

TABLE OF CONTENTS

VOLUME I: FEIS/FEIR Text

Section	Page
Abstract	
P MassDOT PREFACE TO THE FEIR.....	P-1
1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY.....	1-1
1.1 INTRODUCTION.....	1-1
1.2 PROJECT PURPOSE AND NEED.....	1-1
1.2.1 Purpose of the Project.....	1-1
1.2.2 Need for the Project.....	1-2
1.3 REGULATORY CONTEXT OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT/ ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT.....	1-4
1.4 ALTERNATIVES.....	1-5
1.4.1 Alternatives Development.....	1-5
1.4.1.1 Initial (PRE-DEIS/DEIR) Alternatives Analysis Overview.....	1-5
1.4.1.2 Alternatives Analyzed in the DEIS/DEIR.....	1-7
1.4.1.3 Alternatives Eliminated Following the DEIS/DEIR.....	1-8
Attleboro Alternatives.....	1-8
Rapid Bus Alternative.....	1-9
1.4.2 Description of Alternatives Evaluated in the FEIS/FEIR.....	1-10
1.4.2.1 Overview of Build Alternatives Corridors.....	1-11
The “Southern Triangle”.....	1-11
Northeast Corridor Rail Segment.....	1-11
Attleboro Secondary Rail Segment.....	1-11
Stoughton Alternatives Corridor.....	1-12
Whittenton Alternatives Corridor.....	1-12
1.4.2.2 Description of Build Alternatives Modes.....	1-12
Diesel Commuter Rail.....	1-12
Electric Commuter Rail.....	1-13
1.4.2.3 No-Build Alternative – Enhanced Bus.....	1-13
1.4.2.4 Stoughton Electric Alternative.....	1-13
1.4.2.5 Stoughton Diesel Alternative.....	1-14
1.4.2.6 Whittenton Electric Alternative.....	1-14
1.4.2.7 Whittenton Diesel Alternative.....	1-15
1.4.3 Operations of the Alternatives.....	1-16
1.4.3.1 No-Build Alternative.....	1-16
Commuter Rail Service.....	1-16
No-Build Commuter Bus Service.....	1-16
1.4.3.2 Rail Alternatives.....	1-16
Commuter Rail Operations.....	1-16
1.4.4 Track Infrastructure of the Rail Alternatives.....	1-18
1.4.4.1 FEIS/FEIR Track Design.....	1-18

1.4.4.2	Track Infrastructure - Stoughton Alternative.....	1-19
	Stoughton Line	1-19
	New Bedford Main Line	1-19
	Fall River Secondary	1-20
1.4.4.3	Track Infrastructure - Whittenton Alternative.....	1-20
1.4.5	Grade Crossings.....	1-21
1.4.6	Bridges and Culverts	1-21
1.4.7	Signals and Communications	1-22
1.4.7.1	Stoughton Alternative Signals and Communications.....	1-22
1.4.7.2	Whittenton Alternative Signals and Communications.....	1-22
1.4.8	Rolling Stock.....	1-22
1.4.9	Electrification System.....	1-22
1.4.10	Stations	1-23
1.4.11	Layover Facilities	1-24
1.4.12	Cost	1-25
1.5	SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION.....	1-25
1.5.1	Transportation	1-26
1.5.1.1	Ridership	1-26
1.5.1.2	Travel Times	1-26
1.5.1.3	Vehicle Miles Traveled	1-27
1.5.1.4	Intersection Traffic Impacts	1-27
	Mitigation for Intersection Traffic Impacts.....	1-27
1.5.1.5	Railroad At-Grade Crossing Impacts	1-27
	Mitigation for At-Grade Crossing Traffic Impacts	1-27
1.5.2	Land Use and Zoning.....	1-27
1.5.3	Socioeconomics.....	1-28
1.5.3.1	Residential and Business Displacements	1-28
1.5.3.2	Property Tax Revenue Loss	1-28
1.5.3.3	Neighborhood Fragmentation	1-29
1.5.4	Environmental Justice	1-29
1.5.5	Visual Resources	1-30
1.5.5.1	Mitigation for Visual Impacts	1-30
1.5.6	Noise	1-31
1.5.6.1	Mitigation for Noise Impacts	1-31
1.5.7	Vibration.....	1-32
1.5.7.1	Mitigation for Vibration Impacts.....	1-32
1.5.8	Cultural Resources	1-33
1.5.8.1	Mitigation for Cultural Resources Impacts	1-34
1.5.9	Air Quality	1-35
1.5.9.1	Mesoscale Analysis Results.....	1-35
1.5.9.2	Microscale Analysis Results.....	1-35
1.5.9.3	Greenhouse Gas Emissions	1-36
1.5.9.4	Air Toxics	1-36
1.5.10	Protected Open Space and Areas of Critical Environmental Concern	1-37
1.5.10.1	Mitigation for Impacts to Protected Open Space and Areas of Critical Environmental Concern	1-37
1.5.11	Farmland Soils.....	1-37
1.5.12	Hazardous Materials	1-38

1.5.13 Geology 1-38

1.5.14 Biodiversity..... 1-39

 1.5.14.1 Mitigation for Biodiversity Impacts..... 1-39

1.5.15 Threatened and Endangered Species 1-40

 1.5.15.1 Mitigation for Impacts to Threatened and Endangered Species 1-41

1.5.16 Wetland Resources 1-41

 1.5.16.1 Mitigation for Wetland Impacts..... 1-42

1.5.17 Water Resources 1-42

 1.5.17.1 Mitigation for Impacts to Water Resources..... 1-42

1.5.18 Coastal Zone and Chapter 91 Waterways 1-43

1.5.19 Indirect and Cumulative Impacts 1-43

 1.5.19.1 Indirect Impacts 1-43

 Smart Growth..... 1-44

 1.5.19.2 Cumulative Impacts..... 1-44

1.6 APPLICANT’S PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE 1-44

1.7 NEXT STEPS IN THE DECISION MAKING PROCESS 1-45

2 PROJECT PURPOSE AND NEED..... 2-1

2.1 INTRODUCTION 2-1

2.2 PROJECT PURPOSE AND NEED 2-1

 2.2.1 Purpose of the Project 2-1

 2.2.2 Need for the Project..... 2-2

 2.2.2.1 Transportation Problems in the South Coast Region..... 2-2

 2.2.2.2 Potential Solutions to the Problem..... 2-2

 Inadequate Existing and Future Capacity of the Transportation System from the South Coast Region to Downtown Boston 2-4

 Roadway System Capacity and Regional Growth 2-4

 2.2.2.3 Congestion of the Roadway System..... 2-5

 2.2.2.4 Lack of Regional Mobility 2-6

 2.2.2.5 Safety Issues Associated with the Existing Roadway System..... 2-6

 2.2.2.6 Air Quality Issues Associated with the Existing Transportation System 2-6

 2.2.2.7 Demand for Transportation Services 2-7

 2.2.2.8 Inadequate Public Transit Services 2-7

 Bus Service 2-8

 Vanpools/Carpools..... 2-8

 Park-and-Ride..... 2-8

 Commuter Rail 2-9

 2.2.2.9 Absence of Other Regional Transportation Improvements to Address the Identified Transportation Needs 2-10

 2.2.2.10 State, Regional and Local Public Policy Context..... 2-11

 2.2.2.11 Smart Growth Considerations..... 2-12

2.3 PURPOSE AND SCOPE OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT/ ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT 2-13

 2.3.1 Environmental Impact Report..... 2-14

3 ALTERNATIVES 3-1

3.1 DEVELOPMENT OF ALTERNATIVES..... 3-1

3.1.1 Introduction 3-1

3.1.2 Initial (PRE-DEIS/DEIR) Alternatives Analysis Overview 3-3

3.1.2.1 Station Site Screening 3-15

3.1.3 Alternatives Analyzed in the DEIS/DEIR 3-16

3.1.4 Comments on the DEIS/DEIR 3-17

3.1.4.1 Requirements of the Secretary’s Certificate 3-17

3.1.4.2 Other Comments on the DEIS/DEIR 3-18

3.1.5 Alternatives Eliminated following the DEIS/DEIR 3-20

3.1.5.1 Attleboro Alternatives..... 3-20

3.1.5.2 Rapid Bus Alternative 3-21

3.1.5.3 Modified Rapid Bus Alternative 3-23

3.2 DESCRIPTION OF ALTERNATIVES EVALUATED IN THE FEIS/FEIR..... 3-24

3.2.1 Overview of Build Alternatives Corridors..... 3-25

3.2.1.1 The “Southern Triangle” 3-25

3.2.1.1.1 New Bedford Main Line Rail Segment 3-25

3.2.1.1.2 Fall River Secondary Rail Segment 3-26

3.2.1.2 Northeast Corridor Rail Segment 3-26

3.2.1.3 Attleboro Secondary Rail Segment 3-26

3.2.1.4 Stoughton Alternatives Corridor 3-26

3.2.1.5 Whittenton Alternatives Corridor 3-27

3.2.2 Description of Build Alternative Modes..... 3-27

3.2.2.1 Diesel Commuter Rail..... 3-27

3.2.2.2 Electric Commuter Rail..... 3-28

3.2.3 No-Build Alternative – Enhanced Bus 3-28

3.2.3.1 No-Build Commuter Rail Service 3-28

3.2.3.2 No-Build Commuter Bus Service 3-29

3.2.3.2.1 South Coast Regional Bus Service 3-30

3.2.3.2.2 New Bedford to Boston Bus Service 3-30

3.2.3.2.3 Fall River to Boston Bus Service 3-31

3.2.3.2.4 Taunton to Boston Bus Service 3-31

3.2.3.2.5 Commuter Park-and-Ride Lots for Bus Service from South Coast to Boston 3-32

3.2.3.2.6 Bus Schedule Enhancements 3-34

3.2.3.2.7 Park-and-Ride Lot Expansion/Bus Stations 3-35

3.2.3.2.8 Joint Ticketing System Bus/Rail..... 3-35

3.2.3.3 South Station Expansion Project 3-35

3.2.4 Stoughton Electric Alternative 3-36

3.2.5 Stoughton Diesel Alternative 3-38

3.2.6 Whittenton Electric Alternative 3-38

3.2.7 Whittenton Diesel Alternative 3-39

3.2.8 Operations of the Rail Alternatives..... 3-39

3.2.8.1 Existing Operations 3-39

3.2.8.1.1 Northeast Corridor 3-40

3.2.8.1.2 Stoughton Line, Attleboro Secondary, Fall River Secondary, New Bedford Main Line..... 3-40

3.2.8.2 FEIS/FEIR Proposed Operations 3-41

Commuter Rail Operations 3-41

Feeder Bus..... 3-43

3.2.8.3 Layover Facility Operations..... 3-44

Midday Facilities..... 3-44

Overnight Layover Facilities 3-44

Freight Operations 3-44

3.2.8.4 Fare Collection 3-46

3.2.9 Track Infrastructure of the Rail Alternatives..... 3-46

3.2.9.1 FEIS/FEIR Track Design 3-46

3.2.9.2 Track Infrastructure—Stoughton Alternative 3-46

Stoughton Line 3-47

New Bedford Main Line 3-47

Fall River Secondary 3-48

3.2.9.3 Track Infrastructure—Whittenton Alternative 3-48

3.2.10 Grade Crossings..... 3-48

3.2.11 Bridges and Culverts 3-49

3.2.11.1 Typical Railroad (Undergrade) Bridge Structure Types..... 3-50

3.2.11.2 New Bedford Main Line Railroad Bridges 3-50

3.2.11.3 Fall River Secondary Railroad Bridges..... 3-51

3.2.11.4 Stoughton Line Railroad Bridges 3-53

3.2.11.5 Whittenton Alternative Bridges and Culverts 3-54

3.2.11.6 Summary of Bridge Improvements 3-55

3.2.12 Signals and Communications 3-55

3.2.12.1 Signals and Communications—General Overview..... 3-55

3.2.12.2 Stoughton Alternative Signals and Communications..... 3-56

3.2.12.3 Whittenton Alternative Signals and Communications..... 3-56

3.2.13 Rolling Stock..... 3-56

3.2.13.1 Coaches 3-56

3.2.13.2 Locomotives 3-56

Electric Locomotives 3-56

Diesel Locomotives 3-57

3.2.14 Electrification System..... 3-57

3.2.14.1 Traction Power System 3-58

3.2.14.2 Overhead Contact System..... 3-58

3.2.15 Stations 3-59

3.2.15.1 Station Description..... 3-59

3.2.15.2 Station Sites..... 3-60

Canton Center 3-60

Stoughton..... 3-61

North Easton 3-64

Easton Village 3-65

Raynham Park 3-65

Taunton (Stoughton Alternative)..... 3-66

Taunton Depot 3-67

Freetown Station..... 3-67

Fall River Depot 3-68

Battleship Cove 3-69

King’s Highway 3-69

	Whale’s Tooth	3-70
	Dana Street (Whittenton Alternative)	3-71
	South Station – All Rail Alternatives.....	3-71
3.2.16	Layover Facilities	3-72
3.2.16.1	Overnight Layover Facilities	3-72
	Wamsutta Site Overnight Layover Facility Site	3-73
	Weaver’s Cove East Overnight Layover Facility Site	3-73
3.2.16.2	Midday Layover Facilities	3-73
3.2.17	Property Acquisition	3-74
3.2.18	Cost	3-75
3.2.19	Construction of the Rail Alternatives	3-76
3.2.19.1	Track Construction—General Description	3-76
	Track Construction on Active Rail Lines	3-77
	Single Track Sections	3-78
	Double/Triple Track Sections	3-78
3.2.19.2	Track Construction – Stoughton Alternative.....	3-79
	Stoughton Line	3-79
	Route 138 Crossing	3-79
3.2.19.3	Track Construction—Whittenton Alternative.....	3-79
3.2.19.4	Construction of Stations and Layover Facilities	3-79
3.2.19.5	Construction of Bridges and Culverts.....	3-80
3.2.19.6	Construction of Grade Crossings.....	3-81
3.2.19.7	Construction of Electrification Systems	3-81
3.2.20	Ridership	3-83
3.2.20.1	Model Basis	3-83
	Existing Transit Modes	3-83
	Regional Plan.....	3-83
	Population and Employment Densities.....	3-84
3.2.20.2	Ridership Model Inputs.....	3-84
	Operating Plan	3-85
	Station Locations.....	3-85
	Station Parking, Availability and Cost.....	3-85
	Fares	3-85
3.2.20.3	Ridership Modeling Results.....	3-85
	Overview	3-85
	Ridership	3-86
	Transit Metrics	3-87
	Conclusion.....	3-89
3.3	EVALUATION OF FEIS/FEIR ALTERNATIVES	3-90
3.3.1	Project Purpose.....	3-91
3.3.1.1	Ridership Demand.....	3-91
3.3.1.2	Vehicle Miles Traveled	3-93
3.3.1.3	Regional Mobility	3-94
3.3.1.4	Summary	3-95
3.3.2	Practicability.....	3-95
3.3.2.1	Cost Per Rider.....	3-95
3.3.2.2	Construction Schedule	3-96
3.3.2.3	On-Time Performance.....	3-96

3.3.2.4	Practicability Summary	3-97
3.3.3	Beneficial Effects and Environmental Impacts.....	3-97
3.3.3.1	Beneficial Effects	3-98
	Transportation	3-98
	Environmental Justice	3-99
	Air Quality	3-100
	Contribution to Climate Change/Greenhouse Gas Emissions	3-103
	Smart Growth.....	3-103
3.3.3.2	Adverse Impacts.....	3-104
	Permanent Direct Wetland Loss	3-104
	Secondary and/or Indirect Wetland Impacts.....	3-106
	Open Space	3-108
	Property Acquisition.....	3-109
	Municipal Tax Loss	3-110
	Protected Public Water Supply Land Impacts.....	3-112
	Vibration Impacts.....	3-114
	Noise Impacts.....	3-114
	Environmental Justice Impacts	3-116
	Loss of Priority Habitat.....	3-117
	Impacts on Biodiversity.....	3-118
	Impacts on Biodiversity—CAPS Analysis	3-120
	Cultural Resources Impacts.....	3-121
3.3.3.3	Other Environmental Impacts.....	3-123
	Transportation	3-123
	Visual and Aesthetic Resources	3-124
	Farmland	3-125
	Hazardous Materials	3-126
	Geology	3-127
	Indirect Effects	3-128
	Cumulative Impacts.....	3-128
3.3.4	APPLICANT’S PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE	3-140
3.3.4.1	Findings	3-140
4.0	AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES	
4.1	TRANSPORTATION.....	4.1-1
4.1.1	Introduction	4.1-1
4.1.2	Methodology.....	4.1-1
4.1.2.1	Regional Transportation Analysis Methodology.....	4.1-1
4.1.2.2	Capacity Analysis.....	4.1-2
	Freeways/Highways	4.1-2
	Signalized Intersections.....	4.1-3
	Unsignalized Intersections	4.1-4
4.1.2.3	Analysis Approach.....	4.1-5
4.1.2.4	Traffic Growth Forecast	4.1-7
	No-Build Analysis.....	4.1-8
	Station Area Analysis Methodology.....	4.1-8
	Determination of Vehicle Volumes.....	4.1-11

	Traffic Queue and Delay Calculation.....	4.1-11
	Determination of Impact.....	4.1-11
	Inactive or Abandoned Railroad Rights of Way	4.1-11
4.1.2.5	Stations.....	4.1-11
	Roadway and Intersection Inventory	4.1-11
	Traffic Volume Data Collection	4.1-12
	Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis	4.1-12
	Pedestrians and Bicycles	4.1-13
	Parking.....	4.1-13
	Public Bus Transportation	4.1-13
4.1.3	Existing Conditions	4.1-14
4.1.3.1	Regional Overview	4.1-14
	Quality of Service	4.1-14
	Vehicle Miles Traveled	4.1-17
	Regional Mobility	4.1-17
	Freight Operations	4.1-19
4.1.3.2	Traffic Operations Analysis	4.1-20
	Existing Traffic Volumes	4.1-20
	Regional Growth	4.1-21
	Regional Transportation Conditions	4.1-24
4.1.3.3	Safety Analysis.....	4.1-28
	Freeway/Highway Safety	4.1-28
	Park-and-Ride Locations Intersection Safety	4.1-29
	Summary of Existing Safety Conditions.....	4.1-30
4.1.3.4	Grade Crossings.....	4.1-30
	Southern Triangle Study Area (Common to All Build Alternatives)	4.1-30
	Whittenton Alternative—Attleboro Secondary Line	4.1-30
	Stoughton/Whittenton Alternatives—Stoughton Line from Canton	
	Junction to Weir Junction, including Whittenton Branch.....	4.1-30
4.1.3.5	Station Area Traffic Conditions	4.1-34
	Southern Triangle.....	4.1-35
	Stoughton Alternatives	4.1-46
4.1.4	Analysis of Impacts by Alternative	4.1-54
4.1.4.1	No-Build (Enhanced Bus) Alternative.....	4.1-54
	Background Development/Infrastructure Improvements.....	4.1-54
	Traffic Operations Analysis	4.1-55
4.1.4.2	Build Alternatives.....	4.1-69
	Regional Transportation Impacts.....	4.1-69
	Impacts to Freight Operations	4.1-74
	Traffic Operations Analysis	4.1-77
	Traffic Impacts Associated with Grade Crossings	4.1-79
	Grade Crossing Incident Analysis	4.1-89
	Stations.....	4.1-92
	Layover Facilities	4.1-121
	Temporary Construction Impacts	4.1-121
4.1.5	Mitigation Measures.....	4.1-121
4.1.5.1	Grade Crossings.....	4.1-122
	MBTA Grade Crossing Safety Policies and Programs	4.1-127

4.1.5.2 Stations..... 4.1-129
 New Bedford (Both Rail Alternatives) 4.1-129
 Freetown Station Area Traffic Mitigation (Both Rail Alternatives)4.1-131
 Fall River Station Area Traffic Mitigation (Both Rail Alternatives) 4.1-132
 Taunton Station Area Traffic Mitigation 4.1-133
 Stoughton Station Area Traffic Mitigation (Stoughton and Whittenton Alternatives)..... 4.1-136
 Raynham Station Area Traffic Mitigation (Stoughton and Whittenton Alternatives)..... 4.1-139
 4.1.6 Summary 4.1-140

4.2 LAND USE AND ZONING 4.2-1
 4.2.1 Introduction 4.2-1
 4.2.1.1 Resource Definition..... 4.2-1
 4.2.1.2 Regulatory Context 4.2-1
 4.2.1.3 Methodology..... 4.2-1
 4.2.2 Existing Conditions 4.2-3
 4.2.2.1 Regional Overview of Existing Conditions..... 4.2-3
 Land Use Study Area 4.2-3
 Sparsely Developed Areas..... 4.2-3
 Densely Developed Areas 4.2-4
 Undeveloped Areas..... 4.2-5
 Regional and Municipal Land Use Plans..... 4.2-5
 4.2.2.2 Existing Conditions within the Study Corridor 4.2-5
 Southern Triangle (Common to All Build Alternatives)..... 4.2-5
 Stoughton Alternative 4.2-5
 Whittenton Alternative 4.2-6
 Stations..... 4.2-6
 Layover Facilities 4.2-11
 4.2.3 Analysis of Impacts..... 4.2-12
 4.2.3.1 No-Build (Enhanced Bus) Alternative..... 4.2-12
 4.2.3.2 Southern Triangle (Common to all Build Alternatives) 4.2-12
 Fall River Secondary 4.2-13
 New Bedford Main Line 4.2-13
 Property Acquisition..... 4.2-14
 4.2.3.3 Stoughton Electric Alternative 4.2-15
 Property Acquisition..... 4.2-15
 4.2.3.4 Stoughton Diesel Alternative 4.2-17
 4.2.3.5 Whittenton Electric Alternative 4.2-17
 Property Acquisition..... 4.2-18
 4.2.3.6 Whittenton Diesel Alternative 4.2-19
 4.2.3.7 Stations..... 4.2-20
 Battleship Cove 4.2-20
 Canton Center 4.2-20
 Canton Junction 4.2-20
 Dana Street Station (Whittenton Alternatives) 4.2-20
 Easton Village 4.2-21
 Fall River Depot 4.2-21

	Freetown	4.2-22
	King’s Highway	4.2-23
	North Easton	4.2-23
	Raynham Park	4.2-23
	Stoughton.....	4.2-24
	Taunton (Stoughton Alternatives)	4.2-25
	Taunton Depot	4.2-25
	Whale’s Tooth	4.2-26
4.2.3.8	Layover Facilities	4.2-26
	Wamsutta.....	4.2-26
	Weaver’s Cove.....	4.2-27
	Summary of Layover Facility Effects	4.2-27
4.2.3.9	Summary	4.2-27
4.3	SOCIOECONOMICS	4.3-1
4.3.1	Introduction	4.3-1
4.3.1.1	Resource Definition.....	4.3-1
4.3.1.2	Regulatory Context	4.3-1
4.3.2	Existing Conditions	4.3-1
4.3.2.1	Methodology.....	4.3-2
4.3.2.2	Regional Overview	4.3-2
	Demographic Trends.....	4.3-10
	Housing	4.3-12
	Economic Indicators.....	4.3-13
	Per Capita Local Tax Receipts and Property Tax Rates	4.3-18
	Economic Development Tools.....	4.3-20
	Summary	4.3-21
4.3.3	Analysis of Impacts.....	4.3-21
4.3.3.1	Methodology.....	4.3-22
	Construction Impacts Methodology	4.3-22
	Permanent Impacts Methodology	4.3-22
4.3.3.2	No Build (Enhanced Bus) Alternative	4.3-24
4.3.3.3	Southern Triangle (Common to all Rail Alternatives)	4.3-24
4.3.3.4	Stoughton Electric Alternative	4.3-25
4.3.3.5	Stoughton Diesel Alternative	4.3-26
4.3.3.6	Whittenton Electric Alternative	4.3-27
4.3.3.7	Whittenton Diesel Alternative	4.3-28
4.3.3.8	Stations.....	4.3-28
	Southern Triangle (Common to all Rail Alternatives)	4.3-28
	Stoughton and Whittenton Alternatives.....	4.3-31
	Summary of Effects Associated with Stations.....	4.3-36
4.3.3.9	Layover Facilities	4.3-37
	Wamsutta.....	4.3-37
	Weaver’s Cove East	4.3-38
	Summary of Effects Associated with Layover Facility	4.3-38
4.3.3.10	Temporary Construction Impacts	4.3-39
4.3.4	Summary	4.3-39
4.3.4.1	Stoughton Electric Alternative	4.3-39

