2C				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.550	UGG	
	BLZ	4BRPPE				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	BLZ	4CANIL				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.810	UGG	
	BLZ	4CL3C				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.095	UGG	
	BLZ	4CLPPE				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	BLZ	4MP				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.240	UGG	
	BLZ	4NANIL				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.410	UGG	
	BLZ	4NP				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	1.400	UGG	
	BLZ	ABHC				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.270	UGG	
	BLZ	ACLDAN				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.330	UGG	
	BLZ	AENSLF				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.620	UGG	
	BLZ	ALDRN				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.330	UGG	
	BLZ	ANAPNE				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.036	UGG	
	BLZ	ANAPYL				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	BLZ	ANTRC				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	BLZ	B2CEXM				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.059	UGG	
	BLZ	B2CIPE				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.200	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
LM18	BLZ	B2CLEE				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	BLZ	B2EHP				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.620	UGG	
	BLZ	BAANTR				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.170	UGG	
	BLZ	BAPYR				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.250	UGG	
	BLZ	BBFANT				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.210	UGG	
	BLZ	BBHC				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.270	UGG	
	BLZ	BBZP				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.170	UGG	
	BLZ	BENSLF				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.620	UGG	
	BLZ	BENZID				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.850	UGG	
	BLZ	BENZOA				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	6.100	UGG	
	BLZ	BGHIPY				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.250	UGG	
	BLZ	BKFANT				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.066	UGG	
	BLZ	BZALC				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.190	UGG	
	BLZ	CARBAZ				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.100	UGG	
	BLZ	CHRY				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.120	UGG	
	BLZ	CL6BZ				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	BLZ	CL6CP				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	6.200	UGG	
	BLZ	CL6ET				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.150	UGG	
	BLZ	DBAHA				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.210	UGG	
	BLZ	DBHC				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.270	UGG	
	BLZ	DBZFUR				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.035	UGG	
	BLZ	DEP				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.240	UGG	
	BLZ	DLDRN				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.310	UGG	
	BLZ	DMP				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.170	UGG	
	BLZ	DNBP				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.061	UGG	
	BLZ	DNOP				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.190	UGG	
	BLZ	ENDRN				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.450	UGG	
	BLZ	ENDRNA				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.530	UGG	
	BLZ	ENDRNK				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.530	UGG	
	BLZ	ESFSO4				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.620	UGG	
	BLZ	FANT				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.068	UGG	
	BLZ	FLRENE				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	BLZ	GCLDAN				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.330	UGG	
	BLZ	HCBD				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.230	UGG	
	BLZ	HPCL				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.130	UGG	
	BLZ	HPCLE				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.330	UGG	
	BLZ	ICDPYR				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.290	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
LM18	BLZ	ISOPHR				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	BLZ	LIN				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.270	UGG	
	BLZ	MEXCLR				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.330	UGG	
	BLZ	NAP				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.037	UGG	
	BLZ	NB				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.045	UGG	
	BLZ	NNDMEA				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.140	UGG	
	BLZ	NNDNPA				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.200	UGG	
	BLZ	NNDPA				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.190	UGG	
	BLZ	PCB016				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	1.400	UGG	
	BLZ	PCB221				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	1.400	UGG	
	BLZ	PCB232				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	1.400	UGG	
	BLZ	PCB242				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	1.400	UGG	
	BLZ	PCB248				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	2.000	UGG	
	BLZ	PCB254				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	2.300	UGG	
	BLZ	PCB260				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	2.600	UGG	
	BLZ	PCP				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	1.300	UGG	
	BLZ	PHANTR				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	BLZ	PHENOL				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.110	UGG	
	BLZ	PPDDD				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.270	UGG	
	BLZ	PPDDE				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.310	UGG	
	BLZ	PPDDT				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.310	UGG	
	BLZ	PYR				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	BLZ	TXPHEN				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	2.600	UGG	
	BLZ	UNK528				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992		0.200	UGG	
	BLZ	UNK650				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992		0.700	UGG	
	BLZ	UNK660				23-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992		0.400	UGG	
	CRB	124TCB				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.040	UGG	
	CRB	12DCLB				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.110	UGG	
	CRB	12DPH				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.140	UGG	
	CRB	12EPCH				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992		0.100	UGG	
	CRB	13DCLB				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.130	UGG	
	CRB	14DCLB				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.098	UGG	
	CRB	245TCP				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.100	UGG	
	CRB	246TCP				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.170	UGG	
	CRB	24DCLP				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.180	UGG	
	CRB	24DMPN				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.690	UGG	
	CRB	24DNP				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	1.200	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
LM18	CRB	24DNT				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.140	UGG	
	CRB	26DNT				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.085	UGG	
	CRB	2CLP				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.060	UGG	
	CRB	2CNAP				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.036	UGG	
	CRB	2MNAP				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.049	UGG	
	CRB	2MP				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.029	UGG	
	CRB	2NANIL				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.062	UGG	
	CRB	2NP				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.140	UGG	
	CRB	33DCBD				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	6.300	UGG	
	CRB	3NANIL				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.450	UGG	
	CRB	46DN2C				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.550	UGG	
	CRB	4BRPPE				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	CRB	4CANIL				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.810	UGG	
	CRB	4CL3C				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.095	UGG	
	CRB	4CLPPE				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	CRB	4MP				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.240	UGG	
	CRB	4NANIL				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.410	UGG	
	CRB	4NP				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	1.400	UGG	
	CRB	ABHC				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.270	UGG	
	CRB	ACLDAN				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.330	UGG	
	CRB	AENSLF				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.620	UGG	
	CRB	ALDRN				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.330	UGG	
	CRB	ANAPNE				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.036	UGG	
	CRB	ANAPYL				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	CRB	ANTRC				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	CRB	B2CEXM				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.059	UGG	
	CRB	B2CIPE				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.200	UGG	
	CRB	B2CLEE				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	CRB	B2EHP				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.620	UGG	
	CRB	BAANTR				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.170	UGG	
	CRB	BAPYR				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.250	UGG	
	CRB	BBFANT				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.210	UGG	
	CRB	BBHC				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.270	UGG	
	CRB	BBZP				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.170	UGG	
	CRB	BENSLF				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.620	UGG	
	CRB	BENZID				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.850	UGG	
	CRB	BENZOA				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	6.100	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
LM18	CRB	BGHIPY				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.250	UGG	
	CRB	BKFANT				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.066	UGG	
	CRB	BZALC				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.190	UGG	
	CRB	CARBAZ				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.100	UGG	
	CRB	CHRY				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.120	UGG	
	CRB	CL6BZ				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	CRB	CL6CP				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	6.200	UGG	
	CRB	CL6ET				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.150	UGG	
	CRB	DBAHA				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.210	UGG	
	CRB	DBHC				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.270	UGG	
	CRB	DBZFUR				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.035	UGG	
	CRB	DEP				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.240	UGG	
	CRB	DLDRN				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.310	UGG	
	CRB	DMP				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.170	UGG	
	CRB	DNBP				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.061	UGG	
	CRB	DNOP				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.190	UGG	
	CRB	ENDRN				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.450	UGG	
	CRB	ENDRNA				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.530	UGG	
	CRB	ENDRNK				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.530	UGG	
	CRB	ESFSO4				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.620	UGG	
	CRB	FANT				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.068	UGG	
	CRB	FLRENE				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	CRB	GCLDAN				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.330	UGG	
	CRB	HCBD				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.230	UGG	
	CRB	HPCL				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.130	UGG	
	CRB	HPCLE				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.330	UGG	
	CRB	ICDPYR				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.290	UGG	
	CRB	ISOPHR				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	CRB	LIN				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.270	UGG	
	CRB	MEXCLR				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.330	UGG	
	CRB	NAP				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.037	UGG	
	CRB	NB				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.045	UGG	
	CRB	NNDMEA				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.140	UGG	
	CRB	NNDNPA				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.200	UGG	
	CRB	NNDPA				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.190	UGG	
	CRB	PCB016				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	1.400	UGG	
	CRB	PCB221				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	1.400	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
LM18	CRB	PCB232				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	1.400	UGG	
	CRB	PCB242				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	1.400	UGG	
	CRB	PCB248				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	2.000	UGG	
	CRB	PCB254				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	2.300	UGG	
	CRB	PCB260				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	2.600	UGG	
	CRB	PCP				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	1.300	UGG	
	CRB	PHANTR				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	CRB	PHENOL				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.110	UGG	
	CRB	PPDDD				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.270	UGG	
	CRB	PPDDE				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.310	UGG	
	CRB	PPDDT				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.310	UGG	
	CRB	PYR				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	CRB	TCLEE				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992		1.000	UGG	
	CRB	TXPHEN				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	2.600	UGG	
	CRB	UNK650				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992		0.500	UGG	
	CRB	UNK660				11-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992		0.400	UGG	
	CRC	124TCB				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.040	UGG	
	CRC	12DCLB				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.110	UGG	
	CRC	12DPH				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.140	UGG	
	CRC	13DCLB				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.130	UGG	
	CRC	14DCLB				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.098	UGG	
	CRC	245TCP				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.100	UGG	
	CRC	246TCP				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.170	UGG	
	CRC	24DCLP				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.180	UGG	
	CRC	24DMPN				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.690	UGG	
	CRC	24DNP				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	1.200	UGG	
	CRC	24DNT				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.140	UGG	
	CRC	26DNT				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.085	UGG	
	CRC	2CLP				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.060	UGG	
	CRC	2CNAP				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.036	UGG	
	CRC	2MNAP				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.049	UGG	
	CRC	2MP				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.029	UGG	
	CRC	2NANIL				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.062	UGG	
	CRC	2NP				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.140	UGG	
	CRC	33DCBD				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	6.300	UGG	
	CRC	3NANIL				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.450	UGG	
	CRC	46DN2C				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.550	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
LM18	CRC	4BRPPE				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	CRC	4CANIL				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.810	UGG	
	CRC	4CL3C				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.095	UGG	
	CRC	4CLPPE				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	CRC	4MP				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.240	UGG	
	CRC	4NANIL				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.410	UGG	
	CRC	4NP				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	1.400	UGG	
	CRC	ABHC				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.270	UGG	
	CRC	ACLDAN				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.330	UGG	
	CRC	AENSLF				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.620	UGG	
	CRC	ALDRN				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.330	UGG	
	CRC	ANAPNE				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.036	UGG	
	CRC	ANAPYL				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	CRC	ANTRC				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	CRC	B2CEXM				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.059	UGG	
	CRC	B2CIPE				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.200	UGG	
	CRC	B2CLEE				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	CRC	B2EHP				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.620	UGG	
	CRC	BAANTR				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.170	UGG	
	CRC	BAPYR				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.250	UGG	
	CRC	BBFANT				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.210	UGG	
	CRC	BBHC				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.270	UGG	
	CRC	BBZP				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.170	UGG	
	CRC	BENSLF				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.620	UGG	
	CRC	BENZID				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.850	UGG	
	CRC	BENZOA				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	6.100	UGG	
	CRC	BGHIPY				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.250	UGG	
	CRC	BKFANT				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.066	UGG	
	CRC	BZALC				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.190	UGG	
	CRC	CARBAZ				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.100	UGG	
	CRC	CHRY				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.120	UGG	
	CRC	CL6BZ				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	CRC	CL6CP				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	6.200	UGG	
	CRC	CL6ET				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.150	UGG	
	CRC	DBAHA				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.210	UGG	
	CRC	DBHC				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.270	UGG	
	CRC	DBZFUR				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.035	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
LM18	CRC	DEP				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.240	UGG	
	CRC	DLDRN				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.310	UGG	
	CRC	DMP				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.170	UGG	
	CRC	DNBP				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.061	UGG	
	CRC	DNOP				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.190	UGG	
	CRC	ENDRN				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.450	UGG	
	CRC	ENDRNA				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.530	UGG	
	CRC	ENDRNK				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.530	UGG	
	CRC	ESFSO4				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.620	UGG	
	CRC	FANT				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.068	UGG	
	CRC	FLRENE				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	CRC	GCLDAN				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.330	UGG	
	CRC	HCBD				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.230	UGG	
	CRC	HPCL				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.130	UGG	
	CRC	HPCLE				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.330	UGG	
	CRC	ICDPYR				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.290	UGG	
	CRC	ISOPHR				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	CRC	LIN				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.270	UGG	
	CRC	MEXCLR				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.330	UGG	
	CRC	NAP				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.037	UGG	
	CRC	NB				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.045	UGG	
	CRC	NNDMEA				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.140	UGG	
	CRC	NNDNPA				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.200	UGG	
	CRC	NNDPA				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.190	UGG	
	CRC	PCB016				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	1.400	UGG	
	CRC	PCB221				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	1.400	UGG	
	CRC	PCB232				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	1.400	UGG	
	CRC	PCB242				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	1.400	UGG	
	CRC	PCB248				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	2.000	UGG	
	CRC	PCB254				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	2.300	UGG	
	CRC	PCB260				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	2.600	UGG	
	CRC	PCP				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	1.300	UGG	
	CRC	PHANTR				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	CRC	PHENOL				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.110	UGG	
	CRC	PPDDD				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.270	UGG	
	CRC	PPDDE				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.310	UGG	
	CRC	PPDDT				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.310	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
LM18	CRC	PYR				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	0.033	UGG	
	CRC	TXPHEN				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992	<	2.600	UGG	
	CRC	UNK540				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992		1.000	UGG	
	CRC	UNK651				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992		0.600	UGG	
	CRC	UNK660				12-DEC-1992	27-DEC-1992		0.300	UGG	
	CRE	124TCB				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.040	UGG	
	CRE	12DCLB				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.110	UGG	
	CRE	12DPH				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.140	UGG	
	CRE	13DCLB				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.130	UGG	
	CRE	14DCLB				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.098	UGG	
	CRE	245TCP				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.100	UGG	
	CRE	246TCP				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.170	UGG	
	CRE	24DCLP				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.180	UGG	
	CRE	24DMPN				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.690	UGG	
	CRE	24DNP				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	1.200	UGG	
	CRE	24DNT				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.140	UGG	
	CRE	26DNT				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.085	UGG	
	CRE	2CLP				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.060	UGG	
	CRE	2CNAP				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.036	UGG	
	CRE	2MNAP				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.049	UGG	
	CRE	2MP				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.029	UGG	
	CRE	2NANIL				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.062	UGG	
	CRE	2NP				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.140	UGG	
	CRE	33DCBD				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	6.300	UGG	
	CRE	3NANIL				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.450	UGG	
	CRE	46DN2C				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.550	UGG	
	CRE	4BRPPE				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.033	UGG	
	CRE	4CANIL				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.810	UGG	
	CRE	4CL3C				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.095	UGG	
	CRE	4CLPPE				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.033	UGG	
	CRE	4MP				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.240	UGG	
	CRE	4NANIL				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.410	UGG	
	CRE	4NP				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	1.400	UGG	
	CRE	ABHC				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.270	UGG	
	CRE	ACLDAN				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.330	UGG	
	CRE	AENSLF				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.620	UGG	
	CRE	ALDRN				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.330	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
LM18	CRE	ANAPNE				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.036	UGG	
	CRE	ANAPYL				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.033	UGG	
	CRE	ANTRC				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.033	UGG	
	CRE	B2CEXM				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.059	UGG	
	CRE	B2CIPE				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.200	UGG	
	CRE	B2CLEE				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.033	UGG	
	CRE	B2EHP				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.620	UGG	
	CRE	BAANTR				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.170	UGG	
	CRE	BAPYR				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.250	UGG	
	CRE	BBFANT				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.210	UGG	
	CRE	BBHC				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.270	UGG	
	CRE	BBZP				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.170	UGG	
	CRE	BENSLF				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.620	UGG	
	CRE	BENZID				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.850	UGG	
	CRE	BENZOA				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	6.100	UGG	
	CRE	BGHIPY				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.250	UGG	
	CRE	BKFANT				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.066	UGG	
	CRE	BZALC				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.190	UGG	
	CRE	CARBAZ				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.100	UGG	
	CRE	CHRY				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.120	UGG	
	CRE	CL6BZ				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.033	UGG	
	CRE	CL6CP				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	6.200	UGG	
	CRE	CL6ET				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.150	UGG	
	CRE	DBAHA				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.210	UGG	
	CRE	DBHC				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.270	UGG	
	CRE	DBZFUR				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.035	UGG	
	CRE	DEP				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.240	UGG	
	CRE	DLDRN				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.310	UGG	
	CRE	DMP				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.170	UGG	
	CRE	DNBP				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.061	UGG	
	CRE	DNOP				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.190	UGG	
	CRE	ENDRN				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.450	UGG	
	CRE	ENDRNA				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.530	UGG	
	CRE	ENDRNK				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.530	UGG	
	CRE	ESFSO4				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.620	UGG	
	CRE	FANT				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.068	UGG	
	CRE	FLRENE				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.033	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
LM18	CRE	GCLDAN				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.330	UGG	
	CRE	HCBD				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.230	UGG	
	CRE	HPCL				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.130	UGG	
	CRE	HPCLE				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.330	UGG	
	CRE	ICDPYR				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.290	UGG	
	CRE	ISOPHR				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.033	UGG	
	CRE	LIN				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.270	UGG	
	CRE	MEXCLR				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.330	UGG	
	CRE	NAP				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.037	UGG	
	CRE	NB				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.045	UGG	
	CRE	NNDMEA				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.140	UGG	
	CRE	NNDNPA				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.200	UGG	
	CRE	NNDPA				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.190	UGG	
	CRE	PCB016				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	1.400	UGG	
	CRE	PCB221				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	1.400	UGG	
	CRE	PCB232				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	1.400	UGG	
	CRE	PCB242				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	1.400	UGG	
	CRE	PCB248				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	2.000	UGG	
	CRE	PCB254				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	2.300	UGG	
	CRE	PCB260				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	2.600	UGG	
	CRE	PCP				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	1.300	UGG	
	CRE	PHANTR				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.033	UGG	
	CRE	PHENOL				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.110	UGG	
	CRE	PPDDD				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.270	UGG	
	CRE	PPDDE				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.310	UGG	
	CRE	PPDDT				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.310	UGG	
	CRE	PYR				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.033	UGG	
	CRE	TXPHEN				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	2.600	UGG	
	CRE	UNK648				16-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993		0.300	UGG	
	CRF	124TCB				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.040	UGG	
	CRF	12DCLB				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.110	UGG	
	CRF	12DPH				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.140	UGG	
	CRF	13DCLB				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.130	UGG	
	CRF	14DCLB				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.098	UGG	
	CRF	245TCP				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.100	UGG	
	CRF	246TCP				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.170	UGG	
	CRF	24DCLP				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.180	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
LM18	CRF	24DMPN				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.690	UGG	
	CRF	24DNP				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	1.200	UGG	
	CRF	24DNT				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.140	UGG	
	CRF	26DNT				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.085	UGG	
	CRF	2CLP				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.060	UGG	
	CRF	2CNAP				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.036	UGG	
	CRF	2MNAP				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.049	UGG	
	CRF	2MP				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.029	UGG	
	CRF	2NANIL				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.062	UGG	
	CRF	2NP				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.140	UGG	
	CRF	33DCBD				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	6.300	UGG	
	CRF	3NANIL				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.450	UGG	
	CRF	46DN2C				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.550	UGG	
	CRF	4BRPPE				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.033	UGG	
	CRF	4CANIL				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.810	UGG	
	CRF	4CL3C				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.095	UGG	
	CRF	4CLPPE				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.033	UGG	
	CRF	4MP				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.240	UGG	
	CRF	4NANIL				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.410	UGG	
	CRF	4NP				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	1.400	UGG	
	CRF	ABHC				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.270	UGG	
	CRF	ACLDAN				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.330	UGG	
	CRF	AENSLF				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.620	UGG	
	CRF	ALDRN				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.330	UGG	
	CRF	ANAPNE				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.036	UGG	
	CRF	ANAPYL				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.033	UGG	
	CRF	ANTRC				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.033	UGG	
	CRF	B2CEXM				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.059	UGG	
	CRF	B2CIPE				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.200	UGG	
	CRF	B2CLEE				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.033	UGG	
	CRF	B2EHP				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.620	UGG	
	CRF	BAANTR				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.170	UGG	
	CRF	BAPYR				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.250	UGG	
	CRF	BBFANT				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.210	UGG	
	CRF	BBHC				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.270	UGG	
	CRF	BBZP				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.170	UGG	
	CRF	BENSLF				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.620	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
LM18	CRF	BENZID				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.850	UGG	
	CRF	BENZOA				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	6.100	UGG	
	CRF	BGHIPY				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.250	UGG	
	CRF	BKFANT				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.066	UGG	
	CRF	BZALC				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.190	UGG	
	CRF	CARBAZ				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.100	UGG	
	CRF	CHRY				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.120	UGG	
	CRF	CL6BZ				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.033	UGG	
	CRF	CL6CP				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	6.200	UGG	
	CRF	CL6ET				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.150	UGG	
	CRF	DBAHA				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.210	UGG	
	CRF	DBHC				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.270	UGG	
	CRF	DBZFUR				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.035	UGG	
	CRF	DEP				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.240	UGG	
	CRF	DLDRN				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.310	UGG	
	CRF	DMP				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.170	UGG	
	CRF	DNBP				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.061	UGG	
	CRF	DNOP				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.190	UGG	
	CRF	ENDRN				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.450	UGG	
	CRF	ENDRNA				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.530	UGG	
	CRF	ENDRNK				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.530	UGG	
	CRF	ESFSO4				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.620	UGG	
	CRF	FANT				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.068	UGG	
	CRF	FLRENE				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.033	UGG	
	CRF	GCLDAN				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.330	UGG	
	CRF	HCBD				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.230	UGG	
	CRF	HPCL				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.130	UGG	
	CRF	HPCLE				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.330	UGG	
	CRF	ICDPYR				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.290	UGG	
	CRF	ISOPHR				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.033	UGG	
	CRF	LIN				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.270	UGG	
	CRF	MEC6H5				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993		0.700	UGG	
	CRF	MEXCLR				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.330	UGG	
	CRF	NAP				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.037	UGG	
	CRF	NB				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.045	UGG	
	CRF	NNDMEA				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.140	UGG	
	CRF	NNDNPA				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.200	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
LM18	CRF	NNDPA				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.190	UGG	
	CRF	PCB016				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	1.400	UGG	
	CRF	PCB221				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	1.400	UGG	
	CRF	PCB232				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	1.400	UGG	
	CRF	PCB242				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	1.400	UGG	
	CRF	PCB248				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	2.000	UGG	
	CRF	PCB254				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	2.300	UGG	
	CRF	PCB260				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	2.600	UGG	
	CRF	PCP				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	1.300	UGG	
	CRF	PHANTR				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.033	UGG	
	CRF	PHENOL				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.110	UGG	
	CRF	PPDDD				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.270	UGG	
	CRF	PPDDE				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.310	UGG	
	CRF	PPDDT				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.310	UGG	
	CRF	PYR				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.033	UGG	
	CRF	TXPHEN				22-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	2.600	UGG	
	CRG	124TCB				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	0.040	UGG	
	CRG	12DCLB				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	0.110	UGG	
	CRG	12DPH				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	0.140	UGG	
	CRG	13DCLB				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	0.130	UGG	
	CRG	14DCLB				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	0.098	UGG	
	CRG	245TCP				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	0.100	UGG	
	CRG	246TCP				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	0.170	UGG	
	CRG	24DCLP				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	0.180	UGG	
	CRG	24DMPN				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	0.690	UGG	
	CRG	24DNP				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	1.200	UGG	
	CRG	24DNT				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	0.140	UGG	
	CRG	26DNT				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	0.085	UGG	
	CRG	2CLP				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	0.060	UGG	
	CRG	2CNAP				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	0.036	UGG	
	CRG	2MNAP				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	0.049	UGG	
	CRG	2MP				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	0.029	UGG	
	CRG	2NANIL				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	0.062	UGG	
	CRG	2NP				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	0.140	UGG	
	CRG	33DCBD				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	6.300	UGG	
	CRG	3NANIL				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	0.450	UGG	
	CRG	46DN2C				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	0.550	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
LM18	CRG	4BRPPE				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	0.033	UGG	
	CRG	4CANIL				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	0.810	UGG	
	CRG	4CL3C				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	0.095	UGG	
	CRG	4CLPPE				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	0.033	UGG	
	CRG	4MP				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	0.240	UGG	
	CRG	4NANIL				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	0.410	UGG	
	CRG	4NP				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	1.400	UGG	
	CRG	ABHC				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	0.270	UGG	
	CRG	ACLDAN				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	0.330	UGG	
	CRG	AENSLF				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	0.620	UGG	
	CRG	ALDRN				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	0.330	UGG	
	CRG	ANAPNE				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	0.036	UGG	
	CRG	ANAPYL				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	0.033	UGG	
	CRG	ANTRC				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	0.033	UGG	
	CRG	B2CEXM				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	0.059	UGG	
	CRG	B2CIPE				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	0.200	UGG	
	CRG	B2CLEE				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	0.033	UGG	
	CRG	B2EHP				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	0.620	UGG	
	CRG	BAANTR				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	0.170	UGG	
	CRG	BAPYR				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	0.250	UGG	
	CRG	BBFANT				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	0.210	UGG	
	CRG	BBHC				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	0.270	UGG	
	CRG	BBZP				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	0.170	UGG	
	CRG	BENSLF				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	0.620	UGG	
	CRG	BENZID				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	0.850	UGG	
	CRG	BENZOA				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	6.100	UGG	
	CRG	BGHIPY				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	0.250	UGG	
	CRG	BKFANT				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	0.066	UGG	
	CRG	BZALC				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	0.190	UGG	
	CRG	CARBAZ				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	0.100	UGG	
	CRG	CHRY				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	0.120	UGG	
	CRG	CL6BZ				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	0.033	UGG	
	CRG	CL6CP				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	6.200	UGG	
	CRG	CL6ET				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	0.150	UGG	
	CRG	DBAHA				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	0.210	UGG	
	CRG	DBHC				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	0.270	UGG	
	CRG	DBZFUR				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	0.035	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
LM18	CRG	DEP				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	0.240	UGG	
	CRG	DLDRN				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	0.310	UGG	
	CRG	DMP				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	0.170	UGG	
	CRG	DNBP				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	0.061	UGG	
	CRG	DNOP				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	0.190	UGG	
	CRG	ENDRN				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	0.450	UGG	
	CRG	ENDRNA				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	0.530	UGG	
	CRG	ENDRNK				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	0.530	UGG	
	CRG	ESFSO4				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	0.620	UGG	
	CRG	FANT				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	0.068	UGG	
	CRG	FLRENE				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	0.033	UGG	
	CRG	GCLDAN				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	0.330	UGG	
	CRG	HCBD				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	0.230	UGG	
	CRG	HPCL				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	0.130	UGG	
	CRG	HPCLE				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	0.330	UGG	
	CRG	ICDPYR				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	0.290	UGG	
	CRG	ISOPHR				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	0.033	UGG	
	CRG	LIN				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	0.270	UGG	
	CRG	MEXCLR				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	0.330	UGG	
	CRG	NAP				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	0.037	UGG	
	CRG	NB				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	0.045	UGG	
	CRG	NNDMEA				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	0.140	UGG	
	CRG	NNDNPA				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	0.200	UGG	
	CRG	NNDPA				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	0.190	UGG	
	CRG	PCB016				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	1.400	UGG	
	CRG	PCB221				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	1.400	UGG	
	CRG	PCB232				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	1.400	UGG	
	CRG	PCB242				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	1.400	UGG	
	CRG	PCB248				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	2.000	UGG	
	CRG	PCB254				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	2.300	UGG	
	CRG	PCB260				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	2.600	UGG	
	CRG	PCP				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	1.300	UGG	
	CRG	PHANTR				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	0.033	UGG	
	CRG	PHENOL				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	0.110	UGG	
	CRG	PPDDD				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	0.270	UGG	
	CRG	PPDDE				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	0.310	UGG	
	CRG	PPDDT				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	0.310	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
LM18	CRG	PYR				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	0.033	UGG	
	CRG	TXPHEN				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993	<	2.600	UGG	
	CRG	UNK602				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993		0.300	UGG	
	CRG	UNK651				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993		0.600	UGG	
	CRG	UNK660				16-JAN-1993	21-JAN-1993		0.400	UGG	
	CRH	124TCB				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	0.040	UGG	
	CRH	12DCLB				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	0.110	UGG	
	CRH	12DPH				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	0.140	UGG	
	CRH	13DCLB				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	0.130	UGG	
	CRH	14DCLB				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	0.098	UGG	
	CRH	245TCP				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	0.100	UGG	
	CRH	246TCP				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	0.170	UGG	
	CRH	24DCLP				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	0.180	UGG	
	CRH	24DMPN				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	0.690	UGG	
	CRH	24DNP				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	1.200	UGG	
	CRH	24DNT				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	0.140	UGG	
	CRH	26DNT				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	0.085	UGG	
	CRH	2CLP				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	0.060	UGG	
	CRH	2CNAP				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	0.036	UGG	
	CRH	2MNAP				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	0.049	UGG	
	CRH	2MP				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	0.029	UGG	
	CRH	2NANIL				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	0.062	UGG	
	CRH	2NP				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	0.140	UGG	
	CRH	33DCBD				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	6.300	UGG	
	CRH	3NANIL				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	0.450	UGG	
	CRH	46DN2C				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	0.550	UGG	
	CRH	4BRPPE				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	0.033	UGG	
	CRH	4CANIL				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	0.810	UGG	
	CRH	4CL3C				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	0.095	UGG	
	CRH	4CLPPE				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	0.033	UGG	
	CRH	4MP				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	0.240	UGG	
	CRH	4NANIL				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	0.410	UGG	
	CRH	4NP				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	1.400	UGG	
	CRH	ABHC				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	0.270	UGG	
	CRH	ACLDAN				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	0.330	UGG	
	CRH	AENSLF				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	0.620	UGG	
	CRH	ALDRN				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	0.330	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
LM18	CRH	ANAPNE				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	0.036	UGG	
	CRH	ANAPYL				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	0.033	UGG	
	CRH	ANTRC				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	0.033	UGG	
	CRH	B2CEXM				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	0.059	UGG	
	CRH	B2CIPE				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	0.200	UGG	
	CRH	B2CLEE				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	0.033	UGG	
	CRH	B2EHP				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	0.620	UGG	
	CRH	BAANTR				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	0.170	UGG	
	CRH	BAPYR				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	0.250	UGG	
	CRH	BBFANT				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	0.210	UGG	
	CRH	BBHC				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	0.270	UGG	
	CRH	BBZP				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	0.170	UGG	
	CRH	BENSLF				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	0.620	UGG	
	CRH	BENZID				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	0.850	UGG	
	CRH	BENZOA				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	6.100	UGG	
	CRH	BGHIPY				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	0.250	UGG	
	CRH	BKFANT				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	0.066	UGG	
	CRH	BZALC				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	0.190	UGG	
	CRH	CARBAZ				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	0.100	UGG	
	CRH	CHRY				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	0.120	UGG	
	CRH	CL6BZ				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	0.033	UGG	
	CRH	CL6CP				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	6.200	UGG	
	CRH	CL6ET				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	0.150	UGG	
	CRH	DBAHA				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	0.210	UGG	
	CRH	DBHC				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	0.270	UGG	
	CRH	DBZFUR				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	0.035	UGG	
	CRH	DEP				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	0.240	UGG	
	CRH	DLDRN				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	0.310	UGG	
	CRH	DMP				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	0.170	UGG	
	CRH	DNBP				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	0.061	UGG	
	CRH	DNOP				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	0.190	UGG	
	CRH	ENDRN				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	0.450	UGG	
	CRH	ENDRNA				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	0.530	UGG	
	CRH	ENDRNK				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	0.530	UGG	
	CRH	ESFSO4				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	0.620	UGG	
	CRH	FANT				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	0.068	UGG	
	CRH	FLRENE				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	0.033	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
LM18	CRH	GCLDAN				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	0.330	UGG	
	CRH	HCBD				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	0.230	UGG	
	CRH	HPCL				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	0.130	UGG	
	CRH	HPCLE				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	0.330	UGG	
	CRH	ICDPYR				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	0.290	UGG	
	CRH	ISOPHR				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	0.033	UGG	
	CRH	LIN				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	0.270	UGG	
	CRH	MEXCLR				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	0.330	UGG	
	CRH	NAP				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	0.037	UGG	
	CRH	NB				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	0.045	UGG	
	CRH	NNDMEA				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	0.140	UGG	
	CRH	NNDNPA				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	0.200	UGG	
	CRH	NNDPA				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	0.190	UGG	
	CRH	PCB016				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	1.400	UGG	
	CRH	PCB221				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	1.400	UGG	
	CRH	PCB232				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	1.400	UGG	
	CRH	PCB242				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	1.400	UGG	
	CRH	PCB248				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	2.000	UGG	
	CRH	PCB254				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	2.300	UGG	
	CRH	PCB260				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	2.600	UGG	
	CRH	PCP				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	1.300	UGG	
	CRH	PHANTR				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	0.033	UGG	
	CRH	PHENOL				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	0.110	UGG	
	CRH	PPDDD				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	0.270	UGG	
	CRH	PPDDE				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	0.310	UGG	
	CRH	PPDDT				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	0.310	UGG	
	CRH	PYR				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	0.033	UGG	
	CRH	TXPHEN				28-JAN-1993	02-FEB-1993	<	2.600	UGG	
	CRSA	124TCB				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.040	UGG	
	CRSA	12DCLB				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.110	UGG	
	CRSA	12DPH				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.140	UGG	
	CRSA	13DCLB				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.130	UGG	
	CRSA	14DCLB				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.098	UGG	
	CRSA	245TCP				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.100	UGG	
	CRSA	246TCP				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.170	UGG	
	CRSA	24DCLP				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.180	UGG	
	CRSA	24DMPN				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.690	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
LM18	CRSA	24DNP				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	1.200	UGG	
	CRSA	24DNT				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.140	UGG	
	CRSA	26DNT				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.085	UGG	
	CRSA	2CLP				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.060	UGG	
	CRSA	2CNAP				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.036	UGG	
	CRSA	2MNAP				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.049	UGG	
	CRSA	2MP				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.029	UGG	
	CRSA	2NANIL				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.062	UGG	
	CRSA	2NP				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.140	UGG	
	CRSA	33DCBD				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	6.300	UGG	
	CRSA	3NANIL				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.450	UGG	
	CRSA	46DN2C				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.550	UGG	
	CRSA	4BRPPE				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.033	UGG	
	CRSA	4CANIL				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.810	UGG	
	CRSA	4CL3C				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.095	UGG	
	CRSA	4CLPPE				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.033	UGG	
	CRSA	4MP				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.240	UGG	
	CRSA	4NANIL				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.410	UGG	
	CRSA	4NP				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	1.400	UGG	
	CRSA	ABHC				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.270	UGG	
	CRSA	ACLDAN				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.330	UGG	
	CRSA	AENSLF				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.620	UGG	
	CRSA	ALDRN				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.330	UGG	
	CRSA	ANAPNE				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.036	UGG	
	CRSA	ANAPYL				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.033	UGG	
	CRSA	ANTRC				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.033	UGG	
	CRSA	B2CEXM				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.059	UGG	
	CRSA	B2CIPE				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.200	UGG	
	CRSA	B2CLEE				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.033	UGG	
	CRSA	B2EHP				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.620	UGG	
	CRSA	BAANTR				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.170	UGG	
	CRSA	BAPYR				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.250	UGG	
	CRSA	BBFANT				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.210	UGG	
	CRSA	BBHC				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.270	UGG	
	CRSA	BBZP				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.170	UGG	
	CRSA	BENSLF				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.620	UGG	
	CRSA	BENZID				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.850	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
LM18	CRSA	BENZOA				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	6.100	UGG	
	CRSA	BGHIPY				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.250	UGG	
	CRSA	BKFANT				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.066	UGG	
	CRSA	BZALC				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.190	UGG	
	CRSA	CARBAZ				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.100	UGG	
	CRSA	CHRY				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.120	UGG	
	CRSA	CL6BZ				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.033	UGG	
	CRSA	CL6CP				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	6.200	UGG	
	CRSA	CL6ET				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.150	UGG	
	CRSA	DBAHA				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.210	UGG	
	CRSA	DBHC				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.270	UGG	
	CRSA	DBZFUR				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.035	UGG	
	CRSA	DEP				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.240	UGG	
	CRSA	DLDRN				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.310	UGG	
	CRSA	DMP				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.170	UGG	
	CRSA	DNBP				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.061	UGG	
	CRSA	DNOP				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.190	UGG	
	CRSA	ENDRN				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.450	UGG	
	CRSA	ENDRNA				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.530	UGG	
	CRSA	ENDRNK				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.530	UGG	
	CRSA	ESFSO4				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.620	UGG	
	CRSA	FANT				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.068	UGG	
	CRSA	FLRENE				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.033	UGG	
	CRSA	GCLDAN				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.330	UGG	
	CRSA	HCBD				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.230	UGG	
	CRSA	HPCL				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.130	UGG	
	CRSA	HPCLE				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.330	UGG	
	CRSA	ICDPYR				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.290	UGG	
	CRSA	ISOPHR				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.033	UGG	
	CRSA	LIN				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.270	UGG	
	CRSA	MEXCLR				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.330	UGG	
	CRSA	NAP				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.037	UGG	
	CRSA	NB				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.045	UGG	
	CRSA	NNDMEA				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.140	UGG	
	CRSA	NNDNPA				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.200	UGG	
	CRSA	NNDPA				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.190	UGG	
	CRSA	PCB016				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	1.400	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
LM18	CRSA	PCB221				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	1.400	UGG	
	CRSA	PCB232				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	1.400	UGG	
	CRSA	PCB242				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	1.400	UGG	
	CRSA	PCB248				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	2.000	UGG	
	CRSA	PCB254				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	2.300	UGG	
	CRSA	PCB260				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	2.600	UGG	
	CRSA	PCP				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	1.300	UGG	
	CRSA	PHANTR				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.033	UGG	
	CRSA	PHENOL				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.110	UGG	
	CRSA	PPDDD				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.270	UGG	
	CRSA	PPDDE				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.310	UGG	
	CRSA	PPDDT				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.310	UGG	
	CRSA	PYR				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.033	UGG	
	CRSA	TXPHEN				10-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	2.600	UGG	
	CRTA	124TCB				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.040	UGG	
	CRTA	12DCLB				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.110	UGG	
	CRTA	12DPH				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.140	UGG	
	CRTA	13DCLB				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.130	UGG	
	CRTA	14DCLB				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.098	UGG	
	CRTA	245TCP				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.100	UGG	
	CRTA	246TCP				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.170	UGG	
	CRTA	24DCLP				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.180	UGG	
	CRTA	24DMPN				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.690	UGG	
	CRTA	24DNP				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	1.200	UGG	
	CRTA	24DNT				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.140	UGG	
	CRTA	26DNT				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.085	UGG	
	CRTA	2CLP				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.060	UGG	
	CRTA	2CNAP				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.036	UGG	
	CRTA	2MNAP				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.049	UGG	
	CRTA	2MP				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.029	UGG	
	CRTA	2NANIL				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.062	UGG	
	CRTA	2NP				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.140	UGG	
	CRTA	33DCBD				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	6.300	UGG	
	CRTA	3NANIL				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.450	UGG	
	CRTA	46DN2C				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.550	UGG	
	CRTA	4BRPPE				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.033	UGG	
	CRTA	4CANIL				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.810	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
LM18	CRTA	4CL3C				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.095	UGG	
	CRTA	4CLPPE				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.033	UGG	
	CRTA	4MP				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.240	UGG	
	CRTA	4NANIL				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.410	UGG	
	CRTA	4NP				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	1.400	UGG	
	CRTA	ABHC				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.270	UGG	
	CRTA	ACLDAN				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.330	UGG	
	CRTA	AENSLF				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.620	UGG	
	CRTA	ALDRN				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.330	UGG	
	CRTA	ANAPNE				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.036	UGG	
	CRTA	ANAPYL				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.033	UGG	
	CRTA	ANTRC				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.033	UGG	
	CRTA	B2CEXM				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.059	UGG	
	CRTA	B2CIPE				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.200	UGG	
	CRTA	B2CLEE				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.033	UGG	
	CRTA	B2EHP				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.620	UGG	
	CRTA	BAANTR				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.170	UGG	
	CRTA	BAPYR				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.250	UGG	
	CRTA	BBFANT				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.210	UGG	
	CRTA	BBHC				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.270	UGG	
	CRTA	BBZP				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.170	UGG	
	CRTA	BENSLF				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.620	UGG	
	CRTA	BENZID				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.850	UGG	
	CRTA	BENZOA				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	6.100	UGG	
	CRTA	BGHIPY				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.250	UGG	
	CRTA	BKFANT				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.066	UGG	
	CRTA	BZALC				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.190	UGG	
	CRTA	CARBAZ				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.100	UGG	
	CRTA	CHRY				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.120	UGG	
	CRTA	CL6BZ				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.033	UGG	
	CRTA	CL6CP				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	6.200	UGG	
	CRTA	CL6ET				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.150	UGG	
	CRTA	DBAHA				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.210	UGG	
	CRTA	DBHC				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.270	UGG	
	CRTA	DBZFUR				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.035	UGG	
	CRTA	DEP				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.240	UGG	
	CRTA	DLDRN				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.310	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
LM18	CRTA	DMP				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.170	UGG	
	CRTA	DNBP				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.061	UGG	
	CRTA	DNOP				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.190	UGG	
	CRTA	ENDRN				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.450	UGG	
	CRTA	ENDRNA				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.530	UGG	
	CRTA	ENDRNK				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.530	UGG	
	CRTA	ESFSO4				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.620	UGG	
	CRTA	FANT				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.068	UGG	
	CRTA	FLRENE				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.033	UGG	
	CRTA	GCLDAN				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.330	UGG	
	CRTA	HCBD				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.230	UGG	
	CRTA	HPCL				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.130	UGG	
	CRTA	HPCLE				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.330	UGG	
	CRTA	ICDPYR				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.290	UGG	
	CRTA	ISOPHR				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.033	UGG	
	CRTA	LIN				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.270	UGG	
	CRTA	MEXCLR				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.330	UGG	
	CRTA	NAP				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.037	UGG	
	CRTA	NB				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.045	UGG	
	CRTA	NNDMEA				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.140	UGG	
	CRTA	NNDNPA				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.200	UGG	
	CRTA	NNDPA				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.190	UGG	
	CRTA	PCB016				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	1.400	UGG	
	CRTA	PCB221				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	1.400	UGG	
	CRTA	PCB232				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	1.400	UGG	
	CRTA	PCB242				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	1.400	UGG	
	CRTA	PCB248				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	2.000	UGG	
	CRTA	PCB254				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	2.300	UGG	
	CRTA	PCB260				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	2.600	UGG	
	CRTA	PCP				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	1.300	UGG	
	CRTA	PHANTR				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.033	UGG	
	CRTA	PHENOL				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.110	UGG	
	CRTA	PPDDD				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.270	UGG	
	CRTA	PPDDE				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.310	UGG	
	CRTA	PPDDT				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.310	UGG	
	CRTA	PYR				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.033	UGG	
	CRTA	TXPHEN				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	2.600	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
LM18	EABA	124TCB				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	0.040	UGG	
	EABA	12DCLB				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	0.110	UGG	
	EABA	12DPH				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	0.140	UGG	
	EABA	13DCLB				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	0.130	UGG	
	EABA	14DCLB				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	0.098	UGG	
	EABA	245TCP				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	0.100	UGG	
	EABA	246TCP				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	0.170	UGG	
	EABA	24DCLP				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	0.180	UGG	
	EABA	24DMPN				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	0.690	UGG	
	EABA	24DNP				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	1.200	UGG	
	EABA	24DNT				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	0.140	UGG	
	EABA	26DNT				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	0.085	UGG	
	EABA	2CLP				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	0.060	UGG	
	EABA	2CNAP				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	0.036	UGG	
	EABA	2MNAP				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	0.049	UGG	
	EABA	2MP				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	0.029	UGG	
	EABA	2NANIL				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	0.062	UGG	
	EABA	2NP				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	0.140	UGG	
	EABA	33DCBD				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	6.300	UGG	
	EABA	3NANIL				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	0.450	UGG	
	EABA	46DN2C				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	0.550	UGG	
	EABA	4BRPPE				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	0.033	UGG	
	EABA	4CANIL				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	0.810	UGG	
	EABA	4CL3C				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	0.095	UGG	
	EABA	4CLPPE				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	0.033	UGG	
	EABA	4MP				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	0.240	UGG	
	EABA	4NANIL				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	0.410	UGG	
	EABA	4NP				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	1.400	UGG	
	EABA	ABHC				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	0.270	UGG	
	EABA	ACLDAN				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	0.330	UGG	
	EABA	AENSLF				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	0.620	UGG	
	EABA	ALDRN				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	0.330	UGG	
	EABA	ANAPNE				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	0.036	UGG	
	EABA	ANAPYL				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	0.033	UGG	
	EABA	ANTRC				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	0.033	UGG	
	EABA	B2CEXM				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	0.059	UGG	
	EABA	B2CIPE				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	0.200	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
LM18	EABA	B2CLEE				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	0.033	UGG	
	EABA	B2EHP				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	0.620	UGG	
	EABA	BAANTR				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	0.170	UGG	
	EABA	BAPYR				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	0.250	UGG	
	EABA	BBFANT				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	0.210	UGG	
	EABA	BBHC				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	0.270	UGG	
	EABA	BBZP				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	0.170	UGG	
	EABA	BENSLF				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	0.620	UGG	
	EABA	BENZID				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	0.850	UGG	
	EABA	BENZOA				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	6.100	UGG	
	EABA	BGHIPY				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	0.250	UGG	
	EABA	BKFANT				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	0.066	UGG	
	EABA	BZALC				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	0.190	UGG	
	EABA	CARBAZ				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	0.100	UGG	
	EABA	CHRY				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	0.120	UGG	
	EABA	CL6BZ				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	0.033	UGG	
	EABA	CL6CP				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	6.200	UGG	
	EABA	CL6ET				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	0.150	UGG	
	EABA	DBAHA				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	0.210	UGG	
	EABA	DBHC				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	0.270	UGG	
	EABA	DBZFUR				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	0.035	UGG	
	EABA	DEP				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	0.240	UGG	
	EABA	DLDRN				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	0.310	UGG	
	EABA	DMP				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	0.170	UGG	
	EABA	DNBP				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	0.061	UGG	
	EABA	DNOP				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	0.190	UGG	
	EABA	ENDRN				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	0.450	UGG	
	EABA	ENDRNA				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	0.530	UGG	
	EABA	ENDRNK				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	0.530	UGG	
	EABA	ESFSO4				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	0.620	UGG	
	EABA	FANT				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	0.068	UGG	
	EABA	FLRENE				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	0.033	UGG	
	EABA	GCLDAN				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	0.330	UGG	
	EABA	HCBD				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	0.230	UGG	
	EABA	HPCL				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	0.130	UGG	
	EABA	HPCLE				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	0.330	UGG	
	EABA	ICDPYR				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	0.290	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
LM18	EABA	ISOPHR				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	0.033	UGG	
	EABA	LIN				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	0.270	UGG	
	EABA	MEXCLR				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	0.330	UGG	
	EABA	NAP				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	0.037	UGG	
	EABA	NB				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	0.045	UGG	
	EABA	NNDMEA				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	0.140	UGG	
	EABA	NNDNPA				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	0.200	UGG	
	EABA	NNDPA				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	0.190	UGG	
	EABA	PCB016				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	1.400	UGG	
	EABA	PCB221				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	1.400	UGG	
	EABA	PCB232				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	1.400	UGG	
	EABA	PCB242				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	1.400	UGG	
	EABA	PCB248				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	2.000	UGG	
	EABA	PCB254				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	2.300	UGG	
	EABA	PCB260				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	2.600	UGG	
	EABA	PCP				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	1.300	UGG	
	EABA	PHANTR				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	0.033	UGG	
	EABA	PHENOL				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	0.110	UGG	
	EABA	PPDDD				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	0.270	UGG	
	EABA	PPDDE				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	0.310	UGG	
	EABA	PPDDT				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	0.310	UGG	
	EABA	PYR				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	0.033	UGG	
	EABA	TXPHEN				19-APR-1993	27-APR-1993	<	2.600	UGG	
LM19	BKH	111TCE				20-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	<	0.004	UGG	
	BKH	112TCE				20-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	<	0.005	UGG	
	BKH	11DCE				20-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	<	0.004	UGG	
	BKH	11DCLE				20-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	<	0.002	UGG	
	BKH	12DCE				20-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	<	0.003	UGG	
	BKH	12DCLE				20-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	<	0.002	UGG	
	BKH	12DCLP				20-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	<	0.003	UGG	
	BKH	2CLEVE				20-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	<	0.010	UGG	
	BKH	ACET				20-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	<	0.017	UGG	
	BKH	ACROLN				20-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	<	0.100	UGG	
	BKH	ACRYLO				20-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	<	0.100	UGG	
	BKH	BRDCLM				20-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	<	0.003	UGG	
	BKH	C13DCP				20-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	<	0.003	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
LM19	BKH	C2AVE				20-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	<	0.003	UGG	
	BKH	C2H3CL				20-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BKH	C2H5CL				20-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	<	0.012	UGG	
	BKH	C6H6				20-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	<	0.002	UGG	
	BKH	CCL3F				20-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BKH	CCL4				20-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	BKH	CH2CL2				20-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	<	0.012	UGG	
	BKH	CH3BR				20-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BKH	CH3CL				20-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	<	0.009	UGG	
	BKH	CHBR3				20-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	BKH	CHCL3				20-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	<	0.001	UGG	
	BKH	CL2BZ				20-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	<	0.100	UGG	
	BKH	CLC6H5				20-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	<	0.001	UGG	
	BKH	CS2				20-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	<	0.004	UGG	
	BKH	DBRCLM				20-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	<	0.003	UGG	
	BKH	ETC6H5				20-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	<	0.002	UGG	
	BKH	MEC6H5				20-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	<	0.001	UGG	
	BKH	MEK				20-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	<	0.070	UGG	
	BKH	MIBK				20-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	<	0.027	UGG	
	BKH	MNBK				20-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	<	0.032	UGG	
	BKH	STYR				20-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	<	0.003	UGG	
	BKH	T13DCP				20-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	<	0.003	UGG	
	BKH	TCLEA				20-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	<	0.002	UGG	
	BKH	TCLEE				20-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	<	0.001	UGG	
	BKH	TRCLE				20-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	<	0.003	UGG	
	BKH	XYLEN				20-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	<	0.002	UGG	
	BKI	111TCE				23-OCT-1992	23-OCT-1992	<	0.004	UGG	
	BKI	112TCE				23-OCT-1992	23-OCT-1992	<	0.005	UGG	
	BKI	11DCE				23-OCT-1992	23-OCT-1992	<	0.004	UGG	
	BKI	11DCLE				23-OCT-1992	23-OCT-1992	<	0.002	UGG	
	BKI	12DCE				23-OCT-1992	23-OCT-1992	<	0.003	UGG	
	BKI	12DCLE				23-OCT-1992	23-OCT-1992	<	0.002	UGG	
	BKI	12DCLP				23-OCT-1992	23-OCT-1992	<	0.003	UGG	
	BKI	2CLEVE				23-OCT-1992	23-OCT-1992	<	0.010	UGG	
	BKI	ACET				23-OCT-1992	23-OCT-1992	<	0.017	UGG	
	BKI	ACROLN				23-OCT-1992	23-OCT-1992	<	0.100	UGG	
	BKI	ACRYLO				23-OCT-1992	23-OCT-1992	<	0.100	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
LM19	BKI	BRDCLM				23-OCT-1992	23-OCT-1992	<	0.003	UGG	
	BKI	C13DCP				23-OCT-1992	23-OCT-1992	<	0.003	UGG	
	BKI	C2AVE				23-OCT-1992	23-OCT-1992	<	0.003	UGG	
	BKI	C2H3CL				23-OCT-1992	23-OCT-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BKI	C2H5CL				23-OCT-1992	23-OCT-1992	<	0.012	UGG	
	BKI	C6H6				23-OCT-1992	23-OCT-1992	<	0.002	UGG	
	BKI	CCL3F				23-OCT-1992	23-OCT-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BKI	CCL4				23-OCT-1992	23-OCT-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	BKI	CH2CL2				23-OCT-1992	23-OCT-1992	<	0.012	UGG	
	BKI	CH3BR				23-OCT-1992	23-OCT-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BKI	CH3CL				23-OCT-1992	23-OCT-1992	<	0.009	UGG	
	BKI	CHBR3				23-OCT-1992	23-OCT-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	BKI	CHCL3				23-OCT-1992	23-OCT-1992	<	0.001	UGG	
	BKI	CL2BZ				23-OCT-1992	23-OCT-1992	<	0.100	UGG	
	BKI	CLC6H5				23-OCT-1992	23-OCT-1992	<	0.001	UGG	
	BKI	CS2				23-OCT-1992	23-OCT-1992	<	0.004	UGG	
	BKI	DBRCLM				23-OCT-1992	23-OCT-1992	<	0.003	UGG	
	BKI	ETC6H5				23-OCT-1992	23-OCT-1992	<	0.002	UGG	
	BKI	MEC6H5				23-OCT-1992	23-OCT-1992	<	0.001	UGG	
	BKI	MEK				23-OCT-1992	23-OCT-1992	<	0.070	UGG	
	BKI	MIBK				23-OCT-1992	23-OCT-1992	<	0.027	UGG	
	BKI	MNBK				23-OCT-1992	23-OCT-1992	<	0.032	UGG	
	BKI	STYR				23-OCT-1992	23-OCT-1992	<	0.003	UGG	
	BKI	T13DCP				23-OCT-1992	23-OCT-1992	<	0.003	UGG	
	BKI	TCLEA				23-OCT-1992	23-OCT-1992	<	0.002	UGG	
	BKI	TCLEE				23-OCT-1992	23-OCT-1992	<	0.001	UGG	
	BKI	TRCLE				23-OCT-1992	23-OCT-1992	<	0.003	UGG	
	BKI	XYLEN				23-OCT-1992	23-OCT-1992	<	0.002	UGG	
	BKL	111TCE				02-NOV-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	0.004	UGG	
	BKL	112TCE				02-NOV-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	0.005	UGG	
	BKL	11DCE				02-NOV-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	0.004	UGG	
	BKL	11DCLE				02-NOV-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	0.002	UGG	
	BKL	12DCE				02-NOV-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	0.003	UGG	
	BKL	12DCLE				02-NOV-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	0.002	UGG	
	BKL	12DCLP				02-NOV-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	0.003	UGG	
	BKL	2CLEVE				02-NOV-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	0.010	UGG	
	BKL	ACET				02-NOV-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	0.017	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
LM19	BKL	ACROLN				02-NOV-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	0.100	UGG	
	BKL	ACRYLO				02-NOV-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	0.100	UGG	
	BKL	BRDCLM				02-NOV-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	0.003	UGG	
	BKL	C13DCP				02-NOV-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	0.003	UGG	
	BKL	C2AVE				02-NOV-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	0.003	UGG	
	BKL	C2H3CL				02-NOV-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BKL	C2H5CL				02-NOV-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	0.012	UGG	
	BKL	C6H6				02-NOV-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	0.002	UGG	
	BKL	CCL3F				02-NOV-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BKL	CCL4				02-NOV-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	BKL	CH2CL2				02-NOV-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	0.012	UGG	
	BKL	CH3BR				02-NOV-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BKL	CH3CL				02-NOV-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	0.009	UGG	
	BKL	CHBR3				02-NOV-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	BKL	CHCL3				02-NOV-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	0.001	UGG	
	BKL	CL2BZ				02-NOV-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	0.100	UGG	
	BKL	CLC6H5				02-NOV-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	0.001	UGG	
	BKL	CS2				02-NOV-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	0.004	UGG	
	BKL	DBRCLM				02-NOV-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	0.003	UGG	
	BKL	ETC6H5				02-NOV-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	0.002	UGG	
	BKL	MEC6H5				02-NOV-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	0.001	UGG	
	BKL	MEK				02-NOV-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	0.070	UGG	
	BKL	MIBK				02-NOV-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	0.027	UGG	
	BKL	MNBK				02-NOV-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	0.032	UGG	
	BKL	STYR				02-NOV-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	0.003	UGG	
	BKL	T13DCP				02-NOV-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	0.003	UGG	
	BKL	TCLEA				02-NOV-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	0.002	UGG	
	BKL	TCLEE				02-NOV-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	0.001	UGG	
	BKL	TRCLE				02-NOV-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	0.003	UGG	
	BKL	XYLEN				02-NOV-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	0.002	UGG	
	BKN	111TCE				29-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.004	UGG	
	BKN	112TCE				29-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.005	UGG	
	BKN	11DCE				29-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.004	UGG	
	BKN	11DCLE				29-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.002	UGG	
	BKN	12DCE				29-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.003	UGG	
	BKN	12DCLE				29-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.002	UGG	
	BKN	12DCLP				29-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.003	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
LM19	BKN	2CLEVE				29-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.010	UGG	
	BKN	ACET				29-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.017	UGG	
	BKN	ACROLN				29-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.100	UGG	
	BKN	ACRYLO				29-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.100	UGG	
	BKN	BRDCLM				29-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.003	UGG	
	BKN	C13DCP				29-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.003	UGG	
	BKN	C2AVE				29-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.003	UGG	
	BKN	C2H3CL				29-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BKN	C2H5CL				29-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.012	UGG	
	BKN	C6H6				29-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.002	UGG	
	BKN	CCL3F				29-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BKN	CCL4				29-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	BKN	CH2CL2				29-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.012	UGG	
	BKN	CH3BR				29-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BKN	CH3CL				29-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.009	UGG	
	BKN	CHBR3				29-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	BKN	CHCL3				29-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.001	UGG	
	BKN	CL2BZ				29-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.100	UGG	
	BKN	CLC6H5				29-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.001	UGG	
	BKN	CS2				29-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.004	UGG	
	BKN	DBRCLM				29-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.003	UGG	
	BKN	ETC6H5				29-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.002	UGG	
	BKN	MEC6H5				29-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.001	UGG	
	BKN	MEK				29-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.070	UGG	
	BKN	MIBK				29-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.027	UGG	
	BKN	MNBK				29-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.032	UGG	
	BKN	STYR				29-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.003	UGG	
	BKN	T13DCP				29-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.003	UGG	
	BKN	TCLEA				29-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.002	UGG	
	BKN	TCLEE				29-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.001	UGG	
	BKN	TRCLE				29-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.003	UGG	
	BKN	XYLEN				29-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.002	UGG	
	BKP	111TCE				09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.004	UGG	
	BKP	112TCE				09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.005	UGG	
	BKP	11DCE				09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.004	UGG	
	BKP	11DCLE				09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.002	UGG	
	BKP	12DCE				09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.003	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
LM19	BKP	12DCLE				09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.002	UGG	
	BKP	12DCLP				09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.003	UGG	
	BKP	2CLEVE				09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.010	UGG	
	BKP	ACET				09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.017	UGG	
	BKP	ACROLN				09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.100	UGG	
	BKP	ACRYLO				09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.100	UGG	
	BKP	BRDCLM				09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.003	UGG	
	BKP	C13DCP				09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.003	UGG	
	BKP	C2AVE				09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.003	UGG	
	BKP	C2H3CL				09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BKP	C2H5CL				09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.012	UGG	
	BKP	C6H6				09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.002	UGG	
	BKP	CCL3F				09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BKP	CCL4				09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	BKP	CH2CL2				09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.012	UGG	
	BKP	CH3BR				09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BKP	CH3CL				09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.009	UGG	
	BKP	CHBR3				09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	BKP	CHCL3				09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.001	UGG	
	BKP	CL2BZ				09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.100	UGG	
	BKP	CLC6H5				09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.001	UGG	
	BKP	CS2				09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.004	UGG	
	BKP	DBRCLM				09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.003	UGG	
	BKP	ETC6H5				09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.002	UGG	
	BKP	MEC6H5				09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.001	UGG	
	BKP	MEK				09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.070	UGG	
	BKP	MIBK				09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.027	UGG	
	BKP	MNBK				09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.032	UGG	
	BKP	STYR				09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.003	UGG	
	BKP	T13DCP				09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.003	UGG	
	BKP	TCLEA				09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.002	UGG	
	BKP	TCLEE				09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.001	UGG	
	BKP	TRCLE				09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.003	UGG	
	BKP	XYLEN				09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.002	UGG	
	BKT	111TCE				22-NOV-1992	22-NOV-1992	<	0.004	UGG	
	BKT	112TCE				22-NOV-1992	22-NOV-1992	<	0.005	UGG	
	BKT	11DCE				22-NOV-1992	22-NOV-1992	<	0.004	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
LM19	BKT	11DCLE				22-NOV-1992	22-NOV-1992	<	0.002	UGG	
	BKT	12DCE				22-NOV-1992	22-NOV-1992	<	0.003	UGG	
	BKT	12DCLE				22-NOV-1992	22-NOV-1992	<	0.002	UGG	
	BKT	12DCLP				22-NOV-1992	22-NOV-1992	<	0.003	UGG	
	BKT	2CLEVE				22-NOV-1992	22-NOV-1992	<	0.010	UGG	
	BKT	ACET				22-NOV-1992	22-NOV-1992	<	0.017	UGG	
	BKT	ACROLN				22-NOV-1992	22-NOV-1992	<	0.100	UGG	
	BKT	ACRYLO				22-NOV-1992	22-NOV-1992	<	0.100	UGG	
	BKT	BRDCLM				22-NOV-1992	22-NOV-1992	<	0.003	UGG	
	BKT	C13DCP				22-NOV-1992	22-NOV-1992	<	0.003	UGG	
	BKT	C2AVE				22-NOV-1992	22-NOV-1992	<	0.003	UGG	
	BKT	C2H3CL				22-NOV-1992	22-NOV-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BKT	C2H5CL				22-NOV-1992	22-NOV-1992	<	0.012	UGG	
	BKT	C6H6				22-NOV-1992	22-NOV-1992	<	0.002	UGG	
	BKT	CCL3F				22-NOV-1992	22-NOV-1992		0.007	UGG	
	BKT	CCL4				22-NOV-1992	22-NOV-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	BKT	CH2CL2				22-NOV-1992	22-NOV-1992	<	0.012	UGG	
	BKT	CH3BR				22-NOV-1992	22-NOV-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	BKT	CH3CL				22-NOV-1992	22-NOV-1992	<	0.009	UGG	
	BKT	CHBR3				22-NOV-1992	22-NOV-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	BKT	CHCL3				22-NOV-1992	22-NOV-1992	<	0.001	UGG	
	BKT	CL2BZ				22-NOV-1992	22-NOV-1992	<	0.100	UGG	
	BKT	CLC6H5				22-NOV-1992	22-NOV-1992	<	0.001	UGG	
	BKT	CS2				22-NOV-1992	22-NOV-1992	<	0.004	UGG	
	BKT	DBRCLM				22-NOV-1992	22-NOV-1992	<	0.003	UGG	
	BKT	ETC6H5				22-NOV-1992	22-NOV-1992	<	0.002	UGG	
	BKT	MEC6H5				22-NOV-1992	22-NOV-1992	<	0.001	UGG	
	BKT	MEK				22-NOV-1992	22-NOV-1992	<	0.070	UGG	
	BKT	MIBK				22-NOV-1992	22-NOV-1992	<	0.027	UGG	
	BKT	MNBK				22-NOV-1992	22-NOV-1992	<	0.032	UGG	
	BKT	STYR				22-NOV-1992	22-NOV-1992	<	0.003	UGG	
	BKT	T13DCP				22-NOV-1992	22-NOV-1992	<	0.003	UGG	
	BKT	TCLEA				22-NOV-1992	22-NOV-1992	<	0.002	UGG	
	BKT	TCLEE				22-NOV-1992	22-NOV-1992	<	0.001	UGG	
	BKT	TCLTFE				22-NOV-1992	22-NOV-1992		0.006	UGG	
	BKT	TRCLE				22-NOV-1992	22-NOV-1992	<	0.003	UGG	
	BKT	XYLEN				22-NOV-1992	22-NOV-1992	<	0.002	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
LM19	CVC	111TCE				21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.004	UGG	
	CVC	112TCE				21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.005	UGG	
	CVC	11DCE				21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.004	UGG	
	CVC	11DCLE				21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.002	UGG	
	CVC	12DCE				21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.003	UGG	
	CVC	12DCLE				21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.002	UGG	
	CVC	12DCLP				21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.003	UGG	
	CVC	2CLEVE				21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.010	UGG	
	CVC	ACET				21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992		0.023	UGG	
	CVC	ACROLN				21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.100	UGG	
	CVC	ACRYLO				21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.100	UGG	
	CVC	BRDCLM				21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.003	UGG	
	CVC	C13DCP				21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.003	UGG	
	CVC	C2AVE				21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.032	UGG	
	CVC	C2H3CL				21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	CVC	C2H5CL				21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.012	UGG	
	CVC	C6H6				21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.002	UGG	
	CVC	CCL3F				21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	CVC	CCL4				21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	CVC	CH2CL2				21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.012	UGG	
	CVC	CH3BR				21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	CVC	CH3CL				21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.009	UGG	
	CVC	CHBR3				21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	CVC	CHCL3				21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.001	UGG	
	CVC	CL2BZ				21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.100	UGG	
	CVC	CLC6H5				21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.001	UGG	
	CVC	CS2				21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.004	UGG	
	CVC	DBRCLM				21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.003	UGG	
	CVC	ETC6H5				21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.002	UGG	
	CVC	MEC6H5				21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.001	UGG	
	CVC	MEK				21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.070	UGG	
	CVC	MIBK				21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.027	UGG	
	CVC	MNBK				21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.032	UGG	
	CVC	STYR				21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.003	UGG	
	CVC	T13DCP				21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.003	UGG	
	CVC	TCLEA				21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.002	UGG	
	CVC	TCLEE				21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.001	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
LM19	CVC	TRCLE				21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.003	UGG	
	CVC	XYLEN				21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.002	UGG	
	CVD	111TCE				17-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	0.004	UGG	
	CVD	112TCE				17-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	0.005	UGG	
	CVD	11DCE				17-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	0.004	UGG	
	CVD	11DCLE				17-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	0.002	UGG	
	CVD	12DCE				17-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	0.003	UGG	
	CVD	12DCLE				17-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	0.002	UGG	
	CVD	12DCLP				17-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	0.003	UGG	
	CVD	2CLEVE				17-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	0.010	UGG	
	CVD	ACET				17-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	0.017	UGG	
	CVD	ACROLN				17-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	0.100	UGG	
	CVD	ACRYLO				17-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	0.100	UGG	
	CVD	BRDCLM				17-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	0.003	UGG	
	CVD	C13DCP				17-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	0.003	UGG	
	CVD	C2AVE				17-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	0.032	UGG	
	CVD	C2H3CL				17-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	CVD	C2H5CL				17-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	0.012	UGG	
	CVD	C6H6				17-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	0.002	UGG	
	CVD	CCL3F				17-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	CVD	CCL4				17-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	CVD	CH2CL2				17-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	0.012	UGG	
	CVD	CH3BR				17-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	0.006	UGG	
	CVD	CH3CL				17-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	0.009	UGG	
	CVD	CHBR3				17-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	0.007	UGG	
	CVD	CHCL3				17-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	0.001	UGG	
	CVD	CL2BZ				17-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	0.100	UGG	
	CVD	CLC6H5				17-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	0.001	UGG	
	CVD	CS2				17-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	0.004	UGG	
	CVD	DBRCLM				17-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	0.003	UGG	
	CVD	ETC6H5				17-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	0.002	UGG	
	CVD	MEC6H5				17-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	0.001	UGG	
	CVD	MEK				17-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	0.070	UGG	
	CVD	MIBK				17-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	0.027	UGG	
	CVD	MNBK				17-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	0.032	UGG	
	CVD	STYR				17-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	0.003	UGG	
	CVD	T13DCP				17-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	0.003	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
LM19	CVD	TCLEA				17-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	0.002	UGG	
	CVD	TCLEE				17-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	0.001	UGG	
	CVD	TRCLE				17-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	0.003	UGG	
	CVD	XYLEN				17-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	0.002	UGG	
	CVE	111TCE				20-JAN-1993	20-JAN-1993	<	0.004	UGG	
	CVE	112TCE				20-JAN-1993	20-JAN-1993	<	0.005	UGG	
	CVE	11DCE				20-JAN-1993	20-JAN-1993	<	0.004	UGG	
	CVE	11DCLE				20-JAN-1993	20-JAN-1993	<	0.002	UGG	
	CVE	12DCE				20-JAN-1993	20-JAN-1993	<	0.003	UGG	
	CVE	12DCLE				20-JAN-1993	20-JAN-1993	<	0.002	UGG	
	CVE	12DCLP				20-JAN-1993	20-JAN-1993	<	0.003	UGG	
	CVE	2CLEVE				20-JAN-1993	20-JAN-1993	<	0.010	UGG	
	CVE	ACET				20-JAN-1993	20-JAN-1993	<	0.017	UGG	
	CVE	ACROLN				20-JAN-1993	20-JAN-1993	<	0.100	UGG	
	CVE	ACRYLO				20-JAN-1993	20-JAN-1993	<	0.100	UGG	
	CVE	BRDCLM				20-JAN-1993	20-JAN-1993	<	0.003	UGG	
	CVE	C13DCP				20-JAN-1993	20-JAN-1993	<	0.003	UGG	
	CVE	C2AVE				20-JAN-1993	20-JAN-1993	<	0.032	UGG	
	CVE	C2H3CL				20-JAN-1993	20-JAN-1993	<	0.006	UGG	
	CVE	C2H5CL				20-JAN-1993	20-JAN-1993	<	0.012	UGG	
	CVE	C6H6				20-JAN-1993	20-JAN-1993	<	0.002	UGG	
	CVE	CCL3F				20-JAN-1993	20-JAN-1993	<	0.006	UGG	
	CVE	CCL4				20-JAN-1993	20-JAN-1993	<	0.007	UGG	
	CVE	CH2CL2				20-JAN-1993	20-JAN-1993	<	0.012	UGG	
	CVE	CH3BR				20-JAN-1993	20-JAN-1993	<	0.006	UGG	
	CVE	CH3CL				20-JAN-1993	20-JAN-1993	<	0.009	UGG	
	CVE	CHBR3				20-JAN-1993	20-JAN-1993	<	0.007	UGG	
	CVE	CHCL3				20-JAN-1993	20-JAN-1993	<	0.001	UGG	
	CVE	CL2BZ				20-JAN-1993	20-JAN-1993	<	0.100	UGG	
	CVE	CLC6H5				20-JAN-1993	20-JAN-1993	<	0.001	UGG	
	CVE	CS2				20-JAN-1993	20-JAN-1993	<	0.004	UGG	
	CVE	DBRCLM				20-JAN-1993	20-JAN-1993	<	0.003	UGG	
	CVE	ETC6H5				20-JAN-1993	20-JAN-1993	<	0.002	UGG	
	CVE	MEC6H5				20-JAN-1993	20-JAN-1993	<	0.001	UGG	
	CVE	MEK				20-JAN-1993	20-JAN-1993	<	0.070	UGG	
	CVE	MIBK				20-JAN-1993	20-JAN-1993	<	0.027	UGG	
	CVE	MNBK				20-JAN-1993	20-JAN-1993	<	0.032	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
LM19	CVE	STYR				20-JAN-1993	20-JAN-1993	<	0.003	UGG	
	CVE	T13DCP				20-JAN-1993	20-JAN-1993	<	0.003	UGG	
	CVE	TCLEA				20-JAN-1993	20-JAN-1993	<	0.002	UGG	
	CVE	TCLEE				20-JAN-1993	20-JAN-1993	<	0.001	UGG	
	CVE	TRCLE				20-JAN-1993	20-JAN-1993	<	0.003	UGG	
	CVE	XYLEN				20-JAN-1993	20-JAN-1993	<	0.002	UGG	
	CVF	111TCE				26-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	0.004	UGG	
	CVF	112TCE				26-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	0.005	UGG	
	CVF	11DCE				26-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	0.004	UGG	
	CVF	11DCLE				26-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	0.002	UGG	
	CVF	12DCE				26-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	0.003	UGG	
	CVF	12DCLE				26-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	0.002	UGG	
	CVF	12DCLP				26-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	0.003	UGG	
	CVF	2CLEVE				26-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	0.010	UGG	
	CVF	ACET				26-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	0.017	UGG	
	CVF	ACROLN				26-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	0.100	UGG	
	CVF	ACRYLO				26-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	0.100	UGG	
	CVF	BRDCLM				26-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	0.003	UGG	
	CVF	C13DCP				26-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	0.003	UGG	
	CVF	C2AVE				26-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	0.032	UGG	
	CVF	C2H3CL				26-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	0.006	UGG	
	CVF	C2H5CL				26-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	0.012	UGG	
	CVF	C6H6				26-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	0.002	UGG	
	CVF	CCL3F				26-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	0.006	UGG	
	CVF	CCL4				26-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	0.007	UGG	
	CVF	CH2CL2				26-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	0.012	UGG	
	CVF	CH3BR				26-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	0.006	UGG	
	CVF	CH3CL				26-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	0.009	UGG	
	CVF	CHBR3				26-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	0.007	UGG	
	CVF	CHCL3				26-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	0.001	UGG	
	CVF	CL2BZ				26-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	0.100	UGG	
	CVF	CLC6H5				26-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	0.001	UGG	
	CVF	CS2				26-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	0.004	UGG	
	CVF	DBRCLM				26-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	0.003	UGG	
	CVF	ETC6H5				26-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	0.002	UGG	
	CVF	MEC6H5				26-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	0.001	UGG	
	CVF	MEK				26-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	0.070	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
LM19	CVF	MIBK				26-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	0.027	UGG	
	CVF	MNBK				26-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	0.032	UGG	
	CVF	STYR				26-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	0.003	UGG	
	CVF	T13DCP				26-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	0.003	UGG	
	CVF	TCLEA				26-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	0.002	UGG	
	CVF	TCLEE				26-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	0.001	UGG	
	CVF	TRCLE				26-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	0.003	UGG	
	CVF	XYLEN				26-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	0.002	UGG	
	CVOA	111TCE				20-APR-1993	20-APR-1993	<	0.004	UGG	
	CVOA	112TCE				20-APR-1993	20-APR-1993	<	0.005	UGG	
	CVOA	11DCE				20-APR-1993	20-APR-1993	<	0.004	UGG	
	CVOA	11DCLE				20-APR-1993	20-APR-1993	<	0.002	UGG	
	CVOA	12DCE				20-APR-1993	20-APR-1993	<	0.003	UGG	
	CVOA	12DCLE				20-APR-1993	20-APR-1993	<	0.002	UGG	
	CVOA	12DCLP				20-APR-1993	20-APR-1993	<	0.003	UGG	
	CVOA	2CLEVE				20-APR-1993	20-APR-1993	<	0.010	UGG	
	CVOA	ACET				20-APR-1993	20-APR-1993	<	0.017	UGG	
	CVOA	ACROLN				20-APR-1993	20-APR-1993	<	0.100	UGG	
	CVOA	ACRYLO				20-APR-1993	20-APR-1993	<	0.100	UGG	
	CVOA	BRDCLM				20-APR-1993	20-APR-1993	<	0.003	UGG	
	CVOA	C13DCP				20-APR-1993	20-APR-1993	<	0.003	UGG	
	CVOA	C2AVE				20-APR-1993	20-APR-1993	<	0.032	UGG	
	CVOA	C2H3CL				20-APR-1993	20-APR-1993	<	0.006	UGG	
	CVOA	C2H5CL				20-APR-1993	20-APR-1993	<	0.012	UGG	
	CVOA	C6H6				20-APR-1993	20-APR-1993	<	0.002	UGG	
	CVOA	CCL3F				20-APR-1993	20-APR-1993	<	0.006	UGG	
	CVOA	CCL4				20-APR-1993	20-APR-1993	<	0.007	UGG	
	CVOA	CH2CL2				20-APR-1993	20-APR-1993	<	0.012	UGG	
	CVOA	CH3BR				20-APR-1993	20-APR-1993	<	0.006	UGG	
	CVOA	CH3CL				20-APR-1993	20-APR-1993	<	0.009	UGG	
	CVOA	CHBR3				20-APR-1993	20-APR-1993	<	0.007	UGG	
	CVOA	CHCL3				20-APR-1993	20-APR-1993	<	0.001	UGG	
	CVOA	CL2BZ				20-APR-1993	20-APR-1993	<	0.100	UGG	
	CVOA	CLC6H5				20-APR-1993	20-APR-1993	<	0.001	UGG	
	CVOA	CS2				20-APR-1993	20-APR-1993	<	0.004	UGG	
	CVOA	DBRCLM				20-APR-1993	20-APR-1993	<	0.003	UGG	
	CVOA	ETC6H5				20-APR-1993	20-APR-1993	<	0.002	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
LM19	CVOA	MEC6H5				20-APR-1993	20-APR-1993	<	0.001	UGG	
	CVOA	MEK				20-APR-1993	20-APR-1993	<	0.070	UGG	
	CVOA	MIBK				20-APR-1993	20-APR-1993	<	0.027	UGG	
	CVOA	MNBK				20-APR-1993	20-APR-1993	<	0.032	UGG	
	CVOA	STYR				20-APR-1993	20-APR-1993	<	0.003	UGG	
	CVOA	T13DCP				20-APR-1993	20-APR-1993	<	0.003	UGG	
	CVOA	TCLEA				20-APR-1993	20-APR-1993	<	0.002	UGG	
	CVOA	TCLEE				20-APR-1993	20-APR-1993	<	0.001	UGG	
	CVOA	TCLTFE				20-APR-1993	20-APR-1993		0.004	UGG	
	CVOA	TRCLE				20-APR-1993	20-APR-1993	<	0.003	UGG	
	CVOA	XYLEN				20-APR-1993	20-APR-1993	<	0.002	UGG	
	CVPA	111TCE				21-APR-1993	21-APR-1993	<	0.004	UGG	
	CVPA	112TCE				21-APR-1993	21-APR-1993	<	0.005	UGG	
	CVPA	11DCE				21-APR-1993	21-APR-1993	<	0.004	UGG	
	CVPA	11DCLE				21-APR-1993	21-APR-1993	<	0.002	UGG	
	CVPA	12DCE				21-APR-1993	21-APR-1993	<	0.003	UGG	
	CVPA	12DCLE				21-APR-1993	21-APR-1993	<	0.002	UGG	
	CVPA	12DCLP				21-APR-1993	21-APR-1993	<	0.003	UGG	
	CVPA	2CLEVE				21-APR-1993	21-APR-1993	<	0.010	UGG	
	CVPA	ACET				21-APR-1993	21-APR-1993	<	0.017	UGG	
	CVPA	ACROLN				21-APR-1993	21-APR-1993	<	0.100	UGG	
	CVPA	ACRYLO				21-APR-1993	21-APR-1993	<	0.100	UGG	
	CVPA	BRDCLM				21-APR-1993	21-APR-1993	<	0.003	UGG	
	CVPA	C13DCP				21-APR-1993	21-APR-1993	<	0.003	UGG	
	CVPA	C2AVE				21-APR-1993	21-APR-1993	<	0.032	UGG	
	CVPA	C2H3CL				21-APR-1993	21-APR-1993	<	0.006	UGG	
	CVPA	C2H5CL				21-APR-1993	21-APR-1993	<	0.012	UGG	
	CVPA	C6H6				21-APR-1993	21-APR-1993	<	0.002	UGG	
	CVPA	CCL3F				21-APR-1993	21-APR-1993	<	0.006	UGG	
	CVPA	CCL4				21-APR-1993	21-APR-1993	<	0.007	UGG	
	CVPA	CH2CL2				21-APR-1993	21-APR-1993	<	0.012	UGG	
	CVPA	CH3BR				21-APR-1993	21-APR-1993	<	0.006	UGG	
	CVPA	CH3CL				21-APR-1993	21-APR-1993	<	0.009	UGG	
	CVPA	CHBR3				21-APR-1993	21-APR-1993	<	0.007	UGG	
	CVPA	CHCL3				21-APR-1993	21-APR-1993	<	0.001	UGG	
	CVPA	CL2BZ				21-APR-1993	21-APR-1993	<	0.100	UGG	
	CVPA	CLC6H5				21-APR-1993	21-APR-1993	<	0.001	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
LM19	CVPA	CS2				21-APR-1993	21-APR-1993	<	0.004	UGG	
	CVPA	DBRCLM				21-APR-1993	21-APR-1993	<	0.003	UGG	
	CVPA	ETC6H5				21-APR-1993	21-APR-1993	<	0.002	UGG	
	CVPA	MEC6H5				21-APR-1993	21-APR-1993	<	0.001	UGG	
	CVPA	MEK				21-APR-1993	21-APR-1993	<	0.070	UGG	
	CVPA	MIBK				21-APR-1993	21-APR-1993	<	0.027	UGG	
	CVPA	MNBK				21-APR-1993	21-APR-1993	<	0.032	UGG	
	CVPA	STYR				21-APR-1993	21-APR-1993	<	0.003	UGG	
	CVPA	T13DCP				21-APR-1993	21-APR-1993	<	0.003	UGG	
	CVPA	TCLEA				21-APR-1993	21-APR-1993	<	0.002	UGG	
	CVPA	TCLEE				21-APR-1993	21-APR-1993	<	0.001	UGG	
	CVPA	TRCLE				21-APR-1993	21-APR-1993	<	0.003	UGG	
	CVPA	XYLEN				21-APR-1993	21-APR-1993	<	0.002	UGG	
LW12	BMH	135TNB				20-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.488	UGG	
	BMH	13DNB				20-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.496	UGG	
	BMH	246TNT				20-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.456	UGG	
	BMH	24DNT				20-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.424	UGG	
	BMH	26DNT				20-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.524	UGG	
	BMH	HMX				20-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.666	UGG	
	BMH	NB				20-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	2.410	UGG	
	BMH	NG				20-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	4.000	UGG	
	BMH	PETN				20-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	4.000	UGG	
	BMH	RDX				20-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.587	UGG	
	BMH	TETRYL				20-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.731	UGG	
	BMI	135TNB				22-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	<	0.488	UGG	
	BMI	13DNB				22-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	<	0.496	UGG	
	BMI	246TNT				22-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	<	0.456	UGG	
	BMI	24DNT				22-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	<	0.424	UGG	
	BMI	26DNT				22-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	<	0.524	UGG	
	BMI	HMX				22-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	<	0.666	UGG	
	BMI	NB				22-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	<	2.410	UGG	
	BMI	NG				22-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	<	4.000	UGG	
	BMI	PETN				22-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	<	4.000	UGG	
	BMI	RDX				22-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	<	0.587	UGG	
	BMI	TETRYL				22-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	<	0.731	UGG	
	BML	135TNB				28-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	<	0.488	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
LW12	BML	13DNB				28-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	<	0.496	UGG	
	BML	246TNT				28-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	<	0.456	UGG	
	BML	24DNT				28-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	<	0.424	UGG	
	BML	26DNT				28-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	<	0.524	UGG	
	BML	HMX				28-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	<	0.666	UGG	
	BML	NB				28-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	<	2.410	UGG	
	BML	NG				28-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	<	4.000	UGG	
	BML	PETN				28-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	<	4.000	UGG	
	BML	RDX				28-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	<	0.587	UGG	
	BML	TETRYL				28-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	<	0.731	UGG	
	BMS	135TNB				30-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.488	UGG	
	BMS	13DNB				30-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.496	UGG	
	BMS	246TNT				30-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.456	UGG	
	BMS	24DNT				30-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.424	UGG	
	BMS	26DNT				30-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.524	UGG	
	BMS	HMX				30-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.666	UGG	
	BMS	NB				30-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	2.410	UGG	
	BMS	NG				30-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	4.000	UGG	
	BMS	PETN				30-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	4.000	UGG	
	BMS	RDX				30-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.587	UGG	
	BMS	TETRYL				30-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.731	UGG	
	CLB	135TNB				25-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.488	UGG	
	CLB	13DNB				25-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.496	UGG	
	CLB	246TNT				25-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.456	UGG	
	CLB	24DNT				25-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.424	UGG	
	CLB	26DNT				25-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.524	UGG	
	CLB	HMX				25-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.666	UGG	
	CLB	NB				25-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	2.410	UGG	
	CLB	NG				25-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	4.000	UGG	
	CLB	PETN				25-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	4.000	UGG	
	CLB	RDX				25-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.587	UGG	
	CLB	TETRYL				25-NOV-1992	07-DEC-1992	<	0.731	UGG	
	CLE	135TNB				10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.488	UGG	
	CLE	13DNB				10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.496	UGG	
	CLE	246TNT				10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.456	UGG	
	CLE	24DNT				10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.424	UGG	
	CLE	26DNT				10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.524	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
LW12	CLE	HMX				10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.666	UGG	
	CLE	NB				10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	2.410	UGG	
	CLE	NG				10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	4.000	UGG	
	CLE	PETN				10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	4.000	UGG	
	CLE	RDX				10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.587	UGG	
	CLE	TETRYL				10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.731	UGG	
	CLF	135TNB				10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.488	UGG	
	CLF	13DNB				10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.496	UGG	
	CLF	246TNT				10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.456	UGG	
	CLF	24DNT				10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.424	UGG	
	CLF	26DNT				10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.524	UGG	
	CLF	HMX				10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.666	UGG	
	CLF	NB				10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	2.410	UGG	
	CLF	NG				10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	4.000	UGG	
	CLF	PETN				10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	4.000	UGG	
	CLF	RDX				10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.587	UGG	
	CLF	TETRYL				10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.731	UGG	
	CLG	135TNB				14-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	<	0.488	UGG	
	CLG	13DNB				14-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	<	0.496	UGG	
	CLG	246TNT				14-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	<	0.456	UGG	
	CLG	24DNT				14-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	<	0.424	UGG	
	CLG	26DNT				14-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	<	0.524	UGG	
	CLG	HMX				14-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	<	0.666	UGG	
	CLG	NB				14-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	<	2.410	UGG	
	CLG	NG				14-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	<	4.000	UGG	
	CLG	PETN				14-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	<	4.000	UGG	
	CLG	RDX				14-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	<	0.587	UGG	
	CLG	TETRYL				14-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	<	0.731	UGG	
	CLH	135TNB				15-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	<	0.488	UGG	
	CLH	13DNB				15-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	<	0.496	UGG	
	CLH	246TNT				15-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	<	0.456	UGG	
	CLH	24DNT				15-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	<	0.424	UGG	
	CLH	26DNT				15-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	<	0.524	UGG	
	CLH	HMX				15-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	<	0.666	UGG	
	CLH	NB				15-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	<	2.410	UGG	
	CLH	NG				15-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	<	4.000	UGG	
	CLH	PETN				15-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	<	4.000	UGG	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
LW12	CLH	RDX				15-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	<	0.587	UGG	
	CLH	TETRYL				15-DEC-1992	22-DEC-1992	<	0.731	UGG	
	CLI	135TNB				21-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	<	0.488	UGG	
	CLI	13DNB				21-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	<	0.496	UGG	
	CLI	246TNT				21-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	<	0.456	UGG	
	CLI	24DNT				21-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	<	0.424	UGG	
	CLI	26DNT				21-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	<	0.524	UGG	
	CLI	HMX				21-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	<	0.666	UGG	
	CLI	NB				21-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	<	2.410	UGG	
	CLI	NG				21-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	<	4.000	UGG	
	CLI	PETN				21-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	<	4.000	UGG	
	CLI	RDX				21-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	<	0.587	UGG	
	CLI	TETRYL				21-DEC-1992	06-JAN-1993	<	0.731	UGG	
SB01	APM	HG				08-OCT-1992	08-OCT-1992	<	0.243	UGL	
	APX	HG				29-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	0.243	UGL	
	APY	HG				05-NOV-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.243	UGL	
	CDD	HG				11-NOV-1992	11-NOV-1992	<	0.243	UGL	
	CDE	HG				23-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.243	UGL	
	CDI	HG				08-DEC-1992	08-DEC-1992	<	0.243	UGL	
	CDK	HG				15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.243	UGL	
	CDN	HG				04-JAN-1993	04-JAN-1993	<	0.243	UGL	
	CDV	HG				05-FEB-1993	08-FEB-1993	<	0.243	UGL	
	CDZA	HG				16-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	0.243	UGL	
	DOBA	HG				18-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	0.243	UGL	
	DOCA	HG				17-MAR-1993	17-MAR-1993	<	0.243	UGL	
	DOEA	HG				30-MAR-1993	30-MAR-1993	<	0.243	UGL	
SD09	CCA	TL				03-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	6.990	UGL	
	CCB	TL				05-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	6.990	UGL	
	CCE	TL				12-NOV-1992	14-NOV-1992	<	6.990	UGL	
	CCH	TL				08-DEC-1992	10-DEC-1992	<	6.990	UGL	
	CCI	TL				11-DEC-1992	16-DEC-1992	<	6.990	UGL	
	CCK	TL				05-JAN-1993	10-JAN-1993	<	6.990	UGL	
	CCR	TL				22-JAN-1993	05-FEB-1993	<	6.990	UGL	
	CCV	TL				15-FEB-1993	23-FEB-1993	<	6.990	UGL	
	CCYA	TL				08-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	6.990	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
SD09	DNAA	TL				17-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	6.990	UGL	
	DNBA	TL				18-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	6.990	UGL	
	DNDA	TL				25-MAR-1993	30-MAR-1993	<	6.990	UGL	
	ZKP	TL				01-SEP-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	6.990	UGL	
	ZKU	TL				12-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	6.990	UGL	
	ZKY	TL				05-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	6.990	UGL	
SD20	BJC	PB				05-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992		1.700	UGL	
	BJF	PB				03-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	1.260	UGL	
	BJG	PB				05-NOV-1992	07-NOV-1992	<	1.260	UGL	
	BJL	PB				12-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	1.260	UGL	
	BJS	PB				08-DEC-1992	10-DEC-1992	<	1.260	UGL	
	BJT	PB				11-DEC-1992	14-DEC-1992	<	1.260	UGL	
	BJX	PB				05-JAN-1993	13-JAN-1993	<	1.260	UGL	
	BJZ	PB				22-JAN-1993	03-FEB-1993		1.600	UGL	
	DCG	PB				15-FEB-1993	25-FEB-1993	<	1.260	UGL	
	DCKA	PB				08-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	1.260	UGL	
	DCMA	PB				25-MAR-1993	26-MAR-1993	<	1.260	UGL	
	DCNA	PB				18-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	1.260	UGL	
	DCPA	PB				25-MAR-1993	30-MAR-1993	<	1.260	UGL	
	ZUR	PB				01-SEP-1992	14-OCT-1992		3.200	UGL	
	ZUY	PB				12-OCT-1992	22-OCT-1992	<	1.260	UGL	
SD21	AZE	SE				12-OCT-1992	23-OCT-1992	<	3.020	UGL	
	AZJ	SE				05-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	3.020	UGL	
	AZN	SE				03-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	3.020	UGL	
	AZO	SE				05-NOV-1992	07-NOV-1992	<	3.020	UGL	
	AZT	SE				12-NOV-1992	14-NOV-1992	<	3.020	UGL	
	COA	SE				08-DEC-1992	10-DEC-1992	<	3.020	UGL	
	COB	SE				11-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	3.020	UGL	
	COE	SE				05-JAN-1993	13-JAN-1993	<	3.020	UGL	
	COG	SE				22-JAN-1993	08-FEB-1993	<	3.020	UGL	
	COM	SE				15-FEB-1993	24-FEB-1993	<	3.020	UGL	
	COQA	SE				08-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	3.020	UGL	
	COSA	SE				17-MAR-1993	22-MAR-1993	<	3.020	UGL	
	COTA	SE				18-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	3.020	UGL	
	COVA	SE				25-MAR-1993	30-MAR-1993	<	3.020	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
SD21	ZGX	SE				01-SEP-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	3.020	UGL	
SD22	AAM	AS				01-SEP-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	2.540	UGL	
	AAT	AS				12-OCT-1992	23-OCT-1992	<	2.540	UGL	
	AAX	AS				05-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	2.540	UGL	
	CBB	AS				03-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	2.540	UGL	
	CBC	AS				05-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	2.540	UGL	
	CBH	AS				12-NOV-1992	14-NOV-1992	<	2.540	UGL	
	CBN	AS				08-DEC-1992	11-DEC-1992	<	2.540	UGL	
	CBO	AS				11-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	2.540	UGL	
	CBR	AS				05-JAN-1993	12-JAN-1993	<	2.540	UGL	
	CBT	AS				22-JAN-1993	08-FEB-1993	<	2.540	UGL	
	CBZ	AS				15-FEB-1993	23-FEB-1993	<	2.540	UGL	
	DGDA	AS				08-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	<	2.540	UGL	
	DGFA	AS				17-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	2.540	UGL	
	DGGA	AS				18-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	2.540	UGL	
	DGJA	AS				25-MAR-1993	30-MAR-1993	<	2.540	UGL	
SD28	YWH	SB				01-SEP-1992	22-OCT-1992	<	3.030	UGL	
	YWI	SB				11-SEP-1992	22-OCT-1992	<	3.030	UGL	
	YWJ	SB				14-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	3.030	UGL	
	YWK	SB				03-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	3.030	UGL	
	YWL	SB				05-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	3.030	UGL	
	YWM	SB				12-NOV-1992	02-DEC-1992	<	3.030	UGL	
	YWN	SB				09-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	3.030	UGL	
	YWO	SB				29-DEC-1992	30-DEC-1992	<	3.030	UGL	
	YWP	SB				22-JAN-1993	08-FEB-1993	<	3.030	UGL	
	YWQ	SB				15-FEB-1993	23-FEB-1993	<	3.030	UGL	
	YWR	SB				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	3.030	UGL	
	YWS	SB				17-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	3.030	UGL	
	YWT	SB				18-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	3.030	UGL	
	YWU	SB				25-MAR-1993	31-MAR-1993	<	3.030	UGL	
SS10	BIC	AG				20-OCT-1992	21-OCT-1992	<	4.600	UGL	
	BIC	AL				20-OCT-1992	21-OCT-1992	<	141.000	UGL	
	BIC	BA				20-OCT-1992	21-OCT-1992	<	5.000	UGL	
	BIC	BE				20-OCT-1992	21-OCT-1992	<	5.000	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
SS10	BIC	CA				20-OCT-1992	21-OCT-1992	<	500.000	UGL	
	BIC	CD				20-OCT-1992	21-OCT-1992	<	4.010	UGL	
	BIC	CO				20-OCT-1992	21-OCT-1992	<	25.000	UGL	
	BIC	CR				20-OCT-1992	21-OCT-1992	<	6.020	UGL	
	BIC	CU				20-OCT-1992	21-OCT-1992	<	8.090	UGL	
	BIC	FE				20-OCT-1992	21-OCT-1992		40.900	UGL	
	BIC	K				20-OCT-1992	21-OCT-1992	<	375.000	UGL	
	BIC	MG				20-OCT-1992	21-OCT-1992	<	500.000	UGL	
	BIC	MN				20-OCT-1992	21-OCT-1992	<	2.750	UGL	
	BIC	NA				20-OCT-1992	21-OCT-1992	<	500.000	UGL	
	BIC	NI				20-OCT-1992	21-OCT-1992	<	34.300	UGL	
	BIC	SB				20-OCT-1992	21-OCT-1992	<	38.000	UGL	
	BIC	V				20-OCT-1992	21-OCT-1992	<	11.000	UGL	
	BIC	ZN				20-OCT-1992	21-OCT-1992	<	21.100	UGL	
	BIG	AG				03-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	4.600	UGL	
	BIG	AL				03-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	141.000	UGL	
	BIG	BA				03-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	5.000	UGL	
	BIG	BE				03-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	5.000	UGL	
	BIG	CA				03-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	500.000	UGL	
	BIG	CD				03-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	4.010	UGL	
	BIG	CO				03-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	25.000	UGL	
	BIG	CR				03-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	6.020	UGL	
	BIG	CU				03-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	8.090	UGL	
	BIG	FE				03-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	38.800	UGL	
	BIG	K				03-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992		881.000	UGL	
	BIG	MG				03-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	500.000	UGL	
	BIG	MN				03-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	2.750	UGL	
	BIG	NA				03-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	500.000	UGL	
	BIG	NI				03-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	34.300	UGL	
	BIG	V				03-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	11.000	UGL	
	BIG	ZN				03-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	21.100	UGL	
	BIH	AG				05-NOV-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	4.600	UGL	
	BIH	AL				05-NOV-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	141.000	UGL	
	BIH	BA				05-NOV-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	5.000	UGL	
	BIH	BE				05-NOV-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	5.000	UGL	
	BIH	CA				05-NOV-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	500.000	UGL	
	BIH	CD				05-NOV-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	4.010	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
SS10	BIH	CO				05-NOV-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	25.000	UGL	
	BIH	CR				05-NOV-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	6.020	UGL	
	BIH	CU				05-NOV-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	8.090	UGL	
	BIH	FE				05-NOV-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	38.800	UGL	
	BIH	K				05-NOV-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	375.000	UGL	
	BIH	MG				05-NOV-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	500.000	UGL	
	BIH	MN				05-NOV-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	2.750	UGL	
	BIH	NA				05-NOV-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	500.000	UGL	
	BIH	NI				05-NOV-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	34.300	UGL	
	BIH	V				05-NOV-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	11.000	UGL	
	BIH	ZN				05-NOV-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	21.100	UGL	
	BIL	AG				12-NOV-1992	13-NOV-1992	<	4.600	UGL	
	BIL	AL				12-NOV-1992	13-NOV-1992	<	141.000	UGL	
	BIL	BA				12-NOV-1992	13-NOV-1992	<	5.000	UGL	
	BIL	BE				12-NOV-1992	13-NOV-1992	<	5.000	UGL	
	BIL	CA				12-NOV-1992	13-NOV-1992	<	500.000	UGL	
	BIL	CD				12-NOV-1992	13-NOV-1992	<	4.010	UGL	
	BIL	CO				12-NOV-1992	13-NOV-1992	<	25.000	UGL	
	BIL	CR				12-NOV-1992	13-NOV-1992	<	6.020	UGL	
	BIL	CU				12-NOV-1992	13-NOV-1992	<	8.090	UGL	
	BIL	FE				12-NOV-1992	13-NOV-1992	<	38.800	UGL	
	BIL	K				12-NOV-1992	13-NOV-1992	<	375.000	UGL	
	BIL	MG				12-NOV-1992	13-NOV-1992	<	500.000	UGL	
	BIL	MN				12-NOV-1992	13-NOV-1992	<	2.750	UGL	
	BIL	NA				12-NOV-1992	13-NOV-1992	<	500.000	UGL	
	BIL	NI				12-NOV-1992	13-NOV-1992	<	34.300	UGL	
	BIL	SB				12-NOV-1992	13-NOV-1992	<	38.000	UGL	
	BIL	V				12-NOV-1992	13-NOV-1992	<	11.000	UGL	
	BIL	ZN				12-NOV-1992	13-NOV-1992	<	21.100	UGL	
	BIR	AG				08-DEC-1992	09-DEC-1992	<	4.600	UGL	
	BIR	AL				08-DEC-1992	09-DEC-1992	<	141.000	UGL	
	BIR	BA				08-DEC-1992	09-DEC-1992	<	5.000	UGL	
	BIR	BE				08-DEC-1992	09-DEC-1992	<	5.000	UGL	
	BIR	CA				08-DEC-1992	09-DEC-1992	<	500.000	UGL	
	BIR	CD				08-DEC-1992	09-DEC-1992	<	4.010	UGL	
	BIR	CO				08-DEC-1992	09-DEC-1992	<	25.000	UGL	
	BIR	CR				08-DEC-1992	09-DEC-1992	<	6.020	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
SS10	BIR	CU				08-DEC-1992	09-DEC-1992	<	8.090	UGL	
	BIR	FE				08-DEC-1992	09-DEC-1992	<	38.800	UGL	
	BIR	K				08-DEC-1992	09-DEC-1992	<	375.000	UGL	
	BIR	MG				08-DEC-1992	09-DEC-1992	<	500.000	UGL	
	BIR	MN				08-DEC-1992	09-DEC-1992	<	2.750	UGL	
	BIR	NA				08-DEC-1992	09-DEC-1992	<	500.000	UGL	
	BIR	NI				08-DEC-1992	09-DEC-1992	<	34.300	UGL	
	BIR	V				08-DEC-1992	09-DEC-1992	<	11.000	UGL	
	BIR	ZN				08-DEC-1992	09-DEC-1992	<	21.100	UGL	
	BIS	AG				10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	4.600	UGL	
	BIS	AL				10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	141.000	UGL	
	BIS	BA				10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	5.000	UGL	
	BIS	BE				10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	5.000	UGL	
	BIS	CA				10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	500.000	UGL	
	BIS	CD				10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	4.010	UGL	
	BIS	CO				10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	25.000	UGL	
	BIS	CR				10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	6.020	UGL	
	BIS	CU				10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	8.090	UGL	
	BIS	FE				10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	38.800	UGL	
	BIS	K				10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	375.000	UGL	
	BIS	MG				10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	500.000	UGL	
	BIS	MN				10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	2.750	UGL	
	BIS	NA				10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	500.000	UGL	
	BIS	NI				10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	34.300	UGL	
	BIS	SB				10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	38.000	UGL	
	BIS	TL				10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	81.400	UGL	
	BIS	V				10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	11.000	UGL	
	BIS	ZN				10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	21.100	UGL	
	BIW	AG				04-JAN-1993	06-JAN-1993	<	4.600	UGL	
	BIW	AL				04-JAN-1993	06-JAN-1993	<	141.000	UGL	
	BIW	BA				04-JAN-1993	06-JAN-1993	<	5.000	UGL	
	BIW	BE				04-JAN-1993	06-JAN-1993	<	5.000	UGL	
	BIW	CA				04-JAN-1993	06-JAN-1993	<	500.000	UGL	
	BIW	CD				04-JAN-1993	06-JAN-1993	<	4.010	UGL	
	BIW	CO				04-JAN-1993	06-JAN-1993	<	25.000	UGL	
	BIW	CR				04-JAN-1993	06-JAN-1993	<	6.020	UGL	
	BIW	CU				04-JAN-1993	06-JAN-1993	<	8.090	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
SS10	BIW	FE				04-JAN-1993	06-JAN-1993	<	38.800	UGL	
	BIW	K				04-JAN-1993	06-JAN-1993	<	375.000	UGL	
	BIW	MG				04-JAN-1993	06-JAN-1993	<	500.000	UGL	
	BIW	MN				04-JAN-1993	06-JAN-1993	<	2.750	UGL	
	BIW	NA				04-JAN-1993	06-JAN-1993	<	500.000	UGL	
	BIW	NI				04-JAN-1993	06-JAN-1993	<	34.300	UGL	
	BIW	V				04-JAN-1993	06-JAN-1993	<	11.000	UGL	
	BIW	ZN				04-JAN-1993	06-JAN-1993	<	21.100	UGL	
	BIZ	AG				22-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	4.600	UGL	
	BIZ	AL				22-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	141.000	UGL	
	BIZ	BA				22-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	5.000	UGL	
	BIZ	BE				22-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	5.000	UGL	
	BIZ	CA				22-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	500.000	UGL	
	BIZ	CD				22-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	4.010	UGL	
	BIZ	CO				22-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	25.000	UGL	
	BIZ	CR				22-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	6.020	UGL	
	BIZ	CU				22-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	8.090	UGL	
	BIZ	FE				22-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	38.800	UGL	
	BIZ	K				22-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	375.000	UGL	
	BIZ	MG				22-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	500.000	UGL	
	BIZ	MN				22-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	2.750	UGL	
	BIZ	NA				22-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	500.000	UGL	
	BIZ	NI				22-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	34.300	UGL	
	BIZ	V				22-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	11.000	UGL	
	BIZ	ZN				22-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	21.100	UGL	
	DBG	AG				15-FEB-1993	17-FEB-1993	<	4.600	UGL	
	DBG	AL				15-FEB-1993	17-FEB-1993	<	141.000	UGL	
	DBG	BA				15-FEB-1993	17-FEB-1993	<	5.000	UGL	
	DBG	BE				15-FEB-1993	17-FEB-1993	<	5.000	UGL	
	DBG	CA				15-FEB-1993	17-FEB-1993	<	500.000	UGL	
	DBG	CD				15-FEB-1993	17-FEB-1993	<	4.010	UGL	
	DBG	CO				15-FEB-1993	17-FEB-1993	<	25.000	UGL	
	DBG	CR				15-FEB-1993	17-FEB-1993	<	6.020	UGL	
	DBG	CU				15-FEB-1993	17-FEB-1993	<	8.090	UGL	
	DBG	FE				15-FEB-1993	17-FEB-1993		67.300	UGL	
	DBG	K				15-FEB-1993	17-FEB-1993	<	375.000	UGL	
	DBG	MG				15-FEB-1993	17-FEB-1993	<	500.000	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
SS10	DBG	MN				15-FEB-1993	17-FEB-1993	<	2.750	UGL	
	DBG	NA				15-FEB-1993	17-FEB-1993	<	500.000	UGL	
	DBG	NI				15-FEB-1993	17-FEB-1993	<	34.300	UGL	
	DBG	PB				15-FEB-1993	17-FEB-1993	<	18.600	UGL	
	DBG	SB				15-FEB-1993	17-FEB-1993	<	38.000	UGL	
	DBG	SE				15-FEB-1993	17-FEB-1993	<	71.100	UGL	
	DBG	V				15-FEB-1993	17-FEB-1993	<	11.000	UGL	
	DBG	ZN				15-FEB-1993	17-FEB-1993	<	21.100	UGL	
	DBKA	AG				08-MAR-1993	17-MAR-1993	<	4.600	UGL	
	DBKA	AL				08-MAR-1993	17-MAR-1993	<	141.000	UGL	
	DBKA	BA				08-MAR-1993	17-MAR-1993	<	5.000	UGL	
	DBKA	BE				08-MAR-1993	17-MAR-1993	<	5.000	UGL	
	DBKA	CA				08-MAR-1993	17-MAR-1993	<	500.000	UGL	
	DBKA	CD				08-MAR-1993	17-MAR-1993	<	4.010	UGL	
	DBKA	CO				08-MAR-1993	17-MAR-1993	<	25.000	UGL	
	DBKA	CR				08-MAR-1993	17-MAR-1993	<	6.020	UGL	
	DBKA	CU				08-MAR-1993	17-MAR-1993	<	8.090	UGL	
	DBKA	FE				08-MAR-1993	17-MAR-1993	<	38.800	UGL	
	DBKA	K				08-MAR-1993	17-MAR-1993		541.000	UGL	
	DBKA	MG				08-MAR-1993	17-MAR-1993	<	500.000	UGL	
	DBKA	MN				08-MAR-1993	17-MAR-1993	<	2.750	UGL	
	DBKA	NA				08-MAR-1993	17-MAR-1993	<	500.000	UGL	
	DBKA	NI				08-MAR-1993	17-MAR-1993	<	34.300	UGL	
	DBKA	V				08-MAR-1993	17-MAR-1993	<	11.000	UGL	
	DBKA	ZN				08-MAR-1993	17-MAR-1993	<	21.100	UGL	
	DBMA	AG				16-MAR-1993	22-MAR-1993	<	4.600	UGL	
	DBMA	AL				16-MAR-1993	22-MAR-1993	<	141.000	UGL	
	DBMA	BA				16-MAR-1993	22-MAR-1993	<	5.000	UGL	
	DBMA	BE				16-MAR-1993	22-MAR-1993	<	5.000	UGL	
	DBMA	CA				16-MAR-1993	22-MAR-1993	<	500.000	UGL	
	DBMA	CD				16-MAR-1993	22-MAR-1993	<	4.010	UGL	
	DBMA	CO				16-MAR-1993	22-MAR-1993	<	25.000	UGL	
	DBMA	CR				16-MAR-1993	22-MAR-1993	<	6.020	UGL	
	DBMA	CU				16-MAR-1993	22-MAR-1993	<	8.090	UGL	
	DBMA	FE				16-MAR-1993	22-MAR-1993	<	38.800	UGL	
	DBMA	K				16-MAR-1993	22-MAR-1993	<	375.000	UGL	
	DBMA	MG				16-MAR-1993	22-MAR-1993	<	500.000	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
SS10	DBMA	MN				16-MAR-1993	22-MAR-1993	<	2.750	UGL	
	DBMA	NA				16-MAR-1993	22-MAR-1993	<	500.000	UGL	
	DBMA	NI				16-MAR-1993	22-MAR-1993	<	34.300	UGL	
	DBMA	V				16-MAR-1993	22-MAR-1993	<	11.000	UGL	
	DBMA	ZN				16-MAR-1993	22-MAR-1993	<	21.100	UGL	
	DBNA	AG				16-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	4.600	UGL	
	DBNA	AL				16-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	141.000	UGL	
	DBNA	BA				16-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	5.000	UGL	
	DBNA	BE				16-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	5.000	UGL	
	DBNA	CA				16-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	500.000	UGL	
	DBNA	CD				16-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	4.010	UGL	
	DBNA	CO				16-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	25.000	UGL	
	DBNA	CR				16-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	6.020	UGL	
	DBNA	CU				16-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	8.090	UGL	
	DBNA	FE				16-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	38.800	UGL	
	DBNA	K				16-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	375.000	UGL	
	DBNA	MG				16-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	500.000	UGL	
	DBNA	MN				16-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	2.750	UGL	
	DBNA	NA				16-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	500.000	UGL	
	DBNA	NI				16-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	34.300	UGL	
	DBNA	V				16-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	11.000	UGL	
	DBNA	ZN				16-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	21.100	UGL	
	DBPA	AG				25-MAR-1993	31-MAR-1993	<	4.600	UGL	
	DBPA	AL				25-MAR-1993	31-MAR-1993	<	141.000	UGL	
	DBPA	BA				25-MAR-1993	31-MAR-1993	<	5.000	UGL	
	DBPA	BE				25-MAR-1993	31-MAR-1993	<	5.000	UGL	
	DBPA	CA				25-MAR-1993	31-MAR-1993	<	500.000	UGL	
	DBPA	CD				25-MAR-1993	31-MAR-1993	<	4.010	UGL	
	DBPA	CO				25-MAR-1993	31-MAR-1993	<	25.000	UGL	
	DBPA	CR				25-MAR-1993	31-MAR-1993	<	6.020	UGL	
	DBPA	CU				25-MAR-1993	31-MAR-1993	<	8.090	UGL	
	DBPA	FE				25-MAR-1993	31-MAR-1993	<	38.800	UGL	
	DBPA	K				25-MAR-1993	31-MAR-1993	<	375.000	UGL	
	DBPA	MG				25-MAR-1993	31-MAR-1993	<	500.000	UGL	
	DBPA	MN				25-MAR-1993	31-MAR-1993	<	2.750	UGL	
	DBPA	NA				25-MAR-1993	31-MAR-1993	<	500.000	UGL	
	DBPA	NI				25-MAR-1993	31-MAR-1993	<	34.300	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
SS10	DBPA	V				25-MAR-1993	31-MAR-1993	<	11.000	UGL	
	DBPA	ZN				25-MAR-1993	31-MAR-1993	<	21.100	UGL	
	ZZW	AG				05-OCT-1992	07-OCT-1992	<	4.600	UGL	
	ZZW	AL				05-OCT-1992	07-OCT-1992	<	141.000	UGL	
	ZZW	BA				05-OCT-1992	07-OCT-1992	<	5.000	UGL	
	ZZW	BE				05-OCT-1992	07-OCT-1992	<	5.000	UGL	
	ZZW	CA				05-OCT-1992	07-OCT-1992	<	500.000	UGL	
	ZZW	CD				05-OCT-1992	07-OCT-1992	<	4.010	UGL	
	ZZW	CO				05-OCT-1992	07-OCT-1992	<	25.000	UGL	
	ZZW	CR				05-OCT-1992	07-OCT-1992	<	6.020	UGL	
	ZZW	CU				05-OCT-1992	07-OCT-1992	<	8.090	UGL	
	ZZW	FE				05-OCT-1992	07-OCT-1992	<	38.800	UGL	
	ZZW	K				05-OCT-1992	07-OCT-1992	<	375.000	UGL	
	ZZW	MG				05-OCT-1992	07-OCT-1992	<	500.000	UGL	
	ZZW	MN				05-OCT-1992	07-OCT-1992	<	2.750	UGL	
	ZZW	NA				05-OCT-1992	07-OCT-1992	<	500.000	UGL	
	ZZW	NI				05-OCT-1992	07-OCT-1992	<	34.300	UGL	
	ZZW	SB				05-OCT-1992	07-OCT-1992	<	38.000	UGL	
	ZZW	TL				05-OCT-1992	07-OCT-1992	<	81.400	UGL	
	ZZW	V				05-OCT-1992	07-OCT-1992	<	11.000	UGL	
	ZZW	ZN				05-OCT-1992	07-OCT-1992	<	21.100	UGL	
TF22	BYA	NIT				19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	10.000	UGL	
	BYB	NIT				21-OCT-1992	21-OCT-1992	<	10.000	UGL	
	BYD	NIT				03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	10.000	UGL	
	BYH	NIT				03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	10.000	UGL	
	BYO	NIT				01-FEB-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	10.000	UGL	
TF26	SKR	N2KJEL				10-NOV-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	183.000	UGL	
	SKS	N2KJEL				18-NOV-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	183.000	UGL	
	SKT	N2KJEL				05-FEB-1993	05-FEB-1993	<	183.000	UGL	
	SKT	N2KJEL				05-FEB-1993	05-FEB-1993	<	183.000	UGL	
TF27	ZCH	PO4				02-NOV-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	13.300	UGL	
	ZCI	PO4				19-NOV-1992	19-NOV-1992	<	13.300	UGL	
	ZCJ	PO4				26-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	13.300	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
TT10	AKK	CL				06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	2120.000	UGL	
	AKK	SO4				06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	10000.000	UGL	
	AKL	CL				13-OCT-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	2120.000	UGL	
	AKL	F				13-OCT-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	1230.000	UGL	
	AKL	SO4				13-OCT-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	10000.000	UGL	
	AKN	CL				20-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	<	2120.000	UGL	
	AKN	F				20-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	<	1230.000	UGL	
	AKN	SO4				20-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	<	10000.000	UGL	
	AKO	CL				26-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	2120.000	UGL	
	AKO	F				26-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	1230.000	UGL	
	AKO	SO4				26-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	10000.000	UGL	
	AKP	CL				28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	2120.000	UGL	
	AKP	SO4				28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	10000.000	UGL	
	AKQ	CL				30-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	2120.000	UGL	
	AKQ	SO4				30-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	10000.000	UGL	
	AKS	CL				17-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	2120.000	UGL	
	AKS	F				17-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	1230.000	UGL	
	AKS	SO4				17-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	10000.000	UGL	
	AKT	CL				25-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	2120.000	UGL	
	AKT	SO4				25-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	10000.000	UGL	
	AKX	CL				11-JAN-1993	11-JAN-1993	<	2120.000	UGL	
	AKX	SO4				11-JAN-1993	11-JAN-1993	<	10000.000	UGL	
	AKZ	CL				18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	2120.000	UGL	
	AKZ	F				18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	1230.000	UGL	
	AKZ	SO4				18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	10000.000	UGL	
	DEC	CL				04-FEB-1993	04-FEB-1993	<	2120.000	UGL	
	DEC	SO4				04-FEB-1993	04-FEB-1993	<	10000.000	UGL	
UF03	OPO	NC				03-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	553.000	UGL	
	OPP	NC				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	553.000	UGL	
	OPQ	NC				24-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	553.000	UGL	
	OPR	NC				08-MAR-1993	30-MAR-1993	<	553.000	UGL	
UH02	ADR	PCB016				25-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	0.160	UGL	
	ADR	PCB221				25-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	0.160	UGL	
	ADR	PCB232				25-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	0.160	UGL	
	ADR	PCB242				25-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	0.190	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UH02	ADR	PCB248				25-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	0.190	UGL	
	ADR	PCB254				25-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	0.190	UGL	
	ADR	PCB260				25-SEP-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	0.190	UGL	
	ADS	PCB016				29-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	0.160	UGL	
	ADS	PCB221				29-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	0.160	UGL	
	ADS	PCB232				29-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	0.160	UGL	
	ADS	PCB242				29-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	0.190	UGL	
	ADS	PCB248				29-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	0.190	UGL	
	ADS	PCB254				29-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	0.190	UGL	
	ADS	PCB260				29-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	0.190	UGL	
	ADT	PCB016				05-OCT-1992	09-OCT-1992	<	0.160	UGL	
	ADT	PCB221				05-OCT-1992	09-OCT-1992	<	0.160	UGL	
	ADT	PCB232				05-OCT-1992	09-OCT-1992	<	0.160	UGL	
	ADT	PCB242				05-OCT-1992	09-OCT-1992	<	0.190	UGL	
	ADT	PCB248				05-OCT-1992	09-OCT-1992	<	0.190	UGL	
	ADT	PCB254				05-OCT-1992	09-OCT-1992	<	0.190	UGL	
	ADT	PCB260				05-OCT-1992	09-OCT-1992	<	0.190	UGL	
	ADX	PCB016				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.160	UGL	
	ADX	PCB221				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.160	UGL	
	ADX	PCB232				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.160	UGL	
	ADX	PCB242				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.190	UGL	
	ADX	PCB248				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.190	UGL	
	ADX	PCB254				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.190	UGL	
	ADX	PCB260				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.190	UGL	
	ADY	PCB016				22-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.160	UGL	
	ADY	PCB221				22-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.160	UGL	
	ADY	PCB232				22-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.160	UGL	
	ADY	PCB242				22-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.190	UGL	
	ADY	PCB248				22-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.190	UGL	
	ADY	PCB254				22-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.190	UGL	
	ADY	PCB260				22-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.190	UGL	
	ADZ	PCB016				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.160	UGL	
	ADZ	PCB221				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.160	UGL	
	ADZ	PCB232				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.160	UGL	
	ADZ	PCB242				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.190	UGL	
	ADZ	PCB248				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.190	UGL	
	ADZ	PCB254				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.190	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UH02	ADZ	PCB260				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.190	UGL	
	CEA	PCB016				02-NOV-1992	07-NOV-1992	<	0.160	UGL	
	CEA	PCB221				02-NOV-1992	07-NOV-1992	<	0.160	UGL	
	CEA	PCB232				02-NOV-1992	07-NOV-1992	<	0.160	UGL	
	CEA	PCB242				02-NOV-1992	07-NOV-1992	<	0.190	UGL	
	CEA	PCB248				02-NOV-1992	07-NOV-1992	<	0.190	UGL	
	CEA	PCB254				02-NOV-1992	07-NOV-1992	<	0.190	UGL	
	CEA	PCB260				02-NOV-1992	07-NOV-1992	<	0.190	UGL	
	CEF	PCB016				25-NOV-1992	06-DEC-1992	<	0.160	UGL	
	CEF	PCB221				25-NOV-1992	06-DEC-1992	<	0.160	UGL	
	CEF	PCB232				25-NOV-1992	06-DEC-1992	<	0.160	UGL	
	CEF	PCB242				25-NOV-1992	06-DEC-1992	<	0.190	UGL	
	CEF	PCB248				25-NOV-1992	06-DEC-1992	<	0.190	UGL	
	CEF	PCB254				25-NOV-1992	06-DEC-1992	<	0.190	UGL	
	CEF	PCB260				25-NOV-1992	06-DEC-1992	<	0.190	UGL	
	CEG	PCB016				10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.160	UGL	
	CEG	PCB221				10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.160	UGL	
	CEG	PCB232				10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.160	UGL	
	CEG	PCB242				10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.190	UGL	
	CEG	PCB248				10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.190	UGL	
	CEG	PCB254				10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.190	UGL	
	CEG	PCB260				10-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.190	UGL	
	CEH	PCB016				14-DEC-1992	26-DEC-1992	<	0.160	UGL	
	CEH	PCB221				14-DEC-1992	26-DEC-1992	<	0.160	UGL	
	CEH	PCB232				14-DEC-1992	26-DEC-1992	<	0.160	UGL	
	CEH	PCB242				14-DEC-1992	26-DEC-1992	<	0.190	UGL	
	CEH	PCB248				14-DEC-1992	26-DEC-1992	<	0.190	UGL	
	CEH	PCB254				14-DEC-1992	26-DEC-1992	<	0.190	UGL	
	CEH	PCB260				14-DEC-1992	26-DEC-1992	<	0.190	UGL	
	CEI	PCB016				17-DEC-1992	28-DEC-1992	<	0.160	UGL	
	CEI	PCB221				17-DEC-1992	28-DEC-1992	<	0.160	UGL	
	CEI	PCB232				17-DEC-1992	28-DEC-1992	<	0.160	UGL	
	CEI	PCB242				17-DEC-1992	28-DEC-1992	<	0.190	UGL	
	CEI	PCB248				17-DEC-1992	28-DEC-1992	<	0.190	UGL	
	CEI	PCB254				17-DEC-1992	28-DEC-1992	<	0.190	UGL	
	CEI	PCB260				17-DEC-1992	28-DEC-1992	<	0.190	UGL	
	CEK	PCB016				30-DEC-1992	31-DEC-1992	<	0.160	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UH02	CEK	PCB221				30-DEC-1992	31-DEC-1992	<	0.160	UGL	
	CEK	PCB232				30-DEC-1992	31-DEC-1992	<	0.160	UGL	
	CEK	PCB242				30-DEC-1992	31-DEC-1992	<	0.190	UGL	
	CEK	PCB248				30-DEC-1992	31-DEC-1992	<	0.190	UGL	
	CEK	PCB254				30-DEC-1992	31-DEC-1992	<	0.190	UGL	
	CEK	PCB260				30-DEC-1992	31-DEC-1992	<	0.190	UGL	
	CEL	PCB016				12-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	0.160	UGL	
	CEL	PCB221				12-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	0.160	UGL	
	CEL	PCB232				12-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	0.160	UGL	
	CEL	PCB242				12-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	0.190	UGL	
	CEL	PCB248				12-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	0.190	UGL	
	CEL	PCB254				12-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	0.190	UGL	
	CEL	PCB260				12-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	0.190	UGL	
	CEN	PCB016				19-JAN-1993	31-JAN-1993	<	0.160	UGL	
	CEN	PCB221				19-JAN-1993	31-JAN-1993	<	0.160	UGL	
	CEN	PCB232				19-JAN-1993	31-JAN-1993	<	0.160	UGL	
	CEN	PCB242				19-JAN-1993	31-JAN-1993	<	0.190	UGL	
	CEN	PCB248				19-JAN-1993	31-JAN-1993	<	0.190	UGL	
	CEN	PCB254				19-JAN-1993	31-JAN-1993	<	0.190	UGL	
	CEN	PCB260				19-JAN-1993	31-JAN-1993	<	0.190	UGL	
	CEO	PCB016				26-JAN-1993	31-JAN-1993	<	0.160	UGL	
	CEO	PCB221				26-JAN-1993	31-JAN-1993	<	0.160	UGL	
	CEO	PCB232				26-JAN-1993	31-JAN-1993	<	0.160	UGL	
	CEO	PCB242				26-JAN-1993	31-JAN-1993	<	0.190	UGL	
	CEO	PCB248				26-JAN-1993	31-JAN-1993	<	0.190	UGL	
	CEO	PCB254				26-JAN-1993	31-JAN-1993	<	0.190	UGL	
	CEO	PCB260				26-JAN-1993	31-JAN-1993	<	0.190	UGL	
	CER	PCB016				15-FEB-1993	20-FEB-1993	<	0.160	UGL	
	CER	PCB221				15-FEB-1993	20-FEB-1993	<	0.160	UGL	
	CER	PCB232				15-FEB-1993	20-FEB-1993	<	0.160	UGL	
	CER	PCB242				15-FEB-1993	20-FEB-1993	<	0.190	UGL	
	CER	PCB248				15-FEB-1993	20-FEB-1993	<	0.190	UGL	
	CER	PCB254				15-FEB-1993	20-FEB-1993	<	0.190	UGL	
	CER	PCB260				15-FEB-1993	20-FEB-1993	<	0.190	UGL	
	CESA	PCB016				22-FEB-1993	02-MAR-1993	<	0.160	UGL	
	CESA	PCB221				22-FEB-1993	02-MAR-1993	<	0.160	UGL	
	CESA	PCB232				22-FEB-1993	02-MAR-1993	<	0.160	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UH02	CESA	PCB242				22-FEB-1993	02-MAR-1993	<	0.190	UGL	
	CESA	PCB248				22-FEB-1993	02-MAR-1993	<	0.190	UGL	
	CESA	PCB254				22-FEB-1993	02-MAR-1993	<	0.190	UGL	
	CESA	PCB260				22-FEB-1993	02-MAR-1993	<	0.190	UGL	
	CEVA	PCB016				05-MAR-1993	09-MAR-1993	<	0.160	UGL	
	CEVA	PCB221				05-MAR-1993	09-MAR-1993	<	0.160	UGL	
	CEVA	PCB232				05-MAR-1993	09-MAR-1993	<	0.160	UGL	
	CEVA	PCB242				05-MAR-1993	09-MAR-1993	<	0.190	UGL	
	CEVA	PCB248				05-MAR-1993	09-MAR-1993	<	0.190	UGL	
	CEVA	PCB254				05-MAR-1993	09-MAR-1993	<	0.190	UGL	
	CEVA	PCB260				05-MAR-1993	09-MAR-1993	<	0.190	UGL	
	CEWA	PCB016				08-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.160	UGL	
	CEWA	PCB221				08-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.160	UGL	
	CEWA	PCB232				08-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.160	UGL	
	CEWA	PCB242				08-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.190	UGL	
	CEWA	PCB248				08-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.190	UGL	
	CEWA	PCB254				08-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.190	UGL	
	CEWA	PCB260				08-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.190	UGL	
	CEXA	PCB016				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	0.160	UGL	
	CEXA	PCB221				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	0.160	UGL	
	CEXA	PCB232				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	0.160	UGL	
	CEXA	PCB242				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	0.190	UGL	
	CEXA	PCB248				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	0.190	UGL	
	CEXA	PCB254				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	0.190	UGL	
	CEXA	PCB260				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	0.190	UGL	
	CEYA	PCB016				11-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.160	UGL	
	CEYA	PCB221				11-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.160	UGL	
	CEYA	PCB232				11-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.160	UGL	
	CEYA	PCB242				11-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.190	UGL	
	CEYA	PCB248				11-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.190	UGL	
	CEYA	PCB254				11-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.190	UGL	
	CEYA	PCB260				11-MAR-1993	23-MAR-1993	<	0.190	UGL	
	CEZA	PCB016				15-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.160	UGL	
	CEZA	PCB221				15-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.160	UGL	
	CEZA	PCB232				15-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.160	UGL	
	CEZA	PCB242				15-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.190	UGL	
	CEZA	PCB248				15-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.190	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UH02	CEZA	PCB254				15-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.190	UGL	
	CEZA	PCB260				15-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.190	UGL	
	DPAA	PCB016				17-MAR-1993	27-MAR-1993	<	0.160	UGL	
	DPAA	PCB221				17-MAR-1993	27-MAR-1993	<	0.160	UGL	
	DPAA	PCB232				17-MAR-1993	27-MAR-1993	<	0.160	UGL	
	DPAA	PCB242				17-MAR-1993	27-MAR-1993	<	0.190	UGL	
	DPAA	PCB248				17-MAR-1993	27-MAR-1993	<	0.190	UGL	
	DPAA	PCB254				17-MAR-1993	27-MAR-1993	<	0.190	UGL	
	DPAA	PCB260				17-MAR-1993	27-MAR-1993	<	0.190	UGL	
	DPCA	PCB016				22-MAR-1993	30-MAR-1993	<	0.160	UGL	
	DPCA	PCB221				22-MAR-1993	30-MAR-1993	<	0.160	UGL	
	DPCA	PCB232				22-MAR-1993	30-MAR-1993	<	0.160	UGL	
	DPCA	PCB242				22-MAR-1993	30-MAR-1993	<	0.190	UGL	
	DPCA	PCB248				22-MAR-1993	30-MAR-1993	<	0.190	UGL	
	DPCA	PCB254				22-MAR-1993	30-MAR-1993	<	0.190	UGL	
	DPCA	PCB260				22-MAR-1993	30-MAR-1993	<	0.190	UGL	
UH13	BAF	ABHC				25-SEP-1992	04-OCT-1992	<	0.039	UGL	
	BAF	ACLDAN				25-SEP-1992	04-OCT-1992	<	0.075	UGL	
	BAF	AENSLF				25-SEP-1992	04-OCT-1992	<	0.023	UGL	
	BAF	ALDRN				25-SEP-1992	04-OCT-1992	<	0.092	UGL	
	BAF	BBHC				25-SEP-1992	04-OCT-1992	<	0.024	UGL	
	BAF	BENSLF				25-SEP-1992	04-OCT-1992	<	0.023	UGL	
	BAF	DBHC				25-SEP-1992	04-OCT-1992	<	0.029	UGL	
	BAF	DLDRN				25-SEP-1992	04-OCT-1992	<	0.024	UGL	
	BAF	ENDRN				25-SEP-1992	04-OCT-1992	<	0.024	UGL	
	BAF	ENDRNA				25-SEP-1992	04-OCT-1992	<	0.029	UGL	
	BAF	ENDRNK				25-SEP-1992	04-OCT-1992	<	0.029	UGL	
	BAF	ESFSO4				25-SEP-1992	04-OCT-1992	<	0.079	UGL	
	BAF	GCLDAN				25-SEP-1992	04-OCT-1992	<	0.075	UGL	
	BAF	HPCL				25-SEP-1992	04-OCT-1992	<	0.042	UGL	
	BAF	HPCLE				25-SEP-1992	04-OCT-1992	<	0.025	UGL	
	BAF	ISODR				25-SEP-1992	04-OCT-1992	<	0.056	UGL	
	BAF	LIN				25-SEP-1992	04-OCT-1992	<	0.051	UGL	
	BAF	MEXCLR				25-SEP-1992	04-OCT-1992	<	0.057	UGL	
	BAF	PPDDD				25-SEP-1992	04-OCT-1992	<	0.023	UGL	
	BAF	PPDDE				25-SEP-1992	04-OCT-1992	<	0.027	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UH13	BAF	PPDDT				25-SEP-1992	04-OCT-1992	<	0.034	UGL	
	BAF	TXPHEN				25-SEP-1992	04-OCT-1992	<	1.350	UGL	
	BAG	ABHC				29-SEP-1992	07-OCT-1992	<	0.039	UGL	
	BAG	ACLDAN				29-SEP-1992	07-OCT-1992	<	0.075	UGL	
	BAG	AENSLF				29-SEP-1992	07-OCT-1992	<	0.023	UGL	
	BAG	ALDRN				29-SEP-1992	07-OCT-1992	<	0.092	UGL	
	BAG	BBHC				29-SEP-1992	07-OCT-1992	<	0.024	UGL	
	BAG	BENSLF				29-SEP-1992	07-OCT-1992	<	0.023	UGL	
	BAG	DBHC				29-SEP-1992	07-OCT-1992	<	0.029	UGL	
	BAG	DLDRN				29-SEP-1992	07-OCT-1992	<	0.024	UGL	
	BAG	ENDRN				29-SEP-1992	07-OCT-1992	<	0.024	UGL	
	BAG	ENDRNA				29-SEP-1992	07-OCT-1992	<	0.029	UGL	
	BAG	ENDRNK				29-SEP-1992	07-OCT-1992	<	0.029	UGL	
	BAG	ESFSO4				29-SEP-1992	07-OCT-1992	<	0.079	UGL	
	BAG	GCLDAN				29-SEP-1992	07-OCT-1992	<	0.075	UGL	
	BAG	HPCL				29-SEP-1992	07-OCT-1992	<	0.042	UGL	
	BAG	HPCLE				29-SEP-1992	07-OCT-1992	<	0.025	UGL	
	BAG	ISODR				29-SEP-1992	07-OCT-1992	<	0.056	UGL	
	BAG	LIN				29-SEP-1992	07-OCT-1992	<	0.051	UGL	
	BAG	MEXCLR				29-SEP-1992	07-OCT-1992	<	0.057	UGL	
	BAG	PPDDD				29-SEP-1992	07-OCT-1992	<	0.023	UGL	
	BAG	PPDDE				29-SEP-1992	07-OCT-1992	<	0.027	UGL	
	BAG	PPDDT				29-SEP-1992	07-OCT-1992	<	0.034	UGL	
	BAG	TXPHEN				29-SEP-1992	07-OCT-1992	<	1.350	UGL	
	BAI	ABHC				05-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	0.039	UGL	
	BAI	ACLDAN				05-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	0.075	UGL	
	BAI	AENSLF				05-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	0.023	UGL	
	BAI	ALDRN				05-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	0.092	UGL	
	BAI	BBHC				05-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	0.024	UGL	
	BAI	BENSLF				05-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	0.023	UGL	
	BAI	DBHC				05-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	0.029	UGL	
	BAI	DLDRN				05-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	0.024	UGL	
	BAI	ENDRN				05-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	0.024	UGL	
	BAI	ENDRNA				05-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	0.029	UGL	
	BAI	ENDRNK				05-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	0.029	UGL	
	BAI	ESFSO4				05-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	0.079	UGL	
	BAI	GCLDAN				05-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	0.075	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UH13	BAI	HPCL				05-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	0.042	UGL	
	BAI	HPCLE				05-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	0.025	UGL	
	BAI	ISODR				05-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	0.056	UGL	
	BAI	LIN				05-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	0.051	UGL	
	BAI	MEXCLR				05-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	0.057	UGL	
	BAI	PPDDD				05-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	0.023	UGL	
	BAI	PPDDE				05-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	0.027	UGL	
	BAI	PPDDT				05-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	0.034	UGL	
	BAI	TXPHEN				05-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	1.350	UGL	
	BAL	ABHC				19-OCT-1992	23-OCT-1992	<	0.039	UGL	
	BAL	ACLDAN				19-OCT-1992	23-OCT-1992	<	0.075	UGL	
	BAL	AENSLF				19-OCT-1992	23-OCT-1992	<	0.023	UGL	
	BAL	ALDRN				19-OCT-1992	23-OCT-1992	<	0.092	UGL	
	BAL	BBHC				19-OCT-1992	23-OCT-1992	<	0.024	UGL	
	BAL	BENSLF				19-OCT-1992	23-OCT-1992	<	0.023	UGL	
	BAL	DBHC				19-OCT-1992	23-OCT-1992	<	0.029	UGL	
	BAL	DLDRN				19-OCT-1992	23-OCT-1992	<	0.024	UGL	
	BAL	ENDRN				19-OCT-1992	23-OCT-1992	<	0.024	UGL	
	BAL	ENDRNA				19-OCT-1992	23-OCT-1992	<	0.029	UGL	
	BAL	ENDRNK				19-OCT-1992	23-OCT-1992	<	0.029	UGL	
	BAL	ESFSO4				19-OCT-1992	23-OCT-1992	<	0.079	UGL	
	BAL	GCLDAN				19-OCT-1992	23-OCT-1992	<	0.075	UGL	
	BAL	HPCL				19-OCT-1992	23-OCT-1992	<	0.042	UGL	
	BAL	HPCLE				19-OCT-1992	23-OCT-1992	<	0.025	UGL	
	BAL	ISODR				19-OCT-1992	23-OCT-1992	<	0.056	UGL	
	BAL	LIN				19-OCT-1992	23-OCT-1992	<	0.051	UGL	
	BAL	MEXCLR				19-OCT-1992	23-OCT-1992	<	0.057	UGL	
	BAL	PPDDD				19-OCT-1992	23-OCT-1992	<	0.023	UGL	
	BAL	PPDDE				19-OCT-1992	23-OCT-1992	<	0.027	UGL	
	BAL	PPDDT				19-OCT-1992	23-OCT-1992	<	0.034	UGL	
	BAL	TXPHEN				19-OCT-1992	23-OCT-1992	<	1.350	UGL	
	BAM	ABHC				22-OCT-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	0.039	UGL	
	BAM	ACLDAN				22-OCT-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	0.075	UGL	
	BAM	AENSLF				22-OCT-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	0.023	UGL	
	BAM	ALDRN				22-OCT-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	0.092	UGL	
	BAM	BBHC				22-OCT-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	0.024	UGL	
	BAM	BENSLF				22-OCT-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	0.023	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UH13	BAM	DBHC				22-OCT-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	0.029	UGL	
	BAM	DLDRN				22-OCT-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	0.024	UGL	
	BAM	ENDRN				22-OCT-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	0.024	UGL	
	BAM	ENDRNA				22-OCT-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	0.029	UGL	
	BAM	ENDRNK				22-OCT-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	0.029	UGL	
	BAM	ESFSO4				22-OCT-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	0.079	UGL	
	BAM	GCLDAN				22-OCT-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	0.075	UGL	
	BAM	HPCL				22-OCT-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	0.042	UGL	
	BAM	HPCLE				22-OCT-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	0.025	UGL	
	BAM	ISODR				22-OCT-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	0.056	UGL	
	BAM	LIN				22-OCT-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	0.051	UGL	
	BAM	MEXCLR				22-OCT-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	0.057	UGL	
	BAM	PPDDD				22-OCT-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	0.023	UGL	
	BAM	PPDDE				22-OCT-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	0.027	UGL	
	BAM	PPDDT				22-OCT-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	0.034	UGL	
	BAM	TXPHEN				22-OCT-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	1.350	UGL	
	BAO	ABHC				28-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.039	UGL	
	BAO	ACLDAN				28-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.075	UGL	
	BAO	AENSLF				28-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.023	UGL	
	BAO	ALDRN				28-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.092	UGL	
	BAO	BBHC				28-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.024	UGL	
	BAO	BENSLF				28-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.023	UGL	
	BAO	DBHC				28-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.029	UGL	
	BAO	DLDRN				28-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.024	UGL	
	BAO	ENDRN				28-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.024	UGL	
	BAO	ENDRNA				28-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.029	UGL	
	BAO	ENDRNK				28-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.029	UGL	
	BAO	ESFSO4				28-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.079	UGL	
	BAO	GCLDAN				28-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.075	UGL	
	BAO	HPCL				28-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.042	UGL	
	BAO	HPCLE				28-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.025	UGL	
	BAO	ISODR				28-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.056	UGL	
	BAO	LIN				28-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.051	UGL	
	BAO	MEXCLR				28-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.057	UGL	
	BAO	PPDDD				28-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.023	UGL	
	BAO	PPDDE				28-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.027	UGL	
	BAO	PPDDT				28-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.034	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UH13	BAO	TXPHEN				28-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	1.350	UGL	
	BAP	ABHC				02-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	0.039	UGL	
	BAP	ACLDAN				02-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	0.075	UGL	
	BAP	AENSLF				02-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	0.023	UGL	
	BAP	ALDRN				02-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	0.092	UGL	
	BAP	BBHC				02-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	0.024	UGL	
	BAP	BENSLF				02-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	0.023	UGL	
	BAP	DBHC				02-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	0.029	UGL	
	BAP	DLDRN				02-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	0.024	UGL	
	BAP	ENDRN				02-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	0.024	UGL	
	BAP	ENDRNA				02-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	0.029	UGL	
	BAP	ENDRNK				02-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	0.029	UGL	
	BAP	ESFSO4				02-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	0.079	UGL	
	BAP	GCLDAN				02-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	0.075	UGL	
	BAP	HPCL				02-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	0.042	UGL	
	BAP	HPCLE				02-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	0.025	UGL	
	BAP	ISODR				02-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	0.056	UGL	
	BAP	LIN				02-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	0.051	UGL	
	BAP	MEXCLR				02-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	0.057	UGL	
	BAP	PPDDD				02-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	0.023	UGL	
	BAP	PPDDE				02-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	0.027	UGL	
	BAP	PPDDT				02-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	0.034	UGL	
	BAP	TXPHEN				02-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	1.350	UGL	
	BAV	ABHC				25-NOV-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	0.039	UGL	
	BAV	ACLDAN				25-NOV-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	0.075	UGL	
	BAV	AENSLF				25-NOV-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	0.023	UGL	
	BAV	ALDRN				25-NOV-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	0.092	UGL	
	BAV	BBHC				25-NOV-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	0.024	UGL	
	BAV	BENSLF				25-NOV-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	0.023	UGL	
	BAV	DBHC				25-NOV-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	0.029	UGL	
	BAV	DLDRN				25-NOV-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	0.024	UGL	
	BAV	ENDRN				25-NOV-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	0.024	UGL	
	BAV	ENDRNA				25-NOV-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	0.029	UGL	
	BAV	ENDRNK				25-NOV-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	0.029	UGL	
	BAV	ESFSO4				25-NOV-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	0.079	UGL	
	BAV	GCLDAN				25-NOV-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	0.075	UGL	
	BAV	HPCL				25-NOV-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	0.042	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UH13	BAV	HPCLE				25-NOV-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	0.025	UGL	
	BAV	ISODR				25-NOV-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	0.056	UGL	
	BAV	LIN				25-NOV-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	0.051	UGL	
	BAV	MEXCLR				25-NOV-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	0.057	UGL	
	BAV	PPDDD				25-NOV-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	0.023	UGL	
	BAV	PPDDE				25-NOV-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	0.027	UGL	
	BAV	PPDDT				25-NOV-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	0.034	UGL	
	BAV	TXPHEN				25-NOV-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	1.350	UGL	
	BAW	ABHC				10-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	0.039	UGL	
	BAW	ACLDAN				10-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	0.075	UGL	
	BAW	AENSLF				10-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	0.023	UGL	
	BAW	ALDRN				10-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	0.092	UGL	
	BAW	BBHC				10-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	0.024	UGL	
	BAW	BENSLF				10-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	0.023	UGL	
	BAW	DBHC				10-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	0.029	UGL	
	BAW	DLDRN				10-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	0.024	UGL	
	BAW	ENDRN				10-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	0.024	UGL	
	BAW	ENDRNA				10-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	0.029	UGL	
	BAW	ENDRNK				10-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	0.029	UGL	
	BAW	ESFSO4				10-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	0.079	UGL	
	BAW	GCLDAN				10-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	0.075	UGL	
	BAW	HPCL				10-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	0.042	UGL	
	BAW	HPCLE				10-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	0.025	UGL	
	BAW	ISODR				10-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	0.056	UGL	
	BAW	LIN				10-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	0.051	UGL	
	BAW	MEXCLR				10-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	0.057	UGL	
	BAW	PPDDD				10-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	0.023	UGL	
	BAW	PPDDE				10-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	0.027	UGL	
	BAW	PPDDT				10-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	0.034	UGL	
	BAW	TXPHEN				10-DEC-1992	17-DEC-1992	<	1.350	UGL	
	BAX	ABHC				14-DEC-1992	01-JAN-1993	<	0.039	UGL	
	BAX	ACLDAN				14-DEC-1992	01-JAN-1993	<	0.075	UGL	
	BAX	AENSLF				14-DEC-1992	01-JAN-1993	<	0.023	UGL	
	BAX	ALDRN				14-DEC-1992	01-JAN-1993	<	0.092	UGL	
	BAX	BBHC				14-DEC-1992	01-JAN-1993	<	0.024	UGL	
	BAX	BENSLF				14-DEC-1992	01-JAN-1993	<	0.023	UGL	
	BAX	DBHC				14-DEC-1992	01-JAN-1993	<	0.029	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UH13	BAX	DLDRN				14-DEC-1992	01-JAN-1993	<	0.024	UGL	
	BAX	ENDRN				14-DEC-1992	01-JAN-1993	<	0.024	UGL	
	BAX	ENDRNA				14-DEC-1992	01-JAN-1993	<	0.029	UGL	
	BAX	ENDRNK				14-DEC-1992	01-JAN-1993	<	0.029	UGL	
	BAX	ESFSO4				14-DEC-1992	01-JAN-1993	<	0.079	UGL	
	BAX	GCLDAN				14-DEC-1992	01-JAN-1993	<	0.075	UGL	
	BAX	HPCL				14-DEC-1992	01-JAN-1993	<	0.042	UGL	
	BAX	HPCLE				14-DEC-1992	01-JAN-1993	<	0.025	UGL	
	BAX	ISODR				14-DEC-1992	01-JAN-1993	<	0.056	UGL	
	BAX	LIN				14-DEC-1992	01-JAN-1993	<	0.051	UGL	
	BAX	MEXCLR				14-DEC-1992	01-JAN-1993	<	0.057	UGL	
	BAX	PPDDD				14-DEC-1992	01-JAN-1993	<	0.023	UGL	
	BAX	PPDDE				14-DEC-1992	01-JAN-1993	<	0.027	UGL	
	BAX	PPDDT				14-DEC-1992	01-JAN-1993	<	0.034	UGL	
	BAX	TXPHEN				14-DEC-1992	01-JAN-1993	<	1.350	UGL	
	BAY	ABHC				17-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.039	UGL	
	BAY	ACLDAN				17-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.075	UGL	
	BAY	AENSLF				17-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.023	UGL	
	BAY	ALDRN				17-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.092	UGL	
	BAY	BBHC				17-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.024	UGL	
	BAY	BENSLF				17-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.023	UGL	
	BAY	DBHC				17-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.029	UGL	
	BAY	DLDRN				17-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.024	UGL	
	BAY	ENDRN				17-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.024	UGL	
	BAY	ENDRNA				17-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.029	UGL	
	BAY	ENDRNK				17-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.029	UGL	
	BAY	ESFSO4				17-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.079	UGL	
	BAY	GCLDAN				17-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.075	UGL	
	BAY	HPCL				17-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.042	UGL	
	BAY	HPCLE				17-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.025	UGL	
	BAY	ISODR				17-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.056	UGL	
	BAY	LIN				17-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.051	UGL	
	BAY	MEXCLR				17-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.057	UGL	
	BAY	PPDDD				17-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.023	UGL	
	BAY	PPDDE				17-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.027	UGL	
	BAY	PPDDT				17-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	0.034	UGL	
	BAY	TXPHEN				17-DEC-1992	12-JAN-1993	<	1.350	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UH13	CXA	ABHC				22-DEC-1992	14-JAN-1993	<	0.039	UGL	
	CXA	ACLDAN				22-DEC-1992	14-JAN-1993	<	0.075	UGL	
	CXA	AENSLF				22-DEC-1992	14-JAN-1993	<	0.023	UGL	
	CXA	ALDRN				22-DEC-1992	14-JAN-1993	<	0.092	UGL	
	CXA	BBHC				22-DEC-1992	14-JAN-1993	<	0.024	UGL	
	CXA	BENSLF				22-DEC-1992	14-JAN-1993	<	0.023	UGL	
	CXA	DBHC				22-DEC-1992	14-JAN-1993	<	0.029	UGL	
	CXA	DLDRN				22-DEC-1992	14-JAN-1993	<	0.024	UGL	
	CXA	ENDRN				22-DEC-1992	14-JAN-1993	<	0.024	UGL	
	CXA	ENDRNA				22-DEC-1992	14-JAN-1993	<	0.029	UGL	
	CXA	ENDRNK				22-DEC-1992	14-JAN-1993	<	0.029	UGL	
	CXA	ESFSO4				22-DEC-1992	14-JAN-1993	<	0.079	UGL	
	CXA	GCLDAN				22-DEC-1992	14-JAN-1993	<	0.075	UGL	
	CXA	HPCL				22-DEC-1992	14-JAN-1993	<	0.042	UGL	
	CXA	HPCLE				22-DEC-1992	14-JAN-1993	<	0.025	UGL	
	CXA	ISODR				22-DEC-1992	14-JAN-1993	<	0.056	UGL	
	CXA	LIN				22-DEC-1992	14-JAN-1993	<	0.051	UGL	
	CXA	MEXCLR				22-DEC-1992	14-JAN-1993	<	0.057	UGL	
	CXA	PPDDD				22-DEC-1992	14-JAN-1993	<	0.023	UGL	
	CXA	PPDDE				22-DEC-1992	14-JAN-1993	<	0.027	UGL	
	CXA	PPDDT				22-DEC-1992	14-JAN-1993	<	0.034	UGL	
	CXA	TXPHEN				22-DEC-1992	14-JAN-1993	<	1.350	UGL	
	CXB	ABHC				12-JAN-1993	20-JAN-1993	<	0.039	UGL	
	CXB	ACLDAN				12-JAN-1993	20-JAN-1993	<	0.075	UGL	
	CXB	AENSLF				12-JAN-1993	20-JAN-1993	<	0.023	UGL	
	CXB	ALDRN				12-JAN-1993	20-JAN-1993	<	0.092	UGL	
	CXB	BBHC				12-JAN-1993	20-JAN-1993	<	0.024	UGL	
	CXB	BENSLF				12-JAN-1993	20-JAN-1993	<	0.023	UGL	
	CXB	DBHC				12-JAN-1993	20-JAN-1993	<	0.029	UGL	
	CXB	DLDRN				12-JAN-1993	20-JAN-1993	<	0.024	UGL	
	CXB	ENDRN				12-JAN-1993	20-JAN-1993	<	0.024	UGL	
	CXB	ENDRNA				12-JAN-1993	20-JAN-1993	<	0.029	UGL	
	CXB	ENDRNK				12-JAN-1993	20-JAN-1993	<	0.029	UGL	
	CXB	ESFSO4				12-JAN-1993	20-JAN-1993	<	0.079	UGL	
	CXB	GCLDAN				12-JAN-1993	20-JAN-1993	<	0.075	UGL	
	CXB	HPCL				12-JAN-1993	20-JAN-1993	<	0.042	UGL	
	CXB	HPCLE				12-JAN-1993	20-JAN-1993	<	0.025	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UH13	CXB	ISODR				12-JAN-1993	20-JAN-1993	<	0.056	UGL	
	CXB	LIN				12-JAN-1993	20-JAN-1993	<	0.051	UGL	
	CXB	MEXCLR				12-JAN-1993	20-JAN-1993	<	0.057	UGL	
	CXB	PPDDD				12-JAN-1993	20-JAN-1993	<	0.023	UGL	
	CXB	PPDDE				12-JAN-1993	20-JAN-1993	<	0.027	UGL	
	CXB	PPDDT				12-JAN-1993	20-JAN-1993	<	0.034	UGL	
	CXB	TXPHEN				12-JAN-1993	20-JAN-1993	<	1.350	UGL	
	CXE	ABHC				19-JAN-1993	23-JAN-1993	<	0.039	UGL	
	CXE	ACLDAN				19-JAN-1993	23-JAN-1993	<	0.075	UGL	
	CXE	AENSLF				19-JAN-1993	23-JAN-1993	<	0.023	UGL	
	CXE	ALDRN				19-JAN-1993	23-JAN-1993	<	0.092	UGL	
	CXE	BBHC				19-JAN-1993	23-JAN-1993	<	0.024	UGL	
	CXE	BENSLF				19-JAN-1993	23-JAN-1993	<	0.023	UGL	
	CXE	DBHC				19-JAN-1993	23-JAN-1993	<	0.029	UGL	
	CXE	DLDRN				19-JAN-1993	23-JAN-1993	<	0.024	UGL	
	CXE	ENDRN				19-JAN-1993	23-JAN-1993	<	0.024	UGL	
	CXE	ENDRNA				19-JAN-1993	23-JAN-1993	<	0.029	UGL	
	CXE	ENDRNK				19-JAN-1993	23-JAN-1993	<	0.029	UGL	
	CXE	ESFSO4				19-JAN-1993	23-JAN-1993	<	0.079	UGL	
	CXE	GCLDAN				19-JAN-1993	23-JAN-1993	<	0.075	UGL	
	CXE	HPCL				19-JAN-1993	23-JAN-1993	<	0.042	UGL	
	CXE	HPCLE				19-JAN-1993	23-JAN-1993	<	0.025	UGL	
	CXE	ISODR				19-JAN-1993	23-JAN-1993	<	0.056	UGL	
	CXE	LIN				19-JAN-1993	23-JAN-1993	<	0.051	UGL	
	CXE	MEXCLR				19-JAN-1993	23-JAN-1993	<	0.057	UGL	
	CXE	PPDDD				19-JAN-1993	23-JAN-1993	<	0.023	UGL	
	CXE	PPDDE				19-JAN-1993	23-JAN-1993	<	0.027	UGL	
	CXE	PPDDT				19-JAN-1993	23-JAN-1993	<	0.034	UGL	
	CXE	TXPHEN				19-JAN-1993	23-JAN-1993	<	1.350	UGL	
	CXH	ABHC				26-JAN-1993	28-JAN-1993	<	0.039	UGL	
	CXH	ACLDAN				26-JAN-1993	28-JAN-1993	<	0.075	UGL	
	CXH	AENSLF				26-JAN-1993	28-JAN-1993	<	0.023	UGL	
	CXH	ALDRN				26-JAN-1993	28-JAN-1993	<	0.092	UGL	
	CXH	BBHC				26-JAN-1993	28-JAN-1993	<	0.024	UGL	
	CXH	BENSLF				26-JAN-1993	28-JAN-1993	<	0.023	UGL	
	CXH	DBHC				26-JAN-1993	28-JAN-1993	<	0.029	UGL	
	CXH	DLDRN				26-JAN-1993	28-JAN-1993	<	0.024	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UH13	CXH	ENDRN				26-JAN-1993	28-JAN-1993	<	0.024	UGL	
	CXH	ENDRNA				26-JAN-1993	28-JAN-1993	<	0.029	UGL	
	CXH	ENDRNK				26-JAN-1993	28-JAN-1993	<	0.029	UGL	
	CXH	ESFSO4				26-JAN-1993	28-JAN-1993	<	0.079	UGL	
	CXH	GCLDAN				26-JAN-1993	28-JAN-1993	<	0.075	UGL	
	CXH	HPCL				26-JAN-1993	28-JAN-1993	<	0.042	UGL	
	CXH	HPCLE				26-JAN-1993	28-JAN-1993	<	0.025	UGL	
	CXH	ISODR				26-JAN-1993	28-JAN-1993	<	0.056	UGL	
	CXH	LIN				26-JAN-1993	28-JAN-1993	<	0.051	UGL	
	CXH	MEXCLR				26-JAN-1993	28-JAN-1993	<	0.057	UGL	
	CXH	PPDDD				26-JAN-1993	28-JAN-1993	<	0.023	UGL	
	CXH	PPDDE				26-JAN-1993	28-JAN-1993	<	0.027	UGL	
	CXH	PPDDT				26-JAN-1993	28-JAN-1993	<	0.034	UGL	
	CXH	TXPHEN				26-JAN-1993	28-JAN-1993	<	1.350	UGL	
	CXJA	ABHC				19-FEB-1993	01-MAR-1993	<	0.039	UGL	
	CXJA	ACLDAN				19-FEB-1993	01-MAR-1993	<	0.075	UGL	
	CXJA	AENSLF				19-FEB-1993	01-MAR-1993	<	0.023	UGL	
	CXJA	ALDRN				19-FEB-1993	01-MAR-1993	<	0.092	UGL	
	CXJA	BBHC				19-FEB-1993	01-MAR-1993	<	0.024	UGL	
	CXJA	BENSLF				19-FEB-1993	01-MAR-1993	<	0.023	UGL	
	CXJA	DBHC				19-FEB-1993	01-MAR-1993	<	0.029	UGL	
	CXJA	DLDRN				19-FEB-1993	01-MAR-1993	<	0.024	UGL	
	CXJA	ENDRN				19-FEB-1993	01-MAR-1993	<	0.024	UGL	
	CXJA	ENDRNA				19-FEB-1993	01-MAR-1993	<	0.029	UGL	
	CXJA	ENDRNK				19-FEB-1993	01-MAR-1993	<	0.029	UGL	
	CXJA	ESFSO4				19-FEB-1993	01-MAR-1993	<	0.079	UGL	
	CXJA	GCLDAN				19-FEB-1993	01-MAR-1993	<	0.075	UGL	
	CXJA	HPCL				19-FEB-1993	01-MAR-1993	<	0.042	UGL	
	CXJA	HPCLE				19-FEB-1993	01-MAR-1993	<	0.025	UGL	
	CXJA	ISODR				19-FEB-1993	01-MAR-1993	<	0.056	UGL	
	CXJA	LIN				19-FEB-1993	01-MAR-1993	<	0.051	UGL	
	CXJA	MEXCLR				19-FEB-1993	01-MAR-1993	<	0.057	UGL	
	CXJA	PPDDD				19-FEB-1993	01-MAR-1993	<	0.023	UGL	
	CXJA	PPDDE				19-FEB-1993	01-MAR-1993	<	0.027	UGL	
	CXJA	PPDDT				19-FEB-1993	01-MAR-1993	<	0.034	UGL	
	CXJA	TXPHEN				19-FEB-1993	01-MAR-1993	<	1.350	UGL	
	CXNA	ABHC				05-MAR-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	0.039	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UH13	CXNA	ACLDAN				05-MAR-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	0.075	UGL	
	CXNA	AENSLF				05-MAR-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	0.023	UGL	
	CXNA	ALDRN				05-MAR-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	0.092	UGL	
	CXNA	BBHC				05-MAR-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	0.024	UGL	
	CXNA	BENSLF				05-MAR-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	0.023	UGL	
	CXNA	DBHC				05-MAR-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	0.029	UGL	
	CXNA	DLDRN				05-MAR-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	0.024	UGL	
	CXNA	ENDRN				05-MAR-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	0.024	UGL	
	CXNA	ENDRNA				05-MAR-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	0.029	UGL	
	CXNA	ENDRNK				05-MAR-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	0.029	UGL	
	CXNA	ESFSO4				05-MAR-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	0.079	UGL	
	CXNA	GCLDAN				05-MAR-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	0.075	UGL	
	CXNA	HPCL				05-MAR-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	0.042	UGL	
	CXNA	HPCLE				05-MAR-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	0.025	UGL	
	CXNA	ISODR				05-MAR-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	0.056	UGL	
	CXNA	LIN				05-MAR-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	0.051	UGL	
	CXNA	MEXCLR				05-MAR-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	0.057	UGL	
	CXNA	PPDDD				05-MAR-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	0.023	UGL	
	CXNA	PPDDE				05-MAR-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	0.027	UGL	
	CXNA	PPDDT				05-MAR-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	0.034	UGL	
	CXNA	TXPHEN				05-MAR-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	1.350	UGL	
	CXQA	ABHC				10-MAR-1993	22-MAR-1993	<	0.039	UGL	
	CXQA	ACLDAN				10-MAR-1993	22-MAR-1993	<	0.075	UGL	
	CXQA	AENSLF				10-MAR-1993	22-MAR-1993	<	0.023	UGL	
	CXQA	ALDRN				10-MAR-1993	22-MAR-1993	<	0.092	UGL	
	CXQA	BBHC				10-MAR-1993	22-MAR-1993	<	0.024	UGL	
	CXQA	BENSLF				10-MAR-1993	22-MAR-1993	<	0.023	UGL	
	CXQA	DBHC				10-MAR-1993	22-MAR-1993	<	0.029	UGL	
	CXQA	DLDRN				10-MAR-1993	22-MAR-1993	<	0.024	UGL	
	CXQA	ENDRN				10-MAR-1993	22-MAR-1993	<	0.024	UGL	
	CXQA	ENDRNA				10-MAR-1993	22-MAR-1993	<	0.029	UGL	
	CXQA	ENDRNK				10-MAR-1993	22-MAR-1993	<	0.029	UGL	
	CXQA	ESFSO4				10-MAR-1993	22-MAR-1993	<	0.079	UGL	
	CXQA	GCLDAN				10-MAR-1993	22-MAR-1993	<	0.075	UGL	
	CXQA	HPCL				10-MAR-1993	22-MAR-1993	<	0.042	UGL	
	CXQA	HPCLE				10-MAR-1993	22-MAR-1993	<	0.025	UGL	
	CXQA	ISODR				10-MAR-1993	22-MAR-1993	<	0.056	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UH13	CXQA	LIN				10-MAR-1993	22-MAR-1993	<	0.051	UGL	
	CXQA	MEXCLR				10-MAR-1993	22-MAR-1993	<	0.057	UGL	
	CXQA	PPDDD				10-MAR-1993	22-MAR-1993	<	0.023	UGL	
	CXQA	PPDDE				10-MAR-1993	22-MAR-1993	<	0.027	UGL	
	CXQA	PPDDT				10-MAR-1993	22-MAR-1993	<	0.034	UGL	
	CXQA	TXPHEN				10-MAR-1993	22-MAR-1993	<	1.350	UGL	
	CXRA	ABHC				11-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	0.039	UGL	
	CXRA	ACLDAN				11-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	0.075	UGL	
	CXRA	AENSLF				11-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	0.023	UGL	
	CXRA	ALDRN				11-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	0.092	UGL	
	CXRA	BBHC				11-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	0.024	UGL	
	CXRA	BENSLF				11-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	0.023	UGL	
	CXRA	DBHC				11-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	0.029	UGL	
	CXRA	DLDRN				11-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	0.024	UGL	
	CXRA	ENDRN				11-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	0.024	UGL	
	CXRA	ENDRNA				11-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	0.029	UGL	
	CXRA	ENDRNK				11-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	0.029	UGL	
	CXRA	ESFSO4				11-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	0.079	UGL	
	CXRA	GCLDAN				11-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	0.075	UGL	
	CXRA	HPCL				11-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	0.042	UGL	
	CXRA	HPCLE				11-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	0.025	UGL	
	CXRA	ISODR				11-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	0.056	UGL	
	CXRA	LIN				11-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	0.051	UGL	
	CXRA	MEXCLR				11-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	0.057	UGL	
	CXRA	PPDDD				11-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	0.023	UGL	
	CXRA	PPDDE				11-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	0.027	UGL	
	CXRA	PPDDT				11-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	0.034	UGL	
	CXRA	TXPHEN				11-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	1.350	UGL	
	CXSA	ABHC				08-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.039	UGL	
	CXSA	ABHC				08-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	0.039	UGL	
	CXSA	ACLDAN				08-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.075	UGL	
	CXSA	ACLDAN				08-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	0.075	UGL	
	CXSA	AENSLF				08-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.023	UGL	
	CXSA	AENSLF				08-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	0.023	UGL	
	CXSA	ALDRN				08-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.092	UGL	
	CXSA	ALDRN				08-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	0.092	UGL	
	CXSA	BBHC				08-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.024	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UH13	CXSA	BBHC				08-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	0.024	UGL	
	CXSA	BENSLF				08-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.023	UGL	
	CXSA	BENSLF				08-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	0.023	UGL	
	CXSA	DBHC				08-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.029	UGL	
	CXSA	DBHC				08-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	0.029	UGL	
	CXSA	DLDRN				08-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.024	UGL	
	CXSA	DLDRN				08-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	0.024	UGL	
	CXSA	ENDRN				08-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.024	UGL	
	CXSA	ENDRN				08-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	0.024	UGL	
	CXSA	ENDRNA				08-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.029	UGL	
	CXSA	ENDRNA				08-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	0.029	UGL	
	CXSA	ENDRNK				08-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.029	UGL	
	CXSA	ENDRNK				08-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	0.029	UGL	
	CXSA	ESFSO4				08-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.079	UGL	
	CXSA	ESFSO4				08-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	0.079	UGL	
	CXSA	GCLDAN				08-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.075	UGL	
	CXSA	GCLDAN				08-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	0.075	UGL	
	CXSA	HPCL				08-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.042	UGL	
	CXSA	HPCL				08-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	0.042	UGL	
	CXSA	HPCLE				08-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.025	UGL	
	CXSA	HPCLE				08-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	0.025	UGL	
	CXSA	ISODR				08-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.056	UGL	
	CXSA	ISODR				08-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	0.056	UGL	
	CXSA	LIN				08-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.051	UGL	
	CXSA	LIN				08-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	0.051	UGL	
	CXSA	MEXCLR				08-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.057	UGL	
	CXSA	MEXCLR				08-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	0.057	UGL	
	CXSA	PPDDD				08-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.023	UGL	
	CXSA	PPDDD				08-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	0.023	UGL	
	CXSA	PPDDE				08-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.027	UGL	
	CXSA	PPDDE				08-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	0.027	UGL	
	CXSA	PPDDT				08-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.034	UGL	
	CXSA	PPDDT				08-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	0.034	UGL	
	CXSA	TXPHEN				08-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	1.350	UGL	
	CXSA	TXPHEN				08-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	1.350	UGL	
	CXTA	ABHC				15-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	0.039	UGL	
	CXTA	ACLDAN				15-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	0.075	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UH13	CXTA	AENSLF				15-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	0.023	UGL	
	CXTA	ALDRN				15-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	0.092	UGL	
	CXTA	BBHC				15-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	0.024	UGL	
	CXTA	BENSLF				15-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	0.023	UGL	
	CXTA	DBHC				15-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	0.029	UGL	
	CXTA	DLDRN				15-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	0.024	UGL	
	CXTA	ENDRN				15-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	0.024	UGL	
	CXTA	ENDRNA				15-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	0.029	UGL	
	CXTA	ENDRNK				15-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	0.029	UGL	
	CXTA	ESFSO4				15-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	0.079	UGL	
	CXTA	GCLDAN				15-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	0.075	UGL	
	CXTA	HPCL				15-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	0.042	UGL	
	CXTA	HPCLE				15-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	0.025	UGL	
	CXTA	ISODR				15-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	0.056	UGL	
	CXTA	LIN				15-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	0.051	UGL	
	CXTA	MEXCLR				15-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	0.057	UGL	
	CXTA	PPDDD				15-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	0.023	UGL	
	CXTA	PPDDE				15-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	0.027	UGL	
	CXTA	PPDDT				15-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	0.034	UGL	
	CXTA	TXPHEN				15-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	1.350	UGL	
	CXVA	ABHC				17-MAR-1993	01-APR-1993	<	0.039	UGL	
	CXVA	ACLDAN				17-MAR-1993	01-APR-1993	<	0.075	UGL	
	CXVA	AENSLF				17-MAR-1993	01-APR-1993	<	0.023	UGL	
	CXVA	ALDRN				17-MAR-1993	01-APR-1993	<	0.092	UGL	
	CXVA	BBHC				17-MAR-1993	01-APR-1993	<	0.024	UGL	
	CXVA	BENSLF				17-MAR-1993	01-APR-1993	<	0.023	UGL	
	CXVA	DBHC				17-MAR-1993	01-APR-1993	<	0.029	UGL	
	CXVA	DLDRN				17-MAR-1993	01-APR-1993	<	0.024	UGL	
	CXVA	ENDRN				17-MAR-1993	01-APR-1993	<	0.024	UGL	
	CXVA	ENDRNA				17-MAR-1993	01-APR-1993	<	0.029	UGL	
	CXVA	ENDRNK				17-MAR-1993	01-APR-1993	<	0.029	UGL	
	CXVA	ESFSO4				17-MAR-1993	01-APR-1993	<	0.079	UGL	
	CXVA	GCLDAN				17-MAR-1993	01-APR-1993	<	0.075	UGL	
	CXVA	HPCL				17-MAR-1993	01-APR-1993	<	0.042	UGL	
	CXVA	HPCLE				17-MAR-1993	01-APR-1993	<	0.025	UGL	
	CXVA	ISODR				17-MAR-1993	01-APR-1993	<	0.056	UGL	
	CXVA	LIN				17-MAR-1993	01-APR-1993	<	0.051	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UH13	CXVA	MEXCLR				17-MAR-1993	01-APR-1993	<	0.057	UGL	
	CXVA	PPDDD				17-MAR-1993	01-APR-1993	<	0.023	UGL	
	CXVA	PPDDE				17-MAR-1993	01-APR-1993	<	0.027	UGL	
	CXVA	PPDDT				17-MAR-1993	01-APR-1993	<	0.034	UGL	
	CXVA	TXPHEN				17-MAR-1993	01-APR-1993	<	1.350	UGL	
	CXYA	ABHC				22-MAR-1993	06-APR-1993	<	0.039	UGL	
	CXYA	ACLDAN				22-MAR-1993	06-APR-1993	<	0.075	UGL	
	CXYA	AENSLF				22-MAR-1993	06-APR-1993	<	0.023	UGL	
	CXYA	ALDRN				22-MAR-1993	06-APR-1993	<	0.092	UGL	
	CXYA	BBHC				22-MAR-1993	06-APR-1993	<	0.024	UGL	
	CXYA	BENSLF				22-MAR-1993	06-APR-1993	<	0.023	UGL	
	CXYA	DBHC				22-MAR-1993	06-APR-1993	<	0.029	UGL	
	CXYA	DLDRN				22-MAR-1993	06-APR-1993	<	0.024	UGL	
	CXYA	ENDRN				22-MAR-1993	06-APR-1993	<	0.024	UGL	
	CXYA	ENDRNA				22-MAR-1993	06-APR-1993	<	0.029	UGL	
	CXYA	ENDRNK				22-MAR-1993	06-APR-1993	<	0.029	UGL	
	CXYA	ESFSO4				22-MAR-1993	06-APR-1993	<	0.079	UGL	
	CXYA	GCLDAN				22-MAR-1993	06-APR-1993	<	0.075	UGL	
	CXYA	HPCL				22-MAR-1993	06-APR-1993	<	0.042	UGL	
	CXYA	HPCLE				22-MAR-1993	06-APR-1993	<	0.025	UGL	
	CXYA	ISODR				22-MAR-1993	06-APR-1993	<	0.056	UGL	
	CXYA	LIN				22-MAR-1993	06-APR-1993	<	0.051	UGL	
	CXYA	MEXCLR				22-MAR-1993	06-APR-1993	<	0.057	UGL	
	CXYA	PPDDD				22-MAR-1993	06-APR-1993	<	0.023	UGL	
	CXYA	PPDDE				22-MAR-1993	06-APR-1993	<	0.027	UGL	
	CXYA	PPDDT				22-MAR-1993	06-APR-1993	<	0.034	UGL	
	CXYA	TXPHEN				22-MAR-1993	06-APR-1993	<	1.350	UGL	
UM18	AVH	124TCB				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	1.800	UGL	
	AVH	12DCLB				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	AVH	12DPH				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	2.000	UGL	
	AVH	13DCLB				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	AVH	14DCLB				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	AVH	245TCP				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	5.200	UGL	
	AVH	246TCP				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	4.200	UGL	
	AVH	24DCLP				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	2.900	UGL	
	AVH	24DMPN				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	5.800	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM18	AVH	24DNP				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	21.000	UGL	
	AVH	24DNT				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	4.500	UGL	
	AVH	26DNT				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	0.790	UGL	
	AVH	2CLP				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	0.990	UGL	
	AVH	2CNAP				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVH	2MNAP				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	AVH	2MP				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	3.900	UGL	
	AVH	2NANIL				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	4.300	UGL	
	AVH	2NP				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	3.700	UGL	
	AVH	33DCBD				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	12.000	UGL	
	AVH	3NANIL				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	4.900	UGL	
	AVH	46DN2C				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	17.000	UGL	
	AVH	4BRPPE				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	4.200	UGL	
	AVH	4CANIL				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	7.300	UGL	
	AVH	4CL3C				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	AVH	4CLPPE				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	5.100	UGL	
	AVH	4MP				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	0.520	UGL	
	AVH	4NANIL				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	5.200	UGL	
	AVH	4NP				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	12.000	UGL	
	AVH	ABHC				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	AVH	ACLDAN				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	5.100	UGL	
	AVH	AENSLF				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	9.200	UGL	
	AVH	ALDRN				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	4.700	UGL	
	AVH	ANAPNE				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	AVH	ANAPYL				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVH	ANTRC				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVH	B2CEXM				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	1.500	UGL	
	AVH	B2CIPE				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	5.300	UGL	
	AVH	B2CLEE				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	1.900	UGL	
	AVH	B2EHP				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992		5.600	UGL	
	AVH	BAANTR				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	1.600	UGL	
	AVH	BAPYR				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	4.700	UGL	
	AVH	BBFANT				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	5.400	UGL	
	AVH	BBHC				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	AVH	BBZP				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	3.400	UGL	
	AVH	BENSLF				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	9.200	UGL	
	AVH	BENZID				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	10.000	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM18	AVH	BENZOA				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	13.000	UGL	
	AVH	BGHIPY				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	6.100	UGL	
	AVH	BKFANT				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	0.870	UGL	
	AVH	BZALC				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	0.720	UGL	
	AVH	CARBAZ				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVH	CHRY				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	2.400	UGL	
	AVH	CL6BZ				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	1.600	UGL	
	AVH	CL6CP				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	8.600	UGL	
	AVH	CL6ET				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	1.500	UGL	
	AVH	DBAHA				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	6.500	UGL	
	AVH	DBHC				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	AVH	DBZFUR				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	AVH	DEP				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	2.000	UGL	
	AVH	DLDRN				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	4.700	UGL	
	AVH	DMP				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	1.500	UGL	
	AVH	DNBP				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	3.700	UGL	
	AVH	DNOP				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	15.000	UGL	
	AVH	ENDRN				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	7.600	UGL	
	AVH	ENDRNA				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	8.000	UGL	
	AVH	ENDRNK				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	8.000	UGL	
	AVH	ESFSO4				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	9.200	UGL	
	AVH	FANT				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	3.300	UGL	
	AVH	FLRENE				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	3.700	UGL	
	AVH	GCLDAN				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	5.100	UGL	
	AVH	HCBD				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	3.400	UGL	
	AVH	HPCL				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	2.000	UGL	
	AVH	HPCLE				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	5.000	UGL	
	AVH	ICDPYR				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	8.600	UGL	
	AVH	ISOPHR				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	4.800	UGL	
	AVH	LIN				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	AVH	MEXCLR				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	5.100	UGL	
	AVH	NAP				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVH	NB				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVH	NNDMEA				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	2.000	UGL	
	AVH	NNDNPA				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	4.400	UGL	
	AVH	NNDPA				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	3.000	UGL	
	AVH	PCB016				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	21.000	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM18	AVH	PCB221				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	21.000	UGL	
	AVH	PCB232				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	21.000	UGL	
	AVH	PCB242				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	30.000	UGL	
	AVH	PCB248				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	30.000	UGL	
	AVH	PCB254				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	36.000	UGL	
	AVH	PCB260				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	36.000	UGL	
	AVH	PCP				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	18.000	UGL	
	AVH	PHANTR				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVH	PHENOL				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	9.200	UGL	
	AVH	PPDDD				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	AVH	PPDDE				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	4.700	UGL	
	AVH	PPDDT				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	9.200	UGL	
	AVH	PYR				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	2.800	UGL	
	AVH	TXPHEN				28-SEP-1992	05-OCT-1992	<	36.000	UGL	
	AVI	124TCB				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	1.800	UGL	
	AVI	12DCLB				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	AVI	12DPH				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	2.000	UGL	
	AVI	13DCLB				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	AVI	14DCLB				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	AVI	245TCP				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	5.200	UGL	
	AVI	246TCP				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	4.200	UGL	
	AVI	24DCLP				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	2.900	UGL	
	AVI	24DMPN				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	5.800	UGL	
	AVI	24DNP				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	21.000	UGL	
	AVI	24DNT				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	4.500	UGL	
	AVI	26DNT				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	0.790	UGL	
	AVI	2CLP				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	0.990	UGL	
	AVI	2CNAP				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVI	2MNAP				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	AVI	2MP				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	3.900	UGL	
	AVI	2NANIL				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	4.300	UGL	
	AVI	2NP				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	3.700	UGL	
	AVI	33DCBD				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	12.000	UGL	
	AVI	3NANIL				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	4.900	UGL	
	AVI	46DN2C				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	17.000	UGL	
	AVI	4BRPPE				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	4.200	UGL	
	AVI	4CANIL				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	7.300	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM18	AVI	4CL3C				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	AVI	4CLPPE				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	5.100	UGL	
	AVI	4MP				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	0.520	UGL	
	AVI	4NANIL				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	5.200	UGL	
	AVI	4NP				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	12.000	UGL	
	AVI	ABHC				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	AVI	ACLDAN				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	5.100	UGL	
	AVI	AENSLF				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	9.200	UGL	
	AVI	ALDRN				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	4.700	UGL	
	AVI	ANAPNE				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	AVI	ANAPYL				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVI	ANTRC				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVI	B2CEXM				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	1.500	UGL	
	AVI	B2CIPE				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	5.300	UGL	
	AVI	B2CLEE				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	1.900	UGL	
	AVI	B2EHP				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992		6.000	UGL	
	AVI	BAANTR				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	1.600	UGL	
	AVI	BAPYR				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	4.700	UGL	
	AVI	BBFANT				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	5.400	UGL	
	AVI	BBHC				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	AVI	BBZP				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	3.400	UGL	
	AVI	BENSLF				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	9.200	UGL	
	AVI	BENZID				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	10.000	UGL	
	AVI	BENZOA				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	13.000	UGL	
	AVI	BGHIPY				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	6.100	UGL	
	AVI	BKFANT				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	0.870	UGL	
	AVI	BZALC				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	0.720	UGL	
	AVI	CARBAZ				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVI	CHRY				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	2.400	UGL	
	AVI	CL6BZ				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	1.600	UGL	
	AVI	CL6CP				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	8.600	UGL	
	AVI	CL6ET				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	1.500	UGL	
	AVI	DBAHA				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	6.500	UGL	
	AVI	DBHC				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	AVI	DBZFUR				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	AVI	DEP				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	2.000	UGL	
	AVI	DLDRN				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	4.700	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM18	AVI	DMP				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	1.500	UGL	
	AVI	DNBP				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	3.700	UGL	
	AVI	DNOP				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	15.000	UGL	
	AVI	ENDRN				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	7.600	UGL	
	AVI	ENDRNA				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	8.000	UGL	
	AVI	ENDRNK				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	8.000	UGL	
	AVI	ESFSO4				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	9.200	UGL	
	AVI	FANT				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	3.300	UGL	
	AVI	FLRENE				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	3.700	UGL	
	AVI	GCLDAN				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	5.100	UGL	
	AVI	HCBD				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	3.400	UGL	
	AVI	HPCL				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	2.000	UGL	
	AVI	HPCLE				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	5.000	UGL	
	AVI	ICDPYR				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	8.600	UGL	
	AVI	ISOPHR				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	4.800	UGL	
	AVI	LIN				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	AVI	MEXCLR				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	5.100	UGL	
	AVI	NAP				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVI	NB				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVI	NNDMEA				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	2.000	UGL	
	AVI	NNDNPA				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	4.400	UGL	
	AVI	NNDPA				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	3.000	UGL	
	AVI	PCB016				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	21.000	UGL	
	AVI	PCB221				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	21.000	UGL	
	AVI	PCB232				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	21.000	UGL	
	AVI	PCB242				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	30.000	UGL	
	AVI	PCB248				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	30.000	UGL	
	AVI	PCB254				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	36.000	UGL	
	AVI	PCB260				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	36.000	UGL	
	AVI	PCP				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	18.000	UGL	
	AVI	PHANTR				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVI	PHENOL				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	9.200	UGL	
	AVI	PPDDD				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	AVI	PPDDE				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	4.700	UGL	
	AVI	PPDDT				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	9.200	UGL	
	AVI	PYR				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	2.800	UGL	
	AVI	TXPHEN				29-SEP-1992	13-OCT-1992	<	36.000	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM18	AVK	124TCB				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	1.800	UGL	
	AVK	12DCLB				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	AVK	12DPH				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	2.000	UGL	
	AVK	13DCLB				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	AVK	14DCLB				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	AVK	245TCP				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	5.200	UGL	
	AVK	246TCP				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	4.200	UGL	
	AVK	24DCLP				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	2.900	UGL	
	AVK	24DMPN				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	5.800	UGL	
	AVK	24DNP				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	21.000	UGL	
	AVK	24DNT				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	4.500	UGL	
	AVK	26DNT				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	0.790	UGL	
	AVK	2CLP				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	0.990	UGL	
	AVK	2CNAP				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVK	2MNAP				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	AVK	2MP				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	3.900	UGL	
	AVK	2NANIL				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	4.300	UGL	
	AVK	2NP				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	3.700	UGL	
	AVK	33DCBD				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	12.000	UGL	
	AVK	3NANIL				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	4.900	UGL	
	AVK	46DN2C				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	17.000	UGL	
	AVK	4BRPPE				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	4.200	UGL	
	AVK	4CANIL				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	7.300	UGL	
	AVK	4CL3C				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	AVK	4CLPPE				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	5.100	UGL	
	AVK	4MP				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	0.520	UGL	
	AVK	4NANIL				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	5.200	UGL	
	AVK	4NP				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	12.000	UGL	
	AVK	ABHC				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	AVK	ACLDAN				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	5.100	UGL	
	AVK	AENSLF				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	9.200	UGL	
	AVK	ALDRN				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	4.700	UGL	
	AVK	ANAPNE				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	AVK	ANAPYL				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVK	ANTRC				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVK	B2CEXM				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	1.500	UGL	
	AVK	B2CIPE				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	5.300	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM18	AVK	B2CLEE				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	1.900	UGL	
	AVK	B2EHP				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	4.800	UGL	
	AVK	BAANTR				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	1.600	UGL	
	AVK	BAPYR				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	4.700	UGL	
	AVK	BBFANT				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	5.400	UGL	
	AVK	BBHC				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	AVK	BBZP				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	3.400	UGL	
	AVK	BENSLF				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	9.200	UGL	
	AVK	BENZID				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	10.000	UGL	
	AVK	BENZOA				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	13.000	UGL	
	AVK	BGHIPY				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	6.100	UGL	
	AVK	BKFANT				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	0.870	UGL	
	AVK	BZALC				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	0.720	UGL	
	AVK	CARBAZ				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVK	CHRY				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	2.400	UGL	
	AVK	CL6BZ				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	1.600	UGL	
	AVK	CL6CP				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	8.600	UGL	
	AVK	CL6ET				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	1.500	UGL	
	AVK	DBAHA				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	6.500	UGL	
	AVK	DBHC				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	AVK	DBZFUR				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	AVK	DEP				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	2.000	UGL	
	AVK	DLDRN				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	4.700	UGL	
	AVK	DMP				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	1.500	UGL	
	AVK	DNBP				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	3.700	UGL	
	AVK	DNOP				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	15.000	UGL	
	AVK	ENDRN				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	7.600	UGL	
	AVK	ENDRNA				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	8.000	UGL	
	AVK	ENDRNK				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	8.000	UGL	
	AVK	ESFSO4				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	9.200	UGL	
	AVK	FANT				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	3.300	UGL	
	AVK	FLRENE				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	3.700	UGL	
	AVK	GCLDAN				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	5.100	UGL	
	AVK	HCBD				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	3.400	UGL	
	AVK	HPCL				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	2.000	UGL	
	AVK	HPCLE				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	5.000	UGL	
	AVK	ICDPYR				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	8.600	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM18	AVK	ISOPHR				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	4.800	UGL	
	AVK	LIN				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	AVK	MEXCLR				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	5.100	UGL	
	AVK	NAP				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVK	NB				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVK	NNDMEA				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	2.000	UGL	
	AVK	NNDNPA				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	4.400	UGL	
	AVK	NNDPA				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	3.000	UGL	
	AVK	PCB016				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	21.000	UGL	
	AVK	PCB221				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	21.000	UGL	
	AVK	PCB232				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	21.000	UGL	
	AVK	PCB242				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	30.000	UGL	
	AVK	PCB248				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	30.000	UGL	
	AVK	PCB254				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	36.000	UGL	
	AVK	PCB260				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	36.000	UGL	
	AVK	PCP				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	18.000	UGL	
	AVK	PHANTR				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVK	PHENOL				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	9.200	UGL	
	AVK	PPDDD				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	AVK	PPDDE				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	4.700	UGL	
	AVK	PPDDT				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	9.200	UGL	
	AVK	PYR				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	2.800	UGL	
	AVK	TXPHEN				02-OCT-1992	14-OCT-1992	<	36.000	UGL	
	AVM	124TCB				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	1.800	UGL	
	AVM	12DCLB				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	AVM	12DPH				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	2.000	UGL	
	AVM	13DCLB				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	AVM	14DCLB				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	AVM	245TCP				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	5.200	UGL	
	AVM	246TCP				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	4.200	UGL	
	AVM	24DCLP				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	2.900	UGL	
	AVM	24DMPN				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	5.800	UGL	
	AVM	24DNP				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	21.000	UGL	
	AVM	24DNT				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	4.500	UGL	
	AVM	26DNT				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.790	UGL	
	AVM	2CLP				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.990	UGL	
	AVM	2CNAP				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM18	AVM	2MNAP				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	AVM	2MP				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	3.900	UGL	
	AVM	2NANIL				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	4.300	UGL	
	AVM	2NP				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	3.700	UGL	
	AVM	33DCBD				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	12.000	UGL	
	AVM	3NANIL				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	4.900	UGL	
	AVM	46DN2C				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	17.000	UGL	
	AVM	4BRPPE				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	4.200	UGL	
	AVM	4CANIL				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	7.300	UGL	
	AVM	4CL3C				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	AVM	4CLPPE				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	5.100	UGL	
	AVM	4MP				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.520	UGL	
	AVM	4NANIL				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	5.200	UGL	
	AVM	4NP				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	12.000	UGL	
	AVM	ABHC				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	AVM	ACLDAN				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	5.100	UGL	
	AVM	AENSLF				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	9.200	UGL	
	AVM	ALDRN				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	4.700	UGL	
	AVM	ANAPNE				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	AVM	ANAPYL				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVM	ANTRC				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVM	B2CEXM				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	1.500	UGL	
	AVM	B2CIPE				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	5.300	UGL	
	AVM	B2CLEE				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	1.900	UGL	
	AVM	B2EHP				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	4.800	UGL	
	AVM	BAANTR				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	1.600	UGL	
	AVM	BAPYR				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	4.700	UGL	
	AVM	BBFANT				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	5.400	UGL	
	AVM	BBHC				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	AVM	BBZP				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	3.400	UGL	
	AVM	BENSLF				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	9.200	UGL	
	AVM	BENZID				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	10.000	UGL	
	AVM	BENZOA				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	13.000	UGL	
	AVM	BGHIPY				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	6.100	UGL	
	AVM	BKFANT				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.870	UGL	
	AVM	BZALC				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.720	UGL	
	AVM	CARBAZ				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM18	AVM	CHRY				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	2.400	UGL	
	AVM	CL6BZ				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	1.600	UGL	
	AVM	CL6CP				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	8.600	UGL	
	AVM	CL6ET				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	1.500	UGL	
	AVM	DBAHA				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	6.500	UGL	
	AVM	DBHC				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	AVM	DBZFUR				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	AVM	DEP				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	2.000	UGL	
	AVM	DLDRN				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	4.700	UGL	
	AVM	DMP				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	1.500	UGL	
	AVM	DNBP				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	3.700	UGL	
	AVM	DNOP				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	15.000	UGL	
	AVM	ENDRN				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	7.600	UGL	
	AVM	ENDRNA				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	8.000	UGL	
	AVM	ENDRNK				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	8.000	UGL	
	AVM	ESFSO4				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	9.200	UGL	
	AVM	FANT				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	3.300	UGL	
	AVM	FLRENE				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	3.700	UGL	
	AVM	GCLDAN				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	5.100	UGL	
	AVM	HCBD				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	3.400	UGL	
	AVM	HPCL				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	2.000	UGL	
	AVM	HPCLE				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	5.000	UGL	
	AVM	ICDPYR				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	8.600	UGL	
	AVM	ISOPHR				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	4.800	UGL	
	AVM	LIN				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	AVM	MEXCLR				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	5.100	UGL	
	AVM	NAP				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVM	NB				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVM	NNDMEA				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	2.000	UGL	
	AVM	NNDNPA				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	4.400	UGL	
	AVM	NNDPA				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	3.000	UGL	
	AVM	PCB016				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	21.000	UGL	
	AVM	PCB221				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	21.000	UGL	
	AVM	PCB232				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	21.000	UGL	
	AVM	PCB242				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	30.000	UGL	
	AVM	PCB248				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	30.000	UGL	
	AVM	PCB254				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	36.000	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM18	AVM	PCB260				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	36.000	UGL	
	AVM	PCP				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	18.000	UGL	
	AVM	PHANTR				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVM	PHENOL				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	9.200	UGL	
	AVM	PPDDD				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	AVM	PPDDE				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	4.700	UGL	
	AVM	PPDDT				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	9.200	UGL	
	AVM	PYR				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	2.800	UGL	
	AVM	TXPHEN				19-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	36.000	UGL	
	AVN	124TCB				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	1.800	UGL	
	AVN	12DCLB				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	AVN	12DPH				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	2.000	UGL	
	AVN	13DCLB				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	AVN	14DCLB				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	AVN	245TCP				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	5.200	UGL	
	AVN	246TCP				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	4.200	UGL	
	AVN	24DCLP				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	2.900	UGL	
	AVN	24DMPN				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	5.800	UGL	
	AVN	24DNP				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	21.000	UGL	
	AVN	24DNT				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	4.500	UGL	
	AVN	26DNT				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	0.790	UGL	
	AVN	2CLP				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	0.990	UGL	
	AVN	2CNAP				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVN	2MNAP				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	AVN	2MP				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	3.900	UGL	
	AVN	2NANIL				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	4.300	UGL	
	AVN	2NP				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	3.700	UGL	
	AVN	33DCBD				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	12.000	UGL	
	AVN	3NANIL				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	4.900	UGL	
	AVN	46DN2C				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	17.000	UGL	
	AVN	4BRPPE				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	4.200	UGL	
	AVN	4CANIL				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	7.300	UGL	
	AVN	4CL3C				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	AVN	4CLPPE				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	5.100	UGL	
	AVN	4MP				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	0.520	UGL	
	AVN	4NANIL				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	5.200	UGL	
	AVN	4NP				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	12.000	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM18	AVN	ABHC				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	AVN	ACLDAN				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	5.100	UGL	
	AVN	AENSLF				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	9.200	UGL	
	AVN	ALDRN				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	4.700	UGL	
	AVN	ANAPNE				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	AVN	ANAPYL				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVN	ANTRC				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVN	B2CEXM				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	1.500	UGL	
	AVN	B2CIPE				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	5.300	UGL	
	AVN	B2CLEE				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	1.900	UGL	
	AVN	B2EHP				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	4.800	UGL	
	AVN	BAANTR				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	1.600	UGL	
	AVN	BAPYR				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	4.700	UGL	
	AVN	BBFANT				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	5.400	UGL	
	AVN	BBHC				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	AVN	BBZP				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	3.400	UGL	
	AVN	BENSLF				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	9.200	UGL	
	AVN	BENZID				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	10.000	UGL	
	AVN	BENZOA				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	13.000	UGL	
	AVN	BGHIPY				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	6.100	UGL	
	AVN	BKFANT				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	0.870	UGL	
	AVN	BZALC				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	0.720	UGL	
	AVN	CARBAZ				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVN	CHRY				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	2.400	UGL	
	AVN	CL6BZ				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	1.600	UGL	
	AVN	CL6CP				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	8.600	UGL	
	AVN	CL6ET				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	1.500	UGL	
	AVN	DBAHA				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	6.500	UGL	
	AVN	DBHC				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	AVN	DBZFUR				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	AVN	DEP				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	2.000	UGL	
	AVN	DLDRN				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	4.700	UGL	
	AVN	DMP				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	1.500	UGL	
	AVN	DNBP				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	3.700	UGL	
	AVN	DNOP				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	15.000	UGL	
	AVN	ENDRN				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	7.600	UGL	
	AVN	ENDRNA				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	8.000	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM18	AVN	ENDRNK				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	8.000	UGL	
	AVN	ESFSO4				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	9.200	UGL	
	AVN	FANT				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	3.300	UGL	
	AVN	FLRENE				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	3.700	UGL	
	AVN	GCLDAN				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	5.100	UGL	
	AVN	HCBD				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	3.400	UGL	
	AVN	HPCL				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	2.000	UGL	
	AVN	HPCLE				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	5.000	UGL	
	AVN	ICDPYR				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	8.600	UGL	
	AVN	ISOPHR				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	4.800	UGL	
	AVN	LIN				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	AVN	MEXCLR				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	5.100	UGL	
	AVN	NAP				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVN	NB				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVN	NNDMEA				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	2.000	UGL	
	AVN	NNDNPA				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	4.400	UGL	
	AVN	NNDPA				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	3.000	UGL	
	AVN	PCB016				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	21.000	UGL	
	AVN	PCB221				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	21.000	UGL	
	AVN	PCB232				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	21.000	UGL	
	AVN	PCB242				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	30.000	UGL	
	AVN	PCB248				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	30.000	UGL	
	AVN	PCB254				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	36.000	UGL	
	AVN	PCB260				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	36.000	UGL	
	AVN	PCP				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	18.000	UGL	
	AVN	PHANTR				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVN	PHENOL				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	9.200	UGL	
	AVN	PPDDD				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	AVN	PPDDE				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	4.700	UGL	
	AVN	PPDDT				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	9.200	UGL	
	AVN	PYR				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	2.800	UGL	
	AVN	TXPHEN				22-OCT-1992	02-NOV-1992	<	36.000	UGL	
	AVO	124TCB				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	1.800	UGL	
	AVO	12DCLB				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	AVO	12DPH				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	2.000	UGL	
	AVO	13DCLB				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	AVO	14DCLB				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	1.700	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM18	AVO	245TCP				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	5.200	UGL	
	AVO	246TCP				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	4.200	UGL	
	AVO	24DCLP				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	2.900	UGL	
	AVO	24DMPN				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	5.800	UGL	
	AVO	24DNP				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	21.000	UGL	
	AVO	24DNT				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	4.500	UGL	
	AVO	26DNT				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	0.790	UGL	
	AVO	2CLP				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	0.990	UGL	
	AVO	2CNAP				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVO	2MNAP				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	AVO	2MP				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	3.900	UGL	
	AVO	2NANIL				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	4.300	UGL	
	AVO	2NP				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	3.700	UGL	
	AVO	33DCBD				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	12.000	UGL	
	AVO	3NANIL				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	4.900	UGL	
	AVO	46DN2C				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	17.000	UGL	
	AVO	4BRPPE				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	4.200	UGL	
	AVO	4CANIL				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	7.300	UGL	
	AVO	4CL3C				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	AVO	4CLPPE				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	5.100	UGL	
	AVO	4MP				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	0.520	UGL	
	AVO	4NANIL				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	5.200	UGL	
	AVO	4NP				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	12.000	UGL	
	AVO	ABHC				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	AVO	ACLDAN				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	5.100	UGL	
	AVO	AENSLF				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	9.200	UGL	
	AVO	ALDRN				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	4.700	UGL	
	AVO	ANAPNE				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	AVO	ANAPYL				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVO	ANTRC				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVO	B2CEXM				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	1.500	UGL	
	AVO	B2CIPE				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	5.300	UGL	
	AVO	B2CLEE				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	1.900	UGL	
	AVO	B2EHP				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	4.800	UGL	
	AVO	BAANTR				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	1.600	UGL	
	AVO	BAPYR				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	4.700	UGL	
	AVO	BBFANT				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	5.400	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM18	AVO	BBHC				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	AVO	BBZP				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	3.400	UGL	
	AVO	BENSLF				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	9.200	UGL	
	AVO	BENZID				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	10.000	UGL	
	AVO	BENZOA				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	13.000	UGL	
	AVO	BGHIPY				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	6.100	UGL	
	AVO	BKFANT				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	0.870	UGL	
	AVO	BZALC				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	0.720	UGL	
	AVO	CARBAZ				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVO	CHRY				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	2.400	UGL	
	AVO	CL6BZ				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	1.600	UGL	
	AVO	CL6CP				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	8.600	UGL	
	AVO	CL6ET				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	1.500	UGL	
	AVO	DBAHA				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	6.500	UGL	
	AVO	DBHC				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	AVO	DBZFUR				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	AVO	DEP				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	2.000	UGL	
	AVO	DLDRN				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	4.700	UGL	
	AVO	DMP				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	1.500	UGL	
	AVO	DNBP				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	3.700	UGL	
	AVO	DNOP				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	15.000	UGL	
	AVO	ENDRN				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	7.600	UGL	
	AVO	ENDRNA				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	8.000	UGL	
	AVO	ENDRNK				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	8.000	UGL	
	AVO	ESFSO4				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	9.200	UGL	
	AVO	FANT				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	3.300	UGL	
	AVO	FLRENE				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	3.700	UGL	
	AVO	GCLDAN				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	5.100	UGL	
	AVO	HCBD				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	3.400	UGL	
	AVO	HPCL				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	2.000	UGL	
	AVO	HPCLE				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	5.000	UGL	
	AVO	ICDPYR				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	8.600	UGL	
	AVO	ISOPHR				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	4.800	UGL	
	AVO	LIN				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	AVO	MEXCLR				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	5.100	UGL	
	AVO	NAP				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVO	NB				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM18	AVO	NNDMEA				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	2.000	UGL	
	AVO	NNDNPA				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	4.400	UGL	
	AVO	NNDPA				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	3.000	UGL	
	AVO	PCB016				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	21.000	UGL	
	AVO	PCB221				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	21.000	UGL	
	AVO	PCB232				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	21.000	UGL	
	AVO	PCB242				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	30.000	UGL	
	AVO	PCB248				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	30.000	UGL	
	AVO	PCB254				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	36.000	UGL	
	AVO	PCB260				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	36.000	UGL	
	AVO	PCP				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	18.000	UGL	
	AVO	PHANTR				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVO	PHENOL				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	9.200	UGL	
	AVO	PPDDD				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	AVO	PPDDE				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	4.700	UGL	
	AVO	PPDDT				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	9.200	UGL	
	AVO	PYR				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	2.800	UGL	
	AVO	TXPHEN				27-OCT-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	36.000	UGL	
	AVQ	124TCB				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	1.800	UGL	
	AVQ	12DCLB				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	AVQ	12DPH				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	2.000	UGL	
	AVQ	13DCLB				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	AVQ	14DCLB				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	AVQ	245TCP				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	5.200	UGL	
	AVQ	246TCP				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	4.200	UGL	
	AVQ	24DCLP				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	2.900	UGL	
	AVQ	24DMPN				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	5.800	UGL	
	AVQ	24DNP				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	21.000	UGL	
	AVQ	24DNT				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	4.500	UGL	
	AVQ	26DNT				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.790	UGL	
	AVQ	2CLP				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.990	UGL	
	AVQ	2CNAP				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVQ	2MNAP				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	AVQ	2MP				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	3.900	UGL	
	AVQ	2NANIL				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	4.300	UGL	
	AVQ	2NP				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	3.700	UGL	
	AVQ	33DCBD				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	12.000	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM18	AVQ	3NANIL				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	4.900	UGL	
	AVQ	46DN2C				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	17.000	UGL	
	AVQ	4BRPPE				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	4.200	UGL	
	AVQ	4CANIL				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	7.300	UGL	
	AVQ	4CL3C				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	AVQ	4CLPPE				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	5.100	UGL	
	AVQ	4MP				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.520	UGL	
	AVQ	4NANIL				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	5.200	UGL	
	AVQ	4NP				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	12.000	UGL	
	AVQ	ABHC				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	AVQ	ACLDAN				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	5.100	UGL	
	AVQ	AENSLF				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	9.200	UGL	
	AVQ	ALDRN				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	4.700	UGL	
	AVQ	ANAPNE				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	AVQ	ANAPYL				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVQ	ANTRC				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVQ	B2CEXM				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	1.500	UGL	
	AVQ	B2CIPE				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	5.300	UGL	
	AVQ	B2CLEE				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	1.900	UGL	
	AVQ	B2EHP				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	4.800	UGL	
	AVQ	BAANTR				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	1.600	UGL	
	AVQ	BAPYR				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	4.700	UGL	
	AVQ	BBFANT				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	5.400	UGL	
	AVQ	BBHC				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	AVQ	BBZP				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	3.400	UGL	
	AVQ	BENSLF				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	9.200	UGL	
	AVQ	BENZID				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	10.000	UGL	
	AVQ	BENZOA				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	13.000	UGL	
	AVQ	BGHIPY				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	6.100	UGL	
	AVQ	BKFANT				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.870	UGL	
	AVQ	BZALC				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.720	UGL	
	AVQ	CARBAZ				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVQ	CHRY				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	2.400	UGL	
	AVQ	CL6BZ				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	1.600	UGL	
	AVQ	CL6CP				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	8.600	UGL	
	AVQ	CL6ET				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	1.500	UGL	
	AVQ	DBAHA				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	6.500	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM18	AVQ	DBHC				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	AVQ	DBZFUR				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	AVQ	DEP				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	2.000	UGL	
	AVQ	DLDRN				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	4.700	UGL	
	AVQ	DMP				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	1.500	UGL	
	AVQ	DNBP				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	3.700	UGL	
	AVQ	DNOP				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	15.000	UGL	
	AVQ	ENDRN				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	7.600	UGL	
	AVQ	ENDRNA				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	8.000	UGL	
	AVQ	ENDRNK				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	8.000	UGL	
	AVQ	ESFSO4				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	9.200	UGL	
	AVQ	FANT				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	3.300	UGL	
	AVQ	FLRENE				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	3.700	UGL	
	AVQ	GCLDAN				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	5.100	UGL	
	AVQ	HCBD				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	3.400	UGL	
	AVQ	HPCL				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	2.000	UGL	
	AVQ	HPCLE				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	5.000	UGL	
	AVQ	ICDPYR				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	8.600	UGL	
	AVQ	ISOPHR				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	4.800	UGL	
	AVQ	LIN				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	AVQ	MEXCLR				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	5.100	UGL	
	AVQ	NAP				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVQ	NB				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVQ	NNDMEA				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	2.000	UGL	
	AVQ	NNDNPA				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	4.400	UGL	
	AVQ	NNDPA				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	3.000	UGL	
	AVQ	PCB016				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	21.000	UGL	
	AVQ	PCB221				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	21.000	UGL	
	AVQ	PCB232				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	21.000	UGL	
	AVQ	PCB242				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	30.000	UGL	
	AVQ	PCB248				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	30.000	UGL	
	AVQ	PCB254				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	36.000	UGL	
	AVQ	PCB260				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	36.000	UGL	
	AVQ	PCP				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	18.000	UGL	
	AVQ	PHANTR				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVQ	PHENOL				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	9.200	UGL	
	AVQ	PPDDD				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	4.000	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM18	AVQ	PPDDE				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	4.700	UGL	
	AVQ	PPDDT				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	9.200	UGL	
	AVQ	PYR				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	2.800	UGL	
	AVQ	TXPHEN				28-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	36.000	UGL	
	AVR	124TCB				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	1.800	UGL	
	AVR	12DCLB				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	AVR	12DPH				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	2.000	UGL	
	AVR	13DCLB				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	AVR	14DCLB				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	AVR	245TCP				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	5.200	UGL	
	AVR	246TCP				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	4.200	UGL	
	AVR	24DCLP				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	2.900	UGL	
	AVR	24DMPN				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	5.800	UGL	
	AVR	24DNP				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	21.000	UGL	
	AVR	24DNT				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	4.500	UGL	
	AVR	26DNT				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.790	UGL	
	AVR	2CLP				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.990	UGL	
	AVR	2CNAP				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVR	2MNAP				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	AVR	2MP				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	3.900	UGL	
	AVR	2NANIL				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	4.300	UGL	
	AVR	2NP				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	3.700	UGL	
	AVR	33DCBD				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	12.000	UGL	
	AVR	3NANIL				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	4.900	UGL	
	AVR	46DN2C				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	17.000	UGL	
	AVR	4BRPPE				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	4.200	UGL	
	AVR	4CANIL				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	7.300	UGL	
	AVR	4CL3C				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	AVR	4CLPPE				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	5.100	UGL	
	AVR	4MP				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.520	UGL	
	AVR	4NANIL				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	5.200	UGL	
	AVR	4NP				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	12.000	UGL	
	AVR	ABHC				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	AVR	ACLDAN				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	5.100	UGL	
	AVR	AENSLF				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	9.200	UGL	
	AVR	ALDRN				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	4.700	UGL	
	AVR	ANAPNE				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	1.700	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM18	AVR	ANAPYL				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVR	ANTRC				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVR	B2CEXM				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	1.500	UGL	
	AVR	B2CIPE				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	5.300	UGL	
	AVR	B2CLEE				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	1.900	UGL	
	AVR	B2EHP				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	4.800	UGL	
	AVR	BAANTR				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	1.600	UGL	
	AVR	BAPYR				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	4.700	UGL	
	AVR	BBFANT				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	5.400	UGL	
	AVR	BBHC				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	AVR	BBZP				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	3.400	UGL	
	AVR	BENSLF				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	9.200	UGL	
	AVR	BENZID				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	10.000	UGL	
	AVR	BENZOA				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	13.000	UGL	
	AVR	BGHIPY				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	6.100	UGL	
	AVR	BKFANT				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.870	UGL	
	AVR	BZALC				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.720	UGL	
	AVR	CARBAZ				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVR	CHRY				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	2.400	UGL	
	AVR	CL6BZ				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	1.600	UGL	
	AVR	CL6CP				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	8.600	UGL	
	AVR	CL6ET				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	1.500	UGL	
	AVR	DBAHA				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	6.500	UGL	
	AVR	DBHC				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	AVR	DBZFUR				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	AVR	DEP				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	2.000	UGL	
	AVR	DLDRN				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	4.700	UGL	
	AVR	DMP				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	1.500	UGL	
	AVR	DNBP				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	3.700	UGL	
	AVR	DNOP				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	15.000	UGL	
	AVR	ENDRN				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	7.600	UGL	
	AVR	ENDRNA				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	8.000	UGL	
	AVR	ENDRNK				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	8.000	UGL	
	AVR	ESFSO4				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	9.200	UGL	
	AVR	FANT				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	3.300	UGL	
	AVR	FLRENE				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	3.700	UGL	
	AVR	GCLDAN				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	5.100	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM18	AVR	HCBD				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	3.400	UGL	
	AVR	HPCL				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	2.000	UGL	
	AVR	HPCLE				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	5.000	UGL	
	AVR	ICDPYR				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	8.600	UGL	
	AVR	ISOPHR				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	4.800	UGL	
	AVR	LIN				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	AVR	MEXCLR				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	5.100	UGL	
	AVR	NAP				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVR	NB				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVR	NNDMEA				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	2.000	UGL	
	AVR	NNDNPA				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	4.400	UGL	
	AVR	NNDPA				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	3.000	UGL	
	AVR	PCB016				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	21.000	UGL	
	AVR	PCB221				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	21.000	UGL	
	AVR	PCB232				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	21.000	UGL	
	AVR	PCB242				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	30.000	UGL	
	AVR	PCB248				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	30.000	UGL	
	AVR	PCB254				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	36.000	UGL	
	AVR	PCB260				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	36.000	UGL	
	AVR	PCP				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	18.000	UGL	
	AVR	PHANTR				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVR	PHENOL				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	9.200	UGL	
	AVR	PPDDD				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	AVR	PPDDE				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	4.700	UGL	
	AVR	PPDDT				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	9.200	UGL	
	AVR	PYR				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	2.800	UGL	
	AVR	TXPHEN				28-OCT-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	36.000	UGL	
	AVS	124TCB				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	1.800	UGL	
	AVS	12DCLB				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	AVS	12DPH				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	2.000	UGL	
	AVS	13DCLB				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	AVS	14DCLB				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	AVS	245TCP				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	5.200	UGL	
	AVS	246TCP				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	4.200	UGL	
	AVS	24DCLP				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	2.900	UGL	
	AVS	24DMPN				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	5.800	UGL	
	AVS	24DNP				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	21.000	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM18	AVS	24DNT				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	4.500	UGL	
	AVS	26DNT				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	0.790	UGL	
	AVS	2CLP				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	0.990	UGL	
	AVS	2CNAP				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVS	2MNAP				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	AVS	2MP				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	3.900	UGL	
	AVS	2NANIL				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	4.300	UGL	
	AVS	2NP				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	3.700	UGL	
	AVS	33DCBD				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	12.000	UGL	
	AVS	3NANIL				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	4.900	UGL	
	AVS	46DN2C				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	17.000	UGL	
	AVS	4BRPPE				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	4.200	UGL	
	AVS	4CANIL				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	7.300	UGL	
	AVS	4CL3C				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	AVS	4CLPPE				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	5.100	UGL	
	AVS	4MP				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	0.520	UGL	
	AVS	4NANIL				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	5.200	UGL	
	AVS	4NP				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	12.000	UGL	
	AVS	ABHC				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	AVS	ACLDAN				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	5.100	UGL	
	AVS	AENSLF				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	9.200	UGL	
	AVS	ALDRN				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	4.700	UGL	
	AVS	ANAPNE				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	AVS	ANAPYL				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVS	ANTRC				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVS	B2CEXM				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	1.500	UGL	
	AVS	B2CIPE				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	5.300	UGL	
	AVS	B2CLEE				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	1.900	UGL	
	AVS	B2EHP				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	4.800	UGL	
	AVS	BAANTR				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	1.600	UGL	
	AVS	BAPYR				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	4.700	UGL	
	AVS	BBFANT				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	5.400	UGL	
	AVS	BBHC				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	AVS	BBZP				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	3.400	UGL	
	AVS	BENSLF				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	9.200	UGL	
	AVS	BENZID				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	10.000	UGL	
	AVS	BENZOA				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	13.000	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM18	AVS	BGHIPY				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	6.100	UGL	
	AVS	BKFANT				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	0.870	UGL	
	AVS	BZALC				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	0.720	UGL	
	AVS	CARBAZ				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVS	CHRY				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	2.400	UGL	
	AVS	CL6BZ				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	1.600	UGL	
	AVS	CL6CP				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	8.600	UGL	
	AVS	CL6ET				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	1.500	UGL	
	AVS	DBAHA				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	6.500	UGL	
	AVS	DBHC				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	AVS	DBZFUR				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	AVS	DEP				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	2.000	UGL	
	AVS	DLDRN				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	4.700	UGL	
	AVS	DMP				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	1.500	UGL	
	AVS	DNBP				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	3.700	UGL	
	AVS	DNOP				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	15.000	UGL	
	AVS	ENDRN				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	7.600	UGL	
	AVS	ENDRNA				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	8.000	UGL	
	AVS	ENDRNK				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	8.000	UGL	
	AVS	ESFSO4				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	9.200	UGL	
	AVS	FANT				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	3.300	UGL	
	AVS	FLRENE				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	3.700	UGL	
	AVS	GCLDAN				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	5.100	UGL	
	AVS	HCBD				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	3.400	UGL	
	AVS	HPCL				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	2.000	UGL	
	AVS	HPCLE				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	5.000	UGL	
	AVS	ICDPYR				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	8.600	UGL	
	AVS	ISOPHR				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	4.800	UGL	
	AVS	LIN				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	AVS	MEXCLR				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	5.100	UGL	
	AVS	NAP				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVS	NB				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVS	NNDMEA				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	2.000	UGL	
	AVS	NNDNPA				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	4.400	UGL	
	AVS	NNDPA				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	3.000	UGL	
	AVS	PCB016				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	21.000	UGL	
	AVS	PCB221				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	21.000	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM18	AVS	PCB232				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	21.000	UGL	
	AVS	PCB242				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	30.000	UGL	
	AVS	PCB248				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	30.000	UGL	
	AVS	PCB254				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	36.000	UGL	
	AVS	PCB260				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	36.000	UGL	
	AVS	PCP				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	18.000	UGL	
	AVS	PHANTR				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVS	PHENOL				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	9.200	UGL	
	AVS	PPDDD				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	AVS	PPDDE				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	4.700	UGL	
	AVS	PPDDT				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	9.200	UGL	
	AVS	PYR				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	2.800	UGL	
	AVS	TXPHEN				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	36.000	UGL	
	AVT	124TCB				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	1.800	UGL	
	AVT	12DCLB				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	AVT	12DPH				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	2.000	UGL	
	AVT	13DCLB				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	AVT	14DCLB				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	AVT	245TCP				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	5.200	UGL	
	AVT	246TCP				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	4.200	UGL	
	AVT	24DCLP				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	2.900	UGL	
	AVT	24DMPN				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	5.800	UGL	
	AVT	24DNP				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	21.000	UGL	
	AVT	24DNT				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	4.500	UGL	
	AVT	26DNT				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	0.790	UGL	
	AVT	2CLP				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	0.990	UGL	
	AVT	2CNAP				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVT	2MNAP				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	AVT	2MP				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	3.900	UGL	
	AVT	2NANIL				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	4.300	UGL	
	AVT	2NP				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	3.700	UGL	
	AVT	33DCBD				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	12.000	UGL	
	AVT	3NANIL				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	4.900	UGL	
	AVT	46DN2C				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	17.000	UGL	
	AVT	4BRPPE				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	4.200	UGL	
	AVT	4CANIL				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	7.300	UGL	
	AVT	4CL3C				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	4.000	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM18	AVT	4CLPPE				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	5.100	UGL	
	AVT	4MP				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	0.520	UGL	
	AVT	4NANIL				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	5.200	UGL	
	AVT	4NP				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	12.000	UGL	
	AVT	ABHC				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	AVT	ACLDAN				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	5.100	UGL	
	AVT	AENSLF				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	9.200	UGL	
	AVT	ALDRN				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	4.700	UGL	
	AVT	ANAPNE				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	AVT	ANAPYL				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVT	ANTRC				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVT	B2CEXM				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	1.500	UGL	
	AVT	B2CIPE				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	5.300	UGL	
	AVT	B2CLEE				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	1.900	UGL	
	AVT	B2EHP				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	4.800	UGL	
	AVT	BAANTR				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	1.600	UGL	
	AVT	BAPYR				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	4.700	UGL	
	AVT	BBFANT				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	5.400	UGL	
	AVT	BBHC				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	AVT	BBZP				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	3.400	UGL	
	AVT	BENSLF				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	9.200	UGL	
	AVT	BENZID				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	10.000	UGL	
	AVT	BENZOA				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	13.000	UGL	
	AVT	BGHIPY				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	6.100	UGL	
	AVT	BKFANT				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	0.870	UGL	
	AVT	BZALC				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	0.720	UGL	
	AVT	CARBAZ				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVT	CHRY				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	2.400	UGL	
	AVT	CL6BZ				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	1.600	UGL	
	AVT	CL6CP				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	8.600	UGL	
	AVT	CL6ET				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	1.500	UGL	
	AVT	DBAHA				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	6.500	UGL	
	AVT	DBHC				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	AVT	DBZFUR				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	AVT	DEP				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	2.000	UGL	
	AVT	DLDRN				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	4.700	UGL	
	AVT	DMP				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	1.500	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM18	AVT	DNBP				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	3.700	UGL	
	AVT	DNOP				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	15.000	UGL	
	AVT	ENDRN				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	7.600	UGL	
	AVT	ENDRNA				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	8.000	UGL	
	AVT	ENDRNK				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	8.000	UGL	
	AVT	ESFSO4				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	9.200	UGL	
	AVT	FANT				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	3.300	UGL	
	AVT	FLRENE				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	3.700	UGL	
	AVT	GCLDAN				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	5.100	UGL	
	AVT	HCBD				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	3.400	UGL	
	AVT	HPCL				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	2.000	UGL	
	AVT	HPCLE				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	5.000	UGL	
	AVT	ICDPYR				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	8.600	UGL	
	AVT	ISOPHR				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	4.800	UGL	
	AVT	LIN				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	AVT	MEXCLR				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	5.100	UGL	
	AVT	NAP				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVT	NB				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVT	NNDMEA				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	2.000	UGL	
	AVT	NNDNPA				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	4.400	UGL	
	AVT	NNDPA				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	3.000	UGL	
	AVT	PCB016				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	21.000	UGL	
	AVT	PCB221				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	21.000	UGL	
	AVT	PCB232				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	21.000	UGL	
	AVT	PCB242				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	30.000	UGL	
	AVT	PCB248				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	30.000	UGL	
	AVT	PCB254				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	36.000	UGL	
	AVT	PCB260				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	36.000	UGL	
	AVT	PCP				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	18.000	UGL	
	AVT	PHANTR				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVT	PHENOL				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	9.200	UGL	
	AVT	PPDDD				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	AVT	PPDDE				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	4.700	UGL	
	AVT	PPDDT				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	9.200	UGL	
	AVT	PYR				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	2.800	UGL	
	AVT	TXPHEN				30-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	36.000	UGL	
	AVV	124TCB				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	1.800	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM18	AVV	12DCLB				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	AVV	12DPH				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	2.000	UGL	
	AVV	13DCLB				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	AVV	14DCLB				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	AVV	245TCP				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	5.200	UGL	
	AVV	246TCP				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	4.200	UGL	
	AVV	24DCLP				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	2.900	UGL	
	AVV	24DMPN				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	5.800	UGL	
	AVV	24DNP				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	21.000	UGL	
	AVV	24DNT				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	4.500	UGL	
	AVV	26DNT				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	0.790	UGL	
	AVV	2CLP				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	0.990	UGL	
	AVV	2CNAP				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVV	2MNAP				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	AVV	2MP				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	3.900	UGL	
	AVV	2NANIL				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	4.300	UGL	
	AVV	2NP				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	3.700	UGL	
	AVV	33DCBD				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	12.000	UGL	
	AVV	3NANIL				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	4.900	UGL	
	AVV	46DN2C				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	17.000	UGL	
	AVV	4BRPPE				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	4.200	UGL	
	AVV	4CANIL				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	7.300	UGL	
	AVV	4CL3C				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	AVV	4CLPPE				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	5.100	UGL	
	AVV	4MP				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	0.520	UGL	
	AVV	4NANIL				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	5.200	UGL	
	AVV	4NP				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	12.000	UGL	
	AVV	ABHC				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	AVV	ACLDAN				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	5.100	UGL	
	AVV	AENSLF				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	9.200	UGL	
	AVV	ALDRN				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	4.700	UGL	
	AVV	ANAPNE				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	AVV	ANAPYL				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVV	ANTRC				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVV	B2CEXM				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	1.500	UGL	
	AVV	B2CIPE				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	5.300	UGL	
	AVV	B2CLEE				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	1.900	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM18	AVV	B2EHP				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992		9.600	UGL	
	AVV	BAANTR				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	1.600	UGL	
	AVV	BAPYR				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	4.700	UGL	
	AVV	BBFANT				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	5.400	UGL	
	AVV	BBHC				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	AVV	BBZP				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	3.400	UGL	
	AVV	BENSLF				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	9.200	UGL	
	AVV	BENZID				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	10.000	UGL	
	AVV	BENZOA				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	13.000	UGL	
	AVV	BGHIPY				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	6.100	UGL	
	AVV	BKFANT				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	0.870	UGL	
	AVV	BZALC				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	0.720	UGL	
	AVV	CARBAZ				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVV	CHRY				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	2.400	UGL	
	AVV	CL6BZ				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	1.600	UGL	
	AVV	CL6CP				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	8.600	UGL	
	AVV	CL6ET				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	1.500	UGL	
	AVV	DBAHA				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	6.500	UGL	
	AVV	DBHC				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	AVV	DBZFUR				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	AVV	DEP				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	2.000	UGL	
	AVV	DLDRN				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	4.700	UGL	
	AVV	DMP				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	1.500	UGL	
	AVV	DNBP				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	3.700	UGL	
	AVV	DNOP				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	15.000	UGL	
	AVV	ENDRN				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	7.600	UGL	
	AVV	ENDRNA				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	8.000	UGL	
	AVV	ENDRNK				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	8.000	UGL	
	AVV	ESFSO4				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	9.200	UGL	
	AVV	FANT				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	3.300	UGL	
	AVV	FLRENE				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	3.700	UGL	
	AVV	GCLDAN				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	5.100	UGL	
	AVV	HCBD				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	3.400	UGL	
	AVV	HPCL				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	2.000	UGL	
	AVV	HPCLE				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	5.000	UGL	
	AVV	ICDPYR				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	8.600	UGL	
	AVV	ISOPHR				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	4.800	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM18	AVV	LIN				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	AVV	MEXCLR				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	5.100	UGL	
	AVV	NAP				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVV	NB				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVV	NNDMEA				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	2.000	UGL	
	AVV	NNDNPA				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	4.400	UGL	
	AVV	NNDPA				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	3.000	UGL	
	AVV	PCB016				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	21.000	UGL	
	AVV	PCB221				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	21.000	UGL	
	AVV	PCB232				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	21.000	UGL	
	AVV	PCB242				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	30.000	UGL	
	AVV	PCB248				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	30.000	UGL	
	AVV	PCB254				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	36.000	UGL	
	AVV	PCB260				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	36.000	UGL	
	AVV	PCP				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	18.000	UGL	
	AVV	PHANTR				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVV	PHENOL				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	9.200	UGL	
	AVV	PPDDD				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	AVV	PPDDE				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	4.700	UGL	
	AVV	PPDDT				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	9.200	UGL	
	AVV	PYR				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	2.800	UGL	
	AVV	TXPHEN				03-NOV-1992	16-NOV-1992	<	36.000	UGL	
	AVX	124TCB				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	1.800	UGL	
	AVX	12DCLB				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	AVX	12DPH				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	2.000	UGL	
	AVX	13DCLB				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	AVX	14DCLB				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	AVX	245TCP				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	5.200	UGL	
	AVX	246TCP				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	4.200	UGL	
	AVX	24DCLP				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	2.900	UGL	
	AVX	24DMPN				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	5.800	UGL	
	AVX	24DNP				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	21.000	UGL	
	AVX	24DNT				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	4.500	UGL	
	AVX	26DNT				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	0.790	UGL	
	AVX	2CLP				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	0.990	UGL	
	AVX	2CNAP				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVX	2MNAP				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	1.700	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM18	AVX	2MP				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	3.900	UGL	
	AVX	2NANIL				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	4.300	UGL	
	AVX	2NP				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	3.700	UGL	
	AVX	33DCBD				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	12.000	UGL	
	AVX	3NANIL				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	4.900	UGL	
	AVX	46DN2C				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	17.000	UGL	
	AVX	4BRPPE				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	4.200	UGL	
	AVX	4CANIL				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	7.300	UGL	
	AVX	4CL3C				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	AVX	4CLPPE				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	5.100	UGL	
	AVX	4MP				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	0.520	UGL	
	AVX	4NANIL				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	5.200	UGL	
	AVX	4NP				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	12.000	UGL	
	AVX	ABHC				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	AVX	ACLDAN				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	5.100	UGL	
	AVX	AENSLF				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	9.200	UGL	
	AVX	ALDRN				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	4.700	UGL	
	AVX	ANAPNE				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	AVX	ANAPYL				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVX	ANTRC				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVX	B2CEXM				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	1.500	UGL	
	AVX	B2CIPE				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	5.300	UGL	
	AVX	B2CLEE				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	1.900	UGL	
	AVX	B2EHP				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	4.800	UGL	
	AVX	BAANTR				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	1.600	UGL	
	AVX	BAPYR				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	4.700	UGL	
	AVX	BBFANT				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	5.400	UGL	
	AVX	BBHC				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	AVX	BBZP				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	3.400	UGL	
	AVX	BENSLF				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	9.200	UGL	
	AVX	BENZID				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	10.000	UGL	
	AVX	BENZOA				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	13.000	UGL	
	AVX	BGHIPY				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	6.100	UGL	
	AVX	BKFANT				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	0.870	UGL	
	AVX	BZALC				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	0.720	UGL	
	AVX	CARBAZ				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVX	CHRY				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	2.400	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM18	AVX	CL6BZ				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	1.600	UGL	
	AVX	CL6CP				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	8.600	UGL	
	AVX	CL6ET				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	1.500	UGL	
	AVX	DBAHA				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	6.500	UGL	
	AVX	DBHC				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	AVX	DBZFUR				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	AVX	DEP				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	2.000	UGL	
	AVX	DLDRN				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	4.700	UGL	
	AVX	DMP				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	1.500	UGL	
	AVX	DNBP				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	3.700	UGL	
	AVX	DNOP				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	15.000	UGL	
	AVX	ENDRN				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	7.600	UGL	
	AVX	ENDRNA				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	8.000	UGL	
	AVX	ENDRNK				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	8.000	UGL	
	AVX	ESFSO4				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	9.200	UGL	
	AVX	FANT				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	3.300	UGL	
	AVX	FLRENE				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	3.700	UGL	
	AVX	GCLDAN				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	5.100	UGL	
	AVX	HCBD				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	3.400	UGL	
	AVX	HPCL				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	2.000	UGL	
	AVX	HPCLE				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	5.000	UGL	
	AVX	ICDPYR				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	8.600	UGL	
	AVX	ISOPHR				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	4.800	UGL	
	AVX	LIN				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	AVX	MEXCLR				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	5.100	UGL	
	AVX	NAP				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVX	NB				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVX	NNDMEA				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	2.000	UGL	
	AVX	NNDNPA				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	4.400	UGL	
	AVX	NNDPA				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	3.000	UGL	
	AVX	PCB016				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	21.000	UGL	
	AVX	PCB221				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	21.000	UGL	
	AVX	PCB232				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	21.000	UGL	
	AVX	PCB242				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	30.000	UGL	
	AVX	PCB248				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	30.000	UGL	
	AVX	PCB254				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	36.000	UGL	
	AVX	PCB260				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	36.000	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM18	AVX	PCP				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	18.000	UGL	
	AVX	PHANTR				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVX	PHENOL				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	9.200	UGL	
	AVX	PPDDD				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	AVX	PPDDE				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	4.700	UGL	
	AVX	PPDDT				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	9.200	UGL	
	AVX	PYR				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	2.800	UGL	
	AVX	TXPHEN				05-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	36.000	UGL	
	AVY	124TCB				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	1.800	UGL	
	AVY	12DCLB				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	AVY	12DPH				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	2.000	UGL	
	AVY	13DCLB				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	AVY	14DCLB				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	AVY	245TCP				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	5.200	UGL	
	AVY	246TCP				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	4.200	UGL	
	AVY	24DCLP				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	2.900	UGL	
	AVY	24DMPN				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	5.800	UGL	
	AVY	24DNP				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	21.000	UGL	
	AVY	24DNT				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	4.500	UGL	
	AVY	26DNT				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	0.790	UGL	
	AVY	2CLP				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	0.990	UGL	
	AVY	2CNAP				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVY	2MNAP				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	AVY	2MP				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	3.900	UGL	
	AVY	2NANIL				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	4.300	UGL	
	AVY	2NP				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	3.700	UGL	
	AVY	33DCBD				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	12.000	UGL	
	AVY	3NANIL				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	4.900	UGL	
	AVY	46DN2C				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	17.000	UGL	
	AVY	4BRPPE				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	4.200	UGL	
	AVY	4CANIL				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	7.300	UGL	
	AVY	4CL3C				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	AVY	4CLPPE				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	5.100	UGL	
	AVY	4MP				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	0.520	UGL	
	AVY	4NANIL				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	5.200	UGL	
	AVY	4NP				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	12.000	UGL	
	AVY	ABHC				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	4.000	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM18	AVY	ACLDAN				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	5.100	UGL	
	AVY	AENSLF				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	9.200	UGL	
	AVY	ALDRN				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	4.700	UGL	
	AVY	ANAPNE				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	AVY	ANAPYL				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVY	ANTRC				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVY	B2CEXM				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	1.500	UGL	
	AVY	B2CIPE				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	5.300	UGL	
	AVY	B2CLEE				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	1.900	UGL	
	AVY	B2EHP				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	4.800	UGL	
	AVY	BAANTR				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	1.600	UGL	
	AVY	BAPYR				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	4.700	UGL	
	AVY	BBFANT				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	5.400	UGL	
	AVY	BBHC				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	AVY	BBZP				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	3.400	UGL	
	AVY	BENSLF				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	9.200	UGL	
	AVY	BENZID				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	10.000	UGL	
	AVY	BENZOA				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	13.000	UGL	
	AVY	BGHIPY				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	6.100	UGL	
	AVY	BKFANT				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	0.870	UGL	
	AVY	BZALC				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	0.720	UGL	
	AVY	C18ABE				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992		9.000	UGL	
	AVY	CARBAZ				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVY	CHRY				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	2.400	UGL	
	AVY	CL6BZ				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	1.600	UGL	
	AVY	CL6CP				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	8.600	UGL	
	AVY	CL6ET				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	1.500	UGL	
	AVY	DBAHA				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	6.500	UGL	
	AVY	DBHC				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	AVY	DBZFUR				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	AVY	DEP				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	2.000	UGL	
	AVY	DLDRN				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	4.700	UGL	
	AVY	DMP				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	1.500	UGL	
	AVY	DNBP				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	3.700	UGL	
	AVY	DNOP				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	15.000	UGL	
	AVY	ENDRN				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	7.600	UGL	
	AVY	ENDRNA				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	8.000	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM18	AVY	ENDRNK				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	8.000	UGL	
	AVY	ESFSO4				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	9.200	UGL	
	AVY	FANT				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	3.300	UGL	
	AVY	FLRENE				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	3.700	UGL	
	AVY	GCLDAN				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	5.100	UGL	
	AVY	HCBD				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	3.400	UGL	
	AVY	HPCL				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	2.000	UGL	
	AVY	HPCLE				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	5.000	UGL	
	AVY	ICDPYR				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	8.600	UGL	
	AVY	ISOPHR				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	4.800	UGL	
	AVY	LIN				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	AVY	MEXCLR				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	5.100	UGL	
	AVY	NAP				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVY	NB				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVY	NNDMEA				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	2.000	UGL	
	AVY	NNDNPA				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	4.400	UGL	
	AVY	NNDPA				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	3.000	UGL	
	AVY	PCB016				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	21.000	UGL	
	AVY	PCB221				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	21.000	UGL	
	AVY	PCB232				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	21.000	UGL	
	AVY	PCB242				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	30.000	UGL	
	AVY	PCB248				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	30.000	UGL	
	AVY	PCB254				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	36.000	UGL	
	AVY	PCB260				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	36.000	UGL	
	AVY	PCP				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	18.000	UGL	
	AVY	PHANTR				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	AVY	PHENOL				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	9.200	UGL	
	AVY	PPDDD				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	AVY	PPDDE				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	4.700	UGL	
	AVY	PPDDT				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	9.200	UGL	
	AVY	PYR				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	2.800	UGL	
	AVY	TXPHEN				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992	<	36.000	UGL	
	AVY	UNK606				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992		4.000	UGL	
	AVY	UNK617				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992		5.000	UGL	
	AVY	UNK625				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992		8.000	UGL	
	AVY	UNK632				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992		8.000	UGL	
	AVY	UNK637				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992		6.000	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM18	AVY	UNK642				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992		5.000	UGL	
	AVY	UNK647				10-NOV-1992	17-NOV-1992		5.000	UGL	
	CKC	124TCB				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	1.800	UGL	
	CKC	12DCLB				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	CKC	12DPH				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	2.000	UGL	
	CKC	13DCLB				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	CKC	14DCLB				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	CKC	245TCP				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	5.200	UGL	
	CKC	246TCP				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	4.200	UGL	
	CKC	24DCLP				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	2.900	UGL	
	CKC	24DMPN				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	5.800	UGL	
	CKC	24DNP				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	21.000	UGL	
	CKC	24DNT				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	4.500	UGL	
	CKC	26DNT				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	0.790	UGL	
	CKC	2CLP				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	0.990	UGL	
	CKC	2CNAP				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	CKC	2MNAP				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	CKC	2MP				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	3.900	UGL	
	CKC	2NANIL				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	4.300	UGL	
	CKC	2NP				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	3.700	UGL	
	CKC	33DCBD				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	12.000	UGL	
	CKC	3NANIL				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	4.900	UGL	
	CKC	46DN2C				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	17.000	UGL	
	CKC	4BRPPE				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	4.200	UGL	
	CKC	4CANIL				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	7.300	UGL	
	CKC	4CL3C				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	CKC	4CLPPE				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	5.100	UGL	
	CKC	4MP				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	0.520	UGL	
	CKC	4NANIL				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	5.200	UGL	
	CKC	4NP				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	12.000	UGL	
	CKC	ABHC				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	CKC	ACLDAN				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	5.100	UGL	
	CKC	AENSLF				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	9.200	UGL	
	CKC	ALDRN				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	4.700	UGL	
	CKC	ANAPNE				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	CKC	ANAPYL				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	CKC	ANTRC				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM18	CKC	B2CEXM				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	1.500	UGL	
	CKC	B2CIPE				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	5.300	UGL	
	CKC	B2CLEE				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	1.900	UGL	
	CKC	B2EHP				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	4.800	UGL	
	CKC	BAANTR				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	1.600	UGL	
	CKC	BAPYR				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	4.700	UGL	
	CKC	BBFANT				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	5.400	UGL	
	CKC	BBHC				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	CKC	BBZP				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	3.400	UGL	
	CKC	BENSLF				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	9.200	UGL	
	CKC	BENZID				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	10.000	UGL	
	CKC	BENZOA				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	13.000	UGL	
	CKC	BGHIPY				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	6.100	UGL	
	CKC	BKFANT				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	0.870	UGL	
	CKC	BZALC				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	0.720	UGL	
	CKC	CARBAZ				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	CKC	CHRY				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	2.400	UGL	
	CKC	CL6BZ				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	1.600	UGL	
	CKC	CL6CP				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	8.600	UGL	
	CKC	CL6ET				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	1.500	UGL	
	CKC	DBAHA				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	6.500	UGL	
	CKC	DBHC				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	CKC	DBZFUR				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	CKC	DEP				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	2.000	UGL	
	CKC	DLDRN				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	4.700	UGL	
	CKC	DMP				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	1.500	UGL	
	CKC	DNBP				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	3.700	UGL	
	CKC	DNOP				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	15.000	UGL	
	CKC	ENDRN				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	7.600	UGL	
	CKC	ENDRNA				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	8.000	UGL	
	CKC	ENDRNK				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	8.000	UGL	
	CKC	ESFSO4				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	9.200	UGL	
	CKC	FANT				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	3.300	UGL	
	CKC	FLRENE				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	3.700	UGL	
	CKC	GCLDAN				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	5.100	UGL	
	CKC	HCBD				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	3.400	UGL	
	CKC	HPCL				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	2.000	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM18	CKC	HPCLE				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	5.000	UGL	
	CKC	HXADOE				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992		6.000	UGL	
	CKC	ICDPYR				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	8.600	UGL	
	CKC	ISOPHR				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	4.800	UGL	
	CKC	LIN				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	CKC	MEXCLR				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	5.100	UGL	
	CKC	NAP				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	CKC	NB				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	CKC	NNDMEA				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	2.000	UGL	
	CKC	NNDNPA				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	4.400	UGL	
	CKC	NNDPA				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	3.000	UGL	
	CKC	PCB016				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	21.000	UGL	
	CKC	PCB221				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	21.000	UGL	
	CKC	PCB232				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	21.000	UGL	
	CKC	PCB242				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	30.000	UGL	
	CKC	PCB248				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	30.000	UGL	
	CKC	PCB254				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	36.000	UGL	
	CKC	PCB260				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	36.000	UGL	
	CKC	PCP				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	18.000	UGL	
	CKC	PHANTR				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	CKC	PHENOL				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	9.200	UGL	
	CKC	PPDDD				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	CKC	PPDDE				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	4.700	UGL	
	CKC	PPDDT				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	9.200	UGL	
	CKC	PYR				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	2.800	UGL	
	CKC	TXPHEN				25-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	36.000	UGL	
	CKD	124TCB				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	1.800	UGL	
	CKD	12DCLB				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	CKD	12DPH				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	2.000	UGL	
	CKD	13DCLB				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	CKD	14DCLB				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	CKD	245TCP				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	5.200	UGL	
	CKD	246TCP				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	4.200	UGL	
	CKD	24DCLP				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	2.900	UGL	
	CKD	24DMPN				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	5.800	UGL	
	CKD	24DNP				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	21.000	UGL	
	CKD	24DNT				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	4.500	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM18	CKD	26DNT				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	0.790	UGL	
	CKD	2CLP				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	0.990	UGL	
	CKD	2CNAP				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	CKD	2MNAP				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	CKD	2MP				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	3.900	UGL	
	CKD	2NANIL				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	4.300	UGL	
	CKD	2NP				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	3.700	UGL	
	CKD	33DCBD				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	12.000	UGL	
	CKD	3NANIL				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	4.900	UGL	
	CKD	46DN2C				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	17.000	UGL	
	CKD	4BRPPE				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	4.200	UGL	
	CKD	4CANIL				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	7.300	UGL	
	CKD	4CL3C				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	CKD	4CLPPE				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	5.100	UGL	
	CKD	4MP				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	0.520	UGL	
	CKD	4NANIL				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	5.200	UGL	
	CKD	4NP				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	12.000	UGL	
	CKD	ABHC				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	CKD	ACLDAN				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	5.100	UGL	
	CKD	AENSLF				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	9.200	UGL	
	CKD	ALDRN				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	4.700	UGL	
	CKD	ANAPNE				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	CKD	ANAPYL				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	CKD	ANTRC				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	CKD	B2CEXM				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	1.500	UGL	
	CKD	B2CIPE				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	5.300	UGL	
	CKD	B2CLEE				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	1.900	UGL	
	CKD	B2EHP				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	4.800	UGL	
	CKD	BAANTR				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	1.600	UGL	
	CKD	BAPYR				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	4.700	UGL	
	CKD	BBFANT				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	5.400	UGL	
	CKD	BBHC				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	CKD	BBZP				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	3.400	UGL	
	CKD	BENSLF				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	9.200	UGL	
	CKD	BENZID				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	10.000	UGL	
	CKD	BENZOA				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	13.000	UGL	
	CKD	BGHIPY				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	6.100	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM18	CKD	BKFANT				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	0.870	UGL	
	CKD	BZALC				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	0.720	UGL	
	CKD	CARBAZ				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	CKD	CHRY				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	2.400	UGL	
	CKD	CL6BZ				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	1.600	UGL	
	CKD	CL6CP				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	8.600	UGL	
	CKD	CL6ET				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	1.500	UGL	
	CKD	DBAHA				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	6.500	UGL	
	CKD	DBHC				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	CKD	DBZFUR				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	CKD	DEP				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	2.000	UGL	
	CKD	DLDRN				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	4.700	UGL	
	CKD	DMP				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	1.500	UGL	
	CKD	DNBP				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	3.700	UGL	
	CKD	DNOP				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	15.000	UGL	
	CKD	ENDRN				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	7.600	UGL	
	CKD	ENDRNA				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	8.000	UGL	
	CKD	ENDRNK				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	8.000	UGL	
	CKD	ESFSO4				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	9.200	UGL	
	CKD	FANT				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	3.300	UGL	
	CKD	FLRENE				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	3.700	UGL	
	CKD	GCLDAN				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	5.100	UGL	
	CKD	HCBD				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	3.400	UGL	
	CKD	HPCL				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	2.000	UGL	
	CKD	HPCLE				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	5.000	UGL	
	CKD	HXADOE				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992		7.000	UGL	
	CKD	ICDPYR				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	8.600	UGL	
	CKD	ISOPHR				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	4.800	UGL	
	CKD	LIN				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	CKD	MEXCLR				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	5.100	UGL	
	CKD	NAP				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	CKD	NB				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	CKD	NNDMEA				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	2.000	UGL	
	CKD	NNDNPA				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	4.400	UGL	
	CKD	NNDPA				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	3.000	UGL	
	CKD	PCB016				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	21.000	UGL	
	CKD	PCB221				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	21.000	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM18	CKD	PCB232				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	21.000	UGL	
	CKD	PCB242				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	30.000	UGL	
	CKD	PCB248				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	30.000	UGL	
	CKD	PCB254				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	36.000	UGL	
	CKD	PCB260				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	36.000	UGL	
	CKD	PCP				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	18.000	UGL	
	CKD	PHANTR				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	CKD	PHENOL				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	9.200	UGL	
	CKD	PPDDD				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	CKD	PPDDE				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	4.700	UGL	
	CKD	PPDDT				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	9.200	UGL	
	CKD	PYR				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	2.800	UGL	
	CKD	TXPHEN				26-NOV-1992	04-DEC-1992	<	36.000	UGL	
	CKF	124TCB				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	1.800	UGL	
	CKF	12DCLB				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	CKF	12DPH				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	2.000	UGL	
	CKF	13DCLB				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	CKF	14DCLB				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	CKF	245TCP				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	5.200	UGL	
	CKF	246TCP				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	4.200	UGL	
	CKF	24DCLP				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	2.900	UGL	
	CKF	24DMPN				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	5.800	UGL	
	CKF	24DNP				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	21.000	UGL	
	CKF	24DNT				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	4.500	UGL	
	CKF	26DNT				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	0.790	UGL	
	CKF	2CLP				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	0.990	UGL	
	CKF	2CNAP				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CKF	2MNAP				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	CKF	2MP				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	3.900	UGL	
	CKF	2NANIL				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	4.300	UGL	
	CKF	2NP				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	3.700	UGL	
	CKF	33DCBD				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	12.000	UGL	
	CKF	3NANIL				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	4.900	UGL	
	CKF	46DN2C				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	17.000	UGL	
	CKF	4BRPPE				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	4.200	UGL	
	CKF	4CANIL				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	7.300	UGL	
	CKF	4CL3C				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	4.000	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM18	CKF	4CLPPE				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	5.100	UGL	
	CKF	4MP				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	0.520	UGL	
	CKF	4NANIL				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	5.200	UGL	
	CKF	4NP				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	12.000	UGL	
	CKF	ABHC				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	CKF	ACLDAN				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	5.100	UGL	
	CKF	AENSLF				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	9.200	UGL	
	CKF	ALDRN				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	4.700	UGL	
	CKF	ANAPNE				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	CKF	ANAPYL				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CKF	ANTRC				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CKF	B2CEXM				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	1.500	UGL	
	CKF	B2CIPE				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	5.300	UGL	
	CKF	B2CLEE				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	1.900	UGL	
	CKF	B2EHP				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993		5.200	UGL	
	CKF	BAANTR				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	1.600	UGL	
	CKF	BAPYR				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	4.700	UGL	
	CKF	BBFANT				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	5.400	UGL	
	CKF	BBHC				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	CKF	BBZP				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	3.400	UGL	
	CKF	BENSLF				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	9.200	UGL	
	CKF	BENZID				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	10.000	UGL	
	CKF	BENZOA				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	13.000	UGL	
	CKF	BGHIPY				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	6.100	UGL	
	CKF	BKFANT				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	0.870	UGL	
	CKF	BZALC				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	0.720	UGL	
	CKF	CARBAZ				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CKF	CHRY				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	2.400	UGL	
	CKF	CL6BZ				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	1.600	UGL	
	CKF	CL6CP				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	8.600	UGL	
	CKF	CL6ET				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	1.500	UGL	
	CKF	DBAHA				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	6.500	UGL	
	CKF	DBHC				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	CKF	DBZFUR				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	CKF	DEP				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	2.000	UGL	
	CKF	DLDRN				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	4.700	UGL	
	CKF	DMP				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	1.500	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM18	CKF	DNBP				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	3.700	UGL	
	CKF	DNOP				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	15.000	UGL	
	CKF	ENDRN				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	7.600	UGL	
	CKF	ENDRNA				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	8.000	UGL	
	CKF	ENDRNK				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	8.000	UGL	
	CKF	ESFSO4				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	9.200	UGL	
	CKF	FANT				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	3.300	UGL	
	CKF	FLRENE				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	3.700	UGL	
	CKF	GCLDAN				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	5.100	UGL	
	CKF	HCBD				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	3.400	UGL	
	CKF	HPCL				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	2.000	UGL	
	CKF	HPCLE				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	5.000	UGL	
	CKF	ICDPYR				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	8.600	UGL	
	CKF	ISOPHR				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	4.800	UGL	
	CKF	LIN				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	CKF	MEXCLR				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	5.100	UGL	
	CKF	NAP				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CKF	NB				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CKF	NNDMEA				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	2.000	UGL	
	CKF	NNDNPA				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	4.400	UGL	
	CKF	NNDPA				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	3.000	UGL	
	CKF	PCB016				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	21.000	UGL	
	CKF	PCB221				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	21.000	UGL	
	CKF	PCB232				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	21.000	UGL	
	CKF	PCB242				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	30.000	UGL	
	CKF	PCB248				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	30.000	UGL	
	CKF	PCB254				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	36.000	UGL	
	CKF	PCB260				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	36.000	UGL	
	CKF	PCP				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	18.000	UGL	
	CKF	PHANTR				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CKF	PHENOL				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	9.200	UGL	
	CKF	PPDDD				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	CKF	PPDDE				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	4.700	UGL	
	CKF	PPDDT				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	9.200	UGL	
	CKF	PYR				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	2.800	UGL	
	CKF	TXPHEN				14-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	36.000	UGL	
	CKG	124TCB				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	1.800	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM18	CKG	12DCLB				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	CKG	12DPH				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	2.000	UGL	
	CKG	13DCLB				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	CKG	14DCLB				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	CKG	245TCP				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	5.200	UGL	
	CKG	246TCP				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	4.200	UGL	
	CKG	24DCLP				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	2.900	UGL	
	CKG	24DMPN				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	5.800	UGL	
	CKG	24DNP				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	21.000	UGL	
	CKG	24DNT				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	4.500	UGL	
	CKG	26DNT				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	0.790	UGL	
	CKG	2CLP				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	0.990	UGL	
	CKG	2CNAP				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	CKG	2MNAP				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	CKG	2MP				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	3.900	UGL	
	CKG	2NANIL				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	4.300	UGL	
	CKG	2NP				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	3.700	UGL	
	CKG	33DCBD				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	12.000	UGL	
	CKG	3NANIL				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	4.900	UGL	
	CKG	46DN2C				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	17.000	UGL	
	CKG	4BRPPE				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	4.200	UGL	
	CKG	4CANIL				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	7.300	UGL	
	CKG	4CL3C				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	CKG	4CLPPE				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	5.100	UGL	
	CKG	4MP				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	0.520	UGL	
	CKG	4NANIL				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	5.200	UGL	
	CKG	4NP				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	12.000	UGL	
	CKG	ABHC				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	CKG	ACLDAN				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	5.100	UGL	
	CKG	AENSLF				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	9.200	UGL	
	CKG	ALDRN				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	4.700	UGL	
	CKG	ANAPNE				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	CKG	ANAPYL				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	CKG	ANTRC				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	CKG	B2CEXM				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	1.500	UGL	
	CKG	B2CIPE				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	5.300	UGL	
	CKG	B2CLEE				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	1.900	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM18	CKG	B2EHP				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	4.800	UGL	
	CKG	BAANTR				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	1.600	UGL	
	CKG	BAPYR				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	4.700	UGL	
	CKG	BBFANT				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	5.400	UGL	
	CKG	BBHC				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	CKG	BBZP				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	3.400	UGL	
	CKG	BENSLF				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	9.200	UGL	
	CKG	BENZID				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	10.000	UGL	
	CKG	BENZOA				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	13.000	UGL	
	CKG	BGHIPY				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	6.100	UGL	
	CKG	BKFANT				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	0.870	UGL	
	CKG	BZALC				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	0.720	UGL	
	CKG	CARBAZ				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	CKG	CHRY				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	2.400	UGL	
	CKG	CL6BZ				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	1.600	UGL	
	CKG	CL6CP				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	8.600	UGL	
	CKG	CL6ET				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	1.500	UGL	
	CKG	DBAHA				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	6.500	UGL	
	CKG	DBHC				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	CKG	DBZFUR				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	1.700	UGL	
	CKG	DEP				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	2.000	UGL	
	CKG	DLDRN				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	4.700	UGL	
	CKG	DMP				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	1.500	UGL	
	CKG	DNBP				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	3.700	UGL	
	CKG	DNOP				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	15.000	UGL	
	CKG	ENDRN				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	7.600	UGL	
	CKG	ENDRNA				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	8.000	UGL	
	CKG	ENDRNK				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	8.000	UGL	
	CKG	ESFSO4				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	9.200	UGL	
	CKG	FANT				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	3.300	UGL	
	CKG	FLRENE				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	3.700	UGL	
	CKG	GCLDAN				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	5.100	UGL	
	CKG	HCBD				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	3.400	UGL	
	CKG	HPCL				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	2.000	UGL	
	CKG	HPCLE				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	5.000	UGL	
	CKG	ICDPYR				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	8.600	UGL	
	CKG	ISOPHR				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	4.800	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM18	CKG	LIN				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	CKG	MEC6H5				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992		3.000	UGL	
	CKG	MEXCLR				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	5.100	UGL	
	CKG	NAP				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	CKG	NB				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	CKG	NNDMEA				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	2.000	UGL	
	CKG	NNDNPA				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	4.400	UGL	
	CKG	NNDPA				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	3.000	UGL	
	CKG	PCB016				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	21.000	UGL	
	CKG	PCB221				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	21.000	UGL	
	CKG	PCB232				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	21.000	UGL	
	CKG	PCB242				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	30.000	UGL	
	CKG	PCB248				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	30.000	UGL	
	CKG	PCB254				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	36.000	UGL	
	CKG	PCB260				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	36.000	UGL	
	CKG	PCP				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	18.000	UGL	
	CKG	PHANTR				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	CKG	PHENOL				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	9.200	UGL	
	CKG	PPDDD				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	4.000	UGL	
	CKG	PPDDE				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	4.700	UGL	
	CKG	PPDDT				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	9.200	UGL	
	CKG	PYR				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	2.800	UGL	
	CKG	TXPHEN				16-DEC-1992	29-DEC-1992	<	36.000	UGL	
	CKI	124TCB				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	1.800	UGL	
	CKI	12DCLB				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	CKI	12DPH				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	2.000	UGL	
	CKI	13DCLB				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	CKI	14DCLB				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	CKI	245TCP				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	5.200	UGL	
	CKI	246TCP				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	4.200	UGL	
	CKI	24DCLP				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	2.900	UGL	
	CKI	24DMPN				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	5.800	UGL	
	CKI	24DNP				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	21.000	UGL	
	CKI	24DNT				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	4.500	UGL	
	CKI	26DNT				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.790	UGL	
	CKI	2CLP				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.990	UGL	
	CKI	2CNAP				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM18	CKI	2MNAP				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	CKI	2MP				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	3.900	UGL	
	CKI	2NANIL				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	4.300	UGL	
	CKI	2NP				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	3.700	UGL	
	CKI	33DCBD				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	12.000	UGL	
	CKI	3NANIL				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	4.900	UGL	
	CKI	46DN2C				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	17.000	UGL	
	CKI	4BRPPE				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	4.200	UGL	
	CKI	4CANIL				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	7.300	UGL	
	CKI	4CL3C				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	CKI	4CLPPE				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	5.100	UGL	
	CKI	4MP				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.520	UGL	
	CKI	4NANIL				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	5.200	UGL	
	CKI	4NP				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	12.000	UGL	
	CKI	ABHC				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	CKI	ACLDAN				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	5.100	UGL	
	CKI	AENSLF				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	9.200	UGL	
	CKI	ALDRN				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	4.700	UGL	
	CKI	ANAPNE				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	CKI	ANAPYL				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CKI	ANTRC				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CKI	B2CEXM				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	1.500	UGL	
	CKI	B2CIPE				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	5.300	UGL	
	CKI	B2CLEE				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	1.900	UGL	
	CKI	B2EHP				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993		9.800	UGL	
	CKI	BAANTR				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	1.600	UGL	
	CKI	BAPYR				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	4.700	UGL	
	CKI	BBFANT				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	5.400	UGL	
	CKI	BBHC				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	CKI	BBZP				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	3.400	UGL	
	CKI	BENSLF				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	9.200	UGL	
	CKI	BENZID				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	10.000	UGL	
	CKI	BENZOA				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	13.000	UGL	
	CKI	BGHIPY				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	6.100	UGL	
	CKI	BKFANT				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.870	UGL	
	CKI	BZALC				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.720	UGL	
	CKI	CARBAZ				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM18	CKI	CHRY				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	2.400	UGL	
	CKI	CL6BZ				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	1.600	UGL	
	CKI	CL6CP				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	8.600	UGL	
	CKI	CL6ET				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	1.500	UGL	
	CKI	DBAHA				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	6.500	UGL	
	CKI	DBHC				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	CKI	DBZFUR				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	CKI	DEP				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	2.000	UGL	
	CKI	DLDRN				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	4.700	UGL	
	CKI	DMP				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	1.500	UGL	
	CKI	DNBP				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	3.700	UGL	
	CKI	DNOP				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	15.000	UGL	
	CKI	ENDRN				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	7.600	UGL	
	CKI	ENDRNA				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	8.000	UGL	
	CKI	ENDRNK				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	8.000	UGL	
	CKI	ESFS04				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	9.200	UGL	
	CKI	FANT				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	3.300	UGL	
	CKI	FLRENE				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	3.700	UGL	
	CKI	GCLDAN				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	5.100	UGL	
	CKI	HCBD				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	3.400	UGL	
	CKI	HPCL				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	2.000	UGL	
	CKI	HPCLE				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	5.000	UGL	
	CKI	ICDPYR				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	8.600	UGL	
	CKI	ISOPHR				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	4.800	UGL	
	CKI	LIN				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	CKI	MEXCLR				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	5.100	UGL	
	CKI	NAP				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CKI	NB				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CKI	NNDMEA				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	2.000	UGL	
	CKI	NNDNPA				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	4.400	UGL	
	CKI	NNDPA				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	3.000	UGL	
	CKI	PCB016				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	21.000	UGL	
	CKI	PCB221				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	21.000	UGL	
	CKI	PCB232				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	21.000	UGL	
	CKI	PCB242				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	30.000	UGL	
	CKI	PCB248				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	30.000	UGL	
	CKI	PCB254				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	36.000	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM18	CKI	PCB260				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	36.000	UGL	
	CKI	PCP				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	18.000	UGL	
	CKI	PHANTR				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CKI	PHENOL				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	9.200	UGL	
	CKI	PPDDD				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	CKI	PPDDE				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	4.700	UGL	
	CKI	PPDDT				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	9.200	UGL	
	CKI	PYR				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	2.800	UGL	
	CKI	TXPHEN				21-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	36.000	UGL	
	CKL	124TCB				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	1.800	UGL	
	CKL	12DCLB				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	CKL	12DPH				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	2.000	UGL	
	CKL	13DCLB				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	CKL	14DCLB				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	CKL	245TCP				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	5.200	UGL	
	CKL	246TCP				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	4.200	UGL	
	CKL	24DCLP				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	2.900	UGL	
	CKL	24DMPN				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	5.800	UGL	
	CKL	24DNP				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	21.000	UGL	
	CKL	24DNT				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	4.500	UGL	
	CKL	26DNT				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	0.790	UGL	
	CKL	2CLP				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	0.990	UGL	
	CKL	2CNAP				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CKL	2MNAP				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	CKL	2MP				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	3.900	UGL	
	CKL	2NANIL				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	4.300	UGL	
	CKL	2NP				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	3.700	UGL	
	CKL	33DCBD				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	12.000	UGL	
	CKL	3NANIL				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	4.900	UGL	
	CKL	46DN2C				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	17.000	UGL	
	CKL	4BRPPE				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	4.200	UGL	
	CKL	4CANIL				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	7.300	UGL	
	CKL	4CL3C				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	CKL	4CLPPE				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	5.100	UGL	
	CKL	4MP				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	0.520	UGL	
	CKL	4NANIL				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	5.200	UGL	
	CKL	4NP				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	12.000	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM18	CKL	ABHC				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	CKL	ACLDAN				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	5.100	UGL	
	CKL	AENSLF				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	9.200	UGL	
	CKL	ALDRN				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	4.700	UGL	
	CKL	ANAPNE				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	CKL	ANAPYL				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CKL	ANTRC				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CKL	B2CEXM				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	1.500	UGL	
	CKL	B2CIPE				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	5.300	UGL	
	CKL	B2CLEE				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	1.900	UGL	
	CKL	B2EHP				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	4.800	UGL	
	CKL	BAANTR				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	1.600	UGL	
	CKL	BAPYR				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	4.700	UGL	
	CKL	BBFANT				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	5.400	UGL	
	CKL	BBHC				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	CKL	BBZP				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	3.400	UGL	
	CKL	BENSLF				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	9.200	UGL	
	CKL	BENZID				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	10.000	UGL	
	CKL	BENZOA				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	13.000	UGL	
	CKL	BGHIPY				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	6.100	UGL	
	CKL	BKFANT				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	0.870	UGL	
	CKL	BZALC				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	0.720	UGL	
	CKL	CARBAZ				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CKL	CHRY				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	2.400	UGL	
	CKL	CL6BZ				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	1.600	UGL	
	CKL	CL6CP				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	8.600	UGL	
	CKL	CL6ET				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	1.500	UGL	
	CKL	DBAHA				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	6.500	UGL	
	CKL	DBHC				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	CKL	DBZFUR				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	CKL	DEP				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	2.000	UGL	
	CKL	DIACAL				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993		10.000	UGL	
	CKL	DLDRN				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	4.700	UGL	
	CKL	DMP				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	1.500	UGL	
	CKL	DNBP				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	3.700	UGL	
	CKL	DNOP				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	15.000	UGL	
	CKL	ENDRN				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	7.600	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM18	CKL	ENDRNA				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	8.000	UGL	
	CKL	ENDRNK				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	8.000	UGL	
	CKL	ESFSO4				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	9.200	UGL	
	CKL	FANT				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	3.300	UGL	
	CKL	FLRENE				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	3.700	UGL	
	CKL	GCLDAN				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	5.100	UGL	
	CKL	HCBD				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	3.400	UGL	
	CKL	HPCL				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	2.000	UGL	
	CKL	HPCLE				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	5.000	UGL	
	CKL	ICDPYR				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	8.600	UGL	
	CKL	ISOPHR				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	4.800	UGL	
	CKL	LIN				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	CKL	MEXCLR				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	5.100	UGL	
	CKL	NAP				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CKL	NB				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CKL	NNDMEA				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	2.000	UGL	
	CKL	NNDNPA				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	4.400	UGL	
	CKL	NNDPA				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	3.000	UGL	
	CKL	PCB016				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	21.000	UGL	
	CKL	PCB221				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	21.000	UGL	
	CKL	PCB232				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	21.000	UGL	
	CKL	PCB242				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	30.000	UGL	
	CKL	PCB248				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	30.000	UGL	
	CKL	PCB254				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	36.000	UGL	
	CKL	PCB260				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	36.000	UGL	
	CKL	PCP				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	18.000	UGL	
	CKL	PHANTR				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CKL	PHENOL				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	9.200	UGL	
	CKL	PPDDD				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	CKL	PPDDE				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	4.700	UGL	
	CKL	PPDDT				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	9.200	UGL	
	CKL	PYR				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	2.800	UGL	
	CKL	TCLEE				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993		30.000	UGL	
	CKL	TXPHEN				12-JAN-1993	15-JAN-1993	<	36.000	UGL	
	CKMA	124TCB				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	1.800	UGL	
	CKMA	12DCLB				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	CKMA	12DPH				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	2.000	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM18	CKMA	12EPCH				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993		4.000	UGL	
	CKMA	13DCLB				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	CKMA	14DCLB				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	CKMA	245TCP				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	5.200	UGL	
	CKMA	246TCP				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	4.200	UGL	
	CKMA	24DCLP				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	2.900	UGL	
	CKMA	24DMPN				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	5.800	UGL	
	CKMA	24DNP				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	21.000	UGL	
	CKMA	24DNT				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	4.500	UGL	
	CKMA	26DNT				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	0.790	UGL	
	CKMA	2CLP				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	0.990	UGL	
	CKMA	2CNAP				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CKMA	2MNAP				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	CKMA	2MP				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	3.900	UGL	
	CKMA	2NANIL				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	4.300	UGL	
	CKMA	2NP				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	3.700	UGL	
	CKMA	33DCBD				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	12.000	UGL	
	CKMA	3NANIL				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	4.900	UGL	
	CKMA	46DN2C				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	17.000	UGL	
	CKMA	4BRPPE				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	4.200	UGL	
	CKMA	4CANIL				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	7.300	UGL	
	CKMA	4CL3C				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	CKMA	4CLPPE				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	5.100	UGL	
	CKMA	4MP				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	0.520	UGL	
	CKMA	4NANIL				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	5.200	UGL	
	CKMA	4NP				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	12.000	UGL	
	CKMA	ABHC				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	CKMA	ACLDAN				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	5.100	UGL	
	CKMA	AENSLF				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	9.200	UGL	
	CKMA	ALDRN				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	4.700	UGL	
	CKMA	ANAPNE				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	CKMA	ANAPYL				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CKMA	ANTRC				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CKMA	B2CEXM				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	1.500	UGL	
	CKMA	B2CIPE				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	5.300	UGL	
	CKMA	B2CLEE				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	1.900	UGL	
	CKMA	B2EHP				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	4.800	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM18	CKMA	BAANTR				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	1.600	UGL	
	CKMA	BAPYR				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	4.700	UGL	
	CKMA	BBFANT				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	5.400	UGL	
	CKMA	BBHC				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	CKMA	BBZP				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	3.400	UGL	
	CKMA	BENSLF				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	9.200	UGL	
	CKMA	BENZID				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	10.000	UGL	
	CKMA	BENZOA				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	13.000	UGL	
	CKMA	BGHIPY				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	6.100	UGL	
	CKMA	BKFANT				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	0.870	UGL	
	CKMA	BZALC				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	0.720	UGL	
	CKMA	CARBAZ				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CKMA	CHRY				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	2.400	UGL	
	CKMA	CL6BZ				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	1.600	UGL	
	CKMA	CL6CP				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	8.600	UGL	
	CKMA	CL6ET				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	1.500	UGL	
	CKMA	DBAHA				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	6.500	UGL	
	CKMA	DBHC				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	CKMA	DBZFUR				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	CKMA	DEP				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	2.000	UGL	
	CKMA	DLDRN				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	4.700	UGL	
	CKMA	DMP				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	1.500	UGL	
	CKMA	DNBP				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	3.700	UGL	
	CKMA	DNOP				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	15.000	UGL	
	CKMA	ENDRN				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	7.600	UGL	
	CKMA	ENDRNA				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	8.000	UGL	
	CKMA	ENDRNK				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	8.000	UGL	
	CKMA	ESFSO4				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	9.200	UGL	
	CKMA	FANT				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	3.300	UGL	
	CKMA	FLRENE				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	3.700	UGL	
	CKMA	GCLDAN				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	5.100	UGL	
	CKMA	HCBD				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	3.400	UGL	
	CKMA	HPCL				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	2.000	UGL	
	CKMA	HPCLE				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	5.000	UGL	
	CKMA	ICDPYR				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	8.600	UGL	
	CKMA	ISOPHR				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	4.800	UGL	
	CKMA	LIN				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	4.000	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM18	CKMA	MEXCLR				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	5.100	UGL	
	CKMA	NAP				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CKMA	NB				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CKMA	NNDMEA				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	2.000	UGL	
	CKMA	NNDNPA				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	4.400	UGL	
	CKMA	NNDPA				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	3.000	UGL	
	CKMA	PCB016				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	21.000	UGL	
	CKMA	PCB221				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	21.000	UGL	
	CKMA	PCB232				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	21.000	UGL	
	CKMA	PCB242				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	30.000	UGL	
	CKMA	PCB248				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	30.000	UGL	
	CKMA	PCB254				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	36.000	UGL	
	CKMA	PCB260				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	36.000	UGL	
	CKMA	PCP				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	18.000	UGL	
	CKMA	PHANTR				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CKMA	PHENOL				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	9.200	UGL	
	CKMA	PPDDD				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	CKMA	PPDDE				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	4.700	UGL	
	CKMA	PPDDT				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	9.200	UGL	
	CKMA	PYR				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	2.800	UGL	
	CKMA	TCLEE				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993		10.000	UGL	
	CKMA	TXPHEN				14-JAN-1993	19-JAN-1993	<	36.000	UGL	
	CKO	124TCB				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	1.800	UGL	
	CKO	12DCLB				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	CKO	12DPH				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	2.000	UGL	
	CKO	13DCLB				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	CKO	14DCLB				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	CKO	245TCP				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	5.200	UGL	
	CKO	246TCP				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	4.200	UGL	
	CKO	24DCLP				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	2.900	UGL	
	CKO	24DMPN				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	5.800	UGL	
	CKO	24DNP				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	21.000	UGL	
	CKO	24DNT				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	4.500	UGL	
	CKO	26DNT				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	0.790	UGL	
	CKO	2CLP				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	0.990	UGL	
	CKO	2CNAP				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CKO	2MNAP				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	1.700	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM18	CKO	2MP				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	3.900	UGL	
	CKO	2NANIL				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	4.300	UGL	
	CKO	2NP				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	3.700	UGL	
	CKO	33DCBD				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	12.000	UGL	
	CKO	3NANIL				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	4.900	UGL	
	CKO	46DN2C				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	17.000	UGL	
	CKO	4BRPPE				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	4.200	UGL	
	CKO	4CANIL				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	7.300	UGL	
	CKO	4CL3C				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	CKO	4CLPPE				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	5.100	UGL	
	CKO	4MP				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	0.520	UGL	
	CKO	4NANIL				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	5.200	UGL	
	CKO	4NP				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	12.000	UGL	
	CKO	ABHC				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	CKO	ACLDAN				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	5.100	UGL	
	CKO	AENSLF				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	9.200	UGL	
	CKO	ALDRN				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	4.700	UGL	
	CKO	ANAPNE				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	CKO	ANAPYL				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CKO	ANTRC				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CKO	B2CEXM				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	1.500	UGL	
	CKO	B2CIPE				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	5.300	UGL	
	CKO	B2CLEE				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	1.900	UGL	
	CKO	B2EHP				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	4.800	UGL	
	CKO	BAANTR				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	1.600	UGL	
	CKO	BAPYR				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	4.700	UGL	
	CKO	BBFANT				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	5.400	UGL	
	CKO	BBHC				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	CKO	BBZP				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	3.400	UGL	
	CKO	BENSLF				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	9.200	UGL	
	CKO	BENZID				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	10.000	UGL	
	CKO	BENZOA				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	13.000	UGL	
	CKO	BGHIPY				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	6.100	UGL	
	CKO	BKFANT				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	0.870	UGL	
	CKO	BZALC				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	0.720	UGL	
	CKO	CARBAZ				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CKO	CHRY				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	2.400	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM18	CKO	CL6BZ				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	1.600	UGL	
	CKO	CL6CP				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	8.600	UGL	
	CKO	CL6ET				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	1.500	UGL	
	CKO	DBAHA				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	6.500	UGL	
	CKO	DBHC				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	CKO	DBZFUR				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	CKO	DEP				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	2.000	UGL	
	CKO	DLDRN				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	4.700	UGL	
	CKO	DMP				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	1.500	UGL	
	CKO	DNBP				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	3.700	UGL	
	CKO	DNOP				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	15.000	UGL	
	CKO	ENDRN				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	7.600	UGL	
	CKO	ENDRNA				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	8.000	UGL	
	CKO	ENDRNK				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	8.000	UGL	
	CKO	ESFSO4				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	9.200	UGL	
	CKO	FANT				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	3.300	UGL	
	CKO	FLRENE				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	3.700	UGL	
	CKO	GCLDAN				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	5.100	UGL	
	CKO	HCBD				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	3.400	UGL	
	CKO	HPCL				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	2.000	UGL	
	CKO	HPCLE				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	5.000	UGL	
	CKO	ICDPYR				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	8.600	UGL	
	CKO	ISOPHR				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	4.800	UGL	
	CKO	LIN				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	CKO	MEXCLR				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	5.100	UGL	
	CKO	NAP				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CKO	NB				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CKO	NNDMEA				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	2.000	UGL	
	CKO	NNDNPA				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	4.400	UGL	
	CKO	NNDPA				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	3.000	UGL	
	CKO	PCB016				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	21.000	UGL	
	CKO	PCB221				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	21.000	UGL	
	CKO	PCB232				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	21.000	UGL	
	CKO	PCB242				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	30.000	UGL	
	CKO	PCB248				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	30.000	UGL	
	CKO	PCB254				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	36.000	UGL	
	CKO	PCB260				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	36.000	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM18	CKO	PCP				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	18.000	UGL	
	CKO	PHANTR				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CKO	PHENOL				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	9.200	UGL	
	CKO	PPDDD				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	CKO	PPDDE				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	4.700	UGL	
	CKO	PPDDT				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	9.200	UGL	
	CKO	PYR				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	2.800	UGL	
	CKO	TXPHEN				15-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	36.000	UGL	
	CKQ	124TCB				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	1.800	UGL	
	CKQ	12DCLB				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	CKQ	12DPH				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	2.000	UGL	
	CKQ	13DCLB				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	CKQ	14DCLB				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	CKQ	245TCP				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	5.200	UGL	
	CKQ	246TCP				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	4.200	UGL	
	CKQ	24DCLP				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	2.900	UGL	
	CKQ	24DMPN				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	5.800	UGL	
	CKQ	24DNP				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	21.000	UGL	
	CKQ	24DNT				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	4.500	UGL	
	CKQ	26DNT				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	0.790	UGL	
	CKQ	2CLP				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	0.990	UGL	
	CKQ	2CNAP				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CKQ	2MNAP				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	CKQ	2MP				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	3.900	UGL	
	CKQ	2NANIL				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	4.300	UGL	
	CKQ	2NP				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	3.700	UGL	
	CKQ	33DCBD				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	12.000	UGL	
	CKQ	3NANIL				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	4.900	UGL	
	CKQ	46DN2C				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	17.000	UGL	
	CKQ	4BRPPE				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	4.200	UGL	
	CKQ	4CANIL				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	7.300	UGL	
	CKQ	4CL3C				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	CKQ	4CLPPE				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	5.100	UGL	
	CKQ	4MP				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	0.520	UGL	
	CKQ	4NANIL				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	5.200	UGL	
	CKQ	4NP				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	12.000	UGL	
	CKQ	ABHC				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	4.000	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM18	CKQ	ACLDAN				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	5.100	UGL	
	CKQ	AENSLF				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	9.200	UGL	
	CKQ	ALDRN				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	4.700	UGL	
	CKQ	ANAPNE				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	CKQ	ANAPYL				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CKQ	ANTRC				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CKQ	B2CEXM				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	1.500	UGL	
	CKQ	B2CIPE				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	5.300	UGL	
	CKQ	B2CLEE				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	1.900	UGL	
	CKQ	B2EHP				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993		5.000	UGL	
	CKQ	BAANTR				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	1.600	UGL	
	CKQ	BAPYR				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	4.700	UGL	
	CKQ	BBFANT				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	5.400	UGL	
	CKQ	BBHC				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	CKQ	BBZP				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	3.400	UGL	
	CKQ	BENSLF				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	9.200	UGL	
	CKQ	BENZID				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	10.000	UGL	
	CKQ	BENZOA				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	13.000	UGL	
	CKQ	BGHIPY				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	6.100	UGL	
	CKQ	BKFANT				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	0.870	UGL	
	CKQ	BZALC				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	0.720	UGL	
	CKQ	CARBAZ				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CKQ	CHRY				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	2.400	UGL	
	CKQ	CL6BZ				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	1.600	UGL	
	CKQ	CL6CP				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	8.600	UGL	
	CKQ	CL6ET				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	1.500	UGL	
	CKQ	DBAHA				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	6.500	UGL	
	CKQ	DBHC				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	CKQ	DBZFUR				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	CKQ	DEP				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	2.000	UGL	
	CKQ	DLDRN				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	4.700	UGL	
	CKQ	DMP				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	1.500	UGL	
	CKQ	DNBP				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	3.700	UGL	
	CKQ	DNOP				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	15.000	UGL	
	CKQ	ENDRN				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	7.600	UGL	
	CKQ	ENDRNA				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	8.000	UGL	
	CKQ	ENDRNK				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	8.000	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM18	CKQ	ESFSO4				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	9.200	UGL	
	CKQ	FANT				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	3.300	UGL	
	CKQ	FLRENE				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	3.700	UGL	
	CKQ	GCLDAN				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	5.100	UGL	
	CKQ	HCBD				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	3.400	UGL	
	CKQ	HPCL				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	2.000	UGL	
	CKQ	HPCLE				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	5.000	UGL	
	CKQ	ICDPYR				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	8.600	UGL	
	CKQ	ISOPHR				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	4.800	UGL	
	CKQ	LIN				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	CKQ	MEXCLR				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	5.100	UGL	
	CKQ	NAP				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CKQ	NB				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CKQ	NNDMEA				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	2.000	UGL	
	CKQ	NNDNPA				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	4.400	UGL	
	CKQ	NNDPA				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	3.000	UGL	
	CKQ	PCB016				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	21.000	UGL	
	CKQ	PCB221				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	21.000	UGL	
	CKQ	PCB232				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	21.000	UGL	
	CKQ	PCB242				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	30.000	UGL	
	CKQ	PCB248				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	30.000	UGL	
	CKQ	PCB254				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	36.000	UGL	
	CKQ	PCB260				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	36.000	UGL	
	CKQ	PCP				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	18.000	UGL	
	CKQ	PHANTR				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CKQ	PHENOL				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	9.200	UGL	
	CKQ	PPDDD				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	CKQ	PPDDE				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	4.700	UGL	
	CKQ	PPDDT				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	9.200	UGL	
	CKQ	PYR				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	2.800	UGL	
	CKQ	TXPHEN				20-JAN-1993	26-JAN-1993	<	36.000	UGL	
	CKS	124TCB				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	1.800	UGL	
	CKS	12DCLB				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	CKS	12DPH				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	2.000	UGL	
	CKS	13DCLB				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	CKS	14DCLB				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	CKS	245TCP				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	5.200	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM18	CKS	246TCP				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	4.200	UGL	
	CKS	24DCLP				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	2.900	UGL	
	CKS	24DMPN				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	5.800	UGL	
	CKS	24DNP				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	21.000	UGL	
	CKS	24DNT				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	4.500	UGL	
	CKS	26DNT				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	0.790	UGL	
	CKS	2CLP				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	0.990	UGL	
	CKS	2CNAP				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CKS	2MNAP				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	CKS	2MP				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	3.900	UGL	
	CKS	2NANIL				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	4.300	UGL	
	CKS	2NP				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	3.700	UGL	
	CKS	33DCBD				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	12.000	UGL	
	CKS	3NANIL				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	4.900	UGL	
	CKS	46DN2C				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	17.000	UGL	
	CKS	4BRPPE				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	4.200	UGL	
	CKS	4CANIL				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	7.300	UGL	
	CKS	4CL3C				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	CKS	4CLPPE				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	5.100	UGL	
	CKS	4MP				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	0.520	UGL	
	CKS	4NANIL				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	5.200	UGL	
	CKS	4NP				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	12.000	UGL	
	CKS	ABHC				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	CKS	ACLDAN				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	5.100	UGL	
	CKS	AENSLF				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	9.200	UGL	
	CKS	ALDRN				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	4.700	UGL	
	CKS	ANAPNE				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	CKS	ANAPYL				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CKS	ANTRC				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CKS	B2CEXM				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	1.500	UGL	
	CKS	B2CIPE				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	5.300	UGL	
	CKS	B2CLEE				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	1.900	UGL	
	CKS	B2EHP				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	4.800	UGL	
	CKS	BAANTR				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	1.600	UGL	
	CKS	BAPYR				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	4.700	UGL	
	CKS	BBFANT				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	5.400	UGL	
	CKS	BBHC				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	4.000	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM18	CKS	BBZP				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	3.400	UGL	
	CKS	BENSLF				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	9.200	UGL	
	CKS	BENZID				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	10.000	UGL	
	CKS	BENZOA				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	13.000	UGL	
	CKS	BGHIPY				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	6.100	UGL	
	CKS	BKFANT				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	0.870	UGL	
	CKS	BZALC				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	0.720	UGL	
	CKS	CARBAZ				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CKS	CHRY				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	2.400	UGL	
	CKS	CL6BZ				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	1.600	UGL	
	CKS	CL6CP				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	8.600	UGL	
	CKS	CL6ET				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	1.500	UGL	
	CKS	DBAHA				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	6.500	UGL	
	CKS	DBHC				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	CKS	DBZFUR				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	CKS	DEP				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	2.000	UGL	
	CKS	DLDRN				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	4.700	UGL	
	CKS	DMP				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	1.500	UGL	
	CKS	DNBP				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	3.700	UGL	
	CKS	DNOP				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	15.000	UGL	
	CKS	ENDRN				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	7.600	UGL	
	CKS	ENDRNA				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	8.000	UGL	
	CKS	ENDRNK				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	8.000	UGL	
	CKS	ESFSO4				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	9.200	UGL	
	CKS	FANT				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	3.300	UGL	
	CKS	FLRENE				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	3.700	UGL	
	CKS	GCLDAN				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	5.100	UGL	
	CKS	HCBD				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	3.400	UGL	
	CKS	HPCL				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	2.000	UGL	
	CKS	HPCLE				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	5.000	UGL	
	CKS	ICDPYR				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	8.600	UGL	
	CKS	ISOPHR				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	4.800	UGL	
	CKS	LIN				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	CKS	MEXCLR				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	5.100	UGL	
	CKS	NAP				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CKS	NB				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CKS	NNDMEA				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	2.000	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM18	CKS	NNDNPA				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	4.400	UGL	
	CKS	NNDPA				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	3.000	UGL	
	CKS	PCB016				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	21.000	UGL	
	CKS	PCB221				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	21.000	UGL	
	CKS	PCB232				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	21.000	UGL	
	CKS	PCB242				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	30.000	UGL	
	CKS	PCB248				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	30.000	UGL	
	CKS	PCB254				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	36.000	UGL	
	CKS	PCB260				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	36.000	UGL	
	CKS	PCP				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	18.000	UGL	
	CKS	PHANTR				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CKS	PHENOL				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	9.200	UGL	
	CKS	PPDDD				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	CKS	PPDDE				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	4.700	UGL	
	CKS	PPDDT				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	9.200	UGL	
	CKS	PYR				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	2.800	UGL	
	CKS	TXPHEN				28-JAN-1993	01-FEB-1993	<	36.000	UGL	
	CKWA	124TCB				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	1.800	UGL	
	CKWA	12DCLB				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	CKWA	12DPH				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	2.000	UGL	
	CKWA	13DCLB				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	CKWA	14DCLB				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	CKWA	245TCP				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	5.200	UGL	
	CKWA	246TCP				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	4.200	UGL	
	CKWA	24DCLP				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	2.900	UGL	
	CKWA	24DMPN				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	5.800	UGL	
	CKWA	24DNP				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	21.000	UGL	
	CKWA	24DNT				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	4.500	UGL	
	CKWA	26DNT				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	0.790	UGL	
	CKWA	2CLP				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	0.990	UGL	
	CKWA	2CNAP				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CKWA	2MNAP				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	CKWA	2MP				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	3.900	UGL	
	CKWA	2NANIL				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	4.300	UGL	
	CKWA	2NP				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	3.700	UGL	
	CKWA	33DCBD				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	12.000	UGL	
	CKWA	3NANIL				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	4.900	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM18	CKWA	46DN2C				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	17.000	UGL	
	CKWA	4BRPPE				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	4.200	UGL	
	CKWA	4CANIL				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	7.300	UGL	
	CKWA	4CL3C				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	CKWA	4CLPPE				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	5.100	UGL	
	CKWA	4MP				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	0.520	UGL	
	CKWA	4NANIL				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	5.200	UGL	
	CKWA	4NP				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	12.000	UGL	
	CKWA	ABHC				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	CKWA	ACLDAN				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	5.100	UGL	
	CKWA	AENSLF				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	9.200	UGL	
	CKWA	ALDRN				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	4.700	UGL	
	CKWA	ANAPNE				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	CKWA	ANAPYL				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CKWA	ANTRC				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CKWA	B2CEXM				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	1.500	UGL	
	CKWA	B2CIPE				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	5.300	UGL	
	CKWA	B2CLEE				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	1.900	UGL	
	CKWA	B2EHP				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	4.800	UGL	
	CKWA	BAANTR				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	1.600	UGL	
	CKWA	BAPYR				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	4.700	UGL	
	CKWA	BBFANT				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	5.400	UGL	
	CKWA	BBHC				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	CKWA	BBZP				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	3.400	UGL	
	CKWA	BENSLF				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	9.200	UGL	
	CKWA	BENZID				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	10.000	UGL	
	CKWA	BENZOA				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	13.000	UGL	
	CKWA	BGHIPY				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	6.100	UGL	
	CKWA	BKFANT				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	0.870	UGL	
	CKWA	BZALC				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	0.720	UGL	
	CKWA	CARBAZ				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CKWA	CHRY				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	2.400	UGL	
	CKWA	CL6BZ				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	1.600	UGL	
	CKWA	CL6CP				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	8.600	UGL	
	CKWA	CL6ET				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	1.500	UGL	
	CKWA	DBAHA				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	6.500	UGL	
	CKWA	DBHC				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	4.000	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM18	CKWA	DBZFUR				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	CKWA	DEP				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	2.000	UGL	
	CKWA	DLDRN				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	4.700	UGL	
	CKWA	DMP				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	1.500	UGL	
	CKWA	DNBP				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	3.700	UGL	
	CKWA	DNOP				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	15.000	UGL	
	CKWA	ENDRN				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	7.600	UGL	
	CKWA	ENDRNA				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	8.000	UGL	
	CKWA	ENDRNK				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	8.000	UGL	
	CKWA	ESFSO4				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	9.200	UGL	
	CKWA	FANT				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	3.300	UGL	
	CKWA	FLRENE				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	3.700	UGL	
	CKWA	GCLDAN				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	5.100	UGL	
	CKWA	HCBD				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	3.400	UGL	
	CKWA	HPCL				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	2.000	UGL	
	CKWA	HPCLE				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	5.000	UGL	
	CKWA	ICDPYR				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	8.600	UGL	
	CKWA	ISOPHR				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	4.800	UGL	
	CKWA	LIN				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	CKWA	MEXCLR				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	5.100	UGL	
	CKWA	NAP				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CKWA	NB				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CKWA	NNDMEA				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	2.000	UGL	
	CKWA	NNDNPA				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	4.400	UGL	
	CKWA	NNDPA				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	3.000	UGL	
	CKWA	PCB016				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	21.000	UGL	
	CKWA	PCB221				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	21.000	UGL	
	CKWA	PCB232				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	21.000	UGL	
	CKWA	PCB242				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	30.000	UGL	
	CKWA	PCB248				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	30.000	UGL	
	CKWA	PCB254				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	36.000	UGL	
	CKWA	PCB260				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	36.000	UGL	
	CKWA	PCP				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	18.000	UGL	
	CKWA	PHANTR				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CKWA	PHENOL				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	9.200	UGL	
	CKWA	PPDDD				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	CKWA	PPDDE				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	4.700	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM18	CKWA	PPDDT				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	9.200	UGL	
	CKWA	PYR				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	2.800	UGL	
	CKWA	TXPHEN				16-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	36.000	UGL	
	CKXA	124TCB				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	1.800	UGL	
	CKXA	12DCLB				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	CKXA	12DPH				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	2.000	UGL	
	CKXA	13DCLB				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	CKXA	14DCLB				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	CKXA	245TCP				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	5.200	UGL	
	CKXA	246TCP				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	4.200	UGL	
	CKXA	24DCLP				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	2.900	UGL	
	CKXA	24DMPN				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	5.800	UGL	
	CKXA	24DNP				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	21.000	UGL	
	CKXA	24DNT				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	4.500	UGL	
	CKXA	26DNT				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	0.790	UGL	
	CKXA	2CLP				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	0.990	UGL	
	CKXA	2CNAP				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CKXA	2MNAP				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	CKXA	2MP				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	3.900	UGL	
	CKXA	2NANIL				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	4.300	UGL	
	CKXA	2NP				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	3.700	UGL	
	CKXA	33DCBD				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	12.000	UGL	
	CKXA	3NANIL				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	4.900	UGL	
	CKXA	46DN2C				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	17.000	UGL	
	CKXA	4BRPPE				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	4.200	UGL	
	CKXA	4CANIL				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	7.300	UGL	
	CKXA	4CL3C				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	CKXA	4CLPPE				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	5.100	UGL	
	CKXA	4MP				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	0.520	UGL	
	CKXA	4NANIL				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	5.200	UGL	
	CKXA	4NP				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	12.000	UGL	
	CKXA	ABHC				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	CKXA	ACLDAN				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	5.100	UGL	
	CKXA	AENSLF				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	9.200	UGL	
	CKXA	ALDRN				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	4.700	UGL	
	CKXA	ANAPNE				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	CKXA	ANAPYL				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM18	CKXA	ANTRC				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CKXA	B2CEXM				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	1.500	UGL	
	CKXA	B2CIPE				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	5.300	UGL	
	CKXA	B2CLEE				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	1.900	UGL	
	CKXA	B2EHP				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	4.800	UGL	
	CKXA	BAANTR				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	1.600	UGL	
	CKXA	BAPYR				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	4.700	UGL	
	CKXA	BBFANT				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	5.400	UGL	
	CKXA	BBHC				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	CKXA	BBZP				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	3.400	UGL	
	CKXA	BENSLF				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	9.200	UGL	
	CKXA	BENZID				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	10.000	UGL	
	CKXA	BENZOA				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	13.000	UGL	
	CKXA	BGHIPY				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	6.100	UGL	
	CKXA	BKFANT				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	0.870	UGL	
	CKXA	BZALC				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	0.720	UGL	
	CKXA	CARBAZ				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CKXA	CHRY				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	2.400	UGL	
	CKXA	CL6BZ				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	1.600	UGL	
	CKXA	CL6CP				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	8.600	UGL	
	CKXA	CL6ET				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	1.500	UGL	
	CKXA	DBAHA				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	6.500	UGL	
	CKXA	DBHC				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	CKXA	DBZFUR				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	CKXA	DEP				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	2.000	UGL	
	CKXA	DLDRN				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	4.700	UGL	
	CKXA	DMP				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	1.500	UGL	
	CKXA	DNBP				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	3.700	UGL	
	CKXA	DNOP				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	15.000	UGL	
	CKXA	ENDRN				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	7.600	UGL	
	CKXA	ENDRNA				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	8.000	UGL	
	CKXA	ENDRNK				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	8.000	UGL	
	CKXA	ESFSO4				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	9.200	UGL	
	CKXA	FANT				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	3.300	UGL	
	CKXA	FLRENE				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	3.700	UGL	
	CKXA	GCLDAN				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	5.100	UGL	
	CKXA	HCBD				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	3.400	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM18	CKXA	HPCL				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	2.000	UGL	
	CKXA	HPCLE				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	5.000	UGL	
	CKXA	ICDPYR				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	8.600	UGL	
	CKXA	ISOPHR				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	4.800	UGL	
	CKXA	LIN				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	CKXA	MEXCLR				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	5.100	UGL	
	CKXA	NAP				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CKXA	NB				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CKXA	NNDMEA				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	2.000	UGL	
	CKXA	NNDNPA				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	4.400	UGL	
	CKXA	NNDPA				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	3.000	UGL	
	CKXA	PCB016				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	21.000	UGL	
	CKXA	PCB221				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	21.000	UGL	
	CKXA	PCB232				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	21.000	UGL	
	CKXA	PCB242				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	30.000	UGL	
	CKXA	PCB248				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	30.000	UGL	
	CKXA	PCB254				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	36.000	UGL	
	CKXA	PCB260				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	36.000	UGL	
	CKXA	PCP				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	18.000	UGL	
	CKXA	PHANTR				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CKXA	PHENOL				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	9.200	UGL	
	CKXA	PPDDD				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	CKXA	PPDDE				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	4.700	UGL	
	CKXA	PPDDT				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	9.200	UGL	
	CKXA	PYR				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	2.800	UGL	
	CKXA	TXPHEN				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993	<	36.000	UGL	
	CKXA	UNK544				22-FEB-1993	10-MAR-1993		30.000	UGL	
	DKEA	124TCB				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	1.800	UGL	
	DKEA	12DCLB				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	DKEA	12DPH				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	2.000	UGL	
	DKEA	13DCLB				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	DKEA	14DCLB				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	DKEA	245TCP				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	5.200	UGL	
	DKEA	246TCP				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	4.200	UGL	
	DKEA	24DCLP				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	2.900	UGL	
	DKEA	24DMPN				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	5.800	UGL	
	DKEA	24DNP				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	21.000	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM18	DKEA	24DNT				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	4.500	UGL	
	DKEA	26DNT				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	0.790	UGL	
	DKEA	2CLP				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	0.990	UGL	
	DKEA	2CNAP				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DKEA	2MNAP				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	DKEA	2MP				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	3.900	UGL	
	DKEA	2NANIL				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	4.300	UGL	
	DKEA	2NP				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	3.700	UGL	
	DKEA	33DCBD				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	12.000	UGL	
	DKEA	3NANIL				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	4.900	UGL	
	DKEA	46DN2C				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	17.000	UGL	
	DKEA	4BRPPE				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	4.200	UGL	
	DKEA	4CANIL				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	7.300	UGL	
	DKEA	4CL3C				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	DKEA	4CLPPE				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	5.100	UGL	
	DKEA	4MP				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	0.520	UGL	
	DKEA	4NANIL				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	5.200	UGL	
	DKEA	4NP				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	12.000	UGL	
	DKEA	ABHC				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	DKEA	ACLDAN				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	5.100	UGL	
	DKEA	AENSLF				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	9.200	UGL	
	DKEA	ALDRN				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	4.700	UGL	
	DKEA	ANAPNE				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	DKEA	ANAPYL				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DKEA	ANTRC				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DKEA	B2CEXM				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	1.500	UGL	
	DKEA	B2CIPE				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	5.300	UGL	
	DKEA	B2CLEE				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	1.900	UGL	
	DKEA	B2EHP				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	4.800	UGL	
	DKEA	BAANTR				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	1.600	UGL	
	DKEA	BAPYR				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	4.700	UGL	
	DKEA	BBFANT				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	5.400	UGL	
	DKEA	BBHC				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	DKEA	BBZP				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	3.400	UGL	
	DKEA	BENSLF				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	9.200	UGL	
	DKEA	BENZID				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	10.000	UGL	
	DKEA	BENZOA				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	13.000	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM18	DKEA	BGHIPY				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	6.100	UGL	
	DKEA	BKFANT				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	0.870	UGL	
	DKEA	BZALC				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	0.720	UGL	
	DKEA	CARBAZ				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DKEA	CBA				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	1.500	UGL	
	DKEA	CHRY				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	2.400	UGL	
	DKEA	CL6BZ				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	1.600	UGL	
	DKEA	CL6CP				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	8.600	UGL	
	DKEA	CL6ET				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	1.500	UGL	
	DKEA	DBAHA				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	6.500	UGL	
	DKEA	DBHC				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	DKEA	DBZFUR				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	DKEA	DEP				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	2.000	UGL	
	DKEA	DLDRN				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	4.700	UGL	
	DKEA	DMP				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	1.500	UGL	
	DKEA	DNBP				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	3.700	UGL	
	DKEA	DNOP				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	15.000	UGL	
	DKEA	ENDRN				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	7.600	UGL	
	DKEA	ENDRNA				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	8.000	UGL	
	DKEA	ENDRNK				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	8.000	UGL	
	DKEA	ESFSO4				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	9.200	UGL	
	DKEA	FANT				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	3.300	UGL	
	DKEA	FLRENE				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	3.700	UGL	
	DKEA	GCLDAN				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	5.100	UGL	
	DKEA	HCBD				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	3.400	UGL	
	DKEA	HPCL				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	2.000	UGL	
	DKEA	HPCLE				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	5.000	UGL	
	DKEA	ICDPYR				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	8.600	UGL	
	DKEA	ISOPHR				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	4.800	UGL	
	DKEA	LIN				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	DKEA	MEXCLR				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	5.100	UGL	
	DKEA	NAP				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DKEA	NB				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DKEA	NNDMEA				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	2.000	UGL	
	DKEA	NNDNPA				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	4.400	UGL	
	DKEA	NNDPA				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	3.000	UGL	
	DKEA	PCB016				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	21.000	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM18	DKEA	PCB221				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	21.000	UGL	
	DKEA	PCB232				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	21.000	UGL	
	DKEA	PCB242				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	30.000	UGL	
	DKEA	PCB248				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	30.000	UGL	
	DKEA	PCB254				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	36.000	UGL	
	DKEA	PCB260				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	36.000	UGL	
	DKEA	PCP				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	18.000	UGL	
	DKEA	PHANTR				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DKEA	PHENOL				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	9.200	UGL	
	DKEA	PPDDD				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	DKEA	PPDDE				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	4.700	UGL	
	DKEA	PPDDT				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	9.200	UGL	
	DKEA	PYR				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	2.800	UGL	
	DKEA	TXPHEN				09-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	36.000	UGL	
	DKFA	124TCB				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	1.800	UGL	
	DKFA	12DCLB				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	DKFA	12DPH				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	2.000	UGL	
	DKFA	13DCLB				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	DKFA	14DCLB				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	DKFA	245TCP				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	5.200	UGL	
	DKFA	246TCP				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	4.200	UGL	
	DKFA	24DCLP				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	2.900	UGL	
	DKFA	24DMPN				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	5.800	UGL	
	DKFA	24DNP				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	21.000	UGL	
	DKFA	24DNT				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	4.500	UGL	
	DKFA	26DNT				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	0.790	UGL	
	DKFA	2CLP				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	0.990	UGL	
	DKFA	2CNAP				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DKFA	2MNAP				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	DKFA	2MP				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	3.900	UGL	
	DKFA	2NANIL				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	4.300	UGL	
	DKFA	2NP				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	3.700	UGL	
	DKFA	33DCBD				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	12.000	UGL	
	DKFA	3NANIL				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	4.900	UGL	
	DKFA	46DN2C				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	17.000	UGL	
	DKFA	4BRPPE				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	4.200	UGL	
	DKFA	4CANIL				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	7.300	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM18	DKFA	4CL3C				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	DKFA	4CLPPE				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	5.100	UGL	
	DKFA	4MP				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	0.520	UGL	
	DKFA	4NANIL				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	5.200	UGL	
	DKFA	4NP				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	12.000	UGL	
	DKFA	ABHC				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	DKFA	ACLDAN				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	5.100	UGL	
	DKFA	AENSLF				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	9.200	UGL	
	DKFA	ALDRN				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	4.700	UGL	
	DKFA	ANAPNE				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	DKFA	ANAPYL				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DKFA	ANTRC				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DKFA	B2CEXM				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	1.500	UGL	
	DKFA	B2CIPE				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	5.300	UGL	
	DKFA	B2CLEE				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	1.900	UGL	
	DKFA	B2EHP				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	4.800	UGL	
	DKFA	BAANTR				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	1.600	UGL	
	DKFA	BAPYR				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	4.700	UGL	
	DKFA	BBFANT				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	5.400	UGL	
	DKFA	BBHC				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	DKFA	BBZP				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	3.400	UGL	
	DKFA	BENSLF				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	9.200	UGL	
	DKFA	BENZID				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	10.000	UGL	
	DKFA	BENZOA				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	13.000	UGL	
	DKFA	BGHIPY				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	6.100	UGL	
	DKFA	BKFANT				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	0.870	UGL	
	DKFA	BZALC				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	0.720	UGL	
	DKFA	CARBAZ				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DKFA	CHRY				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	2.400	UGL	
	DKFA	CL6BZ				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	1.600	UGL	
	DKFA	CL6CP				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	8.600	UGL	
	DKFA	CL6ET				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	1.500	UGL	
	DKFA	DBAHA				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	6.500	UGL	
	DKFA	DBHC				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	DKFA	DBZFUR				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	DKFA	DEP				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	2.000	UGL	
	DKFA	DLDRN				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	4.700	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM18	DKFA	DMP				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	1.500	UGL	
	DKFA	DNBP				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	3.700	UGL	
	DKFA	DNOP				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	15.000	UGL	
	DKFA	ENDRN				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	7.600	UGL	
	DKFA	ENDRNA				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	8.000	UGL	
	DKFA	ENDRNK				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	8.000	UGL	
	DKFA	ESFSO4				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	9.200	UGL	
	DKFA	FANT				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	3.300	UGL	
	DKFA	FLRENE				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	3.700	UGL	
	DKFA	GCLDAN				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	5.100	UGL	
	DKFA	HCBD				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	3.400	UGL	
	DKFA	HPCL				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	2.000	UGL	
	DKFA	HPCLE				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	5.000	UGL	
	DKFA	ICDPYR				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	8.600	UGL	
	DKFA	ISOPHR				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	4.800	UGL	
	DKFA	LIN				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	DKFA	MEXCLR				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	5.100	UGL	
	DKFA	NAP				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DKFA	NB				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DKFA	NNDMEA				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	2.000	UGL	
	DKFA	NNDNPA				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	4.400	UGL	
	DKFA	NNDPA				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	3.000	UGL	
	DKFA	PCB016				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	21.000	UGL	
	DKFA	PCB221				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	21.000	UGL	
	DKFA	PCB232				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	21.000	UGL	
	DKFA	PCB242				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	30.000	UGL	
	DKFA	PCB248				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	30.000	UGL	
	DKFA	PCB254				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	36.000	UGL	
	DKFA	PCB260				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	36.000	UGL	
	DKFA	PCP				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	18.000	UGL	
	DKFA	PHANTR				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DKFA	PHENOL				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	9.200	UGL	
	DKFA	PPDDD				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	DKFA	PPDDE				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	4.700	UGL	
	DKFA	PPDDT				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	9.200	UGL	
	DKFA	PYR				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	2.800	UGL	
	DKFA	TXPHEN				10-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	36.000	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM18	DKGA	124TCB				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	1.800	UGL	
	DKGA	12DCLB				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	DKGA	12DPH				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	2.000	UGL	
	DKGA	13DCLB				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	DKGA	14DCLB				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	DKGA	245TCP				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	5.200	UGL	
	DKGA	246TCP				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	4.200	UGL	
	DKGA	24DCLP				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	2.900	UGL	
	DKGA	24DMPN				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	5.800	UGL	
	DKGA	24DNP				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	21.000	UGL	
	DKGA	24DNT				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	4.500	UGL	
	DKGA	26DNT				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.790	UGL	
	DKGA	2CLP				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.990	UGL	
	DKGA	2CNAP				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DKGA	2MNAP				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	DKGA	2MP				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	3.900	UGL	
	DKGA	2NANIL				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	4.300	UGL	
	DKGA	2NP				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	3.700	UGL	
	DKGA	33DCBD				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	12.000	UGL	
	DKGA	3NANIL				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	4.900	UGL	
	DKGA	46DN2C				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	17.000	UGL	
	DKGA	4BRPPE				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	4.200	UGL	
	DKGA	4CANIL				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	7.300	UGL	
	DKGA	4CL3C				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	DKGA	4CLPPE				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	5.100	UGL	
	DKGA	4MP				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.520	UGL	
	DKGA	4NANIL				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	5.200	UGL	
	DKGA	4NP				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	12.000	UGL	
	DKGA	ABHC				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	DKGA	ACLDAN				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	5.100	UGL	
	DKGA	AENSLF				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	9.200	UGL	
	DKGA	ALDRN				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	4.700	UGL	
	DKGA	ANAPNE				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	DKGA	ANAPYL				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DKGA	ANTRC				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DKGA	B2CEXM				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	1.500	UGL	
	DKGA	B2CIPE				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	5.300	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM18	DKGA	B2CLEE				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	1.900	UGL	
	DKGA	B2EHP				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	4.800	UGL	
	DKGA	BAANTR				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	1.600	UGL	
	DKGA	BAPYR				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	4.700	UGL	
	DKGA	BBFANT				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	5.400	UGL	
	DKGA	BBHC				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	DKGA	BBZP				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	3.400	UGL	
	DKGA	BENSLF				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	9.200	UGL	
	DKGA	BENZID				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	10.000	UGL	
	DKGA	BENZOA				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	13.000	UGL	
	DKGA	BGHIPY				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	6.100	UGL	
	DKGA	BKFANT				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.870	UGL	
	DKGA	BZALC				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.720	UGL	
	DKGA	CARBAZ				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DKGA	CHRY				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	2.400	UGL	
	DKGA	CL6BZ				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	1.600	UGL	
	DKGA	CL6CP				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	8.600	UGL	
	DKGA	CL6ET				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	1.500	UGL	
	DKGA	DBAHA				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	6.500	UGL	
	DKGA	DBHC				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	DKGA	DBZFUR				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	DKGA	DEP				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	2.000	UGL	
	DKGA	DLDRN				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	4.700	UGL	
	DKGA	DMP				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	1.500	UGL	
	DKGA	DNBP				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	3.700	UGL	
	DKGA	DNOP				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	15.000	UGL	
	DKGA	ENDRN				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	7.600	UGL	
	DKGA	ENDRNA				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	8.000	UGL	
	DKGA	ENDRNK				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	8.000	UGL	
	DKGA	ESFSO4				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	9.200	UGL	
	DKGA	FANT				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	3.300	UGL	
	DKGA	FLRENE				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	3.700	UGL	
	DKGA	GCLDAN				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	5.100	UGL	
	DKGA	HCBD				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	3.400	UGL	
	DKGA	HPCL				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	2.000	UGL	
	DKGA	HPCLE				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	5.000	UGL	
	DKGA	ICDPYR				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	8.600	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM18	DKGA	ISOPHR				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	4.800	UGL	
	DKGA	LIN				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	DKGA	MEXCLR				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	5.100	UGL	
	DKGA	NAP				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DKGA	NB				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DKGA	NNDMEA				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	2.000	UGL	
	DKGA	NNDNPA				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	4.400	UGL	
	DKGA	NNDPA				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	3.000	UGL	
	DKGA	PCB016				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	21.000	UGL	
	DKGA	PCB221				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	21.000	UGL	
	DKGA	PCB232				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	21.000	UGL	
	DKGA	PCB242				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	30.000	UGL	
	DKGA	PCB248				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	30.000	UGL	
	DKGA	PCB254				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	36.000	UGL	
	DKGA	PCB260				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	36.000	UGL	
	DKGA	PCP				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	18.000	UGL	
	DKGA	PHANTR				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DKGA	PHENOL				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	9.200	UGL	
	DKGA	PPDDD				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	DKGA	PPDDE				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	4.700	UGL	
	DKGA	PPDDT				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	9.200	UGL	
	DKGA	PYR				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	2.800	UGL	
	DKGA	TXPHEN				17-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	36.000	UGL	
	DKKA	124TCB				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	1.800	UGL	
	DKKA	12DCLB				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	DKKA	12DPH				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	2.000	UGL	
	DKKA	13DCLB				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	DKKA	14DCLB				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	DKKA	245TCP				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	5.200	UGL	
	DKKA	246TCP				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	4.200	UGL	
	DKKA	24DCLP				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	2.900	UGL	
	DKKA	24DMPN				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	5.800	UGL	
	DKKA	24DNP				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	21.000	UGL	
	DKKA	24DNT				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	4.500	UGL	
	DKKA	26DNT				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	0.790	UGL	
	DKKA	2CLP				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	0.990	UGL	
	DKKA	2CNAP				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM18	DKKA	2MNAP				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	DKKA	2MP				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	3.900	UGL	
	DKKA	2NANIL				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	4.300	UGL	
	DKKA	2NP				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	3.700	UGL	
	DKKA	33DCBD				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	12.000	UGL	
	DKKA	3NANIL				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	4.900	UGL	
	DKKA	46DN2C				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	17.000	UGL	
	DKKA	4BRPPE				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	4.200	UGL	
	DKKA	4CANIL				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	7.300	UGL	
	DKKA	4CL3C				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	DKKA	4CLPPE				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	5.100	UGL	
	DKKA	4MP				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	0.520	UGL	
	DKKA	4NANIL				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	5.200	UGL	
	DKKA	4NP				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	12.000	UGL	
	DKKA	ABHC				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	DKKA	ACLDAN				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	5.100	UGL	
	DKKA	AENSLF				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	9.200	UGL	
	DKKA	ALDRN				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	4.700	UGL	
	DKKA	ANAPNE				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	DKKA	ANAPYL				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DKKA	ANTRC				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DKKA	B2CEXM				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	1.500	UGL	
	DKKA	B2CIPE				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	5.300	UGL	
	DKKA	B2CLEE				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	1.900	UGL	
	DKKA	B2EHP				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	4.800	UGL	
	DKKA	BAANTR				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	1.600	UGL	
	DKKA	BAPYR				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	4.700	UGL	
	DKKA	BBFANT				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	5.400	UGL	
	DKKA	BBHC				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	DKKA	BBZP				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	3.400	UGL	
	DKKA	BENSLF				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	9.200	UGL	
	DKKA	BENZID				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	10.000	UGL	
	DKKA	BENZOA				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	13.000	UGL	
	DKKA	BGHIPY				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	6.100	UGL	
	DKKA	BKFANT				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	0.870	UGL	
	DKKA	BZALC				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	0.720	UGL	
	DKKA	CARBAZ				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM18	DKKA	CHRY				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	2.400	UGL	
	DKKA	CL6BZ				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	1.600	UGL	
	DKKA	CL6CP				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	8.600	UGL	
	DKKA	CL6ET				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	1.500	UGL	
	DKKA	DBAHA				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	6.500	UGL	
	DKKA	DBHC				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	DKKA	DBZFUR				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	DKKA	DEP				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	2.000	UGL	
	DKKA	DLDRN				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	4.700	UGL	
	DKKA	DMP				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	1.500	UGL	
	DKKA	DNBP				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	3.700	UGL	
	DKKA	DNOP				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	15.000	UGL	
	DKKA	ENDRN				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	7.600	UGL	
	DKKA	ENDRNA				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	8.000	UGL	
	DKKA	ENDRNK				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	8.000	UGL	
	DKKA	ESFSO4				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	9.200	UGL	
	DKKA	FANT				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	3.300	UGL	
	DKKA	FLRENE				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	3.700	UGL	
	DKKA	GCLDAN				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	5.100	UGL	
	DKKA	HCBD				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	3.400	UGL	
	DKKA	HPCL				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	2.000	UGL	
	DKKA	HPCLE				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	5.000	UGL	
	DKKA	ICDPYR				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	8.600	UGL	
	DKKA	ISOPHR				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	4.800	UGL	
	DKKA	LIN				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	DKKA	MEXCLR				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	5.100	UGL	
	DKKA	NAP				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DKKA	NB				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DKKA	NNDMEA				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	2.000	UGL	
	DKKA	NNDNPA				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	4.400	UGL	
	DKKA	NNDPA				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	3.000	UGL	
	DKKA	PCB016				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	21.000	UGL	
	DKKA	PCB221				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	21.000	UGL	
	DKKA	PCB232				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	21.000	UGL	
	DKKA	PCB242				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	30.000	UGL	
	DKKA	PCB248				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	30.000	UGL	
	DKKA	PCB254				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	36.000	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM18	DKKA	PCB260				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	36.000	UGL	
	DKKA	PCP				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	18.000	UGL	
	DKKA	PHANTR				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DKKA	PHENOL				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	9.200	UGL	
	DKKA	PPDDD				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	DKKA	PPDDE				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	4.700	UGL	
	DKKA	PPDDT				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	9.200	UGL	
	DKKA	PYR				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	2.800	UGL	
	DKKA	TXPHEN				22-MAR-1993	25-MAR-1993	<	36.000	UGL	
UM20	ATW	111TCE				02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATW	112TCE				02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	1.200	UGL	
	ATW	11DCE				02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATW	11DCLE				02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	0.680	UGL	
	ATW	12DCE				02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATW	12DCLE				02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATW	12DCLP				02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATW	2CLEVE				02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	0.710	UGL	
	ATW	ACET				02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	13.000	UGL	
	ATW	ACROLN				02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	100.000	UGL	
	ATW	ACRYLO				02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	100.000	UGL	
	ATW	BRDCLM				02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	0.590	UGL	
	ATW	C13DCP				02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	0.580	UGL	
	ATW	C2AVE				02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	8.300	UGL	
	ATW	C2H3CL				02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	2.600	UGL	
	ATW	C2H5CL				02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	1.900	UGL	
	ATW	C6H6				02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATW	CCL3F				02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	1.400	UGL	
	ATW	CCL4				02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	0.580	UGL	
	ATW	CH2CL2				02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	2.300	UGL	
	ATW	CH3BR				02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	5.800	UGL	
	ATW	CH3CL				02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	3.200	UGL	
	ATW	CHBR3				02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	2.600	UGL	
	ATW	CHCL3				02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992		1.500	UGL	
	ATW	CL2BZ				02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	10.000	UGL	
	ATW	CLC6H5				02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATW	CS2				02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	ATW	DBRCLM				02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	0.670	UGL	
	ATW	ETC6H5				02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATW	MEC6H5				02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATW	MEK				02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	6.400	UGL	
	ATW	MIBK				02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	3.000	UGL	
	ATW	MNBK				02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	3.600	UGL	
	ATW	STYR				02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATW	T13DCP				02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	0.700	UGL	
	ATW	TCLEA				02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	0.510	UGL	
	ATW	TCLEE				02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	1.600	UGL	
	ATW	TRCLE				02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATW	XYLEN				02-OCT-1992	02-OCT-1992	<	0.840	UGL	
	ATX	111TCE				06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATX	112TCE				06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	1.200	UGL	
	ATX	11DCE				06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATX	11DCLE				06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.680	UGL	
	ATX	12DCE				06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATX	12DCLE				06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATX	12DCLP				06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATX	2CLEVE				06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.710	UGL	
	ATX	ACET				06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	13.000	UGL	
	ATX	ACROLN				06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	100.000	UGL	
	ATX	ACRYLO				06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	100.000	UGL	
	ATX	BRDCLM				06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.590	UGL	
	ATX	C13DCP				06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.580	UGL	
	ATX	C2AVE				06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	8.300	UGL	
	ATX	C2H3CL				06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	2.600	UGL	
	ATX	C2H5CL				06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	1.900	UGL	
	ATX	C6H6				06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATX	CCL3F				06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	1.400	UGL	
	ATX	CCL4				06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.580	UGL	
	ATX	CH2CL2				06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992		4.600	UGL	
	ATX	CH3BR				06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	5.800	UGL	
	ATX	CH3CL				06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	3.200	UGL	
	ATX	CHBR3				06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	2.600	UGL	
	ATX	CHCL3				06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992		1.100	UGL	
	ATX	CL2BZ				06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	10.000	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	ATX	CLC6H5				06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATX	CS2				06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATX	DBRCLM				06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.670	UGL	
	ATX	ETC6H5				06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATX	MEC6H5				06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATX	MEK				06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	6.400	UGL	
	ATX	MIBK				06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	3.000	UGL	
	ATX	MNBK				06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	3.600	UGL	
	ATX	STYR				06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATX	T13DCP				06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.700	UGL	
	ATX	TCLEA				06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.510	UGL	
	ATX	TCLEE				06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	1.600	UGL	
	ATX	TRCLE				06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATX	XYLEN				06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.840	UGL	
	ATY	111TCE				06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATY	112TCE				06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	1.200	UGL	
	ATY	11DCE				06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATY	11DCLE				06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.680	UGL	
	ATY	12DCE				06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATY	12DCLE				06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATY	12DCLP				06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATY	2CLEVE				06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.710	UGL	
	ATY	ACET				06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	13.000	UGL	
	ATY	ACROLN				06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	100.000	UGL	
	ATY	ACRYLO				06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	100.000	UGL	
	ATY	BRDCLM				06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.590	UGL	
	ATY	C13DCP				06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.580	UGL	
	ATY	C2AVE				06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	8.300	UGL	
	ATY	C2H3CL				06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	2.600	UGL	
	ATY	C2H5CL				06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	1.900	UGL	
	ATY	C6H6				06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATY	CCL3F				06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	1.400	UGL	
	ATY	CCL4				06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.580	UGL	
	ATY	CH2CL2				06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	2.300	UGL	
	ATY	CH3BR				06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	5.800	UGL	
	ATY	CH3CL				06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	3.200	UGL	
	ATY	CHBR3				06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	2.600	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	ATY	CHCL3				06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATY	CL2BZ				06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	10.000	UGL	
	ATY	CLC6H5				06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATY	CS2				06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATY	DBRCLM				06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.670	UGL	
	ATY	ETC6H5				06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATY	MEC6H5				06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATY	MEK				06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	6.400	UGL	
	ATY	MIBK				06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	3.000	UGL	
	ATY	MNBK				06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	3.600	UGL	
	ATY	STYR				06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATY	T13DCP				06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.700	UGL	
	ATY	TCLEA				06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.510	UGL	
	ATY	TCLEE				06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	1.600	UGL	
	ATY	TRCLE				06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATY	XYLEN				06-OCT-1992	06-OCT-1992	<	0.840	UGL	
	ATZ	111TCE				07-OCT-1992	07-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATZ	112TCE				07-OCT-1992	07-OCT-1992	<	1.200	UGL	
	ATZ	11DCE				07-OCT-1992	07-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATZ	11DCLE				07-OCT-1992	07-OCT-1992	<	0.680	UGL	
	ATZ	12DCE				07-OCT-1992	07-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATZ	12DCLE				07-OCT-1992	07-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATZ	12DCLP				07-OCT-1992	07-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATZ	2CLEVE				07-OCT-1992	07-OCT-1992	<	0.710	UGL	
	ATZ	ACET				07-OCT-1992	07-OCT-1992	<	13.000	UGL	
	ATZ	ACROLN				07-OCT-1992	07-OCT-1992	<	100.000	UGL	
	ATZ	ACRYLO				07-OCT-1992	07-OCT-1992	<	100.000	UGL	
	ATZ	BRDCLM				07-OCT-1992	07-OCT-1992	<	0.590	UGL	
	ATZ	C13DCP				07-OCT-1992	07-OCT-1992	<	0.580	UGL	
	ATZ	C2AVE				07-OCT-1992	07-OCT-1992	<	8.300	UGL	
	ATZ	C2H3CL				07-OCT-1992	07-OCT-1992	<	2.600	UGL	
	ATZ	C2H5CL				07-OCT-1992	07-OCT-1992	<	1.900	UGL	
	ATZ	C6H6				07-OCT-1992	07-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATZ	CCL3F				07-OCT-1992	07-OCT-1992	<	1.400	UGL	
	ATZ	CCL4				07-OCT-1992	07-OCT-1992	<	0.580	UGL	
	ATZ	CH2CL2				07-OCT-1992	07-OCT-1992		4.800	UGL	
	ATZ	CH3BR				07-OCT-1992	07-OCT-1992	<	5.800	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	ATZ	CH3CL				07-OCT-1992	07-OCT-1992	<	3.200	UGL	
	ATZ	CHBR3				07-OCT-1992	07-OCT-1992	<	2.600	UGL	
	ATZ	CHCL3				07-OCT-1992	07-OCT-1992		0.950	UGL	
	ATZ	CL2BZ				07-OCT-1992	07-OCT-1992	<	10.000	UGL	
	ATZ	CLC6H5				07-OCT-1992	07-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATZ	CS2				07-OCT-1992	07-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATZ	DBRCLM				07-OCT-1992	07-OCT-1992	<	0.670	UGL	
	ATZ	ETC6H5				07-OCT-1992	07-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATZ	MEC6H5				07-OCT-1992	07-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATZ	MEK				07-OCT-1992	07-OCT-1992	<	6.400	UGL	
	ATZ	MIBK				07-OCT-1992	07-OCT-1992	<	3.000	UGL	
	ATZ	MNBK				07-OCT-1992	07-OCT-1992	<	3.600	UGL	
	ATZ	STYR				07-OCT-1992	07-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATZ	T13DCP				07-OCT-1992	07-OCT-1992	<	0.700	UGL	
	ATZ	TCLEA				07-OCT-1992	07-OCT-1992	<	0.510	UGL	
	ATZ	TCLEE				07-OCT-1992	07-OCT-1992	<	1.600	UGL	
	ATZ	TRCLE				07-OCT-1992	07-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	ATZ	XYLEN				07-OCT-1992	07-OCT-1992	<	0.840	UGL	
	BOG	111TCE				19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOG	112TCE				19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	1.200	UGL	
	BOG	11DCE				19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOG	11DCLE				19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.680	UGL	
	BOG	12DCE				19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOG	12DCLE				19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOG	12DCLP				19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOG	2CLEVE				19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.710	UGL	
	BOG	ACET				19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	13.000	UGL	
	BOG	ACROLN				19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	100.000	UGL	
	BOG	ACRYLO				19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	100.000	UGL	
	BOG	BRDCLM				19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.590	UGL	
	BOG	C13DCP				19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.580	UGL	
	BOG	C2AVE				19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	8.300	UGL	
	BOG	C2H3CL				19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	2.600	UGL	
	BOG	C2H5CL				19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	1.900	UGL	
	BOG	C6H6				19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOG	CCL3F				19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	1.400	UGL	
	BOG	CCL4				19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.580	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	BOG	CH2CL2				19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	2.300	UGL	
	BOG	CH3BR				19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	5.800	UGL	
	BOG	CH3CL				19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	3.200	UGL	
	BOG	CHBR3				19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	2.600	UGL	
	BOG	CHCL3				19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOG	CL2BZ				19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	10.000	UGL	
	BOG	CLC6H5				19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOG	CS2				19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOG	DBRCLM				19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.670	UGL	
	BOG	ETC6H5				19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOG	MEC6H5				19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOG	MEK				19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	6.400	UGL	
	BOG	MIBK				19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	3.000	UGL	
	BOG	MNBK				19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	3.600	UGL	
	BOG	STYR				19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOG	T13DCP				19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.700	UGL	
	BOG	TCLEA				19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.510	UGL	
	BOG	TCLEE				19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	1.600	UGL	
	BOG	TRCLE				19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOG	XYLEN				19-OCT-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.840	UGL	
	BOH	111TCE				20-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOH	112TCE				20-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	<	1.200	UGL	
	BOH	11DCE				20-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOH	11DCLE				20-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	<	0.680	UGL	
	BOH	12DCE				20-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOH	12DCLE				20-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOH	12DCLP				20-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOH	2CLEVE				20-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	<	0.710	UGL	
	BOH	ACET				20-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	<	13.000	UGL	
	BOH	ACROLN				20-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	<	100.000	UGL	
	BOH	ACRYLO				20-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	<	100.000	UGL	
	BOH	BRDCLM				20-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	<	0.590	UGL	
	BOH	C13DCP				20-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	<	0.580	UGL	
	BOH	C2AVE				20-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	<	8.300	UGL	
	BOH	C2H3CL				20-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	<	2.600	UGL	
	BOH	C2H5CL				20-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	<	1.900	UGL	
	BOH	C6H6				20-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	BOH	CCL3F				20-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	<	1.400	UGL	
	BOH	CCL4				20-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	<	0.580	UGL	
	BOH	CH2CL2				20-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	<	2.300	UGL	
	BOH	CH3BR				20-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	<	5.800	UGL	
	BOH	CH3CL				20-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	<	3.200	UGL	
	BOH	CHBR3				20-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	<	2.600	UGL	
	BOH	CHCL3				20-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOH	CL2BZ				20-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	<	10.000	UGL	
	BOH	CLC6H5				20-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOH	CS2				20-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOH	DBRCLM				20-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	<	0.670	UGL	
	BOH	ETC6H5				20-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOH	MEC6H5				20-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOH	MEK				20-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	<	6.400	UGL	
	BOH	MIBK				20-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	<	3.000	UGL	
	BOH	MNBK				20-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	<	3.600	UGL	
	BOH	STYR				20-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOH	T13DCP				20-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	<	0.700	UGL	
	BOH	TCLEA				20-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	<	0.510	UGL	
	BOH	TCLEE				20-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	<	1.600	UGL	
	BOH	TRCLE				20-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOH	XYLEN				20-OCT-1992	20-OCT-1992	<	0.840	UGL	
	BOI	111TCE				26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOI	112TCE				26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	1.200	UGL	
	BOI	11DCE				26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOI	11DCLE				26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	0.680	UGL	
	BOI	12DCE				26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOI	12DCLE				26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOI	12DCLP				26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOI	2CLEVE				26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	0.710	UGL	
	BOI	ACET				26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	13.000	UGL	
	BOI	ACROLN				26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	100.000	UGL	
	BOI	ACRYLO				26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	100.000	UGL	
	BOI	BRDCLM				26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	0.590	UGL	
	BOI	C13DCP				26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	0.580	UGL	
	BOI	C2AVE				26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	8.300	UGL	
	BOI	C2H3CL				26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	2.600	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	BOI	C2H5CL				26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	1.900	UGL	
	BOI	C6H6				26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOI	CCL3F				26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	1.400	UGL	
	BOI	CCL4				26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	0.580	UGL	
	BOI	CH2CL2				26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	2.300	UGL	
	BOI	CH3BR				26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	5.800	UGL	
	BOI	CH3CL				26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	3.200	UGL	
	BOI	CHBR3				26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	2.600	UGL	
	BOI	CHCL3				26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOI	CL2BZ				26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	10.000	UGL	
	BOI	CLC6H5				26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOI	CS2				26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOI	DBRCLM				26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	0.670	UGL	
	BOI	ETC6H5				26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOI	MEC6H5				26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOI	MEK				26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	6.400	UGL	
	BOI	MIBK				26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	3.000	UGL	
	BOI	MNBK				26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	3.600	UGL	
	BOI	STYR				26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOI	T13DCP				26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	0.700	UGL	
	BOI	TCLEA				26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	0.510	UGL	
	BOI	TCLEE				26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	1.600	UGL	
	BOI	TRCLE				26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOI	XYLEN				26-OCT-1992	26-OCT-1992	<	0.840	UGL	
	BOJ	111TCE				27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOJ	112TCE				27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	1.200	UGL	
	BOJ	11DCE				27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOJ	11DCLE				27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	0.680	UGL	
	BOJ	12DCE				27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOJ	12DCLE				27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOJ	12DCLP				27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOJ	2CLEVE				27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	0.710	UGL	
	BOJ	ACET				27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	13.000	UGL	
	BOJ	ACROLN				27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	100.000	UGL	
	BOJ	ACRYLO				27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	100.000	UGL	
	BOJ	BRDCLM				27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	0.590	UGL	
	BOJ	C13DCP				27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	0.580	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	BOJ	C2AVE				27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	8.300	UGL	
	BOJ	C2H3CL				27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	2.600	UGL	
	BOJ	C2H5CL				27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	1.900	UGL	
	BOJ	C6H6				27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOJ	CCL3F				27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	1.400	UGL	
	BOJ	CCL4				27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	0.580	UGL	
	BOJ	CH2CL2				27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	2.300	UGL	
	BOJ	CH3BR				27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	5.800	UGL	
	BOJ	CH3CL				27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	3.200	UGL	
	BOJ	CHBR3				27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	2.600	UGL	
	BOJ	CHCL3				27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992		0.560	UGL	
	BOJ	CL2BZ				27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	10.000	UGL	
	BOJ	CLC6H5				27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOJ	CS2				27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOJ	DBRCLM				27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	0.670	UGL	
	BOJ	ETC6H5				27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOJ	MEC6H5				27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOJ	MEK				27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	6.400	UGL	
	BOJ	MIBK				27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	3.000	UGL	
	BOJ	MNBK				27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	3.600	UGL	
	BOJ	STYR				27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOJ	T13DCP				27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	0.700	UGL	
	BOJ	TCLEA				27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	0.510	UGL	
	BOJ	TCLEE				27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	1.600	UGL	
	BOJ	TRCLE				27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOJ	XYLEN				27-OCT-1992	27-OCT-1992	<	0.840	UGL	
	BOL	111TCE				28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOL	112TCE				28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	1.200	UGL	
	BOL	11DCE				28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOL	11DCLE				28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.680	UGL	
	BOL	12DCE				28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOL	12DCLE				28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOL	12DCLP				28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOL	2CLEVE				28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.710	UGL	
	BOL	ACET				28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	13.000	UGL	
	BOL	ACROLN				28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	100.000	UGL	
	BOL	ACRYLO				28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	100.000	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	BOL	BRDCLM				28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.590	UGL	
	BOL	C13DCP				28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.580	UGL	
	BOL	C2AVE				28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	8.300	UGL	
	BOL	C2H3CL				28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	2.600	UGL	
	BOL	C2H5CL				28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	1.900	UGL	
	BOL	C6H6				28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOL	CCL3F				28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	1.400	UGL	
	BOL	CCL4				28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.580	UGL	
	BOL	CH2CL2				28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	2.300	UGL	
	BOL	CH3BR				28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	5.800	UGL	
	BOL	CH3CL				28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	3.200	UGL	
	BOL	CHBR3				28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	2.600	UGL	
	BOL	CHCL3				28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOL	CL2BZ				28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	10.000	UGL	
	BOL	CLC6H5				28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOL	CS2				28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOL	DBRCLM				28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.670	UGL	
	BOL	ETC6H5				28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOL	MEC6H5				28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOL	MEK				28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	6.400	UGL	
	BOL	MIBK				28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	3.000	UGL	
	BOL	MNBK				28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	3.600	UGL	
	BOL	STYR				28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOL	T13DCP				28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.700	UGL	
	BOL	TCLEA				28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.510	UGL	
	BOL	TCLEE				28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	1.600	UGL	
	BOL	TRCLE				28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOL	XYLEN				28-OCT-1992	28-OCT-1992	<	0.840	UGL	
	BON	111TCE				29-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992		1.400	UGL	
	BON	112TCE				29-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	1.200	UGL	
	BON	11DCE				29-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BON	11DCLE				29-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.680	UGL	
	BON	12DCE				29-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BON	12DCLE				29-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BON	12DCLP				29-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BON	2CLEVE				29-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.710	UGL	
	BON	ACET				29-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	13.000	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	BON	ACROLN				29-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	100.000	UGL	
	BON	ACRYLO				29-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	100.000	UGL	
	BON	BRDCLM				29-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.590	UGL	
	BON	C13DCP				29-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.580	UGL	
	BON	C2AVE				29-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	8.300	UGL	
	BON	C2H3CL				29-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	2.600	UGL	
	BON	C2H5CL				29-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	1.900	UGL	
	BON	C6H6				29-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BON	CCL3F				29-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	1.400	UGL	
	BON	CCL4				29-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.580	UGL	
	BON	CH2CL2				29-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	2.300	UGL	
	BON	CH3BR				29-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	5.800	UGL	
	BON	CH3CL				29-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	3.200	UGL	
	BON	CHBR3				29-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	2.600	UGL	
	BON	CHCL3				29-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992		0.530	UGL	
	BON	CL2BZ				29-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	10.000	UGL	
	BON	CLC6H5				29-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BON	CS2				29-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BON	DBRCLM				29-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.670	UGL	
	BON	ETC6H5				29-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BON	MEC6H5				29-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BON	MEK				29-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	6.400	UGL	
	BON	MIBK				29-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	3.000	UGL	
	BON	MNBK				29-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	3.600	UGL	
	BON	STYR				29-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BON	T13DCP				29-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.700	UGL	
	BON	TCLEA				29-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.510	UGL	
	BON	TCLEE				29-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	1.600	UGL	
	BON	TRCLE				29-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BON	XYLEN				29-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.840	UGL	
	BOO	111TCE				30-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOO	112TCE				30-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	1.200	UGL	
	BOO	11DCE				30-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOO	11DCLE				30-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	0.680	UGL	
	BOO	12DCE				30-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOO	12DCLE				30-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOO	12DCLP				30-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	B00	2CLEVE				30-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	0.710	UGL	
	B00	ACET				30-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	13.000	UGL	
	B00	ACROLN				30-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	100.000	UGL	
	B00	ACRYLO				30-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	100.000	UGL	
	B00	BRDCLM				30-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	0.590	UGL	
	B00	C13DCP				30-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	0.580	UGL	
	B00	C2AVE				30-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	8.300	UGL	
	B00	C2H3CL				30-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	2.600	UGL	
	B00	C2H5CL				30-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	1.900	UGL	
	B00	C6H6				30-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	B00	CCL3F				30-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	1.400	UGL	
	B00	CCL4				30-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	0.580	UGL	
	B00	CH2CL2				30-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	2.300	UGL	
	B00	CH3BR				30-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	5.800	UGL	
	B00	CH3CL				30-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	3.200	UGL	
	B00	CHBR3				30-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	2.600	UGL	
	B00	CHCL3				30-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992		0.540	UGL	
	B00	CL2BZ				30-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	10.000	UGL	
	B00	CLC6H5				30-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	B00	CS2				30-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	B00	DBRCLM				30-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	0.670	UGL	
	B00	ETC6H5				30-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	B00	MEC6H5				30-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	B00	MEK				30-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	6.400	UGL	
	B00	MIBK				30-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	3.000	UGL	
	B00	MNBK				30-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	3.600	UGL	
	B00	STYR				30-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	B00	T13DCP				30-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	0.700	UGL	
	B00	TCLEA				30-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	0.510	UGL	
	B00	TCLEE				30-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	1.600	UGL	
	B00	TRCLE				30-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	B00	XYLEN				30-OCT-1992	30-OCT-1992	<	0.840	UGL	
	B0P	111TCE				03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	B0P	112TCE				03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	1.200	UGL	
	B0P	11DCE				03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	B0P	11DCLE				03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	0.680	UGL	
	B0P	12DCE				03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	BOP	12DCLE				03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOP	12DCLP				03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOP	2CLEVE				03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	0.710	UGL	
	BOP	ACET				03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	13.000	UGL	
	BOP	ACROLN				03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	100.000	UGL	
	BOP	ACRYLO				03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	100.000	UGL	
	BOP	BRDCLM				03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	0.590	UGL	
	BOP	C13DCP				03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	0.580	UGL	
	BOP	C2AVE				03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	8.300	UGL	
	BOP	C2H3CL				03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	2.600	UGL	
	BOP	C2H5CL				03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	1.900	UGL	
	BOP	C6H6				03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOP	CCL3F				03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	1.400	UGL	
	BOP	CCL4				03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	0.580	UGL	
	BOP	CH2CL2				03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	2.300	UGL	
	BOP	CH3BR				03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	5.800	UGL	
	BOP	CH3CL				03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	3.200	UGL	
	BOP	CHBR3				03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	2.600	UGL	
	BOP	CHCL3				03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOP	CL2BZ				03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	10.000	UGL	
	BOP	CLC6H5				03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOP	CS2				03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOP	DBRCLM				03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	0.670	UGL	
	BOP	ETC6H5				03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOP	MEC6H5				03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOP	MEK				03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	6.400	UGL	
	BOP	MIBK				03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	3.000	UGL	
	BOP	MNBK				03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	3.600	UGL	
	BOP	STYR				03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOP	T13DCP				03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	0.700	UGL	
	BOP	TCLEA				03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	0.510	UGL	
	BOP	TCLEE				03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	1.600	UGL	
	BOP	TRCLE				03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOP	XYLEN				03-NOV-1992	03-NOV-1992	<	0.840	UGL	
	BOQ	111TCE				04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOQ	112TCE				04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	1.200	UGL	
	BOQ	11DCE				04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	BOQ	11DCLE				04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.680	UGL	
	BOQ	12DCE				04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOQ	12DCLE				04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOQ	12DCLP				04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOQ	2CLEVE				04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.710	UGL	
	BOQ	ACET				04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	13.000	UGL	
	BOQ	ACROLN				04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	100.000	UGL	
	BOQ	ACRYLO				04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	100.000	UGL	
	BOQ	BRDCLM				04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.590	UGL	
	BOQ	C13DCP				04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.580	UGL	
	BOQ	C2AVE				04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	8.300	UGL	
	BOQ	C2H3CL				04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	2.600	UGL	
	BOQ	C2H5CL				04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	1.900	UGL	
	BOQ	C6H6				04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOQ	CCL3F				04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	1.400	UGL	
	BOQ	CCL4				04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.580	UGL	
	BOQ	CH2CL2				04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	2.300	UGL	
	BOQ	CH3BR				04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	5.800	UGL	
	BOQ	CH3CL				04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	3.200	UGL	
	BOQ	CHBR3				04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	2.600	UGL	
	BOQ	CHCL3				04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOQ	CL2BZ				04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	10.000	UGL	
	BOQ	CLC6H5				04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOQ	CS2				04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOQ	DBRCLM				04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.670	UGL	
	BOQ	ETC6H5				04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOQ	MEC6H5				04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOQ	MEK				04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	6.400	UGL	
	BOQ	MIBK				04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	3.000	UGL	
	BOQ	MNBK				04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	3.600	UGL	
	BOQ	STYR				04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOQ	T13DCP				04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.700	UGL	
	BOQ	TCLEA				04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.510	UGL	
	BOQ	TCLEE				04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	1.600	UGL	
	BOQ	TRCLE				04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOQ	XYLEN				04-NOV-1992	04-NOV-1992	<	0.840	UGL	
	BOS	111TCE				06-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	BOS	112TCE				06-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992		1.300	UGL	
	BOS	11DCE				06-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOS	11DCLE				06-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	0.680	UGL	
	BOS	12DCE				06-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOS	12DCLE				06-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992		0.840	UGL	
	BOS	12DCLP				06-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOS	2CLEVE				06-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992		0.980	UGL	
	BOS	ACET				06-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	13.000	UGL	
	BOS	ACROLN				06-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	100.000	UGL	
	BOS	ACRYLO				06-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	100.000	UGL	
	BOS	BRDCLM				06-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	0.590	UGL	
	BOS	C13DCP				06-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992		0.680	UGL	
	BOS	C2AVE				06-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	8.300	UGL	
	BOS	C2H3CL				06-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	2.600	UGL	
	BOS	C2H5CL				06-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	1.900	UGL	
	BOS	C6H6				06-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOS	CCL3F				06-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	1.400	UGL	
	BOS	CCL4				06-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	0.580	UGL	
	BOS	CH2CL2				06-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	2.300	UGL	
	BOS	CH3BR				06-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	5.800	UGL	
	BOS	CH3CL				06-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	3.200	UGL	
	BOS	CHBR3				06-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	2.600	UGL	
	BOS	CHCL3				06-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992		0.630	UGL	
	BOS	CL2BZ				06-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	10.000	UGL	
	BOS	CLC6H5				06-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOS	CS2				06-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOS	DBRCLM				06-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992		0.680	UGL	
	BOS	ETC6H5				06-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOS	MEC6H5				06-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOS	MEK				06-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	6.400	UGL	
	BOS	MIBK				06-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992		3.200	UGL	
	BOS	MNBK				06-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992		3.900	UGL	
	BOS	STYR				06-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992		0.710	UGL	
	BOS	T13DCP				06-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	0.700	UGL	
	BOS	TCLEA				06-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992		3.200	UGL	
	BOS	TCLEE				06-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	1.600	UGL	
	BOS	TRCLE				06-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	BOS	XYLEN				06-NOV-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	0.840	UGL	
	BOT	111TCE				09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOT	112TCE				09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	1.200	UGL	
	BOT	11DCE				09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOT	11DCLE				09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.680	UGL	
	BOT	12DCE				09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOT	12DCLE				09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOT	12DCLP				09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOT	2CLEVE				09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.710	UGL	
	BOT	ACET				09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	13.000	UGL	
	BOT	ACROLN				09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	100.000	UGL	
	BOT	ACRYLO				09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	100.000	UGL	
	BOT	BRDCLM				09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.590	UGL	
	BOT	C13DCP				09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.580	UGL	
	BOT	C2AVE				09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	8.300	UGL	
	BOT	C2H3CL				09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	2.600	UGL	
	BOT	C2H5CL				09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	1.900	UGL	
	BOT	C6H6				09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOT	CCL3F				09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	1.400	UGL	
	BOT	CCL4				09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.580	UGL	
	BOT	CH2CL2				09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	2.300	UGL	
	BOT	CH3BR				09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	5.800	UGL	
	BOT	CH3CL				09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	3.200	UGL	
	BOT	CHBR3				09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	2.600	UGL	
	BOT	CHCL3				09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOT	CL2BZ				09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	10.000	UGL	
	BOT	CLC6H5				09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOT	CS2				09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOT	DBRCLM				09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.670	UGL	
	BOT	ETC6H5				09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOT	MEC6H5				09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOT	MEK				09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	6.400	UGL	
	BOT	MIBK				09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	3.000	UGL	
	BOT	MNBK				09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	3.600	UGL	
	BOT	STYR				09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOT	T13DCP				09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.700	UGL	
	BOT	TCLEA				09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.510	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	BOT	TCLEE				09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	1.600	UGL	
	BOT	TRCLE				09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOT	XYLEN				09-NOV-1992	09-NOV-1992	<	0.840	UGL	
	BOZ	111TCE				24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992		2.700	UGL	
	BOZ	112TCE				24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	1.200	UGL	
	BOZ	11DCE				24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOZ	11DCLE				24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.680	UGL	
	BOZ	12DCE				24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOZ	12DCLE				24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOZ	12DCLP				24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOZ	2CLEVE				24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.710	UGL	
	BOZ	ACET				24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	13.000	UGL	
	BOZ	ACROLN				24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	100.000	UGL	
	BOZ	ACRYLO				24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	100.000	UGL	
	BOZ	BRDCLM				24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.590	UGL	
	BOZ	C13DCP				24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.580	UGL	
	BOZ	C2AVE				24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	8.300	UGL	
	BOZ	C2H3CL				24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	2.600	UGL	
	BOZ	C2H5CL				24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	1.900	UGL	
	BOZ	C6H6				24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOZ	CCL3F				24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	1.400	UGL	
	BOZ	CCL4				24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.580	UGL	
	BOZ	CH2CL2				24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	2.300	UGL	
	BOZ	CH3BR				24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	5.800	UGL	
	BOZ	CH3CL				24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	3.200	UGL	
	BOZ	CHBR3				24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	2.600	UGL	
	BOZ	CHCL3				24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992		0.540	UGL	
	BOZ	CL2BZ				24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	10.000	UGL	
	BOZ	CLC6H5				24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOZ	CS2				24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOZ	DBRCLM				24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.670	UGL	
	BOZ	ETC6H5				24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOZ	MEC6H5				24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOZ	MEK				24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	6.400	UGL	
	BOZ	MIBK				24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	3.000	UGL	
	BOZ	MNBK				24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	3.600	UGL	
	BOZ	STYR				24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	BOZ	T13DCP				24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.700	UGL	
	BOZ	TCLEA				24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.510	UGL	
	BOZ	TCLEE				24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	1.600	UGL	
	BOZ	TRCLE				24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	BOZ	XYLEN				24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.840	UGL	
	CMA	111TCE				24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMA	112TCE				24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	1.200	UGL	
	CMA	11DCE				24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMA	11DCLE				24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.680	UGL	
	CMA	12DCE				24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMA	12DCLE				24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMA	12DCLP				24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMA	2CLEVE				24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.710	UGL	
	CMA	ACET				24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	13.000	UGL	
	CMA	ACROLN				24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	100.000	UGL	
	CMA	ACRYLO				24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	100.000	UGL	
	CMA	BRDCLM				24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.590	UGL	
	CMA	C13DCP				24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.580	UGL	
	CMA	C2AVE				24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	8.300	UGL	
	CMA	C2H3CL				24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	2.600	UGL	
	CMA	C2H5CL				24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	1.900	UGL	
	CMA	C6H6				24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMA	CCL3F				24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	1.400	UGL	
	CMA	CCL4				24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.580	UGL	
	CMA	CH2CL2				24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	2.300	UGL	
	CMA	CH3BR				24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	5.800	UGL	
	CMA	CH3CL				24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	3.200	UGL	
	CMA	CHBR3				24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	2.600	UGL	
	CMA	CHCL3				24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMA	CL2BZ				24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	10.000	UGL	
	CMA	CLC6H5				24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMA	CS2				24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMA	DBRCLM				24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.670	UGL	
	CMA	ETC6H5				24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMA	MEC6H5				24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMA	MEK				24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	6.400	UGL	
	CMA	MIBK				24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	3.000	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	CMA	MNBK				24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	3.600	UGL	
	CMA	STYR				24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMA	T13DCP				24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.700	UGL	
	CMA	TCLEA				24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.510	UGL	
	CMA	TCLEE				24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	1.600	UGL	
	CMA	TRCLE				24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMA	XYLEN				24-NOV-1992	24-NOV-1992	<	0.840	UGL	
	CMB	111TCE				25-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992		0.960	UGL	
	CMB	112TCE				25-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	1.200	UGL	
	CMB	11DCE				25-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMB	11DCLE				25-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	0.680	UGL	
	CMB	12DCE				25-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMB	12DCLE				25-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMB	12DCLP				25-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMB	2CLEVE				25-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	0.710	UGL	
	CMB	ACET				25-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	13.000	UGL	
	CMB	ACROLN				25-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	100.000	UGL	
	CMB	ACRYLO				25-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	100.000	UGL	
	CMB	BRDCLM				25-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	0.590	UGL	
	CMB	C13DCP				25-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	0.580	UGL	
	CMB	C2AVE				25-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	8.300	UGL	
	CMB	C2H3CL				25-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	2.600	UGL	
	CMB	C2H5CL				25-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	1.900	UGL	
	CMB	C6H6				25-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMB	CCL3F				25-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	1.400	UGL	
	CMB	CCL4				25-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	0.580	UGL	
	CMB	CH2CL2				25-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	2.300	UGL	
	CMB	CH3BR				25-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	5.800	UGL	
	CMB	CH3CL				25-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	3.200	UGL	
	CMB	CHBR3				25-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	2.600	UGL	
	CMB	CHCL3				25-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMB	CL2BZ				25-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	10.000	UGL	
	CMB	CLC6H5				25-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMB	CS2				25-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMB	DBRCLM				25-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	0.670	UGL	
	CMB	ETC6H5				25-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMB	MEC6H5				25-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	CMB	MEK				25-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	6.400	UGL	
	CMB	MIBK				25-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	3.000	UGL	
	CMB	MNBK				25-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	3.600	UGL	
	CMB	STYR				25-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMB	T13DCP				25-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	0.700	UGL	
	CMB	TCLEA				25-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	0.510	UGL	
	CMB	TCLEE				25-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	1.600	UGL	
	CMB	TRCLE				25-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMB	XYLEN				25-NOV-1992	25-NOV-1992	<	0.840	UGL	
	CMF	111TCE				15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMF	112TCE				15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	1.200	UGL	
	CMF	11DCE				15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMF	11DCLE				15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.680	UGL	
	CMF	12DCE				15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMF	12DCLE				15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMF	12DCLP				15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMF	2CLEVE				15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.710	UGL	
	CMF	ACET				15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	13.000	UGL	
	CMF	ACROLN				15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	100.000	UGL	
	CMF	ACRYLO				15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	100.000	UGL	
	CMF	BRDCLM				15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.590	UGL	
	CMF	C13DCP				15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.580	UGL	
	CMF	C2AVE				15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	8.300	UGL	
	CMF	C2H3CL				15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	2.600	UGL	
	CMF	C2H5CL				15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	1.900	UGL	
	CMF	C6H6				15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMF	CCL3F				15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	1.400	UGL	
	CMF	CCL4				15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.580	UGL	
	CMF	CH2CL2				15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	2.300	UGL	
	CMF	CH3BR				15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	5.800	UGL	
	CMF	CH3CL				15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	3.200	UGL	
	CMF	CHBR3				15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	2.600	UGL	
	CMF	CHCL3				15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMF	CL2BZ				15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	10.000	UGL	
	CMF	CLC6H5				15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMF	CS2				15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMF	DBRCLM				15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.670	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	CMF	ETC6H5				15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMF	MEC6H5				15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMF	MEK				15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	6.400	UGL	
	CMF	MIBK				15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	3.000	UGL	
	CMF	MNBK				15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	3.600	UGL	
	CMF	STYR				15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMF	T13DCP				15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.700	UGL	
	CMF	TCLEA				15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.510	UGL	
	CMF	TCLEE				15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	1.600	UGL	
	CMF	TRCLE				15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMF	XYLEN				15-DEC-1992	15-DEC-1992	<	0.840	UGL	
	CMH	111TCE				21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992		3.200	UGL	
	CMH	112TCE				21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	1.200	UGL	
	CMH	11DCE				21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMH	11DCLE				21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.680	UGL	
	CMH	12DCE				21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMH	12DCLE				21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMH	12DCLP				21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMH	2CLEVE				21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.710	UGL	
	CMH	ACET				21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	13.000	UGL	
	CMH	ACROLN				21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	100.000	UGL	
	CMH	ACRYLO				21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	100.000	UGL	
	CMH	BRDCLM				21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.590	UGL	
	CMH	C13DCP				21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.580	UGL	
	CMH	C2AVE				21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	8.300	UGL	
	CMH	C2H3CL				21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	2.600	UGL	
	CMH	C2H5CL				21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	1.900	UGL	
	CMH	C6H6				21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMH	CCL3F				21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	1.400	UGL	
	CMH	CCL4				21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.580	UGL	
	CMH	CH2CL2				21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	2.300	UGL	
	CMH	CH3BR				21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	5.800	UGL	
	CMH	CH3CL				21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	3.200	UGL	
	CMH	CHBR3				21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	2.600	UGL	
	CMH	CHCL3				21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992		0.870	UGL	
	CMH	CL2BZ				21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	10.000	UGL	
	CMH	CLC6H5				21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	CMH	CS2				21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMH	DBRCLM				21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.670	UGL	
	CMH	ETC6H5				21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMH	MEC6H5				21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMH	MEK				21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	6.400	UGL	
	CMH	MIBK				21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	3.000	UGL	
	CMH	MNBK				21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	3.600	UGL	
	CMH	STYR				21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMH	T13DCP				21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.700	UGL	
	CMH	TCLEA				21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.510	UGL	
	CMH	TCLEE				21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	1.600	UGL	
	CMH	TRCLE				21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMH	XYLEN				21-DEC-1992	21-DEC-1992	<	0.840	UGL	
	CMI	111TCE				08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993		1.900	UGL	
	CMI	112TCE				08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	1.200	UGL	
	CMI	11DCE				08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMI	11DCLE				08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	0.680	UGL	
	CMI	12DCE				08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMI	12DCLE				08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMI	12DCLP				08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMI	2CLEVE				08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	0.710	UGL	
	CMI	ACET				08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	13.000	UGL	
	CMI	ACROLN				08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	100.000	UGL	
	CMI	ACRYLO				08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	100.000	UGL	
	CMI	BRDCLM				08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	0.590	UGL	
	CMI	C13DCP				08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	0.580	UGL	
	CMI	C2AVE				08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	8.300	UGL	
	CMI	C2H3CL				08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	2.600	UGL	
	CMI	C2H5CL				08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	1.900	UGL	
	CMI	C6H6				08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMI	CCL3F				08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	1.400	UGL	
	CMI	CCL4				08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	0.580	UGL	
	CMI	CH2CL2				08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	2.300	UGL	
	CMI	CH3BR				08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	5.800	UGL	
	CMI	CH3CL				08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	3.200	UGL	
	CMI	CHBR3				08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	2.600	UGL	
	CMI	CHCL3				08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	CMI	CL2BZ				08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	10.000	UGL	
	CMI	CLC6H5				08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMI	CS2				08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMI	DBRCLM				08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	0.670	UGL	
	CMI	ETC6H5				08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMI	MEC6H5				08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMI	MEK				08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	6.400	UGL	
	CMI	MIBK				08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	3.000	UGL	
	CMI	MNBK				08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	3.600	UGL	
	CMI	STYR				08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMI	T13DCP				08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	0.700	UGL	
	CMI	TCLEA				08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	0.510	UGL	
	CMI	TCLEE				08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	1.600	UGL	
	CMI	TRCLE				08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMI	XYLEN				08-JAN-1993	08-JAN-1993	<	0.840	UGL	
	CMQ	111TCE				13-JAN-1993	13-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMQ	112TCE				13-JAN-1993	13-JAN-1993	<	1.200	UGL	
	CMQ	11DCE				13-JAN-1993	13-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMQ	11DCLE				13-JAN-1993	13-JAN-1993	<	0.680	UGL	
	CMQ	12DCE				13-JAN-1993	13-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMQ	12DCLE				13-JAN-1993	13-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMQ	12DCLP				13-JAN-1993	13-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMQ	2CLEVE				13-JAN-1993	13-JAN-1993	<	0.710	UGL	
	CMQ	ACET				13-JAN-1993	13-JAN-1993	<	13.000	UGL	
	CMQ	ACROLN				13-JAN-1993	13-JAN-1993	<	100.000	UGL	
	CMQ	ACRYLO				13-JAN-1993	13-JAN-1993	<	100.000	UGL	
	CMQ	BRDCLM				13-JAN-1993	13-JAN-1993	<	0.590	UGL	
	CMQ	C13DCP				13-JAN-1993	13-JAN-1993	<	0.580	UGL	
	CMQ	C2AVE				13-JAN-1993	13-JAN-1993	<	8.300	UGL	
	CMQ	C2H3CL				13-JAN-1993	13-JAN-1993	<	2.600	UGL	
	CMQ	C2H5CL				13-JAN-1993	13-JAN-1993	<	1.900	UGL	
	CMQ	C6H6				13-JAN-1993	13-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMQ	CCL3F				13-JAN-1993	13-JAN-1993	<	1.400	UGL	
	CMQ	CCL4				13-JAN-1993	13-JAN-1993	<	0.580	UGL	
	CMQ	CH2CL2				13-JAN-1993	13-JAN-1993	<	2.300	UGL	
	CMQ	CH3BR				13-JAN-1993	13-JAN-1993	<	5.800	UGL	
	CMQ	CH3CL				13-JAN-1993	13-JAN-1993	<	3.200	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	CMQ	CHBR3				13-JAN-1993	13-JAN-1993	<	2.600	UGL	
	CMQ	CHCL3				13-JAN-1993	13-JAN-1993		1.100	UGL	
	CMQ	CL2BZ				13-JAN-1993	13-JAN-1993	<	10.000	UGL	
	CMQ	CLC6H5				13-JAN-1993	13-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMQ	CS2				13-JAN-1993	13-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMQ	DBRCLM				13-JAN-1993	13-JAN-1993	<	0.670	UGL	
	CMQ	ETC6H5				13-JAN-1993	13-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMQ	MEC6H5				13-JAN-1993	13-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMQ	MEK				13-JAN-1993	13-JAN-1993	<	6.400	UGL	
	CMQ	MIBK				13-JAN-1993	13-JAN-1993	<	3.000	UGL	
	CMQ	MNBK				13-JAN-1993	13-JAN-1993	<	3.600	UGL	
	CMQ	STYR				13-JAN-1993	13-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMQ	T13DCP				13-JAN-1993	13-JAN-1993	<	0.700	UGL	
	CMQ	TCLEA				13-JAN-1993	13-JAN-1993	<	0.510	UGL	
	CMQ	TCLEE				13-JAN-1993	13-JAN-1993	<	1.600	UGL	
	CMQ	TRCLE				13-JAN-1993	13-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMQ	XYLEN				13-JAN-1993	13-JAN-1993	<	0.840	UGL	
	CMS	111TCE				14-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMS	112TCE				14-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	<	1.200	UGL	
	CMS	11DCE				14-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMS	11DCLE				14-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	<	0.680	UGL	
	CMS	12DCE				14-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMS	12DCLE				14-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMS	12DCLP				14-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMS	2CLEVE				14-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	<	0.710	UGL	
	CMS	ACET				14-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	<	13.000	UGL	
	CMS	ACROLN				14-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	<	100.000	UGL	
	CMS	ACRYLO				14-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	<	100.000	UGL	
	CMS	BRDCLM				14-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	<	0.590	UGL	
	CMS	C13DCP				14-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	<	0.580	UGL	
	CMS	C2AVE				14-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	<	8.300	UGL	
	CMS	C2H3CL				14-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	<	2.600	UGL	
	CMS	C2H5CL				14-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	<	1.900	UGL	
	CMS	C6H6				14-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMS	CCL3F				14-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	<	1.400	UGL	
	CMS	CCL4				14-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	<	0.580	UGL	
	CMS	CH2CL2				14-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	<	2.300	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	CMS	CH3BR				14-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	<	5.800	UGL	
	CMS	CH3CL				14-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	<	3.200	UGL	
	CMS	CHBR3				14-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	<	2.600	UGL	
	CMS	CHCL3				14-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMS	CL2BZ				14-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	<	10.000	UGL	
	CMS	CLC6H5				14-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMS	CS2				14-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMS	DBRCLM				14-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	<	0.670	UGL	
	CMS	ETC6H5				14-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMS	MEC6H5				14-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMS	MEK				14-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	<	6.400	UGL	
	CMS	MIBK				14-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	<	3.000	UGL	
	CMS	MNBK				14-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	<	3.600	UGL	
	CMS	STYR				14-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMS	T13DCP				14-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	<	0.700	UGL	
	CMS	TCLEA				14-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	<	0.510	UGL	
	CMS	TCLEE				14-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	<	1.600	UGL	
	CMS	TRCLE				14-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMS	XYLEN				14-JAN-1993	14-JAN-1993	<	0.840	UGL	
	CMT	111TCE				16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMT	112TCE				16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	1.200	UGL	
	CMT	11DCE				16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMT	11DCLE				16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	0.680	UGL	
	CMT	12DCE				16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMT	12DCLE				16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMT	12DCLP				16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMT	2CLEVE				16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	0.710	UGL	
	CMT	ACET				16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	13.000	UGL	
	CMT	ACROLN				16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	100.000	UGL	
	CMT	ACRYLO				16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	100.000	UGL	
	CMT	BRDCLM				16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	0.590	UGL	
	CMT	C13DCP				16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	0.580	UGL	
	CMT	C2AVE				16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	8.300	UGL	
	CMT	C2H3CL				16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	2.600	UGL	
	CMT	C2H5CL				16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	1.900	UGL	
	CMT	C6H6				16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMT	CCL3F				16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	1.400	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	CMT	CCL4				16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	0.580	UGL	
	CMT	CH2CL2				16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	2.300	UGL	
	CMT	CH3BR				16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	5.800	UGL	
	CMT	CH3CL				16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	3.200	UGL	
	CMT	CHBR3				16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	2.600	UGL	
	CMT	CHCL3				16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMT	CL2BZ				16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	10.000	UGL	
	CMT	CLC6H5				16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMT	CS2				16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMT	DBRCLM				16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	0.670	UGL	
	CMT	ETC6H5				16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMT	MEC6H5				16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMT	MEK				16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	6.400	UGL	
	CMT	MIBK				16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	3.000	UGL	
	CMT	MNBK				16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	3.600	UGL	
	CMT	STYR				16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMT	T13DCP				16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	0.700	UGL	
	CMT	TCLEA				16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	0.510	UGL	
	CMT	TCLEE				16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	1.600	UGL	
	CMT	TRCLE				16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMT	XYLEN				16-JAN-1993	16-JAN-1993	<	0.840	UGL	
	CMU	111TCE				18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMU	112TCE				18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	1.200	UGL	
	CMU	11DCE				18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMU	11DCLE				18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	0.680	UGL	
	CMU	12DCE				18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMU	12DCLE				18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMU	12DCLP				18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMU	2CLEVE				18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	0.710	UGL	
	CMU	ACET				18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	13.000	UGL	
	CMU	ACROLN				18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	100.000	UGL	
	CMU	ACRYLO				18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	100.000	UGL	
	CMU	BRDCLM				18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	0.590	UGL	
	CMU	C13DCP				18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	0.580	UGL	
	CMU	C2AVE				18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	8.300	UGL	
	CMU	C2H3CL				18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	2.600	UGL	
	CMU	C2H5CL				18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	1.900	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	CMU	C6H6				18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMU	CCL3F				18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	1.400	UGL	
	CMU	CCL4				18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	0.580	UGL	
	CMU	CH2CL2				18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	2.300	UGL	
	CMU	CH3BR				18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	5.800	UGL	
	CMU	CH3CL				18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	3.200	UGL	
	CMU	CHBR3				18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	2.600	UGL	
	CMU	CHCL3				18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMU	CL2BZ				18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	10.000	UGL	
	CMU	CLC6H5				18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMU	CS2				18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMU	DBRCLM				18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	0.670	UGL	
	CMU	ETC6H5				18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMU	MEC6H5				18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMU	MEK				18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	6.400	UGL	
	CMU	MIBK				18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	3.000	UGL	
	CMU	MNBK				18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	3.600	UGL	
	CMU	STYR				18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMU	T13DCP				18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	0.700	UGL	
	CMU	TCLEA				18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	0.510	UGL	
	CMU	TCLEE				18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	1.600	UGL	
	CMU	TRCLE				18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	CMU	XYLEN				18-JAN-1993	18-JAN-1993	<	0.840	UGL	
	DDA	111TCE				25-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDA	112TCE				25-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	1.200	UGL	
	DDA	11DCE				25-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDA	11DCLE				25-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	0.680	UGL	
	DDA	12DCE				25-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDA	12DCLE				25-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDA	12DCLP				25-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDA	2CLEVE				25-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	0.710	UGL	
	DDA	ACET				25-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	13.000	UGL	
	DDA	ACROLN				25-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	100.000	UGL	
	DDA	ACRYLO				25-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	100.000	UGL	
	DDA	BRDCLM				25-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	0.590	UGL	
	DDA	C13DCP				25-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	0.580	UGL	
	DDA	C2AVE				25-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	8.300	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	DDA	C2H3CL				25-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	2.600	UGL	
	DDA	C2H5CL				25-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	1.900	UGL	
	DDA	C6H6				25-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDA	CCL3F				25-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	1.400	UGL	
	DDA	CCL4				25-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	0.580	UGL	
	DDA	CH2CL2				25-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	2.300	UGL	
	DDA	CH3BR				25-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	5.800	UGL	
	DDA	CH3CL				25-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	3.200	UGL	
	DDA	CHBR3				25-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	2.600	UGL	
	DDA	CHCL3				25-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDA	CL2BZ				25-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	10.000	UGL	
	DDA	CLC6H5				25-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDA	CS2				25-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDA	DBRCLM				25-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	0.670	UGL	
	DDA	ETC6H5				25-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDA	MEC6H5				25-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDA	MEK				25-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	6.400	UGL	
	DDA	MIBK				25-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	3.000	UGL	
	DDA	MNBK				25-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	3.600	UGL	
	DDA	STYR				25-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDA	T13DCP				25-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	0.700	UGL	
	DDA	TCLEA				25-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	0.510	UGL	
	DDA	TCLEE				25-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	1.600	UGL	
	DDA	TRCLE				25-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDA	XYLEN				25-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	0.840	UGL	
	DDMA	111TCE				15-FEB-1993	15-FEB-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDMA	112TCE				15-FEB-1993	15-FEB-1993	<	1.200	UGL	
	DDMA	11DCE				15-FEB-1993	15-FEB-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDMA	11DCLE				15-FEB-1993	15-FEB-1993	<	0.680	UGL	
	DDMA	12DCE				15-FEB-1993	15-FEB-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDMA	12DCLE				15-FEB-1993	15-FEB-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDMA	12DCLP				15-FEB-1993	15-FEB-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDMA	2CLEVE				15-FEB-1993	15-FEB-1993	<	0.710	UGL	
	DDMA	ACET				15-FEB-1993	15-FEB-1993	<	13.000	UGL	
	DDMA	ACROLN				15-FEB-1993	15-FEB-1993	<	100.000	UGL	
	DDMA	ACRYLO				15-FEB-1993	15-FEB-1993	<	100.000	UGL	
	DDMA	BRDCLM				15-FEB-1993	15-FEB-1993	<	0.590	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	DDMA	C13DCP				15-FEB-1993	15-FEB-1993	<	0.580	UGL	
	DDMA	C2AVE				15-FEB-1993	15-FEB-1993	<	8.300	UGL	
	DDMA	C2H3CL				15-FEB-1993	15-FEB-1993	<	2.600	UGL	
	DDMA	C2H5CL				15-FEB-1993	15-FEB-1993	<	1.900	UGL	
	DDMA	C6H6				15-FEB-1993	15-FEB-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDMA	CCL3F				15-FEB-1993	15-FEB-1993	<	1.400	UGL	
	DDMA	CCL4				15-FEB-1993	15-FEB-1993	<	0.580	UGL	
	DDMA	CH2CL2				15-FEB-1993	15-FEB-1993	<	2.300	UGL	
	DDMA	CH3BR				15-FEB-1993	15-FEB-1993	<	5.800	UGL	
	DDMA	CH3CL				15-FEB-1993	15-FEB-1993	<	3.200	UGL	
	DDMA	CHBR3				15-FEB-1993	15-FEB-1993	<	2.600	UGL	
	DDMA	CHCL3				15-FEB-1993	15-FEB-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDMA	CL2BZ				15-FEB-1993	15-FEB-1993	<	10.000	UGL	
	DDMA	CLC6H5				15-FEB-1993	15-FEB-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDMA	CS2				15-FEB-1993	15-FEB-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDMA	DBRCLM				15-FEB-1993	15-FEB-1993	<	0.670	UGL	
	DDMA	ETC6H5				15-FEB-1993	15-FEB-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDMA	MEC6H5				15-FEB-1993	15-FEB-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDMA	MEK				15-FEB-1993	15-FEB-1993	<	6.400	UGL	
	DDMA	MIBK				15-FEB-1993	15-FEB-1993	<	3.000	UGL	
	DDMA	MNBK				15-FEB-1993	15-FEB-1993	<	3.600	UGL	
	DDMA	STYR				15-FEB-1993	15-FEB-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDMA	T13DCP				15-FEB-1993	15-FEB-1993	<	0.700	UGL	
	DDMA	TCLEA				15-FEB-1993	15-FEB-1993	<	0.510	UGL	
	DDMA	TCLEE				15-FEB-1993	15-FEB-1993	<	1.600	UGL	
	DDMA	TRCLE				15-FEB-1993	15-FEB-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDMA	XYLEN				15-FEB-1993	15-FEB-1993	<	0.840	UGL	
	DDN	111TCE				18-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDN	112TCE				18-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	1.200	UGL	
	DDN	11DCE				18-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDN	11DCLE				18-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	0.680	UGL	
	DDN	12DCE				18-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDN	12DCLE				18-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDN	12DCLP				18-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDN	2CLEVE				18-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	0.710	UGL	
	DDN	ACET				18-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	13.000	UGL	
	DDN	ACROLN				18-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	100.000	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	DDN	ACRYLO				18-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	100.000	UGL	
	DDN	BRDCLM				18-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	0.590	UGL	
	DDN	C13DCP				18-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	0.580	UGL	
	DDN	C2AVE				18-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	8.300	UGL	
	DDN	C2H3CL				18-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	2.600	UGL	
	DDN	C2H5CL				18-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	1.900	UGL	
	DDN	C6H6				18-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDN	CCL3F				18-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	1.400	UGL	
	DDN	CCL4				18-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	0.580	UGL	
	DDN	CH2CL2				18-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	2.300	UGL	
	DDN	CH3BR				18-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	5.800	UGL	
	DDN	CH3CL				18-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	3.200	UGL	
	DDN	CHBR3				18-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	2.600	UGL	
	DDN	CHCL3				18-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993		0.530	UGL	
	DDN	CL2BZ				18-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	10.000	UGL	
	DDN	CLC6H5				18-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDN	CS2				18-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDN	DBRCLM				18-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	0.670	UGL	
	DDN	ETC6H5				18-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDN	MEC6H5				18-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDN	MEK				18-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	6.400	UGL	
	DDN	MIBK				18-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	3.000	UGL	
	DDN	MNBK				18-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	3.600	UGL	
	DDN	STYR				18-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDN	T13DCP				18-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	0.700	UGL	
	DDN	TCLEA				18-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	0.510	UGL	
	DDN	TCLEE				18-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	1.600	UGL	
	DDN	TRCLE				18-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDN	XYLEN				18-FEB-1993	18-FEB-1993	<	0.840	UGL	
	DDQA	111TCE				05-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDQA	112TCE				05-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	<	1.200	UGL	
	DDQA	11DCE				05-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDQA	11DCLE				05-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	<	0.680	UGL	
	DDQA	12DCE				05-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDQA	12DCLE				05-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDQA	12DCLP				05-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDQA	2CLEVE				05-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	<	0.710	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	DDQA	ACET				05-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	<	13.000	UGL	
	DDQA	ACROLN				05-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	<	100.000	UGL	
	DDQA	ACRYLO				05-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	<	100.000	UGL	
	DDQA	BRDCLM				05-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	<	0.590	UGL	
	DDQA	C13DCP				05-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	<	0.580	UGL	
	DDQA	C2AVE				05-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	<	8.300	UGL	
	DDQA	C2H3CL				05-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	<	2.600	UGL	
	DDQA	C2H5CL				05-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	<	1.900	UGL	
	DDQA	C6H6				05-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDQA	CCL3F				05-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	<	1.400	UGL	
	DDQA	CCL4				05-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	<	0.580	UGL	
	DDQA	CH2CL2				05-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	<	2.300	UGL	
	DDQA	CH3BR				05-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	<	5.800	UGL	
	DDQA	CH3CL				05-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	<	3.200	UGL	
	DDQA	CHBR3				05-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	<	2.600	UGL	
	DDQA	CHCL3				05-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDQA	CL2BZ				05-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	<	10.000	UGL	
	DDQA	CLC6H5				05-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDQA	CS2				05-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDQA	DBRCLM				05-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	<	0.670	UGL	
	DDQA	ETC6H5				05-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDQA	MEC6H5				05-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDQA	MEK				05-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	<	6.400	UGL	
	DDQA	MIBK				05-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	<	3.000	UGL	
	DDQA	MNBK				05-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	<	3.600	UGL	
	DDQA	STYR				05-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDQA	T13DCP				05-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	<	0.700	UGL	
	DDQA	TCLEA				05-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	<	0.510	UGL	
	DDQA	TCLEE				05-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	<	1.600	UGL	
	DDQA	TRCLE				05-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDQA	XYLEN				05-MAR-1993	05-MAR-1993	<	0.840	UGL	
	DDRA	111TCE				06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDRA	112TCE				06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	1.200	UGL	
	DDRA	11DCE				06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDRA	11DCLE				06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.680	UGL	
	DDRA	12DCE				06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDRA	12DCLE				06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	DDRA	12DCLP				06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDRA	2CLEVE				06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.710	UGL	
	DDRA	ACET				06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	13.000	UGL	
	DDRA	ACROLN				06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	100.000	UGL	
	DDRA	ACRYLO				06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	100.000	UGL	
	DDRA	BRDCLM				06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.590	UGL	
	DDRA	C13DCP				06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.580	UGL	
	DDRA	C2AVE				06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	8.300	UGL	
	DDRA	C2H3CL				06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	2.600	UGL	
	DDRA	C2H5CL				06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	1.900	UGL	
	DDRA	C6H6				06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDRA	CCL3F				06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	1.400	UGL	
	DDRA	CCL4				06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.580	UGL	
	DDRA	CH2CL2				06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	2.300	UGL	
	DDRA	CH3BR				06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	5.800	UGL	
	DDRA	CH3CL				06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	3.200	UGL	
	DDRA	CHBR3				06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	2.600	UGL	
	DDRA	CHCL3				06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDRA	CL2BZ				06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	10.000	UGL	
	DDRA	CLC6H5				06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDRA	CS2				06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDRA	DBRCLM				06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.670	UGL	
	DDRA	ETC6H5				06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDRA	MEC6H5				06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDRA	MEK				06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	6.400	UGL	
	DDRA	MIBK				06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	3.000	UGL	
	DDRA	MNBK				06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	3.600	UGL	
	DDRA	STYR				06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDRA	T13DCP				06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.700	UGL	
	DDRA	TCLEA				06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.510	UGL	
	DDRA	TCLEE				06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	1.600	UGL	
	DDRA	TRCLE				06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDRA	XYLEN				06-MAR-1993	06-MAR-1993	<	0.840	UGL	
	DDSA	111TCE				08-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDSA	112TCE				08-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993	<	1.200	UGL	
	DDSA	11DCE				08-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDSA	11DCLE				08-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993	<	0.680	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	DDSA	12DCE				08-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDSA	12DCLE				08-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDSA	12DCLP				08-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDSA	2CLEVE				08-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993	<	0.710	UGL	
	DDSA	ACET				08-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993	<	13.000	UGL	
	DDSA	ACROLN				08-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993	<	100.000	UGL	
	DDSA	ACRYLO				08-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993	<	100.000	UGL	
	DDSA	BRDCLM				08-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993	<	0.590	UGL	
	DDSA	C13DCP				08-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993	<	0.580	UGL	
	DDSA	C2AVE				08-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993	<	8.300	UGL	
	DDSA	C2H3CL				08-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993	<	2.600	UGL	
	DDSA	C2H5CL				08-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993	<	1.900	UGL	
	DDSA	C6H6				08-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDSA	CCL3F				08-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993	<	1.400	UGL	
	DDSA	CCL4				08-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993	<	0.580	UGL	
	DDSA	CH2CL2				08-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993	<	2.300	UGL	
	DDSA	CH3BR				08-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993	<	5.800	UGL	
	DDSA	CH3CL				08-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993	<	3.200	UGL	
	DDSA	CHBR3				08-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993	<	2.600	UGL	
	DDSA	CHCL3				08-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDSA	CL2BZ				08-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993	<	10.000	UGL	
	DDSA	CLC6H5				08-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDSA	CS2				08-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDSA	DBRCLM				08-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993	<	0.670	UGL	
	DDSA	ETC6H5				08-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDSA	MEC6H5				08-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDSA	MEK				08-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993	<	6.400	UGL	
	DDSA	MIBK				08-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993	<	3.000	UGL	
	DDSA	MNBK				08-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993	<	3.600	UGL	
	DDSA	STYR				08-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDSA	T13DCP				08-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993	<	0.700	UGL	
	DDSA	TCLEA				08-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993	<	0.510	UGL	
	DDSA	TCLEE				08-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993	<	1.600	UGL	
	DDSA	TRCLE				08-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDSA	XYLEN				08-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993	<	0.840	UGL	
	DDTA	111TCE				11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDTA	112TCE				11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	1.200	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	DDTA	11DCE				11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDTA	11DCLE				11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.680	UGL	
	DDTA	12DCE				11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDTA	12DCLE				11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDTA	12DCLP				11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDTA	2CLEVE				11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.710	UGL	
	DDTA	ACET				11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	13.000	UGL	
	DDTA	ACROLN				11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	100.000	UGL	
	DDTA	ACRYLO				11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	100.000	UGL	
	DDTA	BRDCLM				11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.590	UGL	
	DDTA	C13DCP				11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.580	UGL	
	DDTA	C2AVE				11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	8.300	UGL	
	DDTA	C2H3CL				11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	2.600	UGL	
	DDTA	C2H5CL				11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	1.900	UGL	
	DDTA	C6H6				11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDTA	CCL3F				11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	1.400	UGL	
	DDTA	CCL4				11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.580	UGL	
	DDTA	CH2CL2				11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	2.300	UGL	
	DDTA	CH3BR				11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	5.800	UGL	
	DDTA	CH3CL				11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	3.200	UGL	
	DDTA	CHBR3				11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	2.600	UGL	
	DDTA	CHCL3				11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDTA	CL2BZ				11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	10.000	UGL	
	DDTA	CLC6H5				11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDTA	CS2				11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDTA	DBRCLM				11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.670	UGL	
	DDTA	ETC6H5				11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDTA	MEC6H5				11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDTA	MEK				11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	6.400	UGL	
	DDTA	MIBK				11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	3.000	UGL	
	DDTA	MNBK				11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	3.600	UGL	
	DDTA	STYR				11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDTA	T13DCP				11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.700	UGL	
	DDTA	TCLEA				11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.510	UGL	
	DDTA	TCLEE				11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	1.600	UGL	
	DDTA	TRCLE				11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDTA	XYLEN				11-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	0.840	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	DDUA	111TCE				12-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDUA	112TCE				12-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	<	1.200	UGL	
	DDUA	11DCE				12-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDUA	11DCLE				12-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	<	0.680	UGL	
	DDUA	12DCE				12-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDUA	12DCLE				12-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDUA	12DCLP				12-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDUA	2CLEVE				12-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	<	0.710	UGL	
	DDUA	ACET				12-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	<	13.000	UGL	
	DDUA	ACROLN				12-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	<	100.000	UGL	
	DDUA	ACRYLO				12-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	<	100.000	UGL	
	DDUA	BRDCLM				12-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	<	0.590	UGL	
	DDUA	C13DCP				12-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	<	0.580	UGL	
	DDUA	C2AVE				12-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	<	8.300	UGL	
	DDUA	C2H3CL				12-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	<	2.600	UGL	
	DDUA	C2H5CL				12-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	<	1.900	UGL	
	DDUA	C6H6				12-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDUA	CCL3F				12-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	<	1.400	UGL	
	DDUA	CCL4				12-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	<	0.580	UGL	
	DDUA	CH2CL2				12-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	<	2.300	UGL	
	DDUA	CH3BR				12-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	<	5.800	UGL	
	DDUA	CH3CL				12-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	<	3.200	UGL	
	DDUA	CHBR3				12-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	<	2.600	UGL	
	DDUA	CHCL3				12-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDUA	CL2BZ				12-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	<	10.000	UGL	
	DDUA	CLC6H5				12-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDUA	CS2				12-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDUA	DBRCLM				12-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	<	0.670	UGL	
	DDUA	ETC6H5				12-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDUA	MEC6H5				12-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDUA	MEK				12-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	<	6.400	UGL	
	DDUA	MIBK				12-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	<	3.000	UGL	
	DDUA	MNBK				12-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	<	3.600	UGL	
	DDUA	STYR				12-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDUA	T13DCP				12-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	<	0.700	UGL	
	DDUA	TCLEA				12-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993		0.660	UGL	
	DDUA	TCLEE				12-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	<	1.600	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	DDUA	TRCLE				12-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDUA	XYLEN				12-MAR-1993	12-MAR-1993	<	0.840	UGL	
	DDWA	111TCE				15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993		3.100	UGL	
	DDWA	112TCE				15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	1.200	UGL	
	DDWA	11DCE				15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDWA	11DCLE				15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	0.680	UGL	
	DDWA	12DCE				15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDWA	12DCLE				15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDWA	12DCLP				15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDWA	2CLEVE				15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	0.710	UGL	
	DDWA	ACET				15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	13.000	UGL	
	DDWA	ACROLN				15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	100.000	UGL	
	DDWA	ACRYLO				15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	100.000	UGL	
	DDWA	BRDCLM				15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	0.590	UGL	
	DDWA	C13DCP				15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	0.580	UGL	
	DDWA	C2AVE				15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	8.300	UGL	
	DDWA	C2H3CL				15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	2.600	UGL	
	DDWA	C2H5CL				15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	1.900	UGL	
	DDWA	C6H6				15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDWA	CCL3F				15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	1.400	UGL	
	DDWA	CCL4				15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	0.580	UGL	
	DDWA	CH2CL2				15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	2.300	UGL	
	DDWA	CH3BR				15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	5.800	UGL	
	DDWA	CH3CL				15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	3.200	UGL	
	DDWA	CHBR3				15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	2.600	UGL	
	DDWA	CHCL3				15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDWA	CL2BZ				15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	10.000	UGL	
	DDWA	CLC6H5				15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDWA	CS2				15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDWA	DBRCLM				15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	0.670	UGL	
	DDWA	ETC6H5				15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDWA	MEC6H5				15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDWA	MEK				15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	6.400	UGL	
	DDWA	MIBK				15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	3.000	UGL	
	DDWA	MNBK				15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	3.600	UGL	
	DDWA	STYR				15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDWA	T13DCP				15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	0.700	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	DDWA	TCLEA				15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	0.510	UGL	
	DDWA	TCLEE				15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	1.600	UGL	
	DDWA	TRCLE				15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDWA	XYLEN				15-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	0.840	UGL	
	DDXA	111TCE				24-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDXA	112TCE				24-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	1.200	UGL	
	DDXA	11DCE				24-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDXA	11DCLE				24-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.680	UGL	
	DDXA	12DCE				24-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDXA	12DCLE				24-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDXA	12DCLP				24-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDXA	2CLEVE				24-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.710	UGL	
	DDXA	ACET				24-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	13.000	UGL	
	DDXA	ACROLN				24-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	100.000	UGL	
	DDXA	ACRYLO				24-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	100.000	UGL	
	DDXA	BRDCLM				24-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.590	UGL	
	DDXA	C13DCP				24-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.580	UGL	
	DDXA	C2AVE				24-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	8.300	UGL	
	DDXA	C2H3CL				24-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	2.600	UGL	
	DDXA	C2H5CL				24-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	1.900	UGL	
	DDXA	C6H6				24-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDXA	CCL3F				24-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	1.400	UGL	
	DDXA	CCL4				24-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.580	UGL	
	DDXA	CH2CL2				24-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	2.300	UGL	
	DDXA	CH3BR				24-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	5.800	UGL	
	DDXA	CH3CL				24-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	3.200	UGL	
	DDXA	CHBR3				24-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	2.600	UGL	
	DDXA	CHCL3				24-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDXA	CL2BZ				24-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	10.000	UGL	
	DDXA	CLC6H5				24-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDXA	CS2				24-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDXA	DBRCLM				24-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.670	UGL	
	DDXA	ETC6H5				24-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDXA	MEC6H5				24-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDXA	MEK				24-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	6.400	UGL	
	DDXA	MIBK				24-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	3.000	UGL	
	DDXA	MNBK				24-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	3.600	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	DDXA	STYR				24-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDXA	T13DCP				24-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.700	UGL	
	DDXA	TCLEA				24-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.510	UGL	
	DDXA	TCLEE				24-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	1.600	UGL	
	DDXA	TRCLE				24-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DDXA	XYLEN				24-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.840	UGL	
	DYCA	111TCE				19-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DYCA	112TCE				19-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	<	1.200	UGL	
	DYCA	11DCE				19-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DYCA	11DCLE				19-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	<	0.680	UGL	
	DYCA	12DCE				19-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DYCA	12DCLE				19-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DYCA	12DCLP				19-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DYCA	2CLEVE				19-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	<	0.710	UGL	
	DYCA	ACET				19-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	<	13.000	UGL	
	DYCA	ACROLN				19-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	<	100.000	UGL	
	DYCA	ACRYLO				19-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	<	100.000	UGL	
	DYCA	BRDCLM				19-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	<	0.590	UGL	
	DYCA	C13DCP				19-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	<	0.580	UGL	
	DYCA	C2AVE				19-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	<	8.300	UGL	
	DYCA	C2H3CL				19-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	<	2.600	UGL	
	DYCA	C2H5CL				19-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	<	1.900	UGL	
	DYCA	C6H6				19-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DYCA	CCL3F				19-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	<	1.400	UGL	
	DYCA	CCL4				19-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	<	0.580	UGL	
	DYCA	CH2CL2				19-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	<	2.300	UGL	
	DYCA	CH3BR				19-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	<	5.800	UGL	
	DYCA	CH3CL				19-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	<	3.200	UGL	
	DYCA	CHBR3				19-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	<	2.600	UGL	
	DYCA	CHCL3				19-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DYCA	CL2BZ				19-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	<	10.000	UGL	
	DYCA	CLC6H5				19-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DYCA	CS2				19-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DYCA	DBRCLM				19-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	<	0.670	UGL	
	DYCA	ETC6H5				19-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DYCA	MEC6H5				19-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DYCA	MEK				19-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	<	6.400	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	DYCA	MIBK				19-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	<	3.000	UGL	
	DYCA	MNBK				19-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	<	3.600	UGL	
	DYCA	STYR				19-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DYCA	T13DCP				19-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	<	0.700	UGL	
	DYCA	TCLEA				19-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	<	0.510	UGL	
	DYCA	TCLEE				19-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	<	1.600	UGL	
	DYCA	TRCLE				19-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DYCA	XYLEN				19-APR-1993	19-APR-1993	<	0.840	UGL	
UW19	DMAA	NG				05-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993	<	10.000	UGL	
	DMAA	PETN				05-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993	<	20.000	UGL	
	DMBA	NG				08-MAR-1993	09-MAR-1993	<	10.000	UGL	
	DMBA	PETN				08-MAR-1993	09-MAR-1993	<	20.000	UGL	
	DMCA	NG				09-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	10.000	UGL	
	DMCA	PETN				09-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	20.000	UGL	
	DMDA	NG				09-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	10.000	UGL	
	DMDA	PETN				09-MAR-1993	11-MAR-1993	<	20.000	UGL	
	DMEA	NG				11-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	10.000	UGL	
	DMEA	PETN				11-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	20.000	UGL	
	DMFA	NG				16-MAR-1993	17-MAR-1993	<	10.000	UGL	
	DMFA	PETN				16-MAR-1993	17-MAR-1993	<	20.000	UGL	
	DMGA	NG				19-MAR-1993	22-MAR-1993	<	10.000	UGL	
	DMGA	PETN				19-MAR-1993	22-MAR-1993	<	20.000	UGL	
	DMHA	NG				26-MAR-1993	31-MAR-1993	<	10.000	UGL	
	DMHA	PETN				26-MAR-1993	31-MAR-1993	<	20.000	UGL	
	XZO	NG				05-OCT-1992	08-OCT-1992	<	10.000	UGL	
	XZO	PETN				05-OCT-1992	08-OCT-1992	<	20.000	UGL	
	XZP	NG				19-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	10.000	UGL	
	XZP	PETN				19-OCT-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	20.000	UGL	
	XZQ	NG				20-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	10.000	UGL	
	XZQ	PETN				20-OCT-1992	10-NOV-1992	<	20.000	UGL	
	XZR	NG				26-OCT-1992	11-NOV-1992	<	10.000	UGL	
	XZR	PETN				26-OCT-1992	11-NOV-1992	<	20.000	UGL	
	XZS	NG				29-OCT-1992	11-NOV-1992	<	10.000	UGL	
	XZS	PETN				29-OCT-1992	11-NOV-1992	<	20.000	UGL	
	XZT	NG				03-NOV-1992	11-NOV-1992	<	10.000	UGL	
	XZT	PETN				03-NOV-1992	11-NOV-1992	<	20.000	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UW19	XZU	NG				04-NOV-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	10.000	UGL	
	XZU	PETN				04-NOV-1992	12-NOV-1992	<	20.000	UGL	
	XZV	NG				24-NOV-1992	10-DEC-1992	<	10.000	UGL	
	XZV	PETN				24-NOV-1992	10-DEC-1992	<	20.000	UGL	
	XZW	NG				15-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	10.000	UGL	
	XZW	PETN				15-DEC-1992	04-JAN-1993	<	20.000	UGL	
	XZX	NG				23-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	10.000	UGL	
	XZX	PETN				23-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	20.000	UGL	
	XZY	NG				02-JAN-1993	22-JAN-1993	<	10.000	UGL	
	XZY	PETN				02-JAN-1993	22-JAN-1993	<	20.000	UGL	
	XZZ	NG				20-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	10.000	UGL	
	XZZ	PETN				20-JAN-1993	25-JAN-1993	<	20.000	UGL	
UW32	AFY	135TNB				28-SEP-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.449	UGL	
	AFY	13DNB				28-SEP-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.611	UGL	
	AFY	246TNT				28-SEP-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.635	UGL	
	AFY	24DNT				28-SEP-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.064	UGL	
	AFY	26DNT				28-SEP-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.074	UGL	
	AFY	HMX				28-SEP-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	1.210	UGL	
	AFY	NB				28-SEP-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	0.645	UGL	
	AFY	RDX				28-SEP-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	1.170	UGL	
	AFY	TETRYL				28-SEP-1992	19-OCT-1992	<	2.490	UGL	
	AFZ	135TNB				05-OCT-1992	09-OCT-1992	<	0.449	UGL	
	AFZ	13DNB				05-OCT-1992	09-OCT-1992	<	0.611	UGL	
	AFZ	246TNT				05-OCT-1992	09-OCT-1992	<	0.635	UGL	
	AFZ	24DNT				05-OCT-1992	09-OCT-1992	<	0.064	UGL	
	AFZ	26DNT				05-OCT-1992	09-OCT-1992	<	0.074	UGL	
	AFZ	HMX				05-OCT-1992	09-OCT-1992	<	1.210	UGL	
	AFZ	NB				05-OCT-1992	09-OCT-1992	<	0.645	UGL	
	AFZ	RDX				05-OCT-1992	09-OCT-1992	<	1.170	UGL	
	AFZ	TETRYL				05-OCT-1992	09-OCT-1992	<	2.490	UGL	
	BRE	135TNB				19-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.449	UGL	
	BRE	13DNB				19-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.611	UGL	
	BRE	246TNT				19-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.635	UGL	
	BRE	24DNT				19-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.064	UGL	
	BRE	26DNT				19-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.074	UGL	
	BRE	2A46DT				19-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.158	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UW32	BRE	HMX				19-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	1.210	UGL	
	BRE	NB				19-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	0.645	UGL	
	BRE	RDX				19-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	1.170	UGL	
	BRE	TETRYL				19-OCT-1992	29-OCT-1992	<	2.490	UGL	
	BRF	135TNB				22-OCT-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	0.449	UGL	
	BRF	13DNB				22-OCT-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	0.611	UGL	
	BRF	246TNT				22-OCT-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	0.635	UGL	
	BRF	24DNT				22-OCT-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	0.064	UGL	
	BRF	26DNT				22-OCT-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	0.074	UGL	
	BRF	HMX				22-OCT-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	1.210	UGL	
	BRF	NB				22-OCT-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	0.645	UGL	
	BRF	RDX				22-OCT-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	1.170	UGL	
	BRF	TETRYL				22-OCT-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	2.490	UGL	
	BRG	135TNB				26-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.449	UGL	
	BRG	13DNB				26-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.611	UGL	
	BRG	246TNT				26-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.635	UGL	
	BRG	24DNT				26-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.064	UGL	
	BRG	26DNT				26-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.074	UGL	
	BRG	HMX				26-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	1.210	UGL	
	BRG	NB				26-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	0.645	UGL	
	BRG	RDX				26-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	1.170	UGL	
	BRG	TETRYL				26-OCT-1992	05-NOV-1992	<	2.490	UGL	
	BRH	135TNB				27-OCT-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	0.449	UGL	
	BRH	13DNB				27-OCT-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	0.611	UGL	
	BRH	246TNT				27-OCT-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	0.635	UGL	
	BRH	24DNT				27-OCT-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	0.064	UGL	
	BRH	26DNT				27-OCT-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	0.074	UGL	
	BRH	HMX				27-OCT-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	1.210	UGL	
	BRH	NB				27-OCT-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	0.645	UGL	
	BRH	RDX				27-OCT-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	1.170	UGL	
	BRH	TETRYL				27-OCT-1992	06-NOV-1992	<	2.490	UGL	
	BRI	135TNB				28-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	<	0.449	UGL	
	BRI	13DNB				28-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	<	0.611	UGL	
	BRI	246TNT				28-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	<	0.635	UGL	
	BRI	24DNT				28-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	<	0.064	UGL	
	BRI	26DNT				28-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	<	0.074	UGL	
	BRI	HMX				28-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	<	1.210	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UW32	BRI	NB				28-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	<	0.645	UGL	
	BRI	RDX				28-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	<	1.170	UGL	
	BRI	TETRYL				28-OCT-1992	07-NOV-1992	<	2.490	UGL	
	BRK	135TNB				30-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.449	UGL	
	BRK	13DNB				30-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.611	UGL	
	BRK	246TNT				30-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.635	UGL	
	BRK	24DNT				30-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.064	UGL	
	BRK	26DNT				30-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.074	UGL	
	BRK	HMX				30-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	1.210	UGL	
	BRK	NB				30-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	0.645	UGL	
	BRK	RDX				30-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	1.170	UGL	
	BRK	TETRYL				30-OCT-1992	18-NOV-1992	<	2.490	UGL	
	BRL	135TNB				03-NOV-1992	19-NOV-1992	<	0.449	UGL	
	BRL	13DNB				03-NOV-1992	19-NOV-1992		2.680	UGL	
	BRL	246TNT				03-NOV-1992	19-NOV-1992	<	0.635	UGL	
	BRL	24DNT				03-NOV-1992	19-NOV-1992	<	0.064	UGL	
	BRL	26DNT				03-NOV-1992	19-NOV-1992	<	0.074	UGL	
	BRL	HMX				03-NOV-1992	19-NOV-1992	<	1.210	UGL	
	BRL	NB				03-NOV-1992	19-NOV-1992	<	0.645	UGL	
	BRL	RDX				03-NOV-1992	19-NOV-1992	<	1.170	UGL	
	BRL	TETRYL				03-NOV-1992	19-NOV-1992	<	2.490	UGL	
	BRM	135TNB				04-NOV-1992	19-NOV-1992	<	0.449	UGL	
	BRM	13DNB				04-NOV-1992	19-NOV-1992	<	0.611	UGL	
	BRM	246TNT				04-NOV-1992	19-NOV-1992	<	0.635	UGL	
	BRM	24DNT				04-NOV-1992	19-NOV-1992	<	0.064	UGL	
	BRM	26DNT				04-NOV-1992	19-NOV-1992	<	0.074	UGL	
	BRM	HMX				04-NOV-1992	19-NOV-1992	<	1.210	UGL	
	BRM	NB				04-NOV-1992	19-NOV-1992	<	0.645	UGL	
	BRM	RDX				04-NOV-1992	19-NOV-1992	<	1.170	UGL	
	BRM	TETRYL				04-NOV-1992	19-NOV-1992	<	2.490	UGL	
	BRR	135TNB				20-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	0.449	UGL	
	BRR	13DNB				20-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	0.611	UGL	
	BRR	246TNT				20-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	0.635	UGL	
	BRR	24DNT				20-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	0.064	UGL	
	BRR	26DNT				20-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	0.074	UGL	
	BRR	2A46DT				20-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	0.158	UGL	
	BRR	HMX				20-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	1.210	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UW32	BRR	NB				20-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	0.645	UGL	
	BRR	RDX				20-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	1.170	UGL	
	BRR	TETRYL				20-NOV-1992	03-DEC-1992	<	2.490	UGL	
	BRT	135TNB				25-NOV-1992	11-DEC-1992	<	0.449	UGL	
	BRT	13DNB				25-NOV-1992	11-DEC-1992	<	0.611	UGL	
	BRT	246TNT				25-NOV-1992	11-DEC-1992	<	0.635	UGL	
	BRT	24DNT				25-NOV-1992	11-DEC-1992	<	0.064	UGL	
	BRT	26DNT				25-NOV-1992	11-DEC-1992	<	0.074	UGL	
	BRT	HMX				25-NOV-1992	11-DEC-1992	<	1.210	UGL	
	BRT	NB				25-NOV-1992	11-DEC-1992	<	0.645	UGL	
	BRT	RDX				25-NOV-1992	11-DEC-1992	<	1.170	UGL	
	BRT	TETRYL				25-NOV-1992	11-DEC-1992	<	2.490	UGL	
	BRW	135TNB				14-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.449	UGL	
	BRW	13DNB				14-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.611	UGL	
	BRW	246TNT				14-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.635	UGL	
	BRW	24DNT				14-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.064	UGL	
	BRW	26DNT				14-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.074	UGL	
	BRW	HMX				14-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	1.210	UGL	
	BRW	NB				14-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	0.645	UGL	
	BRW	RDX				14-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	1.170	UGL	
	BRW	TETRYL				14-DEC-1992	05-JAN-1993	<	2.490	UGL	
	BRX	135TNB				17-DEC-1992	07-JAN-1993	<	0.449	UGL	
	BRX	13DNB				17-DEC-1992	07-JAN-1993	<	0.611	UGL	
	BRX	246TNT				17-DEC-1992	07-JAN-1993	<	0.635	UGL	
	BRX	24DNT				17-DEC-1992	07-JAN-1993	<	0.064	UGL	
	BRX	26DNT				17-DEC-1992	07-JAN-1993	<	0.074	UGL	
	BRX	HMX				17-DEC-1992	07-JAN-1993	<	1.210	UGL	
	BRX	NB				17-DEC-1992	07-JAN-1993	<	0.645	UGL	
	BRX	RDX				17-DEC-1992	07-JAN-1993	<	1.170	UGL	
	BRX	TETRYL				17-DEC-1992	07-JAN-1993	<	2.490	UGL	
	BRY	135TNB				21-DEC-1992	08-JAN-1993	<	0.449	UGL	
	BRY	13DNB				21-DEC-1992	08-JAN-1993	<	0.611	UGL	
	BRY	246TNT				21-DEC-1992	08-JAN-1993	<	0.635	UGL	
	BRY	24DNT				21-DEC-1992	08-JAN-1993	<	0.064	UGL	
	BRY	26DNT				21-DEC-1992	08-JAN-1993	<	0.074	UGL	
	BRY	HMX				21-DEC-1992	08-JAN-1993	<	1.210	UGL	
	BRY	NB				21-DEC-1992	08-JAN-1993	<	0.645	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UW32	BRY	RDX				21-DEC-1992	08-JAN-1993	<	1.170	UGL	
	BRY	TETRYL				21-DEC-1992	08-JAN-1993	<	2.490	UGL	
	CZA	135TNB				12-JAN-1993	13-JAN-1993	<	0.449	UGL	
	CZA	13DNB				12-JAN-1993	13-JAN-1993	<	0.611	UGL	
	CZA	246TNT				12-JAN-1993	13-JAN-1993	<	0.635	UGL	
	CZA	24DNT				12-JAN-1993	13-JAN-1993	<	0.064	UGL	
	CZA	26DNT				12-JAN-1993	13-JAN-1993	<	0.074	UGL	
	CZA	HMX				12-JAN-1993	13-JAN-1993	<	1.210	UGL	
	CZA	NB				12-JAN-1993	13-JAN-1993	<	0.645	UGL	
	CZA	RDX				12-JAN-1993	13-JAN-1993	<	1.170	UGL	
	CZA	TETRYL				12-JAN-1993	13-JAN-1993	<	2.490	UGL	
	CZC	135TNB				20-JAN-1993	11-FEB-1993	<	0.449	UGL	
	CZC	13DNB				20-JAN-1993	11-FEB-1993	<	0.611	UGL	
	CZC	246TNT				20-JAN-1993	11-FEB-1993	<	0.635	UGL	
	CZC	24DNT				20-JAN-1993	11-FEB-1993	<	0.064	UGL	
	CZC	26DNT				20-JAN-1993	11-FEB-1993	<	0.074	UGL	
	CZC	HMX				20-JAN-1993	11-FEB-1993	<	1.210	UGL	
	CZC	NB				20-JAN-1993	11-FEB-1993	<	0.645	UGL	
	CZC	RDX				20-JAN-1993	11-FEB-1993	<	1.170	UGL	
	CZC	TETRYL				20-JAN-1993	11-FEB-1993	<	2.490	UGL	
	CZJA	135TNB				05-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	0.449	UGL	
	CZJA	13DNB				05-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	0.611	UGL	
	CZJA	246TNT				05-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	0.635	UGL	
	CZJA	24DNT				05-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	0.064	UGL	
	CZJA	26DNT				05-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	0.074	UGL	
	CZJA	HMX				05-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	1.210	UGL	
	CZJA	NB				05-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	0.645	UGL	
	CZJA	RDX				05-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	1.170	UGL	
	CZJA	TETRYL				05-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	1.560	UGL	
	CZKA	135TNB				08-MAR-1993	19-MAR-1993	<	0.449	UGL	
	CZKA	13DNB				08-MAR-1993	19-MAR-1993	<	0.611	UGL	
	CZKA	246TNT				08-MAR-1993	19-MAR-1993	<	0.635	UGL	
	CZKA	24DNT				08-MAR-1993	19-MAR-1993	<	0.064	UGL	
	CZKA	26DNT				08-MAR-1993	19-MAR-1993	<	0.074	UGL	
	CZKA	HMX				08-MAR-1993	19-MAR-1993	<	1.210	UGL	
	CZKA	NB				08-MAR-1993	19-MAR-1993	<	0.645	UGL	
	CZKA	RDX				08-MAR-1993	19-MAR-1993	<	1.170	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UW32	CZKA	TETRYL				08-MAR-1993	19-MAR-1993	<	1.560	UGL	
	CZLA	135TNB				09-MAR-1993	20-MAR-1993	<	0.449	UGL	
	CZLA	13DNB				09-MAR-1993	20-MAR-1993	<	0.611	UGL	
	CZLA	246TNT				09-MAR-1993	20-MAR-1993	<	0.635	UGL	
	CZLA	24DNT				09-MAR-1993	20-MAR-1993	<	0.064	UGL	
	CZLA	26DNT				09-MAR-1993	20-MAR-1993	<	0.074	UGL	
	CZLA	HMX				09-MAR-1993	20-MAR-1993	<	1.210	UGL	
	CZLA	NB				09-MAR-1993	20-MAR-1993	<	0.645	UGL	
	CZLA	RDX				09-MAR-1993	20-MAR-1993	<	1.170	UGL	
	CZLA	TETRYL				09-MAR-1993	20-MAR-1993	<	1.560	UGL	
	CZMA	135TNB				10-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.449	UGL	
	CZMA	13DNB				10-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.611	UGL	
	CZMA	246TNT				10-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.635	UGL	
	CZMA	24DNT				10-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.064	UGL	
	CZMA	26DNT				10-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.074	UGL	
	CZMA	HMX				10-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	1.210	UGL	
	CZMA	NB				10-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.645	UGL	
	CZMA	RDX				10-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	1.170	UGL	
	CZMA	TETRYL				10-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	1.560	UGL	
	CZNA	135TNB				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.449	UGL	
	CZNA	13DNB				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.611	UGL	
	CZNA	246TNT				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.635	UGL	
	CZNA	24DNT				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.064	UGL	
	CZNA	26DNT				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.074	UGL	
	CZNA	HMX				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	1.210	UGL	
	CZNA	NB				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	0.645	UGL	
	CZNA	RDX				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	1.170	UGL	
	CZNA	TETRYL				11-MAR-1993	24-MAR-1993	<	1.560	UGL	
	CZOA	135TNB				16-MAR-1993	01-APR-1993	<	0.449	UGL	
	CZOA	13DNB				16-MAR-1993	01-APR-1993	<	0.611	UGL	
	CZOA	246TNT				16-MAR-1993	01-APR-1993	<	0.635	UGL	
	CZOA	24DNT				16-MAR-1993	01-APR-1993	<	0.064	UGL	
	CZOA	26DNT				16-MAR-1993	01-APR-1993	<	0.074	UGL	
	CZOA	HMX				16-MAR-1993	01-APR-1993	<	1.210	UGL	
	CZOA	NB				16-MAR-1993	01-APR-1993	<	0.645	UGL	
	CZOA	RDX				16-MAR-1993	01-APR-1993	<	1.170	UGL	
	CZOA	TETRYL				16-MAR-1993	01-APR-1993	<	1.560	UGL	

