

Wetland Field Form Ranking Modifications

Upon completing all fieldwork and ranking sites, two modifications were made to the ranking system. First sites were ranked using a system based on actual scores rather than hypothetical scores. Second the ranking system was modified to use a scoring/ranking scale of 1-5 rather than 1-3. The modified scoring and ranking system scales are provided below.

Scoring/Ranking Scale **Low=1, Low +=2, Medium=3, Medium +=4, High =5**

Size (acres) 1-2 (Low), 3-4 (Low+), 5-8 (Medium), 9-10 (Medium+), 11-12 (High)

Impairment 14-16 (Low), 17-20 (Low+), 21-24 (Medium), 25-28 (Medium+),
29-31 (High)

Benefits 9-10 (Low), 11-13 (Low+), 14-16 (Medium), 17- 19 (Medium+),
20 - 21(High)

Impacts 0 (Low), 1 (Medium), 2+ (High)

Cost 2-4 (Low), 5-8 (Low+), 9-13 (Medium), 14-17 (Medium+), 18-20(High)

Quality of Restoration Opportunities

	Score				
Rank	L	L+	M	M+	H
Potential Benefits	1	2	3	4	5
Potential Negative Impacts	5	-	3	-	1
Potential Cost	5	4	3	2	1
Size of Wetland Restoration Site	1	2	3	4	5

Final Rank of Restoration Opportunities

9 (Low), 10-11 (Low+), 12-13 (Medium), 14-15 (Medium+), 16 (High)

Riparian Buffer Field Form Ranking Modifications

Upon completing all fieldwork and ranking sites, two modifications were made to the ranking system. First sites were ranked using a system based on actual scores rather than hypothetical scores. Second the ranking system was modified to use a scoring/ranking scale of 1-5 rather than 1-3. The modified scoring and ranking system scales are provided below.

Scoring/Ranking Scale Low=1, Low +=2, Medium=3, Medium +=4, High =5

Size (feet) 1,000 - 1,200 (Low), 1,201 - 1,400 (Low+), 1,401 - 1,600 (Medium), 1,601 - 1,800 (Medium+), 1,801 – 2,000 +(High)

Impairment 16-18 (Low), 19-22 (Low+), 23-26 (Medium), 27-30 (Medium+),
31-33 (High)

Benefits 8-10 (Low), 11-13 (Low+), 14-16 (Medium), 17- 19 (Medium+),
20 - 22(High)

Impacts 0 (Low), 1 (Medium), 2+ (High)

Cost 2-4 (Low), 5-8 (Low+), 9-13 (Medium), 14-17 (Medium+), 18-20(High)

Quality of Restoration Opportunities

	Score				
Rank	L	L+	M	M+	H
Potential Benefits	1	2	3	4	5
Potential Negative Impacts	5	-	3	-	1
Potential Cost	5	4	3	2	1
Size of Wetland Restoration Site	1	2	3	4	5

Final Rank of Restoration Opportunities

10 (Low), 11 (Low+), 12-13 (Medium), 14 (Medium+), 15 (High)

Riparian Habitat Field Form Ranking Modifications

Upon completing all fieldwork and ranking sites, two modifications were made to the ranking system. First sites were ranked using a system based on actual scores rather than hypothetical scores. Second the ranking system was modified to use a scoring/ranking scale of 1-5 rather than 1-3. The modified scoring and ranking system scales are provided below.

Scoring/Ranking Scale **Low=1, Low +=2, Medium=3, Medium +=4, High =5**

Size (acres) 5 - 7 (Low), 8 - 10 (Low+), 11 - 14 (Medium), 15 -17 (Medium+),
18 - 20 (High)

Impairment 14-16 (Low), 17-20 (Low+), 21-24 (Medium), 25-28 (Medium+),
29-31 (High)

Benefits 9-11 (Low), 12 - 14 (Low+), 15 - 17 (Medium), 18 - 20 (Medium+),
21 - 23 (High)

Impacts 0 (Low), 1 (Medium), 2+ (High)

Cost 1-3 (Low), 4-6 (Low+), 7-10 (Medium), 11-13 (Medium+), 14-16(High)

Quality of Restoration Opportunities

	Score				
Rank	L	L+	M	M+	H
Potential Benefits	1	2	3	4	5
Potential Negative Impacts	5	-	3	-	1
Potential Cost	5	4	3	2	1
Size of Wetland Restoration Site	1	2	3	4	5

Final Rank of Restoration Opportunities

9 (Low), 10-11 (Low+), 12-13 (Medium), 14-15 (Medium+), 16 (High)

Stream Field Form Ranking Modifications

Upon completing all fieldwork and ranking sites, two modifications were made to the ranking system. First sites were ranked using a system based on actual scores rather than hypothetical scores. Second the ranking system was modified to use a scoring/ranking scale of 1-5 rather than 1-3. The modified scoring and ranking system scales are provided below.

Scoring/Ranking Scale Low=1, Low +=2, Medium=3, Medium +, High =5

Size (feet) 50 - 100 (Low), 100+ - 200 (Low+), 200+ - 300 (Medium),
300+ - 400 (Medium+), 400+ (High)

Impairment 10-12 (Low), 13-15 (Low+), 16-18 (Medium), 19-21 (Medium+),
22-24 (High)

Benefits 3 (Low), 4-5 (Low+), 6-8 (Medium), 9-10 (Medium+),
11 (High)

Impacts 0 (Low), 1 (Medium), 2+ (High)

Cost 2-5 (Low), 6-10 (Low+), 11-16 (Medium), 17-21 (Medium+), 22-25(High)

Quality of Restoration Opportunities

Rank	Score				
	L	L+	M	M+	H
Potential Benefits	1	2	3	4	5
Potential Negative Impacts	5	-	3	-	1
Potential Cost	5	4	3	2	1
Size of Wetland Restoration Site	1	2	3	4	5

Final Rank of Restoration Opportunities

9 (Low), 10-11 (Low+), 12-13 (Medium), 14-15 (Medium+), 16 (High)

