

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT				1. CONTRACT ID CODE J		PAGE 1 OF 3 PAGES	
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NUMBER 0001		3. EFFECTIVE DATE 13 MAR 2025		4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQUISITION NUMBER		5. PROJECT NUMBER (If applicable) DESIGN BUILD MATOC	
6. ISSUED BY W2SD ENDIST NEW ENGLAND KO CONTRACTING DIVISION, 696 VIRGINIA ROAD CONCORD, MA 01742-2751 UNITED STATES ANN ADLEY, EMAIL: ANN.M.ADLEY@USACE.ARMY.MIL TELEPHONE: 978-318-8255		CODE W912WJ		7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than Item 6) SCD: PAS:		CODE	
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (Number, street, county, State and ZIP Code)				(X)		9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NUMBER W912WJ25RA001	
				(X)		9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 07 MAR 2025	
				()		10A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER NUMBER	
				()		10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	
CODE		FACILITY CODE					

11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS

☒ The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers ☐ is extended. ☒ is not extended.

Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods:
 (a) By completing items 8 and 15, and returning 1 copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted;
 or (c) By separate letter or electronic communication which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER.
 If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by letter or electronic communication, provided each letter or electronic communication makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.

12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)
 SEE SECTION G - CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION DATA


**13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS.
IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NUMBER AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.**

CHECK ONE	A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NUMBER IN ITEM 10A.
<input type="checkbox"/>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation data, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(b).
<input type="checkbox"/>	C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:
<input type="checkbox"/>	D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)

E. IMPORTANT: Contractor ☐ is not ☐ is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.

14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)
 SEE SCHEDULE

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.

15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print) ERIN E. BRADLEY	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  (Signature of Contracting Officer)	16C. DATE SIGNED 18 MAR 2025
(Signature of person authorized to sign)			

SUMMARY OF CHANGES

Block 14 (Description of Amendment/Modification): QUESTION 1: On PIEE there is a note under place of performance that states "throughout the six (6) New England states (ME, NH, VT, MA, CT, RI but could also include other states and districts covered by the USACE North Atlantic Division's (NAD) mission areas (NY, PA, NJ, DE, MD, VA, WV and the District of Columbia). Could the recent & relevant experience be located within the North Atlantic Division mission areas?

RESPONSE 1: There is no restriction on work location for the recent and relevant experience submitted in response to this solicitation.

QUESTION 2: In the Solicitation, page 9 & 10 of 83, states the Offeror needs to have the Past Performance Questionnaire are required to be completed & submitted as part of our proposal submission. Would the USACE consider excepting CPARS evaluations in lieu of PPQ's? In situations where we don't have a CPARS, could we use previously completed Past Performance Questionnaires?

RESPONSE 2: As stated on Page 9, paragraph #1, "For Federal Government projects with a Past Performance Information Retrieval System/Contractor Performance Assessment Reporting System (CPARS) Evaluation: provide the contract number, contract place of performance, and DUNS number. In the case of Indefinite Delivery type contracts, GSA contracts, and Blanket Purchase Agreements, include Task or Delivery Order Numbers." Past Performance Questionnaire's (PPQs) are only required for "non-Federal Government projects, commercial projects, and Federal Government projects without a CPARS evaluation."

QUESTION 3: Our DOR has since moved firms after we completed projects that demonstrate relevant experience as a team; however, she was our DOR/Design Manager at the other firm and is our DOR /Design Manager at the new firm. Can we use the experience with her on the projects from the other firm however we'd be using her new firm if we were awarded a contract?

RESPONSE 3: An offeror must demonstrate the selected DOR's experience within the Factor 1 projects, but the offeror can submit projects where it used a different DOR (to demonstrate the offeror's experience). Please note that for the purpose of this RFP, a DOR is a firm or entity, not an individual within the firm. "The past performance of individuals (e.g. key personnel) will not be credited under the Past Performance factor." (RFP pg. 20) An offeror will not receive higher consideration for having previously worked with an individual.

QUESTION 4: Phase 2-On page 70 of 83 8.1, it states the Project Manager shall have at a minimum a college degree from an accredited school in architecture, engineering or construction management. Would the USACE consider the Project Manager's 20+ years' experience in lieu of a college degree?

RESPONSE 4: The Government will not relax this requirement.

QUESTION 5: Phase 2-On page 70 or 83 8.1, it states that the PM shall have at a minimum of a Professional Engineer or Registered Architect. Would the USACE consider waiving that requirement for the PM? This is usually a requirement for the Design Manager.

RESPONSE 5: The Government will not relax this requirement.

QUESTION 6: Per Volume 1 Factor 1- Past Performance-Offerors shall submit no more than five (5) projects. Each must have been completed within the last seven (7) years, as evidence of recent and relevant experience. Does the Offeror have to submit 5 projects design build all with the same DOR? or is it considered 3 projects for the General contractor and 2 projects for the DOR? What if the offeror has many projects they have completed with DOR? Would that be experience for both the prime contractor & DOR?

RESPONSE 6: The Government does not specify how offerors accomplish submission of up to five (5) total projects. The composition and distribution of those projects across the offeror's team are at the offeror's discretion. Yes, if the offeror has projects completed with the DOR, it would be experience for both the prime contractor and the DOR.

Section 01 00 00 - General Requirements

The Requirements text has been modified to:

Amendment 0001 is necessary to provide responses to Requests for Information (RFIs).