

2019

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: plgoeller@aol.com
Sent: Thursday, January 20, 2005 7:29 PM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

pamela goeller
166 castle ave
westbury, New York 11590

2B20

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: LIBBYPUFF@aol.com
Sent: Thursday, January 20, 2005 7:14 PM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: cape winds

Hello,

I just have a few comments and personal opinions about the "Wind Farm" issues.

#1-- I feel that our country should start using our natural resources for what they were intended for, instead of depending on other countries and corporate companies in the U.S. whom are well over charging people for utilities.

#2 --I also see signs posted that say "Nantucket Sound Not for Sale" I would like to know who owns it?

#3 --As far as it bothering people because it will obscure their view or look terrible, they are the ones that don't seem to have to worry about paying their bills. Unlike the working stiff that is just making ends meet by borrowing from Peter to pay Paul because they are being taxed more by the state as to support more needier families that are on some type of fuel assistance..

#4--And the wind farm **will attract more marine life and tourists..** Not everyone is against the project.

Thank you
Janice,
A Hyannis Resident
from the working class

2021

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: Fatnfifty@aol.com
Sent: Thursday, January 20, 2005 10:04 PM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: (no subject)

how dare you ruin cronkite's view. this may lower our house value, create navigational problems for our yachts and detract from our golf course views. and this is called conservation? not in my back yard. hell put it in bayonne.

2027

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: hansjoergstern@verizon.net
Sent: Thursday, January 20, 2005 10:48 PM
To: Energy, Wind NAE; lmartin@capewind.org; jelledell@comcast.net; PATRICIA.L.WILD@NETZERO.NET.
Subject: EIS Wind Farm



Army Corps of
Engineers.doc

Attached please find Microsoft Word document concerning the proposed Cape Wind installation at Horseshoe Shoales. I would appreciate acknowledgement of its receipt by simply returning this transmission to my e-mail box.

Hansjoerg Stern, PE
167 Bog Pond Road
Brewster, MA 02631
Tel: 508-896-5795

2023

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: kowen@foley.com
Sent: Thursday, January 20, 2005 5:21 PM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

Karyon Owen
280 Little Creek Trail
Berkeley Springs, West Virginia 25411

2B24

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: melcat29@excite.com
Sent: Thursday, January 20, 2005 5:25 PM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

Melissa Chisena
1600 monument ave #200
Richmond, Virginia 23220

2825

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: caleebean@aol.com
Sent: Thursday, January 20, 2005 6:38 PM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds - 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife - A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both. Please take the animals lives into consideration!!

Sincerely,

Caitlin Welsh
13271 Styer Court
Highland, Maryland 20777

2BZ6

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: eldriscoll@yahoo.com
Sent: Thursday, January 20, 2005 6:52 PM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

Edward Driscoll
11239 Legato Way
Silver Spring, Maryland 20901

2827

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: rockimtnlynx@yahoo.com
Sent: Thursday, January 20, 2005 6:57 PM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

Marybeth Lynch
6267 Westmoreland Place
Goleta, California 93117-1608

2028

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: femcentrix@netscape.net
Sent: Thursday, January 20, 2005 7:12 PM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

Lauren Louise Aviles
37795 Oxford Drive
Murrieta, California 92562-5058

2029

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: janpaley@usa.com
Sent: Thursday, January 20, 2005 7:13 PM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

Jan Paley
11133 Rose Ave #28
Los Angeles, California 90034

2030

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: consulraaj@aol.com
Sent: Thursday, January 20, 2005 7:52 PM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

Carmen Bonilla-Jones
545 Yale Road
Venice, Florida 34293

2031

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: heatherkphx@yahoo.com
Sent: Thursday, January 20, 2005 7:54 PM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

Heather Kneale
1629 W. Vernon
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

2832

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: emb66@bcn.net
Sent: Thursday, January 20, 2005 8:18 PM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

Judith Embry
51 Blackstone Road
Florida, Massachusetts 01247-9400

2833

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: Soloman009@hotmail.com
Sent: Thursday, January 20, 2005 8:40 PM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

Darryl Warner
318Beach85thstreet#GO3C
Rockaway Beach, New York 11693-1498

2834

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: elisaj1@aol.com
Sent: Thursday, January 20, 2005 9:17 PM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

elisa frey
1167 Milstead Ave NE
Conyers, Georgia 30012-4505

2835

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: sweetheartshaina@aol.com
Sent: Thursday, January 20, 2005 9:53 PM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

Shaina Ebel
9515 Firdale Ave N #12
Edmonds, Washington 98020

2836

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: wenber9@aol.com
Sent: Friday, January 21, 2005 12:14 AM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

wendy berke
2723 N.E. 1st terrace
wilton manors, Florida 33334

2837

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: jmhh243@aol.com
Sent: Friday, January 21, 2005 12:26 AM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

Joan Hager
425 Winter St
Holliston, Massachusetts 01746

2830

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: catlover6451@animail.net
Sent: Friday, January 21, 2005 6:53 AM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

SHaron Hall
9351 Willow
Willis, Michigan 48191

2039

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: darcia@care2.com
Sent: Friday, January 21, 2005 8:11 AM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

Darcia Helle
4307 Tall Oak Lane
New Port Richey, Florida 34653

2840

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: samcatmom@yahoo.com
Sent: Friday, January 21, 2005 8:21 AM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

Pam Walker
6327 Oriole Drive
Dallas, Texas 75209

2841

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: eileebird@charter.net
Sent: Friday, January 21, 2005 9:57 AM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

eileen cohen
2879 san gabriel
minden, Nevada 89423

2842

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: mecurry44@care2.com
Sent: Friday, January 21, 2005 10:13 AM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

Maryellen Curry
1511 Putnam Howe Drive
Belpre, Ohio 45714

2043

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: sharon_hugs@yahoo.ca
Sent: Friday, January 21, 2005 10:33 AM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning
U. S. Army Corps of Engineers
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

Dawn Donalds
327 Bleeker st
Toronto, m4x 1w5
Canada

2844

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: kelfox@care2.com
Sent: Friday, January 21, 2005 11:03 AM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

