

**INFORMATION SHEET**  
**DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS**  
**RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK**  
**COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS**

**DISTRICT OFFICE:** NAE  
**FILE NUMBER:** NAE-2004-1281

**REGULATORY PROJECT MANAGER:** Rose **Date:** 2/22/05

**PROJECT REVIEW/DETERMINATION COMPLETED:** **In the office (Y)** **Date:** 5/27/04  
**At the project site (Y)** **Date:** 6/24/04 & 2/8/05

**PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION:**  
**State:** Connecticut  
**County:** Hartford, Suffield, CT  
**Center coordinates of site by latitude & longitudinal coordinates:** N41° 57' 53" W72° 37' 51"  
**Approximate size of site/property (including uplands & in acres):** 172.5 acre  
**Name of waterway or watershed:** Stony Brook, Connecticut River

**SITE CONDITIONS:**

Type of aquatic resource <sup>1</sup>	0-1 ac	1-3 ac	3-5 ac	5-10 ac	10-25 ac	25-50 ac	> 50 ac	Linear feet	Unknown
Lake									
River									
Stream									
Dry Wash									
Mudflat									
Sandflat									
Wetlands	X								
Slough									
Prairie pothole									
Wet meadow									
Playa lake									
Vernal pool									
Natural pond									
Other water (identify type)									

<sup>1</sup>Check appropriate boxes that best describe type of isolated, non-navigable, intra-state water present and best estimate for size of non-jurisdictional aquatic resource area.

Migratory Bird Rule Factors <sup>1</sup> :	If Known		If Unknown Use Best Professional Judgment		
	Yes	No	Predicted to Occur	Not Expected to Occur	Not Able To Make Determination
Is or would be used as habitat for birds protected by Migratory Bird Treaties?			X		
Is or would be used as habitat by other migratory birds that cross state lines?			X		
Is or would be used as habitat for endangered species?		X			
Is used to irrigate crops sold in interstate commerce?		X			

<sup>1</sup>Check appropriate boxes that best describe potential for applicability of the Migratory Bird Rule to apply to onsite, non-jurisdictional, isolated, non-navigable, intra-state aquatic resource area.

**TYPE OF DETERMINATION:** Preliminary    Or **Approved** X.

**ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SUPPORTING NJD (e.g., paragraph 1 – site conditions; paragraphs 2-3 – rationale used to determine NJD, including information reviewed to assess potential navigation or interstate commerce connections; and paragraph 4 – site information on waters of the U.S. occurring onsite):**

Impact Area 6 – This is a 6,141 sf (0.141-acre) wetland area of which 5,043 sf (0.12-acre) is to be filled development of the subdivision lots and access road. There does not appear to be a hydrologic connection to a watercourse. The agent indicates that the wetland is over 500 feet distant from the nearest watercourse located approximately 150 feet south of the wetland crossing at IA-3. Based on the plans provided by BGI (sheet 3 of 10), assuming a correct scale of 1" = 400', the easternmost tip of this wetland area is 950 feet distant from the intermittent watercourse associated with Wetland 3. This system probably does not have a period of inundation. Consequently, it is unlikely that the riparian and wetland animals using the stream associated with Impact Area 3 would also use this wetland. Therefore, Impact Area 6 should be considered isolated. There does not appear to be any nexus to interstate commerce, so it should also be considered non-jurisdictional.