

3056

**Adams, Karen K NAE**

---

**From:** b\_margay@yahoo.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 03, 2005 1:49 PM  
**To:** Energy, Wind NAE  
**Subject:** Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning  
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers  
696 Virginia Road  
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

Bonnie Margay Burke  
4378 33rd Pl  
San Diego, California 92104

3057

**Adams, Karen K NAE**

---

**From:** bemis.westboro@verizon.net  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 03, 2005 2:04 PM  
**To:** Energy, Wind NAE  
**Subject:** Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning  
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers  
696 Virginia Road  
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

Robert Bemis  
177 E Main  
Westboro, Massachusetts 01581

3058

**Adams, Karen K NAE**

---

**From:** leslie\_bemis@yahoo.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 03, 2005 2:04 PM  
**To:** Energy, Wind NAE  
**Subject:** Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning  
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers  
696 Virginia Road  
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

Leslie Bemis  
177 E Main  
Westboro, Massachusetts 01581

3059

**Adams, Karen K NAE**

---

**From:** littlefootann@aol.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 03, 2005 2:07 PM  
**To:** Energy, Wind NAE  
**Subject:** Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning  
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers  
696 Virginia Road  
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

Ann Harrison  
4141 Sudbury Rd  
Charlotte, North Carolina 28205-4821

3060

**Adams, Karen K NAE**

---

**From:** ajhami@insightbb.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 03, 2005 2:08 PM  
**To:** Energy, Wind NAE  
**Subject:** Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning  
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers  
696 Virginia Road  
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

Andy Hamilton

3061

**Adams, Karen K NAE**

---

**From:** cherieL999@yahoo.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 03, 2005 2:11 PM  
**To:** Energy, Wind NAE  
**Subject:** Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning  
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers  
696 Virginia Road  
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

Cherie Newman  
334 Brookforest Drive  
Cincinnati, Ohio 45238

3062

**Adams, Karen K NAE**

---

**From:** a4katpal@insightbb.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 03, 2005 2:16 PM  
**To:** Energy, Wind NAE  
**Subject:** Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning  
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers  
696 Virginia Road  
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

Susan Saunders  
10720 Hite Creek Rd.  
Louisville, Kentucky 40241

3063

**Adams, Karen K NAE**

---

**From:** kxanlon74@yahoo.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 03, 2005 2:17 PM  
**To:** Energy, Wind NAE  
**Subject:** Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning  
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers  
696 Virginia Road  
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

Kelly Riley  
248 Valley Stream Lane  
Wayne, Pennsylvania 19087-5859

3064

**Adams, Karen K NAE**

---

**From:** mhass85@hotmail.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 03, 2005 2:18 PM  
**To:** Energy, Wind NAE  
**Subject:** Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning  
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers  
696 Virginia Road  
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

Marjorie Hass  
820 Lehigh Ave  
Hartshorne, Oklahoma 74547-3630

3065

**Adams, Karen K NAE**

---

**From:** lwright@dca-falcon.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 03, 2005 2:21 PM  
**To:** Energy, Wind NAE  
**Subject:** Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning  
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers  
696 Virginia Road  
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

Leigh Wright  
3121 SE 103rd Ln  
Ocala, Florida 34480-8923

3066

**Adams, Karen K NAE**

---

**From:** celena@clineconstruction.net  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 03, 2005 2:27 PM  
**To:** Energy, Wind NAE  
**Subject:** Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning  
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers  
696 Virginia Road  
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

Celena Cline  
2 Crompton Place  
Palm Coast, Florida 32137

3067

**Adams, Karen K NAE**

---

**From:** elizabethfahy@hotmail.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 03, 2005 2:33 PM  
**To:** Energy, Wind NAE  
**Subject:** Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning  
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers  
696 Virginia Road  
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Fahy  
946 Vicksburg St.  
Deltona, Florida 32725

3068

**Adams, Karen K NAE**

---

**From:** phoebearchie@yahoo.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 03, 2005 2:34 PM  
**To:** Energy, Wind NAE  
**Subject:** Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning  
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers  
696 Virginia Road  
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

Debbie Burack  
350 E. 52nd  
NY, New York 10022

3069

**Adams, Karen K NAE**

---

**From:** aab1103@austin.rr.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 03, 2005 2:52 PM  
**To:** Energy, Wind NAE  
**Subject:** Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning  
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers  
696 Virginia Road  
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

Alecia Bergeron  
606 Oak Crest Dr  
Dripping Spgs, Texas 78620-3945

3070

**Adams, Karen K NAE**

---

**From:** evlkg@yahoo.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 03, 2005 2:58 PM  
**To:** Energy, Wind NAE  
**Subject:** Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning  
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers  
696 Virginia Road  
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