Table H7
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UW32	CZQA	135TNB				19-MAR-1993	06-APR-1993	<	0.449	UGL	
	CZQA	13DNB				19-MAR-1993	06-APR-1993	<	0.611	UGL	
	CZQA	246TNT				19-MAR-1993	06-APR-1993	<	0.635	UGL	
	CZQA	24DNT				19-MAR-1993	06-APR-1993	<	0.064	UGL	
	CZQA	26DNT				19-MAR-1993	06-APR-1993	<	0.074	UGL	
	CZQA	HMX				19-MAR-1993	06-APR-1993	<	1.210	UGL	
	CZQA	NB				19-MAR-1993	06-APR-1993	<	0.645	UGL	
	CZQA	RDX				19-MAR-1993	06-APR-1993	<	1.170	UGL	
	CZQA	TETRYL				19-MAR-1993	06-APR-1993	<	1.560	UGL	
	CZSA	135TNB				26-MAR-1993	12-APR-1993	<	0.449	UGL	
	CZSA	13DNB				26-MAR-1993	12-APR-1993	<	0.611	UGL	
	CZSA	246TNT				26-MAR-1993	12-APR-1993	<	0.635	UGL	
	CZSA	24DNT				26-MAR-1993	12-APR-1993	<	0.064	UGL	
	CZSA	26DNT				26-MAR-1993	12-APR-1993	<	0.074	UGL	
	CZSA	HMX				26-MAR-1993	12-APR-1993	<	1.210	UGL	
	CZSA	NB				26-MAR-1993	12-APR-1993	<	0.645	UGL	
	CZSA	RDX				26-MAR-1993	12-APR-1993	<	1.170	UGL	
	CZSA	TETRYL				26-MAR-1993	12-APR-1993	<	1.560	UGL	

Table H8
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

RINSATE BLANKS

QC_TYP	Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	IRDMIS Sample Number	Test Name	Lot	Sample Date	Spike Value		Value	Units	Site
R		00	SBK92114	ALK	BZQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000		135000.000	UGL	
R			SBK92118	ALK	CQM	10-DEC-1992	0.000		6000.000	UGL	SBK-92-118
R			DR2601X1	ALK	BZQ	23-OCT-1992	0.000		6000.000	UGL	
R			SBK93127	ALK	DFVA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	5000.000	UGL	SBK-93-127
R			SBK93128	ALK	DSYA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	5000.000	UGL	SBK-93-128
R			WR2601X1	ALK	BZQ	23-OCT-1992	0.000	<	5000.000	UGL	
R			SBK92114	HARD	BZI	22-OCT-1992	0.000		2000.000	UGL	
R			SBK92113	HARD	BZI	21-OCT-1992	0.000		1200.000	UGL	
R			SBK93127	HARD	DFOA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	1000.000	UGL	SBK-93-127
R			WR2601X1	HARD	BZI	23-OCT-1992	0.000	<	1000.000	UGL	
R			DR2601X1	HARD	BZI	23-OCT-1992	0.000	<	1000.000	UGL	
R			SBK93128	HARD	DFUA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	1000.000	UGL	SBK-93-128
R			SBK93128	TDS	DSOA	11-MAR-1993	0.000		29000.000	UGL	SBK-93-128
R			SBK93127	TDS	DSEA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	10000.000	UGL	SBK-93-127
R			SBK92118	TDS	CQO	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	10000.000	UGL	SBK-92-118
F			SBK92307	TOC	BCY	17-SEP-1992	0.000		1340.000	UGL	
R			SBK93124	TOC	DFE	11-FEB-1993	0.000	<	1000.000	UGL	SBK-93-124
R			SBK93127	TOC	DFPA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	1000.000	UGL	SBK-93-127
F			SBK92308	TOC	BCY	18-SEP-1992	0.000	<	1000.000	UGL	
F			SBK92310	TOC	BCY	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	1000.000	UGL	
F			SBK92306	TOC	BCY	14-SEP-1992	0.000	<	1000.000	UGL	
R			SBK92120	TOC	CQX	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	1000.000	UGL	SBK-92-120
R			SBK92119	TOC	CQX	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	1000.000	UGL	SBK-92-119
R			SBK92118	TOC	CQX	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	1000.000	UGL	SBK-92-118
R			SBK92115	TOC	CQX	03-DEC-1992	0.000	<	1000.000	UGL	SBK-92-115
R			SBK92116	TOC	CQX	04-DEC-1992	0.000	<	1000.000	UGL	SBK-92-116
R			SBK92117	TOC	CQX	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	1000.000	UGL	SBK-92-117
R			SBK93128	TOC	DFPA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	1000.000	UGL	SBK-93-128
R			BR3201X1	TPHC	CFO	19-OCT-1992	0.000		1380.000	UGL	
R			BR3202X1	TPHC	CFO	19-OCT-1992	0.000		253.000	UGL	
R			SBK92313	TPHC	DFB	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	209.000	UGL	SBK-92-313
R			SBK92314	TPHC	DFC	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	202.000	UGL	SBK-92-314
R			SBK92307	TPHC	BNM	17-SEP-1992	0.000	<	200.000	UGL	SBK-92-307
R			SBK92312	TPHC	BNM	01-OCT-1992	0.000	<	200.000	UGL	SBK-92-312
R			SBK92310	TPHC	BNM	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	200.000	UGL	SBK-92-310
R			SBK92309	TPHC	BNM	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	200.000	UGL	SBK-92-308
R			SBK92308	TPHC	BNM	18-SEP-1992	0.000	<	200.000	UGL	SBK-92-308
R			SR2601X1	TPHC	CFT	27-OCT-1992	0.000	<	197.000	UGL	
R			SBK92113	TPHC	CFS	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	192.000	UGL	
R			SBK92114	TPHC	CFS	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	190.000	UGL	
R			WR2601X1	TPHC	CFS	23-OCT-1992	0.000	<	180.000	UGL	

Table H8
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

RINSATE BLANKS

QC_TYP	Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	IRDMIS Sample Number	Test Name	Lot	Sample Date	Spike Value		Value	Units	Site
R		00	DR2601X1	TPHC	CFS	23-OCT-1992	0.000	<	178.000	UGL	
R			WR3201X1	TPHC	CFO	20-OCT-1992	0.000	<	171.000	UGL	
R			SR3201X1	TPHC	CFO	17-OCT-1992	0.000	<	171.000	UGL	
R			DR3201X1	TPHC	CFO	20-OCT-1992	0.000	<	171.000	UGL	
R			SBK93128	TSS	DSPA	11-MAR-1993	0.000		6000.000	UGL	SBK-93-128
R			SBK92313	TSS	CYG	06-JAN-1993	0.000		6000.000	UGL	SBK-92-313
R			WR2601X1	TSS	BZL	23-OCT-1992	0.000	<	4000.000	UGL	
R			DR2601X1	TSS	BZL	23-OCT-1992	0.000	<	4000.000	UGL	
R			SBK92114	TSS	BZL	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	4000.000	UGL	
R			SBK92113	TSS	BZL	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	4000.000	UGL	
R			SBK92314	TSS	CYZ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	4000.000	UGL	SBK-92-314
R			SBK92118	TSS	CQP	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	4000.000	UGL	SBK-92-118
R			SBK93127	TSS	DSFA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	4000.000	UGL	SBK-93-127
R		7470	SBK92313	HG	CDQ	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.240	UGL	SBK-92-313
R			SBK92314	HG	CDQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.240	UGL	SBK-92-314
F		99	SBK92309	ALK	BCR	22-SEP-1992	0.000		7000.000	UGL	SBK-92-308
R			SBK92312	ALK	BNU	01-OCT-1992	0.000		6000.000	UGL	SBK-92-312
R			SBK92313	ALK	CYD	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	5000.000	UGL	SBK-92-313
R			SBK92314	ALK	CYP	13-JAN-1993	0.000		5000.000	UGL	SBK-92-314
F			SBK92309	HCO3	BCR	22-SEP-1992	0.000		8540.000	UGL	SBK-92-308
R			SBK92312	HCO3	BNU	01-OCT-1992	0.000		7320.000	UGL	SBK-92-312
R			SBK92313	HCO3	CYD	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	6100.000	UGL	SBK-92-313
R			SBK92314	HCO3	CYP	13-JAN-1993	0.000		6100.000	UGL	SBK-92-314
F	HG IN WATER BY CVAA	SB01	SBK92309	HG	APM	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	0.243	UGL	
R	HG IN WATER BY CVAA		SBK92119	HG	CDN	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.243	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	HG IN WATER BY CVAA		SBK92120	HG	CDN	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.243	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	HG IN WATER BY CVAA		SBK93127	HG	DOCA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.243	UGL	SBK-93-127
R	HG IN WATER BY CVAA		SBK92118	HG	CDN	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.243	UGL	SBK-92-118
R	HG IN WATER BY CVAA		SBK92118	HG	CDN	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.243	UGL	SBK-92-118
R	HG IN WATER BY CVAA		SBK92117	HG	CDN	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.243	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	HG IN WATER BY CVAA		SBK92116	HG	CDK	04-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.243	UGL	SBK-92-116
R	HG IN WATER BY CVAA		SBK92115	HG	CDK	03-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.243	UGL	SBK-92-115
R	HG IN WATER BY CVAA		SBK92114	HG	APX	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	0.243	UGL	
R	HG IN WATER BY CVAA		SBK92114	HG	APX	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	0.243	UGL	
R	HG IN WATER BY CVAA		SBK92113	HG	APX	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	0.243	UGL	
R	HG IN WATER BY CVAA		SBK93128	HG	DOEA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.243	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	TL IN WATER BY GFAA	SD09	SBK93127	TL	DNBA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	6.990	UGL	SBK-93-127