	By Element	4.3-39
	By Municipality.....	4.3-41
4.3.4.2	Stoughton Diesel Alternative	4.3-41
4.3.4.3	Whittenton Electric Alternative	4.3-42
	By Element	4.3-42
	By Municipality.....	4.3-42
4.3.4.4	Whittenton Diesel Alternative	4.3-44
4.3.4.5	Summary Comparison.....	4.3-44
	Tax Revenue Impacts	4.3-46
4.4	ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE	4.4-1
4.4.1	Introduction	4.4-1
4.4.1.1	Resource Definition.....	4.4-1
4.4.1.2	Regulatory Context	4.4-1
4.4.1.3	Methodology.....	4.4-3
	Evaluation of Direct Effects.....	4.4-3
	Evaluation of Indirect Effects	4.4-5
4.4.2	Existing Conditions	4.4-6
4.4.2.1	Regional Overview of Environmental Justice Populations.....	4.4-6
4.4.2.2	Environmental Justice Populations within a 0.5 Mile of Proposed Alternatives	4.4-9
	Southern Triangle.....	4.4-10
	Stoughton Alternatives	4.4-10
	Whittenton Alternatives	4.4-10
	Stations.....	4.4-11
	Layover Facilities	4.4-15
	Summary	4.4-16
4.4.3	Impacts and Mitigation	4.4-17
4.4.3.1	Introduction	4.4-17
4.4.3.2	Property Acquisitions	4.4-18
	No-Build (Enhanced Bus Alternative).....	4.4-18
	Southern Triangle (Common to All Rail Alternatives)	4.4-18
	Stoughton Alternatives (Electric and Diesel)	4.4-19
	Whittenton Alternatives (Electric and Diesel)	4.4-20
4.4.3.3	Socioeconomics.....	4.4-23
	Neighborhood Fragmentation	4.4-23
	Indirect Effects of Transit-Oriented Development.....	4.4-23
	Station-Level Indirect Effects	4.4-24
4.4.3.4	Noise	4.4-30
	Stoughton Alternatives	4.4-30
	Whittenton Alternatives	4.4-30
	Fall River.....	4.4-31
	New Bedford	4.4-31
	Taunton	4.4-32
	Stoughton.....	4.4-32
	Berkley, Easton, Freetown, Lakeville and Raynham	4.4-32
	Mitigation.....	4.4-32
4.4.3.5	Vibration.....	4.4-33

4.4.3.6 Public Safety 4.4-34

4.4.3.7 Access and Travel Time Impacts 4.4-35

 Potential Effects on Job Access 4.4-35

 Potential Changes in Access to Colleges and Hospitals 4.4-36

 Potential Effects on In-Vehicle Travel Time to Boston..... 4.4-38

4.4.4 Summary of Impacts by Alternative..... 4.4-39

4.4.5 Public Outreach..... 4.4-40

4.5 VISUAL AND AESTHETIC RESOURCES 4.5-1

4.5.1 Introduction 4.5-1

 4.5.1.1 Resource Definition..... 4.5-1

 4.5.1.2 Regulatory Context 4.5-2

 4.5.1.3 Methodology..... 4.5-2

4.5.2 Existing Conditions 4.5-2

 4.5.2.1 Regional Context 4.5-2

 4.5.2.2 Existing Conditions within the Study Corridor 4.5-3

 Southern Triangle (Common to all Build Alternatives) 4.5-3

 Stoughton Alternatives 4.5-4

 Whittenton Alternatives 4.5-7

 Stations..... 4.5-8

 Layover Facilities 4.5-11

4.5.3 Analysis of Impacts..... 4.5-12

 4.5.3.1 Introduction 4.5-12

 4.5.3.2 Methodology..... 4.5-12

 Direct Effects 4.5-13

 Indirect Effects 4.5-14

 4.5.3.3 Impacts of Alternatives by Element 4.5-14

 No-Build (Enhanced Bus) Alternative..... 4.5-14

 Southern Triangle..... 4.5-15

 Stoughton Electric Alternative 4.5-20

 Stoughton Diesel Alternative 4.5-26

 Whittenton Electric Alternative 4.5-26

 Whittenton Diesel Alternative 4.5-29

 Stations..... 4.5-30

 Layover Facilities 4.5-35

4.5.4 Summary of Impacts by Alternative..... 4.5-36

 4.5.4.1 Stoughton Electric Alternative 4.5-37

 4.5.4.2 Stoughton Diesel Alternative 4.5-38

 4.5.4.3 Whittenton Electric Alternative 4.5-40

 4.5.4.4 Whittenton Diesel Alternative 4.5-41

 4.5.4.5 Summary of Impacts 4.5-42

4.5.5 Mitigation..... 4.5-43

 4.5.5.1 Introduction 4.5-43

 4.5.5.2 Potential Mitigation Measures 4.5-43

 Screening..... 4.5-43

 Design..... 4.5-44

 4.5.5.3 Summary 4.5-44

4.5.6 Regulatory Compliance 4.5-44

4.5.6.1 Wild and Scenic Rivers Act 4.5-45
 Consultation 4.5-45
 Stoughton Alternatives 4.5-46
 Whittenton Alternatives 4.5-46

4.6 NOISE..... 4.6-1

4.6.1 Introduction 4.6-1

4.6.1.1 Resource Definition..... 4.6-1

4.6.2 Existing Conditions 4.6-2

4.6.2.1 Methodology..... 4.6-2

4.6.2.2 Existing Noise Levels 4.6-4

4.6.3 Analysis of Impacts and Mitigation 4.6-6

4.6.3.1 Introduction 4.6-6

4.6.3.2 Impact Assessment Methodology..... 4.6-7
 Noise Impact Criteria..... 4.6-8
 Commuter Rail Operations 4.6-13
 Horn Issues and Considerations..... 4.6-14

4.6.3.3 Impacts of Alternatives by Element 4.6-14
 No-Build (Enhanced Bus) Alternative..... 4.6-14
 Southern Triangle Study Area (Common to All Rail Alternatives)... 4.6-15
 Whittenton Electric Alternative 4.6-23
 Whittenton Diesel Alternative 4.6-24
 Stations..... 4.6-26
 Layover Facilities 4.6-28

4.6.3.4 Temporary Construction-Period Impacts and Mitigation..... 4.6-29
 Track Improvements 4.6-29
 Station Construction 4.6-29
 Mitigation for Construction-Period Impacts 4.6-30

4.6.3.5 Summary of Impacts by Alternative..... 4.6-30

4.6.3.6 Mitigation..... 4.6-33
 Overview of MBTA Train Pass-by Noise Mitigation Policy..... 4.6-33
 Stoughton Electric Alternative Proposed Noise Mitigation Plan 4.6-34
 Noise Mitigation for Other Alternatives 4.6-37
 Train Horn Noise Mitigation..... 4.6-37
 Unavoidable Noise Impacts..... 4.6-37

4.7 VIBRATION..... 4.7-1

4.7.1 Introduction 4.7-1

4.7.1.1 Resource Definition..... 4.7-1

4.7.1.2 Regulatory Context 4.7-1

4.7.2 Existing Conditions..... 4.7-3

4.7.2.1 Southern Triangle..... 4.7-3

4.7.2.2 Stoughton/Whittenton Alternative..... 4.7-4

4.7.3 Analysis of Impacts and Mitigation 4.7-4

4.7.3.1 Introduction 4.7-4

4.7.3.2 Vibration Assessment Criteria..... 4.7-5

4.7.3.3 Impact Assessment Methodology..... 4.7-6
 Generalized Base Vibration Curve..... 4.7-7

	Ground Propagation.....	4.7-7
	Special Trackwork	4.7-9
	Stations and Layover Facilities	4.7-9
	Track Condition	4.7-9
4.7.3.4	Impacts of Alternatives by Element.....	4.7-9
	No-Build (Enhanced Bus) Alternative.....	4.7-9
	Southern Triangle (Common to All Build Alternatives).....	4.7-10
	Stoughton Alternatives	4.7-12
	Whittenton Alternatives	4.7-14
4.7.3.5	Temporary Construction Impacts	4.7-16
4.7.4	Summary of Impacts	4.7-16
4.7.5	Mitigation.....	4.7-16
4.7.5.1	Overview of MBTA Vibration Mitigation Policy	4.7-16
4.7.5.2	Stoughton Alternatives Vibration Mitigation Plan.....	4.7-17
	Stoughton.....	4.7-18
	Easton.....	4.7-18
	Raynham	4.7-18
	Taunton	4.7-18
	Berkley.....	4.7-19
	Lakeville.....	4.7-19
	Freetown.....	4.7-19
	New Bedford	4.7-19
	Fall River.....	4.7-19
4.7.5.3	Whittenton Alternatives Vibration Mitigation Plan.....	4.7-20
4.8	CULTURAL RESOURCES	4.8-1
4.8.1	Introduction	4.8-1
4.8.1.1	Resource Definition.....	4.8-1
4.8.1.2	Methodology.....	4.8-1
	Historic Resources Methodology	4.8-3
	Archaeological Resources Methodology.....	4.8-7
4.8.2	Existing Conditions	4.8-8
4.8.2.1	Historic Resources.....	4.8-8
	Stoughton Alternatives	4.8-10
	Whittenton Alternatives	4.8-14
	Southern Triangle: New Bedford Main Line and Fall River Secondary.....	4.8-16
4.8.2.2	Archaeological Resources	4.8-22
	Stoughton Alternatives	4.8-23
	Stoughton and Whittenton Alternatives—Southern Triangle	4.8-29
4.8.3	Analysis of Impacts.....	4.8-34
4.8.3.1	Stoughton Electric Alternative	4.8-34
	Historic Resources.....	4.8-35
	Archaeological Resources	4.8-40
4.8.3.2	Stoughton Diesel Alternative	4.8-42
	Historic Resources.....	4.8-42
	Archaeological Resources	4.8-45
4.8.3.3	Whittenton Alternatives	4.8-45

Whittenton Branch Right-of-way of the Whittenton Electric
 Alternative..... 4.8-46
 Archaeological Resources 4.8-48
 Attleboro Secondary Right-of-way of the Whittenton Electric
 Alternative..... 4.8-49
 4.8.3.4 Whittenton Diesel Alternative 4.8-53
 Whittenton Branch Right-of-way of the Whittenton Diesel
 Alternative..... 4.8-53
 Archaeological Resources 4.8-53
 Attleboro Secondary Right-of-way of the Whittenton Diesel
 Alternative..... 4.8-53
 Archaeological Resources 4.8-55
 4.8.3.5 Southern Triangle..... 4.8-56
 Fall River Secondary 4.8-56
 New Bedford Main Line 4.8-63
 4.8.3.6 Stations..... 4.8-67
 Battleship Cove 4.8-67
 Canton Center 4.8-68
 Dana Street Station in Downtown Taunton..... 4.8-68
 Easton Village 4.8-69
 Fall River Depot..... 4.8-69
 Freetown 4.8-70
 King’s Highway 4.8-70
 North Easton 4.8-71
 Raynham Park 4.8-71
 Stoughton (Existing Station)..... 4.8-72
 Stoughton (New Station)..... 4.8-72
 Taunton (Dean Street)..... 4.8-73
 Taunton Depot 4.8-73
 Whale’s Tooth 4.8-74
 4.8.3.7 Layover Facilities 4.8-75
 Wamsutta..... 4.8-75
 Weaver’s Cove East..... 4.8-75
 4.8.4 Summary of Impacts by Alternative..... 4.8-76
 4.8.4.1 Stoughton Electric Alternative 4.8-77
 4.8.4.2 Stoughton Diesel Alternative 4.8-77
 4.8.4.3 Whittenton Electric Alternative 4.8-78
 4.8.4.4 Whittenton Diesel Alternative 4.8-79
 4.8.4.5 Summary of Impacts 4.8-80
 4.8.5 Mitigation..... 4.8-81
 4.8.5.1 Avoidance..... 4.8-82
 4.8.5.2 Minimization 4.8-83
 4.8.5.3 Mitigation..... 4.8-83
 Historic Resources..... 4.8-83
 Archaeological Resources 4.8-85
 4.8.6 Regulatory Compliance 4.8-86
 4.8.6.1 National Historic Preservation Act..... 4.8-87
 4.8.6.2 Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 9 4.8-87

4.9 AIR QUALITY 4.9-1

4.9.1 Introduction 4.9-1

4.9.1.1 Resource Definition..... 4.9-2

4.9.1.2 Regulatory Context 4.9-2

 Clean Air Act and General Conformity Rule 4.9-2

4.9.1.3 Pollutants of Concern and Attainment Status 4.9-4

 Carbon Monoxide..... 4.9-4

 Particulate Matter..... 4.9-4

 Ozone 4.9-4

 Volatile Organic Compounds..... 4.9-5

 Nitrogen Oxides..... 4.9-5

 Carbon Dioxide 4.9-5

4.9.1.4 Air Quality Standards 4.9-6

4.9.2 Methodology..... 4.9-6

4.9.2.1 Mobile Source Air Quality Modeling Methodology 4.9-6

 Microscale Analysis Methodology 4.9-7

 Mesoscale Analysis Methodology..... 4.9-11

4.9.3 Analysis of Impacts..... 4.9-15

4.9.3.1 No-Build (Enhanced Bus) Alternative..... 4.9-15

4.9.3.2 Southern Triangle Study Area (Common to all Build Alternatives). 4.9-16

 Fall River Secondary Rail Segment 4.9-16

 New Bedford Main Line Rail Segment 4.9-23

4.9.3.3 Stoughton Electric Alternative 4.9-23

4.9.3.4 Stoughton Diesel Alternative 4.9-27

4.9.3.5 Whittenton Electric Alternative 4.9-29

4.9.3.6 Whittenton Diesel Alternative 4.9-29

4.9.3.7 Stations..... 4.9-34

4.9.3.8 Layover Facilities 4.9-34

4.9.3.9 Analysis of Locomotive Emissions on Adjacent Receptors 4.9-35

4.9.3.10 Microscale Sensitive Area Analysis 4.9-36

4.9.4 Temporary Construction–Period Impacts..... 4.9-37

4.9.4.1 Construction Activities 4.9-37

4.9.4.2 Construction Mitigation 4.9-37

4.9.5 Summary of Impacts by Alternative..... 4.9-38

4.9.5.1 Mesoscale Analysis Results 4.9-38

 Transit Emissions..... 4.9-39

4.9.5.2 Microscale Analysis Results..... 4.9-41

4.9.5.3 Greenhouse Gas Emissions 4.9-41

 Indirect Effects 4.9-42

4.9.5.4 Air Toxics 4.9-42

4.9.6 Regulatory Compliance 4.9-43

4.9.6.1 MassDEP Air Quality Regulations..... 4.9-43

4.9.6.2 General Conformity..... 4.9-43

 South Coast Rail Coordination 4.9-44

4.10 PROTECTED OPEN SPACE AND AREAS OF CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERN 4.10-1

4.10.1 Introduction 4.10-1

4.10.1.1	Resource Definition.....	4.10-2
4.10.1.2	Regulatory Context	4.10-2
4.10.1.3	Methodology.....	4.10-4
4.10.2	Existing Conditions.....	4.10-4
4.10.2.1	Regional Overview	4.10-4
4.10.2.2	Existing Conditions within the Study Corridor	4.10-5
	Southern Triangle (Common to All Build Alternatives).....	4.10-5
	Stoughton and Whittenton Alternatives.....	4.10-8
4.10.3	Analysis of Impacts and Mitigation	4.10-13
4.10.3.1	Impact Assessment Methodology.....	4.10-13
	Method for Assessing Direct Impacts	4.10-14
	Method for Assessing Indirect Impacts.....	4.10-15
4.10.3.2	Impacts of Alternatives by Element	4.10-16
	No-Build (Enhanced Bus) Alternative.....	4.10-16
	Southern Triangle (Common to All Build Alternatives).....	4.10-16
	Stoughton Electric Alternative	4.10-17
	Stoughton Diesel Alternative	4.10-19
	Whittenton Electric Alternative	4.10-20
	Whittenton Diesel Alternative	4.10-21
4.10.3.3	Stations.....	4.10-21
	Battleship Cove Station	4.10-21
	Canton Center Station.....	4.10-21
	Dana Street Station	4.10-22
	Easton Village Station.....	4.10-22
	Fall River Depot Station.....	4.10-22
	Freetown Station.....	4.10-23
	King’s Highway Station.....	4.10-23
	North Easton Station.....	4.10-23
	Raynham Park Station	4.10-24
	Stoughton Station	4.10-24
	Taunton Station.....	4.10-24
	Taunton Depot Station.....	4.10-25
	Whale’s Tooth Station.....	4.10-25
4.10.3.4	Layover Facilities	4.10-25
	Wamsutta Layover Facility	4.10-26
	Weaver’s Cove East Layover Facility	4.10-26
4.10.3.5	Summary of Impacts by Alternative.....	4.10-26
	Stoughton Electric Alternative	4.10-26
	Stoughton Diesel Alternative	4.10-28
	Whittenton Electric Alternative	4.10-30
	Whittenton Diesel Alternative	4.10-31
	Summary of Impacts	4.10-33
4.10.3.6	Mitigation.....	4.10-34
	Avoidance.....	4.10-34
	Minimization	4.10-36
	Specific Mitigation Measures.....	4.10-36
	Summary	4.10-38
4.10.4	Regulatory Compliance	4.10-38

4.10.4.1	Introduction	4.10-38
4.10.4.2	Article 97 of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.....	4.10-38
	Requirements.....	4.10-39
	Summary	4.10-41
4.10.4.3	ACEC Program	4.10-41
	Requirements.....	4.10-41
	Summary	4.10-42
4.10.4.4	Wild and Scenic Rivers Act	4.10-44
	Requirements.....	4.10-44
	Taunton River	4.10-44
	Taunton River Evaluation.....	4.10-46
	Mill River Evaluation	4.10-48
	Consultation	4.10-49
4.11	FARMLAND SOILS.....	4.11-1
4.11.1	Introduction	4.11-1
	4.11.1.1 Resource Definition.....	4.11-1
	4.11.1.2 Regulatory Context	4.11-2
	4.11.1.3 State Agricultural Programs	4.11-2
	Massachusetts Agricultural Preservation Restriction Program	4.11-2
	Regional Open Space Plan.....	4.11-3
	Farm Viability Enhancement Program	4.11-3
	Chapter 61A	4.11-3
4.11.2	Existing Conditions	4.11-4
	4.11.2.1 Regional Overview of Existing Conditions.....	4.11-4
	Study Area.....	4.11-4
	Farmland Soil Types	4.11-4
	4.11.2.2 Existing Conditions within the Study Corridor	4.11-4
	Southern Triangle (Common to All Build Alternatives).....	4.11-4
	Stoughton Alternatives	4.11-7
	Whittenton Alternatives	4.11-9
	Layover Facilities Study Areas	4.11-10
	4.11.2.3 Summary of Existing Conditions.....	4.11-10
4.11.3	Analysis of Impacts.....	4.11-11
	4.11.3.1 Introduction	4.11-11
	4.11.3.2 Impact Assessment Methodology.....	4.11-11
	Method for Assessing Direct Impacts	4.11-11
	Method for Assessing Indirect Impacts.....	4.11-12
	4.11.3.3 Impacts of Alternatives by Element	4.11-12
	No-Build (Enhanced Bus) Alternative.....	4.11-12
	Southern Triangle (Common to All Build Alternatives).....	4.11-12
	Stoughton Electric Alternative	4.11-12
	Stoughton Diesel Alternative	4.11-13
	Whittenton Electric Alternative	4.11-13
	Whittenton Diesel Alternative	4.11-14
	Stations.....	4.11-14
	Layover Facilities	4.11-16
	4.11.3.4 Summary of Impacts by Alternative.....	4.11-16

Stoughton Electric Alternative 4.11-16

Stoughton Diesel Alternative 4.11-16

Whittenton Electric Alternative 4.11-17

Whittenton Diesel Alternative 4.11-17

4.11.3.5 Regulatory Compliance 4.11-17

Farmland Protection Policy Act 4.11-17

Massachusetts Executive Order 193 4.11-18

Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act/National
Environmental Policy Act 4.11-18

4.12 HAZARDOUS MATERIALS 4.12-1

4.12.1 Introduction 4.12-1

4.12.1.1 Resource Definition 4.12-2

4.12.1.2 Regulatory Context 4.12-3

4.12.1.3 Methodology 4.12-3

Types of Impacts 4.12-4

Environmental Screening/Phase I

Environmental Site Assessments Study Area 4.12-5

Phase I ESA Methodology 4.12-6

REC Impact Criteria 4.12-7

4.12.2 Existing Conditions 4.12-7

4.12.2.1 Rail Alignments 4.12-8

Stoughton Alternative 4.12-8

Whittenton Alternative 4.12-9

4.12.2.2 Stations 4.12-11

Southern Triangle 4.12-11

Stoughton Alternative and Whittenton Alternative Station Sites 4.12-18

4.12.2.3 Layover Facility Sites 4.12-27

New Bedford Main Line Layover Site 4.12-27

4.12.2.4 Summary 4.12-31

4.12.3 Analysis of Impacts 4.12-34

4.12.3.1 Southern Triangle (Common to All Rail Alternatives) 4.12-34

4.12.3.2 Stoughton Alternatives 4.12-35

4.12.3.3 Whittenton Alternatives 4.12-36

4.12.3.4 Layover Facilities 4.12-39

4.12.3.5 Summary of Impacts by Alternatives 4.12-39

4.12.4 Management of Contaminated Media and Regulatory Compliance 4.12-40

4.12.4.1 Management of Impacted Soil 4.12-41

4.12.4.2 Management of Impacted Groundwater 4.12-43

4.12.4.3 Management of Hazardous Demolition Debris and Used
Railroad Ties 4.12-43

4.12.4.4 Health and Safety Requirements 4.12-43

4.12.4.5 Closure Reports 4.12-44

4.12.5 Temporary Construction-Period Impacts 4.12-44

4.12.5.1 Recommendations 4.12-44

Rail Alignments 4.12-44

Stations 4.12-45

Layover Facility Sites 4.12-47

4.13 GEOLOGY AND SOILS..... 4.13-1

4.13.1 Introduction 4.13-1

4.13.2 Existing Conditions 4.13-1

4.13.2.1 Geology 4.13-1

4.13.2.2 Soils 4.13-2

4.13.3 Analysis of Impacts..... 4.13-4

4.13.3.1 No-Build (Enhanced Bus) Alternative..... 4.13-4

4.13.3.2 Build Alternatives 4.13-4

4.14 BIODIVERSITY, WILDLIFE, AND VEGETATION 4.14-1

4.14.1 Introduction 4.14-1

4.14.1.1 Resource Definition..... 4.14-1

4.14.1.2 Regulatory Context 4.14-2

4.14.1.3 State Wildlife Action Plan..... 4.14-3

4.14.2 Existing Conditions 4.14-4

4.14.2.1 Regional Overview 4.14-4

Study Area 4.14-4

Bioregions..... 4.14-4

Southeastern Massachusetts Bioreserve..... 4.14-5

Important Bird Areas..... 4.14-5

BioMap Core Habitats 4.14-7

Living Waters Core Habitats..... 4.14-7

Plant Communities 4.14-8

Wildlife 4.14-10

Vernal Pools 4.14-18

Wildlife Action Plan 4.14-20

4.14.2.2 Existing Conditions within the Study Corridor 4.14-21

Southern Triangle Study Area (Common to All Rail Alternatives). 4.14-21

Stoughton Alternative 4.14-24

Whittenton Alternative 4.14-33

Vernal Pools 4.14-35

Fish and Wildlife Crossings..... 4.14-36

Layover Facilities 4.14-39

4.14.2.3 Summary of Existing Conditions..... 4.14-39

4.14.3 Analysis of Impacts and Mitigation 4.14-40

4.14.3.1 Impact Assessment Methodology..... 4.14-40

Method for Assessing Direct Impacts 4.14-41

Types of Indirect Impacts..... 4.14-42

Impacts to Reptile or Amphibian Communities Due to
Fragmentation..... 4.14-50

CAPS Analysis 4.14-53

4.14.3.2 Impacts of Alternatives by Element 4.14-56

No-Build (Enhanced Bus) Alternative..... 4.14-56

Southern Triangle (Common to All Rail Alternatives) 4.14-56

Stoughton Electric Alternative 4.14-56

Stoughton Diesel Alternative 4.14-84

Whittenton Electric Alternative 4.14-85

Whittenton Diesel Alternative 4.14-101

4.14.3.3 Temporary Construction-Period Impacts..... 4.14-102

Temporary Impacts 4.14-102

Temporary Impacts–Stoughton Alternatives 4.14-103

Temporary Impacts–Whittenton Alternatives 4.14-103

Mitigation for Construction-Period Impacts 4.14-104

4.14.3.4 CAPS Analysis Impacts..... 4.14-104

Relevance of the CAPS Model to Mitigation and Limitations of
CAPS Analysis 4.14-105