I gols  
6 walden dr  
natick, Massachusetts 01760

3071

Adams, Karen K NAE

---

**From:** mboulous@prebon.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 03, 2005 2:59 PM  
**To:** Energy, Wind NAE  
**Subject:** Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning  
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers  
696 Virginia Road  
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

peter falcon  
101 hudson street  
jersey city, New Jersey 07302

3072

**Adams, Karen K NAE**

---

**From:** amazingcats@hotmail.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 03, 2005 3:00 PM  
**To:** Energy, Wind NAE  
**Subject:** Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning  
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers  
696 Virginia Road  
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

sandi herman  
919 s. 10th street  
philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19147

**Adams, Karen K NAE**

3073

**From:** rachel\_meltzer@yahoo.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 03, 2005 3:02 PM  
**To:** Energy, Wind NAE  
**Subject:** Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning  
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers  
696 Virginia Road  
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

Rachel Meltzer  
400 Central Park West, 12K  
New York, New York 10025

3074

**Adams, Karen K NAE**

---

**From:** mmims@princeton.edu  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 03, 2005 3:07 PM  
**To:** Energy, Wind NAE  
**Subject:** Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning  
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers  
696 Virginia Road  
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

Matthew Mims  
48 Wells Rd  
Monroe, Connecticut 06468

3075

**Adams, Karen K NAE**

---

**From:** Katie6\_22@earthlink.net  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 03, 2005 3:19 PM  
**To:** Energy, Wind NAE  
**Subject:** Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning  
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers  
696 Virginia Road  
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

Katie Sperling  
317 S. Jacob #7B  
Grimes, Iowa 50111

3076

**Adams, Karen K NAE**

---

**From:** brightrose22@yahoo.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 03, 2005 3:29 PM  
**To:** Energy, Wind NAE  
**Subject:** Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning  
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers  
696 Virginia Road  
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

fran breitkopf  
2060 glasco tpk  
woodstock, New York 12498

**Adams, Karen K NAE**

3077

**From:** wcwus@yahoo.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 03, 2005 3:47 PM  
**To:** Energy, Wind NAE  
**Subject:** Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning  
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers  
696 Virginia Road  
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

tracy rose  
po box 24  
manassas, Virginia 20108

307B

**Adams, Karen K NAE**

---

**From:** btpg2252@yahoo.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 03, 2005 4:04 PM  
**To:** Energy, Wind NAE  
**Subject:** Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning  
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers  
696 Virginia Road  
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

Brian Gibbons  
9133 Edmonston Terrace  
Apt 304  
Greenbelt, Maryland 20770

3079

**Adams, Karen K NAE**

---

**From:** most907@hotmail.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 03, 2005 4:07 PM  
**To:** Energy, Wind NAE  
**Subject:** Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning  
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers  
696 Virginia Road  
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

carman most  
214 rockhouse rd #10  
johnson city, Tennessee 37601

3080

**Adams, Karen K NAE**

---

**From:** gailgolan@msn.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 03, 2005 4:12 PM  
**To:** Energy, Wind NAE  
**Subject:** Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning  
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers  
696 Virginia Road  
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

Gail Golan  
1s150 Spring Rd Apt 5h  
Oakbrook Ter, Illinois 60181-4613

3081

**Adams, Karen K NAE**

---

**From:** liztormes@hotmail.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 03, 2005 4:25 PM  
**To:** Energy, Wind NAE  
**Subject:** Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning  
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers  
696 Virginia Road  
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

liz tormes  
84 E 4th St  
New York, New York 10003

**Adams, Karen K NAE**

3082

**From:** whittmh@aol.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 03, 2005 4:26 PM  
**To:** Energy, Wind NAE  
**Subject:** Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning  
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers  
696 Virginia Road  
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

Whitney Helms  
3010 copper oaks trail  
woodbury, Minnesota 55125

3083

**Adams, Karen K NAE**

---

**From:** lawson3806@yahoo.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 03, 2005 4:42 PM  
**To:** Energy, Wind NAE  
**Subject:** Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning  
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers  
696 Virginia Road  
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

Michele Blackwell  
2620 Susanann Dr  
Manchester, Maryland 21102

3084

**Adams, Karen K NAE**

---

**From:** debleecon@earthlink.net  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 03, 2005 4:47 PM  
**To:** Energy, Wind NAE  
**Subject:** Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning  
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers  
696 Virginia Road  
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

constance graham  
50 kings cove way  
weymouth, Massachusetts 02191

3085

**Adams, Karen K NAE**

---

**From:** cleo@your-house.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 03, 2005 5:02 PM  
**To:** Energy, Wind NAE  
**Subject:** Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning  
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers  
696 Virginia Road  
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

amenda stewart  
p o box 26  
davison, Michigan 48423

3086

**Adams, Karen K NAE**

---

**From:** gojojorn@aol.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 03, 2005 5:02 PM  
**To:** Energy, Wind NAE  
**Subject:** Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning  
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers  
696 Virginia Road  
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

Jo Wiest  
16558 Carriage View Ct  
Grover, Missouri 63040-1403

Adams, Karen K NAE

3087

**From:** Kathleen Dunckel [dunckeld@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 03, 2005 1:48 PM  
**To:** Energy, Wind NAE  
**Subject:** RE: guest speaker

I am so glad that you will be able to make it. Well, this is an intro (101) course in Environmental Technology. My students are trying to narrow down their interests and choose an educational track. I thought it best for them to hear from people in the community about their area of expertise and also about how they chose the career they did and the educational training they needed. We are discussing governmental processes that week. Tying the NEPA process in with a local topic such as the wind farm would be great.