Table H8
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

RINSATE BLANKS

QC_TYP	Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	IRDMIS Sample Number	Test Name	Lot	Sample Date	Spike Value		Value	Units	Site
R	TL IN WATER BY GFAA	SD09	SBK92309	TL	ZKU	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	6.990	UGL	
R	TL IN WATER BY GFAA		SBK92114	TL	CCA	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	6.990	UGL	
R	TL IN WATER BY GFAA		SBK92117	TL	CCK	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	6.990	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	TL IN WATER BY GFAA		SBK92116	TL	CCI	04-DEC-1992	0.000	<	6.990	UGL	SBK-92-116
R	TL IN WATER BY GFAA		SBK92115	TL	CCI	03-DEC-1992	0.000	<	6.990	UGL	SBK-92-115
R	TL IN WATER BY GFAA		SBK92114	TL	CCA	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	6.990	UGL	
R	TL IN WATER BY GFAA		SBK92113	TL	CCA	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	6.990	UGL	
R	TL IN WATER BY GFAA		SBK92302	TL	ZKP	26-AUG-1992	0.000	<	6.990	UGL	
R	TL IN WATER BY GFAA		SBK93128	TL	DNDA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	6.990	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	TL IN WATER BY GFAA		SBK92301	TL	ZKP	17-AUG-1992	0.000	<	6.990	UGL	
R	TL IN WATER BY GFAA		SBK92118	TL	CCK	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	6.990	UGL	SBK-92-118
R	TL IN WATER BY GFAA		SBK92118	TL	CCK	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	6.990	UGL	SBK-92-118
R	TL IN WATER BY GFAA		SBK92120	TL	CCK	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	6.990	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	TL IN WATER BY GFAA		SBK92119	TL	CCK	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	6.990	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	TL IN WATER BY GFAA		SBK92313	TL	CCR	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	6.990	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	TL IN WATER BY GFAA		SBK92314	TL	CCR	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	6.990	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	PB IN WATER BY GFAA	SD20	SBK92120	PB	BJX	16-DEC-1992	0.000		25.900	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	PB IN WATER BY GFAA		SBK92310	PB	BJC	22-SEP-1992	0.000		3.360	UGL	SBK-92-310
R	PB IN WATER BY GFAA		SBK92301	PB	ZUR	17-AUG-1992	0.000		2.600	UGL	
R	PB IN WATER BY GFAA		SBK92302	PB	ZUR	26-AUG-1992	0.000		2.600	UGL	
R	PB IN WATER BY GFAA		SBK93127	PB	DCNA	03-MAR-1993	0.000		1.950	UGL	SBK-93-127
R	PB IN WATER BY GFAA		SBK92309	PB	ZUY	22-SEP-1992	0.000		1.950	UGL	
R	PB IN WATER BY GFAA		SBK92118	PB	BJX	10-DEC-1992	0.000		1.630	UGL	SBK-92-118
R	PB IN WATER BY GFAA		SBK92116	PB	BJT	04-DEC-1992	0.000		1.410	UGL	SBK-92-116
R	PB IN WATER BY GFAA		SBK92314	PB	BJZ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	1.260	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	PB IN WATER BY GFAA		SBK92307	PB	ZUY	17-SEP-1992	0.000	<	1.260	UGL	
R	PB IN WATER BY GFAA		SBK93128	PB	DCPA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	1.260	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	PB IN WATER BY GFAA		SBK92113	PB	BJF	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	1.260	UGL	
R	PB IN WATER BY GFAA		SBK92114	PB	BJF	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	1.260	UGL	
R	PB IN WATER BY GFAA		SBK92114	PB	BJF	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	1.260	UGL	
R	PB IN WATER BY GFAA		SBK92115	PB	BJT	03-DEC-1992	0.000	<	1.260	UGL	SBK-92-115
R	PB IN WATER BY GFAA		SBK92117	PB	BJX	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	1.260	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	PB IN WATER BY GFAA		SBK92308	PB	ZUY	18-SEP-1992	0.000	<	1.260	UGL	
R	PB IN WATER BY GFAA		SBK92119	PB	BJX	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	1.260	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	PB IN WATER BY GFAA		SBK92313	PB	BJZ	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	1.260	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	PB IN WATER BY GFAA		SBK92118	PB	BJX	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	1.260	UGL	SBK-92-118
R	SE IN WATER BY GFAA	SD21	SBK93127	SE	COTA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	3.020	UGL	SBK-93-127
R	SE IN WATER BY GFAA		SBK92117	SE	COE	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	3.020	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	SE IN WATER BY GFAA		SBK92116	SE	COB	04-DEC-1992	0.000	<	3.020	UGL	SBK-92-116

Table H8
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

RINSATE BLANKS

QC_TYP	Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	IRDMIS Sample Number	Test Name	Lot	Sample Date	Spike Value		Value	Units	Site
R	SE IN WATER BY GFAA	SD21	SBK92115	SE	COB	03-DEC-1992	0.000	<	3.020	UGL	SBK-92-115
R	SE IN WATER BY GFAA		SBK92302	SE	ZGX	26-AUG-1992	0.000	<	3.020	UGL	
R	SE IN WATER BY GFAA		SBK92309	SE	AZE	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	3.020	UGL	
R	SE IN WATER BY GFAA		SBK92113	SE	AZN	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	3.020	UGL	
R	SE IN WATER BY GFAA		SBK92118	SE	COE	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	3.020	UGL	SBK-92-118
R	SE IN WATER BY GFAA		SBK92118	SE	COE	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	3.020	UGL	SBK-92-118
R	SE IN WATER BY GFAA		SBK92114	SE	AZN	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	3.020	UGL	
R	SE IN WATER BY GFAA		SBK92114	SE	AZN	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	3.020	UGL	
R	SE IN WATER BY GFAA		SBK92301	SE	ZGX	17-AUG-1992	0.000	<	3.020	UGL	
R	SE IN WATER BY GFAA		SBK93128	SE	COVA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	3.020	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	SE IN WATER BY GFAA		SBK92119	SE	COE	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	3.020	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	SE IN WATER BY GFAA		SBK92120	SE	COE	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	3.020	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	SE IN WATER BY GFAA		SBK92313	SE	COG	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	3.020	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	SE IN WATER BY GFAA		SBK92314	SE	COG	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	3.020	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	AS IN WATER BY GFAA	SD22	SBK92313	AS	CBT	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	2.540	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	AS IN WATER BY GFAA		SBK92314	AS	CBT	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	2.540	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	AS IN WATER BY GFAA		SBK92119	AS	CBR	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	2.540	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	AS IN WATER BY GFAA		SBK92120	AS	CBR	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	2.540	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	AS IN WATER BY GFAA		SBK93127	AS	DGGA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	2.540	UGL	SBK-93-127
R	AS IN WATER BY GFAA		SBK92118	AS	CBR	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	2.540	UGL	SBK-92-118
R	AS IN WATER BY GFAA		SBK92118	AS	CBR	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	2.540	UGL	SBK-92-118
R	AS IN WATER BY GFAA		SBK92117	AS	CBR	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	2.540	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	AS IN WATER BY GFAA		SBK92116	AS	CBO	04-DEC-1992	0.000	<	2.540	UGL	SBK-92-116
R	AS IN WATER BY GFAA		SBK92115	AS	CBO	03-DEC-1992	0.000	<	2.540	UGL	SBK-92-115
R	AS IN WATER BY GFAA		SBK92114	AS	CBB	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	2.540	UGL	
R	AS IN WATER BY GFAA		SBK92114	AS	CBB	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	2.540	UGL	
R	AS IN WATER BY GFAA		SBK92113	AS	CBB	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	2.540	UGL	
R	AS IN WATER BY GFAA		SBK92309	AS	AAT	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	2.540	UGL	
R	AS IN WATER BY GFAA		SBK92302	AS	AAM	26-AUG-1992	0.000	<	2.540	UGL	
R	AS IN WATER BY GFAA		SBK92301	AS	AAM	17-AUG-1992	0.000	<	2.540	UGL	
R	AS IN WATER BY GFAA		SBK93128	AS	DGJA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	2.540	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	SB IN WATER BY GFAA	SD28	SBK93127	SB	YWT	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	3.030	UGL	SBK-93-127
R	SB IN WATER BY GFAA		SBK92118	SB	YWO	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	3.030	UGL	SBK-92-118
R	SB IN WATER BY GFAA		SBK92118	SB	YWO	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	3.030	UGL	SBK-92-118
R	SB IN WATER BY GFAA		SBK92115	SB	YWO	03-DEC-1992	0.000	<	3.030	UGL	SBK-92-115
R	SB IN WATER BY GFAA		SBK92114	SB	YWK	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	3.030	UGL	
R	SB IN WATER BY GFAA		SBK92114	SB	YWK	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	3.030	UGL	
R	SB IN WATER BY GFAA		SBK92113	SB	YWK	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	3.030	UGL	
R	SB IN WATER BY GFAA		SBK92309	SB	YWJ	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	3.030	UGL	

Table H8
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

RINSATE BLANKS

QC_TYP	Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	IRDMIS Sample Number	Test Name	Lot	Sample Date	Spike Value		Value	Units	Site
R	SB IN WATER BY GFAA	SD28	SBK92304	SB	YWI	01-SEP-1992	0.000	<	3.030	UGL	
R	SB IN WATER BY GFAA		SBK92302	SB	YWH	26-AUG-1992	0.000	<	3.030	UGL	
R	SB IN WATER BY GFAA		SBK92301	SB	YWH	17-AUG-1992	0.000	<	3.030	UGL	
R	SB IN WATER BY GFAA		SBK92117	SB	YWO	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	3.030	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	SB IN WATER BY GFAA		SBK92116	SB	YWO	04-DEC-1992	0.000	<	3.030	UGL	SBK-92-116
R	SB IN WATER BY GFAA		SBK93128	SB	YWU	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	3.030	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	SB IN WATER BY GFAA		SBK92119	SB	YWO	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	3.030	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	SB IN WATER BY GFAA		SBK92120	SB	YWO	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	3.030	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	SB IN WATER BY GFAA		SBK92313	SB	YWP	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	3.030	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	SB IN WATER BY GFAA		SBK92314	SB	YWP	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	3.030	UGL	SBK-92-314
F	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	SBK92309	AG	ZZW	22-SEP-1992	0.000		4.700	UGL	
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92313	AG	BIZ	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	4.600	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK93128	AG	DBPA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	4.600	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92118	AG	BIW	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	4.600	UGL	SBK-92-118
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92116	AG	BIS	04-DEC-1992	0.000	<	4.600	UGL	SBK-92-116
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92117	AG	BIW	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	4.600	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92120	AG	BIW	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	4.600	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92115	AG	BIS	03-DEC-1992	0.000	<	4.600	UGL	SBK-92-115
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92119	AG	BIW	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	4.600	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92118	AG	BIW	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	4.600	UGL	SBK-92-118
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92113	AG	BIG	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	4.600	UGL	
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK93127	AG	DBNA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	4.600	UGL	SBK-93-127
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92114	AG	BIG	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	4.600	UGL	
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92114	AG	BIG	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	4.600	UGL	
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92314	AG	BIZ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	4.600	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92314	AL	BIZ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	141.000	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92116	AL	BIS	04-DEC-1992	0.000	<	141.000	UGL	SBK-92-116
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92117	AL	BIW	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	141.000	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92118	AL	BIW	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	141.000	UGL	SBK-92-118
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92118	AL	BIW	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	141.000	UGL	SBK-92-118
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK93128	AL	DBPA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	141.000	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92113	AL	BIG	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	141.000	UGL	
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92114	AL	BIG	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	141.000	UGL	
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92114	AL	BIG	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	141.000	UGL	
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92120	AL	BIW	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	141.000	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92115	AL	BIS	03-DEC-1992	0.000	<	141.000	UGL	SBK-92-115
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92119	AL	BIW	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	141.000	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK93127	AL	DBNA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	141.000	UGL	SBK-93-127
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92313	AL	BIZ	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	141.000	UGL	SBK-92-313
F	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92309	AL	ZZW	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	141.000	UGL	

Table H8
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

RINSATE BLANKS

QC_TYP	Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	IRDMIS Sample Number	Test Name	Lot	Sample Date	Spike Value		Value	Units	Site
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	SBK92119	BA	BIW	11-DEC-1992	0.000		57.300	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92117	BA	BIW	10-DEC-1992	0.000		42.600	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92118	BA	BIW	10-DEC-1992	0.000		41.700	UGL	SBK-92-118
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92118	BA	BIW	10-DEC-1992	0.000		25.600	UGL	SBK-92-118
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92120	BA	BIW	16-DEC-1992	0.000		10.400	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92314	BA	BIZ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	5.000	UGL	SBK-92-314
F	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92309	BA	ZZW	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	5.000	UGL	
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92313	BA	BIZ	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	5.000	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92114	BA	BIG	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	5.000	UGL	
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92116	BA	BIS	04-DEC-1992	0.000	<	5.000	UGL	SBK-92-116
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK93127	BA	DBNA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	5.000	UGL	SBK-93-127
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92115	BA	BIS	03-DEC-1992	0.000	<	5.000	UGL	SBK-92-115
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92114	BA	BIG	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	5.000	UGL	
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK93128	BA	DBPA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	5.000	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92113	BA	BIG	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	5.000	UGL	
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92313	BE	BIZ	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	5.000	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92314	BE	BIZ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	5.000	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92113	BE	BIG	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	5.000	UGL	
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92114	BE	BIG	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	5.000	UGL	
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92114	BE	BIG	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	5.000	UGL	
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92115	BE	BIS	03-DEC-1992	0.000	<	5.000	UGL	SBK-92-115
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92119	BE	BIW	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	5.000	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92116	BE	BIS	04-DEC-1992	0.000	<	5.000	UGL	SBK-92-116
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92117	BE	BIW	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	5.000	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92118	BE	BIW	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	5.000	UGL	SBK-92-118
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92118	BE	BIW	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	5.000	UGL	SBK-92-118
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK93128	BE	DBPA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	5.000	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK93127	BE	DBNA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	5.000	UGL	SBK-93-127
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92120	BE	BIW	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	5.000	UGL	SBK-92-120
F	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92309	BE	ZZW	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	5.000	UGL	
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92313	CA	BIZ	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	500.000	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92314	CA	BIZ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	500.000	UGL	SBK-92-314
F	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92312	CA	ZZW	01-OCT-1992	0.000	<	500.000	UGL	
F	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92309	CA	ZZW	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	500.000	UGL	
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92120	CA	BIW	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	500.000	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK93127	CA	DBNA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	500.000	UGL	SBK-93-127
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92113	CA	BIG	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	500.000	UGL	
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92114	CA	BIG	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	500.000	UGL	
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92114	CA	BIG	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	500.000	UGL	
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92115	CA	BIS	03-DEC-1992	0.000	<	500.000	UGL	SBK-92-115
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92119	CA	BIW	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	500.000	UGL	SBK-92-119

Table H8
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

RINSATE BLANKS

QC_TYP	Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	IRDMIS Sample Number	Test Name	Lot	Sample Date	Spike Value		Value	Units	Site
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	SBK92116	CA	BIS	04-DEC-1992	0.000	<	500.000	UGL	SBK-92-116
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92117	CA	BIW	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	500.000	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92118	CA	BIW	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	500.000	UGL	SBK-92-118
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92118	CA	BIW	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	500.000	UGL	SBK-92-118
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK93128	CA	DBPA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	500.000	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92314	CD	BIZ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	4.010	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92313	CD	BIZ	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	4.010	UGL	SBK-92-313
F	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92309	CD	ZZW	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	4.010	UGL	
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK93127	CD	DBNA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	4.010	UGL	SBK-93-127
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92113	CD	BIG	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	4.010	UGL	
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92114	CD	BIG	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	4.010	UGL	
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92114	CD	BIG	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	4.010	UGL	
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92115	CD	BIS	03-DEC-1992	0.000	<	4.010	UGL	SBK-92-115
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92119	CD	BIW	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	4.010	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92116	CD	BIS	04-DEC-1992	0.000	<	4.010	UGL	SBK-92-116
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92117	CD	BIW	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	4.010	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92118	CD	BIW	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	4.010	UGL	SBK-92-118
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92118	CD	BIW	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	4.010	UGL	SBK-92-118
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK93128	CD	DBPA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	4.010	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92120	CD	BIW	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	4.010	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92314	CO	BIZ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	25.000	UGL	SBK-92-314
F	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92309	CO	ZZW	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	25.000	UGL	
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92313	CO	BIZ	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	25.000	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92113	CO	BIG	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	25.000	UGL	
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92114	CO	BIG	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	25.000	UGL	
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92114	CO	BIG	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	25.000	UGL	
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92119	CO	BIW	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	25.000	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92115	CO	BIS	03-DEC-1992	0.000	<	25.000	UGL	SBK-92-115
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92116	CO	BIS	04-DEC-1992	0.000	<	25.000	UGL	SBK-92-116
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92117	CO	BIW	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	25.000	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92118	CO	BIW	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	25.000	UGL	SBK-92-118
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92118	CO	BIW	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	25.000	UGL	SBK-92-118
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK93127	CO	DBNA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	25.000	UGL	SBK-93-127
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK93128	CO	DBPA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	25.000	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92120	CO	BIW	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	25.000	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92313	CR	BIZ	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	6.020	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92314	CR	BIZ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	6.020	UGL	SBK-92-314
F	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92309	CR	ZZW	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	6.020	UGL	
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92120	CR	BIW	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	6.020	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK93127	CR	DBNA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	6.020	UGL	SBK-93-127
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK93128	CR	DBPA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	6.020	UGL	SBK-93-128

Table H8
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

RINSATE BLANKS

QC_TYP	Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	IRDMIS Sample Number	Test Name	Lot	Sample Date	Spike Value		Value	Units	Site
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	SBK92113	CR	BIG	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	6.020	UGL	
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92114	CR	BIG	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	6.020	UGL	
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92114	CR	BIG	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	6.020	UGL	
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92119	CR	BIW	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	6.020	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92115	CR	BIS	03-DEC-1992	0.000	<	6.020	UGL	SBK-92-115
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92116	CR	BIS	04-DEC-1992	0.000	<	6.020	UGL	SBK-92-116
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92117	CR	BIW	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	6.020	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92118	CR	BIW	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	6.020	UGL	SBK-92-118
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92118	CR	BIW	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	6.020	UGL	SBK-92-118
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92314	CU	BIZ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	8.090	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92313	CU	BIZ	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	8.090	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK93127	CU	DBNA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	8.090	UGL	SBK-93-127
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92118	CU	BIW	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	8.090	UGL	SBK-92-118
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92113	CU	BIG	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	8.090	UGL	
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92114	CU	BIG	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	8.090	UGL	
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92114	CU	BIG	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	8.090	UGL	
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92119	CU	BIW	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	8.090	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92115	CU	BIS	03-DEC-1992	0.000	<	8.090	UGL	SBK-92-115
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92116	CU	BIS	04-DEC-1992	0.000	<	8.090	UGL	SBK-92-116
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92117	CU	BIW	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	8.090	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92118	CU	BIW	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	8.090	UGL	SBK-92-118
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK93128	CU	DBPA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	8.090	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92120	CU	BIW	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	8.090	UGL	SBK-92-120
F	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92309	CU	ZZW	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	8.090	UGL	
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92117	FE	BIW	10-DEC-1992	0.000		49.600	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92313	FE	BIZ	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	38.800	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92113	FE	BIG	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	38.800	UGL	
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92114	FE	BIG	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	38.800	UGL	
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92114	FE	BIG	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	38.800	UGL	
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92118	FE	BIW	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	38.800	UGL	SBK-92-118
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92119	FE	BIW	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	38.800	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92115	FE	BIS	03-DEC-1992	0.000	<	38.800	UGL	SBK-92-115
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92116	FE	BIS	04-DEC-1992	0.000	<	38.800	UGL	SBK-92-116
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92118	FE	BIW	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	38.800	UGL	SBK-92-118
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK93128	FE	DBPA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	38.800	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK93127	FE	DBNA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	38.800	UGL	SBK-93-127
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92120	FE	BIW	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	38.800	UGL	SBK-92-120
F	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92309	FE	ZZW	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	38.800	UGL	
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92314	FE	BIZ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	38.800	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK93128	K	DBPA	11-MAR-1993	0.000		1410.000	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92314	K	BIZ	13-JAN-1993	0.000		936.000	UGL	SBK-92-314

Table H8
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

RINSATE BLANKS

QC_TYP	Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	IRDMIS Sample Number	Test Name	Lot	Sample Date	Spike Value		Value	Units	Site
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	SBK92119	K	BIW	11-DEC-1992	0.000		934.000	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92313	K	BIZ	06-JAN-1993	0.000		885.000	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92118	K	BIW	10-DEC-1992	0.000		882.000	UGL	SBK-92-118
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92118	K	BIW	10-DEC-1992	0.000		743.000	UGL	SBK-92-118
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92113	K	BIG	21-OCT-1992	0.000		731.000	UGL	
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92120	K	BIW	16-DEC-1992	0.000		634.000	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92114	K	BIG	22-OCT-1992	0.000		561.000	UGL	
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92117	K	BIW	10-DEC-1992	0.000		539.000	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92114	K	BIG	22-OCT-1992	0.000		530.000	UGL	
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92115	K	BIS	03-DEC-1992	0.000		444.000	UGL	SBK-92-115
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92116	K	BIS	04-DEC-1992	0.000		435.000	UGL	SBK-92-116
F	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92312	K	ZZW	01-OCT-1992	0.000	<	375.000	UGL	
F	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92309	K	ZZW	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	375.000	UGL	
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK93127	K	DBNA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	375.000	UGL	SBK-93-127
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92313	MG	BIZ	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	500.000	UGL	SBK-92-313
F	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92309	MG	ZZW	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	500.000	UGL	
F	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92312	MG	ZZW	01-OCT-1992	0.000	<	500.000	UGL	
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92314	MG	BIZ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	500.000	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92116	MG	BIS	04-DEC-1992	0.000	<	500.000	UGL	SBK-92-116
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92119	MG	BIW	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	500.000	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92118	MG	BIW	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	500.000	UGL	SBK-92-118
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92118	MG	BIW	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	500.000	UGL	SBK-92-118
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92113	MG	BIG	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	500.000	UGL	
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92114	MG	BIG	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	500.000	UGL	
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92114	MG	BIG	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	500.000	UGL	
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92115	MG	BIS	03-DEC-1992	0.000	<	500.000	UGL	SBK-92-115
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92120	MG	BIW	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	500.000	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK93127	MG	DBNA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	500.000	UGL	SBK-93-127
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK93128	MG	DBPA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	500.000	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92117	MG	BIW	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	500.000	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92113	MN	BIG	21-OCT-1992	0.000		5.180	UGL	
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92114	MN	BIG	22-OCT-1992	0.000		4.600	UGL	
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92117	MN	BIW	10-DEC-1992	0.000		3.460	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92313	MN	BIZ	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	2.750	UGL	SBK-92-313
F	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92309	MN	ZZW	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	2.750	UGL	
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92120	MN	BIW	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	2.750	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92116	MN	BIS	04-DEC-1992	0.000	<	2.750	UGL	SBK-92-116
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92119	MN	BIW	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	2.750	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92118	MN	BIW	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	2.750	UGL	SBK-92-118
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92114	MN	BIG	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	2.750	UGL	
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92118	MN	BIW	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	2.750	UGL	SBK-92-118

Table H8
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

RINSATE BLANKS

QC_TYP	Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	IRDMIS Sample Number	Test Name	Lot	Sample Date	Spike Value		Value	Units	Site
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	SBK92115	MN	BIS	03-DEC-1992	0.000	<	2.750	UGL	SBK-92-115
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK93128	MN	DBPA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	2.750	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK93127	MN	DBNA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	2.750	UGL	SBK-93-127
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92314	MN	BIZ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	2.750	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92313	NA	BIZ	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	500.000	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92113	NA	BIG	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	500.000	UGL	
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92114	NA	BIG	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	500.000	UGL	
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92114	NA	BIG	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	500.000	UGL	
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92118	NA	BIW	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	500.000	UGL	SBK-92-118
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92118	NA	BIW	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	500.000	UGL	SBK-92-118
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92119	NA	BIW	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	500.000	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92115	NA	BIS	03-DEC-1992	0.000	<	500.000	UGL	SBK-92-115
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92116	NA	BIS	04-DEC-1992	0.000	<	500.000	UGL	SBK-92-116
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92117	NA	BIW	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	500.000	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK93128	NA	DBPA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	500.000	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK93127	NA	DBNA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	500.000	UGL	SBK-93-127
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92120	NA	BIW	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	500.000	UGL	SBK-92-120
F	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92309	NA	ZZW	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	500.000	UGL	
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92314	NA	BIZ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	500.000	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92314	NI	BIZ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	34.300	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92113	NI	BIG	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	34.300	UGL	
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92114	NI	BIG	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	34.300	UGL	
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92114	NI	BIG	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	34.300	UGL	
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92118	NI	BIW	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	34.300	UGL	SBK-92-118
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92118	NI	BIW	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	34.300	UGL	SBK-92-118
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92119	NI	BIW	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	34.300	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92115	NI	BIS	03-DEC-1992	0.000	<	34.300	UGL	SBK-92-115
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92116	NI	BIS	04-DEC-1992	0.000	<	34.300	UGL	SBK-92-116
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92117	NI	BIW	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	34.300	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK93128	NI	DBPA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	34.300	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK93127	NI	DBNA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	34.300	UGL	SBK-93-127
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92120	NI	BIW	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	34.300	UGL	SBK-92-120
F	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92309	NI	ZZW	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	34.300	UGL	
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92313	NI	BIZ	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	34.300	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92314	V	BIZ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	11.000	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92113	V	BIG	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	11.000	UGL	
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92114	V	BIG	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	11.000	UGL	
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92114	V	BIG	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	11.000	UGL	
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92118	V	BIW	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	11.000	UGL	SBK-92-118
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92118	V	BIW	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	11.000	UGL	SBK-92-118
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92119	V	BIW	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	11.000	UGL	SBK-92-119

Table H8
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

RINSATE BLANKS

QC_TYP	Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	IRDMIS Sample Number	Test Name	Lot	Sample Date	Spike Value		Value	Units	Site
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	SBK92115	V	BIS	03-DEC-1992	0.000	<	11.000	UGL	SBK-92-115
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92116	V	BIS	04-DEC-1992	0.000	<	11.000	UGL	SBK-92-116
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92117	V	BIW	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	11.000	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK93127	V	DBNA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	11.000	UGL	SBK-93-127
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK93128	V	DBPA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	11.000	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92120	V	BIW	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	11.000	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92313	V	BIZ	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	11.000	UGL	SBK-92-313
F	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92309	V	ZZW	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	11.000	UGL	
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92114	ZN	BIG	22-OCT-1992	0.000		181.000	UGL	
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92113	ZN	BIG	21-OCT-1992	0.000		163.000	UGL	
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92117	ZN	BIW	10-DEC-1992	0.000		31.900	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92314	ZN	BIZ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	21.100	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK93127	ZN	DBNA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	21.100	UGL	SBK-93-127
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK93128	ZN	DBPA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	21.100	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92120	ZN	BIW	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	21.100	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92119	ZN	BIW	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	21.100	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92118	ZN	BIW	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	21.100	UGL	SBK-92-118
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92114	ZN	BIG	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	21.100	UGL	
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92118	ZN	BIW	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	21.100	UGL	SBK-92-118
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92115	ZN	BIS	03-DEC-1992	0.000	<	21.100	UGL	SBK-92-115
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92116	ZN	BIS	04-DEC-1992	0.000	<	21.100	UGL	SBK-92-116
F	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92309	ZN	ZZW	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	21.100	UGL	
R	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK92313	ZN	BIZ	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	21.100	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	NO2, NO3 IN WATER	TF22	SBK92309	NIT	BYA	22-SEP-1992	0.000		220.000	UGL	
R	NO2, NO3 IN WATER		SBK92314	NIT	BYO	13-JAN-1993	0.000		127.000	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	NO2, NO3 IN WATER		SBK92312	NIT	BYA	01-OCT-1992	0.000		48.400	UGL	
R	NO2, NO3 IN WATER		DR2601X1	NIT	BYD	23-OCT-1992	0.000		15.800	UGL	
R	NO2, NO3 IN WATER		WR2601X1	NIT	BYD	23-OCT-1992	0.000		14.700	UGL	
R	NO2, NO3 IN WATER		SBK92113	NIT	BYH	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	10.000	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	NO2, NO3 IN WATER		SBK92114	NIT	BYH	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	10.000	UGL	SBK-92-114
R	NO2, NO3 IN WATER		SBK92313	NIT	BYO	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	10.000	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	N2KJEL IN WATER	TF26	SBK92114	N2KJEL	SKS	22-OCT-1992	0.000		2380.000	UGL	
R	N2KJEL IN WATER		SBK92113	N2KJEL	SKR	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	183.000	UGL	
R	N2KJEL IN WATER		DR2601X1	N2KJEL	SKS	23-OCT-1992	0.000	<	183.000	UGL	
R	N2KJEL IN WATER		WR2601X1	N2KJEL	SKS	23-OCT-1992	0.000	<	183.000	UGL	
R	TOT. PO4 IN WATER	TF27	SBK92113	PO4	ZCH	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	13.300	UGL	
R	TOT. PO4 IN WATER		SBK92114	PO4	ZCH	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	13.300	UGL	
R	TOT. PO4 IN WATER		WR2601X1	PO4	ZCI	23-OCT-1992	0.000	<	13.300	UGL	

Table H8
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

RINSATE BLANKS

QC_TYP	Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	IRDMIS Sample Number	Test Name	Lot	Sample Date	Spike Value		Value	Units	Site
R	TOT. PO4 IN WATER	TF27	DR2601X1	PO4	ZCI	23-OCT-1992	0.000	<	13.300	UGL	
R	SO4 IN WATER	TT10	SBK92309	CL	AKL	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	2120.000	UGL	
R	SO4 IN WATER		SBK92113	CL	AKQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	2120.000	UGL	
R	SO4 IN WATER		SBK92313	CL	AKX	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	2120.000	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	SO4 IN WATER		SBK92314	CL	DEC	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	2120.000	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	SO4 IN WATER		SBK92312	CL	AKL	01-OCT-1992	0.000	<	2120.000	UGL	
R	SO4 IN WATER		DR2601X1	CL	AKQ	23-OCT-1992	0.000	<	2120.000	UGL	
R	SO4 IN WATER		SBK92114	CL	AKQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	2120.000	UGL	
R	SO4 IN WATER		WR2601X1	CL	AKQ	23-OCT-1992	0.000	<	2120.000	UGL	
R	SO4 IN WATER		WR2601X1	SO4	AKQ	23-OCT-1992	0.000	<	10000.000	UGL	
R	SO4 IN WATER		DR2601X1	SO4	AKQ	23-OCT-1992	0.000	<	10000.000	UGL	
R	SO4 IN WATER		SBK92313	SO4	AKX	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	10000.000	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	SO4 IN WATER		SBK92314	SO4	DEC	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	10000.000	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	SO4 IN WATER		SBK92114	SO4	AKQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	10000.000	UGL	
R	SO4 IN WATER		SBK92113	SO4	AKQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	10000.000	UGL	
R	SO4 IN WATER		SBK92312	SO4	AKL	01-OCT-1992	0.000	<	10000.000	UGL	
R	SO4 IN WATER		SBK92309	SO4	AKL	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	10000.000	UGL	
R		UH02	SBK92313	PCB016	CEL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.160	UGL	SBK-92-313
R			SBK92115	PCB016	CEG	03-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.160	UGL	SBK-92-115
R			SBK93127	PCB016	CEXA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.160	UGL	SBK-93-127
R			SBK92120	PCB016	CEK	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.160	UGL	SBK-92-120
R			SBK92118	PCB016	CEI	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.160	UGL	SBK-92-118
R			SBK92119	PCB016	CEI	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.160	UGL	SBK-92-119
R			SBK92117	PCB016	CEI	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.160	UGL	SBK-92-117
R			SBK92116	PCB016	CEG	04-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.160	UGL	SBK-92-116
R			SBK93128	PCB016	DPAA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.160	UGL	SBK-93-128
R			SBK93122	PCB016	CER	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.160	UGL	SBK-93-122
R			SBK92314	PCB016	CEN	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.160	UGL	SBK-92-314
F			SBK92309	PCB016	ADR	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	0.160	UGL	
R			SBK93125	PCB016	CESA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.160	UGL	SBK-93-125
R			SBK93121	PCB016	CER	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.160	UGL	SBK-93-121
R			SBK93123	PCB016	CER	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.160	UGL	SBK-93-123
R			SBK92313	PCB221	CEL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.160	UGL	SBK-92-313
R			SBK93125	PCB221	CESA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.160	UGL	SBK-93-125
R			SBK92118	PCB221	CEI	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.160	UGL	SBK-92-118
R			SBK92119	PCB221	CEI	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.160	UGL	SBK-92-119
R			SBK92117	PCB221	CEI	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.160	UGL	SBK-92-117
R			SBK92115	PCB221	CEG	03-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.160	UGL	SBK-92-115
R			SBK92116	PCB221	CEG	04-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.160	UGL	SBK-92-116

Table H8
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

RINSATE BLANKS

QC_TYP	Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	IRDMIS Sample Number	Test Name	Lot	Sample Date	Spike Value		Value	Units	Site
R		UH02	SBK93128	PCB221	DPAA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.160	UGL	SBK-93-128
R			SBK93127	PCB221	CEXA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.160	UGL	SBK-93-127
R			SBK92120	PCB221	CEK	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.160	UGL	SBK-92-120
F			SBK92309	PCB221	ADR	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	0.160	UGL	
R			SBK93121	PCB221	CER	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.160	UGL	SBK-93-121
R			SBK93122	PCB221	CER	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.160	UGL	SBK-93-122
R			SBK93123	PCB221	CER	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.160	UGL	SBK-93-123
R			SBK92314	PCB221	CEN	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.160	UGL	SBK-92-314
R			SBK92313	PCB232	CEL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.160	UGL	SBK-92-313
R			SBK93123	PCB232	CER	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.160	UGL	SBK-93-123
R			SBK92314	PCB232	CEN	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.160	UGL	SBK-92-314
R			SBK92120	PCB232	CEK	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.160	UGL	SBK-92-120
R			SBK92118	PCB232	CEI	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.160	UGL	SBK-92-118
R			SBK92119	PCB232	CEI	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.160	UGL	SBK-92-119
R			SBK92116	PCB232	CEG	04-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.160	UGL	SBK-92-116
R			SBK92117	PCB232	CEI	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.160	UGL	SBK-92-117
R			SBK92115	PCB232	CEG	03-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.160	UGL	SBK-92-115
R			SBK93128	PCB232	DPAA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.160	UGL	SBK-93-128
R			SBK93127	PCB232	CEXA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.160	UGL	SBK-93-127
R			SBK93125	PCB232	CESA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.160	UGL	SBK-93-125
F			SBK92309	PCB232	ADR	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	0.160	UGL	
R			SBK93121	PCB232	CER	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.160	UGL	SBK-93-121
R			SBK93122	PCB232	CER	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.160	UGL	SBK-93-122
R			SBK92314	PCB242	CEN	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.190	UGL	SBK-92-314
R			SBK92115	PCB242	CEG	03-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.190	UGL	SBK-92-115
R			SBK93128	PCB242	DPAA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.190	UGL	SBK-93-128
R			SBK92120	PCB242	CEK	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.190	UGL	SBK-92-120
R			SBK93125	PCB242	CESA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.190	UGL	SBK-93-125
R			SBK92117	PCB242	CEI	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.190	UGL	SBK-92-117
R			SBK92118	PCB242	CEI	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.190	UGL	SBK-92-118
R			SBK92119	PCB242	CEI	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.190	UGL	SBK-92-119
R			SBK92116	PCB242	CEG	04-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.190	UGL	SBK-92-116
R			SBK93127	PCB242	CEXA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.190	UGL	SBK-93-127
R			SBK93122	PCB242	CER	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.190	UGL	SBK-93-122
F			SBK92309	PCB242	ADR	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	0.190	UGL	
R			SBK92313	PCB242	CEL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.190	UGL	SBK-92-313
R			SBK93121	PCB242	CER	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.190	UGL	SBK-93-121
R			SBK93123	PCB242	CER	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.190	UGL	SBK-93-123
R			SBK92314	PCB248	CEN	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.190	UGL	SBK-92-314
R			SBK92119	PCB248	CEI	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.190	UGL	SBK-92-119
R			SBK92116	PCB248	CEG	04-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.190	UGL	SBK-92-116

Table H8
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

RINSATE BLANKS

QC_TYP	Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	IRDMIS Sample Number	Test Name	Lot	Sample Date	Spike Value		Value	Units	Site
R		UH02	SBK92115	PCB248	CEG	03-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.190	UGL	SBK-92-115
R			SBK93128	PCB248	DPAA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.190	UGL	SBK-93-128
R			SBK93127	PCB248	CEXA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.190	UGL	SBK-93-127
R			SBK93125	PCB248	CESA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.190	UGL	SBK-93-125
F			SBK92309	PCB248	ADR	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	0.190	UGL	
R			SBK92313	PCB248	CEL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.190	UGL	SBK-92-313
R			SBK92120	PCB248	CEK	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.190	UGL	SBK-92-120
R			SBK92117	PCB248	CEI	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.190	UGL	SBK-92-117
R			SBK92118	PCB248	CEI	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.190	UGL	SBK-92-118
R			SBK93121	PCB248	CER	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.190	UGL	SBK-93-121
R			SBK93122	PCB248	CER	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.190	UGL	SBK-93-122
R			SBK93123	PCB248	CER	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.190	UGL	SBK-93-123
R			SBK92314	PCB254	CEN	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.190	UGL	SBK-92-314
R			SBK93123	PCB254	CER	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.190	UGL	SBK-93-123
R			SBK93121	PCB254	CER	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.190	UGL	SBK-93-121
F			SBK92309	PCB254	ADR	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	0.190	UGL	
R			SBK92313	PCB254	CEL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.190	UGL	SBK-92-313
R			SBK92120	PCB254	CEK	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.190	UGL	SBK-92-120
R			SBK92117	PCB254	CEI	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.190	UGL	SBK-92-117
R			SBK92119	PCB254	CEI	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.190	UGL	SBK-92-119
R			SBK92118	PCB254	CEI	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.190	UGL	SBK-92-118
R			SBK92116	PCB254	CEG	04-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.190	UGL	SBK-92-116
R			SBK92115	PCB254	CEG	03-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.190	UGL	SBK-92-115
R			SBK93128	PCB254	DPAA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.190	UGL	SBK-93-128
R			SBK93125	PCB254	CESA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.190	UGL	SBK-93-125
R			SBK93127	PCB254	CEXA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.190	UGL	SBK-93-127
R			SBK93122	PCB254	CER	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.190	UGL	SBK-93-122
R			SBK93123	PCB260	CER	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.190	UGL	SBK-93-123
R			SBK92314	PCB260	CEN	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.190	UGL	SBK-92-314
F			SBK92309	PCB260	ADR	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	0.190	UGL	
R			SBK92120	PCB260	CEK	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.190	UGL	SBK-92-120
R			SBK92117	PCB260	CEI	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.190	UGL	SBK-92-117
R			SBK92119	PCB260	CEI	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.190	UGL	SBK-92-119
R			SBK92118	PCB260	CEI	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.190	UGL	SBK-92-118
R			SBK92116	PCB260	CEG	04-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.190	UGL	SBK-92-116
R			SBK92115	PCB260	CEG	03-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.190	UGL	SBK-92-115
R			SBK93128	PCB260	DPAA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.190	UGL	SBK-93-128
R			SBK93127	PCB260	CEXA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.190	UGL	SBK-93-127
R			SBK93125	PCB260	CESA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.190	UGL	SBK-93-125
R			SBK92313	PCB260	CEL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.190	UGL	SBK-92-313
R			SBK93121	PCB260	CER	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.190	UGL	SBK-93-121

Table H8
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

RINSATE BLANKS

QC_TYP	Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	IRDMIS Sample Number	Test Name	Lot	Sample Date	Spike Value		Value	Units	Site
R		UH02	SBK93122	PCB260	CER	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.190	UGL	SBK-93-122
R		UH13	SBK93127	ABHC	CXQA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.039	UGL	SBK-93-127
R			SBK92118	ABHC	BAY	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.039	UGL	SBK-92-118
R			SBK92115	ABHC	BAW	03-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.039	UGL	SBK-92-115
R			SBK92120	ABHC	CXA	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.039	UGL	SBK-92-120
R			SBK92116	ABHC	BAW	04-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.039	UGL	SBK-92-116
R			SBK92314	ABHC	CXE	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.039	UGL	SBK-92-314
R			SBK93125	ABHC	CXJA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.039	UGL	SBK-93-125
R			SBK93123	ABHC	CXJA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.039	UGL	SBK-93-123
R			SBK93122	ABHC	CXJA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.039	UGL	SBK-93-122
R			SBK93121	ABHC	CXJA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.039	UGL	SBK-93-121
R			SBK92313	ABHC	CXB	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.039	UGL	SBK-92-313
F			SBK92309	ABHC	BAF	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	0.039	UGL	
R			SBK93128	ABHC	CXVA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.039	UGL	SBK-93-128
R			SBK92119	ABHC	BAY	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.039	UGL	SBK-92-119
R			SBK92117	ABHC	BAY	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.039	UGL	SBK-92-117
R			SBK92116	ACLDAN	BAW	04-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.075	UGL	SBK-92-116
R			SBK92115	ACLDAN	BAW	03-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.075	UGL	SBK-92-115
R			SBK93121	ACLDAN	CXJA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.075	UGL	SBK-93-121
F			SBK92309	ACLDAN	BAF	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	0.075	UGL	
R			SBK92313	ACLDAN	CXB	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.075	UGL	SBK-92-313
R			SBK92314	ACLDAN	CXE	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.075	UGL	SBK-92-314
R			SBK93125	ACLDAN	CXJA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.075	UGL	SBK-93-125
R			SBK93123	ACLDAN	CXJA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.075	UGL	SBK-93-123
R			SBK93122	ACLDAN	CXJA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.075	UGL	SBK-93-122
R			SBK93127	ACLDAN	CXQA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.075	UGL	SBK-93-127
R			SBK93128	ACLDAN	CXVA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.075	UGL	SBK-93-128
R			SBK92119	ACLDAN	BAY	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.075	UGL	SBK-92-119
R			SBK92120	ACLDAN	CXA	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.075	UGL	SBK-92-120
R			SBK92118	ACLDAN	BAY	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.075	UGL	SBK-92-118
R			SBK92117	ACLDAN	BAY	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.075	UGL	SBK-92-117
R			SBK92116	AENSLF	BAW	04-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.023	UGL	SBK-92-116
R			SBK93125	AENSLF	CXJA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.023	UGL	SBK-93-125
R			SBK93123	AENSLF	CXJA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.023	UGL	SBK-93-123
R			SBK93122	AENSLF	CXJA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.023	UGL	SBK-93-122
R			SBK93121	AENSLF	CXJA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.023	UGL	SBK-93-121
R			SBK92313	AENSLF	CXB	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.023	UGL	SBK-92-313
R			SBK92314	AENSLF	CXE	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.023	UGL	SBK-92-314
F			SBK92309	AENSLF	BAF	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	0.023	UGL	
R			SBK93127	AENSLF	CXQA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.023	UGL	SBK-93-127

Table H8
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

RINSATE BLANKS

QC_TYP	Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	IRDMIS Sample Number	Test Name	Lot	Sample Date	Spike Value		Value	Units	Site
R		UH13	SBK93128	AENSLF	CXVA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.023	UGL	SBK-93-128
R			SBK92119	AENSLF	BAY	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.023	UGL	SBK-92-119
R			SBK92120	AENSLF	CXA	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.023	UGL	SBK-92-120
R			SBK92115	AENSLF	BAW	03-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.023	UGL	SBK-92-115
R			SBK92118	AENSLF	BAY	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.023	UGL	SBK-92-118
R			SBK92117	AENSLF	BAY	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.023	UGL	SBK-92-117
R			SBK93128	ALDRN	CXVA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.092	UGL	SBK-93-128
R			SBK93127	ALDRN	CXQA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.092	UGL	SBK-93-127
R			SBK92116	ALDRN	BAW	04-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.092	UGL	SBK-92-116
R			SBK93125	ALDRN	CXJA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.092	UGL	SBK-93-125
R			SBK93123	ALDRN	CXJA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.092	UGL	SBK-93-123
R			SBK93122	ALDRN	CXJA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.092	UGL	SBK-93-122
R			SBK93121	ALDRN	CXJA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.092	UGL	SBK-93-121
R			SBK92313	ALDRN	CXB	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.092	UGL	SBK-92-313
R			SBK92314	ALDRN	CXE	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.092	UGL	SBK-92-314
F			SBK92309	ALDRN	BAF	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	0.092	UGL	
R			SBK92119	ALDRN	BAY	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.092	UGL	SBK-92-119
R			SBK92120	ALDRN	CXA	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.092	UGL	SBK-92-120
R			SBK92115	ALDRN	BAW	03-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.092	UGL	SBK-92-115
R			SBK92118	ALDRN	BAY	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.092	UGL	SBK-92-118
R			SBK92117	ALDRN	BAY	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.092	UGL	SBK-92-117
R			SBK93128	BBHC	CXVA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.024	UGL	SBK-93-128
R			SBK92117	BBHC	BAY	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.024	UGL	SBK-92-117
R			SBK93125	BBHC	CXJA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.024	UGL	SBK-93-125
R			SBK93123	BBHC	CXJA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.024	UGL	SBK-93-123
R			SBK93122	BBHC	CXJA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.024	UGL	SBK-93-122
R			SBK92314	BBHC	CXE	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.024	UGL	SBK-92-314
F			SBK92309	BBHC	BAF	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	0.024	UGL	
R			SBK93127	BBHC	CXQA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.024	UGL	SBK-93-127
R			SBK92119	BBHC	BAY	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.024	UGL	SBK-92-119
R			SBK92120	BBHC	CXA	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.024	UGL	SBK-92-120
R			SBK92313	BBHC	CXB	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.024	UGL	SBK-92-313
R			SBK93121	BBHC	CXJA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.024	UGL	SBK-93-121
R			SBK92115	BBHC	BAW	03-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.024	UGL	SBK-92-115
R			SBK92116	BBHC	BAW	04-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.024	UGL	SBK-92-116
R			SBK92118	BBHC	BAY	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.024	UGL	SBK-92-118
R			SBK93127	BENSLF	CXQA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.023	UGL	SBK-93-127
R			SBK93128	BENSLF	CXVA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.023	UGL	SBK-93-128
R			SBK92117	BENSLF	BAY	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.023	UGL	SBK-92-117
R			SBK92118	BENSLF	BAY	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.023	UGL	SBK-92-118
R			SBK92115	BENSLF	BAW	03-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.023	UGL	SBK-92-115

Table H8
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

RINSATE BLANKS

QC_TYP	Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	IRDMIS Sample Number	Test Name	Lot	Sample Date	Spike Value		Value	Units	Site
R		UH13	SBK92313	BENSLF	CXB	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.023	UGL	SBK-92-313
R			SBK93121	BENSLF	CXJA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.023	UGL	SBK-93-121
R			SBK93125	BENSLF	CXJA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.023	UGL	SBK-93-125
R			SBK93123	BENSLF	CXJA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.023	UGL	SBK-93-123
R			SBK93122	BENSLF	CXJA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.023	UGL	SBK-93-122
R			SBK92314	BENSLF	CXE	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.023	UGL	SBK-92-314
F			SBK92309	BENSLF	BAF	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	0.023	UGL	
R			SBK92119	BENSLF	BAY	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.023	UGL	SBK-92-119
R			SBK92120	BENSLF	CXA	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.023	UGL	SBK-92-120
R			SBK92116	BENSLF	BAW	04-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.023	UGL	SBK-92-116
R			SBK93128	DBHC	CXVA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.029	UGL	SBK-93-128
R			SBK92117	DBHC	BAY	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.029	UGL	SBK-92-117
R			SBK92313	DBHC	CXB	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.029	UGL	SBK-92-313
R			SBK93127	DBHC	CXQA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.029	UGL	SBK-93-127
R			SBK93121	DBHC	CXJA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.029	UGL	SBK-93-121
R			SBK93122	DBHC	CXJA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.029	UGL	SBK-93-122
R			SBK93123	DBHC	CXJA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.029	UGL	SBK-93-123
R			SBK93125	DBHC	CXJA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.029	UGL	SBK-93-125
R			SBK92314	DBHC	CXE	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.029	UGL	SBK-92-314
F			SBK92309	DBHC	BAF	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	0.029	UGL	
R			SBK92119	DBHC	BAY	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.029	UGL	SBK-92-119
R			SBK92120	DBHC	CXA	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.029	UGL	SBK-92-120
R			SBK92115	DBHC	BAW	03-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.029	UGL	SBK-92-115
R			SBK92116	DBHC	BAW	04-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.029	UGL	SBK-92-116
R			SBK92118	DBHC	BAY	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.029	UGL	SBK-92-118
R			SBK93127	DLDRN	CXQA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.024	UGL	SBK-93-127
R			SBK92313	DLDRN	CXB	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.024	UGL	SBK-92-313
R			SBK93121	DLDRN	CXJA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.024	UGL	SBK-93-121
R			SBK93122	DLDRN	CXJA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.024	UGL	SBK-93-122
R			SBK93123	DLDRN	CXJA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.024	UGL	SBK-93-123
R			SBK93125	DLDRN	CXJA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.024	UGL	SBK-93-125
R			SBK92314	DLDRN	CXE	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.024	UGL	SBK-92-314
F			SBK92309	DLDRN	BAF	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	0.024	UGL	
R			SBK92119	DLDRN	BAY	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.024	UGL	SBK-92-119
R			SBK92120	DLDRN	CXA	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.024	UGL	SBK-92-120
R			SBK92115	DLDRN	BAW	03-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.024	UGL	SBK-92-115
R			SBK92116	DLDRN	BAW	04-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.024	UGL	SBK-92-116
R			SBK92117	DLDRN	BAY	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.024	UGL	SBK-92-117
R			SBK92118	DLDRN	BAY	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.024	UGL	SBK-92-118
R			SBK93128	DLDRN	CXVA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.024	UGL	SBK-93-128
R			SBK93128	ENDRN	CXVA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.024	UGL	SBK-93-128

Table H8
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

RINSATE BLANKS

QC_TYP	Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	IRDMIS Sample Number	Test Name	Lot	Sample Date	Spike Value		Value	Units	Site
R		UH13	SBK93121	ENDRN	CXJA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.024	UGL	SBK-93-121
R			SBK93122	ENDRN	CXJA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.024	UGL	SBK-93-122
R			SBK93123	ENDRN	CXJA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.024	UGL	SBK-93-123
R			SBK93125	ENDRN	CXJA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.024	UGL	SBK-93-125
R			SBK92314	ENDRN	CXE	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.024	UGL	SBK-92-314
R			SBK92313	ENDRN	CXB	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.024	UGL	SBK-92-313
F			SBK92309	ENDRN	BAF	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	0.024	UGL	
R			SBK92115	ENDRN	BAW	03-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.024	UGL	SBK-92-115
R			SBK92116	ENDRN	BAW	04-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.024	UGL	SBK-92-116
R			SBK92117	ENDRN	BAY	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.024	UGL	SBK-92-117
R			SBK93127	ENDRN	CXQA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.024	UGL	SBK-93-127
R			SBK92119	ENDRN	BAY	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.024	UGL	SBK-92-119
R			SBK92118	ENDRN	BAY	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.024	UGL	SBK-92-118
R			SBK92120	ENDRN	CXA	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.024	UGL	SBK-92-120
R			SBK93127	ENDRNA	CXQA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.029	UGL	SBK-93-127
R			SBK93121	ENDRNA	CXJA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.029	UGL	SBK-93-121
R			SBK93122	ENDRNA	CXJA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.029	UGL	SBK-93-122
R			SBK93123	ENDRNA	CXJA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.029	UGL	SBK-93-123
R			SBK93125	ENDRNA	CXJA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.029	UGL	SBK-93-125
R			SBK92314	ENDRNA	CXE	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.029	UGL	SBK-92-314
F			SBK92309	ENDRNA	BAF	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	0.029	UGL	
R			SBK92115	ENDRNA	BAW	03-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.029	UGL	SBK-92-115
R			SBK92116	ENDRNA	BAW	04-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.029	UGL	SBK-92-116
R			SBK92313	ENDRNA	CXB	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.029	UGL	SBK-92-313
R			SBK92117	ENDRNA	BAY	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.029	UGL	SBK-92-117
R			SBK92119	ENDRNA	BAY	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.029	UGL	SBK-92-119
R			SBK92118	ENDRNA	BAY	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.029	UGL	SBK-92-118
R			SBK92120	ENDRNA	CXA	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.029	UGL	SBK-92-120
R			SBK93128	ENDRNA	CXVA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.029	UGL	SBK-93-128
R			SBK93127	ENDRNK	CXQA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.029	UGL	SBK-93-127
R			SBK92120	ENDRNK	CXA	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.029	UGL	SBK-92-120
R			SBK93128	ENDRNK	CXVA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.029	UGL	SBK-93-128
R			SBK93123	ENDRNK	CXJA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.029	UGL	SBK-93-123
R			SBK93125	ENDRNK	CXJA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.029	UGL	SBK-93-125
R			SBK92314	ENDRNK	CXE	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.029	UGL	SBK-92-314
R			SBK92313	ENDRNK	CXB	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.029	UGL	SBK-92-313
F			SBK92309	ENDRNK	BAF	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	0.029	UGL	
R			SBK92115	ENDRNK	BAW	03-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.029	UGL	SBK-92-115
R			SBK92116	ENDRNK	BAW	04-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.029	UGL	SBK-92-116
R			SBK92117	ENDRNK	BAY	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.029	UGL	SBK-92-117
R			SBK93121	ENDRNK	CXJA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.029	UGL	SBK-93-121

Table H8
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

RINSATE BLANKS

QC_TYP	Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	IRDMIS Sample Number	Test Name	Lot	Sample Date	Spike Value		Value	Units	Site
R		UH13	SBK93122	ENDRNK	CXJA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.029	UGL	SBK-93-122
R			SBK92118	ENDRNK	BAY	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.029	UGL	SBK-92-118
R			SBK92119	ENDRNK	BAY	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.029	UGL	SBK-92-119
R			SBK93127	ESFSO4	CXQA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.079	UGL	SBK-93-127
R			SBK92119	ESFSO4	BAY	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.079	UGL	SBK-92-119
R			SBK92120	ESFSO4	CXA	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.079	UGL	SBK-92-120
R			SBK92118	ESFSO4	BAY	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.079	UGL	SBK-92-118
R			SBK92116	ESFSO4	BAW	04-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.079	UGL	SBK-92-116
R			SBK92117	ESFSO4	BAY	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.079	UGL	SBK-92-117
R			SBK92313	ESFSO4	CXB	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.079	UGL	SBK-92-313
R			SBK93121	ESFSO4	CXJA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.079	UGL	SBK-93-121
R			SBK93122	ESFSO4	CXJA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.079	UGL	SBK-93-122
R			SBK93123	ESFSO4	CXJA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.079	UGL	SBK-93-123
R			SBK93125	ESFSO4	CXJA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.079	UGL	SBK-93-125
R			SBK92314	ESFSO4	CXE	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.079	UGL	SBK-92-314
F			SBK92309	ESFSO4	BAF	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	0.079	UGL	
R			SBK93128	ESFSO4	CXVA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.079	UGL	SBK-93-128
R			SBK92115	ESFSO4	BAW	03-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.079	UGL	SBK-92-115
R			SBK92120	GCLDAN	CXA	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.075	UGL	SBK-92-120
R			SBK92119	GCLDAN	BAY	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.075	UGL	SBK-92-119
R			SBK92117	GCLDAN	BAY	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.075	UGL	SBK-92-117
R			SBK93127	GCLDAN	CXQA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.075	UGL	SBK-93-127
R			SBK93121	GCLDAN	CXJA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.075	UGL	SBK-93-121
R			SBK92313	GCLDAN	CXB	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.075	UGL	SBK-92-313
R			SBK93122	GCLDAN	CXJA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.075	UGL	SBK-93-122
R			SBK93123	GCLDAN	CXJA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.075	UGL	SBK-93-123
R			SBK93125	GCLDAN	CXJA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.075	UGL	SBK-93-125
R			SBK92314	GCLDAN	CXE	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.075	UGL	SBK-92-314
F			SBK92309	GCLDAN	BAF	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	0.075	UGL	
R			SBK93128	GCLDAN	CXVA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.075	UGL	SBK-93-128
R			SBK92115	GCLDAN	BAW	03-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.075	UGL	SBK-92-115
R			SBK92116	GCLDAN	BAW	04-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.075	UGL	SBK-92-116
R			SBK92118	GCLDAN	BAY	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.075	UGL	SBK-92-118
R			SBK92120	HPCL	CXA	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.042	UGL	SBK-92-120
R			SBK92119	HPCL	BAY	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.042	UGL	SBK-92-119
R			SBK93122	HPCL	CXJA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.042	UGL	SBK-93-122
R			SBK93123	HPCL	CXJA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.042	UGL	SBK-93-123
R			SBK93125	HPCL	CXJA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.042	UGL	SBK-93-125
R			SBK92314	HPCL	CXE	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.042	UGL	SBK-92-314
R			SBK93121	HPCL	CXJA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.042	UGL	SBK-93-121
R			SBK92313	HPCL	CXB	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.042	UGL	SBK-92-313

Table H8
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

RINSATE BLANKS

QC_TYP	Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	IRDMIS Sample Number	Test Name	Lot	Sample Date	Spike Value		Value	Units	Site
F		UH13	SBK92309	HPCL	BAF	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	0.042	UGL	
R			SBK93127	HPCL	CXQA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.042	UGL	SBK-93-127
R			SBK93128	HPCL	CXVA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.042	UGL	SBK-93-128
R			SBK92115	HPCL	BAW	03-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.042	UGL	SBK-92-115
R			SBK92116	HPCL	BAW	04-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.042	UGL	SBK-92-116
R			SBK92117	HPCL	BAY	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.042	UGL	SBK-92-117
R			SBK92118	HPCL	BAY	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.042	UGL	SBK-92-118
R			SBK92120	HPCLE	CXA	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.025	UGL	SBK-92-120
R			SBK92119	HPCLE	BAY	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.025	UGL	SBK-92-119
R			SBK92314	HPCLE	CXE	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.025	UGL	SBK-92-314
R			SBK93122	HPCLE	CXJA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.025	UGL	SBK-93-122
R			SBK93123	HPCLE	CXJA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.025	UGL	SBK-93-123
R			SBK93125	HPCLE	CXJA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.025	UGL	SBK-93-125
R			SBK93121	HPCLE	CXJA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.025	UGL	SBK-93-121
R			SBK92313	HPCLE	CXB	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.025	UGL	SBK-92-313
F			SBK92309	HPCLE	BAF	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	0.025	UGL	
R			SBK93127	HPCLE	CXQA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.025	UGL	SBK-93-127
R			SBK93128	HPCLE	CXVA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.025	UGL	SBK-93-128
R			SBK92115	HPCLE	BAW	03-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.025	UGL	SBK-92-115
R			SBK92116	HPCLE	BAW	04-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.025	UGL	SBK-92-116
R			SBK92117	HPCLE	BAY	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.025	UGL	SBK-92-117
R			SBK92118	HPCLE	BAY	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.025	UGL	SBK-92-118
R			SBK92120	ISODR	CXA	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.056	UGL	SBK-92-120
R			SBK92119	ISODR	BAY	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.056	UGL	SBK-92-119
R			SBK92313	ISODR	CXB	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.056	UGL	SBK-92-313
R			SBK92314	ISODR	CXE	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.056	UGL	SBK-92-314
R			SBK93122	ISODR	CXJA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.056	UGL	SBK-93-122
R			SBK93123	ISODR	CXJA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.056	UGL	SBK-93-123
R			SBK93125	ISODR	CXJA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.056	UGL	SBK-93-125
R			SBK93121	ISODR	CXJA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.056	UGL	SBK-93-121
F			SBK92309	ISODR	BAF	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	0.056	UGL	
R			SBK93127	ISODR	CXQA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.056	UGL	SBK-93-127
R			SBK93128	ISODR	CXVA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.056	UGL	SBK-93-128
R			SBK92115	ISODR	BAW	03-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.056	UGL	SBK-92-115
R			SBK92116	ISODR	BAW	04-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.056	UGL	SBK-92-116
R			SBK92117	ISODR	BAY	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.056	UGL	SBK-92-117
R			SBK92118	ISODR	BAY	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.056	UGL	SBK-92-118
R			SBK92120	LIN	CXA	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.051	UGL	SBK-92-120
F			SBK92309	LIN	BAF	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	0.051	UGL	
R			SBK93127	LIN	CXQA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.051	UGL	SBK-93-127
R			SBK92313	LIN	CXB	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.051	UGL	SBK-92-313

Table H8
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

RINSATE BLANKS

QC_TYP	Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	IRDMIS Sample Number	Test Name	Lot	Sample Date	Spike Value		Value	Units	Site
R		UH13	SBK92314	LIN	CXE	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.051	UGL	SBK-92-314
R			SBK93122	LIN	CXJA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.051	UGL	SBK-93-122
R			SBK93123	LIN	CXJA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.051	UGL	SBK-93-123
R			SBK93125	LIN	CXJA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.051	UGL	SBK-93-125
R			SBK93121	LIN	CXJA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.051	UGL	SBK-93-121
R			SBK93128	LIN	CXVA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.051	UGL	SBK-93-128
R			SBK92115	LIN	BAW	03-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.051	UGL	SBK-92-115
R			SBK92116	LIN	BAW	04-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.051	UGL	SBK-92-116
R			SBK92117	LIN	BAY	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.051	UGL	SBK-92-117
R			SBK92118	LIN	BAY	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.051	UGL	SBK-92-118
R			SBK92119	LIN	BAY	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.051	UGL	SBK-92-119
R			SBK92120	MEXCLR	CXA	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.057	UGL	SBK-92-120
R			SBK92313	MEXCLR	CXB	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.057	UGL	SBK-92-313
R			SBK92314	MEXCLR	CXE	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.057	UGL	SBK-92-314
R			SBK93122	MEXCLR	CXJA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.057	UGL	SBK-93-122
R			SBK93125	MEXCLR	CXJA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.057	UGL	SBK-93-125
R			SBK93123	MEXCLR	CXJA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.057	UGL	SBK-93-123
R			SBK93121	MEXCLR	CXJA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.057	UGL	SBK-93-121
F			SBK92309	MEXCLR	BAF	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	0.057	UGL	
R			SBK93127	MEXCLR	CXQA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.057	UGL	SBK-93-127
R			SBK93128	MEXCLR	CXVA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.057	UGL	SBK-93-128
R			SBK92115	MEXCLR	BAW	03-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.057	UGL	SBK-92-115
R			SBK92116	MEXCLR	BAW	04-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.057	UGL	SBK-92-116
R			SBK92117	MEXCLR	BAY	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.057	UGL	SBK-92-117
R			SBK92118	MEXCLR	BAY	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.057	UGL	SBK-92-118
R			SBK92119	MEXCLR	BAY	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.057	UGL	SBK-92-119
R			SBK92115	PPDDD	BAW	03-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.023	UGL	SBK-92-115
R			SBK92119	PPDDD	BAY	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.023	UGL	SBK-92-119
R			SBK92118	PPDDD	BAY	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.023	UGL	SBK-92-118
R			SBK92313	PPDDD	CXB	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.023	UGL	SBK-92-313
R			SBK93121	PPDDD	CXJA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.023	UGL	SBK-93-121
R			SBK93122	PPDDD	CXJA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.023	UGL	SBK-93-122
R			SBK93125	PPDDD	CXJA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.023	UGL	SBK-93-125
R			SBK93123	PPDDD	CXJA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.023	UGL	SBK-93-123
R			SBK92314	PPDDD	CXE	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.023	UGL	SBK-92-314
F			SBK92309	PPDDD	BAF	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	0.023	UGL	
R			SBK93127	PPDDD	CXQA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.023	UGL	SBK-93-127
R			SBK93128	PPDDD	CXVA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.023	UGL	SBK-93-128
R			SBK92120	PPDDD	CXA	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.023	UGL	SBK-92-120
R			SBK92116	PPDDD	BAW	04-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.023	UGL	SBK-92-116
R			SBK92117	PPDDD	BAY	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.023	UGL	SBK-92-117

Table H8
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

RINSATE BLANKS

QC_TYP	Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	IRDMIS Sample Number	Test Name	Lot	Sample Date	Spike Value		Value	Units	Site
R		UH13	SBK92115	PPDDE	BAW	03-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.027	UGL	SBK-92-115
R			SBK93128	PPDDE	CXVA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.027	UGL	SBK-93-128
R			SBK92313	PPDDE	CXB	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.027	UGL	SBK-92-313
R			SBK93121	PPDDE	CXJA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.027	UGL	SBK-93-121
R			SBK93122	PPDDE	CXJA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.027	UGL	SBK-93-122
R			SBK93125	PPDDE	CXJA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.027	UGL	SBK-93-125
R			SBK93123	PPDDE	CXJA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.027	UGL	SBK-93-123
R			SBK92314	PPDDE	CXE	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.027	UGL	SBK-92-314
F			SBK92309	PPDDE	BAF	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	0.027	UGL	
R			SBK93127	PPDDE	CXQA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.027	UGL	SBK-93-127
R			SBK92119	PPDDE	BAY	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.027	UGL	SBK-92-119
R			SBK92120	PPDDE	CXA	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.027	UGL	SBK-92-120
R			SBK92116	PPDDE	BAW	04-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.027	UGL	SBK-92-116
R			SBK92117	PPDDE	BAY	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.027	UGL	SBK-92-117
R			SBK92118	PPDDE	BAY	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.027	UGL	SBK-92-118
R			SBK92115	PPDDT	BAW	03-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.034	UGL	SBK-92-115
R			SBK92313	PPDDT	CXB	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.034	UGL	SBK-92-313
R			SBK93125	PPDDT	CXJA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.034	UGL	SBK-93-125
R			SBK93122	PPDDT	CXJA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.034	UGL	SBK-93-122
R			SBK93123	PPDDT	CXJA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.034	UGL	SBK-93-123
R			SBK93121	PPDDT	CXJA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.034	UGL	SBK-93-121
R			SBK92314	PPDDT	CXE	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.034	UGL	SBK-92-314
F			SBK92309	PPDDT	BAF	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	0.034	UGL	
R			SBK93127	PPDDT	CXQA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.034	UGL	SBK-93-127
R			SBK93128	PPDDT	CXVA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.034	UGL	SBK-93-128
R			SBK92120	PPDDT	CXA	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.034	UGL	SBK-92-120
R			SBK92117	PPDDT	BAY	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.034	UGL	SBK-92-117
R			SBK92118	PPDDT	BAY	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.034	UGL	SBK-92-118
R			SBK92116	PPDDT	BAW	04-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.034	UGL	SBK-92-116
R			SBK92119	PPDDT	BAY	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.034	UGL	SBK-92-119
R			SBK92115	TXPHEN	BAW	03-DEC-1992	0.000	<	1.350	UGL	SBK-92-115
R			SBK92313	TXPHEN	CXB	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	1.350	UGL	SBK-92-313
R			SBK93125	TXPHEN	CXJA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	1.350	UGL	SBK-93-125
R			SBK93122	TXPHEN	CXJA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	1.350	UGL	SBK-93-122
R			SBK93123	TXPHEN	CXJA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	1.350	UGL	SBK-93-123
R			SBK93121	TXPHEN	CXJA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	1.350	UGL	SBK-93-121
R			SBK92314	TXPHEN	CXE	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	1.350	UGL	SBK-92-314
F			SBK92309	TXPHEN	BAF	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	1.350	UGL	
R			SBK93128	TXPHEN	CXVA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	1.350	UGL	SBK-93-128
R			SBK92120	TXPHEN	CXA	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	1.350	UGL	SBK-92-120
R			SBK92117	TXPHEN	BAY	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	1.350	UGL	SBK-92-117

Table H8
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

RINSATE BLANKS

QC_TYP	Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	IRDMIS Sample Number	Test Name	Lot	Sample Date	Spike Value		Value	Units	Site
R		UH13	SBK93127	TXPHEN	CXQA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	1.350	UGL	SBK-93-127
R			SBK92118	TXPHEN	BAY	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	1.350	UGL	SBK-92-118
R			SBK92119	TXPHEN	BAY	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	1.350	UGL	SBK-92-119
R			SBK92116	TXPHEN	BAW	04-DEC-1992	0.000	<	1.350	UGL	SBK-92-116
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	SBK93125	124TCB	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	1.800	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	124TCB	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	1.800	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	124TCB	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	1.800	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	124TCB	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	1.800	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	124TCB	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	1.800	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	124TCB	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	1.800	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	124TCB	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	1.800	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	124TCB	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	1.800	UGL	SBK-92-114
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	124TCB	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	1.800	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	124TCB	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	1.800	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	124TCB	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	1.800	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	124TCB	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	1.800	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	12DCLB	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	1.700	UGL	SBK-93-121
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	12DCLB	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	1.700	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	12DCLB	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	1.700	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	12DCLB	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	1.700	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	12DCLB	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	1.700	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	12DCLB	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	1.700	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	12DCLB	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	1.700	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	12DCLB	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	1.700	UGL	SBK-92-114
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	12DCLB	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	1.700	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	12DCLB	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	1.700	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	12DCLB	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	1.700	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	12DCLB	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	1.700	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	12DPH	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	2.000	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	12DPH	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	2.000	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	12DPH	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	2.000	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	12DPH	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	2.000	UGL	SBK-92-114
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	12DPH	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	2.000	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	12DPH	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	2.000	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	12DPH	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	2.000	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	12DPH	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	2.000	UGL	SBK-93-122
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	12DPH	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	2.000	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	12DPH	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	2.000	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	12DPH	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	2.000	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	12DPH	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	2.000	UGL	SBK-92-120

Table H8
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

RINSATE BLANKS

QC_TYP	Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	IRDMIS Sample Number	Test Name	Lot	Sample Date	Spike Value		Value	Units	Site
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	SBK93121	13DCLB	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	1.700	UGL	SBK-93-121
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	13DCLB	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	1.700	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	13DCLB	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	1.700	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	13DCLB	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	1.700	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	13DCLB	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	1.700	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	13DCLB	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	1.700	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	13DCLB	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	1.700	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	13DCLB	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	1.700	UGL	SBK-92-114
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	13DCLB	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	1.700	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	13DCLB	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	1.700	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	13DCLB	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	1.700	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	13DCLB	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	1.700	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	14DCLB	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	1.700	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	14DCLB	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	1.700	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	14DCLB	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	1.700	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	14DCLB	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	1.700	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	14DCLB	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	1.700	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	14DCLB	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	1.700	UGL	SBK-92-114
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	14DCLB	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	1.700	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	14DCLB	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	1.700	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	14DCLB	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	1.700	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	14DCLB	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	1.700	UGL	SBK-92-119
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	14DCLB	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	1.700	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	14DCLB	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	1.700	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	245TCP	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	5.200	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	245TCP	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	5.200	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	245TCP	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	5.200	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	245TCP	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	5.200	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	245TCP	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	5.200	UGL	SBK-92-113
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	245TCP	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	5.200	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	245TCP	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	5.200	UGL	SBK-92-114
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	245TCP	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	5.200	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	245TCP	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	5.200	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	245TCP	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	5.200	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	245TCP	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	5.200	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	245TCP	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	5.200	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	246TCP	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	4.200	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	246TCP	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	4.200	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	246TCP	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	4.200	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	246TCP	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	4.200	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	246TCP	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	4.200	UGL	SBK-92-113

Table H8
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

RINSATE BLANKS

QC_TYP	Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	IRDMIS Sample Number	Test Name	Lot	Sample Date	Spike Value		Value	Units	Site
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	SBK92114	246TCP	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	4.200	UGL	SBK-92-114
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	246TCP	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	4.200	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	246TCP	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	4.200	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	246TCP	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	4.200	UGL	SBK-93-121
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	246TCP	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	4.200	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	246TCP	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	4.200	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	246TCP	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	4.200	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	24DCLP	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	2.900	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	24DCLP	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	2.900	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	24DCLP	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	2.900	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	24DCLP	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	2.900	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	24DCLP	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	2.900	UGL	SBK-92-113
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	24DCLP	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	2.900	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	24DCLP	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	2.900	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	24DCLP	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	2.900	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	24DCLP	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	2.900	UGL	SBK-92-114
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	24DCLP	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	2.900	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	24DCLP	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	2.900	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	24DCLP	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	2.900	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	24DMPN	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	5.800	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	24DMPN	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	5.800	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	24DMPN	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	5.800	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	24DMPN	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	5.800	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	24DMPN	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	5.800	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	24DMPN	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	5.800	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	24DMPN	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	5.800	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	24DMPN	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	5.800	UGL	SBK-92-114
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	24DMPN	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	5.800	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	24DMPN	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	5.800	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	24DMPN	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	5.800	UGL	SBK-92-119
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	24DMPN	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	5.800	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	24DNP	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	21.000	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	24DNP	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	21.000	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	24DNP	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	21.000	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	24DNP	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	21.000	UGL	SBK-93-128
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	24DNP	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	21.000	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	24DNP	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	21.000	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	24DNP	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	21.000	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	24DNP	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	21.000	UGL	SBK-92-114
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	24DNP	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	21.000	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	24DNP	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	21.000	UGL	SBK-93-122

Table H8
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

RINSATE BLANKS

QC_TYP	Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	IRDMIS Sample Number	Test Name	Lot	Sample Date	Spike Value		Value	Units	Site
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	SBK93123	24DNP	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	21.000	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	24DNP	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	21.000	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	24DNT	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	4.500	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	24DNT	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	4.500	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	24DNT	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	4.500	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	24DNT	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	4.500	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	24DNT	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	4.500	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	24DNT	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	4.500	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	24DNT	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	4.500	UGL	SBK-92-114
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	24DNT	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	4.500	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	24DNT	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	4.500	UGL	SBK-93-121
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	24DNT	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	4.500	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	24DNT	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	4.500	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	24DNT	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	4.500	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	26DNT	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.790	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	26DNT	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.790	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	26DNT	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.790	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	26DNT	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.790	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	26DNT	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.790	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	26DNT	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.790	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	26DNT	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	0.790	UGL	SBK-92-114
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	26DNT	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	0.790	UGL	SBK-92-113
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	26DNT	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	0.790	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	26DNT	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.790	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	26DNT	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.790	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	26DNT	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.790	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	2CLP	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.990	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	2CLP	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.990	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	2CLP	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.990	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	2CLP	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.990	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	2CLP	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.990	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	2CLP	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.990	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	2CLP	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	0.990	UGL	SBK-92-114
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	2CLP	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	0.990	UGL	SBK-92-113
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	2CLP	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	0.990	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	2CLP	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.990	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	2CLP	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.990	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	2CLP	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.990	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	2CNAP	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	2CNAP	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	2CNAP	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-120

Table H8
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

RINSATE BLANKS

QC_TYP	Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	IRDMIS Sample Number	Test Name	Lot	Sample Date	Spike Value		Value	Units	Site
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	SBK92309	2CNAP	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	2CNAP	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	2CNAP	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	2CNAP	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-114
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	2CNAP	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	2CNAP	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	2CNAP	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	2CNAP	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	2CNAP	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	2E1HXL	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000		10.000	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	2E1HXL	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000		10.000	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	2MNAP	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	1.700	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	2MNAP	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	1.700	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	2MNAP	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	1.700	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	2MNAP	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	1.700	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	2MNAP	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	1.700	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	2MNAP	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	1.700	UGL	SBK-92-114
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	2MNAP	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	1.700	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	2MNAP	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	1.700	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	2MNAP	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	1.700	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	2MNAP	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	1.700	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	2MNAP	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	1.700	UGL	SBK-92-119
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	2MNAP	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	1.700	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	2MP	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	3.900	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	2MP	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	3.900	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	2MP	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	3.900	UGL	SBK-92-120
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	2MP	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	3.900	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	2MP	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	3.900	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	2MP	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	3.900	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	2MP	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	3.900	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	2MP	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	3.900	UGL	SBK-92-114
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	2MP	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	3.900	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	2MP	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	3.900	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	2MP	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	3.900	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	2MP	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	3.900	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	2NANIL	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	4.300	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	2NANIL	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	4.300	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	2NANIL	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	4.300	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	2NANIL	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	4.300	UGL	SBK-93-125
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	2NANIL	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	4.300	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	2NANIL	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	4.300	UGL	SBK-93-121

Table H8
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

RINSATE BLANKS

QC_TYP	Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	IRDMIS Sample Number	Test Name	Lot	Sample Date	Spike Value		Value	Units	Site
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	SBK92314	2NANIL	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	4.300	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	2NANIL	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	4.300	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	2NANIL	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	4.300	UGL	SBK-92-114
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	2NANIL	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	4.300	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	2NANIL	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	4.300	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	2NANIL	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	4.300	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	2NP	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	3.700	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	2NP	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	3.700	UGL	SBK-92-119
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	2NP	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	3.700	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	2NP	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	3.700	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	2NP	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	3.700	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	2NP	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	3.700	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	2NP	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	3.700	UGL	SBK-92-114
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	2NP	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	3.700	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	2NP	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	3.700	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	2NP	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	3.700	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	2NP	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	3.700	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	2NP	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	3.700	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	33DCBD	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	12.000	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	33DCBD	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	12.000	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	33DCBD	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	12.000	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	33DCBD	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	12.000	UGL	SBK-93-125
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	33DCBD	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	12.000	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	33DCBD	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	12.000	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	33DCBD	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	12.000	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	33DCBD	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	12.000	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	33DCBD	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	12.000	UGL	SBK-92-114
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	33DCBD	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	12.000	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	33DCBD	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	12.000	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	33DCBD	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	12.000	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	3NANIL	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	4.900	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	3NANIL	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	4.900	UGL	SBK-92-119
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	3NANIL	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	4.900	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	3NANIL	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	4.900	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	3NANIL	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	4.900	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	3NANIL	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	4.900	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	3NANIL	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	4.900	UGL	SBK-92-114
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	3NANIL	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	4.900	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	3NANIL	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	4.900	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	3NANIL	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	4.900	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	3NANIL	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	4.900	UGL	SBK-93-123

Table H8
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

RINSATE BLANKS

QC_TYP	Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	IRDMIS Sample Number	Test Name	Lot	Sample Date	Spike Value		Value	Units	Site
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	SBK93125	3NANIL	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	4.900	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	46DN2C	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	17.000	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	46DN2C	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	17.000	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	46DN2C	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	17.000	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	46DN2C	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	17.000	UGL	SBK-93-125
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	46DN2C	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	17.000	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	46DN2C	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	17.000	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	46DN2C	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	17.000	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	46DN2C	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	17.000	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	46DN2C	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	17.000	UGL	SBK-92-114
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	46DN2C	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	17.000	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	46DN2C	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	17.000	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	46DN2C	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	17.000	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	4BRPPE	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	4.200	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	4BRPPE	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	4.200	UGL	SBK-92-119
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	4BRPPE	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	4.200	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	4BRPPE	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	4.200	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	4BRPPE	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	4.200	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	4BRPPE	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	4.200	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	4BRPPE	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	4.200	UGL	SBK-92-114
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	4BRPPE	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	4.200	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	4BRPPE	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	4.200	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	4BRPPE	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	4.200	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	4BRPPE	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	4.200	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	4BRPPE	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	4.200	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	4CANIL	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	7.300	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	4CANIL	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	7.300	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	4CANIL	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	7.300	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	4CANIL	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	7.300	UGL	SBK-93-125
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	4CANIL	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	7.300	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	4CANIL	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	7.300	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	4CANIL	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	7.300	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	4CANIL	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	7.300	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	4CANIL	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	7.300	UGL	SBK-92-114
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	4CANIL	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	7.300	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	4CANIL	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	7.300	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	4CANIL	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	7.300	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	4CL3C	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	4.000	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	4CL3C	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	4.000	UGL	SBK-92-119
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	4CL3C	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	4.000	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	4CL3C	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	4.000	UGL	SBK-93-121

Table H8
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

RINSATE BLANKS

QC_TYP	Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	IRDMIS Sample Number	Test Name	Lot	Sample Date	Spike Value		Value	Units	Site
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	SBK92314	4CL3C	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	4.000	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	4CL3C	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	4.000	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	4CL3C	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	4.000	UGL	SBK-92-114
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	4CL3C	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	4.000	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	4CL3C	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	4.000	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	4CL3C	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	4.000	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	4CL3C	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	4.000	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	4CL3C	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	4.000	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	4CLPPE	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	5.100	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	4CLPPE	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	5.100	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	4CLPPE	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	5.100	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	4CLPPE	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	5.100	UGL	SBK-93-125
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	4CLPPE	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	5.100	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	4CLPPE	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	5.100	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	4CLPPE	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	5.100	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	4CLPPE	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	5.100	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	4CLPPE	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	5.100	UGL	SBK-92-114
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	4CLPPE	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	5.100	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	4CLPPE	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	5.100	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	4CLPPE	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	5.100	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	4MP	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.520	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	4MP	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.520	UGL	SBK-92-120
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	4MP	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	0.520	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	4MP	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.520	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	4MP	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.520	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	4MP	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.520	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	4MP	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	0.520	UGL	SBK-92-114
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	4MP	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	0.520	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	4MP	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.520	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	4MP	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.520	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	4MP	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.520	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	4MP	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.520	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	4NANIL	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	5.200	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	4NANIL	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	5.200	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	4NANIL	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	5.200	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	4NANIL	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	5.200	UGL	SBK-93-125
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	4NANIL	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	5.200	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	4NANIL	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	5.200	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	4NANIL	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	5.200	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	4NANIL	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	5.200	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	4NANIL	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	5.200	UGL	SBK-92-114

Table H8
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

RINSATE BLANKS

QC_TYP	Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	IRDMIS Sample Number	Test Name	Lot	Sample Date	Spike Value		Value	Units	Site
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	SBK92113	4NANIL	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	5.200	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	4NANIL	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	5.200	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	4NANIL	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	5.200	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	4NP	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	12.000	UGL	SBK-92-120
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	4NP	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	12.000	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	4NP	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	12.000	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	4NP	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	12.000	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	4NP	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	12.000	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	4NP	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	12.000	UGL	SBK-92-114
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	4NP	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	12.000	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	4NP	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	12.000	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	4NP	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	12.000	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	4NP	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	12.000	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	4NP	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	12.000	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	4NP	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	12.000	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	ABHC	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	4.000	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	ABHC	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	4.000	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	ABHC	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	4.000	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	ABHC	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	4.000	UGL	SBK-93-125
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	ABHC	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	4.000	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	ABHC	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	4.000	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	ABHC	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	4.000	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	ABHC	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	4.000	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	ABHC	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	4.000	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	ABHC	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	4.000	UGL	SBK-92-114
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	ABHC	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	4.000	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	ABHC	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	4.000	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	ACLDAN	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	5.100	UGL	SBK-92-120
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	ACLDAN	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	5.100	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	ACLDAN	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	5.100	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	ACLDAN	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	5.100	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	ACLDAN	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	5.100	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	ACLDAN	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	5.100	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	ACLDAN	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	5.100	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	ACLDAN	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	5.100	UGL	SBK-92-114
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	ACLDAN	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	5.100	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	ACLDAN	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	5.100	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	ACLDAN	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	5.100	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	ACLDAN	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	5.100	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	AENSLF	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	9.200	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	AENSLF	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	9.200	UGL	SBK-93-128

Table H8
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

RINSATE BLANKS

QC_TYP	Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	IRDMIS Sample Number	Test Name	Lot	Sample Date	Spike Value		Value	Units	Site
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	SBK93123	AENSLF	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	9.200	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	AENSLF	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	9.200	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	AENSLF	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	9.200	UGL	SBK-93-122
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	AENSLF	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	9.200	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	AENSLF	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	9.200	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	AENSLF	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	9.200	UGL	SBK-92-114
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	AENSLF	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	9.200	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	AENSLF	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	9.200	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	AENSLF	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	9.200	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	AENSLF	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	9.200	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	ALDRN	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	4.700	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	ALDRN	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	4.700	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	ALDRN	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	4.700	UGL	SBK-92-114
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	ALDRN	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	4.700	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	ALDRN	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	4.700	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	ALDRN	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	4.700	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	ALDRN	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	4.700	UGL	SBK-92-113
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	ALDRN	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	4.700	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	ALDRN	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	4.700	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	ALDRN	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	4.700	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	ALDRN	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	4.700	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	ALDRN	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	4.700	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	ANAPNE	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	1.700	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	ANAPNE	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	1.700	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	ANAPNE	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	1.700	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	ANAPNE	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	1.700	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	ANAPNE	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	1.700	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	ANAPNE	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	1.700	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	ANAPNE	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	1.700	UGL	SBK-92-114
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	ANAPNE	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	1.700	UGL	SBK-92-314
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	ANAPNE	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	1.700	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	ANAPNE	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	1.700	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	ANAPNE	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	1.700	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	ANAPNE	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	1.700	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	ANAPYL	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	ANAPYL	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	ANAPYL	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	ANAPYL	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-113
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	ANAPYL	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	ANAPYL	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	ANAPYL	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-313

Table H8
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

RINSATE BLANKS

QC_TYP	Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	IRDMIS Sample Number	Test Name	Lot	Sample Date	Spike Value		Value	Units	Site
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	SBK92114	ANAPYL	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-114
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	ANAPYL	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	ANAPYL	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	ANAPYL	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	ANAPYL	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	ANTRC	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	ANTRC	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	ANTRC	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	ANTRC	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	ANTRC	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	ANTRC	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-114
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	ANTRC	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	ANTRC	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-313
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	ANTRC	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	ANTRC	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	ANTRC	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	ANTRC	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	B2CEXM	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	1.500	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	B2CEXM	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	1.500	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	B2CEXM	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	1.500	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	B2CEXM	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	1.500	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	B2CEXM	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	1.500	UGL	SBK-92-314
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	B2CEXM	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	1.500	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	B2CEXM	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	1.500	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	B2CEXM	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	1.500	UGL	SBK-92-114
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	B2CEXM	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	1.500	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	B2CEXM	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	1.500	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	B2CEXM	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	1.500	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	B2CEXM	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	1.500	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	B2CIPE	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	5.300	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	B2CIPE	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	5.300	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	B2CIPE	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	5.300	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	B2CIPE	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	5.300	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	B2CIPE	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	5.300	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	B2CIPE	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	5.300	UGL	SBK-92-113
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	B2CIPE	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	5.300	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	B2CIPE	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	5.300	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	B2CIPE	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	5.300	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	B2CIPE	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	5.300	UGL	SBK-92-114
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	B2CIPE	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	5.300	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	B2CIPE	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	5.300	UGL	SBK-92-119

Table H8
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

RINSATE BLANKS

QC_TYP	Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	IRDMIS Sample Number	Test Name	Lot	Sample Date	Spike Value		Value	Units	Site
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	SBK92120	B2CLEE	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	1.900	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	B2CLEE	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	1.900	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	B2CLEE	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	1.900	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	B2CLEE	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	1.900	UGL	SBK-92-114
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	B2CLEE	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	1.900	UGL	SBK-92-313
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	B2CLEE	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	1.900	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	B2CLEE	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	1.900	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	B2CLEE	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	1.900	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	B2CLEE	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	1.900	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	B2CLEE	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	1.900	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	B2CLEE	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	1.900	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	B2CLEE	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	1.900	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	B2EHP	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000		65.000	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	B2EHP	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000		6.500	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	B2EHP	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	4.800	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	B2EHP	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	4.800	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	B2EHP	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	4.800	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	B2EHP	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	4.800	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	B2EHP	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	4.800	UGL	SBK-92-114
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	B2EHP	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	4.800	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	B2EHP	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	4.800	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	B2EHP	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	4.800	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	B2EHP	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	4.800	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	B2EHP	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	4.800	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	BAANTR	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	1.600	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	BAANTR	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	1.600	UGL	SBK-92-119
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	BAANTR	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	1.600	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	BAANTR	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	1.600	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	BAANTR	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	1.600	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	BAANTR	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	1.600	UGL	SBK-92-114
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	BAANTR	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	1.600	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	BAANTR	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	1.600	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	BAANTR	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	1.600	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	BAANTR	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	1.600	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	BAANTR	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	1.600	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	BAANTR	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	1.600	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	BAPYR	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	4.700	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	BAPYR	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	4.700	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	BAPYR	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	4.700	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	BAPYR	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	4.700	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	BAPYR	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	4.700	UGL	SBK-93-125

Table H8
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

RINSATE BLANKS

QC_TYP	Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	IRDMIS Sample Number	Test Name	Lot	Sample Date	Spike Value		Value	Units	Site
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	SBK92309	BAPYR	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	4.700	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	BAPYR	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	4.700	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	BAPYR	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	4.700	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	BAPYR	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	4.700	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	BAPYR	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	4.700	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	BAPYR	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	4.700	UGL	SBK-92-114
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	BAPYR	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	4.700	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	BBFANT	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	5.400	UGL	SBK-92-120
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	BBFANT	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	5.400	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	BBFANT	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	5.400	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	BBFANT	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	5.400	UGL	SBK-92-114
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	BBFANT	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	5.400	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	BBFANT	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	5.400	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	BBFANT	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	5.400	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	BBFANT	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	5.400	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	BBFANT	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	5.400	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	BBFANT	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	5.400	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	BBFANT	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	5.400	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	BBFANT	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	5.400	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	BBHC	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	4.000	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	BBHC	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	4.000	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	BBHC	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	4.000	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	BBHC	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	4.000	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	BBHC	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	4.000	UGL	SBK-93-128
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	BBHC	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	4.000	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	BBHC	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	4.000	UGL	SBK-92-114
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	BBHC	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	4.000	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	BBHC	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	4.000	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	BBHC	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	4.000	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	BBHC	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	4.000	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	BBHC	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	4.000	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	BBZP	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	3.400	UGL	SBK-92-120
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	BBZP	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	3.400	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	BBZP	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	3.400	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	BBZP	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	3.400	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	BBZP	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	3.400	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	BBZP	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	3.400	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	BBZP	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	3.400	UGL	SBK-92-114
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	BBZP	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	3.400	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	BBZP	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	3.400	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	BBZP	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	3.400	UGL	SBK-93-121

Table H8
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

RINSATE BLANKS

QC_TYP	Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	IRDMIS Sample Number	Test Name	Lot	Sample Date	Spike Value		Value	Units	Site
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	SBK93128	BBZP	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	3.400	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	BBZP	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	3.400	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	BENSLF	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	9.200	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	BENSLF	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	9.200	UGL	SBK-93-121
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	BENSLF	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	9.200	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	BENSLF	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	9.200	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	BENSLF	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	9.200	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	BENSLF	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	9.200	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	BENSLF	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	9.200	UGL	SBK-92-114
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	BENSLF	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	9.200	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	BENSLF	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	9.200	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	BENSLF	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	9.200	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	BENSLF	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	9.200	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	BENSLF	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	9.200	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	BENZID	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	10.000	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	BENZID	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	10.000	UGL	SBK-92-114
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	BENZID	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	10.000	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	BENZID	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	10.000	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	BENZID	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	10.000	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	BENZID	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	10.000	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	BENZID	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	10.000	UGL	SBK-93-122
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	BENZID	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	10.000	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	BENZID	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	10.000	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	BENZID	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	10.000	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	BENZID	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	10.000	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	BENZID	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	10.000	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	BENZOA	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	13.000	UGL	SBK-93-122
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	BENZOA	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	13.000	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	BENZOA	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	13.000	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	BENZOA	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	13.000	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	BENZOA	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	13.000	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	BENZOA	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	13.000	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	BENZOA	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	13.000	UGL	SBK-92-114
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	BENZOA	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	13.000	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	BENZOA	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	13.000	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	BENZOA	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	13.000	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	BENZOA	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	13.000	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	BENZOA	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	13.000	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	BGHIPY	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	6.100	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	BGHIPY	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	6.100	UGL	SBK-92-114
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	BGHIPY	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	6.100	UGL	SBK-92-119

Table H8
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

RINSATE BLANKS

QC_TYP	Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	IRDMIS Sample Number	Test Name	Lot	Sample Date	Spike Value		Value	Units	Site
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	SBK92314	BGHIPY	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	6.100	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	BGHIPY	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	6.100	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	BGHIPY	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	6.100	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	BGHIPY	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	6.100	UGL	SBK-93-122
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	BGHIPY	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	6.100	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	BGHIPY	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	6.100	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	BGHIPY	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	6.100	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	BGHIPY	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	6.100	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	BGHIPY	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	6.100	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	BKFANT	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.870	UGL	SBK-93-122
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	BKFANT	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	0.870	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	BKFANT	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.870	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	BKFANT	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.870	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	BKFANT	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.870	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	BKFANT	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.870	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	BKFANT	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	0.870	UGL	SBK-92-114
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	BKFANT	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.870	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	BKFANT	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.870	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	BKFANT	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.870	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	BKFANT	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.870	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	BKFANT	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	0.870	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	BZALC	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	0.720	UGL	SBK-92-114
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	BZALC	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.720	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	BZALC	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.720	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	BZALC	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.720	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	BZALC	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.720	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	BZALC	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	0.720	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	BZALC	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.720	UGL	SBK-93-122
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	BZALC	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	0.720	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	BZALC	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.720	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	BZALC	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.720	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	BZALC	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.720	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	BZALC	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.720	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	CARBAZ	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	1.500	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	CARBAZ	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	1.500	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	CARBAZ	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	1.500	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	CARBAZ	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	1.500	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	CARBAZ	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	1.500	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	CARBAZ	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	1.500	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	CARBAZ	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	1.500	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	CARBAZ	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	1.500	UGL	SBK-92-119

Table H8
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

RINSATE BLANKS

QC_TYP	Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	IRDMIS Sample Number	Test Name	Lot	Sample Date	Spike Value		Value	Units	Site
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	SBK92120	CARBAZ	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	1.500	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	CARBAZ	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-114
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	CARBAZ	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	CARBAZ	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	CHRY	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	2.400	UGL	SBK-92-114
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	CHRY	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	2.400	UGL	SBK-92-113
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	CHRY	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	2.400	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	CHRY	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	2.400	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	CHRY	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	2.400	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	CHRY	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	2.400	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	CHRY	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	2.400	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	CHRY	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	2.400	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	CHRY	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	2.400	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	CHRY	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	2.400	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	CHRY	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	2.400	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	CHRY	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	2.400	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	CL6BZ	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	1.600	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	CL6BZ	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	1.600	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	CL6BZ	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	1.600	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	CL6BZ	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	1.600	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	CL6BZ	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	1.600	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	CL6BZ	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	1.600	UGL	SBK-92-114
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	CL6BZ	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	1.600	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	CL6BZ	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	1.600	UGL	SBK-92-119
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	CL6BZ	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	1.600	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	CL6BZ	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	1.600	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	CL6BZ	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	1.600	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	CL6BZ	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	1.600	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	CL6CP	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	8.600	UGL	SBK-92-114
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	CL6CP	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	8.600	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	CL6CP	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	8.600	UGL	SBK-92-119
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	CL6CP	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	8.600	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	CL6CP	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	8.600	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	CL6CP	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	8.600	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	CL6CP	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	8.600	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	CL6CP	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	8.600	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	CL6CP	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	8.600	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	CL6CP	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	8.600	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	CL6CP	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	8.600	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	CL6CP	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	8.600	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	CL6ET	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	1.500	UGL	SBK-93-122

Table H8
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

RINSATE BLANKS

QC_TYP	Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	IRDMIS Sample Number	Test Name	Lot	Sample Date	Spike Value		Value	Units	Site
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	SBK93121	CL6ET	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	1.500	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	CL6ET	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	1.500	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	CL6ET	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	1.500	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	CL6ET	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	1.500	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	CL6ET	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	1.500	UGL	SBK-92-114
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	CL6ET	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	1.500	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	CL6ET	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	1.500	UGL	SBK-92-119
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	CL6ET	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	1.500	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	CL6ET	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	1.500	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	CL6ET	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	1.500	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	CL6ET	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	1.500	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	DBAHA	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	6.500	UGL	SBK-92-114
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	DBAHA	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	6.500	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	DBAHA	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	6.500	UGL	SBK-92-119
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	DBAHA	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	6.500	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	DBAHA	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	6.500	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	DBAHA	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	6.500	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	DBAHA	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	6.500	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	DBAHA	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	6.500	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	DBAHA	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	6.500	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	DBAHA	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	6.500	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	DBAHA	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	6.500	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	DBAHA	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	6.500	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	DBHC	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	4.000	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	DBHC	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	4.000	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	DBHC	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	4.000	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	DBHC	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	4.000	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	DBHC	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	4.000	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	DBHC	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	4.000	UGL	SBK-92-114
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	DBHC	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	4.000	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	DBHC	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	4.000	UGL	SBK-92-119
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	DBHC	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	4.000	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	DBHC	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	4.000	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	DBHC	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	4.000	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	DBHC	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	4.000	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	DBZFUR	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	1.700	UGL	SBK-92-114
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	DBZFUR	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	1.700	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	DBZFUR	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	1.700	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	DBZFUR	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	1.700	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	DBZFUR	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	1.700	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	DBZFUR	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	1.700	UGL	SBK-92-113

Table H8
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

RINSATE BLANKS

QC_TYP	Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	IRDMIS Sample Number	Test Name	Lot	Sample Date	Spike Value		Value	Units	Site
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	SBK92309	DBZFUR	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	1.700	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	DBZFUR	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	1.700	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	DBZFUR	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	1.700	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	DBZFUR	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	1.700	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	DBZFUR	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	1.700	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	DBZFUR	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	1.700	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	DEP	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	2.000	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	DEP	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	2.000	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	DEP	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	2.000	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	DEP	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	2.000	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	DEP	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	2.000	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	DEP	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	2.000	UGL	SBK-92-114
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	DEP	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	2.000	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	DEP	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	2.000	UGL	SBK-92-119
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	DEP	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	2.000	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	DEP	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	2.000	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	DEP	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	2.000	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	DEP	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	2.000	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	DLDRN	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	4.700	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	DLDRN	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	4.700	UGL	SBK-92-313
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	DLDRN	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	4.700	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	DLDRN	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	4.700	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	DLDRN	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	4.700	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	DLDRN	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	4.700	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	DLDRN	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	4.700	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	DLDRN	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	4.700	UGL	SBK-92-114
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	DLDRN	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	4.700	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	DLDRN	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	4.700	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	DLDRN	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	4.700	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	DLDRN	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	4.700	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	DMP	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	1.500	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	DMP	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	1.500	UGL	SBK-92-114
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	DMP	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	1.500	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	DMP	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	1.500	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	DMP	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	1.500	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	DMP	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	1.500	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	DMP	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	1.500	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	DMP	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	1.500	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	DMP	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	1.500	UGL	SBK-92-119
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	DMP	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	1.500	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	DMP	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	1.500	UGL	SBK-92-314

Table H8
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

RINSATE BLANKS

QC_TYP	Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	IRDMIS Sample Number	Test Name	Lot	Sample Date	Spike Value		Value	Units	Site
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	SBK92313	DMP	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	1.500	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	DNBP	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	3.700	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	DNBP	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	3.700	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	DNBP	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	3.700	UGL	SBK-92-119
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	DNBP	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	3.700	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	DNBP	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	3.700	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	DNBP	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	3.700	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	DNBP	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	3.700	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	DNBP	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	3.700	UGL	SBK-92-114
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	DNBP	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	3.700	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	DNBP	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	3.700	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	DNBP	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	3.700	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	DNBP	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	3.700	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	DNOP	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	15.000	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	DNOP	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	15.000	UGL	SBK-92-114
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	DNOP	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	15.000	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	DNOP	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	15.000	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	DNOP	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	15.000	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	DNOP	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	15.000	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	DNOP	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	15.000	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	DNOP	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	15.000	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	DNOP	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	15.000	UGL	SBK-92-119
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	DNOP	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	15.000	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	DNOP	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	15.000	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	DNOP	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	15.000	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	ENDRN	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	7.600	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	ENDRN	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	7.600	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	ENDRN	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	7.600	UGL	SBK-92-120
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	ENDRN	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	7.600	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	ENDRN	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	7.600	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	ENDRN	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	7.600	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	ENDRN	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	7.600	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	ENDRN	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	7.600	UGL	SBK-92-114
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	ENDRN	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	7.600	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	ENDRN	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	7.600	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	ENDRN	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	7.600	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	ENDRN	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	7.600	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	ENDRNA	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	8.000	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	ENDRNA	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	8.000	UGL	SBK-92-114
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	ENDRNA	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	8.000	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	ENDRNA	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	8.000	UGL	SBK-93-128

Table H8
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

RINSATE BLANKS

QC_TYP	Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	IRDMIS Sample Number	Test Name	Lot	Sample Date	Spike Value		Value	Units	Site
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	SBK93123	ENDRNA	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	8.000	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	ENDRNA	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	8.000	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	ENDRNA	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	8.000	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	ENDRNA	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	8.000	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	ENDRNA	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	8.000	UGL	SBK-92-120
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	ENDRNA	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	8.000	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	ENDRNA	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	8.000	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	ENDRNA	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	8.000	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	ENDRNK	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	8.000	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	ENDRNK	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	8.000	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	ENDRNK	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	8.000	UGL	SBK-92-313
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	ENDRNK	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	8.000	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	ENDRNK	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	8.000	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	ENDRNK	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	8.000	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	ENDRNK	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	8.000	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	ENDRNK	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	8.000	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	ENDRNK	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	8.000	UGL	SBK-92-114
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	ENDRNK	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	8.000	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	ENDRNK	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	8.000	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	ENDRNK	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	8.000	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	ESFSO4	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	9.200	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	ESFSO4	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	9.200	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	ESFSO4	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	9.200	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	ESFSO4	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	9.200	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	ESFSO4	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	9.200	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	ESFSO4	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	9.200	UGL	SBK-92-114
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	ESFSO4	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	9.200	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	ESFSO4	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	9.200	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	ESFSO4	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	9.200	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	ESFSO4	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	9.200	UGL	SBK-92-120
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	ESFSO4	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	9.200	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	ESFSO4	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	9.200	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	FANT	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	3.300	UGL	SBK-92-114
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	FANT	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	3.300	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	FANT	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	3.300	UGL	SBK-92-120
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	FANT	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	3.300	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	FANT	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	3.300	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	FANT	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	3.300	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	FANT	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	3.300	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	FANT	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	3.300	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	FANT	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	3.300	UGL	SBK-93-123

Table H8
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

RINSATE BLANKS

QC_TYP	Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	IRDMIS Sample Number	Test Name	Lot	Sample Date	Spike Value		Value	Units	Site
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	SBK93125	FANT	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	3.300	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	FANT	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	3.300	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	FANT	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	3.300	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	FLRENE	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	3.700	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	FLRENE	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	3.700	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	FLRENE	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	3.700	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	FLRENE	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	3.700	UGL	SBK-93-128
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	FLRENE	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	3.700	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	FLRENE	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	3.700	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	FLRENE	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	3.700	UGL	SBK-92-114
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	FLRENE	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	3.700	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	FLRENE	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	3.700	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	FLRENE	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	3.700	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	FLRENE	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	3.700	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	FLRENE	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	3.700	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	GCLDAN	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	5.100	UGL	SBK-92-114
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	GCLDAN	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	5.100	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	GCLDAN	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	5.100	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	GCLDAN	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	5.100	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	GCLDAN	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	5.100	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	GCLDAN	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	5.100	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	GCLDAN	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	5.100	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	GCLDAN	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	5.100	UGL	SBK-93-122
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	GCLDAN	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	5.100	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	GCLDAN	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	5.100	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	GCLDAN	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	5.100	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	GCLDAN	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	5.100	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	HCBD	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	3.400	UGL	SBK-93-125
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	HCBD	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	3.400	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	HCBD	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	3.400	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	HCBD	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	3.400	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	HCBD	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	3.400	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	HCBD	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	3.400	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	HCBD	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	3.400	UGL	SBK-92-114
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	HCBD	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	3.400	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	HCBD	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	3.400	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	HCBD	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	3.400	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	HCBD	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	3.400	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	HCBD	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	3.400	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	HPCL	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	2.000	UGL	SBK-92-114
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	HPCL	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	2.000	UGL	SBK-92-119

Table H8
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

RINSATE BLANKS

QC_TYP	Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	IRDMIS Sample Number	Test Name	Lot	Sample Date	Spike Value		Value	Units	Site
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	SBK92120	HPCL	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	2.000	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	HPCL	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	2.000	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	HPCL	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	2.000	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	HPCL	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	2.000	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	HPCL	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	2.000	UGL	SBK-93-125
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	HPCL	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	2.000	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	HPCL	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	2.000	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	HPCL	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	2.000	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	HPCL	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	2.000	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	HPCL	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	2.000	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	HPCLE	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	5.000	UGL	SBK-93-125
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	HPCLE	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	5.000	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	HPCLE	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	5.000	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	HPCLE	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	5.000	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	HPCLE	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	5.000	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	HPCLE	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	5.000	UGL	SBK-92-114
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	HPCLE	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	5.000	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	HPCLE	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	5.000	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	HPCLE	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	5.000	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	HPCLE	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	5.000	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	HPCLE	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	5.000	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	HPCLE	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	5.000	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	ICDPYR	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	8.600	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	ICDPYR	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	8.600	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	ICDPYR	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	8.600	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	ICDPYR	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	8.600	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	ICDPYR	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	8.600	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	ICDPYR	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	8.600	UGL	SBK-93-125
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	ICDPYR	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	8.600	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	ICDPYR	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	8.600	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	ICDPYR	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	8.600	UGL	SBK-92-114
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	ICDPYR	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	8.600	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	ICDPYR	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	8.600	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	ICDPYR	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	8.600	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	ISOPHR	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	4.800	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	ISOPHR	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	4.800	UGL	SBK-92-114
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	ISOPHR	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	4.800	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	ISOPHR	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	4.800	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	ISOPHR	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	4.800	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	ISOPHR	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	4.800	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	ISOPHR	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	4.800	UGL	SBK-93-123

Table H8
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

RINSATE BLANKS

QC_TYP	Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	IRDMIS Sample Number	Test Name	Lot	Sample Date	Spike Value		Value	Units	Site
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	SBK92113	ISOPHR	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	4.800	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	ISOPHR	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	4.800	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	ISOPHR	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	4.800	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	ISOPHR	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	4.800	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	ISOPHR	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	4.800	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	LIN	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	4.000	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	LIN	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	4.000	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	LIN	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	4.000	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	LIN	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	4.000	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	LIN	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	4.000	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	LIN	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	4.000	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	LIN	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	4.000	UGL	SBK-92-114
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	LIN	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	4.000	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	LIN	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	4.000	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	LIN	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	4.000	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	LIN	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	4.000	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	LIN	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	4.000	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	MEXCLR	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	5.100	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	MEXCLR	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	5.100	UGL	SBK-92-114
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	MEXCLR	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	5.100	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	MEXCLR	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	5.100	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	MEXCLR	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	5.100	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	MEXCLR	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	5.100	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	MEXCLR	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	5.100	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	MEXCLR	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	5.100	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	MEXCLR	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	5.100	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	MEXCLR	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	5.100	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	MEXCLR	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	5.100	UGL	SBK-92-120
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	MEXCLR	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	5.100	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	NAP	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	NAP	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	NAP	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	NAP	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	NAP	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-313
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	NAP	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	NAP	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	NAP	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-114
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	NAP	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	NAP	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	NAP	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	NAP	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-123

Table H8
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

RINSATE BLANKS

QC_TYP	Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	IRDMIS Sample Number	Test Name	Lot	Sample Date	Spike Value		Value	Units	Site
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	SBK93121	NB	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	NB	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-114
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	NB	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	NB	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	NB	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	NB	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	NB	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-125
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	NB	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	NB	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	NB	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	NB	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	NB	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-120
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	NNDMEA	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	2.000	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	NNDMEA	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	2.000	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	NNDMEA	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	2.000	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	NNDMEA	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	2.000	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	NNDMEA	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	2.000	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	NNDMEA	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	2.000	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	NNDMEA	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	2.000	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	NNDMEA	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	2.000	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	NNDMEA	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	2.000	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	NNDMEA	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	2.000	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	NNDMEA	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	2.000	UGL	SBK-92-114
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	NNDMEA	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	2.000	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	NNDNPA	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	4.400	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	NNDNPA	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	4.400	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	NNDNPA	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	4.400	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	NNDNPA	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	4.400	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	NNDNPA	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	4.400	UGL	SBK-92-114
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	NNDNPA	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	4.400	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	NNDNPA	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	4.400	UGL	SBK-93-122
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	NNDNPA	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	4.400	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	NNDNPA	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	4.400	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	NNDNPA	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	4.400	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	NNDNPA	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	4.400	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	NNDNPA	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	4.400	UGL	SBK-92-314
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	NNDPA	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	3.000	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	NNDPA	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	3.000	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	NNDPA	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	3.000	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	NNDPA	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	3.000	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	NNDPA	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	3.000	UGL	SBK-92-119

Table H8
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

RINSATE BLANKS

QC_TYP	Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	IRDMIS Sample Number	Test Name	Lot	Sample Date	Spike Value		Value	Units	Site
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	SBK93121	NNDPA	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	3.000	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	NNDPA	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	3.000	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	NNDPA	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	3.000	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	NNDPA	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	3.000	UGL	SBK-92-114
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	NNDPA	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	3.000	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	NNDPA	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	3.000	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	NNDPA	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	3.000	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	PCB016	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	21.000	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	PCB016	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	21.000	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	PCB016	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	21.000	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	PCB016	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	21.000	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	PCB016	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	21.000	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	PCB016	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	21.000	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	PCB016	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	21.000	UGL	SBK-92-114
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	PCB016	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	21.000	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	PCB016	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	21.000	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	PCB016	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	21.000	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	PCB016	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	21.000	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	PCB016	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	21.000	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	PCB221	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	21.000	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	PCB221	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	21.000	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	PCB221	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	21.000	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	PCB221	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	21.000	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	PCB221	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	21.000	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	PCB221	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	21.000	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	PCB221	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	21.000	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	PCB221	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	21.000	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	PCB221	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	21.000	UGL	SBK-92-114
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	PCB221	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	21.000	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	PCB221	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	21.000	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	PCB221	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	21.000	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	PCB232	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	21.000	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	PCB232	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	21.000	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	PCB232	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	21.000	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	PCB232	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	21.000	UGL	SBK-92-114
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	PCB232	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	21.000	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	PCB232	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	21.000	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	PCB232	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	21.000	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	PCB232	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	21.000	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	PCB232	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	21.000	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	PCB232	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	21.000	UGL	SBK-92-314

Table H8
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

RINSATE BLANKS

QC_TYP	Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	IRDMIS Sample Number	Test Name	Lot	Sample Date	Spike Value		Value	Units	Site
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	SBK92119	PCB232	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	21.000	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	PCB232	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	21.000	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	PCB242	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	30.000	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	PCB242	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	30.000	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	PCB242	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	30.000	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	PCB242	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	30.000	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	PCB242	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	30.000	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	PCB242	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	30.000	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	PCB242	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	30.000	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	PCB242	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	30.000	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	PCB242	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	30.000	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	PCB242	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	30.000	UGL	SBK-92-114
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	PCB242	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	30.000	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	PCB242	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	30.000	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	PCB248	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	30.000	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	PCB248	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	30.000	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	PCB248	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	30.000	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	PCB248	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	30.000	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	PCB248	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	30.000	UGL	SBK-92-114
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	PCB248	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	30.000	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	PCB248	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	30.000	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	PCB248	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	30.000	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	PCB248	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	30.000	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	PCB248	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	30.000	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	PCB248	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	30.000	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	PCB248	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	30.000	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	PCB254	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	36.000	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	PCB254	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	36.000	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	PCB254	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	36.000	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	PCB254	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	36.000	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	PCB254	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	36.000	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	PCB254	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	36.000	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	PCB254	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	36.000	UGL	SBK-92-114
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	PCB254	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	36.000	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	PCB254	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	36.000	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	PCB254	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	36.000	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	PCB254	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	36.000	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	PCB254	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	36.000	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	PCB260	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	36.000	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	PCB260	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	36.000	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	PCB260	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	36.000	UGL	SBK-93-122

Table H8
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

RINSATE BLANKS

QC_TYP	Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	IRDMIS Sample Number	Test Name	Lot	Sample Date	Spike Value		Value	Units	Site
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	SBK93121	PCB260	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	36.000	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	PCB260	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	36.000	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	PCB260	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	36.000	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	PCB260	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	36.000	UGL	SBK-92-114
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	PCB260	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	36.000	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	PCB260	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	36.000	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	PCB260	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	36.000	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	PCB260	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	36.000	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	PCB260	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	36.000	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	PCP	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	18.000	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	PCP	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	18.000	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	PCP	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	18.000	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	PCP	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	18.000	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	PCP	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	18.000	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	PCP	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	18.000	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	PCP	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	18.000	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	PCP	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	18.000	UGL	SBK-92-114
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	PCP	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	18.000	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	PCP	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	18.000	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	PCP	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	18.000	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	PCP	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	18.000	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	PHANTR	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	PHANTR	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	PHANTR	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	PHANTR	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-114
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	PHANTR	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	PHANTR	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	PHANTR	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	PHANTR	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	PHANTR	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	PHANTR	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	PHANTR	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	PHANTR	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	PHENOL	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	9.200	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	PHENOL	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	9.200	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	PHENOL	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	9.200	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	PHENOL	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	9.200	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	PHENOL	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	9.200	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	PHENOL	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	9.200	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	PHENOL	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	9.200	UGL	SBK-92-114
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	PHENOL	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	9.200	UGL	

Table H8
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

RINSATE BLANKS

QC_TYP	Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	IRDMIS Sample Number	Test Name	Lot	Sample Date	Spike Value		Value	Units	Site
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	SBK93121	PHENOL	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	9.200	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	PHENOL	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	9.200	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	PHENOL	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	9.200	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	PHENOL	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	9.200	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	PPDDD	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	4.000	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	PPDDD	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	4.000	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	PPDDD	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	4.000	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	PPDDD	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	4.000	UGL	SBK-92-114
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	PPDDD	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	4.000	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	PPDDD	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	4.000	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	PPDDD	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	4.000	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	PPDDD	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	4.000	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	PPDDD	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	4.000	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	PPDDD	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	4.000	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	PPDDD	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	4.000	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	PPDDD	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	4.000	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	PPDDE	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	4.700	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	PPDDE	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	4.700	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	PPDDE	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	4.700	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	PPDDE	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	4.700	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	PPDDE	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	4.700	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	PPDDE	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	4.700	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	PPDDE	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	4.700	UGL	SBK-92-114
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	PPDDE	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	4.700	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	PPDDE	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	4.700	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	PPDDE	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	4.700	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	PPDDE	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	4.700	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	PPDDE	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	4.700	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	PPDDT	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	9.200	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	PPDDT	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	9.200	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	PPDDT	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	9.200	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	PPDDT	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	9.200	UGL	SBK-92-114
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	PPDDT	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	9.200	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	PPDDT	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	9.200	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	PPDDT	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	9.200	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	PPDDT	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	9.200	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	PPDDT	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	9.200	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	PPDDT	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	9.200	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	PPDDT	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	9.200	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	PPDDT	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	9.200	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	PYR	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	2.800	UGL	SBK-92-314

Table H8
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

RINSATE BLANKS

QC_TYP	Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	IRDMIS Sample Number	Test Name	Lot	Sample Date	Spike Value		Value	Units	Site
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	SBK92119	PYR	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	2.800	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	PYR	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	2.800	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	PYR	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	2.800	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	PYR	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	2.800	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	PYR	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	2.800	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	PYR	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	2.800	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	PYR	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	2.800	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	PYR	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	2.800	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	PYR	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	2.800	UGL	SBK-92-114
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	PYR	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	2.800	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	PYR	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	2.800	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	TXPHEN	CKXA	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	36.000	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	TXPHEN	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	36.000	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	TXPHEN	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	36.000	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	TXPHEN	CKL	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	36.000	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92113	TXPHEN	AVQ	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	36.000	UGL	SBK-92-113
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92114	TXPHEN	AVQ	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	36.000	UGL	SBK-92-114
F	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92309	TXPHEN	AVH	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	36.000	UGL	
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	TXPHEN	CKWA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	36.000	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	TXPHEN	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	36.000	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	TXPHEN	CKQ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	36.000	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92119	TXPHEN	CKG	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	36.000	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	TXPHEN	CKI	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	36.000	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93128	UNK614	DKGA	11-MAR-1993	0.000		7.000	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	SBK93125	111TCE	DDN	15-FEB-1993	0.000		1.100	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	111TCE	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		V1AW*286	111TCE	CMF	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93126	111TCE	DDQA	02-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-126
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93127	111TCE	DDQA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-127
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92116	111TCE	CMI	05-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-116
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	111TCE	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	111TCE	CMI	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	111TCE	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	111TCE	CMH	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92311	111TCE	ATX	29-SEP-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-311
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92117	111TCE	CMU	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	111TCE	CMU	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-314
F	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92312	111TCE	ATY	01-OCT-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92115	111TCE	CMI	05-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-115
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	112TCE	CMH	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	1.200	UGL	SBK-92-120

Table H8
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

RINSATE BLANKS

QC_TYP	Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	IRDMIS Sample Number	Test Name	Lot	Sample Date	Spike Value		Value	Units	Site
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	SBK92115	112TCE	CMI	05-JAN-1993	0.000	<	1.200	UGL	SBK-92-115
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92117	112TCE	CMU	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	1.200	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92311	112TCE	ATX	29-SEP-1992	0.000	<	1.200	UGL	SBK-92-311
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	112TCE	CMU	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	1.200	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	112TCE	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	1.200	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	112TCE	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	1.200	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	112TCE	CMI	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	1.200	UGL	SBK-92-313
F	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92312	112TCE	ATY	01-OCT-1992	0.000	<	1.200	UGL	
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92116	112TCE	CMI	05-JAN-1993	0.000	<	1.200	UGL	SBK-92-116
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		V1AW*286	112TCE	CMF	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	1.200	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	112TCE	DDN	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	1.200	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93126	112TCE	DDQA	02-MAR-1993	0.000	<	1.200	UGL	SBK-93-126
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93127	112TCE	DDQA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	1.200	UGL	SBK-93-127
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	112TCE	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	1.200	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	11DCE	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	11DCE	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-121
F	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92312	11DCE	ATY	01-OCT-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		V1AW*286	11DCE	CMF	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	11DCE	DDN	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93126	11DCE	DDQA	02-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-126
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93127	11DCE	DDQA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-127
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	11DCE	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92116	11DCE	CMI	05-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-116
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	11DCE	CMI	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	11DCE	CMH	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92311	11DCE	ATX	29-SEP-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-311
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	11DCE	CMU	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92115	11DCE	CMI	05-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-115
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92117	11DCE	CMU	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	11DCLE	CMH	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.680	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	11DCLE	CMU	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.680	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92116	11DCLE	CMI	05-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.680	UGL	SBK-92-116
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92117	11DCLE	CMU	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.680	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92311	11DCLE	ATX	29-SEP-1992	0.000	<	0.680	UGL	SBK-92-311
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	11DCLE	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.680	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		V1AW*286	11DCLE	CMF	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.680	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	11DCLE	DDN	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.680	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93126	11DCLE	DDQA	02-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.680	UGL	SBK-93-126
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93127	11DCLE	DDQA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.680	UGL	SBK-93-127
F	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92312	11DCLE	ATY	01-OCT-1992	0.000	<	0.680	UGL	
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92115	11DCLE	CMI	05-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.680	UGL	SBK-92-115

Table H8
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

RINSATE BLANKS

QC_TYP	Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	IRDMIS Sample Number	Test Name	Lot	Sample Date	Spike Value		Value	Units	Site
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	SBK93123	11DCLE	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.680	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	11DCLE	CMI	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.680	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	11DCLE	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.680	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	12DCE	CMI	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	12DCE	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92115	12DCE	CMI	05-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-115
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		V1AW*286	12DCE	CMF	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93126	12DCE	DDQA	02-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-126
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	12DCE	DDN	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93127	12DCE	DDQA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-127
F	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92312	12DCE	ATY	01-OCT-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	12DCE	CMH	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92116	12DCE	CMI	05-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-116
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92117	12DCE	CMU	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	12DCE	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	12DCE	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92311	12DCE	ATX	29-SEP-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-311
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	12DCE	CMU	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	12DCLE	CMH	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92116	12DCLE	CMI	05-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-116
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	12DCLE	CMU	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92117	12DCLE	CMU	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	12DCLE	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	12DCLE	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	12DCLE	CMI	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92311	12DCLE	ATX	29-SEP-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-311
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	12DCLE	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		V1AW*286	12DCLE	CMF	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	12DCLE	DDN	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93126	12DCLE	DDQA	02-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-126
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93127	12DCLE	DDQA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-127
F	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92312	12DCLE	ATY	01-OCT-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92115	12DCLE	CMI	05-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-115
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	12DCLP	CMI	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92311	12DCLP	ATX	29-SEP-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-311
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		V1AW*286	12DCLP	CMF	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	12DCLP	DDN	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93126	12DCLP	DDQA	02-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-126
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93127	12DCLP	DDQA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-127
F	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92312	12DCLP	ATY	01-OCT-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92115	12DCLP	CMI	05-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-115

Table H8
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

RINSATE BLANKS

QC_TYP	Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	IRDMIS Sample Number	Test Name	Lot	Sample Date	Spike Value		Value	Units	Site
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	SBK93123	12DCLP	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	12DCLP	CMH	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92116	12DCLP	CMI	05-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-116
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	12DCLP	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	12DCLP	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92117	12DCLP	CMU	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	12DCLP	CMU	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	2CLEVE	CMH	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.710	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	2CLEVE	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.710	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92117	2CLEVE	CMU	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.710	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	2CLEVE	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.710	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	2CLEVE	CMU	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.710	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92116	2CLEVE	CMI	05-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.710	UGL	SBK-92-116
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	2CLEVE	CMI	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.710	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	2CLEVE	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.710	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92311	2CLEVE	ATX	29-SEP-1992	0.000	<	0.710	UGL	SBK-92-311
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92115	2CLEVE	CMI	05-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.710	UGL	SBK-92-115
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93127	2CLEVE	DDQA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.710	UGL	SBK-93-127
F	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92312	2CLEVE	ATY	01-OCT-1992	0.000	<	0.710	UGL	
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		V1AW*286	2CLEVE	CMF	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.710	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	2CLEVE	DDN	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.710	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93126	2CLEVE	DDQA	02-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.710	UGL	SBK-93-126
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	ACET	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000		21.000	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	ACET	CMH	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	13.000	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	ACET	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	13.000	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92117	ACET	CMU	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	13.000	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	ACET	CMU	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	13.000	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92116	ACET	CMI	05-JAN-1993	0.000	<	13.000	UGL	SBK-92-116
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	ACET	CMI	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	13.000	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92311	ACET	ATX	29-SEP-1992	0.000	<	13.000	UGL	SBK-92-311
F	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92312	ACET	ATY	01-OCT-1992	0.000	<	13.000	UGL	
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		V1AW*286	ACET	CMF	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	13.000	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	ACET	DDN	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	13.000	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93126	ACET	DDQA	02-MAR-1993	0.000	<	13.000	UGL	SBK-93-126
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93127	ACET	DDQA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	13.000	UGL	SBK-93-127
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92115	ACET	CMI	05-JAN-1993	0.000	<	13.000	UGL	SBK-92-115
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	ACET	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	13.000	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	ACROLN	CMI	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	100.000	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		V1AW*286	ACROLN	CMF	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	100.000	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	ACROLN	DDN	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	100.000	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93126	ACROLN	DDQA	02-MAR-1993	0.000	<	100.000	UGL	SBK-93-126

Table H8
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

RINSATE BLANKS

QC_TYP	Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	IRDMIS Sample Number	Test Name	Lot	Sample Date	Spike Value		Value	Units	Site
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	SBK93127	ACROLN	DDQA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	100.000	UGL	SBK-93-127
F	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92312	ACROLN	ATY	01-OCT-1992	0.000	<	100.000	UGL	
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92115	ACROLN	CMI	05-JAN-1993	0.000	<	100.000	UGL	SBK-92-115
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	ACROLN	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	100.000	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92311	ACROLN	ATX	29-SEP-1992	0.000	<	100.000	UGL	SBK-92-311
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	ACROLN	CMH	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	100.000	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92117	ACROLN	CMU	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	100.000	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92116	ACROLN	CMI	05-JAN-1993	0.000	<	100.000	UGL	SBK-92-116
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	ACROLN	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	100.000	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	ACROLN	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	100.000	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	ACROLN	CMU	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	100.000	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	ACRYLO	CMH	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	100.000	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92116	ACRYLO	CMI	05-JAN-1993	0.000	<	100.000	UGL	SBK-92-116
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	ACRYLO	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	100.000	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	ACRYLO	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	100.000	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92117	ACRYLO	CMU	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	100.000	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	ACRYLO	CMU	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	100.000	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	ACRYLO	CMI	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	100.000	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		V1AW*286	ACRYLO	CMF	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	100.000	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	ACRYLO	DDN	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	100.000	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93126	ACRYLO	DDQA	02-MAR-1993	0.000	<	100.000	UGL	SBK-93-126
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93127	ACRYLO	DDQA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	100.000	UGL	SBK-93-127
F	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92312	ACRYLO	ATY	01-OCT-1992	0.000	<	100.000	UGL	
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92115	ACRYLO	CMI	05-JAN-1993	0.000	<	100.000	UGL	SBK-92-115
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	ACRYLO	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	100.000	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92311	ACRYLO	ATX	29-SEP-1992	0.000	<	100.000	UGL	SBK-92-311
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	BRDCLM	CMI	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.590	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	BRDCLM	DDN	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.590	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93126	BRDCLM	DDQA	02-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.590	UGL	SBK-93-126
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93127	BRDCLM	DDQA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.590	UGL	SBK-93-127
F	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92312	BRDCLM	ATY	01-OCT-1992	0.000	<	0.590	UGL	
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92116	BRDCLM	CMI	05-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.590	UGL	SBK-92-116

R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	SBK93123	BRDCLM	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.590 UGL	SBK-93-123
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	SBK92311	BRDCLM	ATX	29-SEP-1992	0.000	<	0.590 UGL	SBK-92-311
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	V1AW*286	BRDCLM	CMF	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.590 UGL	SBK-92-117
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	SBK92120	BRDCLM	CMH	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.590 UGL	SBK-92-120
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	SBK93122	BRDCLM	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.590 UGL	SBK-93-122
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	SBK92117	BRDCLM	CMU	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.590 UGL	SBK-92-117
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	SBK92314	BRDCLM	CMU	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.590 UGL	SBK-92-314
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	SBK93121	BRDCLM	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.590 UGL	SBK-93-121
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	SBK92115	BRDCLM	CMI	05-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.590 UGL	SBK-92-115

Table H8
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

RINSATE BLANKS

QC_TYP	Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	IRDMIS Sample Number	Test Name	Lot	Sample Date	Spike Value		Value	Units	Site
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	SBK92120	C13DCP	CMH	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.580	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		V1AW*286	C13DCP	CMF	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.580	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92115	C13DCP	CMI	05-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.580	UGL	SBK-92-115
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	C13DCP	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.580	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92117	C13DCP	CMU	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.580	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	C13DCP	CMU	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.580	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	C13DCP	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.580	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	C13DCP	CMI	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.580	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93126	C13DCP	DDQA	02-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.580	UGL	SBK-93-126
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93127	C13DCP	DDQA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.580	UGL	SBK-93-127
F	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92312	C13DCP	ATY	01-OCT-1992	0.000	<	0.580	UGL	
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	C13DCP	DDN	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.580	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	C13DCP	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.580	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92116	C13DCP	CMI	05-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.580	UGL	SBK-92-116
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92311	C13DCP	ATX	29-SEP-1992	0.000	<	0.580	UGL	SBK-92-311
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	C2AVE	CMI	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	8.300	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	C2AVE	DDN	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	8.300	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93126	C2AVE	DDQA	02-MAR-1993	0.000	<	8.300	UGL	SBK-93-126
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93127	C2AVE	DDQA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	8.300	UGL	SBK-93-127
F	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92312	C2AVE	ATY	01-OCT-1992	0.000	<	8.300	UGL	
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	C2AVE	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	8.300	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92116	C2AVE	CMI	05-JAN-1993	0.000	<	8.300	UGL	SBK-92-116
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92311	C2AVE	ATX	29-SEP-1992	0.000	<	8.300	UGL	SBK-92-311
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	C2AVE	CMH	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	8.300	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92115	C2AVE	CMI	05-JAN-1993	0.000	<	8.300	UGL	SBK-92-115
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	C2AVE	CMU	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	8.300	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	C2AVE	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	8.300	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92117	C2AVE	CMU	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	8.300	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	C2AVE	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	8.300	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		V1AW*286	C2AVE	CMF	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	8.300	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	C2H3CL	CMH	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	2.600	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	C2H3CL	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	2.600	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92117	C2H3CL	CMU	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	2.600	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	C2H3CL	CMU	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	2.600	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	C2H3CL	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	2.600	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92115	C2H3CL	CMI	05-JAN-1993	0.000	<	2.600	UGL	SBK-92-115
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		V1AW*286	C2H3CL	CMF	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	2.600	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	C2H3CL	CMI	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	2.600	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92116	C2H3CL	CMI	05-JAN-1993	0.000	<	2.600	UGL	SBK-92-116
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	C2H3CL	DDN	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	2.600	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93126	C2H3CL	DDQA	02-MAR-1993	0.000	<	2.600	UGL	SBK-93-126

Table H8
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

RINSATE BLANKS

QC_TYP	Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	IRDMIS Sample Number	Test Name	Lot	Sample Date	Spike Value		Value	Units	Site
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	SBK93127	C2H3CL	DDQA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	2.600	UGL	SBK-93-127
F	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92312	C2H3CL	ATY	01-OCT-1992	0.000	<	2.600	UGL	
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	C2H3CL	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	2.600	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92311	C2H3CL	ATX	29-SEP-1992	0.000	<	2.600	UGL	SBK-92-311
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	C2H5CL	CMI	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	1.900	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92116	C2H5CL	CMI	05-JAN-1993	0.000	<	1.900	UGL	SBK-92-116
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92311	C2H5CL	ATX	29-SEP-1992	0.000	<	1.900	UGL	SBK-92-311
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	C2H5CL	DDN	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	1.900	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93126	C2H5CL	DDQA	02-MAR-1993	0.000	<	1.900	UGL	SBK-93-126
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93127	C2H5CL	DDQA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	1.900	UGL	SBK-93-127
F	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92312	C2H5CL	ATY	01-OCT-1992	0.000	<	1.900	UGL	
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	C2H5CL	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	1.900	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	C2H5CL	CMH	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	1.900	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		V1AW*286	C2H5CL	CMF	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	1.900	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92115	C2H5CL	CMI	05-JAN-1993	0.000	<	1.900	UGL	SBK-92-115
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	C2H5CL	CMU	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	1.900	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	C2H5CL	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	1.900	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92117	C2H5CL	CMU	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	1.900	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	C2H5CL	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	1.900	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		V1AW*286	C6H6	CMF	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	C6H6	CMH	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	C6H6	CMI	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	C6H6	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92311	C6H6	ATX	29-SEP-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-311
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92117	C6H6	CMU	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	C6H6	CMU	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	C6H6	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92115	C6H6	CMI	05-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-115
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92116	C6H6	CMI	05-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-116
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	C6H6	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	C6H6	DDN	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93126	C6H6	DDQA	02-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-126
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93127	C6H6	DDQA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-127
F	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92312	C6H6	ATY	01-OCT-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92116	CCL3F	CMI	05-JAN-1993	0.000	<	1.400	UGL	SBK-92-116
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	CCL3F	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	1.400	UGL	SBK-93-123
F	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92312	CCL3F	ATY	01-OCT-1992	0.000	<	1.400	UGL	
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	CCL3F	DDN	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	1.400	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93126	CCL3F	DDQA	02-MAR-1993	0.000	<	1.400	UGL	SBK-93-126
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93127	CCL3F	DDQA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	1.400	UGL	SBK-93-127
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	CCL3F	CMH	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	1.400	UGL	SBK-92-120

Table H8
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

RINSATE BLANKS

QC_TYP	Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	IRDMIS Sample Number	Test Name	Lot	Sample Date	Spike Value		Value	Units	Site
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	SBK92311	CCL3F	ATX	29-SEP-1992	0.000	<	1.400	UGL	SBK-92-311
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	CCL3F	CMI	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	1.400	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	CCL3F	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	1.400	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92117	CCL3F	CMU	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	1.400	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	CCL3F	CMU	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	1.400	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	CCL3F	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	1.400	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92115	CCL3F	CMI	05-JAN-1993	0.000	<	1.400	UGL	SBK-92-115
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		V1AW*286	CCL3F	CMF	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	1.400	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		V1AW*286	CCL4	CMF	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.580	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	CCL4	CMH	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.580	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	CCL4	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.580	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92117	CCL4	CMU	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.580	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	CCL4	CMU	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.580	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92311	CCL4	ATX	29-SEP-1992	0.000	<	0.580	UGL	SBK-92-311
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	CCL4	CMI	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.580	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	CCL4	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.580	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92115	CCL4	CMI	05-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.580	UGL	SBK-92-115
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92116	CCL4	CMI	05-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.580	UGL	SBK-92-116
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	CCL4	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.580	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93126	CCL4	DDQA	02-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.580	UGL	SBK-93-126
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93127	CCL4	DDQA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.580	UGL	SBK-93-127
F	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92312	CCL4	ATY	01-OCT-1992	0.000	<	0.580	UGL	
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	CCL4	DDN	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.580	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92115	CH2CL2	CMI	05-JAN-1993	0.000	<	2.300	UGL	SBK-92-115
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93127	CH2CL2	DDQA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	2.300	UGL	SBK-93-127
F	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92312	CH2CL2	ATY	01-OCT-1992	0.000	<	2.300	UGL	
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93126	CH2CL2	DDQA	02-MAR-1993	0.000	<	2.300	UGL	SBK-93-126
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	CH2CL2	DDN	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	2.300	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	CH2CL2	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	2.300	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	CH2CL2	CMH	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	2.300	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	CH2CL2	CMU	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	2.300	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92116	CH2CL2	CMI	05-JAN-1993	0.000	<	2.300	UGL	SBK-92-116
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92311	CH2CL2	ATX	29-SEP-1992	0.000	<	2.300	UGL	SBK-92-311
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	CH2CL2	CMI	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	2.300	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	CH2CL2	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	2.300	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92117	CH2CL2	CMU	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	2.300	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	CH2CL2	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	2.300	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		V1AW*286	CH2CL2	CMF	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	2.300	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		V1AW*286	CH3BR	CMF	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	5.800	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92311	CH3BR	ATX	29-SEP-1992	0.000	<	5.800	UGL	SBK-92-311
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	CH3BR	CMI	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	5.800	UGL	SBK-92-313

Table H8
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

RINSATE BLANKS

QC_TYP	Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	IRDMIS Sample Number	Test Name	Lot	Sample Date	Spike Value		Value	Units	Site
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	SBK93122	CH3BR	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	5.800	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92116	CH3BR	CMI	05-JAN-1993	0.000	<	5.800	UGL	SBK-92-116
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92117	CH3BR	CMU	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	5.800	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	CH3BR	CMU	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	5.800	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	CH3BR	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	5.800	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	CH3BR	CMH	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	5.800	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92115	CH3BR	CMI	05-JAN-1993	0.000	<	5.800	UGL	SBK-92-115
F	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92312	CH3BR	ATY	01-OCT-1992	0.000	<	5.800	UGL	
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	CH3BR	DDN	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	5.800	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93126	CH3BR	DDQA	02-MAR-1993	0.000	<	5.800	UGL	SBK-93-126
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93127	CH3BR	DDQA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	5.800	UGL	SBK-93-127
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	CH3BR	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	5.800	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92115	CH3CL	CMI	05-JAN-1993	0.000	<	3.200	UGL	SBK-92-115
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	CH3CL	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	3.200	UGL	SBK-93-123
F	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92312	CH3CL	ATY	01-OCT-1992	0.000	<	3.200	UGL	
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93127	CH3CL	DDQA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	3.200	UGL	SBK-93-127
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	CH3CL	DDN	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	3.200	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93126	CH3CL	DDQA	02-MAR-1993	0.000	<	3.200	UGL	SBK-93-126
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		V1AW*286	CH3CL	CMF	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	3.200	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	CH3CL	CMH	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	3.200	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92311	CH3CL	ATX	29-SEP-1992	0.000	<	3.200	UGL	SBK-92-311
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	CH3CL	CMI	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	3.200	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	CH3CL	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	3.200	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92116	CH3CL	CMI	05-JAN-1993	0.000	<	3.200	UGL	SBK-92-116
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92117	CH3CL	CMU	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	3.200	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	CH3CL	CMU	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	3.200	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	CH3CL	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	3.200	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		V1AW*286	CHBR3	CMF	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	2.600	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	CHBR3	CMH	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	2.600	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	CHBR3	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	2.600	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92311	CHBR3	ATX	29-SEP-1992	0.000	<	2.600	UGL	SBK-92-311
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	CHBR3	CMI	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	2.600	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	CHBR3	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	2.600	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92116	CHBR3	CMI	05-JAN-1993	0.000	<	2.600	UGL	SBK-92-116
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92117	CHBR3	CMU	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	2.600	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	CHBR3	CMU	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	2.600	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92115	CHBR3	CMI	05-JAN-1993	0.000	<	2.600	UGL	SBK-92-115
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	CHBR3	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	2.600	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93126	CHBR3	DDQA	02-MAR-1993	0.000	<	2.600	UGL	SBK-93-126
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	CHBR3	DDN	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	2.600	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93127	CHBR3	DDQA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	2.600	UGL	SBK-93-127

Table H8
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

RINSATE BLANKS

QC_TYP	Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	IRDMIS Sample Number	Test Name	Lot	Sample Date	Spike Value		Value	Units	Site
F	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	SBK92312	CHBR3	ATY	01-OCT-1992	0.000	<	2.600	UGL	
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	CHCL3	CMI	06-JAN-1993	0.000		3.100	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92115	CHCL3	CMI	05-JAN-1993	0.000		2.800	UGL	SBK-92-115
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92117	CHCL3	CMU	13-JAN-1993	0.000		2.500	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92116	CHCL3	CMI	05-JAN-1993	0.000		2.500	UGL	SBK-92-116
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	CHCL3	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000		1.700	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	CHCL3	DDN	15-FEB-1993	0.000		1.600	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	CHCL3	CMU	13-JAN-1993	0.000		1.400	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93127	CHCL3	DDQA	03-MAR-1993	0.000		1.100	UGL	SBK-93-127
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	CHCL3	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000		0.710	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	CHCL3	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000		0.560	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	CHCL3	CMH	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92311	CHCL3	ATX	29-SEP-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-311
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		V1AW*286	CHCL3	CMF	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-117
F	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92312	CHCL3	ATY	01-OCT-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93126	CHCL3	DDQA	02-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-126
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92115	CL2BZ	CMI	05-JAN-1993	0.000	<	10.000	UGL	SBK-92-115
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	CL2BZ	DDN	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	10.000	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93126	CL2BZ	DDQA	02-MAR-1993	0.000	<	10.000	UGL	SBK-93-126
F	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92312	CL2BZ	ATY	01-OCT-1992	0.000	<	10.000	UGL	
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	CL2BZ	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	10.000	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93127	CL2BZ	DDQA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	10.000	UGL	SBK-93-127
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	CL2BZ	CMH	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	10.000	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	CL2BZ	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	10.000	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	CL2BZ	CMU	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	10.000	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	CL2BZ	CMI	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	10.000	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92311	CL2BZ	ATX	29-SEP-1992	0.000	<	10.000	UGL	SBK-92-311
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	CL2BZ	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	10.000	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92116	CL2BZ	CMI	05-JAN-1993	0.000	<	10.000	UGL	SBK-92-116
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92117	CL2BZ	CMU	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	10.000	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		V1AW*286	CL2BZ	CMF	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	10.000	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	CLC6H5	CMH	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92311	CLC6H5	ATX	29-SEP-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-311
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	CLC6H5	CMI	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-313
F	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92312	CLC6H5	ATY	01-OCT-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92115	CLC6H5	CMI	05-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-115
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	CLC6H5	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92116	CLC6H5	CMI	05-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-116
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92117	CLC6H5	CMU	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	CLC6H5	CMU	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	CLC6H5	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-121

Table H8
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

RINSATE BLANKS

QC_TYP	Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	IRDMIS Sample Number	Test Name	Lot	Sample Date	Spike Value		Value	Units	Site
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	V1AW*286	CLC6H5	CMF	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	CLC6H5	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	CLC6H5	DDN	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93126	CLC6H5	DDQA	02-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-126
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93127	CLC6H5	DDQA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-127
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	CS2	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	CS2	DDN	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93126	CS2	DDQA	02-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-126
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93127	CS2	DDQA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-127
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	CS2	CMH	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		V1AW*286	CS2	CMF	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	CS2	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92117	CS2	CMU	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-117
F	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92312	CS2	ATY	01-OCT-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92115	CS2	CMI	05-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-115
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92311	CS2	ATX	29-SEP-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-311
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	CS2	CMI	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	CS2	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92116	CS2	CMI	05-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-116
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	CS2	CMU	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	DBRCLM	CMH	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.670	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		V1AW*286	DBRCLM	CMF	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.670	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	DBRCLM	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.670	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	DBRCLM	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.670	UGL	SBK-93-123
F	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92312	DBRCLM	ATY	01-OCT-1992	0.000	<	0.670	UGL	
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92311	DBRCLM	ATX	29-SEP-1992	0.000	<	0.670	UGL	SBK-92-311
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	DBRCLM	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.670	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	DBRCLM	CMI	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.670	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92116	DBRCLM	CMI	05-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.670	UGL	SBK-92-116
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92117	DBRCLM	CMU	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.670	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	DBRCLM	CMU	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.670	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92115	DBRCLM	CMI	05-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.670	UGL	SBK-92-115
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93127	DBRCLM	DDQA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.670	UGL	SBK-93-127
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	DBRCLM	DDN	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.670	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93126	DBRCLM	DDQA	02-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.670	UGL	SBK-93-126
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93126	ETC6H5	DDQA	02-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-126
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	ETC6H5	DDN	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		V1AW*286	ETC6H5	CMF	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92311	ETC6H5	ATX	29-SEP-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-311
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	ETC6H5	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-122

R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	SBK92313	ETC6H5	CMI	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.500 UGL	SBK-92-313

Table H8
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

RINSATE BLANKS

QC_TYP	Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	IRDMIS Sample Number	Test Name	Lot	Sample Date	Spike Value		Value	Units	Site
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	SBK93121	ETC6H5	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92116	ETC6H5	CMI	05-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-116
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92117	ETC6H5	CMU	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	ETC6H5	CMU	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92115	ETC6H5	CMI	05-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-115
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93127	ETC6H5	DDQA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-127
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	ETC6H5	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	ETC6H5	CMH	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-120
F	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92312	ETC6H5	ATY	01-OCT-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	MEC6H5	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000		4.200	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	MEC6H5	CMI	06-JAN-1993	0.000		0.770	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92115	MEC6H5	CMI	05-JAN-1993	0.000		0.740	UGL	SBK-92-115
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92116	MEC6H5	CMI	05-JAN-1993	0.000		0.710	UGL	SBK-92-116
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92117	MEC6H5	CMU	13-JAN-1993	0.000		0.510	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		V1AW*286	MEC6H5	CMF	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-117
F	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92312	MEC6H5	ATY	01-OCT-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93127	MEC6H5	DDQA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-127
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92311	MEC6H5	ATX	29-SEP-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-311
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	MEC6H5	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	MEC6H5	CMU	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	MEC6H5	CMH	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93126	MEC6H5	DDQA	02-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-126
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	MEC6H5	DDN	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	MEC6H5	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93126	MEK	DDQA	02-MAR-1993	0.000	<	6.400	UGL	SBK-93-126
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	MEK	DDN	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	6.400	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	MEK	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	6.400	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		V1AW*286	MEK	CMF	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	6.400	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93127	MEK	DDQA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	6.400	UGL	SBK-93-127
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	MEK	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	6.400	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92311	MEK	ATX	29-SEP-1992	0.000	<	6.400	UGL	SBK-92-311
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	MEK	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	6.400	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92116	MEK	CMI	05-JAN-1993	0.000	<	6.400	UGL	SBK-92-116
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92117	MEK	CMU	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	6.400	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	MEK	CMI	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	6.400	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	MEK	CMU	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	6.400	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92115	MEK	CMI	05-JAN-1993	0.000	<	6.400	UGL	SBK-92-115
F	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92312	MEK	ATY	01-OCT-1992	0.000	<	6.400	UGL	
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	MEK	CMH	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	6.400	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		V1AW*286	MIBK	CMF	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	3.000	UGL	SBK-92-117
F	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92312	MIBK	ATY	01-OCT-1992	0.000	<	3.000	UGL	

Table H8
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

RINSATE BLANKS

QC_TYP	Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	IRDMIS Sample Number	Test Name	Lot	Sample Date	Spike Value		Value	Units	Site
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	SBK93127	MIBK	DDQA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	3.000	UGL	SBK-93-127
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	MIBK	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	3.000	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92311	MIBK	ATX	29-SEP-1992	0.000	<	3.000	UGL	SBK-92-311
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	MIBK	CMU	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	3.000	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	MIBK	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	3.000	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92116	MIBK	CMI	05-JAN-1993	0.000	<	3.000	UGL	SBK-92-116
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92117	MIBK	CMU	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	3.000	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	MIBK	CMI	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	3.000	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92115	MIBK	CMI	05-JAN-1993	0.000	<	3.000	UGL	SBK-92-115
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	MIBK	CMH	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	3.000	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93126	MIBK	DDQA	02-MAR-1993	0.000	<	3.000	UGL	SBK-93-126
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	MIBK	DDN	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	3.000	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	MIBK	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	3.000	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	MNBK	DDN	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	3.600	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	MNBK	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	3.600	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		V1AW*286	MNBK	CMF	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	3.600	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	MNBK	CMH	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	3.600	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92115	MNBK	CMI	05-JAN-1993	0.000	<	3.600	UGL	SBK-92-115
F	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92312	MNBK	ATY	01-OCT-1992	0.000	<	3.600	UGL	
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93126	MNBK	DDQA	02-MAR-1993	0.000	<	3.600	UGL	SBK-93-126
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93127	MNBK	DDQA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	3.600	UGL	SBK-93-127
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	MNBK	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	3.600	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92311	MNBK	ATX	29-SEP-1992	0.000	<	3.600	UGL	SBK-92-311
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	MNBK	CMU	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	3.600	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	MNBK	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	3.600	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92116	MNBK	CMI	05-JAN-1993	0.000	<	3.600	UGL	SBK-92-116
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92117	MNBK	CMU	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	3.600	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	MNBK	CMI	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	3.600	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	OMCTSX	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000		7.000	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93127	STYR	DDQA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-127
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	STYR	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	STYR	DDN	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		V1AW*286	STYR	CMF	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92115	STYR	CMI	05-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-115
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	STYR	CMI	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	STYR	CMH	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92117	STYR	CMU	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93126	STYR	DDQA	02-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-126
F	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92312	STYR	ATY	01-OCT-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	STYR	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92311	STYR	ATX	29-SEP-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-311

Table H8
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

RINSATE BLANKS

QC_TYP	Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	IRDMIS Sample Number	Test Name	Lot	Sample Date	Spike Value		Value	Units	Site
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	SBK92314	STYR	CMU	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	STYR	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92116	STYR	CMI	05-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-116
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		V1AW*286	T13DCP	CMF	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.700	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	T13DCP	CMH	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.700	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	T13DCP	CMI	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.700	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93126	T13DCP	DDQA	02-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.700	UGL	SBK-93-126
F	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92312	T13DCP	ATY	01-OCT-1992	0.000	<	0.700	UGL	
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	T13DCP	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.700	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92311	T13DCP	ATX	29-SEP-1992	0.000	<	0.700	UGL	SBK-92-311
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	T13DCP	CMU	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.700	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	T13DCP	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.700	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92116	T13DCP	CMI	05-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.700	UGL	SBK-92-116
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92117	T13DCP	CMU	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.700	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92115	T13DCP	CMI	05-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.700	UGL	SBK-92-115
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93127	T13DCP	DDQA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.700	UGL	SBK-93-127
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	T13DCP	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.700	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	T13DCP	DDN	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.700	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	TCLEA	DDN	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.510	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	TCLEA	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.510	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		V1AW*286	TCLEA	CMF	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.510	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93126	TCLEA	DDQA	02-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.510	UGL	SBK-93-126
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93127	TCLEA	DDQA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.510	UGL	SBK-93-127
F	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92312	TCLEA	ATY	01-OCT-1992	0.000	<	0.510	UGL	
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92115	TCLEA	CMI	05-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.510	UGL	SBK-92-115
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	TCLEA	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.510	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92311	TCLEA	ATX	29-SEP-1992	0.000	<	0.510	UGL	SBK-92-311
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	TCLEA	CMU	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.510	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	TCLEA	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.510	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92116	TCLEA	CMI	05-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.510	UGL	SBK-92-116
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92117	TCLEA	CMU	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.510	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	TCLEA	CMI	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.510	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	TCLEA	CMH	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.510	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		V1AW*286	TCLEE	CMF	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	1.600	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92311	TCLEE	ATX	29-SEP-1992	0.000	<	1.600	UGL	SBK-92-311
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	TCLEE	CMU	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	1.600	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93127	TCLEE	DDQA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	1.600	UGL	SBK-93-127
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93126	TCLEE	DDQA	02-MAR-1993	0.000	<	1.600	UGL	SBK-93-126
F	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92312	TCLEE	ATY	01-OCT-1992	0.000	<	1.600	UGL	
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92115	TCLEE	CMI	05-JAN-1993	0.000	<	1.600	UGL	SBK-92-115
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	TCLEE	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	1.600	UGL	SBK-93-122

Table H8
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

RINSATE BLANKS

QC_TYP	Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	IRDMIS Sample Number	Test Name	Lot	Sample Date	Spike Value		Value	Units	Site
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	SBK93121	TCLEE	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	1.600	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92116	TCLEE	CMI	05-JAN-1993	0.000	<	1.600	UGL	SBK-92-116
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92117	TCLEE	CMU	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	1.600	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	TCLEE	CMI	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	1.600	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	TCLEE	CMH	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	1.600	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	TCLEE	DDN	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	1.600	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	TCLEE	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	1.600	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	TRCLE	DDN	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	TRCLE	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		V1AW*286	TRCLE	CMF	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	TRCLE	CMH	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93127	TRCLE	DDQA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-127
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93126	TRCLE	DDQA	02-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-126
F	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92312	TRCLE	ATY	01-OCT-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92115	TRCLE	CMI	05-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-115
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	TRCLE	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92311	TRCLE	ATX	29-SEP-1992	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-311
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	TRCLE	CMU	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	TRCLE	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92116	TRCLE	CMI	05-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-116
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92117	TRCLE	CMU	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	TRCLE	CMI	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	UNK017	CMI	06-JAN-1993	0.000		10.000	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92115	UNK017	CMI	05-JAN-1993	0.000		10.000	UGL	SBK-92-115
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92120	XYLEN	CMH	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.840	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93123	XYLEN	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.840	UGL	SBK-93-123
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93125	XYLEN	DDN	15-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.840	UGL	SBK-93-125
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93127	XYLEN	DDQA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.840	UGL	SBK-93-127
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93126	XYLEN	DDQA	02-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.840	UGL	SBK-93-126
F	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92312	XYLEN	ATY	01-OCT-1992	0.000	<	0.840	UGL	
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92115	XYLEN	CMI	05-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.840	UGL	SBK-92-115
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93122	XYLEN	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.840	UGL	SBK-93-122
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92311	XYLEN	ATX	29-SEP-1992	0.000	<	0.840	UGL	SBK-92-311
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92314	XYLEN	CMU	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.840	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93121	XYLEN	DDMA	12-FEB-1993	0.000	<	0.840	UGL	SBK-93-121
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92116	XYLEN	CMI	05-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.840	UGL	SBK-92-116
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92117	XYLEN	CMU	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.840	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK92313	XYLEN	CMI	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.840	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		V1AW*286	XYLEN	CMF	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.840	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	PETN/NG IN WATER BY HPLC	UW19	SBK93127	NG	DMCA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	10.000	UGL	SBK-93-127

Table H8
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

RINSATE BLANKS

QC_TYP	Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	IRDMIS Sample Number	Test Name	Lot	Sample Date	Spike Value		Value	Units	Site
R	PETN/NG IN WATER BY HPLC	UW19	SR2601X1	NG	XZS	27-OCT-1992	0.000	<	10.000	UGL	
R	PETN/NG IN WATER BY HPLC		WR2601X1	NG	XZS	23-OCT-1992	0.000	<	10.000	UGL	
R	PETN/NG IN WATER BY HPLC		DR2601X1	NG	XZS	23-OCT-1992	0.000	<	10.000	UGL	
R	PETN/NG IN WATER BY HPLC		SBK92314	NG	XZZ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	10.000	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	PETN/NG IN WATER BY HPLC		SBK92118	NG	XZW	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	10.000	UGL	SBK-92-118
R	PETN/NG IN WATER BY HPLC		SBK92120	NG	XZX	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	10.000	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	PETN/NG IN WATER BY HPLC		SBK92119	NG	XZW	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	10.000	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	PETN/NG IN WATER BY HPLC		SBK92117	NG	XZW	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	10.000	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	PETN/NG IN WATER BY HPLC		SBK92114	NG	XZS	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	10.000	UGL	
R	PETN/NG IN WATER BY HPLC		SBK92113	NG	XZR	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	10.000	UGL	
R	PETN/NG IN WATER BY HPLC		SBK93128	NG	DMFA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	10.000	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	PETN/NG IN WATER BY HPLC		SBK92313	NG	XZY	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	10.000	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	PETN/NG IN WATER BY HPLC		SR2601X1	PETN	XZS	27-OCT-1992	0.000	<	20.000	UGL	
R	PETN/NG IN WATER BY HPLC		SBK92119	PETN	XZW	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	20.000	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	PETN/NG IN WATER BY HPLC		SBK92120	PETN	XZX	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	20.000	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	PETN/NG IN WATER BY HPLC		SBK92118	PETN	XZW	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	20.000	UGL	SBK-92-118
R	PETN/NG IN WATER BY HPLC		SBK92117	PETN	XZW	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	20.000	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	PETN/NG IN WATER BY HPLC		SBK92313	PETN	XZY	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	20.000	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	PETN/NG IN WATER BY HPLC		SBK92314	PETN	XZZ	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	20.000	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	PETN/NG IN WATER BY HPLC		WR2601X1	PETN	XZS	23-OCT-1992	0.000	<	20.000	UGL	
R	PETN/NG IN WATER BY HPLC		SBK93128	PETN	DMFA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	20.000	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	PETN/NG IN WATER BY HPLC		DR2601X1	PETN	XZS	23-OCT-1992	0.000	<	20.000	UGL	
R	PETN/NG IN WATER BY HPLC		SBK93127	PETN	DMCA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	20.000	UGL	SBK-93-127
R	PETN/NG IN WATER BY HPLC		SBK92114	PETN	XZS	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	20.000	UGL	
R	PETN/NG IN WATER BY HPLC		SBK92113	PETN	XZR	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	20.000	UGL	
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	SBK92120	135TNB	BRY	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.449	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SR2601X1	135TNB	BRK	27-OCT-1992	0.000	<	0.449	UGL	
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		DR2601X1	135TNB	BRI	23-OCT-1992	0.000	<	0.449	UGL	
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK92113	135TNB	BRI	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	0.449	UGL	
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK92114	135TNB	BRI	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	0.449	UGL	
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK93128	135TNB	CZOA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.449	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK92118	135TNB	BRX	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.449	UGL	SBK-92-118
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK92309	135TNB	AFY	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	0.449	UGL	
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		WR2601X1	135TNB	BRI	23-OCT-1992	0.000	<	0.449	UGL	
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK92117	135TNB	BRX	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.449	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK92313	135TNB	CZA	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.449	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK92314	135TNB	CZC	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.449	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK93127	135TNB	CZLA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.449	UGL	SBK-93-127
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK92119	135TNB	BRX	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.449	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK92120	13DNB	BRY	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.611	UGL	SBK-92-120

Table H8
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

RINSATE BLANKS

QC_TYP	Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	IRDMIS Sample Number	Test Name	Lot	Sample Date	Spike Value		Value	Units	Site
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	SBK93127	13DNB	CZLA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.611	UGL	SBK-93-127
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK93128	13DNB	CZOA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.611	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK92118	13DNB	BRX	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.611	UGL	SBK-92-118
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK92309	13DNB	AFY	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	0.611	UGL	
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK92113	13DNB	BRI	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	0.611	UGL	
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK92114	13DNB	BRI	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	0.611	UGL	
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		DR2601X1	13DNB	BRI	23-OCT-1992	0.000	<	0.611	UGL	
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		WR2601X1	13DNB	BRI	23-OCT-1992	0.000	<	0.611	UGL	
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SR2601X1	13DNB	BRK	27-OCT-1992	0.000	<	0.611	UGL	
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK92117	13DNB	BRX	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.611	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK92313	13DNB	CZA	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.611	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK92314	13DNB	CZC	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.611	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK92119	13DNB	BRX	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.611	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK92119	246TNT	BRX	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.635	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK92314	246TNT	CZC	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.635	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK92120	246TNT	BRY	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.635	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK92313	246TNT	CZA	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.635	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK93127	246TNT	CZLA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.635	UGL	SBK-93-127
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK93128	246TNT	CZOA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.635	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK92118	246TNT	BRX	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.635	UGL	SBK-92-118
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK92114	246TNT	BRI	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	0.635	UGL	
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		DR2601X1	246TNT	BRI	23-OCT-1992	0.000	<	0.635	UGL	
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		WR2601X1	246TNT	BRI	23-OCT-1992	0.000	<	0.635	UGL	
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SR2601X1	246TNT	BRK	27-OCT-1992	0.000	<	0.635	UGL	
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK92309	246TNT	AFY	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	0.635	UGL	
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK92113	246TNT	BRI	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	0.635	UGL	
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK92117	246TNT	BRX	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.635	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK92120	24DNT	BRY	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.064	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK92119	24DNT	BRX	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.064	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		WR2601X1	24DNT	BRI	23-OCT-1992	0.000	<	0.064	UGL	
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK92114	24DNT	BRI	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	0.064	UGL	
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK92309	24DNT	AFY	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	0.064	UGL	
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK92113	24DNT	BRI	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	0.064	UGL	
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK93127	24DNT	CZLA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.064	UGL	SBK-93-127
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK93128	24DNT	CZOA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.064	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK92118	24DNT	BRX	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.064	UGL	SBK-92-118
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		DR2601X1	24DNT	BRI	23-OCT-1992	0.000	<	0.064	UGL	
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SR2601X1	24DNT	BRK	27-OCT-1992	0.000	<	0.064	UGL	
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK92314	24DNT	CZC	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.064	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK92117	24DNT	BRX	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.064	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK92313	24DNT	CZA	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.064	UGL	SBK-92-313

Table H8
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

RINSATE BLANKS

QC_TYP	Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	IRDMIS Sample Number	Test Name	Lot	Sample Date	Spike Value		Value	Units	Site
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	SBK92119	26DNT	BRX	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.074	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK92120	26DNT	BRY	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.074	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK93128	26DNT	CZOA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.074	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK92118	26DNT	BRX	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.074	UGL	SBK-92-118
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK92309	26DNT	AFY	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	0.074	UGL	
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK92113	26DNT	BRI	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	0.074	UGL	
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK92114	26DNT	BRI	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	0.074	UGL	
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		DR2601X1	26DNT	BRI	23-OCT-1992	0.000	<	0.074	UGL	
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		WR2601X1	26DNT	BRI	23-OCT-1992	0.000	<	0.074	UGL	
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SR2601X1	26DNT	BRK	27-OCT-1992	0.000	<	0.074	UGL	
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK93127	26DNT	CZLA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.074	UGL	SBK-93-127
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK92117	26DNT	BRX	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.074	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK92313	26DNT	CZA	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.074	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK92314	26DNT	CZC	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.074	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK92118	HMX	BRX	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	1.210	UGL	SBK-92-118
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK92119	HMX	BRX	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	1.210	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK92314	HMX	CZC	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	1.210	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK92313	HMX	CZA	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	1.210	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK92117	HMX	BRX	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	1.210	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK93127	HMX	CZLA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	1.210	UGL	SBK-93-127
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK93128	HMX	CZOA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	1.210	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK92309	HMX	AFY	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	1.210	UGL	
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK92113	HMX	BRI	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	1.210	UGL	
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK92114	HMX	BRI	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	1.210	UGL	
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		DR2601X1	HMX	BRI	23-OCT-1992	0.000	<	1.210	UGL	
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		WR2601X1	HMX	BRI	23-OCT-1992	0.000	<	1.210	UGL	
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SR2601X1	HMX	BRK	27-OCT-1992	0.000	<	1.210	UGL	
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK92120	HMX	BRY	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	1.210	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK92119	NB	BRX	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.645	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK92120	NB	BRY	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.645	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SR2601X1	NB	BRK	27-OCT-1992	0.000	<	0.645	UGL	
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		DR2601X1	NB	BRI	23-OCT-1992	0.000	<	0.645	UGL	
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK93127	NB	CZLA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.645	UGL	SBK-93-127
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK93128	NB	CZOA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	0.645	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK92117	NB	BRX	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.645	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK92113	NB	BRI	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	0.645	UGL	
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK92309	NB	AFY	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	0.645	UGL	
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK92114	NB	BRI	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	0.645	UGL	
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		WR2601X1	NB	BRI	23-OCT-1992	0.000	<	0.645	UGL	
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK92313	NB	CZA	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.645	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK92314	NB	CZC	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	0.645	UGL	SBK-92-314

Table H8
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

RINSATE BLANKS

QC_TYP	Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	IRDMIS Sample Number	Test Name	Lot	Sample Date	Spike Value		Value	Units	Site
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	SBK92118	NB	BRX	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	0.645	UGL	SBK-92-118
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK92120	RDX	BRY	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	1.170	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK93127	RDX	CZLA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	1.170	UGL	SBK-93-127
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK92117	RDX	BRX	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	1.170	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK93128	RDX	CZOA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	1.170	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK92309	RDX	AFY	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	1.170	UGL	
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK92113	RDX	BRI	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	1.170	UGL	
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK92114	RDX	BRI	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	1.170	UGL	
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		DR2601X1	RDX	BRI	23-OCT-1992	0.000	<	1.170	UGL	
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		WR2601X1	RDX	BRI	23-OCT-1992	0.000	<	1.170	UGL	
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SR2601X1	RDX	BRK	27-OCT-1992	0.000	<	1.170	UGL	
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK92313	RDX	CZA	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	1.170	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK92314	RDX	CZC	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	1.170	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK92119	RDX	BRX	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	1.170	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK92118	RDX	BRX	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	1.170	UGL	SBK-92-118
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK92120	TETRYL	BRY	16-DEC-1992	0.000	<	2.490	UGL	SBK-92-120
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		WR2601X1	TETRYL	BRI	23-OCT-1992	0.000	<	2.490	UGL	
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK92114	TETRYL	BRI	22-OCT-1992	0.000	<	2.490	UGL	
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK92309	TETRYL	AFY	22-SEP-1992	0.000	<	2.490	UGL	
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK92113	TETRYL	BRI	21-OCT-1992	0.000	<	2.490	UGL	
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK92117	TETRYL	BRX	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	2.490	UGL	SBK-92-117
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		DR2601X1	TETRYL	BRI	23-OCT-1992	0.000	<	2.490	UGL	
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SR2601X1	TETRYL	BRK	27-OCT-1992	0.000	<	2.490	UGL	
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK92313	TETRYL	CZA	06-JAN-1993	0.000	<	2.490	UGL	SBK-92-313
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK92314	TETRYL	CZC	13-JAN-1993	0.000	<	2.490	UGL	SBK-92-314
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK92119	TETRYL	BRX	11-DEC-1992	0.000	<	2.490	UGL	SBK-92-119
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK92118	TETRYL	BRX	10-DEC-1992	0.000	<	2.490	UGL	SBK-92-118
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK93128	TETRYL	CZOA	11-MAR-1993	0.000	<	1.560	UGL	SBK-93-128
R	EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK93127	TETRYL	CZLA	03-MAR-1993	0.000	<	1.560	UGL	SBK-93-127

→

TABLE H–9
LIST OF AEC METHODS

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

USATHAMA METHOD NUMBER	COMPARABLE EPA METHOD NUMBER	METHOD DESCRIPTION
JB01	7471	MERCURY IN SOIL BY CVAA.
JD15	7740	SELENIUM IN SOIL BY GFAA.
JD16	7911	VANADIUM IN SOIL BY GFAA.
JD17	7421	LEAD IN SOIL BY GFAA.
JD18	7761	SILVER IN SOIL BY GFAA.
JD19	7060	ARSENIC IN SOIL BY GFAA.
JS16	6010	METALS IN SOIL BY ICP.
LH10	8080	ORGANOCHLORINE PESTICIDES IN SOIL BY GC–EC.
LH11	8150	HERBICIDES IN SOIL BY GC–EC.
LH16	8080	PCBS IN SOIL BY GC–EC.
LM18	8270	EXTRACTABLE ORGANICS IN SOIL BY GC/MS.
LM19	8240	VOLATILE ORGANICS IN SOIL BY GC/MS.
LW12	8090	NITROAROMATICS IN SOIL BY HPLC.
SB01	245.1	MERCURY IN WATER BY CVAA.
SD20	239.2	LEAD IN WATER BY GFAA.
SD21	270.2	SELENIUM IN WATER BY GFAA.
SD22	206.2	ARSENIC IN WATER BY GFAA.
SD23	272.2	SILVER IN WATER BY GFAA.
SS10	200.7	METALS IN WATER BY ICAP.
TF22	300.0	NITRATE/NITRITE IN WATER BY AUTO ANALYZER.
TF26	351.2	TKN IN WATER BY AUTOANALYZER.
TF27	365.1	TOTAL PHOSPHATE IN WATER BY AUTOANALYZER.
TT10	300.0	ANIONS IN WATER BY IC.
UH02	608	PCBs IN WATER BY GC.
UH13	608	ORGANOCHLORINE PESTICIDES IN WATER BY GC.
UH14	615	HERBICIDES IN WATER BY HPLC.
UM18	625	EXTRACTABLE ORGANICS IN WATER BY GC/MS.
UM20	624	VOLATILES IN WATER BY GC/MS.
UW19		PETN/NITROGLYCERIN IN WATER.
UW32	609	NITROAROMATICS IN WATER BY HPLC.

TABLE H-10
SUMMARY OF CERTIFIED REPORTING LIMITS
OF VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

COMPOUND	CERTIFIED REPORTING LIMIT USATHAMA METHOD UM20 WATER ANALYSIS (ug/L)	 USATHAMA METHOD LM19 SOIL ANALYSIS (ug/g)
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	0.5	0.0044
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	1.2	0.0054
1,1-Dichloroethene	0.5	0.0039
1,1-Dichloroethane	0.68	0.0023
1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	0.5	0.0030
1,2-Dichloroethane	0.5	0.0017
1,2-Dichloropropane	0.5	0.0029
Acetone	13	0.017
Bromodichloromethane	0.59	0.0029
Cis-1,3-dichloropropene	0.58	0.0032
Vinyl acetate	8.3	0.0032
Vinyl Chloride	2.6	0.0062
Chloroethane	1.9	0.012
Benzene	0.5	0.0015
Carbon Tetrachloride	0.58	0.007
Methylene Chloride	2.3	0.012
Bromomethane	5.8	0.0057
Chlormethane	3.2	0.0088
Bromoform	2.6	0.0069
Dichloromethane	2.3	0.012
Chloroform	0.5	0.00087
Chlorobenzene	0.5	0.00086
Carbon Disulfide	0.5	0.0044
Dibromochloromethane	0.67	0.0031
Ethylbenzene	0.5	0.0017
Toluene	0.5	0.00078
Methyl Ethyl Ketone	6.4	0.070
Methyl Isobutyl Ketone	3.0	0.027
Methyl-n-Butyl Ketone	3.6	0.032
Styrene	0.5	0.0026
Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.7	0.0028
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.51	0.0024
Tetrachloroethane	1.6	0.00081
Trichloroethene	0.5	0.0028
Xylene (total)	0.84	0.0015

TABLE H-11
SUMMARY OF CERTIFIED REPORTING LIMITS
SEMIVOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

COMPOUND	CERTIFIED REPORTING LIMIT USATHAMA METHOD UM20 WATER ANALYSIS (ug/L)	USATHAMA METHOD LM19 SOIL ANALYSIS (ug/g)
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	1.8	0.04
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	1.7	0.11
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	1.7	0.13
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	1.7	0.098
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	5.2	0.1
2,4-Dichlorophenol	2.9	0.18
2,4-Dimethylphenol	5.8	0.69
2,4-Dinitrophenol	21	1.2
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	4.5	0.14
2-Chlorophenol	0.99	0.06
2-Chloronaphthalene	0.5	0.036
2-Methylnaphthalene	1.7	0.049
2-Nitroaniline	4.3	0.062
2-Methylphenol	3.9	0.029
2-Nitrophenol	3.7	0.14
3,3-Dichlorobenzidine	12	6.3
3-Nitroaniline	4.9	0.45
2-Methyl-4,6-Dinitrophenol	17	0.55
4-Bromophenylphenyl ether	4.2	0.033
3-Methyl-4-Chlorophenol	4.0	0.095
4-Chlorophenylphenyl ether	5.1	0.033
4-Methylphenol	0.52	0.24
4-Nitroaniline	5.2	0.41
4-Nitrophenol	12	1.4
Acenaphthene	1.7	0.036
Acenaphthylene	0.5	0.033
Anthracene	0.5	0.033
bis (2-Chlorethoxy) methane	1.5	0.059
bis (2-Chloroisopropyl) ether	5.3	0.2
bis (2-Chloroethyl) ether	1.9	0.033
bis (2-Ethylhexyl) phthalate	4.8	0.62
Benzo(a)anthracene	1.6	0.17
Benzo(a)pyrene	4.7	0.25
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	5.4	0.21
Butylbenzylphthalate	3.4	0.17

TABLE H-11
SUMMARY OF CERTIFIED REPORTING LIMITS
SEMIVOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

COMPOUND	CERTIFIED REPORTING LIMIT USATHAMA METHOD UM18 WATER ANALYSIS (ug/L)	 USATHAMA METHOD LM18 SOIL ANALYSIS (ug/g)
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	6.1	0.25
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.87	0.066
Benzyl Alcohol	0.72	0.19
Butylbenzylphthalate	3.4	0.17
Chrysene	2.4	0.12
Hexachlorobenzene	1.6	0.033
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	8.6	6.2
Hexachloroethane	1.5	0.15
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	6.5	0.21
Dibenzofuran	1.7	0.035
Diethylphthalate	2.0	0.24
Dimethylphthalate	1.5	0.17
Di-n-butylphthalate	3.7	0.061
Fluoranthene	3.3	0.068
Fluorene	3.7	0.033
Hexachlorobutadiene	3.4	0.23
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	8.6	0.29
Isophorone	4.8	0.033
Naphthalene	0.5	0.037
Nitrobenzene	0.5	0.045
N-Nitroso di-n-propylamine	4.4	0.2
N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	3.0	0.19
Pentachlorophenol	18	1.3
Phenanthrene	0.5	0.033
Phenol	9.2	0.11
Pyrene	2.8	0.033
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	4.2	0.17
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	0.79	0.085
4-Chloroaniline	7.3	0.81
Di-n-octylphthalate	15	0.19
Carbazole		

TABLE H-12
SUMMARY OF CERTIFIED REPORTING LIMITS
OF INORGANICS

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

PARAMETER	MATRIX	USATHAMA METHOD NUMBER	METHOD DESCRIPTION	CERTIFIED REPORTING LIMIT
ALUMINUM (Al)	WATER	SS10	ICP	141 ug/L
	SOIL	JS16	ICP	2.35 ug/g
	WATER	SS10	ICP	38 ug/L
ANTIMONY (Sb)	SOIL	JS16	ICP	7.14 ug/g
	WATER	SD28	GFAA	3.03 ug/L
	SOIL	JD25	GFAA	1.09 ug/g
ARSENIC (As)	WATER	SD22	GFAA	2.54 ug/L
	SOIL	JD19	GFAA	0.25 ug/g
BARIUM (Ba)	WATER	SS10	ICP	5.0 ug/L
	SOIL	JS16	ICP	5.18 ug/g
BERYLLIUM (Be)	WATER	SS10	ICP	5.0 ug/L
	SOIL	JS16	ICP	0.50 ug/g
CADMIUM (Cd)	WATER	SS10	ICP	4.01 ug/L
	SOIL	JS16	ICP	0.70 ug/g
CALCIUM (Ca)	WATER	SS10	ICP	500 ug/L
	SOIL	JS16	ICP	100 ug/g
CHROMIUM (Cr)	WATER	SS10	ICP	6.02 ug/L
	SOIL	JS16	ICP	4.05 ug/g
COBALT (Co)	WATER	SS10	ICP	25 ug/L
	SOIL	JS16	ICP	1.42 ug/g
COPPER (Cu)	WATER	SS10	ICP	8.09 ug/L
	SOIL	JS16	ICP	0.965 ug/g
IRON (Fe)	WATER	SS10	ICP	42.7 ug/L
	SOIL	JS16	ICP	3.68 ug/g
	WATER	SS10	ICP	18.6 ug/L
LEAD (Pb)	SOIL	JS16	ICP	10.5 ug/g
	WATER	SD20	GFAA	1.26 ug/L
	SOIL	JD17	GFAA	0.177 ug/g
MAGNESIUM (Mg)	WATER	SS10	ICP	500 ug/L
	SOIL	JS16	ICP	100 ug/g
MANGANESE (Mn)	WATER	SS10	ICP	2.75 ug/L
	SOIL	JS16	ICP	2.05 ug/g
MERCURY (Hg)	WATER	SB01	CVAA	0.243 ug/L
	SOIL	JB01	CVAA	0.05 ug/g
NICKEL (Ni)	WATER	SS10	ICP	34.3 ug/L
	SOIL	JS16	ICP	1.71 ug/g

TABLE H-12
SUMMARY OF CERTIFIED REPORTING LIMITS
OF INORGANICS

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

PARAMETER	MATRIX	USATHAMA METHOD NUMBER	METHOD DESCRIPTION	CERTIFIED REPORTING LIMIT
POTASSIUM (K)	WATER	SS10	ICP	375 ug/L
	SOIL	JS16	ICP	100 ug/g
SELENIUM (Se)	WATER	SD21	GFAA	3.02 ug/L
	SOIL	JS16	GFAA	2.42 ug/g
SILVER (Ag)	WATER	SD23	GFAA	0.25 ug/L
	SOIL	JD18	GFAA	.025 ug/g
	WATER	SS10	ICP	4.60 ug/L
	SOIL	JS16	ICP	0.589 ug/g
SODIUM (Na)	WATER	SS10	ICP	500 ug/L
	SOIL	JS16	ICP	100 ug/g
THALLIUM (Tl)	WATER	SD09	GFAA	6.99 ug/L
	SOIL	JD24	GFAA	6.62 ug/g
VANADIUM (V)	WATER	SS10	ICP	11.0 ug/L
	SOIL	JS16	ICP	3.39 ug/g
ZINC (Zn)	WATER	SS10	ICP	21.1 ug/L
	SOIL	JS16	ICP	8.03 ug/g

TABLE H-13
SUMMARY OF CERTIFIED REPORTING LIMITS
OF EXPLOSIVE COMPOUNDS

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

COMPOUND	CERTIFIED REPORTING LIMIT	
	USATHAMA METHOD UW32 WATER ANALYSIS (ug/L)	USATHAMA METHOD LW12 SOIL ANALYSIS (ug/g)
1,3-Dinitrobenzene	0.611	0.496
1,3,5-Trinitrobenzene	0.449	0.488
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	0.0637	0.424
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	0.0738	0.524
2,4,6-Trinitrotoluene	0.635	0.456
HMX	1.21	0.666
RDX	1.17	0.587
Tetryl	1.56	0.731
Nitrobenzene	0.645	2.41
Nitroglycerine	10.0	4.00
PETN	20.0	4.00

Note: USATHAMA METHOD UW19 is used for the water analysis of PETN and nitroglycerine.