4.14.3.5 Summary of Impacts by Alternative..... 4.14-106

No-Build (Enhanced Bus) Alternative 4.14-106

Stoughton Electric Alternative 4.14-106

Stoughton Diesel Alternative 4.14-107

Whittenton Electric Alternative 4.14-107

Whittenton Diesel Alternative 4.14-108

Comparison of Alternatives 4.14-108

4.14.3.6 Mitigation..... 4.14-109

Avoidance..... 4.14-109

Minimization 4.14-110

Specific Mitigation Measures..... 4.14-111

4.15 THREATENED AND ENDANGERED SPECIES 4.15-1

4.15.1 Introduction 4.15-1

4.15.1.1 Resource Definition..... 4.15-1

4.15.1.2 Regulatory Context 4.15-1

Federal Endangered Species Act 4.15-1

Massachusetts Endangered Species Act 4.15-2

Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act 4.15-2

4.15.1.3 Regulatory Coordination 4.15-2

4.15.2 Existing Conditions 4.15-3

4.15.2.1 Regional Overview of Existing Conditions..... 4.15-3

Study Area 4.15-3

Priority and Estimated Habitats 4.15-4

Other State-Listed Species 4.15-5

4.15.2.2 Rare Species Description and Habitat Requirements 4.15-6

Blue Spotted Salamander (*Ambystoma laterale*; State Special
Concern)..... 4.15-6

Wood Turtle (*Clemmys insculpta*; State Special Concern) 4.15-7

Ringed Boghaunter (*Williamsonia lintneri*; State Threatened) 4.15-7

Blanding’s Turtle (*Emydoidea blandingii*; State Threatened) 4.15-7

Eastern Box Turtle (*Terrapene carolina Carolina*; State Special
Concern)..... 4.15-7

Coastal Swamp Amphipod (*Synurella chamberlaini*; State Special
Concern)..... 4.15-8

Mocha Emerald (*Somatochlora linearis*; State Special Concern).... 4.15-8

Hessel’s Hairstreak (*Callophrys hesseli*; State Special Concern) 4.15-9

Pale Green Pinion Moth (*Lithophane viridipallens*; State Special
Concern)..... 4.15-9

Water Willow Stem Borer (*Papaipema cataphracta*; State Threatened)..... 4.15-9

Gypsywort (*Lycopus europaeus*; State Endangered) 4.15-10

Long Leaved Panic Grass (*Panicum longifolium*; State Threatened)..... 4.15-10

4.15.2.3 Rare Species Studies 4.15-10

Blue-Spotted Salamander (*Ambystoma laterale*) 4.15-11

Eastern Box Turtle (*Terrapene carolina carolina*) 4.15-11

Blanding’s Turtle (*Emydoidea blandingii*) 4.15-12

Rare Plant Survey 4.15-13

Habitat of State-Listed Invertebrates..... 4.15-14

4.15.2.4 Existing Conditions within the Study Corridor 4.15-14

Southern Triangle (Common to All Rail Alternatives) 4.15-14

Stoughton Alternative 4.15-19

Whittenton Alternative 4.15-24

Stations..... 4.15-26

Layover Facilities 4.15-26

4.15.2.5 Summary of Existing Conditions..... 4.15-26

4.15.3 Analysis of Impacts and Mitigation 4.15-27

4.15.3.1 Introduction 4.15-27

4.15.3.2 Impact Assessment Methodology..... 4.15-28

Method for Assessing Direct Impacts 4.15-29

Method for Assessing Indirect Impacts..... 4.15-29

4.15.3.3 Impacts of Alternatives by Element 4.15-31

No-Build (Enhanced Bus) Alternative 4.15-31

Southern Triangle (Common to All Rail Alternatives) 4.15-31

New Bedford Main Line Rail Segment 4.15-32

Fall River Secondary Rail Segment 4.15-37

Summary of Southern Triangle Impacts..... 4.15-38

Stoughton Electric Alternative 4.15-40

Stoughton Diesel Alternative 4.15-49

Whittenton Electric Alternative 4.15-50

Whittenton Diesel Alternative 4.15-52

Stations..... 4.15-52

Layover Facilities 4.15-52

4.15.3.4 Temporary Construction-Period Impacts..... 4.15-53

Temporary Impacts 4.15-53

Mitigation for Construction-Period Impacts 4.15-53

Timing and Methods of Construction 4.15-54

Post-construction Maintenance..... 4.15-55

4.15.3.5 Summary of Impacts by Alternative..... 4.15-55

No-Build (Enhanced Bus) Alternative 4.15-55

Southern Triangle..... 4.15-55

Stoughton Electric Alternative 4.15-55

Stoughton Diesel Alternative 4.15-56

Whittenton Electric Alternative 4.15-57

Whittenton Diesel Alternative 4.15-58

Summary of Impacts 4.15-58

4.15.3.6	Mitigation.....	4.15-59
	Introduction	4.15-59
	Avoidance.....	4.15-60
	Minimization	4.15-61
	Timing and Methods of Construction	4.15-61
	Stoughton Alternative (Electric and Diesel)	4.15-62
	Whittenton Alternative (Electric and Diesel)	4.15-62
	Specific Mitigation Measures.....	4.15-62
	Common to All	4.15-62
	Stoughton Electric Alternative	4.15-66
	Stoughton Diesel Alternative	4.15-68
	Whittenton Electric Alternative	4.15-68
	Whittenton Diesel Alternative	4.15-68
4.15.4	Regulatory Compliance of the Alternatives	4.15-69
4.15.4.1	Federal Endangered Species Act.....	4.15-69
4.15.4.2	Massachusetts Endangered Species Act	4.15-70
	Avoidance and Minimization	4.15-71
	Alternatives	4.15-71
	Insignificant Portion of the Local Population.....	4.15-71
	Net Benefit	4.15-71
4.15.4.3	Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act	4.15-72
4.16	WETLANDS	4.16-1
4.16.1	Introduction	4.16-1
4.16.2	Resource Definition.....	4.16-2
4.16.3	Regulatory Context	4.16-4
4.16.3.1	Section 404 of the Federal Clean Water Act.....	4.16-4
4.16.3.2	Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act	4.16-4
4.16.3.3	Section 401 of the Clean Water Act (Water Quality Certification) .	4.16-4
4.16.3.4	Coastal Zone Management	4.16-5
4.16.3.5	Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act	4.16-6
	Outstanding Resource Waters	4.16-6
4.16.3.6	Local Wetland Bylaws and Ordinances	4.16-6
4.16.4	Regulatory Procedures and Definitions	4.16-6
4.16.4.1	Wetland Identification During the DEIS/DEIR.....	4.16-6
	Methodology.....	4.16-6
4.16.4.2	Federal Delineation Procedures.....	4.16-9
	Hydrophytic Vegetation	4.16-10
	Hydric Soils	4.16-10
	Hydrology	4.16-11
	Guidance Memorandum	4.16-11
4.16.4.3	State Delineation Procedures	4.16-12
	Vegetation.....	4.16-13
	Hydrology	4.16-13
	Comparison of Federal and State Delineation Methods.....	4.16-13
4.16.5	Delineation Methods and Procedures	4.16-13
4.16.5.1	Delineation Criteria for Vegetated Wetlands	4.16-14
	Hydrophytic Vegetation	4.16-14

Soils 4.16-14

Hydrology 4.16-14

4.16.5.2 Delineation Criteria for Other Resource Areas 4.16-15

Bank..... 4.16-15

Land under Waterbodies and Waterways (LUW) 4.16-15

Bordering Land Subject to Flooding (BLSF) 4.16-15

Isolated Land Subject to Flooding (ILSF) 4.16-15

Riverfront Area (RA)..... 4.16-15

Land Subject to Coastal Storm Flowage (LSCSF) 4.16-15

Coastal Bank..... 4.16-16

4.16.5.3 Federal and Municipal Review 4.16-16

4.16.6 Wetland Functions, Values, and Significant Interests..... 4.16-17

Floodflow Alteration (Storage/Desynchronization)..... 4.16-19

Fish and Shellfish Habitat (Aquatic Diversity/Abundance) 4.16-19

Sediment/Toxicant Retention (Pollutant Attenuation)..... 4.16-19

Nutrient Removal/Retention/Transformation (Pollutant Attenuation) 4.16-20

Production Export (Nutrient) 4.16-20

Wildlife Habitat 4.16-20

Uniqueness/Heritage 4.16-20

Recreation (Consumptive/Non-Consumptive)..... 4.16-21

4.16.7 Impact Assessment Methodology..... 4.16-21

4.16.7.1 Quantification of Direct Impacts..... 4.16-21

4.16.7.2 Secondary and/or Indirect Impact Analysis Methodology 4.16-23

Methodology and Criteria for Evaluation 4.16-23

Assessment of Secondary and/or Indirect Impacts 4.16-25

Other 4.16-25

4.16.8 Existing Conditions 4.16-25

4.16.8.1 Overview 4.16-25

Major Watersheds 4.16-25

Major Wetland Systems..... 4.16-26

4.16.8.2 Existing Conditions by Municipality 4.16-28

Canton 4.16-29

Stoughton..... 4.16-31

Easton..... 4.16-33

Raynham 4.16-37

Taunton 4.16-42

Berkley..... 4.16-51

Lakeville..... 4.16-54

Freetown 4.16-57

New Bedford 4.16-63

Fall River..... 4.16-65

Summary 4.16-67

4.16.9 Analysis of Impacts..... 4.16-68

4.16.9.1 Introduction 4.16-68

4.16.9.2 Direct Impacts 4.16-69

No-Build (Enhanced Bus) Alternative..... 4.16-70

Stoughton Electric Alternative 4.16-70

	Stoughton Diesel	4.16-100
	Whittenton Electric Alternative	4.16-101
	Whittenton Diesel Alternative	4.16-111
4.16.9.3	Secondary and/or Indirect Impact Analysis	4.16-111
	Active Rail Segments	4.16-112
	Out-of-Service Rail Segments.....	4.16-113
	Other Secondary and/or Indirect Effects	4.16-115
	Stoughton Alternative	4.16-116
	Whittenton Alternative	4.16-117
4.16.9.4	Temporary Construction-Period Impacts.....	4.16-118
	Temporary Impacts	4.16-118
	Bridges and Culverts.....	4.16-119
4.16.9.5	Summary of Direct Impacts by Alternative	4.16-119
	No-Build (Enhanced Bus) Alternative.....	4.16-119
	Stoughton Electric Alternative	4.16-119
	Stoughton Diesel Alternative	4.16-120
	Whittenton Electric Alternative	4.16-121
	Whittenton Diesel Alternative	4.16-121
4.16.10	Mitigation.....	4.16-121
4.16.10.1	Avoidance and Minimization	4.16-123
	State and Federal Guidelines	4.16-123
4.16.10.2	Mitigation Goals and Objectives	4.16-125
	Introduction	4.16-125
	Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act	4.16-125
	Federal Wetlands	4.16-128
	Functions and Values	4.16-133
	Summary	4.16-133
4.16.10.3	Compensatory Mitigation Site Selection.....	4.16-135
	Agency Coordination and GIS Analysis.....	4.16-135
4.16.10.4	Proposed Compensatory Mitigation	4.16-146
	Methodology	4.16-146
	Tier 1 Wetland Establishment Sites	4.16-155
	Summary of Proposed Mitigation	4.16-159
	Mitigation of Temporary Impacts	4.16-163
	Minimization	4.16-163
	Restoration.....	4.16-163
4.16.11	Regulatory Compliance of the Alternatives	4.16-164
4.16.11.1	Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act (WPA).....	4.16-164
	Performance Standards	4.16-165
	Build Alternatives	4.16-168
4.16.11.2	Water Quality Certification – Section 401	4.16-169
	No-Build Alternative.....	4.16-171
	Stoughton Alternatives	4.16-171
	Whittenton Alternatives	4.16-171
4.16.11.3	Section 404 of the Clean Water Act	4.16-171
	Practicable Alternatives	4.16-173
	Water Quality/Threatened and Endangered Species	4.16-174
	No Significant Degradation	4.16-174

Reasonable Steps to Minimize Adverse Effects 4.16-174

4.17 WATER RESOURCES..... 4.17-1

 4.17.1 Introduction 4.17-1

 4.17.1.1 Resource Definition..... 4.17-1

 4.17.1.2 Regulatory Context and Significance 4.17-2

 Clean Water Act of 1977 4.17-2

 Safe Drinking Water Act 4.17-3

 USEPA NPDES Construction Permit..... 4.17-3

 Water Quality Certificate 4.17-3

 Massachusetts Stormwater Management Standards and
Regulations..... 4.17-4

 4.17.2 Existing Conditions 4.17-5

 4.17.2.1 Regional Overview 4.17-5

 Surface Water Resources 4.17-5

 Groundwater Resources 4.17-8

 4.17.2.2 Study Corridor 4.17-9

 Surface Water Resources 4.17-10

 Groundwater Resources 4.17-17

 4.17.3 Analysis of Impacts and Mitigation 4.17-20

 4.17.3.1 Introduction 4.17-20

 4.17.3.2 Impact Assessment Methodology..... 4.17-25

 Method for Assessing Direct Impacts 4.17-26

 Method for Assessing Indirect Impacts..... 4.17-27

 Method for Assessing Potential Pollutant Sources..... 4.17-28

 Methods to Assess Compliance with Stormwater Management
Standards 4.17-30

 4.17.3.3 Impacts of Alternatives by Element and Area..... 4.17-31

 No-Build (Enhanced Bus) Alternative..... 4.17-31

 Build Alternatives 4.17-32

 Track Drainage (Common to all Build Alternatives)..... 4.17-32

 Southern Triangle Study Area (Common to All Build Alternatives)4.17-34

 Stoughton Electric Alternative 4.17-37

 Stoughton Diesel Alternative 4.17-39

 Whittenton Electric Alternative 4.17-39

 Whittenton Diesel Alternative 4.17-41

 Stations..... 4.17-44

 Layover Facilities 4.17-66

 4.17.3.4 Temporary Construction-Period Impacts..... 4.17-74

 4.17.3.5 Summary of Impacts By Alternative..... 4.17-75

 Stoughton Electric Alternative 4.17-76

 Stoughton Diesel Alternative 4.17-76

 Whittenton Electric Alternative 4.17-76

 Whittenton Diesel Alternative 4.17-77

 4.17.3.6 Mitigation..... 4.17-77

 Avoidance..... 4.17-77

 Minimization 4.17-78

 Specific Mitigation Measures..... 4.17-78

	Summary of Mitigation	4.17-82
4.17.3.7	Regulatory Compliance	4.17-82
	Federal Regulations.....	4.17-82
	State Regulations	4.17-84
4.18	COASTAL ZONE AND CHAPTER 91.....	4.18-1
4.18.1	Introduction	4.18-1
4.18.2	Regulatory Framework.....	4.18-3
4.18.2.1	Coastal Zone Management	4.18-3
4.18.2.2	Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899.	4.18-4
4.18.2.3	Chapter 91–Waterways Regulations.....	4.18-4
4.18.2.4	Non-Tidal Rivers and Streams	4.18-5
4.18.2.5	Tidal Waters (Flowed Tidelands).....	4.18-6
4.18.2.6	Filled Tidelands.....	4.18-6
4.18.2.7	Landlocked Tidelands.....	4.18-6
4.18.2.8	Designated Port Areas.....	4.18-6
4.18.2.9	Chapter 91 Licensing Requirements	4.18-7
4.18.2.10	Designated Port Areas.....	4.18-11
4.18.3	Existing Conditions	4.18-12
4.18.3.1	Non-Tidal Rivers and Streams	4.18-12
4.18.3.2	Tidal Waters (Flowed Tidelands).....	4.18-12
4.18.3.3	Filled Tidelands.....	4.18-12
4.18.3.4	Landlocked Tidelands, and Coastal Zone and Designated Port Areas Boundaries	4.18-17
4.18.4	Impacts by Element.....	4.18-17
4.18.4.1	No-Build (Enhanced Bus) Alternative.....	4.18-17
4.18.4.2	Southern Triangle Study Area (Common to all Build Alternatives).....	4.18-18
	Fall River Secondary	4.18-18
	New Bedford Main Line	4.18-19
	Northeast Corridor	4.18-23
	Stoughton Electric Alternative	4.18-23
	Whittenton Electric Alternative	4.18-26
	Stations.....	4.18-27
	Layover Facilities	4.18-29
4.18.5	Public Benefit Determination.....	4.18-30
	Battleship Cove Station	4.18-32
	Whale’s Tooth Station.....	4.18-33
	Wamsutta Layover Facility	4.18-35
	Public Benefits Determination Summary.....	4.18-37
4.18.6	Coastal Zone Management	4.18-38
4.18.6.1	Water Quality	4.18-39
	Water Quality Policy #1.....	4.18-39
	Water Quality Policy #2.....	4.18-39
	Water Quality Policy #3.....	4.18-39
	Subsurface Waste Discharges	4.18-40
	Air Pollution.....	4.18-40
	Water Pollution	4.18-40
	Wetland Protection.....	4.18-40

4.18.6.2	Habitat.....	4.18-40
	Habitat Policy #1	4.18-40
	Habitat Policy #2	4.18-40
4.18.6.3	Protected Areas.....	4.18-41
	Protected Areas Policy #1	4.18-41
	Protected Areas Policy #2	4.18-41
	Protected Areas Policy #3	4.18-41
4.18.6.4	Coastal Hazards.....	4.18-41
	Coastal Hazard Policy #1	4.18-41
	Coastal Hazard Policy #2	4.18-41
	Coastal Hazard Policy #3	4.18-42
	Coastal Hazard Policy #4	4.18-42
4.18.6.5	Port and Harbor Infrastructure	4.18-42
	New Bedford/Fairhaven Municipal Harbor Plan.....	4.18-43
	Fall River Municipal Harbor and Downtown Economic Development Plan.....	4.18-44
4.18.6.6	Consistency with Designated Port Areas	4.18-45
	Ports Policy #1	4.18-45
	Ports Policy #2	4.18-45
	Ports Policy #3.....	4.18-45
	Ports Management Principle #1.....	4.18-46
4.18.6.7	Public Access	4.18-46
	Public Access Policy #1	4.18-46
	Public Access Management Principle #1.....	4.18-46
	Public Access Management Principle #2.....	4.18-46
	Public Access Management Principle #3.....	4.18-47
	Public Access Management Principle #4.....	4.18-47
4.18.6.8	Energy.....	4.18-47
	Energy Policy #1	4.18-47
	Energy Management Principle #1	4.18-47
4.18.6.9	Ocean Resources.....	4.18-47
	Ocean Resources Policy #1.....	4.18-47
	Ocean Resources Policy #2.....	4.18-48
	Ocean Resources Policy #3.....	4.18-48
4.18.6.10	Growth Management.....	4.18-48
	Growth Management Principle #1.....	4.18-48
	Growth Management Principle #2.....	4.18-48
	Growth Management Principle #3.....	4.18-48
4.18.6.11	Summary	4.18-49
4.18.7	Planning for Sea Level Rise.....	4.18-49
5	INDIRECT EFFECTS AND CUMULATIVE IMPACTS.....	5-1
5.1	INTRODUCTION	5-1
5.1.1	Regulatory Context and Definitions.....	5-1
5.1.1.1	Direct Effects	5-2
5.1.1.2	Indirect Effects	5-2
5.1.1.3	Cumulative Impacts.....	5-3

5.1.2 Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act Requirements..... 5-4

5.1.3 Organization of this Chapter 5-5

5.2 METHODOLOGY 5-6

5.2.1 Indirect Effects 5-6

5.2.1.1 Introduction 5-6

5.2.1.2 Study Area 5-7

5.2.1.3 No-Build (Enhanced Bus) Alternative..... 5-7

5.2.1.4 Scenario 1 – Indirect Effects without Smart Growth Measures..... 5-7

 Induced Jobs..... 5-8

 Induced Households..... 5-9

5.2.1.5 Scenario 2 – Indirect Effects with Smart Growth Measures 5-12

 Assumptions for Scenario 2 (Future Growth Scenario with Smart Growth) 5-16

5.2.2 Cumulative Impacts..... 5-18

5.2.2.1 Introduction 5-18

5.2.2.2 Methodology..... 5-18

 Resources Evaluated 5-18

 Temporal and Spatial Boundaries 5-19

 Trends and Reasonably Foreseeable Future Actions..... 5-20

5.3 DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT SCENARIOS 5-21

5.3.1 No-Build (Enhanced Bus) Alternative..... 5-22

5.3.2 Scenario 1 (Future Growth Scenario without Smart Growth) 5-22

5.3.3 Scenario 2 (Future Growth Scenario with Smart Growth) 5-22

5.3.4 Indirect Effects 5-24

5.3.4.1 Land Use..... 5-26

 No-Build Alternative..... 5-26

 Scenario 1..... 5-26

 Scenario 2..... 5-26

5.3.4.2 Forest Land..... 5-27

 No-Build Alternative..... 5-27

 Scenario 1..... 5-27

 Scenario 2..... 5-28

5.3.4.3 Farmland 5-28

 No-Build Alternative..... 5-28

 Scenario 1..... 5-28

 Scenario 2..... 5-29

5.3.4.4 Wetlands 5-29

 No-Build Alternative..... 5-29

 Scenario 1..... 5-30

 Scenario 2..... 5-30

5.3.4.5 Biodiversity..... 5-30

 No-Build Alternative..... 5-30

 Scenario 1..... 5-31

 Scenario 2..... 5-31

5.3.4.6 Infrastructure 5-31

 No-Build Alternative..... 5-32

 Scenario 1..... 5-32

 Scenario 2..... 5-32

	5.3.4.7	Traffic	5-32
		No-Build Alternative.....	5-33
		Stoughton Alternative.....	5-33
		Whittenton Alternative.....	5-33
	5.3.4.8	Greenhouse Gas Emissions.....	5-33
		GHG Emissions by Household	5-34
		GHG Emissions by VMT.....	5-35
	5.3.4.9	Economic Effects.....	5-36
		No-Build Alternative.....	5-36
		Scenario 1.....	5-36
		Scenario 2.....	5-37
5.4		CUMULATIVE IMPACTS	5-37
	5.4.1	Land Use.....	5-37
	5.4.2	Protected Open Space	5-42
	5.4.3	Wetlands.....	5-43
	5.4.4	Biodiversity.....	5-46
	5.4.5	Threatened and Endangered Species	5-50
	5.4.6	Water Quality.....	5-52
	5.4.7	Air Quality	5-53
		5.4.7.1 Ambient Air Quality.....	5-53
		5.4.7.2 Greenhouse Gases	5-54
	5.4.8	Economy.....	5-57
		5.4.8.1 Household Size and Population	5-57
		5.4.8.2 Jobs and Economic Activity	5-58
		5.4.8.3 Tax Revenue	5-60
		5.4.8.4 Summary of Cumulative Economic Impacts	5-61
	5.4.9	SUMMARY OF CUMULATIVE IMPACTS	5-61
5.5		IMPLEMENTATION OF THE SOUTH COAST RAIL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND LAND USE CORRIDOR PLAN.....	5-65
	5.5.1	Literature Review	5-65
	5.5.2	Performance Metrics	5-66
		5.5.2.1 Methodology.....	5-66
		5.5.2.2 South Coast Rail Corridor Plan Performance Metrics.....	5-67
		EIS/EIR and General Metrics	5-67
		Priority Development Area Metrics	5-67
		Transit Oriented Development Metrics	5-68
		Conservation and Priority Preservation Area Metrics	5-68
		Social Equity Metrics.....	5-68
		5.5.2.3 Performance Metrics Data Collection.....	5-68
	5.5.3	Monitoring and Reporting Program.....	5-72
		5.5.3.1 Current Monitoring Program	5-72
		5.5.3.2 Proposed Monitoring Program	5-74
		5.5.3.3 Regional Planning Agencies	5-74
		5.5.3.4 State Agencies.....	5-75
		5.5.3.5 Reporting.....	5-76
	5.5.4	Agency Coordination.....	5-81
6		COMMITMENT OF RESOURCES	6-1
	6.1	INTRODUCTION	6-1