We are in the Science building rm 114. As you drive around the loop you will see signs for the science building. I can have powerpoint set up for you. The college doesn't have projectors for the computer- so your powerpoint would be viewed on a large screen TV. There are 16 people in the class right now. I will let you know if the number increases.

Let me know if I can give you any other information and again, thank you for your time.

Kathleen  
--- "Energy, Wind NAE"  
<Wind.Energy@nae02.usace.army.mil> wrote:

> I can be there on Feb 7th. Is it still at 3:20?  
> I know where CCCC is located but will need specifics  
> on the Bldg & room. I  
> can talk either with or without Powerpoint, depends  
> upon your preference. I  
> can bring handouts. How many? Can you give me more  
> info on the class and the  
> students so that I can tailor it appropriately?  
>  
> My tel no:  
> 978-318-8828 (direct line) or 1-800-362-4367.  
>  
> -----Original Message-----  
> From: Kathleen Dunckel [mailto:dunckeld@yahoo.com]  
> Sent: Wednesday, February 02, 2005 11:31 AM  
> To: Energy, Wind NAE  
> Subject: RE: guest speaker  
>  
>  
> Hello Karen,  
>  
> Any idea if anyone from your office will be coming  
> to  
> talk with us on the 7th?  
>  
> Thank you for your time.  
>  
> Kathleen

3087

> --- "Energy, Wind NAE"  
> <Wind.Energy@nae02.usace.army.mil> wrote:  
>  
>> I already have a commitment for Feb 1 but I will  
>> check if there is someone  
>> else who may be able to do this.  
>>  
>> Karen K. Adams  
>> Corps of Engineers, New England District  
>> 696 Virginia Road  
>> Concord, MA 01742  
>> 978-318-8828, 1-800-362-4367 (from MA only) or  
>> 1-800 343-4789 ( other NE  
>> states)  
>> Karen.k.adams@usace.army.mil  
>>  
>>  
>>  
>> -----Original Message-----  
>> From: Kathleen Dunckel [mailto:dunckeld@yahoo.com]  
>> Sent: Thursday, January 20, 2005 1:01 PM  
>> To: Energy, Wind NAE  
>> Subject: guest speaker  
>>  
>>  
>> Hello,  
>>  
>> I am teaching a survey in Environmental Technology  
>> for  
>> Cape Cod Community College. We will be discussing  
>> governmental processes and I was hoping to get  
>> someone  
>> from the Army Corps of Engineers to come and give  
>> a  
>> talk to the students on the NEPA process with  
>> specific  
>> reference to the Cape Wind proposal.  
>>  
>> The date I am trying to fill is Feb 1 and the  
>> course  
>> runs from 3:20-6:20pm. Any help you can give me  
>> with  
>> this is greatly appreciated.  
>>  
>> Cheers,  
>> Kathleen Dunckel  
>>  
>>  
>>  
>> \_\_\_\_\_  
>> Do you Yahoo!?  
>> Yahoo! Mail - Find what you need with new enhanced  
>> search.  
>> [http://info.mail.yahoo.com/mail\\_250](http://info.mail.yahoo.com/mail_250)  
>>> BEGIN:VCARD  
>>> VERSION:2.1  
>>> N:Adams;Karen  
>>> FN:Adams, Karen K NAE  
>>> ORG::NAE  
>>> TEL;WORK;VOICE:978-318-8828  
>>> ADR;WORK::CENAE-R-PEA  
>>> LABEL;WORK:CENAE-R-PEA  
>>  
>

3000

**Adams, Karen K NAE**

---

**From:** Kit Olmsted [towkit@mindspring.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 03, 2005 11:50 AM  
**To:** Energy, Wind NAE  
**Subject:** Nantucket sound wind turbines

Dear Sirs:

I am against the proposed wind turbines in Nantucket Sound until the following concerns are addressed.