TABLE H-14
SUMMARY OF CERTIFIED REPORTING LIMITS
OF PESTICIDE COMPOUNDS

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

COMPOUND	CERTIFIED REPORTING LIMIT	
	USATHAMA METHOD UH13 WATER ANALYSIS (ug/L)	USATHAMA METHOD LH10 SOIL ANALYSIS (ug/g)
BHC, A	0.039	0.00907
Endosulfan, A	0.023	0.00602
Aldrin	0.092	0.00729
BHC, B	0.024	0.00257
Endosulfan, B	0.023	0.00663
BHC, D	0.029	0.00555
Dieldrin	0.024	0.00629
Endrin	0.024	0.00657
Endrin Aldehyde	0.029	0.0240
Endosulfan Sulfate	0.079	0.00763
Heptachlor	0.042	0.00618
Heptachlor Epoxide	0.025	0.00622
Lindane	0.051	0.00657
Methoxychlor	0.057	0.0711
DDD-PP	0.023	0.00826
DDE-PP	0.027	0.00765
DDT-PP	0.034	0.00739
Toxaphene	1.350	0.444
Chlordane-alpha	0.075	0.005
Chlordane-gamma	0.075	0.005

TABLE H–15
SUMMARY OF CERTIFIED REPORTING LIMITS
OF PCB COMPOUNDS

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

	CERTIFIED REPORTING LIMIT	
COMPOUND	USATHAMA METHOD UH02 WATER ANALYSIS (ug/L)	USATHAMA METHOD LH13 SOIL ANALYSIS (ug/g)
PCB 1016	0.16	0.067
PCB 1221	0.16	0.067
PCB 1232	0.16	0.067
PCB 1242	0.19	0.082
PCB 1248	0.19	0.082
PCB 1254	0.19	0.082
PCB 1260	0.19	0.082

TABLE H-16
SUMMARY OF CERTIFIED REPORTING LIMITS
OF MISCELLANEOUS METHODS

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

PARAMETER	MATRIX	USATHAMA METHOD NUMBER	METHOD DESCRIPTION	CERTIFIED REPORTING LIMIT
TOTAL ORGANIC	WATER	NPD		1000 ug/L
CARBON	SOIL	NPD	GRAVIMETRIC	100 ug/g
ALKALINITY	WATER	NPD	TITRATION	5000 ug/L
HARDNESS	WATER	NPD	EPA METHOD 403	1000 ug/L
TOTAL	WATER	NPD	EPA METHOD 160.2	4000 ug/L
SUSPENDED SOLIDS		NPD		
TOTAL PETROLEUM	WATER	NPD	EPA METHOD 418.1	200 ug/L
HYDROCARBONS	SOIL	NPD	EPA METHOD 418.1	20 ug/g
CARBONATE/	WATER	NPD	EPA METHOD 310.1	5000 ug/g
BICARBONATE	SOIL	NPD	EPA METHOD 310.1	5000 ug/g
	WATER	TT10	EPA METHOD 300.0	CHLORIDE 2120 ug/L
ANIONS	WATER	TT10	EPA METHOD 300.0	SULFATE 10000 ug/L
	WATER	TF27	EPA METHOD 365.2	PHOSPHATE 13.3 ug/L
	WATER	TF22	AUTO ANALYZER	NO3 AS N 10 ug/L
TOTAL NITRATE	WATER	TF22	EPA METHOD 351.2	10 ug/L
COLIFORMS	WATER	NPD		
TOTAL	SOIL	NPD	EPA METHOD 365.1	2.5 ug/g
PHOSPHOROUS	WATER	NPD	EPA METHOD 365.1	10 ug/L

Note:
NPD = Nonperformance demonstrated

TABLE H17
ASSOCIATED RESULTS OF METHOD AND TRIP BLANK CONTAMINANTS

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

METHOD CODE	TEST NAME	SAMPLE NUMBER	SITE ID	VALUE	UNITS	LOT
00	ALK	MDCS07X1	CSB-7	10000	UGL	DSYA
	ALK	MXCS07X1	CSB-7	8000	UGL	DSYA
99	TDS	MXCS02X2	CSM-93-02A	57000	UGL	DTGA
JD17	PB	DXCS0702	CSD-92-07X	1.630	UGG	CIV
	PB	DXSH0603	SHD-92-06X	0.956	UGG	CIS
	PB	DXSH0905	SHD-92-09X	0.827	UGG	CIS
	PB	DXSH1204	SHD-92-12X	0.757	UGG	CIS
	PB	DXSH2405	SHD-92-24X	0.873	UGG	CIT
JS16	AL	DXCS0103	CSD-92-01X	3250	UGG	BXZ
	AL	DXCS0204	CSD-92-02X	2800	UGG	BXZ
	AL	DXCS0202	CSD-92-02X	2210	UGG	BXZ
	AL	DXCS0305	CSD-92-03X	3170	UGG	BXZ
	AL	DXCS0403	CSD-92-03X	2470	UGG	BXZ
	AL	DXCS0300	CSD-92-03X	4960	UGG	BXZ
	AL	DXCS0405	CSD-92-04X	3050	UGG	BXZ
	AL	DXCS0403	CSD-92-04X	2530	UGG	BXZ
	AL	DXCS0400	CSD-92-04X	4520	UGG	BXZ
	AL	DXCS0500	CSD-92-05X	3210	UGG	BXZ
	AL	DXCS0502	CSD-92-05X	2930	UGG	BXZ
	AL	DXCS0504	CSD-92-05X	4120	UGG	BXZ
	AL	DXCS0602	CSD-92-06X	2860	UGG	BXZ
	AL	DXCS0600	CSD-92-06X	3980	UGG	BXZ
	AL	DXCS0604	CSD-92-06X	3190	UGG	BXZ
	AL	DXCS0700	CSD-92-07X	1400	UGG	CTA
	AL	DXCS0702	CSD-92-07X	2870	UGG	CTA
	AL	DXCS0800	CSD-92-08X	2280	UGG	CTA
	AL	DXCS0802	CSD-92-08X	1980	UGG	CTA
	AL	DXCS0900	CSD-92-09X	2420	UGG	CTA
	AL	DXCS0905	CSD-92-09X	3040	UGG	CTA
	AL	DDCS0903	CSD-92-09X	3170	UGG	BXZ
	AL	DXCS1002	CSD-92-10X	2410	UGG	CTA
	AL	DXCS1000	CSD-92-10X	3030	UGG	CTA
	AL	DXCS1100	CSD-92-11X	2240	UGG	CTA
	AL	DXCS1101	CSD-92-11X	1520	UGG	CTA
	AL	DXCS1200	CSD-92-12X	4700	UGG	CTA
	AL	DXCS1300	CSD-92-13X	4200	UGG	CTA
	AL	DXCS1301	CSD-92-13X	3350	UGG	CTA
	AL	DXCS1400	CSD-92-14X	2100	UGG	CTA
	AL	DXGR0100	GRD-92-01X	4450	UGG	BXZ
	AL	DXSH0105	SHD-92-01X	2930	UGG	BXX
	AL	DXSH0203	SHD-92-02X	2390	UGG	BXX
	AL	DXSH0303	SHD-92-03X	2830	UGG	BXX
	AL	DXSH0303	SHD-92-03X	4820	UGG	BXZ
	AL	DXSH0300	SHD-92-03X	1900	UGG	BXX

TABLE H17
ASSOCIATED RESULTS OF METHOD AND TRIP BLANK CONTAMINANTS

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

METHOD CODE	TEST NAME	SAMPLE NUMBER	SITE ID	VALUE	UNITS	LOT
	AL	DXSH0401	SHD-92-04X	1700	UGG	BXX
	AL	DXSH0400	SHD-92-04X	2970	UGG	BXX
	AL	DXSH0502	SHD-92-05X	1270	UGG	BXX
	AL	DXSH0504	SHD-92-05X	640	UGG	BXX
	AL	DXSH0605	SHD-92-06X	1230	UGG	BXX
	AL	DXSH0603	SHD-92-06X	899	UGG	BXX
	AL	DXSH0703	SHD-92-07X	1190	UGG	BXX
	AL	DXSH0700	SHD-92-07X	1290	UGG	BXX
	AL	DXSH0804	SHD-92-08X	1260	UGG	BXX
	AL	DXSH0800	SHD-92-08X	1590	UGG	BXX
	AL	DXSH8007	SHD-92-08X	816	UGG	BXX
	AL	DXSH0903	SHD-92-09X	353	UGG	BXX
	AL	DXSH0900	SHD-92-09X	859	UGG	BXX
	AL	DXSH0905	SHD-92-09X	638	UGG	BXX
	AL	DXSH1005	SHD-92-10X	767	UGG	BXX
	AL	DXSH1003	SHD-92-10X	755	UGG	BXX
	AL	DXSH1000	SHD-92-10X	388	UGG	BXX
	AL	DXSH1204	SHD-92-12X	1830	UGG	BXX
	AL	DXSH1403	SHD-92-14X	2680	UGG	BXY
	AL	DXSH1505	SHD-92-15X	1620	UGG	BXY
	AL	DXSH1503	SHD-92-15X	1220	UGG	BXY
	AL	DXSH1605	SHD-92-16X	1780	UGG	BXY
	AL	DXSH1603	SHD-92-16X	1660	UGG	BXY
	AL	DXSH1705	SHD-92-17X	2290	UGG	BXY
	AL	DXSH1703	SHD-92-17X	2020	UGG	BXY
	AL	DXSH1800	SHD-92-18X	4010	UGG	BXY
	AL	DXSH1902	SHD-92-19X	2800	UGG	BXY
	AL	DXSH1700	SHD-92-19X	2790	UGG	BXY
	AL	DXSH1405	SHD-92-19X	4080	UGG	BXY
	AL	DXSH1900	SHD-92-19X	4150	UGG	BXY
	AL	DDSH2104	SHD-92-21X	4780	UGG	BXZ
	AL	DXSH2305	SHD-92-23X	936	UGG	BXY
	AL	DXSH2303	SHD-92-23X	3980	UGG	BXY
	AL	DXSH2400	SHD-92-24X	3890	UGG	BXY
	AL	DXSH2405	SHD-92-24X	1920	UGG	BXY
	AL	DXSH2500	SHD-92-25X	4150	UGG	BXY
	AL	DXSH2800	SHD-92-28X	3050	UGG	BXZ
	AL	DXSH2902	SHD-92-29X	5270	UGG	BXZ
	AL	DXSH3101	SHD-92-31X	2440	UGG	BXZ
JS16	BA	DXCR0100	CRD-92-01X	16.0	UGG	CTA
	BA	DDCR0100	CRD-92-01X	17.5	UGG	CTA
	BA	DXCR0300	CRD-92-03X	27.7	UGG	CTA
	BA	DXCS0905	CSD-92-09X	20.7	UGG	CTA
	BA	DXCS1002	CSD-92-10X	10.4	UGG	CTA
	BA	DXCS1000	CSD-92-10X	14.7	UGG	CTA
	BA	DXCS1200	CSD-92-12X	17.6	UGG	CTA

TABLE H17
ASSOCIATED RESULTS OF METHOD AND TRIP BLANK CONTAMINANTS

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

METHOD CODE	TEST NAME	SAMPLE NUMBER	SITE ID	VALUE	UNITS	LOT
	BA	DXCS1201	CSD-92-12X	16.1	UGG	CTA
	BA	DXCS1301	CSD-92-13X	10.1	UGG	CTA
	BA	DXCS1300	CSD-92-13X	10.9	UGG	CTA
	BA	DXCS1500	CSD-92-15X	30.9	UGG	CTA
	BA	DXCS1600	CSD-92-16X	18.3	UGG	CTA
	BA	DXCS0200	MAD-92-02X	21.0	UGG	CTA
	BA	DXSH0504	SHD-92-05X	20.9	UGG	BXX
	BA	DXSH0502	SHD-92-05X	31.5	UGG	BXX
	BA	DXSH0603	SHD-92-06X	22.6	UGG	BXX
	BA	DXSH0605	SHD-92-06X	30.4	UGG	BXX
	BA	DXSH0700	SHD-92-07X	27.0	UGG	BXX
	BA	DXSH0703	SHD-92-07X	34.3	UGG	BXX
	BA	DXSH0800	SHD-92-08X	42.7	UGG	BXX
	BA	DXSH0804	SHD-92-08X	44.6	UGG	BXX
	BA	DXSH8007	SHD-92-08X	30.2	UGG	BXX
	BA	DXSH0905	SHD-92-09X	26.0	UGG	BXX
	BA	DXSH0900	SHD-92-09X	34.0	UGG	BXX
	BA	DXSH1003	SHD-92-10X	26.4	UGG	BXX
	BA	DXSH1005	SHD-92-10X	39.3	UGG	BXX
	BA	DXSH1203	SHD-92-12X	44.6	UGG	BXX
	BA	DXSH1203	SHD-92-12X	44.6	UGG	BXX
	BA	DXSH1203	SHD-92-12X	44.6	UGG	BXX
JS16	CA	DXCR0100	CRD-92-01X	232	UGG	CTA
	CA	DDCR0100	CRD-92-01X	197	UGG	CTA
	CA	DXCR0200	CRD-92-02X	1850	UGG	CTA
	CA	DXCR0300	CRD-92-03X	797	UGG	CTA
	CA	DDCS0102	CSD-92-01X	2160	UGG	BXZ
	CA	DXCS0100	CSD-92-01X	6100	UGG	CTA
	CA	DXCS0102	CSD-92-01X	923	UGG	BXZ
	CA	DXCS0103	CSD-92-01X	686	UGG	BXZ
	CA	DXCS0202	CSD-92-02X	453	UGG	BXZ
	CA	DXCS0200	CSD-92-02X	5650	UGG	BXZ
	CA	DXCS0204	CSD-92-02X	528	UGG	BXZ
	CA	DXCS0300	CSD-92-03X	6480	UGG	BXZ
	CA	DXCS0305	CSD-92-03X	1150	UGG	BXZ
	CA	DXCS0303	CSD-92-03X	669	UGG	BXZ
	CA	DXCS0405	CSD-92-04X	1260	UGG	BXZ
	CA	DXCS0400	CSD-92-04X	1580	UGG	BXZ
	CA	DXCS0403	CSD-92-04X	517	UGG	BXZ
	CA	DXCS0504	CSD-92-05X	682	UGG	BXZ
	CA	DXCS0502	CSD-92-05X	430	UGG	BXZ
	CA	DXCS0500	CSD-92-05X	486	UGG	BXZ
	CA	DXCS0600	CSD-92-06X	1280	UGG	BXZ
	CA	DXCS0604	CSD-92-06X	1610	UGG	BXZ
	CA	DXCS0602	CSD-92-06X	660	UGG	BXZ
	CA	DXCS0702	CSD-92-07X	28200	UGG	CTA

TABLE H17
ASSOCIATED RESULTS OF METHOD AND TRIP BLANK CONTAMINANTS

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

METHOD CODE	TEST NAME	SAMPLE NUMBER	SITE ID	VALUE	UNITS	LOT
	CA	DXCS0700	CSD-92-07X	33600	UGG	CTA
	CA	DXCS0800	CSD-92-08X	20800	UGG	CTA
	CA	DXCS0802	CSD-92-08X	44200	UGG	CTA
	CA	DXCS0905	CSD-92-09X	7060	UGG	CTA
	CA	DXCS0903	CSD-92-09X	36400	UGG	CTA
	CA	DDCS0903	CSD-92-09X	19200	UGG	BXZ
	CA	DXCS0900	CSD-92-09X	28400	UGG	CTA
	CA	DXCS1002	CSD-92-10X	488	UGG	CTA
	CA	DXCS1000	CSD-92-10X	611	UGG	CTA
	CA	DXCS1100	CSD-92-11X	36300	UGG	CTA
	CA	DXCS1101	CSD-92-11X	32200	UGG	CTA
	CA	DXCS1200	CSD-92-12X	860	UGG	CTA
	CA	DXCS1201	CSD-92-12X	1080	UGG	CTA
	CA	DXCS1301	CSD-92-13X	748	UGG	CTA
	CA	DXCS1300	CSD-92-13X	1000	UGG	CTA
	CA	DXCS1400	CSD-92-14X	41600	UGG	CTA
	CA	DXCS1500	CSD-92-15X	2030	UGG	CTA
	CA	DXCS1600	CSD-92-16X	1790	UGG	CTA
	CA	DXGR0100	GRD-92-01X	1440	UGG	BXZ
	CA	DXGR0400	GRD-92-04X	1760	UGG	BXZ
	CA	DXGR0500	GRD-92-05X	24800	UGG	BXZ
	CA	DXMA0100	MAD-92-01X	2030	UGG	CTA
	CA	DXCS0200	MAD-92-02X	443	UGG	CTA
	CA	DXMA0300	MAD-92-03X	1710	UGG	CTA
	CA	DXSH0105	SHD-92-01X	393	UGG	BXX
	CA	DXSH0100	SHD-92-01X	20100	UGG	BXX
	CA	DXSH0103	SHD-92-01X	10800	UGG	BXX
	CA	DXSH0203	SHD-92-02X	9820	UGG	BXX
	CA	DXSH0205	SHD-92-02X	1260	UGG	BXX
	CA	DXSH0200	SHD-92-02X	13300	UGG	BXX
	CA	DDSH0303	SHD-92-03X	11000	UGG	BXZ
	CA	DXSH0303	SHD-92-03X	15500	UGG	BXX
	CA	DXSH0300	SHD-92-03X	5860	UGG	BXX
	CA	DXSH0401	SHD-92-04X	14700	UGG	BXX
	CA	DXSH0400	SHD-92-04X	13200	UGG	BXX
	CA	DXSH0500	SHD-92-05X	17700	UGG	BXX
	CA	DXSH0502	SHD-92-05X	10600	UGG	BXX
	CA	DXSH0504	SHD-92-05X	7110	UGG	BXX
	CA	DXSH0605	SHD-92-06X	11100	UGG	BXX
	CA	DXSH0603	SHD-92-06X	12000	UGG	BXX
	CA	DXSH0600	SHD-92-06X	11700	UGG	BXX
	CA	DXSH0700	SHD-92-07X	5850	UGG	BXX
	CA	DXSH0703	SHD-92-07X	10900	UGG	BXX
	CA	DXSH8007	SHD-92-08X	6860	UGG	BXX
	CA	DXSH0804	SHD-92-08X	7450	UGG	BXX
	CA	DXSH0800	SHD-92-08X	12200	UGG	BXX
	CA	DXSH0900	SHD-92-09X	7940	UGG	BXX

TABLE H17
ASSOCIATED RESULTS OF METHOD AND TRIP BLANK CONTAMINANTS

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

METHOD CODE	TEST NAME	SAMPLE NUMBER	SITE ID	VALUE	UNITS	LOT
	CA	DXSH0903	SHD-92-09X	5980	UGG	BXX
	CA	DXSH0905	SHD-92-09X	9380	UGG	BXX
	CA	DXSH1000	SHD-92-10X	6850	UGG	BXX
	CA	DXSH1005	SHD-92-10X	8650	UGG	BXX
	CA	DXSH1003	SHD-92-10X	10600	UGG	BXX
	CA	DXSH1100	SHD-92-11X	8210	UGG	BXX
	CA	DXSH1200	SHD-92-12X	8410	UGG	BXX
	CA	DXSH1204	SHD-92-12X	846	UGG	BXX
	CA	DXSH1203	SHD-92-12X	2690	UGG	BXX
	CA	DXSH1300	SHD-92-13X	3290	UGG	BXX
	CA	DXSH1303	SHD-92-13X	7310	UGG	BXX
	CA	DXSH1305	SHD-92-13X	8000	UGG	BXX
	CA	DXSH1405	SHD-92-14X	12500	UGG	BXY
	CA	DXSH1403	SHD-92-14X	16400	UGG	BXY
	CA	DXSH1400	SHD-92-14X	11100	UGG	BXY
	CA	DXSH1503	SHD-92-15X	23000	UGG	BXY
	CA	DXSH1505	SHD-92-15X	19600	UGG	BXY
	CA	DXSH1500	SHD-92-15X	16200	UGG	BXY
	CA	DXSH1603	SHD-92-16X	20700	UGG	BXY
	CA	DXSH1600	SHD-92-16X	8950	UGG	BXY
	CA	DXSH1605	SHD-92-16X	16600	UGG	BXY
	CA	DXSH1705	SHD-92-17X	11500	UGG	BXY
	CA	DXSH1703	SHD-92-17X	17700	UGG	BXY
	CA	DXSH1700	SHD-92-17X	18200	UGG	BXY
	CA	DXSH1803	SHD-92-18X	7700	UGG	BXY
	CA	DXSH1800	SHD-92-18X	13300	UGG	BXY
	CA	DXSH1805	SHD-92-18X	4030	UGG	BXY
	CA	DXSH1902	SHD-92-19X	562	UGG	BXY
	CA	DXSH1900	SHD-92-19X	1190	UGG	BXY
	CA	DXSH2005	SHD-92-20X	4980	UGG	BXY
	CA	DXSH2003	SHD-92-20X	5280	UGG	BXY
	CA	DXSH2000	SHD-92-20X	2360	UGG	BXY
	CA	DXSH2100	SHD-92-21X	8350	UGG	BXY
	CA	DXSH2104	SHD-92-21X	8510	UGG	BXY
	CA	DDSH2104	SHD-92-21X	8790	UGG	BXZ
	CA	DXSH2107	SHD-92-21X	7540	UGG	BXY
	CA	DXSH2200	SHD-92-22X	9950	UGG	BXY
	CA	DXSH2203	SHD-92-22X	5320	UGG	BXY
	CA	DXSH2300	SHD-92-23X	8850	UGG	BXY
	CA	DXSH2303	SHD-92-23X	5430	UGG	BXY
	CA	DXSH2305	SHD-92-23X	5580	UGG	BXY
	CA	DXSH2400	SHD-92-24X	4140	UGG	BXY
	CA	DXSH2405	SHD-92-24X	2770	UGG	BXY
	CA	DXSH2403	SHD-92-24X	3630	UGG	BXY
	CA	DDSH2503	SHD-92-25X	5060	UGG	BXZ
	CA	DXSH2505	SHD-92-25X	4560	UGG	BXY
	CA	DXSH2503	SHD-92-25X	4070	UGG	BXY

TABLE H17
ASSOCIATED RESULTS OF METHOD AND TRIP BLANK CONTAMINANTS

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

METHOD CODE	TEST NAME	SAMPLE NUMBER	SITE ID	VALUE	UNITS	LOT
	CA	DXSH2500	SHD-92-25X	4080	UGG	BXY
	CA	DXSH2600	SHD-92-26X	3050	UGG	BXZ
	CA	DXSH2700	SHD-92-27X	3650	UGG	BXZ
	CA	DXSH2800	SHD-92-28X	5180	UGG	BXZ
	CA	DXSH2900	SHD-92-29X	1010	UGG	BXZ
	CA	DXSH2902	SHD-92-29X	143	UGG	BXZ
	CA	DXSH3000	SHD-92-30X	1770	UGG	BXZ
	CA	DXSH3002	SHD-92-30X	187	UGG	BXZ
	CA	DXSH3100	SHD-92-31X	2850	UGG	BXZ
	CA	DXSH3110	SHD-92-31X	2790	UGG	BXZ
	CA	DXSH3200	SHD-92-32X	904	UGG	CTA
	CA	DXSH3201	SHD-92-32X	712	UGG	CTA
JS16	CR	DXCR0100	CRD-92-01X	7.06	UGG	CTA
	CR	DDCR0100	CRD-92-01X	8.91	UGG	CTA
	CR	DXCS0103	CSD-92-01X	11.00	UGG	BXZ
	CR	DXCS0204	CSD-92-02X	7.96	UGG	BXZ
	CR	DXCS0200	CSD-92-02X	21.20	UGG	BXZ
	CR	DXCS0202	CSD-92-02X	8.73	UGG	BXZ
	CR	DXCS0305	CSD-92-03X	8.84	UGG	BXZ
	CR	DXCS0303	CSD-92-03X	13.80	UGG	BXZ
	CR	DXCS0403	CSD-92-04X	7.13	UGG	BXZ
	CR	DXCS0400	CSD-92-04X	9.83	UGG	BXZ
	CR	DXCS0405	CSD-92-04X	9.61	UGG	BXZ
	CR	DXCS0504	CSD-92-05X	8.99	UGG	BXZ
	CR	DXCS0502	CSD-92-05X	6.49	UGG	BXZ
	CR	DXCS0604	CSD-92-06X	8.60	UGG	BXZ
	CR	DXCS0602	CSD-92-06X	9.55	UGG	BXZ
	CR	DXCS0600	CSD-92-06X	8.28	UGG	BXZ
	CR	DXCS1000	CSD-92-10X	12.30	UGG	CTA
	CR	DXCS1002	CSD-92-10X	8.33	UGG	CTA
	CR	DXCS1200	CSD-92-12X	13.30	UGG	CTA
	CR	DXCS1201	CSD-92-12X	18.10	UGG	CTA
	CR	DXCS1301	CSD-92-13X	19.90	UGG	CTA
	CR	DXCS1600	CSD-92-16X	7.50	UGG	CTA
	CR	DXSH2902	SHD-92-29X	8.32	UGG	BXZ
	CR	DXSH3002	SHD-92-30X	8.81	UGG	BXZ
	CR	DXSH3201	SHD-92-32X	19.80	UGG	CTA
JS16	CU	DXCR0100	CRD-92-01X	2.46	UGG	CTA
	CU	DDCR0100	CRD-92-01X	2.19	UGG	CTA
	CU	DXCR0300	CRD-92-03X	5.08	UGG	CTA
	CU	DXCS0103	CSD-92-01X	1.95	UGG	BXZ
	CU	DXCS0204	CSD-92-02X	4.43	UGG	BXZ
	CU	DXCS0202	CSD-92-02X	2.37	UGG	BXZ
	CU	DXCS0305	CSD-92-03X	5.77	UGG	BXZ
	CU	DXCS0303	CSD-92-03X	2.45	UGG	BXZ

TABLE H17
ASSOCIATED RESULTS OF METHOD AND TRIP BLANK CONTAMINANTS

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

METHOD CODE	TEST NAME	SAMPLE NUMBER	SITE ID	VALUE UNITS	LOT
	CU	DXCS0403	CSD-92-04X	3.99 UGG	BXZ
	CU	DXCS0400	CSD-92-04X	2.58 UGG	BXZ
	CU	DXCS0405	CSD-92-04X	6.23 UGG	BXZ
	CU	DXCS0502	CSD-92-05X	2.87 UGG	BXZ
	CU	DXCS0504	CSD-92-05X	5.70 UGG	BXZ
	CU	DXCS0604	CSD-92-06X	6.47 UGG	BXZ
	CU	DXCS0600	CSD-92-06X	2.63 UGG	BXZ
	CU	DXCS0602	CSD-92-06X	3.17 UGG	BXZ
	CU	DXCS0802	CSD-92-08X	7.76 UGG	CTA
	CU	DXCS0905	CSD-92-09X	5.45 UGG	CTA
	CU	DXCS1002	CSD-92-10X	2.93 UGG	CTA
	CU	DXCS1000	CSD-92-10X	2.90 UGG	CTA
	CU	DXCS1100	CSD-92-11X	8.91 UGG	CTA
	CU	SXCS1200	CSD-92-12X	5.56 UGG	CTA
	CU	DXCS1201	CSD-92-12X	4.28 UGG	CTA
	CU	DXCS1300	CSD-92-13X	2.03 UGG	CTA
	CU	DXCS1301	CSD-92-13X	1.66 UGG	CTA
	CU	DXCS1500	CSD-92-15X	7.53 UGG	CTA
	CU	DXCS0200	MAD-92-02X	8.42 UGG	CTA
	CU	DDSH2104	SHD-92-21X	7.97 UGG	BXZ
	CU	DDSH2503	SHD-92-25X	7.89 UGG	BXZ
	CU	DXSH2800	SHD-92-28X	7.58 UGG	BXZ
	CU	DXSH2900	SHD-92-29X	9.54 UGG	BXZ
	CU	DXSH2902	SHD-92-29X	3.95 UGG	BXZ
	CU	DXSH3002	SHD-92-30X	3.52 UGG	BXZ
	CU	DXSH3101	SHD-92-31X	6.65 UGG	BXZ
JS16	FE	DDCR0100	CRD-92-01X	6170 UGG	CTA
	FE	DXCR0100	CRD-92-01X	4960 UGG	CTA
	FE	DXCR0200	CRD-92-02X	7140 UGG	CTA
	FE	DXCR0300	CRD-92-03X	3690 UGG	CTA
	FE	DXCS0103	CSD-92-01X	3520 UGG	BXY
	FE	DXCS0204	CSD-92-02X	3680 UGG	BXY
	FE	DXCS0202	CSD-92-02X	2870 UGG	BXY
	FE	DXCS0305	CSD-92-03X	4820 UGG	BXY
	FE	DXCS0303	CSD-92-03X	4960 UGG	BXY
	FE	DXCS0300	CSD-92-03X	6280 UGG	BXY
	FE	DXCS0400	CSD-92-04X	6440 UGG	BXY
	FE	DXCS0403	CSD-92-04X	3090 UGG	BXY
	FE	DXCS0405	CSD-92-04X	4400 UGG	BXY
	FE	DXCS0500	CSD-92-05X	2240 UGG	BXY
	FE	DXCS0502	CSD-92-05X	3000 UGG	BXY
	FE	DXCS0504	CSD-92-05X	4400 UGG	BXY
	FE	DXCS0600	CSD-92-06X	3840 UGG	BXY
	FE	DXCS0602	CSD-92-06X	3390 UGG	BXY
	FE	DXCS0604	CSD-92-06X	6640 UGG	BXY
	FE	DXCS0702	CSD-92-07X	6570 UGG	CTA

TABLE H17
ASSOCIATED RESULTS OF METHOD AND TRIP BLANK CONTAMINANTS

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

METHOD CODE	TEST NAME	SAMPLE NUMBER	SITE ID	VALUE	UNITS	LOT
	FE	DXCS0802	CSD-92-08X	6390	UGG	CTA
	FE	DXCS0905	CSD-92-09X	3560	UGG	CTA
	FE	DXCS1002	CSD-92-10X	3760	UGG	CTA
	FE	DXCS1000	CSD-92-10X	4700	UGG	CTA
	FE	DXCS1101	CSD-92-11X	7170	UGG	CTA
	FE	DXCS1201	CSD-92-12X	7790	UGG	CTA
	FE	DXCS1301	CSD-92-13X	5770	UGG	CTA
	FE	DXCS1300	CSD-92-13X	7180	UGG	CTA
	FE	DXCS1400	CSD-92-14X	7280	UGG	CTA
	FE	DXCS1600	CSD-92-16X	4860	UGG	CTA
	FE	DXGR0100	GRD-92-01X	6620	UGG	BXY
	FE	DXGR0500	GRD-92-05X	1180	UGG	BXY
	FE	DXSH0203	SHD--92-02X	1590	UGG	BXX
	FE	DXSH0205	SHD--92-02X	1090	UGG	BXX
	FE	DXSH0502	SHD--92-05X	1010	UGG	BXX
	FE	DXSH0504	SHD--92-05X	1250	UGG	BXX
	FE	DXSH0603	SHD--92-06X	833	UGG	BXX
	FE	DXSH0804	SHD--92-08X	946	UGG	BXX
	FE	DXSH0903	SHD--92-09X	335	UGG	BXX
	FE	DXSH0905	SHD--92-09X	1140	UGG	BXX
	FE	DXSH1000	SHD--92-10X	428	UGG	BXX
	FE	DXSH1003	SHD--92-10X	1650	UGG	BXX
	FE	DXSH1204	SHD--92-12X	1860	UGG	BXX
	FE	DXSH1405	SHD-92-14X	2380	UGG	BXY
	FE	DXSH1403	SHD-92-14X	2440	UGG	BXY
	FE	DXSH1503	SHD-92-15X	1690	UGG	BXY
	FE	DXSH1505	SHD-92-15X	2970	UGG	BXY
	FE	DXSH1603	SHD-92-16X	2670	UGG	BXY
	FE	DXSH1605	SHD-92-16X	2230	UGG	BXY
	FE	DXSH1705	SHD-92-17X	7220	UGG	BXY
	FE	DXSH1803	SHD-92-18X	3720	UGG	BXY
	FE	DXSH2805	SHD-92-18X	1700	UGG	BXY
	FE	DXSH2005	SHD-92-20X	5610	UGG	BXY
	FE	DXSH2003	SHD-92-20X	6630	UGG	BXY
	FE	DXSH2104	SHD-92-21X	5910	UGG	BXY
	FE	DDSH2104	SHD-92-21X	5450	UGG	BXY
	FE	DXSH2107	SHD-92-21X	7560	UGG	BXY
	FE	DXSH2303	SHD-92-23X	2600	UGG	BXY
	FE	DXSH2305	SHD-92-23X	2370	UGG	BXY
	FE	DXSH2403	SHD-92-24X	4180	UGG	BXY
	FE	DXSH2405	SHD-92-24X	2070	UGG	BXY
	FE	DXSH2400	SHD-92-24X	6780	UGG	BXY
	FE	DXSH2503	SHD-92-25X	3310	UGG	BXY
	FE	DDSH2503	SHD-92-25X	5240	UGG	BXY
	FE	DXSH2500	SHD-92-25X	1770	UGG	BXY
	FE	DXSH2505	SHD-92-25X	2340	UGG	BXY
	FE	DXSH2902	SHD-92-29X	5150	UGG	BXY

TABLE H17
ASSOCIATED RESULTS OF METHOD AND TRIP BLANK CONTAMINANTS

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

METHOD CODE	TEST NAME	SAMPLE NUMBER	SITE ID	VALUE	UNITS	LOT
	FE	DXSH2900	SHD-92-29X	6870	UGG	BXY
	FE	DXSH3002	SHD-92-30X	5780	UGG	BXY
	FE	DXSH3101	SHD-92-31X	3920	UGG	BXY
	FE	DXSH3200	SHD-92-32X	7910	UGG	CTA
JS16	K	DDCR0100	CRD-92-01X	366	UGG	CTA
	K	DXCR0100	CRD-92-01X	290	UGG	CTA
	K	DXCR0200	CRD-92-02X	575	UGG	CTA
	K	DXCR0300	CRD-92-03X	461	UGG	CTA
	K	DDCS0102	CSD-92-01X	1620	UGG	BXZ
	K	DXCS0102	CSD-92-01X	834	UGG	BXZ
	K	DXCS0103	CSD-92-01X	339	UGG	BXZ
	K	DXCS0204	CSD-92-02X	301	UGG	BXZ
	K	DXCS0200	CSD-92-02X	671	UGG	BXZ
	K	DXCS0604	CSD-92-02X	603	UGG	BXZ
	K	DXCS0202	CSD-92-02X	276	UGG	BXZ
	K	DXCS0305	CSD-92-03X	561	UGG	BXZ
	K	DXCS0303	CSD-92-03X	351	UGG	BXZ
	K	DXCS0300	CSD-92-03X	206	UGG	BXZ
	K	DXCS0405	CSD-92-04X	475	UGG	BXZ
	K	DXCS0403	CSD-92-04X	312	UGG	BXZ
	K	DXCS0400	CSD-92-04X	392	UGG	BXZ
	K	DXCS0504	CSD-92-05X	454	UGG	BXZ
	K	DXCS0502	CSD-92-05X	184	UGG	BXZ
	K	DXCS0600	CSD-92-06X	238	UGG	BXZ
	K	DXCS0602	CSD-92-06X	269	UGG	BXZ
	K	DXCS0800	CSD-92-08X	1090	UGG	CTA
	K	DXCS0905	CSD-92-09X	371	UGG	CTA
	K	DXCS0900	CSD-92-09X	838	UGG	CTA
	K	DXCS0903	CSD-92-09X	1030	UGG	CTA
	K	DXCS1002	CSD-92-10X	438	UGG	CTA
	K	DXCS1000	CSD-92-10X	510	UGG	CTA
	K	DXCS1100	CSD-92-11X	889	UGG	CTA
	K	DXCS1200	CSD-92-12X	305	UGG	CTA
	K	DXCS1201	CSD-92-12X	344	UGG	CTA
	K	DXCS1300	CSD-92-13X	363	UGG	CTA
	K	DXCS1301	CSD-92-13X	290	UGG	CTA
	K	DXCS1500	CSD-92-15X	702	UGG	CTA
	K	DXCS1600	CSD-92-16X	225	UGG	CTA
	K	DXGR0100	GRD-92-01X	712	UGG	BXZ
	K	DXGR0400	GRD-92-04X	757	UGG	BXZ
	K	DXCS0200	MAD-92-02X	909	UGG	CTA
	K	DXMA0300	MAD-92-03X	1160	UGG	CTA
	K	DXSH3100	SHD-92-01X	1150	UGG	BXZ
	K	DXSH0105	SHD-92-01X	261	UGG	BXX
	K	DXSH1300	SHD-92-13X	817	UGG	BXX
	K	DXSH1902	SHD-92-19X	276	UGG	BXY

TABLE H17
ASSOCIATED RESULTS OF METHOD AND TRIP BLANK CONTAMINANTS

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

METHOD CODE	TEST NAME	SAMPLE NUMBER	SITE ID	VALUE	UNITS	LOT
	K	DXSH1900	SHD-92-19X	270	UGG	BXY
	K	DXSH2005	SHD-92-20X	342	UGG	BXY
	K	DXSH2000	SHD-92-20X	768	UGG	BXY
	K	DXSH2403	SHD-92-24X	272	UGG	BXY
	K	DXSH2503	SHD-92-25X	275	UGG	BXY
	K	DXSH2600	SHD-92-26X	572	UGG	BXZ
	K	DXSH2902	SHD-92-29X	175	UGG	BXZ
	K	DXSH2900	SHD-92-29X	223	UGG	BXZ
	K	DXSH3000	SHD-92-30X	464	UGG	BXZ
	K	DXSH3002	SHD-92-30X	223	UGG	BXZ
	K	DXSH3101	SHD-92-31X	237	UGG	BXZ
	K	DXSH3201	SHD-92-32X	269	UGG	CTA
	K	DXSH3200	SHD-92-32X	295	UGG	CTA
SS10	K	MXSH8DX1	SHL-8D	2080	UGL	DBKA
	K	MXSH8SX1	SHL-8S	1920	UGL	DBKA
JS16	MG	DDCR0100	CRD-92-01X	897	UGG	CTA
	MG	DXCR0100	CRD-92-01X	675	UGG	CTA
	MG	DXCR0200	CRD-92-02X	891	UGG	CTA
	MG	DXCR0300	CRD-92-03X	891	UGG	CTA
	MG	DXCS0102	CSD-92-01X	4770	UGG	BXZ
	MG	DXCS0103	CSD-92-01X	1270	UGG	BXZ
	MG	DXCS0100	CSD-92-01X	7160	UGG	CTA
	MG	DDCS0102	CSD-92-01X	5960	UGG	BXZ
	MG	DXCS0200	CSD-92-02X	1880	UGG	BXZ
	MG	DXCS0204	CSD-92-02X	1290	UGG	BXZ
	MG	DXCS0202	CSD-92-02X	1060	UGG	BXZ
	MG	DXCS0303	CSD-92-03X	1270	UGG	BXZ
	MG	DXCS0305	CSD-92-03X	1520	UGG	BXZ
	MG	DXCS0300	CSD-92-03X	745	UGG	BXZ
	MG	DXCS0403	CSD-92-04X	1030	UGG	BXZ
	MG	DXCS0405	CSD-92-04X	1600	UGG	BXZ
	MG	DXCS0400	CSD-92-04X	1350	UGG	BXZ
	MG	DXCS0500	CSD-92-05X	637	UGG	BXZ
	MG	DXCS0504	CSD-92-05X	1440	UGG	BXZ
	MG	DXCS0502	CSD-92-05X	1060	UGG	BXZ
	MG	DXCS0604	CSD-92-06X	1540	UGG	BXZ
	MG	DXCS0600	CSD-92-06X	1260	UGG	BXZ
	MG	DXCS0602	CSD-92-06X	1350	UGG	BXZ
	MG	DXCS0700	CSD-92-07X	2090	UGG	CTA
	MG	DXCS0702	CSD-92-07X	1960	UGG	CTA
	MG	DXCS0800	CSD-92-08X	1130	UGG	CTA
	MG	DXCS0802	CSD-92-08X	1860	UGG	CTA
	MG	DXCS0903	CSD-92-09X	1790	UGG	CTA
	MG	DXCS0905	CSD-92-09X	751	UGG	CTA
	MG	DXCS0900	CSD-92-09X	1440	UGG	CTA

TABLE H17
ASSOCIATED RESULTS OF METHOD AND TRIP BLANK CONTAMINANTS

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

METHOD CODE	TEST NAME	SAMPLE NUMBER	SITE ID	VALUE	UNITS	LOT
	MG	DDCS0903	CSD-92-09X	1000	UGG	BXZ
	MG	DXCS1000	CSD-92-10X	1550	UGG	CTA
	MG	DXCS1002	CSD-92-10X	1020	UGG	CTA
	MG	DXCS1101	CSD-92-11X	1760	UGG	CTA
	MG	DXCS1100	CSD-92-11X	1600	UGG	CTA
	MG	DXCS1200	CSD-92-12X	1900	UGG	CTA
	MG	DXCS1201	CSD-92-12X	2300	UGG	CTA
	MG	DXCS1300	CSD-92-13X	2060	UGG	CTA
	MG	DXCS1301	CSD-92-13X	1340	UGG	CTA
	MG	DXCS1400	CSD-92-14X	1860	UGG	CTA
	MG	DXCS1500	CSD-92-15X	3660	UGG	CTA
	MG	DXCS1600	CSD-92-16X	951	UGG	CTA
	MG	DXGR0100	GRD-92-01X	1320	UGG	BXZ
	MG	DXGR0400	GRD-92-04X	2440	UGG	BXZ
	MG	DXGR0500	GRD-92-05X	890	UGG	BXZ
	MG	DXCS0200	MAD-92-02X	3300	UGG	CTA
	MG	DXMA0300	MAD-92-03X	5450	UGG	CTA
	MG	DXSH0100	SHD-92-01X	1800	UGG	BXX
	MG	DXSH0105	SHD-92-01X	959	UGG	BXX
	MG	DXSH0103	SHD-92-01X	819	UGG	BXX
	MG	DXSH0203	SHD-92-02X	896	UGG	BXX
	MG	DXSH0200	SHD-92-02X	1700	UGG	BXX
	MG	DDSH0303	SHD-92-03X	945	UGG	BXZ
	MG	DXSH0303	SHD-92-03X	1140	UGG	BXX
	MG	DXSH0401	SHD-92-04X	950	UGG	BXX
	MG	DXSH0500	SHD-92-05X	1380	UGG	BXX
	MG	DXSH0605	SHD-92-06X	694	UGG	BXX
	MG	DXSH0603	SHD-92-06X	591	UGG	BXX
	MG	DXSH0600	SHD-92-06X	1470	UGG	BXX
	MG	DXSH0703	SHD-92-07X	713	UGG	BXX
	MG	DXSH0700	SHD-92-07X	471	UGG	BXX
	MG	DXSH0800	SHD-92-08X	727	UGG	BXX
	MG	DXSH0900	SHD-92-09X	551	UGG	BXX
	MG	DXSH0903	SHD-92-09X	447	UGG	BXX
	MG	DXSH0905	SHD-92-09X	431	UGG	BXX
	MG	DXSH1003	SHD-92-10X	501	UGG	BXX
	MG	DXSH1100	SHD-92-11X	2060	UGG	BXX
	MG	DXSH1300	SHD-92-11X	1630	UGG	BXX
	MG	DXSH1204	SHD-92-12X	607	UGG	BXX
	MG	DXSH1203	SHD-92-12X	1290	UGG	BXX
	MG	DXSH1200	SHD-92-12X	1800	UGG	BXX
	MG	DXSH1303	SHD-92-13X	782	UGG	BXX
	MG	DXSH1305	SHD-92-13X	1450	UGG	BXX
	MG	DXSH1505	SHD-92-15X	1380	UGG	BXY
	MG	DXSH1500	SHD-92-15X	1540	UGG	BXY
	MG	DXSH1503	SHD-92-15X	1730	UGG	BXY
	MG	DXSH1603	SHD-92-16X	1360	UGG	BXY

TABLE H17
ASSOCIATED RESULTS OF METHOD AND TRIP BLANK CONTAMINANTS

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

METHOD CODE	TEST NAME	SAMPLE NUMBER	SITE ID	VALUE	UNITS	LOT
	MG	DXSH1600	SHD-92-16X	2120	UGG	BXY
	MG	DXSH1605	SHD-92-16X	1320	UGG	BXY
	MG	DXSH1700	SHD-92-17X	1460	UGG	BXY
	MG	DXSH1703	SHD-92-17X	1510	UGG	BXY
	MG	DXSH1805	SHD-92-18X	626	UGG	BXY
	MG	DXSH1902	SHD-92-19X	1040	UGG	BXY
	MG	DXSH1900	SHD-92-19X	1500	UGG	BXY
	MG	DXSH2000	SHD-92-20X	1700	UGG	BXY
	MG	DXSH2003	SHD-92-20X	1870	UGG	BXY
	MG	DXSH2005	SHD-92-20X	1590	UGG	BXY
	MG	DXSH2107	SHD-92-21X	1170	UGG	BXY
	MG	DXSH2100	SHD-92-21X	1820	UGG	BXY
	MG	DXSH2104	SHD-92-21X	903	UGG	BXZ
	MG	DXSH2104	SHD-92-21X	1060	UGG	BXY
	MG	DXSH2200	SHD-92-22X	1370	UGG	BXY
	MG	DXSH2203	SHD-92-22X	786	UGG	BXY
	MG	DXSH2300	SHD-92-23X	1900	UGG	BXY
	MG	DXSH2305	SHD-92-23X	295	UGG	BXY
	MG	DXSH2303	SHD-92-23X	538	UGG	BXY
	MG	DXSH2405	SHD-92-24X	567	UGG	BXY
	MG	DXSH2400	SHD-92-24X	737	UGG	BXY
	MG	DXSH2403	SHD-92-24X	1550	UGG	BXY
	MG	DXSH2405	SHD-92-25X	770	UGG	BXY
	MG	DXSH2503	SHD-92-25X	1000	UGG	BXY
	MG	DXSH2500	SHD-92-25X	699	UGG	BXY
	MG	DDSH2503	SHD-92-25X	1390	UGG	BXZ
	MG	DXSH2600	SHD-92-26X	1410	UGG	BXZ
	MG	DXSH2700	SHD-92-27X	2110	UGG	BXZ
	MG	DXSH2800	SHD-92-28X	703	UGG	BXZ
	MG	DXSH2900	SHD-92-29X	1000	UGG	BXZ
	MG	DXSH2902	SHD-92-29X	1040	UGG	BXZ
	MG	DXSH3000	SHD-92-30X	1580	UGG	BXZ
	MG	DXSH3002	SHD-92-30X	911	UGG	BXZ
	MG	DXSH3100	SHD-92-31X	3230	UGG	BXZ
	MG	DXSH3101	SHD-92-31X	388	UGG	BXZ
	MG	DXSH3201	SHD-92-32X	741	UGG	CTA
	MG	DXSH3200	SHD-92-32X	816	UGG	CTA
JS16	MN	DXCR0300	CRD-92-03X	36.6	UGG	CTA
	MN	DXCS0202	CSD-92-02X	32.0	UGG	BXZ
	MN	DXCS0502	CSD-92-05X	27.5	UGG	BXZ
	MN	DXCS0602	CSD-92-06X	27.8	UGG	BXZ
	MN	DXSH0205	SHD-92-02X	32.2	UGG	BXX
	MN	DXSH0502	SHD-92-05X	32.2	UGG	BXX
	MN	DXSH1003	SHD-92-09X	36.1	UGG	BXX
	MN	DXSH0903	SHD-92-09X	16.9	UGG	BXX
	MN	DXSH2405	SHD-92-24X	30.4	UGG	BXY

TABLE H17
ASSOCIATED RESULTS OF METHOD AND TRIP BLANK CONTAMINANTS

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

METHOD CODE	TEST NAME	SAMPLE NUMBER	SITE ID	VALUE	UNITS	LOT
JS16	NA	DXCR0100	CRD-92-01X	203	UGG	CTA
	NA	DDCR0100	CRD-92-01X	198	UGG	CTA
	NA	DXCR0200	CRD-92-02X	726	UGG	CTA
	NA	DXCR0300	CRD-92-03X	318	UGG	CTA
	NA	DXCS0103	CSD-92-01X	180	UGG	BXZ
	NA	DXCS0102	CSD-92-01X	236	UGG	BXZ
	NA	DDCS0102	CSD-92-01X	325	UGG	BXZ
	NA	DXCS0204	CSD-92-02X	193	UGG	BXZ
	NA	DXCS0202	CSD-92-02X	189	UGG	BXZ
	NA	DXCS0200	CSD-92-02X	624	UGG	BXZ
	NA	DXCS0303	CSD-92-03X	188	UGG	BXZ
	NA	DXCS0300	CSD-92-03X	316	UGG	BXZ
	NA	DXCS0305	CSD-92-03X	216	UGG	BXZ
	NA	DXCS0403	CSD-92-04X	182	UGG	BXZ
	NA	DXCS0405	CSD-92-04X	226	UGG	BXZ
	NA	DXCS0400	CSD-92-04X	327	UGG	BXZ
	NA	DXCS0500	CSD-92-05X	212	UGG	BXZ
	NA	DXCS0502	CSD-92-05X	186	UGG	BXZ
	NA	DXCS0504	CSD-92-05X	210	UGG	BXZ
	NA	DXCS0602	CSD-92-06X	183	UGG	BXZ
	NA	DXCS0604	CSD-92-06X	229	UGG	BXZ
	NA	DXCS0600	CSD-92-06X	206	UGG	BXZ
	NA	DXCS0702	CSD-92-07X	1090	UGG	CTA
	NA	DXCS0700	CSD-92-07X	1330	UGG	CTA
	NA	DXCS0800	CSD-92-08X	1220	UGG	CTA
	NA	DXCS0802	CSD-92-08X	975	UGG	CTA
	NA	DXCS0905	CSD-92-09X	288	UGG	CTA
	NA	DXCS0900	CSD-92-09X	1130	UGG	CTA
	NA	DXCS0903	CSD-92-09X	1130	UGG	CTA
	NA	DDCS0903	CSD-92-09X	977	UGG	BXZ
	NA	DXCS1002	CSD-92-10X	167	UGG	CTA
	NA	DXCS1000	CSD-92-10X	152	UGG	CTA
	NA	DXCS1100	CSD-92-11X	1190	UGG	CTA
	NA	DXCS1101	CSD-92-11X	1080	UGG	CTA
	NA	DXCS1200	CSD-92-12X	190	UGG	CTA
	NA	DXCS1201	CSD-92-12X	190	UGG	CTA
	NA	DXCS1301	CSD-92-13X	181	UGG	CTA
	NA	DXCS1300	CSD-92-13X	203	UGG	CTA
	NA	DXCS1400	CSD-92-14X	995	UGG	CTA
	NA	DXCS1500	CSD-92-15X	236	UGG	CTA
	NA	DXCS1600	CSD-92-16X	192	UGG	CTA
	NA	DXGR0100	GRD-92-01X	311	UGG	BXZ
	NA	DXGR0400	GRD-92-04X	289	UGG	BXZ
	NA	DXGR0500	GRD-92-05X	727	UGG	BXZ
	NA	DXMA0100	MAD-92-01X	532	UGG	CTA
	NA	DXCS0200	MAD-92-02X	158	UGG	CTA

TABLE H17
ASSOCIATED RESULTS OF METHOD AND TRIP BLANK CONTAMINANTS

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

METHOD CODE	TEST NAME	SAMPLE NUMBER	SITE ID	VALUE	UNITS	LOT
	NA	DXMA0300	MAD-92-03X	318	UGG	CTA
	NA	DXSH0103	SHD-92-01X	713	UGG	BXX
	NA	DXSH0100	SHD-92-01X	1790	UGG	BXX
	NA	DXSH0105	SHD-92-01X	173	UGG	BXX
	NA	DXSH0203	SHD-92-02X	821	UGG	BXX
	NA	DXSH0200	SHD-92-02X	2240	UGG	BXX
	NA	DXSH0205	SHD-92-02X	369	UGG	BXX
	NA	DXSH0303	SHD-92-03X	991	UGG	BXX
	NA	DDSH0303	SHD-92-03X	1090	UGG	BXZ
	NA	DXSH0300	SHD-92-03X	786	UGG	BXX
	NA	DXSH0400	SHD-92-04X	1640	UGG	BXX
	NA	DXSH0401	SHD-92-04X	1040	UGG	BXX
	NA	DXSH0504	SHD-92-05X	550	UGG	BXX
	NA	DXSH0502	SHD-92-05X	911	UGG	BXX
	NA	DXSH0500	SHD-92-05X	1940	UGG	BXX
	NA	DXSH0605	SHD-92-06X	797	UGG	BXX
	NA	DXSH0600	SHD-92-06X	2030	UGG	BXX
	NA	DXSH0603	SHD-92-06X	725	UGG	BXX
	NA	DXSH0703	SHD-92-07X	972	UGG	BXX
	NA	DXSH0700	SHD-92-07X	646	UGG	BXX
	NA	DXSH0800	SHD-92-08X	1000	UGG	BXX
	NA	DXSH0804	SHD-92-08X	587	UGG	BXX
	NA	DXSH8007	SHD-92-08X	575	UGG	BXX
	NA	DXSH0900	SHD-92-09X	924	UGG	BXX
	NA	DXSH0905	SHD-92-09X	696	UGG	BXX
	NA	DXSH0903	SHD-92-09X	516	UGG	BXX
	NA	DXSH1003	SHD-92-10X	871	UGG	BXX
	NA	DXSH1000	SHD-92-10X	574	UGG	BXX
	NA	DXSH1005	SHD-92-10X	885	UGG	BXX
	NA	DXSH1100	SHD-92-11X	1600	UGG	BXX
	NA	DXSH1200	SHD-92-12X	2000	UGG	BXX
	NA	DXSH1204	SHD-92-12X	248	UGG	BXX
	NA	DXSH1203	SHD-92-12X	556	UGG	BXX
	NA	DXSH1300	SHD-92-13X	792	UGG	BXX
	NA	DXSH1303	SHD-92-13X	962	UGG	BXX
	NA	DXSH1305	SHD-92-13X	768	UGG	BXX
	NA	DXSH1400	SHD-92-14X	1770	UGG	BXY
	NA	DXSH1405	SHD-92-14X	1740	UGG	BXY
	NA	DXSH1403	SHD-92-14X	2580	UGG	BXY
	NA	DXSH1505	SHD-92-15X	2310	UGG	BXY
	NA	DXSH1500	SHD-92-15X	2870	UGG	BXY
	NA	DXSH1503	SHD-92-15X	2340	UGG	BXY
	NA	DXSH1605	SHD-92-16X	2350	UGG	BXY
	NA	DXSH1600	SHD-92-16X	2240	UGG	BXY
	NA	DXSH1603	SHD-92-16X	2210	UGG	BXY
	NA	DXSH1705	SHD-92-17X	2710	UGG	BXY
	NA	DXSH1703	SHD-92-17X	2160	UGG	BXY

TABLE H17
ASSOCIATED RESULTS OF METHOD AND TRIP BLANK CONTAMINANTS

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

METHOD CODE	TEST NAME	SAMPLE NUMBER	SITE ID	VALUE	UNITS	LOT
	NA	DXSH1700	SHD-92-17X	2740	UGG	BXY
	NA	DXSH1800	SHD-92-18X	2460	UGG	BXY
	NA	DXSH1805	SHD-92-18X	1250	UGG	BXY
	NA	DXSH1803	SHD-92-18X	2370	UGG	BXY
	NA	DXSH1902	SHD-92-19X	207	UGG	BXY
	NA	DXSH1900	SHD-92-19X	274	UGG	BXY
	NA	DXSH2000	SHD-92-20X	648	UGG	BXY
	NA	DXSH2005	SHD-92-20X	682	UGG	BXY
	NA	DXSH2003	SHD-92-20X	914	UGG	BXY
	NA	DXSH2104	SHD-92-21X	1360	UGG	BXY
	NA	DDSH2104	SHD-92-21X	1350	UGG	BXZ
	NA	DXSH2107	SHD-92-21X	1200	UGG	BXY
	NA	DXSH2100	SHD-92-21X	1810	UGG	BXY
	NA	DXSH2200	SHD-92-22X	1290	UGG	BXY
	NA	DXSH2203	SHD-92-22X	708	UGG	BXY
	NA	DXSH2300	SHD-92-23X	2360	UGG	BXY
	NA	DXSH2305	SHD-92-23X	472	UGG	BXY
	NA	DXSH2303	SHD-92-23X	1110	UGG	BXY
	NA	DXSH2403	SHD-92-24X	563	UGG	BXY
	NA	DXSH2405	SHD-92-24X	526	UGG	BXY
	NA	DXSH2400	SHD-92-24X	1350	UGG	BXY
	NA	DXSH2500	SHD-92-25X	518	UGG	BXY
	NA	DDSH2503	SHD-92-25X	704	UGG	BXZ
	NA	DXSH2505	SHD-92-25X	547	UGG	BXY
	NA	DXSH2505	SHD-92-25X	679	UGG	BXY
	NA	DXSH2600	SHD-92-26X	899	UGG	BXZ
	NA	DXSH2700	SHD-92-27X	1120	UGG	BXZ
	NA	DXSH2800	SHD-92-28X	482	UGG	BXZ
	NA	DXSH2902	SHD-92-29X	183	UGG	BXZ
	NA	DXSH2900	SHD-92-29X	274	UGG	BXZ
	NA	DXSH3002	SHD-92-30X	224	UGG	BXZ
	NA	DXSH3000	SHD-92-30X	364	UGG	BXZ
	NA	DXSH3100	SHD-92-31X	330	UGG	BXZ
	NA	DXSH3101	SHD-92-31X	334	UGG	BXZ
	NA	DXSH3200	SHD-92-32X	343	UGG	CTA
	NA	DXSH3201	SHD-92-32X	279	UGG	CTA
JSI6	NI	DDCR0100	CRD-92-01X	5.22	UGG	CTA
	NI	DXCR0100	CRD-92-01X	5.05	UGG	CTA
	NI	DXCR0300	CRD-92-03X	5.21	UGG	CTA
	NI	DXCS1002	CSD-92-10X	6.31	UGG	CTA
	NI	DXCS1300	CSD-92-13X	8.72	UGG	CTA
	NI	DXCS1301	CSD-92-13X	6.78	UGG	CTA
	NI	DXCS1600	CSD-92-16X	4.95	UGG	CTA
	NI	DXSH3201	SHD-92-32X	8.07	UGG	CTA
JS16	V	DDCR0100	CRD-92-01X	9.73	UGG	CTA

TABLE H17
ASSOCIATED RESULTS OF METHOD AND TRIP BLANK CONTAMINANTS

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

METHOD CODE	TEST NAME	SAMPLE NUMBER	SITE ID	VALUE UNITS	LOT
	V	DXCR0100	CRD-92-01X	8.22 UGG	CTA
	V	DXCR0300	CRD-92-03X	10.40 UGG	CTA
	V	DXCS0102	CSD-92-01X	15.60 UGG	BXZ
	V	DXCS0103	CSD-92-01X	4.65 UGG	BXZ
	V	DXCS0204	CSD-92-02X	4.94 UGG	BXZ
	V	DXCS0200	CSD-92-02X	19.20 UGG	BXZ
	V	DXCS0303	CSD-92-03X	7.88 UGG	BXZ
	V	DXCS0305	CSD-92-03X	6.75 UGG	BXZ
	V	DXCS0405	CSD-92-04X	7.54 UGG	BXZ
	V	DXCS0400	CSD-92-04X	7.10 UGG	BXZ
	V	DXCS0504	CSD-92-05X	6.47 UGG	BXZ
	V	DSCS0600	CSD-92-06X	5.05 UGG	BXZ
	V	DXCS0602	CSD-92-06X	4.63 UGG	BXZ
	V	DXCS0604	CSD-92-06X	7.57 UGG	BXZ
	V	DXCS1002	CSD-92-10X	4.75 UGG	CTA
	V	DXCS1000	CSD-92-10X	6.41 UGG	CTA
	V	DXCS1200	CSD-92-12X	8.48 UGG	CTA
	V	DXCS1201	CSD-92-12X	10.00 UGG	CTA
	V	DXCS1301	CSD-92-13X	6.62 UGG	CTA
	V	DXCS1300	CSD-92-13X	7.41 UGG	CTA
	V	DXCS1500	CSD-92-15X	14.00 UGG	CTA
	V	DXCS1600	CSD-92-16X	5.75 UGG	CTA
	V	DXGR0100	GRD-92-01X	11.20 UGG	BXZ
	V	DXGR0400	GRD-92-04X	13.00 UGG	BXZ
	V	DXCS0200	MAD-92-02X	14.70 UGG	CTA
	V	DXSH0205	SHD-92-02X	11.90 UGG	BXX
	V	DXSH2600	SHD-92-26X	21.80 UGG	BXZ
	V	DXSH2902	SHD-92-29X	5.51 UGG	BXZ
	V	DXSH2900	SHD-92-29X	13.20 UGG	BXZ
	V	DXSH3002	SHD-92-30X	7.22 UGG	BXZ
	V	DXSH3000	SHD-92-30X	18.50 UGG	BXZ
	V	DXSH3100	SHD-92-31X	21.70 UGG	BXZ
	V	DXSH3200	SHD-92-32X	14.90 UGG	CTA
	V	DXSH3201	SHD-92-32X	12.10 UGG	CTA
JS16	ZN	DDCR0100	CRD-92-01X	17.2 UGG	CTA
	ZN	DXCR0100	CRD-92-01X	15.4 UGG	CTA
	ZN	DXCS0300	CRD-92-03X	22.5 UGG	CTA
	ZN	DXCS1000	CSD-92-10X	14.2 UGG	CTA
	ZN	DXCS1002	CSD-92-10X	11.2 UGG	CTA
	ZN	DXCS1200	CSD-92-12X	28.1 UGG	CTA
	ZN	DXCS1201	CSD-92-12X	28.1 UGG	CTA
	ZN	DXCS1300	CSD-92-13X	14.6 UGG	CTA
	ZN	DXCS1301	CSD-92-13X	11.8 UGG	CTA
	ZN	DXCS1500	CSD-92-15X	39.6 UGG	CTA
	ZN	DXCS1600	CSD-92-16X	19.3 UGG	CTA
	ZN	DXCS0200	MAD-92-02X	35.3 UGG	CTA

TABLE H17
ASSOCIATED RESULTS OF METHOD AND TRIP BLANK CONTAMINANTS

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

METHOD CODE	TEST NAME	SAMPLE NUMBER	SITE ID	VALUE	UNITS	LOT
	ZN	DXSH0504	SHD-92-05X	41.8	UGG	BXX
LM18	12EPCH	DXCS0103	CSD-92-01X	0.24	UGG	CRB
LM18	TCLEE	DXCS0103	CSD-92-01X	1.20	UGG	CRB
	TCLEE	DXCS0305	CSD-92-03X	1.20	UGG	CRB
	TCLEE	DXCS0500	CSD-92-05X	1.30	UGG	CRB
	TCLEE	DXCS0502	CSD-92-05X	1.20	UGG	CRB
	TCLEE	DXCS0600	CSD-92-06X	1.30	UGG	CRB
	TCLEE	DXCS0602	CSD-92-06X	1.20	UGG	CRB
	TCLEE	DXCS0903	CSD-92-09X	1.00	UGG	CRB
	TCLEE	DXCS1002	CSD-92-10X	1.20	UGG	CRB
UM18	B2EHP	WXMA0100	MAW-92-01X	17.0	UGL	CKF

GROUP 1A
ROUND 2 DATA

Table: H-18
Sample Duplicate Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Round 2

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	RPD
HG IN WATER BY CVAA	SB01	HG	MDCS01A2	DOUA	02-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	0.243	UGL	.0
HG IN WATER BY CVAA	SB01	HG	MXCS01A2	DOUA	02-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	0.243	UGL	.0
HG IN WATER BY CVAA	SB01	HG	MDCS01a2	DOUA	02-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	0.243	UGL	.0
HG IN WATER BY CVAA	SB01	HG	MXCS01a2	DOUA	02-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	0.243	UGL	.0
HG IN WATER BY CVAA	SB01	HG	MDSH24A2	DOUA	02-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	0.243	UGL	.0
HG IN WATER BY CVAA	SB01	HG	MXSH24A2	DOUA	02-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	0.243	UGL	.0
HG IN WATER BY CVAA	SB01	HG	MDSH24a2	DOUA	02-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	0.243	UGL	.0
HG IN WATER BY CVAA	SB01	HG	MXSH24a2	DOUA	02-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	0.243	UGL	.0
TL IN WATER BY GFAA	SD09	TL	MDCS01A2	DNOA	02-JUN-1993	17-JUN-1993	<	6.990	UGL	.0
TL IN WATER BY GFAA	SD09	TL	MXCS01A2	DNOA	02-JUN-1993	17-JUN-1993	<	6.990	UGL	.0
TL IN WATER BY GFAA	SD09	TL	MDCS01a2	DNOA	02-JUN-1993	17-JUN-1993	<	6.990	UGL	.0
TL IN WATER BY GFAA	SD09	TL	MXCS01a2	DNOA	02-JUN-1993	17-JUN-1993	<	6.990	UGL	.0
TL IN WATER BY GFAA	SD09	TL	MDSH24A2	DNOA	02-JUN-1993	17-JUN-1993	<	6.990	UGL	.0
TL IN WATER BY GFAA	SD09	TL	MXSH24A2	DNOA	02-JUN-1993	17-JUN-1993	<	6.990	UGL	.0
TL IN WATER BY GFAA	SD09	TL	MDSH24a2	DNOA	02-JUN-1993	17-JUN-1993	<	6.990	UGL	.0
TL IN WATER BY GFAA	SD09	TL	MXSH24a2	DNOA	02-JUN-1993	17-JUN-1993	<	6.990	UGL	.0
PB IN WATER BY GFAA	SD20	PB	MDCS01A2	EWBA	02-JUN-1993	17-JUN-1993	<	1.260	UGL	.0
PB IN WATER BY GFAA	SD20	PB	MXCS01A2	EWBA	02-JUN-1993	17-JUN-1993	<	1.260	UGL	.0
PB IN WATER BY GFAA	SD20	PB	MDCS01a2	EWBA	02-JUN-1993	17-JUN-1993	<	1.260	UGL	.0
PB IN WATER BY GFAA	SD20	PB	MXCS01a2	EWBA	02-JUN-1993	17-JUN-1993	<	1.260	UGL	.0
PB IN WATER BY GFAA	SD20	PB	MDSH24A2	EWBA	02-JUN-1993	17-JUN-1993	<	1.260	UGL	.0
PB IN WATER BY GFAA	SD20	PB	MXSH24A2	EWBA	02-JUN-1993	17-JUN-1993	<	1.260	UGL	.0
PB IN WATER BY GFAA	SD20	PB	MDSH24a2	EWBA	02-JUN-1993	17-JUN-1993		5.530	UGL	4.1
PB IN WATER BY GFAA	SD20	PB	MXSH24a2	EWBA	02-JUN-1993	17-JUN-1993		5.310	UGL	4.1
SE IN WATER BY GFAA	SD21	SE	MDCS01A2	EFJA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	3.020	UGL	.0
SE IN WATER BY GFAA	SD21	SE	MXCS01A2	EFJA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	3.020	UGL	.0
SE IN WATER BY GFAA	SD21	SE	MDCS01a2	EFJA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	3.020	UGL	.0
SE IN WATER BY GFAA	SD21	SE	MXCS01a2	EFJA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	3.020	UGL	.0
SE IN WATER BY GFAA	SD21	SE	MDSH24A2	EFJA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	3.020	UGL	.0
SE IN WATER BY GFAA	SD21	SE	MXSH24A2	EFJA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	3.020	UGL	.0
SE IN WATER BY GFAA	SD21	SE	MDSH24a2	EFJA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	3.020	UGL	.0
SE IN WATER BY GFAA	SD21	SE	MXSH24a2	EFJA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	3.020	UGL	.0

Table: H-18
Sample Duplicate Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Round 2

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	RPD
AS IN WATER BY GFAA	SD22	AS	MDCS01A2	ESCA	02-JUN-1993	17-JUN-1993		16.600	UGL	17.6
AS IN WATER BY GFAA	SD22	AS	MXCS01A2	ESCA	02-JUN-1993	17-JUN-1993		19.800	UGL	17.6
AS IN WATER BY GFAA	SD22	AS	MDCS01a2	ESCA	02-JUN-1993	17-JUN-1993		19.200	UGL	11.0
AS IN WATER BY GFAA	SD22	AS	MXCS01a2	ESCA	02-JUN-1993	17-JUN-1993		17.200	UGL	11.0
AS IN WATER BY GFAA	SD22	AS	MDSH24A2	ESCA	02-JUN-1993	17-JUN-1993	<	2.540	UGL	.0
AS IN WATER BY GFAA	SD22	AS	MXSH24A2	ESCA	02-JUN-1993	17-JUN-1993	<	2.540	UGL	.0
AS IN WATER BY GFAA	SD22	AS	MDSH24a2	ESCA	02-JUN-1993	17-JUN-1993		20.100	UGL	10.8
AS IN WATER BY GFAA	SD22	AS	MXSH24a2	ESCA	02-JUN-1993	17-JUN-1993		22.400	UGL	10.8
SB IN WATER BY GFAA	SD28	SB	MDCS01A2	YWW	02-JUN-1993	29-JUN-1993	<	3.030	UGL	5.8
SB IN WATER BY GFAA	SD28	SB	MXCS01A2	YWW	02-JUN-1993	29-JUN-1993		3.210	UGL	5.8
SB IN WATER BY GFAA	SD28	SB	MDCS01a2	YWW	02-JUN-1993	29-JUN-1993	<	3.030	UGL	.0
SB IN WATER BY GFAA	SD28	SB	MXCS01a2	YWW	02-JUN-1993	29-JUN-1993	<	3.030	UGL	.0
SB IN WATER BY GFAA	SD28	SB	MDSH24A2	YWW	02-JUN-1993	29-JUN-1993	<	3.030	UGL	.0
SB IN WATER BY GFAA	SD28	SB	MXSH24A2	YWW	02-JUN-1993	29-JUN-1993	<	3.030	UGL	.0
SB IN WATER BY GFAA	SD28	SB	MDSH24a2	YWW	02-JUN-1993	29-JUN-1993	<	3.030	UGL	.0
SB IN WATER BY GFAA	SD28	SB	MXSH24a2	YWW	02-JUN-1993	29-JUN-1993	<	3.030	UGL	.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	AG	MDCS01A2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	4.600	UGL	.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	AG	MXCS01A2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	4.600	UGL	.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	AG	MDCS01a2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	4.600	UGL	.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	AG	MXCS01a2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	4.600	UGL	.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	AG	MDSH24A2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	4.600	UGL	.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	AG	MXSH24A2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	4.600	UGL	.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	AG	MDSH24a2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	4.600	UGL	.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	AG	MXSH24a2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	4.600	UGL	.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	AL	MDCS01A2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	141.000	UGL	.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	AL	MXCS01A2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	141.000	UGL	.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	AL	MDCS01a2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	141.000	UGL	.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	AL	MXCS01a2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	141.000	UGL	.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	AL	MDSH24A2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	141.000	UGL	.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	AL	MXSH24A2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	141.000	UGL	.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	AL	MDSH24a2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993		3950.000	UGL	14.1

Table: H-18
Sample Duplicate Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Round 2

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	RPD
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	AL	MXSH24a2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993		3430.000	UGL	14.1
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	BA	MDCS01A2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993		36.200	UGL	1.6
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	BA	MXCS01A2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993		36.800	UGL	1.6
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	BA	MDCS01a2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993		35.200	UGL	2.9
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	BA	MXCS01a2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993		34.200	UGL	2.9
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	BA	MDSH24A2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993		5.020	UGL	38.8
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	BA	MXSH24A2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993		7.440	UGL	38.8
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	BA	MDSH24a2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993		21.600	UGL	10.7
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	BA	MXSH24a2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993		19.400	UGL	10.7
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	BE	MDCS01A2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	5.000	UGL	.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	BE	MXCS01A2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	5.000	UGL	.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	BE	MDCS01a2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	5.000	UGL	.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	BE	MXCS01a2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	5.000	UGL	.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	BE	MDSH24A2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	5.000	UGL	.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	BE	MXSH24A2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	5.000	UGL	.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	BE	MDSH24a2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	5.000	UGL	.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	BE	MXSH24a2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	5.000	UGL	.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	CA	MDCS01A2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993		148000.000	UGL	.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	CA	MXCS01A2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993		148000.000	UGL	.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	CA	MDCS01a2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993		140000.000	UGL	.7
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	CA	MXCS01a2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993		139000.000	UGL	.7
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	CA	MDSH24A2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993		10200.000	UGL	2.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	CA	MXSH24A2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993		10000.000	UGL	2.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	CA	MDSH24a2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993		10800.000	UGL	.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	CA	MXSH24a2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993		10800.000	UGL	.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	CD	MDCS01A2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	4.010	UGL	.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	CD	MXCS01A2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	4.010	UGL	.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	CD	MDCS01a2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	4.010	UGL	.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	CD	MXCS01a2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	4.010	UGL	.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	CD	MDSH24A2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	4.010	UGL	.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	CD	MXSH24A2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	4.010	UGL	.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	CD	MDSH24a2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	4.010	UGL	.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	CD	MXSH24a2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	4.010	UGL	.0

Table: H-18
Sample Duplicate Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Round 2

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	RPD
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	CO	MDCS01A2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	25.000	UGL	.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	CO	MXCS01A2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	25.000	UGL	.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	CO	MDCS01a2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	25.000	UGL	.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	CO	MXCS01a2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	25.000	UGL	.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	CO	MDSH24A2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	25.000	UGL	.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	CO	MXSH24A2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	25.000	UGL	.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	CO	MDSH24a2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	25.000	UGL	.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	CO	MXSH24a2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	25.000	UGL	.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	CR	MDCS01A2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	6.020	UGL	.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	CR	MXCS01A2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	6.020	UGL	.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	CR	MDCS01a2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	6.020	UGL	.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	CR	MXCS01a2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	6.020	UGL	.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	CR	MDSH24A2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	6.020	UGL	.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	CR	MXSH24A2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	6.020	UGL	.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	CR	MDSH24a2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993		8.280	UGL	22.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	CR	MXSH24a2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993		6.640	UGL	22.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	CU	MDCS01A2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	8.090	UGL	.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	CU	MXCS01A2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	8.090	UGL	.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	CU	MDCS01a2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	8.090	UGL	.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	CU	MXCS01a2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	8.090	UGL	.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	CU	MDSH24A2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	8.090	UGL	.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	CU	MXSH24A2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	8.090	UGL	.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	CU	MDSH24a2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993		10.200	UGL	12.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	CU	MXSH24a2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993		11.500	UGL	12.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	FE	MDCS01A2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993		14500.000	UGL	.7
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	FE	MXCS01A2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993		14600.000	UGL	.7
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	FE	MDCS01a2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993		13600.000	UGL	2.2
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	FE	MXCS01a2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993		13900.000	UGL	2.2
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	FE	MDSH24A2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	38.800	UGL	.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	FE	MXSH24A2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	38.800	UGL	.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	FE	MDSH24a2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993		7140.000	UGL	6.4
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	FE	MXSH24a2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993		6700.000	UGL	6.4
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	K	MDCS01A2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993		7830.000	UGL	1.2
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	K	MXCS01A2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993		7740.000	UGL	1.2

Table: H-18
Sample Duplicate Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Round 2

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	RPD
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	K	MDCS01a2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993		8540.000	UGL	4.9
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	K	MXCS01a2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993		8130.000	UGL	4.9
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	K	MDSH24A2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993		923.000	UGL	3.2
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	K	MXSH24A2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993		953.000	UGL	3.2
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	K	MDSH24a2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993		1870.000	UGL	15.6
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	K	MXSH24a2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993		1600.000	UGL	15.6
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	MG	MDCS01A2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993		24900.000	UGL	.4
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	MG	MXCS01A2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993		25000.000	UGL	.4
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	MG	MDCS01a2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993		23800.000	UGL	1.3
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	MG	MXCS01a2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993		23500.000	UGL	1.3
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	MG	MDSH24A2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993		854.000	UGL	2.1
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	MG	MXSH24A2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993		836.000	UGL	2.1
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	MG	MDSH24a2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993		1940.000	UGL	5.8
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	MG	MXSH24a2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993		1830.000	UGL	5.8
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	MN	MDCS01A2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993		3410.000	UGL	.6
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	MN	MXCS01A2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993		3430.000	UGL	.6
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	MN	MDCS01a2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993		3210.000	UGL	.3
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	MN	MXCS01a2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993		3200.000	UGL	.3
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	MN	MDSH24A2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993		5.890	UGL	25.9
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	MN	MXSH24A2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993		7.640	UGL	25.9
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	MN	MDSH24a2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993		367.000	UGL	1.1
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	MN	MXSH24a2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993		363.000	UGL	1.1
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	NA	MDCS01A2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993		18300.000	UGL	1.6
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	NA	MXCS01A2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993		18600.000	UGL	1.6
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	NA	MDCS01a2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993		17700.000	UGL	.6
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	NA	MXCS01a2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993		17600.000	UGL	.6
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	NA	MDSH24A2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993		16500.000	UGL	1.8
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	NA	MXSH24A2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993		16200.000	UGL	1.8
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	NA	MDSH24a2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993		16500.000	UGL	1.8
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	NA	MXSH24a2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993		16800.000	UGL	1.8
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	NI	MDCS01A2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	34.300	UGL	.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	NI	MXCS01A2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	34.300	UGL	.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	NI	MDCS01a2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	34.300	UGL	.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	NI	MXCS01a2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	34.300	UGL	.0

Table: H-18
Sample Duplicate Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Round 2

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	RPD
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	NI	MDSH24A2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	34.300	UGL	.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	NI	MXSH24A2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	34.300	UGL	.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	NI	MDSH24a2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	34.300	UGL	.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	NI	MXSH24a2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	34.300	UGL	.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	V	MDCS01A2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	11.000	UGL	.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	V	MXCS01A2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	11.000	UGL	.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	V	MDCS01a2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	11.000	UGL	.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	V	MXCS01a2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	11.000	UGL	.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	V	MDSH24A2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	11.000	UGL	.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	V	MXSH24A2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	11.000	UGL	.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	V	MDSH24a2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	11.000	UGL	.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	V	MXSH24a2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	11.000	UGL	.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	ZN	MDCS01A2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	21.100	UGL	.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	ZN	MXCS01A2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	21.100	UGL	.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	ZN	MDCS01a2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	21.100	UGL	.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	ZN	MXCS01a2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	21.100	UGL	.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	ZN	MDSH24A2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	21.100	UGL	.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	ZN	MXSH24A2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	21.100	UGL	.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	ZN	MDSH24a2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	21.100	UGL	.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	ZN	MXSH24a2	EVBA	02-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	21.100	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	124TCB	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	1.800	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	124TCB	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	1.800	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	12DCLB	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	1.700	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	12DCLB	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	1.700	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	12DPH	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	2.000	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	12DPH	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	2.000	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	13DCLB	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	1.700	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	13DCLB	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	1.700	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	14DCLB	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	1.700	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	14DCLB	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	1.700	UGL	.0

Table: H-18
Sample Duplicate Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Round 2

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	RPD
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	245TCP	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	5.200	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	245TCP	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	5.200	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	246TCP	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	4.200	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	246TCP	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	4.200	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	24DCLP	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	2.900	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	24DCLP	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	2.900	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	24DMPN	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	5.800	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	24DMPN	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	5.800	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	24DNP	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	21.000	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	24DNP	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	21.000	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	24DNT	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	4.500	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	24DNT	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	4.500	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	26DNT	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.790	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	26DNT	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.790	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	2CLP	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.990	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	2CLP	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.990	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	2CNAP	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	2CNAP	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	2MNAP	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	1.700	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	2MNAP	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	1.700	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	2MP	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	3.900	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	2MP	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	3.900	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	2NANIL	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	4.300	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	2NANIL	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	4.300	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	2NP	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	3.700	UGL	.0

Table: H-18
Sample Duplicate Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Round 2

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	RPD
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	2NP	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	3.700	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	33DCBD	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	12.000	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	33DCBD	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	12.000	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	3NANIL	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	4.900	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	3NANIL	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	4.900	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	46DN2C	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	17.000	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	46DN2C	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	17.000	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	4BRPPE	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	4.200	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	4BRPPE	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	4.200	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	4CANIL	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	7.300	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	4CANIL	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	7.300	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	4CL3C	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	4.000	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	4CL3C	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	4.000	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	4CLPPE	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	5.100	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	4CLPPE	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	5.100	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	4MP	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.520	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	4MP	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.520	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	4NANIL	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	5.200	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	4NANIL	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	5.200	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	4NP	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	12.000	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	4NP	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	12.000	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	ABHC	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	4.000	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	ABHC	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	4.000	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	ACLDAN	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	5.100	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	ACLDAN	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	5.100	UGL	.0

Table: H-18
Sample Duplicate Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Round 2

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	RPD
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	AENSLF	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	9.200	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	AENSLF	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	9.200	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	ALDRN	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	4.700	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	ALDRN	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	4.700	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	ANAPNE	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	1.700	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	ANAPNE	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	1.700	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	ANAPYL	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	ANAPYL	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	ANTRC	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	ANTRC	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	B2CEXM	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	1.500	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	B2CEXM	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	1.500	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	B2CIPE	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	5.300	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	B2CIPE	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	5.300	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	B2CLEE	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	1.900	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	B2CLEE	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	1.900	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	B2EHP	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993		4.400	UGL	8.7
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	B2EHP	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	4.800	UGL	8.7
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	BAANTR	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	1.600	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	BAANTR	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	1.600	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	BAPYR	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	4.700	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	BAPYR	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	4.700	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	BBFANT	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	5.400	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	BBFANT	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	5.400	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	BBHC	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	4.000	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	BBHC	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	4.000	UGL	.0

Table: H-18
Sample Duplicate Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Round 2

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	RPD
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	BBZP	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	3.400	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	BBZP	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	3.400	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	BENSLF	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	9.200	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	BENSLF	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	9.200	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	BENZID	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	10.000	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	BENZID	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	10.000	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	BENZOA	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	13.000	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	BENZOA	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	13.000	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	BGHIPY	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	6.100	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	BGHIPY	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	6.100	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	BKFANT	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.870	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	BKFANT	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.870	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	BZALC	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.720	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	BZALC	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.720	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	CARBAZ	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	1.500	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	CARBAZ	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	1.500	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	CHRY	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	2.400	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	CHRY	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	2.400	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	CL6BZ	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	1.600	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	CL6BZ	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	1.600	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	CL6CP	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	8.600	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	CL6CP	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	8.600	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	CL6ET	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	1.500	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	CL6ET	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	1.500	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	DBAHA	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	6.500	UGL	.0

Table: H-18
Sample Duplicate Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Round 2

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	RPD
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	DBAHA	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	6.500	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	DBHC	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	4.000	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	DBHC	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	4.000	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	DBZFUR	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	1.700	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	DBZFUR	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	1.700	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	DEP	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	2.000	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	DEP	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	2.000	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	DLDRN	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	4.700	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	DLDRN	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	4.700	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	DMP	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	1.500	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	DMP	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	1.500	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	DNBP	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	3.700	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	DNBP	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	3.700	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	DNOP	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	15.000	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	DNOP	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	15.000	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	ENDRN	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	7.600	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	ENDRN	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	7.600	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	ENDRNA	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	8.000	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	ENDRNA	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	8.000	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	ENDRNK	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	8.000	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	ENDRNK	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	8.000	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	ESFSO4	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	9.200	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	ESFSO4	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	9.200	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	FANT	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	3.300	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	FANT	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	3.300	UGL	.0

Table: H-18
Sample Duplicate Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Round 2

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	RPD
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	FLRENE	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	3.700	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	FLRENE	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	3.700	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	GCLDAN	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	5.100	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	GCLDAN	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	5.100	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	HCBD	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	3.400	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	HCBD	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	3.400	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	HPCL	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	2.000	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	HPCL	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	2.000	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	HPCLE	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	5.000	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	HPCLE	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	5.000	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	ICDPYR	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	8.600	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	ICDPYR	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	8.600	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	ISOPHR	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	4.800	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	ISOPHR	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	4.800	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	LIN	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	4.000	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	LIN	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	4.000	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	MEXCLR	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	5.100	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	MEXCLR	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	5.100	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	NAP	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	NAP	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	NB	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	NB	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	NNDMEA	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	2.000	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	NNDMEA	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	2.000	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	NNDNPA	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	4.400	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	NNDNPA	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	4.400	UGL	.0

Table: H-18
Sample Duplicate Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Round 2

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	RPD
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	NNDPA	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	3.000	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	NNDPA	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	3.000	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	PCB016	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	21.000	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	PCB016	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	21.000	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	PCB221	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	21.000	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	PCB221	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	21.000	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	PCB232	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	21.000	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	PCB232	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	21.000	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	PCB242	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	30.000	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	PCB242	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	30.000	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	PCB248	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	30.000	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	PCB248	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	30.000	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	PCB254	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	36.000	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	PCB254	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	36.000	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	PCB260	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	36.000	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	PCB260	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	36.000	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	PCP	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	18.000	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	PCP	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	18.000	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	PHANTR	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	PHANTR	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	PHENOL	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	9.200	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	PHENOL	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	9.200	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	PPDDD	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	4.000	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	PPDDD	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	4.000	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	PPDDE	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	4.700	UGL	.0

Table: H-18
Sample Duplicate Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Round 2

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	RPD
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	PPDDE	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	4.700	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	PPDDT	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	9.200	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	PPDDT	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	9.200	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	PYR	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	2.800	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	PYR	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	2.800	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	TXPHEN	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	36.000	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	TXPHEN	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	36.000	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	UNK539	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993		5.000	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	UNK539	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993		5.000	UGL	.0
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	UNK588	MDCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993		8.000	UGL	13.3
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	UNK588	MXCS01a2	ETCA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993		7.000	UGL	13.3
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	111TCE	MDSH24a2	DYZA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	111TCE	MXSH24a2	DYZA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	112TCE	MDSH24a2	DYZA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	1.200	UGL	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	112TCE	MXSH24a2	DYZA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	1.200	UGL	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	11DCE	MDSH24a2	DYZA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	11DCE	MXSH24a2	DYZA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	11DCLE	MDSH24a2	DYZA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.680	UGL	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	11DCLE	MXSH24a2	DYZA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.680	UGL	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	12DCE	MDSH24a2	DYZA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	12DCE	MXSH24a2	DYZA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	12DCLE	MDSH24a2	DYZA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	12DCLE	MXSH24a2	DYZA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	12DCLP	MDSH24a2	DYZA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	12DCLP	MXSH24a2	DYZA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	.0

Table: H-18
Sample Duplicate Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Round 2

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	RPD
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	2CLEVE	MDSH24a2	DYZA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.710	UGL	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	2CLEVE	MXSH24a2	DYZA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.710	UGL	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	ACET	MDSH24a2	DYZA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	13.000	UGL	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	ACET	MXSH24a2	DYZA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	13.000	UGL	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	ACROLN	MDSH24a2	DYZA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	100.000	UGL	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	ACROLN	MXSH24a2	DYZA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	100.000	UGL	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	ACRYLO	MDSH24a2	DYZA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	100.000	UGL	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	ACRYLO	MXSH24a2	DYZA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	100.000	UGL	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	BRDCLM	MDSH24a2	DYZA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.590	UGL	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	BRDCLM	MXSH24a2	DYZA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.590	UGL	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	C13DCP	MDSH24a2	DYZA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.580	UGL	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	C13DCP	MXSH24a2	DYZA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.580	UGL	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	C2AVE	MDSH24a2	DYZA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	8.300	UGL	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	C2AVE	MXSH24a2	DYZA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	8.300	UGL	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	C2H3CL	MDSH24a2	DYZA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	2.600	UGL	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	C2H3CL	MXSH24a2	DYZA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	2.600	UGL	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	C2H5CL	MDSH24a2	DYZA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	1.900	UGL	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	C2H5CL	MXSH24a2	DYZA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	1.900	UGL	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	C6H6	MDSH24a2	DYZA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	C6H6	MXSH24a2	DYZA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	CCL3F	MDSH24a2	DYZA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	1.400	UGL	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	CCL3F	MXSH24a2	DYZA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	1.400	UGL	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	CCL4	MDSH24a2	DYZA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.580	UGL	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	CCL4	MXSH24a2	DYZA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.580	UGL	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	CH2CL2	MDSH24a2	DYZA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	2.300	UGL	.0

Table: H-18
Sample Duplicate Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Round 2

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	RPD
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	CH2CL2	MXSH24a2	DYZA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	2.300	UGL	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	CH3BR	MDSH24a2	DYZA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	5.800	UGL	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	CH3BR	MXSH24a2	DYZA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	5.800	UGL	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	CH3CL	MDSH24a2	DYZA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	3.200	UGL	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	CH3CL	MXSH24a2	DYZA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	3.200	UGL	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	CHBR3	MDSH24a2	DYZA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	2.600	UGL	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	CHBR3	MXSH24a2	DYZA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	2.600	UGL	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	CHCL3	MDSH24a2	DYZA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	CHCL3	MXSH24a2	DYZA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	CL2BZ	MDSH24a2	DYZA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	10.000	UGL	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	CL2BZ	MXSH24a2	DYZA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	10.000	UGL	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	CLC6H5	MDSH24a2	DYZA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	CLC6H5	MXSH24a2	DYZA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	CS2	MDSH24a2	DYZA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	CS2	MXSH24a2	DYZA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	DBRCLM	MDSH24a2	DYZA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.670	UGL	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	DBRCLM	MXSH24a2	DYZA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.670	UGL	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	ETC6H5	MDSH24a2	DYZA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	ETC6H5	MXSH24a2	DYZA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	MEC6H5	MDSH24a2	DYZA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	MEC6H5	MXSH24a2	DYZA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	MEK	MDSH24a2	DYZA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	6.400	UGL	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	MEK	MXSH24a2	DYZA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	6.400	UGL	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	MIBK	MDSH24a2	DYZA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	3.000	UGL	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	MIBK	MXSH24a2	DYZA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	3.000	UGL	.0

Table: H-18
Sample Duplicate Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Round 2

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	RPD
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	MNBK	MDSH24a2	DYZA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	3.600	UGL	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	MNBK	MXSH24a2	DYZA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	3.600	UGL	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	STYR	MDSH24a2	DYZA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	STYR	MXSH24a2	DYZA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	T13DCP	MDSH24a2	DYZA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.700	UGL	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	T13DCP	MXSH24a2	DYZA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.700	UGL	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	TCLEA	MDSH24a2	DYZA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.510	UGL	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	TCLEA	MXSH24a2	DYZA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.510	UGL	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	TCLEE	MDSH24a2	DYZA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	1.600	UGL	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	TCLEE	MXSH24a2	DYZA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	1.600	UGL	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	TRCLE	MDSH24a2	DYZA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	TRCLE	MXSH24a2	DYZA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	XYLEN	MDSH24a2	DYZA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.840	UGL	.0
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	XYLEN	MXSH24a2	DYZA	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.840	UGL	.0
PETN/NG IN WATER BY HPLC	UW19	NG	MDCS01a2	DMNA	02-JUN-1993	22-JUN-1993	<	10.000	UGL	.0
PETN/NG IN WATER BY HPLC	UW19	NG	MXCS01a2	DMNA	02-JUN-1993	22-JUN-1993	<	10.000	UGL	.0
PETN/NG IN WATER BY HPLC	UW19	NG	MDSH24a2	DMNA	02-JUN-1993	22-JUN-1993	<	10.000	UGL	.0
PETN/NG IN WATER BY HPLC	UW19	NG	MXSH24a2	DMNA	02-JUN-1993	22-JUN-1993	<	10.000	UGL	.0
PETN/NG IN WATER BY HPLC	UW19	PETN	MDCS01a2	DMNA	02-JUN-1993	22-JUN-1993	<	20.000	UGL	.0
PETN/NG IN WATER BY HPLC	UW19	PETN	MXCS01a2	DMNA	02-JUN-1993	22-JUN-1993	<	20.000	UGL	.0
PETN/NG IN WATER BY HPLC	UW19	PETN	MDSH24a2	DMNA	02-JUN-1993	22-JUN-1993	<	20.000	UGL	.0
PETN/NG IN WATER BY HPLC	UW19	PETN	MXSH24a2	DMNA	02-JUN-1993	22-JUN-1993	<	20.000	UGL	.0
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	135TNB	MDCS01a2	EHKA	02-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	0.449	UGL	.0
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	135TNB	MXCS01a2	EHKA	02-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	0.449	UGL	.0
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	135TNB	MDSH24a2	EHKA	02-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	0.449	UGL	.0
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	135TNB	MXSH24a2	EHKA	02-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	0.449	UGL	.0

Table: H-18
Sample Duplicate Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Round 2

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	RPD
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	13DNB	MDCS01a2	EHKA	02-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	0.611	UGL	.0
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	13DNB	MXCS01a2	EHKA	02-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	0.611	UGL	.0
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	13DNB	MDSH24a2	EHKA	02-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	0.611	UGL	.0
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	13DNB	MXSH24a2	EHKA	02-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	0.611	UGL	.0
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	246TNT	MDCS01a2	EHKA	02-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	0.635	UGL	.0
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	246TNT	MXCS01a2	EHKA	02-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	0.635	UGL	.0
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	246TNT	MDSH24a2	EHKA	02-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	0.635	UGL	.0
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	246TNT	MXSH24a2	EHKA	02-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	0.635	UGL	.0
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	24DNT	MDCS01a2	EHKA	02-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	0.064	UGL	.0
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	24DNT	MXCS01a2	EHKA	02-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	0.064	UGL	.0
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	24DNT	MDSH24a2	EHKA	02-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	0.064	UGL	.0
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	24DNT	MXSH24a2	EHKA	02-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	0.064	UGL	.0
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	26DNT	MDCS01a2	EHKA	02-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	0.074	UGL	.0
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	26DNT	MXCS01a2	EHKA	02-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	0.074	UGL	.0
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	26DNT	MDSH24a2	EHKA	02-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	0.074	UGL	.0
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	26DNT	MXSH24a2	EHKA	02-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	0.074	UGL	.0
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	HMX	MDCS01a2	EHKA	02-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	1.210	UGL	.0
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	HMX	MXCS01a2	EHKA	02-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	1.210	UGL	.0
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	HMX	MDSH24a2	EHKA	02-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	1.210	UGL	.0
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	HMX	MXSH24a2	EHKA	02-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	1.210	UGL	.0
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	NB	MDCS01a2	EHKA	02-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	0.645	UGL	.0
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	NB	MXCS01a2	EHKA	02-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	0.645	UGL	.0
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	NB	MDSH24a2	EHKA	02-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	0.645	UGL	.0
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	NB	MXSH24a2	EHKA	02-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	0.645	UGL	.0
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	RDX	MDCS01a2	EHKA	02-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	1.170	UGL	.0
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	RDX	MXCS01a2	EHKA	02-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	1.170	UGL	.0
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	RDX	MDSH24a2	EHKA	02-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	1.170	UGL	.0
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	RDX	MXSH24a2	EHKA	02-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	1.170	UGL	.0
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	TETRYL	MDCS01a2	EHKA	02-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	1.560	UGL	.0
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	TETRYL	MXCS01a2	EHKA	02-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	1.560	UGL	.0
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	TETRYL	MDSH24a2	EHKA	02-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	1.560	UGL	.0

Table: H-18
Sample Duplicate Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Round 2

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	RPD
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	TETRYL	MXSH24a2	EHKA	02-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	1.560	UGL	.0

Table: H-19
MS/MSD Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Round 2

MATRIX SPIKES/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATES

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	Spike Value	Value	Units	Percent Recovery	RPD
HG IN WATER BY CVAA	SB01	HG	MXSH01A2	DOUA	01-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	4.000	3.530	UGL	88.3	4.7
HG IN WATER BY CVAA	SB01	HG	MXSH01A2	DOUA	01-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	4.000	3.370	UGL	84.3	4.7
HG IN WATER BY CVAA	SB01	HG	MXSH01A2	DOUA	01-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	4.000	3.370	UGL	84.3	4.7
HG IN WATER BY CVAA	SB01	HG	MXSH01A2	DOUA	01-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	4.000	3.370	UGL	84.3	4.7
		**********								---	
		avg								85.3	
		minimum								84.3	
		maximum								88.3	
TL IN WATER BY GFAA	SD09	TL	MXSH01A2	DNOA	01-JUN-1993	17-JUN-1993	10.000	11.300	UGL	113.0	43.2
TL IN WATER BY GFAA	SD09	TL	MXSH01A2	DNOA	01-JUN-1993	17-JUN-1993	10.000	11.000	UGL	110.0	43.2
TL IN WATER BY GFAA	SD09	TL	MXSH01A2	DNOA	01-JUN-1993	17-JUN-1993	10.000	7.510	UGL	75.1	43.2
TL IN WATER BY GFAA	SD09	TL	MXSH01A2	DNOA	01-JUN-1993	17-JUN-1993	10.000	7.290	UGL	72.9	43.2
		**********								---	
		avg								92.8	
		minimum								72.9	
		maximum								113.0	
PB IN WATER BY GFAA	SD20	PB	MXSH01A2	EWBA	01-JUN-1993	17-JUN-1993	40.000	40.200	UGL	100.5	13.6
PB IN WATER BY GFAA	SD20	PB	MXSH01A2	EWBA	01-JUN-1993	17-JUN-1993	40.000	38.300	UGL	95.8	13.6
PB IN WATER BY GFAA	SD20	PB	MXSH01A2	EWBA	01-JUN-1993	17-JUN-1993	40.000	36.100	UGL	90.3	13.6
PB IN WATER BY GFAA	SD20	PB	MXSH01A2	EWBA	01-JUN-1993	17-JUN-1993	40.000	35.100	UGL	87.8	13.6
		**********								---	
		avg								93.6	
		minimum								87.8	
		maximum								100.5	
SE IN WATER BY GFAA	SD21	SE	MXSH01A2	EFJA	01-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	37.500	36.100	UGL	96.3	9.5
SE IN WATER BY GFAA	SD21	SE	MXSH01A2	EFJA	01-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	37.500	35.600	UGL	94.9	9.5
SE IN WATER BY GFAA	SD21	SE	MXSH01A2	EFJA	01-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	37.500	34.300	UGL	91.5	9.5
SE IN WATER BY GFAA	SD21	SE	MXSH01A2	EFJA	01-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	37.500	32.800	UGL	87.5	9.5
		**********								---	
		avg								92.5	
		minimum								87.5	
		maximum								96.3	
AS IN WATER BY GFAA	SD22	AS	MXSH01A2	ESCA	01-JUN-1993	17-JUN-1993	37.500	42.200	UGL	112.5	5.6
AS IN WATER BY GFAA	SD22	AS	MXSH01A2	ESCA	01-JUN-1993	17-JUN-1993	37.500	42.200	UGL	112.5	5.6
AS IN WATER BY GFAA	SD22	AS	MXSH01A2	ESCA	01-JUN-1993	17-JUN-1993	37.500	41.400	UGL	110.4	5.6
AS IN WATER BY GFAA	SD22	AS	MXSH01A2	ESCA	01-JUN-1993	17-JUN-1993	37.500	39.900	UGL	106.4	5.6

Table: H-19
MS/MSD Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Round 2

MATRIX SPIKES/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATES

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	Spike Value	Value	Units	Percent Recovery	RPD
		**********								----------	
		avg								110.5	
		minimum								106.4	
		maximum								112.5	
SB IN WATER BY GFAA	SD28	SB	MXSH01A2	YWW	01-JUN-1993	29-JUN-1993	80.000	75.600	UGL	94.5	4.3
SB IN WATER BY GFAA	SD28	SB	MXSH01A2	YWW	01-JUN-1993	29-JUN-1993	80.000	75.300	UGL	94.1	4.3
SB IN WATER BY GFAA	SD28	SB	MXSH01A2	YWW	01-JUN-1993	29-JUN-1993	80.000	74.500	UGL	93.1	4.3
SB IN WATER BY GFAA	SD28	SB	MXSH01A2	YWW	01-JUN-1993	29-JUN-1993	80.000	72.400	UGL	90.5	4.3
		**********								----------	
		avg								93.1	
		minimum								90.5	
		maximum								94.5	
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	AG	MXSH01A2	EVBA	01-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	50.000	50.800	UGL	101.6	4.2
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	AG	MXSH01A2	EVBA	01-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	50.000	48.700	UGL	97.4	4.2
		**********								----------	
		avg								99.5	
		minimum								97.4	
		maximum								101.6	
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	AL	MXSH01A2	EVBA	01-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	2000.000	2030.000	UGL	101.5	.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	AL	MXSH01A2	EVBA	01-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	2000.000	2030.000	UGL	101.5	.0
		**********								----------	
		avg								101.5	
		minimum								101.5	
		maximum								101.5	
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	BA	MXSH01A2	EVBA	01-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	2000.000	1880.000	UGL	94.0	.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	BA	MXSH01A2	EVBA	01-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	2000.000	1880.000	UGL	94.0	.0
		**********								----------	
		avg								94.0	
		minimum								94.0	
		maximum								94.0	
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	BE	MXSH01A2	EVBA	01-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	50.000	57.800	UGL	115.6	4.8
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	BE	MXSH01A2	EVBA	01-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	50.000	55.100	UGL	110.2	4.8
		**********								----------	
		avg								112.9	
		minimum								110.2	
		maximum								115.6	

Table: H-19
MS/MSD Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Round 2

MATRIX SPIKES/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATES

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	Spike Value	Value	Units	Percent Recovery	RPD
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	CA	MXSH01A2	EVBA	01-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	10000.000	10900.000	UGL	109.0	.9
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	CA	MXSH01A2	EVBA	01-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	10000.000	10800.000	UGL	108.0	.9
		**********								---	
		avg								108.5	
		minimum								108.0	
		maximum								109.0	
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	CD	MXSH01A2	EVBA	01-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	50.000	45.000	UGL	90.0	1.1
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	CD	MXSH01A2	EVBA	01-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	50.000	44.500	UGL	89.0	1.1
		**********								---	
		avg								89.5	
		minimum								89.0	
		maximum								90.0	
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	CO	MXSH01A2	EVBA	01-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	500.000	563.000	UGL	112.6	.7
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	CO	MXSH01A2	EVBA	01-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	500.000	559.000	UGL	111.8	.7
		**********								---	
		avg								112.2	
		minimum								111.8	
		maximum								112.6	
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	CR	MXSH01A2	EVBA	01-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	200.000	199.000	UGL	99.5	1.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	CR	MXSH01A2	EVBA	01-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	200.000	197.000	UGL	98.5	1.0
		**********								---	
		avg								99.0	
		minimum								98.5	
		maximum								99.5	
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	CU	MXSH01A2	EVBA	01-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	250.000	255.000	UGL	102.0	.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	CU	MXSH01A2	EVBA	01-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	250.000	255.000	UGL	102.0	.0
		**********								---	
		avg								102.0	
		minimum								102.0	
		maximum								102.0	
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	FE	MXSH01A2	EVBA	01-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	1000.000	1090.000	UGL	109.0	.9
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	FE	MXSH01A2	EVBA	01-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	1000.000	1080.000	UGL	108.0	.9
		**********								---	
		avg								108.5	
		minimum								108.0	
		maximum								109.0	
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	K	MXSH01A2	EVBA	01-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	10000.000	11300.000	UGL	113.0	.9
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	K	MXSH01A2	EVBA	01-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	10000.000	11200.000	UGL	112.0	.9

Table: H-19
MS/MSD Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Round 2

MATRIX SPIKES/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATES

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	Spike Value	Value	Units	Percent Recovery	RPD
		**********								----------	
		avg								112.5	
		minimum								112.0	
		maximum								113.0	
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	MG	MXSH01A2	EVBA	01-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	10000.000	10400.000	UGL	104.0	1.0
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	MG	MXSH01A2	EVBA	01-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	10000.000	10300.000	UGL	103.0	1.0
		**********								----------	
		avg								103.5	
		minimum								103.0	
		maximum								104.0	
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	MN	MXSH01A2	EVBA	01-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	500.000	518.000	UGL	103.6	1.6
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	MN	MXSH01A2	EVBA	01-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	500.000	510.000	UGL	102.0	1.6
		**********								----------	
		avg								102.8	
		minimum								102.0	
		maximum								103.6	
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	NA	MXSH01A2	EVBA	01-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	10000.000	10800.000	UGL	108.0	1.9
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	NA	MXSH01A2	EVBA	01-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	10000.000	10600.000	UGL	106.0	1.9
		**********								----------	
		avg								107.0	
		minimum								106.0	
		maximum								108.0	
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	NI	MXSH01A2	EVBA	01-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	500.000	571.000	UGL	114.2	.9
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	NI	MXSH01A2	EVBA	01-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	500.000	566.000	UGL	113.2	.9
		**********								----------	
		avg								113.7	
		minimum								113.2	
		maximum								114.2	
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	V	MXSH01A2	EVBA	01-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	500.000	527.000	UGL	105.4	.2
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	V	MXSH01A2	EVBA	01-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	500.000	526.000	UGL	105.2	.2
		**********								----------	
		avg								105.3	
		minimum								105.2	
		maximum								105.4	
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	ZN	MXSH01A2	EVBA	01-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	500.000	514.000	UGL	102.8	.8
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	ZN	MXSH01A2	EVBA	01-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	500.000	510.000	UGL	102.0	.8
		**********								----------	
		avg								102.4	

Table: H-19
MS/MSD Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Round 2

MATRIX SPIKES/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATES

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	Spike Value	Value	Units	Percent Recovery	RPD
		minimum								102.0	
		maximum								102.8	
	UH02	CL10BP	MXSH01A2	DPJA	01-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	1.250	0.690	UGL	55.2	30.5
	UH02	CL10BP	MXSH01A2	DPJA	01-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	1.250	0.570	UGL	45.6	30.5
	UH02	CL10BP	MXSH01A2	DPJA	01-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	1.250	0.510	UGL	40.8	30.5
		**********								---	
		avg								47.2	
		minimum								40.8	
		maximum								55.2	
	UH02	PCB016	MXSH01A2	DPJA	01-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	3.750	3.390	UGL	90.4	7.3
	UH02	PCB016	MXSH01A2	DPJA	01-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	3.750	3.150	UGL	84.0	7.3
		**********								---	
		avg								87.2	
		minimum								84.0	
		maximum								90.4	
	UH02	PCB260	MXSH01A2	DPJA	01-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	3.750	2.950	UGL	78.7	24.8
	UH02	PCB260	MXSH01A2	DPJA	01-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	3.750	2.300	UGL	61.3	24.8
		**********								---	
		avg								70.0	
		minimum								61.3	
		maximum								78.7	
	UH13	AENSLF	MXSH01A2	DWOA	01-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	0.500	0.449	UGL	89.8	16.4
	UH13	AENSLF	MXSH01A2	DWOA	01-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	0.500	0.381	UGL	76.2	16.4
		**********								---	
		avg								83.0	
		minimum								76.2	
		maximum								89.8	
	UH13	ALDRN	MXSH01A2	DWOA	01-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	0.500	0.509	UGL	101.8	7.8
	UH13	ALDRN	MXSH01A2	DWOA	01-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	0.500	0.471	UGL	94.2	7.8
		**********								---	
		avg								98.0	
		minimum								94.2	
		maximum								101.8	
	UH13	BENSLF	MXSH01A2	DWOA	01-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	0.500	0.485	UGL	97.0	15.3
	UH13	BENSLF	MXSH01A2	DWOA	01-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	0.500	0.416	UGL	83.2	15.3
		**********								---	

Table: H-19
MS/MSD Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Round 2

MATRIX SPIKES/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATES

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	Spike Value	Value	Units	Percent Recovery	RPD
		avg								90.1	
		minimum								83.2	
		maximum								97.0	
	UH13	CL10BP	MXSH01A2	DWOA	01-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	1.250	1.100	UGL	88.0	27.6
	UH13	CL10BP	MXSH01A2	DWOA	01-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	1.250	1.000	UGL	80.0	27.6
	UH13	CL10BP	MXSH01A2	DWOA	01-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	1.250	0.830	UGL	66.4	27.6
		**********								---	
		avg								78.1	
		minimum								66.4	
		maximum								88.0	
	UH13	CL4XYL	MXSH01A2	DWOA	01-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	1.250	0.841	UGL	67.3	12.8
	UH13	CL4XYL	MXSH01A2	DWOA	01-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	1.250	0.784	UGL	62.7	12.8
	UH13	CL4XYL	MXSH01A2	DWOA	01-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	1.250	0.740	UGL	59.2	12.8
		**********								---	
		avg								63.1	
		minimum								59.2	
		maximum								67.3	
	UH13	DLDRN	MXSH01A2	DWOA	01-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	0.500	0.484	UGL	96.8	14.9
	UH13	DLDRN	MXSH01A2	DWOA	01-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	0.500	0.417	UGL	83.4	14.9
		**********								---	
		avg								90.1	
		minimum								83.4	
		maximum								96.8	
	UH13	ENDRN	MXSH01A2	DWOA	01-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	0.500	0.402	UGL	80.4	15.5
	UH13	ENDRN	MXSH01A2	DWOA	01-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	0.500	0.344	UGL	68.8	15.5
		**********								---	
		avg								74.6	
		minimum								68.8	
		maximum								80.4	
	UH13	HPCL	MXSH01A2	DWOA	01-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	0.500	0.472	UGL	94.4	14.5
	UH13	HPCL	MXSH01A2	DWOA	01-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	0.500	0.408	UGL	81.6	14.5
		**********								---	
		avg								88.0	
		minimum								81.6	
		maximum								94.4	
	UH13	ISODR	MXSH01A2	DWOA	01-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	1.000	0.800	UGL	80.0	13.0
	UH13	ISODR	MXSH01A2	DWOA	01-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	1.000	0.702	UGL	70.2	13.0
		**********								---	

Table: H-19
MS/MSD Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Round 2

MATRIX SPIKES/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATES

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	Spike Value	Value	Units	Percent Recovery	RPD
		avg								75.1	
		minimum								70.2	
		maximum								80.0	
	UH13	LIN	MXSH01A2	DWOA	01-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	0.500	0.419	UGL	83.8	14.6
	UH13	LIN	MXSH01A2	DWOA	01-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	0.500	0.362	UGL	72.4	14.6
		**********								---	
		avg								78.1	
		minimum								72.4	
		maximum								83.8	
	UH13	MEXCLR	MXSH01A2	DWOA	01-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	1.000	1.190	UGL	119.0	15.4
	UH13	MEXCLR	MXSH01A2	DWOA	01-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	1.000	1.020	UGL	102.0	15.4
		**********								---	
		avg								110.5	
		minimum								102.0	
		maximum								119.0	
	UH13	PPDDT	MXSH01A2	DWOA	01-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	0.500	0.555	UGL	111.0	9.6
	UH13	PPDDT	MXSH01A2	DWOA	01-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	0.500	0.504	UGL	100.8	9.6
		**********								---	
		avg								105.9	
		minimum								100.8	
		maximum								111.0	
PETN/NG IN WATER BY HPLC	UW19	NG	MXSH01A2	DMNA	01-JUN-1993	14-JUL-1993	160.000	144.000	UGL	90.0	245.1
PETN/NG IN WATER BY HPLC	UW19	NG	MXSH01A2	DMNA	01-JUN-1993	22-JUN-1993	160.000	10.000	UGL	6.3	245.1
PETN/NG IN WATER BY HPLC	UW19	NG	MXSH01A2	DMNA	01-JUN-1993	22-JUN-1993	160.000	10.000	UGL	6.3	245.1
		**********								---	
		avg								34.2	
		minimum								6.3	
		maximum								90.0	
PETN/NG IN WATER BY HPLC	UW19	PETN	MXSH01A2	DMNA	01-JUN-1993	14-JUL-1993	318.000	276.000	UGL	86.8	243.0
PETN/NG IN WATER BY HPLC	UW19	PETN	MXSH01A2	DMNA	01-JUN-1993	22-JUN-1993	318.000	20.000	UGL	6.3	243.0
PETN/NG IN WATER BY HPLC	UW19	PETN	MXSH01A2	DMNA	01-JUN-1993	22-JUN-1993	318.000	20.000	UGL	6.3	243.0
		**********								---	
		avg								33.1	
		minimum								6.3	
		maximum								86.8	
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	135TNB	MXSH01A2	EHLA	01-JUN-1993	22-JUN-1993	9.340	9.110	UGL	97.5	6.6

Table: H-19
MS/MSD Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Round 2

MATRIX SPIKES/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATES

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	IRDMIS Sample Number	Lot	Sample Date	Analysis Date	Spike Value	Value	Units	Percent Recovery	RPD
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	135TNB	MXSH01A2	EHLA	01-JUN-1993	22-JUN-1993	9.340	8.530	UGL	91.3	6.6
		**********								----------	
		avg								94.4	
		minimum								91.3	
		maximum								97.5	
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	246TNT	MXSH01A2	EHLA	01-JUN-1993	22-JUN-1993	13.000	12.200	UGL	93.8	4.2
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	246TNT	MXSH01A2	EHLA	01-JUN-1993	22-JUN-1993	13.000	11.700	UGL	90.0	4.2
		**********								----------	
		avg								91.9	
		minimum								90.0	
		maximum								93.8	
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	24DNT	MXSH01A2	EHLA	01-JUN-1993	22-JUN-1993	1.360	1.240	UGL	91.2	2.4
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	24DNT	MXSH01A2	EHLA	01-JUN-1993	22-JUN-1993	1.360	1.210	UGL	89.0	2.4
		**********								----------	
		avg								90.1	
		minimum								89.0	
		maximum								91.2	
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	34DNT	MXSH01A2	EHLA	01-JUN-1993	22-JUN-1993	5.900	5.800	UGL	98.3	7.7
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	34DNT	MXSH01A2	EHLA	01-JUN-1993	22-JUN-1993	5.900	5.620	UGL	95.3	7.7
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	34DNT	MXSH01A2	EHLA	01-JUN-1993	22-JUN-1993	5.900	5.370	UGL	91.0	7.7
		**********								----------	
		avg								94.9	
		minimum								91.0	
		maximum								98.3	
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	NB	MXSH01A2	EHLA	01-JUN-1993	22-JUN-1993	11.500	10.300	UGL	89.6	5.1
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	NB	MXSH01A2	EHLA	01-JUN-1993	22-JUN-1993	11.500	9.790	UGL	85.1	5.1
		**********								----------	
		avg								87.3	
		minimum								85.1	
		maximum								89.6	
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	RDX	MXSH01A2	EHLA	01-JUN-1993	22-JUN-1993	23.800	22.000	UGL	92.4	6.6
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	RDX	MXSH01A2	EHLA	01-JUN-1993	22-JUN-1993	23.800	20.600	UGL	86.6	6.6
		**********								----------	
		avg								89.5	
		minimum								86.6	
		maximum								92.4	

Table: H-20
Summary of Detected Analytes in Quality Control Samples
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

RINSATE BLANKS

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	MIN Value	MAX Value	Units	Frequency
	00	TOC	6740	6740	UGL	1 / 1
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	MN	5.78	5.78	UGL	1 / 1
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	DNBP	5.8	5.8	UGL	1 / 1
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		UNK583	6	6	UGL	1 / 1
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		UNK596	10	10	UGL	1 / 1
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	111TCE	6.6	6.6	UGL	1 / 1
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		CHCL3	2.2	2.2	UGL	1 / 1

Table: H-21
Summary of Detected Analytes in Quality Control Samples
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

METHOD BLANKS

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	MIN Value	MAX Value	Units	Frequency
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	12EPCH	6	6	UGL	1 / 1
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	CHCL3	1.8	1.8	UGL	1 / 2

Table: H-22
Summary of Detected Analytes in Quality Control Samples
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A

TRIP BLANKS

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	MIN Value	MAX Value	Units	Frequency
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	CHCL3	0.82	0.82	UGL	1 / 2

Table: H-23
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Round 2 Trip Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	DYYA	111TCE	DVTRP056	DVTRP*56	04-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-056
	DYYA	112TCE	DVTRP056	DVTRP*56	04-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	<	1.200	UGL	TRP-93-056
	DYYA	11DCE	DVTRP056	DVTRP*56	04-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-056
	DYYA	11DCLE	DVTRP056	DVTRP*56	04-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	<	0.680	UGL	TRP-93-056
	DYYA	12DCE	DVTRP056	DVTRP*56	04-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-056
	DYYA	12DCLE	DVTRP056	DVTRP*56	04-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-056
	DYYA	12DCLP	DVTRP056	DVTRP*56	04-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-056
	DYYA	2CLEVE	DVTRP056	DVTRP*56	04-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	<	0.710	UGL	TRP-93-056
	DYYA	ACET	DVTRP056	DVTRP*56	04-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	<	13.000	UGL	TRP-93-056
	DYYA	ACROLN	DVTRP056	DVTRP*56	04-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	<	100.000	UGL	TRP-93-056
	DYYA	ACRYLO	DVTRP056	DVTRP*56	04-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	<	100.000	UGL	TRP-93-056
	DYYA	BRDCLM	DVTRP056	DVTRP*56	04-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	<	0.590	UGL	TRP-93-056
	DYYA	C13DCP	DVTRP056	DVTRP*56	04-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	<	0.580	UGL	TRP-93-056
	DYYA	C2AVE	DVTRP056	DVTRP*56	04-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	<	8.300	UGL	TRP-93-056
	DYYA	C2H3CL	DVTRP056	DVTRP*56	04-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	<	2.600	UGL	TRP-93-056
	DYYA	C2H5CL	DVTRP056	DVTRP*56	04-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	<	1.900	UGL	TRP-93-056
	DYYA	C6H6	DVTRP056	DVTRP*56	04-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-056
	DYYA	CCL3F	DVTRP056	DVTRP*56	04-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	<	1.400	UGL	TRP-93-056
	DYYA	CCL4	DVTRP056	DVTRP*56	04-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	<	0.580	UGL	TRP-93-056
	DYYA	CH2CL2	DVTRP056	DVTRP*56	04-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	<	2.300	UGL	TRP-93-056
	DYYA	CH3BR	DVTRP056	DVTRP*56	04-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	<	5.800	UGL	TRP-93-056
	DYYA	CH3CL	DVTRP056	DVTRP*56	04-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	<	3.200	UGL	TRP-93-056
	DYYA	CHBR3	DVTRP056	DVTRP*56	04-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	<	2.600	UGL	TRP-93-056
	DYYA	CHCL3	DVTRP056	DVTRP*56	04-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993		0.820	UGL	TRP-93-056
	DYYA	CL2BZ	DVTRP056	DVTRP*56	04-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	<	10.000	UGL	TRP-93-056
	DYYA	CLC6H5	DVTRP056	DVTRP*56	04-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-056
	DYYA	CS2	DVTRP056	DVTRP*56	04-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-056
	DYYA	DBRCLM	DVTRP056	DVTRP*56	04-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	<	0.670	UGL	TRP-93-056
	DYYA	ETC6H5	DVTRP056	DVTRP*56	04-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-056
	DYYA	MEC6H5	DVTRP056	DVTRP*56	04-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-056
	DYYA	MEK	DVTRP056	DVTRP*56	04-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	<	6.400	UGL	TRP-93-056
	DYYA	MIBK	DVTRP056	DVTRP*56	04-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	<	3.000	UGL	TRP-93-056
	DYYA	MNBK	DVTRP056	DVTRP*56	04-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	<	3.600	UGL	TRP-93-056
	DYYA	STYR	DVTRP056	DVTRP*56	04-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-056
	DYYA	T13DCP	DVTRP056	DVTRP*56	04-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	<	0.700	UGL	TRP-93-056
	DYYA	TCLEA	DVTRP056	DVTRP*56	04-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	<	0.510	UGL	TRP-93-056
	DYYA	TCLEE	DVTRP056	DVTRP*56	04-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	<	1.600	UGL	TRP-93-056

Table: H-23
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Round 2 Trip Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	DYYA	TRCLE	DVTRP056	DVTRP*56	04-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-056
	DYYA	XYLEN	DVTRP056	DVTRP*56	04-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	<	0.840	UGL	TRP-93-056
	DYZA	111TCE	DVTRP053	DVTRP*53	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-053
	DYZA	112TCE	DVTRP053	DVTRP*53	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	1.200	UGL	TRP-93-053
	DYZA	11DCE	DVTRP053	DVTRP*53	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-053
	DYZA	11DCLE	DVTRP053	DVTRP*53	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.680	UGL	TRP-93-053
	DYZA	12DCE	DVTRP053	DVTRP*53	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-053
	DYZA	12DCLE	DVTRP053	DVTRP*53	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-053
	DYZA	12DCLP	DVTRP053	DVTRP*53	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-053
	DYZA	2CLEVE	DVTRP053	DVTRP*53	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.710	UGL	TRP-93-053
	DYZA	ACET	DVTRP053	DVTRP*53	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	13.000	UGL	TRP-93-053
	DYZA	ACROLN	DVTRP053	DVTRP*53	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	100.000	UGL	TRP-93-053
	DYZA	ACRYLO	DVTRP053	DVTRP*53	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	100.000	UGL	TRP-93-053
	DYZA	BRDCLM	DVTRP053	DVTRP*53	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.590	UGL	TRP-93-053
	DYZA	C13DCP	DVTRP053	DVTRP*53	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.580	UGL	TRP-93-053
	DYZA	C2AVE	DVTRP053	DVTRP*53	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	8.300	UGL	TRP-93-053
	DYZA	C2H3CL	DVTRP053	DVTRP*53	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	2.600	UGL	TRP-93-053
	DYZA	C2H5CL	DVTRP053	DVTRP*53	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	1.900	UGL	TRP-93-053
	DYZA	C6H6	DVTRP053	DVTRP*53	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-053
	DYZA	CCL3F	DVTRP053	DVTRP*53	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	1.400	UGL	TRP-93-053
	DYZA	CCL4	DVTRP053	DVTRP*53	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.580	UGL	TRP-93-053
	DYZA	CH2CL2	DVTRP053	DVTRP*53	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	2.300	UGL	TRP-93-053
	DYZA	CH3BR	DVTRP053	DVTRP*53	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	5.800	UGL	TRP-93-053
	DYZA	CH3CL	DVTRP053	DVTRP*53	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	3.200	UGL	TRP-93-053
	DYZA	CHBR3	DVTRP053	DVTRP*53	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	2.600	UGL	TRP-93-053
	DYZA	CHCL3	DVTRP053	DVTRP*53	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-053
	DYZA	CL2BZ	DVTRP053	DVTRP*53	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	10.000	UGL	TRP-93-053
	DYZA	CLC6H5	DVTRP053	DVTRP*53	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-053
	DYZA	CS2	DVTRP053	DVTRP*53	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-053
	DYZA	DBRCLM	DVTRP053	DVTRP*53	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.670	UGL	TRP-93-053
	DYZA	ETC6H5	DVTRP053	DVTRP*53	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-053
	DYZA	MEC6H5	DVTRP053	DVTRP*53	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-053
	DYZA	MEK	DVTRP053	DVTRP*53	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	6.400	UGL	TRP-93-053
	DYZA	MIBK	DVTRP053	DVTRP*53	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	3.000	UGL	TRP-93-053
	DYZA	MNBK	DVTRP053	DVTRP*53	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	3.600	UGL	TRP-93-053
	DYZA	STYR	DVTRP053	DVTRP*53	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-053
	DYZA	T13DCP	DVTRP053	DVTRP*53	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.700	UGL	TRP-93-053

Table: H-23
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Round 2 Trip Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	DYZA	TCLEA	DVTRP053	DVTRP*53	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.510	UGL	TRP-93-053
	DYZA	TCLEE	DVTRP053	DVTRP*53	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	1.600	UGL	TRP-93-053
	DYZA	TRCLE	DVTRP053	DVTRP*53	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	TRP-93-053
	DYZA	XYLEN	DVTRP053	DVTRP*53	02-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.840	UGL	TRP-93-053

Table: H-24
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Round 2 Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
00	EODA	TOC				08-JUN-1993	08-JUN-1993	<	1000.000	UGL	
	EODA	TOC				08-JUN-1993	08-JUN-1993	<	1000.000	UGL	
	EODA	TOC				08-JUN-1993	09-JUN-1993	<	1000.000	UGL	
	EOUA	TSS				07-JUN-1993	07-JUN-1993	<	4000.000	UGL	
	EOVA	TDS				07-JUN-1993	07-JUN-1993	<	10000.000	UGL	
	EOWA	HARD				15-JUN-1993	15-JUN-1993	<	1000.000	UGL	
	EOXA	ALK				09-JUN-1993	09-JUN-1993	<	5000.000	UGL	
	FDEA	TDS				10-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	10000.000	UGL	
	FDFA	TSS				10-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	4000.000	UGL	
SB01	DOUA	HG				16-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	0.243	UGL	
SD09	DNOA	TL				14-JUN-1993	17-JUN-1993	<	6.990	UGL	
SD20	EWBA	PB				14-JUN-1993	17-JUN-1993	<	1.260	UGL	
SD21	EFJA	SE				14-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	3.020	UGL	
SD22	ESCA	AS				14-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	2.540	UGL	
SD28	YWW	SB				25-JUN-1993	29-JUN-1993	<	3.030	UGL	
SS10	EVBA	AG				14-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	4.600	UGL	
	EVBA	AL				14-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	141.000	UGL	
	EVBA	BA				14-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	5.000	UGL	
	EVBA	BE				14-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	5.000	UGL	
	EVBA	CA				14-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	500.000	UGL	
	EVBA	CD				14-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	4.010	UGL	
	EVBA	CO				14-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	25.000	UGL	
	EVBA	CR				14-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	6.020	UGL	
	EVBA	CU				14-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	8.090	UGL	
	EVBA	FE				14-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	38.800	UGL	
	EVBA	K				14-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	375.000	UGL	
	EVBA	MG				14-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	500.000	UGL	
	EVBA	MN				14-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	2.750	UGL	
	EVBA	NA				14-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	500.000	UGL	
	EVBA	NI				14-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	34.300	UGL	

Table: H-24
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Round 2 Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
SS10	EVBA	V				14-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	11.000	UGL	
	EVBA	ZN				14-JUN-1993	21-JUN-1993	<	21.100	UGL	
UH02	DPJA	PCB016				07-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.160	UGL	
	DPJA	PCB221				07-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.160	UGL	
	DPJA	PCB232				07-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.160	UGL	
	DPJA	PCB242				07-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.190	UGL	
	DPJA	PCB248				07-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.190	UGL	
	DPJA	PCB254				07-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.190	UGL	
	DPJA	PCB260				07-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.190	UGL	
	DPKA	PCB016				09-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	0.160	UGL	
	DPKA	PCB221				09-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	0.160	UGL	
	DPKA	PCB232				09-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	0.160	UGL	
	DPKA	PCB242				09-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	0.190	UGL	
	DPKA	PCB248				09-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	0.190	UGL	
	DPKA	PCB254				09-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	0.190	UGL	
	DPKA	PCB260				09-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	0.190	UGL	
UH13	DWOA	ABHC				07-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.039	UGL	
	DWOA	ACLDAN				07-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.075	UGL	
	DWOA	AENSLF				07-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.023	UGL	
	DWOA	ALDRN				07-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.092	UGL	
	DWOA	BBHC				07-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.024	UGL	
	DWOA	BENSLF				07-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.023	UGL	
	DWOA	DBHC				07-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.029	UGL	
	DWOA	DLDRN				07-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.024	UGL	
	DWOA	ENDRN				07-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.024	UGL	
	DWOA	ENDRNA				07-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.029	UGL	
	DWOA	ENDRNK				07-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.029	UGL	
	DWOA	ESFSO4				07-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.079	UGL	
	DWOA	GCLDAN				07-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.075	UGL	
	DWOA	HPCL				07-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.042	UGL	
	DWOA	HPCLE				07-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.025	UGL	
	DWOA	ISODR				07-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.056	UGL	
	DWOA	LIN				07-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.051	UGL	
	DWOA	MEXCLR				07-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.057	UGL	
	DWOA	PPDDD				07-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.023	UGL	

Table: H-24
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Round 2 Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UH13	DWOA	PPDDE				07-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.027	UGL	
	DWOA	PPDDT				07-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.034	UGL	
	DWOA	TXPHEN				07-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	1.350	UGL	
	FBAA	ABHC				09-JUN-1993	15-JUN-1993	<	0.039	UGL	
	FBAA	ACLDAN				09-JUN-1993	15-JUN-1993	<	0.075	UGL	
	FBAA	AENSLF				09-JUN-1993	15-JUN-1993	<	0.023	UGL	
	FBAA	ALDRN				09-JUN-1993	15-JUN-1993	<	0.092	UGL	
	FBAA	BBHC				09-JUN-1993	15-JUN-1993	<	0.024	UGL	
	FBAA	BENSLF				09-JUN-1993	15-JUN-1993	<	0.023	UGL	
	FBAA	DBHC				09-JUN-1993	15-JUN-1993	<	0.029	UGL	
	FBAA	DLDRN				09-JUN-1993	15-JUN-1993	<	0.024	UGL	
	FBAA	ENDRN				09-JUN-1993	15-JUN-1993	<	0.024	UGL	
	FBAA	ENDRNA				09-JUN-1993	15-JUN-1993	<	0.029	UGL	
	FBAA	ENDRNK				09-JUN-1993	15-JUN-1993	<	0.029	UGL	
	FBAA	ESFSO4				09-JUN-1993	15-JUN-1993	<	0.079	UGL	
	FBAA	GCLDAN				09-JUN-1993	15-JUN-1993	<	0.075	UGL	
	FBAA	HPCL				09-JUN-1993	15-JUN-1993	<	0.042	UGL	
	FBAA	HPCLE				09-JUN-1993	15-JUN-1993	<	0.025	UGL	
	FBAA	ISODR				09-JUN-1993	15-JUN-1993	<	0.056	UGL	
	FBAA	LIN				09-JUN-1993	15-JUN-1993	<	0.051	UGL	
	FBAA	MEXCLR				09-JUN-1993	15-JUN-1993	<	0.057	UGL	
	FBAA	PPDDD				09-JUN-1993	15-JUN-1993	<	0.023	UGL	
	FBAA	PPDDE				09-JUN-1993	15-JUN-1993	<	0.027	UGL	
	FBAA	PPDDT				09-JUN-1993	15-JUN-1993	<	0.034	UGL	
	FBAA	TXPHEN				09-JUN-1993	15-JUN-1993	<	1.350	UGL	
UM18	ETCA	124TCB				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	1.800	UGL	
	ETCA	12DCLB				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	ETCA	12DPH				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	2.000	UGL	
	ETCA	12EPCH				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993		6.000	UGL	
	ETCA	13DCLB				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	ETCA	14DCLB				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	ETCA	245TCP				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	5.200	UGL	
	ETCA	246TCP				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	4.200	UGL	
	ETCA	24DCLP				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	2.900	UGL	
	ETCA	24DMPN				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	5.800	UGL	
	ETCA	24DNP				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	21.000	UGL	

Table: H-24
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Round 2 Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM18	ETCA	24DNT				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	4.500	UGL	
	ETCA	26DNT				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.790	UGL	
	ETCA	2CLP				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.990	UGL	
	ETCA	2CNAP				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	ETCA	2MNAP				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	ETCA	2MP				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	3.900	UGL	
	ETCA	2NANIL				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	4.300	UGL	
	ETCA	2NP				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	3.700	UGL	
	ETCA	33DCBD				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	12.000	UGL	
	ETCA	3NANIL				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	4.900	UGL	
	ETCA	46DN2C				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	17.000	UGL	
	ETCA	4BRPPE				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	4.200	UGL	
	ETCA	4CANIL				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	7.300	UGL	
	ETCA	4CL3C				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	ETCA	4CLPPE				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	5.100	UGL	
	ETCA	4MP				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.520	UGL	
	ETCA	4NANIL				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	5.200	UGL	
	ETCA	4NP				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	12.000	UGL	
	ETCA	ABHC				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	ETCA	ACLDAN				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	5.100	UGL	
	ETCA	AENSLF				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	9.200	UGL	
	ETCA	ALDRN				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	4.700	UGL	
	ETCA	ANAPNE				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	ETCA	ANAPYL				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	ETCA	ANTRC				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	ETCA	B2CEXM				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	1.500	UGL	
	ETCA	B2CIPE				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	5.300	UGL	
	ETCA	B2CLEE				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	1.900	UGL	
	ETCA	B2EHP				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	4.800	UGL	
	ETCA	BAANTR				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	1.600	UGL	
	ETCA	BAPYR				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	4.700	UGL	
	ETCA	BBFANT				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	5.400	UGL	
	ETCA	BBHC				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	ETCA	BBZP				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	3.400	UGL	
	ETCA	BENSLF				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	9.200	UGL	
	ETCA	BENZID				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	10.000	UGL	
	ETCA	BENZOA				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	13.000	UGL	

Table: H-24
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Round 2 Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM18	ETCA	BGHIPY				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	6.100	UGL	
	ETCA	BKFANT				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.870	UGL	
	ETCA	BZALC				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.720	UGL	
	ETCA	CARBAZ				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	ETCA	CHRY				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	2.400	UGL	
	ETCA	CL6BZ				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	1.600	UGL	
	ETCA	CL6CP				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	8.600	UGL	
	ETCA	CL6ET				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	1.500	UGL	
	ETCA	DBAHA				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	6.500	UGL	
	ETCA	DBHC				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	ETCA	DBZFUR				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	ETCA	DEP				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	2.000	UGL	
	ETCA	DLDRN				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	4.700	UGL	
	ETCA	DMP				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	1.500	UGL	
	ETCA	DNBP				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	3.700	UGL	
	ETCA	DNOP				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	15.000	UGL	
	ETCA	ENDRN				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	7.600	UGL	
	ETCA	ENDRNA				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	8.000	UGL	
	ETCA	ENDRNK				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	8.000	UGL	
	ETCA	ESFSO4				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	9.200	UGL	
	ETCA	FANT				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	3.300	UGL	
	ETCA	FLRENE				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	3.700	UGL	
	ETCA	GCLDAN				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	5.100	UGL	
	ETCA	HCBD				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	3.400	UGL	
	ETCA	HPCL				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	2.000	UGL	
	ETCA	HPCLE				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	5.000	UGL	
	ETCA	ICDPYR				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	8.600	UGL	
	ETCA	ISOPHR				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	4.800	UGL	
	ETCA	LIN				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	ETCA	MEXCLR				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	5.100	UGL	
	ETCA	NAP				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	ETCA	NB				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	ETCA	NNDMEA				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	2.000	UGL	
	ETCA	NNDNPA				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	4.400	UGL	
	ETCA	NNDPA				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	3.000	UGL	
	ETCA	PCB016				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	21.000	UGL	
	ETCA	PCB221				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	21.000	UGL	

Table: H-24
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Round 2 Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM18	ETCA	PCB232				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	21.000	UGL	
	ETCA	PCB242				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	30.000	UGL	
	ETCA	PCB248				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	30.000	UGL	
	ETCA	PCB254				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	36.000	UGL	
	ETCA	PCB260				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	36.000	UGL	
	ETCA	PCP				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	18.000	UGL	
	ETCA	PHANTR				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	ETCA	PHENOL				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	9.200	UGL	
	ETCA	PPDDD				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	ETCA	PPDDE				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	4.700	UGL	
	ETCA	PPDDT				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	9.200	UGL	
	ETCA	PYR				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	2.800	UGL	
	ETCA	TXPHEN				08-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	36.000	UGL	
	ETDA	124TCB				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	1.800	UGL	
	ETDA	12DCLB				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	ETDA	12DPH				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	2.000	UGL	
	ETDA	13DCLB				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	ETDA	14DCLB				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	ETDA	245TCP				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	5.200	UGL	
	ETDA	246TCP				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	4.200	UGL	
	ETDA	24DCLP				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	2.900	UGL	
	ETDA	24DMPN				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	5.800	UGL	
	ETDA	24DNP				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	21.000	UGL	
	ETDA	24DNT				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	4.500	UGL	
	ETDA	26DNT				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	0.790	UGL	
	ETDA	2CLP				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	0.990	UGL	
	ETDA	2CNAP				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	ETDA	2MNAP				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	ETDA	2MP				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	3.900	UGL	
	ETDA	2NANIL				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	4.300	UGL	
	ETDA	2NP				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	3.700	UGL	
	ETDA	33DCBD				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	12.000	UGL	
	ETDA	3NANIL				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	4.900	UGL	
	ETDA	46DN2C				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	17.000	UGL	
	ETDA	4BRPPE				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	4.200	UGL	
	ETDA	4CANIL				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	7.300	UGL	
	ETDA	4CL3C				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	4.000	UGL	

Table: H-24
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Round 2 Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM18	ETDA	4CLPPE				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	5.100	UGL	
	ETDA	4MP				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	0.520	UGL	
	ETDA	4NANIL				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	5.200	UGL	
	ETDA	4NP				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	12.000	UGL	
	ETDA	ABHC				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	ETDA	ACLDAN				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	5.100	UGL	
	ETDA	AENSLF				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	9.200	UGL	
	ETDA	ALDRN				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	4.700	UGL	
	ETDA	ANAPNE				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	ETDA	ANAPYL				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	ETDA	ANTRC				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	ETDA	B2CEXM				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	1.500	UGL	
	ETDA	B2CIPE				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	5.300	UGL	
	ETDA	B2CLEE				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	1.900	UGL	
	ETDA	B2EHP				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	4.800	UGL	
	ETDA	BAANTR				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	1.600	UGL	
	ETDA	BAPYR				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	4.700	UGL	
	ETDA	BBFANT				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	5.400	UGL	
	ETDA	BBHC				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	ETDA	BBZP				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	3.400	UGL	
	ETDA	BENSLF				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	9.200	UGL	
	ETDA	BENZID				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	10.000	UGL	
	ETDA	BENZOA				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	13.000	UGL	
	ETDA	BGHIPY				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	6.100	UGL	
	ETDA	BKFANT				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	0.870	UGL	
	ETDA	BZALC				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	0.720	UGL	
	ETDA	CARBAZ				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	ETDA	CHRY				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	2.400	UGL	
	ETDA	CL6BZ				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	1.600	UGL	
	ETDA	CL6CP				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	8.600	UGL	
	ETDA	CL6ET				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	1.500	UGL	
	ETDA	DBAHA				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	6.500	UGL	
	ETDA	DBHC				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	ETDA	DBZFUR				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	1.700	UGL	
	ETDA	DEP				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	2.000	UGL	
	ETDA	DLDRN				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	4.700	UGL	
	ETDA	DMP				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	1.500	UGL	

Table: H-24
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Round 2 Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM18	ETDA	DNBP				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	3.700	UGL	
	ETDA	DNOP				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	15.000	UGL	
	ETDA	ENDRN				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	7.600	UGL	
	ETDA	ENDRNA				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	8.000	UGL	
	ETDA	ENDRNK				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	8.000	UGL	
	ETDA	ESFSO4				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	9.200	UGL	
	ETDA	FANT				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	3.300	UGL	
	ETDA	FLRENE				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	3.700	UGL	
	ETDA	GCLDAN				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	5.100	UGL	
	ETDA	HCBD				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	3.400	UGL	
	ETDA	HPCL				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	2.000	UGL	
	ETDA	HPCLE				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	5.000	UGL	
	ETDA	ICDPYR				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	8.600	UGL	
	ETDA	ISOPHR				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	4.800	UGL	
	ETDA	LIN				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	ETDA	MEXCLR				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	5.100	UGL	
	ETDA	NAP				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	ETDA	NB				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	ETDA	NNDMEA				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	2.000	UGL	
	ETDA	NNDNPA				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	4.400	UGL	
	ETDA	NNDPA				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	3.000	UGL	
	ETDA	PCB016				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	21.000	UGL	
	ETDA	PCB221				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	21.000	UGL	
	ETDA	PCB232				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	21.000	UGL	
	ETDA	PCB242				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	30.000	UGL	
	ETDA	PCB248				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	30.000	UGL	
	ETDA	PCB254				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	36.000	UGL	
	ETDA	PCB260				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	36.000	UGL	
	ETDA	PCP				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	18.000	UGL	
	ETDA	PHANTR				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	ETDA	PHENOL				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	9.200	UGL	
	ETDA	PPDDD				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	4.000	UGL	
	ETDA	PPDDE				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	4.700	UGL	
	ETDA	PPDDT				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	9.200	UGL	
	ETDA	PYR				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	2.800	UGL	
	ETDA	TXPHEN				10-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	36.000	UGL	

Table: H-24
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Round 2 Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	DYYA	111TCE				11-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DYYA	112TCE				11-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	<	1.200	UGL	
	DYYA	11DCE				11-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DYYA	11DCLE				11-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	<	0.680	UGL	
	DYYA	12DCE				11-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DYYA	12DCLE				11-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DYYA	12DCLP				11-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DYYA	2CLEVE				11-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	<	0.710	UGL	
	DYYA	ACET				11-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	<	13.000	UGL	
	DYYA	ACROLN				11-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	<	100.000	UGL	
	DYYA	ACRYLO				11-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	<	100.000	UGL	
	DYYA	BRDCLM				11-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	<	0.590	UGL	
	DYYA	C13DCP				11-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	<	0.580	UGL	
	DYYA	C2AVE				11-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	<	8.300	UGL	
	DYYA	C2H3CL				11-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	<	2.600	UGL	
	DYYA	C2H5CL				11-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	<	1.900	UGL	
	DYYA	C6H6				11-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DYYA	CCL3F				11-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	<	1.400	UGL	
	DYYA	CCL4				11-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	<	0.580	UGL	
	DYYA	CH2CL2				11-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	<	2.300	UGL	
	DYYA	CH3BR				11-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	<	5.800	UGL	
	DYYA	CH3CL				11-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	<	3.200	UGL	
	DYYA	CHBR3				11-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	<	2.600	UGL	
	DYYA	CHCL3				11-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993		1.800	UGL	
	DYYA	CL2BZ				11-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	<	10.000	UGL	
	DYYA	CLC6H5				11-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DYYA	CS2				11-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DYYA	DBRCLM				11-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	<	0.670	UGL	
	DYYA	ETC6H5				11-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DYYA	MEC6H5				11-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DYYA	MEK				11-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	<	6.400	UGL	
	DYYA	MIBK				11-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	<	3.000	UGL	
	DYYA	MNBK				11-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	<	3.600	UGL	
	DYYA	STYR				11-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DYYA	T13DCP				11-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	<	0.700	UGL	
	DYYA	TCLEA				11-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	<	0.510	UGL	
	DYYA	TCLEE				11-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	<	1.600	UGL	

Table: H-24
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Round 2 Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	DYYA	TRCLE				11-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DYYA	XYLEN				11-JUN-1993	11-JUN-1993	<	0.840	UGL	
	DYZA	111TCE				10-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DYZA	112TCE				10-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	1.200	UGL	
	DYZA	11DCE				10-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DYZA	11DCLE				10-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.680	UGL	
	DYZA	12DCE				10-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DYZA	12DCLE				10-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DYZA	12DCLP				10-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DYZA	2CLEVE				10-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.710	UGL	
	DYZA	ACET				10-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	13.000	UGL	
	DYZA	ACROLN				10-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	100.000	UGL	
	DYZA	ACRYLO				10-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	100.000	UGL	
	DYZA	BRDCLM				10-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.590	UGL	
	DYZA	C13DCP				10-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.580	UGL	
	DYZA	C2AVE				10-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	8.300	UGL	
	DYZA	C2H3CL				10-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	2.600	UGL	
	DYZA	C2H5CL				10-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	1.900	UGL	
	DYZA	C6H6				10-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DYZA	CCL3F				10-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	1.400	UGL	
	DYZA	CCL4				10-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.580	UGL	
	DYZA	CH2CL2				10-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	2.300	UGL	
	DYZA	CH3BR				10-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	5.800	UGL	
	DYZA	CH3CL				10-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	3.200	UGL	
	DYZA	CHBR3				10-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	2.600	UGL	
	DYZA	CHCL3				10-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DYZA	CL2BZ				10-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	10.000	UGL	
	DYZA	CLC6H5				10-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DYZA	CS2				10-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DYZA	DBRCLM				10-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.670	UGL	
	DYZA	ETC6H5				10-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DYZA	MEC6H5				10-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DYZA	MEK				10-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	6.400	UGL	
	DYZA	MIBK				10-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	3.000	UGL	
	DYZA	MNBK				10-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	3.600	UGL	
	DYZA	STYR				10-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DYZA	T13DCP				10-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.700	UGL	

Table: H-24
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Round 2 Method Blank Results - by Method

USATHAMA Method Code	Lot	Test Name	IRDMIS Field Sample Number	Lab Number	Sample Date	Prep Date	Analysis Date	<	Value	Units	IRDMIS Site ID
UM20	DYZA	TCLEA				10-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.510	UGL	
	DYZA	TCLEE				10-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	1.600	UGL	
	DYZA	TRCLE				10-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.500	UGL	
	DYZA	XYLEN				10-JUN-1993	10-JUN-1993	<	0.840	UGL	
UW19	DMNA	NG				08-JUN-1993	22-JUN-1993	<	10.000	UGL	
	DMNA	PETN				08-JUN-1993	22-JUN-1993	<	20.000	UGL	
UW32	EHKA	135TNB				07-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	0.449	UGL	
	EHKA	13DNB				07-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	0.611	UGL	
	EHKA	246TNT				07-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	0.635	UGL	
	EHKA	24DNT				07-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	0.064	UGL	
	EHKA	26DNT				07-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	0.074	UGL	
	EHKA	HMX				07-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	1.210	UGL	
	EHKA	NB				07-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	0.645	UGL	
	EHKA	RDX				07-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	1.170	UGL	
	EHKA	TETRYL				07-JUN-1993	16-JUN-1993	<	1.560	UGL	
	EHLA	135TNB				08-JUN-1993	22-JUN-1993	<	0.449	UGL	
	EHLA	13DNB				08-JUN-1993	22-JUN-1993	<	0.611	UGL	
	EHLA	246TNT				08-JUN-1993	22-JUN-1993	<	0.635	UGL	
	EHLA	24DNT				08-JUN-1993	22-JUN-1993	<	0.064	UGL	
	EHLA	26DNT				08-JUN-1993	22-JUN-1993	<	0.074	UGL	
	EHLA	HMX				08-JUN-1993	22-JUN-1993	<	1.210	UGL	
	EHLA	NB				08-JUN-1993	22-JUN-1993	<	0.645	UGL	
	EHLA	RDX				08-JUN-1993	22-JUN-1993	<	1.170	UGL	
	EHLA	TETRYL				08-JUN-1993	22-JUN-1993	<	1.560	UGL	
	EHMA	135TNB				09-JUN-1993	22-JUN-1993	<	0.449	UGL	
	EHMA	13DNB				09-JUN-1993	22-JUN-1993	<	0.611	UGL	
	EHMA	246TNT				09-JUN-1993	22-JUN-1993	<	0.635	UGL	
	EHMA	24DNT				09-JUN-1993	22-JUN-1993	<	0.064	UGL	
	EHMA	26DNT				09-JUN-1993	22-JUN-1993	<	0.074	UGL	
	EHMA	HMX				09-JUN-1993	22-JUN-1993	<	1.210	UGL	
	EHMA	NB				09-JUN-1993	22-JUN-1993	<	0.645	UGL	
	EHMA	RDX				09-JUN-1993	22-JUN-1993	<	1.170	UGL	
	EHMA	TETRYL				09-JUN-1993	22-JUN-1993	<	1.560	UGL	

Table: H-25
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Round 2

RINSATE BLANKS

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	IRDMIS Sample Number	Test Name	Lot	Sample Date	Spike Value		Value	Units	Site
	00	SBK93129	ALK	EOXA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	5000.000	UGL	SBK-93-129
		SBK93129	HARD	EOWA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	1000.000	UGL	SBK-93-129
		SBK93129	TDS	FDEA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	10000.000	UGL	SBK-93-129
		SBK93129	TOC	EODA	04-JUN-1993	0.000		6740.000	UGL	SBK-93-129
		SBK93129	TSS	FDFA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	4000.000	UGL	SBK-93-129
HG IN WATER BY CVAA	SB01	SBK93129	HG	DOUA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	0.243	UGL	SBK-93-129
TL IN WATER BY GFAA	SD09	SBK93129	TL	DNOA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	6.990	UGL	SBK-93-129
PB IN WATER BY GFAA	SD20	SBK93129	PB	EWBA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	1.260	UGL	SBK-93-129
SE IN WATER BY GFAA	SD21	SBK93129	SE	EFJA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	3.020	UGL	SBK-93-129
AS IN WATER BY GFAA	SD22	SBK93129	AS	ESCA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	2.540	UGL	SBK-93-129
SB IN WATER BY GFAA	SD28	SBK93129	SB	YWW	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	3.030	UGL	SBK-93-129
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	SBK93129	AG	EVBA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	4.600	UGL	SBK-93-129
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK93129	AL	EVBA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	141.000	UGL	SBK-93-129
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK93129	BA	EVBA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	5.000	UGL	SBK-93-129
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK93129	BE	EVBA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	5.000	UGL	SBK-93-129
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK93129	CA	EVBA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	500.000	UGL	SBK-93-129
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK93129	CD	EVBA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	4.010	UGL	SBK-93-129
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK93129	CO	EVBA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	25.000	UGL	SBK-93-129
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK93129	CR	EVBA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	6.020	UGL	SBK-93-129
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK93129	CU	EVBA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	8.090	UGL	SBK-93-129
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK93129	FE	EVBA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	38.800	UGL	SBK-93-129
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK93129	K	EVBA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	375.000	UGL	SBK-93-129
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK93129	MG	EVBA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	500.000	UGL	SBK-93-129
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK93129	MN	EVBA	04-JUN-1993	0.000		5.780	UGL	SBK-93-129
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK93129	NA	EVBA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	500.000	UGL	SBK-93-129
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK93129	NI	EVBA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	34.300	UGL	SBK-93-129
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK93129	V	EVBA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	11.000	UGL	SBK-93-129
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP		SBK93129	ZN	EVBA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	21.100	UGL	SBK-93-129
	UH02	SBK93129	PCB016	DPKA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	0.160	UGL	SBK-93-129
		SBK93129	PCB221	DPKA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	0.160	UGL	SBK-93-129
		SBK93129	PCB232	DPKA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	0.160	UGL	SBK-93-129
		SBK93129	PCB242	DPKA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	0.190	UGL	SBK-93-129
		SBK93129	PCB248	DPKA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	0.190	UGL	SBK-93-129

Table: H-25
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Round 2

RINSATE BLANKS

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	IRDMIS Sample Number	Test Name	Lot	Sample Date	Spike Value		Value	Units	Site
	UH02	SBK93129	PCB254	DPKA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	0.190	UGL	SBK-93-129
		SBK93129	PCB260	DPKA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	0.190	UGL	SBK-93-129
	UH13	SBK93129	ABHC	FBAA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	0.039	UGL	SBK-93-129
		SBK93129	ACLDAN	FBAA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	0.075	UGL	SBK-93-129
		SBK93129	AENSLF	FBAA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	0.023	UGL	SBK-93-129
		SBK93129	ALDRN	FBAA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	0.092	UGL	SBK-93-129
		SBK93129	BBHC	FBAA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	0.024	UGL	SBK-93-129
		SBK93129	BENSLF	FBAA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	0.023	UGL	SBK-93-129
		SBK93129	DBHC	FBAA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	0.029	UGL	SBK-93-129
		SBK93129	DLDRN	FBAA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	0.024	UGL	SBK-93-129
		SBK93129	ENDRN	FBAA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	0.024	UGL	SBK-93-129
		SBK93129	ENDRNA	FBAA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	0.029	UGL	SBK-93-129
		SBK93129	ENDRNK	FBAA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	0.029	UGL	SBK-93-129
		SBK93129	ESFSO4	FBAA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	0.079	UGL	SBK-93-129
		SBK93129	GCLDAN	FBAA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	0.075	UGL	SBK-93-129
		SBK93129	HPCL	FBAA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	0.042	UGL	SBK-93-129
		SBK93129	HPCLE	FBAA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	0.025	UGL	SBK-93-129
		SBK93129	ISODR	FBAA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	0.056	UGL	SBK-93-129
		SBK93129	LIN	FBAA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	0.051	UGL	SBK-93-129
		SBK93129	MEXCLR	FBAA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	0.057	UGL	SBK-93-129
		SBK93129	PPDDD	FBAA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	0.023	UGL	SBK-93-129
		SBK93129	PPDDE	FBAA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	0.027	UGL	SBK-93-129
		SBK93129	PPDDT	FBAA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	0.034	UGL	SBK-93-129
		SBK93129	TXPHEN	FBAA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	1.350	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	SBK93129	124TCB	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	1.800	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	12DCLB	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	1.700	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	12DPH	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	2.000	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	13DCLB	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	1.700	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	14DCLB	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	1.700	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	245TCP	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	5.200	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	246TCP	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	4.200	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	24DCLP	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	2.900	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	24DMPN	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	5.800	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	24DNP	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	21.000	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	24DNT	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	4.500	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	26DNT	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	0.790	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	2CLP	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	0.990	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	2CNAP	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	2MNAP	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	1.700	UGL	SBK-93-129

Table: H-25
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Round 2

RINSATE BLANKS

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	IRDMIS Sample Number	Test Name	Lot	Sample Date	Spike Value		Value	Units	Site
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	SBK93129	2MP	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	3.900	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	2NANIL	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	4.300	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	2NP	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	3.700	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	33DCBD	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	12.000	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	3NANIL	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	4.900	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	46DN2C	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	17.000	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	4BRPPE	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	4.200	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	4CANIL	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	7.300	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	4CL3C	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	4.000	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	4CLPPE	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	5.100	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	4MP	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	0.520	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	4NANIL	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	5.200	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	4NP	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	12.000	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	ABHC	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	4.000	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	ACLDAN	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	5.100	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	AENSLF	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	9.200	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	ALDRN	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	4.700	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	ANAPNE	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	1.700	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	ANAPYL	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	ANTRC	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	B2CEXM	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	1.500	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	B2CIPE	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	5.300	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	B2CLEE	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	1.900	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	B2EHP	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	4.800	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	BAANTR	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	1.600	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	BAPYR	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	4.700	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	BBFANT	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	5.400	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	BBHC	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	4.000	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	BBZP	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	3.400	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	BENSLF	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	9.200	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	BENZID	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	10.000	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	BENZOA	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	13.000	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	BGHIPY	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	6.100	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	BKFANT	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	0.870	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	BZALC	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	0.720	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	CARBAZ	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	1.500	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	CHRY	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	2.400	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	CL6BZ	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	1.600	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	CL6CP	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	8.600	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	CL6ET	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	1.500	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	DBAHA	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	6.500	UGL	SBK-93-129

Table: H-25
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Round 2

RINSATE BLANKS

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	IRDMIS Sample Number	Test Name	Lot	Sample Date	Spike Value		Value	Units	Site
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	SBK93129	DBHC	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	4.000	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	DBZFUR	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	1.700	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	DEP	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	2.000	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	DLDRN	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	4.700	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	DMP	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	1.500	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	DNBP	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000		5.800	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	DNOP	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	15.000	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	ENDRN	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	7.600	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	ENDRNA	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	8.000	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	ENDRNK	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	8.000	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	ESFSO4	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	9.200	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	FANT	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	3.300	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	FLRENE	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	3.700	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	GCLDAN	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	5.100	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	HCBD	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	3.400	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	HPCL	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	2.000	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	HPCLE	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	5.000	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	ICDPYR	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	8.600	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	ISOPHR	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	4.800	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	LIN	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	4.000	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	MEXCLR	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	5.100	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	NAP	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	NB	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	NNDMEA	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	2.000	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	NNDNPA	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	4.400	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	NNDPA	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	3.000	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	PCB016	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	21.000	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	PCB221	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	21.000	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	PCB232	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	21.000	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	PCB242	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	30.000	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	PCB248	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	30.000	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	PCB254	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	36.000	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	PCB260	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	36.000	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	PCP	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	18.000	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	PHANTR	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	PHENOL	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	9.200	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	PPDDD	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	4.000	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	PPDDE	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	4.700	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	PPDDT	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	9.200	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	PYR	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	2.800	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	TXPHEN	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	36.000	UGL	SBK-93-129

Table: H-25
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Round 2

RINSATE BLANKS

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	IRDMIS Sample Number	Test Name	Lot	Sample Date	Spike Value		Value	Units	Site
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	SBK93129	UNK583	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000		6.000	UGL	SBK-93-129
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	UNK596	ETDA	04-JUN-1993	0.000		10.000	UGL	SBK-93-129
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	SBK93129	111TCE	DYYA	04-JUN-1993	0.000		6.600	UGL	SBK-93-129
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	112TCE	DYYA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	1.200	UGL	SBK-93-129
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	11DCE	DYYA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-129
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	11DCLE	DYYA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	0.680	UGL	SBK-93-129
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	12DCE	DYYA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-129
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	12DCLE	DYYA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-129
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	12DCLP	DYYA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-129
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	2CLEVE	DYYA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	0.710	UGL	SBK-93-129
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	ACET	DYYA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	13.000	UGL	SBK-93-129
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	ACROLN	DYYA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	100.000	UGL	SBK-93-129
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	ACRYLO	DYYA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	100.000	UGL	SBK-93-129
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	BRDCLM	DYYA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	0.590	UGL	SBK-93-129
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	C13DCP	DYYA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	0.580	UGL	SBK-93-129
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	C2AVE	DYYA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	8.300	UGL	SBK-93-129
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	C2H3CL	DYYA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	2.600	UGL	SBK-93-129
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	C2H5CL	DYYA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	1.900	UGL	SBK-93-129
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	C6H6	DYYA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-129
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	CCL3F	DYYA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	1.400	UGL	SBK-93-129
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	CCL4	DYYA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	0.580	UGL	SBK-93-129
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	CH2CL2	DYYA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	2.300	UGL	SBK-93-129
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	CH3BR	DYYA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	5.800	UGL	SBK-93-129
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	CH3CL	DYYA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	3.200	UGL	SBK-93-129
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	CHBR3	DYYA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	2.600	UGL	SBK-93-129
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	CHCL3	DYYA	04-JUN-1993	0.000		2.200	UGL	SBK-93-129
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	CL2BZ	DYYA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	10.000	UGL	SBK-93-129
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	CLC6H5	DYYA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-129
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	CS2	DYYA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-129
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	DBRCLM	DYYA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	0.670	UGL	SBK-93-129
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	ETC6H5	DYYA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-129
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	MEC6H5	DYYA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-129
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	MEK	DYYA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	6.400	UGL	SBK-93-129
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	MIBK	DYYA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	3.000	UGL	SBK-93-129
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	MNBK	DYYA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	3.600	UGL	SBK-93-129
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	STYR	DYYA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-129
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	T13DCP	DYYA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	0.700	UGL	SBK-93-129
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	TCLEA	DYYA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	0.510	UGL	SBK-93-129
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	TCLEE	DYYA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	1.600	UGL	SBK-93-129
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS		SBK93129	TRCLE	DYYA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	0.500	UGL	SBK-93-129

Table: H-25
Chemical Quality Control Report
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)
Group: 1A Round 2

RINSATE BLANKS

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	IRDMIS Sample Number	Test Name	Lot	Sample Date	Spike Value		Value	Units	Site
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	SBK93129	XYLEN	DYYA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	0.840	UGL	SBK-93-129
PETN/NG IN WATER BY HPLC	UW19	SBK93129	NG	DMNA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	10.000	UGL	SBK-93-129
PETN/NG IN WATER BY HPLC		SBK93129	PETN	DMNA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	20.000	UGL	SBK-93-129
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	SBK93129	135TNB	EHMA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	0.449	UGL	SBK-93-129
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK93129	13DNB	EHMA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	0.611	UGL	SBK-93-129
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK93129	246TNT	EHMA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	0.635	UGL	SBK-93-129
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK93129	24DNT	EHMA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	0.064	UGL	SBK-93-129
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK93129	26DNT	EHMA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	0.074	UGL	SBK-93-129
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK93129	HMX	EHMA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	1.210	UGL	SBK-93-129
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK93129	NB	EHMA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	0.645	UGL	SBK-93-129
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK93129	RDX	EHMA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	1.170	UGL	SBK-93-129
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER		SBK93129	TETRYL	EHMA	04-JUN-1993	0.000	<	1.560	UGL	SBK-93-129

Table: H-26
Source Water Field Blank Results
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	Sample Date	Analysis Date		Value	Units
	00	HARD	03-MAR-1993	09-MAR-1993		20000.000	UGL
	00	TPHC	03-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	181.000	UGL
HG IN WATER BY CVAA	SB01	HG	03-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	0.243	UGL
TL IN WATER BY GFAA	SD09	TL	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	6.990	UGL
PB IN WATER BY GFAA	SD20	PB	03-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	1.260	UGL
SE IN WATER BY GFAA	SD21	SE	03-MAR-1993	15-MAR-1993	<	3.020	UGL
AS IN WATER BY GFAA	SD22	AS	03-MAR-1993	13-MAR-1993	<	2.540	UGL
SB IN WATER BY GFAA	SD28	SB	03-MAR-1993	16-MAR-1993	<	3.030	UGL
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	AG	03-MAR-1993	17-MAR-1993	<	4.600	UGL
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	AL	03-MAR-1993	17-MAR-1993	<	141.000	UGL
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	BA	03-MAR-1993	17-MAR-1993	<	5.000	UGL
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	BE	03-MAR-1993	17-MAR-1993	<	5.000	UGL
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	CA	03-MAR-1993	17-MAR-1993		6040.000	UGL
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	CD	03-MAR-1993	17-MAR-1993	<	4.010	UGL
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	CO	03-MAR-1993	17-MAR-1993	<	25.000	UGL
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	CR	03-MAR-1993	17-MAR-1993	<	6.020	UGL
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	CU	03-MAR-1993	17-MAR-1993	<	8.090	UGL
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	FE	03-MAR-1993	17-MAR-1993		113.000	UGL
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	K	03-MAR-1993	17-MAR-1993		1210.000	UGL
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	MG	03-MAR-1993	17-MAR-1993		1760.000	UGL
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	MN	03-MAR-1993	17-MAR-1993		4.020	UGL
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	NA	03-MAR-1993	17-MAR-1993		2640.000	UGL
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	NI	03-MAR-1993	17-MAR-1993	<	34.300	UGL
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	V	03-MAR-1993	17-MAR-1993	<	11.000	UGL
METALS IN WATER BY ICAP	SS10	ZN	03-MAR-1993	17-MAR-1993	<	21.100	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	124TCB	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	1.800	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	12DCLB	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	1.700	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	12DPH	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	2.000	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	13DCLB	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	1.700	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	14DCLB	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	1.700	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	245TCP	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	5.200	UGL

Table: H-26
Source Water Field Blank Results
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	Sample Date	Analysis Date		Value	Units
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	246TCP	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	4.200	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	24DCLP	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	2.900	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	24DMPN	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	5.800	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	24DNP	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	21.000	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	24DNT	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	4.500	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	26DNT	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	0.790	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	2CLP	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	0.990	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	2CNAP	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	2E1HXL	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993		10.000	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	2MNAP	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	1.700	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	2MP	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	3.900	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	2NANIL	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	4.300	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	2NP	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	3.700	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	33DCBD	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	12.000	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	3NANIL	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	4.900	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	46DN2C	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	17.000	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	4BRPPE	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	4.200	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	4CANIL	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	7.300	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	4CL3C	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	4.000	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	4CLPPE	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	5.100	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	4MP	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	0.520	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	4NANIL	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	5.200	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	4NP	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	12.000	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	ABHC	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	4.000	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	ACLDAN	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	5.100	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	AENSLF	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	9.200	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	ALDRN	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	4.700	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	ANAPNE	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	1.700	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	ANAPYL	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	ANTRC	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	B2CEXM	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	1.500	UGL

Table: H-26
Source Water Field Blank Results
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	Sample Date	Analysis Date		Value	Units
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	B2CIPE	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	5.300	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	B2CLEE	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	1.900	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	B2EHP	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	4.800	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	BAANTR	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	1.600	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	BAPYR	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	4.700	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	BBFANT	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	5.400	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	BBHC	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	4.000	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	BBZP	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	3.400	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	BENSLF	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	9.200	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	BENZID	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	10.000	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	BENZOA	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	13.000	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	BGHIPY	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	6.100	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	BKFANT	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	0.870	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	BZALC	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	0.720	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	CARBAZ	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	1.500	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	CHRY	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	2.400	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	CL6BZ	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	1.600	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	CL6CP	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	8.600	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	CL6ET	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	1.500	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	DBAHA	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	6.500	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	DBHC	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	4.000	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	DBZFUR	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	1.700	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	DEP	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	2.000	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	DLDRN	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	4.700	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	DMP	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	1.500	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	DNBP	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	3.700	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	DNOP	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	15.000	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	ENDRN	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	7.600	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	ENDRNA	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	8.000	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	ENDRNK	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	8.000	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	ESFSO4	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	9.200	UGL

Table: H-26
Source Water Field Blank Results
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	Sample Date	Analysis Date		Value	Units
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	FANT	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	3.300	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	FLRENE	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	3.700	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	GCLDAN	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	5.100	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	HCBD	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	3.400	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	HPCL	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	2.000	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	HPCLE	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	5.000	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	ICDPYR	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	8.600	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	ISOPHR	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	4.800	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	LIN	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	4.000	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	MEXCLR	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	5.100	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	NAP	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	NB	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	NNDMEA	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	2.000	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	NNDNPA	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	4.400	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	NNDPA	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	3.000	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	PCB016	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	21.000	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	PCB221	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	21.000	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	PCB232	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	21.000	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	PCB242	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	30.000	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	PCB248	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	30.000	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	PCB254	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	36.000	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	PCB260	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	36.000	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	PCP	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	18.000	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	PHANTR	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	PHENOL	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	9.200	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	PPDDD	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	4.000	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	PPDDE	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	4.700	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	PPDDT	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	9.200	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	PYR	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	2.800	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	TXPHEN	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993	<	36.000	UGL
BNA'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM18	UNK541	03-MAR-1993	18-MAR-1993		5.000	UGL

Table: H-26
Source Water Field Blank Results
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	Sample Date	Analysis Date		Value	Units
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	111TCE	03-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	112TCE	03-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993	<	1.200	UGL
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	11DCE	03-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	11DCLE	03-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993	<	0.680	UGL
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	12DCE	03-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	12DCLE	03-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	12DCLP	03-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	2CLEVE	03-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993	<	0.710	UGL
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	ACET	03-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993	<	13.000	UGL
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	ACROLN	03-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993	<	100.000	UGL
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	ACRYLO	03-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993	<	100.000	UGL
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	BRDCLM	03-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993	<	0.590	UGL
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	C13DCP	03-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993	<	0.580	UGL
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	C2AVE	03-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993	<	8.300	UGL
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	C2H3CL	03-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993	<	2.600	UGL
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	C2H5CL	03-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993	<	1.900	UGL
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	C6H6	03-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	CCL3F	03-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993	<	1.400	UGL
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	CCL4	03-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993	<	0.580	UGL
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	CH2CL2	03-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993	<	2.300	UGL
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	CH3BR	03-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993	<	5.800	UGL
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	CH3CL	03-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993	<	3.200	UGL
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	CHBR3	03-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993	<	2.600	UGL
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	CHCL3	03-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993		1.700	UGL
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	CL2BZ	03-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993	<	10.000	UGL
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	CLC6H5	03-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	CS2	03-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	DBRCLM	03-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993	<	0.670	UGL
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	ETC6H5	03-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	MEC6H5	03-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	MEK	03-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993	<	6.400	UGL

Table: H-26
Source Water Field Blank Results
Installation: Fort Devens, MA (DV)

Method Description	USATHAMA Method Code	Test Name	Sample Date	Analysis Date		Value	Units
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	MIBK	03-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993	<	3.000	UGL
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	MNBK	03-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993	<	3.600	UGL
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	STYR	03-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	T13DCP	03-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993	<	0.700	UGL
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	TCLEA	03-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993	<	0.510	UGL
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	TCLEE	03-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993	<	1.600	UGL
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	TRCLE	03-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993	<	0.500	UGL
VOC'S IN WATER BY GC/MS	UM20	XYLEN	03-MAR-1993	08-MAR-1993	<	0.840	UGL
PETN/NG IN WATER BY HPLC	UW19	NG	03-MAR-1993	09-MAR-1993	<	10.000	UGL
PETN/NG IN WATER BY HPLC	UW19	PETN	03-MAR-1993	09-MAR-1993	<	20.000	UGL
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	135TNB	03-MAR-1993	19-MAR-1993	<	0.449	UGL
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	13DNB	03-MAR-1993	19-MAR-1993	<	0.611	UGL
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	246TNT	03-MAR-1993	19-MAR-1993	<	0.635	UGL
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	24DNT	03-MAR-1993	19-MAR-1993	<	0.064	UGL
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	26DNT	03-MAR-1993	19-MAR-1993	<	0.074	UGL
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	HMX	03-MAR-1993	19-MAR-1993	<	1.210	UGL
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	NB	03-MAR-1993	19-MAR-1993	<	0.645	UGL
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	RDX	03-MAR-1993	19-MAR-1993	<	1.170	UGL
EXPLOSIVES IN WATER	UW32	TETRYL	03-MAR-1993	19-MAR-1993	<	1.560	UGL

FORT DEVENS
FEASIBILITY STUDY
FOR GROUP 1A SITES

FINAL
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
DATA ITEM A009

VOLUME IV OF IV
APPENDICES I - Z

CONTRACT DAAA15-91-D-0008

U.S. ARMY ENVIRONMENTAL CENTER
ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MARYLAND

DECEMBER 1993

PRINTED ON RECYCLED PAPER

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FORT DEVENS FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	-	SOIL AND SEDIMENT BORING LOGS	VOL. II
APPENDIX B	-	MONITORING WELL CONSTRUCTION FORMS	VOL. II
APPENDIX C	-	AQUIFER TESTING DATA AND CALCULATIONS	VOL. II
APPENDIX D	-	GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION ANALYSES	VOL. II
APPENDIX E	-	SYNOPTIC WATER-LEVEL MEASUREMENTS	VOL. II
APPENDIX F	-	SURVEY COORDINATES	VOL. II
APPENDIX G	-	PROJECT ANALYTE LIST	VOL. II
APPENDIX H	-	LABORATORY QC RESULTS	VOL. III
APPENDIX I	-	**BACKGROUND CONCENTRATIONS AND CALCULATIONS**	**VOL. IV**
APPENDIX J	-	**RI SEDIMENT DATA SUMMARIES**	**VOL. IV**
APPENDIX K	-	**HUMAN HEALTH RISK SPREADSHEETS - SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL**	**VOL. IV**
APPENDIX L	-	**MODELING OF VOC CONCENTRATIONS IN SHOWER AIR FROM GROUNDWATER - SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL**	**VOL. IV**
APPENDIX M	-	**HUMAN HEALTH RISK SPREADSHEETS - COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL**	**VOL. IV**
APPENDIX N	-	**FISH TISSUE COMPARISON OF STATE AND NATIONAL DATA**	**VOL. IV**
APPENDIX O	-	**WETLAND FUNCTIONAL EVALUATION**	**VOL. IV**
APPENDIX P	-	**MACROINVERTEBRATE DATA ANALYSES**	**VOL. IV**
APPENDIX Q	-	**ECOLOGICAL RISK ASSESSMENT PARAMETERS**	**VOL. IV**
APPENDIX R	-	**ECOLOGICAL RISK ASSESSMENT SPREADSHEETS - SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL/COLD SPRING BROOK POND**	**VOL. IV**
APPENDIX S	-	**UNCERTAINTIES ASSOCIATED WITH USEPA SEDIMENT QUALITY CRITERIA FOR DDE**	**VOL. IV**
APPENDIX T	-	**FISH TISSUE DATA**	**VOL. IV**
APPENDIX U	-	**SUPPLEMENTAL RI LEVEL 3 DATA**	**VOL. IV**
APPENDIX V	-	**USEPA 1992 DERMAL GUIDANCE SUMMARY**	**VOL. IV**
APPENDIX W	-	**CALCULATION OF PERMEABILITY CONSTANTS PER EVENT**	**VOL. IV**
APPENDIX X	-	**PRELIMINARY RISK EVALUATION FOR NONACOICUS BROOK AREA**	**VOL. IV**
APPENDIX Y	-	**EVALUATION OF GROUNDWATER IRRIGATION EXPOSURE PATHWAY**	**VOL. IV**
APPENDIX Z	-	**TOXICITY PROFILES**	**VOL. IV**

This page intentionally left blank.

BACKGROUND CONCENTRATIONS AND CALCULATIONS

This page intentionally left blank.

CALCULATED BACKGROUND CONCENTRATIONS
FORT DEVENS, MASSACHUSETTS

SOIL		GROUNDWATER	
ANALYTE	CONCENTRATION (ug/g)	ANALYTE	CONCENTRATION (ug/L)
Aluminum	15,000	Aluminum	6,870
Antimony	--	Antimony	3.03
Arsenic	21	Arsenic	10.5
Barium	42.5	Barium	39.6
Beryllium	0.347	Beryllium	5.00
Cadmium	2.00	Cadmium	4.01
Calcium	1,400	Calcium	14,700
Chromium	31	Chromium	14.7
Cobalt	--	Cobalt	25.0
Copper	8.39	Copper	8.09
Iron	15,000	Iron	9,100
Lead	34.4	Lead	4.25
Magnesium	5,600	Magnesium	3,480
Manganese	300	Manganese	291
Mercury	0.22	Mercury	0.243
Nickel	14.0	Nickel	34.3
Potassium	1,700	Potassium	2,370
Selenium	--	Selenium	3.02
Silver	.086	Silver	4.60
Sodium	131	Sodium	10,800
Thallium	--	Thallium	6.99
Vanadium	28.7	Vanadium	11.0
Zinc	35.5	Zinc	21.1

SOIL BACKGROUND CONCENTRATIONS
REPRESENTATIVE SAMPLES
FORT DEVENS, MASSACHUSETTS

SAMPLE I.D.	LOCATION	SOIL ASSOCIATION	SAMPLE TYPE
SOIL – 1	North Post	Hinkley	Surface
SOIL – 2	North Post	Quonset	Surface
SOIL – 3	North Post	Quonset	Surface
SOIL – 4	North Post	Winooski	Surface
SOIL – 5	Main Post	Hinkley	Surface
SOIL – 6	Main Post	Hinkley	Surface
SOIL – 7	Main Post	Hinkley	Surface
SOIL –8	Main Post	Hinkley	Surface
SOIL – 9	Main Post	Paxton	Surface
SOIL – 10	Main Post	Winooski	Surface
SOIL – 11	Main Post	Winooski	Surface
SOIL – 12	Main Post	Winooski	Surface
SOIL – 13	Main Post	Paxton	Surface
SOIL – 14	South Post	Hinkley	Surface
SOIL – 15	South Post	Winooski	Surface
SOIL – 16	South Post	Paxton	Surface
SOIL – 17	Main Post	Hinkley	Boring
SOIL – 18	Main Post	Hinkley	Boring
SOIL – 19	Main Post	Hinkley	Boring
SOIL – 20	Main Post	Hinkley	Boring

INORGANIC ANALYTES IN SOIL
FORT DEVENS, MASSACHUSETTS

ALUMINUM

SAMPLE I.D.	CONCENTRATION ug/g
SOIL – 8	2500
SOIL – 17	4300
SOIL – 1	6400
SOIL – 14	6900
SOIL – 19	7100
SOIL – 20	7100
SOIL – 12	7400
SOIL – 15	8000
SOIL – 10	8500
SOIL – 4	8800
SOIL – 5	9900
SOIL – 11	11000
SOIL – 18	11000
SOIL – 3	12000
SOIL – 7	12000
SOIL – 6	13000
SOIL – 16	13000
SOIL – 2	14000
SOIL – 13	18000
SOIL –9	24000

CALCULATIONS

Minimum – 2500

Maximum – 24000

Mean – 10000

68th %ile– 15000

Background Concentration – 15000

ANTIMONY

NO DATA AVAILABLE

ARSENIC

SAMPLE I.D.	CONCENTRATION ug/g
SOIL – 15	4.6
SOIL – 12	7.1
SOIL – 3	9.3
SOIL – 4	9.4
SOIL – 17	9.5
SOIL – 1	9.6
SOIL – 14	11
SOIL – 19	11
SOIL – 16	11
SOIL – 5	12
SOIL – 11	13
SOIL – 2	13
SOIL – 10	14
SOIL – 7	15
SOIL – 8	15
SOIL – 20	19
SOIL – 9	25
SOIL – 13	28
SOIL – 6	32
SOIL – 18	99 **

CALCULATIONS

Minimum – 4.6

Maximum – 32

Mean – 14

68th %ile– 21

Background Concentration – 21

* Method Detection Limit
** Likely Statistical Outlier

INORGANIC ANALYTES IN SOIL
FORT DEVENS, MASSACHUSETTS

BARIUM

SAMPLE I.D.	CONCENTRATION ug/g
SOIL – 17	9.7
SOIL – 10	11.5
SOIL – 6	11.5
SOIL – 12	12.9
SOIL – 1	14.2
SOIL – 4	14.2
SOIL – 19	14.2
SOIL – 3	14.5
SOIL – 5	15.5
SOIL – 8	15.6
SOIL – 15	16.2
SOIL – 14	16.6
SOIL – 18	29.0
SOIL – 20	31.0
SOIL – 2	35.0
SOIL – 7	36.0
SOIL – 16	46.0
SOIL – 11	52.0
SOIL – 9	54.0
SOIL – 13	67.2

CALCULATIONS

Minimum – 9.7

Maximum – 67.2

Mean – 25.8

68th %ile – 42.5

Background Concentration – 42.5

BERYLLIUM

SAMPLE I.D.	CONCENTRATION ug/g
SOIL – 10	0.039
SOIL – 18	0.039
SOIL – 3	0.039
SOIL – 17	0.039
SOIL – 19	0.104
SOIL – 6	0.108
SOIL – 1	0.119
SOIL – 5	0.124
SOIL – 2	0.126
SOIL – 7	0.133
SOIL – 4	0.141
SOIL – 8	0.142
SOIL – 15	0.145
SOIL – 14	0.146
SOIL – 12	0.172
SOIL – 20	0.188
SOIL – 9	0.335
SOIL – 11	0.350
SOIL – 16	0.533
SOIL – 13	0.672

CALCULATIONS

Minimum – 0.039

Maximum – 0.672

Mean – 0.185

68th %ile – 0.347

Background Concentration – 0.347

* Method Detection Limit
** Likely Statistical Outlier

INORGANIC ANALYTES IN SOIL
FORT DEVENS, MASSACHUSETTS

CADMIUM

SAMPLE I.D.	CONCENTRATION ug/g
SOIL – 1	0.212
SOIL – 20	0.212
SOIL – 12	0.212
SOIL – 3	0.212
SOIL – 4	0.212
SOIL – 5	0.212
SOIL – 19	0.212
SOIL – 17	0.212
SOIL – 15	0.212
SOIL – 8	0.212
SOIL – 18	0.212
SOIL – 16	0.212
SOIL – 2	0.212
SOIL – 14	0.212
SOIL – 7	1.060
SOIL – 9	1.060
SOIL – 6	1.280
SOIL – 10	2.100
SOIL – 13	3.520
SOIL – 11	4.480

Minimum – 0.212

Maximum – 4.48

Mean – 0.823

68th %ile – 2.00

Background Concentration – 2.00

CALCIUM

SAMPLE I.D.	CONCENTRATION ug/g
SOIL – 15	144
SOIL – 8	310
SOIL – 3	330
SOIL – 17	350
SOIL – 5	430
SOIL – 2	610
SOIL – 1	610
SOIL – 4	630
SOIL – 18	650
SOIL – 9	650
SOIL – 6	710
SOIL – 19	710
SOIL – 16	720
SOIL – 14	740
SOIL – 12	810
SOIL – 20	810
SOIL – 7	1400
SOIL – 13	1500
SOIL – 11	1800
SOIL – 10	2800

Minimum – 144

Maximum – 2800

Mean – 840

68th %ile – 1400

Background Concentration – 1400

* Method Detection Limit

** Likely Statistical Outlier

INORGANIC ANALYTES IN SOIL
FORT DEVENS, MASSACHUSETTS

DATA

CHROMIUM

SAMPLE I.D.	CONCENTRATION ug/g
SOIL – 15	2.0
SOIL – 12	6.0
SOIL – 1	7.1
SOIL – 3	7.6
SOIL – 17	7.7
SOIL – 5	8.2
SOIL – 20	9.3
SOIL – 8	9.6
SOIL – 4	10.2
SOIL – 2	11.1
SOIL – 1	12.5
SOIL – 14	13.8
SOIL – 19	14.1
SOIL – 10	19.5
SOIL – 11	27.1
SOIL – 7	29.0
SOIL – 6	30.3
SOIL – 13	33.0
SOIL – 18	39.5
SOIL – 9	56.5

CALCULATIONS

CHROMIUM

Minimum – 2.0

Maximum – 56.5

Mean – 17.7

68th %ile – 31.3

Background Concentration – 31

COBALT

NO DATA AVAILABLE

COPPER

SAMPLE I.D.	CONCENTRATION ug/g
SOIL – 3	0.98
SOIL – 16	0.98
SOIL – 12	0.98
SOIL – 2	2.45
SOIL – 15	2.52
SOIL – 8	2.53
SOIL – 5	4.10
SOIL – 17	4.78
SOIL – 4	4.81
SOIL – 1	5.25
SOIL – 20	5.48
SOIL – 6	6.55
SOIL – 14	6.86
SOIL – 19	7.12
SOIL – 9	7.62
SOIL – 7	9.38
SOIL – 10	10.0
SOIL – 18	12.0
SOIL – 13	27.8 **
SOIL – 11	30.2 **

CALCULATIONS

Minimum – 0.98

Maximum – 12.0

Mean – 5.24

68th %ile – 8.39

Background Concentration – 8.39

* Method Detection Limit

** Likely Statistical Outlier

INORGANIC ANALYTES IN SOIL
FORT DEVENS, MASSACHUSETTS

DATA

IRON

SAMPLE I.D.	CONCENTRATION ug/g
SOIL – 14	5000
SOIL – 10	5000
SOIL – 1	6000
SOIL – 17	6000
SOIL – 15	6100
SOIL – 5	6800
SOIL – 12	6900
SOIL – 4	7100
SOIL – 19	7300
SOIL – 20	7400
SOIL – 8	8200
SOIL – 16	8500
SOIL – 3	9400
SOIL – 11	11000
SOIL – 2	12000
SOIL – 13	15000
SOIL – 6	17000
SOIL – 18	18000
SOIL – 9	27000
SOIL – 7	50000 **

CALCULATIONS

Minimum – 5000

Maximum – 27000

Mean – 9980

68th %ile – 15000

Background Concentration – 15000

LEAD

SAMPLE I.D.	CONCENTRATION ug/g
SOIL – 20	2.7
SOIL – 17	3.4
SOIL – 5	8.7
SOIL – 1	9.7
SOIL – 15	10.3
SOIL – 8	11.0
SOIL – 18	11.3
SOIL – 19	12.7
SOIL – 9	14.8
SOIL – 2	16.3
SOIL – 10	17.3
SOIL – 3	18.6
SOIL – 16	21.2
SOIL – 4	25.3
SOIL – 6	42.8
SOIL – 12	42.9
SOIL – 7	46.6
SOIL – 14	47.1
SOIL – 11	106 **
SOIL – 13	326 **

CALCULATIONS

Minimum – 2.70

Maximum – 47.1

Mean – 20.2

68th %ile – 34.4

Background Concentration – 34.4

* Method Detection Limit

** Likely Statistical Outlier

INORGANIC ANALYTES IN SOIL
FORT DEVENS, MASSACHUSETTS

MAGNESIUM

SAMPLE I.D.	CONCENTRATION ug/g
SOIL – 15	490
SOIL – 3	700
SOIL – 4	910
SOIL – 12	1000
SOIL – 5	1300
SOIL – 1	1500
SOIL – 8	1800
SOIL – 17	2000
SOIL – 20	2200
SOIL – 11	2300
SOIL – 2	2300
SOIL – 10	2500
SOIL – 14	2600
SOIL – 16	2700
SOIL – 19	3200
SOIL – 6	4500
SOIL – 13	4900
SOIL – 7	5500
SOIL – 18	7900
SOIL – 9	11000

CALCULATIONS

Minimum – 490

Maximum – 11000

Mean – 3100

68th %ile – 5600

Background Concentration – 5600

MANGANESE

SAMPLE I.D.	CONCENTRATION ug/g
SOIL – 3	73
SOIL – 8	85
SOIL – 5	87
SOIL – 4	100
SOIL – 17	110
SOIL – 11	110
SOIL – 1	130
SOIL – 19	130
SOIL – 14	130
SOIL – 20	150
SOIL – 12	170
SOIL – 10	170
SOIL – 16	190
SOIL – 15	220
SOIL – 6	230
SOIL – 7	240
SOIL – 18	300
SOIL – 13	350
SOIL – 2	380
SOIL – 9	460

CALCULATIONS

Minimum – 73

Maximum – 460

Mean – 190

68th %ile – 300

Background Concentration – 300

* Method Detection Limit
** Likely Statistical Outlier

INORGANIC ANALYTES IN SOIL
FORT DEVENS, MASSACHUSETTS

DATA		CALCULATIONS	
MERCURY			
SAMPLE I.D.	CONCENTRATION ug/g		
SOIL – 5	0.013		
SOIL – 8	0.013		
SOIL – 20	0.013		
SOIL – 7	0.013		
SOIL – 19	0.013		
SOIL – 17	0.013	Minimum –	0.01
SOIL – 18	0.035		
SOIL – 1	0.042	Maximum –	0.41
SOIL – 16	0.053		
SOIL – 6	0.055	Mean –	0.10
SOIL – 14	0.056		
SOIL – 3	0.060	68th %ile–	0.22
SOIL – 15	0.068		
SOIL – 2	0.081		
SOIL – 9	0.085		
SOIL – 12	0.110	Background	
SOIL – 13	0.260	Concentration –	0.22
SOIL – 10	0.290		
SOIL – 4	0.330		
SOIL – 11	0.410		
NICKEL			
SAMPLE I.D.	CONCENTRATION ug/g		
SOIL – 16	1.23		
SOIL – 1	1.23		
SOIL – 15	1.23		
SOIL – 3	1.23		
SOIL – 8	1.23		
SOIL – 5	1.23	Minimum –	1.2
SOIL – 4	1.23		
SOIL – 2	1.23	Maximum –	27.0
SOIL – 12	1.23		
SOIL – 11	1.23	Mean –	6.5
SOIL – 14	4.06		
SOIL – 17	4.80	68th %ile–	14.0
SOIL – 20	5.51		
SOIL – 19	5.91		
SOIL – 6	6.81		
SOIL – 7	11.2	Background	
SOIL – 10	12.5	Concentration –	14.0
SOIL – 13	14.6		
SOIL – 18	24.4		
SOIL – 9	27.0		

* Method Detection Limit
** Likely Statistical Outlier

INORGANIC ANALYTES IN SOIL
FORT DEVENS, MASSACHUSETTS

DATA

POTASSIUM

SAMPLE I.D.	CONCENTRATION ug/g
SOIL – 15	250
SOIL – 4	310
SOIL – 5	470
SOIL – 3	530
SOIL – 17	590
SOIL – 12	600
SOIL – 1	620
SOIL – 8	630
SOIL – 2	660
SOIL – 14	700
SOIL – 19	880
SOIL – 10	990
SOIL – 20	1000
SOIL – 11	1100
SOIL – 6	1100
SOIL – 18	1700
SOIL – 7	1700
SOIL – 13	2200
SOIL – 9	2400
SOIL – 16	2400

CALCULATIONS

Minimum – 250

Maximum – 2400

Mean – 1000

68th %ile – 1700

Background Concentration – 1700

SELENIUM

NO DATA AVAILABLE

SILVER

SAMPLE I.D.	CONCENTRATION ug/g
SOIL – 1	0.043
SOIL – 20	0.043
SOIL – 12	0.043
SOIL – 3	0.043
SOIL – 13	0.043
SOIL – 5	0.043
SOIL – 1	0.043
SOIL – 7	0.043
SOIL – 15	0.043
SOIL – 9	0.043
SOIL – 16	0.043
SOIL – 2	0.043
SOIL – 17	0.043
SOIL – 8	0.043
SOIL – 19	0.043
SOIL – 4	0.043
SOIL – 10	0.043
SOIL – 18	0.043
SOIL – 6	0.210 **
SOIL – 11	0.580 **

CALCULATIONS

Minimum – 0.043

Maximum – 0.043

Mean – 0.043

68th %ile – NA

Background Concentration – 0.086 *

* Method Detection Limit

** Likely Statistical Outlier

INORGANIC ANALYTES IN SOIL
FORT DEVENS, MASSACHUSETTS

SODIUM

SAMPLE I.D.	CONCENTRATION ug/g
SOIL – 1	26.0
SOIL – 12	26.0
SOIL – 15	26.0
SOIL – 3	26.0
SOIL – 8	26.0
SOIL – 4	26.0
SOIL – 17	57.5
SOIL – 2	58.6
SOIL – 5	71.2
SOIL – 6	79.8
SOIL – 9	85.8
SOIL – 19	86.7
SOIL – 20	93.9
SOIL – 14	100
SOIL – 7	117
SOIL – 11	123
SOIL – 18	124
SOIL – 16	130
SOIL – 13	231
SOIL – 10	680 **

CALCULATIONS

Minimum – 26.0

Maximum – 231

Mean – 79.7

68th %ile – 131

Background Concentration – 131

THALLIUM

NO DATA AVAILABLE

VANADIUM

SAMPLE I.D.	CONCENTRATION ug/g
SOIL – 17	6.1
SOIL – 15	6.2
SOIL – 10	6.5
SOIL – 20	7.2
SOIL – 1	7.6
SOIL – 5	7.9
SOIL – 8	8.0
SOIL – 19	9.9
SOIL – 4	11.7
SOIL – 14	13.8
SOIL – 12	16.3
SOIL – 2	16.6
SOIL – 16	17.5
SOIL – 3	17.9
SOIL – 11	18.1
SOIL – 18	22.8
SOIL – 7	23.4
SOIL – 6	32.3
SOIL – 9	44.3
SOIL – 13	46.6

CALCULATIONS

Minimum – 6.1

Maximum – 46.6

Mean – 17.0

68th %ile – 28.7

Background Concentration – 28.7

* Method Detection Limit

** Likely Statistical Outlier

INORGANIC ANALYTES IN SOIL
FORT DEVENS, MASSACHUSETTS

DATA		CALCULATIONS	
ZINC			
SAMPLE I.D.	CONCENTRATION ug/g		
SOIL – 17	11.2		
SOIL – 15	11.7		
SOIL – 8	13.2		
SOIL – 20	13.5		
SOIL – 4	13.6		
SOIL – 19	14.2	Minimum –	11.2
SOIL – 3	14.6		
SOIL – 5	14.7	Maximum –	40.0
SOIL – 1	16.5		
SOIL – 12	17.7	Mean –	23.9
SOIL – 14	22.2		
SOIL – 16	23.4	68th %ile–	35.5
SOIL – 2	27.7		
SOIL – 11	40.0		
SOIL – 18	40.0		
SOIL – 13	40.0	Background	
SOIL – 6	40.0	Concentration –	35.5
SOIL – 10	40.0		
SOIL – 7	40.0		
SOIL – 9	130.0 **		

* Method Detection Limit
** Likely Statistical Outlier

GROUNDWATER BACKGROUND CONCENTRATIONS
REPRESENTATIVE SAMPLES
FORT DEVENS, MASSACHUSETTS

MONITORING WELL	LOCATION	TOTAL SUSPENDED SOLIDS (ug/L)	ALUMINUM (ug/L)
G6M-92-09X	NORTH POST	37,000	230
G6M-92-11X	NORTH POST	53,000	1,920
WWTMW-01	NORTH POST	20,000	2,330
WWTMW-13	NORTH POST	30,000	3,150
WWTMW-14	NORTH POST	25,000	9,130
G3M-92-01X	MAIN POST	<4,000	71
13M-92-01X	MAIN POST	–	7,270
12M-92-01X	SOUTH POST	–	179
27M-92-04X	SOUTH POST	–	8,700
28M-92-01X	SOUTH POST	–	2,280

H2ODATA.WK1
16-Dec-93

INORGANIC ANALYTES IN WATER
FORT DEVENS, MASSACHUSETTS

ALUMINUM

MONITORING WELL	CONCENTRATION (ug/L)
G3M-92-01X	71
12M-92-01X	179
G6M-92-09X	230
G6M-92-11X	1920
28M-92-01X	2280
WWTMW-01	2330
WWTMW-13	3150
13M-92-01X	7270
27M-92-04X	8700
WWTMW-14	9140

CALCULATIONS	
Minimum -	71
Maximum -	9140
Mean -	3527
68th %ile-	6874
Background Concentration -	6870

ANTIMONY

MONITORING WELL	CONCENTRATION (ug/L)
WWTMW-14	1.52
WWTMW-13	1.52
WWTMW-01	1.52
G6M-92-11X	1.52
G6M-92-09X	1.52
G3M-92-01X	1.52
28M-92-01X	1.52
27M-92-04X	1.52
13M-92-01X	1.52
12M-92-01X	1.52

CALCULATIONS	
Minimum -	1.52
Maximum -	1.52
Mean -	1.52
68th %ile-	NA
Background Concentration -	3.03 *

ARESNIC

MONITORING WELL	CONCENTRATION (ug/L)
G6M-92-11X	1.27
12M-92-01X	1.27
G6M-92-09X	1.27
G3M-92-01X	1.77
28M-92-01X	3.94
WWTMW-13	5.39
WWTMW-01	9.81
13M-92-01X	10.9
WWTMW-14	15.2
27M-92-04X	32.3 **

CALCULATIONS	
Minimum -	1.27
Maximum -	15.20
Mean -	5.65
68th %ile-	10.5
Background Concentration -	10.5

BARIUM

MONITORING WELL	CONCENTRATION (ug/L)
12M-92-01X	2.5
G6M-92-09X	7.6
G3M-92-01X	10.7
WWTMW-01	12.4
28M-92-01X	14.4
G6M-92-11X	16.1
WWTMW-13	19.5
13M-92-01X	44.5
WWTMW-14	46.3
27M-92-04X	52.0

CALCULATIONS	
Minimum -	2.5
Maximum -	52.0
Mean -	22.6
68th %ile-	39.6
Background Concentration -	39.6

* Method Detection Limit
** Likely Statistical Outlier

INORGANIC ANALYTES IN WATER
FORT DEVENS, MASSACHUSETTS

DATA		CALCULATIONS	
BERYLLIUM			
MONITORING WELL	CONCENTRATION (ug/L)		
G3M-92-01X	2.50	Minimum -	2.50
12M-92-01X	2.50	Maximum -	2.50
G6M-92-09X	2.50		
G6M-92-11X	2.50	Mean -	2.50
28M-92-01X	2.50		
WWTMW-01	2.50	68th %ile-	NA
WWTMW-13	2.50		
13M-92-01X	2.50	Background	
27M-92-04X	2.50	Concentration -	5.00 *
WWTMW-14	2.50		
CADMIUM			
MONITORING WELL	CONCENTRATION (ug/L)		
WWTMW-14	2.01	Minimum -	2.01
WWTMW-13	2.01	Maximum -	2.01
WWTMW-01	2.01		
G6M-92-11X	2.01	Mean -	2.01
G6M-92-09X	2.01		
G3M-92-01X	2.01	68th %ile-	NA
28M-92-01X	2.01		
27M-92-04X	2.01	Background	
13M-92-01X	2.01	Concentration -	4.01 *
12M-92-01X	2.01		
CALCIUM			
MONITORING WELL	CONCENTRATION (ug/L)		
12M-92-01X	179	Minimum -	179
28M-92-01X	1910	Maximum -	23200
WWTMW-14	2490		
WWTMW-13	3280	Mean -	7801
G6M-92-11X	5780		
WWTMW-01	6940	68th %ile-	14747
G3M-92-01X	7710		
27M-92-04X	8820	Background	
G6M-92-09X	17700	Concentration -	14700
13M-92-01X	23200		
CHROMIUM			
MONITORING WELL	CONCENTRATION (ug/L)		
G3M-92-01X	3.01	Minimum -	3.0
G6M-92-09X	3.01	Maximum -	18.7
28M-92-01X	3.01		
12M-92-01X	3.01	Mean -	8.7
WWTMW-01	6.04		
G6M-92-11X	6.36	68th %ile-	14.7
WWTMW-13	10.1		
27M-92-04X	16.4	Background	
13M-92-01X	16.9	Concentration -	14.7
WWTMW-14	18.7		

* Method Detection Limit
** Likely Statistical Outlier

INORGANIC ANALYTES IN WATER
FORT DEVENS, MASSACHUSETTS

DATA		CALCULATIONS	
COBALT			
MONITORING WELL	CONCENTRATION (ug/L)		
G3M-92-01X	12.5	Minimum -	12.5
12M-92-01X	12.5	Maximum -	12.5
G6M-92-09X	12.5		
G6M-92-11X	12.5	Mean -	12.5
28M-92-01X	12.5		
WWTMW-01	12.5	68th %ile-	NA
WWTMW-13	12.5		
13M-92-01X	12.5	Background	
27M-92-04X	12.5	Concentration -	25.0 *
WWTMW-14	12.5		
COPPER			
MONITORING WELL	CONCENTRATION (ug/L)		
G3M-92-01X	4.05	Minimum -	4.05
WWTMW-14	4.05	Maximum -	6.52
28M-92-01X	4.05		
WWTMW-01	4.05	Mean -	4.36
G6M-92-09X	4.05		
12M-92-01X	4.05	68th %ile-	5.2
G6M-92-11X	4.05		
WWTMW-13	6.52	Background	
13M-92-01X	18.60 **	Concentration -	8.09 *
27M-92-04X	19.00 **		
IRON			
MONITORING WELL	CONCENTRATION (ug/L)		
G3M-92-01X	171	Minimum -	171
G6M-92-09X	331	Maximum -	12900
12M-92-01X	373		
G6M-92-11X	2390	Mean -	4611
28M-92-01X	2410		
WWTMW-01	3250	68th %ile-	9104
WWTMW-13	3830		
WWTMW-14	9250	Background	
27M-92-04X	11200	Concentration -	9100
13M-92-01X	12900		
LEAD			
MONITORING WELL	CONCENTRATION (ug/L)		
G6M-92-09X	0.65	Minimum -	0.65
WWTMW-01	2.00	Maximum -	5.70
28M-92-01X	2.17		
G3M-92-01X	2.30	Mean -	2.81
G6M-92-11X	2.30		
WWTMW-13	3.10	68th %ile-	4.25
12M-92-01X	4.23		
WWTMW-14	5.70	Background	
13M-92-01X	12.10 **	Concentration -	4.25
27M-92-04X	12.40 **		

* Method Detection Limit
** Likely Statistical Outlier

INORGANIC ANALYTES IN WATER
FORT DEVENS, MASSACHUSETTS

DATA		CALCULATIONS	
MAGNESIUM			
MONITORING WELL	CONCENTRATION (ug/L)		
28M-92-01X	693	Minimum –	693
G6M-92-11X	857	Maximum –	4500
G3M-92-01X	1000		
WWTMW-13	1390	Mean –	2157
G6M-92-09X	1600		
WWTMW-01	1900	68th %ile–	3477
WWTMW-14	1970		
27M-92-04X	3550	Background	
12M-92-01X	4110	Concentration –	3480
13M-92-01X	4500		
MANGANESE			
MONITORING WELL	CONCENTRATION (ug/L)		
G6M-92-09X	23.4	Minimum –	23.40
12M-92-01X	69.9	Maximum –	486.00
WWTMW-01	77.7		
28M-92-01X	86.4	Mean –	156.93
G6M-92-11X	102		
WWTMW-13	107	68th %ile–	290.7
13M-92-01X	227		
WWTMW-14	233	Background	
G3M-92-01X	486	Concentration –	291
27M-92-04X	1110 **		
MERCURY			
MONITORING WELL	CONCENTRATION (ug/L)		
WWTMW-01	0.12	Minimum –	0.12
G3M-92-01X	0.12	Maximum –	0.70
12M-92-01X	0.12		
13M-92-01X	0.12	Mean –	0.18
WWTMW-14	0.12		
28M-92-01X	0.12	68th %ile–	0.35
G6M-92-11X	0.12		
G6M-92-09X	0.12	Background	
27M-92-04X	0.12	Concentration –	0.243 *
WWTMW-13	0.70		
NICKEL			
MONITORING WELL	CONCENTRATION (ug/L)		
G6M-92-09X	17.2	Minimum –	17.20
WWTMW-01	17.2	Maximum –	17.20
28M-92-01X	17.2		
G3M-92-01X	17.2	Mean –	17.20
G6M-92-11X	17.2		
WWTMW-13	17.2	68th %ile–	NA
12M-92-01X	17.2		
WWTMW-14	17.2	Background	
13M-92-01X	17.2	Concentration –	34.3 *
27M-92-04X	17.2		

* Method Detection Limit
** Likely Statistical Outlier

INORGANIC ANALYTES IN WATER
FORT DEVENS, MASSACHUSETTS

DATA		CALCULATIONS	
POTASSIUM			
MONITORING WELL	CONCENTRATION (ug/L)		
28M-92-01X	461	Minimum –	461
G6M-92-11X	645	Maximum –	2790
WWTMW-13	1080		
G3M-92-01X	1450	Mean –	1644
12M-92-01X	1500		
WWTMW-01	1980	68th %ile–	2370
WWTMW-14	1980		
G6M-92-09X	1980	Background	
13M-92-01X	2570	Concentration –	2370
27M-92-04X	2790		
SELENIUM			
MONITORING WELL	CONCENTRATION (ug/L)		
G6M-92-09X	1.51	Minimum –	1.51
12M-92-01X	1.51	Maximum –	1.51
WWTMW-01	1.51		
28M-92-01X	1.51	Mean –	1.51
G6M-92-11X	1.51		
WWTMW-13	1.51	68th %ile–	NA
13M-92-01X	1.51		
WWTMW-14	1.51	Background	
G3M-92-01X	1.51	Concentration –	3.02 *
27M-92-04X	1.51		
SILVER			
MONITORING WELL	CONCENTRATION (ug/L)		
WWTMW-01	2.30	Minimum –	2.30
G3M-92-01X	2.30	Maximum –	2.30
12M-92-01X	2.30		
13M-92-01X	2.30	Mean –	2.30
WWTMW-14	2.30		
28M-92-01X	2.30	68th %ile–	NA
G6M-92-11X	2.30		
G6M-92-09X	2.30	Background	
27M-92-04X	2.30	Concentration –	4.60 *
WWTMW-13	2.30		
SODIUM			
MONITORING WELL	CONCENTRATION (ug/L)		
28M-92-01X	1380	Minimum –	1380
G6M-92-09X	2000	Maximum –	18000
WWTMW-14	2100		
G6M-92-11X	2430	Mean –	5771
27M-92-04X	3070		
12M-92-01X	4250	68th %ile–	10841
WWTMW-13	4610		
G3M-92-01X	8570	Background	
WWTMW-01	11300	Concentration –	10800
13M-92-01X	18000		

* Method Detection Limit
** Likely Statistical Outlier

INORGANIC ANALYTES IN WATER
FORT DEVENS, MASSACHUSETTS

DATA		CALCULATIONS	
THALLIUM			
MONITORING WELL	CONCENTRATION (ug/L)		
28M-92-01X	3.50	Minimum -	3.50
G6M-92-11X	3.50	Maximum -	3.50
WWTMW-13	3.50		
G3M-92-01X	3.50	Mean -	3.50
12M-92-01X	3.50		
WWTMW-01	3.50	68th %ile-	3.50
WWTMW-14	3.50		
G6M-92-09X	3.50	Background	
13M-92-01X	3.50	Concentration -	6.99
27M-92-04X	3.50		
VANADIUM			
MONITORING WELL	CONCENTRATION (ug/L)		
G6M-92-09X	5.50	Minimum -	5.50
12M-92-01X	5.50	Maximum -	14.50
WWTMW-01	5.50		
28M-92-01X	5.50	Mean -	7.13
G6M-92-11X	5.50		
WWTMW-13	5.50	68th %ile-	10.41
13M-92-01X	5.50		
G3M-92-01X	5.50	Background	
27M-92-04X	12.8	Concentration -	11.0 *
WWTMW-14	14.5		
ZINC			
MONITORING WELL	CONCENTRATION (ug/L)		
WWTMW-13	10.6	Minimum -	10.6
G6M-92-09X	10.6	Maximum -	47.0
WWTMW-01	10.6		
28M-92-01X	10.6	Mean -	20.5
G6M-92-11X	10.6		
G3M-92-01X	10.6	68th %ile-	34.9
WWTMW-14	32.0		
27M-92-04X	41.7	Background	
12M-92-01X	47.0	Concentration -	21.1 *
13M-92-01X	78.5 **		

* Method Detection Limit
** Likely Statistical Outlier

RI SEDIMENT DATA SUMMARIES

This page intentionally left blank.

TABLE J-1
RI SEDIMENT DATA SUMMARY
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

Analysis Method Code	Analyte	SESHL01	SESHL02	SESHL03	SESHL04	SESHL05	SESHL06	SESHL07	SESHL08	SESHL09	SESHL10	SESHL11	SESHL12	SESHL13
		(Concentrations in mg/kg)												
00	Total Organic Carbon	31800	191000	117000	104000	80900	138000	231000	29500	21900	242000	218000	49100	222000
JB03	Mercury	1	27	2.1	1.7	0.55	3.5	3.5	6.07	33	53	130	72.0	38.0
JD13	Arsenic	68.0	260.0	3200	2900	1800	3200	36.0	170	200	200	380	260	290
JS15	Aluminum	14000	17000	1600	2200	14000	2700	963	23000	20000	19000	22000	9900	24000
JS15	Barium	47.4	173	210	210	160	280	10.3	210	310	176	186	76.3	202
JS15	Beryllium	0.40	1.360	<0.78	<0.78	<0.78	<0.78	<0.078	1.15	1.82	2.19	2.36	0.895	2.72
JS15	Calcium	2600	7000	12000	13000	<1300	<1300	690	6100	6400	8100	7800	2900	10000
JS15	Cadmium	<0.424	21.0	34.0	53.0	33.0	55.0	4.38	60.2	18.3	23.7	12.7	4.93	53.4
99	Lead	60.1	338	30.7	39.2	46.5	31.8	31	202	612	439	542	134	632
JS15	Chromium	270	3700	310	390	<39	<39	270	950	5400	6900	10000	4700	9300
JS15	Copper	39.7	119	<20	<20	<20	<20	6.01	54.6	132	113	122.0	60.9	128
JS15	Iron	14000	4300	280000	330000	50000	34000	4000	73000	45000	33000	33000	19000	36000
JS15	Potassium	2200	1520	185	244	996	324	90.5	2340	1740	1210.0	1310	704	1330
JS15	Magnesium	4300	3050	550	730	2600	850	164	6900	3800	2580	3090	2400	2880
JS15	Manganese	280	<84	3800	3900	<84	<84	100	8800	3400	1500	1400	310	1600
JS15	Sodium	238	<52	<520	<520	<520	<520	123	<52	799	896	588	266	825
JS15	Nickel	11.6	69.7	<25	<25	<25	<25	6.77	70.1	64.9	79.3	53.5	12.4	75.4
JS15	Vanadium	20.1	76.3	<13	<13	<13	<13	8.79	74.8	150	166	102	24.3	165
JS15	Zinc	<80	<80	<80	<80	<80	<80	42.8	<80	<80	<80	<80	<80	<80
LH13	Heptachlor	<0.012	0.020	0.092	<0.012	<0.012	<0.012	<0.012	<0.012	<0.012	<0.012	<0.012	<0.012	<0.012
LH13	4,4'-DDE	<0.04	<0.04	0.172	<0.04	<0.04	<0.04	<0.04	<0.04	<0.04	<0.04	<0.04	<0.04	<0.04
LM15	Benzo(a)anthracene	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	1.10	<0.3
LM15	Chrysene	<0.45	<0.45	<0.45	<0.45	<0.45	<0.45	<0.45	<0.45	<0.45	<0.45	<0.45	1.50	<0.45
LM15	Fluoranthene	<0.52	<0.52	<0.52	<0.52	<0.52	<0.52	<0.52	<0.52	<0.52	<0.52	<0.52	3.40	<0.52
LM15	Phenathrene	<0.41	<0.41	<0.41	<0.41	<0.41	<0.41	<0.41	<0.41	<0.41	<0.41	<0.41	2.50	<0.41
LM16	Acetone	0.058	<0.01	0.29	0.15	0.54	<0.01	<0.01	0.37	0.4	0.15	0.15	<0.054	0.260
LM16	Methylene Chloride	0.023	<0.006	0.05	0.053	0.036	0.082	0.034	0.072	0.12	<0.006	0.073	0.021	0.098
LM16	Methyl Ethyl Ketone	<0.01	<0.01	0.079	<0.01	0.13	0.089	0.023	<0.01	0.13	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
LM15	Napthalene	<0.42	<0.42	<0.42	<0.42	<0.42	<0.42	<0.42	<0.42	<0.42	<0.42	<0.42	1.60	<0.42
LM15	Pyrene	<0.42	<0.42	<0.42	<0.42	<0.42	<0.42	<0.42	<0.42	4.35	<0.42	3.50	2.60	<0.42

TABLE J–2
RI SEDIMENT DATA SUMMARY
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

Analysis Method Code/Analyte	SECSB01	SECSB02	SECSB03	SECSB04	SECSB05	SECSB06	SECSB06 (dup)	SECSB07	SECSB08	SECSB09
	(Concentrations in mg/kg)									
00 Total Organic Carbon	52300	170000	13600	24600	10300	57600	--	51300	51900	101000
00 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons	291	<74.4	<74.6	<74.5	<74.5	<426	--	<74.2	<74.4	2100
JD13 Arsenic	69	160	20	32	6.5	43	37	35	34	52
JS15 Lead	50.4	174	14.2	32	11.4	78.6	73	57.3	47.2	345
JS15 Aluminum	6900	12000	5500	4800	3800	17000	12000	5100	7600	17000
JS15 Barium	25.7	67.4	19.3	22.4	13.8	52.3	34.5	25.4	22.7	58.6
JS15 Beryllium	<.078	<.078	<.078	<.078	<.078	0.408	0.318	<.078	<.078	<.078
JS15 Calcium	<1300	13000	<1300	5400	1400	<1300	<1300	3600	<1300	7500
JS15 Chromium	20.1	<3.9	10.1	<3.9	7.24	38.3	31.3	<3.9	<3.9	50.7
JS15 Copper	9.16	20.4	<1.95	6.67	<1.95	19.6	14.8	<1.95	6.07	34.9
JS15 Iron	14000	45000	8500	12000	3800	20000	16000	9800	12000	31000
JS15 Mercury	0.112	0.225	<0.026	<0.026	<0.026	0.153	0.168	0.154	0.117	0.718
JS15 Potassium	565	993	348	389	308	2100	1300	430	294	3000
JS15 Magnesium	2700	3100	2100	1800	1400	5100	3800	923	1400	7000
JS15 Manganese	440	3000	500	750	130	500	500	370	370	450
JS15 Sodium	<52	<52	119	<52	76.8	216	162	<52	<52	403
JS15 Nickel	12.5	<2.46	<2.46	<2.46	<2.46	13.4	10.6	<2.46	<2.46	26.3
JS15 Vanadium	18.8	36.9	7.54	10.2	5.57	24.9	19	13.9	12.2	41.1
JS15 Zinc	<80	690	32.7	<80	14.6	<80	<80	78.3	55.6	<80
LH13 4,4'–DDD	0.297	0.625	0.034	0.102	0.083	0.72	--	<0.1	0.6	1.3
LH13 4,4'–DDE	0.08	0.202	0.017	0.042	0.047	0.14	--	<0.04	0.15	<0.04
LM15 Acenaphthalene	<0.46	<0.46	<0.46	<0.46	<0.46	<0.46	--	<0.46	<0.46	3
LM15 Anthracene	<0.54	<0.54	<0.54	<0.54	<0.54	<0.54	--	<0.54	<0.54	3
LM15 Bis(2–ethylhexy)phthalate	<0.39	<0.39	<0.39	<0.39	<0.39	<0.39	--	<0.39	<0.39	2
LM15 Benzo(a)anthracene	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	0.73	--	<0.3	<0.3	4
LM15 Benzo(a)pyrene	<0.38	<0.38	<0.38	<0.38	<0.38	1.1	--	<0.38	<0.38	6
LM15 Benzo(b)fluoranthene	<0.36	<0.36	<0.36	<0.36	<0.36	0.88	--	<0.36	<0.36	5
LM15 Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	<0.24	<0.24	<0.24	<0.24	<0.24	<0.24	--	<0.24	<0.24	1
LM15 Benzo(k)fluoranthene	<0.8	<0.8	<0.8	<0.8	<0.8	<0.8	--	<0.8	<0.8	10
LM15 Chrysene	<0.45	<0.45	<0.45	<0.45	<0.45	1.1	--	<0.45	<0.45	8
LM15 Fluoranthene	<0.52	4	<0.52	<0.52	<0.52	2.1	--	<0.52	<0.52	10
LM15 Indeno(1,2,3–c,d)pyrene	<0.21	<0.21	<0.21	<0.21	<0.21	<0.21	--	<0.21	<0.21	2
LM15 Phenanthrene	<0.41	<0.41	<0.41	<0.41	<0.41	<0.41	--	<0.41	<0.41	6
LM15 Pyrene	<0.42	<0.42	<0.42	<0.42	<0.42	2.2	--	<0.42	1.2	20
LM16 Acetone	0.047	0.17	0.062	0.048	0.016	0.037	--	0.047	0.028	<0.01
LM16 Methylene Chloride	0.024	0.061	0.012	0.017	0.01	0.026	--	0.024	0.019	0.047
LM16 Methyl Ethyl Ketone	<0.01	<0.01	0.025	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	--	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01

-- = Not analyzed

HUMAN HEALTH RISK SPREADSHEETS
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL

This page intentionally left blank.

GSIG1UMA	15-Dec-93

TABLE K-1
GROUNDWATER - INGESTION AND CONTACT DURING SHOWERING
ADULT EXPOSURE - UNFILTERED, MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL - WELL GROUP 1
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

EXPOSURE PARAMETERS

PARAMETER	SYMBOL	VALUE	UNITS	SOURCE
CONCENTRATION WATER	CW	chemical specific	mg/liter	
INGESTION RATE	IR	2	liters/day	USEPA, 1991b
EVENT FREQUENCY	EV	1	events/day	
BODY WEIGHT	BW	70	kg	USEPA, 1991b
DOSE ABSORBED PER EVENT	DA_{event}	chemical specific	mg/cm^2-event	Calculated [1] (USEPA, 1992d)
SHOWER EXPOSURE TIME	ET	0.2	hours/day	USEPA, 1989f
EXPOSURE FREQUENCY	EF	350	days/year	USEPA, 1991b
EXPOSURE DURATION	ED	30	years	USEPA, 1989f
SURFACE AREA EXPOSED	SA	19400.0	cm^2	USEPA, 1989b [2]
AVERAGING TIME				
CANCER	AT	70	years	USEPA, 1989f
NONCANCER	AT	30	years	USEPA, 1989f
CONVERSION FACTOR	CF	0.001	$liter/10^3cm^3$	

[1] PC_{event} calculated in Appendix W
[2] Surface Area represents entire body.
USEPA, 1989b. Exposure Factors Handbook.
USEPA, 1989f. Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund.
USEPA, 1991b. "Standard Default Exposure Factors".
USEPA, 1992d. Dermal Exposure Assessment: Principles and Applications.

EQUATIONS

CANCER RISK = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) x CANCER SLOPE FACTOR (mg/kg-day)^-1

HAZARD QUOTIENT = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) / REFERENCE DOSE (mg/kg-day)

$$\text{INTAKE-INGESTION} = \frac{CW \times IR \times ABS_i \times ET \times EF \times ED}{BW \times AT \times 365\ days/yr}$$

$$\text{INTAKE-DERMAL} = \frac{DA_{event} \times EV \times EF \times ED \times CF \times SA}{BW \times AT \times 365\ days/yr}$$

Where:

$DA_{event} = PC_{event} \times CW$

Note:
For noncarcinogenic effects: AT = ED
Absorption Factors (ABS_i) for ingestion are set equal to one (USEPA Region I default value).

GSIG1UMA	15-Dec-93

TABLE K-1, continued
GROUNDWATER -- INGESTION AND CONTACT DURING SHOWERING
ADULT EXPOSURE -- UNFILTERED, MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL -- WELL GROUP 1
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

CARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	WATER CONCENTRATION (mg/l)	INGESTION ABS_i	INTAKE INGESTION (mg/kg-day)	PC_{EVENT} (cm/event)	INTAKE DERMAL (mg/kg-day)	ORAL CSF (mg/kg-day) ^ -1	DERMAL CSF [1] (mg/kg-day) ^ -1	CANCER RISK INGESTION	CANCER RISK DERMAL	TOTAL CANCER RISK
1,2-Dichloroethane	0.0099	1	2.3E-05	0.00366	4.1E-06	0.091	0.091	2.1E-06	3.8E-07	**2.5E-06**
1,2-Dichloropropane	0.00052	1	1.2E-06	0.00811	4.8E-07	0.068	0.068	8.3E-08	3.3E-08	**1.2E-07**
Benzene	0.0017	1	4.0E-06	0.0693	1.3E-05	0.029	0.029	1.2E-07	3.9E-07	**5.0E-07**
Arsenic	0.39	1	9.2E-04	0.0002	8.9E-06	1.75	1.79	1.6E-03	1.6E-05	**1.6E-03**
Dichlorobenzenes	0.011	1	2.6E-05	0.0894	1.1E-04	0.024	0.024	6.2E-07	2.7E-06	**3.3E-06**
							SUMMARY CANCER RISK	**2E-03**	**2E-05**	**2E-03**

[1] Calculated from the Oral CSF as described in Section 6.1.2.3.

TABLE K–1, continued
GROUNDWATER – INGESTION AND CONTACT DURING SHOWERING
ADULT EXPOSURE – UNFILTERED, MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL – WELL GROUP 1
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

GSIG1UMA | 15–Dec–93

NONCARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	WATER CONCENTRATION (mg/l)	INGESTION ABS_s	INTAKE INGESTION (mg/kg–day)	PC_{EVENT} (cm/event)	INTAKE DERMAL (mg/kg–day)	ORAL RfD (mg/kg–day)	DERMAL RfD [1] (mg/kg–day) ^–1	HAZARD QUOTIENT INGESTION	HAZARD QUOTIENT DERMAL	TOTAL HAZARD QUOTIENT
1,2–Dichloropropane	0.00052	1	2.8E–06	0.00811	1.1E–06	ND	ND			
1,2–Dichloroethene (total)	0.007	1	3.8E–05	0.00888	1.7E–05	0.009	0.009	4.3E–03	1.8E–03	**6.1E–03**
Benzene	0.0017	1	9.3E–06	0.0693	3.1E–05	ND	ND			
Chloroethane	0.0055	1	3.0E–05	0.00513	7.5E–06	0.02	0.02	1.5E–03	3.7E–04	**1.9E–03**
Aluminum	75.5	1	4.1E–01	0.0002	4.0E–03	ND	ND			
Antimony	0.0033	1	1.8E–05	0.0002	1.8E–07	0.0004	0.000004	4.5E–02	4.4E–02	**8.9E–02**
Arsenic	0.39	1	2.1E–03	0.0002	2.1E–05	0.0003	0.000294	7.1E+00	7.1E–02	**7.2E+00**
Barium	0.35	1	1.9E–03	0.0002	1.9E–05	0.07	0.0049	2.7E–02	3.8E–03	**3.1E–02**
Calcium	219	1	1.2E+00	0.0002	1.2E–02	ND	ND			
Chromium VI	0.115	1	6.3E–04	0.0002	6.1E–06	0.005	0.00055	1.3E–01	1.1E–02	**1.4E–01**
Cobalt	0.0546	1	3.0E–04	0.0002	2.9E–06	ND	ND			
Copper	0.0922	1	5.1E–04	0.0002	4.9E–06	ND	ND			
Iron	97.4	1	5.3E–01	0.0002	5.2E–03	ND	ND			
Potassium	31.8	1	1.7E–01	0.0002	1.7E–03	ND	ND			
Magnesium	24	1	1.3E–01	0.0002	1.3E–03	ND	ND			
Manganese	9.65	1	5.3E–02	0.0002	5.1E–04	0.005	0.0002	1.1E+01	2.6E+00	**1.3E+01**
Sodium	67.3	1	3.7E–01	0.0002	3.6E–03	ND	ND			
Nickel	0.177	1	9.7E–04	0.0002	9.4E–06	0.02	0.001	4.8E–02	9.4E–03	**5.8E–02**
Lead	0.0668	1	3.7E–04	0.0002	3.6E–06	ND	ND			
Vanadium	0.0791	1	4.3E–04	0.0002	4.2E–06	0.007	0.00021	6.2E–02	2.0E–02	**8.2E–02**
Zinc	0.22	1	1.2E–03	0.0002	1.2E–05	0.3	0.102	4.0E–03	1.1E–04	**4.1E–03**
Dichlorobenzenes	0.011	1	6.0E–05	0.0894	2.6E–04	0.09	0.09	6.7E–04	2.9E–03	**3.6E–03**
1,1–Dichloroethane	0.0044	1	2.4E–05	0.00651	7.6E–06	0.1	0.1	2.4E–04	7.6E–05	**3.2E–04**
1,2–Dichloroethane	0.0099	1	5.4E–05	0.00366	9.6E–06	0.3	0.3	1.8E–04	3.2E–05	**2.1E–04**
						SUMMARY HAZARD INDEX		**2E+01**	**3E+00**	**2E+01**

ND = No data available
[1] Calculated from the Oral RfD as described in Section 6.1.2.3.

TABLE K–2
INHALATION EXPOSURE TO VOCs DURING SHOWERING
ADULT EXPOSURE – UNFILTERED, MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL – WELL GROUP 1
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

GSIH1UMA	15–Dec–93

EXPOSURE PARAMETERS

PARAMETER	SYMBOL	VALUE	UNITS	SOURCE
CONCENTRATION AIR	CA		ug/m^3	Modeled
CONVERSION FACTOR 1	CF1	24	hours/day	
EXPOSURE TIME	ET	0.2	hours/day	USEPA, 1989f
EXPOSURE FREQUENCY	EF	350	days/year	USEPA, 1991b
EXPOSURE DURATION	ED	30	years	USEPA, 1991b
CONVERSION FACTOR 2	CF2	365	days/year	
AVERAGING TIME CANCER	AT	70	years	USEPA, 1989f
AVERAGING TIME NONCANCER	AT	30	years	USEPA, 1989f*

USEPA, 1991b. Standard Default Exposure Factors.
USEPA, 1989f. RAGs, Part A.

EQUATIONS

CANCER RISK = AVG. CONC. (ug/m^3) * CANCER UNIT RISK $(ug/m^3)^{-1}$

HAZARD QUOTIENT = AVG.CONC.(ug/m^3)/REF. CONC. (ug/m^3)

$$\text{AVG. CONC.} = \frac{\text{CAair} * \text{EF} * \text{ET} * \text{ED}}{\text{AT} * \text{CF1} * \text{CF2}}$$

Note:
*For noncarcinogenic effects: AT = ED

CARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	AIR CONCENTRATION (ug/m^3)	AVE. CONC. LIFETIME (ug/m^3)	INHALATION UNIT RISK $(ug/m^3)^{-1}$	CANCER RISK
1,2–Dichloroethane	4.1E+01	1.4E–01	2.6E–05	**3.7E–06**
Benzene	9.1E+00	3.1E–02	8.3E–06	**2.6E–07**
SUMMARY CANCER RISK				**4E–06**

NONCARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	AIR CONCENTRATION (ug/m^3)	AVE. CONC. FOR TIME PERIOD (ug/m^3)	INHALATION RfC (ug/m^3)	HAZARD QUOTIENT
Benzene	9.1E+00	7.3E–02	2.0E–01	**3.6E–01**
Chloroethane	3.2E+01	2.6E–01	1.0E+04	**2.6E–05**
1,1–Dichloroethane	2.1E+01	1.7E–01	5.0E+02	**3.4E–04**
1,2–Dichloroethane	4.1E+01	3.3E–01	1.0E+01	**3.3E–02**
1,2–Dichloropropane	2.3E+00	1.8E–02	4.0E+00	**4.5E–03**
1,2–Dichloroethene (total)	3.5E+01	2.8E–01	ND	
SUMMARY HAZARD INDEX				**0.401**

ND = No data available

GSIG1UMC	15-Dec-93

TABLE K-3
GROUNDWATER - INGESTION AND CONTACT DURING BATHING
CHILD EXPOSURE - (SUBCHRONIC) UNFILTERED, MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL - WELL GROUP 1
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

EXPOSURE PARAMETERS

PARAMETER	SYMBOL	VALUE	UNITS	SOURCE
CONCENTRATION WATER	CW	chemical specific	mg/liter	
INGESTION RATE	IR	0.5	liters/day	USEPA, 1989b
AGE-SPECIFIC SURFACE AREA	SA_i	age-specific	cm^3	USEPA, 1989b
EVENT FREQUENCY	EV	1	events/day	
BODY WEIGHT	BW	16	kg	USEPA, 1989b
AGE-SPECIFIC BODY WEIGHT	BW_i	age-specific	kg	USEPA, 1989b
DOSE ABSORBED PER EVENT	DA_{event}	chemical specific	mg/cm^2-event	Calculated [2] (USEPA, 1992d)
BATH EXPOSURE TIME	ET	0.2	hours/day	USEPA, 1989f
EXPOSURE FREQUENCY	EF	350	days/year	USEPA, 1991b
EXPOSURE DURATION	ED	5	years	Assumption
AGE-SPECIFIC EXPSOURE DURATION	ED_i	age-specific	years	USEPA, 1989b
AGE-WEIGHTED SURFACE AREA [1]	SA_{adj}	2247.5	cm^2-yr/kg	Appendix V [3](USEPA, 1992d)
AVERAGING TIME				
CANCER	AT	70	years	USEPA, 1989f
NONCANCER	AT	5	years	USEPA, 1989f
CONVERSION FACTOR	CF	0.001	liter/$10^3 cm^3$	

[1] The calculations for normalized surface area (SA_{adj}) and dermally absorbed dose per unit area per event (DA_{event}) are described in Appendix V.
[2] PC_{event} calculated in Appendix W.
[3] Surface area represents the entire body.
USEPA, 1989b. Exposure Factors Handbook.
USEPA, 19989f. Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund.
USEPA, 1991b. Standard Default Exposure Factors.
USEPA, 1992d. Dermal Exposure Assessment: Principles and Applications.

EQUATIONS

CANCER RISK = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) x CANCER SLOPE FACTOR (mg/kg-day)^-1

HAZARD QUOTIENT = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) / REFERENCE DOSE (mg/kg-day)

$$\text{INTAKE-INGESTION} = \frac{CW \times IR \times ABS_i \times ET \times EF \times ED}{BW \times AT \times 365\ days/yr}$$

$$\text{INTAKE-DERMAL} = \frac{DA_{event} \times EV \times EF \times CF \times SA_{adj}}{AT \times 365\ days/yr}$$

Where:

$DA_{event} = PC_{event} \times CW$

Note:
For noncarcinogenic effects: AT = ED
Absorption factors (ABS_i) for ingestion are set equal to one (USEPA Region I default value).

GSIG1UMC	15-Dec-93

TABLE K-3, continued
GROUNDWATER - INGESTION AND CONTACT DURING BATHING
CHILD EXPOSURE - (SUBCHRONIC) UNFILTERED, MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL - WELL GROUP 1
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

CARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	WATER CONCENTRATION (mg/l)	INGESTION ABS_i	INTAKE INGESTION (mg/kg-day)	PC_{EVENT} (cm/event)	INTAKE DERMAL (mg/kg-day)	ORAL CSF (mg/kg-day) ^ -1	DERMAL CSF [1] (mg/kg-day) ^ -1	CANCER RISK INGESTION	CANCER RISK DERMAL	TOTAL CANCER RISK
1,2-Dichloroethane	0.0099	1	4.2E-06	0.00366	1.1E-06	0.091	0.091	3.9E-07	1.0E-07	**4.9E-07**
1,2-Dichloropropane	0.00052	1	2.2E-07	0.00811	1.3E-07	0.068	0.068	1.5E-08	8.8E-09	**2.4E-08**
Benzene	0.0017	1	7.3E-07	0.0693	3.6E-06	0.029	0.029	2.1E-08	1.1E-07	**1.3E-07**
Arsenic	0.39	1	1.7E-04	0.0002	2.4E-06	1.75	1.79	2.9E-04	4.3E-06	**3.0E-04**
Dichlorobenzenes	0.011	1	4.7E-06	0.0894	3.0E-05	0.024	0.024	1.1E-07	7.3E-07	**8.4E-07**
					SUMMARY CANCER RISK			**3E-04**	**5E-06**	**3E-04**

[1] Calculated from Oral CSF as described in Section 6.1.2.3.

TABLE K–3, continued

GSIG1UMC	15–Dec–93

GROUNDWATER – INGESTION AND CONTACT DURING BATHING
CHILD EXPOSURE – (SUBCHRONIC) UNFILTERED, MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL – WELL GROUP 1
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

NONCARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	WATER CONCENTRATION (mg/l)	INGESTION ABS_g	INTAKE INGESTION (mg/kg–day)	PC_{EVENT} (cm/event)	INTAKE DERMAL (mg/kg–day)	ORAL RfD (mg/kg–day)	DERMAL RfD [1] (mg/kg–day) ^ –1	HAZARD QUOTIENT INGESTION	HAZARD QUOTIENT DERMAL	TOTAL HAZARD QUOTIENT
1,2–Dichloropropane	0.00052	1	3.1E–06	0.00811	1.8E–06	ND	ND			
1,2–Dichloroethene (total)	0.007	1	4.2E–05	0.00888	2.7E–05	0.009	0.009	4.7E–03	3.0E–03	**7.6E–03**
Benzene	0.0017	1	1.0E–05	0.0693	5.1E–05	ND	ND			
Chloroethane	0.0055	1	3.3E–05	0.00513	1.2E–05	0.02	0.02	1.6E–03	6.1E–04	**2.3E–03**
Aluminum	75.5	1	4.5E–01	0.0002	6.5E–03	ND	ND			
Antimony	0.0033	1	2.0E–05	0.0002	2.8E–07	0.0004	0.000004	4.9E–02	7.1E–02	**1.2E–01**
Arsenic	0.39	1	2.3E–03	0.0002	3.4E–05	0.0003	0.000294	7.8E+00	1.1E–01	**7.9E+00**
Barium	0.35	1	2.1E–03	0.0002	3.0E–05	0.07	0.0049	3.0E–02	6.2E–03	**3.6E–02**
Calcium	219	1	1.3E+00	0.0002	1.9E–02	ND	ND			
Chromium VI	0.115	1	6.9E–04	0.0002	9.9E–06	0.02	0.0022	3.4E–02	4.5E–03	**3.9E–02**
Cobalt	0.0546	1	3.3E–04	0.0002	4.7E–06	ND	ND			
Copper	0.0922	1	5.5E–04	0.0002	7.9E–06	ND	ND			
Iron	97.4	1	5.8E–01	0.0002	8.4E–03	ND	ND			
Potassium	31.8	1	1.9E–01	0.0002	2.7E–03	ND	ND			
Magnesium	24	1	1.4E–01	0.0002	2.1E–03	ND	ND			
Manganese	9.65	1	5.8E–02	0.0002	8.3E–04	0.005	0.0002	1.2E+01	4.2E+00	**1.6E+01**
Sodium	67.3	1	4.0E–01	0.0002	5.8E–03	ND	ND			
Nickel	0.177	1	1.1E–03	0.0002	1.5E–05	0.02	0.001	5.3E–02	1.5E–02	**6.8E–02**
Lead	0.0668	1	4.0E–04	0.0002	5.8E–06	ND	ND			
Vanadium	0.0791	1	4.7E–04	0.0002	6.8E–06	0.007	0.00021	6.8E–02	3.2E–02	**1.0E–01**
Zinc	0.22	1	1.3E–03	0.0002	1.9E–05	0.3	0.102	4.4E–03	1.9E–04	**4.6E–03**
Dichlorobenzenes	0.011	1	6.6E–05	0.0894	4.2E–04	0.9	0.9	7.3E–05	4.7E–04	**5.4E–04**
1,1–Dichloroethane	0.0044	1	2.6E–05	0.00651	1.2E–05	1	1	2.6E–05	1.2E–05	**3.9E–05**
1,2–Dichloroethane	0.0099	1	5.9E–05	0.00366	1.6E–05	0.3	0.3	2.0E–04	5.2E–05	**2.5E–04**
					SUMMARY HAZARD INDEX			**2E+01**	**4E+00**	**2E+01**

ND = No data available

[1] Calculated from Oral RfD as described in Section 6.1.2.3.