Kelly Fox
P O Box 280
Mount Bethel, Pennsylvania 18343

2845

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: LadyMoon2629@aol.com
Sent: Friday, January 21, 2005 11:17 AM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

Lisa Cottone
3610 Armell Drive
Indian Trail, North Carolina 28079

2846

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: william.mcmullin@wmich.edu
Sent: Friday, January 21, 2005 11:55 AM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

William McMullin

Adams, Karen K NAE

2847

From: barbarascottharm@netscape.net
Sent: Friday, January 21, 2005 12:20 PM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

Barbara Scott
1400 S. Valley View
#2048
Las Vegas, Nevada 89102

2848

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: iluvoak@yahoo.com
Sent: Friday, January 21, 2005 1:17 PM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

Sue Dobbs
3820 leak lane
Sacramento, California 95650

2849

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: Mueller, Kurt E. [KEMuelle@SCJ.COM]
Sent: Friday, January 21, 2005 9:24 AM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Save Our Sound

If this area is so important in the scheme of things, why not put the wind farm on No Man's Land? Due to its use in the past, there would be no loss of value and quite the opposite, put to good use.

Elizabeth Mueller

2850

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: Chris Cox [ccox@c-map.com]
Sent: Friday, January 21, 2005 9:58 AM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Nantucket Sound

Hello Ms. Kirk-Adams:

Re: A wind farm on Horseshoe shoal in Nantucket Sound.

I'm personally disappointed that this idea would even be considered and sadden that such time and money has been consumed in the debate.

Alternative energy sources are important, but the cost in this case greatly exceeds any benefit.

If wind conditions were "optimal" across the top of Niagara Falls, would that make it okay to build a wind farm there? If the current "status" of a popular and uniquely beautiful space leaves it unprotected, does that mean it should be compromised for profit?

I urge you and others to stop this project from going any further. Something professed to be such a public good, obviously is not as evidenced by the lasting divisiveness.

Thank you for your time.

Best regards,

Chris Cox
Barnstable, MA

2851
2851

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: Stephen O'Keefe (Legato) [o'keefe_stephen@emc.com]
Sent: Friday, January 21, 2005 10:06 AM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Wind Farm

Dear All

As a homeowner in West Dennis I find it
incredible that this project would even be given
serious consideration. I have been sailing on Nantucket Sound
all my life. I do consider it a national treasure. I am strongly
opposed to this project. Please do the right thing here and cancel
this project.

Regards

Steve O'Keefe

2852

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: Lisa Maloney [lmaloney@oeb.harvard.edu]
Sent: Friday, January 21, 2005 10:30 AM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Cape Wind Energy Project

January 21, 2005

Karen Kirk-Adams
Cape Wind Energy Project EIS Project Manager
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, New England District

Dear Ms. Kirk-Adams,

Thank you for giving Massachusetts residents the chance to write our comments about the Cape Wind Energy Project. I am so pleased that Massachusetts is even having this debate, because it finally means that clean energy is a possibility, and it means that we are in a place to make an incredible impact on the future of energy policy in the United States.

I strongly support any efforts to bring clean energy to Massachusetts. I believe that the potential impacts on wildlife should be considered seriously and all attempts should be made to minimize the negative effects on both the habitat and the individual animals. However, I think it is essential to proceed with the project, to begin to install windmills, and to see our state's energy use shift from polluting oil, gas and coal burning plants to a much healthier way to provide energy.

We must set the example for other states in the United States. We consume more and pollute more than any other developed nation, and we are already having a very negative impact on the future of the environment and the climate. Here at Harvard, where I work, they have accepted the fact that global climate change is a reality and is currently happening, so they are now focusing their efforts on how to prevent further changes in the future. Using clean sources of energy is an important part of solving that major global crisis.

Thank you again for allowing me to voice my opinion about this important issue.

Kind Regards,
Lisa A. Maloney

Lisa Maloney
HMNH Education Department
26 Oxford Street
Cambridge, MA 02138
617-496-4094
lmaloney@oeb.harvard.edu

2853

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: Robert R. Holt [CapeBobHolt@comcast.net]
Sent: Friday, January 21, 2005 10:42 AM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Public comment: Cape Wind project

Comment on Draft EIS

General comment: My review has been confined to the Executive Summary. On the whole, I found it to be excellent: thorough in its coverage, objective in tone, and relatively accessible to nontechnical readers. It could be improved in the last respect by less use of technical jargon and abbreviations. Where necessary, technical terms could be accompanied by parenthetical or footnoted explanations in lay terms. Frequently encountered abbreviations could be made more intelligible if followed by a parenthetical expansion every tenth usage.

Most of my comments are restricted to the area of my technical competence. Thus, while I have a positive impression of the meticulous coverage of most objections to the proposed wind farm, I do not presume to evaluate their adequacy.

Visual impact: The major concern of many protestors, that the traditional, undisturbed natural view of Nantucket Sound would be impaired by manmade structures, is fairly adequately discussed. Unmentioned, however, is the fact that of the entire Atlantic shoreline of the Cape, and the shores of Nantucket and Martha's Vineyard, only a tiny portion would be impacted, and that only under favorable viewing conditions. It would be an enhancement to the study to include an estimate of the proportion of total viewing hours when the towers would be visible from those locations, and a corresponding measurement of the comparative extent of those viewing spots. Data on prevailing fog, precipitation, haze and other impediments to a clear sight of the towers should be easily available.

Just as birds could easily save themselves from impact with the rotors by avoiding their vicinity, so also tourists and other visitors to the Cape and islands could easily find plenty of places to enjoy unmodified traditional seascapes. Net impact to tourism might therefore be quite negligible. Indeed, however, the small portions of the shorelines where the wind farm *would* be visible at times should experience an influx of visitors curious to see these well-publicized structures. Esthetic taste being as variable as it is, it is quite possible that the numbers of persons who would enjoy seeing this remarkable new feature of the seascape would exceed those who would be put off by it.