6.2 IRREVERSIBLE AND IRRETRIEVABLE COMMITMENT OF RESOURCES..... 6-1

6.2.1 Introduction 6-1

6.2.2 No-Build (Enhanced Bus) Alternative Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitment of Resources 6-1

6.2.3 Build Alternatives Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitment of Resources..... 6-2

6.2.3.1 Commitments of Funds 6-2

6.2.3.2 Commitments of Land 6-2

6.2.3.3 Commitments of Energy and Materials 6-3

6.2.3.4 Commitments of Labor 6-3

6.3 RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN SHORT-TERM USES OF THE ENVIRONMENT AND THE MAINTENANCE AND ENHANCEMENT OF LONG-TERM PRODUCTIVITY..... 6-3

6.3.1 Introduction 6-3

6.3.2 Short-term Uses 6-4

6.3.3 Long-term Productivity 6-4

7 PROPOSED MITIGATION AND MASSDOT PROPOSED SECTION 61 FINDINGS 7-1

7.1 INTRODUCTION 7-1

7.2 PROPOSED SECTION 61 FINDINGS 7-2

7.2.1 Project Description..... 7-2

7.2.2 History of MEPA Review..... 7-3

7.2.3 Related Permits and Approvals..... 7-4

7.2.4 Draft Section 61 Findings 7-5

7.3 AVOIDANCE AND MINIMIZATION 7-6

7.4 PROJECT MITIGATION COMMITMENTS 7-6

7.4.1 Transportation 7-7

7.4.2 Visual 7-9

7.4.3 Noise 7-9

7.4.4 Vibration..... 7-10

7.4.5 Cultural Resources 7-11

7.4.6 Air Quality 7-12

7.4.7 Biodiversity and Wildlife Habitat 7-13

7.4.8 Threatened and Endangered Species 7-14

7.4.9 Wetlands 7-14

7.4.10 Water Quality..... 7-15

7.4.11 Hazardous Materials 7-16

7.4.12 Waterways 7-16

7.4.13 Public Open Space..... 7-16

7.4.14 Environmental Justice 7-17

7.4.15 Land Use..... 7-17

7.5 SUMMARY OF PROJECT MITIGATION MEASURES 7-18

7.5.1 Permanent Impacts 7-18

7.5.2 Construction Impacts 7-22

8 REGULATORY COMPLIANCE 8-1

8.1 INTRODUCTION 8-1

8.2 CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 404 8-2

8.2.1 Regulatory Context 8-2

8.2.2 Regulatory Requirements 8-2

8.2.3 Regulatory Compliance 8-3

 8.2.3.1 Practicable Alternatives 8-3

 8.2.3.2 Water Quality 8-4

 8.2.3.3 Threatened and Endangered Species 8-4

 8.2.3.4 Waters and Wetlands 8-4

8.3 CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 402 8-5

 8.3.1 Regulatory Context 8-5

 8.3.2 Regulatory Requirements 8-5

 8.3.3 Regulatory Compliance 8-5

8.4 SECTION 10 OF THE RIVERS AND HARBORS ACT OF 1899 8-6

8.5 COASTAL ZONE MANAGEMENT ACT 8-6

 8.5.1 Regulatory Context 8-6

 8.5.2 Regulatory Requirements 8-7

 8.5.3 Regulatory Compliance 8-7

 8.5.3.1 Compliance with Water Quality Policies 8-7

 Water Quality Policy #1 8-7

 Water Quality Policy #2 8-8

 Water Quality Policy #3 8-8

 8.5.3.2 Compliance with Designated Port Area Regulations 8-9

 Ports Policy #3 8-10

 Ports Management Principle #1 8-10

8.6 MASSACHUSETTS PUBLIC BENEFITS DETERMINATION 8-10

 8.6.1 Regulatory Context 8-11

 8.6.2 Regulatory Requirements 8-11

 8.6.3 Regulatory Compliance 8-11

 8.6.3.1 Battleship Cove Station 8-12

 Purpose and Effect of the Development 8-12

 Impact on Abutters and Community 8-12

 Enhancement of the Property 8-12

 Benefits to the Public Trust Rights in Tidelands or Other Associated Rights 8-12

 Community Activities on the Site 8-13

 Environmental Protection/ Preservation 8-13

 Public Health and Safety 8-13

 General Welfare 8-13

 Protection of Groundwater 8-13

 8.6.3.2 Whale’s Tooth Station 8-13

 Purpose and Effect of the Development 8-13

 Impact on Abutters and Community 8-14

 Enhancement of the Property 8-14

 Benefits to the Public Trust Rights in Tidelands or Other Associated Rights 8-14

 Community Activities on the Site 8-14

 Environmental Protection/Preservation 8-14

 Public Health and Safety 8-14

 General Welfare 8-14

 Protection of Groundwater 8-15

 8.6.3.3 Wamsutta Layover Facility 8-15

Purpose and Effect of the Development..... 8-15

Impact on Abutters and Community..... 8-15

Enhancement of the Property..... 8-16

Benefits to the Public Trust Rights in Tidelands or Other Associated Rights..... 8-16

Community Activities on the Site..... 8-16

Environmental Protection/Preservation 8-16

Public Health and Safety 8-16

General Welfare 8-16

Protection of Groundwater..... 8-16

8.7 MASSACHUSETTS WETLANDS PROTECTION ACT 8-16

 8.7.1 Regulatory Context 8-16

 8.7.2 Regulatory Requirements 8-17

 8.7.3 Regulatory Compliance 8-17

 8.7.3.1 Variance Criteria..... 8-17

 8.7.3.2 Stormwater Management Standards..... 8-21

8.8 CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 401—MASSACHUSETTS CLEAN WATER ACT 8-24

 8.8.1 Regulatory Context 8-24

 8.8.2 Regulatory Requirements 8-24

 8.8.3 Regulatory Compliance 8-25

8.9 MASSACHUSETTS PUBLIC WATERFRONT ACT LICENSE (CHAPTER 91) 8-26

 8.9.1 Regulatory Context 8-26

 8.9.2 Regulatory Requirements 8-27

 8.9.3 Regulatory Compliance 8-27

 8.9.3.1 Infrastructure Crossing Facilities..... 8-27

 Water Dependence 8-29

 Public Purpose..... 8-29

 Proposed Reconstruction..... 8-30

 Basic Requirements..... 8-30

 8.9.3.2 Infrastructure Facility..... 8-31

 Water Dependency 8-31

 Public Purpose..... 8-31

 Standards for Non-Water Dependent Infrastructure Facilities 8-32

 8.9.3.3 Municipal Harbor Plans..... 8-33

 New Bedford/Fairhaven Municipal Harbor Plan..... 8-33

 Fall River Harbor and Downtown Economic Development Plan..... 8-34

8.10 MASSACHUSETTS ENDANGERED SPECIES ACT..... 8-34

 8.10.1 Regulatory Context 8-34

 8.10.2 Regulatory Requirements 8-34

 8.10.3 Regulatory Compliance 8-35

8.11 WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS ACT..... 8-37

8.12 NATIONAL HISTORIC PRESERVATION ACT AND MASSACHUSETTS GENERAL LAW CHAPTER 9..... 8-39

 8.12.1 National Historic Preservation Act..... 8-40

 8.12.2 Massachusetts General Law Chapter 9..... 8-40

8.13 GENERAL CONFORMITY WITH CLEAN AIR ACT AND NATIONAL AMBIENT AIR QUALITY STANDARDS (NAAQS)..... 8-40

8.14 ARTICLE 97 OF THE COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS..... 8-41

8.15 AREAS OF CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERN (ACEC) PROGRAM 8-42

8.16 FARMLAND PROTECTION POLICY ACT 8-43

8.17 MASSACHUSETTS EXECUTIVE ORDER 193 8-43

8.18 MASSACHUSETTS CONTINGENCY PLAN (310 CMR 40.0000) 8-44

9 PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT AND AGENCY COORDINATION 9-1

9.1 INTRODUCTION 9-1

9.2 PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT 9-1

9.3 AGENCY COORDINATION 9-2

10 DISTRIBUTION LIST 10-1

11 LIST OF PREPARERS 11-1

11.1 UNITED STATES ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS – NEW ENGLAND DISTRICT 11-1

11.2 THE LOUIS BERGER GROUP, INC. 11-1

11.3 EPSILON, INC. (SUBCONTRACTOR TO THE LOUIS BERGER GROUP, INC.) 11-3

11.4 KKO, INC. 11-3

12 REFERENCES 12-1

13 ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS 13-1

14 INDEX 14-1

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
Table P-1	Summary of the Requirements of the Secretary’s Certificate on the DEIS/DEIR P-8
Table 1.4-1	Summary of Rail Alternatives..... 1-14
Table 1.4-2	Average Trip Time Table (hr:min) 1-17
Table 1.4-3	Proposed Feeder Bus Operations 1-18
Table 1.4-4	Track Infrastructure—Stoughton Alternative 1-19
Table 1.4-5	Track Infrastructure—Whittenton Alternative 1-20
Table 1.4-6	Summary of Public Grade Crossings by Alternative..... 1-21
Table 1.4-7	Summary of Bridge Improvements by Alternative 1-21
Table 1.4-8	Rolling Stock Requirements 1-22
Table 1.4-9	Summary of Stations..... 1-24
Table 1.4-10	Stoughton Electric Alternative Capital Cost Summary 1-25
Table 1.5-1	Summary of Direct Impacts 1-47
Table 1.5-2	Summary of Cumulative Impacts..... 1-54
Table 2-1	Proximity of South Coast Communities to Commuter Rail Service 2-9
Table 3.1-1	Initial List of Potential Alternatives..... 3-4
Table 3.1-2	Initial Screening List of 38 Alternatives..... 3-14
Table 3.2-1	Ridership on Providence, Stoughton and Middleborough Rail Lines 3-29
Table 3.2-2	Summary of Alternatives—Track 3-37
Table 3.2-3	Summary of Alternatives—Crossings..... 3-37
Table 3.2-4	Existing (2008) MBTA and Amtrak Rail Operations 3-40
Table 3.2-5	Proposed Stopping Patterns (Stoughton and Whittenton Alternatives)..... 3-42
Table 3.2-6	Average Trip Time Table, Stoughton and Whittenton Electric Alternatives (hr:min) ... 3-43
Table 3.2-7	Proposed Feeder Bus Operations 3-44
Table 3.2-8	Freight Operating Windows..... 3-45
Table 3.2-9	Track Infrastructure—Stoughton Alternative 3-47
Table 3.2-10	Track Infrastructure—Whittenton Alternative 3-48
Table 3.2-11	Summary of Public Grade Crossings by Alternative..... 3-49
Table 3.2-12	Summary of Bridges—New Bedford Main Line 3-51
Table 3.2-13	Summary of Bridges—Fall River Secondary..... 3-52
Table 3.2-14	Summary of Bridges—Stoughton Line..... 3-54
Table 3.2-15	Summary of Bridges—Whittenton Alternative..... 3-55
Table 3.2-16	Summary of Bridge Improvements by Alternative 3-55
Table 3.2-17	Rolling Stock Requirements 3-57
Table 3.2-18	Summary of Stations..... 3-60
Table 3.2-19	Stoughton Station Options 3-62
Table 3.2-20	Summary of Property Acquisition by Alternative (Acres) 3-75
Table 3.2-21	Summary of Property Acquisition by Layover Site (Acres) 3-75
Table 3.2-22	Stoughton Electric Alternative Capital Cost..... 3-76
Table 3.2-23	Ridership of Alternatives (DEIS/DEIR and FEIS/FEIR)..... 3-87
Table 3.2-24	2035 Regional Transit Modeling Results (Daily) 3-88
Table 3.3-1	Daily Ridership Demand by Alternative (2035)..... 3-92

Table 3.3-2 Average Travel Times by Alternative (New Bedford to South Station Peak Period) 3-93

Table 3.3-4 Regional VMT Reductions by Alternative (2035, Auto and Bus Transit) 3-94

Table 3.3-5 Interregional Links—Stoughton and Whittenton Alternatives 3-94

Table 3.3-6 Cost per Rider by Alternative 3-96

Table 3.3-7 Construction Schedule by Alternative 3-96

Table 3.3-8 On-Time Performance by Alternative 3-97

Table 3.3-9 Summary of Beneficial Effects on Environmental Justice Populations 3-100

Table 3.3-10 Summary of the 2035 Mesoscale (Regional) Air Quality Analysis for the South Coast Rail Alternatives 3-102

Table 3.3-11 Secondary and/or Indirect Effects on Wetlands within 100 feet of the Rail Segments along the Stoughton/Whittenton Alternative 3-107

Table 3.3-12 Summary of ACEC Land Acquisition Requirements for All Alternatives 3-109

Table 3.3-13 Build Alternatives: Land Acquisition Summary by Municipality 3-110

Table 3.3-14 Summary of Potential Effects to the Social and Economic Environment from All Alternatives 3-111

Table 3.3-15 Summary of Potential Vibration Impacts without Mitigation by Alternative 3-114

Table 3.3-16 Summary of Projected Noise Impacts for South Coast Rail Alternatives 3-115

Table 3.3-17 Summary of Projected Train Horn Noise Impacts for South Coast Rail Alternatives .. 3-116

Table 3.3-18 Summary of Adverse Effects on Environmental Justice Populations 3-117

Table 3.3-19 Direct and Indirect Effects to Rare Species from the South Coast Rail Alternatives ... 3-118

Table 3.3-20 Summary of Environmental Consequences on Biodiversity 3-119

Table 3.3-21 Summary of Potential Impacts to Historic and Archaeological Resources 3-122

Table 3.3-22 Impacts to Designated Farmland Soils by Alternative (acres) 3-125

Table 3.3-23 Summary of RECs by Alternative 3-127

Table 3.3-24 Summary of Cumulative Impacts 3-129

Table 3.3-25 Summary of Direct Impacts 3-132

Table 3.3-26 Comparison of Aquatic and Biotic Resource Impacts 3-142

Table 3.3-27 Comparison of Noise Impacts—Environmental Justice Populations 3-142

Table 4.1 1 Level of Service Criteria—Freeway Sections 4.1-3

Table 4.1 2 Level of Service Criteria for Signalized Intersections 4.1-4

Table 4.1 3 Level of Service Criteria for Unsignalized Intersections 4.1-5

Table 4.1 4 Background Traffic Growth Rate (by Community) 4.1-7

Table 4.1 5 Ridership on Providence and Stoughton Lines 4.1-17

Table 4.1 6 Parking Utilization at Providence and Stoughton Lines Stations 4.1-17

Table 4.1 7 Existing Traffic Volumes—Regional Highways 4.1-21

Table 4.1 8 Average Daily Traffic Volume Growth 4.1-22

Table 4.1 9 Freeway Capacity Analyses Summary 4.1-25

Table 4.1 10 Highway Capacity Analyses Summary 4.1-26

Table 4.1 11 Existing Conditions—Park-and-Ride Lots Intersection Level of Service Analysis (Summer 2008) 4.1-27

Table 4.1 12 Existing Conditions—Park-and-Ride Lots Intersection Level of Service Analysis (Fall 2008) 4.1-27

Table 4.1 13 Existing Conditions—Southern Triangle (New Bedford Main Line) At-Grade Crossing Summary 4.1-31

Table 4.1 14 Existing Conditions – Southern Triangle (Fall River Secondary) At-Grade Crossing Summary 4.1-32

Table 4.1 15 Existing Conditions–Whittenton Alternative Study Area (Attleboro Secondary Portion) At-Grade Crossing Summary..... 4.1-33

Table 4.1 16 Existing Conditions–Stoughton/Whittenton Alternatives Study Area At-Grade Crossing Summary 4.1-33

Table 4.1 17 Existing Traffic Volumes–New Bedford 4.1-36

Table 4.1 18 New Bedford Intersection Capacity Analysis–2008 Existing Conditions 4.1-38

Table 4.1 19 Existing Traffic Volumes–Freetown 4.1-39

Table 4.1 20 Freetown Intersection Capacity Analysis—Existing Conditions..... 4.1-40

Table 4.1 21 Existing Traffic Volumes–Fall River 4.1-41

Table 4.1 22 Fall River Intersection Capacity Analysis—Existing Conditions 4.1-43

Table 4.1 23 Existing Traffic Volumes—Taunton Stations Study Area 4.1-44

Table 4.1 24 Taunton Intersection Capacity Analysis—Existing Conditions..... 4.1-46

Table 4.1 25 Stoughton Station Existing Traffic Volumes..... 4.1-47

Table 4.1 26 Stoughton Station Existing Conditions Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis..... 4.1-48

Table 4.1 27 Stoughton Station Existing Conditions Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis . 4.1-49

Table 4.1 28 Existing Traffic Volume Summary–Easton 4.1-51

Table 4.1 29 Easton Intersection Capacity Analysis—Existing Conditions 4.1-52

Table 4.1 30 Existing Traffic Volume Summary–Raynham 4.1-53

Table 4.1 31 Raynham Intersection Capacity Analysis–Existing Conditions..... 4.1-54

Table 4.1 32 2030 No-Build Freeway Capacity Analyses Summary..... 4.1-56

Table 4.1 33 2030 No-Build Highway Capacity Analyses Summary–Route 138..... 4.1-57

Table 4.1 34 2030 No-Build Intersection Capacity Analyses Summary (Park-and-Ride Locations)..... 4.1-58

Table 4.1 35 New Bedford Intersection Capacity Analysis—2030 No-Build Conditions vs. Existing Conditions 4.1-59

Table 4.1 36 Freetown Intersection Capacity Analysis—2030 No-Build Conditions vs. Existing Conditions 4.1-62

Table 4.1 37 Fall River Intersection Capacity Analysis—2030 No-Build Conditions vs. Existing Conditions 4.1-63

Table 4.1 38 Taunton Intersection Capacity Analysis—2030 No-Build Conditions vs. Existing Conditions 4.1-64

Table 4.1 39 Stoughton Station Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis– No-Build Condition vs. Existing Conditions..... 4.1-65

Table 4.1 40 Stoughton Station Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis (Morning Peak Hour)—No-Build Condition vs. Existing Conditions..... 4.1-66

Table 4.1 41 Stoughton Station Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis (Evening Peak Hour)—No-Build Condition vs. Existing Conditions..... 4.1-67

Table 4.1 42 Easton Intersection Capacity Analysis—2030 No-Build Conditions vs. Existing Conditions 4.1-68

Table 4.1 43 Raynham Intersection Capacity Analysis—2030 No-Build Conditions vs. Existing Conditions 4.1-69

Table 4.1 44 Daily Ridership Demand by Alternative (2035)..... 4.1-71

Table 4.1 45 Average Travel Times by Alternative (New Bedford to South Station Peak Period) .. 4.1-72

Table 4.1 46 Regional VMT Reductions by Alternative (2035, Auto and Bus Transit) 4.1-73

Table 4.1 47 Interregional Links–Stoughton and Whittenton Alternatives 4.1-74

Table 4.1 48 Freeway Capacity Analyses Summary, 2030..... 4.1-78

Table 4.1 49 New Bedford Grade Crossings—Traffic Volumes and Average Delay (All Rail Alternatives)..... 4.1-79

Table 4.1 50	Freetown Grade Crossings—Traffic Volumes and Average Delay (All Rail Alternatives).....	4.1-81
Table 4.1 51	Taunton Grade Crossings—Traffic Volumes and Average Delay	4.1-83
Table 4.1 52	Taunton Grade Crossings—Traffic Volumes and Average Delay Stoughton Alternatives.....	4.1-83
Table 4.1 53	Raynham Grade Crossings—Traffic Volumes and Average Delay Stoughton Alternative	4.1-84
Table 4.1 54	Easton Grade Crossings—Traffic Volumes and Average Delay Stoughton Alternatives.....	4.1-85
Table 4.1 55	Stoughton Grade Crossings—Traffic Volumes and Average Delay Stoughton Alternatives.....	4.1-86
Table 4.1 56	Taunton Grade Crossings—Traffic Volumes and Average Delay Whittenton Alternatives.....	4.1-88
Table 4.1 57	Stoughton Electric Alternative Incident Predictor	4.1-90
Table 4.1 58	Whittenton Electric Incident Predictor, Attleboro Secondary and Whittenton Branch	4.1-92
Table 4.1 59	Park-and-Ride and Vehicular Drop-Off Vehicle Trips: New Bedford Stations	4.1-94
Table 4.1 60	New Bedford Trip Distribution.....	4.1-94
Table 4.1 61	New Bedford Intersection Capacity Analysis—2030 Build Conditions vs. 2030 No-Build Conditions All Alternatives.....	4.1-96
Table 4.1 62	Park-and-Ride and Vehicular Drop-Off Vehicle Trips: Freetown Station.....	4.1-98
Table 4.1 63	Freetown Trip Distribution	4.1-98
Table 4.1 64	Freetown Intersection Capacity Analysis—2030 Build Conditions vs. No-Build Conditions All Alternatives.....	4.1-99
Table 4.1 65	Park-and-Ride and Vehicular Drop-Off Vehicle Trips: Fall River Stations All Alternatives.....	4.1-101
Table 4.1 66	Fall River Trip Distribution	4.1-101
Table 4.1 67	Fall River Intersection Capacity Analysis—2030 Build Conditions vs. No-Build Conditions, All Rail Alternatives.....	4.1-102
Table 4.1 68	Park-and-Ride and Vehicular Drop-Off Vehicle Trips: Taunton Depot Station.....	4.1-104
Table 4.1 69	Taunton Depot Station Intersection Capacity Analysis—2030 Build Conditions vs. 2030 No-Build Conditions.....	4.1-105
Table 4.1 70	Downtown Taunton/Dana Street Station Ridership Projection Comparison.....	4.1-105
Table 4.1 71	Downtown Taunton/Dana Street Station Vehicle Trip Comparison.....	4.1-106
Table 4.1 72	Downtown Taunton/Dana Street Station Route 140/Taunton Street at Oak Street, Signalized Intersection Traffic Operations.....	4.1-106
Table 4.1 73	Taunton Station Ridership Projection Comparison	4.1-107
Table 4.1 74	Taunton Station Vehicle Trip Comparison	4.1-107
Table 4.1 75	Taunton Station Signalized Intersection Traffic Operations—No-Build (2030) versus Build (2035)	4.1-108
Table 4.1 76	Relocated Stoughton Station Projected New Vehicle Trips.....	4.1-110
Table 4.1 77	Relocated Stoughton Station Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis.....	4.1-111
Table 4.1 78	Relocated Stoughton Station Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis (Morning Peak Hour)	4.1-112
Table 4.1 79	Relocated Stoughton Station Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis (Evening Peak Hour).....	4.1-113
Table 4.1 80	Relocated Stoughton Station Vehicle Queue Analysis.....	4.1-114

Table 4.1 81 Park-and-Ride and Vehicular Drop-Off Vehicle Trips: Easton Stations
Stoughton and Whittenton Alternatives) 4.1-115

Table 4.1 82 Easton Trip Distribution (Stoughton and Whittenton Alternatives)..... 4.1-116

Table 4.1 83 Easton Intersection Capacity Analysis –2030 Build Conditions vs. 2030 No-Build
Conditions (Stoughton and Whittenton Alternatives)..... 4.1-116