1. What will the effects of vibration be on propagation of sand eels in the Horseshoe Shoal area? In the late nineteen seventies a fishery was denied because it was determined that sand eels were a critical element of the food chain for the ground fish and sport fish species in the area. It is also known that vibration disrupts the ability of a zygote to develop into a viable non-deformed member of its species. Vibration will be produced by the current running past the towers and from the turning of the turbines.
  2. Will the area be completely closed to dragging because of the connecting cables between the turbines? Closing the area would present a severe hardship to those that are currently using the area and those businesses that support the fishing industry. These industries have already been hit hard by regulation and the collapse of fish species.
  3. What will the petitioners do for construction equipment? The proposed turbines are large by any standards and the equipment needed to install and repair the turbines must also be large which requires deeper draft and the area is shoal water. During the installation of the data tower the attending tug was aground several times with a draft of ~11 feet. In passing, the data tower has not been operational and has not been maintained in the past few weeks.
  4. Why must the sub-station be on the water and present a risk of pollution from oil? The sub-stations that currently serve Martha's Vineyard and Nantucket are on land.
  5. Will there be a bond posted that is proportional to clean-up costs and what triggers will there be for activating the bond in case of bankruptcy or default of operation?
  6. Will there be a clause for removal if the turbines are different in the "as built" representation from the "as proposed" representation?
  7. A windmill by lake Tashmoo on Martha's Vineyard was stopped because of bird strikes. What makes the proposed windmills different?
  8. Will the towers be ice rated and has the effect of several towers together acting as a fence for ice been modeled to prevent collapse in severe ice conditions?
- An observation; I have been in the towing and salvage business operating out of Woods Hole, MA since the mid 1960's and during the intervening years I have seen (and rescued) many yachts and commercial vessels that misjudged the current in Nantucket and Vineyard sound and Woods Hole Passage and have struck buoys, rocks, and other vessels. There is no question in my mind that vessels will hit the towers. The only question is how many, frequency, and severity. The towers are a hazard to navigation for the boating public.

Sincerely,  
 Captain Christopher C. Olmsted  
 59 Bar Neck Road  
 Woods Hole, MA 02543

Phone: (508)548-4228

3089

**Adams, Karen K NAE**

---

**From:** Don Hayward [anonymous6403@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 03, 2005 9:40 AM  
**To:** Energy, Wind NAE  
**Subject:** Wind Farm Location

Dear Ms. Adams:

The proposed location for the Cape Wind project will have minimal adverse impact on the Sound by any known, measurable standard.

The visual impact is always a subjective opinion and as some of us view the Cape Cod Mall as an obscenity in tar, we adjust.

The enormous benefits to health, the economy, and the environment in general make it imperative that we make a beginning in Massachusetts.

The various opposition groups, particularly the Chambers of Commerce were in opposition to the construction of the Mid Cape Highway for many of the same reasons.

T delay is contrary to the public interest.

Regards,  
Don C. Hayward  
Monument Beach, Ma  
508-759-8974

---

Do you Yahoo!?

Yahoo! Mail - now with 250MB free storage. Learn more.  
[http://info.mail.yahoo.com/mail\\_250](http://info.mail.yahoo.com/mail_250)

3090

**Adams, Karen K NAE**

---

**From:** Dtriant@aol.com**Sent:** Thursday, February 03, 2005 9:59 AM**To:** pdascombe@capecodcommission.org; mepa@state.ma.us; Energy, Wind NAE**Subject:** Cape Wind Turbines Off Cape Cod

Re: Cape Wind Farm

Cape Cod is a fragile gift from the past, and scientists tell us that at the present rate of erosion, the peninsula might not be around for more than a century or two. So let's do all we can to PRESERVE this precious national treasure (Governor Romney is correct -- just look at the license plates each summer and you'll know that the Cape is enjoyed and valued by the whole nation).

A wind farm belongs in a a desolate, underutilized area (i.e. the Mojave Desert), not on the shore of one of the popular spots of the country. Please say NO to this project, and allow humanity to enjoy the Cape in all its natural beauty for the little time it has (geologically) left.

Sincerely,

James and Diane Triant  
Wellesley Hills, MA

3091

**Adams, Karen K NAE**

---

**From:** Smyers, Richard [richard.smyers@fmr.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 03, 2005 12:14 PM  
**To:** Energy, Wind NAE; mepa@state.ma.us; pdascombe@capecodcommission.org  
**Subject:** Cape Wind

To Whom it May Concern -

I am writing to register a comment on the DEIS for the Cape Wind project in Nantucket Sound.

One of the main detrimental impacts identified in the DEIS is the aesthetic impact, the fact that the facility would be in view from several historic sites. This is also an objection frequently cited by many who are opposed to the project. My suggestion for the Corps is to attempt to quantify this impact. Specifically, I would like to see an estimate of the number of other man-made objects that are visible from these historic sites, and from other residential or recreational sites. This estimate could also be broken down in relevant ways (day vs. night, summer vs. winter, fixed vs. moving objects, etc.) This estimate could then be used to produce a before-and-after analysis of the aesthetic impact.