In any event, the eventual effects--both positive and negative--of the visual change would be markedly diminished by habituation. (Sensory/perceptual habituation is the well known, thoroughly studied phenomenon that when a person is exposed to invariant stimulation of a given kind, it recedes from attention and is not noticed or remembered. Common examples are the fact that we are mostly unconscious of the sensations of our clothing and of smells that initially seem noticeably pleasant or unpleasant.) Just as people who were originally offended by the many land-based antenna towers visible from so many places in the Cape and Islands have grown so accustomed to them that they are rarely noticed, a few years from now people living within sight of the wind farm would undoubtedly grow accustomed to that sight also. Indeed, it should be much easier to do so, because of the fact that much smaller visual angles would be subtended by the rotor towers at the beach than by the prevailing communications towers at roadways, and the former would offer much less visual contrast to their surround than the latter do. That is my professional opinion as a psychologist with some experience of research in the area of visual perception.

Another minor suggestion: It may dispel a popular misunderstanding to point out explicitly that, unlike other rotors with which most people are familiar, electric fans, the rotors of a windmill exert no 'suction' that might draw birds into their rotors. If anything, the effect of a windmill is to slow wind speed, thus making it easier for birds to avoid it. Similarly, it might be advantageous to contrast the unavoidable small mortality of birds by impact with the towers and rotors by the offsetting reduction in various pollutants of air, water, and land from alternative, prevailing generation of electricity by use of fossil fuels, and by the effect of the latter on climate around the world. Global warming is having a deleterious effect on birds and all other wildlife, of incalculable but surely alarming magnitude. Environmentalists, naturalists, and all others who are concerned for the survival of the other species with which we share this planet need to realize the urgency of making the transition to renewable sources of energy as rapidly as possible.

Finally, one important aspect of the proposed wind farm's environmental impact should receive more stress: Its potential effect on the needed great expansion of renewable energy sources. Among the most urgent tasks facing this nation is to move as rapidly as possible away from our dangerous dependence on foreign oil--dangerous to our economy, our climate, our habitat, and to the lives of our armed forces involved in the present war in Iraq and future similar resource wars. Wind power is surely one of the most promising replacements for oil, coal, and other fossil fuels: it is a highly developed technology, one with a long history of human use, yet one in the employment of which the United States lags behind many other nations. It is vital that we get experience with large-scale generation of electricity from off-shore wind farms as soon as possible. If Cape Wind succeeds in this pioneering venture, much will be learned. It will positively affect public awareness of the problem and a viable solution, and should attract more private investment in renewable energy generation.

Respectfully submitted,

Robert R. Holt, Ph. D.
 Professor of Psychology Emeritus, New York University
 Former Director, Research Center for Mental Health
 PO Box 1087
 Truro, MA 02666

1/21/2005

2054

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: JEVerry999@yahoo.com
Sent: Friday, January 21, 2005 11:15 AM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: JEVerry999@yahoo.com

Colonel Thomas Koning
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds - 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife - A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Without testing, the outcome of this project may become disastrous to wildlife and the environment.

Sincerely,

James Verry

2055

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: Jon Richardson [johnr@gundpartnership.com]
Sent: Friday, January 21, 2005 11:48 AM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Cc: info@capewind.org
Subject: Cape Wind Project - a Great Idea

Karen Kirk-Adams
Cape Wind Energy EIS Project
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, New England District
696 Virginia Road, Concord, MA 01742

Dear Army Corps of Engineers,

I am an architect from Ipswich, writing in strong support of the Cape Wind Project. Generally I am concerned about wildlife habitat, but my understanding is that the proposed project presents no significant to fish or fowl. I believe the only argument against the project is aesthetic and that such an argument is without merit. As an architect I know that the large off-shore wind farms in Europe have been well received and considered quite attractive by most. There will always be nay Sayers, but fear of the new is not a solid reason to stop this project. Ultimately the wind farm will not hurt real estate values and in fact will be a source of pride as a piece of industrial sculpture. I predict tourists will come just to see it. It will certainly be more appealing than oil tankers and jet skis.

We as a nation need more domestic energy and we need clean energy. This project should be a model for others. I do hope a regulatory framework is established to control development, I wouldn't want buildings erected in some off shore land-grab, but industrial uses like wind power and fish farming important ways to make the ocean economically productive.

Sincerely,

Jon Richardson

This email, and any files transmitted with it, is intended solely for the addressee(s) and may be legally privileged and/or confidential. If you have received this email in error please destroy it and contact the sender, via our switchboard on 617-577-9600 or via return email. You should not copy, forward or use the contents, attachments or information in any way. Any unauthorized use or disclosure may be unlawful. Any opinion expressed in this email may be personal to the author and may not necessarily reflect the opinions of the company.

2856

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: Anthony Finnerty [finnerty@capecod.net]
Sent: Friday, January 21, 2005 4:25 PM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Cc: finnerty@capecod.net
Subject: Cape Cod Wind Farm

To whom it may concern:

I would like to raise two issues in regard to the Nantucket Sound Wind Farm.

1. Fire protection for the 40,000 gallons of transformer oil at the Wind Farm site.

I assume that PCBs will not be available to provide fire protection for the oil. Will there be any inherent fire protection engineered into the site? Will Yarmouth be expected to provide fire protection for the Wind Farm? Who will pay for fire boats, extra firemen, training, etc.?
Has the Army Corps of Engineers designated the responsible party?

2. Fishing access to Horseshoe Shoal.

What guarantees are there that this seven hundred million dollar investment will be left wide open to fishermen, day and night? It is difficult for me to accept that there will be no security at the site.
Given one or two incidents, there may be an effort by the Wind Farm company to close the site to boaters. At the moment, Nantucket Sound holds lots of striped bass in season, yet there is little "structure". The Wind Farm would provide lots of "structure." This should attract most of the stripers in Nantucket Sound. It would be a real shame to lose Nantucket Sound striped bass fishing. Yet, security issues may dictate closing the site to boats. Can the Army Corps of Engineers give a guarantee that the site will remain wide open to the public?

Sincerely,

Anthony Finnerty
117 Sullivan Road
West Yarmouth, MA 02673
508-790-0306

Anthony Finnerty
finnerty@capecod.net
Why Wait? Move to EarthLink.

