

Suggested Agenda

Weaver's Cove Energy (WCE) Project Meeting with Massachusetts Coastal Zone Management (MCZM), Wednesday, April 6, 2005, Boston, MA

Expected Attendees: Susan Snow-Cotter, MCZM
Alex Strycky, MCZM
Dave Janik, MCZM

Gordon Shearer, HESS LNG/WCE
Ted Gehrig, WCE
Ted Barten, Epsilon Associates

1. Alternative Sites
 - a. Sites identified by WCE in current filings
 - b. Additional sites proposed for consideration by MCZM
2. Siting Criteria – feasibility, safety, environmental
 - a. Criteria used in filings
 - b. Additional criteria proposed for consideration by MCZM
 - i. Geographic data
 - ii. Demographic data
 - iii. “Potentially affected human environment”
 1. definition
 2. aspects not covered in prior filings
 3. reconciliation with Federal siting, safety and security requirements
 - c. Weighting criteria
 - i. Weighting system used in prior filings
 - ii. Additional weighting criteria to consider
3. Format for presenting data in SSDEIR
 - a. Tabular format used in FERC filings
 - b. Proposed modifications for MCZM filing

APPENDIX 10-A

Site Screening Analysis

1.1. Applicant's Initial Site Screening Analysis

Table 10A-1 depicts the sites, by state, that were initially screened by Applicant. The four (4) factors considered were:

- Channel Depth – A minimum of 35 feet (or more if suitable tidal ranges were not present), attainable with approximately up to a dredge requirement of two (2) million cubic yards. Ports with existing channels of less than 30 feet depth were excluded.
- Bridge Access – Requires air draft (vertical clearance) of at least 135 feet and horizontal clearance of not less than 165 feet.
- Airport Proximity – Site not within the exclusion zone as specified in CFR Part 193.2155(b).
- Distance to Pipeline – Site within reasonable distance of a minimum 20-inch high pressure pipeline connected to the New England pipeline grid.
- Developed port area – Site fell within an existing developed port area.
- Other – See specific notes.

For each site, if the site did not meet one or more of these threshold criteria, it was eliminated from consideration. An "X" denotes the site did not meet the criteria.

Table 10A-1 – Initial Site Screening Analysis

Port	Channel depth ¹	Bridge access	Airport proximity	Distance to pipeline takeaway capacity	Developed Port	Other factors
Massachusetts						
Gloucester	X			X		
Salem	X			X		
Beverly	X			X		
Lynn	X			X		
Revere	X			X		
Chelsea		X		X		
Everett				X		
Boston			X	X		
Quincy	X					
Weymouth	X					
Fairhaven	X			X		
New Bedford	X			X		Hurricane barrier limits horizontal clearance to 150 feet
Fall River						
Somerset						
Sagamore					X	Probably requires Cape Cod Canal to be closed during discharge operations
Rhode Island						
Providence						
East Providence						
Quonset Point			X			

¹ "X" denotes that the Channel depth did not meet, nor could it economically be met through dredging, the required depth of at least 35 feet.