For example, you might determine that from historic downtown Nantucket, on a typical summer night, you currently view 25 airplanes, 150 commercial boats, 2 broadcasting towers, and 23 non-historic buildings, for a total of 200 non-historic man-made objects. The addition of 20 visible light towers (for example) for the windmill project would thus represent a 10% increase. I believe this would be a valuable way to introduce fact-based analysis to the discussion and evaluation of the project's aesthetic impact.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this important report.

Sincerely,

**Richard Smyers**  
**94 Jersey Street**  
**Marblehead, MA 01945**  
**Richard.Smyers@FMR.com**

3092

**Adams, Karen K NAE**

---

**From:** Welsch, Julie [jwelsch@air-worldwide.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 03, 2005 12:29 PM  
**To:** Energy, Wind NAE  
**Subject:** Cape Wind Project

Just a word of support. I think it's great that someone is doing something about reducing global warming. I wish there were more projects like yours.

Thanks,  
Julie Welsch

3093

**Adams, Karen K NAE**

---

**From:** Nbrockway@aol.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 03, 2005 1:52 PM  
**To:** Energy, Wind NAE  
**Subject:** Comments on Cape Wind proposal

Dear Army Corps of Engineers:

Thank you for this opportunity to comment on the proposed Draft EIS for the Cape Wind Project. I write in support of the Cape Wind Project.

Wind energy is one of the sources of renewable energy that we will have to develop if we are to stave off the deleterious consequences of our reliance on fossil fuels. I happen to think that wind towers are majestic and beautiful, as their arms slowly wind through the air. But more importantly I believe we cannot use electricity in our homes, businesses and summer cottages and still pretend that the ocean view we look out over is pristine.

We have to live in harmony with the ocean and its resources, true. But we cannot maintain our energy-intensive way of life while still maintaining a fantasy of the landscape devoid of human presence. From my understanding of the project, it will have much less impact on the ocean's wildlife and the life forms around us than the alternatives, including natural gas, coal or nuclear power.

Thank you again for the chance to comment.

Best regards,

Nancy Brockway  
phone 617-645-4018  
fax (call ahead)

10 Allen Street  
Boston, MA 02131-3718

3094

**Adams, Karen K NAE**

---

**From:** joseph masse [josephmasse@comcast.net]  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 03, 2005 2:03 PM  
**To:** Energy, Wind NAE  
**Subject:** Cape Cod Wind Farm

I would like to just add my voice in support of the Cape Wind project. There is no reason, if the industry does as it says, that this venture should be positive for almost all of us on Cape Cod. Please insure that we keep the kilowatts here. J. Masse

3095

**Adams, Karen K NAE**

---

**From:** WaechterAM@aol.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 03, 2005 2:19 PM  
**To:** Energy, Wind NAE  
**Subject:** cape wind

Hi

I am very much in favor of the project, I would like over the years of operation some process that would monitor anything that caused harm to the local marine life, birds and birds migration due to a change of wildlife habitat. Also any increase in pattern of death or damage to marine life and birds.

The Cape wind corporation should be cooperative and flexible in making adjustments that will minimize any future possible outcomes of operation.

Thank you for the great job and for the the work you will be doing for this project.

Anna Maria Waechter

3096

**Adams, Karen K NAE**

---

**From:** Bill McKibben [wmckibbe@middlebury.edu]  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 03, 2005 2:33 PM  
**To:** Energy, Wind NAE

I am writing to comment on the Draft EIS regarding the Cape Wind project. I have followed the project closely because of my work on the issue of global warming (I wrote the first book for a general audience on the topic, *The End of Nature*, now translated into 20 languages) and have continued to research it. I think that the wind project proposed for offshore Massachusetts makes great sense. It is a small part of the great battle over climate change whose outcome will likely determine, among many other things, whether large swaths of Cape Cod are even habitable a century hence.

Any of the smaller environmental issues posed by the project--and I think your DEIS makes it clear that they are minor--should be weighed against the scale of rapid global warming. If that is done, the need for this project, and many more like it, will become clear.

Thank you,

Bill McKibben  
POB 167  
Ripton Vermont, 05766

3097

**Adams, Karen K NAE**

---

**From:** Livorsi, Carl [DPYUS] [CLivorsi@DPYUS.JNJ.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 03, 2005 3:36 PM  
**To:** Energy, Wind NAE  
**Subject:** Cape wind project Support

To who it may concern,

Debate all the numbers you wish, percentage of cape power, environmental impact, return on investment, etc. I believe the Cape Wind Project is a worthwhile project even if it broke even. I don't care if it ends up on the learning curve, needing to be redone over and over; it's where we should be going as Americans. I'm confident construction will be monitored by all the project opponents to minimize environmental impact. My lobsterman friend says he and his fellow lobster/fisherman believe there will be a positive overall impact (fish and shell fish) to the area. I will also be one of the first people to sign up for a tour of the area with my family and friends. I fish beside Brayton Point Power Plant and Somerset power, the mountain size piles of coal are scary, rain run off, air pollution have got to be enormous!! I look forward to fishing beside a clean windmill with its own new eco system. Please think of you grand children as the alternative to your special interest, lobbyist type, and support this project.