1/21/2005

2857

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: tom driscoll [tdriscoll10@comcast.net]
Sent: Friday, January 21, 2005 1:32 PM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Cape Wind

Hello,

I want to make my feeling known about the Cape wind project. I feel strongly that we need more of these projects to slow global waring and foster energy independence. As an agency closely involved with matters of the sea and its destructive force, I'm sure you're aware that the predicted sea level rise over the coming decades will devastate coastal areas everywhere. Renewable energy projects like Cape wind are urgently needed to avoid the worst of these effects. Please approve this application.

Sincerely

Tom Driscoll

35 Santa Margarita Dr.
San Rafael, CA 94901

2050

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: Don Hayward [anonymous6403@yahoo.com]
Sent: Friday, January 21, 2005 1:49 PM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Wind farm Location

Ms. Karen Anderson:

It has become apparent that the Army Corps will need to withstand intense political heat as the lead Agency in the permitting process.

I remain confident that the same level of professional/technical expertise that operates the Cape Cod Canal and was the lead Agency in the Manhattan Project among many other vital and cutting edge projects, will remain devoted to scientific evaluation and analysis of this project.

I am a member of Clean Power Now and an advocate of this project. If, however, the Corps were to conclude that this project would be an adverse element for the residents of the area I would withdraw my support immediately.

Thank you for your dedicated service to the public.

Regards,
Don C. Hayward
Monument Beach
508-759-8974

Do you Yahoo!?
The all-new My Yahoo! - Get yours free!
<http://my.yahoo.com>

2859

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: Paul Smith [thesmiths@capecod.com]

Sent: Friday, January 21, 2005 1:52 PM

To: Energy, Wind NAE

Subject: Wind Farm

Hello,

I am a local cape coddler and I would like to lend my support to the wind farm project. I am an avid windsurfer and I do not mind sharing the wind in an effort to lessen our dependence on fossil fuels.

Paul Smith

2060

Arthur S. Pugsley
1380 Midvale Ave. #115
Los Angeles, CA 90024

pugsley2007@lawnet.ucla.edu

Col. Thomas L. Koning
District Engineer
United States Army Corps of Engineers
New England District
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742
Attn: Karen K. Adams

Secretary Ellen Roy Herzfelder
Massachusetts Executive Office of Environmental Affairs
100 Cambridge Street 9th Floor
Boston, MA 02114
Attn: Anne Canaday, EOEPA #12643

January 17, 2005

Dear Col. Koning and Secretary Herzfelder:

I am writing to you concerning the Cape Wind Draft Environmental Impact Statement/ Draft Environmental Impact Report (DEIS/DEIR) prepared by the United States Army Corps of Engineers pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act (MEPA)¹ for the proposed Cape Wind project in Nantucket Sound. As you may recall, I wrote the 2002 state Certificate, signed by then-Secretary Durand, in response to the November 2001 Environmental Notification Form (ENF) submitted by Cape Wind Associates LLC pursuant to MEPA. The 2002 Certificate lays out the general parameters for the analysis contained in the DEIR portion of the document.

I write today as a private citizen. Nonetheless, my comments are necessarily colored by my work in drafting the 2002 Certificate, and additional work over the course of two years in reviewing portions of the DEIS/DEIR with state and federal cooperating agencies. I write to give you a sense of my expectations when I wrote the 2002 certificate, and my opinion of how the document meets those expectations.

I reviewed over 200 Draft and Final EIRs during my nine year tenure at MEPA. To recommend adequacy, I made three demands of every EIR: that the document meet the applicable test for adequacy contained in section 11.08(8) of the MEPA regulations; that the document be generally responsive to the ENF Certificate; and that the document allow state agencies and the general public

¹ MEPA jurisdiction applies to those portions of the project located on the Massachusetts mainland and within the boundaries of the Massachusetts Territorial Sea.

SALLY WEISS
506 Dahlia Drive
Wayland, MA 01778
Tel: (508) 358-7046 Fax: (508) 358-2795
email: sallyweiss@comcast.net

2861

January 20, 2005

Karen K. Adams EIS Mgr.
Cape Wind Energy Project
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
New England District, Reg. Div.
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751

RECEIVED

JAN 21 2005

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

Dear Ms. Adams:

I want to register my strong objection to the Cape Wind project in Nantucket Sound.

The environmental impact upon the particular area notwithstanding, my objection is based upon the impact on people. This area is a national treasure. Surely there is another place to provide energy without sacrificing such a magnificent part of Massachusetts, and a place which brings such joy to thousands of Americans.

Sincerely,
Sally R. Weiss

376 Pleasant Bay Rd
Harwich, Ma 02645
January 25, 2003

Karen Adame
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers,

Dear Ms Adams,

RECEIVED
JAN 27 2003
2862

I am writing in support of the proposed
Wind Farm.

I lived for over fifteen years in Southern
California and am aware of the
very successful Wind Farm in California
near Palm Springs.

Not only has it been a financial success
for many years (over ten) but has
cut down on air pollution, a major problem
all over California.

These turbines are graceful & beautifully
designed as are the ones proposed
for Nantucket Sound. They will
not be an eyesore and will save
our natural resources.

This proposal is fiscally sound and
a great thing for the environment.

Sincerely

Donald J Mackey

2863

237 No. Main St., #149
So. Yarmouth. MA 02664
January 19, 2005

Ms. Karen Adams
US Army Corps of Engineers
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742

Dear Ms. Adams:


We are grateful for the DEIS you have released in connection with the pro's and con's of the proposed Wind Farm project, to be sited in national waters. I support the Wind Farm project for a number of reasons, not the least of which the Wind Farm Project would be a much safer kind of renewable energy than increasing nuclear plants.

This is the case even though I realize safety features are constantly being pursued in the nuclear industry.

We need clean renewable energy sources and wind power is certainly an attractive source. Cape Wind has agreed to abide by any regulations and/or costs, if and when such become law, which is reassuring.

Thank you again for your work. I hope the Wind Farm project becomes operable, the sooner the better.