Table 4.1 84 Park-and-Ride and Drop-off Vehicle Trips: Raynham Park Station (Stoughton
and Whittenton Alternatives)..... 4.1-119

Table 4.1 85 Raynham Park Station Trip Distribution (Stoughton and Whittenton
Alternatives)..... 4.1-119

Table 4.1 86 Raynham Park Station Intersection Capacity Analysis–2030 Build Conditions
vs. 2030 No-Build Conditions (Stoughton and Whittenton Alternatives) 4.1-120

Table 4.1 87 Stoughton Alternatives Proposed At-Grade Crossing Improvements 4.1-124

Table 4.1 88 Attleboro Secondary Recommended Grade Crossing Mitigation Improvements
(Whittenton Alternatives)..... 4.1-127

Table 4.1 89 New Bedford Intersection Capacity Analysis –2030 Build with Mitigation Conditions vs.
2030 Build Conditions 4.1-129

Table 4.1 90 Fall River Intersection Capacity Analysis–2030 Build with Mitigation Conditions vs. Build
Conditions (both alternatives) 4.1-132

Table 4.1 91 Taunton Depot Intersection Capacity Analysis–2030 Build with Mitigations
Conditions vs. 2030 Build Conditions 4.1-133

Table 4.1 92 Signalized Intersection Traffic Operations–Build vs. Build with Mitigation 4.1-135

Table 4.1 93 Brock Street/Kinsley Street at Washington Street–Build Condition..... 4.1-136

Table 4.1 94 Easton Intersection Capacity Analysis–2030 Build with Mitigation Conditions
vs. 2030 Build Conditions (Stoughton and Whittenton Alternatives) 4.1-138

Table 4.1 95 Raynham Intersection Capacity Analysis–2030 Build with Mitigation vs. 2030 Build
Conditions (Stoughton and Whittenton Alternatives)..... 4.1-140

Table 4.1 96 Recommended Traffic Mitigation Summary..... 4.1-141

Table 4.1 97 Recommended Grade Crossings Mitigation Summary 4.1-143

Table 4.2-1 Land Use Study Area Communities: Developable Areas 4.2-4

Table 4.2-2 Southern Triangle Land Acquisition: Fall River Secondary and New Bedford
Main Line 4.2-14

Table 4.2-3 Stoughton Alternatives: Land Acquisition 4.2-16

Table 4.2-4 Whittenton Alternatives: Land Acquisition 4.2-19

Table 4.2-5 Downtown Taunton Dana Street Station: Land Acquisition 4.2-21

Table 4.2-6 Fall River Depot Station: Land Acquisition..... 4.2-22

Table 4.2-7 Freetown Station: Land Acquisition..... 4.2-22

Table 4.2-8 North Easton Station: Land Acquisition 4.2-23

Table 4.2-9 Raynham Park Station: Land Acquisition 4.2-24

Table 4.2-10 Stoughton Station: Land Acquisition 4.2-24

Table 4.2-11 Taunton Station: Land Acquisition..... 4.2-25

Table 4.2-12 Taunton Depot Station: Land Acquisition 4.2-26

Table 4.2-13 Summary of Layover Facility Land Acquisition 4.2-27

Table 4.2-14 Stoughton Alternatives: Land Acquisition Summary by Municipality 4.2-28

Table 4.2-15 Whittenton Electric Alternatives: Land Acquisition Summary by Municipality..... 4.2-29

Table 4.3-1 Social and Economic Environment Study Area Communities..... 4.3-2

Table 4.3-2 South Coast Communities: Population Trends 4.3-11

Table 4.3-3 South Coast Communities: Occupied Housing Units 4.3-12

Table 4.3-4 South Coast Communities: Unemployment Rates..... 4.3-14

Table 4.3-5 South Coast Communities: Work Trips to Boston/Cambridge Trends 4.3-15

Table 4.3-6 Work Trips to Boston/Cambridge: Comparative Analysis 4.3-16

Table 4.3-7 South Coast Communities: Median Household Income 4.3-17

Table 4.3-8 South Coast Communities: Percent Employment by Industry, 2006 4.3-19

Table 4.3-9 South Coast Communities: Property Tax Rates, 2005 4.3-20

Table 4.3-10 Fall River Depot Station: Land Acquisition..... 4.3-29

Table 4.3-11 Freetown Station: Land Acquisition..... 4.3-30

Table 4.3-12 Taunton Depot Station: Land Acquisition..... 4.3-31

Table 4.3-13 Easton Village Station: Land Acquisition..... 4.3-32

Table 4.3-14 North Easton Station: Land Acquisition 4.3-33

Table 4.3-15 Raynham Park Station: Land Acquisition 4.3-33

Table 4.3-16 Stoughton Station: Land Acquisition 4.3-34

Table 4.3-17 Taunton Station: Land Acquisition..... 4.3-35

Table 4.3-18 Dana Street Station: Land Acquisition 4.3-36

Table 4.3-19 Workforce in Communities with Stations..... 4.3-37

Table 4.3-20 Layover Facility at the Wamsutta Site: Land Acquisition..... 4.3-38

Table 4.3-21 Layover Facility at the Weaver’s Cove East Site: Land Acquisition..... 4.3-38

Table 4.3-22 Summary of Layover Facility Potential Effects to the Social and Economic Environment 4.3-39

Table 4.3-23 Stoughton Electric Alternative: Summary of Potential Effects to the Social and Economic Environment..... 4.3-40

Table 4.3-24 Stoughton Electric Alternative: Property Tax Revenue and Job Losses for Affected Municipalities..... 4.3-41

Table 4.3-25 Whittenton Electric Alternative: Summary of Potential Effects to the Social and Economic Environment..... 4.3-43

Table 4.3-26 Whittenton Electric Alternative: Property Tax Revenue and Job Losses for Affected Municipalities 4.3-44

Table 4.3-27 Comparison of Social and Economic Effects to Municipalities, by Alternative 4.3-45

Table 4.4-1 Environmental Justice Study Area Communities 4.4-6

Table 4.4-2 State-Listed Environmental Justice Areas in South Coast Communities 4.4-7

Table 4.4-3 State-Listed Environmental Justice Populations in South Coast Communities 4.4-7

Table 4.4-4 Racial and Ethnic Composition of South Coast Communities..... 4.4-8

Table 4.4-5 South Coast Communities: Percentage of Households with No Registered Motor Vehicles, 2000 4.4-9

Table 4.4-6 Summaries of State-Listed Environmental Justice Populations within 0.5 Mile of the Alternative Alignments 4.4-10

Table 4.4-7 State-Listed Environmental Justice Populations within 0.5 Mile of the Proposed Station Sites..... 4.4-11

Table 4.4-8 Summary of State-Listed Environmental Justice Populations within 0.5 Mile of the Proposed Station Sites 4.4-17

Table 4.4-9 Fall River Secondary Environmental Justice Property Acquisition 4.4-18

Table 4.4-10 New Bedford Main Line Environmental Justice Property Acquisition..... 4.4-19

Table 4.4-11 Stoughton Line: Environmental Justice Property Acquisition 4.4-19

Table 4.4-12 Stoughton Station: Environmental Justice Property Acquisition..... 4.4-21

Table 4.4-13 Whale’s Tooth Station: Environmental Justice Property Acquisition 4.4-21

Table 4.4-14 Fall River Depot Station: Environmental Justice Property Acquisition 4.4-22

Table 4.4-15 Battleship Cove Station: Environmental Justice Property Acquisition 4.4-22

Table 4.4-16 Stoughton Electric Alternative: Summary of Noise Impacts..... 4.4-30

Table 4.4-17 Whittenton Electric Alternative: Summary of Noise Impacts..... 4.4-31

Table 4.4-18 Stoughton Alternatives Vibration Impacts 4.4-33

Table 4.4-19 Stoughton Alternatives, Proposed Ballast Mat Locations in Environmental Justice
Neighborhoods 4.4-34

Table 4.4-20 Summary of Effects on Environmental Justice Populations 4.4-39

Table 4.5 1 Summary of Potential Direct Effects to Visual and Aesthetic Resources from the
Stoughton Electric Alternative..... 4.5-38

Table 4.5 2 Summary of Potential Direct Effects to Visual and Aesthetic Resources from the
Stoughton Diesel Alternative 4.5-39

Table 4.5 3 Summary of Potential Direct Effects to Visual and Aesthetic Resources from the
Whittenton Electric Alternative..... 4.5-40

Table 4.5 4 Summary of Potential Direct Effects to Visual and Aesthetic Resources from the
Whittenton Diesel Alternative 4.5-42

Table 4.5 5 Proposed Mitigation Measures for Potential Impacts to Visual and Aesthetic
Resources..... 4.5-43

Table 4.6-1 Typical Indoor and Outdoor Sound Levels..... 4.6-3

Table 4.6-2 Land Use Categories and Metrics for Transit Noise Impact Criteria..... 4.6-4

Table 4.6-3 Existing Noise Levels at Monitoring Locations..... 4.6-5

Table 4.6-4 Noise Impact Distances (Feet), by Existing Noise Level (dBA) 4.6-13

Table 4.6-5 Noise Levels—No-Build Alternative 4.6-14

Table 4.6-6 Noise Levels—Southern Triangle, Electric Alternatives, Fall River Secondary 4.6-15

Table 4.6-7 Noise Levels—Southern Triangle, Diesel Alternatives, Fall River Secondary 4.6-16

Table 4.6-8 Train Horn Noise Impact Summary—Southern Triangle, Fall River Secondary 4.6-16

Table 4.6-9 Noise Levels—Southern Triangle, Electric Alternative, New Bedford Main Line 4.6-18

Table 4.6-10 Noise Levels—Southern Triangle, Diesel Alternative, New Bedford Main Line 4.6-19

Table 4.6-11 Train Horn Noise Impact Summary—Southern Triangle, New Bedford Main Line ... 4.6-20

Table 4.6-12 Noise Levels—Stoughton Line, Stoughton Electric Alternative 4.6-21

Table 4.6-13 Noise Levels—Stoughton Line, Stoughton Diesel Alternative 4.6-22

Table 4.6-14 Train Horn Noise Impact Summary—Stoughton Alternatives..... 4.6-23

Table 4.6-15 Noise Levels—Whittenton Branch, Whittenton Electric Alternative 4.6-24

Table 4.6-16 Noise Levels—Stoughton Line, Whittenton Electric Alternative 4.6-25

Table 4.6-17 Noise Levels—Whittenton Branch, Whittenton Diesel Alternative 4.6-26

Table 4.6-18 Noise Levels—Stoughton Line, Whittenton Diesel Alternative 4.6-27

Table 4.6-19 Train Horn Noise Impact Summary—Whittenton Branch of Whittenton
Alternative 4.6-28

Table 4.6-20 Train Horn Noise Impact Summary—Stoughton Line of Whittenton Alternative..... 4.6-28

Table 4.6-21 Layover Facilities Sound Levels and Impacts 4.6-29

Table 4.6-22 Summary of Projected Noise Impacts for South Coast Rail Alternatives 4.6-31

Table 4.6-23 Summary of Projected Train Horn Noise Impacts for South Coast Rail
Alternatives..... 4.6-31

Table 4.7-1 Vibration Measurement Locations and Measurement Results (VdB) 4.7-3

Table 4.7-2 FTA Ground-Borne Vibration Impact Criteria 4.7-5

Table 4.7-3 Impact Distance vs. Train Speed, Electric Alternatives..... 4.7-7

Table 4.7-4 Summary of Potential Vibration Impacts—Southern Triangle 4.7-10

Table 4.7-5 Potential Vibration Impacts by Sensitive Receptor—Southern Triangle 4.7-11

Table 4.7-6 Summary of Potential Vibration Impacts—Stoughton Alternative (Diesel and Electric) 4.7-13

Table 4.7-7 Potential Vibration Impacts by Sensitive Receptor—Stoughton Alternative 4.7-13

Table 4.7-8 Summary of Potential Vibration Impacts—Whittenton Alternative (Diesel and Electric) 4.7-15

Table 4.7-9 Potential Vibration Impacts by Sensitive Receptor—Whittenton Alternative 4.7-15

Table 4.7-10 Summary of Potential Vibration Impacts without Mitigation by Alternative..... 4.7-16

Table 4.7-11 Whittenton Alternatives Vibration Mitigation—Whittenton Branch/Attleboro Secondary 4.7-20

Table 4.8 1 APE Definitions by Work Types and Operations and Resource Class 4.8-4

Table 4.8 2 Archaeologically Sensitive Areas, Testing, and Results 4.8-8

Table 4.8 3 Summary of Historic Resources 4.8-9

Table 4.8 4 Potential Adverse Effects to Historic Resources, Stoughton Line Electric Alternative 4.8-38

Table 4.8 5 Archaeological Sites Identified for the Stoughton Line Segment 4.8-41

Table 4.8 6 Potential Adverse Effects to Historic Resources, Stoughton Line Diesel Alternative . 4.8-43

Table 4.8 7 Potential Adverse Effects to Historic Resources, Attleboro Secondary and Whittenton Branch, Whittenton Electric Alternative 4.8-46

Table 4.8 8 Archaeological Sites identified for the Whittenton Branch Rail Segment..... 4.8-49

Table 4.8 9 Potential Adverse Effects to Historic Resources, Whittenton Branch and Attleboro Secondary Rail Segments, Whittenton Diesel Alternative..... 4.8-51

Table 4.8 10 Southern Triangle, Fall River Secondary—Affected Historic Resources..... 4.8-56

Table 4.8 11 Potential Adverse Effects to Historic Resources, Fall River Secondary (Electric Alternatives)..... 4.8-58

Table 4.8 12 Potential Adverse Effects to Historic Resources, Fall River Secondary (Diesel Alternatives)..... 4.8-60

Table 4.8 13 Archaeological Sites Identified on the Fall River Secondary Rail Segment..... 4.8-62

Table 4.8 14 Southern Triangle, New Bedford Main Line Affected Historic Resources 4.8-64

Table 4.8 15 Potential Adverse Effects to Historic Resources, New Bedford Main Line (Electric Alternatives)..... 4.8-65

Table 4.8 16 Potential Adverse Effects to Historic Resources, New Bedford Main Line (Diesel Alternatives)..... 4.8-66

Table 4.8 17 Stoughton Electric Alternative—Summary of Impacts..... 4.8-77

Table 4.8 18 Stoughton Diesel Alternative—Summary of Impacts..... 4.8-78

Table 4.8 19 Whittenton Electric Alternative—Summary of Impacts..... 4.8-79

Table 4.8 20 Whittenton Diesel Alternative—Summary of Impacts..... 4.8-80

Table 4.8 21 Summary of Potential Impacts to Historic and Archaeological Resources..... 4.8-81

Table 4.8 22 Historic Properties Mitigation Approaches 4.8-84

Table 4.9-1 National Ambient Air Quality Standards..... 4.9-6

Table 4.9-2 Mesoscale No-Build Analysis Emissions Results 4.9-16

Table 4.9-3 Microscale (Local) Predicted Maximum Pollutant Concentrations, 2016 and 2030 No-Build 4.9-18

Table 4.9-4 Predicted Maximum Pollutant Concentrations, Southern Triangle: Fall River
Secondary-Electric 4.9-21

Table 4.9-5 Predicted Maximum Pollutant Concentrations, Southern Triangle: Fall River
Secondary- Diesel..... 4.9-22

Table 4.9-6 Predicted Maximum Pollutant Concentrations, Southern Triangle: New Bedford
Main Line- Electric 4.9-24

Table 4.9-7 Predicted Maximum Pollutant Concentrations, Southern Triangle: New Bedford
Main Line-Diesel 4.9-24

Table 4.9-8 Mesoscale Mobile Source Analysis Results, Stoughton Electric Alternative 4.9-25

Table 4.9-9 Predicted Maximum Pollutant Concentrations, Stoughton Electric Alternative 4.9-26

Table 4.9-10 Mesoscale Mobile Source Analysis Results, Stoughton Diesel Alternative 4.9-27

Table 4.9-11 Predicted Maximum Pollutant Concentrations, Stoughton Diesel Alternative 4.9-28

Table 4.9-12 Mesoscale Mobile Source Analysis Results, Whittenton Electric Alternative 4.9-29

Table 4.9-13 Predicted Maximum Pollutant Concentrations, Whittenton Electric Alternative 4.9-31

Table 4.9-14 Mesoscale Mobile Source Analysis Results, Whittenton Diesel Alternative 4.9-32

Table 4.9-15 Predicted Maximum Pollutant Concentrations, Whittenton Diesel Alternative 4.9-33

Table 4.9-16 2016 Station Concentrations ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)..... 4.9-34

Table 4.9-17 2016 Layover Concentrations ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)..... 4.9-35

Table 4.9-18 Estimated CO₂ Emissions at the Proposed Layover Facilities 4.9-35

Table 4.9-19 2016 Train Track Concentrations ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) 4.9-36

Table 4.9-20 Summary of the 2035 Mesoscale (Regional) Air Quality Analysis for the South
Coast Rail Alternatives 4.9-40

Table 4.10-1 Southern Triangle Protected Public Open Space 4.10-6

Table 4.10-2 Stoughton Alternatives Protected Public Open Space 4.10-12

Table 4.10-3 Stoughton Electric Alternative Protected Open Space Acquisition 4.10-19

Table 4.10-4 Stoughton Diesel Alternative Protected Open Space Acquisition 4.10-20

Table 4.10-5 Summary of Potential Direct Effects to Protected Open Spaces and ACECs from
the Stoughton Electric Alternative..... 4.10-27

Table 4.10-6 Summary of Potential Direct Effects to Protected Open Spaces and ACECs from
the Stoughton Diesel Alternative..... 4.10-29

Table 4.10-7 Summary of Potential Direct Effects to Protected Open Spaces and ACECs from
the Whittenton Electric Alternative..... 4.10-31

Table 4.10-8 Summary of Potential Direct Effects to Protected Open Spaces and ACECs from
the Whittenton Diesel Alternative..... 4.10-33

Table 4.10-9 Summary of Potential Direct Effects to Protected Open Spaces and Publicly
Owned Parcels in ACECs from All Alternatives 4.10-33

Table 4.10-10 Summary of Article 97 Land Acquisition Requirements for All Alternatives 4.10-41

Table 4.10-11 Summary of ACEC Land Acquisition Requirements for All Alternatives 4.10-43

Table 4.11-1 Prime and Unique Farmland Soils in Bristol County 4.11-5

Table 4.11-2 Significant Farmland Soils found Within the Proposed Stations 4.11-6

Table 4.11-3 Freetown Station Site Soils 4.11-6

Table 4.11-4 Taunton Depot Station Site Soils 4.11-8

Table 4.11-5 North Easton Station Site Soils 4.11-9

Table 4.11-6 Dana Street Station Site Soils..... 4.11-10

Table 4.11-7 Impacts to Designated Farmland Soils—Stoughton Alternative Traction
Power Stations 4.11-13

Table 4.11-8 Impacts to Designated Farmland Soils—Proposed Station Sites 4.11-14

Table 4.11-9 Impacts to Designated Farmland Soils by Alternative (acres) 4.11-16

Table 4.12-1 Summary of RECs by Location 4.12-32

Table 4.12-2 RECs—Southern Triangle 4.12-35

Table 4.12-3 RECs—Stoughton Alternatives..... 4.12-37

Table 4.12-4 RECs—Whittenton Alternatives..... 4.12-38

Table 4.12-5 RECs—Layover Sites..... 4.12-39

Table 4.12-6 Summary of RECs by Alternative 4.12-40

Table 4.12-7 Summary of RECs by Layover Site..... 4.12-40

Table 4.14-1 Potential Bird Species Found Within the Study Area..... 4.14-11

Table 4.14-2 Potential Mammalian Species Found Within the Study Area..... 4.14-15

Table 4.14-3 Potential Amphibian and Reptilian Species Found Within the Study Area 4.14-17

Table 4.14-4 Fish Species Potentially Found Within Waterways in the Study Area 4.14-18

Table 4.14-5 Summary of Vernal Pools Inclusive of the Southern Triangle 4.14-30

Table 4.14-6 Summary of Bridges and Culverts..... 4.14-31

Table 4.14-7 Bridge Features 4.14-32

Table 4.14-8 Culvert Hydrologic Functions..... 4.14-33

Table 4.14-9 Summary of Vernal Pools—Whittenton Alternative 4.14-35

Table 4.14-10 Existing Conditions along the Whittenton Branch—Fish and Wildlife Passage 4.14-37

Table 4.14-11 Summary of Biological Resources Adjacent to Project Alternatives 4.14-40

Table 4.14-12 Impacts to Vernal Pools of the Stoughton Electric Alternative 4.14-63

Table 4.14-13 Impacts to Vernal Pool Habitat of the Stoughton Electric Alternative 4.14-64

Table 4.14-14 Impacts to Upland Buffer Habitat of the Stoughton Electric Alternative 4.14-66

Table 4.14-15 Impacts to Surrounding Upland Habitat of the Stoughton Electric Alternative 4.14-69

Table 4.14-16 Fragmentation Effects of the Stoughton Electric Alternative..... 4.14-75

Table 4.14-17 Summary of Vernal Pool Impacts of the Stoughton Electric Alternative..... 4.14-76

Table 4.14-18 Proposed Bridge Substructure Construction 4.14-79

Table 4.14-19 Loss of Ecological Integrity—Stoughton Alternative 4.14-84

Table 4.14-20 Impacts to Vernal Pools—Whittenton Alternative 4.14-86

Table 4.14-21 Impacts to Vernal Pool Habitat—Whittenton Alternative 4.14-88

Table 4.14-22 Impacts to Upland Buffer Habitat—Whittenton Alternative 4.14-90

Table 4.14-23 Impacts to Surrounding Upland Habitat—Whittenton Alternative 4.14-92

Table 4.14-24 Fragmentation Effects—Whittenton Alternative..... 4.14-96

Table 4.14-25 Vernal Pool Impacts along the Whittenton Branch..... 4.14-97

Table 4.14-26 Vernal Pool Impacts along the Whittenton Alternative 4.14-97

Table 4.14-27 Loss of Ecological Integrity—Whittenton Alternative 4.14-100

Table 4.14-28 Loss of Index of Ecological Integrity Units 4.14-104

Table 4.14-29 Stoughton Electric Alternative—Summary of Impacts..... 4.14-107

Table 4.14-30 Whittenton Electric Alternative—Summary of Impacts..... 4.14-108

Table 4.14-31 Loss of Index of Ecological Integrity Units 4.14-108

Table 4.14-32 Summary of Environmental Consequences..... 4.14-109

Table 4.14-33 Massachusetts Division of Marine Fisheries-Recommended Time-of-Year
Restrictions on In-Water Work 4.14-112

Table 4.14-34 Tunnel Dimension Recommendations..... 4.14-121

Table 4.14-35 Recommendations for Culverts 4.14-125

Table 4.14-36 Culverts Recommended to Meet General Massachusetts River and Stream