Sincerely,

Carl Livorsi  
24 County Road  
Lakeville, MA 02347

309B

Adams, Karen K NAE

---

**From:** avatar11@rediffmail.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 03, 2005 3:47 PM  
**To:** Energy, Wind NAE  
**Subject:** re: 'Cape Wind' Project

Colonel Thomas Koning  
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers  
696 Virginia Road  
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds - 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife - A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

Ravi Grover  
POb 802103  
Chicago, Illinois 60680

3099

**Adams, Karen K NAE**

---

**From:** John Rowell [johnrowell@verizon.net]  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 03, 2005 3:55 PM  
**To:** Energy, Wind NAE  
**Subject:** cape wind project

Dear Army Corps and others involved:

Although I am not a resident of the Cape area, I am very much looking forward to the Cape Wind project going forward. In so many ways, this endeavor is important to me. By reducing our nation's dependence on polluting forms of energy, by increasing jobs, by reducing damage to our ecosystem such as wildlife killed by oil spills, by not relying as much on foreign oil thus increasing national security, and by putting the US, in particular Massachusetts, on the map as a leader in renewable energy, this wind project becomes very significant. I wish to see this project completed quickly so we can all reap the benefits.

Thank you for affording me the opportunity to express my opinions.

Sincerely,

John Rowell  
Exeter, California

-----  
The following article I recently read pretty much states it all:

[Cantabrigians have stake in Cape wind farm, too](#)  
Cambridge Chronicle  
February 3, 2005

By Jeff Loiter

Sometime this year, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers may issue a permit to Energy Management Inc. to construct a wind farm in Nantucket Sound. Assuming EMI can overcome any legal challenges to the project, Massachusetts would become home to the first off-shore wind farm in the nation. While the benefits of the Cape Wind project to Massachusetts and the rest of New England are clear, a small group of vocal and well-funded opponents is doing everything they can to stop it. This would be unfortunate for residents of both Cambridge and Cape Cod.

Currently, Massachusetts relies on natural gas for more than 40 percent of electricity energy production. Those of us who heat our homes with natural gas know that its price has increased dramatically over the past few years. In addition, natural gas demand in New England nearly outstrips the capacity of existing pipelines and shipping terminals during the peak winter heating season. The electricity generated by the Cape Wind turbines will reduce natural gas demand and decrease New England's dependence on this fuel. This, in turn, saves money for all energy customers in New England, to the tune of \$25 million per year, \$10 million in Massachusetts alone.

On the Cape, the benefits of the project go beyond economics. While Cape consumers will enjoy lower energy bills like the rest of us, they will also have cleaner air to

2/3/2005

3099

breathe. When the wind turbines generate electricity, other generators like the oil-fired Canal Power Plant will reduce the amount of fuel they burn and, therefore, the amount of pollutants they emit. Since the Cape already suffers from poor air quality, the wind farm will truly be a breath of fresh air.

More intangible benefits will also accrue from this project. As the first off-shore wind project in the nation, the project will catapult Massachusetts into a leading role as a supporter of renewable energy and make us the largest generator of wind power east of the Mississippi River. We can take pride in backing up our treasured liberal values and professed environmental interest with a dramatic step towards a sustainable energy future.

Last year, both opponents and supporters filled an MIT auditorium to state their views on the project to the Army Corps of Engineers, the primary permitting authority. Opponents cite a long list of potential harmful impacts from the project, but these have not stood up to scrutiny. For example, they assert that the wind turbines will kill large numbers of birds, based in part on the experience of wind farms installed in California in the 1970s and 1980s. Thanks to significant differences in turbine design and siting, the Army Corps estimates that fewer than one bird per day would be killed by the Cape Wind turbines. This pales in light of other manmade sources of bird mortality such as collisions with communication towers, which kill millions of birds annually. It is also worth noting that the Buzzards Bay oil spill in 2003 killed more than 400 birds and caused the closure of tens of thousands of acres of shellfish beds. The barge that spilled the oil was just one of 60 barges, each carrying millions of gallons of oil that travel through the area each year to supply the Canal Power Plant with fuel. Wind power means less barge traffic and fewer chances for additional catastrophic oil spills.

Opponents have also objected to the "taking" of a public resource by a for-profit firm. They argue that Nantucket Sound belongs to the public and should not be "industrialized." Unfortunately, they do not seem to hold the commercial fishing industry to this same standard, who pay less than \$200 per year for the right to extract fish from public waters. Furthermore, mining and energy firms extract resources from public lands for royalty payments far below market value despite the widespread environmental damage associated with these activities. In contrast, the Cape Wind project will provide tax revenue to local communities without the lasting environmental harm usually inherent in fossil-fuel energy production.