Sincerely yours,


Harriet C. Wilson

RECEIVED
JAN 21 2005
WATER DIVISION

2864

Comment Sheet
On Draft Environmental Impact Statement (EIS)
For the proposal for an Offshore Wind Project
In Nantucket Sound

Name: LARRY SINGMASTER

Address: P.O. Box 396
HYANNIS PORT, MA 02647

Phone Number (Please include area code): 508-775-3306 / 941-922-7560

Email Address: CARSING2@COMCAST.NET

Please state your questions/comments in the space below:

IT IS RIDICULOUS TO "DESTROY" THE PRISTINE
BEAUTY, FISHERY, AND UNENCUMBERED BOATING
AREA — HORSESHOE SHOAL/NANTUCKET SOUND —
WITH 400' + WIND TURBINES AND A TRANSFORMER
STATION. WOULD YOU PERMIT 130 WINDMILLS
IN YELLOWSTONE NAT'L PARK? OR CENTRAL PARK,
NYC? OR SQUAM LAKE, NH? OR ANY OF OUR
AUDUBON PRESERVES?? OF COURSE, NOT!
THE MONEY SPENT/INVOLVED IN TOURISM,
BOATING, FISHING, SIGHTSEEING, AND THE NATURAL
BEAUTY OF OUR SOUND FAR OUTWEIGH ANY
ADVANTAGES OF THE PROPOSED WIND FARM PROJECT.
THIS PROJECT WILL RUIN OUR AQUATIC SANCTUARY!

Sincerely,
L.G. Singmaster

Please fold this questionnaire in half, affix two stickers or pieces of tape,
and mail it to the address listed on the other side.

RECEIVED
MAY 11 2006

2865

Comment Sheet
On Draft Environmental Impact Statement (EIS)
For the proposal for an Offshore Wind Project
In Nantucket Sound

Name: Jean Nickerson

Address: 21 Old Bass River Rd.
South Dennis, MA 02660

Phone Number (Please include area code): (308)-398-8567

Email Address: _____

Please state your questions/comments in the space below:

This editorial from January 4, 2005 issue of the Cape Cod Times reflects my views. Is the Army Corps of Engineering the appropriate agency to review this proposed project.

② We should have ocean zoning in place prior to any development of the ocean. Since this project would be first in the nation for an off-shore wind farm, it will be precedent setting. Therefore, it is most important to have planning in place prior to any development. Criteria need to be developed, along with rules and regulations.

Please fold this questionnaire in half, affix two stickers or pieces of tape, and mail it to the address listed on the other side.

January 4, 2005

CAPE COD TIMES

The Cape and Islands' Daily Newspaper

New England Newspaper Association's
Daily Newspaper of the Year

EDITORIAL BOARD

PETER MEYER President and Publisher
CLIFF SCHECHTMAN Editor
WILLIAM MILLS Editorial Page Editor
TIM DeMARCE Assistant Editorial Page Editor

Main office: 319 Main Street, Hyannis, MA 02601
E-mail address: news@capecodonline.com

Phone: (508) 775-1200 or (800) 451-7887
Web site: www.capecodonline.com

IN OUR VIEW

Form ocean task force

■ Cabinet-level committee should identify appropriate places to build offshore renewable energy projects.

In April, the U.S. Commission on Ocean Policy admitted that the current regulatory process for offshore wind farms is inadequate.

The commission questioned whether the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers is the best agency to issue a permit for the Cape Wind project under Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act.

Section 10 "lacks the management comprehensiveness that is needed to take into account ... the consideration of a coherent policy and process to guide offshore energy development," according to the commission.

But while the commission recommended new legislation to develop "a comprehensive and coordinated planning process for determining where, when, and how this activity should take place," it failed to provide a specific blueprint for the development and management of offshore renewable energy projects.

Last month, President Bush created a cabinet-level Committee on Ocean Policy.

While the creation of the committee is a step in the right direction, the President must now charge it with the responsibility of identifying appropriate and inappropriate places to build ocean-based projects powered by wind, wave or tidal forces.

For starters, the committee should establish an offshore renewable energy task force, which could appoint regional subcommittees made up of major stakeholders, including developers, state and local officials, environmentalists, and representatives of the fishing and shipping industries.

Working together, subcommittee members could develop a regional zoning map. The task force would coordinate the work of the regional subcommittees, and eventually establish a zoning map for the entire East Coast. Certain offshore areas would be open for industrial development while other areas would be off limits.

Currently, developers are driving the process. Up and down the East Coast, wind farm developers are identifying the sites that would be the most profitable for them, and the government, namely the Army of Corps of Engineers, is simply reacting to their preferred sites.

The developers argue that the Army Corps is forcing them to look at alternative sites, but when the data about secondary sites come primarily from consultants hired by the developers, the credibility of the process is seriously compromised.

Wouldn't it make sense for developers to work in consultation with major stakeholders to first develop a master plan for East Coast offshore renewable energy projects?

Or do we want to build a major wind farm on Nantucket Sound, and then develop ocean zoning? Let's put the horse (the government, the people) before the cart (the developers, the profits).

Just as planners had the foresight to protect Route 6A by imposing a regional historic district on the north-side of the Cape, let's have the same foresight to protect certain offshore areas that are equally special to us.

An unfavorable trade of in Nantucket sound

The Army Corps of Engineers has released its report on the Cape Wind proposal to build a wind power plant in Nantucket Sound. A private consultant chosen and paid by Cape Wind largely wrote the report. Another consultant reviewing the research was also paid by Cape Wind. It is no surprise that the report was favorable.

As you know, a private developer plans to take 24 square miles of public land (and perhaps even more if alternative sites are built) for free in the waters between Cape Cod and the Islands. This massive commercial project would be heavily subsidized by millions of your taxpayer dollars.

Our local economy, wildlife, boating and aviation safety, commercial and sport fishing, and the natural beauty of this area would all be affected by the industrialization of our offshore resources. By developing alternative energy responsibility and following a route of energy conservation, we can avoid trading off one environmental resource against another. **2867**

Amy McGuire Kates
Cotuit

Establish rules before wind farm

I would like to register my objection to the Army Corps of Engineers' review of Cape Wind Associate, LLC's, proposal to industrialize 24 square miles of Nantucket Sound.