	Crossing Standards.....	4.14-126
Table 4.14-37	Proposed Tunnel and Between-Tie Crossing Locations.....	4.14-128
Table 4.14-38	Recommendations for Culverts–Whittenton Alternative.....	4.14-129
Table 4.15-1	Priority and Estimated Habitats Within or Adjacent to the Study Area	4.15-5
Table 4.15-2	Potential State-Listed Species Documented Within PH and EH Polygons Adjacent to the Project Alternatives.....	4.15-5
Table 4.15-3	Blue-Spotted Salamander (<i>Ambystoma laterale</i>) Capture–by Date (2001)	4.15-11
Table 4.15-4	Southern Triangle Priority and Estimated Habitats	4.15-15
Table 4.15-5	Stoughton Alternative Study Area—Priority and Estimated Habitats	4.15-20
Table 4.15-6	Summary of Project Alternatives near Suitable Rare Species Habitat	4.15-27
Table 4.15-7	Southern Triangle Impacts within Estimated and Priority Habitat	4.15-39
Table 4.15-8	Stoughton Alternative Impacts within Estimated and Priority Habitat	4.15-41
Table 4.15-10	Impacts by Species–Stoughton Electric Alternative	4.15-56
Table 4.15-11	Impacts by Species–Whittenton Electric Alternative	4.15-58
Table 4.15-12	Direct and Indirect Effects to Rare Species from the South Coast Rail Alternatives.....	4.15-59
Table 4.15-13	Proposed Mitigation Measures for Rare Species.....	4.15-69
Table 4.16-1	Study Area Communities Within the Coastal Zone.....	4.16-5
Table 4.16-2	Summary of ANRAD Reviews.....	4.16-17
Table 4.16-3	State Wetland Resource Area Presumptions of Significance	4.16-18
Table 4.16-4	Wetland Resource Areas–Canton.....	4.16-30
Table 4.16-5	Wetland Resource Areas–Stoughton.....	4.16-31
Table 4.16-6	Wetland Resource Areas–Easton.....	4.16-33
Table 4.16-7	Wetland Resource Areas–Raynham (Stoughton Line).....	4.16-38
Table 4.16-8	Wetland Resource Areas–Raynham (Whittenton Alternative)	4.16-41
Table 4.16-9	Wetland Resource Areas–Taunton (Stoughton Line)	4.16-43
Table 4.16-10	Wetland Resource Areas–Taunton (New Bedford Main Line).....	4.16-46
Table 4.16-11	Wetland Resource Areas–Taunton (Whittenton Alternative)	4.16-48
Table 4.16-12	Wetland Resource Areas–Berkley (New Bedford Main Line)	4.16-52
Table 4.16-13	Wetland Resource Areas–Berkley (Fall River Secondary).....	4.16-54
Table 4.16-14	Wetland Resource Areas–Lakeville (New Bedford Main Line)	4.16-55
Table 4.16-15	Wetland Resource Areas–Lakeville (Fall River Secondary).....	4.16-56
Table 4.16-16	Wetland Resource Areas–Freetown (New Bedford Main Line).....	4.16-57
Table 4.16-17	Wetland Resource Areas–Freetown (Fall River Secondary)	4.16-60
Table 4.16-18	Wetland Resource Areas–New Bedford	4.16-63
Table 4.16-19	Wetland Resource Areas–Fall River.....	4.16-66
Table 4.16-20	Summary of Existing Conditions (Stoughton Alternative)	4.16-67
Table 4.16-21	Summary of Existing Conditions (Whittenton Alternative)	4.16-68
Table 4.16-22	Direct Impacts to State and Federal Resource Areas–Canton.....	4.16-73
Table 4.16-23	Direct Impacts to State and Federal Resource Areas–Stoughton.....	4.16-75
Table 4.16-24	Direct Impacts to State and Federal Resource Areas–Easton	4.16-75
Table 4.16-25	Direct Impacts to State and Federal Resource Areas–Raynham	4.16-78
Table 4.16-26	Direct Impacts to State and Federal Resource Areas–Taunton.....	4.16-81
Table 4.16-27	Direct Impacts to State and Federal Resource Areas–Berkley	4.16-84
Table 4.16-28	Direct Impacts to State and Federal Resource Areas–Lakeville.....	4.16-86
Table 4.16-29	Direct Impacts to State and Federal Resource Areas–Freetown.....	4.16-88

Table 4.16-30 Direct Impacts to State and Federal Resource Areas–New Bedford 4.16-91

Table 4.16-31 Direct Impacts to State and Federal Resource Areas–Fall River..... 4.16-92

Table 4.16-32 Summary of Direct Impacts to State and Federal Resource Areas..... 4.16-95

Table 4.16-33 Direct Impacts to Wetlands in the Hockomock Swamp ACEC..... 4.16-96

Table 4.16-34 Direct Impacts by Cover Type–Stoughton Alternative 4.16-97

Table 4.16-35 Direct Impacts to Vegetated Wetlands by Watershed 4.16-100

Table 4.16-36 Direct Impacts to State and Federal Resource Areas–Raynham 4.16-103

Table 4.16-37 Direct Impacts to State and Federal Resource Areas–Taunton..... 4.16-105

Table 4.16-38 Direct Impacts to State and Federal Resource Areas along the
Whittenton Alternative..... 4.16-108

Table 4.16-39 Direct Impacts by Cover Type–Whittenton Alternative 4.16-109

Table 4.16-40 Direct Impacts to Wetlands/Waters by Watershed 4.16-111

Table 4.16-41 Secondary and/or Indirect Effects on Wetlands within 100 feet of the Rail
Segments along the Stoughton Alternative..... 4.16-116

Table 4.16-42 Secondary and/or Indirect Effects on Wetlands within 100 feet of the Rail
Segments along the Whittenton Alternative..... 4.16-117

Table 4.16-43 Permanent Wetland Resource Impacts by Alternative 4.16-120

Table 4.16-44 Wetland Mitigation Goals–State Resource Areas (Stoughton Electric
Alternative) 4.16-127

Table 4.16-45 Wetland Mitigation Goals–State Resource Areas (Whittenton Alternative)..... 4.16-128

Table 4.16-46 Summary of Federal Mitigation Goals by Cover Type–Permanent Impacts
(acres) (Stoughton Electric Alternative)..... 4.16-129

Table 4.16-47 Summary of Federal Mitigation by Cover Type–Temporary Impacts (acres)
(Stoughton Electric Alternative) 4.16-130

Table 4.16-48 Mitigation Goals by Watershed (Federal Wetlands/Waterways) for Stoughton
Electric Alternative..... 4.16-130

Table 4.16-49 Summary of Federal Mitigation Goals by Cover Type–Permanent Impacts (acres)
(Whittenton Alternative) 4.16-131

Table 4.16-50 Summary of Federal Mitigation by Cover Type–Temporary Impacts (acres)
(Whittenton Alternative) 4.16-132

Table 4.16-51 Mitigation Goals by Watershed (Federal Wetlands/Waterways) (Whittenton
Alternative) 4.16-133

Table 4.16-52 Vegetated Wetland/Waterway Mitigation Goals (Stoughton Electric
Alternative) 4.16-134

Table 4.16-53 Vegetated Wetland Mitigation Goals (Whittenton Electric Alternative) 4.16-135

Table 4.16-54 Potential Wetland Establishment Sites..... 4.16-137

Table 4.16-55 Tier 1 Wetland Establishment Sites 4.16-139

Table 4.16-56 Potential Land Preservation Opportunities 4.16-142

Table 4.16-57 CONCEPTUAL Planting Specifications, Palustrine Forested Wetlands 4.16-147

Table 4.16-58 CONCEPTUAL Planting Specifications, Palustrine Scrub-Shrub Wetlands 4.16-148

Table 4.16-59 CONCEPTUAL Planting Specifications, Palustrine Emergent Wetlands 4.16-148

Table 4.16-60 Site G Areas..... 4.16-158

Table 4.16-61 Summary of Mitigation by State Resource Area..... 4.16-162

Table 4.16-62 Summary of Mitigation by Cover Type1 (acres) 4.16-162

Table 4.17-1 Named Waterbodies Adjacent to or Crossed by Project Alternatives 4.17-6

Table 4.17-2 Public Water Supply Wells by Municipality 4.17-9

Table 4.17-3 Streams and Ponds Classified by MassDEP..... 4.17-10

Table 4.17-4	Named Streams and Ponds Not Assessed by MassDEP.....	4.17-11
Table 4.17-5	Surface Drinking Water Supplies	4.17-11
Table 4.17-6	Named Waterbodies Adjacent to or Crossed By the Southern Triangle	4.17-12
Table 4.17-7	Named Waterbodies Adjacent to or Crossed By the Stoughton Alternative	4.17-15
Table 4.17-8	Named Waterbodies Adjacent to or Crossed by the Whittenton Alternative	4.17-16
Table 4.17-9	Public Groundwater Supplies with Protection Zones Adjacent to or Crossed by the Alternatives.....	4.17-18
Table 4.17-10	Public Water Supply Wells with Protection Areas Adjacent to or Crossed by the Stoughton Alternative (Electric and Diesel).....	4.17-18
Table 4.17-11	Public Water Supply Wells with Protection Areas Adjacent to or Crossed by the Whittenton Alternative.....	4.17-19
Table 4.17- 12	Summary of Water Resources Crossed By or Adjacent to Each Alternative	4.17-20
Table 4.17-13	Stormwater Discharges on the Fall River Secondary Line	4.17-35
Table 4.17-14	Stormwater Discharges on the New Bedford Main Line	4.17-36
Table 4.17-15	Stormwater Discharges on the Stoughton Line	4.17-38
Table 4.17-16	Construction and Stormwater Discharges in Public Water Supply Well Protection Areas on the Stoughton Line	4.17-38
Table 4.17-17	Stormwater Discharges on the Whittenton Branch	4.17-40
Table 4.17-18	Construction and Stormwater Discharges in Public Water Supply Well Protection Areas on the Whittenton Branch.....	4.17-40
Table 4.17-19	Peak Discharge Rates (cfs)–Hockomock Swamp Trestle Unit Discharge	4.17-42
Table 4.17-20	Massachusetts Stormwater Standards Compliance–Hockomock Swamp Trestle ...	4.17-44
Table 4.17-21	Peak Discharge Rates (cfs)–North Easton Station	4.17-51
Table 4.17-22	Massachusetts Stormwater Standards Compliance–North Easton Station	4.17-52
Table 4.17-23	Peak Discharge Rates (cfs)–Raynham Park Station.....	4.17-54
Table 4.17-24	Stormwater Standards Compliance–Raynham Park Station	4.17-55
Table 4.17-25	Peak Discharge Rates (cfs)–Taunton Station	4.17-56
Table 4.17-26	Stormwater Standards Compliance–Taunton Station	4.17-57
Table 4.17-27	Peak Discharge Rates (cfs)–Taunton Depot Station	4.17-58
Table 4.17-28	Massachusetts Stormwater Standards Compliance–Taunton Depot Station	4.17-59
Table 4.17-29	Peak Discharge Rates (cfs)–Freetown Station	4.17-60
Table 4.17-30	Massachusetts Stormwater Standards Compliance–Freetown Station	4.17-61
Table 4.17-31	Station Site Summary.....	4.17-65
Table 4.17-32	Peak Discharge Rates (cfs)–Weaver’s Cove East Layover Facility.....	4.17-70
Table 4.17-33	Massachusetts Stormwater Standards Compliance – Weaver’s Cove East Layover Facility	4.17-71
Table 4.17-34	Massachusetts Stormwater Standards Compliance–Wamsutta Layover Facility ...	4.17-73
Table 4.17-35	Layover Facility Summary	4.17-74
Table 4.17-36	Summary of Potential Water Resource Impacts by Alternative	4.17-75
Table 4.17-37	Station Site Stormwater BMP Matrix.....	4.17-79
Table 4.17-38	Layover Facility Stormwater BMP Matrix	4.17-80
Table 4.18-1	Compliance with Basic Requirements Listed in 310 CMR 9.31(1) for Non-Tidal Rivers and Streams	4.18-8
Table 4.18-2	Chapter 91 Jurisdictional Status of Non-Tidal River and Stream Crossings.....	4.18-13
Table 4.18-3	Project Elements in Filled Tidelands: Fall River Secondary.....	4.18-19
Table 4.18-4	Non-Tidal River and Stream Crossings–Fall River Secondary	4.18-19
Table 4.18-5	Project Elements in Filled Tidelands–New Bedford Main Line	4.18-20

Table 4.18-6 Non-Tidal River and Stream Crossings–New Bedford Main Line..... 4.18-21

Table 4.18-7 Proposed Bridge and Culvert Replacement Subject to Chapter 91–Stoughton
Line..... 4.18-24

Table 4.18-8 Project Elements in Filled Tidelands or Coastal Zone–Station Sites..... 4.18-27

Table 4.18-9 Project Elements in Filled Tidelands–Layover Sites..... 4.18-29

Table 4.18-10 Project Elements in Designated Port Areas..... 4.18-45

Table 5.2-1 Indirect Effects Study Area Municipalities..... 5-7

Table 5.2-2 Metrics Used to Evaluate Environmental Impacts of Induced Growth
(per household)..... 5-15

Table 5.2-3 Station Area Development under Scenario 2 5-17

Table 5.3-1 Projected Total Household Growth by Community (2035) 5-23

Table 5.3-2 Projected Total Job Growth by Community (2035) 5-25

Table 5.3-3 Land Use Impacts by 2035 (Acres of Loss)..... 5-26

Table 5.3-4 Forest Land Impacts by 2035 (Acres of Loss)..... 5-27

Table 5.3-5 Farmland Impacts by 2035 (Acres of Loss) 5-28

Table 5.3-6 Direct Wetland Impacts by 2035 (Acres of Loss) 5-29

Table 5.3-7 Biodiversity Impacts by 2035 (Acres with Decreased Value)..... 5-30

Table 5.3-8 Water Demand by 2035 (Gallons per Household)..... 5-32

Table 5.3-9 Vehicle Miles and Hours Traveled by 2035 (per day) 5-33

Table 5.3-10 Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Residential Development by 2035..... 5-34

Table 5.3-11 Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Regional Vehicle Miles Travelled by 2035,
Scenario 1..... 5-35

Table 5.3-12 Greenhouse Gas Emissions Increase from VMT in South Coast Rail Communities..... 5-36

Table 5.4-1 PDAs and PPAs in the 31 South Coast Communities in Massachusetts 5-40

Table 5.4-2 Cumulative Impacts to Land Use in 2035 (in acres)..... 5-41

Table 5.4-3 Cumulative Impacts to Protected Open Space in 2035 (in acres) 5-43

Table 5.4-4 Comparison of Statewide Wetland Conversion Types in 2004 and 2006..... 5-45

Table 5.4-5 Cumulative Impacts to Wetlands in 2035 (in acres) 5-46

Table 5.4-6 Cumulative Biodiversity Impacts in 2035..... 5-49

Table 5.4-7 State-Listed Species Potentially Impacted by the South Coast Rail Project 5-51

Table 5.4-8 Greenhouse Gas Emissions in 2035 5-56

Table 5.4-9 Cumulative Impacts to the Economy in 2035 5-61

Table 5.4-10 Summary of Incremental Cumulative Changes between Alternatives..... 5-62

Table 5.5-1 South Coast Rail Proposed Performance Metrics..... 5-70

Table 5.5-2 Recommended Method of Reporting the Smart Growth Metrics to the Public on
MassDOT’s Website 5-77

Table 5.5-3 Sample Metric 4. Forest Land Impacts (in acres)..... 5-80

Table 5.5-4 Sample Metric 11 and Metric 15. SCR Zoning Revisions and Plans to Support
PDAs and Station Areas. 5-80

Table 7.2-1 Required Permits and Approvals 7-4

Table 7.4 1 Proposed Traffic Mitigation Measures near Stations 7-7

Table 7.5-1 Proposed Project Mitigation Measures for Permanent Impacts..... 7-18

Table 7.5-2 Proposed Project Mitigation Measures for Construction-period Impacts 7-23

Table 8.1-1 Required Permits and Approvals 8-1

Table 8.5-1 Water Quality Regulations..... 8-8

Table 8.9-1 Proposed Bridge and Culvert Replacement Subject to Chapter 91.....8-28

Table 9-1 Interagency Coordinating Group Meetings to Date9-4

LIST OF FIGURES

Volume II: FEIS/FEIR Figures

* Indicates figures incorporated into Volume I.

Figure

1 Executive Summary

Figure 1.2-1	Existing Regional Transportation System
Figure 1.4-1	No-Build Alternative
Figure 1.4-2	Stoughton Alternative
Figure 1.4-3	Whittenton Alternative

2 Purpose and Need

Figure 2.2-1	Existing Regional Bus Routes (Including Existing Park and Ride Locations)
--------------	---

3 Alternatives

Figure 3.1-1	Corridors Under Consideration
Figure 3.1-2	ENF Alternatives
Figure 3.2-1	Conventional Commuter Rail Single Track Typical Cross Section
Figure 3.2-2	Conventional Commuter Rail Double Track Typical Cross Section
Figure 3.2-3	Electrified Commuter Rail Single Track Typical Cross Section
Figure 3.2-4	Electrified Commuter Rail Double Track Typical Cross Section
Figure 3.2-5	Electrified Commuter Rail Triple Track Typical Cross Section
Figure 3.2-6	No-Build Alternative
Figure 3.2-7	Stoughton Alternative
Figure 3.2-8	Whittenton Alternative
Figure 3.2-9	Existing Rail Transportation System
Figure 3.2-10	Ownership of Right-of-Way Segments
Figure 3.2-11	Typical Cross Section Concrete Girder Bridge
Figure 3.2-12	Typical Cross Section Steel Tub Bridge
Figure 3.2-13	Typical Cross Section Steel Thru Girder Bridge
Figure 3.2-14	Trestle Through Hockomock Swamp
Figure 3.2-15	Locomotive Types
Figure 3.2-16	Typical Traction Power Station
Figure 3.2-17	Typical Overhead Contact Systems
Figure 3.2-18	Stoughton Electric Alternative Traction Power System
Figure 3.2-19	Whittenton Electric Alternative Traction Power System
Figure 3.2-20	Canton Center Station Proposed Reconstruction
Figure 3.2-21	Stoughton Station Proposed Reconstruction
Figure 3.2-22	North Easton Station Conceptual Station Design
Figure 3.2-23	Easton Village Station Conceptual Station Design
Figure 3.2-24	Raynham Park Station Conceptual Station Design
Figure 3.2-25	Taunton Station Conceptual Station Design
Figure 3.2-26	Taunton Depot Station Conceptual Station Design
Figure 3.2-27	Freetown Depot Station Conceptual Station Design

Figure 3.2-28	Fall River Depot Station Conceptual Station Design
Figure 3.2-29	Battleship Cove Station Conceptual Station Design
Figure 3.2-30	King's Highway Station Conceptual Station Design
Figure 3.2-31	Whale's Tooth Station Conceptual Station Design
Figure 3.2-32	Dana Street Station Conceptual Station Design
Figure 3.2-33	New Bedford Main Line Wamsutta Layover Facility
Figure 3.2-32	Fall River Secondary Weaver's Cove East Layover Facility

4.1 Transportation

Figure 4.1-1	Existing Highway Transportation System
Figure 4.1-2	Regional ATR Locations
Figure 4.1-3	Park and Ride Lots Existing Summer Weekday Peak Hour Traffic Volumes
Figure 4.1-4	Park and Ride Lots Existing Fall Weekday Peak Hour Traffic Volumes
Figure 4.1-5	New Bedford Traffic Count Locations
Figure 4.1-6	New Bedford Whale's Tooth Station Existing Weekday Morning Peak Hour Traffic Volume
Figure 4.1-7	New Bedford Whale's Tooth Station Existing Weekday Evening Peak Hour Traffic Volumes
Figure 4.1-8	New Bedford King's Highway Station Existing Weekday Morning Peak Hour Traffic Volumes
Figure 4.1-9	New Bedford King's Highway Station Existing Weekday Evening Peak Hour Traffic Volumes
Figure 4.1-10	Freetown Traffic Count Locations
Figure 4.1-11	Freetown Station Existing Weekday Morning Peak Hour Traffic Volumes
Figure 4.1-12	Freetown Station Existing Weekday Evening Peak Hour Traffic Volumes
Figure 4.1-13	Fall River Traffic Count Locations
Figure 4.1-14	Fall River Stations Existing Weekday Morning Peak Hour Traffic Volumes
Figure 4.1-15	Fall River Stations Existing Weekday Evening Peak Hour Traffic Volumes
Figure 4.1-16	Taunton Traffic Count Locations
Figure 4.1-17	Taunton Stations Existing Weekday Morning Peak Hour Traffic Volumes
Figure 4.1-18	Taunton Stations Existing Weekday Evening Peak Hour Traffic Volumes
Figure 4.1-19	Relocated Stoughton Station Study Area Intersections
Figure 4.1-20	Stoughton Station Existing Conditions Weekday Morning Peak Hour Traffic Volumes
Figure 4.1-21	Stoughton Station Existing Conditions Weekday Evening Peak Hour Traffic Volumes
Figure 4.1-22	Easton Traffic Count Locations
Figure 4.1-23	Easton Stations Existing Weekday Morning Peak Hour Traffic Volumes
Figure 4.1-24	Easton Stations Existing Weekday Evening Peak Hour Traffic Volumes
Figure 4.1-25	Raynham Traffic Count Locations
Figure 4.1-26	Raynham Park Existing Weekday Morning Peak Hour Traffic Volumes
Figure 4.1-27	Raynham Park Existing Weekday Evening Peak Hour Traffic Volumes
Figure 4.1-28	New Bedford Whale's Tooth Station No-Build Weekday Morning Peak Hour Traffic Volumes
Figure 4.1-29	New Bedford Whale's Tooth Station No-Build Weekday Evening Peak Hour Traffic Volumes
Figure 4.1-30	New Bedford King's Highway Station No-Build Weekday Morning Peak Hour Traffic Volumes

Figure 4.1-31	New Bedford King's Highway Station No-Build Weekday Evening Peak Hour Traffic Volumes
Figure 4.1-32	Freetown Station No-Build Weekday Morning Peak Hour Traffic Volumes
Figure 4.1-33	Freetown Station No-Build Weekday Evening Peak Hour Traffic Volumes
Figure 4.1-34	Fall River Stations No-Build Weekday Morning Peak Hour Traffic Volumes
Figure 4.1-35	Fall River Stations No-Build Weekday Evening Peak Hour Traffic Volumes
Figure 4.1-36	Taunton Stations No-Build Weekday Morning Peak Hour Traffic Volumes
Figure 4.1-37	Taunton Stations No-Build Weekday Evening Peak Hour Traffic Volumes
Figure 4.1-38	Relocated Stoughton Station No-Build Condition Weekday Morning Peak Hour Traffic Volumes
Figure 4.1-39	Relocated Stoughton Station No-Build Condition Weekday Evening Peak Hour Traffic Volumes
Figure 4.1-40	Easton Stations No-Build Weekday Morning Peak Hour Traffic Volumes
Figure 4.1-41	Easton Stations No-Build Weekday Evening Peak Hour Traffic Volumes
Figure 4.1-42	Raynham Park No-Build Weekday Morning Peak Hour Traffic Volumes
Figure 4.1-43	Raynham Park No-Build Weekday Evening Peak Hour Traffic Volumes
Figure 4.1-44	Canton Grade Crossings
Figure 4.1-45	Stoughton Grade Crossings
Figure 4.1-46	Easton Grade Crossings
Figure 4.1-47	Raynham Grade Crossings
Figure 4.1-48	Taunton Grade Crossings
Figure 4.1-49	Berkley Grade Crossings
Figure 4.1-50	Lakeville Grade Crossings
Figure 4.1-51	Freetown Grade Crossings
Figure 4.1-52	New Bedford Grade Crossings
Figure 4.1-53	Fall River Grade Crossings
Figure 4.1-54	New Bedford Whale's Tooth Stations Build Weekday Morning Peak Hour Traffic Volumes
Figure 4.1-55	New Bedford Whale's Tooth Stations Build Weekday Evening Peak Hour Traffic Volumes
Figure 4.1-56	New Bedford King's Highway Station Build Weekday Morning Peak Hour Traffic Volumes
Figure 4.1-57	New Bedford King's Highway Station Build Weekday Evening Peak Hour Traffic Volumes
Figure 4.1-58	Freetown Stations Build Weekday Morning Peak Hour Traffic Volumes
Figure 4.1-59	Freetown Stations Build Weekday Evening Peak Hour Traffic Volumes
Figure 4.1-60	Fall River Stations Build Weekday Morning Peak Hour Traffic Volumes
Figure 4.1-61	Fall River Stations Build Weekday Evening Peak Hour Traffic Volumes
Figure 4.1-62	Taunton Stations Build Weekday Morning Peak Hour Traffic Volumes
Figure 4.1-63	Taunton Stations Build Weekday Evening Peak Hour Traffic Volumes
Figure 4.1-64	Relocated Stoughton Station Build Condition Weekday Morning Peak Hour Traffic Volumes
Figure 4.1-65	Relocated Stoughton Station Build Condition Weekday Evening Peak Hour Traffic Volumes
Figure 4.1-66	Easton Stations Build Weekday Morning Peak Hour Traffic Volumes
Figure 4.1-67	Easton Stations Build Weekday Evening Peak Hour Traffic Volumes
Figure 4.1-68	Raynham Park Build Weekday Morning Peak Hour Traffic Volumes
Figure 4.1-69	Raynham Park Build Weekday Evening Peak Hour Traffic Volumes

