

## Riparian Buffer Field Form Ranking Modifications

Upon completing all fieldwork and ranking sites, two modifications were made to the ranking system. First sites were ranked using a system based on actual scores rather than hypothetical scores. Second the ranking system was modified to use a scoring/ranking scale of 1-5 rather than 1-3. The modified scoring and ranking system scales are provided below.

**Scoring/Ranking Scale** Low=1, Low +=2, Medium=3, Medium +=4, High =5

**Size (feet)** 800 - 1,100 (Low), 1,101 - 1,400 (Low+), 1,401 - 1,700 (Medium), 1,701 - 2,000 (Medium+), 2,001 - 2,500 +(High)

**Impairment** 17-19 (Low), 20-23 (Low+), 24-26 (Medium), 27-29 (Medium+), 30-32 (High)

**Benefits** 7 (Low), 8-9 (Low+), 10-11 (Medium), 12 (Medium+), 13 (High)

**Impacts** 0 (Low), 1 (Medium), 2 (High)

**Cost** 1 (Low), 2 (Low+), 3 (Medium), 4 (Medium+), 5 (High)

### Quality of Restoration Opportunities

	Score				
Rank	L	L+	M	M+	H
Potential Benefits	1	2	3	4	5
Potential Negative Impacts	5	-	3	-	1
Potential Cost	5	4	3	2	1
Size of Wetland Restoration Site	1	2	3	4	5

### Final Rank of Restoration Opportunities

8-9 (Low), 10-11 (Low+), 12-13 (Medium), 14-15 (Medium+), 16 (High)

## Riparian Habitat Field Form Ranking Modifications

Upon completing all fieldwork and ranking sites, two modifications were made to the ranking system. First sites were ranked using a system based on actual scores rather than hypothetical scores. Second the ranking system was modified to use a scoring/ranking scale of 1-5 rather than 1-3. The modified scoring and ranking system scales are provided below.

**Scoring/Ranking Scale** Low=1, Low +=2, Medium=3, Medium +=4, High =5

**Size (acres)** 1 - 5 (Low), 6 - 10 (Low+), 11 - 15 (Medium), 16 - 20 (Medium+),  
20 - 25 (High)

**Impairment** 14 - 16 (Low), 17 - 20 (Low+), 21 - 23 (Medium), 24 - 25 (Medium+),  
26 - 27 (High)

**Benefits** 7 - 8 (Low), 9 - 10 (Low+), 11 - 12 (Medium), 13 - 15 (Medium+),  
16 - 18 (High)

**Impacts** 0 (Low), 1 (Medium), 2 (High)

**Cost** 2 - 4 (Low), 5 - 7 (Low+), 8 - 10 (Medium), 11 - 13 (Medium+), 14 -  
16 (High)

### Quality of Restoration Opportunities

	Score				
Rank	L	L+	M	M+	H
<b>Potential Benefits</b>	1	2	3	4	5
<b>Potential Negative Impacts</b>	5	-	3	-	1
<b>Potential Cost</b>	5	4	3	2	1
<b>Size of Wetland Restoration Site</b>	1	2	3	4	5

### Final Rank of Restoration Opportunities

10 (Low), 10-12 (Low+), 13-14 (Medium), 15-16 (Medium+), 17 (High)

## Stream Field Form Ranking Modifications

Upon completing all fieldwork and ranking sites, two modifications were made to the ranking system. First sites were ranked using a system based on actual scores rather than hypothetical scores. Second the ranking system was modified to use a scoring/ranking scale of 1-5 rather than 1-3. The modified scoring and ranking system scales are provided below.

**Scoring/Ranking Scale** Low=1, Low +=2, Medium=3, Medium +, High =5

**Size (feet)** 0 - 100 (Low), 101 - 200 (Low+), 201 - 300 (Medium),  
301 - 500 (Medium+), 500+ (High)

**Impairment** 11 (Low), 12 (Low+), 15 (Medium), 17 (Medium+), 18 (High)

**Benefits** 3 (Low), 4 (Low+), 6 (Medium), 7 (Medium+), 8 (High)

**Impacts** 0 (Low), 2 (High)

**Cost** 2 (Low), 4 (Low+), 5 (Medium), 6 (Medium+), 7 (High)

### Quality of Restoration Opportunities

	Score				
Rank	L	L+	M	M+	H
Potential Benefits	1	2	3	4	5
Potential Negative Impacts	5	-	-	-	1
Potential Cost	5	4	3	2	1
Size of Wetland Restoration Site	1	2	3	4	5

### Final Rank of Restoration Opportunities

7-9 (Low), 10-11 (Low+), 12-14 (Medium), 15-16 (Medium+), 17-18 (High)

## Pond Field Form Ranking Modifications

Upon completing all fieldwork and ranking sites, two modifications were made to the ranking system. First sites were ranked using a system based on actual scores rather than hypothetical scores. Second the ranking system was modified to use a scoring/ranking scale of 1-5 rather than 1-3. The modified scoring and ranking system scales are provided below.

Scoring/Ranking Scale Low=1, Low +=2, Medium=3, Medium +, High =5

**Size (acres)** 0-8 (Low), 9-16 (Low+), 17-24 (Medium), 25-32 (Medium+), 33-43 (High)

**Impairment** 10-11 (Low), 12-13 (Low+), 14-15 (Medium), 16-17 (Medium+), 18-19 (High)

**Benefits** 9 (Low), 10-11 (Low+), 12-13 (Medium), 14-15 (Medium+), 16-17 (High)

**Impacts** 0 (Low), 2 (High)

**Cost** 3 (Low), 4 (Low+), 5 (Medium), 6-7 (Medium+), 8-9 (High)

### Quality of Restoration Opportunities

	Score				
Rank	L	L+	M	M+	H
Potential Benefits	1	2	3	4	5
Potential Negative Impacts	5	-	-	-	1
Potential Cost	5	4	3	2	1
Size of Wetland Restoration Site	1	2	3	4	5

### Final Rank of Restoration Opportunities

9-10 (Low), 11-12 (Low+), 13-14 (Medium), 15-16 (Medium+), 17-18 (High)