TABLE K-4
INHALATION EXPOSURE TO VOCs DURING SHOWERING
CHILD EXPOSURE (SUBCHRONIC) – UNFILTERED, MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL – WELL GROUP 1
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

GSIH1UMC	15-Dec-93

EXPOSURE PARAMETERS

PARAMETER	SYMBOL	VALUE	UNITS	SOURCE
CONCENTRATION AIR	CA		ug/m^3	Modeled
CONVERSION FACTOR 1	CF1	24	hours/day	
EXPOSURE TIME	ET	0.2	hours/day	USEPA, 1989f
EXPOSURE FREQUENCY	EF	350	days/year	USEPA, 1991b
EXPOSURE DURATION	ED	5	years	USEPA, 1991b
CONVERSION FACTOR 2	CF2	365	days/year	
AVERAGING TIME CANCER	AT	70	years	USEPA, 1989f
AVERAGING TIME NONCANCER	AT	5	years	USEPA, 1989f*

USEPA, 1991b. Standard Default Exposure Factors.
USEPA, 1989f. RAGs, Part A.

EQUATIONS

CANCER RISK = AVG. CONC. (ug/m^3) * CANCER UNIT RISK $(ug/m3)^{-1}$

HAZARD QUOTIENT = AVG.CONC.(ug/m^3)/REF. CONC. (ug/m^3)

$$\text{AVG. CONC.} = \frac{\text{CAair} * \text{EF} * \text{ET} * \text{ED}}{\text{AT} * \text{CF1} * \text{CF2}}$$

Note:
*For noncarcinogenic effects: AT = ED

CARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	AIR CONCENTRATION (ug/m^3)	AVE. CONC. LIFETIME (ug/m^3)	INHALATION UNIT RISK $(ug/m^3)^{-1}$	CANCER RISK
1,2-Dichloroethane	4.1E+01	2.3E-02	2.6E-05	**6.1E-07**
Benzene	9.1E+00	5.2E-03	8.3E-06	**4.3E-08**
SUMMARY CANCER RISK				**7E-07**

NONCARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	AIR CONCENTRATION (ug/m^3)	AVE. CONC. FOR TIME PERIOD (ug/m^3)	INHALATION RfC (ug/m^3)	HAZARD QUOTIENT
Benzene	9.1E+00	7.3E-02	2.0E-01	**3.6E-01**
Chloroethane	3.2E+01	2.6E-01	1.0E+04	**2.6E-05**
1,1-Dichloroethane	2.1E+01	1.7E-01	5.0E+03	**3.4E-05**
1,2-Dichloroethane	4.1E+01	3.3E-01	1.0E+01	**3.3E-02**
1,2-Dichloropropane	2.3E+00	1.8E-02	1.3E+01	**1.4E-03**
1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	3.5E+01	2.8E-01	ND	
SUMMARY HAZARD INDEX				**0.398**

ND = No data available

GSIG1UAA	15-Dec-93

TABLE K-5
GROUNDWATER - INGESTION AND CONTACT DURING SHOWERING
ADULT EXPOSURE - UNFILTERED, AVERAGE CONCENTRATION
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL - WELL GROUP 1
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

EXPOSURE PARAMETERS

PARAMETER	SYMBOL	VALUE	UNITS	SOURCE
CONCENTRATION WATER	CW	chemical specific	mg/liter	
INGESTION RATE	IR	2	liters/day	USEPA, 1991b
EVENT FREQUENCY	EV	1	events/day	
BODY WEIGHT	BW	70	kg	USEPA, 1991b
DOSE ABSORBED PER EVENT	DA_{event}	chemical specific	mg/cm^2-event	Calculated [1] (USEPA, 1992d)
SHOWER EXPOSURE TIME	ET	0.2	hours/day	USEPA, 1989f
EXPOSURE FREQUENCY	EF	350	days/year	USEPA, 1991b
EXPOSURE DURATION	ED	30	years	USEPA, 1989f
SURFACE AREA EXPOSED	SA	19400.0	cm^2	USEPA, 1989b [2]
AVERAGING TIME				
CANCER	AT	70	years	USEPA, 1989f
NONCANCER	AT	30	years	USEPA, 1989f
CONVERSION FACTOR	CF	0.001	$liter/10^3cm^3$	

[1] PC_{event} calculated in Appendix W
[2] Surface Area represents entire body.
USEPA, 1989b. Exposure Factors Handbook.
USEPA, 1989f. Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund.
USEPA, 1991b. "Standard Default Exposure Factors".
USEPA, 1992d. Dermal Exposure Assessment: Principles and Applications.

EQUATIONS

CANCER RISK = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) x CANCER SLOPE FACTOR (mg/kg-day)^-1

HAZARD QUOTIENT = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) / REFERENCE DOSE (mg/kg-day)

$$\text{INTAKE-INGESTION} = \frac{CW \times IR \times ABS_i \times ET \times EF \times ED}{BW \times AT \times 365\ days/yr}$$

$$\text{INTAKE-DERMAL} = \frac{DA_{event} \times EV \times EF \times ED \times CF \times SA}{BW \times AT \times 365\ days/yr}$$

Where:

$DA_{event} = PC_{event} \times CW$

Note:
For noncarcinogenic effects: AT = ED
Absorption Factors (ABS_i) for ingestion are set equal to one (USEPA Region I default value).

GSIG1UAA	15-Dec-93

TABLE K-5, continued
GROUNDWATER - INGESTION AND CONTACT DURING SHOWERING
ADULT EXPOSURE - UNFILTERED, AVERAGE CONCENTRATION
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL - WELL GROUP 1
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

CARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	WATER CONCENTRATION (mg/l)	INGESTION ABS_s	INTAKE INGESTION (mg/kg-day)	PC_{EVENT} (cm/event)	INTAKE DERMAL (mg/kg-day)	ORAL CSF (mg/kg-day)^-1	DERMAL CSF [1] (mg/kg-day)^-1	CANCER RISK INGESTION	CANCER RISK DERMAL	TOTAL CANCER RISK
1,2-Dichloroethane	0.00097	1	2.3E-06	0.00366	4.0E-07	0.091	0.091	2.1E-07	3.7E-08	**2.4E-07**
1,2-Dichloropropane	0.00027	1	6.3E-07	0.00811	2.5E-07	0.068	0.068	4.3E-08	1.7E-08	**6.0E-08**
Benzene	0.00051	1	1.2E-06	0.0693	4.0E-06	0.029	0.029	3.5E-08	1.2E-07	**1.5E-07**
Arsenic	0.101	1	2.4E-04	0.0002	2.3E-06	1.75	1.79	4.2E-04	4.1E-06	**4.2E-04**
Dichlorobenzenes	0.0054	1	1.3E-05	0.0894	5.5E-05	0.024	0.024	3.0E-07	1.3E-06	**1.6E-06**
							SUMMARY CANCER RISK	**4E-04**	**6E-06**	**4E-04**

[1] Calculated from Oral CSF as described in Section 6.1.2.3.

GSIG1UAA | 15-Dec-93

TABLE K-5, continued
GROUNDWATER - INGESTION AND CONTACT DURING SHOWERING
ADULT EXPOSURE - UNFILTERED, AVERAGE CONCENTRATION
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL - WELL GROUP 1
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

NONCARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	WATER CONCENTRATION (mg/l)	INGESTION ABS$_i$	INTAKE INGESTION (mg/kg-day)	PC$_{EVENT}$ (cm/event)	INTAKE DERMAL (mg/kg-day)	ORAL RfD (mg/kg-day)	DERMAL RfD [1] (mg/kg-day) ^ -1	HAZARD QUOTIENT INGESTION	HAZARD QUOTIENT DERMAL	TOTAL HAZARD QUOTIENT
1,2-Dichloropropane	0.00027	1	1.5E-06	0.00811	5.8E-07	ND	ND			
1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	0.0014	1	7.7E-06	0.00888	3.3E-06	0.009	0.009	8.5E-04	3.7E-04	**1.2E-03**
Benzene	0.00051	1	2.8E-06	0.0693	9.4E-06	ND	ND			
Chloroethane	0.0013	1	7.1E-06	0.00513	1.8E-06	0.02	0.02	3.6E-04	8.9E-05	**4.4E-04**
Aluminum	4.26	1	2.3E-02	0.0002	2.3E-04	ND	ND			
Antimony	0.0017	1	9.3E-06	0.0002	9.0E-08	0.0004	0.000004	2.3E-02	2.3E-02	**4.6E-02**
Arsenic	0.101	1	5.5E-04	0.0002	5.4E-06	0.0003	0.000294	1.8E+00	1.8E-02	**1.9E+00**
Barium	0.0476	1	2.6E-04	0.0002	2.5E-06	0.07	0.0049	3.7E-03	5.2E-04	**4.2E-03**
Calcium	54.3	1	3.0E-01	0.0002	2.9E-03	ND	ND			
Chromium VI	0.009	1	4.9E-05	0.0002	4.8E-07	0.005	0.00055	9.9E-03	8.7E-04	**1.1E-02**
Cobalt	0.014	1	7.7E-05	0.0002	7.4E-07	ND	ND			
Copper	0.0086	1	4.7E-05	0.0002	4.6E-07	ND	ND			
Iron	17.6	1	9.6E-02	0.0002	9.4E-04	ND	ND			
Potassium	7.12	1	3.9E-02	0.0002	3.8E-04	ND	ND			
Magnesium	7.6	1	4.2E-02	0.0002	4.0E-04	ND	ND			
Manganese	2.05	1	1.1E-02	0.0002	1.1E-04	0.005	0.0002	2.2E+00	5.4E-01	**2.8E+00**
Sodium	20.7	1	1.1E-01	0.0002	1.1E-03	ND	ND			
Nickel	0.0229	1	1.3E-04	0.0002	1.2E-06	0.02	0.001	6.3E-03	1.2E-03	**7.5E-03**
Lead	0.0052	1	2.8E-05	0.0002	2.8E-07	ND	ND			
Vanadium	0.0094	1	5.2E-05	0.0002	5.0E-07	0.007	0.00021	7.4E-03	2.4E-03	**9.7E-03**
Zinc	0.0294	1	1.6E-04	0.0002	1.6E-06	0.3	0.102	5.4E-04	1.5E-05	**5.5E-04**
Dichlorobenzenes	0.0054	1	3.0E-05	0.0894	1.3E-04	0.09	0.09	3.3E-04	1.4E-03	**1.8E-03**
1,1-Dichloroethane	0.00086	1	4.7E-06	0.00651	1.5E-06	0.1	0.1	4.7E-05	1.5E-05	**6.2E-05**
1,2-Dichloroethane	0.00097	1	5.3E-06	0.00366	9.4E-07	0.3	0.3	1.8E-05	3.1E-06	**2.1E-05**
					SUMMARY HAZARD INDEX			**4E+00**	**6E-01**	**5E+00**

ND = No data available
[1] Calculated from Oral RfD as described in Section 6.1.2.3.

TABLE K-6
INHALATION EXPOSURE TO VOCs DURING SHOWERING
ADULT EXPOSURE - UNFILTERED, AVERAGE CONCENTRATION
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL - WELL GROUP 1
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

GSIH1UAA	15-Dec-93

EXPOSURE PARAMETERS

PARAMETER	SYMBOL	VALUE	UNITS	SOURCE
CONCENTRATION AIR	CA		ug/m^3	Modeled
CONVERSION FACTOR 1	CF1	24	hours/day	
EXPOSURE TIME	ET	0.2	hours/day	USEPA, 1989f
EXPOSURE FREQUENCY	EF	350	days/year	USEPA, 1991b
EXPOSURE DURATION	ED	30	years	USEPA, 1991b
CONVERSION FACTOR 2	CF2	365	days/year	
AVERAGING TIME CANCER	AT	70	years	USEPA, 1989f
AVERAGING TIME NONCANCER	AT	30	years	USEPA, 1989f*

USEPA, 1991b. Standard Default Exposure Factors.
USEPA, 1989f. RAGs, Part A.

EQUATIONS

CANCER RISK = AVG. CONC. (ug/m^3) * CANCER UNIT RISK $(ug/m^3)^{-1}$

HAZARD QUOTIENT = AVG.CONC.(ug/m^3)/REF. CONC. (ug/m^3)

$$\text{AVG. CONC.} = \frac{\text{CAair} * \text{EF} * \text{ET} * \text{ED}}{\text{AT} * \text{CF1} * \text{CF2}}$$

Note:
*For noncarcinogenic effects: AT = ED

CARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	AIR CONCENTRATION (ug/m^3)	AVG. CONC. LIFETIME (ug/m^3)	INHALATION UNIT RISK $(ug/m3)^{-1}$	CANCER RISK
1,2-Dichloroethane	4.0E+00	1.4E-02	2.6E-05	**3.6E-07**
Benzene	2.7E+00	9.2E-03	8.3E-06	**7.7E-08**
SUMMARY CANCER RISK				**4E-07**

NONCARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	AIR CONCENTRATION (ug/m^3)	AVG. CONC. FOR TIME PERIOD (ug/m^3)	INHALATION RfC (ug/m^3)	HAZARD QUOTIENT
Benzene	2.7E+00	2.2E-02	2.0E-01	**1.1E-01**
Chloroethane	7.7E+00	6.1E-02	1.0E+04	**6.1E-06**
1,1-Dichloroethane	4.1E+00	3.3E-02	5.0E+02	**6.6E-05**
1,2-Dichloroethane	4.0E+00	3.2E-02	1.0E+01	**3.2E-03**
1,2-Dichloropropane	1.2E+00	9.3E-03	4.0E+00	**2.3E-03**
1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	6.9E+00	5.5E-02	ND	
SUMMARY HAZARD INDEX				**0.113**

ND = No data available

GSIG1UAC	15-Dec-93

TABLE K-7
GROUNDWATER - INGESTION AND CONTACT DURING BATHING
CHILD EXPOSURE - (SUBCHRONIC) UNFILTERED, AVERAGE CONCENTRATION
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL - WELL GROUP 1
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

EXPOSURE PARAMETERS

PARAMETER	SYMBOL	VALUE	UNITS	SOURCE
CONCENTRATION WATER	CW	chemical specific	mg/liter	
INGESTION RATE	IR	0.5	liters/day	USEPA, 1989b
AGE-SPECIFIC SURFACE AREA	SA_i	age-specific	cm^3	USEPA, 1989b
EVENT FREQUENCY	EV	1	events/day	
BODY WEIGHT	BW	16	kg	USEPA, 1989b
AGE-SPECIFIC BODY WEIGHT	BW_i	age-specific	kg	USEPA, 1989b
DOSE ABSORBED PER EVENT	DA_{event}	chemical specific	mg/cm^2-event	Calculated [2] (USEPA, 1992d)
BATH EXPOSURE TIME	ET	0.2	hours/day	USEPA, 1989f
EXPOSURE FREQUENCY	EF	350	days/year	USEPA, 1991b
EXPOSURE DURATION	ED	5	years	Assumption
AGE-SPECIFIC EXPSOURE DURATION	ED_i	age-specific	years	USEPA, 1989b
AGE-WEIGHTED SURFACE AREA [1]	SA_{adj}	2247.5	cm^2-yr/kg	Appendix V [3](USEPA, 1992d)
AVERAGING TIME				
CANCER	AT	70	years	USEPA, 1989f
NONCANCER	AT	5	years	USEPA, 1989f
CONVERSION FACTOR	CF	0.001	liter/$10^3 cm^3$	

[1] The calculations for normalized surface area (SA_{adj}) and dermally absorbed dose per unit area per event (DA_{event}) are described in Appendix V.
[2] PC_{event} calculated in Appendix W.
[3] Surface area represents the entire body.
USEPA, 1989b. Exposure Factors Handbook.
USEPA, 19989f. Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund.
USEPA, 1991b. Standard Default Exposure Factors.
USEPA, 1992d. Dermal Exposure Assessment: Principles and Applications.

EQUATIONS

CANCER RISK = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) x CANCER SLOPE FACTOR (mg/kg-day)^-1

HAZARD QUOTIENT = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) / REFERENCE DOSE (mg/kg-day)

$$\text{INTAKE-INGESTION} = \frac{CW \times IR \times ABS_i \times ET \times EF \times ED}{BW \times AT \times 365\ days/yr}$$

$$\text{INTAKE-DERMAL} = \frac{DA_{event} \times EV \times EF \times CF \times SA_{adj}}{AT \times 365\ days/yr}$$

Where:

$$DA_{event} = PC_{event} \times CW$$

Note:
For noncarcinogenic effects: AT = ED
Absorption factors (ABS_i) for ingestion are set equal to one (USEPA Region I default value).

GSIG1UAC	15-Dec-93

TABLE K-7, continued
GROUNDWATER - INGESTION AND CONTACT DURING BATHING
CHILD EXPOSURE - (SUBCHRONIC) UNFILTERED, AVERAGE CONCENTRATION
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL - WELL GROUP 1
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

CARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	WATER CONCENTRATION (mg/l)	INGESTION ABS_i	INTAKE INGESTION (mg/kg-day)	PC_{EVENT} (cm/event)	INTAKE DERMAL (mg/kg-day)	ORAL CSF (mg/kg-day) ^ -1	DERMAL CSF [1] (mg/kg-day) ^ -1	CANCER RISK INGESTION	CANCER RISK DERMAL	TOTAL CANCER RISK
1,2-Dichloroethane	0.00097	1	4.2E-07	0.00366	1.1E-07	0.091	0.091	3.8E-08	9.9E-09	**4.8E-08**
1,2-Dichloropropane	0.00027	1	1.2E-07	0.00811	6.7E-08	0.068	0.068	7.9E-09	4.6E-09	**1.2E-08**
Benzene	0.00051	1	2.2E-07	0.0693	1.1E-06	0.029	0.029	6.3E-09	3.2E-08	**3.8E-08**
Arsenic	0.101	1	4.3E-05	0.0002	6.2E-07	1.75	1.79	7.6E-05	1.1E-06	**7.7E-05**
Dichlorobenzenes	0.0054	1	2.3E-06	0.0894	1.5E-05	0.024	0.024	5.5E-08	3.6E-07	**4.1E-07**
					SUMMARY CANCER RISK			**8E-05**	**2E-06**	**8E-05**

[1] Calculated from Oral CSF as described in Section 6.1.2.3.

TABLE K–7, continued

GSIG1UAC	15–Dec–93

GROUNDWATER – INGESTION AND CONTACT DURING BATHING
CHILD EXPOSURE – (SUBCHRONIC) UNFILTERED, AVERAGE CONCENTRATION
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL – WELL GROUP 1
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

NONCARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	WATER CONCENTRATION (mg/l)	INGESTION ABS_i	INTAKE INGESTION (mg/kg–day)	PC_{EVENT} (cm/event)	INTAKE DERMAL (mg/kg–day)	ORAL RfD (mg/kg–day)	DERMAL RfD [1] (mg/kg–day) ^ –1	HAZARD QUOTIENT INGESTION	HAZARD QUOTIENT DERMAL	TOTAL HAZARD QUOTIENT
1,2–Dichloropropane	0.00027	1	1.6E–06	0.00811	9.4E–07	ND	ND			
1,2–Dichloroethene (total)	0.0014	1	8.4E–06	0.00888	5.4E–06	0.009	0.009	9.3E–04	6.0E–04	**1.5E–03**
Benzene	0.00051	1	3.1E–06	0.0693	1.5E–05	ND	ND			
Chloroethane	0.0013	1	7.8E–06	0.00513	2.9E–06	0.02	0.02	3.9E–04	1.4E–04	**5.3E–04**
Aluminum	4.26	1	2.6E–02	0.0002	3.7E–04	ND	ND			
Antimony	0.0017	1	1.0E–05	0.0002	1.5E–07	0.0004	0.000004	2.5E–02	3.7E–02	**6.2E–02**
Arsenic	0.101	1	6.1E–04	0.0002	8.7E–06	0.0003	0.000294	2.0E+00	3.0E–02	**2.0E+00**
Barium	0.0476	1	2.9E–04	0.0002	4.1E–06	0.07	0.0049	4.1E–03	8.4E–04	**4.9E–03**
Calcium	54.3	1	3.3E–01	0.0002	4.7E–03	ND	ND			
Chromium VI	0.009	1	5.4E–05	0.0002	7.8E–07	0.02	0.0022	2.7E–03	3.5E–04	**3.0E–03**
Cobalt	0.014	1	8.4E–05	0.0002	1.2E–06	ND	ND			
Copper	0.0086	1	5.2E–05	0.0002	7.4E–07	ND	ND			
Iron	17.6	1	1.1E–01	0.0002	1.5E–03	ND	ND			
Potassium	7.12	1	4.3E–02	0.0002	6.1E–04	ND	ND			
Magnesium	7.6	1	4.6E–02	0.0002	6.6E–04	ND	ND			
Manganese	2.05	1	1.2E–02	0.0002	1.8E–04	0.005	0.0002	2.5E+00	8.8E–01	**3.3E+00**
Sodium	20.7	1	1.2E–01	0.0002	1.8E–03	ND	ND			
Nickel	0.0229	1	1.4E–04	0.0002	2.0E–06	0.02	0.001	6.9E–03	2.0E–03	**8.8E–03**
Lead	0.0052	1	3.1E–05	0.0002	4.5E–07	ND	ND			
Vanadium	0.0094	1	5.6E–05	0.0002	8.1E–07	0.007	0.00021	8.0E–03	3.9E–03	**1.2E–02**
Zinc	0.0294	1	1.8E–04	0.0002	2.5E–06	0.3	0.102	5.9E–04	2.5E–05	**6.1E–04**
Dichlorobenzenes	0.0054	1	3.2E–05	0.0894	2.1E–04	0.9	0.9	3.6E–05	2.3E–04	**2.7E–04**
1,1–Dichloroethane	0.00086	1	5.2E–06	0.00651	2.4E–06	1	1	5.2E–06	2.4E–06	**7.6E–06**
1,2–Dichloroethane	0.00097	1	5.8E–06	0.00366	1.5E–06	0.3	0.3	1.9E–05	5.1E–06	**2.4E–05**
					SUMMARY HAZARD INDEX			**5E+00**	**1E+00**	**5E+00**

ND = No data available

[1] Calculated from Oral RfD as described in Section 6.1.2.3.

TABLE K-8

GSIH1UAC | 15-Dec-93

INHALATION EXPOSURE TO VOCs DURING SHOWERING
CHILD EXPOSURE (SUBCHRONIC) - UNFILTERED, AVERAGE CONCENTRATION
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL - WELL GROUP 1
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

EXPOSURE PARAMETERS

PARAMETER	SYMBOL	VALUE	UNITS	SOURCE
CONCENTRATION AIR	CA		ug/m^3	Modeled
CONVERSION FACTOR 1	CF1	24	hours/day	
EXPOSURE TIME	ET	0.2	hours/day	USEPA, 1989f
EXPOSURE FREQUENCY	EF	350	days/year	USEPA, 1991b
EXPOSURE DURATION	ED	5	years	USEPA, 1991b
CONVERSION FACTOR 2	CF2	365	days/year	
AVERAGING TIME CANCER	AT	70	years	USEPA, 1989f
AVERAGING TIME NONCANCER	AT	5	years	USEPA, 1989f*

USEPA, 1991b. Standard Default Exposure Factors.
USEPA, 1989f. RAGs, Part A.

EQUATIONS

CANCER RISK = AVG. CONC. (ug/m^3) * CANCER UNIT RISK ($ug/m^3)^{-1}$

HAZARD QUOTIENT = AVG.CONC.(ug/m^3)/REF. CONC. (ug/m^3)

$$\text{AVG. CONC.} = \frac{CAair * EF * ET * ED}{AT * CF1 * CF2}$$

Note:
*For noncarcinogenic effects: AT = ED

CARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	AIR CONCENTRATION (ug/m^3)	AVG. CONC. LIFETIME (ug/m^3)	INHALATION UNIT RISK ($ug/m^3)^{-1}$	CANCER RISK
1,2-Dichloroethane	4.0E+00	2.3E-03	2.6E-05	**5.9E-08**
Benzene	2.7E+00	1.5E-03	8.3E-06	**1.3E-08**
		SUMMARY CANCER RISK		**7E-08**

NONCARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	AIR CONCENTRATION (ug/m^3)	AVG. CONC. FOR TIME PERIOD (ug/m^3)	INHALATION RfC (ug/m^3)	HAZARD QUOTIENT
Benzene	2.7E+00	2.2E-02	2.0E-01	**1.1E-01**
Chloroethane	7.7E+00	6.1E-02	1.0E+04	**6.1E-06**
1,1-Dichloroethane	4.1E+00	3.3E-02	5.0E+03	**6.6E-06**
1,2-Dichloroethane	4.0E+00	3.2E-02	1.0E+01	**3.2E-03**
1,2-Dichloropropane	1.2E+00	9.3E-03	1.3E+01	**7.2E-04**
1,2-Dichloroethene	6.9E+00	5.5E-02	ND	
		SUMMARY HAZARD INDEX		**0.112**

ND = No data available

GSIG1FMA	15-Dec-93

TABLE K-9
GROUNDWATER - INGESTION AND CONTACT DURING SHOWERING
ADULT EXPOSURE - FILTERED, MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL - WELL GROUP 1
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

EXPOSURE PARAMETERS

PARAMETER	SYMBOL	VALUE	UNITS	SOURCE
CONCENTRATION WATER	CW	chemical specific	mg/liter	
INGESTION RATE	IR	2	liters/day	USEPA, 1991b
EVENT FREQUENCY	EV	1	events/day	
BODY WEIGHT	BW	70	kg	USEPA, 1991b
DOSE ABSORBED PER EVENT	DA_{event}	chemical specific	mg/cm^2-event	Calculated [1] (USEPA, 1992d)
SHOWER EXPOSURE TIME	ET	0.2	hours/day	USEPA, 1989f
EXPOSURE FREQUENCY	EF	350	days/year	USEPA, 1991b
EXPOSURE DURATION	ED	30	years	USEPA, 1989f
SURFACE AREA EXPOSED	SA	19400.0	cm^2	USEPA, 1989b [2]
AVERAGING TIME				
CANCER	AT	70	years	USEPA, 1989f
NONCANCER	AT	30	years	USEPA, 1989f
CONVERSION FACTOR	CF	0.001	$liter/10^3cm^3$	

[1] PC_{event} calculated in Appendix W
[2] Surface Area represents entire body.

USEPA, 1989b. Exposure Factors Handbook.
USEPA, 1989f. Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund.
USEPA, 1991b. "Standard Default Exposure Factors".
USEPA, 1992d. Dermal Exposure Assessment: Principles and Applications.

EQUATIONS

CANCER RISK = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) x CANCER SLOPE FACTOR (mg/kg-day)^-1

HAZARD QUOTIENT = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) / REFERENCE DOSE (mg/kg-day)

$$\text{INTAKE-INGESTION} = \frac{CW \times IR \times ABS_i \times ET \times EF \times ED}{BW \times AT \times 365\ days/yr}$$

$$\text{INTAKE-DERMAL} = \frac{DA_{event} \times EV \times EF \times ED \times CF \times SA}{BW \times AT \times 365\ days/yr}$$

Where:

$DA_{event} = PC_{event} \times CW$

Note:
For noncarcinogenic effects: AT = ED
Absorption Factors (ABS_i) for ingestion are set equal to one (USEPA Region I default value).

GSIG1FMA	15-Dec-93

TABLE K-9, continued
GROUNDWATER - INGESTION AND CONTACT DURING SHOWERING
ADULT EXPOSURE - FILTERED, MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL - WELL GROUP 1
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

CARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	WATER CONCENTRATION (mg/l)	INGESTION ABS_i	INTAKE INGESTION (mg/kg-day)	PC_{EVENT} (cm/event)	INTAKE DERMAL (mg/kg-day)	ORAL CSF (mg/kg-day) ^ -1	DERMAL CSF [1] (mg/kg-day) ^ -1	CANCER RISK INGESTION	CANCER RISK DERMAL	TOTAL CANCER RISK
Arsenic	0.27	1	6.3E-04	0.0002	6.2E-06	1.75	1.79	1.1E-03	1.1E-05	**1.1E-03**
						SUMMARY CANCER RISK		**1E-03**	**1E-05**	**1E-03**

[1] Calculated from Oral CSF as described in Section 6.1.2.3.

TABLE K–9, continued
GROUNDWATER – INGESTION AND CONTACT DURING SHOWERING
ADULT EXPOSURE – FILTERED, MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL – WELL GROUP 1
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

GSIG1FMA	15–Dec–93

NONCARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	WATER CONCENTRATION (mg/l)	INGESTION ABS_s	INTAKE INGESTION (mg/kg–day)	PC_{EVENT} (cm/event)	INTAKE DERMAL (mg/kg–day)	ORAL RfD (mg/kg–day)	DERMAL RfD [1] (mg/kg–day) ^ –1	HAZARD QUOTIENT INGESTION	HAZARD QUOTIENT DERMAL	TOTAL HAZARD QUOTIENT
Antimony	0.00312	1	1.7E–05	0.0002	1.7E–07	0.0004	0.000004	4.3E–02	4.1E–02	**8.4E–02**
Arsenic	0.27	1	1.5E–03	0.0002	1.4E–05	0.0003	0.000294	4.9E+00	4.9E–02	**5.0E+00**
Barium	0.117	1	6.4E–04	0.0002	6.2E–06	0.07	0.0049	9.2E–03	1.3E–03	**1.0E–02**
Calcium	175	1	9.6E–01	0.0002	9.3E–03	ND	ND			
Iron	91.6	1	5.0E–01	0.0002	4.9E–03	ND	ND			
Potassium	10.6	1	5.8E–02	0.0002	5.6E–04	ND	ND			
Magnesium	19.9	1	1.1E–01	0.0002	1.1E–03	ND	ND			
Manganese	9.54	1	5.2E–02	0.0002	5.1E–04	0.005	0.0002	1.0E+01	2.5E+00	**1.3E+01**
Sodium	64.6	1	3.5E–01	0.0002	3.4E–03	ND	ND			
Zinc	0.0255	1	1.4E–04	0.0002	1.4E–06	0.3	0.102	4.7E–04	1.3E–05	**4.8E–04**
						SUMMARY HAZARD INDEX		**2E+01**	**3E+00**	**2E+01**

ND = No data available
[1] Calculated from Oral RfD as described in Section 6.1.2.3.

GSIG1FMC	15-Dec-93

TABLE K-10
GROUNDWATER - INGESTION AND CONTACT DURING BATHING
CHILD EXPOSURE - (SUBCHRONIC) FILTERED, MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL - WELL GROUP 1
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

EXPOSURE PARAMETERS

PARAMETER	SYMBOL	VALUE	UNITS	SOURCE
CONCENTRATION WATER	CW	chemical specific	mg/liter	
INGESTION RATE	IR	0.5	liters/day	USEPA, 1989b
AGE-SPECIFIC SURFACE AREA	SA_i	age-specific	cm^3	USEPA, 1989b
EVENT FREQUENCY	EV	1	events/day	
BODY WEIGHT	BW	16	kg	USEPA, 1989b
AGE-SPECIFIC BODY WEIGHT	BW_i	age-specific	kg	USEPA, 1989b
DOSE ABSORBED PER EVENT	DA_{event}	chemical specific	mg/cm^2-event	Calculated [2] (USEPA, 1992d)
BATH EXPOSURE TIME	ET	0.2	hours/day	USEPA, 1989f
EXPOSURE FREQUENCY	EF	350	days/year	USEPA, 1991b
EXPOSURE DURATION	ED	5	years	Assumption
AGE-SPECIFIC EXPSOURE DURATION	ED_i	age-specific	years	USEPA, 1989b
AGE-WEIGHTED SURFACE AREA [1]	SA_{adj}	2247.5	cm^2-yr/kg	Appendix V [3](USEPA, 1992d)
AVERAGING TIME				
CANCER	AT	70	years	USEPA, 1989f
NONCANCER	AT	5	years	USEPA, 1989f
CONVERSION FACTOR	CF	0.001	liter/$10^3 cm^3$	

[1] The calculations for normalized surface area (SA_{adj}) and dermally absorbed dose per unit area per event (DA_{event}) are described in Appendix V.
[2] PC_{event} calculated in Appendix W.
[3] Surface area represents the entire body.
USEPA, 1989b. Exposure Factors Handbook.
USEPA, 19989f. Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund.
USEPA, 1991b. Standard Default Exposure Factors.
USEPA, 1992d. Dermal Exposure Assessment: Principles and Applications.

EQUATIONS

CANCER RISK = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) x CANCER SLOPE FACTOR (mg/kg-day) ^ -1

HAZARD QUOTIENT = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) / REFERENCE DOSE (mg/kg-day)

$$\text{INTAKE-INGESTION} = \frac{CW \times IR \times ABS_i \times ET \times EF \times ED}{BW \times AT \times 365\ days/yr}$$

$$\text{INTAKE-DERMAL} = \frac{DA_{event} \times EV \times EF \times CF \times SA_{adj}}{AT \times 365\ days/yr}$$

Where:

$DA_{event} = PC_{event} \times CW$

Note:
For noncarcinogenic effects: AT = ED
Absorption factors (ABS_i) for ingestion are set equal to one (USEPA Region I default value).

GSIG1FMC	15-Dec-93

TABLE K-10, continued
GROUNDWATER - INGESTION AND CONTACT DURING BATHING
CHILD EXPOSURE - (SUBCHRONIC) FILTERED, MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL - WELL GROUP 1
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

CARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	WATER CONCENTRATION (mg/l)	INGESTION ABS_i	INTAKE INGESTION (mg/kg-day)	PC_{EVENT} (cm/event)	INTAKE DERMAL (mg/kg-day)	ORAL CSF [1] (mg/kg-day)^-1	DERMAL CSF (mg/kg-day)^-1	CANCER RISK INGESTION	CANCER RISK DERMAL	TOTAL CANCER RISK
Arsenic	0.27	1	1.2E-04	0.0002	1.7E-06	1.75	1.79	2.0E-04	3.0E-06	2.1E-04
							SUMMARY CANCER RISK	2E-04	3E-06	2E-04

[1] Calculated from Oral CSF as described in Section 6.1.2.3.

TABLE K-10, continued

GSIG1FMC	15-Dec-93

GROUNDWATER - INGESTION AND CONTACT DURING BATHING
CHILD EXPOSURE - (SUBCHRONIC) FILTERED, MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL - WELL GROUP 1
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

NONCARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	WATER CONCENTRATION (mg/l)	INGESTION ABS_i	INTAKE INGESTION (mg/kg-day)	PC_{EVENT} (cm/event)	INTAKE DERMAL (mg/kg-day)	ORAL RfD [1] (mg/kg-day)	DERMAL RfD (mg/kg-day) ^ -1	HAZARD QUOTIENT INGESTION	HAZARD QUOTIENT DERMAL	TOTAL HAZARD QUOTIENT
Antimony	0.00312	1	1.9E-05	0.0002	2.7E-07	0.0004	0.000004	4.7E-02	6.7E-02	**1.1E-01**
Arsenic	0.27	1	1.6E-03	0.0002	2.3E-05	0.0003	0.000294	5.4E+00	7.9E-02	**5.5E+00**
Barium	0.117	1	7.0E-04	0.0002	1.0E-05	0.07	0.0049	1.0E-02	2.1E-03	**1.2E-02**
Calcium	175	1	1.0E+00	0.0002	1.5E-02	ND	ND			
Iron	91.6	1	5.5E-01	0.0002	7.9E-03	ND	ND			
Potassium	10.6	1	6.4E-02	0.0002	9.1E-04	ND	ND			
Magnesium	19.9	1	1.2E-01	0.0002	1.7E-03	ND	ND			
Manganese	9.54	1	5.7E-02	0.0002	8.2E-04	0.005	0.0002	1.1E+01	4.1E+00	**1.6E+01**
Sodium	64.6	1	3.9E-01	0.0002	5.6E-03	ND	ND			
Zinc	0.0255	1	1.5E-04	0.0002	2.2E-06	0.3	0.102	5.1E-04	2.2E-05	**5.3E-04**
					SUMMARY HAZARD INDEX			**2E+01**	**4E+00**	**2E+01**

ND = No data available
[1] Calculated from Oral RfD as described in Section 6.1.2.3.

GSIG1FAA	15-Dec-93

TABLE K-11
GROUNDWATER - INGESTION AND CONTACT DURING SHOWERING
ADULT EXPOSURE - FILTERED, AVERAGE CONCENTRATION
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL - WELL GROUP 1
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

EXPOSURE PARAMETERS

PARAMETER	SYMBOL	VALUE	UNITS	SOURCE
CONCENTRATION WATER	CW	chemical specific	mg/liter	
INGESTION RATE	IR	2	liters/day	USEPA, 1991b
EVENT FREQUENCY	EV	1	events/day	
BODY WEIGHT	BW	70	kg	USEPA, 1991b
DOSE ABSORBED PER EVENT	DA_{event}	chemical specific	mg/cm^2-event	Calculated [1] (USEPA, 1992d)
SHOWER EXPOSURE TIME	ET	0.2	hours/day	USEPA, 1989f
EXPOSURE FREQUENCY	EF	350	days/year	USEPA, 1991b
EXPOSURE DURATION	ED	30	years	USEPA, 1989f
SURFACE AREA EXPOSED	SA	19400.0	cm^2	USEPA, 1989b [2]
AVERAGING TIME				
CANCER	AT	70	years	USEPA, 1989f
NONCANCER	AT	30	years	USEPA, 1989f
CONVERSION FACTOR	CF	0.001	$liter/10^3cm^3$	

[1] PC_{event} calculated in Appendix W
[2] Surface Area represents entire body.
USEPA, 1989b. Exposure Factors Handbook.
USEPA, 1989f. Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund.
USEPA, 1991b. "Standard Default Exposure Factors".
USEPA, 1992d. Dermal Exposure Assessment: Principles and Applications.

EQUATIONS

CANCER RISK = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) x CANCER SLOPE FACTOR (mg/kg-day) ^ -1

HAZARD QUOTIENT = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) / REFERENCE DOSE (mg/kg-day)

$$\text{INTAKE-INGESTION} = \frac{CW \times IR \times ABS_i \times ET \times EF \times ED}{BW \times AT \times 365\ days/yr}$$

$$\text{INTAKE-DERMAL} = \frac{DA_{event} \times EV \times EF \times ED \times CF \times SA}{BW \times AT \times 365\ days/yr}$$

Where:

$DA_{event} = PC_{event} \times CW$

Note:
For noncarcinogenic effects: AT = ED
Absorption Factors (ABS_i) for ingestion are set equal to one (USEPA Region I default value).

GSIG1FAA	15-Dec-93

TABLE K-11, continued
GROUNDWATER - INGESTION AND CONTACT DURING SHOWERING
ADULT EXPOSURE - FILTERED, AVERAGE CONCENTRATION
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL - WELL GROUP 1
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

CARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	WATER CONCENTRATION (mg/l)	INGESTION ABS_i	INTAKE INGESTION (mg/kg-day)	PC_{EVENT} (cm/event)	INTAKE DERMAL (mg/kg-day)	ORAL CSF [1] (mg/kg-day) ^ -1	DERMAL CSF (mg/kg-day) ^ -1	CANCER RISK INGESTION	CANCER RISK DERMAL	TOTAL CANCER RISK
Arsenic	0.071	1	1.7E-04	0.0002	1.6E-06	1.75	1.79	2.9E-04	2.9E-06	2.9E-04
							SUMMARY CANCER RISK	3E-04	3E-06	3E-04

[1] Calculated from Oral CSF as described in Section 6.1.2.3.

GSIG1FAA	15-Dec-93

TABLE K-11, continued
GROUNDWATER - INGESTION AND CONTACT DURING SHOWERING
ADULT EXPOSURE - FILTERED, AVERAGE CONCENTRATION
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL - WELL GROUP 1
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

NONCARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	WATER CONCENTRATION (mg/l)	INGESTION ABS_i	INTAKE INGESTION (mg/kg-day)	PC_{EVENT} (cm/event)	INTAKE DERMAL (mg/kg-day)	ORAL RfD [1] (mg/kg-day)	DERMAL RfD (mg/kg-day) ^ -1	HAZARD QUOTIENT INGESTION	HAZARD QUOTIENT DERMAL	TOTAL HAZARD QUOTIENT
Antimony	0.002	1	1.1E-05	0.0002	1.1E-07	0.0004	0.000004	2.7E-02	2.7E-02	**5.4E-02**
Arsenic	0.071	1	3.9E-04	0.0002	3.8E-06	0.0003	0.000294	1.3E+00	1.3E-02	**1.3E+00**
Barium	0.03	1	1.6E-04	0.0002	1.6E-06	0.07	0.0049	2.3E-03	3.3E-04	**2.7E-03**
Calcium	37.4	1	2.0E-01	0.0002	2.0E-03	ND	ND			
Iron	14.4	1	7.9E-02	0.0002	7.7E-04	ND	ND			
Potassium	4.13	1	2.3E-02	0.0002	2.2E-04	ND	ND			
Magnesium	4.68	1	2.6E-02	0.0002	2.5E-04	ND	ND			
Manganese	1.81	1	9.9E-03	0.0002	9.6E-05	0.005	0.0002	2.0E+00	4.8E-01	**2.5E+00**
Sodium	16.9	1	9.3E-02	0.0002	9.0E-04	ND	ND			
Zinc	0.011	1	6.0E-05	0.0002	5.8E-07	0.3	0.102	2.0E-04	5.7E-06	**2.1E-04**
					SUMMARY HAZARD INDEX			**3E+00**	**5E-01**	**4E+00**

ND = No data available
[1] Calculated from Oral RfD as described in Section 6.1.2.3.

GSIG1FAC	15-Dec-93

TABLE K-12
GROUNDWATER - INGESTION AND CONTACT DURING BATHING
CHILD EXPOSURE - (SUBCHRONIC) FILTERED, AVERAGE CONCENTRATION
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL - WELL GROUP 1
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

EXPOSURE PARAMETERS

PARAMETER	SYMBOL	VALUE	UNITS	SOURCE
CONCENTRATION WATER	CW	chemical specific	mg/liter	
INGESTION RATE	IR	0.5	liters/day	USEPA, 1989b
AGE-SPECIFIC SURFACE AREA	SA_i	age-specific	cm^3	USEPA, 1989b
EVENT FREQUENCY	EV	1	events/day	
BODY WEIGHT	BW	16	kg	USEPA, 1989b
AGE-SPECIFIC BODY WEIGHT	BW_i	age-specific	kg	USEPA, 1989b
DOSE ABSORBED PER EVENT	DA_{event}	chemical specific	mg/cm^2-event	Calculated [2] (USEPA, 1992d)
BATH EXPOSURE TIME	ET	0.2	hours/day	USEPA, 1989f
EXPOSURE FREQUENCY	EF	350	days/year	USEPA, 1991b
EXPOSURE DURATION	ED	5	years	Assumption
AGE-SPECIFIC EXPSOURE DURATION	ED_i	age-specific	years	USEPA, 1989b
AGE-WEIGHTED SURFACE AREA [1]	SA_{adj}	2247.5	cm^2-yr/kg	Appendix V [3](USEPA, 1992d)
AVERAGING TIME				
CANCER	AT	70	years	USEPA, 1989f
NONCANCER	AT	5	years	USEPA, 1989f
CONVERSION FACTOR	CF	0.001	liter/$10^3 cm^3$	

[1] The calculations for normalized surface area (SA_{adj}) and dermally absorbed dose per unit area per event (DA_{event}) are described in Appendix V.
[2] PC_{event} calculated in Appendix W.
[3] Surface area represents the entire body.
USEPA, 1989b. Exposure Factors Handbook.
USEPA, 19989f. Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund.
USEPA, 1991b. Standard Default Exposure Factors.
USEPA, 1992d. Dermal Exposure Assessment: Principles and Applications.

EQUATIONS

CANCER RISK = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) x CANCER SLOPE FACTOR (mg/kg-day)^-1

HAZARD QUOTIENT = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) / REFERENCE DOSE (mg/kg-day)

$$\text{INTAKE-INGESTION} = \frac{CW \times IR \times ABS_i \times ET \times EF \times ED}{BW \times AT \times 365\ days/yr}$$

$$\text{INTAKE-DERMAL} = \frac{DA_{event} \times EV \times EF \times CF \times SA_{adj}}{AT \times 365\ days/yr}$$

Where:

$DA_{event} = PC_{event} \times CW$

Note:
For noncarcinogenic effects: AT = ED
Absorption factors (ABS_i) for ingestion are set equal to one (USEPA Region I default value).

TABLE K–12, continued

GSIG1FAC	15–Dec–93

GROUNDWATER – INGESTION AND CONTACT DURING BATHING
CHILD EXPOSURE – (SUBCHRONIC) FILTERED, AVERAGE CONCENTRATION
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL – WELL GROUP 1
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

CARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	WATER CONCENTRATION (mg/l)	INGESTION ABS_i	INTAKE INGESTION (mg/kg–day)	PC_{EVENT} (cm/event)	INTAKE DERMAL (mg/kg–day)	ORAL CSF (mg/kg–day) ^ –1	DERMAL CSF [1] (mg/kg–day) ^ –1	CANCER RISK INGESTION	CANCER RISK DERMAL	TOTAL CANCER RISK
Arsenic	0.071	1	3.0E–05	0.0002	4.4E–07	1.75	1.79	5.3E–05	7.8E–07	5.4E–05
						SUMMARY CANCER RISK		5E–05	8E–07	5E–05

[1] Calculated from Oral CSF as described in Section 6.1.2.3.

TABLE K–12, continued

GSIG1FAC | 15–Dec–93

GROUNDWATER – INGESTION AND CONTACT DURING BATHING
CHILD EXPOSURE – (SUBCHRONIC) FILTERED, AVERAGE CONCENTRATION
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL – WELL GROUP 1
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

NONCARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	WATER CONCENTRATION (mg/l)	INGESTION ABS_i	INTAKE INGESTION (mg/kg–day)	PC_{EVENT} (cm/event)	INTAKE DERMAL (mg/kg–day)	ORAL RfD (mg/kg–day)	DERMAL RfD [1] (mg/kg–day) ^ –1	HAZARD QUOTIENT INGESTION	HAZARD QUOTIENT DERMAL	TOTAL HAZARD QUOTIENT
Antimony	0.002	1	1.2E–05	0.0002	1.7E–07	0.0004	0.000004	3.0E–02	4.3E–02	**7.3E–02**
Arsenic	0.071	1	4.3E–04	0.0002	6.1E–06	0.0003	0.000294	1.4E+00	2.1E–02	**1.4E+00**
Barium	0.03	1	1.8E–04	0.0002	2.6E–06	0.07	0.0049	2.6E–03	5.3E–04	**3.1E–03**
Calcium	37.4	1	2.2E–01	0.0002	3.2E–03	ND	ND			
Iron	14.4	1	8.6E–02	0.0002	1.2E–03	ND	ND			
Potassium	4.13	1	2.5E–02	0.0002	3.6E–04	ND	ND			
Magnesium	4.68	1	2.8E–02	0.0002	4.0E–04	ND	ND			
Manganese	1.81	1	1.1E–02	0.0002	1.6E–04	0.005	0.0002	2.2E+00	7.8E–01	**2.9E+00**
Sodium	16.9	1	1.0E–01	0.0002	1.5E–03	ND	ND			
Zinc	0.011	1	6.6E–05	0.0002	9.5E–07	0.3	0.102	2.2E–04	9.3E–06	**2.3E–04**
					SUMMARY HAZARD INDEX			**4E+00**	**8E–01**	**4E+00**

ND = No data available

[1] Calculated from Oral RfD as described in Section 6.1.2.3.

GSIG3UMA	15-Dec-93

TABLE K-13
GROUNDWATER -- INGESTION AND CONTACT DURING SHOWERING
ADULT EXPOSURE -- UNFILTERED, MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL -- WELL GROUP 3
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL -- WELL GROUP 3
FORT DEVENS, MA

EXPOSURE PARAMETERS

PARAMETER	SYMBOL	VALUE	UNITS	SOURCE
CONCENTRATION WATER	CW	chemical specific	mg/liter	
INGESTION RATE	IR	2	liters/day	USEPA, 1991b
EVENT FREQUENCY	EV	1	events/day	
BODY WEIGHT	BW	70	kg	USEPA, 1991b
DOSE ABSORBED PER EVENT	DA_{event}	chemical specific	mg/cm^2-event	Calculated [1] (USEPA, 1992d)
SHOWER EXPOSURE TIME	ET	0.2	hours/day	USEPA, 1989f
EXPOSURE FREQUENCY	EF	350	days/year	USEPA, 1991b
EXPOSURE DURATION	ED	30	years	USEPA, 1989f
SURFACE AREA EXPOSED	SA	19400.0	cm^2	USEPA, 1989b [2]
AVERAGING TIME				
CANCER	AT	70	years	USEPA, 1989f
NONCANCER	AT	30	years	USEPA, 1989f
CONVERSION FACTOR	CF	0.001	$liter/10^3cm^3$	

[1] PC_{event} calculated in Appendix W
[2] Surface Area represents entire body.
USEPA, 1989b. Exposure Factors Handbook.
USEPA, 1989f. Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund.
USEPA, 1991b. Standard Default Exposure Factors.
USEPA, 1992d. Dermal Exposure Assessment: Principles and Applications.

EQUATIONS

CANCER RISK = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) x CANCER SLOPE FACTOR (mg/kg-day)^-1

HAZARD QUOTIENT = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) / REFERENCE DOSE (mg/kg-day)

$$\text{INTAKE-INGESTION} = \frac{CW \times IR \times ABS_i \times ET \times EF \times ED}{BW \times AT \times 365\ days/yr}$$

$$\text{INTAKE-DERMAL} = \frac{DA_{event} \times EV \times EF \times ED \times CF \times SA}{BW \times AT \times 365\ days/yr}$$

Where:

$DA_{event} = PC_{event} \times CW$

Note:
For noncarcinogenic effects: AT = ED
Absorption Factors (ABS_i) for ingestion are set equal to one (USEPA Region I default value).

GSIG3UMA	15-Dec-93

TABLE K-13, continued
GROUNDWATER - INGESTION AND CONTACT DURING SHOWERING
ADULT EXPOSURE - UNFILTERED, MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL - WELL GROUP 3
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL - WELL GROUP 3
FORT DEVENS, MA

CARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	WATER CONCENTRATION (mg/l)	INGESTION ABS_i	INTAKE INGESTION (mg/kg-day)	PC_{EVENT} (cm/event)	INTAKE DERMAL (mg/kg-day)	ORAL CSF (mg/kg-day) ^ -1	DERMAL CSF [1] (mg/kg-day) ^ -1	CANCER RISK INGESTION	CANCER RISK DERMAL	TOTAL CANCER RISK
Arsenic	0.017	1	4.0E-05	0.0002	3.9E-07	1.75	1.79	7.0E-05	6.9E-07	7.1E-05
					SUMMARY CANCER RISK			**7E-05**	**7E-07**	**7E-05**

NONCARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	WATER CONCENTRATION (mg/l)	INGESTION ABS_i	INTAKE INGESTION (mg/kg-day)	PC_{EVENT} (cm/event)	INTAKE DERMAL (mg/kg-day)	ORAL RfD (mg/kg-day)	DERMAL RfD [2] (mg/kg-day) ^ -1	HAZARD QUOTIENT INGESTION	HAZARD QUOTIENT DERMAL	TOTAL HAZARD QUOTIENT
Arsenic	0.017	1	9.3E-05	0.0002	9.0E-07	0.0003	0.000294	3.1E-01	3.1E-03	3.1E-01
Calcium	15.4	1	8.4E-02	0.0002	8.2E-04	ND	ND			
Manganese	1.59	1	8.7E-03	0.0002	8.5E-05	0.005	0.0002	1.7E+00	4.2E-01	2.2E+00
Sodium	17.3	1	9.5E-02	0.0002	9.2E-04	ND	ND			
Lead	0.00738	1	4.0E-05	0.0002	3.9E-07	ND	ND			
					SUMMARY HAZARD INDEX			**2E+00**	**4E-01**	**2E+00**

ND = No data available
[1] Calculated from Oral CSF as described in Section 6.1.2.3.
[2] Calculated from Oral RfD as described in Section 6.1.2.3.

GSIG3UMC	15-Dec-93

TABLE K-14
GROUNDWATER - INGESTION AND CONTACT DURING BATHING
CHILD EXPOSURE - (SUBCHRONIC) UNFILTERED, MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL - WELL GROUP 3
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

EXPOSURE PARAMETERS

PARAMETER	SYMBOL	VALUE	UNITS	SOURCE
CONCENTRATION WATER	CW	chemical specific	mg/liter	
INGESTION RATE	IR	0.5	liters/day	USEPA, 1989b
AGE-SPECIFIC SURFACE AREA	SA_i	age-specific	cm^3	USEPA, 1989b
EVENT FREQUENCY	EV	1	events/day	
BODY WEIGHT	BW	16	kg	USEPA, 1989b
AGE-SPECIFIC BODY WEIGHT	BW_i	age-specific	kg	USEPA, 1989b
DOSE ABSORBED PER EVENT	DA_{event}	chemical specific	mg/cm^2-event	Calculated [2] (USEPA, 1992d)
BATH EXPOSURE TIME	ET	0.2	hours/day	USEPA, 1989f
EXPOSURE FREQUENCY	EF	350	days/year	USEPA, 1991b
EXPOSURE DURATION	ED	5	years	Assumption
AGE-SPECIFIC EXPSOURE DURATION	ED_i	age-specific	years	USEPA, 1989b
AGE-WEIGHTED SURFACE AREA [1]	SA_{adj}	2247.5	cm^2-yr/kg	Appendix V [3](USEPA, 1992d)
AVERAGING TIME				
CANCER	AT	70	years	USEPA, 1989f
NONCANCER	AT	5	years	USEPA, 1989f
CONVERSION FACTOR	CF	0.001	$liter/10^3cm^3$	

[1] The calculations for normalized surface area (SA_{adj}) and dermally absorbed dose per unit area per event (DA_{event}) are described in Appendix V.
[2] PC_{event} calculated in Appendix W.
[3] Surface area represents the entire body.
USEPA, 1989b. Exposure Factors Handbook.
USEPA, 1989f. Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund.
USEPA, 1991b. Standard Default Exposure Factors.
USEPA, 1992d. Dermal Exposure Assessment: Principles and Applications.

EQUATIONS

CANCER RISK = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) x CANCER SLOPE FACTOR (mg/kg-day) ^ -1

HAZARD QUOTIENT = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) / REFERENCE DOSE (mg/kg-day)

$$\text{INTAKE-INGESTION} = \frac{CW \times IR \times ABS_i \times ET \times EF \times ED}{BW \times AT \times 365\ days/yr}$$

$$\text{INTAKE-DERMAL} = \frac{DA_{event} \times EV \times EF \times CF \times SA_{adj}}{AT \times 365\ days/yr}$$

Where:

$DA_{event} = PC_{event} \times CW$

Note:
For noncarcinogenic effects: AT = ED
Absorption factors (ABS_i) for ingestion are set equal to one (USEPA Region I default value).

GSIG3UMC	15-Dec-93

TABLE K-14, continued
GROUNDWATER - INGESTION AND CONTACT DURING BATHING
CHILD EXPOSURE - (SUBCHRONIC) UNFILTERED, MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL - WELL GROUP 3
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

CARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	WATER CONCENTRATION (mg/l)	INGESTION ABS_i	INTAKE INGESTION (mg/kg-day)	PC_{EVENT} (cm/event)	INTAKE DERMAL (mg/kg-day)	ORAL CSF (mg/kg-day) ^ -1	DERMAL CSF [1] (mg/kg-day) ^ -1	CANCER RISK INGESTION	CANCER RISK DERMAL	TOTAL CANCER RISK
Arsenic	0.017	1	7.3E-06	0.0002	1.0E-07	1.75	1.79	1.3E-05	1.9E-07	1.3E-05
SUMMARY CANCER RISK								**1E-05**	**2E-07**	**1E-05**

NONCARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	WATER CONCENTRATION (mg/l)	INGESTION ABS_i	INTAKE INGESTION (mg/kg-day)	PC_{EVENT} (cm/event)	INTAKE DERMAL (mg/kg-day)	ORAL RfD (mg/kg-day)	DERMAL RfD [2] (mg/kg-day) ^ -1	HAZARD QUOTIENT INGESTION	HAZARD QUOTIENT DERMAL	TOTAL HAZARD QUOTIENT
Arsenic	0.017	1	1.0E-04	0.0002	1.5E-06	0.0003	0.000294	3.4E-01	5.0E-03	**3.4E-01**
Calcium	15.4	1	9.2E-02	0.0002	1.3E-03	ND	ND			
Manganese	1.59	1	9.5E-03	0.0002	1.4E-04	0.005	0.0002	1.9E+00	6.9E-01	**2.6E+00**
Sodium	17.3	1	1.0E-01	0.0002	1.5E-03	ND	ND			
Lead	0.00738	1	4.4E-05	0.0002	6.4E-07	ND	ND			
SUMMARY HAZARD INDEX								**2E+00**	**7E-01**	**3E+00**

ND = No data available
[1] Calculated from Oral CSF as described in Section 6.1.2.3.
[2] Calculated from Oral RfD as described in Section 6.1.2.3.

TABLE K-15
GROUNDWATER - INGESTION AND CONTACT DURING SHOWERING
ADULT EXPOSURE - UNFILTERED, AVERAGE CONCENTRATION
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL - WELL GROUP 3
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL - WELL GROUP 3
FORT DEVENS, MA

EXPOSURE PARAMETERS

PARAMETER	SYMBOL	VALUE	UNITS	SOURCE
CONCENTRATION WATER	CW	chemical specific	mg/liter	
INGESTION RATE	IR	2	liters/day	USEPA, 1991b
EVENT FREQUENCY	EV	1	events/day	
BODY WEIGHT	BW	70	kg	USEPA, 1991b
DOSE ABSORBED PER EVENT	DA_{event}	chemical specific	mg/cm^2-event	Calculated [1] (USEPA, 1992d)
SHOWER EXPOSURE TIME	ET	0.2	hours/day	USEPA, 1989f
EXPOSURE FREQUENCY	EF	350	days/year	USEPA, 1991b
EXPOSURE DURATION	ED	30	years	USEPA, 1989f
SURFACE AREA EXPOSED	SA	19400.0	cm^2	USEPA, 1989b [2]
AVERAGING TIME				
CANCER	AT	70	years	USEPA, 1989f
NONCANCER	AT	30	years	USEPA, 1989f
CONVERSION FACTOR	CF	0.001	$liter/10^3cm^3$	

[1] PC_{event} calculated in Appendix W
[2] Surface Area represents entire body.

USEPA, 1989b. Exposure Factors Handbook.
USEPA, 1989f. Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund.
USEPA, 1991b. Standard Default Exposure Factors.
USEPA, 1992d. Dermal Exposure Assessment: Principles and Applications.

EQUATIONS

CANCER RISK = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) x CANCER SLOPE FACTOR (mg/kg-day) ^ -1

HAZARD QUOTIENT = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) / REFERENCE DOSE (mg/kg-day)

$$\text{INTAKE-INGESTION} = \frac{CW \times IR \times ABS_i \times ET \times EF \times ED}{BW \times AT \times 365\ days/yr}$$

$$\text{INTAKE-DERMAL} = \frac{DA_{event} \times EV \times EF \times ED \times CF \times SA}{BW \times AT \times 365\ days/yr}$$

Where:

$DA_{event} = PC_{event} \times CW$

Note:
For noncarcinogenic effects: AT = ED
Absorption Factors (ABS_i) for ingestion are set equal to one (USEPA Region I default value).

GSIG3UAA	15-Dec-93

TABLE K-15, continued
GROUNDWATER - INGESTION AND CONTACT DURING SHOWERING
ADULT EXPOSURE - UNFILTERED, AVERAGE CONCENTRATION
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL - WELL GROUP 3
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL - WELL GROUP 3
FORT DEVENS, MA

CARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	WATER CONCENTRATION (mg/l)	INGESTION ABS_i	INTAKE INGESTION (mg/kg-day)	PC_{EVENT} (cm/event)	INTAKE DERMAL (mg/kg-day)	ORAL CSF (mg/kg-day) ^ -1	DERMAL CSF [1] (mg/kg-day) ^ -1	CANCER RISK INGESTION	CANCER RISK DERMAL	TOTAL CANCER RISK
Arsenic	0.0084	1	2.0E-05	0.0002	1.9E-07	1.75	1.79	3.5E-05	3.4E-07	**3.5E-05**
SUMMARY CANCER RISK								**3E-05**	**3E-07**	**3E-05**

NONCARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	WATER CONCENTRATION (mg/l)	INGESTION ABS_i	INTAKE INGESTION (mg/kg-day)	PC_{EVENT} (cm/event)	INTAKE DERMAL (mg/kg-day)	ORAL RfD (mg/kg-day)	DERMAL RfD [2] (mg/kg-day) ^ -1	HAZARD QUOTIENT INGESTION	HAZARD QUOTIENT DERMAL	TOTAL HAZARD QUOTIENT
Arsenic	0.0084	1	4.6E-05	0.0002	4.5E-07	0.0003	0.000294	1.5E-01	1.5E-03	**1.5E-01**
Calcium	1.1	1	6.0E-03	0.0002	5.8E-05	ND	ND			
Manganese	0.68	1	3.7E-03	0.0002	3.6E-05	0.005	0.0002	7.5E-01	1.8E-01	**9.3E-01**
Sodium	7.6	1	4.2E-02	0.0002	4.0E-04	ND	ND			
Lead	0.0034	1	1.9E-05	0.0002	1.8E-07	ND	ND			
SUMMARY HAZARD INDEX								**9E-01**	**2E-01**	**1E+00**

ND = No data available
[1] Calculated from Oral CSF as described in Section 6.1.2.3.
[2] Calculated from Oral RfD as described in Section 6.1.2.3.

GSIG3UAC	15-Dec-93

TABLE K-16
GROUNDWATER - INGESTION AND CONTACT DURING BATHING
CHILD EXPOSURE - (SUBCHRONIC) UNFILTERED, AVERAGE CONCENTRATION
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL - WELL GROUP 3
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

EXPOSURE PARAMETERS

PARAMETER	SYMBOL	VALUE	UNITS	SOURCE
CONCENTRATION WATER	CW	chemical specific	mg/liter	
INGESTION RATE	IR	0.5	liters/day	USEPA, 1989b
AGE-SPECIFIC SURFACE AREA	SA_i	age-specific	cm^3	USEPA, 1989b
EVENT FREQUENCY	EV	1	events/day	
BODY WEIGHT	BW	16	kg	USEPA, 1989b
AGE-SPECIFIC BODY WEIGHT	BW_i	age-specific	kg	USEPA, 1989b
DOSE ABSORBED PER EVENT	DA_{event}	chemical specific	mg/cm^2-event	Calculated [2] (USEPA, 1992d)
BATH EXPOSURE TIME	ET	0.2	hours/day	USEPA, 1989f
EXPOSURE FREQUENCY	EF	350	days/year	USEPA, 1991b
EXPOSURE DURATION	ED	5	years	Assumption
AGE-SPECIFIC EXPSOURE DURATION	ED_i	age-specific	years	USEPA, 1989b
AGE-WEIGHTED SURFACE AREA [1]	SA_{adj}	2247.5	cm^2-yr/kg	Appendix V [3](USEPA, 1992d)
AVERAGING TIME				
CANCER	AT	70	years	USEPA, 1989f
NONCANCER	AT	5	years	USEPA, 1989f
CONVERSION FACTOR	CF	0.001	liter/$10^3 cm^3$	

[1] The calculations for normalized surface area (SA_{adj}) and dermally absorbed dose per unit area per event (DA_{event}) are described in Appendix V.
[2] PC_{event} calculated in Appendix W.
[3] Surface area represents the entire body.
USEPA, 1989b. Exposure Factors Handbook.
USEPA, 1989f. Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund.
USEPA, 1991b. Standard Default Exposure Factors.
USEPA, 1992d. Dermal Exposure Assessment: Principles and Applications.

EQUATIONS

CANCER RISK = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) x CANCER SLOPE FACTOR (mg/kg-day)^-1

HAZARD QUOTIENT = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) / REFERENCE DOSE (mg/kg-day)

$$\text{INTAKE-INGESTION} = \frac{CW \times IR \times ABS_i \times ET \times EF \times ED}{BW \times AT \times 365\ days/yr}$$

$$\text{INTAKE-DERMAL} = \frac{DA_{event} \times EV \times EF \times CF \times SA_{adj}}{AT \times 365\ days/yr}$$

Where:

$$DA_{event} = PC_{event} \times CW$$

Note:
For noncarcinogenic effects: AT = ED
Absorption factors (ABS_i) for ingestion are set equal to one (USEPA Region I default value).

TABLE K–16, continued

GSIG3UAC	15–Dec–93

GROUNDWATER – INGESTION AND CONTACT DURING BATHING
CHILD EXPOSURE – (SUBCHRONIC) UNFILTERED, AVERAGE CONCENTRATION
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL – WELL GROUP 3
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

CARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	WATER CONCENTRATION (mg/l)	INGESTION ABS_i	INTAKE INGESTION (mg/kg–day)	PC_{EVENT} (cm/event)	INTAKE DERMAL (mg/kg–day)	ORAL CSF [1] (mg/kg–day)^–1	DERMAL CSF (mg/kg–day)^–1	CANCER RISK INGESTION	CANCER RISK DERMAL	TOTAL CANCER RISK
Arsenic	0.0084	1	3.6E–06	0.0002	5.2E–08	1.75	1.79	6.3E–06	9.3E–08	6.4E–06
						SUMMARY CANCER RISK		6E–06	9E–08	6E–06

NONCARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	WATER CONCENTRATION (mg/l)	INGESTION ABS_i	INTAKE INGESTION (mg/kg–day)	PC_{EVENT} (cm/event)	INTAKE DERMAL (mg/kg–day)	ORAL RfD [2] (mg/kg–day)	DERMAL RfD (mg/kg–day)^–1	HAZARD QUOTIENT INGESTION	HAZARD QUOTIENT DERMAL	TOTAL HAZARD QUOTIENT
Arsenic	0.0084	1	5.0E–05	0.0002	7.2E–07	0.0003	0.000294	1.7E–01	2.5E–03	1.7E–01
Calcium	1.1	1	6.6E–03	0.0002	9.5E–05	ND	ND			
Manganese	0.68	1	4.1E–03	0.0002	5.9E–05	0.005	0.0002	8.2E–01	2.9E–01	1.1E+00
Sodium	7.6	1	4.6E–02	0.0002	6.6E–04	ND	ND			
Lead	0.0034	1	2.0E–05	0.0002	2.9E–07	ND	ND			
						SUMMARY HAZARD INDEX		1E+00	3E–01	1E+00

ND = No data available
[1] Calculated from Oral CSF as described in Section 6.1.2.3.
[2] Calculated from Oral RfD as described in Section 6.1.2.3.

TABLE K-17
GROUNDWATER - INGESTION AND CONTACT DURING SHOWERING
ADULT EXPOSURE - FILTERED, MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL - WELL GROUP 3
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL - WELL GROUP 3
FORT DEVENS, MA

EXPOSURE PARAMETERS

PARAMETER	SYMBOL	VALUE	UNITS	SOURCE
CONCENTRATION WATER	CW	chemical specific	mg/liter	
INGESTION RATE	IR	2	liters/day	USEPA, 1991b
EVENT FREQUENCY	EV	1	events/day	
BODY WEIGHT	BW	70	kg	USEPA, 1991b
DOSE ABSORBED PER EVENT	DA_{event}	chemical specific	mg/cm^2-event	Calculated [1] (USEPA, 1992d)
SHOWER EXPOSURE TIME	ET	0.2	hours/day	USEPA, 1989f
EXPOSURE FREQUENCY	EF	350	days/year	USEPA, 1991b
EXPOSURE DURATION	ED	30	years	USEPA, 1989f
SURFACE AREA EXPOSED	SA	19400.0	cm^2	USEPA, 1989b [2]
AVERAGING TIME				
CANCER	AT	70	years	USEPA, 1989f
NONCANCER	AT	30	years	USEPA, 1989f
CONVERSION FACTOR	CF	0.001	$liter/10^3cm^3$	

[1] PC_{event} calculated in Appendix W
[2] Surface Area represents entire body.
USEPA, 1989b. Exposure Factors Handbook.
USEPA, 1989f. Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund.
USEPA, 1991b. Standard Default Exposure Factors.
USEPA, 1992d. Dermal Exposure Assessment: Principles and Applications.

EQUATIONS

CANCER RISK = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) x CANCER SLOPE FACTOR (mg/kg-day) ^ -1

HAZARD QUOTIENT = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) / REFERENCE DOSE (mg/kg-day)

$$\text{INTAKE-INGESTION} = \frac{CW \times IR \times ABS_i \times ET \times EF \times ED}{BW \times AT \times 365\ days/yr}$$

$$\text{INTAKE-DERMAL} = \frac{DA_{event} \times EV \times EF \times ED \times CF \times SA}{BW \times AT \times 365\ days/yr}$$

Where:

$DA_{event} = PC_{event} \times CW$

Note:
For noncarcinogenic effects: AT = ED
Absorption Factors (ABS_i) for ingestion are set equal to one (USEPA Region I default value).

GSIG3FMA	15-Dec-93

TABLE K-17, continued
GROUNDWATER - INGESTION AND CONTACT DURING SHOWERING
ADULT EXPOSURE - FILTERED, MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL - WELL GROUP 3
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL - WELL GROUP 3
FORT DEVENS, MA

CARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	WATER CONCENTRATION (mg/l)	INGESTION ABS_i	INTAKE INGESTION (mg/kg-day)	PC_{EVENT} (cm/event)	INTAKE DERMAL (mg/kg-day)	ORAL CSF (mg/kg-day)^-1	DERMAL CSF [1] (mg/kg-day)^-1	CANCER RISK INGESTION	CANCER RISK DERMAL	TOTAL CANCER RISK
No carcinogenic compounds detected										
					SUMMARY CANCER RISK			0E+00	0E+00	0E+00

NONCARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	WATER CONCENTRATION (mg/l)	INGESTION ABS_i	INTAKE INGESTION (mg/kg-day)	PC_{EVENT} (cm/event)	INTAKE DERMAL (mg/kg-day)	ORAL RfD (mg/kg-day)	DERMAL RfD [2] (mg/kg-day)^-1	HAZARD QUOTIENT INGESTION	HAZARD QUOTIENT DERMAL	TOTAL HAZARD QUOTIENT
Sodium	16.4	1	9.0E-02	0.0002	8.7E-04	ND	ND			
					SUMMARY HAZARD INDEX			0E+00	0E+00	0E+00

ND = No data available
[1] Calculated from Oral CSF as described in Section 6.1.2.3.
[2] Calculated from Oral RfD as described in Section 6.1.2.3.

GSIG3FMC	15-Dec-93

TABLE K-18
GROUNDWATER - INGESTION AND CONTACT DURING BATHING
CHILD EXPOSURE - (SUBCHRONIC) FILTERED, MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL - WELL GROUP 3
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

EXPOSURE PARAMETERS

PARAMETER	SYMBOL	VALUE	UNITS	SOURCE
CONCENTRATION WATER	CW	chemical specific	mg/liter	
INGESTION RATE	IR	0.5	liters/day	USEPA, 1989b
AGE-SPECIFIC SURFACE AREA	SA_i	age-specific	cm^3	USEPA, 1989b
EVENT FREQUENCY	EV	1	events/day	
BODY WEIGHT	BW	16	kg	USEPA, 1989b
AGE-SPECIFIC BODY WEIGHT	BW_i	age-specific	kg	USEPA, 1989b
DOSE ABSORBED PER EVENT	DA_{event}	chemical specific	mg/cm^2-event	Calculated [2] (USEPA, 1992d)
BATH EXPOSURE TIME	ET	0.2	hours/day	USEPA, 1989f
EXPOSURE FREQUENCY	EF	350	days/year	USEPA, 1991b
EXPOSURE DURATION	ED	5	years	Assumption
AGE-SPECIFIC EXPSOURE DURATION	ED_i	age-specific	years	USEPA, 1989b
AGE-WEIGHTED SURFACE AREA [1]	SA_{adj}	2247.5	cm^2-yr/kg	Appendix V [3](USEPA, 1992d)
AVERAGING TIME				
CANCER	AT	70	years	USEPA, 1989f
NONCANCER	AT	5	years	USEPA, 1989f
CONVERSION FACTOR	CF	0.001	$liter/10^3cm^3$	

[1] The calculations for normalized surface area (SA_{adj}) and dermally absorbed dose per unit area per event (DA_{event}) are described in Appendix V.
[2] PC_{event} calculated in Appendix W.
[3] Surface area represents the entire body.
USEPA, 1989b. Exposure Factors Handbook.
USEPA, 1989f. Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund.
USEPA, 1991b. Standard Default Exposure Factors.
USEPA, 1992d. Dermal Exposure Assessment: Principles and Applications.

EQUATIONS

CANCER RISK = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) x CANCER SLOPE FACTOR (mg/kg-day)^-1

HAZARD QUOTIENT = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) / REFERENCE DOSE (mg/kg-day)

$$\text{INTAKE-INGESTION} = \frac{CW \times IR \times ABS_i \times ET \times EF \times ED}{BW \times AT \times 365\ days/yr}$$

$$\text{INTAKE-DERMAL} = \frac{DA_{event} \times EV \times EF \times CF \times SA_{adj}}{AT \times 365\ days/yr}$$

Where:
$DA_{event} = PC_{event} \times CW$

Note:
For noncarcinogenic effects: AT = ED
Absorption factors (ABS_i) for ingestion are set equal to one (USEPA Region I default value).

GSIG3FMC	15-Dec-93

TABLE K-18, continued
GROUNDWATER - INGESTION AND CONTACT DURING BATHING
CHILD EXPOSURE - (SUBCHRONIC) FILTERED, MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL - WELL GROUP 3
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

CARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	WATER CONCENTRATION (mg/l)	INGESTION ABS_i	INTAKE INGESTION (mg/kg-day)	PC_{EVENT} (cm/event)	INTAKE DERMAL (mg/kg-day)	ORAL CSF (mg/kg-day)^-1	DERMAL CSF [1] (mg/kg-day)^-1	CANCER RISK INGESTION	CANCER RISK DERMAL	TOTAL CANCER RISK
No carcinogenic compounds detected										
					SUMMARY CANCER RISK			**0E+00**	**0E+00**	**0E+00**

NONCARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	WATER CONCENTRATION (mg/l)	INGESTION ABS_i	INTAKE INGESTION (mg/kg-day)	PC_{EVENT} (cm/event)	INTAKE DERMAL (mg/kg-day)	ORAL RfD (mg/kg-day)	DERMAL RfD [2] (mg/kg-day)^-1	HAZARD QUOTIENT INGESTION	HAZARD QUOTIENT DERMAL	TOTAL HAZARD QUOTIENT
Sodium	16.4	1	9.8E-02	0.0002	1.4E-03	ND	ND			
					SUMMARY HAZARD INDEX			**0E+00**	**0E+00**	**0E+00**

ND = No data available
[1] Calculated from Oral CSF as described in Section 6.1.2.3.
[2] Calculated from Oral RfD as described in Section 6.1.2.3.

GSIG4UMA	15-Dec-93

TABLE K-19
GROUNDWATER - INGESTION AND CONTACT DURING SHOWERING
ADULT EXPOSURE - UNFILTERED, MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL - WELL GROUP 4
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL - WELL GROUP 4
FORT DEVENS, MA

EXPOSURE PARAMETERS

PARAMETER	SYMBOL	VALUE	UNITS	SOURCE
CONCENTRATION WATER	CW	chemical specific	mg/liter	
INGESTION RATE	IR	2	liters/day	USEPA, 1991b
EVENT FREQUENCY	EV	1	events/day	
BODY WEIGHT	BW	70	kg	USEPA, 1991b
DOSE ABSORBED PER EVENT	DA_{event}	chemical specific	mg/cm^2-event	Calculated [1] (USEPA, 1992d)
SHOWER EXPOSURE TIME	ET	0.2	hours/day	USEPA, 1989f
EXPOSURE FREQUENCY	EF	350	days/year	USEPA, 1991b
EXPOSURE DURATION	ED	30	years	USEPA, 1989f
SURFACE AREA EXPOSED	SA	19400.0	cm^2	USEPA, 1989b [2]
AVERAGING TIME				
CANCER	AT	70	years	USEPA, 1989f
NONCANCER	AT	30	years	USEPA, 1989f
CONVERSION FACTOR	CF	0.001	$liter/10^3cm^3$	

[1] PC_{event} calculated in Appendix W
[2] Surface Area represents entire body.
USEPA, 1989b. Exposure Factors Handbook.
USEPA, 1989f. Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund.
USEPA, 1991b. Standard Default Exposure Factors.
USEPA, 1992d. Dermal Exposure Assessment: Principles and Applications.

EQUATIONS

CANCER RISK = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) x CANCER SLOPE FACTOR (mg/kg-day) ^ -1

HAZARD QUOTIENT = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) / REFERENCE DOSE (mg/kg-day)

$$\text{INTAKE-INGESTION} = \frac{CW \times IR \times ABS_i \times ET \times EF \times ED}{BW \times AT \times 365\ days/yr}$$

$$\text{INTAKE-DERMAL} = \frac{DA_{event} \times EV \times EF \times ED \times CF \times SA}{BW \times AT \times 365\ days/yr}$$

Where:

$DA_{event} = PC_{event} \times CW$

Note:
For noncarcinogenic effects: AT = ED
Absorption Factors (ABS_i) for ingestion are set equal to one (USEPA Region I default value).

GSIG4UMA	15-Dec-93

TABLE K-19, continued
GROUNDWATER - INGESTION AND CONTACT DURING SHOWERING
ADULT EXPOSURE - UNFILTERED, MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL - WELL GROUP 4
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL - WELL GROUP 4
FORT DEVENS, MA

CARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	WATER CONCENTRATION (mg/l)	INGESTION ABS_i	INTAKE INGESTION (mg/kg-day)	PC_{EVENT} (cm/event)	INTAKE DERMAL (mg/kg-day)	ORAL CSF (mg/kg-day)^-1	DERMAL CSF [1] (mg/kg-day)^-1	CANCER RISK INGESTION	CANCER RISK DERMAL	TOTAL CANCER RISK
Arsenic	0.024	1	5.6E-05	0.0002	5.5E-07	1.75	1.79	9.9E-05	9.8E-07	1.0E-04
						SUMMARY CANCER RISK		**1E-04**	**1E-06**	**1E-04**

[1] Calculated from Oral CSF as described in Section 6.1.2.3.

TABLE K–19, continued
GROUNDWATER – INGESTION AND CONTACT DURING SHOWERING
ADULT EXPOSURE – UNFILTERED, MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL – WELL GROUP 4
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL – WELL GROUP 4
FORT DEVENS, MA

GSIG4UMA	15–Dec–93

NONCARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	WATER CONCENTRATION (mg/l)	INGESTION ABS_i	INTAKE INGESTION (mg/kg–day)	PC_{EVENT} (cm/event)	INTAKE DERMAL (mg/kg–day)	ORAL RfD (mg/kg–day)	DERMAL RfD [1] (mg/kg–day) ^ –1	HAZARD QUOTIENT INGESTION	HAZARD QUOTIENT DERMAL	TOTAL HAZARD QUOTIENT
Arsenic	0.024	1	1.3E–04	0.0002	1.3E–06	0.0003	0.000294	4.4E–01	4.3E–03	**4.4E–01**
Calcium	15.6	1	8.5E–02	0.0002	8.3E–04	ND	ND			
Potassium	3.26	1	1.8E–02	0.0002	1.7E–04	ND	ND			
Manganese	1.43	1	7.8E–03	0.0002	7.6E–05	0.005	0.0002	1.6E+00	3.8E–01	**1.9E+00**
Zinc	0.0358	1	2.0E–04	0.0002	1.9E–06	0.3	0.102	6.5E–04	1.9E–05	**6.7E–04**
Trichlorofluoromethane	0.0021	1	1.2E–05	0.0163	9.1E–06	0.3	0.3	3.8E–05	3.0E–05	**6.9E–05**
							SUMMARY HAZARD INDEX	**2E+00**	**4E–01**	**2E+00**

ND = No data available
[1] Calculated from Oral RfD as described in Section 6.1.2.3.

TABLE K-20

GSIH4UMA	15-Dec-93

INHALATION EXPOSURE TO VOCs DURING SHOWERING
ADULT EXPOSURE - UNFILTERED, MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL - WELL GROUP 4
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

EXPOSURE PARAMETERS

PARAMETER	SYMBOL	VALUE	UNITS	SOURCE
CONCENTRATION AIR	CA		ug/m^3	Modeled
CONVERSION FACTOR 1	CF1	24	hours/day	
EXPOSURE TIME	ET	0.2	hours/day	USEPA, 1989f
EXPOSURE FREQUENCY	EF	350	days/year	USEPA, 1991b
EXPOSURE DURATION	ED	30	years	USEPA, 1991b
CONVERSION FACTOR 2	CF2	365	days/year	
AVERAGING TIME CANCER	AT	70	years	USEPA, 1989f
AVERAGING TIME NONCANCER	AT	30	years	USEPA, 1989f*

USEPA, 1991b. Standard Default Exposure Factors.
USEPA, 1989f. RAGs, Part A.

EQUATIONS

CANCER RISK = AVG. CONC. (ug/m^3) * CANCER UNIT RISK $(ug/m^3)^{-1}$

HAZARD QUOTIENT = AVG.CONC.(ug/m^3)/REF. CONC. (ug/m^3)

$$\text{AVG. CONC.} = \frac{CAair * EF * ET * ED}{AT * CF1 * CF2}$$

Note:
*For noncarcinogenic effects: AT = ED

CARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	AIR CONCENTRATION (ug/m^3)	AVE. CONC. LIFETIME (ug/m^3)	INHALATION UNIT RISK $(ug/m^3)^{-1}$	CANCER RISK
No carcinogenic VOCs detected				
SUMMARY CANCER RISK				0E+00

NONCARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	AIR CONCENTRATION (ug/m^3)	AVE. CONC. FOR TIME PERIOD (ug/m^3)	INHALATION RfC (ug/m^3)	HAZARD QUOTIENT
Trichlorofluoromethane	9.2E+00	7.4E-02	7.0E+02	1.1E-04
SUMMARY HAZARD INDEX				0.0001

ND = No data available

GSIG4UMC	15-Dec-93

TABLE K-21
GROUNDWATER - INGESTION AND CONTACT DURING SHOWERING
CHILD EXPOSURE - (SUBCHRONIC) UNFILTERED, MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL - WELL GROUP 4
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

EXPOSURE PARAMETERS

PARAMETER	SYMBOL	VALUE	UNITS	SOURCE
CONCENTRATION WATER	CW	chemical specific	mg/liter	
INGESTION RATE	IR	0.5	liters/day	USEPA, 1989b
AGE-SPECIFIC SURFACE AREA	SA_i	age-specific	cm^3	USEPA, 1989b
EVENT FREQUENCY	EV	1	events/day	
BODY WEIGHT	BW	16	kg	USEPA, 1989b
AGE-SPECIFIC BODY WEIGHT	BW_i	age-specific	kg	USEPA, 1989b
DOSE ABSORBED PER EVENT	DA_{event}	chemical specific	mg/cm^2-event	Calculated [2] (USEPA, 1992d)
BATH EXPOSURE TIME	ET	0.2	hours/day	USEPA, 1989f
EXPOSURE FREQUENCY	EF	350	days/year	USEPA, 1991b
EXPOSURE DURATION	ED	5	years	Assumption
AGE-SPECIFIC EXPSOURE DURATION	ED_i	age-specific	years	USEPA, 1989b
AGE-WEIGHTED SURFACE AREA [1]	SA_{adj}	2247.5	cm^2-yr/kg	Appendix V [3](USEPA, 1992d)
AVERAGING TIME				
CANCER	AT	70	years	USEPA, 1989f
NONCANCER	AT	5	years	USEPA, 1989f
CONVERSION FACTOR	CF	0.001	$liter/10^3cm^3$	

[1] In estimating the dermally absorbed dose for children age 1 to 6, the time-weighted bodyweight normalized surface area exposed is calculated from surface area, exposure duration, and body weight for each of 5 age periods, age 1 to 6, per USEPA, 1992.
[2] PC_{event} calculated in Appendix W.
[3] Surface area represents the entire body.
USEPA, 1989b. Exposure Factors Handbook.
USEPA, 19989f. Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund.
USEPA, 1991b. "Standard Default Exposure Factors".
USEPA, 1992d. Dermal Exposure Assessment: Principles and Applications.

EQUATIONS

CANCER RISK = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) x CANCER SLOPE FACTOR (mg/kg-day)^-1

HAZARD QUOTIENT = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) / REFERENCE DOSE (mg/kg-day)

$$\text{INTAKE-INGESTION} = \frac{CW \times IR \times ABS_i \times ET \times EF \times ED}{BW \times AT \times 365\ days/yr}$$

$$\text{INTAKE-DERMAL} = \frac{DA_{event} \times EV \times EF \times CF \times SA_{adj}}{AT \times 365\ days/yr}$$

Where:
$SA_{adj} = Sum\ (SA_i \times ED / BW_i)$
$DA_{event} = PC_{event} \times CW$

Note:
For noncarcinogenic effects: AT = ED
Absorption factors (ABS_i) for ingestion are set equal to one (USEPA Region I default value).

GSIG4UMC	15-Dec-93

TABLE K-21, continued
GROUNDWATER - INGESTION AND CONTACT DURING SHOWERING
CHILD EXPOSURE - (SUBCHRONIC) UNFILTERED, MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL - WELL GROUP 4
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

CARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	WATER CONCENTRATION (mg/l)	INGESTION ABS_i	INTAKE INGESTION (mg/kg-day)	PC_{EVENT} (cm/event)	INTAKE DERMAL (mg/kg-day)	ORAL CSF (mg/kg-day)^-1	DERMAL CSF [1] (mg/kg-day)^-1	CANCER RISK INGESTION	CANCER RISK DERMAL	TOTAL CANCER RISK
Arsenic	0.024	1	1.0E-05	0.0002	1.5E-07	1.75	1.79	1.8E-05	2.6E-07	1.8E-05
					SUMMARY CANCER RISK			**2E-05**	**3E-07**	**2E-05**

[1] Calculated from Oral CSF as described in Section 6.1.2.3.

GSIG4UMC	15-Dec-93

TABLE K-21, continued
GROUNDWATER - INGESTION AND CONTACT DURING SHOWERING
CHILD EXPOSURE - (SUBCHRONIC) UNFILTERED, MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL - WELL GROUP 4
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

NONCARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	WATER CONCENTRATION (mg/l)	INGESTION ABS_i	INTAKE INGESTION (mg/kg-day)	PC_{EVENT} (cm/event)	INTAKE DERMAL (mg/kg-day)	ORAL RfD (mg/kg-day)	DERMAL RfD [1] (mg/kg-day) ^ -1	HAZARD QUOTIENT INGESTION	HAZARD QUOTIENT DERMAL	TOTAL HAZARD QUOTIENT
Arsenic	0.024	1	1.4E-04	0.0002	2.1E-06	0.0003	0.000294	4.8E-01	7.0E-03	**4.9E-01**
Calcium	15.6	1	9.3E-02	0.0002	1.3E-03	ND	ND			
Potassium	3.26	1	2.0E-02	0.0002	2.8E-04	ND	ND			
Manganese	1.43	1	8.6E-03	0.0002	1.2E-04	0.005	0.0002	1.7E+00	6.2E-01	**2.3E+00**
Zinc	0.0358	1	2.1E-04	0.0002	3.1E-06	0.3	0.102	7.2E-04	3.0E-05	**7.5E-04**
Trichlorofluoromethane	0.0021	1	1.3E-05	0.0163	1.5E-05	0.7	0.7	1.8E-05	2.1E-05	**3.9E-05**
					SUMMARY HAZARD INDEX			**2E+00**	**6E-01**	**3E+00**

ND = No data available
[2] Calculated from Oral RfD as described in Section 6.1.2.3.

TABLE K-22
INHALATION EXPOSURE TO VOCs DURING SHOWERING
CHILD EXPOSURE (SUBCHRONIC) - UNFILTERED, MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL - WELL GROUP 4
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

GSIH4UMC	15-Dec-93

EXPOSURE PARAMETERS

PARAMETER	SYMBOL	VALUE	UNITS	SOURCE
CONCENTRATION AIR	CA		ug/m^3	Modeled
CONVERSION FACTOR 1	CF1	24	hours/day	
EXPOSURE TIME	ET	0.2	hours/day	USEPA, 1989f
EXPOSURE FREQUENCY	EF	350	days/year	USEPA, 1991b
EXPOSURE DURATION	ED	5	years	Assumption
CONVERSION FACTOR 2	CF2	365	days/year	
AVERAGING TIME CANCER	AT	70	years	USEPA, 1989f
AVERAGING TIME NONCANCER	AT	5	years	USEPA, 1989f*

USEPA, 1991b. Standard Default Exposure Factors.
USEPA, 1989f. RAGs, Part A.

EQUATIONS

CANCER RISK = AVG. CONC. (ug/m^3) * CANCER UNIT RISK $(ug/m^3)^{-1}$

HAZARD QUOTIENT = AVG.CONC.(ug/m^3)/REF. CONC. (ug/m^3)

$$\text{AVG. CONC.} = \frac{CAair * EF * ET * ED}{AT * CF1 * CF2}$$

Note:
*For noncarcinogenic effects: AT = ED

CARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	AIR CONCENTRATION (ug/m^3)	AVE. CONC. LIFETIME (ug/m^3)	INHALATION UNIT RISK $(ug/m^3)^{-1}$	CANCER RISK
No carcinogenic VOCs detected				
SUMMARY CANCER RISK				0E+00

NONCARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	AIR CONCENTRATION (ug/m^3)	AVE. CONC. FOR TIME PERIOD (ug/m^3)	INHALATION RfC (ug/m^3)	HAZARD QUOTIENT
Trichlorofluoromethane	9.2E+00	7.4E-02	7.0E+03	1.1E-05
SUMMARY HAZARD INDEX				0.00001

ND = No data available

GSIG4FMA	15-Dec-93

TABLE K-23
GROUNDWATER - INGESTION AND CONTACT DURING SHOWERING
ADULT EXPOSURE - FILTERED, MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL - WELL GROUP 4
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL - WELL GROUP 4
FORT DEVENS, MA

EXPOSURE PARAMETERS

PARAMETER	SYMBOL	VALUE	UNITS	SOURCE
CONCENTRATION WATER	CW	chemical specific	mg/liter	
INGESTION RATE	IR	2	liters/day	USEPA, 1991b
EVENT FREQUENCY	EV	1	events/day	
BODY WEIGHT	BW	70	kg	USEPA, 1991b
DOSE ABSORBED PER EVENT	DA_{event}	chemical specific	mg/cm^2-event	Calculated [1] (USEPA, 1992d)
SHOWER EXPOSURE TIME	ET	0.2	hours/day	USEPA, 1989f
EXPOSURE FREQUENCY	EF	350	days/year	USEPA, 1991b
EXPOSURE DURATION	ED	30	years	USEPA, 1989f
SURFACE AREA EXPOSED	SA	19400.0	cm^2	USEPA, 1989b [2]
AVERAGING TIME				
CANCER	AT	70	years	USEPA, 1989f
NONCANCER	AT	30	years	USEPA, 1989f
CONVERSION FACTOR	CF	0.001	$liter/10^3cm^3$	

[1] PC_{event} calculated in Appendix W
[2] Surface Area represents entire body.
USEPA, 1989b. Exposure Factors Handbook.
USEPA, 1989f. Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund.
USEPA, 1991b. Standard Default Exposure Factors.
USEPA, 1992d. Dermal Exposure Assessment: Principles and Applications.

EQUATIONS

CANCER RISK = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) x CANCER SLOPE FACTOR (mg/kg-day) ^ -1

HAZARD QUOTIENT = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) / REFERENCE DOSE (mg/kg-day)

$$\text{INTAKE-INGESTION} = \frac{CW \times IR \times ABS_i \times ET \times EF \times ED}{BW \times AT \times 365\ days/yr}$$

$$\text{INTAKE-DERMAL} = \frac{DA_{event} \times EV \times EF \times ED \times CF \times SA}{BW \times AT \times 365\ days/yr}$$

Where:

$DA_{event} = PC_{event} \times CW$

Note:
For noncarcinogenic effects: AT = ED
Absorption Factors (ABS_i) for ingestion are set equal to one (USEPA Region I default value).

GSIG4FMA	15-Dec-93

TABLE K-23, continued
GROUNDWATER - INGESTION AND CONTACT DURING SHOWERING
ADULT EXPOSURE - FILTERED, MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL - WELL GROUP 4
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL - WELL GROUP 4
FORT DEVENS, MA

CARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	WATER CONCENTRATION (mg/l)	INGESTION ABS_i	INTAKE INGESTION (mg/kg-day)	PC_{EVENT} (cm/event)	INTAKE DERMAL (mg/kg-day)	ORAL CSF [1] (mg/kg-day)^-1	DERMAL CSF (mg/kg-day)^-1	CANCER RISK INGESTION	CANCER RISK DERMAL	TOTAL CANCER RISK
No carcinogenic compound detected										
					SUMMARY CANCER RISK			0E+00	0E+00	0E+00

[1] Calculated from Oral CSF as described in Section 6.1.2.3.

TABLE K–23, continued
GROUNDWATER – INGESTION AND CONTACT DURING SHOWERING
ADULT EXPOSURE – FILTERED, MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL – WELL GROUP 4
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL – WELL GROUP 4
FORT DEVENS, MA

GSIG4FMA	15–Dec–93

NONCARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	WATER CONCENTRATION (mg/l)	INGESTION ABS_i	INTAKE INGESTION (mg/kg–day)	PC_{EVENT} (cm/event)	INTAKE DERMAL (mg/kg–day)	ORAL RfD [1] (mg/kg–day)	DERMAL RfD (mg/kg–day) ^ –1	HAZARD QUOTIENT INGESTION	HAZARD QUOTIENT DERMAL	TOTAL HAZARD QUOTIENT
Calcium	16.9	1	9.3E–02	0.0002	9.0E–04	ND	ND			
Manganese	1.85	1	1.0E–02	0.0002	9.8E–05	0.005	0.0002	2.0E+00	4.9E–01	**2.5E+00**
Zinc	0.0288	1	1.6E–04	0.0002	1.5E–06	0.3	0.102	5.3E–04	1.5E–05	**5.4E–04**
							SUMMARY HAZARD INDEX	**2E+00**	**5E–01**	**3E+00**

ND = No data available
[2] Calculated from Oral RfD as described in Section 6.1.2.3.

GSIG4FMC	15-Dec-93

TABLE K-24
GROUNDWATER - INGESTION AND CONTACT DURING SHOWERING
CHILD EXPOSURE - (SUBCHRONIC) FILTERED, MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL - WELL GROUP 4
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

EXPOSURE PARAMETERS

PARAMETER	SYMBOL	VALUE	UNITS	SOURCE
CONCENTRATION WATER	CW	chemical specific	mg/liter	
INGESTION RATE	IR	0.5	liters/day	USEPA, 1989b
AGE-SPECIFIC SURFACE AREA	SA_i	age-specific	cm^3	USEPA, 1989b
EVENT FREQUENCY	EV	1	events/day	
BODY WEIGHT	BW	16	kg	USEPA, 1989b
AGE-SPECIFIC BODY WEIGHT	BW_i	age-specific	kg	USEPA, 1989b
DOSE ABSORBED PER EVENT	DA_{event}	chemical specific	mg/cm^2-event	Calculated [2] (USEPA, 1992d)
BATH EXPOSURE TIME	ET	0.2	hours/day	USEPA, 1989f
EXPOSURE FREQUENCY	EF	350	days/year	USEPA, 1991b
EXPOSURE DURATION	ED	5	years	Assumption
AGE-SPECIFIC EXPSOURE DURATION	ED_i	age-specific	years	USEPA, 1989b
AGE-WEIGHTED SURFACE AREA [1]	SA_{adj}	2247.5	cm^2-yr/kg	Appendix V [3](USEPA, 1992d)
AVERAGING TIME				
CANCER	AT	70	years	USEPA, 1989f
NONCANCER	AT	5	years	USEPA, 1989f
CONVERSION FACTOR	CF	0.001	$liter/10^3cm^3$	

[1] In estimating the dermally absorbed dose for children age 1 to 6, the time-weighted bodyweight normalized surface area exposed is calculated from surface area, exposure duration, and body weight for each of 5 age periods, age 1 to 6, per USEPA, 1992.
[2] PC_{event} calculated in Appendix W.
[3] Surface area represents the entire body.
USEPA, 1989b. Exposure Factors Handbook.
USEPA, 19989f. Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund.
USEPA, 1991b. "Standard Default Exposure Factors".
USEPA, 1992d. Dermal Exposure Assessment: Principles and Applications.

EQUATIONS

CANCER RISK = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) x CANCER SLOPE FACTOR (mg/kg-day)^-1

HAZARD QUOTIENT = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) / REFERENCE DOSE (mg/kg-day)

$$\text{INTAKE-INGESTION} = \frac{CW \times IR \times ABS_i \times ET \times EF \times ED}{BW \times AT \times 365\ days/yr}$$

$$\text{INTAKE-DERMAL} = \frac{DA_{event} \times EV \times EF \times CF \times SA_{adj}}{AT \times 365\ days/yr}$$

Where:
$SA_{adj} = Sum\ (SA_i \times ED / BW_i)$
$DA_{event} = PC_{event} \times CW$

Note:
For noncarcinogenic effects: AT = ED
Absorption factors (ABS_i) for ingestion are set equal to one (USEPA Region I default value).

GSIG4FMC	15-Dec-93

TABLE K-24, continued
GROUNDWATER - INGESTION AND CONTACT DURING SHOWERING
CHILD EXPOSURE - (SUBCHRONIC) FILTERED, MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL - WELL GROUP 4
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

CARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	WATER CONCENTRATION (mg/l)	INGESTION ABS_i	INTAKE INGESTION (mg/kg-day)	PC_{EVENT} (cm/event)	INTAKE DERMAL (mg/kg-day)	ORAL CSF [1] (mg/kg-day)^-1	DERMAL CSF (mg/kg-day)^-1	CANCER RISK INGESTION	CANCER RISK DERMAL	TOTAL CANCER RISK
No carcinogenic compounds detected										
					SUMMARY CANCER RISK			0E+00	0E+00	0E+00

[1] Calculated from Oral CSF as described in Section 6.1.2.3.

TABLE K–24, continued
GROUNDWATER – INGESTION AND CONTACT DURING SHOWERING
CHILD EXPOSURE – (SUBCHRONIC) FILTERED, MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL – WELL GROUP 4
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

GSIG4FMC	15–Dec–93

NONCARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	WATER CONCENTRATION (mg/l)	INGESTION ABS$_i$	INTAKE INGESTION (mg/kg–day)	PC$_{EVENT}$ (cm/event)	INTAKE DERMAL (mg/kg–day)	ORAL RfD [2] (mg/kg–day)	DERMAL RfD (mg/kg–day) ^ –1	HAZARD QUOTIENT INGESTION	HAZARD QUOTIENT DERMAL	TOTAL HAZARD QUOTIENT
Calcium	16.9	1	1.0E–01	0.0002	1.5E–03	ND	ND			
Manganese	1.85	1	1.1E–02	0.0002	1.6E–04	0.005	0.0002	2.2E+00	8.0E–01	**3.0E+00**
Zinc	0.0288	1	1.7E–04	0.0002	2.5E–06	0.3	0.102	5.8E–04	2.4E–05	**6.0E–04**
						SUMMARY HAZARD INDEX		**2E+00**	**8E–01**	**3E+00**

ND = No data available
[2] Calculated from Oral RfD as described in Section 6.1.2.3.

TABLE K-25

FPLWSA	15-Dec-93

FISH INGESTION - PLOW SHOP POND - WHOLE FISH (BLUEGILLS)
ADULT EXPOSURE - AVERAGE AND MAXIMUM CONCENTRATIONS
LANDFILL-RELATED COPCs
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

EXPOSURE PARAMETERS

PARAMETER	SYMBOL	VALUE	UNITS	SOURCE
CONCENTRATION IN FISH	CF		mg/kg	SITE-SPECIFIC
FISH INGESTION RATE	IR	54	g/day	USEPA, 1991b
RELATIVE ABSORPTION FACTOR	RAF	1		ASSUMPTION
FRACTION INGESTED FROM SOURCE	FI	0.5		ASSUMPTION
BODY WEIGHT	BW	70	kg	USEPA, 1991b
CONVERSION FACTOR	C	0.001	kg/g	
EXPOSURE FREQUENCY	EF	350	days/year	USEPA, 1991b
EXPOSURE DURATION	ED	30	years	USEPA, 1991b
AVERAGING TIME				
CANCER	AT	70	years	USEPA, 1989f
NONCANCER	AT	30	years	USEPA, 1989f

USEPA, 1991b. "STANDARD DEFAULT EXPOSURE FACTORS".
USEPA, 1989f. "RISK ASSESSMENT GUIDANCE FOR SUPERFUND".

EQUATIONS

CANCER RISK = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) x CANCER SLOPE FACTOR (mg/kg-day)^-1

HAZARD QUOTIENT = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) / REFERENCE DOSE (mg/kg-day)

$$\text{INTAKE} = \frac{\text{CF x IR x RAF x FI x C x EF x ED}}{\text{BW x AT x 365 days/yr}}$$

Note:
For noncarcinogenic effects: AT = ED

TABLE K-25, continued

FPLWSA	15-Dec-93

FISH INGESTION - PLOW SHOP POND - WHOLE FISH (BLUEGILLS)
ADULT EXPOSURE - AVERAGE AND MAXIMUM CONCENTRATIONS
LANDFILL-RELATED COPCs
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

CARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	AVERAGE CONCENTRATION IN FISH (mg/kg)	MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION IN FISH (mg/kg)	INTAKE AVG. CONC. (mg/kg-day)	INTAKE MAX. CONC. (mg/kg-day)	CANCER SLOPE FACTOR (mg/kg-day)^-1	TOTAL CANCER RISK AVG. CONC.	TOTAL CANCER RISK MAX. CONC.
Arsenic	0.331	1.3	5.2E-05	2.1E-04	1.75	9.2E-05	3.6E-04
SUMMARY CANCER RISK						9E-05	4E-04

TABLE K-25, continued

FPLWSA	15-Dec-93

FISH INGESTION - PLOW SHOP POND - WHOLE FISH (BLUEGILLS)
ADULT EXPOSURE - AVERAGE AND MAXIMUM CONCENTRATIONS
LANDFILL-RELATED COPCs
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

NONCARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	AVERAGE CONCENTRATION IN FISH (mg/kg)	MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION IN FISH (mg/kg)	INTAKE AVG. CONC. (mg/kg-day)	INTAKE MAX. CONC. (mg/kg-day)	REFERENCE DOSE (mg/kg-day)	TOTAL HAZARD QUOTIENT AVG. CONC.	TOTAL HAZARD QUOTIENT MAX. CONC.
Arsenic	0.331	1.3	1.2E-04	4.8E-04	0.0003	4.1E-01	1.6E+00
Manganese	63.2	94.7	2.3E-02	3.5E-02	0.14	1.7E-01	2.5E-01
Barium	2.76	4.4	1.0E-03	1.6E-03	0.07	1.5E-02	2.3E-02
Nickel	ND	ND					
Iron	79.72	130	2.9E-02	4.8E-02	ND		
					SUMMARY HAZARD INDEX	6E-01	2E+00

ND = No data available

TABLE K-26, continued

FPLWSC	15-Dec-93

FISH INGESTION - PLOW SHOP POND - WHOLE FISH (BLUEGILLS)
CHILD EXPOSURE - AVERAGE AND MAXIMUM CONCENTRATIONS
LANDFILL-RELATED COPCs
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

NONCARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	AVERAGE CONCENTRATION IN FISH (mg/kg)	MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION IN FISH (mg/kg)	INTAKE AVG. CONC. (mg/kg-day)	INTAKE MAX. CONC. (mg/kg-day)	REFERENCE DOSE (mg/kg-day)	TOTAL HAZARD QUOTIENT AVG. CONC.	TOTAL HAZARD QUOTIENT MAX. CONC.
Arsenic	0.331	1.3	1.6E-04	6.4E-04	0.0003	5.5E-01	2.1E+00
Manganese	63.2	94.7	3.1E-02	4.7E-02	0.14	2.2E-01	3.3E-01
Barium	2.76	4.4	1.4E-03	2.2E-03	0.07	1.9E-02	3.1E-02
Nickel	ND	ND					
Iron	79.72	130	3.9E-02	6.4E-02	ND		
					SUMMARY HAZARD INDEX	8E-01	3E+00

ND = No data available

TABLE K-27

FPLWTA	15-Dec-93

FISH INGESTION - PLOW SHOP POND - WHOLE FISH (BLUEGILLS)
ADULT EXPOSURE - AVERAGE AND MAXIMUM CONCENTRATIONS
TOTAL RISK - ALL COPCs
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

EXPOSURE PARAMETERS

PARAMETER	SYMBOL	VALUE	UNITS	SOURCE
CONCENTRATION IN FISH	CF		mg/kg	SITE-SPECIFIC
FISH INGESTION RATE	IR	54	g/day	USEPA, 1991b
RELATIVE ABSORPTION FACTOR	RAF	1		ASSUMPTION
FRACTION INGESTED FROM SOURCE	FI	0.5		ASSUMPTION
BODY WEIGHT	BW	70	kg	USEPA, 1991b
CONVERSION FACTOR	C	0.001	kg/g	
EXPOSURE FREQUENCY	EF	350	days/year	USEPA, 1991b
EXPOSURE DURATION	ED	30	years	USEPA, 1991b
AVERAGING TIME				
CANCER	AT	70	years	USEPA, 1989f
NONCANCER	AT	30	years	USEPA, 1989f

USEPA, 1991b. "STANDARD DEFAULT EXPOSURE FACTORS".
USEPA, 1989f. "RISK ASSESSMENT GUIDANCE FOR SUPERFUND".

EQUATIONS

CANCER RISK = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) x CANCER SLOPE FACTOR (mg/kg-day)^-1

HAZARD QUOTIENT = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) / REFERENCE DOSE (mg/kg-day)

$$\text{INTAKE} = \frac{CF \times IR \times RAF \times FI \times C \times EF \times ED}{BW \times AT \times 365\ days/yr}$$

Note:
For noncarcinogenic effects: AT = ED

TABLE K-27, continued

FPLWTA	15-Dec-93

FISH INGESTION - PLOW SHOP POND - WHOLE FISH (BLUEGILLS)
ADULT EXPOSURE - AVERAGE AND MAXIMUM CONCENTRATIONS
TOTAL RISK - ALL COPCs
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

CARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	AVERAGE CONCENTRATION IN FISH (mg/kg)	MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION IN FISH (mg/kg)	INTAKE AVG. CONC. (mg/kg-day)	INTAKE MAX. CONC. (mg/kg-day)	CANCER SLOPE FACTOR (mg/kg-day)^-1	TOTAL CANCER RISK AVG. CONC.	TOTAL CANCER RISK MAX. CONC.
Arsenic	0.331	1.3	5.2E-05	2.1E-04	1.75	9.2E-05	3.6E-04
P,P-DDE	0.013	0.029	2.1E-06	4.6E-06	0.34	7.0E-07	1.6E-06
				SUMMARY CANCER RISK		9E-05	4E-04

TABLE K-27, continued

FPLWTA	15-Dec-93

FISH INGESTION - PLOW SHOP POND - WHOLE FISH (BLUEGILLS)
ADULT EXPOSURE - AVERAGE AND MAXIMUM CONCENTRATIONS
TOTAL RISK - ALL COPCs
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

NONCARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	AVERAGE CONCENTRATION IN FISH (mg/kg)	MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION IN FISH (mg/kg)	INTAKE AVG. CONC. (mg/kg-day)	INTAKE MAX. CONC. (mg/kg-day)	REFERENCE DOSE (mg/kg-day)	TOTAL HAZARD QUOTIENT AVG. CONC.	TOTAL HAZARD QUOTIENT MAX. CONC.
Arsenic	0.33	1.3	1.2E-04	4.8E-04	0.0003	4.1E-01	1.6E+00
P,P-DDE	0.013	0.029	4.8E-06	1.1E-05	0.0005	9.6E-03	2.1E-02
Manganese	63.2	94.7	2.3E-02	3.5E-02	0.14	1.7E-01	2.5E-01
Zinc	25.02	29.6	9.3E-03	1.1E-02	0.3	3.1E-02	3.6E-02
Lead	0.072	0.16	2.7E-05	5.9E-05	ND		
Aluminum	2.58	4.5	9.5E-04	1.7E-03	ND		
Barium	2.76	4.4	1.0E-03	1.6E-03	0.07	1.5E-02	2.3E-02
Calcium	31940	48800	1.2E+01	1.8E+01	ND		
Chromium III	0.66	0.93	2.4E-04	3.4E-04	1	2.4E-04	3.4E-04
Cobalt	0.11	0.16	4.1E-05	5.9E-05	ND		
Copper	0.51	0.6	1.9E-04	2.2E-04	ND		
Iron	79.72	130	2.9E-02	4.8E-02	ND		
Mercury	0.37	0.54	1.4E-04	2.0E-04	0.0003	4.6E-01	6.7E-01
Selenium	0.67	0.55	2.5E-04	2.0E-04	0.005	5.0E-02	4.1E-02
Sodium	1794	2290	6.6E-01	8.5E-01	ND		
Magnesium	568	754	2.1E-01	2.8E-01	ND		
Thallium	0.06	0.1	2.2E-05	3.7E-05	0.00007	3.2E-01	5.3E-01
Cadmium	ND	ND					
Nickel	ND	ND					
					SUMMARY HAZARD INDEX	1E+00	3E+00

ND = No data available

TABLE K-28

FPLWTC	15-Dec-93

FISH INGESTION - PLOW SHOP POND - WHOLE FISH (BLUEGILLS)
CHILD EXPOSURE - AVERAGE AND MAXIMUM CONCENTRATIONS
TOTAL RISK - ALL COPCs
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

EXPOSURE PARAMETERS

PARAMETER	SYMBOL	VALUE	UNITS	SOURCE
CONCENTRATION IN FISH	CF		mg/kg	SITE-SPECIFIC
FISH INGESTION RATE	IR	16.5	g/day	USEPA, 1989b
RELATIVE ABSORPTION FACTOR	RAF	1		ASSUMPTION
FRACTION INGESTED FROM SOURCE	FI	0.5		ASSUMPTION
BODY WEIGHT	BW	16	kg	USEPA, 1989b
CONVERSION FACTOR	C	0.001	kg/g	
EXPOSURE FREQUENCY	EF	350	days/year	USEPA, 1991b
EXPOSURE DURATION	ED	5	years	ASSUMPTION
AVERAGING TIME				
CANCER	AT	70	years	USEPA, 1989f
NONCANCER	AT	5	years	USEPA, 1989f

USEPA, 1991b. "STANDARD DEFAULT EXPOSURE FACTORS".
USEPA, 1989b. "EXPOSURE FACTORS HANDBOOK".
USEPA, 1989f. "RISK ASSESSMENT GUIDANCE FOR SUPERFUND".

EQUATIONS

CANCER RISK = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) x CANCER SLOPE FACTOR (mg/kg-day)^-1

HAZARD QUOTIENT = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) / REFERENCE DOSE (mg/kg-day)

$$\text{INTAKE} = \frac{CF \times IR \times RAF \times FI \times C \times EF \times ED}{BW \times AT \times 365\ days/yr}$$

Note:
For noncarcinogenic effects: AT = ED

TABLE K-28, continued

FPLWTC	15-Dec-93

FISH INGESTION - PLOW SHOP POND - WHOLE FISH (BLUEGILLS)
CHILD EXPOSURE - AVERAGE AND MAXIMUM CONCENTRATIONS
TOTAL RISK - ALL COPCs
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

CARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	AVERAGE CONCENTRATION IN FISH (mg/kg)	MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION IN FISH (mg/kg)	INTAKE AVG. CONC. (mg/kg-day)	INTAKE MAX. CONC. (mg/kg-day)	CANCER SLOPE FACTOR (mg/kg-day)^-1	TOTAL CANCER RISK AVG. CONC.	TOTAL CANCER RISK MAX. CONC.
Arsenic	0.331	1.3	1.2E-05	4.6E-05	1.75	2.0E-05	8.0E-05
P,P-DDE	0.013	0.029	4.6E-07	1.0E-06	0.34	1.6E-07	3.5E-07
					SUMMARY CANCER RISK	2E-05	8E-05

TABLE K-28, continued

FPLWTC	15-Dec-93

FISH INGESTION - PLOW SHOP POND - WHOLE FISH (BLUEGILLS)
CHILD EXPOSURE - AVERAGE AND MAXIMUM CONCENTRATIONS
TOTAL RISK - ALL COPCs
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

NONCARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	AVERAGE CONCENTRATION IN FISH (mg/kg)	MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION IN FISH (mg/kg)	INTAKE AVG. CONC. (mg/kg-day)	INTAKE MAX. CONC. (mg/kg-day)	REFERENCE DOSE (mg/kg-day)	TOTAL HAZARD QUOTIENT AVG. CONC.	TOTAL HAZARD QUOTIENT MAX. CONC.
Arsenic	0.33	1.3	1.6E-04	6.4E-04	0.0003	5.4E-01	2.1E+00
P,P-DDE	0.013	0.029	6.4E-06	1.4E-05	0.0005	1.3E-02	2.9E-02
Manganese	63.2	94.7	3.1E-02	4.7E-02	0.14	2.2E-01	3.3E-01
Zinc	25.02	29.6	1.2E-02	1.5E-02	0.3	4.1E-02	4.9E-02
Lead	0.072	0.16	3.6E-05	7.9E-05	ND		
Aluminum	2.58	4.5	1.3E-03	2.2E-03	ND		
Barium	2.76	4.4	1.4E-03	2.2E-03	0.07	1.9E-02	3.1E-02
Calcium	31940	48800	1.6E+01	2.4E+01	ND		
Chromium III	0.66	0.93	3.3E-04	4.6E-04	1	3.3E-04	4.6E-04
Cobalt	0.11	0.16	5.4E-05	7.9E-05	ND		
Copper	0.51	0.6	2.5E-04	3.0E-04	ND		
Iron	79.72	130	3.9E-02	6.4E-02	ND		
Mercury	0.37	0.54	1.8E-04	2.7E-04	0.0003	6.1E-01	8.9E-01
Selenium	0.67	0.55	3.3E-04	2.7E-04	0.005	6.6E-02	5.4E-02
Sodium	1794	2290	8.9E-01	1.1E+00	ND		
Magnesium	568	754	2.8E-01	3.7E-01	ND		
Thallium	0.06	0.1	3.0E-05	4.9E-05	0.00007	4.2E-01	7.1E-01
Cadmium	ND	ND					
Nickel	ND	ND					
					SUMMARY HAZARD INDEX	**2E+00**	**4E+00**

ND = No data available

TABLE K-29

FPLFSA	15-Dec-93

FISH INGESTION - PLOW SHOP POND - FILLETS (BULLHEAD, BASS)
ADULT EXPOSURE - AVERAGE AND MAXIMUM CONCENTRATIONS
LANDFILL-RELATED COPCs
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

EXPOSURE PARAMETERS

PARAMETER	SYMBOL	VALUE	UNITS	SOURCE
CONCENTRATION IN FISH	CF		mg/kg	SITE-SPECIFIC
FISH INGESTION RATE	IR	54	g/day	USEPA, 1991b
RELATIVE ABSORPTION FACTOR	RAF	1		ASSUMPTION
FRACTION INGESTED FROM SOURCE	FI	0.5		ASSUMPTION
BODY WEIGHT	BW	70	kg	USEPA, 1991b
CONVERSION FACTOR	C	0.001	kg/g	
EXPOSURE FREQUENCY	EF	350	days/year	USEPA, 1991b
EXPOSURE DURATION	ED	30	years	USEPA, 1991b
AVERAGING TIME				
CANCER	AT	70	years	USEPA, 1989f
NONCANCER	AT	30	years	USEPA, 1989f

USEPA, 1991b. "STANDARD DEFAULT EXPOSURE FACTORS".
USEPA, 1989f. "RISK ASSESSMENT GUIDANCE FOR SUPERFUND".

EQUATIONS

CANCER RISK = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) x CANCER SLOPE FACTOR (mg/kg-day)^-1

HAZARD QUOTIENT = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) / REFERENCE DOSE (mg/kg-day)

$$\text{INTAKE} = \frac{\text{CF x IR x RAF x FI x C x EF x ED}}{\text{BW x AT x 365 days/yr}}$$

Note:
For noncarcinogenic effects: AT = ED

TABLE K-29, continued

FPLFSA	15-Dec-93

FISH INGESTION - PLOW SHOP POND - FILLETS (BULLHEAD, BASS)
ADULT EXPOSURE - AVERAGE AND MAXIMUM CONCENTRATIONS
LANDFILL-RELATED COPCs
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

CARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	AVERAGE CONCENTRATION IN FISH (mg/kg)	MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION IN FISH (mg/kg)	INTAKE AVG. CONC. (mg/kg-day)	INTAKE MAX. CONC. (mg/kg-day)	CANCER SLOPE FACTOR (mg/kg-day)^-1	TOTAL CANCER RISK AVG. CONC.	TOTAL CANCER RISK MAX. CONC.
Arsenic	0.05	0.15	7.9E-06	2.4E-05	1.75	1.4E-05	4.2E-05
SUMMARY CANCER RISK						1E-05	4E-05

TABLE K-29, continued

FPLFSA	15-Dec-93

FISH INGESTION - PLOW SHOP POND - FILLETS (BULLHEAD, BASS)
ADULT EXPOSURE - AVERAGE AND MAXIMUM CONCENTRATIONS
LANDFILL-RELATED COPCs
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

NONCARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	AVERAGE CONCENTRATION IN FISH (mg/kg)	MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION IN FISH (mg/kg)	INTAKE AVG. CONC. (mg/kg-day)	INTAKE MAX. CONC. (mg/kg-day)	REFERENCE DOSE (mg/kg-day)	TOTAL HAZARD QUOTIENT AVG. CONC.	TOTAL HAZARD QUOTIENT MAX. CONC.
Arsenic	0.05	0.15	1.8E-05	5.5E-05	0.0003	6.2E-02	1.8E-01
Manganese	0.16	0.3	5.9E-05	1.1E-04	0.14	4.2E-04	7.9E-04
Barium	ND	ND					
Nickel	ND	ND					
Iron	8.19	27	3.0E-03	1.0E-02	ND		
					SUMMARY HAZARD INDEX	**6E-02**	**2E-01**

ND = No data available

TABLE K-30

FPLFSC	15-Dec-93

FISH INGESTION - PLOW SHOP POND - FILLETS (BULLHEAD, BASS)
CHILD EXPOSURE - AVERAGE AND MAXIMUM CONCENTRATIONS
LANDFILL-RELATED COPCs
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

EXPOSURE PARAMETERS

PARAMETER	SYMBOL	VALUE	UNITS	SOURCE
CONCENTRATION IN FISH	CF		mg/kg	SITE-SPECIFIC
FISH INGESTION RATE	IR	16.5	g/day	USEPA, 1989b
RELATIVE ABSORPTION FACTOR	RAF	1		ASSUMPTION
FRACTION INGESTED FROM SOURCE	FI	0.5		ASSUMPTION
BODY WEIGHT	BW	16	kg	USEPA, 1989b
CONVERSION FACTOR	C	0.001	kg/g	
EXPOSURE FREQUENCY	EF	350	days/year	USEPA, 1991b
EXPOSURE DURATION	ED	5	years	ASSUMPTION
AVERAGING TIME				
CANCER	AT	70	years	USEPA, 1989f
NONCANCER	AT	5	years	USEPA, 1989f

USEPA, 1991b. "STANDARD DEFAULT EXPOSURE FACTORS".
USEPA, 1989b. "EXPOSURE FACTORS HANDBOOK".
USEPA, 1989f. "RISK ASSESSMENT GUIDANCE FOR SUPERFUND".

EQUATIONS

CANCER RISK = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) x CANCER SLOPE FACTOR (mg/kg-day)^-1

HAZARD QUOTIENT = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) / REFERENCE DOSE (mg/kg-day)

$$\text{INTAKE} = \frac{\text{CF x IR x RAF x FI x C x EF x ED}}{\text{BW x AT x 365 days/yr}}$$

Note:
For noncarcinogenic effects: AT = ED

TABLE K-30, continued

FPLFSC	15-Dec-93

FISH INGESTION - PLOW SHOP POND - FILLETS (BULLHEAD, BASS)
CHILD EXPOSURE - AVERAGE AND MAXIMUM CONCENTRATIONS
LANDFILL-RELATED COPCs
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

CARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	AVERAGE CONCENTRATION IN FISH (mg/kg)	MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION IN FISH (mg/kg)	INTAKE AVG. CONC. (mg/kg-day)	INTAKE MAX. CONC. (mg/kg-day)	CANCER SLOPE FACTOR (mg/kg-day)^-1	TOTAL CANCER RISK AVG. CONC.	TOTAL CANCER RISK MAX. CONC.
Arsenic	0.05	0.15	1.8E-06	5.3E-06	1.75	3.1E-06	9.3E-06
SUMMARY CANCER RISK						3E-06	9E-06

TABLE K-30, continued

FPLFSC	15-Dec-93

FISH INGESTION - PLOW SHOP POND - FILLETS (BULLHEAD, BASS)
CHILD EXPOSURE - AVERAGE AND MAXIMUM CONCENTRATIONS
LANDFILL-RELATED COPCs
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

NONCARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	AVERAGE CONCENTRATION IN FISH (mg/kg)	MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION IN FISH (mg/kg)	INTAKE AVG. CONC. (mg/kg-day)	INTAKE MAX. CONC. (mg/kg-day)	REFERENCE DOSE (mg/kg-day)	TOTAL HAZARD QUOTIENT AVG. CONC.	TOTAL HAZARD QUOTIENT MAX. CONC.
Arsenic	0.05	0.15	2.5E-05	7.4E-05	0.0003	8.2E-02	2.5E-01
Manganese	0.16	0.3	7.9E-05	1.5E-04	0.14	5.7E-04	1.1E-03
Barium	ND	ND					
Nickel	ND	ND					
Iron	8.19	27	4.0E-03	1.3E-02	ND		
					SUMMARY HAZARD INDEX	8E-02	2E-01

ND = No data available

TABLE K-31

FPLFTA	15-Dec-93

FISH INGESTION - PLOW SHOP POND - FILLETS (BULLHEAD, BASS)
ADULT EXPOSURE - AVERAGE AND MAXIMUM CONCENTRATIONS
TOTAL RISK - ALL COPCs
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

EXPOSURE PARAMETERS

PARAMETER	SYMBOL	VALUE	UNITS	SOURCE
CONCENTRATION IN FISH	CF		mg/kg	SITE-SPECIFIC
FISH INGESTION RATE	IR	54	g/day	USEPA, 1991b
RELATIVE ABSORPTION FACTOR	RAF	1		ASSUMPTION
FRACTION INGESTED FROM SOURCE	FI	0.5		ASSUMPTION
BODY WEIGHT	BW	70	kg	USEPA, 1991b
CONVERSION FACTOR	C	0.001	kg/g	
EXPOSURE FREQUENCY	EF	350	days/year	USEPA, 1991b
EXPOSURE DURATION	ED	30	years	USEPA, 1991b
AVERAGING TIME				
CANCER	AT	70	years	USEPA, 1989f
NONCANCER	AT	30	years	USEPA, 1989f

USEPA, 1991b. "STANDARD DEFAULT EXPOSURE FACTORS".
USEPA, 1989f. "RISK ASSESSMENT GUIDANCE FOR SUPERFUND".

EQUATIONS

CANCER RISK = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) x CANCER SLOPE FACTOR (mg/kg-day)^-1

HAZARD QUOTIENT = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) / REFERENCE DOSE (mg/kg-day)

$$\text{INTAKE} = \frac{\text{CF x IR x RAF x FI x C x EF x ED}}{\text{BW x AT x 365 days/yr}}$$

Note:
For noncarcinogenic effects: AT = ED

TABLE K-31, continued

FPLFTA	15-Dec-93

FISH INGESTION - PLOW SHOP POND - FILLETS (BULLHEAD, BASS)
ADULT EXPOSURE - AVERAGE AND MAXIMUM CONCENTRATIONS
TOTAL RISK - ALL COPCs
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

CARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	AVERAGE CONCENTRATION IN FISH (mg/kg)	MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION IN FISH (mg/kg)	INTAKE AVG. CONC. (mg/kg-day)	INTAKE MAX. CONC. (mg/kg-day)	CANCER SLOPE FACTOR (mg/kg-day)^-1	TOTAL CANCER RISK AVG. CONC.	TOTAL CANCER RISK MAX. CONC.
Arsenic	0.05	0.15	7.9E-06	2.4E-05	1.75	1.4E-05	4.2E-05
P,P-DDE	0.0097	0.031	1.5E-06	4.9E-06	0.34	5.2E-07	1.7E-06
					SUMMARY CANCER RISK	1E-05	4E-05

TABLE K-31, continued

FPLFTA	15-Dec-93

FISH INGESTION - PLOW SHOP POND - FILLETS (BULLHEAD, BASS)
ADULT EXPOSURE - AVERAGE AND MAXIMUM CONCENTRATIONS
TOTAL RISK - ALL COPCs
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

NONCARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	AVERAGE CONCENTRATION IN FISH (mg/kg)	MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION IN FISH (mg/kg)	INTAKE AVG. CONC. (mg/kg-day)	INTAKE MAX. CONC. (mg/kg-day)	REFERENCE DOSE (mg/kg-day)	TOTAL HAZARD QUOTIENT AVG. CONC.	TOTAL HAZARD QUOTIENT MAX. CONC.
Arsenic	0.05	0.15	1.8E-05	5.5E-05	0.0003	6.2E-02	1.8E-01
P,P-DDE	0.0097	0.031	3.6E-06	1.1E-05	0.0005	7.2E-03	2.3E-02
Manganese	0.16	0.3	5.9E-05	1.1E-04	0.14	4.2E-04	7.9E-04
Zinc	4.48	6.1	1.7E-03	2.3E-03	0.3	5.5E-03	7.5E-03
Calcium	171	627	6.3E-02	2.3E-01	ND		
Chromium III	0.12	0.24	4.4E-05	8.9E-05	1	4.4E-05	8.9E-05
Cobalt	0.056	0.11	2.1E-05	4.1E-05	ND		
Copper	0.17	0.24	6.3E-05	8.9E-05	ND		
Iron	8.19	27	3.0E-03	1.0E-02	ND		
Mercury	1.14	4	4.2E-04	1.5E-03	0.0003	1.4E+00	4.9E+00
Selenium	0.12	0.2	4.4E-05	7.4E-05	0.005	8.9E-03	1.5E-02
Sodium	421	509	1.6E-01	1.9E-01	ND		
Magnesium	279	344	1.0E-01	1.3E-01	ND		
Barium	ND	ND					
Cadmium	ND	ND					
Nickel	ND	ND					
					SUMMARY HAZARD INDEX	1E+00	5E+00

ND = No data available

TABLE K-32

FPLFTC	15-Dec-93

FISH INGESTION - PLOW SHOP POND - FILLETS (BULLHEAD, BASS)
CHILD EXPOSURE - AVERAGE AND MAXIMUM CONCENTRATIONS
TOTAL RISK - ALL COPCs
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

EXPOSURE PARAMETERS

PARAMETER	SYMBOL	VALUE	UNITS	SOURCE
CONCENTRATION IN FISH	CF		mg/kg	SITE-SPECIFIC
FISH INGESTION RATE	IR	16.5	g/day	USEPA, 1989b
RELATIVE ABSORPTION FACTOR	RAF	1		ASSUMPTION
FRACTION INGESTED FROM SOURCE	FI	0.5		ASSUMPTION
BODY WEIGHT	BW	16	kg	USEPA, 1989b
CONVERSION FACTOR	C	0.001	kg/g	
EXPOSURE FREQUENCY	EF	350	days/year	USEPA, 1991b
EXPOSURE DURATION	ED	5	years	ASSUMPTION
AVERAGING TIME				
CANCER	AT	70	years	USEPA, 1989f
NONCANCER	AT	5	years	USEPA, 1989f

USEPA, 1991b. "STANDARD DEFAULT EXPOSURE FACTORS".
USEPA, 1989b. "EXPOSURE FACTORS HANDBOOK".
USEPA, 1989f. "RISK ASSESSMENT GUIDANCE FOR SUPERFUND".

EQUATIONS

CANCER RISK = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) x CANCER SLOPE FACTOR (mg/kg-day)^-1

HAZARD QUOTIENT = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) / REFERENCE DOSE (mg/kg-day)

$$\text{INTAKE} = \frac{\text{CF x IR x RAF x FI x C x EF x ED}}{\text{BW x AT x 365 days/yr}}$$

Note:
For noncarcinogenic effects: AT = ED

TABLE K-32, continued

FPLFTC	15-Dec-93

FISH INGESTION - PLOW SHOP POND - FILLETS (BULLHEAD, BASS)
CHILD EXPOSURE - AVERAGE AND MAXIMUM CONCENTRATIONS
TOTAL RISK - ALL COPCs
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

CARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	AVERAGE CONCENTRATION IN FISH (mg/kg)	MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION IN FISH (mg/kg)	INTAKE AVG. CONC. (mg/kg-day)	INTAKE MAX. CONC. (mg/kg-day)	CANCER SLOPE FACTOR (mg/kg-day)^-1	TOTAL CANCER RISK AVG. CONC.	TOTAL CANCER RISK MAX. CONC.
Arsenic	0.05	0.15	1.8E-06	5.3E-06	1.75	3.1E-06	9.3E-06
P,P-DDE	0.0097	0.031	3.4E-07	1.1E-06	0.34	1.2E-07	3.7E-07
				SUMMARY CANCER RISK		3E-06	1E-05

TABLE K-32, continued

FPLFTC	15-Dec-93

FISH INGESTION - PLOW SHOP POND - FILLETS (BULLHEAD, BASS)
CHILD EXPOSURE - AVERAGE AND MAXIMUM CONCENTRATIONS
TOTAL RISK - ALL COPCs
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

NONCARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	AVERAGE CONCENTRATION IN FISH (mg/kg)	MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION IN FISH (mg/kg)	INTAKE AVG. CONC. (mg/kg-day)	INTAKE MAX. CONC. (mg/kg-day)	REFERENCE DOSE (mg/kg-day)	TOTAL HAZARD QUOTIENT AVG. CONC.	TOTAL HAZARD QUOTIENT MAX. CONC.
Arsenic	0.05	0.15	2.5E-05	7.4E-05	0.0003	8.2E-02	2.5E-01
P,P-DDE	0.0097	0.031	4.8E-06	1.5E-05	0.0005	9.6E-03	3.1E-02
Manganese	0.16	0.3	7.9E-05	1.5E-04	0.14	5.7E-04	1.1E-03
Zinc	4.48	6.1	2.2E-03	3.0E-03	0.3	7.4E-03	1.0E-02
Calcium	171	627	8.5E-02	3.1E-01	ND		
Chromium III	0.12	0.24	5.9E-05	1.2E-04	1	5.9E-05	1.2E-04
Cobalt	0.056	0.11	2.8E-05	5.4E-05	ND		
Copper	0.17	0.24	8.4E-05	1.2E-04	ND		
Iron	8.19	27	4.0E-03	1.3E-02	ND		
Mercury	1.14	4	5.6E-04	2.0E-03	0.0003	1.9E+00	6.6E+00
Selenium	0.12	0.2	5.9E-05	9.9E-05	0.005	1.2E-02	2.0E-02
Sodium	421	509	2.1E-01	2.5E-01	ND		
Magnesium	279	344	1.4E-01	1.7E-01	ND		
Barium	ND	ND					
Cadmium	ND	ND					
Nickel	ND	ND					
					SUMMARY HAZARD INDEX	2E+00	7E+00

ND = No data available

SDPLSCA	15-Dec-93

TABLE K-33
SEDIMENT INGESTION AND CONTACT – ADOLESCENT, AGES 6 TO 16
PLOW SHOP POND – AVERAGE EXPOSURE – CURRENT LAND USE
LANDFILL-RELATED COPCs
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

EXPOSURE PARAMETERS

PARAMETER	SYMBOL	VALUE	UNITS	SOURCE
CONCENTRATION SEDIMENT	CS	chemical specific	mg/kg	
INGESTION RATE	IR	100	mg/day	USEPA, 1991b
FRACTION INGESTED	FI	100%	unitless	Assumption
ADHERENCE FACTOR	AF	0.5	mg/cm²-event	USEPA, 1992d
AGE-SPECIFIC SURFACE AREA	SA_i	age-specific	cm²	USEPA, 1989b
ABSORPTION FRACTION	ABS_i/ABS_d	default	unitless	USEPA, 1989c
CONVERSION FACTOR	CF	1.00E-06	kg/mg	
BODY WEIGHT	BW	42	kg	USEPA, 1989b
AGE-SPECIFIC BODY WEIGHT	BW_i	age-specific	kg	USEPA, 1989b
EXPOSURE FREQUENCY	EF	26	days/year *	Assumption
EXPOSURE DURATION	ED	10	years	Assumption
AGE-SPECIFIC EXPOSURE DURATION	ED_i	age-specific	years	Appendix V (USEPA, 1992d)
AGE-WEIGHTED SURFACE AREA [1]	$SA_{sed/adj}$	848.6	cm2-year/kg	Appendix V (USEPA, 1992d)
AVERAGING TIME				
CANCER	AT	70	years	USEPA, 1989f
NONCANCER	AT	10	years	USEPA, 1989f

* Units for exposure frequency are in events/year in the calculation of the dermally absorbed dose.

[1] The calculations for normalized surface area ($SA_{sed/adj}$) and dermally absorbed dose per unit area per event (DA_{event}) are described in Appendix V.

USEPA, 1992d. Dermal Exposure Assessment: Principles and Applications.

USEPA, 1991b. "Standard Default Exposure Factors".

USEPA, 1989b. Exposure Factors Handbook.

USEPA, 1989c. Region I Supplemental Risk Assessment Guidance.

USEPA, 1989f. Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund.

EQUATIONS

CANCER RISK = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) x ORAL CSF (mg/kg-day) ^ -1

HAZARD QUOTIENT = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) / ORAL RfD (mg/kg-day)

$$\text{INTAKE-INGESTION} = \frac{CS \times IR \times ABS_i \times FI \times CF \times EF \times ED}{BW \times AT \times 365\ \text{days/yr}}$$

$$\text{INTAKE-DERMAL} = (DA_{event} \times EF / AT \times 365\ \text{days/year}) \times SA_{sed/adj}$$

Where:

$$SA_{sed/adj} = \text{SUM}\ (SA_i \times ED_i / BW_i)$$

$$DA_{event} = CS \times AF \times ABS_d \times CF$$

Note:
For noncarcinogenic effects: AT = ED

SDPLSCA	15-Dec-93

TABLE K-33, continued
SEDIMENT INGESTION AND CONTACT - ADOLESCENT, AGES 6 TO 16
PLOW SHOP POND - AVERAGE EXPOSURE - CURRENT LAND USE
LANDFILL-RELATED COPCs
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA
CARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	SEDIMENT CONCENTRATION (mg/kg)	INGESTION ABS [1]	INTAKE INGESTION (mg/kg-day)	DERMAL ABS [1]	INTAKE DERMAL (mg/kg-day)	ORAL CSF (mg/kg-day)^-1	CANCER RISK INGESTION	DERMAL CSF [2] (mg/kg-day)^-1	CANCER RISK DERMAL	TOTAL CANCER RISK
Arsenic	467	1	1.1E-05	0.01	2.0E-06	1.75E+00	2.0E-05	1.79E+00	3.6E-06	2.3E-05
						SUMMARY CANCER RISK	2E-05		4E-06	2E-05

[1] Set equal to USEPA Region I default absorption factors for soil (USEPA, 1989c).
[2] Calculated from Oral CSFs as described in Section 6.1.2.3.

SDPLSCA	15-Dec-93

TABLE K-33, continued
SEDIMENT INGESTION AND CONTACT - ADOLESCENT, AGES 6 TO 16
PLOW SHOP POND - AVERAGE EXPOSURE - CURRENT LAND USE
LANDFILL-RELATED COPCs
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA
NONCARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	SEDIMENT CONCENTRATION (mg/kg)	INGESTION ABS [1]	INTAKE INGESTION (mg/kg-day)	DERMAL ABS [1]	INTAKE DERMAL (mg/kg-day)	ORAL RfD (mg/kg-day)	HAZARD QUOTIENT INGESTION	DERMAL RfD [2] (mg/kg-day)	HAZARD QUOTIENT DERMAL	TOTAL HAZARD QUOTIENT
Arsenic	467	1	7.9E-05	0.01	1.4E-05	0.0003	2.6E-01	0.000294	4.8E-02	3.1E-01
Barium	108	1	1.8E-05	0.01	3.3E-06	0.07	2.6E-04	0.0049	6.7E-04	9.3E-04
Manganese	2639	1	4.5E-04	0.01	8.0E-05	0.14	3.2E-03	0.0056	1.4E-02	1.7E-02
Nickel	23	1	3.9E-06	0.01	7.0E-07	0.02	2.0E-04	0.001	7.0E-04	8.9E-04
Iron	36314	1	6.2E-03	0.01	1.1E-03	ND		ND		
						SUMMARY HAZARD INDEX	**3E-01**		**6E-02**	**3E-01**

[1] Set equal to USEPA Region I default absorption factors for soil (USEPA, 1989c).
[2] Calculated from Oral RfDs as described in Section 6.1.2.3.
ND = No data available

SDPLSCM	15-Dec-93

TABLE K-34
SEDIMENT INGESTION AND CONTACT – ADOLESCENT, AGES 6 TO 16
FLOW SHOP POND – MAXIMUM EXPOSURE – CURRENT LAND USE
LANDFILL-RELATED COPCs
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

EXPOSURE PARAMETERS

PARAMETER	SYMBOL	VALUE	UNITS	SOURCE
CONCENTRATION SEDIMENT	CS	chemical specific	mg/kg	
INGESTION RATE	IR	100	mg/day	USEPA, 1991b
FRACTION INGESTED	FI	100%	unitless	Assumption
ADHERENCE FACTOR	AF	0.5	mg/cm²-event	USEPA, 1992d
AGE-SPECIFIC SURFACE AREA	SA_i	age-specific	cm²	USEPA, 1989b
ABSORPTION FRACTION	ABS_i/ABS_d	default	unitless	USEPA, 1989c
CONVERSION FACTOR	CF	1.00E-06	kg/mg	
BODY WEIGHT	BW	42	kg	USEPA, 1989b
AGE-SPECIFIC BODY WEIGHT	BW_i	age-specific	kg	USEPA, 1989b
EXPOSURE FREQUENCY	EF	26	days/year *	Assumption
EXPOSURE DURATION	ED	10	years	Assumption
AGE-SPECIFIC EXPOSURE DURATION	ED_i	age-specific	years	Appendix V (USEPA, 1992d)
AGE-WEIGHTED SURFACE AREA [1]	$SA_{sed/adj}$	848.6	cm2-year/kg	Appendix V (USEPA, 1992d)
AVERAGING TIME				
CANCER	AT	70	years	USEPA, 1989f
NONCANCER	AT	10	years	USEPA, 1989f

* Units for exposure frequency are in events/year in the calculation of the dermally absorbed dose.

[1] The calculations for normalized surface area ($SA_{sed/adj}$) and dermally absorbed dose per unit area per event (DA_{event}) are described in Appendix V.

USEPA, 1992d. Dermal Exposure Assessment: Principles and Applications.

USEPA, 1991b. "Standard Default Exposure Factors".

USEPA, 1989b. Exposure Factors Handbook.

USEPA, 1989c. Region I Supplemental Risk Assessment Guidance.

USEPA, 1989f. Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund.

EQUATIONS

CANCER RISK = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) x ORAL CSF (mg/kg-day)^-1

HAZARD QUOTIENT = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) / ORAL RfD (mg/kg-day)

$$\text{INTAKE-INGESTION} = \frac{CS \times IR \times ABS_i \times FI \times CF \times EF \times ED}{BW \times AT \times 365\ \text{days/yr}}$$

$$\text{INTAKE-DERMAL} = (DA_{event} \times EF / AT \times 365\ \text{days/year}) \times SA_{sed/adj}$$

Where:

$$SA_{sed/adj} = \text{SUM}\ (SA_i \times ED_i / BW_i)$$

$$DA_{event} = CS \times AF \times ABS_d \times CF$$

Note:
For noncarcinogenic effects: AT = ED

SDPLSCM	15-Dec-93

TABLE K-34, continued
SEDIMENT INGESTION AND CONTACT - ADOLESCENT, AGES 6 TO 16
PLOW SHOP POND - MAXIMUM EXPOSURE - CURRENT LAND USE
LANDFILL-RELATED COPCs
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA
CARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	SEDIMENT CONCENTRATION (mg/kg)	INGESTION ABS [1]	INTAKE INGESTION (mg/kg-day)	DERMAL ABS [1]	INTAKE DERMAL (mg/kg-day)	ORAL CSF (mg/kg-day)^-1	CANCER RISK INGESTION	DERMAL CSF [2] (mg/kg-day)^-1	CANCER RISK DERMAL	TOTAL CANCER RISK
Arsenic	3200	1	7.8E-05	0.01	1.4E-05	1.75E+00	1.4E-04	1.79E+00	2.5E-05	1.6E-04
						SUMMARY CANCER RISK	1E-04		2E-05	2E-04

[1] Set equal to USEPA Region I default absorption factors for soil (USEPA, 1989e).
[2] Calculated from Oral CSFs as described in Section 6.1.2.3.

SDPLSCM	15-Dec-93

TABLE K-34, continued
SEDIMENT INGESTION AND CONTACT -- ADOLESCENT, AGES 6 TO 16
PLOW SHOP POND -- MAXIMUM EXPOSURE -- CURRENT LAND USE
LANDFILL-RELATED COPCs
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA
NONCARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	SEDIMENT CONCENTRATION (mg/kg)	INGESTION ABS [1]	INTAKE INGESTION (mg/kg-day)	DERMAL ABS [1]	INTAKE DERMAL (mg/kg-day)	ORAL RfD (mg/kg-day)	HAZARD QUOTIENT INGESTION	DERMAL RfD [2] (mg/kg-day)	HAZARD QUOTIENT DERMAL	TOTAL HAZARD QUOTIENT
Arsenic	3200	1	5.4E-04	0.01	9.7E-05	0.0003	1.8E+00	0.000294	3.3E-01	2.1E+00
Barium	344	1	5.8E-05	0.01	1.0E-05	0.07	8.3E-04	0.0049	2.1E-03	3.0E-03
Manganese	54800	1	9.3E-03	0.01	1.7E-03	0.14	6.6E-02	0.0056	3.0E-01	3.6E-01
Nickel	79.3	1	1.3E-05	0.01	2.4E-06	0.02	6.7E-04	0.001	2.4E-03	3.1E-03
Iron	330000	1	5.6E-02	0.01	1.0E-02	ND		ND		
						SUMMARY HAZARD INDEX	**2E+00**		**6E-01**	**3E+00**

[1] Set equal to USEPA Region I default absorption factors for soil (USEPA, 1989c).
[2] Calculated from Oral RfDs as described in Section 6.1.2.3.
ND = No data available

SDPLSFA	15-Dec-93

TABLE K-35
SEDIMENT INGESTION AND CONTACT - ADOLESCENT, AGES 6 TO 16
FLOW SHOP POND - AVERAGE EXPOSURE - FUTURE LAND USE
LANDFILL-RELATED COPCs
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

EXPOSURE PARAMETERS

PARAMETER	SYMBOL	VALUE	UNITS	SOURCE
CONCENTRATION SEDIMENT	CS	chemical specific	mg/kg	
INGESTION RATE	IR	100	mg/day	USEPA, 1991b
FRACTION INGESTED	FI	100%	unitless	Assumption
ADHERENCE FACTOR	AF	0.5	mg/cm²-event	USEPA, 1992d
AGE-SPECIFIC SURFACE AREA	SA_i	age-specific	cm²	USEPA, 1989b
ABSORPTION FRACTION	ABS_i/ABS_d	default	unitless	USEPA, 1989c
CONVERSION FACTOR	CF	1.00E-06	kg/mg	
BODY WEIGHT	BW	42	kg	USEPA, 1989b
AGE-SPECIFIC BODY WEIGHT	BW_i	age-specific	kg	USEPA, 1989b
EXPOSURE FREQUENCY	EF	100	days/year *	Assumption
EXPOSURE DURATION	ED	10	years	Assumption
AGE-SPECIFIC EXPOSURE DURATION	ED_i	age-specific	years	Appendix V (USEPA, 1992d)
AGE-WEIGHTED SURFACE AREA [1]	$SA_{sed/adj}$	848.6	cm2-year/kg	Appendix V (USEPA, 1992d)
AVERAGING TIME				
CANCER	AT	70	years	USEPA, 1989f
NONCANCER	AT	10	years	USEPA, 1989f

* Units for exposure frequency are in events/year in the calculation of the dermally absorbed dose.

[1] The calculations for normalized surface area ($SA_{sed/adj}$) and dermally absorbed dose per unit area per event (DA_{event}) are described in Appendix V.

USEPA, 1992d. Dermal Exposure Assessment: Principles and Applications.

USEPA, 1991b. "Standard Default Exposure Factors".

USEPA, 1989b. Exposure Factors Handbook.

USEPA, 1989c. Region I Supplemental Risk Assessment Guidance.

USEPA, 1989f. Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund.

EQUATIONS

CANCER RISK = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) x ORAL CSF (mg/kg-day) ^ -1

HAZARD QUOTIENT = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) / ORAL RfD (mg/kg-day)

$$\text{INTAKE-INGESTION} = \frac{CS \times IR \times ABS_i \times FI \times CF \times EF \times ED}{BW \times AT \times 365\ \text{days/yr}}$$

$$\text{INTAKE-DERMAL} = (DA_{event} \times EF / AT \times 365\ \text{days/year}) \times SA_{sed/adj}$$

Where:

$$SA_{sed/adj} = \text{SUM}\ (SA_i \times ED_i / BW_i)$$

$$DA_{event} = CS \times AF \times ABS_d \times CF$$

Note:
For noncarcinogenic effects: AT = ED

SDPLSFA	15-Dec-93

TABLE K-35, continued
SEDIMENT INGESTION AND CONTACT - ADOLESCENT, AGES 6 TO 16
PLOW SHOP POND - AVERAGE EXPOSURE - FUTURE LAND USE
LANDFILL-RELATED COPCs
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA
CARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	SEDIMENT CONCENTRATION (mg/kg)	INGESTION ABS [1]	INTAKE INGESTION (mg/kg-day)	DERMAL ABS [1]	INTAKE DERMAL (mg/kg-day)	ORAL CSF (mg/kg-day)^-1	CANCER RISK INGESTION	DERMAL CSF [2] (mg/kg-day)^-1	CANCER RISK DERMAL	TOTAL CANCER RISK
Arsenic	467	1	4.4E-05	0.01	7.8E-06	1.75E+00	7.6E-05	1.79E+00	1.4E-05	9.0E-05
						SUMMARY CANCER RISK	**8E-05**		**1E-05**	**9E-05**

[1] Set equal to USEPA Region I default absorption factors for soil (USEPA, 1989c).
[2] Calculated from Oral CSFs as described in Section 6.1.2.3.

SDPLSFA	15-Dec-93

TABLE K-35, continued
SEDIMENT INGESTION AND CONTACT – ADOLESCENT, AGES 6 TO 16
PLOW SHOP POND – AVERAGE EXPOSURE – FUTURE LAND USE
LANDFILL-RELATED COPCs
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA
NONCARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	SEDIMENT CONCENTRATION (mg/kg)	INGESTION ABS [1]	INTAKE INGESTION (mg/kg-day)	DERMAL ABS [1]	INTAKE DERMAL (mg/kg-day)	ORAL RfD (mg/kg-day)	HAZARD QUOTIENT INGESTION	DERMAL RfD [2] (mg/kg-day)	HAZARD QUOTIENT DERMAL	TOTAL HAZARD QUOTIENT
Arsenic	467	1	3.0E-04	0.01	5.4E-05	0.0003	1.0E+00	0.000294	1.8E-01	1.2E+00
Barium	108	1	7.0E-05	0.01	1.3E-05	0.07	1.0E-03	0.0049	2.6E-03	3.6E-03
Manganese	2639	1	1.7E-03	0.01	3.1E-04	0.14	1.2E-02	0.0056	5.5E-02	6.7E-02
Nickel	23	1	1.5E-05	0.01	2.7E-06	0.02	7.5E-04	0.001	2.7E-03	3.4E-03
Iron	36314	1	2.4E-02	0.01	4.2E-03	ND		ND		
						SUMMARY HAZARD INDEX	**1E+00**		**2E-01**	**1E+00**

[1] Set equal to USEPA Region I default absorption factors for soil (USEPA, 1989c).
[2] Calculated from Oral RfDs as described in Section 6.1.2.3.
ND = No data available

SDPLSFM	15-Dec-93

TABLE K-36
SEDIMENT INGESTION AND CONTACT -- ADOLESCENT, AGES 6 TO 16
PLOW SHOP POND -- MAXIMUM EXPOSURE -- FUTURE LAND USE
LANDFILL-RELATED COPCs
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

EXPOSURE PARAMETERS

PARAMETER	SYMBOL	VALUE	UNITS	SOURCE
CONCENTRATION SEDIMENT	CS	chemical specific	mg/kg	
INGESTION RATE	IR	100	mg/day	USEPA, 1991b
FRACTION INGESTED	FI	100%	unitless	Assumption
ADHERENCE FACTOR	AF	0.5	mg/cm²-event	USEPA, 1992d
AGE-SPECIFIC SURFACE AREA	SA_i	age-specific	cm²	USEPA, 1989b
ABSORPTION FRACTION	ABS_i/ABS_d	default	unitless	USEPA, 1989c
CONVERSION FACTOR	CF	1.00E-06	kg/mg	
BODY WEIGHT	BW	42	kg	USEPA, 1989b
AGE-SPECIFIC BODY WEIGHT	BW_i	age-specific	kg	USEPA, 1989b
EXPOSURE FREQUENCY	EF	100	days/year *	Assumption
EXPOSURE DURATION	ED	10	years	Assumption
AGE-SPECIFIC EXPOSURE DURATION	ED_i	age-specific	years	Appendix V (USEPA, 1992d)
AGE-WEIGHTED SURFACE AREA [1]	$SA_{sed/adj}$	848.6	cm2-year/kg	Appendix V (USEPA, 1992d)
AVERAGING TIME				
CANCER	AT	70	years	USEPA, 1989f
NONCANCER	AT	10	years	USEPA, 1989f

* Units for exposure frequency are in events/year in the calculation of the dermally absorbed dose.

[1] The calculations for normalized surface area ($SA_{sed/adj}$) and dermally absorbed dose per unit area per event (DA_{event}) are described in Appendix V.

USEPA, 1992d. Dermal Exposure Assessment: Principles and Applications.

USEPA, 1991b. "Standard Default Exposure Factors".

USEPA, 1989b. Exposure Factors Handbook.

USEPA, 1989c. Region I Supplemental Risk Assessment Guidance.

USEPA, 1989f. Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund.

EQUATIONS

CANCER RISK = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) x ORAL CSF (mg/kg-day)^-1

HAZARD QUOTIENT = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) / ORAL RfD (mg/kg-day)

$$\text{INTAKE-INGESTION} = \frac{CS \times IR \times ABS_i \times FI \times CF \times EF \times ED}{BW \times AT \times 365\ \text{days/yr}}$$

$$\text{INTAKE-DERMAL} = (DA_{event} \times EF / AT \times 365\ \text{days/year}) \times SA_{sed/adj}$$

Where:

$$SA_{sed/adj} = SUM\ (SA_i \times ED_i / BW_i)$$

$$DA_{event} = CS \times AF \times ABS_d \times CF$$

Note:
For noncarcinogenic effects: AT = ED

SDPLSFM	15-Dec-93

TABLE K-36, continued
SEDIMENT INGESTION AND CONTACT - ADOLESCENT, AGES 6 TO 16
PLOW SHOP POND - MAXIMUM EXPOSURE - FUTURE LAND USE
LANDFILL-RELATED COPCs
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA
CARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	SEDIMENT CONCENTRATION (mg/kg)	INGESTION ABS [1]	INTAKE INGESTION (mg/kg-day)	DERMAL ABS [1]	INTAKE DERMAL (mg/kg-day)	ORAL CSF (mg/kg-day)^-1	CANCER RISK INGESTION	DERMAL CSF [2] (mg/kg-day)^-1	CANCER RISK DERMAL	TOTAL CANCER RISK
Arsenic	3200	1	3.0E-04	0.01	5.3E-05	1.75E+00	5.2E-04	1.79E+00	9.5E-05	6.2E-04
						SUMMARY CANCER RISK	5E-04		1E-04	6E-04

[1] Set equal to USEPA Region I default absorption factors for soil (USEPA, 1989c).
[2] Calculated from Oral CSFs as described in Section 6.1.2.3.

SDPLSFM	15-Dec-93

TABLE K-36, continued
SEDIMENT INGESTION AND CONTACT – ADOLESCENT, AGES 6 TO 16
PLOW SHOP POND – MAXIMUM EXPOSURE – FUTURE LAND USE
LANDFILL-RELATED COPCs
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA
NONCARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	SEDIMENT CONCENTRATION (mg/kg)	INGESTION ABS [1]	INTAKE INGESTION (mg/kg-day)	DERMAL ABS [1]	INTAKE DERMAL (mg/kg-day)	ORAL RfD (mg/kg-day)	HAZARD QUOTIENT INGESTION	DERMAL RfD [2] (mg/kg-day)	HAZARD QUOTIENT DERMAL	TOTAL HAZARD QUOTIENT
Arsenic	3200	1	2.1E-03	0.01	3.7E-04	0.0003	7.0E+00	0.000294	1.3E+00	8.2E+00
Barium	344	1	2.2E-04	0.01	4.0E-05	0.07	3.2E-03	0.0049	8.2E-03	1.1E-02
Manganese	54800	1	3.6E-02	0.01	6.4E-03	0.14	2.6E-01	0.0056	1.1E+00	1.4E+00
Nickel	79.3	1	5.2E-05	0.01	9.2E-06	0.02	2.6E-03	0.001	9.2E-03	1.2E-02
Iron	330000	1	2.2E-01	0.01	3.8E-02	ND		ND		
						SUMMARY HAZARD INDEX	**7E+00**		**2E+00**	**1E+01**

[1] Set equal to USEPA Region I default absorption factors for soil (USEPA, 1989e).
[2] Calculated from Oral RfDs as described in Section 6.1.2.3.
ND = No data available

SDPLTRCA	15-Dec-93

TABLE K-37
SEDIMENT INGESTION AND CONTACT - ADOLESCENT, AGES 6 TO 16
PLOW SHOP POND - AVERAGE EXPOSURE - CURRENT LAND USE
TOTAL RISK; ALL COPCS
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

EXPOSURE PARAMETERS

PARAMETER	SYMBOL	VALUE	UNITS	SOURCE
CONCENTRATION SEDIMENT	CS	chemical specific	mg/kg	
INGESTION RATE	IR	100	mg/day	USEPA, 1991b
FRACTION INGESTED	FI	100%	unitless	Assumption
ADHERENCE FACTOR	AF	0.5	mg/cm²-event	USEPA, 1992d
AGE-SPECIFIC SURFACE AREA	SA_i	age-specific	cm^2	USEPA, 1989b
ABSORPTION FRACTION	ABS_i/ABS_d	default	unitless	USEPA, 1989c
CONVERSION FACTOR	CF	1.00E-06	kg/mg	
BODY WEIGHT	BW	42	kg	USEPA, 1989b
AGE-SPECIFIC BODY WEIGHT	BW_i	age-specific	kg	USEPA, 1989b
EXPOSURE FREQUENCY	EF	26	days/year *	Assumption
EXPOSURE DURATION	ED	10	years	Assumption
AGE-SPECIFIC EXPOSURE DURATION	ED_i	age-specific	years	Appendix V (USEPA, 1992d)
AGE-WEIGHTED SURFACE AREA [1]	$SA_{sed/adj}$	848.6	cm2-year/kg	Appendix V (USEPA, 1992d)
AVERAGING TIME				
CANCER	AT	70	years	USEPA, 1989f
NONCANCER	AT	10	years	USEPA, 1989f

* Units for exposure frequency are in events/year in the calculation of the dermally absorbed dose.

[1] The calculations for normalized surface area ($SA_{sed/adj}$) and dermally absorbed dose per unit area per event (DA_{event}) are described in Appendix V.

USEPA, 1992d. Dermal Exposure Assessment: Principles and Applications.

USEPA, 1991b. "Standard Default Exposure Factors".

USEPA, 1989b. Exposure Factors Handbook.

USEPA, 1989c. Region I Supplemental Risk Assessment Guidance.

USEPA, 1989f. Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund.

EQUATIONS

CANCER RISK = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) x ORAL CSF (mg/kg-day)^-1

HAZARD QUOTIENT = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) / ORAL RfD (mg/kg-day)

$$\text{INTAKE-INGESTION} = \frac{CS \times IR \times ABS_i \times FI \times CF \times EF \times ED}{BW \times AT \times 365\ days/yr}$$

$$\text{INTAKE-DERMAL} = (DA_{event} \times EF / AT \times 365\ days/year) \times SA_{sed/adj}$$

Where:

$$SA_{sed/adj} = SUM\ (SA_i \times ED_i / BW_i)$$

$$DA_{event} = CS \times AF \times ABS_d \times CF$$

Note:
For noncarcinogenic effects: AT = ED

SDPLTRCA	15-Dec-93

TABLE K-37, continued
SEDIMENT INGESTION AND CONTACT - ADOLESCENT, AGES 6 TO 16
PLOW SHOP POND - AVERAGE EXPOSURE - CURRENT LAND USE
TOTAL RISK; ALL COPCS
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA
CARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	SEDIMENT CONCENTRATION (mg/kg)	INGESTION ABS [1]	INTAKE INGESTION (mg/kg-day)	DERMAL ABS [1]	INTAKE DERMAL (mg/kg-day)	ORAL CSF (mg/kg-day) ^-1	CANCER RISK INGESTION	DERMAL CSF [2] (mg/kg-day) ^-1	CANCER RISK DERMAL	TOTAL CANCER RISK
Arsenic	467	1	1.1E-05	0.01	2.0E-06	1.75E+00	2.0E-05	1.79E+00	3.6E-06	2.3E-05
Beryllium	0.53	1	1.3E-08	0.01	2.3E-09	4.30E+00	5.5E-08	4.30E+02	9.8E-07	1.0E-06
Benzo(a)anthracene	0.22	1	5.3E-09	0.05	4.7E-09	7.30E+00	3.9E-08	8.02E+00	3.8E-08	7.7E-08
Chrysene	0.32	1	7.8E-09	0.05	6.9E-09	7.30E+00	5.7E-08	8.02E+00	5.5E-08	1.1E-07
P,P-DDE	0.05	0.3	3.6E-10	0.05	1.1E-09	3.40E-01	1.2E-10	1.70E+00	1.8E-09	2.0E-09
P,P-DDD	0.07	0.3	5.1E-10	0.05	1.5E-09	2.40E-01	1.2E-10	1.20E+00	1.8E-09	1.9E-09
P,P-DDT	0.03	0.3	2.2E-10	0.05	6.5E-10	3.40E-01	7.4E-11	1.70E+00	1.1E-09	1.2E-09
						SUMMARY CANCER RISK	**2E-05**		**5E-06**	**2E-05**

[1] Set equal to USEPA Region I default absorption factors for soil (USEPA, 1989c).
[2] Calculated from Oral CSFs as described in Section 6.1.2.3.

SDPLTRCA	15-Dec-93

TABLE K-37, continued
SEDIMENT INGESTION AND CONTACT – ADOLESCENT, AGES 6 TO 16
PLOW SHOP POND – AVERAGE EXPOSURE – CURRENT LAND USE
TOTAL RISK; ALL COPCS
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA
NONCARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	SEDIMENT CONCENTRATION (mg/kg)	INGESTION ABS [1]	INTAKE INGESTION (mg/kg-day)	DERMAL ABS [1]	INTAKE DERMAL (mg/kg-day)	ORAL RfD (mg/kg-day)	HAZARD QUOTIENT INGESTION	DERMAL RfD [2] (mg/kg-day)	HAZARD QUOTIENT DERMAL	TOTAL HAZARD QUOTIENT
Arsenic	467	1	7.9E-05	0.01	1.4E-05	0.0003	2.6E-01	0.000294	4.8E-02	3.1E-01
Barium	108	1	1.8E-05	0.01	3.3E-06	0.07	2.6E-04	0.0049	6.7E-04	9.3E-04
Manganese	2639	1	4.5E-04	0.01	8.0E-05	0.14	3.2E-03	0.0056	1.4E-02	1.7E-02
Nickel	23	1	3.9E-06	0.01	7.0E-07	0.02	2.0E-04	0.001	7.0E-04	8.9E-04
Iron	36314	1	6.2E-03	0.01	1.1E-03	ND		ND		
Beryllium	0.53	1	9.0E-08	0.01	1.6E-08	0.005	1.8E-05	0.00005	3.2E-04	3.4E-04
Benzo(a)anthracene	0.22	1	3.7E-08	0.05	3.3E-08	0.04	9.3E-07	0.04	8.3E-07	1.8E-06
Chrysene	0.32	1	5.4E-08	0.05	4.8E-08	0.04	1.4E-06	0.04	1.2E-06	2.6E-06
P,P-DDE	0.05	0.3	2.5E-09	0.05	7.6E-09	0.0005	5.1E-06	0.0001	7.6E-05	8.1E-05
P,P-DDD	0.07	0.3	3.6E-09	0.05	1.1E-08	0.0005	7.1E-06	0.0001	1.1E-04	1.1E-04
P,P-DDT	0.03	0.3	1.5E-09	0.05	4.5E-09	0.0005	3.1E-06	0.0001	4.5E-05	4.8E-05
Fluoranthene	0.5	1	8.5E-08	0.05	7.6E-08	0.04	2.1E-06	0.0364	2.1E-06	4.2E-06
Naphthalene	0.32	1	5.4E-08	0.05	4.8E-08	0.04	1.4E-06	0.04	1.2E-06	2.6E-06
Phenanthrene	0.38	1	6.4E-08	0.05	5.7E-08	0.04	1.6E-06	0.04	1.4E-06	3.0E-06
Pyrene	0.97	1	1.6E-07	0.05	1.5E-07	0.03	5.5E-06	0.0273	5.4E-06	1.1E-05
Aluminum	7938	1	1.3E-03	0.01	2.4E-04	ND		ND		
Cadmium	9.8	1	1.7E-06	0.01	3.0E-07	0.001	1.7E-03	0.00001	3.0E-02	3.1E-02
Calcium	8074	1	1.4E-03	0.01	2.4E-04	ND		ND		
Cobalt	5.8	1	9.8E-07	0.01	1.8E-07	ND		ND		
Chromium III	1788.3	1	3.0E-04	0.01	5.4E-05	1	3.0E-04	0.1	5.4E-04	8.4E-04
Chromium VI	198.7	1	3.4E-05	0.01	6.0E-06	0.005	6.7E-03	0.00055	1.1E-02	1.8E-02
Copper	39.7	1	6.7E-06	0.01	1.2E-06	ND		ND		
Lead	125	0.3	6.4E-06	0.01	3.8E-06	ND		ND		
Magnesium	1629	1	2.8E-04	0.01	4.9E-05	ND		ND		
Mercury	18.2	1	3.1E-06	0.01	5.5E-07	0.0003	1.0E-02	0.00006	9.2E-03	1.9E-02
Potassium	435	1	7.4E-05	0.01	1.3E-05	ND		ND		
Selenium	1.95	1	3.3E-07	0.01	5.9E-08	0.005	6.6E-05	0.003	2.0E-05	8.6E-05
Sodium	1113	1	1.9E-04	0.01	3.4E-05	ND		ND		
Vanadium	24.6	1	4.2E-06	0.01	7.4E-07	0.007	6.0E-04	0.00021	3.5E-03	4.1E-03
Zinc	88.6	1	1.5E-05	0.01	2.7E-06	0.3	5.0E-05	0.102	2.6E-05	7.6E-05
						SUMMARY HAZARD INDEX	**3E-01**		**1E-01**	**4E-01**

[1] Set equal to USEPA Region I default absorption factors for soil (USEPA, 1989c).
[2] Calculated from Oral RfDs as described in Section 6.1.2.3.
ND = No data available

SDPLTRCM	15-Dec-93

TABLE K-38
SEDIMENT INGESTION AND CONTACT -- ADOLESCENT, AGES 6 TO 16
PLOW SHOP POND -- MAXIMUM EXPOSURE -- CURRENT LAND USE
TOTAL RISK; ALL COPCS
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

EXPOSURE PARAMETERS

PARAMETER	SYMBOL	VALUE	UNITS	SOURCE
CONCENTRATION SEDIMENT	CS	chemical specific	mg/kg	
INGESTION RATE	IR	100	mg/day	USEPA, 1991b
FRACTION INGESTED	FI	100%	unitless	Assumption
ADHERENCE FACTOR	AF	0.5	mg/cm²-event	USEPA, 1992d
AGE-SPECIFIC SURFACE AREA	SA_i	age-specific	cm²	USEPA, 1989b
ABSORPTION FRACTION	ABS_i/ABS_d	default	unitless	USEPA, 1989c
CONVERSION FACTOR	CF	1.00E-06	kg/mg	
BODY WEIGHT	BW	42	kg	USEPA, 1989b
AGE-SPECIFIC BODY WEIGHT	BW_i	age-specific	kg	USEPA, 1989b
EXPOSURE FREQUENCY	EF	26	days/year *	Assumption
EXPOSURE DURATION	ED	10	years	Assumption
AGE-SPECIFIC EXPOSURE DURATION	ED_i	age-specific	years	Appendix V (USEPA, 1992d)
AGE-WEIGHTED SURFACE AREA [1]	$SA_{sed/adj}$	848.6	cm2-year/kg	Appendix V (USEPA, 1992d)
AVERAGING TIME				
CANCER	AT	70	years	USEPA, 1989f
NONCANCER	AT	10	years	USEPA, 1989f

* Units for exposure frequency are in events/year in the calculation of the dermally absorbed dose.

[1] The calculations for normalized surface area ($SA_{sed/adj}$) and dermally absorbed dose per unit area per event (DA_{event}) are described in Appendix V.

USEPA, 1992d. Dermal Exposure Assessment: Principles and Applications.

USEPA, 1991b. "Standard Default Exposure Factors".

USEPA, 1989b. Exposure Factors Handbook.

USEPA, 1989c. Region I Supplemental Risk Assessment Guidance.

USEPA, 1989f. Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund.

EQUATIONS

CANCER RISK = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) x ORAL CSF (mg/kg-day) ^ -1

HAZARD QUOTIENT = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) / ORAL RfD (mg/kg-day)

$$\text{INTAKE-INGESTION} = \frac{CS \times IR \times ABS_i \times FI \times CF \times EF \times ED}{BW \times AT \times 365\ \text{days/yr}}$$

$$\text{INTAKE-DERMAL} = (DA_{event} \times EF / AT \times 365\ \text{days/year}) \times SA_{sed/adj}$$

Where:

$$SA_{sed/adj} = SUM\ (SA_i \times ED_i / BW_i)$$

$$DA_{event} = CS \times AF \times ABS_d \times CF$$

Note:
For noncarcinogenic effects: AT = ED

SDPLTRCM	15-Dec-93

TABLE K-38, continued
SEDIMENT INGESTION AND CONTACT - ADOLESCENT, AGES 6 TO 16
PLOW SHOP POND - MAXIMUM EXPOSURE - CURRENT LAND USE
TOTAL RISK; ALL COPCS
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA
CARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	SEDIMENT CONCENTRATION (mg/kg)	INGESTION ABS [1]	INTAKE INGESTION (mg/kg-day)	DERMAL ABS [1]	INTAKE DERMAL (mg/kg-day)	ORAL CSF (mg/kg-day)^-1	CANCER RISK INGESTION	DERMAL CSF [2] (mg/kg-day)^-1	CANCER RISK DERMAL	TOTAL CANCER RISK
Arsenic	3200	1	7.8E-05	0.01	1.4E-05	1.75E+00	1.4E-04	1.79E+00	2.5E-05	1.6E-04
Beryllium	2.72	1	6.6E-08	0.01	1.2E-08	4.30E+00	2.8E-07	4.30E+02	5.1E-06	5.3E-06
Benzo(a)anthracene	1.1	1	2.7E-08	0.05	2.4E-08	7.30E+00	1.9E-07	8.02E+00	1.9E-07	3.9E-07
Chrysene	1.5	1	3.6E-08	0.05	3.2E-08	7.30E+00	2.7E-07	8.02E+00	2.6E-07	5.3E-07
P,P-DDE	1.3	0.3	9.4E-09	0.05	2.8E-08	3.40E-01	3.2E-09	1.70E+00	4.8E-08	5.1E-08
P,P-DDD	1.8	0.3	1.3E-08	0.05	3.9E-08	2.40E-01	3.1E-09	1.20E+00	4.7E-08	5.0E-08
P,P-DDT	0.13	0.3	9.4E-10	0.05	2.8E-09	3.40E-01	3.2E-10	1.70E+00	4.8E-09	5.1E-09
						SUMMARY CANCER RISK	**1E-04**		**3E-05**	**2E-04**

[1] Set equal to USEPA Region I default absorption factors for soil (USEPA, 1989c).
[2] Calculated from Oral CSFs as described in Section 6.1.2.3.

SDPLTRCM	15-Dec-93

TABLE K-38, continued
SEDIMENT INGESTION AND CONTACT – ADOLESCENT, AGES 6 TO 16
PLOW SHOP POND – MAXIMUM EXPOSURE – CURRENT LAND USE
TOTAL RISK; ALL COPCS
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA
NONCARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	SEDIMENT CONCENTRATION (mg/kg)	INGESTION ABS [1]	INTAKE INGESTION (mg/kg-day)	DERMAL ABS [1]	INTAKE DERMAL (mg/kg-day)	ORAL RfD (mg/kg-day)	HAZARD QUOTIENT INGESTION	DERMAL RfD [2] (mg/kg-day)	HAZARD QUOTIENT DERMAL	TOTAL HAZARD QUOTIENT
Arsenic	3200	1	5.4E-04	0.01	9.7E-05	0.0003	1.8E+00	0.000294	3.3E-01	2.1E+00
Barium	344	1	5.8E-05	0.01	1.0E-05	0.07	8.3E-04	0.0049	2.1E-03	3.0E-03
Manganese	54800	1	9.3E-03	0.01	1.7E-03	0.14	6.6E-02	0.0056	3.0E-01	3.6E-01
Nickel	79.3	1	1.3E-05	0.01	2.4E-06	0.02	6.7E-04	0.001	2.4E-03	3.1E-03
Iron	330000	1	5.6E-02	0.01	1.0E-02	ND		ND		
Beryllium	2.72	1	4.6E-07	0.01	8.2E-08	0.005	9.2E-05	0.00005	1.6E-03	1.7E-03
Benzo(a)anthracene	1.1	1	1.9E-07	0.05	1.7E-07	0.04	4.7E-06	0.04	4.2E-06	8.8E-06
Chrysene	1.5	1	2.5E-07	0.05	2.3E-07	0.04	6.4E-06	0.04	5.7E-06	1.2E-05
P,P-DDE	1.3	0.3	6.6E-08	0.05	2.0E-07	0.0005	1.3E-04	0.0001	2.0E-03	2.1E-03
P,P-DDD	1.8	0.3	9.2E-08	0.05	2.7E-07	0.0005	1.8E-04	0.0001	2.7E-03	2.9E-03
P,P-DDT	0.13	0.3	6.6E-09	0.05	2.0E-08	0.0005	1.3E-05	0.0001	2.0E-04	2.1E-04
Fluoranthene	3.4	1	5.8E-07	0.05	5.1E-07	0.04	1.4E-05	0.0364	1.4E-05	2.9E-05
Naphthalene	1.6	1	2.7E-07	0.05	2.4E-07	0.04	6.8E-06	0.04	6.0E-06	1.3E-05
Phenanthrene	2.5	1	4.2E-07	0.05	3.8E-07	0.04	1.1E-05	0.04	9.4E-06	2.0E-05
Pyrene	4.35	1	7.4E-07	0.05	6.6E-07	0.03	2.5E-05	0.0273	2.4E-05	4.9E-05
Aluminum	24000	1	4.1E-03	0.01	7.3E-04	ND		ND		
Cadmium	60	1	1.0E-05	0.01	1.8E-06	0.001	1.0E-02	0.00001	1.8E-01	1.9E-01
Calcium	20100	1	3.4E-03	0.01	6.1E-04	ND		ND		
Cobalt	58.7	1	1.0E-05	0.01	1.8E-06	ND		ND		
Chromium III	9000	1	1.5E-03	0.01	2.7E-04	1	1.5E-03	0.1	2.7E-03	4.2E-03
Chromium VI	1000	1	1.7E-04	0.01	3.0E-05	0.005	3.4E-02	0.00055	5.5E-02	8.9E-02
Copper	132	1	2.2E-05	0.01	4.0E-06	ND		ND		
Lead	632	0.3	3.2E-05	0.01	1.9E-05	ND		ND		
Magnesium	6900	1	1.2E-03	0.01	2.1E-04	ND		ND		
Mercury	130	1	2.2E-05	0.01	3.9E-06	0.0003	7.3E-02	0.00006	6.5E-02	1.4E-01
Potassium	2350	1	4.0E-04	0.01	7.1E-05	ND		ND		
Selenium	6.6	1	1.1E-06	0.01	2.0E-07	0.005	2.2E-04	0.003	6.6E-05	2.9E-04
Sodium	2870	1	4.9E-04	0.01	8.7E-05	ND		ND		
Vanadium	166	1	2.8E-05	0.01	5.0E-06	0.007	4.0E-03	0.00021	2.4E-02	2.8E-02
Zinc	403	1	6.8E-05	0.01	1.2E-05	0.3	2.3E-04	0.102	1.2E-04	3.5E-04
						SUMMARY HAZARD INDEX	**2E+00**		**1E+00**	**3E+00**

[1] Set equal to USEPA Region I default absorption factors for soil (USEPA, 1989c).
[2] Calculated from Oral RfDs as described in Section 6.1.2.3.
ND = No data available

SDPLTRFA	15-Dec-93

TABLE K-39
SEDIMENT INGESTION AND CONTACT - ADOLESCENT, AGES 6 TO 16
PLOW SHOP POND - AVERAGE EXPOSURE - FUTURE LAND USE
TOTAL RISK; ALL COPCS
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

EXPOSURE PARAMETERS

PARAMETER	SYMBOL	VALUE	UNITS	SOURCE
CONCENTRATION SEDIMENT	CS	chemical specific	mg/kg	
INGESTION RATE	IR	100	mg/day	USEPA, 1991b
FRACTION INGESTED	FI	100%	unitless	Assumption
ADHERENCE FACTOR	AF	0.5	mg/cm²-event	USEPA, 1992d
AGE-SPECIFIC SURFACE AREA	SA_i	age-specific	cm²	USEPA, 1989b
ABSORPTION FRACTION	ABS_i/ABS_d	default	unitless	USEPA, 1989c
CONVERSION FACTOR	CF	1.00E-06	kg/mg	
BODY WEIGHT	BW	42	kg	USEPA, 1989b
AGE-SPECIFIC BODY WEIGHT	BW_i	age-specific	kg	USEPA, 1989b
EXPOSURE FREQUENCY	EF	100	days/year *	Assumption
EXPOSURE DURATION	ED	10	years	Assumption
AGE-SPECIFIC EXPOSURE DURATION	ED_i	age-specific	years	Appendix V (USEPA, 1992d)
AGE-WEIGHTED SURFACE AREA [1]	$SA_{sed/adj}$	848.6	cm2-year/kg	Appendix V (USEPA, 1992d)
AVERAGING TIME				
CANCER	AT	70	years	USEPA, 1989f
NONCANCER	AT	10	years	USEPA, 1989f

* Units for exposure frequency are in events/year in the calculation of the dermally absorbed dose.

[1] The calculations for normalized surface area ($SA_{sed/adj}$) and dermally absorbed dose per unit area per event (DA_{event}) are described in Appendix V.

USEPA, 1992d. Dermal Exposure Assessment: Principles and Applications.

USEPA, 1991b. "Standard Default Exposure Factors".

USEPA, 1989b. Exposure Factors Handbook.

USEPA, 1989c. Region I Supplemental Risk Assessment Guidance.

USEPA, 1989f. Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund.

EQUATIONS

CANCER RISK = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) x ORAL CSF (mg/kg-day) ^ -1

HAZARD QUOTIENT = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) / ORAL RfD (mg/kg-day)

$$\text{INTAKE-INGESTION} = \frac{CS \times IR \times ABS_i \times FI \times CF \times EF \times ED}{BW \times AT \times 365\ \text{days/yr}}$$

$$\text{INTAKE-DERMAL} = (DA_{event} \times EF / AT \times 365\ \text{days/year}) \times SA_{sed/adj}$$

Where:

$$SA_{sed/adj} = SUM\ (SA_i \times ED_i / BW_i)$$

$$DA_{event} = CS \times AF \times ABS_d \times CF$$

Note:
For noncarcinogenic effects: AT = ED

SDPLTRFA	15-Dec-93

TABLE K-39, continued
SEDIMENT INGESTION AND CONTACT – ADOLESCENT, AGES 6 TO 16
PLOW SHOP POND – AVERAGE EXPOSURE – FUTURE LAND USE
TOTAL RISK; ALL COPCS
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA
CARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	SEDIMENT CONCENTRATION (mg/kg)	INGESTION ABS [1]	INTAKE INGESTION (mg/kg-day)	DERMAL ABS [1]	INTAKE DERMAL (mg/kg-day)	ORAL CSF (mg/kg-day) ^ -1	CANCER RISK INGESTION	DERMAL CSF [2] (mg/kg-day) ^ -1	CANCER RISK DERMAL	TOTAL CANCER RISK
Arsenic	467	1	4.4E-05	0.01	7.8E-06	1.75E+00	7.6E-05	1.79E+00	1.4E-05	9.0E-05
Beryllium	0.53	1	4.9E-08	0.01	8.8E-09	4.30E+00	2.1E-07	4.30E+02	3.8E-06	4.0E-06
Benzo(a)anthracene	0.22	1	2.1E-08	0.05	1.8E-08	7.30E+00	1.5E-07	8.02E+00	1.5E-07	3.0E-07
Chrysene	0.32	1	3.0E-08	0.05	2.7E-08	7.30E+00	2.2E-07	8.02E+00	2.1E-07	4.3E-07
P,P-DDE	0.05	0.3	1.4E-09	0.05	4.2E-09	3.40E-01	4.8E-10	1.70E+00	7.1E-09	7.5E-09
P,P-DDD	0.07	0.3	2.0E-09	0.05	5.8E-09	2.40E-01	4.7E-10	1.20E+00	7.0E-09	7.4E-09
P,P-DDT	0.03	0.3	8.4E-10	0.05	2.5E-09	3.40E-01	2.9E-10	1.70E+00	4.2E-09	4.5E-09
						SUMMARY CANCER RISK	**8E-05**		**2E-05**	**9E-05**

[1] Set equal to USEPA Region I default absorption factors for soil (USEPA, 1989c).
[2] Calculated from Oral CSFs as described in Section 6.1.2.3.

TABLE K–39, continued
SEDIMENT INGESTION AND CONTACT – ADOLESCENT, AGES 6 TO 16
PLOW SHOP POND – AVERAGE EXPOSURE – FUTURE LAND USE
TOTAL RISK; ALL COPCS
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA
NONCARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

SDPLTRFA	15–Dec–93

COMPOUND	SEDIMENT CONCENTRATION (mg/kg)	INGESTION ABS [1]	INTAKE INGESTION (mg/kg–day)	DERMAL ABS [1]	INTAKE DERMAL (mg/kg–day)	ORAL RfD (mg/kg–day)	HAZARD QUOTIENT INGESTION	DERMAL RfD [2] (mg/kg–day)	HAZARD QUOTIENT DERMAL	TOTAL HAZARD QUOTIENT
Arsenic	467	1	3.0E–04	0.01	5.4E–05	0.0003	1.0E+00	0.000294	1.8E–01	1.2E+00
Barium	108	1	7.0E–05	0.01	1.3E–05	0.07	1.0E–03	0.0049	2.6E–03	3.6E–03
Manganese	2639	1	1.7E–03	0.01	3.1E–04	0.14	1.2E–02	0.0056	5.5E–02	6.7E–02
Nickel	23	1	1.5E–05	0.01	2.7E–06	0.02	7.5E–04	0.001	2.7E–03	3.4E–03
Iron	36314	1	2.4E–02	0.01	4.2E–03	ND		ND		
Beryllium	0.53	1	3.5E–07	0.01	6.2E–08	0.005	6.9E–05	0.00005	1.2E–03	1.3E–03
Benzo(a)anthracene	0.22	1	1.4E–07	0.05	1.3E–07	0.04	3.6E–06	0.04	3.2E–06	6.8E–06
Chrysene	0.32	1	2.1E–07	0.05	1.9E–07	0.04	5.2E–06	0.04	4.6E–06	9.9E–06
P,P–DDE	0.05	0.3	9.8E–09	0.05	2.9E–08	0.0005	2.0E–05	0.0001	2.9E–04	3.1E–04
P,P–DDD	0.07	0.3	1.4E–08	0.05	4.1E–08	0.0005	2.7E–05	0.0001	4.1E–04	4.3E–04
P,P–DDT	0.03	0.3	5.9E–09	0.05	1.7E–08	0.0005	1.2E–05	0.0001	1.7E–04	1.9E–04
Fluoranthene	0.5	1	3.3E–07	0.05	2.9E–07	0.04	8.2E–06	0.0364	8.0E–06	1.6E–05
Naphthalene	0.32	1	2.1E–07	0.05	1.9E–07	0.04	5.2E–06	0.04	4.6E–06	9.9E–06
Phenanthrene	0.38	1	2.5E–07	0.05	2.2E–07	0.04	6.2E–06	0.04	5.5E–06	1.2E–05
Pyrene	0.97	1	6.3E–07	0.05	5.6E–07	0.03	2.1E–05	0.0273	2.1E–05	4.2E–05
Aluminum	7938	1	5.2E–03	0.01	9.2E–04	ND		ND		
Cadmium	9.8	1	6.4E–06	0.01	1.1E–06	0.001	6.4E–03	0.00001	1.1E–01	1.2E–01
Calcium	8074	1	5.3E–03	0.01	9.4E–04	ND		ND		
Cobalt	5.8	1	3.8E–06	0.01	6.7E–07	ND		ND		
Chromium III	1788.3	1	1.2E–03	0.01	2.1E–04	1	1.2E–03	0.1	2.1E–03	3.2E–03
Chromium VI	198.7	1	1.3E–04	0.01	2.3E–05	0.005	2.6E–02	0.00055	4.2E–02	6.8E–02
Copper	39.7	1	2.6E–05	0.01	4.6E–06	ND		ND		
Lead	125	0.3	2.4E–05	0.01	1.5E–05	ND		ND		
Magnesium	1629	1	1.1E–03	0.01	1.9E–04	ND		ND		
Mercury	18.2	1	1.2E–05	0.01	2.1E–06	0.0003	4.0E–02	0.00006	3.5E–02	7.5E–02
Potassium	435	1	2.8E–04	0.01	5.1E–05	ND		ND		
Selenium	1.95	1	1.3E–06	0.01	2.3E–07	0.005	2.5E–04	0.003	7.6E–05	3.3E–04
Sodium	1113	1	7.3E–04	0.01	1.3E–04	ND		ND		
Vanadium	24.6	1	1.6E–05	0.01	2.9E–06	0.007	2.3E–03	0.00021	1.4E–02	1.6E–02
Zinc	88.6	1	5.8E–05	0.01	1.0E–05	0.3	1.9E–04	0.102	1.0E–04	2.9E–04
						SUMMARY HAZARD INDEX	1E+00		5E–01	2E+00

[1] Set equal to USEPA Region I default absorption factors for soil (USEPA, 1989c).
[2] Calculated from Oral RfDs as described in Section 6.1.2.3.
ND = No data available

SDPLTRFM	15-Dec-93

TABLE K-40
SEDIMENT INGESTION AND CONTACT -- ADOLESCENT, AGES 6 TO 16
PLOW SHOP POND -- MAXIMUM EXPOSURE -- FUTURE LAND USE
TOTAL RISK; ALL COPCS
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

EXPOSURE PARAMETERS

PARAMETER	SYMBOL	VALUE	UNITS	SOURCE
CONCENTRATION SEDIMENT	CS	chemical specific	mg/kg	
INGESTION RATE	IR	100	mg/day	USEPA, 1991b
FRACTION INGESTED	FI	100%	unitless	Assumption
ADHERENCE FACTOR	AF	0.5	mg/cm²-event	USEPA, 1992d
AGE-SPECIFIC SURFACE AREA	SA_i	age-specific	cm²	USEPA, 1989b
ABSORPTION FRACTION	ABS_i/ABS_d	default	unitless	USEPA, 1989c
CONVERSION FACTOR	CF	1.00E-06	kg/mg	
BODY WEIGHT	BW	42	kg	USEPA, 1989b
AGE-SPECIFIC BODY WEIGHT	BW_i	age-specific	kg	USEPA, 1989b
EXPOSURE FREQUENCY	EF	100	days/year *	Assumption
EXPOSURE DURATION	ED	10	years	Assumption
AGE-SPECIFIC EXPOSURE DURATION	ED_i	age-specific	years	Appendix V (USEPA, 1992d)
AGE-WEIGHTED SURFACE AREA [1]	$SA_{sed/adj}$	848.6	cm2-year/kg	Appendix V (USEPA, 1992d)
AVERAGING TIME				
CANCER	AT	70	years	USEPA, 1989f
NONCANCER	AT	10	years	USEPA, 1989f

* Units for exposure frequency are in events/year in the calculation of the dermally absorbed dose.

[1] The calculations for normalized surface area ($SA_{sed/adj}$) and dermally absorbed dose per unit area per event (DA_{event}) are described in Appendix V.

USEPA, 1992d. Dermal Exposure Assessment: Principles and Applications.

USEPA, 1991b. "Standard Default Exposure Factors".

USEPA, 1989b. Exposure Factors Handbook.

USEPA, 1989c. Region I Supplemental Risk Assessment Guidance.

USEPA, 1989f. Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund.

EQUATIONS

CANCER RISK = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) x ORAL CSF (mg/kg-day) ^ -1

HAZARD QUOTIENT = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) / ORAL RfD (mg/kg-day)

$$\text{INTAKE-INGESTION} = \frac{CS \times IR \times ABS_i \times FI \times CF \times EF \times ED}{BW \times AT \times 365\ \text{days/yr}}$$

$$\text{INTAKE-DERMAL} = (DA_{event} \times EF / AT \times 365\ \text{days/year}) \times SA_{sed/adj}$$

Where:

$$SA_{sed/adj} = \text{SUM}\ (SA_i \times ED_i / BW_i)$$

$$DA_{event} = CS \times AF \times ABS_d \times CF$$

Note:
For noncarcinogenic effects: AT = ED

SDPLTRFM	15-Dec-93

TABLE K-40, continued
SEDIMENT INGESTION AND CONTACT - ADOLESCENT, AGES 6 TO 16
PLOW SHOP POND - MAXIMUM EXPOSURE - FUTURE LAND USE
TOTAL RISK; ALL COPCS
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA
CARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	SEDIMENT CONCENTRATION (mg/kg)	INGESTION ABS [1]	INTAKE INGESTION (mg/kg-day)	DERMAL ABS [1]	INTAKE DERMAL (mg/kg-day)	ORAL CSF (mg/kg-day)^-1	CANCER RISK INGESTION	DERMAL CSF [2] (mg/kg-day)^-1	CANCER RISK DERMAL	TOTAL CANCER RISK
Arsenic	3200	1	3.0E-04	0.01	5.3E-05	1.75E+00	5.2E-04	1.79E+00	9.5E-05	6.2E-04
Beryllium	2.72	1	2.5E-07	0.01	4.5E-08	4.30E+00	1.1E-06	4.30E+02	1.9E-05	2.1E-05
Benzo(a)anthracene	1.1	1	1.0E-07	0.05	9.1E-08	7.30E+00	7.5E-07	8.02E+00	7.3E-07	1.5E-06
Chrysene	1.5	1	1.4E-07	0.05	1.2E-07	7.30E+00	1.0E-06	8.02E+00	1.0E-06	2.0E-06
P,P-DDE	1.3	0.3	3.6E-08	0.05	1.1E-07	3.40E-01	1.2E-08	1.70E+00	1.8E-07	2.0E-07
P,P-DDD	1.8	0.3	5.0E-08	0.05	1.5E-07	2.40E-01	1.2E-08	1.20E+00	1.8E-07	1.9E-07
P,P-DDT	0.13	0.3	3.6E-09	0.05	1.1E-08	3.40E-01	1.2E-09	1.70E+00	1.8E-08	2.0E-08
						SUMMARY CANCER RISK	**5E-04**		**1E-04**	**6E-04**

[1] Set equal to USEPA Region I default absorption factors for soil (USEPA, 1989c).
[2] Calculated from Oral CSFs as described in Section 6.1.2.3.

TABLE K-40, continued
SEDIMENT INGESTION AND CONTACT - ADOLESCENT, AGES 6 TO 16
PLOW SHOP POND - MAXIMUM EXPOSURE - FUTURE LAND USE
TOTAL RISK; ALL COPCS
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA
NONCARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

SDPLTRFM	15-Dec-93

COMPOUND	SEDIMENT CONCENTRATION (mg/kg)	INGESTION ABS [1]	INTAKE INGESTION (mg/kg-day)	DERMAL ABS [1]	INTAKE DERMAL (mg/kg-day)	ORAL RfD (mg/kg-day)	HAZARD QUOTIENT INGESTION	DERMAL RfD [2] (mg/kg-day)	HAZARD QUOTIENT DERMAL	TOTAL HAZARD QUOTIENT
Arsenic	3200	1	2.1E-03	0.01	3.7E-04	0.0003	7.0E+00	0.000294	1.3E+00	8.2E+00
Barium	344	1	2.2E-04	0.01	4.0E-05	0.07	3.2E-03	0.0049	8.2E-03	1.1E-02
Manganese	54800	1	3.6E-02	0.01	6.4E-03	0.14	2.6E-01	0.0056	1.1E+00	1.4E+00
Nickel	79.3	1	5.2E-05	0.01	9.2E-06	0.02	2.6E-03	0.001	9.2E-03	1.2E-02
Iron	330000	1	2.2E-01	0.01	3.8E-02	ND		ND		
Beryllium	2.72	1	1.8E-06	0.01	3.2E-07	0.005	3.5E-04	0.00005	6.3E-03	6.7E-03
Benzo(a)anthracene	1.1	1	7.2E-07	0.05	6.4E-07	0.04	1.8E-05	0.04	1.6E-05	3.4E-05
Chrysene	1.5	1	9.8E-07	0.05	8.7E-07	0.04	2.4E-05	0.04	2.2E-05	4.6E-05
P,P-DDE	1.3	0.3	2.5E-07	0.05	7.6E-07	0.0005	5.1E-04	0.0001	7.6E-03	8.1E-03
P,P-DDD	1.8	0.3	3.5E-07	0.05	1.0E-06	0.0005	7.0E-04	0.0001	1.0E-02	1.1E-02
P,P-DDT	0.13	0.3	2.5E-08	0.05	7.6E-08	0.0005	5.1E-05	0.0001	7.6E-04	8.1E-04
Fluoranthene	3.4	1	2.2E-06	0.05	2.0E-06	0.04	5.5E-05	0.0364	5.4E-05	1.1E-04
Naphthalene	1.6	1	1.0E-06	0.05	9.3E-07	0.04	2.6E-05	0.04	2.3E-05	4.9E-05
Phenanthrene	2.5	1	1.6E-06	0.05	1.5E-06	0.04	4.1E-05	0.04	3.6E-05	7.7E-05
Pyrene	4.35	1	2.8E-06	0.05	2.5E-06	0.03	9.5E-05	0.0273	9.3E-05	1.9E-04
Aluminum	24000	1	1.6E-02	0.01	2.8E-03	ND		ND		
Cadmium	60	1	3.9E-05	0.01	7.0E-06	0.001	3.9E-02	0.00001	7.0E-01	7.4E-01
Calcium	20100	1	1.3E-02	0.01	2.3E-03	ND		ND		
Cobalt	58.7	1	3.8E-05	0.01	6.8E-06	ND		ND		
Chromium III	9000	1	5.9E-03	0.01	1.0E-03	1	5.9E-03	0.1	1.0E-02	1.6E-02
Chromium VI	1000	1	6.5E-04	0.01	1.2E-04	0.005	1.3E-01	0.00055	2.1E-01	3.4E-01
Copper	132	1	8.6E-05	0.01	1.5E-05	ND		ND		
Lead	632	0.3	1.2E-04	0.01	7.3E-05	ND		ND		
Magnesium	6900	1	4.5E-03	0.01	8.0E-04	ND		ND		
Mercury	130	1	8.5E-05	0.01	1.5E-05	0.0003	2.8E-01	0.00006	2.5E-01	5.3E-01
Potassium	2350	1	1.5E-03	0.01	2.7E-04	ND		ND		
Selenium	6.6	1	4.3E-06	0.01	7.7E-07	0.005	8.6E-04	0.003	2.6E-04	1.1E-03
Sodium	2870	1	1.9E-03	0.01	3.3E-04	ND		ND		
Vanadium	166	1	1.1E-04	0.01	1.9E-05	0.007	1.5E-02	0.00021	9.2E-02	1.1E-01
Zinc	403	1	2.6E-04	0.01	4.7E-05	0.3	8.8E-04	0.102	4.6E-04	1.3E-03
						SUMMARY HAZARD INDEX	8E+00		4E+00	1E+01

[1] Set equal to USEPA Region I default absorption factors for soil (USEPA, 1989c).
[2] Calculated from Oral RfDs as described in Section 6.1.2.3.
ND = No data available

MODELING OF VOC CONCENTRATIONS IN SHOWER AIR FROM GROUNDWATER

SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL

This page intentionally left blank.

CALCULATION OF SHOWER-GENERATED AIR CONTAMINANTS FROM GROUNDWATER

ABB Environmental Services, Inc. (ABB-ES) calculated concentrations of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) in groundwater that could volatilize during a shower. After reviewing the literature, the model selected by ABB-ES to predict indoor (bathroom) concentrations is that presented by Foster and Chrostowski (1987). This theoretical approach is based on the experimental work of Andelman (1985). Andelman measured air concentrations of trichloroethylene and chloroform in a bench scale shower assembly. Foster and Chrostowski (1987) developed a model from these experimental data. ABB-ES modified the input parameters from the bench scale design to be representative of a typical bathroom.

The equation used to calculate air concentrations in the bathroom is shown below:

$$C(voc) = \frac{S}{R} \times (e^{RD_s} - 1) \times e^{-Rt} \qquad \text{(Eqn. 1)}$$

where:

C(voc) = concentration of VOC in bathroom (ug/m^3)
S = VOC generation rate (ug/m^3-min)
R = air exchange rate (min^{-1})
D_s = duration of shower (min)
t = time at which concentration is being calculated (min)

R, the air exchange rate, is calculated as the volumetric flowrate through the bathroom (m^3/min) divided by the volume of the bathroom (m^3).

S, the VOC source generation rate, is calculated based on the concentration of the contaminant in the water, emission of compound from a droplet, flowrate of water, and volume of room for dilution. S is calculated from the following series of equations:

$$S = \frac{C_{wd} \times FR}{SV} \qquad \text{(Eqn. 2)}$$

where:

C_{wd} = concentration in water droplet (ug/l)
FR = flow rate in shower (l/min)
SV = shower volume (m^3)

C_{wd} is calculated as follows:

$$C_{wd} = C_{wo} \times [1 - e^{(\frac{-K_{al} \times t_s}{60 \times d})}] \qquad \text{(Eqn. 3)}$$

where:

C_{wo} = concentration in groundwater (ug/l)
K_{al} = temperature correction of the mass transfer coefficient, Kl ((cm/hr)

t_s = shower water droplet free-fall time (sec)
d = droplet diameter (mm)

K_{al} is calculated according to:

$$K_{al} = K_L \times [\frac{T_1 \times u_s}{T_s \times u_1}]^{-0.5} \qquad \text{(Eqn. 4)}$$

where:

K_L = mass-transfer coefficient (cm/hr)
T_1 = reference temperature (K)
u_s = viscosity of water at reference temperature (cp)
T_s = temperature of shower water (K)
u_1 = viscosity of water at shower temperature (cp)

K_L is calculated according to:

$$K_L(voc) = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{k_l(voc)} + \frac{RT}{H \times k_g(voc)}} \qquad \text{(Eqn. 5)}$$

where:

k_l(voc) = chemical-specific liquid mass-transfer coefficient (cm/hr)
k_g(voc) = chemical-specific gas mass-transfer coefficient (cm/hr)
RT = molecular gas constant (R) x temperature (T) (atm-m^3/mole)
H = Henry's Law Constant (atm-m^3/mole)

The input values of k_l and k_g are based on the mass transfer coefficients of CO_2 and water. They are calculated for the particular compound of interest according to the following equations:

$$k_l(voc) = k_l(CO_2) \times \left[\frac{44}{MW(voc)}\right]^{0.5} \qquad \text{(Eqn. 6)}$$

$$k_g(voc) = k_g(H_2O) \times \left[\frac{18}{MW(voc)}\right]^{0.5} \qquad \text{(Eqn. 7)}$$

where:

$k_l(CO_2)$ = liquid mass-transfer coefficient for carbon dioxide (cm/hr)
$k_g(H_2O)$ = gas mass-transfer coefficient for water (cm/hr)
MW(voc) = molecular weight of VOC

Several assumptions were made to complete this modeling effort. The more important ones involve the volume of the bathroom and the air exchange rate (see Equations 1 and 2). A bathroom volume of $12m^3$ was assumed. For the purposes of this model, it was also assumed that the air between the shower area and the rest of the bathroom was well mixed. The volumetric flowrate through the bathroom was assumed to be 0.4 m^3/min, which gives an effective air exchange rate of 1.8 air changes/hour. Few measurements have been done on ventilation rate in bathrooms. ABB-ES considers this value to be a conservative estimate given that most homes have air exchange rates of 0.5 - 2.0 changes/hour. Bathrooms may have higher ventilation rates than the entire house due to the effect of local exhaust fans, if present, or the opening of windows.

Another assumption is implicit in the use of Equation 1. This equation calculates VOC concentrations at time (t), which is assumed to equal the duration of shower use (D_s). Thus, the resulting concentrations represent maximum concentrations at the end of the shower. In reality, an individual would experience an integrated exposure that would gradually increase during shower usage and decrease again after the water was turned off. ABB-ES made the simplifying assumption that the peak concentrations would persist for the duration of exposure. This is a conservative assumption that is protective of public health.

REFERENCES

Andelman, J.B., 1985. "Inhalation Exposure in the Home to Volatile Organic Contaminants in Drinking Water"; Sci. Total Environ.; Vol. 47, pp. 443-460.

Foster, S.A. and P.C. Chrostowski, 1987. "Inhalation Exposures to Volatile Organic Contaminants in the Shower"; paper presented at the 80th Annual Meeting of the Air Pollution Control Association; New York, New York; June 1987.

SHOWER	13-Dec-93

TABLE L-1
CONCENTRATION OF VOLATILE COMPOUNDS RESULTING FROM SHOWERING
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL - WELL GROUP 1
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA
EMPIRICAL CONSTANTS

CONSTANT	SYMBOL	VALUE	UNIT	SOURCE
Liquid-film mass transfer for CO2	kl (CO2)	20	cm/hr	Calculated
Gas-film mass transfer for H2O	kg (H2O)	3000	cm/hr	Calculated
Molar gas constant x Temperature	RT	0.024	atm-m^3/mole	Constant
Reference temperature	T1	293	°K	Constant
Temperature of shower water	Ts	318	°K	Assumption
Viscosity of water at shower temperature	μs	0.6178	cp	Calculated
Viscosity of water at reference temperature	μ1	0.65	cp	Calculated
Shower droplet free-fall time	ts	1.5	sec	Assumption
Droplet diameter	d	1	mm	Foster and Chrostowski, 1987
Flow rate in shower	FR	20	l/min	Assumption
Volume of shower area	SV	12	m^3	Assumption
Air exchange rate	R	0.03	min^{-1}	Calculated
Time in shower	Ds	12	min	USEPA, 1989f
Time at which concentration is being calculated	t	12	min	USEPA, 1989f

Foster, S.A. and Chrostowski, P.C., 1987. Inhalation Exposures to Volatile Organic Contaminants in the Shower
USEPA, 1989f. Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund

SHOWER CONCENTRATIONS

COMPOUND	Cwo (mg/l)	MW (g/mol)	H (atm-m^3/mol)	kl (cm/hr)	kg (cm/hr)	Kl (cm/hr)	Kal (cm/hr)	Cwd (mg/l)	S (mg/m^3-min)	Cvoc (mg/m^3)
MAXIMUM CONCENTRATIONS										
Benzene	0.0017	78	5.60E-03	1.5E+01	1.4E+03	1.4E+01	1.5E+01	5.4E-04	9.0E-04	9.1E-03
Chloroethane	0.0055	65	1.11E-02	1.7E+01	1.6E+03	1.6E+01	1.7E+01	1.9E-03	3.2E-03	3.2E-02
1,1-Dichloroethane	0.0044	99	4.31E-03	1.3E+01	1.3E+03	1.3E+01	1.3E+01	1.3E-03	2.1E-03	2.1E-02
1,2-Dichloroethane	0.0099	99	9.78E-04	1.3E+01	1.3E+03	1.1E+01	1.1E+01	2.4E-03	4.1E-03	4.1E-02
1,2-Dichloropropane	0.00052	113	2.10E-03	1.2E+01	1.2E+03	1.1E+01	1.2E+01	1.3E-04	2.2E-04	2.3E-03
1,2-Dichloroethene	0.007	97	7.07E-03	1.3E+01	1.3E+03	1.3E+01	1.4E+01	2.1E-03	3.4E-03	3.5E-02
AVERAGE CONCENTRATIONS										
Benzene	0.00051	78	5.60E-03	1.5E+01	1.4E+03	1.4E+01	1.5E+01	1.6E-04	2.7E-04	2.7E-03
Chloroethane	0.0013	65	1.11E-02	1.7E+01	1.6E+03	1.6E+01	1.7E+01	4.6E-04	7.6E-04	7.7E-03
1,1-Dichloroethane	0.00086	99	4.31E-03	1.3E+01	1.3E+03	1.3E+01	1.3E+01	2.5E-04	4.1E-04	4.1E-03
1,2-Dichloroethane	0.00097	99	9.78E-04	1.3E+01	1.3E+03	1.1E+01	1.1E+01	2.4E-04	4.0E-04	4.0E-03
1,2-Dichloropropane	0.00027	113	2.10E-03	1.2E+01	1.2E+03	1.1E+01	1.2E+01	7.0E-05	1.2E-04	1.2E-03
1,2-Dichloroethene	0.0014	97	7.07E-03	1.3E+01	1.3E+03	1.3E+01	1.4E+01	4.1E-04	6.9E-04	6.9E-03

TABLE L-2
CONCENTRATION OF VOLATILE COMPOUNDS RESULTING FROM SHOWERING
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL - WELL GROUP 4
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA
EMPIRICAL CONSTANTS

SHOWR-S4	13-Dec-93

CONSTANT	SYMBOL	VALUE	UNIT	SOURCE
Liquid-film mass transfer for CO2	kl (CO2)	20	cm/hr	Calculated
Gas-film mass transfer for H2O	kg (H2O)	3000	cm/hr	Calculated
Molar gas constant x Temperature	RT	0.024	atm-m^3/mole	Constant
Reference temperature	T1	293	°K	Constant
Temperature of shower water	Ts	318	°K	Assumption
Viscosity of water at shower temperature	μs	0.6178	cp	Calculated
Viscosity of water at reference temperature	μ1	0.65	cp	Calculated
Shower droplet free-fall time	ts	1.5	sec	Assumption
Droplet diameter	d	1	mm	Foster and Chrostowski, 1987
Flow rate in shower	FR	20	l/min	Assumption
Volume of shower area	SV	12	m^3	Assumption
Air exchange rate	R	0.03	min^{-1}	Calculated
Time in shower	Ds	12	min	USEPA, 1989f
Time at which concentration is being calculated	t	12	min	USEPA, 1989f

Foster, S.A. and Chrostowski, P.C., 1987. Inhalation Exposures to Volatile Organic Contaminants in the Shower
USEPA, 1989. Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund

SHOWER CONCENTRATIONS

COMPOUND	Cwo (mg/l)	MW (g/mol)	H (atm-m^3/mol)	kl (cm/hr)	kg (cm/hr)	Kl (cm/hr)	Kal (cm/hr)	Cwd (mg/l)	S (mg/m^3-min)	Cvoc (mg/m^3)
MAXIMUM CONCENTRATIONS										
Trichlorofluoromethane	0.0021	137	1.26E-01	1.1E+01	1.1E+03	1.1E+01	1.2E+01	5.5E-04	9.1E-04	9.2E-03

HUMAN HEALTH RISK SPREADSHEETS

COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL

This page intentionally left blank.