Figure 4.1-70 Proposed Mitigation King's Highway at Tarkiln Hill Road and Stop & Shop Driveway

4.2 Land Use

Figure 4.2-1a Land Acquisition Requirements New Bedford Main Line
 Figure 4.2-1b Land Acquisition Requirements New Bedford Main Line
 Figure 4.2-1c Land Acquisition Requirements New Bedford Main Line
 Figure 4.2-1d Land Acquisition Requirements New Bedford Main Line
 Figure 4.2-2a Land Acquisition Requirements Fall River Secondary
 Figure 4.2-2b Land Acquisition Requirements Fall River Secondary
 Figure 4.2-2c Land Acquisition Requirements Fall River Secondary
 Figure 4.2-3a Land Acquisition Requirements Stoughton Line
 Figure 4.2-3b Land Acquisition Requirements Stoughton Line
 Figure 4.2-3c Land Acquisition Requirements Stoughton Line
 Figure 4.2-3d Land Acquisition Requirements Stoughton Line
 Figure 4.2-3e Land Acquisition Requirements Stoughton Line
 Figure 4.2-4a Land Acquisition Requirements Whittenton Branch
 Figure 4.2-4b Land Acquisition Requirements Whittenton Branch
 Figure 4.2-5 King's Highway Station Generalized Land Use
 Figure 4.2-6 All Rail Alternatives New Bedford Stations Zoning
 Figure 4.2-7 Whale's Tooth Station Generalized Land Use
 Figure 4.2-8 Freetown Station Generalized Land Use
 Figure 4.2-9 All Rail Alternatives Freetown Station Zoning
 Figure 4.2-10 Fall River Depot Station Generalized Land Use
 Figure 4.2-11 All Rail Alternatives Fall River Stations Zoning
 Figure 4.2-12 Battleship Cove Station Generalized Land Use
 Figure 4.2-13 Taunton Depot Station Generalized Land Use
 Figure 4.2-14 Taunton Stations (South) Zoning
 Figure 4.2-15 North Easton Station Generalized Land Use
 Figure 4.2-16 Stoughton Alternative Easton Stations Zoning
 Figure 4.2-17 Easton Village Station Generalized Land Use
 Figure 4.2-18 Raynham Park Station Generalized Land Use
 Figure 4.2-19 Stoughton Alternative Raynham Stations Zoning
 Figure 4.2-20 Taunton Station Generalized Land Use
 Figure 4.2-21 Taunton Stations (North) Zoning
 Figure 4.2-22 Weaver's Cove Sites Layover Facilities Generalized Land Use
 Figure 4.2-23 Wamsutta Site Layover Facility Generalized Land Use
 Figure 4.2-24 Battleship Cove Station Generalized Land Use and Zoning Designations
 Figure 4.2-25 Canton Center Station Generalized Land Use and Zoning Designations
 Figure 4.2-26 Canton Junction Station Generalized Land Use and Zoning Designations
 Figure 4.2-27 Easton Village Generalized Land Use and Zoning Designations
 Figure 4.2-28 Fall River Depot Station Property Acquisitions
 Figure 4.2-29 Freetown Station Property Acquisitions
 Figure 4.2-30 King's Highway Station Generalized Land Use and Zoning Regulations
 Figure 4.2-31 North Easton Station Property Acquisitions
 Figure 4.2-32 Raynham Park Station Property Acquisitions
 Figure 4.2-33 Stoughton Station Property Acquisitions
 Figure 4.2-34 Taunton Station Property Acquisitions

Figure 4.2-35	Taunton Depot Station Property Acquisitions
Figure 4.2-36	Whale's Tooth Station Property Acquisitions Generalized Land Use and Zoning Designations
Figure 4.2-37	Layover Facility at Wamsutta Site Property Acquisitions
Figure 4.2-38	Layover Facility at Weaver's Cove East Site Property Acquisitions
Figure 4.2-39	Dana Street Station Generalized Land Use
Figure 4.2-40	Dana Street Station Property Acquisitions

4.3 Socioeconomics

Figure 4.3-1	Population Growth 1990-2006
Figure 4.3-2	Population Density 2000
Figure 4.3-3	Household Density
Figure 4.3-4	Employment Concentrations 2007
Figure 4.3-5	Change in Work Trips to Boston from 1990 to 2000

4.4 Environmental Justice

Figure 4.4-1a	All Rail Alternatives - New Bedford Main Line Environmental Justice Neighborhoods
Figure 4.4-1b	All Rail Alternatives - New Bedford Main Line Environmental Justice Neighborhoods
Figure 4.4-1c	All Rail Alternatives - New Bedford Main Line Environmental Justice Neighborhoods
Figure 4.4-1d	All Rail Alternatives - New Bedford Main Line Environmental Justice Neighborhoods
Figure 4.4-1e	All Rail Alternatives - New Bedford Main Line Environmental Justice Neighborhoods
Figure 4.4-2a	All Rail Alternatives - Fall River Secondary Environmental Justice Neighborhoods
Figure 4.4-2b	All Rail Alternatives - Fall River Secondary Environmental Justice Neighborhoods
Figure 4.4-2c	All Rail Alternatives - Fall River Secondary Environmental Justice Neighborhoods
Figure 4.4-3a	Environmental Justice Populations within 0.5 Mile of Stoughton Alternative
Figure 4.4-3b	Environmental Justice Populations within 0.5 Mile of Stoughton Alternative
Figure 4.4-3c	Stoughton Alternatives - Stoughton Line Environmental Justice Neighborhoods
Figure 4.4-3d	Stoughton Alternatives - Stoughton Line Environmental Justice Neighborhoods
Figure 4.4-3e	Stoughton Alternatives - Stoughton Line Environmental Justice Neighborhoods
Figure 4.4-4	Environmental Justice Populations within 0.5 mile of Whittenton Alternative, along Attleboro Secondary
Figure 4.4-5	Environmental Justice Populations within 0.5 mile of King's Highway Station
Figure 4.4-6	Environmental Justice Populations within 0.5 mile of Whale's Station
Figure 4.4-7	Environmental Justice Populations within 0.5 mile of Fall River Depot Station
Figure 4.4-8	Environmental Justice Populations within 0.5 mile of Battleship Cove Station
Figure 4.4-9	Environmental Justice Populations within 0.5 mile of Taunton Station
Figure 4.4-10	Environmental Justice Populations within 0.5 mile of Dana Street Station
Figure 4.4-11	Environmental Justice Populations within 0.5 mile of Weaver's Cove Sites Layover Facilities
Figure 4.4-12	Environmental Justice Populations within 0.5 mile of Wamsutta Site Layover Facility
Figure 4.4-13	Stoughton Station Environmental Justice Neighborhoods
Figure 4.4-14	Whale's Tooth Station Environmental Justice Neighborhoods

Figure 4.4-15	Fall River Depot Station Environmental Justice Neighborhoods
Figure 4.4-16	Battleship Cove Station Environmental Justice Neighborhoods
Figure 4.4-17	Wamsutta Site Layover Facility Environmental Justice Neighborhoods
Figure 4.4-18a	Environmental Justice Noise Impacts and Mitigation and Vibration Mitigation Fall River Secondary
Figure 4.4-18b	Environmental Justice Noise Impacts and Mitigation and Vibration Mitigation Fall River Secondary
Figure 4.4-18c	Environmental Justice Noise Impacts and Mitigation and Vibration Mitigation Fall River Secondary (Detail)
Figure 4.4-18d	Environmental Justice Noise Impacts and Mitigation and Vibration Mitigation Fall River Secondary (Detail)
Figure 4.4-19	Environmental Justice Noise Impacts and Mitigation and Vibration Mitigation New Bedford Main Line
Figure 4.4-20a	Environmental Justice Noise Impacts and Mitigation and Vibration Mitigation Stoughton Line
Figure 4.4-20b	Environmental Justice Noise Impacts and Mitigation and Vibration Mitigation Stoughton Line
Figure 4.4-20c	Environmental Justice Noise Impacts and Mitigation and Vibration Mitigation Stoughton Line (Detail)
Figure 4.4-20d	Environmental Justice Noise Impacts and Mitigation and Vibration Mitigation Stoughton Line

4.5 Visual Resources

Figure 4.5-1	Malbone Street ROW Visual Analysis
Figure 4.5-2	Samuel Barnet Boulevard ROW Visual Analysis
Figure 4.5-3	King's Highway and Tarkiln Hill Road Grade Crossing Visual Analysis
Figure 4.5-4	Beechwood Road ROW Visual Analysis
Figure 4.5-5	View of ROW from Route 138 Visual Analysis
Figure 4.5-6	Morton St. ROW and Stoughton Fish & Game Club Driveway Visual Analysis
Figure 4.5-7	Easton Village Overpass and ROW Visual Analysis
Figure 4.5-8	Foundry Street Grade Crossing Visual Analysis
Figure 4.5-9	Bridge Street ROW Visual Analysis
Figure 4.5-10	Carver Street ROW Visual Analysis
Figure 4.5-11	Route 138 Grade Crossing near Post Office Visual Analysis
Figure 4.5-12	King Phillip Street East of Route 138 Visual Analysis
Figure 4.5-13	East Britannia Street Grade Crossing Visual Analysis
Figure 4.5-14	Thrasher Street ROW and Grade Crossing Visual Analysis
Figure 4.5-15	Taunton River Bridges from Summer Street Visual Analysis
Figure 4.5-16	Taunton River Bridge from Ingell Street Visual Analysis
Figure 4.5-17	King Phillip Street West of Route 138 Visual Analysis
Figure 4.5-18	Bay Street Bridge Visual Analysis
Figure 4.5-19	Whittenton ROW and Warren Street Grade Crossing Visual Analysis
Figure 4.5-20	Taunton Depot Station Visual Analysis
Figure 4.5-21	King's Highway Station Visual Analysis
Figure 4.5-22	Whale's Tooth Station Visual Analysis
Figure 4.5-23	Freetown Station Visual Analysis
Figure 4.5-24	Fall River Depot Station Visual Analysis
Figure 4.5-25	Battleship Cove Station Visual Analysis

Figure 4.5-26	North Easton Station Visual Analysis
Figure 4.5-27	Easton Village Station Visual Analysis
Figure 4.5-28	Raynham Park Station Visual Analysis
Figure 4.5-29	Taunton Station (Dean Street) Visual Analysis
Figure 4.5-30	Typical Modern At-Grade Crossing
Figure 4.5-31	Typical Overhead Contact Systems
Figure 4.5-32	Typical Traction Power Systems
Figure 4.5-33	Stoughton Electric Alternative Traction Power System
Figure 4.5-34	Proposed Tarkiln Hill Road At-Grade Crossing
Figure 4.5-35	Trestle Through Hockomock Swamp
Figure 4.5-36	Typical Grade-Separated Crossing, Above Grade
Figure 4.5-37	Proposed Route 138 Grade-Separated Crossing
Figure 4.5-38	Whittenton Electric Alternative Traction Power System
Figure 4.5-39	Side Platform Style Station
Figure 4.5-40	Center Platform Style Station
Figure 4.5-41	Battleship Cove Station Conceptual Station Design
Figure 4.5-42	Canton Center Station Proposed Reconstruction
Figure 4.5-43	Dana Street Station
Figure 4.5-44	Easton Village Station Conceptual Station Design

4.6 Noise

Figure 4.6-1	Noise Monitoring Locations
Figure 4.6-2	FTA Noise Impact Criteria*
Figure 4.6-3	Increase in Cumulative Noise Levels Allowed by FTA Criteria*
Figure 4.6-4a	All Rail Alternatives Fall River Secondary Noise Impacts Diesel/Electric Alternative Horn Noise Impacts and Diesel Alternative Train Pass-by Noise Impacts
Figure 4.6-4b	All Rail Alternatives Fall River Secondary Noise Impacts Diesel/Electric Alternative Horn Noise Impacts and Diesel Alternative Train Pass-by Noise Impacts
Figure 4.6-4c	All Rail Alternatives Fall River Secondary Noise Impacts Diesel Electric Alternative Horn Noise Impacts and Diesel Alternative Train Pass-by Noise Impacts
Figure 4.6-4d	Stoughton/Whittenton Electric Alternative Noise Impacts and Mitigation and Vibration Mitigation Fall River Secondary
Figure 4.6-4e	Stoughton/Whittenton Electric Alternative Noise Impacts and Mitigation and Vibration Mitigation Fall River Secondary
Figure 4.6-4f	Stoughton/Whittenton Electric Alternative Noise Impacts and Mitigation and Vibration Mitigation Fall River Secondary
Figure 4.6-4g	Stoughton/Whittenton Electric Alternative Noise Impacts and Mitigation and Vibration Mitigation Fall River Secondary (Detail)
Figure 4.6-4h	Stoughton/Whittenton Electric Alternative Noise Impacts and Mitigation and Vibration Mitigation Fall River Secondary (Detail)
Figure 4.6-5a	All Rail Alternatives New Bedford Main Line Diesel/Electric Alternative Horn Noise Impacts and Diesel Alternative Train Pass-by Noise Impacts
Figure 4.6-5b	All Rail Alternatives New Bedford Main Line Diesel/Electric Alternative Horn Noise Impacts and Diesel Alternative Train Pass-by Noise Impacts

Figure 4.6-5c	All Rail Alternatives New Bedford Main Line Diesel/Electric Alternatives Horn Noise Impacts and Diesel Alternative Train Pass-by Noise Impacts
Figure 4.6-5d	All Rail Alternatives New Bedford Main Line Diesel/Electric Alternative Horn Noise Impacts and Diesel Alternative Train Pass-by Noise Impacts
Figure 4.6-5e	All Rail Alternatives New Bedford Main Line Diesel/Electric Alternative Horn Noise Impacts and Diesel Alternative Train Pass-by Noise Impacts
Figure 4.6-5f	Stoughton/Whittenton Electric Alternative Noise Impacts and Mitigation and Vibration Mitigation New Bedford Main Line
Figure 4.5-5g	Stoughton/Whittenton Electric Alternative Noise Impacts and Mitigation and Vibration Mitigation New Bedford Main Line
Figure 4.6-5h	Stoughton/Whittenton Electric Alternative Noise Impacts and Mitigation and Vibration Mitigation New Bedford Main Line
Figure 4.6-5i	Stoughton/Whittenton Electric Alternative Noise Impacts and Mitigation and Vibration Mitigation New Bedford Main Line
Figure 4.6-6a	Stoughton/Whittenton Electric Alternative Noise Impacts and Mitigation and Vibration Mitigation Stoughton Line
Figure 4.6-6b	Stoughton/Whittenton Electric Alternative Noise Impacts and Mitigation and Vibration Mitigation Stoughton Line
Figure 4.6-6c	Stoughton/Whittenton Electric Alternative Noise Impacts and Mitigation and Vibration Mitigation Stoughton Line (Detail)
Figure 4.6-6d	Stoughton/Whittenton Electric Alternative Noise Impacts and Mitigation and Vibration Mitigation Stoughton Line (Detail)
Figure 4.6-6e	Stoughton/Whittenton Electric Alternative Noise Impacts and Mitigation and Vibration Mitigation Stoughton Line
Figure 4.6-6f	Stoughton/Whittenton Electric Alternative Noise Impacts and Mitigation and Vibration Mitigation Stoughton Line
Figure 4.6-6g	Stoughton/Whittenton Electric Alternative Noise Impacts and Mitigation and Vibration Mitigation Stoughton Line
Figure 4.6-6h	Stoughton Alternative Stoughton Line Diesel/Electric Alternative Horn Noise Impacts and Diesel Alternative Train Pass-by Noise Impacts
Figure 4.6-6i	Stoughton Alternative Stoughton Line Diesel/Electric Alternative Horn Noise Impacts and Diesel Alternative Train Pass-by Noise Impacts
Figure 4.6-6j	Stoughton Alternative Stoughton Line Diesel/Electric Alternative Horn Noise Impacts and Diesel Alternative Train Pass-by Noise Impacts
Figure 4.6-6k	Stoughton Alternative Stoughton Line Diesel/Electric Alternative Horn Noise Impacts and Diesel Alternative Train Pass-by Noise Impacts
Figure 4.6-6l	Stoughton Alternative Stoughton Line Diesel/Electric Alternative Horn Noise Impacts and Diesel Alternative Train Pass-by Noise Impacts
Figure 4.6-7a	Whittenton Diesel/Electric Alternative Noise Impacts Whittenton Branch
Figure 4.6-7b	Whittenton Alternative Whittenton Branch Noise Impacts

4.8 Cultural Resources

Figure 4.8-1	Stoughton Alternative and Whittenton Alternative
Figure 4.8-2	Stoughton Line Weir Junction to Canton Junction
Figure 4.8-3	Stoughton Line Weir Junction to Canton Junction
Figure 4.8-4	Stoughton Line Weir Junction to Canton Junction
Figure 4.8-5	Stoughton Line Weir Junction to Canton Junction
Figure 4.8-6	Stoughton Line Weir Junction to Canton Junction

Figure 4.8-7	Whittenton Branch
Figure 4.8-8	Whittenton Branch
Figure 4.8-9	New Bedford Main Line to Weir Junction
Figure 4.8-10	New Bedford Main Line to Weir Junction
Figure 4.8-11	New Bedford Main Line to Weir Junction
Figure 4.8-12	New Bedford Main Line to Weir Junction
Figure 4.8-13	New Bedford Main Line to Weir Junction
Figure 4.8-14	Fall River Secondary to Myricks Junction
Figure 4.8-15	Fall River Secondary Myricks Junction
Figure 4.8-16	Fall River Secondary to Myricks Junction
Figure 4.8-17	Approximate Location of Elisha Harvey Gravesite

4.9 Air Quality

Figure 4.9-1	Fall River Microscale Air Quality Study Area Intersections and Receptor Locations
Figure 4.9-2	Freetown Microscale Air Quality Study Area Intersections and Receptor Locations
Figure 4.9-3	New Bedford Microscale Air Quality Study Area Intersections and Receptor Locations
Figure 4.9-4	Stoughton Station Microscale Air Quality Study Area Intersections and Receptor Locations
Figure 4.9-5	Taunton Microscale Air Quality Study Area Intersections and Receptor Locations
Figure 4.9-6	Easton Microscale Air Quality Study Area Intersections and Receptor Locations
Figure 4.9-7	Raynham Microscale Air Quality Study Area Intersections and Receptor Locations

4.10 Open Space

Figure 4.10-1	Major Open Spaces
Figure 4.10-2	Areas of Critical Environmental Concern (ACECs)
Figure 4.10-3a	All Rail Alternatives, New Bedford Main Line: Protected Open Spaces and ACECs
Figure 4.10-3b	All Rail Alternative, New Bedford Main Line: Protected Open Spaces and ACECs
Figure 4.10-3c	All Rail Alternatives, New Bedford Main Line: Protected Open Spaces and ACECs
Figure 4.10-3d	All Rail Alternatives, New Bedford Main Line: Protected Open Spaces and ACECs
Figure 4.10-4a	All Rail Alternatives, Fall River Secondary: Protected Open Spaces and ACECs
Figure 4.10-4b	All Rail Alternatives, Fall River Secondary: Protected Open Spaces and ACECs
Figure 4.10-4c	All Rail Alternatives, Fall River Secondary: Protected Open Spaces and ACECs
Figure 4.10-5a	Stoughton Alternatives Stoughton Line Protected Open Space and ACECs
Figure 4.10-5b	Stoughton Alternatives Stoughton Line Protected Open Space and ACECs
Figure 4.10-5c	Stoughton Alternatives Stoughton Line Protected Open Space and ACECs
Figure 4.10-5d	Stoughton Line Alternatives Stoughton Line Protected Open Space and ACECs
Figure 4.10-5e	Stoughton Alternatives Stoughton Line Protected Open Space and ACECs
Figure 4.10-6a	Whittenton Alternatives Whittenton Branch Protected Open Space and ACECs
Figure 4.10-6b	Whittenton Alternatives Whittenton Branch Protected Open Space and ACECs
Figure 4.10-7	Property Acquisition at Stoughton Memorial Conservation Land
Figure 4.10-8	Battleship Cove Station Protected Open Spaces and ACECs
Figure 4.10-9	Canton Center Station Protected Open Spaces and ACECs
Figure 4.10-10	Dana Street Station Protected Open Spaces and ACECs
Figure 4.10-11	Easton Village Station Protected Open Spaces and ACECs

Figure 4.10-12	Fall River Depot Station Protected Open Spaces and ACECs
Figure 4.10-13	Freetown Station Protected Open Spaces and ACECs
Figure 4.10-14	King's Highway Station Protected Open Spaces and ACECs
Figure 4.10-15	North Easton Station Protected Open Spaces and ACECs
Figure 4.10-16	Raynham Park Station Protected Open Spaces and ACECs
Figure 4.10-17	Stoughton Station Protected Open Spaces and ACECs
Figure 4.10-18	Taunton Station Protected Open Spaces and ACECs
Figure 4.10-19	Taunton Depot Station Protected Open Spaces and ACECs
Figure 4.10-20	Whale's Tooth Station Protected Open Spaces and ACECs
Figure 4.10-21	Layover Facility at Wamsutta Site Protected Open Spaces and ACECs
Figure 4.10-22	Layover Facility at Weaver's Cove Site Protected Open Spaces and ACECs
Figure 4.10-23	Taunton and Mill Rivers Bridges
Figure 4.10-24	Fall River Depot Station Plan View

4.11 Farmland

Figure 4.11-1	Freetown Station Site Farmland Soils
Figure 4.11-2	Taunton Depot Station Site Farmland Soils
Figure 4.11-3	North Easton Station Site Farmland Soils
Figure 4.11-4	Dana Street Station Site Farmland Soils
Figure 4.11-5	Stoughton PS-1 Traction Power Station Farmland Soils
Figure 4.11-6	Stoughton SWS-2 Traction Power Station Farmland Soils
Figure 4.11-7	Stoughton TPSS-1 Traction Power Station Farmland Soils
Figure 4.11-8	Stoughton TPSS-2 Traction Power Station Farmland Soils

4.12 Hazardous Materials

Figure 4.12-1	Site Plan - Whittenton Branch
Figure 4.12-2	Site Plan - Battleship Cove Station
Figure 4.12-3	Site Plan - Fall River Depot Station
Figure 4.12-4	Site Plan - Freetown Station
Figure 4.12-5	Site Plan - King's Highway Station
Figure 4.12-6	Site Plan - Whale's Tooth Station
Figure 4.12-7	Site Plan - Taunton Depot Station
Figure 4.12-8	Site Plan - Easton Village Station
Figure 4.12-9	Site Plan - North Easton Station
Figure 4.12-10	Site Plan - Raynham Place Station
Figure 4.12-11	Site Plan - Taunton Station
Figure 4.12-12	Railroad Right-of-Way Raynham and Taunton
Figure 4.12-13	Stoughton Station
Figure 4.12-14	Site Plan - Wamsutta Layover Site
Figure 4.12-15	Site Plan - Weaver's Cover East Layover Site

4.14 Biodiversity

Figure 4.14-1	Major Wetland and Upland Natural Areas
Figure 4.14-2	Areas of Critical Environmental Concern (ACECs)
Figure 4.14-3a	All Rail Alternatives – New Bedford Main Line Biodiversity Impacts
Figure 4.14-3b	All Rail Alternatives – New Bedford Main Line Biodiversity Impacts
Figure 4.14-3c	All Rail Alternatives – New Bedford Main Line Biodiversity Impacts
Figure 4.14-3d	All Rail Alternatives – New Bedford Main Line Biodiversity Impacts