The massive project Cape Wind proposes is the first offshore wind energy project this country has faced. Currently, there are no federal laws that authorize the occupation of outer continental shelf lands by private developers or that regulate how and where such development is appropriate.

The federal government must first establish guidelines for the review of proposals such as Cape Wind's before any more development takes place. We must develop sensible standards that enable the appropriate federal agency to weigh the benefits of a proposed project against its costs, which potentially include harmful environmental impacts, negative effects on affected region's economy and degradation of an area's aesthetic values.

These public resources belong to all of us, and it is imperative that sensible laws be passed before any projects are approved. Wealthy private developers should not determine how or where the outer continental shelf will be developed. Without an established process by which the Army Corps of Engineers, or any other federal agency, can objectively and competently review these proposals, any consideration of Cape Wind's proposed wind plant should cease.

Thank you for your consideration of this issue.

2866

Alexis Burns
Marstons Mills

Review process is flawed

I would like to register my objection to the Army Corps of Engineers' review of Cape Wind Associates, LLC's, proposal to industrialize 24 square miles of Nantucket Sound.

The massive project Cape Wind proposes is the first offshore energy project this country has faced. Currently, there are no federal laws that authorize the occupation of outer continental shelf lands by private developers or that regulate how and where such development is appropriate.

The federal government must first establish guidelines for the review of proposals such as Cape Wind's before any more development takes place. We must develop sensible standards that enable the appropriate federal

agency to weigh the benefits of a proposed project against its costs, which potentially include harmful environmental impacts, negative effects on the region's economy and degradation of an area's aesthetic values.

These public resources belong to all of us, and it is imperative that sensible laws be passed before any projects are approved. Wealthy private developers should not determine how and where the outer continental shelf will be developed. Without an established process by which the Army Corps of Engineers, or any other federal agency, can objectively and competently review these proposals, any consideration of Cape Wind's proposed wind plant should cease.

Thank you for your consideration of this issue.

2868

George Hamrah
Marstons Mills

Opinion

A closer look at Danish wind farms

When the weather cleared at Blavand (Denmark), we were fortunate to be able to go back and actually see the Horns Reef complex.

That was, for me, probably the most important experience of the entire trip, and it was truly a revelation. No computer simulation, video or photo presentation can be a substitute for what the eye actually sees.

My impression was that even at a distance of 7 to 8 miles, the complex was far too visible and, when coupled with the strobe lights that flashed asymmetrically from its perimeter, it presented the look of an industrial complex. I did not find it aes-

thetically pleasing.

There is, at least in my mind, an expectation that when the sky meets the horizontal sea line there should be nothing permanent to break that plane. Not to wax philosophical, but it may be a human response to want to look at an unbroken seascape to, at least in a psychological sense, escape from the land. A sail or an irregular cloud line, yes, but nothing permanent that will draw the eye from the natural balance of the sea and sky.

In its stark utilitarian aspect, the Horns Reef wind farm assaulted my sense of natural balance and I was disappointed by it.

Jim Coogan

2869

you might see it
in this message

ENVIRONMENT

Congressional report: **2870**

Regulatory policy is needed for wind farm

By Doreen Leggett
dleggett@cnc.com

The Barnstable County Assembly of Delegates has had the opportunity to look over a report on offshore wind energy by what has been called the E.F. Hutton of Congress.

Mark Forest, Congressman William Delahunt's full-time voice on the Cape, was before the county body recently to give them a copy of the Library of Congress's Congressional Research Service's "Wind Energy: Offshore Permitting."

The 15-page report, published last month, is a document that those in Congress pay attention to, Forest said, and it bulwarks much of what Delahunt has been saying about Cape Wind Associates' plans to put 130 wind turbines in Nantucket Sound.

For the past couple of years, Delahunt has said that no wind farms should be built off the coast until a very clear public policy, which addresses permitting, property, and payment issues, has been ironed out.

The congressional report states that "it is arguable whether the Army Corps' jurisdiction extends to renewable energy projects in federal waters, and there would be no present mechanism for providing an applicant with the necessary property rights to begin discussion."

However, it also maintains that although there may be jurisdictional issues, the federal government does have the authority to permit and regulate offshore wind energy development.

Forest told the delegates that the report says that in order to build a project on the outer con-



A new legal document produced by the Library of Congress supports what Congressman William Delahunt has been saying about the need for clear public policy in regard to offshore wind, his office says.
Staff file photo by Merrily Lunsford

license the use of federal offshore areas for renewable energy purposes."

Some believe the Corps' review, which is heading into its third year and includes an environmental impact report, is more than adequate and that a company that shows more foresight than the government in developing renewable energy — and reducing dependence on foreign oil, as well as cutting greenhouse gases that led to global warming — should not be punished.

Others, such as Delahunt, see a regulatory vacuum that is an open invitation for developers to co-opt the ocean bottom, which is a public trust, to make money.

Since the project is planned for federal waters on Horseshoe Shoal, the congressman has more influence than the Assembly, said Speaker Tom

winning bid would represent, much the way we do with oil and gas leases and other uses of public property, Forest said.

Similar bills that were filed in the past were unsuccessful. But Forest believes that the new report may change the tenor on Capitol Hill.

"I think this report will help propel Congress to finally step up and take some action," Forest said.

It may have already made waves as New Jersey Gov. Richard Codey and others have recently stated that they intend to impose a moratorium on offshore wind energy development while the state hammers out a policy.

Rep. Frank Pallone, who represents a portion of New Jersey's coast, is following a similar path and is planning to file a bill in Congress that would establish a moratorium

tinental shelf, where the wind farm is planned, property rights are required - and the Corps cannot grant those.

Cape Wind's proposal is currently involved in a permitting process under the auspices of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, through the Rivers and Harbors Act and the Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act, and under the National Environmental Policy Act, which ties in 16 state and federal agencies.