Although few of the speakers against the Cape Wind project admitted to it, opposition often comes down to the fact that property owners with views of Nantucket Sound simply do not want to look at wind turbines. To be fair, this is a perfectly valid concern. On the other hand, every one of us bears different costs from our energy infrastructure. Whether we live near high-tension electricity distribution lines or down-wind of a power-plant, we all pay a price to enjoy the benefits of our reliable power system. If development necessary to support our growing power demand is stopped because some, rather than all, citizens are adversely affected, it is unlikely that any projects will successfully be built. The Army Corps, several major environmental organizations, and many individuals have already concluded that the benefits of the Cape Wind project outweigh its impacts.

3100

**Adams, Karen K NAE**

---

**From:** Wendy Ward [wendy\_ward@hms.harvard.edu]  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 03, 2005 4:17 PM  
**To:** Energy, Wind NAE  
**Cc:** william.delahunt@mail.house.gov  
**Subject:** Support for Nantucket Sound wind farm

To Whom it May Concern;

Even though I'm a resident of Quincy, I believe that building a wind farm is an important first step in decreasing our dependency on fossil fuels.

If the price of clean renewable energy is the price of ruined sight lines in Nantucket Sound then so be it. I believe that's a small price to pay if we're ever going to realistically deal with the consequences of using oil and natural gas as our sole energy sources.

Thank you,

Wendy L. Ward  
173 Norfolk Street  
Quincy, MA 02170

3101

**Adams, Karen K NAE**

---

**From:** Matt McLoughlin [matt@secondwind.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 03, 2005 4:45 PM  
**To:** Energy, Wind NAE  
**Subject:** cape wind project

To whom it may concern,

I live in Scituate, MA. and would like to voice my support for the off shore wind park proposed south of Cape Cod. The draft environmental impact statement appears to be quite comprehensive. We appreciate your hard work and attention to this matter and do hope that you issue an approval this year.

Best regards,

Matt + Erin Mcloughlin  
42 Ann Vinal Rd.  
Scituate, MA 02066  
781-545-2708  
[mcloughs@aol.com](mailto:mcloughs@aol.com)

P.S. If proposed off the coast of Scituate, we would feel the same way!

3102

**Adams, Karen K NAE**

---

**From:** Buildwell1 [buildwell1@msn.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 03, 2005 4:44 PM  
**To:** Energy, Wind NAE  
**Subject:** Wind Farm

To whom it may concern...

Please allow the wind Farm to be built for the sake of our planets future.

Thank You

John & Tara Clark

25 East Way

Mashpee MA 02649

3103

**Adams, Karen K NAE**

---

**From:** CBAR1580@aol.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 03, 2005 5:13 PM  
**To:** Energy, Wind NAE  
**Subject:** Cape windfarm

PLEASE, don't let the windmills be put into Nantucket Sound off of Cape Cod. It is a place of beauty and recreation and putting windfarms there will ruin this very special, beautiful area forever. There are many places that windfarms are not ruining the landscape (farm lands in California and Colorado, deserts in California) but Nantucket Sound will not be one of them. These men that are wanting to put them there are doing so for reasons of greed. They get the land free, instead of buying or leasing land in an area that would take a bite out of their profit. Not wanting windmills off Cape Cod is not a statement against windfarms, just a statement of putting them amid a national treasure.

Sincerely, Barbara H. Gates  
225 So. High St.  
Denver, Co. 80209

3104

**Adams, Karen K NAE**

---

**From:** Kevin\_Cottrell@vrtx.com

**Sent:** Thursday, February 03, 2005 5:16 PM

**To:** Energy, Wind NAE

I will be brief.

I would like to express my complete support of the Cape wind farm. It is a project which has merits which greatly outweigh any local impacts. As a nation we have an embarrassing environmental record and this will be one step to begin to improve that record. Environmentally, economically and socially it is a terrific start to improving our region, country and world.

I hope that the complaints of a few short-sighted, selfish people do not hinder the progress of the use of renewable, non-polluting energy sources which will improve our environment, quality of life, worldwide reputation and security.

Please do everything possible to allow this project to be a success and stand as an example for more of it's kind and help redraw our national energy profile.

Thank you.

Kevin Cottrell  
1697 Cambridge St #12  
Cambridge, MA 02138

3105

January 26, 2005

Col. Thomas L. Koning  
U. S., Army Corps of Engineers  
696 Virginia Road  
Concord, MA 01742-2751

RECEIVED  
U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS  
CONCORD, MASSACHUSETTS

Re: My Opinion - (completes) — Cape Wind Proposal Hearing Dec 16 at M.I.T.  
Proposed 130 420 ft high Wind Turbines on Horseshoe Shoal, Nantucket Sound

Gentlemen:

I attended your above hearing in order to register my opinion. Arriving at 6 p.m., I was called to speak at 11:15 P.M.. I appreciated your carrying the hearing beyond the scheduled 11 p.m. closing. I had not been aware of the three minute limit on speaking before arrival; the time went so quickly for me when speaking that I soon found I would far better have read my rehearsed thoughts from paper as most speakers did. For many citizens who love Cape Cod this is a highly important matter, and I ask your indulgence with this letter.