GCIGUMA	15-Dec-93

TABLE M-1
GROUNDWATER - INGESTION AND CONTACT DURING SHOWERING
ADULT EXPOSURE - UNFILTERED, MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL
FORT DEVENS, MA

EXPOSURE PARAMETERS

PARAMETER	SYMBOL	VALUE	UNITS	SOURCE
CONCENTRATION WATER	CW	chemical specific	mg/liter	
INGESTION RATE	IR	2	liters/day	USEPA, 1991b
EVENT FREQUENCY	EV	1	events/day	
BODY WEIGHT	BW	70	kg	USEPA, 1991b
DOSE ABSORBED PER EVENT	DA_{event}	chemical specific	mg/cm^2-event	Calculated [1] (USEPA, 1992d)
SHOWER EXPOSURE TIME	ET	0.2	hours/day	USEPA, 1989f
EXPOSURE FREQUENCY	EF	350	days/year	USEPA, 1991b
EXPOSURE DURATION	ED	30	years	USEPA, 1989f
SURFACE AREA EXPOSED	SA	19400.0	cm^2	USEPA, 1989b [2]
AVERAGING TIME				
CANCER	AT	70	years	USEPA, 1989f
NONCANCER	AT	30	years	USEPA, 1989f
CONVERSION FACTOR	CF	0.001	$liter/10^3cm^3$	

[1] PC_{event} calculated in Appendix W
[2] Surface Area represents entire body.
USEPA, 1989b. Exposure Factors Handbook.
USEPA, 1989f. Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund.
USEPA, 1991b. Standard Default Exposure Factors.
USEPA, 1992d. Dermal Exposure Assessment: Principles and Applications.

EQUATIONS

CANCER RISK = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) x CANCER SLOPE FACTOR $(mg/kg-day)^{-1}$

HAZARD QUOTIENT = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) / REFERENCE DOSE (mg/kg-day)

$$\text{INTAKE-INGESTION} = \frac{CW \times IR \times ABS_i \times ET \times EF \times ED}{BW \times AT \times 365\ days/yr}$$

$$\text{INTAKE-DERMAL} = \frac{DA_{event} \times EV \times EF \times ED \times CF \times SA}{BW \times AT \times 365\ days/yr}$$

Where:

$DA_{event} = PC_{event} \times CW$

Note:
For noncarcinogenic effects: AT = ED
Absorption Factors (ABS_i) for ingestion are set equal to one (USEPA Region I default value).

GCIGUMA	15-Dec-93

TABLE M-1, continued
GROUNDWATER - INGESTION AND CONTACT DURING SHOWERING
ADULT EXPOSURE - UNFILTERED, MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL
FORT DEVENS, MA

CARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	WATER CONCENTRATION (mg/l)	INGESTION ABS_i	INTAKE INGESTION (mg/kg-day)	PC_{EVENT} (cm/event)	INTAKE DERMAL (mg/kg-day)	ORAL CSF (mg/kg-day)^-1	DERMAL CSF [1] (mg/kg-day)^-1	CANCER RISK INGESTION	CANCER RISK DERMAL	TOTAL CANCER RISK
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	0.014	1	3.3E-05	0.187	3.0E-04	0.014	0.014	4.6E-07	4.2E-06	**4.6E-06**
Arsenic	0.04	1	9.4E-05	0.0002	9.1E-07	1.75	1.79	1.6E-04	1.6E-06	**1.7E-04**
					SUMMARY CANCER RISK			**2E-04**	**6E-06**	**2E-04**

[1] Calculated from Oral CSF as described in Section 6.1.2.3.

GCIGUMA	15-Dec-93

TABLE M-1, continued
GROUNDWATER - INGESTION AND CONTACT DURING SHOWERING
ADULT EXPOSURE - UNFILTERED, MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL
FORT DEVENS, MA

NONCARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	WATER CONCENTRATION (mg/l)	INGESTION ABS_i	INTAKE INGESTION (mg/kg-day)	PC_{EVENT} (cm/event)	INTAKE DERMAL (mg/kg-day)	ORAL RfD (mg/kg-day)	DERMAL RfD [1] (mg/kg-day) ^ -1	HAZARD QUOTIENT INGESTION	HAZARD QUOTIENT DERMAL	TOTAL HAZARD QUOTIENT
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	0.014	1	7.7E-05	0.187	7.0E-04	0.02	0.02	3.8E-03	3.5E-02	**3.9E-02**
Aluminum	20.5	1	1.1E-01	0.0002	1.1E-03	ND	ND	ND		
Arsenic	0.04	1	2.2E-04	0.0002	2.1E-06	0.0003	0.000294	7.3E-01	7.2E-03	**7.4E-01**
Barium	0.112	1	6.1E-04	0.0002	6.0E-06	0.07	0.0049	8.8E-03	1.2E-03	**1.0E-02**
Calcium	164	1	9.0E-01	0.0002	8.7E-03	ND	ND			
Chromium VI	0.0308	1	1.7E-04	0.0002	1.6E-06	0.005	0.00055	3.4E-02	3.0E-03	**3.7E-02**
Copper	0.031	1	1.7E-04	0.0002	1.6E-06	ND	ND			
Iron	25.4	1	1.4E-01	0.0002	1.4E-03	ND	ND			
Potassium	8.54	1	4.7E-02	0.0002	4.5E-04	ND	ND			
Magnesium	28.9	1	1.6E-01	0.0002	1.5E-03	ND	ND			
Manganese	5.7	1	3.1E-02	0.0002	3.0E-04	0.005	0.0002	6.2E+00	1.5E+00	**7.8E+00**
Sodium	42.9	1	2.4E-01	0.0002	2.3E-03	ND	ND	ND		
Nickel	0.049	1	2.7E-04	0.0002	2.6E-06	0.02	0.001	1.3E-02	2.6E-03	**1.6E-02**
Lead	0.0134	1	7.3E-05	0.0002	7.1E-07	ND	ND			
Vanadium	0.0263	1	1.4E-04	0.0002	1.4E-06	0.007	0.00021	2.1E-02	6.7E-03	**2.7E-02**
Zinc	0.0601	1	3.3E-04	0.0002	3.2E-06	0.3	0.102	1.1E-03	3.1E-05	**1.1E-03**
						SUMMARY HAZARD INDEX		**7E+00**	**2E+00**	**9E+00**

ND = No data available
[1] Calculated from Oral RfD as described in Section 6.1.2.3.

GCIGUMC	15-Dec-93

TABLE M-2
GROUNDWATER - INGESTION AND CONTACT DURING BATHING
CHILD EXPOSURE - (SUBCHRONIC) UNFILTERED, MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

EXPOSURE PARAMETERS

PARAMETER	SYMBOL	VALUE	UNITS	SOURCE
CONCENTRATION WATER	CW	chemical specific	mg/liter	
INGESTION RATE	IR	0.5	liters/day	USEPA, 1989b
AGE-SPECIFIC SURFACE AREA	SA_i	age-specific	cm^3	USEPA, 1989b
EVENT FREQUENCY	EV	1	events/day	
BODY WEIGHT	BW	16	kg	USEPA, 1989b
AGE-SPECIFIC BODY WEIGHT	BW_i	age-specific	kg	USEPA, 1989b
DOSE ABSORBED PER EVENT	DA_{event}	chemical specific	mg/cm^2-event	Calculated [2] (USEPA, 1992d)
BATH EXPOSURE TIME	ET	0.2	hours/day	USEPA, 1989f
EXPOSURE FREQUENCY	EF	350	days/year	USEPA, 1991b
EXPOSURE DURATION	ED	5	years	Assumption
AGE-SPECIFIC EXPSOURE DURATION	ED_i	age-specific	years	USEPA, 1989b
AGE-WEIGHTED SURFACE AREA [1]	SA_{adj}	2247.5	cm^2-yr/kg	Appendix V [3](USEPA, 1992d)
AVERAGING TIME				
CANCER	AT	70	years	USEPA, 1989f
NONCANCER	AT	5	years	USEPA, 1989f
CONVERSION FACTOR	CF	0.001	liter/$10^3 cm^3$	

[1] The calculations for normalized surface area (SA_{adj}) and dermally absorbed dose per unit area per event (DA_{event}) are described in Appendix V.
[2] PC_{event} calculated in Appendix W.
[3] Surface area represents the entire body.
USEPA, 1989b. Exposure Factors Handbook.
USEPA, 1989f. Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund.
USEPA, 1991b. Standard Default Exposure Factors.
USEPA, 1992d. Dermal Exposure Assessment: Principles and Applications.

EQUATIONS

CANCER RISK = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) x CANCER SLOPE FACTOR (mg/kg-day)^-1

HAZARD QUOTIENT = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) / REFERENCE DOSE (mg/kg-day)

$$\text{INTAKE-INGESTION} = \frac{CW \times IR \times ABS_i \times ET \times EF \times ED}{BW \times AT \times 365\ days/yr}$$

$$\text{INTAKE-DERMAL} = \frac{DA_{event} \times EV \times EF \times CF \times SA_{adj}}{AT \times 365\ days/yr}$$

Where:

$DA_{event} = PC_{event} \times CW$

Note:
For noncarcinogenic effects: AT = ED
Absorption factors (ABS_i) for ingestion are set equal to one (USEPA Region I default value).

GCIGUMC	15-Dec-93

TABLE M-2, continued
GROUNDWATER - INGESTION AND CONTACT DURING BATHING
CHILD EXPOSURE - (SUBCHRONIC) UNFILTERED, MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

CARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	WATER CONCENTRATION (mg/l)	INGESTION ABS_i	INTAKE INGESTION (mg/kg-day)	PC_{EVENT} (cm/event)	INTAKE DERMAL (mg/kg-day)	ORAL CSF (mg/kg-day) ^-1	DERMAL CSF [1] (mg/kg-day) ^-1	CANCER RISK INGESTION	CANCER RISK DERMAL	TOTAL CANCER RISK
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	0.014	1	6.0E-06	0.187	8.1E-05	0.014	0.014	8.4E-08	1.1E-06	1.2E-06
Arsenic	0.04	1	1.7E-05	0.0002	2.5E-07	1.75	1.79	3.0E-05	4.4E-07	3.0E-05
					SUMMARY CANCER RISK			**3E-05**	**2E-06**	**3E-05**

[1] Calculated from Oral CSF as described in Section 6.1.2.3.

GCIGUMC	15-Dec-93

TABLE M-2, continued
GROUNDWATER - INGESTION AND CONTACT DURING BATHING
CHILD EXPOSURE - (SUBCHRONIC) UNFILTERED, MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

NONCARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	WATER CONCENTRATION (mg/l)	INGESTION ABS_i	INTAKE INGESTION (mg/kg-day)	PC_{EVENT} (cm/event)	INTAKE DERMAL (mg/kg-day)	ORAL RfD (mg/kg-day)	DERMAL RfD [1] (mg/kg-day) ^ -1	HAZARD QUOTIENT INGESTION	HAZARD QUOTIENT DERMAL	TOTAL HAZARD QUOTIENT
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	0.014	1	8.4E-05	0.187	1.1E-03	0.02	0.02	4.2E-03	5.6E-02	**6.1E-02**
Aluminum	20.5	1	1.2E-01	0.0002	1.8E-03	ND	ND			
Arsenic	0.04	1	2.4E-04	0.0002	3.4E-06	0.0003	0.000294	8.0E-01	1.2E-02	**8.1E-01**
Barium	0.112	1	6.7E-04	0.0002	9.7E-06	0.07	0.00493	9.6E-03	2.0E-03	**1.2E-02**
Calcium	164	1	9.8E-01	0.0002	1.4E-02	ND	ND			
Chromium VI	0.0308	1	1.8E-04	0.0002	2.7E-06	0.02	0.0022	9.2E-03	1.2E-03	**1.0E-02**
Copper	0.031	1	1.9E-04	0.0002	2.7E-06	ND	ND			
Iron	25.4	1	1.5E-01	0.0002	2.2E-03	ND	ND			
Potassium	8.54	1	5.1E-02	0.0002	7.4E-04	ND	ND			
Magnesium	28.9	1	1.7E-01	0.0002	2.5E-03	ND	ND			
Manganese	5.7	1	3.4E-02	0.0002	4.9E-04	0.005	0.0002	6.8E+00	2.5E+00	**9.3E+00**
Sodium	42.9	1	2.6E-01	0.0002	3.7E-03	ND	ND			
Nickel	0.049	1	2.9E-04	0.0002	4.2E-06	0.02	0.001	1.5E-02	4.2E-03	**1.9E-02**
Lead	0.0134	1	8.0E-05	0.0002	1.2E-06	ND	ND			
Vanadium	0.0263	1	1.6E-04	0.0002	2.3E-06	0.007	0.00021	2.3E-02	1.1E-02	**3.3E-02**
Zinc	0.0601	1	3.6E-04	0.0002	5.2E-06	0.3	0.102	1.2E-03	5.1E-05	**1.3E-03**
						SUMMARY HAZARD INDEX		**8E+00**	**3E+00**	**1E+01**

ND = No data available
[1] Calculated from Oral RfD as described in Section 6.1.2.3.

GCIGUAA	15-Dec-93

TABLE M-3
GROUNDWATER - INGESTION AND CONTACT DURING SHOWERING
ADULT EXPOSURE - UNFILTERED, AVERAGE CONCENTRATION
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL
FORT DEVENS, MA

EXPOSURE PARAMETERS

PARAMETER	SYMBOL	VALUE	UNITS	SOURCE
CONCENTRATION WATER	CW	chemical specific	mg/liter	
INGESTION RATE	IR	2	liters/day	USEPA, 1991b
EVENT FREQUENCY	EV	1	events/day	
BODY WEIGHT	BW	70	kg	USEPA, 1991b
DOSE ABSORBED PER EVENT	DA_{event}	chemical specific	mg/cm^2-event	Calculated [1] (USEPA, 1992d)
SHOWER EXPOSURE TIME	ET	0.2	hours/day	USEPA, 1989f
EXPOSURE FREQUENCY	EF	350	days/year	USEPA, 1991b
EXPOSURE DURATION	ED	30	years	USEPA, 1989f
SURFACE AREA EXPOSED	SA	19400.0	cm^2	USEPA, 1989b [2]
AVERAGING TIME				
CANCER	AT	70	years	USEPA, 1989f
NONCANCER	AT	30	years	USEPA, 1989f
CONVERSION FACTOR	CF	0.001	$liter/10^3cm^3$	

[1] PC_{event} calculated in Appendix W
[2] Surface Area represents entire body.
USEPA, 1989b. Exposure Factors Handbook.
USEPA, 1989f. Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund.
USEPA, 1991b. Standard Default Exposure Factors.
USEPA, 1992d. Dermal Exposure Assessment: Principles and Applications.

EQUATIONS

CANCER RISK = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) x CANCER SLOPE FACTOR (mg/kg-day)^-1

HAZARD QUOTIENT = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) / REFERENCE DOSE (mg/kg-day)

$$\text{INTAKE-INGESTION} = \frac{CW \times IR \times ABS_i \times ET \times EF \times ED}{BW \times AT \times 365\ days/yr}$$

$$\text{INTAKE-DERMAL} = \frac{DA_{event} \times EV \times EF \times ED \times CF \times SA}{BW \times AT \times 365\ days/yr}$$

Where:

$DA_{event} = PC_{event} \times CW$

Note:
For noncarcinogenic effects: AT = ED
Absorption Factors (ABS_i) for ingestion are set equal to one (USEPA Region I default value).

GCIGUAA	15-Dec-93

TABLE M-3, continued
GROUNDWATER - INGESTION AND CONTACT DURING SHOWERING
ADULT EXPOSURE - UNFILTERED, AVERAGE CONCENTRATION
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL
FORT DEVENS, MA

CARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	WATER CONCENTRATION (mg/l)	INGESTION ABS_i	INTAKE INGESTION (mg/kg-day)	PC_{EVENT} (cm/event)	INTAKE DERMAL (mg/kg-day)	ORAL CSF (mg/kg-day) ^ -1	DERMAL CSF [1] (mg/kg-day) ^ -1	CANCER RISK INGESTION	CANCER RISK DERMAL	TOTAL CANCER RISK
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	0.004	1	9.4E-06	0.187	8.5E-05	0.014	0.014	1.3E-07	1.2E-06	**1.3E-06**
Arsenic	0.014	1	3.3E-05	0.0002	3.2E-07	1.75	1.79	5.8E-05	5.7E-07	**5.8E-05**
							SUMMARY CANCER RISK	**6E-05**	**2E-06**	**6E-05**

[1] Calculated from Oral CSF as described in Section 6.1.2.3.

GCIGUAA	15-Dec-93

TABLE M-3, continued
GROUNDWATER - INGESTION AND CONTACT DURING SHOWERING
ADULT EXPOSURE - UNFILTERED, AVERAGE CONCENTRATION
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL
FORT DEVENS, MA

NONCARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	WATER CONCENTRATION (mg/l)	INGESTION ABS_i	INTAKE INGESTION (mg/kg-day)	PC_{EVENT} (cm/event)	INTAKE DERMAL (mg/kg-day)	ORAL RfD (mg/kg-day)	DERMAL RfD [1] (mg/kg-day) ^ -1	HAZARD QUOTIENT INGESTION	HAZARD QUOTIENT DERMAL	TOTAL HAZARD QUOTIENT
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	0.004	1	2.2E-05	0.187	2.0E-04	0.02	0.02	1.1E-03	9.9E-03	**1.1E-02**
Aluminum	3.9	1	2.1E-02	0.0002	2.1E-04	ND	ND			
Arsenic	0.014	1	7.7E-05	0.0002	7.4E-07	0.0003	0.000294	2.6E-01	2.5E-03	**2.6E-01**
Barium	0.041	1	2.2E-04	0.0002	2.2E-06	0.07	0.0049	3.2E-03	4.4E-04	**3.7E-03**
Calcium	69.3	1	3.8E-01	0.0002	3.7E-03	ND	ND			
Chromium VI	0.008	1	4.4E-05	0.0002	4.3E-07	0.005	0.00055	8.8E-03	7.7E-04	**9.5E-03**
Copper	0.011	1	6.0E-05	0.0002	5.8E-07	ND	ND			
Iron	9.6	1	5.3E-02	0.0002	5.1E-04	ND	ND			
Potassium	5.6	1	3.1E-02	0.0002	3.0E-04	ND	ND			
Magnesium	12.3	1	6.7E-02	0.0002	6.5E-04	ND	ND			
Manganese	2.5	1	1.4E-02	0.0002	1.3E-04	0.005	0.0002	2.7E+00	6.6E-01	**3.4E+00**
Sodium	18.1	1	9.9E-02	0.0002	9.6E-04	ND	ND			
Nickel	0.021	1	1.2E-04	0.0002	1.1E-06	0.02	0.001	5.8E-03	1.1E-03	**6.9E-03**
Lead	0.0041	1	2.2E-05	0.0002	2.2E-07	ND	ND			
Vanadium	0.0081	1	4.4E-05	0.0002	4.3E-07	0.007	0.00021	6.3E-03	2.1E-03	**8.4E-03**
Zinc	0.02	1	1.1E-04	0.0002	1.1E-06	0.3	0.102	3.7E-04	1.0E-05	**3.8E-04**
					SUMMARY HAZARD INDEX			**3E+00**	**7E-01**	**4E+00**

ND = No data available
[1] Calculated from Oral RfD as described in Section 6.1.2.3.

GCIGUAC	15-Dec-93

TABLE M-4
GROUNDWATER - INGESTION AND CONTACT DURING BATHING
CHILD EXPOSURE - (SUBCHRONIC) UNFILTERED, AVERAGE CONCENTRATION
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

EXPOSURE PARAMETERS

PARAMETER	SYMBOL	VALUE	UNITS	SOURCE
CONCENTRATION WATER	CW	chemical specific	mg/liter	
INGESTION RATE	IR	0.5	liters/day	USEPA, 1989b
AGE-SPECIFIC SURFACE AREA	SA_i	age-specific	cm^3	USEPA, 1989b
EVENT FREQUENCY	EV	1	events/day	
BODY WEIGHT	BW	16	kg	USEPA, 1989b
AGE-SPECIFIC BODY WEIGHT	BW_i	age-specific	kg	USEPA, 1989b
DOSE ABSORBED PER EVENT	DA_{event}	chemical specific	mg/cm^2-event	Calculated [2] (USEPA, 1992d)
BATH EXPOSURE TIME	ET	0.2	hours/day	USEPA, 1989f
EXPOSURE FREQUENCY	EF	350	days/year	USEPA, 1991b
EXPOSURE DURATION	ED	5	years	Assumption
AGE-SPECIFIC EXPSOURE DURATION	ED_i	age-specific	years	USEPA, 1989b
AGE-WEIGHTED SURFACE AREA [1]	SA_{adj}	2247.5	cm^2-yr/kg	Appendix V [3](USEPA, 1992d)
AVERAGING TIME				
CANCER	AT	70	years	USEPA, 1989f
NONCANCER	AT	5	years	USEPA, 1989f
CONVERSION FACTOR	CF	0.001	liter/$10^3 cm^3$	

[1] The calculations for normalized surface area (SA_{adj}) and dermally absorbed dose per unit area per event (DA_{event}) are described in Appendix V.
[2] PC_{event} calculated in Appendix W.
[3] Surface area represents the entire body.
USEPA, 1989b. Exposure Factors Handbook.
USEPA, 1989f. Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund.
USEPA, 1991b. Standard Default Exposure Factors.
USEPA, 1992d. Dermal Exposure Assessment: Principles and Applications.

EQUATIONS

CANCER RISK = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) x CANCER SLOPE FACTOR (mg/kg-day)^-1

HAZARD QUOTIENT = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) / REFERENCE DOSE (mg/kg-day)

$$\text{INTAKE-INGESTION} = \frac{CW \times IR \times ABS_i \times ET \times EF \times ED}{BW \times AT \times 365\ days/yr}$$

$$\text{INTAKE-DERMAL} = \frac{DA_{event} \times EV \times EF \times CF \times SA_{adj}}{AT \times 365\ days/yr}$$

Where:

$$DA_{event} = PC_{event} \times CW$$

Note:
For noncarcinogenic effects: AT = ED
Absorption factors (ABS_i) for ingestion are set equal to one (USEPA Region I default value).

GCIGUAC	15-Dec-93

TABLE M-4, continued
GROUNDWATER - INGESTION AND CONTACT DURING BATHING
CHILD EXPOSURE - (SUBCHRONIC) UNFILTERED, AVERAGE CONCENTRATION
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

CARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	WATER CONCENTRATION (mg/l)	INGESTION ABS_i	INTAKE INGESTION (mg/kg-day)	PC_{EVENT} (cm/event)	INTAKE DERMAL (mg/kg-day)	ORAL CSF (mg/kg-day) ^ -1	DERMAL CSF [1] (mg/kg-day) ^ -1	CANCER RISK INGESTION	CANCER RISK DERMAL	TOTAL CANCER RISK
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	0.004	1	1.7E-06	0.187	2.3E-05	0.014	0.014	2.4E-08	3.2E-07	**3.5E-07**
Arsenic	0.014	1	6.0E-06	0.0002	8.6E-08	1.75	1.79	1.0E-05	1.5E-07	**1.1E-05**
							SUMMARY CANCER RISK	**1E-05**	**5E-07**	**1E-05**

[1] Calculated from Oral CSF as described in section 6.1.2.3.

TABLE M–4, continued

GCIGUAC	15–Dec–93

GROUNDWATER – INGESTION AND CONTACT DURING BATHING
CHILD EXPOSURE – (SUBCHRONIC) UNFILTERED, AVERAGE CONCENTRATION
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

NONCARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	WATER CONCENTRATION (mg/l)	INGESTION ABS_i	INTAKE INGESTION (mg/kg–day)	PC_{EVENT} (cm/event)	INTAKE DERMAL (mg/kg–day)	ORAL RfD (mg/kg–day)	DERMAL RfD [1] (mg/kg–day) ^ –1	HAZARD QUOTIENT INGESTION	HAZARD QUOTIENT DERMAL	TOTAL HAZARD QUOTIENT
Bis(2–ethylhexyl)phthalate	0.004	1	2.4E–05	0.187	3.2E–04	0.02	0.02	1.2E–03	1.6E–02	1.7E–02
Aluminum	3.9	1	2.3E–02	0.0002	3.4E–04	ND	ND			
Arsenic	0.014	1	8.4E–05	0.0002	1.2E–06	0.0003	0.000294	2.8E–01	4.1E–03	**2.8E–01**
Barium	0.041	1	2.5E–04	0.0002	3.5E–06	0.07	0.00493	3.5E–03	7.2E–04	**4.2E–03**
Calcium	69.3	1	4.2E–01	0.0002	6.0E–03	ND	ND			
Chromium VI	0.008	1	4.8E–05	0.0002	6.9E–07	0.02	0.0022	2.4E–03	3.1E–04	**2.7E–03**
Copper	0.011	1	6.6E–05	0.0002	9.5E–07	ND	ND			
Iron	9.6	1	5.8E–02	0.0002	8.3E–04	ND	ND			
Potassium	5.6	1	3.4E–02	0.0002	4.8E–04	ND	ND			
Magnesium	12.3	1	7.4E–02	0.0002	1.1E–03	ND	ND			
Manganese	2.5	1	1.5E–02	0.0002	2.2E–04	0.005	0.0002	3.0E+00	1.1E+00	**4.1E+00**
Sodium	18.1	1	1.1E–01	0.0002	1.6E–03	ND	ND			
Nickel	0.021	1	1.3E–04	0.0002	1.8E–06	0.02	0.001	6.3E–03	1.8E–03	**8.1E–03**
Lead	0.0041	1	2.5E–05	0.0002	3.5E–07	ND	ND			
Vanadium	0.0081	1	4.9E–05	0.0002	7.0E–07	0.007	0.00021	6.9E–03	3.3E–03	**1.0E–02**
Zinc	0.02	1	1.2E–04	0.0002	1.7E–06	0.3	0.102	4.0E–04	1.7E–05	**4.2E–04**
					SUMMARY HAZARD INDEX			**3E+00**	**1E+00**	**4E+00**

ND = No data available
[1] Calculated from Oral RfD as described in section 6.1.2.3.

GCIGFMA	15-Dec-93

TABLE M-5
GROUNDWATER -- INGESTION AND CONTACT DURING SHOWERING
ADULT EXPOSURE -- FILTERED, MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL
FORT DEVENS, MA

EXPOSURE PARAMETERS

PARAMETER	SYMBOL	VALUE	UNITS	SOURCE
CONCENTRATION WATER	CW	chemical specific	mg/liter	
INGESTION RATE	IR	2	liters/day	USEPA, 1991b
EVENT FREQUENCY	EV	1	events/day	
BODY WEIGHT	BW	70	kg	USEPA, 1991b
DOSE ABSORBED PER EVENT	DA_{event}	chemical specific	mg/cm^2-event	Calculated [1] (USEPA, 1992d)
SHOWER EXPOSURE TIME	ET	0.2	hours/day	USEPA, 1989f
EXPOSURE FREQUENCY	EF	350	days/year	USEPA, 1991b
EXPOSURE DURATION	ED	30	years	USEPA, 1989f
SURFACE AREA EXPOSED	SA	19400.0	cm^2	USEPA, 1989b [2]
AVERAGING TIME				
CANCER	AT	70	years	USEPA, 1989f
NONCANCER	AT	30	years	USEPA, 1989f
CONVERSION FACTOR	CF	0.001	$liter/10^3cm^3$	

[1] PC_{event} calculated in Appendix W
[2] Surface Area represents entire body.
USEPA, 1989b. Exposure Factors Handbook.
USEPA, 1989f. Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund.
USEPA, 1991b. Standard Default Exposure Factors.
USEPA, 1992d. Dermal Exposure Assessment: Principles and Applications.

EQUATIONS

CANCER RISK = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) x CANCER SLOPE FACTOR (mg/kg-day)^-1

HAZARD QUOTIENT = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) / REFERENCE DOSE (mg/kg-day)

$$\text{INTAKE-INGESTION} = \frac{CW \times IR \times ABS_i \times ET \times EF \times ED}{BW \times AT \times 365\ days/yr}$$

$$\text{INTAKE-DERMAL} = \frac{DA_{event} \times EV \times EF \times ED \times CF \times SA}{BW \times AT \times 365\ days/yr}$$

Where:

$DA_{event} = PC_{event} \times CW$

Note:
For noncarcinogenic effects: AT = ED
Absorption Factors (ABS_i) for ingestion are set equal to one (USEPA Region I default value).

GCIGFMA	15-Dec-93

TABLE M-5, continued
GROUNDWATER - INGESTION AND CONTACT DURING SHOWERING
ADULT EXPOSURE - FILTERED, MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL
FORT DEVENS, MA

CARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	WATER CONCENTRATION (mg/l)	INGESTION ABS_i	INTAKE INGESTION (mg/kg-day)	PC_{EVENT} (cm/event)	INTAKE DERMAL (mg/kg-day)	ORAL CSF (mg/kg-day) ^ -1	DERMAL CSF [1] (mg/kg-day) ^ -1	CANCER RISK INGESTION	CANCER RISK DERMAL	TOTAL CANCER RISK
Arsenic	0.02	1	4.7E-05	0.0002	4.6E-07	1.75	1.79	8.2E-05	8.2E-07	8.3E-05
SUMMARY CANCER RISK								**8E-05**	**8E-07**	**8E-05**

[1] Calculated from Oral CSF as described in Section 6.1.2.3.

GCIGFMA	15-Dec-93

TABLE M-5, continued
GROUNDWATER - INGESTION AND CONTACT DURING SHOWERING
ADULT EXPOSURE - FILTERED, MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL
FORT DEVENS, MA

NONCARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	WATER CONCENTRATION (mg/l)	INGESTION ABS$_i$	INTAKE INGESTION (mg/kg-day)	PC$_{EVENT}$ (cm/event)	INTAKE DERMAL (mg/kg-day)	ORAL RfD (mg/kg-day)	DERMAL RfD [1] (mg/kg-day) ^ -1	HAZARD QUOTIENT INGESTION	HAZARD QUOTIENT DERMAL	TOTAL HAZARD QUOTIENT
Arsenic	0.02	1	1.1E-04	0.0002	1.1E-06	0.0003	0.000294	3.7E-01	3.6E-03	**3.7E-01**
Calcium	148	1	8.1E-01	0.0002	7.9E-03	ND	ND			
Iron	14.6	1	8.0E-02	0.0002	7.8E-04	ND	ND			
Magnesium	25	1	1.4E-01	0.0002	1.3E-03	ND	ND			
Manganese	6.12	1	3.4E-02	0.0002	3.3E-04	0.005	0.0002	6.7E+00	1.6E+00	**8.3E+00**
Potassium	17	1	9.3E-02	0.0002	9.0E-04	ND	ND			
Sodium	18.6	1	1.0E-01	0.0002	9.9E-04	ND	ND			
Vanadium	0.012	1	6.6E-05	0.0002	6.4E-07	0.007	0.00021	9.4E-03	3.0E-03	**1.2E-02**
					SUMMARY HAZARD INDEX			**7E+00**	**2E+00**	**9E+00**

ND = No data available
[1] Calculated from Oral RfD as described in Section 6.1.2.3.

GCIGFMC	15-Dec-93

TABLE M-6
GROUNDWATER - INGESTION AND CONTACT DURING BATHING
CHILD EXPOSURE - (SUBCHRONIC) FILTERED, MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

EXPOSURE PARAMETERS

PARAMETER	SYMBOL	VALUE	UNITS	SOURCE
CONCENTRATION WATER	CW	chemical specific	mg/liter	
INGESTION RATE	IR	0.5	liters/day	USEPA, 1989b
AGE-SPECIFIC SURFACE AREA	SA_i	age-specific	cm^3	USEPA, 1989b
EVENT FREQUENCY	EV	1	events/day	
BODY WEIGHT	BW	16	kg	USEPA, 1989b
AGE-SPECIFIC BODY WEIGHT	BW_i	age-specific	kg	USEPA, 1989b
DOSE ABSORBED PER EVENT	DA_{event}	chemical specific	mg/cm^2-event	Calculated [2] (USEPA, 1992d)
BATH EXPOSURE TIME	ET	0.2	hours/day	USEPA, 1989f
EXPOSURE FREQUENCY	EF	350	days/year	USEPA, 1991b
EXPOSURE DURATION	ED	5	years	Assumption
AGE-SPECIFIC EXPSOURE DURATION	ED_i	age-specific	years	USEPA, 1989b
AGE-WEIGHTED SURFACE AREA [1]	SA_{adj}	2247.5	cm^2-yr/kg	Appendix V [3](USEPA, 1992d)
AVERAGING TIME				
CANCER	AT	70	years	USEPA, 1989f
NONCANCER	AT	5	years	USEPA, 1989f
CONVERSION FACTOR	CF	0.001	$liter/10^3cm^3$	

[1] The calculations for normalized surface area (SA_{adj}) and dermally absorbed dose per unit area per event (DA_{event}) are described in Appendix V.
[2] PC_{event} calculated in Appendix W.
[3] Surface area represents the entire body.
USEPA, 1989b. Exposure Factors Handbook.
USEPA, 1989f. Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund.
USEPA, 1991b. Standard Default Exposure Factors.
USEPA, 1992d. Dermal Exposure Assessment: Principles and Applications.

EQUATIONS

CANCER RISK = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) x CANCER SLOPE FACTOR (mg/kg-day) ^ -1

HAZARD QUOTIENT = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) / REFERENCE DOSE (mg/kg-day)

$$\text{INTAKE-INGESTION} = \frac{CW \times IR \times ABS_i \times ET \times EF \times ED}{BW \times AT \times 365\ days/yr}$$

$$\text{INTAKE-DERMAL} = \frac{DA_{event} \times EV \times EF \times CF \times SA_{adj}}{AT \times 365\ days/yr}$$

Where:

$$DA_{event} = PC_{event} \times CW$$

Note:
For noncarcinogenic effects: AT = ED
Absorption factors (ABS_i) for ingestion are set equal to one (USEPA Region I default value).

GCIGFMC	15-Dec-93

TABLE M-6, continued
GROUNDWATER - INGESTION AND CONTACT DURING BATHING
CHILD EXPOSURE - (SUBCHRONIC) FILTERED, MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

CARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	WATER CONCENTRATION (mg/l)	INGESTION ABS_s	INTAKE INGESTION (mg/kg-day)	PC_{EVENT} (cm/event)	INTAKE DERMAL (mg/kg-day)	ORAL CSF (mg/kg-day) ^ -1	DERMAL CSF [1] (mg/kg-day) ^ -1	CANCER RISK INGESTION	CANCER RISK DERMAL	TOTAL CANCER RISK
Arsenic	0.02	1	8.6E-06	0.0002	1.2E-07	1.75	1.79	1.5E-05	2.2E-07	1.5E-05
						SUMMARY CANCER RISK		**1E-05**	**2E-07**	**2E-05**

[1] Calculated from Oral CSF as described in Section 6.1.2.3.

TABLE M–6, continued

GCIGFMC	15–Dec–93

GROUNDWATER – INGESTION AND CONTACT DURING BATHING
CHILD EXPOSURE – (SUBCHRONIC) FILTERED, MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

NONCARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	WATER CONCENTRATION (mg/l)	INGESTION ABS_d	INTAKE INGESTION (mg/kg–day)	PC_{EVENT} (cm/event)	INTAKE DERMAL (mg/kg–day)	ORAL RfD (mg/kg–day)	DERMAL RfD [1] (mg/kg–day) ^ –1	HAZARD QUOTIENT INGESTION	HAZARD QUOTIENT DERMAL	TOTAL HAZARD QUOTIENT
Arsenic	0.02	1	1.2E–04	0.0002	1.7E–06	0.0003	0.000294	4.0E–01	5.9E–03	**4.1E–01**
Calcium	148	1	8.9E–01	0.0002	1.3E–02	ND	ND			
Iron	14.6	1	8.8E–02	0.0002	1.3E–03	ND	ND			
Magnesium	25	1	1.5E–01	0.0002	2.2E–03	ND	ND			
Manganese	6.12	1	3.7E–02	0.0002	5.3E–04	0.005	0.0002	7.3E+00	2.6E+00	**1.0E+01**
Potassium	17	1	1.0E–01	0.0002	1.5E–03	ND	ND			
Sodium	18.6	1	1.1E–01	0.0002	1.6E–03	ND	ND			
Vanadium	0.012	1	7.2E–05	0.0002	1.0E–06	0.007	0.00021	1.0E–02	4.9E–03	**1.5E–02**
					SUMMARY HAZARD INDEX			**8E+00**	**3E+00**	**1E+01**

ND = No data available
[1] Calculated from Oral RfD as described in Section 6.1.2.3.

GCIGFAA	15-Dec-93

TABLE M-7
GROUNDWATER - INGESTION AND CONTACT DURING SHOWERING
ADULT EXPOSURE - FILTERED, AVERAGE CONCENTRATION
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL
FORT DEVENS, MA

EXPOSURE PARAMETERS

PARAMETER	SYMBOL	VALUE	UNITS	SOURCE
CONCENTRATION WATER	CW	chemical specific	mg/liter	
INGESTION RATE	IR	2	liters/day	USEPA, 1991b
EVENT FREQUENCY	EV	1	events/day	
BODY WEIGHT	BW	70	kg	USEPA, 1991b
DOSE ABSORBED PER EVENT	DA_{event}	chemical specific	mg/cm^2-event	Calculated [1] (USEPA, 1992d)
SHOWER EXPOSURE TIME	ET	0.2	hours/day	USEPA, 1989f
EXPOSURE FREQUENCY	EF	350	days/year	USEPA, 1991b
EXPOSURE DURATION	ED	30	years	USEPA, 1989f
SURFACE AREA EXPOSED	SA	19400.0	cm^2	USEPA, 1989b [2]
AVERAGING TIME				
CANCER	AT	70	years	USEPA, 1989f
NONCANCER	AT	30	years	USEPA, 1989f
CONVERSION FACTOR	CF	0.001	$liter/10^3cm^3$	

[1] PC_{event} calculated in Appendix W
[2] Surface Area represents entire body.
USEPA, 1989b. Exposure Factors Handbook.
USEPA, 1989f. Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund.
USEPA, 1991b. Standard Default Exposure Factors.
USEPA, 1992d. Dermal Exposure Assessment: Principles and Applications.

EQUATIONS

CANCER RISK = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) x CANCER SLOPE FACTOR (mg/kg-day) ^ -1

HAZARD QUOTIENT = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) / REFERENCE DOSE (mg/kg-day)

$$\text{INTAKE-INGESTION} = \frac{CW \times IR \times ABS_i \times ET \times EF \times ED}{BW \times AT \times 365\ days/yr}$$

$$\text{INTAKE-DERMAL} = \frac{DA_{event} \times EV \times EF \times ED \times CF \times SA}{BW \times AT \times 365\ days/yr}$$

Where:

$DA_{event} = PC_{event} \times CW$

Note:
For noncarcinogenic effects: AT = ED
Absorption Factors (ABS_i) for ingestion are set equal to one (USEPA Region I default value).

GCIGFAA	15-Dec-93

TABLE M-7, continued
GROUNDWATER - INGESTION AND CONTACT DURING SHOWERING
ADULT EXPOSURE - FILTERED, AVERAGE CONCENTRATION
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL
FORT DEVENS, MA

CARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	WATER CONCENTRATION (mg/l)	INGESTION ABS_i	INTAKE INGESTION (mg/kg-day)	PC_{EVENT} (cm/event)	INTAKE DERMAL (mg/kg-day)	ORAL CSF (mg/kg-day) ^-1	DERMAL CSF [1] (mg/kg-day) ^-1	CANCER RISK INGESTION	CANCER RISK DERMAL	TOTAL CANCER RISK
Arsenic	0.0049	1	1.2E-05	0.0002	1.1E-07	1.75	1.79	2.0E-05	2.0E-07	2.0E-05
					SUMMARY CANCER RISK			**2E-05**	**2E-07**	**2E-05**

[1] Calculated from Oral CSF as described in Section 6.1.2.3.

GCIGFAA	15-Dec-93

TABLE M-7, continued
GROUNDWATER - INGESTION AND CONTACT DURING SHOWERING
ADULT EXPOSURE - FILTERED, AVERAGE CONCENTRATION
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL
FORT DEVENS, MA

NONCARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	WATER CONCENTRATION (mg/l)	INGESTION ABS_i	INTAKE INGESTION (mg/kg-day)	PC_{EVENT} (cm/event)	INTAKE DERMAL (mg/kg-day)	ORAL RfD (mg/kg-day)	DERMAL RfD [1] (mg/kg-day) ^ -1	HAZARD QUOTIENT INGESTION	HAZARD QUOTIENT DERMAL	TOTAL HAZARD QUOTIENT
Arsenic	0.0049	1	2.7E-05	0.0002	2.6E-07	0.0003	0.000294	8.9E-02	8.9E-04	**9.0E-02**
Calcium	64.5	1	3.5E-01	0.0002	3.4E-03	ND	ND			
Iron	3.2	1	1.8E-02	0.0002	1.7E-04	ND	ND			
Magnesium	11.5	1	6.3E-02	0.0002	6.1E-04	ND	ND			
Manganese	3	1	1.6E-02	0.0002	1.6E-04	0.005	0.0002	3.3E+00	8.0E-01	**4.1E+00**
Potassium	5.9	1	3.2E-02	0.0002	3.1E-04	ND	ND			
Sodium	13.2	1	7.2E-02	0.0002	7.0E-04	ND	ND			
Vanadium	0.0076	1	4.2E-05	0.0002	4.0E-07	ND	0.00021	5.9E-03	1.9E-03	**7.9E-03**
					SUMMARY HAZARD INDEX			**3E+00**	**8E-01**	**4E+00**

ND = No data available
[1] Calculated from Oral RfD as described in Section 6.1.2.3.

TABLE M–8

GCIGFAC	15–Dec–93

GROUNDWATER – INGESTION AND CONTACT DURING BATHING
CHILD EXPOSURE – (SUBCHRONIC) FILTERED, AVERAGE CONCENTRATION
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

EXPOSURE PARAMETERS

PARAMETER	SYMBOL	VALUE	UNITS	SOURCE
CONCENTRATION WATER	CW	chemical specific	mg/liter	
INGESTION RATE	IR	0.5	liters/day	USEPA, 1989b
AGE–SPECIFIC SURFACE AREA	SA_i	age–specific	cm^3	USEPA, 1989b
EVENT FREQUENCY	EV	1	events/day	
BODY WEIGHT	BW	16	kg	USEPA, 1989b
AGE–SPECIFIC BODY WEIGHT	BW_i	age–specific	kg	USEPA, 1989b
DOSE ABSORBED PER EVENT	DA_{event}	chemical specific	mg/cm^2–event	Calculated [2] (USEPA, 1992d)
BATH EXPOSURE TIME	ET	0.2	hours/day	USEPA, 1989f
EXPOSURE FREQUENCY	EF	350	days/year	USEPA, 1991b
EXPOSURE DURATION	ED	5	years	Assumption
AGE–SPECIFIC EXPSOURE DURATION	ED_i	age–specific	years	USEPA, 1989b
AGE–WEIGHTED SURFACE AREA [1]	SA_{adj}	2247.5	cm^2–yr/kg	Appendix V [3](USEPA, 1992d)
AVERAGING TIME				
CANCER	AT	70	years	USEPA, 1989f
NONCANCER	AT	5	years	USEPA, 1989f
CONVERSION FACTOR	CF	0.001	liter/$10^3 cm^3$	

[1] The calculations for normalized surface area (SA_{adj}) and dermally absorbed dose per unit area per event (DA_{event}) are described in Appendix V.
[2] PC_{event} calculated in Appendix W.
[3] Surface area represents the entire body.

USEPA, 1989b. Exposure Factors Handbook.
USEPA, 1989f. Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund.
USEPA, 1991b. Standard Default Exposure Factors.
USEPA, 1992d. Dermal Exposure Assessment: Principles and Applications.

EQUATIONS

CANCER RISK = INTAKE (mg/kg–day) x CANCER SLOPE FACTOR (mg/kg–day)^–1

HAZARD QUOTIENT = INTAKE (mg/kg–day) / REFERENCE DOSE (mg/kg–day)

$$\text{INTAKE–INGESTION} = \frac{CW \times IR \times ABS_i \times ET \times EF \times ED}{BW \times AT \times 365\ days/yr}$$

$$\text{INTAKE–DERMAL} = \frac{DA_{event} \times EV \times EF \times CF \times SA_{adj}}{AT \times 365\ days/yr}$$

Where:

$$DA_{event} = PC_{event} \times CW$$

Note:
For noncarcinogenic effects: AT = ED
Absorption factors (ABS_i) for ingestion are set equal to one (USEPA Region I default value).

GCIGFAC	15-Dec-93

TABLE M-8, continued
GROUNDWATER - INGESTION AND CONTACT DURING BATHING
CHILD EXPOSURE - (SUBCHRONIC) FILTERED, AVERAGE CONCENTRATION
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

CARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	WATER CONCENTRATION (mg/l)	INGESTION ABS_i	INTAKE INGESTION (mg/kg-day)	PC_{EVENT} (cm/event)	INTAKE DERMAL (mg/kg-day)	ORAL CSF (mg/kg-day) ^ -1	DERMAL CSF [1] (mg/kg-day) ^ -1	CANCER RISK INGESTION	CANCER RISK DERMAL	TOTAL CANCER RISK
Arsenic	0.0049	1	2.1E-06	0.0002	3.0E-08	1.75	1.79	3.7E-06	5.4E-08	3.7E-06
					SUMMARY CANCER RISK			4E-06	5E-08	4E-06

[1] Calculated from Oral CSF as dsecribed in Section 6.1.2.3.

TABLE M–8, continued

GCIGFAC	15–Dec–93

GROUNDWATER – INGESTION AND CONTACT DURING BATHING
CHILD EXPOSURE – (SUBCHRONIC) FILTERED, AVERAGE CONCENTRATION
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

NONCARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	WATER CONCENTRATION (mg/l)	INGESTION ABS_i	INTAKE INGESTION (mg/kg–day)	PC_{EVENT} (cm/event)	INTAKE DERMAL (mg/kg–day)	ORAL RfD (mg/kg–day)	DERMAL RfD [1] (mg/kg–day) ^ –1	HAZARD QUOTIENT INGESTION	HAZARD QUOTIENT DERMAL	TOTAL HAZARD QUOTIENT
Arsenic	0.0049	1	2.9E–05	0.0002	4.2E–07	0.0003	0.000294	9.8E–02	1.4E–03	9.9E–02
Calcium	64.5	1	3.9E–01	0.0002	5.6E–03	ND	ND			
Iron	3.2	1	1.9E–02	0.0002	2.8E–04	ND	ND			
Magnesium	11.5	1	6.9E–02	0.0002	9.9E–04	ND	ND			
Manganese	3	1	1.8E–02	0.0002	2.6E–04	0.005	0.0002	3.6E+00	1.3E+00	4.9E+00
Potassium	5.9	1	3.5E–02	0.0002	5.1E–04	ND	ND			
Sodium	13.2	1	7.9E–02	0.0002	1.1E–03	ND	ND			
Vanadium	0.0076	1	4.6E–05	0.0002	6.6E–07	0.007	0.00021	6.5E–03	3.1E–03	9.6E–03
						SUMMARY HAZARD INDEX		4E+00	1E+00	5E+00

ND = No data available
[1] Calculated from Oral RfD as dsecribed in Section 6.1.2.3.

TABLE M-9

FCSWTA-C	15-Dec-93

FISH INGESTION - COLD SPRING BROOK POND - WHOLE FISH (PUMPKINSEEDS)
ADULT EXPOSURE - AVERAGE AND MAXIMUM CONCENTRATIONS
CURRENT AND FUTURE LAND USE
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FT. DEVENS, MA

EXPOSURE PARAMETERS

PARAMETER	SYMBOL	VALUE	UNITS	SOURCE
CONCENTRATION IN FISH	CF		mg/kg	SITE-SPECIFIC
FISH INGESTION RATE	IR	54	g/day	USEPA, 1991b
RELATIVE ABSORPTION FACTOR	RAF	1		ASSUMPTION
FRACTION INGESTED FROM SOURCE	FI	0.05		ASSUMPTION
BODY WEIGHT	BW	70	kg	USEPA, 1991b
CONVERSION FACTOR	C	0.001	kg/g	
EXPOSURE FREQUENCY	EF	350	days/year	USEPA, 1991b
EXPOSURE DURATION	ED	30	years	USEPA, 1991b
AVERAGING TIME				
CANCER	AT	70	years	USEPA, 1989f
NONCANCER	AT	30	years	USEPA, 1989f

USEPA, 1991b "STANDARD DEFAULT EXPOSURE FACTORS"
USEPA, 1989f "RISK ASSESSMENT GUIDANCE FOR SUPERFUND"

EQUATIONS

CANCER RISK = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) x CANCER SLOPE FACTOR (mg/kg-day)^-1

HAZARD QUOTIENT = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) / REFERENCE DOSE (mg/kg-day)

$$\text{INTAKE} = \frac{\text{CF x IR x RAF x FI x C x EF x ED}}{\text{BW x AT x 365 days/yr}}$$

Note:
For noncarcinogenic effects: AT = ED

TABLE M-9, continued

FCSWTA-C	15-Dec-93

FISH INGESTION - COLD SPRING BROOK POND - WHOLE FISH (PUMPKINSEEDS)
ADULT EXPOSURE - AVERAGE AND MAXIMUM CONCENTRATIONS
CURRENT AND FUTURE LAND USE
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FT. DEVENS, MA

CARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	AVERAGE CONCENTRATION IN FISH (mg/kg)	MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION IN FISH (mg/kg)	INTAKE AVG. CONC. (mg/kg-day)	INTAKE MAX. CONC. (mg/kg-day)	CANCER SLOPE FACTOR (mg/kg-day)^-1	TOTAL CANCER RISK AVG. CONC.	TOTAL CANCER RISK MAX. CONC.
Arsenic	0.15	0.27	2.4E-06	4.3E-06	1.75	4.2E-06	7.5E-06
P,P-DDE	0.083	0.17	1.3E-06	2.7E-06	0.34	4.5E-07	9.2E-07
P,P-DDD	0.12	0.23	1.9E-06	3.6E-06	0.24	4.6E-07	8.7E-07
				SUMMARY CANCER RISK		5E-06	9E-06

TABLE M-9, continued

FCSWTA-C	15-Dec-93

FISH INGESTION - COLD SPRING BROOK POND - WHOLE FISH (PUMPKINSEEDS)
ADULT EXPOSURE - AVERAGE AND MAXIMUM CONCENTRATIONS
CURRENT AND FUTURE LAND USE
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FT. DEVENS, MA

NONCARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	AVERAGE CONCENTRATION IN FISH (mg/kg)	MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION IN FISH (mg/kg)	INTAKE AVG. CONC. (mg/kg-day)	INTAKE MAX. CONC. (mg/kg-day)	REFERENCE DOSE (mg/kg-day)	TOTAL HAZARD QUOTIENT AVG. CONC.	TOTAL HAZARD QUOTIENT MAX. CONC.
Arsenic	0.15	0.27	5.5E-06	1.0E-05	0.0003	1.8E-02	3.3E-02
P,P-DDE	0.083	0.17	3.1E-06	6.3E-06	0.0005	6.1E-03	1.3E-02
P,P-DDD	0.12	0.23	4.4E-06	8.5E-06	0.0005	8.9E-03	1.7E-02
Manganese	10	12	3.7E-04	4.4E-04	0.14	2.6E-03	3.2E-03
Zinc	21	24.1	7.8E-04	8.9E-04	0.3	2.6E-03	3.0E-03
Barium	0.47	0.64	1.7E-05	2.4E-05	0.07	2.5E-04	3.4E-04
Calcium	13137	15500	4.9E-01	5.7E-01	ND		
Chromium III	0.3	0.33	1.1E-05	1.2E-05	1	1.1E-05	1.2E-05
Cobalt	0.12	0.2	4.4E-06	7.4E-06	ND		
Copper	0.39	0.41	1.4E-05	1.5E-05	ND		
Iron	32.9	41.5	1.2E-03	1.5E-03	ND		
Mercury	0.16	0.24	5.9E-06	8.9E-06	0.0003	2.0E-02	3.0E-02
Selenium	0.25	0.45	9.2E-06	1.7E-05	0.005	1.8E-03	3.3E-03
Sodium	1173	1280	4.3E-02	4.7E-02	ND		
Magnesium	417	464	1.5E-02	1.7E-02	ND		
					SUMMARY HAZARD INDEX	**6E-02**	**1E-01**

ND = No data available

TABLE M-10

FCSWTC-C	15-Dec-93

FISH INGESTION - COLD SPRING BROOK POND - WHOLE FISH (PUMPKINSEEDS)
CHILD EXPOSURE - AVERAGE AND MAXIMUM CONCENTRATIONS
CURRENT AND FUTURE LAND USE
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FT. DEVENS, MA

EXPOSURE PARAMETERS

PARAMETER	SYMBOL	VALUE	UNITS	SOURCE
CONCENTRATION IN FISH	CF		mg/kg	SITE-SPECIFIC
FISH INGESTION RATE	IR	16.5	g/day	USEPA, 1989b
RELATIVE ABSORPTION	RAF	1		ASSUMPTION
FRACTION INGESTED FROM SOURCE	FI	0.05		ASSUMPTION
BODY WEIGHT	BW	16	kg	USEPA, 1989b
CONVERSION FACTOR	C	0.001	kg/g	
EXPOSURE FREQUENCY	EF	350	days/year	USEPA, 1991b
EXPOSURE DURATION	ED	5	years	ASSUMPTION
AVERAGING TIME				
CANCER	AT	70	years	USEPA, 1989f
NONCANCER	AT	5	years	USEPA, 1989f

USEPA, 1991b "STANDARD DEFAULT EXPOSURE FACTORS"
USEPA, 1989b "EXPOSURE FACTORS HANDBOOK"
USEPA, 1989f "RISK ASSESSMENT GUIDANCE FOR SUPERFUND"

EQUATIONS

CANCER RISK = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) x CANCER SLOPE FACTOR (mg/kg-day)^-1

HAZARD QUOTIENT = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) / REFERENCE DOSE (mg/kg-day)

$$\text{INTAKE} = \frac{\text{CF x IR x RAF x FI x C x EF x ED}}{\text{BW x AT x 365 days/yr}}$$

Note:
For noncarcinogenic effects: AT = ED

TABLE M-10, continued

FCSWTC-C	15-Dec-93

FISH INGESTION - COLD SPRING BROOK POND - WHOLE FISH (PUMPKINSEEDS)
CHILD EXPOSURE - AVERAGE AND MAXIMUM CONCENTRATIONS
CURRENT AND FUTURE LAND USE
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FT. DEVENS, MA

CARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	AVERAGE CONCENTRATION IN FISH (mg/kg)	MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION IN FISH (mg/kg)	INTAKE AVG. CONC. (mg/kg-day)	INTAKE MAX. CONC. (mg/kg-day)	CANCER SLOPE FACTOR (mg/kg-day)^-1	TOTAL CANCER RISK AVG. CONC.	TOTAL CANCER RISK MAX. CONC.
Arsenic	0.15	0.27	5.3E-07	9.5E-07	1.75	9.3E-07	1.7E-06
P,P-DDE	0.083	0.17	2.9E-07	6.0E-07	0.34	1.0E-07	2.0E-07
P,P-DDD	0.12	0.23	4.2E-07	8.1E-07	0.24	1.0E-07	1.9E-07
					SUMMARY CANCER RISK	1E-06	2E-06

TABLE M-10, continued

FCSWTC-C	15-Dec-93

FISH INGESTION - COLD SPRING BROOK POND - WHOLE FISH (PUMPKINSEEDS)
CHILD EXPOSURE - AVERAGE AND MAXIMUM CONCENTRATIONS
CURRENT AND FUTURE LAND USE
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FT. DEVENS, MA

NONCARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	AVERAGE CONCENTRATION IN FISH (mg/kg)	MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION IN FISH (mg/kg)	INTAKE AVG. CONC. (mg/kg-day)	INTAKE MAX. CONC. (mg/kg-day)	REFERENCE DOSE (mg/kg-day)	TOTAL HAZARD QUOTIENT AVG. CONC.	TOTAL HAZARD QUOTIENT MAX. CONC.
Arsenic	0.15	0.27	7.4E-06	1.3E-05	0.0003	2.5E-02	4.4E-02
P,P-DDE	0.083	0.17	4.1E-06	8.4E-06	0.0005	8.2E-03	1.7E-02
P,P-DDD	0.12	0.23	5.9E-06	1.1E-05	0.0005	1.2E-02	2.3E-02
Manganese	10	12	4.9E-04	5.9E-04	0.14	3.5E-03	4.2E-03
Zinc	21	24.1	1.0E-03	1.2E-03	0.3	3.5E-03	4.0E-03
Barium	0.47	0.64	2.3E-05	3.2E-05	0.07	3.3E-04	4.5E-04
Calcium	13137	15500	6.5E-01	7.7E-01	ND		
Chromium III	0.3	0.33	1.5E-05	1.6E-05	1	1.5E-05	1.6E-05
Cobalt	0.12	0.2	5.9E-06	9.9E-06	ND		
Copper	0.39	0.41	1.9E-05	2.0E-05	ND		
Iron	32.9	41.5	1.6E-03	2.1E-03	ND		
Mercury	0.16	0.24	7.9E-06	1.2E-05	0.0003	2.6E-02	4.0E-02
Selenium	0.25	0.45	1.2E-05	2.2E-05	0.005	2.5E-03	4.4E-03
Sodium	1173	1280	5.8E-02	6.3E-02	ND		
Magnesium	417	464	2.1E-02	2.3E-02	ND		
				SUMMARY HAZARD INDEX		8E-02	1E-01

ND = No data available

TABLE M-11

FCSFTA-C	15-Dec-93

FISH INGESTION - COLD SPRING BROOK POND - FILLETS (BULLHEADS, PICKERELS)
ADULT EXPOSURE - AVERAGE AND MAXIMUM CONCENTRATIONS
CURRENT AND FUTURE LAND USE
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

EXPOSURE PARAMETERS

PARAMETER	SYMBOL	VALUE	UNITS	SOURCE
CONCENTRATION IN FISH	CF		mg/kg	SITE-SPECIFIC
FISH INGESTION RATE	IR	54	g/day	USEPA, 1991b
RELATIVE ABSORPTION FACTOR	RAF	1		ASSUMPTION
FRACTION INGESTED FROM SOURCE	FI	0.05		ASSUMPTION
BODY WEIGHT	BW	70	kg	USEPA, 1991b
CONVERSION FACTOR	C	0.001	kg/g	
EXPOSURE FREQUENCY	EF	350	days/year	USEPA, 1991b
EXPOSURE DURATION	ED	30	years	USEPA, 1991b
AVERAGING TIME				
CANCER	AT	70	years	USEPA, 1989f
NONCANCER	AT	30	years	USEPA, 1989f

USEPA, 1991b "STANDARD DEFAULT EXPOSURE FACTORS"
USEPA, 1989f "RISK ASSESSMENT GUIDANCE FOR SUPERFUND"

EQUATIONS

CANCER RISK = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) x CANCER SLOPE FACTOR (mg/kg-day)^-1

HAZARD QUOTIENT = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) / REFERENCE DOSE (mg/kg-day)

$$\text{INTAKE} = \frac{\text{CF x IR x RAF x FI x C x EF x ED}}{\text{BW x AT x 365 days/yr}}$$

Note:
For noncarcinogenic effects: AT = ED

TABLE M-11, continued

FCSFTA-C	15-Dec-93

FISH INGESTION - COLD SPRING BROOK POND - FILLETS (BULLHEADS, PICKERELS)
ADULT EXPOSURE - AVERAGE AND MAXIMUM CONCENTRATIONS
CURRENT AND FUTURE LAND USE
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

CARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	AVERAGE CONCENTRATION IN FISH (mg/kg)	MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION IN FISH (mg/kg)	INTAKE AVG. CONC. (mg/kg-day)	INTAKE MAX. CONC. (mg/kg-day)	CANCER SLOPE FACTOR (mg/kg-day)^-1	TOTAL CANCER RISK AVG. CONC.	TOTAL CANCER RISK MAX. CONC.
Arsenic	0.2	0.32	3.2E-06	5.1E-06	1.75	5.5E-06	8.9E-06
P,P-DDE	0.012	0.021	1.9E-07	3.3E-07	0.34	6.5E-08	1.1E-07
P,P-DDD	0.019	0.05	3.0E-07	7.9E-07	0.24	7.2E-08	1.9E-07
				SUMMARY CANCER RISK		6E-06	9E-06

TABLE M-11, continued

FCSFTA-C	15-Dec-93

FISH INGESTION - COLD SPRING BROOK POND - FILLETS (BULLHEADS, PICKERELS)
ADULT EXPOSURE - AVERAGE AND MAXIMUM CONCENTRATIONS
CURRENT AND FUTURE LAND USE
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

NONCARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	AVERAGE CONCENTRATION IN FISH (mg/kg)	MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION IN FISH (mg/kg)	INTAKE AVG. CONC. (mg/kg-day)	INTAKE MAX. CONC. (mg/kg-day)	REFERENCE DOSE (mg/kg-day)	TOTAL HAZARD QUOTIENT AVG. CONC.	TOTAL HAZARD QUOTIENT MAX. CONC.
Arsenic	0.2	0.32	7.4E-06	1.2E-05	0.0003	2.5E-02	3.9E-02
P,P-DDE	0.012	0.021	4.4E-07	7.8E-07	0.0005	8.9E-04	1.6E-03
P,P-DDD	0.019	0.05	7.0E-07	1.8E-06	0.0005	1.4E-03	3.7E-03
Manganese	0.37	1	1.4E-05	3.7E-05	0.14	9.8E-05	2.6E-04
Zinc	5.45	6.5	2.0E-04	2.4E-04	0.3	6.7E-04	8.0E-04
Calcium	217	505	8.0E-03	1.9E-02	ND		
Copper	0.3	0.35	1.1E-05	1.3E-05	ND		
Iron	6.11	9.1	2.3E-04	3.4E-04	ND		
Mercury	0.31	0.46	1.1E-05	1.7E-05	0.0003	3.8E-02	5.7E-02
Selenium	0.18	0.24	6.7E-06	8.9E-06	0.005	1.3E-03	1.8E-03
Sodium	385	455	1.4E-02	1.7E-02	ND		
Magnesium	269	329	9.9E-03	1.2E-02	ND		
					SUMMARY HAZARD INDEX	7E-02	1E-01

ND = No data available

TABLE M-12

FCSFTC-C	15-Dec-93

FISH INGESTION - COLD SPRING BROOK POND - FILLETS (BULLHEADS, PICKERELS)
CHILD EXPOSURE - AVERAGE AND MAXIMUM CONCENTRATIONS
CURRENT AND FUTURE LAND USE
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

EXPOSURE PARAMETERS

PARAMETER	SYMBOL	VALUE	UNITS	SOURCE
CONCENTRATION IN FISH	CF		mg/kg	SITE-SPECIFIC
FISH INGESTION RATE	IR	16.5	g/day	USEPA, 1989b
RELATIVE ABSROPTION FACTOR	RAF	1		ASSUMPTION
FRACTION INGESTED FROM SOURCE	FI	0.05		ASSUMPTION
BODY WEIGHT	BW	16	kg	USEPA, 1989b
CONVERSION FACTOR	C	0.001	kg/g	
EXPOSURE FREQUENCY	EF	350	days/year	USEPA, 1991b
EXPOSURE DURATION	ED	5	years	ASSUMPTION
AVERAGING TIME				
CANCER	AT	70	years	USEPA, 1989f
NONCANCER	AT	5	years	USEPA, 1989f

USEPA, 1991b "STANDARD DEFAULT EXPOSURE FACTORS"
USEPA, 1989b "EXPOSURE FACTORS HANDBOOK"
USEPA, 1989f "RISK ASSESSMENT GUIDANCE FOR SUPERFUND"

EQUATIONS

CANCER RISK = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) x CANCER SLOPE FACTOR (mg/kg-day)^-1

HAZARD QUOTIENT = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) / REFERENCE DOSE (mg/kg-day)

$$\textbf{INTAKE} = \frac{\textbf{CF x IR x RAF x FI x C x EF x ED}}{\textbf{BW x AT x 365 days/yr}}$$

Note:
For noncarcinogenic effects: AT = ED

TABLE M-12, continued

FCSFTC-C	15-Dec-93

FISH INGESTION - COLD SPRING BROOK POND - FILLETS (BULLHEADS, PICKERELS)
CHILD EXPOSURE - AVERAGE AND MAXIMUM CONCENTRATIONS
CURRENT AND FUTURE LAND USE
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

CARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	AVERAGE CONCENTRATION IN FISH (mg/kg)	MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION IN FISH (mg/kg)	INTAKE AVG. CONC. (mg/kg-day)	INTAKE MAX. CONC. (mg/kg-day)	CANCER SLOPE FACTOR (mg/kg-day)^-1	TOTAL CANCER RISK AVG. CONC.	TOTAL CANCER RISK MAX. CONC.
Arsenic	0.2	0.32	7.1E-07	1.1E-06	1.75	1.2E-06	2.0E-06
P,P-DDE	0.012	0.021	4.2E-08	7.4E-08	0.34	1.4E-08	2.5E-08
P,P-DDD	0.019	0.05	6.7E-08	1.8E-07	0.24	1.6E-08	4.2E-08
				SUMMARY CANCER RISK		1E-06	2E-06

TABLE M-12, continued

FCSFTC-C	15-Dec-93

FISH INGESTION - COLD SPRING BROOK POND - FILLETS (BULLHEADS, PICKERELS)
CHILD EXPOSURE - AVERAGE AND MAXIMUM CONCENTRATIONS
CURRENT AND FUTURE LAND USE
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

NONCARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	AVERAGE CONCENTRATION IN FISH (mg/kg)	MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION IN FISH (mg/kg)	INTAKE AVG. CONC. (mg/kg-day)	INTAKE MAX. CONC. (mg/kg-day)	REFERENCE DOSE (mg/kg-day)	TOTAL HAZARD QUOTIENT AVG. CONC.	TOTAL HAZARD QUOTIENT MAX. CONC.
Arsenic	0.2	0.32	9.9E-06	1.6E-05	0.0003	3.3E-02	5.3E-02
P,P-DDE	0.012	0.021	5.9E-07	1.0E-06	0.0005	1.2E-03	2.1E-03
P,P-DDD	0.019	0.05	9.4E-07	2.5E-06	0.0005	1.9E-03	4.9E-03
Manganese	0.37	1	1.8E-05	4.9E-05	0.14	1.3E-04	3.5E-04
Zinc	5.45	6.5	2.7E-04	3.2E-04	0.3	9.0E-04	1.1E-03
Calcium	217	505	1.1E-02	2.5E-02	ND		
Copper	0.3	0.35	1.5E-05	1.7E-05	ND		
Iron	6.11	9.1	3.0E-04	4.5E-04	ND		
Mercury	0.31	0.46	1.5E-05	2.3E-05	0.0003	5.1E-02	7.6E-02
Selenium	0.18	0.24	8.9E-06	1.2E-05	0.005	1.8E-03	2.4E-03
Sodium	385	455	1.9E-02	2.2E-02	ND		
Magnesium	269	329	1.3E-02	1.6E-02	ND		
				SUMMARY HAZARD INDEX		9E-02	1E-01

ND = No data available

SDCSTRCA	15-Dec-93

TABLE M-13
SEDIMENT INGESTION AND CONTACT – ADOLESCENT, AGES 6 TO 16
COLD SPRING BROOK POND – AVERAGE EXPOSURE
CURRENT LAND USE
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

EXPOSURE PARAMETERS

PARAMETER	SYMBOL	VALUE	UNITS	SOURCE
CONCENTRATION SEDIMENT	CS	chemical specific	mg/kg	
INGESTION RATE	IR	100	mg/day	USEPA, 1991b
FRACTION INGESTED	FI	100%	unitless	Assumption
ADHERENCE FACTOR	AF	0.5	mg/cm²-event	USEPA, 1992d
AGE-SPECIFIC SURFACE AREA	SA_i	age-specific	cm²	USEPA, 1989b
ABSORPTION FRACTION	ABS_i/ABS_d	default	unitless	USEPA, 1989c
CONVERSION FACTOR	CF	1.00E-06	kg/mg	
BODY WEIGHT	BW	42	kg	USEPA, 1989b
AGE-SPECIFIC BODY WEIGHT	BW_i	age-specific	kg	USEPA, 1989b
EXPOSURE FREQUENCY	EF	5	days/year *	Assumption
EXPOSURE DURATION	ED	10	years	Assumption
AGE-SPECIFIC EXPOSURE DURATION	ED_i	age-specific	years	Appendix V (USEPA, 1992d)
AGE-WEIGHTED SURFACE AREA [1]	$SA_{sed/adj}$	848.6	cm2-year/kg	Appendix V (USEPA, 1992d)
AVERAGING TIME				
CANCER	AT	70	years	USEPA, 1989f
NONCANCER	AT	10	years	USEPA, 1989f

* Units for exposure frequency are in events/year in the calculation of the dermally absorbed dose.

[1] The calculations for normalized surface area ($SA_{sed/adj}$) and dermally absorbed dose per unit area per event (DA_{event}) are described in Appendix V.

USEPA, 1992d. Dermal Exposure Assessment: Principles and Applications.

USEPA, 1991b. "Standard Default Exposure Factors".

USEPA, 1989b. Exposure Factors Handbook.

USEPA, 1989c. Region I Supplemental Risk Assessment Guidance.

USEPA, 1989f. Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund.

EQUATIONS

CANCER RISK = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) x ORAL CSF (mg/kg-day) ^ -1

HAZARD QUOTIENT = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) / ORAL RfD (mg/kg-day)

$$\text{INTAKE-INGESTION} = \frac{CS \times IR \times ABS_i \times FI \times CF \times EF \times ED}{BW \times AT \times 365\ days/yr}$$

$$\text{INTAKE-DERMAL} = (DA_{event} \times EF / AT \times 365\ days/year) \times SA_{sed/adj}$$

Where:

$$SA_{sed/adj} = SUM\ (SA_i \times ED_i / BW_i)$$

$$DA_{event} = CS \times AF \times ABS_d \times CF$$

Note:
For noncarcinogenic effects: AT = ED

SDCSTRCA	15-Dec-93

TABLE M-13, continued
SEDIMENT INGESTION AND CONTACT - ADOLESCENT, AGES 6 TO 16
COLD SPRING BROOK POND - AVERAGE EXPOSURE
CURRENT LAND USE
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA
CARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	SEDIMENT CONCENTRATION (mg/kg)	INGESTION ABS [1]	INTAKE INGESTION (mg/kg-day)	DERMAL ABS [1]	INTAKE DERMAL (mg/kg-day)	ORAL CSF (mg/kg-day)^-1	CANCER RISK INGESTION	DERMAL CSF [2] (mg/kg-day)^-1	CANCER RISK DERMAL	TOTAL CANCER RISK
P,P-DDE	0.09	0.3	1.3E-10	0.05	3.7E-10	3.40E-01	4.3E-11	1.7E+00	6.4E-10	6.8E-10
Benzo(a)anthracene	0.51	1	2.4E-09	0.05	2.1E-09	7.30E+00	1.7E-08	8.0E+00	1.7E-08	3.4E-08
Chrysene	0.63	1	2.9E-09	0.05	2.6E-09	7.30E+00	2.1E-08	8.0E+00	2.1E-08	4.2E-08
Arsenic	78	1	3.6E-07	0.01	6.5E-08	1.75E+00	6.4E-07	1.8E+00	1.2E-07	7.5E-07
Beryllium	0.19	1	8.9E-10	0.01	1.6E-10	4.30E+00	3.8E-09	4.3E+02	6.8E-08	7.2E-08
P,P-DDD	0.5	0.3	7.0E-10	0.05	2.1E-09	2.40E-01	1.7E-10	1.2E+00	2.5E-09	2.7E-09
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	1.4	1	6.5E-09	0.5	5.8E-08	1.40E-02	9.1E-11	1.4E-02	8.1E-10	9.1E-10
Benzo(a)pyrene	1.1	1	5.1E-09	0.05	4.6E-09	7.30E+00	3.7E-08	8.0E+00	3.7E-08	7.4E-08
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	0.64	1	3.0E-09	0.05	2.7E-09	7.30E+00	2.2E-08	8.0E+00	2.1E-08	4.3E-08
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.9	1	4.2E-09	0.05	3.7E-09	7.30E+00	3.1E-08	8.0E+00	3.0E-08	6.1E-08
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	0.56	1	2.6E-09	0.05	2.3E-09	7.30E+00	1.9E-08	8.0E+00	1.9E-08	3.8E-08
P,P-DDT	0.64	0.3	8.9E-10	0.05	2.7E-09	3.40E-01	3.0E-10	1.7E+00	4.5E-09	4.8E-09
						SUMMARY CANCER RISK	**8E-07**		**3E-07**	**1E-06**

[1] Set equal to USEPA Region I default absorption factors for soil (USEPA, 1989c).
[2] Calculated from Oral CSFs as described in Section 6.1.2.3.

TABLE M–13, continued
SEDIMENT INGESTION AND CONTACT – ADOLESCENT, AGES 6 TO 16
COLD SPRING BROOK POND – AVERAGE EXPOSURE
CURRENT LAND USE
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA
NONCARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

SDCSTRCA	15–Dec–93

COMPOUND	SEDIMENT CONCENTRATION (mg/kg)	INGESTION ABS [1]	INTAKE INGESTION (mg/kg–day)	DERMAL ABS [1]	INTAKE DERMAL (mg/kg–day)	ORAL RfD (mg/kg–day)	HAZARD QUOTIENT INGESTION	DERMAL RfD [2] (mg/kg–day)	HAZARD QUOTIENT DERMAL	TOTAL HAZARD QUOTIENT
P,P–DDE	0.09	0.3	8.8E–10	0.05	2.6E–09	0.0005	1.8E–06	0.0001	2.6E–05	2.8E–05
Benzo(a)anthracene	0.51	1	1.7E–08	0.05	1.5E–08	0.04	4.2E–07	0.04	3.7E–07	7.9E–07
Chrysene	0.63	1	2.1E–08	0.05	1.8E–08	0.04	5.1E–07	0.04	4.6E–07	9.7E–07
Arsenic	78	1	2.5E–06	0.01	4.5E–07	0.0003	8.5E–03	0.000294	1.5E–03	1.0E–02
Fluoranthene	1.6	1	5.2E–08	0.05	4.6E–08	0.04	1.3E–06	0.0364	1.3E–06	2.6E–06
Phenanthrene	0.77	1	2.5E–08	0.05	2.2E–08	0.04	6.3E–07	0.04	5.6E–07	1.2E–06
Pyrene	2.2	1	7.2E–08	0.05	6.4E–08	0.03	2.4E–06	0.0273	2.3E–06	4.7E–06
Barium	36.8	1	1.2E–06	0.01	2.1E–07	0.07	1.7E–05	0.0049	4.4E–05	6.1E–05
Manganese	634	1	2.1E–05	0.01	3.7E–06	0.14	1.5E–04	0.0056	6.6E–04	8.1E–04
Nickel	10.8	1	3.5E–07	0.01	6.3E–08	0.02	1.8E–05	0.001	6.3E–05	8.0E–05
Beryllium	0.19	1	6.2E–09	0.01	1.1E–09	0.005	1.2E–06	0.00005	2.2E–05	2.3E–05
Aluminum	6108	1	2.0E–04	0.01	3.6E–05	ND		ND		
Chromium VI	1.51	1	4.9E–08	0.01	8.8E–09	0.005	9.8E–06	0.00055	1.6E–05	2.6E–05
Chromium III	13.59	1	4.4E–07	0.01	7.9E–08	1	4.4E–07	0.1	7.9E–07	1.2E–06
Copper	8.5	1	2.8E–07	0.01	4.9E–08	ND		ND		
Vanadium	12.1	1	3.9E–07	0.01	7.0E–08	0.007	5.6E–05	0.00021	3.3E–04	3.9E–04
Zinc	82.3	1	2.7E–06	0.01	4.8E–07	0.3	8.9E–06	0.102	4.7E–06	1.4E–05
Mercury	0.077	1	2.5E–09	0.01	4.5E–10	0.0003	8.4E–06	0.00006	7.5E–06	1.6E–05
Lead	69.5	0.3	6.8E–07	0.01	4.0E–07	ND		ND		
P,P–DDD	0.5	0.3	4.9E–09	0.05	1.5E–08	0.0005	9.8E–06	0.0001	1.5E–04	1.6E–04
Bis(2–ethylhexyl)phthalate	1.4	1	4.6E–08	0.5	4.1E–07	0.02	2.3E–06	0.02	2.0E–05	2.3E–05
Benzo(a)pyrene	1.1	1	3.6E–08	0.05	3.2E–08	0.04	9.0E–07	0.04	8.0E–07	1.7E–06
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	0.64	1	2.1E–08	0.05	1.9E–08	0.04	5.2E–07	0.04	4.6E–07	9.9E–07
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.9	1	2.9E–08	0.05	2.6E–08	0.04	7.3E–07	0.04	6.5E–07	1.4E–06
Indeno(1,2,3–cd)pyrene	0.56	1	1.8E–08	0.05	1.6E–08	0.04	4.6E–07	0.04	4.1E–07	8.6E–07

[1] Set equal to USEPA Region I default absorption factors for soil (USEPA, 1989c).
[2] Calculated from Oral RfDs as described in Section 6.1.2.3.
ND = No data available

TABLE M-13, continued
SEDIMENT INGESTION AND CONTACT - ADOLESCENT, AGES 6 TO 16
COLD SPRING BROOK POND - AVERAGE EXPOSURE
CURRENT LAND USE
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA
NONCARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

SDCSTRCA	15-Dec-93

COMPOUND	SEDIMENT CONCENTRATION (mg/kg)	INGESTION ABS [1]	INTAKE INGESTION (mg/kg-day)	DERMAL ABS [1]	INTAKE DERMAL (mg/kg-day)	ORAL RfD (mg/kg-day)	HAZARD QUOTIENT INGESTION	DERMAL RfD [2] (mg/kg-day)	HAZARD QUOTIENT DERMAL	TOTAL HAZARD QUOTIENT
Acenaphthylene	0.26	1	8.5E-09	0.05	7.6E-09	0.04	2.1E-07	0.04	1.9E-07	4.0E-07
Anthracene	0.27	1	8.8E-09	0.05	7.8E-09	0.3	2.9E-08	0.273	2.9E-08	5.8E-08
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	0.48	1	1.6E-08	0.05	1.4E-08	0.04	3.9E-07	0.04	3.5E-07	7.4E-07
Calcium	8582	1	2.8E-04	0.01	5.0E-05	ND		ND		
Iron	15232	1	5.0E-04	0.01	8.9E-05	ND		ND		
Potassium	758	1	2.5E-05	0.01	4.4E-06	ND		ND		
Magnesium	2246	1	7.3E-05	0.01	1.3E-05	ND		ND		
Sodium	452	1	1.5E-05	0.01	2.6E-06	ND		ND		
P,P-DDT	0.64	0.3	6.3E-09	0.05	1.9E-08	0.0005	1.3E-05	0.0001	1.9E-04	2.0E-04
Acenaphthene	0.18	1	5.9E-09	0.05	5.2E-09	0.06	9.8E-08	0.0546	9.6E-08	1.9E-07
Dibenzofuran	0.15	1	4.9E-09	0.05	4.4E-09	ND		ND		
Fluorene	0.16	1	5.2E-09	0.05	4.6E-09	0.04	1.3E-07	0.04	1.2E-07	2.5E-07
Naphthalene	0.14	1	4.6E-09	0.05	4.1E-09	0.04	1.1E-07	0.04	1.0E-07	2.2E-07
Cobalt	3.38	1	1.1E-07	0.01	2.0E-08	ND		ND		
Selenium	1.96	1	6.4E-08	0.01	1.1E-08	0.005	1.3E-05	0.003	3.8E-06	1.7E-05
Silver	0.65	1	2.1E-08	0.01	3.8E-09	0.005	4.2E-06	0.00105	3.6E-06	7.8E-06
						SUMMARY HAZARD INDEX	9E-03		3E-03	1E-02

[1] Set equal to USEPA Region I default absorption factors for soil (USEPA, 1989c).
[2] Calculated from Oral RfDs as described in Section 6.1.2.3.
ND = No data available

SDCSTRCM	15-Dec-93

TABLE M-14
SEDIMENT INGESTION AND CONTACT -- ADOLESCENT, AGES 6 TO 16
COLD SPRING BROOK POND -- MAXIMUM EXPOSURE
CURRENT LAND USE
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

EXPOSURE PARAMETERS

PARAMETER	SYMBOL	VALUE	UNITS	SOURCE
CONCENTRATION SEDIMENT	CS	chemical specific	mg/kg	
INGESTION RATE	IR	100	mg/day	USEPA, 1991b
FRACTION INGESTED	FI	100%	unitless	Assumption
ADHERENCE FACTOR	AF	0.5	mg/cm²-event	USEPA, 1992d
AGE-SPECIFIC SURFACE AREA	SA_i	age-specific	cm²	USEPA, 1989b
ABSORPTION FRACTION	ABS_i/ABS_d	default	unitless	USEPA, 1989c
CONVERSION FACTOR	CF	1.00E-06	kg/mg	
BODY WEIGHT	BW	42	kg	USEPA, 1989b
AGE-SPECIFIC BODY WEIGHT	BW_i	age-specific	kg	USEPA, 1989b
EXPOSURE FREQUENCY	EF	5	days/year *	Assumption
EXPOSURE DURATION	ED	10	years	Assumption
AGE-SPECIFIC EXPOSURE DURATION	ED_i	age-specific	years	Appendix V (USEPA, 1992d)
AGE-WEIGHTED SURFACE AREA [1]	$SA_{sed/adj}$	848.6	cm2-year/kg	Appendix V (USEPA, 1992d)
AVERAGING TIME				
CANCER	AT	70	years	USEPA, 1989f
NONCANCER	AT	10	years	USEPA, 1989f

* Units for exposure frequency are in events/year in the calculation of the dermally absorbed dose.

[1] The calculations for normalized surface area ($SA_{sed/adj}$) and dermally absorbed dose per unit area per event (DA_{event}) are described in Appendix V.

USEPA, 1992d. Dermal Exposure Assessment: Principles and Applications.

USEPA, 1991b. "Standard Default Exposure Factors".

USEPA, 1989b. Exposure Factors Handbook.

USEPA, 1989c. Region I Supplemental Risk Assessment Guidance.

USEPA, 1989f. Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund.

EQUATIONS

CANCER RISK = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) x ORAL CSF (mg/kg-day) ^ -1

HAZARD QUOTIENT = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) / ORAL RfD (mg/kg-day)

$$\text{INTAKE-INGESTION} = \frac{CS \times IR \times ABS_i \times FI \times CF \times EF \times ED}{BW \times AT \times 365\ \text{days/yr}}$$

$$\text{INTAKE-DERMAL} = (DA_{event} \times EF / AT \times 365\ \text{days/year}) \times SA_{sed/adj}$$

Where:

$SA_{sed/adj} = SUM\ (SA_i \times ED_i / BW_i)$

$DA_{event} = CS \times AF \times ABS_d \times CF$

Note:
For noncarcinogenic effects: AT = ED

SDCSTRCM	15-Dec-93

TABLE M-14, continued
SEDIMENT INGESTION AND CONTACT - ADOLESCENT, AGES 6 TO 16
COLD SPRING BROOK POND - MAXIMUM EXPOSURE
CURRENT LAND USE
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA
CARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	SEDIMENT CONCENTRATION (mg/kg)	INGESTION ABS [1]	INTAKE INGESTION (mg/kg-day)	DERMAL ABS [1]	INTAKE DERMAL (mg/kg-day)	ORAL CSF (mg/kg-day)^-1	CANCER RISK INGESTION	DERMAL CSF [2] (mg/kg-day)^-1	CANCER RISK DERMAL	TOTAL CANCER RISK
P,P-DDE	0.72	0.3	1.0E-09	0.05	3.0E-09	3.40E-01	3.4E-10	1.7E+00	5.1E-09	5.4E-09
Benzo(a)anthracene	4	1	1.9E-08	0.05	1.7E-08	7.30E+00	1.4E-07	8.0E+00	1.3E-07	2.7E-07
Chrysene	8	1	3.7E-08	0.05	3.3E-08	7.30E+00	2.7E-07	8.0E+00	2.7E-07	5.4E-07
Arsenic	390	1	1.8E-06	0.01	3.2E-07	1.75E+00	3.2E-06	1.8E+00	5.8E-07	3.8E-06
Beryllium	0.41	1	1.9E-09	0.01	3.4E-10	4.30E+00	8.2E-09	4.3E+02	1.5E-07	1.5E-07
P,P-DDD	6.2	0.3	8.7E-09	0.05	2.6E-08	2.40E-01	2.1E-09	1.2E+00	3.1E-08	3.3E-08
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	2	1	9.3E-09	0.5	8.3E-08	1.40E-02	1.3E-10	1.4E-02	1.2E-09	1.3E-09
Benzo(a)pyrene	6	1	2.8E-08	0.05	2.5E-08	7.30E+00	2.0E-07	8.0E+00	2.0E-07	4.0E-07
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	5	1	2.3E-08	0.05	2.1E-08	7.30E+00	1.7E-07	8.0E+00	1.7E-07	3.4E-07
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	10	1	4.7E-08	0.05	4.2E-08	7.30E+00	3.4E-07	8.0E+00	3.3E-07	6.7E-07
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	2	1	9.3E-09	0.05	8.3E-09	7.30E+00	6.8E-08	8.0E+00	6.7E-08	1.3E-07
P,P-DDT	15	0.3	2.1E-08	0.05	6.2E-08	3.40E-01	7.1E-09	1.7E+00	1.1E-07	1.1E-07
						SUMMARY CANCER RISK	**4E-06**		**2E-06**	**6E-06**

[1] Set equal to USEPA Region I default absorption factors for soil (USEPA, 1989c).
[2] Calculated from Oral CSFs as described in Section 6.1.2.3.

SDCSTRCM	15-Dec-93

TABLE M-14, continued
SEDIMENT INGESTION AND CONTACT - ADOLESCENT, AGES 6 TO 16
COLD SPRING BROOK POND - MAXIMUM EXPOSURE
CURRENT LAND USE
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA
NONCARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	SEDIMENT CONCENTRATION (mg/kg)	INGESTION ABS [1]	INTAKE INGESTION (mg/kg-day)	DERMAL ABS [1]	INTAKE DERMAL (mg/kg-day)	ORAL RfD (mg/kg-day)	HAZARD QUOTIENT INGESTION	DERMAL RfD [2] (mg/kg-day)	HAZARD QUOTIENT DERMAL	TOTAL HAZARD QUOTIENT
P,P-DDE	0.72	0.3	7.0E-09	0.05	2.1E-08	0.0005	1.4E-05	0.0001	2.1E-04	2.2E-04
Benzo(a)anthracene	4	1	1.3E-07	0.05	1.2E-07	0.04	3.3E-06	0.04	2.9E-06	6.2E-06
Chrysene	8	1	2.6E-07	0.05	2.3E-07	0.04	6.5E-06	0.04	5.8E-06	1.2E-05
Arsenic	390	1	1.3E-05	0.01	2.3E-06	0.0003	4.2E-02	0.000294	7.7E-03	5.0E-02
Fluoranthene	10	1	3.3E-07	0.05	2.9E-07	0.04	8.2E-06	0.0364	8.0E-06	1.6E-05
Phenanthrene	6	1	2.0E-07	0.05	1.7E-07	0.04	4.9E-06	0.04	4.4E-06	9.3E-06
Pyrene	20	1	6.5E-07	0.05	5.8E-07	0.03	2.2E-05	0.0273	2.1E-05	4.3E-05
Barium	115	1	3.8E-06	0.01	6.7E-07	0.07	5.4E-05	0.0049	1.4E-04	1.9E-04
Manganese	3000	1	9.8E-05	0.01	1.7E-05	0.14	7.0E-04	0.0056	3.1E-03	3.8E-03
Nickel	54.3	1	1.8E-06	0.01	3.2E-07	0.02	8.9E-05	0.001	3.2E-04	4.0E-04
Beryllium	0.41	1	1.3E-08	0.01	2.4E-09	0.005	2.7E-06	0.00005	4.8E-05	5.0E-05
Aluminum	17000	1	5.5E-04	0.01	9.9E-05	ND		ND		
Chromium VI	6.48	1	2.1E-07	0.01	3.8E-08	0.005	4.2E-05	0.00055	6.8E-05	1.1E-04
Chromium III	58.32	1	1.9E-06	0.01	3.4E-07	1	1.9E-06	0.1	3.4E-06	5.3E-06
Copper	42.9	1	1.4E-06	0.01	2.5E-07	ND		ND		
Vanadium	48.6	1	1.6E-06	0.01	2.8E-07	0.007	2.3E-04	0.00021	1.3E-03	1.6E-03
Zinc	690	1	2.3E-05	0.01	4.0E-06	0.3	7.5E-05	0.102	3.9E-05	1.1E-04
Mercury	0.72	1	2.3E-08	0.01	4.2E-09	0.0003	7.8E-05	0.00006	7.0E-05	1.5E-04
Lead	570	0.3	5.6E-06	0.01	3.3E-06	ND		ND		
P,P-DDD	6.2	0.3	6.1E-08	0.05	1.8E-07	0.0005	1.2E-04	0.0001	1.8E-03	1.9E-03
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	2	1	6.5E-08	0.5	5.8E-07	0.02	3.3E-06	0.02	2.9E-05	3.2E-05
Benzo(a)pyrene	6	1	2.0E-07	0.05	1.7E-07	0.04	4.9E-06	0.04	4.4E-06	9.3E-06
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	5	1	1.6E-07	0.05	1.5E-07	0.04	4.1E-06	0.04	3.6E-06	7.7E-06
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	10	1	3.3E-07	0.05	2.9E-07	0.04	8.2E-06	0.04	7.3E-06	1.5E-05
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	2	1	6.5E-08	0.05	5.8E-08	0.04	1.6E-06	0.04	1.5E-06	3.1E-06

[1] Set equal to USEPA Region I default absorption factors for soil (USEPA, 1989c).
[2] Calculated from Oral RfDs as described in Section 6.1.2.3.
ND = No data available

TABLE M-14, continued
SEDIMENT INGESTION AND CONTACT - ADOLESCENT, AGES 6 TO 16
COLD SPRING BROOK POND - MAXIMUM EXPOSURE
CURRENT LAND USE
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA
NONCARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

SDCSTRCM	15-Dec-93

COMPOUND	SEDIMENT CONCENTRATION (mg/kg)	INGESTION ABS [1]	INTAKE INGESTION (mg/kg-day)	DERMAL ABS [1]	INTAKE DERMAL (mg/kg-day)	ORAL RfD (mg/kg-day)	HAZARD QUOTIENT INGESTION	DERMAL RfD [2] (mg/kg-day)	HAZARD QUOTIENT DERMAL	TOTAL HAZARD QUOTIENT
Acenaphthylene	3	1	9.8E-08	0.05	8.7E-08	0.04	2.4E-06	0.04	2.2E-06	4.6E-06
Anthracene	3	1	9.8E-08	0.05	8.7E-08	0.3	3.3E-07	0.273	3.2E-07	6.5E-07
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	1	1	3.3E-08	0.05	2.9E-08	0.04	8.2E-07	0.04	7.3E-07	1.5E-06
Calcium	41600	1	1.4E-03	0.01	2.4E-04	ND		ND		
Iron	45000	1	1.5E-03	0.01	2.6E-04	ND		ND		
Potassium	3580	1	1.2E-04	0.01	2.1E-05	ND		ND		
Magnesium	7160	1	2.3E-04	0.01	4.2E-05	ND		ND		
Sodium	1860	1	6.1E-05	0.01	1.1E-05	ND		ND		
P,P-DDT	15	0.3	1.5E-07	0.05	4.4E-07	0.0005	2.9E-04	0.0001	4.4E-03	4.7E-03
Acenaphthene	0.87	1	2.8E-08	0.05	2.5E-08	0.06	4.7E-07	0.0546	4.6E-07	9.4E-07
Dibenzofuran	0.61	1	2.0E-08	0.05	1.8E-08	ND		ND		
Fluorene	0.2	1	6.5E-09	0.05	5.8E-09	0.04	1.6E-07	0.04	1.5E-07	3.1E-07
Naphthalene	0.25	1	8.2E-09	0.05	7.3E-09	0.04	2.0E-07	0.04	1.8E-07	3.9E-07
Cobalt	19.6	1	6.4E-07	0.01	1.1E-07	ND		ND		
Selenium	5.77	1	1.9E-07	0.01	3.4E-08	0.005	3.8E-05	0.003	1.1E-05	4.9E-05
Silver	6.35	1	2.1E-07	0.01	3.7E-08	0.005	4.1E-05	0.00105	3.5E-05	7.7E-05
						SUMMARY HAZARD INDEX	4E-02		2E-02	6E-02

[1] Set equal to USEPA Region I default absorption factors for soil (USEPA, 1989c).
[2] Calculated from Oral RfDs as described in Section 6.1.2.3.
ND = No data available

SDCSTRFA	15-Dec-93

TABLE M-15
SEDIMENT INGESTION AND CONTACT - ADOLESCENT, AGES 6 TO 16
COLD SPRING BROOK POND - AVERAGE EXPOSURE
FUTURE LAND USE
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

EXPOSURE PARAMETERS

PARAMETER	SYMBOL	VALUE	UNITS	SOURCE
CONCENTRATION SEDIMENT	CS	chemical specific	mg/kg	
INGESTION RATE	IR	100	mg/day	USEPA, 1991b
FRACTION INGESTED	FI	100%	unitless	Assumption
ADHERENCE FACTOR	AF	0.5	mg/cm²-event	USEPA, 1992d
AGE-SPECIFIC SURFACE AREA	SA_i	age-specific	cm²	USEPA, 1989b
ABSORPTION FRACTION	ABS_i/ABS_d	default	unitless	USEPA, 1989c
CONVERSION FACTOR	CF	1.00E-06	kg/mg	
BODY WEIGHT	BW	42	kg	USEPA, 1989b
AGE-SPECIFIC BODY WEIGHT	BW_i	age-specific	kg	USEPA, 1989b
EXPOSURE FREQUENCY	EF	100	days/year *	Assumption
EXPOSURE DURATION	ED	10	years	Assumption
AGE-SPECIFIC EXPOSURE DURATION	ED_i	age-specific	years	Appendix V (USEPA, 1992d)
AGE-WEIGHTED SURFACE AREA [1]	$SA_{sed/adj}$	848.6	cm2-year/kg	Appendix V (USEPA, 1992d)
AVERAGING TIME				
CANCER	AT	70	years	USEPA, 1989f
NONCANCER	AT	10	years	USEPA, 1989f

* Units for exposure frequency are in events/year in the calculation of the dermally absorbed dose.

[1] The calculations for normalized surface area ($SA_{sed/adj}$) and dermally absorbed dose per unit area per event (DA_{event}) are described in Appendix V.

USEPA, 1992d. Dermal Exposure Assessment: Principles and Applications.

USEPA, 1991b. "Standard Default Exposure Factors".

USEPA, 1989b. Exposure Factors Handbook.

USEPA, 1989c. Region I Supplemental Risk Assessment Guidance.

USEPA, 1989f. Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund.

EQUATIONS

CANCER RISK = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) x ORAL CSF (mg/kg-day)^-1

HAZARD QUOTIENT = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) / ORAL RfD (mg/kg-day)

$$\text{INTAKE-INGESTION} = \frac{CS \times IR \times ABS_i \times FI \times CF \times EF \times ED}{BW \times AT \times 365\ days/yr}$$

$$\text{INTAKE-DERMAL} = (DA_{event} \times EF / AT \times 365\ days/year) \times SA_{sed/adj}$$

Where:

$$SA_{sed/adj} = SUM\ (SA_i \times ED_i / BW_i)$$

$$DA_{event} = CS \times AF \times ABS_d \times CF$$

Note:
For noncarcinogenic effects: AT = ED

SDCSTRFA	15-Dec-93

TABLE M-15, continued
SEDIMENT INGESTION AND CONTACT - ADOLESCENT, AGES 6 TO 16
COLD SPRING BROOK POND - AVERAGE EXPOSURE
FUTURE LAND USE
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA
CARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	SEDIMENT CONCENTRATION (mg/kg)	INGESTION ABS [1]	INTAKE INGESTION (mg/kg-day)	DERMAL ABS [1]	INTAKE DERMAL (mg/kg-day)	ORAL CSF (mg/kg-day)^-1	CANCER RISK INGESTION	DERMAL CSF [2] (mg/kg-day)^-1	CANCER RISK DERMAL	TOTAL CANCER RISK
P,P-DDE	0.09	0.3	2.5E-09	0.05	7.5E-09	3.40E-01	8.6E-10	1.7E+00	1.3E-08	1.4E-08
Benzo(a)anthracene	0.51	1	4.8E-08	0.05	4.2E-08	7.30E+00	3.5E-07	8.0E+00	3.4E-07	6.9E-07
Chrysene	0.63	1	5.9E-08	0.05	5.2E-08	7.30E+00	4.3E-07	8.0E+00	4.2E-07	8.5E-07
Arsenic	78	1	7.3E-06	0.01	1.3E-06	1.75E+00	1.3E-05	1.8E+00	2.3E-06	1.5E-05
Beryllium	0.19	1	1.8E-08	0.01	3.2E-09	4.30E+00	7.6E-08	4.3E+02	1.4E-06	1.4E-06
P,P-DDD	0.5	0.3	1.4E-08	0.05	4.2E-08	2.40E-01	3.4E-09	1.2E+00	5.0E-08	5.3E-08
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	1.4	1	1.3E-07	0.5	1.2E-06	1.40E-02	1.8E-09	1.4E-02	1.6E-08	1.8E-08
Benzo(a)pyrene	1.1	1	1.0E-07	0.05	9.1E-08	7.30E+00	7.5E-07	8.0E+00	7.3E-07	1.5E-06
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	0.64	1	6.0E-08	0.05	5.3E-08	7.30E+00	4.4E-07	8.0E+00	4.3E-07	8.6E-07
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.9	1	8.4E-08	0.05	7.5E-08	7.30E+00	6.1E-07	8.0E+00	6.0E-07	1.2E-06
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	0.56	1	5.2E-08	0.05	4.6E-08	7.30E+00	3.8E-07	8.0E+00	3.7E-07	7.5E-07
P,P-DDT	0.64	0.3	1.8E-08	0.05	5.3E-08	3.40E-01	6.1E-09	1.7E+00	9.0E-08	9.6E-08
					SUMMARY CANCER RISK		**2E-05**		**7E-06**	**2E-05**

[1] Set equal to USEPA Region I default absorption factors for soil (USEPA, 1989c).
[2] Calculated from Oral CSFs as described in Section 6.1.2.3.

TABLE M–15, continued
SEDIMENT INGESTION AND CONTACT – ADOLESCENT, AGES 6 TO 16
COLD SPRING BROOK POND – AVERAGE EXPOSURE
FUTURE LAND USE
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA
NONCARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

SDCSTRFA	15–Dec–93

COMPOUND	SEDIMENT CONCENTRATION (mg/kg)	INGESTION ABS [1]	INTAKE INGESTION (mg/kg–day)	DERMAL ABS [1]	INTAKE DERMAL (mg/kg–day)	ORAL RfD (mg/kg–day)	HAZARD QUOTIENT INGESTION	DERMAL RfD [2] (mg/kg–day)	HAZARD QUOTIENT DERMAL	TOTAL HAZARD QUOTIENT
P,P–DDE	0.09	0.3	1.8E–08	0.05	5.2E–08	0.0005	3.5E–05	0.0001	5.2E–04	5.6E–04
Benzo(a)anthracene	0.51	1	3.3E–07	0.05	3.0E–07	0.04	8.3E–06	0.04	7.4E–06	1.6E–05
Chrysene	0.63	1	4.1E–07	0.05	3.7E–07	0.04	1.0E–05	0.04	9.2E–06	1.9E–05
Arsenic	78	1	5.1E–05	0.01	9.1E–06	0.0003	1.7E–01	0.000294	3.1E–02	2.0E–01
Fluoranthene	1.6	1	1.0E–06	0.05	9.3E–07	0.04	2.6E–05	0.0364	2.6E–05	5.2E–05
Phenanthrene	0.77	1	5.0E–07	0.05	4.5E–07	0.04	1.3E–05	0.04	1.1E–05	2.4E–05
Pyrene	2.2	1	1.4E–06	0.05	1.3E–06	0.03	4.8E–05	0.0273	4.7E–05	9.5E–05
Barium	36.8	1	2.4E–05	0.01	4.3E–06	0.07	3.4E–04	0.0049	8.7E–04	1.2E–03
Manganese	634	1	4.1E–04	0.01	7.4E–05	0.14	3.0E–03	0.0056	1.3E–02	1.6E–02
Nickel	10.8	1	7.0E–06	0.01	1.3E–06	0.02	3.5E–04	0.001	1.3E–03	1.6E–03
Beryllium	0.19	1	1.2E–07	0.01	2.2E–08	0.005	2.5E–05	0.00005	4.4E–04	4.7E–04
Aluminum	6108	1	4.0E–03	0.01	7.1E–04	ND		ND		ND
Chromium VI	1.51	1	9.8E–07	0.01	1.8E–07	0.005	2.0E–04	0.00055	3.2E–04	5.2E–04
Chromium III	13.59	1	8.9E–06	0.01	1.6E–06	1	8.9E–06	0.1	1.6E–05	2.5E–05
Copper	8.5	1	5.5E–06	0.01	9.9E–07	ND		ND		
Vanadium	12.1	1	7.9E–06	0.01	1.4E–06	0.007	1.1E–03	0.00021	6.7E–03	7.8E–03
Zinc	82.3	1	5.4E–05	0.01	9.6E–06	0.3	1.8E–04	0.102	9.4E–05	2.7E–04
Mercury	0.077	1	5.0E–08	0.01	9.0E–09	0.0003	1.7E–04	0.00006	1.5E–04	3.2E–04
Lead	69.5	0.3	1.4E–05	0.01	8.1E–06	ND		ND		
P,P–DDD	0.5	0.3	9.8E–08	0.05	2.9E–07	0.0005	2.0E–04	0.0001	2.9E–03	3.1E–03
Bis(2–ethylhexyl)phthalate	1.4	1	9.1E–07	0.5	8.1E–06	0.02	4.6E–05	0.02	4.1E–04	4.5E–04
Benzo(a)pyrene	1.1	1	7.2E–07	0.05	6.4E–07	0.04	1.8E–05	0.04	1.6E–05	3.4E–05
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	0.64	1	4.2E–07	0.05	3.7E–07	0.04	1.0E–05	0.04	9.3E–06	2.0E–05
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.9	1	5.9E–07	0.05	5.2E–07	0.04	1.5E–05	0.04	1.3E–05	2.8E–05
Indeno(1,2,3–cd)pyrene	0.56	1	3.7E–07	0.05	3.3E–07	0.04	9.1E–06	0.04	8.1E–06	1.7E–05

[1] Set equal to USEPA Region I default absorption factors for soil (USEPA, 1989c).
[2] Calculated from Oral RfDs as described in Section 6.1.2.3.
ND = No data available

TABLE M–15, continued
SEDIMENT INGESTION AND CONTACT – ADOLESCENT, AGES 6 TO 16
COLD SPRING BROOK POND – AVERAGE EXPOSURE
FUTURE LAND USE
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA
NONCARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

SDCSTRFA	15–Dec–93

COMPOUND	SEDIMENT CONCENTRATION (mg/kg)	INGESTION ABS [1]	INTAKE INGESTION (mg/kg–day)	DERMAL ABS [1]	INTAKE DERMAL (mg/kg–day)	ORAL RfD (mg/kg–day)	HAZARD QUOTIENT INGESTION	DERMAL RfD [2] (mg/kg–day)	HAZARD QUOTIENT DERMAL	TOTAL HAZARD QUOTIENT
Acenaphthylene	0.26	1	1.7E–07	0.05	1.5E–07	0.04	4.2E–06	0.04	3.8E–06	8.0E–06
Anthracene	0.27	1	1.8E–07	0.05	1.6E–07	0.3	5.9E–07	0.273	5.7E–07	1.2E–06
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	0.48	1	3.1E–07	0.05	2.8E–07	0.04	7.8E–06	0.04	7.0E–06	1.5E–05
Calcium	8582	1	5.6E–03	0.01	1.0E–03	ND		ND		
Iron	15232	1	9.9E–03	0.01	1.8E–03	ND		ND		
Potassium	758	1	4.9E–04	0.01	8.8E–05	ND		ND		
Magnesium	2246	1	1.5E–03	0.01	2.6E–04	ND		ND		
Sodium	452	1	2.9E–04	0.01	5.3E–05	ND		ND		
P,P–DDT	0.64	0.3	1.3E–07	0.05	3.7E–07	0.0005	2.5E–04	0.0001	3.7E–03	4.0E–03
Acenaphthene	0.18	1	1.2E–07	0.05	1.0E–07	0.06	2.0E–06	0.0546	1.9E–06	3.9E–06
Dibenzofuran	0.15	1	9.8E–08	0.05	8.7E–08	ND		ND		
Fluorene	0.16	1	1.0E–07	0.05	9.3E–08	0.04	2.6E–06	0.04	2.3E–06	4.9E–06
Naphthalene	0.14	1	9.1E–08	0.05	8.1E–08	0.04	2.3E–06	0.04	2.0E–06	4.3E–06
Cobalt	3.38	1	2.2E–06	0.01	3.9E–07	ND		ND		
Selenium	1.96	1	1.3E–06	0.01	2.3E–07	0.005	2.6E–04	0.003	7.6E–05	3.3E–04
Silver	0.65	1	4.2E–07	0.01	7.6E–08	0.005	8.5E–05	0.00105	7.2E–05	1.6E–04
						SUMMARY HAZARD INDEX	2E–01		6E–02	2E–01

[1] Set equal to USEPA Region I default absorption factors for soil (USEPA, 1989c).
[2] Calculated from Oral RfDs as described in Section 6.1.2.3.
ND = No data available

SDCSTRFM	15-Dec-93

TABLE M-16
SEDIMENT INGESTION AND CONTACT - ADOLESCENT, AGES 6 TO 16
COLD SPRING BROOK POND - MAXIMUM EXPOSURE
FUTURE LAND USE
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

EXPOSURE PARAMETERS

PARAMETER	SYMBOL	VALUE	UNITS	SOURCE
CONCENTRATION SEDIMENT	CS	chemical specific	mg/kg	
INGESTION RATE	IR	100	mg/day	USEPA, 1991b
FRACTION INGESTED	FI	100%	unitless	Assumption
ADHERENCE FACTOR	AF	0.5	mg/cm²-event	USEPA, 1992d
AGE-SPECIFIC SURFACE AREA	SA_i	age-specific	cm²	USEPA, 1989b
ABSORPTION FRACTION	ABS_i/ABS_d	default	unitless	USEPA, 1989c
CONVERSION FACTOR	CF	1.00E-06	kg/mg	
BODY WEIGHT	BW	42	kg	USEPA, 1989b
AGE-SPECIFIC BODY WEIGHT	BW_i	age-specific	kg	USEPA, 1989b
EXPOSURE FREQUENCY	EF	100	days/year *	Assumption
EXPOSURE DURATION	ED	10	years	Assumption
AGE-SPECIFIC EXPOSURE DURATION	ED_i	age-specific	years	Appendix V (USEPA, 1992d)
AGE-WEIGHTED SURFACE AREA [1]	$SA_{sed/adj}$	848.6	cm2-year/kg	Appendix V (USEPA, 1992d)
AVERAGING TIME				
CANCER	AT	70	years	USEPA, 1989f
NONCANCER	AT	10	years	USEPA, 1989f

* Units for exposure frequency are in events/year in the calculation of the dermally absorbed dose.

[1] The calculations for normalized surface area ($SA_{sed/adj}$) and dermally absorbed dose per unit area per event (DA_{event}) are described in Appendix V.

USEPA, 1992d. Dermal Exposure Assessment: Principles and Applications.

USEPA, 1991b. "Standard Default Exposure Factors".

USEPA, 1989b. Exposure Factors Handbook.

USEPA, 1989c. Region I Supplemental Risk Assessment Guidance.

USEPA, 1989f. Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund.

EQUATIONS

CANCER RISK = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) x ORAL CSF (mg/kg-day)^-1

HAZARD QUOTIENT = INTAKE (mg/kg-day) / ORAL RfD (mg/kg-day)

$$\text{INTAKE-INGESTION} = \frac{CS \times IR \times ABS_i \times FI \times CF \times EF \times ED}{BW \times AT \times 365\ days/yr}$$

$$\text{INTAKE-DERMAL} = (DA_{event} \times EF / AT \times 365\ days/year) \times SA_{sed/adj}$$

Where:

$$SA_{sed/adj} = SUM\ (SA_i \times ED_i / BW_i)$$

$$DA_{event} = CS \times AF \times ABS_d \times CF$$

Note:
For noncarcinogenic effects: AT = ED

SDCSTRFM	15-Dec-93

TABLE M-16, continued
SEDIMENT INGESTION AND CONTACT - ADOLESCENT, AGES 6 TO 16
COLD SPRING BROOK POND - MAXIMUM EXPOSURE
FUTURE LAND USE
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA
CARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	SEDIMENT CONCENTRATION (mg/kg)	INGESTION ABS [1]	INTAKE INGESTION (mg/kg-day)	DERMAL ABS [1]	INTAKE DERMAL (mg/kg-day)	ORAL CSF (mg/kg-day)^-1	CANCER RISK INGESTION	DERMAL CSF [2] (mg/kg-day)^-1	CANCER RISK DERMAL	TOTAL CANCER RISK
P,P-DDE	0.72	0.3	2.0E-08	0.05	6.0E-08	3.40E-01	6.8E-09	1.7E+00	1.0E-07	1.1E-07
Benzo(a)anthracene	4	1	3.7E-07	0.05	3.3E-07	7.30E+00	2.7E-06	8.0E+00	2.7E-06	5.4E-06
Chrysene	8	1	7.5E-07	0.05	6.6E-07	7.30E+00	5.4E-06	8.0E+00	5.3E-06	1.1E-05
Arsenic	390	1	3.6E-05	0.01	6.5E-06	1.75E+00	6.4E-05	1.8E+00	1.2E-05	7.5E-05
Beryllium	0.41	1	3.8E-08	0.01	6.8E-09	4.30E+00	1.6E-07	4.3E+02	2.9E-06	3.1E-06
P,P-DDD	6.2	0.3	1.7E-07	0.05	5.1E-07	2.40E-01	4.2E-08	1.2E+00	6.2E-07	6.6E-07
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	2	1	1.9E-07	0.5	1.7E-06	1.40E-02	2.6E-09	1.4E-02	2.3E-08	2.6E-08
Benzo(a)pyrene	6	1	5.6E-07	0.05	5.0E-07	7.30E+00	4.1E-06	8.0E+00	4.0E-06	8.1E-06
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	5	1	4.7E-07	0.05	4.2E-07	7.30E+00	3.4E-06	8.0E+00	3.3E-06	6.7E-06
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	10	1	9.3E-07	0.05	8.3E-07	7.30E+00	6.8E-06	8.0E+00	6.7E-06	1.3E-05
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	2	1	1.9E-07	0.05	1.7E-07	7.30E+00	1.4E-06	8.0E+00	1.3E-06	2.7E-06
P,P-DDT	15	0.3	4.2E-07	0.05	1.2E-06	3.40E-01	1.4E-07	1.7E+00	2.1E-06	2.3E-06
						SUMMARY CANCER RISK	**9E-05**		**4E-05**	**1E-04**

[1] Set equal to USEPA Region I default absorption factors for soil (USEPA, 1989c).
[2] Calculated from Oral CSFs as described in Section 6.1.2.3.

SDCSTRFM	15-Dec-93

TABLE M-16, continued
SEDIMENT INGESTION AND CONTACT - ADOLESCENT, AGES 6 TO 16
COLD SPRING BROOK POND - MAXIMUM EXPOSURE
FUTURE LAND USE
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA
NONCARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	SEDIMENT CONCENTRATION (mg/kg)	INGESTION ABS [1]	INTAKE INGESTION (mg/kg-day)	DERMAL ABS [1]	INTAKE DERMAL (mg/kg-day)	ORAL RfD (mg/kg-day)	HAZARD QUOTIENT INGESTION	DERMAL RfD [2] (mg/kg-day)	HAZARD QUOTIENT DERMAL	TOTAL HAZARD QUOTIENT
P,P-DDE	0.72	0.3	1.4E-07	0.05	4.2E-07	0.0005	2.8E-04	0.0001	4.2E-03	4.5E-03
Benzo(a)anthracene	4	1	2.6E-06	0.05	2.3E-06	0.04	6.5E-05	0.04	5.8E-05	1.2E-04
Chrysene	8	1	5.2E-06	0.05	4.6E-06	0.04	1.3E-04	0.04	1.2E-04	2.5E-04
Arsenic	390	1	2.5E-04	0.01	4.5E-05	0.0003	8.5E-01	0.000294	1.5E-01	1.0E+00
Fluoranthene	10	1	6.5E-06	0.05	5.8E-06	0.04	1.6E-04	0.0364	1.6E-04	3.2E-04
Phenanthrene	6	1	3.9E-06	0.05	3.5E-06	0.04	9.8E-05	0.04	8.7E-05	1.9E-04
Pyrene	20	1	1.3E-05	0.05	1.2E-05	0.03	4.3E-04	0.0273	4.3E-04	8.6E-04
Barium	115	1	7.5E-05	0.01	1.3E-05	0.07	1.1E-03	0.0049	2.7E-03	3.8E-03
Manganese	3000	1	2.0E-03	0.01	3.5E-04	0.14	1.4E-02	0.0056	6.2E-02	7.6E-02
Nickel	54.3	1	3.5E-05	0.01	6.3E-06	0.02	1.8E-03	0.001	6.3E-03	8.1E-03
Beryllium	0.41	1	2.7E-07	0.01	4.8E-08	0.005	5.3E-05	0.00005	9.5E-04	1.0E-03
Aluminum	17000	1	1.1E-02	0.01	2.0E-03	ND		ND		
Chromium VI	6.48	1	4.2E-06	0.01	7.5E-07	0.005	8.5E-04	0.00055	1.4E-03	2.2E-03
Chromium III	58.32	1	3.8E-05	0.01	6.8E-06	1	3.8E-05	0.1	6.8E-05	1.1E-04
Copper	42.9	1	2.8E-05	0.01	5.0E-06	ND		ND		
Vanadium	48.6	1	3.2E-05	0.01	5.6E-06	0.007	4.5E-03	0.00021	2.7E-02	3.1E-02
Zinc	690	1	4.5E-04	0.01	8.0E-05	0.3	1.5E-03	0.102	7.9E-04	2.3E-03
Mercury	0.72	1	4.7E-07	0.01	8.4E-08	0.0003	1.6E-03	0.00006	1.4E-03	3.0E-03
Lead	570	0.3	1.1E-04	0.01	6.6E-05	ND		ND		
P,P-DDD	6.2	0.3	1.2E-06	0.05	3.6E-06	0.0005	2.4E-03	0.0001	3.6E-02	3.8E-02
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	2	1	1.3E-06	0.5	1.2E-05	0.02	6.5E-05	0.02	5.8E-04	6.5E-04
Benzo(a)pyrene	6	1	3.9E-06	0.05	3.5E-06	0.04	9.8E-05	0.04	8.7E-05	1.9E-04
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	5	1	3.3E-06	0.05	2.9E-06	0.04	8.2E-05	0.04	7.3E-05	1.5E-04
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	10	1	6.5E-06	0.05	5.8E-06	0.04	1.6E-04	0.04	1.5E-04	3.1E-04
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	2	1	1.3E-06	0.05	1.2E-06	0.04	3.3E-05	0.04	2.9E-05	6.2E-05

[1] Set equal to USEPA Region I default absorption factors for soil (USEPA, 1989c).
[2] Calculated from Oral RfDs as described in Section 6.1.2.3.
ND = No data available

SDCSTRFM	15-Dec-93

TABLE M-16, continued
SEDIMENT INGESTION AND CONTACT - ADOLESCENT, AGES 6 TO 16
COLD SPRING BROOK POND - MAXIMUM EXPOSURE
FUTURE LAND USE
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA
NONCARCINOGENIC EFFECTS

COMPOUND	SEDIMENT CONCENTRATION (mg/kg)	INGESTION ABS [1]	INTAKE INGESTION (mg/kg-day)	DERMAL ABS [1]	INTAKE DERMAL (mg/kg-day)	ORAL RfD (mg/kg-day)	HAZARD QUOTIENT INGESTION	DERMAL RfD [2] (mg/kg-day)	HAZARD QUOTIENT DERMAL	TOTAL HAZARD QUOTIENT
Acenaphthylene	3	1	2.0E-06	0.05	1.7E-06	0.04	4.9E-05	0.04	4.4E-05	9.3E-05
Anthracene	3	1	2.0E-06	0.05	1.7E-06	0.3	6.5E-06	0.273	6.4E-06	1.3E-05
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	1	1	6.5E-07	0.05	5.8E-07	0.04	1.6E-05	0.04	1.5E-05	3.1E-05
Calcium	41600	1	2.7E-02	0.01	4.8E-03	ND		ND		
Iron	45000	1	2.9E-02	0.01	5.2E-03	ND		ND		
Potassium	3580	1	2.3E-03	0.01	4.2E-04	ND		ND		
Magnesium	7160	1	4.7E-03	0.01	8.3E-04	ND		ND		
Sodium	1860	1	1.2E-03	0.01	2.2E-04	ND		ND		
P,P-DDT	15	0.3	2.9E-06	0.05	8.7E-06	0.0005	5.9E-03	0.0001	8.7E-02	9.3E-02
Acenaphthene	0.87	1	5.7E-07	0.05	5.1E-07	0.06	9.5E-06	0.0546	9.3E-06	1.9E-05
Dibenzofuran	0.61	1	4.0E-07	0.05	3.5E-07	ND		ND		
Fluorene	0.2	1	1.3E-07	0.05	1.2E-07	0.04	3.3E-06	0.04	2.9E-06	6.2E-06
Naphthalene	0.25	1	1.6E-07	0.05	1.5E-07	0.04	4.1E-06	0.04	3.6E-06	7.7E-06
Cobalt	19.6	1	1.3E-05	0.01	2.3E-06	ND		ND		
Selenium	5.77	1	3.8E-06	0.01	6.7E-07	0.005	7.5E-04	0.003	2.2E-04	9.8E-04
Silver	6.35	1	4.1E-06	0.01	7.4E-07	0.005	8.3E-04	0.00105	7.0E-04	1.5E-03
						SUMMARY HAZARD INDEX	**9E-01**		**4E-01**	**1E+00**

[1] Set equal to USEPA Region I default absorption factors for soil (USEPA, 1989c).
[2] Calculated from Oral RfDs as described in Section 6.1.2.3.
ND = No data available

FISH TISSUE COMPARISON OF STATE AND NATIONAL DATA

This page intentionally left blank.

APPENDIX N

1.0 INTRODUCTION

As detailed in Sections 7.1.2 and 7.2.2 of the Group 1A supplemental risk assessments, the fish tissue whole body contaminant burdens at Plow Shop Pond and Cold Spring Brook Pond were evaluated through empirical and statistical comparisons of fish tissue data with data from regional and national studies of fish tissue contaminant burden. Average fish tissue contaminant burdens were compared to regional background fish tissue contaminant data, as described in Subsections 2.1 and 3.1 of this appendix, and maximum fish tissue contaminant burdens were compared to national fish tissue contaminant data, as described in Subsections 2.2 and 3.2 of this appendix.

2.0 SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL

2.1 REGIONAL COMPARISON

Fish tissue contaminant data from 11 different ponds, lakes, reservoirs, and rivers within Massachusetts were obtained from the MADWPC (1988a; 1988b; 1989; 1990; 1991). Because Plow Shop Pond is a shallow, eutrophic pond, ponds with mesotrophic or oligotrophic status were excluded from the subset used as the "background" standard of comparison; information on the limnological status of water bodies in the MADWPC database was obtained through consultation with the MADWPC Biomonitoring Program office (Maietta, 1993). Additionally, aquatic resources with known or suspected sources of contamination were also eliminated from the subset used as the Group 1A standard of comparison. The subset of fish tissue contaminant burden data in the remaining ponds was considered representative of background conditions in a warmwater eutrophic fishery in Massachusetts (Table N-1).

Summary statistics were calculated from the selected subset of the MADWPC fish tissue database for inorganics, PCBs (Aroclors 1254 and 1260), and a pesticide (DDE) (Tables N-2 and N-3). Sample sizes of the MADWPC data ranged from nine for lead to 34 for the analytes iron, mercury, manganese, and Aroclor 1260. When an inorganic or organic analyte was undetected in all fish from a given water body, these data were excluded from the calculation of summary statistics

for that analyte. The average, maximum, and 95th percent confidence intervals for the MADWPC fish data are presented in Table N-4.

In order to determine whether the fish tissue contaminant burden in Plow Shop Pond fish was greater or less than fish tissue concentrations from non-contaminated water bodies in the region, the average tissue contaminant burdens from Plow Shop Pond fish were compared with the average concentrations calculated from the selected subset of MADWPC regional fish tissue data. Because of the limited data sets available from the MADWPC, a decision was made to not attempt to partition the entire reference database into trophic level data subsets for statistical analyses. However, a qualitative trophic level partitioning of the inorganics MADWPC database is presented in Table N-5. The fish collected from the selected subset of the MADWPC fish tissue database were segregated into the trophic levels represented by the bluegill (primary consumer), bullhead (bottom feeder), and the largemouth bass (secondary/tertiary consumer) (Table N-5). Averages, ranges, and standard errors on the averages were then derived for each inorganic to characterize the exposure to these trophic levels. Each trophic level was assumed to be represented in Table N-5 by the following species:

Primary Consumer (Bluegill)	**Bottom Feeder (Bullhead)**	**Secondary/Tertiary Consumer (Largemouth Bass)**
White Perch	White sucker	Largemouth bass
Yellow Perch	Carp	Smallmouth bass
Pumpkinseed	Yellow bullhead	Chain pickerel
Bluegill		

No statistical analyses of potential trophic level differences in average fish tissue contaminant burdens between Plow Shop Pond and the regional data set were conducted because of the limited data available from the MADWPC.

2.1.1 Bluegills

Average whole body contaminant burdens of aluminum, chromium, iron, manganese, and zinc in bluegills from Plow Shop Pond exceeded regional average contaminant burdens for all fish representing all trophic levels (Table N-6). The average Plow Shop Pond bluegill chromium contaminant burden was 0.656 micrograms per gram wet weight (μg/g ww) only 1.3 times greater than the regional background concentration. Average aluminum and zinc contaminant burdens in Plow Shop Pond bluegills were approximately 2.9 and 3.6 times greater than their respective concentrations in the MADWPC database. The average iron contaminant burden in bluegills was approximately 11 times greater than background, and the average manganese contaminant burden in bluegills (63.2 μg/g ww) was greater than 130 times the average of the MADWPC data subset. A comparison of average whole body contaminant burdens in bluegills from Plow Shop Pond with the primary consumer trophic level reference data (Table N-5) resulted in the identical inorganic analyte exceedances.

2.1.2 Bullheads

Average contaminant burdens of aluminum, iron, manganese, and zinc in bullheads from Plow Shop Pond exceeded regional average contaminant burdens in all fish representing all trophic levels (Table N-7). The average Plow Shop Pond bullhead aluminum and zinc contaminant burdens were 1.8 and 2.3 times greater than their respective regional background concentrations. The average iron contaminant burden in bullheads was approximately 5 times greater than background, and the average manganese contaminant burden (9.46 μg/g ww) was approximately 20 times the selected MADWPC background concentration. Average contaminant burdens of aluminum, chromium, mercury, manganese, and zinc in bullheads from Plow Shop Pond exceeded the corresponding bottom-feeder trophic level reference data (Table N-5). Exceedances ranged from approximately 2 times (aluminum) to 20 times (manganese) the MADWPC reference data. Mercury was detected in Plow Shop Pond bullheads at contaminant burdens approximately 6 times the MADWPC bottom feeder average.

2.1.3 Largemouth Bass

Average contaminant burdens of a pesticide (DDE), a PCB (Aroclor 1260), aluminum, iron, manganese, mercury, and zinc in largemouth bass from Plow Shop Pond exceeded regional average concentrations in all fish representing all trophic levels (Table N-8). The average Plow Shop Pond largemouth bass aluminum and zinc contaminant burdens were 1.5 and 2.3 times greater than their respective regional background concentrations. The average iron contaminant burden in bass was approximately 2.2 times greater than background, and the average manganese contaminant burden was approximately 13.2 times greater than the selected MADWPC background concentration. Mercury was found in all five largemouth bass from Plow Shop Pond at an average contaminant burden of 1.38 μg/g ww, approximately 2.3 times the MADWPC background concentration of mercury. The pesticide DDE was found at an average contaminant burden of 0.174 μg/g ww. This average contaminant burden is approximately 7.5 times the MADWPC background concentration. Average whole body contaminant burdens for aluminum, chromium, iron, mercury, manganese, and zinc in largemouth bass from Plow Shop Pond exceeded corresponding higher trophic level reference data (Table N-5) by 1.3 times (mercury) to 14 times (manganese). Chromium was detected in Plow Shop Pond largemouth bass at contaminant burdens approximately 1.4 times the MADWPC higher trophic level average.

2.1.4 All Whole Fish

Results of comparisons between the MADWPC regional database and all whole fish from Plow Shop Pond (i.e., the 15 individual fish representing three species) are presented in Table N-9. The average fish tissue contaminant burden from Plow Shop Pond exceeded the MADWPC regional averages for the following analytes: DDE, aluminum, iron, manganese, mercury, and zinc. The average Plow Shop Pond fish mercury contaminant burden (0.68 μg/g ww) was only slightly higher than 0.6 μg/g ww, the average mercury concentration in the MADWPC regional database (Table N-2). Aluminum, zinc, and DDE contaminant burdens were 2 to 3 times greater than their respective regional background concentrations. The average iron contaminant burden in all fish was approximately 6 times greater than background, and the average manganese contaminant burden was approximately 55 times greater than the MADWPC background concentration.

2.1.4.1 Statistical Analyses. When the average tissue contaminant burdens for all 15 individual fish from Plow Shop exceeded regional average concentrations (Table N-9), a statistical analysis was conducted through Student's t-test comparisons of the averages ($\alpha = 0.05$) using the T-TEST procedure of SYSTAT[R] statistical software (SYSTAT, Inc., Evanston, IL). Multiple comparisons of averages were one-tailed and designed to identify Plow Shop Pond average COPC concentrations that were statistically greater ($P < 0.05$) than concentrations for analytes from the regional MADWPC database.

Results of the statistical comparisons between all fish from Plow Shop Pond and the MADWPC regional database are summarized in Figure N-1. The average contaminant burdens of aluminum, iron, manganese, and zinc in Plow Shop Pond were significantly greater ($P < 0.05$) than average concentrations for whole fish from the regional database.

2.2 NATIONAL COMPARISON

The National Contaminant Biomonitoring Program (NCBMP) is maintained by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service to document spatial and temporal trends in levels of persistent environmental contaminates in fish and wildlife (Jacknow et al., 1986). Composite fish samples analyzed through the NCBMP each comprise 3 to 5 adult specimens of a given fish species. Freshwater fish organochlorine (i.e., pesticides/PCBs) and inorganics tissue data collected by USFWS from more than 100 stations located nationwide have been summarized by the NCBMP (Schmitt et al., 1990; Schmitt and Brumbaugh, 1990).

In order to determine whether the fish tissue inorganic contaminant burden in Plow Shop Pond fish was greater or less than fish tissue inorganic contaminant burdens from water bodies elsewhere in the nation, the maximum inorganic tissue contaminant burdens from Plow Shop Pond fish were compared with the NCBMP 85th percentile concentration of Schmitt and Brumbaugh (1990). Because Schmitt et al. (1990) do not present the 85th percentile concentration for pesticides/PCBs, the maximum concentrations in the NCBMP for pesticides/PCBs were compared with the maximum tissue contaminant burden of fish from Plow Shop Pond. The maximum (organics) and 85th percentile (inorganics) concentrations for the NCBMP fish data are presented in Table N-4.

2.2.1 Bluegills

Maximum contaminant burdens of arsenic and mercury in bluegills from Plow Shop Pond exceeded national 85th percentile concentrations (Table N-6). Arsenic was detected in one of five bluegill from Plow Shop Pond, at a contaminant burden of 1.3 μg/g ww, approximately 4.8 times the NCBMP 85th percentile concentration. Mercury was found in all five bluegills analyzed, at a maximum contaminant burden of 0.54 μg/g ww. This contaminant burden is approximately 3 times the NCBMP 85th percentile concentration.

2.2.2 Bullheads

Maximum contaminant burdens of arsenic, copper, and mercury in bullheads from Plow Shop Pond exceeded national 85th percentile concentrations (Table N-7). Arsenic was detected in one of five bullheads from Plow Shop Pond, at a contaminant burden of 0.3 μg/g ww, slightly higher than the NCBMP 85th percentile concentration (0.27 μg/g ww). Copper was also detected at a maximum contaminant burden (1.3 μg/g ww) only slightly in excess of its NCBMP 85th percentile concentration (1 μg/g ww). Mercury was found in all five bullheads analyzed, at a maximum contaminant burden of 0.4 μg/g ww. This contaminant burden is approximately 2 times the NCBMP 85th percentile concentration for mercury.

2.2.3 Largemouth Bass

Maximum contaminant burdens of cadmium and mercury in largemouth bass from Plow Shop Pond exceeded the national 85th percentile concentration (Table N-8). Mercury was found in all five largemouth bass analyzed, at a maximum contaminant burden of 2.7 μg/g ww. This contaminant burden is almost 16 times the NCBMP 85th percentile concentration for mercury. Cadmium was found in only one of the five largemouth bass, at a contaminant burden of 0.09 μg/g ww, approximately twice the NCBMP 85th percentile concentration for cadmium. Contaminant burdens of pesticides and PCBs in Plow Shop Pond largemouth bass did not exceed the maximum concentrations of these analytes in the NCBMP.

2.2.4 All Whole Fish

Results of comparisons between the NCBMP data and all whole fish from Plow Shop Pond (i.e., the 15 individual fish representing three species) are presented in Table N-9. As discussed previously, the maximum Plow Shop Pond whole fish tissue contaminant burdens of arsenic, cadmium, copper, and mercury exceeded their respective NCBMP 85th percentile concentrations.

2.3 BODY WEIGHT AND LIPID ANALYSIS

Mercury and several organochlorines were detected in Plow Shop Pond fish. These analytes are known to bioaccumulate in biotic tissues; therefore, tissue contaminant burdens of mercury and DDE were evaluated further relative to body weight and lipid content. Although no information is available regarding the ionic state of mercury in fish tissue at Plow Shop Pond, available evidence indicates that at least 80-90% of the mercury found in fish tissue is present as methylmercury, the more toxic form of this compound (Eisler, 1987; Moore, 1991). Methylation of inorganic mercury occurs as a result of bacterial processes in the sediment, as well as through biochemical interactions with fish mucus and enzymatic processes (Eisler, 1987).

Table N-10 presents whole body tissue residue contaminant burdens for DDE and mercury detected in the 15 fish samples analyzed. Both DDE and mercury contaminant burdens are correlated with fish body weight (r^2 = 0.73 and 0.92, respectively) and percent lipid (r^2 = 0.72 and 0.64, respectively). The highest contaminant burdens of both analytes were detected in the largemouth bass samples. Average weights of largemouth bass samples (1,972 grams) were greater than 10 times the average body weights of the bluegill sunfish (104 grams) and bullheads (186.6 grams). In addition, largemouth bass contained a greater percentage of body fat; average percent lipid in largemouth bass, bluegill, bullhead were 2.25%, 0.46%, and 0.87%, respectively. These results suggest that the tissue residue data may be correlated with the size and/or the lipid contaminant burden in the fish species analyzed, as well as with trophic status.

To determine whether the amount of body lipid could account for differences in tissue burdens of Plow Shop Pond fish samples, the whole body tissue residue contaminant burden data were lipid-normalized by dividing measured analyte

contaminant burdens by the percent lipid measured in each fish sample (Table N-10). These results were then regressed on sample body weight (a correlate of trophic status in the Plow Shop Pond samples).

Lipid-normalized DDE tissue contaminant burdens ranged over less than an order of magnitude (0.17 to 1.27 μg/kg lipid). In general, largemouth bass contained slightly higher lipid-normalized contaminant burdens than the other two species. A regression of lipid-normalized DDE fish tissue contaminant burdens on fish body weight indicated a positive, but weak correlation, between these two variables (r^2 = 0.58). Body weight and trophic status are correlated variables in the data set analyzed, as are a number of other factors that may be important in determining the degree of uptake of this analyte (e.g., age, reproductive phenology). This analysis suggests that larger fish, regardless of trophic status, contain slightly higher amounts of DDE; however, much of this variation can be accounted for by the amount of lipids contained by a particular individual.

Lipid-normalized tissue contaminant burdens of mercury ranged over two orders of magnitude (1.79 to 29.4 μg/kg lipid). The lowest adjusted contaminant burdens were found in the bullhead (Table N-10). Highest lipid-normalized mercury tissue contaminant burdens were detected in bluegill. A regression of lipid-normalized mercury fish tissue contaminant burdens on fish body weight indicated that these two variables are not correlated (r^2 = 0.0009). After taking account of differences in lipid content, there is no indication that larger (and higher trophic status) fish species have accumulated higher contaminant burdens of this analyte.

Fish body weight (and concomitantly trophic status) appears to be a good predictor of mercury contaminant burden in Plow Shop Pond, with higher trophic level fish species having accumulated higher contaminant burdens of this analyte.

3.0 COLD SPRING BROOK POND

3.1 REGIONAL COMPARISON

Summary statistics were calculated from the MADWPC fish tissue database for inorganics, PCBs (aroclors 1254 and 1260), and a pesticide (4,4-'DDE)

(Tables N-2 and N-3). The average, maximum, and upper and lower 95th percent confidence intervals for the MADWPC fish data are presented in Table N-4.

In order to determine whether the fish tissue contaminant burden in Cold Spring Brook Pond fish was greater or less than fish tissue concentrations from non-contaminated water bodies in the region, the average tissue contaminant burdens from Cold Spring Brook Pond fish were compared with the average contaminant burdens calculated from the selected subset of the MADWPC regional data.

3.1.1 Pumpkinseeds

Average whole body contaminant burdens of DDE, iron, manganese, and zinc in pumpkinseeds from Cold Spring Brook Pond exceeded regional average contaminant burdens (Table N-11). The average Cold Spring Brook Pond pumpkinseed DDE contaminant burden was 0.083 μg/g ww, 3.6 times greater than the regional background concentration. Average iron and zinc contaminant burdens in Cold Spring Brook Pond pumpkinseeds were approximately 4.5 and 3 times greater than their respective concentrations in the MADWPC database. The average manganese contaminant burden (10 μg/g ww) in pumpkinseeds was approximately 21 times greater than the MADWPC background concentration.

3.1.2 Bullhead

Average contaminant burdens of DDE, iron, manganese, and zinc in bullheads from Cold Spring Brook Pond exceeded regional average concentrations (Table N-12). The average Cold Spring Brook Pond bullhead iron and zinc contaminant burdens were 4.0 and 2.2 times greater than their respective regional background concentrations. The average DDE contaminant burden in bullheads was approximately 4 times greater than the selected background value, and the average manganese contaminant burden (7.1 μg/g ww) was approximately 15 times the MADWPC background concentration.

3.1.3 Chain Pickerel

Average contaminant burdens of one pesticide (DDE), iron, manganese, and zinc in chain pickerel from Cold Spring Brook Pond exceeded regional average concentrations (Table N-13). The average Cold Spring Brook Pond chain pickerel iron and zinc contaminant burdens were 1.8 and 6.2 times greater than their

respective regional background concentrations. The average DDE contaminant burden in pickerel was approximately 3.5 times greater than background, and the average manganese contaminant burden was approximately 20 times greater than the MADWPC background concentration.

3.1.4 All Whole Fish

Results of comparisons between the MADWPC regional database and all whole fish from Cold Spring Brook Pond (i.e., the nine individual fish representing three species) are presented in Table N-14. The average fish tissue contaminant burden from Cold Spring Brook Pond exceeded the MADWPC regional averages for the following analytes: DDE, iron, manganese, and zinc. DDE, iron, and zinc contaminant burdens were 3 to 4 times greater than their respective regional background concentrations. The average manganese contaminant burden was approximately 18.5 times greater than the MADWPC background concentration.

When the average tissue contaminant burdens for all nine individual fish from Cold Spring Brook Pond exceeded regional average concentrations, a statistical analysis was conducted through Student's T-test comparisons of the averages ($\alpha = 0.05$) using the T-TEST procedure of SYSTAT^R statistical software (SYSTAT, Inc., Evanston, IL). Multiple comparisons of averages were one-tailed and designed to identify Cold Spring Brook Pond averages which were statistically greater ($P < 0.05$) than averages for respective analytes from the regional MADWPC database.

Results of the statistical comparisons between all fish from Cold Spring Brook Pond and the MADWPC regional database are summarized in Figure N-2. The average contaminant burdens of DDE, iron, manganese, and zinc in Cold Spring Brook Pond were significantly greater ($P < 0.05$) than average concentrations for whole fish from the regional database.

3.2 NATIONAL COMPARISON

In order to determine whether the fish tissue inorganic contaminant burden in Cold Spring Brook Pond fish was greater or less than fish tissues from water bodies elsewhere in the nation, the maximum inorganic tissue contaminant burdens from Cold Spring Brook Pond fish were compared with the NCBMP 85th

percentile concentration (i.e, the concentration ranked 85th highest on an analyte-specific basis) of Schmitt and Brumbaugh (1990). Because Schmitt et al. (1990) do not present the 85th percentile concentration for pesticides/PCBs, the maximum concentrations in the NCBMP for pesticides/PCBs were compared with the maximum tissue contaminant burden of fish from Cold Spring Brook Pond. The maximum (organics) and 85th percentile (inorganics) concentrations for the NCBMP fish data are presented in Table N-4.

3.2.1 Bullheads and Pumpkinseeds

None of the maximum contaminant burdens of the inorganics, pesticides, or PCBs detected in bullheads or pumpkinseeds from Cold Spring Brook Pond exceeded national 85th percentile concentrations (Tables N-11 and N-12).

3.2.2 Chain Pickerel

Maximum contaminant burdens of mercury and zinc in chain pickerel from Cold Spring Brook Pond exceeded the national 85th percentile concentration (Table N-13). Mercury was found in all three chain pickerel analyzed, at a maximum contaminant burden of 0.47 μg/g ww. This contaminant burden is approximately 2.8 times the NCBMP 85th percentile concentration for mercury. Zinc was found in all three of the pickerel analyzed, at a maximum contaminant burden of 51.3 μg/g ww, 1.5 times greater than the NCBMP 85th percentile concentration for zinc. Contaminant burdens of pesticides in Cold Spring Brook Pond pickerel did not exceed the maximum concentrations of the USFWS NCBMP.

3.2.3 All Whole Fish

Results of comparisons between the NCBMP data and all whole fish from Cold Spring Brook Pond (i.e., the nine individual fish representing three species) are presented in Table N-14. As discussed previously, the maximum Cold Spring Brook Pond whole fish tissue contaminant burdens of mercury and zinc exceeded their respective NCBMP 85th percentile concentrations.

3.3 BODY WEIGHT AND LIPID ANALYSIS

Mercury and several organochlorines were detected in Cold Spring Brook Pond fish. These analytes are known to bioaccumulate in biotic tissues; therefore, tissue contaminant burdens of mercury and 4,4-'DDE were evaluated further relative to body weight and lipid content.

Table N-15 presents the whole body tissue residue contaminant burdens for DDE and mercury detected in the 9 fish samples analyzed. DDE tissue contaminant burdens are not correlated with fish body weight (r^2 = 0.005); however, a strong correlation exist between mercury tissue contaminant burdens and fish body weight (r^2 = 0.85). Average weights of pickerel (222.7 grams) were over five times greater than the average body weights of the pumpkinseed sunfish (43 grams) and bullheads (34 grams). However, bullheads contained a greater percentage of body lipids; average percent lipids in bullhead, pumpkinseed, and pickerel were 2.71%, 1.19%, and 1.09%, respectively.

To determine whether the amount of body lipid could account for differences in tissue contaminant burdens in Cold Spring Brook Pond fish samples, the whole body tissue residue contaminant burden data were lipid-normalized by dividing measured analyte contaminant burdens by the percent lipid measured in each fish sample (Table N-15). These results were then regressed on sample body weight (a possible correlate of trophic status in the Cold Spring Brook Pond samples).

Lipid-normalized DDE tissue contaminant burdens ranged over less than an order of magnitude (0.23 to 1.07 μg/kg lipid). A regression of lipid-normalized DDE fish tissue contaminant burdens on fish body weight suggests that these two variables are not correlated (r^2 = 0.02). Lipid-normalized tissue contaminant burdens of mercury, ranged from 0.22 μg/kg lipid to 6.1 μg/kg lipid. As suggested by the lack of correlation between body weight and fish percentage lipid, the regression of lipid-normalized mercury fish tissue contaminant burdens on fish body weight indicated that these two variables are not correlated (r^2 = 0.17).

Fish body weight (and concomitantly trophic status) appears to be a good predictor of mercury contaminant burden in Cold Spring Brook Pond, with higher trophic level fish species having accumulated higher contaminant burdens of this analyte.

4.0 FISH SIZE DISTRIBUTION


Length and weight data from fish species collected in Plow Shop Pond are provided in Table N-16. Table N-16 also provides the analytical collection number for all individual fish collected for tissue analysis. Weights of bluegill, the dominant species in Plow Shop Pond, ranged from 9 to 137 grams, with an average weight of 50.6 grams. Bluegill lengths ranged from 79 to 203 mm, with an average length of 143.5 mm. In addition to bluegill, individual brown and yellow bullheads and largemouth bass were also collected for tissue analysis. Brown bullhead and largemouth bass weights ranged from 95 to 419 grams and 38 to 3480 grams, respectively. Lengths ranged from 211 to 324 mm and 71 to 545 mm for bullhead and largemouth bass, respectively.

Length and weight data from fish species collected in Cold Spring Brook Pond are provided in Table N-17. Table N-17 also provides the analytical collection number for all individual fish collected for tissue analysis. Weights of golden shiner, the dominant lower trophic level species in Cold Spring Brook Pond, ranged from 6 to 36 grams, with an average weight of 16.9 grams. Lengths ranged from 52 to 158 mm, with an average length of 123 mm. Individual fish collected for tissue analysis included chain pickerel, pumpkinseed, and yellow bullhead. Chain pickerel lengths and weights ranged from 210 to 398 mm and 44 to 418 grams, with averages of 324 mm and 215 grams, respectively. Pumpkinseed lengths and weights ranged from 70 to 143 mm and 17 to 56 grams, with averages of 113 mm and 34 grams, respectively. Yellow bullhead lengths and weights ranged from 38 to 167 mm and 31 to 73 grams, with averages of 133 mm and 50 grams, respectively.

Fish species collected in both Plow Shop Pond and Cold Spring Brook Pond included the black crappie, chain pickerel, golden shiner, pumpkinseed, and yellow bullhead. Comparison of average length and weight measurements between these fish species indicates that, in general, Plow Shop Pond fish are larger than Cold Spring Brook Pond fish. This is likely due to the larger, more diverse aquatic environment provided in Plow Shop Pond, which is approximately ten times larger in surface area than Cold Spring Brook Pond.

Figure N-1
Statistical Comparison of Means
Plow Shop Pond and MADWPC Data


Remedial Investigation Addendum Report
Feasibility Study For Group 1A Sites
Fort Devens, MA



* SIGNIFICANTLY GREATER THAN MADWPC AVERAGE ($P<0.05$).

Figure N-2
Statistical Comparison of Means
Cold Spring Brook Pond and MADWPC Data

Remedial Investigation Addendum Report
Feasibility Study For Group 1A Sites
Fort Devens, MA



* SIGNIFICANTLY GREATER THAN MADWPC AVERAGE (P<0.05).

Table N–1

Sources of Regional Information Regarding Contaminants in Fish Tissues

Remedial Investigation Addendum Report

Feasibility Study for Group 1A Sites

Fort Devens, MA

SOURCES [a]	WATERBODY	GEOGRAPHIC LOCATION	TROPHIC STATES [b]	POTENTIAL IMPACTS?	INFORMATION UTILIZED
USFWS	VARIOUS– U.S.	VARIOUS– U.S.	VARIOUS	YES	YES (c,d,e)
MADWPC	ECHO LAKE	S. CENTRAL MA	OLIGOTROPHIC	NO	YES (d)
	WALDEN POND	N. EAST MA	OLIGOTROPHIC	NO	YES (d,e)
	SANDY POND	N. EAST MA	OLIGOTROPHIC	NO	NO
	COPICUT RIVER RES.	S. EAST MA	OLIGOTROPHIC	NO	YES (e)
	LAKE WABAN	MID–EASTERN MA	EUTROPHIC	YES	NO
	SUDBURY RIVER	MID–EASTERN MA	EUTROPHIC	YES	NO
	LAKE DENISON	N. CENTRAL MA	EUTROPHIC	YES	NO
	N. WATUPPA POND	S. EAST MA	MESOTROPHIC	NO	YES (c,e)
	S. WATUPPA POND	S. EAST MA	EUTROPHIC	NO	YES (c,e)
	DOROTHY POND	S. CENTRAL MA	EUTROPHIC	YES	YES (c,e)
	INDIAN LAKE	MID–CENTRAL MA	EUTROPHIC	NO	YES (c,e)

[a] Sources of Information:

United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) National Contaminant Biomonitoring Program (USFWS, 1990a; 1990b).

Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection, Division of Water Pollution Control ([MADWPC], 1988a; 1988b; 1989a; 1989b; 1990; 1991).

[b] Trophic states determined by conversation with MADWPC Biomonitoring Program, North Grafton, MA (Maietta, 1993)

[c] Data for inorganic chemicals used.

[d] Data for DDE used.

[e] Data for PCBs used.

Table N–2

Inorganics in Whole Fish Tissues from Lacustrine Systems

In Massachusetts (1)

Remedial Investigation Addendum Report

Feasibility Study For Group 1A Sites

Fort Devens, MA

WATERBODY	FISH	SAMPLE	TYPE OF	INORGANIC ANALYTES (ug/g wet weight tissue) * (4,5)									
	CODE (2)	POPULATION	SAMPLE (3)	Al	Cd	Cr	Cu	Fe	Hg	Mn	Ni	Pb	Zn
N. WATUPPA POND	CP	1	IND.	2	ND(.2)	ND*(.3)	0.5	3.9	2.4	ND*(.2)	0.4	ND(.5)	10
n = 12	CP	1	IND.	1.2	ND(.2)	ND*(.3)	1.1	8.8	1.5	0.5	0.8	ND(.5)	10
	WP	1	IND.	1.2	ND(.2)	ND*(.3)	0.8	7.9	0.61	ND*(.2)	0.4	ND(.5)	7
	WP	1	IND.	1.3	ND(.2)	0.8	2.1	11	0.74	ND*(.2)	1	ND(.5)	7.1
	WP	1	IND.	1.3	ND(.2)	ND*(.3)	1	5.5	0.65	ND*(.2)	ND*(.3)	ND(.5)	4.3
	YP	1	IND.	1.1	ND(.2)	0.6	0.4	7.1	1.3	0.3	0.4	ND(.5)	6
	YP	1	IND.	1.3	ND(.2)	0.7	0.5	7.9	1.4	ND*(.2)	ND*(.3)	ND(.5)	5
	YP	3	COMP.	ND*(1.0)	ND(.2)	0.6	ND*(.2)	5	1.2	ND*(.2)	ND*(.3)	ND(.5)	5.2
	LMB	1	IND.	ND*(1.0)	ND(.2)	0.7	ND*(.2)	4.8	1	ND*(.2)	ND*(.3)	ND(.5)	4.4
	SMB	1	IND.	ND*(1.0)	ND(.2)	0.4	0.2	3.4	2.4	ND*(.2)	0.6	ND(.5)	4.6
	SMB	1	IND.	ND*(1.0)	ND(.2)	0.5	ND*(.2)	5	2.1	ND*(.2)	0.4	ND(.5)	3.6
	SMB	3	COMP.	ND*(1.0)	ND(.2)	1.1	0.3	8.2	1.8	ND*(.2)	0.5	ND(.5)	4.4
AVERAGE				**1**	**ND**	**0.5**	**0.6**	**6.5**	**1.4**	**0.15**	**0.4**	**ND**	**6**
RANGE				**0.5–2**	**NC**	**0.15–1.1**	**0.1–2.1**	**3.4–11**	**0.61–2.4**	**0.1–0.5**	**0.2–1**	**NC**	**3.6–10**
S. WATUPPA POND	SMB	1	IND.	ND*(1.0)	ND(.2)	ND(.3)	**86	8.6	0.5	ND*(.2)	**89	6	**58
n = 9	SMB	1	IND.	ND*(1.0)	ND(.2)	ND(.3)	0.7	2.2	0.48	ND*(.2)	1.7	0.5	3.1
	SMB	1	IND.	ND*(1.0)	ND(.2)	ND(.3)	4.5	2.8	0.34	ND*(.2)	5.4	2.9	6.4
	SMB	1	IND.	ND*(1.0)	ND(.2)	ND(.3)	18	3.2	0.5	ND*(.2)	24	3	16
	B	2	COMP.	ND*(1.0)	ND(.2)	ND(.3)	3.9	3.3	0.12	0.7	7.5	2.5	8.1
	WS	2	COMP.	ND*(1.0)	ND(.2)	ND(.3)	2.4	3.9	0.02	0.9	3.6	0.8	6.8
	P	1	IND.	1.2	ND(.2)	ND(.3)	3.2	4.2	0.07	0.6	4.5	2.6	7
	YP	5	COMP.	1	ND(.2)	ND(.3)	1	2.3	0.21	2.7	1.9	0.6	5.3
	WP	5	COMP.	1.2	ND(.2)	ND(.3)	2.3	5.2	0.23	0.5	2.3	ND*(.5)	6.5
AVERAGE				**0.7**	**ND**	**ND**	**4.5**	**4**	**0.3**	**0.6**	**6.4**	**2.1**	**7.4**
RANGE				**0.5–1.2**	**NC**	**NC**	**0.7–18**	**2.2–8.6**	**0.02–0.5**	**0.1–2.7**	**1.7–24**	**0.25–6**	**3.1–16**

Table N–2

Inorganics in Whole Fish Tissues from Lacustrine Systems

In Massachusetts (1)

Remedial Investigation Addendum Report

Feasibility Study For Group 1A Sites

Fort Devens, MA

WATERBODY	FISH CODE (2)	SAMPLE POPULATION	TYPE OF SAMPLE (3)	INORGANIC ANALYTES (ug/g wet weight tissue) * (4,5)									
				Al	Cd	Cr	Cu	Fe	Hg	Mn	Ni	Pb	Zn
DOROTHY POND	C	1	IND.	2.1	ND(.2)	ND (.3)	1.3	16	0.06	0.4	0.8	ND(.5)	10
n = 8	WP	5	COMP.	ND*(1)	ND(.2)	ND (.3)	1.6	11	0.24	0.4	0.6	ND(.5)	5.3
	YP	5	COMP.	1.6	ND(.2)	ND (.3)	4.8	4.5	0.12	0.8	1.3	ND(.5)	8.4
	WS	3	COMP.	ND*(1)	ND(.2)	ND (.3)	1.3	10	0.08	0.8	3.6	ND(.5)	11
	YB	2	COMP.	1.3	ND(.2)	ND (.3)	0.4	4.5	0.08	0.4	ND*(.3)	ND(.5)	15
	CP	1	IND.	ND*(.3)	ND(.2)	ND (.3)	1.2	2.3	0.16	0.8	1.3	ND(.5)	3.4
	LMB	1	IND.	1.3	ND(.2)	ND (.3)	1.2	3.3	0.12	0.8	ND*(.3)	ND(.5)	4.3
	LMB	3	COMP.	ND*(1)	ND(.2)	ND (.3)	0.2	1.8	0.16	2.7	2.7	ND(.5)	4.6
AVERAGE				**1.1**	**ND**	**ND**	**1.5**	**6.7**	**0.13**	**0.9**	**1.3**	**ND**	**7.8**
RANGE				**0.5–2.1**	**NC**	**NC**	**0.2–4.8**	**1.8–16**	**0.06–0.24**	**0.4–2.7**	**0.15–3.6**	**NC**	**3.4–15**
INDIAN LAKE	C	1	IND.	ND(1)	ND(.2)	ND(.3)	3.1	11	0.06	0.4	ND*(.3)	ND(.5)	9
n = 5	C	1	IND.	ND(1)	ND(.2)	ND(.3)	1.2	31	0.05	ND*(.2)	ND*(.3)	ND(.5)	9
	C	1	IND.	ND(1)	ND(.2)	ND(.3)	6.1	29	0.05	0.4	0.9	ND(.5)	7.6
	C	1	IND.	ND(1)	ND(.2)	ND(.3)	1.8	4.1	0.04	0.5	0.4	ND(.5)	6.4
	C	1	IND.	ND(1)	ND(.2)	ND(.3)	9.2	4.5	0.03	0.3	1.7	ND(.5)	7
AVERAGE				**ND**	**ND**	**ND**	**4.3**	**15.9**	**0.05**	**0.3**	**0.7**	**ND**	**7.8**
RANGE				**NC**	**NC**	**NC**	**1.2–9.2**	**4.1–31**	**0.03–.06**	**0.1–0.5**	**0.15–1.7**	**NC**	**6.4–9**
AVERAGE				**0.9**	**ND**	**0.5**	**2.3**	**7.3**	**0.6**	**0.48**	**2.1**	**2.1**	**7**
STANDARD ERROR				**0.51**	**NC**	**0.31**	**3.45**	**6.61**	**0.72**	**0.62**	**4.29**	**1.84**	**3.03**
SAMPLE SIZE				**29**	**NC**	**12**	**33**	**34**	**34**	**34**	**33**	**9**	**33**

NOTES:

(1) Data obtained from Massachusetts Division of Water Pollution Control ([MADWPC], 1988b; 1989a; 1990).

(2) CODE: B = bluegill (Lepomis macrochirus)
C = carp (Cyprinus carpio)
CP = chain pickerel (Esox niger)
LMB = largemouth bass (Micropterus salmoides)
P = pumkinseed (Lepomis gibbosus)
SMB = smallmouth bass (Micropterus dolomieui)
WS = white sucker (Catostomus commersoni)
WP = white perch (Morone americana)
YB = yellow bullhead (Ictalurus nebulosus)
YP = yellow perch (Perca flavescens)

(3) IND. = Individual COMP. = Composite

(4) NC = Not Calculated
ND = Not Detected (detection limit is presented in the parentheses).
ND* = Non–detect was treated as a value one–half its detection limit (listed in parentheses) for calculation of the average and is represented in the lower limit of the range.
** = Outlier value was not used in calculating the average or in determining the range.

(5) When an analyte was undetected in all fish from a given waterbody, these data were not included in the summary table statistics calculation.

TABLE N–3

Pesticides / PCBs In Fish Tissue from

Lacustrine Systems In Massachusetts (1)

Remedial Investigation Addendum Report

Feasibility Study For Group 1A Sites

Fort Devens, MA

				Pesticides/PCBs (ug/g wet weight) (4)		
WATERBODY	**FISH CODE (2)**	**SAMPLE POPULATION**	**SAMPLE TYPE (3)**	**DDE**	**AROCLOR 1254**	**AROCLOR 1260**
ECHO LAKE	YP	1	IND.	0.015	NA	NA
n = 13	WP	1	IND.	0.033	NA	NA
	CP	1	IND.	0.02	NA	NA
	CP	1	IND.	0.013	NA	NA
	CP	1	IND.	0.014	NA	NA
	YP	1	IND.	0.016	NA	NA
	YP	1	IND.	0.011	NA	NA
	YP	1	IND.	0.029	NA	NA
	YP	1	IND.	0.023	NA	NA
	WP	1	IND.	0.018	NA	NA
	WP	1	IND.	0.035	NA	NA
	WP	1	IND.	0.017	NA	NA
	CP	1	IND.	0.01	NA	NA
AVERAGE				**0.02**	NC	NC
RANGE				**0.01–0.035**	NC	NC
WALDEN POND	SMB	1	IND.	0.02	0.048	ND(0.036)
n = 4	SMB	1	IND.	0.046	0.053	ND(0.036)
	SMB	1	IND.	0.052	0.056	ND(0.036)
	LMB	1	IND.	0.021	0.056	ND(0.036)
AVERAGE				**0.035**	**0.053**	**ND**
RANGE				**0.021–0.052**	**0.048–0.056**	**NC**
COPICUT RIV.RES.	SMB	1	IND.	NA	ND*(0.040)	NA
(River Mile 2.6)	SMB	1	IND.	NA	0.15	NA
n = 7	SMB	1	IND.	NA	0.07	NA
	CP	1	IND.	NA	ND*(0.040)	NA
	CP	1	IND.	NA	ND*(0.040)	NA
	YP	1	IND.	NA	ND*(0.040)	NA
	YP	3	COMP.	NA	ND*(0.040)	NA
AVERAGE				**NC**	**0.046**	**NC**
RANGE				**NC**	**0.02–0.15**	**NC**
N. WATUPPA	CP	1	IND.	NA	ND*(0.040)	ND*(0.036)
n = 12	CP	1	IND.	NA	ND*(0.040)	0.051
	WP	1	IND.	NA	0.14	ND*(0.036)

TABLE N–3
Pesticides / PCBs In Fish Tissue from
Lacustrine Systems In Massachusetts (1)

Remedial Investigation Addendum Report
Feasibility Study For Group 1A Sites
Fort Devens, MA

				Pesticides/PCBs (ug/g wet weight) (4)		
WATERBODY	**FISH CODE (2)**	**SAMPLE POPULATION**	**SAMPLE TYPE (3)**	**DDE**	**AROCLOR 1254**	**AROCLOR 1260**
N. WATUPPA	WP	1	IND.	NA	0.15	ND*(0.036)
(cont.')	WP	1	IND.	NA	0.26	ND*(0.036)
	YP	1	IND.	NA	0.12	ND*(0.036)
	YP	1	IND.	NA	ND*(0.040)	ND*(0.036)
	YP	3	COMP.	NA	ND*(0.040)	ND*(0.036)
	LMB	1	IND.	NA	ND*(0.040)	ND*(0.036)
	SMB	1	IND.	NA	ND*(0.040)	ND*(0.036)
	SMB	1	IND.	NA	0.055	ND*(0.036)
	SMB	3	COMP.	NA	0.056	ND*(0.036)
AVERAGE				**NC**	**0.075**	**0.024**
RANGE				**NC**	**0.02–0.26**	**0.018–0.051**
S. WATUPPA	SMB	1	IND.	NA	0.12	ND*(0.036)
n = 9	SMB	1	IND.	NA	ND*(0.040)	ND*(0.036)
	SMB	1	IND.	NA	ND*(0.040)	ND*(0.036)
	SMB	1	IND.	NA	ND*(0.040)	ND*(0.036)
	B	2	COMP.	NA	ND*(0.040)	ND*(0.036)
	WS	2	COMP.	NA	ND*(0.040)	ND*(0.036)
	P	1	IND.	NA	ND*(0.040)	ND*(0.036)
	YP	5	COMP.	NA	ND*(0.040)	ND*(0.036)
	WP	5	COMP.	NA	ND*(0.040)	0.064
AVERAGE				**NC**	**0.031**	**0.023**
RANGE				**NC**	**0.02–0.12**	**0.18–0.064**
DOROTHY POND	C	1	IND.	NA	ND(0.040)	0.73
n = 8	WP	5	COMP.	NA	ND(0.040)	0.015
	YP	5	COMP.	NA	ND(0.040)	ND*(0.036)
	WS	3	COMP.	NA	ND(0.040)	0.21
	YB	2	COMP.	NA	ND(0.040)	ND*(0.036)
	CP	1	IND.	NA	ND(0.040)	ND*(0.036)
	LMB	1	IND.	NA	ND(0.040)	ND*(0.036)
	LMB	3	COMP.	NA	ND(0.040)	ND*(0.036)
AVERAGE				**NC**	**ND**	**0.131**
RANGE				**NC**	**NC**	**0.018–0.73**

TABLE N–3

Pesticides / PCBs In Fish Tissue from

Lacustrine Systems In Massachusetts (1)

Remedial Investigation Addendum Report

Feasibility Study For Group 1A Sites

Fort Devens, MA

				Pesticides/PCBs (ug/g wet weight) (4)		
WATERBODY	**FISH CODE (2)**	**SAMPLE POPULATION**	**SAMPLE TYPE (3)**	**DDE**	**AROCLOR 1254**	**AROCLOR 1260**
INDIAN LAKE	C	1	IND.	NA	NA	0.47
n = 5	C	1	IND.	NA	NA	0.92
	C	1	IND.	NA	NA	0.13
	C	1	IND.	NA	NA	ND*(0.036)
	C	1	IND.	NA	NA	ND*(0.036)
AVERAGE				**NC**	**NC**	**0.311**
RANGE				**NC**	**NC**	**0.018–0.92**
AVERAGE				**0.029**	**0.054**	**0.089**
STANDARD ERROR				**0.0121**	**0.061**	**0.206**
SAMPLE SIZE				**17**	**29**	**34**

(1) Source: Massachusetts DEP Division of Water Pollution Control (MADWPC), 1988a; 1989a; 1989b; 1990; 1991.

(2) FISH CODE:

B = bluegill (Lepomus macrochirus)

C = carp (Cyprinus carpio)

CP = chain pickerel (Esox niger)

LMB = largemouth bass (Micropterus salmoides)

P = pumpkinseed (Lepomus gibbosus)

SMB = smallmouth bass (Micropterus dolomieui)

WP = white perch (Morone americana)

WS = white sucker (Catastomus commersoni)

YP = yellow perch (Perca flavescens)

(3) IND. = Individual

COMP. = Composite

(4) NA = Not Analyzed; NC = Not Calculated.

ND = Not Detected (detection limit presented in parentheses).

ND* = Non–detect was treated as a value one–half its detection limit (listed in the parentheses) for calculation of the average and is represented in the lower limit of the range.

Table N–4

Fish Tissue Concentrations: Regional and National Databases

Remedial Investigation Addendum Report

Feasibility Study For Group 1A Sites

Fort Devens, MA

ANALYTE	MADWPC [a]			USFWS [c]		
(mg/kg wet weight)	AVERAGE	95% CI [b]	MAXIMUM	AVERAGE [d]	85th % [e]	MAXIMUM
ORGANICS						
AROCLOR 1254	0.054	0.031–0.077	0.26	0.21	NA	4.0
AROCLOR 1260	0.091	0.022–0.160	0.92	0.15	NA	2.3
DDD	NA	NA	NA	0.06	NA	2.55
DDE	0.023	0.017–0.029	0.052	0.19	NA	4.74
DDT	NA	NA	NA	0.03	NA	1.79
INORGANICS						
ALUMINUM	0.9	0.71–1.09	2.1	NA	NA	NA
ARSENIC	NA	NA	NA	0.14	0.27	1.5
BARIUM	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
CADMIUM	NA	NA	NA	0.03	0.05	0.22
CHROMIUM	0.5	0.30–0.70	1.1	NA	NA	NA
COPPER	2.3	1.12–3.48	18	0.65	1	23.1
IRON	7.3	5.08–9.52	31	NA	NA	NA
LEAD	2.1	0.71–3.49	6	0.11	0.22	4.88
MANGANESE	0.48	0.27–0.69	2.7	NA	NA	NA
MERCURY	0.6	0.36–0.84	2.4	0.1	0.17	0.37
NICKEL	2.1	0.64–3.56	24	NA	NA	NA
SELENIUM	NA	NA	NA	0.42	0.73	2.3
ZINC	7	5.97–8.03	16	21.7	34.2	118.4

NA = Not Available.

[a] Data obtained from Massachusetts Division of Water Pollution Control (MADWPC, 1988a; 1988b; 1989a; 1989b; 1990; 1991).

[b] 95% CI = Ninety–fifth percent confidence interval (alpha = 0.05) on the estimated average.

[c] United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS, 1990a; 1990b).

[d] Geometric average calculation of USFWS.

[e] 85th % = Eighty–fifth percentile of the geometric station averages of USFWS (1990a; 1990b).

Table N–5

Inorganics in Whole Fish Tissues from Lacustrine Systems in Massachusetts Partitioned by Fish Trophic Level (1)

Remedial Investigation Addendum Report

Feasibility Study For Group 1A Sites

Fort Devens, MA

WATERBODY	FISH CODE (2)	SAMPLE POPULATION	SAMPLE TYPE (3)	INORGANIC ANALYTES (mg/kg wet weight tissue) * (4)									
				Al	Cd	Cr	Cu	Fe	Hg	Mn	Ni	Pb	Zn
PRIMARY CONSUMERS (n=12)													
North Watuppa Pond	WP	1	IND.	1.2	ND(.2)	ND*(.3)	0.8	7.9	0.61	ND*(.2)	0.4	ND*(.5)	7
	WP	1	IND.	1.3	ND(.2)	0.8	2.1	11	0.74	ND*(.2)	1	ND*(.5)	7.1
	WP	1	IND.	1.3	ND(.2)	ND*(.3)	1	5.5	0.65	ND*(.2)	ND*(.3)	ND*(.5)	4.3
	YP	1	IND.	1.1	ND(.2)	0.6	0.4	7.1	1.3	0.3	0.4	ND*(.5)	6
	YP	1	IND.	1.3	ND(.2)	0.7	0.5	7.9	1.4	ND*(.2)	ND*(.3)	ND*(.5)	5
	YP	3	COMP.	ND*(1.0)	ND(.2)	0.6	ND*(.2)	5	1.2	ND*(.2)	ND*(.3)	ND*(.5)	5.2
South Watuppa Pond	P	1	IND.	1.2	ND(.2)	ND*(.3)	3.2	4.2	0.07	0.6	4.5	2.6	7
	B	2	COMP.	ND*(1.0)	ND(.2)	ND(.3)	3.9	3.3	0.12	0.7	7.5	2.5	8.1
	YP	5	COMP.	1	ND(.2)	ND*(.3)	1	2.3	0.21	2.7	1.9	0.6	5.3
	WP	5	COMP.	1.2	ND(.2)	ND*(.3)	2.3	5.2	0.23	0.5	2.3	ND*(.5)	6.5
Dorothy Pond	WP	5	COMP.	ND*(1)	ND(.2)	ND*(.3)	1.6	11	0.24	0.4	0.6	ND*(.5)	5.3
	YP	5	COMP.	1.6	ND(.2)	ND*(.3)	4.8	4.5	0.12	0.8	1.3	ND*(.5)	8.4
AVERAGE				**1.06**	**ND**	**0.33**	**1.81**	**6.24**	**0.57**	**0.54**	**1.70**	**0.66**	**6.27**
RANGE				**0.5–1.6**	**ND**	**0.15–0.8**	**0.1–4.8**	**2.3–11**	**0.07–1.4**	**0.1–2.7**	**0.15–7.5**	**0.25–2.6**	**4.3–8.4**
STANDARD ERROR				**0.35**	**NC**	**0.25**	**1.43**	**2.68**	**0.47**	**0.70**	**2.13**	**0.85**	**1.23**
BOTTOM FEEDERS (n=9)													
South Watuppa Pond	WS	2	COMP.	ND*(1.0)	ND(.2)	ND(.3)	2.4	3.9	0.02	0.9	3.6	0.8	6.8
Dorothy Pond	C	1	IND.	2.1	ND(.2)	ND (.3)	1.3	16	0.06	0.4	0.8	ND*(.5)	10
	WS	3	COMP.	ND*(1)	ND(.2)	ND (.3)	1.3	10	0.08	0.8	3.6	ND*(.5)	11
	YB	2	COMP.	1.3	ND(.2)	ND (.3)	0.4	4.5	0.08	0.4	ND*(.3)	ND*(.5)	15
Indian Lake	C	1	IND.	ND*(1)	ND(.2)	ND(.3)	3.1	11	0.06	0.4	ND*(.3)	ND*(.5)	9
	C	1	IND.	ND*(1)	ND(.2)	ND(.3)	1.2	31	0.05	ND*(.2)	ND*(.3)	ND*(.5)	9
	C	1	IND.	ND*(1)	ND(.2)	ND(.3)	6.1	29	0.05	0.4	0.9	ND*(.5)	7.6
	C	1	IND.	ND*(1)	ND(.2)	ND(.3)	1.8	4.1	0.04	0.5	0.4	ND*(.5)	6.4
	C	1	IND.	ND*(1)	ND(.2)	ND(.3)	9.2	4.5	0.03	0.3	1.7	ND*(.5)	7
AVERAGE				**0.77**	**ND**	**ND**	**2.98**	**12.67**	**0.05**	**0.47**	**1.27**	**0.31**	**9.09**
RANGE				**0.5–2.1**	**ND**	**ND**	**0.4–9.2**	**3.9–31**	**0.02–0.08**	**0.1–0.9**	**0.15–3.6**	**0.25–0.8**	**6.4–15**
STANDARD ERROR				**0.53**	**NC**	**NC**	**2.70**	**10.04**	**0.02**	**0.23**	**1.33**	**0.17**	**2.55**

Table N–5

Inorganics in Whole Fish Tissues from Lacustrine Systems in Massachusetts Partitioned by Fish Trophic Level (1)

Remedial Investigation Addendum Report
Feasibility Study For Group 1A Sites
Fort Devens, MA

WATERBODY	FISH CODE (2)	SAMPLE POPULATION	SAMPLE TYPE (3)	INORGANIC ANALYTES (mg/kg wet weight tissue) * (4)									
				Al	Cd	Cr	Cu	Fe	Hg	Mn	Ni	Pb	Zn
SECONDARY/TERTIARY FEEDERS (n=13)**													
North Watuppa Pond	LMB	1	IND.	ND*(1.0)	ND(.2)	0.7	ND*(.2)	4.8	1	ND*(.2)	ND*(.3)	ND*(.5)	4.4
	SMB	1	IND.	ND*(1.0)	ND(.2)	0.4	0.2	3.4	2.4	ND*(.2)	0.6	ND*(.5)	4.6
	SMB	1	IND.	ND*(1.0)	ND(.2)	0.5	ND*(.2)	5	2.1	ND*(.2)	0.4	ND*(.5)	3.6
	SMB	3	COMP.	ND*(1.0)	ND(.2)	1.1	0.3	8.2	1.8	ND*(.2)	0.5	ND*(.5)	4.4
	CP	1	IND.	2	ND(.2)	ND*(.3)	0.5	3.9	2.4	ND*(.2)	0.4	ND*(.5)	10
	CP	1	IND.	1.2	ND(.2)	ND*(.3)	1.1	8.8	1.5	0.5	0.8	ND*(.5)	10
South Watuppa Pond	SMB	1	IND.	ND*(1.0)	ND(.2)	ND*(.3)	**86	8.6	0.5	ND*(.2)	**89	6	**58
	SMB	1	IND.	ND*(1.0)	ND(.2)	ND*(.3)	0.7	2.2	0.48	ND*(.2)	1.7	0.5	3.1
	SMB	1	IND.	ND*(1.0)	ND(.2)	ND*(.3)	4.5	2.8	0.34	ND*(.2)	5.4	2.9	6.4
	SMB	1	IND.	ND*(1.0)	ND(.2)	ND*(.3)	18	3.2	0.5	ND*(.2)	24	3	16
Dorothy Pond	CP	1	IND.	ND*(.3)	ND(.2)	ND*(.3)	1.2	2.3	0.16	0.8	1.3	ND*(.5)	3.4
	LMB	1	IND.	1.3	ND(.2)	ND*(.3)	1.2	3.3	0.12	0.8	ND*(.3)	ND*(.5)	4.3
	LMB	3	COMP.	ND*(1)	ND(.2)	ND*(.3)	0.2	1.8	0.16	2.7	2.7	ND*(.5)	4.6
AVERAGE				**0.70**	**ND**	**0.31**	**2.34**	**4.48**	**1.04**	**0.44**	**3.18**	**1.13**	**6.23**
RANGE				**0.15–2**	**ND**	**0.15–1.1**	**0.1–18**	**1.8–8.8**	**0.12–2.4**	**0.1–2.7**	**0.15–24**	**0.25–6**	**3.1–16**
STANDARD ERROR				**0.48**	**NC**	**0.28**	**4.93**	**2.39**	**0.85**	**0.70**	**6.44**	**1.70**	**3.70**

NOTES:

(1) Data obtained from Massachusetts Division of Water Pollution Control ([MADWPC], 1988b; 1989a; 1990).

(2) CODE: B = bluegill (Lepomis macrochirus)
C = carp (Cyprinus carpio)
CP = chain pickerel (Esox niger)
LMB = largemouth bass (Micropterus salmoides)
P = pumkinseed (Lepomis gibbosus)
SMB = smallmouth bass (Micropterus dolomieui)
WS = white sucker (Catostomus commersoni)
WP = white perch (Morone americana)
YB = yellow bullhead (Ictalurus nebulosus)
YP = yellow perch (Perca flavescens)

(3) IND. = Individual analyzed
COMP. = Composite analyzed

(4) When an analyte was undetected in all fish from a given waterbody, these data were not included in the summary statistics calculations.

NC = Not Calculated

ND = Not Detected (detection limit is presented in the parentheses).

ND* = Non–detect was treated as a value one–half its detection limit (listed in parentheses) for calculation of the average and is represented in the lower limit of the range.

** = Outlier value was not used in calculating the average.

Table N–6

Comparison of Plow Shop Pond (PSP)

Bluegill Whole Body Tissue Concentrations to Regional and National Databases [a]

Remedial Investigation Addendum Report

Feasibility Study for Group 1A Sites

Fort Devens, MA

ANALYTE (ug/g wet weight tissue)	PSP AVERAGE [b]	MADWPC AVERAGE	PSP AVG. EXCEEDS MADWPC	PSP MAXIMUM [b]	USFWS MAXIMUM OR 85th% [c]	PSP MAX. EXCEEDS USFWS
PCBs/PESTICIDES						
DDE	0.013	0.023	N	0.029	4.74	N
INORGANICS						
ALUMINUM	2.6	0.9	Y	4.5	NA	NA
ARSENIC	0.33	NA	NA	1.3	0.27	Y
BARIUM	2.8	NA	NA	4.4	NA	NA
CHROMIUM	0.66	0.5	Y	0.93	NA	NA
COBALT	0.11	NA	NA	0.16	NA	NA
COPPER	0.51	2.3	N	0.6	1	N
IRON	80.0	7.3	Y	130	NA	NA
LEAD	0.078	2.1	N	0.16	0.22	N
MANGANESE	63	0.48	Y	94.7	NA	NA
MERCURY	0.37	0.6	N	0.54	0.17	Y
SELENIUM	0.55	NA	NA	0.67	0.73	N
THALLIUM	0.06	NA	NA	0.1	NA	NA
ZINC	25	7	Y	29.6	34.2	N

NOTES:

NA = Not Available.

[a] See Table N–4 for data of Massachusetts Division of Water Pollution Control (MADWPC) and United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS).

[b] Analytical data presented in Table 7–4.

[c] Use of maximum or 85th % is described in Appendix N, Section 2.2.

Table N–7

Comparison of Plow Shop Pond (PSP)

Brown Bullhead Whole Body Tissue Concentration to Regional and National Databases [a]

Remedial Investigation Addendum Report

Feasibility Study for Group 1A Sites

Fort Devens, MA

ANALYTE (ug/g wet weight tissue)	PSP AVERAGE [b]	MADWPC AVERAGE	PSP AVG. EXCEEDS MADWPC	PSP MAXIMUM [b]	USFWS MAXIMUM OR 85th % [c]	PSP MAX. EXCEEDS USFWS
PCBs/PESTICIDES						
DDD	0.006	NA	NA	0.012	2.55	N
DDE	0.017	0.023	N	0.033	4.74	N
INORGANICS						
ALUMINUM	1.66	0.9	Y	2.9	NA	NA
ARSENIC	0.127	NA	NA	0.3	0.27	Y
BARIUM	0.792	NA	NA	1.3	NA	NA
CHROMIUM	0.416	0.5	N	0.99	NA	NA
COBALT	0.074	NA	NA	0.17	NA	NA
COPPER	0.758	2.3	N	1.3	1	Y
IRON	39.02	7.3	Y	71.2	NA	NA
LEAD	0.076	2.1	N	0.18	0.22	N
MANGANESE	9.46	0.48	Y	16	NA	NA
MERCURY	0.282	0.6	N	0.4	0.17	Y
SELENIUM	0.274	NA	NA	0.31	0.73	N
ZINC	16.28	7	Y	22.3	34.2	N

NOTES:

NA = Not Available.

[a] See Table N–4 for data of Massachusetts Division of Water Pollution Control (MADWPC) and the United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS).

[b] Analytical data provided in Table 7–4.

[c] Use of maximum or 85th % described in Appendix N, Section 2.2.

Table N–8

Comparison of Plow Shop Pond (PSP)

Largemouth Bass Whole Body Tissue Concentration to Regional and National Databases [a]

Remedial Investigation Addendum Report

Feasibility Study for Group 1A Sites

Fort Devens, MA

ANALYTE (ug/g wet weight tissue)	PSP AVERAGE [b]	MADWPC AVERAGE	PSP AVG. EXCEEDS MADWPC	PSP MAXIMUM [b]	USFWS MAXIMUM OR 85th% [c]	PSP MAX. EXCEEDS USFWS
PCBs/PESTICIDES						
AROCLOR 1260	0.137	0.091	Y	0.33	2.3	N
DDD	0.046	NA	NA	0.11	2.55	N
DDE	0.174	0.023	Y	0.416	4.74	N
DDT	0.006	NA	NA	0.012	1.79	N
INORGANICS						
ALUMINUM	1.39	0.9	Y	2.9	NA	NA
BARIUM	0.58	NA	NA	0.99	NA	NA
CADMIUM	0.044	NA	NA	0.09	0.05	Y
CHROMIUM	0.432	0.5	N	0.65	NA	NA
COPPER	0.576	2.3	N	0.9	1	N
IRON	16.3	7.3	Y	24.6	NA	NA
MANGANESE	6.34	0.48	Y	8.8	NA	NA
MERCURY	1.38	0.6	Y	2.7	0.17	Y
SELENIUM	0.378	NA	NA	0.54	0.73	N
ZINC	16.36	7	Y	18.9	34.2	N

NOTES:

NA = Not Available.

[a] See Table N–4 for data of Massachusetts Division of Water Pollution Control (MADWPC) and United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS).

[b] Analytical data provided in Table 7–4.

[c] Use of maximum or 85th % described in Appendix N, Section 2.2.

Table N–9

Comparison of Plow Shop Pond (PSP)

All Whole Fish Body Tissue Concentration Data to Regional and National Databases [a]

Remedial Investigation Addendum Report

Feasibility Study for Group 1A Sites

Fort Devens, MA

ANALYTE (ug/g wet weight tissue)	PSP AVERAGE [b]	MADWPC AVERAGE	PSP AVG. EXCEEDS MADWPC	PSP MAXIMUM [b]	USFWS MAXIMUM OR 85th% [c]	PSP MAX. EXCEEDS USFWS
PCBs/PESTICIDES						
AROCLOR 1260	0.062	0.091	N	0.33	2.3	N
DDD	0.019	NA	NA	0.11	2.55	N
DDE	0.068	0.023	Y	0.416	4.74	N
DDT	0.006	NA	NA	0.012	1.79	N
INORGANICS						
ALUMINUM	1.88	0.9	Y	4.5	NA	NA
ARSENIC	0.19	NA	NA	1.3	0.27	Y
BARIUM	1.34	NA	NA	4.4	NA	NA
CADMIUM	0.04	NA	NA	0.09	0.05	Y
CHROMIUM	0.5	0.5	N	0.99	NA	NA
COBALT	0.08	NA	NA	0.17	NA	NA
COPPER	0.61	2.3	N	1.3	1	Y
IRON	45.01	7.3	Y	130	NA	NA
LEAD	0.07	2.1	N	0.18	0.22	N
MANGANESE	26.33	0.48	Y	94.7	NA	NA
MERCURY	0.68	0.6	Y	2.7	0.17	Y
SELENIUM	0.4	NA	NA	0.67	0.73	N
THALLIUM	0.05	NA	NA	0.1	NA	NA
ZINC	19.22	7	Y	29.6	34.2	N

NOTES:

NA = Not Available.

[a] See Table N–4 for Data of Massachusetts Division of Water Pollution Control (MADWPC) and the United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS).

[b] Analytical data provided in Table 7–4.

[c] Use of maximum or 85th % described in Appendix N, Section 2.2.

Table N–10
Fish Sampling Results for Selected Analytical Parameters
Plow Shop Pond

Remedial Investigation Addendum Report
Feasibility Study for Group 1A Sites
Fort Devens, MA

WHOLE BODY FISH TISSUE CONCENTRATIONS [a]							LIPID–NORMALIZED CONCENTRATIONS [b]	
SPECIES	SAMPLE ID	DDE (ug/kg)	MERCURY (ug/kg)	% LIPIDS	WEIGHT (g)	% SOLIDS	DDE (ug/kg lipid)	MECURY (ug/kg lipid)
BLUEGILL	PSP02W	4.8	400	0.28	90	24	0.17	14.29
BLUEGILL	PSP03W	29	190	1.03	137	25	0.28	1.84
BLUEGILL	PSP04W	21	540	0.46	92	27.5	0.46	11.74
BLUEGILL	PSP10W	4.8	470	0.16	100	28.3	0.30	29.38
BLUEGILL	PSP11W	5	240	0.37	99	26.6	0.14	6.49
BULLHEAD	PSP05W	15	360	0.62	166	20.4	0.24	5.81
BULLHEAD	PSP06W	4.85	280	0.72	95	23.6	0.07	3.89
BULLHEAD	PSP12W	17	400	0.98	142	22	0.17	4.08
BULLHEAD	PSP22W	33	280	1.56	111	23.2	0.21	1.79
BULLHEAD	PSP23W	14	90	0.48	419	18.8	0.29	1.88
BASS	PSP07WRE	150	2200	2.49	3480	27.3	0.60	8.84
BASS	PSP17WRE	416	2700	3.27	3440	34	1.27	8.26
BASS	PSP18WRE	82	650	2.45	1280	26.1	0.33	2.65
BASS	PSP19WRE	84	650	1.25	972	25.5	0.67	5.20
BASS	PSP20WRE	140	720	1.79	687	24.4	0.78	4.02

Notes:

[a] Non–detected values converted to half the detection level.

[b] Whole body concentrations lipid–normalized by dividing by the % lipids (kg lipids/kg).

Table N–11

Comparison of Cold Spring Brook Pond (CSBP)

Pumpkinseed Whole Body Tissue Concentrations to Regional and National Databases [a]

Remedial Investigation Addendum Report

Feasibility Study for Group 1A Sites

Fort Devens, MA

ANALYTE (ug/g wet weight tissue)	CSBP AVERAGE [b]	MADWPC AVERAGE	CSBP AVG. EXCEEDS MADWPC	CSBP MAXIMUM [b]	USFWS MAXIMUM OR 85th% [c]	CSBP MAX. EXCEEDS USFWS
PCBs/PESTICIDES						
DDD	0.12	NA	NA	0.23	2.55	N
DDE	0.083	0.023	Y	0.17	4.74	N
INORGANICS						
ARSENIC	0.15	NA	NA	0.27	0.27	N
BARIUM	0.47	NA	NA	0.64	NA	NA
CHROMIUM	0.30	0.5	N	0.33	NA	NA
COBALT	0.12	NA	NA	0.2	NA	NA
COPPER	0.39	2.3	N	0.41	1	N
IRON	32.9	7.3	Y	41.5	NA	NA
MANGANESE	10.0	0.48	Y	12	NA	NA
MERCURY	0.16	0.6	N	0.24	0.17	Y
SELENIUM	0.25	NA	NA	0.45	0.73	N
ZINC	21.0	7	Y	24.1	34.2	N

NOTES:

NA = Not Available.

[a] See Table N–4 for data of Massachusetts Division of Water Pollution Control (MADWPC) and the United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS).

[b] Analytical data provided in Table 7–23.

[c] Use of maximum or 85th % described in Appendix N, Section 2.2.

Table N–12

Comparison of Cold Spring Brook Pond (CSBP)

Bullhead Whole Body Tissue Concentrations to Regional and National Databases [a]

Remedial Investigation Addendum Report

Feasibility Study for Group 1A Sites

Fort Devens, MA

ANALYTE (ug/g wet weight tissue)	CSBP AVERAGE [b]	MADWPC AVERAGE	CSBP AVG. EXCEEDS MADWPC	CSBP MAXIMUM [b]	USFWS MAXIMUM OR 85th% [c]	CSBP MAX. EXCEEDS USFWS
PCBs/PESTICIDES						
AROCLOR 1254	0.034	0.54	N	0.052	4	N
DDD	0.17	NA	NA	0.34	2.55	N
DDE	0.085	0.023	Y	0.15	4.74	N
INORGANICS						
BARIUM	0.26	NA	NA	0.41	NA	NA
CHROMIUM	0.25	0.5	N	0.43	NA	NA
COBALT	0.11	NA	NA	0.16	NA	NA
COPPER	0.55	2.3	N	0.68	1	N
IRON	28.9	7.3	Y	35.9	NA	NA
MANGANESE	7.1	0.48	Y	10.4	NA	NA
MERCURY	0.12	0.6	N	0.14	0.17	N
SELENIUM	0.28	NA	NA	0.41	0.73	N
ZINC	15.5	7	Y	21.4	34.2	N

NOTES:

NA = Not Available.

[a] See Table N–4 for data of Massachusetts Division of Water Pollution Control (MADWPC) and the United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS).

[b] Analytical data provided in Table 7–23.

[c] Use of maximum or 85th % described in Appendix N, Section 2.2.

Table N–13

Comparison of Cold Spring Brook Pond (CSBP)

Chain Pickerel Whole Body Tissue Concentrations to Regional and National Databases [a]

Remedial Investigation Addendum Report

Feasibility Study for Group 1A Sites

Fort Devens, MA

ANALYTE (ug/g wet weight tissue)	CSBP AVERAGE [b]	MADWPC AVERAGE	CSBP AVG. EXCEED MADWPC	CSBP MAXIMUM [b]	USFWS MAXIMUM OR 85th% [c]	CSBP MAX. EXCEEDS USFWS
PCBs/PESTICIDES						
DDD	0.088	NA	NA	0.16	2.55	N
DDE	0.079	0.023	Y	0.15	4.74	N
INORGANICS						
BARIUM	0.34	NA	NA	0.52	NA	NA
CHROMIUM	0.20	0.5	N	0.27	NA	NA
COPPER	0.58	2.3	N	0.67	1	N
IRON	13.4	7.3	Y	15.3	NA	NA
MANGANESE	9.6	0.48	Y	14.1	NA	NA
MERCURY	0.36	0.6	N	0.47	0.17	Y
SELENIUM	0.16	NA	NA	0.25	0.73	N
ZINC	43.4	7	Y	51.3	34.2	Y

NOTES:

NA = Not Available.

[a] See Table N–4 for data of Massachusetts Division of Water Pollution Control (MADWPC) and the United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS).

[b] Analytical data provided in Table 7–23.

[c] Use of maximum or 85th % described in Appendix N, Section 2.2.

Table N–14

Comparison of Cold Spring Brook Pond (CSBP)

All Whole Body Fish Tissue Concentration Data to Regional and National Databases [a]

Remedial Investigation Addendum Report

Feasibility Study for Group 1A Sites

Fort Devens, MA

ANALYTE (ug/g wet weight tissue)	CSBP AVERAGE [b]	MADWPC AVERAGE	CSBP AVG. EXCEEDS MADWPC	CSBP MAXIMUM [b]	USFWS MAXIMUM OR 85th% [c]	CSBP MAX. EXCEEDS USFWS
PCBs/PESTICIDES						
AROCLOR 1254	0.028	0.054	N	0.052	4.0	N
DDD	0.12	NA	NA	0.34	2.55	N
DDE	0.082	0.023	Y	0.17	4.74	N
INORGANICS						
ARSENIC	0.10	NA	NA	0.27	0.27	N
BARIUM	0.36	NA	NA	0.64	NA	NA
CHROMIUM	0.25	0.5	N	0.43	NA	NA
COBALT	0.093	NA	NA	0.2	NA	NA
COPPER	0.51	2.3	N	0.68	1	N
IRON	25.1	7.3	Y	41.5	NA	NA
MANGANESE	8.9	0.48	Y	14.1	NA	NA
MERCURY	0.21	0.6	N	0.47	0.17	Y
SELENIUM	0.23	NA	NA	0.45	0.73	N
ZINC	26.6	7	Y	51.3	34.2	Y

NOTES:

NA = Not Available.

[a] See Table N–4 for Data of Massachusetts Division of Water Pollution Control (MADWPC) and the United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS).

[b] Analytical data provided in Table 7–23.

[c] Use of maximum or 85th % described in Appendix N, Section 2.2.

Table N–15
Fish Sampling Results for Selected Analytical Parameters
Cold Spring Brook Pond

Remedial Investigation Addendum Report
Feasibility Study for Group 1A Sites
Fort Devens, MA

		WHOLE BODY FISH TISSUE CONCENTRATIONS [a]					LIPID–NORMALIZED CONCENTRATIONS [b]	
SPECIES	SAMPLE ID:	DDE (ug/kg)	MERCURY (ug/kg)	% LIPIDS	WEIGHT (g)	% SOLIDS	DDE (ug/kg lipid)	MERCURY (ug/kg lipid)
PUMPKINSEED	CSB13W	58	150	0.89	39	26.3	0.65	1.69
PUMPKINSEED	CSB14W	22	240	0.58	52	25.9	0.38	4.14
PUMPKINSEED	CSB15W	170	80	2.1	38	26.7	0.81	0.38
BULLHEAD	CSB07W	150	90	4.16	31	28.6	0.36	0.22
BULLHEAD	CSB08W	73	140	3.17	31	22.4	0.23	0.44
BULLHEAD	CSB09W	31	130	0.79	41	22.2	0.39	1.65
PICKEREL	CSB01W	26	230	0.38	123	25.4	0.68	6.05
PICKEREL	CSB02W	61	470	1.5	367	23.4	0.41	3.13
PICKEREL	CSB03W	150	390	1.4	178	29.7	1.07	2.79

Notes:

[a] Non–detected values converted to half the SQL.

[b] Whole body concentrations lipid–normalized by dividing by the % lipids (kg lipids/kg).

Table N–15
Fish Sampling Results for Selected Analytical Parameters
Cold Spring Brook Pond

Remedial Investigation Addendum Report
Feasibility Study for Group 1A Sites
Fort Devens, MA

WHOLE BODY FISH TISSUE CONCENTRATIONS [a]							LIPID–NORMALIZED CONCENTRATIONS [b]	
SPECIES	SAMPLE ID:	DDE (ug/kg)	MERCURY (ug/kg)	% LIPIDS	WEIGHT (g)	% SOLIDS	DDE (ug/kg lipid)	MERCURY (ug/kg lipid)
PUMPKINSEED	CSB13W	58	150	0.89	39	26.3	0.65	1.69
PUMPKINSEED	CSB14W	22	240	0.58	52	25.9	0.38	4.14
PUMPKINSEED	CSB15W	170	80	2.1	38	26.7	0.81	0.38
BULLHEAD	CSB07W	150	90	4.16	31	28.6	0.36	0.22
BULLHEAD	CSB08W	73	140	3.17	31	22.4	0.23	0.44
BULLHEAD	CSB09W	31	130	0.79	41	22.2	0.39	1.65
PICKEREL	CSB01W	26	230	0.38	123	25.4	0.68	6.05
PICKEREL	CSB02W	61	470	1.5	367	23.4	0.41	3.13
PICKEREL	CSB03W	150	390	1.4	178	29.7	1.07	2.79

Notes:

[a] Non–detected values converted to half the SQL.

[b] Whole body concentrations lipid–normalized by dividing by the % lipids (kg lipids/kg).

TABLE N–16
Fish Length and Weight Summary
Plow Shop Pond

Remedial Investigation Addendum Report
Feasibility Study For Group 1A Sites
Fort Devens, MA

SPECIES		LENGTH (mm)	WEIGHT [a] (g)	ANALYTICAL COLLECTION NUMBER
AMERICAN EEL		550	NA	
	Maximum	550	NA	
	Minimum	550	NA	
	Average	550	NA	
	N=	1	0	
BLACK BULLHEAD [b]		281	290	
	Maximum	281	290	
	Minimum	281	290	
	Average	281	290	
	N=	1	1	
BLACK CRAPPIE		72	NA	
BLACK CRAPPIE		192	94	
	Maximum	192	94	
	Minimum	72	94	
	Average	152	94	
	N=	2	1	
BLUEGILL		79	NA	
BLUEGILL		83	13	
BLUEGILL		88	11	
BLUEGILL		89	NA	
BLUEGILL		92	15	
BLUEGILL		93	12	
BLUEGILL		94	NA	
BLUEGILL		98	13	
BLUEGILL		99	21	PSP – 11
BLUEGILL		100	18	
BLUEGILL		100	18	
BLUEGILL		103	15	
BLUEGILL		104	14	
BLUEGILL		105	25	
BLUEGILL		106	21	
BLUEGILL		106	25	
BLUEGILL		107	23	
BLUEGILL		107	9.0	
BLUEGILL		107	21	
BLUEGILL		107	21	
BLUEGILL		107	24	
BLUEGILL		108	26	
BLUEGILL		108	NA	
BLUEGILL		108	16	
BLUEGILL		110	25	
BLUEGILL		110	22	
BLUEGILL		110	21	
BLUEGILL		111	26	
BLUEGILL		112	27	
BLUEGILL		112	19	
BLUEGILL		112	24	
BLUEGILL		113	33	
BLUEGILL		113	22	
BLUEGILL		113	NA	
BLUEGILL		114	18	
BLUEGILL		114	29	
BLUEGILL		114	33	
BLUEGILL		116	26	
BLUEGILL		116	37	
BLUEGILL		117	30	

TABLE N–16
Fish Length and Weight Summary
Plow Shop Pond

Remedial Investigation Addendum Report
Feasibility Study For Group 1A Sites
Fort Devens, MA

SPECIES	LENGTH (mm)	WEIGHT [a] (g)	ANALYTICAL COLLECTION NUMBER
BLUEGILL	118	25	
BLUEGILL	118	28	
BLUEGILL	119	29	
BLUEGILL	119	37	
BLUEGILL	120	21	
BLUEGILL	123	NA	
BLUEGILL	123	43	
BLUEGILL	128	NA	
BLUEGILL	128	NA	
BLUEGILL	130	40	
BLUEGILL	131	NA	
BLUEGILL	132	43	
BLUEGILL	133	46	
BLUEGILL	134	43	
BLUEGILL	135	54	
BLUEGILL	136	NA	
BLUEGILL	140	43	
BLUEGILL	142	50	
BLUEGILL	142	47	
BLUEGILL	143	54	
BLUEGILL	143	60	
BLUEGILL	147	51	
BLUEGILL	148	65	
BLUEGILL	153	NA	
BLUEGILL	153	54	
BLUEGILL	153	60	
BLUEGILL	154	NA	
BLUEGILL	156	NA	
BLUEGILL	157	73	
BLUEGILL	158	78	
BLUEGILL	158	73	
BLUEGILL	158	71	
BLUEGILL	158	NA	
BLUEGILL	160	NA	
BLUEGILL	160	75	
BLUEGILL	162	78	
BLUEGILL	162	72	
BLUEGILL	163	79	
BLUEGILL	163	NA	
BLUEGILL	164	77	
BLUEGILL	164	NA	
BLUEGILL	164	NA	
BLUEGILL	164	NA	
BLUEGILL	165	NA	
BLUEGILL	166	94	
BLUEGILL	166	81	
BLUEGILL	167	85	
BLUEGILL	169	91	
BLUEGILL	169	93	
BLUEGILL	170	NA	
BLUEGILL	170	NA	
BLUEGILL	171	103	
BLUEGILL	171	NA	
BLUEGILL	171	NA	
BLUEGILL	172	92	
BLUEGILL	174	NA	
BLUEGILL	174	NA	
BLUEGILL	174	NA	
BLUEGILL	174	93	
BLUEGILL	175	NA	

TABLE N-16
Fish Length and Weight Summary
Plow Shop Pond

Remedial Investigation Addendum Report
Feasibility Study For Group 1A Sites
Fort Devens, MA

SPECIES		LENGTH (mm)	WEIGHT [a] (g)	ANALYTICAL COLLECTION NUMBER
BLUEGILL		175	86	
BLUEGILL		176	NA	
BLUEGILL		176	106	
BLUEGILL		176	98	
BLUEGILL		177	106	
BLUEGILL		177	99	
BLUEGILL		178	NA	
BLUEGILL		179	NA	
BLUEGILL		179	108	
BLUEGILL		180	NA	
BLUEGILL		180	92	PSP - 04
BLUEGILL		181	NA	
BLUEGILL		185	NA	
BLUEGILL		185	NA	
BLUEGILL		186	NA	
BLUEGILL		186	90	PSP - 02
BLUEGILL		187	NA	
BLUEGILL		188	122	
BLUEGILL		189	NA	
BLUEGILL		190	NA	
BLUEGILL		192	100	PSP - 10
BLUEGILL		196	137	PSP - 03
BLUEGILL		198	NA	
BLUEGILL		198	NA	
BLUEGILL		203	NA	
	Maximum	203	137	
	Minimum	79	9	
	Average	143.5	50.6	
	N=	125	83	
BROWN BULLHEAD		211	95	PSP - 06
BROWN BULLHEAD		232	166	PSP - 05
BROWN BULLHEAD		324	419	PSP - 23
BROWN BULLHEAD		224	142	PSP - 12
	Maximum	324	419	
	Minimum	211	95	
	Average	247.8	205.5	
	N=	4	4	
CHAIN PICKEREL		111	NA	
CHAIN PICKEREL		298	151	
CHAIN PICKEREL		327	NA	
CHAIN PICKEREL		328	193	
CHAIN PICKEREL		329	NA	
CHAIN PICKEREL		335	206	
CHAIN PICKEREL		349	NA	
CHAIN PICKEREL		353	NA	
CHAIN PICKEREL		362	143	
CHAIN PICKEREL		372	276	
CHAIN PICKEREL		373	283	
CHAIN PICKEREL		384	293	PSP - 13 [c]
CHAIN PICKEREL		389	349	PSP - 14 [c]
CHAIN PICKEREL		389	344	PSP - 09 [c]
CHAIN PICKEREL		393	329	PSP - 01 [c]

TABLE N–16
Fish Length and Weight Summary
Plow Shop Pond

Remedial Investigation Addendum Report
Feasibility Study For Group 1A Sites
Fort Devens, MA

SPECIES	LENGTH (mm)	WEIGHT [a] (g)	ANALYTICAL COLLECTION NUMBER
CHAIN PICKEREL	395	355	PSP – 16 [c]
CHAIN PICKEREL	400	387	PSP – 15 [c]
CHAIN PICKEREL	418	384	PSP – 08 [c]
Maximum	418	387	
Minimum	111	143	
Average	350.3	284.1	
N=	18	13	
GOLDEN SHINER	75	NA	
GOLDEN SHINER	83	NA	
GOLDEN SHINER	161	NA	
GOLDEN SHINER	196	92	
GOLDEN SHINER	198	NA	
GOLDEN SHINER	208	92	
GOLDEN SHINER	226	NA	
GOLDEN SHINER	228	143	
GOLDEN SHINER	231	NA	
GOLDEN SHINER	234	NA	
GOLDEN SHINER	243	176	
GOLDEN SHINER	248	NA	
GOLDEN SHINER	251	NA	
Maximum	251	176	
Minimum	75	92	
Average	198.6	125.8	
N=	13	4	
LARGEMOUTH BASS	71	NA	
LARGEMOUTH BASS	156	38	
LARGEMOUTH BASS	262	231	
LARGEMOUTH BASS	367	687	PSP – 20
LARGEMOUTH BASS	405	972	PSP – 19
LARGEMOUTH BASS	436	1280	PSP – 18
LARGEMOUTH BASS	532	3480	PSP – 07
LARGEMOUTH BASS	545	3440	PSP – 17
Maximum	545	3480	
Minimum	71	38	
Average	346.8	1446.9	
N=	8	7	
PUMPKINSEED	106	25	
PUMPKINSEED	108	19	
PUMPKINSEED	114	28	
PUMPKINSEED	124	NA	
PUMPKINSEED	137	34	
PUMPKINSEED	143	NA	
PUMPKINSEED	144	59	
PUMPKINSEED	148	56	
PUMPKINSEED	158	90	
PUMPKINSEED	163	86	
PUMPKINSEED	167	107	
PUMPKINSEED	169	104	
PUMPKINSEED	170	107	
PUMPKINSEED	174	110	
PUMPKINSEED	174	115	
PUMPKINSEED	176	115	
PUMPKINSEED	178	105	
Maximum	178	115	
Minimum	106	19	
Average	150.2	77.3	
N=	17	15	

TABLE N–16
Fish Length and Weight Summary
Plow Shop Pond

Remedial Investigation Addendum Report
Feasibility Study For Group 1A Sites
Fort Devens, MA

SPECIES	LENGTH (mm)	WEIGHT [a] (g)	ANALYTICAL COLLECTION NUMBER
WHITE PERCH	244	228	
Maximum	244	228	
Minimum	244	228	
Average	244.0	228.0	
N=	1	1	
YELLOW BULLHEAD	211	111	PSP – 22
YELLOW BULLHEAD	226	129	PSP – 21 [c]
Maximum	226	129	
Minimum	211	111	
Average	218.5	120.0	
N=	2	2	
YELLOW PERCH	211	107	
YELLOW PERCH	214	NA	
YELLOW PERCH	230	140	
YELLOW PERCH	239	NA	
YELLOW PERCH	240	166	
YELLOW PERCH	245	NA	
YELLOW PERCH	252	NA	
YELLOW PERCH	260	NA	
Maximum	260	166	
Minimum	211	107	
Average	236.4	137.7	
N=	8	3	

Notes:
[a] Fish were collected October 20 – 22, 1992. Weight data were not collected for all individuals.
[b] Although the black bullhead was identified in the field, this species is unusual in Massachusetts; the possibility of misidentification exists.
[c] These fish were collected but not analyzed for contaminant tissue burden.
NA = Not Available

TABLE N–17
Fish Length and Weight Summary
Cold Spring Brook Pond

Remedial Investigation Addendum Report
Feasibility Study For Group 1A Sites
Fort Devens, MA

SPECIES	LENGTH (mm)	WEIGHT [a] (g)	ANALYTICAL COLLECTION NUMBER
AMERICAN EEL	650	NA	
Maximum	650	NA	
Minimum	650	NA	
Average	650	NA	
N=	1	0	
BLACK CRAPPIE	54	NA	
BLACK CRAPPIE	58	NA	
BLACK CRAPPIE	68	NA	
BLACK CRAPPIE	181	78	
BLACK CRAPPIE	181	72	
BLACK CRAPPIE	186	88	
Maximum	186	88	
Minimum	54	72	
Average	121.3	79.3	
N=	6	3	
CHAIN PICKEREL	210	44	
CHAIN PICKEREL	243	75	
CHAIN PICKEREL	290	123	CSBP – 01
CHAIN PICKEREL	330	178	CSBP – 03
CHAIN PICKEREL	342	228	CSBP – 04
CHAIN PICKEREL	382	291	CSBP – 05
CHAIN PICKEREL	398	367	CSBP – 02
CHAIN PICKEREL	398	418	CSBP – 06
Maximum	398	418	
Minimum	210	44	
Average	324.1	215.5	
N=	8	8	
GOLDEN SHINER	NA	NA	CSBP – 17 [b]
GOLDEN SHINER	NA	NA	CSBP – 16 [b]
GOLDEN SHINER	52	NA	
GOLDEN SHINER	52	NA	
GOLDEN SHINER	56	NA	
GOLDEN SHINER	56	NA	
GOLDEN SHINER	61	NA	
GOLDEN SHINER	102	8.0	
GOLDEN SHINER	105	7.0	
GOLDEN SHINER	107	7.0	
GOLDEN SHINER	110	8.0	
GOLDEN SHINER	115	12	
GOLDEN SHINER	116	10	
GOLDEN SHINER	116	12	
GOLDEN SHINER	119	12	
GOLDEN SHINER	119	16	
GOLDEN SHINER	120	11	
GOLDEN SHINER	120	9.0	
GOLDEN SHINER	122	12	
GOLDEN SHINER	123	15	
GOLDEN SHINER	123	18	
GOLDEN SHINER	123	12	
GOLDEN SHINER	124	13	
GOLDEN SHINER	124	12	
GOLDEN SHINER	125	6.0	
GOLDEN SHINER	126	13	
GOLDEN SHINER	126	19	
GOLDEN SHINER	126	16	
GOLDEN SHINER	128	16	

TABLE N-17
Fish Length and Weight Summary
Cold Spring Brook Pond

Remedial Investigation Addendum Report
Feasibility Study For Group 1A Sites
Fort Devens, MA

SPECIES	LENGTH (mm)	WEIGHT [a] (g)	ANALYTICAL COLLECTION NUMBER
GOLDEN SHINER	128	18	
GOLDEN SHINER	128	18	
GOLDEN SHINER	129	8.0	
GOLDEN SHINER	131	24	
GOLDEN SHINER	132	15	
GOLDEN SHINER	132	11	
GOLDEN SHINER	133	22	
GOLDEN SHINER	133	19	
GOLDEN SHINER	133	18	
GOLDEN SHINER	133	18	
GOLDEN SHINER	133	16	
GOLDEN SHINER	133	22	
GOLDEN SHINER	135	11	
GOLDEN SHINER	135	19	
GOLDEN SHINER	136	18	
GOLDEN SHINER	136	18	
GOLDEN SHINER	136	13	
GOLDEN SHINER	138	27	
GOLDEN SHINER	140	9.0	
GOLDEN SHINER	141	24	
GOLDEN SHINER	143	27	
GOLDEN SHINER	143	29	
GOLDEN SHINER	146	23	
GOLDEN SHINER	147	27	
GOLDEN SHINER	148	22	
GOLDEN SHINER	150	34	
GOLDEN SHINER	157	34	
GOLDEN SHINER	158	36	
Maximum	158	36	
Minimum	52	6	
Average	123.0	16.9	
N=	55	50	
PUMPKINSEED	70	NA	
PUMPKINSEED	72	NA	
PUMPKINSEED	73	NA	
PUMPKINSEED	78	NA	
PUMPKINSEED	106	17	
PUMPKINSEED	108	24	
PUMPKINSEED	116	23	
PUMPKINSEED	118	27	
PUMPKINSEED	118	25	
PUMPKINSEED	119	29	
PUMPKINSEED	121	25	
PUMPKINSEED	131	39	CSBP - 13
PUMPKINSEED	133	48	
PUMPKINSEED	133	45	
PUMPKINSEED	135	38	CSBP - 15
PUMPKINSEED	143	56	
PUMPKINSEED	143	52	CSBP - 14
Maximum	143	56	
Minimum	70	17	
Average	112.8	34.5	
N=	17	13	

TABLE N–17
Fish Length and Weight Summary
Cold Spring Brook Pond

Remedial Investigation Addendum Report
Feasibility Study For Group 1A Sites
Fort Devens, MA

SPECIES	LENGTH (mm)	WEIGHT [a] (g)	ANALYTICAL COLLECTION NUMBER
YELLOW BULLHEAD	38	NA	
YELLOW BULLHEAD	132	41	CSBP – 09
YELLOW BULLHEAD	144	31	CSBP – 07
YELLOW BULLHEAD	133	31	CSBP – 08
YELLOW BULLHEAD	155	58	CSBP – 10
YELLOW BULLHEAD	163	68	CSBP – 11
YELLOW BULLHEAD	167	73	CSBP – 12
Maximum	167	73	
Minimum	38	31	
Average	133.1	50.3	
N=	7.0	6.0	

Notes:
[a] Fish were collected October 20 – 22, 1992. Weight data were not collected for all individuals, as detailed in the text.
[b] These fish were collected but not analyzed for contaminant tissue burden.
NA = Not Available

WETLAND FUNCTIONAL EVALUATION

This page intentionally left blank.