Initial court challenges have upheld the Corps' right to issue a permit for Cape Wind's data collection tower, and the congressional report states that it would "appear that reasoning would be applicable to the larger-scale wind farm project itself." The court didn't directly address the property rights issue, it only said that the Corps

Bernardo of Chatham. Bernardo said the county body doesn't have any regulatory power when it comes to the wind farm, but has weighed in a couple of times on various aspects of the proposal - most recently asking that the comment period for the draft environmental impact statement be extended.

"This is probably the greatest single capital project that will have ever taken place on Cape Cod," he said, adding that officials need to take a stand. Bernardo appreciated getting the legal document as he too believes that the Cape Wind proposal "is bad public policy, because it's undefined public policy."

What Delahunt's bill, which needs to be refiled for the next congressional session, does is make grant money available for zoning of the ocean, said Forest

on offshore wind farms in the Mid-Atlantic until a comprehensive study of all potential environmental and socioeconomic impacts is done.

Minutes from the meeting show that at least one Assembly member didn't think the legal document was all it was cracked up to be. Provincetown's George Bryant said that this area is among the windiest spots in the nation, and a wind farm makes sense.

"It's no wonder that we are seeing this activity and I support it," Bryant said. "I think it can't happen too fast. And if Mr. Gordon (the president of Cape Wind) makes some money doing it, I'm only too happy because it will encourage more people to get involved in this field."

Bryant wasn't keen on waiting until the federal government

wasn't required to validate property rights, or get involved in property disputes.

The congressional report states that "there is no federal law that authorizes an agency to transfer property rights or

It also creates a joint planning process with the state and the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, which puts out a competitive bid proposal. The bid proposal sets clear standards on what the

did something.

"Are we going to wait until the water reaches our kneecaps through global warming and carbon dioxide generation before we do something about this?" he asked rhetorically.

2071

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: ramyh@hotmail.com
Sent: Friday, January 21, 2005 3:47 PM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

Ramy Hassan
8775 Costa Verde Blvd
Apt. 609
San Diego, California 92122

2072

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: sarah_gillespie@hotmail.com
Sent: Friday, January 21, 2005 4:19 PM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

Sarah Gillespie
P.O. Box 336
Saltville, Virginia 24370

2073

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: mndchap@starpower.net
Sent: Friday, January 21, 2005 4:28 PM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

Dawn Chapdelaine
12112 Wilmont Turn
Bowie,, Maryland 20715

2014

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: achillies@care2.com
Sent: Friday, January 21, 2005 5:37 PM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

MaryAnne Dieno
202-1022
Pendergast Street
Victoria, V8V 2X1
Canada

2015

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: noel19@wildmail.com
Sent: Friday, January 21, 2005 6:09 PM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

Amanda Blancett

2876

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: imurmegs@animail.net
Sent: Friday, January 21, 2005 6:14 PM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

Megan Cutler
2155 Filbert Street
San Francisco, California 94123

2017

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: kefarley@eden.rutgers.edu
Sent: Friday, January 21, 2005 7:36 PM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Farley
17 Ferdon Ave
Sparkill, New York 10976

2078

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: purplepeaches73@whale-mail.com
Sent: Friday, January 21, 2005 8:20 PM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

Crystalyn Brown

2879

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: giovanni@ai5.net
Sent: Friday, January 21, 2005 8:26 PM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

Will Hunt
1411 Jackson st.
Missoula, Montana 59802

2080

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: starlight9523@care2.com
Sent: Friday, January 21, 2005 8:59 PM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Bradley
42 Dunstall Rd
Sharston
Manchester, M22 4PP
United Kingdom

2001

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: timduda@aol.com
Sent: Friday, January 21, 2005 9:29 PM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

Tim Duda
340 Queen Anne Court
San Antonio, Texas 78209

2002

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: sigfridoquijan@care2.com
Sent: Friday, January 21, 2005 9:35 PM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

Sigfrido Quijano
185 rue Berlioz
Montreal, H3E 1C1
Canada

2003

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: gormankatrina@hotmail.com
Sent: Friday, January 21, 2005 9:55 PM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

katrina gorman
1283 george st ne
Aiken, South Carolina 29801-4152

2004

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: writebrainllc@yahoo.com
Sent: Friday, January 21, 2005 9:58 PM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

Gina Read
3002 N. 28th Street
Phoenix, Arizona 85016

2005

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: carmenrico@animail.net
Sent: Friday, January 21, 2005 10:15 PM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

carmen Rico
185 rue Berlioz
Montreal, H3E 1C1
Canada

2886

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: loveswolves2@care2.com
Sent: Friday, January 21, 2005 10:35 PM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

Carol Bischoff
2525 Elm Street
Junction City, Kansas 66441

2007

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: clanmacgregor@msn.com
Sent: Friday, January 21, 2005 11:26 PM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

Elaine Costeas
4659 North Lincoln Ave
Apt 3-South
Chicago, Illinois 60625

2008

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: ofisher@yahoo.com
Sent: Saturday, January 22, 2005 1:02 AM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

Owen Fisher
637 Van Cortlandt Park Av. #4D
Yonkers, New York 10705

2009.

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: stary_amethyst_sky@yahoo.com
Sent: Saturday, January 22, 2005 3:49 AM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

Tricia Smith
804 Pine Tree Tri
Stillwater, Minnesota 55082-5909

2890

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: mroxiart@yahoo.com.ar
Sent: Saturday, January 22, 2005 9:55 AM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

ROXANA MASTRONARDI
VILLEGAS 450
BS.AS., 1650
Argentina

2091

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: tamara83@zonnet.nl
Sent: Saturday, January 22, 2005 10:01 AM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

Tamara Bestman
Schouw 1409
Lelystad, 8232ZG
Netherlands

2092

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: LadyBabs2004@aol.com
Sent: Saturday, January 22, 2005 11:27 AM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

Barbara Balaban
4623-B Toni Lane
La Mesa, California 91941

2093

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: sara_nemati@hotmail.com
Sent: Saturday, January 22, 2005 12:24 PM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