As a 40 year summer resident and property owner in Falmouth, you could say that the proposed area is not exactly in my "back yard". We face Vineyard Sound but would certainly not see these wind farm structures. My reasons for attending that night to oppose the plan were not based on a personal loss of "view", or aesthetics as such, but based on a loss of value. Value of Cape Cod as a natural and national attraction in summertime. As much, if you will, a national seashore marine preserve as it's regional counterpart, the outer Cape.

The shoal in question is a small boater's summer paradise, if you will. Any fair summer day will illustrate this to you, in particular, on weekends. The U-shaped shallow higher grounds of the shoal are not only unique (most are straight, as at Succonneset or Middle Ground), but the east-west tides that flow over these produce, at faster flows, a diverse and unusual series of "rips". On the lee side of these rips, turbulence is produced, and the small baitfish collect there to avoid the higher velocity water. And so do the interested bluefish, and to an extent the striped bass. And so the birds. And so too the sport fishermen.

These grounds are close enough in to shore so that the average sport fisherman with a sixteen foot outboard boat or larger can easily reach there, as well as larger boats, with the average perhaps being 22 feet. These smaller boats can 'hug the coast' to get there from farther away. The bigger boats, 30 feet and up, don't bother with inshore places. I point this out to indicate that Horseshoe is not a millionaire's fishing enclave. On a good day you can see boats with Chatham to Buzzards Bay ports marked on their transoms, forty, fifty, sixty of them. Proof enough of not only good fishing, but of value. Value to families, value to tourism, value to the trailered small boat vacationers, value to our treasured and threatened natural environment. As the Governor has called it, and I agree, it is a national treasure. On our wall in our summer cottage we have a mounted 16 lb bluefish, caught in our sixteen foot boat, guess where. We knew it was a big one because it stayed down and did not dance on top. We took turns bringing it in. On the back of the mounting board is a paper that says it was pulled in by my father, myself, and my ten year old son, on a beautiful day..

Col. Koning, how do you quantify things like that? And when you can multiply it by the thousands !.

Perhaps you remember my remarks. I admit to marveling at the attentiveness you displayed that night throughout the evening. You may recall my mention of having an engineering degree. I feel I am as cognizant of the reasons and need for seeking alternative renewable energy sources as most of the experts who testified that night. This need is of course factored by how and where and the cost to obtain a given source. It is easy also to understand how these same experts do not really comprehend the planned area for what it is. Neither does an entrepreneur who is aiming to reduce his costs by claiming public benefaction. There are many other places, yes and in New England perhaps, where the price for the public would not be so in my opinion prohibitive.

3105

Comments were made that night as to the properness of this decision being placed solely in the hands of the Corps of Engineers. I do not think this is fair to the Corps, among other reasons. A quite rough analogy might be when Disney Corporation was bidding to place a theme park at Manassas, or Bull Run, a few years back.

Thank you for reading this. I hope that my opinion may carry some influence with you.



Roderic Baltz  
28 Robinson Road  
Lexington, MA 02420

cc: Governor Mitt Romney  
State House

Senator Ted Kennedy  
Senator John Kerry  
United States Senate Offices

11/1/05

3106

This letter is addressed to 1 -  
The Cape Cod Commission who probably provided  
the "copy" for the public notice in the 1/1/05  
issue of the Cape Cod Times and didn't bother  
to put in their own address.

2 MEPA

3 Army Corps of Engineers

RECEIVED  
NOV 10 2005  
U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

Mr Gordon & his company have referred  
to his generators as 100% pollution free.  
In the service (Army 12/67-12/69) I was taught  
as a generator mechanic (52B30 to the Corps)  
that all generators produce ozone gas. Do yours?

I have heard claims of reduced cost for  
the Cape. Then told that the electricity produced  
is beaded for the "grid" which means any-  
where. If Cape Cod has to look at these mono-  
liths we should at least be the main bene-  
ficiary. Reduced costs carries a lot more clout  
than reduced pollution

Reduced pollution. The vast majority

of dirty air that we breathe comes from the  
Midwest - All these claims of reduced pollution  
make me wonder - Are the oil refineries in Gary,  
Indiana going to suddenly shut down. I don't  
think you're even going to put a dent in Merant  
operation.

3106

Why not rent Cuttyhunk - Penikese - In  
the beginning there was talk of alternate sites -  
Where did that go?

Way too much effort for way too  
little gained - unless you count Mr. Bordonos  
potential gain.

JEFF TRASK  
52 UPPER COUNTY RD.  
DENNISPORT, MA 02639  
(508) 394 2917 AM ONLY