Figure 4.14-3e	All Rail Alternatives – New Bedford Main Line Biodiversity Impacts
Figure 4.14-4a	All Rail Alternatives – Fall River Secondary Biodiversity Impacts
Figure 4.14-4b	All Rail Alternatives – Fall River Secondary Biodiversity Impacts
Figure 4.14-4c	All Rail Alternatives – Fall River Secondary Biodiversity Impacts
Figure 4.14-5a	Stoughton Alternative - Stoughton Line Biodiversity Impacts
Figure 4.14-5b	Stoughton Alternative - Stoughton Line Biodiversity Impacts
Figure 4.14-5c	Stoughton Alternative - Stoughton Line Biodiversity Impacts
Figure 4.14-5d	Stoughton Alternative - Stoughton Line Biodiversity Impacts
Figure 4.14-5e	Stoughton Alternative - Stoughton Line Biodiversity Impacts
Figure 4.14-6a	Stoughton Alternative - Whittenton Variation Biodiversity Impacts
Figure 4.14-6b	Stoughton Alternative - Whittenton Variation Biodiversity Impacts
Figure 4.14-7a	Vernal Pool Impacts Stoughton Line
Figure 4.14-7b	Vernal Pool Impacts Stoughton Line
Figure 4.14-7c	Vernal Pool Impacts Stoughton Line
Figure 4.14-7d	Vernal Pool Impacts Stoughton Line
Figure 4.14-7e	Vernal Pool Impacts Stoughton Line/New Bedford Main Line
Figure 4.14-8a	Vernal Pool Impacts New Bedford Main Line
Figure 4.14-8b	Vernal Pool Impacts New Bedford Main Line
Figure 4.14-8c	Vernal Pool Impacts New Bedford Main Line
Figure 4.14-8d	Vernal Pool Impacts New Bedford Main Line
Figure 4.14-9a	Vernal Pool Impacts Fall River Secondary
Figure 4.14-9b	Vernal Pool Impacts Fall River Secondary
Figure 4.14-9c	Vernal Pool Impacts Fall River Secondary
Figure 4.14-10a	Whittenton Alternative Raynham Junction to Weir Junction Vernal Pool Impacts
Figure 4.14-10b	Whittenton Alternative Raynham Junction to Weir Junction Vernal Pool Impacts
Figure 4.14-11a	Fish and Wildlife Crossings Stoughton Line
Figure 4.14-11b	Fish and Wildlife Crossings Stoughton Line
Figure 4.14-11c	Fish and Wildlife Crossings Stoughton Line
Figure 4.14-11d	Fish and Wildlife Crossings Stoughton Line
Figure 4.14-11e	Fish and Wildlife Crossings Stoughton Line/New Bedford Main Line
Figure 4.14-12a	Fish and Wildlife Crossings New Bedford Main Line
Figure 4.14-12b	Fish and Wildlife Crossings New Bedford Main Line
Figure 4.14-12c	Fish and Wildlife Crossings New Bedford Main Line
Figure 4.14-12d	Fish and Wildlife Crossings New Bedford Main Line
Figure 4.14-13a	Fish and Wildlife Crossings Fall River Secondary
Figure 4.14-13b	Fish and Wildlife Crossings Fall River Secondary
Figure 4.14-13c	Fish and Wildlife Crossings Fall River Secondary
Figure 4.14-14a	Whittenton Alternative Raynham Junction to Weir Junction Fish and Wildlife Crossings
Figure 4.14-14b	Whittenton Alternative Raynham Junction to Weir Junction Fish and Wildlife Crossings
Figure 4.14-15	Study Area for the South Coast Rail Analysis
Figure 4.14-16	Hockomock Swamp Areas
Figure 4.14-17*	Percent Impacts to Vernal Pools of the Stoughton Electric Alternative
Figure 4.14-18*	Percent Impacts to Vernal Pool Habitat of the Stoughton Electric Alternative
Figure 4.14-19*	Percent Impacts to Upland Buffer Habitat of the Stoughton Electric Alternative
Figure 4.14-20*	Percent Impacts to Surrounding Upland Habitat of the Stoughton Electric Alternative

Figure 4.14-21a	Typical Single-span Bridge Cross Section
Figure 4.14-21b	Typical Two-span Bridge Cross Section
Figure 4.22-22*	Percent Impact to Vernal Pools Whittenton Electric Alternative
Figure 4.14-23*	Percent Impacts to Vernal Pool Habitat Whittenton Electric Alternative
Figure 4.14-24*	Percent Impacts to Upland Buffer Habitat Whittenton Electric Alternative
Figure 4.14-25*	Percent Impacts to Surrounding Upland Habitat Whittenton Electric Alternative
Figure 4.14-26	Taunton Depot Station Biodiversity Impacts
Figure 4.14-27	Freetown Station Biodiversity Impacts
Figure 4.14-28	North Easton Station Biodiversity Impacts
Figure 4.14-29	Raynham Place Station Biodiversity Impacts
Figure 4.14-30	Modeled Loss in Ecological Integrity for the Southern Triangle
Figure 4.14-31	Modeled Loss in Ecological Integrity for the Stoughton Alternative (with trestle)
Figure 4.14-32	Modeled Loss in Ecological Integrity for the Stoughton Alternative (without trestle)
Figure 4.14-33	Modeled Loss in Ecological Integrity for the Whittenton Alternative (with trestle)
Figure 4.14-34	Modeled Loss in Ecological Integrity for the Whittenton Alternative (without trestle)
Figure 4.14-35	Existing Connectedness for the Assonet Cedar Swamp
Figure 4.14-36	Change in Connectedness for the Assonet Cedar Swamp
Figure 4.14-37	Existing Connectedness for the Hockomock Swamp
Figure 4.14-38	Change in Connectedness for the Hockomock Swamp (without trestle)
Figure 4.14-39	Change in Connectedness for the Hockomock Swamp (with trestle)
Figure 4.14-40	Existing Connectedness for the Pine Swamp
Figure 4.14-41	Change in Connectedness for the Pine Swamp
Figure 4.14-42	Culvert Mitigation Measure Decision Tree
Figure 4.14-43	Typical Culvert Cross Section
Figure 4.14-44	Between-Tie Crossing

4.15 Threatened and Endangered Species

Figure 4.15-1	Major Wetland and Upland Natural Areas
Figure 4.15-2	Areas of Critical Environmental Concern (ACECs)
Figure 4.15-3	NHESP Priority and Estimated Habitat of Rare Species
Figure 4.15-4	All Rail Alternatives New Bedford Mainline (Sheet 1 of 5) Threatened & Endangered Species
Figure 4.15-5	All Rail Alternatives New Bedford Mainline (Sheet 2 of 5) Threatened & Endangered Species
Figure 4.15-6	All Rail Alternatives New Bedford Mainline (Sheet 3 of 5) Threatened & Endangered Species
Figure 4.15-7	All Rail Alternatives New Bedford Mainline (Sheet 4 of 5) Threatened & Endangered Species
Figure 4.15-8	All Rail Alternatives New Bedford Mainline (Sheet 5 of 5) Threatened & Endangered Species
Figure 4.15-9	All Rail Alternatives Fall River Secondary (Sheet 1 of 3) Threatened & Endangered Species
Figure 4.15-10	All Rail Alternatives Fall River Secondary (Sheet 2 of 3) Threatened & Endangered Species
Figure 4.15-11	All Rail Alternatives Fall River Secondary (Sheet 3 of 3) Threatened & Endangered Species

Figure 4.15-12	Stoughton Alternative (Sheet 1 of 4) Threatened & Endangered Species
Figure 4.15-13	Stoughton Alternative (Sheet 2 of 4) Threatened & Endangered Species
Figure 4.15-14	Stoughton Alternative (Sheet 3 of 4) Threatened & Endangered Species
Figure 4.15-15	Stoughton Alternative (Sheet 4 of 4) Threatened & Endangered Species
Figure 4.15-16	Whittenton Alternative (Sheet 1 of 2) Threatened & Endangered Species
Figure 4.15-17	Whittenton Alternative (Sheet 2 of 2) Threatened & Endangered Species
Figure 4.15-18	Trestle Through Hockomock Swamp
Figure 4.15-19	Typical Cross Sections Concrete Block Culvert
Figure 4.15-20	Between-Tie Crossings
Figure 4.15-21	Stoughton Alternative Stoughton Line (Sheet 1 of 2) Mitigation Measures Threatened & Endangered Species
Figure 4.15-22	Stoughton Alternative Stoughton Line (Sheet 2 of 2) Mitigation Measures Threatened & Endangered Species
Figure 4.15-23	All Rail Alternatives New Bedford Main Line (Sheet 1 of 4) Mitigation Measures Threatened & Endangered Species
Figure 4.15-24	All Rail Alternatives New Bedford Main Line (Sheet 2 of 4) Mitigation Measures Threatened & Endangered Species
Figure 4.15-25	All Rail Alternatives New Bedford Main Line (Sheet 3 of 4) Mitigation Measures Threatened & Endangered Species
Figure 4.15-26	All Rail Alternatives New Bedford Main Line (Sheet 4 of 4) Mitigation Measures Threatened & Endangered Species
Figure 4.15-27	All Rail Alternatives Fall River Secondary (Sheet 1 of 3) Mitigation Measures Threatened & Endangered Species
Figure 4.15-28	All Rail Alternatives Fall River Secondary (Sheet 2 of 3) Mitigation Measures Threatened & Endangered Species
Figure 4.15-29	All Rail Alternatives Fall River Secondary (Sheet 3 of 3) Mitigation Measures Threatened & Endangered Species
Figure 4.15-30	Whittenton Alternative (Sheet 1 of 2) Mitigation Measures Threatened & Endangered Species
Figure 4.15-31	Whittenton Alternative (Sheet 2 of 2) Mitigation Measures Threatened & Endangered Species

4.16 Wetlands

Figure 4.16-1	Major Water Bodies
Figure 4.16-2a	Wetland Impacts Stoughton Line
Figure 4.16-2b	Wetland Impacts Stoughton Line
Figure 4.16-2c	Wetland Impacts Stoughton Line
Figure 4.16-2d	Wetland Impacts Stoughton Line
Figure 4.16-2e	Wetland Impacts Stoughton Line
Figure 4.16-2f	Wetland Impacts Stoughton Line
Figure 4.16-2g	Wetland Impacts Stoughton Line
Figure 4.16-2h	Wetland Impacts Stoughton Line
Figure 4.16-2i	Wetland Impacts Stoughton Line
Figure 4.16-2j	Wetland Impacts Stoughton Line
Figure 4.16-2k	Wetland Impacts Stoughton Line
Figure 4.16-2l	Wetland Impacts Stoughton Line
Figure 4.16-2m	Wetland Impacts Stoughton Line
Figure 4.16-2n	Wetland Impacts Stoughton Line

Figure 4.16-2o	Wetland Impacts Stoughton Line
Figure 4.16-2p	Wetland Impacts Stoughton Line
Figure 4.16-2q	Wetland Impacts Stoughton Line
Figure 4.16-3a	Wetland Impacts New Bedford Main Line
Figure 4.16-3b	Wetland Impacts New Bedford Main Line
Figure 4.16-3c	Wetland Impacts New Bedford Main Line
Figure 4.16-3d	Wetland Impacts New Bedford Main Line
Figure 4.16-3e	Wetland Impacts New Bedford Main Line
Figure 4.16-3f	Wetland Impacts New Bedford Main Line
Figure 4.16-3g	Wetland Impacts New Bedford Main Line
Figure 4.16-3h	Wetland Impacts New Bedford Main Line
Figure 4.16-3i	Wetland Impacts New Bedford Main Line
Figure 4.16-3j	Wetland Impacts New Bedford Main Line
Figure 4.16-3k	Wetland Impacts New Bedford Main Line
Figure 4.16-3l	Wetland Impacts New Bedford Main Line
Figure 4.16-3m	Wetland Impacts New Bedford Main Line
Figure 4.16-3n	Wetland Impacts New Bedford Main Line
Figure 4.16-3o	Wetland Impacts New Bedford Main Line
Figure 4.16-3p	Wetland Impacts New Bedford Main Line
Figure 4.16-3q	Wetland Impacts New Bedford Main Line
Figure 4.16-4a	Wetland Impacts Fall River Secondary
Figure 4.16-4b	Wetland Impacts Fall River Secondary
Figure 4.16-4c	Wetland Impacts Fall River Secondary
Figure 4.16-4d	Wetland Impacts Fall River Secondary
Figure 4.16-4e	Wetland Impacts Fall River Secondary
Figure 4.16-4f	Wetland Impacts Fall River Secondary
Figure 4.16-4g	Wetland Impacts Fall River Secondary
Figure 4.16-4h	Wetland Impacts Fall River Secondary
Figure 4.16-4i	Wetland Impacts Fall River Secondary
Figure 4.16-4j	Wetland Impacts Fall River Secondary
Figure 4.16-5a	Wetlands and Wetland Impacts Whittenton Alternative Raynham Junction to Weir Junction
Figure 4.16-5b	Wetlands and Wetland Impacts Whittenton Alternative Raynham Junction to Weir Junction
Figure 4.16-5c	Wetlands and Wetland Impacts Whittenton Alternative Raynham Junction to Weir Junction
Figure 4.16-5d	Wetlands and Wetland Impacts Whittenton Alternative Raynham Junction to Weir Junction
Figure 4.16-5e	Wetlands and Wetland Impacts Whittenton Alternative Raynham Junction to Weir Junction
Figure 4.16-6	Proposed Mitigation Area Site A Existing Conditions
Figure 4.16-7	Proposed Mitigation Area Site A Proposed Design Concept
Figure 4.16-8	Proposed Mitigation Area Site B Existing Conditions
Figure 4.16-9	Proposed Mitigation Area Site B Proposed Design Concept
Figure 4.16-10	Proposed Mitigation Area Site C Existing Conditions
Figure 4.16-11	Proposed Mitigation Area Site C Proposed Design Concept
Figure 4.16-12	Proposed Mitigation Area Site D Existing Conditions
Figure 4.16-13	Proposed Mitigation Area Site D Proposed Design Concept

Figure 4.16-14	Proposed Mitigation Area Site E Existing Conditions
Figure 4.16-15	Proposed Mitigation Area Site E Proposed Design Concept
Figure 4.16-16	Proposed Mitigation Area Site F - Terry Brook Pond Existing Conditions
Figure 4.16-17	Proposed Mitigation Area Site F - Terry Brook Pond Mitigation Design Concept
Figure 4.16-18	Proposed Mitigation Area Site G - Burrage Pond WMA Existing Conditions
Figure 4.16-19	Proposed Mitigation Area Site G - Burrage Pond WMA Proposed Mitigation Concept

4.17 Water Resources

Figure 4.17-1	Major Water Bodies
Figure 4.17-2a	All Rail Alternatives New Bedford Main Line (Sheet 1 of 5)
Figure 4.17-2b	All Rail Alternatives New Bedford Main Line (Sheet 2 of 5)
Figure 4.17-2c	All Rail Alternatives New Bedford Main Line (Sheet 3 of 5)
Figure 4.17-2d	All Rail Alternatives New Bedford Main Line (Sheet 4 of 5)
Figure 4.17-2e	All Rail Alternatives New Bedford Main Line (Sheet 5 of 5)
Figure 4.17-3a	All Rail Alternatives Fall River Secondary (Sheet 1 of 3)
Figure 4.17-3b	All Rail Alternatives Fall River Secondary (Sheet 2 of 3)
Figure 4.17-3c	All Rail Alternatives Fall River Secondary (Sheet 3 of 3)
Figure 4.17-4a	Stoughton Alternative Stoughton Line (Sheet 1 of 5)
Figure 4.17-4b	Stoughton Alternative Stoughton Line (Sheet 2 of 5)
Figure 4.17-4c	Stoughton Alternative Stoughton Line (Sheet 3 of 5)
Figure 4.17-4d	Stoughton Alternative Stoughton Line (Sheet 4 of 5)
Figure 4.17-4e	Stoughton Alternative Stoughton Line (Sheet 5 of 5)
Figure 4.17-5a	Whittenton Alternative Whittenton Branch (Sheet 1 of 2)
Figure 4.17-5b	Whittenton Alternative Whittenton Branch (Sheet 2 of 2)
Figure 4.17-6	Typical Stormwater Treatment Vegetated Swale with Forebay Discharging to Stream
Figure 4.17-7	Typical Stormwater Treatment Underdrain With Cleanouts Near Critical Wetland Resources
Figure 4.17-8	Typical Stormwater Treatment HDPE - Lined Swale Near Vernal Pool or Zone 1
Figure 4.17-9	Typical Stormwater Treatment Headwall and Flared End Section Details
Figure 4.17-10	Trestle Through Hockomock Swamp Typical Plan
Figure 4.17-11	Trestle Through Hockomock Swamp Typical Section
Figure 4.17-12	Trestle Through Hockomock Typical Elevation
Figure 4.17-13	Canton Center Station Conceptual Station Design
Figure 4.17-14	Stoughton Station Conceptual Station Design
Figure 4.17-15a	North Easton Station Conceptual Station Design
Figure 4.17-15b	North Easton Station Proposed Conditions Drainage Areas
Figure 4.17-16	Wetland Impacts Easton Village Station
Figure 4.17-17a	Raynham Park Station Conceptual Station Design
Figure 4.17-17b	Raynham Park Station Proposed Conditions Drainage Areas
Figure 4.17-18a	Taunton Station Conceptual Station Design
Figure 4.17-18b	Taunton Station Proposed Conditions Drainage Areas
Figure 4.17-19a	Taunton Depot Station Conceptual Station Design
Figure 4.17-19b	Taunton Depot Station Proposed Conditions Drainage Areas
Figure 4.17-20a	Wetland Impacts Freetown Station
Figure 4.17-20b	Freetown Station Proposed Conditions Drainage Areas
Figure 4.17-21	Fall River Depot Station Conceptual Station Design

Figure 4.17-22	Battleship Cove Station Conceptual Station Design
Figure 4.17-23	King’s Highway Station Conceptual Station Design
Figure 4.17-24	Whale’s Tooth Station Conceptual Station Design
Figure 4.17-25	Dana Street Station Conceptual Station Design
Figure 4.17-26a	Weaver’s Cove East Layover Facility Conceptual Facility Design
Figure 4.17-26b	Weaver’s Cove East Layover Facility Proposed Conditions Drainage Areas
Figure 4.17-27	Wamsutta Layover Facility Conceptual Facility Design

4.18 Coastal Zone and Chapter 91

Figure 4.18-1	Stoughton Alternative
Figure 4.18-2	Stoughton Alternative
Figure 4.18-3	Coastal Zone Management Stoughton Line
Figure 4.18-4	Coastal Zone Management Stoughton Line
Figure 4.18-5	Coastal Zone Management Stoughton Line
Figure 4.18-6	Coastal Zone Management Stoughton Line
Figure 4.18-7	Coastal Zone Management Stoughton Line/New Bedford Main Line
Figure 4.18-8	Coastal Zone Management New Bedford Main Line
Figure 4.18-9	Coastal Zone Management New Bedford Main Line
Figure 4.18-10	Coastal Zone Management New Bedford Main Line
Figure 4.18-11	Coastal Zone and Chapter 91 Jurisdiction Whale’s Tooth Station
Figure 4.18-12	Coastal Zone and Chapter 91 Jurisdiction Wamsutta Layover Facility
Figure 4.18-13	Coastal Zone Management New Bedford Main Line
Figure 4.18-14	Coastal Zone Management Fall River Secondary
Figure 4.18-15	Coastal Zone Management Fall River Secondary
Figure 4.18-16	Coastal Zone and Chapter 91 Jurisdiction Weaver’s Cove East Layover Facility
Figure 4.18-17	Coastal Zone and Chapter 91 Jurisdiction Fall River Depot Station
Figure 4.18-18	Coastal Zone and Chapter 91 Jurisdiction Battleship Cove Station
Figure 4.18-19	Coastal Zone Management Fall River Secondary
Figure 4.18-20	Conceptual Layout of Battleship Cove Station
Figure 4.18-21	Conceptual Layout of Fall River Depot Station
Figure 4.18-22	Conceptual Layout of Freetown Station
Figure 4.18-23	Conceptual Layout of Whale’s Tooth Station
Figure 4.18-24	Weaver’s Cove East Layover Facility 1865 Historic Shoreline
Figure 4.18-25	Weaver’s Cove East Layover Facility 1744 Historic Shoreline

5: Indirect Effects and Cumulative Impacts

Figure 5-1	South Coast Region Priority Development/Protection Areas
Figure 5-2	Household and Job Allocation Model under Scenario 2
Figure 5-3	No-Build Alternative
Figure 5-4	No-Build Alternative Household Growth, 2000 – 2035
Figure 5-5	Stoughton and Whittenton Alternatives Net Induced Household Growth, 2000 – 2035
Figure 5-6	Stoughton and Whittenton Alternatives Scenario 1, Total Household Growth, 2000 – 2035
Figure 5-7	Stoughton and Whittenton Alternatives Scenario 2, Total Household Growth, 2000 – 2035
Figure 5-8	Proposed Smart Growth Evaluation Plan Reporting Schedule

Figure 5-9 Sample Metric 26. Land Preservation Investment in PPAs

Volume III: Responses to Comments on the DEIS/DEIR

Volume IV: Appendices

Appendix 2.2-A: CTPS Journey to Work Data Memoranda

Appendix 3.1-A: Analysis of South Coast Rail Alternatives: Phase 1 Report (April 2008)

Appendix 3.1-B: Evaluation of the Middleborough Simple/Rapid Bus Combination Alternative

Appendix 3.1-C: Station Siting Report

Appendix 3.1-D: Draft Network Simulation Analysis August 2009

Appendix 3.1-E: Modified Rapid Bus Alternative Technical Memorandum

Appendix 3.2-A: Feeder Bus Plan

Appendix 3.2-B: Bridge Summary

Appendix 3.2-C: Hockomock Trestle Memo

Appendix 3.2-D: Pine Swamp Trestle Memo

Appendix 3.2-E: Layover Facility Site Selection Report

Appendix 3.2-F: Construction Staging Memorandum

Appendix 3.2-G: Methodology and Assumptions of CTPS

Appendix 3.2-H: CTPS Updated Ridership Analyses for the FEIS/FEIR

Appendix 4.1-A: Route 24 Growth Projections

Appendix 4.1-B: Crash Data & Rates

Appendix 4.1-C: Intersection Inventory Data Sheets

Appendix 4.1-D: Intersection & Roadway Description

Appendix 4.1-E: Traffic Counts

Appendix 4.1-F: Signal Warrant Analysis

Appendix 4.1-G: Pedestrian Bicycle Distribution Analysis

Appendix 4.1-H: Ridership & Trip Generation (2030)

Appendix 4.1-I: Capacity Analysis

Appendix 4.1-J: Grade Crossing Analysis

Appendix 4.1-K: Relocated Stoughton Station Analyses

Appendix 4.1-L: Description of No-Build Projects

Appendix 4.2-A: MassGIS Generalized Land Use Data

Appendix 4.4-A: CTPS Environmental Justice Memo

Appendix 4.6-A: Noise Monitoring Field Notes

Appendix 4.6-B: Noise Impact Assessments

Appendix 4.6-C: Updated Noise Impact and Mitigation

Appendix 4.8-A: Draft Programmatic Agreement

Appendix 4.8-B: Historic Resources Survey and Addendum

Appendix 4.9-A: Air Quality Modeling Documentation

Appendix 4.10-A: Open Space Correspondence

Appendix 4.11-A: Farmland Conversion Impact Rating Forms

Appendix 4.12-A: Whittenton Branch Solid Waste Concern and Dana Street Station Memos

Appendix 4.12-B: Stoughton Station Phase I Environmental Site Assessment

Appendix 4.14-A: Detailed Bridge and Culvert Inventory

Appendix 4.14-B: University of Massachusetts, Amherst. Conservation Assessment and Prioritization System (CAPS) South Coast Rail Analysis

Appendix 4.14-C: Comprehensive Summary of Impacts to Vernal Pools

Appendix 4.15-A: Threatened and Endangered Species Agency Correspondence

Appendix 4.16-A: Secondary and/or Indirect Wetland Impact Assessment

Appendix 4.16-B: Potential Land Preservation Areas

Appendix 4.17-A: Hockomock Swamp Stormwater Report

Appendix 4.17-B: Station Stormwater Analyses

Appendix 4.17-C: Layover Facility Stormwater Analyses

Appendix 5.2-A: Economic Development Research Group, Inc. Net Change in Households in the South Coast Due to Development & Operation of the South Coast Rail

Appendix 5.3-A: Indirect and Cumulative Impacts Tables

Appendix 5.5-A: Smart Growth Literature Review

Appendix 9.3-A: Cooperating Agency Letters