Sara N
12441 Bacall Ln
Potomac, Maryland 20854

2894

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: keyjustice@hotmail.com
Sent: Saturday, January 22, 2005 12:32 PM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

Keely Justice
521 Juniper Dr
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

2895

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: cdonmills@msn.com
Sent: Saturday, January 22, 2005 12:52 PM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

Crystal Daugherty
618 Mills St.
Raleigh, North Carolina 27608

2896

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: doradoliliana@yahoo.com
Sent: Saturday, January 22, 2005 1:56 PM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

Liliana Dorado
2847 Plymouth Colony
Webster, Texas 77598

2097

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: spiritwalk@care2.com
Sent: Saturday, January 22, 2005 2:25 PM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

Sandra Williamson
2500 E. Harmony Rd. #391
Ft. Collins, Colorado 80528

2898

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: elizabethharshaw@yahoo.com
Sent: Saturday, January 22, 2005 4:53 PM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Harshaw
1449 D Bel Air Drive
Concord, California 94521

2099

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: chinarain@comcast.net
Sent: Saturday, January 22, 2005 7:52 PM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

noelia cristina riley

2900

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: rgonz29452@aol.com
Sent: Saturday, January 22, 2005 9:24 PM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

Rob Gonzalez
8840 Lake Park Circle N.
Davie, Florida 33328

2901

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: celylittledeb@hotmail.com
Sent: Saturday, January 22, 2005 11:23 PM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

Deborah Hunter
4365 W 500 S
Pleasant Lake, Indiana 46779

2907

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: seken2none500@msn.com
Sent: Sunday, January 23, 2005 1:26 AM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds - 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife - A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both. Clearly, our government and all its agencies and departments, our military, and more are not committed to honor, compassion, or humane goals, but to be so greedy and blind will ultimately be too costly for the planet to overcome.

Sincerely,

Lynda Bagot-Parker
PO Box 791
Selma, Oregon 97538

2903

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: angelrebb@hotmail.com
Sent: Sunday, January 23, 2005 6:17 AM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

Nancy Peng
330EastMaple#191
Birmingham, Michigan 48009

2904

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: milohawk@hotmail.com
Sent: Sunday, January 23, 2005 9:26 AM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

Nader Mroueh
Kivihaantie 14 B 14
Helsinki, 00310
Finland

2905

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: dps50@tdstelme.net
Sent: Sunday, January 23, 2005 12:24 PM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

Mary G. Hermansen

2906

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: jillcimo@yahoo.com
Sent: Sunday, January 23, 2005 1:46 PM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

jill martin
121 inverrary drive
blue bell, Pennsylvania 19422

2907

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: Lambflorence07@netzero.com
Sent: Sunday, January 23, 2005 3:24 PM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

LAMB ARNOLD
724 SCHAFFER DR
CHARLESTON, South Carolina 29412

290B

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: Litlbru@aol.com
Sent: Sunday, January 23, 2005 4:17 PM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Waite
27 Fieldstone Lane
Oyster Bay, New York 11771

2909

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: Klezenby@aol.com
Sent: Sunday, January 23, 2005 4:40 PM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds - 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife - A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

It is vital that we address all the mistakes of the past as we seek answers to the need for renewable energy sources. Let us learn as much as we can about the impact of this technology on animals and the environment so that future generations do not have to deal with the irremedial mistakes of our misguided efforts. The whole point of switching to renewable resources is to spare the environment of the ills that have been caused by the oil and nuclear industries. We should do everything we can to protect other species from harm caused by this new technology and make laws right from the start to ensure that this new industry is compatible with nature. We owe it to animals and to future generations of our own species.

Sincerely,

Kathryn Lezenby
4809 Beaumont Ave.
Apt. 1R
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19143

2910

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: babypd@care2.com
Sent: Sunday, January 23, 2005 5:31 PM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

Erika Redford
670 Mill Circle
Wheeling, Illinois 60090

2911

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: dindamcp4@yahoo.com
Sent: Sunday, January 23, 2005 7:39 PM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

dinda Evans
pob 178695
San Diego, California 92117

2912

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: itsme2930@hotmail.com
Sent: Monday, January 24, 2005 7:28 AM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

Mike Daniels
1025 NTustin st #201
Orange, California 92867

2913

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: GROTTAGE@ADELPHIA.NET
Sent: Monday, January 24, 2005 10:44 AM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds - 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife - A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

Dear Col. Koning This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Many thanks, Nancy Goodspeed New Harbor, ME

Sincerely,

nancy goodspeed
80 pemaquid trail
new harbor, Maine 04554

2914

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: fashion1@care2.com
Sent: Monday, January 24, 2005 11:58 AM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

James Heater
P.O.Box 1275
502 OLIVE
Dalhart, Texas 79022

2915

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: felinesfive@aol.com
Sent: Monday, January 24, 2005 1:10 PM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

Yvonne LeBris
1427 Hill Ave
Melbourne, Florida 32940

2916

Adams, Karen K NAE

From: nellief2609@animail.net
Sent: Monday, January 24, 2005 8:19 PM
To: Energy, Wind NAE
Subject: Ensure 'Cape Wind' Project Is Safe for Wildlife

Colonel Thomas Koning
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
696 Virginia Road
Concord, MA 01742-2751

Dear Colonel Koning,

Before you approve or deny a permit to erect 130 turbines in Nantucket Sound, please require the developer to conduct the thorough studies recommended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife.

Specifically, the environmental review of this project should include:

- Three full years of visual observations of birds
- 12 months of radar observations of flying wildlife
- A thorough and timely review of the project's potential effect on wildlife, including marine mammals

These factors will help determine whether the Cape Wind project is in the best interests of both the public and wildlife.

As it is written, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' draft environmental impact statement is hopelessly flawed, because it ignores relevant information and draws conclusions based on inadequate research.

This project could be the first marine wind energy facility in the United States. As such, it will set a precedent for other offshore renewable energy projects.

Please require a rigorous, scientific review of its environmental effects. Clean air and healthy wildlife populations are not mutually exclusive. We need both.

Sincerely,

Nellie F.
2411 Adams Blvd.
Saginaw, Michigan 48602