NEW ENGLAND ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.

WETLAND EVALUATION TECHNIQUE
(WET 2.0)

PLOW SHOP POND AND COLD SPRING BROOK POND
FORT DEVENS, AYER, MASSACHUSETTS
9 JULY 1993

Prepared for:

ABB Environmental Services, Inc.
Northeast Region
Corporate Place 128
107 Audubon Road
Wakefield, MA 01880

Prepared by:

New England Environmental, Inc.
800 Main Street
Amherst, MA 01002

NEE file: 93-1011

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. WET	
Introduction to WET	1
WET Assessment of Cold Spring Brook Pond	4
WET Assessment of Plow Shop Pond	7
WET Assessment of Impact Areas	9
WET Summary	11
II. Other Wetland Functional Assessments	
Hollands and McGee	12
Hydrogeomorrphic Properties	13
III. Qualitative Wetland Evaluations	
A. Cold Spring Brook Pond:	
Plant Communities	15
Wildlife Habitat	17
Observed Impacts	17
Wetland Jurisdiction and Permits	18
B. Plow Shop Pond:	
Plant Communities	24
Wildlife Habitat	26
Observed Impacts	27
Wetland Jurisdiction and Permits	27

I. WET 2.0 EVALUATIONS

Introduction to WET

Wetland Evaluation Technique (WET) assessments were conducted on the existing and post-impact conditions in Cold Spring Pond and Plow Shop Pond, which are located on and adjacent to the Fort Devens Military Installation in Ayer, Massachusetts. WET is a standardized evaluation technique for wetlands which yields a rapid assessment of many of the recognized values and functions of a wetland. Functions and values were evaluated in a Level 2 WET assessment, which is generally considered to be a reasonable balance between time, available information, and level of confidence for most situations. WET uses a standardized manual and answer sheet to provide input data for the WET computer program (See Appendix 1). After data are entered into the WET program, a "Low", "Medium", or "High" value is assigned to each function based upon this input.

A combination of eleven functions (i.e., physical, chemical, and biological characteristics) and values (characteristics beneficial to society) are evaluated by the WET program. Each of these functions and values is defined below. These definitions are found in *Wetland Evaluation Technique Literature Review and Evaluation Rationale* (Adamus et al, 1991).

* **Ground Water Recharge** "is the movement of surface water or precipitation into the ground water flow system".

* **Ground Water Discharge** "is the movement (usually laterally or upward) of ground water into surface water".

* **Floodflow Alteration** "is the process by which peak flows from run-off, surface flow, ground water interflow and discharge, and precipitation enter a wetland and are stored or delayed in their downslope journey".

* **Sediment Stabilization** "consists of both shoreline anchoring and dissipation of erosive forces".

* **Sediment/Toxicant Retention** "is the process by which suspended solids and chemical contaminants such as pesticides and heavy metals adsorbed to them are retained and deposited within a wetland".

* **Nutrient Removal/Transformation** "includes the storage of nutrients within the sediment or plant substrate; the transformation of inorganic nutrients to their

organic forms; and the transformation and subsequent removal of one nutrient (nitrogen) as a gas".

* **Production Export** "refers to the flushing of relatively large amounts of organic material (specifically, carbon from net annual primary and secondary productivity) from the wetland to downstream or adjacent deeper waters".

* **Wildlife Diversity/Abundance** "is the support of a notably great on-site diversity and/or abundance of wetland-dependant birds".

* **Aquatic Diversity/Abundance** "is the support of a notably great on-site diversity and/or abundance of fish or invertebrates that are mainly confined to the water and saturated soils".

* **Uniqueness/Heritage** "includes the use of wetlands for aesthetic enjoyment, nature study, education, scientific research, open space, preservation of rare or endemic species, protection of archaeologically or geologically unique features, maintenance of historic sites, and an infinite number of other mostly intangible uses".


* **Recreation** "includes both consumptive (e.g., sport fishing, food gathering, hunting) and nonconsumptive (e.g., swimming, canoeing, kayaking, birding) forms of recreation that are water dependant and occur in either an incidental or obligatory manner in wetlands".

The above listed functions and values were evaluated by WET in the following contexts: **Social Significance** (the value of the wetland to society); **Effectiveness** (the capability of the wetland to provide the function); and **Opportunity** (the opportunity of the wetland to provide the function).

Using the criteria described in the WET manual, the Assessment Area (AA) for each pond was determined to include not only the ponds, but the surrounding fringe of woody wetland vegetation as well. A WET assessment was conducted based upon the entire AA. A WET evaluation of the probable impacts resulting from removing one foot of sediment from the bottom of each pond was conducted at a point in time three years subsequent to the completion of the work. No detailed plans have yet been formulated for the precise extent of the remediation work. In order to provide a meaningful comparison between the wetlands before and after this work, the boundaries of each Impact Area (IA) were assumed to be

FIGURE 1

NEW ENGLAND ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.



USGS AYER QUADRANGLE
SCALE: 1:25,000

identical to the AA boundary for each pond, although not all of the AA may be altered by the remediation work.

Data for the WET analysis were collected from a number of sources, including the following: site visits by NEE personnel; site reports and documentation provided by ABB, Inc.; previous ecological investigations data by Ecology & Environment, Inc. (June, 1992); the Soil Survey of Middlesex County; FEMA floodplain maps; the USGS Ayer quadrangle; and telephone conversations with the Soil Conservation Service, Natural Heritage and Endangered Species Program, and the National Climactic Data Center. Our evaluation of the WET results is based in part upon the *Wetland Evaluation Technique Literature Review and Evaluation Rationale* (Adamus et al, 1991) and the *Method for Wetland Functional Assessment* (1983).

Cold Spring Brook Pond (AA1)

The first Assessment Area (AA1), Cold Spring Brook Pond, is located to the west of Marne Street (see Figure 1). The boundaries of this AA include the fringe of shrub swamp and wooded swamp which lies to the north of the pond. The western boundary of AA1 is the inlet stream from the upgradient wetland, while the eastern boundary is the culverted outlet beneath Patton Road. The southern limit of this AA is primarily a landfill slope.

Social Significance of AA1

Social Significance is the value of a wetland to society. As shown in Table 1, WET rates the value of Cold Spring Brook Pond to society as "High" for Wildlife Diversity and Abundance as well as Uniqueness and Heritage. There are a number of factors which make the Social Significance of this wetland high for the Wildlife Diversity and Abundance function. Most important, WET bases this function on the ability of the wetland classes within the AA to provide for diversity and abundance of wetland dependant birds, rather than other types of wildlife. Many of the features of this wetland, such as the interspersion of the vegetation and water within the wetland, the moderately irregular edge of the AA, and the proximity of this area to a major river, all make the area important for wetland dependant waterfowl. Moreover, the wetland is located in a region where migratory waterfowl are of major concern. The Social Significance of the Uniqueness and Heritage value is rated as "High" due, in part, to the presence of a long-term monitoring program on the adjacent landfill.

Table 1: Summary of Wet Results for Cold Spring Brook Pond

	Social Significance	Effectiveness	Opportunity
Ground Water Recharge	M	L	*
Ground Water Discharge	M	M	*
Floodflow Alteration	L	M	M
Sediment Stabilization	L	H	*
Sediment/Toxicant Retention	L	H	H
Nutrient Removal/Transformation	L	H	H
Production Export	*	M	*
Wildlife Diversity/Abundance	H	*	*
Wildlife D/A Breeding	*	H	*
Wildlife D/A Migration	*	H	*
Wildlife D/A Wintering	*	L	*
Aquatic Diversity/Abundance	L	L	*
Uniqueness/Heritage	H	*	*
Recreation	L	*	*

Note: "H" = High, "M" = Moderate, "L" = Low, "U" = Uncertain, and "*"'s identify conditions where functions and values are not evaluated

The Social Significance of the ground water functions are rated by WET as "Moderate" for this wetland, which is due to the downgradient wellfields. The remainder of the evaluated functions are "Low" in Social Significance. The low value of many of these functions is due in part to the small size and watershed of this AA.

Effectiveness of AA1

Effectiveness is the capability of a wetland to perform a given function. Using this parameter, WET rates Cold Spring Brook Pond as "High" for Sediment/Toxicant Retention, Nutrient Removal/Transformation, and Wildlife Breeding and Migration. The Effectiveness of the wetland in performing the Sediment/Toxicant Retention and Nutrient Removal/Transformation functions is enhanced by a number of factors including the low water velocity, constricted outlet, and the shallow water depth within this area. The Effectiveness of the wetland to provide the wildlife functions is based upon a number of factors, including the

interspersion of openwater and vegetation in the wetland, the diversity of the different vegetation types, the shape of the upland/wetland edge, and the sapric substrates within the wetland. Since this function is relative to waterfowl, the fact that Cold Spring Brook Pond has several aquatic bed species which are important food sources for waterfowl increases the Effectiveness of this wetland for Wildlife Diversity/Abundance Migration.

The Effectiveness of this Assessment Area is rated as "Moderate" for Ground Water Discharge, Floodflow Alteration, and Production Export. The wetland is determined to be moderately effective for Ground Water Discharge due to a number of factors, including the landscape position of the AA. Floodflow Alteration Effectiveness is enhanced by the constricted outlet to the wetland. The Effectiveness of Production Export is a function of factors such as the vegetation classes found in the AA and the relatively large portion of its watershed the wetland occupies.

The Effectiveness of this wetland to provide several functions/values is rated as "Low" by WET. For example, the area will have a low value for wintering waterfowl (Wildlife Diversity/Abundance Wintering) due to the fact that it is a shallow wetland and becomes completely frozen during the winter months.

Opportunity of AA1

Most of the functions and values are not evaluated for Opportunity in a Level 2 WET Assessment. Of the three functions/values evaluated, the opportunity for Cold Spring Pond to perform the Sediment/Toxicant Retention and Nutrient Removal/Transformation functions is rated as "High" by WET. Cold Spring Pond has the opportunity to provide these functions due to the proximity of the adjacent landfill. Floodflow Alteration is rated as "Moderate" by WET based upon the high percentage of the watershed this wetland occupies. While the watershed is small, which reduces the opportunity for this function, there are relatively few wetlands upgradient of this area, which increases the opportunity for this function.

Plow Shop Pond (AA2)

Plow Shop Pond (AA2) is located downgradient of AA1, and is situated close to the center of Ayer (see Figure 1). The upper limit of this Assessment Area is the culverted inlet from Grove Pond, while the lower limit is the dammed outlet. The AA includes the narrow fringe of scrub-shrub and forested wetland which surrounds the Pond.

Table 2: Summary of Wet Results for Plow Shop Pond

	Social Significance	Effectiveness	Opportunity
Ground Water Recharge	H	U	*
Ground Water Discharge	H	L	*
Floodflow Alteration	L	M	M
Sediment Stabilization	L	M	*
Sediment/Toxicant Retention	M	H	H
Nutrient Removal/Transformation	M	L	H
Production Export	*	M	*
Wildlife Diversity/Abundance	H	*	*
Wildlife D/A Breeding	*	H	*
Wildlife D/A Migration	*	L	*
Wildlife D/A Wintering	*	L	*
Aquatic Diversity/Abundance	L	L	*
Uniqueness/Heritage	H	*	*
Recreation	L	*	*

Note: "H" = High, "M" = Moderate, "L" = Low, "U" = Uncertain, and "*"'s identify conditions where functions and values are not evaluated

Social Significance of AA2

Functions which WET determines to be "High" for the Social Significance of Plow Shop Pond are Ground Water Recharge, Ground Water Discharge, Wildlife Diversity and Abundance, and Uniqueness and Heritage. The significance of Plow Shop Pond for the groundwater functions is due to its proximity to downgradient water supply wellfields and the permeable sediments within the area. Like Cold Spring Brook Pond, The Social Significance of Plow Shop Pond for Wildlife

Abundance is rated by WET as "High" based upon the potential attractiveness of the area for migratory waterfowl.

The Social Significance of the Sediment/Toxicant Retention and Nutrient Removal/Transformation functions in this wetland are rated as "Moderate" by WET.

WET rates the Social Significance and Effectiveness of Plow Shop Pond as "Low" for several functions, perhaps the most interesting of which is Aquatic Diversity/Abundance. This low rating is a result of such input data as the water quality problems Plow Shop Pond has experienced as well as the low interspersion of vegetation within the pond. As defined previously, this function is "the support of a notably great on-site diversity and/or abundance of fish or invertebrates that are mainly confined to the water and saturated soil". However, although the WET program predicts that this function is "Low" for Plow Shop Pond, our qualitative evaluation is that the Pond is very valuable for this function based upon the abundance of breeding fish.

Effectiveness of AA2

The Effectiveness, or the capability of AA2 to preform a given function, is rated as "High" for Sediment/Toxicant retention and Wildlife Diversity/Abundance Breeding. As with AA1, the Effectiveness of this wetland for Sediment/Toxicant retention is a function of the physical parameters of the Pond including the constricted outlet, low water velocity, and shallow depth. The breeding function for wildlife is enhanced for Effectiveness by the low gradient, permanent outlet, large watershed, and other factors.

The remainder of the functions and values evaluated by WET are rated as either "Moderate" or "Low" for Effectiveness. As with Social Significance, it is interesting to note that WET determines that the Effectiveness of this wetland for the Aquatic Diversity/Abundance function is "Low". Based upon our on-site visit, we believe that this wetland is very effective at supporting an abundance of warm-water fish species.

Opportunity of AA2

The results for Opportunity for Plow Shop Pond are identical to those for Cold Spring Pond (AA1). As with AA1, most of the functions and values were not

evaluated by WET for Opportunity in this Level 2 WET Assessment. The opportunity for Plow Shop Pond to perform the Sediment/Toxicant Retention and Nutrient Removal/Transformation functions is rated as "High" by WET due to the proximity of the adjacent landfill. The opportunity for AA2 to provide for Floodflow Alteration was rated as "Moderate" by WET.

Impact Area Evaluations

Both Assessment Areas were evaluated based upon the probable impacts resulting from the removal of one foot of sediment from the bottom of each pond. Each Impact Area was evaluated at a point in time three years subsequent to the completion of this work. This time period is arbitrary, and was chosen by NEE to represent a sufficient length of time for aquatic bed vegetation to become re-established. If a shorter time period had been chosen, the WET assessment would have yielded more pronounced impacts. Conversely, since many of the impacts from the proposed work will become less important with time, a WET assessment of the area 5 or 10 years further into the future would have yielded fewer differences between the pre- and post- development functions and values.

WET predicts that the Effectiveness of both IAs will be reduced for the Sediment/Toxicant Retention and Wildlife Diversity/Abundance-Breeding functions, while the Nutrient Removal/Transformation function will be reduced within Cold Spring Brook Pond. The reduction in the Effectiveness of the Sediment/Toxicant Removal function and the Nutrient Removal/Transformation function is due to the alteration of the wetlands. Alterations which destroy vegetation that slows water movement reduces the ability of the wetland to retain sediments. Wetlands which have been excavated are less likely to remove and/or transform nutrients in the water column. In addition, the removal of one foot of sediment will increase the depth of these waterbodies, and deeper wetlands may be less likely to retain sediments and toxicants than shallower wetlands. Finally, the conversion of the substrates within portions of Plow Shop Pond from muck to sand and gravel will reduce the ability of the wetland to trap sediments.

Wildlife Diversity/Abundance-Breeding was determined to be reduced subsequent to the alteration of the area. This is due to the disruption of wetland functions that are important to wildlife following alterations. However, if we had modeled this for longer than 3 years following the alteration, then this would not have had an impact on WET.

Table 3: Summary of Wet Results for Cold Spring Pond, Post-Impact

	Social Significance	Effectiveness	Opportunity
Ground Water Recharge	M	L	*
Ground Water Discharge	M	M	*
Floodflow Alteration	L	M	M
Sediment Stabilization	L	H	*
Sediment/Toxicant Retention	L	L	H
Nutrient Removal/Transformation	L	L	H
Production Export	*	M	*
Wildlife Diversity/Abundance	H	*	*
Wildlife D/A Breeding	*	L	*
Wildlife D/A Migration	*	H	*
Wildlife D/A Wintering	*	L	*
Aquatic Diversity/Abundance	L	L	*
Uniqueness/Heritage	H	*	*
Recreation	L	*	*

Note: "H" = High, "M" = Moderate, "L" = Low, "U" = Uncertain, and "*"'s identify conditions where functions and values are not evaluated

Table 4: Summary of Wet Results for Plow Shop Pond, Post-Impact

	Social Significance	Effectiveness	Opportunity
Ground Water Recharge	H	U	*
Ground Water Discharge	H	L	*
Floodflow Alteration	L	M	M
Sediment Stabilization	L	M	*
Sediment/Toxicant Retention	M	L	H
Nutrient Removal/Transformation	M	L	H
Production Export	*	M	*
Wildlife Diversity/Abundance	H	*	*
Wildlife D/A Breeding	*	L	*
Wildlife D/A Migration	*	L	*
Wildlife D/A Wintering	*	L	*
Aquatic Diversity/Abundance	L	L	*
Uniqueness/Heritage	H	*	*
Recreation	L	*	*

Note: "H" = High, "M" = Moderate, "L" = Low, "U" = Uncertain, and "*"'s identify conditions where functions and values are not evaluated

WET Summary

A standardized evaluation technique, WET (Wetland Evaluation Technique), was used to conduct assessments on the existing and post-impact conditions in Cold Spring Brook Pond and Plow Shop Pond on the Fort Devens site. The WET analysis determined that the value of both of these wetlands to society is "High" for Wildlife Diversity and Abundance as well as Uniqueness and Heritage. The value of Plow Shop Pond to society is also "High" for Ground Water Recharge and Ground Water Discharge.

WET predicts that the proposed removal of one foot of sediment from the bottom of these ponds will reduce the effectiveness of both wetlands to preform the Sediment/Toxicant Retention and Wildlife Diversity/Abundance-Breeding functions. The Nutrient Removal/Transformation function will be reduced within Cold Spring Brook Pond by the work as predicted by WET.

II. OTHER WETLAND FUNCTIONAL ASSESSMENT METHODS

Hollands and McGee

A Hollands & McGee (H&M) Wetland Functional Assessment (1985) was conducted on Plow Shop Pond and Cold Spring Pond by Ecology and Environment, Inc. as part of their assessment of these wetlands. The Hollands and McGee method was developed by private consulting firms (IEP and Normandeau), and the details of conducting or evaluating this method are generally not available to the public, nor has the complete method been published. The ecological elements in H&M are based largely on the work of Golet & Larson (1974). However, since this method was developed and tested in Massachusetts in 1975, it has the potential for broad applications in the functional assessments of wetlands in this region. The H&M method evaluates 10 wetland functions which incorporate biological, hydrological and socio-cultural interests.

The primary uses of the Hollands and Mcgee method are to compare different wetlands in a region (i.e. a town, county, etc.) so that the relative importance of functional values can be made. This method has been successfully used to evaluate and compare hundreds of wetlands in municipalities in Massachusetts, New Hampshire, and Wisconsin. Although Hollands and McGee (1985) believe that their method compares favorably with more complex methods such as Adamus (1983), which was the precursor to WET 2.0, the two methods have a very different approach. The H&M method relies on expert field personnel which include, at a minimum, a geologist, hydrologist, botanist, and an ecologist to collect site specific detailed data on the wetland(s) being investigated. WET, on the other hand, is designed to be conducted primarily from the office, with minimal field work and non-technical staff. In this respect, the H&M method is similar to the newer Hydrogeomorphic approach which is discussed below.

The H&M wetland evaluation conducted for Cold Spring Brook Pond and Plow Shop Pond provides no regional basis from which to make a decision on the level of the functions found in these wetlands. For instance, the biological model for Plow Shop Pond received a H&M score of 110, while Cold Spring Pond rated 102 for this function. Both were identified as "Moderate" due to a range of scores of this model between 29-158, with a mean of 93. However, practical use of this model indicates that a score of 110 is generally considered "Low" on a regional basis for this part of Massachusetts. Although the H&M system rates these wetlands as "Moderate" in reference to other functional models which require the

output of the biological model, without a comparison of other reference wetlands in the regions, the rating of individual wetland functional values is not appropriate using the H&M method.

Hydrogeomorphic Properties

A recent development in the functional assessment of wetlands is to classify wetlands based on hydrogeomorphic (HGM) properties as is discussed by Brinson et. al (1993, in press). This method is based on a scientific team approach, as in the H&M method, and uses the four following guidelines, or logic train to qualify a function for this method: 1) the function must be clearly defined; 2) it must have recognizable sustaining forces; 3) the function must have hydrologic, geomorphic, or ecologic significance either on the site or off the site; and 4) it must have indicators that can be documented and combined into a functional index that is scaled to reference wetlands.

The HGM method classifies wetlands based on their major properties, such as the geomorphic setting, the sources of water supplying the wetland, and the hydrodynamics of water within the wetland. By first grouping the different wetlands into the HGM classes with similar properties, the functional assessment is defined to address the functions which are linked. This step represents the scientific basis for the presence of the function. The next step is to develop functional profiles for each wetland class. Finally, a scale for expressing functions by using reference wetlands is developed. These reference wetlands are developed for each wetland class in order to serve as the benchmarks for the HGM classes. The reference wetlands are also critical to the setting of goals for compensatory mitigation, and become a standard from which success or failure may be measured. For example, in the H&M wetland functional assessment of both Plow Shop Pond and Cold Spring Brook Pond, no reference was made to the surrounding wetlands, even though there are similar ponds with aquatic beds located in close proximity. A modeled value is of little use if it cannot be compared with either a standard, or a point of reference.

As discussed by the Conservation Foundation (1988), Brinson et al. and Larson and Mazzarese (in press), the general approach which is used to assess the functions of wetlands is to use a generic list of possible wetland functions, and then look for evidence that the wetland being assessed actually performs the functions. As an example, if a given wetland has permanent standing water, is connected to a larger body of water, and has interspersion of both emergent and

submerged vegetation, as in Cold Spring Brook Pond, then it will likely support fish populations, and will thus be determined to have a high probability of aquatic food web support. This general approach has several problems in that a generic series of questions fails to explicitly define the relationship between properties of the wetland and the functions it is supposed to be performing. This "Black Box" approach (Brinson, et al) makes it difficult for the user to understand, learn from, or question the assumed relationships between wetland properties and functions. In fact, these procedures are applied without ever acknowledging the wetland class and its associated attributes.

The HGM approach emphasizes the use of reference wetland populations for the documentation of the relationship between disturbance and function. As such, they are viewed as natural laboratories and as targets for creation and restoration activities. For example, under this approach there is no need to develop complex and detailed design criteria that specify the number of trees to plant, the species composition of the plant community, or the slope and hydroperiod of the wetland surface. Rather, the species composition, cover, density, and other properties of the reference wetlands of a given class can serve as the goals for mitigation. Of importance to any future wetlands mitigation at Fort Devens is that the Discrete use of reference wetland populations in the region of the Base eliminates the need to consider "opportunity" and "effectiveness" as necessary conditions for high rankings of some functions.

Summary

Based on our experience using WET 2.0, Hollands and McGee, and other wetland functional assessment methods, it is our opinion that, if restoration of these wetlands is necessary, then the functional assessments of Plow Shop Pond and Cold Spring Brook Pond should also be compared with other regional wetlands which contain similar characteristics. While WET provides a generic functional assessment of the wetlands, a comparison with other reference wetlands of similar classes would provide a necessary ingredient for future mitigation work. For instance, a fisheries assessment of Plow Shop Pond should be compared with a fisheries assessment of the adjacent Grove Pond, which appears to have many similar characteristics. Any future remediation success of Plow Shop Pond must be measured against not only the existing conditions of the Pond, but against other non-impacted Ponds in the region.

III. QUALITATIVE WETLAND EVALUATIONS

A. COLD SPRING BROOK POND

Introduction

The area surrounding Cold Spring Brook Pond was examined on June 16, 1993. This pond was formed by the construction of Patton Road and the subsequent blockage of the culverted outlet to the wetland. The pond is essentially a dammed part of Cold Spring Brook, with the dam created by a road culvert that passes under Patton Road. Possible dredge spoils and piled peat material are located around parts of the pond perimeter, and this indicates that the pond may have been dredged in the past. The pond is adjacent to the Cold Spring Brook Landfill site (on the west and south) and a magazine storage area (to the west). Cold Spring Brook Pond was generally evaluated as part of a WET evaluation and as part of a qualitative evaluation for plant communities, wetland types, and ecological structure. The purpose of this section is to present a qualitative wetland evaluation of the existing wetland system.

Plant Communities

Four major plant communities were observed within Cold Spring Pond and its fringe wetland: an Aquatic Bed Plant Community; an Emergent Plant Community; a Shrub/Scrub type; and Forested Swamp. Each of these is described separately below.

Aquatic Bed Plant Community

The majority of the Cold Spring Pond wetland system is occupied by an open water aquatic bed plant community. Although the exact bathometric depths are unknown, much of the pond is relatively shallow, and is able to support rooted aquatic plant life that responds to a two meter phototrophic zone. Sweet water lily (*Nymphaea odorata*), water shield (*Brasenia schreberi*), water marigold (*Megalodonta beckii*), duckweed (*Spirodela* spp.), and coontail (*Ceratophyllum demersum*) were noted in this plant community.

Emergent Plant Community

Much of the shoreline border contains emergent marsh plants, although this band of vegetation is relatively narrow. These plants are generally obligate to facultative wetland plants as rated by the *National List of Plant Species that Occur in Wetlands* (Reed, 1988); these species can easily survive extended periods of saturated soils and flooded conditions. The following plants were observed around the shoreline in the emergent marsh community: tussock sedge (*Carex stricta*), bearded sedge (*Carex comosa*), purple iris (*Iris versicolor*), cattail (*Typha latifolia*), water willow (*Justicia americana*), purple loosestrife (*Lythrum salicaria*), and bugleweed (*Lycopus virginica*).

Shrub/Scrub Plant Community

At the western end of the pond and along parts of the pond perimeter there exists a shrub/scrub wetland plant community. The plant community on the western end is dominated by button bush (*Cephalanthus occidentalis*), smooth alder (*Alnus serrulata*), and silky dogwood (*Cornus amomum*). The understory in this area contains enchanter's nightshade (*Circaea alpina*), sedges (*Carex* spp.), and spotted jewelweed (*Impatiens capensis*). Other perimeter shrub/scrub wetlands are scattered along the perimeter of the pond and contain swamp azalea (*Rhododendron viscosum*), highbush blueberry (*Vaccinium corymbosum*), fetterbush (*Leucothoe racemosa*), winterberry holly (*Ilex verticilatta*), sheep laurel (*Kalmia angustifolia*), maleberry (*Lyonia lingustrina*), and red chokeberry (*Aronia arbutifolia*).

Forested Swamp

There are a few small areas of wetland that are red maple swamps. These areas are located along the fringe of the wetland system and on the peninsula which extends into the pond on its northwestern side. Although red maple (*Acer rubrum*) dominates these areas, gray birch (*Betula populifolia*), silky dogwood (*Cornus amomum*), smooth alder (*Alnus serrulata*), and swamp dewberry (*Rubus hispidus*) are common.

On the southeastern side of this wetland system there is a swamp which is dominated by white pines (*Pinus strobus*) in addition to red maple (*Acer rubrum*). The understory in this area contains american hazelnut, cinnamon fern, and clubmoss.

Wildlife Habitat

Although this report is not intended to provide a detailed habitat evaluation, we will briefly discuss the importance of the evaluated area to wildlife. The open water in Cold Spring Pond provides valuable wildlife habitat for many waterfowl species including black ducks, mallards, wood ducks, great blue heron, green heron, and canada goose. While few of these birds nest here, it is very valuable for forage habitat, providing ample hunting and foraging opportunities. Evidence of breeding black duck was observed within this wetland, and the presence of a wood duck nesting box indicates that this species may be breeding here, or has nested here in the past. The wetland is used by a great variety of reptiles and amphibians including: painted turtle; snapping turtle; bullfrog; pickerel frog, green frog, northern water snake, and others. Mammals likely using the area include muskrat, beaver, raccoon, opossum, and northern water shrew. Although there is no recent beaver activity, signs of past beaver activity exist, particularly in the location of the forested landfill area.

The plant community in the wetland and surrounding upland provides good forage, cover, and escape habitat for wildlife. There are many fruit bearing shrubs and trees, as well as good diversity between strata providing ample nesting, foraging, and breeding habitat for a variety of birds and mammals. The area also has a strong ecotone where forest meets open water. As a general assessment, it is our opinion that this pond, as it presently exists, provides a diverse and valuable wildlife habitat.

The open water area provides potential habitat for a variety of benthic macroinvertebrates and fish. Water quality is the driving force that dictates which species can inhabit this particular environment. The most likely fish that may be found in this pond are golden shiners, yellow bullhead, pumpkinseed, and bluegill. Some evidence of fishing in this pond (bobbers, worm containers, fish-hook packages, etc.) was observed, particularly near the outlet end of the pond.

Observed Impacts

Based upon our field observations, the biology of Cold Spring Brook Pond appears to be at relatively normal levels. However, there is a small pond upgradient of Cold Spring Brook Pond which is heavily discolored with a rust colored substance. The aquatic plant life in this pond is reduced in diversity, abundance, and apparent overall health as compared with the downstream Cold Spring Brook

Pond. The channel draining this small pond contains similar coloration as well as a lack of plant and animal diversity and abundance. The small amount of discoloration in Cold Spring Brook pond is primarily near the inlet from this upgradient channel. No other noticeable plumes or areas of apparently impacted plant and animal life were observed.

Wetland Permits

The wetland Resource Areas around Cold Spring Pond have been previously delineated and surveyed by another consultant. Based on our review of the flagged wetland boundaries, it is our opinion that these flagged boundaries do not accurately depict the wetlands which are jurisdictional under the Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act (M.G.L. chapter 131, section 40) and Regulations (310 CMR 10.00) or under Section 401 and Section 404 of the Clean Water Act. In general, we found that the flagged wetland boundary underestimated the area of wetlands based both upon vegetative criteria, as specified in the Regulations (310 CMR 10.00) to the Act, as well as the three parameter approach as outlined in the *U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual* (1987).

Only the Ayer Conservation Commission, or the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection on appeal, can make the final determination of the extent of the wetland resource areas which are regulated under state law. Similarly, the extent of wetlands which are subject to federal jurisdiction under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act can be determined only by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.

Massachusetts Wetland Protection Act

All wetlands on this site are subject to protection under the Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act. Under the Regulations to the Act, protectable wetlands are broken down into "Resource Areas". The wetland Resource Areas on site include:

* Land Under Waterway or Waterbody (Cold Spring Brook Pond and the streams)
* Bank (the Banks of the Pond and streams)
* Bordering Vegetated Wetland

No portions of this property are within the 100 year floodplain according to the Flood Insurance Rate Map (Ayer, MA. Panel 3 of 4, 1982). The site does not fall

within the estimated range of state-listed rare wetlands wildlife according to the 1993 Natural Heritage and Endangered Species Program Atlas.

Any work which occurs within 100 feet of the wetland Resource Areas on the site is subject to the jurisdiction of the Ayer Conservation Commission, and will require the filing of either a Request for Determination of Applicability or a Notice of Intent. It is possible that any large-scale remediation project would be approved as a Limited Project under section 10.53(4) in the wetlands regulations.

Federal Wetland Jurisdiction under Section 401 of the Clean Water Act

All projects which propose to alter wetlands require Water Quality Certification under Section 401 of the Federal Clean Water Act before work can proceed. Since October 1, 1992 the D.E.P. regions have been administering the 401 Program and now use the state criteria to determine the boundary of wetlands protectable under 401. If the proposed work will alter in excess of 5,000 square feet of wetlands, then the project will be subject to an alternatives analysis and a more lengthy review process by the D.E.P., and may possibly be denied Certification.

Federal Wetland Jurisdiction under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act

All wetlands on the property are subject to protection under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act. The boundary of wetlands which are protectable under Section 404 is different than that delineated under the Wetlands Protection Act and Section 401 of the Clean Water Act. On this site, it appears that the flagged wetland boundary does not reflect the extent of the wetlands which would be delineated based upon the methodology described in the *U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual* (1987). This manual describes a multiple parameter methodology which uses the presence of hydric soils, hydrophytic vegetation, and wetland hydrology to establish the boundary of the wetlands. This manual has superseded the more recent *Federal Manual for Identifying and Delineating Jurisdictional Wetlands* (1989) for federal wetland boundary delineations.

TABLE 5: PLANT SPECIES FOUND IN WETLANDS, COLD SPRING BROOK POND, FORT DEVENS, AYER, MASSACHUSETTS

COMMON NAME	SCIENTIFIC NAME	INDICATOR STATUS*
Trees		
Red Maple	*Acer rubrum*	FAC
Gray Birch	*Betula populifolia*	FAC
Green Ash	*Fraxinus pennsylvanica*	FACW
Red Pine	*Pinus resinosa*	FACU
White Pine	*Pinus strobus*	FACU
Quaking Aspen	*Populus tremula*	FACU
Black Cherry	*Prunus serotina*	FACU
White Oak	*Quercus alba*	FACU-
Red Oak	*Quercus rubra*	FACU-
American Elm	*Ulmus americana*	FACW-
Shrubs		
Speckled Alder	*Alnus rugosa*	FACW+
Smooth Alder	*Alnus serrulata*	OBL
Red Chokeberry	*Aronia arbutifolia*	FACW
Common Buttonbush	*Cephalanthus occidentalis*	OBL
Silky Dogwood	*Cornus amomum*	FACW
American Hazelnut	*Corylus americana*	FACU-
Witch Hazel	*Hamamelis virginiana*	FAC-
Winterberry Holly	*Ilex verticillata*	FACW+
Sheep Laurel	*Kalmia angustifolia*	FAC
Fetterbush	*Leucothoe racemosa*	FACW
Maleberry	*Lyonia ligustrina*	FACW
Mountain Holly	*Nemopanthus mucronatus*	OBL
Swamp Azalea	*Rhododendron viscosum*	OBL
Willows	*Salix* spp.	FACW
Meadowsweet	*Spirea latifolia*	FAC+
Steeplebush	*Spirea tomentosa*	FACW
Highbush Blueberry	*Vaccinium corymbosum*	FACW-

Lowbush Blueberry	*Vaccinium angustifolium*	FACU-
Wild Raisin	*Viburnum cassinoides*	FACW
Northern Arrowwood	*Viburnum recognitum*	FACW-

Ilianas

Poison Ivy	*Toxicodendron radicans*	FAC
Grape	*Vitis* spp.	FACW-FACU

Ferns

Spinulose Woodfern	*Dryopteris spinulosa*	FAC+
Field Horsetail	*Equisetum arvense*	FAC
Princess Pine Clubmoss	*Lycopodium obscurum*	FACU
Sensitive Fern	*Onoclea sensibilis*	FACW
Cinnamon Fern	*Osmunda cinnamomea*	FACW
Interrupted Fern	*Osmunda claytoniana*	FAC
Royal Fern	*Osmunda regalis*	OBL
Bracken Fern	*Pteridium aquilinum*	FACU
New York Fern	*Thelypteris noveboracensis*	FAC
Marsh Fern	*Thelypteris thelypteroides*	FACW+

Forbs

Jack-In-The-Pulpit	*Arisaema triphyllum*	FACW-
Swamp Milkweed	*Asclepias incarnata*	OBL
Aster	*Aster* spp.	
Spotted Wintergreen	*Chimaphila maculata*	UPL
Goldthread	*Coptis trifolia*	FACW
Spotted Joe-Pye-Weed	*Eupatoriadelphus maculatus*	FACW
Boneset	*Eupatorium perfoliatum*	FACW+
Bedstraw	*Galium* spp.	
Hawkweeds	*Hieracium* spp.	UPL
Bluets	*Houstonia* spp.	FAC-FACU
Spotted Jewelweed	*Impatiens capensis*	FACW
Yellow Iris	*Iris pseudoacorus*	OBL
Blueflag Iris	*Iris versicolor*	OBL

Duckweed	*Lemna* spp.	OBL
Bugleweed	*Lycopus virginicus*	OBL
Purple Loosestrife	*Lythrum salicaria*	FACW+
Canada Maylower	*Maianthemum canadense*	FAC-
Water-Millfoil	*Myriophyllum* spp.	OBL
Water Lily	*Nuphar* spp.	OBL
Pale Smartweed	*Polygonum lapathifolium*	FACW+
Pickerelweed	*Pontederia cordata*	OBL
Pondweed	*Potamogeton* spp.	OBL
Swamp Buttercup	*Ranunculus septentrionalis*	OBL
Blackberry	*Rubus* spp.	
Dewberry	*Rubus hispidus*	FACW
Curled Dock	*Rumex crispus*	FACU
Arrowhead	*Saggitaria latifolia*	OBL
Tall Goldenrod	*Solidago altissima*	FACU-
Rough Goldenrod	*Solidago rugosa*	FAC
Skunk Cabbage	*Symplocarpus foetidus*	OBL
Common Cattail	*Typha latifolia*	OBL
Violet	*Viola* spp.	FACW-OBL

Grasses and Grasslike Species

Fringed Sedge	*Carex crinita*	OBL
Broom Sedge	*Carex scoparia*	FACW
Tussock Sedge	*Carex stricta*	OBL
Blunt Broom Sedge	*Carex tribuloides*	FACW+
Other Sedges	*Carex* spp.	FACW-OBL
Spike-Rush	*Eleocharis* spp.	FACW+-OBL
Other Grasses	*Graminaceae*	
Canada Rush	*Juncus canadensis*	OBL
Soft Rush	*Juncus effusus*	FACW+
Rice Cut-Grass	*Leersia oryzoides*	OBL
Haircap Moss	*Polytrichum commune*	FACU**
Sphagnum Moss	*Sphagnum* spp.	OBL**

Category	Symbol	Definition
OBLIGATE HYDROPHYTE	OBL	Nearly always occurs in wetlands (>99%)
FACULTATIVE WETLAND	FACW	Usually occurs in wetlands (67% to 99%)
FACULTATIVE	FAC	Commonly occurs in both wetlands and uplands (34% to 66% in wetlands)
FACULTATIVE UPLAND	FACU	Usually occurs in uplands, but may occasionally occur in wetlands (1% to 33%)
UPLAND	UPL	Nearly always occurs in uplands (<1% in wetlands)

A positive (+) sign behind the Facultative Indicator categories indicates a frequency toward the higher end of the category (more frequently found in wetlands), while a negative (-) sign indicates a frequency toward the lower end of the category (less frequently found in wetlands).

D = Dominant (> 50% cover)
C = Common (11%-49% cover)
O = Occasional (1%-10% cover)

* 1988 Wetland Plant List, Northeast Region. National Wetlands Inventory, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

** Indicator status for mosses assigned by experience of NEE personnel; mosses are not rated by Wetland Plant List (1988).

PLOW SHOP POND

Introduction

The Plow Shop Pond wetlands were examined on June 16, 1993 by New England Environmental, Inc. (NEE) biologists. This pond and the adjacent wetlands are located in the northeast corner of the Main Post at Fort Devens, adjacent to the Shepley's Hill Landfill. The pond receives water from Grove Pond and a relatively large upgradient watershed. The Pond drains into Nonacoicus Brook, which eventually discharges into the Nashua River. Plow Shop Pond is an impounded area, with the primary outlet feeding Nonacoicus Brook. Plow Shop Pond is approximately 30 acres in size. This area and the associated wetlands were evaluated by New England Environmental, Inc. as part of a WET evaluation of wetland functional values, and as part of a qualitative evaluation for plant communities, wetland types, and ecological regime. The purpose of this section is to present a qualitative evaluation of the existing wetland system.

Plant Communities

Four major plant communities were observed within Plow Shop Pond and its fringe wetland, although the vast majority of the system is Aquatic Bed. The Emergent Plant Community, Shrub/Scrub type, and Forested Swamp are found in a narrow band which surrounds the Pond. Each of these plant communities is described separately below.

Aquatic Bed Plant Community

The majority of this wetland system is an open water aquatic bed plant community. Much of the area is less than 6.6 feet deep, which helps to describe it as shallow and capable of supporting a dense rooted vascular plant community. Sweet water lily (*Nymphaea odorata*), water shield (*Brasenia schreberi*), duckweed (*Spirodela spp.*), coontail (*Ceratophyllum demersum*), milfoil (*Myriophyllum spp.*), northern arrowhead (*Sagittaria cuneata*), and pickerelweed (*Pontedaria cordata*) were all noted in this community and comprise 80-90% of the plant species present.

Emergent Plant Community

Emergent marsh plants were noted along the majority of the shoreline border. These plants are generally obligate wetland species, with some facultative wetland plant species also present. The following species were noted along the shoreline as part of the emergent plant community: tussock sedge (*Carex stricta*), bugleweed (*Lycopus virginica*), bearded sedge (*Carex comosa*), purple iris (*Iris versicolor*), broadleaf cattail (*Typha latifolia*), yellow iris (*Iris pseudacorus*), eastern burreed (*Sparganium americanum*), soft-stemmed bullrush (*Scirpus validus*), water smartweed (*Polygonum punctatum*), purple loosestrife (*Lythrum salicaria*), and lurid sedge (*Carex lurida*).

Shrub/Scrub Wetland Plant Community

The majority of the wetland fringe around Plow Shop Pond contains a shrub/scrub wetland plant community. This plant community is found in association with many small red maple (*Acer rubrum*) saplings. The shrub/scrub plant community contains the following species: smooth alder (*Alnus serrulata*), speckled alder (*Alnus rugosa*), highbush blueberry (*Vaccinium corymbosum*), maleberry (*Lyonia lingustrina*), swamp azalea (*Rhododendron viscosum*), northern arrow-wood (*Viburnum recognitum*), wild raisin (*Viburnum cassanoides*), mountain holly (*Nemopanthus mucronta*), sheep laurel (*Kalmia angustifolia*), silky dogwood (*Cornus amomum*), ironwood (*Carpinius caroliniana*), witch-hazel (*Hammamelis virginiana*), and winterberry holly (*Ilex verticilata*). The understory of this narrow fringe community contained many species including spotted jewelweed (*Impatiens capensis*), marsh fern (*Thelypteris thelypteroides*), sensitive fern (*Onoclea sensibilis*), cinnamon fern (*Osmunda cinnomomea*), skunk cabbage (*Symplocarpus foetidus*), peat moss (*Sphagnum spp.*), haircap moss (*Polytrichum commune*), staghorn clubmoss (*Lycopodium clavatum*), virginia creeper (*Parthenocissus quinquefolia*), and poison ivy (*Toxicondendron radicans*).

Forested Swamp Community

In an area adjacent near the pond outlet (Nonacoicus Brook), there is a red maple swamp forested wetland. The overstory is dominated by red maple and gray birch (*Betula populifolia*), and silver maple (*Acer saccharinum*). In the shrub layer wild raisin, nannyberry (*Viburnum lentago*), and highbush blueberry are found. The understory is dominated by cinnamon fern, marsh fern, jewelweed, and joe-pye weed (*Eupatorium maculatum*).

Wildlife Habitat

Although it is beyond the scope of this report to provide a detailed wildlife habitat evaluation of Plow Shop Pond, we are providing a general discussion of the more important wildlife habitats which were evaluated in this study. The approximately 30 acres of open water found in Plow Shop Pond presently provides excellent brood-rearing and migratory feeding habitat for many waterfowl species including black duck, mallard, wood duck, great blue heron, green-backed heron, and canada goose. Although there are suitable nesting areas for waterfowl adjacent to the Pond, we did not observe any waterfowl broods during our one day site visit. The pond area has large areas of aquatic vegetation for forage and brood-rearing by many species of dabbling ducks and geese, and is likely to be heavily used by migrating waterfowl. There is little habitat interspersion or cover within the main body of the pond, which reduces somewhat the habitat value for several waterfowl species (i.e. wood duck), although the several wooded coves and outlet wetland provide additional habitat interspersion.

The Plow Shop Pond wetland system is used by a variety of reptiles and amphibians which were observed within the area including: painted turtle; snapping turtle; northern water snake; bullfrog; and green frog. Although several species of salamanders are likely to occur within this wetland complex, none were observed during our site visit. Mammals observed or which are likely using the area are muskrat, beaver, raccoon, opossum, and northern water shrew. There is some recent sign of beaver activity along the southern edge of the pond, and muskrat were observed in several of the small coves. Raccoon tracks were observed within the wetlands.

The plant community in the wetland and surrounding upland provides good shade, forage, cover, and escape habitat. There are a diverse variety of fruit and mast bearing shrubs and trees (ie. highbush blueberry, red oak), and a good interspersion of plant strata providing nesting, foraging, and breeding habitat for many different bird and mammal species. A very large and important ecotone exists where open water meets forest and shrub areas. As a general assessment, it is our opinion that Plow Shop Pond and the adjacent wetlands presently provides good wildlife habitat for a diverse group of fish and animal species.

The open water area of Plow Shop Pond provides potential habitat for a variety of benthic macroinvertebrates and warm water fish. Water quality is the primary ingredient in determining which species inhabit this environment. The most

likely fish that may be found in this pond, and those which we observed are: golden shiners; yellow bullhead; pumpkinseed; bluegill; large mouth bass; and chain pickerel. Ample evidence exists of fishing in the area (rod-holders, bait cans, trash, bobbers, etc.). There are presently posted warning signs which indicate that Plow Shop Pond is a catch and release area only.

Our site inspection was impressed by the large numbers of nesting bluegills found around almost the entire perimeter of the pond in shallow gravelly substrates. Equally impressive were the large number and the great size of large mouth bass which were observed near the inlet, the outlet, and throughout the aquatic bed.

Observed Impacts

Shepley's Hill Landfill is situated to an area south and adjacent to Plow Shop Pond. Two coves extend from the main body of the Pond towards the landfill, and these coves contain a red precipitate. This precipitate was not observed in any other areas of the Pond. In the northern cove, a steady plume of groundwater was observed to be discharging into the area.

There was a marked contrast of the plant communities within these two coves as compared to the greater body of water of Plow Shop Pond, with a general lack of plant diversity, especially in the northern cove. In addition, several dead trees (white pine and red maples) were observed adjacent to the northern cove. No other obvious tree diebacks were observed around the entire perimeter of Plowshop Pond. The aquatic plant life in the northern cove was sparse and unhealthy in appearance in comparison with the rest of the pond, and much of the aquatic vegetation had absorbed the rust-colored precipitate. Almost all of the pond bottom in the northern cove was rust-colored. Several nesting bluegills were observed within the northern cove.

At the southern cove, similar observations were made, although the observed impacts were less pronounced. At this cove, there was no observed plume of water entering the area, and there was less discoloration. The area did appear to contain a lack of diversity in aquatic plant species. No fish were observed in the southern cove.

Wetland Permits

The wetland Resource Areas around Plow Shop Pond have been previously

delineated and surveyed by another consultant. New England Environmental, Inc. generally agrees with the boundaries as established by the flags in the field, however; only the Ayer Conservation Commission, or the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection on appeal, can make the final determination of the extent of the wetlands which are regulated under state law. Similarly, the extent of wetlands which are subject to federal jurisdiction under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act can be determined only by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.

Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act

All wetlands on this site are subject to protection under the Massachusetts Wetlands protection Act. Under the Regulations of the Act, protectable wetlands are broken down into "Resource Areas". According to the Flood Insurance Rate Map (Ayer, MA., Panel 3 of 4), there is a significant area surrounding Plow Shop Pond which is subject to flooding in the 100 year storm event. This area of flooding extends to adjacent areas down stream. The wetland Resource Areas on the site include:

* Land Under a Waterway and Waterbody (Plow Shop Pond and inlet/outlet)
* Bank (the Banks of the Pond and streams)
* Bordering Vegetated Wetland
* Bordering Land Subject to Flooding (100 year floodplain)

The site does not fall within the estimated range of state-listed rare wetlands wildlife according to the 1993 Natural Heritage and Endangered Species Program Atlas.

A wetland filing with the Ayer Conservation Commission will be required for any proposed remediation work. It is likely that any large-scale remediation project can be approved as a Limited Project under section 10.53(4) or perhaps other appropriate sections in the wetlands regulations.

Federal Wetland Jurisdiction under Section 401 of the Clean Water Act

All projects which propose to alter wetlands require Water Quality Certification under Section 401 of the Federal Clean Water Act before work can proceed. Since October 1, 1992 the D.E.P. regions have been administering the 401 Program and now use the state criteria to determine the boundary of wetlands protectable

under 401. If the proposed work will alter in excess of 5,000 square feet of wetlands, then the project will be subject to an alternatives analysis and a more lengthy review process by the D.E.P., and may possibly be denied Certification.

Federal Wetland Jurisdiction under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act

All wetlands on the property are subject to protection under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act. The boundary of wetlands which are protectable under Section 404 is different than that delineated under the Wetlands Protection Act and Section 401 of the Clean Water Act. On this site, it appears that the flagged wetland boundary generally coincides with the line which would have been delineated based solely upon the methodology described in the *U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual* (1987). This manual describes a multiple parameter methodology which uses the presence of hydric soils, hydrophytic vegetation, and wetland hydrology to establish the boundary of the wetlands. This manual has superseded the more recent *Federal Manual for Identifying and Delineating Jurisdictional Wetlands* (1989) for federal wetland boundary delineations.

TABLE 5: PLANT SPECIES FOUND IN PLOW SHOP POND WETLANDS.

COMMON NAME	SCIENTIFIC NAME	INDICATOR STATUS*
Trees		
Red Maple	*Acer rubrum*	FAC
Silver Maple	*Acer saccharinum*	FACW
Gray Birch	*Betula populifolia*	FAC
Ironwood	*Carpinus caroliniana*	FAC
Red Pine	*Pinus resinosa*	FACU
White Oak	*Quercus alba*	FACU-
Red Oak	*Quercus rubra*	FACU-
American Elm	*Ulmus americana*	FACW-
Shrubs		
Speckled Alder	*Alnus rugosa*	FACW+
Smooth Alder	*Alnus serrulata*	OBL
Common Buttonbush	*Cephalanthus occidentalis*	OBL
Silky Dogwood	*Cornus amomum*	FACW
American Hazelnut	*Corylus americana*	FACU-
Black Huckleberry	*Gaylussacia baccata*	FACU
Witch Hazel	*Hamamelis virginiana*	FAC-
Sheep Laurel	*Kalmia angustifolia*	FAC
Maleberry	*Lyonia ligustrina*	FACW
Sweetgale	*Myrica gale*	OBL
Mountain Holly	*Nemopanthus mucronatus*	OBL
Pink Azalea	*Rhododendron nudiflorum*	FAC
Swamp Azalea	*Rhododendron viscosum*	OBL
Staghorn Sumac	*Rhus typhina*	UPL
Willows	*Salix* spp.	FACW
American Elderberry	*Sambucus canadensis*	FACW-
Meadowsweet	*Spirea latifolia*	FAC+
Steeplebush	*Spirea tomentosa*	FACW
Highbush Blueberry	*Vaccinium corymbosum*	FACW-
Wild Raisin	*Viburnum cassinoides*	FACW

Nannyberry	*Viburnum lentago*	FAC
Northern Arrowwood	*Viburnum recognitum*	FACW-

Ilianas

Virginia Creeper	*Parthenocissus quinquefolia*	FACU
Poison Ivy	*Toxicodendron radicans*	FAC

Ferns

Lady Fern	*Athyrium Filix-femina*	FAC
Spinulose Woodfern	*Dryopteris spinulosa*	FAC+
Staghorn Clubmoss	*Lycopodium clavatum*	FAC
Sensitive Fern	*Onoclea sensibilis*	FACW
Cinnamon Fern	*Osmunda cinnamomea*	FACW
Royal Fern	*Osmunda regalis*	OBL
Bracken Fern	*Pteridium aquilinum*	FACU
New York Fern	*Thelypteris noveboracensis*	FAC

Forbs

Ground Nut	*Apios americana*	FACW
Jack-In-The-Pulpit	*Arisaema triphyllum*	FACW-
Aster	*Aster* spp.	
Bog Hemp	*Boehmeria cylindrica*	FACW+
Water Shield	*Brasenia schreberi*	OBL
Coontail	*Ceratophyllum demersum*	OBL
Goldthread	*Coptis trifolia*	FACW
Spotted Joe-Pye-Weed	*Eupatoriadelphus maculatus*	FACW
Strawberry	*Fragaria virginiana*	FACU
Bedstraw	*Galium* spp.	
Hawkweeds	*Hieracium* spp.	UPL
Bluets	*Houstonia* spp.	FAC-FACU
Spotted Jewelweed	*Impatiens capensis*	FACW
Yellow Iris	*Iris pseudoacorus*	OBL
Blueflag Iris	*Iris versicolor*	OBL
Bugleweed	*Lycopus virginicus*	OBL
Yellow Loosestrife	*Lysimachia terrestris*	OBL
Purple Loosestrife	*Lythrum salicaria*	FACW+

Canada Maylower	*Maianthemum canadense*	FAC-
Water Marigold	*Megalodonta beckii*	OBL
Forget-me-not	*Myosotis scorpioides*	OBL
Water-Millfoil	*Myriophyllum* spp.	OBL
Sweet Water Lily	*Nymphaea odorata*	OBL
Pale Smartweed	*Polygonum lapathifolium*	FACW+
Pickerelweed	*Pontederia cordata*	OBL
Pondweed	*Potamogeton* spp.	OBL
Common Cinquefoil	*Potentilla simplex*	FACU-
Buttercup	*Ranunculus spp.*	FAC-OBL
Blackberry	*Rubus* spp.	
Dewberry	*Rubus hispidus*	FACW
Raspberry	*Rubus* spp.	
Arrowhead	*Saggitaria latifolia*	OBL
Rough Goldenrod	*Solidago rugosa*	FAC
Goldenrod	*Solidago* spp.	
Skunk Cabbage	*Symplocarpus foetidus*	OBL
Common Cattail	*Typha latifolia*	OBL

Mosses and Grass-like Plants

Blue Joint Grass	*Calamagrostis canadensis*	FACW+
Fringed Sedge	*Carex crinita*	OBL
Lurid Sedge	*Carex lurida*	OBL
Broom Sedge	*Carex scoparia*	FACW
Stalk-Grain Sedge	*Carex stipata*	OBL
Tussock Sedge	*Carex stricta*	OBL
Blunt Broom Sedge	*Carex tribuloides*	FACW+
Other Sedges	*Carex* spp.	FACW-OBL
Other Grasses	*Graminaceae*	
Timothy	*Phleum pratense*	FACU
Flat Bluegrass	*Poa compressa*	
Haircap Moss	*Polytrichum commune*	FACU**
Softstem Bulrush	*Scirpus validus*	OBL
Bur Reed	*Sparganium* spp.	OBL
Sphagnum Moss	*Sphagnum* spp.	OBL**

Category	Symbol	Definition
OBLIGATE HYDROPHYTE	OBL	Nearly always occurs in wetlands (>99%)
FACULTATIVE WETLAND	FACW	Usually occurs in wetlands (67% to 99%)
FACULTATIVE	FAC	Commonly occurs in both wetlands and uplands (34% to 66% in wetlands)
FACULTATIVE UPLAND	FACU	Usually occurs in uplands, but may occasionallyoccur in wetlands (1% to 33%)
UPLAND	UPL	Nearly always occurs in uplands (<1% in wetlands)

A positive (+) sign behind the Facultative Indicator categories indicates a frequency toward the higher end of the category (more frequently found in wetlands), while a negative (-) sign indicates a frequency toward the lower end of the category (less frequently found in wetlands).

D = Dominant (> 50% cover)
C = Common (11%-49% cover)
O = Occasional (1%-10% cover)

* 1988 Wetland Plant List, Northeast Region. National Wetlands Inventory, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

** Indicator status for mosses assigned by experience of NEE personnel; mosses are not rated by Wetland Plant List (1988).

REFERENCES

Adamus, Paul R. 1982. A Method for Wetland Functional Assessment. Office of Research, Environmental Division, Federal Highway Administration, US Dept. of Transportation, Washington, D.C.

Adamus, Paul R. and L.T. Stockwell. 1983. A Method for Wetland Functional Assessment: Volumes I and II. Critical review and Evaluation Concepts. Technical Report FHWA-IP-82-83. Office of Research, Environmental Division, Federal Highway Administration, US Dept. of Transportation, Washington, D.C.

Adamus, Paul R., Stockwell, Lauren T., Clairain, Ellis J., Jr., Morrow, Michael E., Rozas, Lawrence P., and Smith, R. Daniel. 1991. Wetland Evaluation Technique (WET); Volume I: Literature Review and Evaluation Rationale. Technical report WRP-DE-2, US Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, MS.

Brinson, M.M., W. Druczynsk, L.C. Lee, W.L. Nutter, R.D. Smith and D.F. Whigham. In Press. Developing an approach for assessing the functions of wetlands. In W.J. Mitsch and R.E. Turner (eds.) Wetlands of the World: Biogeochemistry, Ecological Engineering, Modelling and Management. Elsevier Publishers, Amsterdam.

Brinson, Mark M. and R. Daniel Smith. 1993. Development of logic trains in hydrogeomorphic assessments of wetland functioning. In ASLO and SWS Meeting Abstracts, Edmonton, Alberta.

Conservation Foundation. 1988. Protecting America's Wetlands: an action agenda. Final Report. Washington, D.C. 69pp.

Golet, F.C. and J. S. Larson. 1974. Classification of freshwater wetlands in the glaciated northeast. Bur. Sport Fish. and Wild. Res. Publ. 116. Washington, D.C. 56. pp.

Federal Interagency Committee for Wetland Delineation. 1989. Federal Manual for Identifying and Delineating Jurisdictional Wetlands. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, and U.S.D.A. Soil Conservation Service, Washington, D.C. Cooperative technical publication. 107pp.

Hollands, G. Garrett, and Dennis W. McGee. 1985. A Method for Assessing the Functions of Wetlands. In Proceedings; National Wetlands Assessment Symposium, Portland, ME.

Larson. J.S. and D.B. Mazzarese. (in press). Rapid wetland assessment: history and application to management. In W.J. Mitsch and R.E. Turner (eds.) Wetlands of the World: Biogeochemistry, Ecological Engineering, Modelling and Management. Elsevier Publishers, Amsterdam.

Michener, Martin C. 1983. Wetland site index for summarizing botanical studies. Wetlands 3: 180-191.

Reed, P.B., Jr. 1988. National list of plant species that occur in wetlands: Northeast (Region 1). U.S. Fish Wildl. Serv. Biol. Rep. 88(26.1). 111pp.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. 1987. Corps of Engineers Wetlands Delineation Manual. Technical Report Y-87-1. 100pp.

APPENDIX E

WET DATA FORMS

WET - COLDSPRIN

FORM A: SITE DOCUMENTATION (Page 1 of 2)

Part 1 - Background Information AA1

Evaluation Site: COLD SPRING POND Date: 6-18-93

Site Location (Section, Range, and Township): AYER

Has the evaluator taken a training course in WET Version 2.0? YES

Agencies/Experts Contacted: SCS, NOAA, MA F+W, NATL HERITAGE

Circle the assessment levels to be completed? (SS-1) (SS-2) (E/O-1&2) E/O-3 HS

Is the wetland tidal or nontidal? If the wetland is nontidal, indicate the month(s) that represent wet, dry, and average conditions, or if only average annual condition will be used, give rationale. Also, indicate if the previous 12 months of precipitation has been above, below, or near normal.
Nontidal; Wet Cond. - hydr. - March veg - May; Dry Cond. hydr - Hydrology - Avg. Veg - March Avg. Cond - Hydr - June veg - Sept.

Is this evaluation an estimate of past conditions or a prediction of future conditions? (If answer is yes, explain nature and source of predictive data.)
NO

Will alternative ratings be used to evaluate any of the functions or values (if yes, explain)? NO

Part 2 - Identification and Delineation of Evaluation Areas

Sketch a map on the following page, or attach a suitable map (photocopy of topgraphic map) that shows the following information: SEE FIGURE 1

-- Boundaries of the AA, IA, and IZ, and the location of service areas.
-- Watershed boundaries of AA, and service areas.
-- Extent of surface water in the AA during the wet and dry seasons.
-- Open water (channels and pools) within and adjacent to the AA.
-- Normal direction of channel or tidal flow
-- Normal direction of wind-driven waves or current.
-- Impact area(s).
-- Scale of distance and north compass direction.

Explain the procedures used to identify or delineate the AA, IA, IZ, service areas, and the watersheds of these areas if they differed from the guidelines outlined in Section 2.7. N/A

-- Continued --

FORM A: SITE DOCUMENTATION (Page 2 of 2)

Part 2 (Cont.)

Estimate the extent of the following areas:

Assessment Area = ±3 acres
Impact Area = N/A acres (only if applicable)
Watershed of AA = ±50 acres/ 0.08 miles^2 (acres x 0.0016 = miles)
Wetlands in AA = ±3 acres
Wetlands in the watershed of closest service area = >500 acres
Wetlands and deepwater in the watershed of closest service area = >500 acres

How were locality and region defined for this evaluation? Locality - Town (Ayer)
Region - State (Massachusetts)

Sketch of Evaluation Areas (or attach map):

See figure 1

FORM B: EVALUATION ANSWER SHEET

Evaluation Site: Cold Spring Pond AA-1

SOCIAL SIGNIFICANCE EVALUATION - LEVEL 1

3.1.1 "Red Flags"

				Comments/Assumptions
s1.	Y	(N)	U	NOT LISTED BY MA. NAT. HERITAGE
s2.	Y	(N)	U	
s3.	Y	(N)	U	
s4.	Y	(N)	U	
s5.	Y	(N)	U	
s6.	Y	(N)	U	

3.1.2 On-site Social Significance

					Comments/Assumptions
s7.	Y	(N)	U	I	
s8.	(Y)	N	U	I	- SUPERFUND SITE

3.1.3 Off-site Social Significance

					Comments
s9.	Y	(N)	U	I	
s10.	(Y)	N	U		
s11.	(Y)	N	U		
s12.	Y	(N)	U		
s13.	Y	N	(U)		
s14.	Y	(N)	U		
s15.	(Y)	N	U	I	- ESTIMATED HABITAT MAP
s16.	(Y)	N	U	I	- GROVE POND WELL FIELD
s17.	(Y)	N	U	I	
s18.	Y	(N)	U	I	
s19.	Y	(N)	U		
s20.	Y	(N)	U		NO IMPORTANT FISH
s21.	(Y)	N	U		BLACK DUCK/WOOD DUCK
s22.	(Y)	N	U	I	
s23.	Y	(N)	U		
s24.	Y	(N)	U		
s25.	(Y)	N	U		- SUPERFUND SITE
s26.	Y	(N)	U		
s27.	Y	(N)	U		NO LOCAL GROUP
s28.	Y	(N)	U		
s29.	Y	(N)	U		- MILITIA - BALD
s30.	Y	(N)	U		
s31.	Y	(N)	U		- NWI MAPS

SOCIAL SIGNIFICANCE EVALUATION - LEVEL 2

Context Region (Circle one)

Standard Density Circle
(Locality)
Hydrologic Unit

Question #			Comments/Assumptions
1	Y	(N)	- NWI MAP
2	Y	(N)	- SAME AS ABOVE NO MAPS
3	Y	(N)	- BLACK DUCK COMMITMENT FLOODING REGIME
4	Y	N	- FLOODED WOOD WARBLER

FORM B (Cont.)

Evaluation Site: • COLD SPRING AA #1

EFFECTIVENESS/OPPORTUNITY EVALUATION - LEVEL 1 (OFFICE)

Q.#	WETLAND CONDITION X	W	D	COMMENTS/ASSUMPTIONS
1.1	Y (N)			
1.2	Y (N)			- ERODIBILITY FACTOR K=.5 - SCS
1.3	(Y) N			
2.1.1	Y (N)			
2.1.2	Y (N)			
2.1.3	Y (N)			
2.2.1	Y (N) I			
2.2.2	(Y) N I			- USGS TOPO & FIELD OBSERV.
3.1	(Y) N			
3.2	Y (N)			NWI MAPS
3.3	Y (N)			NWI MAPS
4.1	(Y) N			- NASHUA/MERRIMACK RIVER
4.2A	(Y) N			- USGS
4.2B	Y (N)			
4.2C	Y (N)			
4.2D	Y (N)			
5.1.1		Y (N)		
5.1.2		Y (N)		
5.2		(Y) N		
6.1	Y (N)			USGS
6.2	(Y) N			FIELD INVESTIGATION
7	Y N (I)			
8.1	Y (N)			- FIELD OBS
8.2	(Y) N			
8.3	(Y) N			- USGS & FIELD
8.4	Y (N)			
9.1		(Y) N		- CONSTRICTED OUTLET
9.2		Y (N) I		
9.3		Y (N) I		- GNDWATER DIS.
10A	(Y) N			- AQUATIC BED
10B	Y (N)			
10C	Y (N)			
10D	Y (N)			
10E	Y (N)			
10F	Y (N)			

FORM B (Cont.)

Evaluation Site: COLD SPRING - AA 1

Q.#	WETLAND CONDITION X̄		W		D	
11	Y	(N)	Y	(N)	Y	(N)
12A	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N
12Aa	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N
12Ab	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N
12Ac	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N
12Ad	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N
12Ae	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N
12B	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N
12Ba	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N
12Bb	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N
12Bc	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N
12Bd	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N
12Be	(Y)	N	(Y)	N	(Y)	N
12C	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N
12Ca	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N
12Cb	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N
12Cc	(Y)	N	(Y)	N	(Y)	N
12Cd	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N
12D	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N
12Da	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N
12Db	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N
12E	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N
13A	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N
13Aa	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N
13Ab	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N
13Ac	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N
13Ad	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N
13Ae	(Y)	N	(Y)	N	(Y)	N
13B	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N
13Ba	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N
13Bb	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N
13Bc	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N
13Bd	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N
13Be	(Y)	N	(Y)	N	(Y)	N
13C	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N
13Ca	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N
13Cb	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N
13Cc	(Y)	N	(Y)	N	(Y)	N
13Cd	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N
13D	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N
13Da	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N
13Db	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N
13E	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N

COMMENTS/ASSUMPTIONS

DOMINANT A - AQUATIC BED, ROOTED VASCULAR

EDGE B - SHRUB/SCRUB BROAD LEAF DECIDUOUS

C - AQUATIC BED, ROOTED VASCULAR

>10% - AQUATIC BED
>10% - FORESTED BED
>10% - SHRUB/SCRUB

FORM B (Cont.) Page 4 of 9

Evaluation Site: COLD SPRING POND - AA 1

Q.#	$\bar{X}$	W	D	WETLAND CONDITION / COMMENTS/ASSUMPTIONS
14.1	Y (N)	Y (N)	Y (N)	FIELD OBSERV.
14.2	Y (N)	Y (N)	Y (N)	
15.1A	Y (N) I			
15.1B	(Y) N I			FIELD OBSERV.
15.1C	Y (N) I			
15.2	Y N (I)			NO CHANNEL FLOW
16A	Y (N)	Y (N)	Y (N)	
16B	(Y) N	(Y) N	(Y) N	FIELD OBSERV.
16C	Y (N)	Y (N)	Y (N)	
17	(Y) N			L 70% ANY CLASS
18	(Y) N I			
19.1A	(Y) N I			- TREES, TOPO
19.1B	Y (N) I			
19.2	Y (N) I			
19.3	Y (N) I			
20.1	Y N (I)			
20.2	Y N (I)			
21A	(Y) N			- MOSTLY FORESTED WITH SOME SIG. LANDFILL AREA
21B	Y (N)			
21C	Y (N)			
21D	Y (N)			
21E	Y (N)			
22.1.1	(Y) N			
22.1.2	Y N (I)			
22.2	Y (N)			
22.3	Y (N) I			
23	Y (N)			CONSTRUCTING CULVERTS
24.1	Y (N) I			- SCS SOILS MAP
24.2	Y N (I)			
24.3	Y (N) I			
24.4	Y (N) I			
24.5	Y (N)			
25.1	(Y) N			- LANDFILL
25.2A	(Y) N I			
25.2B	Y (N) I			
25.3	(Y) N			- UNSTABLE SOILS

FORM B (Cont.)

Evaluation Site: . COLD SPRING POND AA 1

Q.#	WETLAND CONDITION $\overline{X}$	W	D	COMMENTS/ASSUMPTIONS
26.1	(Y) N			- LANDFILL
26.2	Y (N) I			- GRNDWTR DISCH.
26.3	(Y) N I			- CHANNEL FROM UPGRADIENT AA
27.1	(Y) N			LANDFILL
27.2	Y (N) I			
27.3	(Y) N I			CHANNEL FROM UPGRADIENT AA

EFFFECTIVENESS/OPPORTUNITY EVALUATION - LEVEL 2 (FIELD)

Q.#	WETLAND CONDITION $\overline{X}$	W	D	COMMENTS/ASSUMPTIONS
28	Y (N)			
29.1	(Y) N			SHRUBS ON AA EDGE
29.2	Y (N)			7%
30.	(Y) N	(Y) N	(Y) N	
31.1	(Y) N	(Y) N	(Y) N	
31.2	(Y) N	(Y) N	(Y) N	
31.3	(Y) N	(Y) N	(Y) N	
31.4	(Y) N I	(Y) N I	Y (N) I	- WINTER
31.5	(Y) N	(Y) N	(Y) N	
31.6A	Y (N)	Y (N)	Y (N)	
31.6B	(Y) N	(Y) N	(Y) N	
31.6C	Y (N)	Y (N)	Y (N)	
31.6D	Y (N)	Y (N)	Y (N)	
31.6E	Y (N)	Y (N)	Y (N)	
32A	(Y) N			
32B	Y (N)			
32C	Y (N)			
32D	Y (N)			
32E	Y (N)			
32F	Y (N)			
32G	Y (N)			
32H	Y (N)			
32I	Y (N)			
32J	Y (N)			
32K	Y (N)			

FORM B (Cont.)

Evaluation Site: *COLD SPRING POND AA 1

Q.#	X	W	D	COMMENTS/ASSUMPTIONS
33A	(Y) N			
33B	Y (N)			
33C	Y (N)			
33D	Y (N)			
33E	Y (N)			
33F	Y (N)			
33G	Y (N)			
33H	Y (N)			
33I	Y (N)			
33J	Y (N)			
33K	Y (N)			
34.1	(Y) N			- USGS - OBSERVED FIELD DATA
34.2	Y (N)			
34.3.1	(Y) N			
34.3.2	Y (N) I			
35.1	Y (N) I			FEMA MAP, FIELD OBS.
35.2	Y N (I)			
36.1.1	Y (N)	Y (N)	Y (N)	
36.1.2	Y (N)	Y (N)	Y (N)	
36.2.1	(Y) N	(Y) N	(Y) N	
36.2.2	(Y) N	(Y) N	(Y) N	- CATTAILS, TUSSUCK SEDGE
36.2.3	Y (N)	Y (N)	Y (N)	
37	Y (N)			
38.1	(Y) N			- UPGRADIENT AA
38.2	(Y) N			
38.3	Y (N)			
38.4	Y (N)			
38.5	Y (N)			
38.6	Y (N)			
38.7	(Y) N			- NWI MAPS
38.8	Y N (I)			
39	(Y) N			
40.1	Y (N) I			
40.2	(Y) N I			
41.1		(Y) N I		
41.2		Y (N) I		

FORM B (Cont.)

Evaluation Site: *COLD SPRING POND AA-1

Q.#	$\overline{X}$			W			D			COMMENTS/ASSUMPTIONS
42.1.1	(Y)	N	I	(Y)	N	I	(Y)	N	I	
42.1.2	Y	(N)	I	Y	(N)	I	Y	(N)	I	
42.1.3	Y	(N)	I	Y	(N)	I	Y	(N)	I	
42.2.1	(Y)	N	I	(Y)	N	I	(Y)	N	I	
42.2.2	Y	(N)	I	Y	(N)	I	Y	(N)	I	
42.2.3	Y	(N)	I	Y	(N)	I	Y	(N)	I	
43A	Y	(N)		Y	(N)		Y	(N)		
43B	Y	(N)		Y	(N)		Y	(N)		
43C	Y	(N)		Y	(N)		Y	(N)		
43D	Y	(N)		Y	(N)		Y	(N)		
43E	Y	(N)		Y	(N)		Y	(N)		
43F	(Y)	N		(Y)	N		(Y)	N		
43G	Y	(N)		Y	(N)		Y	(N)		
43H	Y	(N)		Y	(N)		Y	(N)		
43I	Y	(N)		Y	(N)		Y	(N)		
44A	(Y)	N		(Y)	N		(Y)	N		
44B	(Y)	N		(Y)	N		(Y)	N		
44C	(Y)	N		(Y)	N		(Y)	N		
44D	(Y)	N		(Y)	N		(Y)	N		
44E	(Y)	N		(Y)	N		(Y)	N		
44F	(Y)	N		(Y)	N		(Y)	N		
44G	Y	(N)		Y	(N)		Y	(N)		
44H	Y	(N)		Y	(N)		Y	(N)		
44I	Y	(N)		Y	(N)		Y	(N)		
45A	Y	(N)								
45B	(Y)	N								
45C	Y	(N)								
45D	Y	(N)								
45E	Y	(N)								
45F	Y	(N)								
45G	Y	(N)								
46A	(Y)	N		(Y)	N		(Y)	N		
46B	Y	(N)		Y	(N)		Y	(N)		
46C	Y	(N)		Y	(N)		Y	(N)		
47A	(Y)	N								
47B	Y	(N)								
47C	Y	(N)								

FORM B (Cont.)

Evaluation Site: COLD SPRING POND AA1

Q.#	WETLAND CONDITION $\overline{X}$	W	D	COMMENTS/ASSUMPTIONS
48A	(Y) N I	(Y) N I	(Y) N I	
48B	Y (N) I	Y (N) I	Y (N) I	
48C	Y (N) I	Y (N) I	Y (N) I	
48D	Y (N) I	Y (N) I	Y (N) I	
48E	Y (N) I	Y (N) I	Y (N) I	
48F	Y (N) I	Y (N) I	Y (N) I	
49.1.1	(Y) N I	(Y) N I	(Y) N I	
49.1.2	Y (N) I	Y (N) I	Y (N) I	
49.2	(Y) N I	(Y) N I	(Y) N I	
49.3	Y (N) I	Y (N) I	Y (N) I	
50.	(Y) N	(Y) N	(Y) N	

EFFECTIVENESS/OPPORTUNITY EVALUATION - LEVEL 3 (DETAILED DATA)

Q.#	WETLAND CONDITION $\overline{X}$	W	D	COMMENTS/ASSUMPTIONS
51.1	Y N U			
51.2	Y N U			
52.1	Y N I U			
52.2	Y N I U			
53.1	Y N I U			
53.2	Y N I U			
54	Y N U	Y N U	Y N U	
55.1	Y N U			
55.2	Y N U			
55.3	Y N U			
55.4	Y N U			
56.1	Y N I U			
56.2	Y N I U			
57.1	Y N U			
57.2	Y N U			
58.	Y N U			

FORM B (Cont.)

Evaluation Site: _____

	WETLAND CONDITION								COMMENTS/ASSUMPTIONS
Q.#	$\overline{X}$				W			D	
59.1	Y	N	I	U					
59.2	Y	N	I	U					
60	Y	N	U						
61	Y	N	I	U					
62	Y	N	U						
63.1	Y	N	I	U					
63.2	Y	N	I	U					
64					Y	N	I	U	

FORM C: SUPPLEMENTARY OBSERVATIONS

Evaluation Site: Gold Spring Pond AA #1

Indicate the species, species groups, and activities that are actually observed, reliably reported, or known to occur at the AA on a regular basis.

FISH SPECIES GROUPS*	OBSERVED/REPORTED
1. Warmwater Group	(Y) or N
2. Coldwater Group	Y or (N)
3. Northern Lake Group	(Y) or N
4. Coldwater Riverine Group	Y or (N)

FISH SPECIES	OBSERVED/REPORTED
22 Yellow Perch 24 Pumpkinseed N	(Y) or N
10 Chain Pickerel	(Y) or N
7 Smallmouth BASS	Y or (N)
40 Redbreasted Sunfish N	

WATERFOWL SPECIES GROUPS**	OBSERVED/REPORTED NESTING	MIGRATING	WINTERING
1. Prairie Dabblers	110 Y or (N)	(Y) or N	Y or (N)
2. Black Duck	113 Y or (N)	(Y) or N	Y or N
3. Wood Duck	116 (Y) or N	(Y) or N	Y or N
4. Common and Red-Breasted Mergansers	117 Y or (N)	(Y) or N	Y or N
5. Hooded Merganser	122 Y or (N)	(Y) or N	Y or N
6. Canvasback, Redhead, Ruddy Duck	125 Y or (N)	Y or N	Y or N
7. Ring-necked Duck	128 Y or (N)	(Y) or N	Y or N
8. Greater and Lesser Scaup	131 Y or (N)	Y or (N)	Y or N
9. Common Goldeneye	134 Y or (N)	Y or N	Y or N
10. Bufflehead	137 Y or (N)	Y or N	Y or N
11. Whistling Ducks	140 Y or (N)	Y or (N)	Y or N
12. Inland Geese	143 Y or (N)	(Y) or N	Y or N
13. Tundra Swan	146 Y or N	Y or N	Y or N
14. Brant	149 Y or N	Y or N	Y or N

Brant sightings very little data available

BIRD SPECIES	OBSERVED/REPORTED
Great Blue Heron	(Y) or N
Belted Kingfisher	(Y) or N
Green Heron	(Y) or N

RECREATIONAL ACTIVITIES

(Hiking)	Sailing	Snowmobiling	Research
(Birdwatching)	Power Boating	Skiing	Educational Fieldtrips
(Photography)	Canoeing	Snowshoeing	Horseback Riding
Swimming	Kayaking	(Ice Skating)	

CONSUMPTIVE ACTIVITIES

Agriculture	Fur Harvesting	(Commercial/Sport Fishing)	Peat Harvesting
(Hunting)	Timber Harvest	Natural Food Gathering	Water Supply

Shotgun shells only. (Hunting)

* Fish species groups are explained on page 138

** Waterfowl species groups are explained on page 1647

FORM D: EVALUATION SUMMARY SHEET

Evaluation Site: _____

Wetland Functions and Values

	Social Significance	Effectiveness	Opportunity
Ground Water Recharge			*
Ground Water Discharge			*
Floodflow Alteration			
Sediment Stabilization			*
Sediment/Toxicant Retention			
Nutrient Removal/Transform.			
Production Export	*		*
Wildlife Diversity/Abundance**		*	*
Breeding	*		*
Migration	*		*
Wintering	*		*
Aquatic Diversity/Abundance			*
Uniqueness/Heritage		*	*
Recreation		*	*

Habitat Suitability Evaluation

Fish Species Groups:

_____ Group ___ _____ Group ___ _____ Group ____

Waterfowl Species Groups:

	Breeding	Migration	Wintering
Group _____	___	___	___
Group _____	___	___	___
Group _____	___	___	___
Group _____	___	___	___

Fish, Invertebrate, and Bird Species:

_____	___	_____	___	_____	___
_____	___	_____	___	_____	___
_____	___	_____	___	_____	___
_____	___	_____	___	_____	___

Levels of assessment completed: S-1 S-2 E/O-1 E/O-2 E/O-3 HS
Evaluation is for the: AA IA (Note: if the evaluation is for an IA, documentation of the AA evaluation must be presented with this evaluation).
Is there any evidence that suggests ratings contrary to the above (explain)?

_____

Were alternative sources used for any of the ratings above (explain)? _____

_____

The loss rate for _____ (identify locality/region) between 19__ and 19__ for _____ (identify wetland type) was _____ (acres/year or % loss).

* WET does not evaluate this function or value in these terms.
** Wildlife Diversity/Abundance assesses only wetland-dependent birds. Other wildlife (e.g., game mammals) should be evaluated using other methods.

POST PLOW

FORM A: SITE DOCUMENTATION (Page 1 of 2)

Part 1 - Background Information

Evaluation Site: PLOWSHOP POND IA 2 Date: 6/21/93
POST IMPACT - 3 yrs

Site Location (Section, Range, and Township): AYER MA

Has the evaluator taken a training course in WET Version 2.0? Yes

Agencies/Experts Contacted: SCS NOAA

Circle the assessment levels to be completed? (SS-1) (SS-2) (E/O-1&2) E/O-3 HS

Is the wetland tidal or nontidal? If the wetland is nontidal, indicate the month(s) that represent wet, dry, and average conditions, or if only average annual condition will be used, give rationale. Also, indicate if the previous 12 months of precipitation has been above, below, or near normal.
Nontidal, Wet Cond - hydrology - March Veget - May Dry Cond - Hydrol - Aug, Veg - Nov. ?, Avg Cond - Hydrol - June? Veg Sept.

Is this evaluation an estimate of past conditions or a prediction of future conditions? (If answer is yes, explain nature and source of predictive data.)
No

Will alternative ratings be used to evaluate any of the functions or values (if yes, explain)? No

Part 2 - Identification and Delineation of Evaluation Areas

Sketch a map on the following page, or attach a suitable map (photocopy of topgraphic map) that shows the following information:

-- Boundaries of the AA, IA, and IZ, and the location of service areas. See Figure 1
-- Watershed boundaries of AA, and service areas.
-- Extent of surface water in the AA during the wet and dry seasons.
-- Open water (channels and pools) within and adjacent to the AA.
-- Normal direction of channel or tidal flow
-- Normal direction of wind-driven waves or current.
-- Impact area(s).
-- Scale of distance and north compass direction.

Explain the procedures used to identify or delineate the AA, IA, IZ, service areas, and the watersheds of these areas if they differed from the guidelines outlined in Section 2.7. N/A

-- Continued --

FORM A: SITE DOCUMENTATION (Page 2 of 2)

Part 2 (Cont.)

Estimate the extent of the following areas:

Assessment Area = N/A acres
Impact Area = ±25 acres (only if applicable)
Watershed of AA = — acres/ ±25 miles^2 (acres x 0.0016 = miles)
Wetlands in AA = ±25 acres
Wetlands in the watershed of closest service area = >500 acres
Wetlands and deepwater in the watershed of closest service area = >500 acres

How were locality and region defined for this evaluation?
locality - Town (Ayer)
Region - State (Massachusetts)

Sketch of Evaluation Areas (or attach map):

See Figure 1

FORM B: EVALUATION ANSWER SHEET

Evaluation Site: *Plowshop Pond IA-2

SOCIAL SIGNIFICANCE EVALUATION - LEVEL 1

3.1.1 "Red Flags"

Comments/Assumptions

s1. Y (N) U
s2. Y (N) U
s3. Y (N) U
s4. Y (N) U
s5. Y (N) U
s6. (Y) N U

3.1.2 On-site Social Significance

Comments/Assumptions

s7. Y (N) U I
s8. (Y) N U I — Superfund site

3.1.3 Off-site Social Significance

Comments

s9. Y (N) U I — "Y" S10 ch. due to < 10% & open water 7% to
s10. Y (N) U
s11. Y N (U)
s12. Y (N) U
s13. Y N (U)
s14. Y (N) U
s15. (Y) N U I
s16. (Y) N U I
s17. (Y) N U I
s18. Y (N) U I
s19. Y (N) U
s20. Y (N) U

Comments

s21. (Y) N U
s22. (Y) N U I
s23. Y (N) U
s24. Y (N) U
s25. (Y) N U — Superfund site
s26. Y (N) U — no steep, no slope
s27. Y (N) U
s28. Y (N) U
s29. Y (N) U
s30. Y (N) U
s31. (Y) N U

SOCIAL SIGNIFICANCE EVALUATION - LEVEL 2

Context Region (Circle one)

Standard Density Circle
(Locality)
Hydrologic Unit

Question #

Comments/Assumptions

1 Y (N)
2 Y (N)
3 Y (N)
4 Y (N)

Some are N/A

FORM B (Cont.)

Evaluation Site: Plowshop IA - 2

EFFECTIVENESS/OPPORTUNITY EVALUATION - LEVEL 1 (OFFICE)

Q.#	WETLAND CONDITION $\overline{X}$	W	D	COMMENTS/ASSUMPTIONS
1.1	Y (N)			
1.2	Y (N)			
1.3	(Y) N			
2.1.1	Y (N)			
2.1.2	(Y) N			
2.1.3	Y (N)			
2.2.1	Y (N) I			
2.2.2	Y (N) I			
3.1	(Y) N			
3.2	(Y) N			
3.3	Y (N)			
4.1	(Y) N			- Nash/Mill. River
4.2A	Y (N)			
4.2B	(Y) N			
4.2C	Y (N)			
4.2D	Y (N)			
5.1.1		(Y) N		
5.1.2		Y (N)		
5.2		(Y) N		
6.1	Y (N)			
6.2	Y (N)			
7	Y N (I)			
8.1	(Y) N			
8.2	Y (N)			
8.3	(Y) N			
8.4	Y (N)			
9.1		(Y) N		
9.2		Y (N) I		
9.3		Y (N) I		
10A	(Y) N			
10B	Y (N)			
10C	Y N			
10D	Y N			
10E	Y N			
10F	Y N			

FORM B (Cont.)

Evaluation Site: • Plowshop IA-2

WETLAND CONDITION

COMMENTS/ASSUMPTIONS

Q.#	$\bar{X}$	W	D
11	Y (N)	Y (N)	Y (N)
12A	Y N	Y N	Y N
12Aa	Y N	Y N	Y N
12Ab	Y N	Y N	Y N
12Ac	Y N	Y N	Y N
12Ad	Y N	Y N	Y N
12Ae	Y N	Y N	Y N
12B	Y N	Y N	Y N
12Ba	Y N	Y N	Y N
12Bb	Y N	Y N	Y N
12Bc	Y N	Y N	Y N
12Bd	Y N	Y N	Y N
12Be	(Y) N	(Y) N	(Y) N
12C	Y N	Y N	Y N
12Ca	Y N	Y N	Y N
12Cb	Y N	Y N	Y N
12Cc	(Y) N	(Y) N	(Y) N
12Cd	Y N	Y N	Y N
12D	Y N	Y N	Y N
12Da	Y N	Y N	Y N
12Db	Y N	Y N	Y N
12E	Y N	Y N	Y N
13A	Y N	Y N	Y N
13Aa	Y N	Y N	Y N
13Ab	Y N	Y N	Y N
13Ac	Y N	Y N	Y N
13Ad	Y N	Y N	Y N
13Ae	Y N	Y N	Y N
13B	Y N	Y N	Y N
13Ba	Y N	Y N	Y N
13Bb	Y N	Y N	Y N
13Bc	Y N	Y N	Y N
13Bd	Y N	Y N	Y N
13Be	(Y) N	(Y) N	(Y) N
13C	Y N	Y N	Y N
13Ca	Y N	Y N	Y N
13Cb	Y N	Y N	Y N
13Cc	(Y) N	(Y) N	(Y) N
13Cd	Y N	Y N	Y N
13D	Y N	Y N	Y N
13Da	Y N	Y N	Y N
13Db	Y N	Y N	Y N
13E	Y N	Y N	Y N

FORM B (Cont.)

Evaluation Site: Plowshop IA-2

Q.#	$\overline{X}$	W	D	COMMENTS/ASSUMPTIONS
14.1	Y (N)	Y (N)	Y (N)	
14.2	Y (N)	Y (N)	Y (N)	
15.1A	(Y) N I			
15.1B	Y (N) I			
15.1C	Y (N) I			
15.2	Y N (I)			
16A	(Y) N	(Y) N	(Y) N	
16B	Y (N)	Y (N)	Y (N)	
16C	Y (N)	Y (N)	Y (N)	
17	Y (N)			
18	Y (N) I			
19.1A	(Y) N I			
19.1B	Y (N) I			
19.2	Y (N) I			
19.3	Y (N) I			
20.1	Y N (I)			
20.2	Y N (I)			
21A	(Y) N			
21B	Y (N)			
21C	Y (N)			
21D	Y (N)			
21E	Y (N)			
22.1.1	(Y) N			
22.1.2	Y N (I)			
22.2	Y (N)			
22.3	Y (N) I			
23	Y (N)			
24.1	Y (N) I			
24.2	Y N (I)			
24.3	Y (N) I			
24.4	Y (N) I			
24.5	Y (N)			
25.1	(Y) N			
25.2A	(Y) N I			
25.2B	Y (N) I			
25.3	(Y) N			

FORM B (Cont.)

Evaluation Site: Cold Springs IA-2

Q.#	WETLAND CONDITION $\overline{X}$	W	D	COMMENTS/ASSUMPTIONS
26.1	(Y) N			
26.2	Y (N) I			
26.3	Y (N) I			
27.1	(Y) N			
27.2	Y (N) I			
27.3	Y (N) I			

EFFFECTIVENESS/OPPORTUNITY EVALUATION – LEVEL 2 (FIELD)

Q.#	WETLAND CONDITION $\overline{X}$	W	D	COMMENTS/ASSUMPTIONS
28	(Y) N			
29.1	(Y) N			
29.2	Y (N)			
30.	(Y) N	(Y) N	(Y) N	
31.1	(Y) N	(Y) N	(Y) N	
31.2	(Y) N	(Y) N	(Y) N	
31.3	(Y) N	(Y) N	(Y) N	
31.4	(Y) N I	(Y) N I	Y (N) I	
31.5	Y (N)	Y (N)	Y (N)	
31.6A	Y (N)	Y (N)	Y (N)	
31.6B	(Y) N	(Y) N	(Y) N	
31.6C	Y N	Y N	Y N	
31.6D	Y N	Y N	Y N	
31.6E	Y N	Y N	Y N	
32A	(Y) N			
32B	Y N			
32C	Y N			
32D	Y N			
32E	Y N			
32F	Y N			
32G	Y N			
32H	Y N			
32I	Y N			
32J	Y N			
32K	Y N			

FORM B (Cont.)

Evaluation Site: Plowshop I+2

Q.#	WETLAND CONDITION $\overline{X}$	W	D	COMMENTS/ASSUMPTIONS
42.1.1	(Y) N I	(Y) N I	(Y) N I	
42.1.2	Y (N) I	Y (N) I	Y (N) I	
42.1.3	Y (N) I	Y (N) I	Y (N) I	
42.2.1	(Y) N I	(Y) N I	(Y) N I	
42.2.2	Y (N) I	Y (N) I	Y (N) I	
42.2.3	Y (N) I	Y (N) I	Y (N) I	
43A	Y (N)	Y (N)	Y (N)	
43B	Y (N)	Y (N)	Y (N)	
43C	Y (N)	Y (N)	Y (N)	
43D	Y (N)	Y (N)	Y (N)	
43E	Y (N)	Y (N)	Y (N)	
43F	(Y) N	(Y) N	(Y) N	
43G	Y (N)	Y (N)	Y (N)	
43H	Y (N)	Y (N)	Y (N)	
43I	Y (N)	Y (N)	Y (N)	
44A	(Y) N	(Y) N	(Y) N	
44B	(Y) N	(Y) N	(Y) N	
44C	(Y) N	(Y) N	(Y) N	
44D	(Y) N	(Y) N	(Y) N	
44E	(Y) N	(Y) N	(Y) N	
44F	(Y) N	(Y) N	(Y) N	
44G	(Y) N	(Y) N	(Y) N	
44H	(Y) N	(Y) N	(Y) N	
44I	Y (N)	Y (N)	Y (N)	
45A	Y (N)			assumed removed to expand bottom in maj. of area
45B	Y (N)			
45C	Y (N)			
45D	(Y) N			
45E	Y (N)			
45F	Y (N)			
45G	Y (N)			
46A	(Y) N	(Y) N	(Y) N	
46B	Y (N)	Y (N)	Y (N)	
46C	Y (N)	Y (N)	Y (N)	
47A	(Y) N			
47B	Y (N)			
47C	Y (N)			

FORM B (Cont.)

Evaluation Site: • PlowShop AA2

Q.#	WETLAND CONDITION $\overline{X}$	W	D	COMMENTS/ASSUMPTIONS
48A	(Y) N I	(Y) N I	(Y) N I	
48B	Y (N) I	Y (N) I	Y (N) I	
48C	Y (N) I	Y (N) I	Y (N) I	
48D	Y (N) I	Y (N) I	Y (N) I	
48E	Y (N) I	Y (N) I	Y (N) I	
48F	Y (N) I	Y (N) I	Y (N) I	
49.1.1	(Y) N I	(Y) N I	(Y) N I	
49.1.2	Y (N) I	Y (N) I	Y (N) I	
49.2	(Y) N I	(Y) N I	(Y) N I	
49.3	Y (N) I	Y (N) I	Y (N) I	
50.	(Y) N	(Y) N	(Y) N	

EFFECTIVENESS/OPPORTUNITY EVALUATION – LEVEL 3 (DETAILED DATA)

Q.#	WETLAND CONDITION $\overline{X}$	W	D	COMMENTS/ASSUMPTIONS
51.1	Y N U			
51.2	Y N U			
52.1	Y N I U			
52.2	Y N I U			
53.1	Y N I U			
53.2	Y N I U			
54	Y N U	Y N U	Y N U	
55.1	Y N U			
55.2	Y N U			
55.3	Y N U			
55.4	Y N U			
56.1	Y N I U			
56.2	Y N I U			
57.1	Y N U			
57.2	Y N U			
58.	Y N U			

FORM B (Cont.)

Evaluation Site: _____

Q.#	WETLAND CONDITION $\overline{X}$	W	D	COMMENTS/ASSUMPTIONS
59.1	Y N I U			
59.2	Y N I U			
60	Y N U			
61	Y N I U			
62	Y N U			
63.1	Y N I U			
63.2	Y N I U			
64		Y N I U		

FORM C: SUPPLEMENTARY OBSERVATIONS

Evaluation Site: _____

Indicate the species, species groups, and activities that are actually observed, reliably reported, or known to occur at the AA on a regular basis.

FISH SPECIES GROUPS*	OBSERVED/REPORTED
1. Warmwater Group	Y or N
2. Coldwater Group	Y or N
3. Northern Lake Group	Y or N
4. Coldwater Riverine Group	Y or N

FISH SPECIES	OBSERVED/REPORTED
_____	Y or N
_____	Y or N
_____	Y or N

WATERFOWL SPECIES GROUPS**	OBSERVED/REPORTED		
	NESTING	MIGRATING	WINTERING
1. Prairie Dabblers	Y or N	Y or N	Y or N
2. Black Duck	Y or N	Y or N	Y or N
3. Wood Duck	Y or N	Y or N	Y or N
4. Common and Red-Breasted Mergansers	Y or N	Y or N	Y or N
5. Hooded Merganser	Y or N	Y or N	Y or N
6. Canvasback, Redhead, Ruddy Duck	Y or N	Y or N	Y or N
7. Ring-necked Duck	Y or N	Y or N	Y or N
8. Greater and Lesser Scaup	Y or N	Y or N	Y or N
9. Common Goldeneye	Y or N	Y or N	Y or N
10. Bufflehead	Y or N	Y or N	Y or N
11. Whistling Ducks	Y or N	Y or N	Y or N
12. Inland Geese	Y or N	Y or N	Y or N
13. Tundra Swan	Y or N	Y or N	Y or N
14. Brant	Y or N	Y or N	Y or N

BIRD SPECIES	OBSERVED/REPORTED
_____	Y or N
_____	Y or N
_____	Y or N

RECREATIONAL ACTIVITIES

Hiking	Sailing	Snowmobiling	Research
Birdwatching	Power Boating	Skiing	Educational Fieldtrips
Photography	Canoeing	Snowshoeing	Horseback Riding
Swimming	Kayaking	Ice Skating	

CONSUMPTIVE ACTIVITIES

Agriculture	Fur Harvesting	Commercial/Sport Fishing	Peat Harvesting
Hunting	Timber Harvest	Natural Food Gathering	Water Supply

* Fish species groups are explained on page 138
** Waterfowl species groups are explained on page 1647

FORM D: EVALUATION SUMMARY SHEET

Evaluation Site: _____

Wetland Functions and Values

	Social Significance	Effectiveness	Opportunity
Ground Water Recharge			*
Ground Water Discharge			*
Floodflow Alteration			
Sediment Stabilization			*
Sediment/Toxicant Retention			
Nutrient Removal/Transform.			
Production Export	*		*
Wildlife Diversity/Abundance**		*	*
Breeding	*		*
Migration	*		*
Wintering	*		*
Aquatic Diversity/Abundance			*
Uniqueness/Heritage		*	*
Recreation		*	*

Habitat Suitability Evaluation

Fish Species Groups:

_____ Group ___ _____ Group ___ _____ Group ____

Waterfowl Species Groups:

	Breeding	Migration	Wintering
Group _____	___	___	___
Group _____	___	___	___
Group _____	___	___	___
Group _____	___	___	___

Fish, Invertebrate, and Bird Species:

_____	___	_____	___	_____	___
_____	___	_____	___	_____	___
_____	___	_____	___	_____	___
_____	___	_____	___	_____	___

Levels of assessment completed: S-1 S-2 E/O-1 E/O-2 E/O-3 HS
Evaluation is for the: AA IA (Note: if the evaluation is for an IA, documentation of the AA evaluation must be presented with this evaluation).
Is there any evidence that suggests ratings contrary to the above (explain)?

_____

Were alternative sources used for any of the ratings above (explain)? _____

_____

The loss rate for _____ (identify locality/region) between 19__ and 19__ for _____ (identify wetland type) was _____ (acres/year or % loss).

* WET does not evaluate this function or value in these terms.
** Wildlife Diversity/Abundance assesses only wetland-dependent birds. Other wildlife (e.g., game mammals) should be evaluated using other methods.

DATA NAME: PLOWSHOP WET 2.0

FORM A: SITE DOCUMENTATION (Page 1 of 2)

Part 1 - Background Information AA 2

Evaluation Site: PLOWSHOP POND-EXIST. Date: 6-18-93

Site Location (Section, Range, and Township): AYER, MA.

Has the evaluator taken a training course in WET Version 2.0? YES

Agencies/Experts Contacted: SCS NOAA MA DF+W

Circle the assessment levels to be completed? (SS-1) (SS-2) (E/O-1&2) E/O-3 HS

Is the wetland tidal or nontidal? If the wetland is nontidal, indicate the month(s) that represent wet, dry, and average conditions, or if only average annual condition will be used, give rationale. Also, indicate if the previous 12 months of precipitation has been above, below, or near normal.
NONTIDAL - WET CONDITIONS - HYDROLOGY - MARCH, VEGET. - MAY, DRY COND. - HYDROLOGY - AUG., VEGETATION - NOV. + AVG COND - HYDROL - JUNE, VEG - SEPT.

Is this evaluation an estimate of past conditions or a prediction of future conditions? (If answer is yes, explain nature and source of predictive data.)
NO

Will alternative ratings be used to evaluate any of the functions or values (if yes, explain)? NO

Part 2 - Identification and Delineation of Evaluation Areas

Sketch a map on the following page, or attach a suitable map (photocopy of topgraphic map) that shows the following information:

-- Boundaries of the AA, IA, and IZ, and the location of service areas. (See Figure 1)
-- Watershed boundaries of AA, and service areas.
-- Extent of surface water in the AA during the wet and dry seasons.
-- Open water (channels and pools) within and adjacent to the AA.
-- Normal direction of channel or tidal flow
-- Normal direction of wind-driven waves or current.
-- Impact area(s).
-- Scale of distance and north compass direction.

Explain the procedures used to identify or delineate the AA, IA, IZ, service areas, and the watersheds of these areas if they differed from the guidelines outlined in Section 2.7. N/A

-- Continued --

FORM A: SITE DOCUMENTATION (Page 2 of 2)

Part 2 (Cont.)

Estimate the extent of the following areas:

Assessment Area = ±25 acres
Impact Area = N/A acres (only if applicable)
Watershed of AA = — acres/ ±25 miles^2 (acres x 0.0016 = miles)
Wetlands in AA = ±25 acres
Wetlands in the watershed of closest service area = >500 acres
Wetlands and deepwater in the watershed of closest service area = >500 acres

How were locality and region defined for this evaluation?
Locality — Town (AYER)
Region — State (Massachusetts)

Sketch of Evaluation Areas (or attach map):

See Figure 1

TABLE R-1
ESTIMATION OF AVERAGE EXPOSURE TO ORGANISMS VIA FOOD AND SEDIMENT INGESTION -- LANDFILL ANALYTES

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT -- FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL -- FORT DEVENS, MA

TOTAL BODY DOSE (mg/kgBW-day) [b]

CHEMICAL	*Great Blue Heron*	*Mallard*	*Painted Turtle*	*Green Frog*	*Osprey*
arsenic	2.98E-01	3.66E+00	4.17E+00	5.90E+00	2.03E-04
barium	6.40E-02	1.29E-01	3.39E-01	4.66E-01	1.52E-03
manganese	1.49E+00	2.87E+00	7.91E+00	1.06E+01	2.86E-02
nickel	1.45E-02	8.42E-02	1.10E-01	1.25E-01	4.24E-04
iron	1.77E+01	2.81E+01	9.35E+01	1.15E+02	4.73E-02

TABLE R-1
ESTIMATION OF AVERAGE EXPOSURE TO ORGANISMS VIA FOOD AND SEDIMENT INGESTION - LANDFILL ANALYTES

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT - FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL - FORT DEVENS, MA

TOTAL BODY DOSE (mg/kgBW-day) [b]

CHEMICAL	*Muskrat*	*Mink*	*Raccoon*
arsenic	5.33E+00	1.29E+00	4.82E-02
barium	2.44E-01	2.51E-01	4.97E-03
manganese	5.37E+00	5.53E+00	1.12E-01
nickel	1.32E-01	5.73E-02	1.48E-03
iron	5.83E+01	6.01E+01	1.34E+00

TABLE R-1
ESTIMATION OF AVERAGE EXPOSURE TO ORGANISMS VIA FOOD AND SEDIMENT INGESTION - LANDFILL ANALYTES

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT - FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL - FORT DEVENS, MA

EXPOSURE PARAMETERS [c]

Indicator Species		Percent Prey in Diet							Home Range (acres)	ED [d]	SFF [e]	Ingestion Rate (kg/day)	Body Weight (kg)
		Invert	Plant	Smal Mammal	Herpeto-fauna	Bird	Sediment	Fisl					
Great Blue Heron	(Pred. Bird)	16%	0%	5%	4%	0%	5%	70%	100	0.5	1.5E-01	0.187	2.95
Mallard	(Waterfowl)	11%	87%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	30	0.5	5.0E-01	0.086	1.177
Painted Turtle	(Reptile)	43%	39%	0%	0%	0%	5%	13%	12	0.75	7.5E-01	0.056	0.834
Green Frog	(Amphibian)	70%	10%	0%	7%	0%	3%	10%	0.5	0.75	7.5E-01	0.005	0.037
Osprey	(Pred. Bird)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	100	0.05	1.5E-02	0.094	1.3

NOTES:
[a] Bioaccumulation data presented in: Appendix Q, Table Q-2a
[b] Calculated by summing the products of individual prey type concentrations and percent in diet, multiplying by the SFF and ingestion rate, and then dividing by body weight.
[c] Documentation of exposure parameters presented in: Appendix Q, Table Q-1
[d] ED = Exposure Duration (percentage of year receptor is expected to be found at study area).
[e] Site Foraging Frequency (SFF). Calculated by dividing site area by receptor home range and multiplying by the ED (cannot exceed 1).

TABLE R-1
ESTIMATION OF AVERAGE EXPOSURE TO ORGANISMS VIA FOOD AND SEDIMENT INGESTION - LANDFILL ANALYTES

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT - FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL - FORT DEVENS, MA

EXPOSURE PARAMETERS [c]

Indicator Species		Percent Prey in Diet							Home Range (acres)	ED [d]	SFF [e]	Ingestion Rate (kg/day)	Body Weight (kg)
		Inverts	Plants	Small Mammals	Herpeto-fauna	Birds	Sediment	Fish					
Muskrat	(Small Mammal)	5%	87%	0%	0%	0%	3%	5%	26	1	1.0E+00	0.065	1.25
Mink	(Pred. Mammal)	20%	0%	25%	0%	0%	3%	52%	35	1	8.6E-01	0.050	0.8
Raccoon	(Pred. Mammal)	25%	31%	9%	20%	1%	5%	9%	1150	1	2.6E-02	0.221	7.95

NOTES:
[a] Bioaccumulation data presented in: Appendix Q, Table Q-2a
[b] Calculated by summing the products of individual prey type concentrations and percent in diet, multiplying by the SFF and ingestion rate, and then dividing by body weight.
[c] Documentation of exposure parameters presented in: Appendix Q, Table Q-1
[d] ED = Exposure Duration (percentage of year receptor is expected to be found at study area).
[e] Site Foraging Frequency (SFF). Calculated by dividing site area by receptor home range and multiplying by the ED (cannot exceed 1).

TABLE R-2
ESTIMATION OF AVERAGE RISK TO ORGANISMS FROM FOOD AND SEDIMENT INGESTION - LANDFILL ANALYTES

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT - FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL - FORT DEVENS, MA

CHEMICAL	*Great Blue Heron*			*Mallard*			*Painted Turtle*		
	TBD	RTV	HQ	TBD	RTV	HQ	TBD	RTV	HQ
arsenic	3.0E-01	5.1E+00	5.8E-02	3.7E+00	6.5E+00	5.6E-01	4.2E+00	5.1E+00	8.2E-01
barium	6.4E-02	1.0E+01	6.3E-03	1.3E-01	1.0E+01	1.3E-02	3.4E-01	1.0E+01	3.3E-02
manganese	1.5E+00	3.7E+02	4.0E-03	2.9E+00	3.7E+02	7.8E-03	7.9E+00	3.7E+02	2.1E-02
nickel	1.4E-02	1.0E+01	1.4E-03	8.4E-02	1.0E+01	8.3E-03	1.1E-01	1.0E+01	1.1E-02
iron	1.8E+01	2.4E+03	7.4E-03	2.8E+01	2.4E+03	1.2E-02	9.4E+01	2.4E+03	3.9E-02
SUMMARY HAZARD INDEX			**7.8E-02**			**6.0E-01**			**9.2E-01**

TABLE R-2
ESTIMATION OF AVERAGE RISK TO ORGANISMS FROM FOOD AND SEDIMENT INGESTION - LANDFILL ANALYTES

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT - FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL - FORT DEVENS, MA

CHEMICAL	*Muskrat*			*Raccoon*			*Mink*		
	TBD	RTV	HQ	TBD	RTV	HQ	TBD	RTV	HQ
arsenic	5.3E+00	7.5E+00	7.1E-01	4.8E-02	2.5E+02	1.9E-04	1.3E+00	2.5E+02	5.2E-03
barium	2.4E-01	1.0E+01	2.4E-02	5.0E-03	1.0E+01	4.9E-04	2.5E-01	1.0E+01	2.5E-02
manganese	5.4E+00	3.7E+02	1.5E-02	1.1E-01	2.5E+01	4.5E-03	5.5E+00	2.5E+01	2.2E-01
nickel	1.3E-01	5.0E+01	2.6E-03	1.5E-03	6.3E+01	2.4E-05	5.7E-02	6.3E+01	9.2E-04
iron	5.8E+01	2.4E+03	2.4E-02	1.3E+00	2.4E+03	5.6E-04	6.0E+01	2.4E+03	2.5E-02
SUMMARY HAZARD INDEX			**7.8E-01**			**5.7E-03**			**2.8E-01**

NOTES: TBD = Total Body Dose (mg/kgBW-day)
RTV = Reference Toxicity Value (mg/kgBW-day)
BW = Body Weight (kg)
HQ = Hazard Quotient (calculated by dividing TBD by RTV)

TABLE R-2
ESTIMATION OF AVERAGE RISK TO ORGANISMS FROM FOOD AND SEDIMENT INGESTION - LANDFILL ANALYTES

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT - FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL - FORT DEVENS, MA

CHEMICAL	*Green Frog*			*Osprey*		
	TBD	RTV	HQ	TBD	RTV	HQ
arsenic	5.9E+00	5.1E+00	1.2E+00	2.0E-04	5.1E+00	4.0E-05
barium	4.7E-01	1.0E+01	4.6E-02	1.5E-03	1.0E+01	1.5E-04
manganese	1.1E+01	3.7E+02	2.9E-02	2.9E-02	3.7E+02	7.7E-05
nickel	1.3E-01	1.0E+01	1.2E-02	4.2E-04	1.0E+01	4.2E-05
iron	1.1E+02	2.4E+03	4.8E-02	4.7E-02	2.4E+03	2.0E-05
SUMMARY HAZARD INDEX			**1.3E+00**			**3.3E-04**

NOTES: TBD = Total Body Dose (mg/kgBW-day)
RTV = Reference Toxicity Value (mg/kgBW-day)
BW = Body Weight (kg)
HQ = Hazard Quotient (calculated by dividing TBD by RTV)

TABLE R-3
ESTIMATION OF RME EXPOSURE TO ORGANISMS VIA FOOD AND SEDIMENT INGESTION - LANDFILL ANALYTES

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT - FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL - FORT DEVENS, MA

EXPOSURE CONCENTRATION DATA

CHEMICAL	MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION (mg/kg)
arsenic	3.2E+03
barium	3.4E+02
manganese	5.5E+04
nickel	7.9E+01
iron	3.3E+05

ESTIMATED TISSUE LEVELS IN PRIMARY PREY ITEMS

Invert BAF [a]	Tissue Level (mg/kg)	Plant BAF [a]	Tissue Level (mg/kg)
1.1E-01	3.4E+02	2.1E-01	6.7E+02
1.3E-02	4.5E+00	1.3E-02	4.5E+00
1.0E-02	5.5E+02	1.0E-02	5.5E+02
1.7E-02	1.3E+00	9.0E-02	7.1E+00
1.2E-03	4.0E+02	1.2E-03	4.0E+02

BAF VALUES FOR OTHER PREY ITEMS

Small Mammal BAF [a]	Small Bird BAF [a]	Reptile/ Amphib. BAF [a]	Fish BAF [a]
4.0E-04	4.0E-04	4.0E-04	4.0E-04
1.3E-02	1.3E-02	1.3E-02	1.3E-02
1.0E-02	1.0E-02	1.0E-02	1.0E-02
1.7E-02	1.7E-02	1.7E-02	1.7E-02
1.2E-03	1.2E-03	1.2E-03	1.2E-03

SITE AREA:	30 acres

TABLE R-3
ESTIMATION OF RME EXPOSURE TO ORGANISMS VIA FOOD AND SEDIMENT INGESTION - LANDFILL ANALYTES

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT - FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL - FORT DEVENS, MA

TOTAL BODY DOSE (mg/kgBW-day) [b]

CHEMICAL	*Great Blue Heron*	*Mallard*	*Painted Turtle*	*Green Frog*	*Osprey*
arsenic	2.04E+00	2.50E+01	2.85E+01	4.04E+01	1.39E-03
barium	2.04E-01	4.11E-01	1.08E+00	1.49E+00	4.85E-03
manganese	3.10E+01	5.97E+01	1.64E+02	2.20E+02	5.94E-01
nickel	4.99E-02	2.90E-01	3.78E-01	4.32E-01	1.46E-03
iron	1.60E+02	2.55E+02	8.50E+02	1.04E+03	4.30E-01

TABLE R-3
ESTIMATION OF RME EXPOSURE TO ORGANISMS VIA FOOD AND SEDIMENT INGESTION - LANDFILL ANALYTES

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT - FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL - FORT DEVENS, MA

TOTAL BODY DOSE (mg/kgBW-day) [b]

CHEMICAL	*Muskrat*	*Mink*	*Raccoon*
arsenic	3.63E+01	8.80E+00	3.28E-01
barium	7.62E-01	7.85E-01	1.56E-02
manganese	1.13E+02	1.17E+02	2.36E+00
nickel	4.54E-01	1.97E-01	5.11E-03
iron	5.35E+02	5.51E+02	1.22E+01

TABLE R-3
ESTIMATION OF RME EXPOSURE TO ORGANISMS VIA FOOD AND SEDIMENT INGESTION - LANDFILL ANALYTES

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT - FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL - FORT DEVENS, MA

EXPOSURE PARAMETERS [c]

Indicator Species		Percent Prey in Diet							Home Range (acres)	ED [d]	SFF [e]	Ingestion Rate (kg/day)	Body Weight (kg)
		Inverts	Plants	Small Mammals	Herpeto-fauna	Birds	Sediment	Fish					
Great Blue Heron	(Pred. Bird)	16%	0%	5%	4%	0%	5%	70%	100	0.5	1.5E-01	0.187	2.95
Mallard	(Waterfowl)	11%	87%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	30	0.5	5.0E-01	0.086	1.177
Painted Turtle	(Reptile)	43%	39%	0%	0%	0%	5%	13%	12	0.75	7.5E-01	0.056	0.834
Green Frog	(Amphibian)	70%	10%	0%	7%	0%	3%	10%	0.5	0.75	7.5E-01	0.005	0.037
Osprey	(Pred. Bird)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	100	0.05	1.5E-02	0.094	1.3

NOTES:
[a] Bioaccumulation data presented in: Appendix Q, Table Q-2a
[b] Calculated by summing the products of individual prey type concentrations and percent in diet, multiplying by the SFF and ingestion rate, and then dividing by body weight.
[c] Documentation of exposure parameters presented in: Appendix Q, Table Q-1
[d] ED = Exposure Duration (percentage of year receptor is expected to be found at study area).
[e] Site Foraging Frequency (SFF). Calculated by dividing site area by receptor home range and multiplying by the ED (cannot exceed 1).

TABLE R–3
ESTIMATION OF RME EXPOSURE TO ORGANISMS VIA FOOD AND SEDIMENT INGESTION – LANDFILL ANALYTES

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT – FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL – FORT DEVENS, MA

EXPOSURE PARAMETERS [c]

Indicator Species		Percent Prey in Diet							Home Range (acres)	ED [d]	SFF [e]	Ingestion Rate (kg/day)	Body Weight (kg)
		Inverts	Plants	Small Mammals	Herpeto–fauna	Birds	Sediment	Fish					
Muskrat	(Small Mammal)	5%	87%	0%	0%	0%	3%	5%	26	1	1.0E+00	0.065	1.25
Raccoon	(Pred. Mammal)	25%	31%	9%	20%	1%	5%	9%	1150	1	2.6E–02	0.221	7.95
Mink	(Pred. Mammal)	20%	0%	25%	0%	0%	3%	52%	35	1	8.6E–01	0.05	0.8

NOTES:
[a] Bioaccumulation data presented in: Appendix Q, Table Q–2a
[b] Calculated by summing the products of individual prey type concentrations and percent in diet, multiplying by the SFF and ingestion rate, and then dividing by body weight.
[c] Documentation of exposure parameters presented in: Appendix Q, Table Q–1
[e] ED = Exposure Duration (percentage of year receptor is expected to be found at study area).
[e] Site Foraging Frequency (SFF). Calculated by dividing site area by receptor home range and multiplying by the ED (cannot exceed 1).

TABLE R–4
ESTIMATION OF RME RISK TO ORGANISMS FROM FOOD AND SEDIMENT INGESTION – LANDFILL ANALYTES

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT – FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL – FORT DEVENS, MA

CHEMICAL	*Great Blue Heron*			*Mallard*			*Painted Turtle*		
	TBD	RTV	HQ	TBD	RTV	HQ	TBD	RTV	HQ
arsenic	2.0E+00	5.1E+00	4.0E–01	2.5E+01	6.5E+00	3.9E+00	2.9E+01	5.1E+00	5.6E+00
barium	2.0E–01	1.0E+01	2.0E–02	4.1E–01	1.0E+01	4.0E–02	1.1E+00	1.0E+01	1.1E–01
manganese	3.1E+01	3.7E+02	8.4E–02	6.0E+01	3.7E+02	1.6E–01	1.6E+02	3.7E+02	4.4E–01
nickel	5.0E–02	1.0E+01	4.9E–03	2.9E–01	1.0E+01	2.9E–02	3.8E–01	1.0E+01	3.7E–02
iron	1.6E+02	2.4E+03	6.7E–02	2.6E+02	2.4E+03	1.1E–01	8.5E+02	2.4E+03	3.5E–01
SUMMARY HAZARD INDEX			**5.8E–01**			**4.2E+00**			**6.5E+00**

TABLE R-4
ESTIMATION OF RME RISK TO ORGANISMS FROM FOOD AND SEDIMENT INGESTION - LANDFILL ANALYTES

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT - FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL - FORT DEVENS, MA

CHEMICAL	*Muskrat*			*Raccoon*			*Mink*		
	TBD	RTV	HQ	TBD	RTV	HQ	TBD	RTV	HQ
arsenic	3.6E+01	7.5E+00	4.8E+00	3.3E-01	2.5E+02	1.3E-03	8.8E+00	2.5E+02	3.5E-02
barium	7.6E-01	1.0E+01	7.5E-02	1.6E-02	1.0E+01	1.5E-03	7.9E-01	1.0E+01	7.7E-02
manganese	1.1E+02	3.7E+02	3.1E-01	2.4E+00	2.5E+01	9.5E-02	1.2E+02	2.5E+01	4.7E+00
nickel	4.5E-01	5.0E+01	9.1E-03	5.1E-03	6.3E+01	8.2E-05	2.0E-01	6.3E+01	3.2E-03
iron	5.3E+02	2.4E+03	2.2E-01	1.2E+01	2.4E+03	5.1E-03	5.5E+02	2.4E+03	2.3E-01
SUMMARY HAZARD INDEX			**5.4E+00**			**1.0E-01**			**5.0E+00**

NOTES: TBD = Total Body Dose (mg/kgBW-day)
RTV = Reference Toxicity Value (mg/kgBW-day)
BW = Body Weight (kg)
HQ = Hazard Quotient (calculated by dividing TBD by RTV)

TABLE R-4
ESTIMATION OF RME RISK TO ORGANISMS FROM FOOD AND SEDIMENT INGESTION - LANDFILL ANALYTES

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT - FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL - FORT DEVENS, MA

CHEMICAL	*Green Frog*			*Osprey*		
	TBD	RTV	HQ	TBD	RTV	HQ
arsenic	4.0E+01	5.1E+00	7.9E+00	1.4E-03	5.1E+00	2.7E-04
barium	1.5E+00	1.0E+01	1.5E-01	4.9E-03	1.0E+01	4.8E-04
manganese	2.2E+02	3.7E+02	6.0E-01	5.9E-01	3.7E+02	1.6E-03
nickel	4.3E-01	1.0E+01	4.3E-02	1.5E-03	1.0E+01	1.4E-04
iron	1.0E+03	2.4E+03	4.3E-01	4.3E-01	2.4E+03	1.8E-04
SUMMARY HAZARD INDEX			**9.1E+00**			**2.7E-03**

NOTES: TBD = Total Body Dose (mg/kgBW-day)
RTV = Reference Toxicity Value (mg/kgBW-day)
BW = Body Weight (kg)
HQ = Hazard Quotient calculated by dividing TBD by RTV)

TABLE R-5
ESTIMATION OF AVERAGE EXPOSURE TO ORGANISMS VIA FOOD AND SEDIMENT INGESTION

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT - FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL - FORT DEVENS, MA

EXPOSURE CONCENTRATION DATA		ESTIMATED TISSUE LEVELS IN PRIMARY PREY ITEMS				BAF VALUES FOR OTHER PREY ITEMS			
CHEMICAL	AVERAGE CONCENTRATION (mg/kg)	Invert BAF [a]	Tissue Level (mg/kg)	Plant BAF [a]	Tissue Level (mg/kg)	Small Mammal BAF [a]	Small Bird BAF [a]	Reptile/ Amphib. BAF [a]	Fish BAF [a]
aroclor 1260	2.7E-01	2.3E-01	6.2E-02	2.9E-03	7.8E-04	2.3E-01	2.3E-01	2.3E-01	2.3E-01
DDE	5.0E-02	1.4E+00	7.0E-02	1.3E-02	6.5E-04	1.4E+00	1.4E+00	1.4E+00	1.4E+00
DDD	7.0E-02	2.7E-01	1.9E-02	1.3E-02	9.1E-04	2.7E-01	2.7E-01	2.7E-01	2.7E-01
DDT	3.0E-02	1.8E-01	5.4E-03	1.3E-02	3.9E-04	1.8E-01	1.8E-01	1.8E-01	1.8E-01
benzo(a)anthracene	2.2E-01	2.5E-02	5.5E-03	2.2E-02	4.8E-03	2.5E-02	2.5E-02	2.5E-02	2.5E-02
chrysene	3.2E-01	2.5E-02	8.0E-03	2.2E-02	7.0E-03	2.5E-02	2.5E-02	2.5E-02	2.5E-02
fluoranthene	5.0E-01	2.5E-02	1.3E-02	3.2E-02	1.6E-02	2.5E-02	2.5E-02	2.5E-02	2.5E-02
naphthalene	3.2E-01	2.5E-02	8.0E-03	1.0E-01	3.2E-02	2.5E-02	2.5E-02	2.5E-02	2.5E-02
phenanthrene	3.8E-01	2.5E-02	9.5E-03	1.0E-01	3.8E-02	2.5E-02	2.5E-02	2.5E-02	2.5E-02
pyrene	9.7E-01	2.5E-02	2.4E-02	1.0E-01	9.7E-02	2.5E-02	2.5E-02	2.5E-02	2.5E-02
aluminum	7.9E+03	2.4E-04	1.9E+00	2.4E-04	1.9E+00	2.4E-04	2.4E-04	2.4E-04	2.4E-04
arsenic	4.7E+02	1.1E-01	4.9E+01	2.1E-01	9.9E+01	4.0E-04	4.0E-04	4.0E-04	4.0E-04
barium	1.1E+02	1.3E-02	1.4E+00	1.3E-02	1.4E+00	1.3E-02	1.3E-02	1.3E-02	1.3E-02
beryllium	5.3E-01	1.8E-02	9.5E-03	1.8E-02	9.5E-03	1.8E-02	1.8E-02	1.8E-02	1.8E-02
chromium	2.0E+03	2.5E-04	5.0E-01	7.5E-03	1.5E+01	2.5E-04	2.5E-04	2.5E-04	2.5E-04
cobalt	5.8E+00	1.3E-02	7.5E-02	1.3E-02	7.5E-02	1.3E-02	1.3E-02	1.3E-02	1.3E-02
copper	4.0E+01	2.0E-02	8.0E-01	2.0E-02	8.0E-01	2.0E-02	2.0E-02	2.0E-02	2.0E-02
iron	3.6E+04	1.2E-03	4.4E+01	1.2E-03	4.4E+01	1.2E-03	1.2E-03	1.2E-03	1.2E-03
lead	1.3E+02	5.4E-04	7.0E-02	8.0E-03	1.0E+00	5.4E-04	5.4E-04	5.4E-04	5.4E-04
manganese	2.6E+03	1.0E-02	2.6E+01	1.0E-02	2.6E+01	1.0E-02	1.0E-02	1.0E-02	1.0E-02
mercury	1.8E+01	3.7E-02	6.7E-01	7.0E-03	1.3E-01	3.7E-02	3.7E-02	3.7E-02	3.7E-02
nickel	2.3E+01	1.7E-02	3.9E-01	9.0E-02	2.1E+00	1.7E-02	1.7E-02	1.7E-02	1.7E-02
selenium	2.0E+00	2.1E-01	4.2E-01	2.1E-01	4.2E-01	2.1E-01	2.1E-01	2.1E-01	2.1E-01
vanadium	2.5E+01	1.6E-02	4.0E-01	1.6E-02	4.0E-01	1.6E-02	1.6E-02	1.6E-02	1.6E-02
zinc	8.9E+01	2.2E-01	2.0E+01	8.0E-01	7.1E+01	2.2E-01	2.2E-01	2.2E-01	2.2E-01
cadmium	9.8E+00	1.1E-01	1.1E+00	6.4E-02	6.3E-01	3.7E-03	3.7E-03	3.7E-03	3.7E-03
thallium	8.3E+00	6.4E-03	5.3E-02	4.0E-03	3.3E-02	6.4E-03	6.4E-03	6.4E-03	6.4E-03

Site Area:	30 acres

TABLE R-5
ESTIMATION OF AVERAGE EXPOSURE TO ORGANISMS VIA FOOD AND SEDIMENT INGESTION

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT - FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL - FORT DEVENS, MA

TOTAL BODY DOSE (mg/kgBW-day) [b]

CHEMICAL	*Mallard*	*Great Blue Heron*	*Green Frog*	*Painted Turtle*	*Osprey*
aroclor-1260	4.72E-04	6.89E-04	6.30E-03	2.45E-03	6.74E-05
DDE	3.39E-04	6.56E-04	6.33E-03	2.11E-03	7.59E-05
DDD	1.56E-04	2.04E-04	1.89E-03	7.27E-04	2.05E-05
DDT	5.60E-05	6.30E-05	5.71E-04	2.35E-04	5.86E-06
benzo(a)anthracene	3.37E-04	1.54E-04	1.20E-03	8.04E-04	5.97E-06
chrysene	4.90E-04	2.24E-04	1.75E-03	1.17E-03	8.68E-06
fluoranthene	9.24E-04	3.51E-04	2.78E-03	1.93E-03	1.36E-05
naphthalene	1.28E-03	2.24E-04	2.00E-03	1.66E-03	8.68E-06
phenanthrene	1.52E-03	2.66E-04	2.38E-03	1.97E-03	1.03E-05
pyrene	3.89E-03	6.80E-04	6.07E-03	5.03E-03	2.63E-05
aluminum	5.87E+00	3.79E+00	2.43E+01	2.01E+01	2.07E-03
arsenic	3.66E+00	2.98E-01	5.90E+00	4.17E+00	2.03E-04
barium	1.29E-01	6.40E-02	4.66E-01	3.39E-01	1.52E-03
beryllium	7.29E-04	3.38E-04	2.55E-03	1.79E-03	1.03E-05
chromium	1.93E+00	9.49E-01	6.24E+00	5.31E+00	5.39E-04
cobalt	6.94E-03	3.44E-03	2.50E-02	1.82E-02	8.18E-05
copper	5.74E-02	2.60E-02	1.99E-01	1.38E-01	8.61E-04
iron	2.81E+01	1.77E+01	1.15E+02	9.35E+01	4.73E-02
lead	1.23E-01	6.00E-02	3.96E-01	3.36E-01	7.32E-05
manganese	2.87E+00	1.49E+00	1.06E+01	7.91E+00	2.86E-02
mercury	2.01E-02	1.47E-02	1.16E-01	6.73E-02	7.30E-04
nickel	8.42E-02	1.45E-02	1.25E-01	1.10E-01	4.24E-04
selenium	1.57E-02	4.54E-03	4.52E-02	2.40E-02	4.34E-04
vanadium	3.21E-02	1.53E-02	1.13E-01	8.08E-02	4.27E-04
zinc	2.40E+00	2.18E-01	2.71E+00	2.16E+00	2.11E-02
cadmium	3.16E-02	6.63E-03	1.16E-01	6.14E-02	3.90E-05
thallium	7.33E-03	4.43E-03	3.03E-02	2.30E-02	5.76E-05

TABLE R-5
ESTIMATION OF AVERAGE EXPOSURE TO ORGANISMS VIA FOOD AND SEDIMENT INGESTION

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT – FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL – FORT DEVENS, MA

TOTAL BODY DOSE (mg/kgBW-day) [b]

CHEMICAL	*Muskrat*	*Mink*	*Raccoon*
aroclor 1260	7.80E-04	3.66E-03	3.88E-05
DDE	4.71E-04	3.72E-03	3.44E-05
DDD	2.49E-04	1.09E-03	1.15E-05
DDT	9.25E-05	3.29E-04	3.68E-06
benzo(a)anthracene	5.91E-04	6.39E-04	1.16E-05
chrysene	8.59E-04	9.30E-04	1.69E-05
fluoranthene	1.57E-03	1.45E-03	2.75E-05
naphthalene	1.99E-03	9.30E-04	2.25E-05
phenanthrene	2.36E-03	1.10E-03	2.67E-05
pyrene	6.03E-03	2.82E-03	6.82E-05
aluminum	1.24E+01	1.28E+01	2.88E-01
arsenic	5.33E+00	1.29E+00	4.82E-02
barium	2.44E-01	2.51E-01	4.97E-03
beryllium	1.31E-03	1.35E-03	2.58E-05
chromium	3.78E+00	3.22E+00	7.56E-02
cobalt	1.29E-02	1.32E-02	2.62E-04
copper	1.03E-01	1.06E-01	2.00E-03
iron	5.88E+01	6.06E+01	1.35E+00
lead	2.50E-01	2.13E-01	4.98E-03
manganese	5.37E+00	5.53E+00	1.12E-01
mercury	3.72E-02	6.35E-02	9.90E-04
nickel	1.32E-01	5.73E-02	1.48E-03
selenium	2.43E-02	2.50E-02	3.62E-04
vanadium	5.92E-02	6.10E-02	1.18E-03
zinc	3.46E+00	1.16E+00	2.83E-02
cadmium	4.66E-02	2.88E-02	7.02E-04
thallium	1.47E-02	1.61E-02	3.33E-04

TABLE R-5
ESTIMATION OF AVERAGE EXPOSURE TO ORGANISMS VIA FOOD AND SEDIMENT INGESTION

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT - FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL - FORT DEVENS, MA

EXPOSURE PARAMETERS [c]

Indicator Species		Percent Prey in Diet							Home Range (acres)	ED [d]	SFF [e]	Ingestion Rate (kg/day)	Body Weight (kg)
		Inverts	Plants	Small Mammals	Herpeto-fauna	Birds	Sediment	Fish					
Mallard	(Waterfowl)	11%	87%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	3.5	0.5	5.0E-01	0.086	1.177
Great Blue Heron	(Pred Bird)	16%	0%	5%	4%	0%	5%	70%	100	0.5	1.5E-01	0.187	2.95
Green Frog	(Reptile)	70%	10%	0%	7%	0%	3%	10%	0.5	0.75	7.5E-01	0.01	0.037
Painted Turtle	(Herptile)	43%	39%	0%	0%	0%	5%	13%	12	0.75	7.5E-01	0.056	0.834
Osprey	(Pred Bird)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	100	0.05	1.5E-02	0.094	1.3

NOTES:
[a] Bioaccumulation data presented in: Appendix Q, Table Q-2a
[b] Calculated by summing the products of individual prey type concentrations and percent in diet, multiplying by the SFF and ingestion rate, and then dividing by body weight.
[c] Documentation of exposure parameters presented in: Appendix Q, Table Q-1
[d] ED = Exposure Duration (percentage of year the receptor is expected to be found at the study area).
[e] Site Foraging Frequency (SFF). Calculated by dividing site area by receptor home range and multiplying by the ED (cannot exceed 1).

TABLE R-5
ESTIMATION OF AVERAGE EXPOSURE TO ORGANISMS VIA FOOD AND SEDIMENT INGESTION

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT – FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL – FORT DEVENS, MA

EXPOSURE PARAMETERS [c]

Indicator Species		Percent Prey in Diet							Home Range (acres)	ED [d]	SFF [e]	Ingestion Rate (kg/day)	Body Weight (kg)
		Inverts	Plants	Small Mammals	Herpeto-fauna	Birds	Sediment	Fish					
Muskrat	(Small Mammal)	5%	87%	0%	0%	0%	3%	5%	26	1	1.0E+00	0.065	1.25
Mink	(Carn. Mammal)	20%	0%	25%	0%	0%	3%	52%	35	1	8.6E-01	0.05	0.8
Raccoon	(Omniv. Mammal)	25%	31%	9%	20%	1%	5%	9%	1150	1	2.6E-02	0.22	7.95

NOTES:
[a] Bioaccumulation data presented in: Appendix Q, Table Q-2a
[b] Calculated by summing the products of individual prey type concentrations and percent in diet, multiplying by the SFF and ingestion rate, and then dividing by body weight.
[c] Documentation of exposure parameters presented in: Appendix Q, Table Q-1
[d] ED = Exposure Duration (percentage of year receptor is expected to be found at study area).
[e] Site Foraging Frequency (SFF). Calculated by dividing site area by receptor home range and multiplying by the ED (cannot exceed 1).

TABLE R-6
ESTIMATION OF AVERAGE RISK TO ORGANISMS FROM FOOD AND SEDIMENT INGESTION

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT - FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL - FORT DEVENS, MA

CHEMICAL	*Mallard*			*Great Blue Heron*			*Green Frog*		
	TBD	RTV	HQ	TBD	RTV	HQ	TBD	RTV	HQ
aroclor-1260	4.7E-04	2.3E+00	2.1E-04	6.9E-04	2.3E+00	3.0E-04	6.3E-03	2.3E+00	2.7E-03
4,4'-DDE	3.4E-04	1.4E-01	2.4E-03	6.6E-04	2.5E-01	2.6E-03	6.3E-03	7.6E+00	8.3E-04
4,4'-DDD	1.6E-04	1.4E-01	1.1E-03	2.0E-04	2.5E-01	8.2E-04	1.9E-03	7.6E+00	2.5E-04
4,4-DDT	5.6E-05	1.4E-01	4.0E-04	6.3E-05	2.5E-01	2.5E-04	5.7E-04	7.6E+00	7.5E-05
benzo(a)anthracene	3.4E-04	2.0E+00	1.7E-04	1.5E-04	2.0E+00	7.7E-05	1.2E-03	2.0E+00	6.0E-04
chrysene	4.9E-04	9.9E+01	4.9E-06	2.2E-04	9.9E+01	2.3E-06	1.7E-03	9.9E+01	1.8E-05
fluoranthene	9.2E-04	2.5E+02	3.7E-06	3.5E-04	2.5E+02	1.4E-06	2.8E-03	2.5E+02	1.1E-05
naphthalene	1.3E-03	4.1E+01	3.1E-05	2.2E-04	4.1E+01	5.5E-06	2.0E-03	4.1E+01	4.9E-05
phenanthrene	1.5E-03	1.2E+02	1.3E-05	2.7E-04	1.2E+02	2.2E-06	2.4E-03	1.2E+02	2.0E-05
pyrene	3.9E-03	1.3E+02	3.1E-05	6.8E-04	1.3E+02	5.4E-06	6.1E-03	1.3E+02	4.9E-05
aluminum	5.9E+00	4.3E+02	1.4E-02	3.8E+00	4.3E+02	8.9E-03	2.4E+01	4.3E+02	5.7E-02
arsenic	3.7E+00	6.5E+00	5.6E-01	3.0E-01	5.1E+00	5.8E-02	5.9E+00	5.1E+00	1.2E+00
barium	1.3E-01	1.0E+01	1.3E-02	6.4E-02	1.0E+01	6.3E-03	4.7E-01	1.0E+01	4.6E-02
beryllium	7.3E-04	2.2E-01	3.3E-03	3.4E-04	2.2E-01	1.5E-03	2.5E-03	2.2E-01	1.2E-02
chromium	1.9E+00	3.5E+00	5.5E-01	9.5E-01	3.5E+00	2.7E-01	6.2E+00	3.5E+00	1.8E+00
cobalt	6.9E-03	1.3E+01	5.5E-04	3.4E-03	1.3E+01	2.8E-04	2.5E-02	1.3E+01	2.0E-03
copper	5.7E-02	2.9E+01	2.0E-03	2.6E-02	2.9E+01	9.0E-04	2.0E-01	2.9E+01	6.9E-03
iron	2.8E+01	2.4E+03	1.2E-02	1.8E+01	2.4E+03	7.4E-03	1.1E+02	2.4E+03	4.8E-02
lead	1.2E-01	1.8E+00	7.1E-02	6.0E-02	4.4E+00	1.4E-02	4.0E-01	4.4E+00	9.0E-02
manganese	2.9E+00	3.7E+02	7.8E-03	1.5E+00	3.7E+02	4.0E-03	1.1E+01	3.7E+02	2.9E-02
mercury	2.0E-02	2.2E-01	9.1E-02	1.5E-02	1.0E-01	1.5E-01	1.2E-01	8.6E-01	1.3E-01
nickel	8.4E-02	1.0E+01	8.3E-03	1.4E-02	1.0E+01	1.4E-03	1.3E-01	1.0E+01	1.2E-02
selenium	1.6E-02	1.8E+00	9.0E-03	4.5E-03	1.8E+00	2.6E-03	4.5E-02	1.8E+00	2.6E-02
vanadium	3.2E-02	2.0E+00	1.6E-02	1.5E-02	2.0E+00	7.6E-03	1.1E-01	2.0E+00	5.7E-02
zinc	2.4E+00	1.6E+02	1.5E-02	2.2E-01	1.6E+02	1.4E-03	2.7E+00	1.6E+02	1.7E-02
cadmium	3.2E-02	7.6E+00	4.2E-03	6.6E-03	7.6E+00	8.7E-04	1.2E-01	7.6E+00	1.5E-02
thallium	7.3E-03	1.2E+00	6.1E-03	4.4E-03	1.2E+00	3.7E-03	3.0E-02	1.2E+00	2.5E-02
SUMMARY HAZARD INDEX			**1.4E+00**			**5.4E-01**			**3.5E+00**

TABLE R-6
ESTIMATION OF AVERAGE RISK TO ORGANISMS FROM FOOD AND SEDIMENT INGESTION

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT – FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL – FORT DEVENS, MA

CHEMICAL	*Muskrat*			*Mink*			*Raccoon*		
	TBD	RTV	HQ	TBD	RTV	HQ	TBD	RTV	HQ
aroclor 1260	7.8E-04	3.8E+00	2.1E-04	3.7E-03	3.8E+00	9.6E-04	3.9E-05	3.8E+00	1.0E-05
DDE	4.7E-04	4.8E-01	9.8E-04	3.7E-03	5.0E+00	7.4E-04	3.4E-05	5.0E+00	6.9E-06
DDD	2.5E-04	4.8E-01	5.2E-04	1.1E-03	5.0E+00	2.2E-04	1.2E-05	5.0E+00	2.3E-06
DDT	9.3E-05	4.8E-01	1.9E-04	3.3E-04	5.0E+00	6.6E-05	3.7E-06	5.0E+00	7.4E-07
benzo(a)anthracene	5.9E-04	2.0E+00	3.0E-04	6.4E-04	2.0E+00	3.2E-04	1.2E-05	2.0E+00	5.8E-06
chrysene	8.6E-04	9.9E+01	8.7E-06	9.3E-04	9.9E+01	9.4E-06	1.7E-05	9.9E+01	1.7E-07
fluoranthene	1.6E-03	2.5E+02	6.3E-06	1.5E-03	2.5E+02	5.8E-06	2.8E-05	2.5E+02	1.1E-07
naphthalene	2.0E-03	4.1E+01	4.8E-05	9.3E-04	4.1E+01	2.3E-05	2.3E-05	4.1E+01	5.5E-07
phenanthrene	2.4E-03	1.2E+02	2.0E-05	1.1E-03	1.2E+02	9.2E-06	2.7E-05	1.2E+02	2.2E-07
pyrene	6.0E-03	1.3E+02	4.8E-05	2.8E-03	1.3E+02	2.3E-05	6.8E-05	1.3E+02	5.5E-07
aluminum	1.2E+01	4.3E+02	2.9E-02	1.3E+01	4.3E+02	3.0E-02	2.9E-01	4.3E+02	6.8E-04
arsenic	5.3E+00	7.5E+00	7.1E-01	1.3E+00	2.5E+02	5.2E-03	4.8E-02	2.5E+02	1.9E-04
barium	2.4E-01	1.0E+01	2.4E-02	2.5E-01	1.0E+01	2.5E-02	5.0E-03	1.0E+01	4.9E-04
beryllium	1.3E-03	2.2E-01	5.9E-03	1.3E-03	2.2E-01	6.1E-03	2.6E-05	2.2E-01	1.2E-04
chromium	3.8E+00	4.1E+01	9.3E-02	3.2E+00	4.1E+01	7.9E-02	7.6E-02	4.1E+01	1.9E-03
cobalt	1.3E-02	1.3E+01	1.0E-03	1.3E-02	5.0E+00	2.6E-03	2.6E-04	5.0E+00	5.2E-05
copper	1.0E-01	7.7E+01	1.3E-03	1.1E-01	7.7E+01	1.4E-03	2.0E-03	7.7E+01	2.6E-05
iron	5.9E+01	2.4E+03	2.5E-02	6.1E+01	2.4E+03	2.5E-02	1.3E+00	2.4E+03	5.6E-04
lead	2.5E-01	2.1E+00	1.2E-01	2.1E-01	3.0E+00	7.1E-02	5.0E-03	3.0E+00	1.7E-03
manganese	5.4E+00	3.7E+02	1.5E-02	5.5E+00	2.5E+01	2.2E-01	1.1E-01	2.5E+01	4.5E-03
mercury	3.7E-02	5.0E-01	7.4E-02	6.4E-02	9.0E-02	7.1E-01	9.9E-04	1.0E-01	9.9E-03
nickel	1.3E-01	5.0E+01	2.6E-03	5.7E-02	6.3E+01	9.2E-04	1.5E-03	6.3E+01	2.4E-05
selenium	2.4E-02	1.2E-01	2.0E-01	2.5E-02	1.2E-01	2.1E-01	3.6E-04	1.2E-01	3.0E-03
vanadium	5.9E-02	2.5E+00	2.4E-02	6.1E-02	2.5E+00	2.4E-02	1.2E-03	2.5E+00	4.7E-04
zinc	3.5E+00	1.6E+02	2.2E-02	1.2E+00	1.6E+02	7.3E-03	2.8E-02	1.6E+02	1.8E-04
cadmium	4.7E-02	1.8E+00	2.6E-02	2.9E-02	3.7E+00	7.8E-03	7.0E-04	3.7E+00	1.9E-04
thallium	1.5E-02	1.2E+00	1.2E-02	1.6E-02	1.2E+00	1.3E-02	3.3E-04	1.2E+00	2.8E-04
SUMMARY HAZARD INDEX			**1.4E+00**			**1.4E+00**			**2.4E-02**

NOTES: TBD = Total Body Dose (mg/kgBW-day)
RTV = Reference Toxicity Value (mg/kgBW-day)
BW = Body Weight (kg)
HQ = Hazard Quotient (calculated by dividing TBD by RTV)

TABLE R-6
ESTIMATION OF AVERAGE RISK TO ORGANISMS FROM FOOD AND SEDIMENT INGESTION

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT – FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL – FORT DEVENS, MA

CHEMICAL	*Painted Turtle*			*Osprey*		
	TBD	RTV	HQ	TBD	RTV	HQ
aroclor-1260	2.4E-03	2.3E+00	1.1E-03	6.7E-05	9.0E+00	7.5E-06
DDE	2.1E-03	7.6E+00	2.8E-04	7.6E-05	2.9E-01	2.6E-04
DDD	7.3E-04	7.6E+00	9.6E-05	2.0E-05	2.9E-01	7.1E-05
DDT	2.4E-04	7.6E+00	3.1E-05	5.9E-06	2.9E-01	2.0E-05
benzo(a)anthracene	8.0E-04	2.0E+00	4.0E-04	6.0E-06	2.0E+00	3.0E-06
chrysene	1.2E-03	9.9E+01	1.2E-05	8.7E-06	9.9E+01	8.8E-08
fluoranthene	1.9E-03	2.5E+02	7.7E-06	1.4E-05	2.5E+02	5.4E-08
naphthalene	1.7E-03	4.1E+01	4.0E-05	8.7E-06	4.1E+01	2.1E-07
phenanthrene	2.0E-03	1.2E+02	1.6E-05	1.0E-05	1.2E+02	8.6E-08
pyrene	5.0E-03	1.3E+02	4.0E-05	2.6E-05	1.3E+02	2.1E-07
aluminum	2.0E+01	4.3E+02	4.7E-02	2.1E-03	4.3E+02	4.8E-06
arsenic	4.2E+00	5.1E+00	8.2E-01	2.0E-04	5.1E+00	4.0E-05
barium	3.4E-01	1.0E+01	3.3E-02	1.5E-03	1.0E+01	1.5E-04
beryllium	1.8E-03	2.2E-01	8.1E-03	1.0E-05	2.2E-01	4.7E-05
chromium	5.3E+00	3.5E+00	1.5E+00	5.4E-04	3.5E+00	1.5E-04
cobalt	1.8E-02	1.3E+01	1.5E-03	8.2E-05	1.3E+01	6.5E-06
copper	1.4E-01	2.9E+01	4.8E-03	8.6E-04	2.9E+01	3.0E-05
iron	9.4E+01	2.4E+03	3.9E-02	4.7E-02	2.4E+03	2.0E-05
lead	3.4E-01	4.4E+00	7.6E-02	7.3E-05	4.4E+00	1.7E-05
manganese	7.9E+00	3.7E+02	2.1E-02	2.9E-02	3.7E+02	7.7E-05
mercury	6.7E-02	8.6E-01	7.8E-02	7.3E-04	1.0E-01	7.3E-03
nickel	1.1E-01	1.0E+01	1.1E-02	4.2E-04	1.0E+01	4.2E-05
selenium	2.4E-02	1.8E+00	1.4E-02	4.3E-04	1.8E+00	2.5E-04
vanadium	8.1E-02	2.0E+00	4.0E-02	4.3E-04	2.0E+00	2.1E-04
zinc	2.2E+00	1.6E+02	1.4E-02	2.1E-02	1.6E+02	1.3E-04
cadmium	6.1E-02	7.6E+00	8.1E-03	3.9E-05	7.6E+00	5.1E-06
thallium	2.3E-02	1.2E+00	1.9E-02	5.8E-05	1.2E+00	4.8E-05
SUMMARY HAZARD INDEX			**2.8E+00**			**8.9E-03**

NOTES: TBD = Total Body Dose (mg/kgBW-day)
RTV = Reference Toxicity Value (mg/kgBW -day)
BW = Body Weight (kg)
HQ = Hazard Quotient (calculated by dividing TBD by RTV)

TABLE R-7
ESTIMATION OF RME EXPOSURE TO ORGANISMS VIA FOOD AND SEDIMENT INGESTION

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT - FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL - FORT DEVENS, MA

EXPOSURE CONCENTRATION DATA

CHEMICAL	MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION (mg/kg)
aroclor-1260	2.7E-01
DDE	1.3E+00
DDD	1.8E+00
DDT	1.3E-01
benzo(a)anthracene	1.1E+00
chrysene	1.5E+00
fluoranthene	3.4E+00
naphthalene	1.6E+00
phenanthrene	2.5E+00
pyrene	4.4E+00
aluminum	2.4E+04
arsenic	3.2E+03
barium	3.4E+02
beryllium	2.7E+00
chromium	1.0E+04
cobalt	5.9E+01
copper	1.3E+02
iron	3.3E+05
lead	6.3E+02
manganese	5.5E+04
mercury	1.3E+02
nickel	7.9E+01
selenium	6.6E+00
vanadium	1.7E+02
zinc	4.0E+02
cadmium	6.0E+01
thallium	8.3E+00

ESTIMATED TISSUE LEVELS IN PRIMARY PREY ITEMS

Invert BAF [a]	Tissue Level (mg/kg)	Plant BAF [a]	Tissue Level (mg/kg)
2.3E-01	6.2E-02	2.9E-03	7.8E-04
1.4E+00	1.8E+00	1.3E-02	1.7E-02
2.7E-01	4.9E-01	1.3E-02	2.3E-02
1.8E-01	2.3E-02	1.3E-02	1.7E-03
2.5E-02	2.8E-02	2.2E-02	2.4E-02
2.5E-02	3.8E-02	2.2E-02	3.3E-02
2.5E-02	8.5E-02	3.2E-02	1.1E-01
2.5E-02	4.0E-02	1.0E-01	1.6E-01
2.5E-02	6.3E-02	1.0E-01	2.5E-01
2.5E-02	1.1E-01	1.0E-01	4.4E-01
2.4E-04	5.8E+00	2.4E-04	5.8E+00
1.1E-01	3.4E+02	2.1E-01	6.7E+02
1.3E-02	4.5E+00	1.3E-02	4.5E+00
1.8E-02	4.9E-02	1.8E-02	4.9E-02
2.5E-04	2.5E+00	7.5E-03	7.5E+01
1.3E-02	7.6E-01	1.3E-02	7.6E-01
2.0E-02	2.6E+00	2.0E-02	2.6E+00
1.2E-03	4.0E+02	1.2E-03	4.0E+02
5.4E-04	3.4E-01	8.0E-03	5.1E+00
1.0E-02	5.5E+02	1.0E-02	5.5E+02
3.7E-02	4.8E+00	7.0E-03	9.1E-01
1.7E-02	1.3E+00	9.0E-02	7.1E+00
2.1E-01	1.4E+00	2.1E-01	1.4E+00
1.6E-02	2.7E+00	1.6E-02	2.7E+00
2.2E-01	8.9E+01	8.0E-01	3.2E+02
1.1E-01	6.8E+00	6.4E-02	3.8E+00
6.4E-03	5.3E-02	4.0E-03	3.3E-02

BAF VALUES FOR OTHER PREY ITEMS

Small Mammal BAF [a]	Small Bird BAF [a]	Reptile/ Amphib. BAF [a]	Fish BAF [a]
2.3E-01	2.3E-01	2.3E-01	2.3E-01
1.4E+00	1.4E+00	1.4E+00	1.4E+00
2.7E-01	2.7E-01	2.7E-01	2.7E-01
1.8E-01	1.8E-01	1.8E-01	1.8E-01
2.5E-02	2.5E-02	2.5E-02	2.5E-02
2.5E-02	2.5E-02	2.5E-02	2.5E-02
2.5E-02	2.5E-02	2.5E-02	2.5E-02
2.5E-02	2.5E-02	2.5E-02	2.5E-02
2.5E-02	2.5E-02	2.5E-02	2.5E-02
2.5E-02	2.5E-02	2.5E-02	2.5E-02
2.4E-04	2.4E-04	2.4E-04	2.4E-04
4.0E-04	4.0E-04	4.0E-04	4.0E-04
1.3E-02	1.3E-02	1.3E-02	1.3E-02
1.8E-02	1.8E-02	1.8E-02	1.8E-02
2.5E-04	2.5E-04	2.5E-04	2.5E-04
1.3E-02	1.3E-02	1.3E-02	1.3E-02
2.0E-02	2.0E-02	2.0E-02	2.0E-02
1.2E-03	1.2E-03	1.2E-03	1.2E-03
5.4E-04	5.4E-04	5.4E-04	5.4E-04
1.0E-02	1.0E-02	1.0E-02	1.0E-02
3.7E-02	3.7E-02	3.7E-02	3.7E-02
1.7E-02	1.7E-02	1.7E-02	1.7E-02
2.1E-01	2.1E-01	2.1E-01	2.1E-01
1.6E-02	1.6E-02	1.6E-02	1.6E-02
2.2E-01	2.2E-01	2.2E-01	2.2E-01
3.7E-03	3.7E-03	3.7E-03	3.7E-03
6.4E-03	6.4E-03	6.4E-03	6.4E-03

SITE AREA:	30.0 acres

TABLE R-7
ESTIMATION OF RME EXPOSURE TO ORGANISMS VIA FOOD AND SEDIMENT INGESTION

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT - FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL - FORT DEVENS, MA

TOTAL BODY DOSE (mg/kgBW-day) [b]

CHEMICAL	*Mallard*	*Great Blue Heron*	*Green Frog*	*Painted Turtle*	*Osprey*
aroclor-1260	4.72E-04	6.89E-04	6.30E-03	2.45E-03	6.74E-05
DDE	8.80E-03	1.71E-02	1.65E-01	5.49E-02	1.97E-03
DDD	4.01E-03	5.25E-03	4.86E-02	1.87E-02	5.27E-04
DDT	2.43E-04	2.73E-04	2.48E-03	1.02E-03	2.54E-05
benzo(a)anthracene	1.68E-03	7.71E-04	6.01E-03	4.02E-03	2.98E-05
chrysene	2.30E-03	1.05E-03	8.20E-03	5.48E-03	4.07E-05
fluoranthene	6.28E-03	2.38E-03	1.89E-02	1.31E-02	9.22E-05
naphthalene	6.42E-03	1.12E-03	1.00E-02	8.30E-03	4.34E-05
phenanthrene	1.00E-02	1.75E-03	1.56E-02	1.30E-02	6.78E-05
pyrene	1.74E-02	3.05E-03	2.72E-02	2.26E-02	1.18E-04
aluminum	1.77E+01	1.15E+01	7.35E+01	6.07E+01	6.25E-03
arsenic	2.50E+01	2.04E+00	4.04E+01	2.85E+01	1.39E-03
barium	4.11E-01	2.04E-01	1.49E+00	1.08E+00	4.85E-03
beryllium	3.74E-03	1.74E-03	1.31E-02	9.19E-03	5.31E-05
chromium	9.70E+00	4.78E+00	3.14E+01	2.67E+01	2.71E-03
cobalt	7.02E-02	3.48E-02	2.54E-01	1.84E-01	8.28E-04
copper	1.91E-01	8.66E-02	6.61E-01	4.59E-01	2.86E-03
iron	2.55E+02	1.60E+02	1.04E+03	8.50E+02	4.30E-01
lead	6.24E-01	3.04E-01	2.00E+00	1.70E+00	3.70E-04
manganese	5.97E+01	3.10E+01	2.20E+02	1.64E+02	5.94E-01
mercury	1.43E-01	1.05E-01	8.29E-01	4.81E-01	5.22E-03
nickel	2.90E-01	4.99E-02	4.32E-01	3.78E-01	1.46E-03
selenium	5.33E-02	1.54E-02	1.53E-01	8.13E-02	1.47E-03
vanadium	2.16E-01	1.03E-01	7.66E-01	5.45E-01	2.88E-03
zinc	1.09E+01	9.92E-01	1.23E+01	9.85E+00	9.62E-02
cadmium	1.93E-01	4.06E-02	7.10E-01	3.76E-01	2.39E-04
thallium	7.33E-03	4.43E-03	3.03E-02	2.30E-02	5.76E-05

TABLE R-7
ESTIMATION OF RME EXPOSURE TO ORGANISMS VIA FOOD AND SEDIMENT INGESTION

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT -- FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL -- FORT DEVENS, MA

TOTAL BODY DOSE (mg/kgBW-day) [b]

CHEMICAL	*Muskrat*	*Mink*	*Raccoon*
aroclor-1260	7.80E-04	3.66E-03	3.88E-05
DDE	1.23E-02	9.67E-02	8.96E-04
DDD	6.39E-03	2.81E-02	2.96E-04
DDT	4.01E-04	1.42E-03	1.60E-05
benzo(a)anthracene	2.95E-03	3.20E-03	5.81E-05
chrysene	4.03E-03	4.36E-03	7.92E-05
fluoranthene	1.07E-02	9.88E-03	1.87E-04
naphthalene	9.94E-03	4.65E-03	1.13E-04
phenanthrene	1.55E-02	7.27E-03	1.76E-04
pyrene	2.70E-02	1.26E-02	3.06E-04
aluminum	3.77E+01	3.89E+01	8.74E-01
arsenic	3.63E+01	8.80E+00	3.28E-01
barium	7.62E-01	7.85E-01	1.56E-02
beryllium	6.71E-03	6.92E-03	1.32E-04
chromium	1.90E+01	1.62E+01	3.81E-01
cobalt	1.30E-01	1.34E-01	2.65E-03
copper	3.39E-01	3.49E-01	6.60E-03
iron	5.35E+02	5.51E+02	1.22E+01
lead	1.22E+00	1.03E+00	2.42E-02
manganese	1.13E+02	1.17E+02	2.36E+00
mercury	2.69E-01	4.59E-01	7.15E-03
nickel	4.54E-01	1.97E-01	5.11E-03
selenium	7.85E-02	8.09E-02	1.17E-03
vanadium	3.93E-01	4.05E-01	7.85E-03
zinc	1.57E+01	5.25E+00	1.28E-01
cadmium	2.86E-01	1.79E-01	4.34E-03
thallium	1.47E-02	1.61E-02	3.33E-04

TABLE R-7
ESTIMATION OF RME EXPOSURE TO ORGANISMS VIA FOOD AND SEDIMENT INGESTION

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT - FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL - FORT DEVENS, MA

EXPOSURE PARAMETERS [c]

Indicator Species		Percent Prey in Diet							Home Range (acres)	ED [d]	SFF [e]	Ingestion Rate (kg/day)	Body Weight (kg)
		Inverts	Plants	Small Mammals	Herpeto-fauna	Birds	Sediment	Fish					
Mallard	(Waterfowl)	11%	87%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	3.5	0.5	5.0E-01	0.086	1.177
Great Blue Heron	(Pred Bird)	16%	0%	5%	4%	0%	5%	70%	100	0.5	1.5E-01	0.187	2.95
Green Frog	(Reptile)	70%	10%	0%	7%	0%	3%	10%	0.5	0.75	7.5E-01	0.01	0.037
Painted Turtle	(Herptile)	43%	39%	0%	0%	0%	5%	13%	12	0.75	7.5E-01	0.056	0.834
Osprey	(Pred Bird)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	100	0.05	1.5E-02	0.094	1.3

NOTES:
[a] Bioaccumulation data presented in: Appendix Q, Table Q-2a
[b] Calculated by summing the products of individual prey type concentrations and percent in diet, multiplying by the SFF and ingestion rate, and then dividing by body weight.
[c] Documentation of exposure parameters presented in: Appendix Q, Table Q-1
[d] ED = Exposure Duration (percentage of year the receptor is expected to be found at the study area).
[e] Site Foraging Frequency (SFF). Calculated by dividing site area by receptor home range and multiplying by the ED (cannot exceed 1).

TABLE R-7
ESTIMATION OF RME EXPOSURE TO ORGANISMS VIA FOOD AND SEDIMENT INGESTION

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT – FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL – FORT DEVENS, MA

EXPOSURE PARAMETERS [c]

Indicator Species		Percent Prey in Diet							Home Range (acres)	ED [d]	SFF [e]	Ingestion Rate (kg/day)	Body Weight (kg)
		Inverts	Plants	Small Mammals	Herpeto-fauna	Birds	Sediment	Fish					
Muskrat	(Small Mammal)	5%	87%	0%	0%	0%	3%	5%	26	1	1.0E+00	0.065	1.25
Mink	(Carn. Mammal)	20%	0%	25%	0%	0%	3%	52%	35	1	8.6E-01	0.05	0.8
Raccoon	(Omniv. Mammal)	25%	31%	9%	20%	1%	5%	9%	1150	1	2.6E-02	0.22	7.95

NOTES:

[a] Bioaccumulation data presented in: Appendix Q, Table Q-2a

[b] Calculated by summing the products of individual prey type concentrations and percent in diet, multiplying by the SFF and ingestion rate, and then dividing by body weight.

[c] Documentation of exposure parameters presented in: Appendix Q, Table Q-1

[d] ED = Exposure Duration (percentage of year the receptor is expected to be found at the study area).

[e] Site Foraging Frequency (SFF). Calculated by dividing site area by receptor home range and multiplying by the ED (cannot exceed 1).

TABLE R-8
ESTIMATION OF RME RISK TO ORGANISMS FROM FOOD AND SEDIMENT INGESTION

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT – FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL – FORT DEVENS, MA

CHEMICAL	*Mallard*			*Great Blue Heron*			*Green Frog*		
	TBD	RTV	HQ	TBD	RTV	HQ	TBD	RTV	HQ
aroclor-1260	4.7E-04	2.3E+00	2.1E-04	6.9E-04	2.3E+00	3.0E-04	6.3E-03	2.3E+00	2.7E-03
DDE	8.8E-03	1.4E-01	6.3E-02	1.7E-02	2.5E-01	6.8E-02	1.6E-01	7.6E+00	2.2E-02
DDD	4.0E-03	1.4E-01	2.9E-02	5.2E-03	2.5E-01	2.1E-02	4.9E-02	7.6E+00	6.4E-03
DDT	2.4E-04	1.4E-01	1.7E-03	2.7E-04	2.5E-01	1.1E-03	2.5E-03	7.6E+00	3.3E-04
benzo(a)anthracene	1.7E-03	2.0E+00	8.4E-04	7.7E-04	2.0E+00	3.9E-04	6.0E-03	2.0E+00	3.0E-03
chrysene	2.3E-03	9.9E+01	2.3E-05	1.1E-03	9.9E+01	1.1E-05	8.2E-03	9.9E+01	8.3E-05
fluoranthene	6.3E-03	2.5E+02	2.5E-05	2.4E-03	2.5E+02	9.5E-06	1.9E-02	2.5E+02	7.6E-05
naphthalene	6.4E-03	4.1E+01	1.6E-04	1.1E-03	4.1E+01	2.7E-05	1.0E-02	4.1E+01	2.4E-04
phenanthrene	1.0E-02	1.2E+02	8.4E-05	1.8E-03	1.2E+02	1.5E-05	1.6E-02	1.2E+02	1.3E-04
pyrene	1.7E-02	1.3E+02	1.4E-04	3.1E-03	1.3E+02	2.4E-05	2.7E-02	1.3E+02	2.2E-04
aluminum	1.8E+01	4.3E+02	4.2E-02	1.1E+01	4.3E+02	2.7E-02	7.4E+01	4.3E+02	1.7E-01
arsenic	2.5E+01	6.5E+00	3.9E+00	2.0E+00	5.1E+00	4.0E-01	4.0E+01	5.1E+00	7.9E+00
barium	4.1E-01	1.0E+01	4.0E-02	2.0E-01	1.0E+01	2.0E-02	1.5E+00	1.0E+01	1.5E-01
beryllium	3.7E-03	2.2E-01	1.7E-02	1.7E-03	2.2E-01	7.9E-03	1.3E-02	2.2E-01	5.9E-02
chromium	9.7E+00	3.5E+00	2.8E+00	4.8E+00	3.5E+00	1.4E+00	3.1E+01	3.5E+00	9.0E+00
cobalt	7.0E-02	1.3E+01	5.6E-03	3.5E-02	1.3E+01	2.8E-03	2.5E-01	1.3E+01	2.0E-02
copper	1.9E-01	2.9E+01	6.6E-03	8.7E-02	2.9E+01	3.0E-03	6.6E-01	2.9E+01	2.3E-02
iron	2.6E+02	2.4E+03	1.1E-01	1.6E+02	2.4E+03	6.7E-02	1.0E+03	2.4E+03	4.3E-01
lead	6.2E-01	1.8E+00	3.6E-01	3.0E-01	4.4E+00	6.9E-02	2.0E+00	4.4E+00	4.6E-01
manganese	6.0E+01	3.7E+02	1.6E-01	3.1E+01	3.7E+02	8.4E-02	2.2E+02	3.7E+02	6.0E-01
mercury	1.4E-01	2.2E-01	6.5E-01	1.1E-01	1.0E-01	1.1E+00	8.3E-01	8.6E-01	9.6E-01
nickel	2.9E-01	1.0E+01	2.9E-02	5.0E-02	1.0E+01	4.9E-03	4.3E-01	1.0E+01	4.3E-02
selenium	5.3E-02	1.8E+00	3.0E-02	1.5E-02	1.8E+00	8.8E-03	1.5E-01	1.8E+00	8.7E-02
vanadium	2.2E-01	2.0E+00	1.1E-01	1.0E-01	2.0E+00	5.1E-02	7.7E-01	2.0E+00	3.8E-01
zinc	1.1E+01	1.6E+02	6.8E-02	9.9E-01	1.6E+02	6.2E-03	1.2E+01	1.6E+02	7.7E-02
cadmium	1.9E-01	7.6E+00	2.5E-02	4.1E-02	7.6E+00	5.3E-03	7.1E-01	7.6E+00	9.3E-02
thallium	7.3E-03	1.2E+00	6.1E-03	4.4E-03	1.2E+00	3.7E-03	3.0E-02	1.2E+00	2.5E-02
SUMMARY HAZARD INDEX			**8.4E+00**			**3.3E+00**			**2.1E+01**

TABLE R-8
ESTIMATION OF RME RISK TO ORGANISMS FROM FOOD AND SEDIMENT INGESTION

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT – FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL – FORT DEVENS, MA

CHEMICAL	*Muskrat*			*Mink*			*Raccoon*		
	TBD	RTV	HQ	TBD	RTV	HQ	TBD	RTV	HQ
aroclor-1260	7.8E-04	3.8E+00	2.0E-04	3.7E-03	3.8E+00	9.5E-04	3.9E-05	3.8E+00	1.0E-05
DDE	1.2E-02	4.8E-01	2.6E-02	9.7E-02	5.0E+00	1.9E-02	9.0E-04	5.0E+00	1.8E-04
DDD	6.4E-03	4.8E-01	1.3E-02	2.8E-02	5.0E+00	5.6E-03	3.0E-04	5.0E+00	5.9E-05
DDT	4.0E-04	4.8E-01	8.4E-04	1.4E-03	5.0E+00	2.8E-04	1.6E-05	5.0E+00	3.2E-06
benzo(a)anthracene	3.0E-03	2.0E+00	1.5E-03	3.2E-03	2.0E+00	1.6E-03	5.8E-05	2.0E+00	2.9E-05
chrysene	4.0E-03	9.9E+01	4.1E-05	4.4E-03	9.9E+01	4.4E-05	7.9E-05	9.9E+01	8.0E-07
fluoranthene	1.1E-02	2.5E+02	4.3E-05	9.9E-03	2.5E+02	4.0E-05	1.9E-04	2.5E+02	7.5E-07
naphthalene	9.9E-03	4.1E+01	2.4E-04	4.7E-03	4.1E+01	1.1E-04	1.1E-04	4.1E+01	2.7E-06
phenanthrene	1.6E-02	1.2E+02	1.3E-04	7.3E-03	1.2E+02	6.1E-05	1.8E-04	1.2E+02	1.5E-06
pyrene	2.7E-02	1.3E+02	2.2E-04	1.3E-02	1.3E+02	1.0E-04	3.1E-04	1.3E+02	2.4E-06
aluminum	3.8E+01	4.3E+02	8.9E-02	3.9E+01	4.3E+02	9.1E-02	8.7E-01	4.3E+02	2.1E-03
arsenic	3.6E+01	7.5E+00	4.8E+00	8.8E+00	2.5E+02	3.5E-02	3.3E-01	2.5E+02	1.3E-03
barium	7.6E-01	1.0E+01	7.5E-02	7.9E-01	1.0E+01	7.7E-02	1.6E-02	1.0E+01	1.5E-03
beryllium	6.7E-03	2.2E-01	3.1E-02	6.9E-03	2.2E-01	3.1E-02	1.3E-04	2.2E-01	6.0E-04
chromium	1.9E+01	4.1E+01	4.7E-01	1.6E+01	4.1E+01	4.0E-01	3.8E-01	4.1E+01	9.4E-03
cobalt	1.3E-01	1.3E+01	1.0E-02	1.3E-01	5.0E+00	2.7E-02	2.7E-03	5.0E+00	5.3E-04
copper	3.4E-01	7.7E+01	4.4E-03	3.5E-01	7.7E+01	4.6E-03	6.6E-03	7.7E+01	8.6E-05
iron	5.3E+02	2.4E+03	2.2E-01	5.5E+02	2.4E+03	2.3E-01	1.2E+01	2.4E+03	5.1E-03
lead	1.2E+00	2.1E+00	5.8E-01	1.0E+00	3.0E+00	3.4E-01	2.4E-02	3.0E+00	8.1E-03
manganese	1.1E+02	3.7E+02	3.1E-01	1.2E+02	2.5E+01	4.7E+00	2.4E+00	2.5E+01	9.5E-02
mercury	2.7E-01	5.0E-01	5.4E-01	4.6E-01	9.0E-02	5.1E+00	7.2E-03	1.0E-01	7.2E-02
nickel	4.5E-01	5.0E+01	9.1E-03	2.0E-01	6.2E+01	3.2E-03	5.1E-03	6.2E+01	8.2E-05
selenium	7.9E-02	1.2E-01	6.5E-01	8.1E-02	1.2E-01	6.7E-01	1.2E-03	1.2E-01	9.8E-03
vanadium	3.9E-01	2.5E+00	1.6E-01	4.0E-01	2.5E+00	1.6E-01	7.8E-03	2.5E+00	3.1E-03
zinc	1.6E+01	1.6E+02	9.8E-02	5.3E+00	1.6E+02	3.3E-02	1.3E-01	1.6E+02	8.0E-04
cadmium	2.9E-01	1.8E+00	1.6E-01	1.8E-01	3.7E+00	4.8E-02	4.3E-03	3.7E+00	1.2E-03
thallium	1.5E-02	1.2E+00	1.2E-02	1.6E-02	1.2E+00	1.3E-02	3.3E-04	1.2E+00	2.8E-04
SUMMARY HAZARD INDEX			**8.3E+00**			**1.2E+01**			**2.1E-01**

NOTES: TBD = Total Body Dose (mg/kgBW-day)
RTV = Reference Toxicity Value (mg/kgBW-day)
BW = Body Weight (kg)
HQ = Hazard Quotient (calculated by dividing TBD by RTV)

TABLE R-8
ESTIMATION OF RME RISK TO ORGANISMS FROM FOOD AND SEDIMENT INGESTION

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT – FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL – FORT DEVENS, MA

CHEMICAL	*Painted Turtle*			*Osprey*		
	TBD	RTV	HQ	TBD	RTV	HQ
aroclor-1260	2.4E-03	2.3E+00	1.1E-03	6.7E-05	9.0E+00	7.5E-06
DDE	5.5E-02	7.6E+00	7.2E-03	2.0E-03	2.9E-01	6.8E-03
DDD	1.9E-02	7.6E+00	2.5E-03	5.3E-04	2.9E-01	1.8E-03
DDT	1.0E-03	7.6E+00	1.3E-04	2.5E-05	2.9E-01	8.8E-05
benzo(a)anthracene	4.0E-03	2.0E+00	2.0E-03	3.0E-05	2.0E+00	1.5E-05
chrysene	5.5E-03	9.9E+01	5.5E-05	4.1E-05	9.9E+01	4.1E-07
fluoranthene	1.3E-02	2.5E+02	5.2E-05	9.2E-05	2.5E+02	3.7E-07
naphthalene	8.3E-03	4.1E+01	2.0E-04	4.3E-05	4.1E+01	1.1E-06
phenanthrene	1.3E-02	1.2E+02	1.1E-04	6.8E-05	1.2E+02	5.6E-07
pyrene	2.3E-02	1.3E+02	1.8E-04	1.2E-04	1.3E+02	9.4E-07
aluminum	6.1E+01	4.3E+02	1.4E-01	6.2E-03	4.3E+02	1.5E-05
arsenic	2.9E+01	5.1E+00	5.6E+00	1.4E-03	5.1E+00	2.7E-04
barium	1.1E+00	1.0E+01	1.1E-01	4.9E-03	1.0E+01	4.8E-04
beryllium	9.2E-03	2.2E-01	4.2E-02	5.3E-05	2.2E-01	2.4E-04
chromium	2.7E+01	3.5E+00	7.6E+00	2.7E-03	3.5E+00	7.7E-04
cobalt	1.8E-01	1.3E+01	1.5E-02	8.3E-04	1.3E+01	6.6E-05
copper	4.6E-01	2.9E+01	1.6E-02	2.9E-03	2.9E+01	9.9E-05
iron	8.5E+02	2.4E+03	3.5E-01	4.3E-01	2.4E+03	1.8E-04
lead	1.7E+00	4.4E+00	3.9E-01	3.7E-04	4.4E+00	8.4E-05
manganese	1.6E+02	3.7E+02	4.4E-01	5.9E-01	3.7E+02	1.6E-03
mercury	4.8E-01	8.6E-01	5.6E-01	5.2E-03	1.0E-01	5.2E-02
nickel	3.8E-01	1.0E+01	3.7E-02	1.5E-03	1.0E+01	1.4E-04
selenium	8.1E-02	1.8E+00	4.6E-02	1.5E-03	1.8E+00	8.4E-04
vanadium	5.5E-01	2.0E+00	2.7E-01	2.9E-03	2.0E+00	1.4E-03
zinc	9.8E+00	1.6E+02	6.2E-02	9.6E-02	1.6E+02	6.0E-04
cadmium	3.8E-01	7.6E+00	4.9E-02	2.4E-04	7.6E+00	3.1E-05
thallium	2.3E-02	1.2E+00	1.9E-02	5.8E-05	1.2E+00	4.8E-05
SUMMARY HAZARD INDEX			**1.6E+01**			**6.8E-02**

NOTES: TBD = Total Body Dose (mg/kgBW-day)
RTV = Reference Toxicity Value (mg/kgBW-day)
BW = Body Weight (kg)
HQ = Hazard Quotient (calculated by dividing TBD by RTV)

TABLE R-9
ESTIMATION OF AVERAGE EXPOSURE TO ORGANISMS VIA FOOD AND SEDIMENT INGESTION

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT - FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL - FORT DEVENS, MA

EXPOSURE CONCENTRATION DATA

CHEMICAL	AVERAGE CONCENTRATION (mg/kg)
DDT	6.4E-01
DDE	9.0E-02
DDD	5.0E-01
anthracene	2.7E-01
bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate	1.4E+00
benzo(a)anthracene	5.1E-01
benzo(a)pyrene	1.1E+00
dibenzofuran	1.5E-01
benzo(b) fluoranthene	6.4E-01
benzo(k)fluoranthene	9.0E-01
chrysene	6.3E-01
fluoranthene	1.6E+00
phenanthrene	7.7E-01
pyrene	2.2E+00
aroclor 1254	2.7E-01
aluminum	6.1E+03
arsenic	7.8E+01
barium	3.7E+01
beryllium	1.9E-01
iron	1.5E+04
chromium	1.5E+01
cobalt	3.4E+00
copper	8.5E+00
lead	7.0E+01
manganese	6.3E+02
mercury	7.7E-02
nickel	1.1E+01
selenium	2.0E+00
silver	6.5E-01
vanadium	1.2E+01
zinc	8.2E+01
SITE AREA:	3.5 acres

ESTIMATED TISSUE LEVELS IN PRIMARY PREY ITEMS

Invert BAF [a]	Tissue Level (mg/kg)	Plant BAF [a]	Tissue Level (mg/kg)
7.0E-03	4.5E-03	1.3E-02	8.3E-03
9.1E-01	8.2E-02	1.3E-02	1.2E-03
2.5E-01	1.3E-01	1.3E-02	6.5E-03
2.5E-02	6.8E-03	1.0E-01	2.7E-02
2.5E-02	3.5E-02	3.8E-04	5.3E-04
2.5E-02	1.3E-02	2.2E-02	1.1E-02
2.5E-02	2.8E-02	1.0E-02	1.1E-02
2.5E-02	3.8E-03	1.0E-01	1.5E-02
2.5E-02	1.6E-02	1.2E-02	7.7E-03
2.5E-02	2.3E-02	1.2E-02	1.1E-02
2.5E-02	1.6E-02	2.2E-02	1.4E-02
2.5E-02	4.0E-02	3.2E-02	5.1E-02
2.5E-02	1.9E-02	1.0E-01	7.7E-02
2.5E-02	5.5E-02	1.0E-01	2.2E-01
1.0E-01	2.7E-02	2.9E-03	7.8E-04
1.0E-04	6.1E-01	1.0E-04	6.1E-01
1.1E-01	8.2E+00	2.1E-01	1.6E+01
1.0E-02	3.7E-01	1.0E-02	3.7E-01
1.1E-01	2.1E-02	1.1E-01	2.1E-02
1.6E-03	2.4E+01	1.6E-03	2.4E+01
1.6E-02	2.4E-01	7.5E-03	1.1E-01
2.8E-02	9.5E-02	2.8E-02	9.5E-02
6.0E-02	5.1E-01	6.0E-02	5.1E-01
7.0E-04	4.9E-02	8.0E-03	5.6E-01
1.4E-02	8.9E+00	1.4E-02	8.9E+00
2.8E+00	2.2E-01	7.0E-03	5.4E-04
3.7E-02	4.0E-01	9.0E-02	9.7E-01
1.2E-01	2.4E-01	1.2E-01	2.4E-01
1.5E-01	9.8E-02	8.0E-01	5.2E-01
3.3E-02	4.0E-01	3.3E-02	4.0E-01
3.2E-02	2.6E+00	8.0E-01	6.6E+01

BAF VALUES FOR OTHER PREY ITEMS

Small Mammal BAF [a]	Small Bird BAF [a]	Reptile/ Amphib. BAF [a]	Fish BAF [a]
7.0E-03	7.0E-03	7.0E-03	7.0E-03
9.1E-01	9.1E-01	9.1E-01	9.1E-01
2.5E-01	2.5E-01	2.5E-01	2.5E-01
2.5E-02	2.5E-02	2.5E-02	2.5E-02
2.5E-02	2.5E-02	2.5E-02	2.5E-02
2.5E-02	2.5E-02	2.5E-02	2.5E-02
2.5E-02	2.5E-02	2.5E-02	2.5E-02
2.5E-02	2.5E-02	2.5E-02	2.5E-02
2.5E-02	2.5E-02	2.5E-02	2.5E-02
2.5E-02	2.5E-02	2.5E-02	2.5E-02
2.5E-02	2.5E-02	2.5E-02	2.5E-02
2.5E-02	2.5E-02	2.5E-02	2.5E-02
2.5E-02	2.5E-02	2.5E-02	2.5E-02
2.5E-02	2.5E-02	2.5E-02	2.5E-02
1.0E-01	1.0E-01	1.0E-01	1.0E-01
1.0E-04	1.0E-04	1.0E-04	1.0E-04
1.3E-03	1.3E-03	1.3E-03	1.3E-03
1.0E-02	1.0E-02	1.0E-02	1.0E-02
1.1E-01	1.1E-01	1.1E-01	1.1E-01
1.6E-03	1.6E-03	1.6E-03	1.6E-03
1.6E-02	1.6E-02	1.6E-02	1.6E-02
2.8E-02	2.8E-02	2.8E-02	2.8E-02
6.0E-02	6.0E-02	6.0E-02	6.0E-02
7.0E-04	7.0E-04	7.0E-04	7.0E-04
1.4E-02	1.4E-02	1.4E-02	1.4E-02
2.8E+00	2.8E+00	2.8E+00	2.8E+00
3.7E-02	3.7E-02	3.7E-02	3.7E-02
1.2E-01	1.2E-01	1.2E-01	1.2E-01
1.5E-01	1.5E-01	1.5E-01	1.5E-01
3.3E-02	3.3E-02	3.3E-02	3.3E-02
3.2E-02	3.2E-02	3.2E-02	3.2E-02

TABLE R–9
ESTIMATION OF AVERAGE EXPOSURE TO ORGANISMS VIA FOOD AND SEDIMENT INGESTION

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT – FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL – FORT DEVENS, MA

TOTAL BODY DOSE (mg/kgBW–day) [b]

CHEMICAL	*Mallard*	*Great Blue Heron*	*Green Frog*	*Painted Turtle*
DDT	7.50E–04	4.02E–05	2.43E–03	5.55E–04
DDE	4.32E–04	9.13E–05	7.51E–03	7.46E–04
DDD	1.07E–03	1.59E–04	1.26E–02	1.43E–03
anthracene	1.08E–03	2.21E–05	1.69E–03	4.08E–04
bis(2–ethylhexyl) phthalate	1.18E–03	1.15E–04	7.35E–03	1.32E–03
benzo(a)anthracene	7.80E–04	4.17E–05	2.79E–03	5.44E–04
benzo(a)pyrene	1.26E–03	9.00E–05	5.88E–03	1.10E–03
dibenzofuran	6.01E–04	1.23E–05	9.39E–04	2.27E–04
benzo(b)fluoranthene	7.76E–04	5.24E–05	3.43E–03	6.46E–04
benzo(k)fluoranthene	1.09E–03	7.36E–05	4.83E–03	9.08E–04
chrysene	9.64E–04	5.15E–05	3.44E–03	6.72E–04
fluoranthene	2.96E–03	1.31E–04	8.91E–03	1.80E–03
phenanthrene	3.09E–03	6.30E–05	4.82E–03	1.16E–03
pyrene	8.82E–03	1.80E–04	1.38E–02	3.33E–03
aroclor 1254	3.31E–04	4.34E–05	3.21E–03	4.25E–04
aluminum	4.48E+00	3.39E–01	1.86E+01	4.49E+00
arsenic	6.11E–01	5.87E–03	9.86E–01	2.03E–01
barium	4.01E–02	2.43E–03	1.48E–01	3.22E–02
beryllium	8.87E–04	3.26E–05	2.63E–03	4.31E–04
iron	1.20E+01	8.71E–01	4.87E+01	1.15E+01
chromium	1.56E–02	1.09E–03	6.84E–02	1.37E–02
cobalt	5.86E–03	2.87E–04	1.96E–02	3.80E–03
copper	2.45E–02	1.01E–03	7.60E–02	1.34E–02
lead	6.86E–02	3.91E–03	2.21E–01	5.46E–02
manganese	7.81E–01	4.45E–02	2.80E+00	5.89E–01
mercury	9.40E–04	2.31E–04	1.93E–02	1.83E–03
nickel	4.04E–02	1.02E–03	7.79E–02	1.68E–02
selenium	9.85E–03	3.57E–04	2.91E–02	4.72E–03
silver	1.74E–02	1.39E–04	1.58E–02	4.26E–03
vanadium	2.31E–02	1.09E–03	7.60E–02	1.45E–02
zinc	2.16E+00	7.34E–03	1.15E+00	4.59E–01

TABLE R-9
ESTIMATION OF AVERAGE EXPOSURE TO ORGANISMS VIA FOOD AND SEDIMENT INGESTION

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT - FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL - FORT DEVENS, MA

TOTAL BODY DOSE (mg/kgBW-day) [b]

CHEMICAL	*Muskrat*	*Mink*	*Raccoon*
DDT	1.88E-04	1.47E-04	3.17E-06
DDE	8.34E-05	5.13E-04	4.85E-06
DDD	2.32E-04	8.52E-04	9.05E-06
anthracene	2.26E-04	9.15E-05	2.22E-06
bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate	3.22E-04	4.75E-04	7.83E-06
benzo(a)anthracene	1.84E-04	1.73E-04	3.14E-06
benzo(a)pyrene	3.17E-04	3.73E-04	6.43E-06
dibenzofuran	1.25E-04	5.09E-05	1.23E-06
benzo(b) fluoranthene	1.92E-04	2.17E-04	3.78E-06
benzo(k)fluoranthene	2.71E-04	3.05E-04	5.31E-06
chrysene	2.28E-04	2.14E-04	3.88E-06
fluoranthene	6.76E-04	5.43E-04	1.03E-05
phenanthrene	6.44E-04	2.61E-04	6.32E-06
pyrene	1.84E-03	7.46E-04	1.81E-05
aroclor 1254	8.04E-05	2.14E-04	2.62E-06
aluminum	1.29E+00	1.15E+00	2.59E-02
arsenic	1.19E-01	2.54E-02	9.36E-04
barium	1.02E-02	9.13E-03	1.85E-04
beryllium	1.82E-04	1.62E-04	2.48E-06
iron	3.36E+00	3.00E+00	6.64E-02
chromium	4.03E-03	4.30E-03	7.99E-05
cobalt	1.35E-03	1.21E-03	2.19E-05
copper	5.25E-03	4.69E-03	7.69E-05
lead	1.80E-02	1.33E-02	3.11E-04
manganese	1.93E-01	1.73E-01	3.40E-03
mercury	1.70E-04	1.32E-03	1.20E-05
nickel	8.47E-03	4.45E-03	9.28E-05
selenium	2.01E-03	1.79E-03	2.72E-05
silver	3.37E-03	7.13E-04	2.17E-05
vanadium	5.25E-03	4.69E-03	8.33E-05
zinc	4.20E-01	3.14E-02	2.22E-03

TABLE R-9
ESTIMATION OF AVERAGE EXPOSURE TO ORGANISMS VIA FOOD AND SEDIMENT INGESTION

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT - FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL - FORT DEVENS, MA

EXPOSURE PARAMETERS [c]

Indicator Species		Percent Prey in Diet							Home Range (acres)	ED [d]	SFF [e]	Ingestion Rate (kg/day)	Body Weight (kg)
		Inverts	Plants	Small Mammals	Herpeto-fauna	Birds	Sediment	Fish					
Mallard	(Waterfowl)	11%	87%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	3.5	0.5	5.0E-01	0.086	1.177
Great Blue Heron	(Pred Bird)	16%	0%	5%	4%	0%	5%	70%	100	0.5	1.8E-02	0.187	2.95
Green Frog	(Amphibian)	70%	10%	0%	7%	0%	3%	10%	0.5	0.75	7.5E-01	0.01	0.037
Painted Turtle	(Reptile)	43%	39%	0%	0%	0%	5%	13%	12	0.75	2.2E-01	0.056	0.834

NOTES:
[a] Bioaccumulation data presented in: Appendix Q, Table Q-2b
[b] Calculated by summing the products of individual prey type concentrations and percent in diet, multiplying by the SFF and ingestion rate, and then dividing by body weight.
[c] Documentation of exposure parameters presented in: Appendix Q, Table Q-1
[d] ED = Exposure Duration (percentage of year receptor is expected to be found at study area).
[e] Site Foraging Frequency (SFF). Calculated by dividing site area by receptor home range and multiplying by the ED (cannot exceed 1).

TABLE R-9
ESTIMATION OF AVERAGE EXPOSURE TO ORGANISMS VIA FOOD AND SEDIMENT INGESTION

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT - FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL - FORT DEVENS, MA

EXPOSURE PARAMETERS [c]

Indicator Species		Percent Prey in Diet							Home Range (acres)	ED [d]	SFF [e]	Ingestion Rate (kg/day)	Body Weight (kg)
		Inverts	Plants	Small Mammals	Herpeto-fauna	Birds	Sediment	Fish					
Muskrat	(Small Mammal)	5%	87%	0%	0%	0%	3%	5%	26	1	1.3E-01	0.065	1.25
Mink	(Carn. Mammal)	20%	0%	25%	0%	0%	3%	52%	35	1	1.0E-01	0.05	0.8
Raccoon	(Omniv. Mammal)	25%	31%	9%	20%	1%	5%	9%	1150	1	3.0E-03	0.22	7.95

NOTES:

[a] Bioaccumulation data presented in: Appendix Q, Table Q-2b

[b] Calculated by summing the products of individual prey type concentrations and percent in diet, multiplying by the SFF and ingestion rate, and then dividing by body weight.

[c] Documentation of exposure parameters presented in: Appendix Q, Table Q-1

[d] ED = Exposure Duration (percentage of year receptor is expected to be found at study area).

[e] Site Foraging Frequency (SFF). Calculated by dividing site area by receptor home range and multiplying by the ED (cannot exceed 1).

TABLE R-10
ESTIMATION OF AVERAGE RISK TO ORGANISMS FROM FOOD AND SEDIMENT INGESTION

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT – FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL – FORT DEVENS, MA

CHEMICAL	*Mallard*			*Great Blue Heron*			*Green Frog*		
	TBD	RTV	HQ	TBD	RTV	HQ	TBD	RTV	HQ
DDT	7.5E-04	1.4E-01	5.4E-03	4.0E-05	2.5E-01	1.6E-04	2.4E-03	7.6E+00	3.2E-04
DDE	4.3E-04	1.4E-01	3.1E-03	9.1E-05	2.5E-01	3.7E-04	7.5E-03	7.6E+00	9.9E-04
DDD	1.1E-03	1.4E-01	7.7E-03	1.6E-04	2.5E-01	6.4E-04	1.3E-02	7.6E+00	1.7E-03
anthracene	1.1E-03	3.3E+03	3.3E-07	2.2E-05	3.3E+03	6.7E-09	1.7E-03	3.3E+03	5.1E-07
bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate	1.2E-03	1.9E+01	6.2E-05	1.1E-04	1.9E+01	6.0E-06	7.3E-03	1.9E+01	3.9E-04
benzo(a)anthracene	7.8E-04	2.0E+00	3.9E-04	4.2E-05	2.0E+00	2.1E-05	2.8E-03	2.0E+00	1.4E-03
benzo(a)pyrene	1.3E-03	1.3E+00	1.0E-03	9.0E-05	1.3E+00	7.2E-05	5.9E-03	1.3E+00	4.7E-03
dibenzofuran	6.0E-04	1.3E+02	4.8E-06	1.2E-05	1.3E+02	9.8E-08	9.4E-04	1.3E+02	7.5E-06
benzo(b)fluoranthene	7.8E-04	4.0E+01	1.9E-05	5.2E-05	4.0E+01	1.3E-06	3.4E-03	4.0E+01	8.6E-05
benzo(k)fluoranthene	1.1E-03	7.2E+01	1.5E-05	7.4E-05	7.2E+01	1.0E-06	4.8E-03	7.2E+01	6.7E-05
chrysene	9.6E-04	9.9E+01	9.7E-06	5.2E-05	9.9E+01	5.2E-07	3.4E-03	9.9E+01	3.5E-05
fluoranthene	3.0E-03	2.5E+02	1.2E-05	1.3E-04	2.5E+02	5.2E-07	8.9E-03	2.5E+02	3.6E-05
phenanthrene	3.1E-03	1.2E+02	2.6E-05	6.3E-05	1.2E+02	5.2E-07	4.8E-03	1.2E+02	4.0E-05
pyrene	8.8E-03	1.3E+02	7.1E-05	1.8E-04	1.3E+02	1.4E-06	1.4E-02	1.3E+02	1.1E-04
aroclor 1254	3.3E-04	2.3E+00	1.4E-04	4.3E-05	2.3E+00	1.9E-05	3.2E-03	2.3E+00	1.4E-03
aluminum	4.5E+00	4.3E+02	1.1E-02	3.4E-01	4.3E+02	8.0E-04	1.9E+01	4.3E+02	4.4E-02
arsenic	6.1E-01	6.5E+00	9.4E-02	5.9E-03	5.1E+00	1.2E-03	9.9E-01	5.1E+00	1.9E-01
barium	4.0E-02	1.0E+01	3.9E-03	2.4E-03	1.0E+01	2.4E-04	1.5E-01	1.0E+01	1.5E-02
beryllium	8.9E-04	2.2E-01	4.0E-03	3.3E-05	2.2E-01	1.5E-04	2.6E-03	2.2E-01	1.2E-02
iron	1.2E+01	2.4E+03	5.0E-03	8.7E-01	2.4E+03	3.6E-04	4.9E+01	2.4E+03	2.0E-02
chromium	1.6E-02	3.5E+00	4.5E-03	1.1E-03	3.5E+00	3.1E-04	6.8E-02	3.5E+00	2.0E-02
cobalt	5.9E-03	1.3E+01	4.7E-04	2.9E-04	1.3E+01	2.3E-05	2.0E-02	1.3E+01	1.6E-03
copper	2.4E-02	2.9E+01	8.4E-04	1.0E-03	2.9E+01	3.5E-05	7.6E-02	2.9E+01	2.6E-03
lead	6.9E-02	1.8E+00	3.9E-02	3.9E-03	4.4E+00	8.9E-04	2.2E-01	4.4E+00	5.0E-02
manganese	7.8E-01	3.7E+02	2.1E-03	4.5E-02	3.7E+02	1.2E-04	2.8E+00	3.7E+02	7.6E-03
mercury	9.4E-04	2.2E-01	4.3E-03	2.3E-04	1.0E-01	2.3E-03	1.9E-02	8.6E-01	2.2E-02
nickel	4.0E-02	1.0E+01	4.0E-03	1.0E-03	1.0E+01	1.0E-04	7.8E-02	1.0E+01	7.7E-03
selenium	9.9E-03	1.8E+00	5.6E-03	3.6E-04	1.8E+00	2.0E-04	2.9E-02	1.8E+00	1.7E-02
silver	1.7E-02	1.8E+01	9.6E-04	1.4E-04	1.8E+01	7.7E-06	1.6E-02	1.8E+01	8.8E-04
vanadium	2.3E-02	2.0E+00	1.2E-02	1.1E-03	2.0E+00	5.5E-04	7.6E-02	2.0E+00	3.8E-02
zinc	2.2E+00	1.6E+02	1.4E-02	7.3E-03	1.6E+02	4.6E-05	1.1E+00	1.6E+02	7.2E-03
SUMMARY HAZARD INDEX			**2.2E-01**			**8.6E-03**			**4.7E-01**

TABLE R-10
ESTIMATION OF AVERAGE RISK TO ORGANISMS FROM FOOD AND SEDIMENT INGESTION

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT - FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL - FORT DEVENS, MA

CHEMICAL	*Muskrat*			*Mink*			*Raccoon*		
	TBD	RTV	HQ	TBD	RTV	HQ	TBD	RTV	HQ
DDT	1.9E-04	4.8E-01	3.9E-04	1.5E-04	5.0E+00	2.9E-05	3.2E-06	5.0E+00	6.3E-07
DDE	8.3E-05	4.8E-01	1.7E-04	5.1E-04	5.0E+00	1.0E-04	4.8E-06	5.0E+00	9.7E-07
DDD	2.3E-04	4.8E-01	4.8E-04	8.5E-04	5.0E+00	1.7E-04	9.1E-06	5.0E+00	1.8E-06
anthracene	2.3E-04	3.3E+03	6.8E-08	9.2E-05	3.3E+03	2.8E-08	2.2E-06	3.3E+03	6.7E-10
bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate	3.2E-04	1.9E+01	1.7E-05	4.7E-04	1.9E+01	2.5E-05	7.8E-06	1.9E+01	4.1E-07
benzo(a)anthracene	1.8E-04	2.0E+00	9.2E-05	1.7E-04	2.0E+00	8.6E-05	3.1E-06	2.0E+00	1.6E-06
benzo(a)pyrene	3.2E-04	1.3E+00	2.5E-04	3.7E-04	1.3E+00	3.0E-04	6.4E-06	1.3E+00	5.1E-06
dibenzofuran	1.3E-04	3.0E+01	4.2E-06	5.1E-05	3.0E+01	1.7E-06	1.2E-06	3.0E+01	4.1E-08
benzo(b) fluoranthene	1.9E-04	4.0E+01	4.8E-06	2.2E-04	4.0E+01	5.4E-06	3.8E-06	4.0E+01	9.4E-08
benzo(k)fluoranthene	2.7E-04	7.2E+01	3.8E-06	3.1E-04	7.2E+01	4.2E-06	5.3E-06	7.2E+01	7.4E-08
chrysene	2.3E-04	9.9E+01	2.3E-06	2.1E-04	9.9E+01	2.2E-06	3.9E-06	9.9E+01	3.9E-08
fluoranthene	6.8E-04	2.5E+02	2.7E-06	5.4E-04	2.5E+02	2.2E-06	1.0E-05	2.5E+02	4.1E-08
phenanthrene	6.4E-04	1.2E+02	5.4E-06	2.6E-04	1.2E+02	2.2E-06	6.3E-06	1.2E+02	5.3E-08
pyrene	1.8E-03	1.3E+02	1.5E-05	7.5E-04	1.3E+02	6.0E-06	1.8E-05	1.3E+02	1.4E-07
aroclor 1254	8.0E-05	3.8E+00	2.1E-05	2.1E-04	3.8E+00	5.6E-05	2.6E-06	3.8E+00	6.9E-07
aluminum	1.3E+00	4.3E+02	3.0E-03	1.1E+00	4.3E+02	2.7E-03	2.6E-02	4.3E+02	6.1E-05
arsenic	1.2E-01	7.5E+00	1.6E-02	2.5E-02	2.5E+02	1.0E-04	9.4E-04	2.5E+02	3.7E-06
barium	1.0E-02	1.0E+01	1.0E-03	9.1E-03	1.0E+01	9.0E-04	1.9E-04	1.0E+01	1.8E-05
beryllium	1.8E-04	2.2E-01	8.3E-04	1.6E-04	2.2E-01	7.4E-04	2.5E-06	2.2E-01	1.1E-05
iron	3.4E+00	2.4E+03	1.4E-03	3.0E+00	2.4E+03	1.3E-03	6.6E-02	2.4E+03	2.8E-05
chromium	4.0E-03	4.1E+01	9.9E-05	4.3E-03	4.1E+01	1.1E-04	8.0E-05	4.1E+01	2.0E-06
cobalt	1.4E-03	1.3E+01	1.1E-04	1.2E-03	5.0E+00	2.4E-04	2.2E-05	5.0E+00	4.4E-06
copper	5.2E-03	7.7E+01	6.9E-05	4.7E-03	7.7E+01	6.1E-05	7.7E-05	7.7E+01	1.0E-06
lead	1.8E-02	2.1E+00	8.6E-03	1.3E-02	3.0E+00	4.4E-03	3.1E-04	3.0E+00	1.0E-04
manganese	1.9E-01	3.7E+02	5.2E-04	1.7E-01	2.5E+01	6.9E-03	3.4E-03	2.5E+01	1.4E-04
mercury	1.7E-04	5.0E-01	3.4E-04	1.3E-03	9.0E-02	1.5E-02	1.2E-05	1.0E-01	1.2E-04
nickel	8.5E-03	5.0E+01	1.7E-04	4.4E-03	6.3E+01	7.1E-05	9.3E-05	6.3E+01	1.5E-06
selenium	2.0E-03	1.2E-01	1.7E-02	1.8E-03	1.2E-01	1.5E-02	2.7E-05	1.2E-01	2.3E-04
silver	3.4E-03	1.8E+01	1.9E-04	7.1E-04	1.8E+01	3.9E-05	2.2E-05	1.8E+01	1.2E-06
vanadium	5.3E-03	2.5E+00	2.1E-03	4.7E-03	2.5E+00	1.9E-03	8.3E-05	2.5E+00	3.3E-05
zinc	4.2E-01	1.6E+02	2.6E-03	3.1E-02	1.6E+02	2.0E-04	2.2E-03	1.6E+02	1.4E-05
SUMMARY HAZARD INDEX			**5.5E-02**			**5.0E-02**			**7.8E-04**

NOTES: TBD = Total Body Dose (mg/kgBW-day)
RTV = Reference Toxicity Value (mg/kgBW-day)
BW = Body Weight (kg)
HQ = Hazard Quotient (calculated by dividing TBD by RTV)

TABLE R-10
ESTIMATION OF AVERAGE RISK TO ORGANISMS FROM FOOD AND SEDIMENT INGESTION

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT - FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL - FORT DEVENS, MA

CHEMICAL	*Painted Turtle*		
	TBD	RTV	HQ
DDT	5.5E-04	7.6E+00	7.3E-05
DDE	7.5E-04	7.6E+00	9.8E-05
DDD	1.4E-03	7.6E+00	1.9E-04
anthracene	4.1E-04	3.3E+03	1.2E-07
bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate	1.3E-03	1.9E+01	6.9E-05
benzo(a)anthracene	5.4E-04	2.0E+00	2.7E-04
benzo(a)pyrene	1.1E-03	1.3E+00	8.8E-04
dibenzofuran	2.3E-04	1.3E+02	1.8E-06
benzo(b)fluoranthene	6.5E-04	7.2E+01	9.0E-06
benzo(k)fluoranthene	9.1E-04	7.2E+01	1.3E-05
chrysene	6.7E-04	9.9E+01	6.8E-06
fluoranthene	1.8E-03	2.5E+02	7.2E-06
phenanthrene	1.2E-03	1.2E+02	9.7E-06
pyrene	3.3E-03	1.3E+02	2.6E-05
aroclor 1254	4.2E-04	2.3E+00	1.8E-04
aluminum	4.5E+00	4.3E+02	1.0E-02
arsenic	2.0E-01	5.1E+00	4.0E-02
barium	3.2E-02	1.0E+01	3.2E-03
beryllium	4.3E-04	2.2E-01	2.0E-03
iron	1.2E+01	2.4E+03	4.8E-03
chromium	1.4E-02	3.5E+00	3.9E-03
cobalt	3.8E-03	1.3E+01	2.9E-04
copper	1.3E-02	2.9E+01	4.6E-04
lead	5.5E-02	4.4E+00	1.2E-02
manganese	5.9E-01	3.7E+02	1.6E-03
mercury	1.8E-03	8.6E-01	2.1E-03
nickel	1.7E-02	1.0E+01	1.7E-03
selenium	4.7E-03	1.8E+00	2.6E-03
silver	4.3E-03	1.8E+01	2.4E-04
vanadium	1.4E-02	2.0E+00	7.2E-03
zinc	4.6E-01	1.6E+02	2.9E-03
SUMMARY HAZARD INDEX			**9.8E-02**

NOTES: TBD = Total Body Dose (mg/kgBW-day)
RTV = Reference Toxicity Value (mg/kgBW-day)
BW = Body Weight (kg)
HQ = Hazard Quotient (calculated by dividing TBD by RTV)

TABLE R-11
ESTIMATION OF RME EXPOSURE TO ORGANISMS VIA FOOD AND SEDIMENT INGESTION
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT - FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL - FORT DEVENS, MA

EXPOSURE CONCENTRATION DATA

CHEMICAL	MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION (mg/kg)
DDT	1.5E+01
DDE	7.2E-01
DDD	6.2E+00
anthracene	3.0E+00
bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	2.0E+00
benzo(a)anthracene	4.0E+00
benzo(a)pyrene	6.0E+00
dibenzofuran	6.1E-01
benzo(b)fluoranthene	5.0E+00
benzo(k)fluoranthene	1.0E+01
chrysene	8.0E+00
fluoranthene	1.0E+01
phenanthrene	6.0E+00
pyrene	2.0E+01
aroclor 1254	2.7E-01
aluminum	1.7E+04
arsenic	3.9E+02
barium	1.2E+02
beryllium	4.1E-01
iron	4.5E+04
chromium	6.5E+01
cobalt	2.0E+01
copper	4.3E+01
lead	5.7E+02
manganese	3.0E+03
mercury	7.2E-01
nickel	5.4E+01
selenium	5.8E+00
silver	6.4E+00
vanadium	4.9E+01
zinc	6.9E+02

SITE AREA:	3.5 acres

ESTIMATED TISSUE LEVELS IN PRIMARY PREY ITEMS

Invert BAF [a]	Tissue Level (mg/kg)	Plant BAF [a]	Tissue Level (mg/kg)
7.0E-03	1.1E-01	1.3E-02	2.0E-01
9.1E-01	6.6E-01	1.3E-02	9.4E-03
2.5E-01	1.6E+00	1.3E-02	8.1E-02
2.5E-02	7.5E-02	1.0E-01	3.0E-01
2.5E-02	5.0E-02	3.8E-04	7.6E-04
2.5E-02	1.0E-01	2.2E-02	8.8E-02
2.5E-02	1.5E-01	1.0E-02	6.0E-02
2.5E-02	1.5E-02	1.0E-01	6.1E-02
2.5E-02	1.3E-01	1.2E-02	6.0E-02
2.5E-02	2.5E-01	1.2E-02	1.2E-01
2.5E-02	2.0E-01	2.2E-02	1.8E-01
2.5E-02	2.5E-01	3.2E-02	3.2E-01
2.5E-02	1.5E-01	1.0E-01	6.0E-01
2.5E-02	5.0E-01	1.0E-01	2.0E+00
1.0E-01	2.7E-02	2.9E-03	7.8E-04
1.0E-04	1.7E+00	1.0E-04	1.7E+00
1.1E-01	4.1E+01	2.1E-01	8.2E+01
1.0E-02	1.2E+00	1.0E-02	1.2E+00
1.1E-01	4.5E-02	1.1E-01	4.5E-02
1.6E-03	7.2E+01	1.6E-03	7.2E+01
1.6E-02	1.0E+00	7.5E-03	4.9E-01
2.8E-02	5.5E-01	2.8E-02	5.5E-01
6.0E-02	2.6E+00	6.0E-02	2.6E+00
7.0E-04	4.0E-01	8.0E-03	4.6E+00
1.4E-02	4.2E+01	1.4E-02	4.2E+01
2.8E+00	2.0E+00	7.0E-03	5.0E-03
3.7E-02	2.0E+00	9.0E-02	4.9E+00
1.2E-01	6.9E-01	1.2E-01	6.9E-01
1.5E-01	9.5E-01	8.0E-01	5.1E+00
3.3E-02	1.6E+00	3.3E-02	1.6E+00
3.2E-02	2.2E+01	8.0E-01	5.5E+02

BAF VALUES FOR OTHER PREY ITEMS

Small Mammal BAF [a]	Small Bird BAF [a]	Reptile/ Amphib. BAF [a]	Fish BAF [a]
7.0E-03	7.0E-03	7.0E-03	7.0E-03
9.1E-01	9.1E-01	9.1E-01	9.1E-01
2.5E-01	2.5E-01	2.5E-01	2.5E-01
2.5E-02	2.5E-02	2.5E-02	2.5E-02
2.5E-02	2.5E-02	2.5E-02	2.5E-02
2.5E-02	2.5E-02	2.5E-02	2.5E-02
2.5E-02	2.5E-02	2.5E-02	2.5E-02
2.5E-02	2.5E-02	2.5E-02	2.5E-02
2.5E-02	2.5E-02	2.5E-02	2.5E-02
2.5E-02	2.5E-02	2.5E-02	2.5E-02
2.5E-02	2.5E-02	2.5E-02	2.5E-02
2.5E-02	2.5E-02	2.5E-02	2.5E-02
2.5E-02	2.5E-02	2.5E-02	2.5E-02
2.5E-02	2.5E-02	2.5E-02	2.5E-02
1.0E-01	1.0E-01	1.0E-01	1.0E-01
1.0E-04	1.0E-04	1.0E-04	1.0E-04
1.3E-03	1.3E-03	1.3E-03	1.3E-03
1.0E-02	1.0E-02	1.0E-02	1.0E-02
1.1E-01	1.1E-01	1.1E-01	1.1E-01
1.6E-03	1.6E-03	1.6E-03	1.6E-03
1.6E-02	1.6E-02	1.6E-02	1.6E-02
2.8E-02	2.8E-02	2.8E-02	2.8E-02
6.0E-02	6.0E-02	6.0E-02	6.0E-02
7.0E-04	7.0E-04	7.0E-04	7.0E-04
1.4E-02	1.4E-02	1.4E-02	1.4E-02
2.8E+00	2.8E+00	2.8E+00	2.8E+00
3.7E-02	3.7E-02	3.7E-02	3.7E-02
1.2E-01	1.2E-01	1.2E-01	1.2E-01
1.5E-01	1.5E-01	1.5E-01	1.5E-01
3.3E-02	3.3E-02	3.3E-02	3.3E-02
3.2E-02	3.2E-02	3.2E-02	3.2E-02

TABLE R-11
ESTIMATION OF RME EXPOSURE TO ORGANISMS VIA FOOD AND SEDIMENT INGESTION

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT - FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL - FORT DEVENS, MA

TOTAL BODY DOSE (mg/kgBW-day) [b]

CHEMICAL	*Mallard*	*Great Blue Heron*	*Green Frog*	*Painted Turtle*
DDT	1.76E-02	2.09E-03	8.09E-02	1.57E-02
DDE	3.46E-03	7.30E-04	6.01E-02	5.97E-03
DDD	1.33E-02	1.98E-03	1.56E-01	1.78E-02
anthracene	1.20E-02	2.45E-04	1.88E-02	4.54E-03
bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate	1.69E-03	1.64E-04	1.05E-02	1.88E-03
benzo(a)anthracene	6.12E-03	3.27E-04	2.19E-02	4.26E-03
benzo(a)pyrene	6.89E-03	4.91E-04	3.21E-02	5.98E-03
dibenzofuran	2.45E-03	4.99E-05	3.82E-03	9.23E-04
benzo(b)fluoranthene	6.06E-03	4.09E-04	2.68E-02	5.04E-03
benzo(k)fluoranthene	1.21E-02	8.18E-04	5.37E-02	1.01E-02
chrysene	1.22E-02	6.55E-04	4.37E-02	8.53E-03
fluoranthene	1.85E-02	8.18E-04	5.57E-02	1.12E-02
phenanthrene	2.41E-02	4.91E-04	3.76E-02	9.08E-03
pyrene	8.02E-02	1.64E-03	1.25E-01	3.03E-02
aroclor 1254	3.31E-04	4.34E-05	3.21E-03	4.25E-04
aluminum	1.25E+01	9.45E-01	5.19E+01	1.25E+01
arsenic	3.05E+00	2.93E-02	4.93E+00	1.02E+00
barium	1.25E-01	7.59E-03	4.63E-01	1.01E-01
beryllium	1.91E-03	7.03E-05	5.68E-03	9.30E-04
iron	3.55E+01	2.57E+00	1.44E+02	3.41E+01
chromium	6.70E-02	4.69E-03	2.93E-01	5.89E-02
cobalt	3.40E-02	1.67E-03	1.14E-01	2.21E-02
copper	1.24E-01	5.09E-03	3.83E-01	6.74E-02
lead	5.63E-01	3.20E-02	1.81E+00	4.48E-01
manganese	3.70E+00	2.11E-01	1.33E+01	2.79E+00
mercury	8.79E-03	2.16E-03	1.80E-01	1.71E-02
nickel	2.03E-01	5.13E-03	3.92E-01	8.44E-02
selenium	2.90E-02	1.05E-03	8.56E-02	1.39E-02
silver	1.70E-01	1.36E-03	1.55E-01	4.16E-02
vanadium	9.29E-02	4.39E-03	3.05E-01	5.81E-02
zinc	1.81E+01	6.15E-02	9.64E+00	3.85E+00

TABLE R-11
ESTIMATION OF RME EXPOSURE TO ORGANISMS VIA FOOD AND SEDIMENT INGESTION

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT - FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL - FORT DEVENS, MA

TOTAL BODY DOSE (mg/kgBW-day) [b]

CHEMICAL	*Muskrat*	*Mink*	*Raccoon*
DDT	4.41E-03	3.45E-03	7.43E-05
DDE	6.67E-04	4.11E-03	3.88E-05
DDD	2.88E-03	1.06E-02	1.12E-04
anthracene	2.51E-03	1.02E-03	2.46E-05
bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	4.60E-04	6.78E-04	1.12E-05
benzo(a)anthracene	1.45E-03	1.36E-03	2.46E-05
benzo(a)pyrene	1.73E-03	2.03E-03	3.51E-05
dibenzofuran	5.10E-04	2.07E-04	5.01E-06
benzo(b)fluoranthene	1.50E-03	1.70E-03	2.95E-05
benzo(k)fluoranthene	3.01E-03	3.39E-03	5.90E-05
chrysene	2.89E-03	2.71E-03	4.93E-05
fluoranthene	4.22E-03	3.39E-03	6.42E-05
phenanthrene	5.02E-03	2.03E-03	4.92E-05
pyrene	1.67E-02	6.78E-03	1.64E-04
aroclor 1254	8.04E-05	2.14E-04	2.62E-06
aluminum	3.58E+00	3.20E+00	7.21E-02
arsenic	5.95E-01	1.27E-01	4.68E-03
barium	3.20E-02	2.85E-02	5.79E-04
beryllium	3.92E-04	3.50E-04	5.36E-06
iron	9.94E+00	8.87E+00	1.96E-01
chromium	1.73E-02	1.84E-02	3.43E-04
cobalt	7.84E-03	7.00E-03	1.27E-04
copper	2.65E-02	2.36E-02	3.88E-04
lead	1.48E-01	1.09E-01	2.55E-03
manganese	9.15E-01	8.17E-01	1.61E-02
mercury	1.59E-03	1.23E-02	1.12E-04
nickel	4.26E-02	2.24E-02	4.67E-04
selenium	5.91E-03	5.28E-03	8.01E-05
silver	3.29E-02	6.97E-03	2.12E-04
vanadium	2.11E-02	1.88E-02	3.34E-04
zinc	3.52E+00	2.63E-01	1.86E-02

TABLE R-11
ESTIMATION OF RME EXPOSURE TO ORGANISMS VIA FOOD AND SEDIMENT INGESTION

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT - FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL - FORT DEVENS, MA

EXPOSURE PARAMETERS [c]

Indicator Species		Percent Prey in Diet							Home Range (acres)	ED [d]	SFF [e]	Ingestion Rate (kg/day)	Body Weight (kg)
		Inverts	Plants	Small Mammals	Herpeto-fauna	Birds	Sediment	Fish					
Mallard	(Waterfowl)	11%	87%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	3.5	0.5	5.0E-01	0.086	1.177
Great Blue Heron	(Pred Bird)	16%	0%	5%	4%	0%	5%	70%	100	0.5	1.8E-02	0.187	2.95
Green Frog	(Amphibian)	70%	10%	0%	7%	0%	3%	10%	0.5	0.75	7.5E-01	0.01	0.037
Painted Turtle	(Reptile)	43%	39%	0%	0%	0%	5%	13%	12	0.75	2.2E-01	0.056	0.834

NOTES:
[a] Bioaccumulation data presented in: Appendix Q, Table Q-2b
[b] Calculated by summing the products of individual prey type concentrations and percent in diet, multiplying by the SFF and ingestion rate, and then dividing by body weight.
[c] Documentation of exposure parameters presented in: Appendix Q, Table Q-1
[d] ED = Exposure Duration (percentage of year the receptor is expected to be found at the study area).
[e] Site Foraging Frequency (SFF). Calculated by dividing site area by receptor home range and multiplying by the ED (cannot exceed 1).

TABLE R-11
ESTIMATION OF RME EXPOSURE TO ORGANISMS VIA FOOD AND SEDIMENT INGESTION

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT – FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL – FORT DEVENS, MA

EXPOSURE PARAMETERS [c]

Indicator Species		Percent Prey in Diet							Home Range (acres)	ED [d]	SFF [e]	Ingestion Rate (kg/day)	Body Weight (kg)
		Inverts	Plants	Small Mammals	Herpeto-fauna	Birds	Sediment	Fish					
Muskrat	(Small Mammal)	5%	87%	0%	0%	0%	3%	5%	26	1	1.3E-01	0.065	1.25
Mink	(Carn. Mammal)	20%	0%	25%	0%	0%	3%	52%	35	1	1.0E-01	0.05	0.8
Raccoon	(Omniv. Mammal)	25%	31%	9%	20%	1%	5%	9%	1150	1	3.0E-03	0.22	7.95

NOTES:

[a] Bioaccumulation data presented in: Appendix Q, Table Q-2b

[b] Calculated by summing the products of individual prey type concentrations and percent in diet, multiplying by the SFF and ingestion rate, and then dividing by body weight.

[c] Documentation of exposure parameters presented in: Appendix Q, Table Q-1

[d] ED = Exposure Duration (percentage of year the receptor is expected to be found at the study area).

[e] Site Foraging Frequency (SFF). Calculated by dividing site area by receptor home range and multiplying by the ED (cannot exceed 1).

TABLE R-12
ESTIMATION OF RME RISK TO ORGANISMS FROM FOOD AND SEDIMENT INGESTION

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT - FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL - FORT DEVENS, MA

CHEMICAL	*Mallard*			*Great Blue Heron*			*Green Frog*		
	TBD	RTV	HQ	TBD	RTV	HQ	TBD	RTV	HQ
DDT	1.8E-02	1.4E-01	1.3E-01	2.1E-03	2.5E-01	8.4E-03	8.1E-02	7.6E+00	1.1E-02
DDE	3.5E-03	1.4E-01	2.5E-02	7.3E-04	2.5E-01	2.9E-03	6.0E-02	7.6E+00	7.9E-03
DDD	1.3E-02	1.4E-01	9.5E-02	2.0E-03	2.5E-01	7.9E-03	1.6E-01	7.6E+00	2.1E-02
anthracene	1.2E-02	3.3E+03	3.6E-06	2.5E-04	3.3E+03	7.4E-08	1.9E-02	3.3E+03	5.7E-06
bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate	1.7E-03	1.9E+01	8.9E-05	1.6E-04	1.9E+01	8.6E-06	1.0E-02	1.9E+01	5.5E-04
benzo(a)anthracene	6.1E-03	2.0E+00	3.1E-03	3.3E-04	2.0E+00	1.6E-04	2.2E-02	2.0E+00	1.1E-02
benzo(a)pyrene	6.9E-03	1.3E+00	5.5E-03	4.9E-04	1.3E+00	3.9E-04	3.2E-02	1.3E+00	2.6E-02
dibenzofuran	2.4E-03	1.3E+02	2.0E-05	5.0E-05	1.3E+02	4.0E-07	3.8E-03	1.3E+02	3.1E-05
benzo(b)fluoranthene	6.1E-03	4.0E+01	1.5E-04	4.1E-04	4.0E+01	1.0E-05	2.7E-02	4.0E+01	6.7E-04
benzo(k)fluoranthene	1.2E-02	7.2E+01	1.7E-04	8.2E-04	7.2E+01	1.1E-05	5.4E-02	7.2E+01	7.5E-04
chrysene	1.2E-02	9.9E+01	1.2E-04	6.5E-04	9.9E+01	6.6E-06	4.4E-02	9.9E+01	4.4E-04
fluoranthene	1.8E-02	2.5E+02	7.4E-05	8.2E-04	2.5E+02	3.3E-06	5.6E-02	2.5E+02	2.2E-04
phenanthrene	2.4E-02	1.2E+02	2.0E-04	4.9E-04	1.2E+02	4.1E-06	3.8E-02	1.2E+02	3.1E-04
pyrene	8.0E-02	1.3E+02	6.4E-04	1.6E-03	1.3E+02	1.3E-05	1.3E-01	1.3E+02	1.0E-03
aroclor 1254	3.3E-04	2.3E+00	1.4E-04	4.3E-05	2.3E+00	1.9E-05	3.2E-03	2.3E+00	1.4E-03
aluminum	1.2E+01	4.3E+02	2.9E-02	9.4E-01	4.3E+02	2.2E-03	5.2E+01	4.3E+02	1.2E-01
arsenic	3.1E+00	6.5E+00	4.7E-01	2.9E-02	5.1E+00	5.8E-03	4.9E+00	5.1E+00	9.7E-01
barium	1.3E-01	1.0E+01	1.2E-02	7.6E-03	1.0E+01	7.4E-04	4.6E-01	1.0E+01	4.5E-02
beryllium	1.9E-03	2.2E-01	8.7E-03	7.0E-05	2.2E-01	3.2E-04	5.7E-03	2.2E-01	2.6E-02
iron	3.5E+01	2.4E+03	1.5E-02	2.6E+00	2.4E+03	1.1E-03	1.4E+02	2.4E+03	6.0E-02
chromium	6.7E-02	3.5E+00	1.9E-02	4.7E-03	3.5E+00	1.3E-03	2.9E-01	3.5E+00	8.4E-02
cobalt	3.4E-02	1.3E+01	2.7E-03	1.7E-03	1.3E+01	1.3E-04	1.1E-01	1.3E+01	9.1E-03
copper	1.2E-01	2.9E+01	4.3E-03	5.1E-03	2.9E+01	1.8E-04	3.8E-01	2.9E+01	1.3E-02
lead	5.6E-01	1.8E+00	3.2E-01	3.2E-02	4.4E+00	7.3E-03	1.8E+00	4.4E+00	4.1E-01
manganese	3.7E+00	3.7E+02	1.0E-02	2.1E-01	3.7E+02	5.7E-04	1.3E+01	3.7E+02	3.6E-02
mercury	8.8E-03	2.2E-01	4.0E-02	2.2E-03	1.0E-01	2.2E-02	1.8E-01	8.6E-01	2.1E-01
nickel	2.0E-01	1.0E+01	2.0E-02	5.1E-03	1.0E+01	5.1E-04	3.9E-01	1.0E+01	3.9E-02
selenium	2.9E-02	1.8E+00	1.7E-02	1.0E-03	1.8E+00	6.0E-04	8.6E-02	1.8E+00	4.9E-02
silver	1.7E-01	1.8E+01	9.4E-03	1.4E-03	1.8E+01	7.5E-05	1.5E-01	1.8E+01	8.6E-03
vanadium	9.3E-02	2.0E+00	4.6E-02	4.4E-03	2.0E+00	2.2E-03	3.1E-01	2.0E+00	1.5E-01
zinc	1.8E+01	1.6E+02	1.1E-01	6.2E-02	1.6E+02	3.8E-04	9.6E+00	1.6E+02	6.0E-02
SUMMARY HAZARD INDEX			**1.4E+00**			**6.5E-02**			**2.4E+00**

TABLE R-12
ESTIMATION OF RME RISK TO ORGANISMS FROM FOOD AND SEDIMENT INGESTION

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT - FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL - FORT DEVENS, MA

CHEMICAL	*Muskrat*			*Mink*			*Raccoon*		
	TBD	RTV	HQ	TBD	RTV	HQ	TBD	RTV	HQ
DDT	4.4E-03	4.8E-01	9.2E-03	3.4E-03	5.0E+00	6.9E-04	7.4E-05	5.0E+00	1.5E-05
DDE	6.7E-04	4.8E-01	1.4E-03	4.1E-03	5.0E+00	8.2E-04	3.9E-05	5.0E+00	7.8E-06
DDD	2.9E-03	4.8E-01	6.0E-03	1.1E-02	5.0E+00	2.1E-03	1.1E-04	5.0E+00	2.2E-05
anthracene	2.5E-03	3.3E+03	7.6E-07	1.0E-03	3.3E+03	3.1E-07	2.5E-05	3.3E+03	7.5E-09
bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	4.6E-04	1.9E+01	2.4E-05	6.8E-04	1.9E+01	3.6E-05	1.1E-05	1.9E+01	5.9E-07
benzo(a)anthracene	1.4E-03	2.0E+00	7.2E-04	1.4E-03	2.0E+00	6.8E-04	2.5E-05	2.0E+00	1.2E-05
benzo(a)pyrene	1.7E-03	1.3E+00	1.4E-03	2.0E-03	1.3E+00	1.6E-03	3.5E-05	1.3E+00	2.8E-05
dibenzofuran	5.1E-04	3.0E+01	1.7E-05	2.1E-04	3.0E+01	6.9E-06	5.0E-06	3.0E+01	1.7E-07
benzo(b)fluoranthene	1.5E-03	4.0E+01	3.8E-05	1.7E-03	4.0E+01	4.2E-05	2.9E-05	4.0E+01	7.4E-07
benzo(k)fluoranthene	3.0E-03	7.2E+01	4.2E-05	3.4E-03	7.2E+01	4.7E-05	5.9E-05	7.2E+01	8.2E-07
chrysene	2.9E-03	9.9E+01	2.9E-05	2.7E-03	9.9E+01	2.7E-05	4.9E-05	9.9E+01	5.0E-07
fluoranthene	4.2E-03	2.5E+02	1.7E-05	3.4E-03	2.5E+02	1.4E-05	6.4E-05	2.5E+02	2.6E-07
phenanthrene	5.0E-03	1.2E+02	4.2E-05	2.0E-03	1.2E+02	1.7E-05	4.9E-05	1.2E+02	4.1E-07
pyrene	1.7E-02	1.3E+02	1.3E-04	6.8E-03	1.3E+02	5.4E-05	1.6E-04	1.3E+02	1.3E-06
aroclor 1254	8.0E-05	3.8E+00	2.1E-05	2.1E-04	3.8E+00	5.6E-05	2.6E-06	3.8E+00	6.9E-07
aluminum	3.6E+00	4.3E+02	8.4E-03	3.2E+00	4.3E+02	7.5E-03	7.2E-02	4.3E+02	1.7E-04
arsenic	6.0E-01	7.5E+00	7.9E-02	1.3E-01	2.5E+02	5.1E-04	4.7E-03	2.5E+02	1.9E-05
barium	3.2E-02	1.0E+01	3.1E-03	2.9E-02	1.0E+01	2.8E-03	5.8E-04	1.0E+01	5.7E-05
beryllium	3.9E-04	2.2E-01	1.8E-03	3.5E-04	2.2E-01	1.6E-03	5.4E-06	2.2E-01	2.4E-05
iron	9.9E+00	2.4E+03	4.1E-03	8.9E+00	2.4E+03	3.7E-03	2.0E-01	2.4E+03	8.2E-05
chromium	1.7E-02	4.1E+01	4.3E-04	1.8E-02	4.1E+01	4.5E-04	3.4E-04	4.1E+01	8.4E-06
cobalt	7.8E-03	1.3E+01	6.3E-04	7.0E-03	5.0E+00	1.4E-03	1.3E-04	5.0E+00	2.5E-05
copper	2.6E-02	7.7E+01	3.5E-04	2.4E-02	7.7E+01	3.1E-04	3.9E-04	7.7E+01	5.1E-06
lead	1.5E-01	2.1E+00	7.0E-02	1.1E-01	3.0E+00	3.6E-02	2.6E-03	3.0E+00	8.5E-04
manganese	9.2E-01	3.7E+02	2.5E-03	8.2E-01	2.5E+01	3.3E-02	1.6E-02	2.5E+01	6.4E-04
mercury	1.6E-03	5.0E-01	3.2E-03	1.2E-02	9.0E-02	1.4E-01	1.1E-04	1.0E-01	1.1E-03
nickel	4.3E-02	5.0E+01	8.5E-04	2.2E-02	6.3E+01	3.6E-04	4.7E-04	6.3E+01	7.5E-06
selenium	5.9E-03	1.2E-01	4.9E-02	5.3E-03	1.2E-01	4.4E-02	8.0E-05	1.2E-01	6.7E-04
silver	3.3E-02	1.8E+01	1.8E-03	7.0E-03	1.8E+01	3.8E-04	2.1E-04	1.8E+01	1.2E-05
vanadium	2.1E-02	2.5E+00	8.4E-03	1.9E-02	2.5E+00	7.5E-03	3.3E-04	2.5E+00	1.3E-04
zinc	3.5E+00	1.6E+02	2.2E-02	2.6E-01	1.6E+02	1.6E-03	1.9E-02	1.6E+02	1.2E-04
SUMMARY HAZARD INDEX			**2.8E-01**			**2.8E-01**			**4.0E-03**

NOTES: TBD = Total Body Dose (mg/kgBW-day)
RTV = Reference Toxicity Value (mg/kgBW-day)
BW = Body Weight (kg)
HQ = Hazard Quotient (calculated by dividing TBD by RTV)

TABLE R-12
ESTIMATION OF RME RISK TO ORGANISMS FROM FOOD AND SEDIMENT INGESTION

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT - FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL - FORT DEVENS, MA

CHEMICAL	*Painted Turtle*		
	TBD	RTV	HQ
DDT	1.6E-02	7.6E+00	2.1E-03
DDE	6.0E-03	7.6E+00	7.9E-04
DDD	1.8E-02	7.6E+00	2.3E-03
anthracene	4.5E-03	3.3E+03	1.4E-06
bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate	1.9E-03	1.9E+01	9.9E-05
benzo(a)anthracene	4.3E-03	2.0E+00	2.1E-03
benzo(a)pyrene	6.0E-03	1.3E+00	4.8E-03
dibenzofuran	9.2E-04	1.3E+02	7.4E-06
benzo(b)fluoranthene	5.0E-03	4.0E+01	1.3E-04
benzo(k)fluoranthene	1.0E-02	7.2E+01	1.4E-04
chrysene	8.5E-03	9.9E+01	8.6E-05
fluoranthene	1.1E-02	2.5E+02	4.5E-05
phenanthrene	9.1E-03	1.2E+02	7.6E-05
pyrene	3.0E-02	1.3E+02	2.3E-04
aroclor 1254	4.2E-04	2.3E+00	1.8E-04
aluminum	1.3E+01	4.3E+02	2.9E-02
arsenic	1.0E+00	5.1E+00	2.0E-01
barium	1.0E-01	1.0E+01	1.0E-02
beryllium	9.3E-04	2.2E-01	4.2E-03
iron	3.4E+01	2.4E+03	1.4E-02
chromium	5.9E-02	3.5E+00	1.7E-02
cobalt	2.2E-02	1.3E+01	1.7E-03
copper	6.7E-02	2.9E+01	2.3E-03
lead	4.5E-01	4.4E+00	1.0E-01
manganese	2.8E+00	3.7E+02	7.5E-03
mercury	1.7E-02	8.6E-01	2.0E-02
nickel	8.4E-02	1.0E+01	8.4E-03
selenium	1.4E-02	1.8E+00	7.7E-03
silver	4.2E-02	1.8E+01	2.3E-03
vanadium	5.8E-02	2.0E+00	2.9E-02
zinc	3.9E+00	1.6E+02	2.4E-02
SUMMARY HAZARD INDEX			**4.9E-01**

NOTES: TBD = Total Body Dose (mg/kgBW-day)
RTV = Reference Toxicity Value (mg/kgBW-day)
BW = Body Weight (kg)
HQ = Hazard Quotient (calculated by dividing TBD by RTV)

UNCERTAINTIES ASSOCIATED WITH USEPA SEDIMENT QUALITY CRITERIA FOR 4,4'-DDE

This page intentionally left blank.

UNCERTAINTIES ASSOCIATED WITH THE USEPA SEDIMENT QUALITY CRITERIA FOR DDE AT FORT DEVENS

The sediment RTV for DDE was derived from the mean SQC for DDT, 0.828 μg/gC (USEPA, 1988), which was then normalized to the specific site conditions by multiplying by the average total organic carbon (TOC). Therefore, at Plow Shop Pond the carbon-normalized SQC is 0.272 μg/g, and at Cold Spring Brook Pond the carbon-normalized SQC is 0.152 μg/g.

The SQC for DDT was derived by USEPA (1988) to represent the sediment concentration that, based on equilibrium partitioning assumptions, is not expected to result in a pore water concentration in excess of the chronic freshwater AWQC (0.001 μg/L) for DDT. The DDT AWQC was derived by USEPA (1984) as a Final Residue Value (FRV) based on the following assumptions, which may be overly conservative with regard to the Fort Devens Group 1A sites:

- The maximum permissible tissue concentration in wildlife was selected by USEPA (1984) as the Lowest Observed Adverse Effect Level (LOAEL) based on a study which found that the brown pelican (*Pelecanus occidentalis*) suffered "reduced productivity" at a tissue residue concentration of 0.15 mg/kg. Another study using this same species found that eggshell thinning occurred at a tissue residue concentration of 0.5 mg/kg. Based on available tissue residue effect data, the pelican is a particularly sensitive species to DDT exposure. The average tissue concentration shown to result in adverse effects in the studies summarized in the USEPA (1984) document (including various birds, and salmonid fish) was 4.1 mg/kg. Exclusive of the two pelican endpoints, the lowest tissue concentration associated with an adverse effect was a finding that $Na^+ - K^+$ ATPase was inhibited in the rainbow trout (*Salmo gairdneri*) at a tissue concentration of 2.75 mg/kg. Tissue residue concentrations of 2.8 mg/kg were associated with reduced duckling survival in black duck (*Anas rubripes*), reduced survival in sparrow hawks (*Falco sparverius*), and eggshell thinning in screech owls (*Otus asio*). Consequently, the brown pelican appears to be generally an order of magnitude more sensitive to DDT body burdens than other birds and fish evaluated by USEPA (1984).

- The DDE FRV was derived with the assumption that fish prey consumed by piscivores contain 8 percent lipid, based on reported values for the northern anchovy; this contrasts with the findings of the fish sampling program which found that the maximum lipid content of the analyzed fish samples was 4.16 (bullhead) and the average lipid content was typically 2 to 3 percent.

The Freshwater FRV derived in USEPA (1984) was calculated as follows:

$$FRV = (LOAEL/((BCF)*(\text{lipid concentration})$$

$$(0.15)/((17,870)*(8)) = \underline{0.001}\ \mu g/l.$$

A revised FRV derived using the above outlined site-specific assumptions would be calculated as follows:

$$(2.75)/((17870)*(4)) = \underline{0.038}\ \mu g/l,$$

This Fort Devens FRV is approximately two orders of magnitude greater than that used by USEPA (1984) in the derivation of the chronic freshwater FRV. Because the site-specific FRV is higher than the value used in developing the freshwater chronic AWQC for DDT, the procedure used by USEPA to develop the AWQC was reexamined in order to derive a more appropriate AWQC, specific to the Group 1A sites.

As defined in the "Guidelines for Deriving Numerical National Water Quality Criteria for the Protection of Aquatic Life and its Uses" (USEPA, 1983), the Criterion Average Concentration (i.e., the chronic AWQC) is equal to "the lowest of the Criterion Maximum Concentration, Final Chronic Value, the Final Plant Value, and the Final Residue Value unless other data from tests in which the concentrations of test material were measured show that a lower value should be used". The appropriate terms are presented in the following table:

TERM	VALUE (μg/L)
Final Acute Value (FAV)	1.1[a]
Criterion Maximum Concentration (CMC)	0.55[b]
Final Chronic Value (FCV)	**0.017**[c]
Final Plant Value (FPV)	0.3[d]
Final Residue Value (Fort Devens) (FRV)	0.038[e]

Notes:

a As presented in the AWQC document for DDT (USEPA, 1984).
b Derived by dividing the FAV (1.1 μg/L) by 2.
c No Final Chronic Value presented, the value presented was derived by dividing the FAV by 65, the only species mean acute/chronic ratio data available (USEPA, 1984) for the fathead minnow (*Pimephales promelas*).
d No Final Plant Value presented due to a lack of available data, the value presented is a LOAEL based on effects on growth and morphology in *Chlorella* sp.
e Derived based on site-specific information and likely ecological receptors for Fort Devens, as described above.

Based on the assumption that the FRV is overly conservative and that the site-specific adjustments made are appropriate, the lowest value (i.e., the site-specific chronic AWQC) is the Final Chronic Value of 0.017 μg/l, which is approximately 17 times greater than the national freshwater chronic criterion of 0.001 μg/l.

Therefore, for Plow Shop Pond, use of the adjusted AWQC would result in the carbon-normalized SQC (0.272 μg/g) being increased to 4.62 μg/g. At Cold Spring Brook Pond, the adjusted carbon-normalized SQC would increase from 0.152 μg/g to 2.58 μg/g. These adjusted values may be viewed as site-specific sediment quality criteria for DDE.

FISH TISSUE DATA

This page intentionally left blank.

MEMORANDUM

To: Paul Exner

From: Kate Kuebler

Date: March 9, 1993

Subject: Validation : Plow Shop Pond and Cold Spring Brook Pond
Project: Fort Devens Feasibility Study for Group 1A Sites
Sampling Dates: October 20 - 23, 1992

Review is complete for the pesticide/PCB organic data packages generated by Aquatec Laboratory pertaining to fish tissue samples collected at Plow Shop Pond and Cold Spring Brook Pond. Analytical results of forty (40) samples were submitted for review, with the following four samples chosen for complete validation: PSP07F, PSP17F, PSP02W, and CSB12F. Additionally, results were submitted for five (5) duplicate fillets. These were treated as "Field Duplicates" and reviewed for duplicate precision. Review was performed following USEPA Region I guidelines. Package documentation was complete with no resubmissions requested. The following is a list of general criteria examined during the validation procedure:

- Data Completeness
- Calibrations
- Blanks
- Surrogate Recoveries
- Matrix Spike Recoveries
- Field Duplicate Precision
- Pesticide Inst. Performance
- Compound Quantitation
- Compound Identification

General Comments

Samples were analyzed by Method 8080 for the Target Compound List (TCL) pesticides and PCBs contained in the USEPA Statement of Work for the Contract Laboratory Program, March 1990. Extracts of the fish tissues were analyzed at 6%, 15%, and 50% dilutions. Analytical results were reported for the 6% aliquots. Due to GPC mechanical problems, several samples had to be re-extracted. These samples were re-named with 'RE' following the original identification.

The data tables referred to in this memo are comprised of the following:

Table 1 : Laboratory Report of Analysis
Table 2 : Validation Report

Several samples had analytical results that exceeded the calibration range. These results were flagged 'X' on Table 1. The samples were diluted and the diluted results were flagged 'D' on Table 1. On Table 2, the diluted result for any compound exceeding calibration was inserted into the initial results and the rest of the diluted results were deleted.

The following subsections summarize the qualifications and comments that have been determined by validation. All criteria listed above were examined and found to be within the specified quality control limits unless discussed below.

Plow Shop Pond - Qualifications

1. Because of contamination of the extraction blank, 4,4'-DDT should be negated in sample PSP05W. This result was qualified as not detected (U) on Table 2.

Plow Shop Pond - Comments

The result for 4,4'-DDE exceeded calibration range in the initial analysis of PSP17WRE. The sample was diluted 5X. Although DDE was not detected in PSP07F and was detected in the duplicate, PSP07F2, duplicate precision criteria were met and no qualification was necessary .

Cold Spring Brook Pond - Qualifications

1. The recovery of the surrogates was less than 10% in the initial analysis of CSB12F. An aliquot of the extract reserved for the laboratory archive was analyzed without GPC cleanup and the surrogate recoveries were acceptable. The results of the initial analysis were rejected and deleted from Table 2. The results of the second analysis were presented on Table 2. The sample was identified by the laboratory as CSB12FRE although it is **not** a re-extraction.

Cold Spring Brook - Comments

The result for 4,4'-DDD exceeded calibration range in the initial analysis of CSB03W and CSB07W. CSB03W was diluted 2X and CBS07W was diluted 5X. CSB15W was diluted 3X to get the results of both DDE and DDD within calibration range.

MEMORANDUM

To: Paul Exner

From: Kate Kuebler

Date: March 09, 1993

Subject: Validation : Plow Shop Pond and Cold Spring Brook Pond
Project: Fort Devens Feasibility Study for Group 1A Sites
Sampling Dates: October 20 - 23, 1992

Review is complete for the inorganic data packages generated by Aquatec Laboratory pertaining to fish tissue samples collected at Plow Shop Pond and Cold Spring Brook Pond. Analytical results of forty (40) samples were submitted for review, with the following four samples chosen for complete validation: PSP07F, PSP17F, PSP02W, and CSB12F. Additionally, results were submitted for five (5) duplicate fillets. These were treated as "Field Duplicates" and reviewed for duplicate precision. Review was performed following USEPA Region I guidelines. Package documentation was complete with no resubmissions requested. The following is a list of general criteria examined during the validation procedure:

- Data Completeness
- Calibrations
- Blanks
- ICP Interference Check Results
- Matrix Spike Recoveries
- Laboratory Duplicates
- Lab Control Samples
- Furnace AA Results
- Detection Limit Results
- Sample Quantitation
- Field Duplicate Results

General Comments

Samples were prepared for inorganic analyses in accordance with procedures outlined in "Methods for the Determination of Metals in Environmental Samples", EPA/600/4-1/010. Method 245.6 was used for the preparation of samples analyzed for mercury. Method 200.3 was used for the preparation of samples for the remaining elemental analytes with a modification to the final digestion step, substituting nitric acid for hydrochloric acid. This was done to allow for the analysis of arsenic, cadmium, and lead by graphite furnace atomic absorption spectrometry to meet the required sample quantitation limits (SQLs). The samples were analyzed for Target Analyte List (TAL) inorganics according to the USEPA Statement of Work for the Contract Laboratory Program, March 1990.

The data tables referred to in this memo are comprised of the following:

Table 1 : Laboratory Report of Analysis
Table 2 : Validation Report

The following subsections summarize the qualifications that have been determined by validation. All criteria listed above were examined and found to be within the specified quality control limits unless discussed below.

Plow Shop Pond - Qualifications

1. The matrix spike percent recovery for selenium was below QC limits. Selenium results in all PSP samples were estimated (J) on Table 2.

2. In sample PSP07F, the analytical spike recovery for lead was below QC limits. The nondetect lead result in this sample was estimated (UJ) on Table 2.

3. In sample PSP02W, the analytical spike recovery for lead was less than 10%. The nondetect lead result in this sample was rejected (R) on Table 2.

4. In sample PSP02W, the analytical spike recovery for thallium was below QC limits. The nondetect thallium result in this sample was estimated (UJ)on Table 2.

Cold Spring Brook Pond - Qualifications

1. The matrix spike percent recoveries for manganese and mercury were below QC limits. Results for these analytes in all CSB samples were estimated (J) on Table 2.

2. In sample CSB12F, the analytical spike recovery for lead was below QC limits. The nondetect lead result in this sample was estimated (UJ) on Table 2.

Plow Shop Pond Fish Tissue Analysis

Feasibility Study for Group 1A Sites

Inorganic Analysis (mg/kg, wet weight)

Fort Devens, Massachusetts

Laboratory Report of Analysis

Table 1

	Bluegill	Bluegill	Bluegill	Bluegill	Bluegill	Bullhead	Bullhead	Bullhead	Bullhead	Bullhead	Bullhead	Bullhead	Bullhead
SAMPLE ID:	PSP02W	PSP03W	PSP04W	PSP10W	PSP11W	PSP05F	PSP05W	PSP06F	PSP06W	PSP12F	PSP12W	PSP22F	PSP22W
LAB NUMBER:	173009	173010	173011	173012	173013	173001	173002	173003	173004	173007	173008	173022	173023
DATE SAMPLED:	10/20/92	10/20/92	10/20/92	10/20/92	10/20/92	10/20/92	10/20/92	10/20/92	10/20/92	10/20/92	10/20/92	10/22/92	10/22/92
Aluminum	1.8	1.8	1.6	3.2	4.5	1.3 U	2.4	1.3 U	2.9	1.3 U	1.7	1.3 U	1.3 U
Arsenic	1.3	0.2 U	0.19 U	0.16 U	0.16 U	0.04 U	0.16 U	0.09	0.16 U	0.04 U	0.19 U	0.04 U	0.16 U
Beryllium	0.04 U	0.04 U	0.04 U	0.04 U	0.04 U	0.04 U	0.04 U	0.04 U	0.04 U	0.04 U	0.04 U	0.04 U	0.04 U
Cadmium	0.07 U	0.07 U	0.06 U	0.06 U	0.07 U	0.07 U	0.07 U	0.07 U	0.06 U	0.07 U	0.06 U	0.07 U	0.07 U
Copper	0.48	0.54	0.47	0.44	0.6	0.22	1.3	0.21	0.81	0.19	0.69	0.22	0.56
Chromium	0.49	0.48	0.59	0.93	0.79	0.2 U	0.31	0.24	0.99	0.2 U	0.43	0.19 U	0.2 U
Iron	130	42.4	61.5	75.2	89.5	4.5	22.3	9.5	43.6	6.7	32.1	21	25.9
Lead	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.16	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.18	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U
Manganese	39.1	40.2	58.8	94.7	83.2	0.3 U	6.5	0.29 U	10.6	0.3	16	0.28 U	6.2
Mercury	0.4	0.19	0.54	0.47	0.24	0.31	0.36	0.44	0.28	0.03 U	0.4	0.51	0.28
Nickel	0.8 U	0.78 U	0.76 U	0.8 U	0.77 U	0.8 U	0.8 U	0.78 U	0.8 U	0.77 U	0.8 U	0.75 U	0.78 U
Selenium	0.52	0.52	0.67	0.62	0.42	0.16	0.28	0.16	0.24	0.17	0.31	0.13	0.29
Thallium	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U
Vanadium	0.8 U	0.78 U	0.76 U	0.8 U	0.77 U	0.73 U	0.8 U	0.78 U	0.8 U	0.77 U	0.8 U	0.75 U	0.78 U
Zinc	25.1	22.2	25.6	29.6	22.6	4.3	14.1	4.9	18.8	5.7	22.3	6.1	14.1
Barium	1.9	1.3	2.4	4.4	3.8	0.24 U	0.5	0.24 U	0.83	0.24 U	1	0.23 U	0.33
Calcium	34600	23300	28200	48800	24800	105	8020	137	14600	153	16500	138	3250
Cobalt	0.12	0.11	0.16	0.1	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.17	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U
Magnesium	525	496	536	754	529	260	296	253	427	252	459	277	249
Silver	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.19 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.19 U	0.2 U
Sodium	1820	1530	1850	2290	1480	414	1190	500	1230	474	1410	502	1080
Antimony	1.1 U	1.1 U	1.1 U	1.1 U	1.1 U	1.1 U	1.1 U	1.1 U	1.1 U	1.1 U	1.1 U	1.1 U	1.1 U
% Lipids:	0.28	1.03	0.46	0.16	0.37	0.27	0.62	0.65	0.72	0.48	0.98	0.44	1.56
% Solids:	24	25	27.5	28.3	26.6	18.9	20.4	19.6	23.6	14.2	22	26.2	23.2

U = not detected

Plow Shop Pond Fish Tissue Analysis
Feasibility Study for Group 1A Sites
Inorganic Analysis (mg/kg, wet weight)
Fort Devens, Massachusetts
Laboratory Report of Analysis
Table 1

	Bullhead	Bullhead	Bass	Bass	Bass	Bass	Bass	Bass	Bass	Bass	Bass
SAMPLE ID:	PSP23F	PSP23W	PSP07F	PSO7F2	PSP07W	PSP17F	PS17F2	PSP17W	PSP18F	PS18F2	PSP18W
LAB NUMBER:	173024	173025	173005	178820	173006	173014	178821	173015	173016	178822	173017
DATE SAMPLED:	10/22/92	10/22/92	10/20/92	10/20/92	10/20/92	10/22/92	10/22/92	10/22/92	10/22/92	10/22/92	10/22/92
Aluminum	1.3 U	1.3 U	1.3 U	1.3 U	2.9	1.3 U	1.3 U	1.3 U	1.3 U	1.3 U	1.3 U
Arsenic	0.15	0.3	0.04 U	0.08 U	0.2 U	0.04 U	0.16 U	0.19 U	0.04 U	0.15 U	0.2 U
Beryllium	0.04 U	0.04 U	0.04 U	0.04 U	0.04 U	0.04 U	0.04 U	0.04 U	0.04 U	0.04 U	0.04 U
Cadmium	0.07 U	0.06 U	0.07 U	0.07 U	0.09	0.07 U	0.07 U	0.06 U	0.06 U	0.06 U	0.07 U
Copper	0.17	0.43	0.11	0.19	0.44	0.15	0.13	0.45	0.08	0.17	0.55
Chromium	0.19	0.25	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.65	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.42	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.44
Iron	27	71.2	7.5	2	12.6	2.7	1.9	11.1	2	1.7	13.3
Lead	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U
Manganese	0.29 U	8	0.3 U	0.3 U	5.1	0.3 U	0.3 U	5.5	0.3 U	0.29 U	8.8
Mercury	0.12	0.09	3.8	3.4	2.2	4	2.1	2.7	1	1.16	0.65
Nickel	0.77 U	0.8 U	0.8 U	0.78 U	0.78 U	0.79 U	0.79 U	0.79 U	0.8 U	0.77 U	0.78 U
Selenium	0.11	0.25	0.17	0.18	0.54	0.12	0.2	0.38	0.1 U	0.11	0.39
Thallium	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U
Vanadium	0.77 U	0.8 U	0.8 U	0.78 U	0.78 U	0.79 U	0.79 U	0.79 U	0.8 U	0.77 U	0.78 U
Zinc	3.4	12.1	4.3	4.1	17.9	3.7	3.5	13	4.3	4	16.3
Barium	0.23 U	1.3	0.24 U	0.24 U	0.41	0.24 U	0.24 U	0.27	0.24 U	0.23 U	0.6
Calcium	82.8	7870	118	113	35900	130	350	19400	97	627	18800
Cobalt	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.11	0.1 U
Magnesium	264	303	336	290	671	344	294	508	269	300	522
Silver	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U
Sodium	343	1120	396	503	2020	290	391	1340	351	439	1460
Antimony	1.1 U	1.1 U	1.1 U	1.1 U	1.1 U	1.1 U	1.1 U	1.1 U	1.1 U	1.1 U	1.1 U
% Lipids:	0.31	0.48	0.18	0.2	2.49	0.34	0.4	3.27	0.21	0.3	2.45
% Solids:	17.6	18.8	24.1	20.6	27.3	21.8	21.8	34	21.1	23.3	26.1

U = not detected

Plow Shop Pond Fish Tissue Analysis

Feasibility Study for Group 1A Sites

Inorganic Analysis (mg/kg, wet weight)

Fort Devens, Massachusetts

Laboratory Report of Analysis

Table 1

	Bass	Bass	Bass	Bass	Bass	Bass
SAMPLE ID:	PSP19F	PS19F2	PSP19W	PSP20F	PS20F2	PSP20W
LAB NUMBER:	173018	178823	173019	173020	178824	173021
DATE SAMPLED:	10/22/92	10/22/92	10/22/92	10/22/92	10/22/92	10/22/92
Aluminum	1.3 U	1.3 U	1.3 U	1.3 U	1.3 U	2.1
Arsenic	0.04 U	0.16 U	0.19 U	0.04 U	0.04 U	0.19 U
Beryllium	0.04 U	0.04 U	0.04 U	0.04 U	0.04 U	0.04 U
Cadmium	0.07 U	0.06 U	0.07 U	0.07 U	0.06 U	0.06 U
Copper	0.09	0.18	0.9	0.12	0.24	0.54
Chromium	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.32	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.33
Iron	1.7	2.2	24.6	2.9	1.9	19.9
Lead	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U
Manganese	0.3 U	0.3 U	7.2	0.3 U	0.3 U	5.1
Mercury	1.1	0.89	0.65	1.4	1.23	0.72
Nickel	0.8 U	0.8 U	0.76 U	0.8 U	0.79 U	0.78 U
Selenium	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.32	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.26
Thallium	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U
Vanadium	0.8 U	0.8 U	0.76 U	0.8 U	0.79 U	0.78 U
Zinc	3.8	3.8	15.7	4.5	4.8	18.9
Barium	0.24 U	0.24 U	0.99	0.24 U	0.24 U	0.63
Calcium	92	222	12300	88.7	343	14100
Cobalt	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.11	0.1 U
Magnesium	278	306	420	284	270	431
Silver	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.19 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U
Sodium	283	446	1530	343	509	1460
Antimony	1.1 U	1.1 U	1.1 U	1.1 U	1.1 U	1.1 U
% Lipids:	0.13	0.29	1.25	0.27	0.1	1.79
% Solids:	20.1	21.1	25.5	19	20	24.4

U = not detected

Plow Shop Pond Fish Tissue Analysis

Feasibility Study for Group 1A Sites

Inorganic Analysis (mg/kg, wet weight)

Fort Devens, Massachusetts

Validation Report

Table 2

	Bluegill	Bluegill	Bluegill	Bluegill	Bluegill	Bullhead	Bullhead	Bullhead	Bullhead	Bullhead	Bullhead	Bullhead	Bullhead
SAMPLE ID:	PSP02W	PSP03W	PSP04W	PSP10W	PSP11W	PSP05F	PSP05W	PSP06F	PSP06W	PSP12F	PSP12W	PSP22F	PSP22W
LAB NUMBER:	173009 #	173010	173011	173012	173013	173001	173002	173003	173004	173007	173008	173022	173023
DATE SAMPLED:	10/20/92	10/20/92	10/20/92	10/20/92	10/20/92	10/20/92	10/20/92	10/20/92	10/20/92	10/20/92	10/20/92	10/22/92	10/22/92
Aluminum	1.8	1.8	1.6	3.2	4.5	1.3 U	2.4	1.3 U	2.9	1.3 U	1.7	1.3 U	1.3 U
Arsenic	1.3	0.2 U	0.19 U	0.16 U	0.16 U	0.04 U	0.16 U	0.09	0.16 U	0.04 U	0.19 U	0.04 U	0.16 U
Beryllium	0.04 U	0.04 U	0.04 U	0.04 U	0.04 U	0.04 U	0.04 U	0.04 U	0.04 U	0.04 U	0.04 U	0.04 U	0.04 U
Cadmium	0.07 U	0.07 U	0.06 U	0.06 U	0.07 U	0.07 U	0.07 U	0.07 U	0.06 U	0.07 U	0.06 U	0.07 U	0.07 U
Copper	0.48	0.54	0.47	0.44	0.6	0.22	1.3	0.21	0.81	0.19	0.69	0.22	0.56
Chromium	0.49	0.48	0.59	0.93	0.79	0.2 U	0.31	0.24	0.99	0.2 U	0.43	0.19 U	0.2 U
Iron	130	42.4	61.5	75.2	89.5	4.5	22.3	9.5	43.6	6.7	32.1	21	25.9
Lead	0.1 R	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.16	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.18	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U
Manganese	39.1	40.2	58.8	94.7	83.2	0.3 U	6.5	0.29 U	10.6	0.3	16	0.28 U	6.2
Mercury	0.4	0.19	0.54	0.47	0.24	0.31	0.36	0.44	0.28	0.03 U	0.4	0.51	0.28
Nickel	0.8 U	0.78 U	0.76 U	0.8 U	0.77 U	0.8 U	0.8 U	0.78 U	0.8 U	0.77 U	0.8 U	0.75 U	0.78 U
Selenium	0.52 J	0.52 J	0.67 J	0.62 J	0.42 J	0.16 J	0.28 J	0.16 J	0.24 J	0.17 J	0.31 J	0.13 J	0.29 J
Thallium	0.1 J	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U
Vanadium	0.8 U	0.78 U	0.76 U	0.8 U	0.77 U	0.73 U	0.8 U	0.78 U	0.8 U	0.77 U	0.8 U	0.75 U	0.78 U
Zinc	25.1	22.2	25.6	29.6	22.6	4.3	14.1	4.9	18.8	5.7	22.3	6.1	14.1
Barium	1.9	1.3	2.4	4.4	3.8	0.24 U	0.5	0.24 U	0.83	0.24 U	1	0.23 U	0.33
Calcium	34600	23300	28200	48800	24800	105	8020	137	14600	153	16500	138	3250
Cobalt	0.12	0.11	0.16	0.1	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.17	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U
Magnesium	525	496	536	754	529	260	296	253	427	252	459	277	249
Silver	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.19 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.19 U	0.2 U
Sodium	1820	1530	1850	2290	1480	414	1190	500	1230	474	1410	502	1080
Antimony	1.1 U	1.1 U	1.1 U	1.1 U	1.1 U	1.1 U	1.1 U	1.1 U	1.1 U	1.1 U	1.1 U	1.1 U	1.1 U
% Lipids:	0.28	1.03	0.46	0.16	0.37	0.27	0.62	0.65	0.72	0.48	0.98	0.44	1.56
% Solids:	24	25	27.5	28.3	26.6	18.9	20.4	19.6	23.6	14.2	22	26.2	23.2

U = not detected
# = validated sample
J = estimated value
R = rejected

W = whole fish
F = fillet
F2 = duplicate fillet

Plow Shop Pond Fish Tissue Analysis
Feasibility Study for Group 1A Sites
Inorganic Analysis (mg/kg, wet weight)
Fort Devens, Massachusetts
Validation Report
Table 2

	Bullhead	Bullhead	Bass	Bass	Bass	Bass	Bass	Bass	Bass	Bass	Bass
SAMPLE ID:	PSP23F	PSP23W	PSP07F	PSO7F2	PSP07W	PSP17F	PS17F2	PSP17W	PSP18F	PS18F2	PSP18W
LAB NUMBER:	173024	173025	173005 #	178820	173006	173014 #	178821	173015	173016	178822	173017
DATE SAMPLED:	10/22/92	10/22/92	10/20/92	10/20/92	10/20/92	10/22/92	10/22/92	10/22/92	10/22/92	10/22/92	10/22/92
Aluminum	1.3 U	1.3 U	1.3 U	1.3 U	2.9	1.3 U	1.3 U	1.3 U	1.3 U	1.3 U	1.3 U
Arsenic	0.15	0.3	0.04 U	0.08 U	0.2 U	0.04 U	0.16 U	0.19 U	0.04 U	0.15 U	0.2 U
Beryllium	0.04 U	0.04 U	0.04 U	0.04 U	0.04 U	0.04 U	0.04 U	0.04 U	0.04 U	0.04 U	0.04 U
Cadmium	0.07 U	0.06 U	0.07 U	0.07 U	0.09	0.07 U	0.07 U	0.06 U	0.06 U	0.06 U	0.07 U
Copper	0.17	0.43	0.11	0.19	0.44	0.15	0.13	0.45	0.08	0.17	0.55
Chromium	0.19	0.25	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.65	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.42	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.44
Iron	27	71.2	7.5	2	12.6	2.7	1.9	11.1	2	1.7	13.3
Lead	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U
Manganese	0.29 U	8	0.3 U	0.3 U	5.1	0.3 U	0.3 U	5.5	0.3 U	0.29 U	8.8
Mercury	0.12	0.09	3.8	3.4	2.2	4	2.1	2.7	1	1.16	0.65
Nickel	0.77 U	0.8 U	0.8 U	0.78 U	0.78 U	0.79 U	0.79 U	0.79 U	0.8 U	0.77 U	0.78 U
Selenium	0.11 J	0.25 J	0.17 J	0.18 J	0.54 J	0.12 J	0.2 J	0.38 J	0.1 UJ	0.11 J	0.39 J
Thallium	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U
Vanadium	0.77 U	0.8 U	0.8 U	0.78 U	0.78 U	0.79 U	0.79 U	0.79 U	0.8 U	0.77 U	0.78 U
Zinc	3.4	12.1	4.3	4.1	17.9	3.7	3.5	13	4.3	4	16.3
Barium	0.23 U	1.3	0.24 U	0.24 U	0.41	0.24 U	0.24 U	0.27	0.24 U	0.23 U	0.6
Calcium	82.8	7870	118	113	35900	130	350	19400	97	627	18800
Cobalt	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.11	0.1 U
Magnesium	264	303	336	290	671	344	294	508	269	300	522
Silver	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U
Sodium	343	1120	396	503	2020	290	391	1340	351	439	1460
Antimony	1.1 U	1.1 U	1.1 U	1.1 U	1.1 U	1.1 U	1.1 U	1.1 U	1.1 U	1.1 U	1.1 U
% Lipids:	0.31	0.48	0.18	0.2	2.49	0.34	0.4	3.27	0.21	0.3	2.45
% Solids:	17.6	18.8	24.1	20.6	27.3	21.8	21.8	34	21.1	23.3	26.1

U = not detected
# = validated sample
J = estimated value
R = rejected

W = whole fish
F = fillet
F2 = duplicate fillet

Plow Shop Pond Fish Tissue Analysis
Feasibility Study for Group 1A Sites
Inorganic Analysis (mg/kg, wet weight)
Fort Devens, Massachusetts
Validation Report
Table 2

	Bass	Bass	Bass	Bass	Bass	Bass
SAMPLE ID:	PSP19F	PS19F2	PSP19W	PSP20F	PS20F2	PSP20W
LAB NUMBER:	173018	178823	173019	173020	178824	173021
DATE SAMPLED:	10/22/92	10/22/92	10/22/92	10/22/92	10/22/92	10/22/92
Aluminum	1.3 U	1.3 U	1.3 U	1.3 U	1.3 U	2.1
Arsenic	0.04 U	0.16 U	0.19 U	0.04 U	0.04 U	0.19 U
Beryllium	0.04 U	0.04 U	0.04 U	0.04 U	0.04 U	0.04 U
Cadmium	0.07 U	0.06 U	0.07 U	0.07 U	0.06 U	0.06 U
Copper	0.09	0.18	0.9	0.12	0.24	0.54
Chromium	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.32	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.33
Iron	1.7	2.2	24.6	2.9	1.9	19.9
Lead	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U
Manganese	0.3 U	0.3 U	7.2	0.3 U	0.3 U	5.1
Mercury	1.1	0.89	0.65	1.4	1.23	0.72
Nickel	0.8 U	0.8 U	0.76 U	0.8 U	0.79 U	0.78 U
Selenium	0.1 UJ	0.1 UJ	0.32 J	0.1 UJ	0.1 UJ	0.26 J
Thallium	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U
Vanadium	0.8 U	0.8 U	0.76 U	0.8 U	0.79 U	0.78 U
Zinc	3.8	3.8	15.7	4.5	4.8	18.9
Barium	0.24 U	0.24 U	0.99	0.24 U	0.24 U	0.63
Calcium	92	222	12300	88.7	343	14100
Cobalt	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.11	0.1 U
Magnesium	278	306	420	284	270	431
Silver	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.19 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U
Sodium	283	446	1530	343	509	1460
Antimony	1.1 U	1.1 U	1.1 U	1.1 U	1.1 U	1.1 U
% Lipids:	0.13	0.29	1.25	0.27	0.1	1.79
% Solids:	20.1	21.1	25.5	19	20	24.4

U = not detected
# = validated sample
J = estimated value
R = rejected

W = whole fish
F = fillet
F2 = duplicate fillet

Plow Shop Pond Fish Tissue Analysis
Feasibility Study for Groups 1A Sites

Pesticide/PCB Organics Analysis (ug/kg, wet weight)

Fort Devens, Massachusetts
Laboratory Report of Analysis
Table 1

	Bluegill	Bluegill	Bluegill	Bluegill	Bluegill	Bullhead	Bullhead	Bullhead	Bullhead	Bullhead	Bullhead
SAMPLE ID:	PSP02W	PSP03W	PSP04W	PSP10W	PSP11W	PSP05F	PSP05W	PSP06F	PSP06W	PSP12F	PSP12W
LAB NUMBER:	173009 #	173010	173011	173012	173013	173001	173002	173003	173004	173007	173008
DATE SAMPLED:	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92
DATE ANALYZED:	02/18/93	02/18/93	02/18/93	12/18/93	02/18/93	01/23/93	02/17/93	01/23/93	02/17/93	01/23/93	02/17/93
alpha-BHC	4.8 U	4.9 U	5 U	4.8 U	5 U	10 U	4.9 U	9.8 U	4.9 U	9.3 U	5 U
beta-BHC	4.8 U	4.9 U	5 U	4.8 U	5 U	10 U	4.9 U	9.8 U	4.9 U	9.3 U	5 U
delta-BHC	4.8 U	4.9 U	5 U	4.8 U	5 U	10 U	4.9 U	9.8 U	4.9 U	9.3 U	5 U
gamma-BHC (Lindane)	4.8 U	4.9 U	5 U	4.8 U	5 U	10 U	4.9 U	9.8 U	4.9 U	9.3 U	5 U
Heptachlor	4.8 U	4.9 U	5 U	4.8 U	5 U	10 U	4.9 U	9.8 U	4.9 U	9.3 U	5 U
Aldrin	4.8 U	4.9 U	5 U	4.8 U	5 U	10 U	4.9 U	9.8 U	4.9 U	9.3 U	5 U
Heptachlor epoxide	4.8 U	4.9 U	5 U	4.8 U	5 U	10 U	4.9 U	9.8 U	4.9 U	9.3 U	5 U
Endosulfan I	4.8 U	4.9 U	5 U	4.8 U	5 U	10 U	4.9 U	9.8 U	4.9 U	9.3 U	5 U
Dieldrin	9.6 U	9.7 U	9.9 U	9.6 U	10 U	20 U	9.7 U	20 U	9.7 U	19 U	9.9 U
4,4'-DDE	9.6 U	29	21	9.6 U	10 U	20 U	15	20 U	9.7 U	19 U	17
Endrin	9.6 U	9.7 U	9.9 U	9.6 U	10 U	20 U	9.7 U	20 U	9.7 U	19 U	9.9 U
Endosulfan II	9.6 U	9.7 U	9.9 U	9.6 U	10 U	20 U	9.7 U	20 U	9.7 U	19 U	9.9 U
4,4'-DDD	9.6 U	9.7 U	9.9 U	9.6 U	10 U	20 U	9.7 U	20 U	9.7 U	19 U	9.9 U
Endosulfan sulfate	9.6 U	9.7 U	9.9 U	9.6 U	10 U	20 U	9.7 U	20 U	9.7 U	19 U	9.9 U
4,4'-DDT	9.6 U	9.7 U	9.9 U	9.6 U	10 U	20 U	13 B	20 U	14 B	19 U	9.9 U
Methoxychlor	48 U	49 U	50 U	48 U	50 U	100 U	49 U	98 U	49 U	93 U	50 U
Endrin Ketone	9.6 U	9.7 U	9.9 U	9.6 U	10 U	20 U	9.7 U	20 U	9.7 U	19 U	9.9 U
Endrin aldehyde	9.6 U	9.7 U	9.9 U	9.6 U	10 U	20 U	9.7 U	20 U	9.7 U	19 U	9.9 U
alpha-Chlordane	4.8 U	4.9 U	5 U	4.8 U	5 U	10 U	4.9 U	9.8 U	4.9 U	9.3 U	5 U
gamma-Chlordane	4.8 U	4.9 U	5 U	4.8 U	5 U	10 U	4.9 U	9.8 U	4.9 U	9.3 U	5 U
Toxaphene	96 U	97 U	99 U	96 U	100 U	200 U	97 U	200 U	97 U	190 U	99 U
Aroclor-1016	48 U	49 U	50 U	48 U	50 U	100 U	49 U	98 U	49 U	93 U	50 U
Aroclor-1221	48 U	49 U	50 U	48 U	50 U	100 U	49 U	98 U	49 U	93 U	50 U
Aroclor-1232	48 U	49 U	50 U	48 U	50 U	100 U	49 U	98 U	49 U	93 U	50 U
Aroclor-1242	48 U	49 U	50 U	48 U	50 U	100 U	49 U	98 U	49 U	93 U	50 U
Aroclor-1248	48 U	49 U	50 U	48 U	50 U	100 U	49 U	98 U	49 U	93 U	50 U
Aroclor-1254	48 U	49 U	50 U	48 U	50 U	100 U	49 U	98 U	49 U	93 U	50 U
Aroclor-1260	48 U	49 U	50 U	48 U	50 U	100 U	49 U	98 U	49 U	93 U	50 U

U = not detected
# = validated sample
B = compound present in extraction blank
X = exceeds calibration range
D = diluted result

W = whole fish
F = fillet
F2 = duplicate fillet

RE = re-extraction
DL = diluted

Plow Shop Pond Fish Tissue Analysis
Feasibility Study for Groups 1A Sites

Pesticide/PCB Organics Analysis (ug/kg, wet weight)

Fort Devens, Massachusetts
Laboratory Report of Analysis
Table 1

	Bullhead	Bullhead	Bullhead	Bullhead	Bass	Bass	Bass	Bass	Bass	Bass	Bass
SAMPLE ID:	PSP22F	PSP22W	PSP23F	PSP23W	PSP07F	PSP07F2	PSP07WRE	PSP17F	PSP17F2	PSP17WRE	PSP17WREDL
LAB NUMBER:	173022	173023	173024	173025	173005 #	178820	173006R1	173014 #	178821	173015R1	173015R2
DATE SAMPLED:	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92
DATE ANALYZED:	01/23/93	02/18/93	01/23/93	02/18/93	02/17/93	02/24/93	02/19/93	02/18/93	02/25/93	02/19/93	02/25/93
alpha-BHC	10 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	4.8 U	4.9 U	4.9 U	5 U	4.8 U	5 U	25 U
beta-BHC	10 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	4.8 U	4.9 U	4.9 U	5 U	4.8 U	5 U	25 U
delta-BHC	10 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	4.8 U	4.9 U	4.9 U	5 U	4.8 U	5 U	25 U
gamma-BHC (Lindane)	10 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	4.8 U	4.9 U	4.9 U	5 U	4.8 U	5 U	25 U
Heptachlor	10 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	4.8 U	4.9 U	4.9 U	5 U	4.8 U	5 U	25 U
Aldrin	10 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	4.8 U	4.9 U	4.9 U	5 U	4.8 U	5 U	25 U
Heptachlor epoxide	10 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	4.8 U	4.9 U	4.9 U	5 U	4.8 U	5 U	25 U
Endosulfan I	10 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	4.8 U	4.9 U	4.9 U	5 U	4.8 U	5 U	25 U
Dieldrin	21 U	10 U	10 U	9.9 U	9.6 U	9.8 U	9.8 U	9.9 U	9.5 U	9.9 U	50 U
4,4'-DDE	21 U	33	10 U	14	9.6 U	15	150	23	31	380 X	416 D
Endrin	21 U	10 U	10 U	9.9 U	9.6 U	9.8 U	9.8 U	9.9 U	9.5 U	9.9 U	50 U
Endosulfan II	21 U	10 U	10 U	9.9 U	9.6 U	9.8 U	9.8 U	9.9 U	9.5 U	9.9 U	50 U
4,4'-DDD	21 U	12	10 U	9.9 U	9.6 U	9.8 U	35	9.9 U	9.5 U	110	89 D
Endosulfan sulfate	21 U	10 U	10 U	9.9 U	9.6 U	9.8 U	9.8 U	9.9 U	9.5 U	9.9 U	50 U
4,4'-DDT	21 U	10 U	10 U	9.9 U	9.6 U	9.8 U	9.8 U	9.9 U	9.5 U	12	50 U
Methoxychlor	100 U	50 U	50 U	50 U	48 U	49 U	49 U	50 U	48 U	50 U	250 U
Endrin Ketone	21 U	10 U	10 U	9.9 U	9.6 U	9.8 U	9.8 U	9.9 U	9.5 U	9.9 U	50 U
Endrin aldehyde	21 U	10 U	10 U	9.9 U	9.6 U	9.8 U	9.8 U	9.9 U	9.5 U	9.9 U	50 U
alpha-Chlordane	10 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	4.8 U	4.9 U	4.9 U	5 U	4.8 U	5 U	25 U
gamma-Chlordane	10 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	4.8 U	4.9 U	4.9 U	5 U	4.8 U	5 U	25 U
Toxaphene	210 U	100 U	100 U	99 U	96 U	98 U	98 U	99 U	95 U	99 U	500 U
Aroclor-1016	100 U	50 U	50 U	50 U	48 U	49 U	49 U	50 U	48 U	50 U	250 U
Aroclor-1221	100 U	50 U	50 U	50 U	48 U	49 U	49 U	50 U	48 U	50 U	250 U
Aroclor-1232	100 U	50 U	50 U	50 U	48 U	49 U	49 U	50 U	48 U	50 U	250 U
Aroclor-1242	100 U	50 U	50 U	50 U	48 U	49 U	49 U	50 U	48 U	50 U	250 U
Aroclor-1248	100 U	50 U	50 U	50 U	48 U	49 U	49 U	50 U	48 U	50 U	250 U
Aroclor-1254	100 U	50 U	50 U	50 U	48 U	49 U	49 U	50 U	48 U	50 U	250 U
Aroclor-1260	100 U	50 U	50 U	50 U	48 U	49 U	130	50 U	48 U	330	250 U

U = not detected
# = validated sample
B = compound present in extraction blank
X = exceeds calibration range
D = diluted result

W = whole fish
F = fillet
F2 = duplicate fillet

RE = re-extraction
DL = diluted

Plow Shop Pond Fish Tissue Analysis
Feasibility Study for Groups 1A Sites

Pesticide/PCB Organics Analysis (ug/kg, wet weight)

Fort Devens, Massachusetts
Laboratory Report of Analysis
Table 1

	Bass	Bass	Bass	Bass	Bass	Bass	Bass	Bass	Bass
SAMPLE ID:	PSP18F	PSP18F2	PSP18WRE	PSP19F	PSP19F2	PSP19WRE	PSP20F	PSP20F2	PSP20WRE
LAB NUMBER:	173016	178822	173017R1	173018	178823	173019R1	173020	178824	173021R1
DATE SAMPLED:	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92
DATE ANALYZED:	02/18/93	02/25/93	02/19/93	02/18/93	02/25/93	02/19/93	02/18/93	02/25/93	02/20/93
alpha-BHC	5 U	5 U	4.9 U	4.8 U	4.9 U	4.9 U	5 U	5 U	4.7 U
beta-BHC	5 U	5 U	4.9 U	4.8 U	4.9 U	4.9 U	5 U	5 U	4.7 U
delta-BHC	5 U	5 U	4.9 U	4.8 U	4.9 U	4.9 U	5 U	5 U	4.7 U
gamma-BHC (Lindane)	5 U	5 U	4.9 U	4.8 U	4.9 U	4.9 U	5 U	5 U	4.7 U
Heptachlor	5 U	5 U	4.9 U	4.8 U	4.9 U	4.9 U	5 U	5 U	4.7 U
Aldrin	5 U	5 U	4.9 U	4.8 U	4.9 U	4.9 U	5 U	5 U	4.7 U
Heptachlor epoxide	5 U	5 U	4.9 U	4.8 U	4.9 U	4.9 U	5 U	5 U	4.7 U
Endosulfan I	5 U	5 U	4.9 U	4.8 U	4.9 U	4.9 U	5 U	5 U	4.7 U
Dieldrin	10 U	9.9 U	9.7 U	9.6 U	9.8 U	9.7 U	9.9 U	9.9 U	9.5 U
4,4'-DDE	10 U	9.9 U	82	9.6 U	9.8 U	84	9.9 U	9.9 U	140
Endrin	10 U	9.9 U	9.7 U	9.6 U	9.8 U	9.7 U	9.9 U	9.9 U	9.5 U
Endosulfan II	10 U	9.9 U	9.7 U	9.6 U	9.8 U	9.7 U	9.9 U	9.9 U	9.5 U
4,4'-DDD	10 U	9.9 U	21	9.6 U	9.8 U	32	9.9 U	9.9 U	30
Endosulfan sulfate	10 U	9.9 U	9.7 U	9.6 U	9.8 U	9.7 U	9.9 U	9.9 U	9.5 U
4,4'-DDT	10 U	9.9 U	9.7 U	9.6 U	9.8 U	9.7 U	9.9 U	9.9 U	9.5 U
Methoxychlor	50 U	50 U	49 U	48 U	49 U	49 U	50 U	50 U	47 U
Endrin Ketone	10 U	9.9 U	9.7 U	9.6 U	9.8 U	9.7 U	9.9 U	9.9 U	9.5 U
Endrin aldehyde	10 U	9.9 U	9.7 U	9.6 U	9.8 U	9.7 U	9.9 U	9.9 U	9.5 U
alpha-Chlordane	5 U	5 U	4.9 U	4.8 U	4.9 U	4.9 U	5 U	5 U	4.7 U
gamma-Chlordane	5 U	5 U	4.9 U	4.8 U	4.9 U	4.9 U	5 U	5 U	4.7 U
Toxaphene	100 U	99 U	99 U	96 U	98 U	97 U	99 U	99 U	95 U
Aroclor-1016	50 U	50 U	49 U	48 U	49 U	49 U	50 U	50 U	47 U
Aroclor-1221	50 U	50 U	49 U	48 U	49 U	49 U	50 U	50 U	47 U
Aroclor-1232	50 U	50 U	49 U	48 U	49 U	49 U	50 U	50 U	47 U
Aroclor-1242	50 U	50 U	49 U	48 U	49 U	49 U	50 U	50 U	47 U
Aroclor-1248	50 U	50 U	49 U	48 U	49 U	49 U	50 U	50 U	47 U
Aroclor-1254	50 U	50 U	49 U	48 U	49 U	49 U	50 U	50 U	47 U
Aroclor-1260	50 U	50 U	63	48 U	49 U	61	50 U	50 U	100

U = not detected
# = validated sample
B = compound present in extraction blank
X = exceeds calibration range
D = diluted result

W = whole fish
F = fillet
F2 = duplicate fillet

RE = re-extraction
DL = diluted

RE = re-extraction
DL = diluted

Plow Shop Pond Fish Tissue Analysis
Feasibility Study for Groups 1A Sites
Pesticide/PCB Organics Analysis (mg/kg, wet weight)
Fort Devens, Massachusetts
Validation Report
Table 2

SAMPLE ID:	PSP02W	PSP03W	PSP04W	PSP10W	PSP11W	PSP05F	PSP05W	PSP06F	PSP06W	PSP12F	PSP12W
LAB NUMBER:	173009 #	173010	173011	173012	173013	173001	173002	173003	173004	173007	173008
DATE SAMPLED:	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92
DATE ANALYZED:	02/18/93	02/18/93	02/18/93	12/18/93	02/18/93	01/23/93	02/17/93	01/23/93	02/17/93	01/23/93	02/17/93
alpha-BHC	4.8 U	4.9 U	5 U	4.8 U	5 U	10 U	4.9 U	9.8 U	4.9 U	9.3 U	5 U
beta-BHC	4.8 U	4.9 U	5 U	4.8 U	5 U	10 U	4.9 U	9.8 U	4.9 U	9.3 U	5 U
delta-BHC	4.8 U	4.9 U	5 U	4.8 U	5 U	10 U	4.9 U	9.8 U	4.9 U	9.3 U	5 U
gamma-BHC (Lindane)	4.8 U	4.9 U	5 U	4.8 U	5 U	10 U	4.9 U	9.8 U	4.9 U	9.3 U	5 U
Heptachlor	4.8 U	4.9 U	5 U	4.8 U	5 U	10 U	4.9 U	9.8 U	4.9 U	9.3 U	5 U
Aldrin	4.8 U	4.9 U	5 U	4.8 U	5 U	10 U	4.9 U	9.8 U	4.9 U	9.3 U	5 U
Heptachlor epoxide	4.8 U	4.9 U	5 U	4.8 U	5 U	10 U	4.9 U	9.8 U	4.9 U	9.3 U	5 U
Endosulfan I	4.8 U	4.9 U	5 U	4.8 U	5 U	10 U	4.9 U	9.8 U	4.9 U	9.3 U	5 U
Dieldrin	9.6 U	9.7 U	9.9 U	9.6 U	10 U	20 U	9.7 U	20 U	9.7 U	19 U	9.9 U
4,4'-DDE	9.6 U	29	21	9.6 U	10 U	20 U	15	20 U	9.7 U	19 U	17
Endrin	9.6 U	9.7 U	9.9 U	9.6 U	10 U	20 U	9.7 U	20 U	9.7 U	19 U	9.9 U
Endosulfan II	9.6 U	9.7 U	9.9 U	9.6 U	10 U	20 U	9.7 U	20 U	9.7 U	19 U	9.9 U
4,4'-DDD	9.6 U	9.7 U	9.9 U	9.6 U	10 U	20 U	9.7 U	20 U	9.7 U	19 U	9.9 U
Endosulfan sulfate	9.6 U	9.7 U	9.9 U	9.6 U	10 U	20 U	9.7 U	20 U	9.7 U	19 U	9.9 U
4,4'-DDT	9.6 U	9.7 U	9.9 U	9.6 U	10 U	20 U	13 U	20 U	14 B	19 U	9.9 U
Methoxychlor	48 U	49 U	50 U	48 U	50 U	100 U	49 U	98 U	49 U	93 U	50 U
Endrin Ketone	9.6 U	9.7 U	9.9 U	9.6 U	10 U	20 U	9.7 U	20 U	9.7 U	19 U	9.9 U
Endrin aldehyde	9.6 U	9.7 U	9.9 U	9.6 U	10 U	20 U	9.7 U	20 U	9.7 U	19 U	9.9 U
alpha-Chlordane	4.8 U	4.9 U	5 U	4.8 U	5 U	10 U	4.9 U	9.8 U	4.9 U	9.3 U	5 U
gamma-Chlordane	4.8 U	4.9 U	5 U	4.8 U	5 U	10 U	4.9 U	9.8 U	4.9 U	9.3 U	5 U
Toxaphene	96 U	97 U	99 U	96 U	100 U	200 U	97 U	200 U	97 U	190 U	99 U
Aroclor-1016	48 U	49 U	50 U	48 U	50 U	100 U	49 U	98 U	49 U	93 U	50 U
Aroclor-1221	48 U	49 U	50 U	48 U	50 U	100 U	49 U	98 U	49 U	93 U	50 U
Aroclor-1232	48 U	49 U	50 U	48 U	50 U	100 U	49 U	98 U	49 U	93 U	50 U
Aroclor-1242	48 U	49 U	50 U	48 U	50 U	100 U	49 U	98 U	49 U	93 U	50 U
Aroclor-1248	48 U	49 U	50 U	48 U	50 U	100 U	49 U	98 U	49 U	93 U	50 U
Aroclor-1254	48 U	49 U	50 U	48 U	50 U	100 U	49 U	98 U	49 U	93 U	50 U
Aroclor-1260	48 U	49 U	50 U	48 U	50 U	100 U	49 U	98 U	49 U	93 U	50 U

U = not detected
# = validated sample
D = diluted result

Plow Shop Pond Fish Tissue Analysis
Feasibility Study for Groups 1A Sites
Pesticide/PCB Organics Analysis (mg/kg, wet weight)
Fort Devens, Massachusetts
Validation Report
Table 2

SAMPLE ID:	PSP22F	PSP22W	PSP23F	PSP23W	PSP07F	PSP07F2	PSP07WRE	PSP17F	PSP17F2	PSP17WRE	PSP18F
LAB NUMBER:	173022	173023	173024	173025	173005 #	178820	173006R1	173014 #	178821	173015R1	173016
DATE SAMPLED:	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92
DATE ANALYZED:	01/23/93	02/18/93	01/23/93	02/18/93	02/17/93	02/24/93	02/19/93	02/18/93	02/25/93	02/19/93	02/18/93
alpha-BHC	10 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	4.8 U	4.9 U	4.9 U	5 U	4.8 U	5 U	5 U
beta-BHC	10 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	4.8 U	4.9 U	4.9 U	5 U	4.8 U	5 U	5 U
delta-BHC	10 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	4.8 U	4.9 U	4.9 U	5 U	4.8 U	5 U	5 U
gamma-BHC (Lindane)	10 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	4.8 U	4.9 U	4.9 U	5 U	4.8 U	5 U	5 U
Heptachlor	10 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	4.8 U	4.9 U	4.9 U	5 U	4.8 U	5 U	5 U
Aldrin	10 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	4.8 U	4.9 U	4.9 U	5 U	4.8 U	5 U	5 U
Heptachlor epoxide	10 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	4.8 U	4.9 U	4.9 U	5 U	4.8 U	5 U	5 U
Endosulfan I	10 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	4.8 U	4.9 U	4.9 U	5 U	4.8 U	5 U	5 U
Dieldrin	21 U	10 U	10 U	9.9 U	9.6 U	9.8 U	9.8 U	9.9 U	9.5 U	9.9 U	10 U
4,4'-DDE	21 U	33	10 U	14	9.6 U.	15	150	23	31	416	10 U
Endrin	21 U	10 U	10 U	9.9 U	9.6 U	9.8 U	9.8 U	9.9 U	9.5 U	9.9 U	10 U
Endosulfan II	21 U	10 U	10 U	9.9 U	9.6 U	9.8 U	9.8 U	9.9 U	9.5 U	9.9 U	10 U
4,4'-DDD	21 U	12	10 U	9.9 U	9.6 U	9.8 U	35	9.9 U	9.5 U	110	10 U
Endosulfan sulfate	21 U	10 U	10 U	9.9 U	9.6 U	9.8 U	9.8 U	9.9 U	9.5 U	9.9 U	10 U
4,4'-DDT	21 U	10 U	10 U	9.9 U	9.6 B	9.8 U	9.8 U	9.9 U	9.5 U	12	10 U
Methoxychlor	100 U	50 U	50 U	50 U	48 U	49 U	49 U	50 U	48 U	50 U	50 U
Endrin Ketone	21 U	10 U	10 U	9.9 U	9.6 U	9.8 U	9.8 U	9.9 U	9.5 U	9.9 U	10 U
Endrin aldehyde	21 U	10 U	10 U	9.9 U	9.6 U	9.8 U	9.8 U	9.9 U	9.5 U	9.9 U	10 U
alpha-Chlordane	10 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	4.8 U	4.9 U	4.9 U	5 U	4.8 U	5 U	5 U
gamma-Chlordane	10 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	4.8 U	4.9 U	4.9 U	5 U	4.8 U	5 U	5 U
Toxaphene	210 U	100 U	100 U	99 U	96 U	98 U	98 U	99 U	95 U	99 U	100 U
Aroclor-1016	100 U	50 U	50 U	50 U	48 U	49 U	49 U	50 U	48 U	50 U	50 U
Aroclor-1221	100 U	50 U	50 U	50 U	48 U	49 U	49 U	50 U	48 U	50 U	50 U
Aroclor-1232	100 U	50 U	50 U	50 U	48 U	49 U	49 U	50 U	48 U	50 U	50 U
Aroclor-1242	100 U	50 U	50 U	50 U	48 U	49 U	49 U	50 U	48 U	50 U	50 U
Aroclor-1248	100 U	50 U	50 U	50 U	48 U	49 U	49 U	50 U	48 U	50 U	50 U
Aroclor-1254	100 U	50 U	50 U	50 U	48 U	49 U	49 U	50 U	48 U	50 U	50 U
Aroclor-1260	100 U	50 U	50 U	50 U	48 U	49 U	130	50 U	48 U	330	50 U

U = not detected
# = validated sample
D = diluted result

Plow Shop Pond Fish Tissue Analysis
Feasibility Study for Groups 1A Sites
Pesticide/PCB Organics Analysis (mg/kg, wet weight)
Fort Devens, Massachusetts
Validation Report
Table 2

SAMPLE ID:	PSP18F2	PSP18WRE	PSP19F	PSP19F2	PSP19WRE	PSP20F	PSP20F2	PSP20WRE
LAB NUMBER:	178822	173017R1	173018	178823	173019R1	173020	178824	173021R1
DATE SAMPLED:	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92
DATE ANALYZED:	02/25/93	02/19/93	02/18/93	02/25/93	02/19/93	02/18/93	02/25/93	02/20/93
alpha-BHC	5 U	4.9 U	4.8 U	4.9 U	4.9 U	5 U	5 U	4.7 U
beta-BHC	5 U	4.9 U	4.8 U	4.9 U	4.9 U	5 U	5 U	4.7 U
delta-BHC	5 U	4.9 U	4.8 U	4.9 U	4.9 U	5 U	5 U	4.7 U
gamma-BHC (Lindane)	5 U	4.9 U	4.8 U	4.9 U	4.9 U	5 U	5 U	4.7 U
Heptachlor	5 U	4.9 U	4.8 U	4.9 U	4.9 U	5 U	5 U	4.7 U
Aldrin	5 U	4.9 U	4.8 U	4.9 U	4.9 U	5 U	5 U	4.7 U
Heptachlor epoxide	5 U	4.9 U	4.8 U	4.9 U	4.9 U	5 U	5 U	4.7 U
Endosulfan I	5 U	4.9 U	4.8 U	4.9 U	4.9 U	5 U	5 U	4.7 U
Dieldrin	9.9 U	9.7 U	9.6 U	9.8 U	9.7 U	9.9 U	9.9 U	9.5 U
4,4'-DDE	9.9 U	82	9.6 U	9.8 U	84	9.9 U	9.9 U	140
Endrin	9.9 U	9.7 U	9.6 U	9.8 U	9.7 U	9.9 U	9.9 U	9.5 U
Endosulfan II	9.9 U	9.7 U	9.6 U	9.8 U	9.7 U	9.9 U	9.9 U	9.5 U
4,4'-DDD	9.9 U	21	9.6 U	9.8 U	32	9.9 U	9.9 U	30
Endosulfan sulfate	9.9 U	9.7 U	9.6 U	9.8 U	9.7 U	9.9 U	9.9 U	9.5 U
4,4'-DDT	9.9 U	9.7 U	9.6 U	9.8 U	9.7 U	9.9 U	9.9 U	9.5 U
Methoxychlor	50 U	49 U	48 U	49 U	49 U	50 U	50 U	47 U
Endrin Ketone	9.9 U	9.7 U	9.6 U	9.8 U	9.7 U	9.9 U	9.9 U	9.5 U
Endrin aldehyde	9.9 U	9.7 U	9.6 U	9.8 U	9.7 U	9.9 U	9.9 U	9.5 U
alpha-Chlordane	5 U	4.9 U	4.8 U	4.9 U	4.9 U	5 U	5 U	4.7 U
gamma-Chlordane	5 U	4.9 U	4.8 U	4.9 U	4.9 U	5 U	5 U	4.7 U
Toxaphene	99 U	99 U	96 U	98 U	97 U	99 U	99 U	95 U
Aroclor-1016	50 U	49 U	48 U	49 U	49 U	50 U	50 U	47 U
Aroclor-1221	50 U	49 U	48 U	49 U	49 U	50 U	50 U	47 U
Aroclor-1232	50 U	49 U	48 U	49 U	49 U	50 U	50 U	47 U
Aroclor-1242	50 U	49 U	48 U	49 U	49 U	50 U	50 U	47 U
Aroclor-1248	50 U	49 U	48 U	49 U	49 U	50 U	50 U	47 U
Aroclor-1254	50 U	49 U	48 U	49 U	49 U	50 U	50 U	47 U
Aroclor-1260	50 U	63	48 U	49 U	61	50 U	50 U	100

U = not detected
# = validated sample
D = diluted result

Cold Spring Brook Pond Fish Tissue Analysis

Feasibility Study for Group 1A Sites

Inorganic Analysis (mg/kg wet weight)

Fort Devens, Massachusetts

Laboratory Report of Analysis

Table 1

	P. seed	P. seed	P. seed	Bullhead	Bullhead	Bullhead	Bullhead	Bullhead	Bullhead	Pickerel	Pickerel	Pickerel	Pickerel	Pickerel	Pickerel
SAMPLE ID:	CSB13W	CSB14W	CSB15W	CSB07W	CSB08W	CSB09W	CSB10F	CSB11F	CSB12F	CSB01W	CSB02W	CSB03W	CSB04F	CSB05F	CSB06F
LAB NUMBER:	173044	173045	173046	173041	173042	173043	173032	173034	173036 #	173038	173039	173040	173026	173028	173030
DATE SAMPLED:	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92
Aluminum	1.3 U	1.3 U	1.3 U	1.3 U	1.3 U	1.3 U	1.6 U	1.3 U	1.3 U	1.3 U	1.3 U	1.3 U	1.3 U	1.3 U	1.3 U
Arsenic	0.2 U	0.27	0.16 U	0.19 U	0.2 U	0.16 U	0.04 U	0.22	0.32	0.07 U	0.16 U	0.2 U	0.14	0.18	0.32
Beryllium	0.04 U	0.04 U	0.04 U	0.04 U	0.04 U	0.04 U	0.05 U	0.04 U	0.04 U	0.04 U	0.04 U	0.04 U	0.04 U	0.04 U	0.04 U
Cadmium	0.06 U	0.06 U	0.07 U	0.06 U	0.07 U	0.07 U	0.07 U	0.07 U	0.07 U	0.06 U	0.06 U	0.07 U	0.07 U	0.07 U	0.07 U
Copper	0.39	0.41	0.37	0.39	0.68	0.57	0.3	0.33	0.26	0.62	0.67	0.46	0.35	0.18	0.35
Chromium	0.33	0.33	0.23	0.21	0.43	0.2 U	0.24 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.27	0.23	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.19 U	0.2 U
Iron	41.5	24.6	32.7	35.9	29.3	21.4	8.1	7.1	9.1	11.6	13.4	15.3	7.5	1.83	3
Lead	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U
Manganese	12	10.4	7.6	5.8	10.4	5.1	0.36 U	0.29 U	0.3 U	14.1	8.7	6	0.58	1	0.3 U
Mercury	0.15	0.24	0.08	0.09	0.14	0.13	0.26	0.23	0.15	0.23	0.47	0.39	0.37	0.46	0.36
Nickel	0.8 U	0.8 U	0.8 U	0.8 U	0.77 U	0.78 U	0.96 U	0.77 U	0.79 U	0.78 U	0.79 U	0.78 U	0.79 U	0.75 U	0.8 U
Selenium	0.24	0.1 U	0.45	0.2	0.41	0.24	0.22	0.19	0.15	0.1 U	0.25	0.17	0.16	0.24	0.14
Thallium	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U
Vanadium	0.8 U	0.8 U	0.8 U	0.8 U	0.77 U	0.78 U	0.72 U	0.77 U	0.79 U	0.78 U	0.79 U	0.78 U	0.79 U	0.75 U	0.8 U
Zinc	24.1	21.7	17.2	12.8	21.4	12.4	6.1	6.5	5.4	51.3	41.4	37.4	3.6	5.9	5.2
Barium	0.64	0.54	0.24	0.24 U	0.41	0.24	0.29 U	0.23 U	0.24 U	0.52	0.39	0.24 U	0.24 U	0.23 U	0.24 U
Calcium	15400	15500	8510	7820	19100	8500	110	94	93.3	10600	7940	4940	388	505	114
Cobalt	0.11	0.1 U	0.2	0.12	0.1 U	0.16	0.12 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U
Magnesium	461	464	325	316	513	305	243	235	229	426	388	350	305	329	274
Silver	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U
Sodium	1180	1280	1060	997	1370	1040	343	354	406	1050	1170	912	375	377	455
Antimony	1.1 U	1.1 U	1.1 U	1.1 U	1.1 U	1.1 U	1.3 U	1.1 U	1.1 U	1.1 U	1.1 U	1.1 U	1.1 U	1.1 U	1.1 U
% Lipids	0.89	0.58	2.1	4.16	3.17	0.79	0.39	0.67	0.64	0.38	1.5	1.4	0.17	0.29	0.23
% Solids	26.3	25.9	26.7	28.6	22.4	22.2	19.2	22.1	19.3	25.4	23.4	29.7	20.5	20	19.4

U = not detected

= validated sample

W = whole fish

F = fillet

Cold Spring Brook Pond Fish Tissue Analysis

Feasibility Study for Group 1A Sites

Inorganic Analysis (mg/kg wet weight)

Fort Devens, Massachusetts

Validation Report

Table 2

	P. seed	P. seed	P. seed	Bullhead	Bullhead	Bullhead	Bullhead	Bullhead	Bullhead	Pickerel	Pickerel	Pickerel	Pickerel	Pickerel	Pickerel
SAMPLE ID:	CSB13W	CSB14W	CSB15W	CSB07W	CSB08W	CSB09W	CSB10F	CSB11F	CSB12F	CSB01W	CSB02W	CSB03W	CSB04F	CSB05F	CSB06F
LAB NUMBER:	173044	173045	173046	173041	173042	173043	173032	173034	173036 #	173038	173039	173040	173026	173028	173030
DATE SAMPLED:	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92
Aluminum	1.3 U	1.3 U	1.3 U	1.3 U	1.3 U	1.3 U	1.6 U	1.3 U	1.3 U	1.3 U	1.3 U	1.3 U	1.3 U	1.3 U	1.3 U
Arsenic	0.2 U	0.27	0.16 U	0.19 U	0.2 U	0.16 U	0.04 U	0.22	0.32	0.07 U	0.16 U	0.2 U	0.14	0.18	0.32
Beryllium	0.04 U	0.04 U	0.04 U	0.04 U	0.04 U	0.04 U	0.05 U	0.04 U	0.04 U	0.04 U	0.04 U	0.04 U	0.04 U	0.04 U	0.04 U
Cadmium	0.06 U	0.06 U	0.07 U	0.06 U	0.07 U	0.07 U	0.07 U	0.07 U	0.07 U	0.06 U	0.06 U	0.07 U	0.07 U	0.07 U	0.07 U
Copper	0.39	0.41	0.37	0.39	0.68	0.57	0.3	0.33	0.26	0.62	0.67	0.46	0.35	0.18	0.35
Chromium	0.33	0.33	0.23	0.21	0.43	0.2 U	0.24 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.27	0.23	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.19 U	0.2 U
Iron	41.5	24.6	32.7	35.9	29.3	21.4	8.1	7.1	9.1	11.6	13.4	15.3	7.5	1.83	3
Lead	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 UJ	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U
Manganese	12 J	10.4 J	7.6 J	5.8 J	10.4 J	5.1 J	0.36 UJ	0.29 UJ	0.3 UJ	14.1 J	8.7 J	6 J	0.58 J	1 J	0.3 UJ
Mercury	0.15 J	0.24 J	0.08 J	0.09 J	0.14 J	0.13 J	0.26 J	0.23 J	0.15 J	0.23 J	0.47 J	0.39 J	0.37 J	0.46 J	0.36 J
Nickel	0.8 U	0.8 U	0.8 U	0.8 U	0.77 U	0.78 U	0.96 U	0.77 U	0.79 U	0.78 U	0.79 U	0.78 U	0.79 U	0.75 U	0.8 U
Selenium	0.24	0.1 U	0.45	0.2	0.41	0.24	0.22	0.19	0.15	0.1 U	0.25	0.17	0.16	0.24	0.14
Thallium	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U
Vanadium	0.8 U	0.8 U	0.8 U	0.8 U	0.77 U	0.78 U	0.72 U	0.77 U	0.79 U	0.78 U	0.79 U	0.78 U	0.79 U	0.75 U	0.8 U
Zinc	24.1	21.7	17.2	12.8	21.4	12.4	6.1	6.5	5.4	51.3	41.4	37.4	3.6	5.9	5.2
Barium	0.64	0.54	0.24	0.24 U	0.41	0.24	0.29 U	0.23 U	0.24 U	0.52	0.39	0.24 U	0.24 U	0.23 U	0.24 U
Calcium	15400	15500	8510	7820	19100	8500	110	94	93.3	10600	7940	4940	388	505	114
Cobalt	0.11	0.1 U	0.2	0.12	0.1 U	0.16	0.12 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U	0.1 U
Magnesium	461	464	325	316	513	305	243	235	229	426	388	350	305	329	274
Silver	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U
Sodium	1180	1280	1060	997	1370	1040	343	354	406	1050	1170	912	375	377	455
Antimony	1.1 U	1.1 U	1.1 U	1.1 U	1.1 U	1.1 U	1.3 U	1.1 U	1.1 U	1.1 U	1.1 U	1.1 U	1.1 U	1.1 U	1.1 U
% Lipids	0.89	0.58	2.1	4.16	3.17	0.79	0.39	0.67	0.64	0.38	1.5	1.4	0.17	0.29	0.23
% Solids	26.3	25.9	26.7	28.6	22.4	22.2	19.2	22.1	19.3	25.4	23.4	29.7	20.5	20	19.4

U = not detected

\# = validated sample

J = estimated value

W = whole fish

F = fillet

Cold Spring Brook Pond Fish Tissue Analysis
Feasibility Study for Group 1A Sites
Pesticide/PCB Organics Analysis (ug/kg, wet weight)
Fort Devens, Massachusetts
Laboratory Report of Analysis
Table 1

SAMPLE ID:	CSB13W	CSB14W	CSB15W	CSB15WDL	CSB07W	CSB07WDL	CSB08W	CSB09W	CSB10F	CSB11F	CSB12F
LAB NUMBER:	173044	173045	173046	173046D1	173041	173041D1	173042	173043	173032	173034	173036 #
DATE SAMPLED:	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92
DATE ANALYZED:	02/23/93	02/24/93	02/24/93	02/25/93	02/22/93	02/25/93	02/23/93	02/23/93	01/22/93	01/22/93	01/22/93
alpha-BHC	5 U	4.9 U	5 U	15 U	5 U	25 U	5 U	5 U	18 U	11 U	8.6 U
beta-BHC	5 U	4.9 U	5 U	15 U	5 U	25 U	5 U	5 U	18 U	11 U	8.6 U
delta-BHC	5 U	4.9 U	5 U	15 U	5 U	25 U	5 U	5 U	18 U	11 U	8.6 U
gamma-BHC (Lindane)	5 U	4.9 U	5 U	15 U	5 U	25 U	5 U	5 U	18 U	11 U	8.6 U
Heptachlor	5 U	4.9 U	5 U	15 U	5 U	25 U	5 U	5 U	18 U	11 U	8.6 U
Aldrin	5 U	4.9 U	5 U	15 U	5 U	25 U	5 U	5 U	18 U	11 U	8.6 U
Heptachlor epoxide	5 U	4.9 U	5 U	15 U	5 U	25 U	5 U	5 U	18 U	11 U	8.6 U
Endosulfan I	5 U	4.9 U	5 U	15 U	5 U	25 U	5 U	5 U	18 U	11 U	8.6 U
Dieldrin	9.9 U	9.7 U	10 U	30 U	9.9 U	50 U	9.9 U	9.9 U	36 U	22 U	17 U
4,4'-DDE	58	22	170 X	170 D	150	140 D	73	31	36 U	22 U	17 U
Endrin	9.9 U	9.7 U	10 U	30 U	9.9 U	50 U	9.9 U	9.9 U	36 U	22 U	17 U
Endosulfan II	9.9 U	9.7 U	10 U	30 U	9.9 U	50 U	9.9 U	9.9 U	36 U	22 U	17 U
4,4'-DDD	97	19	220 X	230 D	360 X	340 D	130	34	36 U	50	17 U
Endosulfan sulfate	9.9 U	9.7 U	10 U	30 U	9.9 U	50 U	9.9 U	9.9 U	36 U	22 U	17 U
4,4'-DDT	9.9 U	9.7 U	10 U	30 U	9.9 U	50 U	9.9 U	9.9 U	36 U	22 U	17 U
Methoxychlor	50 U	49 U	50 U	150 U	50 U	250 U	50 U	50 U	180 U	110 U	86 U
Endrin Ketone	9.9 U	9.7 U	10 U	30 U	9.9 U	50 U	9.9 U	9.9 U	36 U	22 U	17 U
Endrin aldehyde	9.9 U	9.7 U	10 U	30 U	9.9 U	50 U	9.9 U	9.9 U	36 U	22 U	17 U
alpha-Chlordane	5 U	4.9 U	5 U	15 U	5 U	25 U	5 U	5 U	18 U	11 U	8.6 U
gamma-Chlordane	5 U	4.9 U	5 U	15 U	5 U	25 U	5 U	5 U	18 U	11 U	8.6 U
Toxaphene	99 U	97 U	100 U	300 U	99 U	500 U	99 U	99 U	360 U	220 U	170 U
Aroclor-1016	50 U	49 U	50 U	150 U	50 U	250 U	50 U	50 U	180 U	110 U	86 U
Aroclor-1221	50 U	49 U	50 U	150 U	50 U	250 U	50 U	50 U	180 U	110 U	86 U
Aroclor-1232	50 U	49 U	50 U	150 U	50 U	250 U	50 U	50 U	180 U	110 U	86 U
Aroclor-1242	50 U	49 U	50 U	150 U	50 U	250 U	50 U	50 U	180 U	110 U	86 U
Aroclor-1248	50 U	49 U	50 U	150 U	50 U	250 U	50 U	50 U	180 U	110 U	86 U
Aroclor-1254	50 U	49 U	50 U	150 U	52	250 U	50 U	50 U	180 U	110 U	86 U
Aroclor-1260	50 U	49 U	50 U	150 U	50 U	250 U	50 U	50 U	180 U	110 U	86 U

U = not detected
X = exceeds calibration range
D = diluted result

W = whole fish
F = fillet
Re = re-extraction
D = diluted

Cold Spring Brook Pond Fish Tissue Analysis
Feasibility Study for Group 1A Sites

Pesticide/PCB Organics Analysis (ug/kg, wet weight)

Fort Devens, Massachusetts
Laboratory Report of Analysis
Table 1

SAMPLE ID:	CSB12FRE	CSB01W	CSB02W	CSB03W	CSB03WDL	CSB04F	CSB05F	CSB06F
LAB NUMBER:	173036R1 #	173038	173039	173040	173040D1	173026	173028	173030
DATE SAMPLED:	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92
DATE ANALYZED:	02/18/93	02/22/93	02/22/93	02/22/93	02/25/93	01/22/93	01/22/93	01/22/93
alpha-BHC	8.6 U	4.8 U	5 U	5 U	10 U	4.6 U	5 U	5 U
beta-BHC	8.6 U	4.8 U	5 U	5 U	10 U	4.6 U	5 U	5 U
delta-BHC	8.6 U	4.8 U	5 U	5 U	10 U	4.6 U	5 U	5 U
gamma-BHC (Lindane)	8.6 U	4.8 U	5 U	5 U	10 U	4.6 U	5 U	5 U
Heptachlor	8.6 U	4.8 U	5 U	5 U	10 U	4.6 U	5 U	5 U
Aldrin	8.6 U	4.8 U	5 U	5 U	10 U	4.6 U	5 U	5 U
Heptachlor epoxide	8.6 U	4.8 U	5 U	5 U	10 U	4.6 U	5 U	5 U
Endosulfan I	8.6 U	4.8 U	5 U	5 U	10 U	4.6 U	5 U	5 U
Dieldrin	17 U	9.6 U	10 U	10 U	20 U	9.3 U	10 U	10 U
4,4'-DDE	21	26	61	150	150 D	9.3 U	12	10 U
Endrin	17 U	9.6 U	10 U	10 U	20 U	9.3 U	10 U	10 U
Endosulfan II	17 U	9.6 U	10 U	10 U	20 U	9.3 U	10 U	10 U
4,4'-DDD	33	38	67	180 X	160 D	9.3 U	10 U	10 U
Endosulfan sulfate	17 U	9.6 U	10 U	10 U	20 U	9.3 U	10 U	10 U
4,4'-DDT	17 U	9.6 U	10 U	10 U	20 U	9.3 U	10 U	10 U
Methoxychlor	86 U	48 U	50 U	50 U	100 U	46 U	50 U	50 U
Endrin Ketone	17 U	9.6 U	10 U	10 U	20 U	9.3 U	10 U	10 U
Endrin aldehyde	17 U	9.6 U	10 U	10 U	20 U	9.3 U	10 U	10 U
alpha-Chlordane	8.6 U	4.8 U	5 U	5 U	10 U	4.6 U	5 U	5 U
gamma-Chlordane	8.6 U	4.8 U	5 U	5 U	10 U	4.6 U	5 U	5 U
Toxaphene	170 U	96 U	100 U	100 U	200 U	93 U	100 U	100 U
Aroclor-1016	86 U	48 U	50 U	50 U	100 U	46 U	50 U	50 U
Aroclor-1221	86 U	48 U	50 U	50 U	100 U	46 U	50 U	50 U
Aroclor-1232	86 U	48 U	50 U	50 U	100 U	46 U	50 U	50 U
Aroclor-1242	86 U	48 U	50 U	50 U	100 U	46 U	50 U	50 U
Aroclor-1248	86 U	48 U	50 U	50 U	100 U	46 U	50 U	50 U
Aroclor-1254	86 U	48 U	50 U	50 U	100 U	46 U	50 U	50 U
Aroclor-1260	86 U	48 U	50 U	50 U	100 U	46 U	50 U	50 U

U = not detected
X = exceeds calibration range
D = diluted result

W = whole fish
F = fillet
Re = re-extraction
D = diluted

Cold Spring Brook Pond Fish Tissue Analysis
Feasibility Study for Group 1A Sites
Pesticide/PCB Organics Analysis (mg/kg wet weight)
Fort Devens, Massachusetts
Validation Report
Table 2

SAMPLE ID:	CSB13W	CSB14W	CSB15W	CSB07W	CSB08W	CSB09W	CSB10F	CSB11F	CSB12FRE	CSB01W
LAB NUMBER:	173044	173045	173046	173041	173042	173043	173032	173034	173036R1 #	173038
DATE SAMPLED:	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92
DATE ANALYZED:	02/23/93	02/24/93	02/24/93	02/22/93	02/23/93	02/23/93	01/22/93	01/22/93	02/18/93	02/22/93
alpha-BHC	5 U	4.9 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	18 U	11 U	8.6 U	4.8 U
beta-BHC	5 U	4.9 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	18 U	11 U	8.6 U	4.8 U
delta-BHC	5 U	4.9 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	18 U	11 U	8.6 U	4.8 U
gamma-BHC (Lindane)	5 U	4.9 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	18 U	11 U	8.6 U	4.8 U
Heptachlor	5 U	4.9 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	18 U	11 U	8.6 U	4.8 U
Aldrin	5 U	4.9 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	18 U	11 U	8.6 U	4.8 U
Heptachlor epoxide	5 U	4.9 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	18 U	11 U	8.6 U	4.8 U
Endosulfan I	5 U	4.9 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	18 U	11 U	8.6 U	4.8 U
Dieldrin	9.9 U	9.7 U	10 U	9.9 U	9.9 U	9.9 U	36 U	22 U	17 U	9.6 U
4,4'-DDE	58	22	170	150	73	31	36 U	22 U	21	26
Endrin	9.9 U	9.7 U	10 U	9.9 U	9.9 U	9.9 U	36 U	22 U	17 U	9.6 U
Endosulfan II	9.9 U	9.7 U	10 U	9.9 U	9.9 U	9.9 U	36 U	22 U	17 U	9.6 U
4,4'-DDD	97	19	230	340	130	34	36 U	50	33	38
Endosulfan sulfate	9.9 U	9.7 U	10 U	9.9 U	9.9 U	9.9 U	36 U	22 U	17 U	9.6 U
4,4'-DDT	9.9 U	9.7 U	10 U	9.9 U	9.9 U	9.9 U	36 U	22 U	17 U	9.6 U
Methoxychlor	50 U	49 U	50 U	50 U	50 U	50 U	180 U	110 U	86 U	48 U
Endrin Ketone	9.9 U	9.7 U	10 U	9.9 U	9.9 U	9.9 U	36 U	22 U	17 U	9.6 U
Endrin aldehyde	9.9 U	9.7 U	10 U	9.9 U	9.9 U	9.9 U	36 U	22 U	17 U	9.6 U
alpha-Chlordane	5 U	4.9 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	18 U	11 U	8.6 U	4.8 U
gamma-Chlordane	5 U	4.9 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	18 U	11 U	8.6 U	4.8 U
Toxaphene	99 U	97 U	100 U	99 U	99 U	99 U	360 U	220 U	170 U	96 U
Aroclor-1016	50 U	49 U	50 U	50 U	50 U	50 U	180 U	110 U	86 U	48 U
Aroclor-1221	50 U	49 U	50 U	50 U	50 U	50 U	180 U	110 U	86 U	48 U
Aroclor-1232	50 U	49 U	50 U	50 U	50 U	50 U	180 U	110 U	86 U	48 U
Aroclor-1242	50 U	49 U	50 U	50 U	50 U	50 U	180 U	110 U	86 U	48 U
Aroclor-1248	50 U	49 U	50 U	50 U	50 U	50 U	180 U	110 U	86 U	48 U
Aroclor-1254	50 U	49 U	50 U	52	50 U	50 U	180 U	110 U	86 U	48 U
Aroclor-1260	50 U	49 U	50 U	50 U	50 U	50 U	180 U	110 U	86 U	48 U

U = not detected
# = validated sample

Cold Spring Brook Pond Fish Tissue Analysis
Feasibility Study for Group 1A Sites

Pesticide/PCB Organics Analysis (mg/kg wet weight)

Fort Devens, Massachusetts
Validation Report
Table 2

SAMPLE ID:	CSB02W	CSB03W	CSB04F	CSB05F	CSB06F
LAB NUMBER:	173039	173040	173026	173028	173030
DATE SAMPLED:	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92	10/23/92
DATE ANALYZED:	02/22/93	02/22/93	01/22/93	01/22/93	01/22/93
alpha-BHC	5 U	5 U	4.6 U	5 U	5 U
beta-BHC	5 U	5 U	4.6 U	5 U	5 U
delta-BHC	5 U	5 U	4.6 U	5 U	5 U
gamma-BHC (Lindane)	5 U	5 U	4.6 U	5 U	5 U
Heptachlor	5 U	5 U	4.6 U	5 U	5 U
Aldrin	5 U	5 U	4.6 U	5 U	5 U
Heptachlor epoxide	5 U	5 U	4.6 U	5 U	5 U
Endosulfan I	5 U	5 U	4.6 U	5 U	5 U
Dieldrin	10 U	10 U	9.3 U	10 U	10 U
4,4'-DDE	61	150	9.3 U	12	10 U
Endrin	10 U	10 U	9.3 U	10 U	10 U
Endosulfan II	10 U	10 U	9.3 U	10 U	10 U
4,4'-DDD	67	160	9.3 U	10 U	10 U
Endosulfan sulfate	10 U	10 U	9.3 U	10 U	10 U
4,4'-DDT	10 U	10 U	9.3 U	10 U	10 U
Methoxychlor	50 U	50 U	46 U	50 U	50 U
Endrin Ketone	10 U	10 U	9.3 U	10 U	10 U
Endrin aldehyde	10 U	10 U	9.3 U	10 U	10 U
alpha-Chlordane	5 U	5 U	4.6 U	5 U	5 U
gamma-Chlordane	5 U	5 U	4.6 U	5 U	5 U
Toxaphene	100 U	100 U	93 U	100 U	100 U
Aroclor-1016	50 U	50 U	46 U	50 U	50 U
Aroclor-1221	50 U	50 U	46 U	50 U	50 U
Aroclor-1232	50 U	50 U	46 U	50 U	50 U
Aroclor-1242	50 U	50 U	46 U	50 U	50 U
Aroclor-1248	50 U	50 U	46 U	50 U	50 U
Aroclor-1254	50 U	50 U	46 U	50 U	50 U
Aroclor-1260	50 U	50 U	46 U	50 U	50 U

U = not detected
# = validated sample

SUPPLEMENTAL RI LEVEL 3 DATA

USEPA 1992 DERMAL GUIDANCE SUMMARY

This page intentionally left blank.

USEPA 1992 DERMAL GUIDANCE SUMMARY

USEPA Region I has recommended that the agency's guidance document entitled "Dermal Exposure Assessment: Principles and Applications", Interim Report, January 1992 (USEPA, 1992d) be followed in assessing the health risks associated with dermal contact with COPCs at Shepley's Hill Landfill and Cold Spring Brook Landfill. This appendix contains a description of how information provided in the dermal guidance document is used in the Supplemental Human Health Risk Assessments for the two sites.

DEVELOPMENT OF DOSE/RESPONSE VALUES FOR USE IN DERMAL RISK CALCULATIONS

As recommended in the USEPA guidance document, the risks associated with calculated absorbed doses for the dermal route of exposure should be evaluated using Reference Doses (RfDs) and Cancer Slope Factors (CSFs) which are specific to absorbed doses. Most oral RfDs and CSFs are based on administered dose rather than absorbed dose (trichloroethylene's CSF is a notable exception). It is therefore necessary to adjust toxicity values which are based on administered doses so that they can be used for the evaluation of absorbed doses. For dermal exposures, the toxicity values are adjusted as follows:

$$RfD_{adjusted} = RfD_{oral} \times ABSEFF$$

$$CSF_{adjusted} = CSF_{oral} / ABSEFF$$

where: ABSEFF is the absorption efficiency in the study that is the basis of the oral toxicity value.

ABSORBED DOSE CALCULATION - DERMAL EXPOSURE TO WATER

The permeability constant approach described in Chapter 5 of the dermal guidance document is used for dermal exposures to contaminants in water.

For inorganics, the **steady state approach** is used. The dose absorbed per unit area per event is:

$$DA_{event} = PC\ CW\ t_{event}\ CF_1\ CF_2$$

where:

DA_{event}	=	Dose absorbed per unit area per event (mg/cm^2-event)
PC	=	Permeability constant from water (cm/hr)
CW	=	Concentration of chemical in water (μg/liter)
t_{event}	=	Duration of a single event (hr/event)
CF_1	=	Units conversion factor (liter/ 10^3 cm^3)
CF_2	=	Units conversion factor (mg/ 10^3 μg)

For organics, the "**unsteady-state approach**" is used. The dose absorbed per unit area per event is:

$$DA_{event} = 2\ PC\ CW\ CF_3\ CF_4\ (6\ T\ t_{event} / \pi)^{0.5}$$

where:

$$t_{event} < t^*$$

and

$$DA_{event} = PC\ CW\ CF_5\ CF_6\ ((t_{event}/(1 + B)) + 2\ T\ ((1 + 3\ B) / (1 + B)))$$

where:

$$t_{event} > t^*$$

where:

PC	=	Permeability constant (cm/hr)
CW	=	Concentration of chemical in water (μg/liter)
T	=	l_{sc}^2 / 6 D_{sc} (hr)
L_{sc}	=	Thickness of stratum corneum (10 um)

D_{sc}	=	Stratum corneum diffusion coefficient (cm^2/hr)
t_{event}	=	Duration of a single event (hr/event)
π	=	Pi (dimensionless)
t^*	=	Time to reach steady state (hr)
B	=	Octanol water partition coefficient divided by 10^4 (dimensionless)
CF_3	=	Units conversion factor ($mg/10^3\ \mu g$)
CF_4	=	Units conversion factor ($liter/10^3\ cm^3$)
CF_5	=	Units conversion factor ($mg/10^3\ \mu g$)
CF_6	=	Units conversion factor ($liter/10^3\ cm^3$)

For a given compound, the values for B, PC, T, and t^* can be found in Table 5-8 of the dermal guidance document (USEPA, 1992d).

Once the dose per event (DA_{event}) is calculated, the dermally absorbed dose (DAD) for use in risk calculations can be derived. Dermally absorbed dose for use in risk calculations is derived generally (for adults who are no longer growing) as follows:

$$\text{Intake-Dermal} = DA_{event}\ EV\ EF\ ED\ SA\ /\ BW\ AT$$

For children, to account for changing surface areas and bodyweights, the dermally absorbed dose is calculated as follows:

$$\text{Intake-Dermal} = (DA_{event}\ EV\ EF\ /\ AT) \sum_{i=m}^{n} (SA_i\ ED_i\ /\ BW_i)$$

where:

Intake-Dermal	=	Dermally absorbed dose (mg/kg-day)
DA_{event}	=	Dose absorbed per unit area per event (mg/cm^2-event)
EV	=	Event frequency (events/day)
EF	=	Exposure frequency (days/year)

AT	=	Averaging time (days). For noncarcinogenic effects, AT = ED, and for carcinogenic effects AT = 70 years or 25,550 days.
SA_i	=	Surface area exposed at age i (cm^2)
ED_i	=	Exposure duration at age i (years)
BW_i	=	Bodyweight at age i (kg)

For showering, 50th percentile values for whole body surface area are used to represent the skin surface area available for contact with water; these values were extracted from Table 8-4 in the dermal guidance document. Estimated bodyweights are average weights, as reported in the USEPA Exposure Factors Handbook (Table 5-3).

Values of:

$$\sum_{i=m}^{n} (SA_i \, ED_i \, / \, BW_i)$$

for the age ranges of receptors at the Fort Devens 1A Sites (as calculated in Table V-1) are as follows:

AGE RANGE	DURATION OF EXPOSURE TO WATER	SUM OF AREA/DURATION/BODY WEIGHT TERMS AVERAGE CASE cm^2-yr/kg
1 to 6	5 yrs	2247.5
1 through 30	30 yrs	9302.7

ABSORBED DOSE CALCULATION - DERMAL EXPOSURE TO SOIL

The absorbed dose is calculated following the recommendations and methods described in Chapter 6 of the dermal guidance document. The calculation of the estimated dermally absorbed dose per unit area per event is:

$$DA_{event} = CS\ AF\ ABS_d\ CF$$

where:

DA_{event}	=	Dose absorbed per unit area per event (mg/cm^2-event)
CS	=	Contaminant concentration in soil (mg/kg)
AF	=	Adherence factor of soil to skin (mg/cm^2-event)
ABS_d	=	Absorption fraction (dimensionless)
CF	=	Units conversion factor (10^{-6} kg/mg)

Dermally absorbed dose for use in risk calculations is derived generally (for adults who are no longer growing) as follows:

$$\text{Intake-Dermal} = DA_{event}\ EF\ ED\ SA\ /\ BW\ AT$$

For children, to account for changing surface areas and body weights, the dermally absorbed dose is calculated as follows:

$$\text{Intake-Dermal} = (DA_{event}\ EF\ /\ AT) \sum_{i=m}^{n} (SA_i\ ED_i\ /\ BW_i)$$

where:

Intake-Dermal	=	Dermally absorbed dose (mg/kg-day)
DA_{event}	=	Dose absorbed per unit area per event (mg/cm^2-event)
EF	=	Exposure frequency (events/year)
AT	=	Averaging time (days). For noncarcinogenic effects, AT = ED, and for carcinogenic effects AT = 70 years or 25,550 days.
SA_i	=	Surface area exposed at age i (cm^2)
ED_i	=	Exposure duration at age i (years)
BW_i	=	Bodyweight at age i (kg)

For the typical case, USEPA recommends SA for head and hands only and for the "reasonable worst case" the SA of the head, hands, forearms, and lower legs as the SA available for contact with soil. EPA simplifies these assumptions by saying that 25% of the total body surface area would be available for soil contact. For children between 6 and 16 years of age, the population potentially exposed to sediment at Shepley's Hill and Cold Spring Brook Landfills, the default values for each age group are set equal to 25% of the 50^{th} percentile whole body SA values. Estimated bodyweights are the average of the 50^{th} percentile female and male weights. These values are shown in the attached spreadsheet.

The value of

$$\sum_{i=m}^{n} (SA_i \, ED_i \, / \, BW_i)$$

for the age range of the receptors at Fort Devens (as calculated in Table V-1) is as follows:

AGE RANGE	DURATION OF EXPOSURE TO SEDIMENT	SUM OF AREA/DURATION/ BODY WEIGHT TERMS AVERAGE CASE cm^2-yr/kg
6 to 16	10 yrs	848.6

Table V–1 – Exposure parameters for dermal contact

AGE	AVAILABLE FOR WATER CONTACT 50%ILE WHOLE BODY SA (1) CM SQ	AVAILABLE FOR SOIL CONTACT 25% x 50%ILE BODY SA CM SQ	50%ILE (2) BODYWEIGHT KG MALE	50%ILE (2) BODYWEIGHT KG FEMALE	EST 50%ILE BODYWEIGHT AVG OF M/F KG	(EDi X SAi)/BWi AVG CASE WATER	(EDi X SAi)/BWi AVG CASE SEDIMENT
	AVG	AVG				AVG	AVG
1 < 2 (3)	5398	1350	11.5	10.5	11	490.7	122.7
2 < 3	6030	1508	13.4	12.6	13	463.8	116.0
3 < 4	6640	1660	15.3	14.6	14.95	444.1	111.0
4 < 5	7310	1828	17.4	16.4	16.9	432.5	108.1
5 < 6	7930	1983	19.3	18.8	19.05	416.3	104.1
6 < 7	8660	2165	21.9	21	21.45	403.7	100.9
7 < 8	9360	2340	24.4	23.5	23.95	390.8	97.7
8 < 9	10000	2500	27.3	27.3	27.3	366.3	91.6
9 < 10	10700	2675	29.7	29.6	29.65	360.9	90.2
10 < 11	11800	2950	34.5	34.3	34.4	343.0	85.8
11 < 12	12300	3075	36.4	40	38.2	322.0	80.5
12 < 13	13400	3350	42.1	45.2	43.65	307.0	76.7
13 < 14	14700	3675	47.7	48.6	48.15	305.3	76.3
14 < 15	16100	4025	55.5	52.8	54.15	297.3	74.3
15 < 16	17000	4250	60.2	53.9	57.05	298.0	74.5
16 < 17	17600	NA	63.6	55.3	59.45	296.0	NA
17 < 18	18000	NA	65.7	58.3	62	290.3	NA
18 < 75	19400	NA	75.9	61.5	70	277.1	NA

(1) DERMAL EXPOSURE ASSESSMENT: PRINCIPLES AND APPLICATIONS, INTERIM REPORT
(2) EXPOSURE FACTORS HANDBOOK TABLE 5–3
(3) SAs BASED ON EQUATION SA = K X BW^2/3. K CALCULATED FROM AGE 2–3 DATA

CHILD: 5 YRS	AGE 1 < 6 SUM	2247.5	561.9
CHILD: 10 YRS	AGE 6 < 16 SUM	3394.3	848.6
CHILD/ADULT: 30 YRS	AGE 1 < 31	9302.7	NA

CALCULATION OF PERMEABILITY CONSTANTS PER EVENT

This page intentionally left blank.

TABLE W-1
CALCULATION OF PERMEABILITY CONSTANTS PER EVENT
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

SHPCevent	14-Dec-93

EXPOSURE PARAMETERS

PARAMETER	SYMBOL	VALUE	UNITS	SOURCE
Permeability per Event	PC_{event}	chemical specific	cm/event	
Permeability Constant	PC	chemical specific	cm/hr	USEPA, 1992d
Duration of a Single Event	t_{event}	0.2	hr	
Thickness of Stratum Corneum	L_{sc}	10	um	USEPA, 1992d
Octanol-water Partition Coefficient/10^4	B	chemical specific	dimensionless	USEPA, 1992d
Pi	Pi	3.14	dimensionless	USEPA, 1992d
	T	$L_{sc}^2/6D_{sc}$	hr	USEPA, 1992d
Time to Reach Steady-State	t^*	chemical specific	hr	USEPA, 1992d
Stratum Corneum Diffusion Coefficient	D_{sc}	chemical specific	cm^2/hr	USEPA, 1992d

EQUATIONS

INORGANICS

$$PC_{event} = PC \times t_{event}$$

ORGANICS

$$PC_{event} = 2PC \times (6T \times t_{event}/Pi)^{0.5}$$

Where $t_{event} < t^*$

and: $$PC_{event} = PC \times ((t_{event}/(1+B)) + (2T \times ((1+3B)/(1+B))))$$

Where $t_{event} > t^*$

REFERENCES

USEPA, 1992d. Dermal Exposure Assessment: Principles and Applications.

TABLE W-1
CALCULATION OF PERMEABILITY CONSTANTS PER EVENT
SHEPLEY'S HILL LANDFILL
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

SHPCevent	14-Dec-93

COMPOUND	PC (cm/hr)	T (hr)	t* (hr)	B (unitless)	PC_{event} (cm/event)
ORGANICS					
Benzene	1.1E-01	2.6E-01	6.3E-01	1.3E-02	6.93E-02
Chloroethane	8.0E-03	2.2E-01	5.2E-01	2.7E-03	5.13E-03
Dichlorobenzenes (1)	8.7E-02	6.9E-01	4.1E+00	4.0E-01	8.94E-02
1,1-Dichloroethane	8.9E-03	3.5E-01	8.4E-01	6.2E-03	6.51E-03
1,2-Dichloroethane	5.0E-03	3.5E-01	8.4E-01	3.0E-03	3.66E-03
1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	1.0E-02	3.4E-01	8.2E-01	7.2E-03	8.88E-03
1,2-Dichloropropane	1.0E-02	4.3E-01	1.0E+00	1.0E-02	8.11E-03
Trichlorofluoromethane	1.7E-02	6.0E-01	1.4E+00	3.4E-02	1.63E-02
INORGANICS					
Aluminum	1.0E-03	–	–	–	2.00E-04
Antimony	1.0E-03	–	–	–	2.00E-04
Arsenic	1.0E-03	–	–	–	2.00E-04
Barium	1.0E-03	–	–	–	2.00E-04
Calcium	1.0E-03	–	–	–	2.00E-04
Chromium	1.0E-03	–	–	–	2.00E-04
Cobalt	1.0E-03	–	–	–	2.00E-04
Copper	1.0E-03	–	–	–	2.00E-04
Iron	1.0E-03	–	–	–	2.00E-04
Lead	1.0E-03	–	–	–	2.00E-04
Magnesium	1.0E-03	–	–	–	2.00E-04
Manganese	1.0E-03	–	–	–	2.00E-04
Nickel	1.0E-03	–	–	–	2.00E-04
Potassium	1.0E-03	–	–	–	2.00E-04
Sodium	1.0E-03	–	–	–	2.00E-04
Vanadium	1.0E-03	–	–	–	2.00E-04
Zinc	1.0E-03	–	–	–	2.00E-04

(1) Values for 1,3-dichlorobenzene used as surrogates for dichlorobenzenes.

TABLE W-2
CALCULATION OF PERMEABILITY CONSTANTS PER EVENT
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

CSBPCevent	14-Dec-93

EXPOSURE PARAMETERS

PARAMETER	SYMBOL	VALUE	UNITS	SOURCE
Permeability per Event	PC_{event}	chemical specific	cm/event	
Permeability Constant	PC	chemical specific	cm/hr	USEPA, 1992d
Duration of a Single Event	t_{event}	0.2	hr	
Thickness of Stratum Corneum	L_{sc}	10	um	USEPA, 1992d
Octanol-water Partition Coefficient/10^4	B	chemical specific	dimensionless	USEPA, 1992d
Pi	Pi	3.14	dimensionless	USEPA, 1992d
	T	$L_{sc}^2/6D_{sc}$	hr	USEPA, 1992d
Time to Reach Steady-State	t^*	chemical specific	hr	USEPA, 1992d
Stratum Corneum Diffusion Coefficient	D_{sc}	chemical specific	cm^2/hr	USEPA, 1992d

EQUATIONS

INORGANICS

$$PC_{event} = PC \times t_{event}$$

ORGANICS

$$PC_{event} = 2PC \times (6T \times t_{event}/Pi)^{0.5}$$

Where $t_{event} < t^*$

and: $$PC_{event} = PC \times ((t_{event}/(1+B)) + (2T \times ((1+3B)/(1+B))))$$

Where $t_{event} > t^*$

REFERENCES

USEPA, 1992d. Dermal Exposure Assessment: Principles and Applications.

TABLE W-2
CALCULATION OF PERMEABILITY CONSTANTS PER EVENT
COLD SPRING BROOK LANDFILL
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

CSBPCevent	14-Dec-93

COMPOUND	PC (cm/hr)	T (hr)	t^* (hr)	B (unitless)	PC_{event} (cm/event)
ORGANICS					
bis(2-ethylhexylphthalate)	3.3E-02	2.1E+01	1.0E+02	1.3E+01	1.87E-01
INORGANICS					
Aluminum	1.0E-03	–	–	–	2.00E-04
Arsenic	1.0E-03	–	–	–	2.00E-04
Barium	1.0E-03	–	–	–	2.00E-04
Calcium	1.0E-03	–	–	–	2.00E-04
Chromium	1.0E-03	–	–	–	2.00E-04
Cobalt	1.0E-03	–	–	–	2.00E-04
Copper	1.0E-03	–	–	–	2.00E-04
Iron	1.0E-03	–	–	–	2.00E-04
Lead	1.0E-03	–	–	–	2.00E-04
Magnesium	1.0E-03	–	–	–	2.00E-04
Manganese	1.0E-03	–	–	–	2.00E-04
Nickel	1.0E-03	–	–	–	2.00E-04
Potassium	1.0E-03	–	–	–	2.00E-04
Selenium	1.0E-03	–	–	–	2.00E-04
Sodium	1.0E-03	–	–	–	2.00E-04
Vanadium	1.0E-03	–	–	–	2.00E-04
Zinc	1.0E-03	–	–	–	2.00E-04

NONACOICUS BROOK AREA PRELIMINARY RISK EVALUATION

This page intentionally left blank.

APPENDIX X
NONACOICUS BROOK AREA
PRELIMINARY RISK EVALUATION

1.0 Preliminary Risk Evaluations

This section contains Public Health and Ecological Preliminary Risk Evaluations (PREs) for Nonacoicus Brook area shallow groundwater and soil. These PREs are based on the analytical data compiled in the RI Addendum Report for the Group 1A sites. The PREs are screening-level evaluations of potential risks that environmental contaminants may pose to human and ecological receptors in the area of Nonacoicus Brook.

1.1 Introduction

The PRE Methodology has been described in detail in previous Fort Devens Site Investigation (SI) Reports for the Groups 3, 5, and 6 Study Areas (ABB-ES, 1993a) and the Groups 2, 7, and Historic Gas Stations Study Areas (ABB-ES, 1993b). An abbreviated summary of the methodology used for the Public Health and the Ecological PREs follows in Subsections 1.2 and 1.3.

Shallow groundwater and surface soil samples collected in the vicinity of the Nonacoicus Brook area (Figure X-1) were analyzed for parameters that could be indicative of environmental medium contamination. These analytical data, presented in Tables 4-14 and 4-15 of the RI Addendum Report, were used in this PRE. The analytical data were compared to established Fort Devens analyte background concentrations when background data were available. The methodology used to calculate analyte background concentrations is provided in Section 4.0. Analytes which exceeded background concentrations were considered contaminants which could pose potential risk to ecological and human receptors. Therefore, these analytes were retained for comparison to human health and ecological guidelines.

1.2 Public Health PRE

For the Public Health PRE, the analytical data were compared to readily available public health guidelines for soil and groundwater. Soil guidelines used

in this PRE are contained in the USEPA Region III Risk-Based Concentration Table, Fourth Quarter 1993 (Smith, 1993) and the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection (MADEP) Massachusetts Contingency Plan (MADEP, 1993). Groundwater guidelines are contained in the USEPA Drinking Water Regulations and Health Advisories (USEPA, 1993); the Massachusetts Drinking Water Standards and Guidelines (West, 1993); and the USEPA Region III Risk-Based Concentration Table, Fourth Quarter 1993 (Smith, 1993).

The Region III Risk-Based Concentration Table is used by USEPA Region III toxicologists as a risk-based screening tool for Superfund sites, and as a benchmark for evaluating preliminary site investigation data and preliminary remediation goals. Although it has no official status either as regulation or guidance, it is useful as a screening tool. The risk-based concentrations are based on toxicity constants and "standard" exposure scenarios and they correspond to fixed levels of risk (i.e., a Hazard Quotient (HQ) of 1, or lifetime cancer risk of $1x10^{-6}$, whichever occurs at a lower concentration) in water, air, fish tissue, and soil. The MADEP MCP soil guidelines are intended to represent soil concentrations of hazardous materials that would allow the attainment of a permanent solution at a disposal site. These guidelines are based on either a risk-based soil concentration, the practical quantitation limit of the contaminant, or the background concentration of the contaminant, and are derived via methodology described in MADEP's draft regulations (MADEP, 1993a).

For soil, Region III risk-based concentrations have been developed for commercial/industrial soil exposure and residential soil exposure. The commercial/industrial concentrations are based on an assumption that a worker ingests soil 250 days per year for 25 years, at an ingestion rate of 100 milligrams per day (mg/day). The residential concentrations are based on an assumption that children 1 to 6 years of age ingest 200 milligrams of soil per day, 350 days per year, for 6 years, and that residents 7 to 30 years of age ingest 100 milligrams of soil per day, 350 days per year, for 24 years. Residential risk-based soil concentrations for non-carcinogens are based on childhood exposure and, for carcinogens, are based on combined childhood and adult exposure. MADEP MCP soil guidelines have been developed for three soil types, each of which represents different exposure/use assumptions. Soil category S-1 standards represent soil concentrations designed to protect sensitive receptors (e.g., children) from exposure to easily accessible soil (e.g., surface soil). Soil categories S-2 and S-3 standards represent soil concentrations designed to protect less-

sensitive receptors (e.g., adults, utility workers) from exposure to surface and subsurface soils. The S-1 soil standards are used in this PRE and represent the most conservative or health-protective standards.

Nonacoicus Brook area shallow groundwater contaminant concentrations were compared to criteria chosen preferentially from (1) USEPA Drinking Water Regulations; (2) Massachusetts Drinking Water Standards and Guidelines; and (3) USEPA Region III Risk-based Concentration Table, Fourth Quarter.

USEPA Drinking Water Regulations are issued as the Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL), which is the maximum allowable contaminant concentration in tap water (USEPA, 1993). Both final and proposed guidelines were used for comparison to study area analytical data. Massachusetts Drinking Water Standards and Guidelines have been promulgated by MADEP (West, 1993). For some contaminants, guidelines are more stringent than the federal standards. Additionally, MADEP has developed drinking water guidelines for contaminants for which no federal standards exist. Risk-based concentrations in the USEPA Region III table are based on combined child and adult exposure and the assumption that a resident will consume 2 liters of water per day, 350 days per year, for 30 years.

Future use of land in the area of Nonacoicus Brook is undetermined at this time. The area is a forested area which routinely floods and has a shallow groundwater table. It is therefore unlikely that the land would have commercial/industrial or residential use in the future. However, because the exposure assumptions associated with residential use are more conservative than those associated with commercial/industrial use, the Region III residential soil risk-based concentrations are used for comparison to the analytical data in this study area. Similarly, MADEP MCP S-1/GW-1 soil guidelines (MADEP, 1993) were used for comparison to the analytical data because they are designed to protect the most sensitive receptors (e.g., residential children) under conditions of the most frequent soil use and contact.

The Region III table does not include lead, an analyte present in Nonacoicus Brook area soil. However, the USEPA has published an interim soil cleanup level for total lead which is protective for direct contact exposure in residential settings (USEPA, 1989). This interim cleanup level is used in the Public Health PRE.

1.3 Ecological PRE

The Ecological PRE includes a preliminary ecological characterization of the Nonacoicus Brook area and a comparison of the analytical data to ecological PCLs estimated for this appendix. The purpose of the ecological characterization is to identify ecological receptors potentially exposed to contamination identified in this study area. A brief description of the vegetative cover in the vicinity of Nonacoicus Brook is provided along with identification of potential faunal receptors. The evaluation of exposure to ecological receptors was conducted through comparison of the concentrations of detected analytes to Protective Contaminant Levels (PCLs). Soil PCLs were used for comparison to analytical data because (1) soil at the Nonacoicus Brook site is the primary ecological media of concern; and (2) no state or federal ecological-based standards, guidelines, or threshold criteria exist for surface soil exposure.

Nonacoicus Brook soil PCLs were derived through a computer-generated chronic exposure food web model, as described in detail in Appendix H of the SI Report for Groups 2, 7, and Historic Gas Stations Study Areas (ABB-ES, 1993b); the chronic exposure food web model differs only in the site area used in the PCL calculations and the ecological receptors chosen for the food web. In order to better reflect actual site conditions, the Nonacoicus Brook site area (1.8 acres) was used in the PCL calculations, rather than the value (0.5 acres) chosen for Groups 2, 7 and Historic Gas Stations Study Areas PCL calculations (ABB-ES, 1993b). Because the primary habitat in the vicinity of Nonacoicus Brook is a forested region, PCLs for Nonacoicus Brook soil were developed for the following ecological receptors, whose life-history requirements (detailed in Section 7.0 of the RI Addendum Report) are summarized below:

- Short-tailed Shrew (*Blarina brevicauda*). The preferred habitat of this carnivorous small mammal includes woody regions with moist conditions. The shrew's small body size, limited home range, and voracious appetite are factors which tend to maximize potential contaminant uptake. This receptor has been used to represent the primary and secondary consumer small mammal community in the food web model.

- American woodcock (*Scolopax minor*). This small bird is often found in forested wetlands and forages primarily on invertebrates

such as earthworms, crickets, and beetles; plant consumption varies seasonally. The American woodcock was used to represent the small avian receptor trophic level for the food web model. This receptor was added to the existing list of Group 1A avian receptors (osprey, mallard duck, and great blue heron) because its life history habitat requirements (summarized in Attachment A, Table A-1) better match the wooded habitat in the area of Nonacoicus Brook. Additionally, the American woodcock is known to occur in Fort Devens habitats similar to the one in the vicinity of Nonacoicus Brook.

- Green frog (*Rana clamitans*). This receptor is typically found in shallow waters, brooks, and swamps, and forages on shoreline vegetation, small fish, and insects. The green frog has been used to represent the amphibian community in the food web model.

- Raccoon (*Procyon lotor*). The habitat and diet of this mammal are varied, as it is known to inhabit woodlands, agricultural fields, and floodplains, and forage for crayfish, insects, vegetation, fruits, and garbage. The raccoon has been used to represent the omnivorous mammal community in the food web model.

2.0 Public Health PRE

The purpose of the public health PRE is to provide a screening-level evaluation of actual and potential risks to humans if exposed to contaminants detected in the samples collected in the Nonacoicus Brook area. For this PRE, the future use of the Nonacoicus Brook area is assumed to be residential. As previously stated, it is unlikely that land in this area would have commercial/industrial or residential use in the future. In fact, it is likely that use of the Nonacoicus Brook area for these purposes is prohibited under the Massachusetts Floodplain Protection Act (MGL 131.40 and 310.10 CMR). The use of the residential soil screening criteria and drinking water standards represents the most conservative (i.e., health protective) approach. Tables X-1 and X-2 present summary statistics and human health guidelines used in the PRE.

2.1 Shallow Groundwater

Four groundwater samples (SHW-92-01X-through-SHW-92-04X) were collected from shallow, 2.5-to-3-foot deep pits in the study area and analyzed for PAL VOCs, pesticides, PCBs, and inorganics (Figure X-1). Due to the high TSS, both unfiltered and filtered samples were analyzed. A discussion of sample collection and analysis is presented in Section 4.0 of the RI Addendum Report; analytical data for each sample collected are provided in Table 4-14 of Report. Inorganics were the only analytes identified in the shallow groundwater samples; Table X-1 presents summary statistics and a comparison to screening guidelines. In unfiltered samples, all but the maximum detected concentration of sodium exceeded Fort Devens background concentrations. In filtered samples, the maximum concentrations of six analytes exceeded Fort Devens background concentrations. Chromium, copper, mercury, and vanadium were not identified in filtered samples.

The maximum detected concentrations of aluminum, iron, lead and manganese in unfiltered water, and the maximum detected concentrations of aluminum, iron, and manganese in filtered water, exceeded their respective drinking water standards or guidelines. The exceedances for three analytes (aluminum, iron, and manganese) involve secondary MCLs. Secondary MCLs are federal standards promulgated for aesthetic or economic reasons, not health reasons. Although an MCL does not exist for manganese at this time, the maximum concentration of manganese exceeds the Maximum Contaminant Level Goal for manganese of 200 μg/L, which is a health based goal. The Fort Devens background concentrations of aluminum, iron, and manganese also exceeded the drinking water standards. Although the maximum detected concentrations of aluminum and iron in filtered groundwater exceeded their respective standards, they did not exceed their respective Fort Devens background concentrations.

2.2 Surface Soil

Table X-2 presents a comparison of maximum detected analyte concentrations of the eight surface soil samples collected in the Nonacoicus Brook area to USEPA residential soil concentrations and MADEP MCP S-1 soil guidelines, which represent the most health-protective set of soil standards developed by MADEP (MADEP, 1993). Soil data are represented by sample locations SHD-92-29X-through-SHD-92-32X (Figure X-1), each sampled at 0- and 2-foot depths (to

equal eight samples total). Analytical data for each sample collected is provided in Table 4-15 of the RI Addendum Report. Two pesticides (DDT and DDE), one volatile organic compound (trichlorofluoromethane) and twenty inorganics were identified in Nonacoicus Brook region soil (Table X-2). The maximum concentrations of all inorganics except aluminum, iron, potassium, magnesium, and vanadium exceeded Fort Devens background concentrations. The maximum concentrations of arsenic and beryllium exceeded their respective Region III residential soil concentrations. While the maximum concentration of beryllium slightly exceeded the MADEP MCP S-1/GW-1 soil guideline, the maximum detected concentration of arsenic did not exceed the S-1/GW-1 soil guideline. The maximum detected concentration of trichlorofluoromethane was well below the USEPA Region III risk-based concentration for residential soil.

3.0 Ecological PRE

The purpose of the Ecological PRE at Nonacoicus Brook is to provide a screening-level evaluation of actual and potential risks that environmental contaminants may pose to the resident and migratory ecological receptors in the Nonacoicus Brook area. As discussed in Section 4.3 of the RI Addendum Report, the shallow groundwater is not believed to be discharging to the surface soil in the Nonacoicus Brook region. Because there is little potential for ecological receptor contact with groundwater in the Nonacoicus Brook area, shallow groundwater has not been evaluated in this ecological PRE.

3.1 Ecological Habitat Characterization

The Nonacoicus Brook site area primarily consists of a forested region with a moderately thick understory. It is likely that portions of this region contain jurisdictional wetlands. A sloping hillside is located upgradient of the brook area and is dominated by white oak (*Quercus alba*), red oak (*Quercus rubra*) and white pine (*Pinus strobus*), with an understory consisting primarily of lowbush blueberry (*Vaccinium angustifolium*), sweet fern (*Comptonia peregrina*), bracken fern (*Pteridium aquilinum*), and common juniper (*Juniper communis*), interspersed with oak and pine saplings.

The tree layer in the Nonacoicus Brook forested region is dominated by red maple (*Acer rubrum*) and white oak, and is interspersed with grey birch (*Betula populifolia*), white pines and occasional large silver maple (*Acer saccharinum*).

The shrub community in this region is dominated by nannyberry (*Viburnum lentago*), highbush blueberry (*Vaccinium corymbosum*), red maple, and white pine saplings. Occasional northern arrowwood (*Viburnum recognitum*), grape (*Vitis* sp.), honeysuckle (*Lonicera* sp.), black cherry (*Prunus serotina*), wood fern (*Dryopteris* sp.), and red osier dogwood (*Cornus stolonifera*) are interspersed throughout the area. The understory within the forested area is dominated by various fern species such as royal fern (*Osmunda regalis*), sensitive fern (*Onoclea sensibilis*), and cinnamon fern (*Osmunda cinnamomea*), grass species, soft rush (*Juncus effusus*), sphagnum moss (*Sphagnum* sp.), princess pine (*Lycopodium obscurum*), wool grass (*Scirpus cyperinus*), goldenrod (*Solidago* sp.), bramble (*Rubus* sp.), and alder (*Alnus rugosa*).

Although less than two acres in size, the Nonacoicus Brook area may provide a suitable habitat for a number of terrestrial and semi-aquatic faunal species including the short-tailed shrew, mink, beaver, green frog, painted turtle, American woodcock, and white-tailed deer.

Potential contaminant exposure pathways exist in the Nonacoicus Brook area for terrestrial and semi-aquatic receptors via incidental surface soil ingestion and terrestrial food web exposure. In addition, due to the close proximity of Nonacoicus Brook to Plow Shop Pond, terrestrial and semi-terrestrial receptors associated with Plow Shop Pond may be exposed to soil contaminants in the Nonacoicus Brook area. A detailed ecological risk assessment of Plow Shop Pond is provided in Section 7.0 of the RI Addendum Report.

3.2 Surface Soil

Table X-3 presents a comparison of summary statistics on the eight surface soil samples collected in the Nonacoicus Brook area to surface soil PCLs. Soil data are represented by sample locations SHD-92-29X-through-SHD-92-32X (Figure X-1), each sampled at 0- and 2-foot depths. Analytical data for each sample collected are provided in Table 4-15 of the RI Addendum Report. Two pesticides (DDT and DDE), one volatile organic compound (trichlorofluoromethane), and twenty inorganics were identified in the Nonacoicus Brook region surface soil. The maximum detected concentrations of all inorganics except aluminum, iron, potassium, magnesium, and vanadium exceeded Fort Devens background soil concentrations. These inorganics, in addition to the essential nutrients calcium

and sodium, were not retained as COPCs and therefore were excluded from comparison to PCL values.

With the exception of lead, the maximum detected concentrations of all COPCs were well below their respective PCL values. The maximum detected concentration of lead exceeded its PCL value by a factor of approximately two (82 versus 48.2 μg/g). Uncertainties associated with use of the lead PCL value are discussed in Section 4.0 of this appendix.

4.0 SUMMARY OF PREs

Four analytes in shallow groundwater exceeded drinking water guidelines, two analytes in surface soil exceeded public health soil guidelines, and one analyte in surface soil exceeded its ecological PCL concentration.

Although four inorganics detected in shallow groundwater exceeded their respective drinking water guidelines (aluminum, iron, lead, and manganese), only the drinking water guideline for lead and the MCLG for manganese are based on health-protective endpoints. Analyte concentration exceedances of aluminum and iron guidelines, which are derived for aesthetic or economic reasons, may not be indicative of a health risk. In addition, because the groundwater was obtained from test pits at two-to-three foot depths, it is not representative of groundwater that would be used for drinking water, thereby making drinking water guidelines conservative standards for comparison.

Arsenic and beryllium both exceeded Region III risk-based soil concentrations; however, arsenic did not exceed the MADEP S-1/GW-1 standard and beryllium, which was detected in only one sample, only slightly exceeded the S-1/GW-1 standard. As previously stated, these standards are for a residential setting with soil frequently being contacted by sensitive receptors. It is likely that the Nonacoicus Brook forested area will not be used for residential (or commercial) purposes. Evaluation of Nonacoicus Brook analytical data with these guidelines represents a conservative approach.

There are numerous uncertainties and assumptions associated with the ecological PRE including: ecological receptor exposure assumptions and toxicity dose-response data used in PCL calculations; lack of quantitative evaluation of dermal

and inhalation exposure pathways, lack of consideration of additive or antagonistic effects of exposure to multiple chemicals; and, lack of evaluation of population-level risks. These uncertainties are described in more detail in Appendix H of the Groups 2, 7 and Historic Gas Stations report (ABB-ES, 1993b) and in Section 7.3 of the RI Addendum Report.

Due to these multiple uncertainties, the calculated ecological PCL for lead was below the Fort Devens established background lead concentration. Therefore, as described in ABB-ES (1993b), the lead PCL was set equal to the Fort Devens established background lead concentration.

One variable which accounts for the lower-than-background calculated lead PCL values is the bioaccumulation factor (BAF) for invertebrates (2.4) that was used in the food web model. A recent study conducted by Corp and Morgan (1991) indicates that a more appropriate invertebrate BAF value for lead may be approximately two orders of magnitude lower than the one used in the food web model. Regression equations developed by Corp and Morgan (1991) express the relationships between earthworm tissue concentrations measured in their study and soil lead concentrations, soil calcium concentrations, and soil pH. This study suggests that increased soil calcium concentrations generally result in decreased lead bioaccumulation. The Corp and Morgan regression equation is:

$$\log(\textit{tissue concentration}) = 1.16 + 0.916 \log(\textit{soil concentration}) - 0.326 \log(\textit{soil calcium concentration})$$

Using the regression equation to express earthworm tissue concentration in relation to the average Nonacoicus Brook area soil lead and calcium concentration results in a lead BAF of 0.095 (assuming 90 % dry weight to weight wet conversion). Use of this BAF as the invertebrate BAF for lead results in a calculated lead PCL of 207 mg/kg (for the shrew). Therefore, exceedance of the lead PCL (48 mg/kg) by the maximum detected surface soil lead concentration (82 mg/kg), may result in a considerable overestimate of ecological risk. Use of the invertebrate BAF value developed by the method of Corp and Morgan (1991) results in no ecological risk at the Nonacoicus Brook area.

In summary, the PREs conducted for the detected contaminants indicate some exceedances of public health standards or guidelines for soil and groundwater and one exceedance of an ecological PCL. However, these exceedances are based on comparisons of detected concentrations to conservative standards and are not considered to represent a significant public health or ecological risk.

REFERENCES

ABB-ES, 1993a. Final Site Investigation Report, Fort Devens, Groups 3, 5, and 6. Prepared for U.S. Army Environmental Center, Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland. Portland, Maine: ABB-ES. April.

ABB-ES, 1993b. Final Site Investigation Report, Fort Devens, Groups 2, 7, and Historic Gas Stations. Prepared for U.S. Army Environmental Center, Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland. Portland, Maine: ABB-ES. May.

Corp,N., Morgan,A.J. (1991). Accumulation of heavy metals from polluted soils by the earthworm, *Lubricus rubellus*: Can laboratory exposure of control earthworms reduce biomonitoring problems? *Environmental Pollution* **74**: 39-52

Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection (MADEP), 1993. "Revised Massachusetts Contingency Plan." 310 CMR 4.00 *et seq.*

Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection (MADEP), 1993a. "Waste Cleanup Program Redesign Draft Regulation Package; Part 4, Appendix B."

Smith, R.L., 1993. "Risk-Based Concentration Table, Fourth Quarter 1993." U.S. Environmental Protection Agency; Region III; Philadelphia, Pennsylvania; Fourth Quarter.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1989. "Interim Guidance on Establishing Soil Lead Cleanup Levels at Superfund Sites." Office of Emergency and Remedial Response, Washington, D.C.; OSWER Directive 9355.4-02.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1993. "Drinking Water Regulations and Health Advisories." Office of Drinking Water, Washington, D.C.; May.

West, C.R., 1993. "Massachusetts Drinking Water Standards and Guidelines"; Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection; Office of Research and Standards; Boston, Massachusetts; Spring.




FIGURE X-1
SHALLOW GROUNDWATER AND SOIL SAMPLE LOCATIONS - NONACOICUS BROOK WETLAND
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ABB Environmental Services, Inc.

9303022D 1S6N

TABLE X-1
HUMAN HEALTH PRE OF SHALLOW GROUNDWATER

NONACOICUS BROOK FLOODPLAIN AREA
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

Analyte	Groundwater Background Concentration [a] (ug/l)	Maximum Concentration Detected (ug/l)	Frequency of Detection [b]	Maximum Exceeds Exceeds Background? (Y/N)	Drinking Water Guideline (ug/l)	Maximum Exceeds Guideline Concentration? (Y/N)
Inorganics – Unfiltered						
aluminum	6870	18,800	3/4	YES	200 [c]	YES
arsenic	10.5	24.9	3/4	YES	50 [d]	NO
barium	39.6	136	4/4	YES	2,000 [d]	NO
calcium	14,700	28,300	4/4	YES	NA	NA
chromium	14.7	32.7	2/4	YES	100 [d]	NO
copper	8.09	19.0	1/4	YES	1,000 [c]	NO
iron	9,100	14,700	3/4	YES	300 [c]	YES
lead	4.25	81.7	4/4	YES	15 [e]	YES
mercury	0.243	0.886	2/4	YES	2 [d]	NO
potassium	2,370	3,440	4/4	YES	NA	NA
magnesium	3,480	3,790	4/4	YES	NA	NA
manganese	291	541	4/4	YES	50 [c]	YES
sodium	10,800	2,590	4/4	NO	20,000 [f]	NO
vanadium	11	23.9	2/4	YES	260 [g]	NO
zinc	21.1	251	2/4	YES	5,000 [c]	NO
Inorganics – Filtered						
aluminum	6,870	1,220	2/4	NO	200 [c]	YES
arsenic	10.5	2.98	1/4	NO	50 [d]	NO
barium	39.6	67	4/4	YES	2,000 [d]	NO
calcium	14,700	25,900	4/4	YES	NA	NA
iron	9,100	566	4/4	NO	300 [c]	YES
lead	4.25	5.53	2/4	YES	15 [e]	NO
potassium	2,370	2,530	4/4	YES	NA	NA
magnesium	3,480	3,410	4/4	NO	NA	NA
manganese	291	417	4/4	YES	50 [c]	YES
sodium	10,800	2,340	4/4	NO	20,000 [f]	NO
zinc	21.1	160	1/4	YES	5,000 [c]	NO

NOTES:

[a] Fort Devens background groundwater concentrations (ABB-ES, 1993b).

[b] Shallow groundwater samples include SHW-92-01X, SHW-92-02X, SHW-92-03X, and SHW-92-04X (filtered and unfiltered for each sample); analytical data presented in Table 4-11 of this report.

[c] USEPA secondary MCL (USEPA, 1993).

[d] USEPA primary MCL (USEPA, 1993).

[e] No USEPA MCL exists for this contaminant; value used is USEPA Action Level (USEPA, 1993).

[f] USEPA Drinking Water Equivalent Level guideline (USEPA, 1993).

[g] USEPA Region III risk-based concentration table – tap water, fourth quarter 1993 (Smith, 1993).

NA = not available or not applicable

TABLE X-2
HUMAN HEALTH PRE OF SOIL

NONACOICUS BROOK FLOODPLAIN AREA
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

Analyte	Soil Background Concentration [a] (ug/g)	Maximum Concentration Detected (ug/g)	Frequency of Detection [b]	Maximum Exceeds Exceeds Background? (Y/N)	Region III Residential Soil Concentration [c] (ug/g)	MADEP MCP S-1 Soil Guidelines [d] (ug/g)	Maximum Exceeds Region III Concentration? (Y/N)	Maximum Exceeds MADEP MCP Concentration? (Y/N)
Organics (ug/g)								
DDT	NA	0.042	3/8	NA	1.9	2	NO	NO
DDE	NA	0.17	3/8	NA	1.9	2	NO	NO
trichlorofluoromethane	NA	0.008	1/8	NA	23,000	NA	NO	NA
Inorganics (ug/g)								
aluminum	15,000	14,500	8/8	NO	230,000	NA	NO	NA
arsenic	21	28.0	8/8	YES	0.36	30	YES	NO
barium	42.5	55	7/8	YES	5,500	NA	NO	NA
beryllium	0.347	1.25	1/8	YES	0.15	0.4	YES	YES
calcium	1,400	2,850	8/8	YES	NA	NA	NA	NA
cobalt	NA	6.5	6/8	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
chromium	31	89.5	8/8	YES	390 [e]	200 [e]	NO	NO
copper	8.39	17.6	8/8	YES	2,900	NA	NO	NA
iron	15,000	14,600	8/8	NO	NA	NA	NA	NA
lead	48.4	82	8/8	YES	500 [f]	300	NO	NO
mercury	0.22	1.9	6/8	YES	23	10	NO	NO
potassium	1,700	1150	8/8	NO	NA	NA	NA	NA
magnesium	5,600	3,230	8/8	NO	NA	NA	NA	NA
manganese	300	340	8/8	YES	390	NA	NO	NA
sodium	131	364	8/8	YES	NA	NA	NA	NA
nickel	14	18.7	7/8	YES	1,600	300	NO	NO
selenium	NA	0.679	2/8	NA	390	300	NO	NO
silver	0.086	1.22	1/8	YES	390	100	NO	NO
vanadium	28.7	21.7	7/8	NO	550	NA	NO	NA
zinc	35.5	77.7	7/8	YES	23,000	2,500	NO	NO

NOTES:

[a] Fort Devens background soil concentrations (ABB-ES, 1993b).

[b] Soil samples include SHD-92-29X, SHD-92-30X, SHD-92-31X, and SHD-92-32X (0 and 2 ft. intervals for each sample); analytical data presented in Table 4-12 of this report.

[c] USEPA Region III Risk-based concentration table, fourth quarter 1993 (Smith, 1993)

[d] Massachusetts MCP (MADEP, 1993)

[e] For hexavalent chromium

[f] USEPA Interim Soil Cleanup Level for Superfund sites (OSWER, 9355.4-02)

NA = not available or not applicable

TABLE X-3
ECOLOGICAL PRE OF SOIL

NONACOICUS BROOK FLOODPLAIN AREA
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

Analyte	Soil Background Concentration [a] (ug/g)	Maximum Concentration Detected (ug/g)	Frequency of Detection [b]	Maximum Exceeds Exceeds Background? (Y/N)	Ecological Benchmark [c] (ug/g)	Maximum Exceeds Benchmark Concentration? (Y/N)
Organics (ug/g)						
DDT	NA	0.042	3/8	NA	0.96	NO
DDE	NA	0.17	3/8	NA	0.96	NO
trichlorofluoromethane	NA	0.008	1/8	NA	3880	NO
Inorganics (ug/g)						
aluminum	15,000	14,500	8/8	NO	NA [d]	NA
arsenic	21	28.0	8/8	YES	44	NO
barium	42.5	55.4	7/8	YES	81	NO
beryllium	0.347	1.25	1/8	YES	1.75	NO
calcium	1,400	2,850	8/8	YES	NA [e]	NA
cobalt	NA	6.5	6/8	NA	100	NO
chromium	31	89.5	8/8	YES	154	NO
copper	8.39	17.6	8/8	YES	26	NO
iron	15,000	14,600	8/8	NO	NA [d]	NA
lead	48.4	82	8/8	YES	48.4	YES
mercury	0.22	1.9	6/8	YES	16.5	NO
potassium	1,700	1,150	8/8	NO	NA [e]	NA
magnesium	5,600	3,230	8/8	NO	NA [e]	NA
manganese	300	340	8/8	YES	2,940	NO
sodium	131	364	8/8	YES	NA [e]	NA
nickel	14	18.7	7/8	YES	41	NO
selenium	NA	0.679	2/8	NA	1.73	NO
silver	0.086	1.22	1/8	YES	144	NO
vanadium	28.7	21.7	7/8	NO	NA [d]	NA
zinc	35.5	77.7	7/8	YES	1,270	NO

NOTES:

[a] Fort Devens background soil concentrations (ABB-ES, 1993b).

[b] Soil samples include SHD-92-29X, SHD-92-30X, SHD-92-31X, and SHD-92-32X (0 and 2 ft. intervals for each sample); analytical data provided in Table 4-12 of this report.

[c] Benchmark values derived via methodology presented in Groups 2,7 and Historic Gas Stations Study Areas RI (ABB-ES, 1993b).

[d] Analyte was excluded as a COPC because the maximum detected concentration was less than the background concentration.

[e] Analyte is an essential nutrient and therefore was excluded as a COPC as described in Appendix X.

NA = not available or not applicable

ATTACHMENT A

EXPOSURE PARAMETERS FOR THE AMERICAN WOODCOCK

TABLE A–1
EXPOSURE PARAMETERS FOR THE AMERICAN WOODCOCK

<table>
<tr><th>RECEPTOR SPECIES</th><th>EXPOSURE PARAMETER</th><th>REPORTED VALUES</th><th>REFERENCE</th><th>VALUES SELECTED FOR ECOLOGICAL RISK</th></tr>
<tr><td>American Woodcock (Scolopax minor)</td><td>Home Range (acres)</td><td>0.25 to 100 acres territory size</td><td>DeGraaf and Rudis, 1986</td><td>50 [a]</td></tr>
<tr><td></td><td>Percent Prey Items</td><td>50 to 90 % earthworms; rest is beetles, flies, insects, and occasionally plants

60% earthworms, 30% insects, 10% plants shrews, rabbits, some small birds, caterpillars of large moths, grasshoppers, crickets, beetles, dragonflies, ants, spiders, crayfishes, earthworms, etc. The percentage of plant material in diet varies seasonally as shown below:

<table><tr><th>Season</th><th>No. Months</th><th>Percent</th></tr><tr><td>Winter</td><td>5</td><td>9%</td></tr><tr><td>Spring</td><td>2</td><td>13%</td></tr><tr><td>Summer</td><td>3</td><td>2%</td></tr><tr><td>Fall</td><td>2</td><td>6%</td></tr><tr><td>Estimated Year–round Average</td><td></td><td>7%</td></tr></table></td><td>DeGraaf and Rudis, 1986

Martin et al., 1951</td><td>Invertebrates: 85%
Plants: 10%
Soil: 5%</td></tr>
<tr><td></td><td>Ingestion Rate (kg/day)</td><td>100% of body weight/day or more</td><td>Terres, 1987</td><td>0.22 kg/day</td></tr>
<tr><td></td><td>Body Weight (kg)</td><td>Males average 6.2 oz (0.18 kg); females average 7.7 oz (0.22 kg)</td><td>Terres, 1987</td><td>0.22 kg</td></tr>
</table>

[a] Average of range of values.

EVALUATION OF GROUNDWATER IRRIGATION EXPOSURE PATHWAY

This page intentionally left blank.

EVALUATION OF GROUNDWATER IRRIGATION EXPOSURE PATHWAY

One of the comments made by the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection (MADEP) on the Draft Supplemental Risk Assessments for Shepley's Hill Landfill and Cold Spring Brook Landfill (July 1993) questioned the relative contribution of the exposure pathway involving the irrigation of fruits and vegetables with contaminated groundwater to the total groundwater risks. In the July 1993 draft risk assessments, this pathway was not evaluated. This was because the health risks associated with this pathway (as estimated in the RI Risk Assessment of April 1993) represented approximately one to three percent of the total risk from groundwater exposures. In addition, confidence in the risk estimates generated for the other three groundwater exposure pathways was considered much higher than for the irrigation pathway.

In response to MADEP's comment, ABB-ES performed an independent evaluation of the potential for exposure to contaminants as a result of consumption of produce that has been watered with contaminated groundwater.

The analytical data from Well Group 1 at Shepley's Hill Landfill (as summarized in Table 6-5) were used in this evaluation. Only volatile organic compounds and inorganics were detected in groundwater. Consistent with MADEP risk assessment guidance (MADEP, 1992), volatile organics were not evaluated here because significant levels of these compounds are not expected to accumulate in plants due to their volatile characteristics. To estimate concentrations of inorganic contaminants that would occur in soil as a result of irrigation with contaminated groundwater, an approach developed by the California EPA and presented in their document CalTOX, A Multimedia Total Exposure Model for Hazardous Waste Sites (CalEPA, 1993) was used. The CalTOX approach uses the following factors:

$$C_s = f^w_q \times K_D \times f_{ir} \times C_{gw}$$

Where:

C^s = concentration in soil (mg/kg)

f^w_q	=	fraction of water needs provided by groundwater (unitless) = 0.8 (CalEPA, 1993)
K_D	=	soil/soil-water partition coefficient (kg water/kg solids)
f_{ir}	=	fraction of the chemical concentration in irrigation water retained in soil water (unitless) = 0.25 (CalEPA, 1993)
C_{gw}	=	concentration in groundwater (mg/l)

Values for K_D were obtained from Baes et al. (1984), A Review and Analysis of Parameters for Assessing Transport of Environmentally Released Radionuclides Through Agriculture.

Once a concentration in soil was obtained, the Food Chain Multipliers listed in the Short Form Documentation (MADEP, 1992) were used, in concert with appropriate dose/response values, to estimate hazard indices (HIs) and excess lifetime cancer risks (ELCRs). For those inorganics that MADEP has not developed a food chain multiplier, the highest multiplier listed by MADEP (for silver) was used as a default value. While this assumption adds additional uncertainty to this assessment, it is unlikely that it results in a significant underestimate of risk. This is because it is likely that the inorganics behave in a somewhat similar manner, as evidenced by the food chain multipliers for all the metals evaluated by MADEP (except silver, which is the highest) being within about two orders of magnitude of each other.

Using this approach, the total HI for maximum groundwater concentrations was estimated at 0.2. The ELCR associated with arsenic exposure (the only carcinogen) was 1.9×10^{-6}. In comparison, the total HI for exposure to groundwater via ingestion and while showering was estimated to be 90, while the ELCR was 8×10^{-3}. Thus, this brief analysis confirms the assertion that the produce irrigation pathway is not an important contributor to the risk estimates for groundwater exposure. Using this approach, the irrigation pathway represents about 0.2 and 0.02 percent of the noncancer and cancer risk estimates from exposure to groundwater at the maximum detected concentrations.

References

Baes, C.F. et al. 1984. "A Review and Analysis of Parameters for Assessing Transport of Environmentally Released Radionuclides through Agriculture". Oak Ridge National Laboratory, ORNL-5786. September 1984.

California Environmental Protection Agency (CalEPA, 1993. "CalTOX, A Multimedia Total Exposure Model for Hazardous Waste Sites"; Office of Toxic Substances Control, California Environmental Protection Agency; Sacramento, California; June 1993.

MADEP, 1992. "Risk Assessment Shortform Residential Exposure Scenario, Version 1.6"; Policy #WSC/ORS-142-92; Office of Research and Standards and the Bureau of Waste Site Cleanup, Boston, MA; September 1992.

TABLE Y-1
ESTIMATION OF HEALTH RISK FOR GROUNDWATER IRRIGATION PATHWAY
USING CALTOX MODEL AND MADEP FOOD CHAIN MULTIPLIERS

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION ADDENDUM REPORT
FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GROUP 1A SITES
FORT DEVENS, MA

ANALYTE	CONC GW (mg/l)	Kd	CONC SOIL (mg/kg)	HIM (1/day)	RfD (mg/kg/day)	HI
NONCARCINOGENS						
Aluminum	75.5000	1500	22650.00	**5.5E-05**	NA	
Antimony	0.0033	45	0.03	**5.5E-05**	0.0004	0.00408375
Arsenic	0.3900	200	15.60	2.6E-07	0.0003	0.01352
Barium	0.3500	60	4.20	**5.5E-05**	0.07	0.0033
Chromium	0.1150	850	19.55	6.8E-06	0.005	0.026588
Copper	0.0922	35	0.65	**5.5E-05**	NA	
Lead	0.0668	900	12.02	3.4E-07	**NA**	
Manganese	9.6500	65	125.45	**5.5E-05**	0.14	0.04928392
Nickel	0.1770	150	5.31	9.5E-06	0.02	0.00252225
Vanadium	0.0791	1000	15.82	**5.5E-05**	0.007	0.1243
Zinc	0.2200	40	1.76	4.3E-06	0.3	0.00002522
					TOTAL	0.22362315
ANALYTE	CONC GW (mg/l)	Kd	CONC SOIL (mg/kg)	CRM	SLOPE FACTOR	ELCR
CARCINOGENS						
Arsenic	0.3900	200.00	15.60	7.00E-08	1.75	1.91E-06
					TOTAL	1.91E-06

Notes:
HIM = Chronic Hazard Index Multiplier (l/day); values extracted from Table 8-23 of MADEP,199
Values in bold are set at the default value for silver.
CRM = Cancer Risk Multiplier (l/day); values extracted from Table 8-23 of MADEP, 1992.
HI = (HIM x CONC. SOIL)/RfD
ELCR = CRM x CONC. SOIL x Slope Factor
NA = Not available

TOXICITY PROFILES

This page intentionally left blank.

TOXICITY PROFILES

Aluminum

Aluminum is the third most abundant element in the earth's crust, and it is ubiquitous in air and water, as well as soil. High soil concentrations are associated with industries, which burn coal and aluminum mining and smelting. Speculation exists that aluminum may be an essential nutrient. Human exposures to aluminum occur primarily through ingestion of foods grown in soil that contains aluminum, ingestion of water used in food preparation, food additives, contamination by aluminum utensils and containers and use of antacids, antiperspirants, and other drug store items. Daily aluminum intake from food and water is estimated at 2-25 mg.

Aluminum is poorly absorbed from the gastrointestinal tract. However, humans and animals accumulate excess levels of aluminum in tissues following continued exposure. Aluminum may accumulate in all tissues, with bone and lung tissue generally having the highest concentrations and blood having the lowest concentrations. Excretion occurs through urine and feces.

Many of the toxic effects of aluminum are due to its interactions with nutrients, such as phosphorus, calcium, fluoride, magnesium, iron, and Vitamin D. Aluminum toxicity is documented to occur following infusion of aluminum-contaminated dialysate fluids and parenteral nutrition solutions as well as by ingestion of aluminum-containing pharmaceutical products. Aluminum in antiperspirants can cause skin rashes in some people. Factory workers who inhale large amounts of aluminum dust may develop lung problems, such as pulmonary fibrosis. Aluminum exposure has caused lower birth weights in some animals. Studies have shown that aluminum accumulates in the brains of individuals with Alzheimer's disease. However, any causal link between aluminum exposure and this disease is yet to be demonstrated. Both human epidemiological studies and animal experiments strongly suggests that aluminum is not a carcinogen.

References:

Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR), 1989. "Toxicological Profile for Aluminum"; Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, U.S. Public Health Service, October 1989.

Greger, J.L., 1993. Aluminum Metabolism. Annu. Rev. Nutr. 13: 43-63.

Antimony

Antimony may have a tri- or pentavalence and it belongs to the same periodic group as arsenic. Antimony enters the environment during the mining and processing of its ores and other related compounds. Small amounts of antimony are also released into the environment by incinerators and coal burning power plants. Antimony will strongly adhere to soil which contains iron, manganese, or aluminum. Exposure for the general population is largely from food.

The absorption and distribution of antimony within the body is thought to resemble that of arsenic. Absorption is slow and incomplete with accompanying gastrointestinal irritation when elevated levels are consumed. Trivalent antimony compounds concentrate in red blood cells and liver while pentavalent forms concentrate mostly in plasma. Excretion occurs through urine and feces.

Antimony was used for medicinal purposes to treat individuals infected with parasites. Chronic exposure to airborne antimony may cause eye, skin, and lung irritation. Chronic occupational exposures are associated with transient skin eruptions termed "antimony spots". High level oral exposures have been linked with heart problems, and severe vomiting with diarrhea. The oral RfD is based on an oral drinking water study in rats which showed changes in glucose and cholesterol metabolism. Antimony has not been evaluated by the USEPA for evidence of human carcinogenic potential. Oral feeding of antimony to rats has not produced evidence of tumorigenicity. However, in vitro mutagenicity tests have demonstrated a possible link of antimony to chromosome defects.

References:

Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR), 1991. "Toxicological Profile for Antimony"; Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, U.S. Public Health Service, February 1991.

Goyer, R.A., 1991. Toxic Effects of Metals. In: Casarett and Doull's Toxicology: The Basic Science of Poisons, 3rd edition. Eds. C.D. Klaassen, M.O. Amdur and J. Doull. Macmillan Publishing Co. N.Y.

Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS), 1993. United States Environmental Protection Agency.

Benzo(a)anthracene

Benzo(a)anthracene is a member of the polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) class of compounds which contain two or more aromatic rings. PAHs are ubiquitous in nature and are also manmade. Benzo(a)anthracene occurs naturally in coal tar, crude oil, and is formed from incomplete combustion of organic material. It is also product of pyrolysis in tobacco smoke.

Benzo(a)anthracene has produced skin tumors in laboratory animals after dermal application. Benzo(a)anthracene produced mutations in bacteria and in mammalian cells, and transformed mammalian cells in culture. Although there are no human data that specifically link exposure to benzo(a)anthracene to human cancers, benzo(a)anthracene is a component of mixtures that have been associated with human cancer. As such, benzo(a)anthracene has been classified by USEPA as a B2, probable human carcinogen.

References:

MADEP, 1992. "Risk Assessment Shortform Residential Exposure Scenario, Version 1.6"; Policy #WSC/ORS-142-92; Office of Research and Standards and the Bureau of Waste Site Cleanup, Boston, MA; September 1992.

Benzo(a)pyrene

Benzo(a)pyrene is a member of the polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) class of compounds which contain two or more aromatic rings. PAHs are ubiquitous in nature and are also manmade. Benzo(a)pyrene occurs naturally in coal tar, crude oil, and is formed from incomplete combustion of organic material.

Human data demonstrating a causal relationship linking benzo(a)pyrene to carcinogenicity are lacking. However, multiple animal studies in many species demonstrate benzo(a)pyrene to be carcinogenic following administration by a variety of routes. The mechanism through which benzo(a)pyrene elicits its carcinogenic potential is well understood. Benzo(a)pyrene has produced positive results in numerous genotoxicity assays. Benzo(a)pyrene has been classified by the USEPA as a B2, probable human carcinogen.

References:

MADEP, 1992. "Risk Assessment Shortform Residential Exposure Scenario, Version 1.6"; Policy #WSC/ORS-142-92; Office of Research and Standards and the Bureau of Waste Site Cleanup, Boston, MA; September 1992.

Benzo(b)fluoranthene

Benzo(b)fluoranthene is a member of the polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) class of compounds which contain two or more aromatic rings. PAHs are ubiquitous in nature and are also manmade. Benzo(b)fluoranthene occurs naturally in coal tar, crude oil, and is formed from incomplete combustion of organic material.

Although there are no human data that specifically link exposure to benzo(b)fluoranthene to human cancers, benzo(b)fluoranthene is a component of mixtures that have been associated with human cancer. These include coal tar, soots, coke oven emissions and cigarette smoke. Benzo(b)fluoranthene produced tumors in mice after lung implantation, intraperitoneal, or subcutaneous injection, and skin painting. Benzo(b)fluoranthene has produced positive results in several genotoxicity assays. It has been classified as a B2, probable human carcinogen, by the USEPA.

References:

MADEP, 1992. "Risk Assessment Shortform Residential Exposure Scenario, Version 1.6"; Policy #WSC/ORS-142-92; Office of Research and Standards and the Bureau of Waste Site Cleanup, Boston, MA; September 1992.

Benzo(g,h,i)perylene

Benzo(g,h,i)perylene is a member of the polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) class of compounds which contain two or more aromatic rings. PAHs are ubiquitous in nature and are also man made. They occur naturally in coal tar, crude oil, and are formed from incomplete combustion of organic material.

There are no available data regarding human exposures. There are inadequate animal data from lung implant, skin-painting and subcutaneous injection bioassays. The USEPA has determined that benzo(g,h,i)perylene is not classifiable as to human carcinogenicity, and has assigned it to class D.

References:

MADEP, 1992. "Risk Assessment Shortform Residential Exposure Scenario, Version 1.6"; Policy #WSC/ORS-142-92; Office of Research and Standards and the Bureau of Waste Site Cleanup, Boston, MA; September 1992.

Benzo(k)fluoranthene

Benzo(k)fluoranthene is a member of the polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) class of compounds which contain two or more aromatic rings. PAHs are ubiquitous in nature and are also manmade. Benzo(k)fluoranthene occurs naturally in coal tar, crude oil, and is formed from incomplete combustion of organic material.

Although there are no human data that specifically link exposure to benzo(k)fluoranthene to human cancers, benzo(k)fluoranthene is a component of mixtures that have been associated with human cancer. These include coal tar, soots, coke oven emissions and cigarette smoke. Benzo(k)fluoranthene produced tumors after lung implantation in mice and when administered with a promoting agent in skin-painting studies. Benzo(k)fluoranthene is mutagenic in bacteria. Benzo(k)fluoranthene has been classified by USEPA as a B2, probable human carcinogen.

References:

MADEP, 1992. "Risk Assessment Shortform Residential Exposure Scenario, Version 1.6"; Policy #WSC/ORS-142-92; Office of Research and Standards and the Bureau of Waste Site Cleanup, Boston, MA; September 1992.

Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (DEHP)

DEHP is used industrially as a plasticizer for resins to make plastic materials more flexible, thus it is found in many plastic materials. It is also used in manufacturing organic pump fluids in electrical capacitors.

Human exposure to DEHP has produced eye irritation, nausea, and diarrhea. Most of the toxicity data for DEHP are obtained from animal studies. DEHP targets the liver, causing morphological and biochemical changes, as well as the testes, producing damage to the seminiferous tubules. DEHP has produced developmental and reproductive effects in laboratory animals including spina bifida and reduced fertility. The overall evidence from many studies indicates that DEHP is not mutagenic. DEHP has been shown to cause a dose-related increase in liver tumors in mice and rats. Thus, the USEPA has designated DEHP as a B2, probable human carcinogen.

References:

MADEP, 1992. "Risk Assessment Shortform Residential Exposure Scenario, Version 1.6"; Policy #WSC/ORS-142-92; Office of Research and Standards and the Bureau of Waste Site Cleanup, Boston, MA; September 1992.

Calcium

Calcium is a naturally occurring element which exists as an ubiquitous constituent of natural waters and soils. Human activities also contribute calcium ions to natural waters. Calcium or calcium-containing products are used in a wide variety of manufacturing operations and as chemical intermediates. Calcium is an essential nutrient to humans. It plays important roles in blood coagulation, maintenance of muscle tone, and excitability of nervous and muscle tissue. Because of large amounts of storage within bone tissue, calcium is the most abundant ion in the body.

Calcium levels within the body are controlled through the regulation of absorption and excretion dependent on serum calcium levels, Vitamin D, parathyroid hormone, and calcitonin levels. Excess oral intake typically does not result in toxicity since excess calcium will not be absorbed in otherwise normal individuals. Minimal gastrointestinal irritation, accompanied by nausea and vomiting, may result from the presence of large amounts of unabsorbed calcium within the intestines. If abnormally high level of calcium are present within the blood, a condition termed hypercalcemia, lethargy, weakness, anorexia, polyuria, bone pain, paresthesia, confusion, and coma have been reported. No evidence of carcinogenicity in humans or animals exists.

References:

Tortora, J.T. and Grabowski, S.R., 1993. Principles of Anatomy and Physiology, 7th edition. Harper Collins College Publishers, New York, NY. p. 910

Chrysene

Chrysene is one of the polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) compounds which are formed during the combustion of organic material. Although there are no human data that specifically link exposure to chrysene to human cancers, chrysene is a component of mixtures that have been associated with human cancer. These include coal tar, soots, coke oven emissions and cigarette smoke. Chrysene produced chromosomal abnormalities in hamsters and mouse germ cells after gavage exposure, positive responses in bacterial gene mutation assays and transformed mammalian cells exposed in culture. Due to its similarities with benzo(a)pyrene and other carcinogenic PAHs, chrysene has been classified as a B2, probable human carcinogen.

References:

MADEP, 1992. "Risk Assessment Shortform Residential Exposure Scenario, Version 1.6"; Policy #WSC/ORS-142-92; Office of Research and Standards and the Bureau of Waste Site Cleanup, Boston, MA; September 1992.

Cobalt

Cobalt is an essential metal as a component of Vitamin B_{12}. It is a relatively rare metal produced primarily as a by-product of other metals, chiefly copper. Cobalt has been used in the manufacture of cobalt salts, permanent magnets, tools, cars, electrical components, and aircraft; in alloys as dental materials; in cutting materials, water-resistant materials, lacquers, varnishes, and paint driers; and in the production of inks, enamels, glazes, glass decolorizer, and catalysts.

Cobalt salts are relatively well absorbed after oral administration. Excretion through urine and feces tends to parallel absorption. Therefore, elevated intakes tend to not cause significant body accumulation. Fat and muscle contain the highest levels followed by the liver, the heart, and hair.

Toxicity following excess therapeutic administration has been reported to include vomiting, diarrhea, increased blood pressure, slowed respirations, and tinnitus progressing to deafness. An epidemiological association between high endemic soil and water cobalt levels and goiter has been reported. Cardiomyopathy has been caused by excessive intake of cobalt in beer. Occupational exposure to airborne cobalt has produced irritation to the eyes and skin. Chronic exposure has resulted in human lung fibrosis in some cases. There is no evidence of carcinogenicity in humans or animals from any naturally occurring route of exposure.

References:

Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR), 1991. "Toxicological Profile for Cobalt"; Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, U.S. Public Health Service, February 1991.

Friberg, L., Nordberg, G.F., Kessler, E. and Vouk, V.B. (eds), 1986. Handbook of the Toxicology of Metals. 2nd ed. Vol I, II.: Amsterdam: Elsevier Science Publishers B.V., p. V2 221.

Goyer, R.A., 1991. Toxic Effects of Metals. In: Casarett and Doull's Toxicology: The Basic Science of Poisons, 3rd edition. Eds. C.D. Klaassen, M.O. Amdur and J. Doull. Macmillan Publishing Co. N.Y.

Dibenzofuran

Dibenzofuran is an unsubstituted organic compound that contains two benzene rings fused to a central furan ring. Dibenzofuran is a manmade chemical which may be introduced into the environment as a contaminant of commonly used pesticides (e.g., 2,4,5-T). Extensive toxicological information exists on the chlorinated species of dibenzofuran (chlorodibenzofurans), but minimal information is available concerning the unsubstituted parent molecule. Dibenzofuran, with no chlorine substituents, is believed to have minimal toxicity compared to the chlorinated forms due to its lack of solubility and biological activity. A toxicity equivalency factor for dibenzofuran has been set by USEPA equal to zero, relative to the chlorinated isomers. Dibenzofuran is not mutagenic, with or without metabolic activation, in several in vitro mutagenicity tests. There are no data on the possible carcinogenicity of dibenzofuran alone in animals or humans.

References:

Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR), 1993. "Toxicological Profile for Chlorodibenzofurans"; Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, U.S. Public Health Service, February 1993.

Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS), 1993. United States Environmental Protection Agency.

Dichlorobenzene

Dichlorobenzene is a semivolatile organic compound which exists in three isomeric forms; 1,2- , 1,3- and 1,4-dichlorobenzene. The chemical and physical properties of the three forms vary extensively with 1,2-dichlorobenzene existing as a pale yellow liquid to 1,4-dichlorobenzene which exists as a white solid with a mothball odor. The solid sublimates directly into a vapor form. Dichlorobenzene does not exist naturally, but is produced as a chemical intermediate, a household and agricultural deodorizer, insecticide, and a component of resins. It may be present in air, water, soil, and foods such as pork, chicken, fish, eggs, and milk.

Dichlorobenzene may be absorbed following any route of exposure. Inhalation appears to be the most important human exposure pathway even though oral

exposures are absorbed more efficiently. The predominant route of excretion is through urine, however, elimination may also occur through feces.

Following exposure in air, dichlorobenzene causes irritation to eyes, mucous membranes and the upper respiratory tract. After systemic absorption via any route of exposure, hematological effects, including hemolytic anemia and methemoglobinemia have been reported. Other systemic effects include mild CNS depression with the liver and kidney as additional target organs. Limited animal studies raise the possibility that dichlorobenzene may adversely affect reproductive/developmental success. Results of mutagenicity tests have been generally negative except for systems examining DNA replication in liver and kidney in vivo following oral exposure to 1,4-dichlorobenzene. Carcinogenicity studies in laboratory animals have demonstrated an increase in liver tumors following chronic oral dosing. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene has been classified into Category C as a suspected human carcinogen by USEPA.

References:

Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR), 1992. "Toxicological Profile for 1,4-Dichlorobenzene"; Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, U.S. Public Health Service, February 1992.

Mycroft, F.J., Jones, J.R., and Olson, K.R., 1990. Environmental and Occupational Toxicology. In: Poisoning and Drug Overdose. Ed. K.R. Olson. Appleton & Lange, CT. pp. 395-6.

1,2-Dichloroethene (cis & trans)

1,2-Dichloroethene is a volatile organic compound which exists as cis- and trans-isomers. The commercially used material is usually a mixture of the two isomers. In the past, it was used as a general inhalation anesthetic. It is currently used as an extraction solvent or as a component of dyes, perfume oils, waxes, resins, and plastics. It is also used as an intermediate in the synthesis of polymers.

1,2-Dichloroethene is absorbed by all routes of administration. Distribution is rapid and, due to its lipophilic nature, occurs to all organ systems. It is

extensively metabolized to dichloroacetaldehyde and chloroacetic acids which are excreted primarily through urine.

Dermal contact to 1,2-dichloroethene may result in defatting of the skin and dermatitis. Exposure to airborne 1,2-dichloroethene causes irritation to eyes, mucous membranes and the upper respiratory tract. Systemically, the trans-isomer is believed to be more toxic than the cis-isomer. However, both have been reported to produce central nervous system depression and toxicity to liver and lungs. No data on the reproductive toxicity of 1,2-dichloroethene exists. Both isomers have tested negative for mutagenicity in vitro tests. Cancer effects have not been studied in humans or animals.

References:

Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR), 1990. "Toxicological Profile for 1,2-Dichloroethene"; Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, U.S. Public Health Service, February 1990.

Mycroft, F.J., Jones, J.R., and Olson, K.R. 1990. Environmental and Occupational Toxicology. In: Poisoning and Drug Overdose. Ed. K.R. Olson. Appleton & Lange, CT. p. 397.

1,2-Dichloropropane

1,2-Dichloropropane is a volatile organic compound which exists as a colorless liquid with a mild fruity odor. Currently, it is used only in research and in industrial applications as a chemical intermediate and solvent. Previously, it was used agriculturally as a soil fumigant, and in paint strippers, varnishes and finish removers. Releases to the environment are as a result of human activities and result in air, soil and groundwater contamination.

Absorption occurs following exposure by any route. However, absorption is most efficient following oral exposures. Due to its lipid solubility, distribution occurs rapidly to all organ systems. Excretion occurs via expired air, urine and feces.

Inhalation exposures may result in central nervous system depression, eye irritation, chest discomfort, dyspnea, cough and pulmonary edema. Additionally,

nausea, vomiting and effects on the liver, kidney and hematological systems have been noted. Liver and kidney effects are characterized as primarily fatty degeneration. Oral exposures produce similar toxic symptoms. Mutagenicity tests in bacteria, mammalian and non-mammalian cells, and Drosophila predict that 1,2-dichloropropane may pose a genotoxic threat to humans. Carcinogenicity studies in animals have yielded equivocal data resulting in no USEPA classification as to carcinogenicity.

References:

Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR), 1989. "Toxicological Profile for 1,2-Dichloropropane"; Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, U.S. Public Health Service, April 1989.

Fluoranthene

Fluoranthene is one of the polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) compounds which are formed during the combustion of organic material. Although there are no human data that specifically link exposure to chrysene to human cancers, fluoranthene is a component of mixtures that have been associated with human cancer. It is a constituent of coal tar and petroleum-derived asphalt. No data regarding human exposure are available, and animal data suggest that fluoranthene is not carcinogenic. Fluoranthene has been shown to be mutagenic when metabolically activated. Fluoranthene has been classified by USEPA as D, not classifiable as to human carcinogenicity.

References:

MADEP, 1992. "Risk Assessment Shortform Residential Exposure Scenario, Version 1.6"; Policy #WSC/ORS-142-92; Office of Research and Standards and the Bureau of Waste Site Cleanup, Boston, MA; September 1992.

Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene

Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene is one of the polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) compounds which are formed during the combustion of organic material and is a

component of cigarette smoke and smoke stack emissions. No carcinogenicity data specifically for indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene are available in humans, however, toxic effects are attributable to mixtures of PAHs. Animal studies indicate that indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene can induce skin tumors in mice, and may have some immunosuppressive effects. In mammalian cell cultures, indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene was found to be genotoxic. It has been classified by the USEPA as a B2 carcinogen.

References:

MADEP, 1992. "Risk Assessment Shortform Residential Exposure Scenario, Version 1.6"; Policy #WSC/ORS-142-92; Office of Research and Standards and the Bureau of Waste Site Cleanup, Boston, MA; September 1992.

Iron

Iron is a metal which is required for a variety of physiological functions such as heme biosynthesis, oxidative phosphorylation and mixed-function oxidase-mediated metabolic reactions. Only divalent forms of iron are absorbed. As absorption occurs, divalent iron is biochemically converted to trivalent iron, the biologically active form. Under normal conditions, absorbed dietary iron is complexed to hemoglobin and transported to the liver for storage until needed for physiological reactions. The balance of iron is regulated only by the amount of dietary intake and the degree of intestinal absorption. Intestinal absorption tends to be low (2 - 15%) except during periods of increased iron need when absorption efficiency increases dramatically.

Acute iron toxicity has been well characterized following the accidental ingestion of iron-containing preparations by children. Shortly after ingestion, the corrosive effects of iron cause vomiting and diarrhea, often bloody. Later signs include shock, metabolic acidosis, seizures, liver and/or kidney failure, coma, and death. Chronic iron overload manifests as disturbances in liver function, diabetes mellitus, and endocrine and cardiovascular effects. Inhalation of iron containing dust or fumes in occupational settings may result in deposition of iron particles in the lungs leading to interstitial fibrosis. Autopsies of hematite miners noted an increase in lung cancer. However, the etiology of the lung cancer may be related to factors other than iron exposure such as cigarette, silica or PAH exposures.

References:

Aisen, P., Cohen, G. and Kang, J.O., 1990. Iron Toxicosis. Int. Rev. Exp. Pathol. 31:1-46.

Goyer, R.A., 1991. Toxic Effects of Metals. In: Casarett and Doull's Toxicology: The Basic Science of Poisons, 3rd edition. Eds. C.D. Klaassen, M.O. Amdur and J. Doull. Macmillan Publishing Co. N.Y.

Magnesium

Magnesium is the eighth most common element in the earth's crust. It is a divalent cation required for a variety of enzymatic reactions involving protein synthesis and carbohydrate metabolism. It is also an essential ion for proper neuromuscular function. Magnesium is used in lightweight alloys, as an electrical conductive material, and for incendiary devices such as flares. Human exposure occurs largely through the ingestion of drinking water, foods containing high levels of magnesium, such as nuts, cereals, seafood and meats, and through the use of magnesium-containing antacids and cathartics.

Magnesium is moderately absorbed (50%) from the gastrointestinal tract. Once absorbed, excess magnesium is rapidly excreted through urine. The majority of body magnesium is localized in bone and muscle.

Intoxication following oral administration of magnesium is rare, occurring largely in patients with pre-existing renal insufficiency. Large amounts of orally administered magnesium cause diarrhea that can result in major fluid and electrolyte losses. Following absorption, lethargy, weakness, and central nervous system depression are noted which may progress to hypotension, bradycardia, conduction defects, and respiratory arrest. Inhaled magnesium in industrial settings can cause metal fume fever, conjunctivitis, and coughing. No evidence of carcinogenicity in humans or animals exists.

References:

Buchanan, J.F., 1990. Magnesium. In: Poisoning and Drug Overdose. Ed. K.R. Olson. Appleton & Lange, CT. 4pp. 194.

Goyer, R.A., 1991. Toxic Effects of Metals. In: Casarett and Doull's Toxicology: The Basic Science of Poisons, 3rd edition. Eds. C.D. Klaassen, M.O. Amdur and J. Doull. Macmillan Publishing Co. N.Y.

Speich, M. and Bousquet, B., 1991. Magnesium: Recent Data on Metabolism, Exploration, Pathology and Therapeutics. Magnesium-Bull. 13:116-121.

Methyl Butyl Ketone (2-Hexanone)

Methyl butyl ketone (2-hexanone) is a waste product of wood pulping, coal gasification and oil shale operations. It was formerly used in paint and paint thinner. MBK is an irritant of mucous membranes and eyes. It is a narcotic and in high concentrations causes CNS effects including weakness, numbness and tingling in the skin of the hands and feet. It is absorbed by the skin. It has been shown to cause liver and kidney effects in laboratory rats. There is no USEPA evidence to demonstrate carcinogenicity.

References:

Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR), 1990. "Toxicological Profiles for 2-Hexanone". Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, US Public Health Services, October 1990.

Health Effects Summary Tables (HEAST), United States Environmental Protection Agency, November, 1992.

Methyl Ethyl Ketone (2-Butanone)

Methyl ethyl ketone (2-Butanone) has been used as a solvent for coatings, adhesives, and cements; and in the lacquer and varnish industries. Human exposure to MEK has produced irritation to mucous membranes and conjunctiva; prolonged exposure to high concentrations has caused CNS depression. MEK has a low toxicity following acute and chronic human exposures. Exposure studies in animals indicate the liver and the kidney as target tissues of MEK. MEK can potentiate the neurotoxicity of other solvents such as n-hexane, methyl butyl ketone, and ethyl butyl ketone. Mild but significant developmental effects have

been produced in rats by exposure to MEK. MEK has been classified by USEPA as D, not classifiable as to human carcinogenicity. Results of in vitro tests for genotoxicity have proved negative.

References:

MADEP, 1992. "Risk Assessment Shortform Residential Exposure Scenario, Version 1.6"; Policy #WSC/ORS-142-92; Office of Research and Standards and the Bureau of Waste Site Cleanup, Boston, MA; September 1992.

Phenanthrene

Phenanthrene is a member of the polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) class of compounds which contain two or more aromatic rings. PAHs are ubiquitous in nature and are also man made. Phenanthrene occurs naturally in coal tar, crude oil, and is formed from incomplete combustion of organic material.

Phenanthrene has been shown to be a skin photosensitizer in humans. Intraperitoneally injection in rats produced liver effects. Although limited evidence exists that phenanthrene is a mutagen, the majority of tests have proved negative. Equivocal evidence exists for cancer after dermal application of phenanthrene in rats. Ingestion of 200 mg of phenanthrene produced no tumors in rats after two months.

References:

MADEP, 1992. "Risk Assessment Shortform Residential Exposure Scenario, Version 1.6"; Policy #WSC/ORS-142-92; Office of Research and Standards and the Bureau of Waste Site Cleanup, Boston, MA; September 1992.

Potassium

Potassium is a naturally occurring element which exists as an ubiquitous constituent of natural waters and soils. Human activities also contribute potassium ions to natural waters. Potassium or potassium-containing products are

used in a wide variety of manufacturing operations and as chemical intermediates. Potassium is an essential nutrient to humans.

Tissue and blood potassium levels are dependent upon potassium intake and excretion. Little information exists concerning the direct toxicity of excessive potassium intake. However, the presence of elevated serum potassium levels (hyperkalemia) in humans is common and well characterized. Elevated serum potassium levels produce muscular weakness and interfere with normal cardiac electrical function. Ventricular fibrillation and cardiac arrest may result. There is no evidence for carcinogenicity by potassium.

References:

Olson, K.R., 1990. Emergency Evaluation and Treatment. In: Poisoning and Drug Overdose. Ed. K.R. Olson. Appleton & Lange, CT. pp. 32.

Pyrene

Pyrene is a member of the polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) class of compounds which contain two or more aromatic rings. PAHs are ubiquitous in nature and are also man made. Pyrene occurs naturally in coal tar, crude oil, and is formed from incomplete combustion of organic material.

Pyrene is reported to be a skin irritant to humans. Rats dosed with pyrene showed blood chemistry changes, as well as liver and kidney damage. Pyrene tests positive for mutagenicity *in vitro* mammalian systems. Pyrene has been classified as D, not classifiable as to human carcinogenicity.

References:

MADEP, 1992. "Risk Assessment Shortform Residential Exposure Scenario, Version 1.6"; Policy #WSC/ORS-142-92; Office of Research and Standards and the Bureau of Waste Site Cleanup, Boston, MA; September 1992.

Selenium

Selenium is a trace metal considered an essential element in the human diet, with multiple roles in metabolic chemistry. It exists as multiple chemical forms including selenate (Se^{+6}), selenite (Se^{+4}), elemental selenium (Se^{+0}) and selenide (Se^{-2}). Selenium is used in the electronics industry in photoelectric cells and solar batteries; in glass, rubber, steel and ceramic manufacturing; and in some paints, varnishes, fungicides, and insecticides. Food sources of selenium include seafood, especially shrimp, meat, milk products, and grains.

Absorption and toxicity of selenium are largely dependent on the chemical form. Selenates are relatively soluble and well absorbed in contrast to the other chemical species which are virtually insoluble. Once absorbed, selenates widely distribute within the body. Excretion occurs through urine and feces.

Inhalation of selenium gases may cause burning eyes and throat, coughing, wheezing, chemical pneumonitis, and pulmonary edema. Acute ingestion may cause vomiting, hypersalivation, a garlic-like odor of the breath, and central nervous system effects including nervousness and drowsiness. Hypotension, coma and convulsions have also been reported. Chronic intoxication is associated with impairment of vision, peripheral weakness, discoloration and loss of hair and nails, polyneuritis, fatigue, garlicky breath, liver damage, dermatitis, nausea and vomiting. Animal studies suggest that chronic excessive selenium exposure is embryotoxic and teratogenic, causes hepatic cirrhosis and may be carcinogenic. Human epidemiological studies suggest an apparent protective effect of elevated dietary selenium against some carcinogenic agents.

References:

Tweig, M. and Olson, K.R., 1990. Selenium. In: Poisoning and Drug Overdose. Ed. K.R. Olson. Appleton & Lange, CT. pps. 267-8.

Goyer, R.A., 1991. Toxic Effects of Metals. In: Casarett and Doull's Toxicology: The Basic Science of Poisons, 3rd edition. Eds. C.D. Klaassen, M.O. Amdur and J. Doull. Macmillan Publishing Co. N.Y.

Sodium

Sodium is an ubiquitous constituent of natural waters. Human activities also contribute sodium ions to natural waters. Sodium has been used in the manufacture of other sodium compounds, tetraethyl lead, in organic synthesis, in alloys, and as a chemical intermediate. Sodium is a naturally occurring element and an essential nutrient to humans.

Sodium is toxic only at very high concentrations. Chronic ingestion of elevated sodium levels may result in or aggravate hypertension in humans. Hypertension has also been demonstrated in animals chronically exposed to sodium in their diets. Sodium can be an eye irritant. There is no evidence for carcinogenicity by sodium.

References:

National Academy of Sciences, 1977. "Drinking Water and Health"; Safe Drinking Water Committee, Washington, D.C.

Thallium

Thallium is a naturally occurring soft metal that is a minor constituent in a variety of ores and is obtained as a by-product of the refining of iron, cadmium, and zinc. It is used as a catalyst, in certain alloys, jewelry, thermometers, semiconductors, dyes and pigments, and optical lenses. It has been used medically as a depilatory agent. Additionally, it is used as a rodenticide and insecticide.

Thallium is efficiently absorbed from the gastrointestinal tract. Excretion occurs primarily through urine and feces. Following absorption, distribution occurs to kidney tissue to a large extent, with lesser distribution to thyroid, intestines, testes, pancreas, skin, bone, and spleen.

Thallium is one of the more toxic metals. Acute toxicity results in gastrointestinal irritation, shock, ascending paralysis, seizures, and psychic disturbances. Signs of subacute or chronic thallium poisoning include hair loss, nail dystrophy, cataracts, peripheral muscular weakness and atrophy, chorea, peripheral neuropathy, and kidney damage. Loss of vision have been related to industrial thallium exposures. No information is available which addresses the carcinogenic potential of thallium.

References:

Goyer, R.A., 1991. Toxic Effects of Metals. In: Casarett and Doull's Toxicology: The Basic Science of Poisons, 3rd edition. Eds. C.D. Klaassen, M.O. Amdur and J. Doull. Macmillan Publishing Co. N.Y.

Tweig, M., 1990. Thallium. In: Poisoning and Drug Overdose. Ed. K.R. Olson. Appleton & Lange, CT. pp. 276-7.

Trichlorofluoromethane

Trichlorofluoromethane, also called Freon 11, belongs to a group of structurally related volatile chemicals called chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs). Little specific information is available for trichlorofluoromethane. However, information available for structurally similar CFCs is probably applicable to trichlorofluoromethane. As a group, CFCs are used as aerosol propellants, refrigerants, foaming agents in the manufacture of styrofoam, and as cleaners of electrical components. CFCs are reported to be responsible for the depletion of the ozone layer due to their long atmospheric half-life and subsequent dechlorination which occurs within the stratosphere.

CFCs have been reported to possess a relatively low order of human toxicity. Even though relatively inert, they have been demonstrated to produce health effects following inhalation, oral and dermal contact. Following contact, CFCs are rapidly absorbed into the blood stream and are distributed throughout the body. High level exposure in humans produces primarily central and peripheral nervous system effects such as impaired performance, dizziness, tingling, tremors, EKG and speech disturbances, unconsciousness and cardiac arrhythmias. CFCs also have direct irritant effects upon contact with skin, eyes and mucous membranes. Chronic exposures in laboratory animals have been reported to produce liver pathology. No information is available concerning the mutagenicity or carcinogenicity of trichlorofluoromethane.

References:

Azar, A., 1972. Experimental Human Exposures to Fluorocarbon 12 (Dichlorodifluoromethane). Am. Ind. Hyg. Assoc. J. 33: 207-213.

Imbus, H.R. and Adkins, C., 1972. Physical Examination of Workers Exposed to Trichlorotrifluoroethane. Arch. Environ. Health 24: 257-261.

Mycroft, F.J., Jones, J.R., and Olson, K.R., 1990. Environmental and Occupational Toxicology. In: Poisoning and Drug Overdose. Ed. K.R. Olson. Appleton & Lange, CT. p. 470.